Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2002-07 - 2002-08

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2002-07-01 through 2002-08-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 4,003

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Bill In Congress to Address CC&R Antenna (Sorry!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 00:06:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630200628.10462.00000253@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 47865

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gyfs8yn.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 29 Jun 2002 00:06:09 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>This was after the FCC had preempted CC&Rs for TV antennas - but only
>>for TV antennas (direct broadcast and satellite TV antennas).
>
> And only after The Congress directed them to do it. The original
> PRB-1 was a "freebie" from the days when the FCC had the guts to do
> such things.

Which is why, on this issue, we need to get The Congress to tell FCC to do
likewise for ham antennas.

I read somewhere that FCC has also extended the CC&R preemption to include
antennas needed for wireless internet access (i.e., satellite) if such antennas
won't work indoors. Any truth to that?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 00:06:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630200631.10462.00000254@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 47866

In article <3D1F0B0C.59134CEC@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>TX & RX News wrote:
>> It's a misguided attempt to attract more
>> people into Ham Radio by lowering the standards
>> so ridiculously that untechnical people who have no
>> more acumen than to press a button can get a licence.
>> As an example, 8 year olds, whose mathematical
>> capabilities will not extend to calculations of resistances
>> in parallel are being licensed. The M3 is a travesty.
>
>I couldn't calculate parallel resistances when I was 14 years
>old and passed the Novice exam in 1952.

I knew how to calculate parallel resistances when I was 12 years old. That was a year before I got my Novice license in 1967.

>I learned how later
>and even got a EE degree. What's wrong with that?

Typical Cecil - brags about his lack of knowledge as if it were a virtue. Watta hoot!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3. KT4TR...where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 00:11:56 UTC
From: haaaynorton@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630201156.26702.00001316@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 47867

Not on the airwaves anymore that's for sure!

heh.....heh..........

When you screw around with the bull, you get the horn!

"Something Stinks"
Ed Norton Sewer Expert

4. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 00:17:25 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uhv7rp3pn9fc57@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47868

As has been pointed out, there are international agreements. As one who has passed the 20 wpm exam for my extra TWICE (1966 and again in 1993) plus my commercial telegraph, I can only say that CW has become outmoded. As to the rest of the question(s), cb is supposed to be a low power local communications available for citizens to use. Of course, many are using excessive power (I can understand the use of perhaps 50 watts to maintain local communications because of a large number running that and MUCH more), running on frequencies not authorized to them, and running on frequencies allocated to other services. The big problem is underfunding at the FCC; enforcement necessarily suffers. My gut feeling is that if there weren't this problem it would be likely that the authorized channels for cb would have been expanded further. The amateurs are also not likely to want to water down the exams (forget the cw, it may go away in a few years; again, it may not) simply because they are nervous about letting in a large number of people who may not respect rules. Certainly there are some problem amateurs. A number of them no longer have tickets, but the problem remains that without enforcement, there will be more problems. The easiest way to contain the problems a little bit is not to open up more authorizations to folks that haven't paid a bit of a price and therefore have little to use by abusing the privilege. As to the double standard - it really doesn't exist. Anyone known to the FCC (and, by a license, you are) will be easier to track. Certainly you don't want to be stupid enough to sign your amateur callsign whilst freebanding or running on cb, but the directional array is fairly accurate and will likely trace any hf signal to within a mile or two. Who does the FCC know within that mile or two? It doesn't mean you or I did it, but they'll be watching that licensed station closer since they have no idea of unlicensed stations might be.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"One Angry Man" <oneangryman@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8c61e9d6.0206300657.45d1c831@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<bfrT8.12617$N8.10630016@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > > And if the FCC would let us _- Tech Class Amateurs-_ use HF without
> > > a morse code test we could fill it up to the point the freebanders
> > > wouldn't have room on 10m or anywhere else to do their thing.
> > >
> > > It pisses me off that freebanders can get on there and yak it up
> > > with no worries BUT if I get on there I'll loose my license for
> > > it! Damn the FCC and their double standards.
> > >
> > >
> > > ---------------------------------

> > > One Angry Man
> > > President and only member
> > > No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)
> > >
> > > Answer to everyone's first question.
> > > YES I AM LICENSED!
> > >
> > >
> > > Answer to everyone's second question.
> > > NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
> > >
> > >
> > > Answer to everyone's third question.
> > > YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> > > ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
> >
> >
> >
> > How many explanations of WHY there is a Morse Code requirement does it
take
> > for it to sink in? I read this same whine over and over and over and
over:
> > if the FCC would do this, if the FCC would do that, if the FCC would do
such
> > and so, then.......
> > Once again gently read carefully: The FCC does not have the POWER to
grant
> > world-
> > wide DX access to the citizens of the USA because that requirement is
there
> > by treaty.
> > Spell it: T R E A T Y. Got it?
>
> Yea, and I also know that CB (26.965 - 27.405MHz) is in the HF band
> and does NOT require code to use. So if the FCC can get away with not
> requiring code on cb to comply with the treaty why can't they do the
> same with 10m?
>
>
>
>
>
> > It MAY go away next year in Geneva, but
> > there are some rumblings among the member nations who are having second
> > thoughts about doing away with it completely. Only time will tell if
this
> > requirement is abolished, and
> > even then, it doesn't necessarily mean that the door will be flung wide
> > open. Likely, it
> > will be replaced with higher technical testing standards (I hope).

>
> Works for me!
>
>
> >
> > We Americans often forget that there are other nations who have a say in
> > what happens
> > to the radio spectrum and that the domestic FCC isn't the do all-be all
of
> > radio.
> >
> > J
>
>
> Now why can't the FCC just do to 10m the same that they did on 11m.
> They allow CB to talk on HF frequencies with a milage limit, why not
> let techs (not just +) on from 28.3 - 28.5 MHz with a U.S.A. only
> qso rule. Any U.S. tech caught talking DX outside FCC admin area gets
> a letter and some sort of punishment, like 50MHz and up only for
> a year or so.
>
> Just something to think about!
> --------------------------------
> One Angry Man
> President and only member
> No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)
>
> Answer to everyone's first question.
> YES I AM LICENSED!
>
>
> Answer to everyone's second question.
> NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
>
>
> Answer to everyone's third question.
> YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

5. Re: KT4TR...where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 00:23:10 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydNOSPAMCapeCod.net>
Message-ID: <afo7dl$3iv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 47869

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message:

> Not on the airwaves anymore that's for sure!
>
> heh.....heh..........
>
> When you screw around with the bull, you get the horn!
>
>
> "Something Stinks"
> Ed Norton Sewer Expert

Roger,

Keep this crap over in r.r.a.m. We don't want you
spreading your little flame wars to r.r.a.p.

Bill

6. Re: KT4TR...where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 00:32:20 UTC
From: haaaynorton@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630203220.08614.00001968@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 47871

```
<< Subject: Re: KT4TR...where are you?
From: "Lloyd" lloyd@lloydNOSPAMCapeCod.net
Date: Sun, Jun 30, 2002 7:23 PM
Message-id: <afo7dl$3iv$0@pita.alt.net>

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message:

Gee, I don't know how to break this little tid bit to you Dick Tracy.....I
wrote this, not AB8MQ.

>>Repetitive bs snipped.

"Something Stinks"
Ed Norton Sewer Expert
```

7. Re: Bill In Congress to Address CC&R Antenna (Sorry!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 00:33:19 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyjfj0r.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 47870

On 01 Jul 2002 00:06:28 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>I read somewhere that FCC has also extended the CC&R preemption to
>include antennas needed for wireless internet access (i.e., satellite)
>if such antennas won't work indoors. Any truth to that?

Right on the money. BTW, that is the only exemption for a
transmitting antenna.

"Wireless Internet" is not only satellite, but also includes MMDS,
a service originally known as "wireless cable", which metamorphosed
into Domestic Wireless Internet offered for a while by Sprint Spectrum
in majot metropolitan areas.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

8. Re: KT4TR...where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 00:48:00 UTC
From: dafirebottle@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630204800.03968.00001206@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 47872

```
<< Subject: Re: KT4TR...where are you?
From: "Lloyd" lloyd@lloydNOSPAMCapeCod.net
Date: Sun, Jun 30, 2002 7:23 PM
Message-id: <afo7dl$3iv$0@pita.alt.net>

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message:

> Not on the airwaves anymore that's for sure!
>
> heh.....heh..........
>
> When you screw around with the bull, you get the horn!
>
>
> "Something Stinks"
> Ed Norton Sewer Expert

Roger,

Keep this crap over in r.r.a.m. We don't want you
spreading your little flame wars to r.r.a.p.

Bill

>>

lloyd@lloydcapecod.net who signs his name as "Bill",Suspects Roger ab8mq as
writing
this.....I know Ed and can assure you it's not him.

So, decide who you are and carry on. The real Lloyd in Cape Cod is a jerk, so
I'm surprised anyone would want anyone to think they were Lloyd wa2exq.

And, then resign as a detective and hit the road asshole!

Firebottle
```

9. Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:11:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630211147.01552.00000292@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 47873

In article <20020628234033.15110.00000131@mb-bh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020628200612.25425.00000157@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>If you liked that electro-mechanical transmitter, take a look at this
>receiver,
>>which does not use any "active" devices, nor any sort of diode.
>>
>>http://www.wireless.org.uk/mechrx.htm
>
>Oh, like WOW! Isn't that sooooo amazing!
>
>Real cutting edge "electronics" there. Real "DX" ability, right?
>
>Powerful technology! Wow redux...

Is there a reason you insult the accomplishments of others.
>
>Radio station Grimeton does an annual one-day transmission
>from the museum in Halland Lan. It's part of HISTORY.

So? Is there a problem?

>There's
>no real call for 17.2 KHz communications nowadays, is it?

Tell it to the US Navy. NAA is still on the air.

> Even
>if the latest US ham band is around 8x that frequency, Grimeton
>was NOT a "ham station," not in 1923, not in 1924...it was a
>Swedish government station used for government and commercial
>messaging. Commercial. NOT amateur.

Who said it was an amateur station?
>
>Ok, so some metal filings in a little glass tube are, like, maybe
>some cutesy thing for a static museum show corner. What was
>the sensitivity of a "galena crystal" or coherer receiver? 0 dbm?

Neither a coherer nor a crystal set are effective in receiving the undamped
waves of an Alexanderson alternator. Seems like you don't understand how that
receiver works.
>
>Can you work DX with one of those, make WAC or DXCC?

The first long-distance radio was VLF stuff.
>
>"No active devices!" Wow...and coming right behind a link to
>Grimeton site with those Alexanderson alternators whining out
>the KiloHertz by the KiloWatts!

You sure sound jealous.
>
>Write it up for QEX as "high technology" for the new millennium!

Real engineers know that solutions to modern problems can sometimes be found in
the ingenuity of the past. "Old" does not always equal "obsolete" or "bad".
>
>Doesn't have anything to do with amateur radio policy, does it?

So what? Neither does anything you post.

Jim, N2EY

10. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:14:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uhvb686kpuie4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47874

Steve, this has been my concern with MURS. It looks nice on paper, but people will find out about scatter. They may not understand scatter, but they will find that 50 watts will work much farther than 2 watts. Once the hobbiests get on MURS, the 2 watt stations will be buried. It won't be long before a few enterprising folks find out what a few HUNDRED watts will do. With a good beam, expect communications ranges of 100 miles and much more. Heh - wait until a good tropo when a number of people are running a few hundred watts. It won't be as severe as cb, but thousands of people will discover (even though they may not understand it) capture effect. Of course, 2 meter signals have spanned oceans - without the aid of satellites or moonbounce. Goodbye business operation. Guess why Motorola and Radio Shack reversed themselves on the MURS question. My guess is they figure a lot of businesses are going to remember who sponsered the fiasco. Opening up frequencies for unlicensed operation or reducing license requirements is not a good idea unless the FCC gets up to the manpower (and enforcement power) that it had in the 1960s and before. Then, and only then, it may be a good idea.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020630192049.14524.00001418@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: "horseshoe7" horseshoe7@yahoo.com
> >Date: 06/30/02 18:55 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <R%LT8.62744$Ok1.4590546@news2.west.cox.net>
>
> >That was exactly my point regarding allowing CBers free access to 10m at
4W
> >or less...(SNIP)
>
> You are on some SERIOUS mind-altering substances if you think that
CBers
> who are ALREADY breaking the law by being in the Amateur allocations would
sate
> themselves with 4 watts.
>
> >.....as long as the FCC was doing the best it could to keep them running
> >4W and not interfere with other countries, it wouldn't violate the treaty
> >provisions... again, I'm not saying that this would be the right thing to
> >do - just that it wouldn't violate the treaty (just as we aren't
considered
> >to be violating the treaty on 11m now).
>

> It's stupid to even suggest it. The FCC already made two major
mistakes
> with the Citizen's Radio Service. The first was to ignore the warnings it
> received at the outset about putting such a radio service in a globally
> propagating band. The second was to remove all vestiges of control by
dropping
> any licensing requirements.
>
> It's made two more by establishing FRS and MURS. Now that
Wally-world has
> realized that they can ALSO sell GMRS radios which are compatible (to some
> degree) with FRS radios that consumers have already purchased, that once
usable
> band is quickly on it's way to trashy-dom.
>
> MURS won't be far behind. Somewhere along the way the "word" will
get out
> to "Joe Average Citizen" that a $100 2 meter radio will give him 50 (or
more)
> watts on MURS...THEN he'll get tired of being elbow to elbow with the rest
of
> the trash on that band and find out how easy it is to "trick out" the
radio and
> everything from 136 to 170mHz will be at their mercy.
>
> >... because just with the pigs, you really can't KILL them...(SNIP)
>
> Sure you can.
>
> >Hams shouldn't expect that the FCC is going to do everything for them...
> >we've got an obligation to help track down these weasels as well.
>
> We (I) don't. Hence the Amateur Auxiliary and the Official
Observers.
> Some are real sharp, others just like the paper on the wall, but it's a
start.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

11. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:15:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uhvb9b2ikalt66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47875

Am I missing something? Are you saying it is the AMATEURS' fault?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"horseshoe7" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:nXIT8.61994$Ok1.4555350@news2.west.cox.net...
>
> "J. Manley" <jmanley@manleyconsultants100.org> wrote in message
> news:afk8chgbm0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > Yup, and their numbers increase more each week. Moreover, the
> > commission is powerless to stop them.
>
> Why? Aren't Amateurs providing enough details, so the FCC can make "easy
> kills"? Why are you blaming the FCC? Don't hams have some responsibility
> to police their own bands? ... or has 10m gotten to the point like on
some
> of the VHF/UHF ham bands... where they have become virtually unused, so
> there is nobody there to care, or to police them.
>
> > You will not discourage them.
> > They just move up higher, into more of the ten meter band.
>
> I actually tend to agree with "One Angry Man" on this point... if these
> frequencies were more utilized, it would discourage illegal use, and there
> would be more individuals to help precisely locate the offenders.
>
> However, due to international treaty rules, this isn't presently an option
> on the HF bands... however, some of the VHF/UHF bands could be opened up a
> bit more - especially 1.25m.
>
> - Stewart
>
>

12. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:21:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uhvbj5t151rlb9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47876

Stewart, you'd best read up on amateur allocations. Some of them are shared. Some of them are EXCLUSIVELY amateur allocations - worldwide. Unfortunately, there are a number of countries that believe that the US government does whatever it wants and to heck with the rest of the world. That does not serve our interests in the least. Would you add fuel to that fire?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"horseshoe7" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2jxT8.61383$Ok1.4449125@news2.west.cox.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:0jvT8.5090$wj4.732313@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > There's that almighty FCC again that people think can do just anything
> they
> > want with
> > the radio spectrum. You are forgetting that 10 meters is a treaty band,
> and
> > the decisions as to what is done with 10 meters is NOT up to FCC alone.
>
> Ah, but individual countries can do as they see fit with frequency
> allocations, as long as it would not unduly affect other countries... so,
> extending the existing11m CB regulations to the 10m band would probably
not,
> in itself, be in violation of international treaty.
>
> There is a far greater possibility of this happening, than of having 11m
> shut down. Think about it - if some government fool was stupid enough to
> even start to attempt to have 11m shut down, all the truckers on the
middle
> eastern seaboard would descend on Washington, DC, and SHUT THE PLACE
DOWN...
> and the resolution would be rescinded in a heartbeat.
>
> I suggest you concentrate on keeping the pigs in their pens, rather than
> trying to have the dog catcher relocate them to the neighbor's property...
> especially when the neighbor lives on a very small plot of land in the
> middle of a commercial district.
>
> "Keep the pigs in their pens"
>
> ... otherwise, we are just going to have to expand the pigpen.

>
> > Jerry
>
> - Stewart
>
>

13. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:24:01 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uhvbom1oc293f2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47877

Part of it, Stewart, is that if a trucker is using 10 meters to talk to another trucker 5 miles down the road, and both are running 100 watts, it is doubtful there will be any ham close enough for enough time (I assume they are moving down the road) to produce meaningful interference. A distant station may hear them 10 over 9, but those trucks, 5 miles apart running 100 watts, won't likely hear that distant station trying to jam them.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"horseshoe7" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3aJT8.61997$Ok1.4557917@news2.west.cox.net...
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3D1D041B.53D3F809@ix.netcom.com...
> > Was tuning about the bands and found freebanders in the bottom end
> > of 10m. 28.055 with roger beeps. Another at 28.035 Spanish
> > I think. Earlier something at 28.045
> >
> > We ought to camp out on these freqs and operate some RTTY or
> > packet or such. Something to use our allocation to discourage the
> > freebanders. Be sure to use 28.0X5 MHz.
> >
>
> While it sounds like a good idea, it doesn't appear that there are enough
> active hams out there to make it work. Apparently, the freebanders are
> being allowed to get the upper hand in this "turf war".
>
> - Stewart, N0MHS
>
>

14. Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:24:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D1FD987.50402@adelphia.net>
Source record: 47878

N2EY wrote:

> In article <20020628234033.15110.00000131@mb-bh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
<some snippage>

> Real engineers know that solutions to modern problems can sometimes be found in
> the ingenuity of the past. "Old" does not always equal "obsolete" or "bad".

I found another link on the same, Jim:

http://www.oldradio.com/archives/jurassic/dk-rf_gens.htm

I'm studying these things now, the operations described did not match my
(apparently faulty) ideas of how they operated!

- Mike KB3EIA -

15. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:30:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ghOT8.1033$K84.46219414@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47879

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D1F7DFE.C7F6ED1B@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > No, Dave said he would work anyone who came up on his frequency, whether
he
> > knew their authorization or not, because he was within -his-
authorization.
> > He was breaking no rule. Apparently he doesn't mind knowingly help
other
> > people break the rules. Also, he was not responsible for sending them
QSL
> > cards either, as that was his QSL manager's problem.
>
> Not exactly, Brian. I wouldn't have answered someone calling me in a
> pileup using, "Claymore Mine" or "Toot Toot The Cracker Wagon". At no
> time did I help or assist anyone in breaking his government's rules.
> Anyone who did that did it of his own volition.

Dave is forever the innocent bystander, just watching as contact after
contact is logged in his books.

> You are right about QSLing though. I had no responsibility for QSLing
> other than to periodically send log extracts to WA8JOC. He didn't check
> whether a guy who worked me was within his authorized band segment
> either. Since I logged my pileup frequency and it was printed on my QSL
> card going to that station, there must be a load of French guys who have
> DX cards confirming a QSO on 50.110 or 50.115. I'll bet they don't show
> them to their local pals, huh?

Why not? Were they out of band or something?

> It is nice of you to be so concerned for the state of amateur radio in
> France. Have you contacted the French PTT yet? Would you be interested
> in extracts from my 50 MHz logs for J5, 9L, A2 and 5H or are there other
> bands or band segments which you feel French amateurs may have used
> illegally?

Dave, just go back to being an innocent by-stander. It's what you do best
when you find yourself in an indefensible position.

> You know, come to think of it, some of those French guys could have been
> using the illegal Farnsworth method!

On 50.110-115? Hi! You better go talk to Larry about them rotten SSB
types.

Dave, you're really funny when your not so smug.

16. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:32:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cjOT8.1036$U74.46173500@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47880

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D1F7F2E.4A86519B@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20020630123947.27794.00000966@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > > In article <sDlT8.605$bP7.23190806@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> > Burke"
> > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > >You might want to mention the latter point to Dave, who routinely
worked
> > > >French Hams out of band on 6M. He says he has no responsibility to
> > refrain
> > > >from QSOing bootleggers.
> > >
> > > What would be the point of having a QSO with a bootlegger? It
wouldn't
> > > be good for award program credit, assuming the award-giving
organization
> > > kept up on that sort of thing.
> > >
> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> > Its like having a law that makes it illegal to sell stolen property,
then
> > having to pass another law to make it illegal to buy stolen property.
> >
> > My guess, it would depend on how badly the French hams wanted his QSL
card,
> > doesn't it?
>
> Here's a trail for you to follow, Brian: Contact the DXCC desk and see
> how many French stations working outside their authorized band segment
> have submitted QSLs to the ARRL for awards submissions.

You think they'd know? You don't even know and you're the one making the
contacts.

See if the
> League can provide you information on whether these cards were accepted
> for such credit. You may well have uncovered a massive DXCC cover up.
>
> Dave K8MN

Indeed.

17. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:35:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RIOT8.1040$YW3.45452567@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47881

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:afo083ikr1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> I already looked at the Fists page. Nothing there. I just thought with
all
> this 'CW' talk that someone would know about it. Considering all these
> first class cw types that post here and all.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, you've just uncovered the naked truth. There are no first class cw
types here. We do have a couple of A-1 Operators (not to be confused with
a1a operators).

73, Brian

18. Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:37:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D1FDC66.5070408@adelphia.net>
Source record: 47803

N2EY wrote:

> In article <20020628234033.15110.00000131@mb-bh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com

> Neither a coherer nor a crystal set are effective in receiving the undamped
> waves of an Alexanderson alternator.

I found another more explanatory link here:

http://home.freeuk.com/dunckx/wireless/sparksnarcs/sparksnarcs.html

and post it to help anyone who might be interested.

- Mike KB3EIA -

19. Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:38:34 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uoOT8.62217$LC3.4745217@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47882

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020630211147.01552.00000292@mb-cc.aol.com...

<< Snippety doo-dah

> Real engineers know that solutions to modern problems can sometimes be
found in
> the ingenuity of the past. "Old" does not always equal "obsolete" or
"bad".

> Jim, N2EY

I am reminded of when the Federal bumper standards first came in.

The curator at the Ford Museum in Dearborn was incensed that the public could not get near the old cars for all the engineers crawling over them documenting their bumpers.

Only be sure, please, to call it 'research'.

20. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 01:38:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LoOT8.1043$D74.46157140@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47883

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020630190857.14524.00001416@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: How Did He Do That, Len?
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 06/30/02 18:50 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020630185033.16159.00000218@mb-fz.aol.com>
>
> >What, exactly, did he do that has you so wound up?
> >
>
> I dared to suggest that he was wrong.
>
> Go figure.
>
> Steve.

You gotta be $hittin me? You took your petty squabble to the FCC NPRM
comment area?

Un-friggin-believable!

Steve - go get help.

21. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 02:04:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%MOT8.1051$dj4.46825807@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47884

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020630130254.27794.00000967@mb-fo.aol.com...
> In article <1686adb6.0206292157.6fccc8fa@posting.google.com>,
> OneAngryMan@hotmail.com (One Angry Man) writes:
>
> >Answer to everyone's first question.
> >YES I AM LICENSED!
> >
> >Answer to everyone's second question.
> >NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
> >
> >Answer to everyone's third question.
> >YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> >ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
>
> You have every right *not* to post your callsign on the internet.
However,
> on THIS newsgroup, if you don't post your callsign, you also have NO right
> to credibility.

Larry, I've stated my call from the beginning, but Jim claims that I have no
credibility unless I tell him my last Novice contact.

Usenet is full of Anonymous Cowards, and whatever they
> have to say is important only to them, nobody else!

Its also full of blowhard bullies.

You will not gain
> acceptance as a regular participant without positive identification.
> Without it, you're simply another in an endless line of unaccountable,
> non-credible whiners. This is the established paradigm on this newsgroup,
> and you cannot change it.

It is an unworking paradigm, thus not a paradigm at all. Change it.

> This is the only reply you will recieve from me until you provide positive
> identification using your name and call sign.

"Whaaaa! Its my ball and I'm taking it home!"

You DO whine.

22. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 02:08:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afodil$n05$0@208.207.71.129>
Source record: 47885

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <sDlT8.605$bP7.23190806@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >
> >You might want to mention the latter point to Dave, who routinely worked
> >French Hams out of band on 6M. He says he has no responsibility to refrain
> >from QSOing bootleggers.
> >
>
> What would be the point of having a QSO with a bootlegger? It wouldn't
> be good for award program credit, assuming the award-giving organization
> kept up on that sort of thing.

Poor Brian. The more he spouts off the more he illustrates his
ignorance. He has no clue to the difference between a bootlegger and a
licensed station who happens to wander outside his authorizations. I'm
sure the French version of the FCC knows. They may not be too concerned,
though. Maybe the French hams know it.

23. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 02:31:17 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <E%OT8.19064$N8.19058487@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47886

horseshoe7 <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:R%LT8.62744$Ok1.4590546@news2.west.cox.net...
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020630161838.11380.00001032@mb-cq.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> > >From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart)
> > >Date: 06/30/02 14:38 Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <5f4fe4c2.0206301038.3f5aa577@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >The fact that the United States is "getting away" with allowing CBers
> > >to operate with virtual impunity on 11m, would seem to indicate that
> > >we could also "get away with it" on 10m.
> >
> > The FCC is not "allowing" them to do anything, Stewart. Just like
> trying
> > to kill roaches, you can only do so much so fast. Witness recent
> enforcement
> > actions.
>
> That was exactly my point regarding allowing CBers free access to 10m at
4W
> or less, as long as the FCC was doing the best it could to keep them
running
> 4W and not interfere with other countries, it wouldn't violate the treaty
> provisions... again, I'm not saying that this would be the right thing to
> do - just that it wouldn't violate the treaty (just as we aren't
considered
> to be violating the treaty on 11m now).

Anybody that thinks that allowing CBers free access to ANY band at "4 watts"
or
less is dreaming. They've managed to trash all 40 channels, frequencies
above and
below that, and now are squeaking and beeping their sh** on 10 and 12
meters. The
CB band is "land mobile", is governed by different rules than ham, and the
two do not
mix. All that is is an attempt to open the gates so that people can have
their potatos
without having to dig them up--typical of the modern attitude of
"entitlement" without
responsibility. That said, are you actually telling me that you think,
given the history of

CB in the past, that they would abide by rules on other bands as well?

>
> Hams shouldn't expect that the FCC is going to do everything for them...
> we've got an obligation to help track down these weasels as well.

That is what I said further down in this thread. If the problem is going to be solved,
WE, the licensed Amateurs, will have to become involved, and aggressively so. Just
today, I took a trip down into South Carolina. I made it a point on the return trip to
visit all the Travel Plazas on my route (about 5). In the Flying J, at Exit 70 on I-20E,
I found for sale a Magnum Delta Force "CB" radio. On the face, it clearly states 10
Meter Radio". There is NO FCC approval sticker anywhere. Guess what. If I have
my way, they are busted! Yes, they *may* or may not get a warning. But that info,
as well as a "Take to Cashier for Purchase" tag is going to FCC tomorrow along with a
catalog from a certain seller of unapproved radios and splattering amplifiers. That is
what it will take; ALL of us getting involved, searching out illegal operators, visiting Travel Plazas and CB shops as we travel, monitoring the lower end of 10 meters, and
recording and reporting what we find! I am serious, folks. If we want this handled, WE will have to prove to Congress, especially, that Amateur Radio is valuable (many
already know this), is worth protecting from unlicensed intruders, and that we have a
problem that needs attention. Sitting around, whining, griping, and, yes, criticizing ideas
that are put forth as possible ways to abate this problem will NOT solve it.

Also, I was hailed on 28.085 by a trucker as I listened for such activity between Chester and Rock Hill, SC on I-77 ("How 'bout that thar Z34 Northbound and down,
break-break"). I plan to make his a** "famous" in the morning as well. I just wrote down his truck tag, company name, and hopefully, we will read about him on the ARRL enforcement page.

73

Jerry

>
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>

> - Stewart, N0MHS
>
>

24. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 02:46:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <eePT8.19172$N8.19127026@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47887

>
> I agree with your post with the exception of this little gem. Many folks (like
> six or seven MILLION!) happen to like the 27 MHz CB.

And the question is WHY do they like 27 MHZ? Those that would like to have a local, quiet 2 way radio service would not mind that it happened to be on VHF
and that they now have much less noise and interference. Let's not beat around the
bush: the ones that like 27 MHZ and would scream like stuck pigs are the ones who
are already breaking the laws governing the CB "Service". OF COURSE, they wouldn't like having 27 MHZ taken away because their precious DX, their SSB "clubs", and their assinine "Worldwide-worldwide" foolishness would be eliminated.
Either Congress will HAVE to face the music and restore funding to FCC to regulate
the mess that CB is now, or they will have to face the music and take it away so that
legitimate services can conduct their business unmolested. There is NO "right" to oper-
ate a transmitter; it is a PRIVILEGE granted by the government. If that privilege is
abused, the offender must face the consequences OR the privilege needs to be revoked.

Jerry

Let's not punish the
> entire town because of the misdeeds of a few (Realtively speaking.) miscreants.
> Aside from the fact that it just CAN'T be done, (Heck, you can't even catch the
> dopes with the kilowatt amplifiers.) you might actually achieve the opposite
> reaction and turn the law-abiding folks rebellious.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

25. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 02:52:17 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020630225217.09139.00000257@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 47888

In article <3D1F4CAC.B265A8F0@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> What makes you think that ham radio is, or should be, a "free society?"
>> I think it's something that should be licensed, regulated, and it's users
>> very tightly controlled.
>
>Yep, we know, Larry, that when it comes to freedom of choice of modes,
>you are a statist.

Cecil:

I'm all for freedom of mode choice. So much so, that I have no problem with requiring that all HF-privileged hams be tested for knowledge of the Morse code at a useful proficiency level -- 13 - 20 WPM -- for a General or Extra class license, respectively. Of course, that is no longer the case, so, IMO, the "freedom of mode choice" has been drastically reduced for Post-Restructuring hams. How unfortunate.

73 de Larry, K3LT

26. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:11:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630231142.08659.00000329@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47890

In article <jBKT8.942$j93.42470706@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Hey, "licensed" one - Might check the regulations. In case you ever
>> do, you will note that amateur radio and CB are under entirely different
>> rules. That's because they are different SERVICES. That holds true for
>> the "treaty" also, so for your education and edification, they have no
>> relationship to each other.
>
>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?

45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF. Dick was alive
then...well, as alive as he could be...

>What magic happened when 11m stopped complying with physics?

Dick got on CB and changed the physics...or took one?

27. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:11:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630231143.08659.00000330@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47893

In article <LBtT8.60975$Ok1.4411867@news2.west.cox.net>, "horseshoe7"
<horseshoe7@yahoo.com> writes:

>Look, if an occassional pig gets out of the pen, you've got to throw 'em
>back in, or you're going to have pigs running loose all over the barnyard...
>you don't just don't call animal control to "send the whole lot of 'em over
>to the neighbor's house"... Even if you could somehow convince the
>dog-catcher to do your dirty work, all you're going to end up with is a
>bunch of pissed-off pigs, neighbors, and dog-catchers.

That's a good analogy.

Problem is, no one in here (other than yourself and a very few) want
to debate the subject. To most this venue is simply a place to
vent spleens, cuss, and act guru-like. :-)

CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago. Hams of that time
were generally incensed at this terrible intrusion into their precious
spectrum (the small 11m band below the big 10m band) and, worst
of all (!), the FCC taking it away from them! Oh, horrors, the evil
that befell hams! :-)

>I'll tell you what the more likely scenario is, if you can't contain these
>jokers to 11m... the FCC will have no choice but to "expand the pigpen", and
>open 10m up as an unlicensed service.

It seems to me that a group of dedicated hams could just as well
exercise their talents and will by organizing and DF-ing on the
freebanders, logging those times and frequencies and content,
then informing the FCC.

I don't think anyone in here is serious about doing that. It's so much
easier to sit back and bitch and whine about it in a newsgroup. No
real effort and it makes the bitchers and whiners happy?

Back in half the time that CB has been around there were no
cell phones on VHF or UHF. At the end of December 2001
there were no less than 129 million cell phone subscribers in
the USA (statement of the FCC in a Public Notice). That's
about one in every three citizens. In less than two decades
the cellular telephone industry has grown at an explosive rate.

That should be an indicator that new radio services (cell phones
are little two-way radios) can be accepted by the citizenry, can
grow at an amazing rate, and be supported by industry.

CB is only about four decades into its existance and its unlicensed users outnumber all licensed hams by about 7:1 or 8:1. Since the allocated frequency band is small (about 400 KHz), I am surprised that there aren't more freebanders looking for more EM space.

The "tough talkers" in here will come on strong about legality, "technical competency through license testing," and a lot of other noble, good, and true words...but there's little evidence that any of those "tough talkers" among the hams are DOING ANYTHING other than bitching and whining.

Organizing, planning, monitoring, DF-ing, logging are the key activities to reporting illegal operation. Bitching and whining and talking tough doesn't solve any problems.

>"Keep the pigs in their pen".

That's all well and good up to a certain point of usership among the citizens. After four decades of CB and (perhaps) 6 million citizens using CB radios, trying to toss out a band (of any service) that is in-use is like "teaching a pig to sing" (it doesn't acomplish anything but annoys the pig).

The FCC is responsive to citizen demands. It cleared hundreds of MHz in two decades of cutting off the higher TV channels, has made that spectral space available to several radio services. It established the VHF and UHF cell phone bands, a lot of low-power-RF-device spectral places. Those "Part 15" devices number in the tens of millions in the USA now...despite lots of whining and bitching about "raising the noise floor in [UHF] ham bands."

The 10m band is 1700 KHz wide, has been allocated to hams for over 5 decades. That allocation is man-made and NOT an ever-living constant. A divinity did not assign it nor is it a cast-iron guarantee. If some group of citizens wants part of it for a new radio service, the FCC is obliged to listen.

Patriotic rhetoric by hams is a poor reason for retention regardless of hams' emotional feelings. The old 11m ham band went away because of little use by hams with more promise shown by a new radio service called CB. Part of 10m could go the same way.

28. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:11:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630231144.08659.00000331@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47889

In article <3D1F81C1.1D39FA38@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>> Check with FISTS.
>
>That movie was on TV a couple of days ago.

Why hasn't anyone mentioned the new movie released to theaters
a couple weeks ago? The title is "CQ." :-)

29. Re: Sayonara

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:11:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630231145.08659.00000332@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47891

In article <2uKT8.935$z63.42299680@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020630162732.11380.00001036@mb-cq.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: Sayonara
>> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >Date: 06/29/02 17:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <20020629170700.17551.00000723@mb-bk.aol.com>
>>
>> >> Sheesh. Putzism at it's most arrogant.
>> >
>> >The word "putz" is a Yiddish-ethnic pejorative meaning "dickhead."
>>
>> Yes, Lennie, it is. And when used in the context of addressing you,
>it's well placed.
>
>I disagree. It shouldn't be used here at all.

He LIKES to "talk tough." Makes him feel all manly and strong.

He LIKES the thought of beating up old men. I think he likes
to beat up on women, too.

He is an Amateur Extra. That explains it all? :-)

>> As opposed to Leonard H Anderson who is an "athletic supporter"...and
>one that needs laundering, I might ad.....
>
>Oh boy, jock strap jokes. Some people never grow up.

Some want to abrogate the First Amendment to the US
Constitution in addition to talking filth and pejoratives.

It must be an "Extra thing." Another incentive for upgrading...:-)

30. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:11:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630231146.08659.00000333@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47892

In article <20020630185033.16159.00000218@mb-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020629212613.17701.00000113@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>(K4YZ)
>
>> has a long record of control-dictatorship in and about
>> US amateur radio, refusing to allow the US government to consider
>> another citizen's commentary on FCC 98-143 way back in January
>> 1999 (after the comment cut-off date).
>
>How could someone stop the US government from considering someone else's
>comments on a NPRM?

You didn't read it, did you? Of course not...it's on the FCC's ECFS, not
Google.

Go read it and you will understand. Then you can search archives of this
newsgroup for December 1998 to January 1999 and see the
"coincidence" of the same blabbering of that poor baby who couldn't
tough-talk someone else down.

It's all in the public record, Jimmie. You love to search old archives in
Google...go for it.

>You filed reply comments right before the reply comment deadline, and they
made
>it to the FCC ECFS system.

According to the USPS records, I sent my full document package (paper copies
plus disk) 9 days before the deadline. Are you going to be so anal retentive
as to
want evidence of the mail registry forms?!?!? [sorry, that's not on Google]

The deadline for ALL comments on 98-143 was extended to 15 January 1999.
My reply to comments was date-stamped 13 January 1999 according to the
ECFS. As far as I can see, it was perfectly legal, according to procedure
and the FCC's own instructions.

What is your problem with all that? Are you trying for a "piece of the
argument
action" on this thread? Why do you want to argue an old subject that's been
done in here before?

>So any reply comments on your reply comments would
>have to be after the deadline.

So what? The poor baby's SINGLE PARAGRAPH comment was date-
stamped 25 January 1999 by the FCC, ten days after the deadline.
Was it an electronic filing? It couldn't have been a paper filing and be
procedural due to the "coincidence" of commentary in this newsgroup at
the time on 98-143.

Did the poor baby address ANYTHING in my 14 pages of text? NO.
Nothing. All he did was blabber that no one without a license had any
business making any sort of comment. He didn't address one single
part of my document...and there was plenty of text to select a topic.

The ONLY thing in this poor baby's commentary was the whining
about unlicensed citizens commenting and that they should be
disregarded. Poor thing, he was very upset at the time, evident by
the newsgroup postings. Oh, boo hoo by him.

>What, exactly, did he do that has you so wound up?

That former member of the US armed forces (medical discharge)
wants to abrogate the First Amendment rights of citizens, even
for other US military veterans...if the citizen doesn't agree with him.

Now you may not care one bit about First Amendment rights
(you are an Amateur Extra) but I sure do. I took an oath to
defend the Constitution of the United States back in 1952
(before you were born). I took that oath very seriously. So did a
LOT of US citizens, before, during, and after my time.

Do you feel that the First Amendment of the US Constitution
doesn't apply in certain situations regarding Free Speech and
Petitioning One's Government in regards to laws? If so, why?

Why is Jimmie so wound up? He was not a party to the thread.

Is Jimmie upset because other people know about the Grimeton
station in Sweden and its annual one-day test transmissions?

Jimmie, stay with your old 100 KW alternators and OOK CW.
That's history. It's been 80 years since the Grimeton station
planning started, 78 years since it was completed. This is the
new millenium. You aren't authorized to operate either 100 KW
output or at 17.2 KHz.

31. captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:20:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D1FF4B0.1000403@adelphia.net>
Source record: 47802

Jim got my inquisitive juices flowing with the RF alternator link, and I found some more interesting stuff.

I found a page:

http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/radiostor/reading.html

that has a lot of fascinating reading on it. I highly recommend:

http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/radiostor/cw500pt1.html

which is some memoir's of a Coast guard cw op. Many pages-very interesting stuff.

You can get lost in the reading, and there's lots of good CW related stories there. Good nostalgia trip. Even with that, there is an undercurrent of "time marches on-but I'm not sure that dumping cw is altogether the right thing to do.

- Mike KB3EIA -

32. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:27:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630232737.07384.00000173@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47894

In article <LoOT8.1043$D74.46157140@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Steve.
>
>You gotta be $hittin me? You took your petty squabble to the FCC NPRM
>comment area?
>
>Un-friggin-believable!

I know...but it is in the public record at the FCC.

>Steve - go get help.

Medical science hasn't advanced far enough yet for the poor baby to get help...(they're working on it, though, any day now...)

33. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:27:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630232737.07384.00000174@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47895

In article <20020630192049.14524.00001418@mb-mn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Hams shouldn't expect that the FCC is going to do everything for them...
>>we've got an obligation to help track down these weasels as well.
>
> We (I) don't. Hence the Amateur Auxiliary and the Official Observers.
>Some are real sharp, others just like the paper on the wall, but it's a
>start.

Class D CB was created 43 years ago. Where are all the AA and OO corps that you brag about and what are they doing?

Amateur radio has had over four decades to do something and there is little evidence that hams are doing anything.

You are just blowing smoke and talking tough. That doesn't cut it.

34. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:46:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D1FD0A8.8BEB964C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47896

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D1F7DFE.C7F6ED1B@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > No, Dave said he would work anyone who came up on his frequency, whether
> he
> > > knew their authorization or not, because he was within -his-
> authorization.
> > > He was breaking no rule. Apparently he doesn't mind knowingly help
> other
> > > people break the rules. Also, he was not responsible for sending them
> QSL
> > > cards either, as that was his QSL manager's problem.
> >
> > Not exactly, Brian. I wouldn't have answered someone calling me in a
> > pileup using, "Claymore Mine" or "Toot Toot The Cracker Wagon". At no
> > time did I help or assist anyone in breaking his government's rules.
> > Anyone who did that did it of his own volition.
>
> Dave is forever the innocent bystander, just watching as contact after
> contact is logged in his books.

I never claimed to be a bystander. If it's bystander you want, you'll
have to talk to Len Anderson. I'm actively working stations and putting
them in the log. I said: "At no time did I help or assist anyone in
breaking his government's rules. Anyone who did that did it of his own
volition." I don't think it can be made clearer than that.

> > You are right about QSLing though. I had no responsibility for QSLing
> > other than to periodically send log extracts to WA8JOC. He didn't check
> > whether a guy who worked me was within his authorized band segment
> > either. Since I logged my pileup frequency and it was printed on my QSL
> > card going to that station, there must be a load of French guys who have
> > DX cards confirming a QSO on 50.110 or 50.115. I'll bet they don't show
> > them to their local pals, huh?
>
> Why not? Were they out of band or something?

If a French station worked me out of his authorized segment, he'd hardly
be able to show a QSL on which was printed a frequency he is not
supposed to use, would he?

> > It is nice of you to be so concerned for the state of amateur radio in
> > France. Have you contacted the French PTT yet? Would you be interested
> > in extracts from my 50 MHz logs for J5, 9L, A2 and 5H or are there other
> > bands or band segments which you feel French amateurs may have used
> > illegally?
>
> Dave, just go back to being an innocent by-stander. It's what you do best
> when you find yourself in an indefensible position.

I think I'm doing a bang up job of defending my position and making your
repeated squawks about the matter look absurd.

> > You know, come to think of it, some of those French guys could have been
> > using the illegal Farnsworth method!
>
> On 50.110-115? Hi! You better go talk to Larry about them rotten SSB
> types.

CW is not prohibited on those frequencies. It fact, you'll often hear
it being used since 50.110 is the international calling frequency.

> Dave, you're really funny when your not so smug.

I'm funny even when I AM being smug.

Dave K8MN

35. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:47:25 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyjocts.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 47897

On 01 Jul 2002 03:11:42 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF.

Both "original" Citizens Radio Serviced - Class A (now GMRS) and
Class B (now abolished) - were in place before 1956 (46 years ago)
when I looked into them for the Scout Troop that I was leading.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

36. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:50:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D1FD196.E06CDF57@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47898

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D1F7F2E.4A86519B@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > > news:20020630123947.27794.00000966@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > > > In article <sDlT8.605$bP7.23190806@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
> P
> > > Burke"
> > > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >You might want to mention the latter point to Dave, who routinely
> worked
> > > > >French Hams out of band on 6M. He says he has no responsibility to
> > > refrain
> > > > >from QSOing bootleggers.
> > > >
> > > > What would be the point of having a QSO with a bootlegger? It
> wouldn't
> > > > be good for award program credit, assuming the award-giving
> organization
> > > > kept up on that sort of thing.
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >
> > > Its like having a law that makes it illegal to sell stolen property,
> then
> > > having to pass another law to make it illegal to buy stolen property.
> > >
> > > My guess, it would depend on how badly the French hams wanted his QSL
> card,
> > > doesn't it?
> >
> > Here's a trail for you to follow, Brian: Contact the DXCC desk and see
> > how many French stations working outside their authorized band segment
> > have submitted QSLs to the ARRL for awards submissions.
>
> You think they'd know? You don't even know and you're the one making > the contacts.

You still have it wrong. I don't CARE. You care. I need only be
concerned that I am operating where I am supposed to be.

> See if the
> > League can provide you information on whether these cards were accepted
> > for such credit. You may well have uncovered a massive DXCC cover up.

>
> Indeed.

It is sure to be pervasive, Brian. There must be piles of money
changing hands and I'm sure you'll see some heads roll at the French PTT
and perhaps even at the DXCC desk. You could be the key whistle blower.

Dave K8MN

37. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 03:56:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D1FD2F8.9423001A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47899

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:afo083ikr1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I already looked at the Fists page. Nothing there. I just thought with
> all
> > this 'CW' talk that someone would know about it. Considering all these
> > first class cw types that post here and all.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan, you've just uncovered the naked truth. There are no first class cw
> types here. We do have a couple of A-1 Operators (not to be confused with
> a1a operators).

I'm not sure you'd recognize the "naked truth" about much of anything associated with CW even if the words were stenciled on a red hot rettysnitch and applied to your posterior.

The CFO group apparently is no more. The group was a tongue-in-cheek poke at the British based FOC organization. FOC requires a sponsor, a number of seconding nominations and one can be black balled by any member. Worldwide membership is limited to 500.

We did work Dan during Field Day and it wasn't on SSB.

Dave K8MN

38. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 04:06:11 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0206302006.40f8549f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47900

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020630130254.27794.00000967@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> In article <1686adb6.0206292157.6fccc8fa@posting.google.com>,
> OneAngryMan@hotmail.com (One Angry Man) writes:
>
> >Answer to everyone's first question.
> >YES I AM LICENSED!
> >
> >
> >Answer to everyone's second question.
> >NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
> >
> >
> >Answer to everyone's third question.
> >YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> >ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
>
> You have every right *not* to post your callsign on the internet.

Thank you

> However,
> on THIS newsgroup, if you don't post your callsign, you also have NO right
> to credibility.

Any communication without eye to eye contact
has little to no credibility!

> Usenet is full of Anonymous Cowards, and whatever they
> have to say is important only to them, nobody else! You will not gain
> acceptance as a regular participant without positive identification.

I'm not buying beer, just sending messages
over my PC. Do I have to prove I'm 21 to do it?
Will ANY photo ID work?

> Without it, you're simply another in an endless line of unaccountable,
> non-credible whiners.

As opposed to the endless line of accountable,
credible whiners who use their names?

> This is the established paradigm on this newsgroup,
> and you cannot change it.
>

Bull! Anytime anyone answers a post I make
the established paradigm on this newsgroup
is changed and I'm recognized as being
credible to some extent. Maybe not in your
eyes but on the other hand I'm not living
my life trying to make you happy with me.

> This is the only reply you will recieve from me until you provide positive
> identification using your name and call sign.

I'd tell you my name but then I'd have to
use my MIB flashy thing on you and make
you forget who I ever was :)

>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

One Angry Man aka "B"
President and only member
No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)

Answer to everyone's first question.
YES I AM LICENSED!

No matter what K3LT thinks.

Answer to everyone's second question.
NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!

I'm in 9 land. Close enough?

Answer to everyone's third question.
YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

Hi neighbor,can you say *Identity Theft*?
I thought you could!

39. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 04:30:21 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D1FDADD.E9B3FA73@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47801

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >I couldn't calculate parallel resistances when I was 14 years
> >old and passed the Novice exam in 1952.
>
> I knew how to calculate parallel resistances when I was 12 years old. That was
> a year before I got my Novice license in 1967.

Big deal. I knew how to calculate parallel resistances before
you were even born. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

40. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 05:55:24 UTC
From: Bill Thomas <bill_thomas@hp.com>
Message-ID: <3D1FEECC.AFD5FBB4@hp.com>
Source record: 47804

See following for "Shot that almost changed the world"
http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/radiostor/porter.html

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Jim got my inquisitive juices flowing with the RF alternator link, and I
> found some more interesting stuff.
>
> I found a page:
>
> http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/radiostor/reading.html
>
> that has a lot of fascinating reading on it. I highly recommend:
>
> http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/radiostor/cw500pt1.html
>
> which is some memoir's of a Coast guard cw op. Many pages-very
> interesting stuff.
>
> You can get lost in the reading, and there's lots of good CW related
> stories there. Good nostalgia trip. Even with that, there is an
> undercurrent of "time marches on-but I'm not sure that dumping cw is
> altogether the right thing to do.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

41. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 06:48:57 UTC
From: lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
Message-ID: <4rtvhusug7vedp8o9d6rk2o89a7n1rbhfk@4ax.com>
Source record: 47805
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 30 Jun 2002 20:32:29 GMT, testicles26hb896@lineone.net (Rocket)
wrote:

>A hypothetical situation ;
>
>You are driving through the busy streets of London.
>All the lanes in your direction of travel are moving at walking pace.
>Apart from the Bus lane.
>Every 5 minutes, a bus passes you at 30mph.
>You hate the busses don't you ?

A bus travelling at 30mph? In London?
That's not hypothetical. It's mythical! :-)

Nick.

42. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 07:14:16 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0206302314.7ceb1d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47806

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<eePT8.19172$N8.19127026@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> >
> > I agree with your post with the exception of this little gem. Many folks
> (like
> > six or seven MILLION!) happen to like the 27 MHz CB.
>
>
> And the question is WHY do they like 27 MHZ? Those that would like to have
> a local, quiet 2 way radio service would not mind that it happened to be on
> VHF
> and that they now have much less noise and interference. Let's not beat
> around the
> bush: the ones that like 27 MHZ and would scream like stuck pigs are the
> ones who
> are already breaking the laws governing the CB "Service". OF COURSE, they
> wouldn't like having 27 MHZ taken away because their precious DX, their SSB
> "clubs", and their assinine "Worldwide-worldwide" foolishness would be
> eliminated.
> Either Congress will HAVE to face the music and restore funding to FCC to
> regulate
> the mess that CB is now, or they will have to face the music and take it
> away so that
> legitimate services can conduct their business unmolested. There is NO
> "right" to oper-
> ate a transmitter; it is a PRIVILEGE granted by the government. If that
> privilege is
> abused, the offender must face the consequences OR the privilege needs to be
> revoked.

Come on now, Jerry - this thread started out about freebanding CBers
operating on 10m... now you want hams and the FCC to waste time trying
to do something about CBers operating illegally within their own 11m
pigpen - by displacing them to other bands? As you've pointed out,
they don't WANT to go to VHF/UHF - that is clear, because they haven't
already(which has been my point all along regarding the bullshit urban
legend myth that CBers are operating high-powered external amplifiers
on MURS/FRS/GMRS). If the government tried to shut down 11m, and move
these lamebrains to MURS/GMRS, it would be a complete fiasco, for a
number of reasons.

Leave 'em be. Like with the W6NUT repeater... we've got 'em just
where we want 'em.

If you want to do something productive, help track down a few of these freebanders that are operating on 10m, and turn 'em in to the FCC.

But - if the pigs are staying in their pens, who gives a hoot how muddy they get... They're PIGS, that's just the way they are... you aren't going to change anything by trying to move the pigpen to the neighbor's dry little farmyard, they're STILL gonna be pigs, and they aren't gonna want to stay over at the neighbor's house, because they like the muddy conditions(DF) over in the old 11m pigpen.

Have you ever heard of NIMBY?

> Jerry
>
>
>
> Let's not punish the
> > entire town because of the misdeeds of a few (Realtively speaking.)
> miscreants.
> > Aside from the fact that it just CAN'T be done, (Heck, you can't even
> catch the
> > dopes with the kilowatt amplifiers.) you might actually achieve the
> opposite
> > reaction and turn the law-abiding folks rebellious.
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI

43. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 09:13:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701051316.13189.00000188@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 47807

In article <3D1FDADD.E9B3FA73@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>> >I couldn't calculate parallel resistances when I was 14 years
>> >old and passed the Novice exam in 1952.
>>
>> I knew how to calculate parallel resistances when I was 12 years old. That
>was
>> a year before I got my Novice license in 1967.
>
>Big deal. I knew how to calculate parallel resistances before
>you were even born. :-)

So what? I had my Advanced license about 17 years before you got your Advanced,
and my Extra license 30 years before you got one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

44. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 10:25:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <afpal8dst1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 47808

Tnx for the Qso. I was testing the antenna's. I put up a 40 and a 20 delta loop (vertical) and decided to hit FD from the house to try them out. They seem to do well.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3D1FD2F8.9423001A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:afo083ikr1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > I already looked at the Fists page. Nothing there. I just thought with
> > all
> > > this 'CW' talk that someone would know about it. Considering all these
> > > first class cw types that post here and all.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Dan, you've just uncovered the naked truth. There are no first class cw
> > types here. We do have a couple of A-1 Operators (not to be confused with
> > a1a operators).
>
> I'm not sure you'd recognize the "naked truth" about much of anything
> associated with CW even if the words were stenciled on a red hot
> rettysnitch and applied to your posterior.
>
> The CFO group apparently is no more. The group was a tongue-in-cheek
> poke at the British based FOC organization. FOC requires a sponsor, a
> number of seconding nominations and one can be black balled by any
> member. Worldwide membership is limited to 500.
>
> We did work Dan during Field Day and it wasn't on SSB.
>
> Dave K8MN

45. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 11:07:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701070702.01552.00000296@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 47809

In article <RlOT8.1040$YW3.45452567@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>There are no first class cw types here.

How would you know?

73 de Jim, N2EY

46. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 11:07:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701070704.01552.00000297@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 47810

In article <20020630231146.08659.00000333@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020630185033.16159.00000218@mb-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:
>
>>In article <20020629212613.17701.00000113@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com >>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>(K4YZ)
>>
>>> has a long record of control-dictatorship in and about
>>> US amateur radio, refusing to allow the US government to consider
>>> another citizen's commentary on FCC 98-143 way back in January
>>> 1999 (after the comment cut-off date).
>>
>>How could someone stop the US government from considering someone else's >>comments on a NPRM?
>
>You didn't read it, did you?

I have read your reply comments, K4YZ's comments, reply comments and supplement, and many others.

I don't see where anyone was

"refusing to allow the US government to consider another citizen's commentary on FCC 98-143"

>Go read it and you will understand.

I have read it. I don't understand how a single-paragraph supplement by anyone could be

"refusing to allow the US government to consider another citizen's commentary on FCC 98-143"

>>You filed reply comments right before the reply comment deadline, and they >>made it to the FCC ECFS system.
>
>According to the USPS records, I sent my full document package (paper copies >plus disk) 9 days before the deadline.

According to the FCC ECFS, that package was accepted on January 13, 1999. Just two days before the reply comment deadline. When those reply comments appeared on the ECFS system is unknown, but delays at that time were typically 48-72 hours or more, because of the volume being received by FCC.

So your reply comments did not appear for public viewing until after the January 15 deadline. You did not file comments, only reply comments.

> Are you going to be so anal retentive as to
>want evidence of the mail registry forms?!?!? [sorry, that's not on Google]

Doesn't matter when they were sent, only when they got to FCC. FCC says January 13.
>
>The deadline for ALL comments on 98-143 was extended to 15 January 1999.
>My reply to comments was date-stamped 13 January 1999 according to the
>ECFS. As far as I can see, it was perfectly legal, according to procedure
>and the FCC's own instructions.

Nobody has said any of it was not legal.
>
>What is your problem with all that?

You wrote of another's

"refusing to allow the US government to consider another citizen's commentary on FCC 98-143"

but failed to give any details as to how that could be done.

>>So any reply comments on your reply comments would
>>have to be after the deadline.
>
>So what?

Exactly!

>The poor baby's SINGLE PARAGRAPH comment was date-
>stamped 25 January 1999 by the FCC, ten days after the deadline.
>Was it an electronic filing? It couldn't have been a paper filing and be
>procedural due to the "coincidence" of commentary in this newsgroup at
>the time on 98-143.

Ah. What you meant by

"refusing to allow the US government to consider another citizen's commentary on FCC 98-143"

was a single paragraph in which K4YZ said the FCC shouldn't act on your suggestions.

>Did the poor baby address ANYTHING in my 14 pages of text? NO.
>Nothing.

Actually, he did. You wrote at length about your qualifications to reply to comments on the NPRM, and he questioned those qualifications and your motivation and attitude towards the amateur radio service. All within the rules.

>All he did was blabber that no one without a license had any
>business making any sort of comment.

So what? He also acknowledged free speech rights. Seems like you think free speech only applies to you and not to those who disagree with you.

Everyone has the right to petition the FCC. But that right carries with it the right of others to challenge the stated opinions, and the qualifications of the person presenting them.

> He didn't address one single
>part of my document...and there was plenty of text to select a topic.

He addressed your qualification to reply to comments, and your motivation and attitude to do so.

>>What, exactly, did he do that has you so wound up?
>
>That former member of the US armed forces (medical discharge)
>wants to abrogate the First Amendment rights of citizens, even
>for other US military veterans...if the citizen doesn't agree with him.

How? By a single-paragraph supplement that says, in effect, 'don't listen to X, he doesn't have the best interests of the ARS in mind'?
You gotta be kidding!
>
>Now you may not care one bit about First Amendment rights
>(you are an Amateur Extra) but I sure do.

Ah - classic ad hominem attack there.

> I took an oath to
>defend the Constitution of the United States back in 1952
>(before you were born).

So what? That's part of HISTORY. Old stuff.

>I took that oath very seriously. So did a
>LOT of US citizens, before, during, and after my time.

Including K4YZ.
>
>Do you feel that the First Amendment of the US Constitution
>doesn't apply in certain situations regarding Free Speech and
>Petitioning One's Government in regards to laws?

Sure.

But not all speech is protected. Speech which is false is not protected. Speech which is a clear threat to national security is not protected. Obscenity is not protected.

Nobody tried to impede your free speech or right to petion the FCC.

This is basic Civics 101 stuff. Obviously you don't understand that both your speech and K4YZ's were heard by the FCC.

>Why is

(N2EY)

> so wound up? He was not a party to the thread.

I'm not "wound up" at all. I'm just curious as to how an individual could be

"refusing to allow the US government to consider another citizen's commentary on FCC 98-143"

as you keep claiming.

>stay with your old 100 KW alternators and OOK CW.
>That's history.

Sounds like you want to deny MY free speech. You don't want me to point out how petty and illogical your claims are. You want those who disagree with you to just shut up. Particularly when we make valid points. Not gonna happen.

All reply comments have one basic element in common: They consist of A saying 'don't pay any heed to what B has written. B's arguments are not valid, and here's why'. Your reply comments consisted mostly of saying why the FCC should not heed the comments of one Michael P. Deignan. K4YZ's supplement to his reply comments consisted of saying why FCC should not heed your reply comments. Door swings both ways. Who is denying free speech? Look in the mirror, Mr. Anderson - it's you.

>You aren't authorized to operate either 100 KW output or at 17.2 KHz.

Neither are you.

Of course, it could be that you are not serious about any of this. Seems to be the only logical conclusion.

Jim, N2EY

47. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 12:40:56 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D204DD8.E2E83BF2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47812

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >Big deal. I knew how to calculate parallel resistances before
> >you were even born. :-)
>
> So what? I had my Advanced license about 17 years before you got your Advanced,
> and my Extra license 30 years before you got one.

So what? My Conditional license granted me all amateur frequency, mode, and
power privileges in 1953 before you were even born.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

48. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 12:58:00 UTC
From: Valentine Germann <vgermann@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ui0ja17rshpg61@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47811

N2EY wrote, in reply to yet another of the THOUSANDS
(maybe MILLIONS) of recent character streams of drivel
from "Len over 21":

>Of course, it could be that you are not serious about any of this.
>Seems to be the only logical conclusion.

Finally figured it out, eh? The poster in question, this "Len,"
(who seems to be little other than a broken down old coot with
nothing but time [and his own peter] in his hands), LIVES solely to
post to this newsgroup . . . because people who seem to have a
brain in their heads continue, for some reason, to RESPOND to
his triple-distilled crapola!

I don't GET it!

Please, DON'T RESPOND to this guy anymore!

If YOU stop . . . he will stop . . . eventually.

Sigh.

Val Germann
Columbia, MIssouri
KC0MUB

**

49. Re: Preservation of standards, before it's too late

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:01:29 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3D20551B.6C1B02AA@uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 47813
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

One Angry Man wrote:

> Bloody jerk! It's a shame I can't do real good drawings
> with plain text! Or else I would draw a hand showing you
> (TX & RX News) a "V" for victory sign with the palm of that
> hand facing AWAY from you. Note, for those here in the U.S.A.
> not familiar with UK customs, that sign is done here with
> just ONE finger in the upright position not two!
>

```
_
{ }
| |
| |
.-! !-.
.-! ! ! !-.
! ! ! ;
\ ;
\ ;
! ;
! :
! |
```

That the sort of thing you mean?

vy 73

Andy, M3ABC

P.s. you need to use a fixed width font to get the benefit.

50. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:13:31 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D20557B.624B00EE@psu.edu>
Source record: 47814

CAM wrote:
>
> Fred is the above mentioned VEC who meets with the FCC and does work that
> the FCC used to do.

Go to the ARRL website and read their VE manual. They have some paragraphs in the beginning that should clarify the situation.

But a short summary is that in the 1980's, the VEC's were created to replace the system in use at that time by the FCC. The VE's coordinate through the VEC's

The VE's volunteer effort is to the VEC. If you have to assign the "boss" position, assign it to the VEC.

There is plenty of info there related to any payments and fees and where they go.

If you want to insist that the VE's work for the FCC because they are doing work that the FCC used to do, perhaps I am working for the person who used to do my job?

The job has changed. The VE's are working for the VEC's.

- Mike KB3EIA -

51. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:17:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0207010517.6c463f56@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47815

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals
on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of June 30, 2002:

Novice - 37,653 (decrease of 11,676)
Tech - 241,729 (increase of 36,335)
Tech Plus - 77,881 (decrease of 50,979)
General - 138,555 (increase of 25,878)
Advanced - 85,134 (decrease of 14,648)
Extra - 102,167 (increase of 23,417)
Total - 683,119 (increase of 8,327)

73 de Jim, N2EY

52. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:19:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pFYT8.1183$Gm6.54201545@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47816

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D1FD2F8.9423001A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Dan, you've just uncovered the naked truth. There are no first class cw
> > types here. We do have a couple of A-1 Operators (not to be confused
with
> > a1a operators).
>
> I'm not sure you'd recognize the "naked truth" about much of anything
> associated with CW even if the words were stenciled on a red hot
> rettysnitch and applied to your posterior.

There's that bizarre fixation with my posterior again. Get some help.

53. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:20:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8GYT8.1184$Ls6.54540545@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47817

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701070702.01552.00000296@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <RlOT8.1040$YW3.45452567@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >There are no first class cw types here.
>
> How would you know?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

List them.

54. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:23:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yJYT8.1187$vu6.54634734@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47818

"Valentine Germann" <vgermann@socket.net> wrote in message
news:ui0ja17rshpg61@corp.supernews.com...
>
> N2EY wrote, in reply to yet another of the THOUSANDS
> (maybe MILLIONS) of recent character streams of drivel
> from "Len over 21":
>
> >Of course, it could be that you are not serious about any of this.
> >Seems to be the only logical conclusion.
>
> Finally figured it out, eh?

Val, how's the code coming? Good Luck, Brian

55. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:27:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ZMYT8.1189$2q6.54379730@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47819

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D1FF4B0.1000403@adelphia.net...

> You can get lost in the reading, and there's lots of good CW related
> stories there. Good nostalgia trip. Even with that, there is an
> undercurrent of "time marches on-but I'm not sure that dumping cw is
> altogether the right thing to do.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I agree. The QPC and the VEC need to create another test element called
"history and nostalgia," require a perfect score, and make it more valuable
than all the rest of amateur radio combined.

56. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:33:45 UTC
From: GaryD
Message-ID: <3d205abd$1_3@lon-news.intensive.net>
Source record: 47820
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TX & RX News" <@> wrote in message
news:3d1db124_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> In my recent international QSO's, I've been
> putting to my respondent that he ought to ignore calls
> from M3's, because they are little more than CB
> licences, and are going to bring the hobby into
> disrepute.
>
> When I have described the two "tests" it is necessary
> to pass, a sympathetic response has been received.
>
> Is it time, now, for all genuine Hams, whether in the UK, or
> elsewhere, to show their contempt for the M3 by
> refusing to QSO with them?
>
>
>

Gareth,

In answer to your question - No. It'll never be the time.

Also, judging by your other postings using many different identities, I don't believe that you are in possession of all of the facts surrounding what is contained within the Foundation License course, and what is involved in taking the assessments and the exam.

Furthermore, I cannot see how inciting overseas radio amateurs to not communicate with entry level UK radio amateurs could possibly improve matters.

All it will achieve is to prevent those entry level UK radio amateurs who want to from progressing to higher levels.

Basically, it is pointless to even suggest taking this course of action, but then one can see that most of your postings are pointless and devisive.

...and I'm sure that if you do indeed reply to this posting, it will be just as pointless as all of the others.

You claim to be helping the hobby, but you are having just the opposite effect, and I believe that you are fully aware of it.

You seem to be constantly attention seeking for one reason or another...

57. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:45:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <V1ZT8.1198$lz6.54950950@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47821

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D1FD0A8.8BEB964C@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D1F7DFE.C7F6ED1B@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > No, Dave said he would work anyone who came up on his frequency,
whether
> > he
> > > > knew their authorization or not, because he was within -his-
> > authorization.
> > > > He was breaking no rule. Apparently he doesn't mind knowingly help
> > other
> > > > people break the rules. Also, he was not responsible for sending
them
> > QSL
> > > > cards either, as that was his QSL manager's problem.
> > >
> > > Not exactly, Brian. I wouldn't have answered someone calling me in a
> > > pileup using, "Claymore Mine" or "Toot Toot The Cracker Wagon". At no
> > > time did I help or assist anyone in breaking his government's rules.
> > > Anyone who did that did it of his own volition.
> >
> > Dave is forever the innocent bystander, just watching as contact after
> > contact is logged in his books.
>
> I never claimed to be a bystander. If it's bystander you want, you'll
> have to talk to Len Anderson. I'm actively working stations and putting
> them in the log. I said: "At no time did I help or assist anyone in
> breaking his government's rules. Anyone who did that did it of his own
> volition." I don't think it can be made clearer than that.

Dave, impossible. A little logic is required here. If they're operating
out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an innocent
bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r rules.

> > > You are right about QSLing though. I had no responsibility for QSLing
> > > other than to periodically send log extracts to WA8JOC. He didn't
check
> > > whether a guy who worked me was within his authorized band segment
> > > either. Since I logged my pileup frequency and it was printed on my
QSL
> > > card going to that station, there must be a load of French guys who

have
> > > DX cards confirming a QSO on 50.110 or 50.115. I'll bet they don't
show
> > > them to their local pals, huh?
> >
> > Why not? Were they out of band or something?
>
> If a French station worked me out of his authorized segment, he'd hardly
> be able to show a QSL on which was printed a frequency he is not
> supposed to use, would he?

Dave, why not? Is it now illegal to show off postcards in France? The
illegal act was making the emission out of band, not showing off a postcard.

> > > It is nice of you to be so concerned for the state of amateur radio in
> > > France. Have you contacted the French PTT yet? Would you be
interested
> > > in extracts from my 50 MHz logs for J5, 9L, A2 and 5H or are there
other
> > > bands or band segments which you feel French amateurs may have used
> > > illegally?
> >
> > Dave, just go back to being an innocent by-stander. It's what you do
best
> > when you find yourself in an indefensible position.
>
> I think I'm doing a bang up job of defending my position and making your
> repeated squawks about the matter look absurd.

You don't have a leg to stand on. Saying you do doesn't make it so.

> > > You know, come to think of it, some of those French guys could have
been
> > > using the illegal Farnsworth method!
> >
> > On 50.110-115? Hi! You better go talk to Larry about them rotten SSB
> > types.
>
> CW is not prohibited on those frequencies. It fact, you'll often hear
> it being used since 50.110 is the international calling frequency.

So were they using Farnsworth, real Morse Code, or SSB?

> > Dave, you're really funny when your not so smug.
>
> I'm funny even when I AM being smug.

You're a real funny guy, but please, no more comments about my posterior.

58. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:46:19 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afpmfbgok0@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 47822

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> > >Big deal. I knew how to calculate parallel resistances before
> > >you were even born. :-)
> >
> > So what? I had my Advanced license about 17 years before you got your Advanced,
> > and my Extra license 30 years before you got one.
>
> So what? My Conditional license granted me all amateur frequency, mode, and
> power privileges in 1953 before you were even born.

Yep. So did mine. But when FCC woke up and corrected that mistake a few
years later you didn't have what it took to get it all back. Till the
Grate Dumdown.

59. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:49:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <J5ZT8.1200$EB6.55077087@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47823

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D1FD196.E06CDF57@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > See if the
> > > League can provide you information on whether these cards were
accepted
> > > for such credit. You may well have uncovered a massive DXCC cover up.
>
> >
> > Indeed.
>
> It is sure to be pervasive, Brian. There must be piles of money
> changing hands and I'm sure you'll see some heads roll at the French PTT
> and perhaps even at the DXCC desk. You could be the key whistle blower.
>
> Dave K8MN

You overestimate the importance of your misdeeds.

60. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:53:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <h9ZT8.1204$4C6.55100662@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47824

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afodil$n05$0@208.207.71.129...
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > In article <sDlT8.605$bP7.23190806@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >You might want to mention the latter point to Dave, who routinely
worked
> > >French Hams out of band on 6M. He says he has no responsibility to
refrain
> > >from QSOing bootleggers.
> >
> > What would be the point of having a QSO with a bootlegger? It wouldn't
> > be good for award program credit, assuming the award-giving organization
> > kept up on that sort of thing.
>
> Poor Brian. The more he spouts off the more he illustrates his
> ignorance. He has no clue to the difference between a bootlegger and a
> licensed station who happens to wander outside his authorizations. I'm
> sure the French version of the FCC knows. They may not be too concerned,
> though. Maybe the French hams know it.

Is that what you call it when your dial reads 27.575 MHz? You just wandered
outside your authorization?

Perhaps the FCC has another unwritten rule that makes it OK.

61. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:54:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afpmuq$i2b$0@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 47825

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D1FD2F8.9423001A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > Dan, you've just uncovered the naked truth. There are no first class cw
> > > types here. We do have a couple of A-1 Operators (not to be confused
> with
> > > a1a operators).
> >
> > I'm not sure you'd recognize the "naked truth" about much of anything
> > associated with CW even if the words were stenciled on a red hot
> > rettysnitch and applied to your posterior.
>
> There's that bizarre fixation with my posterior again.

Nothing bizarre about it. It's the natural spot for a boot to strike. To
say nothing of a redhot rettysnitch.

62. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 13:57:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afpn3i$i2b$1@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 47826

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020701070702.01552.00000296@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > In article <RlOT8.1040$YW3.45452567@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >There are no first class cw types here.
> >
> > How would you know?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> List them.

See Jim? If you aren't on his List you aren't anywhere. Of course the
fact that he couldn't recognize a first class CW op if he tripped over
one has nothing to do with it.
Just more drivel from The Gadfly.

63. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 14:02:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afpndniuv0@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 47827

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
A little logic is required here.

There's the understatement of the century. A "little" logic is all
Gadfly can ever muster.

If they're operating
> out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an innocent
> bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r rules.

That "little" logic diminishes with each passing second. Dave, you're
completely responsible for that fraudulent French station keying his
rig to answer your CQ, or to call you first, whichever the case happened
to be. Accept your responsibility like a man, fess up and throw yourself
on the mercies of Judge Riley's court.

64. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 14:19:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <KxZT8.1208$yJ6.55545962@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47828

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afpmuq$i2b$0@208.206.142.111...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D1FD2F8.9423001A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > > Dan, you've just uncovered the naked truth. There are no first
class cw
> > > > types here. We do have a couple of A-1 Operators (not to be
confused
> > with
> > > > a1a operators).
> > >
> > > I'm not sure you'd recognize the "naked truth" about much of anything
> > > associated with CW even if the words were stenciled on a red hot
> > > rettysnitch and applied to your posterior.
> >
> > There's that bizarre fixation with my posterior again.
>
> Nothing bizarre about it. It's the natural spot for a boot to strike. To
> say nothing of a redhot rettysnitch.

Dave has mentioned my posterior time and again. Now you both seem to be
into torture with the red hot poker.

And I figured a little girl like you would try to kick me in the front.

65. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 14:22:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1BZT8.1210$yK6.55593061@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47829

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afpn3i$i2b$1@208.206.142.111...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020701070702.01552.00000296@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > > In article <RlOT8.1040$YW3.45452567@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian P
> > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >There are no first class cw types here.
> > >
> > > How would you know?
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > List them.
>
> See Jim? If you aren't on his List you aren't anywhere. Of course the
> fact that he couldn't recognize a first class CW op if he tripped over
> one has nothing to do with it.
> Just more drivel from The Gadfly.

Dick, Jim is always asking for proof. Let him answer the question.

66. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 14:27:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NFZT8.1213$6L6.55629885@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47830

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afpmfbgok0@208.206.142.111...
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > So what? My Conditional license granted me all amateur frequency, mode,
and
> > power privileges in 1953 before you were even born.
>
> Yep. So did mine. But when FCC woke up and corrected that mistake a few
> years later you didn't have what it took to get it all back. Till the
> Grate Dumdown.

Dick, I don't think the FCC corrects mistakes. At least I see no evidence
of it.

Let's see if you can correct a couple of your own: its "Great" not Grate,
and its dumB, not dum.

67. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 14:32:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hKZT8.1216$qH6.55408880@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47831

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afpndniuv0@208.206.142.111...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> A little logic is required here.
>
>
> There's the understatement of the century. A "little" logic is all
> Gadfly can ever muster.
>
Dick, I don't think you're up to it. If a little is all that's required,
why should you have to struggle with a lot?
>
> If they're operating
> > out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an innocent
> > bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r rules.
>
> That "little" logic diminishes with each passing second. Dave, you're
> *completely* responsible for that fraudulent French station keying his
> rig to answer your CQ, or to call you first, whichever the case happened
> to be. Accept your responsibility like a man, fess up and throw yourself
> on the mercies of Judge Riley's court.

As Dave works bootlegger after bootlegger and word gets out that he's even
QSLing them, the line just keeps getting longer.

Why would he do something like that?

68. Re: Preservation of standards, before it's too late

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 14:35:44 UTC
From: michael savage <michael@profitness.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <afppkdkhp1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 47833
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:3D20551B.6C1B02AA@uwe.ac.uk...
> One Angry Man wrote:
>
> > Bloody jerk! It's a shame I can't do real good drawings
> > with plain text! Or else I would draw a hand showing you
> > (TX & RX News) a "V" for victory sign with the palm of that
> > hand facing AWAY from you. Note, for those here in the U.S.A.
> > not familiar with UK customs, that sign is done here with
> > just ONE finger in the upright position not two!
> >
>
>
>
> _
> { }
> | |
> | |
> .-! !-.
> .-! ! ! !-.
> ! ! ! ;
> \ ;
> \ ;
> ! ;
> ! :
> ! |
>
>
>
> That the sort of thing you mean?
>
> vy 73
>
> Andy, M3ABC
>
> P.s. you need to use a fixed width font to get the benefit.

So then after reading all these posts, I can assume that most of you Hams
are in favour of the M3 Persons. I really don't see what all the fuss is
about, I have tried to do the full licence but I failed (twice) My Brother
has been a ham since the age of 15 his callsign is GI8WAZ,
Yes I an from N.Ireland but does that give the so called snobs on this N.G
to call me and others Turnip Heads. I can name at least 10 hams in my area

of Leeds still using C.B . I do not use it as I do not like to listen to music and bad language all day.All I want is to take part in Ray Net.
So if any of you are in favour of the m3 please help us , we are not allways going to be m3. I want a full licence but I have to start further down the ladder and work my way up. As for you snobs

TUFF TITTIES OLD MAN What What

Regards

Michael Savage. G.C
P.S for someone who is meant to be a turnip head, the G.C is for George Cross, which was earned, so as for standards some of you have not got a clue.

Regards,
Michael.

69. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 14:38:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701103827.19591.00001128@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47832

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 06/30/02 23:11 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020630231146.08659.00000333@mb-mo.aol.com>

>That former member of the US armed forces (medical discharge)
>wants to abrogate the First Amendment rights of citizens, even
>for other US military veterans...if the citizen doesn't agree with him.
>
>Now you may not care one bit about First Amendment rights
>(you are an Amateur Extra) but I sure do.

Lennie, What REALLY sickens me about the likes of you is that as soon as YOUR opinion is differed with, you run and "hide" behind a perceived violation of your "rights".

Your rights were not violated in any way, shape or form.

All comments were made in an open, public forum.

Your comments were read right along with the other peoples, as they are here.

>I took an oath to
>defend the Constitution of the United States back in 1952
>(before you were born). I took that oath very seriously. So did a
>LOT of US citizens, before, during, and after my time.

And now you are cowering behind it...AND wrapping yourself up in the same "patriotic bunting" that you accuse others of doing.

None of it will hide the stench of your lying and ranting in here.

As for my comments to the FCC, I have apologized to you in this forum before, and you ahve never acknowledged it, ergo I can only assume you LIKE having something to whine about.

So get over it, Putz.

Steve

70. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 14:44:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701104412.19591.00001129@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47834

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 06/30/02 23:11 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020630231146.08659.00000333@mb-mo.aol.com>

>Why is (Jim Miccolis) so wound up? He was not a party to the thread.

Sure he is. Lennie.

By your own assertions in past postings to RRAP, youhave defended YOUR "right" to chime in on others tit-for-tat as being justified since these words appear in a public forum, they are "game" for public comment.

Your said it, Lennie, now YOU get to live by them...Or is this one MORE case of "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do"...?!?!

Sheesh..what a PUTZ!

71. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 14:55:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701105508.19591.00001130@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47835

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: "Valentine Germann" vgermann@socket.net
>Date: 07/01/02 08:58 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ui0ja17rshpg61@corp.supernews.com>

>If YOU stop . . . he will stop . . . eventually.

I disagree, Val. If you follow Lennie, he still likes to trash the NG with his tripe even when being completely ignored. The only thing that will "stop" Lennie will be cardiopulmonary arrest.

If you follow him back far enough on Google, he used to post to other NG's, and was even nice about it. Had some insightful things to say, but as soon as RRAP was created, became very spiteful and demeaning. Anyone who was anything he was not, in this case licensed Amateurs, (and especially Extra class licensee's...the epitome of Amateur Radio) catches his rath if they dare to tread on his "turf".

Unfortunately, in my profession (Nursing) it is not uncommon at all to see people who are at end-stage in life begin to react like Lennie has. Even people who may have been reasonably productive in life begin to lash out as they realize that life is almost over for them, and they may not have accomplished all that they set out to do.

That is ever-so-evident in Lennie's posts. I am sure that Lennie has envisioned himself as some sort of mentor ala-Doug DeMaw, W1FB (now SK), but for whatever reason, his "help" was not as readily accepted, and now he's lashing out at those who "dissed" him.

The really disheartening thing is that it's easily "fixed" in Lennie's case. In some of his earlier posts in other NG's, it actually sounds as if he had a decent listening post of his own. The only thing that keeps it from being a radio station instead of a listening post is his own pride and 30 minutes at a VE session.

Too bad for him.

73

Steve, K4YZ

72. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 15:05:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afpr4h$q91$0@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 47836

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:afodil$n05$0@208.207.71.129...
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <sDlT8.605$bP7.23190806@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke"
> > > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >You might want to mention the latter point to Dave, who routinely
> worked
> > > >French Hams out of band on 6M. He says he has no responsibility to
> refrain
> > > >from QSOing bootleggers.
> > >
> > > What would be the point of having a QSO with a bootlegger? It wouldn't
> > > be good for award program credit, assuming the award-giving organization
> > > kept up on that sort of thing.
> >
> > Poor Brian. The more he spouts off the more he illustrates his
> > ignorance. He has no clue to the difference between a bootlegger and a
> > licensed station who happens to wander outside his authorizations. I'm
> > sure the French version of the FCC knows. They may not be too concerned,
> > though. Maybe the French hams know it.
>
> Is that what you call it when your dial reads 27.575 MHz? You just wandered
> outside your authorization?
>

Unlike yours, my dial NEVER reads 27.575. What frequency is that, one
of your favorites?

> Perhaps the FCC has another unwritten rule that makes it OK.

If you don't believe that FCC views a ham operating outside his license
authorization, but still within an authorized ham band, as opposed to
operating outside of any authorized ham band, to be two vastly different
cases, it's because you just don't know any better. Mind you, it's a
violation of rules, but a vastly different level of violation. Of course
to your trolling mind, driving 56 in a 55 zone is jail time. So put
everyone in jail.

You insist that Dave is responsible for some French ham working outside HIS autoirizations just because Dave did talk to him. That makes you a blathering idiot. Yet again.

73. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 15:18:09 UTC
From: Robert Abrams <at321@autotelecomms321.org>
Message-ID: <afprrqigm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 47837
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Torbj&#65533;rn M." <t_m@online.no> wrote in message
news:WkVT8.1100$eY6.27238@news2.ulv.nextra.no...
> I am aware of the area 26-26.985 and 27.405-27.995 used for "CB'ers" with
> modified HAM-rigs. But is there any other
> frequencies further down that are used for these purposes ???
> NOT pirate-broadcasting around 6300 khz ! I am talking cb'ing here
ONLY...!
>
> Thanks
>
> T.
>

Unlicensed CB-type communications is commonly found in the
5,6,8,10,12, & 15 mHz bands. Most of it originates from the
USA and is land based communications. HF comms equipment
is sold throughout the U.S., and maritime equipment is particularly
popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that
they will not have to deal with it. Meanwhile, the problem grows
larger each year. My company is licensed to use HF freqs., and we
are jammed all the time by HF freebanders in the 6, 8 & 10 mhz
bands.

Robert Abrams

74. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 15:27:10 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3D207740.67F2B8BD@uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 47838
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Terry wrote:

> Indeed a Code free HF licence has been assumed to be coming for years.
> The FL M3 isn't it
>
> I think most would agree that until recently the assumption was that a second
> written test
> like the US Advanced or Extra class exams would be introduced here
> Entitling RAE passers, to advance to an HF licence
>

No advance is required, merely the use of an antiquated code. Using
this code seems to have a detrimental effect on the mental faculties
of the victims, as witnessed by your drivellings.

Andy, M3ABC

75. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 15:47:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D20799C.38599A9A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47839

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D1FD0A8.8BEB964C@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D1F7DFE.C7F6ED1B@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > No, Dave said he would work anyone who came up on his frequency,
> whether
> > > he
> > > > > knew their authorization or not, because he was within -his-
> > > authorization.
> > > > > He was breaking no rule. Apparently he doesn't mind knowingly help
> > > other
> > > > > people break the rules. Also, he was not responsible for sending
> them
> > > QSL
> > > > > cards either, as that was his QSL manager's problem.
> > > > >
> > > > Not exactly, Brian. I wouldn't have answered someone calling me in a
> > > > pileup using, "Claymore Mine" or "Toot Toot The Cracker Wagon". At no
> > > > time did I help or assist anyone in breaking his government's rules.
> > > > Anyone who did that did it of his own volition.
> > > >
> > > Dave is forever the innocent bystander, just watching as contact after
> > > contact is logged in his books.
> >
> > I never claimed to be a bystander. If it's bystander you want, you'll
> > have to talk to Len Anderson. I'm actively working stations and putting
> > them in the log. I said: "At no time did I help or assist anyone in
> > breaking his government's rules. Anyone who did that did it of his own
> > volition." I don't think it can be made clearer than that.
>
> Dave, impossible. A little logic is required here. If they're operating
> out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an innocent
> bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r rules.

If a little logic is required, try applying it. I don't assist someone
by operating where I am permitted to operate. The individual who
decides to key his mike or operate his morse key breaks his country's
regulations by doing so in a band segment where he is not permitted.
```

He does that whether I reply to him or not.

> > > > You are right about QSLing though. I had no responsibility for QSLing
> > > > other than to periodically send log extracts to WA8JOC. He didn't
> check
> > > > whether a guy who worked me was within his authorized band segment
> > > > either. Since I logged my pileup frequency and it was printed on my
> QSL
> > > > card going to that station, there must be a load of French guys who
> have
> > > > DX cards confirming a QSO on 50.110 or 50.115. I'll bet they don't
> show
> > > > them to their local pals, huh?
> > >
> > > Why not? Were they out of band or something?
> >
> > If a French station worked me out of his authorized segment, he'd hardly
> > be able to show a QSL on which was printed a frequency he is not
> > supposed to use, would he?
>
> Dave, why not? Is it now illegal to show off postcards in France? The
> illegal act was making the emission out of band, not showing off a postcard.

If you robbed a convenience store and got away with it, you'd not likely
be showing your pals an 8 x 10 glossy of you doing so. If you are a ham
who has worked some DX outside your authorized band segment, you'd not
likely wave about a QSL card which proves that you broke your country's
regs.

>
> > > > It is nice of you to be so concerned for the state of amateur radio in
> > > > France. Have you contacted the French PTT yet? Would you be
> interested
> > > > in extracts from my 50 MHz logs for J5, 9L, A2 and 5H or are there
> other
> > > > bands or band segments which you feel French amateurs may have used
> > > > illegally?
> > >
> > > Dave, just go back to being an innocent by-stander. It's what you do
> best
> > > when you find yourself in an indefensible position.
> >
> > I think I'm doing a bang up job of defending my position and making your
> > repeated squawks about the matter look absurd.
>
> You don't have a leg to stand on. Saying you do doesn't make it so.

No, being right when I say it makes it so. Are you personally
responsible for every goober who breaks an amateur radio reg?

> > > > You know, come to think of it, some of those French guys could have
> been

> > > > using the illegal Farnsworth method!
> > >
> > > On 50.110-115? Hi! You better go talk to Larry about them rotten SSB
> > > types.
> > >
> > CW is not prohibited on those frequencies. It fact, you'll often hear
> > it being used since 50.110 is the international calling frequency.
>
> So were they using Farnsworth, real Morse Code, or SSB?

In a nutshell, the answer is YES. They WERE using morse using the
Farnsworth method, "real" morse code and SSB.

> > > Dave, you're really funny when your not so smug.
> > >
> > I'm funny even when I AM being smug.
>
> You're a real funny guy, but please, no more comments about my posterior.

My comment wasn't designed to titillate you, Brian. If it made you feel
tingly all over, try to control yourself. I'm not responsible for your
misinterpretation of anything I write any more than I'm responsible for
the illegal operation by French hams.

Dave K8MN

76. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 15:50:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D207A53.CB2C1CF7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47840

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D1FD196.E06CDF57@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > See if the
> > > > League can provide you information on whether these cards were
> accepted
> > > > for such credit. You may well have uncovered a massive DXCC cover up.
> >
> > >
> > > Indeed.
> >
> > It is sure to be pervasive, Brian. There must be piles of money
> > changing hands and I'm sure you'll see some heads roll at the French PTT
> > and perhaps even at the DXCC desk. You could be the key whistle blower.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You overestimate the importance of your misdeeds.

Not at all, Brian. You misinterpret my deeds as misdeeds and you
certainly have overestimated their importance. In the course of doing
so, you've provided lots of laughs.

Dave K8MN

77. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 15:55:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D207B8F.5D241374@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47841

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> A little logic is required here.
>
> There's the understatement of the century. A "little" logic is all
> Gadfly can ever muster.
>
> If they're operating
> > out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an innocent
> > bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r rules.
>
> That "little" logic diminishes with each passing second. Dave, you're
> *completely* responsible for that fraudulent French station keying his
> rig to answer your CQ, or to call you first, whichever the case happened
> to be. Accept your responsibility like a man, fess up and throw yourself
> on the mercies of Judge Riley's court.

I enjoy seeing Brian's self-righteous indignity over things like this
and using the Farnsworth method to test amateur license applicants.
I note that he has still not contacted the French PTT or the FCC over
the respective "issues".

Dave K8MN

78. Re: Preservation of standards, before it's too late

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 16:03:53 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <tbu0iukkqf9h2j0o1vumvsea6btbjpoiot@4ax.com>
Source record: 47842
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 29 Jun 2002 23:48:36 -0700, OneAngryMan@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
wrote:

>Or else I would draw a hand showing you
>(TX & RX News) a "V" for victory sign with the palm of that
>hand facing AWAY from you. Note, for those here in the U.S.A.
>not familiar with UK customs, that sign is done here with
>just ONE finger in the upright position not two!

Presumably because Yanks have smaller orifices?

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

79. Re: Preservation of standards, before it's too late

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 16:03:54 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <apu0iuc410q1cquecptdld4rqgru7nvhpm@4ax.com>
Source record: 47843
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 15:35:44 +0100, "michael savage"
<michael@profitness.fsnet.co.uk> wrote:

> I can name at least 10 hams in my area
>of Leeds still using C.B . I do not use it as I do not like to listen to
>music and bad language all day.

Then perhaps you can understand why some of us are not keen to import
CBers and their filthy habits into amateur radio?

>All I want is to take part in Ray Net.

Very commendable, I'm sure. However, an M3 licence will not help you
there .... M3's are not allowed to carry traffic on behalf of user
services.

Why do you not try the Novice (Intermediate) Licence Course?

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

80. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 16:06:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D207DF7.F3FEAEBF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47844

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:afpndn$iuv$0@208.206.142.111...
> >
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > A little logic is required here.
> >
> >
> > There's the understatement of the century. A "little" logic is all
> > Gadfly can ever muster.
> >
> Dick, I don't think you're up to it. If a little is all that's required,
> why should you have to struggle with a lot?
> >
> > If they're operating
> > > out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an innocent
> > > bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r rules.
> >
> > That "little" logic diminishes with each passing second. Dave, you're
> > *completely* responsible for that fraudulent French station keying his
> > rig to answer your CQ, or to call you first, whichever the case happened
> > to be. Accept your responsibility like a man, fess up and throw yourself
> > on the mercies of Judge Riley's court.
>
> As Dave works bootlegger after bootlegger and word gets out that he's even
> QSLing them, the line just keeps getting longer.
>
> Why would he do something like that?

Yeah, wait until it comes out that I've even worked military stations
WAR and NAA while I was on the ham bands.

Dave K8MN
```

81. Re: Preservation of standards, before it's too late

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 16:26:57 UTC
From: my_callsign@btopenworld.com
Message-ID: <afpvsh$9jm$3@venus.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47845
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <afppkdkhp1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>, michael savage, please excuse
any mis-understanding, but I think you said at least in part....
>
>
>All I want is to take part in Ray Net.
>

You should be ok. However I heard that M3's cannot pass third party traffic.
Don't know if this is true, subject to change or it was missed out in error.
Might be worth checking if this is your only reason for going for M3. Guess
there are ways around the problem if it is one (club call perhaps)

--
73
G1LVN
mail replies: replace 'my_callsign' with my actual callsign

82. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 16:39:13 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <RA%T8.70769$UT.4904718@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47846

>> I originally trolled:

>> I agree with Cecil and Brian that Farnsworth-spaced
>> Morse keying/coding is just too loosey-goosey for
>> accurate and complete clock recovery. It really sucks
>> as a digital protocol and should be banned from the
>> airwaves as something that "sends the wrong message" to
>> our young people about strictly observing rules. We start
>> out with sloppy Morse and end up with WorldCom fraud.
>> America! Wake up before it's too late!!!

> Brian, N0IMD, responded:

> I don't advocate banning any kind of keying or mode
> use on the air...

> I only advocate that when an Exam is given with the
> intent to accept or deny a person access to the amateur
> radio service, that it be explicity understood by:
> a. the Government,
> b. the Volunteer Examiners, and
> c. the Examinees.
>
> To have "loosy goosey" standard(s) makes it corrupt.

Sorry for the mis-attribution, Brian. I should have
made it clear that I agree with your point about
imprecise code-testing standards. BUT I, and only I,
think America is "at risk" from sloppy CW.

Seriously, I think this Farnsworth/Standard Morse
thing was why I failed a number of code tests way
back when. I was making my own code tapes for
practice in the car on my way to and from work then.
The program I used (on my old TRS-80) did have
provisions for varying the word and character
spacing. But I didn't use them since I thought
I would be getting "standard" Morse. When I sat
down to copy at the exam, their code always
sounded "faster". (It also was lousier, being
sent on a 98-cent piezo buzzer with a rough, 2 kHz
tone. My own tapes used the 700 Hz sidetone
from my Icom IC-720 that had very smooth keying.)

These experiences convinced me that if the FCC was
going to entrust its licensing tests to "amateurs",

then they needed to spell out the testing procedures
in painstaking detail in Part 97, including the
mandating of informing the examinee of all waivers,
accomodations and options available to him or her.
And being able to request variable speed and tone
is right on the top of the list.

Hope this clears things up.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

83. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 16:40:29 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <fl01iuge6oqq2tp7vtfdhsf03pb4m7aaio@4ax.com>
Source record: 47847
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 15:27:10 GMT, Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
wrote:

>No advance is required, merely the use of an antiquated code. Using
>this code seems to have a detrimental effect on the mental faculties
>of the victims, as witnessed by your drivellings.
>
>Andy, M3ABC

That's funny. I distinctly remember working an 'M3ABC' on 80 metres
using 'an antiquated code'!

Hmm ... must have been a pirate!

:-)
73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

84. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 16:50:46 UTC
From: my_callsign@btopenworld.com
Message-ID: <afq196$9jm$5@venus.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47848
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3d1e9a95_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>, TX & RX News,
please excuse any mis-understanding, but I think you said at least in part....
>
> The M3 is a travesty.

Oh splendid. I've always liked a good drag show.

73
G1LVN
mail replies: replace 'my_callsign' with my actual callsign

85. Re: Preservation of standards, before it's too late

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 17:13:53 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D208DD1.23C5FBA6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47849
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Andy Cowley wrote:
> _
> { }
> | |
> | |
> .-! !-.
> .-! ! ! !-.
> ! ! ! ;
> \ ;
> \ ;
> ! ;
> ! :
> ! |
>

The new World Trade Center Building?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

86. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 17:17:59 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D208EC7.F124FD1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47850

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > So what? My Conditional license granted me all amateur frequency, mode, and
> > power privileges in 1953 before you were even born.
>
> Yep. So did mine. But when FCC woke up and corrected that mistake a few
> years later you didn't have what it took to get it all back.

Why should I want it all back? I wasn't even on HF from 1971-1987. By
1987, I had the Advanced and never needed to do anything that I couldn't
do with an Advanced license. Why obtain a higher license than needed?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

87. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 17:25:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48001

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20020630231143.08659.00000330@mb-mo.aol.com>...

> CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago.

That is incorrect.

Class A and Class B CB (UHF) were created in the USA in 1948. That's
54 years ago. Class C and D CB (27 MHz) were created in the USA in
1958.

Now be a big boy and admit your mistake. ;-)

> Hams of that time
> were generally incensed at this terrible intrusion into their precious
> spectrum (the small 11m band below the big 10m band) and, worst
> of all (!), the FCC taking it away from them! Oh, horrors, the evil
> that befell hams! :-)

Should the hams of the time have simply allowed the reallocation of
the band without protest?

Hams dislike being blamed for RFI caused by CB users. Hams who have
earned licenses and comply with the rules also dislike being
categorized with unlicensed folks who break the rules. It's a bit like
serious astronomers being confused with astrologers.

> >I'll tell you what the more likely scenario is, if you can't contain these
> >jokers to 11m... the FCC will have no choice but to "expand the pigpen", and
> >open 10m up as an unlicensed service.
>
> It seems to me that a group of dedicated hams could just as well
> exercise their talents and will by organizing and DF-ing on the
> freebanders, logging those times and frequencies and content,
> then informing the FCC.

Sounds like a plan. But it is important to note the source of a
problem, rather than simply attack the symptoms. Many of the invaders
are not in the USA at all.

> Back in half the time that CB has been around there were no
> cell phones on VHF or UHF. At the end of December 2001
> there were no less than 129 million cell phone subscribers in
> the USA (statement of the FCC in a Public Notice). That's
> about one in every three citizens. In less than two decades
> the cellular telephone industry has grown at an explosive rate.

Sure - as part of an overall growth in telecom over the past 20 years
or so. Note, however, that the telecom bubble has burst. WorldCom's
admission of billions of dollars of accounting goofs is simply one
example.

> That should be an indicator that new radio services (cell phones
> are little two-way radios) can be accepted by the citizenry, can
> grow at an amazing rate, and be supported by industry.

Because there is money to be made, and the cellphones are under the
control of the industry (for the most part).
>
> CB is only about four decades into its existance and its
> unlicensed users outnumber all licensed hams by about 7:1
> or 8:1.

Pure speculation, probably wishful thinking. FCC gave up licensing CB
a quarter-century ago. Without licenses or other registration, how can
you determine the number of CB users with any accuracy? The ratio
could be 1:1 for all anyone knows.

How do you KNOW how many CB users there are? You claim there are
roughly 4.7 to 5.4 million of them - how did you get those numbers?

25 years ago, 27 MHz CB antennas were a common sight on vehicles and
homes in this area. Today they are rarer than ham radio antennas. Even
truckers are turning to MURS, cell phones and other radio services.

> Since the allocated frequency band is small (about
> 400 KHz), I am surprised that there aren't more freebanders
> looking for more EM space.

Why are you surprised? Do you think freebanding is somehow justified?
Sounds like it.
>
> The "tough talkers" in here will come on strong about legality,
> "technical competency through license testing," and a lot of
> other noble, good, and true words...but there's little evidence
> that any of those "tough talkers" among the hams are DOING
> ANYTHING other than bitching and whining.
>
> Organizing, planning, monitoring, DF-ing, logging are the key
> activities to reporting illegal operation. Bitching and whining
> and talking tough doesn't solve any problems.

Why is it the responsibility of hams to act as enforcement agents for
the FCC, particularly when the violations are committed by nonhams?
Are the free speech rights of the law-abiding unimportant?

> The old 11m ham
> band went away because of little use by hams with more
> promise shown by a new radio service called CB.

Incorrect.

11 meters was reallocated by the FCC for two reasons:

1) The FCC could easily reallocate it under the treaties in effect at the time.

2) Low powered channelized AM voice equipment for 27 MHz could be manufactured inexpensively using late-1950s technology.

It was not a smart choice by the FCC.

FCC intended CB for short-range business and personal communications. They never thought that large numbers of users of the new service would simply ignore FCC rules. But that's what happened, and FCC found it had created a mess without the resources to clean it up.

In the mid 1970s there was an effort to clean up the mess by creating "Class E" CB - by reallocating the 220-225 MHz ham band to channelized FM. "Industry" (the EIA) liked this idea, in part because almost none of the 27 MHz equipment and accessories would be usable on 220-225. CB users hated it for that reason, and others (like no "skip" and more-expensive "linears"). End result was 40 channel CB - on 27 MHz.

> Part of 10m could go the same way.

Sounds like you would like for that to happen.

Jim, N2EY

88. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 18:06:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <FS0U8.1343$3A3.57514248@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48002

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:RA%T8.70769$UT.4904718@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> >> I originally trolled:
>
> >> I agree with Cecil and Brian that Farnsworth-spaced
> >> Morse keying/coding is just too loosey-goosey for
> >> accurate and complete clock recovery. It really sucks
> >> as a digital protocol and should be banned from the
> >> airwaves as something that "sends the wrong message" to
> >> our young people about strictly observing rules. We start
> >> out with sloppy Morse and end up with WorldCom fraud.
> >> America! Wake up before it's too late!!!
>
> > Brian, N0IMD, responded:
>
> > I don't advocate banning any kind of keying or mode
> > use on the air...
>
> > I only advocate that when an Exam is given with the
> > intent to accept or deny a person access to the amateur
> > radio service, that it be explicity understood by:
> > a. the Government,
> > b. the Volunteer Examiners, and
> > c. the Examinees.
> >
> > To have "loosy goosey" standard(s) makes it corrupt.
>
> Sorry for the mis-attribution, Brian. I should have
> made it clear that I agree with your point about
> imprecise code-testing standards. BUT I, and only I,
> think America is "at risk" from sloppy CW.
>
> Seriously, I think this Farnsworth/Standard Morse
> thing was why I failed a number of code tests way
> back when. I was making my own code tapes for
> practice in the car on my way to and from work then.
> The program I used (on my old TRS-80) did have
> provisions for varying the word and character
> spacing. But I didn't use them since I thought
> I would be getting "standard" Morse. When I sat
> down to copy at the exam, their code always
> sounded "faster". (It also was lousier, being
> sent on a 98-cent piezo buzzer with a rough, 2 kHz

> tone. My own tapes used the 700 Hz sidetone
> from my Icom IC-720 that had very smooth keying.)
>
> These experiences convinced me that if the FCC was
> going to entrust its licensing tests to "amateurs",

The inmates -are- in charge of the asylum. They didn't agree with the FCC dropping the 13wpm exam, thus its back thinly disguised as 13-15wpm Farnsworth. Who says the standards have been lowered?

> then they needed to spell out the testing procedures
> in painstaking detail in Part 97, including the
> mandating of informing the examinee of all waivers,
> accomodations and options available to him or her.
> And being able to request variable speed and tone
> is right on the top of the list.
>
> Hope this clears things up.
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona

Jim, crystal clear. And thats how it ought to be.

73, Brian

89. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 18:09:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyksp0t.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 11:18:09 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:

> FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
>six years ago.

Not correct. The FCC converted its manned facilities to unmanned
remote-control. The system is still there.

It can't help you unless you file s formal complaint. The facilites
do not include mind-reading.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

90. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 18:13:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4Z0U8.1345$TI3.57971919@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48004

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701105508.19591.00001130@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
> >From: "Valentine Germann" vgermann@socket.net
> >Date: 07/01/02 08:58 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <ui0ja17rshpg61@corp.supernews.com>
>
> >If YOU stop . . . he will stop . . . eventually.
>
> I disagree, Val. If you follow Lennie, he still likes to trash the NG
with
> his tripe even when being completely ignored. The only thing that will
"stop"
> Lennie will be cardiopulmonary arrest.
>
> If you follow him back far enough on Google, he used to post to other
> NG's, and was even nice about it. Had some insightful things to say, but
as
> soon as RRAP was created, became very spiteful and demeaning. Anyone who
was
> anything he was not, in this case licensed Amateurs, (and especially Extra
> class licensee's...the epitome of Amateur Radio) catches his rath if they
dare
> to tread on his "turf".
>
> Unfortunately, in my profession (Nursing) it is not uncommon at all
to see
> people who are at end-stage in life begin to react like Lennie has. Even
> people who may have been reasonably productive in life begin to lash out
as
> they realize that life is almost over for them, and they may not have
> accomplished all that they set out to do.

How old are you, Steve? Are you in your death throes?

> That is ever-so-evident in Lennie's posts. I am sure that Lennie has
> envisioned himself as some sort of mentor ala-Doug DeMaw, W1FB (now SK),
but
> for whatever reason, his "help" was not as readily accepted, and now he's
> lashing out at those who "dissed" him.
>
> The really disheartening thing is that it's easily "fixed" in
Lennie's
> case. In some of his earlier posts in other NG's, it actually sounds as
if he

> had a decent listening post of his own. The only thing that keeps it from
> being a radio station instead of a listening post is his own pride and 30
> minutes at a VE session.
>
> Too bad for him.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I think Len would make a great Extra.

91. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 18:42:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <do1U8.1350$fH3.57883963@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48005

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20020630231143.08659.00000330@mb-mo.aol.com>...
>
> > CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago.
>
> That is incorrect.
>
> Class A and Class B CB (UHF) were created in the USA in 1948. That's
> 54 years ago. Class C and D CB (27 MHz) were created in the USA in
> 1958.
>
> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake. ;-)

Some mistake! 27 MHz CB is not 43 years old?

> > Hams of that time
> > were generally incensed at this terrible intrusion into their precious
> > spectrum (the small 11m band below the big 10m band) and, worst
> > of all (!), the FCC taking it away from them! Oh, horrors, the evil
> > that befell hams! :-)
>
> Should the hams of the time have simply allowed the reallocation of
> the band without protest?
>
> Hams dislike being blamed for RFI caused by CB users.

Hams dislike being blamed for RFI caused by even Ham users. They dislike it
so much so that they say they DON'T cause it. The ARRL position is that it
isn't cause by amateur RF emissions. Its caused by poor design of the
consumer electronics device being interfered with.

Hams who have
> earned licenses and comply with the rules also dislike being
> categorized with unlicensed folks who break the rules. It's a bit like
> serious astronomers being confused with astrologers.

I also dislike being categorized with licensed folks who break the rules,
such as the ARRL and W5YI VECs, Dick sending bad code, and Dave working
French hams out of band. Time to clean up our act!

> > >I'll tell you what the more likely scenario is, if you can't contain
these
> > >jokers to 11m... the FCC will have no choice but to "expand the
pigpen", and

> > >open 10m up as an unlicensed service.
> >
> > It seems to me that a group of dedicated hams could just as well
> > exercise their talents and will by organizing and DF-ing on the
> > freebanders, logging those times and frequencies and content,
> > then informing the FCC.
>
> Sounds like a plan. But it is important to note the source of a
> problem, rather than simply attack the symptoms. Many of the invaders
> are not in the USA at all.

We invade foreign lands and kill terrorists, spray Coca Plantations, seize
financial assets.

> > Back in half the time that CB has been around there were no
> > cell phones on VHF or UHF. At the end of December 2001
> > there were no less than 129 million cell phone subscribers in
> > the USA (statement of the FCC in a Public Notice). That's
> > about one in every three citizens. In less than two decades
> > the cellular telephone industry has grown at an explosive rate.
>
> Sure - as part of an overall growth in telecom over the past 20 years
> or so. Note, however, that the telecom bubble has burst. WorldCom's
> admission of billions of dollars of accounting goofs is simply one
> example.
>
> > That should be an indicator that new radio services (cell phones
> > are little two-way radios) can be accepted by the citizenry, can
> > grow at an amazing rate, and be supported by industry.
>
> Because there is money to be made, and the cellphones are under the
> control of the industry (for the most part).
> >
> > CB is only about four decades into its existance and its
> > unlicensed users outnumber all licensed hams by about 7:1
> > or 8:1.
>
> Pure speculation, probably wishful thinking. FCC gave up licensing CB
> a quarter-century ago. Without licenses or other registration, how can
> you determine the number of CB users with any accuracy? The ratio
> could be 1:1 for all anyone knows.
>
> How do you KNOW how many CB users there are? You claim there are
> roughly 4.7 to 5.4 million of them - how did you get those numbers?
>
> 25 years ago, 27 MHz CB antennas were a common sight on vehicles and
> homes in this area. Today they are rarer than ham radio antennas. Even
> truckers are turning to MURS, cell phones and other radio services.
>
> > Since the allocated frequency band is small (about
> > 400 KHz), I am surprised that there aren't more freebanders

> > looking for more EM space.
>
> Why are you surprised? Do you think freebanding is somehow justified?
> Sounds like it.
> >
> > The "tough talkers" in here will come on strong about legality,
> > "technical competency through license testing," and a lot of
> > other noble, good, and true words...but there's little evidence
> > that any of those "tough talkers" among the hams are DOING
> > ANYTHING other than bitching and whining.
> >
> > Organizing, planning, monitoring, DF-ing, logging are the key
> > activities to reporting illegal operation. Bitching and whining
> > and talking tough doesn't solve any problems.
>
> Why is it the responsibility of hams to act as enforcement agents for
> the FCC, particularly when the violations are committed by nonhams?

It's not the responsibility of hams to do this, but it could be considered
community service. If a ham has enough free time to learn Morse Code at
20wpm, then DFing a couple of hundred freebanders shouldn't be any big deal.

> Are the free speech rights of the law-abiding unimportant?

DF the law-abiding? Whatever for?

> > The old 11m ham
> > band went away because of little use by hams with more
> > promise shown by a new radio service called CB.
>
> Incorrect.
>
> 11 meters was reallocated by the FCC for two reasons:
>
> 1) The FCC could easily reallocate it under the treaties in effect at
> the time.

Being easy doesn't say "why" it was done.

> 2) Low powered channelized AM voice equipment for 27 MHz could be
> manufactured inexpensively using late-1950s technology.

And? Why did they do it?

Then why a 10-10 organization? Maybe they didn't want to lose 10M, too?

> It was not a smart choice by the FCC.
>
> FCC intended CB for short-range business and personal communications.
> They never thought that large numbers of users of the new service
> would simply ignore FCC rules. But that's what happened, and FCC found
> it had created a mess without the resources to clean it up.

Finally the why.

92. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 18:47:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ct1U8.1353$mO3.58242249@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48006

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D207DF7.F3FEAEBF@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:afpndn$iuv$0@208.206.142.111...
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > A little logic is required here.
> > >
> > >
> > > There's the understatement of the century. A "little" logic is all
> > > Gadfly can ever muster.
> > >
> > Dick, I don't think you're up to it. If a little is all that's
required,
> > why should you have to struggle with a lot?
> > >
> > > If they're operating
> > > > out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an
innocent
> > > > bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r
rules.
> > >
> > > That "little" logic diminishes with each passing second. Dave,
you're
> > > *completely* responsible for that fraudulent French station keying his
> > > rig to answer your CQ, or to call you first, whichever the case
happened
> > > to be. Accept your responsibility like a man, fess up and throw
yourself
> > > on the mercies of Judge Riley's court.
> >
> > As Dave works bootlegger after bootlegger and word gets out that he's
even
> > QSLing them, the line just keeps getting longer.
> >
> > Why would he do something like that?
>
> Yeah, wait until it comes out that I've even worked military stations
> WAR and NAA while I was on the ham bands.
>
```

> Dave K8MN

Its an annual event. Any HF ham can do so.

93. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 18:49:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Wu1U8.1354$kP3.58305818@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48007

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D207B8F.5D241374@earthlink.net...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > A little logic is required here.
> >
> > There's the understatement of the century. A "little" logic is all
> > Gadfly can ever muster.
> >
> > If they're operating
> > > out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an innocent
> > > bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r
rules.
> >
> > That "little" logic diminishes with each passing second. Dave, you're
> > *completely* responsible for that fraudulent French station keying his
> > rig to answer your CQ, or to call you first, whichever the case happened
> > to be. Accept your responsibility like a man, fess up and throw yourself
> > on the mercies of Judge Riley's court.
>
> I enjoy seeing Brian's self-righteous indignity over things like this
> and using the Farnsworth method to test amateur license applicants.
> I note that he has still not contacted the French PTT or the FCC over
> the respective "issues".
>
> Dave K8MN

I guess you'll want a piece of my posterior if I don't?
```

94. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 18:57:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qC1U8.1355$0R3.58390566@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48008

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D20799C.38599A9A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D1FD0A8.8BEB964C@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D1F7DFE.C7F6ED1B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, Dave said he would work anyone who came up on his frequency,
> > whether
> > > > > he
> > > > > > knew their authorization or not, because he was within -his-
> > > > authorization.
> > > > > > He was breaking no rule. Apparently he doesn't mind knowingly
help
> > > > other
> > > > > > people break the rules. Also, he was not responsible for
sending
> > them
> > > > QSL
> > > > > > cards either, as that was his QSL manager's problem.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not exactly, Brian. I wouldn't have answered someone calling me
in a
> > > > > pileup using, "Claymore Mine" or "Toot Toot The Cracker Wagon".
At no
> > > > > time did I help or assist anyone in breaking his government's
rules.
> > > > > Anyone who did that did it of his own volition.
> > > >
> > > > Dave is forever the innocent bystander, just watching as contact
after
> > > > contact is logged in his books.
> > >
> > > I never claimed to be a bystander. If it's bystander you want, you'll
> > > have to talk to Len Anderson. I'm actively working stations and
putting
> > > them in the log. I said: "At no time did I help or assist anyone in
> > > breaking his government's rules. Anyone who did that did it of his own
> > > volition." I don't think it can be made clearer than that.

> >
> > Dave, impossible. A little logic is required here. If they're
operating
> > out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an innocent
> > bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r rules.
>
> If a little logic is required, try applying it. I don't assist someone
> by operating where I am permitted to operate. The individual who
> decides to key his mike or operate his morse key breaks his country's
> regulations by doing so in a band segment where he is not permitted.
> He does that whether I reply to him or not.

Its when you reply (and QSL) that encourages the others to also break the
law.

Is it a law that you must reply to people that you know are operating out of
band?

> > > > > You are right about QSLing though. I had no responsibility for
QSLing
> > > > > other than to periodically send log extracts to WA8JOC. He didn't
> > check
> > > > > whether a guy who worked me was within his authorized band segment
> > > > > either. Since I logged my pileup frequency and it was printed on
my
> > QSL
> > > > > card going to that station, there must be a load of French guys
who
> > have
> > > > > DX cards confirming a QSO on 50.110 or 50.115. I'll bet they
don't
> > show
> > > > > them to their local pals, huh?
> > > >
> > > > Why not? Were they out of band or something?
> > >
> > > If a French station worked me out of his authorized segment, he'd
hardly
> > > be able to show a QSL on which was printed a frequency he is not
> > > supposed to use, would he?
> >
> > Dave, why not? Is it now illegal to show off postcards in France? The
> > illegal act was making the emission out of band, not showing off a
postcard.
>
> If you robbed a convenience store and got away with it, you'd not likely
> be showing your pals an 8 x 10 glossy of you doing so. If you are a ham
> who has worked some DX outside your authorized band segment, you'd not
> likely wave about a QSL card which proves that you broke your country's
> regs.

Proof? I've gotten plenty of QSL cards that have errors on them.

> > > > > It is nice of you to be so concerned for the state of amateur
radio in
> > > > > France. Have you contacted the French PTT yet? Would you be
> > interested
> > > > > in extracts from my 50 MHz logs for J5, 9L, A2 and 5H or are there
> > other
> > > > > bands or band segments which you feel French amateurs may have
used
> > > > > illegally?
> > > >
> > > > Dave, just go back to being an innocent by-stander. It's what you
do
> > best
> > > > when you find yourself in an indefensible position.
> > >
> > > I think I'm doing a bang up job of defending my position and making
your
> > > repeated squawks about the matter look absurd.
> >
> > You don't have a leg to stand on. Saying you do doesn't make it so.
>
> No, being right when I say it makes it so. Are you personally
> responsible for every goober who breaks an amateur radio reg?

Dave, if I discovered that the French Hams I'd been contacting were out of
band, I would cease responding to their calls.

> > > > > You know, come to think of it, some of those French guys could
have
> > been
> > > > > using the illegal Farnsworth method!
> > > >
> > > > On 50.110-115? Hi! You better go talk to Larry about them rotten
SSB
> > > > types.
> > >
> > > CW is not prohibited on those frequencies. It fact, you'll often hear
> > > it being used since 50.110 is the international calling frequency.
> >
> > So were they using Farnsworth, real Morse Code, or SSB?
>
> In a nutshell, the answer is YES. They WERE using morse using the
> Farnsworth method, "real" morse code and SSB.
>
> > > > Dave, you're really funny when your not so smug.
> > >
> > > I'm funny even when I AM being smug.
> >
> > You're a real funny guy, but please, no more comments about my
posterior.

>
> My comment wasn't designed to titillate you, Brian. If it made you feel
> tingly all over, try to control yourself. I'm not responsible for your
> misinterpretation of anything I write any more than I'm responsible for
> the illegal operation by French hams.

Dave, do you really think they would have made those illegal transmissions
if "rare dx" wasn't going to answer and qsl them?

Good grief.

95. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 18:59:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <AE1U8.1357$yR3.58425658@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48009

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D207A53.CB2C1CF7@earthlink.net...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D1FD196.E06CDF57@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > > See if the
> > > > > League can provide you information on whether these cards were
> > accepted
> > > > > for such credit. You may well have uncovered a massive DXCC cover
up.
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Indeed.
> > >
> > > It is sure to be pervasive, Brian. There must be piles of money
> > > changing hands and I'm sure you'll see some heads roll at the French
PTT
> > > and perhaps even at the DXCC desk. You could be the key whistle
blower.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > You overestimate the importance of your misdeeds.
>
> Not at all, Brian. You misinterpret my deeds as misdeeds and you
> certainly have overestimated their importance. In the course of doing
> so, you've provided lots of laughs.
>
> Dave K8MN

I don't overestimate their importance. Should you get a pass on the wrong
things you do just because they are minor in importance?

96. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 19:05:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pK1U8.1363$JT3.58530104@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48010

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afpr4h$q91$0@208.206.142.111...

> You insist that Dave is responsible for some French ham working outside
> HIS autoirizations just because Dave did talk to him.

If Dave stopped completing QSOs once he found out that they were out of band, that would be the end of the story. But to continue racking up contacts, QSL cards, and possibly greenstamps makes one wonder what his motivation was.

97. Re: A little "prison" music, please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 19:09:26 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gykvj9v.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48011

>On Fri, 28 Jun 2002 08:49:54 -0400, Richard wrote:
>
>>Need I name more? We in private industry watched with
>>amazement but not surprise, as the commission caused its own
>>melt-down. Good news for my outfit, as we got one of the
>>most talented former FCC employees. Their loss, the taxpayers'
>>loss but our gain!

Please contact me off-list at the address below. I have quite a bit
of feedback.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
k2asp @ arrl.net

98. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 19:27:11 UTC
From: Robert Abrams <at321@autotelecomms321.org>
Message-ID: <afqaeo$n2g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 48012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gyksp0t.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 11:18:09 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:
>
> > FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> >six years ago.
>
> Not correct. The FCC converted its manned facilities to unmanned
> remote-control. The system is still there.
>
> It can't help you unless you file s formal complaint. The facilites
> do not include mind-reading.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
BULLSHIT! multiple complaints are filed. The "unmanned"
system is a joke, just that, it is never manned and broke most of
the time. One give a shit jackass sitting in Laurel MD is not and
never will be "monitoring." Last year an unlicensed pirate
station operated within five miles of Laurel MD for months, and the
wizards at the "unmanned" facility never detected it. A collection
of flashing lights, bells, and computer screens set up just to impress
visiting congressman and other fools. Monitoring? Never!

99. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 20:43:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701164323.01521.00001854@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48013

>Subject: Re: More "Freebands" ?
>From: "Robert Abrams" at321@autotelecomms321.org
>Date: 07/01/02 15:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <afqaeo$n2g$0@pita.alt.net>
>

>> It can't help you unless you file s formal complaint. The facilites
>> do not include mind-reading.
>>
>> ---
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>>
> B*******! multiple complaints are filed. The "unmanned"
>system is a joke, just that, it is never manned and broke most of
>the time. One give a s*** jack*** sitting in Laurel MD is not and
>never will be "monitoring."

I won't get quite as "verbose" as this poster, Phil, but I ahve to agree
to some of it in part.

In 1991 and 92 I was a member of a radio club in SoCal that provided (in
person) over 60 signed complaints to the resident engineer in Long Beach over
the operatoions of ONE person in Costa Mesa.

His response was to turn it over to the ARRL Auxiliary. When the
Auxiliary approached the individual concerned, he used a considerable amount of
profanity and physical threats.

The matter was turned back over to the EIC. That's where it stopped, too.
Not a thing was done despite the fact taht this individual continued to jam
other Amateurs throughout Orange, LA and Riverside Counties.

>Last year an unlicensed pirate
>station operated within five miles of Laurel MD for months, and the
>wizards at the "unmanned" facility never detected it.

We gave the EIC names, addresses, frequencies, times and recordings of the
alleged offenses. Nada.

Oh well...I can only hope that the recent efforts of Riley & Co. have
given new energy to rules enforcement and that such situations are fewer and
farther in between.

73

Steve, K4YZ

100. Re: Sayonara

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 20:46:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701164602.01521.00001855@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48014

>Subject: Re: Sayonara
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 06/30/02 23:11 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020630231145.08659.00000332@mb-mo.aol.com>

>He LIKES the thought of beating up old men. I think he likes
>to beat up on women, too.

In the medium of the Internet, you are no older or younger than any other words that appear on the screen, Your Spinelessness.

You are a Putz, regardless of whether you are 7, 17, or 70.

>He is an Amateur Extra. That explains it all?

Nope...I am Anti-Lennie...THAT explains it all.

Steve

101. Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 20:55:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701165513.01521.00001860@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48015

>Subject: Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 06/30/02 21:11 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020630211147.01552.00000292@mb-cc.aol.com>

>> Even
>>if the latest US ham band is around 8x that frequency, Grimeton
>>was NOT a "ham station," not in 1923, not in 1924...it was a
>>Swedish government station used for government and commercial
>>messaging. Commercial. NOT amateur.
>
>Who said it was an amateur station?

Jim, isn't it amazing how Lennie can use that "commercial, NOT Amateur" argument to try and belittle you somehow, yet when the same analogy is brought to bear on HIM, it's "non-sequitor"...???

Does he not see how silly he presents himself?

>>Write it up for QEX as "high technology" for the new millennium!

Lennie does not realize that there are many here for whom such historical tidbits are not normal fare, but intriguing nonetheless.

....of course such historical tidbits are "OK" when they are retold in the course of posts made by rear area widgets claiming hero status by association from the Korean War era.....

102. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 21:01:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701170140.01521.00001862@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48016

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 06/30/02 23:11 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020630231142.08659.00000329@mb-mo.aol.com>

>>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
>
>45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF. Dick was alive
>then...well, as alive as he could be...

ERROR! ERROR! The Citizen's radio Service predates MY arrival into this world, Oh Errant One.

Sheesh, Lennie! Asleep at the wheel again! Any opportunity to try and "diss" Hams blows up in your face AGAIN!

Pfui!

103. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 21:09:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701170948.01521.00001869@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48017

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 06/30/02 23:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020630232737.07384.00000174@mb-cm.aol.com>

>Class D CB was created 43 years ago. Where are all the AA and OO
>corps that you brag about and what are they doing?

Well golly-gee whillikers, Lennie! That's why it's called the AMATEUR Auxiliary, NOT the CITIZENS BAND Auxiliary, now isn't it?

>Amateur radio has had over four decades to do something and there
>is little evidence that hams are doing anything.

As long as you say that from a position of having your head in the sand, I guess you might be right...Any other circumstance is erroneous. The accomplishments are matter of countless archives, including the Federal Register. Sorry you disagree.

>You are just blowing smoke and talking tough. That doesn't cut it.

You mean like making assertions that I am going to obtain a license "out of the box" and then not doing it? That doesn't cut it either, Lennie.

You mean like making assertions that "CB" radio, even the original UHF version (you were ALMOST right, Lennie), did not exist 45+ years ago?

GET YOUR HEAD OUT OF YOUR RECTUM, LENNIE!

Stupid jerk.

104. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 21:23:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701172300.19575.00001224@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48018

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>The only thing that will "stop"
>Lennie will be cardiopulmonary arrest.

If that is what you believe, you are giving his posts further effect by quoting them and responding.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

105. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 21:24:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701172403.19575.00001225@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48019

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>How old are you, Steve? Are you in your death throes?

Steve is just waiting for "the actuarial tables" to solve a problem for him.
Surely you of all here would understand.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

106. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 21:26:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701172629.14316.00001278@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48020

>Subject: Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 06/30/02 23:11 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020630231144.08659.00000331@mb-mo.aol.com>

>Why hasn't anyone mentioned the new movie released to theaters
>a couple weeks ago? The title is "CQ.

YOOOO HOOOO ! ! ! ! Leeennnniiiieeeee ! ! ! !

This post was about recent theatrical movie releases.

This week's rrap posting are ripe with your scoldings of others not "focussing" (sic) on what YOU percived to be "the subject", especially where it deviates from discussion of the "Morse Code test" issue.

More "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do" rhetoric from the Licenseless One?

BWWWWHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

I love it when you trip yourself up over and over and over and over and..... ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve

107. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 21:33:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701173330.19575.00001231@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48021

From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)

>> Spell it: T R E A T Y. Got it?
>
>Yea, and I also know that CB (26.965 - 27.405MHz) is in the HF band
>and does NOT require code to use. So if the FCC can get away with not
>requiring code on cb to comply with the treaty why can't they do the
>same with 10m?

The treaty requires Morse code knowledge for Amateur Radio HF access.
Knowledge of Morse code is not required for other HF radio services.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

108. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 21:37:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701173721.19575.00001232@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48022

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?

Yes.

>What magic happened when 11m stopped complying with physics?

The 11-meter band complies with the laws of physics, Brian. Why do you think
it does not?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

109. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 21:40:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701174034.19575.00001233@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48023

From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)

>Is that so? Then that means the CBers who get on 10m are
>NOT in violation of the treaty because they are not licensed
>under Part 97?

Individuals are not "in violation" of the treaty. Those that operate on 10 meters without having met the FCC licensing requirements are in violation of federal law.

> So if I get on the lower part of 10m and
>holler BREAKER 10 meters and don't use a callsign I'm OK?

If you operate on 10 meters without a callsign, you are in violation of federal law.

>Sorry OM but that one don't wash!!

You are correct; your premise doesn't wash.

I think I understand where your anger comes from, or at least where it is headed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

110. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 21:48:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701174816.19575.00001236@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48024

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs should
>let
>> people learn the characters at faster speed, but send those faster
>characters
>> at a 5 wpm overall rate.

>Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?

Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

111. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 22:18:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyl2btw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48025
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 15:27:11 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:

>
> BULLSHIT! multiple complaints are filed.

What have you done to follow-up on said complaints? Has your
attorney had a sit-down with Charlie Megan if you think that
they shoukld be given hugher priority?

If you expect things to happen by themselves you are seeing the
results of such waiting.

>One give a shit jackass

Your command of the English language is exquisite....

If you think someone is not doing his job, file a complaint with the
Inspector General.

>sitting in Laurel MD is not and never will be "monitoring."

Depends what you call "monitoring". If you are thinking of the
classic "cruising" where someone is spinning the dial up anf doen
the bands looking for signals that shouldn't be there, forget it
with present staffing.. The Congress was very clear in its slashing
of posiitions in 1996 that they are not interested in spending money
on radio enforcement, especially the manpower necessary to do cruising
as compared to folks who deal with wireline carrier competition, media
conglomeration, and getting new non-licensed wireless gadgets into the
hands of the know-nothing public.

The system is designed and used for long-range spot-frequency
HF DF-ing on call, so to speak, and for HF band occupancy
studies in connection with international surveys.

Is your problem that you don't have an on-call priority like the
military and Federal law enforcement folks do?

>Last year an unlicensed pirate station operated within five miles of
>Laurel MD for months, and the wizards at the "unmanned" facility never
>detected it.

Pirate in what service? If it was that close to the station the
case would have been in the jurisdictionof the field investigator
(single) for action as appropriate depending on the priority assigned
which depends on where in the spectrum the signal is and who filed the
complaint.

>A collection
>of flashing lights, bells, and computer screens set up just to impress
>visiting congressman and other fools.

Are you a salesman for Zrta Systems who were POed because we
didn't buy their DoD-overrun packages (as I and several others
had recommended)?

My man at Laurel assures me that the system works very well for
its intended purpose - long range HF DF to the precision to put a
mobile DF unit close enough, or for sitting on a specific frequency
for a specific purpose.

>Monitoring? Never!

Or at least until The Congress breaks loose the funds for
cruising.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

112. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 22:18:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyl38ax.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48026

On 01 Jul 2002 20:43:23 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Oh well...I can only hope that the recent efforts of Riley & Co. have
>given new energy to rules enforcement and that such situations are fewer and
>farther in between.

If you read my reply post to Robert Abrams you will see that I made
a stromg point on priority. At the time in question, amateur
enforcement had a headquarters-mandated very low priority because
there was a split in philosophy between the folks who did the work
(Field Operations Bureau) and who disposed of the case (Private
Radio Bureau). When the two functions were finally brought under one
roof several years later and Riley took over the helm, the log-jam
was broken.

I won't comment on the action or inaction of other EICs. I know what
my manpower problems were. My boss would get very bent out of shape
when (not if) I tried to get some amateur cases worked on.

That was then. This is now.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

113. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 22:33:03 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0207011433.4c49148d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48027

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020630192049.14524.00001418@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: "horseshoe7" horseshoe7@yahoo.com
> >Date: 06/30/02 18:55 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <R%LT8.62744$Ok1.4590546@news2.west.cox.net>
>
> >That was exactly my point regarding allowing CBers free access to 10m at 4W
> >or less...(SNIP)
>
> You are on some SERIOUS mind-altering substances if you think that CBers
> who are ALREADY breaking the law by being in the Amateur allocations would sate
> themselves with 4 watts.

I don't think that, I just said it would be within the treaty
provisions.

> >.....as long as the FCC was doing the best it could to keep them running
> >4W and not interfere with other countries, it wouldn't violate the treaty
> >provisions... again, I'm not saying that this would be the right thing to
> >do - just that it wouldn't violate the treaty (just as we aren't considered
> >to be violating the treaty on 11m now).
>
> It's stupid to even suggest it. The FCC already made two major mistakes
> with the Citizen's Radio Service. The first was to ignore the warnings it
> received at the outset about putting such a radio service in a globally
> propagating band. The second was to remove all vestiges of control by dropping
> any licensing requirements.

I'm not suggesting it... I was merely pointing out that it wouldn't be
against the treaty to do so.

> It's made two more by establishing FRS and MURS. Now that Wally-world has
> realized that they can ALSO sell GMRS radios which are compatible (to some
> degree) with FRS radios that consumers have already purchased, that once usable
> band is quickly on it's way to trashy-dom.

Let's stick to 11m, for now, could we?

> MURS won't be far behind. Somewhere along the way the "word" will get out
> to "Joe Average Citizen" that a $100 2 meter radio will give him 50 (or more)
> watts on MURS...THEN he'll get tired of being elbow to elbow with the rest of
> the trash on that band and find out how easy it is to "trick out" the radio and
> everything from 136 to 170mHz will be at their mercy.

The point of this tangent to the thread was that I was arguing AGAINST
these buffoons being re-located to the VHF and UHF bands.

> >... because just with the pigs, you really can't KILL them...(SNIP)
>
> Sure you can.

... now you're being a bit unreasonable. I support busting and
punishing these offenders when they venture out of their pigpen... but
I don't support KILLING them.

> >Hams shouldn't expect that the FCC is going to do everything for them...
> >we've got an obligation to help track down these weasels as well.
>
> We (I) don't. Hence the Amateur Auxiliary and the Official Observers.
> Some are real sharp, others just like the paper on the wall, but it's a start.

If I had any free time, it sounds like it would be a fun endeavour.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

- Stewart

114. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 22:36:54 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0207011436.4480b4eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48028

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<uhvb9b2ikalt66@corp.supernews.com>...
> Am I missing something? Are you saying it is the AMATEURS' fault?

For jamming, no... for leaving loads of frequencies unused, yes.

- Stewart, N0MHS

> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "horseshoe7" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:nXIT8.61994$Ok1.4555350@news2.west.cox.net...
> >
> > "J. Manley" <jmanley@manleyconsultants100.org> wrote in message
> > news:afk8chgbm0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > Yup, and their numbers increase more each week. Moreover, the
> > > commission is powerless to stop them.
> >
> > Why? Aren't Amateurs providing enough details, so the FCC can make "easy
> > kills"? Why are you blaming the FCC? Don't hams have some responsibility
> > to police their own bands? ... or has 10m gotten to the point like on
> some
> > of the VHF/UHF ham bands... where they have become virtually unused, so
> > there is nobody there to care, or to police them.
> >
> > > You will not discourage them.
> > > They just move up higher, into more of the ten meter band.
> >
> > I actually tend to agree with "One Angry Man" on this point... if these
> > frequencies were more utilized, it would discourage illegal use, and there
> > would be more individuals to help precisely locate the offenders.
> >
> > However, due to international treaty rules, this isn't presently an option
> > on the HF bands... however, some of the VHF/UHF bands could be opened up a
> > bit more - especially 1.25m.
> >
> > - Stewart
> >
> >

115. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 23:17:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701191742.05900.00002039@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48030

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>I agree. The QPC and the VEC need to create another test element called
>"history and nostalgia," require a perfect score, and make it more valuable
>than all the rest of amateur radio combined.

I think this is a lousy idea, Brian. Perhaps you are becoming jaded before your time.

I am curious; by what means would they be able to make it more valuable that all the rest of Amateur Radio combined? That is a pretty tall order, considering the value that Amateur Radio brings to the world.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

116. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 23:18:36 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207011518.6844445b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48029

CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<3D208EC7.F124FD1@hotmail.com>...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > So what? My Conditional license granted me all amateur frequency, mode, and
> > > power privileges in 1953 before you were even born.
> >
> > Yep. So did mine. But when FCC woke up and corrected that mistake a few
> > years later you didn't have what it took to get it all back.
>
> Why should I want it all back? I wasn't even on HF from 1971-1987. By
> 1987, I had the Advanced and never needed to do anything that I couldn't
> do with an Advanced license. Why obtain a higher license than needed?
>
>
Whatta pair, you two are a perfect match . . .
>
>
w3rv

117. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 23:54:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3Z5U8.1477$w25.62611714@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48031

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701172403.19575.00001225@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >How old are you, Steve? Are you in your death throes?
>
> Steve is just waiting for "the actuarial tables" to solve a problem for
him.
> Surely you of all here would understand.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I thought Steve might be a little younger than Len, but his trashing of the
newsgroup is about the same. So I thought that Steve's explanation of
end-stage in life/heart attack might also apply to Steve. Does it?

118. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-01 23:55:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <h_5U8.1479$345.62684373@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48032

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701191742.05900.00002039@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >I agree. The QPC and the VEC need to create another test element called
> >"history and nostalgia," require a perfect score, and make it more
valuable
> >than all the rest of amateur radio combined.
>
> I think this is a lousy idea, Brian. Perhaps you are becoming jaded before
your
> time.
>
> I am curious; by what means would they be able to make it more valuable
that
> all the rest of Amateur Radio combined? That is a pretty tall order,
> considering the value that Amateur Radio brings to the world.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, sorry. I forgot the smiley face.

119. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:01:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <b36U8.1480$vZ4.62305535@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48033

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701173721.19575.00001232@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
>
> Yes.
>
> >What magic happened when 11m stopped complying with physics?
>
> The 11-meter band complies with the laws of physics, Brian. Why do you
think
> it does not?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

One day it required a morse code exam, the next day it didn't require a
morse code exam.

120. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:04:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <s66U8.1481$a75.62845227@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48034

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701173330.19575.00001231@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>
> >> Spell it: T R E A T Y. Got it?
> >
> >Yea, and I also know that CB (26.965 - 27.405MHz) is in the HF band
> >and does NOT require code to use. So if the FCC can get away with not
> >requiring code on cb to comply with the treaty why can't they do the
> >same with 10m?
>
> The treaty requires Morse code knowledge for Amateur Radio HF access.
> Knowledge of Morse code is not required for other HF radio services.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be important
enough for paid professionals to learn it.

I mean, shouldn't they have to know it to prevent interference?

121. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:05:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701200501.17171.00003085@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48035

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>One day it required a morse code exam, the next day it didn't require a
>morse code exam.

Perhaps this explains why you are so confused about Morse code testing, Brian.

Morse code testing does not change the laws of physics.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

122. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:07:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_86U8.1482$n65.62792905@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48036

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020629120647.10462.00000208@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <3d1db124_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>, "TX & RX News"
<@>
> writes:
>
> >Is it time, now, for all genuine Hams, whether in the UK, or
> >elsewhere, to show their contempt for the M3 by
> >refusing to QSO with them?
> >
> By "the M3", I presume you mean those who have passed the "Foundation"
class
> licence.
>
> I think that refusing to work M3s will not accomplish anything positive.
In
> fact, the result may well be exactly the opposite of what you desire.
>
> Some M3s may lose interest and drop ham radio altogether. Some may take
the
> hint and get a higher class license. But most will probably resent those
who
> won't work them, creating more division and ill will. This group may
decide to
> work to get all license requirements eased, and/or more privileges for
M3s.

Jim, good point. They should take a hint from what happened in America and
not divide the service.

> Is it the M3's fault that the licence they hold exists? No.
>
> The best way to fight the erosion of requirements and strandards is by
example,
> not exclusion.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Well said. Brian

123. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:10:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701201029.10462.00000273@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 48039

In article <20020630231142.08659.00000329@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <jBKT8.942$j93.42470706@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P >Burke"
><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
>>> Hey, "licensed" one - Might check the regulations. In case you ever
>>> do, you will note that amateur radio and CB are under entirely different
>>> rules. That's because they are different SERVICES. That holds true for
>>> the "treaty" also, so for your education and edification, they have no
>>> relationship to each other.
>>
>>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
>
>45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF.

Incorrect. As pointed out in another post, Class A and Class B CB (UHF, 460 MHz region) were created by the FCC in 1948. That's 54 years ago.

Now be a big boy and admit your mistake, "Lenover21". Set a good example for young Brian.

Jim, N2EY

124. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:10:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701201032.10462.00000274@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 48038

In article <3D204DD8.E2E83BF2@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>> >Big deal. I knew how to calculate parallel resistances before
>> >you were even born. :-)
>>
>> So what? I had my Advanced license about 17 years before you got your
>Advanced,
>> and my Extra license 30 years before you got one.
>
>So what? My Conditional license granted me all amateur frequency, mode, and
>power privileges in 1953 before you were even born.

So what? All that means is you are old, and got licensed when the standards were very low. When the standards were raised, you did not rise to the challenge, but simply rested on your rosy red laurels until the standards were lowered again.

Fact is, I have more experience on amateur radio HF/MF, and CW, than you do, Cecil. And I'm not an expert by any means.

73 de Jim, N2EY

125. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:10:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701201037.10462.00000275@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 48040

In article <do1U8.1350$fH3.57883963@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com...
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20020630231143.08659.00000330@mb-mo.aol.com>...
>>
>> > CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago.
>>
>> That is incorrect.
>>
>> Class A and Class B CB (UHF) were created in the USA in 1948. That's
>> 54 years ago. Class C and D CB (27 MHz) were created in the USA in
>> 1958.
>>
>> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake. ;-)
>
>Some mistake! 27 MHz CB is not 43 years old?

Lenover21 stated that CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago. That is not correct.

>> > >I'll tell you what the more likely scenario is, if you can't contain
>these
>> > >jokers to 11m... the FCC will have no choice but to "expand the
>pigpen", and
>> > >open 10m up as an unlicensed service.
>> >
>> > It seems to me that a group of dedicated hams could just as well
>> > exercise their talents and will by organizing and DF-ing on the
>> > freebanders, logging those times and frequencies and content,
>> > then informing the FCC.
>>
>> Sounds like a plan. But it is important to note the source of a
>> problem, rather than simply attack the symptoms. Many of the invaders
>> are not in the USA at all.
>
>We invade foreign lands and kill terrorists, spray Coca Plantations, seize
>financial assets.

Works for me.

>> 11 meters was reallocated by the FCC for two reasons:
>>
>> 1) The FCC could easily reallocate it under the treaties in effect at

>> the time.
>
>Being easy doesn't say "why" it was done.

It explains why 27 MHz and not some other part of the spectrum that made more sense for a short-range mobile radio service.
>
>> 2) Low powered channelized AM voice equipment for 27 MHz could be
>> manufactured inexpensively using late-1950s technology.
>
>And? Why did they do it?

Isn't it clear? UHF CB had been around for 10 years but had few takers because the available equipment that worked well was very expensive.

>> It was not a smart choice by the FCC.
>>
>> FCC intended CB for short-range business and personal communications.
>> They never thought that large numbers of users of the new service
>> would simply ignore FCC rules. But that's what happened, and FCC found
>> it had created a mess without the resources to clean it up.
>
>Finally the why.
>
It was a dumb move by the FCC. But the FCC could not and cannot say "We made a really dumb move", so they have been trying to contain the porcines for four decades or so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

126. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:10:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701201039.10462.00000276@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 48037

In article <8GYT8.1184$Ls6.54540545@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020701070702.01552.00000296@mb-cc.aol.com...
>> In article <RlOT8.1040$YW3.45452567@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >There are no first class cw types here.
>>
>> How would you know?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>List them.
>
You did not answer the question. You say that none exist but you offer no evidence. Why should anyone give you any credibility after that?

73 de Jim, N2EY

127. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:12:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Jd6U8.1484$n45.62703763@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48041

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701174816.19575.00001236@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs should
> >let
> >> people learn the characters at faster speed, but send those faster
> >characters
> >> at a 5 wpm overall rate.
>
> >Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?
>
> Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's no need for?

128. Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:15:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701201532.00961.00000672@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48042

In article <20020630211147.01552.00000292@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020628234033.15110.00000131@mb-bh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020628200612.25425.00000157@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>If you liked that electro-mechanical transmitter, take a look at this
receiver,
>>>which does not use any "active" devices, nor any sort of diode.
>>>
>>>http://www.wireless.org.uk/mechrx.htm
>>
>>Oh, like WOW! Isn't that sooooo amazing!
>>
>>Real cutting edge "electronics" there. Real "DX" ability, right?
>>
>>Powerful technology! Wow redux...
>
>Is there a reason you insult the accomplishments of others.

That was an "insult?!?"

Oh, my, expressions of amazement are now "insults!"

OK, I'll spread the word: "Nobody is allowed expressions of
amazement or even exhulation!"

Is that better?

>>Radio station Grimeton does an annual one-day transmission
>>from the museum in Halland Lan. It's part of HISTORY.
>
>So? Is there a problem?

Grimeton's VLF alternator hasn't been used for communications
in years. Last year they "sent a royal message" from HRH King
Gustav. :-)

>>There's no real call for 17.2 KHz communications nowadays, is it?
>
>Tell it to the US Navy. NAA is still on the air.

You can tell it to me...been close to that frequency FOR the
USN. Sorry, no details except that I was in another state at
the time. :-) [it's a Title 18 thing, you see...]

>>"No active devices!" Wow...and coming right behind a link to
>>Grimeton site with those Alexanderson alternators whining out
>>the KiloHertz by the KiloWatts!
>
>You sure sound jealous.

Oh, oh...FLAME WAR IGNITION ALERT!!!

"Jealous!" :-)

No, not jealous, squeamish about the high EM fields and their shielding
for VLF station personnel. Maybe it was a personal thing, not being
around great big alternators and all that older stuff. I wasn't happy
about being in MegaWatt Land.

>>Write it up for QEX as "high technology" for the new millennium!
>
>Real engineers know that solutions to modern problems can sometimes be found
in
>the ingenuity of the past. "Old" does not always equal "obsolete" or "bad".

OK, then I'll talk to the folks at Jim Creek, WA, and another place in the US
Midwest that the USN "is doing it all wrong" with "modern" VLF equiment
using tubes and solid-state devices. It should be MECHANICAL and ROTARY.
I'll tell them YOU are the one who thinks they should be truly modern. :-)

>>Doesn't have anything to do with amateur radio policy, does it?
>
>So what? Neither does anything you post.

Now, now. :-)

Calm down. Remember that your demeanor on here reflects heavily
on the amateur radio service...

129. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:15:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701201533.00961.00000673@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48043

In article <4Z0U8.1345$TI3.57971919@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020701105508.19591.00001130@mb-dd.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>> >From: "Valentine Germann" vgermann@socket.net
>> >Date: 07/01/02 08:58 Eastern Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <ui0ja17rshpg61@corp.supernews.com>
>>
>> >If YOU stop . . . he will stop . . . eventually.
>>
>> I disagree, Val. If you follow Lennie, he still likes to trash the NG
with
>> his tripe even when being completely ignored. The only thing that will
"stop"
>> Lennie will be cardiopulmonary arrest.
>>
>> If you follow him back far enough on Google, he used to post to other
>> NG's, and was even nice about it. Had some insightful things to say, but as
>> soon as RRAP was created, became very spiteful and demeaning. Anyone who
was
>> anything he was not, in this case licensed Amateurs, (and especially Extra
>> class licensee's...the epitome of Amateur Radio) catches his rath if they
dare
>> to tread on his "turf".
>>
>> Unfortunately, in my profession (Nursing) it is not uncommon at all to
see
>> people who are at end-stage in life begin to react like Lennie has. Even
>> people who may have been reasonably productive in life begin to lash out as
>> they realize that life is almost over for them, and they may not have
>> accomplished all that they set out to do.
>
>How old are you, Steve? Are you in your death throes?

I think he is...he just doesn't know it yet. :-)

>> That is ever-so-evident in Lennie's posts. I am sure that Lennie has
>> envisioned himself as some sort of mentor ala-Doug DeMaw, W1FB (now SK), but
>> for whatever reason, his "help" was not as readily accepted, and now he's
>> lashing out at those who "dissed" him.
>>
>> The really disheartening thing is that it's easily "fixed" in Lennie's
>> case. In some of his earlier posts in other NG's, it actually sounds as if

he
>> had a decent listening post of his own. The only thing that keeps it from
>> being a radio station instead of a listening post is his own pride and 30
>> minutes at a VE session.
>>
>> Too bad for him.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>I think Len would make a great Extra.

Thank you for the compliment, Brian, but now you've become a target for this poor little baby who can't take criticsm of any kind.

You could make it up to him by sending him a DVD of old "ER" episodes featuring George Clooney playing an MD. That way his fantasy life in medicine could be enlarged...he never made MD, you see. :-)

On the other hand, a little newspaper morgue searching will turn up some nice clippings about Efren Saldivar, another nurse. Court documents, too. :-)

130. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:15:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701201534.00961.00000674@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48044

In article <20020701070704.01552.00000297@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>I have read your reply comments, K4YZ's comments, reply comments and
>supplement, and many others.

Bully for you. :-)

Next you will tell everyone that you are a "fair and impartial judge"
and the hall will explode with laughter.

"Heah come de judge! Heah come de judge!" :-)

>According to the FCC ECFS, that package was accepted on January 13, 1999. Just
>two days before the reply comment deadline. When those reply comments appeared
>on the ECFS system is unknown, but delays at that time were typically 48-72
>hours or more, because of the volume being received by FCC.

Does that make them "invalid," or what, judge?

>So your reply comments did not appear for public viewing until after the
>January 15 deadline. You did not file comments, only reply comments.

Ah, so the bench wants to "throw it all out as inadmissible?!?"

>> Are you going to be so anal retentive as to
>>want evidence of the mail registry forms?!?!? [sorry, that's not on Google]
>
>Doesn't matter when they were sent, only when they got to FCC. FCC says
>January 13.

Was that within the deadline or was it not? [the deadline was 15 January 1999]

You ARE anal-retentive, aren't you?

>Nobody has said any of it was not legal.

Oh? Then why are you making such a hissy-fit about it?

>but failed to give any details as to how that could be done.

Then you didn't do the "required" Google search, sport. Go check
the archives of this newsgroup on the dates I gave, judge. The
poor baby just HAD to take his newsgroup argument to the
government to try to win his case. Oh, boo hoo, some Extra
couldn't win his case in a newsgroup so he tries a higher
venue to continue his "argument." :-(

>So what? He also acknowledged free speech rights. Seems like you think free
>speech only applies to you and not to those who disagree with you.

You seem to think that your opinions MUST predominate in this
newsgroup, up to and including a lot of LOOKING THE OTHER WAY
when PCTA Extras use liberal amounts of profanity, inuendo, and
personal insults.

Poor baby. You want open warfare in words? Do you defend others'
misuse of civility as "rightful?" Do you consider harrassment and
personal insults "discussion" or "debate?"

You apparently do because you condone all those actions because
out say so little about PCTA hams' years-long postings.

Everyone gets the picture clearly.

There's a double standard. Anything, absolutely ANYTHING is "free"
if another is in agreement with your opinions. Anyone having
differing opinions becomes a target for implications of wrongdoing,
illegalities, with absolutely no hesitation to use libelous personal
insults.

No problem. It sets an example of some in amateur radio of today,
their attitudes, their behavior.

131. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:15:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701201537.00961.00000675@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48045

In article <9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>> CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago.
>
>That is incorrect.

:-)

Reading problems? Look at the word before "43."

Is that or is that not the word "about?" Do you need a dictionary definition for "about?" Would you like me to change "about" to "approximately" or possibly "nearly?"

2002 - 1958 = 44. Now, what was the MONTH of the FCC R&O creating Class C and D Citizens Band radio service? Are you going to quibble about FRACTIONS of a month and make a big federal case out of that? :-)

Hmmm...let's see...Jimmie was a tiny child when the Class D R&O was made law. I was a working adult then, also a year later (in 1959) when I bought an E. F. Johnson Viking Messenger Class D CB and installed it in my car (1953 Austin-Healey sports car, later into a 1960 Chevy convertible). Did Jimmie have a driver's license back then? [LICENSING is very important to Jimmie, yes?] :-)

I guess it's terribly, terribly important to be supremely accurate on time spans with Jimmie...especially if they are within his own life experience. :-)

Tell you what...I might take out a full-page ad in RF Design News magazine anouncing this TERRIBLE ERROR that did not make "about 43" be EXACTLY 44 years ago. Would that please your royal whatever? :-)

>> Hams of that time
>> were generally incensed at this terrible intrusion into their precious
>> spectrum (the small 11m band below the big 10m band) and, worst
>> of all (!), the FCC taking it away from them! Oh, horrors, the evil
>> that befell hams! :-)
>
>Should the hams of the time have simply allowed the reallocation of
>the band without protest?

Ho ho ho...big INCOMPLETE HISTORY buff! "Hams of the time" DID protest and in every venue they could find...including audiences at work where most folks didn't give a damn about their protests. Oh, the evil of it all...the ungodly allowance of ORDINARY CITIZENS on the sacred ground of HF without so much as taking one radio operator test! Oh, oh, oh...and NO morse code test involved! Oh, oh, oh, the terrible shame of it all! :-)

The FCC listened to all sides and made the decision. The 11m band wasn't used much at all by radio amateurs at the time. Hams had their biggest HF band just "next door" in the spectrum, all 1700 KHz of it...same as what they have now.

The creation of CB was OVER FOUR DECADES AGO, renowned history lister. That's approximately two generations ago.

You were NOT aware of the climate then, took NO part in it, indeed COULD NOT, yet you make yourself sound like an "expert witness" to the times...and then you demand absolute accuracy. All you have is a lot of emotional claptrap working on a band that was taken away from radio amateurs way back before you could possibly be licensed.

>Hams dislike being blamed for RFI caused by CB users.

You have polled every single US licensed radio amateur for this gem?!?!? Oh. YOU "represent" all of them! [I should have known]

>Hams who have
>earned licenses and comply with the rules also dislike being
>categorized with unlicensed folks who break the rules. It's a bit like
>serious astronomers being confused with astrologers.

Make up your mind. First you jabber about happenings over four decades ago and now you switch to the here and now. Try to stay in focus...and we will get the picture clearly... :-)

>> It seems to me that a group of dedicated hams could just as well
>> exercise their talents and will by organizing and DF-ing on the
>> freebanders, logging those times and frequencies and content,
>> then informing the FCC.
>
>Sounds like a plan. But it is important to note the source of a
>problem, rather than simply attack the symptoms. Many of the invaders
>are not in the USA at all.

Are you hinting at ALIEN INVADERS?!?!? Did you rent a video of "Independence Day?"

:-)

>> Back in half the time that CB has been around there were no
>> cell phones on VHF or UHF. At the end of December 2001
>> there were no less than 129 million cell phone subscribers in
>> the USA (statement of the FCC in a Public Notice). That's

>> about one in every three citizens. In less than two decades
>> the cellular telephone industry has grown at an explosive rate.
>
>Sure - as part of an overall growth in telecom over the past 20 years
>or so. Note, however, that the telecom bubble has burst. WorldCom's
>admission of billions of dollars of accounting goofs is simply one
>example.

OH WOW!!! STOP THE PRESSES!!! Insert a SPECIAL
BULLETIN underbar on the video and prep the news team!

128,700,000 Cellular telephone subscribers have all been
PUT OFF THE AIR according to Jimmie!!!

Fraud by one corporation's executives has NOW NEGATED
TELECOM ENTIRE!!!

Film at eleven...

>> That should be an indicator that new radio services (cell phones
>> are little two-way radios) can be accepted by the citizenry, can
>> grow at an amazing rate, and be supported by industry.
>
>Because there is money to be made, and the cellphones are under the
>control of the industry (for the most part).

Oh, oh! Evil MONEY brought up in the picture!!!

Why are you making some kind of federal case on EVIL MONEY
"justifying" a new radio service? Is the EM spectrum to be
awarded only for non-profit, noble, good, and saintly "free" use?

Hey...doomsayer of evil capitalists...have HRO give away radios
to hams or at least AT COST...let the ARRL give away member-
ship and books and periodicals...because MONEY and PROFIT
are evil! Sounds like what you are implying... :-)

>> CB is only about four decades into its existance and its
>> unlicensed users outnumber all licensed hams by about 7:1
>> or 8:1.
>
>Pure speculation, probably wishful thinking.

Well, Mr. Error-Spotter, the EIA (Electronic Industries Alliance,
formerly Electronic Industries Association) used to keep tabs
on different electronics product areas manufactured and sold.
Some figures remembered from a dozen or so years ago had
the number of CBs sold at over the ten million mark.

I don't say that all of them are still being used. I'm not even
into "wishful thinking" even though you insist on a sideways
pejorative by putting that phrase into your nastygram.

>FCC gave up licensing CB a quarter-century ago.

Are you going to issue another hissy-fit about EXACT number
of years? :-)

>Without licenses or other registration, how can
>you determine the number of CB users with any accuracy?

While the hills of my residence area make NVIS techniques
almost a must on HF, there are still a couple low points to
Interstate 5 which runs past only a mile away (four lanes each
direction, a major vehicle route for the L.A. area). ANY HF
receiver tuning the CB band will result in an abundance of
heterodynes, enough to make this part of the urban area
next to useless for CB.

>The ratio could be 1:1 for all anyone knows.

Is this "wishful thinking" on your part? :-)

How did you arrive at that ratio? Is it ACCURATE?

You can't stand the slightest ERROR...how can you live with
yourself on posting INEXACT numbers?!?

>25 years ago, 27 MHz CB antennas were a common sight on vehicles and
>homes in this area. Today they are rarer than ham radio antennas. Even
>truckers are turning to MURS, cell phones and other radio services.

WOW! You'd better write an Op-Ed piece for QST and lay into
those evil radio users! Good grief, lowly truckers using ANY
kind of radio for their jobs!

>> Since the allocated frequency band is small (about
>> 400 KHz), I am surprised that there aren't more freebanders
>> looking for more EM space.
>
>Why are you surprised? Do you think freebanding is somehow justified?
>Sounds like it.

FLAME WAR IGNITION ALERT!! Jimmie wants a FLAME WAR!!!

Casual listening on HF doesn't reveal to me any sort of DRASTIC
CAUSE FOR ALARM about freebanders. All two of them heard
don't sound like there is an "invasion of the spectrum snatchers."

Yet you want to make everyone think I am "supporting freebanders!"

Misdirection, misdirection. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>Why is it the responsibility of hams to act as enforcement agents for
>the FCC, particularly when the violations are committed by nonhams?

I'd call it an aspect of "citizenship." You ever hear of "citizenship"
or "civic duty?"

If unlawful RF transmission occurs WITHIN amateur radio bands,
are you going to sit around and DO NOTHING? Will you wait for

the ARRL to your job for you on reporting?

Or will you just sit around newsgroups and make yourself sound
all-important, all-knowing, and starting flame wars with those who
don't share your opinions?

>Are the free speech rights of the law-abiding unimportant?

SAYING NOTHING is a weird, perverse way to exercise "free
speech." :-)

>> The old 11m ham
>> band went away because of little use by hams with more
>> promise shown by a new radio service called CB.
>
>Incorrect.

Prove your charge. [go ahead, don't bother to make my day... :-)]

>FCC intended CB for short-range business and personal communications.
>They never thought that large numbers of users of the new service
>would simply ignore FCC rules. But that's what happened, and FCC found
>it had created a mess without the resources to clean it up.

OK. Do you think the FCC has "ignored" the experience?
Do you think the FCC will go ahead and make other new radio
services with the same arrangement of regulations? The FCC
has made a large number of new radio services in the four decades
since CB was created.

Yes, everyone gets the picture...if the FCC doesn't satisfy YOUR
wishes or the ARRL's wishes, then the FCC is making a "dreadful,
evil, stupid mistake." Of course... :-)

>In the mid 1970s there was an effort to clean up the mess by creating
>"Class E" CB - by reallocating the 220-225 MHz ham band to channelized
>FM. "Industry" (the EIA) liked this idea, in part because almost none
>of the 27 MHz equipment and accessories would be usable on 220-225. CB
>users hated it for that reason, and others (like no "skip" and
>more-expensive "linears"). End result was 40 channel CB - on 27 MHz.

Now, now...two and a half decades ago in ANOTHER radio service
wasn't part of the subject thread. Why do you go and introduce
extraneous, non-relevant information (other than try to misdirect)?

Where is this "Class E" CB now? :-)

>> Part of 10m could go the same way.
>
>Sounds like you would like for that to happen.

WARNING...ANOTHER FLAME WAR IGNITION POINT!!!!

Poor baby, is that the best you can do for word-twisting?

Do you need a Yiddish-English dictionary to get some nice,
mittle-Yurpean swear words? [I know one user in here who
does...:-)]

You don't want to admit it, but there is NOTHING sacred about
amateur spectrum space allocations...no divinity will protect
them since all the allocations for all radio services are man-made.
Radio amateurs aren't given resources because they think they
are superior in radio or are noble, honest, good, and true saints.

The 10m band is 1700 KHz wide. It is much wider than any
other HF band allocation. Is it used enough to qualify for
continued amateur allocation even on a secondary basis?
You don't "prove" anything by becoming upset at another who
points out that OTHER radio services and OTHER citizens
MIGHT want a piece of that spectrum. You can't stop
anything by making nastygrams to me or anyone else for
pointing out that spectral allocations are negotiated and
handled by administrations. If you can't prove your case to
the administrations, you don't keep the allocation.

Go ahead, bitch and whine about not being "given your rightful
due." In newsgroups. Try to start whatever flame war you
want. That sort of fire doesn't help your case one bit, is sooty,
dirty, with a lot of smoke that will blow away.

132. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:17:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afqres$2kt$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 48046

Brian Kelly wrote:
>

> Whatta pair, you two are a perfect match . . .
> >
> >
> w3rv

Brian I believe you're right almost all the time, but you sure got that one wrong. I suppose it is futile to disagree with the leadheaded genius though.

133. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:17:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afqrf9$2kt$1@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 48047

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> The inmates -are- in charge of the asylum.

And you're the only sane soul remaining on the planet. right!

They didn't agree with the FCC
> dropping the 13wpm exam, thus its back thinly disguised as 13-15wpm
> Farnsworth.

For the 43rd time, Farnsworth has been in use in testing for a dozen
years.
So how does it get "back"? Did you loan Farnsworth your time machine so
he could go back to the future?

Who says the standards have been lowered?
>
> > then they needed to spell out the testing procedures
> > in painstaking detail in Part 97, including the
> > mandating of informing the examinee of all waivers,
> > accomodations and options available to him or her.
> > And being able to request variable speed and tone

134. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:17:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afqrfg$2kt$2@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 48048

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> I think Len would make a great Extra.

That probably doesn't surprise anyone except maybe Len.

135. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:17:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afqrfk$2kv$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 48049

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> The inmates -are- in charge of the asylum.

And you're the only sane soul remaining on the planet. right!

They didn't agree with the FCC
> dropping the 13wpm exam, thus its back thinly disguised as 13-15wpm
> Farnsworth.

For the 43rd time, Farnsworth has been in use in testing for a dozen
years.
So how does it get "back"? Did you loan Farnsworth your time machine so
he could go back to the future?

Who says the standards have been lowered?
>
> > then they needed to spell out the testing procedures
> > in painstaking detail in Part 97, including the
> > mandating of informing the examinee of all waivers,
> > accomodations and options available to him or her.
> > And being able to request variable speed and tone

136. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:18:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afqrfq$2kv$1@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 48050

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> I think Len would make a great Extra.

That probably doesn't surprise anyone except maybe Len.

137. Re: CW group C.F.O. anyone know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:19:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Yj6U8.1488$Qa5.63062173@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47951

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701201039.10462.00000276@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <8GYT8.1184$Ls6.54540545@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020701070702.01552.00000296@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >> In article <RlOT8.1040$YW3.45452567@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> >> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >There are no first class cw types here.
> >>
> >> How would you know?
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >List them.
> >
> You did not answer the question. You say that none exist but you offer no
> evidence. Why should anyone give you any credibility after that?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, OK, here's my list:

Does it match your list?

Brian

138. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:25:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Sp6U8.1491$1d5.63185102@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47952

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701201533.00961.00000673@mb-bj.aol.com...

> You could make it up to him by sending him a DVD of old "ER" episodes
> featuring George Clooney playing an MD. That way his fantasy life in
> medicine could be enlarged...he never made MD, you see. :-)

Sorry, but G. Clooney is unbelievable in any role except that "Oh
Brother..." movie.

Steve never made it to "Extra" in the medical world, huh?

I wonder why not?

139. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:30:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nu6U8.1492$4e5.63252495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47953

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701201029.10462.00000273@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <20020630231142.08659.00000329@mb-mo.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >In article <jBKT8.942$j93.42470706@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> >Burke"
> ><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> >>> Hey, "licensed" one - Might check the regulations. In case you ever
> >>> do, you will note that amateur radio and CB are under entirely
different
> >>> rules. That's because they are different SERVICES. That holds true for
> >>> the "treaty" also, so for your education and edification, they have no
> >>> relationship to each other.
> >>
> >>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
> >
> >45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF.
>
> Incorrect. As pointed out in another post, Class A and Class B CB (UHF,
460 MHz
> region) were created by the FCC in 1948. That's 54 years ago.
>
> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake, "Lenover21". Set a good example
for
> young Brian.
>
> Jim, N2EY

Jim, I thought you said that CB came in in 1958 - the year I was born. I'm
43 years old. Wouldn't that make Len correct?

73, Brian

140. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:32:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ew6U8.1493$We5.63286591@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47954

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701200501.17171.00003085@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >One day it required a morse code exam, the next day it didn't require a
> >morse code exam.
>
> Perhaps this explains why you are so confused about Morse code testing,
Brian.
>
> Morse code testing does not change the laws of physics.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

So other modes could be used, not just Morse/CW? Its not physics?

Why did the FCC condemn Novices to CW?

;^)

There, I remembered the smiley face.

141. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:37:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rB6U8.1494$Zg5.63420700@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47955

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701201037.10462.00000275@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <do1U8.1350$fH3.57883963@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com...
> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20020630231143.08659.00000330@mb-mo.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> > CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago.
> >>
> >> That is incorrect.
> >>
> >> Class A and Class B CB (UHF) were created in the USA in 1948. That's
> >> 54 years ago. Class C and D CB (27 MHz) were created in the USA in
> >> 1958.
> >>
> >> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake. ;-)
> >
> >Some mistake! 27 MHz CB is not 43 years old?
>
> Lenover21 stated that CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago. That
is not
> correct.

What number system are you using?

> >> > >I'll tell you what the more likely scenario is, if you can't contain
> >these
> >> > >jokers to 11m... the FCC will have no choice but to "expand the
> >pigpen", and
> >> > >open 10m up as an unlicensed service.
> >> >
> >> > It seems to me that a group of dedicated hams could just as well
> >> > exercise their talents and will by organizing and DF-ing on the
> >> > freebanders, logging those times and frequencies and content,
> >> > then informing the FCC.
> >>
> >> Sounds like a plan. But it is important to note the source of a
> >> problem, rather than simply attack the symptoms. Many of the invaders
> >> are not in the USA at all.
> >
> >We invade foreign lands and kill terrorists, spray Coca Plantations,
seize

> >financial assets.
>
> Works for me.

But we can't say "boo" to foreign governments that we donate millions to to crack down on freebanders?

> >> 11 meters was reallocated by the FCC for two reasons:
> >>
> >> 1) The FCC could easily reallocate it under the treaties in effect at
> >> the time.
> >
> >Being easy doesn't say "why" it was done.
>
> It explains why 27 MHz and not some other part of the spectrum that made more
> sense for a short-range mobile radio service.
> >
> >> 2) Low powered channelized AM voice equipment for 27 MHz could be
> >> manufactured inexpensively using late-1950s technology.
> >
> >And? Why did they do it?
>
> Isn't it clear? UHF CB had been around for 10 years but had few takers because
> the available equipment that worked well was very expensive.

I've never heard of UHF CB. I've only heard of GMRS. Is that what you're trying to call CB?

> >> It was not a smart choice by the FCC.
> >>
> >> FCC intended CB for short-range business and personal communications.
> >> They never thought that large numbers of users of the new service
> >> would simply ignore FCC rules. But that's what happened, and FCC found
> >> it had created a mess without the resources to clean it up.
> >
> >Finally the why.
> >
> It was a dumb move by the FCC. But the FCC could not and cannot say "We made a
> really dumb move",

Why not?

142. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:38:30 UTC
From: Robert Abrams <at321@autotelecomms321.org>
Message-ID: <afqsmeche0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 47956
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gyl2btw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 15:27:11 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:
>
> >
> > BULLSHIT! multiple complaints are filed.
>
> What have you done to follow-up on said complaints? Has your
> attorney had a sit-down with Charlie Megan if you think that
> they shoukld be given hugher priority?
>
> If you expect things to happen by themselves you are seeing the
> results of such waiting.
>
> >One give a shit jackass
>
> Your command of the English language is exquisite....
>
> If you think someone is not doing his job, file a complaint with the
> Inspector General.
>
> >sitting in Laurel MD is not and never will be "monitoring."
>
> Depends what you call "monitoring". If you are thinking of the
> classic "cruising" where someone is spinning the dial up anf doen
> the bands looking for signals that shouldn't be there, forget it
> with present staffing.. The Congress was very clear in its slashing
> of posiitions in 1996 that they are not interested in spending money
> on radio enforcement, especially the manpower necessary to do cruising
> as compared to folks who deal with wireline carrier competition, media
> conglomeration, and getting new non-licensed wireless gadgets into the
> hands of the know-nothing public.
>
> The system is designed and used for long-range spot-frequency
> HF DF-ing on call, so to speak, and for HF band occupancy
> studies in connection with international surveys.
>
> Is your problem that you don't have an on-call priority like the
> military and Federal law enforcement folks do?
>
> >Last year an unlicensed pirate station operated within five miles of
> >Laurel MD for months, and the wizards at the "unmanned" facility never
> >detected it.

>
> Pirate in what service? If it was that close to the station the
> case would have been in the jurisdictionof the field investigator
> (single) for action as appropriate depending on the priority assigned
> which depends on where in the spectrum the signal is and who filed the
> complaint.
>
> >A collection
> >of flashing lights, bells, and computer screens set up just to impress
> >visiting congressman and other fools.
>
> Are you a salesman for Zrta Systems who were POed because we
> didn't buy their DoD-overrun packages (as I and several others
> had recommended)?
>
> My man at Laurel assures me that the system works very well for
> its intended purpose - long range HF DF to the precision to put a
> mobile DF unit close enough, or for sitting on a specific frequency
> for a specific purpose.
>
> >Monitoring? Never!
>
> Or at least until The Congress breaks loose the funds for
> cruising.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

More Bureaucratic Psycho-Babble.

Measurable tangible Results? ZERO, as usual per normal
bureaucratic status quo.

With all best wishes,
Bob Abrams

143. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:48:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RL6U8.1500$Mi5.63515878@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47957

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701201537.00961.00000675@mb-bj.aol.com...
> In article <9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >> CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago.
> > >
> > >That is incorrect.
> >
> > :-)
> >
> > Reading problems? Look at the word before "43."
> >
> > Is that or is that not the word "about?" Do you need a dictionary
> > definition for "about?" Would you like me to change "about" to
> > "approximately" or possibly "nearly?"
> >
> > 2002 - 1958 = 44. Now, what was the MONTH of the FCC R&O
> > creating Class C and D Citizens Band radio service? Are you
> > going to quibble about FRACTIONS of a month and make a big
> > federal case out of that? :-)
> >
> > Hmmm...let's see...Jimmie was a tiny child when the Class D
> > R&O was made law. I was a working adult then, also a year
> > later (in 1959) when I bought an E. F. Johnson Viking
> > Messenger Class D CB and installed it in my car (1953 Austin-
> > Healey sports car, later into a 1960 Chevy convertible). Did
> > Jimmie have a driver's license back then? [LICENSING is very
> > important to Jimmie, yes?] :-)
> >
> > I guess it's terribly, terribly important to be supremely accurate
> > on time spans with Jimmie...especially if they are within his own
> > life experience. :-)
> >
> > Tell you what...I might take out a full-page ad in RF Design News
> > magazine anouncing this TERRIBLE ERROR that did not make
> > "about 43" be EXACTLY 44 years ago. Would that please your
> > royal whatever? :-)

Jim, like Mike Deignan, will damn you for being ever so slightly inaccurate,
but will never say how far off you are because it is such a petty amount.
By saying how far off you were, would show his pettiness.

He simply states that you are wrong, Wrong, WRONG!!!
>

> >> Hams of that time
> >> were generally incensed at this terrible intrusion into their precious
> >> spectrum (the small 11m band below the big 10m band) and, worst
> >> of all (!), the FCC taking it away from them! Oh, horrors, the evil
> >> that befell hams! :-)
> >
> >Should the hams of the time have simply allowed the reallocation of
> >the band without protest?
>
> Ho ho ho...big INCOMPLETE HISTORY buff! "Hams of the time" DID
> protest and in every venue they could find...including audiences at
> work where most folks didn't give a damn about their protests. Oh,
> the evil of it all...the ungodly allowance of ORDINARY CITIZENS on
> the sacred ground of HF without so much as taking one radio
> operator test! Oh, oh, oh...and NO morse code test involved!
> Oh, oh, oh, the terrible shame of it all! :-)
>
> The FCC listened to all sides and made the decision. The 11m
> band wasn't used much at all by radio amateurs at the time.
> Hams had their biggest HF band just "next door" in the spectrum,
> all 1700 KHz of it...same as what they have now.

Was the Ten-Ten International a defensive reaction to losing the 11M band ?

> The creation of CB was OVER FOUR DECADES AGO, renowned
> history lister. That's approximately two generations ago.
>
> You were NOT aware of the climate then, took NO part in it,
> indeed COULD NOT, yet you make yourself sound like an
> "expert witness" to the times...and then you demand
> absolute accuracy. All you have is a lot of emotional claptrap
> working on a band that was taken away from radio amateurs
> way back before you could possibly be licensed.

Kind of like Val coming on here saying all the "right" things about Morse/CW
and bashing the NCTA - before he even had a license.

144. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:49:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RM6U8.1501$Vk5.63649897@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47958

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afqrfg$2kt$2@208.206.142.66...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > I think Len would make a great Extra.
>
> That probably doesn't surprise anyone except maybe Len.

Dick, what's your claim to fame?

145. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:50:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hN6U8.1502$cl5.63669508@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47959

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afqrfq$2kv$1@208.206.142.66...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > I think Len would make a great Extra.
>
> That probably doesn't surprise anyone except maybe Len.

Dick, what's your claim to fame?

146. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:53:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aQ6U8.1505$em5.63715540@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47960

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afqrf9$2kt$1@208.206.142.66...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > The inmates -are- in charge of the asylum.
>
> And you're the only sane soul remaining on the planet. right!
>
What am I in charge of? My only problem is that I can read, and have read
Part 97. You should try it some time.
>
> They didn't agree with the FCC
> > dropping the 13wpm exam, thus its back thinly disguised as 13-15wpm
> > Farnsworth.
>
> For the 43rd time, Farnsworth has been in use in testing for a dozen
> years.
> So how does it get "back"? Did you loan Farnsworth your time machine so
> he could go back to the future?
>
So they had been breaking the rules for a dozen years before admitting it?
What was that stupid ARRL press announcement all about last year?

147. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:54:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NQ6U8.1506$Mg5.63407343@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47961

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afqrfk$2kv$0@208.206.142.66...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > The inmates -are- in charge of the asylum.
>
Do we have to go through this again? Just go back and read the first one.
>
> And you're the only sane soul remaining on the planet. right!
>
>
>
> They didn't agree with the FCC
> > dropping the 13wpm exam, thus its back thinly disguised as 13-15wpm
> > Farnsworth.
>
>
>
> For the 43rd time, Farnsworth has been in use in testing for a dozen
> years.
> So how does it get "back"? Did you loan Farnsworth your time machine so
> he could go back to the future?
>
>
>
>
> Who says the standards have been lowered?
> >
> > > then they needed to spell out the testing procedures
> > > in painstaking detail in Part 97, including the
> > > mandating of informing the examinee of all waivers,
> > > accomodations and options available to him or her.
> > > And being able to request variable speed and tone
>
>

148. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:57:54 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gylatsy.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 47962

On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 00:01:11 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>
>> The 11-meter band complies with the laws of physics, Brian. Why do you
>> think it does not?
>>

>One day it required a morse code exam, the next day it didn't require a
>morse code exam.

Several years before that, operation on the 15m band didn't
require.a Morse code exam or an amateur license. The next day it
did.

One day being the operator at a television transmitter required
holding a license that had a touhh exam. The next day it didn't.

So what?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

149. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 00:57:54 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gylb2pz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 47963

On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 00:04:40 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>> The treaty requires Morse code knowledge for Amateur Radio HF access.
>> Knowledge of Morse code is not required for other HF radio services.

>If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be important
>enough for paid professionals to learn it.
>
>I mean, shouldn't they have to know it to prevent interference?

Operators handling manual Morse traffic on HF in the Fixed, Mobile,
or Marine services on HF are required to pass Morse tests which are
more rigorous than the former Amateur Radio Service tests.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

150. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:10:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701211039.19569.00001588@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47964

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/01/02 20:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020701201534.00961.00000674@mb-bj.aol.com>

>You seem to think that your opinions MUST predominate in this
>newsgroup, up to and including a lot of LOOKING THE OTHER WAY
>when PCTA Extras use liberal amounts of profanity, inuendo, and
>personal insults.

No less than YOU think YOUR opinion must "predominate", Lennie. You are adamandt that you thrust your opinion into a newsgroup for an avocation of which wyou are not an active participant...What's THAT all about?

>Poor baby. You want open warfare in words? Do you defend others'
>misuse of civility as "rightful?" Do you consider harrassment and
>personal insults "discussion" or "debate?"
>

Weare forced to tolerate YOURS, Lennie. Why should anyone else be any different?

>No problem. It sets an example of some in amateur radio of today,
>their attitudes, their behavior.

Lennie, you are scratching for straws to grab at, and even then still coming up empty handed.

Sucks to be you.

151. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:17:43 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <afquus$q40$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 47965

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:6RDT8.1131$%d2.32157945@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:afm5g4$po9$0@208.206.142.97...
> >
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > Dave, who routinely worked
> > > > French Hams out of band on 6M. He says he has no responsibility to
>
> refrain from QSOing bootleggers.
> >
> > > It is not my responsibility to ensure that each station I work is
within
> > > his authorized band segment or for that matter, to check whether or
not
> > > the guy is indeed a licensed ham.
> >
> > Yep, it's just more blather from airhead Gadfly. I suppose he makes it
> > a point to make sure of each country's allocations by license class so
> > he can tell them when they land in unauthorized territory. I would
> > imagine he would have notified JY1 likewise.
> > Whatta rule following fella he is! Er, except for following the rules
> > that applied to the code test, that is. He opted to sit and bitch
> > instead.
>
> Dick, if/when you are working French hams 200 khz out of their
> authorization, and you are then made aware of the problem, do you continue
> to work the French bootleggers?

Juust "how" does Dick know the French are out-of-band? I don't
have their rules/regs. Do you?

> What's wrong with following the rules? Are you a rules breaker?

The US ham is NOT breaking any rules.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

152. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:18:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701211835.19569.00001590@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47966

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/01/02 20:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020701201533.00961.00000673@mb-bj.aol.com>

>Thank you for the compliment, Brian, but now you've become a target for this
>poor little baby who can't take criticsm of any kind.

Sorry, Lennie. You lose again. Brian, like you, is his own worst enemy,
but at least he doesn't find it necessary to be the belittling, hateful putz
you are. I don't care for his position on many items but at least he can be
receptive to input. You're mortared shut.

Also, Brian has been caught in some errors before for which he had the
intestinal fortitude to say "I was wrong". Again, much unlike you.

Sorry to disappoint you, Lennie

G'Nite!

153. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:24:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gylbz50.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 47967
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 20:38:30 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:

> More Bureaucratic Psycho-Babble.
>
> Measurable tangible Results? ZERO, as usual per normal
> bureaucratic status quo.

Don't hide your feelings...Let it all hang out, baby...

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

154. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:24:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gylcp11.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 47968

On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 00:32:36 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>Why did the FCC condemn Novices to CW?

"Because I'm the Mommy, that's why."

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

155. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:29:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701212930.19569.00001593@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47969

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/01/02 20:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020701201537.00961.00000675@mb-bj.aol.com>

>Is that or is that not the word "about?" Do you need a dictionary
>definition for "about?" Would you like me to change "about" to
>"approximately" or possibly "nearly?"

It doesn't matter. You were wrong. Wrong is wrong, Lennie.

>Are you
>going to quibble about FRACTIONS of a month and make a big
>federal case out of that?

Why not? You have before.

>Hmmm...let's see...Jimmie was a tiny child when the Class D
>R&O was made law. I was a working adult then...(SNIP)

I am sure you were "working"....Hollywood and Vine, wasn't it?

>I guess it's terribly, terribly important to be supremely accurate
>on time spans with Jimmie...especially if they are within his own
>life experience.

The post made by Lennie the Liar that created all this uproar was one in
which he asserted that CB, including the UHF allocations, was not in existance
45 years ago. That was significantly in error.

Lennie has left that part out.

It was put upon him to acknowledge his mistake. He has not.

Lennie the Gutless has not disappointed us, that's for sure.

Steve

156. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:35:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D21034F.22EF05F8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47970

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com...
> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20020630231143.08659.00000330@mb-mo.aol.com>...
>
> Hams who have
> > earned licenses and comply with the rules also dislike being
> > categorized with unlicensed folks who break the rules. It's a bit like
> > serious astronomers being confused with astrologers.
>
> I also dislike being categorized with licensed folks who break the rules,
> such as the ARRL and W5YI VECs, Dick sending bad code, and Dave working
> French hams out of band. Time to clean up our act!

I wasn't out of the band when working French hams, Brian. I've chosen
to ignore the rest of your tirade as the rant of a guy who reall enjoys
amateur radio. After all, you've told us as much.

Dave K8MN

157. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:48:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47971

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D20799C.38599A9A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D1FD0A8.8BEB964C@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D1F7DFE.C7F6ED1B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, Dave said he would work anyone who came up on his frequency,
> > > whether
> > > > > > he
> > > > > > > knew their authorization or not, because he was within -his-
> > > > > authorization.
> > > > > > > He was breaking no rule. Apparently he doesn't mind knowingly
> help
> > > > > other
> > > > > > > people break the rules. Also, he was not responsible for
> sending
> > > them
> > > > > QSL
> > > > > > > cards either, as that was his QSL manager's problem.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not exactly, Brian. I wouldn't have answered someone calling me
> in a
> > > > > pileup using, "Claymore Mine" or "Toot Toot The Cracker Wagon".
> At no
> > > > > time did I help or assist anyone in breaking his government's
> rules.
> > > > > Anyone who did that did it of his own volition.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave is forever the innocent bystander, just watching as contact
> after
> > > > > contact is logged in his books.
> > > >
> > > > I never claimed to be a bystander. If it's bystander you want, you'll
> > > > have to talk to Len Anderson. I'm actively working stations and
> putting
> > > them in the log. I said: "At no time did I help or assist anyone in

> > > > breaking his government's rules. Anyone who did that did it of his own
> > > > volition." I don't think it can be made clearer than that.
> > >
> > > Dave, impossible. A little logic is required here. If they're
> operating
> > > out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an innocent
> > > bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r rules.
> >
> > If a little logic is required, try applying it. I don't assist someone
> > by operating where I am permitted to operate. The individual who
> > decides to key his mike or operate his morse key breaks his country's
> > regulations by doing so in a band segment where he is not permitted.
> > He does that whether I reply to him or not.
>
> Its when you reply (and QSL) that encourages the others to also break the
> law.

So to you, the fact that a DX station operating legitimately is on the
air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations? You are
sticking to your story that I should check on the band authorizations
for the stations who call me in a pileup situation? You believe that
having my QSL manager send out a card with the exact frequency used
somehow makes French reg breakers more eager to snag a QSO? What
happened to that little logic you spoke of? I haven't noted you using
it.

> Is it a law that you must reply to people that you know are operating out of
> band?

Brian. Allow this to sink in: The moment they key a radio out of band,
they have broken their regs. I regularly hear desirable DX on
frequencies below 14.150 when tuning 20m. It doesn't matter to me that
I need a contact. I do not call them below 14.150 because my regs say
that I can't.

> > > > > > You are right about QSLing though. I had no responsibility for
> QSLing
> > > > > > other than to periodically send log extracts to WA8JOC. He didn't
> > > check
> > > > > > whether a guy who worked me was within his authorized band segment
> > > > > > either. Since I logged my pileup frequency and it was printed on
> my
> > > QSL
> > > > > > card going to that station, there must be a load of French guys
> who
> > > have
> > > > > > DX cards confirming a QSO on 50.110 or 50.115. I'll bet they
> don't
> > > show
> > > > > > them to their local pals, huh?
> > > > >

> > > > > Why not? Were they out of band or something?
> > > >
> > > > If a French station worked me out of his authorized segment, he'd
> hardly
> > > > be able to show a QSL on which was printed a frequency he is not
> > > > supposed to use, would he?
> > >
> > > Dave, why not? Is it now illegal to show off postcards in France? The
> > > illegal act was making the emission out of band, not showing off a
> postcard.
> >
> > If you robbed a convenience store and got away with it, you'd not likely
> > be showing your pals an 8 x 10 glossy of you doing so. If you are a ham
> > who has worked some DX outside your authorized band segment, you'd not
> > likely wave about a QSL card which proves that you broke your country's
> > regs.
>
> Proof? I've gotten plenty of QSL cards that have errors on them.

Cards that you're about to send out or those that you've received?
Mine didn't have errors. They were correctly printed from my computer
log extracts.

> > > > > > It is nice of you to be so concerned for the state of amateur
> radio in
> > > > > > France. Have you contacted the French PTT yet? Would you be
> > > interested
> > > > > > in extracts from my 50 MHz logs for J5, 9L, A2 and 5H or are there
> > > other
> > > > > > bands or band segments which you feel French amateurs may have
> used
> > > > > > illegally?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, just go back to being an innocent by-stander. It's what you
> do
> > > best
> > > > > > when you find yourself in an indefensible position.
> > > >
> > > > I think I'm doing a bang up job of defending my position and making
> your
> > > > repeated squawks about the matter look absurd.
> > >
> > > You don't have a leg to stand on. Saying you do doesn't make it so.
> >
> > No, being right when I say it makes it so. Are you personally
> > responsible for every goober who breaks an amateur radio reg?
>
> Dave, if I discovered that the French Hams I'd been contacting were out of
> band, I would cease responding to their calls.

I'm happy for you, Brian and I'm sure that all of France celebrates your stand.

> > > > > > You know, come to think of it, some of those French guys could
> have
> > > been
> > > > > > using the illegal Farnsworth method!
> > > > >
> > > > > On 50.110-115? Hi! You better go talk to Larry about them rotten
> SSB
> > > > > types.
> > > >
> > > > CW is not prohibited on those frequencies. It fact, you'll often hear
> > > > it being used since 50.110 is the international calling frequency.
> > >
> > > So were they using Farnsworth, real Morse Code, or SSB?
> >
> > In a nutshell, the answer is YES. They WERE using morse using the
> > Farnsworth method, "real" morse code and SSB.
> >
> > > > > > Dave, you're really funny when your not so smug.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm funny even when I AM being smug.
> > >
> > > You're a real funny guy, but please, no more comments about my
> posterior.
> >
> > My comment wasn't designed to titillate you, Brian. If it made you feel
> > tingly all over, try to control yourself. I'm not responsible for your
> > misinterpretation of anything I write any more than I'm responsible for
> > the illegal operation by French hams.
>
> Dave, do you really think they would have made those illegal transmissions
> if "rare dx" wasn't going to answer and qsl them?

See my response above. I cannot be held responsible for the lack of self-restraint by others.

> Good grief.

I've never found grief to be good.

Dave K8MN

158. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:54:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2107A9.52E8F24C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47972

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D207A53.CB2C1CF7@earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D1FD196.E06CDF57@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > See if the
> > > > > League can provide you information on whether these cards were
> > > accepted
> > > > > for such credit. You may well have uncovered a massive DXCC cover
> up.
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Indeed.
> > > >
> > > > It is sure to be pervasive, Brian. There must be piles of money
> > > > changing hands and I'm sure you'll see some heads roll at the French
> PTT
> > > > and perhaps even at the DXCC desk. You could be the key whistle
> blower.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > You overestimate the importance of your misdeeds.
> >
> > Not at all, Brian. You misinterpret my deeds as misdeeds and you
> > certainly have overestimated their importance. In the course of doing
> > so, you've provided lots of laughs.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I don't overestimate their importance. Should you get a pass on the > wrong things you do just because they are minor in importance?

My station was operated in accordance with the regs set forth by the
government of Tanzania. I used appropriate power levels and stayed
within the frequencies allocated for amateur radio use by the Tanzanian
PTT. You really want to direct your ire toward French scofflaw hams and

the French PTT for their lack of enforcement. I am only responsible for how MY station is operated. I am not responsible for how YOUR station is operated. In order for me to get a "pass" I would have to have broken Tanzanian regs.

Aren't you supposed to be gone?

Dave K8MN

159. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 01:59:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2108D9.F2A4E047@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47973

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:afpr4h$q91$0@208.206.142.111...
>
> > You insist that Dave is responsible for some French ham working outside
> > HIS autoirizations just because Dave did talk to him.
>
> If Dave stopped completing QSOs once he found out that they were out > of band, that would be the end of the story. But to continue racking > up contacts, QSL cards, and possibly greenstamps makes one wonder what > his motivation was.

Ahhh, the greenstamp ploy huh? Brain, I've spent more money out of my
pocket for QSLing over the years than your entire amateur station cost.
As to "racking up contacts" and "QSL cards", I have loads of logs and a
barn loft stuffed with boxes filled with QSLs. Do you think they might
be valueable?

You really live in the dark.

Dave K8MN

160. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:01:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701220115.09274.00000215@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 47974

In article <b36U8.1480$vZ4.62305535@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020701173721.19575.00001232@mb-dd.aol.com...
>> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>>
>> >Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
>>
>> Yes.
>>
>> >What magic happened when 11m stopped complying with physics?
>>
>> The 11-meter band complies with the laws of physics, Brian. Why do you
>think
>> it does not?
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>One day it required a morse code exam, the next day it didn't require a
>morse code exam.
>
One day hams could use it for ham radio, the next day they couldn't.
One day many modes were allowed, the next day it was AM and RC only
One day VFO was allowed, the next day it was channelized
One day 1000 watts input was allowed, the next day it was 5 watts
There are lots more.

73 de Jim, N2EY

161. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:05:59 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <afr1pe$27f$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 47975

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:0akT8.525$Gs7.21928139@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:afgejl$n9v$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > Nope, but I'm not the one that wants things changed.
> > Burden of proof falls to those that want the change in
> > this case.
>
> Changed? Changed to what? To meet the requirements in Part 97?

It is YOUR opinion that there is a violation.
Others, including myself do not agree with your
opinion. The FCC (IMHO) appears to agree
(else the FCC would make an issue of it). Or,
if you believe as you do, then the ball is in your court.

> Good grief, what am I saying? Now I'm the one who wants Status Quo!
>
> Actually, I'm just asking that we follow the rules as they exist. If YOU
> don't want to follow the rules, YOU should ask that Part 97 be changed.

I am totally confident that the FCC has already "blessed"
the Farnsworth spacing and, hence, there is NO issue
now...except if YOU want it so.

Do you complain that the police routinely ignore
speeders on major highways who are going
"with the flow"...even if the flow is 75/80 in a 65?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

162. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:28:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afr33sgro0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 47976

N2EY wrote:
>
> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake, "Lenover21". Set a good example for
> young Brian.
>

That or change his screen name to correspond to reality, something such
as
"Lenunder6"

163. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:35:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afr3icgro1@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 47977

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Aren't you supposed to be gone?
>

He has obviously conspired with Cecil to continue the nonstop NCTA
troll on rrap.

164. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:46:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701224634.08659.00000354@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47980

In article <h_5U8.1479$345.62684373@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> I am curious; by what means would they be able to make it more valuable that
>> all the rest of Amateur Radio combined? That is a pretty tall order,
>> considering the value that Amateur Radio brings to the world.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>Ed, sorry. I forgot the smiley face.

Go easy, Brian, Ed might have had a bad Hare day... :-)

165. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:46:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701224635.08659.00000355@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47979

In article <Jd6U8.1484$n45.62703763@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> >Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?
>>
>> Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's no
>need for?

Seems like it...he IS a professional amateur with the ARRL... :-)

166. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:46:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701224635.08659.00000356@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47981

In article <20020701174816.19575.00001236@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>> Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs should let
>>> people learn the characters at faster speed, but send those faster characters
>>> at a 5 wpm overall rate.
>
>>Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?
>
>Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.

How about, sometime, somebody suggest a slight correction in 97.3 (26) to bring it up to date? :-)

[sorry, I forgot you aren't reading my posts...:-)]

167. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:46:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701224636.08659.00000357@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47982

In article <20020701201037.10462.00000275@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake. ;-)
>>
>>Some mistake! 27 MHz CB is not 43 years old?
>
>Lenover21 stated that CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago. That is
>not correct.

What part of "about 43" isn't correct?

Oh, you found out that UHF CB was around BEFORE 1958!

Bully for "your side."

Ah so, in the "engineer's" anal-retentive NEED for accuracy,
such words as "about," "approximate," or other qualifiers
don't qualify!

Right..."43" is NOWHERE CLOSE to "44!"

:-) :-) :-) :-)

168. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:46:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701224636.08659.00000358@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47983

In article <20020701201029.10462.00000273@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
>>
>>45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF.
>
>Incorrect. As pointed out in another post, Class A and Class B CB (UHF, 460 MHz
>region) were created by the FCC in 1948. That's 54 years ago.
>
>Now be a big boy and admit your mistake, "Lenover21". Set a good example for
>young Brian.

Yes! STOP THE PRESSES!!! FLASH THE SPECIAL BULLETIN ON VIDEO!!!

I MADE A MISTAKE!

Yes, I typed "45" instead of "55."

SOUND THE HUE AND CRY! MOUNT THE CRUSADES AND KILL THE INFIDELS!!! AN OUTSIDER MADE AN ERROR!!! :-)

:-) :-) :-) :-) :-)

Now, now, Jimmie, anal-retentive activity doesn't reflect well on presenting a good picture of amateur radio to others...but this IS a double-standard "extra thing," isn't it? :-)

169. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:46:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701224637.08659.00000359@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47978

In article <20020701200501.17171.00003085@mb-cq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>One day it required a morse code exam, the next day it didn't require a
>>morse code exam.
>
>Perhaps this explains why you are so confused about Morse code testing,
>Brian.
>
>Morse code testing does not change the laws of physics.

No? :-)

170. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 02:55:21 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <afr4mpmta4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 47984
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.cb Robert Abrams <at321@autotelecomms321.org> wrote:

> popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
> U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that

This is not true. Some facilities were closed, others were set up for
remote operation.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

171. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:19:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui26st5rfntb3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Perhaps someone has more knowlege than I do on this and can offer a better time frame. I believe the FCC started shutting down facilities a long time before six years ago. We had a monitoring facility near Rochester, NY - it was located in Canadagua, which is only 25 miles or so from here. The FCC used to be a powerful government agency which DID enforce the regulations. I don't know what the extent its' monitoring facilities are, but there are FAR fewer FCC field agents than existed in the 60s. Anyone have info on this?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Robert Abrams" <at321@autotelecomms321.org> wrote in message
news:afprrqigm0@pita.alt.net...
>

> Unlicensed CB-type communications is commonly found in the
> 5,6,8,10,12, & 15 mHz bands. Most of it originates from the
> USA and is land based communications. HF comms equipment
> is sold throughout the U.S., and maritime equipment is particularly
> popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
> U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that
> they will not have to deal with it. Meanwhile, the problem grows
> larger each year. My company is licensed to use HF freqs., and we
> are jammed all the time by HF freebanders in the 6, 8 & 10 mhz
> bands.
>
> Robert Abrams
>

172. Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:25:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701232526.07384.00000192@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47990

In article <20020701201532.00961.00000672@mb-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>Oh, my, expressions of amazement are now "insults!"
>
>OK, I'll spread the word: "Nobody is allowed expressions of
>amazement or even exhulation!"

Whoops! I have to correct something, lest someone makes a federal case out of a typo!

It should be "exultation" not "exhulation." :-) :-) :-)

Oh, my, the Spelling Police might take away my license!!!!

:-) :-) :-)

173. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:25:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701232527.07384.00000194@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47987

In article <afqrfq$2kv$1@208.206.142.66>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>>
>> I think Len would make a great Extra.
>
>That probably doesn't surprise anyone except maybe Len.

Thank you, Richard, that was most kind of you to say that.

But, really, you didn't have to say it twice... :-)

174. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:25:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701232527.07384.00000193@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47989

In article <Sp6U8.1491$1d5.63185102@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020701201533.00961.00000673@mb-bj.aol.com...
>
>> You could make it up to him by sending him a DVD of old "ER" episodes
>> featuring George Clooney playing an MD. That way his fantasy life in
>> medicine could be enlarged...he never made MD, you see. :-)
>
>Sorry, but G. Clooney is unbelievable in any role except that "Oh
>Brother..." movie.
>
>Steve never made it to "Extra" in the medical world, huh?
>
>I wonder why not?

...we can only wonder... :-)

175. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:25:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701232528.07384.00000195@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47986

In article <afqrfg$2kt$2@208.206.142.66>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>>
>> I think Len would make a great Extra.
>
>That probably doesn't surprise anyone except maybe Len.

Thank you, Richard, that was most kind of you to say that.

:-)

176. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:25:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701232528.07384.00000196@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47988

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gylcp11.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 00:32:36 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>>Why did the FCC condemn Novices to CW?
>
> "Because I'm the Mommy, that's why."

Really? Gender bending is a prerequisite for FCC personnel?!?

:-)

177. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:25:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701232529.07384.00000198@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47991

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gylatsy.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 00:01:11 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>>> The 11-meter band complies with the laws of physics, Brian. Why do you
>>> think it does not?
>
>>One day it required a morse code exam, the next day it didn't require a
>>morse code exam.
>
> Several years before that, operation on the 15m band didn't
> require.a Morse code exam or an amateur license. The next day it
> did.
>
> One day being the operator at a television transmitter required
> holding a license that had a touhh exam. The next day it didn't.
>
> So what?

"So what" seems to be the new buzzword in here. :-)

Is that something from the legal profession, Phil?

178. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:25:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701232529.07384.00000197@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47992

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gylb2pz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 00:04:40 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>>> The treaty requires Morse code knowledge for Amateur Radio HF access.
>>> Knowledge of Morse code is not required for other HF radio services.
>
>>If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be important
>>enough for paid professionals to learn it.
>>
>>I mean, shouldn't they have to know it to prevent interference?
>
> Operators handling manual Morse traffic on HF in the Fixed, Mobile,
> or Marine services on HF are required to pass Morse tests which are
> more rigorous than the former Amateur Radio Service tests.

Whoa!

Did I read right? You wrote "...pass Morse tests which are more
rigorous than the former Armateur Radio Service tests."

That would mean THERE ARE NO MORE HAM TESTS!!! :-)

When did that happen? :-)

179. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:25:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701232531.07384.00000199@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47993

In article <RL6U8.1500$Mi5.63515878@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020701201537.00961.00000675@mb-bj.aol.com...
>> In article <9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >> CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago.
>> >
>> >That is incorrect.
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> Reading problems? Look at the word before "43."
>>
>> Is that or is that not the word "about?" Do you need a dictionary
>> definition for "about?" Would you like me to change "about" to
>> "approximately" or possibly "nearly?"
>>
>> 2002 - 1958 = 44. Now, what was the MONTH of the FCC R&O
>> creating Class C and D Citizens Band radio service? Are you
>> going to quibble about FRACTIONS of a month and make a big
>> federal case out of that? :-)
>>
>> Hmmm...let's see...Jimmie was a tiny child when the Class D
>> R&O was made law. I was a working adult then, also a year
>> later (in 1959) when I bought an E. F. Johnson Viking
>> Messenger Class D CB and installed it in my car (1953 Austin-
>> Healey sports car, later into a 1960 Chevy convertible). Did
>> Jimmie have a driver's license back then? [LICENSING is very
>> important to Jimmie, yes?] :-)
>>
>> I guess it's terribly, terribly important to be supremely accurate
>> on time spans with Jimmie...especially if they are within his own
>> life experience. :-)
>>
>> Tell you what...I might take out a full-page ad in RF Design News
>> magazine anouncing this TERRIBLE ERROR that did not make
>> "about 43" be EXACTLY 44 years ago. Would that please your
>> royal whatever? :-)
>
>Jim, like Mike Deignan, will damn you for being ever so slightly inaccurate,
>but will never say how far off you are because it is such a petty amount.

>By saying how far off you were, would show his pettiness.
>
>He simply states that you are wrong, Wrong, WRONG!!!

I know...but it does go to show everyone the general attitude
of the PCTAs. :-)

>> >> Hams of that time
>> >> were generally incensed at this terrible intrusion into their precious
>> >> spectrum (the small 11m band below the big 10m band) and, worst
>> >> of all (!), the FCC taking it away from them! Oh, horrors, the evil
>> >> that befell hams! :-)
>> >
>> >Should the hams of the time have simply allowed the reallocation of
>> >the band without protest?
>>
>> Ho ho ho...big INCOMPLETE HISTORY buff! "Hams of the time" DID
>> protest and in every venue they could find...including audiences at
>> work where most folks didn't give a damn about their protests. Oh,
>> the evil of it all...the ungodly allowance of ORDINARY CITIZENS on
>> the sacred ground of HF without so much as taking one radio
>> operator test! Oh, oh, oh...and NO morse code test involved!
>> Oh, oh, oh, the terrible shame of it all! :-)
>>
>> The FCC listened to all sides and made the decision. The 11m
>> band wasn't used much at all by radio amateurs at the time.
>> Hams had their biggest HF band just "next door" in the spectrum,
>> all 1700 KHz of it...same as what they have now.
>
>Was the Ten-Ten International a defensive reaction to losing the 11M band ?

I have no information on that. :-)

Now watch some more anal-retentive types jump in here and say
"I should have!"

Another one is going to make a federal case out of "not having any
practical experience [in ham radio] so I couldn't know!"

:-)

>> The creation of CB was OVER FOUR DECADES AGO, renowned
>> history lister. That's approximately two generations ago.
>>
>> You were NOT aware of the climate then, took NO part in it,
>> indeed COULD NOT, yet you make yourself sound like an
>> "expert witness" to the times...and then you demand
>> absolute accuracy. All you have is a lot of emotional claptrap
>> working on a band that was taken away from radio amateurs
>> way back before you could possibly be licensed.
>
>Kind of like Val coming on here saying all the "right" things about Morse/CW

>and bashing the NCTA - before he even had a license.

Well, I'm told that Extras (pre-restructuring licensees) got some sort of "divine revelation" when their licenses arrived in the mail. That may or may not be true, but the story elaborates on them becoming "brothers in tradition (or something)" during the revelation and thus knowing EXACTLY how it was like back before they were born.

Val's case is just a typical computer-modem newbie who can't take the tag-team atmosphere of a newsgroup. They get all bent out of shape on criticsm of the slightest sort, magnifying it to way-exaggerated heights. He can't be a bad sort...he was the salvation of an entire Army Brigade with his little PRC-25! :-)

180. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:29:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui27fj96ifn65b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47994

Phil, I'm not sure. Granted, to get the 1st telegraph one had to had a sea endorsement - but the 2nd was 20 words per minute - the same as the extra. When I went to the FCC in Buffalo, NY, in 1966, I wanted to take both the 2nd telegraph (I was both too young and didn't have sea time to be able to try the 25 wpm code test) and the amateur extra, the examiner set up the code exam and gave me credit for both the extra and the telegraph. I mentioned to him that I noticed the machine was set to 22 words per minute - to which he replied 'you didn't want to wait all day, did you?'. In any case, it was 100% copy for the entire exam. Then the theory. Fun. And I had to be back at WROC-TV for the evening shift! The tests were not particularly difficult, but they were very honest. You passed or failed. No one could help you, you were under the steely eyed FCC examiner. However, I was proud of those tickets (2nd telegraph, 1st phone, radar endorsement, and amateur extra). They meant more to me then than my current extra class ticket issued in 1993 (after over a 10 year lapse). I can understand where some of the guys are comming from, but honestly don't see where cw proficiency really means a whole hill of beans today.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gylb2pz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 00:04:40 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >> The treaty requires Morse code knowledge for Amateur Radio HF access.
> >> Knowledge of Morse code is not required for other HF radio services.
>
> >If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be
important
> >enough for paid professionals to learn it.
> >
> >I mean, shouldn't they have to know it to prevent interference?
>
> Operators handling manual Morse traffic on HF in the Fixed, Mobile,
> or Marine services on HF are required to pass Morse tests which are
> more rigorous than the former Amateur Radio Service tests.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

181. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:37:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D214A24.7060704@adelphia.net>
Source record: 47995

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3D1FF4B0.1000403@adelphia.net...
>
>
>>You can get lost in the reading, and there's lots of good CW related
>>stories there. Good nostalgia trip. Even with that, there is an
>>undercurrent of "time marches on-but I'm not sure that dumping cw is
>>altogether the right thing to do.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> I agree. The QPC and the VEC need to create another test element called
> "history and nostalgia," require a perfect score, and make it more valuable
> than all the rest of amateur radio combined.

I assume you are in high sarcasm mode, Brian. 8^)

History is a lot of fun to a lot of people, myself included. I have spent
a lot of time perusing the history of all my hobbies and vocations.
There is a lot of use for what came before, and it is surprising how
little is actually new under the sun.

And although it won't ever happen, I would personally enjoy ARS history
questions on the tests!

- Mike KB3EIA -

182. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 03:48:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D214CCB.6030104@adelphia.net>
Source record: 47996

Hi Dick

Better check your mailreader, you've been double-posting.

- Mike KB3EIA -

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>>I think Len would make a great Extra.
>>
>
>
>
> That probably doesn't surprise anyone except maybe Len.
>
>

183. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 04:06:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702000618.10462.00000279@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 47997

In article <rB6U8.1494$Zg5.63420700@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>I've never heard of UHF CB. I've only heard of GMRS. Is that what you're >trying to call CB?

FCC called it CB from the start in 1948. GMRS and FRS are just new names, created when the rules were changed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

184. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 04:06:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702000618.10462.00000278@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 47999

In article <20020701201537.00961.00000675@mb-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>> CB was created in the USA about 43 years ago.
>>
>>That is incorrect.
>
>:-)

No joke. You are flatout wrong. Live with it.
>
>Reading problems? Look at the word before "43."
>
>Is that or is that not the word "about?" Do you need a dictionary
>definition for "about?" Would you like me to change "about" to
>"approximately" or possibly "nearly?"

You're off by at least a decade.

>2002 - 1958 = 44. Now, what was the MONTH of the FCC R&O
>creating Class C and D Citizens Band radio service? Are you
>going to quibble about FRACTIONS of a month and make a big
>federal case out of that? :-)

Nope. You're off by at least 10 years.

>Tell you what...I might take out a full-page ad in RF Design News
>magazine anouncing this TERRIBLE ERROR that did not make
>"about 43" be EXACTLY 44 years ago. Would that please your
>royal whatever? :-)

Try 54 years.

>>> Hams of that time
>>> were generally incensed at this terrible intrusion into their precious
>>> spectrum (the small 11m band below the big 10m band) and, worst
>>> of all (!), the FCC taking it away from them! Oh, horrors, the evil
>>> that befell hams! :-)
>>
>>Should the hams of the time have simply allowed the reallocation of
>>the band without protest?
>
>Ho ho ho...big INCOMPLETE HISTORY buff! "Hams of the time" DID
>protest and in every venue they could find...including audiences at

>work where most folks didn't give a damn about their protests.

That's a good thing.
>
>The FCC listened to all sides and made the decision. The 11m
>band wasn't used much at all by radio amateurs at the time.
>Hams had their biggest HF band just "next door" in the spectrum,
>all 1700 KHz of it...same as what they have now.

So what?
>
>The creation of CB was OVER FOUR DECADES AGO, renowned
>history lister. That's approximately two generations ago.

Five decades ago. 1948.

>>> CB is only about four decades into its existance and its
>>> unlicensed users outnumber all licensed hams by about 7:1
>>> or 8:1.

Five decades.
>>
>>Pure speculation, probably wishful thinking.
>
>Well, Mr. Error-Spotter, the EIA (Electronic Industries Alliance,
>formerly Electronic Industries Association) used to keep tabs
>on different electronics product areas manufactured and sold.

Used to.

>Some figures remembered from a dozen or so years ago had
>the number of CBs sold at over the ten million mark.

So what? Doesn't mean a thing about the current number of CB users.
>
>I don't say that all of them are still being used.

You have no idea how many are currently in use.

>I'm not even
>into "wishful thinking"

Yes, you are. You have no idea how many cb users there are today, and have
presented no reliable data.

>>Without licenses or other registration, how can
>>you determine the number of CB users with any accuracy?
>
>While the hills of my residence area make NVIS techniques
>almost a must on HF, there are still a couple low points to
>Interstate 5 which runs past only a mile away (four lanes each
>direction, a major vehicle route for the L.A. area). ANY HF
>receiver tuning the CB band will result in an abundance of
>heterodynes, enough to make this part of the urban area

>next to useless for CB.

So what? Heterodynes are caused by all sorts of things. The fact that you have
a poor receiver is no proof of how many CB users exist.

>>> Since the allocated frequency band is small (about
>>> 400 KHz), I am surprised that there aren't more freebanders
>>> looking for more EM space.
>>
>>Why are you surprised? Do you think freebanding is somehow justified?
>>Sounds like it.
>
>FLAME WAR IGNITION ALERT!! Jimmie wants a FLAME WAR!!!
>
>Casual listening on HF doesn't reveal to me any sort of DRASTIC
>CAUSE FOR ALARM about freebanders. All two of them heard
>don't sound like there is an "invasion of the spectrum snatchers."
>
>Yet you want to make everyone think I am "supporting freebanders!"

Not me. Your words here do the job quite well.

>>Why is it the responsibility of hams to act as enforcement agents for
>>the FCC, particularly when the violations are committed by nonhams?
>
>I'd call it an aspect of "citizenship." You ever hear of "citizenship"
>or "civic duty?"

You ever hear of "following the rules"?

>>FCC intended CB for short-range business and personal communications.
>>They never thought that large numbers of users of the new service
>>would simply ignore FCC rules. But that's what happened, and FCC found
>>it had created a mess without the resources to clean it up.
>
>OK. Do you think the FCC has "ignored" the experience?

Yes.

>Do you think the FCC will go ahead and make other new radio
>services with the same arrangement of regulations?

They already have.

>The FCC
>has made a large number of new radio services in the four decades
>since CB was created.
>
Five decades. And FCC has made some really dumb mistakes. Ask Newt Gingrich.

Len, it's quite clear that you hate the amateur radio service and want it to
die out. It's also clear that you are not interested in any sort of civil
discussion. Nor are you man enough to admit when you've made a mistake.

Val Germann is right.

Jim, N2EY

185. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 04:06:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702000619.10462.00000280@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 47998

In article <nu6U8.1492$4e5.63252495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020701201029.10462.00000273@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> In article <20020630231142.08659.00000329@mb-mo.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> >In article <jBKT8.942$j93.42470706@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> >Burke"
>> ><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>> >
>> >>> Hey, "licensed" one - Might check the regulations. In case you ever
>> >>> do, you will note that amateur radio and CB are under entirely
>different
>> >>> rules. That's because they are different SERVICES. That holds true for
>> >>> the "treaty" also, so for your education and edification, they have no
>> >>> relationship to each other.
>> >>
>> >>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
>> >
>> >45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF.
>>
>> Incorrect. As pointed out in another post, Class A and Class B CB (UHF,
>460 MHz
>> region) were created by the FCC in 1948. That's 54 years ago.
>>
>> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake, "Lenover21". Set a good example
>for
>> young Brian.
>>
>> Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim, I thought you said that CB came in in 1958 - the year I was born.

Not me. You are mistaken, just like "Lenover21".

Class C and Class D (27 MHz) CB started in 1958. Class A and Class B CB on UHF, started in 1948. FCC called it CB, even back then.

Note that "Lenover21" writes:

"45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF."

This is simply incorrect, because CB has existed in the USA for the past 54 years.

--

>I'm 43 years old. Wouldn't that make Len correct?

Nope. See above. Len is just plain wrong, but he won't admit it.

--

Did you ever check out those end-fed antenna links? One was a reprint of a 1991 QST article, and the other was by a Dutch ham.

73 de Jim, N2EY

>

186. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 04:18:09 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D212981.62F807B2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48000

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >One day it required a morse code exam, the next day it didn't require a
> >morse code exam.
>
> Perhaps this explains why you are so confused about Morse code testing, Brian.
> Morse code testing does not change the laws of physics.

But Dick said that 11m is completely different from 10m. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

187. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 04:24:38 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D212B06.F6BC24E6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47901

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >So what? My Conditional license granted me all amateur frequency, mode, and
> >power privileges in 1953 before you were even born.
>
> So what? All that means is you are old, and got licensed when the standards
> were very low. When the standards were raised, you did not rise to the
> challenge, but simply rested on your rosy red laurels until the standards were
> lowered again.

After losing a wife and baby in an automobile accident, upgrading didn't seem
all that important.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

188. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 04:32:41 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D212CE9.9CD1C0F0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47902

Brian P Burke wrote:
> Jim, I thought you said that CB came in in 1958 - the year I was born. I'm
> 43 years old. Wouldn't that make Len correct?

It may not have been clear but the Citizen's Band Radio Service
pre-existed 11m CB by years. CB radio did not originate on 11m.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

189. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 04:42:06 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D212F1E.AADD870C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47903

Brian P Burke wrote:
> I've never heard of UHF CB. I've only heard of GMRS. Is that what you're
> trying to call CB?

My 1956 Reference Data for Radio Engineers lists the UHF Citizen's Band
as 460-470 MHz.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

190. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 04:45:04 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D212FD0.C2428511@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47904

Dave Heil wrote:
> As to "racking up contacts" and "QSL cards", I have loads of logs and a
> barn loft stuffed with boxes filled with QSLs. Do you think they might
> be valueable?

Maybe to the rats?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

191. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 04:52:16 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <kaaU8.28164$N8.27905502@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47905

stewart <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0206302314.7ceb1d3@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<eePT8.19172$
> >
> >
> > And the question is WHY do they like 27 MHZ? Those that would like to
have
> > a local, quiet 2 way radio service would not mind that it happened to be
on
> > VHF
> > and that they now have much less noise and interference. Let's not beat
> > around the
> > bush: the ones that like 27 MHZ and would scream like stuck pigs are
the
> > ones who
> > are already breaking the laws governing the CB "Service". OF COURSE,
they
> > wouldn't like having 27 MHZ taken away because their precious DX, their
SSB
> > "clubs", and their assinine "Worldwide-worldwide" foolishness would be
> > eliminated.
> > Either Congress will HAVE to face the music and restore funding to FCC
to
> > regulate
> > the mess that CB is now, or they will have to face the music and take it
> > away so that
> > legitimate services can conduct their business unmolested. There is NO
> > "right" to oper-
> > ate a transmitter; it is a PRIVILEGE granted by the government. If that
> > privilege is
> > abused, the offender must face the consequences OR the privilege needs
to be
> > revoked.
>
> Come on now, Jerry - this thread started out about freebanding CBers
> operating on 10m... now you want hams and the FCC to waste time trying
> to do something about CBers operating illegally within their own 11m
> pigpen - by displacing them to other bands? As you've pointed out,
> they don't WANT to go to VHF/UHF - that is clear, because they haven't
> already(which has been my point all along regarding the bullshit urban
> legend myth that CBers are operating high-powered external amplifiers
> on MURS/FRS/GMRS). If the government tried to shut down 11m, and move
> these lamebrains to MURS/GMRS, it would be a complete fiasco, for a

> number of reasons.
>
> Leave 'em be. Like with the W6NUT repeater... we've got 'em just
> where we want 'em.

Maybe YOU do, but I want the sons of bitches OFF 10/12 meters. Period.
Whatever it takes to get those #%^@*#&^ ba*****ds off the bands I worked for
the privilege of using, I say, let's DO it.
>
> If you want to do something productive, help track down a few of these
> freebanders that are operating on 10m, and turn 'em in to the FCC.

I am doing JUST THAT! See other posts of mine suggesting that hams become
agressively involved in the 10 meter issue. Just this weekend, I discreetly
visited
5 Travel Plazas. I found one selling "Magnum Delta Force '10 meter radios'.
That
info is being forwarded to FCC this date! I pinpointed, recorded, and noted
pertinent
data on the truck who hailed me on 28.085 with "How 'bout the Z34 Northbound
and
down"---if I have my way that son of a bitch is BUSTED!!
>
> But - if the pigs are staying in their pens, who gives a hoot how
> muddy they get... They're PIGS, that's just the way they are... you
> aren't going to change anything by trying to move the pigpen to the
> neighbor's dry little farmyard, they're STILL gonna be pigs, and they
> aren't gonna want to stay over at the neighbor's house, because they
> like the muddy conditions(DF) over in the old 11m pigpen.

No they are NOT "staying in their pen", and I see the removal of the DX
ability
as one way to make the operation on illegal frequencies less attractive--and
I have
already suggested that very thing to various Congressional reps and
committeemen.

>
> Have you ever heard of NIMBY?
>Nope,
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >

192. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 07:08:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702030825.19597.00001258@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47906

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/01/02 23:25 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020701232531.07384.00000199@mb-cm.aol.com>

>In article <RL6U8.1500$Mi5.63515878@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>>Was the Ten-Ten International a defensive reaction to losing the 11M band ?

No it wasn't. Tenten was created in the late 60's or early 70's as the
spin off of a popular 10 meter only net. It's purpose was to foster and
promote more 10 meter activity.

>I have no information on that.

And why should you?

>Now watch some more anal-retentive types jump in here and say
>"I should have!"

And why should they, Lennie? You ae neither licensed nor active in any
Amateur Radio related activity other than this NG.

No practical experience...Just as we've been saying all along.

>Another one is going to make a federal case out of "not having any
>practical experience [in ham radio] so I couldn't know!"

You got one right, Lennie. Maybe thre's hope for you yet.

>Well, I'm told that Extras (pre-restructuring licensees) got some
>sort of "divine revelation" when their licenses arrived in the mail.
>That may or may not be true, but the story elaborates on them
>becoming "brothers in tradition (or something)" during the
>revelation and thus knowing EXACTLY how it was like back
>before they were born.

Nope. Just well versed in research techniques and an individual passion
for things related to radio.

>Val's case is just a typical computer-modem newbie who can't
>take the tag-team atmosphere of a newsgroup.

"Tag team atmosphere"...That atmosphere might be a bit clearer if you'd
launder your "athletic supporter", Lennie.

>They get all
>bent out of shape on criticsm of the slightest sort...(SNIP)

Yeah, I get a bit perturbed when called a Nazi because I choose to not
"side" with Lennie's point of view...Sorry.

193. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 07:13:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702031325.19597.00001259@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47907

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/01/02 23:25 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020701232527.07384.00000193@mb-cm.aol.com>

>>Steve never made it to "Extra" in the medical world, huh?
>>
>>I wonder why not?
>
>...we can only wonder..

No more than we wonder why your only achievement of any noteworthiness was
a few articles in a now-defunt Amateur radio related magazine.

Couldn't hack the commercial world, I guess.....

194. Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 07:15:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702031534.19597.00001260@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47908

>Subject: Re: Alexanderson Rides Again!
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/01/02 23:25 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020701232526.07384.00000192@mb-cm.aol.com>

>Oh, my, the Spelling Police might take away my license

Your dirver's license, Lennie?

You don't have an Amateur license and you told us that you were letting
your GROL "expire" a while back. Not many options left.....

195. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 07:20:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702032004.19597.00001261@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 47909

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/01/02 22:46 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020701224636.08659.00000358@mb-mo.aol.com>
>

>I MADE A MISTAKE!

Well I'll be....In caps, even....

>Yes, I typed "45" instead of "55."

Well well....Maybe that's why there's a shipment of parkas enroute to Hell tonight...????

196. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 09:41:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702054118.09808.00001901@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 47910

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>So they had been breaking the rules for a dozen years before admitting it?
>What was that stupid ARRL press announcement all about last year?

The VECs standardized on Farnsworth timing.

Why do you think that ARRL's telling hams about that was stupid? They did so
in an unbiased way.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

197. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 09:43:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702054348.09808.00001902@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 47911

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.

>Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's no
>need for?

I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change from
Farnsworth testing.

I knew if we talked it out you would finally understand.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

198. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 09:45:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702054530.09808.00001903@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 47912

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be important
>enough for paid professionals to learn it.

I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate? Did
something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

199. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 09:47:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702054707.09808.00001904@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 47913

From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com

>> Morse code testing does not change the laws of physics.

>But Dick said that 11m is completely different from 10m. :-)

Then Dick and Brian have something in common.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

200. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 10:06:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702060636.13189.00000217@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 47914

In article <8c61e9d6.0206291300.55ea66e0@posting.google.com>,
oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man) writes:

>"J. Manley" <jmanley@manleyconsultants100.org> wrote in message
>news:<afk8chgbm0@pita.alt.net>...
>> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:3D1D041B.53D3F809@ix.netcom.com...
>> > Was tuning about the bands and found freebanders in the bottom end
>> > of 10m. 28.055 with roger beeps. Another at 28.035 Spanish
>> > I think. Earlier something at 28.045
>> >
>> > We ought to camp out on these freqs and operate some RTTY or
>> > packet or such. Something to use our allocation to discourage the
>> > freebanders. Be sure to use 28.0X5 MHz.
>>
>> Yup, and their numbers increase more each week. Moreover, the
>> commission is powerless to stop them. You will not discourage them.
>> They just move up higher, into more of the ten meter band. My
>> company uses HF freqs. in the 6, 8, & 10 mHz bands. You ought to
>> hear the unlicensed activity there, AND much of it is of stateside origin!
>>
>> JJ
>
>And if the FCC would let us _- Tech Class Amateurs-_ use HF without
>a morse code test we could fill it up to the point the freebanders
>wouldn't have room on 10m or anywhere else to do their thing.

That's what was said in the late 1980s about amateur VHF/UHF. Supposedly,
having a nocodetest VHF/UHF ham license would bring in so many new hams that
our VHF/UHF bands would be full of activity, bandedge to bandedge, and this
would help protect bands like 220-225. Didn't happen.
>
>It pisses me off that freebanders can get on there and yak it up
>with no worries BUT if I get on there I'll loose my license for
>it! Damn the FCC and their double standards.

The FCC IS going after freebanders and other violators.

It is almost certain that the code test will simply be removed late next year.
First step will be the deletion of S25.5 at WRC 2003 (that's the treaty
provision requiring a code test). Second step is the USA ratifying the changes
agreed to at the WRC. Third step is FCC dropping the test completely.

Some folks think FCC will have an NPRM, but my guess is that they will simply
drop the code test ASAP.

So you have two options: Learn enough to pass the code test now, or wait for it to go away in 18 months or so.

Jim, N2EY

201. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 11:20:27 UTC
From: James T Kirk <JTKirk@StarshipEnterprise.org>
Message-ID: <afs2a3ios0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 47915
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From his rubber room, "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

> > popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
> > U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> > six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that
>
> This is not true. Some facilities were closed, others were set up for
> remote operation.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

Yes, true, and they can be operated remotely from Starship
Enterprise. Now beam me up Scotty, there's no intelligent
life here.

J T Kirk

202. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 11:35:48 UTC
From: Skeeter 619 <skeeter@dabigbopper619.com>
Message-ID: <afs36s$l6l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 47916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701164323.01521.00001854@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: More "Freebands" ?
> >From: "Robert Abrams" at321@autotelecomms321.org
> >Date: 07/01/02 15:27 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <afqaeo$n2g$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
>
> >> It can't help you unless you file s formal complaint. The facilites
> >> do not include mind-reading.
> >>
> >> ---
> >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >>
> >>
> > B*******! multiple complaints are filed. The "unmanned"
> >system is a joke, just that, it is never manned and broke most of
> >the time. One give a s*** jack*** sitting in Laurel MD is not and
> >never will be "monitoring."
>
> I won't get quite as "verbose" as this poster, Phil, but I ahve to
agree
> to some of it in part.
>
> In 1991 and 92 I was a member of a radio club in SoCal that provided
(in
> person) over 60 signed complaints to the resident engineer in Long Beach
over
> the operatoions of ONE person in Costa Mesa.
>
> His response was to turn it over to the ARRL Auxiliary. When the
> Auxiliary approached the individual concerned, he used a considerable
amount of
> profanity and physical threats.
>
> The matter was turned back over to the EIC. That's where it stopped,
too.
> Not a thing was done despite the fact taht this individual continued to
jam
> other Amateurs throughout Orange, LA and Riverside Counties.
>
> >Last year an unlicensed pirate
> >station operated within five miles of Laurel MD for months, and the

> >wizards at the "unmanned" facility never detected it.
>
> We gave the EIC names, addresses, frequencies, times and recordings
of the
> alleged offenses. Nada.
>
> Oh well...I can only hope that the recent efforts of Riley & Co. have
> given new energy to rules enforcement and that such situations are fewer
and
> farther in between.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I'll be testing my linear on 27555 today if'n youse wants
to give me a 10-17 signal check good buddy!

Skeeter 619 and we down and out.

203. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 12:06:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702080615.05984.00000323@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 47917

In article <20020630093931.05502.00000536@mb-de.news.cs.com>,
eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) writes:

>I agree with your post with the exception of this little gem. Many folks
>(like
>six or seven MILLION!) happen to like the 27 MHz CB.

Where does that number come from? CB licenses are long gone, and there is no
form of registration or other formal way to know how many cb users exist today.

Twenty years ago, cb antennas on homes and vehicles were a common sight in this
area. Today they are more rare than ham antennas.

73 de Jim, N2EY

204. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 12:41:15 UTC
From: Gary Knight <anon@garyknightanonnospam.com>
Message-ID: <afs71j$v0f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 47918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ui26st5rfntb3c@corp.supernews.com...
> Perhaps someone has more knowlege than I do on this and can offer a better
> time frame. I believe the FCC started shutting down facilities a long
time
> before six years ago. We had a monitoring facility near Rochester, NY -
it
> was located in Canadagua, which is only 25 miles or so from here. The FCC
> used to be a powerful government agency which DID enforce the regulations.
> I don't know what the extent its' monitoring facilities are, but there are
> FAR fewer FCC field agents than existed in the 60s. Anyone have info on
> this?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
>
> "Robert Abrams" <at321@autotelecomms321.org> wrote in message
> news:afprrqigm0@pita.alt.net...
> >
>
> > Unlicensed CB-type communications is commonly found in the
> > 5,6,8,10,12, & 15 mHz bands. Most of it originates from the
> > USA and is land based communications. HF comms equipment
> > is sold throughout the U.S., and maritime equipment is particularly
> > popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
> > U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> > six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that
> > they will not have to deal with it. Meanwhile, the problem grows
> > larger each year. My company is licensed to use HF freqs., and we
> > are jammed all the time by HF freebanders in the 6, 8 & 10 mhz
> > bands.
> >
> > Robert Abrams
> >
>
>
In the late 60's it was learned that FCC direction finding facilities
had caused a rare interaction with the soil under the DF antennas.
Interterpolation of HF radio signals over the years with certain
bacteria's in the soil and other chemicals caused formation of rare
and little know lithium-diaganose crystals, which are highly prized on

the international market. As a public cover story, the FCC began closing down various DF sites around the country, while opening subterranean mining facilities which collected and purified the rare lithium-diaganose crystals. FCC employees who would not consent to mining the rare crystals were purged, while those who consented to being sent down in the mines were given huge promotions and bonuses for the crystals they mined. I know this sounds crazy, but I was given a rare tour of some of the subterranean mining facilities and let me tell you, these guys are making some hay! So, today, the story persists that the FCC has converted to a remote monitoring network, but we know that's not true at all, since the FCC is the sole source of lithium-diaganose crystals. Believe me, some people in the FCC are getting wealthy beyond your wildest dreams!

JTK

205. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 12:42:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afs73r$r7$0@208.206.142.67>
Source record: 47919

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be important
> >enough for paid professionals to learn it.
>
> I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate? Did
> something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?

Yeh! He got religion and came back as a PCTA!

206. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 12:43:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afs74t$r7$1@208.206.142.67>
Source record: 47920

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>
> >> Morse code testing does not change the laws of physics.
>
> >But Dick said that 11m is completely different from 10m. :-)
>
> Then Dick and Brian have something in common.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Of course Dick said no such thing.

207. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 13:35:34 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D21AC26.73F80D26@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47921

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
> > >But Dick said that 11m is completely different from 10m. :-)
> >
> > Then Dick and Brian have something in common.
>
> Of course Dick said no such thing.

You said CB radio and ham radio are completely different.
Presumably, that includes the frequencies they use and the
physics under which their transceivers and antennas operate.
Doesn't "completely different" mean they have absolutely
nothing in common?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

208. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:00:54 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0207020700.699caf2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47922

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message
news:<20020701174034.19575.00001233@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)

> You are correct; your premise doesn't wash.
>
> I think I understand where your anger comes from, or at least where it is
> headed.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Here's the bottom line Ed. The anger comes from
Extra class operators who don't know how to solder
or how to check swr with their own equipment.
But are able to get on hf JUST BECAUSE they can
pass a code test and a couple of written tests.

I know one Extra who is a science teacher
in the local school who asks me questions that a
beginner in CB would know the answer to.

I also have a friend who is a General who is also
a former CBer who just happened to pass his tests
but can't figure out the most simple of problems
with his equipment. When I try to help him he
thinks I don't know what I'm talking about. Then
later (days to weeks) he tells me he found out
what was wrong and it's just what I had told him
in the first place.

It's also from the HOLIER-THAN-THOU PCTAs killing
people's interest in our hobby with their anal
retentive chant of NO CODE = NO HAM along with the
other ELITIST crap that they espouse!

I understand electronics and radio theory pretty
well BUT because I have not taken a code test
I'm not allowed on HF at all, but at this time
the freebanders are everywhere (including 10m)
and VERY FEW if any ever get in trouble for it.
That's the FCC's double standard I'm upset about!
IF I HAD HAIR I'D PULL IT OUT BY THE ROOTS!!!

BTW I do know that Willam Flippo got in trouble
for his jamming, but he was being a REAL pain in

the ass to a LOT of people, they HAD to do
something with him. Not like the 10m freebanding
cockroaches we started talking about here. They
aren't showing up on the FCC's radar like
Flippo did.

One Angry BALD-HEADED Man
President and only member
No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)

Answer to everyone's first question.
YES I AM LICENSED!

Answer to everyone's second question.
NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

209. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:26:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <OCjU8.2131$st3.66206939@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47923

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702054118.09808.00001901@mb-cr.aol.com...
>
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >So they had been breaking the rules for a dozen years before admitting
it?
> >What was that stupid ARRL press announcement all about last year?
>
> The VECs standardized on Farnsworth timing.
>
> Why do you think that ARRL's telling hams about that was stupid? They did
so
> in an unbiased way.

The answer was in the part you snipped, Ed. That the ARRL had already been
doing Farnsworth for 12 years.

210. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:31:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fHjU8.2133$Qu3.66296079@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47924

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:Jd6U8.1484$n45.62703763@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020701174816.19575.00001236@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >> Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs
should
> > >let
> > >> people learn the characters at faster speed, but send those faster
> > >characters
> > >> at a 5 wpm overall rate.
> >
> > >Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?
> >
> > Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's no
> need for?

Then Ed said,

"I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change from
Farnsworth testing."

Ed, why did you have a great idea above, then say there's no need? Is this
some kind of Newington-speak?

211. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:32:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1IjU8.2134$1v3.66309394@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47925

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702054348.09808.00001902@mb-cr.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.
>
> >Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's no
> >need for?
>
> I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change from
> Farnsworth testing.
>
> I knew if we talked it out you would finally understand.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

There you go again snipping the content in order to make it say what you
want. Won't work.

212. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:33:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xJjU8.2136$pv3.66328878@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47926

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701224635.08659.00000356@mb-mo.aol.com...
> In article <20020701174816.19575.00001236@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>> Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs
should let
> >>> people learn the characters at faster speed, but send those faster
> characters
> >>> at a 5 wpm overall rate.
> >
> >>Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?
> >
> >Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.
>
> How about, sometime, somebody suggest a slight correction in 97.3 (26)
> to bring it up to date? :-)
>
> [sorry, I forgot you aren't reading my posts...:-)]

Ed's in the "Newington-Speak" mode. He has a suggestion and idea that later
he says there's no need for. Then he says we are in complete agreement.
Hooey.

213. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:36:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XLjU8.2139$it3.66196636@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47927

It can be piled twice as high, but its still mostly straw and manure.

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D214CCB.6030104@adelphia.net...
> Hi Dick
>
> Better check your mailreader, you've been double-posting.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> >>I think Len would make a great Extra.
> >>
> >
> >
> > That probably doesn't surprise anyone except maybe Len.
> >
> >
>

214. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:38:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0OjU8.2140$Lv3.66351418@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47928

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D214A24.7060704@adelphia.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D1FF4B0.1000403@adelphia.net...
> > >
> > >
> > >>You can get lost in the reading, and there's lots of good CW related
> > >>stories there. Good nostalgia trip. Even with that, there is an
> > >>undercurrent of "time marches on-but I'm not sure that dumping cw is
> > >>altogether the right thing to do.
> > >>
> > >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> > >>
> > >
> > > I agree. The QPC and the VEC need to create another test element called
> > > "history and nostalgia," require a perfect score, and make it more
valuable
> > > than all the rest of amateur radio combined.
>
>
> I assume you are in high sarcasm mode, Brian. 8^)
>
> History is a lot of fun to a lot of people, myself included. I have spent
> a lot of time perusing the history of all my hobbies and vocations.
> There is a lot of use for what came before, and it is surprising how
> little is actually new under the sun.
>
> And although it won't ever happen, I would personally enjoy ARS history
> questions on the tests!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I do think history has a place on the exam. But the sarcasm was making it
worth more than all the rest of amateur radio combined, like one particular
mode is.

215. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:48:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <eXjU8.2142$0s3.66122789@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47929

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D20799C.38599A9A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D1FD0A8.8BEB964C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D1F7DFE.C7F6ED1B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, Dave said he would work anyone who came up on his
frequency,
> > > > whether
> > > > > > > > he
> > > > > > > > > knew their authorization or not, because he was within -his-
> > > > > > > > authorization.
> > > > > > > > > He was breaking no rule. Apparently he doesn't mind
knowingly
> > help
> > > > > > > other
> > > > > > > > > people break the rules. Also, he was not responsible for
> > sending
> > > > > them
> > > > > > > > QSL
> > > > > > > > > cards either, as that was his QSL manager's problem.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Not exactly, Brian. I wouldn't have answered someone calling
me
> > in a
> > > > > > > pileup using, "Claymore Mine" or "Toot Toot The Cracker
Wagon".
> > At no
> > > > > > > time did I help or assist anyone in breaking his government's
> > rules.
> > > > > > > Anyone who did that did it of his own volition.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave is forever the innocent bystander, just watching as contact
> > after
```

> > > > > > contact is logged in his books.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I never claimed to be a bystander. If it's bystander you want,
you'll
> > > > > > have to talk to Len Anderson. I'm actively working stations and
> > putting
> > > > > > them in the log. I said: "At no time did I help or assist anyone
in
> > > > > > breaking his government's rules. Anyone who did that did it of his
own
> > > > > > volition." I don't think it can be made clearer than that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, impossible. A little logic is required here. If they're
> > operating
> > > > > > out of band, and they are in your log book, and you aren't an
innocent
> > > > > > bystander, then you assisted them in breaking their government'r
rules.
> > > > >
> > > > > If a little logic is required, try applying it. I don't assist
someone
> > > > by operating where I am permitted to operate. The individual who
> > > > decides to key his mike or operate his morse key breaks his country's
> > > > regulations by doing so in a band segment where he is not permitted.
> > > > He does that whether I reply to him or not.
> > >
> > > Its when you reply (and QSL) that encourages the others to also break
the
> > law.
> >
> > So to you, the fact that a DX station operating legitimately is on the
> > air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations?

Assists. If you knowingly work them out of band.

You are
> sticking to your story that I should check on the band authorizations
> for the stations who call me in a pileup situation?

I am sticking to my story that IF YOU KNOW that the station calling you is
out of band that you shouldn't answer them.

You believe that
> having my QSL manager send out a card with the exact frequency used
> somehow makes French reg breakers more eager to snag a QSO?

Yes.

What
> happened to that little logic you spoke of? I haven't noted you using
> it.

It was wasted on you.

> > Is it a law that you must reply to people that you know are operating
out of
> > band?
>
> Brian. Allow this to sink in: The moment they key a radio out of band,
> they have broken their regs. I regularly hear desirable DX on
> frequencies below 14.150 when tuning 20m. It doesn't matter to me that
> I need a contact. I do not call them below 14.150 because my regs say
> that I can't.

I thought you were an Extra? Did Riley modify your license for some reason?

> > > > > > > You are right about QSLing though. I had no responsibility
for
> > QSLing
> > > > > > > > other than to periodically send log extracts to WA8JOC. He
didn't
> > > > check
> > > > > > > > whether a guy who worked me was within his authorized band
segment
> > > > > > > > either. Since I logged my pileup frequency and it was printed
on
> > my
> > > > QSL
> > > > > > > > > card going to that station, there must be a load of French
guys
> > who
> > > > have
> > > > > > > > > DX cards confirming a QSO on 50.110 or 50.115. I'll bet they
> > don't
> > > > show
> > > > > > > > > them to their local pals, huh?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why not? Were they out of band or something?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If a French station worked me out of his authorized segment, he'd
> > hardly
> > > > > > be able to show a QSL on which was printed a frequency he is not
> > > > > > supposed to use, would he?
> > > > >
> > > > Dave, why not? Is it now illegal to show off postcards in France?
The
> > > > illegal act was making the emission out of band, not showing off a
> > postcard.
> > >
> > > If you robbed a convenience store and got away with it, you'd not
likely
> > > be showing your pals an 8 x 10 glossy of you doing so. If you are a
ham
> > > who has worked some DX outside your authorized band segment, you'd not

> > > likely wave about a QSL card which proves that you broke your
country's
> > > regs.
> >
> > Proof? I've gotten plenty of QSL cards that have errors on them.
>
> Cards that you're about to send out or those that you've received?
> Mine didn't have errors. They were correctly printed from my computer
> log extracts.

Dave, do you honestly believe that a person that breaks a law to work you
out of band won't lie and say you made a mistake on the frequency stated on
your QSL card?

Good grief.

> > > > > > > It is nice of you to be so concerned for the state of amateur
> > radio in
> > > > > > > France. Have you contacted the French PTT yet? Would you be
> > > > interested
> > > > > > > in extracts from my 50 MHz logs for J5, 9L, A2 and 5H or are
there
> > > > other
> > > > > > > bands or band segments which you feel French amateurs may have
> > used
> > > > > > > illegally?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, just go back to being an innocent by-stander. It's what
you
> > do
> > > > > best
> > > > > > when you find yourself in an indefensible position.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I think I'm doing a bang up job of defending my position and
making
> > your
> > > > > > repeated squawks about the matter look absurd.
> > > > >
> > > > You don't have a leg to stand on. Saying you do doesn't make it so.
> > >
> > No, being right when I say it makes it so. Are you personally
> > > responsible for every goober who breaks an amateur radio reg?
> >
> > Dave, if I discovered that the French Hams I'd been contacting were out
of
> > band, I would cease responding to their calls.
>
> I'm happy for you, Brian and I'm sure that all of France celebrates your
> stand.

Thank you. It's the right thing to do. It's called integrity - doing the
right thing even when no one is watching.

> > > > > > > You know, come to think of it, some of those French guys could
> > have
> > > > > been
> > > > > > > > using the illegal Farnsworth method!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > On 50.110-115? Hi! You better go talk to Larry about them
rotten
> > SSB
> > > > > > > types.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > CW is not prohibited on those frequencies. It fact, you'll often
hear
> > > > > > > it being used since 50.110 is the international calling frequency.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So were they using Farnsworth, real Morse Code, or SSB?
> > > >
> > > > In a nutshell, the answer is YES. They WERE using morse using the
> > > Farnsworth method, "real" morse code and SSB.
> > >
> > > > > > Dave, you're really funny when your not so smug.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm funny even when I AM being smug.
> > > > >
> > > > You're a real funny guy, but please, no more comments about my
> > posterior.
> > >
> > > My comment wasn't designed to titillate you, Brian. If it made you
feel
> > > tingly all over, try to control yourself. I'm not responsible for
your
> > > misinterpretation of anything I write any more than I'm responsible
for
> > > the illegal operation by French hams.
> >
> > Dave, do you really think they would have made those illegal
transmissions
> > if "rare dx" wasn't going to answer and qsl them?
>
> See my response above. I cannot be held responsible for the lack of
> self-restraint by others.
>
> > Good grief.
>
> I've never found grief to be good.

Good grief.

216. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:49:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XXjU8.2144$yx3.66457823@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47930

```
"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:afquus$q40$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:6RDT8.1131$%d2.32157945@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:afm5g4$po9$0@208.206.142.97...
> > >
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > Dave, who routinely worked
> > > > > French Hams out of band on 6M. He says he has no responsibility
to
> >
> > refrain from QSOing bootleggers.
> > >
> > > > It is not my responsibility to ensure that each station I work is
> within
> > > > his authorized band segment or for that matter, to check whether or
> not
> > > > the guy is indeed a licensed ham.
> > >
> > > Yep, it's just more blather from airhead Gadfly. I suppose he makes it
> > > a point to make sure of each country's allocations by license class so
> > > he can tell them when they land in unauthorized territory. I would
> > > imagine he would have notified JY1 likewise.
> > > Whatta rule following fella he is! Er, except for following the rules
> > > that applied to the code test, that is. He opted to sit and bitch
> > > instead.
> >
> > Dick, if/when you are working French hams 200 khz out of their
> > authorization, and you are then made aware of the problem, do you
continue
> > to work the French bootleggers?
>
> Juust "how" does Dick know the French are out-of-band? I don't
> have their rules/regs. Do you?
>
> > What's wrong with following the rules? Are you a rules breaker?
>
```

> The US ham is NOT breaking any rules.

A French ham came on here and stated so.

217. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:50:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5ZjU8.2147$_y3.66550811@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47931

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2107A9.52E8F24C@earthlink.net...

> Aren't you supposed to be gone?

Dave, don't let the swinging door smack you in the face while you're chasing
me out.

218. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:52:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <N_jU8.2149$7w3.66375828@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47932

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afr3icgro1@208.206.142.66...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Aren't you supposed to be gone?
>
> He has obviously conspired with Cecil to continue the nonstop NCTA
> troll on rrap.

Dick, all you have to do is stop responding. I'm keeping my fingers
crossed.

219. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:53:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <c0kU8.2151$hA3.66630847@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47933

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2108D9.F2A4E047@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:afpr4h$q91$0@208.206.142.111...
> >
> > > You insist that Dave is responsible for some French ham working
outside
> > > HIS autoirizations just because Dave did talk to him.
> >
> > If Dave stopped completing QSOs once he found out that they were out >
of band, that would be the end of the story. But to continue racking > up
contacts, QSL cards, and possibly greenstamps makes one wonder what > his
motivation was.
>
> Ahhh, the greenstamp ploy huh?

Dave, then why do it? Why risk your credibility working people you know are
out of band?

220. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 15:59:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <06kU8.2156$zw3.66403462@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47934

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702000619.10462.00000280@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <nu6U8.1492$4e5.63252495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020701201029.10462.00000273@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >> In article <20020630231142.08659.00000329@mb-mo.aol.com>,
> >lenover21@aol.com
> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >>
> >> >In article <jBKT8.942$j93.42470706@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> >> >Burke"
> >> ><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >> >
> >> >>> Hey, "licensed" one - Might check the regulations. In case you
ever
> >> >>> do, you will note that amateur radio and CB are under entirely
> >different
> >> >>> rules. That's because they are different SERVICES. That holds true
for
> >> >>> the "treaty" also, so for your education and edification, they have
no
> >> >>> relationship to each other.
> >> >>
> >> >>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
> >> >
> >> >45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF.
> >>
> >> Incorrect. As pointed out in another post, Class A and Class B CB (UHF,
> >460 MHz
> >> region) were created by the FCC in 1948. That's 54 years ago.
> >>
> >> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake, "Lenover21". Set a good
example
> >for
> >> young Brian.
> >>
> >> Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Jim, I thought you said that CB came in in 1958 - the year I was born.
>
> Not me. You are mistaken, just like "Lenover21".

>
> Class C and Class D (27 MHz) CB started in 1958.

There! You said it again! I knew I wasn't mistaken. The service commonly thought of a CB is at 27 MHz.

Class A and Class B CB on UHF,
> started in 1948. FCC called it CB, even back then.
>
> Note that "Lenover21" writes:
>
> "45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF."

So he was wrong about UHF. The service commonly thought of a CB is at 27 MHz.

> This is simply incorrect, because CB has existed in the USA for the past 54
> years.
>
> --
>
> >I'm 43 years old. Wouldn't that make Len correct?
>
> Nope. See above. Len is just plain wrong, but he won't admit it.

If "CB" began in 1958, and I was born in 1958 and I'm 43 years old, then what's the problem

> Did you ever check out those end-fed antenna links? One was a reprint of a 1991
> QST article, and the other was by a Dutch ham.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Yes, I did. Thanks.

221. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:01:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <17kU8.2157$Tz3.66604207@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47935

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D212CE9.9CD1C0F0@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > Jim, I thought you said that CB came in in 1958 - the year I was born.
I'm
> > 43 years old. Wouldn't that make Len correct?
>
> It may not have been clear but the Citizen's Band Radio Service
> pre-existed 11m CB by years. CB radio did not originate on 11m.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

It's what I think of whenever Bruce comes on here. Not GMRS.

222. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:02:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <s8kU8.2158$by3.66498589@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47936

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702032004.19597.00001261@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 07/01/02 22:46 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020701224636.08659.00000358@mb-mo.aol.com>
> >
>
> >I MADE A MISTAKE!
>
> Well I'll be....In caps, even....
>
> >Yes, I typed "45" instead of "55."
>
> Well well....Maybe that's why there's a shipment of parkas enroute to
Hell
> tonight...????

I hope they have the real coyote fringe - they're gonna need it!

;^), Brian

223. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:06:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <DckU8.2160$mw3.66391306@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47937

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gylb2pz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 00:04:40 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >> The treaty requires Morse code knowledge for Amateur Radio HF access.
> >> Knowledge of Morse code is not required for other HF radio services.
>
> >If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be
important
> >enough for paid professionals to learn it.
> >
> >I mean, shouldn't they have to know it to prevent interference?
>
> Operators handling manual Morse traffic on HF in the Fixed, Mobile,
> or Marine services on HF are required to pass Morse tests which are
> more rigorous than the former Amateur Radio Service tests.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Phil, are you saying that Ed was mistaken when he said, "Knowledge of Morse
code is not required for other HF radio services."?

224. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:09:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xekU8.2161$ID3.66803987@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47938

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702054530.09808.00001903@mb-cr.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be
important
> >enough for paid professionals to learn it.
>
> I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate? Did
> something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

You guys let Cecil change his mind, didn't you?

225. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:10:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hgkU8.2166$ZA3.66668739@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47939

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afs73r$r7$0@208.206.142.67...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be
important
> > >enough for paid professionals to learn it.
> >
> > I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate? Did
> > something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?
>
> Yeh! He got religion and came back as a PCTA!

You obviously have no ability to read AND comprehend.

It is of no importance for hobbyists to learn it if even professionals
don't.

226. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:15:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mkkU8.2170$OC3.66767978@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47940

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702060636.13189.00000217@mb-mj.aol.com...
> In article <8c61e9d6.0206291300.55ea66e0@posting.google.com>,
> oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man) writes:
>
> >"J. Manley" <jmanley@manleyconsultants100.org> wrote in message
> >news:<afk8chgbm0@pita.alt.net>...
> >> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >> news:3D1D041B.53D3F809@ix.netcom.com...
> >> > Was tuning about the bands and found freebanders in the bottom end
> >> > of 10m. 28.055 with roger beeps. Another at 28.035 Spanish
> >> > I think. Earlier something at 28.045
> >> >
> >> > We ought to camp out on these freqs and operate some RTTY or
> >> > packet or such. Something to use our allocation to discourage the
> >> > freebanders. Be sure to use 28.0X5 MHz.
> >>
> >> Yup, and their numbers increase more each week. Moreover, the
> >> commission is powerless to stop them. You will not discourage them.
> >> They just move up higher, into more of the ten meter band. My
> >> company uses HF freqs. in the 6, 8, & 10 mHz bands. You ought to
> >> hear the unlicensed activity there, AND much of it is of stateside
origin!
> >>
> >> JJ
> >
> >And if the FCC would let us _- Tech Class Amateurs-_ use HF without
> >a morse code test we could fill it up to the point the freebanders
> >wouldn't have room on 10m or anywhere else to do their thing.
>
> That's what was said in the late 1980s about amateur VHF/UHF. Supposedly,
> having a nocodetest VHF/UHF ham license would bring in so many new hams
that
> our VHF/UHF bands would be full of activity, bandedge to bandedge, and
this
> would help protect bands like 220-225. Didn't happen.

The no-coders DID populate VHF and UHF. Then the wars started and no one
populates even VHF anymore.

220 "was a done deal" according to you PCTA. Equipment was scarce and
expensive.

> >It pisses me off that freebanders can get on there and yak it up
> >with no worries BUT if I get on there I'll loose my license for

> >it! Damn the FCC and their double standards.
>
> The FCC IS going after freebanders and other violators.
>
> It is almost certain that the code test will simply be removed late next
year.
> First step will be the deletion of S25.5 at WRC 2003 (that's the treaty
> provision requiring a code test). Second step is the USA ratifying the
changes
> agreed to at the WRC. Third step is FCC dropping the test completely.
>
> Some folks think FCC will have an NPRM, but my guess is that they will
simply
> drop the code test ASAP.
>
> So you have two options: Learn enough to pass the code test now, or wait
for it
> to go away in 18 months or so.
>
> Jim, N2EY

If he was going to use Morse code he would have already learned it. If I wasn't going to use it I'd wait.

227. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:16:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_IkU8.2172$pw3.66394397@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47941

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D21034F.22EF05F8@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:9f4bfe89.0207010925.25141680@posting.google.com...
> > > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > news:<20020630231143.08659.00000330@mb-mo.aol.com>...
> > >
> > > Hams who have
> > > > earned licenses and comply with the rules also dislike being
> > > > categorized with unlicensed folks who break the rules. It's a bit like
> > > > serious astronomers being confused with astrologers.
> > >
> > > I also dislike being categorized with licensed folks who break the
rules,
> > > such as the ARRL and W5YI VECs, Dick sending bad code, and Dave working
> > > French hams out of band. Time to clean up our act!
> >
> > I wasn't out of the band when working French hams, Brian.

Congratulations!

228. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:19:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LokU8.2174$SF3.66962634@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47942

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701232531.07384.00000199@mb-cm.aol.com...

> Val's case is just a typical computer-modem newbie who can't
> take the tag-team atmosphere of a newsgroup. They get all
> bent out of shape on criticsm of the slightest sort, magnifying
> it to way-exaggerated heights. He can't be a bad sort...he was
> the salvation of an entire Army Brigade with his little PRC-25!
> :-)

Someone else needs to ask about his Morse progress.

229. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:25:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wtkU8.2177$xH3.67062055@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47943

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701201032.10462.00000274@mb-mr.aol.com...

> Fact is, I have more experience on amateur radio HF/MF, and CW, than you
do,
> Cecil. And I'm not an expert by any means.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, that's one. Your list is starting to look like mine. Who are the
others who aren't CW experts?

230. Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 16:26:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0207020826.1753e116@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47944

Excellent code learning freeware that runs on Windows 9x, NT and
others available at:

http://www.g4fon.co.uk/

Easy to download, install and use (download fits on a floppy).
Does "Farnsworth" and "classic" timing, speeds from 5 to 50 wpm,
teaches via Koch method. Optional QSB, chirp and background noise.

Standard disclaimers apply.

73 de Jim, N2EY

231. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 17:40:45 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D21E59D.86DCF344@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47946

One Angry Man wrote:
> Here's the bottom line Ed. The anger comes from
> Extra class operators who don't know how to solder
> or how to check swr with their own equipment.
> But are able to get on hf JUST BECAUSE they can
> pass a code test and a couple of written tests.

I obtained ALL amateur frequency and power privileges in
1953 without knowing how to solder or how to check SWR.
There were no Q&A on soldering. Heck, I didn't even know
what SWR was because the only test question on SWR was
so easy to rote memorize.
****
Q: What is the formula for determining the standing-wave
ratio in a transmission line?

A: The formula for determining the standing-wave radio
in a line is: SWR = Imax/Imin or Emax/Emin
****
No need to know that 'I' is current and 'E' is voltage.
No need to know what Imax, Imin, Emax, or Emin means. Just
be sure the three letter "max" part is on top and the three
letter "min" part is on bottom. Since "max" is virtually always
higher than "min", it was a no brainer to rote memorize. Any
ratio that involves either 'I' or 'E' with 'max' on top and
'min' on bottom was the correct answer. Absolutely no knowledge
needed. All that was needed was an IQ above 57.325. If you had
trouble recalling, just remember that Mighty (Maxi) Mouse is on
top of Minnie Mouse (at least that's the way it was in 1953 :-).

If the system is broken, it has been broken for the 51
years that I have been licensed.

Does getting angry change anything in reality? Yep, it decreases
your life span. Everyone is ignorant of something, even you. Why
not try for a kinder, gentler approach to your fellow hams?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

232. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 17:41:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui3pd6a8vtphee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47945

Well, perhaps we should put the satellites, along with everything else, on 10 meters? Different bands are used for different purposes. For short range communications, cb would have served well except that no one respects the rules. Now, unless you run a lot of power, you may well be limited to 5 miles or less. If people want to use radio for experimentation or as a hobby, the license is required (and isn't that tough). Simple reasoning: the world doesn't want millions of hobbiests using lower hf for broadcasting, roger beeps, swearing, etc. The amateurs are not particularly enthusiastic about turning one or more amateur bands into such a sewer as you find on 11 meters either (yeah, there are a few bad amateurs; licensing helps eliminate the bad ones and tends to keep a number from straying too far as well). I have to chuckle about the 'large number of unused frequencies'. There are many repeaters active on 2 and 440, with a lesser number on 10, 6, 220, 900, and 1.2 GHz. Check this for what is available in my area: http://www.geocities.com/d_fravel/rlist.html
You have claimed they are largely unused; not true. Granted, at any given time most will be unused, but if you are traveling mobile and wish to maintain a qso with another station, you will often change repeaters as you move further away. Locally, the WB2KAO repeater has a nice range; I've talked to a mobile in Buffalo over it, but you will find the coverage spotty. The mobile will drop out at times; it is easier to switch to a repeater located between Rochester and Buffalo (or, with a good base, you might simply fire up a Buffalo repeater) and enjoy solid communications. I've heard Tim, WB2KAO, many times on a trip to see his dad. He'll start on his machine in Rochester, then, once 20 or 30 miles out, switch to the Bristol Mountain machine while talking with someone. Pass on a chunk of 220 or 440 to hobbiests with nothing to lose, and there will be problems. I suspect that MURS will head that way once folks discover what can be done with a couple of hundred watts. Then MURS as well as the buisness band adjacent to it will be rendered useless. This will take a bit of time, but with high power you can cover well over 100 miles 24/7 - and with propogation enhancements, California stations WILL be heard in Hawaii on MURS. This won't happen for a time, but it will likely happen. Care to bet coffee for a week?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0207011436.4480b4eb@posting.google.com...

> For jamming, no... for leaving loads of frequencies unused, yes.
>
> - Stewart, N0MHS
>

233. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 17:46:22 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D21E6EE.3E3A6134@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47948

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> > He has obviously conspired with Cecil to continue the nonstop NCTA
> > troll on rrap.
>
> Dick, all you have to do is stop responding. I'm keeping my fingers
> crossed.

Don't hold your breath, Brian. Dick obtains most of his netnews
nourishment from swallerin' that thar hook, line, and sinker.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

234. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 17:48:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui3pq6lej6u43a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47947
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Phil, you make a good point. Years ago, I kept complaining to the City of Rochester about a property behind me. Not only were weeds growing, but there were a couple of junk cars and old tires. I kept after the city for a year - THEN I took some pictures and went to my attourney. In less than a week, city crews were there, along with a tow truck, garbage truck, and equipment to clean it up. I'd have loved to see that idiot's tax bill the next year!!! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - a little money spent will do far more than simply whining!

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message :
>
>
> What have you done to follow-up on said complaints? Has your
> attorney had a sit-down with Charlie Megan if you think that
> they shoukld be given hugher priority?
>
> If you expect things to happen by themselves you are seeing the
> results of such waiting.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

235. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 17:49:27 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D21E7A7.1CB89CAE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 47950

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
> > I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate? Did
> > something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?
>
> You guys let Cecil change his mind, didn't you?

Nope, they were simply powerless to prevent it. There's a moral
in there somewhere.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

236. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 17:50:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui3pu5m8ajv458@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

With such an interest in exotic materials, may I assume you also collect
Darkness Emitting Arsenide Diode Semiconductors? ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

237. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 17:55:12 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D21E900.AF61A1E8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48051

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
> > Fact is, I have more experience on amateur radio HF/MF, and CW, than you
> > do, Cecil. And I'm not an expert by any means.
>
> Jim, that's one. Your list is starting to look like mine. Who are the
> others who aren't CW experts?

The larger question is how does one prove CW expertise on an ASCII
netnews group? Can everyone be trusted to truthfully add/delete
individual hams to/from such a list?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

238. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:11:51 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyml702.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48052

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 23:29:20 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:

>Phil, I'm not sure. Granted, to get the 1st telegraph one had to had a sea
>endorsement -

It was one year of aggregate service either at sea or at a
coast station handling public correspondence by manual Morse.
Certain Navy stations qualified for that purpose. The "sea
tine" requirement was 180 days "signed on the articles" for the
Six Months Service endorsement necessary to be the solo
operator on a cargo vessel. One got that as a second op on
either a US flag passenger or cargo vessel.

>but the 2nd was 20 words per minute - the same as the extra.

20 WPM plain text, 16 WPM code groups, with receiving and sending
tests for both. I always considered that combo as tougher than a
plain 20 wpm receiving test with maybe a sending test, and we were
less lenient on the grading (one had to be a handwriting detective
sometimes).

>When I went to the FCC in Buffalo, NY, in 1966, I wanted to take both the
>2nd telegraph (I was both too young and didn't have sea time to be able to
>try the 25 wpm code test) and the amateur extra, the examiner set up the
>code exam and gave me credit for both the extra and the telegraph.

If he didn't give you the 16 wpm code group tests, he wasn't doing
his job properly per the (internal) Examination Manual.
I
>The tests were not particularly difficult, but they were very honest.

The Commercial Element 6 (theory for the telegraph exams) required
drawing and/or correcting several diagrams. `The examiners always had
to snag an engineer to grade those questions.

>understand where some of the guys are comming from, but honestly don't see
>where cw proficiency really means a whole hill of beans today.

Unless one wants to operate CW....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

239. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:11:53 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gymmr73.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48053
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 23:19:19 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:

>Perhaps someone has more knowlege than I do on this and can offer a better
>time frame. I believe the FCC started shutting down facilities a long time
>before six years ago. We had a monitoring facility near Rochester, NY - it
>was located in Canadagua, which is only 25 miles or so from here. The FCC
>used to be a powerful government agency which DID enforce the regulations.
>I don't know what the extent its' monitoring facilities are, but there are
>FAR fewer FCC field agents than existed in the 60s. Anyone have info on
>this?

"During my time" the FCC had three types of monitoring/DF
facilities.

In the mid 1970s the "primary" at Santa Ana CA was closed and
moved to Ferndale WA, the "secondaries" at Juneau AK, Spokane WA,
Chillicothe OH, Beaumont TX and Canandaigua NY were closed,
and the FCC contingents at four "shared" sites run by another
Federal spook agency were relocated to existing FCC stations.

The property at Canandaigua was retained throigh the years
and served as a test bed for development of the remote control
system in the mid-90s. It is still "on line" remote/unmanned.

> ---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

240. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:18:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702141802.15110.00000253@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48054

In article <0OjU8.2140$Lv3.66351418@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> And although it won't ever happen, I would personally enjoy ARS history
>> questions on the tests!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>I do think history has a place on the exam. But the sarcasm was making it
>worth more than all the rest of amateur radio combined, like one particular
>mode is.

Well then, the TESTS have taken on a new role: Entertainment Vehicle!

:-)

241. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:18:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702141803.15110.00000254@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48059

In article <20020702031325.19597.00001259@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>Steve never made it to "Extra" in the medical world, huh?
>>>
>>>I wonder why not?
>>
>>...we can only wonder..
>
> No more than we wonder why your only achievement of any noteworthiness was
>a few articles in a now-defunt Amateur radio related magazine.
>
> Couldn't hack the commercial world, I guess.....

Heh heh heh heh.

I had a fine career in the "commercial world" of radio electronics and retired with a nice income still rolling in. Anyone can do that if they plan ahead.

Ham Radio Magazine, an independent technical-interest amateur radio oriented monthly lasted 22 years, spun off Ham Radio Horizons, a second amateur radio oriented monthly, had a fine independent bookstore section. Everything was sold off to CQ which created Communications Quarterly, a quarterly publication out of the general theme of HR's original format. CQ still has the Bookstore running, the one started by HR.

Seems like there a great deal of "different" definitions of "defunt" [sic]. :-)

Seems like there is a LOT of dissing by the technologically ignorant who were around when Skip Tenney and Jim Fisk started up HR and kept it going as an independent for years. Jim Fisk was the Editor in Chief and set the format...and kept it superior. Unfortunately, Jim suffered a fatal heart attack just as HR's subscription base was peaking. Alf Wilson and Rich Rosen kept it going to Fisk's high standards but independents had to rely on advertising revenue to stay in business...unlike QST's base as a membership magazine.

It is VERY petty of some who want to label a 22-year span of an independent periodical as "defunct" when they were competitive and successful. That only goes to show how low some people get in trying to achieve message points in here. Petty. Low-class.

Such conduct is a discredit to the amateur radio service.

242. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:18:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702141804.15110.00000255@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48057

In article <xJjU8.2136$pv3.66328878@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> >>Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?
>> >
>> >Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.
>>
>> How about, sometime, somebody suggest a slight correction in 97.3 (26)
>> to bring it up to date? :-)
>>
>> [sorry, I forgot you aren't reading my posts...:-)]
>
>Ed's in the "Newington-Speak" mode. He has a suggestion and idea that later
>he says there's no need for. Then he says we are in complete agreement.
>Hooey.

That's "Truthspeak" in action! Ol' George (Orwell) had it pinned down.

:-)

243. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:18:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702141804.15110.00000256@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48061

In article <fHjU8.2133$Qu3.66296079@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:Jd6U8.1484$n45.62703763@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:20020701174816.19575.00001236@mb-dd.aol.com...
>> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>> >
>> > >> Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs
>should
>> > >let
>> > >> people learn the characters at faster speed, but send those faster
>> > >characters
>> > >> at a 5 wpm overall rate.
>> >
>> > >Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?
>> >
>> > Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.
>> >
>> > 73,
>> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>>
>> Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's no
>> need for?
>
>Then Ed said,
>
>"I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change from
>Farnsworth testing."
>
>Ed, why did you have a great idea above, then say there's no need? Is this
>some kind of Newington-speak?

Too late...Ed went over to the dark side of the force.

It's sort of a redux of George Orwell's novel "1984." Given the technology gap of amateur radio versus the rest of the radio world, amateur radio technology is something like three decades behind. In 2004 the ham operators will have caught up with 1984 state of the art. Maybe.

Meanwhile, as in "1984" (the novel), Big Brother is THERE and will guide/direct US hams to the Proper Path.

No problem. :-)

244. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:18:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702141805.15110.00000257@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48056

In article <N_jU8.2149$7w3.66375828@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:afr3icgro1@208.206.142.66...
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >
>> > Aren't you supposed to be gone?
>>
>> He has obviously conspired with Cecil to continue the nonstop NCTA
>> troll on rrap.
>
>Dick, all you have to do is stop responding. I'm keeping my fingers
>crossed.

Brian, it's too late...Dickie has the obsession. He can't stop!

:-)

245. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:18:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702141806.15110.00000258@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48055

In article <LokU8.2174$SF3.66962634@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020701232531.07384.00000199@mb-cm.aol.com...
>
>> Val's case is just a typical computer-modem newbie who can't
>> take the tag-team atmosphere of a newsgroup. They get all
>> bent out of shape on criticsm of the slightest sort, magnifying
>> it to way-exaggerated heights. He can't be a bad sort...he was
>> the salvation of an entire Army Brigade with his little PRC-25!
>> :-)
>
>Someone else needs to ask about his Morse progress.

I'm glad you are on the job! [the PRC-25 didn't have a key...]

:-)

246. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:18:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702141806.15110.00000259@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48058

In article <hgkU8.2166$ZA3.66668739@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:afs73r$r7$0@208.206.142.67...
>>
>> W1RFI wrote:
>> >
>> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>> >
>> > >If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be
>important
>> > >enough for paid professionals to learn it.
>> >
>> > I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate? Did
>> > something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?
>>
>> Yeh! He got religion and came back as a PCTA!
>
>You obviously have no ability to read AND comprehend.
>
>It is of no importance for hobbyists to learn it if even professionals
>don't.

This is AMATEUR RADIO, Brian...hams have no use for pros.
[several very important amateur extras have stated so...]

If ham radio had morse code test requirements when Dickie was
learning all about TV production in the US Army, then they
MUST CONTINUE TO HAVE MORSE CODE TESTING!

That's all there's to it...it's the amateur way. :-)

247. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:18:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702141807.15110.00000260@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48062

In article <3D212CE9.9CD1C0F0@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>> Jim, I thought you said that CB came in in 1958 - the year I was born. I'm
>> 43 years old. Wouldn't that make Len correct?
>
>It may not have been clear but the Citizen's Band Radio Service
>pre-existed 11m CB by years. CB radio did not originate on 11m.

Cecil, this isn't about CB radio's history or the "accuracy" of a radio service or even about the "definitions" of a radio service. It is all about the spite of some anal-retentive types who MUST be "right" because they have established claims that THEIR word is always "right." Jimmie Micollis appears to be one of those.

It's sort of like the flame war that erupts when someone says something bad about morse code using the common term "CW." An anal-retentive type has to jump in with the sarcastic comment about it "really meaning 'continuous wave'" and they insist on making a federal case out of it. Never mind that "CW" has become a de facto term for on-off keyed continuous wave, the "correction" on "CW" has to be issued to put the original poster "in their place." :-)

The same is true for "CB." Today there is only ONE "CB" left, the original Class D part of the four-class Citizens Band Radio Service. It is codified in Part 95, Title 47 CFR. The original Class C part of Citizens Band has become a separate radio service in nomenclature and is also codified in Part 95. Radio Control Radio Service has gotten extra frequencies through citizens' requests, showing that the FCC really does listen to ALL the US citizenry.

The old Class A and Class B UHF Citizens Band allocations and regulations have long since disappeared and what few equipment there was at UHF CB have become scrap or a rare relic gathering dust. Perhaps the most-available was an expensive tube type super-regen and modulated oscillator that was a technologic throwback to pre-WW2 designs. Technically it was a piece of electronic junk, didn't last on the market.

The anal-retentive, absolute definition types will trot out damning "error-mistake!" messages because it is Their Way and they Must Prove Something. Never mind that "CB" will always be identified with the old 11 meter band allocations in the vast majority of everyone's minds, even those who aren't really into radio. Hams HAVE to damn "CB" because it is tradition and has long been a part of amateur radio prideful bigotry. :-)

It is nonsensical that some otherwise-thinking mid-forties hams
have to create vast reams of "corrective" messages arguing "errors"
(even "lies" in the message-point distortion) over 43 years versus
44 years...as if they had something to do with it when they were
infants or little children. :-)

248. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:18:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702141808.15110.00000261@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48060

In article <20020702000618.10462.00000278@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Five decades. And FCC has made some really dumb mistakes. Ask Newt Gingrich.

Why? Is he representing my district? Is he an ARRL director or section manager?

:-)

>Len, it's quite clear that you hate the amateur radio service and want it to
>die out.

Not at all. I think it is a fine hobby involving radio.

I also think that some involved with amateur radio have gone over the edge and proclaimed themselves as THE spokespersons and representatives of the hobby, becoming far too involved with the mystique and myths and legends that were created long ago.

>It's also clear that you are not interested in any sort of civil discussion.

Oh? This is a "discussion" venue? :-)

I thought it was a forum where the PCTAs could say anydamnthing they wanted against NCTAs but the vice was not versa. I thought it was a forum where anyone not boosting morse code was considered lower than slime, ignorant, and immature.

Look at the taglines of another amateur extra who, for months, signed off with a single Yiddish pejorative against those she didn't like...very "civil" was it?

Look at yourself, then, arguing minutae as if it was a life-and-death situation, overlooking the gist of a message and concentrating on what you consider "errors"...amplification beyond reasonableness when the subject doesn't involve amateur radio!

> Nor are you man enough to admit when you've made a mistake.

I made the mistake of thinking you were a rational human.

Mea Culpa! :-)

249. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 18:22:50 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D21EF62.D5D77CED@icok.net>
Source record: 48063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary,
Mind boggling!
'Doc

250. Happy 4th of July!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:01:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702150120.16798.00000327@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 48064

Here's wishing everyone a happy and safe Independence Day
for all of us American citizens.

Let's celebrate 226 years of our nation's existance by
remembering how it all began and how we've kept it
free regardless of threats against our way of life.

Happy birthday to the United States of America!

251. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:06:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D21F9D2.C26F5582@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48065

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > As to "racking up contacts" and "QSL cards", I have loads of logs and a
> > barn loft stuffed with boxes filled with QSLs. Do you think they might
> > be valueable?
>
> Maybe to the rats?

Now I have to import rats?

Dave K8MN

252. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:13:56 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gymqar4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48066

On 02 Jul 2002 18:18:07 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>The old Class A and Class B UHF Citizens Band allocations and
>regulations have long since disappeared

"Ctizens Class A" metamorphosed into GMRS....with the allocation
shrinking and the rules changing over time, but still identifiable as
such.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

253. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:31:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48067

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D20799C.38599A9A@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D1FD0A8.8BEB964C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D1F7DFE.C7F6ED1B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >

> > So to you, the fact that a DX station operating legitimately is on the
> > air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations?
>
> Assists. If you knowingly work them out of band.

Excuse me? Who knowingly worked anyone out of band? Aside from that
small technicality, just how does my operating on a frequency where I am
permitted assist anyone in keying his transmitter?

> You are
> > sticking to your story that I should check on the band authorizations
> > for the stations who call me in a pileup situation?
>
> I am sticking to my story that IF YOU KNOW that the station calling you is
> out of band that you shouldn't answer them.

Which stations did I KNOW were "out of band"? In an earlier incarnation
of this yarn of yours, you told me that you'd look up the station's
authorized band segment. I remember somethin' about you having them
under glass...

> You believe that
> > having my QSL manager send out a card with the exact frequency used
> > somehow makes French reg breakers more eager to snag a QSO?
>
> Yes.

How about putting some meat with those potatoes? In what way, Brian?

> What
> > happened to that little logic you spoke of? I haven't noted you using
> > it.
>
> It was wasted on you.

Thusfar you haven't exhibited any use of logic.

> > > Is it a law that you must reply to people that you know are operating
> out of
> > > band?
> >
> > Brian. Allow this to sink in: The moment they key a radio out of band,
> > they have broken their regs. I regularly hear desirable DX on
> > frequencies below 14.150 when tuning 20m. It doesn't matter to me that
> > I need a contact. I do not call them below 14.150 because my regs say
> > that I can't.
>
> I thought you were an Extra? Did Riley modify your license for some reason?

No. Dig deep, Brian. Assume I'm using SSB. Stick with me on this one.
We'll get through it. The moment I transmit an SSB signal on say
14.132, I have broken U.S. regs. How would a DX station operating on
that frequency "assist" me to break my country's regs?

> > > > > > > > You are right about QSLing though. I had no responsibility
> for
> > > QSLing
> > > > > > > > > other than to periodically send log extracts to WA8JOC. He
> didn't
> > > > > > check
> > > > > > > > > whether a guy who worked me was within his authorized band
> segment
> > > > > > > > > either. Since I logged my pileup frequency and it was printed
> on
> > > my
> > > > > QSL
> > > > > > > > > > card going to that station, there must be a load of French
> guys
> > > who
> > > > > > have
> > > > > > > > > > DX cards confirming a QSO on 50.110 or 50.115. I'll bet they
> > > don't
> > > > > > show
> > > > > > > > > > them to their local pals, huh?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Why not? Were they out of band or something?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If a French station worked me out of his authorized segment, he'd
> > > hardly
> > > > > > > > be able to show a QSL on which was printed a frequency he is not

> > > > > > supposed to use, would he?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, why not? Is it now illegal to show off postcards in France?
> The
> > > > > > illegal act was making the emission out of band, not showing off a
> > > postcard.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you robbed a convenience store and got away with it, you'd not
> likely
> > > > > be showing your pals an 8 x 10 glossy of you doing so. If you are a
> ham
> > > > > who has worked some DX outside your authorized band segment, you'd not
> > > > > likely wave about a QSL card which proves that you broke your
> country's
> > > > > regs.
> > > > >
> > > > Proof? I've gotten plenty of QSL cards that have errors on them.
> > >
> > Cards that you're about to send out or those that you've received?
> > Mine didn't have errors. They were correctly printed from my computer
> > log extracts.
>
> Dave, do you honestly believe that a person that breaks a law to work you
> out of band won't lie and say you made a mistake on the frequency stated on
> your QSL card?

...and all of those guys are going to say that I made an error on all of
those cards? If someone from Newington asks me to check my logs and
confirm the frequency, did you think I wouldn't? Some sap from Germany
sent me QSLs for QSOs which took place within five minutes of each
other. The guy had calls in Germany, Luxembourg and the U.S. I found
it interesting that he managed to transport himself from Germany to
Luxembourg and then to the U.S. within five minutes. I sent the cards
to the DXCC desk with an explanation.

> Good grief.

Grief is not good.

> > > > > > > > It is nice of you to be so concerned for the state of amateur
> > > radio in
> > > > > > > > France. Have you contacted the French PTT yet? Would you be
> > > > > > interested
> > > > > > > > > in extracts from my 50 MHz logs for J5, 9L, A2 and 5H or are
> there
> > > > > other
> > > > > > > > > bands or band segments which you feel French amateurs may have
> > > used
> > > > > > > > > illegally?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave, just go back to being an innocent by-stander. It's what

> you
> > > do
> > > > > best
> > > > > > > when you find yourself in an indefensible position.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I think I'm doing a bang up job of defending my position and
> making
> > > your
> > > > > > > repeated squawks about the matter look absurd.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You don't have a leg to stand on. Saying you do doesn't make it so.
> > > > >
> > > > No, being right when I say it makes it so. Are you personally
> > > > responsible for every goober who breaks an amateur radio reg?
> > >
> > > Dave, if I discovered that the French Hams I'd been contacting were out
> of
> > > band, I would cease responding to their calls.
> >
> > I'm happy for you, Brian and I'm sure that all of France celebrates your
> > stand.
>
> Thank you. It's the right thing to do. It's called integrity - doing the
> right thing even when no one is watching.

You simply can't trust those damned French hams, huh? Have you
contacted the French PTT with your concerns yet? Have you alerted the
DXCC desk?

Dave K8MN

254. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:32:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D21FFC3.2EDA1AA9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48068

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:afquus$q40$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:6RDT8.1131$%d2.32157945@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:afm5g4$po9$0@208.206.142.97...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > Dave, who routinely worked
> > > > > French Hams out of band on 6M. He says he has no responsibility
> to
> > > >
> > > refrain from QSOing bootleggers.
> > > >
> > > > It is not my responsibility to ensure that each station I work is
> > within
> > > > his authorized band segment or for that matter, to check whether or
> > not
> > > > the guy is indeed a licensed ham.
> > > >
> > > Yep, it's just more blather from airhead Gadfly. I suppose he makes it
> > > a point to make sure of each country's allocations by license class so
> > > he can tell them when they land in unauthorized territory. I would
> > > imagine he would have notified JY1 likewise.
> > > Whatta rule following fella he is! Er, except for following the rules
> > > that applied to the code test, that is. He opted to sit and bitch
> > > instead.
> > >
> > Dick, if/when you are working French hams 200 khz out of their
> > authorization, and you are then made aware of the problem, do you
> continue
> > to work the French bootleggers?
> >
> Juust "how" does Dick know the French are out-of-band? I don't
> have their rules/regs. Do you?
> >
```

> > > What's wrong with following the rules? Are you a rules breaker?
> >
> > The US ham is NOT breaking any rules.
>
> A French ham came on here and stated so.

Well, there you have it.

Dave K8MN

255. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:35:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D220071.EE6D555C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48069

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2107A9.52E8F24C@earthlink.net...
>
> > Aren't you supposed to be gone?
>
> Dave, don't let the swinging door smack you in the face while you're chasing
> me out.

No one here chased you anywhere. You made a very implicit statement
then simply ignored your own pledge. Now THAT's integrity! Let's see,
there's you, Kim, Alec Baldwin and Richard Nixon.

Dave K8MN

256. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:36:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D22009F.49D672B9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48070

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:afr3icgro1@208.206.142.66...
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > Aren't you supposed to be gone?
> >
> > He has obviously conspired with Cecil to continue the nonstop NCTA
> > troll on rrap.
>
> Dick, all you have to do is stop responding. I'm keeping my fingers
> crossed.

That should really hose up your typing.

Dave K8MN

257. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:39:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D220173.86653662@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48071

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2108D9.F2A4E047@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:afpr4h$q91$0@208.206.142.111...
> > >
> > > > You insist that Dave is responsible for some French ham working
> outside
> > > > HIS autoirizations just because Dave did talk to him.
> > >
> > > If Dave stopped completing QSOs once he found out that they were out >
> of band, that would be the end of the story. But to continue racking > up
> contacts, QSL cards, and possibly greenstamps makes one wonder what > his
> motivation was.
> >
> > Ahhh, the greenstamp ploy huh?
>
> Dave, then why do it? Why risk your credibility working people you know are
> out of band?

What people I knew were out of band? What risk to my credibility
because a stray pup in Ohio decides to attempt taking me to task for the
actions of French hams? What action have you initiated against French
scofflaw hams? I was operating where I was supposed to be. Finally,
what pile of greenstamps? Do you actually know anything about anything?

Dave K8MN

258. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:56:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D22055B.D180DD2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48072

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020702031325.19597.00001259@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >>>Steve never made it to "Extra" in the medical world, huh?
> >>>
> >>>I wonder why not?
> >>
> >>...we can only wonder..
> >
> > No more than we wonder why your only achievement of any noteworthiness was
> >a few articles in a now-defunt Amateur radio related magazine.
> >
> > Couldn't hack the commercial world, I guess.....
>
> Heh heh heh heh.
>
> I had a fine career in the "commercial world" of radio electronics and retired
> with a nice income still rolling in. Anyone can do that if they plan ahead.
>
> Ham Radio Magazine, an independent technical-interest amateur radio oriented
> monthly lasted 22 years, spun off Ham Radio Horizons, a second amateur
> radio oriented monthly, had a fine independent bookstore section. Everything
> was sold off to CQ which created Communications Quarterly, a quarterly
> publication out of the general theme of HR's original format. CQ still has the
> Bookstore running, the one started by HR.
>
> Seems like there a great deal of "different" definitions of "defunt" [sic].
> :-)
>
> Seems like there is a LOT of dissing by the technologically ignorant
> who were around when Skip Tenney and Jim Fisk started up HR and
> kept it going as an independent for years. Jim Fisk was the Editor
> in Chief and set the format...and kept it superior. Unfortunately, Jim
> suffered a fatal heart attack just as HR's subscription base was
> peaking. Alf Wilson and Rich Rosen kept it going to Fisk's high
> standards but independents had to rely on advertising revenue to
> stay in business...unlike QST's base as a membership magazine.
>
> It is VERY petty of some who want to label a 22-year span of an
> independent periodical as "defunct" when they were competitive
> and successful. That only goes to show how low some people
> get in trying to achieve message points in here. Petty. Low-class.

>
> Such conduct is a discredit to the amateur radio service.

You are a real cartoon character, Len. You jump on Steve's medical credentials and don't expect flak in return. Then you launch into a history of "Ham Radio" magazine for us as if you are an expert on the subject. I subscribed to the last couple of years of the run and wrote two articles for Ham Radio Horizons. Nobody said anything negative about the 22 year mostly successful run of "Ham Radio". What was said was that it is defunct. That was stated because it is defunct. It has ceased to exist. "Liberty" magazine was a successful magazine during most of its run. It too is defunct. "73" magazine isn't very successful. It is not defunct.

Dave K8MN

259. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 19:58:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D220603.E7E34426@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48073

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <fHjU8.2133$Qu3.66296079@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> >news:Jd6U8.1484$n45.62703763@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20020701174816.19575.00001236@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >> >
> >> > >> Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs
> >should
> >> > >let
> >> > >> people learn the characters at faster speed, but send those faster
> >> > >characters
> >> > >> at a 5 wpm overall rate.
> >> >
> >> > >Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?
> >> >
> >> > Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian.
> >> >
> >> > 73,
> >> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >>
> >> Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's no
> >> need for?
> >
> >Then Ed said,
> >
> >"I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change from
> >Farnsworth testing."
> >
> >Ed, why did you have a great idea above, then say there's no need? Is this
> >some kind of Newington-speak?
>
> Too late...Ed went over to the dark side of the force.
>
> It's sort of a redux of George Orwell's novel "1984." Given the
> technology gap of amateur radio versus the rest of the radio
> world, amateur radio technology is something like three
> decades behind. In 2004 the ham operators will have caught
> up with 1984 state of the art. Maybe.

I hope you can back up your frivolous claim, non-participant.

> Meanwhile, as in "1984" (the novel), Big Brother is THERE
> and will guide/direct US hams to the Proper Path.

Another slam at the League at which you claim never to take shots?

> No problem. :-)

Not for us :-)

Dave K8MN

260. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 20:04:14 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D22073E.7623DF09@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48074

```
Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > As to "racking up contacts" and "QSL cards", I have loads of logs and a
> > > barn loft stuffed with boxes filled with QSLs. Do you think they might
> > > be valueable?
> > >
> > > Maybe to the rats?
> >
> > Now I have to import rats?

For God's sake, Dave, if it doesn't have rats, how can you possibly, with
a straight face, present it as being a barn?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

261. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 21:35:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702173532.26704.00000999@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 48075

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>I do think history has a place on the exam. But the sarcasm was making it
>worth more than all the rest of amateur radio combined, like one particular
>mode is.

What mode do you think is worth more than all the rest of amateur radio
combined? What made it so?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

262. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 21:38:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702173854.26704.00001000@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 48076

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>>>>> Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs
>>>>>should let people learn the characters at faster speed, but send
>>>>>those faster characters at a 5 wpm overall rate.

>"I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change from
>Farnsworth testing."

>Ed, why did you have a great idea above, then say there's no need?

Because the great idea I had is already being used by the VECs and the FCC sees no need to change it. Why would this confuse you so?

>Is this some kind of Newington-speak?

What does Newington have to do with this? I post from Burlington, CT. Is this another one of those laws of physics change at the 11-meter and Newington, CT borders?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

263. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 21:40:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702174005.26704.00001001@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 48077

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> >Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's no
>> >need for?

>> I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change from
>> Farnsworth testing.

>There you go again snipping the content in order to make it say what you
>want. Won't work.

Okay, then what do you think I believe there is no need to change? You are
very confused here, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

264. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 21:42:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702174223.26704.00001002@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 48078

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Why do you think that ARRL's telling hams about that was stupid? They did
>so
>> in an unbiased way.

>The answer was in the part you snipped, Ed. That the ARRL had already been
>doing Farnsworth for 12 years.

So do you think it was stupid that ARRL told hams that the ARRL VECs have been using Farnsworth for 12 years? They told that 12 years ago, Brian; why are you waiting until now to say that their telling hams about it was stupid? Do you think it would have been un-stupid if they had tried to keep it a secret?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

265. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 21:43:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702174346.26704.00001003@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 48079

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> > >If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be
>important
>> > >enough for paid professionals to learn it.

>It is of no importance for hobbyists to learn it if even professionals
>don't.

Well, which is it, Brian? Do you think that paid professionals should learn it
and hobbyists shouldn't?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

266. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 23:04:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702190428.26704.00001016@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 48080

From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)

>Here's the bottom line Ed. The anger comes from
>Extra class operators who don't know how to solder
>or how to check swr with their own equipment.

Why would Extras who don't know how to solder be angry?

>I know one Extra who is a science teacher
>in the local school who asks me questions that a
>beginner in CB would know the answer to.

What was the question? Seems pretty unexpected that someone could attain a degree in education and be a science teacher and ask a question that someone who has no CB experience would know.

>I also have a friend who is a General who is also
>a former CBer who just happened to pass his tests
>but can't figure out the most simple of problems
>with his equipment. When I try to help him he
>thinks I don't know what I'm talking about. Then
>later (days to weeks) he tells me he found out
>what was wrong and it's just what I had told him
>in the first place.

Perhaps the science teacher could give you some lessons in how to be a better teacher and give better explanations.

>I understand electronics and radio theory pretty
>well BUT because I have not taken a code test
>I'm not allowed on HF at all, but at this time
>the freebanders are everywhere (including 10m)
>and VERY FEW if any ever get in trouble for it.

The most recent ARRL enforcement-log posting had a number of busts that appear to be freebanders.

>That's the FCC's double standard I'm upset about!

The FCC told hams some time back that they would get to the freeband problem soon enough. That appears to be happening. Why would you pick now to let loose on them?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

267. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-02 23:07:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702190710.26704.00001019@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 48081

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate? Did
>> something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?

>You guys let Cecil change his mind, didn't you?

What happened to you while you were gone was that Cecil changed his mind???

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

268. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 00:06:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702200632.01164.00000203@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 48082

In article <20020626041106.15701.00000777@mb-fx.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>> Sounds like a win-win to me, Brian.
>>
>>Sounds like a good way to keep newbies off the HF bands.
>
>No, it sounds like a way to make it easier for prospective hams to pass the
>Morse test.

Yup. Also a good way to help newcomers learn the code faster and easier, with fewer bad habits.

Which is probably why some folks are so against it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

269. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 00:10:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702201008.11052.00000382@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 48083

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gymqar4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 02 Jul 2002 18:18:07 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>The old Class A and Class B UHF Citizens Band allocations and
>>regulations have long since disappeared
>
> "Ctizens Class A" metamorphosed into GMRS....with the allocation
> shrinking and the rules changing over time, but still identifiable as
> such.

Oh? That's interesting. I have a copy of Part 95. Sorry, but I can't
see any reference to "CB Class A or CB Class B" in there.

Are you going to make a Big Federal Case out of this? :-)

270. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 00:10:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702201009.11052.00000383@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 48084

In article <3D22055B.D180DD2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>You are a real cartoon character, Len.

I can't compare to your "Superman," Dave... :-)

>You jump on Steve's medical
>credentials and don't expect flak in return.

What is "jumping on?"

He doesn't have an MD...and "he saves lives."

If the poor baby has a medical doctorate, in which state was
it issued?

Does he have a license as a nurse in Tennessee? What is its
registry number?

Are you claiming that a medical nurse is "just as good" as a
medical doctor? If so, why?

>Then you launch into a
>history of "Ham Radio" magazine for us as if you are an expert on the
>subject.

I know something of them, having worked for them. :-)

>I subscribed to the last couple of years of the run and wrote
>two articles for Ham Radio Horizons.

You want someone to endorse you for a Pulitzer? :-)

Couldn't handle the technical stuff in HR, ey?

>Nobody said anything negative
>about the 22 year mostly successful run of "Ham Radio".

Not "mostly successful," Superman, SUCCESSFUL when
up against CQ, 73, and that membership magazine.

>What was said was that it is defunct. That was stated because it is defunct.

Nah...the gist of it was to slam HR and the people that made it
possible because I mentioned it and the poor baby couldn't
resist dragging others down in the mud because of his hate.

>It has ceased to exist.

Not really...it metamorphosed into Communications Quarterly
and the Ham Radio Bookstore became a retail outlet of CQ.

>"Liberty" magazine was a successful magazine during
>most of its run. It too is defunct.

You take that up with whichever commercial publishing
associations you feel a need to criticize...this forum isn't for
publishing or locomotives or gunnery or whatever floats your
boat.

>"73" magazine isn't very successful. It is not defunct.

Well, you style yourself a big guru on publications...why don't
you send off an angry letter to 73 and tell them what-for? :-)

You ARE an amateur Superman, aren't you?

271. Re: Happy 4th of July!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 01:10:31 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020702211031.01997.00002749@mb-ma.news.cs.com>
Source record: 48085

>Here's wishing everyone a happy and safe Independence Day
>for all of us American citizens.

Same to you, Len...and to everyone else on the newsgroup. :-)

>Let's celebrate 226 years of our nation's existance by
>remembering how it all began and how we've kept it
>free regardless of threats against our way of life.

Damn straight! >:-/

>Happy birthday to the United States of America!

Amen.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

272. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 01:14:07 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zdsU8.73001$UT.5071663@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48086

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702200632.01164.00000203@mb-mw.aol.com...
> Yup. Also a good way to help newcomers learn the code faster and easier,
with
> fewer bad habits.
>
> Which is probably why some folks are so against it.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Why James. Could you possibly be implying that there are those with a vested interest in remaining underlings? Ah know they freed me massah but I don't wanta have to think and be responsible. How totally politically incorrect of you.

You are hereby sentenced to eternity enduring social workers, sociology majors, art historians and telephone sanitizers.

Bionic Klutz

273. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 02:15:32 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <aftmpi$he7ep$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48087
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:3D207740.67F2B8BD@uwe.ac.uk...
> Steve Terry wrote:
>
> > Indeed a Code free HF licence has been assumed to be coming for years.
> > The FL M3 isn't it
> > I think most would agree that until recently the assumption was that a
second
> > written test
> > like the US Advanced or Extra class exams would be introduced here
> > Entitling RAE passers, to advance to an HF licence
>
> No advance is required, merely the use of an antiquated code. Using
> this code seems to have a detrimental effect on the mental faculties
> of the victims, as witnessed by your drivellings.
> Andy, M3ABC
>
It's sad to see that old green devil of Envy so clearly expressed

Try putting some small effort into taking the RAE and improving your self
respect
Then you might also gain some from others ?

Steve Terry

274. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 02:21:42 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <aftn54$he05v$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48088
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D1F0B0C.59134CEC@hotmail.com...
> TX & RX News wrote:
> > It's a misguided attempt to attract more
> > people into Ham Radio by lowering the standards
> > so ridiculously that untechnical people who have no
> > more acumen than to press a button can get a licence.
> > As an example, 8 year olds, whose mathematical
> > capabilities will not extend to calculations of resistances
> > in parallel are being licensed. The M3 is a travesty.
>
> I couldn't calculate parallel resistances when I was 14 years
> old and passed the Novice exam in 1952. I learned how later
> and even got a EE degree. What's wrong with that?
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
The Novice licence didn't allow you to operate all modes, on almost all bands

Only CW on a few restricted frequencies, a true beginners licence
I don't think anyone in the UK would or could object to a UK FL licence like
that ?

Steve Terry

275. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 02:42:37 UTC
From: N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <aftp7o$gqcrc$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48092
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hmm, let's see. We'll take someone who has shown enough interest in Ham Radio to go to the effort of getting licensed. Now, instead of Elmering them, encouraging them to upgrade, show them the ropes, no instead we'll totally ignore them, causing them to get discouraged and leave the hobby.

Oh yeah, that's a great way to preserve the hobby. Preserve it right out of existence.

Robert / N4IXT

Inexplicably "TX & RX News" <@> wrote in message news:3d1db124_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> In my recent international QSO's, I've been
> putting to my respondent that he ought to ignore calls
> from M3's, because they are little more than CB
> licences, and are going to bring the hobby into
> disrepute.
>
> When I have described the two "tests" it is necessary
> to pass, a sympathetic response has been received.
>
> Is it time, now, for all genuine Hams, whether in the UK, or
> elsewhere, to show their contempt for the M3 by
> refusing to QSO with them?
>
>
>

276. Re: A little "prison" music, please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 02:51:58 UTC
From: N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <aftp7s$gqcrc$2@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48093

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gyds6vk.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 23:05:15 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >Damn, what did he do?
>
> He dissed the FCC. The rest followed suit.

As I recall he did a bit more than just diss the FCC, he interfered with Police and Fire freqencies, blocking emergency communications traffic and putting lives in danger. He also attacked some hams, something about a car ramming incident if I recall right.

I'm not saying the FCC is perfect, far from it, just that what he did deserves more than just the label "dissing".

> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> Licensed 50 years TODAY

A hearty congrats! I'm jealous. I could kick myself for not getting into the hobby as a teenager when I had the chance. They already have the QCWA, maybe they ought to have a Golden Ham award for folks in the hobby at 50+ years. Would be interesting to find out who the longest licensed ham. I wonder if you could find that out from the FCC database? Hmmm....

73's

Robert / N4IXT

277. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 02:55:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GItU8.1954$m07.86410527@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48089

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D21E900.AF61A1E8@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
> > > Fact is, I have more experience on amateur radio HF/MF, and CW, than
you
> > > do, Cecil. And I'm not an expert by any means.
> >
> > Jim, that's one. Your list is starting to look like mine. Who are the
> > others who aren't CW experts?
>
> The larger question is how does one prove CW expertise on an ASCII
> netnews group? Can everyone be trusted to truthfully add/delete
> individual hams to/from such a list?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Jim thought he had a point to make, so we should not stand in his way. His
word is as good as any on here.

73, Brian

278. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 02:56:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LJtU8.1955$757.86704158@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48090

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702174223.26704.00001002@mb-cv.aol.com...

Do you
> think it would have been un-stupid if they had tried to keep it a secret?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, what is un-stupid?

279. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 02:57:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aftp68dfn0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 48091

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020702200632.01164.00000203@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > Yup. Also a good way to help newcomers learn the code faster and easier,
> with
> > fewer bad habits.
> >
> > Which is probably why some folks are so against it.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Why James. Could you possibly be implying that there are those with a
> vested interest in remaining underlings? Ah know they freed me massah but
> I don't wanta have to think and be responsible. How totally politically
> incorrect of you.
>
> You are hereby sentenced to eternity enduring social workers, sociology
> majors, art historians and telephone sanitizers.
>

Could our own Gadfly possibly be one of the above?

280. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:00:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iNtU8.1959$Y%6.86383677@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48094

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702141806.15110.00000259@mb-bh.aol.com...
> In article <hgkU8.2166$ZA3.66668739@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> >news:afs73r$r7$0@208.206.142.67...
> >>
> >> W1RFI wrote:
> >> >
> >> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >> >
> >> > >If its important enough to force hobbyists to learn it it otta be
> >important
> >> > >enough for paid professionals to learn it.
> >> >
> >> > I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate?
Did
> >> > something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?
> >>
> >> Yeh! He got religion and came back as a PCTA!
> >
> >You obviously have no ability to read AND comprehend.
> >
> >It is of no importance for hobbyists to learn it if even professionals
> >don't.
>
> This is AMATEUR RADIO, Brian...hams have no use for pros.
> [several very important amateur extras have stated so...]
>
> If ham radio had morse code test requirements when Dickie was
> learning all about TV production in the US Army, then they
> MUST CONTINUE TO HAVE MORSE CODE TESTING!
>
> That's all there's to it...it's the amateur way. :-)

I have no idea what you're talking about.

281. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:01:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ONtU8.1961$T67.86795444@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48095

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702174346.26704.00001003@mb-cv.aol.com...

Which is what?
Brian

282. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:01:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rOtU8.1962$Q67.86792311@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48096

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702190710.26704.00001019@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate? Did
> >> something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?
>
> >You guys let Cecil change his mind, didn't you?
>
> What happened to you while you were gone was that Cecil changed his
mind???
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I have no idea what you are talking about.

283. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:18:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <p2uU8.1975$3h7.87447880@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48097

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...

> > > So to you, the fact that a DX station operating legitimately is on the
> > > air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations?
> >
> > Assists. If you knowingly work them out of band.
>
> Excuse me? Who knowingly worked anyone out of band?

You did. The Frenchman came on here and recited their 6M privs. You stated the freqs where you were contacting them and it was outside their limits. I pointed it out, but you insisted that you were doing nothing wrong and continued to work them anyway. Or so you said.

> > > Brian. Allow this to sink in: The moment they key a radio out of
band,
> > > they have broken their regs. I regularly hear desirable DX on
> > > frequencies below 14.150 when tuning 20m. It doesn't matter to me
that
> > > I need a contact. I do not call them below 14.150 because my regs say
> > > that I can't.
> >
> > I thought you were an Extra? Did Riley modify your license for some
reason?
>
> No. Dig deep, Brian. Assume I'm using SSB. Stick with me on this one.
> We'll get through it. The moment I transmit an SSB signal on say
> 14.132, I have broken U.S. regs. How would a DX station operating on
> that frequency "assist" me to break my country's regs?

Why break the rules again, Dave?

What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's no reg forbidding cross-mode QSO's.

Remember, you said all the 3rd worlders were using it.

> > > Cards that you're about to send out or those that you've received?
> > > Mine didn't have errors. They were correctly printed from my computer
> > > log extracts.

> >
> > Dave, do you honestly believe that a person that breaks a law to work
you
> > out of band won't lie and say you made a mistake on the frequency stated
on
> > your QSL card?
>
> ...and all of those guys are going to say that I made an error on all of
> those cards? If someone from Newington asks me to check my logs and
> confirm the frequency, did you think I wouldn't?

You think Newington has something to do with prosecuting out of band
Frenchmen?

Some sap from Germany
> sent me QSLs for QSOs which took place within five minutes of each
> other. The guy had calls in Germany, Luxembourg and the U.S. I found
> it interesting that he managed to transport himself from Germany to
> Luxembourg and then to the U.S. within five minutes. I sent the cards
> to the DXCC desk with an explanation.

So you draw the line somewhere?

Bully for you.

284. Re: Happy 4th of July!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:20:18 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <s0uU8.20925$wj4.1970761@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48098

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702150120.16798.00000327@mb-fr.aol.com...
> Here's wishing everyone a happy and safe Independence Day
> for all of us American citizens.
>
> Let's celebrate 226 years of our nation's existance by
> remembering how it all began and how we've kept it
> free regardless of threats against our way of life.
>
> Happy birthday to the United States of America!

Same to you Len and everyone else. Happy Birthday to the nation of free speech (which makes newsgroups such as this possible). God Bless America.

73 de KR4AJ

285. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:22:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <N5uU8.1980$0i7.87509281@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48099

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D22009F.49D672B9@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:afr3ic$gro$1@208.206.142.66...
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Aren't you supposed to be gone?
> > >
> > > He has obviously conspired with Cecil to continue the nonstop NCTA
> > > troll on rrap.
> >
> > Dick, all you have to do is stop responding. I'm keeping my fingers
> > crossed.
>
> That should really hose up your typing.
>
> Dave K8MN

You really do have a sense of humor. No smiley needed on that one.
```

286. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:22:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <k6uU8.1982$rj7.87591243@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48100

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D220071.EE6D555C@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2107A9.52E8F24C@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > Aren't you supposed to be gone?
> >
> > Dave, don't let the swinging door smack you in the face while you're
chasing
> > me out.
>
> No one here chased you anywhere. You made a very implicit statement
> then simply ignored your own pledge. Now THAT's integrity! Let's see,
> there's you, Kim, Alec Baldwin and Richard Nixon.
>
> Dave K8MN

"I am not a crook!"

287. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:24:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <18uU8.1985$Wj7.87622964@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48101

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D220173.86653662@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2108D9.F2A4E047@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:afpr4h$q91$0@208.206.142.111...
> > > >
> > > > > You insist that Dave is responsible for some French ham working
> > outside
> > > > > HIS autoirizations just because Dave did talk to him.
> > > >
> > > > If Dave stopped completing QSOs once he found out that they were out
>
> > of band, that would be the end of the story. But to continue racking >
up
> > contacts, QSL cards, and possibly greenstamps makes one wonder what >
his
> > motivation was.
> > >
> > > Ahhh, the greenstamp ploy huh?
> >
> > Dave, then why do it? Why risk your credibility working people you know
are
> > out of band?
>
> What people I knew were out of band? What risk to my credibility
> because a stray pup in Ohio decides to attempt taking me to task for the
> actions of French hams? What action have you initiated against French
> scofflaw hams? I was operating where I was supposed to be. Finally,
> what pile of greenstamps? Do you actually know anything about anything?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you have a very poor memory. 73, Brian

288. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:26:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gynd6k5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48102

On 03 Jul 2002 00:10:08 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>> "Ctizens Class A" metamorphosed into GMRS....with the allocation
>> shrinking and the rules changing over time, but still identifiable as
>> such.
>
>Oh? That's interesting. I have a copy of Part 95. Sorry, but I can't
>see any reference to "CB Class A or CB Class B" in there.

I refer you to the Commission's Third Report and Order in the
proceeding entitled "Revision of Operating Rules for Class D
Stations in the Citizens Radio Service", FCC 76-1138, Adopted
December 14, 1976, Released December 16, 1976. Citation is 39 RR 2d
5500, 63 FCC 2d 32, 1975 FCC LEXIS 2142.

In Paragraph 4 of that Order the Commission stated:

4. We believe that the time is now right for the implementation
of the name changes, and we are therefore changing the names of
the Citizens Radio Service and its subdesignations, as follows:
the Citizens Radio Service is redesignated the Personal Radio
Services; the Class A Service is redesignated the General Mobile
Radio Service; the Class C Service is redesignated the Radio
Control (R/C) Service; and the Class D Service is redesignated
the Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service.

It is interesting to note that from 1949 until the early 1960s
there WAS another "General Mobile Service" which was a land mobile
dispatch service (as distinguished from an interconnect service) run
by both wireline and non-wireline common carriers who leased the
equipment to the users. When this service was abolished, there was
a stipulation put into the land mobile rules that the carriers could
no longer lease the equipment to the licensees. This stipulation
was removed in the early 70s when the carriers no longer were interested
in providing such a service.

>Are you going to make a Big Federal Case out of this? :-)

Nah, just a summary procedure....(see the summary above) <ggg>

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

289. Re: Happy 4th of July!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:26:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <w9uU8.1988$Ik7.87674069@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48103

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702150120.16798.00000327@mb-fr.aol.com...
> Here's wishing everyone a happy and safe Independence Day
> for all of us American citizens.
>
> Let's celebrate 226 years of our nation's existance by
> remembering how it all began and how we've kept it
> free regardless of threats against our way of life.
>
> Happy birthday to the United States of America!

Happy Birthday, America!

Brian/N0iMD

290. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:27:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xauU8.1989$Uk7.87686438@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48104

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0207020826.1753e116@posting.google.com...
> Excellent code learning freeware that runs on Windows 9x, NT and
> others available at:
>
> http://www.g4fon.co.uk/
>
> Easy to download, install and use (download fits on a floppy).
> Does "Farnsworth" and "classic" timing, speeds from 5 to 50 wpm,
> teaches via Koch method. Optional QSB, chirp and background noise.
>
> Standard disclaimers apply.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Koch method? What is that? Is the ARRL using it to test?

291. Re: A little "prison" music, please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:35:51 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyndh46.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48105

On Tue, 2 Jul 2002 21:51:58 -0500, N4IXT wrote:

>> He dissed the FCC. The rest followed suit.
>
>As I recall he did a bit more than just diss the FCC, he interfered with
>Police and Fire freqencies, blocking emergency communications traffic and
>putting lives in danger. He also attacked some hams, something about a car
>ramming incident if I recall right.
>
>I'm not saying the FCC is perfect, far from it, just that what he did
>deserves more than just the label "dissing".

If he had showed real or fake repentance the first time he was
caught, he could have gotten away with a warning and maybe a couple
of hundred dollars in penalties as others have.

But no, he "dissed" the FCC and kept on doing "bad things".....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

292. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:35:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wiuU8.1995$Ln7.87862391@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48106

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702174005.26704.00001001@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> >Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's
no
> >> >need for?
>
> >> I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change
from
> >> Farnsworth testing.
>
> >There you go again snipping the content in order to make it say what you
> >want. Won't work.
>
> Okay, then what do you think I believe there is no need to change? You
are
> very confused here, Brian.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, I don't have the slightest bit of confuision on my end.

You said, "Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs should let people learn the characters at faster speed, but send those faster characters at a 5 wpm overall rate."

I said, "Ed, great idea. Why not suggest the FCC incorporate it in to Part 97?"

Then you said, "Doesn't seem to be a need to, Brian."

Then I said, "Ed, do you spend all of your idle time thinking up things that there's no need for?"

Then you said, "I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change from Farnsworth testing."

Which of course, ISN'T what I said. You are simply confused, or just plain simple.

Now we're back in position for you to comment again. Please, no snipping or you're likely to get even more confused.

293. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:38:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zkuU8.1997$6p7.87950591@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48107

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D220603.E7E34426@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > Meanwhile, as in "1984" (the novel), Big Brother is THERE
> > and will guide/direct US hams to the Proper Path.
>
> Another slam at the League at which you claim never to take shots?

I always thought "Big Brother" was the gubmn't, just as Orwell meant it.

Dave, why do you think the ARRL is Big Brother?

294. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:39:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EluU8.1998$rf7.87341210@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48108

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702173854.26704.00001000@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >>>>> Hey, I have an idea. If faster speed is more fun, maybe the VEs
> >>>>>should let people learn the characters at faster speed, but send
> >>>>>those faster characters at a 5 wpm overall rate.
>
> >"I am glad that we agree that there is no need for the FCC to change from
> >Farnsworth testing."
>
> >Ed, why did you have a great idea above, then say there's no need?
>
> Because the great idea I had is already being used by the VECs and the FCC
sees
> no need to change it. Why would this confuse you so?

Then its not your great idea. Ed, why do you steal others ideas?

295. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:41:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <onuU8.2002$wr7.88097889@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48109

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702200632.01164.00000203@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <20020626041106.15701.00000777@mb-fx.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>> Sounds like a win-win to me, Brian.
> >>
> >>Sounds like a good way to keep newbies off the HF bands.
> >
> >No, it sounds like a way to make it easier for prospective hams to pass
the
> >Morse test.
>
> Yup. Also a good way to help newcomers learn the code faster and easier,
with
> fewer bad habits.
>
> Which is probably why some folks are so against it.

Not at all. I wished it were implemented when I was learning the code.

Properly implemented, that is. With a change to the regulations.

296. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:43:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mpuU8.2003$rs7.88158241@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48110

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:zdsU8.73001$UT.5071663@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020702200632.01164.00000203@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > Yup. Also a good way to help newcomers learn the code faster and easier,
> with
> > fewer bad habits.
> >
> > Which is probably why some folks are so against it.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Why James. Could you possibly be implying that there are those with a
> vested interest in remaining underlings? Ah know they freed me massah but
> I don't wanta have to think and be responsible. How totally politically
> incorrect of you.
>
> You are hereby sentenced to eternity enduring social workers, sociology
> majors, art historians and telephone sanitizers.
>
> Bionic Klutz

Dick, I don't like the way you made your point.

297. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:43:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <UpuU8.2004$jo7.87898306@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48111

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:aftp68dfn0@208.206.142.82...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020702200632.01164.00000203@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > > Yup. Also a good way to help newcomers learn the code faster and
easier,
> > with
> > > fewer bad habits.
> > >
> > > Which is probably why some folks are so against it.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Why James. Could you possibly be implying that there are those with a
> > vested interest in remaining underlings? Ah know they freed me massah
but
> > I don't wanta have to think and be responsible. How totally politically
> > incorrect of you.
> >
> > You are hereby sentenced to eternity enduring social workers, sociology
> > majors, art historians and telephone sanitizers.
>
> Could our own Gadfly possibly be one of the above?

One of what?

298. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 03:52:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D227523.B9D62A12@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48112

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > As to "racking up contacts" and "QSL cards", I have loads of logs and a
> > > > barn loft stuffed with boxes filled with QSLs. Do you think they might
> > > > be valueable?
> > >
> > > Maybe to the rats?
> >
> > Now I have to import rats?
>
> For God's sake, Dave, if it doesn't have rats, how can you possibly, with
> a straight face, present it as being a barn?

I don't know how they do it in Texas. We make sure there aren't any
gaps or holes in the siding and keep the doors closed. I've never seen
a rat in these parts. Do field mice or chipmunks count?

Dave K8MN

299. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 04:04:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2277AE.54693206@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48113

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D22055B.D180DD2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >You are a real cartoon character, Len.
>
> I can't compare to your "Superman," Dave... :-)
>
> >You jump on Steve's medical
> >credentials and don't expect flak in return.
>
> What is "jumping on?"

> He doesn't have an MD...and "he saves lives."
>
> If the poor baby has a medical doctorate, in which state was
> it issued?
>
> Does he have a license as a nurse in Tennessee? What is its
> registry number?

What's any of that to you and why did you find it necessary to bring it
up?

> Are you claiming that a medical nurse is "just as good" as a
> medical doctor? If so, why?

I've not made any such claim. Why bring it up?

> >Then you launch into a
> >history of "Ham Radio" magazine for us as if you are an expert on the
> >subject.
>
> I know something of them, having worked for them. :-)

You mean like VE's work for the FCC?

> >I subscribed to the last couple of years of the run and wrote
> >two articles for Ham Radio Horizons.
>
> You want someone to endorse you for a Pulitzer? :-)

Why, did you get one for your "Ham Radio" articles?

> Couldn't handle the technical stuff in HR, ey?

I wrote an antenna article and a humor piece for those Novices for which
Brian said I didn't search the bands.

> >Nobody said anything negative
> >about the 22 year mostly successful run of "Ham Radio".
>
> Not "mostly successful," Superman, SUCCESSFUL when
> up against CQ, 73, and that membership magazine.

In its latter years, "Ham Radio" was not successful. The other
magazines you mention are still in existence.

> >What was said was that it is defunct. That was stated because it is defunct.
>
> Nah...the gist of it was to slam HR and the people that made it
> possible because I mentioned it and the poor baby couldn't
> resist dragging others down in the mud because of his hate.

I've never slammed either the magazine or the people who made it
possible. You are raving.

> >It has ceased to exist.
>
> Not really...it metamorphosed into Communications Quarterly
> and the Ham Radio Bookstore became a retail outlet of CQ.

No, Len. It has really ceased to exist. There is no more "Ham Radio"
magazine.

> >"Liberty" magazine was a successful magazine during
> >most of its run. It too is defunct.
>
> You take that up with whichever commercial publishing
> associations you feel a need to criticize...this forum isn't for
> publishing or locomotives or gunnery or whatever floats your
> boat.

Don't leave out commercial radio or your military experiences.

> >"73" magazine isn't very successful. It is not defunct.
>
> Well, you style yourself a big guru on publications...why don't
> you send off an angry letter to 73 and tell them what-for? :-)

What for? I voted with my dollars long ago.

> You ARE an amateur Superman, aren't you?

Why no, Len. I'm a radio amateur. Aren't you?

Dave K8MN

300. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 04:15:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D227A67.593AC26B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48114

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
>
> > > > So to you, the fact that a DX station operating legitimately is on the
> > > > air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations?
> > >
> > > Assists. If you knowingly work them out of band.
> >
> > Excuse me? Who knowingly worked anyone out of band?
>
> You did. The Frenchman came on here and recited their 6M privs. You stated
> the freqs where you were contacting them and it was outside their limits. I
> pointed it out, but you insisted that you were doing nothing wrong and
> continued to work them anyway. Or so you said.

I did? Did I have an exchange with this visiting Frenchman. First I
ever knew of it was when you reposted his material some time later.
Do you think I bother to read all posts made here?

> > > > Brian. Allow this to sink in: The moment they key a radio out of
> band,
> > > > they have broken their regs. I regularly hear desirable DX on
> > > > frequencies below 14.150 when tuning 20m. It doesn't matter to me
> that
> > > > I need a contact. I do not call them below 14.150 because my regs say
> > > > that I can't.
> > >
> > > I thought you were an Extra? Did Riley modify your license for some
> reason?
> >
> > No. Dig deep, Brian. Assume I'm using SSB. Stick with me on this one.
> > We'll get through it. The moment I transmit an SSB signal on say
> > 14.132, I have broken U.S. regs. How would a DX station operating on
> > that frequency "assist" me to break my country's regs?
>
> Why break the rules again, Dave?

Let's try it again, this time v e r y s l o w l y s o t h a t
e v e n y o u c a n u n d e r s t a n d i t :

I broke no rules of the country where I was licensed. You really want
to take this up with the French PTT and REF.

> What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's no reg
> forbidding cross-mode QSO's.

Do you know the difference between Phone DXCC and CW DXCC?

> Remember, you said all the 3rd worlders were using it.

I said "all" third world hams use only CW? Proof please.
When I want to work HF CW stations, I do it in the cw/digital portions
of the bands.

> > > > Cards that you're about to send out or those that you've received?
> > > > Mine didn't have errors. They were correctly printed from my computer
> > > > log extracts.
> > >
> > > Dave, do you honestly believe that a person that breaks a law to work
> you
> > > out of band won't lie and say you made a mistake on the frequency stated
> on
> > > your QSL card?
> >
> > ...and all of those guys are going to say that I made an error on all of
> > those cards? If someone from Newington asks me to check my logs and
> > confirm the frequency, did you think I wouldn't?
>
> You think Newington has something to do with prosecuting out of band
> Frenchmen?

Prosecuting? I don't even believe the French PTT is going to prosecute
any of them. So far, you're the only guy who has ever shown the mildest
interest in the matter. Let me ask you again--have you contacted the
French PTT or the REF to protest the outrageous behavior of some French
6m ops?

> Some sap from Germany
> > sent me QSLs for QSOs which took place within five minutes of each
> > other. The guy had calls in Germany, Luxembourg and the U.S. I found
> > it interesting that he managed to transport himself from Germany to
> > Luxembourg and then to the U.S. within five minutes. I sent the cards
> > to the DXCC desk with an explanation.
>
> So you draw the line somewhere?

What the hell, Brian. He was operating within authorized band segments
for all three countries.

> Bully for you.

I really enjoy it when I use a line and clods like you and Len pick it up.

Dave K8MN

301. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 04:19:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48115

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D220173.86653662@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2108D9.F2A4E047@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:afpr4h$q91$0@208.206.142.111...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You insist that Dave is responsible for some French ham working
> > > outside
> > > > > > HIS autoirizations just because Dave did talk to him.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If Dave stopped completing QSOs once he found out that they were out
> > >
> > > of band, that would be the end of the story. But to continue racking >
> up
> > > contacts, QSL cards, and possibly greenstamps makes one wonder what >
> his
> > > motivation was.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ahhh, the greenstamp ploy huh?
> > > >
> > > > Dave, then why do it? Why risk your credibility working people you know
> are
> > > out of band?
> > >
> > > What people I knew were out of band? What risk to my credibility
> > > because a stray pup in Ohio decides to attempt taking me to task for the
> > > actions of French hams? What action have you initiated against French
> > > scofflaw hams? I was operating where I was supposed to be. Finally,
> > > what pile of greenstamps? Do you actually know anything about anything?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> Dave, you have a very poor memory. 73, Brian

You've uncovered the pile of green stamps?

You've uncovered copies of my logs showing that I was operating out of
band?
```

You've actually taken action through the French PTT?

You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and chose to ignore them?

Get a life.

Dave K8MN

302. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 04:20:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D227B9D.78F3A9AB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48116

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D220603.E7E34426@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > Meanwhile, as in "1984" (the novel), Big Brother is THERE
> > > and will guide/direct US hams to the Proper Path.
> >
> > Another slam at the League at which you claim never to take shots?
>
> I always thought "Big Brother" was the gubmn't, just as Orwell meant it.
>
> Dave, why do you think the ARRL is Big Brother?

I don't. Len does. I'm the one with the amateur radio license.

Dave K8MN

303. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 05:30:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703013052.17531.00002155@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48117

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/02/02 20:10 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020702201009.11052.00000383@mb-fk.aol.com>

>He doesn't have an MD...and "he saves lives."
>
>If the poor baby has a medical doctorate, in which state was
>it issued?

Who said anyone needs a Medical Doctor license in order to save lives,
Lennie?

>Does he have a license as a nurse in Tennessee? What is its
>registry number?

You mean we've caught you uninformed TWICE in one week, Lennie?

>Are you claiming that a medical nurse is "just as good" as a
>medical doctor? If so, why?

If they just saved your life, a janitor who knows CPR is just as good as a
doctor. In too many cases, doctors themselves don't know CPR...that's what
Nurses and Technicians are for....

>You want someone to endorse you for a Pulitzer?

Dave gets a Pulitzer. You get a Putzier.

>>Nobody said anything negative
>>about the 22 year mostly successful run of "Ham Radio".
>
>Not "mostly successful," Superman, SUCCESSFUL when
>up against CQ, 73, and that membership magazine.

Not when you consider it's dead.

>>What was said was that it is defunct. That was stated because it is
>defunct.
>
>Nah...the gist of it was to slam HR and the people that made it
>possible because I mentioned it and the poor baby couldn't
>resist dragging others down in the mud because of his hate.

No, it was to point out that your "professional writing career" was
limitied to a magazine that is no longer in monthly circulation.

If there was any "slam" intended, it was for letting a putz like you in the door. The rest can be written off to compassionate error. I am sure Jim Fisk couldn't stand to see a grown man cry. Good for him.

304. Re: Happy 4th of July!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 05:33:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703013342.17531.00002157@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48118

>Subject: Happy 4th of July!
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/02/02 15:01 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020702150120.16798.00000327@mb-fr.aol.com>
>
>Here's wishing everyone a happy and safe Independence Day
>for all of us American citizens.
>
>Let's celebrate 226 years of our nation's existance by
>remembering how it all began and how we've kept it
>free regardless of threats against our way of life.
>
>Happy birthday to the United States of America!

A safe an Happy 4th to you and yours, Len, and all others of the rrap
"family".

The Robeson Family

305. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 06:02:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703020226.17531.00002159@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48119

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/02/02 14:18 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020702141807.15110.00000260@mb-bh.aol.com>

>The same is true for "CB." Today there is only ONE "CB" left, the
>original Class D part of the four-class Citizens Band Radio Service.

Wrong-amundo, Lennieboy.....

Part 95 states, to wit:

95.401 : CB Rule 1

The Citizens Band Radio Services are: (please note the plural)

(a) The Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service (SNIP)

(b) The Family Radio Service (FRS) (SNIP)

(c) The Low Power Radio Service (LPRS) (SNIP)

(d) The Medical Implant Communications Service (MICS) (SNIP)

(e) The Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) (SNIP)

While there is still one subpart that is specifically called "CB", ALL of
the subparts of Part 95 are, by FCC regulation, "Citizen's Band".

Please get your definitions right, Lennie. There are persons here who are
not as versed in radiocommunications, and your erroneous references could lead
to confusion. You profess to be a "communications professional", yet you
continue to post erroneous information.

Why is that?

>The original Class C part of
>Citizens Band has become a separate radio service in nomenclature
>and is also codified in Part 95.

More confusion. "Class C" CB is radio control. It is NOT a "seperate
radio service. It is a SUBPART of an established radio service, specifically
the Citizen's Band Radio Services.

If were a complete seperate service, it would not be under Part 95.

>The old Class A and Class B UHF Citizens Band allocations and
>regulations have long since disappeared and what few equipment
>there was at UHF CB have become scrap or a rare relic gathering

>dust.

It has not completely "disappeared", Your Erroneousness...It exisits today
as the General Mobile Radio Service which is a subpart of Part 95 of the
Commission's rules and regulations. 47CFR95.1 refers...

>Hams HAVE to damn "CB" because it is tradition and has
>long been a part of amateur radio prideful bigotry.

Nope...that's YOUR insistance. And you are NOT a Ham...(Thank GOD!)

>
>It is nonsensical that some otherwise-thinking mid-forties hams
>have to create vast reams of "corrective" messages arguing "errors"
>(even "lies" in the message-point distortion) over 43 years versus
>44 years...as if they had something to do with it when they were
>infants or little children.

It's even MORE "nonsensical" that a retired electronics technician with no
valid license of ANY kind would waste his waning days in a newsgroup for which
he has no vested interest, trying to tell those who ARE licensed how they
should be conducting themselves.

Sucks to be you, Lennie.

306. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 06:17:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703021719.17531.00002160@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48120

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/02/02 14:18 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020702141803.15110.00000254@mb-bh.aol.com>

>It is VERY petty of some who want to label a 22-year span of an
>independent periodical as "defunct" when they were competitive
>and successful. That only goes to show how low some people
>get in trying to achieve message points in here. Petty. Low-class.

There's nothing "petty" nor "low class" about it, Your Geezerness...The magazine is no longer in regular publication.

There are no "Ham Radio" offices.

There are no "Ham Radio" subscribers.

The U. S. Postal Service has no current record of any periodical being circulated via the mails known as "Ham Radio".

There is no "Ham Radio" staff, editorial, business or otherwise.

Ergo, it is DEFUNCT.

That another publisher bought up therights to the now-DEFUNCT magazine and utilize some of it's old material in irrelevant.

>Such conduct is a discredit to the amateur radio service.

I've read Part 97 forwards and backwards and cannot find a single paragraph that says it is, Lennie....Ergo we are again dealing with something that should have siad "...in my opinion".

No other basis in fact to state otherwise exists.

307. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 06:43:27 UTC
From: Deus
Message-ID: <3d229e39$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48121
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:aftp7o$gqcrc$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> Hmm, let's see. We'll take someone who has shown enough interest in Ham
> Radio to go to the effort of getting licensed.

WRONG! We're discussing people, already active on CB who want
SSB, more bands and Higher Power. We're also talking people
who have _SO LITTLE_ interest in what makes Ham Radio tick
that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.

> Now, instead of Elmering
> them, encouraging them to upgrade, show them the ropes, no instead we'll
> totally ignore them, causing them to get discouraged and leave the hobby.

Their hobby is CB, for it consists of operating only, with no technical
acumen. There's no way I'm going to help them there.

308. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 10:06:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703060640.13189.00000281@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 48122

In article <20020702141808.15110.00000261@mb-bh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020702000618.10462.00000278@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>Len, it's quite clear that you hate the amateur radio service and want it to
>>die out.
>
>Not at all. I think it is a fine hobby involving radio.

Your postings here indicate otherwise.
>
>I also think that some involved with amateur radio have gone over the edge
>and proclaimed themselves as THE spokespersons and representatives of
>the hobby, becoming far too involved with the mystique and myths and
>legends that were created long ago.

That's a really good description of your postings here.
>
>>It's also clear that you are not interested in any sort of civil discussion.
>
>Oh? This is a "discussion" venue? :-)

Yes, to those who actually have something to discuss.
>
>I thought it was a forum where the PCTAs could say anydamnthing they
>wanted against NCTAs but the vice was not versa.

Nope. Your own posts, and those of many others, disprove that. It's quite clear
that the nocodetest and anticode folks (like you) say anything they want - true
or not.

>I thought it was a
>forum where anyone not boosting morse code was considered lower
>than slime, ignorant, and immature.

You are mistaken - again.
>
>Look at the taglines of another amateur extra who, for months, signed
>off with a single Yiddish pejorative against those she didn't like...very
>"civil" was it?

Do you mean K4YZ, who frequently calls you a putz? The guy whose name,
profession, and military experience you've repeatedly belittled? Your behavior
here has earned you that title, and many more.
>

>Look at yourself, then, arguing minutae as if it was a life-and-death
>situation,

"Life and death situation"? Nonsense! I simply point out errors. For example, you claimed that I have referred to myself as a "renowned historian", which is simply not true.

>overlooking the gist of a message and concentrating on what
>you consider "errors"..

They ARE errors. Your resist to admitting them to an extreme degree, and abuse those who dare to point them out.

The gist of your messages is quite clear: You hate amateur radio, the morse code, and want the whole ARS to simply die out and go away - or turn into a high power multiband version of cb.

>amplification beyond reasonableness when the
>subject doesn't involve amateur radio!

Sounds like most of your posts.

Jim, N2EY

309. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 10:18:24 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3D22D1E1.1C8B7287@uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 48123
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Terry wrote:

> Try putting some small effort into taking the RAE and improving your self
> respect

The RAE is a trivial low level exam to be compared to an 'O' level.
Why should anyone's self respect be improved by doing what I did
years ago, without any significant effort? I remember having to
read the BR68 but the rest was very simplistic. The RAE is a very
fundamental beginning to the process of self training in
communications. From that point any 'real radio ham' will
spend considerable time and effort in real discovery and learning
in any of the many areas of knowledge and skill which are relevant
to radio communication.

> Then you might also gain some from others ?

I certainly have no desire to respected by an ignorant snob
like yourself. Your inability to admit that the vast majority
of the M3 licences have been issued to amateurs with the same
qualifications as you is pathological.

vy 73

Andy, M3ABC (and M1EBV)

310. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 10:27:17 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3D22D3F6.73FBCB3@uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 48124
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Deus wrote:
>
> N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:aftp7o$gqcrc$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> > Hmm, let's see. We'll take someone who has shown enough interest in Ham
> > Radio to go to the effort of getting licensed.
>
> WRONG! We're discussing people, already active on CB who want
> SSB, more bands and Higher Power. We're also talking people
> who have _SO LITTLE_ interest in what makes Ham Radio tick
> that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.
>
> > Now, instead of Elmering
> > them, encouraging them to upgrade, show them the ropes, no instead we'll
> > totally ignore them, causing them to get discouraged and leave the hobby.
>
> Their hobby is CB, for it consists of operating only, with no technical
> acumen. There's no way I'm going to help them there.

Anyone who believes that operating is not a skill is obviously not a 'real radio ham', and probably a very poor operator to boot. The majority of M3 licence holders have passed the U.K. RAE, so they can tell one end of a diode from the other. Resistors don't care which end is which, BTW. Are you sure you understand electronics at all? Certainly not up to even the RAE, if you think resistors have two different ends!

vy 73

Andy, M3ABC

311. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 11:12:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703071253.15533.00002158@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48125

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Koch method? What is that? Is the ARRL using it to test?

The ARRL VEC, along with all the other VECs, uses Farnsworth timing to test applicants for Morse code proficiency. They will administer a standard timing test for an applicant on request.

If you didn't know this, it explains your confusion. If you did know this and asked that question anyway...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

312. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 11:14:20 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703071420.15533.00002159@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48126

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dave, you have a very poor memory.

Did he forget that the VECs use Farnsworth timing and ask if they use the Koch method for testing? That would be a pretty poor memory.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

313. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 11:15:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703071525.15533.00002160@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48127

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

> Let me ask you again--have you contacted the
>French PTT or the REF to protest the outrageous behavior of some French
>6m ops?

He knows it is not your fault; he is just blaming you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

314. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 11:17:39 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703071739.15533.00002161@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48128

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> think it would have been un-stupid if they had tried to keep it a secret?

>Ed, what is un-stupid?

If it is not obvious from context, Brian, I am sure you will never know.

Do you really not understand, or do you have another point in asking such a question?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

315. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 11:22:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703072200.15533.00002162@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48129

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> >> I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate? Did
>> >> something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?

>> >You guys let Cecil change his mind, didn't you?

>> What happened to you while you were gone was that Cecil changed his
>mind???

>I have no idea what you are talking about.

Sure you do, Brian. I asked you what happened to you while you were on your Sayonara hiatus and you responded by saying that we "permitted" Cecil to change his mind.

Are you now into a mode where you will repost the same meaningless phrase in response to people's posts you don't like? They do that a lot on rec.radio.amateur.cb, Brian; perhaps it would be better accepted there.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

316. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 11:24:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703072448.15533.00002163@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48130

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Now we're back in position for you to comment again. Please, no snipping or
>you're likely to get even more confused.

I think I made my point, Brian, and you think you made yours.

Cutting out the parts of posts that one is not responding to is considered to
be good netiquette, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

317. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 11:26:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703072622.15533.00002164@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48131

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Because the great idea I had is already being used by the VECs and the FCC
>sees
>> no need to change it. Why would this confuse you so?

>Then its not your great idea. Ed, why do you steal others ideas?

I thought if I presented to you as a good idea, you might get it.

You didn't.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

318. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 12:19:23 UTC
From: Deus
Message-ID: <3d22eb98$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48132
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You clearly don't understand electronics; it matters
very much which way round you read the colour code.

Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:3D22D3F6.73FBCB3@uwe.ac.uk...
> Anyone who believes that operating is not a skill is obviously not a 'real
> radio ham', and probably a very poor operator to boot. The majority of M3
> licence holders have passed the U.K. RAE, so they can tell one end of a
> diode from the other. Resistors don't care which end is which, BTW. Are
> you sure you understand electronics at all? Certainly not up to even the
> RAE, if you think resistors have two different ends!

319. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 12:29:12 UTC
From: N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ag0evt$hv1s2$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48298
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Deus" <@> wrote in message
news:3d229e39$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
>
> N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:aftp7o$gqcrc$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> > Hmm, let's see. We'll take someone who has shown enough interest in Ham
> > Radio to go to the effort of getting licensed.
>
> WRONG! We're discussing people, already active on CB who want
> SSB, more bands and Higher Power. We're also talking people
> who have _SO LITTLE_ interest in what makes Ham Radio tick
> that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.

So if it bothers you so much, get them interested. Show them the benefits of learning the techincal end.

Besides, there are some people who get into the hobby strictly for the ragchewing. They could care less about building their own eqiupment, that's not what interests them. They are in the hobby strictly to meet new people and chat with them on the air.

And guess what? That's OK. The hobby is quite big enough to hold EVERYONE, from the I-just-want-to-talk to I-built-this-rig-myself to I-don't-own-a-mic-cw-is-great people.

>
> > Now, instead of Elmering
> > them, encouraging them to upgrade, show them the ropes, no instead we'll
> > totally ignore them, causing them to get discouraged and leave the
hobby.
>
> Their hobby is CB, for it consists of operating only, with no technical
> acumen.

So? Who says they have to? You?? What makes your definition of a Ham the correct one?

>There's no way I'm going to help them there.

Sure, keep up that attitude. But don't be surprised when the number of hams dwindles so far down commercial interests snag our bandwidth.

Robert / N4IXT

320. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 12:34:53 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D22EF6D.619A0830@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48133
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Deus wrote:
> We're also talking people
> who have _SO LITTLE_ interest in what makes Ham Radio tick
> that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.

I've got a EE degree, have been a ham for 51 years, and I didn't
know that a resistor is polarized. Which end goes to plus? Is
there a resistor anode and cathode or what?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

321. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 12:52:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <osCU8.221$e47.5448929@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48134

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703071739.15533.00002161@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

> Do you really not understand, or do you have another point in asking such
a
> question?

Do you mean, "smart?"

322. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 12:55:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pvCU8.222$Z17.5315835@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48135

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703072200.15533.00002162@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> >> I disagree, Brian. When did you become a pro-code test advocate?
Did
> >> >> something happen to you in your "sayonara" hiatus?
>
> >> >You guys let Cecil change his mind, didn't you?
>
> >> What happened to you while you were gone was that Cecil changed his
> >mind???
>
> >I have no idea what you are talking about.
>
> Sure you do, Brian. I asked you what happened to you while you were on
your
> Sayonara hiatus and you responded by saying that we "permitted" Cecil to
change
> his mind.
>
> Are you now into a mode where you will repost the same meaningless phrase
in
> response to people's posts you don't like? They do that a lot on
> rec.radio.amateur.cb, Brian; perhaps it would be better accepted there.

What's not to like? I like questions that I don't understand.

323. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:02:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PBCU8.224$vR6.4589614@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48136

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D227A67.593AC26B@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > > > So to you, the fact that a DX station operating legitimately is on
the
> > > > > > air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations?
> > > > >
> > > > > Assists. If you knowingly work them out of band.
> > > >
> > > Excuse me? Who knowingly worked anyone out of band?
> > >
> > You did. The Frenchman came on here and recited their 6M privs. You
stated
> > the freqs where you were contacting them and it was outside their
limits. I
> > pointed it out, but you insisted that you were doing nothing wrong and
> > continued to work them anyway. Or so you said.
>
> I did? Did I have an exchange with this visiting Frenchman. First I
> ever knew of it was when you reposted his material some time later.

Yes, several minutes later.

> Do you think I bother to read all posts made here?

Just mine.

> > What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's no reg
> > forbidding cross-mode QSO's.
>
> Do you know the difference between Phone DXCC and CW DXCC?

Yes, but why ever would you want DXCC on phone?

Let me think on this little problem for a second.

Aha! Couldn't you get on 14.132 MHz using CW and ask the DX station to move up?

> What the hell, Brian. He was operating within authorized band segments
> for all three countries.
>
> > Bully for you.
>
> I really enjoy it when I use a line and clods like you and Len pick it
> up.

Pick it up? Naw, I'm just returning it.

324. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:03:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MCCU8.225$G57.5534887@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48137

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703071525.15533.00002160@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> > Let me ask you again--have you contacted the
> >French PTT or the REF to protest the outrageous behavior of some French
> >6m ops?
>
> He knows it is not your fault; he is just blaming you.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, after finding out that the people you've been working are out of band,
do you go back and make more contacts with them?

325. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:04:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LDCU8.226$N67.5591108@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48138

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D227523.B9D62A12@earthlink.net...
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > As to "racking up contacts" and "QSL cards", I have loads of logs
and a
> > > > > barn loft stuffed with boxes filled with QSLs. Do you think they
might
> > > > > be valueable?
> > > >
> > > > Maybe to the rats?
> > >
> > > Now I have to import rats?
> >
> > For God's sake, Dave, if it doesn't have rats, how can you possibly,
with
> > a straight face, present it as being a barn?
>
> I don't know how they do it in Texas. We make sure there aren't any
> gaps or holes in the siding and keep the doors closed. I've never seen
> a rat in these parts. Do field mice or chipmunks count?
>
> Dave K8MN

Cecil, go get a cat. Brian

326. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:05:20 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D22F690.BDF9B584@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48140

Brian P Burke wrote:
> What's not to like? I like questions that I don't understand.

What's the difference between a G5RV?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

327. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:06:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aFCU8.227$477.5610519@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48139

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...

> You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and chose to ignore
> them?
>
> Get a life.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their operating privs on 6M,
why would you call him a liar?

328. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:07:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1GCU8.228$B17.5291206@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48141

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703071420.15533.00002159@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dave, you have a very poor memory.
>
> Did he forget that the VECs use Farnsworth timing and ask if they use the
Koch
> method for testing? That would be a pretty poor memory.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

What is the Koch method? How about the random groups of five method?

329. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:08:00 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D22F730.1F29CF12@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48144

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:

> > CAM wrote:
> > > For God's sake, Dave, if it doesn't have rats, how can you possibly, with
> > > a straight face, present it as being a barn?
> >
> > I don't know how they do it in Texas. We make sure there aren't any
> > gaps or holes in the siding and keep the doors closed. I've never seen
> > a rat in these parts.
>
> Cecil, go get a cat. Brian

I got a cat, Brian, I just don't got a barn.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

330. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:08:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nHCU8.229$y77.5640413@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48142

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703071253.15533.00002158@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Koch method? What is that? Is the ARRL using it to test?
>
> The ARRL VEC, along with all the other VECs, uses Farnsworth timing to
test
> applicants for Morse code proficiency. They will administer a standard
timing
> test for an applicant on request.
>
> If you didn't know this, it explains your confusion. If you did know this
and
> asked that question anyway...
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, do try to stay on subject. What is the Koch method?

331. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:09:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sICU8.230$F37.5416194@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48143

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0207020826.1753e116@posting.google.com...
> Excellent code learning freeware that runs on Windows 9x, NT and
> others available at:
>
> http://www.g4fon.co.uk/
>
> Easy to download, install and use (download fits on a floppy).
> Does "Farnsworth" and "classic" timing, speeds from 5 to 50 wpm,
> teaches via Koch method. Optional QSB, chirp and background noise.
>
> Standard disclaimers apply.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Suffix of "fon" and he's distributing code software. Ironic.

332. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:12:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fLCU8.231$m77.5628949@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48145

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703072448.15533.00002163@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Now we're back in position for you to comment again. Please, no snipping
or
> >you're likely to get even more confused.
>
> I think I made my point, Brian, and you think you made yours.
>
> Cutting out the parts of posts that one is not responding to is considered
to
> be good netiquette, Brian.

Providing you respond in context, Ed. Better luck next time.

333. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:14:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CMCU8.232$u87.5695749@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48146

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D227B9D.78F3A9AB@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D220603.E7E34426@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > Meanwhile, as in "1984" (the novel), Big Brother is THERE
> > > > and will guide/direct US hams to the Proper Path.
> > >
> > > Another slam at the League at which you claim never to take shots?
> >
> > I always thought "Big Brother" was the gubmn't, just as Orwell meant it.
> >
> > Dave, why do you think the ARRL is Big Brother?
>
> I don't. Len does. I'm the one with the amateur radio license.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, why would Len think the ARRL is "Big Brother?"

334. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:15:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xNCU8.233$p87.5691590@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48147

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703072622.15533.00002164@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Because the great idea I had is already being used by the VECs and the
FCC
> >sees
> >> no need to change it. Why would this confuse you so?
>
> >Then its not your great idea. Ed, why do you steal others ideas?
>
> I thought if I presented to you as a good idea, you might get it.
>
> You didn't.

Ed, I'm not receptive to stolen goods.

335. Re: Bill In Congress to Address CC&R Antenna (Sorry!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:28:51 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <afvllh01jc0@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48239

Maybe I should of clarified that a little.... I was referring to defending amateur radio as a whole, not necessarily just one part of it. There are many congresspersons and senators that I have met in Michigan that have no clue about our hobby. Gee... isn't that a shame!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020628200609.25425.00000155@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <afi2lm11qjr@enews3.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >I still think we as individuals need to contact our elected officials, be it
> >with a form letter or personally written, on a more often basis. I am of
> >the belief that they will take it even more serious, especially when it
> >comes to votes they may get in the future!
> >
> Ryan,
>
> That route should only be taken if we cannot get results from the FCC.
>
> In the case of CC&Rs, FCC specifically said that they would not take any action
> against anti-ham-antenna CC&Rs unless specifically directed to by Congress.
> That was their way of passing the buck - saying, in effect, "Go get your
> Congresspeople to tell us to do it, and we will". This was after the FCC had
> preempted CC&Rs for TV antennas - but only for TV antennas (direct broadcast
> and satellite TV antennas).
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

336. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:32:12 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703093212.23773.00002252@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 48148

>And if the FCC would let us _- Tech Class Amateurs-_ use HF without
>a morse code test we could fill it up to the point the freebanders
>wouldn't have room on 10m or anywhere else to do their thing.

BS, the Freqs they are using are in the CW portion and you clowns wouldnt be caught dead there. Get off your ASS and learn a little CW and get a REAL HAM LICENSE.

337. Re: Sixty Meter Band Planning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:34:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703093402.23773.00002253@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 48149

>Bruce, congratulations on your conversion. Your insults are now much easier
>to read, and don't even give a hint that your favorite frequency is 27.595
>MHz.

Brian BITE ME

338. Re: It's field day and the bands are alive with the sounds of QSOs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:50:46 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <afvlli11jc0@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48242

> >I have also Ed.... but the point is that it should not be announced or
> >publicized. Kinda defeats the whole purpose.
>
> If people have advance knowledge of an emergency, they do a better job at
> handling it. Wouldn't you want to know that the hurricane is coming? Don't
you
> *usually* know that the hurricane is coming?

Granted there are some occurences like Hurricanes that we have "some"
advanced knowledge, but there are a ton of other emergencies that we may not
have any more than 1-6 hours lead time or less to get deployed.

>
> > Gee, kinda like giving out the question pools before taking a test eh?
>
> How little do you think the applicant should know about the nature of the
> tests? If you think that witholding knowledge of the 1000+ questions that
are
> in the pool is a good thing, how much more knowledge do you want the
applicants
> not to have?
>
As far as the question pools, I have absolutely no problem with the
questions themselves being published. NOT THE FOUR MULTIPLE GUESS ANSWERS.
I took all the questions from the tech and general pools and answered them
in a fill in the blank fashion. Later on, I did make flashcards to help
memorize that evil information from the textbooks, such as frequency/band
allocations and other static info like that. I do not really need to know
why the FCC gives us the certain specific frequencies, I just need to be
aware of them and also proper operation within the limitations. Either way,
the questions themselves are not necessarily a bad thing to have released,
but the answers may be.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site
please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

339. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:56:37 UTC
From: "Veni Vidi Velcro"
Message-ID: <3d2302cd_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48150
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you're not aware of the resistor colour code, then
you're not as good as you think.

CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D22EF6D.619A0830@hotmail.com...
> Deus wrote:
> > We're also talking people
> > who have _SO LITTLE_ interest in what makes Ham Radio tick
> > that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.
>
> I've got a EE degree, have been a ham for 51 years, and I didn't
> know that a resistor is polarized. Which end goes to plus? Is
> there a resistor anode and cathode or what?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

340. Re: Licensing. . . We buy at Wal mart, we don't need no stinkinlincense!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 13:58:48 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <afvllj21jc0@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48243

Talk to him at least 4-5 times a week. I know never to try to bother him about 9:55PM EST, because he seems to be really faithfull in participating in that net. He has been doing some wonderfull traffic handling classes for our ARES group, as well as other presentations for our club. As stated earlier, I wish more hams were like him. He is definitely a proactive, positive/up-beat ham and a leader also. So far the "grumpier old man" syndrome has not hit him yet. The hobby has clearly benefited from his presence and the hobby would advance more if more were like him.

Stepping down from my pedestal....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> Next time you see WB8RCR, ask him about CW traffic handling, particularly his
> experiences on QMN
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>

341. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:02:13 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <afvllk31jc0@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48240

> What makes you think that ham radio is, or should be, a "free society?"
> I think it's something that should be licensed, regulated, and it's users
> very tightly controlled.

Hmmm.. sounds like a democrat statement, as well as similar arguements for
gun control etc......

342. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:02:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2303CB.19C4D80A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48201

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> > Let me ask you again--have you contacted the
> >French PTT or the REF to protest the outrageous behavior of some French
> >6m ops?
>
> He knows it is not your fault; he is just blaming you.

Any day now I'm expecting to lure a boatload of Techs to 160m in order
to assist them in breaking the regs.

Dave K8MN

343. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:08:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afv0h0$30u$0@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 48202

Dave Heil wrote:
>

> Get a life.

That's his problem - too late he realizes that he already let it pass
him by.

344. Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:08:51 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <afvlll41jc0@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48241

Nah.... actually to make morse code an effective and clearly understood method of communication, use of it in the 30-40 wpm range without abbreviations should be where we are testing for now. That is the closest from my estimations as to the speed at what we speak at in a normal conversation, on average. So lets raise that bar back up, and even above the old 20wpm to somewhere between 30-40 wpm. Then morse will be useful.

The same 3-5 minute short voice qso I may have with a local ham would seem to take 15-30 minutes trying to send that via morse at the current/past ranges of 5-20wpm.

Ryan

No matter what the ARRL
> and FCC do, the reality is that you still need to do code, about 15-18 wpm,
> to enjoy this major slice of ham-dom. Digital capability will get yopu a
> little more but nothing like CW morse code capability will.
>
> Dat's da facts. Deal with it, no-coders.

345. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:09:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D230598.BE2642C7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48203

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D227A67.593AC26B@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > > > So to you, the fact that a DX station operating legitimately is on
> the
> > > > > > air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations?
> > > > >
> > > > > Assists. If you knowingly work them out of band.
> > > >
> > > > Excuse me? Who knowingly worked anyone out of band?
> > >
> > > You did. The Frenchman came on here and recited their 6M privs. You
> stated
> > > the freqs where you were contacting them and it was outside their
> limits. I
> > > pointed it out, but you insisted that you were doing nothing wrong and
> > > continued to work them anyway. Or so you said.
> >
> > I did? Did I have an exchange with this visiting Frenchman. First I
> > ever knew of it was when you reposted his material some time later.
>
> Yes, several minutes later.
>
> > Do you think I bother to read all posts made here?
>
> Just mine.

I hate to burst your bubble, Brian. I don't even read all of yours.

> > > What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's no reg
> > > forbidding cross-mode QSO's.
> >
> > Do you know the difference between Phone DXCC and CW DXCC?
>
```

> Yes, but why ever would you want DXCC on phone?

...because it is available and I'm a DXer.

> Let me think on this little problem for a second.
>
> Aha! Couldn't you get on 14.132 MHz using CW and ask the DX station to move
> up?

I'd like you to try just that in the midst of a pileup on a DX station,
Brian. The "QRZ?" which will follow your request will not indicate that
the DX station is listening for you up the band.

Your stuff is beginning to read like Kim's. You have an opinion on
everything whether you actually know anything about it or not.

Dave K8MN

346. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:11:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D230623.FDD6BD6D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48204

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020703071525.15533.00002160@mb-fx.aol.com...
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > > Let me ask you again--have you contacted the
> > >French PTT or the REF to protest the outrageous behavior of some French
> > >6m ops?
> >
> > He knows it is not your fault; he is just blaming you.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed, after finding out that the people you've been working are out of band,
> do you go back and make more contacts with them?

I didn't go back, Brian. I was already there, still operating where my
license said I could be. At no time did I leave my authorized segment.
Have you addressed this matter to the French authorities yet?

Dave K8MN

347. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:16:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D230700.ABE8786A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48206

CAM wrote:
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > What's not to like? I like questions that I don't understand.
>
> What's the difference between a G5RV?

or:

1. If your dad is a Vibroplex and your mom is a D-104, how many
low-pass filters would it take to cover your house?

2. (T or F) How high is my crankup tower?

Dave K8MN

348. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:16:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afv0vu$30u$1@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 48205
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CAM wrote:
>
> Deus wrote:
> > We're also talking people
> > who have _SO LITTLE_ interest in what makes Ham Radio tick
> > that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.
>
> I've got a EE degree, have been a ham for 51 years, and I didn't
> know that a resistor is polarized. Which end goes to plus? Is
> there a resistor anode and cathode or what?

???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
That was his point.

349. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:19:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2307FA.B44587B0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48207

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...
>
> > You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and chose to ignore
> > them?
> >
> > Get a life.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their operating privs > on 6M, why would you call him a liar?

At no time did any French ham worked by me ever state his "operating privs" on 6m. At no time did I call the French station referenced by you here, a liar.

Get a life.

Dave K8MN

350. Re: Sixty Meter Band Planning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:22:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <afv1ab$30u$2@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 48208

Gadfly wrote

> >Bruce, congratulations on your conversion. Your insults are now much easier
> >to read, and don't even give a hint that your favorite frequency is 27.595
> >MHz.
>

Doncha wonder what Brian is doing up on that frequency? Fer sure he
knows all about it.

351. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 14:24:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D230913.8BA0D904@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48209

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D227B9D.78F3A9AB@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D220603.E7E34426@earthlink.net...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > Meanwhile, as in "1984" (the novel), Big Brother is THERE
> > > > > and will guide/direct US hams to the Proper Path.
> > > >
> > > > Another slam at the League at which you claim never to take shots?
> > >
> > > I always thought "Big Brother" was the gubmn't, just as Orwell meant it.
> > >
> > > Dave, why do you think the ARRL is Big Brother?
> >
> > I don't. Len does. I'm the one with the amateur radio license.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, why would Len think the ARRL is "Big Brother?"

Ahh, now you're exhibiting the same problem you have with the French
operating outside their band authorization.

Address your questions on French operators to the French PTT or the REF.

Address your questions on why Len thinks what he does to Len.

Dave K8MN

352. 75 meters acting-up again? sheesh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 15:15:25 UTC
From: Mr BigNose <bignosepat@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hyEU8.1408$pp3.84892@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What's going on down on 3.860 of late?
This past weekend it was LOADED with music
and noisemakers and general mayhem.
Some of it almost 40db over!
It's quiet now, but this freq of
3.860 LSB after 10:00 PM bears watchin
by Mr. R.H. fer sure..?

(I sure hope it ain't some of the neanderthal fools
who been posting debased crapola in alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
that been behing all this QRM. You would have thought they
learned a lesson from the old days of the 14.313 and 3.901 vs
3.894.5 radio wars. I guess some people just can't get it out
of their system. Someone should really invent the equivelant
of a *RF FLEET ENEMA* for parts of ham radio - a radio freq
electronic bowel-cleansing agent, so to speak...)

353. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 17:19:12 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020703131912.01470.00001450@mb-fa.news.cs.com>
Source record: 48211

Ok, Jerry...here we go. My response will not be politically correct, but it will be painfully honest.

>> I agree with your post with the exception of this little gem. Many folks
>(like
>> six or seven MILLION!) happen to like the 27 MHz CB.
>
>
>And the question is WHY do they like 27 MHZ?

Because over the past forty-four years, the 27 MHz CB has become a pseudo-hobby band. (Despite the FCC's original intentions.) Some like the skywave propagation and others (Like myself) prefer to rag-chew.

>Those that would like to have
>a local, quiet 2 way radio service would not mind that it happened to be on
>VHF
>and that they now have much less noise and interference.

Good, then by all means...enjoy FRS and MURS.

>Let's not beat
>around the
>bush: the ones that like 27 MHZ and would scream like stuck pigs are the
>ones who
>are already breaking the laws governing the CB "Service". OF COURSE, they
>wouldn't like having 27 MHZ taken away because their precious DX, their SSB
>"clubs", and their assinine "Worldwide-worldwide" foolishness would be
>eliminated.

Well, at least you didn't go the ignorant DX=amps="freeband" route. For this, I'm appreciative. Ok, Let's NOT beat around the bush; You already know my position re. the 155.3 mi. rule so you can surmise that I don't get my knickers bunched up over anybody working DX with 12 watts P.E.P. on the legal forty. If you or anybody else wants to equate that with "criminal activity" or "lawlessness," be my guest. I don't buy into that bunk one bit. Although I don't currently work any 11-meter DX, (I prefer 10.) I would like to see CBer's organize themselves and lobby for the removal of the 155.3 mi. limit on the CB. That's doing it the right way. Just because something's "on the books" doesn't make it right. (Remember prohibition?) Work to change it. More importantly, I think we both know that a sizeable portion of ARO's have come via the CB...and yes, many even worked a little DX Please don't take my word for it.

http://www.eham.net/survey/391

http://www.eham.net/survey/262

I believe those percentages support the demographic. BTW, I belong to a SSB CB radio club and I've never felt the urge to belt out "Worldwide-worldwide!" (Although the misuse of QRT and QSL kinda rubs me the wrong way. <ggg>)

>Either Congress will HAVE to face the music and restore funding to FCC to
>regulate
>the mess that CB is now, or they will have to face the music and take it
>away so that
>legitimate services can conduct their business unmolested.

No Jerry, they don't HAVE to do a blessed thing...hasn't their absence over the past FIFTEEN years shown us that. I sorely wish they would and would happily contribute funds for that exact purpose, but I'm a realist...so let's not hold our breath. (I don't mean the occasional busts either!) Remember, you're suggestiong the removal of the legal 40 channels from 27.9650 through 27.4050 MHz here. That's why I'm responding. I'll "drop a dime" (Er, quarter.) on any unauthorized ops in any amateur bands in a second.

>There is NO
>"right" to oper-
>ate a transmitter; it is a PRIVILEGE granted by the government. If that
>privilege is
>abused, the offender must face the consequences OR the privilege needs to be
>revoked.

Agreed. Have a happy Independence Day, Jerry.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

354. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 17:27:41 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D23340D.2D3A88EA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48213
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> If you're not aware of the resistor colour code, then
> you're not as good as you think.

I'm color blind and only know the resistance by measuring the resistor
with a meter. So I ask again, how is a resistor polarized?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

355. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 17:28:04 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mrc6iu8qu2ejf850savdjje3qsi4g15pmg@4ax.com>
Source record: 48212
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 10:18:24 GMT, Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
wrote:
> Your inability to admit that the vast majority
>of the M3 licences have been issued to amateurs with the same
>qualifications as you is pathological.

So you admit, then, that the Foundation Licence scheme has been a
signal failure in achievement of its original and prime purpose ...
i.e. to attract "new blood" into the hobby.

It was never conceived as a means of Class B's evading the Morse test
to gain access to the HF bands, but that is what it has principally
been used for.

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

356. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 17:30:17 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2334A9.E9C73335@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48214
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I've got a EE degree, have been a ham for 51 years, and I didn't
> > know that a resistor is polarized. Which end goes to plus? Is
> > there a resistor anode and cathode or what?
>
> ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> That was his point.

Gets me the same answer as forwards - I'm color blind. So Dick,
please tell me exactly how a resistor is polarized.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

357. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 17:38:44 UTC
From: RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk>
Message-ID: <5ad6iu4lubm2pki8vhlpfh8n8seftu7nm7@4ax.com>
Source record: 48215
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Deus" <@> (sic) (but without the machine) wrote:

>Their hobby is CB, for it consists of operating only, with no technical
>acumen.

Logic Alert!

BR68 Alert!

Gareth Alert!

--
from
RVMJ
Remove Safety-&-Arming before e-mailing

358. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 17:54:27 UTC
From: RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk>
Message-ID: <efe6iu00a3hs8402ofak2olqamviqaag5e@4ax.com>
Source record: 48216
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> Deus wrote:
>> > We're also talking people
>> > who have _SO LITTLE_ interest in what makes Ham Radio tick
>> > that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.
>>
>> I've got a EE degree, have been a ham for 51 years, and I didn't
>> know that a resistor is polarized. Which end goes to plus? Is
>> there a resistor anode and cathode or what?
>
> ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
>That was his point.

On the resistors that I use, reading them the wrong way round is
impossible. Gareth must be stuck in a time-warp.

--
from
RVMJ
Remove Safety-&-Arming before e-mailing

359. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 18:01:47 UTC
From: lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
Message-ID: <mtc6iu84471sldj2v96lkim74ek8duimkk@4ax.com>
Source record: 48217
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 3 Jul 2002 14:16:30 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
>That was his point.

He's from Bristol Poly.... You can't expect him to understand
something that complicated! :-)

360. Re: 75 meters acting-up again? sheesh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 18:04:45 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <afvhac$2r4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 48221
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mr BigNose" <bignosepat@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hyEU8.1408$pp3.84892@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> What's going on down on 3.860 of late?
> This past weekend it was LOADED with music
> and noisemakers and general mayhem.
> Some of it almost 40db over!
> It's quiet now, but this freq of
> 3.860 LSB after 10:00 PM bears watchin
> by Mr. R.H. fer sure..?
>
> (I sure hope it ain't some of the neanderthal fools
> who been posting debased crapola in alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

It's N0VFP.

> that been behing all this QRM. You would have thought they

What's "behing?" Is that something that only earthlink users use?

> learned a lesson from the old days of the 14.313 and 3.901 vs
> 3.894.5 radio wars. I guess some people just can't get it out
> of their system. Someone should really invent the equivelant
> of a *RF FLEET ENEMA* for parts of ham radio - a radio freq
> electronic bowel-cleansing agent, so to speak...)

Let's start with you.

361. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 18:06:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703140641.22468.00000396@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 48220

In article <06kU8.2156$zw3.66403462@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020702000619.10462.00000280@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> In article <nu6U8.1492$4e5.63252495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20020701201029.10462.00000273@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> >> In article <20020630231142.08659.00000329@mb-mo.aol.com>,
>> >lenover21@aol.com
>> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >In article <jBKT8.942$j93.42470706@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
>P
>> >> >Burke"
>> >> ><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>> >> >
>> >> >>> Hey, "licensed" one - Might check the regulations. In case you
>ever
>> >> >>> do, you will note that amateur radio and CB are under entirely
>> >different
>> >> >>> rules. That's because they are different SERVICES. That holds true
>for
>> >> >>> the "treaty" also, so for your education and edification, they have
>no
>> >> >>> relationship to each other.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
>> >> >
>> >> >45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF.
>> >> >
>> >> Incorrect. As pointed out in another post, Class A and Class B CB (UHF,
>> >460 MHz
>> >> region) were created by the FCC in 1948. That's 54 years ago.
>> >>
>> >> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake, "Lenover21". Set a good
>example
>> >for
>> >> young Brian.
>> >>
>> >> Jim, N2EY
>> >

>> >Jim, I thought you said that CB came in in 1958 - the year I was born.
>>
>> Not me. You are mistaken, just like "Lenover21".
>>
>> Class C and Class D (27 MHz) CB started in 1958.
>
>There! You said it again! I knew I wasn't mistaken. The service commonly
>thought of a CB is at 27 MHz.

Sure. But CB has included far more than 27 MHz, as has been confirmed by
others. When Lenover21 wrote

"45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF."

(that's a direct quote, and the context is clear)

he was incorrect. Those who claim that CB was created "about 43 years ago" or
"in 1958" are saying things which are not true.

This is not a minor or trivial point, because one of the reasons FCC put Class
C and D cb on 27 MHz was the failure of Class A and B cb to attract many users.

>
>> Class A and Class B CB on UHF,
>> started in 1948. FCC called it CB, even back then.
>>
>> Note that "Lenover21" writes:
>>
>> "45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF."
>
>So he was wrong about UHF.

Yup. Also about many other things. He has a hard time with facts that he
doesn't like.

>The service commonly thought of a CB is at 27 MHz.

Sure. But:
>
>> This is simply incorrect, because CB has existed in the USA for the past
>> 54years.
>>
>> --
>>
>> >I'm 43 years old. Wouldn't that make Len correct?
>>
>> Nope. See above.
>
>If "CB" began in 1958, and I was born in 1958 and I'm 43 years old, then
>what's the problem

The problem is that CB did not begin in 1958.
>

>> Did you ever check out those end-fed antenna links? One was a reprint of a
>>1991 QST article, and the other was by a Dutch ham.

>Yes, I did. Thanks.

You're welcome. Note that although some here claim to be "professionals in radio" and will give you their CV at any opportunity, when you really need some practical info, ol' "Lenover21" offers nothing. Instead, the info you need comes from one of those people he hates - a young red-blooded All-American code-using ARRL-member PCTA Yankee Amateur Extra, who is no expert but does know some things about antennas, the Smith Chart, and search engines.

--

btw, the Koch method has been described here several times. See google. Also on several websites. See Yahoo. I know you want to win the "most posts" brass fig newton again this month, but that's your game, not mine.

73 de
>
>

362. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 18:06:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703140644.22468.00000398@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 48218

In article <3D230700.ABE8786A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > What's not to like? I like questions that I don't understand.
>>
>> What's the difference between a G5RV?
>
>or:
>
>1. If your dad is a Vibroplex and your mom is a D-104, how many
>low-pass filters would it take to cover your house?
>
>2. (T or F) How high is my crankup tower?
>
Why does the porridge bird lay his egg in the air?

73 de Jim, N2EY

363. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 18:06:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703140644.22468.00000397@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 48219

In article <8c61e9d6.0207020700.699caf2c@posting.google.com>,
oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man) writes:

>The anger comes from
>Extra class operators who don't know how to solder
>or how to check swr with their own equipment.

I can understand that. And I'm an Extra that not only knows how to solder and check SWR, but who has but who has built many pieces of ham gear - including a couple of SWR bridges.

>But are able to get on hf JUST BECAUSE they can
>pass a code test and a couple of written tests.

Why does that make you so angry? Practical tests like soldering and actually knowing how to use test gear have never been a part of the license qualifications. The code test remains ONLY because S25.5 requires it, and FCC won't ignore/violate that requirement.

Your value system places practical technical knowledge (like soldering and making basic tests) high on the scale of importance. Others place such knowledge very low on the scale. After all, it is quite easy to put a hma station on the air today with no soldering or SWR checking at all.

How many hams (besides me) do you know who have designed and built complete ham stations in the past 10 years?

Consider this:

A would-be ham sees a ham using an HT or VHF/UHF mobile setup. The would-be ham asks "what do I need to know to be licensed to use one of those?" Ham hands the would-be a 200 page book full of Q&A about regulations and technical stuff - and that's just the Tech license manual! Would-be says, "Why do I have to leanr all this stuff just to talk on one of those things?" What do you tell the would-be?
>
>I know one Extra who is a science teacher
>in the local school who asks me questions that a
>beginner in CB would know the answer to.

I used to argue with the science teachers in elementary and high school because I knew more about technology than they did. Get over it.
>
>I also have a friend who is a General who is also
>a former CBer who just happened to pass his tests
>but can't figure out the most simple of problems
>with his equipment. When I try to help him he

>thinks I don't know what I'm talking about. Then
>later (days to weeks) he tells me he found out
>what was wrong and it's just what I had told him
>in the first place.

Been there, done that. The tests are not a guarantee that the person passing them knows all about ham radio.
>
>It's also from the HOLIER-THAN-THOU PCTAs killing
>people's interest in our hobby with their anal
>retentive chant of NO CODE = NO HAM along with the
>other ELITIST crap that they espouse!

There's one anonymous poster who repeats that nonsense here. Most PCTA folks don't think that way.

>I understand electronics and radio theory pretty
>well BUT because I have not taken a code test
>I'm not allowed on HF at all, but at this time
>the freebanders are everywhere (including 10m)
>and VERY FEW if any ever get in trouble for it.
>That's the FCC's double standard I'm upset about!
>IF I HAD HAIR I'D PULL IT OUT BY THE ROOTS!!!

Have you actually tried learning enough code to pass the test? All you need is to pass the 5 wpm receiving test once, and a few simple writtens, and all of ham radio is yours. The writtens should be no trouble for you.

Morse code training freeware is available on the web at:

http://www.g4fon.co.uk/

>BTW I do know that Willam Flippo got in trouble
>for his jamming, but he was being a REAL pain in
>the ass to a LOT of people, they HAD to do
>something with him.

Exactly. Law enforcement goes after the worst offenders first. One of the things FCC is doing is to make an example of Flippo, showing that they will prosecute, incarcerate and get serious fines imposed.

>Not like the 10m freebanding
>cockroaches we started talking about here. They
>aren't showing up on the FCC's radar like
>Flippo did.
>
Not yet.

73 de Jim, N2EY

364. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:03:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aUHU8.402$8i.9794771@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48222

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D22F690.BDF9B584@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > What's not to like? I like questions that I don't understand.
>
> What's the difference between a G5RV?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

One's a ham and the other is an antenna?

365. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:04:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <OUHU8.403$ri.9814304@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48224

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D230700.ABE8786A@earthlink.net...
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > What's not to like? I like questions that I don't understand.
> > >
> > What's the difference between a G5RV?
>
> or:
>
> 1. If your dad is a Vibroplex and your mom is a D-104, how many
> low-pass filters would it take to cover your house?

1

> 2. (T or F) How high is my crankup tower?

55'

366. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:04:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gVHU8.404$Yg.9732271@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48223

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703140644.22468.00000398@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <3D230700.ABE8786A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >CAM wrote:
> >>
> >> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> > What's not to like? I like questions that I don't understand.
> >>
> >> What's the difference between a G5RV?
> >
> >or:
> >
> >1. If your dad is a Vibroplex and your mom is a D-104, how many
> >low-pass filters would it take to cover your house?
> >
> >2. (T or F) How high is my crankup tower?
> >
> Why does the porridge bird lay his egg in the air?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

It just slipped out.

367. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:07:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2YHU8.406$nj.9875643@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48225

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703140644.22468.00000397@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <8c61e9d6.0207020700.699caf2c@posting.google.com>,
> How many hams (besides me) do you know who have designed and built
complete ham
> stations in the past 10 years?

Jim, I've heard this again and again, and you've peaked my interest.

Please describe your personally engineered and built ham station. Hopefully
your antennas are of a personal design also.

368. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:13:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <B1IU8.409$tk.9937064@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48226

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703140641.22468.00000396@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <06kU8.2156$zw3.66403462@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020702000619.10462.00000280@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >> In article <nu6U8.1492$4e5.63252495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> >> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:20020701201029.10462.00000273@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >> >> In article <20020630231142.08659.00000329@mb-mo.aol.com>,
> >> >lenover21@aol.com
> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >In article <jBKT8.942$j93.42470706@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian
> >P
> >> >> >Burke"
> >> >> ><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>> Hey, "licensed" one - Might check the regulations. In case you
> >ever
> >> >> >>> do, you will note that amateur radio and CB are under entirely
> >> >different
> >> >> >>> rules. That's because they are different SERVICES. That holds
true
> >for
> >> >> >>> the "treaty" also, so for your education and edification, they
have
> >no
> >> >> >>> relationship to each other.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF.
> >> >>
> >> >> Incorrect. As pointed out in another post, Class A and Class B CB
(UHF,
> >> >460 MHz
> >> >> region) were created by the FCC in 1948. That's 54 years ago.
> >> >>

> >> >> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake, "Lenover21". Set a good
> >example
> >> >for
> >> >> young Brian.
> >> >>
> >> >> Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> >Jim, I thought you said that CB came in in 1958 - the year I was born.
> >>
> >> Not me. You are mistaken, just like "Lenover21".
> >>
> >> Class C and Class D (27 MHz) CB started in 1958.
> >
> >There! You said it again! I knew I wasn't mistaken. The service
commonly
> >thought of a CB is at 27 MHz.
>
> Sure. But CB has included far more than 27 MHz, as has been confirmed by
> others. When Lenover21 wrote
>
> "45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF."
>
> (that's a direct quote, and the context is clear)
>
> he was incorrect. Those who claim that CB was created "about 43 years ago"
or
> "in 1958" are saying things which are not true.

So he said he was wrong. What else do you want? Stigmata?

The the part that everyone recognizes as CB is as old as I am.

> This is not a minor or trivial point, because one of the reasons FCC put
Class
> C and D cb on 27 MHz was the failure of Class A and B cb to attract many
users.
>
> >
> >> Class A and Class B CB on UHF,
> >> started in 1948. FCC called it CB, even back then.
> >>
> >> Note that "Lenover21" writes:
> >>
> >> "45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF."
> >
> >So he was wrong about UHF.
>
> Yup. Also about many other things. He has a hard time with facts that he
> doesn't like.

What part of , "I was wrong," did you misinterpret?

> >The service commonly thought of a CB is at 27 MHz.
>
> Sure. But:
> >
> >> This is simply incorrect, because CB has existed in the USA for the
past
> >> 54years.
> >>
> >> --
> >>
> >> >I'm 43 years old. Wouldn't that make Len correct?
> >>
> >> Nope. See above.
> >
> >If "CB" began in 1958, and I was born in 1958 and I'm 43 years old, then
> >what's the problem
>
> The problem is that CB did not begin in 1958.
> >
> >> Did you ever check out those end-fed antenna links? One was a reprint
of a
> >>1991 QST article, and the other was by a Dutch ham.
>
> >Yes, I did. Thanks.
>
> You're welcome. Note that although some here claim to be "professionals in
> radio" and will give you their CV at any opportunity, when you really need
some
> practical info, ol' "Lenover21" offers nothing.

Its not likely that the military would need such a compromize antenna.

Instead, the info you need
> comes from one of those people he hates - a young red-blooded All-American

> code-using ARRL-member PCTA Yankee Amateur Extra, who is no expert but
does
> know some things about antennas, the Smith Chart, and search engines.

He doesn't hate you guys. He hates the way you behave. You assume that the
physics of radio operate differently outside of the amateur bands. That is
clearly nonsense.

> --
>
> btw, the Koch method has been described here several times. See google.
Also on
> several websites. See Yahoo. I know you want to win the "most posts" brass
fig
> newton again this month, but that's your game, not mine.
>
> 73 de

I AM the King!!!

All must bow before me!!!

Jim, do you know what the Army would call me?

369. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:17:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <y5IU8.410$Lf.9665663@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48227

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703093212.23773.00002252@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >And if the FCC would let us _- Tech Class Amateurs-_ use HF without
> >a morse code test we could fill it up to the point the freebanders
> >wouldn't have room on 10m or anywhere else to do their thing.
>
> BS, the Freqs they are using are in the CW portion and you clowns wouldnt
be
> caught dead there. Get off your ASS and learn a little CW and get a REAL
HAM
> LICENSE.

Bruce, I notice no spelling errors other than a couple of abbreviations, but
you do need an apostrophe and a comma or two.

Congrats on the huge improvement.

And you're welcome.

You're biggest fan,
Brian/N0iMD

Know Spelling=Know Literacy

370. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:21:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <m9IU8.413$9f.9631771@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48228

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D230623.FDD6BD6D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020703071525.15533.00002160@mb-fx.aol.com...
> > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > >
> > > > Let me ask you again--have you contacted the
> > > >French PTT or the REF to protest the outrageous behavior of some
French
> > > >6m ops?
> > >
> > > He knows it is not your fault; he is just blaming you.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> > Ed, after finding out that the people you've been working are out of
band,
> > do you go back and make more contacts with them?
>
>
> I didn't go back, Brian. I was already there, still operating where my
> license said I could be. At no time did I leave my authorized segment.
> Have you addressed this matter to the French authorities yet?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, what part of "Ed" don't you understand. Let "Ed" answer the question.

Dave, we already know what you did. So pipe down and let "Ed" have his
turn.

Hello "Ed," are you in there? I keep getting "Dave," for some unknown
reason.

371. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:22:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <X9IU8.414$Xl.10023085@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48229

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2303CB.19C4D80A@earthlink.net...
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > > Let me ask you again--have you contacted the
> > >French PTT or the REF to protest the outrageous behavior of some French
> > >6m ops?
> >
> > He knows it is not your fault; he is just blaming you.
>
> Any day now I'm expecting to lure a boatload of Techs to 160m in order
> to assist them in breaking the regs.
>
> Dave K8MN

That wouldn't suprise me.

372. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:27:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <welU8.419$5m.10033454@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48230

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D230598.BE2642C7@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D227A67.593AC26B@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > So to you, the fact that a DX station operating legitimately
is on
> > the
> > > > > > > air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Assists. If you knowingly work them out of band.
> > > > >
> > > > > Excuse me? Who knowingly worked anyone out of band?
> > > >
> > > > You did. The Frenchman came on here and recited their 6M privs.
You
> > stated
> > > > > the freqs where you were contacting them and it was outside their
> > limits. I
> > > > > pointed it out, but you insisted that you were doing nothing wrong
and
> > > > > continued to work them anyway. Or so you said.
> > >
> > > I did? Did I have an exchange with this visiting Frenchman. First I
> > > ever knew of it was when you reposted his material some time later.
> >
> > Yes, several minutes later.
> >
> > > Do you think I bother to read all posts made here?
> >
> > Just mine.
>
> I hate to burst your bubble, Brian. I don't even read all of yours.

Bubble intact. Can you shoot for not reading any of mine? Perhaps you and Dick can get a contest going?

> > > > What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's no reg
> > > > forbidding cross-mode QSO's.
> > >
> > > Do you know the difference between Phone DXCC and CW DXCC?
> >
> > Yes, but why ever would you want DXCC on phone?
>
> ...because it is available and I'm a DXer.

But on phone?

> > Let me think on this little problem for a second.
> >
> > Aha! Couldn't you get on 14.132 MHz using CW and ask the DX station to
move
> > up?
>
> I'd like you to try just that in the midst of a pileup on a DX station,
> Brian. The "QRZ?" which will follow your request will not indicate that
> the DX station is listening for you up the band.

I've heard rude Americans do all sorts of things. Do you want him on SSB or not?

> Your stuff is beginning to read like Kim's. You have an opinion on
> everything whether you actually know anything about it or not.

Dave, been there done that. Are you are too "little gun" to ask a station to QSY briefly for a contact? Doesn't the DX know WHO you are?

373. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:28:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dfIU8.422$Kf.9664569@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48231

```
"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D22F730.1F29CF12@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > > For God's sake, Dave, if it doesn't have rats, how can you possibly,
with
> > > > a straight face, present it as being a barn?
> > >
> > > I don't know how they do it in Texas. We make sure there aren't any
> > > gaps or holes in the siding and keep the doors closed. I've never
seen
> > > a rat in these parts.
> >
> > Cecil, go get a cat. Brian
>
> I got a cat, Brian, I just don't got a barn.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Hehehe!
```

374. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:29:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tgIU8.423$Od.9554136@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48232

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2307FA.B44587B0@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and chose to
ignore
> > > them?
> > >
> > > Get a life.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their operating privs >
on 6M, why would you call him a liar?
>
> At no time did any French ham worked by me ever state his "operating
> privs" on 6m. At no time did I call the French station referenced by
> you here, a liar.
>
> Get a life.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, then if he wasn't lying, why do you disbelieve him?

375. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:30:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <AhIU8.427$Cj.9890854@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48233

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afv0h0$30u$0@208.206.142.103...
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
>
> > Get a life.
>
>
> That's his problem - too late he realizes that he already let it pass
> him by.

Dick, if you were 43 years old again, what would you do with your pathetic
life?

376. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:35:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ymIU8.431$6o.10159341@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Skeeter 619" <skeeter@dabigbopper619.com> wrote in message
news:afs36s$l6l$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020701164323.01521.00001854@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: More "Freebands" ?
> > >From: "Robert Abrams" at321@autotelecomms321.org
> > >Date: 07/01/02 15:27 Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <afqaeo$n2g$0@pita.alt.net>
> > >
> >
> > >> It can't help you unless you file s formal complaint. The
facilites
> > >> do not include mind-reading.
> > >>
> > >> ---
> > >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> > >>
> > >>
> > > B*******! multiple complaints are filed. The "unmanned"
> > >system is a joke, just that, it is never manned and broke most of
> > >the time. One give a s*** jack*** sitting in Laurel MD is not and
> > >never will be "monitoring."
> >
> > I won't get quite as "verbose" as this poster, Phil, but I ahve to
> agree
> > to some of it in part.
> >
> > In 1991 and 92 I was a member of a radio club in SoCal that
provided
> (in
> > person) over 60 signed complaints to the resident engineer in Long Beach
> over
> > the operatoions of ONE person in Costa Mesa.
> >
> > His response was to turn it over to the ARRL Auxiliary. When the
> > Auxiliary approached the individual concerned, he used a considerable
> amount of
> > profanity and physical threats.
> >
> > The matter was turned back over to the EIC. That's where it
stopped,
> too.

> > Not a thing was done despite the fact taht this individual continued to
> jam
> > other Amateurs throughout Orange, LA and Riverside Counties.
> >
> > >Last year an unlicensed pirate
> > >station operated within five miles of Laurel MD for months, and the
> > >wizards at the "unmanned" facility never detected it.
> >
> > We gave the EIC names, addresses, frequencies, times and recordings
> of the
> > alleged offenses. Nada.
> >
> > Oh well...I can only hope that the recent efforts of Riley & Co.
have
> > given new energy to rules enforcement and that such situations are fewer
> and
> > farther in between.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> I'll be testing my linear on 27555 today if'n youse wants
> to give me a 10-17 signal check good buddy!
>
> Skeeter 619 and we down and out.

Skeeter 619, please let us know how it turns out with Steve.

Brian/NoiMD

377. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:40:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PqIU8.432$go.10169606@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48235

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D230913.8BA0D904@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D227B9D.78F3A9AB@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D220603.E7E34426@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > > Meanwhile, as in "1984" (the novel), Big Brother is THERE
> > > > > > and will guide/direct US hams to the Proper Path.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Another slam at the League at which you claim never to take shots?
> > > > >
> > > > I always thought "Big Brother" was the gubmn't, just as Orwell meant
it.
> > > >
> > > > Dave, why do you think the ARRL is Big Brother?
> > >
> > > I don't. Len does. I'm the one with the amateur radio license.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, why would Len think the ARRL is "Big Brother?"
>
> Ahh, now you're exhibiting the same problem you have with the French
> operating outside their band authorization.
>
> Address your questions on French operators to the French PTT or the REF.
>
> Address your questions on why Len thinks what he does to Len.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, YOU said Len thinks that "Big Brother" is the ARRL. Why did YOU say
that?

378. Re: Sixty Meter Band Planning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:47:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7xIU8.437$Kq.10321066@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48236

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703093402.23773.00002253@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >Bruce, congratulations on your conversion. Your insults are now much
easier
> >to read, and don't even give a hint that your favorite frequency is
27.595
> >MHz.
>
> Brian BITE ME

Bruce, do you mean Brian Kelly or Brian Burke?

Whatever; you really don't know how to take a left-handed compliment, do
you?

I consider myself a huge success at turning around your dull, meaningless,
and unreadable comments.

Donations should be sent to my favorite organization: NCI.

73,
Brian/N0iMD

379. Re: Sixty Meter Band Planning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 19:56:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IFIU8.442$Yr.10395754@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48237

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:afv1ab$30u$2@208.206.142.103...
>
> Brian wrote
>
> > >Bruce, congratulations on your conversion. Your insults are now much
easier
> > >to read, and don't even give a hint that your favorite frequency is
27.595
> > >MHz.
>
> Doncha wonder what Brian is doing up on that frequency? Fer sure he
> knows all about it.

Only what I've read on here. Dick, why with me having passed a 5wpm exam, do you claim that I know nothing of Morse code, yet having only passed a meaningless paper test you are ready to believe that I could modify a radio and operate it out of band?

You obviously need to go back to the drawing board. You don't make any sense at all.

73, Brian

380. Re: 75 meters acting-up again? sheesh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 20:10:10 UTC
From: "fz@fz.com" <herbienumberone@fz.com>
Message-ID: <bPIU8.29101$wj4.2279103@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is the "Motley Crew" formed by WB2CLN? Many have already been conversing with the great R.H. :)
Some of the Canadians appear to be musicians.
Roger has been looking for a "QRMing hole", maybe this will keep him occupied. Radiosigs might even be able to tune in the mischief on his transistor Sony shortwave, after all these are yanks, no your good ole southern boys.

"Mr BigNose" <bignosepat@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:hyEU8.1408$pp3.84892@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> What's going on down on 3.860 of late?
> This past weekend it was LOADED with music
> and noisemakers and general mayhem.
> Some of it almost 40db over!
> It's quiet now, but this freq of
> 3.860 LSB after 10:00 PM bears watchin
> by Mr. R.H. fer sure..?
>
> (I sure hope it ain't some of the neanderthal fools
> who been posting debased crapola in alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> that been behing all this QRM. You would have thought they
> learned a lesson from the old days of the 14.313 and 3.901 vs
> 3.894.5 radio wars. I guess some people just can't get it out
> of their system. Someone should really invent the equivelant
> of a *RF FLEET ENEMA* for parts of ham radio - a radio freq
> electronic bowel-cleansing agent, so to speak...)

381. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 20:59:02 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <afvok6$i0nhq$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48244
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N4IXT" <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:aftp7o$gqcrc$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> Hmm, let's see. We'll take someone who has shown enough interest in Ham
> Radio to go to the effort of getting licensed. Now, instead of Elmering
> them, encouraging them to upgrade, show them the ropes, no instead we'll
> totally ignore them, causing them to get discouraged and leave the hobby.
> Oh yeah, that's a great way to preserve the hobby. Preserve it right out of
> existence.
> Robert / N4IXT
>
The so called UK FL licence could never exist under your US FCC docket system
If Proposed ? So many US Radio Amateurs would of countered it
It would of been thrown out at the first hurdle

Steve Terry

382. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 21:39:57 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D236F2D.CBC8F222@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48245
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
RVMJ wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> >That was his point.
>
> On the resistors that I use, reading them the wrong way round is
> impossible.

SMD?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

383. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 21:43:50 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D237016.C5076E0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48246

N2EY wrote:
> he was incorrect. Those who claim that CB was created "about 43 years ago"
> ... are saying things which are not true.

I don't know about that, Jim. Saying that CB was created "about 43 years
ago" is double the accuracy of saying that "20wpm is almost twice as fast
as 13wpm". :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

384. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 21:45:36 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D237080.F72E5B54@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48247

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:

> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > What's not to like? I like questions that I don't understand.
> > >
> > What's the difference between a G5RV?
> >
> One's a ham and the other is an antenna?

Nope, one is both the same.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

385. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 21:47:22 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2370EA.6A73A0B1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48248

Brian P Burke wrote:
> Hello "Ed," are you in there? I keep getting "Dave," for some unknown
> reason.

Maybe you're on an illegal frequency? :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

386. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 21:49:13 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D237159.9452E645@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48249

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Get a life.
>
> That's his problem - too late he realizes that he already let it pass
> him by.

Sort of like your EE degree, eh?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

387. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 21:54:34 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D23729A.5284E461@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 48250

N2EY wrote:

>
>
> Your value system places practical technical knowledge (like soldering and
> making basic tests) high on the scale of importance. Others place such
> knowledge very low on the scale. After all, it is quite easy to put a hma
> station on the air today with no soldering or SWR checking at all.
>
> How many hams (besides me) do you know who have designed and built complete ham
> stations in the past 10 years?

One can design and assemble things like packet networks and linked repeater
systems without doing much soldering (you may need special cables). For
that you need not build the individual transceivers or TNCs or antennas,
but you need to have knowledge of how to make it all work together to
achieve a systems level goal.

388. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 22:03:03 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D237497.5822B3A6@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 48252
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:

> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Deus wrote:
> > > We're also talking people
> > > who have _SO LITTLE_ interest in what makes Ham Radio tick
> > > that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.
> >
> > I've got a EE degree, have been a ham for 51 years, and I didn't
> > know that a resistor is polarized. Which end goes to plus? Is
> > there a resistor anode and cathode or what?
>
> ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> That was his point.

But the electrons don't care what's marked on the surface.

389. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 22:03:55 UTC
From: RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk>
Message-ID: <r5t6iu091p7rn4ds2sjefigj08rctgmuh8@4ax.com>
Source record: 48251
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CAM wrote:

>RVMJ wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
>> >That was his point.
>>
>> On the resistors that I use, reading them the wrong way round is
>> impossible.
>
>SMD?

Nope. Wrong time frame!

--
from
RVMJ
Remove Safety-&-Arming before e-mailing

390. Re: Sixty Meter Band Planning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 22:10:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703181009.01529.00004048@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48253

>I consider myself a huge success at turning around your dull, meaningless,
>and unreadable comments.
>
>Donations should be sent to my favorite organization: NCI.
>
>73,
>Brian/N0iMD

Your of your Damn Mind, you have done nothing to change anything I think or
do.
NCI; Hell would frezze over before I sent that CB org that you love anything.

391. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 22:11:23 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703181123.01529.00004049@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48254

>Congrats on the huge improvement.
>

SCREW YOU JERK

392. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 23:38:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703193822.01604.00001945@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48255

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
>That was his point.

I get the same answer by reading the color code either way, Dick. The tolerance band is easy to identify. The band farthest from the tolerance band is the first significant digit, the next one in is the second significant digit and the next one in, next to the tolerance band, is the multiplier. The value of the resistor doesn't change based on the way I hold it or whether my eyes read the bands from left to right or right to left.

If you are looking at a pc board, do you have trouble identifying the resistors whose tolerance band appears on your left? Perhaps the above tutorial will help.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

393. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 23:40:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703194019.01604.00001946@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48256

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Providing you respond in context, Ed. Better luck next time.

I understood the context quite well, Brian. Why would you wish me luck?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

394. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 23:43:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703194311.01604.00001947@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48257

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ed, I'm not receptive to stolen goods.

That's what I have been saying, Brian. The idea is in the public domain, so if you are not receptive to it you are simply not receptive to it.

You could take advantage of your constitutional right to petition your government for change. Can we expect to see a request for rulemaking from you any time now, or is obessing about Farnsworth timing in rrap the extent of what you are willing to do to right this wrong in your mind.

395. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 23:48:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703194822.01604.00001948@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48258

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>You assume that the
>physics of radio operate differently outside of the amateur bands. That is
>clearly nonsense.

Your posts have said that physics operates differently outside the ham bands,
Brian. He and I both disagreed with you.

>I AM the King!!!

>All must bow before me!!!

I trust you will understand if I disagree with that statement, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

396. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 23:50:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703195025.01604.00001949@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48259

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Do you really not understand, or do you have another point in asking such
>a
>> question?

>Do you mean, "smart?"

See, you can understand things from context if you apply yourself.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

397. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 23:51:33 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D238E05.33279712@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48261

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> >That was his point.
>
> I get the same answer by reading the color code either way, Dick.

Shhhhhhhh, Dick doesn't know it is possible to read right to left. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

398. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 23:52:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703195240.01604.00001950@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48260

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ed, after finding out that the people you've been working are out of band,
>do you go back and make more contacts with them?

Can't say as that has ever come up for me, Brian. On CW, no US station could be out of band on the bands I operate. I don't know the rules for each of the DX countries I might contact, so I couldn't tell you if they were out of band or now.

Is there an easy way for me to know whether a particular station is licensed to work me on a particular frequency?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

399. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 23:54:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703195419.01604.00001951@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48262

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Any day now I'm expecting to lure a boatload of Techs to 160m in order
>> to assist them in breaking the regs.

>That wouldn't suprise me.

If it happened, it would surprise the stuffing out of me! Why do you think it
is probable that it could happen?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

400. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-03 23:57:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703195748.08925.00003407@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 48263

>>I AM the King!!!
>
>>All must bow before me!!!
>
>I trust you will understand if I disagree with that statement, too.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, hes just another CB Idiot. By the way and drove threw his Hick Town 4 days ago,what a Joke, no wonder hes the way he is.

401. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 00:00:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag0370$e4f$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 48264
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > I've got a EE degree, have been a ham for 51 years, and I didn't
> > > know that a resistor is polarized. Which end goes to plus? Is
> > > there a resistor anode and cathode or what?
> > >
> > > ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> > That was his point.
>
> Gets me the same answer as forwards - I'm color blind. So Dick,
> please tell me exactly how a resistor is polarized.

*You* happen to be the only character with that weird idea. Explain it
to yourself.
```

402. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 00:10:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag03ov$f24$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 48265

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Get a life.
> >
> > That's his problem - too late he realizes that he already let it pass
> > him by.
>
> Sort of like your EE degree, eh?

Sorr OB but I had no need for a degree of any kind in the career I
pursued.
If I had an interest in an EE I would have got one. You, on the other
hand, had to wait for the Grate Dumdown for your Lite.

403. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 00:14:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag040h$gd5$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 48266

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> >That was his point.
>
> I get the same answer by reading the color code either way, Dick. The
> tolerance band is easy to identify. The band farthest from the tolerance band
> is the first significant digit, the next one in is the second significant digit
> and the next one in, next to the tolerance band, is the multiplier. The value
> of the resistor doesn't change based on the way I hold it or whether my eyes
> read the bands from left to right or right to left.
>
> If you are looking at a pc board, do you have trouble identifying the resistors
> whose tolerance band appears on your left? Perhaps the above tutorial will
> help.
>

OK, Mr. Condesending buttflux, work your magic on someone who can use
it. I know that and you know that. So if there's no tolerance band, you
can read it backwards if you don't know better. That was his point that
you so nicely avoided. Or maybe you are actually that stupid.

404. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 01:06:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703210655.17701.00000233@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 48267

In article <20020703021719.17531.00002160@mb-mk.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> There are no "Ham Radio" offices.
>
> There are no "Ham Radio" subscribers.
>
> The U. S. Postal Service has no current record of any periodical being
>circulated via the mails known as "Ham Radio".
>
> There is no "Ham Radio" staff, editorial, business or otherwise.
>
> Ergo, it is DEFUNCT.

Is there a Gunnery Sergeant Steven Robeson in the USMC?

Does any TO&E list a Gunnery Sergeant Steven Robeson
in any US military branch?

Ergo, Gunnery Sergeant Steven Robeson is DEFUNCT!!!

Same logic... :-)

405. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 01:06:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703210656.17701.00000235@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 48268

In article <PqIU8.432$go.10169606@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> > > Dave K8MN
>> >
>> > Dave, why would Len think the ARRL is "Big Brother?"
>>
>> Ahh, now you're exhibiting the same problem you have with the French
>> operating outside their band authorization.
>>
>> Address your questions on French operators to the French PTT or the REF.
>>
>> Address your questions on why Len thinks what he does to Len.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Dave, YOU said Len thinks that "Big Brother" is the ARRL. Why did YOU say
>that?

Dave, an ex-government employee, is VERRRY touchy about
Orwellian Big-Brotherism... :-)

406. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 01:06:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703210656.17701.00000234@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 48271

In article <3D2277AE.54693206@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D22055B.D180DD2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >You are a real cartoon character, Len.
>>
>> I can't compare to your "Superman," Dave... :-)
>>
>> >You jump on Steve's medical
>> >credentials and don't expect flak in return.
>>
>> What is "jumping on?"
>
>> He doesn't have an MD...and "he saves lives."
>>
>> If the poor baby has a medical doctorate, in which state was
>> it issued?
>>
>> Does he have a license as a nurse in Tennessee? What is its
>> registry number?
>
>What's any of that to you and why did you find it necessary to bring it
>up?

Hello? I didn't "bring it up." YOU "brought it up" by claiming a
flat statement was "jumping on steve."

Steve has no medical doctorate.

>> >Then you launch into a
>> >history of "Ham Radio" magazine for us as if you are an expert on the
>> >subject.
>>
>> I know something of them, having worked for them. :-)
>
>You mean like VE's work for the FCC?

Try to approximate staying in focus. You asked a question about
my knowledge of "Ham Radio Magazine" and you think it is about
"VEs working for the FCC?" That's practically incoherent.

>> Couldn't handle the technical stuff in HR, ey?
>
>I wrote an antenna article and a humor piece for those Novices for which
>Brian said I didn't search the bands.

Too bad all my Ham Radio Horizons were given away...I could have looked up your article. "Horizons" was aimed at the less-technically-knowledgeable radio amateur while HR itself was aimed at those with more knowledge. I was on the masthead at HR, not Horizons.

>In its latter years, "Ham Radio" was not successful. The other
>magazines you mention are still in existence.

Are THEY "successful?" Give a definition for "success."

>> Nah...the gist of it was to slam HR and the people that made it
>> possible because I mentioned it and the poor baby couldn't
>> resist dragging others down in the mud because of his hate.
>
>I've never slammed either the magazine or the people who made it
>possible. You are raving.

No, I'm IN FOCUS, trying to make sense of your statements.

That's a difficult task...making sense of your statements, that is.

>> You ARE an amateur Superman, aren't you?
>
>Why no, Len. I'm a radio amateur. Aren't you?

No, I'm a radio professional, not a "superman," just competent at what I can do, have done in the commercial radio-electronics world. I've never been in the employ of government civilian service. Have you?

407. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 01:06:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703210657.17701.00000236@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 48274

In article <xauU8.1989$Uk7.87686438@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:9f4bfe89.0207020826.1753e116@posting.google.com...
>> Excellent code learning freeware that runs on Windows 9x, NT and
>> others available at:
>>
>> http://www.g4fon.co.uk/
>>
>> Easy to download, install and use (download fits on a floppy).
>> Does "Farnsworth" and "classic" timing, speeds from 5 to 50 wpm,
>> teaches via Koch method. Optional QSB, chirp and background noise.
>>
>> Standard disclaimers apply.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Koch method? What is that? Is the ARRL using it to test?

I'm wondering if they can handle their Koch well... :-)

408. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 01:06:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703210659.17701.00000237@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 48272

In article <B1IU8.409$tk.9937064@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020703140641.22468.00000396@mb-fy.aol.com...
>> In article <06kU8.2156$zw3.66403462@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20020702000619.10462.00000280@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> >> In article <nu6U8.1492$4e5.63252495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
>P
>> >> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:20020701201029.10462.00000273@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> >> >> In article <20020630231142.08659.00000329@mb-mo.aol.com>,
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com
>> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >In article <jBKT8.942$j93.42470706@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
>"Brian
>> >P
>> >> >> >Burke"
>> >> >> ><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>> Hey, "licensed" one - Might check the regulations. In case you
>> >ever
>> >> >> >>> do, you will note that amateur radio and CB are under entirely
>> >> >different
>> >> >> >>> rules. That's because they are different SERVICES. That holds
>true
>> >for
>> >> >> >>> the "treaty" also, so for your education and edification, they
>have
>> >no
>> >> >> >>> relationship to each other.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>Was the rule in place when 11m was amateur radio?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Incorrect. As pointed out in another post, Class A and Class B CB
>(UHF,

>> >> >460 MHz
>> >> >> region) were created by the FCC in 1948. That's 54 years ago.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Now be a big boy and admit your mistake, "Lenover21". Set a good
>> >example
>> >> >for
>> >> >> young Brian.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Jim, N2EY
>> >> >
>> >> >Jim, I thought you said that CB came in in 1958 - the year I was born.
>> >>
>> >> Not me. You are mistaken, just like "Lenover21".
>> >>
>> >> Class C and Class D (27 MHz) CB started in 1958.
>> >
>> >There! You said it again! I knew I wasn't mistaken. The service
>commonly
>> >thought of a CB is at 27 MHz.
>>
>> Sure. But CB has included far more than 27 MHz, as has been confirmed by
>> others. When Lenover21 wrote
>>
>> "45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF."
>>
>> (that's a direct quote, and the context is clear)
>>
>> he was incorrect. Those who claim that CB was created "about 43 years ago"
or
>> "in 1958" are saying things which are not true.
>
>So he said he was wrong. What else do you want? Stigmata?

...probably Burning At The Stake for heresy. :-)

Extreme Literalists are like the officials in Salem...not satisfied until
the accused witches are given a trial by fire. For them that is a
win-win situation: If the burned accused dies in the fire they are
proved mortal and absolved (but are still dead); if they do not burn
that "proves" they are witches, not mortal, and the accusers were
right.

The interesting thing about such Burning At The Stake is that NO
ONE has survived...and there was NO "plan" on what to do with
"real witches" surviving! :-)

>> >> "45 years ago there was no CB...not even on UHF."
>> >
>> >So he was wrong about UHF.
>>
>> Yup. Also about many other things. He has a hard time with facts that he

>> doesn't like.
>
>What part of , "I was wrong," did you misinterpret?

Careful, Brian, he has all this wood piled up for a stake fire and eager
to put someone, anyone "on trial." :-)

>> You're welcome. Note that although some here claim to be "professionals in
>> radio" and will give you their CV at any opportunity, when you really need
some
>> practical info, ol' "Lenover21" offers nothing.
>
>Its not likely that the military would need such a compromize antenna.

Brian, give me a hand with rope-tying, please. The "Salem judge" needs a
"trial" in addition to a visit to the opthalmologist and a new pair of glasses.

I've been involved with technical discussions as they related to technical
matters on subject threads in here. That, too, is in the newsgroup archives.
A few other Literal Extremists got into the act and ran around trying to say
the remarks were "wrong" or even "inapplicable" without proving their point.
After the long previous message exchange on "Shannon's Law" between
Cecil Moore and Richard Carroll, plus some others, I didn't bother with
much commentary in regards to "practical info."

When Sgt Putz demanded I "start a new thread on microwaves," it became
impossible. That uncivil ignorant sort wanted a FREE four-semester
course on microwave theory and techniques, apparently thinking he
"deserved it." Ridiculous demand. It was either an undisguised sarcastic
comment of his or some idiotic noble's demand of "service." :-)

>> Instead, the info you need
>> comes from one of those people he hates - a young red-blooded All-American
>> code-using ARRL-member PCTA Yankee Amateur Extra, who is no expert but
>> does know some things about antennas, the Smith Chart, and search engines.
>
>He doesn't hate you guys. He hates the way you behave. You assume that the
>physics of radio operate differently outside of the amateur bands. That is
>clearly nonsense.

His blood is red, He is "young," He uses morse code, He can crib expertise
from other sources. He can do no wrong! :-)

Accusations, however slight, of wrong-doing, results in an immediate Trial By
Burning Accusers At The Stake!

A "Yankee?" Right from the old days in Salem! :-)

>Jim, do you know what the Army would call me?

Gods of Radio don't need any practical experience in military service...but He
will
no doubt call you something... :-)

409. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 01:07:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703210700.17701.00000238@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 48270

In article <2YHU8.406$nj.9875643@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020703140644.22468.00000397@mb-fy.aol.com...
>> In article <8c61e9d6.0207020700.699caf2c@posting.google.com>,
>> How many hams (besides me) do you know who have designed and built complete ham
>> stations in the past 10 years?
>
>Jim, I've heard this again and again, and you've peaked my interest.
>
>Please describe your personally engineered and built ham station. Hopefully
>your antennas are of a personal design also.

It would be nice to have publicly-available references on this. It would be a shame to force people to pay money to subscribe to special-interest publications (such as "Electric Radio") in order to verify the fact. :-)

It would be intriguing to see the notes and notebook-recorded "engineering" decisions and data leading to the final design. Many of us have done that for a living. :-)

410. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 01:07:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703210702.17701.00000239@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 48273

In article <20020703060640.13189.00000281@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020702141808.15110.00000261@mb-bh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020702000618.10462.00000278@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>Len, it's quite clear that you hate the amateur radio service and want it to
>>>die out.
>>
>>Not at all. I think it is a fine hobby involving radio.
>
>Your postings here indicate otherwise.

Poor baby. Suffering along all these years with a reading deficiency...

Tsk, tsk, tsk...

I've said it a long time ago, have repeated it many times, including several
times in here: Amateur radio is a fine hobby involving radio.

Now let's be fair about it. There are a number of radio amateurs in
here who have a very one-sided, personally-biased viewpoint along
with a too-strong identification of themselves and their experiences
and their personal desires who insist that "all" amateur radio is
exactly as they are/do/experience. In particular, the anal-retentive
PCTAs in HERE who proclaim themselves as gods of radio.

>>I also think that some involved with amateur radio have gone over the edge
>>and proclaimed themselves as THE spokespersons and representatives of
>>the hobby, becoming far too involved with the mystique and myths and
>>legends that were created long ago.
>
>That's a really good description of your postings here.

Impossible. I am for the elimination of the morse code test for ANY
radio license. Along the way...and in having to counter the one-sided
anal-retentive PCTAs...I've had to point out facts of the other radio world
outside of amateur radio...and to challenge the claims and mythos and
legends of amateurism that seem to have originated long before WW2.

>>>It's also clear that you are not interested in any sort of civil
>discussion.
>>

>>Oh? This is a "discussion" venue? :-)
>
>Yes, to those who actually have something to discuss.

We could discuss Jimmie Miccolis' profound lack of reading comprehension...but that is a task for an educator and perhaps an expert in languages plus a psychologist specializing in idol worship and cult religions. Sorry, that's not close to being within the bounds of subjects in here.

GETTING INTO amateur radio involves morse code proficiency by a test for any license class having below-30-MHz operating privileges. As such it is very much within the purview of amateur radio policy.

Prove otherwise.

>>I thought it was a forum where the PCTAs could say anydamnthing they
>>wanted against NCTAs but the vice was not versa.
>
>Nope. Your own posts, and those of many others, disprove that. It's quite
clear
>that the nocodetest and anticode folks (like you) say anything they want -
true
>or not.

Miccolis exhibits extreme personal dissatisfaction at being told of his perception by others not of his viewpoint. He tries to "disprove" that by mirroring others descriptions of him and claiming they are "the image of the descriptor's." Sorry, your mirror is broken.

>Do you mean K4YZ, who frequently calls you a putz? The guy whose name,
>profession, and military experience you've repeatedly belittled? Your behavior
>here has earned you that title, and many more.

By the above statement Jimmie Miccolis condones uncivil, insulting behavior by an amateur extra who is an obvious PCTA, as is Miccolis.

That same amateur extra had the first shots of a non-applicable flame war concerning professions and military experiences, using uncivil and insulting language. Not only that, the same amateur extra received complaints from other amateur extras about his behavior in this newsgroup. That is all in the newsgroup archives.

If Miccolis condones that sort of behavior, then he is guilty of a double-standard of ethics just as I described them.

>>Look at yourself, then, arguing minutae as if it was a life-and-death
>>situation,
>
>"Life and death situation"? Nonsense! I simply point out errors. For example,
>you claimed that I have referred to myself as a "renowned historian", which
>is simply not true.

Do you take pride in your "histories" of amateur radio? Have you said that others have complimented you on such "histories?" You have claimed both in here...including the use of "renown" but not literally preceding the word "historian."

That is an example of the Extreme Literalist who attempts to "disprove" something he already wrote...but one which everyone else has already read to be as described.

This same Extreme Literalist syndrome causes a great deal of mis-direction in message subject threads, concentrating on the Literalist. That is a further indication of a high ego content which demands all pay attention to him rather than the subject.

>They ARE errors. Your resist to admitting them to an extreme degree, and >abuse those who dare to point them out.

No, they are not "resistance to an extreme degree," a ridiculous descriptor which tries to magnify an error way beyond proportion. That magnification makes them virtually one of "life and death proportions" in a flame war ignition.

Errors exist, typographical errors exist. Everyone has fallen victim to them. No exceptions.

To dwell on others' errors, to magnify them out of proportion, to virtually shout "ERROR!" repeatedly...and then accuse the error-maker of being "innaccurate in everything!" is a terribly immature act which is far from discussion or debate on a subject.

If an error exists, point it out with an explanation...but make sure it IS an error rather than a difference in opinion.

>The gist of your messages is quite clear: You hate amateur radio, the morse >code, and want the whole ARS to simply die out and go away - or turn into a >high power multiband version of cb.

Incorrect. Miccolis is guilty as charged of immature, uncivil, and insulting statements about another. In addition he is charged with adoption of double-standards of behavior wherein PCTAs may say or do anything they wish while NCTAs must adhere to rigid civil comportment if they are allowed to say anything at all.

411. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 01:07:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703210703.17701.00000240@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 48269

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gynd6k5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 03 Jul 2002 00:10:08 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>> "Ctizens Class A" metamorphosed into GMRS....with the allocation
>>> shrinking and the rules changing over time, but still identifiable as
>>> such.
>>
>>Oh? That's interesting. I have a copy of Part 95. Sorry, but I can't
>>see any reference to "CB Class A or CB Class B" in there.
>
> I refer you to the Commission's Third Report and Order in the
> proceeding entitled "Revision of Operating Rules for Class D
> Stations in the Citizens Radio Service", FCC 76-1138, Adopted
> December 14, 1976, Released December 16, 1976. Citation is 39 RR 2d
> 5500, 63 FCC 2d 32, 1975 FCC LEXIS 2142.
>
> In Paragraph 4 of that Order the Commission stated:
>
> 4. We believe that the time is now right for the implementation
> of the name changes, and we are therefore changing the names of
> the Citizens Radio Service and its subdesignations, as follows:
> the Citizens Radio Service is redesignated the Personal Radio
> Services; the Class A Service is redesignated the General Mobile
> Radio Service; the Class C Service is redesignated the Radio
> Control (R/C) Service; and the Class D Service is redesignated
> the Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service.

Thank you, Phil (the big reading room in DC is a little far for me...).

What you've just said means that there is NO "Class A," nor any
other "class" of CB. For a about a quarter century the ONLY
Citizens Band Radio Service is the familiar-to-most-everyone
service operating in the 27 MHz region.

The Literalists in here will have a terrible hissy-fit! :-)

>>Are you going to make a Big Federal Case out of this? :-)
>
> Nah, just a summary procedure....(see the summary above) <ggg>

Thank you again. I can't wait to see all the "federalists" who
WILL try to make a Big Case out of this. Heh heh heh heh.

412. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:06:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703220645.05984.00000407@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 48275

In article <3D237016.C5076E0@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> he was incorrect. Those who claim that CB was created "about 43 years ago"
>> ... are saying things which are not true.
>
>I don't know about that, Jim. Saying that CB was created "about 43 years
>ago" is double the accuracy of saying that "20wpm is almost twice as fast
>as 13wpm". :-)

Sure ;-)

Both statements are inaccurate, though ;-)

However, saying 'CB was created in 1958' and 'CB did not exist 45 years ago...even on UHF" are both totally incorrect. No 'almost' or 'about' in those statements.

73 de Jim, N2EY

413. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:06:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703220645.05984.00000406@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 48276

In article <3D23729A.5284E461@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:

>> Your value system places practical technical knowledge (like soldering and
>> making basic tests) high on the scale of importance. Others place such
>> knowledge very low on the scale. After all, it is quite easy to put a hma
>> station on the air today with no soldering or SWR checking at all.
>>
>> How many hams (besides me) do you know who have designed and
>> built complete ham stations in the past 10 years?
>
>One can design and assemble things like packet networks and linked repeater
>systems without doing much soldering (you may need special cables).

Excellent point, Robert.

>For
>that you need not build the individual transceivers or TNCs or antennas,
>but you need to have knowledge of how to make it all work together to
>achieve a systems level goal.
>
Or consider the PC, which has become commonplace in ham shacks. A complete
custom PC system can be built with just a few simple hand tools and no
soldering.

It all depends what you define as a "component". In the network example, the
components are TNCs, transceivers, antennas, computers, etc. In the PC example,
the components are the various cards, motherboard, drives, power supply, etc.

73 de Jim, N2EY

414. Re: Happy 4th of July!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:09:47 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020703220947.03198.00000509@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 48277

In article <20020702150120.16798.00000327@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>Here's wishing everyone a happy and safe Independence Day
>for all of us American citizens.
>
>Let's celebrate 226 years of our nation's existance by
>remembering how it all began and how we've kept it
>free regardless of threats against our way of life.
>
>Happy birthday to the United States of America!

Thank you, Lenover! Same to you!

73 de Larry, K3LT
MSgt, USAF (Ret.)
(Took Oath Of Enlistment July 8, 1976)

415. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:33:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6uOU8.2481$zu5.110872839@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48278
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag0370$e4f$0@208.206.142.68...
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > > I've got a EE degree, have been a ham for 51 years, and I didn't
> > > > know that a resistor is polarized. Which end goes to plus? Is
> > > > there a resistor anode and cathode or what?
> > >
> > > ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> > > That was his point.
> >
> > Gets me the same answer as forwards - I'm color blind. So Dick,
> > please tell me exactly how a resistor is polarized.
>
> *You* happen to be the only character with that weird idea. Explain it
> to yourself.

Axeually, Dick, I'm also color blind. That's why I was prevented from
following a career as an electronics technician or other comm jobs.

Instead I was merely a weatherman. Drawing clod fronts in purple never got
anyone killed. For my hobby I've had to use the VOM to measure resistors,
and have had to splice some really long test leads to use in continuity
tests.

Am I handicapped? Should I apply for a test waiver or go get Social
Security?

416. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:35:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fwOU8.2483$3C5.111333492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48279

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703193822.01604.00001945@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> >That was his point.
>
> I get the same answer by reading the color code either way, Dick. The
> tolerance band is easy to identify. The band farthest from the tolerance
band
> is the first significant digit, the next one in is the second significant
digit
> and the next one in, next to the tolerance band, is the multiplier. The
value
> of the resistor doesn't change based on the way I hold it or whether my
eyes
> read the bands from left to right or right to left.
>
> If you are looking at a pc board, do you have trouble identifying the
resistors
> whose tolerance band appears on your left? Perhaps the above tutorial
will
> help.

Don't talk to Dick about significant digits. He might get jealous. He's
stuck with an insignificant digit.

417. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:37:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MxOU8.2484$8r5.110680226@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48280

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag040h$gd5$0@208.206.142.68...

> OK, Mr. Condesending buttflux,

Buttflux?

Hey Ed, we got another potty mouth over here. Do your stuff.

418. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:38:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <FyOU8.2485$eC5.111344692@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48281

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703195025.01604.00001949@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Do you really not understand, or do you have another point in asking
such
> >a
> >> question?
>
> >Do you mean, "smart?"
>
> See, you can understand things from context if you apply yourself.

So how is it smart?

419. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:39:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1AOU8.2487$SD5.111450402@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48282

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D237080.F72E5B54@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > What's not to like? I like questions that I don't understand.
> > >
> > > What's the difference between a G5RV?
> >
> > One's a ham and the other is an antenna?
>
> Nope, one is both the same.

Oh, Man! This is starting to sound like the Trinity.

420. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:42:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1COU8.2490$HE5.111495482@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48283

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703194822.01604.00001948@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >You assume that the
> >physics of radio operate differently outside of the amateur bands. That
is
> >clearly nonsense.
>
> Your posts have said that physics operates differently outside the ham
bands,
> Brian. He and I both disagreed with you.
>
> >I AM the King!!!
>
> >All must bow before me!!!
>
> I trust you will understand if I disagree with that statement, too.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

OK, it was a bit much to ask for. But my heart was in the right place. ;^)

421. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:44:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WDOU8.2492$tF5.111546728@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48284

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703195748.08925.00003407@mb-md.aol.com...
> >>I AM the King!!!
> >
> >>All must bow before me!!!
> >
> >I trust you will understand if I disagree with that statement, too.
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed, hes just another CB Idiot. By the way and drove threw his Hick Town 4
days
> ago,what a Joke, no wonder hes the way he is.

There goes my greatest achievement right down the toilet.

Earth to Bruce, earth to Bruce? We're losing you.

Please go back to correct spelling and syntax.

422. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:46:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PFOU8.2495$gF5.111533312@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48285

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D237016.C5076E0@hotmail.com...
> N2EY wrote:
> > he was incorrect. Those who claim that CB was created "about 43 years
ago"
> > ... are saying things which are not true.
>
> I don't know about that, Jim. Saying that CB was created "about 43 years
> ago" is double the accuracy of saying that "20wpm is almost twice as fast
> as 13wpm". :-)

Now there's a knee slapper!

423. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:48:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <eIOU8.2496$VE5.111509611@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48286

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703181123.01529.00004049@mb-cg.aol.com...

> >Congrats on the huge improvement.
>
> SCREW YOU JERK

Bruce, don't bit the hand that has reformed you.

Know Spelling=Know Literacy

424. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:49:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ZIOU8.2497$lG5.111603893@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48287

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2370EA.6A73A0B1@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > Hello "Ed," are you in there? I keep getting "Dave," for some unknown
> > reason.
>
> Maybe you're on an illegal frequency? :-)

I'm good, he's the one out of band.

425. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:49:40 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020703224940.03198.00000510@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 48288

In article <3D23340D.2D3A88EA@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
>> If you're not aware of the resistor colour code, then
>> you're not as good as you think.
>
>I'm color blind and only know the resistance by measuring the resistor
>with a meter. So I ask again, how is a resistor polarized?

Cecil:

Some resistors are Conservative Republicans, and other resistors
are Liberal Democrats. Therefore, they are polarized.

73 de Larry, K3LT

Only kidding, Cecil! Resistors aren't polarized! Don't stay up all night
trying to figure out which of your resistors are GOP and which are ACLU!!!

- K3LT

426. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:52:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tLOU8.2501$5F5.111522147@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48290

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703195240.01604.00001950@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Ed, after finding out that the people you've been working are out of
band,
> >do you go back and make more contacts with them?
>
> Can't say as that has ever come up for me, Brian. On CW, no US station
could
> be out of band on the bands I operate. I don't know the rules for each of
the
> DX countries I might contact, so I couldn't tell you if they were out of
band
> or now.
>
> Is there an easy way for me to know whether a particular station is
licensed to
> work me on a particular frequency?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, answer the question.

427. Re: 75 meters acting-up again? sheesh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:52:54 UTC
From: Lloyd <LloydDavies@BARFHQherbie.com>
Message-ID: <ag0dae$g0i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 48289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

It's AB8MQ jamming again. He got kicked out of the
Marshall county ARES and has gone off the deep end
trying to "get even" with imaginary enemies.

"Mr BigNose" <bignosepat@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hyEU8.1408$pp3.84892@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> What's going on down on 3.860 of late?
> This past weekend it was LOADED with music
> and noisemakers and general mayhem.
> Some of it almost 40db over!
> It's quiet now, but this freq of
> 3.860 LSB after 10:00 PM bears watchin
> by Mr. R.H. fer sure..?
>
> (I sure hope it ain't some of the neanderthal fools
> who been posting debased crapola in alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> that been behing all this QRM. You would have thought they
> learned a lesson from the old days of the 14.313 and 3.901 vs
> 3.894.5 radio wars. I guess some people just can't get it out
> of their system. Someone should really invent the equivelant
> of a *RF FLEET ENEMA* for parts of ham radio - a radio freq
> electronic bowel-cleansing agent, so to speak...)

428. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:53:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rMOU8.2503$UC5.111386833@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48291

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703195419.01604.00001951@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Any day now I'm expecting to lure a boatload of Techs to 160m in order
> >> to assist them in breaking the regs.
>
> >That wouldn't suprise me.
>
> If it happened, it would surprise the stuffing out of me! Why do you think
it
> is probable that it could happen?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Dave "expecting" out of banders? Doesn't surprise me in the least.

429. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:54:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RNOU8.2504$cl5.111715516@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48292

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag03ov$f24$0@208.206.142.68...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > Get a life.
> > >
> > > That's his problem - too late he realizes that he already let it pass
> > > him by.
> >
> > Sort of like your EE degree, eh?
>
>
> Sorr OB but I had no need for a degree of any kind in the career I
> pursued.
> If I had an interest in an EE I would have got one. You, on the other
> hand, had to wait for the Grate Dumdown for your Lite.

Dick, your posts are starting to resemble someone else's.

Know Spelling=Know Literacy
```

430. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:55:40 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020703225540.03198.00000511@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 48293

In article <fwOU8.2483$3C5.111333492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Don't talk to Dick about significant digits. He might get jealous. He's >stuck with an insignificant digit.

You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to formulate a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.

73 de Larry, K3LT

431. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 02:58:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nROU8.2506$bG5.111593639@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48294

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703194019.01604.00001946@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Providing you respond in context, Ed. Better luck next time.
>
> I understood the context quite well, Brian. Why would you wish me luck?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, I'm certain that you understood the context. You're a pretty smart guy.
But the key is to "respond" in context. You apparently need some luck in
that department.

432. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 03:01:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rUOU8.2509$CL5.111907106@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48295

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703194311.01604.00001947@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Ed, I'm not receptive to stolen goods.
>
> That's what I have been saying, Brian. The idea is in the public domain,
so if
> you are not receptive to it you are simply not receptive to it.

You presented it as your own, as in "I have an idea." I'm receptive to new,
original ideas. Got any?

> You could take advantage of your constitutional right to petition your
> government for change. Can we expect to see a request for rulemaking from
you
> any time now, or is obessing about Farnsworth timing in rrap the extent of
what
> you are willing to do to right this wrong in your mind.

I'm a free American. Why do you think I must do as you wish?

Should I go into the "Dick McCollum Jive" to make a point here?

433. Re: Sixty Meter Band Planning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 03:04:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2XOU8.2510$NJ5.111811693@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48296

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703181009.01529.00004048@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >I consider myself a huge success at turning around your dull,
meaningless,
> >and unreadable comments.
> >
> >Donations should be sent to my favorite organization: NCI.
> >
> >73,
> >Brian/N0iMD
>
> Your of your Damn Mind, you have done nothing to change anything I think
or
> do.
> NCI; Hell would frezze over before I sent that CB org that you love
anything.

Bruce, better get a grip.

Is this the part where you get your rifle and secure the high place on a
grassy knoll?

434. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 03:08:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <C_OU8.2513$dM5.111947036@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48297

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020703225540.03198.00000511@mb-mq.aol.com...
> In article <fwOU8.2483$3C5.111333492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >Don't talk to Dick about significant digits. He might get jealous. He's
> >stuck with an insignificant digit.
>
> You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to formulate
> a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

"Like a Welfare Mother of Color with her Hand Out?"

Larry, you've got NO room to talk.

435. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 03:50:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703235033.08925.00001705@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48299

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/03/02 21:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020703210659.17701.00000237@mb-mm.aol.com>

>
>When Sgt Putz demanded I "start a new thread on microwaves," it became
>impossible. That uncivil ignorant sort wanted a FREE four-semester
>course on microwave theory and techniques, apparently thinking he
>"deserved it." Ridiculous demand. It was either an undisguised sarcastic
>comment of his or some idiotic noble's demand of "service."

What's REALLY rediculous, Lennie, is that you think that I think I deserve
it or that I demanded it.

YOU are the one who has asserted that EE's have soooooooo much to offer
Amateur Radio, yet the only one's offering ANYthing are those who are already
licensed.

YOU have offered nothing.

> Ridiculous demand. It was either an undisguised sarcastic
>comment of his or some idiotic noble's demand of "service."

Nope. Was waiting for you to start a thread in which some real exchange
and learning could take place and cool the flames.

You only proved what most of us already new, and that was that nothing
constructive would ever be forthcoming from you.

Nothing new...Same old Lennie

Putz.

436. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 03:53:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703235310.08925.00001706@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48300

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/03/02 21:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020703210703.17701.00000240@mb-mm.aol.com>

>What you've just said means that there is NO "Class A," nor any
>other "class" of CB. For a about a quarter century the ONLY
>Citizens Band Radio Service is the familiar-to-most-everyone
>service operating in the 27 MHz region.

Still wrong. See CURRENT Part 95 as you have been shown before.

Not that FACTS impress you.

Steve

437. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 04:11:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704001126.08925.00001710@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48151

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/03/02 21:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020703210702.17701.00000239@mb-mm.aol.com>

>Along the way...and in having to counter the one-sided
>anal-retentive PCTAs...

As opposed to the anal-retentive ex-radio technicians who think they know something ABOUT Amateur Radio, Lennie?

>I've had to point out facts of the other radio world
>outside of amateur radio...and to challenge the claims and mythos and
>legends of amateurism that seem to have originated long before WW2.

And I am sure that such NG Warrior tales are lot's of fun around the Anderson campfire on cool fall evenings and make for lotsa "ooooh"ing and "aaahhhhh" ing as you wow your less literate family members with your tales of RAWCHSBA (*)

(*) Rear Area Widget Claiming Hero Status By Association...a trait of certain non-combatants trying to impress the unwary of their virtue in combat when same never happened...A cowards way of getting "glory" they didn't earn on the Honor of those who did.

>That same amateur extra had the first shots of a non-applicable flame
>war concerning professions and military experiences, using uncivil and
>insulting language.

Damned, Lennie...Do such lies and mistruths just flow out of your brain without effort, or does it take you a while to think them up?

>Not only that, the same amateur extra received
>complaints from other amateur extras about his behavior in this
>newsgroup. That is all in the newsgroup archives.

Miniscule compared to the reams of complaints about you...from Extras and non-Extras alike.

>If Miccolis condones that sort of behavior, then he is guilty of a
>double-standard of ethics just as I described them.

Once again, you are so proud of yourself. Why are WE not surprised?

>To dwell on others' errors, to magnify them out of proportion, to virtually
>shout "ERROR!" repeatedly...and then accuse the error-maker of being
>"innaccurate in everything!" is a terribly immature act which is far from
>discussion or debate on a subject.

You're right, Lennie...You don't get EVERYTHING wrong...You DO manage to get your name and address right pretty consistently...And your frequent retelling of your Rear Area Widget record is rote by now...pretty hard to screw up something you have repeated so many times....

>Incorrect. Miccolis is guilty as charged...(SNIP)

Oh I LOVE this!

Lennie always attempts to denigrate anyone who he perceives and "judge and jury", yet here he is in black and white reading his own verdict!

And telling Jim that HE has 'double standards"...

What a P U T Z ! ! ! !

>...(UNSNIP) of immature, uncivil, and insulting
>statements about another.

Yep...Andersonian double standard. "Do As I Say, Don't Do As I Do."

>In addition he is charged with adoption of
>double-standards of behavior wherein PCTAs may say or do anything they
>wish while NCTAs must adhere to rigid civil comportment if they are allowed
>to say anything at all.

What a L I A R!

Sheesh!

438. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 04:16:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704001619.08925.00001713@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48152

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/03/02 21:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020703210655

>Is there a Gunnery Sergeant Steven Robeson in the USMC?

Nope.

>Does any TO&E list a Gunnery Sergeant Steven Robeson
> in any US military branch?

There would only be ONE branch if I were still active duty.

>Ergo, Gunnery Sergeant Steven Robeson is DEFUNCT!!!

I am sure you wish it were true. It would certainly make you life of
pathological lying and antogonistic harrassment in rrap a lot easier.

Sucks to be you, Lennie!

439. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 04:18:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704001840.08925.00001714@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48153

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/03/02 21:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020703210656.17701.00000234@mb-mm.aol.com>
>
>In article <3D2277AE.54693206@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>writes:

Hey Dave...I still see that Lennie has yet to answer the question of "HOW" his First Amendment "rights" had been abridged.

Seems he's having a hard time coming up with an answer. Of course the answer is his "rights" never WERE abridged. Only in his RAWCHBAS mind, I guess....

440. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 05:11:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D23D921.337F5D69@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48154

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D230623.FDD6BD6D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020703071525.15533.00002160@mb-fx.aol.com...
> > > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > > >
> > > > > Let me ask you again--have you contacted the
> > > > >French PTT or the REF to protest the outrageous behavior of some
> French
> > > > >6m ops?
> > > >
> > > > He knows it is not your fault; he is just blaming you.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
> > > Ed, after finding out that the people you've been working are out of
> band,
> > > do you go back and make more contacts with them?
> >
> >
> > I didn't go back, Brian. I was already there, still operating where my
> > license said I could be. At no time did I leave my authorized segment.
> > Have you addressed this matter to the French authorities yet?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, what part of "Ed" don't you understand. Let "Ed" answer the question.
>
> Dave, we already know what you did. So pipe down and let "Ed" have his
> turn.
>
> Hello "Ed," are you in there? I keep getting "Dave," for some unknown
> reason.

Probably because you keep posting misinformation.

Dave K8MN

441. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 05:12:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D23D954.E8C34138@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48155

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2303CB.19C4D80A@earthlink.net...
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > >
> > > > Let me ask you again--have you contacted the
> > > >French PTT or the REF to protest the outrageous behavior of some French
> > > >6m ops?
> > >
> > > He knows it is not your fault; he is just blaming you.
> >
> > Any day now I'm expecting to lure a boatload of Techs to 160m in order
> > to assist them in breaking the regs.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> That wouldn't suprise me.

...and that's just another of your problems.

Dave K8MN

442. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 05:21:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D23DB5F.7261859E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48156

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D230598.BE2642C7@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D227A67.593AC26B@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > So to you, the fact that a DX station operating legitimately
> is on
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > > air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Assists. If you knowingly work them out of band.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Excuse me? Who knowingly worked anyone out of band?
> > > > > >
> > > > > You did. The Frenchman came on here and recited their 6M privs.
> You
> > > > stated
> > > > > the freqs where you were contacting them and it was outside their
> > > limits. I
> > > > > pointed it out, but you insisted that you were doing nothing wrong
> and
> > > > > continued to work them anyway. Or so you said.
> > > >
> > > I did? Did I have an exchange with this visiting Frenchman. First I
> > > ever knew of it was when you reposted his material some time later.
> > >
> > Yes, several minutes later.
> >
> > > Do you think I bother to read all posts made here?
> > >
> > Just mine.
```

> >
> > I hate to burst your bubble, Brian. I don't even read all of yours.
>
> Bubble intact. Can you shoot for not reading any of mine? Perhaps you and
> Dick can get a contest going?

You have the option of not posting. In fact, you stated your intention
to leave but in a lapse of integrity, reversed yourself almost
immediately.

> > > > > What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's no reg
> > > > > forbidding cross-mode QSO's.
> > > > >
> > > > Do you know the difference between Phone DXCC and CW DXCC?
> > >
> > > Yes, but why ever would you want DXCC on phone?
> >
> > ...because it is available and I'm a DXer.
>
> But on phone?

I operate phone about fifty percent of the time, Brian. Did you work me
on CW?

> > > Let me think on this little problem for a second.
> > >
> > > Aha! Couldn't you get on 14.132 MHz using CW and ask the DX station to
> move
> > > up?
> >
> > I'd like you to try just that in the midst of a pileup on a DX station,
> > Brian. The "QRZ?" which will follow your request will not indicate that
> > the DX station is listening for you up the band.
>
> I've heard rude Americans do all sorts of things. Do you want him on SSB or
> not?

Do you do everything you hear others doing?

> > Your stuff is beginning to read like Kim's. You have an opinion on
> > everything whether you actually know anything about it or not.
>
> Dave, been there done that. Are you are too "little gun" to ask a station
> to QSY briefly for a contact? Doesn't the DX know WHO you are?

I wouldn't dream of contacting a rare SSB DX station in the midst of a
pileup by calling him on CW to ask him to move up the band or listen up
the band for me. The size of the gun is not important.

Dave K8MN

443. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 05:22:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D23DBB3.7BC6CD9D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48157

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2307FA.B44587B0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and chose to
> ignore
> > > > them?
> > > >
> > > > Get a life.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their operating privs >
> on 6M, why would you call him a liar?
> >
> > At no time did any French ham worked by me ever state his "operating
> > privs" on 6m. At no time did I call the French station referenced by
> > you here, a liar.
> >
> > Get a life.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, then if he wasn't lying, why do you disbelieve him?

Disbelieve him? I don't even know him.

Dave K8MN
```

444. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 05:24:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D23DC0B.72346DAC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48158

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D230913.8BA0D904@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D227B9D.78F3A9AB@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D220603.E7E34426@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > > > Meanwhile, as in "1984" (the novel), Big Brother is THERE
> > > > > > > and will guide/direct US hams to the Proper Path.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Another slam at the League at which you claim never to take shots?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I always thought "Big Brother" was the gubmn't, just as Orwell meant
> > it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, why do you think the ARRL is Big Brother?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't. Len does. I'm the one with the amateur radio license.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, why would Len think the ARRL is "Big Brother?"
> > >
> > > Ahh, now you're exhibiting the same problem you have with the French
> > > operating outside their band authorization.
> > >
> > > Address your questions on French operators to the French PTT or the REF.
> > >
> > > Address your questions on why Len thinks what he does to Len.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, YOU said Len thinks that "Big Brother" is the ARRL. Why did YOU say
> > that?

Because it ties to Len's similar earlier comments in the thread.
Pay attention, Brian.

Dave K8MN

445. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 05:31:24 UTC
From: KA8DDZ <ka8ddz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <M4RU8.2609$wt6.114532609@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48159
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There are plenty of no code technician class licensee's who could eat the lunch of many MANY Extra class licensees here in the states,
The class of license has nothing to do with the quality of the ham or what he may contribute to the hobby.

I find your suggestion arrogant, unwarranted. I started into the hobby as a Novice in 1978 and have since run about every mode, built dozens of hombrew radios, amps and tuners from scratch, and helped several others get licensed. I'm pretty sure at 16 years old I asked plenty of questions like how long a 40m dipole is and what does SWR mean.

The only thing I see that brings this wonderful hobby down are those who feel everyone else should be at thier level or must conform to what they're expectations of the hobby are. Perhaps if you spoke to a few M3's you might realize that they are as interested in learning more and advancing just like everyone else. I don't care if you went Novice to Extra in one day... nobody starts at the top.

On the other hand if you want to boycott hams who are rude, juvenile, and with little or no technical capability I will support you completly. Unfortunatly most of the hams on that list have either a General or Extra class license.

Tim ka8ddz

"TX & RX News" <@> wrote in message
news:3d1db124_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> In my recent international QSO's, I've been
> putting to my respondent that he ought to ignore calls
> from M3's, because they are little more than CB
> licences, and are going to bring the hobby into
> disrepute.
>
> When I have described the two "tests" it is necessary
> to pass, a sympathetic response has been received.
>
> Is it time, now, for all genuine Hams, whether in the UK, or
> elsewhere, to show their contempt for the M3 by
> refusing to QSO with them?
>
>
>
>

446. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 06:55:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704025557.23765.00003434@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48160

>Subject: Upping the ante
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 07/03/02 10:08 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <afvlll41jc0@enews1.newsguy.com>

>The same 3-5 minute short voice qso I may have with a local ham would seem
>to take 15-30 minutes trying to send that via morse at the current/past
>ranges of 5-20wpm.

Does that include all of the "uuuh", "ummmm", "ya know", repeats of names
and locations, coughing, and interruptions due to phones, kids, TV volume, etc
etc etc?

Normal human speech is 60 -120 wpm. It's slower on the air because we
want to be well understood. It's even slower when you consider most folks will
repeat things that they already said because they really don't have a whole lot
to say, but keep the mike open becasue they feel obligated to do so.

Most CW ops don't feel obligated to try and repeat that which they have
already stated just to transmit longer. It's not necessary.

Phone Is Fun But Code Is Cool.

73

Steve K4YZ

447. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 07:52:35 UTC
From: "Veni Vidi Velcro"
Message-ID: <3d240005$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48162
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Provided that they have reached the technical standard
that's OK. If they haven't, then they're just CBers and
have no place o the Ham Bands.

N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:ag0evt$hv1s2$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> Besides, there are some people who get into the hobby strictly for the
> ragchewing. They could care less about building their own eqiupment,
that's
> not what interests them. They are in the hobby strictly to meet new people
> and chat with them on the air.

448. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 07:52:59 UTC
From: "Veni Vidi Velcro"
Message-ID: <3d240006_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48161
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

So I tell you again. By the colour code.

CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D23340D.2D3A88EA@hotmail.com...
> Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> > If you're not aware of the resistor colour code, then
> > you're not as good as you think.
>
> I'm color blind and only know the resistance by measuring the resistor
> with a meter. So I ask again, how is a resistor polarized?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

449. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 07:54:55 UTC
From: "Veni Vidi Velcro"
Message-ID: <3d240006$2_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48163
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
The issue isn't about the electrons attitudes.
It's about the technical background of a bunch
of turnips.

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D237497.5822B3A6@ix.netcom.com...
>
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Deus wrote:
> > > > We're also talking people
> > > > who have _SO LITTLE_ interest in what makes Ham Radio tick
> > > > that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.
> > >
> > > I've got a EE degree, have been a ham for 51 years, and I didn't
> > > know that a resistor is polarized. Which end goes to plus? Is
> > > there a resistor anode and cathode or what?
> >
> > ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> > That was his point.
>
> But the electrons don't care what's marked on the surface.
>
```

450. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 07:55:46 UTC
From: "Veni Vidi Velcro"
Message-ID: <3d240007$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48164
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I thik you've missed the point, which was not about a no-code licence.

KA8DDZ <ka8ddz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:M4RU8.2609$wt6.114532609@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> There are plenty of no code technician class licensee's who could eat the
> lunch of many MANY Extra class licensees here in the states,
> The class of license has nothing to do with the quality of the ham or what
> he may contribute to the hobby.

451. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 08:06:43 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <2508iucse8bfmm3slbmq8nhod9aubfn0n6@4ax.com>
Source record: 48165
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 07:29:12 -0500, "N4IXT" <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote:

>Sure, keep up that attitude. But don't be surprised when the number of hams
>dwindles so far down commercial interests snag our bandwidth.

Oh my! They believe this nonsense in the USA too. :-(

Band occupancy will *never* save our bandwidth from commercial
interests. In the end, it will be sold to the highest bidder ... and
that will not be the amateur radio service.

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

452. Re: 75 meters acting-up again? sheesh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 11:55:14 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ag1j6j$m9m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 48180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lloyd-davies

Lllllllardasssssssssssss "Lloyd" <LloydDavies@BARFHQherbie.com> prevaricated
in message news:ag0dae$g0i$0@pita.alt.net...
> It's

It's Llllllardasssssssssssssssss Lllllllllllllllllllllloyd hiding behind a
sockpuppet!

>He got kicked out of the Marshall county ARES

I did? Funny, they didn't mentionit when I checked into their net last Monday
night.
And chatted with the person in charge of the Marshall County ARES..not a thing
was mentioned, Lardasssssss. But you were kicked out of the BARF weren't you?
Looks like you're caught in another lie, Xtian.

I heard you on the 20 meter band, N0VFP, unidentified. And let's not forget why
W4No Trace of Intelligence was asked not to get on certain frequencies bvy the
FCC. Seems gimp-boy was interfering there, too.

453. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 11:59:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704075909.14362.00001913@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48166

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> OK, Mr. Condesending buttflux, ...

Feel like you are losing this one, Dick? When you are on the defensive, you revert to your adolescent insult mode. Haven't heard that one since the 8th grade.

>So if there's no tolerance band, you can read it backwards if you don't know better.

So if there is no tolerance band, how would one know which way is backwards and which way is not? The lack of a tolerance band indicates a tolerance,does it not? In that case, the rule is pretty straightforward and you can read the value of the resistor no matter what way it is mounted on the PC board. I can anyway; from what you are saying it appears that you might be having some difficulty..

>Or maybe you are actually that stupid.

Smart enough to know how to read a resistor without a tolerance band, and from either direction at that. Seems that I might have one up on you, OM.

And, true to form, end it with a petty insult! Do you really think that you can insult your way to being right? Think it earns you the respect of your peers?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

454. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 11:59:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704075958.14362.00001914@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48167

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Buttflux?
>
>Hey Ed, we got another potty mouth over here. Do your stuff.

Something you don't seem to feel is necessary, for yourself or others, it would appear.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

455. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:00:43 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704080043.14362.00001915@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48168

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to formulate
>a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.

Like calling someone a buttflux?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

456. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:03:52 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704080352.14362.00001916@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48169

From: "Veni Vidi Velcro" @

>Provided that they have reached the technical standard
>that's OK. If they haven't, then they're just CBers and
>have no place o the Ham Bands.

According to the FCC, if they pass the tests, they have met the standards and have a place in the ham bands.

Perhaps it would be more useful if you posted the content of the questions you have submitted to the Question Pool Committee to acutally do something more than post exclusionary statements on rec.radio.amateur.policy. That way, we could at least have something meaningful to discuss. I can't imagine that anyone would be saying the things you are saying here unless he had done something positive to forward his goals.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

457. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:06:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704080634.14362.00001917@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48170

From: Walt Davidson walt99@tiscali.co.uk

>Band occupancy will *never* save our bandwidth from commercial
>interests. In the end, it will be sold to the highest bidder ... and
>that will not be the amateur radio service.

Why "in the end" and not right now? So far, amateur radio has fended off most direct assaults on its spectrum.

The real risk is from increasing allocations for secondary, unlicensed devices. And to save our bandwidth from those commercial interests, occupancy is the key. If amateurs were operating high power on the upper part of the 2.4 GHz band, the Part 15 users would not be able to continue to operate there.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

458. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:11:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bYWU8.2915$bf4.114754817@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48171

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020704075958.14362.00001914@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Buttflux?
> >
> >Hey Ed, we got another potty mouth over here. Do your stuff.
>
> Something you don't seem to feel is necessary, for yourself or others, it
would
> appear.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Buttflux? No Ed, I don't "feel" that buttflux is necessary for myself or
others.

Do you have any comments for potty mouth or have you reverted bact to your
double standard?

459. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:12:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704081201.14362.00001918@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48174

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> That's what I have been saying, Brian. The idea is in the public domain,
>so if
>> you are not receptive to it you are simply not receptive to it.

>You presented it as your own, as in "I have an idea." I'm receptive to new,
>original ideas.

Why are you not receptive to ideas that have been proven to work? This might
explain a lot.

>Got any?

I have had a fair share in my time, Brian. I have brought many of them to bear
for the good of the Amateur Radio Service. I will assume that you asked the
question because you don't know about them, so I will suggest that you go to:

http://www.arrl.org/members-only/qqnsearch.html?search=1&name=hare&selpub%
5B%5D=QST&selpub%5B%5D=QEX

If the URL is too long, you can go to:

460. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:14:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704081429.14362.00001919@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48176

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Maybe you're on an illegal frequency? :-)

>I'm good, he's the one out of band.

What "band" am I out of, Brian? This is an ascii newsgroup. While I am pretty certain that RF is involved somewhere in the path between my keyboard and your monitor, that use is transparent to me and is beyond my control.

Did you mean something different than what you said?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

461. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:19:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704081905.14362.00001920@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48175

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>>>Ed, after finding out that the people you've been working are out of
>>>band, do you go back and make more contacts with them?

>> Can't say as that has ever come up for me, Brian. On CW, no US station
>>could be out of band on the bands I operate. I don't know the rules for each
>>of the DX countries I might contact, so I couldn't tell you if they were out
of
>>band or now.

>> Is there an easy way for me to know whether a particular station is
>>licensed to work me on a particular frequency?

>Ed, answer the question.

I can't think of a more complete answer to the question than the one I gave. Do
you have difficulty understanding answers that are more in-depth than yes or
no?

I will try it a bit more simply for you, if that will help.

To my knowledge, no ham has been operating out of band when he or she is in QSO
with me. I have no way of knowing whether a ham is or is not out of band when
they are in QSO with me. I do not check whether other hams are out of band when
they are in QSO with me.

Can I presume that you regularly check whether other hams are out of band when
they are in QSO with you? If not, why did you ask me the question and appear
to expect me to do that?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

462. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:20:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <64XU8.2916$4k4.115009691@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48178

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D23DB5F.7261859E@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D230598.BE2642C7@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D227A67.593AC26B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > So to you, the fact that a DX station operating
legitimately
> > is on
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > air, causes--no assists others to break their regulations?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Assists. If you knowingly work them out of band.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Excuse me? Who knowingly worked anyone out of band?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You did. The Frenchman came on here and recited their 6M privs.
> > You
> > > > > stated
> > > > > > > the freqs where you were contacting them and it was outside
their
> > > > limits. I
> > > > > > > pointed it out, but you insisted that you were doing nothing
wrong
> > and
> > > > > > > continued to work them anyway. Or so you said.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I did? Did I have an exchange with this visiting Frenchman.
First I
> > > > > ever knew of it was when you reposted his material some time
```

later.
> > > >
> > > > Yes, several minutes later.
> > > >
> > > > > Do you think I bother to read all posts made here?
> > > >
> > > > > Just mine.
> > >
> > > I hate to burst your bubble, Brian. I don't even read all of yours.
> >
> > Bubble intact. Can you shoot for not reading any of mine? Perhaps you
and
> > Dick can get a contest going?
>
> You have the option of not posting. In fact, you stated your intention
> to leave but in a lapse of integrity, reversed yourself almost
> immediately.

I reversed my own decision. I have that authority.

> > > > > > What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's no
reg
> > > > > > forbidding cross-mode QSO's.
> > > > >
> > > > > Do you know the difference between Phone DXCC and CW DXCC?
> > > >
> > > > Yes, but why ever would you want DXCC on phone?
> > >
> > > ...because it is available and I'm a DXer.
> >
> > But on phone?
>
> I operate phone about fifty percent of the time, Brian. Did you work me
> on CW?

Larry and Dick thinks you'll go astray of the true and shining path if you
use something other than CW.

> > > > Let me think on this little problem for a second.
> > > >
> > > > Aha! Couldn't you get on 14.132 MHz using CW and ask the DX station
to
> > move
> > > > up?
> > >
> > > I'd like you to try just that in the midst of a pileup on a DX
station,
> > > Brian. The "QRZ?" which will follow your request will not indicate
that
> > > the DX station is listening for you up the band.
> >

> > I've heard rude Americans do all sorts of things. Do you want him on
SSB or
> > not?
>
> Do you do everything you hear others doing?

Welp, I don't operate out of band, for one. And I don't keep making
contacts with a whole class of folks that I know are operating out of band.

> > > Your stuff is beginning to read like Kim's. You have an opinion on
> > > everything whether you actually know anything about it or not.
> >
> > Dave, been there done that. Are you are too "little gun" to ask a
station
> > to QSY briefly for a contact? Doesn't the DX know WHO you are?
>
> I wouldn't dream of contacting a rare SSB DX station in the midst of a
> pileup by calling him on CW to ask him to move up the band or listen up
> the band for me. The size of the gun is not important.

Then, apparently, you really didn't need him that badly.

Here's the reciprocol of that scenario: Ask the French hams to move into
their legal band limits and you colud follow them and continue your little
pileup.

463. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:21:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <15XU8.2917$Uj4.114997457@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48172

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D23DBB3.7BC6CD9D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2307FA.B44587B0@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > > You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and chose to
> > ignore
> > > > > them?
> > > > >
> > > > > Get a life.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their operating
privs >
> > on 6M, why would you call him a liar?
> > >
> > > At no time did any French ham worked by me ever state his "operating
> > > privs" on 6m. At no time did I call the French station referenced by
> > > you here, a liar.
> > >
> > > Get a life.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, then if he wasn't lying, why do you disbelieve him?
>
> Disbelieve him? I don't even know him.
>
> Dave K8MN

I don't know him either. Do you personally know all of the people at the
FCC who write Part 97?
```

464. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:27:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704082730.14362.00001921@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48173

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> > Any day now I'm expecting to lure a boatload of Techs to 160m in order
>> > to assist them in breaking the regs.

>> That wouldn't suprise me.

>...and that's just another of your problems.

I recognized your post as sarcasm immediately. From his post, Brian appears to believe it to be a likely scenario. Considering the precise meaning your words, that you would successfully lure (one has not lured someone into doing something unless they actually do it) a boatload of Techs onto 160 meters, that is a pretty far-fetched thing for Brian to believe likely.

Perhaps he meant something different from what he said.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

465. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 12:28:24 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704082824.14362.00001922@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48177

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> See, you can understand things from context if you apply yourself.

>So how is it smart?

That is the question I asked you, Brian. Care to answer it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

466. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 13:27:31 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D244D43.D162BB5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48179
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > ???? try reading the color code backwards and see what it gets you.
> > > That was his point.
> >
> > Gets me the same answer as forwards - I'm color blind. So Dick,
> > please tell me exactly how a resistor is polarized.
>
> *You* happen to be the only character with that weird idea. Explain it
> to yourself.

What about SMD resistors, Dick? Which end is which?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

467. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 13:36:49 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D244F71.C28B9DFF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48181

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > That's his problem - too late he realizes that he already let it pass
> > > him by.
> > >
> > > Sort of like your EE degree, eh?
>
> Sorr OB but I had no need for a degree of any kind in the career I
> pursued.

Sorry, Dick, but I had no need for an Extra license. You simply cannot
have it both ways.

> If I had an interest in an EE I would have got one.

If I had had an interest in an Extra license, I would have gotten one.
Funny how your argument works both ways, isn't it? Fact is, I would
presently still have had no interest in an Extra license if the FCC had
not turned my Advanced license into a lame duck.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

468. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 13:44:03 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D245123.7B5D6A12@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48182

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> he was incorrect. Those who claim that CB was created "about 43 years ago"
> >> ... are saying things which are not true.
> >
> >I don't know about that, Jim. Saying that CB was created "about 43 years
> >ago" is double the accuracy of saying that "20wpm is almost twice as fast
> >as 13wpm". :-)
>
> Sure ;-)
>
> Both statements are inaccurate, though ;-)
>
> However, saying 'CB was created in 1958' and 'CB did not exist 45 years
> ago...even on UHF" are both totally incorrect. No 'almost' or 'about' in those
> statements.

On the contrary, there is certainly an "about" in your statement above.
"About 43 years ago" is actually more accurate than "20wpm is almost
twice as fast as 13wpm".
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

469. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 13:46:53 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2451CD.71B3AF14@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48183
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian P Burke wrote:
> Drawing clod fronts in purple never got anyone killed.

"Clod fronts"???? Was that a weather forecast for Midwest
Clodhoppers like Dick? :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

470. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 13:52:36 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D245324.EE8C8EAF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48184

```
Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >Don't talk to Dick about significant digits. He might get jealous. He's
> >stuck with an insignificant digit.
>
> You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to formulate
> a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.

Which is worse, having an insignificant digit, being an insignificant
igit, or both?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

471. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 13:57:19 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D24543F.6030B760@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48185

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Normal human speech is 60 -120 wpm. It's slower on the air because we
> want to be well understood.

And the actual information content is often negative. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

472. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 13:59:34 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2454C6.2667D1B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48186
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> Provided that they have reached the technical standard
> that's OK.

If they have obtained a license without cheating, then
they have, by definition, reached the technical standard
which is set by the licensing entity.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

473. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:01:08 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D245524.FF65F2B6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48187
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> So I tell you again. By the colour code.

Why should I believe someone who can't even spell "color"? :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

474. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:02:03 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D24555B.E216EF38@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48188
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> The issue isn't about the electrons attitudes.
> It's about the technical background of a bunch
> of turnips.

Turnips have electrons.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

475. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:04:46 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2455FE.E7EDC259@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48189

W1RFI wrote:
> So if there is no tolerance band, how would one know which way is backwards and
> which way is not? The lack of a tolerance band indicates a tolerance,does it
> not? In that case, the rule is pretty straightforward and you can read the
> value of the resistor no matter what way it is mounted on the PC board. I can
> anyway; from what you are saying it appears that you might be having some
> difficulty..

Good thing Dick never tried to read Hebrew, eh?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

476. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:06:56 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D245680.A63CD497@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48190

W1RFI wrote:
> Perhaps it would be more useful if you posted the content of the questions you
> have submitted to the Question Pool Committee to acutally do something more
> than post exclusionary statements on rec.radio.amateur.policy.

Ed, are you implying that the British amateur radio licensing authority
doesn't read rec.radio.amateur.policy?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

477. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:22:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag1lneren0@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 48191

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > OK, Mr. Condesending buttflux, ...
>
> Feel like you are losing this one, Dick?

No, Buttflux, that just shows that you are not nearly as informed as
you think. There were a LOT of resistore manufactured with no tolerance
band. Guess you missed that, huh? So you come up with cute little
derogations.
Well, you can always go soak your pointed head! Might wake you up.

478. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:25:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag1lsdren1@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 48192

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to formulate
> >a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.
>
> Like calling someone a buttflux?

When you act like one someone is sure to notice and name it.

479. Re: Happy 4th of July!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:26:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui8mo7na71l42e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48193

Thanks and all the best to everyone. Tomorrow we can start the arguements over CW; today we celebrate our freedoms - especially the fact that we are free to argue once in a while in the newsgroups! ;)

Best of 73s from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020702150120.16798.00000327@mb-fr.aol.com...
> Here's wishing everyone a happy and safe Independence Day
> for all of us American citizens.
>
> Let's celebrate 226 years of our nation's existance by
> remembering how it all began and how we've kept it
> free regardless of threats against our way of life.
>
> Happy birthday to the United States of America!

480. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:28:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag1m2vren2@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 48194

W1RFI wrote:
>

The lack of a tolerance band indicates a tolerance,does it
> not? In that case, the rule is pretty straightforward and you can read the
> value of the resistor no matter what way it is mounted on the PC board. I can
> anyway;

That was the point you skipped right over, simpleton. If you don't know
better you CAN read it backwards. Were you born knowing that?

481. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:31:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag1m8gren3@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 48195
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CAM wrote:
>

> What about SMD resistors, Dick? Which end is which?

What about 'em Cecil? Seen any lately that had a color code? Have you
any idea what we're talking about? NO? Then join Ed, he doesn't either.

482. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:35:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag1mfdssc0@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 48196

CAM wrote:
>
Fact is, I would
> presently still have had no interest in an Extra license if the FCC had
> not turned my Advanced license into a lame duck.

I don't believe you. You're using that as a convenient excuse. FCC
stated that no one will lose anything due to restructuring, and history
bears that out. The Advanced was discontinued from the early50's through
1968 and not one was reverted to any other class. After all the bitching
about Incentive Licensing there is no way that they'd ever do anything
that would revive all that.

483. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 14:37:00 UTC
From: KA8DDZ <ka8ddz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g4ZU8.927$6d4.24459329@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48197
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I was drawing a similarity to the senario in the states of lesser or easy
exams, I assume the posters concerns were that the
exam for an M3 class or call prefix were less than he thought appropriate.
If that is not the case then explain to me what point I missed.

Tim ka8ddz

"Veni Vidi Velcro" <@> wrote in message
news:3d240007$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> I thik you've missed the point, which was not about a no-code licence.
>
> KA8DDZ <ka8ddz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:M4RU8.2609$wt6.114532609@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > There are plenty of no code technician class licensee's who could eat
the
> > lunch of many MANY Extra class licensees here in the states,
> > The class of license has nothing to do with the quality of the ham or
what
> > he may contribute to the hobby.
>
>
>

484. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 15:07:09 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D24649D.DE0FC656@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48198
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > What about SMD resistors, Dick? Which end is which?
>
> What about 'em Cecil? Seen any lately that had a color code?

Why do you have trouble reading an upside down resistor value, Dick?
Are you handicapped in your ability to read right to left? Do you
need a waiver for upside down resistors?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

485. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 15:13:03 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2465FF.BE93EB7D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48199

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >Fact is, I would
> > presently still have had no interest in an Extra license if the FCC had
> > not turned my Advanced license into a lame duck.
>
> I don't believe you.

You are free to deny reality all you want, Dick. Someone on this very newsgroup convinced me to diffuse any future problems by obtaining an Extra class license. It's all documented in the Google archives.

> After all the bitching about Incentive Licensing there is no way
> that they'd ever do anything that would revive all that.

Famous last words! "No way"? I just wasn't willing to take that risk.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

486. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 15:48:11 UTC
From: DonB <7432D63DBB01D03A196B1EDD80E8@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <%6_U8.541046$Gs.36268200@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 48200

Koch is a gradual learning of each character, adding more to your practice set as you master them (start with 2 letters, add another when you learn the first two, and so on). What I seem to remember reading is that this was used by the US military - WWII or thereabouts to train new operators to do around 30wpm in a month or less.

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:nHCU8.229$y77.5640413@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020703071253.15533.00002158@mb-fx.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >Koch method? What is that? Is the ARRL using it to test?
> >
> > The ARRL VEC, along with all the other VECs, uses Farnsworth timing to
> test
> > applicants for Morse code proficiency. They will administer a standard
> timing
> > test for an applicant on request.
> >
> > If you didn't know this, it explains your confusion. If you did know
this
> and
> > asked that question anyway...
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed, do try to stay on subject. What is the Koch method?
>
>

487. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 15:51:21 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Z9_U8.76673$UT.5268513@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48301

"DonB" <7432D63DBB01D03A196B1EDD80E8@comcast.net
<7432D63DBB01D03A196B1EDD80E8@comcastt.net> wrote in message
news:%6_U8.541046$Gs.36268200@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Koch is a gradual learning of each character, adding more to your
practice
> set as you master them (start with 2 letters, add another when you learn
the
> first two, and so on). What I seem to remember reading is that this was
> used by the US military - WWII or thereabouts to train new operators to
do
> around 30wpm in a month or less.
>

I have seen 16 in 30 days without military discipline. Did my Extra that
way and it didn't even amuse the FCC lady that the exam was in five-letter
groups.

488. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 16:58:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D247EB2.716C376D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48302

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D2277AE.54693206@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D22055B.D180DD2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >You are a real cartoon character, Len.
> >>
> >> I can't compare to your "Superman," Dave... :-)
> >>
> >> >You jump on Steve's medical
> >> >credentials and don't expect flak in return.
> >>
> >> What is "jumping on?"
> >>
> >> He doesn't have an MD...and "he saves lives."
> >>
> >> If the poor baby has a medical doctorate, in which state was
> >> it issued?
> >>
> >> Does he have a license as a nurse in Tennessee? What is its
> >> registry number?
> >>
> >What's any of that to you and why did you find it necessary to bring it
> >up?
>
> Hello? I didn't "bring it up." YOU "brought it up" by claiming a
> flat statement was "jumping on steve."

Hello? Is there another Len Anderson posting here. If not, you'll have
to take the rap.

> Steve has no medical doctorate.

I'll ask you again: What of it?

> >> >Then you launch into a
> >> >history of "Ham Radio" magazine for us as if you are an expert on the
> >> >subject.
> >>
> >> I know something of them, having worked for them. :-)

> >
> >You mean like VE's work for the FCC?
>
> Try to approximate staying in focus. You asked a question about
> my knowledge of "Ham Radio Magazine" and you think it is about
> "VEs working for the FCC?" That's practically incoherent.

It's easy, Len. Did you work for "Ham Radio" in the same sense that
VE's "work for" the FCC?

> >> Couldn't handle the technical stuff in HR, ey?
> >
> >I wrote an antenna article and a humor piece for those Novices for which
> >Brian said I didn't search the bands.
>
> Too bad all my Ham Radio Horizons were given away...I could have
> looked up your article. "Horizons" was aimed at the less-
> technically-knowledgeable radio amateur while HR itself was aimed
> at those with more knowledge. I was on the masthead at HR, not
> Horizons.

It would be tough for us to discern the difference between the two
magazines without your inciteful explanation.

> >In its latter years, "Ham Radio" was not successful. The other
> >magazines you mention are still in existence.
>
> Are THEY "successful?" Give a definition for "success."

How about being in business at present compared to "Ham Radio". It, as
you know, is defunct.

> >> Nah...the gist of it was to slam HR and the people that made it
> >> possible because I mentioned it and the poor baby couldn't
> >> resist dragging others down in the mud because of his hate.
> >
> >I've never slammed either the magazine or the people who made it
> >possible. You are raving.
>
> No, I'm IN FOCUS, trying to make sense of your statements.
>
> That's a difficult task...making sense of your statements, that is.

What do you find difficult about a couple of simple declarative
sentences, Leonard H.?

> >> You ARE an amateur Superman, aren't you?
> >
> >Why no, Len. I'm a radio amateur. Aren't you?
>
> No, I'm a radio professional, not a "superman," just
> competent at what I can do, have done in the commercial
> radio-electronics world. I've never been in the employ of

> government civilian service. Have you?

Maybe you didn't read the part where I said "no" to being a superman and
told you that I'm a radio amateur. Since you are not a radio amateur
you might want to look around for rec.radio.professional.retired.
You already know that I've worked for the U.S. government as a civilian
so why are you asking? I've also worked for the U.S. government while
in the military. I've worked in the private sector and have been
self-employed as well. Does that cover everything for you?

Dave K8MN

489. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 17:17:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D248322.46195BA2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48303

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D23DB5F.7261859E@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D230598.BE2642C7@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D227A67.593AC26B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...

> > You have the option of not posting. In fact, you stated your intention
> > to leave but in a lapse of integrity, reversed yourself almost
> > immediately.
>
> I reversed my own decision. I have that authority.

So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
are subject to immediate reversal by you?

> > > > > > > > > What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's no
> > > > > > > > reg
> > > > > > > > forbidding cross-mode QSO's.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Do you know the difference between Phone DXCC and CW DXCC?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes, but why ever would you want DXCC on phone?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > ...because it is available and I'm a DXer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > But on phone?
> > > >
> > > I operate phone about fifty percent of the time, Brian. Did you work me
> > > on CW?
```

>
> Larry and Dick thinks you'll go astray of the true and shining path if you
> use something other than CW.

Then you can pursue that topic with Larry and Dick.

> > > > > Let me think on this little problem for a second.
> > > > >
> > > > > Aha! Couldn't you get on 14.132 MHz using CW and ask the DX station
> to
> > > move
> > > > > up?
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd like you to try just that in the midst of a pileup on a DX
> station,
> > > > > Brian. The "QRZ?" which will follow your request will not indicate
> that
> > > > > the DX station is listening for you up the band.
> > > >
> > > > I've heard rude Americans do all sorts of things. Do you want him on
> SSB or
> > > > not?
> > >
> > > Do you do everything you hear others doing?
> >
> > Welp, I don't operate out of band, for one. And I don't keep making
> > contacts with a whole class of folks that I know are operating out of band.

Good for you. I don't operate out of band either. Your view on working
the trailer trash crowd out of band is a good one too.

> > > > Your stuff is beginning to read like Kim's. You have an opinion on
> > > > > everything whether you actually know anything about it or not.
> > > >
> > > > Dave, been there done that. Are you are too "little gun" to ask a
> station
> > > > to QSY briefly for a contact? Doesn't the DX know WHO you are?
> > >
> > > I wouldn't dream of contacting a rare SSB DX station in the midst of a
> > > pileup by calling him on CW to ask him to move up the band or listen up
> > > the band for me. The size of the gun is not important.
> >
> > Then, apparently, you really didn't need him that badly.

What would possess you to write that?

> Here's the reciprocol of that scenario: Ask the French hams to move into
> their legal band limits and you colud follow them and continue your little
> pileup.

I don't see that as a *reciprocal* at all. If I wanted to operate up
the band, I'd be operating up the band. French ops were not the only

Europeans who called me, Brian. Maybe the others couldn't operate up the band. The only little pileups I encountered while operating overseas were during the periods of low sunspot activity in the '80s and '90s while I was OH2/K8MN. Finland isn't very rare but it's a great place to spend the solar minima.

Dave K8MN

490. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 17:18:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D248379.62AD37B9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48304

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D23DBB3.7BC6CD9D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2307FA.B44587B0@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > > You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and chose to
> > > ignore
> > > > > > them?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Get a life.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their operating
> privs >
> > > on 6M, why would you call him a liar?
> > > >
> > > At no time did any French ham worked by me ever state his "operating
> > > privs" on 6m. At no time did I call the French station referenced by
> > > you here, a liar.
> > > >
> > > Get a life.
> > > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Dave, then if he wasn't lying, why do you disbelieve him?
> >
> Disbelieve him? I don't even know him.
> >
> Dave K8MN
>
> I don't know him either. Do you personally know all of the people at the
> FCC who write Part 97?

I don't know any of them, Brian. Why--did this French guy write some of
Part 97?
```

Dave K8MN

491. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 17:20:33 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2483E1.884CBCD2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48306

Dave Heil wrote:
> So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
> are subject to immediate reversal by you?

Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
after you find out you are mistaken?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

492. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 17:20:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48305

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> > Any day now I'm expecting to lure a boatload of Techs to 160m in order
> >> > to assist them in breaking the regs.
>
> >> That wouldn't suprise me.
>
> >...and that's just another of your problems.
>
> I recognized your post as sarcasm immediately. From his post, Brian appears to
> believe it to be a likely scenario. Considering the precise meaning your
> words, that you would successfully lure (one has not lured someone into doing
> something unless they actually do it) a boatload of Techs onto 160 meters, that
> is a pretty far-fetched thing for Brian to believe likely.
>
> Perhaps he meant something different from what he said.

I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.

Dave K8MN

493. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 17:32:25 UTC
From: "Veni Vidi Velcro"
Message-ID: <3d248b71_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48307
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

So if the licensing authority decides to award Ham Radio
licences to all CBers who have held a licence for two years,
that'd be OK with you?

It wouldn't be OK with me, as the current situation isn't OK.

The M3 licence is a CB licence and has no place
on the Ham Bands.

CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2454C6.2667D1B@hotmail.com...
> Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> > Provided that they have reached the technical standard
> > that's OK.
>
> If they have obtained a license without cheating, then
> they have, by definition, reached the technical standard
> which is set by the licensing entity.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

494. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 17:32:57 UTC
From: "Veni Vidi Velcro"
Message-ID: <3d248b72_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48308
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stupid Yank.

CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D245524.FF65F2B6@hotmail.com...
> Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> > So I tell you again. By the colour code.
>
> Why should I believe someone who can't even spell "color"? :-)
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

495. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 17:33:52 UTC
From: "Veni Vidi Velcro"
Message-ID: <3d248b72$2_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48309
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No. Your opening sentence was about a no-code licence.

KA8DDZ <ka8ddz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:g4ZU8.927$6d4.24459329@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> I was drawing a similarity to the senario in the states of lesser or easy
> exams, I assume the posters concerns were that the
> exam for an M3 class or call prefix were less than he thought appropriate.
> If that is not the case then explain to me what point I missed.
>
> Tim ka8ddz
>
>
> "Veni Vidi Velcro" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d240007$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > I thik you've missed the point, which was not about a no-code licence.
> >
> > KA8DDZ <ka8ddz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:M4RU8.2609$wt6.114532609@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > There are plenty of no code technician class licensee's who could eat
> the
> > > lunch of many MANY Extra class licensees here in the states,
> > > The class of license has nothing to do with the quality of the ham or
> what
> > > he may contribute to the hobby.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

496. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 18:52:18 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D249962.438AA354@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48310
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> So if the licensing authority decides to award Ham Radio
> licences to all CBers who have held a licence for two years,
> that'd be OK with you?

Of course, the people who own the frequencies make the rules.
Declaring that to be "not OK" and two bucks will get you a decent
cup of coffee.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

497. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 18:54:38 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2499EE.E95C1576@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48311
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> Stupid Yank.

Just "Don't Tread On Me". :-)

> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:

> > Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> > > So I tell you again. By the colour code.

> > Why should I believe someone who can't even spell "color"? :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

498. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 18:59:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BW0V8.3000$%a6.121682056@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48312
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2451CD.71B3AF14@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > Drawing clod fronts in purple never got anyone killed.
>
> "Clod fronts"???? Was that a weather forecast for Midwest
> Clodhoppers like Dick? :-)
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Sorry, I don't proof my stuff, despite Dick being a clodhopper..

499. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:02:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sZ0V8.3002$bh6.122022021@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48313

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag1lneren0@208.206.142.104...
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > > OK, Mr. Condesending buttflux, ...
> >
> > Feel like you are losing this one, Dick?
>
> No, Buttflux, that just shows that you are not nearly as informed as
> you think. There were a LOT of resistore manufactured with no tolerance
> band. Guess you missed that, huh? So you come up with cute little
> derogations.
> Well, you can always go soak your pointed head! Might wake you up.

What a hateful, mean, little, little man you are, Dick.

500. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:03:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <i_0V8.3003$I16.121138469@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48314

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag1m2vren2@208.206.142.104...
>
> W1RFI wrote:
>
> The lack of a tolerance band indicates a tolerance,does it
> > not? In that case, the rule is pretty straightforward and you can read
the
> > value of the resistor no matter what way it is mounted on the PC board.
I can
> > anyway;
>
> That was the point you skipped right over, simpleton. If you don't know
> better you CAN read it backwards. Were you born knowing that?

When I read Part 97 forward and backward, it still says Morse Code.

501. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:05:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <N%0V8.3004$7j6.122147122@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48315

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020704082824.14362.00001922@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> See, you can understand things from context if you apply yourself.
>
> >So how is it smart?
>
> That is the question I asked you, Brian. Care to answer it?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I don't know. I'm not the one who described it as "un-stupid."

502. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:07:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <821V8.3006$N46.121329874@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48316

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020703235033.08925.00001705@mb-mk.aol.com...

> YOU are the one who has asserted that EE's have soooooooo much to offer
> Amateur Radio, yet the only one's offering ANYthing are those who are
already
> licensed.

Steve, do you think all those engineers at Kenwood, Yaesu, and Icom have
amateur licenses?

503. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:09:09 UTC
From: Randy Phelps <dz@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <p31V8.239480$R61.89963@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 48317
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey, watch it there. I'm a Yank and I'm familiar with 'color' versus
'colour'. But then again I do speak 3 languages.

Hehe, but I prefer 'color'. :)

> Stupid Yank.

504. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:09:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <V31V8.3009$3Z5.120876197@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48318

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020704081429.14362.00001919@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Maybe you're on an illegal frequency? :-)
>
> >I'm good, he's the one out of band.
>
> What "band" am I out of, Brian?

Dave Heil, not you. Do try to stay with it.

505. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:14:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <A81V8.3016$um6.122348742@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48319

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020704081905.14362.00001920@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >>>Ed, after finding out that the people you've been working are out of
> >>>band, do you go back and make more contacts with them?
>
> >> Can't say as that has ever come up for me, Brian. On CW, no US station
> >>could be out of band on the bands I operate. I don't know the rules for
each
> >>of the DX countries I might contact, so I couldn't tell you if they were
out
> of
> >>band or now.
>
> >> Is there an easy way for me to know whether a particular station is
> >>licensed to work me on a particular frequency?
>
> >Ed, answer the question.
>
> I can't think of a more complete answer to the question than the one I
gave. Do
> you have difficulty understanding answers that are more in-depth than yes
or
> no?

Yes, if they contain an answer. What is your answer?

> I will try it a bit more simply for you, if that will help.

I'm willing to try anything at this point. Give it your best shot.

> To my knowledge, no ham has been operating out of band when he or she is
in QSO
> with me. I have no way of knowing whether a ham is or is not out of band
when
> they are in QSO with me. I do not check whether other hams are out of band
when
> they are in QSO with me.

Ed, not a very good best shot. You failed the attempt. Are you are
incapable of hypothetical thinking?

506. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:16:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ka1V8.3018$Ua6.121675005@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48320

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020704082730.14362.00001921@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> > Any day now I'm expecting to lure a boatload of Techs to 160m in
order
> >> > to assist them in breaking the regs.
>
> >> That wouldn't suprise me.
>
> >...and that's just another of your problems.
>
> I recognized your post as sarcasm immediately. From his post, Brian
appears to
> believe it to be a likely scenario. Considering the precise meaning your
> words, that you would successfully lure (one has not lured someone into
doing
> something unless they actually do it) a boatload of Techs onto 160 meters,
that
> is a pretty far-fetched thing for Brian to believe likely.
>
> Perhaps he meant something different from what he said.

Yet, for Dave, you are capable of hypothetical thought. Thanks Ed, I see
the good ole double standard still at work.

507. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:20:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_d1V8.3022$1p6.122481867@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48321

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...

> I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, what indignation? I merely pointed out the error of your ways. It
couldn't be much simpler than that.

508. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:21:44 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cf1V8.4638$lu6.294932@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48322

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
>
> Dave K8MN

You old enough to remember Students Wildly Indignent about Nearly
Everything. SWINE

509. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:27:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pk1V8.3024$Wm6.122377353@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48323

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D248322.46195BA2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D23DB5F.7261859E@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D230598.BE2642C7@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D227A67.593AC26B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > > > > You have the option of not posting. In fact, you stated your
intention
> > > > > to leave but in a lapse of integrity, reversed yourself almost
> > > > > immediately.
> > >
> > > I reversed my own decision. I have that authority.
> >
> > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
> > are subject to immediate reversal by you?

Not the ones that carry the weight of an FCC regulation. I have no
authority over those-only the ability to read them as they are.

> > > > > > > > > > What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's
no
> > reg
> > > > > > > > > > forbidding cross-mode QSO's.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Do you know the difference between Phone DXCC and CW DXCC?
> > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > Yes, but why ever would you want DXCC on phone?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ...because it is available and I'm a DXer.
> > > > >
> > > > > But on phone?
> > > >
> > > > I operate phone about fifty percent of the time, Brian. Did you work
me
> > > > on CW?
> > >
> > > Larry and Dick thinks you'll go astray of the true and shining path if
you
> > > use something other than CW.
> >
> > Then you can pursue that topic with Larry and Dick.

But Larry won't say these things to you. He says them only to No-Coders.
Dave, its what is called a double standard.

Ditto for Dick. Two faced.

> > > > > > Let me think on this little problem for a second.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Aha! Couldn't you get on 14.132 MHz using CW and ask the DX
station
> > > to
> > > > > move
> > > > > > > up?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'd like you to try just that in the midst of a pileup on a DX
> > > station,
> > > > > > Brian. The "QRZ?" which will follow your request will not
indicate
> > > that
> > > > > > the DX station is listening for you up the band.
> > > > >
> > > > > I've heard rude Americans do all sorts of things. Do you want him
on
> > > SSB or
> > > > > > not?
> > > >
> > > > Do you do everything you hear others doing?
> > >
> > > Welp, I don't operate out of band, for one. And I don't keep making
> > > contacts with a whole class of folks that I know are operating out of
band.
> >
> > Good for you. I don't operate out of band either. Your view on working
> > the trailer trash crowd out of band is a good one too.

What trailer trash? Do you think the French have "manufactured housing?"

> > > > > Your stuff is beginning to read like Kim's. You have an opinion
on
> > > > > everything whether you actually know anything about it or not.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, been there done that. Are you are too "little gun" to ask a
> > station
> > > > > to QSY briefly for a contact? Doesn't the DX know WHO you are?
> > > >
> > > > I wouldn't dream of contacting a rare SSB DX station in the midst of a
> > > > pileup by calling him on CW to ask him to move up the band or listen
up
> > > > the band for me. The size of the gun is not important.
> > >
> > Then, apparently, you really didn't need him that badly.
>
> What would possess you to write that?

You didn't even try.

> > Here's the reciprocol of that scenario: Ask the French hams to move
into
> > their legal band limits and you colud follow them and continue your
little
> > pileup.
>
> I don't see that as a *reciprocal* at all. If I wanted to operate up
> the band, I'd be operating up the band. French ops were not the only
> Europeans who called me, Brian.

Oh well, I tried to find a solution for you that you were not capable of
thinking of for yourself.

Maybe the others couldn't operate up
> the band. The only little pileups I encountered while operating overseas
> were during the periods of low sunspot activity in the '80s and '90s
> while I was OH2/K8MN. Finland isn't very rare but it's a great place to
> spend the solar minima.

Great little tidbit. I'll make a note of it.

510. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:28:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ll1V8.3025$9D5.119621828@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48324

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2483E1.884CBCD2@hotmail.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
> > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
>
> Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> after you find out you are mistaken?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Mine is not. I can readily admit that I was wrong.

511. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:29:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Jm1V8.3026$Gq6.122588299@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48325

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D248379.62AD37B9@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D23DBB3.7BC6CD9D@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D2307FA.B44587B0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and chose
to
> > > > ignore
> > > > > > > them?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Get a life.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their operating
> > privs >
> > > > > on 6M, why would you call him a liar?
> > > > > >
> > > > > At no time did any French ham worked by me ever state his
"operating
> > > > > privs" on 6m. At no time did I call the French station referenced
by
> > > > > you here, a liar.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Get a life.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > Dave, then if he wasn't lying, why do you disbelieve him?
> > > >
> > > Disbelieve him? I don't even know him.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
```

> > I don't know him either. Do you personally know all of the people at
the
> > FCC who write Part 97?
>
> I don't know any of them, Brian.
>
> Dave K8MN

Do YOU believe Part 97?

512. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:38:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8v1V8.3029$%t6.122787049@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48326

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020704081201.14362.00001918@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> That's what I have been saying, Brian. The idea is in the public
domain,
> >so if
> >> you are not receptive to it you are simply not receptive to it.
>
> >You presented it as your own, as in "I have an idea." I'm receptive to
new,
> >original ideas.
>
> Why are you not receptive to ideas that have been proven to work? This
might
> explain a lot.

Who, other than you, has said that I am not? Just don't lie and present
them as your own.

> >Got any?
>
> I have had a fair share in my time, Brian.

I've had small successes. I was able to persist until the CCIT Morse Code
was posted, as none of the weight of the ARRL, the PE's posting here, nor
the Code Experts was enough to get it done.

I've single handedly gotten Bruce to post in English. Well, maybe only
once.

I've encouraged Val to keep plugging away on his code that he said he was
going to do.

I have brought many of them to bear
> for the good of the Amateur Radio Service. I will assume that you asked
the
> question because you don't know about them, so I will suggest that you go
to:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/members-only/qqnsearch.html?search=1&name=hare&selpub%
> 5B%5D=QST&selpub%5B%5D=QEX
>
> If the URL is too long, you can go to:
>

513. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:42:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Vy1V8.3030$Hm6.122362011@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48327

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020704081201.14362.00001918@mb-mn.aol.com...

>
> http://www.arrl.org/members-only/qqnsearch.html?search=1&name=hare&selpub%
> 5B%5D=QST&selpub%5B%5D=QEX

Who is Jay Hare?

514. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 19:57:12 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <ag29cf$i3l72$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48328
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"KA8DDZ" <ka8ddz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:M4RU8.2609$wt6.114532609@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> There are plenty of no code technician class licensee's who could eat the
> lunch of many MANY Extra class licensees here in the states,
> The class of license has nothing to do with the quality of the ham or what
> he may contribute to the hobby.
<snip>
>
I think most UK Radio Amateurs would be more than pleased to have the
FCCs licences and requirements here ?
I certainly would !

If the FCC were on drugs, bypassed their Docket system,
and introduced the UK FL licence in the US ?
Flooding the Amateur bands with CBers
You would have Extra class Hams going out shooting FCC officials and FL
licensees ;-)

In the UK we just whinge instead of taking action

Steve Terry

515. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 20:06:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704160640.25425.00000328@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 48331

In article <ag1mfdssc0@208.206.142.104>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>CAM wrote:
>>
> Fact is, I would
>> presently still have had no interest in an Extra license if the FCC had
>> not turned my Advanced license into a lame duck.
>
>
> I don't believe you. You're using that as a convenient excuse.

C'mon, Dick - don't you know by now that Cecil has a convenient excuse for
everything? ;-)

>FCC
>stated that no one will lose anything due to restructuring, and history
>bears that out. The Advanced was discontinued from the early50's through
>1968 and not one was reverted to any other class. After all the bitching
>about Incentive Licensing there is no way that they'd ever do anything
>that would revive all that.

Of course not! But be sure to remember this: There were lots of false rumors
circulated about incentive licensing, too. Lots of gullibel folks believed
them, and those rumors were the cause of much of the arguing about the proposed
changes.

All the Internet has done is to make it easier for false rumors to snare the
gullible ;-)

--

I remember that back in the mid-1970s there was a (false) rumor in these parts
that the FCC wasn't going to renew any more Conditional licenses. You should
have heard the screaming and moaning about THAT one! Some folks were terrified
of having to pass the tests again. Turned out the rumor was half-true - no more
new Conditionals, and existing ones would be renewed as Generals. Sure gave an
insight into the Conditional, though.

Why were there lots of Conditionals around here, with the Philly FCC office so
close? That's another tale.

73 de Jim, N2EY

>

516. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 20:06:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704160641.25425.00000330@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 48329

In article <3d248b72_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>, "Veni Vidi Velcro"
<@> writes:

>Stupid Yank.

Naw. Just illiterate.
>
>CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:3D245524.FF65F2B6@hotmail.com...
>> Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
>> > So I tell you again. By the colour code.
>>
>> Why should I believe someone who can't even spell "color"? :-)

Colour, honour, licence, aluminium, polythene....

73 de Jim, N2EY

517. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 20:06:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704160641.25425.00000329@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 48330

In article <3d248b71_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>, "Veni Vidi Velcro"
<@> writes:

>So if the licensing authority decides to award Ham Radio
>licences to all CBers who have held a licence for two years,
>that'd be OK with you?

Heck, our FCC stopped licensing cb users about 25 years ago. Made a bad
situation worse, and cut off a lucrative revenue stream.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>It wouldn't be OK with me, as the current situation isn't OK.
>
>The M3 licence is a CB licence and has no place
>on the Ham Bands.
>
>CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:3D2454C6.2667D1B@hotmail.com...
>> Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
>> > Provided that they have reached the technical standard
>> > that's OK.
>>
>> If they have obtained a license without cheating, then
>> they have, by definition, reached the technical standard
>> which is set by the licensing entity.
>> --
>> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>>

518. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 20:07:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704160731.29966.00000728@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 48333

In article <20020704080634.14362.00001917@mb-mn.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Walt Davidson walt99@tiscali.co.uk
>
>>Band occupancy will *never* save our bandwidth from commercial
>>interests. In the end, it will be sold to the highest bidder ... and
>>that will not be the amateur radio service.
>
>Why "in the end" and not right now? So far, amateur radio has fended off
>most direct assaults on its spectrum.

That is not "saving," it is merely survival.

>The real risk is from increasing allocations for secondary, unlicensed
devices.
>And to save our bandwidth from those commercial interests, occupancy is the
>key. If amateurs were operating high power on the upper part of the 2.4 GHz
>band, the Part 15 users would not be able to continue to operate there.

Why should radio amateurs operate high power just to interfere
with legitimate, legal Part 15 devices? Deliberate interference is
illegal under US radio regulations.

519. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 20:07:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704160732.29966.00000730@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 48332

In article <3D24543F.6030B760@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> Normal human speech is 60 -120 wpm. It's slower on the air because we
>> want to be well understood.
>
>And the actual information content is often negative. :-)

Cecil, this person doesn't seem to have had much contact with
air traffic control people on the radio. That information exchange
is handled in excess of 120 words per minute.

Neither does he seem to have any experience with public
safety radio conversation. Any scanner monitoring will yield
knowledge that such conversations are quick, rather faster than
120 words per minute.

Poor speakers have difficulty with rapid speech on the
microphone because they lack experience in radio talk. To
rationalize that they boost morse code proficiency above
reasonable bounds of information throughput.

Either that or they are simply inaccurate in saying that a
"high rate" of (usually maximum) 40 words per minute
equivalent is "quick" while greater-than-120-words-per-minute
speech is "not quick enough." :-)

There IS a double standard when it comes to the PCTA
crowd. :-)

520. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 20:07:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704160732.29966.00000729@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 48334

In article <20020704080352.14362.00001916@mb-mn.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Veni Vidi Velcro" @
>
>>Provided that they have reached the technical standard
>>that's OK. If they haven't, then they're just CBers and
>>have no place o the Ham Bands.
>
>According to the FCC, if they pass the tests, they have met the standards and
>have a place in the ham bands.

...only the governmental regulations. The "peer group" regulations
are quite a different matter as all can see and hear.

>Perhaps it would be more useful if you posted the content of the questions you
>have submitted to the Question Pool Committee to acutally do something more
>than post exclusionary statements on rec.radio.amateur.policy.

Are you saying that negative criticsm of the present party line is
a forbidden subject that no one should discuss?

>That way, we could at least have something meaningful to discuss.

Yes, it appears as though it is... :-)

The only "meaningful" discussions are exchanges of congratulations
on following the already established standards and procedures.

>I can't imagine that
>anyone would be saying the things you are saying here unless he had done
>something positive to forward his goals.

Yes, the only thing "positive" is to follow the party line, be good little
hams and obey orders from the superior senior types. :-)

521. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 20:07:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704160734.29966.00000731@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 48335

In article <3D247EB2.716C376D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D2277AE.54693206@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >>
>> >> In article <3D22055B.D180DD2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> >> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >You are a real cartoon character, Len.
>> >>
>> >> I can't compare to your "Superman," Dave... :-)
>> >>
>> >> >You jump on Steve's medical
>> >> >credentials and don't expect flak in return.
>> >>
>> >> What is "jumping on?"
>> >
>> >> He doesn't have an MD...and "he saves lives."
>> >>
>> >> If the poor baby has a medical doctorate, in which state was
>> >> it issued?
>> >>
>> >> Does he have a license as a nurse in Tennessee? What is its
>> >> registry number?
>> >
>> >What's any of that to you and why did you find it necessary to bring it
>> >up?
>>
>> Hello? I didn't "bring it up." YOU "brought it up" by claiming a
>> flat statement was "jumping on steve."
>
>Hello? Is there another Len Anderson posting here. If not, you'll have
>to take the rap.

You are avoiding the issue. You inserted yourself in this non-
conversation, called me a "cartoon character" as if you were the
model of Superman. :-)

Now you want to misdirect in another direction.

No problem, the double standard of PCTAs in general is quite
evident. PCTAs can say anything they want, be as uncivil as
they want, be as arrogant and superior as they believe they are
and the NCTAs must take it all without a whimper. Tsk, tsk.

>> Steve has no medical doctorate.
>
>I'll ask you again: What of it?

If you don't want to discuss your own behavior, why do you want
to discuss others' behavior?

>It's easy, Len. Did you work for "Ham Radio" in the same sense that
>VE's "work for" the FCC?

Editing others' manuscripts is usually NOT easy. But,
Communications Technology, Inc (the parent corporation of
"Ham Radio Magazine") was not a self-appointed adjunct
authority of US amateur radio as the ARRL wants to be known.

Why do you demand private income disclosure? Do you feel that
you have a "right" to disclose individuals' private information?
If so, why?

>> >> Couldn't handle the technical stuff in HR, ey?
>> >
>> >I wrote an antenna article and a humor piece for those Novices for which
>> >Brian said I didn't search the bands.
>>
>> Too bad all my Ham Radio Horizons were given away...I could have
>> looked up your article. "Horizons" was aimed at the less-
>> technically-knowledgeable radio amateur while HR itself was aimed
>> at those with more knowledge. I was on the masthead at HR, not
>> Horizons.
>
>It would be tough for us to discern the difference between the two
>magazines without your inciteful explanation.

No problem, always glad to help the ignorant. :-)

>> >In its latter years, "Ham Radio" was not successful. The other
>> >magazines you mention are still in existence.
>>
>> Are THEY "successful?" Give a definition for "success."
>
>How about being in business at present compared to "Ham Radio". It, as
>you know, is defunct.

That's not a definition of "success."

Dictionaries have that definition.

My Webster's New World dictionary defines "defunct" as "dead or
extinct."

If Ham Radio Magazine is "dead or extinct," why is it being
reproduced by CQ on CDs and resold by the ARRL? Note:
$150 gets you three CDs covering all articles of all 22 years
of their existance. [shipping charges extra with the ARRL mail
sales, not so if bought through a retail amateur radio outlet]

>What do you find difficult about a couple of simple declarative
>sentences, Leonard H.?

...that you can't make them or answer them.

>Maybe you didn't read the part where I said "no" to being a superman and
>told you that I'm a radio amateur.

You may consider yourself an absolute marvel but you do not fit
the Superman image of Marvel Comics to anyone else but
yourself.

>Since you are not a radio amateur
>you might want to look around for rec.radio.professional.retired.

There is no such newsgroup.

Part of amateur radio policy is about GETTING INTO amateur
radio and the regulations concerning license testing. You appear
to forbid such discussion except by those who have already
obtained a license. You have NOT explained your exclusionary
demands except that such discussion aggravates you.

>You already know that I've worked for the U.S. government as a civilian
>so why are you asking?

Why is that some "qualification" on being and acting exclusionary
and prohibitive? You demean others' professional experience and
get a hissy-fit when others challenge your experience.

The double standards of the PCTA are highly evident with you.

>I've also worked for the U.S. government while in the military.

Which military? The US military IS part of the US government.

>I've worked in the private sector and have been self-employed as well.

Why is that some kind of qualification? You do not accept others'
experience as having relevance to amateur radio. Why should
others accept your claims?

>Does that cover everything for you?

Not at all. You've never explained why your non-amateur radio
experience is relevant while others' non-amateur radio experience
is "not relevant." You cannot have it both ways.

You have never explained why discussion of regulations on getting into amateur radio must only be done by those who have already been granted a license. You consistently demand that such discussion must be done only by the licensed.

522. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 20:30:30 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <ag2bau$i9uqp$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48336
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:2508iucse8bfmm3slbmq8nhod9aubfn0n6@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 07:29:12 -0500, "N4IXT" <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote:
>
> >Sure, keep up that attitude. But don't be surprised when the number of hams
> >dwindles so far down commercial interests snag our bandwidth.
>
> Oh my! They believe this nonsense in the USA too. :-(
>
> Band occupancy will *never* save our bandwidth from commercial
> interests. In the end, it will be sold to the highest bidder ... and
> that will not be the amateur radio service.
> 73 de G3NYY
> Walt Davidson
>
Or saved by the US the docket system

I remember 20 years ago a consortium lead by Motorola put in a FCC docket
reallocating 220MHz to a new class "E" FM CB ;-((
Motorola reconed they could make a load of dosh from selling VHF CBs,
and especially components
Motorola released a series of application lists using Moto components
to would be manufacturers of these new rigs
Motorola stood to make millions

After a long docket struggle 220MHz was saved

Compare that with what happened in the UK about the same time
with Philips and "Mould"
Pye / Philips told the MOD that they could flog them a load of off the shelf
PMR for MOD police / security use
Putting them 12.5 KHz away from UK 70CM repeater outputs ! ;-(

I know 70Cms is shared band, but with a little thought
"Mould" could of been put away down the bottom or top of the band
Just as well "Mould" is now out dated and being replaced

It's just as well Phillips didn't want 70CMs for CB !

Steve Terry

523. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 20:36:44 UTC
From: testicles26hb896@lineone.net
Message-ID: <Xns9241DC2741CF626hb896lineonenet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 48337
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

According to Bill Gates, on Thu 04 Jul 2002 08:09:09p "Randy Phelps"
<dz@insightbb.com> knelt at the big white telephone, and heaved this into
uk.radio.amateur:

>Hey, watch it there. I'm a Yank and I'm familiar with 'color' versus
>'colour'. But then again I do speak 3 languages.

Do you speak the other 2 languages as badly as you speak English ?

--

Mark Russell ''If you enjoy it - it's probably illegal''
Guzzi V50 Monza.
26HB896
OSOS#16 (Please castrate to e-mail)

524. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 21:11:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mS2V8.3060$8N6.123857196@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48344

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020704160732.29966.00000730@mb-ma.aol.com...
> In article <3D24543F.6030B760@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
writes:
>
> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >> Normal human speech is 60 -120 wpm. It's slower on the air because we
> >> want to be well understood.
> >>
> >And the actual information content is often negative. :-)
>
> Cecil, this person doesn't seem to have had much contact with
> air traffic control people on the radio. That information exchange
> is handled in excess of 120 words per minute.

In English

> Neither does he seem to have any experience with public
> safety radio conversation. Any scanner monitoring will yield
> knowledge that such conversations are quick, rather faster than
> 120 words per minute.
>
> Poor speakers have difficulty with rapid speech on the
> microphone because they lack experience in radio talk. To
> rationalize that they boost morse code proficiency above
> reasonable bounds of information throughput.
>
> Either that or they are simply inaccurate in saying that a
> "high rate" of (usually maximum) 40 words per minute
> equivalent is "quick" while greater-than-120-words-per-minute
> speech is "not quick enough." :-)
>
> There IS a double standard when it comes to the PCTA
> crowd. :-)

That is an absolute.

525. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 21:59:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704175944.19569.00002063@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48338

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/04/02 16:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020704160734.29966.00000731@mb-ma.aol.com>

>No problem, the double standard of PCTAs in general is quite
>evident.

As is YOUR double standard of calling people names, analoginzing them with nazis for not agreeing with you, then crying "FOUL" whe the same is revisited upon you.

And YOU think that no one except you notices...What an idiot.

>>> Steve has no medical doctorate.
>>
>>I'll ask you again: What of it?
>
>If you don't want to discuss your own behavior, why do you want
>to discuss others' behavior?

You were asked a direct question, Lennie, and you will not answer it.

This is NOT the first thread in which you have tried to make some issue of my lack of a license to practice medicine. Yes, I have had the priviledge of saving more than one person's life, and NO, I did not require a degree in medicine to do it. The law does not require it...

JUST like they do not require a degree in electronics in order to operate an Amateur Radio station...as much as I know that irks you...

>>It's easy, Len. Did you work for "Ham Radio" in the same sense that
>>VE's "work for" the FCC?
>
>Editing others' manuscripts is usually NOT easy. But,
>Communications Technology, Inc (the parent corporation of
>"Ham Radio Magazine") was not a self-appointed adjunct
>authority of US amateur radio as the ARRL wants to be known.

The degree of difficulty in editing a magazine was not the question, Lennie. STAY FOCUSED.

>Why do you demand private income disclosure? Do you feel that
>you have a "right" to disclose individuals' private information?
>If so, why?

You certainly do, Lennie. For what ever reason you thought it was necessary to do so, you felt it necessary to discuss my nursing licensure in

Georgia...Cited my license number, date of expiration, level of licensure, etc etc etc.

Another Lennie "double standard"...??? YOU cite "double standards" against Dave (see above), yet feel perfectly at-liberty to do the same thing with impunity.

Why is that? (Another direct question...go ahead, Lennie, add this one to the pile)

>No problem, always glad to help the ignorant.

Whoever helps you should be fired, Lennie. Your batting average on accuracy in Amateur and other radio policy matters is not faring well lately.

>>> Are THEY "successful?" Give a definition for "success."
>>
>>How about being in business at present compared to "Ham Radio". It, as
>>you know, is defunct.
>
>That's not a definition of "success."

This little dodge is cute....Watch!

>If Ham Radio Magazine is "dead or extinct," why is it being
>reproduced by CQ on CDs and resold by the ARRL? Note:
>$150 gets you three CDs covering all articles of all 22 years
>of their existance. [shipping charges extra with the ARRL mail
>sales, not so if bought through a retail amateur radio outlet]

And that is ALL there will ever be, Lennie...copies. Nothing new.

Just like fossils in Montana, that's all there is. It's gone.

>>What do you find difficult about a couple of simple declarative
>>sentences, Leonard H.?
>
>...that you can't make them or answer them.

POT/KETTLE/BLACK

>>Since you are not a radio amateur
>>you might want to look around for rec.radio.professional.retired.
>
>There is no such newsgroup

Then perhaps you should start one, Lennie. Your presence here is certainly inappropriate.

>The double standards of the PCTA are highly evident with you.

You've provided four very glaring example of your own double standard right here, Your Geezerness. You ought to have your stuff proofread by a ten year old before you let it fly.

>Not at all. You've never explained why your non-amateur radio
>experience is relevant while others' non-amateur radio experience
>is "not relevant."

Could be because there's not been any aspect of his "non-government"
experiences that have not included SOME aspect of Amateur radio.

>You cannot have it both ways.

Why not? You certainly think YOU can....

>You have never explained why discussion of regulations on
>getting into amateur radio must only be done by those who have
>already been granted a license. You consistently demand that
>such discussion must be done only by the licensed.

Perhaps because the licensed have some practical experience in such matters
and a better perspective on what is relative to Amateur Radio and what is
not...

At best, all you can offer is an opinion. And so far, a not very well
informed opinion.

As ususal.

Putz.

526. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 22:06:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704180652.01552.00000518@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 48339

In article <20020703210659.17701.00000237@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>Extreme Literalists are like the officials in Salem...not satisfied until
>the accused witches are given a trial by fire. For them that is a
>win-win situation: If the burned accused dies in the fire they are
>proved mortal and absolved (but are still dead); if they do not burn
>that "proves" they are witches, not mortal, and the accusers were
>right.

"Extreme Literalists"... hmmm...

Could they be:

The Extreme Literalists who get all worked up over the lack of a specific WORD RATE in the ITU treaty?

The Extreme Literalists who insist that Morse code sent with Farnsworth spacing is not Morse code?

The Extreme Literalists who are come all unglued because a magazine that is no longer published is called "defunct"?

The Extreme Literalists who insist that "CB" means Citizens Band in the 27 MHz region, and nothing else?

Answer: All of the above.

Jim, N2EY

527. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 22:09:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704180927.19569.00002066@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48340

>Subject: Re: Upping the ante
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/04/02 16:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020704160732.29966.00000730@mb-ma.aol.com>

Geeze, Lennie...

>In article <3D24543F.6030B760@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>
>>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>> Normal human speech is 60 -120 wpm. It's slower on the air because we
>>> want to be well understood.
>>
>>And the actual information content is often negative. :-)
>
>Cecil, this person doesn't seem to have had much contact with
>air traffic control people on the radio. That information exchange
>is handled in excess of 120 words per minute.

OK, Lennie...I'll show you MY pilot's license, you show me yours.....

The exchange on ATC frequencies is NOT the average "discussion", nor is it random.

ATC has very specific phraseology and delivers that information in a predictable and expected format. Deviation from accepted ICAO terminology, prowords or format will bring rapid chastizing from ATC.

(Guess you're still using ATIS as your source of aviation experience, eh Lennie?)

JUST LIKE a contest on Amateur Radio. In a contest, I can rattle on at 40WPM becasue I know he's going to give me a certain "report", and I him the same.

In NORMAL conversations, I hover at 25 WPM.

>Neither does he seem to have any experience with public
>safety radio conversation. Any scanner monitoring will yield
>knowledge that such conversations are quick, rather faster than
>120 words per minute.

Same stuff, Lennie....Information will be delivered in a predictable manner. Certain 10-codes, procedural signals, etc. And very little, if any "chit chat"...ESPECIALLY in major metro areas where such is absolutely forbidden and likely to bring the rath of the Watch Commander down on you.

>There IS a double standard when it comes to the PCTA
>crowd.

Not in THIS context, Lennie. Sorry...YOUR lack of practical experience is,
once again, glaring.

528. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 22:13:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704181331.19569.00002068@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48341

>Subject: Re: Time to Boycott the M3?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/04/02 16:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020704160731.29966.00000728@mb-ma.aol.com>

>Why should radio amateurs operate high power just to interfere
>with legitimate, legal Part 15 devices? Deliberate interference is
>illegal under US radio regulations.

Then why do my Amateur devices not contan the same "must accept
interference" caveat that Part 15 devices do?

And as far as "high power" goes, anything over 1 watt is "high power"
vis-a-vis Part 15, now isn't it?

And SPEAKING of Part 15, Lennie, how come we haven't heard you on 20
meters yet? You insist it's legal...We're still waiting on you!

529. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 22:25:47 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital>
Message-ID: <ag2i1a$da6$1@venus.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48342
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Deus" <@> wrote in message
news:3d229e39$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...

> that they cannot tell one end of a resistor from the other.

I use expensive Japanese resistors, they're amazing - you can insert them
into a circuit either way round and they will function properly. Fancy that!
;^p

530. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 22:30:56 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital>
Message-ID: <ag2ib0dne1@venus.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48343
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Veni Vidi Velcro" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2302cd_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> If you're not aware of the resistor colour code, then
> you're not as good as you think.

I was wondering, do people who suffer from colour-blindness have trouble
reading the colour code on resistors? :)

531. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 22:36:03 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital>
Message-ID: <ag2ikj$7sl$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48345
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D24555B.E216EF38@hotmail.com...

> Turnips have electrons.

This is true - all vegetables such as turnips, potatoes, carrots, parsnips, spinnach and Gareth are composed of tiny wee charged particles. :o)
Turnips are especially tasty when stewed slowly along with carrots, mushrooms and a good piece of steak then served with a liberal helping of protons (add salt and pepper to taste.)

532. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 23:03:17 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D24D435.BA0694C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48346

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > after you find out you are mistaken?
>
> Mine is not. I can readily admit that I was wrong.

And do you do an immediate reversal?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

533. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-04 23:09:41 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D24D5B5.AF5E55A3@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48347
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mefix wrote:
>
> "Veni Vidi Velcro" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d2302cd_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > If you're not aware of the resistor colour code, then
> > you're not as good as you think.
>
> I was wondering, do people who suffer from colour-blindness have trouble
> reading the colour code on resistors? :)

I can't tell the difference between brown, red, and orange. When I
am dealing with resistors, I have to have an ohm-meter (or a technician
like Dick) around.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

534. Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 00:38:42 UTC
From: Angel G <cw@badmode.com>
Message-ID: <200275-13842-904775@foorum.com>
Source record: 48364

That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.

Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !

God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

535. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 00:41:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D251542.3030106@adelphia.net>
Source record: 48348

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Ed, not a very good best shot. You failed the attempt. Are you are
> incapable of hypothetical thinking?

What if no one was capable of hypothetical thinking? ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

536. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 00:54:33 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D24EE49.AAB49926@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48349

Mike Coslo wrote:
> What if no one was capable of hypothetical thinking? ;^)

Is that a hypothetical question?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

537. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 01:12:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D251CAD.2080108@adelphia.net>
Source record: 48350

CAM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>What if no one was capable of hypothetical thinking? ;^)
>>
>
> Is that a hypothetical question?

Well, what if it was? 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

538. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:28:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag307j$n8q$0@208.206.142.87>
Source record: 48451
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > What about SMD resistors, Dick? Which end is which?
> >
> > What about 'em Cecil? Seen any lately that had a color code?
>
> Why do you have trouble reading an upside down resistor value, Dick?

My, my another buttflux! But no surprise this time - you've been a buttflux all along. So repost where I ever suggested much less said I had any problem reading any color code in any position. Didn't happen, and its no surprise you missed the boat yet again. But some newbies who just learned the color code and have no experience might just read it backwards.

539. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:29:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag309u$n8q$1@208.206.142.87>
Source record: 48452

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >Fact is, I would
> > > presently still have had no interest in an Extra license if the FCC had
> > > not turned my Advanced license into a lame duck.
> >
> > I don't believe you.
>
> You are free to deny reality all you want, Dick. Someone on this very
> newsgroup convinced me to diffuse any future problems by obtaining an
> Extra class license. It's all documented in the Google archives.
>
> > After all the bitching about Incentive Licensing there is no way
> > that they'd ever do anything that would revive all that.
>
> Famous last words! "No way"? I just wasn't willing to take that risk.
>

But as usual you have no problem with lying through your teeth.

540. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:32:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag30gp$n8q$2@208.206.142.87>
Source record: 48453

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > >> > Any day now I'm expecting to lure a boatload of Techs to 160m in order
> > >> > to assist them in breaking the regs.
> >
> > >> That wouldn't suprise me.
> >
> > >...and that's just another of your problems.
> >
> > I recognized your post as sarcasm immediately. From his post, Brian appears to
> > believe it to be a likely scenario. Considering the precise meaning your
> > words, that you would successfully lure (one has not lured someone into doing
> > something unless they actually do it) a boatload of Techs onto 160 meters, that
> > is a pretty far-fetched thing for Brian to believe likely.
> >
> > Perhaps he meant something different from what he said.
>
> I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.

His mission is to spin in ever decreasing circles until he craps out.

541. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:36:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IC7V8.3144$fw.129810583@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48454

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D251542.3030106@adelphia.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>
> > Ed, not a very good best shot. You failed the attempt. Are you are
> > incapable of hypothetical thinking?
>
> What if no one was capable of hypothetical thinking? ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, he's capable of hypothetical thinking in other arenas. Its a double
standard kind of thing.

542. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:37:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zD7V8.3146$bz.129981573@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48455

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D24D435.BA0694C@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> >
> > Mine is not. I can readily admit that I was wrong.
>
> And do you do an immediate reversal?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.

543. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:41:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48456

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
> > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
>
> Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> after you find out you are mistaken?

Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no amateur radio regulations of the country from which I operated. Perhaps you can assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.

Dave K8MN

544. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:44:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag315v$n8q$3@208.206.142.87>
Source record: 48457

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You old enough to remember Students Wildly Indignent about Nearly
> Everything. SWINE

So that's Brian's game! Shoulda known all along! He's a leftover from
that crew! What did we expect? Thanks for helping clear that up, Dick.

Henceforth Gadfly will be SWINE.

545. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:45:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag3185$n8q$4@208.206.142.87>
Source record: 48458

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
>
> > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, what indignation? I merely pointed out the error of your ways. It
> couldn't be much simpler than that.

I dunno, you tend to be extremely simple.

546. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:46:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag31a1$n8q$5@208.206.142.87>
Source record: 48459

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D2483E1.884CBCD2@hotmail.com...
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
> > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> >
> > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > --
> > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> Mine is not. I can readily admit that I was wrong.

Oh, yeah. The time you thought you were wrong and turned out you were
right.

547. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:49:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D250927.3B84BE47@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48460

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
>
> > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.

> Dave, what indignation? I merely pointed out the error of your ways. > It couldn't be much simpler than that.

The self-righteous indignation you've exhibited each of the several times you've attempted to trot out this tale in order to make it appear that I was guilty of some wrong doing. That indignation. You pointed out the error of the ways of some French 6m ops and have tried to take me to task on it. Allow me to suggest once again that you contact the French PTT and REF and vent your spleen on them. The alternative is for you to continue your ranting toward me while making yourself look like a complete boob.

Dave K8MN

548. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 02:52:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2509CC.F362E7DA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48461

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You old enough to remember Students Wildly Indignent about Nearly
> Everything. SWINE

I must have missed it but I like the concept. I was fond of Charles Schulz portrayal of Linus as a "wild-eyed fanatic without a cause" back in the early sixties. I'm expecting Brian to drop by and spray paint protest slogans on my car.

Dave K8MN

549. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:04:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D250CBB.1C803834@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48462

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D248322.46195BA2@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D23DB5F.7261859E@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D230598.BE2642C7@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D227A67.593AC26B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D21FF82.220E7671@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D21067A.DAFDD670@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > > > > You have the option of not posting. In fact, you stated your
> > intention
> > > > > > to leave but in a lapse of integrity, reversed yourself almost
> > > > > immediately.
> > > >
> > > > I reversed my own decision. I have that authority.
> > >
> > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
> > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> >
> > Not the ones that carry the weight of an FCC regulation. I have no
> > authority over those-only the ability to read them as they are.

So you are willing to reverse any recently declared stand except those
involving an FCC reg? What shall we call that--partial integrity?
regulatory integrity?

> > > > > > > > > What's wrong with contacting desirable dx with CW? There's
> no
> > > reg
> > > > > > > > > > forbidding cross-mode QSO's.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Do you know the difference between Phone DXCC and CW DXCC?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Yes, but why ever would you want DXCC on phone?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ...because it is available and I'm a DXer.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But on phone?
> > > > >
> > > > > I operate phone about fifty percent of the time, Brian. Did you work
> me
> > > > on CW?
> > >
> > > Larry and Dick thinks you'll go astray of the true and shining path if
> you
> > > use something other than CW.
> >
> > Then you can pursue that topic with Larry and Dick.
>
> But Larry won't say these things to you. He says them only to No-Coders.
> Dave, its what is called a double standard.
>
> Ditto for Dick. Two faced.

Again, you need to take the matter up with Dick and Larry. I operate
phone, CW, RTTY, etc. If you want to express your indignation, you need
to express it where it might do some good. The situation is much like
directing your ire over the habits of scofflaw French 6m ops toward me
instead of contacting the French PTT and REF.

> > > > > > > Let me think on this little problem for a second.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Aha! Couldn't you get on 14.132 MHz using CW and ask the DX
> station
> > > to
> > > > > move
> > > > > > > > up?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'd like you to try just that in the midst of a pileup on a DX
> > > station,
> > > > > > > > Brian. The "QRZ?" which will follow your request will not
> indicate
> > > that
> > > > > > > > the DX station is listening for you up the band.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I've heard rude Americans do all sorts of things. Do you want him
> on
> > > SSB or

> > > > > not?
> > > >
> > > > Do you do everything you hear others doing?
> > >
> > > Welp, I don't operate out of band, for one. And I don't keep making
> > > contacts with a whole class of folks that I know are operating out of
> band.
> >
> > Good for you. I don't operate out of band either. Your view on working
> > the trailer trash crowd out of band is a good one too.
>
> What trailer trash? Do you think the French have "manufactured housing?"

Well what whole class of folks were you talking about if not the trailer
trash?

> > > > > > Your stuff is beginning to read like Kim's. You have an opinion
> on
> > > > > > everything whether you actually know anything about it or not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, been there done that. Are you are too "little gun" to ask a
> > > station
> > > > > > to QSY briefly for a contact? Doesn't the DX know WHO you are?
> > > > >
> > > > > I wouldn't dream of contacting a rare SSB DX station in the midst of a
> > > > > pileup by calling him on CW to ask him to move up the band or listen
> up
> > > > > the band for me. The size of the gun is not important.
> > > >
> > > > Then, apparently, you really didn't need him that badly.
> > >
> > > What would possess you to write that?
> >
> > You didn't even try.

That's right. Sometimes, whether you're an American ham not wanting to
do something liddy like breaking a DX pileup on CW to ask the op to move
up or whether you're a French ham who hears a DX station operating on a
frequency not permitted by your regs, it is better not to transmit at
all.

> > > Here's the reciprocol of that scenario: Ask the French hams to move
> into
> > > their legal band limits and you colud follow them and continue your
> little
> > > pileup.
> >
> > I don't see that as a *reciprocal* at all. If I wanted to operate up
> > the band, I'd be operating up the band. French ops were not the only
> > Europeans who called me, Brian.
>

> Oh well, I tried to find a solution for you that you were not capable > of thinking of for yourself.

I don't recall asking for a solution. In your "reciprocol" scenario, I didn't even have a problem which required a solution.

Dave K8MN

550. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:05:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D250D05.510B7C35@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48463

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D2483E1.884CBCD2@hotmail.com...
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
> > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> >
> > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > --
> > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> Mine is not. I can readily admit that I was wrong.

Of course none of us here assembled has ever witnessed such action on
your part.

Dave K8MN

551. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:07:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D250D7D.7F0ACDBD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48464

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D248379.62AD37B9@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D23DBB3.7BC6CD9D@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D2307FA.B44587B0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and chose
> to
> > > > > > ignore
> > > > > > > > them?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Get a life.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their operating
> > > privs >
> > > > > > on 6M, why would you call him a liar?
> > > > > >
> > > > > At no time did any French ham worked by me ever state his
> "operating
> > > > > privs" on 6m. At no time did I call the French station referenced
> by
> > > > > you here, a liar.
> > > > >
> > > > > Get a life.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, then if he wasn't lying, why do you disbelieve him?
> > >
> > > Disbelieve him? I don't even know him.
> > >
```

> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > I don't know him either. Do you personally know all of the people at
> the
> > > FCC who write Part 97?
> >
> > I don't know any of them, Brian.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Do YOU believe Part 97?

Oh, very much so, Brian. Unfortunately, it did not apply to me while operating from Tanzania. Did the French guy write a portion of Part 97?

Dave K8MN

552. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:07:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704230746.00610.00000413@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 48465

In article <20020704180652.01552.00000518@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020703210659.17701.00000237@mb-mm.aol.com>, lnover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>Extreme Literalists are like the officials in Salem...not satisfied until
>>the accused witches are given a trial by fire. For them that is a
>>win-win situation: If the burned accused dies in the fire they are
>>proved mortal and absolved (but are still dead); if they do not burn
>>that "proves" they are witches, not mortal, and the accusers were
>>right.
>
>"Extreme Literalists"... hmmm...
>
>Could they be:
>
>The Extreme Literalists who get all worked up over the lack of a specific
>WORD RATE in the ITU treaty?

No. There is NO word rate specified in the 1958 CCITT document
referenced in Part 97.3 (26).

There is no specific "treaty" at the ITU. There are only "recommendations"
and they have NO force of law, only an honor obligation by adminstrations.

Pity that an Extreme Literalist such as Miccolis cannot make his
nastygram correct. :-)

>The Extreme Literalists who insist that Morse code sent with Farnsworth
>spacing is not Morse code?

No. Extreme Literalists such as Miccolis keep insisting that
high-rate morse code is as quick as ordinary speech. :-)

I've never argued that "Farnsworth spacing" is "different" from "morse
code" (International Morse Code). Pity that an Extreme Literalist such
as Miccolis cannot get nastygram #2 correct. Tsk, tsk.

>The Extreme Literalists who are come all unglued because a magazine that is
>no longer published is called "defunct"?

No. My "glue" over Ham Radio Magazine still holds. So does CQ
and the ARRL who sell a collection of all HR articles from all 22
years of its publication. Hardly defunct when it still sells ($150 for
the three-CD set, shipping charges extra if ordered by mail from
ARRL, no shipping charges if bought through a retail ham outlet).

I mean Extreme Literalists who come all unglued if nobody bothers
him about "Electric Radio," a subscriber-only fanzine that isn't
found on big newsstands...such as Miccolis.

Tsk, tsk, nastygram #3 also incorrect.

>The Extreme Literalists who insist that "CB" means Citizens Band in the 27
>MHz region, and nothing else?

Not me. For over a quarter century "CB" has referred ONLY to the
single "band" in the 27 MHz region and nothing else. See Phil
Kane's reminder and a summary of a 1976 Report and Order.

I meant Extreme Literalists such as Miccolis who cannot bear that
he his corrected when wrong and has to go to such idiotic word-
specific extreme literalism in an attempt to misdirect "fault" to
others. Miccolis picks on nits as if they were gold nuggets...but
they are only iron pyrite known as "Fool's Gold."

>Answer: All of the above.

Incorrect on all four, Miccolis. Sorry, but your Burning At The
Stake has to wait until your wood is dry. You are all wet.

553. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:09:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D250DDC.6427CA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48466

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3d248b72_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>, "Veni Vidi Velcro"
> <@> writes:
>
> >Stupid Yank.
>
> Naw. Just illiterate.
> >
> >CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:3D245524.FF65F2B6@hotmail.com...
> >> Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> >> > So I tell you again. By the colour code.
> >>
> >> Why should I believe someone who can't even spell "color"? :-)
>
> Colour, honour, licence, aluminium, polythene....

tyre, grey...

Dave K8MN

554. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:09:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020704230953.06250.00001245@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 48467

In article <20020704025557.23765.00003434@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Most CW ops don't feel obligated to try and repeat that which they have
>already stated just to transmit longer. It's not necessary.
>
> Phone Is Fun But Code Is Cool.

Steve:

Having some 4-land knuckle-dragger chew you out at length for not remembering your 10-10 number is "fun?" That's the incident that caused me to disconnect my microphone from my HF rig with a pair of "dikes."

73 de Larry, K3LT

555. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:09:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020704230953.06250.00001246@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 48470

In article <C_OU8.2513$dM5.111947036@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to formulate
>> a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>"Like a Welfare Mother of Color with her Hand Out?"
>
>Larry, you've got NO room to talk.

Brian:

I was just making an example based on an unfortunate reality within our society. I have plenty of "room to talk," because there are plenty more such examples, thanks to the liberal elite who create misery to keep themselves in power.

73 de Larry, K3LT

556. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:09:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020704230954.06250.00001247@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 48468

In article <20020704080043.14362.00001915@mb-mn.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to formulate
>>a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.
>
>Like calling someone a buttflux?
>

Ed:

Yes, that would be a good example. BTW, who did that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

557. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:09:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020704230954.06250.00001248@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 48469

In article <3D245324.EE8C8EAF@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>> You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to formulate
>> a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.
>
>Which is worse, having an insignificant digit, being an insignificant
>igit, or both?

Cecil:

Kindly refer to my original answer, quoted above for your convenience.

73 de Larry, K3LT

558. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:14:37 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xa8V8.5219$Iu6.336366@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48471

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2509CC.F362E7DA@earthlink.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his
indignation.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > You old enough to remember Students Wildly Indignent about Nearly
> > Everything. SWINE
>
> I must have missed it but I like the concept. I was fond of Charles
> Schulz portrayal of Linus as a "wild-eyed fanatic without a cause" back
> in the early sixties. I'm expecting Brian to drop by and spray paint
> protest slogans on my car.
>
> Dave K8MN

Came out of the last days of Lil' Abner. Al Capp.

Back about 1960 picketing was the rage so a group of us in high school
chose to picket the sewer plant. Some of the signs had MORE on one side,
LESS on the other. One I remember said, 'I don't know what I stand for,
but I'll fight'. Didn't last long and amused hell out of the
sludgepumpers.

559. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:25:15 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <R88V8.48444$N8.53241660@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48472

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:afvlll41jc0@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Nah.... actually to make morse code an effective and clearly understood
> method of communication, use of it in the 30-40 wpm range without
> abbreviations should be where we are testing for now. That is the closest
> from my estimations as to the speed at what we speak at in a normal
> conversation, on average. So lets raise that bar back up, and even above
> the old 20wpm to somewhere between 30-40 wpm. Then morse will be useful.
>
> The same 3-5 minute short voice qso I may have with a local ham would seem
> to take 15-30 minutes trying to send that via morse at the current/past
> ranges of 5-20wpm.
>
> Ryan

That's a great idea. In order to speed up bringing our proficiency to that level, I propose that one month of the year, say August, be declared "Microphone Free". This would be a microphone free holiday similar to the tax holidays we see in certain states. Only code would be permitted on all of the band segments. I wouldn't be surprised to see those code speeds way up there, at least into the 30 wpm range. What do you say to this? Let's make every August "Microphone Free"!
73 de KR4AJ

560. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:29:41 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2512A5.C92A356B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48474
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Why do you have trouble reading an upside down resistor value, Dick?
>
> My, my another buttflux! But no surprise this time - you've been a
> buttflux all along. So repost where I ever suggested much less said I
> had any problem reading any color code in any position. Didn't happen,
> and its no surprise you missed the boat yet again. But some newbies who
> just learned the color code and have no experience might just read it
> backwards.

Dick, reading the color code backwards doesn't change the value of the
resistance. You, as an Extra, should know that.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

561. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:31:12 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D251300.F63F1589@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48475

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Famous last words! "No way"? I just wasn't willing to take that risk.
>
> But as usual you have no problem with lying through your teeth.

As usual, when you run out of rational thoughts (which is most of the
time) you resort to ad hominem attacks.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

562. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:31:25 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hq8V8.5251$lu6.338368@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48473

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020704230953.06250.00001245@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <20020704025557.23765.00003434@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Most CW ops don't feel obligated to try and repeat that which they have
> >already stated just to transmit longer. It's not necessary.
> >
> > Phone Is Fun But Code Is Cool.
>
> Steve:
>
> Having some 4-land knuckle-dragger chew you out at length for not
> remembering your 10-10 number is "fun?" That's the incident that
> caused me to disconnect my microphone from my HF rig with a pair
> of "dikes."
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Before going on 10, add up all your credit card numbers plus your phone
number plus any other numbers near and dear to you, multiply by the
barometric pressure in millibars, and tell them it is your Universal
Number. Somewhere about the 12th digit they get the hint.

563. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:34:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2513D1.CD839E5D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48476

```
Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D247EB2.716C376D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D2277AE.54693206@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> In article <3D22055B.D180DD2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> >> writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >You are a real cartoon character, Len.
> >> >>
> >> >> I can't compare to your "Superman," Dave... :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> >You jump on Steve's medical
> >> >> >credentials and don't expect flak in return.
> >> >>
> >> >> What is "jumping on?"
> >> >
> >> >> He doesn't have an MD...and "he saves lives."
> >> >>
> >> >> If the poor baby has a medical doctorate, in which state was
> >> >> it issued?
> >> >>
> >> >> Does he have a license as a nurse in Tennessee? What is its
> >> >> registry number?
> >> >
> >> >What's any of that to you and why did you find it necessary to bring it
> >> >up?
> >>
> >> Hello? I didn't "bring it up." YOU "brought it up" by claiming a
> >> flat statement was "jumping on steve."
> >
> >Hello? Is there another Len Anderson posting here. If not, you'll have
> >to take the rap.
>
> You are avoiding the issue. You inserted yourself in this non-
```

> conversation, called me a "cartoon character" as if you were the
> model of Superman. :-)

Naw, Len. You brought up Steve's medical background as a pseudo issue.
YOU made that "the issue". Now as to the superman stuff--I'll be a
model of Hank Ketcham to your George Wilson routine if you like.

> Now you want to misdirect in another direction.

Would you prefer to continue misdirecting along the route you've chosen?

> No problem, the double standard of PCTAs in general is quite
> evident. PCTAs can say anything they want, be as uncivil as
> they want, be as arrogant and superior as they believe they are
> and the NCTAs must take it all without a whimper. Tsk, tsk.

I've encountered you here for a number of years. I've not yet noticed
you being civil but I have noted you saying anything you want.

> >> Steve has no medical doctorate.
> >
> >I'll ask you again: What of it?
>
> If you don't want to discuss your own behavior, why do you want
> to discuss others' behavior?

You seem fogged, Leonard H. You brought Steve's medical qualifications
up as an issue.

> >It's easy, Len. Did you work for "Ham Radio" in the same sense that
> >VE's "work for" the FCC?
>
> Editing others' manuscripts is usually NOT easy. But,
> Communications Technology, Inc (the parent corporation of
> "Ham Radio Magazine") was not a self-appointed adjunct
> authority of US amateur radio as the ARRL wants to be known.

Ahh, the anti-ARRL thing you like to slip in from time to time.

> Why do you demand private income disclosure? Do you feel that
> you have a "right" to disclose individuals' private information?
> If so, why?

Still confused? I don't recall asking you for any income disclosure at
any time.

> >> >> Couldn't handle the technical stuff in HR, ey?
> >> >
> >> >I wrote an antenna article and a humor piece for those Novices for which
> >> >Brian said I didn't search the bands.
> >>
> >> Too bad all my Ham Radio Horizons were given away...I could have
> >> looked up your article. "Horizons" was aimed at the less-
> >> technically-knowledgeable radio amateur while HR itself was aimed

> >> at those with more knowledge. I was on the masthead at HR, not
> >> Horizons.
> >
> >It would be tough for us to discern the difference between the two
> >magazines without your inciteful explanation.
>
> No problem, always glad to help the ignorant. :-)

God helps those who help themselves.

> >> >In its latter years, "Ham Radio" was not successful. The other
> >> >magazines you mention are still in existence.
> >>
> >> Are THEY "successful?" Give a definition for "success."
> >
> >How about being in business at present compared to "Ham Radio". It, as
> >you know, is defunct.
>
> That's not a definition of "success."

Oh, then being defunct must not fit the definition either.

> Dictionaries have that definition.
>
> My Webster's New World dictionary defines "defunct" as "dead or
> extinct."
>
> If Ham Radio Magazine is "dead or extinct," why is it being
> reproduced by CQ on CDs and resold by the ARRL? Note:
> $150 gets you three CDs covering all articles of all 22 years
> of their existance. [shipping charges extra with the ARRL mail
> sales, not so if bought through a retail amateur radio outlet]

They make reproduction furniture, reproduction pottery and even
reproduction radio gear too. I can even order "Best Radio Diagrams of
1938 on CD yet Supreme Publications is defunct too. Do any of those
issues of Ham Radio on the CD set bear a 2002 date?

> >What do you find difficult about a couple of simple declarative
> >sentences, Leonard H.?
>
> ...that you can't make them or answer them.

They were right there in front of you. Which parts gave you the most
trouble?

> >Maybe you didn't read the part where I said "no" to being a superman and
> >told you that I'm a radio amateur.
>
> You may consider yourself an absolute marvel but you do not fit
> the Superman image of Marvel Comics to anyone else but
> yourself.

No, you're getting confused again. I don't consider myself to be an
absolute marvel. I consider myself to be a radio amateur.

> >Since you are not a radio amateur
> >you might want to look around for rec.radio.professional.retired.
>
> There is no such newsgroup.

See! There's a new self-appointed advocate position for you to take on.

> Part of amateur radio policy is about GETTING INTO amateur
> radio and the regulations concerning license testing. You appear
> to forbid such discussion except by those who have already
> obtained a license. You have NOT explained your exclusionary
> demands except that such discussion aggravates you.

You and I both know that you've taken longer getting into amateur radio
than most folks spend actually being radio amateurs.

> >You already know that I've worked for the U.S. government as a civilian
> >so why are you asking?
>
> Why is that some "qualification" on being and acting exclusionary
> and prohibitive? You demean others' professional experience and
> get a hissy-fit when others challenge your experience.

I didn't read a challenge.

> The double standards of the PCTA are highly evident with you.
>
> >I've also worked for the U.S. government while in the military.
>
> Which military? The US military IS part of the US government.

Do you read your own stuff? Your question was about a civilian role.
I wasn't in the military as a civilian.

> >I've worked in the private sector and have been self-employed as well.
>
> Why is that some kind of qualification? You do not accept others'
> experience as having relevance to amateur radio. Why should
> others accept your claims?

Man, you ARE confused today! I didn't make any claim toward any of my
employment qualifying me to speak about amateur radio. I've been an
active ham for 39 years. THAT qualifies me to speak on amateur radio.

> >Does that cover everything for you?
>
> Not at all. You've never explained why your non-amateur radio
> experience is relevant while others' non-amateur radio experience
> is "not relevant." You cannot have it both ways.

See above. I don't expect it "both ways".

> You have never explained why discussion of regulations on
> getting into amateur radio must only be done by those who have
> already been granted a license. You consistently demand that
> such discussion must be done only by the licensed.

You've had your say with the FCC and you've had more than your say here.
You've made no attempt at all to achieve even the simplest entry level
license. What weight should be given to your views, Len? What of
importance have you imparted here?

Dave K8MN

564. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:36:00 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48477

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > And do you do an immediate reversal?
>
> Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.

Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

565. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:38:15 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2514A7.EB6A7B99@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48478

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
> > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> >
> > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > after you find out you are mistaken?
>
> Not at all, Cecil.

Then why rag on someone for doing an immediate reversal? When you
are mistaken, are your reversals delayed?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

566. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:42:52 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2515BC.2A547470@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48480

Richard McCollum wrote:
> Came out of the last days of Lil' Abner. Al Capp.

"No man is an Ireland".
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

567. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:43:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2515C9.82A86243@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48479

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2509CC.F362E7DA@earthlink.net...
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his
> indignation.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > You old enough to remember Students Wildly Indignent about Nearly
> > > Everything. SWINE
> >
> > I must have missed it but I like the concept. I was fond of Charles
> > Schulz portrayal of Linus as a "wild-eyed fanatic without a cause" back
> > in the early sixties. I'm expecting Brian to drop by and spray paint
> > protest slogans on my car.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Came out of the last days of Lil' Abner. Al Capp.

It's coming back now. Capp was one-of-a-kind. I loved it when he
revealed on the Carson show that he held the socks on his wooden leg up
with thumbtacks.

> Back about 1960 picketing was the rage so a group of us in high school
> chose to picket the sewer plant. Some of the signs had MORE on one side,
> LESS on the other. One I remember said, 'I don't know what I stand for,
> but I'll fight'. Didn't last long and amused hell out of the
> sludgepumpers.

WEBN radio in Cincy used to run ads for "Brtue Force Cybernetics--the
company which creates a need, then fills it".

Dave K8MN

568. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:44:17 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D251611.409777E6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48483

Dan Finn wrote:
> That's a great idea. In order to speed up bringing our proficiency to that
> level, I propose that one month of the year, say August, be declared
> "Microphone Free". This would be a microphone free holiday similar to the
> tax holidays we see in certain states. Only code would be permitted on all
> of the band segments. I wouldn't be surprised to see those code speeds way
> up there, at least into the 30 wpm range. What do you say to this? Let's
> make every August "Microphone Free"!

Will violations elicit the death penalty?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

569. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:45:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kD8V8.3164$sV.131246270@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48481

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020704230953.06250.00001246@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <C_OU8.2513$dM5.111947036@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to
formulate
> >> a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >"Like a Welfare Mother of Color with her Hand Out?"
> >
> >Larry, you've got NO room to talk.
>
> Brian:
>
> I was just making an example based on an unfortunate reality within our
> society. I have plenty of "room to talk," because there are plenty more
such
> examples, thanks to the liberal elite who create misery to keep themselves
> in power.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

So you're just talking trash again?

570. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:46:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704234611.17167.00004027@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48482

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/04/02 23:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020704230746.00610.00000413@mb-fl.aol.com>

>>The Extreme Literalists who are come all unglued because a magazine that is
>>no longer published is called "defunct"?
>
>No. My "glue" over Ham Radio Magazine still holds. So does CQ
>and the ARRL who sell a collection of all HR articles from all 22
>years of its publication. Hardly defunct when it still sells ($150 for
>the three-CD set, shipping charges extra if ordered by mail from
>ARRL, no shipping charges if bought through a retail ham outlet).

They are selling those COPIES because the magazine itself is
DEFUNCT....Not in business any longer...No longer publishing...You cannot write
to "Ham Radio" magazine at ANY address and request reprints, etc.

>>The Extreme Literalists who insist that "CB" means Citizens Band in the 27
>>MHz region, and nothing else?
>
>Not me. For over a quarter century "CB" has referred ONLY to the
>single "band" in the 27 MHz region and nothing else. See Phil
>Kane's reminder and a summary of a 1976 Report and Order.

Non-sequitor, Lennie my boy...CURRENT FCC regulations (that means TODAY,
Your Putziness...NOT 1976) details several different subparts, all of which are
categorized under "citizens band". 47CFR95.401 refers.

That, by the way, is dated 1983, NOT 1976.

>Incorrect on all four, Miccolis. Sorry, but your Burning At The
>Stake has to wait until your wood is dry. You are all wet.

I am sure you wish it was true. Sure is embarrasing to promote yourself as
a "radio professional" only to have your inadequacies exposed in a public forum
by "amateurs", huh Lennie...?!?!

571. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:47:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2516B6.70FD32DB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48484

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands here
> > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > >
> > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> >
> > Not at all, Cecil.
>
> Then why rag on someone for doing an immediate reversal? When you
> are mistaken, are your reversals delayed?

Oh, the scales have fallen from my eyes! If Brian says he is leaving
the group but find out that he is *mistaken*, immediate reversal is not
a problem. Thank you for your insight, mystical one.

Dave K8MN

572. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:48:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <eG8V8.3166$2U.131155188@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48485

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag3185$n8q$4@208.206.142.87...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, what indignation? I merely pointed out the error of your ways.
It
> > couldn't be much simpler than that.
>
> I dunno, you tend to be extremely simple.

You tend to be a mean, little man.

573. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:49:34 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D25174E.74514CF9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48486

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> You cannot write
> to "Ham Radio" magazine at ANY address and request reprints, etc.

I'll bet you a lobster dinner that I can write to "Ham Radio" at
ANY address and request reprints.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

574. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:52:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_J8V8.3167$MX.131376409@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48487

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D250927.3B84BE47@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
>
> > Dave, what indignation? I merely pointed out the error of your ways. >
It couldn't be much simpler than that.
>
> The self-righteous indignation you've exhibited

Dave, wishful thinking. I've exhibited no such indignation, self-righteous
or not.

each of the several
> times you've attempted to trot out this tale in order to make it appear
> that I was guilty of some wrong doing. That indignation. You pointed
> out the error of the ways of some French 6m ops and have tried to take
> me to task on it. Allow me to suggest once again that you contact the
> French PTT and REF and vent your spleen on them. The alternative is for
> you to continue your ranting toward me while making yourself look like a
> complete boob.

A complete boob, huh?

Dave, you're the one on thin moral ice. Mend your ways, and stop defending
an indefensible position. That is all.

575. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:55:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D2542DE.9000703@adelphia.net>
Source record: 48488

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Having some 4-land knuckle-dragger chew you out at length for not
> remembering your 10-10 number is "fun?" That's the incident that
> caused me to disconnect my microphone from my HF rig with a pair
> of "dikes."

What is this 10-10 number stuff anyhow? I've heard about it, and heard hams using it on 10 meters, but I'm not familiar with it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

576. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:55:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5N8V8.3169$MY.131434696@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48489

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2509CC.F362E7DA@earthlink.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > You old enough to remember Students Wildly Indignent about Nearly
> > Everything. SWINE
>
> I must have missed it but I like the concept. I was fond of Charles
> Schulz portrayal of Linus as a "wild-eyed fanatic without a cause" back
> in the early sixties. I'm expecting Brian to drop by and spray paint
> protest slogans on my car.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, I hold the high ground re: your working French Hams out of band. And
I detest grafitti. You can claim that I"m a vandal all day long, but I'm
not. It just makes you look even sillier.

577. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 03:58:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jP8V8.3172$1Y.131393722@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48490

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag30gp$n8q$2@208.206.142.87...
>
> His mission is to spin in ever decreasing circles until he craps out.

Sputter sputter sputter

578. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:01:47 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <745aiu0isdu1sf76gm5aermirvu2c4fhi1@4ax.com>
Source record: 48491
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 04 Jul 2002 18:09:41 -0500, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I can't tell the difference between brown, red, and orange. When I
>am dealing with resistors, I have to have an ohm-meter (or a technician
>like Dick) around.

I am not color-blind (colour-blind?), but I never trust the markings
on resistors. I always check each resistor with an ohm-meter before
using it!

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

579. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:01:47 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ub5aiu0dkmq2fbjcgtcc2hhlnovtusfa8a@4ax.com>
Source record: 48492
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Jul 2002 02:28:03 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>and its no surprise you missed the boat yet again.

Unlike your Irish ancestors ....

Heh heh!

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

580. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:03:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EU8V8.3174$a01.131603526@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48493

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> >
> > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
>
> Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?

Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants us to believe.
Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was an OK thing
to do, till I called him on it.

Now he has to defend his misdeeds even if it means destroying my reputation.
Such is life on rrap. I'm used to it. Blaze away.

581. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:04:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HV8V8.3175$kS.131064078@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48494

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag31a1$n8q$5@208.206.142.87...
>
> Oh, yeah. The time you thought you were wrong and turned out you were
> right.

So even when I'm right, I'm wrong in your book?

582. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:07:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7Y8V8.3178$A01.131630381@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48495

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D250D05.510B7C35@earthlink.net...

> Of course none of us here assembled has ever witnessed such action on
> your part.

Steve claims that I can admit when I"m wrong; are you calling Steve a liar?

We have not witnessed you ever admitting being wrong. Imagine that. Here's
your chance.

583. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:09:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <o_8V8.3179$k11.131645662@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48496

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
here
> > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> >
> > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > after you find out you are mistaken?
>
> Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no amateur
> radio regulations of the country from which I operated. Perhaps you can
> assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being a
specific law against it. Do you disagree?

584. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:18:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <F69V8.3181$x51.131858643@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48497

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D250CBB.1C803834@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
here
> > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> >
> > Not the ones that carry the weight of an FCC regulation. I have no
> > authority over those-only the ability to read them as they are.
>
> So you are willing to reverse any recently declared stand except those
> involving an FCC reg? What shall we call that--partial integrity?
> regulatory integrity?

Boy are you doing poorly on this one. Just admit you screwed up.

> > > > Larry and Dick thinks you'll go astray of the true and shining path
if
> > you
> > > > use something other than CW.
> > >
> > > Then you can pursue that topic with Larry and Dick.
> >
> > But Larry won't say these things to you. He says them only to
No-Coders.
> > Dave, its what is called a double standard.
> >
> > Ditto for Dick. Two faced.
>
> Again, you need to take the matter up with Dick and Larry. I operate
> phone, CW, RTTY, etc. If you want to express your indignation, you need
> to express it where it might do some good.

Why would there be any indignation over a double standard?

The situation is much like
> directing your ire over the habits of scofflaw French 6m ops toward me
> instead of contacting the French PTT and REF.

Scofflaw French 6M Ops? Is that anything like admitting that you knew they
were out of band? I think it is.

Just how long will it take for you to admit it?

> > > Good for you. I don't operate out of band either. Your view on
working
> > > the trailer trash crowd out of band is a good one too.
> >
> > What trailer trash? Do you think the French have "manufactured
housing?"
>
> Well what whole class of folks were you talking about if not the trailer
> trash?

So the French do have manufactured housing?

> > > > Then, apparently, you really didn't need him that badly.
> > >
> > > What would possess you to write that?
> >
> > You didn't even try.
>
> That's right. Sometimes, whether you're an American ham not wanting to
> do something liddy like breaking a DX pileup on CW to ask the op to move
> up or whether you're a French ham who hears a DX station operating on a
> frequency not permitted by your regs, it is better not to transmit at
> all.

I think you're coming closer and closer to admitting that you knowingly
worked French hams out of band.

> > > > Here's the reciprocol of that scenario: Ask the French hams to move
> > into
> > > > their legal band limits and you colud follow them and continue your
> > little
> > > > pileup.
> > >
> > > I don't see that as a *reciprocal* at all. If I wanted to operate up
> > > the band, I'd be operating up the band. French ops were not the only
> > > Europeans who called me, Brian.
> >
> > Oh well, I tried to find a solution for you that you were not capable >
of thinking of for yourself.
>
> I don't recall asking for a solution. In your "reciprocol" scenario, I
> didn't even have a problem which required a solution.

Yes, you did. You complained about a pileup on 14.132 MHz phone, but you
couldn't operate phone there. I offered a solution. You rejected it
because you suddenly found religion.

585. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:22:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag36tn$72h$0@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48498

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <C_OU8.2513$dM5.111947036@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> You can always tell the people on Usenet who have no ability to formulate
> >> a logical argument. They usually post crap like the above example.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >"Like a Welfare Mother of Color with her Hand Out?"
> >
> >Larry, you've got NO room to talk.
>
> Brian:
>
> I was just making an example based on an unfortunate reality within our
> society. I have plenty of "room to talk," because there are plenty more such
> examples, thanks to the liberal elite who create misery to keep themselves
> in power.
>

In fact, Btian himself seems to qualify.

586. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:24:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_b9V8.3183$q71.131960095@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48499

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D250D7D.7F0ACDBD@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D248379.62AD37B9@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D23DBB3.7BC6CD9D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D2307FA.B44587B0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and
chose
> > > to
> > > > > > > ignore
> > > > > > > > > them?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Get a life.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their
operating
> > > > privs >
> > > > > > > on 6M, why would you call him a liar?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > At no time did any French ham worked by me ever state his
> > "operating
> > > > > > > privs" on 6m. At no time did I call the French station
referenced
> > by
> > > > > > you here, a liar.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Get a life.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
```

> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, then if he wasn't lying, why do you disbelieve him?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Disbelieve him? I don't even know him.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't know him either. Do you personally know all of the people
at
> > the
> > > > > FCC who write Part 97?
> > > >
> > > I don't know any of them, Brian.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Do YOU believe Part 97?
>
> Oh, very much so, Brian.
>
> Dave K8MN

But you don't know any of the people who wrote Part 97. And your argument is that you don't believe the French ham who came on here and gave French 6M band limits because you didn't know him.

Dave, again, if someone made you aware that you were working foreign hams which were operating out of band, would you keep making contacts with them, knowing even though you were within your band limits, that they were illegal?

587. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:25:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag373g$72h$1@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48500
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Why do you have trouble reading an upside down resistor value, Dick?
> >
> > My, my another buttflux! But no surprise this time - you've been a
> > buttflux all along. So repost where I ever suggested much less said I
> > had any problem reading any color code in any position. Didn't happen,
> > and its no surprise you missed the boat yet again. But some newbies who
> > just learned the color code and have no experience might just read it
> > backwards.
>
> Dick, reading the color code backwards doesn't change the value of the
> resistance. You, as an Extra, should know that.

For sure YOU as an alleged engineer should know the possible
consequences of misreading a resistor color code. But as usual, you
don't.
```

588. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:25:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cd9V8.3185$a_6.124546162@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48351

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D251300.F63F1589@hotmail.com...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Famous last words! "No way"? I just wasn't willing to take that risk.
> > >
> > > But as usual you have no problem with lying through your teeth.
> >
> > As usual, when you run out of rational thoughts (which is most of the
> > time) you resort to ad hominem attacks.
> > --
> > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

"Dick Carroll" is synonymous with ad hominem attacks.

589. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:26:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag3759$72h$2@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48352

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Famous last words! "No way"? I just wasn't willing to take that risk.
> >
> > But as usual you have no problem with lying through your teeth.
>
> As usual, when you run out of rational thoughts (which is most of the
> time) you resort to ad hominem attacks.

Ad hocky or not, if youse is a liar that's what you is.

590. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:27:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ve9V8.3187$781.132005053@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48353

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020704230953.06250.00001245@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <20020704025557.23765.00003434@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Most CW ops don't feel obligated to try and repeat that which they have
> >already stated just to transmit longer. It's not necessary.
> >
> > Phone Is Fun But Code Is Cool.
>
> Steve:
>
> Having some 4-land knuckle-dragger chew you out at length for not
> remembering your 10-10 number is "fun?" That's the incident that
> caused me to disconnect my microphone from my HF rig with a pair
> of "dikes."
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

So what is your 10-10 number? After that deep mental scarring that you
received, I would think it a permanent part of the back of your eyelids by
now.

591. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:28:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Rf9V8.3188$p81.132023512@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48354

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:hq8V8.5251$Iu6.338368@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020704230953.06250.00001245@mb-cu.aol.com...
> > In article <20020704025557.23765.00003434@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >
> > >Most CW ops don't feel obligated to try and repeat that which they have
> > >already stated just to transmit longer. It's not necessary.
> > >
> > > Phone Is Fun But Code Is Cool.
> >
> > Steve:
> >
> > Having some 4-land knuckle-dragger chew you out at length for not
> > remembering your 10-10 number is "fun?" That's the incident that
> > caused me to disconnect my microphone from my HF rig with a pair
> > of "dikes."
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>
> Before going on 10, add up all your credit card numbers plus your phone
> number plus any other numbers near and dear to you, multiply by the
> barometric pressure in millibars, and tell them it is your Universal
> Number. Somewhere about the 12th digit they get the hint.

Dick, in meteorological circles, we use hectopascals.

592. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:30:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag37d9$72h$3@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48355

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>
> Dave, I hold the high ground

You wouldn't recognize high ground if you tripped over it.

593. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:30:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Th9V8.3190$d81.132011230@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48356

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D2542DE.9000703@adelphia.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>
> > Having some 4-land knuckle-dragger chew you out at length for not
> > remembering your 10-10 number is "fun?" That's the incident that
> > caused me to disconnect my microphone from my HF rig with a pair
> > of "dikes."
>
>
> What is this 10-10 number stuff anyhow? I've heard about it, and heard
> hams using it on 10 meters, but I'm not familiar with it.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, go here and decide for yourself.

http://www.ten-ten.org/

594. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:32:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag37gd$72h$4@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48357

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ag31a1$n8q$5@208.206.142.87...
> >
> > Oh, yeah. The time you thought you were wrong and turned out you were
> > right.
>
> So even when I'm right, I'm wrong in your book?

It only happened once.

595. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:33:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag37jl$72h$5@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48358

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D24D435.BA0694C@hotmail.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > >
> > > Mine is not. I can readily admit that I was wrong.
> >
> > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > --
> > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.

Certainly not something you have to deal with on any regular basis.
```

596. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:35:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Am9V8.3193$Ka1.132153613@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48360
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag307j$n8q$0@208.206.142.87...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > > What about SMD resistors, Dick? Which end is which?
> > >
> > > What about 'em Cecil? Seen any lately that had a color code?
> >
> > Why do you have trouble reading an upside down resistor value, Dick?
>
>
> My, my another buttflux! But no surprise this time - you've been a
> buttflux all along. So repost where I ever suggested much less said I
> had any problem reading any color code in any position. Didn't happen,
> and its no surprise you missed the boat yet again. But some newbies who
> just learned the color code and have no experience might just read it
> backwards.

OK, we're worried that a newbie that just learned the color code might read
a resistor backwards, but we're not worried that a newbie who is just
learning the code will know which exam to ask for and possibly be denied
access to the amateur radio service because the Regulations specify Morse
code at 5WPM, but the Examiners are testing Farnsworth code at 13-15WPM.

Now I get it. You're an idiot.

597. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:36:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag37nk$72h$6@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48359

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
> here
> > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > >
> > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> >
> > Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no amateur
> > radio regulations of the country from which I operated. Perhaps you can
> > assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being a
> specific law against it. Do you disagree?

Oh, so now it's down to your version of "things one shouldn't do". We
see.

598. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 04:38:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag37rb$72h$7@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48361

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D250CBB.1C803834@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
> here
> > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > >
> > > Not the ones that carry the weight of an FCC regulation. I have no
> > > authority over those-only the ability to read them as they are.
> >
> > So you are willing to reverse any recently declared stand except those
> > involving an FCC reg? What shall we call that--partial integrity?
> > regulatory integrity?
>
> Boy are you doing poorly on this one. Just admit you screwed up.
>
> > > > Larry and Dick thinks you'll go astray of the true and shining path
> if
> > > you
> > > > use something other than CW.
> > >
> > > Then you can pursue that topic with Larry and Dick.
> > >
> > > But Larry won't say these things to you. He says them only to
> No-Coders.
> > > Dave, its what is called a double standard.
> > >
> > > Ditto for Dick. Two faced.
> >
> > Again, you need to take the matter up with Dick and Larry. I operate
> > phone, CW, RTTY, etc. If you want to express your indignation, you need
> > to express it where it might do some good.
>
> Why would there be any indignation over a double standard?
>
> The situation is much like
> > directing your ire over the habits of scofflaw French 6m ops toward me
> > instead of contacting the French PTT and REF.
>

> Scofflaw French 6M Ops? Is that anything like admitting that you knew they
> were out of band? I think it is.
>
> Just how long will it take for you to admit it?
>
> > > > Good for you. I don't operate out of band either. Your view on
> working
> > > > the trailer trash crowd out of band is a good one too.
> > >
> > > What trailer trash? Do you think the French have "manufactured
> housing?"
> >
> > Well what whole class of folks were you talking about if not the trailer
> > trash?
>
> So the French do have manufactured housing?
>
> > > > > Then, apparently, you really didn't need him that badly.
> > > > >
> > > > What would possess you to write that?
> > >
> > > You didn't even try.
> >
> > That's right. Sometimes, whether you're an American ham not wanting to
> > do something liddy like breaking a DX pileup on CW to ask the op to move
> > up or whether you're a French ham who hears a DX station operating on a
> > frequency not permitted by your regs, it is better not to transmit at
> > all.
>
> I think you're coming closer and closer to admitting that you knowingly
> worked French hams out of band.
>
> > > > > Here's the reciprocol of that scenario: Ask the French hams to move
> > > into
> > > > > their legal band limits and you colud follow them and continue your
> > > little
> > > > > pileup.
> > > > >
> > > > I don't see that as a *reciprocal* at all. If I wanted to operate up
> > > > the band, I'd be operating up the band. French ops were not the only
> > > > Europeans who called me, Brian.
> > >
> > > Oh well, I tried to find a solution for you that you were not capable >
> of thinking of for yourself.
> >
> > I don't recall asking for a solution. In your "reciprocol" scenario, I
> > didn't even have a problem which required a solution.
>
> Yes, you did. You complained about a pileup on 14.132 MHz phone, but you
> couldn't operate phone there. I offered a solution. You rejected it

> because you suddenly found religion.

Tiem to dump SWINE back into the bit buckert. He's gottem far too much like Loose Lennie and Cecil.

599. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 05:04:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705010417.01531.00000185@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 48362

In article <3D25174E.74514CF9@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> You cannot write
>> to "Ham Radio" magazine at ANY address and request reprints, etc.
>
>I'll bet you a lobster dinner that I can write to "Ham Radio" at
>ANY address and request reprints.

Heh heh heh...for $150 anyone can get 22 years of Ham Radio
Magazine on CDs and print out paper copies all they want
for only the cost of paper and ink...considerably cheaper than
the $3/page charge for ARRL members ($5/page non-members).

Oh, pity those poor Salem judges...their wood is all wet and
they can't light a bonfire to keep their icy-cold hearts warm.

To them, paraphrasing: "Ain't life a witch?" :-)

600. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 05:04:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705010417.01531.00000184@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 48363

In article <3D2513D1.CD839E5D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>I've encountered you here for a number of years. I've not yet noticed
>you being civil but I have noted you saying anything you want.

Dave poor baby..."being civil to you" is not defined as agreeing
to everything you write or accepting all the trash you say about
others.

You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here,
your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself. Try
approaching a subject some time. Try doing it with an open
mind rather than reacting with rage against all those "unworthies"
who do not believe in you and accept all your opinions.

>You seem fogged, Leonard H. You brought Steve's medical qualifications
>up as an issue.

They are NOT an "issue" except to yourself and that other ego-need
creature. You, repeat YOU keep bring this non-issue up.

>> >It's easy, Len. Did you work for "Ham Radio" in the same sense that
>> >VE's "work for" the FCC?
>>
>> Editing others' manuscripts is usually NOT easy. But,
>> Communications Technology, Inc (the parent corporation of
>> "Ham Radio Magazine") was not a self-appointed adjunct
>> authority of US amateur radio as the ARRL wants to be known.
>
>Ahh, the anti-ARRL thing you like to slip in from time to time.
>
>> Why do you demand private income disclosure? Do you feel that
>> you have a "right" to disclose individuals' private information?
>> If so, why?
>
>Still confused? I don't recall asking you for any income disclosure at
>any time.

Yes, you most certainly did when bringing up another non-issue,
that of WORK. The VEC is a volunteer organization.
Communications Technology, Inc., was a corporation engaged
in publication. Work for Communications Technology, Inc., was
compensated by money.

>> >It would be tough for us to discern the difference between the two
>> >magazines without your inciteful explanation.

>>
>> No problem, always glad to help the ignorant. :-)
>
>God helps those who help themselves.

Then God help you, Dave...you need the highest-grade help
available.

>> >> >In its latter years, "Ham Radio" was not successful. The other
>> >> >magazines you mention are still in existence.
>> >>
>> >> Are THEY "successful?" Give a definition for "success."
>> >
>> >How about being in business at present compared to "Ham Radio". It, as
>> >you know, is defunct.
>>
>> That's not a definition of "success."
>
>Oh, then being defunct must not fit the definition either.

Answer the question or be considered intellectually defunct,
Dave. You've gone off the deep end again in working the spite,
anger, sarcasm bit very poorly.

You keep wanting to use "defunct" as if it is "extinct" or "dead."

You keep wanting to use "defunct" as a thinly-disguised
personal attack.

All you are, by example, is an ego-driven spiteful person who
gets their jollies out of harassing and/or insulting those who
do not agree with him. By continuing your "defunct" attack,
you've now insulted a number of hams who worked hard to
make Ham Radio Magazine a success for 22 years.

>> Dictionaries have that definition.
>>
>> My Webster's New World dictionary defines "defunct" as "dead or
>> extinct."
>>
>> If Ham Radio Magazine is "dead or extinct," why is it being
>> reproduced by CQ on CDs and resold by the ARRL? Note:
>> $150 gets you three CDs covering all articles of all 22 years
>> of their existance. [shipping charges extra with the ARRL mail
>> sales, not so if bought through a retail amateur radio outlet]
>
>They make reproduction furniture, reproduction pottery and even
>reproduction radio gear too.

...then get your reproduction system busy, old man. So far, all
you've done is screw yourself with your own words.

>I can even order "Best Radio Diagrams of
>1938 on CD yet Supreme Publications is defunct too.

1938-era radio technology may be too advanced for you. Go easy
on such high-tech information until you get up to speed...say at
the 1960 level.

>Do any of those
>issues of Ham Radio on the CD set bear a 2002 date?

Why are you so concerned. Can you understand any tech
level as advanced as the year 2002?

>No, you're getting confused again. I don't consider myself to be an
>absolute marvel. I consider myself to be a radio amateur.

I concede that you are an amateur.

>> Part of amateur radio policy is about GETTING INTO amateur
>> radio and the regulations concerning license testing. You appear
>> to forbid such discussion except by those who have already
>> obtained a license. You have NOT explained your exclusionary
>> demands except that such discussion aggravates you.
>
>You and I both know that you've taken longer getting into amateur radio
>than most folks spend actually being radio amateurs.

Misdirection again by the demands to "get a license first, then be
'allowed' to talk about getting in."

>> Why is that some "qualification" on being and acting exclusionary
>> and prohibitive? You demean others' professional experience and
>> get a hissy-fit when others challenge your experience.
>
>I didn't read a challenge.

So, you get into a hissy-fit anyway?!? How strange.

Regardless of your emotional instability, please try to explain
why you are being exclusionary and prohibitive?

Is this some kind of "extra thing?" Or is it part of the PCTA
double standard?

>> The double standards of the PCTA are highly evident with you.
>>
>> >I've also worked for the U.S. government while in the military.
>>
>> Which military? The US military IS part of the US government.
>
>Do you read your own stuff? Your question was about a civilian role.
>I wasn't in the military as a civilian.

You are confused and raving again. Please note that the US military
is part of the US government. If you were IN the military, you are

PART of the government, not "working for it." [the days of the
mercenary for US military are long gone]

>Man, you ARE confused today! I didn't make any claim toward any of my
>employment qualifying me to speak about amateur radio. I've been an
>active ham for 39 years. THAT qualifies me to speak on amateur radio.

Incorrect. You've made several references to "being a ham in
foreign countries, having a license in that country, etc." while
in State Department employ. Would you have voluntarily traveled
to Guinea-Bisseau and lived there just to be the "only ham in the
country?!?"

>> Not at all. You've never explained why your non-amateur radio
>> experience is relevant while others' non-amateur radio experience
>> is "not relevant." You cannot have it both ways.
>
>See above. I don't expect it "both ways".

You expect it ONLY your way. That's quite obvious.

>> You have never explained why discussion of regulations on
>> getting into amateur radio must only be done by those who have
>> already been granted a license. You consistently demand that
>> such discussion must be done only by the licensed.
>
>You've had your say with the FCC and you've had more than your say here.

...and I will continue to be heard by both.

>You've made no attempt at all to achieve even the simplest entry level
>license.

There was NO requirement or regulation stating that a license was
necessary. None is required. Except among those poor souls
who use their license status-rank-title as some kind of "qualification"
on knowing it all.

>What weight should be given to your views, Len?

I leave that up to the reader.

Those who are confirmed PCTAs will ignore it and become
argumentative, confrontive, and abusive...because they have no
valid argument against the NCTAs.

Other readers have varying opinions and are capable of
independent thought.

The FCC does not require its staff or commissioners to hold
amateur radio licenses in order to make regulations about US
amateur radio. Why do you, not with the FCC, demand
such adherence to standards which are NOT codified law?

The FCC makes decisions about regulations based on US citizen input and the logic of that input. Most readers have US citizenship and logic capability. I do not demand that they share my viewpoints, just that they listen to another's view with an open mind. Why do you close your mind and demand others adhere to your standards?

>What of importance have you imparted here?

Irrelevant use of the word "importance." This is discussion, debate, argument. All readers can agree, disagree, remain indifferent, as they choose. Readers have that option. They are NOT required to adhere rigidly to your specific personal desires or to the so-called "traditions" demanded to be followed. Most readers can think and reason. Reason and reasonableness is a positive attitude in discussion and debate on laws and policies thereof.

If anything of "importance has been imparted," it is showing the baseness and perfidious attitudes of those long-timers who think that all policy should reflect their wishes just because they think they are so great. You have demonstrated that attitude in great abundance in here. QED.

601. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 05:57:30 UTC
From: lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
Message-ID: <69daiukm4mrdk7jkdnvfm2s60qh9dgset1@4ax.com>
Source record: 48365
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 04 Jul 2002 19:09:09 GMT, "Randy Phelps" <dz@insightbb.com>
wrote:

>Hey, watch it there. I'm a Yank and I'm familiar with 'color' versus
>'colour'. But then again I do speak 3 languages.

None of which is English ? :-)

Nick.

602. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 05:59:42 UTC
From: lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
Message-ID: <sbdaiuch9e0mtegqju7qkuegnqlpmcoj84@4ax.com>
Source record: 48366
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 22:36:03 +0000 (UTC), "Mefix"
<mefix@exudingwounds.hospital> wrote:

>> Turnips have electrons.
>
>This is true - all vegetables such as turnips, potatoes, carrots, parsnips,
>spinnach and Gareth are composed of tiny wee charged particles. :o)
>Turnips are especially tasty when stewed slowly along with carrots,
>mushrooms and a good piece of steak then served with a liberal helping of
>protons (add salt and pepper to taste.)

But whilst cooking, you must avoid too much heat, or all of the
electrons start complaining and leave. :-)

603. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 06:01:17 UTC
From: lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
Message-ID: <sfdaiugljcf4gdq33cnhrb8coqb0rm6boe@4ax.com>
Source record: 48367
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 22:25:47 +0000 (UTC), "Mefix"
<mefix@exudingwounds.hospital> wrote:

>I use expensive Japanese resistors, they're amazing - you can insert them
>into a circuit either way round and they will function properly. Fancy that!
>;^p

Do you have any problems with the colours/colors being in Japanese?
;-)

604. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 06:07:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uiads62t09bb15@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48368

You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I would have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
>
>
> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>
> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>
> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

605. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 06:25:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag3e5klbp0@208.206.142.79>
Source record: 48369
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ag307j$n8q$0@208.206.142.87...
> >
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > What about SMD resistors, Dick? Which end is which?
> > > > >
> > > > What about 'em Cecil? Seen any lately that had a color code?
> > > >
> > > Why do you have trouble reading an upside down resistor value, Dick?
> > >
> > >
> > My, my another buttflux! But no surprise this time - you've been a
> > buttflux all along. So repost where I ever suggested much less said I
> > had any problem reading any color code in any position. Didn't happen,
> > and its no surprise you missed the boat yet again. But some newbies who
> > just learned the color code and have no experience might just read it
> > backwards.
>
> OK, we're worried that a newbie that just learned the color code might read
> a resistor backwards, but we're not worried that a newbie who is just
> learning the code will know which exam to ask for

Only you could be that dumb.
```

606. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 06:29:10 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ameaius9si0qaechsh6jnt4huj0q7dvjso@4ax.com>
Source record: 48370
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 04 Jul 2002 19:09:09 GMT, "Randy Phelps" <dz@insightbb.com>
wrote:

>Hey, watch it there. I'm a Yank and I'm familiar with 'color' versus
>'colour'. But then again I do speak 3 languages.

White American, Black American and Redneck American?

;-)
73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

607. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:07:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705060714.22468.00000431@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 48371

In article <20020703210702.17701.00000239@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020703060640.13189.00000281@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <20020702141808.15110.00000261@mb-bh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020702000618.10462.00000278@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>Len, it's quite clear that you hate the amateur radio service and want it
>to
>>>>die out.
>>>
>>>Not at all. I think it is a fine hobby involving radio.
>>
>>Your postings here indicate otherwise.
>
>Poor baby. Suffering along all these years with a reading deficiency...
>
>Tsk, tsk, tsk...

Nonsense. Your attitude towards amateur radio and radio amateurs has been made
quite clear here over the years.
>
>I've said it a long time ago, have repeated it many times, including several
>times in here: Amateur radio is a fine hobby involving radio.

You've said it a few times in here - in between mountains of words condemning
virtually everyhting in ham radio.
>
>Now let's be fair about it.

HAW! That's a GOOD one!

>There are a number of radio amateurs in
>here who have a very one-sided, personally-biased viewpoint along
>with a too-strong identification of themselves and their experiences
>and their personal desires who insist that "all" amateur radio is
>exactly as they are/do/experience.

There are? Who?

While we're at it, consider non-radio amateurs in here who have a very one-sided, personally-biased viewpoint along with a too-strong identification of themselves and their experiences and their personal desires who insist that amateur radio MUST be what they say it is.

>In particular, the anal-retentive
>PCTAs in HERE who proclaim themselves as gods of radio.

"Now let's be fair about it." Be sure to include the anal-retentive NCTAs in here who proclaim themselves as gods of radio.

>>>I also think that some involved with amateur radio have gone over the edge
>>>and proclaimed themselves as THE spokespersons and representatives of
>>>the hobby, becoming far too involved with the mystique and myths and
>>>legends that were created long ago.

Like those NCI folks, huh? Imagine!
>>
>>That's a really good description of your postings here.
>
>Impossible.

If it happens, it must be possible. It happens.

>I am for the elimination of the morse code test for ANY
>radio license.

So is K2UNK - but he gets his points across without uncivil behavior or insults. He does more to promote the NCTA cause in a single post than you have done in all the years you've been posting here.

You're against the code test and much much more. What we don't know, even after years of reading your rantings, is what you are FOR, except the age requirements for a ham license. Such age requirements are totally unnecessary, and would be harmful to the ARS, but you are for them Interesting. .

>Along the way...and in having to counter the one-sided
>anal-retentive PCTAs...I've had to point out facts of the other radio world
>outside of amateur radio...and to challenge the claims and mythos and
>legends of amateurism that seem to have originated long before WW2.

Had to? Sounds like OCD to me. Tilting at non-existent windmills.

>GETTING INTO amateur radio involves morse code proficiency by a
>test for any license class having below-30-MHz operating privileges.

Sure. What's the big deal? That test has been whittled down to the most basic form imaginable. It will almost certainly disappear next year. That's old news.

>>>I thought it was a forum where the PCTAs could say anydamnthing they
>>>wanted against NCTAs but the vice was not versa.
>>
>>Nope. Your own posts, and those of many others, disprove that. It's quite
>>clear that the nocodetest and anticode folks (like you) say anything they
want -

>>true or not.
>
(N2EY)

> exhibits extreme personal dissatisfaction at being told of his
>perception by others not of his viewpoint. He tries to "disprove" that by
>mirroring others descriptions of him and claiming they are "the image
>of the descriptor's." Sorry, your mirror is broken.

Nope, it works fine. But there are none so blind as you who will not see.
Instead, you insult the messenger.

>>Do you mean K4YZ, who frequently calls you a putz? The guy whose name,
>>profession, and military experience you've repeatedly belittled? Your
>>behavior here has earned you that title, and many more.
>
>By the above statement

(N2EY)

>condones uncivil, insulting
>behavior by an amateur extra who is an obvious PCTA, as is

(N2EY)

Nope. I simply point out that your uncivil, insulting behavior has earned you
the same in response.

You, on the other hand, not only condone such uncivil and insulting behavior,
but constantly engage in it yourself.
>
>That same amateur extra had the first shots of a non-applicable flame
>war concerning professions and military experiences, using uncivil and
>insulting language. Not only that, the same amateur extra received
>complaints from other amateur extras about his behavior in this
>newsgroup. That is all in the newsgroup archives.

So are your uncivil and insulting remarks against anyone who disagrees with you
or points out your mistakes. Even if they do so in a civil fashion, you call
them names, make fun of their jobs, names, etc.

>>>Look at yourself, then, arguing minutae as if it was a life-and-death
>>>situation,
>>
>>"Life and death situation"? Nonsense! I simply point out errors. For
>>example,
>>you claimed that I have referred to myself as a "renowned historian", which
>>is simply not true.
>
>Do you take pride in your "histories" of amateur radio?

There is only one, and it's a history of amateur radio licensing in the USA
since the end of WW2. Very specialized, but relevant to rrap. You have dissed
it, but have not proven one fact of it to be incorrect.

What's not to take pride in?

> Have you said
>that others have complimented you on such "histories?"

I don't recall doing so - but so what if I did? You sound jealous.

>You have claimed
>both in here...including the use of "renown" but not literally preceding the
>word "historian."

Pure gobar. Produce the post where I have done so.

You claimed that I called myself a "renowned historian". The use of quotation
marks indicates a direct quote. But you have not produced any proof.
>
>That is an example of the Extreme Literalist who attempts to "disprove"
>something he already wrote...but one which everyone else has already
>read to be as described.

More gobar. You made the claim, now prove it. All it would take is a google
link. But you won't/can't do that - it would show that you made yet another
mistake.
>
>This same Extreme Literalist syndrome causes a great deal of mis-
>direction in message subject threads, concentrating on the Literalist.
>That is a further indication of a high ego content which demands
>all pay attention to him rather than the subject.

Talking about yourself - again. 'It's all about Lenover21'
>
>>They ARE errors. Your resist to admitting them to an extreme degree, and
>>abuse those who dare to point them out.
>
>No, they are not "resistance to an extreme degree," a ridiculous descriptor
>which tries to magnify an error way beyond proportion. That magnification
>makes them virtually one of "life and death proportions" in a flame war
>ignition.

My description is quite accurate.
>
>Errors exist, typographical errors exist. Everyone has fallen victim to
>them.
>No exceptions.

Including you. And not just typos.
>
>>The gist of your messages is quite clear: You hate amateur radio, the morse
>>code, and want the whole ARS to simply die out and go away - or turn into a
>>high power multiband version of cb.
>
>Incorrect.

No, it's correct. It's the gist many of your readers get. That's the message you send, no matter how you try to hide it. If that is not the message you mean to send, then it's YOUR job to change the content and style of YOUR messages here.

Jim, N2EY

608. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:40:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705064015.08853.00001945@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48372

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> > OK, Mr. Condesending buttflux, ...
>>
>> Feel like you are losing this one, Dick?

> No, Buttflux

Yup, you feel like you are losing this one.

> that just shows that you are not nearly as informed as
>you think. There were a LOT of resistore manufactured with no tolerance
>band.

Of course there were, Dick. The position for the tolerance band was blank.
That indicated a 20% tolerance resistor. I guess I just assumed that you would
know that the blank band had as much meaning as silver or gold. It appears
that in some cases, assuming that another knows something fundamental could be
a mistake.

> Guess you missed that, huh? So you come up with cute little
>derogations.

Guess you missed that, so you come up with juvenile name calling.

>Well, you can always go soak your pointed head! Might wake you up.

Yup, you are losing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

609. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:41:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705064130.08853.00001946@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48373

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> That was the point you skipped right over, simpleton. If you don't know
>better you CAN read it backwards. Were you born knowing that?

Sure, Dick; if one does not know how to read a resistor color code, they might
get it wrong.

Duh!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

610. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:43:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705064333.08853.00001947@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48374

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Do you have any comments for potty mouth or have you reverted bact to your
>double standard?

If you said that without reading my comments first, you are foolish. If you said that after reading my comments, you are disengenuous.

That "double standard" exists only in your mind, Brian. Better "luck" next time.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

611. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:44:39 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705064439.08853.00001948@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48375

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Like calling someone a buttflux?

> When you act like one someone is sure to notice and name it.

Yup, someone is apt to do so. Others are apt to post something that is more meaningful and useful. Your call...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

612. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:47:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705064703.08853.00001949@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48376

>From: "Veni Vidi Velcro" @

>So if the licensing authority decides to award Ham Radio
>licences to all CBers who have held a licence for two years,
>that'd be OK with you?

I don't spend much of my time obsessing over unlikely situations. Wanna' bet $100 that the FCC doesn't give ham radio licences to all CBers who have had licenses for two years? We would use July 5, 2004 as the payoff date.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

613. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:49:47 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705064947.08853.00001950@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48377

From: "KA8DDZ" ka8ddz@hotmail.com

>I was drawing a similarity to the senario in the states of lesser or easy
>exams,

In 1963, I studied the 4 pages in the ARRL study guide to pass my Novice exam. In 1964, I obtained all amateur operating priveleges by studying the 16 pages in the study guide for the General. IMHO, those exams and what was required to pass them were a lot easier than exams are today.

Does anyone think I should be boycotted for having passed such an easy test?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

614. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:51:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705065134.08853.00001951@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48378

From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>> Drawing clod fronts in purple never got anyone killed.
>
>"Clod fronts"???? Was that a weather forecast for Midwest
>Clodhoppers like Dick? :-)

If Brian doesn't chastize himself for incorrect spelling, he is applying a
double standard again.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

615. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:53:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705065307.08853.00001952@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48379

>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com

>Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
>> So I tell you again. By the colour code.
>
>Why should I believe someone who can't even spell "color"? :-)

Now, Cecil, I thought you knew that the British spell the word "colour."

I think that if the British can't learn to speak and spell English correctly,
they should get a language of their own.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

616. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:55:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705065516.08853.00001953@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48380

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Sorry, I don't proof my stuff, despite Dick being a clodhopper..

Bruce doesn't spell well, either. Is this one of those double standard sort of things?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

617. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:57:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705065745.08853.00001954@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48381

From: Walt Davidson walt99@tiscali.co.uk

>I am not color-blind (colour-blind?), but I never trust the markings
>on resistors. I always check each resistor with an ohm-meter before
>using it!

A wise move. In many cases, the orange used by some resistor manufacturers can look red, unless seen next to their red color.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

618. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 10:59:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705065910.08853.00001955@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48382

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Why do you have trouble reading an upside down resistor value, Dick?

> My, my another buttflux!

Wow, Dick; if you keep it up, you will someday decide that everybody is stupid except for yourself.

Hey, OM, if this is the only way you can feel good about yourself, it really is sad.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

619. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:03:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705070323.27948.00000899@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 48384

In article <20020704230746.00610.00000413@mb-fl.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020704180652.01552.00000518@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>"Extreme Literalists"... hmmm...
>>
>>Could they be:
>>
>>The Extreme Literalists who get all worked up over the lack of a specific
>>WORD RATE in the ITU treaty?
>
>No. There is NO word rate specified in the 1958 CCITT document
>referenced in Part 97.3 (26).
>
>There is no specific "treaty" at the ITU. There are only "recommendations"
>and they have NO force of law, only an honor obligation by adminstrations.

Sounds like an Extreme Literalist getting all worked up all right. Answer is
obviously YES.

>>The Extreme Literalists who insist that Morse code sent with Farnsworth
>>spacing is not Morse code?
>
>No.
>
>I've never argued that "Farnsworth spacing" is "different" from "morse
>code" (International Morse Code).

I see. 'It's all about Lenover21'. Answer is obviously YES
>
>>The Extreme Literalists who are come all unglued because a magazine that is
>>no longer published is called "defunct"?
>
>No. My "glue" over Ham Radio Magazine still holds. So does CQ
>and the ARRL who sell a collection of all HR articles from all 22
>years of its publication.

Those are OLD publications. They're a part of HISTORY. (sound familiar?) The
CDs are just a way of reprinting old information. Nothing new is presented. The
magazine called 'Ham Radio' is defunct, just like Atwater Kent is defunct, even
if reproduction radio sets are made with that name on them.

The 4th edition of the 'Radiotron Designer's Handbook' is also available on CD.

>Hardly defunct when it still sells ($150 for
>the three-CD set, shipping charges extra if ordered by mail from
>ARRL, no shipping charges if bought through a retail ham outlet).

Sales tax often applies.

Jim, N2EY

620. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:03:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705070324.27948.00000900@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 48383

In article <%6_U8.541046$Gs.36268200@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "DonB" <7432D63DBB01D03A196B1EDD80E8@comcast.net <7432D63DBB01D03A196B1EDD80E8@comcastt.net> writes:

>Koch is a gradual learning of each character, adding more to your practice >set as you master them (start with 2 letters, add another when you learn the >first two, and so on).

Yup, that's it. When the accuracy reaches 90-95%, one new character is added to the set. Speed is usually set in the 16-18 wpm range so that the characters are heard as units of sound.

The system was worked out by a German psychologist named Koch, and published in 1936.

73 de Jim, N2EY

621. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:03:35 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705070335.08853.00001956@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48385

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> That is the question I asked you, Brian. Care to answer it?

>I don't know.

You don't know if you care to answer the question? I thought you had lost
track of the thread, but I didn't realize it was getting that bad.

Get back to me when you know whether you want to answer the question or not.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

622. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:07:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705070729.08853.00001958@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48386

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ed, not a very good best shot. You failed the attempt. Are you are
>incapable of hypothetical thinking?

Of course I am capable of hypothetical thinking, Brian. Why do you ask?

I generally apply it to situations that I feel it has some value.

If you want me to answer your question hypothetically, I will have to tell you
that I consider the likelihood that I will ever learn that someone is operating
out of their band that the only answer I can give you is that I don't know how
I would react if I found out.

I can tell you that I wouldn't obsess about it on a newsgroup, if that helps.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

623. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:09:49 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705070949.08853.00001959@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48387

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Mike, he's capable of hypothetical thinking in other arenas. Its a double
>standard kind of thing.

Of course it is a double standard, Brian. In some cases, I feel that hypothetical questions and answers have value to a discussion at hand. In that case, I am quite able and willing to make a hypothetical contribution. In others, I feel that the situation and reason for asking the question are so far fetched that it would be foolish to try to give an answer.

Do we not apply such double standards throughout much of our lives?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

624. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:14:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705071459.08853.00001960@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48388

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Fact is, I would presently still have had no interest in an Extra license if
the
>> FCC had not turned my Advanced license into a lame duck.

> I don't believe you. You're using that as a convenient excuse.

As an excuse for what? He upgraded to Extra. Do you think that is a good
thing or a bad thing?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

625. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:18:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705071830.08853.00001961@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48389

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>But Larry won't say these things to you. He says them only to No-Coders.
>Dave, its what is called a double standard.

>Ditto for Dick. Two faced.

Wow, Brian, you forgot me. Maybe we should keep a list of those on rrap you believe are two-faced. So far, it seems to end up being anyone who ever disagrees with you about anything.

Hypothetically, what do you think that means?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

626. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:20:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705072059.08853.00001962@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48390

From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com

>Then why rag on someone for doing an immediate reversal? When you
>are mistaken, are your reversals delayed?

Hypothetically, Cecil, do you think this could be a double standard?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

627. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:23:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705072334.08853.00001963@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48391

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was an OK thing
>to do, till I called him on it.

From what I have seen on his posts, you have not changed his mind that he is responsible only for whether he is operating in the correct part of the band.

>Now he has to defend his misdeeds even if it means destroying my reputation.
>Such is life on rrap. I'm used to it. Blaze away.

I have not seen him say anything that destroyed your reputation, Brian. But if he did and I missed it, I am sorry that you now have a bad reputation on rrap.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

628. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:26:35 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705072635.08853.00001964@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48392

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being a
>specific law against it. Do you disagree?

We all believe that there are things others shouldn't do in spite of their being no law against their doing so. The reason there is no law is probably because we all have a different list of personal unwritten laws.

When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me is licensed to operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your unwritten law?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

629. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:28:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705072831.08853.00001965@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48393

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> That's right. Sometimes, whether you're an American ham not wanting to
>> do something liddy like breaking a DX pileup on CW to ask the op to move
>> up or whether you're a French ham who hears a DX station operating on a
>> frequency not permitted by your regs, it is better not to transmit at
>> all.

>I think you're coming closer and closer to admitting that you knowingly
>worked French hams out of band.

His statement appeared to be hypothetical to me. I would have thought you would
be pleased.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

630. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 11:31:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705073118.08853.00001966@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48394

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Who is Jay Hare?

I don't know. I never met him.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

631. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 12:25:01 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D25901D.5647EA93@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48395
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Dick, reading the color code backwards doesn't change the value of the
> > resistance. You, as an Extra, should know that.
>
> For sure YOU as an alleged engineer should know the possible
> consequences of misreading a resistor color code. But as usual, you
> don't.

The person making the mistake suffers the consequences whether he knows
the color code or not. Reality doesn't care if you know the color code.
Reality only cares if you make a mistake. You may know the color code
perfectly, reach for the correct resistor, and pick up the wrong one.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

632. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 12:27:09 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D25909D.3FB7A05C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48396

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > > Famous last words! "No way"? I just wasn't willing to take that risk.
> > >
> > > But as usual you have no problem with lying through your teeth.
> >
> > As usual, when you run out of rational thoughts (which is most of the
> > time) you resort to ad hominem attacks.
>
> Ad hocky or not, if youse is a liar that's what you is.

Dick, if I didn't change my mind about getting an Extra license then why
do I have one? How could I possibly be lying about changing my mind?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

633. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 12:35:14 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D259282.BA837113@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48397

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > That was the point you skipped right over, simpleton. If you don't know
> >better you CAN read it backwards. Were you born knowing that?
>
> Sure, Dick; if one does not know how to read a resistor color code, they might
> get it wrong.

If one knows how to read a resistor color code, one might get it wrong.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

634. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 12:41:06 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2593E2.55B739AB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48398

W1RFI wrote:
> In 1963, I studied the 4 pages in the ARRL study guide to pass my Novice exam.
> In 1964, I obtained all amateur operating priveleges by studying the 16 pages
> in the study guide for the General.

Your task was harder than mine, Ed. My early 50's ARRL License Manual
had only 3 pages for Novice and 10 pages for General. Seems the exams
have been getting harder over the years rather than easier.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

635. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 12:42:33 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D259439.7366B3BE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48399

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>
> >Veni Vidi Velcro wrote:
> >> So I tell you again. By the colour code.
> >
> >Why should I believe someone who can't even spell "color"? :-)
>
> Now, Cecil, I thought you knew that the British spell the word "colour."

Now Ed, I thought you knew what :-) means.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

636. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 12:46:56 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D259540.971FCF14@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48400

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Fact is, I would presently still have had no interest in an Extra license if
> >> the FCC had not turned my Advanced license into a lame duck.
>
> > I don't believe you. You're using that as a convenient excuse.
>
> As an excuse for what? He upgraded to Extra. Do you think that is a good
> thing or a bad thing?

Dick didn't want me in "his" sandbox.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

637. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 12:48:56 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2595B8.9FF04596@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48501

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>
> >Then why rag on someone for doing an immediate reversal? When you
> >are mistaken, are your reversals delayed?
>
> Hypothetically, Cecil, do you think this could be a double standard?

Hypothetically, I can't tell if Dave is objecting to the speed of the
reversal or the reversal itself.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

638. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 13:13:13 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ag4616$601$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 48502

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uiads62t09bb15@corp.supernews.com...
> You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I
would
> have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

At the speed I'm learning at, it will take me that long
(40 years) just to be able to pass the 5wpm test anyway!
I did download the G4FON program and it does look
promising. But OTOH so did Super Morse, Morse
Academy, MorseCat, Morse Trainer, and Morse MIDI.

Oh well at least I'm trying! :^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

639. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 13:22:30 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ag46ij$64l$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 48510

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705065745.08853.00001954@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: Walt Davidson walt99@tiscali.co.uk
>
> >I am not color-blind (colour-blind?), but I never trust the markings
> >on resistors. I always check each resistor with an ohm-meter before
> >using it!
>
> A wise move. In many cases, the orange used by some resistor
manufacturers can
> look red, unless seen next to their red color.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

I built one of those Ten Tec 705 desk mics and you aren't kidding. The red
and
orange are "almost" the same color. So I broke out the trusty DVOM and went
for it. And I'm sure glad I did it that way also, as it worked the first
time :^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

640. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 13:31:30 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ag473f$64u$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 48511

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705064703.08853.00001949@mb-mk.aol.com...
> >From: "Veni Vidi Velcro" @
>
> >So if the licensing authority decides to award Ham Radio
> >licences to all CBers who have held a licence for two years,
> >that'd be OK with you?
>
> I don't spend much of my time obsessing over unlikely situations. Wanna'
bet
> $100 that the FCC doesn't give ham radio licences to all CBers who have
had
> licenses for two years? We would use July 5, 2004 as the payoff date.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

I've held a CB radio license since 5/12/1975 (expired 1980) and the FCC
gave me a ham radio license, does that count? If so can I have the $100 ;^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

641. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 13:44:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705094442.05876.00002650@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48503

From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com

>> Now, Cecil, I thought you knew that the British spell the word "colour."
>
>Now Ed, I thought you knew what :-) means.

I do, Cecil, but I had to point out the spelling difference before I made my second point. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

642. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 13:58:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rChV8.1298$EG.40715416@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48504

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705065516.08853.00001953@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Sorry, I don't proof my stuff, despite Dick being a clodhopper..
>
> Bruce doesn't spell well, either. Is this one of those double standard
sort of
> things?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Brooz iza kronik mispeler.

643. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:00:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bEhV8.1300$qL.41014391@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48505
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag3e5k$lbp$0@208.206.142.79...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:ag307j$n8q$0@208.206.142.87...
> > >
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > > What about SMD resistors, Dick? Which end is which?
> > > > >
> > > > > What about 'em Cecil? Seen any lately that had a color code?
> > > >
> > > > Why do you have trouble reading an upside down resistor value, Dick?
> > >
> > > My, my another buttflux! But no surprise this time - you've been a
> > > buttflux all along. So repost where I ever suggested much less said I
> > > had any problem reading any color code in any position. Didn't happen,
> > > and its no surprise you missed the boat yet again. But some newbies
who
> > > just learned the color code and have no experience might just read it
> > > backwards.
> >
> > OK, we're worried that a newbie that just learned the color code might
read
> > a resistor backwards, but we're not worried that a newbie who is just
> > learning the code will know which exam to ask for
>
> Only you could be that dumb.

Its a more likely scenario than your idiotic concerns.
```

644. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:03:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <uGhV8.1302$1N.41104642@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48506

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705064333.08853.00001947@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Do you have any comments for potty mouth or have you reverted bact to
your
> >double standard?
>
> If you said that without reading my comments first, you are foolish. If
you
> said that after reading my comments, you are disengenuous.
>
> That "double standard" exists only in your mind, Brian. Better "luck"
next
> time.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, I think my comments are keeping you -more- consistent in the application
in your condemnation of potty mouths. Not perfect, but you're getting
better. Without my comments, you would apply them only to the PCTA.

645. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:05:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GIhV8.1303$HN.41146707@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48507

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag36tn$72h$0@208.206.142.92...

> In fact, Btian himself seems to qualify.

OK Bruce, time to clean it up.

646. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:11:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rOhV8.1304$xO.41201708@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48508

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705070335.08853.00001956@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> That is the question I asked you, Brian. Care to answer it?
>
> >I don't know.
>
> You don't know if you care to answer the question? I thought you had lost
> track of the thread, but I didn't realize it was getting that bad.
>
> Get back to me when you know whether you want to answer the question or
not.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, your use of "un-stupid" was an unnecessary distraction. Please try to stick with English in future responses.

Prove the ARRL told it 12 years ago. Keeping it secret for 12 years then making the proclamation was "stupid," to use your words. I would call it dishonest.

647. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:13:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kQhV8.1305$NK.40972597@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48509

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705010417.01531.00000184@mb-fa.aol.com...
> In article <3D2513D1.CD839E5D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
>
> >I've encountered you here for a number of years. I've not yet noticed
> >you being civil but I have noted you saying anything you want.
>
> Dave poor baby..."being civil to you" is not defined as agreeing
> to everything you write or accepting all the trash you say about
> others.
>
> You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here,
> your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself. Try
> approaching a subject some time. Try doing it with an open
> mind rather than reacting with rage against all those "unworthies"
> who do not believe in you and accept all your opinions.

Len, why is it appropriate for Steve and Dave to comment on your career, but
you cannot comment on their careers?

648. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:15:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RRhV8.1307$8O.41176393@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48512

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705010417.01531.00000185@mb-fa.aol.com...
> In article <3D25174E.74514CF9@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
writes:
>
> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >> You cannot write
> >> to "Ham Radio" magazine at ANY address and request reprints, etc.
> >>
> >I'll bet you a lobster dinner that I can write to "Ham Radio" at
> >ANY address and request reprints.
>
> Heh heh heh...for $150 anyone can get 22 years of Ham Radio
> Magazine on CDs and print out paper copies all they want
> for only the cost of paper and ink...considerably cheaper than
> the $3/page charge for ARRL members ($5/page non-members).
>
> Oh, pity those poor Salem judges...their wood is all wet and
> they can't light a bonfire to keep their icy-cold hearts warm.
>
> To them, paraphrasing: "Ain't life a witch?" :-)

Is that all 22 years of "Ham Radio" is worth? It was considered the best
technical amateur radio rag going.

649. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:20:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <uWhV8.1312$aR.41363699@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48513

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705070949.08853.00001959@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Mike, he's capable of hypothetical thinking in other arenas. Its a
double
> >standard kind of thing.
>
> Of course it is a double standard, Brian. In some cases, I feel that
> hypothetical questions and answers have value to a discussion at hand. In
that
> case, I am quite able and willing to make a hypothetical contribution. In
> others, I feel that the situation and reason for asking the question are
so far
> fetched that it would be foolish to try to give an answer.
>
> Do we not apply such double standards throughout much of our lives?

Ed, you would answer the question if Dave's rep weren't on the line. Why do
you feel you must protect Dave at all costs?

This is part of the definition of Cronyism, and it is, of course, a double
standard.

650. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:23:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cZhV8.3202$9O6.145837179@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48515

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705070729.08853.00001958@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Ed, not a very good best shot. You failed the attempt. Are you are
> >incapable of hypothetical thinking?
>
> Of course I am capable of hypothetical thinking, Brian. Why do you ask?
>
> I generally apply it to situations that I feel it has some value.
>
> If you want me to answer your question hypothetically, I will have to tell
you
> that I consider the likelihood that I will ever learn that someone is
operating
> out of their band that the only answer I can give you is that I don't
know how
> I would react if I found out.
>
> I can tell you that I wouldn't obsess about it on a newsgroup, if that
helps.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Cronyism.

651. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:23:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dZhV8.3203$9O6.145837179@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48514

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag37d9$72h$3@208.206.142.92...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > Dave, I hold the high ground
>
> You wouldn't recognize high ground if you tripped over it.

Dick, you're looking mighty small from up here.

652. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:24:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8_hV8.3204$eZ6.146495802@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48516

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705072334.08853.00001963@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was an OK
thing
> >to do, till I called him on it.
>
> From what I have seen on his posts, you have not changed his mind that he
is
> responsible only for whether he is operating in the correct part of the
band.
>
> >Now he has to defend his misdeeds even if it means destroying my
reputation.
> >Such is life on rrap. I'm used to it. Blaze away.
>
> I have not seen him say anything that destroyed your reputation, Brian.
But if
> he did and I missed it, I am sorry that you now have a bad reputation on
rrap.

Ed, ditto for you. Cronyism at its worst.

653. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:25:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3%hV8.3206$kZ6.146501949@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48517

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag37jl$72h$5@208.206.142.92...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D24D435.BA0694C@hotmail.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > > >
> > > > Mine is not. I can readily admit that I was wrong.
> > >
> > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > --
> > > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> >
> > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
>
> Certainly not something you have to deal with on any regular basis.

Dick, but more often that I would like. Have you ever been wrong?
```

654. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:33:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <M6iV8.3212$H_6.146585664@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48518

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705072635.08853.00001964@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being a
> >specific law against it. Do you disagree?
>
> We all believe that there are things others shouldn't do in spite of their
> being no law against their doing so. The reason there is no law is
probably
> because we all have a different list of personal unwritten laws.

Show us your short list.

> When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me is licensed
to
> operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your unwritten law?

Nor do I. But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to are out of band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm within my band limits? Who wants to talk to bootleggers anway?

When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz linked 2M repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just because you are within your operating privelege?

You and Dave say YES to both questions!

And then question my reputation.

Cronyism at its worst.

655. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:34:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <k8iV8.3213$b%6.146618469@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48519

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag37rb$72h$7@208.206.142.92...

> Tiem to dump SWINE back into the bit buckert. He's gottem far too much
> like Loose Lennie and Cecil.

Bruce, dream on. You have no power over me.

656. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:36:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Y9iV8.3214$A%6.146643233@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48520

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705072831.08853.00001965@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> That's right. Sometimes, whether you're an American ham not wanting to
> >> do something liddy like breaking a DX pileup on CW to ask the op to
move
> >> up or whether you're a French ham who hears a DX station operating on a
> >> frequency not permitted by your regs, it is better not to transmit at
> >> all.
>
> >I think you're coming closer and closer to admitting that you knowingly
> >worked French hams out of band.
>
> His statement appeared to be hypothetical to me. I would have thought you
would
> be pleased.

I am. Dave is showing some promise. He'll come clean one day and will
rejoice at the new freedom it will give his dark soul.

657. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:37:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TaiV8.3216$dW6.146317419@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48521

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705071830.08853.00001961@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >But Larry won't say these things to you. He says them only to
No-Coders.
> >Dave, its what is called a double standard.
>
> >Ditto for Dick. Two faced.
>
> Wow, Brian, you forgot me. Maybe we should keep a list of those on rrap
you
> believe are two-faced. So far, it seems to end up being anyone who ever
> disagrees with you about anything.
>
> Hypothetically, what do you think that means?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ditto for Ed. Hypothetically its called Cronyism.

658. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:41:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BeiV8.3221$627.146790752@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48522

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705073118.08853.00001966@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Who is Jay Hare?
>
> I don't know. I never met him.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

He was cited at the end of -your- list of QST articles.

659. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:43:18 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D25B086.8DECCE01@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48523

Brian P Burke wrote:
> Dick, but more often that I would like. Have you ever been wrong?

Has he ever been right? :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

660. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:51:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PniV8.3227$%37.146914452@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48524

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D25B086.8DECCE01@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > Dick, but more often that I would like. Have you ever been wrong?
>
> Has he ever been right? :-)
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

hehehe.

Cecil, you must know by now that Crony Dick is always right. As is Crony Ed
and Crony Dave.

Have I left anyone out else Crony Ed will say I have a double standard?

661. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 14:58:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705105826.05882.00002653@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48525

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/05/02 01:04 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020705010417.01531.00000184@mb-fa.aol.com>

>They are NOT an "issue" except to yourself and that other ego-need
>creature. You, repeat YOU keep bring this non-issue up.

Liar, liar, pant's on fire, Lennie!

It really is a pathological thing, isn't it? You seriously believe that you are NOT doing this, don't you?

Help, Lennie H. Seek help before your life is over and you find yourself having to answer questions to someone who won't let you get away with your lying anymore.

You are NOT telling the truth. Period.

662. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 15:01:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705110142.05882.00002655@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48526

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>Date: 07/04/02 23:49 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D25174E.74514CF9@hotmail.com>
>

>I'll bet you a lobster dinner that I can write to "Ham Radio" at
>ANY address and request reprints.

Not from the original publisher you can't. Nor the original editor, nor
can you obtain a new subscription to an on-going circulation.

Steve

663. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 15:57:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag4fm3jc0@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48527

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> > OK, Mr. Condesending buttflux, ...
> >>
> >> Feel like you are losing this one, Dick?
>
> > No, Buttflux
>
> Yup, you feel like you are losing this one.
>
> > that just shows that you are not nearly as informed as
> >you think. There were a LOT of resistore manufactured with no tolerance
> >band.
>
> Of course there were, Dick. The position for the tolerance band was blank.
> That indicated a 20% tolerance resistor. I guess I just assumed that you would
> know that the blank band had as much meaning as silver or gold. It appears
> that in some cases, assuming that another knows something fundamental could be
> a mistake.
>
> > Guess you missed that, huh? So you come up with cute little
> >derogations.
>
> Guess you missed that, so you come up with juvenile name calling.
>
> >Well, you can always go soak your pointed head! Might wake you up.
>
> Yup, you are losing.

Losing *WHAT*?????

One can only assume that you've reverted to your 60's pothead days and
have gone into hallucinations. To lose something, there has to be a
contest. If you think that my simple assertion that a newcomer might
indeed read a resistor color code backwards in the absence of sufficient
experience to know better, you are hallucinating without question. If
you think I don't know how to read a resistor color code I pity you. I
was reading all versions of them while you were sucking the pot as a
preteen. No, I haven't forgotten how. If you think a newcomer could
never read a color code in reverse that's your shortcoming, not mine.
Live with it instead of dumping on me.

If there is a contest it's in your imagination, and there it will stay.
Me calling you a buttflux was response to your lunatic post. Do it
again, and most likely so will I. You're a mess,

664. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 16:03:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag4g0f$11p$0@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48528
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Dick, reading the color code backwards doesn't change the value of the
> > > resistance. You, as an Extra, should know that.
> >
> > For sure YOU as an alleged engineer should know the possible
> > consequences of misreading a resistor color code. But as usual, you
> > don't.
>
> The person making the mistake suffers the consequences whether he knows
> the color code or not. Reality doesn't care if you know the color code.
> Reality only cares if you make a mistake. You may know the color code
> perfectly, reach for the correct resistor, and pick up the wrong one.

So you're out of touch with reality. We already knew that, of course.
```

665. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 16:04:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag4g36$11p$1@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 48529

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > Famous last words! "No way"? I just wasn't willing to take that risk.
> > > >
> > > > But as usual you have no problem with lying through your teeth.
> > >
> > > As usual, when you run out of rational thoughts (which is most of the
> > > time) you resort to ad hominem attacks.
> >
> > Ad hocky or not, if youse is a liar that's what you is.
>
> Dick, if I didn't change my mind about getting an Extra license then why
> do I have one? How could I possibly be lying about changing my mind?

When you say you will never get an Extra the go ahead and do so, you
haven't just changed your mind, you lied. Everyone but you knows that.
```

666. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 16:45:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <03kV8.3447$TF3.140428318@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48530

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag4fm3jc0@208.206.142.92...
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >> > OK, Mr. Condesending buttflux, ...
> > >>
> > >> Feel like you are losing this one, Dick?
> >
> > > No, Buttflux
> >
> > Yup, you feel like you are losing this one.
> >
> > > that just shows that you are not nearly as informed as
> > >you think. There were a LOT of resistore manufactured with no tolerance
> > >band.
> >
> > Of course there were, Dick. The position for the tolerance band was
blank.
> > That indicated a 20% tolerance resistor. I guess I just assumed that
you would
> > know that the blank band had as much meaning as silver or gold. It
appears
> > that in some cases, assuming that another knows something fundamental
could be
> > a mistake.
> >
> > > Guess you missed that, huh? So you come up with cute little
> > >derogations.
> >
> > Guess you missed that, so you come up with juvenile name calling.
> >
> > >Well, you can always go soak your pointed head! Might wake you up.
> >
> > Yup, you are losing.
>
> Losing *WHAT*?????
>
> One can only assume that you've reverted to your 60's pothead days and
> have gone into hallucinations. To lose something, there has to be a
> contest.

Dick, apparently you were in a contest with yourself and you were the big loser. You've lost your self-respect.

If you can't respect yourself, you can't respect anyone else. And you respect no one on rrap.

Get a grip, play nice, lose the cronyism. If you disagree, prepare a rational argument and respond without all the name calling and sniping.

Good luck on getting your self respect back.

73, Brian

667. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 17:25:45 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D25D699.4CEF6D19@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48531

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
> >I'll bet you a lobster dinner that I can write to "Ham Radio" at
> >ANY address and request reprints.
>
> Not from the original publisher you can't. Nor the original editor, nor
> can you obtain a new subscription to an on-going circulation.

So it's a bet? Give me ANY address in the entire world, Steve, and
I will request reprints from that address. You lose. You didn't
say I couldn't *obtain* reprints, you said I couldn't *request* reprints.
I can request ANYTHING in the world from ANY address in the world.

You remind me of the woman at the CA DMV who told me I couldn't transfer
my ham plates from my motor home to my pickup. I told her, too late,
I've already done it. You owe me a lobster dinner.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

668. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 17:28:58 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D25D75A.5AA065DC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48532
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > The person making the mistake suffers the consequences whether he knows
> > the color code or not. Reality doesn't care if you know the color code.
> > Reality only cares if you make a mistake. You may know the color code
> > perfectly, reach for the correct resistor, and pick up the wrong one.
>
> So you're out of touch with reality. We already knew that, of course.

Exactly what in my statement above is incorrect, Dick? Seems you are the
one who lives in a different universe from the rest of us where knowing
the resistor color code protects you from every making a mistake for
the rest of your life.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

669. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 17:35:03 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D25D8C7.8AD26B07@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48533

Dick Carroll wrote:
> When you say you will never get an Extra the go ahead and do so, you
> haven't just changed your mind, you lied. Everyone but you knows that.

Have you ever said you would never do something and wound up doing it?
After that gigantic hangover, you never said, "I will never touch another
drop of booze"? Every human being has said they weren't going to do
something and then did it later anyway, Dick. If you are human, you have
done exactly that many times in your lifetime! Get real!
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

670. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 17:38:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0207050938.38044255@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48534

Angel G <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message news:<200275-13842-904775@foorum.com>...
> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>
> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>
> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!

Sounds like this guy isn't just against the code TEST. Wants those of us who actually use the code to die.

Remember this the next time somebody writes 'nobody is against the code, just the test'.

Jim, N2EY

671. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 17:42:47 UTC
From: Randy Phelps <dz@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <rUkV8.293633$6m5.263091@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 48535
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> But then again I do speak 3 languages.

> None of which is English ? :-)

Of course not. I haven't yet found a place in this country yet that teaches english. hehe

672. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 17:43:16 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D25DAB4.C2A3A408@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48536

N2EY wrote:
>
> Angel G <cw@badmode.com> wrote:
> > God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>
> Sounds like this guy isn't just against the code TEST. Wants those of
> us who actually use the code to die.

Sounds to me like an angry member of the PCTA suffering from sour grapes
posting anonymously to try to stir up ill feelings toward the gentle NCTA
folk. Nice try, didn't work.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

673. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 17:46:30 UTC
From: Randy Phelps <dz@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <WXkV8.293651$6m5.261719@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 48537
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >Hey, watch it there. I'm a Yank and I'm familiar with 'color' versus
> >'colour'. But then again I do speak 3 languages.

> White American, Black American and Redneck American?

Proper American.

At least I try. :)

To many members of my family use Redneck American, or Southern American.

674. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 18:17:35 UTC
From: andy <music@soon.com>
Message-ID: <ag4p57$qc2$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 48539
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> The aptly named "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:bEhV8.1300$qL.41014391@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:ag3e5klbp0@208.206.142.79...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > OK, we're worried that a newbie that just learned the color code might
> read
> > > a resistor backwards, but we're not worried that a newbie who is just
> > > learning the code will know which exam to ask for
> > Only you could be that dumb.
> Its a more likely scenario than your idiotic concerns.

Children. Please!

If you can't play nicely then, please, at least stop cross-posting your tantrums to the civilised (European, if you were uncertain) side of the Atlantic.

675. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 18:36:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D25E749.90960B96@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48538

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D250927.3B84BE47@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
> > >
> > > Dave, what indignation? I merely pointed out the error of your ways. >
> It couldn't be much simpler than that.
> >
> > The self-righteous indignation you've exhibited
>
> Dave, wishful thinking. I've exhibited no such indignation, self-righteous
> or not.

Why would I wish for you to be self-righteously indignant? You are the
individual attempting to lay the wrong doing of others at my feet.

> each of the several
> > times you've attempted to trot out this tale in order to make it appear
> > that I was guilty of some wrong doing. That indignation. You pointed
> > out the error of the ways of some French 6m ops and have tried to take
> > me to task on it. Allow me to suggest once again that you contact the
> > French PTT and REF and vent your spleen on them. The alternative is for
> > you to continue your ranting toward me while making yourself look like a
> > complete boob.
>
> A complete boob, huh?

Yep.

> Dave, you're the one on thin moral ice. Mend your ways, and stop defending
> an indefensible position. That is all.

I've defended my position ably and it has been an easy thing to do.
Your "moral thin ice-mend your ways" stuff is simply more self-righteous
indignation on your part.

Up until now, you've not answered the question (you're big on telling
folks to answer the question): Have you contacted the French PTT and REF
and brought the matter before them. If you haven't done so, you are

really not interested in the issue at all.

Dave K8MN

676. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 18:41:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D25E859.6655D031@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48540

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2509CC.F362E7DA@earthlink.net...
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > You old enough to remember Students Wildly Indignent about Nearly
> > > Everything. SWINE
> > >
> > I must have missed it but I like the concept. I was fond of Charles
> > Schulz portrayal of Linus as a "wild-eyed fanatic without a cause" back
> > in the early sixties. I'm expecting Brian to drop by and spray paint
> > protest slogans on my car.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, I hold the high ground re: your working French Hams out of band. And
> I detest grafitti. You can claim that I"m a vandal all day long, but I'm
> not. It just makes you look even sillier.

Brian, it would be impossible for me to top your act in the silliness
department. The high ground you think you hold is illusory. I wasn't
"out of band" when working French hams on 6m from Tanzania. If there
were French hams out of band while working me, you need to contact the
French PTT or REF. I don't know how many times I need write this before
you catch on. Protest the behavior of French scofflaw hams.

...and I didn't accuse you of being a vandal, only of not knowing what
your mission is.

Dave K8MN
```

677. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 18:44:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48541

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > >
> > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> >
> > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
>
> Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants us to believe.
> Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was an OK thing
> to do, till I called him on it.

I've never been out of band while working French hams. So what is it
that you called me on?

> Now he has to defend his misdeeds even if it means destroying my
> reputation. Such is life on rrap. I'm used to it. Blaze away.

What misdeeds? What reputation?

Dave K8MN

678. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 18:46:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D25E96F.82256767@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48542

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D250D05.510B7C35@earthlink.net...
>
> > Of course none of us here assembled has ever witnessed such action on
> > your part.
>
> Steve claims that I can admit when I"m wrong; are you calling Steve a liar?
>
> We have not witnessed you ever admitting being wrong. Imagine that. Here's
> your chance.

I was wrong about you. I used to think of you as rational.

Dave K8MN

679. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 18:47:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D25E9D1.784BAE33@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48543

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
> here
> > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > >
> > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> >
> > Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no amateur
> > radio regulations of the country from which I operated. Perhaps you can
> > assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being a
> specific law against it. Do you disagree?

Name them.

Dave K8MN
```

680. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 19:01:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D25ED0F.C831C0D6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48544

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D250CBB.1C803834@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
> here
> > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > >
> > > Not the ones that carry the weight of an FCC regulation. I have no
> > > authority over those-only the ability to read them as they are.
> >
> > So you are willing to reverse any recently declared stand except those
> > involving an FCC reg? What shall we call that--partial integrity?
> > regulatory integrity?
>
> Boy are you doing poorly on this one. Just admit you screwed up.

In what way am I doing poorly? In what way did I screw up. I noted
that you'd rather respond in this way than to answer the questions.

> > > > Larry and Dick thinks you'll go astray of the true and shining path
> if
> > > you
> > > > > use something other than CW.
> > > >
> > > > Then you can pursue that topic with Larry and Dick.
> > >
> > > But Larry won't say these things to you. He says them only to
> No-Coders.
> > > Dave, its what is called a double standard.
> > >
> > > Ditto for Dick. Two faced.
> >
> > Again, you need to take the matter up with Dick and Larry. I operate
> > phone, CW, RTTY, etc. If you want to express your indignation, you need
> > to express it where it might do some good.
>
> Why would there be any indignation over a double standard?

Your manner of writing indicates indignation. Again, I'm not involved
in your pissing contest with Larry or Dick. Feel free to work out your

angst with either or both of them.

> The situation is much like
> > directing your ire over the habits of scofflaw French 6m ops toward me
> > instead of contacting the French PTT and REF.
>
> Scofflaw French 6M Ops? Is that anything like admitting that you knew they
> were out of band? I think it is.

You'd be wrong. If French hams worked me while operating out of their
assigned 6m segments, they'd be scofflaws. Have you taken the matter up
with the French PTT or the REF?

> Just how long will it take for you to admit it?

It won't be during this life.

> > > > Good for you. I don't operate out of band either. Your view on
> working
> > > > the trailer trash crowd out of band is a good one too.
> > >
> > > What trailer trash? Do you think the French have "manufactured
> housing?"
> >
> > Well what whole class of folks were you talking about if not the trailer
> > trash?
>
> So the French do have manufactured housing?

I'd assume they do. I've heard that they even have indoor plumbing and
satellite TV. What whole class of folks were you writing of?

> > > > > Then, apparently, you really didn't need him that badly.
> > > >
> > > > What would possess you to write that?
> > >
> > > You didn't even try.
> >
> > That's right. Sometimes, whether you're an American ham not wanting to
> > do something liddy like breaking a DX pileup on CW to ask the op to move
> > up or whether you're a French ham who hears a DX station operating on a
> > frequency not permitted by your regs, it is better not to transmit at
> > all.
>
> I think you're coming closer and closer to admitting that you knowingly
> worked French hams out of band.

Once again, you're reading between the lines rather than reading the
material as presented. I've told you what I'd do and not do. I can't
speak for what French hams will do.

> > > > > Here's the reciprocol of that scenario: Ask the French hams to move
> > > into

> > > > > their legal band limits and you colud follow them and continue your
> > > little
> > > > > pileup.
> > > > >
> > > > I don't see that as a *reciprocal* at all. If I wanted to operate up
> > > > the band, I'd be operating up the band. French ops were not the only
> > > > Europeans who called me, Brian.
> > > >
> > > Oh well, I tried to find a solution for you that you were not capable >
> of thinking of for yourself.
> >
> > I don't recall asking for a solution. In your "reciprocol" scenario, I
> > didn't even have a problem which required a solution.
>
> Yes, you did. You complained about a pileup on 14.132 MHz phone, but > you couldn't
operate phone there. I offered a solution. You rejected > it because you suddenly found
religion.

No, Brian. I found that the solution to my problem was not to transmit
a) illegally on SSB or b) in a liddy manner by using CW to call the SSB
DX station running a pileup. I would use self restraint. Neither of
these choices involved consulting you for advice.

Now perhaps is the time for you to address the issue of self-restraint
by some French 6m operators by contacting the French PTT or the REF.
I'd think it would be more productive use of your time than to attempt
tackling the issue by accusing the DX station they called of wrong
doing.

Dave K8MN

681. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 19:11:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D25EF5A.B696EFBC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48545

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D250D7D.7F0ACDBD@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D248379.62AD37B9@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D23DBB3.7BC6CD9D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D2307FA.B44587B0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D227B4D.1F34E1BF@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You have proof that I had copies of the French regs and
> chose
> > > > to
> > > > > > > > > ignore
> > > > > > > > > > them?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Get a life.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave, quit being silly. If a French ham states their
> operating
> > > > > privs >
> > > > > > > > > on 6M, why would you call him a liar?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > At no time did any French ham worked by me ever state his
> > > "operating
> > > > > > > > > privs" on 6m. At no time did I call the French station
> referenced
> > > by
> > > > > > > > > you here, a liar.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Get a life.
```

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave, then if he wasn't lying, why do you disbelieve him?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Disbelieve him? I don't even know him.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't know him either. Do you personally know all of the people
> at
> > > > the
> > > > > > FCC who write Part 97?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't know any of them, Brian.
> > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Do YOU believe Part 97?
> >
> > Oh, very much so, Brian.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> But you don't know any of the people who wrote Part 97.

I don't believe I do. Why, did the French guy write a portion of Part
97?

> And your argument
> is that you don't believe the French ham who came on here and gave
> French 6M band limits because you didn't know him.

I don't know him and didn't see his posting. I only have some stuff
which you posted and which you claim came from a French ham. I don't
even know that he knows what he's talking about. What weight should his
post here carry with me? Was he with the REF or French PTT?

> Dave, again, if someone made you aware that you were working foreign
> hams which were operating out of band, would you keep making contacts > with them,
knowing even though you were within your band limits, that > they were illegal?

I still don't know for a fact whether the French or any other station I
worked on 6m except for the two Americans I qso'd from Tanzania were
within their authorizations. I don't have copies of of the
authorizations for all countries and if I did, I wouldn't bother
consulting the authorization tables before replying. I can only be
responsible for the operation of my station. It is entirely up to the
other station how he operates his equipment. My presence on a frequency
I am permitted to use cannot be used as an excuse for the illegal
operation by another. I don't operate my equipment outside my
authorized allocation. Again, since your issue is the illegal 6m

operation of French hams, you need to address this issue to the French PTT or the REF. Have you yet done so?

Dave K8MN

682. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 19:15:48 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ag4sdpndv1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 48546
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Randy Phelps" <dz@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:rUkV8.293633$6m5.263091@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> > But then again I do speak 3 languages.
>
>
> > None of which is English ? :-)
>
> Of course not. I haven't yet found a place in this country yet that teaches
> english. hehe

It shows.

683. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 19:43:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D25F6EB.CCD857C7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48547

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020705072635.08853.00001964@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being a
> > >specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> >
> > We all believe that there are things others shouldn't do in spite of their
> > being no law against their doing so. The reason there is no law is
> probably
> > because we all have a different list of personal unwritten laws.
>
> Show us your short list.
>
> > When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me is licensed
> to
> > operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your unwritten law?
>
> Nor do I. But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to are out of
> band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm within my > band limits?

Please produce any evidence that I did so.

> Who wants to talk to bootleggers anway?

Bootleggers are unlicensed station, Brian. Come to think of it, I'd
stay off the bands if I were you since I'm now making you aware of the
fact that any station you QSO could be an unlicensed individual using a
made up callsign or "borrowing" the callsign of another. You can't be
too careful.
>
> When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz linked 2M
> repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just because you are
> within your operating privelege?

I don't personally know any Novices, Brian. What's more, I don't
personally know any of the French hams I worked on 6m from Tanzania.

> You and Dave say YES to both questions!

Beep. Wrong.

> And then question my reputation.

You risked your own reputation when you set out to sully the reputation of another, Brian. You'll just have to live with it.

> Cronyism at its worst.

Self-righteous indignation at its worst.

Dave K8MN

684. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 19:48:23 UTC
From: lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
Message-ID: <sttbiu0f7nieiimthrg4pboob4d6v1sglb@4ax.com>
Source record: 48548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 05 Jul 2002 17:42:47 GMT, "Randy Phelps" <dz@insightbb.com>
wrote:

>Of course not. I haven't yet found a place in this country yet that teaches
>english. hehe

Damn fine Spanish in Ca. though. :-)

Nick.

685. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 19:53:50 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48549

On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I would
>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
>
>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!

You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I wouldn't be surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become more difficult.

686. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:27:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705162707.14503.00000593@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48403

In article <kQhV8.1305$NK.40972597@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020705010417.01531.00000184@mb-fa.aol.com...
>> In article <3D2513D1.CD839E5D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>>
>> >I've encountered you here for a number of years. I've not yet noticed
>> >you being civil but I have noted you saying anything you want.
>>
>> Dave poor baby..."being civil to you" is not defined as agreeing
>> to everything you write or accepting all the trash you say about
>> others.
>>
>> You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here,
>> your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself. Try
>> approaching a subject some time. Try doing it with an open
>> mind rather than reacting with rage against all those "unworthies"
>> who do not believe in you and accept all your opinions.
>
>Len, why is it appropriate for Steve and Dave to comment on your career, but
>you cannot comment on their careers?

heh heh...you have to ask? :-)

It should be obvious. They are pre-restructuring extras, therefore they
are GODS OF RADIO. No mere mortals can approach their
magnificence nor outshine their heavenly lights. They have told us
that in thousands of messages. :-) :-) :-) :-)

687. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:27:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705162707.14503.00000594@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48550

In article <PniV8.3227$%37.146914452@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>hehehe.
>
>Cecil, you must know by now that Crony Dick is always right. As is Crony Ed
>and Crony Dave.
>
>Have I left anyone out else Crony Ed will say I have a double standard?

...as Walter Crony-kite might sign off, "And that's the way it was..."

:-)

688. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:27:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705162708.14503.00000595@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48401

In article <Y9iV8.3214$A%6.146643233@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> >I think you're coming closer and closer to admitting that you knowingly
>> >worked French hams out of band.
>>
>> His statement appeared to be hypothetical to me. I would have thought you would
>> be pleased.
>
>I am. Dave is showing some promise. He'll come clean one day and will
>rejoice at the new freedom it will give his dark soul.

I disagree. The "Darth Vaders" of the world only achieve enlightenment in the movies... :-)

689. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:27:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705162708.14503.00000596@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48404

In article <uWhV8.1312$aR.41363699@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020705070949.08853.00001959@mb-mk.aol.com...
>> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>>
>> >Mike, he's capable of hypothetical thinking in other arenas. Its a double
>> >standard kind of thing.
>>
>> Of course it is a double standard, Brian. In some cases, I feel that
>> hypothetical questions and answers have value to a discussion at hand. In
that
>> case, I am quite able and willing to make a hypothetical contribution. In
>> others, I feel that the situation and reason for asking the question are so
far
>> fetched that it would be foolish to try to give an answer.
>>
>> Do we not apply such double standards throughout much of our lives?
>
>Ed, you would answer the question if Dave's rep weren't on the line. Why do
>you feel you must protect Dave at all costs?
>
>This is part of the definition of Cronyism, and it is, of course, a double
>standard.

"Cronyism" isn't cronyism if practiced by two cronies. This extends to Extras, especially. :-)

If one crony exhibits personal discomfort via being pierced by ordinary news-group barbs (deserved) and being deflated, then the other crony must come to the rescue. Maybe it is a "pack thing" for survival? :-)

690. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:27:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705162710.14503.00000597@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48405

In article <RRhV8.1307$8O.41176393@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020705010417.01531.00000185@mb-fa.aol.com...
>> In article <3D25174E.74514CF9@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
>writes:
>>
>> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> >> You cannot write
>> >> to "Ham Radio" magazine at ANY address and request reprints, etc.
>> >
>> >I'll bet you a lobster dinner that I can write to "Ham Radio" at
>> >ANY address and request reprints.
>>
>> Heh heh heh...for $150 anyone can get 22 years of Ham Radio
>> Magazine on CDs and print out paper copies all they want
>> for only the cost of paper and ink...considerably cheaper than
>> the $3/page charge for ARRL members ($5/page non-members).
>>
>> Oh, pity those poor Salem judges...their wood is all wet and
>> they can't light a bonfire to keep their icy-cold hearts warm.
>>
>> To them, paraphrasing: "Ain't life a witch?" :-)
>
>Is that all 22 years of "Ham Radio" is worth? It was considered the best
>technical amateur radio rag going.

A critical analysis of the ham radio publications of the 60s to the
start of the 90s might turn up that it was THE best technical
ham periodical at the time. Jim Fisk, the first editor in chief, set
the tone and content, could be both editor and writer of
excellence. His call, W1HR, is now a memorial station call.

What was surprising to some of the staff was the number of
non-amateur-radio manuscripts submitted for consideration.
Good quality work. Some of the foreign authors had very good
command of the English language in writing. The subjects were,
unfortunately, too out of the "traditional" ham radio "technical
field" to maintain the magazine's demographics after Jim Fisk
died...at least according to the publisher and following editors.

"HR" was not into the "traditional" reprints of MOPA transmitters,
regenerative wonder receivers, or the usual boat-anchor tube
stuff (or modifications of same) and did not HAVE to include some

CW (morse code keying) capability in every article concerning transmitters. :-)

The professionals in RF thought highly of the magazine, at least from the readers' responses. It did not "talk down" to the old-timers nor did it keep myths and legends alive nor did it praise itself unduly like another periodical that is a membership magazine. "HR" simply explained theory involved in an article, how the reader could make it work if a construction piece, or how a tutorial applied to practical applications. It wasn't for the dilletante or dabbler, but rather aimed at those who didn't mind rolling up their sleeves and doing some skull sweat to truly experiment-build-try-out radio stuff in old, new, or innovative ways.

That's rather gone by the boards in the US ham radio periodical field where that field was turning into a "race for subscribers" and a competitiveness-among-publishers for a shrinking advertising income. That got to the point of some kind of elitism and snobbery among the publishers where demographics was everything and the technically-oriented amateur be damned.

No matter...the majority in amateur radio now use manufactured equipment of high quality in performance, fairly reliable equipment enabled through nearly the latest in solid-state and ASICs. They would rather take the lower road in arguing logos and trademarks than bother getting into any part of the technology...and doing the bluff-poker game of saying "my brand can beat up your brand" in meaningless little braggadoccio-laced "technical" arguments. :-)

691. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:27:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705162712.14503.00000598@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48406

In article <20020705070323.27948.00000899@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020704230746.00610.00000413@mb-fl.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020704180652.01552.00000518@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>"Extreme Literalists"... hmmm...
>>>
>>>Could they be:
>>>
>>>The Extreme Literalists who get all worked up over the lack of a specific
>>>WORD RATE in the ITU treaty?
>>
>>No. There is NO word rate specified in the 1958 CCITT document
>>referenced in Part 97.3 (26).
>>
>>There is no specific "treaty" at the ITU. There are only "recommendations"
>>and they have NO force of law, only an honor obligation by adminstrations.
>
>Sounds like an Extreme Literalist getting all worked up all right. Answer is
>obviously YES.

Sorry, incorrect again.

Miccolis has a deficiency in understanding treaties, the ITU, the UN,
and "international law." Please research and correct your personal
deficiency. Without that correction there can be no discussion.

>>>The Extreme Literalists who insist that Morse code sent with Farnsworth
>>>spacing is not Morse code?
>>
>>No.
>>
>>I've never argued that "Farnsworth spacing" is "different" from "morse
>>code" (International Morse Code).
>
>I see. 'It's all about Lenover21'. Answer is obviously YES

I've never argued that "Farnsworth spacing" is "different" from "morse
code" (International Morse Code).

>>>The Extreme Literalists who are come all unglued because a magazine that is
>>>no longer published is called "defunct"?
>>
>>No. My "glue" over Ham Radio Magazine still holds. So does CQ
>>and the ARRL who sell a collection of all HR articles from all 22
>>years of its publication.
>
>Those are OLD publications. They're a part of HISTORY. (sound familiar?) The
>CDs are just a way of reprinting old information. Nothing new is presented.
>The magazine called 'Ham Radio' is defunct, just like Atwater Kent is defunct,
>even if reproduction radio sets are made with that name on them.

Let's cut to the chase. You are using "defunct" as a left-handed insult because you are highly irritated at non-hams' participation in the content of a respected 22-year-publication-history technically oriented amateur radio periodical. So is Superman Dave and Sgt Putz, all three of you wanting to be insulting...you all succeed in that but carry the insults far beyond a few participants in here.

Your insults apply to a large number of US radio amateurs (as well as some foreign radio amateurs) who made "HR" a leading ham technical periodical. I don't understand why you wish to insult a large number of hams, most of which were in the hobby as long or longer than yourself.

Are you so petty that you desire to insult a whole group of technically-competent radio amateurs just to "get" one person in this newsgroup?

Theory, tutorials, construction articles on radio-electronics basics as applied to amateur radio seldom get "old." Or are you suddenly "into" logo and brand-name-ID snobbery where the "latest" thing is the "best?" If so, why do you tout vacuum tube circuitry as superior...especially in self-description of "superiority" as a ham in "designing and building your own transmitter-receiver (using tubes)?"

>The 4th edition of the 'Radiotron Designer's Handbook' is also available on
>CD.

That's nice. It's editor was an Australian and worked for an Australian RCA Corporation. Is "RCA" defunct? I can still find "RCA" brands in today's marketplace. In consumer electronics the "RCA" brand is still there although that has been acquired by Thomson from General Electric.

The old RCA Corporation was sold entire, first to General Electric, then parts of it to other corporations. Did such selling make it "defunct?" The word "defunct" is defined as meaning "dead or extinct." I don't see the "RCA" brand as either extinct or dead.

Communications Technology, Inc., sold Ham Radio Magazine to CQ along with its Ham Radio Bookstore. Does that selling make Ham Radio "dead or extinct?" All 22 years of HR are collected

on three CDs and for sale by CQ and the ARRL. Article lists of
HR articles are found on two separate Internet sites.

The "Radiotron Designer's Handbook" doesn't have any design
data for transistors or integrated circuits. It is oriented towards
vacuum tube design; the "Radiotron" is/was an old RCA trade-
mark for vacuum tubes. By contrast, there are dozens of
articles in the HR history that include transistors and ICs, most
of which are still on the market. A general-purpose NPN
transistor with transition frequency of 150 MHz can be purchased
from hundreds of retail outlets for a quarter...a triode vacuum tube
to do the same circuit task typically costs $5 and available only
from a limited and shrinking retail outlet group.

>>Hardly defunct when it still sells ($150 for
>>the three-CD set, shipping charges extra if ordered by mail from
>>ARRL, no shipping charges if bought through a retail ham outlet).
>
>Sales tax often applies.

Oh, my, a REACH for an "argument!" :-)

California sales tax is 8.5% (give or take). Other states vary.

ARRL publication prices are the same from surface mail or e-mail
as in retail outlets. If shipping charges are required extra cost to
a mail order then that is approximately equal or slightly more than
local state sales tax. But, a retail ARRL publication outlet has to
make a profit to stay in business, so it obtains ARRL publications
at a reduced rate...or did you think the retail outlet sells without
profit out of the goodness of their hearts?!? :-)

Shipping charges on a $25 ARRL publication can reach $5. That's
a 20 percent extra charge over the retail store price. I don't know
of any US state that charges 20 percent sales tax. Do you? :-)

You may argue that "it takes fuel to drive to a retail store to buy
something" but the dollar amounts don't achieve a successful
argument. [in my case there's an HRO across the street from the
supermarket we frequent, taking hardly a dime's worth of extra
gasoline to cross...:-)]

You may argue that "retail ham stores aren't found everywhere"
and you would be right. However, the standard book retail outlet
list prices are DOUBLE that of what the outlet pays publishers.
That hundred-percent markup has been standard since before
WW2. That also explains how Amazon and Crown Books (along
with Barnes & Noble, Borders, etc.) can "slash" retail prices and
still stay in business..."while passing along 'savings' to buyers."

I don't know what the bulk-sell or quantity charges are on ARRL
publications. They MUST be lower than stated retail prices or
very, Very, VERY few retailers would "resell" at zero profit. So,

how come for why the ARRL cannot do a "service" to mail-order
buyers and drop the shipping-and-handling charges? :-)

692. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:27:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705162715.14503.00000599@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48407

In article <20020705060714.22468.00000431@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 05 Jul 2002 10:07:14 GMT
>
>In article <20020703210702.17701.00000239@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020703060640.13189.00000281@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020702141808.15110.00000261@mb-bh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20020702000618.10462.00000278@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>>(N2EY)
>>>>writes:
>>>>
>>>>>Len, it's quite clear that you hate the amateur radio service and want it
to
>>>>>die out.
>>>>
>>>>Not at all. I think it is a fine hobby involving radio.
>>>
>>>Your postings here indicate otherwise.
>>
>>Poor baby. Suffering along all these years with a reading deficiency...
>>
>>Tsk, tsk, tsk...
>
>Nonsense. Your attitude towards amateur radio and radio amateurs has been
>made quite clear here over the years.

Oh, my, Miccolis "represents" amateur radio and equates himself and his
personal desires and beliefs as that held by the ENTIRETY of amateur
radio?!?!?

No, you poor aggrieved soul, I don't "hate" amateur radio. I dislike (not
hate) INDIVIDUALS within amateur radio who think they "speak for all."

Jimmie Miccolis is NOT the ENTIRETY of amateur radio, not even of
US amateur radio. His personal desires and beliefs are NOT SHARED

by everyone so he should quit putting on his "noble cloak" as if he did represent them all. Jimmie Miccolis is an individual, an ordinary mortal, possessed of no god-hood, nor of any authority to speak for all. All he can do is speak for himself.

>>I've said it a long time ago, have repeated it many times, including several
>>times in here: Amateur radio is a fine hobby involving radio.
>
>You've said it a few times in here - in between mountains of words condemning
>virtually everyhting in ham radio.
>>
>>Now let's be fair about it.
>
>HAW! That's a GOOD one!

I'm trying to be fair, but Miccolis seems to think His desires and beliefs are the "same" as two-thirds of a million other hobbyists. He is not.

Miccolis charges that I "condemn virtually everyhting [sic] in ham radio."

His egocentrism leads him to equate "virtually everthing" with his own personal desires and beliefs. There can be no rational discussion with those who are so egocentric...thus "fairness" doesn't exist...

>>There are a number of radio amateurs in
>>here who have a very one-sided, personally-biased viewpoint along
>>with a too-strong identification of themselves and their experiences
>>and their personal desires who insist that "all" amateur radio is
>>exactly as they are/do/experience.
>
>There are? Who?

James Miccolis for one such.

>While we're at it, consider non-radio amateurs in here who have a very
>one-sided, personally-biased viewpoint along with a too-strong identification
>of themselves and their experiences and their personal desires who insist
>that amateur radio MUST be what they say it is.

I am merely arguing for greater freedom in a hobby involving radio, speaking for myself. That rankles the egos of those who say Their Word is that of a third of a million others. Tough. Hand me your TS card and I will punch it.

Is Jimmie of the divinity? Did he see some "burning bush" equivalent and hear a voice (of a higher authority) saying (loudly and distinctly) "There shall be a morse code test for ever and ever and morsemanship shall be the ultimate skill among amateurs?" If so, he is merely "Herschel" up against some unnamed "Moses" in a satirical comedy on film. :-)

The major issue in amateur radio is still the code test. That's highly polarized, yes, but the belief system supporting continued code testing is all wrapped up in myth, legend, and personal identification with those few who could achieve high-rate morsemanship. Those achieving the

latter become overly, overtly proud of their skill and continue to maintain
that they are superior to others because of that skill, up to and including
any regulation that endorses that skill.

Is amateur radio all about morsemanship? I don't think so. Many others
don't think so, including the FCC.

Is amateur radio all about "keeping traditions of ham radio alive?" I don't
think so. Others don't think so. All of radio is only about 108 years old
and the standards and practices of the 1930s are hardly applicable in
this new millennium. "Tradition" is something for the museums and the
overly-proud, posturing membership organizations...and the lovers
of the past who, not being able to learn the modern, cling desperately
to the past of their youth. Why the youth of now has to be saddled with
the nostalgia emotionalism of the now old or middle-aged is not a good
reason for retention of certain regulations.

>>>>I also think that some involved with amateur radio have gone over the edge
>>>>and proclaimed themselves as THE spokespersons and representatives of
>>>>the hobby, becoming far too involved with the mystique and myths and
>>>>legends that were created long ago.
>
>Like those NCI folks, huh? Imagine!

No, like the ARRL, still trying to hang onto a ham radio of yesterday in
everything, appeasing their old-timer membership base and ignoring or
talking down others.

>>I am for the elimination of the morse code test for ANY
>>radio license.
>
>So is K2UNK - but he gets his points across without uncivil behavior or
>insults. He does more to promote the NCTA cause in a single post than you
>have done in all the years you've been posting here.

Poor baby. If you can't take confrontation, best you shut off your
computer or quit trying to access this newsgroup.

I'm not Bill Sohl nor do I always agree with his statements, but Bill *IS*
a Director of NCI and No Code International DOES have support from
foreign (to the USA) organizations. NCI has the main goal of abolishing
the morse code test for an amateur radio license. When that main goal
is achieved, NCI has stated that it will dissolve.

Jimmie, you poor soul, you just cannot bear losing that code test nor
the status and title-symbolism representation for your morsemanship.
That seems to be the root cause of your inability to rationally argue
the retention or elimination of the code test. Not my problem.

You want to be confrontational with a superior attitude of "knowing
what is best for amateur radio." That's okay, but you go off the deep
end when confronted with another who doesn't agree with you. You
do NOT "know what is best for amateur radio." I doubt anyone does.

Every hobby evolves and must make transitions from old to new.

You cannot handle strong confrontation. That is clear. That is why I suggest you quit trying to be some "knowing what is best for amateur radio" spokesperson.

>>GETTING INTO amateur radio involves morse code proficiency by a
>>test for any license class having below-30-MHz operating privileges.
>
>Sure. What's the big deal? That test has been whittled down to the most basic
>form imaginable. It will almost certainly disappear next year. That's old
>news.

Only the deeply religious and the fools see the future with "certainty."

The amateur radio license test has NOT been "whittled down to the most basic form imagineable." You want to put on your "I know what is best for amateur radio" cloak and proclaim, not understanding that amateur radio is NOT about morse code or morsemanship.

The US morse code test is NOT gone. S25.5 has NOT been rewritten, will not even be discussed at WRC-03 until next year. Rewriting of S25.5 will NOT automatically delete the morse code test since a Recommendation is NOT US law.

Until there is some sign that US law will be rewritten to eliminate the morse code test, there is NO "certainty" and the code test elimination confrontation WILL continue. Adapt to it. Remember, you are only one hobbyist among hundreds of thousands and you do NOT speak for all. You only know what is best for you, personally, not for anyone else.

>Nope. I simply point out that your uncivil, insulting behavior has earned you
>the same in response.

Poor baby. Failure to honor and glorify your morsemanship, your greatness in amateur radio, and all-around knowing what is best for amateur radio is suddenly a "legitimate" excuse for you own pique? :-)

The "extra double standard" seems alive and kicking. :-)

>So are your uncivil and insulting remarks against anyone who disagrees with
you
>or points out your mistakes. Even if they do so in a civil fashion, you call
>them names, make fun of their jobs, names, etc.

Have I called anyone else "putz" dozens of times? No.

Have I told someone else to "go soak their head" many times? No.

Have I called someone a "blowhard?" No.

PCTA amateur extras have used those "civil-fashion" statements. :-)

Let's have a clarification on definitions here. An opposing view to a personal desire or belief is NOT automatically "uncivil." It is merely an opposing

view.

Confrontation on ISSUES is difficult for the egocentric or the religious with very deeply-held beliefs. That's not my problem, it is theirs. They are neither
God nor Emperor nor do they "know what is best for amateur radio." :-)

I cannot "make fun" of someone's occupation when they don't identify that occupation. You have repeatedly said you will not identify that because you "fear some ridicule from me," yet you condone ridicule of other PCTAs towards any NCTA. Tsk, tsk, double standard again. Hardly "civil." :-)

>>Do you take pride in your "histories" of amateur radio?
>
>There is only one, and it's a history of amateur radio licensing in the USA
>since the end of WW2. Very specialized, but relevant to rrap. You have dissed
>it, but have not proven one fact of it to be incorrect.

I did not honor it or sing hosannahs in the highest at its appearance. Such histories have been done before by real historians. Yours has no footnotes or references. It is therefore subject to doubts of accuracy or from plagiarism.
The Thomas H. White radio histories on the Internet contain referencible footnotes and facts.

>>No, they are not "resistance to an extreme degree," a ridiculous descriptor
>>which tries to magnify an error way beyond proportion. That magnification
>>makes them virtually one of "life and death proportions" in a flame war
>>ignition.
>
>My description is quite accurate.

No. You insist on a flame war even now, can neither take criticsm nor any correction. :-)

As I said before, you cannot handle rational discussion on subjects. You have to resort to personal attacks. That seems to be "very civil" in the double standard of the extras. :-)

693. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:27:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705162716.14503.00000600@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48402

In article <20020705065134.08853.00001951@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>
>>Brian P Burke wrote:
>>> Drawing clod fronts in purple never got anyone killed.
>>
>>"Clod fronts"???? Was that a weather forecast for Midwest
>>Clodhoppers like Dick? :-)
>
>If Brian doesn't chastize himself for incorrect spelling, he is applying a
>double standard again.

Is this a new newsgroup posting rule...NO PUNS?

Ah, a little humor is disallowed. How sad. How odd.

Remember, when puns are outlawed, only outlaws will have puns.
:-)

694. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:37:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D26037A.57FEC8E8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48408

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D2513D1.CD839E5D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >I've encountered you here for a number of years. I've not yet noticed
> >you being civil but I have noted you saying anything you want.
>
> Dave poor baby..."being civil to you" is not defined as agreeing
> to everything you write or accepting all the trash you say about
> others.

As I said, I've not yet noticed you being civil but I have noted you saying anything you want. Included are pot shots at the ARRL, casual dismissal of the views of long-time licensed hams and those who are pro-code testing, trashing of the contributions of radio amateurs and of their traditions, tales from your military service period and from your civilian employment.

> You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here,
> your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself.

Naw, Len--not only to satisfy myself. I do it to counter your anti-ham radio bias and maybe to provide a few laughs at the expense of a condescending windbag who isn't even a participant in amateur radio. Ain't I a stinker?

> Try
> approaching a subject some time. Try doing it with an open
> mind rather than reacting with rage against all those "unworthies"
> who do not believe in you and accept all your opinions.

Are you addressing me or just talking to yourself, Len?
>
> >You seem fogged, Leonard H. You brought Steve's medical qualifications
> >up as an issue.
>
> They are NOT an "issue" except to yourself and that other ego-need
> creature. You, repeat YOU keep bring this non-issue up.

Do they have Loons in California, Len. You addressed the topic as if it had some relevance. Now you're busy trying to convince us that you didn't bring it up. What gives?
>
> >> >It's easy, Len. Did you work for "Ham Radio" in the same sense that
> >> >VE's "work for" the FCC?

> >>
> >> Editing others' manuscripts is usually NOT easy. But,
> >> Communications Technology, Inc (the parent corporation of
> >> "Ham Radio Magazine") was not a self-appointed adjunct
> >> authority of US amateur radio as the ARRL wants to be known.
> >
> >Ahh, the anti-ARRL thing you like to slip in from time to time.
> >
> >> Why do you demand private income disclosure? Do you feel that
> >> you have a "right" to disclose individuals' private information?
> >> If so, why?
> >
> >Still confused? I don't recall asking you for any income disclosure at
> >any time.
>
> Yes, you most certainly did when bringing up another non-issue,
> that of WORK. The VEC is a volunteer organization.
> Communications Technology, Inc., was a corporation engaged
> in publication. Work for Communications Technology, Inc., was
> compensated by money.

I can mention sex too, Len, without desiring any tales from your
personal exploits (whether money changed hands or not). As much as
you'd like to tie together the topics of work and income disclosure,
your statement doesn't ring true.

> >> >It would be tough for us to discern the difference between the two
> >> >magazines without your inciteful explanation.
> >>
> >> No problem, always glad to help the ignorant. :-)
> >
> >God helps those who help themselves.
>
> Then God help you, Dave...you need the highest-grade help
> available.

As soon as he's finished at your place, he can drop by.

> >> >> >In its latter years, "Ham Radio" was not successful. The other
> >> >> >magazines you mention are still in existence.
> >> >>
> >> >> Are THEY "successful?" Give a definition for "success."
> >> >
> >> >How about being in business at present compared to "Ham Radio". It, as
> >> >you know, is defunct.
> >>
> >> That's not a definition of "success."
> >
> >Oh, then being defunct must not fit the definition either.
>
> Answer the question or be considered intellectually defunct,

> Dave.

I answered the question. You didn't like the answer.

> You've gone off the deep end again in working the spite,
> anger, sarcasm bit very poorly.
>
> You keep wanting to use "defunct" as if it is "extinct" or "dead."

No, "defunct" isn't extinct or dead. "Ham Radio" magazine is extinct or dead.

> You keep wanting to use "defunct" as a thinly-disguised
> personal attack.

No matter how much you'd like for this to be accepted as fact, it isn't true. The fact is: "Ham Radio" magazine is defunct. How you turn this into a personal attack is beyond me.

> All you are, by example, is an ego-driven spiteful person who
> gets their jollies out of harassing and/or insulting those who
> do not agree with him. By continuing your "defunct" attack,
> you've now insulted a number of hams who worked hard to
> make Ham Radio Magazine a success for 22 years.

Poppycock, Len. I've done no such thing. I've pointed out that the magazine no longer exists. It is defunct. I don't know anyone else who considers someone who points this out to be guilty of harassing or insulting. If you feel harassed or insulted, learn to deal with your feelings.

> >> Dictionaries have that definition.
> >>
> >> My Webster's New World dictionary defines "defunct" as "dead or
> >> extinct."
> >>
> >> If Ham Radio Magazine is "dead or extinct," why is it being
> >> reproduced by CQ on CDs and resold by the ARRL? Note:
> >> $150 gets you three CDs covering all articles of all 22 years
> >> of their existance. [shipping charges extra with the ARRL mail
> >> sales, not so if bought through a retail amateur radio outlet]
> > >
> >They make reproduction furniture, reproduction pottery and even
> >reproduction radio gear too.
>
> ...then get your reproduction system busy, old man. So far, all
> you've done is screw yourself with your own words.

You've failed to explain how the reproduction of old magazine copies on CD equates with me "screwing myself with my own words.

> >I can even order "Best Radio Diagrams of
> >1938 on CD yet Supreme Publications is defunct too.

>
> 1938-era radio technology may be too advanced for you. Go easy
> on such high-tech information until you get up to speed...say at
> the 1960 level.

...but you don't address the issue that both the copies of the Supreme Publications material and the 22-year run of the defunct "Ham Radio" magazine are simply reproductions of old material. There are no new issues of "Ham Radio" coming off the press.

> >Do any of those
> >issues of Ham Radio on the CD set bear a 2002 date?
>
> Why are you so concerned. Can you understand any tech
> level as advanced as the year 2002?

I'm concerned because you write as though "Ham Radio" magazine is still being published. It isn't. It is defunct.

> >No, you're getting confused again. I don't consider myself to be an
> >absolute marvel. I consider myself to be a radio amateur.
>
> I concede that you are an amateur.

It is about time. Maybe you can play too someday.

> >> Part of amateur radio policy is about GETTING INTO amateur
> >> radio and the regulations concerning license testing. You appear
> >> to forbid such discussion except by those who have already
> >> obtained a license. You have NOT explained your exclusionary
> >> demands except that such discussion aggravates you.
> >
> >You and I both know that you've taken longer getting into amateur radio
> >than most folks spend actually being radio amateurs.
>
> Misdirection again by the demands to "get a license first, then be
> 'allowed' to talk about getting in."

You've talked for ages. You just haven't done anything about it.

> >> Why is that some "qualification" on being and acting exclusionary
> >> and prohibitive? You demean others' professional experience and
> >> get a hissy-fit when others challenge your experience.
> >
> >I didn't read a challenge.
>
> So, you get into a hissy-fit anyway?!? How strange.

No, it is strange that you speak of a challenge when there has been no challenge.

> Regardless of your emotional instability, please try to explain
> why you are being exclusionary and prohibitive?

You've not been excluded, just largely disregarded.

> Is this some kind of "extra thing?" Or is it part of the PCTA
> double standard?

Are you and Brian in collusion?

>
> >> The double standards of the PCTA are highly evident with you.
> >>
> >> >I've also worked for the U.S. government while in the military.
> >>
> >> Which military? The US military IS part of the US government.
> >
> >Do you read your own stuff? Your question was about a civilian role.
> >I wasn't in the military as a civilian.
>
> You are confused and raving again. Please note that the US military
> is part of the US government. If you were IN the military, you are
> PART of the government, not "working for it." [the days of the
> mercenary for US military are long gone]

I was part of the government as a civilian in the military? I suppose I
was not just working as a State Department employee, I was PART of the
government. When I was self-employed, was I part of myself?

> >Man, you ARE confused today! I didn't make any claim toward any of my
> >employment qualifying me to speak about amateur radio. I've been an
> >active ham for 39 years. THAT qualifies me to speak on amateur radio.
>
> Incorrect. You've made several references to "being a ham in
> foreign countries, having a license in that country, etc." while
> in State Department employ. Would you have voluntarily traveled
> to Guinea-Bisseau and lived there just to be the "only ham in the
> country?!?"

The State Department had no role in my amateur radio activities just as
no employer in the U.S. has had a role in my amateur radio activities.
The State Department didn't order me to Guinea-Bissau, I voluntarily bid
on the assignment. Why? Does it bother you?

>
> >> Not at all. You've never explained why your non-amateur radio
> >> experience is relevant while others' non-amateur radio experience
> >> is "not relevant." You cannot have it both ways.
> >
> >See above. I don't expect it "both ways".
>
> You expect it ONLY your way. That's quite obvious.

That'd be my preference over say--ONLY your way.

> >> You have never explained why discussion of regulations on
> >> getting into amateur radio must only be done by those who have

> >> already been granted a license. You consistently demand that
> >> such discussion must be done only by the licensed.
> >
> >You've had your say with the FCC and you've had more than your say here.
>
> ...and I will continue to be heard by both.

I have no doubt. I just don't think anyone there or here is paying much
attention.

> >You've made no attempt at all to achieve even the simplest entry level
> >license.
>
> There was NO requirement or regulation stating that a license was
> necessary. None is required. Except among those poor souls
> who use their license status-rank-title as some kind of "qualification"
> on knowing it all.

Sure there is, Len. There is a requirement for someone to pass a
license exam in order to be a ham. Remember? This newsgroup deals with
amateur radio.

> >What weight should be given to your views, Len?
>
> I leave that up to the reader.

I wouldn't want to be out on THAT limb.

> Those who are confirmed PCTAs will ignore it and become
> argumentative, confrontive, and abusive...because they have no
> valid argument against the NCTAs.

...or some might get that way after reading enough of your drivel.

> Other readers have varying opinions and are capable of
> independent thought.

Don't worry, Len old boy, you only have to worry about the rational
readers.

> The FCC does not require its staff or commissioners to hold
> amateur radio licenses in order to make regulations about US
> amateur radio. Why do you, not with the FCC, demand
> such adherence to standards which are NOT codified law?

Nope and you don't have to hold a driving license to work for the DMV
either. You aren't with the FCC and you don't make regulations about
U.S. amateur radio.

> The FCC makes decisions about regulations based on US
> citizen input and the logic of that input. Most readers have
> US citizenship and logic capability.

So you'd likely be an exception based upon at least one of the two
criteria?

> I do not demand that they
> share my viewpoints, just that they listen to another's view with
> an open mind. Why do you close your mind and demand
> others adhere to your standards?

Man, your posts certainly don't read like the stuff you've outlined
above. What would be the reason for the discrepancy between what you
espouse and what you actually post?

> >What of importance have you imparted here?
>
> Irrelevant use of the word "importance."

A matter doesn't lose relevance because of your dismissal.

> This is discussion, debate,
> argument. All readers can agree, disagree, remain indifferent, as
> they choose.

...except when they disagree or dismiss your ideas as crap, they are
chastised by you. You go into your CAPS mode, crank up the "Nazi
machine" or begin using the diminuitive form of their names.

> Readers have that option. They are NOT required
> to adhere rigidly to your specific personal desires or to the
> so-called"traditions" demanded to be followed. Most readers can think > and reason.
Reason and reasonableness is a positive attitude in
> discussion and debate on laws and policies thereof.

So...like when are you going to start with the reason and
reasonableness?

> If anything of "importance has been imparted," it is showing the
> baseness and perfidious attitudes of those long-timers who think
> that all policy should reflect their wishes just because they think
> they are so great. You have demonstrated that attitude in great
> abundance in here. QED.

...as opposed to you having demonstrated that you get your feelings hurt
when someone strongly disagrees with your insulting comments over ham
radio in general, Extra Class licensees, ham radio traditions or the
ARRL? You're a gasbag, Len.

You may now begin accusing me of beating up little old piranhas.

Dave K8MN

695. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 20:38:21 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uic0t1cnea8r10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48409

The reality is that the license should mean something - regardless of what testing elements are included in it. If you don't have to put forth any effort, if the license is free, you'll likely end up with something that sounds like 11 meters does today.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> >You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I would
> >have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> >
> >"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> >news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> >> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
> >> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> >> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>
> You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I wouldn't be
> surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become more
> difficult.
>

696. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 21:06:43 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207052102440.16458-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48410

11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse than
11m.

On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>The reality is that the license should mean something - regardless of what
>testing elements are included in it. If you don't have to put forth any
>effort, if the license is free, you'll likely end up with something that
>sounds like 11 meters does today.
>
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>> >You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I
>would
>> >have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
>> >
>> >"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
>> >news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
>> >> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>> >> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>> >> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>>
>> You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I wouldn't
>> be surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become more
>> difficult.

697. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 22:38:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D264A1E.1090108@adelphia.net>
Source record: 48411

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2542DE.9000703@adelphia.net...
>
>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>>>Having some 4-land knuckle-dragger chew you out at length for not
>>>remembering your 10-10 number is "fun?" That's the incident that
>>>caused me to disconnect my microphone from my HF rig with a pair
>>>of "dikes."

>>
>>What is this 10-10 number stuff anyhow? I've heard about it, and heard
>>hams using it on 10 meters, but I'm not familiar with it.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Mike, go here and decide for yourself.

Thanks a lot for the link Larry.

In looking at the page, I can see that this seems like a worthwhile "club". I might be interested in joining this myself.

I would say that they take themselves a tad more seriously than I would have expected. This isn't necessarily a bad thing, just an observation, nothing that would keep me from joining them.

But in reading this, I had one of those "connections" type moments. Here Larry is (quite rightfully) upset that someone chewed him out for not remembering his 10-10 number. He had a bad experience that has helped shape his whole ham attitude. This is similar to your having had bad experiences with the Morse code test, which has shaped your ham outlook. Different directions of course, but shaped by somewhat similar bad experiences.

- Mike KB3EIA -

698. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 22:52:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705185247.09274.00000296@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 48412

In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I would
>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
>>
>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>
>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I wouldn't
>be
>surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become more
>difficult.

I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA. Look at the reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring - fewer tests and fewer total questions for any level of license.

I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1 by executive order.

73 de Jim, N2EY

699. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 22:54:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uic8t2400ijhb5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48413

Time for the control op to pull the plug on the repeater - or the FCC to pull the control ops license as well as the repeater.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - darn glad I don't hear that kind of mess on our 440 repeaters (although I did groan but didn't say anything when one guy said his 'preferred personal was ..)

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207052102440.16458-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
> difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse than
> 11m.
>
> On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> >The reality is that the license should mean something - regardless of what
> >testing elements are included in it. If you don't have to put forth any
> >effort, if the license is free, you'll likely end up with something that
> >sounds like 11 meters does today.
> >
> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> >news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> >> On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> >> >You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I
> >would
> >> >have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> >> >
> >> >"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> >> >> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
> >> >> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> >> >> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
> >> >
> >> You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I wouldn't
> >> be surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become more
> >> difficult.
>

700. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 23:46:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <YcqV8.3568$rE5.147456115@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48414

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705185247.09274.00000296@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article
<Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>
> >On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> >>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I
would
> >>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> >>
> >>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> >>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> >>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
> >>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> >>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
> >
> >You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I
wouldn't
> >be
> >surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become more
> >difficult.
>
> I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA. Look at
the
> reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring - fewer
tests
> and fewer total questions for any level of license.
>
> I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1 by
> executive order.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, I didn't realize that teh FCC was part of the Executive branch of
government.

701. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 23:49:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <agqV8.3569$pL5.147846357@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48415
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mefix" <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital> wrote in message
news:ag2ib0dne1@venus.btinternet.com...
>
> "Veni Vidi Velcro" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d2302cd_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > If you're not aware of the resistor colour code, then
> > you're not as good as you think.
>
> I was wondering, do people who suffer from colour-blindness have trouble
> reading the colour code on resistors? :)

Resistors aren't the broblem. Its the cable assemblies with 60+ wires and
they run out of colors so they start striping the insulation. My tests
leads for a continuity check don't reach the other end as often as they
should.

702. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-05 23:54:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <clqV8.3574$nN5.147953975@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"andy" <music@soon.com> wrote in message
news:ag4p57$qc2$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> > The aptly named "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:bEhV8.1300$qL.41014391@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ag3e5klbp0@208.206.142.79...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > > OK, we're worried that a newbie that just learned the color code
might
> > read
> > > > a resistor backwards, but we're not worried that a newbie who is
just
> > > > learning the code will know which exam to ask for
> > > Only you could be that dumb.
> > Its a more likely scenario than your idiotic concerns.
>
> Children. Please!
>
> If you can't play nicely then, please, at least stop cross-posting your
> tantrums to the civilised (European, if you were uncertain) side of the
> Atlantic.

Andy, get your M3 drivel off of rrap. We're on the moneyed side of the
Atlantic, if you're uncertain.

703. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:03:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7tqV8.3577$oP5.148039826@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48417

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D264A1E.1090108@adelphia.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2542DE.9000703@adelphia.net...
> >
> >>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >>>Having some 4-land knuckle-dragger chew you out at length for not
> >>>remembering your 10-10 number is "fun?" That's the incident that
> >>>caused me to disconnect my microphone from my HF rig with a pair
> >>>of "dikes."

Wow! What a hot temper.

> >>What is this 10-10 number stuff anyhow? I've heard about it, and heard
> >>hams using it on 10 meters, but I'm not familiar with it.
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > Mike, go here and decide for yourself.
>
> Thanks a lot for the link Larry.

Ahem, thats Brian

> In looking at the page, I can see that this seems like a worthwhile
> "club". I might be interested in joining this myself.
>
> I would say that they take themselves a tad more seriously than I would
> have expected. This isn't necessarily a bad thing, just an observation,
> nothing that would keep me from joining them.

It gets old pretty fast.

> But in reading this, I had one of those "connections" type moments. Here
> Larry is (quite rightfully) upset that someone chewed him out for not
> remembering his 10-10 number. He had a bad experience that has helped
> shape his whole ham attitude. This is similar to your having had bad
> experiences with the Morse code test, which has shaped your ham outlook.

Mike, I remember my 10X number as well as passed my code test, @9wpm, with
perfect copy I might add.

> Different directions of course, but shaped by somewhat similar bad
> experiences.

My only bad amateur experiences were with Extras with bad attitudes. Larry allows one bad experience to shape his entire outlook on the phone mode. I am merely wary of Extras who claim their shorts don't stink.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Brian/N0iMD

704. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:11:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xAqV8.3578$3M5.147889487@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48418

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705162708.14503.00000596@mb-mg.aol.com...
> In article <uWhV8.1312$aR.41363699@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020705070949.08853.00001959@mb-mk.aol.com...
> >> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >>
> >> >Mike, he's capable of hypothetical thinking in other arenas. Its a
double
> >> >standard kind of thing.
> >>
> >> Of course it is a double standard, Brian. In some cases, I feel that
> >> hypothetical questions and answers have value to a discussion at hand.
In
> that
> >> case, I am quite able and willing to make a hypothetical contribution.
In
> >> others, I feel that the situation and reason for asking the question
are so
> far
> >> fetched that it would be foolish to try to give an answer.
> >>
> >> Do we not apply such double standards throughout much of our lives?
> >
> >Ed, you would answer the question if Dave's rep weren't on the line. Why
do
> >you feel you must protect Dave at all costs?
> >
> >This is part of the definition of Cronyism, and it is, of course, a
double
> >standard.
>
> "Cronyism" isn't cronyism if practiced by two cronies. This extends to
Extras,
> especially. :-)

So it appears. ;^)

> If one crony exhibits personal discomfort via being pierced by ordinary
news-
> group barbs (deserved) and being deflated, then the other crony must come
> to the rescue. Maybe it is a "pack thing" for survival? :-)

This is such a minor thing to get so defensive about, circle the wagons, organise smear tactic campaigns, etc...

And the answer is so simple, but they cannot let their guard down for a moment. I'm amazed at how dishonest they can be with themselves.

Good grief.

705. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:20:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%IqV8.3581$LT5.148302086@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48419

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D25E749.90960B96@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D250927.3B84BE47@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > > > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his
indignation.
> > > >
> > > > > Dave, what indignation? I merely pointed out the error of your
ways. >
> > It couldn't be much simpler than that.
> > >
> > > The self-righteous indignation you've exhibited
> >
> > Dave, wishful thinking. I've exhibited no such indignation,
self-righteous
> > or not.
>
> Why would I wish for you to be self-righteously indignant? You are the
> individual attempting to lay the wrong doing of others at my feet.

It supposedly takes two to tango. Two wrongs don't make a right...

> > each of the several
> > > times you've attempted to trot out this tale in order to make it
appear
> > > that I was guilty of some wrong doing. That indignation. You pointed
> > > out the error of the ways of some French 6m ops and have tried to take
> > > me to task on it. Allow me to suggest once again that you contact the
> > > French PTT and REF and vent your spleen on them. The alternative is
for
> > > you to continue your ranting toward me while making yourself look like
a
> > > complete boob.
> >
> > A complete boob, huh?
>
> Yep.

I don't work bootleggers out of band.

> > Dave, you're the one on thin moral ice. Mend your ways, and stop
defending
> > an indefensible position. That is all.
>
> I've defended my position ably and it has been an easy thing to do.
> Your "moral thin ice-mend your ways" stuff is simply more self-righteous
> indignation on your part.

Dave, do try to get over it. You're not rare dx anymore and its unlikely th
at you will have the ability to lure morally corrupt people out of band.
But as long as there are unscrupulous amateurs who encourage bootleggers to
operate out of band by submitting to them the ultimate rare dx courtesy of a
QSL card...

706. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:23:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5MqV8.3582$CU5.148336850@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48420

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D25E859.6655D031@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2509CC.F362E7DA@earthlink.net...
> > > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > > > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his
indignation.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > You old enough to remember Students Wildly Indignent about Nearly
> > > > Everything. SWINE
> > > >
> > > I must have missed it but I like the concept. I was fond of Charles
> > > Schulz portrayal of Linus as a "wild-eyed fanatic without a cause"
back
> > > in the early sixties. I'm expecting Brian to drop by and spray paint
> > > protest slogans on my car.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, I hold the high ground re: your working French Hams out of band.
And
> > I detest grafitti. You can claim that I"m a vandal all day long, but
I'm
> > not. It just makes you look even sillier.
>
> Brian, it would be impossible for me to top your act in the silliness
> department. The high ground you think you hold is illusory. I wasn't
> "out of band" when working French hams on 6m from Tanzania. If there
> were French hams out of band while working me, you need to contact the
> French PTT or REF. I don't know how many times I need write this before
> you catch on. Protest the behavior of French scofflaw hams.

Dave, since you are back in America, I find it unlikely that you'll be able
to lure anyone out of band. Do let me know if you're planning a dxpedition
to some rare land and I"ll be sure to keep my eye on you.

> ...and I didn't accuse you of being a vandal, only of not knowing what
> your mission is.

You said that you expected me to spray paint grafitti on your car. That is being accused of being a vandal. Being a vandal is not my mission.

707. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:25:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%NqV8.3583$CP5.148054046@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48421

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > >
> > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > >
> > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> >
> > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants us to
believe.
> > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was an OK
thing
> > to do, till I called him on it.
>
> I've never been out of band while working French hams. So what is it
> that you called me on?

After you were informed that you were working French hams out of band, you
said that you would continue to work them.

708. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:27:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xPqV8.3584$EV5.148404338@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48422

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D25E96F.82256767@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > We have not witnessed you ever admitting being wrong. Imagine that.
Here's
> > your chance.
>
> I was wrong about you. I used to think of you as rational.

BS. Is there no end to your storytelling?

709. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:32:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dUqV8.3585$xW5.148461677@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48423

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D25F6EB.CCD857C7@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020705072635.08853.00001964@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being
a
> > > >specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > >
> > > We all believe that there are things others shouldn't do in spite of
their
> > > being no law against their doing so. The reason there is no law is
> > probably
> > > because we all have a different list of personal unwritten laws.
> >
> > Show us your short list.
> >
> > > When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me is
licensed
> > to
> > > operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your unwritten
law?
> >
> > Nor do I. But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to are out
of
> > band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm within my >
band limits?
>
> Please produce any evidence that I did so.
>
> > Who wants to talk to bootleggers anway?
>
> Bootleggers are unlicensed station, Brian. Come to think of it, I'd
> stay off the bands if I were you since I'm now making you aware of the
> fact that any station you QSO could be an unlicensed individual using a
> made up callsign or "borrowing" the callsign of another. You can't be
> too careful.
> >
> > When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz linked
2M
> > repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just because you

are
> > within your operating privelege?
>
> I don't personally know any Novices, Brian.

Yep, cruises the Novice subbands handing out CW contacts!

What's more, I don't
> personally know any of the French hams I worked on 6m from Tanzania.

> > You and Dave say YES to both questions!
>
> Beep. Wrong.

Wrong? You or Ed? One or both questions?

> > And then question my reputation.
>
> You risked your own reputation when you set out to sully the reputation
> of another, Brian. You'll just have to live with it.

Dave, I only have what you said to go on. Unless you want to change your
story now.

> > Cronyism at its worst.
>
> Self-righteous indignation at its worst.

Oh, the inHumanity!

710. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:32:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <YUqV8.3586$PX5.148524281@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48424

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D25E9D1.784BAE33@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
> > here
> > > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > > >
> > > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > >
> > > Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no amateur
> > > radio regulations of the country from which I operated. Perhaps you
can
> > > assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being a
> > specific law against it. Do you disagree?
>
> Name them.

You name a few. Include some amateur related activities.

711. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:42:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uicf6b9temj055@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48425

Dick, you're bringing back memories. Did Al Capp write 'lil Abner? Did SWINE come out before or after 'phoney Joanie' (at which point Joan Biaz - pardon the spelling, I'm not sure of it - sued Al Capp)? The SWINE does sort of resemble the newsgroup at times. Thanks for the momories!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:cf1V8.4638$Iu6.294932@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his indignation.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You old enough to remember Students Wildly Indignent about Nearly
> Everything. SWINE
>
>

712. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:50:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Y8rV8.3591$U06.148833396@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48426

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D25ED0F.C831C0D6@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D250CBB.1C803834@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
> > here
> > > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > > >
> > > > Not the ones that carry the weight of an FCC regulation. I have no
> > > > authority over those-only the ability to read them as they are.
> > >
> > > So you are willing to reverse any recently declared stand except those
> > > involving an FCC reg? What shall we call that--partial integrity?
> > > regulatory integrity?
> > >
> > Boy are you doing poorly on this one. Just admit you screwed up.
>
> In what way am I doing poorly? In what way did I screw up. I noted
> that you'd rather respond in this way than to answer the questions.

Dave, obviously I cannot override an FCC regulation. Unless I do some
creative reading and interpretation, but that's not within me to do. Its
dishonest.

It's called integrity; not partial integrity, not regulatory integrity.

> > The situation is much like
> > > directing your ire over the habits of scofflaw French 6m ops toward me
> > > instead of contacting the French PTT and REF.
> > >
> > Scofflaw French 6M Ops? Is that anything like admitting that you knew
they
> > were out of band? I think it is.
>
> You'd be wrong. If French hams worked me while operating out of their
> assigned 6m segments, they'd be scofflaws.

What do you mean, "If?" I'm just going off what you said.
```

Have you taken the matter up
> with the French PTT or the REF?
>
> > Just how long will it take for you to admit it?
>
> It won't be during this life.

You are lost to the dark side.

> > > > > Good for you. I don't operate out of band either. Your view on
> > working
> > > > > > the trailer trash crowd out of band is a good one too.
> > > > >
> > > > > What trailer trash? Do you think the French have "manufactured
> > housing?"
> > > >
> > > > Well what whole class of folks were you talking about if not the
trailer
> > > trash?
> > >
> > So the French do have manufactured housing?
>
> I'd assume they do. I've heard that they even have indoor plumbing and
> satellite TV. What whole class of folks were you writing of?

The people you call scofflaws. French hams on 6M operating out of band are
a "whole class of people."

> > > > > > Then, apparently, you really didn't need him that badly.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What would possess you to write that?
> > > > >
> > > > > You didn't even try.
> > > >
> > > That's right. Sometimes, whether you're an American ham not wanting to
> > > do something liddy like breaking a DX pileup on CW to ask the op to
move
> > > up or whether you're a French ham who hears a DX station operating on
a
> > > frequency not permitted by your regs, it is better not to transmit at
> > > all.
> > >
> > I think you're coming closer and closer to admitting that you knowingly
> > worked French hams out of band.
>
> Once again, you're reading between the lines rather than reading the
> material as presented. I've told you what I'd do and not do. I can't
> speak for what French hams will do.

Nor can I. But I'd not keep working them out of band once I was informed
what their operating priveleges were.

You did and will keep doing so, so you say.

> > > > > > Here's the reciprocol of that scenario: Ask the French hams to
move
> > > > into
> > > > > > their legal band limits and you colud follow them and continue
your
> > > > little
> > > > > > pileup.
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't see that as a *reciprocal* at all. If I wanted to operate
up
> > > > > the band, I'd be operating up the band. French ops were not the
only
> > > > > Europeans who called me, Brian.
> > > >
> > > > Oh well, I tried to find a solution for you that you were not
capable >
> > of thinking of for yourself.
> > >
> > > I don't recall asking for a solution. In your "reciprocol" scenario,
I
> > > didn't even have a problem which required a solution.
> >
> > Yes, you did. You complained about a pileup on 14.132 MHz phone, but >
you couldn't operate phone there. I offered a solution. You rejected > it
because you suddenly found religion.
>
> No, Brian. I found that the solution to my problem was not to transmit
> a) illegally on SSB or b) in a liddy manner by using CW to call the SSB
> DX station running a pileup. I would use self restraint. Neither of
> these choices involved consulting you for advice.

Then why complain to me?

> Now perhaps is the time for you to address the issue of self-restraint
> by some French 6m operators by contacting the French PTT or the REF.

What do I have to take up with the French authorities? Its all what you
said, i.e., heresay. Its all in your logs, not mine. You're the only one I
can legitimately discuss it with as it is only what you told me. The only
other part is the French ham who came on here and gave their 6M band limits.

> I'd think it would be more productive use of your time than to attempt
> tackling the issue by accusing the DX station they called of wrong
> doing.

It takes two to tango.

713. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:53:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WbrV8.3592$r06.148803825@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48427

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D25EF5A.B696EFBC@earthlink.net...
> I still don't know for a fact whether the French or any other station I
> worked on 6m except for the two Americans I qso'd from Tanzania were
> within their authorizations. I don't have copies of of the
> authorizations for all countries and if I did, I wouldn't bother
> consulting the authorization tables before replying. I can only be
> responsible for the operation of my station. It is entirely up to the
> other station how he operates his equipment. My presence on a frequency
> I am permitted to use cannot be used as an excuse for the illegal
> operation by another. I don't operate my equipment outside my
> authorized allocation. Again, since your issue is the illegal 6m
> operation of French hams, you need to address this issue to the French
> PTT or the REF. Have you yet done so?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you'll forever be the Wonder Bread of 6M DX. Congratulations.

714. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 00:56:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QerV8.3593$r26.148913288@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48428

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705162710.14503.00000597@mb-mg.aol.com...
> In article <RRhV8.1307$8O.41176393@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020705010417.01531.00000185@mb-fa.aol.com...
> >> In article <3D25174E.74514CF9@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
> >writes:
> >>
> >> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> You cannot write
> >> >> to "Ham Radio" magazine at ANY address and request reprints, etc.
> >> >
> >> >I'll bet you a lobster dinner that I can write to "Ham Radio" at
> >> >ANY address and request reprints.
> >>
> >> Heh heh heh...for $150 anyone can get 22 years of Ham Radio
> >> Magazine on CDs and print out paper copies all they want
> >> for only the cost of paper and ink...considerably cheaper than
> >> the $3/page charge for ARRL members ($5/page non-members).
> >>
> >> Oh, pity those poor Salem judges...their wood is all wet and
> >> they can't light a bonfire to keep their icy-cold hearts warm.
> >>
> >> To them, paraphrasing: "Ain't life a witch?" :-)
> >
> >Is that all 22 years of "Ham Radio" is worth? It was considered the best
> >technical amateur radio rag going.
>
> A critical analysis of the ham radio publications of the 60s to the
> start of the 90s might turn up that it was THE best technical
> ham periodical at the time.

Perhaps of all time.

715. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 01:05:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nnrV8.7535$Iu6.444252@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48429

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uicf6b9temj055@corp.supernews.com...
> Dick, you're bringing back memories. Did Al Capp write 'lil Abner? Did
> SWINE come out before or after 'phoney Joanie' (at which point Joan
Biaz -
> pardon the spelling, I'm not sure of it - sued Al Capp)? The SWINE does
> sort of resemble the newsgroup at times. Thanks for the momories!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

I think SWINE came first but not by much. Joanie Phoney, Joan Baez, was a bit unfair but a lot of what Capp did was unfair. Poor 'Engine Charlie' Wilson became General Bullmoose, Adlai Stevenson became Failwell Fatchance. Even poor Marlene Dietrich became Apassionata von Climax, how he got that by the censors is still a mystery.

I still remember Cap'n Eddie Ricketyback of Trans-Dogpatch Airways and the mailman's U. S. Mule. And I think Sterling Price was the model for Jubulation T. Cornpone.

Unfortunately, he was a nutcase toward the end.

BK

716. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 01:28:54 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <%FrV8.45613$wj4.3604216@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48430

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D251611.409777E6@hotmail.com...
> Dan Finn wrote:
> > That's a great idea. In order to speed up bringing our proficiency to
that
> > level, I propose that one month of the year, say August, be declared
> > "Microphone Free". This would be a microphone free holiday similar to
the
> > tax holidays we see in certain states. Only code would be permitted on
all
> > of the band segments. I wouldn't be surprised to see those code speeds
way
> > up there, at least into the 30 wpm range. What do you say to this? Let's
> > make every August "Microphone Free"!
>
> Will violations elicit the death penalty?

No, that would not be feasible due to the difficulty of administering it to
self-declared immortal persons such as yourself.

73 de KR4AJ

717. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:06:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705220631.10462.00000513@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 48431

In article <agqV8.3569$pL5.147846357@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

> Its the cable assemblies with 60+ wires and
>they run out of colors so they start striping the insulation.

How about cables where all the wires are the same color? Been there, done that.

> My tests
>leads for a continuity check don't reach the other end as often as they
>should.

They don't have to. There are ways to ring out cables with simple test equipment and some ingenuity.

With two people, such tests are a snap, but they can be done alone also.

For example:

- A cable with an odd number of conductors (all the same color) has been installed and you have to identify and place all the conductors with a minimum number of trips between cable ends. All you have available for test equipment is a continuity tester and some materials to mark the conductors and keep notes. (It can be done in a single round trip).

73 de Jim, N2EY

718. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:50:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D265AEB.1EFBE642@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48432

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D25E749.90960B96@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D250927.3B84BE47@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I believe that Brian is a man on a mission. He just hasn't quite
> > > > > > defined what the mission is or where he should direct his
> indignation.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, what indignation? I merely pointed out the error of your
> ways. >
> > > It couldn't be much simpler than that.
> > > >
> > > > The self-righteous indignation you've exhibited
> > > >
> > > Dave, wishful thinking. I've exhibited no such indignation,
> self-righteous
> > > or not.
> > >
> > Why would I wish for you to be self-righteously indignant? You are the
> > individual attempting to lay the wrong doing of others at my feet.
> >
> It supposedly takes two to tango. Two wrongs don't make a right...

I wasn't dancing, Brian. I was operating on 6 meters and I wasn't
committing any wrong at all.

> > > each of the several
> > > > times you've attempted to trot out this tale in order to make it
> appear
> > > > that I was guilty of some wrong doing. That indignation. You pointed
> > > > out the error of the ways of some French 6m ops and have tried to take
> > > > me to task on it. Allow me to suggest once again that you contact the
> > > > French PTT and REF and vent your spleen on them. The alternative is
> for
> > > > you to continue your ranting toward me while making yourself look like
> a

> > > > complete boob.
> > >
> > > A complete boob, huh?
> >
> > Yep.
>
> I don't work bootleggers out of band.

That's two of us. To my knowledge, I've never worked a bootlegger at
all. Further, I've never operated out of band.

> > > Dave, you're the one on thin moral ice. Mend your ways, and stop
> defending
> > > an indefensible position. That is all.
> >
> > I've defended my position ably and it has been an easy thing to do.
> > Your "moral thin ice-mend your ways" stuff is simply more self-righteous
> > indignation on your part.
>
> Dave, do try to get over it.

I have nothing to get over, Brian. I'm not the one filled with the fire
of self-righteous moral indignation.

> You're not rare dx anymore and its unlikely th
> at you will have the ability to lure morally corrupt people out of band.

I see it as peculiar th at you would say th at. I've never noted any
item in the amateur radio regs of any country where I've operated which
mentions "luring" at all. Have you bothered to bring the matter of the
"morally corrupt" French 6m ops to the French PTT or the REF?

> But as long as there are unscrupulous amateurs who encourage > bootleggers to operate out
of band by submitting to them the ultimate > rare dx courtesy of a QSL card...

Which bootleggers, Brian? You've already been corrected several times
on the use of that term. Re the unscrupulous amateurs who encourage
others to transmit by simply operating where they have every right to
be: You're beginning to sound very thick.

Dave K8MN

719. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:52:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020705225209.17888.00000574@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 48433

In article <200275-13842-904775@foorum.com>, Angel G <cw@badmode.com> writes:

>That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>
>Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>
>God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!

Hmmm. My darn Trollometer once again barely budged! I got to get
this thing fixed someday!

Hansl: How did the Armenian judges score this one?

73 de Larry, K3LT

720. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:52:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020705225210.17888.00000576@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 48434

In article <3D2542DE.9000703@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>> Having some 4-land knuckle-dragger chew you out at length for not
>> remembering your 10-10 number is "fun?" That's the incident that
>> caused me to disconnect my microphone from my HF rig with a pair
>> of "dikes."
>
>
> What is this 10-10 number stuff anyhow? I've heard about it, and heard
>hams using it on 10 meters, but I'm not familiar with it.

Mike:

Don't have anything to do with it. You can put your eye out with one
of those things.

73 de Larry, K3LT

721. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:52:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020705225210.17888.00000575@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 48435

In article <R88V8.48444$N8.53241660@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn"
<djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>That's a great idea. In order to speed up bringing our proficiency to that
>level, I propose that one month of the year, say August, be declared
>"Microphone Free". This would be a microphone free holiday similar to the
>tax holidays we see in certain states. Only code would be permitted on all
>of the band segments. I wouldn't be surprised to see those code speeds way
>up there, at least into the 30 wpm range. What do you say to this? Let's
>make every August "Microphone Free"!
>73 de KR4AJ

Dan:

Sounds like a good idea. I've been "microphone free" on HF since
August, 1991. Even though I was forced to quit "cold turkey," the old
PTT cravings have never returned since.

73 de Larry, K3LT

Actually, it was by an "old turkey."

722. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:52:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020705225211.17888.00000578@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 48436

In article <7tqV8.3577$oP5.148039826@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>My only bad amateur experiences were with Extras with bad attitudes. Larry >allows one bad experience to shape his entire outlook on the phone mode.

Brian:

I guess there's a bit more to it than the 10 - 10 incident. Like 75-meter "roundtables" in which each participant transmits for a solid half-hour at a crack, with or without identifying sometime during the dissertation. Like DX phone pile-ups. Like people running SSB nets with their VFO knobs welded in place on "their" frequency. Like all the QRM caused by those "hams" who are offended by the above. Like being accused of "ethnic cleansing" because I think code testing is a worthwhile requirement for a ham license. Like some 5' 2" 300-lb. YL No-code Tech laying into a lengthy personal attack on my character during an ARRL Forum for merely asking a question regarding the ARRL's resolution to support code testing, without the ARRL "officials" present doing anything to restore order to the proceedings. Like having a repeater shut down on me for mentioning my disagreement with the No-Code Tech license. I could go on and on, but I think you get the picture.

>I am merely wary of Extras who claim their shorts don't stink.

How would you know they were lying, Brian? Did you take a sniff?

73 de Larry, K3LT

723. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:52:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020705225211.17888.00000577@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 48437

In article <3D264A1E.1090108@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

> Thanks a lot for the link Larry.

Mike:

Sorry, you've got the wrong man. I'd never send anyone a link to
the 10 - 10 website. As Ed or Cecil would say, that's just not good
"netiquette."

> In looking at the page, I can see that this seems like a worthwhile
>"club". I might be interested in joining this myself.
>
> I would say that they take themselves a tad more seriously than I would

>have expected.

Harumph! Just have a QSO with one of them on 10-meters someday, and
conveniently "forget" your steenking 10 - 10 number! THEN tell me how
seriously they take themselves!

>This isn't necessarily a bad thing, just an observation,
>nothing that would keep me from joining them.

Yeah, it's more like something that would make you "un-join" them.

> But in reading this, I had one of those "connections" type moments.
Here
>Larry is (quite rightfully) upset that someone chewed him out for not
>remembering his 10-10 number. He had a bad experience that has helped
>shape his whole ham attitude. This is similar to your having had bad
>experiences with the Morse code test, which has shaped your ham outlook.
>Different directions of course, but shaped by somewhat similar bad
>experiences.

When people have bad experiences with code testing, it's generally
because of poor preparation and/or a self-defeating attitude on their
part. The bad experience I had on 10 - 10 was a simple matter of one
LID stinking up the entire organization he represented. That by itself
probably would only have put me off 10-meter 'phone; however, it was
the cumulative effect of many other bad experiences on the 'phone
bands that caused me to concentrate my efforts on CW and the
digital modes -- much to my personal enjoyment and betterment.

73 de Larry, K3LT

724. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:54:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D265BD9.8270383F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48438

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D25E859.6655D031@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2509CC.F362E7DA@earthlink.net...
> > > > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D2483F1.38516778@earthlink.net...

> > >
> > > Dave, I hold the high ground re: your working French Hams out of band.
> And
> > > I detest grafitti. You can claim that I"m a vandal all day long, but
> I'm
> > > not. It just makes you look even sillier.
> >
> > Brian, it would be impossible for me to top your act in the silliness
> > department. The high ground you think you hold is illusory. I wasn't
> > "out of band" when working French hams on 6m from Tanzania. If there
> > were French hams out of band while working me, you need to contact the
> > French PTT or REF. I don't know how many times I need write this before
> > you catch on. Protest the behavior of French scofflaw hams.
>
> Dave, since you are back in America, I find it unlikely that you'll be able
> to lure anyone out of band.

Why is th at, Brian? West Virginia is a rare state on 6m. I'll likely
be operating below 50.200. Why would French ops who are inclined to
transmit below that frequency be less likely to do so if they need my
state for a new one?

Do let me know if you're planning a dxpedition
> to some rare land and I"ll be sure to keep my eye on you.

Why would I let you know what my plans are for anything?

> > ...and I didn't accuse you of being a vandal, only of not knowing what
> > your mission is.
>
> You said that you expected me to spray paint grafitti on your car. That is
> being accused of being a vandal. Being a vandal is not my mission.

Keep up the search. I'm sure you'll find your mission down the line.

Dave K8MN

725. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:59:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D265D00.3AD8AB6D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48439

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > > >
> > > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> > >
> > > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants us to
> believe.
> > > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was an OK
> thing
> > > to do, till I called him on it.
> >
> > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So what is it
> > that you called me on?
>
> After you were informed that you were working French hams out of band, you
> said that you would continue to work them.

How many French stations did I work before and after I got the
definitive word from the keyboard of N0IMD, noted expert on French
amateur radio regulatory matters? Why is the matter of such interest to
you in light of the fact that you aren't Tanzanian and aren't French?
Which Tanzanian amateur reg did I break by working French stations?
Could it be French regs which were broken by French radio amateurs?

Finally, have you contacted the French PTT or the REF about this matter
of concern for you?

Dave K8MN

726. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 02:59:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag5mf9$i56$0@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 48440

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
> difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse than
> 11m.
>

Which shows what happens when access is made too easy.

727. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 03:00:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D265D49.BE21CE2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48441

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D25E96F.82256767@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > We have not witnessed you ever admitting being wrong. Imagine that.
> Here's
> > > your chance.
> >
> > I was wrong about you. I used to think of you as rational.
>
> BS. Is there no end to your storytelling?

I admitted being wrong and this is the treatment I get from you!

Dave K8MN

728. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 03:04:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D265E40.1D308DDB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48442

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D25F6EB.CCD857C7@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020705072635.08853.00001964@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >
> > > > >Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being
> a
> > > > >specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > > >
> > > > We all believe that there are things others shouldn't do in spite of
> their
> > > > being no law against their doing so. The reason there is no law is
> > > probably
> > > > because we all have a different list of personal unwritten laws.
> > >
> > > Show us your short list.
> > >
> > > > When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me is
> licensed
> > > to
> > > > operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your unwritten
> law?
> > >
> > > Nor do I. But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to are out
> of
> > > band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm within my >
> band limits?
> >
> > Please produce any evidence that I did so.
> >
> > > Who wants to talk to bootleggers anway?
> >
> > Bootleggers are unlicensed station, Brian. Come to think of it, I'd
> > stay off the bands if I were you since I'm now making you aware of the
> > fact that any station you QSO could be an unlicensed individual using a
> > made up callsign or "borrowing" the callsign of another. You can't be
> > too careful.
> > >
> > > When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz linked

> 2M
> > > repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just because you
> are
> > > within your operating privelege?
> >
> > I don't personally know any Novices, Brian.
>
> Yep, cruises the Novice subbands handing out CW contacts!

Are you of the opinion that I drove house to house handing out QSL cards
for my QSOs with Novices?

> What's more, I don't
> > personally know any of the French hams I worked on 6m from Tanzania.
>
> > > You and Dave say YES to both questions!
> >
> > Beep. Wrong.
>
> Wrong? You or Ed? One or both questions?

I'm Dave. Both questionss as evidence by my replies. We could save a
lot of work if you'd bother to read them before responding.

> > > And then question my reputation.
> >
> > You risked your own reputation when you set out to sully the reputation
> > of another, Brian. You'll just have to live with it.
>
> Dave, I only have what you said to go on. Unless you want to change
> your story now.

Funny, you've not accepted or really responded to what I've said to you
for the past several days. I've been saying this all along.

> > > Cronyism at its worst.
> >
> > Self-righteous indignation at its worst.
>
> Oh, the inHumanity!

I'd so far assumed that you were actually human.

Dave K8MN

729. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 03:06:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D265EBD.B217EED5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48443

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D25E9D1.784BAE33@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
> > > here
> > > > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > > > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > > > >
> > > > > Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no amateur
> > > > > radio regulations of the country from which I operated. Perhaps you
> > can
> > > > > assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not being a
> > > > specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > >
> > > Name them.
> >
> > You name a few. Include some amateur related activities.

I'll be happy to do so about the time when you respond to whether or not
you've contacted the French authorities instead of repeatedly ignoring
my question.

Dave K8MN

730. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 03:23:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2662BC.D85AEC48@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48444

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D25ED0F.C831C0D6@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D250CBB.1C803834@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > >
> > > > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your stands
> > > here
> > > > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > > > >
> > > > > Not the ones that carry the weight of an FCC regulation. I have no
> > > > > authority over those-only the ability to read them as they are.
> > > >
> > > > So you are willing to reverse any recently declared stand except those
> > > > involving an FCC reg? What shall we call that--partial integrity?
> > > > regulatory integrity?
> > >
> > > Boy are you doing poorly on this one. Just admit you screwed up.
> >
> > In what way am I doing poorly? In what way did I screw up. I noted
> > that you'd rather respond in this way than to answer the questions.
>
> Dave, obviously I cannot override an FCC regulation. Unless I do some
> creative reading and interpretation, but that's not within me to do. Its
> dishonest.
>
> It's called integrity; not partial integrity, not regulatory integrity.

You still haven't answered the question I posed.

> > > The situation is much like
> > > > directing your ire over the habits of scofflaw French 6m ops toward me
> > > > instead of contacting the French PTT and REF.
> > >
> > > Scofflaw French 6M Ops? Is that anything like admitting that you knew
> > they
> > > > were out of band? I think it is.
> > >
> > > You'd be wrong. If French hams worked me while operating out of their
```

> > assigned 6m segments, they'd be scofflaws.
>
> What do you mean, "If?" I'm just going off what you said.

"If" is in your dictionary. Insert the dictionary definition for "if"
in front of the balance of my words and I think you'll have it.

> Have you taken the matter up
> > with the French PTT or the REF?

No response to that one to this point.

> > > Just how long will it take for you to admit it?
> >
> > It won't be during this life.
>
> You are lost to the dark side.

Just during this period. It'll be light again in the morning.

> > > > > > Good for you. I don't operate out of band either. Your view on
> > > working
> > > > > > > the trailer trash crowd out of band is a good one too.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What trailer trash? Do you think the French have "manufactured
> > > housing?"
> > > > >
> > > > > Well what whole class of folks were you talking about if not the
> trailer
> > > > > trash?
> > > >
> > > So the French do have manufactured housing?
> >
> > I'd assume they do. I've heard that they even have indoor plumbing and
> > satellite TV. What whole class of folks were you writing of?
>
> The people you call scofflaws. French hams on 6M operating out of > band are a "whole
class of people."

They are? Just how many do you surmise makes up this merry band.
You'll need information like this when you take the matter up with the
French authorities.

> > > > > > > Then, apparently, you really didn't need him that badly.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What would possess you to write that?
> > > > >
> > > > > You didn't even try.
> > > >
> > > > That's right. Sometimes, whether you're an American ham not wanting to
> > > > do something liddy like breaking a DX pileup on CW to ask the op to
> move
> > > > up or whether you're a French ham who hears a DX station operating on

> a
> > > > frequency not permitted by your regs, it is better not to transmit at
> > > > all.
> > > >
> > > I think you're coming closer and closer to admitting that you knowingly
> > > worked French hams out of band.
> > >
> > Once again, you're reading between the lines rather than reading the
> > material as presented. I've told you what I'd do and not do. I can't
> > speak for what French hams will do.
> >
> Nor can I. But I'd not keep working them out of band once I was informed
> what their operating priveleges were.
> >
> You did and will keep doing so, so you say.

Tell you what: When you produce evidence of being an agent for the
French PTT, we'll talk.

> > > > > > > Here's the reciprocol of that scenario: Ask the French hams to
> move
> > > > > into
> > > > > > > their legal band limits and you colud follow them and continue
> your
> > > > > little
> > > > > > > pileup.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't see that as a *reciprocal* at all. If I wanted to operate
> up
> > > > > the band, I'd be operating up the band. French ops were not the
> only
> > > > > Europeans who called me, Brian.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh well, I tried to find a solution for you that you were not
> capable >
> > > of thinking of for yourself.
> > > >
> > > I don't recall asking for a solution. In your "reciprocol" scenario,
> I
> > > didn't even have a problem which required a solution.
> > >
> > Yes, you did. You complained about a pileup on 14.132 MHz phone, but >
> you couldn't operate phone there. I offered a solution. You rejected > it
> because you suddenly found religion.
> >
> > No, Brian. I found that the solution to my problem was not to transmit
> > a) illegally on SSB or b) in a liddy manner by using CW to call the SSB
> > DX station running a pileup. I would use self restraint. Neither of
> > these choices involved consulting you for advice.
> >
> Then why complain to me?

I'm about to yank the plug on you, Brian.

> > Now perhaps is the time for you to address the issue of self-restraint
> > by some French 6m operators by contacting the French PTT or the REF.
>
> What do I have to take up with the French authorities?

Let me think...ummm, uhhh--Oh Yeah! Because you are the only person on
the planet worried about my 6m QSOs with French amateurs.

> Its all what you said, i.e., heresay. Its all in your logs, not mine.

What are my Tanzanian logs to you? Why are you worried about someone
else's logs?

> You're the only one I
> can legitimately discuss it with
> as it is only what you told me.

I've told you that I broke no Tanzanian regs and that the French ops who
called me below 50.200 broke French regs. Have you taken the matter up
with them? Noooooooo. Have you taken the matter up with the French PTT?
Noooooooo. Have you taken the matter up with the REF? Noooooo. I'm
starting to see a pattern here.

> The only other part is the French ham who came on here and gave their > 6M band limits.

Have you taken the matter up with him? Nooooooooo.

> > I'd think it would be more productive use of your time than to attempt
> > tackling the issue by accusing the DX station they called of wrong
> > doing.
>
> It takes two to tango.

If I'm a clerk in a 7-11 at half past two in the morning (thus guilty of
luring a robber into the store) and he robs the place--am I guilty of
robbing the store? Does being where I'm supposed to be have me doing
the tango with a robber? Does any of this have anything to do with you?

Dave K8MN

731. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 03:24:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D266310.C379BDBE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48445

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D25EF5A.B696EFBC@earthlink.net...
> > I still don't know for a fact whether the French or any other station I
> > worked on 6m except for the two Americans I qso'd from Tanzania were
> > within their authorizations. I don't have copies of of the
> > authorizations for all countries and if I did, I wouldn't bother
> > consulting the authorization tables before replying. I can only be
> > responsible for the operation of my station. It is entirely up to the
> > other station how he operates his equipment. My presence on a frequency
> > I am permitted to use cannot be used as an excuse for the illegal
> > operation by another. I don't operate my equipment outside my
> > authorized allocation. Again, since your issue is the illegal 6m
> > operation of French hams, you need to address this issue to the French
> > PTT or the REF. Have you yet done so?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, you'll forever be the Wonder Bread of 6M DX. Congratulations.

Just a question, Brian: Have you contacted the French authorities yet?

Dave K8MN

732. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 04:14:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706001420.14718.00000249@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 48446

In article <YcqV8.3568$rE5.147456115@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1 by
>> executive order.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim, I didn't realize that teh FCC was part of the Executive branch of
>government.

Careful, Brian, if you don't go along with Jimmie you will be accused of "uncivil conduct!" :-)

733. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 04:14:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706001420.14718.00000248@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 48447

In article <3D26037A.57FEC8E8@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D2513D1.CD839E5D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >I've encountered you here for a number of years. I've not yet noticed
>> >you being civil but I have noted you saying anything you want.
>>
>> Dave poor baby..."being civil to you" is not defined as agreeing
>> to everything you write or accepting all the trash you say about
>> others.
>
>As I said, I've not yet noticed you being civil but I have noted you
>saying anything you want. Included are pot shots at the ARRL, casual
>dismissal of the views of long-time licensed hams and those who are
>pro-code testing, trashing of the contributions of radio amateurs and of
>their traditions, tales from your military service period and from your
>civilian employment.

The ARRL is a political organization, an entity which can, like
other US political organizations, have its pots shot at. Live with
it.

I will UN-casually dismiss the views of long-time licensed hams if
such views are elitist, dictatorial, grounded in personal belief and
desires, and do not appear to be encouraging of newcomers.
Long-timers do NOT have some "squatters rights" to viewpoints
and a hobby is NOT a professional organization where tenure or
seniority is superior. Live with that.

Most of your objections listed are simply personal dissatisfaction
at not having your noble, godlike viewpoints shared. Try to live
with that. Opposition to nobility will continue.

>> You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here,
>> your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself.
>
>Naw, Len--not only to satisfy myself. I do it to counter your anti-ham
>radio bias and maybe to provide a few laughs at the expense of a
>condescending windbag who isn't even a participant in amateur radio.
>Ain't I a stinker?

You cannot be a stinker. Your shorts always have an aura of
sweet perfume.

>> Answer the question or be considered intellectually defunct,
>> Dave.
>
>I answered the question. You didn't like the answer.

Your answers are intellectually defunct.

>...but you don't address the issue that both the copies of the Supreme
>Publications material and the 22-year run of the defunct "Ham Radio"
>magazine are simply reproductions of old material. There are no new
>issues of "Ham Radio" coming off the press.
>
>> >Do any of those
>> >issues of Ham Radio on the CD set bear a 2002 date?
>>
>> Why are you so concerned. Can you understand any tech
>> level as advanced as the year 2002?
>
>I'm concerned because you write as though "Ham Radio" magazine is still
>being published. It isn't. It is defunct.
>
>> >No, you're getting confused again. I don't consider myself to be an
>> >absolute marvel. I consider myself to be a radio amateur.
>>
>> I concede that you are an amateur.
>
>It is about time. Maybe you can play too someday.

I consider you an amateur. Even when someone pays you money
for services.

>> >> Part of amateur radio policy is about GETTING INTO amateur
>> >> radio and the regulations concerning license testing. You appear
>> >> to forbid such discussion except by those who have already
>> >> obtained a license. You have NOT explained your exclusionary
>> >> demands except that such discussion aggravates you.
>> >
>> >You and I both know that you've taken longer getting into amateur radio
>> >than most folks spend actually being radio amateurs.
>>
>> Misdirection again by the demands to "get a license first, then be
>> 'allowed' to talk about getting in."
>
>You've talked for ages. You just haven't done anything about it.

You keep on demanding and demanding a license in order to
talk about amateur radio policy. Why?

You should be more honest and admit your spite doesn't allow
anyone but yourself and those who agree with your opinions in

here.

If anyone is "enthused" about getting a ham license from your
postings then US amateur radio is in for a very bad future. :-)

>> >> Why is that some "qualification" on being and acting exclusionary
>> >> and prohibitive? You demean others' professional experience and
>> >> get a hissy-fit when others challenge your experience.
>> >
>> >I didn't read a challenge.
>>
>> So, you get into a hissy-fit anyway?!? How strange.
>
>No, it is strange that you speak of a challenge when there has been no
>challenge.

Understood...you are naturally challenged. :-)

>> Regardless of your emotional instability, please try to explain
>> why you are being exclusionary and prohibitive?
>
>You've not been excluded, just largely disregarded.

"Disregarded?!?" Then why do you bother posting to my comments?

Tsk, tsk, you say one thing and do another...

>Are you and Brian in collusion?

No. He is in Ohio and I am in California.

>I was part of the government as a civilian in the military? I suppose I
>was not just working as a State Department employee, I was PART of the
>government. When I was self-employed, was I part of myself?

I don't know, what does your psychiatrist say about your
schizophrenia and multiple personalities?

>The State Department had no role in my amateur radio activities just as
>no employer in the U.S. has had a role in my amateur radio activities.
>The State Department didn't order me to Guinea-Bissau, I voluntarily bid
>on the assignment. Why? Does it bother you?

You actually BID on an assignment to one of the poorest
countries in middle Africa?!? Do you like cashew nuts all that
much?

Or did you go there to be the ONLY ham in Guinea-Bisseau?
[I'll bet that's it...it fits your personality...:-)]

>> >You've had your say with the FCC and you've had more than your say here.
>>
>> ...and I will continue to be heard by both.
>
>I have no doubt. I just don't think anyone there or here is paying much

>attention.

You are paying attention. You are taking the time and trouble to
reply, criticize, and act the general #$%^!!!. No problem. :-)

>> There was NO requirement or regulation stating that a license was
>> necessary. None is required. Except among those poor souls
>> who use their license status-rank-title as some kind of "qualification"
>> on knowing it all.
>
>Sure there is, Len. There is a requirement for someone to pass a
>license exam in order to be a ham. Remember? This newsgroup deals with
>amateur radio.

OK, understood. You consider your license status-rank-title is some
kind of "qualification" on knowing it all...therefore you also wish to
stifle any talk, discussion, debate on different regulations that may
threaten your license status-rank-title.

>> >What weight should be given to your views, Len?
>>
>> I leave that up to the reader.
>
>I wouldn't want to be out on THAT limb.

True...you aren't on any limb but rather sitting out in the air like
some cartoon character. Unfortunately for those cartoon
characters, they wind up falling once they realize they aren't
sitting on anything. :-)

I leave my comments to the various readers in here. I have no
problem with that. You have a great deal of trouble with that.
Tsk, tsk.

>> Other readers have varying opinions and are capable of
>> independent thought.
>
>Don't worry, Len old boy, you only have to worry about the rational
>readers.

There are plenty of rational readers in here. Some do not post
because of all the condemnation applied to them by dictators
such as yourself.

>> The FCC does not require its staff or commissioners to hold
>> amateur radio licenses in order to make regulations about US
>> amateur radio. Why do you, not with the FCC, demand
>> such adherence to standards which are NOT codified law?
>
>Nope and you don't have to hold a driving license to work for the DMV
>either. You aren't with the FCC and you don't make regulations about
>U.S. amateur radio.

...difference is that I can DISCUSS United States law with my (USA)
government without having to get some kind of license...or having to
beg permission from petty little exclusionist dictators like yourself.

No "license" is required. No "permission" is required.

>> The FCC makes decisions about regulations based on US
>> citizen input and the logic of that input. Most readers have
>> US citizenship and logic capability.
>
>So you'd likely be an exception based upon at least one of the two
>criteria?

What ARE you talking about Herr Robust?!? Have you read the
US Constitution with any understanding?

What part of "US citizens can petition the government..." do you
not understand?

>> I do not demand that they
>> share my viewpoints, just that they listen to another's view with
>> an open mind. Why do you close your mind and demand
>> others adhere to your standards?
>
>Man, your posts certainly don't read like the stuff you've outlined
>above. What would be the reason for the discrepancy between what you
>espouse and what you actually post?

There is NO "discrepancy." I simply give my viewpoints. I do not
DEMAND licensing as a criteria for anyone giving their viewpoints.

You, on the other hand, DEMAND licensing in order to discuss.

You do NOT allow others to give their viewpoints without trying to
give them a hard time for not meeting your criteria on said view-
points.

Poor baby. Newsgroup discussion is obviously not for you. Try
deselecting this newsgroup and do something useful instead of
dictating to everyone who doesn't agree with your views.

>You may now begin accusing me of beating up little old piranhas.

No...even though you would assault your own kind...

734. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 04:48:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyszgtb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48448

On 05 Jul 2002 03:46:11 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Non-sequitor, Lennie my boy...CURRENT FCC regulations (that
>means TODAY, Your Putziness...NOT 1976) details several different
>subparts, all of which arecbategorized under "citizens band".
>47CFR95.401 refers.

This is stated that way for a very arcane reason - Sect. 307(e) of the Comm Act gives the Commission authority to issue "blanket authorization" (i.e. authority to operate a station without individual licenses) to the "citizen band radio services" and gives the Commission authority to define by rule what that phrase means.

This was done in the 1980s when the only "citizen band radio services" were the Remote Control (R/C) Service and the Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service provided for in Subparts C and D -- the only Part 95 services operating in the confines of the 27 MHz "citizens band".

Along comes the FRS followed by other alphabet-soup Part 95 services which the Vommission wanted to make license-free nut there was a hitch - the Comm Act permotted that for "citizen band radio services".

What to do?

Why, the easy way is to define those alphabet-soup services as "citizen band radio services". A "cat" is a "dog" whern the "dog-catcher" has to catch it.....

This was discussed in a footnote to the Report and Order establishing the FRS.

I can think of several ways to do this properly but it would literally take an Act of Congress to change the wording of the Comm Act.

The Comm Act is not easy to change for just wording reasons. Section 4(f)(3) is the classic example - it refers to the Field Engineering and Monitoring Bureau which hasn't existed since 1965, to Engineer in Charge and Radio Engineers, positions which haven't existsed since 1996 and 1973 respectively, to an activity which was privatized in 1996, and is worded to consider Saturday as a "weekday" which hasn't been the case in Federal employment practice since about WW-II.

Yet, the action authorized by that statute (special overtine compensation of ship safety inspectors at field offices and reinursement of same by the shipoeners) continued to be valid despite the name changes until the inspections were privatized and survived

many audits by the General Accounting Office, The Congress' squad of hatchet-men.

So much for Literalness.

It's so difficult to hire competent "help" nowadays.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

735. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 04:53:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag5t4u$1l3$0@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 48449

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So what is it
> > that you called me on?
>
> After you were informed that you were working French hams out of band, you
> said that you would continue to work them.

So you were a tattletale in kindergarten. And the teacher ignored you,
too.

736. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 04:56:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag5tao$2gu$0@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 48450

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> After you were informed that you were working French hams out of band, you
> said that you would continue to work them.

What's really interesting about this is the fact that you "didn't have
time" to practice code but you had all sorts of time to inform yourself
of band allocations in foreign lands. What is much less certain is that
you know what you'r talking about.
I would have ignored you, too. What's it to you what French hams do?
Since when are you the International Radio Police?

737. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 05:56:10 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <o71diuc56i3s0aobqaiic5d91ku8ok3jcg@4ax.com>
Source record: 48551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On Fri, 05 Jul 2002 23:54:49 GMT, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote:

> We're on the moneyed side of the Atlantic, if you're uncertain.

It doesn't look that way to us, judging by the recent performance of
the NY Stock Exchange!

Worldcom is on your side of the Atlantic, no?

;-)
73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

738. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 05:59:22 UTC
From: lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
Message-ID: <020diuktpofv3sa32hne3onpt6b2jffgdh@4ax.com>
Source record: 48552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On Fri, 05 Jul 2002 23:54:49 GMT, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote:

>Andy, get your M3 drivel off of rrap. We're on the moneyed side of the
>Atlantic, if you're uncertain.

Close. But no cigar... Wrong Andy.

Old Nick.

739. NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 06:16:30 UTC
From: stevebg <sbgood@njnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D268B46.D7F4756A@njnet.com>
Source record: 48553

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

CW or CB -- no other choice.

740. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 06:16:57 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D268B59.3B013A57@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 48565

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
>
> The old RCA Corporation was sold entire, first to General Electric,
> then parts of it to other corporations. Did such selling make it
> "defunct?" The word "defunct" is defined as meaning "dead or
> extinct." I don't see the "RCA" brand as either extinct or dead.

I worked there when that happened. Rape and pillage is a more
accurate description. "RCA" went from a real company to a rather
meaningless trademark. Kinda like "The Fisher" used to be a
manufacturer of high end hifi sets, now it's a trademark of some
asian trash consumer products.

741. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 06:20:06 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D268C16.4D1096AD@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 48566

Angel G wrote:

> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>
> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>
> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>

Oh crap, even I was able to learn 5wpm and I suck when it comes
to learning "motor skills" type of things.

742. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 07:30:51 UTC
From: "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <74xV8.12815$AK.10674@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 48554

So all the guys running SSB on 40 meters aren't really HAM's??

BTW, I'm a General Class, worked up from Novice w/ 5wpm... :-)

Just my $.02
--
John D Gubernard, K2LSX
k2lsx@arrl.net

"stevebg" <sbgood@njnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D268B46.D7F4756A@njnet.com...
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>

743. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 08:17:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706041704.09761.00003838@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48555

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/05/02 16:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020705162710.14503.00000597@mb-mg.aol.com>

>A critical analysis of the ham radio publications of the 60s to the
>start of the 90s might turn up that it was THE best technical
>ham periodical at the time.

The topic was not that it wasn't a good magazine, the topic is that is it
now DEFUNCT. It was and it is.

>What was surprising to some of the staff was the number of
>non-amateur-radio manuscripts submitted for consideration.

Nothing there is surprising. It was a good magazine, and it is now
DEFUNCT.

>"HR" was not into the "traditional" reprints of MOPA transmitters...(SNIP)

No, it was not. And now it is DEFUNCT.

>The professionals in RF thought highly of the magazine, at least
>from the readers' responses.

Obviously they didn't think so highly of it as to help keep it afloat, as
it is now DEFUNCT.

>That's rather gone by the boards in the US ham radio periodical
>field where that field was turning into a "race for subscribers" and
>a competitiveness-among-publishers for a shrinking advertising
>income.

It's too bad that ANY focused-subject magazine can't stay in business soley
on it's technical merits or it's editorial staff enthusiasm. If it could, it
might not now be DEFUNCT.

>No matter...the majority in amateur radio now use manufactured
>equipment of high quality in performance, fairly reliable equipment
>enabled through nearly the latest in solid-state and ASICs.

Yes, they are...But in time those radios too will be DEFUNCT.

744. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 08:22:30 UTC
From: andy <music@soon.com>
Message-ID: <ag6at5$oq1$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 48557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:o71diuc56i3s0aobqaiic5d91ku8ok3jcg@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 05 Jul 2002 23:54:49 GMT, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
> > We're on the moneyed side of the Atlantic, if you're uncertain.
>
> It doesn't look that way to us, judging by the recent performance of
> the NY Stock Exchange!
>
> Worldcom is on your side of the Atlantic, no?

And we won't mention Xerox and Enron.

Oops, I accidentally did...

745. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 08:36:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706043657.09761.00003839@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48556

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/05/02 16:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020705162712.14503.00000598@mb-mg.aol.com>

>Miccolis has a deficiency in understanding treaties, the ITU, the UN,
>and "international law." Please research and correct your personal
>deficiency. Without that correction there can be no discussion.

Lennie-to-English translation: Unless you agree with me, thre can be no discussion.

>I've never argued that "Farnsworth spacing" is "different" from "morse
>code" (International Morse Code).

Oooooh...L E N N I E ! ! ! ! ! Liar Liar Pants On Fire!

>Let's cut to the chase. You are using "defunct" as a left-handed
>insult because you are highly irritated at non-hams' participation
>in the content of a respected 22-year-publication-history technically
>oriented amateur radio periodical.

No, we are simply pointing out that you continue to harrangue Amateurs about allegedly rying to cling to "legacy modes" and practices established in the 30's, all-the-while clinging to your less than stellar "writing" career in a failed magazine and 1950's era rear area Army communications posts.

It's one of your "double standards" that you accuse OTHERS of doing.....

> So is Superman Dave and Sgt
>Putz, all three of you wanting to be insulting...you all succeed in
>that but carry the insults far beyond a few participants in here.

The "insult", Lennie, is the one you heap on the memories of REAL Amateurs like Jim Fisk...On the one hand you take every single opportunity to denigrate ANYTHING Amateur, then you run back to your "career" with The Late Mr. Fisk as if it makes your conduct "OK".

It doesn't.

Also, your frequent references to "friends" who happen to be Amateurs...You remind me of the bigot who freely refers to persons of African heritage with the "N" word, but then points out how some of his best friends are of that same heritage.

That you have acquaintences that are licensees inthe Amateur Radio service do NOT make it alright.

>Your insults apply to a large number of US radio amateurs (as well
>as some foreign radio amateurs) who made "HR" a leading ham
>technical periodical

It can't be TOO large, Lennie...the magazine is now DEFUNCT.

>I don't understand why you wish to insult a
>large number of hams, most of which were in the hobby as long or
>longer than yourself.

I know a 12 year old that's been in Amateur Radio longer than you have,
Lennie...What does THAT mean?

>Are you so petty that you desire to insult a whole group of
>technically-competent radio amateurs just to "get" one person
>in this newsgroup?

Nice try, Artful Dodger...NOW you are hiding behind some undefined "silent
majority" so as to try and deflect any further criticism of your own
misdeeds...Just like you tried to gain some Rear Area Widget war hero points by
trying to associate your self with soldiers who died in combat 3 years before
you arrived in that rear area...Not only the actions of a PUTZ, but a COWARDLY
PUTZ.

>Is "RCA" defunct?

Nope...But "Ham Radio" magazine is.

>Communications Technology, Inc., sold Ham Radio Magazine to
>CQ along with its Ham Radio Bookstore. Does that selling make
>Ham Radio "dead or extinct?"

Yes. CTI is only offering COPIES of a magazine that is no longer in
publication. "Ham Radio" magazine is not selling them...CTI is.

>All 22 years of HR are collected
>on three CDs and for sale by CQ and the ARRL. Article lists of
>HR articles are found on two separate Internet sites.

Copies...Just copies.

746. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 09:02:06 UTC
From: andy <music@soon.com>
Message-ID: <ag6cjn$sl7$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 48559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

The aptly named "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net>

wrote in message news:clqV8.3574$nN5.147953975@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...

> "andy" <music@soon.com> wrote in message
> news:ag4p57$qc2$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...

> > Children. Please!
> > If you can't play nicely then, please, at least stop cross-posting your
> > tantrums to the civilised (European, if you were uncertain) side of the
> > Atlantic.

> Andy, get your M3 drivel off of rrap. We're on the moneyed side of the
> Atlantic, if you're uncertain.

Ah, the old-world charm, the delicacy of expression, the immaculate
courtesy, the coruscating wit.

No wonder then, that US citizens are so popular all around the world.

Hope you enjoyed your Independence celebrations BTW; the day when you all
dress up and cheer for a terrorist victory.

747. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 09:14:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706051432.09761.00003840@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48558

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/05/02 16:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020705162715.14503.00000599@mb-mg.aol.com>

>>Nonsense. Your attitude towards amateur radio and radio amateurs has been
>>made quite clear here over the years.
>
>Oh, my, Miccolis "represents" amateur radio and equates himself and his
>personal desires and beliefs as that held by the ENTIRETY of amateur
>radio?!?!?!?

He didn't say that.

Try again, Your Putziness.

>No, you poor aggrieved soul, I don't "hate" amateur radio. I dislike (not
>hate) INDIVIDUALS within amateur radio who think they "speak for all."

Your actions do not reflect your words. You DO hate Amateur Radio. If
you didn't, we'd see you in the FCC database.

>Jimmie Miccolis is NOT the ENTIRETY of amateur radio, not even of
>US amateur radio.

He didn't say he was. Please provide the quote from N2EY that
substantiates your claim, Oh Factless One.

>I'm trying to be fair, but Miccolis seems to think His desires and beliefs
>are
>the "same" as two-thirds of a million other hobbyists. He is not.

No. Lennie...You are anyhting BUT "fair". You are incapable of it.

>Miccolis charges that I "condemn virtually everyhting [sic] in ham radio."

You do. It's archived right here. From VE's to operating events, to
emergency services to civil defense. NO ASPECT of Amateur Radio has escaped
your spiteful rants.

>There can be no rational discussion with
>those who are so egocentric...thus "fairness" doesn't exist...

For once we agree. And for diametrically opposed reasons.

>Hand me your TS card and I will punch it.

How about if I hand you mine but punch you instead?

>The major issue in amateur radio is still the code test.

No, it's not. PRB-1 and spectrum protection are the leading issues of interests to most Amateurs, Lennie. If you were active in some forum OTHER than rrap you might know this.

I am sure it is the "major issue" to you, but that's because you are ignorant of the world outside your own imagination, Lennie.

Sucks to be you, I guess.......

>Is amateur radio all about morsemanship? I don't think so.

Obviously, you do...otherwise you'd have a license and spend more quality time on the air instead of wasting countless hours trying to fake people into believeing that you are really a person instead of a spiteful troll.

>All of radio is only about 108 years old
>and the standards and practices of the 1930s are hardly applicable in
>this new millennium.

Nor are "achivements" accomplished in a rear area Army radio facility in the 1950's...But you feel it neessary to keep telling us that you did it...It's meaningless and tiring. Too bad you don't have any CONTEMPORARY tales to tell, Lennie.

Again...SUCKS to be you.

>"Tradition" is something for the museums and the
>overly-proud, posturing membership organizations...and the lovers
>of the past who, not being able to learn the modern, cling desperately
>to the past of their youth.

.....As told by Sgt Lennie H Anderson, U. S. Army, 1950-something to 1950-something else...Again.....

>Why the youth of now has to be saddled with
>the nostalgia emotionalism of the now old or middle-aged is not a good
>reason for retention of certain regulations.

Nor is it sufficent reason to flog people with tales of your hero By Association tour-of-duty in 1950's Japan, Lennie.

>No, like the ARRL, still trying to hang onto a ham radio of yesterday in
>everything, appeasing their old-timer membership base and ignoring or
>talking down others.

Sheesh! What rediculous tripe!

>If you can't take confrontation, best you shut off your
>computer or quit trying to access this newsgroup.

A bit of your own advice you should heed next time you go off on another one of your "...he tried to deny me my rights" rants, Lennie.

>Jimmie, you poor soul, you just cannot bear losing that code test nor
>the status and title-symbolism representation for your morsemanship.

We will lose nothing, Lennie. When the test is laid to rest, we will still be licensed Amateurs...the only difference is that we will be able to do something you can't...and that above all just eats you up at night.

>That's okay, but you go off the deep
>end when confronted with another who doesn't agree with you.

He's disagreed with a lot of folks, sometimes vigorously. YOU are the only one who tries to belittle him for it. Probably becaue YOU can't accept that YOUR opinon is not held as sancrosanct by others as by you.

STILL sucks to be you.....

>You cannot handle strong confrontation. That is clear.

Hope you had your helmet on when you launched THAT one, Lennie, because it landed pretty close to home!

>That is why I
>suggest you quit trying to be some "knowing what is best for amateur
>radio" spokesperson.

He never has.

>The US morse code test is NOT gone. S25.5 has NOT been rewritten,
>will not even be discussed at WRC-03 until next year.

Perhaps they should discuss it at WRC-03 in 2005?

>Until there is some sign that US law will be rewritten to eliminate the
>morse code test, there is NO "certainty" and the code test elimination
>confrontation WILL continue.

I am sure you are right, Don Quixote. Head right on over that way (a few miles west of you)...You can tilt at all those steel windmills on the coast. I am sure "Big Oil" will have a good time watching the old man joust with the windmills.

>The "extra double standard" seems alive and kicking.

Is that anyhting like the "EE double standard"...???

> Have I called anyone else "putz" dozens of times? No.

Sure...At LEAST that many times this week alone!

>Have I told someone else to "go soak their head" many times? No.

Liar, liar pant's on fire, Lennie! Done it to me many MANY times!

>Have I called someone a "blowhard?" No.

Well...I guess you had to give yourself at least ONE "out"....

>Let's have a clarification on definitions here. An opposing view to a
>personal
>desire or belief is NOT automatically "uncivil." It is merely an opposing

>view.

Well gee whiz, Lennie-poo! If you'd taken some of your own advice we wouldn't have all this ugliness, now would we...?!?!

I love it how you get your own spitefullness fed back to you, and now you suggest flks "play pretty".

>I cannot "make fun" of someone's occupation when they don't identify that
>occupation.

That's right. You can't. And I can't blame Jim for keeping his current employment or employer to himself.

>>My description is quite accurate.
>
>No. You insist on a flame war even now, can neither take criticsm nor any
>correction.

He "insists" on nothing of the like. However YOU offer NOTHING that approaches CONSTRUCTIVE criticism, and your "corrections" are only sleights of hand meant to confuse otherwise uninformed readers. They are rarely if ever correct.

>As I said before, you cannot handle rational discussion on subjects.

Pot/Kettle/Black.

It REALLY sucks to be you, Lennie.

748. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 09:29:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706052906.09761.00003841@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48560

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 07/06/02 00:48 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyszgtb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

> This is stated that way for a very arcane reason -

The "reason" it is stated that way, Phil, is irrelevant. it's there in black and white, reardles of "what they meant". Citizens are not obligated to try and guess what was in thier minds...Courts only go by what is on paper.

That Herr Anderson insists that there is only one "citizens band" is disproven with only the most superficial of reading of the regulations.

The FCC says there are several subparts to part 95, and that they are ALL "citizens' bands". It's in black and white. Please read it.

>Sect. 307(e) of
> the Comm Act gives the Commission authority to issue "blanket
> authorization" (i.e. authority to operate a station without
> individual licenses) to the "citizen band radio services" and gives
> the Commission authority to define by rule what that phrase means.

Your point? GMRS is not "licenseless", yet is under Part 95 and is CLEARLY identified in 47CFR95.401 as one of several "services" within the "citizens band radio services".

>This was done in the 1980s when the only "citizen band radio
> services" were the Remote Control (R/C) Service and the Citizens Band
> (CB) Radio Service provided for in Subparts C and D -- the only Part
> 95 services operating in the confines of the 27 MHz "citizens band".

GMRS was a part of those regulations even then, Phil. Still don't see your point.

>Why, the easy way is to define those alphabet-soup services as
> "citizen band radio services". A "cat" is a "dog" whern the
> "dog-catcher" has to catch it.....

Huh?

> It's so difficult to hire competent "help" nowadays..

Can't be any worse than the "help" that they used to have. Espeically the one's who threw thier hands up in the air over the monster THEY created...(and then enacted prohibitions on radio accessories for Amateurs due to abuses by NON Amateurs...go figure...)

Oh well...Guess what was once old is now new again.

73

Steve, K4YZ

749. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 09:33:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706053307.09761.00003842@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48561

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 07/05/02 16:37 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D26037A.57FEC8E8@earthlink.net>

>> Then God help you, Dave...you need the highest-grade help
>> available.
>
>As soon as he's finished at your place, he can drop by

Don't say THAT, Dave! This is one time I'd be selfish and ask that He'd come see me first! Knowing Lennie, He'll be there a looooooong time!

73

Steve, K4YZ

750. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 09:43:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706054313.09761.00003844@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48562

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>Date: 07/05/02 13:25 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D25D699.4CEF6D19@hotmail.com>
>
>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>> >I'll bet you a lobster dinner that I can write to "Ham Radio" at
>> >ANY address and request reprints.
>>
>> Not from the original publisher you can't. Nor the original editor, nor
>> can you obtain a new subscription to an on-going circulation.
>
>So it's a bet? Give me ANY address in the entire world, Steve, and
>I will request reprints from that address. You lose. You didn't
>say I couldn't *obtain* reprints, you said I couldn't *request* reprints.
>I can request ANYTHING in the world from ANY address in the world.

OkieDokie....POB 663, Decherd, Tennessee 37324.

When you get reprints of "Ham Radio" amgazine fromTHIS address, I will buy
you TWO lobster dinners.

By the way...your attempt to slip the "request anything" priviso
disgarded...The topic was "Ham Radio" magazine, Cecil. You go right ahead and
send a check for $100.00 made out to "Steven Robeson" at that address and wait
for your reprints to arrive, OK?

73

Steve, K4YZ

751. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 12:06:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706080631.22468.00000453@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 48563

In article <YcqV8.3568$rE5.147456115@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1 by
>> executive order.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim, I didn't realize that teh FCC was part of the Executive branch of
>government.
>
Phil Kane explained the special status of the FCC here some time back. Search for a post by him mentioning the Congress, White House, and executive branch.

The reference to "executive order", above, simply means that I think the FCC will just drop code testing ASAP after S25.5 goes away. No NPRM, no comments, no big deal. FCC has already said they see "no regulatory purpose" to code testing other than compliance with S25.5, and they've reduced code testing to the absolute minimum that will reasonably comply. It is logical to conclude that when S25.5 goes, FCC will refer to their earlier pronouncements and say there is "no regulatory purpose" at all, and just drop Element 1.

Is that clear?

73 de Jim, N2EY

Save bandwidth - search for old posts first!

752. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 12:34:44 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D26E3E4.3994A7B4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48564

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
> > difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse than
> > 11m.
>
> Which shows what happens when access is made too easy.

Is that why 3.950 sounds like 11m?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

753. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 12:41:38 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D26E582.43DA4CC7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48567

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com wrote:
> >So it's a bet? Give me ANY address in the entire world, Steve, and
> >I will request reprints from that address. You lose. You didn't
> >say I couldn't *obtain* reprints, you said I couldn't *request* reprints.
> >I can request ANYTHING in the world from ANY address in the world.
>
> OkieDokie....POB 663, Decherd, Tennessee 37324.
>
> When you get reprints of "Ham Radio" amgazine fromTHIS address, I will buy
> you TWO lobster dinners.

That wasn't the bet, Steve. I don't have to *get* reprints. I only have to
request reprints to win the lobster dinner which I will do immediately.

POB 663
Decherd, Tennessee 37324

Dear Sirs:

I am requesting reprints of articles from "Ham Radio" magazine.

Sincerely, Cecil A. Moore

Pay up, Steve.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

754. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 13:26:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag6r64$3or$0@208.206.142.93>
Source record: 48568

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > > 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
> > > difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse than
> > > 11m.
> >
> > Which shows what happens when access is made too easy.
>
> Is that why 3.950 sounds like 11m?

Basically.

755. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 13:40:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D26F33A.EA1B06D5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48569

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D26037A.57FEC8E8@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D2513D1.CD839E5D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >I've encountered you here for a number of years. I've not yet noticed
> >> >you being civil but I have noted you saying anything you want.
> >>
> >> Dave poor baby..."being civil to you" is not defined as agreeing
> >> to everything you write or accepting all the trash you say about
> >> others.
> >
> >As I said, I've not yet noticed you being civil but I have noted you
> >saying anything you want. Included are pot shots at the ARRL, casual
> >dismissal of the views of long-time licensed hams and those who are
> >pro-code testing, trashing of the contributions of radio amateurs and of
> >their traditions, tales from your military service period and from your
> >civilian employment.
>
> The ARRL is a political organization, an entity which can, like
> other US political organizations, have its pots shot at. Live with
> it.

...but you've told us on numerous occasions that you aren't anti-ARRL.
For one who isn't anti-ARRL, you certainly don't miss an opportunity to
take a swipe at the League.

> I will UN-casually dismiss the views of long-time licensed hams if
> such views are elitist, dictatorial, grounded in personal belief and
> desires, and do not appear to be encouraging of newcomers.
> Long-timers do NOT have some "squatters rights" to viewpoints
> and a hobby is NOT a professional organization where tenure or
> seniority is superior. Live with that.

You perceive the views of anyone who points to your being on the outside
as a non-participant or who believes your idea of setting a minimum age
limit for amateur radio licensing is silly, as being elitist,
dictatorial and grounded in personal belief.

> Most of your objections listed are simply personal dissatisfaction
> at not having your noble, godlike viewpoints shared. Try to live
> with that. Opposition to nobility will continue.

You mean compared to your personal dissatisfaction at being considered a non-ham who believes his military and professional credentials of the past entitle him to try molding a radio service of which he has never been a part?

> >> You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here,
> >> your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself.
> >
> >Naw, Len--not only to satisfy myself. I do it to counter your anti-ham
> >radio bias and maybe to provide a few laughs at the expense of a
> >condescending windbag who isn't even a participant in amateur radio.
> >Ain't I a stinker?
>
> You cannot be a stinker. Your shorts always have an aura of
> sweet perfume.

I'm surprised that you'd admit to knowing the difference.

> >> Answer the question or be considered intellectually defunct,
> >> Dave.
> >
> >I answered the question. You didn't like the answer.
>
> Your answers are intellectually defunct.

So if I didn't answer the question, I'm to be considered intellectually defunct and if I did answer the question, my answers are intellectually defunct. And all of this judgement comes from a fellow who can't accept that a magazine he once did some work for is belly up?

> >...but you don't address the issue that both the copies of the Supreme
> >Publications material and the 22-year run of the defunct "Ham Radio"
> >magazine are simply reproductions of old material. There are no new
> >issues of "Ham Radio" coming off the press.
> >
> >> >Do any of those
> >> >issues of Ham Radio on the CD set bear a 2002 date?
> >>
> >> Why are you so concerned. Can you understand any tech
> >> level as advanced as the year 2002?
> >
> >I'm concerned because you write as though "Ham Radio" magazine is still
> >being published. It isn't. It is defunct.

I guess you may actually have come to terms with this one.

> >> >No, you're getting confused again. I don't consider myself to be an
> >> >absolute marvel. I consider myself to be a radio amateur.
> >>
> >> I concede that you are an amateur.

> >
> >It is about time. Maybe you can play too someday.
>
> I consider you an amateur. Even when someone pays you money
> for services.

Then again, you'd actually have no way of knowing, having had as much
experience with anything I've done professionally as you have with
amateur radio.

> >> >> Part of amateur radio policy is about GETTING INTO amateur
> >> >> radio and the regulations concerning license testing. You appear
> >> >> to forbid such discussion except by those who have already
> >> >> obtained a license. You have NOT explained your exclusionary
> >> >> demands except that such discussion aggravates you.
> >> >
> >> >You and I both know that you've taken longer getting into amateur radio
> >> >than most folks spend actually being radio amateurs.
> >>
> >> Misdirection again by the demands to "get a license first, then be
> >> 'allowed' to talk about getting in."
> >
> >You've talked for ages. You just haven't done anything about it.
>
> You keep on demanding and demanding a license in order to
> talk about amateur radio policy. Why?

You've talked about amateur radio policy. I can't help it that your
pontificating demeanor lead to your ridicule.

> You should be more honest and admit your spite doesn't allow
> anyone but yourself and those who agree with your opinions in
> here.

You're here, aren't you?

> If anyone is "enthused" about getting a ham license from your
> postings then US amateur radio is in for a very bad future. :-)

Why, because I poke fun at you?

> >> >> Why is that some "qualification" on being and acting exclusionary
> >> >> and prohibitive? You demean others' professional experience and
> >> >> get a hissy-fit when others challenge your experience.
> >> >
> >> >I didn't read a challenge.
> >>
> >> So, you get into a hissy-fit anyway?!? How strange.
> >
> >No, it is strange that you speak of a challenge when there has been no
> >challenge.
>
> Understood...you are naturally challenged. :-)

Well, I'm waiting. What challenge was issued?

> >> Regardless of your emotional instability, please try to explain
> >> why you are being exclusionary and prohibitive?
> >
> >You've not been excluded, just largely disregarded.
>
> "Disregarded?!?" Then why do you bother posting to my comments?

Do you honestly think I'm am posting in support of your views. Do you
think you've swayed me with your powerful rhetoric?

> Tsk, tsk, you say one thing and do another...
>
> >Are you and Brian in collusion?
>
> No. He is in Ohio and I am in California.

He has the right stuff; he'll be out there one day.

> >I was part of the government as a civilian in the military? I suppose I
> >was not just working as a State Department employee, I was PART of the
> >government. When I was self-employed, was I part of myself?
>
> I don't know, what does your psychiatrist say about your
> schizophrenia and multiple personalities?

What's with the talk of psychiatry, Len? I responded to something you
told me. If you are confused about what you've written, imagine how I
feel about it.

> >The State Department had no role in my amateur radio activities just as
> >no employer in the U.S. has had a role in my amateur radio activities.
> >The State Department didn't order me to Guinea-Bissau, I voluntarily bid
> >on the assignment. Why? Does it bother you?
>
> You actually BID on an assignment to one of the poorest
> countries in middle Africa?!? Do you like cashew nuts all that
> much?

I actually bid on every assignment except for my first posting. Were you
of the impression that State Department employees bid on assignments
based on food delicacies available?

> Or did you go there to be the ONLY ham in Guinea-Bisseau?
> [I'll bet that's it...it fits your personality...:-)]

Might be, Len. It could also have to do with the fact that I received
25% hardship pay and 12.5% standby pay during those years. Being in an
exotic spot which most Americans don't have the opportunity to see may
have played a part in it. Any of it sort of takes the wind out of your
sails regarding your initial snipped comment, huh?

> >> >You've had your say with the FCC and you've had more than your say here.
> >>

> >> ...and I will continue to be heard by both.
> >
> >I have no doubt. I just don't think anyone there or here is paying much
> >attention.
>
> You are paying attention. You are taking the time and trouble to
> reply, criticize, and act the general #$%^!!!. No problem. :-)

Another miscue on your part, Len. You act the general #$%^!!!. I just respond to your stuff. All this time you must have had hopes for converting me to your point of view. What I sad disappointment I must be as a convert.

> >> There was NO requirement or regulation stating that a license was
> >> necessary. None is required. Except among those poor souls
> >> who use their license status-rank-title as some kind of "qualification"
> >> on knowing it all.
> >
> >Sure there is, Len. There is a requirement for someone to pass a
> >license exam in order to be a ham. Remember? This newsgroup deals with
> >amateur radio.
>
> OK, understood. You consider your license status-rank-title is some
> kind of "qualification" on knowing it all...therefore you also wish to
> stifle any talk, discussion, debate on different regulations that may
> threaten your license status-rank-title.

You haven't been stifled. You've been ridiculed. You've been laughed at. There isn't a thing in this world you can do to threaten anything I've ever attained.

> >> >What weight should be given to your views, Len?
> >>
> >> I leave that up to the reader.
> >
> >I wouldn't want to be out on THAT limb.
>
> True...you aren't on any limb but rather sitting out in the air like
> some cartoon character. Unfortunately for those cartoon
> characters, they wind up falling once they realize they aren't
> sitting on anything. :-)

> I leave my comments to the various readers in here. I have no
> problem with that. You have a great deal of trouble with that.
> Tsk, tsk.

I'm a reader, Len. You seem to ignore that. I don't embrace your views. I haven't been swayed by your eloquence. Judging from comments on your numerous posts, there are others who don't embrace your views.

> >> Other readers have varying opinions and are capable of
> >> independent thought.

> >
> >Don't worry, Len old boy, you only have to worry about the rational
> >readers.
>
> There are plenty of rational readers in here. Some do not post
> because of all the condemnation applied to them by dictators
> such as yourself.

That must be it. You have thousands of admirers but they've been
silenced by my ilk. Yeah, that must be it.

> >> The FCC does not require its staff or commissioners to hold
> >> amateur radio licenses in order to make regulations about US
> >> amateur radio. Why do you, not with the FCC, demand
> >> such adherence to standards which are NOT codified law?
> >
> >Nope and you don't have to hold a driving license to work for the DMV
> >either. You aren't with the FCC and you don't make regulations about
> >U.S. amateur radio.
>
> ...difference is that I can DISCUSS United States law with my (USA)
> government without having to get some kind of license...or having to
> beg permission from petty little exclusionist dictators like yourself.

I've haven't cut off your access to newsgroups, sabotaged your
newsreader or intercepted your mail to the FCC. I've only ridiculed
your views. Most of your posts are ripe for ridicule.

> No "license" is required. No "permission" is required.

No, Len. You only need a license to actually be a radio amateur. We all
undertand that it is perfectly natural for an old fellow out in
California to be obsessed with changing the regs for the amateur radio
service in which he is a total non-participant. That makes a lot of
sense.

> >> The FCC makes decisions about regulations based on US
> >> citizen input and the logic of that input. Most readers have
> >> US citizenship and logic capability.
> >
> >So you'd likely be an exception based upon at least one of the two
> >criteria?
>
> What ARE you talking about Herr Robust?!? Have you read the
> US Constitution with any understanding?
>
> What part of "US citizens can petition the government..." do you
> not understand?

What part of "logic capability" do YOU not undertand?

> >> I do not demand that they
> >> share my viewpoints, just that they listen to another's view with

> >> an open mind. Why do you close your mind and demand
> >> others adhere to your standards?
> >
> >Man, your posts certainly don't read like the stuff you've outlined
> >above. What would be the reason for the discrepancy between what you
> >espouse and what you actually post?
>
> There is NO "discrepancy." I simply give my viewpoints. I do not
> DEMAND licensing as a criteria for anyone giving their viewpoints.

Oh...that part. What about the sniping you do? the use of diminuitives
or cutesy names? What is that stuff?

> You, on the other hand, DEMAND licensing in order to discuss.

I don't demand that you become licensed, Len. I delight in pointing out
that you are not licensed despite a decades-long interest in amateur
radio, despite your comments about obtaining an "Extra out of the box".
The FCC officials who administer amateur radio are very familiar with
it. That is their job. You, on the other hand, aren't very familiar
with it but you seek to change it by removing the morse test for HF
access and by instituting a minimum age for participation. I find that
absurd.

> You do NOT allow others to give their viewpoints without trying to
> give them a hard time for not meeting your criteria on said view-
> points.

That's the American way, Len. Even politicians can be laughed at,
ridiculed or scorned. It is not mandated that your comments be accepted
or embraced.

> Poor baby. Newsgroup discussion is obviously not for you. Try
> deselecting this newsgroup and do something useful instead of
> dictating to everyone who doesn't agree with your views.

Why should I leave an amateur radio newsgroup? I'm a radio amateur.

> >You may now begin accusing me of beating up little old piranhas.
>
> No...even though you would assault your own kind...

You aren't my kind.

Dave K8MN

756. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 14:49:05 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <uie19l60trls6e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48570
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:agqV8.3569$pL5.147846357@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Mefix" <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital> wrote in message
> news:ag2ib0dne1@venus.btinternet.com...
> >
> > "Veni Vidi Velcro" <@> wrote in message
> > news:3d2302cd_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > > If you're not aware of the resistor colour code, then
> > > you're not as good as you think.
> >
> > I was wondering, do people who suffer from colour-blindness have trouble
> > reading the colour code on resistors? :)
>
> Resistors aren't the broblem. Its the cable assemblies with 60+ wires and
> they run out of colors so they start striping the insulation. My tests
> leads for a continuity check don't reach the other end as often as they
> should.

I'm color blind. Well, not 'blind', I have what's called a 'red-green'
deficiency. I think it's the most common form of what people refer to
as 'color blindness'.

On the Ishihara color test, I can't see some numbers, and on other plates
I see DIFFERENT numbers.

It turns out that additive colors (light) are not near as much a problem as
subtractive (paints as on an object) colors.

It's not too bad, really. Although it's enough to bar me from any
electronics work in the Air Force.

Yes, resistor codes are a pain, although if I look under a magnifying glass
with a bright light I can usually figure it out. I mean, there ARE certain
common combinations.

You can imagine what building my K2 was like! Actually, not too bad,
as for MOST of the kit the resistors were on a sequential tape for the
construction order. And I checked EVERY ONE with my digital meter
before I soldered it in.

Mike

757. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 19:41:23 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2747E3.228C38A3@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48571

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > > > 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
> > > > difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse than
> > > > 11m.
> > >
> > > Which shows what happens when access is made too easy.
> > >
> > Is that why 3.950 sounds like 11m?
>
> Basically.

Hmmmmmm, some of those guys are Extras who got their license around
the same time you did, Dick. Hmmmmmmmm ... One can only assume that
your Extra exam was "too easy". Did you protest at that time?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

758. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 20:06:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706160652.13189.00000398@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 48572

```
In article <20020705162715.14503.00000599@mb-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020705060714.22468.00000431@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 05 Jul 2002 10:07:14 GMT
>>
>>In article <20020703210702.17701.00000239@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020703060640.13189.00000281@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20020702141808.15110.00000261@mb-bh.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <20020702000618.10462.00000278@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>>>(N2EY)
>>>>>writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Len, it's quite clear that you hate the amateur radio service and want it
to
>>>>>>die out.
>>>>>
>>>>>Not at all. I think it is a fine hobby involving radio.
>>>>
>>>>Your postings here indicate otherwise.
>>>
>>>Poor baby. Suffering along all these years with a reading deficiency...
>>>
>>>Tsk, tsk, tsk...
>>
>>Nonsense. Your attitude towards amateur radio and radio amateurs has been
>>made quite clear here over the years.
>
>Oh, my,

(N2EY)
```

>"represents" amateur radio and equates himself and his
>personal desires and beliefs as that held by the ENTIRETY of amateur
>radio?!?!?

Nope. I simply express how your attitude towards amateur radio comes across
here.

>No, you poor aggrieved soul, I don't "hate" amateur radio.

Your postings tell a different story.

>Jimmie Miccolis

Why the use of a dimunitive and a last name? I don't sign my posts that way.
Would you address
W5DXP as "Cecilie Moore"? How about calling N0IMD "Little Brianie Burkie"? I
don't think so. But you make fun of the names, jobs, ethnicity and other
attributes of those who disagree with you. Very childish, particularly for
someone who claims to be "over 21".

> is NOT the ENTIRETY of amateur radio, not even of
>US amateur radio.

Never claimed to be. Where do you get such ideas? I simply state facts and
opinions.

>His personal desires and beliefs are NOT SHARED
>by everyone so he should quit putting on his "noble cloak" as if he did
>represent them all.

(N2EY)

>is an individual, an ordinary mortal,
>possessed of no god-hood, nor of any authority to speak for all. All he
>can do is speak for himself.
>
The same is true of "Lenover21". Nowhere have I claimed any sort of "divinity".
>
>>>I've said it a long time ago, have repeated it many times, including
>>>several
>>>times in here: Amateur radio is a fine hobby involving radio.
>>
>>You've said it a few times in here - in between mountains of words
>>condemning
>>virtually everyhting in ham radio.
>>>
>>>Now let's be fair about it.
>>
>>HAW! That's a GOOD one!
>
>I'm trying to be fair,

No, you're just trolling.

>His egocentrism leads him to equate "virtually everthing"

(couldn't even reproduce a simple typo correctly! ;-)

> with his own
>personal desires and beliefs. There can be no rational discussion with
>those who are so egocentric...thus "fairness" doesn't exist...

You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here, your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself. Try approaching a subject in a civil manner some time. Try doing it with an open mind rather than reacting with rage against all those "PCTAs", "one by twos", "extras" who do not believe in you and who do not blindly accept all your opinions. Try leaving out the personal attacks. Instead, just discuss the subject, and accept that others are going to disagree with you.
>
>>While we're at it, consider non-radio amateurs in here who have a very
>>one-sided, personally-biased viewpoint along with a too-strong
>identification
>>of themselves and their experiences and their personal desires who insist
>>that amateur radio MUST be what they say it is.
>
>I am merely arguing for greater freedom in a hobby involving radio, speaking
>for myself.

"Greater freedom"? How will the imposition of a minimum age requirement result in "greater freedom"?

Radio amateurs, particularly American radio amateurs, probably have greater freedom than any other radio service. We have access to significant amounts of spectrum from MF to microwaves. We can use power levels up to 1500 watts, a wide range of modes, and are not restricted to channels or schedules. We can build equipment of our own design without certification.

And all that is required to obtain this freedom is a license. Said license is earned by passing simple tests.

>The major issue in amateur radio is still the code test.

You do not speak for the entirety of amateur radio. You do not speak for anyone other than yourself.

>That's highly
>polarized, yes, but the belief system supporting continued code testing
>is all wrapped up in myth, legend, and personal identification with those
>few who could achieve high-rate morsemanship.

Incorrect. Ad hominem attack noted.

The code tests for an amateur license have never been "high rate" by any stretch of the imagination, and all testing beyond 5 wpm has been a thing of the past for more than 2 years in the USA.

>Those achieving the
>latter become overly, overtly proud of their skill and continue to maintain
>that they are superior to others because of that skill, up to and including
>any regulation that endorses that skill.

More ad hominem attack noted.
>
>Is amateur radio all about morsemanship? I don't think so. Many others
>don't think so, including the FCC.

I don't think amateur radio is "all about morsemanship". I do know that Morse code is a big part of amateur radio today, despite your hatred of it.

Many others think Morse code is a big part of amateur radio. Live with it.
>
>Is amateur radio all about "keeping traditions of ham radio alive?" I don't
>think so. Others don't think so.

You don't speak for anyone but yourself. Which traditions of ham radio do you want to preserve? How about the tradition of following the rules?

>All of radio is only about 108 years old
>and the standards and practices of the 1930s are hardly applicable in
>this new millennium.

Try speaking clearly. What "standards and practices of the 1930s"? You mean AM, (such as is used by air traffic control), or SSB, or FM?

>>>>>I also think that some involved with amateur radio have gone over the
>edge
>>>>>and proclaimed themselves as THE spokespersons and representatives of
>>>>>the hobby, becoming far too involved with the mystique and myths and
>>>>>legends that were created long ago.
>>
>>Like those NCI folks, huh? Imagine!
>
>No, like the ARRL, still trying to hang onto a ham radio of yesterday in
>everything, appeasing their old-timer membership base and ignoring or
>talking down others.

The ARRL is a membership organization. Its leadership is elected by the membership, to represent their views.

Seems like you want the ARRL leadership to ignore what the members want and instead do what YOU want. Why should the BoD do such a thing?

>>>I am for the elimination of the morse code test for ANY
>>>radio license.
>>
>>So is K2UNK - but he gets his points across without uncivil behavior or
>>insults. He does more to promote the NCTA cause in a single post than you
>>have done in all the years you've been posting here.
>
>Poor baby.

I'm not a baby. You behave like one, though ;-)

>If you can't take confrontation, best you shut off your
>computer or quit trying to access this newsgroup.

Translation: You want me to shut up rather than point out how obnoxious and unnecessary your behavior here can be.

>I'm not Bill Sohl nor do I always agree with his statements, but Bill *IS*
>a Director of NCI and No Code International DOES have support from
>foreign (to the USA) organizations.

So what?

The point is that K2UNK can debate the issue of Morse code testing in a fair, civil and unbiased way, without insulting anyone. No diminutives, no disparaging remarks about people's jobs, age, or ethnicity, military service or technology.

I don't agree with K2UNK on many things. But I respect him, as do many others.

>NCI has the main goal of abolishing
>the morse code test for an amateur radio license. When that main goal
>is achieved, NCI has stated that it will dissolve.

Misdirection noted.
>
>Jimmie, you poor soul, you just cannot bear losing that code test nor
>the status and title-symbolism representation for your morsemanship.

HAW! That's a good one!

It's not even an issue to most hams anymore - including me. Most of the test is gone already.

You are the one who cannot stand to lose the test, because without it your main source of trolling material will disappear.

>That seems to be the root cause of your inability to rationally argue
>the retention or elimination of the code test. Not my problem.

You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here, your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself. Try approaching a subject in a civil manner some time. Try doing it with an open mind rather than reacting with rage against all those "PCTAs", "one by twos", "extras" who do not believe in you and who do not blindly accept all your opinions. Try leaving out the personal attacks. Instead, just discuss the subject, and accept that others are going to disagree with you.

>You want to be confrontational with a superior attitude of "knowing
>what is best for amateur radio." That's okay, but you go off the deep
>end when confronted with another who doesn't agree with you.

Describing yourself, again.

>You do NOT "know what is best for amateur radio."

How do YOU know? Have any proof that my approach is not what is best for amateur radio?

I didn't think so.

Anyone who ventures an opinion on what should be done in amateur radio is, by definition, saying that they "know what is best for amateur radio". Live with it.

>I doubt anyone does.

So negative.

>Every hobby evolves and must make transitions from old to new.

There you go again, putting amatuer radio down as a "hobby".
>
>You cannot handle strong confrontation. That is clear.

You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here, your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself. That is not "strong confrontation", it's just name-calling. Try approaching a subject in a civil manner some time. Try doing it with an open mind rather than reacting with rage against all those "PCTAs", "one by twos", "extras" who do not believe in you and who do not blindly accept all your opinions. Try leaving out the personal attacks. Instead, just discuss the subject, and accept that others are going to disagree with you.

>That is why I
>suggest you quit trying to be some "knowing what is best for amateur
>radio" spokesperson.

Sounds like you don't have a rational argument, so you pile on the insults, call it "strong confrontation", and then tell me to shut up. Not going to happen.

>>>GETTING INTO amateur radio involves morse code proficiency by a
>>>test for any license class having below-30-MHz operating privileges.
>>
>>Sure. What's the big deal? That test has been whittled down to the most
>>basic
>>form imaginable. It will almost certainly disappear next year. That's old
>>news.
>
>Only the deeply religious and the fools see the future with "certainty."

Nonsense. Anyone who understands the issues can see where things are headed. Do you REALLY think FCC will continue any sort of code test without S25.5? Do you REALLY think S25.5 will last beyond WRC 2003? Get real!
>
>The amateur radio license test has NOT been "whittled down to the most
>basic form imagineable."

I didn't say that. The code test has been whittled down to the most basic form imagineable that has any semblance of compliance with S25.5. Said compliance is the only reason FCC keeps the code test. Them's the facts. Ask K2UNK.

>You want to put on your "I know what is best for
>amateur radio" cloak and proclaim, not understanding that amateur radio
>is NOT about morse code or morsemanship.

"Amateur radio is NOT about morse code or morsemanship"?? Nonsense! What are all those Morse signals doing in the ham bands, then?

Morse code is a big part of today's amateur radio, test or no test. Live with it.
>
>The US morse code test is NOT gone. S25.5 has NOT been rewritten,
>will not even be discussed at WRC-03 until next year. Rewriting of S25.5
>will NOT automatically delete the morse code test since a Recommendation
>is NOT US law.

Extreme Literalism noted.
>
>Until there is some sign that US law will be rewritten to eliminate the
>morse code test, there is NO "certainty" and the code test elimination
>confrontation WILL continue.

The "sign" is obvious in the FCC's R&O. Remember? "No regulatory purpose"?

Pretty clear sign what the FCC thinks.

>Adapt to it. Remember, you are only one
>hobbyist among hundreds of thousands and you do NOT speak for all.
>You only know what is best for you, personally, not for anyone else.

Same goes for you - except you're not even a ham.

>>So are your uncivil and insulting remarks against anyone who disagrees with
>you
>>or points out your mistakes. Even if they do so in a civil fashion, you call
>>them names, make fun of their jobs, names, etc.
>
>Have I called anyone else "putz" dozens of times? No.

Yes - you refer to someone as "Sgt. Putz".

Then there's your use of diminutives of people's names, "Nursie", "Gunny", "Herr" and other obvious childish nonsense. By you.
>
>Have I told someone else to "go soak their head" many times? No.

You've told me to shut up many times.
>
>Have I called someone a "blowhard?" No.

>PCTA amateur extras have used those "civil-fashion" statements. :-)

Is that your standard? Somebody else calls people names, so you have the right to call everyone names?
>
>Let's have a clarification on definitions here. An opposing view to a
>personal
>desire or belief is NOT automatically "uncivil." It is merely an opposing
>view.

Your behavior is uncivil.
>
>Confrontation on ISSUES is difficult for the egocentric or the religious with
>very deeply-held beliefs. That's not my problem, it is theirs. They are
>neither
>God nor Emperor nor do they "know what is best for amateur radio." :-)

You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here, your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself. That is not "strong confrontation", it's just name-calling. Try approaching a subject in a civil manner some time. Try doing it with an open mind rather than reacting with rage against all those "PCTAs", "one by twos", "extras" who do not believe in you and who do not blindly accept all your opinions. Try leaving out the personal attacks. Instead, just discuss the subject, and accept that others are going to disagree with you.
>
>I cannot "make fun" of someone's occupation when they don't identify that
>occupation.

That's why I don't make a big deal about it. Your behavior with other people's occupations shows your true colors.

>You have repeatedly said you will not identify that because
>you "fear some ridicule from me,"

No fear. Just a pretty clear idea that no matter what my occupation, you'd make fun of it. Must eat you up inside, not knowing. Live with it.

>yet you condone ridicule of other PCTAs
>towards any NCTA.

Nope. I have no control over what others post.

>>>No, they are not "resistance to an extreme degree," a ridiculous descriptor
>>>which tries to magnify an error way beyond proportion. That magnification
>>>makes them virtually one of "life and death proportions" in a flame war
>>>ignition.
>>
>>My description is quite accurate.
>
>No. You insist on a flame war even now, can neither take criticsm nor any
>correction. :-)
>
>As I said before, you cannot handle rational discussion on subjects. You
>have to resort to personal attacks. That seems to be "very civil" in the
>double standard of the extras. :-)

You are being deliberately antagonistic and confrontive here, your own rage vented on another only to satisfy yourself. That is not "strong confrontation", it's just name-calling. Try approaching a subject in a civil manner some time. Try doing it with an open mind rather than reacting with rage against all those "PCTAs", "one by twos", "extras" who do not believe in you and who do not blindly accept all your opinions. Try leaving out the personal attacks. Instead, just discuss the subject, and accept that others are going to disagree

with you.

Betcha can't do that.

Jim, N2EY

759. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 20:22:39 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D27518F.2D464348@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48573

N2EY wrote:
> Would you address W5DXP as "Cecilie Moore"?

Hey Jim, if you are going to address one of my multiple personalities,
at least get her name right - it's Cecilia, a lesbian female trapped
in a male body so even Christians think she's OK. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

760. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 21:24:08 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062123000.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48575

On 5 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
>In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I would
>>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
>>>
>>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
>>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
>>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>>
>>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I wouldn't
>>be
>>surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become more
>>difficult.
>
>I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA. Look at the
>reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring - fewer tests
>and fewer total questions for any level of license.
>
>I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1 by
>executive order.

Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only 5 questions!
Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)

761. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 21:26:25 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062124180.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48576

The FCC has supposedly been trying for the past few years.

Not even the BULLET in the repeater was able to stop it. This is the only
repeater I know of where someone actually tried to shoot it.

On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>Time for the control op to pull the plug on the repeater - or the FCC to
>pull the control ops license as well as the repeater.
>
>ps - darn glad I don't hear that kind of mess on our 440 repeaters (although
>I did groan but didn't say anything when one guy said his 'preferred
>personal was ..)
>
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207052102440.16458-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
>> difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse than
>> 11m.
>>
>> On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>> >The reality is that the license should mean something - regardless of what
>> >testing elements are included in it. If you don't have to put forth any
>> >effort, if the license is free, you'll likely end up with something that
>> >sounds like 11 meters does today.
>> >
>> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>> >news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> >> On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>> >> >You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I would
>> >> >have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
>> >> >
>> >> >"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
>> >> >> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>> >> >> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>> >> >> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>> >>
>> >> You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I wouldn't
>> >> be surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become more
>> >> difficult.

762. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 21:27:28 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062127090.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48577

On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Brian P Burke wrote:
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020705185247.09274.00000296@mb-cb.aol.com...
>> In article
><Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
>> Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>>
>> >On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>> >>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I
>would
>> >>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
>> >>
>> >>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
>> >>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
>> >>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>> >>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>> >>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>> >
>> >You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I
>wouldn't
>> >be
>> >surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become more
>> >difficult.
>>
>> I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA. Look at
>the
>> reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring - fewer
>tests
>> and fewer total questions for any level of license.
>>
>> I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1 by
>> executive order.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim, I didn't realize that teh FCC was part of the Executive branch of
>government.

The FCC is in the Commerce Dept.

763. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 21:52:55 UTC
From: Barry Smith <baz@g4iat.demon.invalid>
Message-ID: <8c89fd514b.news@g4iat.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 48574
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In message <uie19l60trls6e@corp.supernews.com>
"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:

> You can imagine what building my K2 was like! Actually, not too bad,
> as for MOST of the kit the resistors were on a sequential tape for the
> construction order. And I checked EVERY ONE with my digital meter
> before I soldered it in.

I would suggest that is a good practice to follow anyway, on a
complex piece of equipment. Think about a 4 band 1% resistor.
Brown, Red, Black, Brown. If you weren't paying attention it
could be 1k or 12 ohm. A digital meter will confirm which it is.

Barry
--
The internet has had no effect on my life wwwhatsoever.com

764. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 22:11:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WVJV8.9839$Iu6.555308@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48578

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062127090.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> >
> >Jim, I didn't realize that teh FCC was part of the Executive branch of
> >government.
>
> The FCC is in the Commerce Dept.
>

It is under the House Commerce Committee, His Extortionist Billy Tauzin, Chair. But radio hasn't been under the Commerce Dept since 1927. All of the Commissions with aspects of the Executive, Legislative, and Judicial Branches have been independent since the old Interstate Commerce Commission was established in the 1880's. Some have been better than others: it was said of the ICC that it was good the Overland Stage had bellied by then or the ICC would have preserved it. Ma Bell was behind the Communications Act of 1934 because it got them out from under the ICC. The transport system has come close to recovery from the ICC but there are still places you can't get because the ICC thought you should take a steamboat.

The word Commission is the tip-off.

765. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 22:22:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyude6e.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48579

On 06 Jul 2002 09:29:06 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>> This is stated that way for a very arcane reason -
>
> The "reason" it is stated that way, Phil, is irrelevant. it's
>there in black and white, reardles of "what they meant". Citizens are
>not obligated to try and guess what was in thier minds...Courts only
>go by what is on paper.

Not so - courts give great weight to "legislative history" which explains WHY something gets stated as it is. The "kegislative history" of Commission rules is spelled out in the Reports and Orders, and where there is a conflict the "legislative history" can and usually does trump the "black letter".

If "citizens" (hey - most of us attornies are citizens, too) can't interprt the material properly, s/he can always turn to someone who can. Not everyone can read a medical chart either.

> That Herr Anderson insists that there is only one "citizens band" is
>disproven with only the most superficial of reading of the regulations.

There's only one "citizens band". There are several "citizens band radio services" for the purpose, and only for the purpose, of conforming Sect. 95.401 of the Rules to the standards required by Sect. 307(e) of the Act.

Welcome to the Adminsitrative Law merry-go-round.
>
> The FCC says there are several subparts to part 95, and that
> they are ALL "citizens' bands". It's in black and white. Please read
> it.

Yeah, please read it. It's not "ALL" because it does not include the General Mobile Radio Service (Subpart A) which requires individual licenses, and the Radio Control (R/C) Service (Subpart C) which is exempted from individual licensure by the provisions of Sect. 307(e) of the Act.

>> Sect. 307(e) of
>> the Comm Act gives the Commission authority to issue "blanket
>> authorization" (i.e. authority to operate a station without
>> individual licenses) to the "citizen band radio services" and gives
>> the Commission authority to define by rule what that phrase means.
>
> Your point? GMRS is not "licenseless", yet is under Part 95 and
>is CLEARLY identified in 47CFR95.401 as one of several "services"

>within the "citizens band radio services".

Reread Section 95.401, The GMRS is NOT one of the services called
out there.

"CLEARLY" ? Hmmm.....

>> This was done in the 1980s when the only "citizen band radio
>> services" were the Remote Control (R/C) Service and the Citizens Band
>> (CB) Radio Service provided for in Subparts C and D -- the only Part
>> 95 services operating in the confines of the 27 MHz "citizens band".
>
> GMRS was a part of those regulations even then, Phil. Still don't see
> your point.

The point is that sometimes the rules/statutes classify a "cat" as a
"dog" and everyone who knows why nod their heads in agreement while
those who don't have a clue why shake their heads in disbelief.
>
>>Why, the easy way is to define those alphabet-soup services as
>> "citizen band radio services". A "cat" is a "dog" whern the
>> "dog-catcher" has to catch it.....
>
> Huh?

Think about it, Steve.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

766. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 22:24:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uiergnf5u21fa9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48580

Don't ever get discouraged. I failed my general twice in the early 60s. A friend of mine, Tim, WB2KAO, kicked my tail section mentioning that if I could learn grade 1.5 Braille in 2 weeks, I could pass the code test. He promised me that I'd pass if I'd buy a code record (yep, vinyl back then - by Ameco, I believe) and promise to use it 15 minutes every night for a week. At the end of one week, I was up to 18. The general was a snap. Then, I started enjoying CW. I was good for maybe 20 - 25 words per minute when I was on the Rochester Peanut Whistle Net (a cw net) one evening (everyone had to slow down for me as they were good cw ops) and Mike, WA2SEY (now W2AV), the control op, suddenly sped up to around 40 and told Tim (WB2KAO) that smoke was comming out of his rig and Tim had the net. I was amazed. I copied 100%. Int qrq. rrr and the net sped up to around 30. Int qrq. rrr and the net sped up again. I never looked back. I was good for 40. A few years later, whilst in the Navy, I copied 40 words per minute on a typewriter for perhaps 5 minutes with ZERO errors. Once you can get past the 'I can't do it' syndrome, it gets easier. I'm telling you the truth - been there, done that! Not bad for someone who failed 13 words per minute twice. The 5 will come. Just put in 15 minutes per day - no more or you will start hating it. Just 15. Keep at it and let us know how you make out. I'll bet you're ready for anything the amateur licenses require in a week or so!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:ag4616$601$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:uiads62t09bb15@corp.supernews.com...
> > You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I
> would
> > have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
>
> At the speed I'm learning at, it will take me that long
> (40 years) just to be able to pass the 5wpm test anyway!
> I did download the G4FON program and it does look
> promising. But OTOH so did Super Morse, Morse
> Academy, MorseCat, Morse Trainer, and Morse MIDI.
>
> Oh well at least I'm trying! :^)

>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>
>

767. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:04:41 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062253170.16965-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48581

On Sat, 6 Jul 2002, Richard McCollum wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062127090.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> >
>> >Jim, I didn't realize that teh FCC was part of the Executive branch of
>> >government.
>>
>> The FCC is in the Commerce Dept.
>
>It is under the House Commerce Committee, His Extortionist Billy Tauzin,
>Chair. But radio hasn't been under the Commerce Dept since 1927. All of
>the Commissions with aspects of the Executive, Legislative, and Judicial
>Branches have been independent since the old Interstate Commerce
>Commission was established in the 1880's. Some have been better than
>others: it was said of the ICC that it was good the Overland Stage had
>bellied by then or the ICC would have preserved it. Ma Bell was behind
>the Communications Act of 1934 because it got them out from under the ICC.
>The transport system has come close to recovery from the ICC but there are
>still places you can't get because the ICC thought you should take a
>steamboat.
>
>The word Commission is the tip-off.

True, but administrative appeals of some issues still go to the Secretary of Commerce, not to the FCC commissioners. Perhaps some parts of 47 CFR haven't been updated in quite a while! I saw one such reference a couple of years ago and noted it as extremely strange (but I just don't remember where). It may not be fully autonomous!

768. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:22:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MYKV8.3847$GD3.168173801@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48582

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D266310.C379BDBE@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D25EF5A.B696EFBC@earthlink.net...
> > > I still don't know for a fact whether the French or any other station
I
> > > worked on 6m except for the two Americans I qso'd from Tanzania were
> > > within their authorizations. I don't have copies of of the
> > > authorizations for all countries and if I did, I wouldn't bother
> > > consulting the authorization tables before replying. I can only be
> > > responsible for the operation of my station. It is entirely up to the
> > > other station how he operates his equipment. My presence on a
frequency
> > > I am permitted to use cannot be used as an excuse for the illegal
> > > operation by another. I don't operate my equipment outside my
> > > authorized allocation. Again, since your issue is the illegal 6m
> > > operation of French hams, you need to address this issue to the French
> > > PTT or the REF. Have you yet done so?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, you'll forever be the Wonder Bread of 6M DX. Congratulations.
>
> Just a question, Brian: Have you contacted the French authorities yet?

What about?

769. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:24:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <c%KV8.81667$UT.5555941@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48583

>
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:ag4616$601$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
> >
> >
> >
> > At the speed I'm learning at, it will take me that long
> > (40 years) just to be able to pass the 5wpm test anyway!
> > I did download the G4FON program and it does look
> > promising. But OTOH so did Super Morse, Morse
> > Academy, MorseCat, Morse Trainer, and Morse MIDI.
> >
> > Oh well at least I'm trying! :^)
> >
> > --
> > '73' From KB9TMP
> > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > -Groucho Marx

Bill, you may be one of those rare individuals who have trouble learning code at lower speeds because you are trying to copy both right and left-handed at the same time. If you are more than slightly ambidextrous or if you have a job that requires doing the same thing mirror-image, you can get into the habit of trying to do it both ways and it leads to disaster. Most people have a 'hump' at about 10-11 wpm for a similar reason but I have seen it at lower speeds by a man who worked on railroad car brakes which is a mirror-image activity in that the same operation reverses with the end of the car being worked on.

The tip-off to this is 'inversions': some as to sense, P becomes X, and some as to sequence, F and L notably.

The cure, which I am sure you won't like, is the Koch method. Essentially you learn code at 16 wpm. It actually takes no longer and you are at Journeyman speed when you get done. You should also literally disable the non-writing hand by sitting on it or putting it in your pocket and copy with earphones in the writing-side ear only to convince the brain which side you are using. Longhand on unlined paper BTW.

The real Koch Method uses two letters at a time but I find it better to use A, W, J, 1 as the first group and N, D, B, 6 to work on the inversions right out of the box. And realize that you are going to have to build a mental buffer and avoid 'copying on top' or some combos will sound like a machine gun.

Morse Academy is a real good tool for this approach if it sounds like this is your problem.

Good Luck

Dick N&#65533;BK

770. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:29:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <e3LV8.3854$GD3.168173801@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48584

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D265D00.3AD8AB6D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> > > > >
> > > > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants us to
> > believe.
> > > > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was an OK
> > thing
> > > > to do, till I called him on it.
> > >
> > > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So what is it
> > > that you called me on?
> >
> > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of band,
you
> > said that you would continue to work them.
>
> How many French stations did I work before and after I got the
> definitive word from the keyboard of N0IMD, noted expert on French
> amateur radio regulatory matters?

Isn't just one more too many?
```

771. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:31:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag7ukmfsb0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 48585

11111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > > > > 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
> > > > > difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse than
> > > > > 11m.
> > > >
> > > > Which shows what happens when access is made too easy.
> > >
> > > Is that why 3.950 sounds like 11m?
> >
> > Basically.
>
> Hmmmmmm, some of those guys are Extras who got their license around
> the same time you did,
1

Well... so you hang out there, are fami1iar with them, and are
prepared to name them in detail. You've been licensed longer than I and
you have an Extra. Looks like you've made my point. Thanks!

772. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:34:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <R7LV8.3860$ZL3.168626341@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48586

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag5t4u$1l3$0@208.207.71.141...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So what is it
> > > that you called me on?
> >
> > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of band,
you
> > said that you would continue to work them.
>
>
> So you were a tattletale in kindergarten. And the teacher ignored you,
> too.

What teacher? Who am I telling it to?

This is an ongoing conversation with one of the perps, Dave. I'm merely going on what Dave has related on the newsgripe, so how could I be wrong? The information is there for all to see.

Dick, pull your head out.

773. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:38:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gcLV8.3864$iP3.168820887@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48587

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag5tao$2gu$0@208.207.71.141...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of band,
you
> > said that you would continue to work them.
>
> What's really interesting about this is the fact that you "didn't have
> time" to practice code but you had all sorts of time to inform yourself
> of band allocations in foreign lands. What is much less certain is that
> you know what you'r talking about.

The hell I didn't have time to practice code. I worked on it for months.
That's how I passed the exam. You should pull your head out before you
speak. As tightly as its wedged in, I should hear the "POP" in Columbus.

And there ARE other facets to amateur radio than JUST the code. But your
head is so far up your flux that you don't know it.

> I would have ignored you, too. What's it to you what French hams do?
> Since when are you the International Radio Police?

You can ignore anyone you want. Ignore me, please.

774. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:39:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D277F9E.FB091998@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48588

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D265D00.3AD8AB6D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants us to
> > > believe.
> > > > > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was an OK
> > > thing
> > > > > to do, till I called him on it.
> > > >
> > > > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So what is it
> > > > that you called me on?
> > >
> > > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of band,
> you
> > > said that you would continue to work them.
> >
> > How many French stations did I work before and after I got the
> > definitive word from the keyboard of N0IMD, noted expert on French
> > amateur radio regulatory matters?
>
> Isn't just one more too many?

More too many? That doesn't make sense.

Dave K8MN
```

775. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:39:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WcLV8.3865$vP3.168834257@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48589

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D265D49.BE21CE2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D25E96F.82256767@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > We have not witnessed you ever admitting being wrong. Imagine that.
> > Here's
> > > > your chance.
> > >
> > > I was wrong about you. I used to think of you as rational.
> >
> > BS. Is there no end to your storytelling?
>
> I admitted being wrong and this is the treatment I get from you!
>
> Dave K8MN

Condescending is not appreciated.

776. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:45:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <oiLV8.3868$FR3.168952061@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48590

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D265E40.1D308DDB@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D25F6EB.CCD857C7@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020705072635.08853.00001964@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > >
> > > > > >Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not
being
> > a
> > > > > >specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > > > >
> > > > > We all believe that there are things others shouldn't do in spite
of
> > their
> > > > > being no law against their doing so. The reason there is no law
is
> > > probably
> > > > > because we all have a different list of personal unwritten laws.
> > > >
> > > > Show us your short list.
> > > >
> > > > > When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me is
> > licensed
> > > > to
> > > > > operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your
unwritten
> > law?
> > > >
> > > > Nor do I. But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to are
out
> > of
> > > band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm within
my >
> > band limits?
> > >
> > > Please produce any evidence that I did so.
> > >
> > > Who wants to talk to bootleggers anway?

> > >
> > > Bootleggers are unlicensed station, Brian. Come to think of it, I'd
> > > stay off the bands if I were you since I'm now making you aware of the
> > > fact that any station you QSO could be an unlicensed individual using
a
> > > made up callsign or "borrowing" the callsign of another. You can't be
> > > too careful.
> > > >
> > > > When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz
linked
> > 2M
> > > > repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just because
you
> > are
> > > > within your operating privelege?
> > > >
> > > I don't personally know any Novices, Brian.
> > >
> > Yep, cruises the Novice subbands handing out CW contacts!
>
> Are you of the opinion that I drove house to house handing out QSL cards
> for my QSOs with Novices?
>
> > What's more, I don't
> > > personally know any of the French hams I worked on 6m from Tanzania.
> > >
> > > > You and Dave say YES to both questions!
> > > >
> > > Beep. Wrong.
> > >
> > Wrong? You or Ed? One or both questions?
>
> I'm Dave. Both questionss as evidence by my replies. We could save a
> lot of work if you'd bother to read them before responding.

Dave, then you agree

a. to keep talking to bootleggers that you know are out of band, and
b. to keep taking to a Novice on 2M.

So what are you arguing with me about?

> > > > And then question my reputation.
> > > >
> > > You risked your own reputation when you set out to sully the
reputation
> > > of another, Brian. You'll just have to live with it.
> > >
> > Dave, I only have what you said to go on. Unless you want to change
> > your story now.
>

> Funny, you've not accepted or really responded to what I've said to you
> for the past several days. I've been saying this all along.

Its different than what you said last year.

777. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-06 23:46:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ijLV8.3869$rR3.168937674@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48591

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D265EBD.B217EED5@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D25E9D1.784BAE33@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your
stands
> > > > here
> > > > > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > > > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > > > >
> > > > > Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no
amateur
> > > > > radio regulations of the country from which I operated. Perhaps
you
> > can
> > > > > assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not
being a
> > > > specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > >
> > > Name them.
> >
> > You name a few. Include some amateur related activities.
>
> I'll be happy to do so about the time when you respond to whether or not
> you've contacted the French authorities instead of repeatedly ignoring
> my question.
>
> Dave K8MN

Send me your logs so I have something to go on. Up to this point all I have is your word.

778. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 00:06:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706200612.05318.00000533@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 48592

In article <3D27518F.2D464348@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Would you address W5DXP as "Cecilie Moore"?
>
>Hey Jim, if you are going to address one of my multiple personalities,
>at least get her name right - it's Cecilia, a lesbian female trapped
>in a male body so even Christians think she's OK. :-)

Cecil/Cecilia (reminds me of Victor/Victoria)

My humblest apologies for the misspelling. I should have remembered that - I have two relatives named Cecilia. Then there's the Simon and Garfunkel song....

Loudon Wainwright III (best known for his work on the M*A*S*H TV series and for the song "Dead Skunk In The Middle Of The Road") does a song called "I Wish I Were A Lesbian" (on the "Grown Man" CD). Great stuff.

73 de Jim, N2EY

779. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 00:08:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PDLV8.3879$CW3.169204763@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48593

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D277F9E.FB091998@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D265D00.3AD8AB6D@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants us to
> > > > > believe.
> > > > > > > > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was
an OK
> > > > thing
> > > > > > > > to do, till I called him on it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So what is
it
> > > > > > that you called me on?
> > > > > >
> > > > > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of
band,
> > you
> > > > > said that you would continue to work them.
> > > >
> > > How many French stations did I work before and after I got the
> > > definitive word from the keyboard of N0IMD, noted expert on French
> > > amateur radio regulatory matters?
> >
> > Isn't just one more too many?
```

>
> More too many? That doesn't make sense.
>
> Dave K8MN

One more

780. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 00:12:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%HLV8.3883$9W3.169176284@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48594

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D26E3E4.3994A7B4@hotmail.com...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > > 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
> > > difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been
worse than
> > > 11m.
> >
> > Which shows what happens when access is made too easy.
>
> Is that why 3.950 sounds like 11m?

Bruce and Dick sound like 11m.

781. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 00:13:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2JLV8.3886$RS3.169008343@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48595

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020706001420.14718.00000249@mb-de.aol.com...
> In article <YcqV8.3568$rE5.147456115@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1
by
> >> executive order.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Jim, I didn't realize that teh FCC was part of the Executive branch of
> >government.
>
> Careful, Brian, if you don't go along with Jimmie you will be
> accused of "uncivil conduct!" :-)

Ben there, dun that.

782. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 00:16:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hLLV8.3887$SD3.168186093@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48596

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062123000.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On 5 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> >In article
<Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> >>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> >>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I
would
> >>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> >>>
> >>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> >>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
> >>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> >>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
> >>
> >>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I
wouldn't
> >>be
> >>surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become
more
> >>difficult.
> >
> >I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA. Look at
the
> >reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring - fewer
tests
> >and fewer total questions for any level of license.
> >
> >I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1 by
> >executive order.
>
> Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only 5
questions!
> Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)

In the heyday of Morse/CW, guess how fast the code exam was?

783. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 00:19:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IOLV8.3889$4Z3.169342162@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48597

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705220631.10462.00000513@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <agqV8.3569$pL5.147846357@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> > Its the cable assemblies with 60+ wires and
> >they run out of colors so they start striping the insulation.
>
> How about cables where all the wires are the same color? Been there, done
that.
>
> > My tests
> >leads for a continuity check don't reach the other end as often as they
> >should.
>
> They don't have to. There are ways to ring out cables with simple test
> equipment and some ingenuity.
>
> With two people, such tests are a snap, but they can be done alone also.
>
> For example:
>
> - A cable with an odd number of conductors (all the same color) has been
> installed and you have to identify and place all the conductors with a
minimum
> number of trips between cable ends. All you have available for test
equipment
> is a continuity tester and some materials to mark the conductors and keep
> notes. (It can be done in a single round trip).
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Hmmm.

When I insert the continuity voltage on the one side for each of the wires,
then go to the back side to see if I have continuity, the voltage is gone.
I failed that logic test.

What is the solution?

784. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 00:24:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tTLV8.3891$oU3.169100492@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48598
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:uie19l60trls6e@corp.supernews.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:agqV8.3569$pL5.147846357@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Mefix" <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital> wrote in message
> > news:ag2ib0dne1@venus.btinternet.com...
> > >
> > > "Veni Vidi Velcro" <@> wrote in message
> > > news:3d2302cd_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > > > If you're not aware of the resistor colour code, then
> > > > you're not as good as you think.
> > >
> > > I was wondering, do people who suffer from colour-blindness have
trouble
> > > reading the colour code on resistors? :)
> >
> > Resistors aren't the broblem. Its the cable assemblies with 60+ wires
and
> > they run out of colors so they start striping the insulation. My tests
> > leads for a continuity check don't reach the other end as often as they
> > should.
>
> I'm color blind. Well, not 'blind', I have what's called a 'red-green'
> deficiency. I think it's the most common form of what people refer to
> as 'color blindness'.
>
> On the Ishihara color test, I can't see some numbers, and on other plates
> I see DIFFERENT numbers.

Ditto. During my military physical, after all the really fun stuff, they
sat me in front of the little flip chart and said to name the number I saw
on each card. After the 3rd card, I asked what I was supposed to do again.
After the 5th card I asked if this was some kind of psychology test.

> It turns out that additive colors (light) are not near as much a problem
as
> subtractive (paints as on an object) colors.
>
> It's not too bad, really. Although it's enough to bar me from any
> electronics work in the Air Force.

Ditto.

> Yes, resistor codes are a pain, although if I look under a magnifying
glass
> with a bright light I can usually figure it out. I mean, there ARE
certain
> common combinations.
>
> You can imagine what building my K2 was like! Actually, not too bad,
> as for MOST of the kit the resistors were on a sequential tape for the
> construction order. And I checked EVERY ONE with my digital meter
> before I soldered it in.
>
> Mike

I built the Heath vswr meter and audio filter, a repeater controller, and a
Ten-Tec regen SW. I measured every resistor.

785. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 00:38:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <x4MV8.3910$x04.169523329@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"andy" <music@soon.com> wrote in message
news:ag6cjn$sl7$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> The aptly named "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net>
>
> wrote in message
news:clqV8.3574$nN5.147953975@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > "andy" <music@soon.com> wrote in message
> > news:ag4p57$qc2$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> > > Children. Please!
> > > If you can't play nicely then, please, at least stop cross-posting
your
> > > tantrums to the civilised (European, if you were uncertain) side of
the
> > > Atlantic.
>
> > Andy, get your M3 drivel off of rrap. We're on the moneyed side of the
> > Atlantic, if you're uncertain.
>
> Ah, the old-world charm, the delicacy of expression, the immaculate
> courtesy, the coruscating wit.
>
> No wonder then, that US citizens are so popular all around the world.
>
> Hope you enjoyed your Independence celebrations BTW; the day when you all
> dress up and cheer for a terrorist victory.

!Wow! Is that what you're taught in school?

Must be why the whole world hates us if our closest allies think that.

Anyway, what about getting your M3 drivel off rrap?

786. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 00:41:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <O6MV8.1962$9L7.67432509@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48600

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020705225211.17888.00000578@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article <7tqV8.3577$oP5.148039826@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >My only bad amateur experiences were with Extras with bad attitudes.
Larry
> >allows one bad experience to shape his entire outlook on the phone mode.
>
> Brian:
>
> I guess there's a bit more to it than the 10 - 10 incident. Like 75-meter
> "roundtables" in which each participant transmits for a solid half-hour at
> a crack, with or without identifying sometime during the dissertation.
> Like DX phone pile-ups. Like people running SSB nets with their
> VFO knobs welded in place on "their" frequency. Like all the QRM
> caused by those "hams" who are offended by the above. Like being
> accused of "ethnic cleansing" because I think code testing is a
> worthwhile requirement for a ham license. Like some 5' 2" 300-lb.
> YL No-code Tech laying into a lengthy personal attack on my character
> during an ARRL Forum for merely asking a question regarding the
> ARRL's resolution to support code testing, without the ARRL "officials"
> present doing anything to restore order to the proceedings. Like
> having a repeater shut down on me for mentioning my disagreement
> with the No-Code Tech license. I could go on and on, but I think you
> get the picture.

Yup.

> >I am merely wary of Extras who claim their shorts don't stink.
>
> How would you know they were lying, Brian? Did you take a sniff?

Did I ever walk in to that one!

787. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 01:05:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2793C8.4C2046F3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48601

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D265E40.1D308DDB@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D25F6EB.CCD857C7@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20020705072635.08853.00001964@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not
> being
> > > a
> > > > > > >specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We all believe that there are things others shouldn't do in spite
> of
> > > their
> > > > > > being no law against their doing so. The reason there is no law
> is
> > > > probably
> > > > > > because we all have a different list of personal unwritten laws.
> > > > >
> > > > > Show us your short list.
> > > > >
> > > > > > When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me is
> > > licensed
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your
> unwritten
> > > law?
> > > > >
> > > > > Nor do I. But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to are
> out
> > > of
> > > > > band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm within
> my >
> > > band limits?
> > > >
> > > > Please produce any evidence that I did so.
```

> > > >
> > > > > Who wants to talk to bootleggers anway?
> > > > >
> > > > > Bootleggers are unlicensed station, Brian. Come to think of it, I'd
> > > > > stay off the bands if I were you since I'm now making you aware of the
> > > > > fact that any station you QSO could be an unlicensed individual using
> a
> > > > > made up callsign or "borrowing" the callsign of another. You can't be
> > > > > too careful.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz
> linked
> > > 2M
> > > > > > repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just because
> you
> > > are
> > > > > > within your operating privelege?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I don't personally know any Novices, Brian.
> > > >
> > > Yep, cruises the Novice subbands handing out CW contacts!
> >
> > Are you of the opinion that I drove house to house handing out QSL cards
> > for my QSOs with Novices?
> >
> > > What's more, I don't
> > > > personally know any of the French hams I worked on 6m from Tanzania.
> > >
> > > > > You and Dave say YES to both questions!
> > > > >
> > > > > Beep. Wrong.
> > > >
> > > Wrong? You or Ed? One or both questions?
> >
> > I'm Dave. Both questionss as evidence by my replies. We could save a
> > lot of work if you'd bother to read them before responding.
>
> Dave, then you agree
>
> a. to keep talking to bootleggers that you know are out of band, and
> b. to keep taking to a Novice on 2M.

The drill is: Read the posts, then reply. Read the posts, then reply.
You'll get the hang of it before too long.

> So what are you arguing with me about?

So far, I find your search of a mission definition amusing. You've come
up with a problem not involving you or any U.S. radio amateur and for
which you have no firm information. You've thus far accused me of guilt
for the wrong doings of others but you are not concerned enough to

contact the authorities in the country where the wrong doers dwell.
You've accomplished nothing. You've evidently gained no believers in
your case (which is in its third of fourth incarnation) and you've made
yourself look like a boob. I can hardly wait for the next act.

> > > > > And then question my reputation.
> > > >
> > > > You risked your own reputation when you set out to sully the
> reputation
> > > > of another, Brian. You'll just have to live with it.
> > >
> > > Dave, I only have what you said to go on. Unless you want to change
> > > your story now.
> >
> > Funny, you've not accepted or really responded to what I've said to you
> > for the past several days. I've been saying this all along.
>
> Its different than what you said last year.

It may be a little different. I believe I told you to mind your own
business last year. I broke no amateur radio regulations and operated
my station in accordance with Tanzanian law. What's all of this to you?

Dave K8MN

788. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 01:07:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D279450.6E51CFB5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48602

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D265EBD.B217EED5@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D25E9D1.784BAE33@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of your
> stands
> > > > > > here
> > > > > > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion even
> > > > > > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no
> amateur
> > > > > > radio regulations of the country from which I operated. Perhaps
> you
> > > > can
> > > > > > assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not
> being a
> > > > > specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > > >
> > > > Name them.
> > >
> > > You name a few. Include some amateur related activities.
> >
> > I'll be happy to do so about the time when you respond to whether or not
> > you've contacted the French authorities instead of repeatedly ignoring
> > my question.
> >
```

> > Dave K8MN
>
> Send me your logs so I have something to go on. Up to this point all I have
> is your word.

Send you my logs? Do you think you're Perry Mason?

Send me a new car.

Dave K8MN

789. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 01:10:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2794E8.B16F7CCD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48603

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D277F9E.FB091998@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D265D00.3AD8AB6D@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants us to
> > > > > > believe.
> > > > > > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band was
> an OK
> > > > > thing
> > > > > > to do, till I called him on it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So what is
> it
> > > > > that you called me on?
> > > > >
> > > > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of
> band,
> > > you
> > > > said that you would continue to work them.
> > > >
> > > How many French stations did I work before and after I got the
> > > definitive word from the keyboard of N0IMD, noted expert on French
> > > amateur radio regulatory matters?
```

> > >
> > > Isn't just one more too many?
> >
> > More too many? That doesn't make sense.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> One more

Ahh those French 6m contacts are sort of like Lay's Potato Chips.
Betcha can't work just one. How many did I work before and after,
Brian?

Dave K8MN

790. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 01:11:14 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207070110570.17658-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48604

On Sun, 7 Jul 2002, Brian P Burke wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062123000.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> On 5 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
>> >In article
><Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
>> >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>> >>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>> >>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I
>would
>> >>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
>> >>>
>> >>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
>> >>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
>> >>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>> >>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>> >>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
>> >>
>> >>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I
>wouldn't
>> >>be
>> >>surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become
>more
>> >>difficult.
>> >
>> >I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA. Look at
>the
>> >reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring - fewer
>tests
>> >and fewer total questions for any level of license.
>> >
>> >I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1 by
>> >executive order.
>>
>> Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only 5
>questions!
>> Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)
>
>In the heyday of Morse/CW, guess how fast the code exam was?

5 wpm. We've come full circle.

791. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 01:12:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D279573.8B0C49BA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48605

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062123000.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> > On 5 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> > >In article
> <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> > >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> > >>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> > >>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I waited, I
> would
> > >>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> > >>>
> > >>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> > >>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> > >>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
> > >>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> > >>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
> > >>
> > >>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I
> wouldn't
> > >>be
> > >>surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become
> more
> > >>difficult.
> > >
> > >I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA. Look at
> the
> > >reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring - fewer
> tests
> > >and fewer total questions for any level of license.
> > >
> > >I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1 by
> > >executive order.
> >
> > Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only 5
> questions!
> > Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)
>
> In the heyday of Morse/CW, guess how fast the code exam was?

Bet you're dying to tell us what you consider the heyday was.
It was the sixties for me. For my pal Ike, it was in the thirties. For
my friend Bert, the heyday was in the fifities.

Dave K8MN

792. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 01:14:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2795F7.44FABC1D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48606

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020705225211.17888.00000578@mb-md.aol.com...
> > In article <7tqV8.3577$oP5.148039826@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >My only bad amateur experiences were with Extras with bad attitudes.
> Larry
> > >allows one bad experience to shape his entire outlook on the phone mode.
> >
> > Brian:
> >
> > I guess there's a bit more to it than the 10 - 10 incident. Like 75-meter
> > "roundtables" in which each participant transmits for a solid half-hour at
> > a crack, with or without identifying sometime during the dissertation.
> > Like DX phone pile-ups. Like people running SSB nets with their
> > VFO knobs welded in place on "their" frequency. Like all the QRM
> > caused by those "hams" who are offended by the above. Like being
> > accused of "ethnic cleansing" because I think code testing is a
> > worthwhile requirement for a ham license. Like some 5' 2" 300-lb.
> > YL No-code Tech laying into a lengthy personal attack on my character
> > during an ARRL Forum for merely asking a question regarding the
> > ARRL's resolution to support code testing, without the ARRL "officials"
> > present doing anything to restore order to the proceedings. Like
> > having a repeater shut down on me for mentioning my disagreement
> > with the No-Code Tech license. I could go on and on, but I think you
> > get the picture.
>
> Yup.
>
> > >I am merely wary of Extras who claim their shorts don't stink.
> >
> > How would you know they were lying, Brian? Did you take a sniff?
>
> Did I ever walk in to that one!

So did Len but he'll likely snip that part to save face.

Dave K8MN

793. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 01:34:23 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D279A9F.7C1CBBF8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48607

Richard McCollum wrote:
> The tip-off to this is 'inversions': some as to sense, P becomes X, and
> some as to sequence, F and L notably.

I had that problem. Got me into trouble every thing I sent, "good luck".
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

794. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 01:38:50 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D279BAA.BFCC2E49@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48608

N2EY wrote:
> Cecil/Cecilia (reminds me of Victor/Victoria)

The father/daughter team on, "The Young and Restless"?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

795. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:14:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020706221450.25425.00000338@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 48609

In article <IOLV8.3889$4Z3.169342162@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020705220631.10462.00000513@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> In article <agqV8.3569$pL5.147846357@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> > Its the cable assemblies with 60+ wires and
>> >they run out of colors so they start striping the insulation.
>>
>> How about cables where all the wires are the same color? Been there, done
>that.
>>
>> > My tests
>> >leads for a continuity check don't reach the other end as often as they
>> >should.
>>
>> They don't have to. There are ways to ring out cables with simple test
>> equipment and some ingenuity.
>>
>> With two people, such tests are a snap, but they can be done alone also.
>>
>> For example:
>>
>> - A cable with an odd number of conductors (all the same color) has been
>> installed and you have to identify and place all the conductors with a
>minimum
>> number of trips between cable ends. All you have available for test
>equipment
>> is a continuity tester and some materials to mark the conductors and keep
>> notes. (It can be done in a single round trip).
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Hmmm.
>
>When I insert the continuity voltage on the one side for each of the wires,
>then go to the back side to see if I have continuity, the voltage is gone.
>I failed that logic test.

You are not seeing the capabilities of the tools in your hands, grasshopper.
>
>What is the solution?

It's simple, quick and elegant. Of course none of the "professionals in radio" here will tell it to you (probably because they don't know the solution), so here goes:

Let's say the cable has 7 conductors and is installed north-to-south, and you happen to start at the north end.

Step 1: Lay out (strip the ends and place) and label the 7 wires at the north end. The first 26 wires are labeled A through F, and the last wire is labeled 7. Make sure none of the wires are internally shorted to any other wires (test using short sequence)

Step 2: Connect Wire A to Wire B. Connect Wire C to Wire D. Connect Wire E to Wire F. Wire 7 is left floating. You will have 3 pairs and one loose wire.

Step 3: Go to the south end and lay out all wires. Start checking wire pairs for continuity. Label the wires you find shorted together - Wire 1 is shorted to Wire 2, Wire 3 is shorted to Wire 4, etc. One wire will not be shorted to any other wire - that's Wire 7. Wire 7 is now positively identified and labeled at both ends.

Step 4: Connect Wire 7 to Wire 6. Connect Wire 5 to Wire 4. Connect Wire 3 to Wire 2. Wire 1 is left floating.You will have 3 pairs and one loose wire.

Step 5: Go back to the north end. Undo the connected pairs at the north end but keep their labels in place.

Step 6: Find the wire that is shorted to Wire 7 - this must be Wire 6, because you shorted them at the south end. Relabel it Wire 6, and note its old (letter) name. Wire 6 is now positively identified at both ends.

Step 7: The wire that was previously connected to Wire 6 is obviously Wire 5. Relabel it Wire 5, and note its old (letter) name. Wire 5 is now positively identified at both ends.

Step 8: Find the wire that is shorted to Wire 5 - this must be Wire 4 because you shorted them at the south end. Relabel it Wire 4, and note its old (letter) name. Wire 4 is now positively identified at both ends.

Step 9: The wire that was previously connected to Wire 4 is obviously Wire 3. Relabel it Wire 3, and note its old (letter) name. Wire 3 is now positively identified at both ends.

Step 10: Find the wire that is shorted to Wire 3 - this must be Wire 2 because you shorted them at the south end. Relabel it Wire 2, and note its old (letter) name. Wire 2 is now positively identified at both ends.

Step 11: The wire that was previously connected to Wire 2 is obviously Wire 1. Relabel it Wire 1. Wire 1 is now positively identified at both ends. As a double check, note that Wire 1 is not shorted to any other wire.

This method may be expanded to cables with any odd number of wires by repeating the appropriate steps.

I learned this method of cable wire identification from a Quist Quiz. It's come in handy more than once.

73 de Jim, N2EY

796. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:16:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uif93k70lckncc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48610

Time to send in some DF gear - and when someone looses their house along with their radio gear, things will quiet down. Problem is, everyone is willing to give a second, third, fourth, and more chances. NAL a few folks and the rest will fall into line.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062124180.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> The FCC has supposedly been trying for the past few years.
>
> Not even the BULLET in the repeater was able to stop it. This is the only
> repeater I know of where someone actually tried to shoot it.
>

797. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:21:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <vANV8.2000$E8.68666669@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48611

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2794E8.B16F7CCD@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D277F9E.FB091998@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D265D00.3AD8AB6D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants
us to
> > > > > > > > > > believe.
> > > > > > > > > > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band
was
> > an OK
> > > > > > > > > thing
> > > > > > > > > > to do, till I called him on it.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So
what is
> > it
> > > > > > > > that you called me on?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of
> > band,
> > > > > you
```

> > > > > > said that you would continue to work them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How many French stations did I work before and after I got the
> > > > > > definitive word from the keyboard of N0IMD, noted expert on French
> > > > > > amateur radio regulatory matters?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Isn't just one more too many?
> > > > >
> > > > More too many? That doesn't make sense.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > One more
> >
> Ahh those French 6m contacts are sort of like Lay's Potato Chips.
> Betcha can't work just one. How many did I work before and after,
> Brian?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dunno. Its however many you say you did. But isn't just one more one too
many?

798. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:21:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uif9d0n1957k03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48612

My point has been if the exam is too easy - or free - folks don't appreciate it. If it costs nothing, one has nothing to loose. How does one tell when one got his extra? I obtained my 1st extra (along with my commercial telegraph and 1st phone and radar endorsement) in 1966. I dropped my tickets around 1981 and decided to get back in the game in 1993. No study (and I hadn't copied CW since 1969 except for the CW that was sent in the movie 'Fantastic Voyage') and I managed to wheeze my way through the whole process in 1993. It has to cost something.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA (former WN2CJV, WB2OSP, WA3RJX, N2JH)

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2747E3.228C38A3@hotmail.com...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > > > > 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's
the
> > > > > difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been
worse than
> > > > > 11m.
> > > > >
> > > > > Which shows what happens when access is made too easy.
> > > >
> > > > Is that why 3.950 sounds like 11m?
> > >
> > > Basically.
> >
> > Hmmmmmm, some of those guys are Extras who got their license around
> > the same time you did, Dick. Hmmmmmmmm ... One can only assume that
> > your Extra exam was "too easy". Did you protest at that time?
> > --
> > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

799. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:24:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag88pk$6tk$0@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 48613

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ag5tao$2gu$0@208.207.71.141...
> >
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of band,
> you
> > > said that you would continue to work them.
> >
> > What's really interesting about this is the fact that you "didn't have
> > time" to practice code but you had all sorts of time to inform yourself
> > of band allocations in foreign lands. What is much less certain is that
> > you know what you'r talking about.
>
> The hell I didn't have time to practice code.

Well, that's 180 out from what you said right here on rrap. You were
just too busy.....

I worked on it for months.
> That's how I passed the exam.

Ah, four months to get 5wpm. Sure sounds like you really put nose to
stone.

You should pull your head out before you
> speak. As tightly as its wedged in, I should hear the "POP" in Columbus.
>

OK pottymouth, that's yet another demerit.

> And there ARE other facets to amateur radio than JUST the code.

Do tell! But they don't require you to actually learn as you well know.

> head is so far up your flux

So you're into self description, too. We see.

>
> > I would have ignored you, too. What's it to you what French hams do?
> > Since when are you the International Radio Police?
>
> You can ignore anyone you want. Ignore me, please.

I'd be pleased to. Just refrain from posting bullshit I can't ignore.

800. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:30:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aJNV8.2003$nY7.68222174@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48614

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2793C8.4C2046F3@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D265E40.1D308DDB@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D25F6EB.CCD857C7@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20020705072635.08853.00001964@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there
not
> > being
> > > > a
> > > > > > > >specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > We all believe that there are things others shouldn't do in
spite
> > of
> > > > their
> > > > > > > being no law against their doing so. The reason there is no
law
> > is
> > > > > > probably
> > > > > > > because we all have a different list of personal unwritten
laws.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Show us your short list.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me
is
> > > licensed
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your
> > unwritten
> > > > law?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > Nor do I. But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to

are
> > out
> > > > of
> > > > > > band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm
within
> > my >
> > > > band limits?
> > > > >
> > > > > Please produce any evidence that I did so.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Who wants to talk to bootleggers anway?
> > > > >
> > > > > Bootleggers are unlicensed station, Brian. Come to think of it,
I'd
> > > > > stay off the bands if I were you since I'm now making you aware of
the
> > > > > fact that any station you QSO could be an unlicensed individual
using
> > a
> > > > > made up callsign or "borrowing" the callsign of another. You
can't be
> > > > > too careful.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz
> > linked
> > > > 2M
> > > > > > repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just
because
> > you
> > > > are
> > > > > > within your operating privelege?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't personally know any Novices, Brian.
> > > >
> > > > Yep, cruises the Novice subbands handing out CW contacts!
> > >
> > > Are you of the opinion that I drove house to house handing out QSL
cards
> > > for my QSOs with Novices?
> > >
> > > > What's more, I don't
> > > > > personally know any of the French hams I worked on 6m from
Tanzania.
> > > >
> > > > > > You and Dave say YES to both questions!
> > > > >
> > > > > Beep. Wrong.
> > > >
> > > > Wrong? You or Ed? One or both questions?
> > >

> > > I'm Dave. Both questionss as evidence by my replies. We could save a
> > > lot of work if you'd bother to read them before responding.
> >
> > Dave, then you agree
> >
> > a. to keep talking to bootleggers that you know are out of band, and
> > b. to keep taking to a Novice on 2M.
>
> The drill is: Read the posts, then reply. Read the posts, then reply.
> You'll get the hang of it before too long.

Why, you don't appear to read. You also don't appear to think before
answering French hams on 6M.

> > So what are you arguing with me about?
>
> So far, I find your search of a mission definition amusing. You've come
> up with a problem not involving you or any U.S. radio amateur and for
> which you have no firm information. You've thus far accused me of guilt
> for the wrong doings of others but you are not concerned enough to
> contact the authorities in the country where the wrong doers dwell.
> You've accomplished nothing. You've evidently gained no believers in
> your case (which is in its third of fourth incarnation) and you've made
> yourself look like a boob. I can hardly wait for the next act.

The Wonder Bread of 6M DX has a spot of mold.

> > > > > > And then question my reputation.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You risked your own reputation when you set out to sully the
> > reputation
> > > > > > of another, Brian. You'll just have to live with it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, I only have what you said to go on. Unless you want to change
> > > > > your story now.
> > > >
> > > Funny, you've not accepted or really responded to what I've said to
you
> > > for the past several days. I've been saying this all along.
> >
> > Its different than what you said last year.
>
> It may be a little different. I believe I told you to mind your own
> business last year. I broke no amateur radio regulations and operated
> my station in accordance with Tanzanian law.

I'm only commenting on what you've said on rrap.

> What's all of this to you?

Nothing.

801. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:31:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XJNV8.2004$_d.68968719@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48615

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D279450.6E51CFB5@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D265EBD.B217EED5@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D25E9D1.784BAE33@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of
your
> > stands
> > > > > > > > here
> > > > > > > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion
even
> > > > > > > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no
> > amateur
> > > > > > > radio regulations of the country from which I operated.
Perhaps
> > you
> > > > > can
> > > > > > > assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not
> > being a
> > > > > specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > > >
> > > > Name them.
> > >
> > > You name a few. Include some amateur related activities.
```

> > >
> > > I'll be happy to do so about the time when you respond to whether or
not
> > > you've contacted the French authorities instead of repeatedly ignoring
> > > my question.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Send me your logs so I have something to go on. Up to this point all I
have
> > is your word.
>
> Send you my logs? Do you think you're Perry Mason?
>
> Send me a new car.

You haven't sent me my 50 cents yet.

802. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:34:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nNNV8.2007$eb.68819213@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48616

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D279573.8B0C49BA@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> > news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062123000.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> > > On 5 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> > > >In article
> > <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> > > >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> > > >>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > >>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I
waited, I
> > would
> > > >>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> > > >>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> > > >>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
> > > >>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> > > >>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
> > > >>
> > > >>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I
> > wouldn't
> > > >>be
> > > >>surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become
> > more
> > > >>difficult.
> > > >
> > > >I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA. Look
at
> > the
> > > >reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring -
fewer
> > tests
> > > >and fewer total questions for any level of license.
> > > >
> > > >I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1
by
> > > >executive order.
> > >
> > > Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only 5
> > questions!
> > > Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)

> >
> > In the heyday of Morse/CW, guess how fast the code exam was?
>
> Bet you're dying to tell us what you consider the heyday was.
> It was the sixties for me. For my pal Ike, it was in the thirties. For
> my friend Bert, the heyday was in the fifities.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, whatever are you talking about?

I personally didn't have a heyday with the code. Why do you say you did?

803. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:41:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VTNV8.2008$R4.68445421@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48617

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020706221450.25425.00000338@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <IOLV8.3889$4Z3.169342162@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020705220631.10462.00000513@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >> In article <agqV8.3569$pL5.147846357@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian P
> >> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> > Its the cable assemblies with 60+ wires and
> >> >they run out of colors so they start striping the insulation.
> >>
> >> How about cables where all the wires are the same color? Been there,
done
> >that.
> >>
> >> > My tests
> >> >leads for a continuity check don't reach the other end as often as
they
> >> >should.
> >>
> >> They don't have to. There are ways to ring out cables with simple test
> >> equipment and some ingenuity.
> >>
> >> With two people, such tests are a snap, but they can be done alone
also.
> >>
> >> For example:
> >>
> >> - A cable with an odd number of conductors (all the same color) has
been
> >> installed and you have to identify and place all the conductors with a
> >minimum
> >> number of trips between cable ends. All you have available for test
> >equipment
> >> is a continuity tester and some materials to mark the conductors and
keep
> >> notes. (It can be done in a single round trip).
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Hmmm.

> >
> >When I insert the continuity voltage on the one side for each of the
wires,
> >then go to the back side to see if I have continuity, the voltage is
gone.
> >I failed that logic test.
>
> You are not seeing the capabilities of the tools in your hands,
grasshopper.
> >
> >What is the solution?
>
> It's simple, quick and elegant. Of course none of the "professionals in
radio"
> here will tell it to you (probably because they don't know the solution),
so
> here goes:
>
> Let's say the cable has 7 conductors and is installed north-to-south, and
you
> happen to start at the north end.
>
> Step 1: Lay out (strip the ends and place) and label the 7 wires at the
north
> end. The first 26 wires are labeled A through F, and the last wire is
labeled
> 7. Make sure none of the wires are internally shorted to any other wires
(test
> using short sequence)
>
> Step 2: Connect Wire A to Wire B. Connect Wire C to Wire D. Connect Wire E
to
> Wire F. Wire 7 is left floating. You will have 3 pairs and one loose wire.
>
> Step 3: Go to the south end and lay out all wires. Start checking wire
pairs
> for continuity. Label the wires you find shorted together - Wire 1 is
shorted
> to Wire 2, Wire 3 is shorted to Wire 4, etc. One wire will not be shorted
to
> any other wire - that's Wire 7. Wire 7 is now positively identified and
labeled
> at both ends.
>
> Step 4: Connect Wire 7 to Wire 6. Connect Wire 5 to Wire 4. Connect Wire 3
to
> Wire 2. Wire 1 is left floating.You will have 3 pairs and one loose wire.
>
> Step 5: Go back to the north end. Undo the connected pairs at the north
end but

> keep their labels in place.
>
> Step 6: Find the wire that is shorted to Wire 7 - this must be Wire 6,
because
> you shorted them at the south end. Relabel it Wire 6, and note its old
(letter)
> name. Wire 6 is now positively identified at both ends.
>
> Step 7: The wire that was previously connected to Wire 6 is obviously Wire
5.
> Relabel it Wire 5, and note its old (letter) name. Wire 5 is now
positively
> identified at both ends.
>
> Step 8: Find the wire that is shorted to Wire 5 - this must be Wire 4
because
> you shorted them at the south end. Relabel it Wire 4, and note its old
(letter)
> name. Wire 4 is now positively identified at both ends.
>
> Step 9: The wire that was previously connected to Wire 4 is obviously Wire
3.
> Relabel it Wire 3, and note its old (letter) name. Wire 3 is now
positively
> identified at both ends.
>
> Step 10: Find the wire that is shorted to Wire 3 - this must be Wire 2
because
> you shorted them at the south end. Relabel it Wire 2, and note its old
(letter)
> name. Wire 2 is now positively identified at both ends.
>
> Step 11: The wire that was previously connected to Wire 2 is obviously
Wire 1.
> Relabel it Wire 1. Wire 1 is now positively identified at both ends. As a
> double check, note that Wire 1 is not shorted to any other wire.
>
> This method may be expanded to cables with any odd number of wires by
repeating
> the appropriate steps.
>
> I learned this method of cable wire identification from a Quist Quiz. It's
come
> in handy more than once.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

What a great procedure! It beats 12' test leads.

804. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:45:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HXNV8.2009$xg.69121227@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48618

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2795F7.44FABC1D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20020705225211.17888.00000578@mb-md.aol.com...
> > > In article <7tqV8.3577$oP5.148039826@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian P
> > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >My only bad amateur experiences were with Extras with bad attitudes.
> > Larry
> > > >allows one bad experience to shape his entire outlook on the phone
mode.
> > >
> > > Brian:
> > >
> > > I guess there's a bit more to it than the 10 - 10 incident. Like
75-meter
> > > "roundtables" in which each participant transmits for a solid
half-hour at
> > > a crack, with or without identifying sometime during the dissertation.
> > > Like DX phone pile-ups. Like people running SSB nets with their
> > > VFO knobs welded in place on "their" frequency. Like all the QRM
> > > caused by those "hams" who are offended by the above. Like being
> > > accused of "ethnic cleansing" because I think code testing is a
> > > worthwhile requirement for a ham license. Like some 5' 2" 300-lb.
> > > YL No-code Tech laying into a lengthy personal attack on my character
> > > during an ARRL Forum for merely asking a question regarding the
> > > ARRL's resolution to support code testing, without the ARRL
"officials"
> > > present doing anything to restore order to the proceedings. Like
> > > having a repeater shut down on me for mentioning my disagreement
> > > with the No-Code Tech license. I could go on and on, but I think you
> > > get the picture.
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > > >I am merely wary of Extras who claim their shorts don't stink.
> > >
> > > How would you know they were lying, Brian? Did you take a sniff?
> >
> > Did I ever walk in to that one!
>

> So did Len but he'll likely snip that part to save face.

I don't see Len in this thread. Hmmm. Wonder what's up?

Ah! Wonder Bread is on a search and destroy mission.

805. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:48:45 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207070248090.17683-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48619

That doesn't stop them. Nor did the restraining orders in 1994.....

On Sat, 6 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
>Time to send in some DF gear - and when someone looses their house along
>with their radio gear, things will quiet down. Problem is, everyone is
>willing to give a second, third, fourth, and more chances. NAL a few folks
>and the rest will fall into line.
>
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062124180.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> The FCC has supposedly been trying for the past few years.
>>
>> Not even the BULLET in the repeater was able to stop it. This is the only
>> repeater I know of where someone actually tried to shoot it.

806. Re: How long are CSCE's needed as proof?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 02:58:28 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207070256430.17711-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48620

If you're going to comment on the rules, get them right. What you volunteered
is incorrect information.

It does make a difference if either the year of issuance or the year in which it
expires is a leap year and someone waits until the "last minute."

On Sat, 6 Jul 2002, Frank Todd wrote:
>Ok, how about this. ASK ME IF I GIVE A DAMN???
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
>To: "Frank Todd" <franktodd@attbi.com>
>Sent: Saturday, July 06, 2002 9:50 PM
>Subject: Re: How long are CSCE's needed as proof?
>
>
>> Which is WRONG. The rules say 365 days, not one year.
>>
>> On Sat, 6 Jul 2002, Frank Todd wrote:
>> >I said one year, not 365.
>> >
>> >----- Original Message -----
>> >From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
>> >To: "Frank Todd" <franktodd@attbi.com>
>> >Sent: Saturday, July 06, 2002 5:47 PM
>> >Subject: Re: How long are CSCE's needed as proof?
>> >
>> >> [E-mailed only:]
>> >> "365 days" does not equal 1 year. Leap years demonstrate that. You
>remembered
>> >> incorrectly.
>> >>
>> >> PS: Ed G. is also wrong in his assertions.
>> >> On Sat, 6 Jul 2002, Frank Todd wrote:
>> >> >CSCE's at least from what I remember are good for 1 year from the
>testing
>> >> >date.
>> >> >
>> >> >"Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net> wrote in message
>> >> >news:Xns924388D3C9C68Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76...
>> >> >> > For purposes of operating on the "novice HF bands," it remains
>valid
>> >to
>> >> >> > show that you have passed the code element and may operate there -
>if

>> >> >> > not shown on your license (by the "Tech Plus" class designator).
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The FCC does NOT issue Technician Plus licenses; they only list
>> >> >> "Technician" operating privileges for both regular Tech and Tech
>plus
>> >> >> licenses. e.g. there is no way to differentiate between the two.
>An
>> >> >> operator with a Tech Plus license better hold on to that CSCE for
>ever,
>> >or
>> >> >> until the license is upgraded to General.

807. Re: Strange, Strange Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 03:41:04 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@remailer.privacy.at>
Message-ID: <71d107e6a1354bf6b79ebb566adb9f65@remailer.privacy.at>
Source record: 48621

In article <aetl1m$4nv$2@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote:
>
>
> I can see it all now.....
>
> Bubba.....'how cum you is in this here jail...boy' ???
>
> Flippo.....' I was interfering with a ham repeater'.
>
> What a flippin loser.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Slllllllurrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrppppppppppppppppppp!!!!!!!

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D12001E.64440C89@earthlink.net...
> > mike coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > Wow.
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/06/20/1/?nc=1
> >
> > I'd say "Wow" just about covers it. The man's surname, Flippo, pretty
> > well says it all.
> >
> > Dave K8MN

808. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 03:55:03 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ag8e2j$o44$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 48623

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:c%KV8.81667$UT.5555941@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Bill, you may be one of those rare individuals who have trouble learning
> code at lower speeds because you are trying to copy both right and
> left-handed at the same time. If you are more than slightly ambidextrous
> or if you have a job that requires doing the same thing mirror-image, you
> can get into the habit of trying to do it both ways and it leads to
> disaster. Most people have a 'hump' at about 10-11 wpm for a similar
> reason but I have seen it at lower speeds by a man who worked on railroad
> car brakes which is a mirror-image activity in that the same operation
> reverses with the end of the car being worked on.

How did you know? I've been ambidextrous since 1st grade when I
wanted to write left handed and they made me do it right handed Now
I write just as bad with BOTH hands ;^)

For me it was 20 years as a GM service tech. (Mr Goodwrench) I'm
very used to doing everything 'mirror image' style. I'm also kinda on
the compulsive disorder side as in I have to have every thing symmetrical
or it drives me nuts.

>
> The tip-off to this is 'inversions': some as to sense, P becomes X, and
> some as to sequence, F and L notably.
>

Oh yea real bad! Also A & N

> The cure, which I am sure you won't like, is the Koch method. Essentially
> you learn code at 16 wpm. It actually takes no longer and you are at
> Journeyman speed when you get done. You should also literally disable the
> non-writing hand by sitting on it or putting it in your pocket and copy
> with earphones in the writing-side ear only to convince the brain which
> side you are using. Longhand on unlined paper BTW.
>

Hmmmmm that's a novel idea! It sounds so crazy I'll bet it works.

> The real Koch Method uses two letters at a time but I find it better to
> use A, W, J, 1 as the first group and N, D, B, 6 to work on the inversions
> right out of the box. And realize that you are going to have to build a
> mental buffer and avoid 'copying on top' or some combos will sound like a
> machine gun.

Makes sense.

>
> Morse Academy is a real good tool for this approach if it sounds like this
> is your problem.
>
> Good Luck
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK

I've been using Morse Academy and it has gotten me this far. At least I've got the 'basic' code learned it's now just a matter of getting up to a usable
speed with no mistakes.

THANKS for the help!!!
--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

809. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 04:06:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707000638.22468.00000474@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 48622

In article <3D279BAA.BFCC2E49@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Cecil/Cecilia (reminds me of Victor/Victoria)
>
>The father/daughter team on, "The Young and Restless"?

The movie with Julie Andrews. She played a woman who disguised herself as a man so s/he could get a job as a female impersonator.

http://www.imdb.com

73 de Jim, N2EY

810. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 04:21:27 UTC
From: Randy Phelps <dz@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <blPV8.257079$nZ3.116565@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 48624
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> It shows.

Hahahahah, like you would really know.

811. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 04:32:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D27C45F.FDC51FA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48625

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2794E8.B16F7CCD@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D277F9E.FB091998@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D265D00.3AD8AB6D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he wants
> > > > > > > > > > us to
> > > > > > > > > > > believe.
> > > > > > > > > > > > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of band
> > > > > > > > > > was
> > > > > an OK
> > > > > > > > > > > thing
> > > > > > > > > > > > to do, till I called him on it.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So
> > > > > > > > > > what is
> > > > > it
> > > > > > > > > > > that you called me on?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of
```

> > > band,
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > said that you would continue to work them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How many French stations did I work before and after I got the
> > > > > > definitive word from the keyboard of N0IMD, noted expert on French
> > > > > > amateur radio regulatory matters?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Isn't just one more too many?
> > > > >
> > > > > More too many? That doesn't make sense.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > One more
> > >
> > Ahh those French 6m contacts are sort of like Lay's Potato Chips.
> > Betcha can't work just one. How many did I work before and after,
> > Brian?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dunno. Its however many you say you did. But isn't just one more one too
> many?

I don't know, Brian. I still don't have a table of 6m allocations
published by the REF. How many French stations breaking French regs
would be enough to have you contact their PTT with *your* worries?

Dave K8MN

812. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 04:36:31 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D27C54F.20418FF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48626

Jim Hampton wrote:
> My point has been if the exam is too easy - or free - folks don't appreciate
> it. If it costs nothing, one has nothing to loose. How does one tell when
> one got his extra? I obtained my 1st extra (along with my commercial
> telegraph and 1st phone and radar endorsement) in 1966. I dropped my
> tickets around 1981 and decided to get back in the game in 1993. No study
> (and I hadn't copied CW since 1969 except for the CW that was sent in the
> movie 'Fantastic Voyage') and I managed to wheeze my way through the whole
> process in 1993. It has to cost something.

I don't see any requirement in Part 97 for a ham license to cost something
and/or not be easy to obtain. The only worth of a ham license is the
privileges granted and those privileges are what someone has to lose.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

813. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 04:47:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D27C7D7.3FF5A11E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48627

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2793C8.4C2046F3@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D265E40.1D308DDB@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D25F6EB.CCD857C7@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:20020705072635.08853.00001964@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > > > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there
> not
> > > > being
> > > > > > a
> > > > > > > > > >specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > We all believe that there are things others shouldn't do in
> spite
> > > > of
> > > > > > their
> > > > > > > > > being no law against their doing so. The reason there is no
> law
> > > > is
> > > > > > probably
> > > > > > > > > because we all have a different list of personal unwritten
> laws.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Show us your short list.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me
> is
> > > > > licensed
> > > > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your
> > > unwritten
> > > > > > law?
```

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nor do I. But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to
> are
> > > > out
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm
> within
> > > my >
> > > > > band limits?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Please produce any evidence that I did so.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Who wants to talk to bootleggers anway?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Bootleggers are unlicensed station, Brian. Come to think of it,
> I'd
> > > > > > stay off the bands if I were you since I'm now making you aware of
> the
> > > > > > fact that any station you QSO could be an unlicensed individual
> using
> > > a
> > > > > > made up callsign or "borrowing" the callsign of another. You
> can't be
> > > > > > too careful.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz
> > > linked
> > > > > > 2M
> > > > > > > > repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just
> because
> > > you
> > > > > > are
> > > > > > > > within your operating privelege?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't personally know any Novices, Brian.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yep, cruises the Novice subbands handing out CW contacts!
> > > >
> > > Are you of the opinion that I drove house to house handing out QSL
> cards
> > > > for my QSOs with Novices?
> > > >
> > > > > What's more, I don't
> > > > > > personally know any of the French hams I worked on 6m from
> Tanzania.
> > > > >
> > > > > > You and Dave say YES to both questions!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Beep. Wrong.
> > > > >

> > > > > Wrong? You or Ed? One or both questions?
> > > >
> > > > I'm Dave. Both questionss as evidence by my replies. We could save a
> > > > lot of work if you'd bother to read them before responding.
> > >
> > > Dave, then you agree
> > >
> > > a. to keep talking to bootleggers that you know are out of band, and
> > > b. to keep taking to a Novice on 2M.
> >
> > The drill is: Read the posts, then reply. Read the posts, then reply.
> > You'll get the hang of it before too long.
>
> Why, you don't appear to read.

I've not had any trouble responding to your posts. You've apparently
had some difficulty with mine. You obviously came away with no
understanding of what I wrote since you've gotten it all screwed up.

> You also don't appear to think before
> answering French hams on 6M.

It isn't a mathmatical excercise, Brian.

My scenario:

"5H3US, this is Foxtrot Five Lima India Delta".

"F5LID, 5H3US--five seven, over".

Your scenario:

"N0IMD from Fox Five Lima India Delta".

"The F5 station--standby. I want to be sure that you're operating where
you're supposed to be. You aren't a bootlegger are you?".

> > > So what are you arguing with me about?
> >
> > So far, I find your search of a mission definition amusing. You've come
> > up with a problem not involving you or any U.S. radio amateur and for
> > which you have no firm information. You've thus far accused me of guilt
> > for the wrong doings of others but you are not concerned enough to
> > contact the authorities in the country where the wrong doers dwell.
> > You've accomplished nothing. You've evidently gained no believers in
> > your case (which is in its third of fourth incarnation) and you've made
> > yourself look like a boob. I can hardly wait for the next act.
>
> The Wonder Bread of 6M DX has a spot of mold.

...mere babble on your part. Wanna go for "who's out of band on 160?"

> > > > > > > And then question my reputation.
> > > > > >

> > > > > > You risked your own reputation when you set out to sully the
> > > reputation
> > > > > > of another, Brian. You'll just have to live with it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, I only have what you said to go on. Unless you want to change
> > > > > your story now.
> > > >
> > > > Funny, you've not accepted or really responded to what I've said to
> you
> > > > for the past several days. I've been saying this all along.
> > >
> > > Its different than what you said last year.
> >
> > It may be a little different. I believe I told you to mind your own
> > business last year. I broke no amateur radio regulations and operated
> > my station in accordance with Tanzanian law.
>
> I'm only commenting on what you've said on rrap.

You mean the part where I've not broken the regs of Tanzania?

> > What's all of this to you?
>
> Nothing.

It must mean something to you since it is the third or fourth time
you've brought it up and strung it out. I'm available to play for as
long as you like.

Dave K8MN

814. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 04:48:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D27C81D.E9C1F747@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48628

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D279450.6E51CFB5@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D265EBD.B217EED5@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D25E9D1.784BAE33@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of
> your
> > > stands
> > > > > > > > > here
> > > > > > > > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your opinion
> even
> > > > > > > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke no
> > > amateur
> > > > > > > radio regulations of the country from which I operated.
> Perhaps
> > > you
> > > > > > can
> > > > > > > > > assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and REF.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there not
> > > being a
> > > > > > specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Name them.
```

> > > > >
> > > > > You name a few. Include some amateur related activities.
> > > >
> > > > I'll be happy to do so about the time when you respond to whether or
> not
> > > > you've contacted the French authorities instead of repeatedly ignoring
> > > > my question.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Send me your logs so I have something to go on. Up to this point all I
> have
> > > is your word.
> >
> > Send you my logs? Do you think you're Perry Mason?
> >
> > Send me a new car.
>
> You haven't sent me my 50 cents yet.

You'll receive it long before you get my logs.

Dave K8MN

815. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 04:51:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D27C8C6.15D47C55@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48629

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D279573.8B0C49BA@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> > > news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062123000.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> > > > On 5 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> > > > >In article
> > > <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> > > > >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> > > > >>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > >>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I
> waited, I
> > > would
> > > > >>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> > > > >>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> > > > >>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
> > > > >>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> > > > >>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
> > > > >>
> > > > >>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code element, I
> > > wouldn't
> > > > >>be
> > > > >>surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses become
> > > more
> > > > >>difficult.
> > > > >
> > > > >I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA. Look
> at
> > > the
> > > > >reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring -
> fewer
> > > tests
> > > > >and fewer total questions for any level of license.
> > > > >
> > > > >I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive Element 1
> by
> > > > >executive order.
> > > > >
> > > > Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only 5
```

> > > questions!
> > > > Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)
> > >
> > > In the heyday of Morse/CW, guess how fast the code exam was?
> >
> > Bet you're dying to tell us what you consider the heyday was.
> > It was the sixties for me. For my pal Ike, it was in the thirties. For
> > my friend Bert, the heyday was in the fifities.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, whatever are you talking about?
>
> I personally didn't have a heyday with the code. Why do you say you did?

I take you at your word. You were miserable with your experience then
and it colors (colours) your thinking now. I say I had a heyday because
I did. I enjoyed my Novice experience and morse code. It was all new
and exciting. I have a log book full of QSOs from my Novice days which
I'm also not going to send you.

Dave K8MN

816. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 04:52:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D27C90A.E36FB808@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48630

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2795F7.44FABC1D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > > news:20020705225211.17888.00000578@mb-md.aol.com...
> > > > In article <7tqV8.3577$oP5.148039826@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>,
> "Brian P
> > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >My only bad amateur experiences were with Extras with bad attitudes.
> > > Larry
> > > > >allows one bad experience to shape his entire outlook on the phone
> mode.
> > > > >
> > > > Brian:
> > > > >
> > > > I guess there's a bit more to it than the 10 - 10 incident. Like
> 75-meter
> > > > "roundtables" in which each participant transmits for a solid
> half-hour at
> > > > a crack, with or without identifying sometime during the dissertation.
> > > > Like DX phone pile-ups. Like people running SSB nets with their
> > > > VFO knobs welded in place on "their" frequency. Like all the QRM
> > > > caused by those "hams" who are offended by the above. Like being
> > > > accused of "ethnic cleansing" because I think code testing is a
> > > > worthwhile requirement for a ham license. Like some 5' 2" 300-lb.
> > > > YL No-code Tech laying into a lengthy personal attack on my character
> > > > during an ARRL Forum for merely asking a question regarding the
> > > > ARRL's resolution to support code testing, without the ARRL
> "officials"
> > > > present doing anything to restore order to the proceedings. Like
> > > > having a repeater shut down on me for mentioning my disagreement
> > > > with the No-Code Tech license. I could go on and on, but I think you
> > > > get the picture.
> > >
> > > Yup.
> > >
> > > >I am merely wary of Extras who claim their shorts don't stink.
> > > >
> > > How would you know they were lying, Brian? Did you take a sniff?
> > >

> > > Did I ever walk in to that one!
> >
> > So did Len but he'll likely snip that part to save face.
>
> I don't see Len in this thread. Hmmm. Wonder what's up?
>
> Ah! Wonder Bread is on a search and destroy mission.

Different thread, same shorts. Go back to sleep, Farnsworth.

Dave K8MN

817. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 05:19:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uifjpeaf26b2a2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48631

They simply haven't figured that this could be almost as big a thing as the tobacco settlement ... let 'em smell a lot of money!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

818. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 05:25:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uifk5kf9doda4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48632

Ohhhh ... I'd love to run into you on 440 FM. 40 per F2 emission will quiet most of you down - gawd, I've got to get back on HF and get my code speed back up!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"stevebg" <sbgood@njnet.com> wrote in message news:3D268B46.D7F4756A@njnet.com...
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>

819. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 05:29:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uifkbvf5fklha7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48633

Correct - and the cost is the effort to learn (hopefully) enough to pass the exam. And, as you point out, you have something to lose. That was my point.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D27C54F.20418FF@hotmail.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > My point has been if the exam is too easy - or free - folks don't appreciate
> > it. If it costs nothing, one has nothing to loose. How does one tell when
> > one got his extra? I obtained my 1st extra (along with my commercial
> > telegraph and 1st phone and radar endorsement) in 1966. I dropped my
> > tickets around 1981 and decided to get back in the game in 1993. No study
> > (and I hadn't copied CW since 1969 except for the CW that was sent in the
> > movie 'Fantastic Voyage') and I managed to wheeze my way through the whole
> > process in 1993. It has to cost something.
>
> I don't see any requirement in Part 97 for a ham license to cost something
> and/or not be easy to obtain. The only worth of a ham license is the
> privileges granted and those privileges are what someone has to lose.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

820. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 05:45:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707014517.05882.00002935@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48634

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>Date: 07/06/02 08:41 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D26E582.43DA4CC7@hotmail.com>

>That wasn't the bet, Steve. I don't have to *get* reprints.

Sorry, Cecil...I don't fall for bogus "word play" bets THAT easily.

Try your fishing with a pole and a worm.

>Pay up, Steve.

Pay up for what, Cecil? I clearly stated that I wasn't playing your word
game drill. :Ham Radio" is still defunct, and you cannot obtain (no mater
WHERE you write to...) CURRENT material from "them".

73

Steve, K4YZ

821. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 05:53:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707015303.05882.00002936@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48635

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 07/06/02 18:22 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyude6e.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>The "kegislative
> history" of Commission rules is spelled out.....(SNIP)

Freudian slip, Phil? Been enjoying the 4th of July for a few extra days?
=)

>>>Why, the easy way is to define those alphabet-soup services as
>>> "citizen band radio services". A "cat" is a "dog" whern the
>>> "dog-catcher" has to catch it.....
>>
>> Huh?
>
> Think about it, Steve.....

I am, Phil, and I think you'e been IN that keg! =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

822. Re: How Did He Do That, Len?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 05:58:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707015800.05882.00002937@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48636

>Subject: Re: How Did He Do That, Len?
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 07/06/02 09:40 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D26F33A.EA1B06D5@earthlink.net>

>> You cannot be a stinker. Your shorts always have an aura of
>> sweet perfume.
>
>I'm surprised that you'd admit to knowing the difference.

In retrospect, I know know where Lennie has been spending those rrap sabaticals...He's stalking Dave's laundry to see which one "sniff's" better.

If he had an Amateur license he could have been on the air, instead.

Why am I not surprised?

Guess I had better use the dryer more often and keep stuff off the line......

73

Steve, K4YZ

823. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 09:38:01 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d280e67$2_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

It's not drivel.
It's very much a policy issue; whether a CB-style
qualification should be allowed to remain as a
pseudo Ham licence.

Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:x4MV8.3910$x04.169523329@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyway, what about getting your M3 drivel off rrap?

824. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 12:06:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707080632.01552.00000644@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 48638

In article <20020705162712.14503.00000598@mb-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020705070323.27948.00000899@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <20020704230746.00610.00000413@mb-fl.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020704180652.01552.00000518@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>"Extreme Literalists"... hmmm...
>>>>
>>>>Could they be:
>>>>
>>>>The Extreme Literalists who get all worked up over the lack of a specific
>>>>WORD RATE in the ITU treaty?
>>>
>>>No. There is NO word rate specified in the 1958 CCITT document
>>>referenced in Part 97.3 (26).
>>>
>>>There is no specific "treaty" at the ITU. There are only "recommendations"
>>>and they have NO force of law, only an honor obligation by adminstrations.
>>
>>Sounds like an Extreme Literalist getting all worked up all right. Answer is
>>obviously YES.
>
>Sorry, incorrect again.

How so? You are insisting on a very narrow definition of the term "treaty".
That's Extreme Literalsim all right.

>>>>The Extreme Literalists who insist that Morse code sent with Farnsworth
>>>>spacing is not Morse code?
>>>
>>>No.
>>>
>>>I've never argued that "Farnsworth spacing" is "different" from "morse
>>>code" (International Morse Code).
>>
>>I see. 'It's all about Lenover21'. Answer is obviously YES
>
>I've never argued that "Farnsworth spacing" is "different" from "morse

>code" (International Morse Code).

Again, the Extreme Literalism.
>
>>>>The Extreme Literalists who are come all unglued because a magazine that
>is
>>>>no longer published is called "defunct"?
>>>
>>>No. My "glue" over Ham Radio Magazine still holds. So does CQ
>>>and the ARRL who sell a collection of all HR articles from all 22
>>>years of its publication.
>>
>>Those are OLD publications. They're a part of HISTORY. (sound familiar?) The
>>CDs are just a way of reprinting old information. Nothing new is presented.
>>The magazine called 'Ham Radio' is defunct, just like Atwater Kent is
>defunct,
>>even if reproduction radio sets are made with that name on them.
>
>Let's cut to the chase.

Translation: Let's iqnore the facts, such as the fact that the magazine called 'Ham Radio" (actually, they did not capitalize the name on the cover) is defunct, because Lenover21 does not like the word "defunct".

That magazine has ceased to be. Passed on. It has left this mortal publsihing coil. Snuffed it. It is no more.

> You are using "defunct" as a left-handed
>insult

"Left handed insult"? Are you against lefties, too? Sounds like it.

> because you are highly irritated at non-hams' participation
>in the content of a respected 22-year-publication-history technically
>oriented amateur radio periodical.

No insult - just a statement of fact. "Lenover21" has made yet another mistake, projecting is own feeelings of irritation on others. He demonstrates his double standard by showing that it's OK for him to describe things as "old" and "a part of history", but not others. He is insulted by the word "defunct" applied to a magazine that ceased publication years ago, but derides a current publication as a 'high priced fanzine'.

>So is Superman Dave and Sgt
>Putz,

...and thus the typical namecalling by Lenover21.

>all three of you wanting to be insulting...you all succeed in
>that but carry the insults far beyond a few participants in here.

The only people who are insulted by the word "defunct" are those whose egos carry a near-religious connection to the magazine.
>

>Your insults apply to a large number of US radio amateurs (as well
>as some foreign radio amateurs) who made "HR" a leading ham
>technical periodical.

Most of them would agree that the magazine "Ham Radio" is defunct.

> I don't understand why you wish to insult a
>large number of hams, most of which were in the hobby as long or
>longer than yourself.

Where's the insult? I did not say "Ham Radio" was a bad magazine. Just that it
is no longer with us.

I don't understand why you constantly insult a large number of hams, all of
whom have been in the ARS longer than yourself.
>
>Theory, tutorials, construction articles on radio-electronics
>basics as applied to amateur radio seldom get "old."

Really. Then why do you put down certain technologies and methods simply
because of their age?

> Or are
>you suddenly "into" logo and brand-name-ID snobbery where
>the "latest" thing is the "best?"

Not me.

>If so, why do you tout vacuum
>tube circuitry as superior...especially in self-description of
>"superiority" as a ham in "designing and building your own
>transmitter-receiver (using tubes)?"

I don't "tout" anything as superior, nor do I build "transmitter-receivers".
>
>>The 4th edition of the 'Radiotron Designer's Handbook' is also available on
>>CD.
>
>That's nice. It's editor was an Australian and worked for an
>Australian RCA Corporation. Is "RCA" defunct? I can still find
>"RCA" brands in today's marketplace. In consumer electronics
>the "RCA" brand is still there although that has been acquired
>by Thomson from General Electric.

Just a brand name.
>
>The old RCA Corporation was sold entire, first to General Electric,
>then parts of it to other corporations. Did such selling make it
>"defunct?" The word "defunct" is defined as meaning "dead or
>extinct." I don't see the "RCA" brand as either extinct or dead.

It's just a brand name.
>
>Communications Technology, Inc., sold Ham Radio Magazine to

>CQ along with its Ham Radio Bookstore. Does that selling make
>Ham Radio "dead or extinct?" All 22 years of HR are collected
>on three CDs and for sale by CQ and the ARRL. Article lists of
>HR articles are found on two separate Internet sites.

Publication of new issues has long since stopped. All that is available are reprints of existing publications.
>
>The "Radiotron Designer's Handbook" doesn't have any design
>data for transistors or integrated circuits.

There were no ICs in 1954, and transistors were very new technology then.

>It is oriented towards
>vacuum tube design; the "Radiotron" is/was an old RCA trade-
>mark for vacuum tubes.

Which means it's a good book for some things but not others. It's on CD, too.

>By contrast, there are dozens of
>articles in the HR history that include transistors and ICs, most
>of which are still on the market.

Many of them are not.

> A general-purpose NPN
>transistor with transition frequency of 150 MHz can be purchased
>from hundreds of retail outlets for a quarter...a triode vacuum tube
>to do the same circuit task typically costs $5 and available only
>from a limited and shrinking retail outlet group.

Lenover21 needs a tutorial on the basics of enineering econimics as applied to amateur radio home construction.

With certain exceptions, homebrewing of radio equipment with new retail parts is not cost effective. Manufacturers buying lare numbers of parts get quantity discounts, hams buying a few parts don't. Add to this the price of special tools and test equipment, and even simple projects become expensive.

One notable exception to this rule is when parts can be obtained at a small percentage of their retail cost. "Surplus" outlets, hamfests, internet venues and even dumpsters are sources of such parts - including tubes.

Comparison of single-part prices, as Lenover21 has done above, is misleading. What matters in the economic area is total project cost.

The parts cost issue is not a new thing. It is one of the main reasons for the success of Heathkit. More than 40 years ago, it was cheaper to buy a Heathkit than to build an equivalent device from new retail parts.

>>Sales tax often applies.
>
>Oh, my, a REACH for an "argument!" :-)

Yeah, you sure did.
>
>California sales tax is 8.5% (give or take). Other states vary.
>
>ARRL publication prices are the same from surface mail or e-mail
>as in retail outlets. If shipping charges are required extra cost to
>a mail order then that is approximately equal or slightly more than
>local state sales tax. But, a retail ARRL publication outlet has to
>make a profit to stay in business, so it obtains ARRL publications
>at a reduced rate...or did you think the retail outlet sells without
>profit out of the goodness of their hearts?!? :-)

Retailing 101
>
>Shipping charges on a $25 ARRL publication can reach $5.

If it's big and heavy, sure. CDs are neither big nor heavy. CDs constitute
"media" and get the special low postage rate.

> That's
>a 20 percent extra charge over the retail store price. I don't know
>of any US state that charges 20 percent sales tax. Do you? :-)

What $25 publication gets $5 shipping?

Jim, N2EY

825. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 13:46:47 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D284647.EED6E860@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48639

Jim Hampton wrote:
> Correct - and the cost is the effort to learn (hopefully) enough to pass the
> exam. And, as you point out, you have something to lose. That was my
> point.

Both the Advanced and Extra exams were effortless for me, Jim. (I was drunk as a skunk when I passed my Advanced in the 70's.) Like any other license, the worth of a ham license are the privileges granted. Effort doesn't matter to the FCC.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

826. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 13:50:13 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D284715.F1C9E2D9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48640

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Pay up for what, Cecil? I clearly stated that I wasn't playing your word
> game drill. :Ham Radio" is still defunct, and you cannot obtain (no mater
> WHERE you write to...) CURRENT material from "them".

Steve, you didn't say I couldn't *obtain* a reprint. You said I couldn't
request a reprint. I requested a reprint. You were wrong and I was right.
Pay up.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

827. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 14:33:46 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ag9jin$4cl$8@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 48641
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Randy Phelps" <dz@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:blPV8.257079$nZ3.116565@rwcrnsc53...

[Restore]

>Candy ass Phelps says:
> Of course not. I haven't yet found a place in this country yet that teaches
> english. hehe

[End restore]

>> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
>> It shows.
>
> Hahahahah, like you would really know.

Pot Kettle Black, fat boy.

828. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 14:51:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ag9kh0$5fn$0@208.206.142.94>
Source record: 48642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Very much agreed.

Brain Rarey wrote:
>
> It's not drivel.
> It's very much a policy issue; whether a CB-style
> qualification should be allowed to remain as a
> pseudo Ham licence.
>
> Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:x4MV8.3910$x04.169523329@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > Anyway, what about getting your M3 drivel off rrap?

829. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 15:14:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020707111430.07588.00001453@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 48643

In article <74xV8.12815$AK.10674@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:

>So all the guys running SSB on 40 meters aren't really HAM's??

John:

They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not, they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished TRVTH.

>BTW, I'm a General Class, worked up from Novice w/ 5wpm... :-)

Did you have to take a 13 WPM code test for General, or are you just a "Restructured" CB'er?

>Just my $.02

If I were you, I wouldn't have bothered.

73 de Larry, K3LT

830. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 16:02:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uigpgl7j04iee4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48644

Bwaahahah - yep, reminds me of when I took my commercial phone. We were getting drunk in the dorm and one of the guys was bragging he had a 3rd class radiotelephone ticket. When I stated that the 3rd class was nothing, he asked me what class I had and the amateur ticket meant nothing. Sooo .. next morning I cut classes (I forget which days which tests were administered in Buffalo, but at the time I knew the phone tests were to be given the next day) and drove to Buffalo. The examiner took one look at me and asked if I really wanted to take the exam. I passed the 2nd class radar endorsed license (and I was NOT sober). I had to go back for the 1st (which I did get) as I found out you had to know the television band splits (where the audio and video carriers were was important, but the 1st question on my exam was 'where is the video carrier of channel 6 television in the United States') and bother to memorize the color burst frequency to the Hertz (3.58 didn't cut it). I did get my commercial telegraph and amateur extra also in 1966. I dropped all of my tickets around 1981 and then got back into the game in 1993, obtaining the GROL (big deal, means nothing) and amateur extra with no study and no cw since 1969. 20 was a push after all of those years, but putting together both a send and receive program in dos for cw got my speed up a fair amount as I did not want to debug the thing at 20 words per minute - too slow.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D284647.EED6E860@hotmail.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > Correct - and the cost is the effort to learn (hopefully) enough to pass
the
> > exam. And, as you point out, you have something to lose. That was my
> > point.
>
> Both the Advanced and Extra exams were effortless for me, Jim. (I was
> drunk as a skunk when I passed my Advanced in the 70's.) Like any other
> license, the worth of a ham license are the privileges granted. Effort
> doesn't matter to the FCC.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

831. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 16:38:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <98_V8.4201$mG1.184148261@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48645

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D27C45F.FDC51FA@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2794E8.B16F7CCD@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D277F9E.FB091998@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D265D00.3AD8AB6D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he
wants
> > us to
> > > > > > > > > > > > believe.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of
band
> > was
> > > > > an OK
> > > > > > > > > > > > thing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > to do, till I called him on it.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So
> > what is
```

> > > > it
> > > > > > > > > > that you called me on?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > After you were informed that you were working French hams
out of
> > > > band,
> > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > said that you would continue to work them.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > How many French stations did I work before and after I got the
> > > > > > > > definitive word from the keyboard of N0IMD, noted expert on
French
> > > > > > amateur radio regulatory matters?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Isn't just one more too many?
> > > > >
> > > > > More too many? That doesn't make sense.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > One more
> > >
> > > Ahh those French 6m contacts are sort of like Lay's Potato Chips.
> > > Betcha can't work just one. How many did I work before and after,
> > > Brian?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dunno. Its however many you say you did. But isn't just one more one
too
> > many?
>
> I don't know, Brian. I still don't have a table of 6m allocations
> published by the REF. How many French stations breaking French regs
> would be enough to have you contact their PTT with *your* worries?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dunno Dave, how many? It's your word against yours!!! It couldn't get any
more complicated than that.

832. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 16:44:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qd_V8.4202$7H1.184198446@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48646

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag88pk$6tk$0@208.207.71.169...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:ag5tao$2gu$0@208.207.71.141...
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > After you were informed that you were working French hams out of
band,
> > you
> > > > said that you would continue to work them.
> > >
> > > What's really interesting about this is the fact that you "didn't
have
> > > time" to practice code but you had all sorts of time to inform
yourself
> > > of band allocations in foreign lands. What is much less certain is
that
> > > you know what you'r talking about.
> >
> > The hell I didn't have time to practice code.
>
>
> Well, that's 180 out from what you said right here on rrap. You were
> just too busy.....
>
I'm too busy to learn it at ever faster rates. Get your accusations right.
>
> I worked on it for months.
> > That's how I passed the exam.
>
> Ah, four months to get 5wpm. Sure sounds like you really put nose to
> stone.
>
I did.
>
> You should pull your head out before you
> > speak. As tightly as its wedged in, I should hear the "POP" in
Columbus.

>
>
> OK pottymouth, that's yet another demerit.
>
You want a 241, Dill Instructor? I'm still waiting to hear the "POP!"
>
> > And there ARE other facets to amateur radio than JUST the code.
>
> Do tell! But they don't require you to actually learn as you well know.
>
No? What was your specialty in the military?
>
> > head is so far up your flux
> >
> > > I would have ignored you, too. What's it to you what French hams do?
> > > Since when are you the International Radio Police?
> >
> > You can ignore anyone you want. Ignore me, please.
>
> I'd be pleased to. Just refrain from posting bullshit I can't ignore.

You're piled pretty high aren't you?

833. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 17:05:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xx_V8.4210$aO1.184570934@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48647

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D27C7D7.3FF5A11E@earthlink.net...
>
> I've not had any trouble responding to your posts. You've apparently
> had some difficulty with mine. You obviously came away with no
> understanding of what I wrote since you've gotten it all screwed up.

Dave, how could I get it all screwed up? It's your word against yours. How
much more complicated could it be?

> > You also don't appear to think before
> > answering French hams on 6M.
>
> It isn't a mathmatical excercise, Brian.
>
> My scenario:
>
> "5H3US, this is Foxtrot Five Lima India Delta".
>
> "F5LID, 5H3US--five seven, over".
>
> Your scenario:
>
> "N0IMD from Fox Five Lima India Delta".
>
> "The F5 station--standby. I want to be sure that you're operating where
> you're supposed to be. You aren't a bootlegger are you?".
>
Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your
authorization. QSL?"
>
> > > > So what are you arguing with me about?
> > >
> > > So far, I find your search of a mission definition amusing. You've
come
> > > up with a problem not involving you or any U.S. radio amateur and for
> > > which you have no firm information. You've thus far accused me of
guilt
> > > for the wrong doings of others but you are not concerned enough to
> > > contact the authorities in the country where the wrong doers dwell.
> > > You've accomplished nothing. You've evidently gained no believers in
> > > your case (which is in its third of fourth incarnation) and you've
made
> > > yourself look like a boob. I can hardly wait for the next act.
> >

> > The Wonder Bread of 6M DX has a spot of mold.
>
> ...mere babble on your part. Wanna go for "who's out of band on 160?"

Dave, here's the exchange with the French ham, F1DR, that you conveniently forget:

> Well Dave, as you probably know the french 6m band begins to 50.2 MHz
> so we are not bored by CW signals between 50 and 50.2 MHz :-) . So I
> hope you don't use SSB frequencies above 50.2 MHz to play CW ?
> Anyway I don't beleive in that story that several french hams were
> answering to you, because there are very few hams allowed on 6m in
> France with the maximal (100 W) power. Others are limited to a little
> output power too low to chat with USA.

Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2. I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.

There is no output power on 6m which is too low to work the U.S. I've worked the West Coast of the U.S. from Guinea-Bissau with 7 watts and a three element yagi. By the way, I'm in Tanzania, not the U.S.

Dave Heil 5H3US, K8MN

> > > > > > > > And then question my reputation.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You risked your own reputation when you set out to sully the
> > > > reputation
> > > > > > > > of another, Brian. You'll just have to live with it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave, I only have what you said to go on. Unless you want to
change
> > > > > > > your story now.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Funny, you've not accepted or really responded to what I've said
to
> > you
> > > > > > for the past several days. I've been saying this all along.
> > > > >
> > > > > Its different than what you said last year.
> > >
> > > It may be a little different. I believe I told you to mind your own
> > > business last year. I broke no amateur radio regulations and operated
> > > my station in accordance with Tanzanian law.
> >

> > I'm only commenting on what you've said on rrap.
>
> You mean the part where I've not broken the regs of Tanzania?

Fine. You haven't broken Tanzania regs. You would do it again tomorrow if you could.

Your argument is with what you said then versus what you say now.

> > > What's all of this to you?
> >
> > Nothing.
>
> It must mean something to you since it is the third or fourth time
> you've brought it up and strung it out. I'm available to play for as
> long as you like.
>
> Dave K8MN

It all rests with the actuarial tables.

834. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 17:06:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Sy_V8.4211$pO1.184586373@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48648

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D27C81D.E9C1F747@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D279450.6E51CFB5@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D265EBD.B217EED5@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D25E9D1.784BAE33@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D250768.AC66447F@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > So much for integrity. Can we thus assume that any of
> > your
> > > > > stands
> > > > > > > > > > here
> > > > > > > > > > > are subject to immediate reversal by you?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Is your idea of integrity based on defending your
opinion
> > even
> > > > > > > > > > after you find out you are mistaken?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Not at all, Cecil. My idea of integrity is that I broke
no
> > > > amateur
> > > > > > > > > radio regulations of the country from which I operated.
> > Perhaps
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > can
> > > > > > > > > assist Brian with his draft protest to the French PTT and
REF.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > > Dave, there are things you shouldn't do regardless of there
not
> > > > being a
> > > > > > > > specific law against it. Do you disagree?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Name them.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You name a few. Include some amateur related activities.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'll be happy to do so about the time when you respond to whether
or
> > not
> > > > > > you've contacted the French authorities instead of repeatedly
ignoring
> > > > > > my question.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Send me your logs so I have something to go on. Up to this point
all I
> > have
> > > > > is your word.
> > > >
> > > Send you my logs? Do you think you're Perry Mason?
> > >
> > > Send me a new car.
> >
> > You haven't sent me my 50 cents yet.
>
> You'll receive it long before you get my logs.
>
> Dave K8MN

Just as long as I get it. Its for your tele-autowriter debacle.

835. On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 17:20:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wL_V8.4215$SR1.184794415@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48649

Dave:

> > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> >

F1DR:

> If they have a F prefix, they can't operate LEGALLY between 50 and 50.2
> MHz. Some french overseas territories can but the prefix is different.
> I will be glad to know the F calls in your log, just to see where they
> are located.

Dave:

I certainly know the difference between a French overseas territory and
France. I also know that with my antenna pointed to EU and the band
open to EU, I'm not working overseas territories. The stations are
French. They are below 50.2 (usually 50.115 or 50.110). I have never
worked a French station above 50.2 from any of four different countries
in Africa or from Finland.

Dave, did you ever bother to confirm whether you were working French
bootleggers or not?

836. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 17:25:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aQ_V8.4217$eQ1.184688887@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48650

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D27C8C6.15D47C55@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D279573.8B0C49BA@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> > > >
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062123000.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> > > > > On 5 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> > > > > >In article
> > > > <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> > > > > >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> > > > > >>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > >>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I
> > waited, I
> > > > would
> > > > > >>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> > > > > >>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC
2003.
> > > > > >>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> > > > > >>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code
element, I
> > > > wouldn't
> > > > > >>be
> > > > > >>surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses
become
> > > > more
> > > > > >>difficult.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA.
Look
> > at
> > > > the
> > > > > >reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring -
> > fewer
> > > > tests

> > > > > >and fewer total questions for any level of license.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive
Element 1
> > by
> > > > > > >executive order.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only
5
> > > > questions!
> > > > > > Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > In the heyday of Morse/CW, guess how fast the code exam was?
> > >
> > > Bet you're dying to tell us what you consider the heyday was.
> > > It was the sixties for me. For my pal Ike, it was in the thirties.
For
> > > my friend Bert, the heyday was in the fifities.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, whatever are you talking about?
> >
> > I personally didn't have a heyday with the code. Why do you say you
did?
>
> I take you at your word. You were miserable with your experience then
> and it colors (colours) your thinking now. I say I had a heyday because
> I did. I enjoyed my Novice experience and morse code. It was all new
> and exciting. I have a log book full of QSOs from my Novice days which
> I'm also not going to send you.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave:
> > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> >
>

F1DR:
> If they have a F prefix, they can't operate LEGALLY between 50 and 50.2
> MHz. Some french overseas territories can but the prefix is different.
> I will be glad to know the F calls in your log, just to see where they
> are located.

Dave:
I certainly know the difference between a French overseas territory and France. I also know that with my antenna pointed to EU and the band open to EU, I'm not working overseas territories. The stations are French. They are below 50.2 (usually 50.115 or 50.110). I have never worked a French station above 50.2 from any of four different countries in Africa or from Finland.

837. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 17:27:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ZR_V8.4219$KU1.184956024@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Is it in the amateur service, Good Buddy?

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d280e67$2_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> It's not drivel.
> It's very much a policy issue; whether a CB-style
> qualification should be allowed to remain as a
> pseudo Ham licence.
>
> Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:x4MV8.3910$x04.169523329@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > Anyway, what about getting your M3 drivel off rrap?

838. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 17:28:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QS_V8.4220$AU1.184945699@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ag9kh0$5fn$0@208.206.142.94...

> Very much agreed.

OK, brilliant Dick, what are the qualifications?

839. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 17:29:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pU_V8.4222$5U1.184914074@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48753

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D27C90A.E36FB808@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2795F7.44FABC1D@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020705225211.17888.00000578@mb-md.aol.com...
> > > > > In article <7tqV8.3577$oP5.148039826@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "Brian P
> > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >My only bad amateur experiences were with Extras with bad
attitudes.
> > > > Larry
> > > > > >allows one bad experience to shape his entire outlook on the
phone
> > mode.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Brian:
> > > > >
> > > > > I guess there's a bit more to it than the 10 - 10 incident. Like
> > 75-meter
> > > > > "roundtables" in which each participant transmits for a solid
> > half-hour at
> > > > > a crack, with or without identifying sometime during the
dissertation.
> > > > > Like DX phone pile-ups. Like people running SSB nets with their
> > > > > VFO knobs welded in place on "their" frequency. Like all the QRM
> > > > > caused by those "hams" who are offended by the above. Like being
> > > > > accused of "ethnic cleansing" because I think code testing is a
> > > > > worthwhile requirement for a ham license. Like some 5' 2" 300-lb.
> > > > > YL No-code Tech laying into a lengthy personal attack on my
character
> > > > > during an ARRL Forum for merely asking a question regarding the
> > > > > ARRL's resolution to support code testing, without the ARRL
> > "officials"
> > > > > present doing anything to restore order to the proceedings. Like
> > > > > having a repeater shut down on me for mentioning my disagreement
> > > > > with the No-Code Tech license. I could go on and on, but I think
you
> > > > > get the picture.

> > > >
> > > > Yup.
> > > >
> > > > > >I am merely wary of Extras who claim their shorts don't stink.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How would you know they were lying, Brian? Did you take a sniff?
> > > > >
> > > > > Did I ever walk in to that one!
> > > >
> > > So did Len but he'll likely snip that part to save face.
> > >
> > I don't see Len in this thread. Hmmm. Wonder what's up?
> >
> > Ah! Wonder Bread is on a search and destroy mission.
>
> Different thread, same shorts. Go back to sleep, Farnsworth.
>
> Dave K8MN

Different thread, same mold on Wonder Bread:

Dave:
> > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> >
>
F1DR:
> If they have a F prefix, they can't operate LEGALLY between 50 and 50.2
> MHz. Some french overseas territories can but the prefix is different.
> I will be glad to know the F calls in your log, just to see where they
> are located.

Dave:
I certainly know the difference between a French overseas territory and
France. I also know that with my antenna pointed to EU and the band
open to EU, I'm not working overseas territories. The stations are
French. They are below 50.2 (usually 50.115 or 50.110). I have never
worked a French station above 50.2 from any of four different countries
in Africa or from Finland.

840. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 19:00:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D288FE0.CC777357@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48754

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D27C45F.FDC51FA@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2794E8.B16F7CCD@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D277F9E.FB091998@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D265D00.3AD8AB6D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D25E911.D9CA060@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D251420.F6C78801@hotmail.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > And do you do an immediate reversal?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Sure. When I'm convinced that I'm wrong.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Apparently, Dave finds that disconcerting. Wonder why?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave isn't the Wonder Bread of amateur radio that he
> wants
> > > > > us to
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > believe.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Apparently, he thought his working French hams out of
> band
> > > > was
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an OK
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > thing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > to do, till I called him on it.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > > > > I've never been out of band while working French hams. So
> > > what is
> > > > > it
> > > > > > > > > > > that you called me on?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > After you were informed that you were working French hams
> out of
> > > > > band,
> > > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > > said that you would continue to work them.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > How many French stations did I work before and after I got the
> > > > > > > > > definitive word from the keyboard of N0IMD, noted expert on
> French
> > > > > > > amateur radio regulatory matters?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Isn't just one more too many?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > More too many? That doesn't make sense.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > One more
> > > >
> > > > Ahh those French 6m contacts are sort of like Lay's Potato Chips.
> > > > Betcha can't work just one. How many did I work before and after,
> > > > Brian?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dunno. Its however many you say you did. But isn't just one more one
> too
> > > many?
> >
> > I don't know, Brian. I still don't have a table of 6m allocations
> > published by the REF. How many French stations breaking French regs
> > would be enough to have you contact their PTT with *your* worries?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dunno Dave, how many? It's your word against yours!!! It couldn't get any
> more complicated than that.

You may finally be on to something. It's my word and my business. Why
are you attempting to make it your business? What's yours is the worry
and the indignation. To ease that, you'll need to contact the French
PTT and the REF.

Dave K8MN

841. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 19:11:58 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D28927E.83537601@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48755

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> TRVTH.

You mean that any ham who dislikes you personally enough to be of the
opinion that you are not worth talking to is defined to be a CBer?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

842. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 19:17:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2893DC.31FC366@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48756

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D27C7D7.3FF5A11E@earthlink.net...
> >
> > I've not had any trouble responding to your posts. You've apparently
> > had some difficulty with mine. You obviously came away with no
> > understanding of what I wrote since you've gotten it all screwed up.
>
> Dave, how could I get it all screwed up? It's your word against yours. How
> much more complicated could it be?

I'm clear on it.

> > > You also don't appear to think before
> > > answering French hams on 6M.
> >
> > It isn't a mathmatical excercise, Brian.
> >
> > My scenario:
> >
> > "5H3US, this is Foxtrot Five Lima India Delta".
> >
> > "F5LID, 5H3US--five seven, over".
> >
> > Your scenario:
> >
> > "N0IMD from Fox Five Lima India Delta".
> >
> > "The F5 station--standby. I want to be sure that you're operating where
> > you're supposed to be. You aren't a bootlegger are you?".
> >
> Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your
> authorization. QSL?"

chortle Yep....*guffaw*...that'd do it *gasp*. For you.
Have a nice life, Brian.

> > > > > So what are you arguing with me about?
> > > > >
> > > > So far, I find your search of a mission definition amusing. You've
> come
> > > > up with a problem not involving you or any U.S. radio amateur and for
> > > > which you have no firm information. You've thus far accused me of
> guilt

> > > > for the wrong doings of others but you are not concerned enough to
> > > > contact the authorities in the country where the wrong doers dwell.
> > > > You've accomplished nothing. You've evidently gained no believers in
> > > > your case (which is in its third of fourth incarnation) and you've
> made
> > > > yourself look like a boob. I can hardly wait for the next act.
> > > >
> > > The Wonder Bread of 6M DX has a spot of mold.
> >
> > ...mere babble on your part. Wanna go for "who's out of band on 160?"
> ---
> ---
>
> Dave, here's the exchange with the French ham, F1DR, that you conveniently
> forget:
>
> > Well Dave, as you probably know the french 6m band begins to 50.2 MHz
> > so we are not bored by CW signals between 50 and 50.2 MHz :-) . So I
> > hope you don't use SSB frequencies above 50.2 MHz to play CW ?
> > Anyway I don't beleive in that story that several french hams were
> > answering to you, because there are very few hams allowed on 6m in
> > France with the maximal (100 W) power. Others are limited to a little
> > output power too low to chat with USA.
>
> Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
>
> There is no output power on 6m which is too low to work the U.S. I've
> worked the West Coast of the U.S. from Guinea-Bissau with 7 watts and a
> three element yagi. By the way, I'm in Tanzania, not the U.S.
>
> Dave Heil 5H3US, K8MN

Neat, Brian. So we have a Frenchman who tells me that he doesn't
believe that I worked anyone. He tells us that there are a few French
hams permitted 6m with 100w and that others don't have enough power to
work me. He doesn't represent himself as an official of the French PTT
and if he was, his target should have been French reg breakers, not a
Tanzanian licensee working within his authorization. So how I am guilty
of wrongdoing?

> ---
> > > > > > > > > And then question my reputation.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You risked your own reputation when you set out to sully the
> > > > > reputation

> > > > > > > > of another, Brian. You'll just have to live with it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave, I only have what you said to go on. Unless you want to
> change
> > > > > > > > your story now.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Funny, you've not accepted or really responded to what I've said
> to
> > > you
> > > > > > > for the past several days. I've been saying this all along.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Its different than what you said last year.
> > > > >
> > > > It may be a little different. I believe I told you to mind your own
> > > > business last year. I broke no amateur radio regulations and operated
> > > > my station in accordance with Tanzanian law.
> > >
> > I'm only commenting on what you've said on rrap.
> >
> > You mean the part where I've not broken the regs of Tanzania?
>
> Fine. You haven't broken Tanzania regs. You would do it again tomorrow if
> you could.

Not from Tanzania. I'm in the U.S., Brian. I haven't broken U.S. regs
either.

> Your argument is with what you said then versus what you say now.

No, I disagee. My argument is with some goof who blames me for French
stations operating out of their authorized allocation on 6m--someone who
has not taken any steps to contact the authorities of the country whose
amateur's broke their regs.

> > > > What's all of this to you?
> > >
> > > Nothing.
> >
> > It must mean something to you since it is the third or fourth time
> > you've brought it up and strung it out. I'm available to play for as
> > long as you like.

> It all rests with the actuarial tables.

Many folks live much longer than the actuarial tables. I could be
around for another 30 or 40 years given the average life span of the
bulk of my ancestors. You could be gone tomorrow. What has any of this
to do with your misdirected, self-righteous indignity? Are you within
your authorization, Brian? Wanna go for who worked me out of band on
160m?

Dave K8MN

843. Re: On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 19:19:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D28944D.A1D2A140@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48757

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> Dave:
>
> > > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> > > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> > > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> > > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> > > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> > >
>
> F1DR:
>
> > If they have a F prefix, they can't operate LEGALLY between 50 and 50.2
> > MHz. Some french overseas territories can but the prefix is different.
> > I will be glad to know the F calls in your log, just to see where they
> > are located.
>
> Dave:
>
> I certainly know the difference between a French overseas territory and
> France. I also know that with my antenna pointed to EU and the band
> open to EU, I'm not working overseas territories. The stations are
> French. They are below 50.2 (usually 50.115 or 50.110). I have never
> worked a French station above 50.2 from any of four different countries
> in Africa or from Finland.
> ---
> ---------------------------------
>
> Dave, did you ever bother to confirm whether you were working French
> bootleggers or not?

Bootleggers sending QSL cards, Brian?

Dave K8MN

844. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 19:22:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2894FF.429EAED9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48758

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D27C8C6.15D47C55@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D279573.8B0C49BA@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> > > > >
> news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062123000.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> > > > > > On 5 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> > > > > > >In article
> > > > > > <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> > > > > > >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> > > > > > >>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > > >>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had I
> > > waited, I
> > > > > > would
> > > > > > >>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you wish.
> > > > > > >>>
> > > > > > >>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > >>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> > > > > > >>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC
> 2003.
> > > > > > >>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> > > > > > >>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code
> element, I
> > > > > > wouldn't
> > > > > > >>be
> > > > > > >>surprised if the question pools for the higher level licenses
> become
> > > > > > more
> > > > > > >>difficult.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the USA.
> Look
> > > > at
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > >reductions in written testing that happened with restructuring -
```

> > > fewer
> > > > > tests
> > > > > > >and fewer total questions for any level of license.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive
> Element 1
> > > by
> > > > > > >executive order.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only
> 5
> > > > > questions!
> > > > > > > Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > In the heyday of Morse/CW, guess how fast the code exam was?
> > > > >
> > > > Bet you're dying to tell us what you consider the heyday was.
> > > > It was the sixties for me. For my pal Ike, it was in the thirties.
> For
> > > > my friend Bert, the heyday was in the fifities.
> > > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Dave, whatever are you talking about?
> > >
> > I personally didn't have a heyday with the code. Why do you say you
> did?
> >
> > I take you at your word. You were miserable with your experience then
> > and it colors (colours) your thinking now. I say I had a heyday because
> > I did. I enjoyed my Novice experience and morse code. It was all new
> > and exciting. I have a log book full of QSOs from my Novice days which
> > I'm also not going to send you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> --
>
> Dave:
> > > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> > > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> > > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> > > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> > > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> > >
> >
>
> F1DR:
> > If they have a F prefix, they can't operate LEGALLY between 50 and 50.2

> > MHz. Some french overseas territories can but the prefix is different.
> > I will be glad to know the F calls in your log, just to see where they
> > are located.
>
> Dave:
> I certainly know the difference between a French overseas territory and
> France. I also know that with my antenna pointed to EU and the band
> open to EU, I'm not working overseas territories. The stations are
> French. They are below 50.2 (usually 50.115 or 50.110). I have never
> worked a French station above 50.2 from any of four different countries
> in Africa or from Finland.

Is this supposed to be a reponse to my comment or are stuck in the
"paste" mode? Maybe the French stations were having a heyday.

Dave K8MN

845. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 19:26:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2895F7.2FEA31A6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48759

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D27C90A.E36FB808@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2795F7.44FABC1D@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20020705225211.17888.00000578@mb-md.aol.com...
> > > > > > In article <7tqV8.3577$oP5.148039826@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > "Brian P
> > > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >My only bad amateur experiences were with Extras with bad
> attitudes.
> > > > > Larry
> > > > > > >allows one bad experience to shape his entire outlook on the
> phone
> > > mode.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brian:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I guess there's a bit more to it than the 10 - 10 incident. Like
> > > 75-meter
> > > > > > "roundtables" in which each participant transmits for a solid
> > > half-hour at
> > > > > > a crack, with or without identifying sometime during the
> dissertation.
> > > > > > Like DX phone pile-ups. Like people running SSB nets with their
> > > > > > VFO knobs welded in place on "their" frequency. Like all the QRM
> > > > > > caused by those "hams" who are offended by the above. Like being
> > > > > > accused of "ethnic cleansing" because I think code testing is a
> > > > > > worthwhile requirement for a ham license. Like some 5' 2" 300-lb.
> > > > > > YL No-code Tech laying into a lengthy personal attack on my
> character
> > > > > > during an ARRL Forum for merely asking a question regarding the
> > > > > > ARRL's resolution to support code testing, without the ARRL
> > > "officials"
> > > > > > present doing anything to restore order to the proceedings. Like
> > > > > > having a repeater shut down on me for mentioning my disagreement
> > > > > > with the No-Code Tech license. I could go on and on, but I think

> you
> > > > > > get the picture.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yup.
> > > > >
> > > > > > >I am merely wary of Extras who claim their shorts don't stink.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How would you know they were lying, Brian? Did you take a sniff?
> > > > >
> > > > > Did I ever walk in to that one!
> > > >
> > > So did Len but he'll likely snip that part to save face.
> > >
> > I don't see Len in this thread. Hmmm. Wonder what's up?
> > >
> > Ah! Wonder Bread is on a search and destroy mission.
> >
> Different thread, same shorts. Go back to sleep, Farnsworth.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> --
> Different thread, same mold on Wonder Bread:
>
> Dave:
> > > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> > > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> > > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> > > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> > > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> > >
> >
> F1DR:
> > If they have a F prefix, they can't operate LEGALLY between 50 and 50.2
> > MHz. Some french overseas territories can but the prefix is different.
> > I will be glad to know the F calls in your log, just to see where they
> > are located.
>
> Dave:
> I certainly know the difference between a French overseas territory and
> France. I also know that with my antenna pointed to EU and the band
> open to EU, I'm not working overseas territories. The stations are
> French. They are below 50.2 (usually 50.115 or 50.110). I have never
> worked a French station above 50.2 from any of four different countries
> in Africa or from Finland.

I can play that, Brian:

N0IMD:
Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your
authorization. QSL?"

N0IMD:
Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your authorization. QSL?"

N0IMD:
Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your authorization. QSL?"

N0IMD:
Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your authorization. QSL?"

I especially like the little "QSL?" tag at the end. It really marks you as a sharp op.

Dave K8MN

846. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 19:43:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <AR0W8.4357$VP5.197138685@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48760

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D288FE0.CC777357@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Dunno Dave, how many? It's your word against yours!!! It couldn't get
any
> > more complicated than that.
>
> You may finally be on to something. It's my word and my business. Why
> are you attempting to make it your business? What's yours is the worry
> and the indignation. To ease that, you'll need to contact the French
> PTT and the REF.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave said:
> > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> >
F1DR said:
> If they have a F prefix, they can't operate LEGALLY between 50 and 50.2
> MHz. Some french overseas territories can but the prefix is different.
> I will be glad to know the F calls in your log, just to see where they
> are located.

Dave said:
I certainly know the difference between a French overseas territory and
France. I also know that with my antenna pointed to EU and the band
open to EU, I'm not working overseas territories. The stations are
French. They are below 50.2 (usually 50.115 or 50.110). I have never
worked a French station above 50.2 from any of four different countries
in Africa or from Finland.

847. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 20:04:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <x91W8.4365$Cs5.195713265@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48761

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2893DC.31FC366@earthlink.net...

> Have a nice life, Brian.

Thanks Dave, that means a lot coming from you.

> > > Well Dave, as you probably know the french 6m band begins to 50.2 MHz
> > > so we are not bored by CW signals between 50 and 50.2 MHz :-) . So I
> > > hope you don't use SSB frequencies above 50.2 MHz to play CW ?
> > > Anyway I don't beleive in that story that several french hams were
> > > answering to you, because there are very few hams allowed on 6m in
> > > France with the maximal (100 W) power. Others are limited to a little
> > > output power too low to chat with USA.
> >
> > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> >
> > There is no output power on 6m which is too low to work the U.S. I've
> > worked the West Coast of the U.S. from Guinea-Bissau with 7 watts and a
> > three element yagi. By the way, I'm in Tanzania, not the U.S.
> >
> > Dave Heil 5H3US, K8MN
>
> Neat, Brian. So we have a Frenchman who tells me that he doesn't
> believe that I worked anyone. He tells us that there are a few French
> hams permitted 6m with 100w and that others don't have enough power to
> work me. He doesn't represent himself as an official of the French PTT
> and if he was, his target should have been French reg breakers, not a
> Tanzanian licensee working within his authorization. So how I am guilty
> of wrongdoing?

You said that you proceeded to work more French hams out of band after F1DR
told you they were limited to 50.200 and above.

> > Fine. You haven't broken Tanzania regs. You would do it again tomorrow
if
> > you could.
>
> Not from Tanzania. I'm in the U.S., Brian. I haven't broken U.S. regs
> either.

You would knowingly work any ham out of band from wherever you happened to
be licensed (or perhaps not licensed).

> > Your argument is with what you said then versus what you say now.
>
> No, I disagee. My argument is with some goof who blames me for French
> stations operating out of their authorized allocation on 6m--someone who
> has not taken any steps to contact the authorities of the country whose
> amateur's broke their regs.

I have only your word that these things happened. You could get out of this
by just saying that you were pulling my leg.

> > > > > What's all of this to you?
> > > >
> > > > Nothing.
> > >
> > > It must mean something to you since it is the third or fourth time
> > > you've brought it up and strung it out. I'm available to play for as
> > > long as you like.
>
> > It all rests with the actuarial tables.
>
> Many folks live much longer than the actuarial tables. I could be
> around for another 30 or 40 years given the average life span of the
> bulk of my ancestors.

Many don't. Some have to average out the ones who live longer.

> You could be gone tomorrow.

I could be gone before tomorrow gets here.

What has any of this
> to do with your misdirected, self-righteous indignity? Are you within
> your authorization, Brian? Wanna go for who worked me out of band on
> 160m?
>
> Dave K8MN

You're knowingly working amateurs out of band on 160M as well? Shame on
you.

848. Re: On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 20:06:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5b1W8.4367$ZU5.197432580@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48762

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D28944D.A1D2A140@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > Dave:
> >
> > > > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2.
It
> > > > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > > > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the
French
> > > > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really
care
> > > > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure
that
> > > > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> > > >
> >
> > F1DR:
> >
> > > > If they have a F prefix, they can't operate LEGALLY between 50 and
50.2
> > > > MHz. Some french overseas territories can but the prefix is different.
> > > > I will be glad to know the F calls in your log, just to see where they
> > > > are located.
> >
> > Dave:
> >
> > I certainly know the difference between a French overseas territory and
> > France. I also know that with my antenna pointed to EU and the band
> > open to EU, I'm not working overseas territories. The stations are
> > French. They are below 50.2 (usually 50.115 or 50.110). I have never
> > worked a French station above 50.2 from any of four different countries
> > in Africa or from Finland.
>
> --
--
> > ---------------------------------
> >
> > Dave, did you ever bother to confirm whether you were working French
> > bootleggers or not?
>
> Bootleggers sending QSL cards, Brian?
>

> Dave K8MN

PopComm says pirate stations QSL. Why wouldn't bootleggers?

849. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 20:09:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Id1W8.4368$DV5.197474552@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48763

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D284647.EED6E860@hotmail.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > Correct - and the cost is the effort to learn (hopefully) enough to pass
the
> > exam. And, as you point out, you have something to lose. That was my
> > point.
>
> Both the Advanced and Extra exams were effortless for me, Jim. (I was
> drunk as a skunk when I passed my Advanced in the 70's.) Like any other
> license, the worth of a ham license are the privileges granted. Effort
> doesn't matter to the FCC.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

I'm suprised the FCC doesn't have a regulation concerning TUI: testing
under the influence. After all, we gotta keep the riffraff out somehow.
;^)

850. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 20:11:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7g1W8.4371$cV5.197447891@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48764

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2894FF.429EAED9@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D27C8C6.15D47C55@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D279573.8B0C49BA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> > > > > >
> > news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207062123000.16900-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> > > > > > > On 5 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> > > > > > > >In article
> > > > > > > <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207051952350.16395-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
"D.
> > > > > > > >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> > > > > > > >>On Fri, 5 Jul 2002, Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > > > >>>You may very well be right; then again, possibly not. Had
I
> > > > waited, I
> > > > > > would
> > > > > > > >>>have lost 40 years of amateur radio. You can wait if you
wish.
> > > > > > > >>>
> > > > > > > >>>"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > >>>news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
> > > > > > > >>>> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC
> > 2003.
> > > > > > > >>>> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
> > > > > > > >>>> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!
> > > > > > > >>>>
> > > > > > > >>>>You think so? Note that with the elimination of the code
> > element, I
> > > > > > wouldn't
> > > > > > > >>>>be
> > > > > > > >>>>surprised if the question pools for the higher level
licenses
> > become
> > > > > > more
> > > > > > > >>>>difficult.
```

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >I would be very surprised if that happened, at least in the
USA.
> > Look
> > > > > at
> > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > >reductions in written testing that happened with
restructuring -
> > > > fewer
> > > > > > tests
> > > > > > > > > >and fewer total questions for any level of license.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >I think that if/when S25.5 goes away, FCC will simply waive
> > Element 1
> > > > > by
> > > > > > > > > >executive order.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's):
Only
> > 5
> > > > > > > questions!
> > > > > > > > > Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > In the heyday of Morse/CW, guess how fast the code exam was?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Bet you're dying to tell us what you consider the heyday was.
> > > > > > It was the sixties for me. For my pal Ike, it was in the
thirties.
> > For
> > > > > > my friend Bert, the heyday was in the fifities.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > Dave, whatever are you talking about?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I personally didn't have a heyday with the code. Why do you say you
> > did?
> > > > >
> > > > I take you at your word. You were miserable with your experience then
> > > and it colors (colours) your thinking now. I say I had a heyday
because
> > > I did. I enjoyed my Novice experience and morse code. It was all new
> > > and exciting. I have a log book full of QSOs from my Novice days
which
> > > I'm also not going to send you.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > --
> >

> > Dave:
> > > > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2.
It
> > > > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > > > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the
French
> > > > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really
care
> > > > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure
that
> > > > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> > > >
> > >
> >
> > F1DR:
> > > If they have a F prefix, they can't operate LEGALLY between 50 and
50.2
> > > MHz. Some french overseas territories can but the prefix is different.
> > > I will be glad to know the F calls in your log, just to see where they
> > > are located.
> >
> > Dave:
> > I certainly know the difference between a French overseas territory and
> > France. I also know that with my antenna pointed to EU and the band
> > open to EU, I'm not working overseas territories. The stations are
> > French. They are below 50.2 (usually 50.115 or 50.110). I have never
> > worked a French station above 50.2 from any of four different countries
> > in Africa or from Finland.
>
> Is this supposed to be a reponse to my comment or are stuck in the
> "paste" mode? Maybe the French stations were having a heyday.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, apparently French stations can have any kind of day they want. Ditto
Tanzanian stations.

851. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 20:15:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Nj1W8.4376$9Y5.197631046@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48765

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2895F7.2FEA31A6@earthlink.net...

> N0IMD:
> Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your
> authorization. QSL?"
>
> N0IMD:
> Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your
> authorization. QSL?"
>
> N0IMD:
> Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your
> authorization. QSL?"
>
> N0IMD:
> Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your
> authorization. QSL?"
>
>
> I especially like the little "QSL?" tag at the end. It really marks you
> as a sharp op.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, I thought you might need a little help. So if you ever find yourself in that awkward situation again you'll know just what to do.

Good Luck and 73,
Brian/N0iMD

852. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 20:16:51 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D28A1B3.8487FF79@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48766

Brian P Burke wrote:
> I'm suprised the FCC doesn't have a regulation concerning TUI: testing
> under the influence. After all, we gotta keep the riffraff out somehow.

For someone with a MENSA level IQ, just consider it a leveling of the
playing field. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

853. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 20:46:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707164655.23153.00000988@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 48768

In article <20020707080632.01552.00000644@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>ARRL publication prices are the same from surface mail or e-mail
>>as in retail outlets. If shipping charges are required extra cost to
>>a mail order then that is approximately equal or slightly more than
>>local state sales tax. But, a retail ARRL publication outlet has to
>>make a profit to stay in business, so it obtains ARRL publications
>>at a reduced rate...or did you think the retail outlet sells without
>>profit out of the goodness of their hearts?!? :-)
>
>Retailing 101

That's not answering any questions. My last formal Economics
class didn't mention the ARRL. :-)

>>Shipping charges on a $25 ARRL publication can reach $5.
>
>If it's big and heavy, sure. CDs are neither big nor heavy. CDs constitute
>"media" and get the special low postage rate.

According to the ARRL website, the shipping charges on CD-ROMs
are "$5 plus $1 for each additional CD-ROM."

Prove me wrong.

According to the ARRL website, the shipping charges on other
items between $20.01 and $30.00 is $6.00.

That's an additional charge varying from 30% to 20% depending
on item price.

You can prove my original message wrong because my amount
was TOO LOW.

You cannot prove anything else wrong on shipping charges until
the ARRL changes its rates. Go to their own website, click on
"products" then click on "shipping."

You greatly desire making a Big Federal Case out of one thing
in someone's posting, a virtual Battle To The Death in words.
OK, here's your chance.

>> That's
>>a 20 percent extra charge over the retail store price. I don't know
>>of any US state that charges 20 percent sales tax. Do you? :-)
>
>What $25 publication gets $5 shipping?

None. A $25 publication now gets SIX DOLLARS additional shipping charge addition to an order.

That's a 24 percent EXTRA CHARGE. Prove me wrong.

The HRO chain charges a minimum of $6 shipping charges on mail orders but has FREE UPS shipping on orders of $100 or more.

Want to order an official ARRL diamond logo decal? That's cheap at $1 [#1130]. It will cost $4 to ship it. That's a 300 percent increase over the basic price.

How about the official NTS mug? That's only $7 but it will cost $4 extra for shipping. Total price is now $11, not $7, if ordered by mail.

The ARRL is a business. The ARRL is a political action group. The ARRL is a big help to radio amateurs. The ARRL still doesn't have as much as a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.

854. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 20:46:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707164656.23153.00000989@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 48769

In article <3D268B59.3B013A57@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> The old RCA Corporation was sold entire, first to General Electric,
>> then parts of it to other corporations. Did such selling make it
>> "defunct?" The word "defunct" is defined as meaning "dead or
>> extinct." I don't see the "RCA" brand as either extinct or dead.
>
>I worked there when that happened. Rape and pillage is a more
>accurate description. "RCA" went from a real company to a rather
>meaningless trademark. Kinda like "The Fisher" used to be a
>manufacturer of high end hifi sets, now it's a trademark of some
>asian trash consumer products.

As an 8-year former employee of RCA Corporation I will agree
partly with you. Electromagnetic and Aviation Systems Division,
EASD, Van Nuys, CA (my place of employment) began down-
sizing in '74 and continued to around 1978. The only RCA west
coast division was dissolved and the sub-divisions within EASD
absorbed elsewhere in RCA or sold outright to other corporations.

What seems to bother many is that they EXPECT a constancy,
a never-changing-from-marketing-claims impressed on them at
an earlier time to remain forever. Some think of it as a "royalty"
thing, a divine gift or whatever. :-) Ain't no such thing in life or
in business.

The whole point of this "defunct" business was one poor baby
wanting to toss dirt on another's earlier efforts and thus insulting
a lot of radio amateurs for their effort of keeping an independent
ham radio publication alive and well for 22 years. That was
petty and vindictive, but rather standard operating procedure in
that individual's "contributions" to this newsgroup. :-)

By the same logic and extreme literalization methods:

Collins Radio is DEFUNCT in the ham radio business.
There is no more "Collins Radio" in the midwest since they
sold out to Rockwell International and became a division
of that corporation.

Bill Halligan's Chicago-based Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT in
the ham radio business. Hallicrafters is gone, period.

National Radio in New England is DEFUNCT in the ham
radio business. They've reorganized or whatever and when
I last saw them, did only very specialized electronics work
for governments.

Heath Company of the famous "Heathkit" marque, are
DEFUNCT in the electronic kit and ham radio business.
Heath was sold to Zenith, then sold again to another
corporation, moving to the southeast away from Michigan.
They market a nice set of wireless doorbells (we have a
set here) and some minor home security items that
appear to all be made off-shore.

So:

Collins is DEFUNCT. Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT. National
is DEFUNCT. Heath is DEFUNCT. :-)

"They are NOTHING but 'brands.'" :-)

They are all DEFUNCT. DEFUNCT. DEFUNCT. :-)

A lot of the self-important posters in here seem to be DEFUNCT
of any recognition of others doing good things because the want
to diss others out of spite. They are partially DEFUNCT human
beans in their nonsense. :-)

855. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 20:46:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707164657.23153.00000990@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 48767

In article <98_V8.4201$mG1.184148261@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Dave K8MN
>
>Dunno Dave, how many? It's your word against yours!!! It couldn't get any
>more complicated than that.

Big bad Dave has never done anything wrong, will never do anything wrong, and expects the death penalty to apply to anyone else who is imperfect.

He is a credit to the amateur radio service.

:-)

856. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 21:16:12 UTC
From: "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Zf2W8.13335$AK.8572@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 48770

Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Larry.

That's why I'm going to ignore your comment about my being a "Restructured"
CB'er

--
John D Gubernard, K2LSX
k2lsx@arrl.net

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020707111430.07588.00001453@mb-mv.aol.com...
> In article <74xV8.12815$AK.10674@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard,
K2LSX"
> <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
>
> >So all the guys running SSB on 40 meters aren't really HAM's??
>
> John:
>
> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> TRVTH.
>
> >BTW, I'm a General Class, worked up from Novice w/ 5wpm... :-)
>
> Did you have to take a 13 WPM code test for General, or are you just
> a "Restructured" CB'er?
>
> >Just my $.02
>
> If I were you, I wouldn't have bothered.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

857. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 22:13:00 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707181300.11362.00001931@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48771

>CW or CB -- no other choice.

If a radio amateur has designed transmitters and receivers, but does not want to learn code, this automatically makes you a CBer, and not a Ham?

The disgrace to Ham Radio occurs when the test rewards Morse Code skill, but allows you to memorize the questions and answers for the technical licence. Then, your licence class is given for the higher class, when you actually learned code, not the licence class material.

>NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

So, a no-code tech is not a Ham, even with a technician licence? How about the radio amateur experimenters that do not want to learn code?

Also, to be a Ham, you must use strange language, like CQ and 73. You must call your setup a "rig", which must sound cooler than calling it a radio setup.

You also like to scan the dial and call out to various people. You have "contests" on who can contact the most people in a given time period.

I guess, you have to be part of that Ham tradition, no deviations, to be a Ham.

Radio amateurs can be fascinated with getting their own radio designs (or tweaking a kit) on the air and not be interested in the terminology or contesting. So, one can be a radio amateur, but not a Ham.

858. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 22:24:46 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707182446.11362.00001932@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48772

From: Robert Casey
>Oh crap, even I was able to learn 5wpm and I suck when it comes
>to learning "motor skills" type of things.

I probably can learn Morse Code, but I have more important use of my time, like courses towards rf certication. I enjoy rf electronics, which is difficult enough. I don't see how learning code will help that.

>Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

I hope the Morse requirement goes away in 11 months. I will not learn Morse code. I will wait until it goes away before upgrading my licence.

Good thing a technician class gives enough band access for experimentation.

859. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 22:34:10 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707183410.11362.00001935@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48773

From: "Robert Abrams"
> Unlicensed CB-type communications is commonly found in the
>5,6,8,10,12, & 15 mHz bands. Most of it originates from the
>USA and is land based communications.

Why would someone operate unlicenced? You could go to your local VE testing facility, have a one day review session, get tested, and get your licence in one day.

Perhaps the CBer does not know this, or does know this and does not want to be a Ham?

860. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 22:38:20 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707183820.11362.00001937@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48774

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>I agree. The QPC and the VEC need to create another test element called
>"history and nostalgia," require a perfect score, and make it more valuable
>than all the rest of amateur radio combined.

Isn't that what they already do right now with Morse Code? You have to know
Morse code to upgrade your licence.

This is more valuable that the rest of Amateur Radio combined, since you
actually have to know it. To pass the technical elements, you can memorize the
questions and answers.

861. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 22:44:43 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707184443.11362.00001939@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48775

```
>As of June 30, 2002:
>
>Novice - 37,653 (decrease of 11,676)
>Tech - 241,729 (increase of 36,335)
>Tech Plus - 77,881 (decrease of 50,979)
>General - 138,555 (increase of 25,878)
>Advanced - 85,134 (decrease of 14,648)
>Extra - 102,167 (increase of 23,417)
>Total - 683,119 (increase of 8,327)
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
```

How much of this increase is due to indoctrinization of children of Hams?

862. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 23:53:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uihl3maklsc119@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48776

Unfortunately, the ease of the exams nonwithstanding, the examinee might actually have to know something. Although obtaining a codeless tech from ground zero (no knowlege of radio or electronics) might take a week, a lot of folks don't want to put any effort into it. Considering the number of unauthorized stations operating virtually everywhere in HF and the relatively small number of 'busts' by the FCC, I am of the opinion that if one keeps a low profile (running an unauthorized FM station in the FM broadcast band is NOT low profile), you'd have a better chance of winning the lottery than getting caught. I suspect more than a few folks also believe this and thus proceed with whatever they wish to do with little thought of prosecution. You'll find unauthorized transmissions in the marine band - no thought to public safety. As long as *I* can do what I want, to heck with everyone else.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020707183410.11362.00001935@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: "Robert Abrams"
> > Unlicensed CB-type communications is commonly found in the
> >5,6,8,10,12, & 15 mHz bands. Most of it originates from the
> >USA and is land based communications.
>
> Why would someone operate unlicenced? You could go to your local VE
testing
> facility, have a one day review session, get tested, and get your licence
in
> one day.
>
> Perhaps the CBer does not know this, or does know this and does not want
to be
> a Ham?

863. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-07 23:56:46 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uihl8vhmkgjq7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48777

Interesting question. Of the ham friends of mine when I was a teenager,
NONE had any licensed family members. I had an interest in radio and
electronics and a guy from church (Russ, W2ZS sk) got me into amateur radio.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020707184443.11362.00001939@mb-cq.aol.com...
> >As of June 30, 2002:
> >
> >Novice - 37,653 (decrease of 11,676)
> >Tech - 241,729 (increase of 36,335)
> >Tech Plus - 77,881 (decrease of 50,979)
> >General - 138,555 (increase of 25,878)
> >Advanced - 85,134 (decrease of 14,648)
> >Extra - 102,167 (increase of 23,417)
> >Total - 683,119 (increase of 8,327)
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> How much of this increase is due to indoctrinization of children of Hams?

864. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 00:29:34 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D28DCEE.D0AA31D7@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 48778

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <74xV8.12815$AK.10674@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard, K2LSX"
> <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
>
> >So all the guys running SSB on 40 meters aren't really HAM's??
>
> John:
>
> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> TRVTH.

Be careful Larry that someone isn't using a computer program to
send/ receive CW.....

865. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 00:33:24 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D28DDD4.4FBE61B7@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 48779

>
> >NO CODE = KNOW HAM. KNOW CODE = NO HAM.
>
> So, a no-code tech is not a Ham, even with a technician licence? How about the
> radio amateur experimenters that do not want to learn code?
>
> Also, to be a Ham, you must use strange language, like CQ and 73. You must call
> your setup a "rig", which must sound cooler than calling it a radio setup.

Accepted verbal shorthand.

>
>
> You also like to scan the dial and call out to various people. You have
> "contests" on who can contact the most people in a given time period.

Actually you can use contests as a ready supply of quick contacts to test
out antennas and transmitters.

866. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 01:23:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D2913BC.9060703@adelphia.net>
Source record: 48818

Vshah101 wrote:

>>As of June 30, 2002:
>>
>>Novice - 37,653 (decrease of 11,676)
>>Tech - 241,729 (increase of 36,335)
>>Tech Plus - 77,881 (decrease of 50,979)
>>General - 138,555 (increase of 25,878)
>>Advanced - 85,134 (decrease of 14,648)
>>Extra - 102,167 (increase of 23,417)
>>Total - 683,119 (increase of 8,327)
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
> How much of this increase is due to indoctrinization of children of Hams?

Three

- Mike KB3EIA -

867. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 01:31:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020707213141.09139.00000792@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 48780

In article <3D27C54F.20418FF@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>I don't see any requirement in Part 97 for a ham license to cost something
>and/or not be easy to obtain.

Cecil:

Nor do I see anything in Part 97 that says that a ham license should
be given away as some kind of entitlement.

>The only worth of a ham license is the
>privileges granted and those privileges are what someone has to lose.

Unfortunately, the easier it is to obtain these privileges, the less they will
be valued by those who have had those privileges granted to them.

73 de Larry, K3LT

868. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 01:41:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agaqk8mdg0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 48781

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > In article <74xV8.12815$AK.10674@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard, K2LSX"
> > <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
> >
> > >So all the guys running SSB on 40 meters aren't really HAM's??
> >
> > John:
> >
> > They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> > band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> > are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> > they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> > TRVTH.
>
> Be careful Larry that someone isn't using a computer program to
> send/ receive CW.....

You think he couldn't tell the difference? Wrong.

869. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 01:59:57 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <3j6W8.59900$wj4.5144503@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48782

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D28927E.83537601@hotmail.com...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> > band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> > are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> > they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> > TRVTH.
>
> You mean that any ham who dislikes you personally enough to be of the
> opinion that you are not worth talking to is defined to be a CBer?

No, if you cannot do code at 13 wpm you are a Chicken Bander.

KR4AJ

870. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 02:01:55 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <Vk6W8.59915$wj4.5145518@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48783

"Angel G" <cw@badmode.com> wrote in message
news:200275-13842-904775@foorum.com...
>
>
> That will happen in Swiss (GENEVA) where will be the WRC 2003.
>
> Be patient and don't learn that stupid Morse code !
>
> God bless technicians and make die CW-coders. AMEN !!

I can hear the chickens from the Chicken Band clucking already!

de KR4AJ

871. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 02:05:45 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <vo6W8.59945$wj4.5147906@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48784

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D279A9F.7C1CBBF8@hotmail.com...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> > The tip-off to this is 'inversions': some as to sense, P becomes X, and
> > some as to sequence, F and L notably.
>
> I had that problem. Got me into trouble every thing I sent, "good luck".
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

I gotta admit...that's a good one Cecil.
73 de KR4AJ

872. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 02:15:44 UTC
From: Web <WebFx27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OD6W8.77961$7e2.2131225@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 48785

"They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the"

Axe?? The word "ask" refers to a question perhaps you should have
learned English. It would do you better than knowing CW.

If you believe that CW=HAM then I guess you think that
wrestling and Roller Derby are on the level also?

I guess your
just hitting yourself

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020707111430.07588.00001453@mb-mv.aol.com...
> In article <74xV8.12815$AK.10674@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard,
K2LSX"
> <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
>
> >So all the guys running SSB on 40 meters aren't really HAM's??
>
> John:
>
> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> TRVTH.
>
> >BTW, I'm a General Class, worked up from Novice w/ 5wpm... :-)
>
> Did you have to take a 13 WPM code test for General, or are you just
> a "Restructured" CB'er?
>
> >Just my $.02
>
> If I were you, I wouldn't have bothered.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

873. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 03:01:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Vf7W8.4572$rL7.204507178@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48786

```
"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:3j6W8.59900$wj4.5144503@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D28927E.83537601@hotmail.com...
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> > > band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> > > are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If
not,
> > > they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> > > TRVTH.
> >
> > You mean that any ham who dislikes you personally enough to be of the
> > opinion that you are not worth talking to is defined to be a CBer?
>
> No, if you cannot do code at 13 wpm you are a Chicken Bander.
>
> KR4AJ

You should go on the Gong Show with that routine.
```

874. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 03:03:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ii7W8.4575$nK7.204437828@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48787

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D28A1B3.8487FF79@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > I'm suprised the FCC doesn't have a regulation concerning TUI: testing
> > under the influence. After all, we gotta keep the riffraff out somehow.
>
> For someone with a MENSA level IQ, just consider it a leveling of the
> playing field. :-)
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Half your brain tied behind your back?

875. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 03:21:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D290526.5413C58@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48788

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2893DC.31FC366@earthlink.net...
>
> > Have a nice life, Brian.
>
> Thanks Dave, that means a lot coming from you.
> ---
> > > > Well Dave, as you probably know the french 6m band begins to 50.2 MHz
> > > > so we are not bored by CW signals between 50 and 50.2 MHz :-) . So I
> > > > hope you don't use SSB frequencies above 50.2 MHz to play CW ?
> > > > Anyway I don't beleive in that story that several french hams were
> > > > answering to you, because there are very few hams allowed on 6m in
> > > > France with the maximal (100 W) power. Others are limited to a little
> > > > output power too low to chat with USA.
> > >
> > > Interesting. Firstly, I could operate CW all I desire above 50.2. It
> > > is a legal mode there. Secondly, I do NOT operate often above 50.2.
> > > I'm usually between 50.100 and 50.130. That's where all of the French
> > > stations call. I guess they are all there illegaly. I don't really care
> > > if you believe me or not. The fellows are in my log and I'm sure that
> > > WA8JOC has sent them all QSL cards.
> > >
> > > There is no output power on 6m which is too low to work the U.S. I've
> > > worked the West Coast of the U.S. from Guinea-Bissau with 7 watts and a
> > > three element yagi. By the way, I'm in Tanzania, not the U.S.
> > >
> > > Dave Heil 5H3US, K8MN
> >
> > Neat, Brian. So we have a Frenchman who tells me that he doesn't
> > believe that I worked anyone. He tells us that there are a few French
> > hams permitted 6m with 100w and that others don't have enough power to
> > work me. He doesn't represent himself as an official of the French PTT
> > and if he was, his target should have been French reg breakers, not a
> > Tanzanian licensee working within his authorization. So how I am guilty
> > of wrongdoing?
>
> You said that you proceeded to work more French hams out of band after F1DR
> told you they were limited to 50.200 and above.

Brian, You did know that there is no F1DR in the callbook didn't you?
You didn't? Wow! Better check it out.

> > > Fine. You haven't broken Tanzania regs. You would do it again tomorrow
> if
> > > you could.
> >
> > Not from Tanzania. I'm in the U.S., Brian. I haven't broken U.S. regs
> > either.
>
> You would knowingly work any ham out of band from wherever you happened to
> be licensed (or perhaps not licensed).

I've not been out of band while working any ham anywhere I've been in
the world. As far as working those not licensed, how would you or I or
any of us be able to confirm that each station we work is really
licensed? What if you, for example, were to work someone signing F1DR.
Maybe, just to be on the safe side, you should stick to SWLing so as not
to run afoul of the regs.

> > > Your argument is with what you said then versus what you say now.
> >
> > No, I disagee. My argument is with some goof who blames me for French
> > stations operating out of their authorized allocation on 6m--someone who
> > has not taken any steps to contact the authorities of the country whose
> > amateur's broke their regs.
>
> I have only your word that these things happened. You could get out of this
> by just saying that you were pulling my leg.

What is it that I need to get out of? Are you going to corner me with
one of your cat-like moves?

> > > > > > What's all of this to you?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nothing.
> > > > >
> > > > > It must mean something to you since it is the third or fourth time
> > > > > you've brought it up and strung it out. I'm available to play for as
> > > > > long as you like.
> > >
> > > It all rests with the actuarial tables.
> >
> > Many folks live much longer than the actuarial tables. I could be
> > around for another 30 or 40 years given the average life span of the
> > bulk of my ancestors.
>
> Many don't. Some have to average out the ones who live longer.
>
> > You could be gone tomorrow.
>
> I could be gone before tomorrow gets here.
>
> What has any of this
> > to do with your misdirected, self-righteous indignity? Are you within

> > your authorization, Brian? Wanna go for who worked me out of band on
> > 160m?

> You're knowingly working amateurs out of band on 160M as well? Shame > on you.

No, I've never been out of band on 160m either. Maybe you can research
the authorization tables for various countries and cite me for luring
folks to operate their equipment where THEY aren't supposed to be.

Dave K8MN

876. Re: On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 03:24:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2905C0.307FB0E0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48789

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D28944D.A1D2A140@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > Dave, did you ever bother to confirm whether you were working French
> > > bootleggers or not?
> >
> > Bootleggers sending QSL cards, Brian?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> PopComm says pirate stations QSL. Why wouldn't bootleggers?

Does PopCom tell you that the pirate stations send their listeners QSLs
first and include a self-addressed envelope and an IRC or two?
Your stuff gets funnier by the minute.

Dave K8MN

877. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 03:29:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D29071A.22650D2A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48790

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <98_V8.4201$mG1.184148261@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >Dunno Dave, how many? It's your word against yours!!! It couldn't get any
> > >more complicated than that.
>
> Big bad Dave has never done anything wrong, will never do
> anything wrong, and expects the death penalty to apply to
> anyone else who is imperfect.

Is that your read on French stations being "lured" into breaking their
regs by my transmitting where I'm supposed to be?

> He is a credit to the amateur radio service.
>
> :-)

...and you are what again to the amateur radio service?

Dave K8MN

878. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 03:35:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D290860.609B7268@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48791

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Collins is DEFUNCT. Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT. National
> is DEFUNCT. Heath is DEFUNCT. :-)

By Thor, I think you've got it. With the exception of Rockwell Collins,
they are defunct, just like "Ham Radio", "Radio", "Radiocraft" and "R-9"

Dave K8MN

879. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 03:45:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707234502.08903.00002265@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48792

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/07/02 16:46 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020707164656.23153.00000989@mb-fg.aol.com>

>The whole point of this "defunct" business was one poor baby
>wanting to toss dirt on another's earlier efforts and thus insulting
>a lot of radio amateurs for their effort of keeping an independent
>ham radio publication alive and well for 22 years.

Erroneous assessment.

The "business" was all about you, Lennie.

Not Jim Fisk.

Not anyone else.

You.

If you care to try and make such an assertion, YOU are the one casting the stones...not me.

>That was
>petty and vindictive, but rather standard operating procedure in
>that individual's "contributions" to this newsgroup.

And YOUR "contributions" are...???

>Collins Radio is DEFUNCT in the ham radio business.
>There is no more "Collins Radio" in the midwest since they
>sold out to Rockwell International and became a division
>of that corporation.

This is true. Your point is...???

>Bill Halligan's Chicago-based Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT in
>the ham radio business. Hallicrafters is gone, period.

Yes. This also is true. So what?

>National Radio in New England is DEFUNCT in the ham
>radio business. They've reorganized or whatever and when
>I last saw them, did only very specialized electronics work
>for governments.

I don't know these folks, but if they are "defunct", then they are defunct. Again...Your point?

>Heath Company of the famous "Heathkit" marque, are
>DEFUNCT in the electronic kit and ham radio business.
>Heath was sold to Zenith, then sold again to another
>corporation, moving to the southeast away from Michigan.
>They market a nice set of wireless doorbells (we have a
>set here) and some minor home security items that
>appear to all be made off-shore.

Again true, although "Heath" as a company name still exists. They now
sell, among other things, technical training devices. That they are "defunct"
as an Amateur Radio entity is a well known fact.

Still no point being made, Lennie...

>Collins is DEFUNCT. Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT. National
>is DEFUNCT. Heath is DEFUNCT.
>
>"They are NOTHING but 'brands.'"
>
>They are all DEFUNCT. DEFUNCT. DEFUNCT.

In the context that their companies no longer exist, you are correct.

Are we supposed to be "offended", Lennie? I am not. I am sorry they are
no longr in the business, but hey, THAT'S business!

>A lot of the self-important posters in here seem to be DEFUNCT
>of any recognition of others doing good things because the want
>to diss others out of spite. They are partially DEFUNCT human
>beans in their nonsense.

"...in my opinion" was the proper ending for that sentence, Lennie.

Care to favor us with what the point of this was, Lennie? If it was
supposed to "offend" us, i am sorry, but you missed. At least as far as I am
concerned.

Unlike you, I ACCEPT the fact that those entities no longer exist...At
least as far as Amateur Radio is concerned. They ARE "defunct".

880. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 03:49:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707234923.08903.00002268@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 48793

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/07/02 16:46 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id:

>As an 8-year former employee of RCA Corporation...

Ya know, Lennie, you have made a "point" of both myself and others not being in any one "job" for a career length periods (despite retired status, which I guess doesn't impress you), however it comes to mind that you have never been at any one "job" for any significant period of time.

Did you EVER have a "job" that was more than a few years in length, or was that about all of you they could tolerate short of firing you?

881. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 04:37:41 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D291715.6ABD8403@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48794

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >I don't see any requirement in Part 97 for a ham license to cost something
> >and/or not be easy to obtain.
>
> Nor do I see anything in Part 97 that says that a ham license should
> be given away as some kind of entitlement.

Anybody capable of meeting the requirements gets the privileges whether
they already know the material or have to work their butt off to learn it.

> >The only worth of a ham license is the
> >privileges granted and those privileges are what someone has to lose.
>
> Unfortunately, the easier it is to obtain these privileges, the less they will
> be valued by those who have had those privileges granted to them.

That's not true, Larry. If the privileges don't change, the value of the
license doesn't change. Ease or difficulty in obtaining those privileges
is irrelevant to the value of the license.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

882. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 04:39:21 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D291779.6597D3CA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48795

Dan Finn wrote:
> No, if you cannot do code at 13 wpm you are a Chicken Bander.

What if they can do 13 wpm but just don't want to talk to Larry?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

883. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 05:15:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708011510.16009.00000873@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 48796

In article <3D29071A.22650D2A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Is that your read on French stations being "lured" into breaking their
>regs by my transmitting where I'm supposed to be?
>
>> He is a credit to the amateur radio service.
>>
>> :-)
>
>...and you are what again to the amateur radio service?

I don't work bootleggers... :-)

884. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 07:35:55 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2944a4_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

1. You are asked to demonstrate that you can switch on
a bathroom ("restroom" for the pig ignorant Yanks; the language
is _ENGLISH_ after all) light, poke your head round the
door and then shout, "Hello!".

2. You are sent a Morse character by sound. You've never heard it
before, so you look it up on a crib sheet and ask the examiner, "Is
it "A"?" He says "No, try again" You say "Is it "N"?". He says, "yup -
congratulations - you've passed the Morse test.

Neither is a skill, and neither is even worth examining, any
more than you'd ask someone to take a test to see whether
or not they can pick their nose.

(As a policy issue, perhaps it is worth a censure motion against
Britain at WARC 2003 for so blatantly abusing the concept of
a Morse test?)

Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:QS_V8.4220$AU1.184945699@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ag9kh0$5fn$0@208.206.142.94...
>
> > Very much agreed.
>
> OK, brilliant Dick, what are the qualifications?
>
>

885. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 10:08:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708060840.25425.00000366@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 48798

In article <20020707164655.23153.00000988@mb-fg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020707080632.01552.00000644@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:

Lenover21 wrote:

>>>ARRL publication prices are the same from surface mail or e-mail
>>>as in retail outlets. If shipping charges are required extra cost to
>>>a mail order then that is approximately equal or slightly more than
>>>local state sales tax. But, a retail ARRL publication outlet has to
>>>make a profit to stay in business, so it obtains ARRL publications
>>>at a reduced rate...or did you think the retail outlet sells without
>>>profit out of the goodness of their hearts?!? :-)
>>
>>Retailing 101
>
>That's not answering any questions.

The only question you posed was rhetorical.

ARRL's publishing operation is using a certain retailing strategy. Obviously
they want people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at all
possible - that's one way they support stores that sell to radio amateurs and
prospective radio amateurs.

Is that bad?

>>>Shipping charges on a $25 ARRL publication can reach $5.
>>
>>If it's big and heavy, sure. CDs are neither big nor heavy. CDs constitute
>>"media" and get the special low postage rate.
>
>According to the ARRL website, the shipping charges on CD-ROMs
>are "$5 plus $1 for each additional CD-ROM."

Postage and shipping are not the same thing. Shipping includes things like the
cost of packing materials, labor, general overhead and profit.

>Prove me wrong.

Why?
>
>According to the ARRL website, the shipping charges on other
>items between $20.01 and $30.00 is $6.00.

So the shipping on a $25 item is $6, not $5.
>
>That's an additional charge varying from 30% to 20% depending
>on item price.

So? What's your point? It's obviously a retailing strategy that encourages
sales through retail outlets rather than mail order, and encourages retailers
to sell ARRL publications.

It's also a strategy that simplifies the calculations and equalizes the cost
for US customers. No "slightly higher west of the Rockies" stuff.

ARRL also runs specials from time to time, using various forms of mail order
discounts.
>
>You can prove my original message wrong because my amount
>was TOO LOW.

Why do that? You've already done it for me.
>
>You cannot prove anything else wrong on shipping charges until
>the ARRL changes its rates.
> Go to their own website, click on
>"products" then click on "shipping."

Why are you so worked up about all this?
>
>You greatly desire making a Big Federal Case out of one thing
>in someone's posting, a virtual Battle To The Death in words.
>OK, here's your chance.

You're mistaking me for someone else.
>
>>> That's
>>>a 20 percent extra charge over the retail store price. I don't know
>>>of any US state that charges 20 percent sales tax. Do you? :-)

Delaware has no sales tax at all, and it's not a long or expensive drive from
Baltimore, Philly or the surrounding areas.
>>
>>What $25 publication gets $5 shipping?
>
>None. A $25 publication now gets SIX DOLLARS additional
>shipping charge addition to an order.

There. You did it for me.
>
>That's a 24 percent EXTRA CHARGE. Prove me wrong.

Is there a point to all this?
>
>The HRO chain charges a minimum of $6 shipping charges on
>mail orders but has FREE UPS shipping on orders of $100 or
>more.

That's nice.
>
>Want to order an official ARRL diamond logo decal? That's
>cheap at $1 [#1130]. It will cost $4 to ship it. That's a 300
>percent increase over the basic price.
>
>How about the official NTS mug? That's only $7 but it will
>cost $4 extra for shipping. Total price is now $11, not $7,
>if ordered by mail.

And your point is? Do you have a suggestion as to how the ARRL should price its shipping? How does Amazon do it?

>The ARRL is a business. The ARRL is a political action
>group. The ARRL is a big help to radio amateurs.

Finally, something we can agree on.

>The ARRL still doesn't have as much as a quarter of all licensed US
>radio amateurs.

ARRL membership has never been more than about a third of US hams. So what?
Check out JARL membership as a fraction of Japanese hams - pitiful.
Unless membership in a national amateur radio organization is mandatory, or nearly so, many if not most hams won't bother to join.

What was the peak circulation of the magazine 'Ham Radio' in its heyday, in total numbers and as a percentage of licensed hams at the time? What was the average over the 22 years of its existence?

What percentage of all licensed US hams are members of NCI?

--

Note that Lenover21 does not use a single diminutive or insulting name in the entire post. No new smileys either. Actually a civil response, except for some shouting.

Jim, N2EY

886. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:14:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708071425.23765.00004016@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48799

From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)

>To pass the technical elements, you can memorize the
>questions and answers.

To pass the Morse code test, one memorizes the characters. It is the only part of the test for which it is easier to memorize than to learn. In fact, memorization is the only technique that works to learn the code.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

887. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:15:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IveW8.2626$ex.96058512@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48800

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D290526.5413C58@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> Brian, You did know that there is no F1DR in the callbook didn't you?
> You didn't? Wow! Better check it out.

Unfortunately he's not in my log. You go ahead and QSL him

> I've not been out of band while working any ham anywhere I've been in
> the world. As far as working those not licensed, how would you or I or
> any of us be able to confirm that each station we work is really
> licensed? What if you, for example, were to work someone signing F1DR.
> Maybe, just to be on the safe side, you should stick to SWLing so as not
> to run afoul of the regs.

If I were informed that F1DR is a slim, why would I work him?

> > I have only your word that these things happened. You could get out of
this
> > by just saying that you were pulling my leg.
>
> What is it that I need to get out of? Are you going to corner me with
> one of your cat-like moves?

Knowingly working French amateurs out of band. F1DR replied directly to
you. You responded directly to him. Then you say you know nothing about
it. What's that called?

> > You're knowingly working amateurs out of band on 160M as well? Shame >
on you.
>
> No, I've never been out of band on 160m either. Maybe you can research
> the authorization tables for various countries and cite me for luring
> folks to operate their equipment where THEY aren't supposed to be.

Once you are told they are out of band, you'll work them anyway.

888. Re: On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:17:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3xeW8.2627$4s.95762500@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48702

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2905C0.307FB0E0@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D28944D.A1D2A140@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > > Dave, did you ever bother to confirm whether you were working French
> > > > bootleggers or not?
> > >
> > > Bootleggers sending QSL cards, Brian?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > PopComm says pirate stations QSL. Why wouldn't bootleggers?
>
> Does PopCom tell you that the pirate stations send their listeners QSLs
> first and include a self-addressed envelope and an IRC or two?
> Your stuff gets funnier by the minute.

You're the sad one. Keep dodging.
```

889. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:17:24 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708071724.23765.00004017@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48701

From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)

>> Unlicensed CB-type communications is commonly found in the
>>5,6,8,10,12, & 15 mHz bands. Most of it originates from the
>>USA and is land based communications.

>Why would someone operate unlicenced? You could go to your local VE testing
>facility, have a one day review session, get tested, and get your licence in
>one day.

Most people take longer than a day to learn the material to pass the test.
Seeing as this was a discussion about HF operating, what technique do these
people allegedly use to learn the code in a day?

What type of license that permits operation on 5, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 15 MHz is
issued after passing an exam at a local VE session?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

890. Re: On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:19:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708071910.23765.00004018@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48703

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dave, did you ever bother to confirm whether you were working French
>bootleggers or not?

Do you confirm whether you are working US bootleggers every time you operate?
Or is this something you expect of Dave, but are not willing to do for
yourself?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

891. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:21:14 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708072114.23765.00004019@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48704

From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net

>No, if you cannot do code at 13 wpm you are a Chicken Bander.

The FCC is of a different opinion.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

892. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:22:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708072240.23765.00004020@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48705

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Be careful Larry that someone isn't using a computer program to
>> send/ receive CW.....

>You think he couldn't tell the difference? Wrong.

I knew a ham (now SK) that used a keyboard and computer to send Morse code, but copied by ear. How would Larry know the difference?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

893. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:24:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708072421.23765.00004021@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48706

From: "Web" WebFx27@hotmail.com

>I guess your
>just hitting yourself

Larry has admitted that he says such things just to stir up discussion here.
He enjoys watching people get as upset about life as he is. Taking everything
he says in that vein puts it all into the correct perspective.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

894. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:27:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708072718.23765.00004022@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48707

From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net

>The reality is that the license should mean something - regardless of what
>testing elements are included in it. If you don't have to put forth any
>effort, if the license is free, you'll likely end up with something that
>sounds like 11 meters does today.

Or like parts of the ham bands sound today... Do you think those people who have been getting Riley's attention didn't put in enough effort when they got their licenses? Does that explain their behaviour?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

895. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:29:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708072910.23765.00004023@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48708

From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net

> I dropped my
>tickets around 1981 and decided to get back in the game in 1993. No study
>(and I hadn't copied CW since 1969 except for the CW that was sent in the
>movie 'Fantastic Voyage') and I managed to wheeze my way through the whole
>process in 1993.

Then you got relicensed without effort. Has this affected your behaviour on
the bands the way you claim it might affect others?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

896. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:30:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708073033.23765.00004024@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48709

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Unfortunately, the easier it is to obtain these privileges, the less they
>will
>be valued by those who have had those privileges granted to them.

If you found a winning lottery ticket laying on the ground, would it be
valueless to you compared to the one you bought that didn't win? The first
came to you with no effort.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

897. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:35:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708073538.23765.00004027@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48710

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> >Who is Jay Hare?
>>
>> I don't know. I never met him.

>He was cited at the end of -your- list of QST articles.

I didn't post a list of "my" articles, Brian. I posted a URL that you could use to look up articles by authors named "Hare."

I figured that you could figure out that "Jay" Hare is not the same person as "Ed" Hare, especially considering the date the article was written. I apologize for thinking that you could figure it out.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

898. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:40:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708074031.23765.00004029@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48711

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ed, you would answer the question if Dave's rep weren't on the line. Why do
>you feel you must protect Dave at all costs?

I don't. You asked me whether I would continue to work bootleggers if I knew they were bootleggers and I gave you a very throrough answer, then a simpler one when you didn't understand the first one. I could care less who Dave works when he operates overseas. I am not sure why you do.

>This is part of the definition of Cronyism, and it is, of course, a double
>standard.

If it comforts you to think that my several posts in response to your question were not an answer and that I am looking to protect Dave, you are welcome to your dillusion. If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your business, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

899. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:41:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708074148.23765.00004031@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48712

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> I can tell you that I wouldn't obsess about it on a newsgroup, if that
>helps.

>Cronyism.

Okay, I'll bite. In your obviously twisted view of this, why do you think that
Dave is my crony?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

900. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:43:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708074332.23765.00004032@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48713

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Cronyism at its worst.

A new obsession for you, Brian? :-) Why do you think my opinion that nothing he said destroyed your reputation to be "cronyism?"

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

901. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:47:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708074730.23765.00004033@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48714

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me is licensed
>to
>> operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your unwritten law?
>
>Nor do I.

But you apparently expect others to, eh?

> But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to are out of
>band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm within my band
>limits?

Has this ever happened to you?

>When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz linked 2M
>repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just because you are
>within your operating privelege?

That has never happened to me.

>You and Dave say YES to both questions!

No, I have not said YES to both questions, Brian. You proclaimed that my
two-post response to that question was not an answer, then a few posts later,
your delusion has changed that to a YES?

>And then question my reputation.

Another delusion, Brian; I didn't question your reputation.

Must be more "What was said; what Brian heard" sorts of things.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

902. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:49:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708074958.23765.00004034@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48715

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>I broke no amateur radio regulations and operated
>my station in accordance with Tanzanian law. What's all of this to you?

Cronyism, but the way he keeps repeating that word in his posts.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

903. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:51:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708075100.23765.00004035@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48716

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> What's all of this to you?

>Nothing.

You sure are making much ado about it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

904. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 11:52:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708075238.23765.00004038@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48717

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Try this instead: "The F5 station, please confirm your within your
>authorization. QSL?"

Have you ever said this to any station in your on-the-air activities, Brian?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

905. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:00:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708080023.23765.00004039@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48718

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>>So it's a bet? Give me ANY address in the entire world, Steve, and
>>I will request reprints from that address.

>OkieDokie....POB 663, Decherd, Tennessee 37324.

>When you get reprints of "Ham Radio" amgazine fromTHIS address, I will buy
>you TWO lobster dinners.

That wasn't the bet you just gave your okiedokie to, Steve. He wagered that he could request reprints, not that he would get them.

> By the way...your attempt to slip the "request anything" priviso
>disgarded..

What "slip in?" That was part of his original statement. I wondered who would end up suckered in.

>You go right ahead and
>send a check for $100.00 made out to "Steven Robeson" at that address and
>wait
>for your reprints to arrive, OK?

Now, YOU are slipping in the $100 priviso, Steve.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

906. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:02:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708080206.23765.00004040@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48719

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>>That wasn't the bet, Steve. I don't have to *get* reprints.
>
> Sorry, Cecil...I don't fall for bogus "word play" bets THAT easily.

Sure you did. You said "Okie Dokie" to the bet, just as stated. The correct
use of words is not "word play."

>Pay up for what, Cecil? I clearly stated that I wasn't playing your word
>game drill.

You clearly said "Okie Dokie." Do you allege that doesn't mean "yes?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

907. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:03:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708080342.23765.00004041@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48720

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> Collins is DEFUNCT. Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT. National
>> is DEFUNCT. Heath is DEFUNCT. :-)

>By Thor, I think you've got it. With the exception of Rockwell Collins,
>they are defunct, just like "Ham Radio", "Radio", "Radiocraft" and "R-9"

If memory serves, Heathkit is still in the educational kits business.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

908. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:10:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708081042.23765.00004044@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48721

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Prove the ARRL told it 12 years ago.

By my recollection, the use of Farnsworth timing in ARRL-VEC-administered tests was not a secret. If your recollection is different, you are welcome to prove me wrong.

Just a few fast examples:

Dec 1995 QST 74 Farnsworth Technique (sidebar to Product Review) (Product Review)
Article: http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr9512.pdf (1,269,918 bytes)
Keywords: MORSE TEACHING Bloom, Jon, KE3Z
Apr 1990 QEX 8 A Standard for Morse Timing Using the Farnsworth Technique Bloom, Jon, KE3Z
Apr 1990 QEX 8 The ARRL Morse Transmission Timing Standard (sidebar to A Standard for Morse Timing Using the Farnsworth Technique) Bloom, Jon, KE3Z

Wanna' bet that the discussion of Farnsworth timing is found in the license manuals of that era?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

909. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:38:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agc14o$b4j$0@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 48722

Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> It all rests with the actuarial tables.

One DOES wonder about someone who has such a longterm fixation on the actuarial tables. You feeling all right, Gadfly? Had any serious med problems? Shall we have K4YZ czech you out? I *know* you're not bright enough to be involved in the insurance industry. Puzzling.....

910. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:41:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agc19l$b4j$1@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 48723

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> > >I don't see any requirement in Part 97 for a ham license to cost something
> > >and/or not be easy to obtain.
> >
> > Nor do I see anything in Part 97 that says that a ham license should
> > be given away as some kind of entitlement.
>
> Anybody capable of meeting the requirements gets the privileges whether
> they already know the material or have to work their butt off to learn it.

But we already knew that. Somewhere along the way themhad to invest
the time and effort to do it. We know, it was a no-brainer for some, not
so for others.

911. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:48:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agc1np$bt8$0@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 48724

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>
> Once you are told they are out of band, you'll work them anyway.

So Dave should believe a poster who is clearly fraudulant. You prefer
to believe that fraudulent poster rather than Dave. Shows what you know
and where you're coming from.

912. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:53:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agc1vkcvb0@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 48725

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Be careful Larry that someone isn't using a computer program to
> >> send/ receive CW.....
>
> >You think he couldn't tell the difference? Wrong.
>
> I knew a ham (now SK) that used a keyboard and computer to send Morse code, but
> copied by ear. How would Larry know the difference?

Sometimes he won't. Much or most of the time one can tell the difference
in the way characters and spacing comes out. Can't you? Few CW ops are
machines.

913. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:54:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agc224cvb1@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 48726

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: "Web" WebFx27@hotmail.com
>
> >I guess your
> >just hitting yourself
>
> Larry has admitted that he says such things just to stir up discussion here.
> He enjoys watching people get as upset about life as he is.

Larry's not the only one upset with what has happened to ham radio, by
several hundred thousand.

914. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:56:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agc25fcvb2@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 48727

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
>
> >The reality is that the license should mean something - regardless of what
> >testing elements are included in it. If you don't have to put forth any
> >effort, if the license is free, you'll likely end up with something that
> >sounds like 11 meters does today.
>
> Or like parts of the ham bands sound today... Do you think those people who
> have been getting Riley's attention didn't put in enough effort when they got
> their licenses?

If you think the did its because you don't know the facts.

Does that explain their behaviour? Sometimes otherwise intelligent
people get a bit stupid. Look at Cecil.

915. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 12:57:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agc27bcvb3@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 48728

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >Unfortunately, the easier it is to obtain these privileges, the less they
> >will
> >be valued by those who have had those privileges granted to them.
>
> If you found a winning lottery ticket laying on the ground, would it be
> valueless to you compared to the one you bought that didn't win? The first
> came to you with no effort.
>

Can you say "windfall"?

916. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 13:00:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agc2cmcvb4@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 48729

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> What's all of this to you?
>
> >Nothing.
>
> You sure are making much ado about it.
>

That happens to those who think they have nothing better to do.

917. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:07:43 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D299CAF.12C08900@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48730

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I knew a ham (now SK) that used a keyboard and computer to send Morse code, but
> > copied by ear. How would Larry know the difference?
>
> Sometimes he won't. Much or most of the time one can tell the difference
> in the way characters and spacing comes out. Can't you? Few CW ops are
> machines.

An electronic keyer is a machine. How do you tell the difference between
electronic machines? Would you bet $1000 that you can tell the difference
most of the time?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

918. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:08:36 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D299CE4.946BC4B9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48731

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Larry's not the only one upset with what has happened to ham radio, by
> several hundred thousand.

Name them.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

919. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:12:00 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D299DB0.4F0BC851@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48732

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Does that explain their behaviour? Sometimes otherwise intelligent
> people get a bit stupid. Look at Cecil.

I'm only human, Dick. Do you never get a bit stupid? Which reminds
me, thanks for making me look so knowledgable over there on
r.r.a.antenna.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

920. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:13:06 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D299DF2.876E9F2F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48733

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > If you found a winning lottery ticket laying on the ground, would it be
> > valueless to you compared to the one you bought that didn't win? The first
> > came to you with no effort.
>
> Can you say "windfall"?

In that case, my Advanced and Extra tickets were virtually windfalls.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

921. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:33:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D29A2CB.A5E0BB73@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48734

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D29071A.22650D2A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Is that your read on French stations being "lured" into breaking their
> >regs by my transmitting where I'm supposed to be?

Lack of comment noted.

> >> He is a credit to the amateur radio service.
> >>
> >> :-)
> >
> >...and you are what again to the amateur radio service?
>
> I don't work bootleggers... :-)

That's two of us. Come to think of it, you don't work anybody, do you?
You could qualify AS a bootlegger though.

Dave K8MN

922. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:39:52 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <agc87gdpa1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 48740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2944a4_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> 1. You are asked to demonstrate that you can switch on
> a bathroom ("restroom" for the pig ignorant Yanks; the language
> is _ENGLISH_ after all) light, poke your head round the
> door and then shout, "Hello!".
>
> 2. You are sent a Morse character by sound. You've never heard it
> before, so you look it up on a crib sheet and ask the examiner, "Is
> it "A"?" He says "No, try again" You say "Is it "N"?". He says, "yup -
> congratulations - you've passed the Morse test.
>
> Neither is a skill, and neither is even worth examining, any
> more than you'd ask someone to take a test to see whether
> or not they can pick their nose.
>
> (As a policy issue, perhaps it is worth a censure motion against
> Britain at WARC 2003 for so blatantly abusing the concept of
> a Morse test?)
>

This came from the Newbury & District Amateur Radio Society
web site at http://www.nadars.org.uk/ . This is the FAQ section
about the foundation licence course that they offer.

I got tired of reading all the 'white washing' about the M3 class
license and went searching for some solid facts.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

Q. How much does the course cost ?
A. £15. In return you get two full days at the course. A Morse assesment (Which is £5 if taken on it's own). An RSGB book produced especially for this course. All test fees.

Q. Do I need to book my place on the course or pay a deposit ?
A. Yes & Yes. A £5 deposit is required. In return you will get your copy of the RSGB foundation licence course book, so you can read it before you attend the course.

Q. Do I still have to pay for a licence ?
A. Yes. An amateur radio licence costs £15 per year. Free for under 21's

Q. Do I need to bring anything ?
A. Yes. Bring photo ID, calculators are not needed, but pens / pencils are.

Q. Is there an age limit ?
A. No.

Q. What hours does the course run from / to ?
A. 9am to 5pm. There will be a radio station set-up at the course, so time after 5pm will also be available to use the station.

Q. Is there an exam ?
A. There is a Morse code assessment (which is easy, even if you have never had any experience of Morse). Also, there is a multiple choice test at the end of the course. If you attend the course you should have an excellent chance of passing the test.

Q. Do I need to join the Newbury club or the RSGB ?
A. No. But if you live near Newbury, you should think about joining the club as we have got a lot to offer you. there is also a reduction for the first years membership fee. The RSGB is our national society and provides some excellent services for members.

Q. Do I get a certificate ?
A. Yes. After completing the course.

Q. What sort of radio do I need and how much do they cost ?
A. Foundation licence holders are not allowed to make their own transmitters, so you have to buy commercially made equipment. HF radios let you talk to the world, new radios range from £500 to to £5000 and secondhand starts at around £75. VHF radios are for more local contacts, new radios range from £50 to £1000, with secondhand sets starting as low as £20.

Q. How many people can I speak to ?
A. There are around 60,000 radio hams in the UK and about 2,000,000 worldwide.

Q. What is the RAE ?
A. The RAE (Radio Amateurs Examination) is the traditional amateur radio exam. This test is much harder than the foundation course, but in return you get a better class of licence. After you have been on the air for a while with a foundation licence, you may like to consider taking the RAE.

Q. What about the 5 words per minute Morse test ?
A. Traditionally to have access to the HF bands you had to pass a Morse test at a specified speed. This requirement was in place as we were sharing some of our bands with marine stations that still used Morse code. It is still a requirement to pass this Morse test to get a full class A licence, though this is likely to change. For the foundation licence you do the "Morse assessment" instead.

Q. What is the Morse assessment like ?
A. It is a Morse test, which runs at such a slow speed that you have got time to look up the sounds on a chart. For example you hear "dot dash" and you are given enough time to look at a chart and see that "dot dash" is Morse code for the letter A. The same sort of thing happens in reverse and you transmit some code back to the assessor.

Q. Does anyone use Morse code these days ?
A. Most certainly. It is one of the most efficient modes of communication available to a radio ham. Simple equipment can be used and often contacts are made in Morse, when no other signal would get through. Even though you don't HAVE to learn Morse, you may well find that it will be something that appeals to you once you get on the air.

923. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:45:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D29A569.7871D505@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48735

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D290526.5413C58@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Brian, You did know that there is no F1DR in the callbook didn't you?
> > You didn't? Wow! Better check it out.
>
> Unfortunately he's not in my log. You go ahead and QSL him

There'd be two things to stop me: 1) I've never worked him. 2) He isn't
in the Callbook.

> > I've not been out of band while working any ham anywhere I've been in
> > the world. As far as working those not licensed, how would you or I or
> > any of us be able to confirm that each station we work is really
> > licensed? What if you, for example, were to work someone signing F1DR.
> > Maybe, just to be on the safe side, you should stick to SWLing so as not
> > to run afoul of the regs.
>
> If I were informed that F1DR is a slim, why would I work him?

Not just F1DR, Brian. Anyone you hear on any band could be a bootlegger.
Better play it safe.

> > > I have only your word that these things happened. You could get out of
> this
> > > by just saying that you were pulling my leg.
> >
> > What is it that I need to get out of? Are you going to corner me with
> > one of your cat-like moves?
>
> Knowingly working French amateurs out of band.

I wasn't out of band.

> F1DR replied directly to you.

There isn't any F1DR. Someone who sez he's F1DR replied to me. You saw
my response. I wasn't out of band.

> You responded directly to him. Then you say you know nothing about > it. What's that
called?

Forgetfulness? I've not been in Tanzania for over two years. Do you
remember everything you've said or written in two years. In what way

does my response to the make-believe F1DR change what I've said about my actions? What is any of this to you, Brian Burke, a non-resident of Tanzania or of France on a matter in which you are not involved? You've not even attempted to address your indignity to those who broke their country's amateur radio regulations?

QSL?

Dave K8MN

> > > You're knowingly working amateurs out of band on 160M as well? Shame >
> on you.
> >
> > No, I've never been out of band on 160m either. Maybe you can research
> > the authorization tables for various countries and cite me for luring
> > folks to operate their equipment where THEY aren't supposed to be.
>
> Once you are told they are out of band, you'll work them anyway.

924. Re: On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:47:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D29A611.87A5A13A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48736

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2905C0.307FB0E0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D28944D.A1D2A140@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Dave, did you ever bother to confirm whether you were working French
> > > > > > bootleggers or not?
> > > > >
> > > > > Bootleggers sending QSL cards, Brian?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > PopComm says pirate stations QSL. Why wouldn't bootleggers?
> > >
> > > Does PopCom tell you that the pirate stations send their listeners QSLs
> > > first and include a self-addressed envelope and an IRC or two?
> > > Your stuff gets funnier by the minute.
> >
> > You're the sad one. Keep dodging.

I'm sad because you post a poorly thought out response? That doesn't
make any more sense than your idea about pirate stations QSLing.
QSL?

Dave K8MN

925. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:53:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D29A77B.E168EFB2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48737

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >I broke no amateur radio regulations and operated
> >my station in accordance with Tanzanian law. What's all of this to you?
>
> Cronyism, but the way he keeps repeating that word in his posts.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

He must know about the money, the Order of the Wouff Hong and the stuff
buried on the old Maxim farm near Farmington.

Dave K8MN

926. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:56:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D29A810.7C6DCB82@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48738

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> Collins is DEFUNCT. Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT. National
> >> is DEFUNCT. Heath is DEFUNCT. :-)
>
> >By Thor, I think you've got it. With the exception of Rockwell Collins,
> >they are defunct, just like "Ham Radio", "Radio", "Radiocraft" and "R-9"
>
> If memory serves, Heathkit is still in the educational kits business.

It is fine with me if Len's list grows shorter :)

Dave K8MN

927. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 14:58:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D29A8A8.22075D25@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48739

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> >
> > Once you are told they are out of band, you'll work them anyway.
>
> So Dave should believe a poster who is clearly fraudulant. You prefer
> to believe that fraudulent poster rather than Dave. Shows what you know
> and where you're coming from.

He'd better check to see if I'm fraudulent. I might not be K8MN and
might have never been in Tanzania or worked a French station on 6m.

Dave K8MN

928. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 15:42:47 UTC
From: ccox@chopped-ham-filtre.uhc.com.invalid
Message-ID: <agcbtn$d0d$1@gabriel.uhc.com>
Source record: 48741
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In uk.radio.amateur KA8DDZ <ka8ddz@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I was drawing a similarity to the senario in the states of lesser or easy
> exams, I assume the posters concerns were that the
> exam for an M3 class or call prefix were less than he thought appropriate.
> If that is not the case then explain to me what point I missed.

> Tim ka8ddz

I suspect that the sentiment was similar to comparing a tech to an extra however, the difference is that there really have been those two classes available in G-land since the very early 70's. Actually, the difference between the old CLass A and Class B licenses was more akin to the difference between a 12WPM and a no-code Extra as the morse test was the only thing that set one beside the other.

The new Foundation Licence is vastly more elementary than the old US novice test. The morse assessment is truly a joke and can in no way be considered any test of morse sending or receiving competence.

Actually, I take back that previous sentence; the morse assessment would be sufficient for someone who only wanted to take part in the QRSS (ultra-slow speed morse) experiments on the 136kHz LF band!

Well, perhaps...
--
Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
UnitedHealthGroup, Inc., MN10-W116, UNIX Services & Consulting
6300 Olson Memorial Highway, Golden Valley, MN 55427
email: ccox@uhc.com (work) chrisc@chris.org (home)

929. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 16:03:43 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d29b78c_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 48742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

As you can see, the effort necessary to get a Foundation (CB) licence is two days - from no interest nor background whatsoever.

What do you learn in two days? Why - to switch something on and say, "Hello". There's nothing in such a course that deserve the respect of any Radio Ham.

The "Morse Assessment" as you can see, is a travesty of any international requirement.

It's a CB licence, fair and square.

KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message news:agc87gdpa1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
>
> "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d2944a4_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > 1. You are asked to demonstrate that you can switch on
> > a bathroom ("restroom" for the pig ignorant Yanks; the language
> > is _ENGLISH_ after all) light, poke your head round the
> > door and then shout, "Hello!".
> >
> > 2. You are sent a Morse character by sound. You've never heard it
> > before, so you look it up on a crib sheet and ask the examiner, "Is
> > it "A"?" He says "No, try again" You say "Is it "N"?". He says, "yup -
> > congratulations - you've passed the Morse test.
> >
> > Neither is a skill, and neither is even worth examining, any
> > more than you'd ask someone to take a test to see whether
> > or not they can pick their nose.
> >
> > (As a policy issue, perhaps it is worth a censure motion against
> > Britain at WARC 2003 for so blatantly abusing the concept of
> > a Morse test?)
> >
>
> This came from the Newbury & District Amateur Radio Society
> web site at http://www.nadars.org.uk/ . This is the FAQ section
> about the foundation licence course that they offer.
>
> I got tired of reading all the 'white washing' about the M3 class
> license and went searching for some solid facts.
>

> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
> ---
>
> Q. How much does the course cost ?
> A. £15. In return you get two full days at the course. A Morse assesment
> (Which is £5 if taken on it's own). An RSGB book produced especially for
> this course. All test fees.
>
> Q. Do I need to book my place on the course or pay a deposit ?
> A. Yes & Yes. A £5 deposit is required. In return you will get your copy
of
> the RSGB foundation licence course book, so you can read it before you
> attend the course.
>
> Q. Do I still have to pay for a licence ?
> A. Yes. An amateur radio licence costs £15 per year. Free for under 21's
>
> Q. Do I need to bring anything ?
> A. Yes. Bring photo ID, calculators are not needed, but pens / pencils
are.
>
> Q. Is there an age limit ?
> A. No.
>
> Q. What hours does the course run from / to ?
> A. 9am to 5pm. There will be a radio station set-up at the course, so time
> after 5pm will also be available to use the station.
>
> Q. Is there an exam ?
> A. There is a Morse code assessment (which is easy, even if you have never
> had any experience of Morse). Also, there is a multiple choice test at the
> end of the course. If you attend the course you should have an excellent
> chance of passing the test.
>
> Q. Do I need to join the Newbury club or the RSGB ?
> A. No. But if you live near Newbury, you should think about joining the
club
> as we have got a lot to offer you. there is also a reduction for the first
> years membership fee. The RSGB is our national society and provides some
> excellent services for members.
>
> Q. Do I get a certificate ?
> A. Yes. After completing the course.
>
> Q. What sort of radio do I need and how much do they cost ?

> A. Foundation licence holders are not allowed to make their own
> transmitters, so you have to buy commercially made equipment. HF radios
let
> you talk to the world, new radios range from ?500 to to ?5000 and
secondhand
> starts at around ?75. VHF radios are for more local contacts, new radios
> range from ?50 to ?1000, with secondhand sets starting as low as ?20.
>
> Q. How many people can I speak to ?
> A. There are around 60,000 radio hams in the UK and about 2,000,000
> worldwide.
>
> Q. What is the RAE ?
> A. The RAE (Radio Amateurs Examination) is the traditional amateur radio
> exam. This test is much harder than the foundation course, but in return
you
> get a better class of licence. After you have been on the air for a while
> with a foundation licence, you may like to consider taking the RAE.
>
> Q. What about the 5 words per minute Morse test ?
> A. Traditionally to have access to the HF bands you had to pass a Morse
test
> at a specified speed. This requirement was in place as we were sharing
some
> of our bands with marine stations that still used Morse code. It is still
a
> requirement to pass this Morse test to get a full class A licence, though
> this is likely to change. For the foundation licence you do the "Morse
> assessment" instead.
>
> Q. What is the Morse assessment like ?
> A. It is a Morse test, which runs at such a slow speed that you have got
> time to look up the sounds on a chart. For example you hear "dot dash" and
> you are given enough time to look at a chart and see that "dot dash" is
> Morse code for the letter A. The same sort of thing happens in reverse and
> you transmit some code back to the assessor.
>
> Q. Does anyone use Morse code these days ?
> A. Most certainly. It is one of the most efficient modes of communication
> available to a radio ham. Simple equipment can be used and often contacts
> are made in Morse, when no other signal would get through. Even though you
> don't HAVE to learn Morse, you may well find that it will be something
that
> appeals to you once you get on the air.
>
>
>
>

930. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 17:52:32 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D29D15F.7E9388EB@psu.edu>
Source record: 48743

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >I agree. The QPC and the VEC need to create another test element called
> >"history and nostalgia," require a perfect score, and make it more valuable
> >than all the rest of amateur radio combined.
>
> Isn't that what they already do right now with Morse Code? You have to know
> Morse code to upgrade your licence.
>
> This is more valuable that the rest of Amateur Radio combined, since you
> actually have to know it. To pass the technical elements, you can memorize the
> questions and answers.

What is the morizaion of the questions and answers? Do you mean that if
I memorize that the answere to question XX-XXX is letter "D"?

You mean that a person memorizes the thousand some questions for Extra
that way?

Is that easier?

Perhaps for an idiot savant it would be. I studied from the pools, and
rote memorization of "question # X has answer X fails on two fronts.

1. There are a number of subjects, and the questions within the area
are similar, with slightly different takes on them. some times the
answer of one question is the question on another. But most of the stuff
is related. So if you figure out the basic concept they are working on,
you'll get the question in whatever form it is posed to you. When I
studied for my Extra, I studied the guide, then took a few practice
tests. From these results I saw where I needed brushing up. then I hit
the books. Works like a charm.

2. The answers to the questions are not in the same order as the study
guide! Whereas the answer might have been "B" on the pool, it might be
another letter on the actual test. Well, there goes rote memorization.

There is no doubt that the answers to the questions are in the pools.
The answers are also in books. Same difference to me. Perhaps the person
taking the tests should not be allowed access to the books either. That
way only really really smart people can pass the test.

Mike KB3EIA -

931. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 18:17:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0207081017.415c47b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48744

"Indoctrinization"?

There's no reliable data that I know of which would correlate the
influence of family members, particularly parents. Perhaps Vshah101
could download the FCC license database and have a search routine find
all licensees with the same address and same surname.

While it's to be expected that the children of hams will be more
likely to become hams themselves, this effect is rather slight. Like
AA2QA, most of the hams I have known in my 35+ years of hamming have
been the only radio amateurs in their immediate family. The "all ham
family" is so unusual as to be noteworthy.

--

Note that the massive reduction in both code and written testing
brought about by the 2000 restructuring has not resulted in
large-scale growth of the ARS. Nor have Technicians dropped out in
large quantities. It will be interesting to see what happens to the
growth if/when code testing disappears in a year or so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<uihl8vhmkgjq7d@corp.supernews.com>...
> Interesting question. Of the ham friends of mine when I was a teenager,
> NONE had any licensed family members. I had an interest in radio and
> electronics and a guy from church (Russ, W2ZS sk) got me into amateur radio.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020707184443.11362.00001939@mb-cq.aol.com...
> > >As of June 30, 2002:
> > >
> > >Novice - 37,653 (decrease of 11,676)
> > >Tech - 241,729 (increase of 36,335)
> > >Tech Plus - 77,881 (decrease of 50,979)
> > >General - 138,555 (increase of 25,878)
> > >Advanced - 85,134 (decrease of 14,648)
> > >Extra - 102,167 (increase of 23,417)
> > >Total - 683,119 (increase of 8,327)
> > >
> > >73 de Jim, N2EY

> >
> > How much of this increase is due to indoctrinization of children of Hams?

932. Re: On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 18:28:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708142820.08659.00000572@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 48746

In article <20020708071910.23765.00004018@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>Dave, did you ever bother to confirm whether you were working French
>>bootleggers or not?
>
>Do you confirm whether you are working US bootleggers every time you operate?
>
>Or is this something you expect of Dave, but are not willing to do for
>yourself?

Everyone expects the self-proclaimed Leaders and Moralizers
to be above reproach. Since they are so perfect they are above
any comments from lower-class folk and all such lower-class
folk have to be rebuked, yes?

Is it true that ANY sign of rebellion against the Words of Extras
should be squashed, trashed, and rebuked immediately in here?

Dave thinks I am a bootlegger. Can you prove this?

Care to wager 100 lobster dinners on my "being a bootlegger?"

933. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 18:28:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708142821.08659.00000573@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 48745

In article <20020708075100.23765.00004035@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>> What's all of this to you?
>
>>Nothing.
>
>You sure are making much ado about it.

Why do you keep making posts like this? Looks like a lot of deep ado to me...

934. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 18:28:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708142822.08659.00000574@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 48747

In article <20020708080342.23765.00004041@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
>>> Collins is DEFUNCT. Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT. National
>>> is DEFUNCT. Heath is DEFUNCT. :-)
>
>>By Thor, I think you've got it. With the exception of Rockwell Collins,
>>they are defunct, just like "Ham Radio", "Radio", "Radiocraft" and "R-9"
>
>If memory serves, Heathkit is still in the educational kits business.

There is NO exception. Collins Radio is DEFUNCT.

"Rockwell Collins" is NOT in the ham radio business...therefore
"Collins" is DEFUNCT in ham radio.

Heathkit Educational Systems still exists in Benton Harbor
(455 Riverview Drive), a slight shade of its former self, the
Heath Company. Heath and Heathkit do NOT make radio kits
for amateur radio. Heath is DEFUNCT in the ham radio business.
Heath is also DEFUNCT in marine radio equipment, once a
"big thing" in the Heath Company line (complete with a couple
of power boats).

Heath/Zenith is also in business...but are marketing ready-built
doorbell-chime and home security devices sold through such
small retailers as Home Depot and Lowe's. There is probably a
lot more RF generated by Heath/Zenith wireless doorbell units
in the USA than RF generated by Heathkit Educational Systems.

When folks take up the "DEFUNCT" logic and labeling, they have
to be consistent or they make ERRORS, MISTAKES, and LIE
about their suppositions. To attempt "exemptions" is to make
their "logic" DEFUNCT.

The 20 WPM morse code test for an amateur radio license in
the USA is DEFUNCT. Dead. Extinct.

No Heathkit Educational System business will bring any of that
back.

Better have the ARRL immediately remove and trash the CQ
collection of Ham Radio Magazine articles on the ARRL product
list. According to the Word of Extras, Ham Radio Magazine is
DEFUNCT. Dead. Extinct.

935. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 18:28:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708142825.08659.00000575@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 48748

In article <20020708060840.25425.00000366@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020707164655.23153.00000988@mb-fg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020707080632.01552.00000644@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>
>Lenover21 wrote:
>
>>>>ARRL publication prices are the same from surface mail or e-mail
>>>>as in retail outlets. If shipping charges are required extra cost to
>>>>a mail order then that is approximately equal or slightly more than
>>>>local state sales tax. But, a retail ARRL publication outlet has to
>>>>make a profit to stay in business, so it obtains ARRL publications
>>>>at a reduced rate...or did you think the retail outlet sells without
>>>>profit out of the goodness of their hearts?!? :-)
>>>
>>>Retailing 101
>>
>>That's not answering any questions.
>
>The only question you posed was rhetorical.

Nonsense. Inaccurate. The Word of Extras in here has the ARRL
as acting out of the Goodness of Their Hearts.

Goodness of the Heart does not have a monetary profit motive.

>ARRL's publishing operation is using a certain retailing strategy. Obviously
>they want people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at all
>possible - that's one way they support stores that sell to radio amateurs and
>prospective radio amateurs.

Again, obvious nonsense.

If the ARRL "wanted peoble to buy their publications via retail
outlets," why would they have them for resale through headquarters
in Newington?

How many "retail outlets" for amateur radio equipment are there in
the USA? [ask K0HB]

>>>>Shipping charges on a $25 ARRL publication can reach $5.
>>>

>>>If it's big and heavy, sure. CDs are neither big nor heavy. CDs constitute
>>>"media" and get the special low postage rate.
>>
>>According to the ARRL website, the shipping charges on CD-ROMs
>>are "$5 plus $1 for each additional CD-ROM."
>
>Postage and shipping are not the same thing. Shipping includes things like
>the cost of packing materials, labor, general overhead and profit.

Duh...

OK, order an official ARRL membership decal (#1130) for a dollar.
Do you or do you not pay FOUR dollars in shipping charges to
include "cost of packing materials, labor, general overhead and
profit?"

An envelope, 37 cents in a stamp, a zip through a computer-
controlled printer for the addressing and 1/12th of an hour time
(at most) of a clerk to handle it. All that "shipping charge" is
still worth FOUR TIMES the cost of the product itself?!?

Is there NO PROFIT in the product ITSELF?

Note: The ARRL sells its publications to retail outlets at a
lesser cost than it charges to individuals. Does the ARRL
"take a loss" on that difference in price? I don't think so.

That difference in price is a lesser profit for the ARRL but it
still represents PROFIT on PRODUCT.

>>Prove me wrong.
>
>Why?

...that seems one of Jimmie's Divine Missions...to squash, trash,
and rebuke any negative commentary on the ARRL and his vision
of amateur radio. :-)

>>According to the ARRL website, the shipping charges on other
>>items between $20.01 and $30.00 is $6.00.
>
>So the shipping on a $25 item is $6, not $5.

Oh, wow, a chance to make a Big Argument on Mistakes and
Jimmie fluffed it! :-)

You should have made a Big Argument here! After all, you cannot
have lower-class individuals making "mistakes" and "errors" about
the ARRL or anything else, can you?

>So? What's your point? It's obviously a retailing strategy that encourages
>sales through retail outlets rather than mail order, and encourages retailers
>to sell ARRL publications.

Sorry, that's not a justifiable statement. There aren't enough ham
radio retail outlets in the USA to satisfy such a condition.

There MIGHT be an urban amateur radio retail outlet to SOME folks
within around a two-hour driving distance, but that isn't true even in
the 48 contiguous states of the USA.

>It's also a strategy that simplifies the calculations and equalizes the cost
>for US customers. No "slightly higher west of the Rockies" stuff.

Poor reaching for a rationalization, no longer applicable or
reasonable in days of Fed Ex and UPS delivery in addition to
USPS.

Don't reach for the "east coast elitism" schtick, sport, the
center of population of the USA went west of the Mississippi a
long time ago.

>ARRL also runs specials from time to time, using various forms of mail order
>discounts.

Oh, wow! :-)

>Why are you so worked up about all this?

Why are you? Nobody invited you into this sub-thread...you stuck
your nose in as some kind of Noble Public Defender and Knight In
Shining Underwear to defend the ARRL. :-)

>>You greatly desire making a Big Federal Case out of one thing
>>in someone's posting, a virtual Battle To The Death in words.
>>OK, here's your chance.
>
>You're mistaking me for someone else.

No, Noble Knight, you have a billboard advertising your intentions.

It's so blatant you couldn't get any more obvious unless you took
out newspaper ads or TV advertising... :-)

>>>> That's
>>>>a 20 percent extra charge over the retail store price. I don't know
>>>>of any US state that charges 20 percent sales tax. Do you? :-)
>
>Delaware has no sales tax at all, and it's not a long or expensive drive from
>Baltimore, Philly or the surrounding areas.

BFD. PA used to claim proudly that they had no tax on dry-cleaning,
either...:-)

The center of USA amateur radio interest is NOT in the middle of
the Atlantic seaboard.

>>That's a 24 percent EXTRA CHARGE. Prove me wrong.
>
>Is there a point to all this?

To reiterate, you stuck your nose in here and wanted to make with
the Big Argument, Noble Knight. Are you going to make this a
Bigger Argument? A virtual Fight To The Death in words? :-)

>And your point is? Do you have a suggestion as to how the ARRL should price
>its shipping? How does Amazon do it?

My wife and I just got an Amazon delivery on about $50 worth
of books. NO SHIPPING CHARGES. Not only that, we got
a discount on the books over the retail store prices.

Amazon is still a profit-making business. As far as I know, they
are NOT a political action organization. They resell books and
other products. NO SHIPPING CHARGES means they managed
to stay in business, making a profit, all the while absorbing those
high shipping charges plus their "burden" (bean counter talk for
the overhead of plant and equipment and all other miscellaneous
business costs).

The ARRL will copy individual article pages in QST for the low
price of $5 per page ($3 per page to members). Wow! Do you
consider that "inexpensive?"

>ARRL membership has never been more than about a third of US hams. So what?
>Check out JARL membership as a fraction of Japanese hams - pitiful.

This isn't about Japanese radio amateurs. My comment was on the
high "shipping charges" of the ARRL. You try to misdirect the
sub-thread to some off-shore "discussion" which doesn't apply.
You will do that misdirection again, as below -

>What was the peak circulation of the magazine 'Ham Radio' in its heyday, in
>total numbers and as a percentage of licensed hams at the time? What was the
>average over the 22 years of its existence?

Misdirection in trying a different sub-thread. Bad form.

Ham Radio Magazine and its spin-off Ham Radio Horizons, were both
INDEPENDENT amateur radio related periodicals. They were not
membership magazines and kept in business solely through
advertising sales. Communications Technology, Inc., never tried nor
represented itself as an amateur radio membership organization.

QST is a MEMBERSHIP MAGAZINE, so said in capitals because
so few understand the difference between that and an independent.
The "subscribership" numbers of QST are the same as the ARRL
membership. QST doesn't depend solely on advertising sales to
keep going but can receive backing from the ARRL general budget.
[whether or not they do so is irrelevant...the fact is that QST is
backed by the ARRL because it is THE periodical of ARRL
membership]

>What percentage of all licensed US hams are members of NCI?

No Code International is, in its own statements, solely a political action organization. It does NOT require all members to be licensed in any radio service. Further, it has said since the beginning of its organization that it will dissolve when the morse code test requirement for an amateur radio license is eliminated.

There has NEVER been ANY statement from the ARRL saying it would dissolve for any reason. Indeed, it's been quite an opposite continuing set of statements and pleadings to join them. :-)

Well, Jimmie, since you are such a booster of the ARRL and one of their champions, how would you handle "incentives" to join this illustrious organization? Would you INCREASE shipping charges under some rationalization of "you get what you pay for?" :-)

936. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 18:45:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D29DDBB.70B43E4F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48749

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020708080342.23765.00004041@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> >>> Collins is DEFUNCT. Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT. National
> >>> is DEFUNCT. Heath is DEFUNCT. :-)
> >
> >>By Thor, I think you've got it. With the exception of Rockwell Collins,
> >>they are defunct, just like "Ham Radio", "Radio", "Radiocraft" and "R-9"
> >
> >If memory serves, Heathkit is still in the educational kits business.
>
> There is NO exception. Collins Radio is DEFUNCT.
>
> "Rockwell Collins" is NOT in the ham radio business...therefore
> "Collins" is DEFUNCT in ham radio.
>
> Heathkit Educational Systems still exists in Benton Harbor
> (455 Riverview Drive), a slight shade of its former self, the
> Heath Company. Heath and Heathkit do NOT make radio kits
> for amateur radio. Heath is DEFUNCT in the ham radio business.
> Heath is also DEFUNCT in marine radio equipment, once a
> "big thing" in the Heath Company line (complete with a couple
> of power boats).
>
> Heath/Zenith is also in business...but are marketing ready-built
> doorbell-chime and home security devices sold through such
> small retailers as Home Depot and Lowe's. There is probably a
> lot more RF generated by Heath/Zenith wireless doorbell units
> in the USA than RF generated by Heathkit Educational Systems.
>
> When folks take up the "DEFUNCT" logic and labeling, they have
> to be consistent or they make ERRORS, MISTAKES, and LIE
> about their suppositions. To attempt "exemptions" is to make
> their "logic" DEFUNCT.
>
> The 20 WPM morse code test for an amateur radio license in
> the USA is DEFUNCT. Dead. Extinct.

> No Heathkit Educational System business will bring any of that
> back.

Hate to spoil a really grand rant, Len old boy but Heath and Rockwell Collins are still in business no matter what they are doing. So is R. L. Drake for that matter. "Ham Radio" magazine didn't change its target market audience to the VCR repair trade. It is gone. It is no longer being published.

> Better have the ARRL immediately remove and trash the CQ
> collection of Ham Radio Magazine articles on the ARRL product
> list. According to the Word of Extras, Ham Radio Magazine is
> DEFUNCT. Dead. Extinct.

Why would anyone do that, Len? I can still buy old copies of the Supreme Publications material on CD. Why would I want the CD reprints of the defunct "Ham Radio" magazine taken off the market--just to increase the value of the printed versions I kept?

Dave K8MN

937. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 18:54:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agcn5vpdc0@208.206.142.113>
Source record: 48750

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I knew a ham (now SK) that used a keyboard and computer to send Morse code, but
> > > copied by ear. How would Larry know the difference?
> >
> > Sometimes he won't. Much or most of the time one can tell the difference
> > in the way characters and spacing comes out. Can't you? Few CW ops are
> > machines.
>
> An electronic keyer is a machine.

A machine with an OPERATOR who inserts the spacings and all the
inflections. I have a few of them, some built into rigs.

How do you tell the difference between
> electronic machines? Would you bet $1000 that you can tell the difference
> most of the time?

I wouldn't bet with you on a sure thing. You might find a new, never
used dictionary definition, so stuff it. But an experienced high speed
CW op, or even a midspeed op, can usually tell if its computer
generated.

938. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 18:56:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agcn8updc1@208.206.142.113>
Source record: 48651

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Does that explain their behaviour? Sometimes otherwise intelligent
> > people get a bit stupid. Look at Cecil.
>
> I'm only human, Dick. Do you never get a bit stupid? Which reminds
> me, thanks for making me look so knowledgable over there on
> r.r.a.antenna.

As an EE you sure would look stupid if you didn't know that stuff in
detail.

939. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 18:59:49 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207081059.687ea526@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48652

Hi Jim,

Sorry to have not responded sooner, no disrespect meant. It's been a heckuva busy week. :-)

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020702080615.05984.00000323@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> In article <20020630093931.05502.00000536@mb-de.news.cs.com>,
> eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) writes:
>
> >I agree with your post with the exception of this little gem. Many folks
> >(like
> >six or seven MILLION!) happen to like the 27 MHz CB.
>
> Where does that number come from? CB licenses are long gone, and there is no
> form of registration or other formal way to know how many cb users exist today.

I happen to collect past issues of various CB and AR periodicals. I'm one of those kooks who feels the best way to see where things are going is to study where they're coming from. While I have no specific sources to quote, most publications put the number of "licensed" CBer's at approx. 20 million during the height of the "craze." Now, given the popularity of 11-meter "hobby" radio, I have no reservations of reducing the "craze" population by two-thirds or even three-quarters. Add to this the number of truckers who use the CB along with other non-hobbyists, and I'm quite comfortable that that number stands up quite well. (That's not even counting non-US 11-meter ops.)

Now, while you may not see as many CB antennas in your area...I still see a good amout in my travels. I personally use my 80-meter doublet as it's convenient to just use another input to the tuner for the CB, so you won't see a CB antenna over my roof either. (God, trees make GREAT towers!) The trick is not so much how many are there as is how to get them all to pull on the same side of the rope? Jerry's idea is one of the few that actually has a shot-n-heck at accomplishing this. That'd be pretty funny if you ask me...irate ARO calls for the abolition of the CB (Remember, we're talking legal 40 now.) and unwittingly becomes the "father of the uprising." Of course, I'm joking Jim. Just having a laugh and injecting some levity into the situation. Type 11-meters into your favorite search engine and tell me if six to seven million seems terribl exaggerated. If so let's back it down to say, two and a half million. Hey, aren't there only approx. 680,000 licensed US ARO's. Who'd-a-thought? Take care now. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

> Twenty years ago, cb antennas on homes and vehicles were a common sight in this
> area. Today they are more rare than ham antennas.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

940. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 19:03:18 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D29E1F8.9DF18065@psu.edu>
Source record: 48653

Paul Matthews wrote:
>
> >Ed, do try to stay on subject. What is the Koch method?
>
> There is info about it on the web. The idea is that you learn a couple of
> letters to begin with AT FULL SPEED, and when you can reliably identify them ad
> another until you know the lot.
>
> Supposed to be easier to leran a little at full speed and add more than to
> learn everything slowly and speed up as the mechanisms you use are different.
> At full speed it is more of a "reflex" action where you hear and recognise the
> whole letter rather tha each individual component and think da-dit-dat that
> must be...

I wonder if anyone has tried the Koch method after already knowing
Morse? If it would work, it could be a nice method of increasing your
copy speed.

- Mike KB3EIA -

941. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 19:06:39 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D29E2C2.18DF2669@psu.edu>
Source record: 48654

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick didn't want me in "his" sandbox.

Why, are you a cat or something? 8^)

- Mikr KB3EIA -

942. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 19:21:49 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D29E64F.B4BFA36F@psu.edu>
Source record: 48655

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> TRVTH.

Gotta say it Larry....SHEESH! I'm a pro-coder, know much more than
enough electronics to get through the door, having a blast on the
digital bands and yet..... I'm just a CB'er.... cuz 13wpm I'm not.

Bummer.

- Mike KB3EIA -

943. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 19:28:10 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyxt87l.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48658

On 07 Jul 2002 20:46:56 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>Heath Company of the famous "Heathkit" marque, are
>DEFUNCT in the electronic kit and ham radio business.
>Heath was sold to Zenith,

Wasn't it owned by Shlumberger before it was sold to Zenith?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

944. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 19:28:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyxtvym.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48656

On 08 Jul 2002 03:49:23 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Ya know, Lennie, you have made a "point" of both myself and others not
>being in any one "job" for a career length periods (despite retired status,
>which I guess doesn't impress you), however it comes to mind that you have
>never been at any one "job" for any significant period of time.
>
> Did you EVER have a "job" that was more than a few years in length, or was
>that about all of you they could tolerate short of firing you?
>
I can take Len's side on this, because I too have first-hand knowledge
of the volatile "we lose a contract you lose your job no matter how
good you are" nature of the defense industries in the Los Angeles
area starting in the late 50s.

In the course of the 7 years before I got out of defense work and
went into radio regulation as a career, I had three employers,
all of whom were major names in the business and the first two were
ones where Len worked although we were not there at the same time.

Not surprisingly I worked on the same USAF project at all three, one
after the other.

Just like grape pickers, brain surgeons, or nuclear physicists,
one follows the work.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

945. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 19:46:05 UTC
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Message-ID: <geomac-31D859.14460508072002@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 48657

In article <3D26E3E4.3994A7B4@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > > 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
> > > difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse
> > > than
> > > 11m.
> >
> > Which shows what happens when access is made too easy.
>
> Is that why 3.950 sounds like 11m?

And 7.240?

946. Re: Code Learning Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 21:38:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zDnW8.86367$UT.5812634@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48659

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3D29E1F8.9DF18065@psu.edu...
> Paul Matthews wrote:
> >
> > >Ed, do try to stay on subject. What is the Koch method?
> >
> > There is info about it on the web. The idea is that you learn a couple
of
> > letters to begin with AT FULL SPEED, and when you can reliably
identify them ad
> > another until you know the lot.
> >
> > Supposed to be easier to leran a little at full speed and add more
than to
> > learn everything slowly and speed up as the mechanisms you use are
different.
> > At full speed it is more of a "reflex" action where you hear and
recognise the
> > whole letter rather tha each individual component and think da-dit-dat
that
> > must be...
>
> I wonder if anyone has tried the Koch method after already knowing
> Morse? If it would work, it could be a nice method of increasing your
> copy speed.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I used it first on a guy with terrible left/right copy problems using the
old 73' magazine 13, really 16, wpm tapes. Used the same tape on a guy
who did not know code at all and about 32 days later he passed the
Advanced. So I took Wayne's 24 wpm tape and did it to myself as an
Advanced to whom CW never came easy and along with a nite at Field Day on
20cw with a kw passed the Extra putting the exam down in 5-letter
groups -- which did not phase the FCC lady one bit. Actually I think it
took both, when the birds and crickets at 5 AM when you are filling the
generator tell you you are 5-9 in South Jersey you have some confidence
you can and the tapes actually made it easy.

So yeah, Koch works and works well whether you know the code or not.

Dick N&#65533;BK

947. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 22:22:18 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A109A.FFCAE2CB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48660

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Sometimes he won't. Much or most of the time one can tell the difference
> > > in the way characters and spacing comes out. Can't you? Few CW ops are
> > > machines.
> >
> > An electronic keyer is a machine.
>
> A machine with an OPERATOR who inserts the spacings and all the
> inflections. I have a few of them, some built into rigs.

Do you think the operator cannot insert the spacings used by a computer?
My IC-750pro electronic keyer is, no doubt, performed by a computer. The
spacings are programmable by the operator. The CW timing on my SCS PTC2e
modem is fully adjustable.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

948. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 22:29:34 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A124E.873FE72E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48661

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Does that explain their behaviour? Sometimes otherwise intelligent
> > > people get a bit stupid. Look at Cecil.
> >
> > I'm only human, Dick. Do you never get a bit stupid? Which reminds
> > me, thanks for making me look so knowledgable over there on
> > r.r.a.antenna.
>
> As an EE you sure would look stupid if you didn't know that stuff in
> detail.

My degree is in 60 Hz Power Generation and Distribution, Dick. I don't
recall ever using a Smith Chart for anything while I was in college.
K7JEB taught me everything I know about a Smith Chart 30 years after
I got my EE degree. He held a Technician class license at the time
(along with a radiotelephone commercial license).
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

949. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 22:33:31 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A133B.900E8B77@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48662

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 07 Jul 2002 20:46:56 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >Heath Company of the famous "Heathkit" marque, are
> >DEFUNCT in the electronic kit and ham radio business.
> >Heath was sold to Zenith,
>
> Wasn't it owned by Shlumberger before it was sold to Zenith?

Heath was owned by Daystrom sometime in the 50's.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

950. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 22:43:54 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <uik5mnrgltnb4c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48663
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<ccox@chopped-ham-filtre.uhc.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:agcbtn$d0d$1@gabriel.uhc.com...
> In uk.radio.amateur KA8DDZ <ka8ddz@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > I was drawing a similarity to the senario in the states of lesser or
easy
> > exams.

Well, then I think you have it wrong. If anything, the exams nowadays
are harder, it's just the CW is easier since it only has to go to 5wpm.

In the old days you almost knew what the exam was. You could get
what was called the 'Bash Books' and basically know what you needed
to walk in and out with a ticket. Now you have 'question pools' that are
at LEAST an order of magnitude than they used to be, you have many
more varied and dissimilar topics, and you have a MUCH more controlled
environment at the entry level.

Mike

951. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:01:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9RoW8.2792$283.106176692@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48664

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020708074031.23765.00004029@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Ed, you would answer the question if Dave's rep weren't on the line. Why
do
> >you feel you must protect Dave at all costs?
>
> I don't. You asked me whether I would continue to work bootleggers if I
knew
> they were bootleggers and I gave you a very throrough answer,

Is that what you call it?

then a simpler
> one when you didn't understand the first one. I could care less who Dave
works
> when he operates overseas. I am not sure why you do.

Why wouldn't I?

> >This is part of the definition of Cronyism, and it is, of course, a
double
> >standard.
>
> If it comforts you to think that my several posts in response to your
question
> were not an answer and that I am looking to protect Dave, you are welcome
to
> your dillusion.

That's how I see it.

If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your business,
> Brian.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check those actuarial
tables.

952. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:04:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LToW8.2795$jb3.106371142@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48665

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D29A2CB.A5E0BB73@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3D29071A.22650D2A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Is that your read on French stations being "lured" into breaking their
> > >regs by my transmitting where I'm supposed to be?
>
> Lack of comment noted.
>
> > >> He is a credit to the amateur radio service.
> > >>
> > >> :-)
> > >
> > >...and you are what again to the amateur radio service?
> >
> > I don't work bootleggers... :-)
>
> That's two of us. Come to think of it, you don't work anybody, do you?
> You could qualify AS a bootlegger though.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, how can you say that? You're in my logs several times.

953. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:21:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <08pW8.2804$Rg3.106712335@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48666

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agc1np$bt8$0@208.207.71.143...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > Once you are told they are out of band, you'll work them anyway.
>
> So Dave should believe a poster who is clearly fraudulant. You prefer
> to believe that fraudulent poster rather than Dave. Shows what you know
> and where you're coming from.

Dick, I haven't hear the "POP" yet. Get to work.

954. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:24:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kapW8.2806$gj3.106851363@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48667

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D29A569.7871D505@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D290526.5413C58@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian, You did know that there is no F1DR in the callbook didn't you?
> > > You didn't? Wow! Better check it out.
> > >
> > Unfortunately he's not in my log. You go ahead and QSL him
> >
> There'd be two things to stop me: 1) I've never worked him. 2) He isn't
> in the Callbook.
>
> > > I've not been out of band while working any ham anywhere I've been in
> > > the world. As far as working those not licensed, how would you or I
or
> > > any of us be able to confirm that each station we work is really
> > > licensed? What if you, for example, were to work someone signing
F1DR.
> > > Maybe, just to be on the safe side, you should stick to SWLing so as
not
> > > to run afoul of the regs.
> > >
> > If I were informed that F1DR is a slim, why would I work him?
> >
> Not just F1DR, Brian. Anyone you hear on any band could be a bootlegger.
> Better play it safe.
>
> > > > I have only your word that these things happened. You could get out
of
> > this
> > > > > by just saying that you were pulling my leg.
> > > >
> > > What is it that I need to get out of? Are you going to corner me with
> > > one of your cat-like moves?
> > >
> > Knowingly working French amateurs out of band.
> >
> I wasn't out of band.
>
> > F1DR replied directly to you.
```

>
> There isn't any F1DR. Someone who sez he's F1DR replied to me. You saw
> my response. I wasn't out of band.

I goofed. Try this instead:

Callsign: F1DFR FRANCE
Name: OP : JEAN-MARC (J-M --> JIM PHONETICALLY)
Addr2: ,
Country: FRANCE
Lookups: 170

QSL Mgr: (QSL direct only , mail me to get my coordinates)
Email: f1dfr@arrl.net
QRZ Updated: 2000-04-27 04:29:10

> > You responded directly to him. Then you say you know nothing about >
it. What's that called?
>
> Forgetfulness? I've not been in Tanzania for over two years. Do you
> remember everything you've said or written in two years. In what way
> does my response to the make-believe F1DR change what I've said about my
> actions? What is any of this to you, Brian Burke, a non-resident of
> Tanzania or of France on a matter in which you are not involved? You've
> not even attempted to address your indignity to those who broke their
> country's amateur radio regulations?
>
> QSL?

QRM. You have such a convenient memory.

Brian

955. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:24:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <abpW8.2808$pj3.106860732@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48668

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agc2cmcvb4@208.207.71.143...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >> What's all of this to you?
> >
> > >Nothing.
> >
> > You sure are making much ado about it.
> >
>
> That happens to those who think they have nothing better to do.

Dick, I'm waiting to hear the "POP." Get to work.

956. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:25:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RbpW8.2809$oj3.106859756@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48669

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D29A77B.E168EFB2@earthlink.net...
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > >I broke no amateur radio regulations and operated
> > >my station in accordance with Tanzanian law. What's all of this to
you?
> >
> > Cronyism, but the way he keeps repeating that word in his posts.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> He must know about the money, the Order of the Wouff Hong and the stuff
> buried on the old Maxim farm near Farmington.
>
> Dave K8MN

Cronyism.

957. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:36:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jmpW8.2813$mn3.107109647@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48670

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020708074730.23765.00004033@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> When I operate, I don't check to see if every ham who QSOs me is
licensed
> >to
> >> operate on the frequency we are using. Have I broken your unwritten
law?
> >
> >Nor do I.
>
> But you apparently expect others to, eh?

If you've been told they're illegal, what's to check?

> > But when I'm informed that the folks I'm talking to are out of
> >band, am I supposed to keep talking to them just because I'm within my
band
> >limits?
>
> Has this ever happened to you?

Yes.

After Novice enhancement, a novice decided that 220 wasn't populated enough,
and the linked repeaqter wasn't where the action was. So he went directly
on 2M.

While on Guam, a not yet licensed individual contacted me and used someone
elses old call. I happened to know that someone else, and the voices didn't
match.

Your ethics would have me talking to both all day long.

> >When a Novice that you personally know comes up on a non-220mhz linked 2M
> >repeater, do you carry on with him or her all day long just because you
are
> >within your operating privelege?
>
> That has never happened to me.
>
> >You and Dave say YES to both questions!
>
> No, I have not said YES to both questions, Brian. You proclaimed that my
> two-post response to that question was not an answer, then a few posts

later,
> your delusion has changed that to a YES?

You keep me guessing with an unclear answer that I've asked you to clarify,
but won't. You did, however, post a lot of words.

I asked what WOULD you do. You said:

1. it never happened to you and ignore the question
2. say that Dave's OK with what he did, implying that you would do the
same, i.e., keep working them out of band.

Here's you chance to clarify.

> >And then question my reputation.
>
> Another delusion, Brian; I didn't question your reputation.

You questioned my integrity.

> Must be more "What was said; what Brian heard" sorts of things.

Well there you have it!

Cronyism.

958. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:42:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ErpW8.2815$_o3.107206680@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48671

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agc1vkcvb0@208.207.71.143...

> Sometimes he won't. Much or most of the time one can tell the difference
> in the way characters and spacing comes out. Can't you? Few CW ops are
> machines.

They just try to emulate them.

959. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:46:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mvpW8.2817$%n3.107151536@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48672

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agcn5vpdc0@208.206.142.113...
>
> CAM wrote:

> > An electronic keyer is a machine.
>
> A machine with an OPERATOR who inserts the spacings and all the
> inflections. I have a few of them, some built into rigs.

What?

When I hold the paddle as if it were a single continuous tone, the keyer
makes it go, "dididididididit."

The KEYER inserts the spacing.

I'm waiting to hear the "POP."

960. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:48:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TwpW8.2819$vq3.107305196@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48673

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agc224cvb1@208.207.71.143...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: "Web" WebFx27@hotmail.com
> >
> > >I guess your
> > >just hitting yourself
> >
> > Larry has admitted that he says such things just to stir up discussion
here.
> > He enjoys watching people get as upset about life as he is.
>
> Larry's not the only one upset with what has happened to ham radio, by
> several hundred thousand.

Really? How do you know? I wonder how many comments the FCC received to
the 91 NPRM.

961. Re: On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:49:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sypW8.2821$uj3.106865924@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48674

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020708071910.23765.00004018@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dave, did you ever bother to confirm whether you were working French
> >bootleggers or not?
>
> Do you confirm whether you are working US bootleggers every time you
operate?
> Or is this something you expect of Dave, but are not willing to do for
> yourself?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, AGAIN, if you know they are out of band...

Dave was told.

962. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:53:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zBpW8.2824$Yh3.106780000@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48675

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agc19l$b4j$1@208.207.71.143...
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> > > >I don't see any requirement in Part 97 for a ham license to cost
something
> > > >and/or not be easy to obtain.
> > >
> > > Nor do I see anything in Part 97 that says that a ham license should
> > > be given away as some kind of entitlement.
> >
> > Anybody capable of meeting the requirements gets the privileges whether
> > they already know the material or have to work their butt off to learn
it.
>
> But we already knew that. Somewhere along the way themhad to invest
> the time and effort to do it. We know, it was a no-brainer for some, not
> so for others.

But Dick, you demanded "effort."

Thus we need multiple exams for each license class, each one designed to be
a challenge. Then we pre-screen applicants with an aptitude test, and then
administer the appropriate exam that will provide that challenge. That way
everyone must put out equal effort.

963. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:56:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rEpW8.2828$Kn3.107134085@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48676

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agc25fcvb2@208.207.71.143...
>
> If you think the did its because you don't know the facts.
>
> Does that explain their behaviour? Sometimes otherwise intelligent
> people get a bit stupid. Look at Cecil.

And sometimes otherwise unintelligent people don't disappoint.

964. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-08 23:57:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HFpW8.2829$Oj3.106886172@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48677

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020708073538.23765.00004027@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> >Who is Jay Hare?
> >>
> >> I don't know. I never met him.
>
> >He was cited at the end of -your- list of QST articles.
>
> I didn't post a list of "my" articles, Brian. I posted a URL that you
could use
> to look up articles by authors named "Hare."
>
> I figured that you could figure out that "Jay" Hare is not the same person
as
> "Ed" Hare, especially considering the date the article was written. I
apologize
> for thinking that you could figure it out.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Was he your father?

965. Replacing CW by PSK31

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 00:02:46 UTC
From: Angel G <digimode@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <200279-1246-351156@foorum.com>
Source record: 48817

Well, about 680.000 US hams and no PSK31 from USA on 6m ? Where are you ??

3rd millenium is the age of new radio technology, it's time to show to the world that ham-radio operators are not only sticked with OBSOLET CW. If the TITANIC had the PSK31, all the passengers had been probably saved.

There are nice softwares like WinpskSE, use it !

There is a lot of PSK31 on 10m but very very few on 6m. Hurry US guys, call Europe in PSK31 ! We listen to you in PSK31 between 50.250 and 50.280 MHz. TNX

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

966. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 00:47:47 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708204747.01509.00001182@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48678

In article <Zf2W8.13335$AK.8572@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard, K2LSX"
<K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:

>Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Larry.
>
>That's why I'm going to ignore your comment about my being a "Restructured"
>CB'er
>
>--
>John D Gubernard, K2LSX
> k2lsx@arrl.net

John:

Knock yourself out. Axeually, you're not just a "Restructured CB'er," but
you're a Restructured CB'er with an old-timey looking/sounding Vanity
callsign that you should not be entitled to.

73 de Larry, K3LT

967. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 00:47:47 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708204747.01509.00001183@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48679

In article <3D28DCEE.D0AA31D7@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> John:
>>
>> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
>> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
>> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
>> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
>> TRVTH.
>
>Be careful Larry that someone isn't using a computer program to
>send/ receive CW.....

Believe it or not, the only people who can successfully employ a
computer programme to send and receive CW are those who already
know how to copy quite well by ear!

73 de Larry, K3LT

968. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 00:47:47 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708204747.01509.00001181@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48680

In article <3D28927E.83537601@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
>> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
>> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
>> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
>> TRVTH.
>
>You mean that any ham who dislikes you personally enough to be of the
>opinion that you are not worth talking to is defined to be a CBer?

I don't know where you got that from, Cecil. As I've said many times
before, this is not about me. It is the dumbed-down NCTA CB'ers who
started this; all I'm doing is telling the trvth about them.

73 de Larry, K3LT

969. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 01:41:50 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708214150.17171.00004180@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48681

>Most people take longer than a day to learn the material to pass the test.
>Seeing as this was a discussion about HF operating, what technique do these
>people allegedly use to learn the code in a day?

Yes, but if Morse Code was being transmitted, then it wouldn't be CBers making those transmissions. You mean there are CBers that went through the effort of learning code?

After all, it is said "Learn code so that Ham Radio does not become CB". So, by definition, if Morse Code was being transmitted, it was done by Hams.

970. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 02:05:26 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708220526.17171.00004181@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 48682

> What is the morizaion of the questions and answers? Do you mean that if
>I memorize that the answere to question XX-XXX is letter "D"?

No one memorizes the letters. You use the helpful memorization tips used in the question book..

>You mean that a person memorizes the thousand some questions for Extra
>that way?
Around 655 questions. Yes, its easier if you have the questions and answers. You tend to associate the questions wording with the answer's wording. This is what happens.
Problem with that is you tend to remember it for the test and then forget it one week later.

>1. There are a number of subjects, and the questions within the area
>are similar, with slightly different takes on them. some times the
>answer of one question is the question on another. But most of the stuff
>is related. So if you figure out the basic concept they are working on,
>you'll get the question in whatever form it is posed to you.

These are some of the ways memorizing the questions are easier.

971. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 02:20:29 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708222029.01509.00001190@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48686

In article <OD6W8.77961$7e2.2131225@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Web"
<WebFx27@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>"They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the"
>
> Axe?? The word "ask" refers to a question perhaps you should have
>learned English. It would do you better than knowing CW.
>
> If you believe that CW=HAM then I guess you think that
>wrestling and Roller Derby are on the level also?

"Web:"

I'm merely using the word "ask" in the manner in which it is
pronounced by the most politically-correct group of people in this
country. What's the matter -- are you a racist bigot or something?
If there is something incorrect with that particular pronunciation,
please, enlighten us -- what is it, what causes it, and why do
more educated, cultured, and conservative members of that same
group use the correct pronunciaton "ask" -- thus proving that it's
not some kind of ethnicity-related speech impediment?

73 de Larry, K3LT

972. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 02:20:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708222030.01509.00001191@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48684

In article <3D291779.6597D3CA@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Dan Finn wrote:
>> No, if you cannot do code at 13 wpm you are a Chicken Bander.
>
>What if they can do 13 wpm but just don't want to talk to Larry?

Cecil:

Funny -- I've yet to have the experience of answering a CQ and have
the guy on the end say, "I don't want to talk to you!" Of course, that
may be because I live in Delaware, and am a fairly rare commodity on
CW. I guess it's a good thing I don't live in Florida -- where, apparently,
80% of all living CW operators currently reside!

73 de Larry, K3LT

973. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 02:20:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708222030.01509.00001192@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48689

In article <20020708072240.23765.00004020@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>> Be careful Larry that someone isn't using a computer program to
>>> send/ receive CW.....
>
>>You think he couldn't tell the difference? Wrong.
>
>I knew a ham (now SK) that used a keyboard and computer to send Morse code,
>but
>copied by ear. How would Larry know the difference?

Ed:

Through experience as a CW operator, I have trained myself to be able to discriminate between hand-keyed Morse and that sent using mechanical keyers (bugs) and electronic keyers. A lot of sloppy LIDS can do a good job of mucking-up their code even using keyer/paddle combinations and keyboards, although keyboards would be less likely to do so. Whenever you hear something being sent all run-together, but with accurately-timed "dits" and "dahs," you know you've got a LID behind a set of paddles. Unfortunately, a lot of CW LIDS tend to increase their speed by reducing inter- and intra-character spacing, rather than by just sending their characters (and spaces) at a faster rate. It's getting quite rare these days to find a CW operator who can shift from 13 to 20 WPM and keep his inter- and intra-character spacing proportional to his/her aggregate sending speed. When someone is sending with a keyboard, I can usually "head copy" them 10 WPM faster than I can if they're using a manual key or keyer/paddle combo, simply because I'd be hearing correctly formed letters and words, rather than an unintelligible mush.

73 de Larry, K3LT

974. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 02:20:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708222031.01509.00001193@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48683

In article <20020708072421.23765.00004021@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Larry has admitted that he says such things just to stir up discussion here.
>He enjoys watching people get as upset about life as he is. Taking
>everything he says in that vein puts it all into the correct perspective.

Ed:

In what way am I "upset about life" ??? I am enjoying my life very much indeed, thank you! What would make you say something like that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

975. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 02:20:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708222031.01509.00001194@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48688

In article <3D29E64F.B4BFA36F@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
>> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
>> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
>> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
>> TRVTH.
>
>
> Gotta say it Larry....SHEESH! I'm a pro-coder, know much more than
>enough electronics to get through the door, having a blast on the
>digital bands and yet..... I'm just a CB'er.... cuz 13wpm I'm not.
>
> Bummer.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Mike:

Unfortunate, but that's YOUR problem, not mine! Fortunately for myself and all other Pre-Restructuring Amateur Extras, I gained my privileges under a more challenging and comprehensive set of licensing requirements, includiing the requirement to know the Morse code at 13 - 20 WPM.

Today, being a "pro-coder" will have to mean that you will embrace the CW mode, get your code speed up to 13 WPM and beyond without the incentive of increased privileges, and encourage other hams to do likewise (since you'll want to work someone in CW on-the-air, presumably). However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.

73 de Larry, K3LT

976. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 02:20:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708222032.01509.00001195@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48687

In article <3D291715.6ABD8403@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>> Nor do I see anything in Part 97 that says that a ham license should
>> be given away as some kind of entitlement.
>
>Anybody capable of meeting the requirements gets the privileges whether
>they already know the material or have to work their butt off to learn it.

Cecil:

One way or the other, an effort had to be made to gain that knowledge.
The fact that a new reason to value that knowledge has been found
would only tend to reinforce the appreciation for the privileges gained
through it's use.

>> >The only worth of a ham license is the
>> >privileges granted and those privileges are what someone has to lose.
>>
>> Unfortunately, the easier it is to obtain these privileges, the less they
>will
>> be valued by those who have had those privileges granted to them.
>
>That's not true, Larry. If the privileges don't change, the value of the
>license doesn't change. Ease or difficulty in obtaining those privileges
>is irrelevant to the value of the license.

If you say so, then I'll have to accept this as your opinion. The fact
that you hold this opinion doesn't necessarily make it the trvth. Therefore,
as usual, we'll have to agree to disagree.

73 de Larry, K3LT

977. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 02:20:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708222033.01509.00001196@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 48685

In article <20020708073033.23765.00004024@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Unfortunately, the easier it is to obtain these privileges, the less they
>>will
>>be valued by those who have had those privileges granted to them.
>
>If you found a winning lottery ticket laying on the ground, would it be
>valueless to you compared to the one you bought that didn't win? The first
>came to you with no effort.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Hmmm -- a specious but totally irrelevant example. Looks like you've been taking lessons from Cecil again! You really should do something about that...

73 de Larry, K3LT

978. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:13:16 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <wlsW8.79216$N8.85534791@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48690

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D291779.6597D3CA@hotmail.com...
> Dan Finn wrote:
> > No, if you cannot do code at 13 wpm you are a Chicken Bander.
>
> What if they can do 13 wpm but just don't want to talk to Larry?

I understand your original point. However, it is assumed that anyone using CW mode does not want to "talk" to the amateur operator in question because "talking" is only possible in voice mode. It makes perfect sense that the 13 wpm operator would not wish to "talk" to Larry or anyone else while operating in CW mode.

73 de KR4AJ

979. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:14:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agdkdq$389$0@208.207.71.138>
Source record: 48691

mike coslo wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick didn't want me in "his" sandbox.
>
> Why, are you a cat or something? 8^)

Results are the same!1

980. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:17:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agdkjo$389$1@208.207.71.138>
Source record: 48692

George McCouch wrote:
>
> In article <3D26E3E4.3994A7B4@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > > > 11m vs. 147.435 in Los Angeles - except for the frequency, what's the
> > > > difference? There have been times where that 2m repeater has been worse
> > > > than
> > > > 11m.
> > >
> > > Which shows what happens when access is made too easy.
> >
> > Is that why 3.950 sounds like 11m?
>
> And 7.240?
1

What I find curious is that all you guys are hanging out listening to
the that stuff-whatever it is. Doncha have more constructive things to
do?

981. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:30:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agdlch$5d7$0@208.207.71.138>
Source record: 48693

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> > What is the morizaion of the questions and answers? Do you mean that if
> >I memorize that the answere to question XX-XXX is letter "D"?
>
> No one memorizes the letters. You use the helpful memorization tips used in the
> question book..
>
> >You mean that a person memorizes the thousand some questions for Extra
> >that way?
> Around 655 questions. Yes, its easier if you have the questions and answers.
> You tend to associate the questions wording with the answer's wording. This is
> what happens.
> Problem with that is you tend to remember it for the test and then forget it
> one week later.
>
> >1. There are a number of subjects, and the questions within the area
> >are similar, with slightly different takes on them. some times the
> >answer of one question is the question on another. But most of the stuff
> >is related. So if you figure out the basic concept they are working on,
> >you'll get the question in whatever form it is posed to you.
>
> These are some of the ways memorizing the questions are easier.

ATTENTION ED HARE: Here's another witness for you to ignore.

982. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:34:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708233405.29966.00000901@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 48695

In article <20020707080632.01552.00000644@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>The "Radiotron Designer's Handbook" doesn't have any design
>>data for transistors or integrated circuits.

>There were no ICs in 1954, and transistors were very new technology then.

"New?" Seven years. TM 11-690 came out that year, not only had the standard transistor symbolism but lots of different schematics and nomenclature and some design data...all for transistors.

1954 was 48 years ago. I was in the US Army Signal Corps then, controlling HF transmitters. What were you doing?

>>It is oriented towards
>>vacuum tube design; the "Radiotron" is/was an old RCA trade-
>>mark for vacuum tubes.

>Which means it's a good book for some things but not others. It's on CD, too.

We KNOW it's on CD, speedy. I have one. Makes a nice little artifact, sort of good for having to revitalize an old tube set. :-) Audio Amateur Press produces the CD. They are in Peterborough, New Hampshire.

>>By contrast, there are dozens of
>>articles in the HR history that include transistors and ICs, most
>>of which are still on the market.

>Many of them are not.

2N3904, 2N3906, 2N4124, 2N4126 are all still available on the market, new, just as they were 30 years ago. Complementary symmetry transistors, general purpose types with f-sub-t to 150 MHz.

THEORY is hardly ever out of date, is it? Or do you think ham radio theory only comes from the Big Brother in Newington?

>> A general-purpose NPN
>>transistor with transition frequency of 150 MHz can be purchased
>>from hundreds of retail outlets for a quarter...a triode vacuum tube
>>to do the same circuit task typically costs $5 and available only
>>from a limited and shrinking retail outlet group.

>Lenover21 needs a tutorial on the basics of enineering econimics as applied to
>amateur radio home construction.

Jimmie needs a lesson in civil comportment on newsgroups. He also
needs to realize that he doesn't know but a teeny fractional percentage
of all US hams who build at home...and probably doesn't know squat
about the many more electronics experimenters who have home
workshops.

>With certain exceptions, homebrewing of radio equipment with new retail parts
>is not cost effective.

So...I can't buy those common transistors from, say, Digi-Key
today? No problem. Single-piece price for them are 21 cents
each (less in quantity), maybe another 4 cents each for the
small-quantity shipping charge. Need some common rectifier
diodes like the 1N4001 through 1N4007? Digi-Key's price is
10 for $1.36 "cut tape" (they come on an ammo-belt like tape)
or 13.6 cents apiece.

Digi-Key carries an enormous selection and sells singles through
thousands. www.digikey.com

>Manufacturers buying lare numbers of parts get quantity
>discounts, hams buying a few parts don't.

Oh, my, another excuse! A single transistor is functionally
equivalent to a triode vacuum tube. In the year 2002 hams can
buy small quantities of common complementary-symmetry
transistors at 21 cents apiece in 2002 dollars while in 1962 an
electronics experimenter got lucky on finding a 6C4 triode at a
bargain price of 97cents in 1962 dollars.

You need ICs? Digi-Key, Newark, Allied all have them at low
prices for small quantities. There's too many to pin down for
examples but that can be done (I could quote logic devices off the
top of my head but the PCTAs don't believe in digital circuitry so
it would be wasted effort).

>Add to this the price of special
>tools and test equipment, and even simple projects become expensive.

Ohm my, a trusty Simpson 260 doesn't cut it by itself? :-)

If you want everything in a hobby to be "simple projects" then
content yourself at building tuna-tin transmitters and that good
old standard, the regenerative receiver using a single tube! :-)

Maybe QEX should cease publication because all these things
with states of the art past 1962 are "complicated?!?"

>One notable exception to this rule is when parts can be obtained at a small
>percentage of their retail cost. "Surplus" outlets, hamfests, internet venues
>and even dumpsters are sources of such parts - including tubes.

OK, you get your stuff out of the dumpster...and the quality of it will
let your project go right back to the dumpster.

>Comparison of single-part prices, as Lenover21 has done above, is misleading.

"Misleading?!?" I just got the July-August 2002 Digi-Key catalog
the day before, double-checked the prices quoted since they were
so low. Choose any modern catalog you want, Jimmie, go to any
current website and you will see all kinds of low-cost solid-state
components, all kinds of low-cost passive components. You just
won't find those dandy whiz-bang 1962 "ham" components hardly
at all because so few bought them that dealers quit stocking them.

>What matters in the economic area is total project cost.

Yeah, like the cost of the magazine whose article was the interest-
spark to build something in duplicate...so that the builder could
then brag to his buddies all about "designing and building some-
thing all by your lonesome." Uh-huh...heard that before...like how
many times?

>The parts cost issue is not a new thing. It is one of the main reasons for the
>success of Heathkit.

True for 1962. By 1972 Heath Company was starting to feel
competition from the ready-builts in some electronic areas. By
1982 the competition was definite and sales began sliding for
those vaunted kits. Sorry, but for MOST of the kits from Heath,
the DESIGNS were also cheap and they were not the optimum
in performance or accuracy when compared to the ready-builts of
1982.

The Heath Company KIT in ham radio is DEFUNCT. The same for
color TV. The same for test equipment. The same for marine
electronics. DEFUNCT. All you have left is an emotional surge of
nostalgia that NTIS cannot calibrate.

>More than 40 years ago, it was cheaper to buy a Heathkit
>than to build an equivalent device from new retail parts.

Well then, Mr. Who-Do-You-Know-Who-Designs-His-Own-Station
you just hang in there with your Elecraft KIT that you builded all
by yossef with your hot little solderin iron. Did you DESIGN it?

983. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:34:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708233406.29966.00000902@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 48694

In article <3D29DDBB.70B43E4F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Hate to spoil a really grand rant, Len old boy but Heath and Rockwell
>Collins are still in business no matter what they are doing. So is R.
>L. Drake for that matter. "Ham Radio" magazine didn't change its target
>market audience to the VCR repair trade. It is gone. It is no longer
>being published.

Hate to spoil a big effort to squeeze out a Word of Extras, Dave,
but WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS does Rockwell
Collins make?

Are you going to say "mechanical filters?" If so, does
Rockwell Collins market those SPECIFICALLY for ham
radio? I don't think so. Rockwell Collins is in business and
will sell those to anyone.

By the same associative logic you will want to use, a
fastener manufacturer can make a fastener good for holding
together some piece of ham gear...but that doesn't mean
those fasteners are specifically marketed for ham radio.
Don't try to screw anyone with that kind of distant association.

Let us know when the brand-new KWM rolls off the Rockwell
Collins assembly line, specifically marketed for ham radio.

WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS does Heath make?

Inform the masses oh mighty extra.

Please try to differentiate between "Heath" as in Heath/Zenith
and "Heath" as in Heathkit Educational Systems. They aren't
the same company. Heath/Zenith makes a nice ding-dong;
Heathkit Educational Systems is a ding-dong.

I'd say, by the Word of Extras, that the DEFUNCT kind of logic
makes Heath and Rockwell Collins DEFUNCT insofar as
the amateur radio business.

What outlet carries NEW amateur radio products from
Heath or Rockwell?

984. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:39:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D2A8526.6070305@adelphia.net>
Source record: 48819

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <3D29E64F.B4BFA36F@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

<some snippage here>

>> Gotta say it Larry....SHEESH! I'm a pro-coder, know much more than
>>enough electronics to get through the door, having a blast on the
>>digital bands and yet..... I'm just a CB'er.... cuz 13wpm I'm not.

<a little more snippage>

> Mike:
>
> Unfortunate, but that's YOUR problem, not mine!

No actually it isn't my problem. You have the problem with me remember?

Fortunately for myself
> and all other Pre-Restructuring Amateur Extras, I gained my privileges
> under a more challenging and comprehensive set of licensing requirements,
> includiing the requirement to know the Morse code at 13 - 20 WPM.

I looked into that. The cw test was harder by virtue of it's greater
speed, but that was about it

> Today, being a "pro-coder" will have to mean that you will embrace the
> CW mode, get your code speed up to 13 WPM and beyond without the
> incentive of increased privileges, and encourage other hams to do
> likewise (since you'll want to work someone in CW on-the-air, presumably).

Who made that definition? I happen not to wish to use Morse, yet I
support it's testing. That is Pro code to me, and to a lot of others I
think.

> However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-
> licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who
> decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.

And you have an apparent ability to judge people based upon some rather
shallow criteria. As you may recall, when someone was once disparging
your occupation, I came to it's and your defense, noting the
responsibility and serving the general public good. Isn't it awful when
someone is can make judgements on you on the basis of such shallow criteria.

It's probably better to judge people based on their actual personalities
and abilities than something as simple as the ability to send Morse
Code, or what you consider to be a dumbed down tesating process.

Frankly, you remind me of some of those 10-10 people that you purport to loathe. You are ready to cast judgement on a person based on a criteria which is just plain irrelevant.

Plonk!

- Mike KB3EIA -

985. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:53:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agdmnp$8of$0@208.207.71.138>
Source record: 48696

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3D29E64F.B4BFA36F@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
> >> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> >> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> >> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> >> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> >> TRVTH.
> > >
> > >
> > > Gotta say it Larry....SHEESH! I'm a pro-coder, know much more than
> > >enough electronics to get through the door, having a blast on the
> > >digital bands and yet..... I'm just a CB'er.... cuz 13wpm I'm not.
> > >
> > > Bummer.
> > >
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
>
> Mike:
>
> Unfortunate, but that's YOUR problem, not mine! Fortunately for myself
> and all other Pre-Restructuring Amateur Extras, I gained my privileges
> under a more challenging and comprehensive set of licensing requirements,
> includiing the requirement to know the Morse code at 13 - 20 WPM.
>
> Today, being a "pro-coder" will have to mean that you will embrace the
> CW mode, get your code speed up to 13 WPM and beyond without the
> incentive of increased privileges, and encourage other hams to do
> likewise (since you'll want to work someone in CW on-the-air, presumably).
> However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-
> licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who
> decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.
>

I've got oa disagree, Larry. As a VE of several years, I saw a lot of
hams pass the 13 wpm test that were not anywhere near capable of using
it on the air. Most of them, in fact. But they got the experience and
drill of learning it to some useful level. That's about all you can
expect from licensing at that speed with the tests in use. The hams who
find CW interesting and fulfilling enough to go on to real proficiency
will do it anyway. But for the most part, only if they learn it for

licensing. From long years of field experience I'm convinced not many will do it otherwise.

It's sort of like me not ever spending the time and concerted effort to learn the Smith Chart. I just never did get around to spending the time and effort required to master it out of a book. I never needed it enough to warrant the time and effort since almost all of my ham antenna building was conventional and I didn't build them at work, only analyzed failures and directed tower climbers in repair and replacement.
Side note- Why hasn't anyone ever written and published a stgraightforward, sensible tutorial on the Chart? Every publication I ever saw was so wrapped up in lengthy discourse over all the details they never get around to saying how you actually use it. Even the late Joe Carr's effort in his book "Practical Antenna Handbook" did the same. He did say that it's a "powerful tool for the RF designer". I guess if you're not a RF designer you don't really need it. But I'm still curious enough that I think I'll give it a try .
Dick

986. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:54:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2A5E56.6BC1E40B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48697

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020708074031.23765.00004029@mb-df.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

> If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your business,

> Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check those actuarial
> tables.

I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
overseas in 1985.

Dave K8MN

987. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 03:56:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2A5ECF.6C3162E0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48698

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D29A2CB.A5E0BB73@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3D29071A.22650D2A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >Is that your read on French stations being "lured" into breaking their
> > > >regs by my transmitting where I'm supposed to be?
> >
> > Lack of comment noted.
> >
> > > > >> He is a credit to the amateur radio service.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >...and you are what again to the amateur radio service?
> > > >
> > > > I don't work bootleggers... :-)
> >
> > That's two of us. Come to think of it, you don't work anybody, do you?
> > You could qualify AS a bootlegger though.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, how can you say that? You're in my logs several times.

Easily. You aren't Len and you may put me in your logs as often as you
like. That does not guarantee that you're in mine.

Dave K8MN

988. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:02:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2A604C.38193406@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48699

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D29A569.7871D505@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D290526.5413C58@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Brian, You did know that there is no F1DR in the callbook didn't you?
> > > > You didn't? Wow! Better check it out.
> > >
> > > Unfortunately he's not in my log. You go ahead and QSL him
> >
> > There'd be two things to stop me: 1) I've never worked him. 2) He isn't
> > in the Callbook.
> >
> > > > I've not been out of band while working any ham anywhere I've been in
> > > > the world. As far as working those not licensed, how would you or I
> or
> > > > any of us be able to confirm that each station we work is really
> > > > licensed? What if you, for example, were to work someone signing
> F1DR.
> > > > Maybe, just to be on the safe side, you should stick to SWLing so as
> not
> > > > to run afoul of the regs.
> > >
> > > If I were informed that F1DR is a slim, why would I work him?
> >
> > Not just F1DR, Brian. Anyone you hear on any band could be a bootlegger.
> > Better play it safe.
> >
> > > > > I have only your word that these things happened. You could get out
> of
> > > this
> > > > > by just saying that you were pulling my leg.
> > > >
> > > > What is it that I need to get out of? Are you going to corner me with
> > > > one of your cat-like moves?
> > >
> > > Knowingly working French amateurs out of band.
> >
> > I wasn't out of band.
```

> >
> > > F1DR replied directly to you.
> >
> > There isn't any F1DR. Someone who sez he's F1DR replied to me. You saw
> > my response. I wasn't out of band.
>
> I goofed. Try this instead:
>
> Callsign: F1DFR FRANCE
> Name: OP : JEAN-MARC (J-M --> JIM PHONETICALLY)
> Addr2: ,
> Country: FRANCE
> Lookups: 170
>
> QSL Mgr: (QSL direct only , mail me to get my coordinates)
> Email: f1dfr@arrl.net
> QRZ Updated: 2000-04-27 04:29:10

He's not in my 5H logs on any band. He's not in my K8MN logs on any
band.

> > > You responded directly to him. Then you say you know nothing about >
> it. What's that called?
> >
> > Forgetfulness? I've not been in Tanzania for over two years. Do you
> > remember everything you've said or written in two years. In what way
> > does my response to the make-believe F1DR change what I've said about my
> > actions? What is any of this to you, Brian Burke, a non-resident of
> > Tanzania or of France on a matter in which you are not involved? You've
> > not even attempted to address your indignity to those who broke their
> > country's amateur radio regulations?
> >
> > QSL?
>
> QRM. You have such a convenient memory.

You must have had a convenient lapse of your own....F1DR?

Dave K8MN

989. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:15:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2A6351.2419D1E5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48700

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D29DDBB.70B43E4F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Hate to spoil a really grand rant, Len old boy but Heath and Rockwell
> >Collins are still in business no matter what they are doing. So is R.
> >L. Drake for that matter. "Ham Radio" magazine didn't change its target
> >market audience to the VCR repair trade. It is gone. It is no longer
> >being published.
>
> Hate to spoil a big effort to squeeze out a Word of Extras, Dave,
> but WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS does Rockwell
> Collins make?

> Are you going to say "mechanical filters?" If so, does
> Rockwell Collins market those SPECIFICALLY for ham
> radio? I don't think so. Rockwell Collins is in business and
> will sell those to anyone.

They're still in business unlike "Ham Radio" magazine.

> By the same associative logic you will want to use, a
> fastener manufacturer can make a fastener good for holding
> together some piece of ham gear...but that doesn't mean
> those fasteners are specifically marketed for ham radio.
> Don't try to screw anyone with that kind of distant association.
>
> Let us know when the brand-new KWM rolls off the Rockwell
> Collins assembly line, specifically marketed for ham radio.

Doesn't matter. They're still in business unlike "Ham Radio" magazine.

> WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS does Heath make?
>
> Inform the masses oh mighty extra.
>
> Please try to differentiate between "Heath" as in Heath/Zenith
> and "Heath" as in Heathkit Educational Systems. They aren't
> the same company. Heath/Zenith makes a nice ding-dong;
> Heathkit Educational Systems is a ding-dong.

Doesnt' matter. They're still in business. They may be defunct at some
point but as of now, they're still alive...unlike "Ham Radio" magazine.

> I'd say, by the Word of Extras, that the DEFUNCT kind of logic
> makes Heath and Rockwell Collins DEFUNCT insofar as
> the amateur radio business.

I'd say that you need some work on your linguistics.

> What outlet carries NEW amateur radio products from
> Heath or Rockwell?

It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio" magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham Radio"?

Dave K8MN

990. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:16:49 UTC
From: "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <lwtW8.14020$AK.14005@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 48801

Larry,

If you mean to defame me as a "Restructured CB'er", that's one thing. But you have no right at all in telling me what callsign I am entitled to. My callsign happens to be that of my late father, who was my "Elmer" in getting interested in Amateur Radio. HE worked his way from Novice in 1955 to General Class (having done your "precious" 13-wpm code). I am proud to have my father's callsign, regardless of whether you think I am entitled to it or not. Nobody just handed me my license out of a cereal box. Granted, I can't operate CW at more than 5 wpm, but you know what?? WHO CARES!! If I was going to sink to your level of thinking, I could say something like CW is the way of the dinosaur and that when all the old Hams (no offense meant to the older Hams...just making a point) dry up and go away, it will be the so-called "Restructured CB'ers" who will continue with the ARS. But I am above that and I will embrace every facet of the ARS. I may not be able to copy and send CW over 5 wpm, but there is more to it than that. Digital modes, such as APRS, Packet and PSK-31, are up and coming modes in the Service today. Emergency Communications, especially in the wake of Sept. 11th and the raging fires in CO and AZ, played a key role in helping cope with the aftermath that this country endured. Could 13-wpm CW have filled the needs for message handling to the variety of relief services that were involved? How many people at the Red Cross, Salvation Army, local law enforcement and fire departments know 13-wpm CW? Just because I don't use a keyer doesn't make me any less of a Ham.
--
John D Gubernard, K2LSX
k2lsx@arrl.net

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20020708204747.01509.00001182@mb-cg.aol.com...
> In article <Zf2W8.13335$AK.8572@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard, K2LSX"
> <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
>
> >Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Larry.
> >
> >That's why I'm going to ignore your comment about my being a "Restructured"
> >CB'er
> >
> >--
> >John D Gubernard, K2LSX
> > k2lsx@arrl.net
>
> John:

>
> Knock yourself out. Axeually, you're not just a "Restructured CB'er," but
> you're a Restructured CB'er with an old-timey looking/sounding Vanity
> callsign that you should not be entitled to.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

991. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:22:54 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A651E.353D73A4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48802

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Knock yourself out. Axeually, you're not just a "Restructured CB'er," but
> you're a Restructured CB'er with an old-timey looking/sounding Vanity
> callsign that you should not be entitled to.

I'm a restructured Extra with an old-timey looking/sounding Vanity callsign.
But wait, it is old-timey - same one that I held 50 years ago when I also
held all amateur frequency privileges.

I did a double take on John's callsign.

k 2l sx looks pretty exotic.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

992. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:26:04 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A65DC.62C6CE77@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48803

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3D28927E.83537601@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> >> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> >> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> >> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> >> TRVTH.
> > >
> > >You mean that any ham who dislikes you personally enough to be of the
> > >opinion that you are not worth talking to is defined to be a CBer?
> >
> > I don't know where you got that from, Cecil.

Just hypothesizing a ham who is indeed capable of 13+wpm but still
refuses to meet you in the CW portion of the band because he doesn't
want to QSO with the likes of you. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

993. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:30:09 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A66D1.1E0EAE08@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48804

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> It's getting quite rare
> these days to find a CW operator who can shift from 13 to 20 WPM and
> keep his inter- and intra-character spacing proportional to his/her
> aggregate sending speed.

Well, that's no wonder with everyone learning Farnsworth instead of
Morse. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

994. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:35:06 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A67FA.F280E644@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48805

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >If the privileges don't change, the value of the
> >license doesn't change. Ease or difficulty in obtaining those privileges
> >is irrelevant to the value of the license.
>
> If you say so, then I'll have to accept this as your opinion.

Not just an opinion, Larry, but a fact based on personal experience.
Like any other license I possess, the worth of the license is in the
privileges granted. Any worth beyond the privileges granted is just
a wet dream.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

995. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:39:11 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A68EF.FDE7225F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48806

Dan Finn wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D291779.6597D3CA@hotmail.com...
> > Dan Finn wrote:
> > > No, if you cannot do code at 13 wpm you are a Chicken Bander.
> >
> > What if they can do 13 wpm but just don't want to talk to Larry?
>
> I understand your original point. However, it is assumed that anyone using
> CW mode does not want to "talk" to the amateur operator in question because
> "talking" is only possible in voice mode. It makes perfect sense that the 13
> wpm operator would not wish to "talk" to Larry or anyone else while
> operating in CW mode.

From Webster's: "talk - 8. to communicate ideas by means other than speech, as
by writing, signs, or signals."

Is English your native language, Dan?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

996. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:41:17 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A696D.C31A9303@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48807

Dick Carroll wrote:
> What I find curious is that all you guys are hanging out listening to
> the that stuff-whatever it is. Doncha have more constructive things to
> do?

What more constructive things are there to do than listen to a
bunch of coded, i.e. Real Hams?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

997. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:43:26 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A69EE.E230300A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48809

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Vshah101 wrote:
> > These are some of the ways memorizing the questions are easier.
>
> ATTENTION ED HARE: Here's another witness for you to ignore.

Dick, why do you advocate easy ways to memorize Morse code but
not the written material?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

998. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:44:14 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <agdpov$jpmss$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"andy" <music@soon.com> wrote in message
news:ag6at5$oq1$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:o71diuc56i3s0aobqaiic5d91ku8ok3jcg@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 05 Jul 2002 23:54:49 GMT, "Brian P Burke"
> > <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote:
> >
> > > We're on the moneyed side of the Atlantic, if you're uncertain.
> >
> > It doesn't look that way to us, judging by the recent performance of
> > the NY Stock Exchange!
> > Worldcom is on your side of the Atlantic, no?
>
> And we won't mention Xerox and Enron.
> Oops, I accidentally did...
>
Or the 4 trillion dollar federal deficit

We had the Mobile phone networks pay off ours,
by auctioning them some fantasy called 3G :-)

Steve Terry

999. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 04:47:34 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A6AE6.81115431@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48810

Dave Heil wrote:
> It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
> magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
> Radio"?

You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1000. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 05:00:27 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <agdqnc$l23df$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48811
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<ccox@chopped-ham-filtre.uhc.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:agcbtn$d0d$1@gabriel.uhc.com...
> In uk.radio.amateur KA8DDZ <ka8ddz@hotmail.com> wrote:
<snip>
> Actually, I take back that previous sentence; the morse assessment would
> be sufficient for someone who only wanted to take part in the QRSS (ultra-
> slow speed morse) experiments on the 136kHz LF band!
> Well, perhaps...
> Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC
>
FLs on 136KHz !!
You want them to wipe out their neighbours Hi-Fis ?

I can't think of a quicker way of getting a question asked in the house

"Mr Speaker, A member of my constituency, a Mrs Smegma of Acacia Ave.
what trying to listen the Archers on Radio 4, when a person calling himself
M3xxx
calling CQ on 136, interfered with her listening
What's the right honourable Mr Secretary of state for the Home office going to
do about it ?"

Steve Terry

1001. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 05:14:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709011434.05871.00003474@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48812

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 07/08/02 15:28 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyxtvym.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>I can take Len's side on this, because I too have first-hand knowledge
> of the volatile "we lose a contract you lose your job no matter how
> good you are"...(SNIP)

I am surprised you got into this, Phil.

I have no qualms about ANYONE changing jobs, regardless of whether it was
a personal choice or mandated by market forces.

I have several friends who have had several "careers" since we left high
school...Not because they are unable or unwilling to keep a job, but because of
the same market forces you cite, or just a desire to experience a wide breadth
of interests.

Do you not know any bretheren lawyers who have practiced different types
of law as thier interests changed or as the need arised?

My point is that His Royal Hindass finds it necessary to belittle others
over thier choices or changes, yet when he cites HIS "CV", it is riddled with
changes and holes.

His usual "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do" rhetoric, Phil.

By taking his "side", you are acknowledging that behaviour as acceptable.
Do you assert that that is acceptable, Phil?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1002. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 05:25:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2A55F1.C6E52902@mailcity.net>
Source record: 48813
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Yetsko wrote:

>
> In the old days you almost knew what the exam was. You could get
> what was called the 'Bash Books' and basically know what you needed
> to walk in and out with a ticket. Now you have 'question pools' that are
> at LEAST an order of magnitude than they used to be, you have many
> more varied and dissimilar topics, and you have a MUCH more controlled
> environment at the entry level.
>
> Mike

In the *old* days you never new what questions would be on the
exam and you had to draw schematics of circuits and explaine how
they worked.

1003. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 05:27:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agds7djbf0@208.207.71.138>
Source record: 48814

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > What I find curious is that all you guys are hanging out listening to
> > the that stuff-whatever it is. Doncha have more constructive things to
> > do?
>
> What more constructive things are there to do than listen to a
> bunch of coded, i.e. Real Hams?

So then you consider that all coded Real Hams engage in such drivel. NO wonder you're out of it.

1004. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 05:40:25 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A7749.5D7E36F7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48815

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > What I find curious is that all you guys are hanging out listening to
> > > the that stuff-whatever it is. Doncha have more constructive things to
> > > do?
> >
> > What more constructive things are there to do than listen to a
> > bunch of coded, i.e. Real Hams?
>
> So then you consider that all coded Real Hams engage in such drivel. NO
> wonder you're out of it.

Close, but no cigar, Dick. All hams who engage in such drivel on HF
are coded.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1005. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 06:03:40 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <juukiu4jsm4to6i1u3ojmpkcrn5edujn17@4ax.com>
Source record: 48816
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 9 Jul 2002 06:00:27 +0100, "Steve Terry"
<g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote:

>FLs on 136KHz !!
>You want them to wipe out their neighbours Hi-Fis ?
>
>I can't think of a quicker way of getting a question asked in the house

In that case, the more encouragement we give them the better!

:-)
73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

1006. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 09:12:07 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709051207.26133.00003144@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 48820

>You mean there are CBers that went through the effort of
>learning code?

Nah, never happen. ;-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1007. Re: Replacing CW by PSK31

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 10:05:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aged6n$n7s$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48821

Look angel if your gonna troll at least sound reasonable.

If the Titanic had a satellite link, and all other things being the same, it still would have sunk and killed so many folks.

Other than that I agree. PSK31 works well.

But just because something works is not a reason to discard something else.

Dan/W4NTI

"Angel G" <digimode@nospam.com> wrote in message news:200279-1246-351156@foorum.com...
>
>
> Well, about 680.000 US hams and no PSK31 from USA on 6m ? Where are you ??
>
> 3rd millenium is the age of new radio technology, it's time to show to the
> world that ham-radio operators are not only sticked with OBSOLET CW. If the
> TITANIC had the PSK31, all the passengers had been probably saved.
>
> There are nice softwares like WinpskSE, use it !
>
> There is a lot of PSK31 on 10m but very very few on 6m. Hurry US guys, call
> Europe in PSK31 ! We listen to you in PSK31 between 50.250 and 50.280 MHz. TNX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

1008. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:08:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709070806.19575.00002478@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48822

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-
>licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who
>decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.

Remember, guys, Larry has told us that the only reason he posts such stuff is
to stir the pot.

Pity that his biggest "accomplishment" in ham radio seems to be stirring up
trouble and enjoying the result.

Don't take him seriously; he doesn't.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1009. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:10:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709071029.19575.00002479@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48823

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Side note- Why hasn't anyone ever written and published a
>stgraightforward, sensible tutorial on the Chart?

Feb 1966 QST 30 Smith-Chart Calculations for the Radio Amateur, Part II
Article: http://www.arrl.org/members-only/tis/info/pdf/26630.pdf (371,024
bytes)
Related page: Smith Chart
Keywords: TUTORIAL TRANSMISSION LINE Hall, Gerald L., K1PLP

Jan 1966 QST 22 Smith-Chart Calculations for the Radio Amateur, Part I
Article: http://www.arrl.org/members-only/tis/info/pdf/16622.pdf (556,100
bytes)
Related page: Smith Chart
Keywords: TUTORIAL TRANSMISSION LINE Hall, Gerald L., K1PLP

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1010. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:14:26 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709071426.19575.00002480@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48824

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>W1RFI wrote:

>> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >> Be careful Larry that someone isn't using a computer program to
>> >> send/ receive CW.....

>> >You think he couldn't tell the difference? Wrong.

>> I knew a ham (now SK) that used a keyboard and computer to send Morse code,
>but
>> copied by ear. How would Larry know the difference?

>Sometimes he won't. Much or most of the time one can tell the difference
>in the way characters and spacing comes out. Can't you?

I cannot tell from the way the sent characters and spacing come out whether the
operator on the other end is copying with the computer program or by ear.

How do you tell?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1011. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:17:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709071721.19575.00002481@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48825

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>I knew a ham (now SK) that used a keyboard and computer to send Morse code,
>>but
>>copied by ear. How would Larry know the difference?

>Through experience as a CW operator, I have trained myself to be able
>to discriminate between hand-keyed Morse and that sent using mechanical
>keyers (bugs) and electronic keyers.

Fine, but my point was that the ham involved was copying by ear. How could you tell that so you could avoid insulting him over his use of the keyboard to send?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1012. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:20:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709072000.19575.00002482@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48826

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Larry has admitted that he says such things just to stir up discussion
>>here. He enjoys watching people get as upset about life as he is.

> Larry's not the only one upset with what has happened to ham radio, by
>several hundred thousand.

So they are saying and doing things to make sure that everybody else involved in ham radio is upset, too? Do they really think that stirring the pot and turning ham against ham is good for the Amateur Radio Service?

And, by my count, it is far from several hundred thousand; on this forum, I see maybe a half dozen that feel so badly about the changes in ham radio that they want to piss on it and everyone in it that doesn't also want to piss on it and everyone in it.

Pretty sad, actually, that some old timers have come to this.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1013. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:22:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709072232.19575.00002483@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48827

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If there is something incorrect with that particular pronunciation,
>please, enlighten us -- what is it, what causes it, and why do
>more educated, cultured, and conservative members of that same
>group use the correct pronunciaton "ask" -- thus proving that it's
>not some kind of ethnicity-related speech impediment?

Language has been evolving and changing since Og first used different grunts to designate different things. I am sure that each generation thought that its generation of language was correct and any changes in use, pronounciation or syntax were "uncultured." Thou dost understand?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1014. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:25:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709072540.19575.00002484@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48828

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check those actuarial
>> tables.

>I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
>overseas in 1985.

Up, Brian better add himself to one of those actuarial tables now.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1015. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:32:44 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709073244.19575.00002486@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48829

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> But you apparently expect others to, eh?
>
>If you've been told they're illegal, what's to check?

I have never been told that someone is operating illegally while they are in QSO with me. When do you think I was told that?

>Your ethics would have me talking to both all day long.

Not at all, Brian; my ethics would prevent me from obsessing about it on a public newsgroup.

>> >You and Dave say YES to both questions!
>>
>> No, I have not said YES to both questions, Brian.

>You keep me guessing with an unclear answer that I've asked you to clarify,
>but won't. You did, however, post a lot of words.

My answer was quite clear, Brian. It has never happened to me. Your getting "yes" from that is either disengenuous or demonstrates very faulty thought. Which is it?

>I asked what WOULD you do. You said:

>1. it never happened to you and ignore the question

I can think of many things in life that I cannot tell you what I would do until confronted with the situation, Brian. Would I run into a burning building to save a trapped child if I felt there was a chance I would not get out? Until faced with that one, I don't know what I would do.

>Here's you chance to clarify.

It has never come up in my life before, Brian.

>> Another delusion, Brian; I didn't question your reputation.
>
>You questioned my integrity.

Getting "yes" from my answers does not demonstrate integrity. I am not sure what you think questioned your integrity, but you probably got it the same way you got "yes" out of my saying that it has never happened to me.

>> Must be more "What was said; what Brian heard" sorts of things.

>Well there you have it!

No, Brian, there YOU have it. If it comforts you think that you now have the answer to your question from all of this, you can "have it" all you want.

>Cronyism.

Yup, new obsession.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1016. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:34:08 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709073408.19575.00002487@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48830

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> It all rests with the actuarial tables.

>One DOES wonder about someone who has such a longterm fixation on the
>actuarial tables.

And Farnsworth and whether Dave knew that hams were operating out of band and
-- his latest -- everything that disagrees with him is "cronyism."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1017. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:37:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709073730.19575.00002488@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48831

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>You said that you expected me to spray paint grafitti on your car. That is
>being accused of being a vandal. Being a vandal is not my mission.

He didn't accuse you of being a vandal, Brian. To do that he would have had to
have said that you actually painted grafitti on his car.

Be careful of what you build up in your mind about these things; some people
have reasonable memories and can recall exactly what was actually said.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1018. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:41:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709074156.19575.00002489@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48832

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Nor can I. But I'd not keep working them out of band once I was informed
>what their operating priveleges were.

If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out of band,
I might question whether that one person was right.

>Then why complain to me?

Then why are you complaining about all this here instead of to the French
authorities?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1019. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:43:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709074319.19575.00002490@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48833

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> >> >Who is Jay Hare?

>> >> I don't know. I never met him.

>Was he your father?

Do you really think I never met my father?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1020. Re: On Dave working bootleggers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:44:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709074428.19575.00002491@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48834

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ed, AGAIN, if you know they are out of band...

That has never happened to me.

>Dave was told.

I was not.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1021. Re: captivating reading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:47:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709074733.19575.00002492@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48835

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>ATTENTION ED HARE: Here's another witness for you to ignore.

He isn't saying that he did it; like you, he is saying that he thinks others must be doing it.

Besides, when you posted that, I didn't ignore you; I kept posting questions and answers from the pool until you admitted that the pool was a lot better now.

Have you submitted questions to the Question Pool committee for the upcoming Technician pool revisions? Here is your opportunity to improve things even more.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1022. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:50:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709075007.19575.00002493@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48836

From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

>Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only 5
>questions!
>Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)

I could memorize the schematic for a spark transmitter in a minute or two. I could memorize the schematic for a single-tube oscillator in slightly more time and a two-tube xtal transmitter would be about 10 minutes, from scratch.

You don't think that hams were rote memorizing to pass their tests in the 1920s, do you? Has ham radio been ruined since all that long ago?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1023. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:52:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709075230.19575.00002495@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48837

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Does that explain their behaviour? Sometimes otherwise intelligent
>people get a bit stupid. Look at Cecil.

Cecil said that he didn't have to put in much effort to pass his tests in the 1950s. By your logic, we should only recruit hams who do not find ham radio to be natural and easy.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1024. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:55:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709075538.19575.00002496@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48838

From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)

>I hope the Morse requirement goes away in 11 months. I will not learn Morse
>code. I will wait until it goes away before upgrading my licence.

Once the international requirement is changed, it will still be law in the US.
The FCC will have to issue an NPRM, go through a comment and reply comment
period and then make a decision. That process could be long or short.

>Good thing a technician class gives enough band access for experimentation.

I look forward to seeing the results of your experimentation published
somewhere.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1025. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:58:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709075815.19575.00002497@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48839

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>If you found a winning lottery ticket laying on the ground, would it be
>>valueless to you compared to the one you bought that didn't win? The first
>>came to you with no effort.

>Hmmm -- a specious but totally irrelevant example.

I am surprised that you couldn't offer a reasonable counterpoint, Larry. If all you can manage is to proclaim my example irrelevant, I take it that I won the point.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1026. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 11:59:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709075934.19575.00002498@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48840

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>One way or the other, an effort had to be made to gain that knowledge.

Probably so, but the test is graded on the knowledge, not the effort. Hams for whom it came easily and those for whom it was a struggle are scored exactly the same way.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1027. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 12:00:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709080041.19575.00002499@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48841

From: "KB9TMP" kb9tmp@juno.com

>I've held a CB radio license since 5/12/1975 (expired 1980) and the FCC
>gave me a ham radio license, does that count? If so can I have the $100 ;^)

Not until the FCC issues a similar license to "all" CBers. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1028. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 12:02:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709080216.19575.00002500@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 48842

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> So you're out of touch with reality. We already knew that, of course.

As your "out of touch with reality" list grows, sooner or later, it will include everyone but you, Dick. Then what?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1029. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 12:11:58 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.09.05.12.12.731.17256@cox.net>
Source record: 48843

well, its a good thing you aren't the "poliocy maker" for the fcc or for the ARRL for that matter.

still want to try and lock out the fastest growing segment of amateur radio? go right ahead and try.

there is a law that predates you: adapt or die.

well, are you going to adapt or go the way of the dinosaur?

Technomage

In article <3D268B46.D7F4756A@njnet.com>, "stevebg" <sbgood@njnet.com> wrote:

> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> CW or CB -- no other choice.

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

1030. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 12:42:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0207090442.6aaf6629@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48844

wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0207081059.687ea526@posting.google.com>...
> Hi Jim,
>
> Sorry to have not responded sooner, no disrespect meant. It's been a
> heckuva busy week. :-)

No problem, Bert - been there done that.
>
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020702080615.05984.00000323@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> > In article <20020630093931.05502.00000536@mb-de.news.cs.com>,
> > eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) writes:
> > >
> > >I agree with your post with the exception of this little gem. Many folks
> > >(like
> > >six or seven MILLION!) happen to like the 27 MHz CB.
> > >
> > Where does that number come from? CB licenses are long gone, and there is no
> > form of registration or other formal way to know how many cb users exist
> > today.
>
> I happen to collect past issues of various CB and AR periodicals.

Same here, but I only collect amateur radio periodicals, QST in
particular.

> I'm
> one of those kooks who feels the best way to see where things are
> going is to study where they're coming from.

Make that two kooks...

> While I have no specific
> sources to quote, most publications put the number of "licensed"
> CBer's at approx. 20 million during the height of the "craze."

Before the gas crises of the 1970s, the cb license population
stabilized at around 800,000. By 1977 FCC had issued approximately 10
million cb licenses.

See:

http://www.qsl.net/ecara/wayback/page32.html

for more detail on this. The end of cb licensing, regulations against
ham linears, Class E cb, Jack Anderson, and more, all in a very short

time.

> Now,
> given the popularity of 11-meter "hobby" radio, I have no reservations
> of reducing the "craze" population by two-thirds or even
> three-quarters.

I would say it has been reduced far more than that. 1977 was 25 years ago, and there hasn't been a gas crisis of any magnitude since then. In fact, gasoline prices are not much more now than in 1977 - imagine the real cost once you adjust for inflation.

cb was a fad in the 1970s, like disco. It's still out there, but not at anywhere near the level of those days.

> Add to this the number of truckers who use the CB
> along with other non-hobbyists, and I'm quite comfortable that that
> number stands up quite well. (That's not even counting non-US 11-meter
> ops.)

I have to disagree, Bert. Even if the number was significantly more than 10 million, there's no way to correlate that peak to the number of users today unless there's some reliable current information. With no licenses, that job is more difficult.

Some possible indicators are the number of cb sets sold in the USA in a recent year, and circulation totals of cb magazines.

> Now, while you may not see as many CB antennas in your area...I still
> see a good amout in my travels.

It may be a regional thing. It used to be very common to see cb antennas on cars and homes around here. Now it's a rarity. I can understand the house antennas becoming less visible, but you can't hide it on a car.

> I personally use my 80-meter doublet
> as it's convenient to just use another input to the tuner for the CB,
> so you won't see a CB antenna over my roof either. (God, trees make
> GREAT towers!) The trick is not so much how many are there as is how
> to get them all to pull on the same side of the rope?

'zactly.

> Jerry's idea is
> one of the few that actually has a shot-n-heck at accomplishing this.
> That'd be pretty funny if you ask me...irate ARO calls for the
> abolition of the CB (Remember, we're talking legal 40 now.) and
> unwittingly becomes the "father of the uprising."

Law of unexpected consequences. Happens all the time. One wonders what would have happened if the Class E cb thing had actually come about.

> Of course, I'm
> joking Jim. Just having a laugh and injecting some levity into the
> situation.

Yup - but there's a serious bit of truth in there.

> Type 11-meters into your favorite search engine and tell me
> if six to seven million seems terribl exaggerated.

I'd say maybe one million cb users, tops. That includes freebanders using the part of the spectrum near 27 MHz. Just a WAG, but so are all the other numbers presented so far.

> If so let's back it
> down to say, two and a half million. Hey, aren't there only approx.
> 680,000 licensed US ARO's. Who'd-a-thought?

Yup.

Here's a thought for you: There are probably MORE US hams using CW today than back before WW2.

> Take care now. :-)
>
Roger that!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1031. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 12:55:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agemgd$f05$0@208.207.71.161>
Source record: 48845

W1RFI wrote:
>
> I cannot tell from the way the sent characters and spacing come out whether the
> operator on the other end is copying with the computer program or by ear.
>
> How do you tell?

I don't, obviously. His sending is where one can often tell the
difference. But if he's copying with a computer he's VERY likely to miss
large chunks of ordinary CW due to 'hits' caused by atmospherics. NOT
referring to EME super slow computer copied code, just to forstall
another troll)

Actually,Cecil doesn't need any further assistance in his trolling
efforts. He does just fine alone.

1032. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 13:04:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agen0m$f05$1@208.207.71.161>
Source record: 48846

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >>If you found a winning lottery ticket laying on the ground, would it be
> >>valueless to you compared to the one you bought that didn't win? The first
> >>came to you with no effort.
>
> >Hmmm -- a specious but totally irrelevant example.
>
> I am surprised that you couldn't offer a reasonable counterpoint, Larry. If all
> you can manage is to proclaim my example irrelevant, I take it that I won the
> point.

Don't you think he meant that one doesn't find a ham radio license
lying on the ground? You have to go to a VE session to find one.

1033. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 13:07:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agen6k$f05$2@208.207.71.161>
Source record: 48847

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > So you're out of touch with reality. We already knew that, of course.
>
> As your "out of touch with reality" list grows,

Fortunately it's a slow grower but it is gaining ground. Were you out of touch with reality back in the 60's in your hippie days? You must have found some a little later, if so. Because someone is out of touch doesn't mean they must stay in that condition forever.

1034. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 15:51:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709115129.23153.00001058@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 48848

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gyxt87l.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>>Heath Company of the famous "Heathkit" marque, are
>>DEFUNCT in the electronic kit and ham radio business.
>>Heath was sold to Zenith,
>
> Wasn't it owned by Shlumberger before it was sold to Zenith?

I suppose so...but that isn't germane to the subject, is it?

The whole point of the "DEFUNCT" crowd is to crow about something totally dead and extinct...except one can't mention beloved emotional icons "Collins" or "Heath." :-)

Heath/Zenith makes a nice little set of door chimes, mostly wireless, many decors and sound possibilities, plug-in or stand-alone battery powered. The Heath/Zenith marque is owned by a larger corporation in the southeast.

Nobody rings my chimes like the "technical experts" in here who have memorized the ad content and phrasing of ready-built ham equipment but think that electronic rocket science is demonstrated by knowing something more difficult than Ohm's law. :-)

1035. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 16:12:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agf21r$8bn$0@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 48849

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > What I find curious is that all you guys are hanging out listening to
> > > > the that stuff-whatever it is. Doncha have more constructive things to
> > > > do?
> > >
> > > What more constructive things are there to do than listen to a
> > > bunch of coded, i.e. Real Hams?
> >
> > So then you consider that all coded Real Hams engage in such drivel. NO
> > wonder you're out of it.
>
> Close, but no cigar, Dick. All hams who engage in such drivel on HF
> are coded.

Typically, you regard all who have received credit for a code test as
"coded" however wrong that is. And it is. Over the years many have
scammed the system to get that credit. Until fairly recent times FCC
didn't even require ID of ham radio applicants. Some bold folk even paid
someone who could copy CW to go take the test for them, including the
written. Most every oldtimer, and lots of young timers too, knows of
someone who went away and came home with a General ticket while he
couldn't copy a character of code. Very few were caught, most were not.
So your point, like most of yours, is lame. The numbers of those jokers
you refer to is almost certainly smaller than the number who scammed a
ticket.
Nope, no proof. You don't have any either.

The larger point is that you don't see any of that trash on the CW
bands. If those "coded" hams were operating CW they wouldn't be involved
in that garbage.

1036. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 16:13:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agf23a$8bn$1@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 48850

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:agc25fcvb2@208.207.71.143...
> >
> > If you think the did its because you don't know the facts.
> >
> > Does that explain their behaviour? Sometimes otherwise intelligent
> > people get a bit stupid. Look at Cecil.
>
> And sometimes otherwise unintelligent people don't disappoint.

And you're the poster boy.1

1037. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 17:31:11 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B1DDF.408D8927@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48901

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Close, but no cigar, Dick. All hams who engage in such drivel on HF
> > are coded.
>
> Typically, you regard all who have received credit for a code test as
> "coded" however wrong that is.

OK, Dick, please lay your definition of "coded" on us. My definition is anyone who can send Morse code by hand and receive Morse code by ear. Proof that someone can send/receive Morse code is the Morse code test. If that proof is good enough for the FCC, why is it not good enough for you? Please estimate the percentage of hams who got credit for a Morse code exam and are not coded. And please prove that those hams tend to congregate on 3.950 MHz. From the listening I've done on 3.950, I would say those hams are virtually identical to you - impolite, mean spirited, and close minded.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1038. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 17:59:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709135908.17303.00000064@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48902

In article <20020709075815.19575.00002497@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>Hmmm -- a specious but totally irrelevant example.
>
>I am surprised that you couldn't offer a reasonable counterpoint, Larry. If all
>you can manage is to proclaim my example irrelevant, I take it that I won the
>point.

Why do you take Roll seriously?

1039. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 17:59:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709135908.17303.00000065@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48904

In article <3D2A6AE6.81115431@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
>> magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
>> Radio"?
>
>You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)

That's wayyyy too subtle, Cecil. :-)

The average PCTA in here can't distinguish subtlety due to the
overwhelming emotional bias for code.

$150 + $7 shipping and handling gets anyone a copy of all the
articles in Ham Radio Magazine for its 22 year history. Folks can
then make all the personal reprints they want from those CDs
which are available from the ARRL.

They "don't exist." They are "dead," "extinct." Nothing in them
applies to amateur radio, all according to certain PCTAs. :-)

1040. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 17:59:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709135910.17303.00000067@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48903

In article <20020709074156.19575.00002489@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>Nor can I. But I'd not keep working them out of band once I was informed
>>what their operating priveleges were.
>
>If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out of band,
>I might question whether that one person was right.
>
>>Then why complain to me?
>
>Then why are you complaining about all this here instead of to the French
>authorities?

Is this one of those "you can't complain as you do, you can only complain as I do" things?

1041. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 17:59:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709135910.17303.00000066@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48906

In article <3D2A6351.2419D1E5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D29DDBB.70B43E4F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Hate to spoil a really grand rant, Len old boy but Heath and Rockwell
>> >Collins are still in business no matter what they are doing. So is R.
>> >L. Drake for that matter. "Ham Radio" magazine didn't change its target
>> >market audience to the VCR repair trade. It is gone. It is no longer
>> >being published.
>>
>> Hate to spoil a big effort to squeeze out a Word of Extras, Dave,
>> but WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS does Rockwell
>> Collins make?
>
>> Are you going to say "mechanical filters?" If so, does
>> Rockwell Collins market those SPECIFICALLY for ham
>> radio? I don't think so. Rockwell Collins is in business and
>> will sell those to anyone.
>
>They're still in business unlike "Ham Radio" magazine.

They aren't "Collins Radio" of Iowa anymore. They were purchased
by Rockwell International some time ago.

I'll ask again, WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS are currently
being manufactured by Rockwell Collins?

>> By the same associative logic you will want to use, a
>> fastener manufacturer can make a fastener good for holding
>> together some piece of ham gear...but that doesn't mean
>> those fasteners are specifically marketed for ham radio.
>> Don't try to screw anyone with that kind of distant association.
>>
>> Let us know when the brand-new KWM rolls off the Rockwell
>> Collins assembly line, specifically marketed for ham radio.
>
>Doesn't matter. They're still in business unlike "Ham Radio" magazine.

The Ham Radio Bookstore was purchased from Communications
Technology, Inc., and is still in business and advertised in CQ.

Part of Ham Radio Magazine (that was sold to CQ) became
Communications Quarterly and that merged with QEX. Are you
saying that QEX is now "defunct?"

"Defunct" is defined as "dead, extinct." If Ham Radio Magazine
is "dead" or "extinct," why are expensive CDs containing all their
articles in 22 years of existance resold by the ARRL?

Are you saying the ARRL is also into "radio archaeology" activity?
:-)

>> WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS does Heath make?
>>
>> Inform the masses oh mighty extra.
>>
>> Please try to differentiate between "Heath" as in Heath/Zenith
>> and "Heath" as in Heathkit Educational Systems. They aren't
>> the same company. Heath/Zenith makes a nice ding-dong;
>> Heathkit Educational Systems is a ding-dong.
>
>Doesnt' matter. They're still in business. They may be defunct at some
>point but as of now, they're still alive...unlike "Ham Radio" magazine.

Heathkit Educational Systems DOES NOT MAKE HAM EQUIPMENT.

As far as amateur radio equipment is concerned, Heath is DEFUNCT.

>> I'd say, by the Word of Extras, that the DEFUNCT kind of logic
>> makes Heath and Rockwell Collins DEFUNCT insofar as
>> the amateur radio business.
>
>I'd say that you need some work on your linguistics.

Oh mighty extra, are you now an amateur expert on linguistics?
[more likely linguini...]

You show me NEW amateur radio equipment or ham radio kits
made by either Rockwell Collins or Heath Company and I will
retract many of my words. Not a problem for me. But you have
a great big amateur extra problem with being defunct.

As far as new ham equipment is concerned, Rockwell Collins
is DEFUNCT.

As far as new ham equipment is concerned, Heath Company
is DEFUNCT.

>> What outlet carries NEW amateur radio products from
>> Heath or Rockwell?
>
>It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
>magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
>Radio"?

It DOES matter. In which ham radio store can you buy newly-
manufactured "Collins" or "Heath" ham gear? I can't locate a single
year 2002 Collins KWM or even a year 2001 Heath SSB transceiver.

1042. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 17:59:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709135911.17303.00000068@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48905

In article <20020709073730.19575.00002488@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>You said that you expected me to spray paint grafitti on your car. That is
>>being accused of being a vandal. Being a vandal is not my mission.
>
>He didn't accuse you of being a vandal, Brian. To do that he would have had
to
>have said that you actually painted grafitti on his car.

How do you know if it would have improved the appearance of the car?

What does a car have to do with it?

Is it for mobile ham radio operation?

Is there an "official" paint scheme for mobile ham radio operation?

>Be careful of what you build up in your mind about these things; some people
>have reasonable memories and can recall exactly what was actually said.

Ah, yes, good old Google. :-)

Guess who will want everyone to "live" in the Google archives to
prove that they were "offended" and are truly Words of Extras. :-)

1043. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 18:06:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709140648.01164.00000431@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 48907

In article <20020709075538.19575.00002496@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>
>>I hope the Morse requirement goes away in 11 months. I will not learn Morse
>>code. I will wait until it goes away before upgrading my licence.
>
>Once the international requirement is changed, it will still be law in the
>US.

True

>The FCC will have to issue an NPRM, go through a comment and reply comment
>period and then make a decision.

Are you sure the FCC must go through the NPRM process, Ed? Did FCC do that when the sending test was waived (1977) or when the RFI exposure rules were put into effect (mid-90s)?

Seems to me that FCC went over the whole codetest issue with a fine-toothed comb back in 1998-1999. They asked for, and received, all sorts of input on the subject, and their conclusion was that there was "no regulatory purpose" to continued code testing other than compliance with S25.5.

Has FCC changed their mind on this? If so, it hasn't been made public. Without S25.5, it's logical that the FCC would simply waive Element 1, since they think that without S25.5 there is "no regulatory purpose" to keep any code testing.

>That process could be long or short.

I'm betting on short. Very short. Particularly since there will be at least one petition before the FCC to dump Element 1 as soon as S25.5 goes away.

Is there any legal requirement for an NPRM to remove Element 1 after S25.5 is gone, particularly given the fact that the subject was thoroughly reviewed by the FCC in 1998-1999?

>>Good thing a technician class gives enough band access for experimentation.

All amateur operating privileges above 30 MHz. In fact, the 6 meter band (just one band!) offers more spectrum space than all 9 amateur HF/MF bands.
>
>I look forward to seeing the results of your experimentation published
>somewhere.

That process could be long or short. I'm not betting on short.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1044. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 18:11:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agf906$m1b$0@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 48908

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Close, but no cigar, Dick. All hams who engage in such drivel on HF
> > > are coded.
> >
> > Typically, you regard all who have received credit for a code test as
> > "coded" however wrong that is.
>
> OK, Dick, please lay your definition of "coded" on us.

Nice snippage of everything pertinent in my post so as to make yours
appear accurate. Old trick, won't work.

My definition is
> anyone who can send Morse code by hand and receive Morse code by ear. Proof
> that someone can send/receive Morse code is the Morse code test.

And what did I just say? There have been lots of hams who received
credit for code tests they didn't actually take. So again you come up
lame.

Please
> estimate the percentage of hams who got credit for a Morse code exam and are
> not coded.

Judging from 8 years of administer easy CW tests as a VE the number is
still undefined but can easily be said to be PLENTY.

And please prove that those hams tend to congregate on 3.950 MHz.

CW ops sure don't congregate there, now, do they? Nor elsewhere the
garbage is found on the bands.

> From the listening I've done on 3.950, I would say those hams are virtually
> identical to you - impolite, mean spirited, and close minded.

Shows how little you know about me. I can readily believe you were
looking in the mirror when you spewed that one.

I don't believe in giving ham tickets away. Seems a certainty you do.

1045. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 18:27:59 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207091027.2eb0524d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48909

Hi again Jim,

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<9f4bfe89.0207090442.6aaf6629@posting.google.com>...
> > I happen to collect past issues of various CB and AR periodicals.
>
> Same here, but I only collect amateur radio periodicals, QST in
> particular.

I recently sent a chap in Manhattan an old issue that had his picture
on the front cover from the early 80's. I don't know what compelled me
to drop him an e-mail to see if he was interested, but it turned out
that he'd lost his copy. I was all too glad to part with a piece of my
collection to make this fella's day. :-)

> > I'm
> > one of those kooks who feels the best way to see where things are
> > going is to study where they're coming from.
>
> Make that two kooks...

My XYL has some stronger terminology for husbands who receive heavy
bundles of "ancient magazines" in the mail..."you didn't pay allot for
these, did you?" Yet the same XYL left my first 10-80 rig under the
Christmas tree along with a Vibroplex straight key. The card on the
straight key read "For this price, you'd better learn Morse!"

> > While I have no specific
> > sources to quote, most publications put the number of "licensed"
> > CBer's at approx. 20 million during the height of the "craze."
>
> Before the gas crises of the 1970s, the cb license population
> stabilized at around 800,000. By 1977 FCC had issued approximately 10
> million cb licenses.
>
> See:
>
> http://www.qsl.net/ecara/wayback/page32.html
>
> for more detail on this. The end of cb licensing, regulations against
> ham linears, Class E cb, Jack Anderson, and more, all in a very short
> time.

Gotcha, love it. Thanks for the link.

> > Now,
> > given the popularity of 11-meter "hobby" radio, I have no reservations
> > of reducing the "craze" population by two-thirds or even
> > three-quarters.
>
> I would say it has been reduced far more than that. 1977 was 25 years
> ago, and there hasn't been a gas crisis of any magnitude since then.
> In fact, gasoline prices are not much more now than in 1977 - imagine
> the real cost once you adjust for inflation.
>
> cb was a fad in the 1970s, like disco. It's still out there, but not
> at anywhere near the level of those days.

I'll go along with most of this. However, CB has definitely morphed into a pseudo-hobby band. I'll leave the debate about the fact that this was not the original intent alone. It is what it is now. Sure, there are lots of lids there...but there are lots of good folks left too. Unfortunately, the lids "squeak" the loudest and get most of the attention. :-(

> > Add to this the number of truckers who use the CB
> > along with other non-hobbyists, and I'm quite comfortable that that
> > number stands up quite well. (That's not even counting non-US 11-meter
> > ops.)

In another USENET thread, I recently read that there are currently over 2,000,000 active OTR truckers in the US. I've rarely ever seen a OTR truck not equipped with 11-meter CB gear. (Or AR gear that transmits on the CB.) I'm not sure how true this is? I suppose it can be verified via the DOT. Although they do not share the same interest as their "hobby-minded" breathren, their electoral and economic might would be a plus.

> I have to disagree, Bert. Even if the number was significantly more
> than 10 million, there's no way to correlate that peak to the number
> of users today unless there's some reliable current information. With
> no licenses, that job is more difficult.

Agreed.

> Some possible indicators are the number of cb sets sold in the USA in
> a recent year, and circulation totals of cb magazines.

Unfortunately, many of the rigs that are in use on 11-meters are modded AR gear. In actuality, many of these rigs are designed with 11-meters in mind and once enabled...run cleaner than the type-accepted stuff. I don't know whether to curse that guy running a AR-3500 or FT-840 @ 30w on the "legal 40" whose NOT causing me any TVI/RFI or the guy running the stock Kraco whose wiping out evrything within a three house radius. <ggg> Of course, I know the correct answer. Just joshin' around.

> > Now, while you may not see as many CB antennas in your area...I still
> > see a good amout in my travels.

>
> It may be a regional thing. It used to be very common to see cb
> antennas on cars and homes around here. Now it's a rarity. I can
> understand the house antennas becoming less visible, but you can't
> hide it on a car.

This is one thing that I'm fairly certain of. Over the past 20 years
or so, the CB has gone from a primarily mobile service to more of a
home-based one while AR has made some really significant strides wrt
"going mobile." (...as well as portable.)

> > I personally use my 80-meter doublet
> > as it's convenient to just use another input to the tuner for the CB,
> > so you won't see a CB antenna over my roof either. (God, trees make
> > GREAT towers!) The trick is not so much how many are there as is how
> > to get them all to pull on the same side of the rope?
>
> 'zactly.

Tough and frustrating to get the 11-meter folk to see that they could
really have much more control over their own destiny if they'd clean
up their act (Even a little.) and leverage their economic and
political might.

> > Jerry's idea is
> > one of the few that actually has a shot-n-heck at accomplishing this.
> > That'd be pretty funny if you ask me...irate ARO calls for the
> > abolition of the CB (Remember, we're talking legal 40 now.) and
> > unwittingly becomes the "father of the uprising."
>
> Law of unexpected consequences. Happens all the time. One wonders what
> would have happened if the Class E cb thing had actually come about.

Quite true. However, while I try to set an example that there are
still courteous professional ops on 11-meters, others still shoot the
band in the foot. My thinking is this. Now that I'm an ARO...if I (Or
like-minded folks.) were to completely abandon the CB, then those who
say that the CB contains only lids would be correct and win. I prefer
to remain living proof to the contrary as well as try to exert a
positive influence on those I QSO with.

> > Of course, I'm
> > joking Jim. Just having a laugh and injecting some levity into the
> > situation.
>
> Yup - but there's a serious bit of truth in there.
>
> > Type 11-meters into your favorite search engine and tell me
> > if six to seven million seems terribl exaggerated.
>
> I'd say maybe one million cb users, tops. That includes freebanders
> using the part of the spectrum near 27 MHz. Just a WAG, but so are all

> the other numbers presented so far.

Sounds good to me.

> > If so let's back it
> > down to say, two and a half million. Hey, aren't there only approx.
> > 680,000 licensed US ARO's. Who'd-a-thought?
>
> Yup.
>
> Here's a thought for you: There are probably MORE US hams using CW
> today than back before WW2.

I like that stat. Lets hope the ITU folks like it in 2003 too. :-)

> > Take care now. :-)
> >
> Roger that!

Wanna hear a funny? I parked the rig on 27.3850 LSB while I was doing some paperwork and realized that I was hearing ops going QSX when they were "on the side" and QRT when they were clear. You've no idea how good this actually made me feel. While the "QRT and on the side" thing was a personal peeve of mine, I've never given anybody a hard time over it as long as I understood their meaning. I personally talk on both the CB and AR as if I were just conversing with the other op in person. Take care and thanks for the nice discussion. :-)

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1046. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 18:47:34 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <agfb46$609$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 48910

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>Nor can I. But I'd not keep working them out of band once I was informed
>>what their operating priveleges were.
>
>If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out of band,
>I might question whether that one person was right.

Though the correctness of this one person seems to not be in question
since the regulations at the REF Union web site, in particular

http://www.ref-union.org/Documents/B/B0-01.html

seem to agree. While the frequency table formatting is screwed
up by 6 lines or so on my browser, the text says the 6m band limits
are 50.2-51.2 MHz in Region 1, with power limited to 5 or 100 Watts
depending on where you are in France.

>>Then why complain to me?
>
>Then why are you complaining about all this here instead of to the French
>authorities?

That's a better question.

Dennis Ferguson

1047. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 20:07:22 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B427A.DD1BC80C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48911

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Please estimate the percentage of hams who got credit for a Morse
> > code exam and are not coded.
>
> Judging from 8 years of administer easy CW tests as a VE the number is
> still undefined but can easily be said to be PLENTY.

Please quantitize "PLENTY" as a percentage of total hams. Your answer will,
no doubt, expose your paranoia problem.

> And please prove that those hams tend to congregate on 3.950 MHz.
>
> CW ops sure don't congregate there, now, do they?

That's because 3.950 MHz is not in the gentleman's agreement portion of
the CW band. I have no evidence, one way or another, that those bad boys
are not CW ops when they are not operating SSB. In fact, they act on the
air very similar to the way you act on r.r.a.p.

> > From the listening I've done on 3.950, I would say those hams are virtually
> > identical to you - impolite, mean spirited, and close minded.
>
> Shows how little you know about me. I can readily believe you were
> looking in the mirror when you spewed that one.

Actually, those guys seem more honest than you, Dick. You are allegedly
impolite, mean-spirited, and close-minded only here on r.r.a.p while
they are presumably consistent both on the air and off the air.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1048. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 20:13:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2B43EE.853AEBF7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48912

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
> > magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
> > Radio"?
>
> You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)

Yep, but they have to print it before it can come out as a reprint.

Dave K8MN

1049. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 20:20:19 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B4583.6C99D87F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48913

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
> > > magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
> > > Radio"?
> >
> > You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)
>
> Yep, but they have to print it before it can come out as a reprint.

Uhhhhhhh Dave, one can always request reprints that don't even exist.
In fact, one can always request anything whether it has ever existed
or not. I have requested that my pastor show me some proof that God
has ever existed. So far, all he has offered is an argument that
first assumes that God exists, i.e. if God didn't exist, where did
all this come from? I respond, if all this has to have a cause, it
means that you believe in first cause. So given that you believe in
first cause, what caused God? What caused the cause of God? What caused
the cause of the cause of God? ...
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1050. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 20:28:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2B474E.CA928E0C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48914

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D2A6AE6.81115431@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
> >> magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
> >> Radio"?
> >>
> >>You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)
>
> That's wayyyy too subtle, Cecil. :-)
>
> The average PCTA in here can't distinguish subtlety due to the
> overwhelming emotional bias for code.
>
> $150 + $7 shipping and handling gets anyone a copy of all the
> articles in Ham Radio Magazine for its 22 year history. Folks can
> then make all the personal reprints they want from those CDs
> which are available from the ARRL.
>
> They "don't exist." They are "dead," "extinct." Nothing in them
> applies to amateur radio, all according to certain PCTAs. :-)

I have a full cabinet full of back issues of "Ham Radio" and "HRH", Len.
Nobody is dumb enough to believe that when a magazine publishing company
is gone that the back issues evaporate. The business which published
the magazines is defunct.

You are certainly giving Brian a run for the gold medal.

Dave K8MN

1051. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 20:29:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2B47C9.AA0CC0D0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48915

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020709074156.19575.00002489@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>Nor can I. But I'd not keep working them out of band once I was informed
> >>what their operating priveleges were.
> >
> >If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out of
> band,
> >I might question whether that one person was right.
> >
> >>Then why complain to me?
> >
> >Then why are you complaining about all this here instead of to the French
> >authorities?
>
> Is this one of those "you can't complain as you do, you can only
> complain as I do" things?

Naw, this is one of those things where you have to define your mission
and attack the real problem. Brian hasn't done that yet.

Dave K8MN

1052. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 20:38:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2B49C7.7782A8EF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48916

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D2A6351.2419D1E5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D29DDBB.70B43E4F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Hate to spoil a really grand rant, Len old boy but Heath and Rockwell
> >> >Collins are still in business no matter what they are doing. So is R.
> >> >L. Drake for that matter. "Ham Radio" magazine didn't change its target
> >> >market audience to the VCR repair trade. It is gone. It is no longer
> >> >being published.
> >>
> >> Hate to spoil a big effort to squeeze out a Word of Extras, Dave,
> >> but WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS does Rockwell
> >> Collins make?
> >>
> >> Are you going to say "mechanical filters?" If so, does
> >> Rockwell Collins market those SPECIFICALLY for ham
> >> radio? I don't think so. Rockwell Collins is in business and
> >> will sell those to anyone.
> >>
> >They're still in business unlike "Ham Radio" magazine.
>
> They aren't "Collins Radio" of Iowa anymore. They were purchased
> by Rockwell International some time ago.

Rockwell Collins produced ham gear.

> I'll ask again, WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS are currently
> being manufactured by Rockwell Collins?

Irrelevant. The company is still in business as Rockwell Collins.

> >> By the same associative logic you will want to use, a
> >> fastener manufacturer can make a fastener good for holding
> >> together some piece of ham gear...but that doesn't mean
> >> those fasteners are specifically marketed for ham radio.
> >> Don't try to screw anyone with that kind of distant association.
> >>
> >> Let us know when the brand-new KWM rolls off the Rockwell

> >> Collins assembly line, specifically marketed for ham radio.
> >
> >Doesn't matter. They're still in business unlike "Ham Radio" magazine.
>
> The Ham Radio Bookstore was purchased from Communications
> Technology, Inc., and is still in business and advertised in CQ.

"Ham Radio" *magazine* is defunct.

> Part of Ham Radio Magazine (that was sold to CQ) became
> Communications Quarterly and that merged with QEX. Are you
> saying that QEX is now "defunct?"

If it is no longer published, is most certainly is.

> "Defunct" is defined as "dead, extinct." If Ham Radio Magazine
> is "dead" or "extinct," why are expensive CDs containing all their
> articles in 22 years of existance resold by the ARRL?

Are you that obtuse?

> Are you saying the ARRL is also into "radio archaeology" activity?
> :-)

Did they produce a CD or go out and unearth them somewhere?

> >> WHAT AMATEUR RADIO PRODUCTS does Heath make?
> >>
> >> Inform the masses oh mighty extra.
> >>
> >> Please try to differentiate between "Heath" as in Heath/Zenith
> >> and "Heath" as in Heathkit Educational Systems. They aren't
> >> the same company. Heath/Zenith makes a nice ding-dong;
> >> Heathkit Educational Systems is a ding-dong.
> >
> >Doesnt' matter. They're still in business. They may be defunct at some
> >point but as of now, they're still alive...unlike "Ham Radio" magazine.
>
> Heathkit Educational Systems DOES NOT MAKE HAM EQUIPMENT.

I never said they did. That's your rant. I said they're still in
business.

> As far as amateur radio equipment is concerned, Heath is DEFUNCT.

Izzat right?

> >> I'd say, by the Word of Extras, that the DEFUNCT kind of logic
> >> makes Heath and Rockwell Collins DEFUNCT insofar as
> >> the amateur radio business.
> >
> >I'd say that you need some work on your linguistics.
>
> Oh mighty extra, are you now an amateur expert on linguistics?

> [more likely linguini...]

It would appear that I can use the term correctly. You seem to be
having trouble with its meaning and connotations.

> You show me NEW amateur radio equipment or ham radio kits
> made by either Rockwell Collins or Heath Company and I will
> retract many of my words. Not a problem for me. But you have
> a great big amateur extra problem with being defunct.

The companies are not defunct. They are still in business. I've never
claimed that they continue to produce amateur radio equipment. You have
claimed that "Ham Radio" magazine is not defunct. You're wrong.

> As far as new ham equipment is concerned, Rockwell Collins
> is DEFUNCT.
>
> As far as new ham equipment is concerned, Heath Company
> is DEFUNCT.

...and as far as amateur radio is concerned (wait for it)....YOU'RE
defunct (using of course your variation on the connotations of the
word).

> >> What outlet carries NEW amateur radio products from
> >> Heath or Rockwell?
> >
> >It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
> >magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
> >Radio"?
>
> It DOES matter. In which ham radio store can you buy newly-
> manufactured "Collins" or "Heath" ham gear? I can't locate a single
> year 2002 Collins KWM or even a year 2001 Heath SSB transceiver.

It doesn't matter. I've never claimed that those companies currently
produce amateur radio equipment. On what newsstand can I find the July
2002 issue of "Ham Radio". Stumped?

Dave K8MN

1053. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 21:18:41 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <agfjv7$t17$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 48917

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2B4583.6C99D87F@hotmail.com...
> Uhhhhhhh Dave, one can always request reprints that don't even exist.
> In fact, one can always request anything whether it has ever existed
> or not. I have requested that my pastor show me some proof that God
> has ever existed. So far, all he has offered is an argument that
> first assumes that God exists, i.e. if God didn't exist, where did
> all this come from? I respond, if all this has to have a cause, it
> means that you believe in first cause. So given that you believe in
> first cause, what caused God? What caused the cause of God? What caused
> the cause of the cause of God? ...
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

Uhhhhhhh CAM for someone that don't believe in God you
sure talk about Him a lot! Any reason for that?

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1054. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 22:37:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wAJW8.482$R71.34587975@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48945

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2A5E56.6BC1E40B@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020708074031.23765.00004029@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your
business,
>
> > Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check those
actuarial
> > tables.
>
> I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> overseas in 1985.
>
> Dave K8MN

So?

1055. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 22:38:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gBJW8.483$3a1.34710562@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48946

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020709072540.19575.00002484@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check those
actuarial
> >> tables.
>
> >I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> >overseas in 1985.
>
> Up, Brian better add himself to one of those actuarial tables now.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2yrs = 20+yrs?

1056. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 22:41:52 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709184152.14385.00002361@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48918

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Are you sure the FCC must go through the NPRM process, Ed? Did FCC do that
>when
>the sending test was waived (1977) or when the RFI exposure rules were put
>into
>effect (mid-90s)?

I don't know what happened in 1977, but the RF exposure rules went through the whole gamut, including petitions for recon by ARRL (mostly successful).

>Seems to me that FCC went over the whole codetest issue with a fine-toothed
>comb back in 1998-1999. They asked for, and received, all sorts of input on
>the
>subject, and their conclusion was that there was "no regulatory purpose" to
>continued code testing other than compliance with S25.5.

Yes, but they deliberately chose not to include a sunset provision that automatically deleted the code test once S25 was changed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1057. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 22:45:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709184517.14385.00002362@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48919

From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)

>>If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out of
>band,
>>I might question whether that one person was right.

>Though the correctness of this one person seems to not be in question
>since the regulations at the REF Union web site, in particular * * *

I am sure that after considerable discussion here on rrap, it is relatively easy to determine after the fact what was right. But how many of us know the operating priveleges of hams in other countries? I sure don't. I presume that hams who contact me are authorized by their governments to do so. How many of us would take the time to find that URL for each of our contacts?

When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the bands. That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility for the conduct of others.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1058. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 22:49:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709184901.14385.00002363@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 48920

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>But if he's copying with a computer he's VERY likely to miss
>large chunks of ordinary CW due to 'hits' caused by atmospherics.

Happens to me, too, Dick.

>NOT referring to EME super slow computer copied code, just to forstall
>another troll)

You do not slow down when conditions are poor?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1059. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 22:54:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zQJW8.488$Gj1.35245212@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48947

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2A5ECF.6C3162E0@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D29A2CB.A5E0BB73@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <3D29071A.22650D2A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> > <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > > writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >Is that your read on French stations being "lured" into breaking
their
> > > > >regs by my transmitting where I'm supposed to be?
> > > >
> > > Lack of comment noted.
> > > >
> > > > >> He is a credit to the amateur radio service.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >...and you are what again to the amateur radio service?
> > > > >
> > > > I don't work bootleggers... :-)
> > > >
> > > That's two of us. Come to think of it, you don't work anybody, do
you?
> > > You could qualify AS a bootlegger though.
> > > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Dave, how can you say that? You're in my logs several times.
>
> Easily. You aren't Len and you may put me in your logs as often as you
> like. That does not guarantee that you're in mine.
>
> Dave K8MN

Unless you're not telling the truth. Do you remember saying this:

> > Brian, the fact that you show up in my A2 logs does not qualify you as a
> > DXer.

1060. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 22:55:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3SJW8.489$Sh1.35148991@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48948

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2A604C.38193406@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D29A569.7871D505@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D290526.5413C58@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian, You did know that there is no F1DR in the callbook didn't
you?
> > > > > You didn't? Wow! Better check it out.
> > > > >
> > > > Unfortunately he's not in my log. You go ahead and QSL him
> > > >
> > > There'd be two things to stop me: 1) I've never worked him. 2) He
isn't
> > > in the Callbook.
> > >
> > > > I've not been out of band while working any ham anywhere I've been
in
> > > > the world. As far as working those not licensed, how would you or
I
> > or
> > > > any of us be able to confirm that each station we work is really
> > > > licensed? What if you, for example, were to work someone signing
> > F1DR.
> > > > Maybe, just to be on the safe side, you should stick to SWLing so
as
> > not
> > > > to run afoul of the regs.
> > > >
> > > If I were informed that F1DR is a slim, why would I work him?
> > >
> > Not just F1DR, Brian. Anyone you hear on any band could be a
bootlegger.
> > Better play it safe.
> > >
> > > > I have only your word that these things happened. You could get
out
> > of

> > > > this
> > > > > > by just saying that you were pulling my leg.
> > > > >
> > > > > What is it that I need to get out of? Are you going to corner me
with
> > > > > one of your cat-like moves?
> > > >
> > > > Knowingly working French amateurs out of band.
> > >
> > > I wasn't out of band.
> > >
> > > > F1DR replied directly to you.
> > >
> > > There isn't any F1DR. Someone who sez he's F1DR replied to me. You
saw
> > > my response. I wasn't out of band.
> >
> > I goofed. Try this instead:
> >
> > Callsign: F1DFR FRANCE
> > Name: OP : JEAN-MARC (J-M --> JIM PHONETICALLY)
> > Addr2: ,
> > Country: FRANCE
> > Lookups: 170
> >
> > QSL Mgr: (QSL direct only , mail me to get my coordinates)
> > Email: f1dfr@arrl.net
> > QRZ Updated: 2000-04-27 04:29:10
>
> He's not in my 5H logs on any band. He's not in my K8MN logs on any
> band.
>
> > > > You responded directly to him. Then you say you know nothing about
>
> > it. What's that called?
> > >
> > > Forgetfulness? I've not been in Tanzania for over two years. Do you
> > > remember everything you've said or written in two years. In what way
> > > does my response to the make-believe F1DR change what I've said about
my
> > > actions? What is any of this to you, Brian Burke, a non-resident of
> > > Tanzania or of France on a matter in which you are not involved?
You've
> > > not even attempted to address your indignity to those who broke their
> > > country's amateur radio regulations?
> > >
> > > QSL?
> >
> > QRM. You have such a convenient memory.
>

> You must have had a convenient lapse of your own....F1DR?

That's what "I goofed" meant. Translation: I made a mistake, I got it wrong.

Have you never used those words?

1061. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 22:57:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iTJW8.490$Tj1.35258431@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48950

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020709073408.19575.00002487@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> It all rests with the actuarial tables.
>
> >One DOES wonder about someone who has such a longterm fixation on the
> >actuarial tables.
>
> And Farnsworth and whether Dave knew that hams were operating out of band
and
> -- his latest -- everything that disagrees with him is "cronyism."
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Crony Ed, you forgot "character assassination," which is what you are doing
now.

1062. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 23:02:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RXJW8.494$WI1.35340576@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48949

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020709073244.19575.00002486@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> But you apparently expect others to, eh?
> >
> >If you've been told they're illegal, what's to check?
>
> I have never been told that someone is operating illegally while they are
in
> QSO with me. When do you think I was told that?

If...

> >Your ethics would have me talking to both all day long.
>
> Not at all, Brian; my ethics would prevent me from obsessing about it on a
> public newsgroup.

Cronyism

> >> >You and Dave say YES to both questions!
> >>
> >> No, I have not said YES to both questions, Brian.
>
> >You keep me guessing with an unclear answer that I've asked you to
clarify,
> >but won't. You did, however, post a lot of words.
>
> My answer was quite clear, Brian. It has never happened to me. Your
getting
> "yes" from that is either disengenuous or demonstrates very faulty
thought.
> Which is it?

If...

> >I asked what WOULD you do. You said:
>
> >1. it never happened to you and ignore the question
>
> I can think of many things in life that I cannot tell you what I would do
until
> confronted with the situation, Brian. Would I run into a burning building
to
> save a trapped child if I felt there was a chance I would not get out?

Until
> faced with that one, I don't know what I would do.

Dave doesn't fear burning alive from contacting Frencvh hams out of band.
Why should you?

> >Here's you chance to clarify.
>
> It has never come up in my life before, Brian.

Cronyism.

> >> Another delusion, Brian; I didn't question your reputation.
> >
> >You questioned my integrity.
>
> Getting "yes" from my answers does not demonstrate integrity. I am not
sure
> what you think questioned your integrity, but you probably got it the same
way
> you got "yes" out of my saying that it has never happened to me.

What am I to guess? You won't answer the question, and you say what Dave
does is good hamming.

> >> Must be more "What was said; what Brian heard" sorts of things.
>
> >Well there you have it!
>
> No, Brian, there YOU have it. If it comforts you think that you now have
the
> answer to your question from all of this, you can "have it" all you want.

Then I don't have it? Are you still afraid of burning alive if you answer
the question?

Maybe you have a little devil on one shoulder and a little angel on the
other, like in Animal House.

> >Cronyism.
>
> Yup, new obsession.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Cronyism.

1063. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 23:03:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7ZJW8.496$wb1.34803913@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48951

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020709073730.19575.00002488@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >You said that you expected me to spray paint grafitti on your car. That
is
> >being accused of being a vandal. Being a vandal is not my mission.
>
> He didn't accuse you of being a vandal, Brian. To do that he would have
had to
> have said that you actually painted grafitti on his car.
>
> Be careful of what you build up in your mind about these things; some
people
> have reasonable memories and can recall exactly what was actually said.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Some people have google group search, as Dave has found out twice in the
last few days.

1064. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 23:07:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <71KW8.499$_m1.35410158@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48952

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020709074319.19575.00002490@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> >> >Who is Jay Hare?
>
> >> >> I don't know. I never met him.
>
> >Was he your father?
>
> Do you really think I never met my father?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I didn't know, so I asked.

I suspect that of several of our regulars.

1065. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 23:09:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Y2KW8.501$7o1.35483814@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48953

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:mvpW8.2817$%n3.107151536@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:agcn5vpdc0@208.206.142.113...
> >
> > CAM wrote:
>
> > > An electronic keyer is a machine.
> >
> > A machine with an OPERATOR who inserts the spacings and all the
> > inflections. I have a few of them, some built into rigs.
>
> What?
>
> When I hold the paddle as if it were a single continuous tone, the keyer
> makes it go, "dididididididdit."
>
> The KEYER inserts the spacing.
>
> I'm waiting to hear the "POP."

Hello Dick?

1066. It ain't easy being Green

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-09 23:48:03 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TCKW8.16928$lu6.959247@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48921

The message rules feature in OE5 can be used to produce some genuinely wierd things.

Some time ago I blocked hotmail because nothing worth reading came of it until Cecil started using it for reasons known only unto him so I went in and modified the rule to turn everything from Hotmail green.

So Cecil is now green. Whether there is still anything worth reading is up to you to decide but I might at some point let Lennie out of the killfile and turn him pink. This may be the most fun I have had on RRAP in months.

Dick N�BK

1067. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 00:00:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709200024.10841.00006894@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48922

>And what did I just say? There have been lots of hams who received
>credit for code tests they didn't actually take.

Prove it, lets see some real facts, not just hear say on your part.

1068. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 00:55:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agg0ma$ab5$0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 48923

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Please estimate the percentage of hams who got credit for a Morse
> > > code exam and are not coded.
> >
> > Judging from 8 years of administer easy CW tests as a VE the number is
> > still undefined but can easily be said to be PLENTY.
>
> Please quantitize "PLENTY" as a percentage of total hams. Your answer will,
> no doubt, expose your paranoia problem.
>

Check some of the archives, genius. You'll find that in the Conditional
days virtually every Conditional class ham called to retest by the FCC
either failed to show up for the test or failed the code test. And in
just one case, Jim N2EY just yesterday, I think it was, made mention of
the many Conditionals in the Philadelphia area and noted that it was a
curiously high number given that there was a local FCC office, certainly
not beyond the 175 mile limit. You can bet almost every other metro
area had similiar numbers. How do you suppose they did that? Use the
address of Uncle John way out in the boonies somewhere outside the limit
so as to qualify, later changing their address? Aw, surely not! No one
would work the system like that!
You of all people, system worker extriordinaire, know all about it.
You've told us right here about your own case, which wasn't all that far
off the mark.

> > And please prove that those hams tend to congregate on 3.950 MHz.
> >
> > CW ops sure don't congregate there, now, do they?
>
> That's because 3.950 MHz is not in the gentleman's agreement portion of
> the CW band.

So tell us then, what is the CW frequency where such garbage can be
found? You can't simply because there is none.

I have no evidence, one way or another, that those bad boys
> are not CW ops when they are not operating SSB. In fact, they act on the
> air very similar to the way you act on r.r.a.p.

When dealing with a horses a$$es like you and Burke Turkey, one uses
whatever tools are necessary to handle the job.

> > > From the listening I've done on 3.950, I would say those hams are virtually
> > > identical to you - impolite, mean spirited, and close minded.

All because I think hams should qualify themselves to handle CW. Right.
You should know.

> >
> > Shows how little you know about me. I can readily believe you were
> > looking in the mirror when you spewed that one.
>
> Actually, those guys seem more honest than you, Dick. You are allegedly
> impolite, mean-spirited, and close-minded only here on r.r.a.p while
> they are presumably consistent both on the air and off the air.

YOU of all people criticizing someone else. Hard to believe, and harder
yet to swallow.

1069. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 01:02:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agg13e$ab5$1@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 48924

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >But if he's copying with a computer he's VERY likely to miss
> >large chunks of ordinary CW due to 'hits' caused by atmospherics.
>
> Happens to me, too, Dick.
>

But you do much better at gleaning what is being sent accurately than
any computer program I ever saw, and I've checked out s few.

>NOT referring to EME super slow computer copied code, just to forstall
> >another troll)
>
> You do not slow down when conditions are poor?

You're doing it again, Ed. Being disingenuous doesn't become you.

1070. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 01:45:17 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <agg3jd$1psq$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 48926

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
>>W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>>>If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out of
>>band,
>>>I might question whether that one person was right.
>
>>Though the correctness of this one person seems to not be in question
>>since the regulations at the REF Union web site, in particular * * *
>
>I am sure that after considerable discussion here on rrap, it is relatively
>easy to determine after the fact what was right. But how many of us know the
>operating priveleges of hams in other countries? I sure don't. I presume that
>hams who contact me are authorized by their governments to do so. How many of
>us would take the time to find that URL for each of our contacts?

Actually you are changing the topic a bit. The original topic wasn't
about each of your contacts, it was about what you'd do when a person
told you a large number of people were operating out of band. I personally
find that an interesting enough assertion to look it up, not because I
particularly care (it is the job of the French PTT to take care of such
things, and if they don't care then who am I to be bothered?) but certainly
for its value as trivia.

And, of course, I'd absolutely want to look it up when I saw it on rrap
since I also understand that the people observed operating out of band were
CW operators, and I'd been lead to believe here that CW operators didn't
do stuff like that. I'm now forced to assume that the latter only included
good old red-blooded American CW operators and not those annoying French guys.

>When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the bands.
>That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility for the
>conduct of others.

Sounds like a fine plan to me.

Dennis Ferguson

1071. Re: It ain't easy being Green

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 01:56:26 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B944A.C81C8B66@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48925

Richard McCollum wrote:
> Some time ago I blocked hotmail because nothing worth reading came of it
> until Cecil started using it for reasons known only unto him so I went in
> and modified the rule to turn everything from Hotmail green.

Receiving email through the Hotmail web page is an additional level
of protection and is functional from any ISP.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1072. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:04:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uin5h25auq7j31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48927

Ed, please don't say this about the old timers. I may have to relieve myself, but it is due to several beers and nothing that any newcomers have said or done! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
one foot in the grave, the other on a bannana peel!

ps - what counts is what one has done/is doing. Ed, you're about the only one in the group that doesn't have to give an accounting for what you're doing. Take a couple of Tums and a Bayer - you'll feel better in the morning. And please mail me my check for this advice as I wish to take an around the world cruise immediately.

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020709072000.19575.00002482@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Larry has admitted that he says such things just to stir up discussion
> >>here. He enjoys watching people get as upset about life as he is.
>
> > Larry's not the only one upset with what has happened to ham radio, by
> >several hundred thousand.
>
> So they are saying and doing things to make sure that everybody else
involved
> in ham radio is upset, too? Do they really think that stirring the pot
and
> turning ham against ham is good for the Amateur Radio Service?
>
> And, by my count, it is far from several hundred thousand; on this forum,
I see
> maybe a half dozen that feel so badly about the changes in ham radio that
they
> want to piss on it and everyone in it that doesn't also want to piss on it
and
> everyone in it.
>
> Pretty sad, actually, that some old timers have come to this.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

1073. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:14:53 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uin63t5ajnch3b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48928

Bert, many year ago (in the early 70s), I worked with a CBer. We were (and still are) good friends. I was trying to induce him into getting an amateur license (both of us had our 1st class radiotelephone tickets). He stated something about CW to which I responded (deep, athouratative voice here), _... ..._ _ .. _ .. ... . ._ ... _.__ (end msg). I sent that at around 20 words per minute. He responded 'I know it is easy, but I'm not interested!!!!!!!!!! Well, blow me down! It turned out he was a high speed CW operator in the service during WWII.

Curious as to your comment ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message news:20020709051207.26133.00003144@mb-mm.news.cs.com...
> >You mean there are CBers that went through the effort of
> >learning code?
>
> Nah, never happen. ;-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

1074. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:44:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709224450.01164.00000443@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 48929

In article <20020708142825.08659.00000575@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020708060840.25425.00000366@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <20020707164655.23153.00000988@mb-fg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020707080632.01552.00000644@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>>writes:
>>
>>Lenover21 wrote:
>>
>>>>>ARRL publication prices are the same from surface mail or e-mail
>>>>>as in retail outlets. If shipping charges are required extra cost to
>>>>>a mail order then that is approximately equal or slightly more than
>>>>>local state sales tax. But, a retail ARRL publication outlet has to
>>>>>make a profit to stay in business, so it obtains ARRL publications
>>>>>at a reduced rate...or did you think the retail outlet sells without
>>>>>profit out of the goodness of their hearts?!? :-)
>>>>
>>>>Retailing 101
>>>
>>>That's not answering any questions.
>>
>>The only question you posed was rhetorical.
>
>Nonsense. Inaccurate.

How? You asked a rhetorical question.

>The Word of Extras in here has the ARRL
>as acting out of the Goodness of Their Hearts.

Who said that? Not me.
>
>Goodness of the Heart does not have a monetary profit motive.

So what?
>
>>ARRL's publishing operation is using a certain retailing strategy. Obviously
>>they want people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at all
>>possible - that's one way they support stores that sell to radio amateurs
>>and prospective radio amateurs.

>
>Again, obvious nonsense.

No, it's obviously true. You just don't like the ARRL for some reason. Probably it's because their books are both good and inexpensive.
>
>If the ARRL "wanted peoble to buy their publications via retail
>outlets," why would they have them for resale through headquarters
>in Newington?

The idea is to maximize the availability of the product. Those who prefer mail order can get publications direct from Hq, either by mail or online. Those who would rather buy from a store can do it that way.

ARRL also has a booth at most hamfests where publications can be bought directly, avoidingshipping.
>
>How many "retail outlets" for amateur radio equipment are there in
>the USA? [ask K0HB]

You ask him, if you really want to know.

However, there are over 200 stores selling ARRL publications in the USA. The ARRL website has a store locator, identifying retail stores that sell ARRL publications.

>>>>>Shipping charges on a $25 ARRL publication can reach $5.
>>>>
>>>>If it's big and heavy, sure. CDs are neither big nor heavy. CDs constitute
>>>>"media" and get the special low postage rate.
>>>
>>>According to the ARRL website, the shipping charges on CD-ROMs
>>>are "$5 plus $1 for each additional CD-ROM."
>>
>>Postage and shipping are not the same thing. Shipping includes things like
>>the cost of packing materials, labor, general overhead and profit.
>
>Duh...

Really being civil, aren't you?
>
>OK, order an official ARRL membership decal (#1130) for a dollar.
>Do you or do you not pay FOUR dollars in shipping charges to
>include "cost of packing materials, labor, general overhead and
>profit?"

So what? It's a disincentive for small orders.

How many official ARRL decals have you ordered?
>
>An envelope, 37 cents in a stamp, a zip through a computer-
>controlled printer for the addressing and 1/12th of an hour time
>(at most) of a clerk to handle it. All that "shipping charge" is

>still worth FOUR TIMES the cost of the product itself?!?

Are you offering to do it for less?
>
>Is there NO PROFIT in the product ITSELF?

There must be some.
>
>Note: The ARRL sells its publications to retail outlets at a
>lesser cost than it charges to individuals. Does the ARRL
>"take a loss" on that difference in price? I don't think so.

What's your point? Retail outlets buy in quantity - most individuals don't.
>
>That difference in price is a lesser profit for the ARRL but it
>still represents PROFIT on PRODUCT.
>
Do you know how much it costs to produce the publications?

>>>Prove me wrong.
>>
>>Why?
>
>...that seems one of

(N2EY's)

>Divine Missions...to squash, trash,
>and rebuke any negative commentary on the ARRL and his vision
>of amateur radio. :-)

Nope.

I simply point out errors and mistakes. You make so many it's hard to keep up.

One of Lenover21's missions is obviously to denigrate the ARRL at every
opportunity.

>>So? What's your point? It's obviously a retailing strategy that encourages
>>sales through retail outlets rather than mail order, and encourages
>retailers
>>to sell ARRL publications.
>
>Sorry, that's not a justifiable statement.

Yes, it is.

>There aren't enough ham
>radio retail outlets in the USA to satisfy such a condition.

212 that sell ARRL publications, according to the locator.
>
>There MIGHT be an urban amateur radio retail outlet to SOME folks
>within around a two-hour driving distance, but that isn't true even in

>the 48 contiguous states of the USA.

How do you know? Have you done research on all the stores in the locator?

You can put in a zip code and it will tell you the stores selling ARRL publications in a 20, 50 or 100 mile radius. Or you can search by state. There are three stores within 20 miles of my location, and one of them is in sales-tax-free Delaware. Gotta luv it.
>
>>It's also a strategy that simplifies the calculations and equalizes the cost
>>for US customers. No "slightly higher west of the Rockies" stuff.
>
>Poor reaching for a rationalization, no longer applicable or
>reasonable in days of Fed Ex and UPS delivery in addition to
>USPS.

It's a fact.
>
>Don't reach for the "east coast elitism" schtick, sport, the
>center of population of the USA went west of the Mississippi a
>long time ago.

So what? ARRL Hq is in New England, a fact that seems to bother you.
>
>>ARRL also runs specials from time to time, using various forms of mail order
>>discounts.
>
>Oh, wow! :-)

Somehow I suspect that you want the ARRL to simply go away.
>
>>Why are you so worked up about all this?
>
>Why are you?

'You cannot answer a question with another question' - that's one of your stock phrases.

I'm not worked up. I'm not the one calling people diminutive names and shouting.

>Nobody invited you into this sub-thread...you stuck
>your nose in as some kind of Noble Public Defender and Knight In
>Shining Underwear to defend the ARRL. :-)

Nobody invited you to rrap at all, but here you are. I was invited.

You're not the moderator of rrap, and you can't control who posts here or what they post. Grow up and learn to live with it.

>The center of USA amateur radio interest is NOT in the middle of
>the Atlantic seaboard.

It's not in Southern California, either. Sounds like Left Coast elitism to me.
>
>>>That's a 24 percent EXTRA CHARGE. Prove me wrong.
>>
>>Is there a point to all this?
>
>To reiterate, you stuck your nose in here and wanted to make with
>the Big Argument, Noble Knight. Are you going to make this a
>Bigger Argument? A virtual Fight To The Death in words? :-)

You replied to my post, but you don't have a point. Perhaps your point is
simply to Use A Lot Of Capitals And Smileys ;-0
>
>>And your point is? Do you have a suggestion as to how the ARRL should price
>>its shipping? How does Amazon do it?
>
>My wife and I just got an Amazon delivery on about $50 worth
>of books. NO SHIPPING CHARGES. Not only that, we got
>a discount on the books over the retail store prices.

That's nice. Is that a suggestion? Why don't you write it up and send it to Hq?
>
>Amazon is still a profit-making business.

HAW! That's a GOOD one!

Check out:

http://biz.yahoo.com/fin/l/a/amzn.html

and

http://biz.yahoo.com/fin/l/a/amzn_ai.html

Amazon is losing money at a tremendous rate, and has been doing so for years.

For example, consider the last four quarters:

Quarter ending Mar 31, 2002: On total revenue of $847,422,000, the net income
applicable to common shares was a NEGATIVE $23,150,000. (That's a loss).

Quarter ending Dec 31, 2001: On total revenue of $1,115,171,000, the net income
applicable to common shares was $5,087,000. Profit of less than one half of one
percent.

Quarter ending Sep 30, 2001: On total revenue of $639,281,000, the net income
applicable to common shares was a NEGATIVE $169,892,000. (That's a loss).

Quarter ending June 30, 2001: On total revenue of $667,625,000, the net income
applicable to common shares was a NEGATIVE $168.359,000. (That's a loss).

The annual numbers are equally gloomy. Big losses in 2001, 2000, and 1999.
Heck, in 2000 Amazon LOST over 1.4 BILLION dollars on total revenue of 2.761
billion.

Total revenue for the three years 2001, 2000 & 1999: $7,524,255,000
Total Net income for the same period: NEGATIVE $2,698,518,000

The stock price has fallen from over 100 in late 1999 to about $16 today. There hasn't been a dividend since at least 1999. Total market capitalization is 5.851 billion dollars.

Amazon should take some tips from the ARRL. The League isn't losing more than 33 cents on ever dollar's worth of sales.

> As far as I know, they
>are NOT a political action organization.

What's your point?

>They resell books and other products.

But they don't produce said books. ARRL does.

>NO SHIPPING CHARGES means they managed
>to stay in business, making a profit, all the while absorbing those
>high shipping charges plus their "burden" (bean counter talk for
>the overhead of plant and equipment and all other miscellaneous
>business costs).

You're mistaken - big time. Look at the financials. They are NOT "making a profit" by any stretch of the imagination, unless you look at "gross profit" and ignore the rest of the costs on the income statement. The balance sheet is even worse.

Part of the problem is that they are carrying an enormous debt burden (interest charges in 2001 alone were over 139 million). But even if their debt were wiped out, Amazon would still have lost money because their cost of doing business exceeds revenue.

The facts are there for all to see. Show us where Amazon is "making a profit, all the while absorbing those high shipping charges plus their 'burden (bean counter talk for the overhead of plant and equipment and all other miscellaneous
business costs)"

C'mon, show us where the profit is. The shareholders sure aren't getting any of it.

>The ARRL will copy individual article pages in QST for the low
>price of $5 per page ($3 per page to members). Wow! Do you
>consider that "inexpensive?"

Nope. Cheaper to just buy the applicable QST CD-ROM. They're about $40 each. Each CD-ROM holds 5 or 10 years of QST. Big discount to buy the whole set. I'm actually thinking of selling my QST collection and getting the CD-ROMs.

Or just find the old mags on eBay or at a hamfest. That's how I built up my collection, since I've only been a member since 1968.
>
>>ARRL membership has never been more than about a third of US hams. So what?

>>Check out JARL membership as a fraction of Japanese hams - pitiful.
>
>This isn't about Japanese radio amateurs.

You keep mentioning the ARRL membership percentage. Is there a point to that?

>My comment was on the
>high "shipping charges" of the ARRL.

You also mentioned the ARRL membership percentage.

>>What was the peak circulation of the magazine 'Ham Radio' in its heyday, in
>>total numbers and as a percentage of licensed hams at the time? What was the
>>average over the 22 years of its existence?
>
>Misdirection in trying a different sub-thread. Bad form.

Not misdirection. A simple question. You derided a current, nondefunct magazine
that has published an article by me as a "high priced fanzine", but we don't
see any hard data on "ham radio" magazine.
>
>Ham Radio Magazine and its spin-off Ham Radio Horizons, were both
>INDEPENDENT amateur radio related periodicals.

Yup. When introduced in 1968, a year of HR was $5. ARRL membership was $6.50.

What does that have to do with the question? What was HR's circulation? What
percentage of then-licensed hams subscribed?

> They were not
>membership magazines and kept in business solely through
>advertising sales.

What's your point? ARRL membership pays for a lot more than a magazine. It
would seem that simply publishing a mag is an easier, lower cost task than
running a membership organization with a field organization, representation in
Washington, a Headquarters with lab and memorial station, etc.

>Communications Technology, Inc., never tried nor
>represented itself as an amateur radio membership organization.

So? Is there a point to all this? Back in '68, ARRL managed to publish QST and
do all of the other things for just $1.50 more than HR charged for a much
thinner mag alone.
>
>QST is a MEMBERSHIP MAGAZINE, so said in capitals because
>so few understand the difference between that and an independent.
>The "subscribership" numbers of QST are the same as the ARRL
>membership. QST doesn't depend solely on advertising sales to
>keep going but can receive backing from the ARRL general budget.
>[whether or not they do so is irrelevant...the fact is that QST is
>backed by the ARRL because it is THE periodical of ARRL
>membership]

And this is a problem how?
>
>>What percentage of all licensed US hams are members of NCI?
>
>No Code International is, in its own statements, solely a political
>action organization. It does NOT require all members to be
>licensed in any radio service. Further, it has said since the
>beginning of its organization that it will dissolve when the morse
>code test requirement for an amateur radio license is eliminated.

Doesn't answer the question. What percentage of all licensed US hams are
members of NCI?
>
>There has NEVER been ANY statement from the ARRL saying
>it would dissolve for any reason.

Why should it? ARRL is not a single-issue organization.

> Indeed, it's been quite an
>opposite continuing set of statements and pleadings to join them.
>:-)

Sounds like you want the ARRL to just go away. You'd like that, because the ARS
in the USA would be left without a strong national organization.

It is interesting to note that Lenover21 does not offer solutions to problems
but simply says how things should not be. One does not get things done by
saying what shouldn't be done.

Lenover21's analysis of the ARRL is as faulty as his understanding of the
"profits" of Amazon.

Jim, N2EY

1075. Re: Replacing CW by PSK31

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:37 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225137.16102.00000034@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48931

In article <200279-1246-351156@foorum.com>, Angel G <digimode@nospam.com>
writes:

>If the
>TITANIC had the PSK31, all the passengers had been probably saved.

Only if Captain Smith had taken the PSK-31 message warning him of
iceburgs on his course out of his pocket, read it, and ordered a change
of course to the South in order to avoid the ice field he ultimately ran into.
Unfortunately, he already had that information at his disposal, provided
by his Marconi Wireless Telegraph operator, Harold Bride. Are you
saying that Capt. Smith didn't act on the message that Mr. Bride gave
him just because it was received using the Morse code?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1076. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:38 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225138.16102.00000035@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48932

In article <20020709075815.19575.00002497@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>>If you found a winning lottery ticket laying on the ground, would it be
>>>valueless to you compared to the one you bought that didn't win? The first
>>>came to you with no effort.
>
>>Hmmm -- a specious but totally irrelevant example.
>
>I am surprised that you couldn't offer a reasonable counterpoint, Larry. If
>all
>you can manage is to proclaim my example irrelevant, I take it that I won the
>point.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Sooo, then, you're saying that if I had found someone's ham ticket lying on the ground back in 1981, that I could just put it in my wallet and start operating on-the-air, using it as a valid authorization to operate on the ham bands?

Keep in mind, if your answer is anything other than "Yes," then your example *was* irrelevant, wasn't it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1077. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225139.16102.00000036@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48934

In article <agdmnp$8of$0@208.207.71.138>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> Today, being a "pro-coder" will have to mean that you will embrace the
>> CW mode, get your code speed up to 13 WPM and beyond without the
>> incentive of increased privileges, and encourage other hams to do
>> likewise (since you'll want to work someone in CW on-the-air, presumably).
>> However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-
>> licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who
>> decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.
>
> I've got oa disagree, Larry.

Dick:

You're always free to disagree with me, but in doing so, you're proving
my point.

>As a VE of several years, I saw a lot of
>hams pass the 13 wpm test that were not anywhere near capable of using
>it on the air. Most of them, in fact.

That's *always* been the case, Dick.

>But they got the experience and drill of learning it to some useful level.

Exactly my point.

>That's about all you can
>expect from licensing at that speed with the tests in use. The hams who
>find CW interesting and fulfilling enough to go on to real proficiency
>will do it anyway.

As they always have, but what percentage of all hams will do so now
that the maximum code testing requirement is 5 WPM, as opposed to
when it was 13 for General and 20 for Extra?

>But for the most part, only if they learn it for
>licensing. From long years of field experience I'm convinced not many
>will do it otherwise.

Of course they won't -- which is why I have been arguing that the licensing
requirement is what creates CW operators on-the-air -- not some "sales
pitch" in which hams are indoctrinated into learning the code for it's own
sake. Regardless of the merits of CW, people just won't learn it without
the "incentive" that existed in the Pre-Restructuring Era.

> It's sort of like me not ever spending the time and concerted effort to
>learn the Smith Chart.

[snip]

Agree with your comments re: the Smith Chart. However, if learning it
to a useful proficiency level had been a requirement, I'm sure that you
and I would have fulfilled that requirement. The written exams I took
in the early '80's only required a basic awareness of what a Smith Chart
was, not the ability to use it to solve actual antenna design problems.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1078. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:40 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225140.16102.00000037@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48933

In article <lwtW8.14020$AK.14005@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:

>
>Larry,
>
>If you mean to defame me as a "Restructured CB'er", that's one thing. But
>you have no right at all in telling me what callsign I am entitled to. My
>callsign happens to be that of my late father, who was my "Elmer" in getting
>interested in Amateur Radio.

John:

I will admit that I was out of line there. Any ham with a Technician-class or higher license is eligible to hold a 1x3 callsign. I apologize for that remark.

>HE worked his way from Novice in 1955 to
>General Class (having done your "precious" 13-wpm code).

It was his as well.

>I am proud to have
>my father's callsign, regardless of whether you think I am entitled to it or
>not. Nobody just handed me my license out of a cereal box.

Nor did you have to learn the code at a useful proficiency level, as you father did. Your arguments are starting to get shaky here, John.

>Granted, I
>can't operate CW at more than 5 wpm, but you know what??

Yes, I do know what -- you can operate CW at 20+ WPM if you want to! Unfortunately, without the requirement to be tested at that speed, you can now get an Extra-class license without ever finding this out for yourself!

>WHO CARES!!

Obviously, you don't, and that's the pity.

>If I
>was going to sink to your level of thinking, I could say something like CW
>is the way of the dinosaur and that when all the old Hams (no offense meant
>to the older Hams...just making a point) dry up and go away, it will be the
>so-called "Restructured CB'ers" who will continue with the ARS.

Well, that's going to happen anyway.

>But I am
>above that and I will embrace every facet of the ARS.

"Every facet of the ARS?" Does that include Morse/CW at a speed
greater than 5 WPM?

>I may not be able to
>copy and send CW over 5 wpm, but there is more to it than that.

As I said earlier, you certainly could, if you put the effort into developing
that skill.

>Digital
>modes, such as APRS, Packet and PSK-31, are up and coming modes in the
>Service today.

All of which I use and enjoy, in addition to CW. How about let's set up
a sked for a Hellschreiber QSO?

>Emergency Communications, especially in the wake of Sept.
>11th and the raging fires in CO and AZ, played a key role in helping cope
>with the aftermath that this country endured. Could 13-wpm CW have filled
>the needs for message handling to the variety of relief services that were
>involved?

As a matter of fact, yes, it could!

>How many people at the Red Cross, Salvation Army, local law
>enforcement and fire departments know 13-wpm CW?

Not many, probably -- but they don't need some "amateur" to come in
and operate push-to-talk voice radios for them, either.

>Just because I don't use a
>keyer doesn't make me any less of a Ham.

Of course it does. You don't see it, but you wouldn't, because it doesn't
serve your interests to do so. Sorry for the reality check.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1079. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225141.16102.00000038@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48930

In article <3D2A65DC.62C6CE77@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>> >You mean that any ham who dislikes you personally enough to be of the
>> >opinion that you are not worth talking to is defined to be a CBer?
>>
>> I don't know where you got that from, Cecil.
>
>Just hypothesizing a ham who is indeed capable of 13+wpm but still
>refuses to meet you in the CW portion of the band because he doesn't
>want to QSO with the likes of you. :-)

Cecil:

Well, that would be his choice. I haven't had that experience as of this
date, but if it ever happens, I'll be sure to let you know.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1080. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225141.16102.00000039@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48935

In article <3D2A66D1.1E0EAE08@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> It's getting quite rare
>> these days to find a CW operator who can shift from 13 to 20 WPM and
>> keep his inter- and intra-character spacing proportional to his/her
>> aggregate sending speed.
>
>Well, that's no wonder with everyone learning Farnsworth instead of
>Morse. :-)

Cecil:

Yeah, right. One of these days, I'm going to have to learn this
Farnsworth thang myself so I can use it on-the-air.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1081. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:42 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225142.16102.00000040@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48939

In article <3D2A8526.6070305@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>> However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-
>> licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who
>> decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.

> And you have an apparent ability to judge people based upon some rather

>shallow criteria.

Mike:

The fact that you consider code testing to be "shallow criteria" is only
your opinion. It is not the facts. The code testing requirements of the
Pre-Restructuring Era brought about a significant number of hams who
were capable of effectively employing the Morse/CW mode, thus able
to exploit it's well documented benefits and advantages. This ability will
now eventually diminish in the ARS, and could eventually be lost, due to
the lack of incentive for prospective hams to learn the code to meet the
testing requirement. I do not consider this to be "shallow criteria."

>As you may recall, when someone was once disparging
>your occupation, I came to it's and your defense, noting the
>responsibility and serving the general public good. Isn't it awful when
>someone is can make judgements on you on the basis of such shallow criteria.

I recall that, and thank you for your support at the time, but we're on a
completely different subject now.

> It's probably better to judge people based on their actual
personalities
>and abilities than something as simple as the ability to send Morse
>Code, or what you consider to be a dumbed down tesating process.

I don't just consider it to be a "dumbed-down (testing) process," it is one
in actual fact. The testing requirement which traditionally led to the
development of a valuable communications skill is now reduced to the
point of insignificance, and will undoubtedly be eliminated totally in
the foreseeable future. Post 4/15/00 hams can now be directly compared to
those
who acquired their privileges under a more challenging and comprehensive
set of requirements, and they will not compare favorably unless and until they
voluntarily acquire CW proficiency at 13 - 20 WPM. If you're not going to do
this, then you're choosing to ignore a valuable communications capability, and
thus, aren't up to snuff as a ham -- compared to those who have this ability.
I'm

not saying that this makes you a bad person, I'm just saying that it means that you're lacking something fundamental as a ham. If it's any comfort to you, you've
got plenty of company!

> Frankly, you remind me of some of those 10-10 people that you purport to
>loathe. You are ready to cast judgement on a person based on a criteria
>which is just plain irrelevant.

As I've already stated, I don't consider the criteria to be irrelevant. And, as a
person who has accepted the challenge of learning the code, gaining on-the-air proficiency at it, and having enjoyed it as a major component of my ham radio participation, I am in a much better position to judge the relevancy of this particular criteria than you ever will be. Sorry, but that's just the facts.

>Plonk!

Whatever.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1082. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225143.16102.00000042@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48936

In article <20020709071426.19575.00002480@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
writes:

>
>I cannot tell from the way the sent characters and spacing come out whether
>the
>operator on the other end is copying with the computer program or by ear.
>
>How do you tell?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Why would Dick care whether the guy on the other end of the CW QSO
was copying with a computer program or not? I sure don't -- and I've never
axed if they were!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1083. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225143.16102.00000041@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48937

In article <20020709070806.19575.00002478@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
writes:

>
>>However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-
>>licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who
>>decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.
>
>Remember, guys, Larry has told us that the only reason he posts such stuff is
>to stir the pot.
>
>Pity that his biggest "accomplishment" in ham radio seems to be stirring up
>trouble and enjoying the result.
>
>Don't take him seriously; he doesn't.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

And by all means, when Ed tells you how to think about something --
anything -- keep in mind that as a member of the Liberal Elite, he knows
what's best for you, and you'll do well to obey his directives. Let Ed
do your thinking for you -- he's up to the task even if you're not!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1084. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020709225144.16102.00000043@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48938

In article <20020709071721.19575.00002481@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Fine, but my point was that the ham involved was copying by ear. How could
>you
>tell that so you could avoid insulting him over his use of the keyboard to
>send?

Ed:

When did I ever insult anyone for using a keyboard to send CW? Provide quotes, please.

As someone who learned the code and made the majority of his on-the-air QSO's using a keyer/paddle combo, I appreciate code which is sent using electronic character generation. In fact, having been exposed to the "perfect" character formation possible using an electronic keyer, my manual sending is also extremely "clean," since I send "by ear," the same way I copy. By sending "by ear," I mean that I don't grab the J-38 and start tapping out dots and dashes, I create sounds that sound like letters, punctuation, and prosigns. Therefore, the letter "L" comes out "didahdidit" rather than "ddaaaaaahde dit." I have no problems with CW operators using keyboards, since I can "head copy" them much easier, even at higher rates of speed. This probably explains why, during contests, I can copy at 30+ WPM whereas my normal code speed is in the 18 - 22 WPM range.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1085. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:54:50 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2BA1FA.9AD7E9C5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48940

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Bert, many year ago (in the early 70s), I worked with a CBer. We were (and
> still are) good friends. I was trying to induce him into getting an amateur
> license (both of us had our 1st class radiotelephone tickets). He stated
> something about CW to which I responded (deep, athouratative voice here),
> _... ..._ _ .. _ .. ... . ._ ... _.__ (end msg). I sent
> that at around 20 words per minute. He responded 'I know it is easy, but
> I'm not interested!!!!!!!!!! Well, blow me down! It turned out he was a
> high speed CW operator in the service during WWII.
>
> Curious as to your comment ...

Well, we certainly wouldn't want him in ham radio because he wouldn't
have expended any effort in passing the Morse code exam. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1086. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 02:59:30 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2BA312.60D21B38@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48941

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Yeah, right. One of these days, I'm going to have to learn this
> Farnsworth thang myself so I can use it on-the-air.

Just put ten seconds between every character, Larry.
That's Farnsworth. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1087. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 03:32:45 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <MJNW8.2633$JU3.244309@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48942

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2A68EF.FDE7225F@hotmail.com...
> Dan Finn wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D291779.6597D3CA@hotmail.com...
> > > Dan Finn wrote:
> > > > No, if you cannot do code at 13 wpm you are a Chicken Bander.
> > >
> > > What if they can do 13 wpm but just don't want to talk to Larry?
> >
> > I understand your original point. However, it is assumed that anyone
using
> > CW mode does not want to "talk" to the amateur operator in question
because
> > "talking" is only possible in voice mode. It makes perfect sense that
the 13
> > wpm operator would not wish to "talk" to Larry or anyone else while
> > operating in CW mode.
>
> From Webster's: "talk - 8. to communicate ideas by means other than
speech, as
> by writing, signs, or signals."
>
> Is English your native language, Dan?

a matter of fact, it is. From my Webster's reference, I seem to find
'speech' or spoken words to be very much a component of 'talk', as per
below:

Main Entry: 1talk
Pronunciation: 'tok
Function: verb
Etymology: Middle English; akin to Old English talu tale
Date: 13th century
transitive senses
1 : to deliver or express in speech : UTTER
2 : to make the subject of conversation or discourse : DISCUSS <talk
business>
3 : to influence, affect, or cause by talking <talked them into going>
4 : to use (a language) for conversing or communicating : SPEAK
intransitive senses
1 a : to express or exchange ideas by means of spoken words b : to convey
information or communicate in any way (as with signs or sounds) <can make a

trumpet talk>
2 : to use speech : SPEAK
3 a : to speak idly : PRATE b : GOSSIP c : to reveal secret or confidential information
4 : to give a talk : LECTURE
- talk﷽er noun
- talk back : to answer impertinently
- talk sense : to voice rational, logical, or sensible thoughts
- talk through one's hat : to voice irrational, illogical, or erroneous ideas
- talk turkey : to speak frankly or bluntly

73 de KR4AJ

1088. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 03:34:19 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2BAB3B.7BD03F9D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48943

Dan Finn wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > From Webster's: "talk - 8. to communicate ideas by means other than
> > speech, as by writing, signs, or signals."
> >
> > Is English your native language, Dan?
>
> a matter of fact, it is. From my Webster's reference, I seem to find
> 'speech' or spoken words to be very much a component of 'talk', as per
> below:

Well, you left out the above definition, you naughty boy.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1089. 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 03:44:41 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <ZUNW8.2658$JU3.250179@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48944

I am not a very active 2m operator but I do notice that most repeaters identify using Morse code at 18 + wpm. Knowing that a sizable fraction of 2m operators are "no code" techs, it is not possible for those users to understand these transmissions. The question becomes, how do no code techs know the call signs of the repeaters they are operating? Doesn't part 97 require amateurs to be able to identify the stations they are communicating with? Should NCT's only use 2m simplex or repeaters that use verbal station id? Comments welcome.

73 de KR4AJ

1090. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 04:59:10 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <aggf1e$lkcup$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48954
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:juukiu4jsm4to6i1u3ojmpkcrn5edujn17@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 9 Jul 2002 06:00:27 +0100, "Steve Terry"
> <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote:
>
> >FLs on 136KHz !!
> >You want them to wipe out their neighbours Hi-Fis ?
> >
> >I can't think of a quicker way of getting a question asked in the house
>
> In that case, the more encouragement we give them the better!
> :-)
> 73 de G3NYY
> Walt Davidson
>
You're right, 136kHz for M3's now !

Limited to say -10dbw of course, so they take No notice of any power limit,
and tell them that BT left an aerial for them to use.
i.e. their neighbours phone line ;-)

Steve Terry

1091. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 05:38:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2LPW8.3351$mI3.138942558@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48955

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020709074156.19575.00002489@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Nor can I. But I'd not keep working them out of band once I was informed
> >what their operating priveleges were.
>
> If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out of
band,
> I might question whether that one person was right.

Ah! You would "question" it. What does that mean? would you investigate
it or dismiss it?

1092. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 05:40:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HNPW8.3352$kJ3.138997048@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48956

"Dennis Ferguson" <dennis@wawa.juniper.net> wrote in message
news:agfb46$609$1@heap.juniper.net...
> W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>Nor can I. But I'd not keep working them out of band once I was
informed
> >>what their operating priveleges were.
> >
> >If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out of
band,
> >I might question whether that one person was right.
>
> Though the correctness of this one person seems to not be in question
> since the regulations at the REF Union web site, in particular
>
> http://www.ref-union.org/Documents/B/B0-01.html
>
> seem to agree. While the frequency table formatting is screwed
> up by 6 lines or so on my browser, the text says the 6m band limits
> are 50.2-51.2 MHz in Region 1, with power limited to 5 or 100 Watts
> depending on where you are in France.

Dennis comes through again. First with the CCIT def. of Morse Code, then
the French 6M privs.

HQ Staffers appear impotent to answer radio related questions, even the
simplest "what if" questions.

1093. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 05:43:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zQPW8.3353$iK3.139060259@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48957

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020709184517.14385.00002362@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
>
> >>If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out
of
> >band,
> >>I might question whether that one person was right.
>
> >Though the correctness of this one person seems to not be in question
> >since the regulations at the REF Union web site, in particular * * *
>
> I am sure that after considerable discussion here on rrap, it is
relatively
> easy to determine after the fact what was right.

Ed, what are you trying to say?

But how many of us know the
> operating priveleges of hams in other countries? I sure don't. I presume
that
> hams who contact me are authorized by their governments to do so. How
many of
> us would take the time to find that URL for each of our contacts?

So for over two years, Brian and the French ham were "liars." Until today.

Thanks Ed, Dave, et all Cronies!

> When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the
bands.
> That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility for
the
> conduct of others.

Blah blah blah.

1094. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 05:53:02 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aghaf0025ej@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48985

We of course as hams, will "hit up" our relatives and friends into the hobby, but I wonder of some type of "Amway" style of marketing the hobby to others may be worth a look? I am not referring to selling products like they do, but more along the lines of the structure they use. Of course, the "sellee's" would definitely be prequalified in respect to aptitudes etc.

Just one of those wierd thoughts after being up for more than 30 hrs......

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020707184443.11362.00001939@mb-cq.aol.com...
> >As of June 30, 2002:
> >
> >Novice - 37,653 (decrease of 11,676)
> >Tech - 241,729 (increase of 36,335)
> >Tech Plus - 77,881 (decrease of 50,979)
> >General - 138,555 (increase of 25,878)
> >Advanced - 85,134 (decrease of 14,648)
> >Extra - 102,167 (increase of 23,417)
> >Total - 683,119 (increase of 8,327)
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> How much of this increase is due to indoctrinization of children of Hams?

1095. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 06:29:33 UTC
From: "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <PyQW8.15375$AK.14617@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 48958

--
John D Gubernard, K2LSX
k2lsx@arrl.net

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020709225140.16102.00000037@mb-fp.aol.com...
> In article <lwtW8.14020$AK.14005@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard,
K2LSX"
> <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
>
...mindless drivel deleted...
>

I've learned an important lesson here...not to argue with narrow-minded
people on the Net.

I'll let Larry live in his own little world there. It's not worth gettin
frustrated over him.
He's just been standing a little too close to the spark-gap transmitter
again.

And don't bother replying to this, Larry...for I am removing this newsgroup
and never returning.

So, I guess you win and I lose...does that make you happy and satisfied??

Have fun bashing everyone else.

73's
John

QRT
--
John D Gubernard, K2LSX
k2lsx@arrl.net

1096. Re: Upping the ante

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 06:36:36 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aghaf1125ej@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48984

Must have some severe aversion to speaking to others, or severly introverted
eh?

> That's a great idea. In order to speed up bringing our proficiency to that
> level, I propose that one month of the year, say August, be declared
> "Microphone Free". This would be a microphone free holiday similar to the
> tax holidays we see in certain states. Only code would be permitted on all
> of the band segments. I wouldn't be surprised to see those code speeds way
> up there, at least into the 30 wpm range. What do you say to this? Let's
> make every August "Microphone Free"!
> 73 de KR4AJ
>
>
>

1097. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 09:01:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710050115.01738.00000155@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48959

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/09/02 13:59 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020709135910.17303.00000066@mb-mg.aol.com>

>"Defunct" is defined as "dead, extinct." If Ham Radio Magazine
>is "dead" or "extinct," why are expensive CDs containing all their
>articles in 22 years of existance resold by the ARRL?

We can find commonalities in DNA between extinct species and ones that
flourish today.

Anthropologists still call those species "extinct".

Spin, Lennie, spin...the centrifugal force is probably all that's holding
you up, Ya Putz!

>You show me NEW amateur radio equipment or ham radio kits
>made by either Rockwell Collins or Heath Company and I will
>retract many of my words.

Yeah...uh huh...Sure...we "believe" you, Your Geezerness.

>Not a problem for me.

Just like your promise to get the "Extra lite right out of the box" was
"no problem"...???

One more false promise, never made to be kept.

1098. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 09:03:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710050327.01738.00000156@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48960

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 07/09/02 16:38 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D2B49C7.7782A8EF@earthlink.net>
>

>...and as far as amateur radio is concerned (wait for it)....YOU'RE
>defunct (using of course your variation on the connotations of the
>word).

We can only hope and pray......

73

SR

1099. Re: It ain't easy being Green

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 09:08:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710050851.01738.00000157@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48961

>Subject: Re: It ain't easy being Green
>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>Date: 07/09/02 21:56 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D2B944A.C81C8B66@hotmail.com>

>
>Receiving email through the Hotmail web page is an additional level
>of protection and is functional from any ISP.

I rather liked my self-created urban legend that members of the IEEE
started reading your stuff on rrap and gave you the choice of dropping thier
domain or being tarred and feathered.

Not practical, but I had a good snicker.

Steve

1100. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 10:06:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710060618.05318.00000671@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 48962

In article <ZUNW8.2658$JU3.250179@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn"
<djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>I am not a very active 2m operator but I do notice that most repeaters
>identify using Morse code at 18 + wpm.

I think the rules say auto-ID should not exceed 20 wpm

>Knowing that a sizable fraction of 2m
>operators are "no code" techs, it is not possible for those users to
>understand these transmissions.

A sizable fraction of 2m hams can't copy that speed even if they are Extras.

>The question becomes, how do no code techs
>know the call signs of the repeaters they are operating?

1) Ask somebody who can copy it
2) Look it up in the repeater directory and make an educated guess
3) Some folks know Morse at levels beyond license requirements
4) MFJ code reader or equivalent
5) They don't worry about it

> Doesn't part 97
>require amateurs to be able to identify the stations they are communicating
>with?

They don't communicate "with" the repeater, they communicate "through" it.
Don't have to know its callsign unless you're a control op.

>Should NCT's only use 2m simplex or repeaters that use verbal station
>id? Comments welcome.

Sounds like a nonissue, Dan. Some other services use Morse auto ID, but the
users don't all know Morse.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1101. Re: Practice and Ye Shall Overcome!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 10:49:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710064957.01757.00000190@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48963

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> >> >> I don't know. I never met him.

>> >Was he your father?

>> Do you really think I never met my father?

>I didn't know, so I asked.

That is really bizarre, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1102. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 10:51:43 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710065143.01757.00000192@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48964

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
>> > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your
>business,

>Damn! I better go check those
>actuarial
>> > tables.

>> I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
>> overseas in 1985.

>So?

So my use of the term "decades ago" is reasonable.

Having trouble following the conversation, Brian, or just being wise?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1103. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 10:52:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710065231.01757.00000193@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48965

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>2yrs = 20+yrs?

No. Why do you ask?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1104. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 10:53:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710065355.01757.00000194@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48966

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Not at all, Brian; my ethics would prevent me from obsessing about it on a
>> public newsgroup.
>
>Cronyism

No, just not wanting to appear the fool.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1105. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 10:55:20 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710065520.01757.00000195@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48967

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Crony Ed, you forgot "character assassination," which is what you are doing
>now.

Brian, if I were to set out to assissinate your character, I would be a lot more direct. It is your judgement and logic I question, not your character. I think you really believe the fantasies you build, so your character is intact.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1106. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 10:56:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710065622.01757.00000196@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48968

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ah! You would "question" it. What does that mean? would you investigate
>it or dismiss it?

Neither, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1107. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 10:58:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710065842.01757.00000197@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 48969

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>HQ Staffers appear impotent to answer radio related questions, even the
>simplest "what if" questions.

How many other HQ staffers have you used to make this blanket proclamation, Brian. Is this a version of "I will blame ARRL for this to make Ed answer my question."

I didn't answer your question, and will not answer your question, because I believe it to be a leading question whose sole intent was to goad. And my decision that your question is not worthy of an answer is not ARRL policy; it is a personal judgement call.

Does that help?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1108. Re: Replacing CW by PSK31

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 11:52:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <agh74even1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48970

Stop it Larry....don't confuse the troller with the facts.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020709225137.16102.00000034@mb-fp.aol.com...
> In article <200279-1246-351156@foorum.com>, Angel G <digimode@nospam.com>
> writes:
>
> >If the
> >TITANIC had the PSK31, all the passengers had been probably saved.
>
> Only if Captain Smith had taken the PSK-31 message warning him of
> iceburgs on his course out of his pocket, read it, and ordered a change
> of course to the South in order to avoid the ice field he ultimately ran
into.
> Unfortunately, he already had that information at his disposal, provided
> by his Marconi Wireless Telegraph operator, Harold Bride. Are you
> saying that Capt. Smith didn't act on the message that Mr. Bride gave
> him just because it was received using the Morse code?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

1109. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 11:53:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710075301.06499.00000011@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48971

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> I am sure that after considerable discussion here on rrap, it is
>relatively
>> easy to determine after the fact what was right.

>Ed, what are you trying to say?

I said that afeter considerable discussion here on rrap, it is relatively easy to determine after the fact what was right.

>But how many of us know the
>> operating priveleges of hams in other countries? I sure don't. I presume
>that
>> hams who contact me are authorized by their governments to do so. How
>many of
>> us would take the time to find that URL for each of our contacts?

>So for over two years, Brian and the French ham were "liars." Until today.

Is that really what you read when I said that? That is pretty bizarre, Brian. Are you feeling okay?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1110. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 11:53:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710075333.06499.00000012@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48972

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>That's what "I goofed" meant. Translation: I made a mistake, I got it
>wrong.
>
>Have you never used those words?

Do a google group search and find out. Let us know what you find.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1111. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 11:55:27 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710075527.06499.00000013@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48973

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Regardless of the merits of CW, people just won't learn it without
>the "incentive" that existed in the Pre-Restructuring Era.

Especially after reading this, eh? Are you really willing to help ensure that
no one will learn it just to be "right?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1112. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 11:57:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710075730.06499.00000014@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48974

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>"Every facet of the ARS?" Does that include Morse/CW at a speed
>greater than 5 WPM?

Some hams have done Morse code at speeds greater than 60 wpm, Larry. That makes >60 wpm code a facet of ham radio. Have you embraced that, or is this one of those "do as I say, not as I do?" sort of things?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1113. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 11:59:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <agh7h2$6dj$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48975

The purpose of the ID is to identify the station to the FCC. Whether they
can copy cw is another issue.

But to answer the question of 'how do they know'. Learn Morse Code.

See how easy that is.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:ZUNW8.2658$JU3.250179@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> I am not a very active 2m operator but I do notice that most repeaters
> identify using Morse code at 18 + wpm. Knowing that a sizable fraction of
2m
> operators are "no code" techs, it is not possible for those users to
> understand these transmissions. The question becomes, how do no code techs
> know the call signs of the repeaters they are operating? Doesn't part 97
> require amateurs to be able to identify the stations they are
communicating
> with? Should NCT's only use 2m simplex or repeaters that use verbal
station
> id? Comments welcome.
>
> 73 de KR4AJ
>
>
>

1114. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 12:00:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710080007.06499.00000016@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48976

>From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net

>Ed, please don't say this about the old timers.

Please do note that I said "some" old timers. The majority of the old-time hams I know are wonderful people.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1115. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 12:03:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710080357.06499.00000018@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48978

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >But if he's copying with a computer he's VERY likely to miss
>> >large chunks of ordinary CW due to 'hits' caused by atmospherics.

>> Happens to me, too, Dick.

>But you do much better at gleaning what is being sent accurately than
>any computer program I ever saw, and I've checked out s few.

I posted the URL of an EME bounce that was buried in noise. It was NOT QRSS, but sent at about 10 wpm. It was decoded by a computer program. Were you able to decode it better than the computer program?

>> You do not slow down when conditions are poor?

> You're doing it again, Ed. Being disingenuous doesn't become you.

How does one be disengenuous with a question, Dick?

The example I posted was not QRSS; one could think that your reference to QRSS EME was not exactly forthright. Or is this one of those "do as Dick says, not as Dick does" sort of things?

What was the call sign of the EME station buried in the noise, Dick?

73,
Ed Hare,

1116. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 12:06:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710080615.06499.00000019@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48980

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Keep in mind, if your answer is anything other than "Yes," then your
>example *was* irrelevant, wasn't it?

My answer is no. However, that only demonstrates that the entire premise that one will value only what one works for is not valid, doesn't it? Or it demonstrates that the premise is not relevant to Amateur Radio. I agree with Cecil; the principle value of the Amateur license is in the priveleges it grants. Would you have gone through all the effort if it didn't get you the priveleges?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1117. Re: Replacing CW by PSK31

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 12:08:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710080854.01567.00000014@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 48981

In article <200279-1246-351156@foorum.com>, Angel G <digimode@nospam.com>
writes:

>Well, about 680.000 US hams and no PSK31 from USA on 6m ? Where are you ??

Good question.
>
>3rd millenium is the age of new radio technology, it's time to show to the
>world that ham-radio operators are not only sticked with OBSOLET CW.

No code test is required to get on 6 meters. Been that way since 1991. Yet you
say there is no PSK31 on 6 meters.

>If the
>TITANIC had the PSK31, all the passengers had been probably saved.

How?

The Californian was about 10 miles away but did her radio operator was off
duty. Would PSK31 have made him stay up later? Nope.

The ship which did come to the rescue was Carpathia, which was 58 miles away at
the time of the first distress call. Carpathia got the distress message and
headed for the sinking Titanic shortly after after the first distress call went
out from Titanic. But it took Carpathia four hours to get there, by which time
almost everyone in the water was long dead from hypothermia. How would PSK31
have made Carpathia go faster?
>
>There are nice softwares like WinpskSE, use it !

Who are you to give people orders? Do you tell people what they should like and
not like?
>
>There is a lot of PSK31 on 10m but very very few on 6m.

Morse code test is required for 10 meters but not 6 meters. We were told that
dropping the code test for VHF/UHF would attract large numbers of hams who
would use new modes. But you say there's no PSK31 on 6m.

Interesting.

> Hurry US guys, call
>Europe in PSK31 !

There are over 640,000 US hams with full 6m privileges.

>We listen to you in PSK31 between 50.250 and 50.280 MHz.
>TNX

Keep listening...

Jim, N2EY
>

1118. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 12:08:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710080854.01567.00000013@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 48982

In article <20020708233405.29966.00000901@mb-ma.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020707080632.01552.00000644@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:

>>>The "Radiotron Designer's Handbook" doesn't have any design
>>>data for transistors or integrated circuits.
>
>>There were no ICs in 1954, and transistors were very new technology then.
>
>"New?" Seven years.

1954 minus 1948 is 6.

>TM 11-690 came out that year, not only had
>the standard transistor symbolism but lots of different schematics
>and nomenclature and some design data...all for transistors.

So? Are you saying RCA was behind the times in 1954?
>
>1954 was 48 years ago. I was in the US Army Signal Corps
>then, controlling HF transmitters.

Did you design and build those transmitters? Pay for them all by yourself and take full responsibility for them?

Or were you a junior member of a large team that took care of them?

>What were you doing?

Learning to walk and talk. What's your point? Does being older make you right? I don't think so.
>
>>>It is oriented towards
>>>vacuum tube design; the "Radiotron" is/was an old RCA trade-
>>>mark for vacuum tubes.
>
>>Which means it's a good book for some things but not others. It's on CD,
>too.
>
>We KNOW it's on CD, speedy.

What's your problem? The Radiotron is defunct - no new issues. Just like HR magazine.

>I have one. Makes a nice little
>artifact, sort of good for having to revitalize an old tube set. :-)

>Audio Amateur Press produces the CD. They are in Peterborough,
>New Hampshire.

HR was in New Hampshire, too.
>
>>>By contrast, there are dozens of
>>>articles in the HR history that include transistors and ICs, most
>>>of which are still on the market.
>
>>Many of them are not.
>
>2N3904, 2N3906, 2N4124, 2N4126 are all still available on the
>market, new, just as they were 30 years ago. Complementary
>symmetry transistors, general purpose types with f-sub-t to
>150 MHz.

Can they be used to build all of the projects in HR?
>
>THEORY is hardly ever out of date, is it?

Of course not. But you're the one who complains about things being OLD, and a part of HISTORY. How come it's all right for you but not all right for others to say those things?

>Or do you think ham
>radio theory only comes from the Big Brother in Newington?

More put-downs. Civil deportment very lacking in Lenover21.
>
>>> A general-purpose NPN
>>>transistor with transition frequency of 150 MHz can be purchased
>>>from hundreds of retail outlets for a quarter...a triode vacuum tube
>>>to do the same circuit task typically costs $5 and available only
>>>from a limited and shrinking retail outlet group.
>
>>Lenover21 needs a tutorial on the basics of enineering econimics as applied
>>to
>>amateur radio home construction.
>
>Jimmie needs a lesson in civil comportment on newsgroups.

HAW!

Explain how you are being "civil" by calling people names and ridiculing their accomplishments.

>He also
>needs to realize that he doesn't know but a teeny fractional percentage
>of all US hams who build at home...and probably doesn't know squat
>about the many more electronics experimenters who have home
>workshops.

Neither do you.
>
>>With certain exceptions, homebrewing of radio equipment with new retail
>parts
>>is not cost effective.
>
>So...I can't buy those common transistors from, say, Digi-Key
>today? No problem. Single-piece price for them are 21 cents
>each (less in quantity), maybe another 4 cents each for the
>small-quantity shipping charge. Need some common rectifier
>diodes like the 1N4001 through 1N4007? Digi-Key's price is
>10 for $1.36 "cut tape" (they come on an ammo-belt like tape)
>or 13.6 cents apiece.

Those are just a small subset of the devices needed to build a useful project.
How many amateur radio transceivers have you built with your own money and
time? How many have you designed?
>
>Digi-Key carries an enormous selection and sells singles through
>thousands. www.digikey.com

Sure - I buy from them regularly.

Do you know where the name "Digi-Key" comes from, or how the company got its
start?
>
>>Manufacturers buying lare numbers of parts get quantity
>>discounts, hams buying a few parts don't.
>
>Oh, my, another excuse!

No, it's a fact. That's how Heathkit could sell kits for much less than the
cost of individual retail parts.

> A single transistor is functionally
>equivalent to a triode vacuum tube. In the year 2002 hams can
>buy small quantities of common complementary-symmetry
>transistors at 21 cents apiece in 2002 dollars while in 1962 an
>electronics experimenter got lucky on finding a 6C4 triode at a
>bargain price of 97cents in 1962 dollars.

So what? Total project cost is the issue.
>
>You need ICs? Digi-Key, Newark, Allied all have them at low
>prices for small quantities. There's too many to pin down for
>examples but that can be done

Total project cost. How many transceivers, Lenover21?

> (I could quote logic devices off the
>top of my head but the PCTAs don't believe in digital circuitry so
>it would be wasted effort).

Untrue.

Back in 1975 I desined and built a diital dial adapter using TTL ICs. Would
work with almost any HF receiver. Pretty advanced for the time.
>
>>Add to this the price of special
>>tools and test equipment, and even simple projects become expensive.
>
>Ohm my, a trusty Simpson 260 doesn't cut it by itself? :-)

How many transceivers?
>
>If you want everything in a hobby to be "simple projects" then
>content yourself at building tuna-tin transmitters and that good
>old standard, the regenerative receiver using a single tube! :-)

I've built those. Also multiconversion receivers, heterodyne transmitters,
transceivers, and all sorts of other stuff. How much have you built as an
individual home workshop constructor?
>
>Maybe QEX should cease publication because all these things
>with states of the art past 1962 are "complicated?!?"

You ever build anyhting?
>
>>One notable exception to this rule is when parts can be obtained at a small
>>percentage of their retail cost. "Surplus" outlets, hamfests, internet
>venues
>>and even dumpsters are sources of such parts - including tubes.
>
>OK, you get your stuff out of the dumpster...and the quality of it will
>let your project go right back to the dumpster.

Typical uncivil putdown noted.

It's called recycling.
>
>>Comparison of single-part prices, as Lenover21 has done above, is
>misleading.
>
>"Misleading?!?"

Yes.

> I just got the July-August 2002 Digi-Key catalog
>the day before, double-checked the prices quoted since they were
>so low. Choose any modern catalog you want, Jimmie, go to any
>current website and you will see all kinds of low-cost solid-state
>components, all kinds of low-cost passive components. You just
>won't find those dandy whiz-bang 1962 "ham" components hardly
>at all because so few bought them that dealers quit stocking them.

Build us a multiband transceiver with only the parts in those catalogs.
>
>>What matters in the economic area is total project cost.
>

>Yeah, like the cost of the magazine whose article was the interest-
>spark to build something in duplicate...so that the builder could
>then brag to his buddies all about "designing and building some-
>thing all by your lonesome." Uh-huh...heard that before...like how
>many times?

What ARE you going on about?
>
>>The parts cost issue is not a new thing. It is one of the main reasons for
>>the success of Heathkit.
>
>True for 1962.

True today.

>By 1972 Heath Company was starting to feel
>competition from the ready-builts in some electronic areas. By
>1982 the competition was definite and sales began sliding for
>those vaunted kits.

That was true for ALL consumer-type electronics. Competition from less
expensive forein manufacturers. Heathkit could not compete with Sony,
Panansonic, Kenwood, Yaesu, Icom, etc. Most American companies could not.
That's why they became defunct.

>Sorry, but for MOST of the kits from Heath,
>the DESIGNS were also cheap and they were not the optimum
>in performance or accuracy when compared to the ready-builts of
>1982.

Give us some examples.
>
>The Heath Company KIT in ham radio is DEFUNCT.

Yup. Just like HR magazine. Old ones are valued and restored, but no new ones
are being made.

>The same for
>color TV. The same for test equipment. The same for marine
>electronics. DEFUNCT.

The company that makes them is defunct, yes. The equipment survives, just like
old magazines.

>All you have left is an emotional surge of nostalgia that NTIS cannot
calibrate.

Like your nostalgia for 1954?

Is there something wrong with using older technologies?

>>More than 40 years ago, it was cheaper to buy a Heathkit
>>than to build an equivalent device from new retail parts.
>

>Well then, Mr. Who-Do-You-Know-Who-Designs-His-Own-Station
>you just hang in there with your Elecraft KIT that you builded all
>by yossef with your hot little solderin iron.

That's one of my stations. I have other amatuer radio equipment that I built and designed myself. Do you?

> Did you DESIGN it?

Of course not. It was cheaper to buy the kit.

Tell us how many amateur radio transceivers you've designed and built as an individual, using your own time and resources. I've done three, all multiband HF designs. None were copies of published designs - in fact, I've only done one or two copy-projects in my life.

Jim, N2EY

1119. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 12:10:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710081030.06499.00000020@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 48979

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Check some of the archives, genius. You'll find that in the Conditional
>days virtually every Conditional class ham called to retest by the FCC
>either failed to show up for the test or failed the code test.

What percentage of those tested at 13 wpm before the FCC do you think could pass a 13 wpm test today?

Where are the archives that contain the records of the FCC retests of the Conditional licencees? That happened long before the internet. I am just curious where you went to check them.

>Aw, surely not! No one
>would work the system like that!

Are you saying that ham radio has been ruined since the 1960s?

I am just curious; perhaps one of the historians here can cite the percentage of hams that were Conditionals. Do the archives indicate what percentage of the Conditionals obtained their licensed by giving Uncle George's address?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1120. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 12:20:12 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207100420.69a42e36@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48977

Hi John,

On the off-chance that you haven't removed this newsgroup, I'd like to
add my $0.02.

"John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<PyQW8.15375$AK.14617@news.webusenet.com>...
> --
> John D Gubernard, K2LSX
> k2lsx@arrl.net
>
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020709225140.16102.00000037@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > In article <lwtW8.14020$AK.14005@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard,
> K2LSX"
> > <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
> >
> ...mindless drivel deleted...

This style of discussion will almost always lead to hard feelings and
serves no useful purpose.

> I've learned an important lesson here...not to argue with narrow-minded
> people on the Net.

Then don't, discuss it and learn from it. I'll agree that Larry comes
on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
interests at heart here. Once you make up your mind to set aside 20
min. or so per day for a few weeks and pass Element 1, you'll be
surprised how the sense of accomplishment can spur you on to "want" to
attain the higher code speeds. I personally liked the ARRL
training/practice CD's. They employ ARRL or "Fast" Farsworth. That is
to say that the characters are sent at approx. 18-wpm, but the words
are spaced out at 5-wpm. It costs a whopping 12 bucks and was one of
the best investments I've ever made. Trust me on this. ;-)

> I'll let Larry live in his own little world there. It's not worth gettin
> frustrated over him.
> He's just been standing a little too close to the spark-gap transmitter
> again.

Again, I realize that Larry's delivery's a tad gruff...but his message
is sincere and well meant. Wanna really be able to tell him to pi$$
off. Do it 6 mos. or a year from now on the bottom of 20 @ 20-wpm.
He'll positively be thrilled to be insulted in this manner.

> And don't bother replying to this, Larry...for I am removing this newsgroup
> and never returning.

Hope not, there's much to learn here.

> So, I guess you win and I lose...does that make you happy and satisfied??

You'll only lose if you sell yourself short. Give it a shot and I bet
you'll be surprised how quickly it'll "fall into place." Best of luck
to you. :-)

> Have fun bashing everyone else.

I still have a few K3LT bruises, but you know what...I'm better for
the wear.

> 73's
> John
>
> QRT

I hope that's QSX. Take care and again, good luck.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1121. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 12:54:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aghaqe$6h8$0@208.207.71.167>
Source record: 48983

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Larry has admitted that he says such things just to stir up discussion
> >>here. He enjoys watching people get as upset about life as he is.
>
> > Larry's not the only one upset with what has happened to ham radio, by
> >several hundred thousand.
>
> So they are saying and doing things to make sure that everybody else involved
> in ham radio is upset, too? Do they really think that stirring the pot and
> turning ham against ham is good for the Amateur Radio Service?
>
> And, by my count, it is far from several hundred thousand; on this forum, I see
> maybe a half dozen that feel so badly about the changes in ham radio that they
> want to piss on it and everyone in it that doesn't also want to piss on it and
> everyone in it.
>
> Pretty sad, actually, that some old timers have come to this.

Ed, were you one of those "Better Red than dead!" folks from the 60's?

1122. International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:12:50 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49000
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
M3 Boycott Week.

During this period, no self-respecting Radio Ham worthy
of the name will talk with any M3 callsign.

(This will not cause any problem to an M3, because if she
regards herself as a fully-fledged Ham, then she must so
regard any other M3. Therefore, by her own argument,
if she is limited to talking to other M3's for the week, then
she's still talking to other Hams (But we will know differently!))

1123. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:13:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2C32FF.F550A75F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48986

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2A5E56.6BC1E40B@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020708074031.23765.00004029@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your
> business,
> > >
> > > > Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check those
> actuarial
> > > > tables.
> > >
> > > I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> > overseas in 1985.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> So?

Strong response, Brian. I guess you told me.

Dave K8MN

1124. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:18:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2C3434.76AA2383@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48987

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2A5ECF.6C3162E0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D29A2CB.A5E0BB73@earthlink.net...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <3D29071A.22650D2A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> > > <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > > > writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Is that your read on French stations being "lured" into breaking
> their
> > > > > >regs by my transmitting where I'm supposed to be?
> > > >
> > > > Lack of comment noted.
> > > >
> > > > >> He is a credit to the amateur radio service.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >...and you are what again to the amateur radio service?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't work bootleggers... :-)
> > > >
> > > > That's two of us. Come to think of it, you don't work anybody, do
> you?
> > > > You could qualify AS a bootlegger though.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, how can you say that? You're in my logs several times.
> >
> > Easily. You aren't Len and you may put me in your logs as often as you
> > like. That does not guarantee that you're in mine.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Unless you're not telling the truth. Do you remember saying this:
>
> > > Brian, the fact that you show up in my A2 logs does not qualify you as a

> > > DXer.

Sure I do, Brian and I've acknowledged that you are in the A2 logs.
You still aren't Len. My comment was direct to him, not you.
The fact that you showed up in my logs once still does not qualify you
as a DXer.

Dave K8MN

1125. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:20:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aghcar$9qg$0@208.207.71.167>
Source record: 48988

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> >But if he's copying with a computer he's VERY likely to miss
> >> >large chunks of ordinary CW due to 'hits' caused by atmospherics.
>
> >> Happens to me, too, Dick.
>
> >But you do much better at gleaning what is being sent accurately than
> >any computer program I ever saw, and I've checked out s few.
>
> I posted the URL of an EME bounce that was buried in noise. It was NOT QRSS,
> but sent at about 10 wpm. It was decoded by a computer program. Were you able
> to decode it better than the computer program?
>
> >> You do not slow down when conditions are poor?
>
> > You're doing it again, Ed. Being disingenuous doesn't become you.
>
> How does one be disengenuous with a question, Dick?
>
> The example I posted was not QRSS; one could think that your reference to QRSS
> EME was not exactly forthright. Or is this one of those "do as Dick says, not
> as Dick does" sort of things?
>
> What was the call sign of the EME station buried in the noise, Dick?

Since I don't keep a working sound system on this computer, I didn't
follow your link, and since you did not state anywhere the speed of the
EME transmission, I did as you always do, and assumed it to be the QRSS
mode they mostly use.

1126. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:22:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2C3517.2C85C6D0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48989

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2A604C.38193406@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D29A569.7871D505@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D290526.5413C58@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brian, You did know that there is no F1DR in the callbook didn't
> you?
> > > > > > You didn't? Wow! Better check it out.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Unfortunately he's not in my log. You go ahead and QSL him
> > > > > >
> > > > > There'd be two things to stop me: 1) I've never worked him. 2) He
> isn't
> > > > > in the Callbook.
> > > > >
> > > > > > I've not been out of band while working any ham anywhere I've been
> in
> > > > > > the world. As far as working those not licensed, how would you or
> I
> > > or
> > > > > > any of us be able to confirm that each station we work is really
> > > > > > licensed? What if you, for example, were to work someone signing
> > > F1DR.
> > > > > > Maybe, just to be on the safe side, you should stick to SWLing so
> as
> > > not
> > > > > > to run afoul of the regs.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If I were informed that F1DR is a slim, why would I work him?
> > > > >
> > > > > Not just F1DR, Brian. Anyone you hear on any band could be a
> bootlegger.
> > > > > Better play it safe.
> > > > >
> > > > > > I have only your word that these things happened. You could get

> out
> > > of
> > > > > this
> > > > > > > by just saying that you were pulling my leg.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What is it that I need to get out of? Are you going to corner me
> with
> > > > > > one of your cat-like moves?
> > > > >
> > > > > Knowingly working French amateurs out of band.
> > > >
> > > > I wasn't out of band.
> > > >
> > > > > F1DR replied directly to you.
> > > >
> > > > There isn't any F1DR. Someone who sez he's F1DR replied to me. You
> saw
> > > > my response. I wasn't out of band.
> > >
> > > I goofed. Try this instead:
> > >
> > > Callsign: F1DFR FRANCE
> > > Name: OP : JEAN-MARC (J-M --> JIM PHONETICALLY)
> > > Addr2: ,
> > > Country: FRANCE
> > > Lookups: 170
> > >
> > > QSL Mgr: (QSL direct only , mail me to get my coordinates)
> > > Email: f1dfr@arrl.net
> > > QRZ Updated: 2000-04-27 04:29:10
> >
> > He's not in my 5H logs on any band. He's not in my K8MN logs on any
> > band.
> >
> > > > > You responded directly to him. Then you say you know nothing about
> >
> > > it. What's that called?
> > > >
> > > > Forgetfulness? I've not been in Tanzania for over two years. Do you
> > > > remember everything you've said or written in two years. In what way
> > > > does my response to the make-believe F1DR change what I've said about
> my
> > > > actions? What is any of this to you, Brian Burke, a non-resident of
> > > > Tanzania or of France on a matter in which you are not involved?
> You've
> > > > not even attempted to address your indignity to those who broke their
> > > > country's amateur radio regulations?
> > > >
> > > > QSL?
> > >

> > > QRM. You have such a convenient memory.
> >
> > You must have had a convenient lapse of your own....F1DR?
>
> That's what "I goofed" meant. Translation: I made a mistake, I got it
> wrong.

I understand that. Your comment on my memory just seems peculiar after
your own lapse. Do you remember everything you've written or said after
several years?

> Have you never used those words?

Certainly.

Dave K8MN

1127. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:24:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2C3585.1BF2449D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48990

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020709073408.19575.00002487@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >> It all rests with the actuarial tables.
> >
> > >One DOES wonder about someone who has such a longterm fixation on the
> > >actuarial tables.
> >
> > And Farnsworth and whether Dave knew that hams were operating out of band
> and
> > -- his latest -- everything that disagrees with him is "cronyism."
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Crony Ed, you forgot "character assassination," which is what you are doing
> now.

Actually Brian, that is what you've unsuccessfully attempted with me.
That hasn't worked but you've certainly succeeded in making yourself
look pretty silly.

Dave K8MN

1128. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:28:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2C3676.F64D3B84@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48991

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020709073730.19575.00002488@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >You said that you expected me to spray paint grafitti on your car. That
> is
> > >being accused of being a vandal. Being a vandal is not my mission.
> >
> > He didn't accuse you of being a vandal, Brian. To do that he would have
> had to
> > have said that you actually painted grafitti on his car.
> >
> > Be careful of what you build up in your mind about these things; some
> people
> > have reasonable memories and can recall exactly what was actually said.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Some people have google group search, as Dave has found out twice in the
> last few days.

Anyone can access google, Brian. Tell me, what exactly is it that you
think you've uncovered?

Dave K8MN

1129. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:29:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aghcskaff0@208.207.71.167>
Source record: 48992

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> Hi John,
>
> On the off-chance that you haven't removed this newsgroup, I'd like to
> add my $0.02.
>
> "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<PyQW8.15375$AK.14617@news.webusenet.com>...
> > --
> > John D Gubernard, K2LSX
> > k2lsx@arrl.net
> >
> >
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20020709225140.16102.00000037@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > > In article <lwtW8.14020$AK.14005@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard,
> > K2LSX"
> > > <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
> > >
> > ...mindless drivel deleted...
>
> This style of discussion will almost always lead to hard feelings and
> serves no useful purpose.
>
> > I've learned an important lesson here...not to argue with narrow-minded
> > people on the Net.
>
> Then don't, discuss it and learn from it. I'll agree that Larry comes
> on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
> interests at heart here. Once you make up your mind to set aside 20
> min. or so per day for a few weeks and pass Element 1, you'll be
> surprised how the sense of accomplishment can spur you on to "want" to
> attain the higher code speeds. I personally liked the ARRL
> training/practice CD's. They employ ARRL or "Fast" Farsworth. That is
> to say that the characters are sent at approx. 18-wpm, but the words
> are spaced out at 5-wpm. It costs a whopping 12 bucks and was one of
> the best investments I've ever made. Trust me on this. ;-)
>
> > I'll let Larry live in his own little world there. It's not worth gettin
> > frustrated over him.
> > He's just been standing a little too close to the spark-gap transmitter
> > again.
>

> Again, I realize that Larry's delivery's a tad gruff...but his message
> is sincere and well meant. Wanna really be able to tell him to pi$$
> off. Do it 6 mos. or a year from now on the bottom of 20 @ 20-wpm.
> He'll positively be thrilled to be insulted in this manner.
>
> > And don't bother replying to this, Larry...for I am removing this newsgroup
> > and never returning.
>
> Hope not, there's much to learn here.
>
> > So, I guess you win and I lose...does that make you happy and satisfied??
>
> You'll only lose if you sell yourself short. Give it a shot and I bet
> you'll be surprised how quickly it'll "fall into place." Best of luck
> to you. :-)
>
> > Have fun bashing everyone else.
>
> I still have a few K3LT bruises, but you know what...I'm better for
> the wear.
>
> > 73's
> > John
> >
> > QRT
>
> I hope that's QSX. Take care and again, good luck.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

I sure wish we had more newcomers like you, Bert.

1130. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:35:08 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3D2C3A9C.1D19E977@uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 48994
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Terry wrote:
>
> "Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:juukiu4jsm4to6i1u3ojmpkcrn5edujn17@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 9 Jul 2002 06:00:27 +0100, "Steve Terry"
> > <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote:
> >
> > >FLs on 136KHz !!
> > >You want them to wipe out their neighbours Hi-Fis ?
> > >
> > >I can't think of a quicker way of getting a question asked in the house
> >
> > In that case, the more encouragement we give them the better!
> > :-)
> > 73 de G3NYY
> > Walt Davidson
> >
> You're right, 136kHz for M3's now !
>
> Limited to say -10dbw of course, so they take No notice of any power limit,
> and tell them that BT left an aerial for them to use.
> i.e. their neighbours phone line ;-)
>
> Steve Terry

Sorry to disappoint you Steve but my M3 already covers me for a whole watt
of ERP on 136 kHz, just like your 'A' licence covers you. Ready made rigs
or commercial kits seem to be a bit thin on the ground but one of those
audiophool amplifiers might just work. Hmmmm.......

vy 73
Andy, M3ABC

1131. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:35:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2C3817.1A8BCF4D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48993

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020709184517.14385.00002362@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
> >
> > >>If one person told me that a large number of people were operating out
> of
> > >band,
> > >>I might question whether that one person was right.
> >
> > >Though the correctness of this one person seems to not be in question
> > >since the regulations at the REF Union web site, in particular * * *
> >
> > I am sure that after considerable discussion here on rrap, it is
> relatively
> > easy to determine after the fact what was right.
>
> Ed, what are you trying to say?
>
> But how many of us know the
> > operating priveleges of hams in other countries? I sure don't. I presume
> that
> > hams who contact me are authorized by their governments to do so. How
> many of
> > us would take the time to find that URL for each of our contacts?
>
> So for over two years, Brian and the French ham were "liars." Until today.

Liars? I don't think that has been stated at any time during the
several incarnations of this crap. What has been stated is that 1) I
operated where I was permitted to be and that some French hams did not.
2) That you should take the matter up with the French PTT or the REF and
3) That none of it is really your business since you were not an
involved party.

> Thanks Ed, Dave, et all Cronies!

No, Brian. Thank YOU for all of the entertainment whether or not you
fully understand that it was at your expense.

> > When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the
> bands.
> > That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility for
> the

> > conduct of others.
>
> Blah blah blah.

That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the years,
has it?

Dave K8MN

1132. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:49:15 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2C3B5B.D3EA05FF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48995

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> We can find commonalities in DNA between extinct species and ones that
> flourish today.

That's true, Steve. You probably share 80% of your DNA with a Dodo bird. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1133. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 13:57:32 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2C3D4C.98BD063E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48996

Bert Craig wrote:
> I'll agree that Larry comes
> on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
> interests at heart here.

By saying that everyone is too lazy to learn Morse code without a
Morse code requirement? How is being called "too lazy to learn" in
the best interest of the person being belittled?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1134. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 14:20:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aghfqr$go0$0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 48997

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
>
> >Ed, please don't say this about the old timers.
>
> Please do note that I said "some" old timers. The majority of the old-time
> hams I know are wonderful people.

I'm sure you're a wonderful person also, Ed, once we get past
pugnacious. Sometimes I wonder just what it is you think I want for the
ARS.

1135. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 14:25:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aghg51$h94$0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 48998

CAM wrote:
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
> > I'll agree that Larry comes
> > on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
> > interests at heart here.
>
> By saying that everyone is too lazy to learn Morse code without a
> Morse code requirement? How is being called "too lazy to learn" in
> the best interest of the person being belittled?

Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive is reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time" but had plenty for everything else, and wanted it given to them anyway. The new hams are working under the present license scheme. If you don't believe most of them will avoid learning code past the obligatory 5wpm, and not at all when that is gone, just stick around and see. If most of those who did learn it wouldn't have without the test requirement, it's rather silly to just blithely assume they now will jump to it. But that's rather characteristic of you.

1136. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 15:05:40 UTC
From: "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <H6YW8.15529$AK.1327@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 48999

```
--
John D Gubernard, K2LSX
k2lsx@arrl.net

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0207100420.69a42e36@posting.google.com...
> Hi John,
>
> On the off-chance that you haven't removed this newsgroup, I'd like to
> add my $0.02.
>
> "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<PyQW8.15375$AK.14617@news.webusenet.com>...
> > --
> > John D Gubernard, K2LSX
> > k2lsx@arrl.net
> >
> >
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20020709225140.16102.00000037@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > > In article <lwtW8.14020$AK.14005@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard,
> > K2LSX"
> > > <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
> > >
> > ...mindless drivel deleted...
>
> This style of discussion will almost always lead to hard feelings and
> serves no useful purpose.
>
> > I've learned an important lesson here...not to argue with narrow-minded
> > people on the Net.
>
> Then don't, discuss it and learn from it. I'll agree that Larry comes
> on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
> interests at heart here. Once you make up your mind to set aside 20
> min. or so per day for a few weeks and pass Element 1, you'll be

I already have Element 1 done from my days as a Novice. It's not that I
something against CW, but I just never had the initiative to learn 13 wpm.
I didn't get on HF when I first started as a Ham and I didn't feel the need
to learn faster CW. But since this last Field Day plus getting my
"restructured" General ticket, I'm more interested in HF than I was before.
Sure, I could learn to send CW at 13 wpm and I probably will soon. But not
because Larry bullied me into doing it. I just took offense to his remarks
for making a generalzation based upon his bias for "lesser" hams.
```

And after thinking about things, I'm not going to let Larry bully me out of this NG.

73's Bert

John
K2LSX

1137. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 16:54:45 UTC
From: on4bam@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d2c6623.94781819@news.be.easynet.net>
Source record: 48851
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 10 Jul 2002 14:12:50 +0100, "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote:

>To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
>disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
>I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
>M3 Boycott Week.

If fail to find any callsign in your posting... are you frustrated
because you failed to paas the RAE?

BTW. I'm glad I caught your posting in time before operating as 9H3Z.
I will do my best to work as many M3's as possible between July
21-28th (outside these dates also of course).

Bye Maurice
--
Hamradio: ON4BAM / M0CIL / 9H3Z http://www.qsl.net/on4bam
Travelstories from Alaska, Scotland, South Africa,Iceland,
Faroe Isl. and IOTA activations
going to Thailand? http://www.f-tguesthousehuahin.com/

1138. Help us fight Red Tape!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 17:05:06 UTC
From: Neoklis <neoklis@users.sourceforge.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0207102004320.7404-100000@neok.home>
Source record: 48852

Hi!

Here in Cyprus (5B4) we are trying to negotiate with indifferent
authorities to secure the same priviledges with European
Union hams and to keep priviledges we already have, since
some are threatened due to ignorance of the nature of ham radio.

We need information on the following topics at this stage:

1. What are the prospects of the 70 Mhz (4m) band in Europe (and
the rest of the world?) There is no mention of the amateur radio
service in the "European Table of Frequency Allocations and
Utilizations" of the European Radiocommunications Committee (ERC).
All European nations are supposed to aligne with these proposals
and Amateur Radio is not mentioned in the 68.00-70.45 Mhz zone
which is the nearest to 4m.

2. What are the permitted maximum power levels in Europe? We are
currently limited to about 100-150 w o/p depending on mode and we
are trying to negotiate for a 1 Kw limit but the authorities asked
for evidence that this is allowed in at least some countries in
Europe.

3. What are the prospects of the secondary allocations in the amateur
bands? The athorities here think they should take away at least some
of them from us (like 1850-2000 KHz in top band) to protect
"legitimate" users from QRM. We pointed out all the QRM to amateurs
from so many other "professional" users but they don't seem interested.

Please help us fight Red Tape!

Thanks!

--
Regards My Ham Radio callsign: 5B4AZ QTH Loc: KM64MR
My website (with some Ham Radio/Linux software):
Neoklis http://leonardo.spidernet.net/Copernicus/22420/

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1139. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 17:20:52 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2C6CF4.EB706156@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48853

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Bert Craig wrote:
> > > I'll agree that Larry comes
> > > on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
> > > interests at heart here.
> >
> > By saying that everyone is too lazy to learn Morse code without a
> > Morse code requirement? How is being called "too lazy to learn" in
> > the best interest of the person being belittled?
>
> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive is
> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time" but
> had plenty for everything else, and wanted it given to them anyway. The
> new hams are working under the present license scheme. If you don't
> believe most of them will avoid learning code past the obligatory 5wpm,
> and not at all when that is gone, just stick around and see.

So you *are* saying that "most of them" are too lazy to learn it on their
own without a Morse code requirement. How is being called "too lazy to
learn" in the best interest of the newcomer who is being belittled? Do
you really expect to belittle him/her into learning the code?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1140. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 17:23:25 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2C6D8D.95B5FA3A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48854

"John Gubernard, K2LSX" wrote:
> Sure, I could learn to send CW at 13 wpm and I probably will soon. But not
> because Larry bullied me into doing it.

We have been telling Larry (and Dick) for a long time that they would
catch more flies with honey than vinegar but they seem to just be
vinegar type people.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1141. Re: Replacing CW by PSK31

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 17:36:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aghr8ohpb1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48855

I guess the fact that six meters does not propagate to DX land on a regular and consistant basis doesn't count, eh?

Oh and BTW I am hearing and working MANY MANY more CW stations on six meters than I have ever worked in 40 years on that band. Oh excuse me....its a 'no-code' band. Gee I wonder where all the 1x2 calls are from.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020710080854.01567.00000014@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <200279-1246-351156@foorum.com>, Angel G <digimode@nospam.com>
> writes:
>
> >Well, about 680.000 US hams and no PSK31 from USA on 6m ? Where are you ??
>
> Good question.
> >
> >3rd millenium is the age of new radio technology, it's time to show to the
> >world that ham-radio operators are not only sticked with OBSOLET CW.
>
> No code test is required to get on 6 meters. Been that way since 1991. Yet you
> say there is no PSK31 on 6 meters.
>
> >If the
> >TITANIC had the PSK31, all the passengers had been probably saved.
>
> How?
>
> The Californian was about 10 miles away but did her radio operator was off
> duty. Would PSK31 have made him stay up later? Nope.
>
> The ship which did come to the rescue was Carpathia, which was 58 miles away at
> the time of the first distress call. Carpathia got the distress message and
> headed for the sinking Titanic shortly after after the first distress call went
> out from Titanic. But it took Carpathia four hours to get there, by which time
> almost everyone in the water was long dead from hypothermia. How would PSK31

> have made Carpathia go faster?
> >
> >There are nice softwares like WinpskSE, use it !
>
> Who are you to give people orders? Do you tell people what they should
like and
> not like?
> >
> >There is a lot of PSK31 on 10m but very very few on 6m.
>
> Morse code test is required for 10 meters but not 6 meters. We were told
that
> dropping the code test for VHF/UHF would attract large numbers of hams who
> would use new modes. But you say there's no PSK31 on 6m.
>
> Interesting.
>
> > Hurry US guys, call
> >Europe in PSK31 !
>
> There are over 640,000 US hams with full 6m privileges.
>
> >We listen to you in PSK31 between 50.250 and 50.280 MHz.
> >TNX
>
> Keep listening...
>
> Jim, N2EY
> >
>
>

1142. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 18:05:08 UTC
From: G4VGO <rmread@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3d2c7a40_4@news2.prserv.net>
Source record: 48856
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> BTW. I'm glad I caught your posting in time before operating as 9H3Z.
> I will do my best to work as many M3's as possible between July
> 21-28th (outside these dates also of course).
>
>

You will have no problems, they run at least 400 watts and will call you until they get a reply just like on the 11m band so you will have no bloody choice but to work the idiots!!!!!!!!!

1143. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 18:16:48 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <mQ_W8.2363$F%6.130154@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48857
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"G4VGO" <rmread@attglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3d2c7a40_4@news2.prserv.net...
>
> > BTW. I'm glad I caught your posting in time before operating as 9H3Z.
> > I will do my best to work as many M3's as possible between July
> > 21-28th (outside these dates also of course).
> >
> >
>
> You will have no problems, they run at least 400 watts and will call you
> until they get a reply just like on the 11m band so you will have no
bloody
> choice but to work the idiots!!!!!!!!!
>
>

The real G4VGO seemed a bit surprised he was posting on the newsgroups. Did you not think to ask him if it was OK to use his callsign and Email address?

Brian

1144. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 18:27:54 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <Z0%W8.2417$F%6.133143@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48858
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
> disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
> I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
> M3 Boycott Week.
>
> During this period, no self-respecting Radio Ham worthy
> of the name will talk with any M3 callsign.
>

It will be interesting to see how many foriegn amateurs share your views-
most I have heard seem all to willing to work M3s.

I remember a conversation with an RIS man, must have been 20 years back. He had recently attended a Europe wide meeting / conference of RIS / license enforcement types and related some of the content.

While the Italians seemed to be regarded as being the most cavalier as regards regulations, first place for bascially having the most "arseholes" (that was his word) in the amateur radio went to the UK.

Silly postings like yours Gareth will only extend that view and do no good for amateur radio.

Brian
G8OSN

1145. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 18:27:54 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <J%_W8.2408$F%6.130903@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48860
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
> disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
> I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
> M3 Boycott Week.
>
> During this period, no self-respecting Radio Ham worthy
> of the name will talk with any M3 callsign.
>

It will be interesting to see how many foriegn amateurs share your views-
most I have heard seem all to willing to work M3s.

I remember a conversation with an RIS man, must have been 20 years back. He had recently attended a Europe wide meeting / conference of RIS / license enforcement types and related some of the content.

While the Italians seemed to be regarded as being the most cavalier as regards regulations, first place for bascially having the most "arseholes" (that was his word) in the amateur radio went to the UK.

Silly postings like yours Gareth will only extend that view and do no good for amateur radio.

Brian
G8OSN

1146. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 18:30:32 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <%0%W8.2418$F%6.133143@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48859
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
> disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
> I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
> M3 Boycott Week.
>
> During this period, no self-respecting Radio Ham worthy
> of the name will talk with any M3 callsign.
>

It will be interesting to see how many foriegn amateurs share your views-
most I have heard seem all to willing to work M3s.

I remember a conversation with an RIS man, must have been 20 years back. He had recently attended a Europe wide meeting / conference of RIS / license enforcement types and related some of the content.

While the Italians seemed to be regarded as being the most cavalier as regards regulations, first place for bascially having the most "arseholes" (that was his word) in the amateur radio went to the UK.

Silly postings like yours Gareth will only extend that view and do no good for amateur radio.

Brian
G8OSN

1147. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 18:46:56 UTC
From: andy <music@soon.com>
Message-ID: <aghvu5lil1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 48862
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> "Brian Reay" <brian.reay@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> news:Z0%W8.2417$F%6.133143@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...

> While the Italians seemed to be regarded as being the most cavalier as
> regards regulations, first place for bascially having the most "arseholes"
> (that was his word) in the amateur radio went to the UK.

> Silly postings like yours Gareth will only extend that view and do no good
> for amateur radio.

You don't think this is news to him do you Brian?

1148. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 18:47:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710144731.01631.00000027@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 48861

>Subject: Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 7/10/02 8:10 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020710081030.06499.00000020@mb-fx.aol.com>
>
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>Check some of the archives, genius. You'll find that in the Conditional
>>days virtually every Conditional class ham called to retest by the FCC
>>either failed to show up for the test or failed the code test.
>
>What percentage of those tested at 13 wpm before the FCC do you think could
>pass a 13 wpm test today?

Total percentage or those who are still alive?

I know I can - that's 100% so far.

>Where are the archives that contain the records of the FCC retests of the
>Conditional licencees? That happened long before the internet. I am just
>curious where you went to check them.

Me too.

>I am just curious; perhaps one of the historians here can cite the percentage
>of hams that were Conditionals.

I'm not a historian, but here are the figures from early 1965:

Novice: 13,930
Tech: 58,546
General: 101,217
Conditional: 40, 529
Advanced: 39,928
Extra: 3,683
Total: 257,833

Conditionals made up about 16% of US hams at the time. I don't have figures handy for earlier or later.

One interesting factoid is that when the distance requirement was reduced from 125 to 75 miles in 1954, growth increased, and when it was increased to 175 miles in 1963 the number of hams the growth dried up. Not the only factor, of course, but after the 1963 changes you had to live way out in the boonies to qualify for a Conditional..

> Do the archives indicate what percentage of
>the Conditionals obtained their licensed by giving Uncle George's address?
>
None that I've seen. But I do clearly recall how upset some local Conditionals
were about a false rumor that they'd have to retest.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1149. Re: Help us fight Red Tape!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 19:28:03 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7V%W8.18393$lu6.1058002@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48863

Neokis, this is primarily a U.S. group so it is not the best source for
European rules. Might I suggest UK.Radio.Amateur and similar groups in
Spain, France, and the Netherlands (es,fr,nl)

Good luck dealing with officialdom

Dick N&#65533;BK

"Neoklis" <neoklis@users.sourceforge.net> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.33.0207102004320.7404-100000@neok.home...
> Hi!
>
> Here in Cyprus (5B4) we are trying to negotiate with indifferent
> authorities to secure the same priviledges with European
> Union hams and to keep priviledges we already have, since
> some are threatened due to ignorance of the nature of ham radio.
>
> We need information on the following topics at this stage:
>
> 1. What are the prospects of the 70 Mhz (4m) band in Europe (and
> the rest of the world?) There is no mention of the amateur radio
> service in the "European Table of Frequency Allocations and
> Utilizations" of the European Radiocommunications Committee (ERC).
> All European nations are supposed to aligne with these proposals
> and Amateur Radio is not mentioned in the 68.00-70.45 Mhz zone
> which is the nearest to 4m.
>
> 2. What are the permitted maximum power levels in Europe? We are
> currently limited to about 100-150 w o/p depending on mode and we
> are trying to negotiate for a 1 Kw limit but the authorities asked
> for evidence that this is allowed in at least some countries in
> Europe.
>
> 3. What are the prospects of the secondary allocations in the amateur
> bands? The athorities here think they should take away at least some
> of them from us (like 1850-2000 KHz in top band) to protect
> "legitimate" users from QRM. We pointed out all the QRM to amateurs
> from so many other "professional" users but they don't seem interested.
>
> Please help us fight Red Tape!
>
>
> Thanks!
>
> --

> Regards My Ham Radio callsign: 5B4AZ QTH Loc: KM64MR
> My website (with some Ham Radio/Linux software):
> Neoklis http://leonardo.spidernet.net/Copernicus/22420/
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1150. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 20:05:06 UTC
From: Howard Long <howard@howardlongxxx.com>
Message-ID: <agi41i$o3k$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48864
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> BTW. I'm glad I caught your posting in time before operating as 9H3Z.
> I will do my best to work as many M3's as possible between July
> 21-28th (outside these dates also of course).

I'll also be abroad that week on holiday in VE7 with two other Hams (G4's).
We'll be setting up shop and operating lots and lots of M3's.

QRV 40m-1.2cm. AO-7 & AO-40 contacts especially welcome.

73 Howard G6LVB/M3LVB
http://www.g6lvb.com

1151. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 20:19:49 UTC
From: Bigyun2000 Uk <bigyun2000@bigyun2k.karoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <uip5nor0j978a2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48865
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hi all.

Please just remember not all CB..ers are bad. I know many 11mtr ops that perform better than hams. So please do not tar everyone with the same brush.

Also i think that we all have to start somewhere.
ENCOURAGEMENT would be helpfull from professionals like yourself. I thought the idea was to promote radio not the other way. Its not the operators you should have a go at but the Licensing people or RSGB.

i HOPE MY COMMENTS ARE NOTED. (unlicensed SWL)
"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:%0%W8.2418$F%6.133143@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
>
>
> --
> Brian Reay
> www.g8osn.org.uk
>
> "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
> > disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
> > I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
> > M3 Boycott Week.
> >
> > During this period, no self-respecting Radio Ham worthy
> > of the name will talk with any M3 callsign.
> >
>
> It will be interesting to see how many foriegn amateurs share your views-
> most I have heard seem all to willing to work M3s.
>
> I remember a conversation with an RIS man, must have been 20 years back.
He
> had recently attended a Europe wide meeting / conference of RIS / license
> enforcement types and related some of the content.
>
> While the Italians seemed to be regarded as being the most cavalier as
> regards regulations, first place for bascially having the most "arseholes"
> (that was his word) in the amateur radio went to the UK.
>
> Silly postings like yours Gareth will only extend that view and do no good

> for amateur radio.
>
>
>
> Brian
> G8OSN
>
>
>
>
>

1152. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 20:41:59 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102036110.21061-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48866

On Tue, 9 Jul 2002, Dan Finn wrote:
>I am not a very active 2m operator but I do notice that most repeaters
>identify using Morse code at 18 + wpm. Knowing that a sizable fraction of 2m
>operators are "no code" techs, it is not possible for those users to
>understand these transmissions. The question becomes, how do no code techs
>know the call signs of the repeaters they are operating? Doesn't part 97
>require amateurs to be able to identify the stations they are communicating
>with? Should NCT's only use 2m simplex or repeaters that use verbal station
>id? Comments welcome.

As long as the rules indicate that automatic identification can occur in morse code no faster than 20wpm, those repeaters [continue to] meet the requirements. This even holds true for the repeater subbands at 222MHz and 1285Mhz, where novices can use repeaters (they just can't be the trustee of one).

You assume that "no code" => "doesn't know code." If that were universally true, then no one would ever be able to upgrade out of that license class! :-) Obviously, some of them do know it, but prove it later when they actually pass element 1.

1153. Re: Help us fight Red Tape!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 20:47:09 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102043460.21061-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48867

On Wed, 10 Jul 2002, Neoklis wrote:
>Here in Cyprus (5B4) we are trying to negotiate with indifferent
>authorities to secure the same priviledges with European
>Union hams and to keep priviledges we already have, since
>some are threatened due to ignorance of the nature of ham radio.
>
>We need information on the following topics at this stage:
>
>1. What are the prospects of the 70 Mhz (4m) band in Europe (and
>the rest of the world?) There is no mention of the amateur radio
>service in the "European Table of Frequency Allocations and
>Utilizations" of the European Radiocommunications Committee (ERC).
>All European nations are supposed to aligne with these proposals
>and Amateur Radio is not mentioned in the 68.00-70.45 Mhz zone
>which is the nearest to 4m.

In the U.S., only the 72-76Mhz segment of that is not broadcast TV. It is
between TV channels "4" and "5". Amateurs have no allocation in that range.
This allocation will probably be "region 1" only.

>2. What are the permitted maximum power levels in Europe? We are
>currently limited to about 100-150 w o/p depending on mode and we
>are trying to negotiate for a 1 Kw limit but the authorities asked
>for evidence that this is allowed in at least some countries in
>Europe.
>
>3. What are the prospects of the secondary allocations in the amateur
>bands? The athorities here think they should take away at least some
>of them from us (like 1850-2000 KHz in top band) to protect
>"legitimate" users from QRM. We pointed out all the QRM to amateurs
>from so many other "professional" users but they don't seem interested.
>
>Please help us fight Red Tape!

Steal all the rolls from the government and replace them with green tape! :-)

1154. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 21:30:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IH1X8.3923$nd7.152207585@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48868

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102036110.21061-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Tue, 9 Jul 2002, Dan Finn wrote:
> >I am not a very active 2m operator but I do notice that most repeaters
> >identify using Morse code at 18 + wpm. Knowing that a sizable fraction of
2m
> >operators are "no code" techs, it is not possible for those users to
> >understand these transmissions. The question becomes, how do no code
techs
> >know the call signs of the repeaters they are operating? Doesn't part 97
> >require amateurs to be able to identify the stations they are
communicating
> >with? Should NCT's only use 2m simplex or repeaters that use verbal
station
> >id? Comments welcome.
>
> As long as the rules indicate that automatic identification can occur in
morse
> code no faster than 20wpm, those repeaters [continue to] meet the
requirements.
> This even holds true for the repeater subbands at 222MHz and 1285Mhz,
where
> novices can use repeaters (they just can't be the trustee of one).
>
> You assume that "no code" => "doesn't know code." If that were
universally
> true, then no one would ever be able to upgrade out of that license class!
:-)
> Obviously, some of them do know it, but prove it later when they actually
pass
> element 1.

Its interesting that one who doesn't know their own repeater's ID can be a
trustee(Technician), but one who can copy the ID (Novice) cannot be a
trustee.

1155. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 21:32:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QJ1X8.3924$A87.151907714@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48869

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710065231.01757.00000193@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >2yrs = 20+yrs?
>
> No. Why do you ask?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Only because you said, then snipped, this:

"If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated overseas decades
ago as you are about other things, that is your business, Brian."

Dave's operating in question was only 2 years ago, Ed, not "decades" ago.

1156. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 21:35:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IM1X8.3926$Jf7.152359075@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48870

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710065143.01757.00000192@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> >> > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your
> >business,
>
> >Damn! I better go check those
> >actuarial
> >> > tables.
>
> >> I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> >> overseas in 1985.
>
> >So?
>
> So my use of the term "decades ago" is reasonable.
>
> Having trouble following the conversation, Brian, or just being wise?

It is unreasonable. The questionable practices that I've called Dave on
were while he was in Tanzania (i.e., 2 years ago). Are you having trouble
following the conversation, Ed, or just being a jerk?

1157. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 21:43:33 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102137460.21083-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 48871

On Wed, 10 Jul 2002, Brian P Burke wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102036110.21061-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> On Tue, 9 Jul 2002, Dan Finn wrote:
>> >I am not a very active 2m operator but I do notice that most repeaters
>> >identify using Morse code at 18 + wpm. Knowing that a sizable fraction of
>2m
>> >operators are "no code" techs, it is not possible for those users to
>> >understand these transmissions. The question becomes, how do no code
>techs
>> >know the call signs of the repeaters they are operating? Doesn't part 97
>> >require amateurs to be able to identify the stations they are
>communicating
>> >with? Should NCT's only use 2m simplex or repeaters that use verbal
>station
>> >id? Comments welcome.
>>
>> As long as the rules indicate that automatic identification can occur in
>morse
>> code no faster than 20wpm, those repeaters [continue to] meet the
>requirements.
>> This even holds true for the repeater subbands at 222MHz and 1285Mhz,
>where
>> novices can use repeaters (they just can't be the trustee of one).
>>
>> You assume that "no code" => "doesn't know code." If that were
>universally
>> true, then no one would ever be able to upgrade out of that license class!
>:-)
>> Obviously, some of them do know it, but prove it later when they actually
>pass
>> element 1.
>
>Its interesting that one who doesn't know their own repeater's ID can be a
>trustee(Technician), but one who can copy the ID (Novice) cannot be a
>trustee.

...Just another point of proof that the FCC doesn't know what it's doing in the
rulemaking process.

What they probably should have done is:
1) Fix all these inconsistencies.
2) Actually permit one renewal for licenseholders of currently defunct
license classes if before 2005, then require that they upgrade or upon renewal
after 2005, downgrade them (advanced -> general, novice -> nothing).

3) Eliminated permanent operating authority for an element 1 CSCE. By not doing so, we're back in the same situation that existed from 1991-1994.

It makes me wonder whether or not the FCC staff that worked on restructuring actually understood the rules.

1158. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 21:46:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%W1X8.3931$pm7.152786235@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48872

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2C32FF.F550A75F@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2A5E56.6BC1E40B@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020708074031.23765.00004029@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >
> > > > > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > > > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your
> > business,
> > > >
> > > > > Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check those
> > actuarial
> > > > > tables.
> > > >
> > > > I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> > > > overseas in 1985.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > So?
> >
> > Strong response, Brian. I guess you told me.

Dave, I didn't measure your response too highly on the relevant scale,
either. So try this:

Did you remember talking to the French Ham, especially after I reposted your
exchange with him? Did you remember him telling you the French 6M
authorization, especailly after I reposted your exchange with him? Did you
read Dennis' info on the French 6M authorizations? Did you recall that I am
in your callbook, especailly after I reposted your exchange with me?

The United Negro College Fund has a saying that you might consider, "The
mind is a terrible thing to waste."

You can dodge and hide all day long behind that poor memory of yours, but
your forte' appears to be predicting the future. The important topic you
bring to the table is if I'm going to spray paint your car. Am I?

73, Brian

1159. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 21:50:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2%1X8.3939$4p7.152951846@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48873

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710075301.06499.00000011@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> I am sure that after considerable discussion here on rrap, it is
> >relatively
> >> easy to determine after the fact what was right.
>
> >Ed, what are you trying to say?
>
> I said that afeter considerable discussion here on rrap, it is relatively
easy
> to determine after the fact what was right.
>
No Ed, that's EXACTLY what you said. I asked what you were trying to say?
Since you don't understand, I'll ask it another way; What do you consider
right?
>
> >But how many of us know the
> >> operating priveleges of hams in other countries? I sure don't. I
presume
> >that
> >> hams who contact me are authorized by their governments to do so. How
> >many of
> >> us would take the time to find that URL for each of our contacts?
>
> >So for over two years, Brian and the French ham were "liars." Until
today.
>
> Is that really what you read when I said that? That is pretty bizarre,
Brian.
> Are you feeling okay?

I'm feeling fine. Why do you ask? Or rather, What are you trying to
insinuate? This wouldn't be a little attempt at character assassination,
would it?

1160. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 21:56:04 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <agiahk$2hdp$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 48875

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>I'm not a historian, but here are the figures from early 1965:
>
>Novice: 13,930
>Tech: 58,546
>General: 101,217
>Conditional: 40, 529
>Advanced: 39,928
>Extra: 3,683
>Total: 257,833
>
>Conditionals made up about 16% of US hams at the time. I don't have figures
>handy for earlier or later.
>
>One interesting factoid is that when the distance requirement was reduced from
>125 to 75 miles in 1954, growth increased, and when it was increased to 175
>miles in 1963 the number of hams the growth dried up. Not the only factor, of
>course, but after the 1963 changes you had to live way out in the boonies to
>qualify for a Conditional..

Noting of course that correlation doesn't necessarily imply any causality.
It could even be that the distance requirement wasn't a factor in anything
at all. Multivariate statistics are like that.

>
>> Do the archives indicate what percentage of
>>the Conditionals obtained their licensed by giving Uncle George's address?
>>
>None that I've seen. But I do clearly recall how upset some local Conditionals
>were about a false rumor that they'd have to retest.

I don't know why you'd find this unexpected or significant. The upset
seems to have identically the same root cause which upset Generals
and Advanced when they lost full privileges, and which makes people insist
that current amateurs not lose privileges in license restructuring even to
this day. Many people seem to get upset if you ask them to pass more tests
to retain the privileges they already have, and they seem to feel this way
independent of their license class. There doesn't seem to be anything
special about Conditionals feeling the same way when presented with the
same prospect.

Dennis Ferguson

1161. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 21:56:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <H42X8.3945$4b7.152069346@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48874

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2C3817.1A8BCF4D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020709184517.14385.00002362@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > > From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
> > >
> > > >If one person told me that a large number of people were operating
out
> > of
> > > > >band,
> > > > >I might question whether that one person was right.
> > >
> > > >Though the correctness of this one person seems to not be in question
> > > >since the regulations at the REF Union web site, in particular * * *
> > >
> > > I am sure that after considerable discussion here on rrap, it is
> > relatively
> > > easy to determine after the fact what was right.
> >
> > Ed, what are you trying to say?
> >
> > But how many of us know the
> > > operating priveleges of hams in other countries? I sure don't. I
presume
> > that
> > > hams who contact me are authorized by their governments to do so. How
> > many of
> > > us would take the time to find that URL for each of our contacts?
> >
> > So for over two years, Brian and the French ham were "liars." Until
today.
>
> Liars? I don't think that has been stated at any time during the
> several incarnations of this crap. What has been stated is that 1) I
> operated where I was permitted to be and that some French hams did not.
> 2) That you should take the matter up with the French PTT or the REF and
> 3) That none of it is really your business since you were not an
> involved party.

Don't worry about it Dave. With your memory, you'll not recall it tomorrow.

> > Thanks Ed, Dave, et all Cronies!
>

> No, Brian. Thank YOU for all of the entertainment whether or not you
> fully understand that it was at your expense.

My pleasure.

> > > When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the
> > bands.
> > > That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility
for
> > the
> > > conduct of others.
> >
> > Blah blah blah.
>
> That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the years,
> has it?

Chip? I'm surprised its even there. I Love chips. Now I'll have to go
find some dip. No, wait, that's your part.

1162. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 22:01:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <f92X8.3953$1x7.153448794@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48876

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710065842.01757.00000197@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >HQ Staffers appear impotent to answer radio related questions, even the
> >simplest "what if" questions.
>
> How many other HQ staffers have you used to make this blanket
proclamation,
> Brian. Is this a version of "I will blame ARRL for this to make Ed answer
my
> question."
>
> I didn't answer your question, and will not answer your question, because
I
> believe it to be a leading question whose sole intent was to goad.

So moral questions are meant to goad? No wonder there's a problem in
America today.

Sen. McCaine: "Mr Anderson, why did you not use generally accepted
accounting principles with this client?"

Art Anderson, CPA: "Sir, I will not answer that question because I believe
it to be a leading question whose sole intent is to goad."

And my
> decision that your question is not worthy of an answer is not ARRL policy;
it
> is a personal judgement call.
>
> Does that help?

Immensely.

1163. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 22:02:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7a2X8.3955$ly7.153534819@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48877

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710065622.01757.00000196@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Ah! You would "question" it. What does that mean? would you
investigate
> >it or dismiss it?
>
> Neither, Brian.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Of course not. Its all becoming clearer.

1164. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 22:06:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710180632.01591.00000003@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 48878

In article <20020709184152.14385.00002361@mb-mn.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>Are you sure the FCC must go through the NPRM process, Ed? Did FCC do that
>>when
>>the sending test was waived (1977) or when the RFI exposure rules were put
>>into
>>effect (mid-90s)?
>
>I don't know what happened in 1977, but the RF exposure rules went through
>the
>whole gamut, including petitions for recon by ARRL (mostly successful).

Thanks for the history. But note that the RFI stuff was all-new rules that had not been previously discussed in detail.

>
>>Seems to me that FCC went over the whole codetest issue with a fine-toothed
>>comb back in 1998-1999. They asked for, and received, all sorts of input on
>>the
>>subject, and their conclusion was that there was "no regulatory purpose" to
>>continued code testing other than compliance with S25.5.
>
>Yes, but they deliberately chose not to include a sunset provision that
>automatically deleted the code test once S25 was changed.

Sure - but has the FCC ever included a sunset provision in the ARS rules? It would have been simple to include a sunset provision for the Novice, Tech Plus and Advanced class licenses, but it wasn't done.

Does anyone really think that the FCC will keep any code testing of any kind without S25.5 requiring it?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1165. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 22:38:42 UTC
From: adamory <adamory@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <LB2X8.3680$F%6.156987@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48882
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hehehehehehe!

nice one!

"Maurice ON4BAM" <on4bam@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d2c6623.94781819@news.be.easynet.net...
> On Wed, 10 Jul 2002 14:12:50 +0100, "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote:
>
> >To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
> >disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
> >I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
> >M3 Boycott Week.
>
> If fail to find any callsign in your posting... are you frustrated
> because you failed to paas the RAE?
>
> BTW. I'm glad I caught your posting in time before operating as 9H3Z.
> I will do my best to work as many M3's as possible between July
> 21-28th (outside these dates also of course).
>
>
> Bye Maurice
> --
> Hamradio: ON4BAM / M0CIL / 9H3Z http://www.qsl.net/on4bam
> Travelstories from Alaska, Scotland, South Africa,Iceland,
> Faroe Isl. and IOTA activations
> going to Thailand? http://www.f-tguesthousehuahin.com/

1166. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 22:39:20 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <agid2c$9lg$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 48879

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102137460.21083-

> It makes me wonder whether or not the FCC staff that worked on
restructuring
> actually understood the rules.
>

It reminds me of the scene from M.A.S.H. where Col. Blake ask Radar
if he understood all the paper work he was having him (Blake) sign. To
which Radar answered "no I find it just slows me down" or something
to that effect. :^)
--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1167. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-10 22:42:34 UTC
From: adamory <adamory@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <rF2X8.3703$F%6.157410@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48880
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

think most DX stations are more than happy to get the new prefix in their logs.

"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@bigfoot.com> wrote in message news:%0%W8.2418$F%6.133143@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
>
>
> --
> Brian Reay
> www.g8osn.org.uk
>
> "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
> > disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
> > I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
> > M3 Boycott Week.
> >
> > During this period, no self-respecting Radio Ham worthy
> > of the name will talk with any M3 callsign.
> >
>
> It will be interesting to see how many foriegn amateurs share your views-
> most I have heard seem all to willing to work M3s.
>
> I remember a conversation with an RIS man, must have been 20 years back.
He
> had recently attended a Europe wide meeting / conference of RIS / license
> enforcement types and related some of the content.
>
> While the Italians seemed to be regarded as being the most cavalier as
> regards regulations, first place for bascially having the most "arseholes"
> (that was his word) in the amateur radio went to the UK.
>
> Silly postings like yours Gareth will only extend that view and do no good
> for amateur radio.
>
>
>
> Brian
> G8OSN
>
>

>
>
>

1168. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 00:03:12 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <agihv3$96f$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48881

"Dennis Ferguson" <dennis@wawa.juniper.net> wrote in message
news:agiahk$2hdp$1@heap.juniper.net...
> N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
> >From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> >I'm not a historian, but here are the figures from early 1965:
> >
> >Novice: 13,930
> >Tech: 58,546
> >General: 101,217
> >Conditional: 40, 529
> >Advanced: 39,928
> >Extra: 3,683
> >Total: 257,833
> >
> >Conditionals made up about 16% of US hams at the time. I don't have
figures
> >handy for earlier or later.
> >
> >One interesting factoid is that when the distance requirement was reduced
from
> >125 to 75 miles in 1954, growth increased, and when it was increased to
175
> >miles in 1963 the number of hams the growth dried up. Not the only
factor, of
> >course, but after the 1963 changes you had to live way out in the boonies
to
> >qualify for a Conditional..
>
> Noting of course that correlation doesn't necessarily imply any causality.
> It could even be that the distance requirement wasn't a factor in anything
> at all. Multivariate statistics are like that.

It makes sense. Prior to 1954, almost any auto trip of over 100 miles was
an undertaking for many people,
especially young people. Drop the distance that allowed loacl testing via
the "conditional route"
and you're bound to create more opportunity for interested people to even
want to become a ham.

When the distance increased to 175 miles in '63, that was probably a 4 hour
distance for many
people, two hours if they were about 100 miles. That 100 mile increase (75
to 175)
likly created a significant distance roadblock for many people...and,
especially

youngsters.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1169. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:17:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710211758.00961.00000937@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48886

In article <20020710080854.01567.00000013@mb-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>>The "Radiotron Designer's Handbook" doesn't have any design
>>>>data for transistors or integrated circuits.
>>
>>>There were no ICs in 1954, and transistors were very new technology then.
>>
>>"New?" Seven years.
>
>1954 minus 1948 is 6.

The invention of the transistor was disclosed by Bell Labs in December,
1947. The actual invention was several months prior to that.

1954 minus 1947 is 7. :-)

>>TM 11-690 came out that year, not only had
>>the standard transistor symbolism but lots of different schematics
>>and nomenclature and some design data...all for transistors.
>
>So? Are you saying RCA was behind the times in 1954?

No. TM 11-690 is "Theory and Application of Transistors," a
technical manual or "TM" published by the US Army. It described
the theory and application of transistors. Further, it used the
standard symbolism of the transistor whereas many textbooks
of the 50s and some of the 60s used a non-standard symbolism.

>>1954 was 48 years ago. I was in the US Army Signal Corps
>>then, controlling HF transmitters.
>
>Did you design and build those transmitters? Pay for them all by yourself and
>take full responsibility for them?
>
>Or were you a junior member of a large team that took care of them?

Is an E-5 a "junior member" of anything? Try telling a three-up-and-one-
down in the US Army that they are merely a "junior member" of anything.

Tip: If you need a demonstration of "shouting" try the above... :-)

>>What were you doing?
>
>Learning to walk and talk. What's your point? Does being older make you
>right? I don't think so.

"Learning to walk and talk" before being born? Precocious! :-)

Were you designing and building nursery care equipment? Pay for them
all by yourself and take full responsitibility for them in 1954?

Or weren't you just a junior member of the nursery trying to go
through potty training? :-)

>>I have one. Makes a nice little
>>artifact, sort of good for having to revitalize an old tube set. :-)
>>Audio Amateur Press produces the CD. They are in Peterborough,
>>New Hampshire.
>
>HR was in New Hampshire, too.

Oh my! Guilt By (geographic) Association! :-)

BYTE magazine is in Peterborough. BYTE has published my work,
too. Are they "defunct?"

>>2N3904, 2N3906, 2N4124, 2N4126 are all still available on the
>>market, new, just as they were 30 years ago. Complementary
>>symmetry transistors, general purpose types with f-sub-t to
>>150 MHz.
>
>Can they be used to build all of the projects in HR?

Pathetic, spiteful commentary from an alleged working electronics
engineer...or just a spiteful commentary from an ignorant engineer
who doesn't know his PNP from an NPN. :-)

Do you really know the meaning of "general purpose" or
"complementary symmetry?" Or even "f-sub-t?"

>>THEORY is hardly ever out of date, is it?
>
>Of course not. But you're the one who complains about things being OLD, and a
>part of HISTORY. How come it's all right for you but not all right for others
>to say those things?

Don't misdirect into the "defunct" area... :-)

Is theory out of date or isn't it? Try answering the question.

>>Or do you think ham
>>radio theory only comes from the Big Brother in Newington?
>
>More put-downs. Civil deportment very lacking in Lenover21.

Pardon me, your memberhighnessship, let me rephrase:

Do you think ham radio theory only comes from the ARRL?

>>Jimmie needs a lesson in civil comportment on newsgroups.
>
>HAW!

You do.

>Explain how you are being "civil" by calling people names and ridiculing
>their accomplishments.

Why do you consider lack of praise to be "ridicule?"

You made a very long Federal Case out of not being a formally-degreed
engineer and joined the crowd (largely of syncophants who are PCTAs)
who denigrated and ridiculed actual employment history of BEING an
electronics engineer. You did this without any evidence of contacting
either my former employers or the ham licensees I listed as references.
Then you had the temerity to NOT say who your employer was "because
I would make fun of your employer or work." Poor baby.

All of that casts doubts in the minds of many that you are NOT
employed as an "engineer" in electronics.

>>So...I can't buy those common transistors from, say, Digi-Key
>>today? No problem. Single-piece price for them are 21 cents
>>each (less in quantity), maybe another 4 cents each for the
>>small-quantity shipping charge. Need some common rectifier
>>diodes like the 1N4001 through 1N4007? Digi-Key's price is
>>10 for $1.36 "cut tape" (they come on an ammo-belt like tape)
>>or 13.6 cents apiece.
>
>Those are just a small subset of the devices needed to build a useful
>project.

OK, Mr. Extreme Literalist, I'll just name the ENTIRE Digi-Key catalog
as containing the parts that would be "useful" for a project. :-)

>How many amateur radio transceivers have you built with your own money and
>time? How many have you designed?

Six, but those were not solely for amateur radio although each one could
cover at least one amateur band.

Four of those were my own design, notebooks signed and witnessed to
prove it. The other two were ARC-5 Command Set combinations of
receiver-transmitter that I obviously did not design. :-)

>>Digi-Key carries an enormous selection and sells singles through
>>thousands. www.digikey.com
>
>Sure - I buy from them regularly.

Of course you do...you access their web site, imagine things, then
say you "buy" from them regularly. :-)

>> A single transistor is functionally
>>equivalent to a triode vacuum tube. In the year 2002 hams can
>>buy small quantities of common complementary-symmetry
>>transistors at 21 cents apiece in 2002 dollars while in 1962 an
>>electronics experimenter got lucky on finding a 6C4 triode at a

>>bargain price of 97cents in 1962 dollars.
>
>So what? Total project cost is the issue.

How does one amortize a used tuna can? Or a scrounged quad
2-input NAND gate to make into a solid-state equivalent to a
little MOPA set? :-)

>>You need ICs? Digi-Key, Newark, Allied all have them at low
>>prices for small quantities. There's too many to pin down for
>>examples but that can be done
>
>Total project cost. How many transceivers, Lenover21?

...contact my accountants, Arthur B. Andersen Company.

:-)

>Back in 1975 I desined and built a diital dial adapter using TTL ICs. Would
>work with almost any HF receiver. Pretty advanced for the time.

I'd say it was damn well advanced if you could get TTLs to display
anything! :-)

Okay, okay, so you desined it...were you able to cosine it? :-)

Did you write it up for publication? Go through the patent application
steps?

>>Ohm my, a trusty Simpson 260 doesn't cut it by itself? :-)
>
>How many transceivers?

Pay attention, speedy, I already told you...

>>If you want everything in a hobby to be "simple projects" then
>>content yourself at building tuna-tin transmitters and that good
>>old standard, the regenerative receiver using a single tube! :-)
>
>I've built those. Also multiconversion receivers, heterodyne transmitters,
>transceivers, and all sorts of other stuff. How much have you built as an
>individual home workshop constructor?

You built "heterodyne transmitters?!?" Did the FCC ever catch you
"heterodyning?" What did you convert those receivers from? :-)

>You ever build anyhting?

Yes. "Other stuff" besides what was told you. :-)

>>>One notable exception to this rule is when parts can be obtained at a small
>>>percentage of their retail cost. "Surplus" outlets, hamfests, internet
>>>venues and even dumpsters are sources of such parts - including tubes.
>>
>>OK, you get your stuff out of the dumpster...and the quality of it will

>>let your project go right back to the dumpster.
>
>Typical uncivil putdown noted.
>
>It's called recycling.

No problem. You are welcome to rummage through our recycling can
any week before Monday (pick-up day in my neighborhood). All kinds
of "neat" things in there... :-)

>Build us a multiband transceiver with only the parts in those catalogs.

Why? Will you praise it, say it is "advanced for its time?" :-)

Or will you deride it, denigrate it, and otherwise damn it if it doesn't have
"CW" capability?

Is monoband design "less quality" than multiband? :-)

>>>The parts cost issue is not a new thing. It is one of the main reasons for
>>>the success of Heathkit.
>>
>>True for 1962.
>
>True today.

Heath doesn't make ham radio kits in today's time (year 2002).

>That was true for ALL consumer-type electronics. Competition from less
>expensive forein manufacturers. Heathkit could not compete with Sony,
>Panansonic, Kenwood, Yaesu, Icom, etc. Most American companies could not.
>That's why they became defunct.

Of course, Mr. Extreme Literalist. Just like Ford and General Motors? :-)

>>All you have left is an emotional surge of nostalgia that NTIS cannot
>>calibrate.
>
>Like your nostalgia for 1954?

What "nostalgia?" In 1954 I was a newly-minted E-5 with supervisory
duties on controlling HF transmitters...and waiting for WW3 to break out.

>Is there something wrong with using older technologies?

Yes, when you champion those because it is the only technology
you seem to know anything about... :-)

You belong in an era that existed before you were born.

>That's one of my stations. I have other amatuer radio equipment that I built
>and designed myself. Do you?

I'm not a licensed radio amateur. Why should I "built and designed"
something I can't use? :-)

>> Did you DESIGN it?
>
>Of course not. It was cheaper to buy the kit.

So...you did NOT design something, but rather assembled a kit that
someone else designed. [at least you admitted it outright...there's
hope for you...]

>Tell us how many amateur radio transceivers you've designed and built as an
>individual, using your own time and resources. I've done three, all multiband
>HF designs. None were copies of published designs - in fact, I've only done
>one or two copy-projects in my life.

Of course you did. Just a minute while I get a notepad and start scribbling
an endorsement of you for a medal from some important scientific institution.

Okay, go ahead, describe them in detail...

1170. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:18:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710211802.00961.00000938@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48885

In article <20020709224450.01164.00000443@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>Lenover21 wrote:
>>>
>>>>>ARRL publication prices are the same from surface mail or e-mail
>>>>>as in retail outlets. If shipping charges are required extra cost to
>>>>>a mail order then that is approximately equal or slightly more than
>>>>>local state sales tax. But, a retail ARRL publication outlet has to
>>>>>make a profit to stay in business, so it obtains ARRL publications
>>>>>at a reduced rate...or did you think the retail outlet sells without
>>>>>profit out of the goodness of their hearts?!? :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>Retailing 101
>>>>
>>>>That's not answering any questions.
>>>
>>>The only question you posed was rhetorical.
>>
>>Nonsense. Inaccurate.
>
>How? You asked a rhetorical question.

No. I gave an explanation. I will ask it again: "Do you think a retail
outlet sells without profit out of the goodness of their hearts?"

Do you think that or do you think differently?

>>The Word of Extras in here has the ARRL
>>as acting out of the Goodness of Their Hearts.
>
>Who said that? Not me.

Not in those same words, extreme literalist, but in tone and tenor of
your words. You are an amateur extra. You state pedantic
conditions straight out of the ARRL statements as your own. You
become upset at negative criticsm of any kind about the ARRL yet
you do not work for them. You are a "believer" in the sanctity and
honesty of the ARRL. Ergo, you espouse the "Word of Extras."

>>>ARRL's publishing operation is using a certain retailing strategy.
Obviously
>>>they want people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at all
>>>possible - that's one way they support stores that sell to radio amateurs
>>>and prospective radio amateurs.
>>

>>Again, obvious nonsense.
>
>No, it's obviously true. You just don't like the ARRL for some reason.
Probably
>it's because their books are both good and inexpensive.

You have failed to show "obviousness" of your statement. You stated that
the ARRL wants people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at all
possible. That's not obvious.

If the ARRL wanted people to go to retail outlets to buy their products, then
they would only advertise their wares but would not make them available
through mail-order. That would be obvious. The ARRL does not do that.

That's not liking or "unliking" the ARRL, merely stating an observed
condition which may be observed by anyone else, referenced by the
ARRL's own publications and web site.

>>If the ARRL "wanted peoble to buy their publications via retail
>>outlets," why would they have them for resale through headquarters
>>in Newington?
>
>The idea is to maximize the availability of the product. Those who prefer mail
>order can get publications direct from Hq, either by mail or online. Those who
>would rather buy from a store can do it that way.

Then your "obvious" word is incorrect as you used it earlier in the message.

You cannot use a strong word like "obvious" and then, a few sentences
later, make it unqualified as a descriptor.

>ARRL also has a booth at most hamfests where publications can be bought
>directly, avoidingshipping.

Irrelevant. One has to attend a hamfest in order to buy it. Mail ordering is
available to anyone with a postal address.

By adding the irrelevant "booth at hamfests" qualifier you have further
reduced the original "obvious" descriptor. No points for you this time.

>>How many "retail outlets" for amateur radio equipment are there in
>>the USA? [ask K0HB]
>
>You ask him, if you really want to know.

Hans Brakob has stated in here more than once about the shrinking
number of amateur radio retail outlets in the USA.

>However, there are over 200 stores selling ARRL publications in the USA. The
>ARRL website has a store locator, identifying retail stores that sell ARRL
>publications.

There are approximately 300 million in the USA population. If there are
really "200 stores selling ARRL publications" then that would be about

one store per 1.5 million in the population.

>>>Postage and shipping are not the same thing. Shipping includes things like
>>>the cost of packing materials, labor, general overhead and profit.
>>
>>Duh...
>
>Really being civil, aren't you?

I thought that any working engineer would have knowledge of "burden" (the accounting use of the word) which includes packing material, labor (in handling the packaging, addressing, etc. of product). "Burden" (the accounting use of the word) has been familiar to me since the 60s and exists in nearly every business. To assume that others do not know it made you very uncivil originally.

>>OK, order an official ARRL membership decal (#1130) for a dollar.
>>Do you or do you not pay FOUR dollars in shipping charges to
>>include "cost of packing materials, labor, general overhead and
>>profit?"
>
>So what? It's a disincentive for small orders.
>
>How many official ARRL decals have you ordered?

Irrelevant again. It is not a "requirement" to order something to talk about the conditions of ordering.

>>An envelope, 37 cents in a stamp, a zip through a computer-
>>controlled printer for the addressing and 1/12th of an hour time
>>(at most) of a clerk to handle it. All that "shipping charge" is
>>still worth FOUR TIMES the cost of the product itself?!?
>
>Are you offering to do it for less?

I asked a question, pointing out that which should be obvious. You "replied" with an irrelevant, uncivil "question."

You could have answered the posed question civilly with any sarcastic overtones. You did not, choosing a nastygram type of comment.

>>Is there NO PROFIT in the product ITSELF?
>
>There must be some.

You state a generality without answering the question. That's not an answer.

>>Note: The ARRL sells its publications to retail outlets at a
>>lesser cost than it charges to individuals. Does the ARRL
>>"take a loss" on that difference in price? I don't think so.
>

>What's your point? Retail outlets buy in quantity - most individuals don't.

The "point" should be obvious to anyone with basic marketing and
selling experience.

Those basic trade skills can be explained to you if you wish to
further your knowledge of business. Do you wish such an
explanation?

>>That difference in price is a lesser profit for the ARRL but it
>>still represents PROFIT on PRODUCT.
>>
>Do you know how much it costs to produce the publications?

I have experience in costing-out publishing costs in addition to
experience in costing-out design, development, and manufacturing
of electronics goods.

As a generality in US publishing, the "list" retail price on books is
twice what a retailer must pay to buy those books. To put it another
way, the general public paying a list price on a general book will
have approximately half that purchase cost go towards profit for the
retailer. The publisher still makes a profit on their price to a retailer
so more than fifty percent of a list price at a retailer goes towards
profit.

This high "profit margin" on the general book market allows some
firms such as Crown Books and Amazon to resell at lower-than-list
prices. While those "discount" retailers make a lesser profit than
"list" retailers, they can sell more product than "list" retailers due
to attracting more buyers. The end result is that the "discounters"
make more total profit than "list" retailers.

>I simply point out errors and mistakes. You make so many it's hard to keep
>up.

Incorrect again. You state an incorrect absolute as if it is fact, yet it
isn't true. That is very uncivil of you.

>One of Lenover21's missions is obviously to denigrate the ARRL at every
>opportunity.

Incorrect again. Tsk, tsk, making all those (incorrect) "factual" statements
and then stating others are "uncivil"...bad form.

>>>So? What's your point? It's obviously a retailing strategy that encourages
>>>sales through retail outlets rather than mail order, and encourages
retailers
>>>to sell ARRL publications.
>>
>>Sorry, that's not a justifiable statement.
>
>Yes, it is.

You have not justified it yet. Simply stating a point does not make it so.

>>There aren't enough ham
>>radio retail outlets in the USA to satisfy such a condition.
>
>212 that sell ARRL publications, according to the locator.

One per 1.5 million or so is not significant nor is it any sort
of "justification" for an "obvious" condition..

>Somehow I suspect that you want the ARRL to simply go away.

You may "suspect" all you want, but your statement is still incorrect,
biased, and uncivil.

Like any political-action organization, the ARRL should not consider
itself above reproach. The ARRL membership has a minority of all
licensed US radio amateurs. As such it should not claim that "it
represents US radio amateurs" with any honesty or integrity.

The ARRL could correct a number of perceived wrongs in its
approach to control-leadership of US radio amateurs. To do so
would admit it is not perfect nor even approaching a majority view
in amateur radio. Some members of the ARRL, such as yourself,
do not allow any negative statements about the ARRL...and make
libelous statements about those who challenge the ARRL for
whatever reason. That is the action of an emotional fanatic.

>I'm not worked up. I'm not the one calling people diminutive names and
>shouting.

"Diminutives" in naming seems to be a standard practice in here. :-)

"SHOUTING" (as in use of all-capitals) is thoroughly subjective to the
reader. You haven't heard me shout. You have only seen some of
my words written in all-capitals. Please try to be correct in phrasing.

>You're not the moderator of rrap, and you can't control who posts here or
>what they post. Grow up and learn to live with it.

I'm not trying to "control" who posts or doesn't post. I'm simply pointing
out certain negative traits of individuals who cannot take any sort of
negative criticism. You do not seem able to take negative criticsm.

Learn to take negative criticsm. That will enable you to better interact
with others.

>>Amazon is still a profit-making business.
>
>HAW! That's a GOOD one!

Is Amazon still in business after many years? If so, it must be making
a profit. Businesses do not continue in business without profit.

"Creative accounting" may make it appear that a business is "losing
money" as well as "making a profit."

If a business remains in business, it is making a profit.

>The facts are there for all to see. Show us where Amazon is "making a profit,
>all the while absorbing those high shipping charges plus their 'burden (bean
>counter talk for the overhead of plant and equipment and all other
>miscellaneous business costs)"

I'm not an accountant nor am I in possession of audit information on Amazon.

That is irrelevant to the subject at hand...unless you are making another
misdirection to avoid answering my questions on the main thread.

If a business remains in business, it is making a profit. No profit, no
more remaining in business. "Creative accounting" can make real
profit appear as a "loss" and real loss can be made to appear as
"gain." See Enron and WorldCom now under investigation by the
US government.

>You keep mentioning the ARRL membership percentage. Is there a point to that?

Yes. The ARRL membership is a minority of all licensed US radio amateurs.

The words of the ARRL are generated by a minority of that minority...which
includes the board of directors of the ARRL.

>Not misdirection. A simple question. You derided a current, nondefunct
magazine
>that has published an article by me as a "high priced fanzine", but we don't
>see any hard data on "ham radio" magazine.

Where is the retail outlet where anyone can buy an issue of "Electric
Radio?" Are all articles available on CDs?

Do they have cutting-edge, state-of-the-art articles to advance the
state of the amateur radio art?

>>QST is a MEMBERSHIP MAGAZINE, so said in capitals because
>>so few understand the difference between that and an independent.
>>The "subscribership" numbers of QST are the same as the ARRL
>>membership. QST doesn't depend solely on advertising sales to
>>keep going but can receive backing from the ARRL general budget.
>>[whether or not they do so is irrelevant...the fact is that QST is
>>backed by the ARRL because it is THE periodical of ARRL
>>membership]
>
>And this is a problem how?

Advertising revenue is the main profit-making element in periodical
publication. Advertisers buy advertising space based on a periodical's
market penetration and that market's demographics. A membership
magazine can point to its membership numbers as the total market.
Further, a membership magazine has the advantage of the general
membership fund to support it when needed.

By contrast, CQ and 73 are independents, have no support from any membership organization, depend solely advertising sales to stay in business. Their sales are through retail sales outlets and through subscribership. They have no buffer or larger group budget advantage to fall back on during lean ad sales times.

There should be an obvious difference between membership magazines and independents. Publishers know that. If you deny such obvious differences then you are being spiteful and not really arguing "publishing."

>>>What percentage of all licensed US hams are members of NCI?
>>
>>No Code International is, in its own statements, solely a political
>>action organization. It does NOT require all members to be
>>licensed in any radio service. Further, it has said since the
>>beginning of its organization that it will dissolve when the morse
>>code test requirement for an amateur radio license is eliminated.
>
>Doesn't answer the question. What percentage of all licensed US hams are
>members of NCI?

Irrelevant again. Misdirection. To reiterate:

No Code International is, in its own statements, solely a political action organization. It does NOT require all members to be licensed in any radio service. Further, it has said since the beginning of its organization that it will dissolve when the morse code test requirement for an amateur radio license is eliminated.

>Sounds like you want the ARRL to just go away. You'd like that, because the
>ARS in the USA would be left without a strong national organization.

Sounds like Miccolis has a vivid imagination and a spiteful attitude. :-)

The ARRL could change its marketing policy on resold goods. That was the gist of my commentary. The ARRL is NOT a discount seller of much of anything nor is it a "model" for the reselling business. :-)

>It is interesting to note that Lenover21 does not offer solutions to problems
>but simply says how things should not be. One does not get things done by
>saying what shouldn't be done.

I am not in the reselling business nor the political-action lobbying business nor am I a membership organization getting members to give non-members the business. :-)

Miccolis has his bloomers all in a knot and should learn to live with some taking pot-shots at negative aspects of his beloved ARRL.. Either that or claim that the ARRL is a religious organization to fit his belief system. :-)

1171. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:18:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710211803.00961.00000939@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48884

In article <3D2B474E.CA928E0C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D2A6AE6.81115431@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>>
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
>> >> magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
>> >> Radio"?
>> >
>> >You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)
>>
>> That's wayyyy too subtle, Cecil. :-)
>>
>> The average PCTA in here can't distinguish subtlety due to the
>> overwhelming emotional bias for code.
>>
>> $150 + $7 shipping and handling gets anyone a copy of all the
>> articles in Ham Radio Magazine for its 22 year history. Folks can
>> then make all the personal reprints they want from those CDs
>> which are available from the ARRL.
>>
>> They "don't exist." They are "dead," "extinct." Nothing in them
>> applies to amateur radio, all according to certain PCTAs. :-)
>
>I have a full cabinet full of back issues of "Ham Radio" and "HRH", Len.
>Nobody is dumb enough to believe that when a magazine publishing company
>is gone that the back issues evaporate. The business which published
>the magazines is defunct.

Incorrect still. Communications Technology, Inc., the business organization
that published Ham Radio Magazine and Ham Radio Horizons and ran the
Ham Radio Bookstore, was SOLD to CQ. Selling a publishing business is
simply a transfer of ownership. In the case of a publishing house, the
transfer of ownership involves "intellectual property" or copyrighted material
in print and images.

You continue to apply "defunct" to something which is an improper word
although that word carries emotional bias that seems to serve your
purpose in denigrating an independent publisher who existed for 22 years
and whose product is still respected.

>You are certainly giving Brian a run for the gold medal.

This is not a "contest" for message totals. I am simply trying to place a proper perspective on your astigmatic focus of denigration of others' works.

You insist on having some "last word" on a subject you do not understand. You will not get it until you show some understanding.

1172. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:18:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710211804.00961.00000940@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48883

In article <3D2C3817.1A8BCF4D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> > When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the
bands.
>> > That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility for
the
>> > conduct of others.
>>
>> Blah blah blah.
>
>That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the years,
>has it?

It is far smaller than your own.

1173. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:26:43 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710212643.02209.00000147@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 48887

>I sure wish we had more newcomers like you, Bert.

Thanks for the compliment. I'm working on it. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1174. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:27:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ra5X8.1099$gH2.69378452@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48888

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102137460.21083-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Wed, 10 Jul 2002, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> >Its interesting that one who doesn't know their own repeater's ID can be
a
> >trustee(Technician), but one who can copy the ID (Novice) cannot be a
> >trustee.
>
> ...Just another point of proof that the FCC doesn't know what it's doing
in the
> rulemaking process.

I'm convinced of it.

> What they probably should have done is:
> 1) Fix all these inconsistencies.
> 2) Actually permit one renewal for licenseholders of currently defunct
> license classes if before 2005, then require that they upgrade or upon
renewal
> after 2005, downgrade them (advanced -> general, novice -> nothing).
> 3) Eliminated permanent operating authority for an element 1 CSCE. By
> not doing so, we're back in the same situation that existed from
1991-1994.
>
> It makes me wonder whether or not the FCC staff that worked on
restructuring
> actually understood the rules.

Not a clue. But they get paid to make the rules, and no one else can make
the rules for them.

1175. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:29:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Fb5X8.1100$UH2.69419063@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48889

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2C3676.F64D3B84@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Some people have google group search, as Dave has found out twice in the
> > last few days.
>
> Anyone can access google, Brian. Tell me, what exactly is it that you
> think you've uncovered?

Your inability to remember anything that might conflict with the argument of
the moment.

1176. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:35:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9h5X8.1105$6R2.70001081@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48890

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2C3434.76AA2383@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2A5ECF.6C3162E0@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:

> > > > Dave, how can you say that? You're in my logs several times.
> > >
> > > Easily. You aren't Len and you may put me in your logs as often as
you
> > > like. That does not guarantee that you're in mine.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Unless you're not telling the truth. Do you remember saying this:
> >
> > > > Brian, the fact that you show up in my A2 logs does not qualify you
as a
> > > > DXer.
>
> Sure I do, Brian and I've acknowledged that you are in the A2 logs.
> You still aren't Len. My comment was direct to him, not you.
> The fact that you showed up in my logs once still does not qualify you
> as a DXer.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, that's patently false. You addressed me in the above statement.

Also, why would I put you in my logs as often as I like? You're in there
only as often as we've worked each other. And why wouldn't I be in your log
if we've already worked each other, we've already QSLed each other, and
you've further acknowledged it on rrap. Now there's no guarantee that I'm
in your log?

Must be new math or something.

1177. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:36:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ti5X8.1107$LE2.69218373@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48891

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710075333.06499.00000012@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >That's what "I goofed" meant. Translation: I made a mistake, I got it
> >wrong.
> >
> >Have you never used those words?
>
> Do a google group search and find out. Let us know what you find.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Shouldn't I be able to take your word for it, Ed?

I'll do the search when I find that your words are meaningless, like I've had to do for others on here.

1178. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:39:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pl5X8.1110$hF2.69253505@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48892

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2C3517.2C85C6D0@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> > > You must have had a convenient lapse of your own....F1DR?
> >
> > That's what "I goofed" meant. Translation: I made a mistake, I got it
> > wrong.
>
> I understand that. Your comment on my memory just seems peculiar after
> your own lapse. Do you remember everything you've written or said after
> several years?

A typo is now a memory problem?

Keep digging that hole.

1179. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:40:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Km5X8.1111$6_2.70558759@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48893

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710065520.01757.00000195@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Crony Ed, you forgot "character assassination," which is what you are
doing
> >now.
>
> Brian, if I were to set out to assissinate your character, I would be a
lot
> more direct. It is your judgement and logic I question, not your
character. I
> think you really believe the fantasies you build, so your character is
intact.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Wow. After a little character assassination, lets try a left handed
compliment.

1180. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:42:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2o5X8.1112$VS2.70118775@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48894

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2C3585.1BF2449D@earthlink.net...

> Actually Brian, that is what you've unsuccessfully attempted with me.
> That hasn't worked but you've certainly succeeded in making yourself
> look pretty silly.

Dave, you just have a very loose set of morals. Mine are more stringent.
If you find that silly, so be it.

1181. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:43:14 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710214314.02209.00000151@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 48895

>Bert Craig wrote:
>> I'll agree that Larry comes
>> on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
>> interests at heart here.
>
>By saying that everyone is too lazy to learn Morse code without a
>Morse code requirement? How is being called "too lazy to learn" in
>the best interest of the person being belittled?

A little common sense goes a long way, Cecil. You and I both know (As well as Larry.) that such a wide- sweeping generic statement is more the result of emotions rather than reason. Assuch, it can be immediately discounted. When I was a Tech, Larry's comments NEVER made me feel "belittled." Did I ever get pi$$ed off? Sure, but a little anger shouldn'r diminish one's self esteem. Try not to dwell on the delivery, focus on the message and I think we can all recognize the "tough love" approach at work.

BTW, will a 40-meter dipole give me a better signal *in all directions* vs. an 80? Also, will it suck...er...ah...perform poorly on 20 because it's a full wavelength dipole at those freqs?

How's that for changing gears? I have the day off tomorrow and after taking my 5 yr. old daughter to get her first cavity (A crater!) filled, she's going to help me raise some copper into the trees. (God, I dread this trip to the dentist!) Take care, Cecil.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

BTW, I see that I studied fast "Farsworth" instead of Farnsworth...EMbarrasing!
:-)

1182. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:45:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <lr5X8.1115$e33.70892852@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48896

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710065355.01757.00000194@mb-mf.aol.com...
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Not at all, Brian; my ethics would prevent me from obsessing about it
on a
> >> public newsgroup.
> >
> >Cronyism
>
> No, just not wanting to appear the fool.

Well there you have it.

1183. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:47:33 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2CE3B5.12133D19@hotmail.com>
Source record: 48897

Len Over 21 wrote:
> The invention of the transistor was disclosed by Bell Labs in December,
> 1947. The actual invention was several months prior to that.

Rumors are transistors were discovered somewhere around Roswell, NM
during the first part of July, 1947. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1184. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:51:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yw5X8.1118$fc3.71456989@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48898

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:aghfqr$go0$0@208.207.71.176...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
> >
> > >Ed, please don't say this about the old timers.
> >
> > Please do note that I said "some" old timers. The majority of the
old-time
> > hams I know are wonderful people.
>
>
> I'm sure you're a wonderful person also, Ed, once we get past
> pugnacious. Sometimes I wonder just what it is you think I want for the
> ARS.

I know that you know that I know....

1185. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:56:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bB5X8.1121$Mj3.71942430@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48899

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020709225138.16102.00000035@mb-fp.aol.com...
> In article <20020709075815.19575.00002497@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >>>If you found a winning lottery ticket laying on the ground, would it be
> >>>valueless to you compared to the one you bought that didn't win? The
first
> >>>came to you with no effort.
> >
> >>Hmmm -- a specious but totally irrelevant example.
> >
> >I am surprised that you couldn't offer a reasonable counterpoint, Larry.
If
> >all
> >you can manage is to proclaim my example irrelevant, I take it that I won
the
> >point.
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed:
>
> Sooo, then, you're saying that if I had found someone's ham ticket
> lying on the ground back in 1981, that I could just put it in my wallet
> and start operating on-the-air, using it as a valid authorization to
> operate on the ham bands?
>
> Keep in mind, if your answer is anything other than "Yes," then your
> example *was* irrelevant, wasn't it?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, is that a leading question meant to goad?

1186. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2D5X8.1122$7I3.72031476@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48900

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710080615.06499.00000019@mb-fx.aol.com...
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >Keep in mind, if your answer is anything other than "Yes," then your
> >example *was* irrelevant, wasn't it?
>
> My answer is no.

Ed, why did you answer Larry's leading question that was meant to goad?

1187. Re: Replacing CW by PSK31

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710215835.19209.00001524@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49006

In article <20020710080854.01567.00000014@mb-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Who are you to give people orders? Do you tell people what they should like
>and
>not like?
>>
>>There is a lot of PSK31 on 10m but very very few on 6m.
>
>Morse code test is required for 10 meters but not 6 meters. We were told that
>dropping the code test for VHF/UHF would attract large numbers of hams who
>would use new modes. But you say there's no PSK31 on 6m.
>
>Interesting.
>
>> Hurry US guys, call
>>Europe in PSK31 !
>
>There are over 640,000 US hams with full 6m privileges.
>
>>We listen to you in PSK31 between 50.250 and 50.280 MHz.
>>TNX
>
>Keep listening...
>
>Jim, N2EY

Damn it, Jim -- he's a troller, not someone interested in the facts!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1188. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:36 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710215836.19209.00001526@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49001

In article <3D2BA312.60D21B38@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Yeah, right. One of these days, I'm going to have to learn this
>> Farnsworth thang myself so I can use it on-the-air.
>
>Just put ten seconds between every character, Larry.
>That's Farnsworth. :-)

Cecil:

Hmmm --- so Farnsworth is actually Morse at around 1 WPM? Seems
like even Brian ought to be able to handle that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1189. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:36 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710215836.19209.00001525@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49004

In article <20020710080615.06499.00000019@mb-fx.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>Keep in mind, if your answer is anything other than "Yes," then your
>>example *was* irrelevant, wasn't it?
>
>My answer is no. However, that only demonstrates that the entire premise
>that
>one will value only what one works for is not valid, doesn't it? Or it
>demonstrates that the premise is not relevant to Amateur Radio. I agree with
>Cecil; the principle value of the Amateur license is in the priveleges it
>grants. Would you have gone through all the effort if it didn't get you the
>priveleges?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

That all depends, Ed -- what the heck are "priveleges" (sic)?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1190. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:37 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710215837.19209.00001528@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49003

In article <20020710075527.06499.00000013@mb-fx.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>Regardless of the merits of CW, people just won't learn it without
>>the "incentive" that existed in the Pre-Restructuring Era.
>
>Especially after reading this, eh? Are you really willing to help ensure that
>no one will learn it just to be "right?"
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Anyone who doesn't learn the code as a result of what he/she read here has merely found the excuse they were looking for.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1191. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:37 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710215837.19209.00001527@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49005

In article <PyQW8.15375$AK.14617@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard, K2LSX"
<K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:

>I've learned an important lesson here...not to argue with narrow-minded
>people on the Net.

Oh woe is me -- John called me "narrow-minded!" Whatever will I
do now?

John -- you're definitely not "narrow-minded" -- you fathead! <BSEG>

>I'll let Larry live in his own little world there. It's not worth gettin
>frustrated over him.
>He's just been standing a little too close to the spark-gap transmitter
>again.

You ought to try it sometime -- they're a lot of fun!

>And don't bother replying to this, Larry...for I am removing this newsgroup
>and never returning.

Hmmm, let's see -- where have we heard THAT before?

>So, I guess you win and I lose...does that make you happy and satisfied??
>
>Have fun bashing everyone else.

There's an endless supply, John. You'll never be missed!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1192. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:38 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710215838.19209.00001529@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49002

In article <20020710075730.06499.00000014@mb-fx.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
writes:

>>"Every facet of the ARS?" Does that include Morse/CW at a speed
>>greater than 5 WPM?
>
>Some hams have done Morse code at speeds greater than 60 wpm, Larry. That
>makes >60 wpm code a facet of ham radio. Have you embraced that, or is this
>one
>of those "do as I say, not as I do?" sort of things?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Been there, done that. With my Kam-Plus.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1193. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710215839.19209.00001531@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49007

In article <H6YW8.15529$AK.1327@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:

>
>I already have Element 1 done from my days as a Novice. It's not that I
>something against CW, but I just never had the initiative to learn 13 wpm.
>I didn't get on HF when I first started as a Ham and I didn't feel the need
>to learn faster CW. But since this last Field Day plus getting my
>"restructured" General ticket, I'm more interested in HF than I was before.
>Sure, I could learn to send CW at 13 wpm and I probably will soon. But not
>because Larry bullied me into doing it. I just took offense to his remarks
>for making a generalzation based upon his bias for "lesser" hams.
>
>And after thinking about things, I'm not going to let Larry bully me out of
>this NG.
>
>73's Bert
>
>John
>K2LSX

John:

Nobody's trying to "bully" you out of this NG. However, if you continue to participate, be prepared to deal with people like me who believe in what they're saying, and are capable of expressing their believes clearly and with conviction.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1194. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710215839.19209.00001530@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49009

In article <b7d1465c.0207100420.69a42e36@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>Then don't, discuss it and learn from it. I'll agree that Larry comes
>on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
>interests at heart here. Once you make up your mind to set aside 20
>min. or so per day for a few weeks and pass Element 1, you'll be
>surprised how the sense of accomplishment can spur you on to "want" to
>attain the higher code speeds. I personally liked the ARRL
>training/practice CD's. They employ ARRL or "Fast" Farsworth. That is
>to say that the characters are sent at approx. 18-wpm, but the words
>are spaced out at 5-wpm. It costs a whopping 12 bucks and was one of
>the best investments I've ever made. Trust me on this. ;-)
>
>> I'll let Larry live in his own little world there. It's not worth gettin
>> frustrated over him.
>> He's just been standing a little too close to the spark-gap transmitter
>> again.
>
>Again, I realize that Larry's delivery's a tad gruff...but his message
>is sincere and well meant. Wanna really be able to tell him to pi$$
>off. Do it 6 mos. or a year from now on the bottom of 20 @ 20-wpm.
>He'll positively be thrilled to be insulted in this manner.

Bert:

Hey, I'll settle for John to tell me to pi$$ up a rope at 13 WPM -- and I know that he can do it, even if he doesn't! However, I hate do disappoint him, but there's no way he can legally tell me off on-the-air that would get more than a yawn or a chuckle out of me!

>> And don't bother replying to this, Larry...for I am removing this newsgroup
>> and never returning.

Note to John: Don't say that unless you really mean it, or you'll end up like Kim Walker, W5TIT -- a big blowhard with absolutely no credibility.

>Hope not, there's much to learn here.
>
>> So, I guess you win and I lose...does that make you happy and satisfied??

Speaking for myself, I'm not here to win or lose. I participate here as an intellectual exercise and a form of entertainment. I seek no "closure" of this issue.

>You'll only lose if you sell yourself short. Give it a shot and I bet
>you'll be surprised how quickly it'll "fall into place." Best of luck

>to you. :-)
>
>> Have fun bashing everyone else.
>
>I still have a few K3LT bruises, but you know what...I'm better for
>the wear.

I, on the other hand, still have to deal with the knuckles I broke on
Bert's jaw!

>> 73's
>> John
>>
>> QRT
>
>I hope that's QSX. Take care and again, good luck.
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

Congratulations on your new call sign, Bert! "Watusi!" Pretty cool!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1195. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 01:58:40 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710215840.19209.00001532@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49008

In article <3D2C6CF4.EB706156@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive is
>> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time" but
>> had plenty for everything else, and wanted it given to them anyway. The
>> new hams are working under the present license scheme. If you don't
>> believe most of them will avoid learning code past the obligatory 5wpm,
>> and not at all when that is gone, just stick around and see.
>
>So you *are* saying that "most of them" are too lazy to learn it on their
>own without a Morse code requirement. How is being called "too lazy to
>learn" in the best interest of the newcomer who is being belittled? Do
>you really expect to belittle him/her into learning the code?

Cecil:

For years, I didn't become a licensed amateur radio operator mainly because I was too lazy to learn the code. I couldn't be bothered to learn how to beep when we were landing men on the moon and building computers that could fit inside a building as small as a typical house. Fortunately for me, I overcame my laziness and, during one of the busiest periods of my life, learned the code, began using it almost exclusively on-the-air, and have enjoyed it's benefits ever since. The thing about the Morse code is that it is the only part of becoming a ham that challenged prospective licensees to overcome their laziness. It is the only mode which requires one to develop a rather unnatural and non-intuitive physical communications skill, therefore without a dedicated effort, one will not succeed at learning it to a useful proficiency level.

Like it or not, how a person deals with the "laziness" issue is the core of the code testing debate. Those with the maturity and emotional intelligence to overcome their own inertia are the ones who become (or became) the True Believers in code testing. I'm one of them.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1196. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 02:01:23 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710220123.02209.00000154@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49010

Hi John,

>I already have Element 1 done from my days as a Novice.

Cool beans! :-)

>It's not that I
>something against CW, but I just never had the initiative to learn 13 wpm.

Understood.

>I didn't get on HF when I first started as a Ham and I didn't feel the need
>to learn faster CW. But since this last Field Day plus getting my
>"restructured" General ticket, I'm more interested in HF than I was before.

Enjoy. ;-)

>Sure, I could learn to send CW at 13 wpm and I probably will soon.

I have no doubt you will. I wouldn't be surprised if you're closer to telling Larry to pi$$ off @ 20-wpm than you think. Here's a funny. After studying the code and feeling pretty confident, I ignored Nï¿½BK's (I think?) advice to kinda leave it alone the day before the test. So guess what? I couldn't copy 5-wpm to SAVE MY LIFE! Man-o-man, did I feel panic set it. Dick, told me to "calm the *&$! down." Good advice because when the test actually started, the characters sorta just floated on in. Funny now...but not then.

>But not
>because Larry bullied me into doing it. I just took offense to his remarks
>for making a generalzation based upon his bias for "lesser" hams.

Fair enough and quite understood.

>And after thinking about things, I'm not going to let Larry bully me out of
>this NG.

IMNSHO, you've made the right choice for the right reasons. Knock 'em dead.

>73's Bert

...and to you sir, take care now.
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1197. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 02:03:33 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710220333.02209.00000155@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49011

>Some hams have done Morse code at speeds greater than 60 wpm, Larry. That
>makes >60 wpm code a facet of ham radio. Have you embraced that, or is this
>one
>of those "do as I say, not as I do?" sort of things?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Yeah, you big bully...what he said! :-D

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1198. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 02:12:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3Q5X8.1138$RF3.73317703@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49012

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:aghcskaff0@208.207.71.167...

> > Then don't, discuss it and learn from it. I'll agree that Larry comes
> > on a little strong but

Dick, a little strong? Is that like a little pregnant?

1199. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 02:19:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <DW5X8.1141$FP3.73957403@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49013

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:aghg51$h94$0@208.207.71.176...
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Bert Craig wrote:
> > > I'll agree that Larry comes
> > > on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
> > > interests at heart here.
> >
> > By saying that everyone is too lazy to learn Morse code without a
> > Morse code requirement? How is being called "too lazy to learn" in
> > the best interest of the person being belittled?
>
> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive is
> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"

Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That would make him a congenital liar.

I have spent an enormous amount of my own free time learning the Morse Code. I passed the code exam with 100% copy. Because I didn't get anything out of my time invested, Dick says I'm lazy and didn't have time to learn it. He couldn't be further from the truth, but we already knew that.

I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.

1200. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 02:23:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2CCE5D.F54744FE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49014

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If not,
> they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple, unvarnished
> TRVTH.

First, what is "TRVTH"? If you asked me to QSY down to the CW
portion of the band to meet you for a CW qso, I would simply
refuse. Why? Well I passed the required CW test for a Novice
license and worked CW because that was the only mode available to
me, when I upgraded to General, and yes I passed the 13 wpm exam
in front of an FCC examiner, I never worked CW again because I do
not care to operate CW. I have been a ham for over 30 years, so
are you saying I am not a real ham? Are you going to call me a
cber just because I refuse to operate CW? And no, I have never
operated on any cb frequency?

>
> >BTW, I'm a General Class, worked up from Novice w/ 5wpm... :-)
>
> Did you have to take a 13 WPM code test for General, or are you just
> a "Restructured" CB'er?
>
> >Just my $.02
>
> If I were you, I wouldn't have bothered.

Larry is still upset because he was required by FCC regulations to
take and pass the FCC exams to obtain an amateur's license.

1201. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 02:27:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <926X8.1144$OM3.73772094@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49015

"John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:H6YW8.15529$AK.1327@news.webusenet.com...

> Sure, I could learn to send CW at 13 wpm and I probably will soon. But
not
> because Larry bullied me into doing it. I just took offense to his
remarks
> for making a generalzation based upon his bias for "lesser" hams.
>
> And after thinking about things, I'm not going to let Larry bully me out
of
> this NG.
>
> 73's Bert
>
> John
> K2LSX

John, stand tough. There are a few on here whose specialty is bullying.
Don't buy any. Ditto his "superior ham" and "real ham" garbage.

I was about to exit the group because of the constant digs on my integrity,
my sanity, and my character.

I changed my mind. They really hate it.

73, Brian/N0iMD

1202. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 02:29:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2CCFC4.B01274FB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49016

"John Gubernard, K2LSX" wrote:
>
> Larry,
>
> If you mean to defame me as a "Restructured CB'er", that's one thing. But
> you have no right at all in telling me what callsign I am entitled to. My
> callsign happens to be that of my late father, who was my "Elmer" in getting
> interested in Amateur Radio. HE worked his way from Novice in 1955 to
> General Class (having done your "precious" 13-wpm code). I am proud to have
> my father's callsign, regardless of whether you think I am entitled to it or
> not. Nobody just handed me my license out of a cereal box. Granted, I
> can't operate CW at more than 5 wpm, but you know what?? WHO CARES!! If I
> was going to sink to your level of thinking, I could say something like CW
> is the way of the dinosaur and that when all the old Hams (no offense meant
> to the older Hams...just making a point) dry up and go away, it will be the
> so-called "Restructured CB'ers" who will continue with the ARS. But I am
> above that and I will embrace every facet of the ARS. I may not be able to
> copy and send CW over 5 wpm, but there is more to it than that. Digital
> modes, such as APRS, Packet and PSK-31, are up and coming modes in the
> Service today. Emergency Communications, especially in the wake of Sept.
> 11th and the raging fires in CO and AZ, played a key role in helping cope
> with the aftermath that this country endured. Could 13-wpm CW have filled
> the needs for message handling to the variety of relief services that were
> involved? How many people at the Red Cross, Salvation Army, local law
> enforcement and fire departments know 13-wpm CW? Just because I don't use a
> keyer doesn't make me any less of a Ham.
> --
> John D Gubernard, K2LSX
>

Larry is a perfect example that being able to operate CW at => 13
wpm doesn't necessarily make you a better ham or person. He is
still torqued that he was *required* to pass the FCC exams in
order to obtain an amateur's license.

1203. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 02:46:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2CD3B3.8690BAF9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49017

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> I don't know where you got that from, Cecil. As I've said many times
> before, this is not about me. It is the dumbed-down NCTA CB'ers who
> started this; all I'm doing is telling the trvth about them.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

How many of your amateur exams did you take in front of an FCC
examiner at an FCC field office?

1204. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 02:59:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agis9k$g5v$0@208.206.142.79>
Source record: 49018

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Bert Craig wrote:
> > > > I'll agree that Larry comes
> > > > on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
> > > > interests at heart here.
> > >
> > > By saying that everyone is too lazy to learn Morse code without a
> > > Morse code requirement? How is being called "too lazy to learn" in
> > > the best interest of the person being belittled?
> >
> > Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive is
> > reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time" but
> > had plenty for everything else, and wanted it given to them anyway. The
> > new hams are working under the present license scheme. If you don't
> > believe most of them will avoid learning code past the obligatory 5wpm,
> > and not at all when that is gone, just stick around and see.
>
> So you *are* saying that "most of them" are too lazy to learn it on their
> own without a Morse code requirement.

NOoooooooo,, genius cum idjut, that's nowhere even close to what I said.
I and others have stated repeatedly that when no hams are required to
learn code for the test darn few will eve develop the interest in it to
ever learn it. Experience of recnt years proves this out- so many have
been just too busy, and that is true, they actually are busy, they never
get around to learning code. Of those who had to do it for their license
there has always been a good percentage who just did it because it was a
requirement who found that hey, this is actually fun, enjoyable and
satisfying. That is the cadre of CW ops today. When the test goes, that
source which is by far the major source of CW ops, is gone, gone,gone.

1205. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 03:16:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agita5$i4n$0@208.206.142.79>
Source record: 49019

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Dennis Ferguson" <dennis@wawa.juniper.net> wrote in message
> news:agiahk$2hdp$1@heap.juniper.net...
> > N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:

> > >One interesting factoid is that when the distance requirement was reduced
> from
> > >125 to 75 miles in 1954, growth increased, and when it was increased to
> 175
> > >miles in 1963 the number of hams the growth dried up. Not the only
> factor, of
> > >course, but after the 1963 changes you had to live way out in the boonies
> to
> > >qualify for a Conditional..
> >
> > Noting of course that correlation doesn't necessarily imply any causality.
> > It could even be that the distance requirement wasn't a factor in anything
> > at all. Multivariate statistics are like that.
>
> It makes sense. Prior to 1954, almost any auto trip of over 100 miles was
> an undertaking for many people,
> especially young people. Drop the distance that allowed loacl testing via
> the "conditional route"
> and you're bound to create more opportunity for interested people to even
> want to become a ham.
>
> When the distance increased to 175 miles in '63, that was probably a 4 hour
> distance for many
> people, two hours if they were about 100 miles. That 100 mile increase (75
> to 175)
> likly created a significant distance roadblock for many people...and,
> especially
> youngsters.
>

Yes indeed. Had I been required to go to an FCC district office (Kansas City-260 one way miles) or even a quarterly test site St Louis-200 one way miles) I would never have been able to get a ticket beyond a Novice. That was in the days before Intestate highways, too. Even the two lane roads were no bargain on those days. A trip top the closest city 80 miles away used to be a major all-day affair. Now it's an hour and a half drive.

In fact, the very first stretch of the Interstate Highway System was started later that year- I-70 westbound from St Louis. The year was 1957.

Dick

1206. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 03:22:57 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <agiton$mecg7$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49020
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
> disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
> I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
> M3 Boycott Week.
<snip>
>
Wouldn't it make more sense to boycott in some way the RA
who issue these toytown licenses ?

How about the next time the RA plant their stall at a rally,
we tell them what we think of them.
Petrol bombing them would be my favourite,
but I don't particularly like prison food

Steve Terry

1207. Re: Help us fight Red Tape!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 03:48:52 UTC
From: Neoklis <neoklis@users.sourceforge.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0207110647060.494-100000@neok.home>
Source record: 49021

On Wed, 10 Jul 2002, Richard McCollum wrote:

> Neokis, this is primarily a U.S. group so it is not the best source for
> European rules. Might I suggest UK.Radio.Amateur and similar groups in
> Spain, France, and the Netherlands (es,fr,nl)

I didn't realize this, thanks for letting me know!

> Good luck dealing with officialdom

We hope so.

> Dick NØBK

--
Regards My Ham Radio callsign: 5B4AZ QTH Loc: KM64MR
My website (with some Ham Radio/Linux software):
Neoklis http://leonardo.spidernet.net/Copernicus/22420/

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1208. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 04:07:50 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D0496.8D859549@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49022

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >Just put ten seconds between every character, Larry.
> >That's Farnsworth. :-)
>
> Hmmm --- so Farnsworth is actually Morse at around 1 WPM? Seems
> like even Brian ought to be able to handle that!

That is one example. There are an infinite number of other
possibilities. If I remember right, 15wpm characters with
2.4wpm spacing between characters equals an average of 5wpm.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1209. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 04:09:13 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D04E9.63E48E77@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49023

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> That all depends, Ed -- what the heck are "priveleges" (sic)?

The lege you sit on in the prive?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1210. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 04:11:41 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D057D.C5CF687B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49024

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Speaking for myself, I'm not here to win or lose. I participate here as an
> intellectual exercise ...

Right! This is such an intellectual newsgroup.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1211. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 04:16:44 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D06AC.4BE1D2F9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49025

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> For years, I didn't become a licensed amateur radio operator mainly
> because I was too lazy to learn the code.

Sounds like a personal problem not shared by healthy ambitious people.

> Like it or not, how a person deals with the "laziness" issue is the core
> of the code testing debate. Those with the maturity and emotional
> intelligence to overcome their own inertia are the ones who become
> (or became) the True Believers in code testing. I'm one of them.

Yep, someone wrote a book about people like you, "The True Believer".
The conclusion was that most "True Believers" are irrational and
many even pathological.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1212. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 04:20:42 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D079A.97DF06B4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49026

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > So you *are* saying that "most of them" are too lazy to learn it on their
> > own without a Morse code requirement.
>
> NOoooooooo,, genius cum idjut, that's nowhere even close to what I said.
> I and others have stated repeatedly that when no hams are required to
> learn code for the test darn few will eve develop the interest in it to
> ever learn it.

Well, if not too lazy, then what do you think is the reason?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1213. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 05:11:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711011124.06398.00000125@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49027

>Subject: Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/10/02 21:18 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020710211804.00961.00000940@mb-bj.aol.com>

>>That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the years,
>>has it?
>
>It is far smaller than your own.

Your self-assessment is in error, Lennie.

He has no "chip" save for intolerance of fools, antagonists and liars.

And they would be you, Your Chipiness.

1214. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 05:17:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711011700.06398.00000127@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49028

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/10/02 21:17 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020710211758.00961.00000937@mb-bj.aol.com>

>No problem. You are welcome to rummage through our recycling can
>any week before Monday (pick-up day in my neighborhood). All kinds
>of "neat" things in there

Dismembered parts of ex wives, no doubt.

1215. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 05:18:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711011853.06398.00000129@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49029

>Subject: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002
>From: "Brain Rarey" @
>Date: 07/10/02 09:12 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>

>To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
>disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
>I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
>M3 Boycott Week.

Think I'll make a point of looking for a few M3's to work.

Steve, K4YZ

1216. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 05:44:42 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2d219a$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49030
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Then you will advertise yourself as a CBer.

Maurice ON4BAM <on4bam@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d2c6623.94781819@news.be.easynet.net...
> BTW. I'm glad I caught your posting in time before operating as 9H3Z.
> I will do my best to work as many M3's as possible between July
> 21-28th (outside these dates also of course).

1217. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 05:45:43 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2d219b_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49031
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Then you will advertise yourself as a CBer.

Ooh! You already possess an M3/CB callsign.

Howard Long <howard@howardlongxxx.com> wrote in message
news:agi41i$o3k$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> > BTW. I'm glad I caught your posting in time before operating as 9H3Z.
> > I will do my best to work as many M3's as possible between July
> > 21-28th (outside these dates also of course).
>
> I'll also be abroad that week on holiday in VE7 with two other Hams
(G4's).
> We'll be setting up shop and operating lots and lots of M3's.
>
> QRV 40m-1.2cm. AO-7 & AO-40 contacts especially welcome.
>
> 73 Howard G6LVB/M3LVB
> http://www.g6lvb.com
>
>

1218. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 05:49:16 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2d219c_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49032
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There is no suggestion that CBers are bad. But CB is not
Ham Radio.

CB is an operating hobby.

Harm Radio is a technical hobby.

By supporting International M3 Boycott Week, you will
help to emphasize the difference.

I think you made comments about progression (which I
inadvertently deleted). No bad thing, but the M3 licence
is not progression.

It is CB, fair and square.

Bigyun2000 Uk <bigyun2000@bigyun2k.karoo.co.uk> wrote in message
news:uip5nor0j978a2@corp.supernews.com...
> Please just remember not all CB..ers are bad. I know many 11mtr ops that
> perform better than hams. So please do not tar everyone with the same
> brush.

1219. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 05:51:15 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2d219c$2_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49033
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That's because DXing as it has become is a
CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
over and over again.

No skill involved (especially when Internet DX
prompting is used). No technical improvement to
the station being tested.

adamory <adamory@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:rF2X8.3703$F%6.157410@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> think most DX stations are more than happy to get the new prefix in their
> logs.

1220. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 06:22:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agj868dfv0@208.207.71.174>
Source record: 49034

CAM wrote:
>
> > I and others have stated repeatedly that when no hams are required to
> > learn code for the test darn few will eve develop the interest in it to
> > ever learn it.
>
> Well, if not too lazy, then what do you think is the reason?

As has, again, been repeatedly stated, it is clear that few will realize what CW really is without having gone through the process of learning it for the test. Thus, few will ever even give it a try. Most of the CW ops of today would have done it the same way, given the same circumstances. It's human nature. You do know about human nature, don't you Cecil?

1221. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 06:23:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agj897dfv1@208.207.71.174>
Source record: 49035

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > For years, I didn't become a licensed amateur radio operator mainly
> > because I was too lazy to learn the code.
>
> Sounds like a personal problem not shared by healthy ambitious people.
>
> > Like it or not, how a person deals with the "laziness" issue is the core
> > of the code testing debate. Those with the maturity and emotional
> > intelligence to overcome their own inertia are the ones who become
> > (or became) the True Believers in code testing. I'm one of them.
>
> Yep, someone wrote a book about people like you, "The True Believer".
> The conclusion was that most "True Believers" are irrational and
> many even pathological.
>

Dang, you're batting a flat zero tonight. It's not a matter of
believing, it's experience leading to understanding. What happened to
you can only be guessed.

1222. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 07:30:12 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <m8cqiuoa6ga6bt4r275unfimrhr020v8lm@4ax.com>
Source record: 49036
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 11 Jul 2002 04:22:57 +0100, "Steve Terry"
<g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote:

>How about the next time the RA plant their stall at a rally,
>we tell them what we think of them.

They were mob-handed at Longleat this year. About a dozen of them to
staff one small stand. They must have been taking lessons on
"jollies" from the RSCB!

Daytonfest next year, perhaps?

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

1223. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 08:29:37 UTC
From: Howard Long <howard@howardlongxxx.com>
Message-ID: <agjflh$7rc$1@venus.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49037
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2d219b_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> Then you will advertise yourself as a CBer.
>
>
> Ooh! You already possess an M3/CB callsign.

Yawn.

Howard G6LVB/M3LVB
http://www.g6lvb.com

1224. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 08:40:32 UTC
From: Stewart <s_marlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GtbX8.327$PB4.43262@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49038
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
> disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
> I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
> M3 Boycott Week.
>
> During this period, no self-respecting Radio Ham worthy
> of the name will talk with any M3 callsign.
>
> (This will not cause any problem to an M3, because if she
> regards herself as a fully-fledged Ham, then she must so
> regard any other M3. Therefore, by her own argument,
> if she is limited to talking to other M3's for the week, then
> she's still talking to other Hams (But we will know differently!))
>
>
Be interesting if you met some of the M3's from round here, I think they'd
take exception to that comment.
Most of them were either G8's or G6's who succumbed to the temptation of
easy access to HF but as Hams I'd bet they've been licensed as long as you
have (if not longer) and I personally know of two whose knowledge, expertise
and operating practices are better than many 'real' hams who did their
morse.

Stewart

1225. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 10:26:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020711062609.01571.00000043@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 49039

In article <3D2CD3B3.8690BAF9@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> I don't know where you got that from, Cecil. As I've said many times
>> before, this is not about me. It is the dumbed-down NCTA CB'ers who
>> started this; all I'm doing is telling the trvth about them.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>How many of your amateur exams did you take in front of an FCC
>examiner at an FCC field office?

All of them.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1226. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 10:26:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020711062610.01571.00000044@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 49040

In article <3D2CCE5D.F54744FE@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>
>First, what is "TRVTH"?

JJ:

The TRVTH is the TRVTH and nothing but the TRVTH. It goes back
to the times of the Pax Romanvs. The Vnited States didn't exist back
then.

>If you asked me to QSY down to the CW
>portion of the band to meet you for a CW qso, I would simply
>refuse. Why?

Because you're a wanker?

>Well I passed the required CW test for a Novice
>license and worked CW because that was the only mode available to
>me, when I upgraded to General, and yes I passed the 13 wpm exam
>in front of an FCC examiner, I never worked CW again because I do
>not care to operate CW. I have been a ham for over 30 years, so
>are you saying I am not a real ham?

Yes.

>Are you going to call me a
>cber just because I refuse to operate CW?

Yes.

>And no, I have never
>operated on any cb frequency?

It's a state of mind, not a frequency.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1227. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 10:26:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020711062610.01571.00000045@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 49041

In article <DW5X8.1141$FP3.73957403@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>
>I have spent an enormous amount of my own free time learning the Morse Code.
>I passed the code exam with 100% copy. Because I didn't get anything out of
>my time invested, Dick says I'm lazy and didn't have time to learn it. He
>couldn't be further from the truth, but we already knew that.
>
>I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.
>

Brian:

During the years when I also harbored a hatred and resentment toward the code testing requirement, I spent what seemed like "an enormous amount" of my free time trying to learn it -- without success. I didn't succeed
mainly because I was more inclined, at that time, to believe that I would fail. I didn't really want to be a CW operator, so the learning didn't come easily -- or in my case, at all. Then one day, I decided that I wanted to be a ham more than I wanted to go on hating the Morse code, and that's when I suddenly heard that loud popping noise!

>I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.

Well, you won't be hearing it while your own head is planted firmly up your ass!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1228. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:28:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <KZdX8.1274$xq1.95790428@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49042

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020711062610.01571.00000045@mb-fp.aol.com...
> In article <DW5X8.1141$FP3.73957403@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >
> >I have spent an enormous amount of my own free time learning the Morse
Code.
> >I passed the code exam with 100% copy. Because I didn't get anything out
of
> >my time invested, Dick says I'm lazy and didn't have time to learn it.
He
> >couldn't be further from the truth, but we already knew that.
> >
> >I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.
> >
>
> Brian:
>
> During the years when I also harbored a hatred and resentment toward
> the code testing requirement, I spent what seemed like "an enormous
> amount" of my free time trying to learn it -- without success. I didn't
> succeed
> mainly because I was more inclined, at that time, to believe that I would
> fail. I didn't really want to be a CW operator, so the learning didn't
come
> easily -- or in my case, at all.

I had none of those feelings. I didn't mind studying, or taking the exams.
It was after actually getting on the air and finding nothing there that I
thought I'd been wasting my time.

Then one day, I decided that I wanted to
> be a ham more than I wanted to go on hating the Morse code, and that's
> when I suddenly heard that loud popping noise!

Dick was nearby? Were you stationed at Dicky Geuber?

> >I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.
>
> Well, you won't be hearing it while your own head is planted firmly
> up your ass!

Larry, my head is just fine where it is, up on top. That you hated code and
resented having to take exams is not my problem. I'm glad for you that
you've made it to 20WPM. Now what are you going to with it?

1229. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:29:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711072930.19575.00002919@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49043

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Thanks for the history. But note that the RFI stuff was all-new rules that
>had not been previously discussed in detail.

The FCC first enacted RF-exposure rules about 15 years ago. Those rules set limits on RF exposure, based on the ANSI standard of the day. The rules DID apply to Amateur Radio, but we had no specific requirements under the rules. When the limits of the standard were reduced, the FCC put the issue back on the plate again. ARRL argued that Amateur Radio should continue to rely on education and training to ensure that amateur stations complied. Other amateurs, including a few members of the ARRL Bioeffects committee, argued that amateurs should be required to evaluate their stations. The FCC set a threshold of 50 W as the level that triggered the need for an amateur operator to evaluate his or her station. Among other things, ARRL filed a petition for reconsideration that pointed out that the exposure limits varied with frequency, so the threshold should also vary with frequency.

The final rules set a 500 W limit on 160, 80 and 40, sliding up to 50 watts on 28 MHz and VHF, then increasing above VHF. Stations whose PEP RF output to the antenna that exceeds these power levels must conduct a station evaluation. Repeaters with less than 500 W EIRP or those whose antennas are not located on buildings, 10 meters or more above the ground do not need to be evaluated. Mobile and handheld portable stations are also categorically exempt from the evaluation requirement.

Hams can use any reasonable method to evaluate their stations. Most use calculations, or tables based on the the calculations done by other people. There is no requirement to file any paperwork with the FCC, other than an affirmation on one's station application.

>Sure - but has the FCC ever included a sunset provision in the ARS rules?

Not to my knowledge. This means that to change the rules, they would need to follow the process.

>Does anyone really think that the FCC will keep any code testing of any kind
>without S25.5 requiring it?

Probably not, but they will run the Part 97 changes through an NPRM, IMHO. My input to my ARRL Director, to help set ARRL policy on the matter, is going to be to ask that some code testing be continued, but as part of the overall exam, just like the questions related to other modes. Changes to S25.5 will not change the fact that Morse code is in widespread use in ham radio and a mode that is in widespread use should be covered fairly in the testing. But no single mode should be covered so "fairly" as to be equal to all the other aspects of amateur radio combined to determine the qualifications of

applicants. One can get all of the RF safety questions wrong, or all of the voice-mode questions wrong, and still be licensed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1230. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:30:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <y%dX8.1275$9G3.104684805@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49044

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2D057D.C5CF687B@hotmail.com...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Speaking for myself, I'm not here to win or lose. I participate here as
an
> > intellectual exercise ...
>
> Right! This is such an intellectual newsgroup.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

World Wrestling Federation!

1231. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:30:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711073058.19575.00002921@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49045

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>But I do clearly recall how upset some local Conditionals
>were about a false rumor that they'd have to retest.

I bet most Extras would be upset about a false rumor that they would have to retest. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1232. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:33:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711073302.19575.00002922@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49047

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> My answer is no.

>Ed, why did you answer Larry's leading question that was meant to goad?

Because he doesn't ask so many of them as you do. Time will tell whether he likes my answer or whether he will tell everyone that I didn't answer it, or that the answer was really "yes."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1233. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:33:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <s2eX8.1277$XI3.104866084@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49048

```
"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2D0496.8D859549@hotmail.com...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> > >Just put ten seconds between every character, Larry.
> > >That's Farnsworth. :-)
> >
> > Hmmm --- so Farnsworth is actually Morse at around 1 WPM? Seems
> > like even Brian ought to be able to handle that!
>
> That is one example. There are an infinite number of other
> possibilities. If I remember right, 15wpm characters with
> 2.4wpm spacing between characters equals an average of 5wpm.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

If we invert the code and copy the spaces, its still Moresy Farnsworth,
isn't it?
```

1234. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:34:20 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711073420.19575.00002923@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49049

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Would you have gone through all the effort if it didn't get you the
>>priveleges?

>That all depends, Ed -- what the heck are "priveleges" (sic)?

Priveleges is a word I commonly mispell, Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1235. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:36:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <l5eX8.1279$tK3.104966653@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49101

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020709225143.16102.00000041@mb-fp.aol.com...
> In article <20020709070806.19575.00002478@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >
> >>However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-
> >>licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who
> >>decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.
> >
> >Remember, guys, Larry has told us that the only reason he posts such
stuff is
> >to stir the pot.
> >
> >Pity that his biggest "accomplishment" in ham radio seems to be stirring
up
> >trouble and enjoying the result.
> >
> >Don't take him seriously; he doesn't.
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> And by all means, when Ed tells you how to think about something --
> anything -- keep in mind that as a member of the Liberal Elite, he knows
> what's best for you, and you'll do well to obey his directives. Let Ed
> do your thinking for you -- he's up to the task even if you're not!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Its also important to avoid moral questions.

1236. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:37:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711073722.19575.00002926@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49102

From: "G4VGO" rmread@attglobal.net

>You will have no problems, they run at least 400 watts and will call you
>until they get a reply just like on the 11m band so you will have no bloody
>choice but to work the idiots!!!!!!!!!

Sounds like a typical pileup to me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1237. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:40:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711074005.19575.00002928@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49103

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>The fact that you showed up in my logs once still does not qualify you
>as a DXer.

Did he send you proof that he had the privileges to operate on the frequency he
used before he worked you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1238. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:40:06 UTC
From: adamory <adamory@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <f2eX8.709$Ro6.86772@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49046
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yes that's right, a 432MHz CBer with a sideband (naughty) rig.

Has anyone got a five tone bleep for an FT1000MP?

Better still a 'burner' (gasp!) I could do with a good warm.

:-)

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2d219a$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> Then you will advertise yourself as a CBer.
>
> Maurice ON4BAM <on4bam@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3d2c6623.94781819@news.be.easynet.net...
> > BTW. I'm glad I caught your posting in time before operating as 9H3Z.
> > I will do my best to work as many M3's as possible between July
> > 21-28th (outside these dates also of course).
>
>
>

1239. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:40:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <r8eX8.1280$fM3.105083073@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49104

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agg0ma$ab5$0@208.206.142.108...

> When dealing with a horses a$$es like you and Burke Turkey, one uses
> whatever tools are necessary to handle the job.

Dick, you're just a little, little man trying to make his mark on the world.
Better hurry up.

1240. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:42:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711074204.19575.00002930@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49105

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Are you having trouble
>following the conversation, Ed, or just being a jerk?

Part of your superior "morals" code, Brian?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1241. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:42:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XaeX8.1281$ZL3.105064621@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49106

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agita5$i4n$0@208.206.142.79...

> Yes indeed. Had I been required to go to an FCC district office (Kansas
> City-260 one way miles) or even a quarterly test site St Louis-200 one
> way miles) I would never have been able to get a ticket beyond a Novice.

That still surprises me. I don't know how you did it, but its renewable
every 10 years. Don't let it lapse or you might not be able to get licensed
under present standards.

1242. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:43:22 UTC
From: adamory <adamory@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <j5eX8.733$Ro6.87364@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49050
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

not all DX stations operate as 'UR 59 TU'

some have more 'expertise' than a deterministic finite automaton. From the bilge that spreads forth of your keyboard, you would appear to be an non-deterministic finite automaton, with an incredible ostrich complex.

It's time to change the record, wake up and smell reality!

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2d219c$2_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> That's because DXing as it has become is a
> CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
> a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
> over and over again.
>
> No skill involved (especially when Internet DX
> prompting is used). No technical improvement to
> the station being tested.
>
>
> adamory <adamory@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:rF2X8.3703$F%6.157410@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> > think most DX stations are more than happy to get the new prefix in
their
> > logs.
>
>
>

1243. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:43:52 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711074352.19575.00002931@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49107

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dave's operating in question was only 2 years ago, Ed, not "decades" ago.

2002-1985=2? Must be that new math you bragged about in another post.

But either way; why are you so obsessed with operating practices that occurred years ago? Have you run out of current issues to discuss?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1244. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:44:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711074433.19575.00002932@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49108

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Brian, if I were to set out to assissinate your character, I would be a
>lot
>> more direct. It is your judgement and logic I question, not your
>character. I
>> think you really believe the fantasies you build, so your character is
>intact.

>Wow. After a little character assassination, lets try a left handed
>compliment.

It was not a compliment, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1245. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:45:39 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711074539.19575.00002934@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49109

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> >Have you never used those words?
>>
>> Do a google group search and find out. Let us know what you find.

>Shouldn't I be able to take your word for it, Ed?

Why would you want to take my word for something I never said, Brian? That is pretty odd.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1246. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:47:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711074754.19575.00002935@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49110

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>So moral questions are meant to goad?

I don't believe that your asking me what I would do in a situation I have never been in to be all that "moral," Brian. It is more a waste of everyone's time.

>No wonder there's a problem in America today.

If you feel your "moral" question is of the same magnitude as the problems in America today, you have a dangerously bloated sense of self importance.

>> Does that help?

> Immensely.

I am pleased for you, Brian. I hope you feel better now.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1247. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:51:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711075145.19575.00002936@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49111

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Are you feeling okay?
>
>I'm feeling fine. Why do you ask?

Because you reached a pretty bizarre conclusion that just didn't make any sense to me, Brian. Just how DID you translate:

>>> But how many of us know the operating priveleges of hams in
>>> other countries? I sure don't. I presume that
>>> hams who contact me are authorized by their governments to do so.
>>>How many of us would take the time to find that URL for each of our contacts?

to mean:

" French hams and Brian are liars?"

>>So for over two years, Brian and the French ham were "liars." Until
>>today.

>This wouldn't be a little attempt at character assassination,
>would it?

Being very confused necessarily doesn't demostrate lack of character. Unless you know yourself to have been lying, that would not be a logical conclusion for you to have reached.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1248. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:53:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711075340.19575.00002938@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49112

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dick, a little strong? Is that like a little pregnant?

Strong is a term that has an infinite number of states. Pregnant is binary.
They are not the same, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1249. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:56:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711075634.19575.00002941@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49113

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive is
>> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"

>Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That would
>make him a congenital liar.

He could be confused. Are you assassignating his character?

>I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.

Is this also part of your superior morals, Brian?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1250. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 11:59:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711075937.19575.00002943@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49114

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Experience of recnt years proves this out- so many have
>been just too busy, and that is true, they actually are busy, they never
>get around to learning code.

So by this logic, the code test helps ensure that Amateur Radio is filled with people who have nothing better to do. :-)

> When the test goes, that
>source which is by far the major source of CW ops, is gone, gone,gone.

Then if you really want to see new hams take up and use Morse code, you will have to find another way to encourage them to the mode, just like the proponents of every other mode do. Telling them that the only reason they will learn the mode is to pass the test is not a good one to accomplish that.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1251. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 12:02:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711080234.19575.00002945@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49115

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Most
>of the CW ops of today would have done it the same way, given the same
>circumstances.

I gave it a try before I was a ham, Dick. My cousin Donny and I set up those little plastic buzzers and engaged in secret communications between two rooms. Our parents didn't give us a Morse code test and tell them we couldn't use them until we learned the code to their satisfaction.

>It's human nature.

I have to wonder how interested we would have been if we had been required to pass a test before we got to play with them. Human nature being what it is and all...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1252. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 12:47:55 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3D2D810B.3F1457DF@uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 49116
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Terry wrote:

> Wouldn't it make more sense to boycott in some way the RA
> who issue these toytown licenses ?
>
snip
> Steve Terry

Yes, what a good idea. Just boycott them by not renewing your licence. ;-)

vy 73

Andy, M3ABC

1253. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 13:18:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agk0jh$6d0$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49117

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dave's operating in question was only 2 years ago, Ed, not "decades" ago.
>
> 2002-1985=2? Must be that new math you bragged about in another post.
>
> But either way; why are you so obsessed with operating practices that occurred
> years ago? Have you run out of current issues to discuss?

Brian put himself on the shelf years ago. He's still there making
copious amounts of noise.

1254. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 13:50:22 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D8D1E.C3CCCD9D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49118
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brain Rarey wrote:
> Harm Radio is a technical hobby.

"Harm Radio"??? Freudian slip?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1255. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 13:51:52 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D8D78.7EA7A680@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49119
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brain Rarey wrote:
>
> That's because DXing as it has become is a
> CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
> a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
> over and over again.

Sounds just like Field Day. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1256. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 13:58:40 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D8F10.2F57C0B0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49121

Dick Carroll wrote:
> As has, again, been repeatedly stated, it is clear that few will
> realize what CW really is without having gone through the process of
> learning it for the test.

Well, Larry says they are simply too lazy. And I have said over and over that it is now up to hams like you and me to educate newcomers as to what CW really is including its numerous merits.

> You do know about human nature, don't you Cecil?

I sure do, Dick. I'm human and I learned Morse code long before I ever heard of ham radio. I didn't get up to 15wpm as a Novice because I was going to need to do so for the Conditional exam. I got up to 15wpm as a Novice in order to QSO effectively.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1257. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 13:59:19 UTC
From: meghertz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <28855dc3.0207110559.113f894a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49120
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message news:<3d2d219c_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>...

Why can't you face the truth that's so obvious to everyone else?

> CB is an operating hobby.
and *can* be a technical one too

> Harm (sic) Radio is a technical hobby.
HAM radio *INCLUDES* operating too

Plenty of overlap, no need to be a snob about it

MegHz

1258. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 14:00:44 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D8F8C.9D94569@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49122

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Yep, someone wrote a book about people like you, "The True Believer".
> > The conclusion was that most "True Believers" are irrational and
> > many even pathological.
>
> Dang, you're batting a flat zero tonight. It's not a matter of
> believing, ...

Larry introduced the term, "True Believers", Dick, not I. Your
argument is with him.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1259. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 14:06:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711100627.01580.00000016@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 49123

In article <20020711073058.19575.00002921@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>But I do clearly recall how upset some local Conditionals
>>were about a false rumor that they'd have to retest.
>
>I bet most Extras would be upset about a false rumor that they would have to
>retest. :-)

Really? Taking a 5 wpm receiving code test and 3 written tests from a published pool looks like a piece of cake to me. Biggest problem is gettin to a VE session.

You did write "most Extras", Ed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1260. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 15:20:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uir8fvtij0uk03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49124

I think that it is only necessary to identify YOUR station. Since the repeater does identify (albeit in Morse), the problem is solved. The users simply talk and identify themselves, the repeater takes care identifying itself. BTW, about 6 months ago one of the N2HJD repeaters started that infernal 'beeping' and you could have knocked me over with a feather - it sent the time in Morse! Ah, the wonders of modern technology. I do know one local repeater that sends its' id properly every 10 minutes, but if you use the autopatch, it sends the id upon disconnect at about 30 words per minute (it still properly sends a slower id every 10 minutes). If you need to know the stations id, you can look up the frequency on the web and figure it out, but learning Morse makes it simple and easy.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:ZUNW8.2658$JU3.250179@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> I am not a very active 2m operator but I do notice that most repeaters
> identify using Morse code at 18 + wpm. Knowing that a sizable fraction of
2m
> operators are "no code" techs, it is not possible for those users to
> understand these transmissions. The question becomes, how do no code techs
> know the call signs of the repeaters they are operating? Doesn't part 97
> require amateurs to be able to identify the stations they are
communicating
> with? Should NCT's only use 2m simplex or repeaters that use verbal
station
> id? Comments welcome.
>
> 73 de KR4AJ
>
>
>

1261. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 15:22:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uir8jr6nb6ir54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49125

But the advanced class license holder is the ONLY class that now has proof
that they can actually copy Morse at some reasonable level. Best leave them
alone!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:ra5X8.1099$gH2.69378452@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102137460.21083-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> > On Wed, 10 Jul 2002, Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > >Its interesting that one who doesn't know their own repeater's ID can
be
> a
> > >trustee(Technician), but one who can copy the ID (Novice) cannot be a
> > >trustee.
> >
> > ...Just another point of proof that the FCC doesn't know what it's doing
> in the
> > rulemaking process.
>
> I'm convinced of it.
>
> > What they probably should have done is:
> > 1) Fix all these inconsistencies.
> > 2) Actually permit one renewal for licenseholders of currently defunct
> > license classes if before 2005, then require that they upgrade or upon
> renewal
> > after 2005, downgrade them (advanced -> general, novice -> nothing).
> > 3) Eliminated permanent operating authority for an element 1 CSCE. By
> > not doing so, we're back in the same situation that existed from
> 1991-1994.
> >
> > It makes me wonder whether or not the FCC staff that worked on
> restructuring
> > actually understood the rules.
>
> Not a clue. But they get paid to make the rules, and no one else can make
> the rules for them.
>
>

1262. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 15:24:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agk7v5$m1c$1@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49126
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Meg Hertz wrote:
>
> "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:<3d2d219c_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>...
>
> Why can't you face the truth that's so obvious to everyone else?
>
> > CB is an operating hobby.
> and *can* be a technical one too
>
> > Harm (sic) Radio is a technical hobby.
> HAM radio *INCLUDES* operating too
>
> Plenty of overlap, no need to be a snob about it
>
> *MegHz*

Where does it hurt, Meg?

1263. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 15:27:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agk85k$m1c$2@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49127

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20020711073058.19575.00002921@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >
> >>But I do clearly recall how upset some local Conditionals
> >>were about a false rumor that they'd have to retest.
> >
> >I bet most Extras would be upset about a false rumor that they would have to
> >retest. :-)
>
> Really? Taking a 5 wpm receiving code test and 3 written tests from a published
> pool looks like a piece of cake to me. Biggest problem is gettin to a VE
> session.

I think we should insist on a 20wpm code test, too. Wouldn't want to go
to sleep in the middle of the 5wpm code test.

1264. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 15:43:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agk92cnrn0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49128

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> I'm feeling fine. Why do you ask? Or rather, What are you trying to
> insinuate? This wouldn't be a little attempt at character assassination,
> would it?

I imagine he was wondering about your obsession with the actuarial
tables...

1265. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 15:48:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agk9d9nrn1@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49129

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2C3585.1BF2449D@earthlink.net...
>
> > Actually Brian, that is what you've unsuccessfully attempted with me.
> > That hasn't worked but you've certainly succeeded in making yourself
> > look pretty silly.
>
> Dave, you just have a very loose set of morals. Mine are more stringent.

More like strange.

If your morals require you to police US hams working their proper
assignments while accusing them of being the cause of other hams in the
world *possibly* violating their own, strange indeed. Most hams are
doing something constructive, or at least fun.

1266. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 15:50:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agk9gsnrn2@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49130

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:aghfqr$go0$0@208.207.71.176...
> >
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > >From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
> > >
> > > >Ed, please don't say this about the old timers.
> > >
> > > Please do note that I said "some" old timers. The majority of the
> old-time
> > > hams I know are wonderful people.
> >
> >
> > I'm sure you're a wonderful person also, Ed, once we get past
> > pugnacious. Sometimes I wonder just what it is you think I want for the
> > ARS.
>
> I know that you know that I know....

Frankly the more you post the more obvious it becomes that you really
know very little.

1267. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 15:52:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agk9j1nrn3@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49131

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:aghcskaff0@208.207.71.167...
>
> > > Then don't, discuss it and learn from it. I'll agree that Larry comes
> > > on a little strong but
>
> Dick, a little strong? Is that like a little pregnant?

Probably in your mind, such as it is.

1268. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 15:58:12 UTC
From: toxic_waste@citizensband.com
Message-ID: <1f2adfc1.0207110758.66b6589@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49132
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve Terry" <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:<agiton$mecg7$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
.
> Petrol bombing them would be my favourite,
> but I don't particularly like prison food
>
Pray tell us exactly what prison food tastes like, and what you were in for?

tox

1269. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 16:14:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agkau0rqn0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49133

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:aghg51$h94$0@208.207.71.176...
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Bert Craig wrote:
> > > > I'll agree that Larry comes
> > > > on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your best
> > > > interests at heart here.
> > >
> > > By saying that everyone is too lazy to learn Morse code without a
> > > Morse code requirement? How is being called "too lazy to learn" in
> > > the best interest of the person being belittled?
> >
> > Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive is
> > reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"
>
> Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That would
> make him a congenital liar.

Really? I guess that when you made the statement that you just didn't
have time to study code to upgrade -well before the restructutring-
because you had to babysit the kids, but you had plenty of time to post
endlessly on rrap that makes ME a liar. I think the readers who were
here at that time will see it otherwise. So who is the congenital liar?
Just because later restructuring gave you the whole enchilada with your
Novice code test changes nothing. You lie when you lie, and later
changes don't bail you out.
Good luck on your career as a self deluding liar. Make that CONGENITAL
liar.

>
> I have spent an enormous amount of my own free time learning the Morse Code.
> I passed the code exam with 100% copy. Because I didn't get anything out of
> my time invested, Dick says I'm lazy and didn't have time to learn it.

Wrong yet again, you got nothing out of it because you didn't want to
get anything out of it. Anyone who did -and many did- copied code
practice from SOME source until they could get the 13wpm test passed
-surely no terrible task in the days when the test included 10 EASILY
answered written questions. I recall many applicants passing the 13wpm
test by retesting largely on guesses until they happened to get one they
could luck into. But hey, it was the rules of the day, and we do follow

the rules, don't we? It wasn't up to me to make it accurate. Sorry you were sitting on the sidelines sucking your thumb. Most hams would have recognized the opportunity,grabbed it, and pressed on with life.Most did. That's another reason why I reject the "coded" argument re the garbage frequencies. Many who passed a code test are anything *but* coded.

Why the heck do you think I'm so disgusted with characters like you? You can't even accept "easy"!

He
> couldn't be further from the truth, but we already knew that.

You sure are a long way from the truth not to mention reality.

>
> I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.

You'll turn old, gray and senile, waiting. It's gotta be there first, and sorry, it isn't.

1270. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 16:18:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agkb4t$s7s$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49134

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:H6YW8.15529$AK.1327@news.webusenet.com...
>
> > Sure, I could learn to send CW at 13 wpm and I probably will soon. But
> not
> > because Larry bullied me into doing it. I just took offense to his
> remarks
> > for making a generalzation based upon his bias for "lesser" hams.
> >
> > And after thinking about things, I'm not going to let Larry bully me out
> of
> > this NG.
> >
> > 73's Bert
> >
> > John
> > K2LSX
>
> John, stand tough. There are a few on here whose specialty is bullying.
> Don't buy any. Ditto his "superior ham" and "real ham" garbage.
>
> I was about to exit the group because of the constant digs on my integrity,
> my sanity, and my character.
>
> I changed my mind. They really hate it.

BS. You're a character, all right. If you have integrity and character
it isn't obvious. I'll not judge your sanity, but it could easily be
called into question.

1271. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 16:22:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agkbd2ssm0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 49135

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Bert, many year ago (in the early 70s), I worked with a CBer. We were (and
> still are) good friends. I was trying to induce him into getting an amateur
> license (both of us had our 1st class radiotelephone tickets). He stated
> something about CW to which I responded (deep, athouratative voice here),
> _... ..._ _ .. _ .. ... . ._ ... _.__ (end msg). I sent
> that at around 20 words per minute. He responded 'I know it is easy, but
> I'm not interested!!!!!!!!!! Well, blow me down! It turned out he was a
> high speed CW operator in the service during WWII.

I have a brother who was a high speed CW op in the military, which he made a 23 year career, and knew enough electronics that he had a TV repair shop in his basement. I never could get him to get a ham radio license, nor his son. But he told me not long ago that he wishes he had got one way back then.

As I have said and some always misinterpret, ham radio is just not for everyone. Lots of people wouldn't have it if you gave it to them.

Dick

1272. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 17:13:59 UTC
From: DrBoris <drborisnoyuckymeat@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <i_iX8.3917$PB4.152622@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49136
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Howard Long <howard@howardlongxxx.com> wrote in message
news:agjflh$7rc$1@venus.btinternet.com...
> "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d2d219b_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > Then you will advertise yourself as a CBer.
> >
> >
> > Ooh! You already possess an M3/CB callsign.
>
> Yawn.
>
> Howard G6LVB/M3LVB
> http://www.g6lvb.com
>
I wouldn't worry about it Howard OM. Judging by the content
of your website it would appear you are more of a Real Ham
than G4SDW ("Radio Ham", "Brian Rarey" and many other sock puppets)
will ever be.

1273. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 17:38:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DA4BE.C29D46DE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49137

Larry Roll K3LT the cber who happens to hold a ham license wrote:
>
> In article <3D2CCE5D.F54744FE@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >
> >First, what is "TRVTH"?
>
> JJ:
>
> The TRVTH is the TRVTH and nothing but the TRVTH. It goes back
> to the times of the Pax Romanvs. The Vnited States didn't exist back
> then.
>
> >If you asked me to QSY down to the CW
> >portion of the band to meet you for a CW qso, I would simply
> >refuse. Why?
>
> Because you're a wanker?
>
> >Well I passed the required CW test for a Novice
> >license and worked CW because that was the only mode available to
> >me, when I upgraded to General, and yes I passed the 13 wpm exam
> >in front of an FCC examiner, I never worked CW again because I do
> >not care to operate CW. I have been a ham for over 30 years, so
> >are you saying I am not a real ham?
>
> Yes.
>
> >Are you going to call me a
> >cber just because I refuse to operate CW?
>
> Yes.
>
> >And no, I have never
> >operated on any cb frequency?
>
> It's a state of mind, not a frequency.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I and most others here are 10 times the ham you will ever be, if
for nothing else I don't determine if someone is really a ham
because they operate CW or not.

Because of your attitude you are the worst kind of ham, I would even say you are more a cber than ham. As you say, ham radio is a state of mind, and your state of mind is that of a cber so why don't you operate on 11 meters, you would fit in real well there.

1274. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 17:43:52 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2dc861_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49138
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

See? The slightest little thought tires you out.

Howard Long <howard@howardlongxxx.com> wrote in message
news:agjflh$7rc$1@venus.btinternet.com...
> "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d2d219b_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > Then you will advertise yourself as a CBer.
> > Ooh! You already possess an M3/CB callsign.
> Yawn.
> Howard G6LVB/M3LVB

1275. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 17:45:52 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2dc861$2_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49140
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Totally irrelevant.

The M3 is for those with the brain of a turnip.

If someone is better qualified than they need be for
an M3, but elects to downgrade, that is their stupidity,
and they are classed with the turnips.

Stewart <s_marlow@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:GtbX8.327$PB4.43262@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Be interesting if you met some of the M3's from round here, I think they'd
> take exception to that comment.
> Most of them were either G8's or G6's who succumbed to the temptation of
> easy access to HF but as Hams I'd bet they've been licensed as long as you
> have (if not longer) and I personally know of two whose knowledge,
expertise
> and operating practices are better than many 'real' hams who did their
> morse.

1276. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 17:46:28 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2dc862$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49139
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stupid Boy.

adamory <adamory@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:j5eX8.733$Ro6.87364@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> not all DX stations operate as 'UR 59 TU'
>
> some have more 'expertise' than a deterministic finite automaton. From the
> bilge that spreads forth of your keyboard, you would appear to be an
> non-deterministic finite automaton, with an incredible ostrich complex.
>
> It's time to change the record, wake up and smell reality!
>
> "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d2d219c$2_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > That's because DXing as it has become is a
> > CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
> > a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
> > over and over again.
> >
> > No skill involved (especially when Internet DX
> > prompting is used). No technical improvement to
> > the station being tested.
> >
> >
> > adamory <adamory@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> > news:rF2X8.3703$F%6.157410@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> > > think most DX stations are more than happy to get the new prefix in
> their
> > > logs.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1277. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 18:03:48 UTC
From: "Chris Kirby"
Message-ID: <9uhriu0g9ggsr3sglsnjg99cva90s29r88@4ax.com>
Source record: 49141
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote:

>To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
>disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
>I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
>M3 Boycott Week.
>
>During this period, no self-respecting Radio Ham worthy
>of the name will talk with any M3 callsign.
>
>(This will not cause any problem to an M3, because if she
>regards herself as a fully-fledged Ham, then she must so
>regard any other M3. Therefore, by her own argument,
>if she is limited to talking to other M3's for the week, then
>she's still talking to other Hams (But we will know differently!))
>
>

I'd boycott G4SDW, but I've never heard her on ANY band.

Chris, G4FZN

1278. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 18:08:20 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D2DC994.6EB2C0BE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49142
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brain Rarey wrote:
> The M3 is for those with the brain of a turnip.

Hey, don't knock turnips. You share 50% of your DNA with
turnips.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1279. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 18:25:59 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DCD74.D27A2310@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49143

W1RFI wrote:
>
>
> Do a google group search and find out. Let us know what you find.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I found Jon Bloom on the board of directors of WORLDCOM.
How do you explain that?

73,
Clay N4AOX

1280. Re: It ain't easy being Green

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 19:12:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uirm3p2b59euf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49144

Dick, if you start turnin' people pink, I'm going to report you to the John Birch Society! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:TCKW8.16928$Iu6.959247@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The message rules feature in OE5 can be used to produce some genuinely
> wierd things.
>
> Some time ago I blocked hotmail because nothing worth reading came of it
> until Cecil started using it for reasons known only unto him so I went in
> and modified the rule to turn everything from Hotmail green.
>
> So Cecil is now green. Whether there is still anything worth reading is
> up to you to decide but I might at some point let Lennie out of the
> killfile and turn him pink. This may be the most fun I have had on RRAP
> in months.
>
> Dick N�BK
>
>

1281. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 19:22:35 UTC
From: pkearn_keane@yeates.eircom.org.com.net
Message-ID: <3d2dda88.354614@news1.eircom.net>
Source record: 49145
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve Terry" <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote:

>
> "Mr Speaker, A member of my constituency, a Mrs Smegma of Acacia Ave.
> what trying to listen the Archers on Radio 4, when a person calling himself
> M3xxx
> calling CQ on 136, interfered with her listening
> What's the right honourable Mr Secretary of state for the Home office going to
> do about it ?"

me thinks the first thing he/she would do would be form a committee to
look into ''what the hell is 136?'' and release thr eport some two years
later.

then present it to another committee to consider it and produce another
report :-)

1282. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 20:10:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DE648.AC326F7E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49146

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020710065143.01757.00000192@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >> > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > >> > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your
> > >business,
> >
> > >Damn! I better go check those
> > >actuarial
> > >> > tables.
> >
> > >> I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> > >> overseas in 1985.
> >
> > >So?
> >
> > So my use of the term "decades ago" is reasonable.
> >
> > Having trouble following the conversation, Brian, or just being wise?
>
> It is unreasonable. The questionable practices that I've called Dave on
> were while he was in Tanzania (i.e., 2 years ago). Are you having trouble
> following the conversation, Ed, or just being a jerk?

I've already told you that I've not worked French stations above 50.200
during ANY of my assignments which began in 1985. I arrived in Tanzania
in August, 1998.

Dave K8MN

1283. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 20:18:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DE811.65705EC2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49147

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2C32FF.F550A75F@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2A5E56.6BC1E40B@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20020708074031.23765.00004029@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > >
> > > > > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > > > > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is your
> > > business,
> > > > >
> > > > > Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check those
> > > actuarial
> > > > > tables.
> > > > >
> > > > I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> > > > overseas in 1985.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > So?
> > >
> > Strong response, Brian. I guess you told me.
>
> Dave, I didn't measure your response too highly on the relevant scale,
> either. So try this:
>
> Did you remember talking to the French Ham, especially after I reposted your
> exchange with him?

Yep.

> Did you remember him telling you the French 6M
> authorization, especailly after I reposted your exchange with him?

Yep.

> Did you
> read Dennis' info on the French 6M authorizations?

Nope.

> Did you recall that I am
> in your callbook, especailly after I reposted your exchange with me?

Oh, especailly then.

> The United Negro College Fund has a saying that you might consider, "The
> mind is a terrible thing to waste."

...or, in your case, "The mind is a terrible thing". Do you remember
what I told you in 1998? Do you recall the piece of advice I gave you
in 1999? How about the W3 who outlined his view of your rants during
that period?

> You can dodge and hide all day long behind that poor memory of yours, but
> your forte' appears to be predicting the future.

Can you do that, Brian? How many fingers am I holding up?
Maybe you can do better on the past. What have you proven by
instigating three or four incarnations of your pointless mission on who
I worked on 6m from Tanzania? Would it be that some French stations
will break their regs in order to work a new country on six?

> The important topic you
> bring to the table is if I'm going to spray paint your car. Am I?

You tell me, Earl Scheib.

Dave K8MN

1284. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 20:21:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DE8DE.4DF96AB8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49148

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2C3817.1A8BCF4D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020709184517.14385.00002362@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > > > From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
> > > >
> > > > >>If one person told me that a large number of people were operating
> out
> > > of
> > > > >band,
> > > > >>I might question whether that one person was right.
> > > >
> > > > >Though the correctness of this one person seems to not be in question
> > > > >since the regulations at the REF Union web site, in particular * * *
> > > >
> > > > I am sure that after considerable discussion here on rrap, it is
> > > relatively
> > > > easy to determine after the fact what was right.
> > >
> > > Ed, what are you trying to say?
> > >
> > > But how many of us know the
> > > > operating priveleges of hams in other countries? I sure don't. I
> presume
> > > that
> > > > hams who contact me are authorized by their governments to do so. How
> > > many of
> > > > us would take the time to find that URL for each of our contacts?
> > >
> > > So for over two years, Brian and the French ham were "liars." Until
> today.
> >
> > Liars? I don't think that has been stated at any time during the
> > several incarnations of this crap. What has been stated is that 1) I
> > operated where I was permitted to be and that some French hams did not.
> > 2) That you should take the matter up with the French PTT or the REF and
> > 3) That none of it is really your business since you were not an
> > involved party.
>
> Don't worry about it Dave. With your memory, you'll not recall it tomorrow.

You don't seem to understand. I'm NOT worried about it. I operated
where I was permitted to be. Define your mission. Address the French.

> > > Thanks Ed, Dave, et all Cronies!
> >
> > No, Brian. Thank YOU for all of the entertainment whether or not you
> > fully understand that it was at your expense.
>
> My pleasure.
>
> > > > When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the
> > > bands.
> > > > That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility
> for
> > > the
> > > > conduct of others.
> > >
> > > Blah blah blah.
> >
> > That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the years,
> > has it?
>
> Chip? I'm surprised its even there. I Love chips. Now I'll have to go
> find some dip. No, wait, that's your part.

Thanks for opportunity. Okay, you're a dip.

Dave K8MN

1285. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 20:31:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DEB17.AE5636D6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49149

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D2B474E.CA928E0C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D2A6AE6.81115431@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
> >> >> magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
> >> >> Radio"?
> >> >
> >> >You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)
> >>
> >> That's wayyyy too subtle, Cecil. :-)
> >>
> >> The average PCTA in here can't distinguish subtlety due to the
> >> overwhelming emotional bias for code.
> >>
> >> $150 + $7 shipping and handling gets anyone a copy of all the
> >> articles in Ham Radio Magazine for its 22 year history. Folks can
> >> then make all the personal reprints they want from those CDs
> >> which are available from the ARRL.
> >>
> >> They "don't exist." They are "dead," "extinct." Nothing in them
> >> applies to amateur radio, all according to certain PCTAs. :-)
> >
> >I have a full cabinet full of back issues of "Ham Radio" and "HRH", Len.
> >Nobody is dumb enough to believe that when a magazine publishing company
> >is gone that the back issues evaporate. The business which published
> >the magazines is defunct.
>
> Incorrect still. Communications Technology, Inc., the business organization
> that published Ham Radio Magazine and Ham Radio Horizons and ran the
> Ham Radio Bookstore, was SOLD to CQ. Selling a publishing business is
> simply a transfer of ownership. In the case of a publishing house, the
> transfer of ownership involves "intellectual property" or copyrighted material
> in print and images.

I understand that "Ham Radio" was sold to and absorbed by CQ. I
understand that the material was/is copyrighted. Do you understand that
"Ham Radio" is no longer published. It has ceased to exist.

>
> You continue to apply "defunct" to something which is an improper word
> although that word carries emotional bias that seems to serve your
> purpose in denigrating an independent publisher who existed for 22 years
> and whose product is still respected.

"Ham Radio" is two words and they are not improper, just defunct.
The emotional bias seems to be yours since you appear to be the only
person who is offended by the term "defunct".

> >You are certainly giving Brian a run for the gold medal.
>
> This is not a "contest" for message totals. I am simply trying to place a
> proper perspective on your astigmatic focus of denigration of others'
> works.

Oh, I wasn't writing of totals. I was writing of the obtuse responses
you've provided. No one here has denigrated "Ham Radio" magazine. That
is all in your head.

> You insist on having some "last word" on a subject you do not under-
> stand. You will not get it until you show some understanding.

Your last stuff was garbled, Len.

Dave K8MN

1286. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 20:34:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DEBC9.B7AFD67@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49150

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D2C3817.1A8BCF4D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> > When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the
> bands.
> >> > That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility for
> the
> >> > conduct of others.
> >>
> >> Blah blah blah.
> >
> >That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the years,
> >has it?
>
> It is far smaller than your own.

This will be one time where I'm happy to play "mine's smaller than
yours", Len. Good luck on that 5 wpm.

Dave K8MN

1287. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 20:36:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DEC41.CC43F84C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49151

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2C3676.F64D3B84@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > Some people have google group search, as Dave has found out twice in the
> > > last few days.
> >
> > Anyone can access google, Brian. Tell me, what exactly is it that you
> > think you've uncovered?
>
> Your inability to remember anything that might conflict with the argument of
> the moment.

I don't see the conflict, Brian. I operated where I was supposed to be.
Some French hams broke their regs. You were not involved at all.

Dave K8MN

1288. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 20:40:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DED4C.2624F1EF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49152

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2C3517.2C85C6D0@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> > > > You must have had a convenient lapse of your own....F1DR?
> > >
> > > That's what "I goofed" meant. Translation: I made a mistake, I got it
> > > wrong.
> >
> > I understand that. Your comment on my memory just seems peculiar after
> > your own lapse. Do you remember everything you've written or said after
> > several years?
>
> A typo is now a memory problem?
>
> Keep digging that hole.

Your hole. You dig.

Once is a typo.

Dave K8MN

1289. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 20:43:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DEDF4.755CD159@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49153

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2C3585.1BF2449D@earthlink.net...
>
> > Actually Brian, that is what you've unsuccessfully attempted with me.
> > That hasn't worked but you've certainly succeeded in making yourself
> > look pretty silly.
>
> Dave, you just have a very loose set of morals. Mine are more stringent.
> If you find that silly, so be it.

There, you've done it again.

And once again, you've failed to define your mission. What you need to
do, Billy Graham, is address the morals of those French hams who were
operating where they weren't supposed to be. QSL?

Yes, I find your comment silly.

Dave K8MN

1290. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 20:53:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DF05F.A74F2545@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49154

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >The fact that you showed up in my logs once still does not qualify you
> >as a DXer.
>
> Did he send you proof that he had the privileges to operate on the frequency he
> used before he worked you?

Not that I know of, Ed. Then again, WA8JOC might have the photocopy of
Brian's license in one of those enormous bags of green stamps we
accumulated.

Brian should send the QSL back in protest of my morals.

Dave K8MN

1291. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 21:32:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711173223.01563.00000019@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 49155

In article <3D2CE3B5.12133D19@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> The invention of the transistor was disclosed by Bell Labs in December,
>> 1947. The actual invention was several months prior to that.
>
>Rumors are transistors were discovered somewhere around Roswell, NM
>during the first part of July, 1947. :-)

...I wondered about those early transistor saucer-shaped
pagages! :-)

1292. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 21:42:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cZmX8.1571$Tp7.118520274@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49156

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uir8jr6nb6ir54@corp.supernews.com...
> But the advanced class license holder is the ONLY class that now has proof
> that they can actually copy Morse at some reasonable level. Best leave
them
> alone!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Can you say, "Code Waiver?"

1293. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 21:43:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <e_mX8.1572$1s7.118627718@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49157

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2DEC41.CC43F84C@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2C3676.F64D3B84@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Some people have google group search, as Dave has found out twice in
the
> > > > last few days.
> > > >
> > > > Anyone can access google, Brian. Tell me, what exactly is it that you
> > > > think you've uncovered?
> > >
> > > Your inability to remember anything that might conflict with the
argument of
> > > the moment.
> >
> > I don't see the conflict, Brian. I operated where I was supposed to be.
> > Some French hams broke their regs. You were not involved at all.
> >
> > Dave K8MN

Thank God! I would be so embarassed.

1294. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 21:44:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4%mX8.1574$8l7.118236591@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49158

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711074005.19575.00002928@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >The fact that you showed up in my logs once still does not qualify you
> >as a DXer.
>
> Did he send you proof that he had the privileges to operate on the
frequency he
> used before he worked you?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

No one tipped me off that he was out of band. Was he?

1295. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 22:06:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711180631.03270.00000012@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 49159

In article <20020711072930.19575.00002919@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>Thanks for the history. But note that the RFI stuff was all-new rules that
>>had not been previously discussed in detail.
>
>The FCC first enacted RF-exposure rules about 15 years ago. Those rules set
>limits on RF exposure, based on the ANSI standard of the day. The rules DID
>apply to Amateur Radio, but we had no specific requirements under the rules.
>When the limits of the standard were reduced, the FCC put the issue back on
>the
>plate again. ARRL argued that Amateur Radio should continue to rely on
>education and training to ensure that amateur stations complied. Other
>amateurs, including a few members of the ARRL Bioeffects committee, argued
>that
>amateurs should be required to evaluate their stations. The FCC set a
>threshold
>of 50 W as the level that triggered the need for an amateur operator to
>evaluate his or her station. Among other things, ARRL filed a petition for
>reconsideration that pointed out that the exposure limits varied with
>frequency, so the threshold should also vary with frequency.
>
>The final rules set a 500 W limit on 160, 80 and 40, sliding up to 50 watts
>on
>28 MHz and VHF, then increasing above VHF. Stations whose PEP RF output to
>the
>antenna that exceeds these power levels must conduct a station evaluation.
>Repeaters with less than 500 W EIRP or those whose antennas are not located
>on
>buildings, 10 meters or more above the ground do not need to be evaluated.
>Mobile and handheld portable stations are also categorically exempt from the
>evaluation requirement.
>
>Hams can use any reasonable method to evaluate their stations. Most use
>calculations, or tables based on the the calculations done by other people.
>There is no requirement to file any paperwork with the FCC, other than an
>affirmation on one's station application.

Thanks for the straight facts, Ed.
>
>>Sure - but has the FCC ever included a sunset provision in the ARS rules?
>
>Not to my knowledge.

That means including one would set a precedent, which FCC prolly does NOT want to do.

>This means that to change the rules, they would need to follow the process.

Does the process require an NPRM in all cases? I could see it if the subject was a new one to the ARS, like RF exposure, but from the FCC's pronouncements on code testing it's clear that they think the subject has been discussed to death.

>>Does anyone really think that the FCC will keep any code testing of any kind
>>without S25.5 requiring it?
>
>Probably not, but they will run the Part 97 changes through an NPRM, IMHO.

Lobster dinner? No, wait, you still gotta collect the first one I owe ya.

>My
>input to my ARRL Director, to help set ARRL policy on the matter, is going to
>be to ask that some code testing be continued, but as part of the overall
>exam,
>just like the questions related to other modes.

How will that work? Everybody takes a Morse test and there are a few questions on the written about what was sent?

>Changes to S25.5 will not
>change the fact that Morse code is in widespread use in ham radio and a mode
>that is in widespread use should be covered fairly in the testing.

Agreed.

>But no
>single mode should be covered so "fairly" as to be equal to all the other
>aspects of amateur radio combined to determine the qualifications of
>applicants. One can get all of the RF safety questions wrong, or all of the
>voice-mode questions wrong, and still be licensed.

That's a flaw in the method of written testing used now. The "Chinese menu" idea would solve it. But the FCC wants admin simplicity.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1296. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 22:13:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711181347.27364.00000020@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 49160

In article <20020711073302.19575.00002922@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>> My answer is no.
>
>>Ed, why did you answer Larry's leading question that was meant to goad?
>
>Because he doesn't ask so many of them as you do.

Hare has a long history in here of "replying" by means of more questions. Some of those appear very much to be mere goads.

>Time will tell whether he
>likes my answer or whether he will tell everyone that I didn't answer it, or
>that the answer was really "yes."

None of us need hold our breath waiting for an "answer."

Goading is just goading...unless it is via the Word of Extras.

1297. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 22:13:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711181348.27364.00000021@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 49161

In article <20020711075634.19575.00002941@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive is
>>> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"
>
>>Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That would
>>make him a congenital liar.
>
>He could be confused. Are you assassignating his character?

How does one "assassignate" anything? Is that a combination of "assassination" and "assignation?" How odd, perhaps perverse.

What is Hare's obsession with butting in on word play between others? Is it to trivialize any comments in here, to keep discussion away from truly important topics? Or is it just a meaningless rebuke made after being unable to cause a desired effect...such as assassination of characters?

>>I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.
>
>Is this also part of your superior morals, Brian?

As in "go soak your head" (Carroll) and "you're a wanker" (Roll), it is the Word of Extras.

1298. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 22:13:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711181348.27364.00000022@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 49162

In article <20020711062610.01571.00000044@mb-fp.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <3D2CCE5D.F54744FE@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
>writes:
>
>>
>>First, what is "TRVTH"?
>
>JJ:
>
>The TRVTH is the TRVTH and nothing but the TRVTH. It goes back
>to the times of the Pax Romanvs. The Vnited States didn't exist back
>then.

Roll is an ungrateful little whelp of a plagiarist.

The first use of "TRVTH" in here was by myself copying from the
comic strip "B.C." by Johnny Hart. Hart used "TRVTH" in a
sarcastic-satirical vein by the old Roman practice of shaping
engraved letters where a "U" became a "V."

>>If you asked me to QSY down to the CW
>>portion of the band to meet you for a CW qso, I would simply
>>refuse. Why?
>
>Because you're a wanker?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Another Word of Extras?

>>Well I passed the required CW test for a Novice
>>license and worked CW because that was the only mode available to
>>me, when I upgraded to General, and yes I passed the 13 wpm exam
>>in front of an FCC examiner, I never worked CW again because I do
>>not care to operate CW. I have been a ham for over 30 years, so
>>are you saying I am not a real ham?
>
>Yes.

Another indication that Roll failed his Dale Carnegie course...

>>Are you going to call me a cber just because I refuse to operate CW?
>
>Yes.

Roll is just a bus driver, not capable of getting a job in personnel
that he bragged about in here..."summa cum laude" and all.

>>And no, I have never
>>operated on any cb frequency?
>
>It's a state of mind, not a frequency.

A state of Roll's mind. A very small state, smaller than Rhode
Island in comparison to Alaska.

But...it is the Word of Extras! :-)

1299. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 22:13:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711181349.27364.00000023@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 49163

In article <3D2DEB17.AE5636D6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> >> >> It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
>> >> >> magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
>> >> >> Radio"?
>> >> >
>> >> >You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)
>> >>
>> >> That's wayyyy too subtle, Cecil. :-)
>> >>
>> >> The average PCTA in here can't distinguish subtlety due to the
>> >> overwhelming emotional bias for code.
>> >>
>> >> $150 + $7 shipping and handling gets anyone a copy of all the
>> >> articles in Ham Radio Magazine for its 22 year history. Folks can
>> >> then make all the personal reprints they want from those CDs
>> >> which are available from the ARRL.
>> >>
>> >> They "don't exist." They are "dead," "extinct." Nothing in them
>> >> applies to amateur radio, all according to certain PCTAs. :-)
>> >
>> >I have a full cabinet full of back issues of "Ham Radio" and "HRH", Len.
>> >Nobody is dumb enough to believe that when a magazine publishing company
>> >is gone that the back issues evaporate. The business which published
>> >the magazines is defunct.
>>
>> Incorrect still. Communications Technology, Inc., the business organization
>> that published Ham Radio Magazine and Ham Radio Horizons and ran the
>> Ham Radio Bookstore, was SOLD to CQ. Selling a publishing business is
>> simply a transfer of ownership. In the case of a publishing house, the
>> transfer of ownership involves "intellectual property" or copyrighted
material
>> in print and images.
>
>I understand that "Ham Radio" was sold to and absorbed by CQ. I
>understand that the material was/is copyrighted. Do you understand that
>"Ham Radio" is no longer published. It has ceased to exist.

"Publishing" in the modern idiom includes the manufacture and sales of CDs.

Since CQ sells collections of all articles in the 22 year history of Ham Radio
magazine, that is PUBLISHING and it still exists today!

>"Ham Radio" is two words and they are not improper, just defunct.
>The emotional bias seems to be yours since you appear to be the only
>person who is offended by the term "defunct".

Your explanation is defunct. HR is still being published.

>Oh, I wasn't writing of totals. I was writing of the obtuse responses
>you've provided. No one here has denigrated "Ham Radio" magazine. That
>is all in your head.

You cannot denigrate anything you don't understand. HR had technical
content of amateur radio technology.

>> You insist on having some "last word" on a subject you do not under-
>> stand. You will not get it until you show some understanding.
>
>Your last stuff was garbled, Len.

...only because you cannot understand it. Understood.

1300. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 23:12:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agl3dcgnn0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 49164

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2DEC41.CC43F84C@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2C3676.F64D3B84@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Some people have google group search, as Dave has found out twice in
> the
> > > > > last few days.
> > > >
> > > > Anyone can access google, Brian. Tell me, what exactly is it that you
> > > > think you've uncovered?
> > >
> > > Your inability to remember anything that might conflict with the
> argument of
> > > the moment.
> >
> > I don't see the conflict, Brian. I operated where I was supposed to be.
> > Some French hams broke their regs. You were not involved at all.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Thank God! I would be so embarassed.

Even that might be preferable to being a damn fool.

1301. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-11 23:55:29 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D2E1AF0.38DA49ED@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49165

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
>
> Through experience as a CW operator, I have trained myself to be able
> to discriminate between hand-keyed Morse and that sent using mechanical
> keyers (bugs) and electronic keyers. A lot of sloppy LIDS can do a good
> job of mucking-up their code even using keyer/paddle combinations and
> keyboards, although keyboards would be less likely to do so. Whenever
> you hear something being sent all run-together, but with accurately-timed
> "dits" and "dahs," you know you've got a LID behind a set of paddles.

If I use a computer where I type in my replies in a CW QSO, I would
expect that the computer would send the entire string once I hit
return. Hopefully the software can measure your speed and respond
with like speed back to you.

But what fun is that....

1302. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 00:06:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711200642.01556.00000059@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 49166

In article <agiahk$2hdp$1@heap.juniper.net>, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) writes:

>N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>>I'm not a historian, but here are the figures from early 1965:
>>
>>Novice: 13,930
>>Tech: 58,546
>>General: 101,217
>>Conditional: 40, 529
>>Advanced: 39,928
>>Extra: 3,683
>>Total: 257,833
>>
>>Conditionals made up about 16% of US hams at the time. I don't have figures
>>handy for earlier or later.
>>
>>One interesting factoid is that when the distance requirement was reduced
>from
>>125 to 75 miles in 1954, growth increased, and when it was increased to 175
>>miles in 1963 the number of hams the growth dried up. Not the only factor,
>of
>>course, but after the 1963 changes you had to live way out in the boonies to
>>qualify for a Conditional..
>
>Noting of course that correlation doesn't necessarily imply any causality.
>It could even be that the distance requirement wasn't a factor in anything
>at all. Multivariate statistics are like that.

I agree 100% - thus the "not the only factor" phrase.

But as K2UNK and W0EX have written, it could be an important factor. The old distance was 75 miles, while the new distance was 175 miles - quite an increase in one go. And the distance was "air-line", not road miles. In some parts of the country the road distance could be 250 miles or more. The distance requirement was to an FCC exam point that was at least quarterly. So a Novice in the sticks might have only 3 chances to try for General at the nearest exam point before the year was up, and each try might require well over 350 miles of travel.

Add to this the fact that the FCC exams were given on weekdays during school/working hours and the whole procedure becomes quite a burden on the prospective ham.

Say a 1964 kid wants to be a ham but lives 150 miles airline/200 miles road from a quarterly exam point. The exams are given at 8 AM. The kid would have to convince some adult to take him/her to the distant exam point and back, including an overnight stay, unless they want to travel in the wee small hours of the morning. Quite an expedition in 1964, in many parts of the country.

End result was that some folks just gave up on amateur radio, some became Technicians by circumstance rather than choice (Tech was given by mail, same as Novice, but was renewable), and some used "other means" - such as "moving" to Uncle Charlie's, which just happened to be over the line.

>>> Do the archives indicate what percentage of
>>>the Conditionals obtained their licensed by giving Uncle George's address?
>>>
>>None that I've seen. But I do clearly recall how upset some local
>>Conditionals
>>were about a false rumor that they'd have to retest.
>
>I don't know why you'd find this unexpected or significant.

Because the Conditionals I speak of were not way out in the boonies, nor was the trip to the Philly FCC office difficult in the mid-1970s for them.

>The upset
>seems to have identically the same root cause which upset Generals
>and Advanced when they lost full privileges, and which makes people insist
>that current amateurs not lose privileges in license restructuring even to
>this day. Many people seem to get upset if you ask them to pass more tests
>to retain the privileges they already have, and they seem to feel this way
>independent of their license class. There doesn't seem to be anything
>special about Conditionals feeling the same way when presented with the
>same prospect.

The difference is that the false rumor was that Conditionals would have to take the same tests to retain privileges. What ticked off so many about Incentive Licensing was that they'd have to pass new, more difficult tests to retain the same privileges.

Once upon a time, FCC required that a ham who moved inside the distance limit had to retest before an FCC examiner or lose the license. In those days, too, the Class A/Advanced and Extra were only available at FCC exam points, regardless of distance.

End result of all this was that for some hams the toughest part of the exam was just getting there. That's not right - the exam should be the focus.

IMO the best system was what the FCC did in the mid-late 1970s. They had exams at the FCC offices but would also send examiners to hamfests and other gatherings upon request. I think the requirement was that if you had a certain minimum number of testees (10?) the FCC would send an examiner.

Perhaps the best feature of the VE system is that it gives open access to the licensing process. That's a good thing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1303. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 00:58:25 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207111658.6eaaf1bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49167

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<uir8jr6nb6ir54@corp.supernews.com>...
> But the advanced class license holder is the ONLY class that now has proof
> that they can actually copy Morse at some reasonable level. Best leave them
> alone!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Unless of course the licensee can whip out his 60's & 70's Extra
pre-bullshit tickets of course . . how many of THEM do we have around
here, hmmm?
>
>
w3rv

1304. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 02:06:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711220648.01638.00000097@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49168

In article <uir8jr6nb6ir54@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> writes:

>But the advanced class license holder is the ONLY class that now has proof
>that they can actually copy Morse at some reasonable level. Best leave them
>alone!

Not that myth again!

Since the FCC began issuing medical waivers in 1990, license class alone is no
proof of code testing beyond 5 wpm. You have to know that a ham was licensed in
a particular class before that date to know for sure.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Extra since 1970

1305. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 02:06:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711220648.01638.00000096@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49169

In article <20020709075007.19575.00002493@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>
>>Look at the test in the early 1920's (or was it the 1910's): Only 5
>>questions!
>>Draw the schematic for a transmitter, etc..... :-)
>
>I could memorize the schematic for a spark transmitter in a minute or two. I
>could memorize the schematic for a single-tube oscillator in slightly more
>time
>and a two-tube xtal transmitter would be about 10 minutes, from scratch.

Could you do those things in that time frame if you had no background in radio beforehand, Ed?

Could you also learn enough to write essays on how they worked, in enough detail to convince the examiner? And do it on a similar time frame?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1306. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 02:06:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711220649.01638.00000098@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49170

In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102137460.21083-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

somebody else wrote:

>>Its interesting that one who doesn't know their own repeater's ID can be a
>>trustee(Technician), but one who can copy the ID (Novice) cannot be a
>>trustee.

This is because the written testing for a Novice is considered inadequate to be a repeater trustee.
>
>...Just another point of proof that the FCC doesn't know what it's doing in
>the rulemaking process.

Not really. They allow other services to use Morse auto-ID, even though those services have no Morse test.
>
>What they probably should have done is:
> 1) Fix all these inconsistencies.

Agreed.

> 2) Actually permit one renewal for licenseholders of currently defunct
>license classes if before 2005, then require that they upgrade or upon
>renewal
>after 2005, downgrade them (advanced -> general, novice -> nothing).

Why? All that would do is create more admin work determining who can and cannot renew, tick off about 85K Advanceds, and reduce the ARS license figures by about 37K Novices.

> 3) Eliminated permanent operating authority for an element 1 CSCE.

Again, why? It would make more sense to have Element 1 CSCEs never expire, because the test doesn't change significantly. Soon it will be academic anyway.

>By not doing so, we're back in the same situation that existed from 1991-1994.

Which leads me to believe that the FCC is finally getting its way on the Tech.
>
>It makes me wonder whether or not the FCC staff that worked on restructuring
>actually understood the rules.

The NPRM and NPRM Errata sure made me wonder.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1307. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 03:13:43 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <UDrX8.17992$JU3.8834380@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49171

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:cZmX8.1571$Tp7.118520274@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:uir8jr6nb6ir54@corp.supernews.com...
> > But the advanced class license holder is the ONLY class that now has
proof
> > that they can actually copy Morse at some reasonable level. Best leave
> them
> > alone!
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> Can you say, "Code Waiver?"

Yes, and those who have a Doctor's waiver are legitimate. They have a reason
other than 'lack of ambition.'

1308. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 04:01:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2E54AC.E01C452E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49172

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2DEC41.CC43F84C@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2C3676.F64D3B84@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Some people have google group search, as Dave has found out twice in
> the
> > > > > last few days.
> > > >
> > > > Anyone can access google, Brian. Tell me, what exactly is it that you
> > > > think you've uncovered?
> > >
> > > Your inability to remember anything that might conflict with the
> argument of
> > > the moment.
> >
> > I don't see the conflict, Brian. I operated where I was supposed to be.
> > Some French hams broke their regs. You were not involved at all.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Thank God! I would be so embarassed.

Yeah, I'd think you'd have embarrassment enough for a lifetime during
your most recent "mission".

Dave K8MN

1309. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 04:03:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2E5512.B794FE0C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49173

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020711074005.19575.00002928@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > >The fact that you showed up in my logs once still does not qualify you
> > >as a DXer.
> >
> > Did he send you proof that he had the privileges to operate on the
> frequency he
> > used before he worked you?
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> No one tipped me off that he was out of band. Was he?

Did you think Ed was addressing you like you thought I was addressing
you in my comment to Len?

Dave K8MN

1310. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 04:09:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2E5680.1A995A0D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49174

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D2DEB17.AE5636D6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> >> >> It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
> >> >> >> magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of "Ham
> >> >> >> Radio"?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> That's wayyyy too subtle, Cecil. :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> The average PCTA in here can't distinguish subtlety due to the
> >> >> overwhelming emotional bias for code.
> >> >>
> >> >> $150 + $7 shipping and handling gets anyone a copy of all the
> >> >> articles in Ham Radio Magazine for its 22 year history. Folks can
> >> >> then make all the personal reprints they want from those CDs
> >> >> which are available from the ARRL.
> >> >>
> >> >> They "don't exist." They are "dead," "extinct." Nothing in them
> >> >> applies to amateur radio, all according to certain PCTAs. :-)
> >> >
> >> >I have a full cabinet full of back issues of "Ham Radio" and "HRH", Len.
> >> >Nobody is dumb enough to believe that when a magazine publishing company
> >> >is gone that the back issues evaporate. The business which published
> >> >the magazines is defunct.
> >>
> >> Incorrect still. Communications Technology, Inc., the business organization
> >> that published Ham Radio Magazine and Ham Radio Horizons and ran the
> >> Ham Radio Bookstore, was SOLD to CQ. Selling a publishing business is
> >> simply a transfer of ownership. In the case of a publishing house, the
> >> transfer of ownership involves "intellectual property" or copyrighted
> material
> >> in print and images.
> >
> >I understand that "Ham Radio" was sold to and absorbed by CQ. I
> >understand that the material was/is copyrighted. Do you understand that
> >"Ham Radio" is no longer published. It has ceased to exist.
>
> "Publishing" in the modern idiom includes the manufacture and sales of CDs.
>
> Since CQ sells collections of all articles in the 22 year history of Ham Radio

> magazine, that is PUBLISHING and it still exists today!

Nope. There are no current issues being published at all. There's
nothing but material from the past.

> >"Ham Radio" is two words and they are not improper, just defunct.
> >The emotional bias seems to be yours since you appear to be the only
> >person who is offended by the term "defunct".
>
> Your explanation is defunct. HR is still being published.

I'll be darned. Where can I pick up the July 2002 issue?

> >Oh, I wasn't writing of totals. I was writing of the obtuse responses
> >you've provided. No one here has denigrated "Ham Radio" magazine. That
> >is all in your head.
>
> You cannot denigrate anything you don't understand. HR had technical
> content of amateur radio technology.

Oh, I must have misunderstood. I thought you told me a couple of posts
ago that I WAS denigrating "Ham Radio".

> >> You insist on having some "last word" on a subject you do not under-
> >> stand. You will not get it until you show some understanding.
> >
> >Your last stuff was garbled, Len.
>
> ...only because you cannot understand it. Understood.

No, because it was poorly cobbled together.

Dave K8MN

1311. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 05:09:32 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207120508450.28995-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 49175

On Thu, 11 Jul 2002, Brian P Burke wrote:
>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
>news:uir8jr6nb6ir54@corp.supernews.com...
>> But the advanced class license holder is the ONLY class that now has proof
>> that they can actually copy Morse at some reasonable level. Best leave
>them
>> alone!
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>
>Can you say, "Code Waiver?"

Probably NOT! Those who got the waiver probably continued on for the Extra,
since that was a much easier test than the Advanced theory.

1312. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 05:20:18 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207120512350.28995-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 49176

On 12 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
>In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102137460.21083-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>somebody else wrote:
>
>>>Its interesting that one who doesn't know their own repeater's ID can be a
>>>trustee(Technician), but one who can copy the ID (Novice) cannot be a
>>>trustee.
>
>This is because the written testing for a Novice is considered inadequate to be
>a repeater trustee.
>>
>>...Just another point of proof that the FCC doesn't know what it's doing in
>>the rulemaking process.
>
>Not really. They allow other services to use Morse auto-ID, even though those
>services have no Morse test.
>>
>>What they probably should have done is:
>> 1) Fix all these inconsistencies.
>
>Agreed.
>
>> 2) Actually permit one renewal for licenseholders of currently defunct
>>license classes if before 2005, then require that they upgrade or upon
>>renewal
>>after 2005, downgrade them (advanced -> general, novice -> nothing).
>
>Why? All that would do is create more admin work determining who can and cannot
>renew, tick off about 85K Advanceds, and reduce the ARS license figures by
>about 37K Novices.

No, it doesn't. All it means is that any Advanced trying to renew gets renewed
as a General (just like Tech Plus's now are renewed as Tech's), and Novice
renewals are rejected.

>> 3) Eliminated permanent operating authority for an element 1 CSCE.
>
>Again, why? It would make more sense to have Element 1 CSCEs never expire,
>because the test doesn't change significantly. Soon it will be academic anyway.
>
>>By not doing so, we're back in the same situation that existed from 1991-1994.
>
>Which leads me to believe that the FCC is finally getting its way on the Tech.

Because the FCC eliminated the Tech Plus license class (with attrition out as renewals are processed). We are now in the same situation that existed in 1991-1994 which then led to the issuance of Tech Plus licenses to begin with. If the FCC wanted that license class, they would have kept it, but since they don't, they sent a message that they really don't want permanent op. authority for the code bands by CSCE only. Why would the FCC put us in that position again unless the next time they "fix" it, they plan on doing so a different way? That way isn't going to be by bringing back the defunct license class - but by eliminating the permanent privilege. There really aren't any other choices.

>>It makes me wonder whether or not the FCC staff that worked on restructuring
>>actually understood the rules.
>
>The NPRM and NPRM Errata sure made me wonder.

1313. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 06:06:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712020607.12781.00000110@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49177

>Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/11/02 18:13 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020711181348.27364.00000021@mb-mg.aol.com>
>

>What is Hare's obsession with butting in on word play between
>others?

Lennie is 'butting in" on someone else's butting in...

Once again, we are to Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do.

>Is it to trivialize any comments in here, to keep
>discussion away from truly important topics? Or is it just a
>meaningless rebuke made after being unable to cause a desired
>effect...such as assassination of characters?

Or is it just an oppotunity to get you to show your butt again by butting
in to somone else's butting in.

Butthead. (Or would you prefer I sick with "Putz"?)

1314. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 06:16:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712021631.12781.00000112@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49179

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/11/02 18:13 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020711181349.27364.00000023@mb-mg.aol.com>

>>"Ham Radio" is no longer published. It has ceased to exist.
>
>"Publishing" in the modern idiom includes the manufacture and sales of CDs.
>
>Since CQ sells collections of all articles in the 22 year history of Ham
>Radio
>magazine, that is PUBLISHING and it still exists today

You know, Lennie....with a stretch like that you should consider a career
as a professional basball pitcher.

>Your explanation is defunct. HR is still being published.

The harder you try to cover your tracks, the deeper you dig yourself,
Lennie.

"Ham Radio" magazine is no longer in publication. It is being reprinted
(albeit in CD form), but it is NOT being "published".

>You cannot denigrate anything you don't understand. HR had technical
>content of amateur radio technology.

Now wait a minute, Your Twofacedness...YOU have been telling us for years
that there is no such thing as an "amateur" technolgy...You quite adamandtly
assert that radio physics is radio physics.

Are you NOW asserting that there is an "amatuer" technology and a
"non-amatuer" technology? Does RF now travel differently when emmited by an
Amateur Radio station as opposed to one of commercial design?

>>Your last stuff was garbled, Len.
>
>...only because you cannot understand it. Understood.

You forgot the question mark, Lennie. Of course your night school
education probably skipped punctuation.

And what 's to understand, Lennie? You're an idiot, you write trash, and
your posting are meant to do nothing but denigrate Amateur Radio and Amateur
Radio Operators.

Perfectly understood here.......

1315. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 06:19:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712021957.12781.00000113@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49178

>Subject: Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/11/02 18:13 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020711181347.27364.00000020@mb-mg.aol.com>

>Hare has a long history in here of "replying" by means of more
>questions.

At least he replies.

>None of us need hold our breath waiting for an "answer."

If I ahd held by breath waiting for even a fraction of the questions put
to you, I would have made your dreams come true a long time ago, Butthead.

>Goading is just goading...unless it is via the Word of Extras.

Or extraordinarily irritating ex-technicians without an Amateur
license......

1316. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 06:34:17 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2e7b3a_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49180
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Speak for yourself.

The "W5" the Yank equivalent of a CB licence?

BTW, I forgot - International QSO party on 14.250
+/- QRM at 0600 GMT, 21st July, to start the ball rolling.

Be there, or be a CBer!

CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2DC994.6EB2C0BE@hotmail.com...
> Brain Rarey wrote:
> > The M3 is for those with the brain of a turnip.
> Hey, don't knock turnips. You share 50% of your DNA with
> turnips.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP

1317. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 10:18:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020712061856.07789.00000119@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 49181

In article <3D2D04E9.63E48E77@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> That all depends, Ed -- what the heck are "priveleges" (sic)?
>
>The lege you sit on in the prive?

Cecil:

Hmmm -- that's what I thought, also. So Ed had to get a ham license
in order to get his prive ledge to sit on? Man, they sure do things
wierd in his part of the country! All we do down here in Delaware is cut
a nice hole in a big, wide board!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1318. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 10:18:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020712061856.07789.00000120@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 49182

In article <bB5X8.1121$Mj3.71942430@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Ed:
>>
>> Sooo, then, you're saying that if I had found someone's ham ticket
>> lying on the ground back in 1981, that I could just put it in my wallet
>> and start operating on-the-air, using it as a valid authorization to
>> operate on the ham bands?
>>
>> Keep in mind, if your answer is anything other than "Yes," then your
>> example *was* irrelevant, wasn't it?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>Larry, is that a leading question meant to goad?

Brian:

No, it was a simple question meant to be answered. Ed answered "No," therefore indicating that his example was, in fact, irrelevant -- regardless of the spin he tried to apply after the "no" answer.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1319. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 10:18:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020712061856.07789.00000118@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 49183

In article <20020711073420.19575.00002923@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>>Would you have gone through all the effort if it didn't get you the
>>>priveleges?
>
>>That all depends, Ed -- what the heck are "priveleges" (sic)?
>
>Priveleges is a word I commonly mispell, Larry.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Hmmm. Too bad you're so technically-challenged. I compose all of my postings in Microsoft Word, then copy-and-paste the text back into AOL's Newsgroup reply window. This way, I get the use of my spell checker and Thesaurus while editing my replies -- and end up with perfect copy except for words I deliberately misspell -- like "axed," for instance.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1320. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:34:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712073423.07640.00000007@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49184

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>I could memorize the schematic for a spark transmitter in a minute or two. I
>>could memorize the schematic for a single-tube oscillator in slightly more
>>time
>>and a two-tube xtal transmitter would be about 10 minutes, from scratch.

>Could you do those things in that time frame if you had no background in
>radio beforehand, Ed?

Considering the relatively small number of parts involved, I believe so.

>Could you also learn enough to write essays on how they worked, in enough
>detail to convince the examiner? And do it on a similar time frame?

Absolutely not. Of course, by the time I took my tests in the 60s, all I had
to do in the schematic department was to recognize a few schematics on a
multiple-choice test.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1321. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:35:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712073551.07640.00000008@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49185

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> I don't see the conflict, Brian. I operated where I was supposed to be.
>> Some French hams broke their regs. You were not involved at all.

>Thank God! I would be so embarassed.

Are you also embarrassed about choosing to involve yourself in it 2 to 15 years after the fact?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1322. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:37:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712073731.07640.00000009@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49186

From: Clay N4AOX wyn@worldnet.att.net

>I found Jon Bloom on the board of directors of WORLDCOM.
>How do you explain that?

If Jon's name were Oinga Disklodhoffer, I might feel an explanation were necessary.

Sometimes people have the same name, Clay. Didn't you know that?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1323. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:41:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712074145.07640.00000010@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49187

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Many who passed a code test are anything *but*
>coded.

Then why the insistence on retaining a code test?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1324. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:43:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712074301.07640.00000011@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49188

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Pretty sad, actually, that some old timers have come to this.

>Ed, were you one of those "Better Red than dead!" folks from the 60's?

No, Dick. Why do you ask? In another post, you seemed to rememer my 60s era pretty well. Are you being forgetful or disengenuos?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1325. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:45:39 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712074539.07640.00000012@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49189

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Since I don't keep a working sound system on this computer, I didn't
>follow your link, and since you did not state anywhere the speed of the
>EME transmission, I did as you always do, and assumed it to be the QRSS
>mode they mostly use.

So you did all that commenting on something you never even heard?

If I send it to you on tape, will you demonstrate to us how your skilled human decoding is better than any computer? Most here claimed they couldn't even hear the signal.

>I did as you always do, and assumed it to be the QRSS
>mode they mostly use.

I don't recall that I have "always" claimed that a .wav file I have never heard was a QRSS EME signal, Dick. On what basis have you determined that I "always" do that?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1326. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:47:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712074748.07640.00000013@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49190

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Sometimes I wonder just what it is you think I want for the
>ARS.

I think, Dick, that you want the ARS to be comprised of people who are dedicated to Amateur Radio, are knowledgeable about Amateur Radio and who follow its rules.

Unfortunately, I think that your attempt to accomplish that through insult and demeaning others is not going to do anything more than let you feel that you have done something toward accomplishing your goal.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1327. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:49:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712074906.07640.00000014@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49191

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Anyone who doesn't learn the code as a result of what he/she read here
>has merely found the excuse they were looking for.

I conclude from that statement, then, that you acknowledge that the things you say here have no point.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1328. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:52:49 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712075249.07640.00000015@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49192

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>John -- you're definitely not "narrow-minded" -- you fathead! <BSEG>

Is this an example of how you are intellectual on this NG, Larry? If so, your
view of yourself exceeds reality by quite a bit.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1329. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:55:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712075522.07640.00000016@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49193

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> As I have said and some always misinterpret, ham radio is just not for
>everyone. Lots of people wouldn't have it if you gave it to them.

I agree, Dick. (Gasp!) This is why I believe that those who choose to become hams are not doing so in order not to learn anything.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1330. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:56:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712075621.07640.00000017@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49194

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> If your morals require you to police US hams working their proper
>assignments while accusing them of being the cause of other hams in the
>world *possibly* violating their own, strange indeed.

Actually, I read it that he wants us to do so on rrap, 2 to 15 years after the
fact.

Now THAT is strange!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1331. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 11:58:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712075837.07640.00000018@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49195

From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net

>But the advanced class license holder is the ONLY class that now has proof
>that they can actually copy Morse at some reasonable level.

Not at all, Jim. An advanced may have passed a 5 wpm test. An advanced may
have lost the skill to copy Morse at some "reasonable" leve.

I hold an Extra, and if you need proof about my code-copying ability for some
reason, I can easily demonstrate it to you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1332. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 12:00:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712080001.07640.00000019@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49196

From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)

>Unless of course the licensee can whip out his 60's & 70's Extra
>pre-bullshit tickets of course . . how many of THEM do we have around
>here, hmmm?

Of his pre-80's General.

This does, of course, presume a need for someone to demonstrate such proof.

Under what circumstances might such proof be required?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1333. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 12:01:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712080157.07640.00000020@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49197

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>You have to know that a ham was licensed in
>a particular class before that date to know for sure.

And even then, all you are sure of is that the ham demonstrated one time the ability to copy 80 characters correctly in a row or answer 7 out of 10 questions correctly.

There is no way to determine whether a ham can copy Morse code at 13 wpm from his or her licensing history.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1334. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 12:03:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712080329.07640.00000021@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49198

From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net

>Yes, and those who have a Doctor's waiver are legitimate. They have a reason
>other than 'lack of ambition.'

Then why did the FCC receive so many complaints about the waiver abuses?
Those, IMHO, contributed mightily to the FCC's decision to run code at 5 wpm
across the board.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1335. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 12:06:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712080616.07640.00000022@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49199

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Really? Taking a 5 wpm receiving code test and 3 written tests from a
>published
>pool looks like a piece of cake to me. Biggest problem is gettin to a VE
>session.
>
>You did write "most Extras", Ed.

Well, we have now heard from one. :-)

If it really is such a breeze, you could demonstate it is absolutely trivial by asking the FCC to cancel your license and then retesting. Of if the FCC did that to you, would you not be upset?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1336. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 12:10:49 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712081049.07640.00000023@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49200

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Does the process require an NPRM in all cases? I could see it if the subject
>was a new one to the ARS, like RF exposure, but from the FCC's pronouncements
>on code testing it's clear that they think the subject has been discussed to
>death.

To my knowledge, the FCC has never changed the wording in Part 97 without an NPRM. Perhaps Phil can weigh in on this one.

>Lobster dinner? No, wait, you still gotta collect the first one I owe ya.

I CAN eat two lobsters, Jim! :-)

>How will that work? Everybody takes a Morse test and there are a few
>questions
>on the written about what was sent?

Yes, that is what I envision. Morse code text is sent and there are a reasonable number of questions about its content that are graded as part of the written exam. Like other modes, get them all wrong and be a little smarter somewhere else and one passes the test. It keeps Morse code testing in Amateur Radio in a way that is fair and balanced with its use within the Service.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1337. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 12:13:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712081302.07640.00000024@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49051

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>No one tipped me off that he was out of band. Was he?

He told you here about a dozen times that he was not operating outside of his band, Brian. Are you being forgetful or disengenuous?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1338. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 12:19:21 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.12.08.19.20.772508.18782@arrl.org>
Source record: 49052

On Fri, 12 Jul 2002 07:37:31 -0400, W1RFI wrote:
> From: Clay N4AOX wyn@worldnet.att.net
>
>>I found Jon Bloom on the board of directors of WORLDCOM.
>>How do you explain that?
>
> If Jon's name were Oinga Disklodhoffer, I might feel an explanation were
> necessary.

If my name were Oinga Disklodhoffer I'd just call myself "Jon Bloom" for
short.

Jon (Oinga)

1339. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 12:54:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agmjhpoqp0@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 49053

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > As I have said and some always misinterpret, ham radio is just not for
> >everyone. Lots of people wouldn't have it if you gave it to them.
>
> I agree, Dick. (Gasp!) This is why I believe that those who choose to become
> hams are not doing so in order not to learn anything.
>

So what makes you think it's an all-or-nothing affair?

1340. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 13:26:42 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020712092642.08286.00000210@mb-fl.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49054

Hi Larry,

>Hey, I'll settle for John to tell me to pi$$ up a rope at 13 WPM -- and I
>know that he can do it, even if he doesn't!

I'm sure of it as well. He will eventually find it out for himself too.

>>I still have a few K3LT bruises, but you know what...I'm better for
>>the wear.
>
>I, on the other hand, still have to deal with the knuckles I broke on
>Bert's jaw!

Gave me a whole new appreciation for oatmeal. ;-)

>Congratulations on your new call sign, Bert! "Watusi!" Pretty cool!

My father is NYPD ret. and served his longest tour at the 78 Pct. in Park Slope, Brooklyn. Well, at a shade over 6' 4", dad was the tallest officer in the house. (Pct.) So his friends bestowed the nickname 'Watusi' on him after the West African tribesmen who often grew over 7' tall.

When my father saw me remove the telescoping antenna from my Christmas gift, a 300mW CB h/t, and solder in a home-brew dipole in it's place, he decided to buy me my first 40 ch. CB. He often took me to the airport (JFK) and tuned in the tower freqs as well as introducing me to the world of SWLing. (His passion.) So in many ways, dad is kinda responsible for introducing me to radio. My callsign is a small tribute to him. BTW, dad's alive and well to appreciate it...and can occasionally be heard talking to his son on ch. 39 usb on Sunday mornings. Don't wait until it's too late to say thanks in whatever way you can.

Additionally, it's a pretty cool CW call and I happen to like the ring of a 2x2 call. Take care, Larry.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

P.S. Nobody answered my 40-meter dipole vs. 80-meter dipole question. :-(
Well, I'm puttin' it up anyway and we'll see what happens. :-)

1341. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 14:04:35 UTC
From: my_callsign@btopenworld.com
Message-ID: <agmnlikef1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49259
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3d2d219c$2_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>, Brain Rarey,
please excuse any mis-understanding, but I think you said at least in part....
>
>That's because DXing as it has become is a
>CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
>a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
>over and over again.
>
>

Try telling that to the widows and families of the Spratly Island DX-pedition
enough lives have been lost through conflict. The 28th July in particular
should be a day to remember old friends and welcome new ones.

--
73
G1LVN
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk
(email reply: replace 'my_callsign' with G1LVN)

1342. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 14:06:05 UTC
From: my_callsign@btopenworld.com
Message-ID: <agmnodkef2@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49260
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3d2dc861$2_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>, Brain Rarey,
please excuse any mis-understanding, but I think you said at least in part....
>
>Totally irrelevant.
>
>The M3 is for those with the brain of a turnip.
>
>If someone is better qualified than they need be for
>an M3, but elects to downgrade, that is their stupidity,
>and they are classed with the turnips.
>
what about the G4's who went for M5 to get vanity calls? You missed them

--
73
G1LVN
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk
(email reply: replace 'my_callsign' with G1LVN)

1343. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 14:06:31 UTC
From: my_callsign@btopenworld.com
Message-ID: <agmnp6kef3@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49261
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3D2DC994.6EB2C0BE@hotmail.com>, CAM, please excuse any
mis-understanding, but I think you said at least in part....
>
>Brain Rarey wrote:
>> The M3 is for those with the brain of a turnip.
>
>Hey, don't knock turnips. You share 50% of your DNA with
>turnips.
>

90% with a banana

--
73
G1LVN
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk
(email reply: replace 'my_callsign' with G1LVN)

1344. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 14:08:04 UTC
From: my_callsign@btopenworld.com
Message-ID: <agmns4kef4@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49262
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3d2e7b3a_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>, Brain Rarey, please excuse any mis-understanding, but I think you said at least in part....
>
>Speak for yourself.
>
>The "W5" the Yank equivalent of a CB licence?
>
>

no such thing as a CB licence in the US.

(you know there wasn't any fuss back in 1999 when UK CBers were given novice callsigns, it's odd)

--
73
G1LVN
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk
(email reply: replace 'my_callsign' with G1LVN)

1345. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 15:24:39 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020712112439.08291.00000192@mb-fl.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49055

>Bert, many year ago (in the early 70s), I worked with a CBer. We were (and
>still are) good friends. I was trying to induce him into getting an amateur
>license (both of us had our 1st class radiotelephone tickets). He stated
>something about CW to which I responded (deep, athouratative voice here),
>_... ..._ _ .. _ .. ... . ._ ... _.__ (end msg). I sent
>that at around 20 words per minute. He responded 'I know it is easy, but
>I'm not interested!!!!!!!!!! Well, blow me down! It turned out he was a
>high speed CW operator in the service during WWII.
>
>Curious as to your comment ...
>
>
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
>news:20020709051207.26133.00003144@mb-mm.news.cs.com...
>> >You mean there are CBers that went through the effort of
>> >learning code?
>>
>> Nah, never happen. ;-)

Just having some lighthearted fun, Jim...hence, the ;-). Actually, I resemble that remark. I was, and am still, a CBer, 11-meter enthusiast, or whatever your preference of terminology is. I'm also an ARO, and wear both hats proudly. Every now and then, I see a remark that paints all 11-meter ops with an awfully wide brush. Whereas I used to jump right into the fray, I'm a bit more selective wrt my battles and my comments more subtle. Hope that helps re. my comment.

One of the most satisfying things that I've done is to practice the code with my niece on a Part 15 device on 11-meters. CB channel 14 or 27.1250 MHz to be exact...thus invalidating the classic troll's cry of "Without CW, it's just CB." Our efforts were rewarded by a few ops (AR?) that dropped in and sent us "good luck" messages.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1346. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 15:31:30 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020712113130.08291.00000194@mb-fl.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49056

>I have a brother who was a high speed CW op in the military, which he
>made a 23 year career, and knew enough electronics that he had a TV
>repair shop in his basement. I never could get him to get a ham radio
>license, nor his son. But he told me not long ago that he wishes he had
>got one way back then.
>
> As I have said and some always misinterpret, ham radio is just not for
>everyone. Lots of people wouldn't have it if you gave it to them.
>
>Dick

Hi Dick,

I'm still working with my niece. The attention span of a 15 yr. old girl can be challenging at times, to say the least. She'll get there though. When she's done, I have a spare 10-meter rig (Still NIB though.) for here and a replica of the Titanic key. After I told her that they used Morse code on the Titanic, she thought it's be "way cool" to learn. (Thanks to Leo Di Caprio.) I see Tech "+" in her future...at least for now, so why should I let the spare HR-2510 collect dust? (No, it's not modified. 10-meters only.) Take care now.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1347. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 17:17:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uiu3oil0k4vgb9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49057

Looks like some of us just might have to start a cw net on 80 in the
evenings! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - but, of course, someone COULD be using a keyboard. I wonder if anyone
has programmed a Morse sending system that puts some 'swing' into the fist?
In any case, arguing the cw may be old, but it beats the old 'boy scout'
thread that really started getting tired a year or so ago ... I do wish I
could still use landline Morse. I used it once with mixed results (I had to
ask the guy to qrs to around 16 words per minute as I was using a mental
'look-up' table at the time for the various different characters. After 35
years or so, I've forgotten it. Big deal.)

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712075837.07640.00000018@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
>
> >But the advanced class license holder is the ONLY class that now has
proof
> >that they can actually copy Morse at some reasonable level.
>
> Not at all, Jim. An advanced may have passed a 5 wpm test. An advanced
may
> have lost the skill to copy Morse at some "reasonable" leve.
>
> I hold an Extra, and if you need proof about my code-copying ability for
some
> reason, I can easily demonstrate it to you.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

1348. ARNewsline 1300 - July 12 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 18:53:24 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712145324.11693.00000299@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 49058

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1300 July 12, 2002

Celebrating a quarter of a century in service to ham radio, this is Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1300 with a release date of Friday, July 12th 2002. It follows in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. The ham radio Olympics are happening in Finland and a Reading Pennsylvania teen is named Young Ham of the Year here in the United States. Find out who on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1300 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RADIOSPORTS: THE HAM RADIO OLYMPICS FOR 2002 ARE ON

Its the Super Bowl of ham radio contesting. The 2002 World Radiosport Team Championship games also known as WRTC 2002 have been taking place this week. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, reports:

--
The World Radiosport Team Championship, sometimes called 'the Olympic Games of Amateur Radio', takes place in Finland between the 9th and 15th of July. WRTC is a competition among 52 two-person teams of the top amateur radio contest operators in the world.

By bringing competitors together in one geographic area and providing equal operating conditions, including antennas and power, the variables normally associated with radio contesting are minimised, and the individual team's operating skills are emphasised. Look for the national teams taking part in next weekend's IARU HF Championship contest from sites in the Helsinki area and using callsigns with the unique OJ1 to OJ8 prefixes.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

--

The contest began at 1200 U-T-C on Saturday the 13th of July, and runs for 24 hours. The WRTC 2002 organizing committee is asking any of you who worked the conterstants to e-mail your logs by 1800 U-T-C on July 14. These "early bird" logs will be used only for log checking purposes related to the WRTC 2002 teams. The e-mail address is logs@wrtc2002.org

Also, Film maker Dave Bell, W6AQ, is in Finland recording the event on digital videotape. Bell produced the popular Ham Radio Olympics video that chronicled the 2000 WRTC games. (WRTC2002, GB2RS, W6AQ)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO AND THE TEXAS FLOODS

On this side of the Atlantic, the Federal Communication Commission declared a general communications emergency Friday July 5th in response to severe flooding in parts of Texas. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW has more:

--
Thousands of people were evacuated from three West Texas towns as a massive storm dumped more than a foot of water on an area that rarely sees more than 2 feet a year. This, as rainfall in flood-weary Central Texas continued and flood water surrounded homes in 29 counties.

Statewide,the week of flooding has forced at least 4,000 people to evacuate, killed at least eight people and caused tens of millions of dollars in damage. Its also cut off normal lines of communications to some areas and that’s where ham radio was called in.

On Friday, July 5th, the FCC issued an edict declaring two parcels of spectrum -- one on 40 meters and the other on 75 as off limits to all but those stations handling flood emergency traffic for 72 hours. Those frequencies were 7.285 MHz during daylight hours and 3.873 MHz at night. Amateurs not directly involved in the emergency communications were required to stay at least 3 kHz away from these frequencies until the order is rescinded. The FCC cancelled the order at 1700 UTC on Monday, July 8th. But as we go to air, hams involved in Texas ARES, along with other volunteer groups, are still providing assistance to the flood ravaged areas.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

More on this story as information is made available. (FCC, ARRL Bulletins, Internet postings, other sources)

**

RESCUE RADIO: AZ HAMS STAND DOWN AS WILDFIRE IS CONTAINED

After weeks of battling the largest wildfire in Arizona history, ham radio has stood down and firefighters from around the country are being reassigned to other states or sent home. This, as the half-million acre Rodeo Chedeski blaze was finally contained on Sunday, July 7th. And hams have gone home. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Norm Seeley, KI7UP, in Scottsdale has the rest of the story:

--
SATERN, which by the way stands for "Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network was probably the most visible ham radio communications group involved with the giant Rodeo Chedeski firestorm. SATERN was active throughout the emergency providing assistance to The Salvation Army in it's disaster relief mission. The Salvation Army was assigned by the State of Arizona to be responsible for receiving all material donations. It also was responsible to distribute these supplies to any agency or group requesting them. The SATERN net, operated on 7.265 MHz dayside and 3.990 MHz evenings It finally stood

down and released the frequencies when the shelters closed down and their services were no longer needed.

What SATERN did not do was to handle health and welfare messages into and out of the area. That job fell to ARES and the Red Cross and both responded as expected. A-R-E-S used several High Frequency nets, local repeater communications and the Cactus Radio Network intertie to handle hundreds of tactical and health and welfare messages.

Another group deserving mention is the Kachina Amateur Radio Club. It was activated on June 18th handling communications for the Navajo Emergency Operations Center and shelters set up for the evacuation of all evacuation areas at that time. The Kachina Amateur Radio Club was on the air in support of the shelters through June 30th.

As the fire peaked in late June, more than 4,400 personnel -- most of them firefighters -- were working to corral the blaze. That number has been reduced to about 1100 now that the fire is fully contained.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP, in Scottsdale Arizona.

--

As we go to air, the firefighters still on the scene are busy mopping up smoldering embers top insure no new eruptions take place. In some areas, crews are already at work seeding the burned land to help minimize the effects of erosion from the coming monsoon rain season. (ARNewsline™ from various sources)

**

RESCUE RADIO: EAS WORKING WELL IN THE ARIZONA WILD FIRE EVACUATIONS

The E-A-S system woked well in Arizona during the recent Rodeo Chedeski wildfires. According to reports in the CGC Communicator, Navajo and Apache County EAS woked almost flawlessly in ordering evacuations during fires. About 30,000 people were evacuated at the height of this emergency. (CGC Communicator)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the WV8AR repeater srerving Putnam County West Virginia.

(5 sec pause here)

**

AWARDS: JOSH ABRAMOWICZ, KB3GWY, NAMED ARNEWSLINE™ “YOUNG HAM OF THE YEAR”

The nominations are in and the committee has reached a decision on this yearsAmateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year. And now its time to share that decision with you. And who better to make the announcement than the 2001 Young Ham of the year. Pareick clark, KC8BFD. introduces us to yet another young ham who is keeping the hobby alive:

--

He is 17 years old. An 11th grader at Central Catholic High School in Reading Pennsylvania and an Eagle Scout. And less we forget, he loves bringing new young hams into the hobby through the scouting program. And for those reasons and many more, Amateur Radio Newsline has named Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY, as its 2002 Young Ham of the Year.

Josh was away at a scout camp when the committee reached its decision. We finally got him on the phone and this was his reaction when we told him the news:

--
Abramowicz reaction audio
--

According to the nominating form, Josh Abramowicz became interested in ham radio because of his parents and the Boy Scouts. His dad is Mark NT3V and his mother is Suzanna NZ3G. Josh says that for him, becoming a ham was in the cards:

--
Abramowicz: I got into it [Amateur Radio] through them when they brought the stuff [ham station out years later after it had lied dormant for awhile. They hooked it up and I just could not keep my hands off of it As a matter of fact, I used to sneak up and just dial up and down the bands just listening to stuff sometimes."
--

His parents also gave Josh a good reason to get his ham ticket:

--
Abramowicz: "After the year years went by they said: 'Why don't you go and get your license – and by the way – if you don't get your license you are not getting a drivers license. I said O-K."
--

Talk about incentive licensing but in short order Josh had his Technician ticket. But it was his involvement in the Boy Scouts, a scouting Jamboree and a chance to operate K2BSA that really started his ham radio career:

--
Abramowicz: "So I looked into what was required on the application. Of coarse you had tio be a licensed Amateur Radio operator. By then I had my Technician license and I really started pushing for my General license. That's where I am now, studying for the Extra license."
--

As a member of the K2BSA staff at Fort A.P. Hill in Virginia, Josh helped to demonstrate ham radio to the 35,000 Scouts attending the event. He also helped in the training of some 400 Scouts who earned Radio Merit Badges at the gathering.

But thats not all. Another achievement credited to KB3GWY is that of organizing a scouting Amateur Radio Venture Crew with the Hawk Mountain Council in his hometown of Reading. PA. What is a Venturing Crew? Josh explains:

--
Abramowicz: “Its kind of like the Explorer program. It involves boys and girls over the age of 14 until you become an adult. It’s a completely separate program designated to high adventure kind of things – not your normal, average camping trips but more involved things like Philmont Treks and summer camp kinds of things or the Florida Sea Base would be considered high adventure.”
--

Josh presented his idea for a radio Venture Crew to the Frankford Amateur Radio Club and obtainded its support as the sponsoring organization. He then convinced the Hawk Mountain Council leadership to use space in a new science center at its headquarters for a perminant Amateur Radio station. This station will soon be available to campers the year round.

--
Abramowicz: “Its up here on the mountain. The propagation will be absolutely great. I’m expecting some really, really good DX from up here and we have high hopes. As a matter of fact, we are going to be doing a demonstration up here this weekend on Saturday. We are going to have some of the Venture Crrew kids up. Just fiddle around with to see whats open and see what we can find. Get the kids on and let them talk a little bit. Maybe a 2 meter set-up. And I think that the Cub Scouts are up that weekend. And they will be checking us out during their free time. They are up for a Super Cub Weekend.”
--

Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY, will receive his Young Ham of the Year Award plaque at the Huntsville Hamfest in Huntsville Alabama on Saturday August 17th. His trip to the hamfrest is a gift from Vertex Standard Corporation which wiull also be prssenting Josh with a gift of its Yaesu brand ham radio gear. Josh will also receive a week at Spacecamp Huntsville courtesy of CQ Magazine.

--

The committee judging the award also name to runner-up finalists. They are Evan Anderson, KC0CWP, of Ashland Nebraska and Thomas Tenaglia, K3TAT who lives in West Chester Pennsylvania. Both will receive a certificate of appreciation from the award sponsors. (ARNewsline™)

**

REMEMBERING THE PAST: HEIL DONATES SPECIAL MICS TO QUEEN MARY AMATEUR STATION

A special presentation of ‘commemorative microphones’ honoring the operators of the Queen Mary ocean liner Amateur Radio station will be made on

Saturday, July 27th. This, by by Bob Heil, K9EID, the President of Heil Sound Ltd..

The Queen Mary is permanently docked in Long Beach California. The ship is a popular tourist attraction as is its Amateur Radio station, W6RO, which operates daily from the historic Queen Mary Wireless Room. And it is in that Wireless Room that the presentation will be made.

According to K9EID, the new microphones are being custom manufactured for the Wiress Room. He adds that each is an exact replica of the broadcast mic’s used during the years the Queen Mary was at sea. (Heil Sound release)

**

HANDI HAMS: EXTRA CLASS TAPES NOW AVAILABLE

The Handi Hams have announced that the Extra Class Question and Answer pool, valid through 2006, is now available in an MP3 audio CD and in two track tape for its blind members. If you need a copy, contact Handi Ham headquarters at area code 866-426-3442 or sewnd an e-mail request to secretary@handiham.org. Again, yopu must be a Hamdi Ham member to qualify for this recording. And Handi Hams also has announced that work continues on the main body of the ARRL Extra Class License Manual in audio format for its blind members as well. (Handi Hams)

**

PROMOTING HAM RADIO: CQ MAGAZINE TAKES ON “THE HUMAN RACE”

CQ magazine has been named the Official Journal of "The Human Race." “The Human Race" is a planned educational television series in which two amateur radio operators will participate in a global "road rally." Amateur Radio Newsline’s Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has an in depth look at the show and how it will work:

--

How does it work? Simple. There will be two ham radio contestants. One ham will head East and the other West from Kansas City Missouri beginning in July of 2003. Over the next six months the hams will travel around the world but using only transportation that is volunteered by other amateur operators that the ham competitors meet along the way. The race will end in a location roughly halfway around the world where the paths of the two competitors cross.

But thats only part of the story. Along the way, each racer will encounter a series of pre-selected "ham hosts." These are people who have expertise in a particular area of amateur radio. They not only play host to the competeing amateur, but also are interviewed by the competitor about their area of specialty and the role ham radio has played in their lives.

William Desjardins, W1ZY, is the shows producer. He says that " 'The Human Race' is based on the premise that Amateur Radio is inherently a human activity. As such, technology is a tool used by people around the world to connect and communicate with each other.”

--
Desjardens: “People who use the Internet, and use chat rooms and send video pictures to each other -- and engage in instant messaging and so forth -- these people are prime candidates to become amateur Radio operators.”
--

By way of technology, each competitor will be outfitted with advanced communications systems, including amateur stations. Each will also be accompanied by a TV production crew and tracked using the Global Positioning System and APRS. This information will be displayed on a constantly updated website enabling hams and others around the world to follow the progress of the race making it a competition that everyone can be a part of.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.

--

For its part, CQ will publish a series of articles and updates written by Human Race Producer Desjardins. It will also provide updates and additional information on its website.

The tentative schedule calls for the completed 8-part series ready to air in the fall of 2004.For more information on "The Human Race," see the program website at www.home.earthlink.net/~bdesj but soon to be moved to http://www.humanrace.org (CQ)

**

BREAK 2

Here 25 years ago and still serving you today. From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

UPCOMMING EVENTS: MUSEUM SHIPS WEEKEND

Some interesting upcoming events that you will want to know about. We start off with Museum Ships Weekend. That’s the 20th and 21st of July and word that The U-S-S Salem Amateur Radio Club will be on the air as K1USN. During Museum Ships Weekend each participating vessel will be on the air for 48 hours and the idea is to work as many of them as you can In the case of the U-S-S Salem, a certificate will be available for working ten or more event stations during the event. For more information and a QSL address please take your web browser to www dot qsl dot net slash K1USN. (Via e-mail)

**

SPACE AND SCIENCE: HIGH ALTITUDE HAM RADIO BALLOON LAUNCH SUCCESS

EOSS 57, the Edge of Space Sciences Amateur radio group of Colorado successfully launched and recovered 3 high altitude balloons on June 22nd. THis, using Amateur Radio APRS and DF techniques to track and recover the payloads. According to several Internnet postings, he balloons carried high altitude experiments, camera's and fast scan amateur television to an altitude

in excess of 80,000 feet. The launch took place in DeerTrail, on I-70 east of Denver, with the balloons taking a general North easterly track. The landings occuring near the Fort Morgan Colorado area. The Montana Space Grant Consortium, and Iowa State University Habet crews launched with EOSS and tracked the balloons to touchdown. More information is on the web at www.eoss.org. (EOSS Release)

**

INTERNATIONAL - AUSTRALIA: VNG GETS 6 MONTH REPRIEVE

The Australian standard time and frequency station VNG, which was scheduled to close down on the 30th of June, has received a six-month reprieve. The station will now close down on the 31st of December 2002. The postponement came about following complaints from Radio VNG users. However, the commission that agreed to the postponement has also insisted that the VNG Users Consortium provide a voice announcement for broadcast on the station from mid-July, advising all users of the new closing date. (Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL – CANADA: PERMISSION TO JAM

The Canadian military and police departments have been authorized to block radio and mobile phone signals if necessary during the G8 Summit and during the Pope's visit to Toronto in July. A Royal Canadian Mounted Police spokesperson told szeveral newspapers that the move was essential to ensure the safety and security of those attending both events. This is the first time legal provisions against the interference of radio communications have been overridden in Canada. (Published news reports)

**

DX

In DX, word that SP3GVX, who operated from HF0POL in 1997 and 2000 will spend a year at the Hornsund Base on Spitsbergen starting in July. His new callsign is JW0HU. There is no QSL information available at this time. (GB2RS)

Also, SV1BRL will be active again portable from Kefalonia Island from July until mid-September. He welcomes bureau QSLs, but please note that they should be routed through the French REF Bureau, marked DPT 33. Do not send cards through the Greek QSL bureau. (GB2RS)

And a new RSGB QSL Bureau sub-manager has been appointed for the holders of Foundation Licences in Scotland. Those are the new MM3 series of callsigns now being heard on the air. The person taking on the post is Mr R Simpson, GM7NZI. His addxress is 53 Jedworth Avenue, Glasgow , Scottland, post code G15 7QE. (RSGB)

**

INTERNATIONAL – UK – A SECOND CHANCE FOE DIGITAL TV

Still in the U-K, an attempt is being made to revive that nations terrestrial digital TV industry. This, following the collapse of ITV Digital, the company that held the license. According to the Netherlands based Media Network e-newsletter, the U-K's Independent Television Commission considered four bids to take over the license, and has decided to award it to a consortium consisting of the British Broadcasting Company, British Sky Broadcasting and transmission services provider Crown Castle Communications. But the question remains as to whether or not the new consortium be able to restore confidence in the British digital television industry. More is on the web at http://www.rnw.nl/realradio/features/html/uk020705.html (Media Network)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE FUTURE OF DIGITAL TV: PART 4

And speaking of digital television, this week we take a look at transmission systems and who is providing a digital picture to you. Again, from the pages of the D-X Audio Service magazine, here is Editor Fred Vobbe, W8HDU.

--
This is an audio only report. No text available. Please download MP3 audio at www.arnewsline.org.
--

By the way, for those of you not aware Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, is not only the editor of the DX Audio Service magazine. He is also the Chief Engineer at WLIO television in Lima Ohio where he das a first hand working knowledge of whats happening in the world of Digital TV. (NRCDXAS)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

Before we go, we want to pause a moment to thank each and every one of you for spending part or all of the past 25 years with Amateur Radio Newsline. We also take this oportunity to pledge to do all we can to continue to bring you these weekly newscasts for another 25 years and maybe longer. You are the reason that we exist. We thank you for your encouragement, for your generousity and your ongoing support.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Jim Damron N8TMW and I'm Jeff Clark, K8JAC, saying 73, and we thank you for listening."
Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

1349. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 19:14:19 UTC
From: Chris Heaton <chris.heaton@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <agn9qb$3bt$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49270
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I take my foundation exam next week. I'm sorry to see that the elitism and snobism that I was told existed in the amateur fraternity really does exist. I don't think it was the difficulty of the exam that stopped newcommers becomeing Radio Amamteurs, it was that no one wanted to associate with such stuck up snobs as yourselves. I don't know about boycotting M3's, maybe we should boycot all you others!!!!

Seriously, there are a lot of good people on my course who will make good amateurs, stop moaning that it's not fair because you had to sit a harder exam. Wait to you contact an M3 on the air and then make your decision about how good a broadcater they are then. Please put your prejudices away and give us a chance!

Regards Chris Heaton.

1350. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:39:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <78HX8.5266$3V5.274842653@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49059

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:UDrX8.17992$JU3.8834380@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:cZmX8.1571$Tp7.118520274@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> > news:uir8jr6nb6ir54@corp.supernews.com...
> > > But the advanced class license holder is the ONLY class that now has
> proof
> > > that they can actually copy Morse at some reasonable level. Best
leave
> > them
> > > alone!
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> >
> > Can you say, "Code Waiver?"
>
> Yes, and those who have a Doctor's waiver are legitimate. They have a
reason
> other than 'lack of ambition.'

Tell me about it. Was yours for hearing, ADD, or what?

1351. International boycott of K3LT and his CW-boyfriends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:40:04 UTC
From: Angel D <nocw@atall.com>
Message-ID: <2002712-21404-183904@foorum.com>
Source record: 49088

CW is a mode for gay people. CW is not a RADIO mode. RADIO means without WIRES and TELEGRAPHY was invented for WIRED STATIONS. There is no reason to use CW today (PSK31 is far better), except if you are gay.

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

1352. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:40:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <U8HX8.5267$3V5.274842653@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49060

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712080329.07640.00000021@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net
>
> >Yes, and those who have a Doctor's waiver are legitimate. They have a
reason
> >other than 'lack of ambition.'
>
> Then why did the FCC receive so many complaints about the waiver abuses?
> Those, IMHO, contributed mightily to the FCC's decision to run code at 5
wpm
> across the board.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Please Ed, Dann appears quite sensitive to the code waiver issue.

1353. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:44:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QcHX8.5271$aR5.274589894@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49061

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711220649.01638.00000098@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article
<Pine.LNX.4.44.0207102137460.21083-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>
> somebody else wrote:
>
> >>Its interesting that one who doesn't know their own repeater's ID can be
a
> >>trustee(Technician), but one who can copy the ID (Novice) cannot be a
> >>trustee.
>
> This is because the written testing for a Novice is considered inadequate
to be
> a repeater trustee.

True.

> >...Just another point of proof that the FCC doesn't know what it's doing
in
> >the rulemaking process.
>
> Not really. They allow other services to use Morse auto-ID, even though
those
> services have no Morse test.

So why...in the ARS?

> >What they probably should have done is:
> > 1) Fix all these inconsistencies.
>
> Agreed.
>
> > 2) Actually permit one renewal for licenseholders of currently defunct
> >license classes if before 2005, then require that they upgrade or upon
> >renewal
> >after 2005, downgrade them (advanced -> general, novice -> nothing).
>
> Why? All that would do is create more admin work determining who can and
cannot
> renew, tick off about 85K Advanceds, and reduce the ARS license figures by
> about 37K Novices.
>
> > 3) Eliminated permanent operating authority for an element 1 CSCE.

>
> Again, why? It would make more sense to have Element 1 CSCEs never expire,
> because the test doesn't change significantly. Soon it will be academic
anyway.
>
>
> >By not doing so, we're back in the same situation that existed from
1991-1994.
>
> Which leads me to believe that the FCC is finally getting its way on the
Tech.
> >
> >It makes me wonder whether or not the FCC staff that worked on
restructuring
> >actually understood the rules.
>
> The NPRM and NPRM Errata sure made me wonder.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

It was awful. People should have been fired for issueing that crap.

1354. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:50:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <miHX8.5273$N%5.275268763@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49062

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2DED4C.2624F1EF@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2C3517.2C85C6D0@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > > You must have had a convenient lapse of your own....F1DR?
> > > >
> > > > That's what "I goofed" meant. Translation: I made a mistake, I got
it
> > > > wrong.
> > >
> > > I understand that. Your comment on my memory just seems peculiar
after
> > > your own lapse. Do you remember everything you've written or said
after
> > > several years?
> >
> > A typo is now a memory problem?
> >
> > Keep digging that hole.
>
> Your hole. You dig.
>
> Once is a typo.

Dave, I did the search, then wrote it down on a crib sheet incorrectly.
That is a "typographical error." I posted it in several replies to your
usual smug "my stuff don't stink" replies.

The next day you said that Ham XX doesn't exist. Welp, you talked to him, I
talked to him, so he must exist. I went back and searched again and saw
that I omitted a letter of his call. I corrected the error.

Of course, now that he exists, and Dennis posted the French authorizations
showing he was correct, you don't have a leg.

So get back to digging that hole so you can bury your stinking stuff.

1355. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:51:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mjHX8.5275$R16.275392677@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49063

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2E5512.B794FE0C@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020711074005.19575.00002928@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > >
> > > >The fact that you showed up in my logs once still does not qualify
you
> > > >as a DXer.
> > >
> > > Did he send you proof that he had the privileges to operate on the
> > frequency he
> > > used before he worked you?
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> > No one tipped me off that he was out of band. Was he?
>
> Did you think Ed was addressing you like you thought I was addressing
> you in my comment to Len?
>
> Dave K8MN

Was he out of band?

1356. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:53:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TkHX8.5276$9%5.275228785@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49064

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712081302.07640.00000024@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >No one tipped me off that he was out of band. Was he?
>
> He told you here about a dozen times that he was not operating outside of
his
> band, Brian. Are you being forgetful or disengenuous?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, I don't know Dave's 10M authorizations of 1990-1991.

Please post them so I'll know.

1357. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:54:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tmHX8.5277$636.275475817@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49065

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711074352.19575.00002931@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dave's operating in question was only 2 years ago, Ed, not "decades" ago.
>
> 2002-1985=2? Must be that new math you bragged about in another post.

So Dave was in Tanzania in 1985?

> But either way; why are you so obsessed with operating practices that
occurred
> years ago? Have you run out of current issues to discuss?

We've beat the current dead horse issue to a pulp. I fear that someone will
notify the SPCA.

1358. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:56:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <DnHX8.5278$X36.275522697@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49067

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agk0jh$6d0$0@208.207.71.171...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >Dave's operating in question was only 2 years ago, Ed, not "decades"
ago.
> >
> > 2002-1985=2? Must be that new math you bragged about in another post.
> >
> > But either way; why are you so obsessed with operating practices that
occurred
> > years ago? Have you run out of current issues to discuss?
>
>
> Brian put himself on the shelf years ago. He's still there making
> copious amounts of noise.

Disgruntled old farts such as yourself are ubiquitous to amateur radio.

1359. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 20:56:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <poHX8.5279$y46.275562670@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49066

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711074204.19575.00002930@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Are you having trouble
> >following the conversation, Ed, or just being a jerk?
>
> Part of your superior "morals" code, Brian?

You would wear out Mother Teresa's patience. Are her morals intact?

1360. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:17:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2F476E.95585628@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49068

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2DED4C.2624F1EF@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2C3517.2C85C6D0@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > You must have had a convenient lapse of your own....F1DR?
> > > > >
> > > > > That's what "I goofed" meant. Translation: I made a mistake, I got
> it
> > > > > wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > I understand that. Your comment on my memory just seems peculiar
> after
> > > > your own lapse. Do you remember everything you've written or said
> after
> > > > several years?
> > > >
> > > A typo is now a memory problem?
> > >
> > > Keep digging that hole.
> > >
> > Your hole. You dig.
> >
> > Once is a typo.
>
> Dave, I did the search, then wrote it down on a crib sheet incorrectly.
> That is a "typographical error." I posted it in several replies to your
> usual smug "my stuff don't stink" replies.

Writing something on a crib sheet incorrectly doesn't even qualify as a
typographical error. Typing one thing when you intend to write
something else is a typo. What you did was write it down and then
submit it here several times. You did not make a typing error according
to your story.

> The next day you said that Ham XX doesn't exist. Welp, you talked to him, I
> talked to him, so he must exist. I went back and searched again and saw
> that I omitted a letter of his call. I corrected the error.

Yes, eventually you did that.

>
> Of course, now that he exists, and Dennis posted the French authorizations
> showing he was correct, you don't have a leg.

I have a couple of them. I didn't break French regs. French hams did.

> So get back to digging that hole so you can bury your stinking stuff.

You want some help getting out of the hole in which you're mired?

Dave K8MN

1361. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:18:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2F47B3.938278B7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49069

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2E5512.B794FE0C@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020711074005.19575.00002928@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > > >
> > > > >The fact that you showed up in my logs once still does not qualify
> you
> > > > >as a DXer.
> > > >
> > > > Did he send you proof that he had the privileges to operate on the
> > > frequency he
> > > > used before he worked you?
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
> > > No one tipped me off that he was out of band. Was he?
> >
> > Did you think Ed was addressing you like you thought I was addressing
> > you in my comment to Len?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Was he out of band?

Did you think Ed was addressing you like you though I was addressing you
in my comment to Len?

Dave K8MN

1362. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:19:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hJHX8.5284$Ym6.276740460@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49070

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2DE648.AC326F7E@earthlink.net...

> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020710065143.01757.00000192@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >> > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > > >> > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is
your
> > > >business,
> > >
> > > >Damn! I better go check those
> > > >actuarial
> > > >> > tables.
> > >
> > > >> I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> > > >> overseas in 1985.
> > >
> > > >So?
> > >
> > > So my use of the term "decades ago" is reasonable.
> > >
> > > Having trouble following the conversation, Brian, or just being wise?
> >
> > It is unreasonable. The questionable practices that I've called Dave on
> > were while he was in Tanzania (i.e., 2 years ago). Are you having
trouble
> > following the conversation, Ed, or just being a jerk?
>
> I've already told you that I've not worked French stations above 50.200
> during ANY of my assignments which began in 1985. I arrived in Tanzania
> in August, 1998.

And left in 2000?

Regardless, Ed's strong point isn't math.

And the French authorization starts at 50.200 through 51.200 MHz.

Thus, all French hams you worked at 50.110-50.130 were outside of their
authorization, just as F1FDR informed you while you were still in Tanzania
working them at 50.110-50-130.

You've been able to deny it for over two years. Poor gullible Ed even bought in to your deny and delay tactics. Reminds me of all the stupid Bill Clinton supporters.

Dave, congratulations on winning the moldy Wonder Bread award and taking naive Ed down with you. He probably didn't deserve it, but that's what happens when you pick the wrong friends (cronies).

73 and Sayonara,

Brian/N0iMD

Last this Subject, unless you want to continue it. Just don't respond and I'll figure it out.

1363. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:23:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <oNHX8.5289$Dq6.276970682@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49072

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2DE811.65705EC2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2C32FF.F550A75F@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D2A5E56.6BC1E40B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20020708074031.23765.00004029@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > > > > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is
your
> > > > business,
> > > > >
> > > > > Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check
those
> > > actuarial
> > > > > tables.
> > > > >
> > > > I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> > > > overseas in 1985.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > So?
> > >
> > Strong response, Brian. I guess you told me.
> >
> Dave, I didn't measure your response too highly on the relevant scale,
> either. So try this:
> >
> Did you remember talking to the French Ham, especially after I reposted
your
> exchange with him?
>
Yep.
>
```

> > Did you remember him telling you the French 6M
> > authorization, especailly after I reposted your exchange with him?
>
> Yep.
>
> > Did you
> > read Dennis' info on the French 6M authorizations?
>
> Nope.

Step 1. Bury Head in Sand.

> > Did you recall that I am
> > in your callbook, especailly after I reposted your exchange with me?
>
> Oh, especailly then.
>
> > The United Negro College Fund has a saying that you might consider, "The
> > mind is a terrible thing to waste."
>
> ...or, in your case, "The mind is a terrible thing". Do you remember
> what I told you in 1998? Do you recall the piece of advice I gave you
> in 1999? How about the W3 who outlined his view of your rants during
> that period?

Shrill Steve, your DXer Croney?

> > You can dodge and hide all day long behind that poor memory of yours,
but
> > your forte' appears to be predicting the future.
>
> Can you do that, Brian? How many fingers am I holding up?
> Maybe you can do better on the past. What have you proven by
> instigating three or four incarnations of your pointless mission on who
> I worked on 6m from Tanzania? Would it be that some French stations
> will break their regs in order to work a new country on six?

That the ugly American will work anybody who shows up, no matter how far out
of band.

> > The important topic you
> > bring to the table is if I'm going to spray paint your car. Am I?
>
> You tell me, Earl Scheib.

Dave, I'm not in the auto repainting business. I'm not interested in spray
painting your car. Its pathetic that you would ever have made such an
accusation.

Last.

1364. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:24:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2F48F2.48100DE8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49071

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020711074204.19575.00002930@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >Are you having trouble
> > >following the conversation, Ed, or just being a jerk?
> >
> > Part of your superior "morals" code, Brian?
>
> You would wear out Mother Teresa's patience. Are her morals intact?

She's defunct. You may have to wait for the CD.

Dave K8MN

1365. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:30:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <eUHX8.5295$bn6.276754661@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49073

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712075621.07640.00000017@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > If your morals require you to police US hams working their proper
> >assignments while accusing them of being the cause of other hams in the
> >world *possibly* violating their own, strange indeed.
>
> Actually, I read it that he wants us to do so on rrap, 2 to 15 years after
the
> fact.
>
> Now THAT is strange!
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, you are known by the Cronies you keep, so choose your Cronies wisely.

Reminds me of the Mike Deignan Callsign debacle. His "friend" loaned him
the use of a Hawaiin PO Box.

Sad, very sad.

1366. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:31:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4VHX8.5296$iw6.277326883@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49074

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2DEDF4.755CD159@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2C3585.1BF2449D@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > Actually Brian, that is what you've unsuccessfully attempted with me.
> > > That hasn't worked but you've certainly succeeded in making yourself
> > > look pretty silly.
> >
> > Dave, you just have a very loose set of morals. Mine are more
stringent.
> > If you find that silly, so be it.
>
> There, you've done it again.
>
> And once again, you've failed to define your mission. What you need to
> do, Billy Graham, is address the morals of those French hams who were
> operating where they weren't supposed to be. QSL?
>
> Yes, I find your comment silly.
>
> Dave K8MN

Noted. Last this Subject.

1367. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:32:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5WHX8.5301$Rj6.276537704@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49075

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agl3dcgnn0@208.206.142.89...

> Even that might be preferable to being a damn fool.

Dick, I've heard that there's no fool like an Old Fool.

1368. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:33:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EWHX8.5302$Jx6.277419207@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49076

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2E54AC.E01C452E@earthlink.net...

> Yeah, I'd think you'd have embarrassment enough for a lifetime during
> your most recent "mission".
>
> Dave K8MN

I'm cool with it. Last this Subject.

1369. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:34:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yXHX8.5303$5y6.277443613@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49077

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712073551.07640.00000008@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> I don't see the conflict, Brian. I operated where I was supposed to
be.
> >> Some French hams broke their regs. You were not involved at all.
>
> >Thank God! I would be so embarassed.
>
> Are you also embarrassed about choosing to involve yourself in it 2 to 15
years
> after the fact?

Just trying to help Dave along in Good Amateur Practice.

Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.

1370. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:35:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XYHX8.5305$Qy6.277492031@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49078

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711075145.19575.00002936@mb-dd.aol.com...

> Being very confused necessarily doesn't demostrate lack of character.
Unless
> you know yourself to have been lying, that would not be a logical
conclusion
> for you to have reached.

Ed, I've been vindicated. Finally. Get over it.

Brian

Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.

1371. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:36:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hZHX8.5306$Cv6.277291174@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49079

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agk92cnrn0@208.207.71.171...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > I'm feeling fine. Why do you ask? Or rather, What are you trying to
> > insinuate? This wouldn't be a little attempt at character
assassination,
> > would it?
>
>
> I imagine he was wondering about your obsession with the actuarial
> tables...

At your age, you otta be.

1372. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:37:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <H_HX8.5307$wC6.277733441@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49080

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2DE8DE.4DF96AB8@earthlink.net...

> > > That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the
years,
> > > has it?
> >
> > Chip? I'm surprised its even there. I Love chips. Now I'll have to go
> > find some dip. No, wait, that's your part.
>
> Thanks for opportunity. Okay, you're a dip.

Whatever. You blew it. It's over.

1373. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:40:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <X0IX8.5308$1C6.277701740@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49081

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711074754.19575.00002935@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >So moral questions are meant to goad?
>
> I don't believe that your asking me what I would do in a situation I have
never
> been in to be all that "moral," Brian. It is more a waste of everyone's
time.
>
>
> >No wonder there's a problem in America today.
>
> If you feel your "moral" question is of the same magnitude as the problems
in
> America today, you have a dangerously bloated sense of self importance.
>
> >> Does that help?
>
> > Immensely.
>
> I am pleased for you, Brian. I hope you feel better now.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Dave admitted that he never worked a French Ham on 6M that was within their authorization. Perhaps this will help him on his way to "Good Amateur Practice."

I've been vindicated.

Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.

1374. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:47:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <y7IX8.5311$PJ6.278201562@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49082

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agkbd2ssm0@208.207.71.171...

> > Bert, many year ago (in the early 70s), I worked with a CBer.

Dick, be a little more forthcoming with your story.

You WERE a CBer!

1375. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:49:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7aIX8.5313$oK6.278239520@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49083

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712074539.07640.00000012@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Since I don't keep a working sound system on this computer, I didn't
> >follow your link, and since you did not state anywhere the speed of the
> >EME transmission, I did as you always do, and assumed it to be the QRSS
> >mode they mostly use.
>
> So you did all that commenting on something you never even heard?

This is the part where Jim would ask him his last time he worked a Novice on
CW.

1376. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:51:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RbIX8.5314$PM6.278388070@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49084

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711075340.19575.00002938@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dick, a little strong? Is that like a little pregnant?
>
> Strong is a term that has an infinite number of states. Pregnant is
binary.
> They are not the same, Brian.

Not in Larry's case. It's binary as well.

1377. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:55:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NfIX8.5315$CP6.278564010@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49085

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711080234.19575.00002945@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> I have to wonder how interested we would have been if we had been required
to
> pass a test before we got to play with them. Human nature being what it
is and
> all...

Imagine if every baseball came with an exam. Our National Sport might be
Croquet.

1378. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 21:58:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nilX8.5316$%P6.278589777@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49086

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711075634.19575.00002941@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive is
> >> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"
>
> >Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That would
> >make him a congenital liar.
>
> He could be confused. Are you assassignating his character?

Alzheimers?

> >I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.
>
> Is this also part of your superior morals, Brian?

I'm actually listening for it. Shoule be pretty loud even here in Ohio.

1379. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:00:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TjIX8.5317$WR6.278715645@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49087

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711181348.27364.00000021@mb-mg.aol.com...
> In article <20020711075634.19575.00002941@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive
is
> >>> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"
> >
> >>Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That
would
> >>make him a congenital liar.
> >
> >He could be confused. Are you assassignating his character?
>
> How does one "assassignate" anything? Is that a combination of
> "assassination" and "assignation?" How odd, perhaps perverse.
>
> What is Hare's obsession with butting in on word play between
> others? Is it to trivialize any comments in here, to keep
> discussion away from truly important topics? Or is it just a
> meaningless rebuke made after being unable to cause a desired
> effect...such as assassination of characters?
>
> >>I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.
> >
> >Is this also part of your superior morals, Brian?
>
> As in "go soak your head" (Carroll) and "you're a wanker" (Roll),
> it is the Word of Extras.

Ed just plays "dumb" when it comes to his Cronies. Hear no Evil, See no
Evil...

1380. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:17:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IzIX8.5364$i57.279685462@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49089

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agkau0rqn0@208.207.71.171...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:aghg51$h94$0@208.207.71.176...
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Bert Craig wrote:
> > > > > I'll agree that Larry comes
> > > > > on a little strong but, believe it or not, he's really got your
best
> > > > > interests at heart here.
> > > >
> > > > By saying that everyone is too lazy to learn Morse code without a
> > > > Morse code requirement? How is being called "too lazy to learn" in
> > > > the best interest of the person being belittled?
> > >
> > > Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive
is
> > > reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"
> >
> > Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That
would
> > make him a congenital liar.
>
> Really?

Do you agree that you are "confused" as Croney Ed has suggested? That would explain a lot, but I don't think you are confused. I think you are a mean, little, little man.

I guess that when you made the statement that you just didn't
> have time to study code to upgrade -well before the restructutring-
> because you had to babysit the kids, but you had plenty of time to post
> endlessly on rrap that makes ME a liar. I think the readers who were
> here at that time will see it otherwise.

Dick, I intend for my second marriage and my second crop of kids to go well. Out of necessity, I devote a lot of time to my career. Out of love I devote a lot of time to my family.

Why do you wish to harm my family with inane code practice?

> So who is the congenital liar?

Dick, make no mistake about it - YOU ARE.

> Just because later restructuring gave you the whole enchilada with your
> Novice code test changes nothing.

Liar. I don't have the whole enchilada. Go look on QRZ.COM

> > I have spent an enormous amount of my own free time learning the Morse
Code.
> > I passed the code exam with 100% copy. Because I didn't get anything
out of
> > my time invested, Dick says I'm lazy and didn't have time to learn it.
>
> Wrong yet again, you got nothing out of it because you didn't want to
> get anything out of it.

Sure I did. I wanted to be successful at being a CW Novice and going on to
at least 13 WPM General.

> Anyone who did -and many did- copied code
> practice from SOME source until they could get the 13wpm test passed
> -surely no terrible task in the days when the test included 10 EASILY
> answered written questions. I recall many applicants passing the 13wpm
> test by retesting largely on guesses until they happened to get one they
> could luck into. But hey, it was the rules of the day, and we do follow
> the rules, don't we? It wasn't up to me to make it accurate. Sorry you
> were sitting on the sidelines sucking your thumb. Most hams would have
> recognized the opportunity,grabbed it, and pressed on with life.Most
> did. That's another reason why I reject the "coded" argument re the
> garbage frequencies. Many who passed a code test are anything *but*
> coded.

Now you're advocating the "Code Tape Extra" without an ounce of On-the-Air
experience? You'll do or say anything to get at me, won't you? Which is
part of your lying career.

> Why the heck do you think I'm so disgusted with characters like you?
> You can't even accept "easy"!

The actuarial tables will take care of your disgust.

> > I'm still waiting to hear the "POP" sound when Dick pulls his head out.
>
> You'll turn old, gray and senile, waiting. It's gotta be there first,
> and sorry, it isn't.

I'll read it in QST, "Liar Dick joins SK's."

73, Brian

1381. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:18:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7BIX8.5372$X57.279726439@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49090

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agkb4t$s7s$0@208.207.71.171...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > news:H6YW8.15529$AK.1327@news.webusenet.com...
> >
> > > Sure, I could learn to send CW at 13 wpm and I probably will soon.
But
> > not
> > > because Larry bullied me into doing it. I just took offense to his
> > remarks
> > > for making a generalzation based upon his bias for "lesser" hams.
> > >
> > > And after thinking about things, I'm not going to let Larry bully me
out
> > of
> > > this NG.
> > >
> > > 73's Bert
> > >
> > > John
> > > K2LSX
> >
> > John, stand tough. There are a few on here whose specialty is bullying.
> > Don't buy any. Ditto his "superior ham" and "real ham" garbage.
> >
> > I was about to exit the group because of the constant digs on my
integrity,
> > my sanity, and my character.
> >
> > I changed my mind. They really hate it.
>
>
> BS. You're a character, all right. If you have integrity and character
> it isn't obvious. I'll not judge your sanity, but it could easily be
> called into question.

You've proven yourself to be a liar. Deal with it.

Be truthful from here on, and one day you might earn our trust again.

1382. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:21:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712182138.07692.00000054@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49092

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.

I am just curious, how many lasts does it take you to reach your last?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1383. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:22:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cEIX8.5384$C97.279950470@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49091

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D2DA4BE.C29D46DE@mailcity.net...

> I and most others here are 10 times the ham you will ever be, if
> for nothing else I don't determine if someone is really a ham
> because they operate CW or not.
> Because of your attitude you are the worst kind of ham, I would
> even say you are more a cber than ham. As you say, ham radio is a
> state of mind, and your state of mind is that of a cber so why
> don't you operate on 11 meters, you would fit in real well there.

Larry makes fun of the way 4-Landers talk.

1384. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:22:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712182248.07692.00000055@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49093

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Even that might be preferable to being a damn fool.
>
>Dick, I've heard that there's no fool like an Old Fool.

A statement definately first made by a young fool . . .

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1385. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:25:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712182515.07692.00000057@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49094

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>You would wear out Mother Teresa's patience. Are her morals intact?

I don't know, Brian. Do you? I have never had any interaction with Mother Teresa. Have you? Her morals are not my concern. Are they yours? Is this another one of your rhetorical questions?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1386. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:26:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712182604.07692.00000058@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49095

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Disgruntled old farts such as yourself are ubiquitous to amateur radio.

Is this part of your moral approach to ham radio?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1387. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:27:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712182700.07692.00000060@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49096

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Please post them so I'll know.

Don't you think a good time to have learned them was before you made dozens and dozens of posts on the subject?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1388. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:27:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9JIX8.5403$Md7.280214658@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49097

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agk85k$m1c$2@208.207.71.171...
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <20020711073058.19575.00002921@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> > writes:
> >
> > >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> > >
> > >>But I do clearly recall how upset some local Conditionals
> > >>were about a false rumor that they'd have to retest.
> > >
> > >I bet most Extras would be upset about a false rumor that they would
have to
> > >retest. :-)
> >
> > Really? Taking a 5 wpm receiving code test and 3 written tests from a
published
> > pool looks like a piece of cake to me. Biggest problem is gettin to a VE
> > session.
>
> I think we should insist on a 20wpm code test, too. Wouldn't want to go
> to sleep in the middle of the 5wpm code test.

You'll have to copy the newly instituted "CAM Code." Its been published,
but you're on your own to find it and learn it. Gud Lk.

1389. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:28:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712182850.07692.00000062@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49098

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>Writing something on a crib sheet incorrectly doesn't even qualify as a
>typographical error.

It is the same sort of error. I knew what he meant. Didn't you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1390. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:30:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <eMIX8.5405$7f7.280302799@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49099

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711073302.19575.00002922@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> My answer is no.
>
> >Ed, why did you answer Larry's leading question that was meant to goad?
>
> Because he doesn't ask so many of them as you do. Time will tell whether
he
> likes my answer or whether he will tell everyone that I didn't answer it,
or
> that the answer was really "yes."

If your answer was ambiguous, then perhaps he will make those claims.

It won't be the first time, you know?

1391. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:31:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712183137.07692.00000063@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49100

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I agree, Dick. (Gasp!) This is why I believe that those who choose to
>become
>> hams are not doing so in order not to learn anything.

>So what makes you think it's an all-or-nothing affair?

I don't, Dick. But I think that most people who want to become hams choose to do so in order to learn something. I think they pass their tests with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and hoping a few of the tough questions don't appear on their exams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1392. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:32:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MNIX8.5406$_e7.280293408@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49201

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711181347.27364.00000020@mb-mg.aol.com...
> In article <20020711073302.19575.00002922@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>> My answer is no.
> >
> >>Ed, why did you answer Larry's leading question that was meant to goad?
> >
> >Because he doesn't ask so many of them as you do.
>
> Hare has a long history in here of "replying" by means of more
> questions. Some of those appear very much to be mere goads.
>
> >Time will tell whether he
> >likes my answer or whether he will tell everyone that I didn't answer it,
or
> >that the answer was really "yes."
>
> None of us need hold our breath waiting for an "answer."
>
> Goading is just goading...unless it is via the Word of Extras.

Now Len, was that meant to Goad?

I guess the Goose and the Gander get equal treatment.

1393. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:35:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dRIX8.5407$Xk7.280673466@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49202

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2F48F2.48100DE8@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020711074204.19575.00002930@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >Are you having trouble
> > > >following the conversation, Ed, or just being a jerk?
> > >
> > > Part of your superior "morals" code, Brian?
> >
> > You would wear out Mother Teresa's patience. Are her morals intact?
>
> She's defunct. You may have to wait for the CD.
>
> Dave K8MN

Even in death, you try her patience.

1394. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:41:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yWIX8.5408$7o7.280882286@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49203

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712182248.07692.00000055@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Even that might be preferable to being a damn fool.
> > >
> > >Dick, I've heard that there's no fool like an Old Fool.
> >
> > A statement definately first made by a young fool . . .
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI

Actually, it was made by the Old Fool's wife.

1395. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:46:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4%IX8.5410$3t7.281195820@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49204

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712182700.07692.00000060@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Please post them so I'll know.
>
> Don't you think a good time to have learned them was before you made
dozens and
> dozens of posts on the subject?
>

What's wrong with comparing notes? What have you got?

1396. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:47:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <00JX8.5411$6u7.281263370@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49205

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712182850.07692.00000062@mb-cq.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >Writing something on a crib sheet incorrectly doesn't even qualify as a
> >typographical error.
>
> It is the same sort of error. I knew what he meant. Didn't you?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Let it go, Ed. Your obsession with this is getting strange. I've already
said, "LAST."

1397. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 22:51:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Q3JX8.5412$rw7.281415854@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49206

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020712061856.07789.00000120@mb-fo.aol.com...
> In article <bB5X8.1121$Mj3.71942430@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Ed:
> >>
> >> Sooo, then, you're saying that if I had found someone's ham ticket
> >> lying on the ground back in 1981, that I could just put it in my wallet
> >> and start operating on-the-air, using it as a valid authorization to
> >> operate on the ham bands?
> >>
> >> Keep in mind, if your answer is anything other than "Yes," then your
> >> example *was* irrelevant, wasn't it?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Larry, is that a leading question meant to goad?
>
> Brian:
>
> No, it was a simple question meant to be answered. Ed answered
> "No," therefore indicating that his example was, in fact, irrelevant --
> regardless of the spin he tried to apply after the "no" answer.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Damn! Ed won't answer my simple questions. Whazzup whi dat?

1398. Re: International boycott of K3LT and his CW-boyfriends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 23:45:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MSJX8.53$IB5.9974883@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49207

"Angel D" <nocw@atall.com> wrote in message
news:2002712-21404-183904@foorum.com...
>
>
> CW is a mode for gay people. CW is not a RADIO mode. RADIO means without
> WIRES and TELEGRAPHY was invented for WIRED STATIONS. There is no reason
to
> use CW today (PSK31 is far better), except if you are gay.

We get enough false Pro-CW stuff without this additional garbage.

Go blow smoke somewhere else.

1399. Re: Help us fight Red Tape!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 23:49:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QVJX8.57$zD5.10096833@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49208

"Neoklis" <neoklis@users.sourceforge.net> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.33.0207102004320.7404-100000@neok.home...
> Hi!
>
> Here in Cyprus (5B4) we are trying to negotiate with indifferent
> authorities to secure the same priviledges with European
> Union hams and to keep priviledges we already have, since
> some are threatened due to ignorance of the nature of ham radio.

If your union dues are paid up, you might want to take it up with the shop steward. They're generally helpful.

> We need information on the following topics at this stage:
>
> 1. What are the prospects of the 70 Mhz (4m) band in Europe (and
> the rest of the world?) There is no mention of the amateur radio
> service in the "European Table of Frequency Allocations and
> Utilizations" of the European Radiocommunications Committee (ERC).
> All European nations are supposed to aligne with these proposals
> and Amateur Radio is not mentioned in the 68.00-70.45 Mhz zone
> which is the nearest to 4m.

The UK has had 70 MHz authorizations for years. Try them at:
uk.radio.amateur

73, Brian

1400. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-12 23:51:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qYJX8.61$5G5.10262837@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49209

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020712061856.07789.00000119@mb-fo.aol.com...
> In article <3D2D04E9.63E48E77@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> That all depends, Ed -- what the heck are "priveleges" (sic)?
> > >
> > >The lege you sit on in the prive?
> >
> > Cecil:
> >
> > Hmmm -- that's what I thought, also. So Ed had to get a ham license
> > in order to get his prive ledge to sit on? Man, they sure do things
> > wierd in his part of the country! All we do down here in Delaware is cut
> > a nice hole in a big, wide board!
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT

I thought you cut an Extra hole for a friend.

1401. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:03:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <s7KX8.67$pX5.11325779@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49210

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710080854.01567.00000013@mb-fz.aol.com...

> Does being older make you right? I don't think so.

Jim, be careful with that. Its what a lot of the Extra arguments are based upon. If you were to negate it, Dick would have nothing.

1402. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:06:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712200651.01580.00000057@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 49211

In article <agg3jd$1psq$1@heap.juniper.net>, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) writes:

>The original topic wasn't
>about each of your contacts, it was about what you'd do when a person
>told you a large number of people were operating out of band. I personally
>find that an interesting enough assertion to look it up, not because I
>particularly care (it is the job of the French PTT to take care of such
>things, and if they don't care then who am I to be bothered?) but certainly
>for its value as trivia.

Makes sense.
>
>And, of course, I'd absolutely want to look it up when I saw it on rrap
>since I also understand that the people observed operating out of band were
>CW operators, and I'd been lead to believe here that CW operators didn't
>do stuff like that.

Were they on CW? I don't recall that part.

>I'm now forced to assume that the latter only included
>good old red-blooded American CW operators and not those annoying French
>guys.

Mon Dieu!

>>When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the bands.
>>That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility for
>>the
>>conduct of others.
>
>Sounds like a fine plan to me.

Me too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1403. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:06:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712200652.01580.00000058@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 49212

In article <pan.2002.07.12.08.19.20.772508.18782@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>On Fri, 12 Jul 2002 07:37:31 -0400, W1RFI wrote:
>> From: Clay N4AOX wyn@worldnet.att.net
>>
>>>I found Jon Bloom on the board of directors of WORLDCOM.
>>>How do you explain that?
>>
>> If Jon's name were Oinga Disklodhoffer, I might feel an explanation were
>> necessary.

Or Klem Kadiddlehopper.
>
>If my name were Oinga Disklodhoffer I'd just call myself "Jon Bloom" for
>short.
>
>Jon (Oinga)

Can we call ya Bruce to keep it clear?

73 de Jim "Bruce" N2EY

1404. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:06:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712200652.01580.00000059@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 49213

In article <20020712080157.07640.00000020@mb-cq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>You have to know that a ham was licensed in
>>a particular class before that date to know for sure.
>
>And even then, all you are sure of is that the ham demonstrated one time the
>ability to copy 80 characters correctly in a row or answer 7 out of 10
>questions correctly.

80 characters?

Passing for 20 wpm is at least 100 consecutive characters.
Passing for 13 wpm is at least 65 consecutive characters.
Passing for 5 wpm is at least 25 consecutive characters.
>
>There is no way to determine whether a ham can copy Morse code at 13 wpm >from his or her licensing history.

'zactly. But if there's any doubt, those of us who can will be glad to demonstrate.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1405. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:13:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QgKX8.70$i56.11951223@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49214

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710211758.00961.00000937@mb-bj.aol.com...

> >Or were you a junior member of a large team that took care of them?
>
> Is an E-5 a "junior member" of anything? Try telling a three-up-and-one-
> down in the US Army that they are merely a "junior member" of anything.

As an E-5 in the 1985 Air Force, I was put in a position vacated by a Major. A little over two years later as I PCSd to Korea, by job was divided and two Captains assumed my duties. One found it too difficult and was sent to mental health, then discharged.

As an E-5 I had more responsibility than anything I"m likely to encounter in the civilian world at any time in the remainder of my 2nd career.

1406. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:14:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <shKX8.71$e56.11947153@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49215

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711011700.06398.00000127@mb-fx.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 07/10/02 21:17 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020710211758.00961.00000937@mb-bj.aol.com>
>
> >No problem. You are welcome to rummage through our recycling can
> >any week before Monday (pick-up day in my neighborhood). All kinds
> >of "neat" things in there
>
> Dismembered parts of ex wives, no doubt.

What the hell is this? Steve, go get help.

1407. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:16:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fjKX8.72$SW5.11289916@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49216
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D2D8D78.7EA7A680@hotmail.com...
> Brain Rarey wrote:
> >
> > That's because DXing as it has become is a
> > CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
> > a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
> > over and over again.
>
> Sounds just like Field Day. :-)

Or any other pileup.

1408. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:21:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GoKX8.75$tm6.13054239@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49217

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712200652.01580.00000059@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <20020712080157.07640.00000020@mb-cq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:

> >And even then, all you are sure of is that the ham demonstrated one time
the
> >ability to copy 80 characters correctly in a row or answer 7 out of 10
> >questions correctly.
>
> 80 characters?
>
> Passing for 20 wpm is at least 100 consecutive characters.
> Passing for 13 wpm is at least 65 consecutive characters.
> Passing for 5 wpm is at least 25 consecutive characters.

Morse or Farnse?

1409. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:23:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <SpKX8.77$xj5.8812694@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49218

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712200652.01580.00000058@mb-fm.aol.com...

> Can we call ya Bruce to keep it clear?
>
> 73 de Jim "Bruce" N2EY

Jon is much too literate.

1410. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:27:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EtKX8.78$Ft6.13524274@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49219

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020712200651.01580.00000057@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <agg3jd$1psq$1@heap.juniper.net>, dennis@wawa.juniper.net
(Dennis
> Ferguson) writes:
>
> >The original topic wasn't
> >about each of your contacts, it was about what you'd do when a person
> >told you a large number of people were operating out of band. I
personally
> >find that an interesting enough assertion to look it up, not because I
> >particularly care (it is the job of the French PTT to take care of such
> >things, and if they don't care then who am I to be bothered?) but
certainly
> >for its value as trivia.
>
> Makes sense.
> >
> >And, of course, I'd absolutely want to look it up when I saw it on rrap
> >since I also understand that the people observed operating out of band
were
> >CW operators, and I'd been lead to believe here that CW operators didn't
> >do stuff like that.
>
> Were they on CW? I don't recall that part.

I think Dave was on SSB. I wasn't there, so you'll have to ask him.

> >I'm now forced to assume that the latter only included
> >good old red-blooded American CW operators and not those annoying French
> >guys.
>
> Mon Dieu!

Really?

> >>When I operate, I believe I am responsible for my own conduct on the
bands.
> >>That is enough for me; I don't feel any need to assume responsibility
for
> >>the
> >>conduct of others.
> >
> >Sounds like a fine plan to me.
>

> Me too.

Me too. That's why I don't intentionally work out of banders when I'm operating.

Its just not Good Amateur Practice.

1411. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 00:29:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TvKX8.81$xx6.13778291@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49220

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020708081042.23765.00004044@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Prove the ARRL told it 12 years ago.
>
> By my recollection, the use of Farnsworth timing in ARRL-VEC-administered
tests
> was not a secret. If your recollection is different, you are welcome to
prove
> me wrong.
>
> Just a few fast examples:
>
> Dec 1995 QST 74 Farnsworth Technique (sidebar to Product Review)
> (Product Review)
> Article: http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr9512.pdf
(1,269,918
> bytes)
> Keywords: MORSE TEACHING Bloom, Jon, KE3Z
> Apr 1990 QEX 8 A Standard for Morse Timing Using the Farnsworth Technique
> Bloom, Jon, KE3Z
> Apr 1990 QEX 8 The ARRL Morse Transmission Timing Standard (sidebar to A
> Standard for Morse Timing Using the Farnsworth Technique) Bloom, Jon, KE3Z
>
> Wanna' bet that the discussion of Farnsworth timing is found in the
license
> manuals of that era?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed,

Ed, discussion of Farnsworth is not a decree that it WILL BE USED IN
TESTING.

1412. Re: International boycott of K3LT and his CW-boyfriends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 02:16:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712221620.07535.00000127@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49221

>Subject: International boycott of K3LT and his CW-boyfriends
>From: Angel D nocw@atall.com
>Date: 07/12/02 16:40 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <2002712-21404-183904@foorum.com>

Under an anonymous screen name, Lennie (or one of his secret admirers)
said:

>CW is a mode for gay people. CW is not a RADIO mode. RADIO means without
>WIRES and TELEGRAPHY was invented for WIRED STATIONS. There is no reason to
>use CW today (PSK31 is far better), except if you are gay.

If being "gay" means NOT being what ever it is you are, then I will have to
reassess my opinions on buttblasters.

Butthead.

1413. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 02:18:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ago2l7$1c2$0@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 49222

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Even that might be preferable to being a damn fool.
> > >
> > >Dick, I've heard that there's no fool like an Old Fool.
> >
> > A statement definately first made by a young fool . . .
> >

Who cannot grasp that anyone old has seen lots like him come and go.

1414. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 02:54:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712225449.01458.00000009@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 49223

In article <uiu3oil0k4vgb9@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> writes:

>Looks like some of us just might have to start a cw net on 80 in the
>evenings! ;)
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>ps - but, of course, someone COULD be using a keyboard. I wonder if anyone
>has programmed a Morse sending system that puts some 'swing' into the fist?

That is not a problem insofar as writing computer code for a
computerized keyboard-driven keyer. The trick is to incorporate
a random-number generator subroutine into the code flow
between the stored character string memory and the output.

Note: Random-number routines have been common for years
and are used in games and other applications requiring a form
of randomness. It is an interesting sub-division discipline of
mathematical theory involving statistics and is usually taught
as part of semi-advanced computer languages. The random-
number generation subroutines can be biased to have their
results peak "off-center" from a normal distribution of near-true
randomness.

To incorporate such randomness or "swing" into an electronic
keyer requires only in insertion of the random-generating sub-
routine between the character's individual sequence memory
and the output. Ideally, the randomness is a controllable
fraction of a correctly-keyed sequence timing, more conveniently
included as a delay in time of off-to-on or on-to-off timing of the
true or ideal "on" or "off" changes.

Adding bias to the randomness could also be controlled so that
dashes might be longer than dots (by a small amount) or vice-
versa. This would mimic the human operator's habits of not-
quite-perfect timing. Adjustability of the bias as well as the
fraction of precise timing could allow simulation of various
human operators.

It's a straight-forward method of simulation using known
computer coding techniques. One volume in the famous
Donald A. Knuth 3-volume series on computer coding will
explain the theory behind random number generation routines.

The question is WHY anyone would bother to try this other than for individual intellectual amusement. There is so little commercial application for on-off codings that it must be just a hobby exercise.

There CAN be an intellectual challenge in decoding morse codes because humans are NOT perfect and do not time their on-off codes precisely. The challenge there is to find the variations in timing and adjust for them in order to distinguish between dots, dashes, and spaces. I've seen what one other programmer can do, have observed the results as being successful. That was just an individual-challenge coding problem. It can be done. It would be less difficult to introduce randomness in the precise timing of a computerized morse code generator to simulate a human's particular sending.

1415. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 02:54:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712225450.01458.00000010@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 49225

In article <20020712021631.12781.00000112@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ who thinks he is Dave Heil) writes:

>>>"Ham Radio" is no longer published. It has ceased to exist.
>>
>>"Publishing" in the modern idiom includes the manufacture and sales of CDs.
>>
>>Since CQ sells collections of all articles in the 22 year history of Ham
>>Radio magazine, that is PUBLISHING and it still exists today
>
> You know, Lennie....with a stretch like that you should consider a
>career as a professional basball pitcher.

I've never played "basball." Played baseball, though. :-)

So, Mr. Webster, how do you define CD-ROM disks being sold on the market today? Try explaining that CDs "aren't published items" to the copyright lawyers and US law. Do you need a link to the US government's regulations on copyrights?

>>Your explanation is defunct. HR is still being published.
>
> The harder you try to cover your tracks, the deeper you dig yourself,
>Lennie.
>
> "Ham Radio" magazine is no longer in publication. It is being reprinted
>(albeit in CD form), but it is NOT being "published".

Poor baby, still doesn't understand the term "published" or its application. Worse yet, the poor feller thinks he's someone else...

>>You cannot denigrate anything you don't understand. HR had technical
>>content of amateur radio technology.
>
> Now wait a minute, Your Twofacedness...YOU have been telling us for years
>that there is no such thing as an "amateur" technolgy...You quite adamandtly
>assert that radio physics is radio physics.

Poor baby is still confused. :-)

> Are you NOW asserting that there is an "amatuer" technology and a
>"non-amatuer" technology? Does RF now travel differently when emmited by an
>Amateur Radio station as opposed to one of commercial design?

I have no idea what is "amatuer" technology or "non-amatuer" technology. I know the physics of electronics and the propagation of electromagnetic waves...I know the specific

aspects of radio technology that is used in amateur radio.

Most amateur radio transmitters ARE of commercial design. :-)

There is no difference in the basic principles of radio physics as
it is applied to different USES of radio equipment defined by man-
made laws. Unfortunately, so many radio amateurs have so
little knowledge of basics in radio that they think the only
"correct" technology is that shown in ARRL publications.

>>>Your last stuff was garbled, Len.
>>
>>...only because you cannot understand it. Understood.
>
> You forgot the question mark, Lennie. Of course your night school
>education probably skipped punctuation.

Still on this "denigration of night school" kick, ey? Too bad, since
college courses are still college courses regardless of the time of
day or night they are taught. The only difference is accreditation.

College credit courses at night are a very convenient time for all
those who must work during daytime hours.

> And what 's to understand, Lennie? You're an idiot, you write trash, and
>your posting are meant to do nothing but denigrate Amateur Radio and Amateur
>Radio Operators.

"Idiot?" I doubt that although my sanity may be questioned when
I am trying to explain the obvious to those who cannot possibly
understand unless someone in uniform or wearing a suit of
credentials explains it to them.

"Write trash?" I've written professionally on several different subjects
but none of them concerned sanitation departments or refuse
collecting. You must have someone else in mind... :-)

"Denigrate amateur radio?" Not at all. There are certain regulations
in US amateur radio which I would prefer to be changed, but I think
(and have stated many times) that amateur radio is a fine hobby.

"Denigrate amateur radio operators?" Only certain ones, most
notably those who are "pre-restructuring amateur extras" that
emphasize morse code skill over everything else in ham radio.
It is the INDIVIDUAL that may be a target of negative criticsm,
not the hobby.

1416. New Code Test Question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 02:54:50 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ago4po$3dg$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 49228

We are having a R.A.C.E.S. exercise tomorrow and a group
of us went to the Indiana State's mobile command center for
a tour this afternoon. This is run by the IN State EMA and the
IN State R.A.C.E.S. We talked about upgrading of licenses
and one of the hams there said that he knew someone who
like me knows code but can't hear the code. And the VEs
allowed him to take a morse code "sending" test and passed
him. Is this really possible or are they just blowing smoke
up my mirror?

BTW we're not talking about someone deaf, just someone
who can't pick out by ear. If it's for real I'll be ready next
week for the test "NO SWEAT"

Opinions anyone?
--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1417. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 02:54:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712225452.01458.00000011@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 49224

In article <3D2E5680.1A995A0D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D2DEB17.AE5636D6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >> >> >> It doesn't matter. They're still in business--unlike "Ham Radio"
>> >> >> >> magazine. On what newsstand can I find the July 2002 issue of
>"Ham
>> >> >> >> Radio"?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >You can request reprints from ANY address. :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> That's wayyyy too subtle, Cecil. :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The average PCTA in here can't distinguish subtlety due to the
>> >> >> overwhelming emotional bias for code.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> $150 + $7 shipping and handling gets anyone a copy of all the
>> >> >> articles in Ham Radio Magazine for its 22 year history. Folks can
>> >> >> then make all the personal reprints they want from those CDs
>> >> >> which are available from the ARRL.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> They "don't exist." They are "dead," "extinct." Nothing in them
>> >> >> applies to amateur radio, all according to certain PCTAs. :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >I have a full cabinet full of back issues of "Ham Radio" and "HRH", Len.
>> >> >Nobody is dumb enough to believe that when a magazine publishing company
>> >> >is gone that the back issues evaporate. The business which published
>> >> >the magazines is defunct.
>> >>
>> >> Incorrect still. Communications Technology, Inc., the business
>organization
>> >> that published Ham Radio Magazine and Ham Radio Horizons and ran the
>> >> Ham Radio Bookstore, was SOLD to CQ. Selling a publishing business is
>> >> simply a transfer of ownership. In the case of a publishing house, the
>> >> transfer of ownership involves "intellectual property" or copyrighted
>material
>> >> in print and images.
>> >
>> >I understand that "Ham Radio" was sold to and absorbed by CQ. I

>> >understand that the material was/is copyrighted. Do you understand that
>> >"Ham Radio" is no longer published. It has ceased to exist.
>>
>> "Publishing" in the modern idiom includes the manufacture and sales of CDs.
>>
>> Since CQ sells collections of all articles in the 22 year history of Ham
Radio
>> magazine, that is PUBLISHING and it still exists today!
>
>Nope. There are no current issues being published at all. There's
>nothing but material from the past.

It is still being PUBLISHED.

You have to understand the word "published" and "publishing."
That applies to CD-ROM disks as well as the printed page.

>> >"Ham Radio" is two words and they are not improper, just defunct.
>> >The emotional bias seems to be yours since you appear to be the only
>> >person who is offended by the term "defunct".
>>
>> Your explanation is defunct. HR is still being published.
>
>I'll be darned. Where can I pick up the July 2002 issue?

From CQ or ARRL on CD-ROM. Order by mail if you wish.

>> >Oh, I wasn't writing of totals. I was writing of the obtuse responses
>> >you've provided. No one here has denigrated "Ham Radio" magazine. That
>> >is all in your head.
>>
>> You cannot denigrate anything you don't understand. HR had technical
>> content of amateur radio technology.
>
>Oh, I must have misunderstood. I thought you told me a couple of posts
>ago that I WAS denigrating "Ham Radio".

The magazine, yes. I doubt you can understand the content
but you will insist that you can, bluffing your way through all
this. No problem here, your problem there.

>> >> You insist on having some "last word" on a subject you do not under-
>> >> stand. You will not get it until you show some understanding.
>> >
>> >Your last stuff was garbled, Len.
>>
>> ...only because you cannot understand it. Understood.
>
>No, because it was poorly cobbled together.

You still don't understand.

1418. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 03:07:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020712230759.01571.00000180@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 49226

In article <20020712074906.07640.00000014@mb-cq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Anyone who doesn't learn the code as a result of what he/she read here
>>has merely found the excuse they were looking for.
>
>I conclude from that statement, then, that you acknowledge that the things
>you
>say here have no point.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

No, Ed, I don't. Wrong again.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1419. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 03:07:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020712230759.01571.00000181@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 49227

In article <20020712075249.07640.00000015@mb-cq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>John -- you're definitely not "narrow-minded" -- you fathead! <BSEG>
>
>Is this an example of how you are intellectual on this NG, Larry? If so,
>your
>view of yourself exceeds reality by quite a bit.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

No, it was an attempt at humor, as indicated by the <BSEG>. I don't employ "smileys," since I don't consider them a valid method of indicating a humorous intent -- they're just trendy/cute and too 8-year-old-girlish for me to consider using, personally. I prefer the acronym-style humor indicators as developed on the old Fidonet and the original newsgroups before they were infected with AOL users and their "emoticons."

So go PUAR, Ed.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1420. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 03:42:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2FA1A0.278B59A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49229

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2DE648.AC326F7E@earthlink.net...
>
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020710065143.01757.00000192@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >
> > > > >> > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > > > >> > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is
> your
> > > > >business,
> > > >
> > > > >Damn! I better go check those
> > > > >actuarial
> > > > >> > tables.
> > > >
> > > > >> I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> > > > >> overseas in 1985.
> > > >
> > > > >So?
> > > >
> > > > So my use of the term "decades ago" is reasonable.
> > > >
> > > > Having trouble following the conversation, Brian, or just being wise?
> > >
> > > It is unreasonable. The questionable practices that I've called Dave on
> > > were while he was in Tanzania (i.e., 2 years ago). Are you having
> trouble
> > > following the conversation, Ed, or just being a jerk?
> >
> > I've already told you that I've not worked French stations above 50.200
> > during ANY of my assignments which began in 1985. I arrived in Tanzania
> > in August, 1998.
>
> And left in 2000?
>
> Regardless, Ed's strong point isn't math.

How would you be in a position to judge what Ed's strong point is or is
not.

> And the French authorization starts at 50.200 through 51.200 MHz.
>
> Thus, all French hams you worked at 50.110-50.130 were outside of their
> authorization, just as F1FDR informed you while you were still in Tanzania
> working them at 50.110-50-130.
>
> You've been able to deny it for over two years. Poor gullible Ed even
> bought in to your deny and delay tactics. Reminds me of all the stupid Bill
> Clinton supporters.

Denied it? I maintained then and maintain now that I am only
responsible for operating my station within band segments authorized to
me. I have no responsibility for the operation of others inside or
outside the country where I am living. Surely one with your superior
memory remembers me mentioning something like this a dozen or two times.

> Dave, congratulations on winning the moldy Wonder Bread award and taking
> naive Ed down with you. He probably didn't deserve it, but that's what
> happens when you pick the wrong friends (cronies).

It certainly looks as if you have yet to define your mission. Your
self-righteous indignation is still misdirected.

> 73 and Sayonara,
>
> Brian/N0iMD
>
> Last this Subject, unless you want to continue it. Just don't respond and
> I'll figure it out.

Let me know how the French authorities respond to your crusade.

Dave K8MN

1421. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 03:48:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2FA314.4EBF6C6A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49230

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2DE811.65705EC2@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2C32FF.F550A75F@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D2A5E56.6BC1E40B@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:20020708074031.23765.00004029@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > > > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If you want to be as obsessed about the way Dave operated
> > > > > > > > overseas decades ago as you are about other things, that is
> your
> > > > > business,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Two years ago is now decades ago? Damn! I better go check
> those
> > > > actuarial
> > > > > > > > tables.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I LEFT Tanzania over two years ago, Brian. I began operating from
> > > > > > > > overseas in 1985.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Strong response, Brian. I guess you told me.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, I didn't measure your response too highly on the relevant scale,
> > > > > either. So try this:
> > > > >
> > > > > Did you remember talking to the French Ham, especially after I reposted
> your
> > > > > exchange with him?
> > >
```

> > Yep.
> >
> > > Did you remember him telling you the French 6M
> > > authorization, especailly after I reposted your exchange with him?
> >
> > Yep.
> >
> > > Did you
> > > read Dennis' info on the French 6M authorizations?
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Step 1. Bury Head in Sand.

How does telling you that I didn't read something which someone posted
become burying my head in the sand. You asked a question. I provided
an answer. That you don't care for the answer is your problem.

> > > Did you recall that I am
> > > in your callbook, especailly after I reposted your exchange with me?
> >
> > Oh, especailly then.
> >
> > > The United Negro College Fund has a saying that you might consider, "The
> > > mind is a terrible thing to waste."
> >
> > ...or, in your case, "The mind is a terrible thing". Do you remember
> > what I told you in 1998? Do you recall the piece of advice I gave you
> > in 1999? How about the W3 who outlined his view of your rants during
> > that period?
>
> Shrill Steve, your DXer Croney?

This might be what you called a convenient lapse of memory.

> > > You can dodge and hide all day long behind that poor memory of yours,
> but
> > > your forte' appears to be predicting the future.
> >
> > Can you do that, Brian? How many fingers am I holding up?
> > Maybe you can do better on the past. What have you proven by
> > instigating three or four incarnations of your pointless mission on who
> > I worked on 6m from Tanzania? Would it be that some French stations
> > will break their regs in order to work a new country on six?
>
> That the ugly American will work anybody who shows up, no matter how far out of band.

I wasn't out of band at all. The "ugly American" comment is simply
sophomoric.

>
> > > The important topic you

> > > bring to the table is if I'm going to spray paint your car. Am I?
> >
> > You tell me, Earl Scheib.
>
> Dave, I'm not in the auto repainting business. I'm not interested in spray
> painting your car. Its pathetic that you would ever have made such an
> accusation.
>
> Last.

Brian, Up until now I'd have said that it was impossible for you to look
any sillier. I was wrong about that.

Dave K8MN

1422. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 03:52:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2FA40D.484AD6F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49231

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2DE8DE.4DF96AB8@earthlink.net...
>
> > > > That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the
> years,
> > > > has it?
> > >
> > > Chip? I'm surprised its even there. I Love chips. Now I'll have to go
> > > find some dip. No, wait, that's your part.
> >
> > Thanks for opportunity. Okay, you're a dip.
>
> Whatever. You blew it. It's over.

It never started, Brian. Debates aren't won just because the guy with
no ammunition goes home.

Dave K8MN

1423. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 03:55:00 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <BkNX8.26856$JU3.13491662@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49232

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:78HX8.5266$3V5.274842653@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Tell me about it. Was yours for hearing, ADD, or what?

Brian, do you enjoy poking fun at peoples' handicaps? What's next, getting a laugh out of the hearing-impaired? Autism? Sometimes you can take it to the edge and at other times you take plunge, way over.

1424. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 03:56:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2FA4CD.6B38C0B4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49233

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020711074754.19575.00002935@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >So moral questions are meant to goad?
> >
> > I don't believe that your asking me what I would do in a situation I have
> never
> > been in to be all that "moral," Brian. It is more a waste of everyone's
> time.
> >
> >
> > >No wonder there's a problem in America today.
> >
> > If you feel your "moral" question is of the same magnitude as the problems
> in
> > America today, you have a dangerously bloated sense of self importance.
> >
> > >> Does that help?
> >
> > > Immensely.
> >
> > I am pleased for you, Brian. I hope you feel better now.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Dave admitted that he never worked a French Ham on 6M that was within their
> authorization. Perhaps this will help him on his way to "Good Amateur
> Practice."

Dave didn't "admit". Dave came out and volunteered the information.
During this charade, you've not had one thing to say about French
stations who broke their country's regulations.

> I've been vindicated.

That is utterly moronic.

> Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.

I'm here as long as you like, Brian.

Dave K8MN

1425. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 04:03:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2FA694.DF864FA2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49234

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2F48F2.48100DE8@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020711074204.19575.00002930@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >
> > > > >Are you having trouble
> > > > >following the conversation, Ed, or just being a jerk?
> > > >
> > > > Part of your superior "morals" code, Brian?
> > >
> > > You would wear out Mother Teresa's patience. Are her morals intact?
> >
> > She's defunct. You may have to wait for the CD.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Even in death, you try her patience.

I'm not dead. If you meant HER death: How would you know?

Dave K8MN

1426. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 04:16:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D2FA997.B4038197@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49235

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D2E5680.1A995A0D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D2DEB17.AE5636D6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:

> >> >> >I have a full cabinet full of back issues of "Ham Radio" and "HRH", Len.
> >> >> >Nobody is dumb enough to believe that when a magazine publishing company
> >> >> >is gone that the back issues evaporate. The business which published
> >> >> >the magazines is defunct.
> >> >>
> >> >> Incorrect still. Communications Technology, Inc., the business
> organization
> >> >> that published Ham Radio Magazine and Ham Radio Horizons and ran the
> >> >> Ham Radio Bookstore, was SOLD to CQ. Selling a publishing business is
> >> >> simply a transfer of ownership. In the case of a publishing house, the
> >> >> transfer of ownership involves "intellectual property" or copyrighted
> material
> >> >> in print and images.
> >> >
> >> >I understand that "Ham Radio" was sold to and absorbed by CQ. I
> >> >understand that the material was/is copyrighted. Do you understand that
> >> >"Ham Radio" is no longer published. It has ceased to exist.
> >>
> >> "Publishing" in the modern idiom includes the manufacture and sales of CDs.
> >>
> >> Since CQ sells collections of all articles in the 22 year history of Ham
> Radio
> >> magazine, that is PUBLISHING and it still exists today!
> >
> >Nope. There are no current issues being published at all. There's
> >nothing but material from the past.
>
> It is still being PUBLISHED.

Nope. Only back numbers have been scanned and put on a CD-ROM.

> You have to understand the word "published" and "publishing."
> That applies to CD-ROM disks as well as the printed page.

> >> >"Ham Radio" is two words and they are not improper, just defunct.
> >> >The emotional bias seems to be yours since you appear to be the only
> >> >person who is offended by the term "defunct".
> >>
> >> Your explanation is defunct. HR is still being published.
> >
> >I'll be darned. Where can I pick up the July 2002 issue?
>
> From CQ or ARRL on CD-ROM. Order by mail if you wish.

So when I query CQ with my question as to whether the July, 2002 issue
of "Ham Radio" is on any of their CD-ROMs and tell them that you've
indicated that it is on the CD-ROM, you'll be able to live with the
laughter on both ends of the telephone line?

> >> >Oh, I wasn't writing of totals. I was writing of the obtuse responses
> >> >you've provided. No one here has denigrated "Ham Radio" magazine. That
> >> >is all in your head.
> >>
> >> You cannot denigrate anything you don't understand. HR had technical
> >> content of amateur radio technology.
> >
> >Oh, I must have misunderstood. I thought you told me a couple of posts
> >ago that I WAS denigrating "Ham Radio".
>
> The magazine, yes. I doubt you can understand the content
> but you will insist that you can, bluffing your way through all
> this. No problem here, your problem there.

Let's get it straight, Len. You told me I was denigrating the magazine.
Then you told me that I couldn't denigrate it because I don't undertand
the magazine. Are you now telling me that I am denigrating the magazine
but not the magazine?

> >> >> You insist on having some "last word" on a subject you do not under-
> >> >> stand. You will not get it until you show some understanding.
> >> >
> >> >Your last stuff was garbled, Len.
> >>
> >> ...only because you cannot understand it. Understood.
> >
> >No, because it was poorly cobbled together.
>
> You still don't understand.

That's because it is still poorly cobbled together.

Dave K8MN

1427. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 04:23:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uivap1ruc7nkea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49236

I do understand what you have said; I have used random numbers myself many times. Never won the lottery however; then again, I heard you had to buy a ticket. The thing is that I enjoy a fist that is as close as possible to machine code - then, how do you determine if the sender is actually incapable of sending/receiving Morse other than by using a compooter? Oh well ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020712225449.01458.00000009@mb-bk.aol.com...
> In article <uiu3oil0k4vgb9@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> writes:
>
> >Looks like some of us just might have to start a cw net on 80 in the
> >evenings! ;)
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
> >ps - but, of course, someone COULD be using a keyboard. I wonder if anyone
> >has programmed a Morse sending system that puts some 'swing' into the fist?
>
> That is not a problem insofar as writing computer code for a
> computerized keyboard-driven keyer. The trick is to incorporate
> a random-number generator subroutine into the code flow
> between the stored character string memory and the output.
>
> Note: Random-number routines have been common for years
> and are used in games and other applications requiring a form
> of randomness. It is an interesting sub-division discipline of
> mathematical theory involving statistics and is usually taught
> as part of semi-advanced computer languages. The random-
> number generation subroutines can be biased to have their
> results peak "off-center" from a normal distribution of near-true
> randomness.
>
> To incorporate such randomness or "swing" into an electronic
> keyer requires only in insertion of the random-generating sub-
> routine between the character's individual sequence memory
> and the output. Ideally, the randomness is a controllable
> fraction of a correctly-keyed sequence timing, more conveniently
> included as a delay in time of off-to-on or on-to-off timing of the

> true or ideal "on" or "off" changes.
>
> Adding bias to the randomness could also be controlled so that
> dashes might be longer than dots (by a small amount) or vice-
> versa. This would mimic the human operator's habits of not-
> quite-perfect timing. Adjustability of the bias as well as the
> fraction of precise timing could allow simulation of various
> human operators.
>
> It's a straight-forward method of simulation using known
> computer coding techniques. One volume in the famous
> Donald A. Knuth 3-volume series on computer coding will
> explain the theory behind random number generation routines.
>
> The question is WHY anyone would bother to try this other than
> for individual intellectual amusement. There is so little
> commercial application for on-off codings that it must be just a
> hobby exercise.
>
> There CAN be an intellectual challenge in decoding morse
> codes because humans are NOT perfect and do not time their
> on-off codes precisely. The challenge there is to find the
> variations in timing and adjust for them in order to distinguish
> between dots, dashes, and spaces. I've seen what one other
> programmer can do, have observed the results as being
> successful. That was just an individual-challenge coding
> problem. It can be done. It would be less difficult to introduce
> randomness in the precise timing of a computerized morse
> code generator to simulate a human's particular sending.
>
>

1428. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 06:17:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713021705.12748.00000229@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49237

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/12/02 22:54 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020712225449.01458.00000009@mb-bk.aol.com>

>The question is WHY anyone would bother to try this other than
>for individual intellectual amusement. There is so little
>commercial application for on-off codings that it must be just a
>hobby exercise.

Probably for the same reason that some people persued a "career" in the comercially un-viable fields of 'wireless" and "aeronautics". Someone had a vision.

Can you state, with absolute and certain knowledge, that these basic communications techniques will NEVER be used again, nor will they ever have ANY commecial application? Or ANY military application? Or any EMERGENCY applicaiotn?

If the answer is "no" (and there is no way that a professionally trained engineer can say "yes" to it) then "on-off keying" will continue to be entertained for SOME applications. It will never be the "mode du jour" it was in the 20's and 30's, but it will always have practical applications.

Steve

1429. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 06:23:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713022356.12748.00000230@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49238

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/12/02 22:54 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020712225452.01458.00000011@mb-bk.aol.com>

>It is still being PUBLISHED.

Oh? Does the Postmaster General know about this? According to the Untied States Postal Service, there is NO periodical by the name "Ham Radio" presently in circulation.

>You have to understand the word "published" and "publishing."
>That applies to CD-ROM disks as well as the printed page.

They "published" reprints of a defunct magazine, Lennie, as much as you hate to hear that...Sorry.

>>I'll be darned. Where can I pick up the July 2002 issue?
>
>From CQ or ARRL on CD-ROM. Order by mail if you wish.

Oh? You deliver a copy of the July 2002 issue of "Ham Radio" magazine to my door, Lennie, and I'll quit dogging you.

(I am, as usual, NOT holding my breath...)

>You still don't understand.

You never did, Lennie. Never did.

Steve

1430. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 06:43:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713024310.12748.00000231@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49239

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/12/02 22:54 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020712225450.01458.00000010@mb-bk.aol.com>

>So, Mr. Webster, how do you define CD-ROM disks being sold
>on the market today? Try explaining that CDs "aren't published
>items" to the copyright lawyers and US law. Do you need a link
>to the US government's regulations on copyrights?

They are reprints, Lennie. Nothing but reprints. No more different than
the 2AM late nite TV sales pitch for "K-Tel's Greatest All-time Hits"
collections of any number of artists.

>Poor baby, still doesn't understand the term "published" or its
>application. Worse yet, the poor feller thinks he's someone else...

I understand "published", Lennie. The real pitty is that after 15 years
of night school, YOU don't.

>>Now wait a minute, Your Twofacedness...YOU have been telling us for
>years
>>that there is no such thing as an "amateur" technolgy...You quite adamandtly
>>assert that radio physics is radio physics.
>
>Poor baby is still confused.

Nope. Caught you in another twist of your own tale. Sorry you didn't
like it.

>Unfortunately, so many radio amateurs have so
>little knowledge of basics in radio that they think the only
>"correct" technology is that shown in ARRL publications.

Lennie, PLEASE provide SOME verifyable quote from ANYONE in this forum who
has stated ANYTHING like "...they think the only "correct" technology is that
shown in ARRL publications".

Larry? Dave? Ed? Steve Adell? Jim? I don't think so, and I know >>I<<
have not.....

>> You forgot the question mark, Lennie. Of course your night school
>>education probably skipped punctuation.
>
>Still on this "denigration of night school" kick, ey?

Actually, the correct application for the colloquial interrogative is
"eh?", not "ey".

And it's not a denigration of the school. It's a denigration of the time
you wasted since you keep making such glaring errors, Your Ignorantness.

>College credit courses at night are a very convenient time for all
>those who must work during daytime hours.

Too bad you wasted both.

>"Write trash?" I've written professionally on several different subjects
>but none of them concerned sanitation departments or refuse
>collecting. You must have someone else in mind.

In this forum, all you write is trash. I am not the only one who holds
that opinion. It's you alright, Your Wastefullness.

>"Denigrate amateur radio?" Not at all.

Pinnochhio's nose just grew an inch.

> There are certain regulations
>in US amateur radio which I would prefer to be changed, but I think
>(and have stated many times) that amateur radio is a fine hobby.

Un huh...You have only spent the last several years berating every single
aspect of Amateur Radio without quarter.

>"Denigrate amateur radio operators?" Only certain ones, most
>notably those who are "pre-restructuring amateur extras" that
>emphasize morse code skill over everything else in ham radio.
>It is the INDIVIDUAL that may be a target of negative criticsm,
>not the hobby.

Only everyone that is in this forum, save for Brian, and I am not so sure
about him, either. And of course let's not forget your anonymous buddy "MegHz"
(whom I see has resurfaced lately), and I am not so sure "he" is not you
anyway.

You are a pathological liar, Lennie, and then you lie about your lying.

What a Putz.

1431. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 07:39:20 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d2fdada_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49240
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That's what the M3/CB licence is all about, a
pileup of bullshit.

Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:fjKX8.72$SW5.11289916@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D2D8D78.7EA7A680@hotmail.com...
> > Brain Rarey wrote:
> > > That's because DXing as it has become is a
> > > CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
> > > a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
> > > over and over again.
> > Sounds just like Field Day. :-)
> Or any other pileup.

1432. Re: New Code Test Question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:30:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713063031.12736.00000370@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49241

From: "KB9TMP" kb9tmp@juno.com

>We talked about upgrading of licenses
>and one of the hams there said that he knew someone who
>like me knows code but can't hear the code. And the VEs
>allowed him to take a morse code "sending" test and passed
>him. Is this really possible or are they just blowing smoke
>up my mirror?

There are a number of ways that VE teams can accomodate physical disabilities. Talk to the VE team you want to have test you and they can explain to you what can be done and what they might need from you in terms of documentation, if any.

A sending test is one of the possibilities. Others can include testing with a different tone than the usual 725 Hz, blinking lights, or having you feel a vibrating buzzer or speaker. In some cases, a combination of one of these techniques can really help, much as someone who is hard of hearing "hears" better if he can see the person talking.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1433. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:31:44 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713063144.12736.00000371@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49242

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ed, discussion of Farnsworth is not a decree that it WILL BE USED IN
>TESTING.

Brian, at some point, I learned that Farnsworth was going to be used in testing. I can't recall just where. I am sorry that you missed it and that is causing you such pain.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1434. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:34:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713063410.12736.00000372@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49243

From: "Brain Rarey" @

>That's what the M3/CB licence is all about, a
>pileup of bullshit.

Cute play on words. Meaningless and petty, but cute.

The only bullstuff I have seen is the very premise of the thread. If you sense of self esteem is so low, perhaps you could try actually achieving something useful to make yourself feel better. It would be a much better therapy than starting mean-spirited posts that have not seen any support. To the contrary, many hams here have decided that they will go out of their way to make these new hams feel welcomed into the Service.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1435. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:36:08 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713063608.12736.00000373@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49244

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>80 characters?
>
>Passing for 20 wpm is at least 100 consecutive characters.
>Passing for 13 wpm is at least 65 consecutive characters.
>Passing for 5 wpm is at least 25 consecutive characters.

Must be that new math. :-) Brain spasm!

>'zactly. But if there's any doubt, those of us who can will be glad to
>demonstrate.

Assuming, of course, that there is any need. I don't feel I need to prove my
code speed to anyone. Nor does N0IMD.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1436. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:37:43 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713063743.12736.00000374@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49245

From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net

>Brian, do you enjoy poking fun at peoples' handicaps? What's next, getting a
>laugh out of the hearing-impaired? Autism? Sometimes you can take it to the
>edge and at other times you take plunge, way over.

I think it is part of the high moral standard that causes him to obsess about
Farnsworth and cronyism.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1437. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:38:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713063848.12736.00000375@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49246

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Jim, be careful with that. Its what a lot of the Extra arguments are based
>upon. If you were to negate it, Dick would have nothing.

I have never once seen Dick claim that he was right because he was older. Care to do one of those google searches you are always telling others to do and post the quote?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1438. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:41:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713064121.12736.00000376@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49247

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>If your answer was ambiguous, then perhaps he will make those claims.

My answer and several followups were not ambiguous at all, Brian. They just
didn't say what you wanted to hear.

>It won't be the first time, you know?

Well said. Ditto.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1439. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:43:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713064342.12736.00000377@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49248

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Let it go, Ed. Your obsession with this is getting strange. I've already
>said, "LAST."

I wasn't talking to you, Brian.

And yes, you said "LAST" -- about a dozen times, as I recall. Now THAT is strange. It may be last for you, but if I want to talk about it with others, that is not your business.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1440. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:45:14 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713064514.12736.00000378@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49249

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>I'm not dead. If you meant HER death: How would you know?

If he doesn't answer your question to your satisfaction, make sure you prod him endlessly for an answer, then post about a dozen times that each of the posts is your last post, then chide him if he talks about it with anyone else.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1441. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:47:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713064740.12736.00000379@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49250

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>You asked a question. I provided
>an answer. That you don't care for the answer is your problem.

Lotta' that going around... Expect him to post his LAST on the subject for a
few more go arounds.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1442. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:49:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713064904.12736.00000380@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49251

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>During this charade, you've not had one thing to say about French
>stations who broke their country's regulations.

Must be some sort of double standard.

>> I've been vindicated.

>That is utterly moronic.

I am sure he truly believes it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1443. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:49:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713064957.12736.00000381@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49252

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

>>Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.
>
>I am just curious, how many lasts does it take you to reach your last?

I wonder if Brian is going to answer this, or if the requirement to answer questions is another double standard sort of thing. . .

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1444. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 10:51:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713065154.12736.00000382@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49253

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Why do you wish to harm my family with inane code practice?

LOL! Brian, if your family would be somehow harmed by your taking time to do code practice, they are equally harmed by all of the time you spend posting on rrap.

Is this a double standard sort of thing?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1445. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 11:35:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agp39c$933$0@208.206.142.106>
Source record: 49254

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:agkb4t$s7s$0@208.207.71.171...
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > > news:H6YW8.15529$AK.1327@news.webusenet.com...
> > >
> > > > Sure, I could learn to send CW at 13 wpm and I probably will soon.
> But
> > > not
> > > > because Larry bullied me into doing it. I just took offense to his
> > > remarks
> > > > for making a generalzation based upon his bias for "lesser" hams.
> > > >
> > > > And after thinking about things, I'm not going to let Larry bully me
> out
> > > of
> > > > this NG.
> > > >
> > > > 73's Bert
> > > >
> > > > John
> > > > K2LSX
> > >
> > > John, stand tough. There are a few on here whose specialty is bullying.
> > > Don't buy any. Ditto his "superior ham" and "real ham" garbage.
> > >
> > > I was about to exit the group because of the constant digs on my
> integrity,
> > > my sanity, and my character.
> > >
> > > I changed my mind. They really hate it.
> >
> >
> > BS. You're a character, all right. If you have integrity and character
> > it isn't obvious. I'll not judge your sanity, but it could easily be
> > called into question.
>
> You've proven yourself to be a liar. Deal with it.
>
> Be truthful from here on, and one day you might earn our trust again.
```

If I've "proven" myself to you to be a liar, that bothers me not at all. Your proof standards are beyond the comprehension of anyone of normal intelligence. YOU, on the other hand, utter falsehoods with abandon. Problem is, you're too far out of touch with reality to know it.

1446. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 12:06:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713080616.01619.00000011@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49256

In article <20020712113130.08291.00000194@mb-fl.news.cs.com>,
eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) writes:

>I'm still working with my niece. The attention span of a 15 yr. old girl can
>be challenging at times, to say the least. She'll get there though.

Good for you Bert!

>When she's
>done, I have a spare 10-meter rig (Still NIB though.) for here and a replica
>of the Titanic key. After I told her that they used Morse code on the Titanic,
>she thought it's be "way cool" to learn. (Thanks to Leo Di Caprio.) I see Tech
>"+" in her future...at least for now, so why should I let the spare HR-2510
>collect dust? (No, it's not modified. 10-meters only.) Take care now.

I suggest that, if it's at all possible, she could at least try for General.
The written is not that much more difficult than the Tech, and it gives a lot
more HF.

While the HR-2510 is a decent rig, there isn't a lot of casual CW on 10 meters
compared to other bands. And we're heading right into the sunspot downturn.
She'd be better off with a rig that covers the other amateur HF bands, too.
Used ones are not that expensive.

Just some thouhts and a BRAVO on your efforts.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1447. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 12:06:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713080616.01619.00000010@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49257

In article <20020712092642.08286.00000210@mb-fl.news.cs.com>,
eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) writes:

>P.S. Nobody answered my 40-meter dipole vs. 80-meter dipole question. :-(
>Well, I'm puttin' it up anyway and we'll see what happens. :-)
>
Bert,

I musta missed it!

Post it again and I'll respond.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1448. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 12:06:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713080617.01619.00000012@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49255

In article <20020713063410.12736.00000372@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brain Rarey" @
>
>>That's what the M3/CB licence is all about, a
>>pileup of

[expeltive deleted]
>
>Cute play on words. Meaningless and petty, but cute.
>
>The only bullstuff I have seen is the very premise of the thread. If you
>sense
>of self esteem is so low, perhaps you could try actually achieving something
>useful to make yourself feel better. It would be a much better therapy than
>starting mean-spirited posts that have not seen any support. To the
>contrary,
>many hams here have decided that they will go out of their way to make these
>new hams feel welcomed into the Service.

I think there's more to it than that, Ed.

The new "Foundation" license was not the result of a G-land NPRM-type process. Rather, it "just growed" without open input from the G-land public or hams.

The Foundation license requires an extremely simplified written test and a "Morse assessment" test, both of which are looked upon as a joke by some G-land hams. The details of these tests have been given by others. They have to be judged by G-land standards, though. The RAE is not multiple-choice-from-a-published-pool.

Problem is that the protest needs to be against the powers that be, not against those who ot the license. Remember "no kids, no lids, no space cadets"? This is just the 2002 version.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1449. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 12:30:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agp6glfej0@208.206.142.106>
Source record: 49258

Brian P Burke wrote:
>

The "lazy" descriptive
> is
> > > > reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"
> > >
> > > Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That
> would
> > > make him a congenital liar.
> >
> > Really?
>
> Do you agree that you are "confused" as Croney Ed has suggested? That would
> explain a lot, but I don't think you are confused. I think you are a mean,
> little, little man.

Look who is still sitting bitching nearly 20 years after starting his
ham radio experience. Then tell us again about confusion.

>
> I guess that when you made the statement that you just didn't
> > have time to study code to upgrade -well before the restructutring-
> > because you had to babysit the kids, but you had plenty of time to post
> > endlessly on rrap that makes ME a liar. I think the readers who were
> > here at that time will see it otherwise.
>
> Dick, I intend for my second marriage and my second crop of kids to go well.

Ah, I see. So your first marriage mirrors your Novice ham radio
experience. I really and truly hope that portends nothing for your
second. But your time spent - dare I say wasted? posting on rrap has no
effect. Getcher head outta there, man, and smell the coffee. Do
something pleasant and constructive for a change.

Looks like our marriages parallel our ham radio experiences. I'm on my
46th year as a ham and my 38th in my first and only happy marriage.
Maybe that tells something about who is "mean".

> Out of necessity, I devote a lot of time to my career. Out of love I devote
> a lot of time to my family.
>
> Why do you wish to harm my family with inane code practice?

And out of ignorance you blame your troubles on everyone but yourself.

Oh, I see! CW practice harms your family but you spending copious amounts of time wasted on interminable senseless posts on rrap doesn't! Logical to the max!

>
> > So who is the congenital liar?
>
> Dick, make no mistake about it - YOU ARE.

I've made no mistake about it. And you are 180 out as always.

>
> > Just because later restructuring gave you the whole enchilada with your
> > Novice code test changes nothing.
>
> Liar. I don't have the whole enchilada. Go look on QRZ.COM

Unhuh. Once again, credibility problems in your camp. You've said repeatedly that you passed all the written tests through Extra. That means you REJECT it, not that is wasn't handed to you. Can't you get *anything* straight???

>
> > > I have spent an enormous amount of my own free time learning the Morse
> Code.
> > > I passed the code exam with 100% copy. Because I didn't get anything
> out of
> > > my time invested, Dick says I'm lazy and didn't have time to learn it.
> >
> > Wrong yet again, you got nothing out of it because you didn't want to
> > get anything out of it.
>
> Sure I did. I wanted to be successful at being a CW Novice and going on to
> at least 13 WPM General.

So when you found a dead Novice band, you just picked up your marbles and went to your corner to pout for a couple decades instead of tuning in W1AW code practice. We know.

>
> > Anyone who did -and many did- copied code
> > practice from SOME source until they could get the 13wpm test passed
> > -surely no terrible task in the days when the test included 10 EASILY
> > answered written questions. I recall many applicants passing the 13wpm
> > test by retesting largely on guesses until they happened to get one they
> > could luck into. But hey, it was the rules of the day, and we do follow
> > the rules, don't we? It wasn't up to me to make it accurate. Sorry you
> > were sitting on the sidelines sucking your thumb. Most hams would have
> > recognized the opportunity,grabbed it, and pressed on with life.Most
> > did. That's another reason why I reject the "coded" argument re the
> > garbage frequencies. Many who passed a code test are anything *but*
> > coded.
>

> Now you're advocating the "Code Tape Extra" without an ounce of On-the-Air
> experience?

Reading comprehension troubles still, I see. I would have loved to have
something meaningful for a code test, but I didn't make the rules, just
operated a testing team under them. If you think I particularily enjoyed
licensing as code operators those who couldn't operate CW..... you
should have some sort of a clue by now.

> You'll do or say anything to get at me, won't you? Which is
> part of your lying career.
>

A bit of paranoia raising its ugly head, Brian? You are so low on my
radar screen, nowdays particularly, that I don't even open your posts -I
don't really care for endless spam all that much- except when someone
quotes one of them that obviously involved me, then I *may* go take a
look to see if it's more of your clearly erroneous drivel that must be
batted back down yet again.
I'm beginning to believe that you really don't lie, you just don't know
any better. But Cecil's dictionary says that doesn't matter, you're a
liar anyway. So check with him to see for sure.

> > Why the heck do you think I'm so disgusted with characters like you?
> > You can't even accept "easy"!
>
> The actuarial tables will take care of your disgust.

Still hung up on the tables, huh? See below.

> > I'll read it in QST, "Liar Dick joins SK's."

Last guy I heard say something like that was himself dead within a
week, the victim of a freak accident. He and his working partner stopped
to check on a blasting site, an as he turned to get back in his truck, a
small piece of rock from a dynamite charge went astray -WAY astray,
about 300 feet, and struck him in the back of the head. He never
regained conscienceness. So be careful what you visit onto others, it
can easily home home to you.
The actuarial tables tell nothing meaningful about anything but trends.
But you already knew that. You keep pounding that point just trying to
make anyone a few years older than you feel bad. Sorry, that doesn't
work any more than your teary-eyed Novice story engenders much sympathy
for your self-imposed plight. Get your head out and start thinking with
it up on top for once.

1450. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 12:44:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XgVX8.359$p64.67720330@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49263

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:BkNX8.26856$JU3.13491662@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:78HX8.5266$3V5.274842653@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > Tell me about it. Was yours for hearing, ADD, or what?
>
> Brian, do you enjoy poking fun at peoples' handicaps? What's next, getting
a
> laugh out of the hearing-impaired? Autism? Sometimes you can take it to
the
> edge and at other times you take plunge, way over.

Dan you should have been here a couple of years ago. Your cronies were
doing exactly what you are accusing me of.

I am not poking fun of peoples disabilities. I asked you a simple question.
What was your waiver for?

1451. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 12:45:08 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d302231$1_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49265
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If people have been killed pursuing a
CB type activity, that's unfortunate, but not relevant
to Ham Radio, nor to this thread.

G1LVN <my_callsign@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
news:agmnlikef1@helle.btinternet.com...
> In article <3d2d219c$2_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>, Brain Rarey,
> please excuse any mis-understanding, but I think you said at least in
part....
> >That's because DXing as it has become is a
> >CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
> >a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
> >over and over again.
>
> Try telling that to the widows and families of the Spratly Island
DX-pedition
> enough lives have been lost through conflict. The 28th July in particular
> should be a day to remember old friends and welcome new ones.

1452. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 12:49:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7IVX8.360$Mk4.68638944@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49264

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713063144.12736.00000371@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Ed, discussion of Farnsworth is not a decree that it WILL BE USED IN
> >TESTING.
>
> Brian, at some point, I learned that Farnsworth was going to be used in
> testing. I can't recall just where. I am sorry that you missed it and
that is
> causing you such pain.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Pain? Why do you inject such crap into you responses? Exactly what IS your
agenda?

1453. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 12:56:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WrVX8.362$wf4.68317290@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49266

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ago2l7$1c2$0@208.207.71.133...
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >> Even that might be preferable to being a damn fool.
> > >
> > >Dick, I've heard that there's no fool like an Old Fool.
> >
> > A statement definately first made by a young fool . . .
>
> Who cannot grasp that anyone old has seen lots like him come and go.

Are you old?

1454. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 12:59:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TuVX8.363$t84.67855614@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49267

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2FA40D.484AD6F@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D2DE8DE.4DF96AB8@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > > > > That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the
> > years,
> > > > > > has it?
> > > > >
> > > > > Chip? I'm surprised its even there. I Love chips. Now I'll have
to go
> > > > > find some dip. No, wait, that's your part.
> > > >
> > > > Thanks for opportunity. Okay, you're a dip.
> > >
> > Whatever. You blew it. It's over.
> >
> It never started, Brian. Debates aren't won just because the guy with
> no ammunition goes home.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dsve, I'm going home because there's nothing left to discuss. You engage in
something other than Good Amateur Practice, then spend years denying it.

What else is there to discuss?

1455. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:01:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qwVX8.364$wf4.68317290@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49269

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D2FA4CD.6B38C0B4@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020711074754.19575.00002935@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >So moral questions are meant to goad?
> > >
> > > I don't believe that your asking me what I would do in a situation I
have
> > never
> > > been in to be all that "moral," Brian. It is more a waste of
everyone's
> > time.
> > >
> > >
> > > >No wonder there's a problem in America today.
> > >
> > > If you feel your "moral" question is of the same magnitude as the
problems
> > in
> > > America today, you have a dangerously bloated sense of self
importance.
> > >
> > > >> Does that help?
> > >
> > > > Immensely.
> > >
> > > I am pleased for you, Brian. I hope you feel better now.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> > Dave admitted that he never worked a French Ham on 6M that was within
their
> > authorization. Perhaps this will help him on his way to "Good Amateur
> > Practice."
>
> Dave didn't "admit". Dave came out and volunteered the information.
> During this charade, you've not had one thing to say about French
> stations who broke their country's regulations.

They're scum.

> > I've been vindicated.
>
> That is utterly moronic.
>
> > Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.
>
> I'm here as long as you like, Brian.
>
> Dave K8MN

For what purpose? More denial?

1456. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:01:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5xVX8.365$ON4.70474001@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49268

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713064904.12736.00000380@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >During this charade, you've not had one thing to say about French
> >stations who broke their country's regulations.
>
> Must be some sort of double standard.

They're scum.

> >> I've been vindicated.
>
> >That is utterly moronic.
>
> I am sure he truly believes it.

With all my heart.

1457. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:06:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MBVX8.369$aD4.69827744@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49271

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713064342.12736.00000377@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Let it go, Ed. Your obsession with this is getting strange. I've
already
> >said, "LAST."
>
> I wasn't talking to you, Brian.

Ed, be more specific about who you are talking to.

> And yes, you said "LAST" -- about a dozen times, as I recall. Now THAT is
> strange. It may be last for you, but if I want to talk about it with
others,
> that is not your business.

Fair enough.

I said LAST on about every response to this subject that I made last night,
since Dave says that he doesn't read everything. I didn't want him to miss
it.

1458. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:07:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wCVX8.370$iV4.70965399@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49272

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713064957.12736.00000381@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>
> >>Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.
> >
> >I am just curious, how many lasts does it take you to reach your last?
>
> I wonder if Brian is going to answer this, or if the requirement to answer
> questions is another double standard sort of thing. . .
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

One on every post this subject that I replied to last night. I just wanted
to be thorough.

1459. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:08:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EDVX8.371$3Y4.71146551@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49273

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713063848.12736.00000375@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Jim, be careful with that. Its what a lot of the Extra arguments are
based
> >upon. If you were to negate it, Dick would have nothing.
>
> I have never once seen Dick claim that he was right because he was older.
Care
> to do one of those google searches you are always telling others to do and
post
> the quote?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Knock yourself out, Ed.

1460. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:18:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BMVX8.374$505.71392437@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49274

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713080616.01619.00000011@mb-cc.aol.com...

> I suggest that, if it's at all possible, she could at least try for
General.
> The written is not that much more difficult than the Tech, and it gives a
lot
> more HF.
>
> While the HR-2510 is a decent rig, there isn't a lot of casual CW on 10
meters
> compared to other bands. And we're heading right into the sunspot
downturn.
> She'd be better off with a rig that covers the other amateur HF bands,
too.
> Used ones are not that expensive.

Jim, I suggest going the route as Dick suggests: Code Tape Extra.

Forget about on-the-air practice or steering her toward the Novice CW subbands. Unless you want her to wait for Novice refarming. Then she might actually run in to a CW QSO or two in the General CW portion.

ARRL shortwave CW practice might be useable if there is only a little interference.

1461. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:22:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yQVX8.375$Sb5.72163648@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49275

"John Gubernard, K2LSX" <K2LSX@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:PyQW8.15375$AK.14617@news.webusenet.com...
>
> --
> John D Gubernard, K2LSX
> k2lsx@arrl.net
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020709225140.16102.00000037@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > In article <lwtW8.14020$AK.14005@news.webusenet.com>, "John Gubernard,
> K2LSX"
> > <K2LSX@arrl.net> writes:
> >
> ...mindless drivel deleted...
> >
> I've learned an important lesson here...not to argue with narrow-minded
> people on the Net.
>
> I'll let Larry live in his own little world there. It's not worth gettin
> frustrated over him.
> He's just been standing a little too close to the spark-gap transmitter
> again.
>
> And don't bother replying to this, Larry...for I am removing this
newsgroup
> and never returning.
>
> So, I guess you win and I lose...does that make you happy and satisfied??
>
> Have fun bashing everyone else.
>
> 73's
> John
>
> QRT
> --
> John D Gubernard, K2LSX
> k2lsx@arrl.net

Larry apparently does win another one.

This is the part where he says, "Don't let the door hit you on the ass on
your way out," like he has done so many other times.

1462. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:23:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mRVX8.376$uC4.69782781@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49276

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713065154.12736.00000382@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Why do you wish to harm my family with inane code practice?
>
> LOL! Brian, if your family would be somehow harmed by your taking time to
do
> code practice, they are equally harmed by all of the time you spend
posting on
> rrap.
>
> Is this a double standard sort of thing?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

You're wrong on both counts.

1463. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:46:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gbWX8.380$mP5.74689641@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49277

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agp6glfej0@208.206.142.106...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> The "lazy" descriptive
> > is
> > > > > > reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have
time"
> > > > >
> > > > Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That
> > would
> > > > > make him a congenital liar.
> > >
> > > Really?
> >
> > Do you agree that you are "confused" as Croney Ed has suggested? That
would
> > explain a lot, but I don't think you are confused. I think you are a
mean,
> > little, little man.
>
> Look who is still sitting bitching nearly 20 years after starting his
> ham radio experience. Then tell us again about confusion.
>
Looks like counting beyond 10 is not your strong point. Take off your
boots.
>
> > I guess that when you made the statement that you just didn't
> > > have time to study code to upgrade -well before the restructutring-
> > > because you had to babysit the kids, but you had plenty of time to
post
> > > endlessly on rrap that makes ME a liar. I think the readers who were
> > > here at that time will see it otherwise.
> >
> > Dick, I intend for my second marriage and my second crop of kids to go
well.
>
> Ah, I see. So your first marriage mirrors your Novice ham radio
> experience.

My Novice experience went much better.

> I really and truly hope that portends nothing for your second.

Then why do you wish more Morse/Farnse training on me?

But your time spent - dare I say wasted? posting on rrap has no
> effect. Getcher head outta there, man, and smell the coffee. Do
> something pleasant and constructive for a change.

Someone needs to be here to counter you nonsense. You harm amateur radio.

> Looks like our marriages parallel our ham radio experiences. I'm on my
> 46th year as a ham and my 38th in my first and only happy marriage.
> Maybe that tells something about who is "mean".

You're mean to people here. Why wouldn't that mirror your life in other
areas?

> > Out of necessity, I devote a lot of time to my career. Out of love I
devote
> > a lot of time to my family.
> >
> > Why do you wish to harm my family with inane code practice?
>
> And out of ignorance you blame your troubles on everyone but yourself.

No, I blame myself for my troubles. I also learn from my mistakes. I'll
not spend another moment on code practice unless I'm working on it as part
of a father/son activity, or when I'm in my Golden Years of retirement in
2027. I've told you this numerous times, Dick. Please try to remember it
next time, or simply go on lying as you will.

> Oh, I see! CW practice harms your family but you spending copious
> amounts of time wasted on interminable senseless posts on rrap doesn't!
> Logical to the max!

My son's are presently watching cartoons. I'm 3 feet away typing to you.
Let me turn on Morse Academey and see what happens.

> > > Just because later restructuring gave you the whole enchilada with
your
> > > Novice code test changes nothing.
> >
> > Liar. I don't have the whole enchilada. Go look on QRZ.COM
>
> Unhuh. Once again, credibility problems in your camp. You've said
> repeatedly that you passed all the written tests through Extra. That
> means you REJECT it, not that is wasn't handed to you. Can't you get
> *anything* straight???

The credibility problem is in your camp.

I have passed the Novice, General, Advanced, and Extra exams - years before
the latest round of restructuring. Thus the CSCE's have expired. Expired
CSCE's aren't permitted for upgrade.

> > > > I have spent an enormous amount of my own free time learning the
Morse

> > Code.
> > > > I passed the code exam with 100% copy. Because I didn't get
anything
> > out of
> > > > my time invested, Dick says I'm lazy and didn't have time to learn
it.
> > >
> > > Wrong yet again, you got nothing out of it because you didn't want to
> > > get anything out of it.
> >
> > Sure I did. I wanted to be successful at being a CW Novice and going on
to
> > at least 13 WPM General.
>
> So when you found a dead Novice band, you just picked up your marbles
> and went to your corner to pout for a couple decades instead of tuning
> in W1AW code practice. We know.

How does listening to audio make one an experienced CW operator? I was
ready to go live on the air. Where were you?

> > > Anyone who did -and many did- copied code
> > > practice from SOME source until they could get the 13wpm test passed
> > > -surely no terrible task in the days when the test included 10 EASILY
> > > answered written questions. I recall many applicants passing the 13wpm
> > > test by retesting largely on guesses until they happened to get one
they
> > > could luck into. But hey, it was the rules of the day, and we do
follow
> > > the rules, don't we? It wasn't up to me to make it accurate. Sorry you
> > > were sitting on the sidelines sucking your thumb. Most hams would have
> > > recognized the opportunity,grabbed it, and pressed on with life.Most
> > > did. That's another reason why I reject the "coded" argument re the
> > > garbage frequencies. Many who passed a code test are anything *but*
> > > coded.
> >
> > Now you're advocating the "Code Tape Extra" without an ounce of
On-the-Air
> > experience?
>
> Reading comprehension troubles still, I see. I would have loved to have
> something meaningful for a code test, but I didn't make the rules, just
> operated a testing team under them. If you think I particularily enjoyed
> licensing as code operators those who couldn't operate CW..... you
> should have some sort of a clue by now.

Something meaningful for a code test? I thought your bitch was with the
published question pool.

What is wrong with the code test?

1464. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 13:48:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3dWX8.381$vP5.74699037@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49278

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agp39c$933$0@208.206.142.106...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > You've proven yourself to be a liar. Deal with it.
> >
> > Be truthful from here on, and one day you might earn our trust again.
>
>
> If I've "proven" myself to you to be a liar, that bothers me not at
> all.

You have.

1465. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 14:06:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713100636.01567.00000112@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49279

In article <20020713021705.12748.00000229@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Can you state, with absolute and certain knowledge, that these basic
>communications techniques will NEVER be used again, nor will they ever have
>ANY
>commecial application? Or ANY military application? Or any EMERGENCY
>applicaiotn?
>
Most PCs that I've worked on send audible error codes when they are in trouble. Big help when the video isn't working. It's not Morse, but it IS an on-off tone code.
Much simpler to implement than voice synthesis, and it's language-independent.

The ID on many repeaters around here is voice when the repeater is idle and just identifying itself, but switches to Morse when there's a signal on the input. Thsi is done because it's easier for most people to discriminate between a voice and a Morse signal than between two voices coming through a monaural channel.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1466. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 14:06:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713100636.01567.00000113@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49280

In article <20020713063608.12736.00000373@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>80 characters?
>>
>>Passing for 20 wpm is at least 100 consecutive characters.
>>Passing for 13 wpm is at least 65 consecutive characters.
>>Passing for 5 wpm is at least 25 consecutive characters.
>
>Must be that new math. :-) Brain spasm!
>
>>'zactly. But if there's any doubt, those of us who can will be glad to
>>demonstrate.
>
>Assuming, of course, that there is any need. I don't feel I need to prove my
>code speed to anyone. Nor does N0IMD.

It's not about "need" but about demonstrating that it's not just idle talk.

Those of us who want CW to continue and grow in the future will do well to take a page from the book of the APRS, packet and PSK31 folks. They don't just talk about their mode, they demo it at any opportunity, like hamfests, club meetings and Field Day.

The AM/military/BA folks have taken to setting up demo stations at hamfests and airshows. CW can be a part of that, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1467. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 14:06:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713100637.01567.00000114@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49281

In article <20020712092642.08286.00000210@mb-fl.news.cs.com>,
eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) writes:

>My father is NYPD ret. and served his longest tour at the 78 Pct. in Park
>Slope, Brooklyn. Well, at a shade over 6' 4", dad was the tallest officer in
>the house. (Pct.) So his friends bestowed the nickname 'Watusi' on him after
>the West African tribesmen who often grew over 7' tall.
>
>When my father saw me remove the telescoping antenna from my Christmas
> gift, a
>300mW CB h/t, and solder in a home-brew dipole in it's place, he decided to
>buy
>me my first 40 ch. CB. He often took me to the airport (JFK) and tuned in the
>tower freqs as well as introducing me to the world of SWLing. (His passion.)
>So
>in many ways, dad is kinda responsible for introducing me to radio. My
>callsign
>is a small tribute to him. BTW, dad's alive and well to appreciate it...and
>can
>occasionally be heard talking to his son on ch. 39 usb on Sunday mornings.
>Don't wait until it's too late to say thanks in whatever way you can.
>
Bert,

Thanks for a GREAT story!

>Additionally, it's a pretty cool CW call and I happen to like the ring of a
>2x2 call.

Took me a second glance to get it. Love that call.

Hope to work you sometime.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1468. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 14:41:33 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D303C1D.2B182FAE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49282

N2EY wrote:
> Those of us who want CW to continue and grow in the future will do well to take
> a page from the book of the APRS, packet and PSK31 folks.

Some hams on this newsgroup have predicted that new hams will not choose
to learn Morse code and therefore not use CW. If they try and succeed
in recruiting new hams to CW, they will have proven their predictions
wrong. Do you think those hams can really bring themselves to recommend
CW to new hams?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1469. Repost: Feeling Pathetic? Well it could be worse, your name could be Roger Wiseman.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 15:35:43 UTC
From: "s!0.0^7ii.exe@mm" <s^0.0^7ii.exe@softtargets.com>
Message-ID: <sGXX8.7811$c_2.1975031@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hmmm, I leave a.f. for a short while to enjoy the summer and focus on my dragonboat racing to help raise money for disabled children and notice that nothing has changed. Surprise. Surprise. Same old boring fuckheads. Of course, the most unexciting aspect to all this is chickenshit Suzielame poking her fat ugly beaver in to brag about her pathetic retard lame awards and Roger ineloquently autolaming himself to death - competing with the most dried up of old used sponges in the "blow the guage on the stoopid meter" division. If this were dragonboating between me and a.f., it would be like winning against a boatfull of overweight arm-amputees.
==========

Feeling pathetic? Thinking maybe it's time to end it all, or at least the portion of it all that keeps girls from talking to you? Cheer up, gloomypants! The fact is, you could be even MORE pathetic! Here's how:

Your name could be Roger Wiseman.

You take a break from usenet only to find that the content contains mainly of Suzielame trying to intimidate some newbie with her a.f. retard "awards" and Roger continuing in his best tradition to out-stoopid the most braindead of sponges. You leave in disgust and ask yourself, why did I even bother?

You could get demoted from scaling fish to gutting Roger Wiseman.

Your shirts could be pee-stained and your underwear mustard-stained, instead of vice-versa. Or the name Roger Wiseman could be sewn into the backs of those soiled diapers while you're spewing filth on usenet and calling everyone a pedophile who doesn't agree with you.

You could still be addressing your pillow as "Kathy Ireland" instead of having switched to Cindy Crawford in 1999. Or you could be cooing in the ear of your dead mother underneath your Inspector Gadget sheets.

You might only have the FIFTH most popular collection of Roger Wiseman PKB's, Autolames, & IKYABWAI's on usenet.

Your mom could take that one old video of you dressed up as Batman, singing the Batman song and playing air guitar, and she could show it to everyone at work instead of only those who make it to the annual Christmas party. Or you could be more like Roger Wiseman and spend the days felching the worms from her decomposing arse.

If you hadn't torn your Inspector Gadget sheets, you might still be using them today. Or your name could be Roger Wiseman and you could be over forty and find yourself sharing those same sheets with your dead mother.

You could have completely lost that one online argument about how a eighth-level druid could completely kick an eighth-level ranger's ass. Or you could be the first to lose an argument to Roger Wiseman on usenet.

You could still be pronouncing "ebullient" wrong. Or you could be creating typo's all over the place while posting spell-lames just like Roger Wiseman.

It could have been a supervisor who walked in on you masturbating into the bathroom sink, instead of just a janitor. Or it could have been Roger Wiseman offering your kid a candy in the park.

You could forget to omit breed when people ask you about your corgi-bite scar. Or you could have caught scabies while sleeping over at the Wiseman trailer household.

You could have failed to make any progress whatsoever in your efforts to not automatically look around in a panic whenever someone yells "Hey, loser!" Or your name could be Roger Wiseman and you could be absolute clueless to know what that means.

Your left testicle might not have finally descended. Or Roger Wiseman could be offering to help you with that left testy.

Someone might have seen you that day you almost fainted after stepping on a slug. Or someone might have seen you with Roger Wiseman.

If you knew more people, that'd just be more people who know how pathetic you are. Or you could be advertising it on usenet and ham radio like Roger Wiseman.

--
---
subRoutine <www.softtargets.com> s^0.0^7ii.exe@softtargets.com

1470. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 16:03:52 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IbYX8.99522$UT.6416401@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49284

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D303C1D.2B182FAE@hotmail.com...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Those of us who want CW to continue and grow in the future will do
well to take
> > a page from the book of the APRS, packet and PSK31 folks.
>
> Some hams on this newsgroup have predicted that new hams will not choose
> to learn Morse code and therefore not use CW. If they try and succeed
> in recruiting new hams to CW, they will have proven their predictions
> wrong. Do you think those hams can really bring themselves to recommend
> CW to new hams?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>

Oh, come now, Cecil. The implicit point in your logic is that we care
more for the analytical prediction than the mode; you may find it
descriptive, I find it insulting.

Besides, you do a similar bit of mental gymnastics every Sunday singing
bass in the choir and stirring up all those older ladies (don't tell,
don't yell, don't swell). Neither you nor most of the congregation
seriously expect it to work but it doesn't quell the preaching.

Beers, Dick NBK

1471. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 16:06:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713120649.01569.00000046@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 49285

In article <20020713063848.12736.00000375@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>Jim, be careful with that. Its what a lot of the Extra arguments are based
>>upon. If you were to negate it, Dick would have nothing.
>
>I have never once seen Dick claim that he was right because he was older.

Nor I.

>Care
>to do one of those google searches you are always telling others to do and
>post the quote?

What I have seen is similar claims by Cecil and Lenover21. They cite being older than me as a reason that my arguments are supposedly invalid. Cecil gave up on that game long ago but Lenover21 keeps at it for some reason.

Both are mistaken, of course.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1472. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 16:10:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713121049.12764.00000346@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49286

>Subject: Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 07/13/02 06:49 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020713064957.12736.00000381@mb-mf.aol.com>
>

>>>Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.
>>
>>I am just curious, how many lasts does it take you to reach your last?
>
>I wonder if Brian is going to answer this, or if the requirement to answer
>questions is another double standard sort of thing. . .
He must be a "Rocky" movie fan......

1473. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 16:20:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713122056.12764.00000347@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49287

>Subject: Re: Time to Boycott the M3?
>From: "Chris Heaton" chris.heaton@bigfoot.com
>Date: 07/12/02 15:14 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <agn9qb$3bt$1@knossos.btinternet.com>

>I take my foundation exam next week. I'm sorry to see that the elitism and
>snobism that I was told existed in the amateur fraternity really does exist.

Regardless of whether you get into Amateur Radio, R/C model flying, or playing Bridge, there will always be "someone" who wants to be King of the Hill, with or without the qualifications to BE King.

Don't sweat it.

Unfortunately this medium (USENET) allowws some very arrogant and very antagonistic creeps to have a "loud" voice.

>I don't think it was the difficulty of the exam that stopped newcommers
>becomeing Radio Amamteurs, it was that no one wanted to associate with such
>stuck up snobs as yourselves. I don't know about boycotting M3's, maybe we
>should boycot all you others!!!!

I sincerly doubt that anyone worth talking to anyway would "boycott" ANY QSO opportunity, and if they do, THEY (the boycotters) weren't worth talking to anyway.

>Seriously, there are a lot of good people on my course who will make good
>amateurs, stop moaning that it's not fair because you had to sit a harder
>exam. Wait to you contact an M3 on the air and then make your decision about
>how good a broadcater they are then. Please put your prejudices away and
>give us a chance!

Hear hear.

73 es CU on the air

Steve, K4YZ
Winchester, Tennessee

1474. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 16:27:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713122742.12708.00000294@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49288

>Subject: Re: Customized Code Fist
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 07/13/02 06:31 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020713063144.12736.00000371@mb-mf.aol.com>

>I am sorry that you missed it and that is
>causing you such pain.

I rather think his "pain" is caused by being in an anatomically impossible position, deprived of fresh oxygen, and eyes matted shut.

Soap, water and air would significantly improve his outlook.

Steve

1475. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 16:30:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713123045.12708.00000295@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49289

>Subject: Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002
>From: "Brain Rarey" @
>Date: 07/13/02 03:39 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d2fdada_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
>
>That's what the M3/CB licence is all about...

I notice that the guys supporting the new license are doing so with thier names and valid e mail addresses...You are, well, not.

I think that says a lot about the character of your posts right there.

Now we have TWO putz's (putzii..?) on the NG.

Steve

1476. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 17:05:12 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D305DC8.3F745D0E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49290

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > Some hams on this newsgroup have predicted that new hams will not choose
> > to learn Morse code and therefore not use CW. If they try and succeed
> > in recruiting new hams to CW, they will have proven their predictions
> > wrong. Do you think those hams can really bring themselves to recommend
> > CW to new hams?
>
> Oh, come now, Cecil. The implicit point in your logic is that we care
> more for the analytical prediction than the mode; you may find it
> descriptive, I find it insulting.

Don't you think there may be a bit of Will Rogers in those guys, Dick?
"I'd rather be right than be (a CW ambassador)."
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1477. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 17:06:19 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D305E0B.EAC4095F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49291

N2EY wrote:
> What I have seen is similar claims by Cecil and Lenover21. They cite being
> older than me as a reason that my arguments are supposedly invalid.

Dick taught that argument to me.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1478. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 17:07:44 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D305E60.DB337545@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49292

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Regardless of whether you get into Amateur Radio, R/C model flying, or
> playing Bridge, there will always be "someone" who wants to be King of the
> Hill, with or without the qualifications to BE King.

And quoting Neal Boortz, "In the land of the dimwits, the halfwit is king."
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1479. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 17:40:01 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <m6p0juo60nprf4v74sbia8cmtdnbdah17p@4ax.com>
Source record: 49293
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 12 Jul 2002 19:14:19 +0000 (UTC), "Chris Heaton"
<chris.heaton@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>I don't think it was the difficulty of the exam that stopped newcommers
>becomeing Radio Amamteurs, it was that no one wanted to associate with such
>stuck up snobs as yourselves.

How to win friends and influence people! If you come into amateur
radio with that attitude, no wonder you have difficulty in being
accepted.

> Wait to you contact an M3 on the air and then make your decision about
>how good a broadcater they are then.

We do not need 'broadcaters' (sic) in amateur radio.

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

1480. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 17:56:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713135605.01458.00000029@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 49294

In article <MNIX8.5406$_e7.280293408@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020711181347.27364.00000020@mb-mg.aol.com...
>> In article <20020711073302.19575.00002922@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI)
>> writes:
>>
>> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>> >
>> >>> My answer is no.
>> >
>> >>Ed, why did you answer Larry's leading question that was meant to goad?
>> >
>> >Because he doesn't ask so many of them as you do.
>>
>> Hare has a long history in here of "replying" by means of more
>> questions. Some of those appear very much to be mere goads.
>>
>> >Time will tell whether he
>> >likes my answer or whether he will tell everyone that I didn't answer it,
>or
>> >that the answer was really "yes."
>>
>> None of us need hold our breath waiting for an "answer."
>>
>> Goading is just goading...unless it is via the Word of Extras.
>
>Now Len, was that meant to Goad?
>
>I guess the Goose and the Gander get equal treatment.

I don't know...do you know? :-)

Anyone who takes a gander at what goes on in here and
says something about it is sure to get goosed... :-)

1481. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:05:20 UTC
From: RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk>
Message-ID: <qqq0jukpln95nkjeqcpkum1n71uqll5m2e@4ax.com>
Source record: 49296
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote:

>Harm Radio is a technical hobby.

Are you talking of what you do for Amateur Radio?

--
from
RVMJ
Remove Safety-&-Arming before e-mailing

1482. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:05:21 UTC
From: RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3sq0ju4qkrb7d5evamcokchau82eeh5jek@4ax.com>
Source record: 49297
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote:

>That's because DXing as it has become is a
>CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
>a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
>over and over again.
>
>No skill involved (especially when Internet DX
>prompting is used). No technical improvement to
>the station being tested.

Claptrap of the first magnitute.

Read your BR68, understand what is says, and perhaps stop being so
moronic?

--
from
RVMJ
Remove Safety-&-Arming before e-mailing

1483. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:05:33 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <fNZX8.31592$JU3.17908042@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49295

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:XgVX8.359>
> I am not poking fun of peoples disabilities.

Oh yes you are!

And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of handicapped individuals. And you did it right in front of the whole amateur radio community. What ever got you started on this, i.e. to state that I obtained a Physician's certificate in the first place? I only said, and any person with an ounce of compassion would agree, that the Physician's certificate was very, morally legitimate when obtained as intended.
Some people see themselves as the center of the universe, just because they happen to be healthy (at the present time, at least).

1484. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:06:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713140621.01619.00000023@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49352

In article <20020710211758.00961.00000937@mb-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020710080854.01567.00000013@mb-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>>>The "Radiotron Designer's Handbook" doesn't have any design
>>>>>data for transistors or integrated circuits.
>>>
>>>>There were no ICs in 1954, and transistors were very new technology then.

>>>TM 11-690 came out that year, not only had
>>>the standard transistor symbolism but lots of different schematics
>>>and nomenclature and some design data...all for transistors.
>>
>>So? Are you saying RCA was behind the times in 1954?
>
>No. TM 11-690 is "Theory and Application of Transistors," a
>technical manual or "TM" published by the US Army.
>It described
>the theory and application of transistors. Further, it used the
>standard symbolism of the transistor whereas many textbooks
>of the 50s and some of the 60s used a non-standard symbolism.

Yeah, I know. I had one. Still around somewhere.

Doesn't answer the question though - was RCA behind the times by not including
transistors in the "Radiotron"?
>
>>>1954 was 48 years ago. I was in the US Army Signal Corps
>>>then, controlling HF transmitters.
>>
>>Did you design and build those transmitters? Pay for them all by yourself
>>and take full responsibility for them?
>>
>>Or were you a junior member of a large team that took care of them?
>
>Is an E-5 a "junior member" of anything?

"You can't answer a question with another question." Your own words.

It's clear you're avoiding the simple truth that, in fact, the transmitters
were designed, built and installed by others at taxpayer's expense. And a whole
team kept them going. You were part of that team - and not the leader of it.

Is any of the above not true?

>>>What were you doing?
>>
>>Learning to walk and talk. What's your point? Does being older make you
>>right? I don't think so.
>
>"Learning to walk and talk" before being born? Precocious! :-)

I was born in 1954. Being older doesn't make you right, yet you talk as though
it does.
>
>Were you designing and building nursery care equipment? Pay for them
>all by yourself and take full responsitibility for them in 1954?

>Or weren't you just a junior member of the nursery trying to go
>through potty training? :-)

Sounds like we played similar roles in 1954. We were users of things provided
for us by others.
>
>>>2N3904, 2N3906, 2N4124, 2N4126 are all still available on the
>>>market, new, just as they were 30 years ago. Complementary
>>>symmetry transistors, general purpose types with f-sub-t to
>>>150 MHz.
>>
>>Can they be used to build all of the projects in HR?
>
>Pathetic, spiteful commentary from an alleged working electronics
>engineer...or just a spiteful commentary from an ignorant engineer
>who doesn't know his PNP from an NPN. :-)

Again, you avoid the question. Truth is, many semiconductors have become
unobtainable, particularly those more advanced than general purpose
transistors.
>
>Do you really know the meaning of "general purpose" or
>"complementary symmetry?" Or even "f-sub-t?"

Pathetic, spiteful commentary from an alleged electronics
"engineer" without degree...or just a spiteful commentary from a wannabe.

Yes, I know what those terms mean - all of them. High school stuff.

Do you know what a premixer is?
>
>>>THEORY is hardly ever out of date, is it?
>>
>>Of course not. But you're the one who complains about things being OLD, and
>>a part of HISTORY. How come it's all right for you but not all right for
>>others to say those things?
>
>Don't misdirect into the "defunct" area... :-)

Typical Lenover21 double standard.

>Is theory out of date or isn't it? Try answering the question.

Why? You never answer mine.

But I'll answer anyway: Theory rarely goes out of date. And old technology
often holds the answer to modern problems. Old technology is not necessarily
"bad" or "obsolete".

>>>Or do you think ham
>>>radio theory only comes from the Big Brother in Newington?
>>
>>More put-downs. Civil deportment very lacking in Lenover21.
>
>Pardon me, your memberhighnessship, let me rephrase:
>
>Do you think ham radio theory only comes from the ARRL?

Nope. HR was a great magazine. Too bad it's defunct. All we have left is old
copies and reprints.

There's an online index of HR articles. Turns out the vast majority of them
were written by hams. Also turns out I know several of the authors.

>>>Jimmie needs a lesson in civil comportment on newsgroups.
>>
>>HAW!
>
>You do.
>
>>Explain how you are being "civil" by calling people names and ridiculing
>>their accomplishments.
>
>Why do you consider lack of praise to be "ridicule?"

It's the name-calling ("Jimmie", "Nursie", "Herr Heil", etc.) that I refer to.
Many other examples.

>>>So...I can't buy those common transistors from, say, Digi-Key
>>>today? No problem. Single-piece price for them are 21 cents
>>>each (less in quantity), maybe another 4 cents each for the
>>>small-quantity shipping charge. Need some common rectifier
>>>diodes like the 1N4001 through 1N4007? Digi-Key's price is
>>>10 for $1.36 "cut tape" (they come on an ammo-belt like tape)
>>>or 13.6 cents apiece.
>>
>>Those are just a small subset of the devices needed to build a useful
>>project.
>
>OK, Mr. Extreme Literalist, I'll just name the ENTIRE Digi-Key catalog
>as containing the parts that would be "useful" for a project. :-)

Of course it's "useful" - but it's not adequate. And the main point is that
home constructing from new parts is usually not cost effective
>

>>How many amateur radio transceivers have you built with your own money and
>>time? How many have you designed?
>
>Six, but those were not solely for amateur radio although each one could
>cover at least one amateur band.

Sounds like they were not ham gear in the usual sense. A CB set can be used on
10 meters, for example.
>
>Four of those were my own design, notebooks signed and witnessed to
>prove it.

Uh huh. Give us some more than that. Were they HF?

The other two were ARC-5 Command Set combinations of
>receiver-transmitter that I obviously did not design. :-)

A receiver-transmitter is not a transceiver.
>
>>>Digi-Key carries an enormous selection and sells singles through
>>>thousands. www.digikey.com
>>
>>Sure - I buy from them regularly.
>
>Of course you do...you access their web site, imagine things, then
>say you "buy" from them regularly. :-)

No, I buy parts from them.

>>Back in 1975 I desined and built a digital dial adapter using TTL ICs. Would
>>work with almost any HF receiver. Pretty advanced for the time.
>
>I'd say it was damn well advanced if you could get TTLs to display
>anything! :-)

7 segment LED readouts

>Did you write it up for publication? Go through the patent application
>steps?

Not patentable - it was obvious to anyone skilled in the art. I wrote it up but
a few months later a similar design appeared in QST. Author was W1DBM - Phil
Rand. Great minds think alike..
>
>>>If you want everything in a hobby to be "simple projects" then
>>>content yourself at building tuna-tin transmitters and that good
>>>old standard, the regenerative receiver using a single tube! :-)
>>
>>I've built those. Also multiconversion receivers, heterodyne transmitters,
>>transceivers, and all sorts of other stuff. How much have you built as an
>>individual home workshop constructor?
>
>You built "heterodyne transmitters?!?"

Yes. The output frequency ius generated by heterodyning rather than
multiplication. Didn't you know that?

> Did the FCC ever catch you
>"heterodyning?" What did you convert those receivers from? :-)

Obviously you didn't know it.

>>>>One notable exception to this rule is when parts can be obtained at a
>small
>>>>percentage of their retail cost. "Surplus" outlets, hamfests, internet
>>>>venues and even dumpsters are sources of such parts - including tubes.
>>>
>>>OK, you get your stuff out of the dumpster...and the quality of it will
>>>let your project go right back to the dumpster.
>>
>>Typical uncivil putdown noted.
>>
>>It's called recycling.
>
>No problem. You are welcome to rummage through our recycling can
>any week before Monday (pick-up day in my neighborhood). All kinds
>of "neat" things in there... :-)

More putdowns.

>>Build us a multiband transceiver with only the parts in those catalogs.
>
>Why? Will you praise it, say it is "advanced for its time?" :-)

Sure - if it fits that description.
>
>Or will you deride it, denigrate it, and otherwise damn it if it doesn't have
>"CW" capability?

You're the expert at deriding and denigrating.
>
>Is monoband design "less quality" than multiband? :-)
>
It's much easier and simpler. Multiband design is much more complex.

>>>>The parts cost issue is not a new thing. It is one of the main reasons for
>>>>the success of Heathkit.
>>>
>>>True for 1962.
>>
>>True today.
>
>Heath doesn't make ham radio kits in today's time (year 2002).

Parts cost. Stay on the subject.

>>>All you have left is an emotional surge of nostalgia that NTIS cannot
>>>calibrate.

>>
>>Like your nostalgia for 1954?
>
>What "nostalgia?"

You mention it ad infinitum, as if it had some significance to today's amateur
radio policies.

>>Is there something wrong with using older technologies?
>
>Yes, when you champion those because it is the only technology
>you seem to know anything about... :-)

I know about all sorts of technologies, old and new. You seem to hate that
fact.
>
>You belong in an era that existed before you were born.

>>That's one of my stations. I have other amatuer radio equipment that I built
>>and designed myself. Do you?
>
>I'm not a licensed radio amateur. Why should I "built and designed"
>something I can't use? :-)
>
In other words: NO.

>>> Did you DESIGN it?
>>
>>Of course not. It was cheaper to buy the kit.
>
>So...you did NOT design something, but rather assembled a kit that
>someone else designed. [at least you admitted it outright...there's
>hope for you...]

That is only one of my transceivers.

>>Tell us how many amateur radio transceivers you've designed and built as an
>>individual, using your own time and resources. I've done three, all
>>multiband
>>HF designs. None were copies of published designs - in fact, I've only done
>>one or two copy-projects in my life.
>
>Of course you did.

Yep. Worked several other rrap members using one of them, too.

>Just a minute while I get a notepad and start scribbling
>an endorsement of you for a medal from some important scientific institution.

I don't need or want your endorsement.

>Okay, go ahead, describe them in detail...
>
Why? Will you praise it, say it is "advanced for its time?" Or will you deride

it, denigrate it, and otherwise damn it for it's CW capability and use of older technology?

Jim, N2EY

1485. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:06:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713140622.01619.00000025@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49300

In article <3D303C1D.2B182FAE@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Those of us who want CW to continue and grow in the future will do well to
>take
>> a page from the book of the APRS, packet and PSK31 folks.
>
>Some hams on this newsgroup have predicted that new hams will not choose
>to learn Morse code and therefore not use CW.

Without a test, you mean.

>If they try and succeed
>in recruiting new hams to CW, they will have proven their predictions
>wrong.

Nope. They will have altered the boundary conditions by actively recruiting
more than was done in the past.

>Do you think those hams can really bring themselves to recommend
>CW to new hams?

Of course. In fact, I think many of "those hams" are already active recruiters
for the mode. Whether they succeed or not is another question.

See N0BK's excellent post, too.

Anybody can "recommend" or "recruit", but an actual demo speaks much louder.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1486. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:06:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713140622.01619.00000024@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49351

In article <20020712073423.07640.00000007@mb-cq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>>I could memorize the schematic for a spark transmitter in a minute or two.
>I
>>>could memorize the schematic for a single-tube oscillator in slightly more
>>>time
>>>and a two-tube xtal transmitter would be about 10 minutes, from scratch.
>
>>Could you do those things in that time frame if you had no background in
>>radio beforehand, Ed?
>
>Considering the relatively small number of parts involved, I believe so.

OK
>
>>Could you also learn enough to write essays on how they worked, in enough
>>detail to convince the examiner? And do it on a similar time frame?
>
>Absolutely not.

The tests "back then" involved writing essays, blue-book and all. Memorization would not get you past the RI.

>Of course, by the time I took my tests in the 60s, all I had
>to do in the schematic department was to recognize a few schematics on a
>multiple-choice test.

My experience was that the diagram (block and schematic) questions were of several types, such as recognizing a circuit or system, filling in a missing block, or completing a schematic.

But since we didn't know what the exact questions would be, it was a bit of a different game.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1487. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:06:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713140623.01619.00000026@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49298

In article <uiu3oil0k4vgb9@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> writes:

>Looks like some of us just might have to start a cw net on 80 in the
>evenings! ;)
>
Works for me! 3530, maybe?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1488. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:06:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713140623.01619.00000027@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49299

In article <IbYX8.99522$UT.6416401@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>(don't tell,
>don't yell, don't swell).

I first heard this as the chorus of a song sung by the late great Benny Hill:

Give me an older woman every time,
Give me an older woman every time,
For they don't tell or yell or swell
And oh, they're grateful as h**l
So give me an older woman every time.

--

We miss you, Benny

73 de Jim, N2EY

1489. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:08:53 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <nQZX8.31601$JU3.17921134@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49353

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713063743.12736.00000374@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net
>
> >Brian, do you enjoy poking fun at peoples' handicaps? What's next,
getting a
> >laugh out of the hearing-impaired? Autism? Sometimes you can take it to
the
> >edge and at other times you take plunge, way over.
>
> I think it is part of the high moral standard that causes him to obsess
about
> Farnsworth and cronyism.

Ed, I think that what Brian needs to learn, when making fun of handicapped people, is that, what goes around comes around. Sorry to say it but it's usually true.

73 de KR4AJ

1490. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:49:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713144941.06823.00000354@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 49354

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>But since we didn't know what the exact questions would be, it was a bit of a
>different game.

I remember the schematic diagram on my General written exam. It was a colpitts oscillator. The only reason I knew that was that I had memorized that the split capacitors were a colpitts oscillator and a tapped coil was a hartley.

Has ham radio been ruined since the day I memorized that for the General? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1491. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:51:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713145109.06823.00000355@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 49355

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>Regardless of whether you get into Amateur Radio, R/C model flying, or
>playing Bridge, there will always be "someone" who wants to be King of the
>Hill, with or without the qualifications to BE King.

In Amateur Radio, there IS no King.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1492. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:54:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713145410.06823.00000356@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 49356

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>This is the part where he says, "Don't let the door hit you on the ass on
>your way out," like he has done so many other times.

That has become his major accomplishment in ham radio. Or at least the only
one he has managed lately.

So much wasted potential.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1493. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:55:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713145537.06823.00000357@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 49357

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Someone needs to be here to counter you nonsense. You harm amateur radio.

Don't you think that some of the techniques you use to counter his nonsense
also have the potential to harm ham radio? Pop?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1494. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:56:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713145656.06823.00000358@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 49358

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>I said LAST on about every response to this subject that I made last night,
>since Dave says that he doesn't read everything. I didn't want him to miss
>it.

You could have emailed him...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1495. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 18:58:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713145809.06823.00000359@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 49359

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dsve, I'm going home because there's nothing left to discuss.

Does this mean this is the last of it... again?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1496. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 19:00:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713150019.06823.00000360@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 49360

From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net

>Ed, I think that what Brian needs to learn, when making fun of handicapped
>people, is that, what goes around comes around. Sorry to say it but it's
>usually true.

Brian was quite clear when he explained that he did not intend the question to poke fun at handicapped people. That was sufficient explanation for me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1497. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 19:01:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D3078F4.4B66E19E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49361

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >I'm not dead. If you meant HER death: How would you know?
>
> If he doesn't answer your question to your satisfaction, make sure you prod him
> endlessly for an answer, then post about a dozen times that each of the posts
> is your last post, then chide him if he talks about it with anyone else.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I'm not sure about Brian but believe Mother Theresa has spoken her last.
If I'm not mistaken, it is about time for Cecil to pipe in with Mother
Theresa would have thought about the Farnsworth method and French
amateurs on 6m. Len can then give us his input on why I'm denigrating
Mother Theresa.

Dave K8MN

1498. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 19:05:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713150500.06823.00000361@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 49363

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Brian, at some point, I learned that Farnsworth was going to be used in
>> testing. I can't recall just where. I am sorry that you missed it and
>that is
>> causing you such pain.

>Pain? Why do you inject such crap into you responses? Exactly what IS your
>agenda?

My read of your multitude of posts implies to me that you are deeply troubled
by the use of Farnsworth. If calling you deeply troubled instead of pained
will make you feel better, I will gladly do so.

Why do you thihk I would have an "agenda" wrt your obsession about Farnsworth
timing being used to help people pass Morse code tests?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1499. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 19:05:54 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mS_X8.26340$Iu6.1443711@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49362

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713140623.01619.00000027@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <IbYX8.99522$UT.6416401@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >(don't tell,
> >don't yell, don't swell).
>
> I first heard this as the chorus of a song sung by the late great Benny
Hill:
>
> Give me an older woman every time,
> Give me an older woman every time,
> For they don't tell or yell or swell
> And oh, they're grateful as h**l
> So give me an older woman every time.
>
> --
>
> We miss you, Benny
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

In a goofy sort of way, I too miss Benny. But I think you will find the
Benny in question here was surnamed Franklin, from something called I
believe In Praise of Older Women.

1500. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 19:12:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D307BA2.6DF6F0B5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49364

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2FA40D.484AD6F@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D2DE8DE.4DF96AB8@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > > > That chip on your shoulder hasn't gotten any smaller through the
> > > years,
> > > > > > has it?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Chip? I'm surprised its even there. I Love chips. Now I'll have
> to go
> > > > > > find some dip. No, wait, that's your part.
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks for opportunity. Okay, you're a dip.
> > > >
> > > > Whatever. You blew it. It's over.
> > >
> > > It never started, Brian. Debates aren't won just because the guy with
> > > no ammunition goes home.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dsve, I'm going home because there's nothing left to discuss. You engage in
> > something other than Good Amateur Practice, then spend years denying it.
> >
> > What else is there to discuss?

We could start with your inability to comprehend what you've read from
me for several iterations of this nonsense. Perhaps we could continue
from there with your self-righteous but misplaced indignity.

At no time did I transmit a signal other than where I was permitted to
be. I have never denied it.

Dave K8MN

1501. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 19:17:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D307CDF.84A7CEE7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49365

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2FA4CD.6B38C0B4@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020711074754.19575.00002935@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >
> > > > >So moral questions are meant to goad?
> > > >
> > > > I don't believe that your asking me what I would do in a situation I
> have
> > > never
> > > > been in to be all that "moral," Brian. It is more a waste of
> everyone's
> > > time.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >No wonder there's a problem in America today.
> > > >
> > > > If you feel your "moral" question is of the same magnitude as the
> problems
> > > in
> > > > America today, you have a dangerously bloated sense of self
> importance.
> > > >
> > > > >> Does that help?
> > > >
> > > > > Immensely.
> > > >
> > > > I am pleased for you, Brian. I hope you feel better now.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
> > > Dave admitted that he never worked a French Ham on 6M that was within
> their
> > > authorization. Perhaps this will help him on his way to "Good Amateur
> > > Practice."
> >
> > Dave didn't "admit". Dave came out and volunteered the information.
> > During this charade, you've not had one thing to say about French

> > stations who broke their country's regulations.
>
> They're scum.

Even I don't have enough information on those involved to make such an accusation. How can you do so based on a complete lack of knowledge of the individuals involved? What steps have you taken to see that these French lawbreakers do not repeat their crime and that they are brought to justice?

> > > I've been vindicated.
> >
> > That is utterly moronic.
> >
> > > Last this Subject. Unless you want to continue it.
> >
> > I'm here as long as you like, Brian.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> For what purpose? More denial?

I've never denied operating below 50.200 and having French stations call and work me. In fact, if I hadn't stated so, you'd never have known anything about it.

Dave K8MN

1502. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 19:20:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49366

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020713064342.12736.00000377@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >Let it go, Ed. Your obsession with this is getting strange. I've
> already
> > >said, "LAST."
> >
> > I wasn't talking to you, Brian.
>
> Ed, be more specific about who you are talking to.
>
> > And yes, you said "LAST" -- about a dozen times, as I recall. Now THAT is
> > strange. It may be last for you, but if I want to talk about it with
> others,
> > that is not your business.
>
> Fair enough.
>
> I said LAST on about every response to this subject that I made last night,
> since Dave says that he doesn't read everything. I didn't want him to miss
> it.

I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply assumed that this
was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.

Dave K8MN

1503. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:16:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713161617.01545.00000058@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49372

In article <7lVX8.360$Mk4.68638944@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020713063144.12736.00000371@mb-mf.aol.com...
>> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>>
>> >Ed, discussion of Farnsworth is not a decree that it WILL BE USED IN
>> >TESTING.
>>
>> Brian, at some point, I learned that Farnsworth was going to be used in
>> testing. I can't recall just where. I am sorry that you missed it and that
is
>> causing you such pain.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>Pain? Why do you inject such crap into you responses? Exactly what IS your
>agenda?

I get the impression Ed is sorely vexed and he is trying to Get Back
at those who don't accept his lofty, important position.

He's gone over to the snarly dark side of the force and is using
the Word of (PR) Extras:

There shall be NO argument or negative criticsm of anything
spoken by (PR) Extras. There shall be Obedience to Orders
and everyone must march to the same drumbeat. To question
the ARRL or anything it says is heresy and shall be punished
by innuendo, implication of wrongdoing...eventually resulting
in excommunication.

That's called "Freedom of Expression" in the democratic process.
Everyone is free to say what they want, but only if they agree to
side with the Word of (PR) Extras...and the ARRL. :-)

1504. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:16:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713161618.01545.00000059@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49367

In article <20020712075522.07640.00000016@mb-cq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>> As I have said and some always misinterpret, ham radio is just not for
>>everyone. Lots of people wouldn't have it if you gave it to them.
>
>I agree, Dick. (Gasp!) This is why I believe that those who choose to become
>hams are not doing so in order not to learn anything.

Oh? Somehow I got the impression that the Word of (PR) Extras
and that all had to dumb down to become amateurs was the
Correct Way.

Thank you for the encouragement.

1505. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:16:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713161618.01545.00000060@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49375

In article <20020713100636.01567.00000113@mb-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020713063608.12736.00000373@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI)
>writes:
>
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>
>>>80 characters?
>>>
>>>Passing for 20 wpm is at least 100 consecutive characters.
>>>Passing for 13 wpm is at least 65 consecutive characters.
>>>Passing for 5 wpm is at least 25 consecutive characters.
>>
>>Must be that new math. :-) Brain spasm!
>>
>>>'zactly. But if there's any doubt, those of us who can will be glad to
>>>demonstrate.
>>
>>Assuming, of course, that there is any need. I don't feel I need to prove my
>>code speed to anyone. Nor does N0IMD.
>
>It's not about "need" but about demonstrating that it's not just idle talk.

It is?!? Gosh, I thought it was all about the superiority of morse CW
and how it is Best For Everything because you are good at it...

>Those of us who want CW to continue and grow in the future will do well to
take
>a page from the book of the APRS, packet and PSK31 folks. They don't just talk
>about their mode, they demo it at any opportunity, like hamfests, club
meetings
>and Field Day.

...and be sure to beat up on those who aren't convinced by such demos,
don't share your particular enthusiasm, nor applaud you for your marvelous
skill at mastership of ancient radio communications methods.

>The AM/military/BA folks have taken to setting up demo stations at hamfests
>and airshows. CW can be a part of that, too.

"Airshows?!?" Do you want morse CW exhibits to show the superiority of
that mode in communicating with aircraft? Is this a new thing for ATC?

1506. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:16:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713161619.01545.00000061@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49368

In article <20020713063743.12736.00000374@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net
>
>>Brian, do you enjoy poking fun at peoples' handicaps? What's next, getting a
>>laugh out of the hearing-impaired? Autism? Sometimes you can take it to the
>>edge and at other times you take plunge, way over.
>
>I think it is part of the high moral standard that causes him to obsess about
>Farnsworth and cronyism.

Is this another "I'm with the ARRL and I know what is best" kind of things?

Or is it just a cronyistic discussion about the Word of (PR) Extras?

1507. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:16:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713161620.01545.00000062@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49373

In article <20020713021705.12748.00000229@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>The question is WHY anyone would bother to try this other than
>>for individual intellectual amusement. There is so little
>>commercial application for on-off codings that it must be just a
>>hobby exercise.
>
> Probably for the same reason that some people persued a "career" in the
>comercially un-viable fields of 'wireless" and "aeronautics". Someone had a
>vision.

Always happy to have the Word from an Amateur Radio Historian. Too bad the poor baby isn't one of those... :-)

> Can you state, with absolute and certain knowledge, that these basic
>communications techniques will NEVER be used again, nor will they ever have
>ANY commecial application?

On-off codings are in use today in keyless auto lock systems. The difference is that they do NOT use any morse codings or anything similar.

>Or ANY military application?

Yes...by the US military's own descriptions of its communications capabilities, doctrine, and future planning. The future of the US military lies in the "digital battlefield." The "digital battlefield" does not include morse codings.

>Or any EMERGENCY applicaiotn?

Whevever I encounter ANY public safety radio service use of morse codings to handle any kind of emergency, whether "applicatiotn" or application, I will acknowledge it.

> If the answer is "no" (and there is no way that a professionally trained
>engineer can say "yes" to it) then "on-off keying" will continue to be
>entertained for SOME applications.

How can a nurse who is without practical experience in the professional world of radio (except for being an amateur) be able to "judge" what is "possible?" :-)

Is this another "do as I say, not what I know" kind of things?

Are morse coding systems "entertaining?" Only to a select few...and apparently most of them overly-prideful amateurs. :-)

>It will never be the "mode du jour" it was
>in the 20's and 30's, but it will always have practical applications.

In this new millennium, the standards and practices of 80+ years ago are passe' and of little use except to a minority of overly-prideful amateurs. Radio as a communications medium is only about 108 years old.

The US military disestablished morse codings for long-haul HF communications a half century ago and commercial communications carriers were in the process of eliminating morse codings at that time.

The "practical applications" of morse codings in on-off keying exist only the amateur world. On-off keying still exists in automotive keyless entry systems but the coding in a much more complex and secure digital system far from morse methods.

Public safety radio services long ago gave up on morse codings. Their primary modes are voice, followed closely by data of various kinds.

The Titanic disaster happened in 1912, 90 years ago. Quit dreaming of "saving anyone" by morse code modes today and concentrate on what mode DOES work.

1508. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:16:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713161621.01545.00000064@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49369

In article <20020713120649.01569.00000046@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020713063848.12736.00000375@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI)
>writes:
>
>>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>>
>>>Jim, be careful with that. Its what a lot of the Extra arguments are based
>>>upon. If you were to negate it, Dick would have nothing.
>>
>>I have never once seen Dick claim that he was right because he was older.
>
>Nor I.

Dick has made an enormous number of "claims" in here and
he HAS cited his "long experience" in many things. Most
reasoning folks could equate "long experience" as being due
to age...but that apparently doesn't apply if opinions differ?

:-)

>>Care
>>to do one of those google searches you are always telling others to do and
>>post the quote?
>
>What I have seen is similar claims by Cecil and Lenover21. They cite being
>older than me as a reason that my arguments are supposedly invalid.

N2EY's OPINIONS are challenged as being invalid. Try to
learn the difference...please.

>Cecil gave
>up on that game long ago but Lenover21 keeps at it for some reason.

Leonard H. Anderson keeps at it to counter the OPINIONS of
N2EY as being "equal" to What Is Best In Amateur Radio.

>Both are mistaken, of course.

Naturally. NO ONE knows better than N2EY What Is Best in
Amateur Radio. :-)

His is the Word of (PR) Extras!

1509. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:16:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713161621.01545.00000063@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49371

In article <20020713065154.12736.00000382@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>Why do you wish to harm my family with inane code practice?
>
>LOL! Brian, if your family would be somehow harmed by your taking time to do
>code practice, they are equally harmed by all of the time you spend posting
>on rrap.
>
>Is this a double standard sort of thing?

Is this another "I'm with the ARRL and I know what's best" kind of things?

Shall we NOT discuss code testing regulations and simply OBEY the law as it is, mindlessly and without question?

Are you a good little robot?

1510. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:16:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713161622.01545.00000065@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49370

In article <20020713063848.12736.00000375@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>Jim, be careful with that. Its what a lot of the Extra arguments are based
>>upon. If you were to negate it, Dick would have nothing.
>
>I have never once seen Dick claim that he was right because he was older.
Care
>to do one of those google searches you are always telling others to do and
post
>the quote?

N2EY lives in Google. Dick hasn't yet learned to handle the Google menues.

Is the above quote one of the usual "I'm with the ARRL and I know what's best" kind of things?

1511. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:16:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713161624.01545.00000066@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49374

In article <20020713024310.12748.00000231@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com mumbled:

>>So, Mr. Webster, how do you define CD-ROM disks being sold
>>on the market today? Try explaining that CDs "aren't published
>>items" to the copyright lawyers and US law. Do you need a link
>>to the US government's regulations on copyrights?
>
> They are reprints, Lennie. Nothing but reprints. No more different than
>the 2AM late nite TV sales pitch for "K-Tel's Greatest All-time Hits"
>collections of any number of artists.

"Publishing" is still publishing and the Ham Radio Magazine CDs are
still being published.

> I understand "published", Lennie. The real pitty is that after 15 years
>of night school, YOU don't.

[Poor baby missed his "pitty training?!?" :-)]

You are welcome to read the US government's law on copyrights and
publishing to see for yourself. "Publishing" is what I said it was.

>>> You forgot the question mark, Lennie. Of course your night school
>>>education probably skipped punctuation.
>>
>>Still on this "denigration of night school" kick, ey?
>
> Actually, the correct application for the colloquial interrogative is
>"eh?", not "ey".

Hate Australians, do you? Ey? :-)

> And it's not a denigration of the school. It's a denigration of the time
>you wasted since you keep making such glaring errors, Your Ignorantness.

Poor baby, had your own night classes' grades in "failure?" Keep trying.
You are very trying in here so extend that to your own night classes.
Perseverence is the key, but try not to insult the instructor again. :-)

>>College credit courses at night are a very convenient time for all
>>those who must work during daytime hours.
>
> Too bad you wasted both.

Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
houses (mortage and lien free)? Who has had a career in electronics
engineering design, covering a wide range of electronic disciplines
that include different types of radio?

Who actually "worked" on HF long-distance radio communications
nearly a half century ago? Who actually earned a formal degree in
electrical engineering?

Did the poor baby do all those things?

>>"Write trash?" I've written professionally on several different subjects
>>but none of them concerned sanitation departments or refuse
>>collecting. You must have someone else in mind.
>
> In this forum, all you write is trash. I am not the only one who holds
>that opinion. It's you alright, Your Wastefullness.

I'll put that down in the "Word of (pre-restructuring) Extras" list.

No one may contradict a (PR) Extra without incurring all sorts of insults
and personal attacks...all of which being due to some petty jealousy
and perceived wounding of egos. No problem...it seems to be SOP
among the baby-boomer Extras.

>> There are certain regulations
>>in US amateur radio which I would prefer to be changed, but I think
>>(and have stated many times) that amateur radio is a fine hobby.
>
> Un huh...You have only spent the last several years berating every
>single aspect of Amateur Radio without quarter.

Incorrect again. Oh, my, how those baby-boomer Extras are stubborn!
:-)

>>"Denigrate amateur radio operators?" Only certain ones, most
>>notably those who are "pre-restructuring amateur extras" that
>>emphasize morse code skill over everything else in ham radio.
>>It is the INDIVIDUAL that may be a target of negative criticsm,
>>not the hobby.
>
> Only everyone that is in this forum, save for Brian, and I am not so sure
>about him, either. And of course let's not forget your anonymous buddy "MegHz"
>(whom I see has resurfaced lately), and I am not so sure "he" is not you
anyway.

Poor baby is still seeing monsters under his bed, even in late fortysomething
age!

The poor baby can automatically "see" everyone else accessing this
newsgroup? I don't think so. That is a metaphysical quality that doesn't
come with the amateur radio license, any class. It's an emotional thing,
a surrogate for failing to remain a non-commissioned ranking in some
military service...the Total Obediance To Orders as they are Issued by a
Higher Authority (such as the ARRL). Not uncommon, but it is perverse.

> You are a pathological liar, Lennie, and then you lie about your lying.

:-)

You can extend this to (1). Being an alien from outer space, out to destroy all amateurkind; (2). Being a sleeper Al-Queada member out to air-bomb the W1AW twin towers with a hijacked R/C aircraft; (3). Being part of a Secret Plot to destroy Heroes of the State (aka amateur radio extra classes) and prevent their Ruling of Radio (as they deserve to do).

[...just some suggestions to spark creativity...]

Now it is rather well-known that those whose opinions are countered in newsgroups are justified in their paranoia. They "know" that Others Are Against Them. All those "Others" lie, cheat, steal, and probably have underarm odor. They do not Know The True Condition as exemplified by the Masters Of The Amateur Universe (such as poor baby). They will never know because only the amateur paranoids can KNOW what is best...and that justifies the use of all manner of insults and personal attacks.

> What a Putz.

QED. Failure to Listen To Orders while in ranks...or not appreciating the Glory and Wisdom of the Codes of Morse...is automatic permission to insult and engage in personal attacks. It is the Word of (PR) Extras.

That is Soooo encouraging to enticing others to join amateur radio. :-)

1512. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:28:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D308D5D.D8CDDC33@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49376

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020713063743.12736.00000374@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net
> >
> >>Brian, do you enjoy poking fun at peoples' handicaps? What's next, getting a
> >>laugh out of the hearing-impaired? Autism? Sometimes you can take it to the
> >>edge and at other times you take plunge, way over.
> >
> >I think it is part of the high moral standard that causes him to obsess about
> >Farnsworth and cronyism.
>
> Is this another "I'm with the ARRL and I know what is best" kind of
> things?
>
> Or is it just a cronyistic discussion about the Word of (PR) Extras?

Why, no. Brian is just playing Mini-Me to your Doctor Evil, baby.

Dave K8MN

1513. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:37:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D308F87.65EA2104@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49377

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020713120649.01569.00000046@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <20020713063848.12736.00000375@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> >(W1RFI)

> >What I have seen is similar claims by Cecil and Lenover21. They cite being
> >older than me as a reason that my arguments are supposedly invalid.
>
> N2EY's OPINIONS are challenged as being invalid. Try to
> learn the difference...please.
>
> >Cecil gave
> >up on that game long ago but Lenover21 keeps at it for some reason.
>
> Leonard H. Anderson keeps at it to counter the OPINIONS of
> N2EY as being "equal" to What Is Best In Amateur Radio.

Who do you ask about what is actually "Best In Amateur Radio",
Len--truck drivers? Tell us how your years on the planet and experience
in endeavors other than amateur radio prepared you to be able to
condescend to radio amateurs and to preach to them.

> >Both are mistaken, of course.
>
> Naturally. NO ONE knows better than N2EY What Is Best in
> Amateur Radio. :-)

...but I've encountered a few who know less. Those who have never been
a part of amateur radio would rank with that crowd. :)
>
> His is the Word of (PR) Extras!

More civil debate on your part, Len?

Dave K8MN

1514. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:42:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D3090B6.DE049353@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49378

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020713021705.12748.00000229@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> >It will never be the "mode du jour" it was
> >in the 20's and 30's, but it will always have practical applications.
>
> In this new millennium, the standards and practices of 80+ years
> ago are passe' and of little use except to a minority of overly-
> prideful amateurs. Radio as a communications medium is only
> about 108 years old.

Lots of radio amateurs use morse daily. Maybe you've heard about it. So
in amateur radio, morse isn't passe' and little used at all.

Just what constitutes "overly-prideful", Len? Would that be anyone who
enjoys participating in something which you've never attempted?

Dave K8MN

1515. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 20:49:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49379

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
> houses (mortage and lien free)?

The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who needs
to regale us with a listing of his material assets?

> Who has had a career in electronics
> engineering design, covering a wide range of electronic disciplines
> that include different types of radio?

I give up. Who?

> Who actually "worked" on HF long-distance radio communications
> nearly a half century ago? Who actually earned a formal degree in
> electrical engineering?

Bill Orr?

Dave K8MN

1516. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 21:13:33 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <agq55t$2o4u$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 49381

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>In article <agg3jd$1psq$1@heap.juniper.net>, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis
>Ferguson) writes:
>
>>The original topic wasn't
>>about each of your contacts, it was about what you'd do when a person
>>told you a large number of people were operating out of band. I personally
>>find that an interesting enough assertion to look it up, not because I
>>particularly care (it is the job of the French PTT to take care of such
>>things, and if they don't care then who am I to be bothered?) but certainly
>>for its value as trivia.
>
>Makes sense.
>>
>>And, of course, I'd absolutely want to look it up when I saw it on rrap
>>since I also understand that the people observed operating out of band were
>>CW operators, and I'd been lead to believe here that CW operators didn't
>>do stuff like that.
>
>Were they on CW? I don't recall that part.

Actually neither do I now that I've thought about it. It may have been
wishful thinking, actually, since trivia which proves that SSB is the root
of all evil is so common as to be mundane.

Dennis Ferguson

1517. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 21:21:10 UTC
From: admin@randomsparks.com
Message-ID: <361efa9d.0207131321.36504cf8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49380

The ham radio bands are a small fraction of radio space, and in fact just one of several services available to the public (e.g. ham, CB, GMRS, FRS). With this said, I agree with armageddon that a no-code HF/DX service is warranted. It's like the internet; enabling people to communicate freely is good policy.

Jim Hampton is also right about the need for regulation in my opinion, but this must serve the general public and not just the monied commercial interests. Ham radio has been under fire lately because it cannot match the economic arguments and resources of big businesses that are competing for its frequencies. Ham radio must win using public safety / emergency preparedness arguments. In a direct competition with business, it will never win using just a "rights of people to talk about their hobbies and passions" argument.

Truly public no-code / no-theory radio is worth lobbying for, but it's not the same as ham radio. It must be a distinct service with its own set of frequencies, and ham radio must continue as a high-quality technical and operational craft. The two can coexist and even benefit each other, perhaps through shared technology and compatible operating practices.

I am amazed by the depth of training that the ARRL provides for emergency network control operators. I thought for a minute about how rewarding it would be to help run communications during a hurricane or other disaster. But when I saw the Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses, I realized that the people who do this are really, really good at what they do. And what they provide is certainly in the public interest. I want these people on my side and can understand their need for protected frequencies on all bands to do what they do best. Maybe someday I'll take the plunge myself.

What constitutes a good ham is both operational and technical depth. Thankfully, ham radio has been self-policing in training good operators. It has addressed technical depth mainly through theory exams. One can argue about the effectiveness of these exams, but at least it's an effort.

Morse code is both technical and operational in my opinion. Technically, it's easier to bring a damaged radio back online for CW transmission than for voice. CW can be sent even if a microphone has been damaged. CW can be sent as modulated RF splatter even if a radio's narrowband circuits have been damaged. Anti-code people will say, "yeah right, how often is that necessary?", but ham radio as it has defined itself is all about being technically and operationally *ready* to do this. I guess that's the point; ham radio has shown

itself throughout the years as more than just a recreational communications service.

Not all public communication has to define itself in these terms however. Armageddon should have the right to DX freely somewhere on the HF spectrum, without having to fight government bureaucracy or the ARRL. Likewise, ham radio should have the right to continue protecting its unique purpose. In these terms, ham radio should be protected like any other "commercial" service that Jim listed - air, police, broadcast, etc. It's ultimately up to the FCC to make sure all these needs, including armageddon's need for truly public services, are met.

Sky
(Opinions are my own, etc.)

1518. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 22:20:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713182059.02595.00000069@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 49384

In article <fNZX8.31592$JU3.17908042@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn"
<djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:XgVX8.359>
>> I am not poking fun of peoples disabilities.
>
>Oh yes you are!

Well, there you have it! Someone denies a charge against them
and there you go, trying to re-pin the charge on them.

>And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of
>handicapped individuals.

It does? How does Life do that?

>And you did it right in front of the whole amateur
>radio community.

If Finn made the Charge, then the Charge stays... :-)

>What ever got you started on this, i.e. to state that I
>obtained a Physician's certificate in the first place?

I thought Brian just asked a question of you. Did he state
outright that you HAD used one or not? I don't think so.

>I only said, and any
>person with an ounce of compassion would agree, that the Physician's
>certificate was very, morally legitimate when obtained as intended.

Real Hams and Real Men don't have any need for "physician
certificates," do they?

Heh heh heh...I have yet to see ANY pro-code-test advocate say
or even imply that a medical waiver to skip a code test was
"very, morally legitimate" to become a Real Ham. :-)

>Some people see themselves as the center of the universe, just because they
>happen to be healthy (at the present time, at least).

Calm down, lad. Take it easy.

With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
:-)

1519. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 22:21:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713182101.02595.00000070@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 49383

In article <20020713140621.01619.00000023@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (a Tom Clancy wannabe) writes:

>>No. TM 11-690 is "Theory and Application of Transistors," a
>>technical manual or "TM" published by the US Army.
>>It described
>>the theory and application of transistors. Further, it used the
>>standard symbolism of the transistor whereas many textbooks
>>of the 50s and some of the 60s used a non-standard symbolism.
>
>Yeah, I know. I had one. Still around somewhere.

Ah, but did you LEARN anything from it? :-)

>Doesn't answer the question though - was RCA behind the times by not
>including transistors in the "Radiotron"?

Which "RCA" are you talking about? RCA-Montreal? RCA-Camden?

At RCA EASD we used mostly solid-state active devices, the only exceptions being CRTs, magnetrons for the civil aircraft radars, and TWTs in the EW group.

>It's clear you're avoiding the simple truth that, in fact, the transmitters
>were designed, built and installed by others at taxpayer's expense. And a
whole
>team kept them going. You were part of that team - and not the leader of it.
>
>Is any of the above not true?

Not true. "Trick Chiefs" (shift supervisors, as I was as an E-5) were the leaders on swing and midnight shifts. Since all teams worked all shifts, shift supervisors were leaders 2/3 of the time.

At ADA we also installed some of the transmitters. Considering that there were 43 HF transmitters there at the beginning of 1956, we couldn't have all "built them," could we? Could you or have you built a 1 KW transmitter? A 5 KW transmitter? A 15 KW transmitter? A 50 KW transmitter that was used as a 40 KW output linear amplifier for SSB?

Would you not accept "being a leader" unless one had the rank of Chief Signal Officer, United States Army?!? :-)

>I was born in 1954. Being older doesn't make you right, yet you talk as
>though it does.

True, and neither does being "an Extra since 1970" make you some
great guru of amateur radio by the same logic... :-)

>Pathetic, spiteful commentary from an alleged electronics
>"engineer" without degree...or just a spiteful commentary from a wannabe.

I was an engineer without formal degree for years and I was an engineer
with a formal degree for a few more years. In the former I did want to
be one, studied, learned, and applied and I was given full engineering
design responsibility at work to do so. My patent was granted under
the former period.

My employers kept giving me regular salary checks and that was
what really counted...or was it? Can you pay off a mortgage with
some certificates suitable for framing? Will they automatically buy
food?

>>Is theory out of date or isn't it? Try answering the question.
>
>Why? You never answer mine.

Aw, poor baby...gonna take your ball and go home? :-)

>But I'll answer anyway: Theory rarely goes out of date. And old technology
>often holds the answer to modern problems. Old technology is not necessarily
>"bad" or "obsolete".

True enough. If so, why must old standards and practices be kept because
a few want to keep a hobby static and unchanging?

>Nope. HR was a great magazine. Too bad it's defunct. All we have left is old
>copies and reprints.

Up to 1990...just a dozen years ago. How old is "old," James?

Ham Radio Magazine is still being published... :-)

>There's an online index of HR articles. Turns out the vast majority of them
>were written by hams. Also turns out I know several of the authors.

Do you channel Jim Fisk to get to "know" those several? :-)

Do you know any of the HR staff? :-)

>>Why do you consider lack of praise to be "ridicule?"
>
>It's the name-calling ("Jimmie", "Nursie", "Herr Heil", etc.) that I refer
>to. Many other examples.

How do you wish to be addressed? Should I use "sir?" Do you want
a salute each time you enter a newsgroup? Should we bow and
genuflect? Let us know the proper court protocol.

>>>How many amateur radio transceivers have you built with your own money and
>>>time? How many have you designed?
>>

>>Six, but those were not solely for amateur radio although each one could
>>cover at least one amateur band.
>
>Sounds like they were not ham gear in the usual sense. A CB set can be used
>on 10 meters, for example.

I never stated that they were FOR amateur radio, did I?

A standard "CB set" (the ones tuning the 27 MHz CB band) cannot work
directly on 10 meters in any example. They aren't designed to do so
and are not front-panel retunable to do so. Legal "Standard CB sets"
have to be modified behind the front panel to work on 10 meters.

>Uh huh. Give us some more than that. Were they HF?

Sorry. The Word of (PR) Extras, others that is, does not allow
non-Extra curricula vitae to be posted in here. :-)

>A receiver-transmitter is not a transceiver.

The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver."

The etymology of the contraction did not start in amateur radio.

If those contractions come too quickly, better call your doctor
soon...

>>>Sure - I buy from them regularly.
>>
>>Of course you do...you access their web site, imagine things, then
>>say you "buy" from them regularly. :-)
>
>No, I buy parts from them.

Please make up your mind. Do you "buy regularly" or have you just
"bought" from them on a casual basis?

>>>Back in 1975 I desined and built a digital dial adapter using TTL ICs. Would
>>>work with almost any HF receiver. Pretty advanced for the time.
>>
>>I'd say it was damn well advanced if you could get TTLs to display
>>anything! :-)
>
>7 segment LED readouts

Now, now, Mr. Extreme Literalist, you described your "digital dial adapter"
as "using TTL ICs." There are NO TTL ICs that display anything and, by
themselves, are useless as "dial" indicators of any kind.
:-)

>Not patentable - it was obvious to anyone skilled in the art. I wrote it up
but
>a few months later a similar design appeared in QST. Author was W1DBM - Phil
>Rand. Great minds think alike..

I'm sure "great minds" think highly of themselves... :-)

>>You built "heterodyne transmitters?!?"
>
>Yes. The output frequency ius generated by heterodyning rather than
>multiplication. Didn't you know that?
>
>> Did the FCC ever catch you
>>"heterodyning?" What did you convert those receivers from? :-)
>
>Obviously you didn't know it.

Mr. Extreme Literalist, to "heterodyne" someone is to put a transmitter frequency very close to theirs so as to make the listening party hear only the squeal of a "heterodyne" beat note. Deliberate interference is against US amateur regulations. It's illegal. Tsk, tsk.

Transmitter frequency generation by "methods other than multiplication" has been done for years in commercial or military radios. One of the first commercial uses was in the civil aircraft radio band 108 to 137 MHz beginning with mixing two selectable-crystal oscillators to achieve a "channel" tuning. The term "heterodyne transmitter" seems to be common only to VERY old ham radio literature... :-)

>>No problem. You are welcome to rummage through our recycling can
>>any week before Monday (pick-up day in my neighborhood). All kinds
>>of "neat" things in there... :-)
>
>More putdowns.

According to K4YZ, the Word from (PR) Extras says I have dismembered human remains in my recycling can!

Do you think anyone in my neighborhood has dismembered human remains in their refuse cans?

>>Or will you deride it, denigrate it, and otherwise damn it if it doesn't have
>>"CW" capability?
>
>You're the expert at deriding and denigrating.

You didn't answer the question. What if it doesn't have "CW" capability?

>>>Like your nostalgia for 1954?
>>
>>What "nostalgia?"
>
>You mention it ad infinitum, as if it had some significance to today's
>amateur radio policies.

Wrong year. I mention 1953, specifically late February of that year, to illustrate a point about HF radio communications in the US military.

In 1948 the US military decided to eliminate morse codings in long-haul HF communications, all branches. They did.

>>So...you did NOT design something, but rather assembled a kit that
>>someone else designed. [at least you admitted it outright...there's
>>hope for you...]
>
>That is only one of my transceivers.

The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver." At one time there was a word called "remitter" that was a contraction of "receiver-transmitter" but few used it, being replaced by "transponder," a contraction of "responding transmitter."

>>Just a minute while I get a notepad and start scribbling
>>an endorsement of you for a medal from some important scientific
>>institution.
>
>I don't need or want your endorsement.

Oh? Your coming medal is a "done deal?" :-)

Let us know of the Great Event and when the news is posted on the ARRL website.

1520. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 22:21:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713182102.02595.00000071@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 49382

In article <3D3078F4.4B66E19E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>I'm not sure about Brian but believe Mother Theresa has spoken her last.
>If I'm not mistaken, it is about time for Cecil to pipe in with Mother
>Theresa would have thought about the Farnsworth method and French
>amateurs on 6m. Len can then give us his input on why I'm denigrating
>Mother Theresa.

Why ARE you? :-)

1521. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 22:40:06 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D30AC46.59F2D8E6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49385

Dan Finn wrote:
> And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of
> handicapped individuals.

Yep, a lot of the PCTA folks wished for the elimination of the code waiver
for the Morsely handicapped. The FCC granted their wish.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1522. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 22:44:59 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D30AD6B.A168DACA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49386

N2EY wrote:
> For they don't tell or yell or swell
> And oh, they're grateful as h**l

"The girls all get prettier at closing time." (C&W song)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1523. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 23:50:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <s13Y8.667$zE5.138613853@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49387

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:fNZX8.31592$JU3.17908042@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:XgVX8.359>
> > I am not poking fun of peoples disabilities.
>
> Oh yes you are!
>
> And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of
> handicapped individuals. And you did it right in front of the whole
amateur
> radio community. What ever got you started on this, i.e. to state that I
> obtained a Physician's certificate in the first place? I only said, and
any
> person with an ounce of compassion would agree, that the Physician's
> certificate was very, morally legitimate when obtained as intended.
> Some people see themselves as the center of the universe, just because
they
> happen to be healthy (at the present time, at least).

Dan, that's a whole lot of over-reaction. So what was your waiver for?

1524. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 23:52:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <U23Y8.668$GF5.138686610@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49388

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713182059.02595.00000069@mb-fk.aol.com...
> In article <fNZX8.31592$JU3.17908042@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan
Finn"
> <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:XgVX8.359>
> >> I am not poking fun of peoples disabilities.
> >
> >Oh yes you are!
>
> Well, there you have it! Someone denies a charge against them
> and there you go, trying to re-pin the charge on them.
>
> >And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of
> >handicapped individuals.
>
> It does? How does Life do that?
>
> >And you did it right in front of the whole amateur
> >radio community.
>
> If Finn made the Charge, then the Charge stays... :-)
>
> >What ever got you started on this, i.e. to state that I
> >obtained a Physician's certificate in the first place?
>
> I thought Brian just asked a question of you. Did he state
> outright that you HAD used one or not? I don't think so.
>
> >I only said, and any
> >person with an ounce of compassion would agree, that the Physician's
> >certificate was very, morally legitimate when obtained as intended.
>
> Real Hams and Real Men don't have any need for "physician
> certificates," do they?
>
> Heh heh heh...I have yet to see ANY pro-code-test advocate say
> or even imply that a medical waiver to skip a code test was
> "very, morally legitimate" to become a Real Ham. :-)
>
> >Some people see themselves as the center of the universe, just because
they
> >happen to be healthy (at the present time, at least).

>
> Calm down, lad. Take it easy.
>
> With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
> Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
> on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
> :-)

Ham radio is full of whacko's, it would appear. I wonder what his waiver
was for?

1525. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 23:53:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <A33Y8.669$UG5.138766519@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49389

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D30AC46.59F2D8E6@hotmail.com...
> Dan Finn wrote:
> > And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of
> > handicapped individuals.
>
> Yep, a lot of the PCTA folks wished for the elimination of the code waiver
> for the Morsely handicapped. The FCC granted their wish.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Yep, we were told amateur radio wasn't for everyone.

1526. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 23:56:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <673Y8.671$NK5.139013438@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49390

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:nQZX8.31601$JU3.17921134@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020713063743.12736.00000374@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net
> >
> > >Brian, do you enjoy poking fun at peoples' handicaps? What's next,
> getting a
> > >laugh out of the hearing-impaired? Autism? Sometimes you can take it to
> the
> > >edge and at other times you take plunge, way over.
> >
> > I think it is part of the high moral standard that causes him to obsess
> about
> > Farnsworth and cronyism.
>
> Ed, I think that what Brian needs to learn, when making fun of handicapped
> people, is that, what goes around comes around. Sorry to say it but it's
> usually true.
>
> 73 de KR4AJ

Dan, you're unusually sensitive about the issue. Why? Has this come up
before?

1527. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-13 23:59:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_93Y8.672$oN5.139183355@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49391

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713161618.01545.00000060@mb-mm.aol.com...
> In article <20020713100636.01567.00000113@mb-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> writes:

> >The AM/military/BA folks have taken to setting up demo stations at
hamfests
> >and airshows. CW can be a part of that, too.
>
> "Airshows?!?" Do you want morse CW exhibits to show the superiority of
> that mode in communicating with aircraft? Is this a new thing for ATC?

I thought Curt LeMay wanted SSB radios in his airplanes?

1528. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:04:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ne3Y8.674$iS5.139461764@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49392

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713150500.06823.00000361@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Brian, at some point, I learned that Farnsworth was going to be used in
> >> testing. I can't recall just where. I am sorry that you missed it and
> >that is
> >> causing you such pain.
>
> >Pain? Why do you inject such crap into you responses? Exactly what IS
your
> >agenda?
>
> My read of your multitude of posts implies to me that you are deeply
troubled
> by the use of Farnsworth. If calling you deeply troubled instead of
pained
> will make you feel better, I will gladly do so.

Dr. Ed, where do you practice psychology? If you want to see a pained
individual, go check out Dann Finn's sensitivity to Code Waivers.

> Why do you thihk I would have an "agenda" wrt your obsession about
Farnsworth
> timing being used to help people pass Morse code tests?

You seem to be going somewhere with it, but I'm not sure where that might
be. Are you?

1529. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:05:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jf3Y8.675$jq3.64902207@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49393

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713145809.06823.00000359@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dsve, I'm going home because there's nothing left to discuss.
>
> Does this mean this is the last of it... again?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

As I've said, "If that's what you want."

Is that what you want?

1530. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:06:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xg3Y8.676$tP5.139280702@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49394

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D307CDF.84A7CEE7@earthlink.net...

> Even I don't have enough information on those involved to make such an
> accusation. How can you do so based on a complete lack of knowledge of
> the individuals involved?

Were your QSO's considered emergency comms?

1531. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:09:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Xi3Y8.678$VX5.139829281@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49395

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713145656.06823.00000358@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >I said LAST on about every response to this subject that I made last
night,
> >since Dave says that he doesn't read everything. I didn't want him to
miss
> >it.
>
> You could have emailed him...
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I prefer not to get or receive e-mails from rrappers. I prefer not to get
pornography sent to my e-mail either.

My policy.

1532. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:10:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1k3Y8.679$GZ5.139923771@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49396

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...

> I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply assumed that this
> was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.
>
> Dave K8MN

This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a DXpedition.
Work some out of banders.

1533. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:14:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Xn3Y8.680$IN5.139180281@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49397

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713161621.01545.00000064@mb-mm.aol.com...

> Dick has made an enormous number of "claims" in here and
> he HAS cited his "long experience" in many things. Most
> reasoning folks could equate "long experience" as being due
> to age...but that apparently doesn't apply if opinions differ?
>
> :-)

Perhaps Dick was on the accellerated program?

1534. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:18:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Yq3Y8.682$el5.138854572@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49398

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713145537.06823.00000357@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Someone needs to be here to counter you nonsense. You harm amateur
radio.
>
> Don't you think that some of the techniques you use to counter his
nonsense
> also have the potential to harm ham radio? Pop?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Did I miss it? Maybe I"m in the Skip Zone and you heard it in Newington.

1535. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:22:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Tu3Y8.683$Ta6.140765504@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49399

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713145410.06823.00000356@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

> So much wasted potential.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Agreed. I wonder what it will take to bring him back?

1536. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:29:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agqgl8$9pv$0@208.207.71.148>
Source record: 49400

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <IbYX8.99522$UT.6416401@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >(don't tell,
> >don't yell, don't swell).
>
> I first heard this as the chorus of a song sung by the late great Benny Hill:
>
> Give me an older woman every time,
> Give me an older woman every time,
> For they don't tell or yell or swell
> And oh, they're grateful as h**l
> So give me an older woman every time.
>
> --
>
> We miss you, Benny

Yes we do. He was as funny as funny gets.

1537. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 00:56:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agqi8g$e4r$0@208.207.71.148>
Source record: 49401

CAM wrote:
>
> Dan Finn wrote:
> > And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of
> > handicapped individuals.
>
> Yep, a lot of the PCTA folks wished for the elimination of the code waiver
> for the Morsely handicapped. The FCC granted their wish.

Cecil, sometimes yo're the poster boy for all he illiterate genuises
in the world. On this issue you have nary a clue. At the outsetther was
no problem with medical waivers- for those who truly had medical
conditions. It was when the scammers realized that no one was minding
the store that the trouble, and opposition, began. All that was needed
was some effective means of making sure that people who claimed
disability actually had one. Didn't happen.

1538. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 01:09:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gz7gif3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 49402

On 13 Jul 2002 12:06:17 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>The new "Foundation" license was not the result of a G-land NPRM-type
>process. Rather, it "just growed" without open input from the G-land
>public or hams.

We US hams don't realize how fortunate we are in having a public
input process. Most countries - including the one where I was the
specialist who re-wrote the amateur regulations several decades ago -
do not, and whatever rules the Radio Administrtion folks come up with
are the ones that go into effect with no recourse. Lucky and rare
is the country where the rule writer is a knowlegeable ham. Fortuneatley
for us hams many of them look to the FCC rules - minus the obvious goofs -
as a startinh point.

>Problem is that the protest needs to be against the powers that be,
>not against those who ot the license. Remember "no kids, no lids, no
>space cadets"? This is just the 2002 version.

Sadly, yes.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1539. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 01:09:01 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gz7k664.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 49403

On 13 Jul 2002 14:21:10 -0700, Skytouch wrote:

>however. Armageddon should have the right to DX freely somewhere on
>the HF spectrum, without having to fight government bureaucracy or the
>ARRL.

Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
government, not a "right".

>(Opinions are my own, etc.)

The above is settled law, not "opinion".

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1540. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 01:19:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713211929.12928.00000410@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49404

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/13/02 16:16 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020713161620.01545.00000062@mb-mm.aol.com>

>Always happy to have the Word from an Amateur Radio
>Historian. Too bad the poor baby isn't one of those.

Don't have to be, Oh Gutless One. Someone else wrote all that down for us.
Thanks anyway.

>> Can you state, with absolute and certain knowledge, that these basic
>>communications techniques will NEVER be used again, nor will they ever have
>>ANY commecial application?
>
>On-off codings are in use today in keyless auto lock systems.
>The difference is that they do NOT use any morse codings or
>anything similar.

I will take that as a "NO" in as much as yo agreed with my assertion.

I win.

>>Or ANY military application?
>
>Yes...by the US military's own descriptions of its communications
>capabilities, doctrine, and future planning. The future of the US
>military lies in the "digital battlefield." The "digital battlefield" does
>not include morse codings.

"Morse codings" was not the subject, Oh Misguided One. "On Off Keying"
was.

You spun, got disoriented and went the wrong direction again.

>>Or any EMERGENCY applicaiotn?
>
>Whevever I encounter ANY public safety radio service use of morse
>codings to handle any kind of emergency, whether "applicatiotn" or
>application, I will acknowledge it.
>

ON OFF KEYING, Lennie. The term "morse codings" (sic) was your
introduction.

Losing your "focuss" Lennie? Wal-Mart has some fine reading glasses under
$15.00.

>How can a nurse who is without practical experience in the
>professional world of radio (except for being an amateur) be
>able to "judge" what is "possible?"

Who (other than you) said I am "without practical experience", Lennie?

I acknowledge that you have greater theoretical knowledge, however that does not preclude me from ALL knowledge or experiece, your wishes to the contrary notwithstanding.

>In this new millennium, the standards and practices of 80+ years
>ago are passe' and of little use except to a minority of overly-
>prideful amateurs

The subject was "on-off keying". Nothing was ever said about Morse Code or Amateur Radio.

The introduction of that was your doing...Sleight of Amateur Radio noted.

>Radio as a communications medium is only
>about 108 years old.

As is your hatred of it.

>The US military disestablished morse codings...SNIP

ON-OFF KEYING, Your Putziness.

Sheesh! What a short attention span!

>The "practical applications" of morse codings in on-off keying...SNIP

No one said anything about Morse. That was yours, Lennie.

>The Titanic disaster happened in 1912, 90 years ago. Quit
>dreaming of "saving anyone" by morse code modes today and
>concentrate on what mode DOES work.

On Off keying works. That YOU can only conceptualize that as being "encoded" via Morse Code is your own failing and narrowsightedness, Lennie.

Butthead.

Steve
>

1541. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 01:21:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713212122.12928.00000411@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49405

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 07/13/02 16:42 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D3090B6.DE049353@earthlink.net>

>Just what constitutes "overly-prideful", Len? Would that be anyone who
>enjoys participating in something which you've never attempted?

I think that last sentence would have worked better, Dave, had yo said
"...something you are not capable of..." ?!?! =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

1542. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 01:37:55 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020713213755.12205.00000480@mb-fa.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49406

Hi Phil,

>Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
> government, not a "right".

Using a radio transmitter period is a privilege granted by the government. This is a good thing.

Responding to the "natural" propagational characteristics of the alloted band of operation, as long as one observes the power and frequency limits, antenna height restrictions, etc. of said band, should NOT be.

This of course is IMHO.

>>(Opinions are my own, etc.)
>
> The above is settled law, not "opinion".

That may be so, but let's not forget...most "settled law" began as someone's opinion. Just because it's on the books, doesn't necessarily make it right.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1543. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 01:48:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713214807.12928.00000414@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49408

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/13/02 18:21 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020713182101.02595.00000070@mb-fk.aol.com>

>>But I'll answer anyway: Theory rarely goes out of date. And old technology
>>often holds the answer to modern problems. Old technology is not necessarily
>>"bad" or "obsolete".
>
>True enough. If so, why must old standards and practices be kept because
>a few want to keep a hobby static and unchanging?

Who in this forum has promoted the ideas of "unchanging", 'static" or "old
standards"..???

The only "old standards" I have seen anyone truly promote is those of
decency, responsibility and gentlemanly conduct on the air.

Do you suggest that THOSE "old standards" be discarded?

In Amatuer radio or ANY avocation, Lennie?

>Ham Radio Magazine is still being published..

It's been reprinted. Perhaps even RE-published. "Ham Radio" magazine is
not currently being published.

>>There's an online index of HR articles. Turns out the vast majority of them
>>were written by hams. Also turns out I know several of the authors.
>
>Do you channel Jim Fisk to get to "know" those several?

You obviously do since you think the magazine is still being published in
2002.

>>It's the name-calling ("Jimmie", "Nursie", "Herr Heil", etc.) that I refer
>>to. Many other examples.
>
>How do you wish to be addressed? Should I use "sir?" Do you want
>a salute each time you enter a newsgroup? Should we bow and
>genuflect? Let us know the proper court protocol.

You yourself have stated that like begets like, Lennie, and I cannot recall
a single instance where Jim Miccolis has called you ANYTHING except "Len".

So where do YOU get off calling him "Jimmie" and other such things? he
continues to steadfastly avoid such conduct, yet you egg it on.

Of course everyone EXCEPT you knows where that comes from.

>>A receiver-transmitter is not a transceiver.

>The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver."

Under current technology, a "transceiver" has components that are shared
for the transmit and receive cycles.

A receiver-transmitter does not. It is a seperate transmitter and
seperate receiver in the same package.

Miss that at the K-tel School of Fly-by-Night Radio Engineering, did you?

>The etymology of the contraction did not start in amateur radio.

Doesn't matter WHERE it started, now does it?

>If those contractions come too quickly, better call your doctor
>soon...

And he'll tell you you just wasted time when what your SHOULD have been
doing was going to the hospital...But I bet that's something else you have no
practical experience in, eh Lennie?

>I'm sure "great minds" think highly of themselves

Then I acknowledge what a "great mind" you are, Lennie, cuz you suuuuure do
think a lot of YOUR self!

>Transmitter frequency generation by "methods other than
multiplication"...(SNIP)

Lennie, Lennie, LENNIE! This is a newsgroup for the discussion of the
Morse Code TEST issue! NOT the discussion of RF generation techniques.

Or have you forgotten your OWN admonishments to others on that issue...????

>According to K4YZ, the Word from (PR) Extras says I have dismembered
>human remains in my recycling can!

Oh no, Lennie...I don't think you are so stupid as to leave them in the
recycling can...you DO have (I think...) enough sense to put them in a trash
bag. Hopefully one that does NOT ahve anything with your address in it.

>Do you think anyone in my neighborhood has dismembered human
>remains in their refuse cans?

Do YOU think that it's impossible? Never happened? Never will?

>In 1948 the US military decided to eliminate morse codings in long-
>haul HF communications, all branches. They did.

No, they have not.

>The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver." At one
>time there was a word called "remitter" that was a contraction of
>"receiver-transmitter" but few used it, being replaced by "transponder,"

>a contraction of "responding transmitter."

Now with Lennie's appearence in rrap, we also have the transputzer
(transmitting Putz) and the rebuttheader (responding butthead)

1544. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 01:48:46 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.13.21.48.46.199471.15929@arrl.org>
Source record: 49407

On Fri, 12 Jul 2002 20:06:52 -0400, N2EY wrote:
> In article <pan.2002.07.12.08.19.20.772508.18782@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>On Fri, 12 Jul 2002 07:37:31 -0400, W1RFI wrote:
>>> From: Clay N4AOX wyn@worldnet.att.net
>>>
>>>>I found Jon Bloom on the board of directors of WORLDCOM.
>>>>How do you explain that?
>>>
>>> If Jon's name were Oinga Disklodhoffer, I might feel an explanation were
>>> necessary.
>
> Or Klem Kadiddlehopper.

The local PBS station has been running the old Red Skelton shows. Just as
funny now as they were originally!

>>If my name were Oinga Disklodhoffer I'd just call myself "Jon Bloom" for
>>short.
>>
>>Jon (Oinga)
>
> Can we call ya Bruce to keep it clear?

Makes my body hurt just to think of it. Call me Ishmael.

Jon/Oinga/Bruce/Ishmael

1545. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 02:02:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713220246.12928.00000418@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49409

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/13/02 16:16 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020713161624.01545.00000066@mb-mm.aol.com>

>Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
>houses (mortage and lien free)? Who has had a career in electronics
>engineering design, covering a wide range of electronic disciplines
>that include different types of radio?

Who owns HIS house (ALMOST lien free), and two cars, a career in a
healthcare profession that has netted him numerous awards for same, and
actually gets to USE the radios on his desk for more than listening and
paperweights?

>Who actually "worked" on HF long-distance radio communications
>nearly a half century ago? Who actually earned a formal degree in
>electrical engineering?
>
>Did the poor baby do all those things?

When it comes to Amateur Radio POLICY, Lennie, I didn't have to, now did I?

When it comes to PRESENT day HF long distance communications, who has MORE
practical experience in Military, Amateur and point-to-point emergency systems,
Lennie?

It's NOT you.

>> Un huh...You have only spent the last several years berating every
>>single aspect of Amateur Radio without quarter.
>
>Incorrect again. Oh, my, how those baby-boomer Extras are stubborn!

Damn, Lee-o-nar-doh...Just how many times can you lie in one day and still
look yorself in the mirror to shave? But then to, I guess you don't ahve to
look yourself in the eyes, now do you...???

>Poor baby is still seeing monsters under his bed, even in late fortysomething
>
>age!

Nope...just disgruntled old men who have nothing better to do than
antagonize and whine....Kinda like you...

>All those "Others" lie, cheat, steal, and probably
>have underarm odor.

No, you just have clammy hands. A concession to Mrs Lennie, no doubt.

>That is Soooo encouraging to enticing others to join amateur radio.

How many people are licensed Amateurs due to YOUR tutelage, Lennie? How many Amateur test have YOU proctored? How many classes have YOU taught...???

WE know the answer.

1546. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 02:08:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713220834.12928.00000420@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49410

>Subject: Re: Time to Boycott the M3?
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 07/13/02 14:51 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020713145109.06823.00000355@mb-df.aol.com>

>>Regardless of whether you get into Amateur Radio, R/C model flying, or
>>playing Bridge, there will always be "someone" who wants to be King of the
>>Hill, with or without the qualifications to BE King.
>
>In Amateur Radio, there IS no King.

And there's no King of America, but that has not stopped some from trying,
has it?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1547. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 02:11:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713221120.12928.00000422@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49411

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>Date: 07/13/02 18:44 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D30AD6B.A168DACA@hotmail.com>
>

>"The girls all get prettier at closing time."

I like "I never went to bed with an ugly woman, but damned if I didn't
wake up with a few...!"

73

Steve, K4YZ

1548. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 03:02:13 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gz7m9g5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 49412

On 14 Jul 2002 01:37:55 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:

>> The above is settled law, not "opinion".
>
>That may be so, but let's not forget...most "settled law" began as someone's
>opinion. Just because it's on the books, doesn't necessarily make it right.

"Settled law" means that the "opinion" was declared invalid, in this
case by the Supreme Court of the US in many cases.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1549. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 03:20:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <E56Y8.19823$A43.2001046@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49413

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote

> The question becomes, how do no code techs
> know the call signs of the repeaters they are operating?

This is a candidate for the LATOTY award (Lame Assed Troll Of The Year).

There is no requirement for anyone to know the call letters of the repeater through which they are communicating. The FCC requires identification (it can be voice or Morse) so that they can track down the owner of the repeater in case of problems.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

1550. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 03:21:53 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D30EE51.83DC52C6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49414

Dick Carroll wrote:
> All that was needed
> was some effective means of making sure that people who claimed
> disability actually had one. Didn't happen.

Actually, it happened by becoming irrelevant. Next time, be careful
what you wish for.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1551. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 03:25:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <Ha6Y8.19837$A43.1994670@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49415

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote

>
> Unless of course the licensee can whip out his 60's & 70's Extra
> pre-bullshit tickets of course . . how many of THEM do we have around
> here, hmmm?
>

Which begs the whole question of who gives a rats ass how fast you can beep?
For the record, I don't care one way or the other whether you can copy Morse
or not. In fact I kinda hope you can't, since then I'll probably not run
into you on the band, since the majority of my operation is on Morse.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

1552. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 03:58:33 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020713235833.12249.00000442@mb-fa.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49416

>>> The above is settled law, not "opinion".
>>
>>That may be so, but let's not forget...most "settled law" began as someone's
>>opinion. Just because it's on the books, doesn't necessarily make it right.
>
> "Settled law" means that the "opinion" was declared invalid, in this
> case by the Supreme Court of the US in many cases.

So Phil, the law's never "settled" in the favor of the "opinion?" Many were of the opinion that the speed limit should be raised to 65 m.p.h. in certain areas of the country...it subsequently became the law.

I understand that passion can cloud one's objectivity and your point is well taken, HOWEVER, things can be changed...particularly if the opinions of enough like-minded folks are translated into legislation. It bears repeating, just because something's "on the books," doesn't necessarily mean it's right.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1553. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 04:13:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agqtpp$7f8$0@208.206.142.86>
Source record: 49417

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > All that was needed
> > was some effective means of making sure that people who claimed
> > disability actually had one. Didn't happen.
>
> Actually, it happened by becoming irrelevant.

It happened by becoming irrevelant? Are you into the Scotch again?

Next time, be careful
> what you wish for.

OK, I won't wish for you to become rational.

1554. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 04:22:03 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D30FC6B.F644AAA0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49418

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > All that was needed
> > > was some effective means of making sure that people who claimed
> > > disability actually had one. Didn't happen.
> > >
> > Actually, it happened by becoming irrelevant.
> >
> It happened by becoming irrevelant? Are you into the Scotch again?

Not tonight. The Morse disability to proceed beyond 5wpm became
irrelevant so the associated waiver became irrelevant.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

1555. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 06:06:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714020655.07032.00000364@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49419

>Subject: Re: Customized Code Fist
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/13/02 16:16 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020713161617.01545.00000058@mb-mm.aol.com>

>That's called "Freedom of Expression" in the democratic process.
>Everyone is free to say what they want, but only if they agree to
>side with the Word of (PR) Extras...and the ARRL.

To the best of my knowledge, no one has approached you (or anyone like you)
and prevented you from having your say.

Ergo, this is just another petty LennieTroll.

1556. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 06:12:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714021254.07032.00000365@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49420

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 07/13/02 16:37 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D308F87.65EA2104@earthlink.net>
>

>Who do you ask about what is actually "Best In Amateur Radio",
>Len--truck drivers? Tell us how your years on the planet and experience
>in endeavors other than amateur radio prepared you to be able to
>condescend to radio amateurs and to preach to them.

Oh no, Dave...puh-LEEZE don't open the door to another RAWCHSBA story...I
don't hink I could handle it....

=)

Steve, K4YZ

1557. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 06:31:22 UTC
From: RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk>
Message-ID: <bt81ju8j9f3q61hgimtlosaoi58hat9cim@4ax.com>
Source record: 49421
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Chris Kirby <<g4fzn@yahoo.com>> wrote:

>I'd boycott G4SDW, but I've never heard her on ANY band.
>
>Chris, G4FZN

Funny, that. One of his sock puppets claimed to operate QRP on "3M56",
but despite a receiver running on that frequency for nights on end,
haven't heard the callsign in question.

--
from
RVMJ
Remove Safety-&-Arming before e-mailing

1558. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 07:31:39 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d3148ef_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49424
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There's neither snobbism nor elitism, merely a
wish to maintain standards in what is a technical hobby.

It is not lawful to be a broadcaster in Ham Radio.

However, if your refer to operating, and your perceptions
are that of operating, then you are a CBer, for that is nothing
but operating, and does not need examination.

Ham Radio, however, is a technical pursuit for those suitable
qualified. As I said, there's no elitism here - you yourself are welcome
and, indeed, invited, to make the grade.

Sorry, but all the M3 exam test is operating, and that's silly. You wouldn't
test for the ability to operate a mobile phone, neither are you tested for
such
for the CB licence.

Chris Heaton <chris.heaton@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:agn9qb$3bt$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> I take my foundation exam next week. I'm sorry to see that the elitism and
> snobism that I was told existed in the amateur fraternity really does
exist.
> I don't think it was the difficulty of the exam that stopped newcommers
> becomeing Radio Amamteurs, it was that no one wanted to associate with
such
> stuck up snobs as yourselves. I don't know about boycotting M3's, maybe we
> should boycot all you others!!!!
>
> Seriously, there are a lot of good people on my course who will make good
> amateurs, stop moaning that it's not fair because you had to sit a harder
> exam. Wait to you contact an M3 on the air and then make your decision
about
> how good a broadcater they are then. Please put your prejudices away and
> give us a chance!

1559. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 08:49:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D313B0B.8F830F5F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49422

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D307CDF.84A7CEE7@earthlink.net...
>
> > Even I don't have enough information on those involved to make such an
> > accusation. How can you do so based on a complete lack of knowledge of
> > the individuals involved?
>
> Were your QSO's considered emergency comms?

Not by me.

Dave K8MN

1560. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 08:52:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D313BC0.539ED080@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49423

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...
>
> > I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply assumed that this
> > was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a DXpedition.
> Work some out of banders.

Like Len, Alec Baldwin is not a ham. Alec was going to leave the
country after the election though.

Dave K8MN

1561. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 13:20:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jUeY8.277$zF6.51920010@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49425

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D313B0B.8F830F5F@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D307CDF.84A7CEE7@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > Even I don't have enough information on those involved to make such an
> > > accusation. How can you do so based on a complete lack of knowledge
of
> > > the individuals involved?
> >
> > Were your QSO's considered emergency comms?
>
> Not by me.
>
> Dave K8MN

Wonderful.

1562. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 13:20:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RUeY8.278$4K6.52215877@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49426

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D313BC0.539ED080@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply assumed that
this
> > > was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a DXpedition.
> > Work some out of banders.
>
> Like Len, Alec Baldwin is not a ham. Alec was going to leave the
> country after the election though.
>
> Dave K8MN

And you won't let him change his mind?

1563. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 13:23:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XWeY8.280$LJ6.52194583@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49427

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:agqi8g$e4r$0@208.207.71.148...
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dan Finn wrote:
> > > And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun
of
> > > handicapped individuals.
> >
> > Yep, a lot of the PCTA folks wished for the elimination of the code
waiver
> > for the Morsely handicapped. The FCC granted their wish.
>
> Cecil, sometimes yo're the poster boy for all he illiterate genuises
> in the world. On this issue you have nary a clue. At the outsetther was
> no problem with medical waivers- for those who truly had medical
> conditions. It was when the scammers realized that no one was minding
> the store that the trouble, and opposition, began. All that was needed
> was some effective means of making sure that people who claimed
> disability actually had one. Didn't happen.

You say I have a disability. I say I have none.

Should I go get a Social Security check based upon what you said?

1564. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 13:24:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <JYeY8.282$TQ6.52639967@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49428

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:Ha6Y8.19837$A43.1994670@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Unless of course the licensee can whip out his 60's & 70's Extra
> > pre-bullshit tickets of course . . how many of THEM do we have around
> > here, hmmm?
> >
>
> Which begs the whole question of who gives a rats ass how fast you can
beep?
> For the record, I don't care one way or the other whether you can copy
Morse
> or not. In fact I kinda hope you can't, since then I'll probably not run
> into you on the band, since the majority of my operation is on Morse.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

Sounds like trouble in paradise.

1565. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 13:38:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <v9fY8.287$sR6.52677851@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49429

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D305E60.DB337545@hotmail.com...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > Regardless of whether you get into Amateur Radio, R/C model flying, or
> > playing Bridge, there will always be "someone" who wants to be King of
the
> > Hill, with or without the qualifications to BE King.
>
> And quoting Neal Boortz, "In the land of the dimwits, the halfwit is
king."
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Cecil, where do you hear Neal Boortz? I heard him only once while working in the very southern part of Illinois and thought he was pretty funny. He had Dick Gephardt/MO on as guest, and introduced him as "My favorite Bed-wetting Liberal."

1566. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 14:04:37 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3184F5.49B5AC5B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49430

Brian P Burke wrote:
> Cecil, where do you hear Neal Boortz? I heard him only once while working
> in the very southern part of Illinois and thought he was pretty funny. He
> had Dick Gephardt/MO on as guest, and introduced him as "My favorite
> Bed-wetting Liberal."

The syndicated Neal Boortz Show appears on WTAW in College Station, TX.
Years ago, he was a disk jockey on that station while attending Texas A&M.
Neal's a Libertarian so he gives both Democrats and Republicans holy heck.
He's got a web page at: http://www.boortz.com/
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1567. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 14:21:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ags1cejns0@208.206.142.83>
Source record: 49431

CAM wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > Some hams on this newsgroup have predicted that new hams will not choose
> > > to learn Morse code and therefore not use CW. If they try and succeed
> > > in recruiting new hams to CW, they will have proven their predictions
> > > wrong. Do you think those hams can really bring themselves to recommend
> > > CW to new hams?
> >
> > Oh, come now, Cecil. The implicit point in your logic is that we care
> > more for the analytical prediction than the mode; you may find it
> > descriptive, I find it insulting.
>
> Don't you think there may be a bit of Will Rogers in those guys, Dick?

Sure there is - "Never try to resaon a man out of something he wasn't
reasoned into".
There's no reasoning NCTAs into the fact that learning CW is merely
learning the most basic radio communications.

1568. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 14:37:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01gY8.7204$on2.351368463@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49432

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D3184F5.49B5AC5B@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > Cecil, where do you hear Neal Boortz? I heard him only once while
working
> > in the very southern part of Illinois and thought he was pretty funny.
He
> > had Dick Gephardt/MO on as guest, and introduced him as "My favorite
> > Bed-wetting Liberal."
>
> The syndicated Neal Boortz Show appears on WTAW in College Station, TX.
> Years ago, he was a disk jockey on that station while attending Texas A&M.
> Neal's a Libertarian so he gives both Democrats and Republicans holy heck.
> He's got a web page at: http://www.boortz.com/
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Thanks Cecil. I'm on my way there.

1569. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 14:41:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <D4gY8.7205$mn2.351366463@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49433

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ags1cejns0@208.206.142.83...

> Sure there is - "Never try to resaon a man out of something he wasn't
> reasoned into".

That's rich coming from you, Dick.

> There's no reasoning NCTAs into the fact that learning CW is merely
> learning the most basic radio communications.

And I thought it was spark.

1570. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 15:07:00 UTC
From: RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ej43ju83687ve41hc7tm9qvgagujmg6kd0@4ax.com>
Source record: 49434
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> (sic) wrote:

>Ham Radio, however, is a technical pursuit for those suitable
>qualified. As I said, there's no elitism here - you yourself are welcome
>and, indeed, invited, to make the grade.

More claptrap from this source.

What he said is _WRONG!_

BR68 (which Mr Sockpuppet refuses to discuss) has "self-training in communications" as the reason for the Amateur Licence. It goes on to add "...which use includes technical investigations" As a subset repeat subset (as in SUBSET) of this.

Little wonder really why Mr Sockpuppet refuses to discuss it. It doesn't fit in with the law of the land in his Liberal Republic.

--
from
RVMJ
Remove Safety-&-Arming before e-mailing

1571. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 15:12:10 UTC
From: Big Horn 312 <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org>
Message-ID: <ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >

> Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
> government, not a "right".
>
> The above is settled law, not "opinion".
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.

Big Horn 312 says that
Cherry Hill freeband oprators club
stand by 27635 lower side

1572. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 15:56:32 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020714115632.07749.00000695@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49436

>I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.

That may be true, but only temporarily. Camp ut on 20 without the appropriate class amateur license long enough and the FCC will most certainly stop you...with the aid of U.S. marshalls if need be.

>Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use.

You are only limited by your gear and the size of your cajones...but it's still just a matter of time before you feel the full weight of the government upon you. There are much better ways to get OTA.

>dont needs
>no licsense.....see!

VEC fee: Approx. $10.00
Bail/Bond Possibly $5,000.00

Need I say more?

>ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
>going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.

Ah, I get it now. (No offense Jerry in SC.)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1573. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 17:14:45 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714131445.02461.00000101@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 49437

In article <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
>> houses (mortage and lien free)?
>
>The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who needs
>to regale us with a listing of his material assets?

Better to describe material assets than just showing off
their pudgy ass sets.

1574. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 17:14:46 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714131446.02461.00000102@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 49438

In article <3D308F87.65EA2104@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>...but I've encountered a few who know less. Those who have never been
>a part of amateur radio would rank with that crowd. :)
>>
>> His is the Word of (PR) Extras!
>
>More civil debate on your part, Len?

No, just to put a label on it...the Word of (PR) Extras!

That's far more civil than being accused of dismembered
human remains in my recycling can.

1575. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 18:10:08 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D31BE80.BDD908A4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49439

Dick Carroll wrote:
> There's no reasoning NCTAs into the fact that learning CW is merely
> learning the most basic radio communications.

Is telling them that they won't learn CW if there is no Morse code
requirement your way of reasoning with them?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1576. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 18:36:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714143603.01601.00000040@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49441

In article <_93Y8.672$oN5.139183355@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> >The AM/military/BA folks have taken to setting up demo stations at hamfests
>> >and airshows. CW can be a part of that, too.
>>
>> "Airshows?!?" Do you want morse CW exhibits to show the superiority of
>> that mode in communicating with aircraft? Is this a new thing for ATC?
>
>I thought Curt LeMay wanted SSB radios in his airplanes?

Yes he did...probably didn't think much of OOK CW for SAC purposes.

But, Brian, remember the dictatorial policy in here...nobody but nobody can discuss anything but "amatuer" or amateur radio in this newsgroup. Any mention of non-amateur radio applications is expressly forbidden by the PCTA.

It's okay to mention Curt LeMay because he was a HAM-general...as the ARRL would describe him. :-)

1577. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 18:36:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714143604.01601.00000041@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49440

In article <U23Y8.668$GF5.138686610@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Calm down, lad. Take it easy.
>>
>> With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
>> Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
>> on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
>> :-)
>
>Ham radio is full of whacko's, it would appear. I wonder what his waiver
>was for?

Over-reaction? :-)

1578. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 18:36:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714143605.01601.00000042@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49443

In article <20020713220246.12928.00000418@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> When it comes to PRESENT day HF long distance communications, who has MORE
>practical experience in Military, Amateur and point-to-point emergency systems,
>Lennie?
>
> It's NOT you.

Okay, give us the "answer." Cite your "military HF long distance communications experience" in detail, including number of hours and duties as a military person.

You are going to trot out your "commission" in the TN State Guard, right? :-) Yes, a once-a-month good 'ole boys get-together where everyone gets to wear cammies and act tuff. You were the "radio officer" of a whole brigade, right? Only problem is that the "brigade" was less than the size of a normal Army battalion. A first john as THE radio officer of a brigade? Never happen in the real army. Of course the real army doesn't use WW2 surplus field radios, either.

Oh, yes, "point to point emergency communications." That implies NOT being mobile, thus negating those stories of being a Clooney clone riding them ambulances. Did you pay for those hospital radios, James? [or is it another personna you are attempting to imitate?] .

Did the first john (at fortysomething) do all kinds of "emergency communications" in amateur radio? If so, where are all the "numerous awards" or other citations that should have appeared on the ARRL news?

Stevie, or whatever name you think you are now, the answer to your own question is NOT YOU!

>
>>> Un huh...You have only spent the last several years berating every
>>>single aspect of Amateur Radio without quarter.
>>
>>Incorrect again. Oh, my, how those baby-boomer Extras are stubborn!
>
> Damn, Lee-o-nar-doh...Just how many times can you lie in one day and
>still look yorself in the mirror to shave? But then to, I guess you don't ahve to
>look yourself in the eyes, now do you...???

You are using swear words again.

Go back to accusations of murder and dismemberment. That's more
in your line of "practical experience."

> How many people are licensed Amateurs due to YOUR tutelage, Lennie?

None. Only professionals. Since you've never been a professional in
engineering, you have no "practical experience" in understanding such.

NAME all those who you have "tootled," tootles. Try to exclude family
members. Remember that anyone may ask them for confirmation so
set that up quickly. You are welcome to use the Deignan Hawaiian
post box technique since that delays confirmation.

>How many Amateur test have YOU proctored?

None. I'm a professional, remember?

But I have "proctored" tests in electronics. No amateur license required
there.

How many amateur or "amatuer" tests has the big Stevie proctored?
With what VEC? At what times? Be accurate and include a full count
in numbers. Remember that anyone can research that and verify if you've
been telling the truth.

>How many classes have YOU taught...???

Only two. But those were professional classes on specialized subjects
in electronics.

> WE know the answer.

You "know" nothing. Quit shaking your "we-we" again, it looks obscene.

But it does fit the kind of person who accuses others of murder and
dismemberment because he can't succeed in "getting others" in a
newsgroup.

Get medical-psychiatric help, Stevie. This may be your last chance
before you go totally over the hill.

1579. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 18:36:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714143607.01601.00000043@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49442

In article <20020713214807.12928.00000414@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (-)
writes:

>>>But I'll answer anyway: Theory rarely goes out of date. And old technology
>>>often holds the answer to modern problems. Old technology is not necessarily
>>>"bad" or "obsolete".
>>
>>True enough. If so, why must old standards and practices be kept because
>>a few want to keep a hobby static and unchanging?
>
> Who in this forum has promoted the ideas of "unchanging", 'static" or "old
>standards"..???

You have.

> The only "old standards" I have seen anyone truly promote is those of
>decency, responsibility and gentlemanly conduct on the air.

...and anywhere else all that is thrown out. :-)

> Do you suggest that THOSE "old standards" be discarded?

No, but you can drop the Dill Instructor personna. You aren't
in the USMC anymore, Gomer.

> In Amatuer radio or ANY avocation, Lennie?

"Amatuer?!?" Are you STILL making that typo after several
years? Bad form, OM.

>>Ham Radio Magazine is still being published..
>
> It's been reprinted. Perhaps even RE-published. "Ham Radio" magazine is
>not currently being published.

It is being published in 2002. You can buy it if you have $150 plus
shipping and handling ($7).

>>>There's an online index of HR articles. Turns out the vast majority of them
>>>were written by hams. Also turns out I know several of the authors.
>>
>>Do you channel Jim Fisk to get to "know" those several?
>
> You obviously do since you think the magazine is still being published in
>2002.

...and you think your name is "James Miccolis."

Tsk, tsk, another sign of multiple personality disorder.

>>>It's the name-calling ("Jimmie", "Nursie", "Herr Heil", etc.) that I refer
>>>to. Many other examples.
>>
>>How do you wish to be addressed? Should I use "sir?" Do you want
>>a salute each time you enter a newsgroup? Should we bow and
>>genuflect? Let us know the proper court protocol.
>
> You yourself have stated that like begets like, Lennie, and I cannot
>recall a single instance where Jim Miccolis has called you ANYTHING except
"Len".

Attention Deficit Disorder? Miccolis called me "Lenover21" and
has used my full "signature" name.

> So where do YOU get off calling him "Jimmie" and other such things? he
>continues to steadfastly avoid such conduct, yet you egg it on.

Where do YOU get off using "Lennie?" :-)

Do you really "get off" doing things like that?

> Of course everyone EXCEPT you knows where that comes from.

Poor baby...can't resist trying to throw in a barb, can you?

:-)

>>>A receiver-transmitter is not a transceiver.
>
>>The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver."
>
> Under current technology, a "transceiver" has components that are shared
>for the transmit and receive cycles.

Ohm my, now he thinks he is Bill Orr, W6ASI. :-)

What kind of "cycles" are shared? Kilo cycles? Mega cycles?

> A receiver-transmitter does not. It is a seperate transmitter and
>seperate receiver in the same package.
>
> Miss that at the K-tel School of Fly-by-Night Radio Engineering, did you?

I never went to one of your old schools...

>>The etymology of the contraction did not start in amateur radio.
>
> Doesn't matter WHERE it started, now does it?

Doesn't it? Ohm, my...! :-)

>>If those contractions come too quickly, better call your doctor
>>soon...
>

> And he'll tell you you just wasted time when what your SHOULD have been
>doing was going to the hospital...But I bet that's something else you have no
>practical experience in, eh Lennie?

Oh? You've been pregnant? Did Arnold Schwarzennegger build
his comedy film on your experience? :-)

>>I'm sure "great minds" think highly of themselves
>
> Then I acknowledge what a "great mind" you are, Lennie, cuz you suuuuure
>do think a lot of YOUR self!

Looking in the mirror again? You do that a lot...

>>Transmitter frequency generation by "methods other than
>multiplication"...(SNIP)
>
> Lennie, Lennie, LENNIE! This is a newsgroup for the discussion of the
>Morse Code TEST issue! NOT the discussion of RF generation techniques.

Is it? I thought it was expressly for Stevie to "get off" by having
tantrums anytime she wished...bashing all those "civilians" who
didn't Obey His Commands as an Authorized Dill Instructor.

> Or have you forgotten your OWN admonishments to others on that
>issue...????
>
>>According to K4YZ, the Word from (PR) Extras says I have dismembered
>>human remains in my recycling can!
>
> Oh no, Lennie...I don't think you are so stupid as to leave them in the
>recycling can...you DO have (I think...) enough sense to put them in a trash
>bag. Hopefully one that does NOT ahve anything with your address in it.

Are you now trying to say I engage in murder and dismemberment
of my neighbors?

That's a strange accusation. Does it come from one who has
"practical experience" in that activity?

>>Do you think anyone in my neighborhood has dismembered human
>>remains in their refuse cans?
>
> Do YOU think that it's impossible? Never happened? Never will?

So...you are still accusing me of MURDER and
DISMEMBERMENT of human beings. Why?

Are you so starved of material for "getting back" at your
"enemies" that you invent baseless accusations and set
yourself up for libel?

>>In 1948 the US military decided to eliminate morse codings in long-
>>haul HF communications, all branches. They did.

>
> No, they have not.

Sorry, but you aren't the US military and you weren't even born in
1948. You have no "practical experience" in US military long-haul
HF communications nor were you in any service branch that did.

Go back to accusing me of murder and dismemberment. That's
more in your field of "practical experience."

>>The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver." At one
>>time there was a word called "remitter" that was a contraction of
>>"receiver-transmitter" but few used it, being replaced by "transponder,"
>>a contraction of "responding transmitter."
>
> Now with Lennie's appearence in rrap, we also have the transputzer
>(transmitting Putz) and the rebuttheader (responding butthead)

No. All anyone has is a very sick, very amateur extra who is in
dire need of medical and psychiatric help.

1580. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 18:44:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qEjY8.27463$Iu6.1570612@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49444

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D305DC8.3F745D0E@hotmail.com...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > Some hams on this newsgroup have predicted that new hams will not
choose
> > > to learn Morse code and therefore not use CW. If they try and
succeed
> > > in recruiting new hams to CW, they will have proven their
predictions
> > > wrong. Do you think those hams can really bring themselves to
recommend
> > > CW to new hams?
> >
> > Oh, come now, Cecil. The implicit point in your logic is that we care
> > more for the analytical prediction than the mode; you may find it
> > descriptive, I find it insulting.
>
> Don't you think there may be a bit of Will Rogers in those guys, Dick?
> "I'd rather be right than be (a CW ambassador)."
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>

If you are thinking of 'I'd Rather be Right than President', that was
Henry Clay and he was neither.

Beers, Dick, NBK

1581. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 19:07:35 UTC
From: DrBoris <drborisnoyuckymeat@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <HWjY8.13534$_n5.451381@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49316
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk> wrote in message
news:bt81ju8j9f3q61hgimtlosaoi58hat9cim@4ax.com...
> Chris Kirby <<g4fzn@yahoo.com>> wrote:
>
> >I'd boycott G4SDW, but I've never heard her on ANY band.
> >
> >Chris, G4FZN
>
> Funny, that. One of his sock puppets claimed to operate QRP on "3M56",
> but despite a receiver running on that frequency for nights on end,
> haven't heard the callsign in question.
>
That's because he only builds radios, he does not operate, as
"operating a radio requires no technical skill and is the same as
CB (sic)."

1582. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 19:08:41 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gz8wcs9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 49445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 14 Jul 2002 11:12:10 -0400, Big Horn 312 wrote:

> I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
>no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
>going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.

Until the gates at the Federal pen at Atlanta (that's the South,
boy) clang shut behind you.

The easy way to make that happen is to keep taunting and dissinmg the
Feds that "it can't happen to me". They'll get around to you when you
least expect it.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1583. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 19:18:21 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ebkY8.65110$JU3.24815545@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49449

Bert Craig <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020714115632.07749.00000695@mb-fg.news.cs.com...
> >I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
>
> That may be true, but only temporarily. Camp ut on 20 without the
appropriate
> class amateur license long enough and the FCC will most certainly stop
> you...with the aid of U.S. marshalls if need be.
>
> >Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use.
>
> You are only limited by your gear and the size of your cajones...but it's
still
> just a matter of time before you feel the full weight of the government
upon
> you. There are much better ways to get OTA.
>
> >dont needs
> >no licsense.....see!
>
> VEC fee: Approx. $10.00
> Bail/Bond Possibly $5,000.00
>
> Need I say more?
>
> >ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> >going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Ah, I get it now. (No offense Jerry in SC.)
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

AH, but he hasn't read about "Rabbit Ears" yet, has he? That was a most
gratifying
photo of him being led back to jail in CHAINS and LEG IRONS. Many of these
cats think they have the biggest radio cajones in the world--until Uncle
Charlies busts 'em! I like the way the spectrum is managed now. I can't
see any reason for
valuable HF space to be wasted for someone to be able to go "tan-fer, thar,
shore
thang thar, garantole ye thar, mercy me thar, I heered that thar, I did
thar, git back
that" (shudder).

Jerry
Not in SC)

1584. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 19:20:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D31CF11.8A5A42E1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49446

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D313BC0.539ED080@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply assumed that
> this
> > > > was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a DXpedition.
> > > Work some out of banders.
> >
> > Like Len, Alec Baldwin is not a ham. Alec was going to leave the
> > country after the election though.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> And you won't let him change his mind?

Oh, I can't cause or prevent a change of mind by you or Alec.
I can only snicker at either of you after such strong statements issued
under your "principles of the moment".

Dave K8MN

1585. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 19:26:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D31D046.33219F2F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49447

Avery Labels typed:
> In article <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
> >> houses (mortage and lien free)?
> >
> >The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who needs
> >to regale us with a listing of his material assets?
>
> Better to describe material assets than just showing off
> their pudgy ass sets.

Never mind that; did I get the answer right?

Dave K8MN

1586. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 19:30:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D31D146.FDBF8C5D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49448

Avery Fineman wrote:
>
> In article <3D308F87.65EA2104@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >...but I've encountered a few who know less. Those who have never been
> >a part of amateur radio would rank with that crowd. :)
> >>
> >> His is the Word of (PR) Extras!
> >
> >More civil debate on your part, Len?
>
> No, just to put a label on it...the Word of (PR) Extras!

...an Avery label, no doubt.

> That's far more civil than being accused of dismembered
> human remains in my recycling can.

Oh. And there is a group of Extra Class ticket holders who believe this
to be true?

Dave K8MN

1587. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 19:31:54 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D31D1AA.B436943E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49450

Richard McCollum wrote:
> If you are thinking of 'I'd Rather be Right than President', that was
> Henry Clay and he was neither.

What was the similar thing that Will Rogers said?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1588. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 19:37:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <NskY8.65218$JU3.24880344@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49301
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gz8wcs9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 14 Jul 2002 11:12:10 -0400, Big Horn 312 wrote:
>
> > I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> > Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> >no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> >going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Until the gates at the Federal pen at Atlanta (that's the South,
> boy) clang shut behind you.
>
> The easy way to make that happen is to keep taunting and dissinmg the
> Feds that "it can't happen to me". They'll get around to you when you
> least expect it.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

He needs to visit Rabbit Ears....uhm, er, in JAIL down in Florida.
Pffffft!.....Mffffft~! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Sorry, I can't hold it in!!

Jerry
>
>

1589. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 20:13:28 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D31DB68.96A88CE8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49302

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Mike:
>
> Unfortunate, but that's YOUR problem, not mine! Fortunately for myself
> and all other Pre-Restructuring Amateur Extras, I gained my privileges
> under a more challenging and comprehensive set of licensing requirements,
> includiing the requirement to know the Morse code at 13 - 20 WPM.
>
> Today, being a "pro-coder" will have to mean that you will embrace the
> CW mode, get your code speed up to 13 WPM and beyond without the
> incentive of increased privileges, and encourage other hams to do
> likewise (since you'll want to work someone in CW on-the-air, presumably).
> However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-
> licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who
> decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.

Well, there's a way to upgrade his status. Sked a CW QSO with him and use
13 or 20 WPM, and then assuming success, send him a QSL card. Then
he can post it on the wall in his shack next to his license.

1590. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 20:27:07 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D31DE9B.5C8F4F7B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49303

>
> >How many of your amateur exams did you take in front of an FCC
> >examiner at an FCC field office?
>
> All of them.
>

Larry must have gotten his extra before, when was it, 1983, when
the VE program was started. I took my Tech in 76 at the field
office in New York City. Novice code and general written.
Part of the code test was sending. They had a straight key attached
to a small table you used to send a message. Did about a minute
and the examiner said "You're good, now to the written".

Larry said "all of 'em" so that means no retests.

1591. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 21:07:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kKIY8.406$O43.101972130@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49304

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714143604.01601.00000041@mb-mo.aol.com...
> In article <U23Y8.668$GF5.138686610@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Calm down, lad. Take it easy.
> >>
> >> With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
> >> Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
> >> on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
> >> :-)
> >
> >Ham radio is full of whacko's, it would appear. I wonder what his waiver
> >was for?
>
> Over-reaction? :-)

Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone walk out
alive?

1592. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 21:12:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0PIY8.409$JZ2.101508198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49305

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D31D046.33219F2F@earthlink.net...
> Avery Labels typed:
> >
> > In article <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > >> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
> > >> houses (mortage and lien free)?
> > >
> > >The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who needs
> > >to regale us with a listing of his material assets?
> >
> > Better to describe material assets than just showing off
> > their pudgy ass sets.
>
> Never mind that; did I get the answer right?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, it looks like a question to me. It ends with a question mark anyway.

1593. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 21:21:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bXIY8.412$Ti3.102870296@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49306

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D31CF11.8A5A42E1@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D313BC0.539ED080@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply assumed
that
> > this
> > > > > was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a
DXpedition.
> > > > Work some out of banders.
> > > >
> > > Like Len, Alec Baldwin is not a ham. Alec was going to leave the
> > > country after the election though.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > And you won't let him change his mind?
>
> Oh, I can't cause or prevent a change of mind by you or Alec.
> I can only snicker at either of you after such strong statements issued
> under your "principles of the moment".
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, what are "principles of the moment?"

I've been consistent over my entire amateur career about not working DX out
of band.
I've been consistent over the past 5 or so years that I think there should
be no Morse Code exam.
I've been consistent over the past 5 years that I think Incentive Licensing
is arbitrary and unnecessary.

You've been consistent that you will work any amateur out of band even after you learn they are out of band.
You've been consistent in belittling my DX experiences.
You've been consistently a jerk.

1594. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 22:06:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714180647.01591.00000135@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 49308

In article <20020712081049.07640.00000023@mb-cq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>To my knowledge, the FCC has never changed the wording in Part 97 without an
>NPRM. Perhaps Phil can weigh in on this one.

Now THAT'S a good point!
>
>>Lobster dinner? No, wait, you still gotta collect the first one I owe ya.
>
>I CAN eat two lobsters, Jim! :-)

Somehow I suspected that. You ever check out the lobstercam?
>
>>How will that work? Everybody takes a Morse test and there are a few
>>questions
>>on the written about what was sent?
>
>Yes, that is what I envision. Morse code text is sent and there are a
>reasonable number of questions about its content that are graded as part of
>the written exam. Like other modes, get them all wrong and be a little smarter
>somewhere else and one passes the test. It keeps Morse code testing in
>Amateur Radio in a way that is fair and balanced with its use within the
Service.
>
Nice idea, but I doubt it will fly. Sets up a whole set of arguments about what is a "reasonable number" of questions, and whether other modes get a similar requirement of "reasonable number". Plus the complexity of giving everyone a code test as part of the written, and correlating text sent, etc.

I doubt NCVEC or FCC will go for it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1595. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 22:06:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714180648.01591.00000136@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 49307

In article <mS_X8.26340$Iu6.1443711@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> We miss you, Benny
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>In a goofy sort of way, I too miss Benny. But I think you will find the
>Benny in question here was surnamed Franklin, from something called I
>believe In Praise of Older Women.

Absolutely. But I first heard it from Mr. Hill.

Franklin was quite the Renaissance fellow of his time.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1596. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 22:08:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714180851.02101.00000402@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49309

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/14/02 14:36 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020714143603.01601.00000040@mb-mo.aol.com>

>But, Brian, remember the dictatorial policy in here...nobody but nobody can
>discuss anything but "amatuer" or amateur radio in this newsgroup. Any
>mention of non-amateur radio applications is expressly forbidden by the
>PCTA.

This straight out of the scum-ridden mouth of a Putz who feels absolutely
no qualms about "redirecting" anyone who feels the desire to deviate from the
"morse code test elimination" issue.

"Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do".

Butthead Putz.

1597. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 22:20:45 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d31f786$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 49310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

This is why it's called "children's band" Phil, but you already know that.
You want some rain for that fire? You can have some! Come get all you
want! PLEEEEAAAASSSSEEEE!

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Big Horn 312" <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >
>
> > Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
> > government, not a "right".
> >
> > The above is settled law, not "opinion".
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
>
> I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Big Horn 312 says that
> Cherry Hill freeband oprators club
> stand by 27635 lower side
>
>
>
>

1598. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 22:58:16 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gz98rfc.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 49311

On Sun, 14 Jul 2002 17:20:45 -0500, Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE wrote:

>This is why it's called "children's band" Phil, but you already know that.
>You want some rain for that fire? You can have some! Come get all you
>want! PLEEEEAAAASSSSEEEE!

The fire zone (six small fires so far) is all the way across the other
side of the stste, but if it was possible to siphon off some of your
water to put them out we would gladly oblige.

The six day 90-100 degree heat wave finally broke today but it's
still pretty warm out. Fortunately our house has central air
conditioning as does our car and most places that we go to so we'll
survive.

Good excuse to do some daytime hamming.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1599. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 23:07:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714190738.02101.00000408@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49312

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/14/02 14:36 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020714143607.01601.00000043@mb-mo.aol.com>

>> Who in this forum has promoted the ideas of "unchanging", 'static" or
>"old
>>standards"..???
>
> You have.

Provide the quotes, Oh Masterful Liar. We'll wait right here while you run
out and Google up some replies.

>promote is those of
>>decency, responsibility and gentlemanly conduct on the air.
>
>..and anywhere else all that is thrown out.

You reap what you sew, Oh Slanderous One.

>> Do you suggest that THOSE "old standards" be discarded?
>
>No, but you can drop the Dill Instructor personna. You aren't
> in the USMC anymore, Gomer.

"Dill Instructor personna".....Hmmmm...I am discussing manners and Lennie
is trying to undermine it with such behaviour.

As usual.

>> In Amatuer radio or ANY avocation, Lennie?
>
>"Amatuer?!?" Are you STILL making that typo after several
> years? Bad form, OM.

Yes, Lennie. On occasion I transpose the e and u, and a and h. You still
did not answer the question. Not that I expected you would.

>It is being published in 2002. You can buy it if you have $150 plus
> shipping and handling ($7).

The offer to quit dogging you as soon as you deliver a July, 2002 copy of
"Ham Radio" magazine to my door still stands.

>>>Do you channel Jim Fisk to get to "know" those several?
>>
>> You obviously do since you think the magazine is still being published

>in
>>2002.
>
>...and you think your name is "James Miccolis."

Sure would like to know how you pulled THAT one out of your butt, Your Putziness.

>You yourself have stated that like begets like, Lennie, and I cannot
>>recall a single instance where Jim Miccolis has called you ANYTHING except
>"Len".
>
> Attention Deficit Disorder? Miccolis called me "Lenover21" and
> has used my full "signature" name.

Hmmmmm.....Your tagline in the e mail address is "Lenover21". It can only be that way if YOU set it up that way, so one can assume this is OK by you. Furthermore, if you don't want people calling you "Leonard H. Anderson", perhaps you shouldn't SIGN your posts that way.

In either case, neither is belittling nor deminutive. "Jimmie" is.

>>So where do YOU get off calling him "Jimmie" and other such things?
>he
>>continues to steadfastly avoid such conduct, yet you egg it on.
>
> Where do YOU get off using "Lennie?"

That was not the question. Where do YOU get off calling Jim Miccolis "Jimmie" whan all he refers to YOU by are identifiers and signatures YOU have promulgated?

This is about YOU, Lennie...Not me.

>Do you really "get off" doing things like that?

Big, fat JUICY one's, Lennie. Blow's by cork eery time I see how well it causes you to pop yours. And you just let me have a big one right then! Thanks!

>> Of course everyone EXCEPT you knows where that comes from.
>
> Poor baby...can't resist trying to throw in a barb, can you?

Not when it's accurate, I can't...

>> Under current technology, a "transceiver" has components that are
>shared
>>for the transmit and receive cycles.
>
> Ohm my, now he thinks he is Bill Orr, W6ASI.
>
> What kind of "cycles" are shared? Kilo cycles? Mega cycles?

In YOUR case, you forgot "menstrual" cycle. Seems yo have a perpetual
one...

>> And he'll tell you you just wasted time when what your SHOULD have been
>>doing was going to the hospital...But I bet that's something else you have
>no
>>practical experience in, eh Lennie?
>
> Oh? You've been pregnant? Did Arnold Schwarzennegger build
> his comedy film on your experience?

I'd dare say I have been to the hospital with more than one pregnant
woman. I have yet to meet one who said "Sure am glad I stayed at home just a
few minutes longer talking on the telephone".

>> Lennie, Lennie, LENNIE! This is a newsgroup for the discussion of the
>>Morse Code TEST issue! NOT the discussion of RF generation techniques.
>
> Is it? I thought it was expressly for Stevie to "get off" by having
> tantrums anytime she wished...bashing all those "civilians" who
> didn't Obey His Commands as an Authorized Dill Instructor.

Now that you've cleared that up for everyone, Lennie, do we have your
permission to discuss anything OTHER than the Morse test issue? Or is this
still on a case-by-case basis as YOU seem fit?

So far, Lennie, YOU are then only one suggesting what others should and
should not discuss. Been very rude and demanding about it on more than one
occassion.

Or is that how you provide incentive to college candidates to go into
"professional electrical engineering"...?!?!

>Are you now trying to say I engage in murder and dismemberment
>of my neighbors?

Who said your neighbors, Lennie?

>That's a strange accusation. Does it come from one who has
> "practical experience" in that activity?

Why? Are any of yors missing lately?

>>>Do you think anyone in my neighborhood has dismembered human
>>>remains in their refuse cans?
>>
>> Do YOU think that it's impossible? Never happened? Never will?
>
> So...you are still accusing me of MURDER and
> DISMEMBERMENT of human beings. Why?

No, Lennie....YOU asked me if I though any of your neighbors had
participated in that. I asked you if it was beyond the realm of the
impossible.

I DO know that there are licensed Amateurs in your neighborhood that might take up the sport if they knew what an insulting Putz you are.

>Are you so starved of material for "getting back" at your
> "enemies" that you invent baseless accusations and set
> yourself up for libel?

It was your insinuation, Lennie. I've just had fun with it. You should keep track of the thread better.

>>>In 1948 the US military decided to eliminate morse codings in long-
>>>haul HF communications, all branches. They did.
>>
>> No, they have not.
>
> Sorry, but you aren't the US military and you weren't even born in
> 1948. You have no "practical experience" in US military long-haul
> HF communications nor were you in any service branch that did.

Sorry, Lennie. Not true. Since you delight in digging up personal tidbits from records, you should review my duty status from June of 1981 to December of 1981.

Furthermore, the United States Army still trains and uses certain members of their forces in manual telegraphy. Sorry it irks you. You have been provided names, references and even phone numbers as to who can verify this.

Sucks to be you, Eh Lennie?

>> Now with Lennie's appearence in rrap, we also have the transputzer
>>(transmitting Putz) and the rebuttheader (responding butthead)
>
> No. All anyone has is a very sick, very amateur extra who is in
> dire need of medical and psychiatric help.

I am sure you WISH it were true.

1600. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 23:10:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714191045.02101.00000410@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49313

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: averyfineman@aol.com (Avery Fineman)
>Date: 07/14/02 13:14 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020714131445.02461.00000101@mb-bd.aol.com>

I see Lennie is trying to dilute the hateful posts pool again.

>Better to describe material assets than just showing off
>their pudgy ass sets.

Wanna go running, Lennie? A mile? Three miles? Care to go skaing with me and the kids some night? (I freestyle skate). Or perhaps you'd care to go hang gliding with me one day?

1601. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-14 23:28:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714192822.02101.00000412@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49314

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/14/02 14:36 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020714143605.01601.00000042@mb-mo.aol.com>

> Okay, give us the "answer." Cite your "military HF long distance
> communications experience" in detail, including number of hours and
> duties as a military person.

Not necessary, Lennie. I am not as impressed with myself as you are with
you.

>You are going to trot out your "commission" in the TN State Guard,
> right?

Nope. United States Marines. Federal (not State) service. Active Duty.

>Oh, yes, "point to point emergency communications." That implies
> NOT being mobile, thus negating those stories of being a Clooney
> clone riding them ambulances.

Hmmmmm..."Clooney clone riding ambulances". Cute...very cute. But nope.
Not in EMS.

>Did the first john (at fortysomething) do all kinds of "emergency
> communications" in amateur radio? If so, where are all the
> "numerous awards" or other citations that should have appeared on
> the ARRL news?

Who said anything about the ARRL, Lennie? And is the ARRL the ONLY agency
that would promulgate an award or certificate for public service?

I think not.

>Stevie, or whatever name you think you are now, the answer to your
> own question is NOT YOU!

Nope. Sorry, Lennie. Not true. Sorry you don't believe it. But you
don't have to. It's not required.

>> How many people are licensed Amateurs due to YOUR tutelage, Lennie?
>
> None.

That's right, Lennie. None. Zero. Nada. Yor experience in training and
license testing is ZERO.

>Only professionals.

How'd you get them off thier backs long enough to study?

> Since you've never been a professional in
> engineering, you have no "practical experience" in understanding such.

First of all, you make assertions you have no evidence of.

Secondly, we are not discussing "professionals". We are discussing
AMATEUR RADIO.

SOMEthing in which YOU have NO practical experience.

When I show up in "pro.retired.radio.putz", you can safely and accurately
state I have no experience, practical or othrwise. In this forum, however, any
STATEMENTS you make are strictly opinion...and poorly informed opinion at that.

>>How many Amateur test have YOU proctored?
>
> None. I'm a professional, remember?

Not in THIS forum, you're not. At elast if you were an "amateur", it
would be expected that you had some knowledge base to draw from. You don't.
THAt makes you simply ignorant. That we can ALL confirm.

>How many amateur or "amatuer" tests has the big Stevie proctored?
> With what VEC? At what times? Be accurate and include a full count
> in numbers. Remember that anyone can research that and verify if
>you've
> been telling the truth.

Even if the answer were only "1", Lennie, it's still one more than you have
done. That's fine by me.

>>How many classes have YOU taught...???
>
> Only two. But those were professional classes on specialized subjects
> in electronics.

Whew, Lennie! Have you beat THERE too! You taught only TWO classes in
over 40 years of professional endeavour...???

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

>> WE know the answer.
>
> You "know" nothing. Quit shaking your "we-we" again, it looks obscene.

What are YOU doing looking, Lennie? It's only obscene if you are
watching!

>But it does fit the kind of person who accuses others of murder and
> dismemberment because he can't succeed in "getting others" in a
> newsgroup.

I have "got you" far more times than you THINK you have me, Lennie. Sorry.

>Get medical-psychiatric help, Stevie. This may be your last chance
> before you go totally over the hill.

As opposed to you, who IS over the hill, Lennie?

1602. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 00:25:53 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lEoY8.28583$Iu6.1604258@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49315

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714180648.01591.00000136@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <mS_X8.26340$Iu6.1443711@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >> We miss you, Benny
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >
> >In a goofy sort of way, I too miss Benny. But I think you will find
the
> >Benny in question here was surnamed Franklin, from something called I
> >believe In Praise of Older Women.
>
> Absolutely. But I first heard it from Mr. Hill.
>
> Franklin was quite the Renaissance fellow of his time.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

He had some company. Another signer of the Declaration, one Gouvenour
(sp) Morris managed:

1) to have a wooden leg from one of the few glass windows in the Colonies
as her husband came thru the door.

2) Steal the mistress of the French poobah and start an undeclared naval
war (the XYZ affair).

3) Get sued for paternity at the age of 71.

At the very least he should have a fan club.

1603. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 00:55:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714205514.01843.00000082@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49317

In article <kKlY8.406$O43.101972130@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020714143604.01601.00000041@mb-mo.aol.com...
>> In article <U23Y8.668$GF5.138686610@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >> Calm down, lad. Take it easy.
>> >>
>> >> With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
>> >> Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
>> >> on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
>> >> :-)
>> >
>> >Ham radio is full of whacko's, it would appear. I wonder what his waiver
>> >was for?
>>
>> Over-reaction? :-)
>
>Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone walk out
>alive?

Probably not. The rage might cause him to activate an extra
in the marine corpse and they would do a "street gang" hit
on the VE place? :-)

1604. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 00:55:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714205516.01843.00000083@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49319

In article <20020714192822.02101.00000412@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>> Okay, give us the "answer." Cite your "military HF long distance
>> communications experience" in detail, including number of hours and
>> duties as a military person.
>
> Not necessary, Lennie. I am not as impressed with myself as you are with
>you.

Not an answer. Trot out your qualifications for claiming that the US military planned for NO OOK morse codings in long-distance HF radio communications. Hint: It was explained in US Army Signal Officer TMs which became available through the US Government Printing Office. It has been explained in statements and papers by the US military for public viewing.

Show us the statements to the effect that either long-distance or short-distance or medium-distance radio communications in the US military now uses OOK morse codings.

Note: There are only two US Army MOSs requiring morsemanship. One is for Military Intelligence, one-way intercepting that is not considered "communications." The other is as Special Forces communications sergeant...which only requires the knowledge but does not state that Special Forces USE morse code.

Above all, explain how your USMC training involved the use of HF radio and morsemanship skills. Robeson has never explained his USMC MOS other than making some general, ambiguous statements of "working on a flight line."

>>You are going to trot out your "commission" in the TN State Guard,
>> right?
>
> Nope. United States Marines. Federal (not State) service. Active
>Duty.

Oh, oh, now the poor baby is a commissioned officer in the "active" USMC?!? After receiving a medical discharge? How odd.

> Who said anything about the ARRL, Lennie? And is the ARRL the ONLY
>agency that would promulgate an award or certificate for public service?
>
> I think not.

"Promulgate?!?" :-)

Robeson should not try to use English words beyond his
comprehension. He should not engage in word-play where he
is a mere novice class and is sure to lose.

The ARRL is NOT an "agency." It is merely a membership
organization and a special-interest lobbying group.

>>> How many people are licensed Amateurs due to YOUR tutelage, Lennie?
>>
>> None.
>
> That's right, Lennie. None. Zero. Nada. Yor experience in training
>and license testing is ZERO.

Explain how a hobby activity requires "training."

Explain how amateur radio has different physics than non-amateur
radio.

Explain how no one can possibly discuss getting into amateur
radio without first getting into it...

>>Only professionals.
>
> How'd you get them off thier backs long enough to study?

Are you now thinking of what kind of professionalism?

[it's spelled "their" not "thier"]

>> Since you've never been a professional in
>> engineering, you have no "practical experience" in understanding such.
>
> First of all, you make assertions you have no evidence of.

Purchasing Agents are not considered either "in engineering" or
as "professional engineers."

Robeson has not claimed any other "engineering" experience
other than being a purchasing agent.

> Secondly, we are not discussing "professionals". We are discussing
>AMATEUR RADIO.

It is clear that you think that amateur radio physics differ from
non-amateur radio physics. Ignorance is thus your bliss.

> SOMEthing in which YOU have NO practical experience.

Right now I'm puzzled trying to figure out why US amateur radio
seemed like an interesting hobby to me.

It can't be the emotional instability, the insulting nature of the
frustrated amateurs who think they are "better" than professionals.

>>>How many Amateur test have YOU proctored?
>>

>> None. I'm a professional, remember?
>
> Not in THIS forum, you're not. At elast if you were an "amateur", it
>would be expected that you had some knowledge base to draw from. You don't.
>THAt makes you simply ignorant. That we can ALL confirm.

I am a radio professional regardless of the forum.

That frustrates poor Robeson no end and he obsesses on
trying to "get even" with his imaginary "enemy."

US amateur radio is one of the most highly-publicized hobbies
in the USA. Anyone can find out about it, the activities, the
technology. Since it is a hobby and NOT a trade, guild, or
union, there is NO "training" or "experience" necessary to
either know about it or be interested in it or be disinterested
in it.

Robeson manufactures an Artificial Construct on the "practical
experience" ploy. If that is "necessary" to enter ham radio,
then there would be NO entrants. Without a license they would
have no "practical experience." If someone does have a license,
then they are NOT entering but have already entered.

>>How many amateur or "amatuer" tests has the big Stevie proctored?
>> With what VEC? At what times? Be accurate and include a full count
>> in numbers. Remember that anyone can research that and verify if
you've
>> been telling the truth.
>
> Even if the answer were only "1", Lennie, it's still one more than you
have
>done. That's fine by me.

Robeson has avoided an answer. Everyone has to assume that
he can only answer "none." He has not given any quantity, the
subject or class, or the individuals involved.

>>>How many classes have YOU taught...???
>>
>> Only two. But those were professional classes on specialized subjects
>> in electronics.
>
> Whew, Lennie! Have you beat THERE too! You taught only TWO classes in
>over 40 years of professional endeavour...???

Teaching is not a normal part of professional engineering.

It may be a part of duties such as explanation of a new radio
system and its operation. In that case the "students" are
already knowledgeable on electronics theory and need only
concentrate on the system configuration.

Such professional classes do not involve elementary electricity
or electronics or the standards and practices of over-half-century
communications modes and skills.

>>But it does fit the kind of person who accuses others of murder and
>> dismemberment because he can't succeed in "getting others" in a
>> newsgroup.
>
> I have "got you" far more times than you THINK you have me, Lennie.
>Sorry.

Incorrect. Robeson only imagines what he wants to imagine.

Robeson cannot discuss or debate subjects, only become uncivil
and emotionally unstable in attacking "opponents."

Robeson has to realize that his behavior reflects on the US amateur
radio service...a hobby activity involving radio, NOT some military
service branch or any guild, craft, union, or occupational skill area.
Robeson repeatedly reverts to the immature emotional behavior
pattern of excessive obsession with those who object to him,
displaying that with personal attacks and pejoratives.

Robeson is not a good example of a radio ham away from his
imagined radio conduct.

1605. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 00:55:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714205517.01843.00000084@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49318

In article <0PlY8.409$JZ2.101508198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3D31D046.33219F2F@earthlink.net...
>> Avery Labels typed:
>> >
>> > In article <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> > writes:
>> >
>> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> > >
>> > >> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
>> > >> houses (mortage and lien free)?
>> > >
>> > >The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who needs
>> > >to regale us with a listing of his material assets?
>> >
>> > Better to describe material assets than just showing off
>> > their pudgy ass sets.
>>
>> Never mind that; did I get the answer right?
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Dave, it looks like a question to me. It ends with a question mark anyway.

The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
marine corpse person on you... :-)

1606. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:30:26 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <kxpY8.23740$1q2.1646296@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49320

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:673Y8.671$NK5.139013438@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...

> Dan, you're unusually sensitive about the issue. Why? Has this come up
> before?

And you are characteristically insensitive. No, making fun of handicapped
people, as you are doing. has not come up before on rrap.

1607. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:30:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iBpY8.7537$xK6.368733511@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49321

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:lEoY8.28583$Iu6.1604258@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> He had some company. Another signer of the Declaration, one Gouvenour
> (sp) Morris managed:
>
> 1) to have a wooden leg from one of the few glass windows in the Colonies
> as her husband came thru the door.
>
> 2) Steal the mistress of the French poobah and start an undeclared naval
> war (the XYZ affair).
>
> 3) Get sued for paternity at the age of 71.
>
> At the very least he should have a fan club.

And you bitch about the Catholic Church?

1608. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:32:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BCpY8.7538$cl6.368589998@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49322

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714180851.02101.00000402@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 07/14/02 14:36 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020714143603.01601.00000040@mb-mo.aol.com>
>
> >But, Brian, remember the dictatorial policy in here...nobody but nobody
can
> >discuss anything but "amatuer" or amateur radio in this newsgroup. Any
> >mention of non-amateur radio applications is expressly forbidden by the
> >PCTA.
>
> This straight out of the scum-ridden mouth of a Putz who feels
absolutely
> no qualms about "redirecting" anyone who feels the desire to deviate from
the
> "morse code test elimination" issue.
>
> "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do".
>
> Butthead Putz.

Butthead Putz? BP? Brass Pounder?

1609. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:33:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7EpY8.7540$LK6.368747794@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49323

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714205514.01843.00000082@mb-bh.aol.com...
> In article <kKlY8.406$O43.101972130@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020714143604.01601.00000041@mb-mo.aol.com...
> >> In article <U23Y8.668$GF5.138686610@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> >> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >> Calm down, lad. Take it easy.
> >> >>
> >> >> With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
> >> >> Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
> >> >> on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
> >> >> :-)
> >> >
> >> >Ham radio is full of whacko's, it would appear. I wonder what his
waiver
> >> >was for?
> >>
> >> Over-reaction? :-)
> >
> >Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone walk
out
> >alive?
>
> Probably not. The rage might cause him to activate an extra
> in the marine corpse and they would do a "street gang" hit
> on the VE place? :-)

He's been strangely silent. Wonder if he's planning his "recourse?"

Spookey!

1610. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:37:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <SHpY8.7541$fN6.368909689@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49324

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714191045.02101.00000410@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: averyfineman@aol.com (Avery Fineman)
> >Date: 07/14/02 13:14 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020714131445.02461.00000101@mb-bd.aol.com>
>
> I see Lennie is trying to dilute the hateful posts pool again.
>
> >Better to describe material assets than just showing off
> >their pudgy ass sets.
>
> Wanna go running, Lennie? A mile? Three miles? Care to go skaing
with me
> and the kids some night? (I freestyle skate). Or perhaps you'd care to
go
> hang gliding with me one day?

Holy Cow! Freestyle Skaeing? Hangman Gliding? You ARE into X+Treme
Sports, arenchew?

I thought you had children to raise? Save the stupid irresponsible stuff
till they're emancipated.

Betcha got a motorscooter, too.

1611. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:40:55 UTC
From: Knight Ryder <knightryder@yahooeyx.com>
Message-ID: <agt979$h17$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Big Horn 312" <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >
>
> > Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
> > government, not a "right".
> >
> > The above is settled law, not "opinion".
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
>
> I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Big Horn 312 says that
> Cherry Hill freeband oprators club
> stand by 27635 lower side
>
>
>
Who keeps cross posting this crap? last thing I want to see is
a bunch of loser hambo operators posting in a cb group /////

Knight Ryder
lower side a 27655 & 28130

1612. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:41:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CLpY8.7542$yN6.368928889@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49326

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714205517.01843.00000084@mb-bh.aol.com...
> In article <0PlY8.409$JZ2.101508198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:3D31D046.33219F2F@earthlink.net...
> >> Avery Labels typed:
> >> >
> >> > In article <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> > writes:
> >> >
> >> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > >> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
> >> > >> houses (mortage and lien free)?
> >> > >
> >> > >The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who
needs
> >> > >to regale us with a listing of his material assets?
> >> >
> >> > Better to describe material assets than just showing off
> >> > their pudgy ass sets.
> >>
> >> Never mind that; did I get the answer right?
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >Dave, it looks like a question to me. It ends with a question mark
anyway.
>
> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
> marine corpse person on you... :-)

He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out of
banders on 6M. Sum DXer.

1613. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:47:52 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <HNpY8.23939$1q2.1655530@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49327

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713182059.02595.00000069@mb-fk.aol.com...
> In article <fNZX8.31592$JU3.17908042@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan
Finn"
> <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:XgVX8.359>
> >> I am not poking fun of peoples disabilities.
> >
> >Oh yes you are!
>
> Well, there you have it! Someone denies a charge against them
> and there you go, trying to re-pin the charge on them.

No need for me to re-pin the charge because his own statements are
documented in this thread. His own statements convict him, not me.

>
> >And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of
> >handicapped individuals.
>
> It does? How does Life do that?

Certainly by causing a guilty conscience, that is if he has a conscience.
You may have a difficult time with this one I realize.
>
> >And you did it right in front of the whole amateur
> >radio community.
>
> If Finn made the Charge, then the Charge stays... :-)

I cannot erase what Brian has already imprinted onto Google forever.
>
> >What ever got you started on this, i.e. to state that I
> >obtained a Physician's certificate in the first place?
>
> I thought Brian just asked a question of you. Did he state
> outright that you HAD used one or not? I don't think so.
>
He asked how I got a waiver. That effectively asserts that I said that I
obtained a waiver. However, that is a lie. I never stated as such but one
would have to go back through everyone of my posts to know that to be true.
This is one of the more dishonest tactics that N0iMD uses in his debates and
it is not the first time he has used it. Of course I nipped it at the bud,
recognizing it to be a somewhat juvenile and rather passe debating tactic.

> >I only said, and any
> >person with an ounce of compassion would agree, that the Physician's
> >certificate was very, morally legitimate when obtained as intended.
>
> Real Hams and Real Men don't have any need for "physician
> certificates," do they?

You're getting as bad as Brian.
>
> Heh heh heh...I have yet to see ANY pro-code-test advocate say
> or even imply that a medical waiver to skip a code test was
> "very, morally legitimate" to become a Real Ham. :-)

What you seem to be implying betrays your ignorance as to the character of the pro-code community. We are not insensitive clods such as yourself and Brian.
>
> >Some people see themselves as the center of the universe, just because
they
> >happen to be healthy (at the present time, at least).
>
> Calm down, lad. Take it easy.

Do you perceive anything out of the ordinary in that statement? Brian and you continuously need to be reminded that there are others in this world who may be just as important as you are.

>
> With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
> Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
> on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
> :-)

Please translate that sentence into English.

73 de KR4AJ

1614. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:47:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cRpY8.7543$QP6.369069174@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49328

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714180647.01591.00000135@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <20020712081049.07640.00000023@mb-cq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >To my knowledge, the FCC has never changed the wording in Part 97 without
an
> >NPRM. Perhaps Phil can weigh in on this one.
>
> Now THAT'S a good point!

But wrong, apparently. I've heard that Morse meanse Farnse in Part 97.
> >
> >>Lobster dinner? No, wait, you still gotta collect the first one I owe
ya.
> >
> >I CAN eat two lobsters, Jim! :-)
>
> Somehow I suspected that. You ever check out the lobstercam?

They've reduced the size requirements. Sounds like the same old dumbing
down as everywhere else.

> >>How will that work? Everybody takes a Morse test and there are a few
> >>questions
> >>on the written about what was sent?
> >
> >Yes, that is what I envision. Morse code text is sent and there are a
> >reasonable number of questions about its content that are graded as part
of
> >the written exam. Like other modes, get them all wrong and be a little
smarter
> >somewhere else and one passes the test. It keeps Morse code testing in
> >Amateur Radio in a way that is fair and balanced with its use within the
> Service.
> >
> Nice idea, but I doubt it will fly.

Why?

Sets up a whole set of arguments about what
> is a "reasonable number" of questions, and whether other modes get a
similar
> requirement of "reasonable number". Plus the complexity of giving everyone
a

> code test as part of the written, and correlating text sent, etc.

Oh No! Morse on equal footing with other modes???

WE CAN'T HAVE THAT!!!

> I doubt NCVEC or FCC will go for it.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Probly not. They have their prejudices, you know.

1615. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:50:24 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <2QpY8.23996$1q2.1657511@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49329

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:7EpY8.7540>
> He's been strangely silent. Wonder if he's planning his "recourse?"
>
> Spookey!

Is everything a "war" to you Brian? Why don't you go make fun of people with birth defects.

1616. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 01:54:48 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <aUpY8.24077$1q2.1660030@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49330

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:kKlY8.406>
> Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone walk
out
> alive?

I would contest against you in code anytime and win, Boy! When you use code at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth. Stop writing about things you know nothing about.

1617. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 02:02:27 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <_SpY8.67278$JU3.26069608@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49331

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:s13Y8.667
> Dan, that's a whole lot of over-reaction. So what was your waiver for?

Sort of like your very abnormal and irrational over-reaction to my little joke about your so-called 'porno' solicitation? I demand an apology.

1618. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 02:06:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714220637.01164.00000540@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 49332

In article <b7d1465c.0207091027.2eb0524d@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>Hi again Jim,
>
>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<9f4bfe89.0207090442.6aaf6629@posting.google.com>...
>> > I happen to collect past issues of various CB and AR periodicals.
>>
>> Same here, but I only collect amateur radio periodicals, QST in
>> particular.
>
>I recently sent a chap in Manhattan an old issue that had his picture
>on the front cover from the early 80's. I don't know what compelled me
>to drop him an e-mail to see if he was interested, but it turned out
>that he'd lost his copy. I was all too glad to part with a piece of my
>collection to make this fella's day. :-)

That is really cool, Bert.

Do you have the June, 1994 copy of QST? Check out page 55.
>
>> > I'm
>> > one of those kooks who feels the best way to see where things are
>> > going is to study where they're coming from.
>>
>> Make that two kooks...
>
>My XYL has some stronger terminology for husbands who receive heavy
>bundles of "ancient magazines" in the mail..."you didn't pay allot for
>these, did you?" Yet the same XYL left my first 10-80 rig under the
>Christmas tree along with a Vibroplex straight key. The card on the
>straight key read "For this price, you'd better learn Morse!"

HAW!!! Great stuff!
>
>> > While I have no specific
>> > sources to quote, most publications put the number of "licensed"
>> > CBer's at approx. 20 million during the height of the "craze."
>>
>> Before the gas crises of the 1970s, the cb license population
>> stabilized at around 800,000. By 1977 FCC had issued approximately 10
>> million cb licenses.
>>
>> See:

>>
>> http://www.qsl.net/ecara/wayback/page32.html
>>
>> for more detail on this. The end of cb licensing, regulations against
>> ham linears, Class E cb, Jack Anderson, and more, all in a very short
>> time.
>
>Gotcha, love it. Thanks for the link.

The whole W2XOY series is a good read.
>
>> > Now,
>> > given the popularity of 11-meter "hobby" radio, I have no reservations
>> > of reducing the "craze" population by two-thirds or even
>> > three-quarters.
>>
>> I would say it has been reduced far more than that. 1977 was 25 years
>> ago, and there hasn't been a gas crisis of any magnitude since then.
>> In fact, gasoline prices are not much more now than in 1977 - imagine
>> the real cost once you adjust for inflation.
>>
>> cb was a fad in the 1970s, like disco. It's still out there, but not
>> at anywhere near the level of those days.
>
>I'll go along with most of this. However, CB has definitely morphed
>into a pseudo-hobby band.

It did that in the '60s.

>I'll leave the debate about the fact that
>this was not the original intent alone. It is what it is now. Sure,
>there are lots of lids there...but there are lots of good folks left
>too. Unfortunately, the lids "squeak" the loudest and get most of the
>attention. :-(

All too true.

>> > Add to this the number of truckers who use the CB
>> > along with other non-hobbyists, and I'm quite comfortable that that
>> > number stands up quite well. (That's not even counting non-US 11-meter
>> > ops.)
>
>In another USENET thread, I recently read that there are currently
>over 2,000,000 active OTR truckers in the US. I've rarely ever seen a
>OTR truck not equipped with 11-meter CB gear. (Or AR gear that
>transmits on the CB.) I'm not sure how true this is? I suppose it can
>be verified via the DOT. Although they do not share the same interest
>as their "hobby-minded" breathren, their electoral and economic might
>would be a plus.

It could be argued that truckers are among the few users who actually use cb
for its intended purpose - short range land mobile communications not covered

by other services.

The 2 million number seems very high. But even if true, then how many
nontrucker cb users are there?
>
>> I have to disagree, Bert. Even if the number was significantly more
>> than 10 million, there's no way to correlate that peak to the number
>> of users today unless there's some reliable current information. With
>> no licenses, that job is more difficult.
>
>Agreed.
>
>> Some possible indicators are the number of cb sets sold in the USA in
>> a recent year, and circulation totals of cb magazines.
>
>Unfortunately, many of the rigs that are in use on 11-meters are
>modded AR gear. In actuality, many of these rigs are designed with
>11-meters in mind and once enabled...run cleaner than the
>type-accepted stuff. I don't know whether to curse that guy running a
>AR-3500 or FT-840 @ 30w on the "legal 40" whose NOT causing me any
>TVI/RFI or the guy running the stock Kraco whose wiping out evrything
>within a three house radius. <ggg> Of course, I know the correct
>answer. Just joshin' around.

Of course. Main point is "nobody knows".
>
>> > Now, while you may not see as many CB antennas in your area...I still
>> > see a good amout in my travels.
>>
>> It may be a regional thing. It used to be very common to see cb
>> antennas on cars and homes around here. Now it's a rarity. I can
>> understand the house antennas becoming less visible, but you can't
>> hide it on a car.
>
>This is one thing that I'm fairly certain of. Over the past 20 years
>or so, the CB has gone from a primarily mobile service to more of a
>home-based one while AR has made some really significant strides wrt
>"going mobile." (...as well as portable.)

One word: Repeaters.
>
>> > I personally use my 80-meter doublet
>> > as it's convenient to just use another input to the tuner for the CB,
>> > so you won't see a CB antenna over my roof either. (God, trees make
>> > GREAT towers!) The trick is not so much how many are there as is how
>> > to get them all to pull on the same side of the rope?
>>
>> 'zactly.
>
>Tough and frustrating to get the 11-meter folk to see that they could
>really have much more control over their own destiny if they'd clean

>up their act (Even a little.) and leverage their economic and
>political might.

Hasn't happened in 40+ years.
>
>> > Jerry's idea is
>> > one of the few that actually has a shot-n-heck at accomplishing this.
>> > That'd be pretty funny if you ask me...irate ARO calls for the
>> > abolition of the CB (Remember, we're talking legal 40 now.) and
>> > unwittingly becomes the "father of the uprising."
>>
>> Law of unexpected consequences. Happens all the time. One wonders what
>> would have happened if the Class E cb thing had actually come about.
>
>Quite true. However, while I try to set an example that there are
>still courteous professional ops on 11-meters, others still shoot the
>band in the foot. My thinking is this. Now that I'm an ARO...if I (Or
>like-minded folks.) were to completely abandon the CB, then those who
>say that the CB contains only lids would be correct and win. I prefer
>to remain living proof to the contrary as well as try to exert a
>positive influence on those I QSO with.

Makes sense, but there's a hitch: Ham radio is so much fun and offers so many
varied activities - legally - that you may find cb uninteresting after a while.
>
>> > Of course, I'm
>> > joking Jim. Just having a laugh and injecting some levity into the
>> > situation.
>>
>> Yup - but there's a serious bit of truth in there.
>>
>> > Type 11-meters into your favorite search engine and tell me
>> > if six to seven million seems terribl exaggerated.
>>
>> I'd say maybe one million cb users, tops. That includes freebanders
>> using the part of the spectrum near 27 MHz. Just a WAG, but so are all
>> the other numbers presented so far.
>
>Sounds good to me.

Make the ham license easier to get and the ham gear cheaper and.....
>
>> > If so let's back it
>> > down to say, two and a half million. Hey, aren't there only approx.
>> > 680,000 licensed US ARO's. Who'd-a-thought?
>>
>> Yup.
>>
>> Here's a thought for you: There are probably MORE US hams using CW
>> today than back before WW2.
>

>I like that stat. Lets hope the ITU folks like it in 2003 too. :-)
>
Not likely. Only a few govts. support S25.5 any more, and those that say they do may simply use it as a bargaining chip.
>
>Wanna hear a funny? I parked the rig on 27.3850 LSB while I was doing
>some paperwork and realized that I was hearing ops going QSX when they
>were "on the side" and QRT when they were clear. You've no idea how
>good this actually made me feel. While the "QRT and on the side" thing
>was a personal peeve of mine, I've never given anybody a hard time
>over it as long as I understood their meaning. I personally talk on
>both the CB and AR as if I were just conversing with the other op in
>person.

Sloppy procedure has always been a pet peeve of mine. But like you I say the best way is to set the example on the air. Newbies copy what they hear.

>Take care and thanks for the nice discussion. :-)

You too

73 es GL de Jim, N2EY

1619. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 02:10:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D322EF9.43A2D95B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49333

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D31D046.33219F2F@earthlink.net...
> > Avery Labels typed:
> > >
> > > In article <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
> > > >> houses (mortage and lien free)?
> > > >
> > > >The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who needs
> > > >to regale us with a listing of his material assets?
> > >
> > > Better to describe material assets than just showing off
> > > their pudgy ass sets.
> >
> > Never mind that; did I get the answer right?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, it looks like a question to me. It ends with a question mark anyway.

Sure, Brian. I was just guessing. Did you know the answer too?

Dave K8MN

1620. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 02:20:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D323158.BF6DEF6E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49334

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D31CF11.8A5A42E1@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D313BC0.539ED080@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > > I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply assumed
> that
> > > this
> > > > > > was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a
> DXpedition.
> > > > > Work some out of banders.
> > > >
> > > > Like Len, Alec Baldwin is not a ham. Alec was going to leave the
> > > > country after the election though.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > And you won't let him change his mind?
> >
> > Oh, I can't cause or prevent a change of mind by you or Alec.
> > I can only snicker at either of you after such strong statements issued
> > under your "principles of the moment".
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, what are "principles of the moment?"
>
> I've been consistent over my entire amateur career about not working DX out
> of band.
> I've been consistent over the past 5 or so years that I think there should
> be no Morse Code exam.
> I've been consistent over the past 5 years that I think Incentive Licensing

> is arbitrary and unnecessary.

But you certainly blew on making your strong statement about us not having Dick Nixon...er, Brian Burke to kick around any more. I've noted that two of your three fundamental beliefs would involve personal benefit to you.

> You've been consistent that you will work any amateur out of band even after you learn they are out of band.

I need only concern myself with operating where I am supposed to be. The other station is responsible for where he is supposed to be.

> You've been consistent in belittling my DX experiences.

...only in the interest of accuracy.

> You've been consistently a jerk.

...and this coming from a fellow who cranks up three or four incarnations of the same misdirected crusade in which he ends up looking like a goober each time. Now THAT'S consistency.

Dave K8MN

1621. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 02:24:23 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207141824.4155dbdd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207071449.52e2820@posting.google.com>...
> I just have a question - the frequencies between "Channel 40" and the
> 10 meter band aren't in use so what's the harm? Has ANYONE
>ever heard a LEGIT station using an assigned frequency between
>"Channel 40" and 10 meters? Just curious. Enforcing this stuff is
like >enforcing jaywalking or spitting on the street.
>
> My personal opinion - if they venture into 10 meters, they deserve
> what they get. If they keep it below, they should be left alone.

The FCC feels differently:

Q. I don't hear any other stations on "my" frequency, which is not
one of the 40 allocated C.B. radio channels. So what is wrong with
operating my radio station here?

A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate
communications. Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual
listener, to be unused. Some of the authorized users may be using
emission types which can not be plainly detected. Or, their
transmissions may be intermittent. You will not hear any legitimate
signals within the designated radioastronomy bands. However, just
because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that it can be
used without proper authorization.

Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for?

A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio
services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries.
Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations,
standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting
stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this
spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are
attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant
stars and galaxies. No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted
within these radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted
signals can completely disrupt radioastronomy observations.

-A

1622. ID this key?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 02:31:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <duqY8.13521$Kx3.8602@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49336

http://www.history.navy.mil/ac/posters/wwiiwomen/45-127-k.jpg

What type of key is this?

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

1623. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 02:32:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D323444.C2AE470D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49337

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <0PlY8.409$JZ2.101508198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:3D31D046.33219F2F@earthlink.net...
> >> Avery Labels typed:
> >> >
> >> > In article <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> > writes:
> >> >
> >> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > >> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
> >> > >> houses (mortage and lien free)?
> >> > >
> >> > >The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who needs
> >> > >to regale us with a listing of his material assets?
> >> >
> >> > Better to describe material assets than just showing off
> >> > their pudgy ass sets.
> >>
> >> Never mind that; did I get the answer right?
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >Dave, it looks like a question to me. It ends with a question mark anyway.
>
> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
> marine corpse person on you... :-)

I'm not confused, Len--I was guessing at the answers to your pop quiz.
I didn't know the answer to the second question but think I did pretty
well on questions one and three. Was the answer to #3 "Bill Orr"?

Dave K8MN

1624. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 02:38:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D3235B0.C7D271C7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49338

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020714205517.01843.00000084@mb-bh.aol.com...
> > In article <0PlY8.409$JZ2.101508198@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >news:3D31D046.33219F2F@earthlink.net...
> > >> Avery Labels typed:
> > >> >
> > >> > In article <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> > ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > >> > writes:
> > >> >
> > >> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >> > >
> > >> > >> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
> > >> > >> houses (mortage and lien free)?
> > >> > >
> > >> > >The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who
> > needs
> > >> > >to regale us with a listing of his material assets?
> > >> >
> > >> > Better to describe material assets than just showing off
> > >> > their pudgy ass sets.
> > >>
> > >> Never mind that; did I get the answer right?
> > >>
> > >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >Dave, it looks like a question to me. It ends with a question mark
> > anyway.
> >
> > The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
> > 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
> > marine corpse person on you... :-)
>
> He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out of
> banders on 6M. Sum DXer.

As I've suggested before, Brian: I'll do what I want. You do what you
can.

Strive to be mediocre!

Dave K8MN

1625. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:00:42 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3D323A98.53360253@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49339

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
> >Regardless of whether you get into Amateur Radio, R/C model flying, or
> >playing Bridge, there will always be "someone" who wants to be King of the
> >Hill, with or without the qualifications to BE King.
>
> In Amateur Radio, there IS no King.
>

Long live King One Zee Zee!

73,
Clay N4AOX

1626. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:00:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714230059.02595.00000137@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 49340

In article <kxpY8.23740$1q2.1646296@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn"
<djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:673Y8.671$NK5.139013438@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
>> Dan, you're unusually sensitive about the issue. Why? Has this come up
>> before?
>
>And you are characteristically insensitive. No, making fun of handicapped
>people, as you are doing. has not come up before on rrap.

Is this a "characteristically insensitive" question -

Dan, have you ever had a waiver in licensing?

1627. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:11:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D326771.5060105@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49341

Robert Casey wrote:

>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>
>>Mike:
>>
>>Unfortunate, but that's YOUR problem, not mine! Fortunately for myself
>>and all other Pre-Restructuring Amateur Extras, I gained my privileges
>>under a more challenging and comprehensive set of licensing requirements,
>>includiing the requirement to know the Morse code at 13 - 20 WPM.
>>
>>Today, being a "pro-coder" will have to mean that you will embrace the
>>CW mode, get your code speed up to 13 WPM and beyond without the
>>incentive of increased privileges, and encourage other hams to do
>>likewise (since you'll want to work someone in CW on-the-air, presumably).
>>However, your permanent, irrevocable status as a dumbed-down, cheaply-
>>licensed, underclass, welfare-recipient ham is your gift from those who
>>decided that the code testing requirements had no value to the ARS.
>>
>
> Well, there's a way to upgrade his status. Sked a CW QSO with him and use
> 13 or 20 WPM, and then assuming success, send him a QSL card. Then
> he can post it on the wall in his shack next to his license.

How exactly will that upgrade my status? And more importantly, to who?

People can go overboard on both sides of the Pro/Anti Morse code issue.
And those who show no respect for a person besed on just that one
criterion are doing just that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1628. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:13:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D326808.4040207@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49342

Robert Casey wrote:

>
>
>
>>>How many of your amateur exams did you take in front of an FCC
>>>examiner at an FCC field office?
>>>
>>All of them.
>>
>>
>
> Larry must have gotten his extra before, when was it, 1983, when
> the VE program was started. I took my Tech in 76 at the field
> office in New York City. Novice code and general written.
> Part of the code test was sending. They had a straight key attached
> to a small table you used to send a message. Did about a minute
> and the examiner said "You're good, now to the written".
>
> Larry said "all of 'em" so that means no retests.

Is a person who took their tests in front of an FCC examiner a better ham
than one who did not?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1629. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:17:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3220DF.BF711640@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49343

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> >
> > >How many of your amateur exams did you take in front of an FCC
> > >examiner at an FCC field office?
> >
> > All of them.
> >
>
> Larry must have gotten his extra before, when was it, 1983, when
> the VE program was started. I took my Tech in 76 at the field
> office in New York City. Novice code and general written.
> Part of the code test was sending. They had a straight key attached
> to a small table you used to send a message. Did about a minute
> and the examiner said "You're good, now to the written".
>
> Larry said "all of 'em" so that means no retests.

The call database shows his license issue/renewal date of 4/8/97,
so even if he got the extra 10 years before that it would have
been in 87. He has only been in amateur radio since 81. So
doubtful that he took the extra in front of an FCC examiner at the
FCC field office. Since I got my license some 20 years before him
and I did take all my exams except Novice (which could only be
take via mail and administered by a General class or higher), then
according to Larry's reasoning, I am much more of an amateur radio
operator than he even if I don't operate CW.

1630. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:24:33 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj4g3edmb7d172@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Right on!!!and Well said!
> Who keeps cross posting this crap? last thing I want to see is
> a bunch of loser hambo operators posting in a cb group /////
>
> Knight Ryder
> lower side a 27655 & 28130
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1631. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:35:56 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <CerY8.67566$JU3.26392450@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49345

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714230059.02595.00000137@mb-fk.aol.com...
> >And you are characteristically insensitive. No, making fun of handicapped
> >people, as you are doing. has not come up before on rrap.
>
> Is this a "characteristically insensitive" question -
>
> Dan, have you ever had a waiver in licensing?

No, it is simply a stupid question.

1632. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:50:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714235010.01843.00000095@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49347

In article <aUpY8.24077$1q2.1660030@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn"
<djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:kKlY8.406>
>> Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone walk
>out
>> alive?
>
>I would contest against you in code anytime and win, Boy! When you use code
>at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth.

Can you do morse at 40 WPM? 50 WPM? 60 WPM?

Real Men and Real Hams can do high-speed morse without
any aids!

>Stop writing about things you know nothing about.

So...Real Ham Radio is all about working DX on HF with CW?

Or is it just working HF with CW?

1633. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:50:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714235012.01843.00000097@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49346

In article <3D323444.C2AE470D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>I'm not confused, Len--I was guessing at the answers to your pop quiz.

No problem. I didn't think you would know.

>I didn't know the answer to the second question but think I did pretty
>well on questions one and three.

No.

>Was the answer to #3 "Bill Orr"?

No.

Three strikes. You're out!

1634. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:50:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714235012.01843.00000096@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49350

In article <HNpY8.23939$1q2.1655530@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn"
<djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020713182059.02595.00000069@mb-fk.aol.com...
>> In article <fNZX8.31592$JU3.17908042@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan
>Finn"
>> <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
>>
>> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:XgVX8.359>
>> >> I am not poking fun of peoples disabilities.
>> >
>> >Oh yes you are!
>>
>> Well, there you have it! Someone denies a charge against them
>> and there you go, trying to re-pin the charge on them.
>
>No need for me to re-pin the charge because his own statements are
>documented in this thread. His own statements convict him, not me.

"Convict?!?" Do you imagine yourself "Judge Roy Bean?"

>> >And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of
>> >handicapped individuals.
>>
>> It does? How does Life do that?
>
>Certainly by causing a guilty conscience, that is if he has a conscience.
>You may have a difficult time with this one I realize.

I see...so, challenging a PCTA in anything, however mildly
results in more insults and denigrations?

Why not...another in here accused me of having "dismembered
body parts" in my recycling can, all because that poor baby
couldn't take criticsm. Now you, too.

>> >And you did it right in front of the whole amateur
>> >radio community.
>>
>> If Finn made the Charge, then the Charge stays... :-)
>
>I cannot erase what Brian has already imprinted onto Google forever.

Neither can you erase what YOU have already "imprinted onto
Google forever." The charges remain, Judge Bean.

>> I thought Brian just asked a question of you. Did he state
>> outright that you HAD used one or not? I don't think so.
>>
>He asked how I got a waiver. That effectively asserts that I said that I
>obtained a waiver.

Anyone else would say you are being wayyyyy over-sensitive
to that question.

All that was "effectively asserted" was that a question had been
posed to you.

Brian never asked a "Do you still beat your wife?" question.

Okay, have you ever gotten a waiver in amateur radio or for
amateur radio?

If so, why are you so sensitive to the question?

>However, that is a lie.

A "lie?!?" I saw only a question.

>I never stated as such but one
>would have to go back through everyone of my posts to know that to be true.

Nobody has to go through "everyone of your posts." Not even
every one of them.

Did you or did you not get a waiver?

>This is one of the more dishonest tactics that N0iMD uses in his debates and
>it is not the first time he has used it. Of course I nipped it at the bud,
>recognizing it to be a somewhat juvenile and rather passe debating tactic.

Oh? Has Brian asked you about your waiver before?

"Somewhat juvenile and rather passe debating tactic." Is that anything
like suddenly feigning outrage at some imagined slight because you
are overly-sensitive to something personal?

Congratulations...you've now ignited a small Flame War. Are you
proud of that?

>> Real Hams and Real Men don't have any need for "physician
>> certificates," do they?
>
>You're getting as bad as Brian.

Feel free to accuse me of dismemberment and putting human
body parts in my recycling can. That charge is all the rage in
the southeast.

Real Hams work DX on HF with CW.

Real Men can act as childishly macho as they imagine they are
on the Internet.

>> Heh heh heh...I have yet to see ANY pro-code-test advocate say
>> or even imply that a medical waiver to skip a code test was
>> "very, morally legitimate" to become a Real Ham. :-)
>
>What you seem to be implying betrays your ignorance as to the character of
>the pro-code community. We are not insensitive clods such as yourself and
>Brian.

Now, now...bad over-reacting. :-)

>Do you perceive anything out of the ordinary in that statement? Brian and
>you continuously need to be reminded that there are others in this world who
>may be just as important as you are.

Of course there are others...all there to insure that ham radio is
all about working DX on HF with CW. Nobody is as important
as those who strive to preserve the CW test forever and ever
regardless of anyone else.

You are a licensed radio amateur and thus you claim turf to demand
of others the same regulations that you had to meet. Understood.

>> With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
>> Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
>> on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
>> :-)
>
>Please translate that sentence into English.

It's difficult, that Word of (PR) Extras. They over-react so much
that their own words get twisted up in knots and often they
forget what year or even what century they are talking about.

I'm still working on the translation. Keep getting bogged down on
the "important, necessary" qualifications posed by them to
retain the code test forever. I will keep working on it... :-)

1635. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:50:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714235013.01843.00000098@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49348

In article <CLpY8.7542$yN6.368928889@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
>> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
>> marine corpse person on you... :-)
>
>He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out of
>banders on 6M. Sum DXer.

I can't blame him. Really. He came in here and tried to pull off
a fast one with that "CW order wire on an RTTY circuit" as his
"good idea" and got pilloried for it. From there on it was all
damage control and he couldn't control himself. :-)

1636. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:50:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714235013.01843.00000099@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49349

In article <SHpY8.7541$fN6.368909689@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020714191045.02101.00000410@mb-dd.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>> >From: averyfineman@aol.com (Avery Fineman)
>> >Date: 07/14/02 13:14 Eastern Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <20020714131445.02461.00000101@mb-bd.aol.com>
>>
>> I see Lennie is trying to dilute the hateful posts pool again.
>>
>> >Better to describe material assets than just showing off
>> >their pudgy ass sets.
>>
>> Wanna go running, Lennie? A mile? Three miles? Care to go skaing
>with me
>> and the kids some night? (I freestyle skate). Or perhaps you'd care to
>go
>> hang gliding with me one day?
>
>Holy Cow! Freestyle Skaeing? Hangman Gliding? You ARE into X+Treme
>Sports, arenchew?
>
>I thought you had children to raise? Save the stupid irresponsible stuff
>till they're emancipated.
>
>Betcha got a motorscooter, too.

1637. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:56:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714235617.02595.00000139@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 49501

In article <CerY8.67566$JU3.26392450@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>> >And you are characteristically insensitive. No, making fun of handicapped
>> >people, as you are doing. has not come up before on rrap.
>>
>> Is this a "characteristically insensitive" question -
>>
>> Dan, have you ever had a waiver in licensing?
>
>No, it is simply a stupid question.

Then make up your mind. Is it "simply a stupid question" or is it "characteristically insensitive?" Can't be both.

Why do you think you have to keep this sub-thread going?

1638. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 03:56:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714235629.02123.00000462@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49502

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/14/02 20:55 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020714205516.01843.00000083@mb-bh.aol.com>

>> Not necessary, Lennie. I am not as impressed with myself as you are
>with
>>you.
>
>Not an answer. Trot out your qualifications...(SNIP)

They've been published here before. That you ignore or forget them as your needs require is not my problem.

>Show us the statements to the effect that either long-distance or
>short-distance or medium-distance radio communications in the
>US military now uses OOK morse codings.

You've been provided the information before. Additionally, the U S Army continues to train some of it's soldiers in the technique.

Sorry it chaps your hide. Want some Corn Husker's lotion?

>Note: There are only two US Army MOSs requiring morsemanship.

Actually there are no Army MOS's that requires "morsemanship". Such is not found in any spcification for any MOS, Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Coast Guard OR Air Force. That includes thier Reserves, applicable Guards and the Auxiliaries.

>The other is as Special Forces
>communications sergeant...which only requires the knowledge but
>does not state that Special Forces USE morse code.

You've been asked to please explain why Special Forces operatives, who are already tasked with maintaining proficiency in a great number of critical warfighting skills, would be required to master skills they are no going to use. Especially at 16 WPM.

>Above all, explain how your USMC training involved the use of HF
>radio and morsemanship skills.

I didn't say anything involved "morsemanship" skills, Lennie. YOU did.

You've taken this from "on-off keying" as a basic technology and "read into it" some spectre of "morsemanship"

I never mentioned Morse Code.

>Robeson has never explained
>his USMC MOS other than making some general, ambiguous
>statements of "working on a flight line."

And "Anderson" only reads what he wants to read, and if it s not in line
with HIS opinions, spends the rest of his time trying to denigrate the
"opponent".

I once upon a time discussed all my previous MOS's, Lennie. Sorry you
didn't pay atention then.

Sucks to be you, I guess.

>Oh, oh, now the poor baby is a commissioned officer in the "active"
> USMC?!? After receiving a medical discharge? How odd.

Nope. Never said that.

>The ARRL is NOT an "agency." It is merely a membership
>organization and a special-interest lobbying group.

"Merely", Lennie? In YOUR mind, I am sure, but that's only because you
are again trying to mitigate it's importance to Amateur Radio as a whole. You
fail in that attempt.

Anything to get yourself a rung-up higher, huh Lennie?

Your angst is showing again, Lennie. Calm down..

>Robeson should not try to use English words beyond his
> comprehension. He should not engage in word-play where he
> is a mere novice class and is sure to lose.

From someone who can't seem to accept the difference between "Amateur" and
"professional", this is a hoot!

>>That's right, Lennie. None. Zero. Nada. Yor experience in training
>>and license testing is ZERO.
>
> Explain how a hobby activity requires "training."

Some people actaully take the time to "teach" the theory, Lennie. I am
one of them. Also, I don't just put these folks through a course and say "Have
A Nice Day". There are finer points of using the radio not taught in the
books.

This includes the Emergency Services aspects that you are constantly
maligning. It does actually require some training to do well.

Sorry that irks you.

>Explain how amateur radio has different physics than non-amateur
> radio.

That monkey is on your back, Lennie. I never said it was.

>Explain how no one can possibly discuss getting into amateur
> radio without first getting into it...

One can "discuss" it, Lennie. You have spent more time trying to
undermine it than some people ever spend actaully doingit.

>Purchasing Agents are not considered either "in engineering" or
> as "professional engineers."

Of course they are not. Who said they were?

>Robeson has not claimed any other "engineering" experience
> other than being a purchasing agent.

You never asked. And at this point, it's none of your business.

>It is clear that you think that amateur radio physics differ from
> non-amateur radio physics.

Nope. We were discussing policy. You seem to have a hard time staying
focused, Lennie. Too much Geritol?

>Right now I'm puzzled trying to figure out why US amateur radio
> seemed like an interesting hobby to me.

It never was, Lennie. I am sure that at some point, wallowing in your own
self importance, you were aghast to find out that the FCC was going to make you
sit in a room with the non-professional unwashed masses and take the test like
everyone elsse.

>It can't be the emotional instability, the insulting nature of the
> frustrated amateurs who think they are "better" than professionals.

No, Lennie...better than YOU. That you claim to have once recieved a
salary for your services as a technician is accepted. That you were ever very
"professional" is another.

Furthermore, I know a great many people who ARE EE's, and do not feel the
need to verbally plunder Amateur Radio in order to establish themselves as
"corporate knowledge" within Amateur Radio...they just go about the business of
BEING "professional", and the rest of us just stand back and applaud.

Therein lies your failure. You keep telling us how good you allegedly
are. We see no evidence of it save for a few theoretical articles 15-20 years
ago in a now-defunct magazine.

On the otherhand, the Jon Bloom's and the Ed Hare's of the world just
continue to SHOW us what professionalism is...we see it delivered to our door's
once a month.

Where's Lennie? In re-runs. Old news being re-run, and just as unlikely
to affect Amateur Radio today as it did when it was first published.

>I am a radio professional regardless of the forum.

Not in my opinion, you aren't. You have yet to show me ANY sign of
professional character or conduct.

>That frustrates poor Robeson no end and he obsesses on
> trying to "get even" with his imaginary "enemy."

Thank God you are imaginary...now if I will just wake up and see you
disappear.

>US amateur radio is one of the most highly-publicized hobbies
> in the USA.

Not according to YOU it isn't, Lennie. You have wasted acres of bandwidth
"telling" us how Amateur Radio has missed the boat on media exposure.

UNLESS, of course, you now recant that asssertion and are stating
otherwise?

>Robeson manufactures an Artificial Construct on the "practical
> experience" ploy.

There's no "ploy", Lennie. You do not have any practical experience in
matters of Amateur Radio policy outside of this forum.

> If that is "necessary" to enter ham radio, then there would be NO entrants.

If you were an "entrant", there'd be no discussion. However you left the
"can anyone tell me about Ham Radio" phase a long time ago.

Now you are an antagonist. Nothing more...Nothing less.

>Robeson has avoided an answer. Everyone has to assume that
> he can only answer "none." He has not given any quantity, the
> subject or class, or the individuals involved.

The numbers tested are in the scores. The numbers taught as many. I know
it...they know it. Had you been one of them, YOU'D know it.

>Teaching is not a normal part of professional engineering.

It's not if you have nothing to teach.

>Robeson cannot discuss or debate subjects, only become uncivil
> and emotionally unstable in attacking "opponents."

Answeed before, Your Geezerness...You reap what you sew.

>Robeson has to realize that his behavior reflects on the US amateur
> radio service...a hobby activity involving radio, NOT some military
> service branch or any guild, craft, union, or occupational skill area.

And YOUR conduct doesn't reflect on "professional radio"?

Do you think your profanities, lies and frequent misdeeds go unnoticed by
anyone ut me?

BBBBWWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !

>Robeson is not a good example of a radio ham away from his
> imagined radio conduct.

Nothing imagined about "radio conduct" here, Lennie. Ask Jim or Hans.
I've spoke with both of them on the air, and I am sure they will tell you that our QSO's were, at the very least, courteous and well conducted.

Sorry if that irks you. I'd have a QSO with you, too, but Part 95 has a mileage limit on how far you can legally communicate, and since you don't have an Amateur license, that is the only remote possibility that we'd ever meet on the air.

And although I do have a CB radio and could potentially "work" you, I won't hazard the priviledge of being a Commission licensee in the Amateur Service just to be able to say "been there, done that" with you.

So, Good Night, Your Putziness.

1639. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:00:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <agthd3ppv0@208.206.142.107>
Source record: 49503

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > There's no reasoning NCTAs into the fact that learning CW is merely
> > learning the most basic radio communications.
>
> Is telling them that they won't learn CW if there is no Morse code
> requirement your way of reasoning with them?
>

Would you rather I lied about it?

1640. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:11:19 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <bUrY8.26383$1q2.1734292@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49504

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714235617.02595.00000139@mb-fk.aol.com...
> In article <CerY8.67566$JU3.26392450@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan
Finn"
> <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
>
> >> >And you are characteristically insensitive. No, making fun of
handicapped
> >> >people, as you are doing. has not come up before on rrap.
> >>
> >> Is this a "characteristically insensitive" question -
> >>
> >> Dan, have you ever had a waiver in licensing?
> >
> >No, it is simply a stupid question.
>
> Then make up your mind. Is it "simply a stupid question" or is
> it "characteristically insensitive?" Can't be both.

I already answered "No" on the question of it being characteristically
insensitive. However, I did say it was stupid. In fact, it is
characteristically stupid.
>
> Why do you think you have to keep this sub-thread going?

You keep asking the questions and I keep giving you the answers. If this
really bothers you, then to stop this sub-thread, may I suggest you stop
asking questions, particularly the stupid ones. No offense intended.

1641. Re: ID this key?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:12:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715001222.02123.00000464@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49505

>Subject: ID this key?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 07/14/02 22:31 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <duqY8.13521$Kx3.8602@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>What type of key is this?

Why not run it by K4TWJ in "CQ" Magazine? He's the feller who does all
the "key" stories there (sorry..pun wasn't intended!)

73

Steve

1642. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:12:34 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D324BB2.BE10B0B3@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49506

>
> > Well, there's a way to upgrade his status. Sked a CW QSO with him and use
> > 13 or 20 WPM, and then assuming success, send him a QSL card. Then
> > he can post it on the wall in his shack next to his license.
>
> How exactly will that upgrade my status? And more importantly, to who?

to Larry. Who else. :-)

I forgot to include the :-) or <grin> on my previous post.

1643. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:19:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D327780.5030407@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49507

Robert Casey wrote:

>>>Well, there's a way to upgrade his status. Sked a CW QSO with him and use
>>>13 or 20 WPM, and then assuming success, send him a QSL card. Then
>>>he can post it on the wall in his shack next to his license.
>>>
>> How exactly will that upgrade my status? And more importantly, to who?
>>
>
> to Larry. Who else. :-)
>
>
> I forgot to include the :-) or <grin> on my previous post.

Gotcha! That makes much more sense

- Mike KB3EIA -

1644. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:23:06 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <d3sY8.26435$1q2.1738072@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49508

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714235010.01843.00000095@mb-bh.aol.com...

>
> Can you do morse at 40 WPM? 50 WPM? 60 WPM?

It's really not that hard receiving as long as I take notes, I couod
probobly handle a QSO to some extent with a little practice. I would
probably a lot of practice sending.

How about you, Dr. Evil? Can you beep up a storm?

>
> Real Men and Real Hams can do high-speed morse without
> any aids!
>
> >Stop writing about things you know nothing about.
>
> So...Real Ham Radio is all about working DX on HF with CW?
>
> Or is it just working HF with CW?

If I answer this question will you again ask why I am trying to keep the
sub-thread going? Or shall I consider this a rhetorical question only?

1645. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:28:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715002850.02112.00000459@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49509

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/14/02 23:56 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020714235617.02595.00000139@mb-fk.aol.com>

>Then make up your mind. Is it "simply a stupid question" or is
>it "characteristically insensitive?" Can't be both.

Why not?

1646. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:32:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715003220.02112.00000460@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49510

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net
>Date: 07/15/02 00:11 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <bUrY8.26383$1q2.1734292@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>

>You keep asking the questions and I keep giving you the answers. If this
>really bothers you, then to stop this sub-thread, may I suggest you stop
>asking questions, particularly the stupid ones. No offense intended.
>

Dan, if you haven't noticed yet, Lennie the Liar is all about being offensive and antagonistic. There is no one (save, perhaps, for brian and his anonymous buddy "MegHz") that he's not cursed, slandered, libeled or otherwise just ticked off.

He IS a creep.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1647. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:38:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715003810.02112.00000461@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49511

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/14/02 23:50 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020714235012.01843.00000096@mb-bh.aol.com>

>Why not...another in here accused me of having "dismembered
>body parts" in my recycling can, all because that poor baby
>couldn't take criticsm.

Trash can. Lennie. The only thing YOU recycle is hatred.

>Now you, too.

If I was on the receiving end of as much negative criticism as you, Your Putziness, I'd have to ask myself why they felt that way.

Unfortunately you're not anything NEAR normal, so I guess we will have to continue to wonder.....

>Neither can you erase what YOU have already "imprinted onto
>Google forever." The charges remain, Judge Bean.

I note that unless I missed something, Dan has never called you anything but "Len".

So here we are with another example of how "professionals" conduct themselves in a "civil debate".

1648. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:40:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715004004.02112.00000462@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49512

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/14/02 23:50 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020714235010.01843.00000095@mb-bh.aol.com>

>Real Men and Real Hams can do high-speed morse without
>any aids!

And a SAFE man and a SAFE Ham can do any mode on any band without aids.

1649. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:41:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715004121.02112.00000463@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49513

>Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
>From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net
>Date: 07/15/02 00:23 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d3sY8.26435$1q2.1738072@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>

>How about you, Dr. Evil? Can you beep up a storm?

Ooops...Looks like I got one wrong this time. I owe Lennie (1) apology.

Sorry, Lennie!

Steve

1650. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:46:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D325395.D87EDEDE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49514

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <CLpY8.7542$yN6.368928889@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
> >> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
> >> marine corpse person on you... :-)
> > >
> > >He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out of
> > >banders on 6M. Sum DXer.
>
> I can't blame him. Really. He came in here and tried to pull off
> a fast one with that "CW order wire on an RTTY circuit" as his
> "good idea" and got pilloried for it. From there on it was all
> damage control and he couldn't control himself. :-)

You guys would seem to be real bumblers as a tag team. One who has no knowledge whatever of what the State Dept. has used for communications and who still doesn't understand the reasoning behind use of CW rather than RTTY, calls me a liar and periodically revives the subject. The other has gone through several iterations of a 6m saga he wasn't involved in and marches toward the wrong target, accusing me of denials I've never made.

Yep, Doctor Evil and Mini-Me are the self-declared victors despite being heckled by the audience and being pelted with verbal tomatoes. I can't wait for your encore.

Dave K8MN

1651. Not In Your Life ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:51:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715005133.02112.00000465@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49515

Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Date: 07/14/02 23:50 Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <20020714235013.01843.00000099@mb-bh.aol.com>

In article <SHpY8.7541$fN6.368909689@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020714191045.02101.00000410@mb-dd.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>> >From: averyfineman@aol.com (Avery Fineman)
>> >Date: 07/14/02 13:14 Eastern Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <20020714131445.02461.00000101@mb-bd.aol.com>
>>
>> I see Lennie is trying to dilute the hateful posts pool again.
>>
>> >Better to describe material assets than just showing off
>> >their pudgy ass sets.
>>
>> Wanna go running, Lennie? A mile? Three miles? Care to go skaing
>with me
>> and the kids some night? (I freestyle skate). Or perhaps you'd care to
>go
>> hang gliding with me one day?
>
>Holy Cow! Freestyle Skaeing? Hangman Gliding? You ARE into X+Treme
>Sports, arenchew?

The typo notwithstanding, at least I am active. I see patients 10 years
younger than me leaning on "disability" crutches all the time. I won't be one
of them.

I may die hang gliding, but I WON'T die bored!

>I thought you had children to raise? Save the stupid irresponsible stuff
>till they're emancipated.

I do have children to raise. But I won't be one of these wallowing,
whining losers like Lennie the Limp, seething in hatred over perceived wrongs
done to me by some "hobbyists" and NOT doing the things I profess to enjoy, all
the while sitting around molding.

>Betcha got a motorscooter, too.

Nope..those ARE dangerous. No seatbelt, no parachute, and no where to go
but Trauma/ICU (if you are "lucky") if you screw up.

Sitting at home while I still have the wherewithall to enjoy my health is not my "style".

YOU stay at home. The kids can come with me.

Steve

PS: BTW, Lennie, where ARE your kids?

1652. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:53:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D32550E.F1D82755@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49516

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D323444.C2AE470D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >I'm not confused, Len--I was guessing at the answers to your pop quiz.
>
> No problem. I didn't think you would know.
>
> >I didn't know the answer to the second question but think I did pretty
> >well on questions one and three.
>
> No.
>
> >Was the answer to #3 "Bill Orr"?
>
> No.
>
> Three strikes. You're out!

Well, give us the answers, Len. The suspense is more than I can bear.
Who is this masked marvel? Give us a hint--east coast, midwwest, west
coast? Is the guy a ham?

Dave K8MN

1653. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 04:53:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715005338.02112.00000466@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49517

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/14/02 23:50 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020714235012.01843.00000097@mb-bh.aol.com>

>Three strikes. You're out!

At least he stepped up to the bat, Lennie.

Now it's your turn. When are you going to get your "extra lite out of the box"...???

It's been 2 years now. Need more time to study?

Steve

1654. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 10:27:32 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <agueaf08pj@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 49519

Along the same lines of a 2 at 10 becomes a 10 at 2? :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site
please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D30AD6B.A168DACA@hotmail.com...
> N2EY wrote:
> > For they don't tell or yell or swell
> > And oh, they're grateful as h**l
>
> "The girls all get prettier at closing time." (C&W song)
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1655. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 10:28:28 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <agueag18pj@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 49518

Or worse..... you look over who is next to you and ask "Who the hell are
you?" :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site
please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> >"The girls all get prettier at closing time."
>
> I like "I never went to bed with an ugly woman, but damned if I
didn't
> wake up with a few...!"
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

1656. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 12:33:23 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D32C113.6F45D5F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49520

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Is a person who took their tests in front of an FCC examiner a better ham
> than one who did not?

I took my Advanced in front of an FCC examiner and my Extra in
front of VE's. Was I a better ham before I took the Extra exam?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1657. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 12:37:55 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D32C223.15202909@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49521

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > There's no reasoning NCTAs into the fact that learning CW is merely
> > > learning the most basic radio communications.
> >
> > Is telling them that they won't learn CW if there is no Morse code
> > requirement your way of reasoning with them?
>
> Would you rather I lied about it?

Do you tell your wife when she's getting fat? When the choice is between
bringing more grief or more joy into the world, Dick, the better choice
is obvious to most of us.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

1658. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 12:52:00 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D32C570.EEBBED94@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49522

KC8PMX wrote:
> Or worse..... you look over who is next to you and ask "Who the hell are
> you?" :)

Or worse..... you look over at who is next to you and ask, "Who am I?"
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1659. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 12:59:02 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D32C719.F6238375@psu.edu>
Source record: 49523

CAM wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > Is a person who took their tests in front of an FCC examiner a better ham
> > than one who did not?
>
> I took my Advanced in front of an FCC examiner and my Extra in
> front of VE's. Was I a better ham before I took the Extra exam?

Hey, do you always answer a question with a question? ;^)

But let's look at the situation:

When you took your tests in front of the FCC, you were obviously performing at the height of human ability, taking the much harder written test, and performing the Morse code test with the added distraction of a Federal employee who might at any time find out about the tags you ripped off all your furniture - but that is okay, as after you passed this superior testing regimen, you were now among an elite, and could hold your head high and without shame.

But when you took your Extra test in front of the VE, all this came to naught. The Extra test was so dumbed down that it latched onto your intellect and drug it down into the pits of perdition! You performed the test in front of a plain old VE, which caused you to lose all respect for both the testing process and Ham radio itself, and you have been fighting off the urge to buy a 40 channel CB rig ever since!

Of course this is all a joke Cecil! I assume since you asked the question that you don't draw any distinction between the two different testing methods. Nor do I.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1660. Re: ID this key?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 13:28:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj5je8ai2ngj95@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49524

Obviously, a bug - but who made it I haven't the foggiest.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - please don't tell me Vibroplex ...

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:duqY8.13521$Kx3.8602@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> http://www.history.navy.mil/ac/posters/wwiiwomen/45-127-k.jpg
>
> What type of key is this?
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>
>
>
>

1661. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 13:32:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj5jmb543ve8fd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49525
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Phil, rule number one when dealing with any type of law enforcement is not to taunt them. You do not pass go and do not collect $200.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.gz8wcs9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 14 Jul 2002 11:12:10 -0400, Big Horn 312 wrote:
>
> > I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> > Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> >no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> >going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Until the gates at the Federal pen at Atlanta (that's the South,
> boy) clang shut behind you.
>
> The easy way to make that happen is to keep taunting and dissinmg the
> Feds that "it can't happen to me". They'll get around to you when you
> least expect it.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

1662. Re: Repost: Feeling Pathetic? Well it could be worse, your name could be Roger Wiseman.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 14:39:21 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <daviesl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <E4AY8.72079$JU3.27750012@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy

lol!

--
Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
God created only so many perfect heads...
the rest he covered with hair !

http://clik.to/n0vfp

"s!0.0^7ii.exe@mm" <s^0.0^7ii.exe@softtargets.com> wrote in message
news:sGXX8.7811$c_2.1975031@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hmmm, I leave a.f. for a short while to enjoy the summer and focus on my
> dragonboat racing to help raise money for disabled children and notice
that
> nothing has changed. Surprise. Surprise. Same old boring fuckheads. Of
> course, the most unexciting aspect to all this is chickenshit Suzielame
> poking her fat ugly beaver in to brag about her pathetic retard lame
awards
> and Roger ineloquently autolaming himself to death - competing with the
most
> dried up of old used sponges in the "blow the guage on the stoopid meter"
> division. If this were dragonboating between me and a.f., it would be like
> winning against a boatfull of overweight arm-amputees.
> ==
>
> Feeling pathetic? Thinking maybe it's time to end it all, or at least the
> portion of it all that keeps girls from talking to you? Cheer up,
> gloomypants! The fact is, you could be even MORE pathetic! Here's how:
>
> Your name could be Roger Wiseman.
>
> You take a break from usenet only to find that the content contains mainly
> of Suzielame trying to intimidate some newbie with her a.f. retard
"awards"
> and Roger continuing in his best tradition to out-stoopid the most
braindead
> of sponges. You leave in disgust and ask yourself, why did I even bother?
>
> You could get demoted from scaling fish to gutting Roger Wiseman.
>
> Your shirts could be pee-stained and your underwear mustard-stained,
instead
> of vice-versa. Or the name Roger Wiseman could be sewn into the backs of

> those soiled diapers while you're spewing filth on usenet and calling
> everyone a pedophile who doesn't agree with you.
>
> You could still be addressing your pillow as "Kathy Ireland" instead of
> having switched to Cindy Crawford in 1999. Or you could be cooing in the
ear
> of your dead mother underneath your Inspector Gadget sheets.
>
> You might only have the FIFTH most popular collection of Roger Wiseman
> PKB's, Autolames, & IKYABWAI's on usenet.
>
> Your mom could take that one old video of you dressed up as Batman,
singing
> the Batman song and playing air guitar, and she could show it to everyone
at
> work instead of only those who make it to the annual Christmas party. Or
you
> could be more like Roger Wiseman and spend the days felching the worms
from
> her decomposing arse.
>
> If you hadn't torn your Inspector Gadget sheets, you might still be using
> them today. Or your name could be Roger Wiseman and you could be over
forty
> and find yourself sharing those same sheets with your dead mother.
>
> You could have completely lost that one online argument about how a
> eighth-level druid could completely kick an eighth-level ranger's ass. Or
> you could be the first to lose an argument to Roger Wiseman on usenet.
>
> You could still be pronouncing "ebullient" wrong. Or you could be creating
> typo's all over the place while posting spell-lames just like Roger
Wiseman.
>
> It could have been a supervisor who walked in on you masturbating into the
> bathroom sink, instead of just a janitor. Or it could have been Roger
> Wiseman offering your kid a candy in the park.
>
> You could forget to omit breed when people ask you about your corgi-bite
> scar. Or you could have caught scabies while sleeping over at the Wiseman
> trailer household.
>
> You could have failed to make any progress whatsoever in your efforts to
not
> automatically look around in a panic whenever someone yells "Hey, loser!"
Or
> your name could be Roger Wiseman and you could be absolute clueless to
know
> what that means.
>

> Your left testicle might not have finally descended. Or Roger Wiseman
could
> be offering to help you with that left testy.
>
> Someone might have seen you that day you almost fainted after stepping on
a
> slug. Or someone might have seen you with Roger Wiseman.
>
> If you knew more people, that'd just be more people who know how pathetic
> you are. Or you could be advertising it on usenet and ham radio like Roger
> Wiseman.
>
>
> --
> ---
> subRoutine <www.softtargets.com> s^0.0^7ii.exe@softtargets.com
>
>
>

1663. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 15:20:11 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D32E82B.522935D9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49527

mike coslo wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I took my Advanced in front of an FCC examiner and my Extra in
> > front of VE's. Was I a better ham before I took the Extra exam?
>
> Hey, do you always answer a question with a question? ;^)

Only if it's rhetorical.

> When you took your tests in front of the FCC, you were obviously
> performing at the height of human ability, ...

Actually, I was drunk, which for me, may be the height.

> ... and performing the Morse code test ...

No Morse code test for Advanced upgrading from General.

> Of course this is all a joke Cecil!

How can you possibly joke about such serious stuff?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1664. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 16:10:52 UTC
From: Sky Rocket <SkyRocket@27955Group.org>
Message-ID: <agus6f$kt6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Herb's I-85 Truckstop. Gigantic shipment of imported
101 channel radios just in, also new selection of linear amps.,
both new & used.

Standing by on 27830 lower side with more information.

Sky Rocket

1665. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 17:42:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5ODY8.31947$1q2.1915417@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

UM, care to tell us what town that is on I-85 so I can go get one of them
101 "channel" reddios??

J
Sky Rocket <SkyRocket@27955Group.org> wrote in message
news:agus6f$kt6$0@pita.alt.net...
> Herb's I-85 Truckstop. Gigantic shipment of imported
> 101 channel radios just in, also new selection of linear amps.,
> both new & used.
>
> Standing by on 27830 lower side with more information.
>
> Sky Rocket
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1666. Re: Repost: Feeling Pathetic? Well it could be worse, you could be LllllllonelyLlllardasssss 30 year old VIRGIN LLLLLlllloydRoger Wiseman.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 18:37:23 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <agv8ohpgh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lllllllllllllllardassssssssssssssssssssssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies"
<daviesl@bellsouth.net> slurped ass in message
news:E4AY8.72079$JU3.27750012@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> SLLLUURRRRRRRRRRRRRRPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPP!

Llllardasssssssssss admits he's a LLLLLLARDASSSSSSSSSSSSSSS!!!!!!

From: "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Subject: ANY NICE SOUTHERN GIRLS ON HERE!
Date: 01 Jan 2000 00:00:00 GMT
Message-ID: <cqrb4.12925$y11.149882@news4.mia>
X-Trace: news4.mia 946750216 216.76.233.84 (Sat, 01 Jan 2000 13:10:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 01 Jan 2000 13:10:16 EST
Newsgroups: alt.thought.southern
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3

I WOULD LIKE TO MEET, CHAT AND E-MAIL ANY NICE GIRLS ON THIS FORUM. I'M 5'7
ABOUT 210LBS AND DRIVE A LATE MODEL PICKUP TRUCK.

SOUTHERN GIRLS ONLY

"Miss Lonelyhearts" <95qrtt$p1r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Llllardasssssssss Lloyd/N0VFP <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> whined:
"It's a lot more complicated than that. I need friends, sure. But, you
dont know how lonely I am in this life."

Lllllllllllaaaaaaardasssssssss Lllllllllllllllloyd
"Friends of Hal Turner" <we.will@get.by.com> confessed his fatassed status
in message news:3c388ad7$1_3@news.teranews.com...
"SO WHAT IF I'M A LARDASS??<...> DOESNT IT MAKE A DIFFERENCE?
SO WHAT IF I WEAR A SIZE 38-40 PANTS AND SIZE 18 DRESS SHIRTS?
IS THAT A CRIME? I WORK WITH PEOPLE WHO ARE ALOT BIGGER THAN ME....."

1667. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 19:50:47 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151150.60c6da62@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".

To see how the "freeband/CB" blitzkreig is progressing, take a peek at the lineup of popular "CB's" being pushed by giant web retailer "Copper". Don't see any CBs? Oh, um, that's cuz they're calling them "10 meter radios" now.

-Aaron-

(From http://www.copper.com/)

FORUM

HELLO TO ALL
MY QUESTION IS , IS IT LEGAL TO OWN A EXPORT RADIO ? THE RADIO COVERS 10 AND 11 METER. I BOUGHT IT ON LINE WITH MY CREDIT CARD.
THANKS DOUG

Doug,

Yes. It's perfectly legal to own such a radio.

Steve
Tech181
Tech181@copper.com

GALAXY DX 33 10 METER RADIO
$154.99
G10-00004 GALAXY DX 44 10 METER RADIO
$174.99
G10-00006 GALAXY DX 55 10 METER RADIO
$154.99
G10-00008 GALAXY DX 66 10 METER RADIO
$224.99
G10-00010 GALAXY DX 77 10 METER RADIO
$214.99
G10-00012 GALAXY DX 88 10 METER RADIO
$304.99
G10-00017 GALAXY DX 2517 10 METER BASE R
$424.99

G10-00020 GALAXY DX 73 10 METER RADIO
$254.99
E50-00021 VOYAGE VR 9000 10 METER MOBILE
$179.99
E00-00004 CONNEX 4800 DXL 10 METER MOBILE
$279.99
E00-00006 CONNEX 4800 DXL/E WITH ECHO
$289.99
G10-00014 GALAXY DX 99 10 METER RADIO
$324.99
E00-06002 Eagle Sidewinder 10 Meter Mobile
$149.99
E50-00022 SUPER STAR 3900 10 METER RADIO
$119.99
E00-06006 EAGLE SPITFIRE
$149.99
G10-00019 GALAXY DX 2527 10 METER BASE
$444.99
R10-10115 RANGER RCI 2980 10 METER BASE
$379.99
R10-10116 RANGER RCI 2990DX 10 METER BASE
$549.99
R10-10003 RCI 2950DX 10 & 12 Meter Amateur Radio
$299.99
R10-10010 RANGER 2970DX 100 Watt Amateur Radio
$424.99
R10-10008 RANGER 6300FHP AM/FM 10 Meter
$279.99
R10-10009 Ranger 6300FTB Turbo AM/FM 10 Meter Mobile
$424.99
R10-10006 RANGER 6900FHP AM/FM/SSB
$319.99
R10-10005 RANGER TR-6900FTB TURBO
$464.99
R10-10117 Ranger RCI 2985DX 10 & 12 Meter Base
$444.99
Ranger RCI-2995DX 10 & 11 Meter Base
$564.99
R10-10119 RANGER 2980WX 10 METER BASE W/WEATHER
$419.99
SuperStar 3900 HP GOLD
$169.99
P03-01030 MAGNUM MAVERICK A24 10 METER MOBILE RADIO
$199.99
P03-01050 MAGNUM DELTA FORCE 10 METER MOBILE RADIO
$229.99
Galaxy Melaka 10 Meter Base
$244.99
Mirage RCI 2950 10 Meter Radio
$227.99

MAGNUM 257 10 METER MOBILE RADIO
$169.99

1668. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 19:58:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <EPFY8.23081$A43.2314887@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49532

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote

> When you use code at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth.

Of course you can! As an example, you could use Farnsworth by sending the
characters at 35WPM and use 20WPM inter-character spacing. for example.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

1669. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 22:06:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xHHY8.179$qx2.9050318@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49533

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:aUpY8.24077$1q2.1660030@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:kKlY8.406>
> > Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone walk
> out
> > alive?
>
> I would contest against you in code anytime and win, Boy! When you use
code
> at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth. Stop writing about
things
> you know nothing about.

What waiver?

1670. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 22:11:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1MHY8.181$kQ2.10238074@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49534

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:EPFY8.23081$A43.2314887@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote
>
> > When you use code at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth.
>
> Of course you can! As an example, you could use Farnsworth by sending the
> characters at 35WPM and use 20WPM inter-character spacing. for example.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

Which is why I say Farnsowrth can be anything you want it to be, or anything the VEC wants it to be. It can even be exactly the Morse specification. This is because Morse is a single event in the infinite set called Farnsworth.

1671. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 22:27:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <h%HY8.189$543.11254009@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49535

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:HNpY8.23939$1q2.1655530@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020713182059.02595.00000069@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > In article <fNZX8.31592$JU3.17908042@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan
> Finn"
> > <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
> >
> > >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:XgVX8.359>
> > >> I am not poking fun of peoples disabilities.
> > >
> > >Oh yes you are!
> >
> > Well, there you have it! Someone denies a charge against them
> > and there you go, trying to re-pin the charge on them.
>
> No need for me to re-pin the charge because his own statements are
> documented in this thread. His own statements convict him, not me.

Dan, I wasnt making fun of ANYONE when I posted "Waiver," but now I'm making
fun of you. You over-reacted to a single word that didn't point to any
individual or whether any particular waiver was valid or not. I'll assume
that if an MD signed it, thats good enough for me.

Your reaction was SO overblown. I would guess that you have a code waiver.

> > >And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun of
> > >handicapped individuals.
> >
> > It does? How does Life do that?
>
> Certainly by causing a guilty conscience, that is if he has a conscience.
> You may have a difficult time with this one I realize.

Why did you overreact? What is your conscience telling you?

> > >And you did it right in front of the whole amateur
> > >radio community.
> >
> > If Finn made the Charge, then the Charge stays... :-)
>
> I cannot erase what Brian has already imprinted onto Google forever.

Nor can you erase your offer to have pornography sent to me. Forever.

> > >What ever got you started on this, i.e. to state that I
> > >obtained a Physician's certificate in the first place?
> >
> > I thought Brian just asked a question of you. Did he state
> > outright that you HAD used one or not? I don't think so.
> >
> He asked how I got a waiver.

Your over reaction said it all.

That effectively asserts that I said that I
> obtained a waiver. However, that is a lie.

So you haven't -stated- that you have a waiver, or you don't have a waiver?

Which is it?

Why did you go berzerk over the word, "Waiver?"

I never stated as such but one
> would have to go back through everyone of my posts to know that to be
true.

For the record, you have NOT stated that you have a waiver. Yet.

> This is one of the more dishonest tactics that N0iMD uses in his debates
and
> it is not the first time he has used it. Of course I nipped it at the bud,
> recognizing it to be a somewhat juvenile and rather passe debating tactic.

You nipped yourself in the budd with all that wild-eyed yapping.

> > >I only said, and any
> > >person with an ounce of compassion would agree, that the Physician's
> > >certificate was very, morally legitimate when obtained as intended.
> >
> > Real Hams and Real Men don't have any need for "physician
> > certificates," do they?
>
> You're getting as bad as Brian.

In his wildest dreams!

> > Heh heh heh...I have yet to see ANY pro-code-test advocate say
> > or even imply that a medical waiver to skip a code test was
> > "very, morally legitimate" to become a Real Ham. :-)
>
> What you seem to be implying betrays your ignorance as to the character of
> the pro-code community. We are not insensitive clods such as yourself and
> Brian.

Then don't advertise for pornography to be sent to me.

> > >Some people see themselves as the center of the universe, just because
> they
> > >happen to be healthy (at the present time, at least).

> >
> > Calm down, lad. Take it easy.
>
> Do you perceive anything out of the ordinary in that statement? Brian and
> you continuously need to be reminded that there are others in this world
who
> may be just as important as you are.

Plenty of 'em. I'm a has been. But I don't go around blowing my horn that
I'm an Advanced, then, well, it remains to be seen if you'll come clean on
the subject.

Ditto Golden Boy Val. He came on here saying all the right things about the
bad, bad mens of NoCode, and how he was going to learn the code, and, and,
and

He sure has you PCTA guys fooled.

> > With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
> > Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
> > on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
> > :-)
>
> Please translate that sentence into English.
>
> 73 de KR4AJ

You're on your own with that one.

73, Brian/N0iMD

1672. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 22:32:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <54IY8.193$c83.11513888@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49536

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:_SpY8.67278$JU3.26069608@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:s13Y8.667
> > Dan, that's a whole lot of over-reaction. So what was your waiver for?
>
> Sort of like your very abnormal and irrational over-reaction to my little
> joke about your so-called 'porno' solicitation? I demand an apology.

You're a funny guy.

I'm still waiting on my apology for your sick "joke."

1673. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 22:41:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715184148.07003.00000601@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49537

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dr. Ed, where do you practice psychology?

Do you think that only those with training in psychology can recognize angst?

>If you want to see a pained
>individual, go check out Dann Finn's sensitivity to Code Waivers.

Apparently not... or is this one of your double standards?

>> Why do you thihk I would have an "agenda" wrt your obsession about
>Farnsworth
>> timing being used to help people pass Morse code tests?

>You seem to be going somewhere with it, but I'm not sure where that might
>be. Are you?

No need to go anywhere, Brian. I don't think that the way hams are being tested
for Morse code knowledge is a problem. I don't seek to change it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1674. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 22:47:24 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715184724.07003.00000602@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49538

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a DXpedition.
>Work some out of banders.

That didn't sound like "last" to me, Brian. It sounds like you are trying to get it started all over again.

Or did you mean last as long as you were able to have the last word -- a dozen times?

Is this one of those "Do as Brian says, not as Brian does" sort of things?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1675. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 22:48:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715184830.07003.00000603@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49539

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dave, what are "principles of the moment?"

>You've been consistently a jerk.

1676. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 22:54:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715185425.07003.00000604@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49540

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Nice idea, but I doubt it will fly. Sets up a whole set of arguments about
>what is a "reasonable number" of questions, and whether other modes
>get a similar requirement of "reasonable number".

Proponents of other modes don't create such arguments; why would proponents of CW do that?

>I doubt NCVEC or FCC will go for it.

Perhaps not, but that doesn't mean it is not an idea worth considering. And the FCC might not have to go along with it at all -- they leave the selection of what gets tested up to the Question Pool Committee, except for the mandate for some RF safety questions.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1677. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 22:56:20 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715185620.07003.00000605@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49541

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> >To my knowledge, the FCC has never changed the wording in Part 97 without
>an
>> >NPRM. Perhaps Phil can weigh in on this one.

>> Now THAT'S a good point!

>But wrong, apparently.

In what way? Post the before and after text of what in Part 97 the FCC changed
without and NPRM.

>> I doubt NCVEC or FCC will go for it.

>Probly not. They have their prejudices, you know.

If there is any prejudice, it is away from Morse code testing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1678. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 23:07:50 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715190750.02941.00000722@mb-cm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49542

>Do you have the June, 1994 copy of QST? Check out page 55.

I'll check.

>"you didn't pay allot for
>>these, did you?"

Oh yeah, I forgot..."why doe they smell like stale cigars?"

>The whole W2XOY series is a good read.

I'm learning.

>CB has definitely morphed
>>into a pseudo-hobby band.
>
>It did that in the '60s.

I think the internet has blown it wide open, growth-wise. The ability of all the various clubs and groups to communicate and dare I say...organize. The two most notable being:

www.sugardelta.com & www.alfatango.org

>One word: Repeaters.

No offense, but in the words of Austin Powers..."not my bag, Man." :-)

>Makes sense, but there's a hitch: Ham radio is so much fun and offers so many
>varied activities - legally - that you may find cb uninteresting after a
>while.

True, but I fit 'em both in together. It's woking so far. ;-)

>Make the ham license easier to get

I don't know if I agree with this.

>and the ham gear cheaper and.....

I agree with this. :-D

>Not likely. Only a few govts. support S25.5 any more, and those that say they
>do may simply use it as a bargaining chip.

I hope not. I wrote a couple of letters. I hope others did/do the same.

>Sloppy procedure has always been a pet peeve of mine. But like you I say the
>best way is to set the example on the air. Newbies copy what they hear.

My above comment re. repeaters partially resulted from listo folks on 2m get "destinated." <Gasp!>

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1679. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-15 23:21:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D33832F.8020408@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49543

CAM wrote:

> How can you possibly joke about such serious stuff?

Awww, alright, I'll behave.

.........wait a second, you mean soome people take this seriously??

- Mike KB3EIA -

1680. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:11:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <YwJY8.303$bX4.18872457@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49544

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:2QpY8.23996$1q2.1657511@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:7EpY8.7540>
> > He's been strangely silent. Wonder if he's planning his "recourse?"
> >
> > Spookey!
>
> Is everything a "war" to you Brian?

You're still PO'd about something stupid you did and got called on it, so
you've been laying in wait for me to do something you can jump on. This
wasn't it. Try again.

> Why don't you go make fun of people with birth defects.

I haven't made fun of anyone yet.

Why don't you send them pornography like you tried to send me?

1681. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:16:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aBJY8.314$AZ4.19028302@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49545

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:kxpY8.23740$1q2.1646296@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:673Y8.671$NK5.139013438@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > Dan, you're unusually sensitive about the issue. Why? Has this come up
> > before?
>
> And you are characteristically insensitive.

I'm curious at your over-reaction. If you can't be honest with yourself,
you'll never be honest with me.

> No, making fun of handicapped people, as you are doing. has not come up
before on rrap.

Your false accusation is all that's come up lately!

Where is Mark Morgan when you need him? He'll tell you all about
disabilities.

1682. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:17:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715201749.01638.00000281@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49546

In article <BMVX8.374$505.71392437@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020713080616.01619.00000011@mb-cc.aol.com...
>
>> I suggest that, if it's at all possible, she could at least try for
>General.
>> The written is not that much more difficult than the Tech, and it gives a
>lot
>> more HF.
>>
>> While the HR-2510 is a decent rig, there isn't a lot of casual CW on 10
>meters
>> compared to other bands. And we're heading right into the sunspot
>downturn.
>> She'd be better off with a rig that covers the other amateur HF bands,
>too.
>> Used ones are not that expensive.
>
>Jim, I suggest going the route as Dick suggests: Code Tape Extra.

Do you have an Extra, Brian?

>
>Forget about on-the-air practice or steering her toward the Novice CW
>subbands.

I suggested that she go straight for the General, rather than the "Tech-with-HF". That would give her access to all bands and all but a few bits of the CW/digital bands.

How is that a bad idea?

>Unless you want her to wait for Novice refarming. Then she might
>actually run in to a CW QSO or two in the General CW portion.

There are plenty of CW QSOs on the HF bands - if one actually listens to them.

>
>ARRL shortwave CW practice might be useable if there is only a little
>interference.

You ever listen to W1AW?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1683. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:18:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mDJY8.317$S_4.19112463@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49547

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:bUrY8.26383$1q2.1734292@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020714235617.02595.00000139@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > In article <CerY8.67566$JU3.26392450@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan
> Finn"
> > <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
> >
> > >> >And you are characteristically insensitive. No, making fun of
> handicapped
> > >> >people, as you are doing. has not come up before on rrap.
> > >>
> > >> Is this a "characteristically insensitive" question -
> > >>
> > >> Dan, have you ever had a waiver in licensing?
> > >
> > >No, it is simply a stupid question.
> >
> > Then make up your mind. Is it "simply a stupid question" or is
> > it "characteristically insensitive?" Can't be both.
>
> I already answered "No" on the question of it being characteristically
> insensitive. However, I did say it was stupid. In fact, it is
> characteristically stupid.

He has yet to say, "No, I didn't get a code waiver."

> > Why do you think you have to keep this sub-thread going?
>
> You keep asking the questions and I keep giving you the answers. If this
> really bothers you, then to stop this sub-thread, may I suggest you stop
> asking questions, particularly the stupid ones. No offense intended.

Dan, did you get a Code Waiver?

1684. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:19:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BEJY8.322$M35.19433998@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49548

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020715002850.02112.00000459@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 07/14/02 23:56 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020714235617.02595.00000139@mb-fk.aol.com>
>
> >Then make up your mind. Is it "simply a stupid question" or is
> >it "characteristically insensitive?" Can't be both.
>
> Why not?

Because it would then be a "stupid, characteristically insensitive
question."

1685. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:24:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <uJJY8.328$w95.19785320@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49549

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D322EF9.43A2D95B@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D31D046.33219F2F@earthlink.net...
> > > Avery Labels typed:
> > > >
> > > > In article <3D30926F.B094E77C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> > <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > > > writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> Oh? Who has the comfortable retirement, good income, and two
> > > > > >> houses (mortage and lien free)?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >The old guy who has never been involved in amateur radio and who
> needs
> > > > > >to regale us with a listing of his material assets?
> > > > >
> > > > > Better to describe material assets than just showing off
> > > > > their pudgy ass sets.
> > > >
> > > > Never mind that; did I get the answer right?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, it looks like a question to me. It ends with a question mark
> anyway.
> >
> > Sure, Brian. I was just guessing. Did you know the answer too?

No.

1686. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:28:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kMJY8.333$wc5.19979475@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49550

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D3235B0.C7D271C7@earthlink.net...

> As I've suggested before, Brian: I'll do what I want. You do what you
> can.
>
> Strive to be mediocre!
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, -can- I work 6M Ops Out of Band?

No Thanks, that would be a step down for me.

I'll Strive for Good Amateur Practice instead.

73, Brian

1687. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:29:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3OJY8.335$4f5.20135375@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49451

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D325395.D87EDEDE@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <CLpY8.7542$yN6.368928889@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
> > >> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
> > >> marine corpse person on you... :-)
> > >
> > >He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out of
> > >banders on 6M. Sum DXer.
> >
> > I can't blame him. Really. He came in here and tried to pull off
> > a fast one with that "CW order wire on an RTTY circuit" as his
> > "good idea" and got pilloried for it. From there on it was all
> > damage control and he couldn't control himself. :-)
>
> You guys would seem to be real bumblers as a tag team. One who has no
> knowledge whatever of what the State Dept. has used for communications
> and who still doesn't understand the reasoning behind use of CW rather
> than RTTY, calls me a liar and periodically revives the subject. The
> other has gone through several iterations of a 6m saga he wasn't
> involved in and marches toward the wrong target, accusing me of denials
> I've never made.
>
> Yep, Doctor Evil and Mini-Me are the self-declared victors despite being
> heckled by the audience and being pelted with verbal tomatoes. I can't
> wait for your encore.

Is that how you see it? Admitting you have a problem is the first step.

1688. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:39:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mXJY8.351$cm5.20590759@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49452

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D323158.BF6DEF6E@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D31CF11.8A5A42E1@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D313BC0.539ED080@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply assumed
> > that
> > > > > this
> > > > > > > > was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a
> > DXpedition.
> > > > > > > > Work some out of banders.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Like Len, Alec Baldwin is not a ham. Alec was going to leave the
> > > > > > > country after the election though.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And you won't let him change his mind?
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh, I can't cause or prevent a change of mind by you or Alec.
> > > > > I can only snicker at either of you after such strong statements
issued
> > > > > under your "principles of the moment".
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, what are "principles of the moment?"
> >
> > I've been consistent over my entire amateur career about not working DX
out
```

> > of band.
> > I've been consistent over the past 5 or so years that I think there
should
> > be no Morse Code exam.
> > I've been consistent over the past 5 years that I think Incentive
Licensing
> > is arbitrary and unnecessary.
>
> But you certainly blew on making your strong statement about us not
> having Dick Nixon...er, Brian Burke to kick around any more. I've noted
> that two of your three fundamental beliefs would involve personal
> benefit to you.

Huh?

1. I could be getting extra green stamps for working French 6M Ops out of
band.
2. I've already got the code, so no benefit.
3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.

> > You've been consistent that you will work any amateur out of band even
after you learn they are out of band.
>
> I need only concern myself with operating where I am supposed to be.
> The other station is responsible for where he is supposed to be.
>
> > You've been consistent in belittling my DX experiences.
>
> ...only in the interest of accuracy.

What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?

Oh, and try to be accurate.

> > You've been consistently a jerk.
>
> ...and this coming from a fellow who cranks up three or four
> incarnations of the same misdirected crusade in which he ends up looking
> like a goober each time. Now THAT'S consistency.

It makes sense to me. If you don't know what "Good Amateur Practice" is by
this point in your amateur career, you'll never get it.

Is this where The Old Man breaks out the "Wrenchy Stitch?" hihi

1689. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:40:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jYJY8.352$Cn5.20673815@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49453

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020715184724.07003.00000602@mb-cr.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a DXpedition.
> >Work some out of banders.
>
> That didn't sound like "last" to me, Brian. It sounds like you are trying
to
> get it started all over again.
>
> Or did you mean last as long as you were able to have the last word -- a
dozen
> times?
>
> Is this one of those "Do as Brian says, not as Brian does" sort of things?

As long as you want it to go on, Ed. Dave says he's tired of it, but then
...

1690. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:41:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XYJY8.354$h15.19270912@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49454

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020715184830.07003.00000603@mb-cr.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dave, what are "principles of the moment?"
>
> >You've been consistently a jerk.
>
>
Hello Ed?

1691. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:44:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <30KY8.357$Wh5.20311196@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49455

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3D32C719.F6238375@psu.edu...

> Of course this is all a joke Cecil! I assume since you asked the
> question that you don't draw any distinction between the two different
> testing methods. Nor do I.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Some people on here don't see it as a joke. They truly believe that taking
an exam in front of a GS-9 instead of a volunteer proctor makes it superior.

1692. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:48:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <p3KY8.365$J_4.19102883@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49456

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020715185620.07003.00000605@mb-cr.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> >To my knowledge, the FCC has never changed the wording in Part 97
without
> >an
> >> >NPRM. Perhaps Phil can weigh in on this one.
>
> >> Now THAT'S a good point!
>
> >But wrong, apparently.
>
> In what way? Post the before and after text of what in Part 97 the FCC
changed
> without and NPRM.

You say they gave the ARRL a "change" to the reg without an NPRM, but you
can't remember when or where.

> >> I doubt NCVEC or FCC will go for it.
>
> >Probly not. They have their prejudices, you know.
>
> If there is any prejudice, it is away from Morse code testing.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

1693. Re: Not In Your Life ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:55:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <K9KY8.369$Gu5.21126375@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49457

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020715005133.02112.00000465@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> Date: 07/14/02 23:50 Eastern Daylight Time
> Message-id: <20020714235013.01843.00000099@mb-bh.aol.com>
>
> In article <SHpY8.7541$fN6.368909689@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020714191045.02101.00000410@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >> >From: averyfineman@aol.com (Avery Fineman)
> >> >Date: 07/14/02 13:14 Eastern Daylight Time
> >> >Message-id: <20020714131445.02461.00000101@mb-bd.aol.com>
> >>
> >> I see Lennie is trying to dilute the hateful posts pool again.
> >>
> >> >Better to describe material assets than just showing off
> >> >their pudgy ass sets.
> >>
> >> Wanna go running, Lennie? A mile? Three miles? Care to go skaing
> >with me
> >> and the kids some night? (I freestyle skate). Or perhaps you'd care
to
> >go
> >> hang gliding with me one day?
> >
> >Holy Cow! Freestyle Skaeing? Hangman Gliding? You ARE into X+Treme
> >Sports, arenchew?
>
> The typo notwithstanding, at least I am active. I see patients 10
years
> younger than me leaning on "disability" crutches all the time. I won't be
one
> of them.
>
> I may die hang gliding, but I WON'T die bored!

Just pray the nurse in the emergency room isn't a bozo.

> >I thought you had children to raise? Save the stupid irresponsible stuff
> >till they're emancipated.
>

> I do have children to raise. But I won't be one of these wallowing,
> whining losers like Lennie the Limp, seething in hatred over perceived
wrongs
> done to me by some "hobbyists" and NOT doing the things I profess to
enjoy, all
> the while sitting around molding.
>
> >Betcha got a motorscooter, too.
>
> Nope..those ARE dangerous. No seatbelt, no parachute, and no where to
go
> but Trauma/ICU (if you are "lucky") if you screw up.

Donors?

> Sitting at home while I still have the wherewithall to enjoy my
health is
> not my "style".
>
> YOU stay at home. The kids can come with me.
>
> Steve

Be safe.

1694. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 00:58:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gdKY8.375$Tu5.21136574@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49458

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020715201749.01638.00000281@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <BMVX8.374$505.71392437@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020713080616.01619.00000011@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >
> >> I suggest that, if it's at all possible, she could at least try for
> >General.
> >> The written is not that much more difficult than the Tech, and it gives
a
> >lot
> >> more HF.
> >>
> >> While the HR-2510 is a decent rig, there isn't a lot of casual CW on 10
> >meters
> >> compared to other bands. And we're heading right into the sunspot
> >downturn.
> >> She'd be better off with a rig that covers the other amateur HF bands,
> >too.
> >> Used ones are not that expensive.
> >
> >Jim, I suggest going the route as Dick suggests: Code Tape Extra.
>
> Do you have an Extra, Brian?

No. But I have Extra Code, and it was from a Code Tape, not on-the-air use.

I'll be a Code Tape Extra-Lite if I upgrade.

> >Forget about on-the-air practice or steering her toward the Novice CW
> >subbands.
>
> I suggested that she go straight for the General, rather than the
> "Tech-with-HF". That would give her access to all bands and all but a few
bits
> of the CW/digital bands.
>
> How is that a bad idea?

Novice CW subbands are not the place to get operating experience or
increasing your code speed.

> >Unless you want her to wait for Novice refarming. Then she might
> >actually run in to a CW QSO or two in the General CW portion.
>
> There are plenty of CW QSOs on the HF bands - if one actually listens to
them.

Ho does one tune around the Novice CW subbands and not listen for them?

> >ARRL shortwave CW practice might be useable if there is only a little
> >interference.
>
> You ever listen to W1AW?

Not recently.

1695. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 01:01:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zfKY8.380$qy5.21330168@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49459

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020715184148.07003.00000601@mb-cr.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dr. Ed, where do you practice psychology?
>
> Do you think that only those with training in psychology can recognize
angst?
>
> >If you want to see a pained
> >individual, go check out Dann Finn's sensitivity to Code Waivers.
>
> Apparently not... or is this one of your double standards?

So even though I do not practice psychology, I'm not allowed to recognize
angst?

Is this one of those "Do what Ed says and not what Ed does" sort of things?

> >> Why do you thihk I would have an "agenda" wrt your obsession about
> >Farnsworth
> >> timing being used to help people pass Morse code tests?
>
> >You seem to be going somewhere with it, but I'm not sure where that might
> >be. Are you?
>
> No need to go anywhere, Brian. I don't think that the way hams are being
tested
> for Morse code knowledge is a problem. I don't seek to change it.

Will it go away soon enough to not bother with it?

1696. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 01:07:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715210710.01638.00000282@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49461

In article <3D25D8C7.8AD26B07@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> When you say you will never get an Extra the go ahead and do so, you
>> haven't just changed your mind, you lied. Everyone but you knows that.
>
>Have you ever said you would never do something and wound up doing it?

Nope.

>After that gigantic hangover, you never said, "I will never touch another
>drop of booze"?

Nope. 'Cause I knew I would, eventually.

> Every human being has said they weren't going to do
>something and then did it later anyway, Dick.

I don't say "never" because one cannot know all possible future situations.

>If you are human, you have
>done exactly that many times in your lifetime!

Sorry, that's not a requirement of being a member of the human race. Just because you go back on things you've said you'd never do doesn't mean everyone else does the same.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1697. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 01:07:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715210711.01638.00000283@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49460

In article <pan.2002.07.13.21.48.46.199471.15929@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>On Fri, 12 Jul 2002 20:06:52 -0400, N2EY wrote:
>> In article <pan.2002.07.12.08.19.20.772508.18782@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>>
>>>On Fri, 12 Jul 2002 07:37:31 -0400, W1RFI wrote:
>>>> From: Clay N4AOX wyn@worldnet.att.net
>>>>
>>>>I found Jon Bloom on the board of directors of WORLDCOM.
>>>>How do you explain that?
>>>>
>>>> If Jon's name were Oinga Disklodhoffer, I might feel an explanation were
>>>> necessary.
>>
>> Or Klem Kadiddlehopper.
>
>The local PBS station has been running the old Red Skelton shows. Just as
>funny now as they were originally!

That can be taken two ways......

Gertrude and Heathcliff, the two seagulls.....
>
>>>If my name were Oinga Disklodhoffer I'd just call myself "Jon Bloom" for
>>>short.
>>>
>>>Jon (Oinga)
>>
>> Can we call ya Bruce to keep it clear?
>
>Makes my body hurt just to think of it. Call me Ishmael.
>
>Jon/Oinga/Bruce/Ishmael

Works for me!

s
p
o
i
l
e
r

s
p
a
c
e

The reference to "Bruce" above is from the Monty Python "Philospher's Sketch" which details the addition of a new faculty member to the philosophy department of the University of Wallamelai - where all the faculty call each other Bruce.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>

1698. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 01:07:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715210711.01638.00000284@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49462

In article <20020715185425.07003.00000604@mb-cr.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>Nice idea, but I doubt it will fly. Sets up a whole set of arguments about
>>what is a "reasonable number" of questions, and whether other modes
>>get a similar requirement of "reasonable number".
>
>Proponents of other modes don't create such arguments; why would proponents
>of CW do that?

Sorry if I wasn't clear. Let me try again.

As it stands right now, there is no requirement that a particular written exam contain "a reasonable number" of questions on any mode. It's quite possible that someone could go from no license to Extra without encountering a single question on, say, SSB. Not likely, but possible.

Requiring that the written contain a certain number of questions on Morse that would only be answerable by copying actual code sets it apart from other modes.

>>I doubt NCVEC or FCC will go for it.
>
>Perhaps not, but that doesn't mean it is not an idea worth considering.

Agreed.

>And the
>FCC might not have to go along with it at all -- they leave the selection of
>what gets tested up to the Question Pool Committee, except for the mandate
>for
>some RF safety questions.
>
True, but the idea of the questions only being answerable by copying the code would be a change of test method.

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

1699. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 01:18:45 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3D33742A.7C89C12B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49463

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
>
> I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All
> the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take
> Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".
>

All of these discussions about overlaying and interlacing
the CB and Amateur radio services are no accident or
coincidence. Read the ARRL's exc. VP's report to the BoD
about his view of the future of amateur radio. As a side
note, for some strange reason, following his path to the
future, seems to result in the increased sales of ARRL's
books and periodicals.

--Clay
wyn@att.net

1700. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 01:26:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3DKY8.104268$UT.6725370@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49464

"Clay N4AOX" <wyn@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3D33742A.7C89C12B@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
> >
> > I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All
> > the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take
> > Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".
> >
>
> All of these discussions about overlaying and interlacing
> the CB and Amateur radio services are no accident or
> coincidence. Read the ARRL's exc. VP's report to the BoD
> about his view of the future of amateur radio. As a side
> note, for some strange reason, following his path to the
> future, seems to result in the increased sales of ARRL's
> books and periodicals.
>
> --Clay
> wyn@att.net

You may well have a point but the pronouncements of Aaronia are not really
what you want to use to illustrate it.

She is in the Carrie Nation mold. She is not into overlay and interlace
but marching in straight lines and loving every minute of it. The classic
Femminazi.

Dick N&#65533;BK

1701. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:13:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D338141.88FB936C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49465

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D3235B0.C7D271C7@earthlink.net...
>
> > As I've suggested before, Brian: I'll do what I want. You do what you
> > can.
> >
> > Strive to be mediocre!
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, -can- I work 6M Ops Out of Band?

It's okay with me if you operate out of band. I don't my opinion
carried much weight with the FCC though.

> No Thanks, that would be a step down for me.

Oh, you're providing the questions and the answers now.

> I'll Strive for Good Amateur Practice instead.

Keep striving, Brian. I'll bet looking up all DX stations
authorizations slows up the transmitting side of things.
How does it go--"The F6 station; confirm you are operating within your
authorized segment. QSL?".

Dave K8MN

1702. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:13:40 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <SfLY8.37135$1q2.2082569@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49466

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:EPFY8.23081$A43.2314887@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote
>
> > When you use code at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth.
>
> Of course you can! As an example, you could use Farnsworth by sending the
> characters at 35WPM and use 20WPM inter-character spacing. for example.

Technically you are correct, of course. But generally, Farnsworth is a tool
to help people get up to about 18 wpm, that is why the character spacings
are usually set at 18wpm for letter spacings of 5 wpm, 13 wpm etc..

1703. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:14:35 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <MgLY8.37142$1q2.2082851@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49467

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:xHHY8.179$qx2.9050318@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:aUpY8.24077$1q2.1660030@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:kKlY8.406>
> > > Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone
walk
> > out
> > > alive?
> >
> > I would contest against you in code anytime and win, Boy! When you use
> code
> > at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth. Stop writing about
> things
> > you know nothing about.
>
> What waiver?

Another characteristically stupid question.

1704. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:17:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D33825F.9E97F563@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49468

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D325395.D87EDEDE@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <CLpY8.7542$yN6.368928889@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
> P
> > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
> > > >> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
> > > >> marine corpse person on you... :-)
> > > >
> > > >He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out of
> > > >banders on 6M. Sum DXer.
> > >
> > > I can't blame him. Really. He came in here and tried to pull off
> > > a fast one with that "CW order wire on an RTTY circuit" as his
> > > "good idea" and got pilloried for it. From there on it was all
> > > damage control and he couldn't control himself. :-)
> >
> > You guys would seem to be real bumblers as a tag team. One who has no
> > knowledge whatever of what the State Dept. has used for communications
> > and who still doesn't understand the reasoning behind use of CW rather
> > than RTTY, calls me a liar and periodically revives the subject. The
> > other has gone through several iterations of a 6m saga he wasn't
> > involved in and marches toward the wrong target, accusing me of denials
> > I've never made.
> >
> > Yep, Doctor Evil and Mini-Me are the self-declared victors despite being
> > heckled by the audience and being pelted with verbal tomatoes. I can't
> > wait for your encore.
>
> Is that how you see it? Admitting you have a problem is the first step.

Now that you've written out the step, go ahead and type it. Admit that
you've got a problem. Your self-righteous indignation and stated moral
superiority are simply manifestations of your inability to deal with
your problem.

Dave K8MN

1705. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:19:13 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <8lLY8.37169$1q2.2084103@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49469

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:h%HY8.189$543.11254009@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:HNpY8.23939$1q2.1655530@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020713182059.02595.00000069@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > > In article <fNZX8.31592$JU3.17908042@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>,
"Dan
> > Finn"
> > > <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:XgVX8.359>
> > > >> I am not poking fun of peoples disabilities.
> > > >
> > > >Oh yes you are!
> > >
> > > Well, there you have it! Someone denies a charge against them
> > > and there you go, trying to re-pin the charge on them.
> >
> > No need for me to re-pin the charge because his own statements are
> > documented in this thread. His own statements convict him, not me.
>
> Dan, I wasnt making fun of ANYONE when I posted "Waiver," but now I'm
making
> fun of you. You over-reacted to a single word that didn't point to any
> individual or whether any particular waiver was valid or not. I'll assume
> that if an MD signed it, thats good enough for me.
>
> Your reaction was SO overblown. I would guess that you have a code
waiver.
>
> > > >And you know what? Life has a way of paying back people who make fun
of
> > > >handicapped individuals.
> > >
> > > It does? How does Life do that?
> >
> > Certainly by causing a guilty conscience, that is if he has a
conscience.
> > You may have a difficult time with this one I realize.
>

> Why did you overreact? What is your conscience telling you?
>
> > > >And you did it right in front of the whole amateur
> > > >radio community.
> > >
> > > If Finn made the Charge, then the Charge stays... :-)
> >
> > I cannot erase what Brian has already imprinted onto Google forever.
>
> Nor can you erase your offer to have pornography sent to me. Forever.
>
> > > >What ever got you started on this, i.e. to state that I
> > > >obtained a Physician's certificate in the first place?
> > >
> > > I thought Brian just asked a question of you. Did he state
> > > outright that you HAD used one or not? I don't think so.
> > >
> > He asked how I got a waiver.
>
> Your over reaction said it all.
>
> That effectively asserts that I said that I
> > obtained a waiver. However, that is a lie.
>
> So you haven't -stated- that you have a waiver, or you don't have a
waiver?
>
> Which is it?
>
> Why did you go berzerk over the word, "Waiver?"
>
> I never stated as such but one
> > would have to go back through everyone of my posts to know that to be
> true.
>
> For the record, you have NOT stated that you have a waiver. Yet.
>
> > This is one of the more dishonest tactics that N0iMD uses in his debates
> and
> > it is not the first time he has used it. Of course I nipped it at the
bud,
> > recognizing it to be a somewhat juvenile and rather passe debating
tactic.
>
> You nipped yourself in the budd with all that wild-eyed yapping.
>
> > > >I only said, and any
> > > >person with an ounce of compassion would agree, that the Physician's
> > > >certificate was very, morally legitimate when obtained as intended.
> > >

> > > Real Hams and Real Men don't have any need for "physician
> > > certificates," do they?
> >
> > You're getting as bad as Brian.
>
> In his wildest dreams!
>
> > > Heh heh heh...I have yet to see ANY pro-code-test advocate say
> > > or even imply that a medical waiver to skip a code test was
> > > "very, morally legitimate" to become a Real Ham. :-)
> >
> > What you seem to be implying betrays your ignorance as to the character
of
> > the pro-code community. We are not insensitive clods such as yourself
and
> > Brian.
>
> Then don't advertise for pornography to be sent to me.
>
> > > >Some people see themselves as the center of the universe, just
because
> > they
> > > >happen to be healthy (at the present time, at least).
> > >
> > > Calm down, lad. Take it easy.
> >
> > Do you perceive anything out of the ordinary in that statement? Brian
and
> > you continuously need to be reminded that there are others in this world
> who
> > may be just as important as you are.
>
> Plenty of 'em. I'm a has been. But I don't go around blowing my horn
that
> I'm an Advanced, then, well, it remains to be seen if you'll come clean on
> the subject.
>
> Ditto Golden Boy Val. He came on here saying all the right things about
the
> bad, bad mens of NoCode, and how he was going to learn the code, and, and,
> and
>
> He sure has you PCTA guys fooled.
>
> > > With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
> > > Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
> > > on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
> > > :-)
> >
> > Please translate that sentence into English.

> >
> > 73 de KR4AJ
>
> You're on your own with that one.
>
> 73, Brian/N0iMD

Heh heh heh heh heh....this post proves it! I really pushed the right buttons, didn't I Brian? Heh heh heh heh.

Cheers and 73 de KR4AJ

1706. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:20:07 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <VILY8.37176$1q2.2084941@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49470

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:54IY8.193$c83.11513888@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:_SpY8.67278$JU3.26069608@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:s13Y8.667
> > > Dan, that's a whole lot of over-reaction. So what was your waiver
for?
> >
> > Sort of like your very abnormal and irrational over-reaction to my
little
> > joke about your so-called 'porno' solicitation? I demand an apology.
>
> You're a funny guy.
>
> I'm still waiting on my apology for your sick "joke."

heh heh heh. Audios, amigo!

1707. Re: ID this key?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:23:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715222335.02051.00000542@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49471

>Subject: Re: ID this key?
>From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
>Date: 07/15/02 09:28 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <uj5je8ai2ngj95@corp.supernews.com>
>
>Obviously, a bug - but who made it I haven't the foggiest.

I was at GigaParts today and saw a "Speedo" big...Looked similar, but I
didn't have Hans' link available.

I wonder if the picture was meant to represent a particular model, of if
the artist was just trying to "get it close"..???

Steve

1708. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:27:02 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <qsLY8.37227$1q2.2087554@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49472

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020715004121.02112.00000463@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
> >From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net
> >Date: 07/15/02 00:23 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <d3sY8.26435$1q2.1738072@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
>
> >How about you, Dr. Evil? Can you beep up a storm?
>
> Ooops...Looks like I got one wrong this time. I owe Lennie (1)
apology.
>
> Sorry, Lennie!
>
> Steve

FWW, I use Morse code, Farnsworth style sometimes, and have no problem referring to myself as a beeper. I am a BEEPER, plain and simple, and proud of it. I would beep all day if I could. To beep is to communicate, DX, anywhere in the world with anybody else who can beep. I wish everyone beeped. I even enjoy translating words into 'beep' while driving my car and hearing them on the radio...good way to speed up your Morse. Can Len beep? No. Can Brian beep? No. Beeping is having a passport to the world...bet Len and Brian wish they could beep!

Ein eich Beeper!

73 de KR4AJ

1709. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:39:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715223913.27336.00000058@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49473

In article <20020713150500.06823.00000361@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>My read of your multitude of posts implies to me that you are deeply troubled
>by the use of Farnsworth. If calling you deeply troubled instead of pained
>will make you feel better, I will gladly do so.
>
>Why do you thihk I would have an "agenda" wrt your obsession about Farnsworth
>timing being used to help people pass Morse code tests?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Simple. With the code test sent at the Farnsworth character speed (16 WPM, I think is what they're using), he can't count the dots and dashes and look up the characters on the chart he made on the palm of his hand with a ball-point pen.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1710. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:39:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715223913.27336.00000057@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49476

In article <20020713161617.01545.00000058@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>
>He's gone over to the snarly dark side of the force and is using
>the Word of (PR) Extras:
>
> There shall be NO argument or negative criticsm of anything
> spoken by (PR) Extras. There shall be Obedience to Orders
> and everyone must march to the same drumbeat. To question
> the ARRL or anything it says is heresy and shall be punished
> by innuendo, implication of wrongdoing...eventually resulting
> in excommunication.
>
>That's called "Freedom of Expression" in the democratic process.
>Everyone is free to say what they want, but only if they agree to
>side with the Word of (PR) Extras...and the ARRL. :-)

Lennie:

Are "PR Extras" Pre-Restructuring or Post-Restructuring?

Just out of context, I'm ass-u-&-me-ing Pre-, but you certainly don't make it clear.

73 de Larry, K3LT
A Pre-R Extra

1711. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:39:14 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715223914.27336.00000059@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49474

In article <7lVX8.360$Mk4.68638944@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Brian, at some point, I learned that Farnsworth was going to be used in
>> testing. I can't recall just where. I am sorry that you missed it and
>that is
>> causing you such pain.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>Pain? Why do you inject such crap into you responses? Exactly what IS your
>agenda?

Brian:

Been trying to figure that out for years. Ed used to be a pretty nice guy until he started posting to this newsgripe. Guess he's just trying to fit in with the crowd.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1712. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:39:14 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715223914.27336.00000060@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49475

In article <yQVX8.375$Sb5.72163648@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> So, I guess you win and I lose...does that make you happy and satisfied??
>>
>> Have fun bashing everyone else.
>>
>> 73's
>> John
>>
>> QRT
>> --
>> John D Gubernard, K2LSX
>> k2lsx@arrl.net
>
>Larry apparently does win another one.
>
>This is the part where he says, "Don't let the door hit you on the ass on
>your way out," like he has done so many other times.

Brian:

Consider it said. To John, that is.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1713. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:46:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D3388F1.B1AABA1B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49477

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D323158.BF6DEF6E@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D31CF11.8A5A42E1@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D313BC0.539ED080@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply assumed
> > > that
> > > > > this
> > > > > > > > was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a
> > > DXpedition.
> > > > > > > > Work some out of banders.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Like Len, Alec Baldwin is not a ham. Alec was going to leave the
> > > > > > > country after the election though.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And you won't let him change his mind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Oh, I can't cause or prevent a change of mind by you or Alec.
> > > > > I can only snicker at either of you after such strong statements
> > issued
> > > > > under your "principles of the moment".
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, what are "principles of the moment?"
> > > >
```

> > > I've been consistent over my entire amateur career about not working DX
> out
> > > of band.
> > > I've been consistent over the past 5 or so years that I think there
> should
> > > be no Morse Code exam.
> > > I've been consistent over the past 5 years that I think Incentive
> Licensing
> > > is arbitrary and unnecessary.
> >
> > But you certainly blew on making your strong statement about us not
> > having Dick Nixon...er, Brian Burke to kick around any more. I've noted
> > that two of your three fundamental beliefs would involve personal
> > benefit to you.
>
> Huh?
>
> 1. I could be getting extra green stamps for working French 6M Ops out of
> band.

I'm sure that tons of French stations await the opportunity to send a
dollar for your rare Ohio QSL. Nope, that's not one of the two.

> 2. I've already got the code, so no benefit.

So we're now down to the one item below:

> 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.

Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to learn
additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary and unnecessary
have yet to be proven.
>
> > > You've been consistent that you will work any amateur out of band even
> after you learn they are out of band.
> >
> > I need only concern myself with operating where I am supposed to be.
> > The other station is responsible for where he is supposed to be.
> >
> > > You've been consistent in belittling my DX experiences.
> >
> > ...only in the interest of accuracy.
>
> What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?

You tell us. You commented one time about what you considered to be
DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can be as
consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in other things.
>
> Oh, and try to be accurate.

I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On how many bands were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand out. How prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy in handling both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his name, correct spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like? That'll take care of one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told us that you enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider that to be DXing?

I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is quite different from DXing from the U.S. What differences have you note in goals and technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do you have confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of your strategy for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S. How are you doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m? 160m? satellite?

> > > You've been consistently a jerk.
> >
> > ...and this coming from a fellow who cranks up three or four
> > incarnations of the same misdirected crusade in which he ends up looking
> > like a goober each time. Now THAT'S consistency.
>
> It makes sense to me.

That has been made alarmingly clear. It seems that others don't think it makes sense. You've not been very successful in selling your view.

> If you don't know what "Good Amateur Practice" is by
> this point in your amateur career, you'll never get it.

Good amateur practice has naught to do with how the other guy operates his station. It has to do with how you operate YOUR station. I'd say you'll never get it.

> Is this where The Old Man breaks out the "Wrenchy Stitch?" hihi

As in choosing the correct golf club for a given shot, it does matter which instrument is selected for amateur attitude correction. I'd choose the Uggerumph for this one.

Dave K8MN

1714. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 02:53:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D338A9E.DAC514EA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49478

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20020713161617.01545.00000058@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >
> >He's gone over to the snarly dark side of the force and is using
> >the Word of (PR) Extras:
> >
> > There shall be NO argument or negative criticsm of anything
> > spoken by (PR) Extras. There shall be Obedience to Orders
> > and everyone must march to the same drumbeat. To question
> > the ARRL or anything it says is heresy and shall be punished
> > by innuendo, implication of wrongdoing...eventually resulting
> > in excommunication.
> >
> >That's called "Freedom of Expression" in the democratic process.
> >Everyone is free to say what they want, but only if they agree to
> >side with the Word of (PR) Extras...and the ARRL. :-)
>
> Lennie:
>
> Are "PR Extras" Pre-Restructuring or Post-Restructuring?
>
> Just out of context, I'm ass-u-&-me-ing Pre-, but you certainly don't
> make it clear.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> A Pre-R Extra

Now it gets really confusing, Larry. I didn't know whether he meant
public relations Extras or Puerto Rican Extras.

Dave K8MN

1715. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 03:17:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gfMY8.398$e16.33065096@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207151150.60c6da62@posting.google.com...
> I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All
> the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take
> Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".
>
> To see how the "freeband/CB" blitzkreig is progressing, take a peek at
> the lineup of popular "CB's" being pushed by giant web retailer
> "Copper". Don't see any CBs? Oh, um, that's cuz they're calling them
> "10 meter radios" now.
>
> -Aaron-

Again Aaron talking about what he doesn't know.
Neville Chamberlain never said that about Poland.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1716. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 03:24:51 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D339203.D6CA2AA1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49480

N2EY wrote:
> Sorry, that's not a requirement of being a member of the human race. Just
> because you go back on things you've said you'd never do doesn't mean everyone
> else does the same.

Feeling omniscient again, are we, Jim. You forgot the smiley face.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1717. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 03:39:38 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ah117p21aa@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 49555

That would be even scarier I beleive, or maybe a defense mechanism if the partner is a "two bagger....."

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D32C570.EEBBED94@hotmail.com...
> KC8PMX wrote:
> > Or worse..... you look over who is next to you and ask "Who the hell are
> > you?" :)
>
> Or worse..... you look over at who is next to you and ask, "Who am I?"
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1718. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 03:50:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715235020.01458.00000100@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 49481

In article <3D325395.D87EDEDE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <CLpY8.7542$yN6.368928889@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
>> >> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
>> >> marine corpse person on you... :-)
>> >
>> >He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out of
>> >banders on 6M. Sum DXer.
>>
>> I can't blame him. Really. He came in here and tried to pull off
>> a fast one with that "CW order wire on an RTTY circuit" as his
>> "good idea" and got pilloried for it. From there on it was all
>> damage control and he couldn't control himself. :-)
>
>You guys would seem to be real bumblers as a tag team. One who has no
>knowledge whatever of what the State Dept. has used for communications
>and who still doesn't understand the reasoning behind use of CW rather
>than RTTY, calls me a liar and periodically revives the subject.

The periodic review is necessary to show Heil's tactic: Bluff and
hoping that no one will question his "expertise."

In the original "order wire" case, Heil had originally posted a
"good idea" of "using CW [morse code] as an order-wire on a
State Department TTY radio circuit." The implication was that it
was His own idea along with the self-praise of "pretty neat, eh?"

Heil may have thought that no amateur in here would know about
"order-wires." He did not reckon with someone in here having any
experience in large HF communications installations. Few amateurs
in here now or of four years ago are even familiar with the term, that
of being the control circuit for running a number of other circuits.

Heil's initial response was that the "CW was necessary to
establish synchronization of the RTTY." That was poor wording.
Teleprinters are designed and built to be self-synchronizing.

Heil then explained that the "synchronizing" referred to
"schedules" or operating times and other circuit details.

That is illogical. Firstly, if the receiving teleprinter is working at all, it will print out a schedule and detail listing that is identical to the transmitter teleprinter. Further, with a paper tape punch and reader, the identical schedules message can be "read back" to confirm that the received message was correct. Such a transmission and "read-back" confirmation can be done in less time than it takes to send the same schedules message via morse code.

It is illogical to use an on-off keying morse code mode as control on a teleprinter circuit, whether wired or by radio. The teleprinter is much faster, may be configured with on-line encryption, and exhibits much, much less error than manual telegraphy. The print-out at the receiver is essentially identical to that at the transmitter so that both ends of the circuit have the same document for referral. There is no possibility of hand-printing distortion leading to other, later circuit operators misinterpreting the printing. Teleprinter printing is always the same precise form.

At a much later date, Heil tried to rationalize his original error by stating "it was State Department protocol to use that method (CW as order-wire on an RTTY circuit)." That is ridiculous in the 1980s time period since military, government, and commercial communications had already established methods, standards, and practices prior to WW2. Heil was banking his bluff on no one knowing State's communications in detail. That may have been correct...but, he claimed that "I could not know anything at all about State Department communications."

The problem there was that I did know some of that. The RCA designed and built (at EASD, Van Nuys, CA) Random Access Card Extract System or RACES was installed at the State Department in DC to handle archival storage and retreival of all State Department communications into and out of DC. That was done in the late 1960s. State Department had two RACES machines, one an on-line spare to the other. The communications mode stored? Teleprinter. Not morse code. That was in the working specifications for the RACES installation.

What we had in here is a simple exponential growth of an initial bluff into a kludge of a rationalization for the simple failure of acknowledging that someone else might have some experience in communications.

Heil could have avoided all of that by simply ignoring the challenge to his "CW order-wire on a TTY radio circuit is a good idea" or dropping the subject. No, he had to embellish it, build on it, adding bluff to bluff until he had a very unstable house of cards. Those have all fallen but Heil does not realize it.

The original discussion was on "uses of CW (morse code)"
and the "need for the code test retention." It was not about
"State Department" protocol or communications...it was about
PCTA claims to greatness of morse code...an on-going
fantasy fanning the flames of dispute in this newsgroup four
years or so ago.

Heil could not give-in or concede anything. He HAD to be
right. He HAD to be superior. He could never, ever admit
defeat...and so everyone was treated to his card-building
and bluffing.

Now, in fairness, it is possible that somewhere, at some
remote State Department embassy or consulate, there "might"
be some morse code used. There is NO evidence from any
State Department source that it is so. Why should there be?
The State Department can draw on the rest of the US
government for expertise in radio communications. Its radio
networks are NOT as big as the US military's nor have they
been. One can only surmise that Heil's posting to Guinea-
Bisseau at the time of the alleged "CW order-wire for RTTY"
situation might have something to do with it. Apparently,
Heil's amateur radio station in that country was then the ONLY
amateur station there; with a truly rare callsign issued (as a
compliment to an embassy employee?) he would be much in
demand as "DX" for the rest of the world. That probably was
very emotionally rewarding for him. It may have led to some
other emotional problems with logic and thinking he was truly
superior instead of just the rare-call individual.

RTTY by commercial, government, and military users has been
formalized, standardized, and used since prior to WW2. Trying
to state that a "CW order-wire on an RTTY circuit" is either
logical, State protocol, or anything else "good" is nonsense.
It was a bluff counter to the original subject, the bluff was called,
more bluff was layered on the original bluff, and that was called,
and so on and so on.

The continuing iteration of bluff after bluff can only lead to the
conclusion that Heil may attempt the same on other subjects.

>Yep, Doctor Evil and Mini-Me are the self-declared victors despite being
>heckled by the audience and being pelted with verbal tomatoes. I can't
>wait for your encore.

Heil seems fixated on motion pictures and characters therein as
his idols or examples. Those are fictional. Perhaps Heil's own
experiences in the bigger world of non-amateur radio are fictional...

1719. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 03:50:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715235023.01458.00000101@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 49482

In article <20020714235629.02123.00000462@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>> Not necessary, Lennie. I am not as impressed with myself as you are
>>with you.
>>
>>Not an answer. Trot out your qualifications...(SNIP)
>
> They've been published here before. That you ignore or forget them as
>your needs require is not my problem.

You have not qualified yourself in US military HF communications.

You have not qualified yourself in any US military communications.

You have not qualified yourself in any civilian experience of
working on US military communications.

You have not qualified yourself in stating anything of validity in
radio communications in any radio service except amateur radio.

You have not qualified your comments with any evidence of
web search or literature search concerning any communications
other than techniques used in amateur radio.

You are not qualified to comment on anything except the
statements of others who have done their research.

You are only qualified to jabber epithets, pejoratives, falsehoods,
and keep spreading myths and legends within ham radio.

>>Show us the statements to the effect that either long-distance or
>>short-distance or medium-distance radio communications in the
>>US military now uses OOK morse codings.
>
> You've been provided the information before.

Everyone has seen UN-qualified statements of individuals stating
that morse code is currently used in military communications.
The US military statements do NOT corroborate that use. The
US military statements show NO morse code modes are used
for any radio communications.

>Additionally, the U S Army
>continues to train some of it's soldiers in the technique.

The US military trains a few military personnel in morse code
cognition for Military Intelligence purposes at Fort Huachuca.

That course is for ALL service branches.

Provide the evidence of the US military showing that morse
code is used for military communications. Provide valid
evidence rather than unverifiable statements of others who are
not qualified to speak of US military radio doctrine.

> You've been asked to please explain why Special Forces operatives, who
>are already tasked with maintaining proficiency in a great number of critical
>warfighting skills, would be required to master skills they are no going to
>use. Especially at 16 WPM.

I haven't been "tasked" in any such thing. I have WORKED WITH
US military communications INCLUDING equipment used by both
Special Forces and Special Operations Units. There is NO
evidence that indicates either Special Forces OR Special Operations
USES morse code in any form for radio communications.

>>Above all, explain how your USMC training involved the use of HF
>>radio and morsemanship skills.
>
> I didn't say anything involved "morsemanship" skills, Lennie. YOU did.

Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio
communications. Do not use ambiguous generalities, myths,
or outright lies. Do not evade the question by attempting to
misdirect as you have done above.

>>Robeson has never explained
>>his USMC MOS other than making some general, ambiguous
>>statements of "working on a flight line."
>
> And "Anderson" only reads what he wants to read, and if it s not in line
>with HIS opinions, spends the rest of his time trying to denigrate the
>"opponent".

Robeson has never explained his USMC MOS other than making
some general, ambiguous statements of "working on a flight line."

I have explained mine as a US Army Signalman, working as a fixed-
station HF radio supervisor and microwave radio relay supervisor.
Has Robeson acquired anything similar in the USMC? He has not
stated such in here.

Odds are that Robeson had little or NO such radio communications
experience. If he had, he would be constantly crowing about it
to demonstrate his gung-ho superiority.

>>Oh, oh, now the poor baby is a commissioned officer in the "active"
>> USMC?!? After receiving a medical discharge? How odd.
>
> Nope. Never said that.

Stop evading the questions by misdirecting.

Are you a commissioned officer in the USMC?

Have you received a medical discharge from the USMC?

Did you have any military radio communications experience
in the USMC and, if so, what was it?

>>The ARRL is NOT an "agency." It is merely a membership
>>organization and a special-interest lobbying group.
>
> "Merely", Lennie? In YOUR mind, I am sure, but that's only because you
>are again trying to mitigate it's importance to Amateur Radio as a whole.

The ARRL is incorporated as a membership organization.

The ARRL is NOT an "agency" of the government.

> Some people actaully take the time to "teach" the theory, Lennie. I am
>one of them.

Prove that by giving references as to the class, the dates of that
class, and students who were in that class and their licenses.

So far, Robeson has only been blowing smoke.

>Also, I don't just put these folks through a course and say
>"Have A Nice Day". There are finer points of using the radio not taught in
the
>books.

Stop with the smoke blowing. Outline your curriculum, the general
class description.

> This includes the Emergency Services aspects that you are constantly
>maligning. It does actually require some training to do well.

Stop with the smoke blowing. Outline your curriculum, the general
class description. Give verifiable references of your students.

>>Explain how no one can possibly discuss getting into amateur
>> radio without first getting into it...
>
> One can "discuss" it, Lennie. You have spent more time trying to
>undermine it than some people ever spend actaully doingit.

Explain how no one can possibly discuss getting into amateur radio
without first getting into it...you have constantly denigrated those
who are not licensed as "having no practical experience." That is
tantamount to forbidding any discussion of getting into amateur radio
without first becoming licensed.

[learn to spell "actually doing it" instead of making those typos
done in anger...]

>>Purchasing Agents are not considered either "in engineering" or
>> as "professional engineers."

>
> Of course they are not. Who said they were?

Robeson has stated twice that his former purchasing agent job
was "in" engineering because he "worked with engineers."

>>Robeson has not claimed any other "engineering" experience
>> other than being a purchasing agent.
>
> You never asked. And at this point, it's none of your business.

Yes, it IS my "business." Robeson has continually denigrated
and maligned my professional experience as an electronics
design engineer. He has repeatedly stated that I should not
comment on morse code testing because I have "no practical
experience" in amateur radio.

Robeson is a two-faced son of something. He has NO practical
experience in electronics engineering...therefore he cannot
possibly know anything about electronics engineering insofar as
design assignments are concerned. He is UNQUALIFIED to
comment on engineering...yet he tried to malign, denigrate, and
insult myself as being "nothing more than a bench technician."

Further, Robeson has repeatedly denigrated "night school"
classes having college accreditation as being inferior to
daytime classes.

Robeson has to show his qualifications in professionalism.

Robeson has to show his qualifications as an amateur human
being despite having a minimal set of social skills.

> Furthermore, I know a great many people who ARE EE's, and do not feel
>the need to verbally plunder Amateur Radio in order to establish themselves as
>"corporate knowledge" within Amateur Radio...they just go about the business
>of BEING "professional", and the rest of us just stand back and applaud.

Robeson has to list the "great many people who are EEs" that he
"knows."

Robeson is confused. He has not explained how an amateur must
act the "professional" in a hobby activity.

>>US amateur radio is one of the most highly-publicized hobbies
>> in the USA.
>
> Not according to YOU it isn't, Lennie.

Wrong again. I cite the long list of ARRL publications given on
their website and in their publication advertisements. I cite the
other periodicals such as CQ, 73, and Popular Electronics. I
cite the long list of RSGB publications given on their website and
in their publication advertisements. I cite the IARU website and

their references, all the preceding available to the public.

There is nothing "secret" or clandestine in US amateur radio.
Such would be ILLEGAL.

>You have wasted acres of bandwidth
>"telling" us how Amateur Radio has missed the boat on media exposure.

I've pointed out the ARRL in particular has FAILED to gain popular
media exposure for years. The ARRL in particular HAS failed to
gain popular media exposure for years.

The "exposure" about amateur radio is largely concentrated in the
specialized amateur-to-amateur media. Amateur radio has FAILED
to gain exposure of its "vital necessity to the public" or in its
alleged "technical advancements" in the media of the rest of the
radio world.

DENIAL of those obvious conditions does no good. DENIAL does
not achieve popular media exposure. DENIAL does not make the
facts go away.

>>Robeson manufactures an Artificial Construct on the "practical
>> experience" ploy.
>
> There's no "ploy", Lennie. You do not have any practical experience in
>matters of Amateur Radio policy outside of this forum.

Robeson (and Heil) uses that PLOY as an artificiality of argument in
here in an effort to deny open discussion about GETTING INTO
amateur radio except by those already licensed. That is obvious.

What Robeson (and Heil) want to overlook is that US amateur radio
POLICY is ultimately set by FCC regulations where neither the FCC
commissioners nor staff are required to have ANY amateur radio
license. According to the Robeson (and Heil) "logic," the FCC
should NOT be making ANY amateur radio regulations because
"they have no practical experience in amateur radio!"

What a marvelous, illogical IDIOCY both Robeson and Heil
demonstrate in their attempt to quash dissention! :-)

> The numbers tested are in the scores. The numbers taught as many. I
know
>it...they know it. Had you been one of them, YOU'D know it.

Robeson avoids a direct answer. He says NOTHING direct above.

Robeson has yet to answer about his "classes" or "the numbers"
or "the scores." He is bluffing, blowing smoke.

>>Robeson cannot discuss or debate subjects, only become uncivil
>> and emotionally unstable in attacking "opponents."
>

> Answeed before, Your Geezerness...You reap what you sew.

"Answeed?!?"

Answer a direct question about your claims. So far all you have
been doing is bluffing, blowing smoke.

> Do you think your profanities, lies and frequent misdeeds go unnoticed
>by anyone ut me?

Illustrate or quote the "profanities, lies, and frequent misdeeds."

If opposition by FACTUAL information from third-party sources
are "profanities or lies" then Robeson has a perverse ego that
refuses to accept the real world. If opposition to his mythos
and legends are "frequent misdeeds," then he is in worse
mental shape than supposed.

> So, Good Night, Your Putziness.

The above quote line is a common sign-off of Robeson's. It is
a corruption of a Yiddish-ethnic pejorative. Apparently he feels
that anything he does is "civil" while any objection to his
opinion is "uncivil." One cannot reason with him in any
discussion.

Note the often-used "good night" in the tag line. He is so ego
driven that he must think whoever he is writing to is reading
his message as he types it. That is seldom the case. See the
time-stamp of this message posting...the next day and early
evening, hardly a time to retire. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

1720. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 03:59:15 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <qGMY8.76358$JU3.28707205@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49483

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:54IY8.193$c83.11513888@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:_SpY8.67278$JU3.26069608@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:s13Y8.667
> > > Dan, that's a whole lot of over-reaction. So what was your waiver
for?
> >
> > Sort of like your very abnormal and irrational over-reaction to my
little
> > joke about your so-called 'porno' solicitation? I demand an apology.
>
> You're a funny guy.

Yeah, that's what my agent tells me.
>
> I'm still waiting on my apology for your sick "joke."
>
I'm-ay orry-say.

1721. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 04:04:22 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <eLMY8.76378$JU3.28709450@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49484

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:kKlY8.406$O43.101972130@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020714143604.01601.00000041@mb-mo.aol.com...
> > In article <U23Y8.668$GF5.138686610@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >> Calm down, lad. Take it easy.
> > >>
> > >> With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
> > >> Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
> > >> on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
> > >> :-)
> > >
> > >Ham radio is full of whacko's, it would appear. I wonder what his
waiver
> > >was for?
> >
> > Over-reaction? :-)
>
> Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone walk
out
> alive?

Brian, what is "Farnse"? Please be specific in your definition.

How do you pronounce it? Would one say "Farn-zay" or "Farnz"? Do New
Yorkers say "Fonz"?

73 de KR4AJ

1722. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 04:05:13 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <0MMY8.76381$JU3.28709630@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49485

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:1MHY8.181$kQ2.10238074@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:EPFY8.23081$A43.2314887@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote
> >
> > > When you use code at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth.
> >
> > Of course you can! As an example, you could use Farnsworth by sending
the
> > characters at 35WPM and use 20WPM inter-character spacing. for example.
> >
> > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>
> Which is why I say Farnsowrth can be anything you want it to be, or
anything
> the VEC wants it to be. It can even be exactly the Morse specification.
> This is because Morse is a single event in the infinite set called
> Farnsworth.
Is this "Farn-zay"?

1723. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 04:06:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716000633.01567.00000186@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49486

In article <20020715190750.02941.00000722@mb-cm.news.cs.com>,
eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) writes:

>>Do you have the June, 1994 copy of QST? Check out page 55.
>
>I'll check.

It's a true story.
>
>>"you didn't pay allot for
>>>these, did you?"
>
>Oh yeah, I forgot..."why doe they smell like stale cigars?"
>
Put them in a bi plastic bag woth some kitty litter. Absorbs the smell.

>>The whole W2XOY series is a good read.
>
>I'm learning.

Quite a series, huh?
>
>>CB has definitely morphed
>>>into a pseudo-hobby band.
>>
>>It did that in the '60s.
>
>I think the internet has blown it wide open, growth-wise. The ability of all
>the various clubs and groups to communicate and dare I say...organize. The
>two
>most notable being:
>
>www.sugardelta.com & www.alfatango.org

I'll take a look
>
>>One word: Repeaters.
>
>No offense, but in the words of Austin Powers..."not my bag, Man." :-)
>
Groovy.

>>Makes sense, but there's a hitch: Ham radio is so much fun and offers so
>many
>>varied activities - legally - that you may find cb uninteresting after a
>>while.

>
>True, but I fit 'em both in together. It's woking so far. ;-)

Whatever floats yer boat.
>
>>Make the ham license easier to get
>
>I don't know if I agree with this.

It's been the trend for the past 25 or so years.
>
>>and the ham gear cheaper and.....
>
>I agree with this. :-D

Corrected for inflation, the ham's dollar buys more radio now than ever before. Even if it's spent on older equipment. $1000 for a 40+year-old 75A-4 seems like a lot until you realize it cost $700 new.
>
>>Not likely. Only a few govts. support S25.5 any more, and those that say
>>they
>>do may simply use it as a bargaining chip.
>
>I hope not. I wrote a couple of letters. I hope others did/do the same.
>
Read the FCC R&O for 98-143.

The only govts. seriously in favor of S25.5 are some of the old Soviet bloc govts. - and they may not be that serious.

>>Sloppy procedure has always been a pet peeve of mine. But like you I say the
>>best way is to set the example on the air. Newbies copy what they hear.
>
>My above comment re. repeaters partially resulted from listo folks on 2m get
>"destinated." <Gasp!>
>
I've always disliked that one. I just say "I'm home" or "I'm at work" or whatever.

--

Your niece can go straight to General - it's not hard at all..

73 de Jim, N2EY

Grand Poobah, Jug Southgate's Field Day Bunch

1724. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 04:07:34 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <dOMY8.76383$JU3.28710218@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49487

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:mDJY8.317$S_4.19112463@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:bUrY8.26383$1q2.1734292@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020714235617.02595.00000139@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > > In article <CerY8.67566$JU3.26392450@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>,
"Dan
> > Finn"
> > > <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >> >And you are characteristically insensitive. No, making fun of
> > handicapped
> > > >> >people, as you are doing. has not come up before on rrap.
> > > >>
> > > >> Is this a "characteristically insensitive" question -
> > > >>
> > > >> Dan, have you ever had a waiver in licensing?
> > > >
> > > >No, it is simply a stupid question.
> > >
> > > Then make up your mind. Is it "simply a stupid question" or is
> > > it "characteristically insensitive?" Can't be both.
> >
> > I already answered "No" on the question of it being characteristically
> > insensitive. However, I did say it was stupid. In fact, it is
> > characteristically stupid.
>
> He has yet to say, "No, I didn't get a code waiver."
>
> > > Why do you think you have to keep this sub-thread going?
> >
> > You keep asking the questions and I keep giving you the answers. If
this
> > really bothers you, then to stop this sub-thread, may I suggest you stop
> > asking questions, particularly the stupid ones. No offense intended.
>
> Dan, did you get a Code Waiver?
Oh-nay (as in "Farn-zay".

1725. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 04:08:35 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <aPMY8.76384$JU3.28710571@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49488

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:qGMY8.76358$JU3.28707205@e3500-

> I'm-ay orry-say.
That is, as in Farn-zay.

1726. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 05:07:54 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207152107.48c1baa1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207151150.60c6da62@posting.google.com...
> >I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All
> > the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take
> > Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".
>
>
> Again Aaron talking about what he doesn't know.
>Neville Chamberlain never said that about Poland.
>
> Landshark

I was paraphrasing. But since you seem to know what Neville
Chamberlain didn't say, you must know what he actually DID say. So
post it and enlighten everyone.

-A

1727. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 05:34:12 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207152134.2d1bc24e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I totally agree, Jerry.

-A

"Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D1D041B.53D3F809@ix.netcom.com...
> Was tuning about the bands and found freebanders in the bottom end
> of 10m. 28.055 with roger beeps. Another at 28.035 Spanish
> I think. Earlier something at 28.045
>
> We ought to camp out on these freqs and operate some RTTY or
> packet or such. Something to use our allocation to discourage the
> freebanders. Be sure to use 28.0X5 MHz.

Much of the domestic activity is done by truckers. The answer to this
problem for ALL hams to become VERY active in attempting to protect
what we worked for lest we lose it. NOW is the time to strike when
the "iron" is hot, so to speak.

Amateur Radio has received much favorable publicity in the US in the
wake of 9/11, and this is a wonderful stepping stone upon which to
launch a campaign to our Congresspersons. Write your Congressman
explaining what Amateur Radio is (they may already know) its value to
our Nation as a resource, and the problem that is taking place on our
10 AND 12 meter bands. Explain that, due to short funding, FCC has
been much unable to respond to the problem in the measure needed to
curb it.
Suggest ideas that could be used to stop the incursions. Here are a
few:

1) Increase funding to FCC, at least in the short term, to publicize,
mobilize, and
enforce the rules on CB.

2) Increase visits by agents to truck stops and CB shops to locate and
remove such
illegal radios as make these illegal incursions so easy.

3) Get Customs to more closely inspect containers and crates for
illegal
"export" radios
and stop them at the port of entry.

4) Amend the "Local Enforcement" law to allow state and local police
and DOT

officers to inspect, fine, or otherwise punish truckers who have
in
their trucks those
radios and amplifiers already on FCC's list of unapproved
equipment.

5) Suggest that, due to more modern technology (cellphones, Family
Radio,
MURS,
etc) that Citizens Band as currently constituted is outdated and
could
be "sunsetted":
IOW, after, say, 5-7 years, a date be set after which 27 MHZ CB
would
no longer
be legal for use in the USA. Suggest combining that with rigid,
even
if temporary,
enforcement, to make sure that 27 MHZ is vacated. Moreover, if
Congress can
be made to see that CB on 27 MHZ is causing more trouble to
nearby
services than
it is worth, then a better case can be made for sunsetting it.
Explain
that, because of
the short-range characteristics of VHF/UHF FM, much of the
illegal
activity (DX,
amplifiers, and interference) would be abated by that
characteristic
alone. Make it
clear that straying onto nearby bands such as remaining Public
Service,
common
carrier, and the 2 meter hams bands would be met with strict
enforcement.

If enough hams write their Congresspeople, then they can be made AWARE
of this problem we are having, whereas if we just sit here and whine
about it, NOTHING will get done. Of course, everything I have
suggested is not viable, but let's get off our duffs and DO something
about it. Send a copy of your letter to Mr. William Tauzin, Chairman
of the House Sub-Committee on Communications. Make Congress aware
that
Amateur Radio is valuable to our public welfare, defense, and recovery
from disasters. AND that we have a problem with people that are trying
to take that space away from us.

In the meantime, here is something else you CAN do:

1) When you are driving the Interstate, listen to 28.085 AM for the
stupid
beeping and
squeaking. If your car happens to have, either multitudes of
ham
antennas, or a big
unusual looking HF antenna like a screwdriver, sometimes the
trucker
will comment
on "the 4 wheeler" with the big antenna, or even call you hoping
you
will answer.
Do NOT reply, and do not get excited. Calmly slow down
gradually and
allow the
truck to pass you while you get his tag number (you may have to
read
it backwards
in your rearview), the name of the company on the door, and the
trailer number as
well as a description. Keep a small tape recorder in the car
and try
to record the
truck's transmissions. Attenuate (if available) the receiver,
back
down on sensiti-
vity, remove the coax, etc to assure you have the correct truck.
Forward this info
to fccham@fcc.gov . A number of trucking companies have already
received
warnings in this very manner. Try to keep the truck from
becoming
suspicious of
your activities. You may want to send a few "CQs" on 28.85.6 if
you
can (rem-
ember, he is on AM and you have to go up about.6 for him to hear
you.
By sud-
denly coming on so strongly, you may elicit comment from him on
which
to record
further evidence.

If we are SERIOUS about combatting this problem, we cannot sit around
on our hands and "hope" 'somebody' does something. WE are supposed to
police our own bands and be pro-active in protecting our bands.
Sitting around whining about the CBers using our bands won't cut it.
Get out there, listen, report, and eventually those criminals will get
the word AND what they deserve.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

1728. Re: ID this key?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 09:19:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716051921.21981.00000013@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 49491

In article <20020715222335.02051.00000542@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> I wonder if the picture was meant to represent a particular model, of if
>the artist was just trying to "get it close"..???
>
It was originally a painting, done for a recruiting poster. The key is not meant to be any particular model.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1729. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:34:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716063406.06987.00000734@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49492

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/15/02 23:50 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020715235020.01458.00000100@mb-bk.aol.com>
>

>The periodic review is necessary to show Heil's tactic: Bluff and
>hoping that no one will question his "expertise."

Pot / Kettle / Black

You've thrown complete and utter trash out here, Lennie, hoping that when someone picks up after you they won't notice the stench.

Problem (for you) is that you are the only one who thinks you are getting away with anything.

>In the original "order wire" case, ...(SNIP)

Lennie, other than trying to belittle Dave's own professional career with your own form of twisted logic, you've beat this "order wire" thing to death and no one EXCEPT you gives a hoot.

It wasn't worth getting riled up over in the first place, and if this is all you have to "discredit" Dave, then you are wasting everyone's time.

And it's not a "case"...No one is investigating it, and no Grand Jury has suggested any indictments for it.

It's a non-issue, and CERTAINLY not in compliance with YOUR assertions that this is a forum for discussing code TEST elimination, now is it?

>Heil may have thought that no amateur in here would know about
>"order-wires."...(SNIP)

And no one, except you, cares.

>That is illogical.

No, Your Geezerness, what is "illogical" is four years worth of your rants about whatever it was about "order wires" YOU think is important to code TEST issues.

>At a much later date, Heil tried to rationalize his original error
>by stating "it was State Department protocol..."...(SNIP)

And having never been an employee of DoS, from what "informed" position are you making your assertions, Lennie?

You know this for a fact? You were there? Some mysterious Super-Secret EE buddy called you up one day and said "Hey Lennie, in case you are ever in some public forum and want to embarras a Ham Radio operator, tell them this...."...???

>The problem there was that I did know some of that.

And we are so proud for you. That "knowledge" and a fin will get you a cup of overpriced coffee at Starbucks. Tape a c-note to your forehead and you MIGHT get somone to sit with you and listen to this "exciting" tale as you dirnk that cup of coffee, too.

>Heil could have avoided all of that by simply ignoring the
>challenge to his "CW order-wire on a TTY radio circuit is a
>good idea" or dropping the subject.

I haven't seen anyone BUT you raise the subject, Your Rantingness, ergo it seems he DID drop it.

Only you trot it out whenever you think it will serve to "discredit" Dave.

It never does, and you have once again re-run a rant that ranted out a long, long time ago.

How boring.

>No, he had to embellish
>it, build on it, adding bluff to bluff until he had a very unstable
>house of cards. Those have all fallen but Heil does not
>realize it.

This "admonishment" from a CREEP who tried to "embellish" his own military service by pointing out that a lot of guys from his unit were KIA in Korea...Forget for a moment that it was 3 YEARS before Lennie the War Hero arrived in-theater, and AFTER the unit had rotated out of the combat area.

This made Lennie a RAWCHBAS...Rear Area Widget Claiming Hero By Association Status. This would earn him a bloody nose if he tried to pass it off as a "combat record" in any self-respecting VFW or American Legion post.

>The original discussion was on "uses of CW (morse code)"
>and the "need for the code test retention.

OK...that's what the NG is (among other Amateur Radio policy matters) supposed to be for.

>It was not about "State Department" protocol or communications.

Then why are YOU re-hashing it, Lennie...? Well...I SHOULD ask "Why are you re-hashing it OTHER than to try and discredit Dave"..?!?!

>...it was about
>PCTA claims to greatness of morse code...an on-going
>fantasy fanning the flames of dispute in this newsgroup four
>years or so ago.

Oh...four YEARS ago...Well then, I guess that's current events on the Anderson calendar, huh...????

>Heil could not give-in or concede anything.

I reiterate...."Pot / Kettle / Black".

Nor do you. You insist on "civil debate", but quickly turn ANY conversation into a name calling, career insulting, rock throwing free-for-all.

Every time.

>Now, in fairness, it is possible that somewhere, at some
>remote State Department embassy or consulate, there "might"
>be some morse code used. There is NO evidence from any
>State Department source that it is so. Why should there be?

And with this simple statement, you have acknowledged that you do NOT know all the facts, and that what ever you have to "debate" here is nothing more than opinion and conjecture....NO basis in fact.

>The continuing iteration of bluff after bluff can only lead to the
>conclusion that Heil may attempt the same on other subjects.

Like your assertion that the FCC has created radio services for strictly recreational purposes, Lennie? That was a hoot. And that "citizens band" only refers to 11 meters? yeah Lennie, I can see how Dave's "bluffs" have really set a precedent.

>Heil seems fixated on motion pictures and characters therein as
>his idols or examples. Those are fictional.

Like your fixation on a radio service you have no licensure in but claim "professional" level knowledge of?

>Perhaps Heil's own
>experiences in the bigger world of non-amateur radio are fictional..

Perhaps Lennie's experiences in the bigger world of "professional" radio are fictional. Still no evidence that any of his claimed works was original, and no copy of the July 2002 "Ham Radio" magazine at my door.

Can't cover the postage with that "comfortable retirement", Lennie? Send it C.O.D, Lennie....I can afford it.

1730. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:38:39 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716063839.01952.00000381@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49493

From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net

>You may well have a point but the pronouncements of Aaronia are not really
>what you want to use to illustrate it.
>

All of Clay's pronouncements have the same end conclusion.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1731. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:41:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716064105.01952.00000382@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49495

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>So even though I do not practice psychology, I'm not allowed to recognize
>angst?

Of course you are allowed to recognize angst, Brian. Why would you think anyone is stopping you. In fact, I pointed out that you were quite capable of doing it, but seemed to think others should not.

>Is this one of those "Do what Ed says and not what Ed does" sort of things?

You don't need my permission, Brian, but if it helps you sort this out, I will gladly give it to you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1732. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:41:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716064159.01952.00000383@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49494

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Ed used to be a pretty nice
>guy until he started posting to this newsgripe.

You didn't know me until I started posting here, Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1733. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:43:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716064358.01952.00000384@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49496

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Simple. With the code test sent at the Farnsworth character speed
>(16 WPM, I think is what they're using), he can't count the dots and
>dashes and look up the characters on the chart he made on the palm
>of his hand with a ball-point pen.

He doesn't have to, Larry; he has passed all the code tests he ever has to pass.

And with Farnsworth timing, one has more time to do a lookup because of the additional time between characters. I don't think that one could do a 5 wpm lookup.

If I make up a new code, care to demonstrate the lookup process sometime?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1734. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:45:24 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716064524.01952.00000385@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49497

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>As long as you want it to go on, Ed. Dave says he's tired of it, but then
>...

But then... Brian absolutely must have the last word -- by proclamation a dozen
times.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1735. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:46:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716064621.01952.00000386@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49498

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> >Dave, what are "principles of the moment?"

>> >You've been consistently a jerk.

>Hello Ed?

I thought that the two quotes from your post told us all we needed to know
about your "principles."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1736. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:51:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716065150.01952.00000387@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49499

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>He has yet to say, "No, I didn't get a code waiver."

>Dan, did you get a Code Waiver?

What makes that the least bit of your business?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1737. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:53:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716065357.01952.00000388@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49500

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Consider it said. To John, that is.

Larry's greatest accomplishment in ham radio.

Sad, actually.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1738. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 10:57:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716065756.01952.00000389@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49551

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>You say they gave the ARRL a "change" to the reg without an NPRM, but you
>can't remember when or where.

No, I said that the ARRL ran a news item that informed people about the use of Farnsworth timing in ARRL VE sessions. No rules change was needed, nor was one done.

Is this one of those "what was said, what Brian heard" things?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1739. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 11:00:36 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207160300.61473c3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49552

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<ebkY8.65110$JU3.24815545@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> Bert Craig <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020714115632.07749.00000695@mb-fg.news.cs.com...
> > >I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> >
> > That may be true, but only temporarily. Camp ut on 20 without the
> appropriate
> > class amateur license long enough and the FCC will most certainly stop
> > you...with the aid of U.S. marshalls if need be.
> >
> > >Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use.
> >
> > You are only limited by your gear and the size of your cajones...but it's
> still
> > just a matter of time before you feel the full weight of the government
> upon
> > you. There are much better ways to get OTA.
> >
> > >dont needs
> > >no licsense.....see!
> >
> > VEC fee: Approx. $10.00
> > Bail/Bond Possibly $5,000.00
> >
> > Need I say more?
> >
> > >ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> > >going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
> >
> > Ah, I get it now. (No offense Jerry in SC.)
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
>
> AH, but he hasn't read about "Rabbit Ears" yet, has he?

Probably has but is one of those who believe that "it can't happen to
me."

> That was a most
> gratifying
> photo of him being led back to jail in CHAINS and LEG IRONS. Many of these
> cats think they have the biggest radio cajones in the world--until Uncle

> Charlies busts 'em! I like the way the spectrum is managed now. I can't
> see any reason for
> valuable HF space to be wasted for someone to be able to go "tan-fer, thar,
> shore
> thang thar, garantole ye thar, mercy me thar, I heered that thar, I did
> thar, git back
> that" (shudder).

I'd say this was a victory for both ARO's and CB/11-meter ops. NOBODY
likes lids like this guy, Jerry.

> Jerry
> Not in SC)

Sorry ya 'ole Tar Heel you. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1740. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 11:52:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716075226.06987.00000738@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49553

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/15/02 23:50 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020715235023.01458.00000101@mb-bk.aol.com>
>

>You have not qualified yourself in US military HF communications.

I didn't have to. They qualified me, Lennie. MOS and all. Sorry you
missed it.

>You have not qualified yourself in any civilian experience of
>working on US military communications.

I didn't claim I had any.

>You have not qualified yourself in stating anything of validity in
>radio communications in any radio service except amateur radio.

It's not pertinent to this forum.

>You have not qualified your comments with any evidence of
>web search or literature search concerning any communications
>other than techniques used in amateur radio.

Hmmmmcould it be that this is a forum on AMATEUR RADIO, Lennie?

>> You've been provided the information before.
>
>Everyone has seen UN-qualified statements of individuals stating
>that morse code is currently used in military communications.

The context that has set you off into Rantland again Lennie said NOTHING
about Morse Code.

>The US military statements do NOT corroborate that use.

And we ALL know the Armed Forces ALWAYS discuss in open forums everything
they are doing...

...kinda like all the times they dismissed as "unfounded" the stories of
strange, delta-shaped balck airplanes over the Nevada desert...

>>You've been asked to please explain why Special Forces operatives, who
>>are already tasked with maintaining proficiency in a great number of
>critical
>>warfighting skills, would be required to master skills they are no going to
>>use. Especially at 16 WPM.
>

>I haven't been "tasked" in any such thing.

Perhaps you should re-read what I wrote, Lennie. Go back up the thread if you think I edited the quote here...

YOU were "asked". No one in thier right mind would ever "task" something from someone as unqualified as you.

>There is NO
>evidence that indicates either Special Forces OR Special Operations
>USES morse code in any form for radio communications.

Keep repeating that to yourself while clicking your crystal slippers, Lennie.

>> I didn't say anything involved "morsemanship" skills, Lennie. YOU did.
>
>Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio
>communications. Do not use ambiguous generalities, myths,
>or outright lies. Do not evade the question by attempting to
>misdirect as you have done above.

Nothing was "evade(d)", Your Scumminess. The original comments were that the Armed Forces still use forms of on-off keying techniques. YOU injected references to Morse Code. Now you want me to "validate" my military "credentials"

Here's a question for YOU to not avoid: WHEN are you going to take the "extra lite" that you asserted you were going to take "out of the box"...???

Two years hasn't been enough time to study?

>Robeson has never explained his USMC MOS other than making
>some general, ambiguous statements of "working on a flight line."

You've tried this two posts in a row. Still not germane.

>He has not stated such in here.

That's because I am not trying to be the King Of The Hill you are Lennie. It doesn't matter to me wether anyone likes it or not. No one here signs my paycheck.

>Odds are that Robeson had little or NO such radio communications
>experience. If he had, he would be constantly crowing about it
>to demonstrate his gung-ho superiority.

Like you?

BBWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! !

YOU SELF-RIGHTEOUS PUTZ!

LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLO
LOLOLOLOLOL ! ! ! ! !

>>>Oh, oh, now the poor baby is a commissioned officer in the "active"
>>> USMC?!? After receiving a medical discharge? How odd.
>>
>>Nope. Never said that.
>
>Stop evading the questions by misdirecting.

There's no misdirection. Only your buttblasting buddy in Illinois ever claimed ot have been a commisioned officer of the Federal Armed Forces. Not me.

>Are you a commissioned officer in the USMC?

Have I ever stated I was, Lennie?

Have I ever signed a post [(officer rank), USMC]...???

>Have you received a medical discharge from the USMC?

I did. And that status was changed to medically retired.

>Did you have any military radio communications experience
>in the USMC and, if so, what was it?

Yes I did and none of your business. Choke on it, Lennie!

>>>The ARRL is NOT an "agency." It is merely a membership
>>>organization and a special-interest lobbying group.
>>
>> "Merely", Lennie? In YOUR mind, I am sure, but that's only because you
>>are again trying to mitigate it's importance to Amateur Radio as a whole.
>
>The ARRL is incorporated as a membership organization.
>
>The ARRL is NOT an "agency" of the government.

Now YOU are "misdirecting, Lennie. When did ANYONE say the ARRL was an agency of the government?

>> Some people actaully take the time to "teach" the theory, Lennie. I am
>>one of them.
>
>Prove that by giving references as to the class, the dates of that
>class, and students who were in that class and their licenses.

For what purpose, Lennie? My serices as a VE are a matter of record with the ARRL, and anything else is not germane to the discussion that on-off keying as an RF technique still has valid uses.

YOU have been the one trying to somehow assert that whatever I may have done in the Armed Forces is related to that.

Talk about "misdirection".

>So far, Robeson has only been blowing smoke.

So far, it's better than what YOU have been blowing, Lennie.

>Stop with the smoke blowing. Outline your curriculum, the general
>class description.

The "class descriptions", Your Stupidness, were for exam preparation courses for an Amarteur Radio license. Each of the required areas of knowledge (including Morse Code skills) was included. ARRL texts were used. (The one's you try and discredit, Lennie...)

>Stop with the smoke blowing.

There's no smoke blowing here, Lennie. I keep all my gear properly ventillated.

>[learn to spell "actually doing it" instead of making those typos
>done in anger...]

Again with the assertions of "anger"...Lennie, you don't anger me, you SICKEN me.

>>>Purchasing Agents are not considered either "in engineering" or
>>> as "professional engineers."
>>
>> Of course they are not. Who said they were?
>
>Robeson has stated twice that his former purchasing agent job
>was "in" engineering because he "worked with engineers."

No, Lennie, I did not. I DID assert that I worked with engineers. That part was true. I never made any assertion that I was "in" engineering.

That's a falsehood of your creation.

>>You never asked. And at this point, it's none of your business.
>
>Yes, it IS my "business."

No, it's not.

>Robeson has continually denigrated
>and maligned my professional experience as an electronics
>design engineer.

As YOU have about my years in the Armed Forces and my current profession in nursing.

So what?

>He has repeatedly stated that I should not
>comment on morse code testing because I have "no practical
>experience" in amateur radio.

And you don't. Again, SO WHAT?

>Robeson is a two-faced son of something.

Perhaps, but not here.

>He has NO practical experience in electronics engineering.

An assertion made with no facts to the contrary. Naughty naughty
"engineer".

>He is UNQUALIFIED to
>comment on engineering...yet he tried to malign, denigrate, and
>insult myself as being "nothing more than a bench technician."

Perhaps, but so far you've not provided any evidnce of being anything more
than a loud mouth who can quote off the Internet.

In THIS forum, you have NO practical experience in Amateur Radio POLICY
matters OTHER than this forum. And as we all know, this has no validity in the
"real world".

>Further, Robeson has repeatedly denigrated "night school"
>classes having college accreditation as being inferior to
>daytime classes.

No, I have denigrated your lack of assimilation of what was offered in
those classes. Day or night, it WAS 15 years to compelte a four year degree.

Maybe if you'd spent more time in class and less time in the unemployment
line...?!?!

>Robeson has to show his qualifications in professionalism.

I don't "have" to show anything, Lennie.

>>You have wasted acres of bandwidth
>>"telling" us how Amateur Radio has missed the boat on media exposure.
>
>I've pointed out the ARRL in particular has FAILED to gain popular
>media exposure for years. The ARRL in particular HAS failed to
>gain popular media exposure for years.

Yet YOU state how "popular" and "well known" Amateur Radio is to the
general public.

How odd.......

>The "exposure" about amateur radio is largely concentrated in the
>specialized amateur-to-amateur media. Amateur radio has FAILED
>to gain exposure of its "vital necessity to the public" or in its
>alleged "technical advancements" in the media of the rest of the
>radio world.
>
>DENIAL of those obvious conditions does no good.

There's nothing that requires a denial, Lennie. I see items in the papers
all the time. Local and regional. Sorry you don't agree.

>According to the Robeson (and Heil) "logic," the FCC
>should NOT be making ANY amateur radio regulations because
>"they have no practical experience in amateur radio!"

I agree that no person should be allowed to make comments on matters for
which he or she cannot document practical experience.

I would not care to file comments on nuclear power regualtion nor on
sewage line construction, but I have th right to do so as a citizen.

>What a marvelous, illogical IDIOCY both Robeson and Heil
>demonstrate in their attempt to quash dissention!

Hmmmm....a cute term when used by you, Lennie.

>He is bluffing, blowing smoke.

You are lying. Not telling the truth.

>>>Robeson cannot discuss or debate subjects, only become uncivil
>>> and emotionally unstable in attacking "opponents."
>>
>> Answeed before, Your Geezerness...You reap what you sew.
>
>"Answeed?!?"
>
>Answer a direct question about your claims. So far all you have
>been doing is bluffing, blowing smoke.

Why? So I can "be you"...?!?! Don't need to..Don't want to...

Sorry that irks you.

>> Do you think your profanities, lies and frequent misdeeds go unnoticed
>>by anyone ut me?
>
>Illustrate or quote the "profanities, lies, and frequent misdeeds."

BBBBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

Where shall I start, Lennie? From the times you have misquoted Ed
Hare...? The times you've refered to people a "a" holes or that thier posts
were bull "s"..??? Or your assertions of radio services created for strictly
recreational purposes?

Or how about your attempts to "embellish" YOUR military career by
"mentioning" that you served in a unit that had a high KIA rate in the opening
days of the Korean conflict...Which HAPPENED three years before you got there?

The list is long and distinguished...I am sure ANY of your EE buddies (or
your few licensed Ham buddies) would be PROUD to be associated with a
"professional" who has so responsibly and maturely represented the genre.

>> So, Good Night, Your Putziness.
>
>The above quote line is a common sign-off of Robeson's. It is

>a corruption of a Yiddish-ethnic pejorative.

Yes, Lennie...we know.

>Apparently he feels
>that anything he does is "civil" while any objection to his
>opinion is "uncivil."

Nope. Never said that. I am sure youfeel that way, though.

>One cannot reason with him in any discussion.

Only if YOU are "the one", Lennie, and only as long as you continue to misrepresent the true character of Amateur Radio with your lies, mistruths and innuendo.

>Note the often-used "good night" in the tag line. He is so ego
>driven that he must think whoever he is writing to is reading
>his message as he types it

As a matter of fact, Lennie, you responded to this post at 23:50 hours EDT (20:50 in SoCal)

So much for ego, eh?

Ya gotta love the ignorance of a Putz who accuses others of such "ego", and then are themselves so "ego driven" as to forget that AOL kindly time-stamped the message for him.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

> That is seldom the case. See the
>time-stamp of this message posting...the next day and early
>evening, hardly a time to retire. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

And YOU are so ego driven as to assume everyone is on the same schedule YOU are, Your Geezerness.

G'Nite, Lennie!

1741. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 11:57:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716075726.06987.00000739@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49554

>Subject: Re: Customized Code Fist
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 07/15/02 22:53 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D338A9E.DAC514EA@earthlink.net>

>Now it gets really confusing, Larry. I didn't know whether he meant
>public relations Extras or Puerto Rican Extras.

Or in MY case, "Phallus Rigidus"

=)

SR

1742. Re: Repost: Feeling Pathetic? Well it could be worse, your name could be Roger Wiseman.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 12:06:22 UTC
From: A Ham? <ahamiam@spamham.org>
Message-ID: <1%TY8.555353$cQ3.51336@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 49556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Only us nitwits would have read this whole thing....
LMAO.

"s!0.0^7ii.exe@mm" <s^0.0^7ii.exe@softtargets.com> wrote in message
news:sGXX8.7811$c_2.1975031@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hmmm, I leave a.f. for a short while to enjoy the summer and focus on my
> dragonboat racing to help raise money for disabled children and notice
that
> nothing has changed. Surprise. Surprise. Same old boring fuckheads. Of
> course, the most unexciting aspect to all this is chickenshit Suzielame
> poking her fat ugly beaver in to brag about her pathetic retard lame
awards
> and Roger ineloquently autolaming himself to death - competing with the
most
> dried up of old used sponges in the "blow the guage on the stoopid meter"
> division. If this were dragonboating between me and a.f., it would be like
> winning against a boatfull of overweight arm-amputees.
> ==
>
> Feeling pathetic? Thinking maybe it's time to end it all, or at least the
> portion of it all that keeps girls from talking to you? Cheer up,
> gloomypants! The fact is, you could be even MORE pathetic! Here's how:
>
> Your name could be Roger Wiseman.
>
> You take a break from usenet only to find that the content contains mainly
> of Suzielame trying to intimidate some newbie with her a.f. retard
"awards"
> and Roger continuing in his best tradition to out-stoopid the most
braindead
> of sponges. You leave in disgust and ask yourself, why did I even bother?
>
> You could get demoted from scaling fish to gutting Roger Wiseman.
>
> Your shirts could be pee-stained and your underwear mustard-stained,
instead
> of vice-versa. Or the name Roger Wiseman could be sewn into the backs of
> those soiled diapers while you're spewing filth on usenet and calling
> everyone a pedophile who doesn't agree with you.
>
> You could still be addressing your pillow as "Kathy Ireland" instead of
> having switched to Cindy Crawford in 1999. Or you could be cooing in the

ear
> of your dead mother underneath your Inspector Gadget sheets.
>
> You might only have the FIFTH most popular collection of Roger Wiseman
> PKB's, Autolames, & IKYABWAI's on usenet.
>
> Your mom could take that one old video of you dressed up as Batman,
singing
> the Batman song and playing air guitar, and she could show it to everyone
at
> work instead of only those who make it to the annual Christmas party. Or
you
> could be more like Roger Wiseman and spend the days felching the worms
from
> her decomposing arse.
>
> If you hadn't torn your Inspector Gadget sheets, you might still be using
> them today. Or your name could be Roger Wiseman and you could be over
forty
> and find yourself sharing those same sheets with your dead mother.
>
> You could have completely lost that one online argument about how a
> eighth-level druid could completely kick an eighth-level ranger's ass. Or
> you could be the first to lose an argument to Roger Wiseman on usenet.
>
> You could still be pronouncing "ebullient" wrong. Or you could be creating
> typo's all over the place while posting spell-lames just like Roger
Wiseman.
>
> It could have been a supervisor who walked in on you masturbating into the
> bathroom sink, instead of just a janitor. Or it could have been Roger
> Wiseman offering your kid a candy in the park.
>
> You could forget to omit breed when people ask you about your corgi-bite
> scar. Or you could have caught scabies while sleeping over at the Wiseman
> trailer household.
>
> You could have failed to make any progress whatsoever in your efforts to
not
> automatically look around in a panic whenever someone yells "Hey, loser!"
Or
> your name could be Roger Wiseman and you could be absolute clueless to
know
> what that means.
>
> Your left testicle might not have finally descended. Or Roger Wiseman
could
> be offering to help you with that left testy.
>
> Someone might have seen you that day you almost fainted after stepping on

a
> slug. Or someone might have seen you with Roger Wiseman.
>
> If you knew more people, that'd just be more people who know how pathetic
> you are. Or you could be advertising it on usenet and ham radio like Roger
> Wiseman.
>
>
> --
> ---
> subRoutine <www.softtargets.com> s^0.0^7ii.exe@softtargets.com
>
>
>

1743. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 13:30:07 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D341FDF.D641B8DC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49557

W1RFI wrote:
> If I make up a new code, care to demonstrate the lookup process sometime?

When we were in Cub Scouts, my cousin and I had two different lookup
tables, one for transmitting and one for receive. The transmitting
table looked like the lists in the ARRL Handbook. As I remember, the
receiving table was broken down like:

. E All dots group
.. I
... S
.... H
..... 5

.- A leading dotdash group
.-. R
.-.. L
.-- W
.--. X
.--- J
.---- 1

etc. The pattern was almost binary, 0, 00, 000, ... 01, 010, 0100, ...

We didn't measure our speed but I would estimate it was pretty close
to 5wpm. I also have to confess that I *rote memorized* the characters
pretty fast.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1744. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 16:18:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D344749.E171DA81@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49558

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D325395.D87EDEDE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <CLpY8.7542$yN6.368928889@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> >> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all that
> >> >> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
> >> >> marine corpse person on you... :-)
> >> >
> >> >He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out of
> >> >banders on 6M. Sum DXer.
> >>
> >> I can't blame him. Really. He came in here and tried to pull off
> >> a fast one with that "CW order wire on an RTTY circuit" as his
> >> "good idea" and got pilloried for it. From there on it was all
> >> damage control and he couldn't control himself. :-)
> >
> >You guys would seem to be real bumblers as a tag team. One who has no
> >knowledge whatever of what the State Dept. has used for communications
> >and who still doesn't understand the reasoning behind use of CW rather
> >than RTTY, calls me a liar and periodically revives the subject.
>
> The periodic review is necessary to show Heil's tactic: Bluff and
> hoping that no one will question his "expertise."
>
> In the original "order wire" case, Heil had originally posted a
> "good idea" of "using CW [morse code] as an order-wire on a
> State Department TTY radio circuit." The implication was that it
> was His own idea along with the self-praise of "pretty neat, eh?"

Thanks for proving my point, Doctor Evil. There was no implication that
the idea was mine. In fact, I've stated on several occasions that the
idea was not mine. I think a BMW is "pretty neat" too. I didn't design
them.

> Heil may have thought that no amateur in here would know about
> "order-wires." He did not reckon with someone in here having any
> experience in large HF communications installations. Few amateurs
> in here now or of four years ago are even familiar with the term, that
> of being the control circuit for running a number of other circuits.

Why would "Heil" think that? Do you think you are a radio amateur "in here"?

> Heil's initial response was that the "CW was necessary to
> establish synchronization of the RTTY." That was poor wording.
> Teleprinters are designed and built to be self-synchronizing.

It probably was poor wording. Teleprinters are self-synchronizing but I wasn't referring to self-synchronization. I referred to crypto sync.

> Heil then explained that the "synchronizing" referred to
> "schedules" or operating times and other circuit details.

"Heil" didn't say that at all though I did make statements about the use of the CW circuit since our station was not in operation 24 hours per day.

> That is illogical. Firstly, if the receiving teleprinter is working at
> all, it will print out a schedule and detail listing that is identical
> to the transmitter teleprinter. Further, with a paper tape punch
> and reader, the identical schedules message can be "read back"
> to confirm that the received message was correct. Such a
> transmission and "read-back" confirmation can be done in less
> time than it takes to send the same schedules message via
> morse code.

We weren't broadcasting, Len, and didn't do a "schedule" more than a couple of days in advance. There are time, due to severe multipath distortion, that even a teleprinter running in the clear, without crypto overhead, and using link enhancement, will print only garbage. During those periods, which occur much of the year in West Africa, CW worked when teleprinters did not work.

> It is illogical to use an on-off keying morse code mode as
> control on a teleprinter circuit, whether wired or by radio.
> The teleprinter is much faster, may be configured with on-line
> encryption, and exhibits much, much less error than manual
> telegraphy. The print-out at the receiver is essentially identical
> to that at the transmitter so that both ends of the circuit have
> the same document for referral. There is no possibility of
> hand-printing distortion leading to other, later circuit operators
> misinterpreting the printing. Teleprinter printing is always the
> same precise form.

Illogical, Spock? Any baudot character distortion caused by multipath can render a teleprinter totally unuseable. If you have no teleprinter order wire, you can't easily arrange to open, close or QSY the circuit. It wasn't as if I was working in a place where I could make a telephone call.

> At a much later date, Heil tried to rationalize his original error
> by stating "it was State Department protocol to use that
> method (CW as order-wire on an RTTY circuit)." That is
> ridiculous in the 1980s time period since military, government,

> and commercial communications had already established
> methods, standards, and practices prior to WW2. Heil was
> banking his bluff on no one knowing State's communications
> in detail. That may have been correct...but, he claimed that
> "I could not know anything at all about State Department
> communications."

"Heil" never made that statement either. The system was not in use world wide by State. The system was not even Africa-wide. There were places using satellites and leased lines for the primary circuit. It is apparent from your comments that you still don't know anything about State Department comms.

> The problem there was that I did know some of that. The RCA
> designed and built (at EASD, Van Nuys, CA) Random Access
> Card Extract System or RACES was installed at the State
> Department in DC to handle archival storage and retreival of
> all State Department communications into and out of DC.
> That was done in the late 1960s. State Department had two
> RACES machines, one an on-line spare to the other. The
> communications mode stored? Teleprinter. Not morse code.
> That was in the working specifications for the RACES
> installation.

Did you think that the RACES system was in use worldwide? Do you believe it to still be in use? Did you think that mechanical teleprinters were still in use in other than Africa and a few Asian spots in the 1980s? My friend Jack disagrees with you. I don't believe you know Jack.

> What we had in here is a simple exponential growth of an
> initial bluff into a kludge of a rationalization for the simple
> failure of acknowledging that someone else might have some
> experience in communications.

What we have here is someone never involved in State commo dismissing the statements of one who was actively involved in State Department communications over many years. That's about as silly as you telling us what is best for amateur radio.

> Heil could have avoided all of that by simply ignoring the
> challenge to his "CW order-wire on a TTY radio circuit is a
> good idea" or dropping the subject. No, he had to embellish
> it, build on it, adding bluff to bluff until he had a very unstable
> house of cards. Those have all fallen but Heil does not
> realize it.

Sure, I could have avoided all that by letting you blow smoke up the asses of any who would be naive enough to know how you operate. You pontificate, chuff and puff and in general sway others into believing that what you say is true by sheer length and number of posts. No one can know more about something dealing with the world of electronic

communications than you, huh?

> The original discussion was on "uses of CW (morse code)"
> and the "need for the code test retention." It was not about
> "State Department" protocol or communications...it was about
> PCTA claims to greatness of morse code...an on-going
> fantasy fanning the flames of dispute in this newsgroup four
> years or so ago.

My original comments on this topic countered your balderdash that the
U.S. government no longer used morse.

> Heil could not give-in or concede anything. He HAD to be
> right. He HAD to be superior. He could never, ever admit
> defeat...and so everyone was treated to his card-building
> and bluffing.

That's right. "Heil" doesn't concede or give-in when he has made
statements which are true and correct. To admit defeat, he'd first have
to be defeated at something. That hasn't taken place despite your best
efforts to distort and dismiss.

> Now, in fairness, it is possible that somewhere, at some
> remote State Department embassy or consulate, there "might"
> be some morse code used. There is NO evidence from any
> State Department source that it is so.

Go ask State for corroboration if the matter is important to you.

> Why should there be?
> The State Department can draw on the rest of the US
> government for expertise in radio communications. Its radio
> networks are NOT as big as the US military's nor have they
> been. One can only surmise that Heil's posting to Guinea-
> Bisseau at the time of the alleged "CW order-wire for RTTY"
> situation might have something to do with it. Apparently,
> Heil's amateur radio station in that country was then the ONLY
> amateur station there; with a truly rare callsign issued (as a
> compliment to an embassy employee?) he would be much in
> demand as "DX" for the rest of the world. That probably was
> very emotionally rewarding for him. It may have led to some
> other emotional problems with logic and thinking he was truly
> superior instead of just the rare-call individual.

The above is about as surreal as it gets, Len. What did my amateur
station in Guinea-Bissau have to do with my daily tasks in a State
Department communications center? Who ever claimed that State's commo
facilities were as big or bigger than the military's? "One can only
surmise" indeed.

> RTTY by commercial, government, and military users has been
> formalized, standardized, and used since prior to WW2. Trying
> to state that a "CW order-wire on an RTTY circuit" is either

> logical, State protocol, or anything else "good" is nonsense.
> It was a bluff counter to the original subject, the bluff was called,
> more bluff was layered on the original bluff, and that was called,
> and so on and so on.

I think you're honked that someone dared counter you claim that the US government does not use morse and didn't back down no matter how hard you huffed and puffed and attempted to blow the house down.

> The continuing iteration of bluff after bluff can only lead to the
> conclusion that Heil may attempt the same on other subjects.

The only thing which comes to mind is your years-old bluff that you know anything about what is good for amateur radio.

> >Yep, Doctor Evil and Mini-Me are the self-declared victors despite being
> >heckled by the audience and being pelted with verbal tomatoes. I can't
> >wait for your encore.
>
> Heil seems fixated on motion pictures and characters therein as
> his idols or examples. Those are fictional. Perhaps Heil's own
> experiences in the bigger world of non-amateur radio are fictional...

How does referring to the tag team of Anderson and Burke by names from a very funny film indicate fixation? I was aware that the characters are fictional. Your history in amateur radio is also fictional. Your knowledge of State Department commo is fictional. Your claim to civil debate is also fictional.

Dave K8MN

1745. Re: ID this key?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 16:20:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D3447E3.D5F9D49D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49559

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20020715222335.02051.00000542@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> > I wonder if the picture was meant to represent a particular model, of if
> >the artist was just trying to "get it close"..???
> >
> It was originally a painting, done for a recruiting poster. The key is not
> meant to be any particular model.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Even if it was, the murky detail and small size would make it tough to identify what looks to be a "single post" design. I couldn't even make out a yoke on the thing.

Dave K8MN

1746. Re: Repost: Feeling Pathetic? Well it could be worse, your name could be James Krapout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 18:27:40 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah1ol6$de5$2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"A Ham?" <ahamiam@spamham.org> wrote in message
news:1%TY8.555353$cQ3.51336@sccrnsc01...
> Only us nitwits would have read this whole thing....
> LMAO.

Oh, James Kopout known as Krapout has quite a number of gems he tries to hide from, like his arrest by Toronto, Canada police for kiddie porn distribution :

Krapout "subRoutine" <s^0.0^7ii.exe@softtargets.com> whined his spanked status in
<kJZc8.48395$JZ.5661270@news20.bellglobal.com>:
"Anyone can also go to the Toronto Reference Library and look up your demographics based on income, number of dependents
etc. etc. among other things. Your name, address & stats has been sold for a penny amillion times over to any marketing company
interested. Can you imagine what would happen if that information was used for malicious purposes?
Hope you cleared your fax machine of all those unwanted telemarketing ads."

In the article: <45ttih$64e@inforamp.net>
James Koput <festerat@inforamp.net> writes his totally unobtainable desires:
"I however give such weaklings an occasional whack across the face when they so deserve, when the moment suits me. I don't argue with children such as he/she, I teach them lessons."

In article: <43vmcp$7eh@inforamp.net>
James Koput <festerat@inforamp.net> writes of how he tied up little boys in his basement:
"That's another utterance some of my past victims have made after I wrapped their heads in duct tape."

In article: <442bmh$ngn@inforamp.net>
James Koput <festerat@inforamp.net> writes how he kidnaps children for his perverted
kiddie porn scheme:
"Hold on a sec....(leaves puter and goes down into the dungeon...
..checks on victim.....comes back to respond to smartass.......)
Okay she's still there...."

Message-ID: <Edina1996Sep4.085505.12308@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes more racism:
"Speaking of twats, since you're and african bitch, I have a question:
Did they mutilate your clit when you where younger? i.e. Have you

been circumcised? I hope so, a whore like you should not be able to
enjoy sex, otherwise you'd be spreading your herpes exponentially
from the street corners of NY."

Message-ID: <Edina1996Sep9.130858.32431@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes about his desires to stickl
fingers up
other people's asses:
"She's only half as lame as you are, sweety.
I'll bet that you'd like to lick her pussy regardless...
So take my advice, I'll straighten you out if you let
me shove my nine inch nail up your hot ass, I'll use
the blood as lubricant...and make you squeal like the
little fuck-pig that you really are."

Message-Id: <Edina1996Jun9.200917.8511@news3.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (Filter/SubRoutine/James Koput) wrote about his plans for
trolling for little
underage boys to molest:
"Please call me Roxanne Litman, I'm considering a sex change so as to
attract more 5 year old boys."

Message-Id: <Edina1996Jun2.132257.6432@news3.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput) wrote:
"Are you drinking from the toilet bowl again, Curtis?
This is bad considering that it's a great source for
bacterial infections which HIV people are very
susceptible. Keep the seat down after flushing."

<Edina1996Jul6.000658.22977@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes from the voice of personal
experience:
"The type of man who cruises kinky chat-line like ngs usually has plenty
of HIV in his bloodstream."

<Edina1996Jul8.171007.30166@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes:
"They are simply curious to why you are so open about your apparent
perversions and in the closet about your HIV status."

<Edina1996Jul8.171007.30166@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes:
"When you are unsuccessful in your attempts to spread your germs, you use
whips and chains and rubber dildos with this so called married partner.
I gather the two of you are receiving same sex benefits or on some kind
of goverment sponsered HIV programme. At other times you bring in the dead
bodies from the morgue to molest, which
is not as typical but hey, you're a strange one anyway..."

In <Edina1996Jul8.171007.30166@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) PKB's:
"Do you drink the blood of your victims as well? You seem awfully thirsty.

Don't you have enough when you swallow cum and get spermed up the ass a
few times?"

In <Edina1996Jul8.171007.30166@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes:
"I understand, you are experiencing difficulty as a second class citizen,
I'll be sympathetic to your plight this time."

In <Edina1996Jul6.000658.22977@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes:
"You may be transmitting your HIV over the net with all the vomit
you spew in your posts."

<Edina1996Jul8.171007.30166@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes:
"With highly infectious people like yourself, I mention the use of
'rubber gloves' when posting to explain my apparent superiority over you -
a second class citizen."

<Edina1996Jul8.171007.30166@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes:
"Sascha doesn't like you HIV faggots very much, you liar. But I'm not
surprised you like him, he's gaunt too and wears pantyhose. ;)"

<Edina1996Jul12.212759.32617@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes of his terrible loneliness
and the fact that he's an outcast of society:
"I have no friends here because I am not a part of this shitty ng.
I post here to punish the losers."

<Edina1996Jul12.212759.32617@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes:
"But the fact remains, I am the most effectual poster on usenet right now."

<Edina1996Jul10.092647.32370@news3.idirect.com.compulink.com>
crash@idirect.com (James Koput/Filter) writes:
"LIAR! You felch eachother and suck eachothers dicks. Your favourite
position must be the "69", why else would you x-post to gay ngs?"

Krapout still runs his kiddie porn outfit out of his run-down apartment on
Ballilol St.

1747. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:12:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rT0Z8.1427$Sb2.38070761@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49561

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:0MMY8.76381$JU3.28709630@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:1MHY8.181$kQ2.10238074@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> > news:EPFY8.23081$A43.2314887@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote
> > >
> > > > When you use code at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth.
> > >
> > > Of course you can! As an example, you could use Farnsworth by sending
> the
> > > characters at 35WPM and use 20WPM inter-character spacing. for
example.
> > >
> > > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
> >
> > Which is why I say Farnsowrth can be anything you want it to be, or
> anything
> > the VEC wants it to be. It can even be exactly the Morse specification.
> > This is because Morse is a single event in the infinite set called
> > Farnsworth.

> Is this "Farn-zay"?

Farsi?

1748. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:13:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hU0Z8.1428$Tb2.38071855@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49562

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:MgLY8.37142$1q2.2082851@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:xHHY8.179$qx2.9050318@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> > news:aUpY8.24077$1q2.1660030@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:kKlY8.406>
> > > > Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone
> walk
> > > out
> > > > alive?
> > >
> > > I would contest against you in code anytime and win, Boy! When you
use
> > code
> > > at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth. Stop writing about
> > things
> > > you know nothing about.
> >
> > What waiver?
>
> Another characteristically stupid question.

Waiver

1749. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:14:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tV0Z8.1429$Mb2.38064656@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49563

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:eLMY8.76378$JU3.28709450@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:kKlY8.406$O43.101972130@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020714143604.01601.00000041@mb-mo.aol.com...
> > > In article <U23Y8.668$GF5.138686610@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian
> P
> > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >> Calm down, lad. Take it easy.
> > > >>
> > > >> With some perseverence and courage, you too can have the
> > > >> Word of (PR) Extras...be noble and oh, so correct. Just work
> > > >> on the morsemanship some more and get that rate up there.
> > > >> :-)
> > > >
> > > >Ham radio is full of whacko's, it would appear. I wonder what his
> waiver
> > > >was for?
> > >
> > > Over-reaction? :-)
> >
> > Imagine if he studied Morse and they gave him Farnse? Would anyone walk
> out
> > alive?
>
> Brian, what is "Farnse"? Please be specific in your definition.
>
> How do you pronounce it? Would one say "Farn-zay" or "Farnz"? Do New
> Yorkers say "Fonz"?
>
> 73 de KR4AJ

Waiver?

1750. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:15:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6W0Z8.1430$ac2.38091285@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49564

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:dOMY8.76383$JU3.28710218@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:mDJY8.317$S_4.19112463@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> > news:bUrY8.26383$1q2.1734292@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020714235617.02595.00000139@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > > > In article <CerY8.67566$JU3.26392450@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>,
> "Dan
> > > Finn"
> > > > <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > > >> >And you are characteristically insensitive. No, making fun of
> > > handicapped
> > > > >> >people, as you are doing. has not come up before on rrap.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Is this a "characteristically insensitive" question -
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Dan, have you ever had a waiver in licensing?
> > > > >
> > > > >No, it is simply a stupid question.
> > > >
> > > > Then make up your mind. Is it "simply a stupid question" or is
> > > > it "characteristically insensitive?" Can't be both.
> > >
> > > I already answered "No" on the question of it being characteristically
> > > insensitive. However, I did say it was stupid. In fact, it is
> > > characteristically stupid.
> >
> > He has yet to say, "No, I didn't get a code waiver."
> >
> > > > Why do you think you have to keep this sub-thread going?
> > >
> > > You keep asking the questions and I keep giving you the answers. If
> this
> > > really bothers you, then to stop this sub-thread, may I suggest you
stop
> > > asking questions, particularly the stupid ones. No offense intended.
> >
> > Dan, did you get a Code Waiver?

> Oh-nay (as in "Farn-zay".

Waiver?

1751. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:16:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VW0Z8.1432$Db2.38055084@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49565

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020716065150.01952.00000387@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >He has yet to say, "No, I didn't get a code waiver."
>
> >Dan, did you get a Code Waiver?
>
> What makes that the least bit of your business?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

His unprovoked attack on me.

1752. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:21:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <s%0Z8.1433$qd2.38164009@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49566

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:8lLY8.37169$1q2.2084103@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...

> Heh heh heh heh heh....this post proves it! I really pushed the right
> buttons, didn't I Brian? Heh heh heh heh.
>
> Cheers and 73 de KR4AJ

Proves what? I don't have the waiver. There is no waiver button for you to
push.

You're the one acting like an idiot.

What was your conscience telling you when I mentioned the word, "Waiver?"

Do you still have the pornography button? Just don't try sending any my way
again.

1753. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:21:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <T%0Z8.1434$ed2.38151682@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49567

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:VlLY8.37176$1q2.2084941@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:54IY8.193$c83.11513888@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> > news:_SpY8.67278$JU3.26069608@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:s13Y8.667
> > > > Dan, that's a whole lot of over-reaction. So what was your waiver
> for?
> > >
> > > Sort of like your very abnormal and irrational over-reaction to my
> little
> > > joke about your so-called 'porno' solicitation? I demand an apology.
> >
> > You're a funny guy.
> >
> > I'm still waiting on my apology for your sick "joke."
>
> heh heh heh. Audios, amigo!

Sayonara, baby!

1754. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:21:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <TZ0Z8.45475$1q2.2722153@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Big Horn 312 <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >
>
> > Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
> > government, not a "right".
> >
> > The above is settled law, not "opinion".
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
>
> I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Big Horn 312 says that
> Cherry Hill freeband oprators club
> stand by 27635 lower side

Hmmmm---that's what Rabbit Ears thought! There's not a more gratifying
photo
than that of William Flippo being led back to jail in CHAINS and LEG IRONS.

"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
Riley Hollingsworth
>
>
>
>

1755. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:22:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <511Z8.1435$Fd2.38179140@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49568

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:qGMY8.76358$JU3.28707205@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:54IY8.193$c83.11513888@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> > news:_SpY8.67278$JU3.26069608@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:s13Y8.667
> > > > Dan, that's a whole lot of over-reaction. So what was your waiver
> for?
> > >
> > > Sort of like your very abnormal and irrational over-reaction to my
> little
> > > joke about your so-called 'porno' solicitation? I demand an apology.
> >
> > You're a funny guy.
>
> Yeah, that's what my agent tells me.
> >
> > I'm still waiting on my apology for your sick "joke."
> >
> I'm-ay orry-say.

Spanish again? That's where you got into trouble last time.

1756. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:23:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <n11Z8.1436$Fd2.38179140@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49570

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:aPMY8.76384$JU3.28710571@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:qGMY8.76358$JU3.28707205@e3500-
>
> > I'm-ay orry-say.
> That is, as in Farn-zay.

?

1757. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:25:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <q31Z8.1438$J92.37940788@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49571

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:qsLY8.37227$1q2.2087554@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020715004121.02112.00000463@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: 2m Repeater Question
> > >From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net
> > >Date: 07/15/02 00:23 Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <d3sY8.26435$1q2.1738072@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
> >
> > >How about you, Dr. Evil? Can you beep up a storm?
> >
> > Ooops...Looks like I got one wrong this time. I owe Lennie (1)
> apology.
> >
> > Sorry, Lennie!
> >
> > Steve
>
> FWW, I use Morse code, Farnsworth style sometimes, and have no problem
> referring to myself as a beeper. I am a BEEPER, plain and simple, and
proud
> of it. I would beep all day if I could. To beep is to communicate, DX,
> anywhere in the world with anybody else who can beep. I wish everyone
> beeped. I even enjoy translating words into 'beep' while driving my car
and
> hearing them on the radio...good way to speed up your Morse. Can Len beep?
> No. Can Brian beep? No. Beeping is having a passport to the world...bet
Len
> and Brian wish they could beep!
>
> Ein eich Beeper!
>
> 73 de KR4AJ

Baby you can drive my car...

Beep beep n beep beep, yeh! (Paul McCartney)

1758. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:28:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <e61Z8.1440$ce2.38215249@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49572

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D338141.88FB936C@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D3235B0.C7D271C7@earthlink.net...
> >
> > > > As I've suggested before, Brian: I'll do what I want. You do what
you
> > > > can.
> > > >
> > > > Strive to be mediocre!
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, -can- I work 6M Ops Out of Band?
> >
> > It's okay with me if you operate out of band. I don't my opinion
> > carried much weight with the FCC though.
> >
> > > No Thanks, that would be a step down for me.
> >
> > Oh, you're providing the questions and the answers now.

Might as well. Your answers don't tell much oher than a CYA attitude.

> > I'll Strive for Good Amateur Practice instead.
> >
> > Keep striving, Brian.

Always!

1759. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:30:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <081Z8.1442$gf2.38274506@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49573

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D33825F.9E97F563@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D325395.D87EDEDE@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <CLpY8.7542$yN6.368928889@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian
> > P
> > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > > >> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all
that
> > > > >> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
> > > > >> marine corpse person on you... :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out
of
> > > > >banders on 6M. Sum DXer.
> > > >
> > > > I can't blame him. Really. He came in here and tried to pull off
> > > > a fast one with that "CW order wire on an RTTY circuit" as his
> > > > "good idea" and got pilloried for it. From there on it was all
> > > > damage control and he couldn't control himself.
:-)
> > >
> > > You guys would seem to be real bumblers as a tag team. One who has no
> > > knowledge whatever of what the State Dept. has used for communications
> > > and who still doesn't understand the reasoning behind use of CW rather
> > > than RTTY, calls me a liar and periodically revives the subject. The
> > > other has gone through several iterations of a 6m saga he wasn't
> > > involved in and marches toward the wrong target, accusing me of
denials
> > > I've never made.
> > >
> > > Yep, Doctor Evil and Mini-Me are the self-declared victors despite
being
> > > heckled by the audience and being pelted with verbal tomatoes. I
can't
> > > wait for your encore.
> >
> > Is that how you see it? Admitting you have a problem is the first step.

>
> Now that you've written out the step, go ahead and type it. Admit that
> you've got a problem. Your self-righteous indignation and stated moral
> superiority are simply manifestations of your inability to deal with
> your problem.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, I don't recall myself working French amateurs out of band. No problems here.

But kindly keep in on RRAP, and not in my e-mail box.

73, Brian

1760. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:36:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ae1Z8.1444$Oe2.38253038@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49574

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020715235020.01458.00000100@mb-bk.aol.com...

Apparently,
> Heil's amateur radio station in that country was then the ONLY
> amateur station there; with a truly rare callsign issued (as a
> compliment to an embassy employee?) he would be much in
> demand as "DX" for the rest of the world. That probably was
> very emotionally rewarding for him. It may have led to some
> other emotional problems with logic and thinking he was truly
> superior instead of just the rare-call individual.

In the interest of "accuracy," its important to note that others with
multiple DX calls cannot know much about DX.

Oh, Please!

1761. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:38:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pf1Z8.1446$r92.37923246@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49575

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020716063406.06987.00000734@mb-cr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 07/15/02 23:50 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020715235020.01458.00000100@mb-bk.aol.com>
> >
>
> >The periodic review is necessary to show Heil's tactic: Bluff and
> >hoping that no one will question his "expertise."
>
> Pot / Kettle / Black
>
> You've thrown complete and utter trash out here, Lennie, hoping that
when
> someone picks up after you they won't notice the stench.

Steve, although it does stink to high heaven, it makes perfect sense.

1762. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 22:40:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D34CAFC.3020707@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49576

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:3D32C719.F6238375@psu.edu...
>
>
>>Of course this is all a joke Cecil! I assume since you asked the
>>question that you don't draw any distinction between the two different
>>testing methods. Nor do I.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Some people on here don't see it as a joke. They truly believe that taking
> an exam in front of a GS-9 instead of a volunteer proctor makes it superior.

Their loss.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1763. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 23:09:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QI1Z8.1455$qp2.38877791@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49577

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D3388F1.B1AABA1B@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D323158.BF6DEF6E@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D31CF11.8A5A42E1@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D313BC0.539ED080@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I didn't expect any of them to be your last. I simply
assumed
> > > > > that
> > > > > > this
> > > > > > > > > > was a continuation of your Alec Baldwin impersonation.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > This continuation is your choice. You and Alec otta go on a
> > > > > DXpedition.
> > > > > > > > > > Work some out of banders.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Like Len, Alec Baldwin is not a ham. Alec was going to leave
the
> > > > > > country after the election though.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And you won't let him change his mind?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Oh, I can't cause or prevent a change of mind by you or Alec.
> > > > > > > I can only snicker at either of you after such strong statements
> > issued
> > > > > > under your "principles of the moment".
> > > > > >
```

> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave, what are "principles of the moment?"
> > > >
> > > > I've been consistent over my entire amateur career about not working
DX
> > out
> > > > of band.
> > > > I've been consistent over the past 5 or so years that I think there
> > should
> > > > be no Morse Code exam.
> > > > I've been consistent over the past 5 years that I think Incentive
> > Licensing
> > > > is arbitrary and unnecessary.
> > >
> > > But you certainly blew on making your strong statement about us not
> > > having Dick Nixon...er, Brian Burke to kick around any more. I've
noted
> > > that two of your three fundamental beliefs would involve personal
> > > benefit to you.
> >
> > Huh?
> >
> > 1. I could be getting extra green stamps for working French 6M Ops out
of
> > band.
>
> I'm sure that tons of French stations await the opportunity to send a
> dollar for your rare Ohio QSL. Nope, that's not one of the two.

Just like every cell phone company knows, every little overcharge adds up.

> > 2. I've already got the code, so no benefit.
>
> So we're now down to the one item below:

Agreement? Concession?

> > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
>
> Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to learn
> additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary and unnecessary
> have yet to be proven.

I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary and unnecessary.

> > > > You've been consistent that you will work any amateur out of band
even
> > after you learn they are out of band.
> > >
> > > I need only concern myself with operating where I am supposed to be.
> > > The other station is responsible for where he is supposed to be.

> > >
> > > > You've been consistent in belittling my DX experiences.
> > >
> > > ...only in the interest of accuracy.
> >
> > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?
>
> You tell us. You commented one time about what you considered to be
> DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can be as
> consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in other things.

I get on, I operate, I QSL.

According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries authorizations
so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just supposed to tune from
one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the ones I need.

> > Oh, and try to be accurate.
>
> I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On how many bands
> were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand out. How
> prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy in handling
> both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his name, correct
> spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like? That'll take care of
> one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told us that you
> enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider that to be
> DXing?

When I've got a pile-up, I run it. QSLing was a huge chore. Expecially the
time I entered a JA contest! I did enjoy ragchewing with the WestPac
regulars. Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted to
know what it was like over there.

> I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is quite different
> from DXing from the U.S.

Sure. The DX station runs the pile-up, the US station tries to work it.
Contests are an excellent source of finding DX on either side of the pileup.
The US DXer hunts and pounces the DX during a contest. The DX station can
do likewise if he's not a serious contester. A US station might get a
pileup going during an IOTA contest.

What differences have you note in goals and
> technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do you have
> confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of your strategy
> for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S. How are you
> doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m? 160m? satellite?

10M SSB only when I was active. I'm inactive on HF at present. For the
pileup, try to listen to where he is actually picking them when he says "up
10," then call at QRZ or tailend the current Op. When operating 10M SSB,
what specialty is there other than QRP?

> > > > You've been consistently a jerk.
> > >
> > > ...and this coming from a fellow who cranks up three or four
> > > incarnations of the same misdirected crusade in which he ends up
looking
> > > like a goober each time. Now THAT'S consistency.
> > >
> > It makes sense to me.
>
> That has been made alarmingly clear. It seems that others don't think
> it makes sense. You've not been very successful in selling your view.

They've not commented. I think this is between you and me.

> > If you don't know what "Good Amateur Practice" is by
> > this point in your amateur career, you'll never get it.
>
> Good amateur practice has naught to do with how the other guy operates
> his station. It has to do with how you operate YOUR station. I'd say
> you'll never get it.

So far it hasn't been a problem. Perhaps when I become a pompous Code-Tape
Extra-Lite I might have problems in that department. In amateur radio I
outrank the hell out of Len, but I don't act pompous with him. He was a
professional, I'm an amateur. No, I don't think I'll have "Good Amateur
Practice" problems should I ever upgrade.

> > Is this where The Old Man breaks out the "Wrenchy Stitch?" hihi
>
> As in choosing the correct golf club for a given shot, it does matter
> which instrument is selected for amateur attitude correction. I'd
> choose the Uggerumph for this one.
>
> Dave K8MN

Do tell. What is that?

1764. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 23:11:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mK1Z8.1458$Dn2.38766002@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49578

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020715223914.27336.00000060@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <yQVX8.375$Sb5.72163648@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> So, I guess you win and I lose...does that make you happy and
satisfied??
> >>
> >> Have fun bashing everyone else.
> >>
> >> 73's
> >> John
> >>
> >> QRT
> >> --
> >> John D Gubernard, K2LSX
> >> k2lsx@arrl.net
> >
> >Larry apparently does win another one.
> >
> >This is the part where he says, "Don't let the door hit you on the ass on
> >your way out," like he has done so many other times.
>
> Brian:
>
> Consider it said. To John, that is.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

After the Dann Finn incident, I thought you had turned over a new forest.

1765. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 23:13:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2M1Z8.1459$wp2.38883797@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49579

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020716065756.01952.00000389@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >You say they gave the ARRL a "change" to the reg without an NPRM, but you
> >can't remember when or where.
>
> No, I said that the ARRL ran a news item that informed people about the
use of
> Farnsworth timing in ARRL VE sessions. No rules change was needed, nor was
one
> done.

But how did the FCC inform the ARRL? That was the point of this thread, you
know.

> Is this one of those "what was said, what Brian heard" things?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I this one of those, "what Ed didn't read, what Ed sed?" things?

1766. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 23:16:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iP1Z8.1464$Sp2.38895970@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49580

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020716064105.01952.00000382@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >So even though I do not practice psychology, I'm not allowed to recognize
> >angst?
>
> Of course you are allowed to recognize angst, Brian. Why would you think
> anyone is stopping you. In fact, I pointed out that you were quite
capable of
> doing it, but seemed to think others should not.
>
> >Is this one of those "Do what Ed says and not what Ed does" sort of
things?
>
> You don't need my permission, Brian, but if it helps you sort this out, I
will
> gladly give it to you.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Thank you Ed.

And you don't need my permission to follow me around on rrap and say "Is
this one of those""What Brian said, what Brian meant kind of things?""

1767. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 23:17:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tQ1Z8.1465$Sp2.38895970@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49581

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020715223913.27336.00000058@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <20020713150500.06823.00000361@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >My read of your multitude of posts implies to me that you are deeply
troubled
> >by the use of Farnsworth. If calling you deeply troubled instead of
pained
> >will make you feel better, I will gladly do so.
> >
> >Why do you thihk I would have an "agenda" wrt your obsession about
Farnsworth
> >timing being used to help people pass Morse code tests?
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed:
>
> Simple. With the code test sent at the Farnsworth character speed
> (16 WPM, I think is what they're using), he can't count the dots and
> dashes and look up the characters on the chart he made on the palm
> of his hand with a ball-point pen.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, no cheating involved.

The look up table was in my head. Perfect copy at 9wpm.

1768. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 23:18:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wR1Z8.1466$pr2.38997454@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49582

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020716064358.01952.00000384@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >Simple. With the code test sent at the Farnsworth character speed
> >(16 WPM, I think is what they're using), he can't count the dots and
> >dashes and look up the characters on the chart he made on the palm
> >of his hand with a ball-point pen.
>
> He doesn't have to, Larry; he has passed all the code tests he ever has to
> pass.
>
> And with Farnsworth timing, one has more time to do a lookup because of
the
> additional time between characters. I don't think that one could do a 5
wpm
> lookup.
>
> If I make up a new code, care to demonstrate the lookup process sometime?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, do me a favor. Please make ZERO a little shorter.

1769. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-16 23:43:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D34D9E1.4050404@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49583

W1RFI wrote:

> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>
>>Simple. With the code test sent at the Farnsworth character speed
>>(16 WPM, I think is what they're using), he can't count the dots and
>>dashes and look up the characters on the chart he made on the palm
>>of his hand with a ball-point pen.
>>
>
> He doesn't have to, Larry; he has passed all the code tests he ever has to
> pass.
>
> And with Farnsworth timing, one has more time to do a lookup because of the
> additional time between characters. I don't think that one could do a 5 wpm
> lookup.
>
> If I make up a new code, care to demonstrate the lookup process sometime?

I'd like to see that also. I wouldn't be able to do a lookup. By the time
you identify one letter, the next two have rolled by. "Flying behind the
airplane" as they call it.

My guess is that the lookup method is another urban myth like the
"memorize the letter answer" we see here so often.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1770. Laughing At The Superior Intellect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 00:17:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716201717.16680.00000172@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49584

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/15/02 23:50 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020715235023.01458.00000101@mb-bk.aol.com>

>> You never asked. And at this point, it's none of your business.
>
>Yes, it IS my "business."

No, it's not.

Not now. Not yesterday, nor in a 1000 tomorrows will it be your "business". Your "business" is hatred, deception, and antagonism, and although I take a bit of sport in dogging you over your frequent misdeeds, nothing in my life is part of your "business".

Sorry if that irks you.

You have no "right" to anything, Lennie, not specifically granted to you under the Constitution. Who I work for now or what I did in the Armed Forces (save that available under FOIA) is NOT your "business", nor is it germane to anything here.

That you choose to repetitively harrangue us with yours is YOUR decison.

"Debate", civil or otherwise, is not done based on the personal information of any of the participants. You have as much as I am going to give you. If you can find anything else out from public records, have at it, but nothing there will support or discredit an argument over on-off keying (with or without Morse encoding, if you insist on trying to keep that in the formula)

Trying to "debate" over my service in the Armed Forces is foolhearty, Your Putziness. It's a shameful grasp for "something" to make a "point" over without any REAL facts or data on the point in discussion. You have no valid points to make, ergo you will try to discredit me on some personal level.

Sorry. You lose.

So spin, Lennie, spin. The centrifugal force is probably all that's holding you up these days.

" I am laughing at the superior intellect." (*)

Steve

(*) With apologies to Gene Roddenberry (RIP) and company

1771. Re: ID this key?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 00:43:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj9fd8o05b7v77@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49585

Dave, the yoke is on us! ;)
Enjoyable, however.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D3447E3.D5F9D49D@earthlink.net...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <20020715222335.02051.00000542@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >
> > > I wonder if the picture was meant to represent a particular model, of
if
> > >the artist was just trying to "get it close"..???
> > >
> > It was originally a painting, done for a recruiting poster. The key is
not
> > meant to be any particular model.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Even if it was, the murky detail and small size would make it tough to
> identify what looks to be a "single post" design. I couldn't even make
> out a yoke on the thing.
>
> Dave K8MN

1772. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 01:12:33 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <7k3Z8.83812$JU3.34434981@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49586

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:tV0Z8.1429$Mb2.38064656@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Waiver?

No, I never obtained a waiver of any kind.
de KR4AJ

1773. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 01:33:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020716213352.01564.00000439@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49587

In article <3D326808.4040207@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>
> Is a person who took their tests in front of an FCC examiner a better
ham
>than one who did not?

Absolutely.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1774. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 01:33:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020716213353.01564.00000440@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49588

In article <3D3220DF.BF711640@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> Larry must have gotten his extra before, when was it, 1983, when
>> the VE program was started. I took my Tech in 76 at the field
>> office in New York City. Novice code and general written.
>> Part of the code test was sending. They had a straight key attached
>> to a small table you used to send a message. Did about a minute
>> and the examiner said "You're good, now to the written".
>>
>> Larry said "all of 'em" so that means no retests.
>
>The call database shows his license issue/renewal date of 4/8/97,
>so even if he got the extra 10 years before that it would have
>been in 87. He has only been in amateur radio since 81. So
>doubtful that he took the extra in front of an FCC examiner at the
>FCC field office.

I was originally licensed in July '81, upgraded to Extra in Feb. 83. I
took all of my tests at the FCC Field Office in Baltimore, MD. The
issue/renewal date of 4/8/97 was when I was issued my Vanity Call
Sign, K3LT.

>Since I got my license some 20 years before him
>and I did take all my exams except Novice (which could only be
>take via mail and administered by a General class or higher), then
>according to Larry's reasoning, I am much more of an amateur radio
>operator than he even if I don't operate CW.

No, if you don't operate CW, you are on a lower plane of existence as
an amateur radio operator. I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
That's as good as it gets.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1775. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 02:32:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D34D72D.9A309EEF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49589

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D33825F.9E97F563@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D325395.D87EDEDE@earthlink.net...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <CLpY8.7542$yN6.368928889@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>,
> "Brian
> > > P
> > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >> The poor feller is still confused...must be the strain of all
> that
> > > > >> 6 meter DX work...or doing a poor imitation of another extra
> > > > >> marine corpse person on you... :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >>He needs to stick to what he knows; CW order wires and working out
> of
> > > > >>banders on 6M. Sum DXer.
> > > > >
> > > > > I can't blame him. Really. He came in here and tried to pull off
> > > > > a fast one with that "CW order wire on an RTTY circuit" as his
> > > > > "good idea" and got pilloried for it. From there on it was all
> > > > > damage control and he couldn't control himself.
> :-)
> > > >
> > > > You guys would seem to be real bumblers as a tag team. One who has no
> > > > knowledge whatever of what the State Dept. has used for communications
> > > > and who still doesn't understand the reasoning behind use of CW rather
> > > > than RTTY, calls me a liar and periodically revives the subject. The
> > > > other has gone through several iterations of a 6m saga he wasn't
> > > > involved in and marches toward the wrong target, accusing me of
> denials
> > > > I've never made.
> > > >
> > > > Yep, Doctor Evil and Mini-Me are the self-declared victors despite
> being
> > > > heckled by the audience and being pelted with verbal tomatoes. I
> can't
> > > > wait for your encore.

> > >
> > > Is that how you see it? Admitting you have a problem is the first step.
> >
> > Now that you've written out the step, go ahead and type it. Admit that
> > you've got a problem. Your self-righteous indignation and stated moral
> > superiority are simply manifestations of your inability to deal with
> > your problem.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, I don't recall myself working French amateurs out of band. No
> problems here.
>
> But kindly keep in on RRAP, and not in my e-mail box.
>
> 73, Brian

I wasn't out of band when working French amateurs, Brian. No problems here either. Why then are you concerned about my operation instead of your own?

Dave K8MN

1776. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 02:34:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D34D7B5.F644CCB7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49590

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020715235020.01458.00000100@mb-bk.aol.com...
>
> Apparently,
> > Heil's amateur radio station in that country was then the ONLY
> > amateur station there; with a truly rare callsign issued (as a
> > compliment to an embassy employee?) he would be much in
> > demand as "DX" for the rest of the world. That probably was
> > very emotionally rewarding for him. It may have led to some
> > other emotional problems with logic and thinking he was truly
> > superior instead of just the rare-call individual.
>
> In the interest of "accuracy," its important to note that others with
> multiple DX calls cannot know much about DX.
>
> Oh, Please!

It is quite possible to obtain a license to operate without possessing
the necessary skills required to be a DXer, Brian. Ragchewing with a
"G" or an "EA" isn't DXing.

Dave K8MN

1777. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 02:39:38 UTC
From: Larry Gannon <lg23@bigmailtousenet.org>
Message-ID: <ah2ldl$633$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49591
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement work right down the shitter. Follow that with the moment Schoenbohm attempts to check into the Maritime Mobile Net all hell will let loose, and Hollingsworth can flush the shitter again just to make sure his five years of hard work are gone down the shitter. And of course history will repeat itself, because where Herbie goes, his little band of sycophants are sure to follow.

Larry

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:ah19sp$ij6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Can you imagine...that Schoenbohm creature is back on the bands? And the
> Administrative Law Judge was a Jewish gent named Steinberg whom I'm sure had
> no knowledge of FZ's vicious anti-Semitism whch I can prove by tape. How odd
> this all is. WB2OTK gets what seems to be a "forever boot" off ham radio and
> did nothing but accidentally launch an email. KV4FZ is convicted of this, of
> that, was called a liar and he's back. I am totally bewildered but then
> again what's happened to Conservatism in this nation? I thought GW and
> company would be tough noogies but when a rat like Johnny "Taliban" Lindh,
> an American who had an avowed hatred of this nation and would have killed
> Americans in Afghanistan gets 20 years instead of the death sentence and
> that 20 may go down to actually 10, we're talking more liberal than liberal.
> I simply cannot believe that KV4FZ got a "pass". What a travesty.
> Doc,AF2Y
>
==========
> ===
> Before the
>
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>
> Washington, D.C. 20554
>
>
>
>

>
> In the Matter of Applications of)
> WT DOCKET NO. 01-352
>
>
>)
>
> Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
>
> for a Station License in the Amateur)
>
> Radio
>)
>
>)
>
> and
>)
>
>
>)
>
> Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
>
> for a General Class Operator License in the)
>
> Amateur Radio Service)
>
>
>
> To: Administrative Law Judge
>
> Arthur I. Steinberg
>
>
>
> ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S
>
> PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
>
> 1. By Hearing Designation Order, 17 FCC Rcd 1369 (2002) ("HDO"), the
> Commission commenced this proceeding to determine whether the
> above-captioned Amateur Radio Service applications filed by Herbert L.

> Schoenbohm should be granted. The Commission concluded a hearing was
> necessary because Mr. Schoenbohm had offered no evidence of rehabilitation
> to offset previously adjudicated disqualifying behavior. Specifically,
Mr.
> Schoenbohm had used illicitly obtained telephone company access codes,
which
> resulted in a felony conviction,[1] and he had testified deceitfully in a
> subsequent Commission hearing.[2]
>
>
>
> 2. Consequently, in paragraph 7 of the HDO, the Commission
> specified the following issues for resolution in this proceeding:
>
> (a) To determine whether Herbert L. Schoenbohm possesses the
> requisite character qualifications to be a Commission licensee.
>
>
>
> (b) To determine, in light of the evidence adduced under issue (a),
> whether the captioned applications should be granted.
>
>
>
> 3. Paragraph 10 of the HDO placed the burden of the
> introduction of evidence and the burden of proof on Mr. Schoenbohm.
>
>
>
> 4. A hearing on the issues was held in Washington, D.C. on
May
> 7, 2002. The record in this proceeding was closed that same day. Tr.
189.
>
>
>
>
>
> II. Proposed Findings of Fact
>
> A. background
>
> 5. In December 1992, Mr. Schoenbohm was convicted for
> fraudulently using a counterfeit access device to obtain long distance
> telephone service in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1029(a)(1). Decision, 13
FCC
> Rcd at 15028. Mr. Schoenbohm was sentenced to house arrest for two
months,
> given two years probation, and ordered to pay a fine of $5,000. Id., 13

FCC
> Rcd at 15028.
>
>
>
> 6. In 1994, Mr. Schoenbohm filed applications to renew his
> Amateur Radio Service station license for KV4FZ and for his Amateur Radio
> Service operator license. In 1995, the Wireless Telecommunications
Bureau,
> under delegated authority, designed Mr. Schoenbohm's applications for
> hearing. HDO, 17 FCC Rcd at 1370. Following two hearing sessions, the
> Commission, in July 1998, determined that Mr. Schoenbohm had engaged in
> misrepresentation and was not fully candid in describing his conviction.
> The Commission found that Mr. Schoenbohm had testified deceptively about
his
> conviction both in 1995 and again in 1997. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd at
> 15029-31; Tr. 39. In addition, the Commission found that Mr. Schoenbohm
had
> testified deceptively in 1997 about an amateur radio transmission he made
in
> 1995, during which Mr. Schoenbohm solicited others to make ex parte
> presentations to the Commission on his behalf. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd at
> 15032-36. Accordingly, the Commission denied Mr. Schoenbohm's
applications.
> However, in accordance with its usual policy, the Commission authorized
Mr.
> Schoenbohm to continue to operate his amateur station until the 91st day
> following the release date of judicial review of its decision. Decision,
> 13 FCC Rcd at 15037. Subsequent to the Supreme Court's action in October
> 2000, which denied Mr. Schoenbohm's petition for a writ of certiorari, Mr.
> Schoenbohm ceased operating amateur station KV4FZ in late January 2001.
He
> submitted the applications currently under consideration on March 31,
2001.
> Tr. 42. In conjunction with his applications, Mr. Schoenbohm, on April
14,
> 2001, took and passed an examination for an extra class amateur license
> administered by the American Radio Relay League. Schoenbohm Ex. 31.
>
>
>
> B. Character Generally
>
> 7. At the instant hearing, Mr. Schoenbohm testified that,
prior
> to his conviction, he had never been convicted of any felony or serious
> crime. He further testified that, subsequent to his conviction, he has
not
> been convicted of anything. Schoenbohm Ex. 1. Before the Commission

denied
> his renewal applications in 1998, Mr. Schoenbohm had held an amateur
license
> for over 40 years. Schoenbohm Ex. 14. He also holds a general
> radiotelephone operator license. Schoenbohm Ex. 43.
>
>
>
> 8. As for his testimony at the prior proceeding, Mr. Schoenbohm explained
> that he "was in a state of denial, believing that [he] had been wrongfully
> convicted of a crime that [he] did not commit." Schoenbohm Ex. 1.
> Notwithstanding his personal opinion of the events surrounding his
> conviction, Mr. Schoenbohm now realizes that he was found guilty and that
he
> is a felon. Id. Addressing the fact that his written testimony in this
> proceeding omits any reference to the Commission's findings that he
> misrepresented facts and lacked candor in his 1995 and 1997 testimony
> regarding his felony conviction and ex parte communication solicitation,
Mr.
> Schoenbohm explained that he did not think he had to address the
Commission'
> s findings from the prior proceeding. Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 44, 46-47.
>
>
>
> 9. Mr. Schoenbohm understands that the purpose of the instant hearing is
to
> determine whether he has "been sufficiently rehabilitated such that the
> Commission could be confident that [he] could be relied upon to deal with
> the Commission in an honest and forthright manner." Tr. 43. In support
of
> his claim that he now possesses the requisite character qualifications,
Mr.
> Schoenbohm testified that he has worked hard to rehabilitate himself "and
to
> overcome the effects of [his] conviction" and "to demonstrate that [he is]
> capable of obeying the law and, in particular, the FCC's rules and
> regulations." Schoenbohm Ex. 1. In this regard, Mr. Schoenbohm testified
> that for about the past eight years, he has "held a responsible position
> with the Virgin Islands government, in charge of expenditures and
> supervision of large amount[s] of money and property. During that time,
> nobody has accused me of any dishonesty, connected with my work."
> Schoenbohm Exs. 1. See also Schoenbohm Ex. 45. In this regard, the
record
> reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has worked in various capacities in the
Virgin
> Islands Department of Property and Procurement. Tr. 47; Schoenbohm Exs.
4,
> 13, 30, 41, 45, 56. Among other positions, Mr. Schoenbohm has been the

> manager for the Recovery Hill repeater site. In that role, he "issued a
> plan of attack to get all the illegal, unlicensed, not properly licensed
or
> wrong location squatters that had moved in over the past ten years and
were
> using the facility." Tr. 48. More recently, Marc Briggs, the Commissioner
> of the Department of Property and Procurement, designated Mr. Schoenbohm a
> department "Essential Employee," effective August 21, 2001. Schoenbohm
Ex.
> 41.
>
>
>
> 10. In his capacity as a member of the Virgin Islands Department of
> Property and Procurement, Mr. Schoenbohm serves on a committee that
> evaluates all government radio purchases. Tr. 47. The chief legal
counsel
> for the department appointed Mr. Schoenbohm as the "radio site inspector"
to
> investigate whether certain other Virgin Islands government agencies were
> procuring improperly licensed radios by skirting the competitive bidding
> process. Tr. 47-48. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that he has sent a letter
to
> Joseph Casey of the Commission's Enforcement Bureau regarding this matter,
> and that Mr. Schoenbohm has communicated "with [Commission] field
personnel
> in Puerto Rico, Rueben Jusino, and ha[s] been cooperating with him in
trying
> to get information, invoices, serial numbers, locations of radios," in
this
> on-going investigation. Tr. 48.
>
>
>
> 11. Mr. Schoenbohm also responded to a complaint letter Riley
> Hollingsworth, an FCC attorney, forwarded to Mr. Schoenbohm. Tr. 79.
> According to Mr. Schoenbohm, Louis Bean, an amateur licensee, complained
to
> the FCC about Mr. Schoenbohm's operating a radio station associated with
Mr.
> Bean's amateur repeater. Tr. 78-80. Mr. Schoenbohm notes that Mr. Bean
> claimed that Mr. Schoenbohm restored Mr. Bean's station to operation "by
> hook or by crook." Tr. 78. Mr. Schoenbohm explained that, years ago, his
> call sign had been the designated call sign for use on the repeater. Tr.
> 80. When Mr. Schoenbohm's call sign was removed from the repeater, Mr.
Bean
> began using his call sign on the repeater. Tr. 80. Mr. Schoenbohm
> testified that he never had the ability to operate the equipment
associated

> with Mr. Bean's call sign. Mr. Schoenbohm testified his only involvement
> with the repeater was to unplug the equipment in response to the letter
from
> Mr. Hollingsworth. Tr. 81.
>
>
>
> 12. With regard to his reputation in the community, Mr. Schoenbohm is an
>
> elected and non-elected official of the Republican Party of the United
> State Virgin Islands. Tr. 52; Schoenbohm Ex. 3. Mr. Schoenbohm also
> continues to do volunteer work, although not to the same extent as he did
> before his license was not renewed due to the passing of time. For
example,
> Mr. Schoenbohm recently worked on a triathlon. Tr. 52.
>
>
>
> 13. The only other witness to testify in person was Mr. Frank Todd of
Port
> Vue, Pennsylvania, who testified as to Mr. Schoenbohm's reputation in the
> amateur radio community. Schoenbohm Ex. 22; Tr. 129-145. Mr. Todd, who
has
> recently recovered from a car accident, traveled 236 miles to appear at
the
> hearing. Tr. 131. Mr. Todd has known Mr. Schoenbohm since approximately
> 1995, when, at the age of 13, Mr. Todd became interested in amateur radio
> and used to monitor Mr. Schoenbohm's transmissions. Tr. 140-141;
Schoenbohm
> Ex. 22. During his years of listening to Mr. Schoenbohm, Mr. Todd found
Mr.
> Shoenbohm's deportment exemplary. Id. Mr. Todd testified that he
considers
> Mr. Schoenbohm "a positive role model in amateur radio and an individual
> that is capable of making a very significant contribution to the Amateur
> Radio Service." Id. In fact, Mr. Schoenbohm was the "prime inspiration
and
> motivation" that led to Mr. Todd's acquisition of amateur license K3EKO.
> Id.
>
>
>
> 14. Mr. Todd did not meet Mr. Schoenbohm in person until 1999. Tr. 141.
> Although Mr. Todd did not have an amateur license at that time, he
traveled
> to the Virgin Islands to meet Mr. Schoenbohm, and he stayed with Mr.
> Schoenbohm and his family for a week. Schoenbohm Ex. 22. Mr. Todd
> testified that he believes Mr. Schoenbohm to be a "decent, honest and
> hard-working person" and hopes the Commission will allow Mr. Schoenbohm

"to
> prove himself and enjoy his later years through a wonderful activity like
> amateur radio." Id.
>
>
>
> 15. Mr. Todd testified that he is familiar with the reasons underlying the
> Commission's 1998 decision not to grant Mr. Schoenbohm's renewal
> applications. Tr. 133. Specifically, Mr. Todd acknowledged that he was
> aware of Mr. Schoenbohm's lack of candor regarding his testimony about his
> toll fraud conviction; however, Mr. Todd also admitted that he didn't
> understand the Commission's findings regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's lack of
> candor regarding his ex parte communications. Tr. 133, 136, 139. Mr.
Todd'
> s awareness of the Commission's finding regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's lack of
> candor did not change Mr. Todd's opinion as to Mr. Schoenbohm's
> qualifications because Mr. Todd believes that Mr. Schoenbohm has been
> truthful to him. Tr. 137, 140. Mr. Todd further testified that
> "[r]egardless of whatever Mr. Schoenbohm may have done, he has certainly
had
> time to make the adjustments in his life necessary to re-qualify himself
as
> a candidate for an Amateur Radio License." Schoenbohm Ex. 22.
>
> C. WSTX/WSTX-FM
>
> 16. After Hurricane Lenny hit the Virgin Islands in 1999 and interrupted
> the power supply for station WSTX(AM), Mr. Schoenbohm restored the
station's
> antenna and tuned the transmitter so that the station could continue
> operating. Tr. 51, 53-54, 60, 62-63. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that after
> Hurricane Lenny passed through St. Croix, the Virgin Islands Emergency
> Management Agency directed him to install an antenna to ensure that
station
> WSTX was able to operate "so the public could be informed by FEMA and by
the
> local government agencies on relief supplies. They considered it vital to
> put that station back on the air," because WSTX(AM) was the primary
station
> that people listened to during emergencies. Tr. 60-61, 64. Mr.
Schoenbohm
> advised the owner of station WSTX that he would need a competent
consulting
> engineer in order to put the station in the proper mode, and that he would
> have to notify the Commission of the station's circumstances and file the
> necessary papers as soon as practicable. Tr. 58, 60, 64-65.
>
>
>

> D. Contest
>
> 17. In October 2001, subsequent to the cancellation of the license for
> amateur station KV4FZ, Mr. Schoenbohm served as a host for a participant
in
> an international radio competition or contest. Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 81.
> The purpose of the competition was to have contestants make contact with
> other amateur stations around the world. Essentially, the message sent
and
> received involved each station identifying itself and transmitting a
message
> consisting of a signal report and a numeral identifying which of 40
"zones"
> where the station was located. The contestant(s) who had the highest
score
> would win. Tr. 84, 89, 102. Some months earlier, Mr. Schoenbohm had
placed
> a notice on the Internet advising that he would rent out part of his home
to
> amateur radio operators who wished to participate. Tr. 88. Steven S.
> Reichlyn, amateur radio licensee of station AA4V, accepted Mr.
Schoenbohm's
> offer and indicated that he and a friend would like to use Mr.
Schoenbohm's
> facilities, to include use of his home and radio equipment (a transmitter,
> amplifier, and a switch for some antennas). Tr. 89-90. At the last
minute,
> Mr. Reichlyn's friend cancelled. Tr. 89. Nevertheless, Mr. Reichlyn
> arrived at Mr. Schoenbohm's home on or about October 25, 2001, two days
> before the start of the contest, and did not leave Mr.Schoenbohm's
premises
> until after the contest ended on October 28, 2001. Tr. 81.
>
>
>
> 18. The contest began on Saturday, October 27, 2001, at 00:00
> Greenwich Mean Time ("GMT") and lasted 48 hours. Tr. 96, 98, 100-101; EB
> Ex. 3. Mr. Reichlyn operated from inside of Mr. Schoenbohm's house, a
> single-level dwelling that is "basically a very open area that is
separated
> only by" louvered windows. Tr. 90. Mr. Reichlyn stopped transmitting at
> 0627 GMT, 27 October and asked Mr. Schoenbohm if he would like to operate
> the microphone because of Mr. Schoenbohm's expertise at operating on low
> frequencies. Tr. 109. Because Mr. Reichlyn had entered a two-man
contest,
> he could have another person assist him with the contest. Tr. 97. Mr.
> Schoenbohm accepted and began operating the equipment under Mr. Reichlyn's
> call sign from 0627 to 0758 GMT. Tr. 98, 110, 116. Mr. Schoenbohm
> contacted multiple operators during that period, including contacts with

> operators in St. Martin, Scotland, the Canary Islands, New Zealand,
Ireland,
> and Aruba. Tr. 105, 106, 112, 113-114, 116; EB Ex. 3. According to Mr.
> Schoenbohm, Mr. Reichlyn did not stay in the equipment room with him, but,
> nevertheless, supervised him during this time period by remaining on the
> premises and staying within earshot of Mr. Schoenbohm and observing Mr.
> Schoenbohm's operations. Tr. 111.
>
>
>
> 19. Mr. Reichlyn resumed operating the microphone at 0758 GMT and did not
> ask Mr. Schoenbohm to take over for him again until the following day.
Tr.
> 101, 117. Mr. Schoenbohm thereupon operated the equipment from 0834 to
> 0903 GMT, 28 October. Tr. 101, 117; EB Ex. 3. During this second spell at
> the microphone, Mr. Schoenbohm again contacted multiple operators,
including
> operators located in New Zealand and the Wallis and Futuna Islands (a
French
> possession in the South Pacific). Tr. 119; EB Ex. 3. Mr. Schoenbohm
> testified that, during this second time period, Mr. Reichlyn "did not
leave
> the premises" and that he, Mr. Reichlyn, was "always in a position to
> exercise the necessary controls to comply with the rules and regulations."
> Tr. 127-28. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that his premises consist of the
"ham
> shack" and a very small yard, and that Mr. Reichlyn was always within
> earshot of the facility and that "he was always in a position to control
the
> time, periods of time that I was operating." Tr. 128.
>
> 20. Mr. Schoenbohm is aware that section 97.115 of the Commission's rules
>
>
>
> prohibits certain communications involving a "third party."[3] Mr.
> Schoenbohm asserts that he did not violate section 97.115 of the
Commission'
> s rules when he operated radio equipment under Mr. Reichlyn's call sign.
> Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 106. As Mr. Schoenbohm understands that rule, he
> would be prohibited, as an unlicensed operator, from "passing messages to
a
> third party." Tr. 106; 108. Mr. Schoenbohm contends that the rules would
> not, however, prohibit him "from being a voice behind the microphone to
> establish the communication" with another operator. Tr. 106; 108. Mr.
> Schoenbohm understood that, so long as a licensed operator supervises the
> transmission, an unlicensed operator, such as Mr. Schoenbohm, could speak
> into the microphone. Tr. 108.
>

>
>
> E. Effect of the Loss of License
>
> 21. Mr. Schoenbohm acknowledged that "misrepresentation . . . is
considered
> to
>
> be a cardinal sin at the Commission," and he viewed the Commission's
denial
> of an application for renewal as "a death penalty." Tr. 175, 176.
Although
> Mr. Schoenbohm disavowed any intent to deliberately deceive the trier of
> fact during his earlier Commission hearings, he specifically acknowledged
> that the mistakes he made in his prior testimony "were egregious mistakes,
> fatal mistakes." Tr. 180. Mr. Schoenbohm believes that being denied use
of
> an amateur station for fifteen months has been an adequate sanction. Tr.
> 176. As he described it:
>
> [i]f you have been off the air for a year in ham radio you have shamed
> yourself, like a
>
> Japanese industrialist would, before the entire amateur community. It is
a
> very serious
>
> punishment when you are . . . a very high-profiled [sic] individual,
> involved a lot in
>
> contests and international operations, regarded as a person who has won
> contests and
>
> achieved world records, all of a sudden he is banished. That is a much
more
> serious
>
> deterrent than a taxicab licensee losing his communication system for one
> year because
>
> it is a personal shame that is attached to it.
>
>
>
> And it is very hard for me to explain that to you because only I know it.
.
> . . I let the
>
> community down and the Virgin Islands down where I cannot perform the

> services they
>
> have relied on in the past because I can't even talk to the National
Weather
> Service when
>
> a hurricane passes over. Tr. 176-177.
>
>
>
>
>
> III. PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
>
>
>
> 22. The HDO requires the Commission to determine whether Herbert L.
> Schoenbohm possesses the requisite character qualifications to be a
> Commission licensee.
>
> In assessing character, the Commission seeks to predict whether a
> prospective licensee will be honest in his dealings with the Commission
and
> will abide by the Commission's rules and the Communications Act. See
Policy
> Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 102 FCC 2d
1179,
> 1183, 1189-91 (1986) (subsequent history omitted) ("Character Policy
> Statement").[4] As discussed herein, the Bureau believes that the
evidence,
> although mixed, supports a conclusion that Mr. Schoenbohm has the
requisite
> character qualifications. In this regard, the Bureau notes that its
> reluctance to fully endorse Mr. Schoenbohm stems from his operation of an
> amateur station when he did not have a license to do so.
>
>
>
> 23. As noted in the HDO, the Commission considers various
> factors in determining whether an applicant such as Mr. Schoenbohm has
> rehabilitated himself and demonstrated that he possesses the requisite
> character qualifications to become or remain a licensee. Among other
> things, the Commission will consider: 1) whether the applicant has avoided
> any significant wrongdoing since the prior disqualifying misconduct; 2)
the
> amount of time elapsed since the misconduct; 3) the applicant's reputation
> for good character in the community; and 4)
>
>

>
> meaningful measures taken by the applicant to prevent the future
occurrence
> of misconduct. Moreover, in assessing the character of someone who, like
> Mr. Schoenbohm, had a previous application denied by the Commission, the
> Commission will also consider the deterrent impact of its previous action.
> Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 5 FCC
Rcd
> 3252, 3254 (1990) ("Modified Character Policy Statement").
>
>
>
> 24. Behavior subsequent to prior misconduct. The record
> reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has not engaged in any criminal misconduct
> since his 1992 conviction for toll fraud. However, as discussed below,
the
> record reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act
> subsequent to his 1995/1997 misconduct. Specifically, the findings
support,
> and it should be concluded, that Mr. Schoenbohm's operation of Mr.
Reichlyn'
> s station during an amateur contest violated section 301 of the
> Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. 301, because Mr. Schoenbohm's operation
was
> not allowed by sections 97.5 and 97.7 of the Commission's rules.[5] Read
> together, those rules require that, during transmissions, an amateur
station
> be under the physical control of a control operator who is a licensee.
> Clearly, that was not the case during the two hours Mr. Schoenbohm
operated
> Mr. Reichlyn's station. Instead, the evidence reflects that Mr.
Schoenbohm
> had physical control of the station. In this regard, even though Mr.
> Reichlyn was present or nearby and able to supervise Mr. Schoenbohm, it is
> apparent that Mr. Schoenbohm operated the station and made transmissions
> while at the microphone. Thus, Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301 of the
> Act because he did not have a license to transmit and was not otherwise
> authorized to operate Mr. Reichlyn's station.[6] Finally, Mr.
Schoenbohm's
> work on WSTX(AM)'s antenna in 1999 occurred in the context of restoring
> service following a hurricane. The "fix" was meant to be temporary, and
Mr.
> Schoenbohm advised the licensee that additional work was needed before the
> station's antenna complied with the terms of the station's license. Mr.
> Schoenbohm worked as a contractor and was not responsible for the legality
> or illegality of WSTX's antenna.
>
>
>

> 25. Time since the misconduct. The evidence reflects that
the
> last misrepresentation occurred in 1997, when Mr. Schoenbohm testified
> falsely before a Commission Administrative Law Judge. However, as noted
> above, Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act during a two-hour
> period in October 2001, when, although unlicensed, he operated Mr.
>
> Reichlyn's station.
>
>
>
> 26. Applicant's reputation for good character in the
community.
> The only direct evidence of reputation proffered by Mr. Schoenbohm was the
> testimony of Frank Todd. However, Mr. Todd testified unequivocally that
Mr.
> Schoenbohm's reputation for good character in the Virgin Islands was
> favorable. The Bureau submits it is also appropriate to infer that Mr.
>
> Schoenbohm's reputation for character in the local community is good in
view
> of his current employment, his employment history, and his position in the
> local Republican Party. Specifically, as to employment, Mr. Schoenbohm
has
> held and continues to hold positions of responsibility and trust in the
> Virgin Islands' Department of Property and Procurement.
>
>
>
> 27. Meaningful measures taken by the applicant to prevent the
> future occurrence of misconduct. The denial of Mr. Schoenbohm's renewal
> application occurred because he committed a felony and because he
testified
> deceitfully before this agency. Consequently, meaningful measures should
> reasonably include a commitment by Mr. Schoenbohm to avoid criminal
behavior
> and to be truthful in all his dealings with this agency. The findings
> reflect that such has occurred. As noted, Mr. Schoenbohm has not been
> convicted of anything since 1992, and there is nothing in the record to
> suggest that Mr. Schoenbohm will commit crimes in the future. As for
> truthfulness, Mr. Schoenbohm recognizes that he made "fatal mistakes" in
his
> prior hearing testimony. While not exactly an unequivocal commitment to
> future truthfulness, the Bureau believes it sufficient, when coupled with
> Mr. Schoenbohm's recognition that misrepresentation is a "cardinal sin at
> the Commission," to constitute a meaningful measure to prevent a future
> recurrence of deceit.
>
>

>
> 28. Deterrent impact of previous denial. Mr. Schoenbohm has
> not been authorized to operate an amateur station since January 2001.
Given
> his governmental positions and his previous use of amateur radio, inter
> alia, in connection with hurricane relief, Mr. Schoenbohm apparently had
> some notoriety in the amateur community. Thus, as he testified, his
> banishment, which has now extended some 17 months,[7] could have caused
him
> great shame. Such shame, and the desire to avoid such shame in the
future,
> should lead to the conclusion that the Commission's previous denial has
had
> the desired deterrent impact. Notwithstanding Mr. Schoenbohm's October
2001
> violation of the Communications Act, it is the Bureau's view that Mr.
> Schoenbohm has learned his lesson and will not, in the future, engage in
> behavior that calls into question his fitness to be a Commission licensee.
>
>
>
> 29. In light of the foregoing, it appears that Mr. Schoenbohm
> has shown by a
>
>
>
> preponderance of the evidence that he possesses the requisite character to
> be a Commission licensee.
>
>
>
> IV. ULTIMATE CONCLUSION
>
> 30. Issue (b) requires the determination of whether, in light of the
> evidence adduced, the captioned applications should be granted. For the
> reasons noted above, the Bureau believes they should.
>
>
>
> Respectfully
> submitted,
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Charles W.

> Kelley
>
> Chief,
> Investigations and Hearings Division
>
> Enforcement
> Bureau
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> James W. Shook
>
> Attorney,
> Investigations and Hearings Division
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Dana E.
Leavitt
>
> Attorney,
> Investigations and Hearings Division
>
>
>
> Federal Communications Commission
>
> 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 3B-443
>
> Washington, D.C. 20554
>
> (202) 418-1420
>
>
>
> July 9, 2002
>
>
>
> CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
>

>
>
> I, Karen Richardson, secretary of the Investigations and
> Hearings Division,
>
> Enforcement Bureau, certify that I have, on this 9th day of July, 2002,
> served, by the method indicated, copies of the foregoing "Enforcement
Bureau
> 's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law" to:
>
> Via facsimile, email and first class mail:
>
>
>
> Herbert L. Schoenbohm
>
> Post Office Box 4419
>
> Kingshill, Virgin Islands 00851
>
>
>
>
>
> Via hand delivery to:
>
>
>
> Administrative Law Judge Arthur I. Steinberg
>
> Federal Communications Commission
>
> 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 1-C861
>
> Washington, D.C. 20554
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Karen Richardson
>

>
>
> ----------
--
> ----
>
> [1] See United States v. Schoenbohm, No. Crim.: 1991/0108 (D.V.I. Dec.
30,
> 1992), aff'd in pertinent part, No. 93-7516 (3d Cir. July 22, 1994),
> rehearing den. (3d Cir. Nov. 2, 1994).
>
> [2] Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 13 FCC Rcd 15028 (1998) ("Decision"), recon.
> den., 13 FCC Rcd 23774 (1998), aff'd, 204 F.3d 243 (D.C. Cir. 2000),
> rehearing en banc denied (May 2, 2000), as amended (June 28, 2000), cert.
> denied, 531 U.S. 968 (2000).
>
>
>
> [3] Section 97.3(46) of the Commission's rules defines a third party
> communication as a message from the control operator (first party) of an
> amateur station to another amateur station control operator (second party)
> on behalf of another person (third party).
>
> [4] Although the character policy arose in the context of broadcast
> licensing, the principles used to assess character apply to all decisions
> involving Commission authorizations. See, e.g., Marc Sobel, 17 FCC Rcd
> 1872, 1893-94 (2002), recon. denied, 17 FCC Rcd 8562 (2002).
>
> [5] Section 301 of the Communications Act reads in pertinent part: "No
> person shall use or operate any apparatus for the transmission of energy
or
> communications or signals by radio . except under and in accordance with
> this Act and with a license.." In pertinent part, section 97.5(a) of the
> rules states: "The station apparatus must be under the physical control of
a
> person named in an amateur station license grant on the ULS consolidated
> license database . before the station may transmit on any amateur service
> frequency.." 47 C.F.R. § 97.5(a). Section 97.7 of the rules provides:
> "When transmitting, each amateur station must have a control operator.
The
> control operator must be a person: (a) For whom an amateur
operator/primary
> station license grant appears on the ULS consolidated licensee database.."
> 47 C.F.R. § 97.7. Section 97.3(a)(13) defines control operator as: "An
> amateur operator designated by the licensee of a station to be responsible
> for the transmissions from that station to assure compliance with the FCC
> Rules," while section 97.3(a)(1) defines amateur operator as: "A person
> holding a written authorization to be the control operator of an amateur
> station." 47 C.F.R. § 97.3(a)(1) and (13).

>
> [6] In light of this conclusion, the Bureau believes it need not address
> whether Mr. Schoenbohm's actions also resulted in a violation of section
> 97.115 of the rules, which regulates third party communications. See,
> generally, Kenwood Communications Corp., 15 FCC Rcd 13819, 13825 n. 29
> (2000). See also 47 C.F.R. 97.3(a)(46); Public Notice, Amateur Service
> International Arrangements, July 21, 1999, 1999 WL 511186. Moreover, even
> though Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301 of the Act, the presiding
officer
> may not impose a forfeiture due to the absence of the requisite notice.
See
> 47 U.S.C. 503(b)(3); 47 C.F.R. 1.80(g).
>
> [7] The Bureau notes that the Commission is considering an amendment to
> section 1.937 of the Commission's rules. In the Matter of Amendment of
> Section 1.937 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Repetitious or
> Conflicting Applications, 17 FCC Rcd 5628 (2002). Section 1.937 currently
> prohibits "repetitious" applications, that is, applications filed within
12
> months of the denial or dismissal of a substantially similar application.
> While that rule did not explicitly bar Mr. Schoenbohm's current
application,
> the Commission plainly intended that applicants such as Mr. Schoenbohm
wait
> at least 12 months before seeking to reacquire the license that had been
so
> recently lost. See id, 17 FCC Rcd at 5629. Because of the hearing
> proceeding, however, the passage of time between the denial of Mr.
> Schoenbohm's renewal application and the submission of his current
> application is no longer an issue of any import.
>
>
>

1778. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:02:48 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020716230248.01564.00000446@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49592

In article <20020716064159.01952.00000383@mb-mn.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Ed used to be a pretty nice
>>guy until he started posting to this newsgripe.
>
>You didn't know me until I started posting here, Larry.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Were you posting here before I met you at the Penn-Del Hamfest and the Rochester Hamfest a few years ago?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1779. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:02:49 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020716230249.01564.00000448@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49593

In article <tQ1Z8.1465$Sp2.38895970@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Ed:
>>
>> Simple. With the code test sent at the Farnsworth character speed
>> (16 WPM, I think is what they're using), he can't count the dots and
>> dashes and look up the characters on the chart he made on the palm
>> of his hand with a ball-point pen.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Larry, no cheating involved.
>
>The look up table was in my head. Perfect copy at 9wpm.

Brian:

Good for you. Why don't you get on the air with CW?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1780. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:02:49 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020716230249.01564.00000447@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49594

In article <20020716064358.01952.00000384@mb-mn.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>And with Farnsworth timing, one has more time to do a lookup because of the
>additional time between characters. I don't think that one could do a 5 wpm
>lookup.
>
>If I make up a new code, care to demonstrate the lookup process sometime?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Nope. If the code is worth learning, and is adopted for general use in the ARS, I'll just learn it the way I learned the good 'ole Morse code. When can we expect to see a QST article on the Hare code?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1781. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:02:49 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020716230249.01564.00000449@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49596

In article <wR1Z8.1466$pr2.38997454@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> If I make up a new code, care to demonstrate the lookup process sometime?
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>Ed, do me a favor. Please make ZERO a little shorter.
>
>

That's already been taken care of. It is common among CW operators to use the letter "T" in place of a "zero." It is definitely shorter, and well understood; people don't normally use "T's" mixed within number groups. If I send my Zip Code as "199T4," most hams will understand that I meant "19904." For that matter the whole thing could be sent "1NNT4," but that could be confusing to less experienced CW operators.

This obviously cannot be done when giving station identification. All five "dahs" of the "0" have to be pounded out.

73 de Larry, K3LT
Dover, DE 19904

1782. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:02:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020716230250.01564.00000450@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49595

In article <20020716065357.01952.00000388@mb-mn.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>Consider it said. To John, that is.
>
>Larry's greatest accomplishment in ham radio.
>
>Sad, actually.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

And the above is Ed's greatest accomplishment in ham radio: becoming a member of the ARRL HQ staff, and then using his position to behave in an arrogant manner toward members.

For a less condescending example of my accomplishments in ham radio, please see the August 2002 issue of QST magazine, p. 92, column 2. At least QST's contest line scores don't have a bad attitude!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1783. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:13:00 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D34E0BC.898D7650@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49597

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> > Is a person who took their tests in front of an FCC examiner a better
> > ham than one who did not?
>
> Absolutely.

Was I a better ham before I took my Extra exam?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1784. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:15:13 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D34E141.D045E94E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49599

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> No, if you don't operate CW, you are on a lower plane of existence as
> an amateur radio operator.

Now, you can't have it both ways, Larry. If CW is the most basic form
of amateur radio communications, that would put it on the lowest, most
basic plane.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1785. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:17:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49598

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D3388F1.B1AABA1B@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D323158.BF6DEF6E@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D31CF11.8A5A42E1@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D313BC0.539ED080@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D307DA3.CCEA2DF8@earthlink.net...

> > I'm sure that tons of French stations await the opportunity to send a
> > dollar for your rare Ohio QSL. Nope, that's not one of the two.
>
> Just like every cell phone company knows, every little overcharge adds up.

We're radio amateurs, not the telephone company. From every spot, all
QSLs received--direct with SASE, a dollar, one or more IRCs, bureau,
were answered. At the end of it all, we spent more than we received.
Any money generated went toward the printing of QSL cards, postage for
bureau shipments, printer labels and the like. Do you personally have a
moralistic judgement to make about any of this?

> > > 2. I've already got the code, so no benefit.
> >
> > So we're now down to the one item below:
>
> Agreement? Concession?

Yeah.

> > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
> >
> > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to learn
> > additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary and unnecessary
> > have yet to be proven.

>
> I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary and unnecessary.

Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for success.

> > > > > You've been consistent that you will work any amateur out of band
> even
> > > after you learn they are out of band.
> > > >
> > > > I need only concern myself with operating where I am supposed to be.
> > > > The other station is responsible for where he is supposed to be.
> > > >
> > > > > You've been consistent in belittling my DX experiences.
> > > >
> > > > ...only in the interest of accuracy.
> > >
> > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?
> >
> > You tell us. You commented one time about what you considered to be
> > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can be as
> > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in other things.
>
> I get on, I operate, I QSL.

There you have it.

> According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries authorizations
> so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just supposed to tune from
> one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the ones I need.

Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.

> > > Oh, and try to be accurate.
> >
> > I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On how many bands
> > were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand out? How
> > prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy in handling
> > both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his name, correct
> > spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like? That'll take care of
> > one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told us that you
> > enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider that to be
> > DXing?

??????????????

> When I've got a pile-up, I run it.

How?

> QSLing was a huge chore.

That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing, you can't use
for operating.

> Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did enjoy ragchewing > with the WestPac regulars.

Ragchewing isn't DXing.

> Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted to
> know what it was like over there.

That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line" technique. Shake their hand with your right while moving them along the line with your left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".

> > I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is quite different
> > from DXing from the U.S.
>
> Sure. The DX station runs the pile-up, the US station tries to work it.
> Contests are an excellent source of finding DX on either side of the pileup.
> The US DXer hunts and pounces the DX during a contest.

Not necessarily. Lots of U.S. stations are able to generate a pileup in a DX contest. I've had JA runs and EU runs last for hours. You can't run up a high score on this side of the pond if all you do is search and pounce.

> The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious contester. A US > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.

An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.

> What differences have you note in goals and
> > technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do you have
> > confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of your strategy
> > for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S. How are you
> > doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m? 160m? satellite?
>
> 10M SSB only when I was active. I'm inactive on HF at present.

Worked/confirmed totals? DXer's aren't inactive unless there is nothing on which they need.

> For the pileup, try to listen to where he is actually picking them > when he says "up 10," then call at QRZ or tailend the current Op.

Or you can use your reverse button to follow the action without calling at all for a while to see if you can pick up a pattern. Some ops listen over a window of frequencies and tune from bottom to top, a little at a time. Others work top to bottom. Some jump around randomly within a window looking for a clear spot with few callers. Some announce "up ten" when they are listening up five. The guys on the distant end don't always operate split. You might need to concentrate on timing, espcecially if you don't have an amp or a gain antenna at height. Sometimes you have to realize that you aren't going to work the station at all because propagation isn't favoring your area.

> When operating 10M SSB, what specialty is there other than QRP?

If you limit yourself to SSB, there aren't many options. If you limit yourself to 10m, there aren't many options. Those limits would certainly get in the way of a DXer.

> > > > > You've been consistently a jerk.
> > > >
> > > > ...and this coming from a fellow who cranks up three or four
> > > > incarnations of the same misdirected crusade in which he ends up
> looking
> > > > like a goober each time. Now THAT'S consistency.
> > >
> > > It makes sense to me.
> >
> > That has been made alarmingly clear. It seems that others don't think
> > it makes sense. You've not been very successful in selling your view.
>
> They've not commented. I think this is between you and me.

I've certainly seen comments from others. You haven't noticed them? Have you noticed any rose petals scattered in your path? Aside from sniping by Len, have you noticed criticism of my stand? Those should be clues.

> > > If you don't know what "Good Amateur Practice" is by
> > > this point in your amateur career, you'll never get it.
> >
> > Good amateur practice has naught to do with how the other guy operates
> > his station. It has to do with how you operate YOUR station. I'd say
> > you'll never get it.
>
> So far it hasn't been a problem. Perhaps when I become a pompous Code-Tape
> Extra-Lite I might have problems in that department.

Do you mean that "not getting it" hasn't been an impediment but that you feel it could become an impediment if you upgrade? I had to ask since your comment reads that way.

> In amateur radio I
> outrank the hell out of Len, but I don't act pompous with him.

Good for you. You've become his ally and, God knows, he needed one.

> He was a
> professional, I'm an amateur.

We're amateurs because we're licensed in the amateur service. I was good as an Air Force op largely because of experience in amateur radio. I was good as a State Department communicator largely due to experience gained in amateur radio. I've known professionals who were bum CW ops, who were slow or used poor technique as voice ops, who didn't know the first thing about propagation. The fact is that this venue deals with matters relating to amateur radio.

> No, I don't think I'll have "Good > Amateur Practice" problems should > I ever upgrade.

I don't think so either. You'll be so busy looking up frequency
allocation tables that you'll seldom have time to be on the air.
All you really need to do is remember where YOU'RE allowed to be.

> > > Is this where The Old Man breaks out the "Wrenchy Stitch?" hihi
> >
> > As in choosing the correct golf club for a given shot, it does matter
> > which instrument is selected for amateur attitude correction. I'd
> > choose the Uggerumph for this one.

> Do tell. What is that?

...an amateur radio "sand wedge".

Dave K8MN

1786. Re: ID this key?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:20:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D34E285.2A44D59@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49600

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Dave, the yoke is on us! ;)
> Enjoyable, however.

I keep hoping that Hans has an answer for this one. I did enjoy looking at the other posters and photos on the site.

Dave K8MN

1787. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:25:27 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <Ig5Z8.84586$JU3.34933196@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49701

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:511Z8.1435>

> Spanish again? That's where you got into trouble last time.

What do you have against Spanish people?

1788. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:29:43 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <Jk5Z8.84606$JU3.34950185@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49702

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:q31Z8.1438$J92.37940788@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
..
>
> Beep beep n beep beep, yeh! (Paul McCartney)

Right, you wish you could beep, right?

1789. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:32:40 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <un5Z8.84625$JU3.34946464@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49703

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:1MHY8.181$kQ2.10238074@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Which is why I say Farnsowrth can be anything you want it to be, or
anything
> the VEC wants it to be. It can even be exactly the Morse specification.
> This is because Morse is a single event in the infinite set called
> Farnsworth.

Is there something you would like to tell us about your Morse test? What is the story here, bad experience with a VEC? Would you have done better on the test if they didn't use "Farnse"? Tell us about your your bad experience.

1790. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 03:37:22 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <Ur5Z8.84657$JU3.34977877@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49704

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:mK1Z8.1458$Dn2.38766002@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> After the Dann Finn incident, I thought you had turned over a new forest.

?
Please elaborate.
73 de KR4AJ

1791. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 04:12:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MZ5Z8.84872$JU3.35119634@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716182613.565$0c@newsreader.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
> > Riley Hollingsworth
> >
>
> Did you get his autograph yet?
>
> If not,Leland can copy it for you.

I don't need to: I've known him for a number of years. The quote? He told
me
that in person about 2 years ago.

J
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1792. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 09:10:55 UTC
From: Rene Altena <pd1ald@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ah3esi$k1f$2@reader11.wxs.nl>
Source record: 49707
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

When it comes to discussing the technical part of the hobby, al I ever hear is about 'soldering' and 'electronics'.

Operating is also part of the 'technical pursuit' (i.e. studying propagation).

Rene PD1ALD

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message news:3d3148ef_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> There's neither snobbism nor elitism, merely a
> wish to maintain standards in what is a technical hobby.
>
> It is not lawful to be a broadcaster in Ham Radio.
>
> However, if your refer to operating, and your perceptions
> are that of operating, then you are a CBer, for that is nothing
> but operating, and does not need examination.
>
> Ham Radio, however, is a technical pursuit for those suitable
> qualified. As I said, there's no elitism here - you yourself are welcome
> and, indeed, invited, to make the grade.
>
> Sorry, but all the M3 exam test is operating, and that's silly. You wouldn't
> test for the ability to operate a mobile phone, neither are you tested for
> such
> for the CB licence.

1793. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 09:47:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717054703.01619.00000165@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49706

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals
on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of July 15, 2002:

Novice - 37,424 (decrease of 11,905)
Tech - 242,219 (increase of 36,825)
Tech Plus - 77,447 (decrease of 51,413)
General - 138,453 (increase of 25,776)
Advanced - 85,015 (decrease of 14,767)
Extra - 102,476 (increase of 23,726)
Total - 683,034 (increase of 8,242)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1794. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:10:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717061053.02908.00001039@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49708

From: "Larry Gannon" lg23@bigmailtousenet.org

> The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be
>all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement
>work right down the shitter.

Or assure himself of another five years of enforcement work with a whole new crop of people who want to find out personally how the system works.

Works for me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1795. Re: Only 11 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:12:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717061215.02908.00001040@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49709

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>But how did the FCC inform the ARRL? That was the point of this thread, you
>know.

I don't know that they did, Brian.

Perhaps it would be more useful if you asked someone who knows instead of repeating the question ad nauseum to the guy who told you he doesn't.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1796. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:13:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717061304.02908.00001041@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49710

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>After the Dann Finn incident, I thought you had turned over a new forest.

Larry is not any more likely to turn over a new "forest" than you are, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1797. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:13:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717061342.02908.00001042@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49711

From: "Dan Finn" djfinn2@bell.net

>> After the Dann Finn incident, I thought you had turned over a new forest.

>?
>Please elaborate.
>73 de KR4AJ

Oh, this is gonna' be good!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1798. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:14:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717061445.02908.00001043@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49712

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Larry's greatest accomplishment in ham radio.
>>
>>Sad, actually.

>And the above is Ed's greatest accomplishment in ham radio: becoming
>a member of the ARRL HQ staff, and then using his position to behave
>in an arrogant manner toward members.

Not at all, Larry. You once told me I treated others too kindly here so I am
giving you exactly what you asked for.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1799. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:17:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717061755.02908.00001044@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49713

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries authorizations
>so I'll know where to look for them.

I don't recall that he ever said that, Brian.

>Instead I'm just supposed to tune from
>one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the ones I need.

I don't recall that he ever said that, either.

If he didn't, you are putting your words into his mouth. Why?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1800. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:21:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717062106.02908.00001045@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49714

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Waiver?

Is taunting Dan with that word your newest obsession, Brian?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1801. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:21:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717062140.02908.00001046@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49715

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Oh-nay (as in "Farn-zay".
>
>Waiver?

Yup, must be.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1802. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:22:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717062246.02908.00001047@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49716

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>His unprovoked attack on me.

I don't recall he attacked you, Brian. I do recall he was provoked.

Or is your repeated single-word post "waiver" not intended to provoke?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1803. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:26:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717062610.02908.00001048@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49717

From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net

>I'd like to see that also. I wouldn't be able to do a lookup. By the time
>you identify one letter, the next two have rolled by. "Flying behind the
>airplane" as they call it.

Larry likes to use that lookup thing as a way to insult other hams. He has not yet accepted the unknown code lookup-table challenge at 5 wpm, though. FWIW.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1804. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:27:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717062704.02908.00001049@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49718

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Were you posting here before I met you at the Penn-Del Hamfest and
>the Rochester Hamfest a few years ago?

Yes. I have been here for over 10 years now, Larry.

Lord knows why!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1805. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:29:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717062913.02908.00001050@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49719

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>And you don't need my permission to follow me around on rrap and say "Is
>this one of those""What Brian said, what Brian meant kind of things?""

Why thank you, Brian. You are right; one does not need permission to post on
rrap. Did you learn that recently?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1806. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 10:32:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717063217.02908.00001051@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49720

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>If I make up a new code, care to demonstrate the lookup process sometime?

>Nope. If the code is worth learning, and is adopted for general use in
>the ARS, I'll just learn it the way I learned the good 'ole Morse code.

But that is the premise here, Larry. You claim that applicants are using a lookup table instead of learning the code. If it as easy as you claim, surely you could do it.

>When can we expect to see a QST article on the Hare code?

You cannot expect the article. I raised the point only to demonstrate that you were wrong when you accuse new hams of using a lookup table to pass their 5 wpm code tests. I don't need to do that now because you wouldn't accept the challenge. I think that alone made my point.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1807. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 11:08:25 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ah3j6a$pd9$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Herbie used the words, "death sentence." This implies suicide. Had he taken his life in desperation,then his wife sues the FCC for rendering cruel and inhuman punishment and just might win millions. You think the government considers FZ as worth anything let alone millions?
AF2Y

"Larry Gannon" <lg23@bigmailtousenet.org> wrote in message
news:ah2ldl$633$0@pita.alt.net...
> The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be
> all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement
> work right down the shitter. Follow that with the moment Schoenbohm
> attempts to check into the Maritime Mobile Net all hell will let loose,
> and Hollingsworth can flush the shitter again just to make sure his five
> years of hard work are gone down the shitter. And of course history
> will repeat itself, because where Herbie goes, his little band of
> sycophants are sure to follow.
>
> Larry
>
>
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ah19sp$ij6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Can you imagine...that Schoenbohm creature is back on the bands? And the
> > Administrative Law Judge was a Jewish gent named Steinberg whom I'm sure
> had
> > no knowledge of FZ's vicious anti-Semitism whch I can prove by tape. How
> odd
> > this all is. WB2OTK gets what seems to be a "forever boot" off ham radio
> and
> > did nothing but accidentally launch an email. KV4FZ is convicted of
this,
> of
> > that, was called a liar and he's back. I am totally bewildered but then
> > again what's happened to Conservatism in this nation? I thought GW and
> > company would be tough noogies but when a rat like Johnny "Taliban"
Lindh,
> > an American who had an avowed hatred of this nation and would have
killed
> > Americans in Afghanistan gets 20 years instead of the death sentence and
> > that 20 may go down to actually 10, we're talking more liberal than
> liberal.
> > I simply cannot believe that KV4FZ got a "pass". What a travesty.
> > Doc,AF2Y

> >
>
==========
> > ===
> > Before the
> >
> > FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> >
> > Washington, D.C. 20554
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > In the Matter of Applications of)
> > WT DOCKET NO. 01-352
> >
> >
> >)
> >
> > Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
> >
> > for a Station License in the Amateur)
> >
> > Radio
> >)
> >
> >)
> >
> > and
> >)
> >
> >
> >)
> >
> > Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
> >
> > for a General Class Operator License in the)
> >
> > Amateur Radio Service)
> >
> >
> >
> > To: Administrative Law Judge
> >
> > Arthur I. Steinberg
> >
> >
> >

> > ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S
> >
> > PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
> >
> > 1. By Hearing Designation Order, 17 FCC Rcd 1369 (2002) ("HDO"),
the
> > Commission commenced this proceeding to determine whether the
> > above-captioned Amateur Radio Service applications filed by Herbert L.
> > Schoenbohm should be granted. The Commission concluded a hearing was
> > necessary because Mr. Schoenbohm had offered no evidence of
rehabilitation
> > to offset previously adjudicated disqualifying behavior. Specifically,
> Mr.
> > Schoenbohm had used illicitly obtained telephone company access codes,
> which
> > resulted in a felony conviction,[1] and he had testified deceitfully in
a
> > subsequent Commission hearing.[2]
> >
> >
> >
> > 2. Consequently, in paragraph 7 of the HDO, the Commission
> > specified the following issues for resolution in this proceeding:
> >
> > (a) To determine whether Herbert L. Schoenbohm possesses the
> > requisite character qualifications to be a Commission licensee.
> >
> >
> >
> > (b) To determine, in light of the evidence adduced under issue
(a),
> > whether the captioned applications should be granted.
> >
> >
> >
> > 3. Paragraph 10 of the HDO placed the burden of the
> > introduction of evidence and the burden of proof on Mr. Schoenbohm.
> >
> >
> >
> > 4. A hearing on the issues was held in Washington, D.C. on
> May
> > 7, 2002. The record in this proceeding was closed that same day. Tr.

> 189.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > II. Proposed Findings of Fact
> >
> > A. background
> >
> > 5. In December 1992, Mr. Schoenbohm was convicted for
> > fraudulently using a counterfeit access device to obtain long distance
> > telephone service in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1029(a)(1). Decision, 13
> FCC
> > Rcd at 15028. Mr. Schoenbohm was sentenced to house arrest for two
> months,
> > given two years probation, and ordered to pay a fine of $5,000. Id., 13
> FCC
> > Rcd at 15028.
> >
> >
> >
> > 6. In 1994, Mr. Schoenbohm filed applications to renew his
> > Amateur Radio Service station license for KV4FZ and for his Amateur
Radio
> > Service operator license. In 1995, the Wireless Telecommunications
> Bureau,
> > under delegated authority, designed Mr. Schoenbohm's applications for
> > hearing. HDO, 17 FCC Rcd at 1370. Following two hearing sessions, the
> > Commission, in July 1998, determined that Mr. Schoenbohm had engaged in
> > misrepresentation and was not fully candid in describing his conviction.
> > The Commission found that Mr. Schoenbohm had testified deceptively about
> his
> > conviction both in 1995 and again in 1997. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd at
> > 15029-31; Tr. 39. In addition, the Commission found that Mr. Schoenbohm
> had
> > testified deceptively in 1997 about an amateur radio transmission he
made
> in
> > 1995, during which Mr. Schoenbohm solicited others to make ex parte
> > presentations to the Commission on his behalf. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd at
> > 15032-36. Accordingly, the Commission denied Mr. Schoenbohm's
> applications.
> > However, in accordance with its usual policy, the Commission authorized
> Mr.
> > Schoenbohm to continue to operate his amateur station until the 91st day
> > following the release date of judicial review of its decision.
Decision,
> > 13 FCC Rcd at 15037. Subsequent to the Supreme Court's action in

October
> > 2000, which denied Mr. Schoenbohm's petition for a writ of certiorari,
Mr.
> > Schoenbohm ceased operating amateur station KV4FZ in late January 2001.
> He
> > submitted the applications currently under consideration on March 31,
> 2001.
> > Tr. 42. In conjunction with his applications, Mr. Schoenbohm, on April
> 14,
> > 2001, took and passed an examination for an extra class amateur license
> > administered by the American Radio Relay League. Schoenbohm Ex. 31.
> >
> >
> >
> > B. Character Generally
> >
> > 7. At the instant hearing, Mr. Schoenbohm testified that,
> prior
> > to his conviction, he had never been convicted of any felony or serious
> > crime. He further testified that, subsequent to his conviction, he has
> not
> > been convicted of anything. Schoenbohm Ex. 1. Before the Commission
> denied
> > his renewal applications in 1998, Mr. Schoenbohm had held an amateur
> license
> > for over 40 years. Schoenbohm Ex. 14. He also holds a general
> > radiotelephone operator license. Schoenbohm Ex. 43.
> >
> >
> >
> > 8. As for his testimony at the prior proceeding, Mr. Schoenbohm
explained
> > that he "was in a state of denial, believing that [he] had been
wrongfully
> > convicted of a crime that [he] did not commit." Schoenbohm Ex. 1.
> > Notwithstanding his personal opinion of the events surrounding his
> > conviction, Mr. Schoenbohm now realizes that he was found guilty and
that
> he
> > is a felon. Id. Addressing the fact that his written testimony in this
> > proceeding omits any reference to the Commission's findings that he
> > misrepresented facts and lacked candor in his 1995 and 1997 testimony
> > regarding his felony conviction and ex parte communication solicitation,
> Mr.
> > Schoenbohm explained that he did not think he had to address the
> Commission'
> > s findings from the prior proceeding. Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 44, 46-47.
> >
> >

> >
> > 9. Mr. Schoenbohm understands that the purpose of the instant hearing
is
> to
> > determine whether he has "been sufficiently rehabilitated such that the
> > Commission could be confident that [he] could be relied upon to deal
with
> > the Commission in an honest and forthright manner." Tr. 43. In support
> of
> > his claim that he now possesses the requisite character qualifications,
> Mr.
> > Schoenbohm testified that he has worked hard to rehabilitate himself
"and
> to
> > overcome the effects of [his] conviction" and "to demonstrate that [he
is]
> > capable of obeying the law and, in particular, the FCC's rules and
> > regulations." Schoenbohm Ex. 1. In this regard, Mr. Schoenbohm
testified
> > that for about the past eight years, he has "held a responsible position
> > with the Virgin Islands government, in charge of expenditures and
> > supervision of large amount[s] of money and property. During that time,
> > nobody has accused me of any dishonesty, connected with my work."
> > Schoenbohm Exs. 1. See also Schoenbohm Ex. 45. In this regard, the
> record
> > reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has worked in various capacities in the
> Virgin
> > Islands Department of Property and Procurement. Tr. 47; Schoenbohm Exs.
> 4,
> > 13, 30, 41, 45, 56. Among other positions, Mr. Schoenbohm has been the
> > manager for the Recovery Hill repeater site. In that role, he "issued a
> > plan of attack to get all the illegal, unlicensed, not properly licensed
> or
> > wrong location squatters that had moved in over the past ten years and
> were
> > using the facility." Tr. 48. More recently, Marc Briggs, the
Commissioner
> > of the Department of Property and Procurement, designated Mr. Schoenbohm
a
> > department "Essential Employee," effective August 21, 2001. Schoenbohm
> Ex.
> > 41.
> >
> >
> >
> > 10. In his capacity as a member of the Virgin Islands Department of
> > Property and Procurement, Mr. Schoenbohm serves on a committee that
> > evaluates all government radio purchases. Tr. 47. The chief legal
> counsel

> > for the department appointed Mr. Schoenbohm as the "radio site
inspector"
> to
> > investigate whether certain other Virgin Islands government agencies
were
> > procuring improperly licensed radios by skirting the competitive bidding
> > process. Tr. 47-48. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that he has sent a letter
> to
> > Joseph Casey of the Commission's Enforcement Bureau regarding this
matter,
> > and that Mr. Schoenbohm has communicated "with [Commission] field
> personnel
> > in Puerto Rico, Rueben Jusino, and ha[s] been cooperating with him in
> trying
> > to get information, invoices, serial numbers, locations of radios," in
> this
> > on-going investigation. Tr. 48.
> >
> >
> >
> > 11. Mr. Schoenbohm also responded to a complaint letter Riley
> > Hollingsworth, an FCC attorney, forwarded to Mr. Schoenbohm. Tr. 79.
> > According to Mr. Schoenbohm, Louis Bean, an amateur licensee, complained
> to
> > the FCC about Mr. Schoenbohm's operating a radio station associated with
> Mr.
> > Bean's amateur repeater. Tr. 78-80. Mr. Schoenbohm notes that Mr. Bean
> > claimed that Mr. Schoenbohm restored Mr. Bean's station to operation "by
> > hook or by crook." Tr. 78. Mr. Schoenbohm explained that, years ago,
his
> > call sign had been the designated call sign for use on the repeater.
Tr.
> > 80. When Mr. Schoenbohm's call sign was removed from the repeater, Mr.
> Bean
> > began using his call sign on the repeater. Tr. 80. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > testified that he never had the ability to operate the equipment
> associated
> > with Mr. Bean's call sign. Mr. Schoenbohm testified his only
involvement
> > with the repeater was to unplug the equipment in response to the letter
> from
> > Mr. Hollingsworth. Tr. 81.
> >
> >
> >
> > 12. With regard to his reputation in the community, Mr. Schoenbohm is
an
> >
> > elected and non-elected official of the Republican Party of the United

> > State Virgin Islands. Tr. 52; Schoenbohm Ex. 3. Mr. Schoenbohm also
> > continues to do volunteer work, although not to the same extent as he
did
> > before his license was not renewed due to the passing of time. For
> example,
> > Mr. Schoenbohm recently worked on a triathlon. Tr. 52.
> >
> >
> >
> > 13. The only other witness to testify in person was Mr. Frank Todd of
> Port
> > Vue, Pennsylvania, who testified as to Mr. Schoenbohm's reputation in
the
> > amateur radio community. Schoenbohm Ex. 22; Tr. 129-145. Mr. Todd, who
> has
> > recently recovered from a car accident, traveled 236 miles to appear at
> the
> > hearing. Tr. 131. Mr. Todd has known Mr. Schoenbohm since
approximately
> > 1995, when, at the age of 13, Mr. Todd became interested in amateur
radio
> > and used to monitor Mr. Schoenbohm's transmissions. Tr. 140-141;
> Schoenbohm
> > Ex. 22. During his years of listening to Mr. Schoenbohm, Mr. Todd found
> Mr.
> > Shoenbohm's deportment exemplary. Id. Mr. Todd testified that he
> considers
> > Mr. Schoenbohm "a positive role model in amateur radio and an individual
> > that is capable of making a very significant contribution to the Amateur
> > Radio Service." Id. In fact, Mr. Schoenbohm was the "prime inspiration
> and
> > motivation" that led to Mr. Todd's acquisition of amateur license K3EKO.
> > Id.
> >
> >
> >
> > 14. Mr. Todd did not meet Mr. Schoenbohm in person until 1999. Tr.
141.
> > Although Mr. Todd did not have an amateur license at that time, he
> traveled
> > to the Virgin Islands to meet Mr. Schoenbohm, and he stayed with Mr.
> > Schoenbohm and his family for a week. Schoenbohm Ex. 22. Mr. Todd
> > testified that he believes Mr. Schoenbohm to be a "decent, honest and
> > hard-working person" and hopes the Commission will allow Mr. Schoenbohm
> "to
> > prove himself and enjoy his later years through a wonderful activity
like
> > amateur radio." Id.
> >

> >
> >
> > 15. Mr. Todd testified that he is familiar with the reasons underlying
the
> > Commission's 1998 decision not to grant Mr. Schoenbohm's renewal
> > applications. Tr. 133. Specifically, Mr. Todd acknowledged that he was
> > aware of Mr. Schoenbohm's lack of candor regarding his testimony about
his
> > toll fraud conviction; however, Mr. Todd also admitted that he didn't
> > understand the Commission's findings regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's lack of
> > candor regarding his ex parte communications. Tr. 133, 136, 139. Mr.
> Todd'
> > s awareness of the Commission's finding regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's lack
of
> > candor did not change Mr. Todd's opinion as to Mr. Schoenbohm's
> > qualifications because Mr. Todd believes that Mr. Schoenbohm has been
> > truthful to him. Tr. 137, 140. Mr. Todd further testified that
> > "[r]egardless of whatever Mr. Schoenbohm may have done, he has certainly
> had
> > time to make the adjustments in his life necessary to re-qualify himself
> as
> > a candidate for an Amateur Radio License." Schoenbohm Ex. 22.
> >
> > C. WSTX/WSTX-FM
> >
> > 16. After Hurricane Lenny hit the Virgin Islands in 1999 and
interrupted
> > the power supply for station WSTX(AM), Mr. Schoenbohm restored the
> station's
> > antenna and tuned the transmitter so that the station could continue
> > operating. Tr. 51, 53-54, 60, 62-63. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that
after
> > Hurricane Lenny passed through St. Croix, the Virgin Islands Emergency
> > Management Agency directed him to install an antenna to ensure that
> station
> > WSTX was able to operate "so the public could be informed by FEMA and by
> the
> > local government agencies on relief supplies. They considered it vital
to
> > put that station back on the air," because WSTX(AM) was the primary
> station
> > that people listened to during emergencies. Tr. 60-61, 64. Mr.
> Schoenbohm
> > advised the owner of station WSTX that he would need a competent
> consulting
> > engineer in order to put the station in the proper mode, and that he
would
> > have to notify the Commission of the station's circumstances and file
the

> > necessary papers as soon as practicable. Tr. 58, 60, 64-65.
> >
> >
> >
> > D. Contest
> >
> > 17. In October 2001, subsequent to the cancellation of the license for
> > amateur station KV4FZ, Mr. Schoenbohm served as a host for a participant
> in
> > an international radio competition or contest. Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr.
81.
> > The purpose of the competition was to have contestants make contact with
> > other amateur stations around the world. Essentially, the message sent
> and
> > received involved each station identifying itself and transmitting a
> message
> > consisting of a signal report and a numeral identifying which of 40
> "zones"
> > where the station was located. The contestant(s) who had the highest
> score
> > would win. Tr. 84, 89, 102. Some months earlier, Mr. Schoenbohm had
> placed
> > a notice on the Internet advising that he would rent out part of his
home
> to
> > amateur radio operators who wished to participate. Tr. 88. Steven S.
> > Reichlyn, amateur radio licensee of station AA4V, accepted Mr.
> Schoenbohm's
> > offer and indicated that he and a friend would like to use Mr.
> Schoenbohm's
> > facilities, to include use of his home and radio equipment (a
transmitter,
> > amplifier, and a switch for some antennas). Tr. 89-90. At the last
> minute,
> > Mr. Reichlyn's friend cancelled. Tr. 89. Nevertheless, Mr. Reichlyn
> > arrived at Mr. Schoenbohm's home on or about October 25, 2001, two days
> > before the start of the contest, and did not leave Mr.Schoenbohm's
> premises
> > until after the contest ended on October 28, 2001. Tr. 81.
> >
> >
> >
> > 18. The contest began on Saturday, October 27, 2001, at
00:00
> > Greenwich Mean Time ("GMT") and lasted 48 hours. Tr. 96, 98, 100-101;
EB
> > Ex. 3. Mr. Reichlyn operated from inside of Mr. Schoenbohm's house, a
> > single-level dwelling that is "basically a very open area that is
> separated

> > only by" louvered windows. Tr. 90. Mr. Reichlyn stopped transmitting
at
> > 0627 GMT, 27 October and asked Mr. Schoenbohm if he would like to
operate
> > the microphone because of Mr. Schoenbohm's expertise at operating on low
> > frequencies. Tr. 109. Because Mr. Reichlyn had entered a two-man
> contest,
> > he could have another person assist him with the contest. Tr. 97. Mr.
> > Schoenbohm accepted and began operating the equipment under Mr.
Reichlyn's
> > call sign from 0627 to 0758 GMT. Tr. 98, 110, 116. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > contacted multiple operators during that period, including contacts with
> > operators in St. Martin, Scotland, the Canary Islands, New Zealand,
> Ireland,
> > and Aruba. Tr. 105, 106, 112, 113-114, 116; EB Ex. 3. According to Mr.
> > Schoenbohm, Mr. Reichlyn did not stay in the equipment room with him,
but,
> > nevertheless, supervised him during this time period by remaining on the
> > premises and staying within earshot of Mr. Schoenbohm and observing Mr.
> > Schoenbohm's operations. Tr. 111.
> >
> >
> >
> > 19. Mr. Reichlyn resumed operating the microphone at 0758 GMT and did
not
> > ask Mr. Schoenbohm to take over for him again until the following day.
> Tr.
> > 101, 117. Mr. Schoenbohm thereupon operated the equipment from 0834 to
> > 0903 GMT, 28 October. Tr. 101, 117; EB Ex. 3. During this second spell
at
> > the microphone, Mr. Schoenbohm again contacted multiple operators,
> including
> > operators located in New Zealand and the Wallis and Futuna Islands (a
> French
> > possession in the South Pacific). Tr. 119; EB Ex. 3. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > testified that, during this second time period, Mr. Reichlyn "did not
> leave
> > the premises" and that he, Mr. Reichlyn, was "always in a position to
> > exercise the necessary controls to comply with the rules and
regulations."
> > Tr. 127-28. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that his premises consist of the
> "ham
> > shack" and a very small yard, and that Mr. Reichlyn was always within
> > earshot of the facility and that "he was always in a position to control
> the
> > time, periods of time that I was operating." Tr. 128.
> >
> > 20. Mr. Schoenbohm is aware that section 97.115 of the Commission's
rules

> >
> >
> >
> > prohibits certain communications involving a "third party."[3] Mr.
> > Schoenbohm asserts that he did not violate section 97.115 of the
> Commission'
> > s rules when he operated radio equipment under Mr. Reichlyn's call sign.
> > Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 106. As Mr. Schoenbohm understands that rule, he
> > would be prohibited, as an unlicensed operator, from "passing messages
to
> a
> > third party." Tr. 106; 108. Mr. Schoenbohm contends that the rules
would
> > not, however, prohibit him "from being a voice behind the microphone to
> > establish the communication" with another operator. Tr. 106; 108. Mr.
> > Schoenbohm understood that, so long as a licensed operator supervises
the
> > transmission, an unlicensed operator, such as Mr. Schoenbohm, could
speak
> > into the microphone. Tr. 108.
> >
> >
> >
> > E. Effect of the Loss of License
> >
> > 21. Mr. Schoenbohm acknowledged that "misrepresentation . . . is
> considered
> > to
> >
> > be a cardinal sin at the Commission," and he viewed the Commission's
> denial
> > of an application for renewal as "a death penalty." Tr. 175, 176.
> Although
> > Mr. Schoenbohm disavowed any intent to deliberately deceive the trier of
> > fact during his earlier Commission hearings, he specifically
acknowledged
> > that the mistakes he made in his prior testimony "were egregious
mistakes,
> > fatal mistakes." Tr. 180. Mr. Schoenbohm believes that being denied
use
> of
> > an amateur station for fifteen months has been an adequate sanction.
Tr.
> > 176. As he described it:
> >
> > [i]f you have been off the air for a year in ham radio you have shamed
> > yourself, like a
> >
> > Japanese industrialist would, before the entire amateur community. It

is
> a
> > very serious
> >
> > punishment when you are . . . a very high-profiled [sic] individual,
> > involved a lot in
> >
> > contests and international operations, regarded as a person who has won
> > contests and
> >
> > achieved world records, all of a sudden he is banished. That is a much
> more
> > serious
> >
> > deterrent than a taxicab licensee losing his communication system for
one
> > year because
> >
> > it is a personal shame that is attached to it.
> >
> >
> >
> > And it is very hard for me to explain that to you because only I know
it.
> .
> > . . I let the
> >
> > community down and the Virgin Islands down where I cannot perform the
> > services they
> >
> > have relied on in the past because I can't even talk to the National
> Weather
> > Service when
> >
> > a hurricane passes over. Tr. 176-177.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > III. PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
> >
> >
> >
> > 22. The HDO requires the Commission to determine whether Herbert L.
> > Schoenbohm possesses the requisite character qualifications to be a
> > Commission licensee.
> >
> > In assessing character, the Commission seeks to predict whether a

> > prospective licensee will be honest in his dealings with the Commission
> and
> > will abide by the Commission's rules and the Communications Act. See
> Policy
> > Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 102 FCC 2d
> 1179,
> > 1183, 1189-91 (1986) (subsequent history omitted) ("Character Policy
> > Statement").[4] As discussed herein, the Bureau believes that the
> evidence,
> > although mixed, supports a conclusion that Mr. Schoenbohm has the
> requisite
> > character qualifications. In this regard, the Bureau notes that its
> > reluctance to fully endorse Mr. Schoenbohm stems from his operation of
an
> > amateur station when he did not have a license to do so.
> >
> >
> >
> > 23. As noted in the HDO, the Commission considers various
> > factors in determining whether an applicant such as Mr. Schoenbohm has
> > rehabilitated himself and demonstrated that he possesses the requisite
> > character qualifications to become or remain a licensee. Among other
> > things, the Commission will consider: 1) whether the applicant has
avoided
> > any significant wrongdoing since the prior disqualifying misconduct; 2)
> the
> > amount of time elapsed since the misconduct; 3) the applicant's
reputation
> > for good character in the community; and 4)
> >
> >
> >
> > meaningful measures taken by the applicant to prevent the future
> occurrence
> > of misconduct. Moreover, in assessing the character of someone who,
like
> > Mr. Schoenbohm, had a previous application denied by the Commission, the
> > Commission will also consider the deterrent impact of its previous
action.
> > Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 5 FCC
> Rcd
> > 3252, 3254 (1990) ("Modified Character Policy Statement").
> >
> >
> >
> > 24. Behavior subsequent to prior misconduct. The record
> > reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has not engaged in any criminal misconduct
> > since his 1992 conviction for toll fraud. However, as discussed below,
> the

> > record reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act
> > subsequent to his 1995/1997 misconduct. Specifically, the findings
> support,
> > and it should be concluded, that Mr. Schoenbohm's operation of Mr.
> Reichlyn'
> > s station during an amateur contest violated section 301 of the
> > Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. 301, because Mr. Schoenbohm's operation
> was
> > not allowed by sections 97.5 and 97.7 of the Commission's rules.[5]
Read
> > together, those rules require that, during transmissions, an amateur
> station
> > be under the physical control of a control operator who is a licensee.
> > Clearly, that was not the case during the two hours Mr. Schoenbohm
> operated
> > Mr. Reichlyn's station. Instead, the evidence reflects that Mr.
> Schoenbohm
> > had physical control of the station. In this regard, even though Mr.
> > Reichlyn was present or nearby and able to supervise Mr. Schoenbohm, it
is
> > apparent that Mr. Schoenbohm operated the station and made transmissions
> > while at the microphone. Thus, Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301 of
the
> > Act because he did not have a license to transmit and was not otherwise
> > authorized to operate Mr. Reichlyn's station.[6] Finally, Mr.
> Schoenbohm's
> > work on WSTX(AM)'s antenna in 1999 occurred in the context of restoring
> > service following a hurricane. The "fix" was meant to be temporary, and
> Mr.
> > Schoenbohm advised the licensee that additional work was needed before
the
> > station's antenna complied with the terms of the station's license. Mr.
> > Schoenbohm worked as a contractor and was not responsible for the
legality
> > or illegality of WSTX's antenna.
> >
> >
> >
> > 25. Time since the misconduct. The evidence reflects that
> the
> > last misrepresentation occurred in 1997, when Mr. Schoenbohm testified
> > falsely before a Commission Administrative Law Judge. However, as noted
> > above, Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act during a two-hour
> > period in October 2001, when, although unlicensed, he operated Mr.
> >
> > Reichlyn's station.
> >
> >
> >

> > 26. Applicant's reputation for good character in the
> community.
> > The only direct evidence of reputation proffered by Mr. Schoenbohm was
the
> > testimony of Frank Todd. However, Mr. Todd testified unequivocally that
> Mr.
> > Schoenbohm's reputation for good character in the Virgin Islands was
> > favorable. The Bureau submits it is also appropriate to infer that Mr.
> >
> > Schoenbohm's reputation for character in the local community is good in
> view
> > of his current employment, his employment history, and his position in
the
> > local Republican Party. Specifically, as to employment, Mr. Schoenbohm
> has
> > held and continues to hold positions of responsibility and trust in the
> > Virgin Islands' Department of Property and Procurement.
> >
> >
> >
> > 27. Meaningful measures taken by the applicant to prevent
the
> > future occurrence of misconduct. The denial of Mr. Schoenbohm's renewal
> > application occurred because he committed a felony and because he
> testified
> > deceitfully before this agency. Consequently, meaningful measures
should
> > reasonably include a commitment by Mr. Schoenbohm to avoid criminal
> behavior
> > and to be truthful in all his dealings with this agency. The findings
> > reflect that such has occurred. As noted, Mr. Schoenbohm has not been
> > convicted of anything since 1992, and there is nothing in the record to
> > suggest that Mr. Schoenbohm will commit crimes in the future. As for
> > truthfulness, Mr. Schoenbohm recognizes that he made "fatal mistakes" in
> his
> > prior hearing testimony. While not exactly an unequivocal commitment to
> > future truthfulness, the Bureau believes it sufficient, when coupled
with
> > Mr. Schoenbohm's recognition that misrepresentation is a "cardinal sin
at
> > the Commission," to constitute a meaningful measure to prevent a future
> > recurrence of deceit.
> >
> >
> >
> > 28. Deterrent impact of previous denial. Mr. Schoenbohm
has
> > not been authorized to operate an amateur station since January 2001.
> Given

> > his governmental positions and his previous use of amateur radio, inter
> > alia, in connection with hurricane relief, Mr. Schoenbohm apparently had
> > some notoriety in the amateur community. Thus, as he testified, his
> > banishment, which has now extended some 17 months,[7] could have caused
> him
> > great shame. Such shame, and the desire to avoid such shame in the
> future,
> > should lead to the conclusion that the Commission's previous denial has
> had
> > the desired deterrent impact. Notwithstanding Mr. Schoenbohm's October
> 2001
> > violation of the Communications Act, it is the Bureau's view that Mr.
> > Schoenbohm has learned his lesson and will not, in the future, engage in
> > behavior that calls into question his fitness to be a Commission
licensee.
> >
> >
> >
> > 29. In light of the foregoing, it appears that Mr.
Schoenbohm
> > has shown by a
> >
> >
> >
> > preponderance of the evidence that he possesses the requisite character
to
> > be a Commission licensee.
> >
> >
> >
> > IV. ULTIMATE CONCLUSION
> >
> > 30. Issue (b) requires the determination of whether, in light of the
> > evidence adduced, the captioned applications should be granted. For the
> > reasons noted above, the Bureau believes they should.
> >
> >
> >
> > Respectfully
> > submitted,
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Charles W.
> > Kelley

> >
> > Chief,
> > Investigations and Hearings Division
> >
> > Enforcement
> > Bureau
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > James W.
Shook
> >
> > Attorney,
> > Investigations and Hearings Division
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Dana E.
> Leavitt
> >
> > Attorney,
> > Investigations and Hearings Division
> >
> >
> >
> > Federal Communications Commission
> >
> > 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 3B-443
> >
> > Washington, D.C. 20554
> >
> > (202) 418-1420
> >
> >
> >
> > July 9, 2002
> >
> >
> >
> > CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
> >

> >
> >
> > I, Karen Richardson, secretary of the Investigations and
> > Hearings Division,
> >
> > Enforcement Bureau, certify that I have, on this 9th day of July, 2002,
> > served, by the method indicated, copies of the foregoing "Enforcement
> Bureau
> > 's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law" to:
> >
> > Via facsimile, email and first class mail:
> >
> >
> >
> > Herbert L. Schoenbohm
> >
> > Post Office Box 4419
> >
> > Kingshill, Virgin Islands 00851
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Via hand delivery to:
> >
> >
> >
> > Administrative Law Judge Arthur I. Steinberg
> >
> > Federal Communications Commission
> >
> > 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 1-C861
> >
> > Washington, D.C. 20554
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Karen Richardson
> >

> >
> >
>
> ---
> --
> > ----
> >
> > [1] See United States v. Schoenbohm, No. Crim.: 1991/0108 (D.V.I. Dec.
> 30,
> > 1992), aff'd in pertinent part, No. 93-7516 (3d Cir. July 22, 1994),
> > rehearing den. (3d Cir. Nov. 2, 1994).
> >
> > [2] Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 13 FCC Rcd 15028 (1998) ("Decision"), recon.
> > den., 13 FCC Rcd 23774 (1998), aff'd, 204 F.3d 243 (D.C. Cir. 2000),
> > rehearing en banc denied (May 2, 2000), as amended (June 28, 2000),
cert.
> > denied, 531 U.S. 968 (2000).
> >
> >
> >
> > [3] Section 97.3(46) of the Commission's rules defines a third party
> > communication as a message from the control operator (first party) of an
> > amateur station to another amateur station control operator (second
party)
> > on behalf of another person (third party).
> >
> > [4] Although the character policy arose in the context of broadcast
> > licensing, the principles used to assess character apply to all
decisions
> > involving Commission authorizations. See, e.g., Marc Sobel, 17 FCC Rcd
> > 1872, 1893-94 (2002), recon. denied, 17 FCC Rcd 8562 (2002).
> >
> > [5] Section 301 of the Communications Act reads in pertinent part: "No
> > person shall use or operate any apparatus for the transmission of energy
> or
> > communications or signals by radio . except under and in accordance with
> > this Act and with a license.." In pertinent part, section 97.5(a) of
the
> > rules states: "The station apparatus must be under the physical control
of
> a
> > person named in an amateur station license grant on the ULS consolidated
> > license database . before the station may transmit on any amateur
service
> > frequency.." 47 C.F.R. § 97.5(a). Section 97.7 of the rules provides:
> > "When transmitting, each amateur station must have a control operator.
> The
> > control operator must be a person: (a) For whom an amateur
> operator/primary

> > station license grant appears on the ULS consolidated licensee
database.."
> > 47 C.F.R. § 97.7. Section 97.3(a)(13) defines control operator as: "An
> > amateur operator designated by the licensee of a station to be
responsible
> > for the transmissions from that station to assure compliance with the
FCC
> > Rules," while section 97.3(a)(1) defines amateur operator as: "A person
> > holding a written authorization to be the control operator of an amateur
> > station." 47 C.F.R. § 97.3(a)(1) and (13).
> >
> > [6] In light of this conclusion, the Bureau believes it need not
address
> > whether Mr. Schoenbohm's actions also resulted in a violation of section
> > 97.115 of the rules, which regulates third party communications. See,
> > generally, Kenwood Communications Corp., 15 FCC Rcd 13819, 13825 n. 29
> > (2000). See also 47 C.F.R. § 97.3(a)(46); Public Notice, Amateur
Service
> > International Arrangements, July 21, 1999, 1999 WL 511186. Moreover,
even
> > though Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301 of the Act, the presiding
> officer
> > may not impose a forfeiture due to the absence of the requisite notice.
> See
> > 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3); 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(g).
> >
> > [7] The Bureau notes that the Commission is considering an amendment to
> > section 1.937 of the Commission's rules. In the Matter of Amendment of
> > Section 1.937 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Repetitious or
> > Conflicting Applications, 17 FCC Rcd 5628 (2002). Section 1.937
currently
> > prohibits "repetitious" applications, that is, applications filed within
> 12
> > months of the denial or dismissal of a substantially similar
application.
> > While that rule did not explicitly bar Mr. Schoenbohm's current
> application,
> > the Commission plainly intended that applicants such as Mr. Schoenbohm
> wait
> > at least 12 months before seeking to reacquire the license that had been
> so
> > recently lost. See id, 17 FCC Rcd at 5629. Because of the hearing
> > proceeding, however, the passage of time between the denial of Mr.
> > Schoenbohm's renewal application and the submission of his current
> > application is no longer an issue of any import.
> >
> >
> >
>

>

1808. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 14:20:16 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d3580ae_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49722
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No. Operating anything you buy is a trivial matter,
Hoover, GSM phone, screwdriver, CB set (whether Midland
or Yaesu 706).

Rene Altena <pd1ald@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ah3esi$k1f$2@reader11.wxs.nl...
> Operating is also part of the 'technical pursuit' (i.e. studying
> propagation).

1809. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 17:20:32 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <hh9bjuodfhhvej6bb7ha12ujn2kl4br3fm@4ax.com>
Source record: 49723
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 11:10:55 +0200, "Rene Altena" <pd1ald@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Operating is also part of the 'technical pursuit' (i.e. studying
>propagation).

Agreed ... but that does not include talking through repeaters.

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

1810. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 17:42:51 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 49724
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brain Rarey wrote:
>
> No. Operating anything you buy is a trivial matter,
> Hoover, GSM phone, screwdriver, CB set (whether Midland
> or Yaesu 706).
>
> Rene Altena <pd1ald@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ah3esi$k1f$2@reader11.wxs.nl...
> > Operating is also part of the 'technical pursuit' (i.e. studying
> > propagation).

Why not come to Bristol Poly and try to operate one of our larger computers. I think you might gain an appreciation of the difficulty of operating a complex system. Operating is only trivial if the device has a trivial function. Try operating a 747 (Boeing not Yaesu). Clearly you have never operated a radio as you believe that 'FM will appear as unmodulated carrier' Ha Ha HA HA HA !!!!! and cannot understand the complexity involved in some aspects of operating. As a first step try to operate your brain before posting.

Andy, M3ABC

1811. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:04:47 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3D35B463.6872556A@uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 49726
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
>
> On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 11:10:55 +0200, "Rene Altena" <pd1ald@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Operating is also part of the 'technical pursuit' (i.e. studying
> >propagation).
>
> Agreed ... but that does not include talking through repeaters.
>

Not too sure on that Wlat. Some of the operating on repeaters
is lamentably poor, too technical for some I fear. Keeping a net
of 4 or 5 going on a repeater is beyond a lot of them.

;-)

vy 73

Andy, M3ABC

1812. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:10:07 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah4cblqsj1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49729
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ah3j6a$pd9$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Herbie used the words, "death sentence." This implies suicide. Had he taken
> his life in desperation,then his wife sues the FCC for rendering cruel and
> inhuman punishment and just might win millions. You think the government
> considers FZ as worth anything let alone millions?
> AF2Y

Selective enforcement.

> "Larry Gannon" <lg23@bigmailtousenet.org> wrote in message
> news:ah2ldl$633$0@pita.alt.net...
> > The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be
> > all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement
> > work right down the shitter. Follow that with the moment Schoenbohm
> > attempts to check into the Maritime Mobile Net all hell will let loose,
> > and Hollingsworth can flush the shitter again just to make sure his five
> > years of hard work are gone down the shitter. And of course history
> > will repeat itself, because where Herbie goes, his little band of
> > sycophants are sure to follow.
> >
> > Larry
> >
> >
> > "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:ah19sp$ij6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Can you imagine...that Schoenbohm creature is back on the bands? And the
> > > Administrative Law Judge was a Jewish gent named Steinberg whom I'm sure
> > had
> > > no knowledge of FZ's vicious anti-Semitism whch I can prove by tape. How
> > odd
> > > this all is. WB2OTK gets what seems to be a "forever boot" off ham radio
> > and
> > > did nothing but accidentally launch an email. KV4FZ is convicted of
> this,
> > of
> > > that, was called a liar and he's back. I am totally bewildered but then
> > > again what's happened to Conservatism in this nation? I thought GW and
> > > company would be tough noogies but when a rat like Johnny "Taliban"
> Lindh,
> > > an American who had an avowed hatred of this nation and would have
> killed
> > > Americans in Afghanistan gets 20 years instead of the death sentence and
> > > that 20 may go down to actually 10, we're talking more liberal than

> > liberal.
> > > I simply cannot believe that KV4FZ got a "pass". What a travesty.
> > > Doc,AF2Y
> > >
> >
> ==
> > > ===
> > > Before the
> > >
> > > FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> > >
> > > Washington, D.C. 20554
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > In the Matter of Applications of)
> > > WT DOCKET NO. 01-352
> > >
> > >
> > >)
> > >
> > > Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
> > >
> > > for a Station License in the Amateur)
> > >
> > > Radio
> > >)
> > >
> > >)
> > >
> > > and
> > >)
> > >
> > >
> > >)
> > >
> > > Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
> > >
> > > for a General Class Operator License in the)
> > >
> > > Amateur Radio Service)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > To: Administrative Law Judge
> > >
> > > Arthur I. Steinberg
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S
> > >
> > > PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
> > >
> > > 1. By Hearing Designation Order, 17 FCC Rcd 1369 (2002) ("HDO"),
> the
> > > Commission commenced this proceeding to determine whether the
> > > above-captioned Amateur Radio Service applications filed by Herbert L.
> > > Schoenbohm should be granted. The Commission concluded a hearing was
> > > necessary because Mr. Schoenbohm had offered no evidence of
> rehabilitation
> > > to offset previously adjudicated disqualifying behavior. Specifically,
> > Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm had used illicitly obtained telephone company access codes,
> > which
> > > resulted in a felony conviction,[1] and he had testified deceitfully in
> a
> > > subsequent Commission hearing.[2]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 2. Consequently, in paragraph 7 of the HDO, the Commission
> > > specified the following issues for resolution in this proceeding:
> > >
> > > (a) To determine whether Herbert L. Schoenbohm possesses the
> > > requisite character qualifications to be a Commission licensee.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > (b) To determine, in light of the evidence adduced under issue
> (a),
> > > whether the captioned applications should be granted.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 3. Paragraph 10 of the HDO placed the burden of the
> > > introduction of evidence and the burden of proof on Mr. Schoenbohm.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 4. A hearing on the issues was held in Washington, D.C. on
> > May

> > > 7, 2002. The record in this proceeding was closed that same day. Tr.
> > 189.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > II. Proposed Findings of Fact
> > >
> > > A. background
> > >
> > > 5. In December 1992, Mr. Schoenbohm was convicted for
> > > fraudulently using a counterfeit access device to obtain long distance
> > > telephone service in violation of 18 U.S.C. ï¿½ 1029(a)(1). Decision, 13
> > FCC
> > > Rcd at 15028. Mr. Schoenbohm was sentenced to house arrest for two
> > months,
> > > given two years probation, and ordered to pay a fine of $5,000. Id., 13
> > FCC
> > > Rcd at 15028.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 6. In 1994, Mr. Schoenbohm filed applications to renew his
> > > Amateur Radio Service station license for KV4FZ and for his Amateur
> Radio
> > > Service operator license. In 1995, the Wireless Telecommunications
> > Bureau,
> > > under delegated authority, designed Mr. Schoenbohm's applications for
> > > hearing. HDO, 17 FCC Rcd at 1370. Following two hearing sessions, the
> > > Commission, in July 1998, determined that Mr. Schoenbohm had engaged in
> > > misrepresentation and was not fully candid in describing his conviction.
> > > The Commission found that Mr. Schoenbohm had testified deceptively about
> > his
> > > conviction both in 1995 and again in 1997. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd at
> > > 15029-31; Tr. 39. In addition, the Commission found that Mr. Schoenbohm
> > had
> > > testified deceptively in 1997 about an amateur radio transmission he
> made
> > in
> > > 1995, during which Mr. Schoenbohm solicited others to make ex parte
> > > presentations to the Commission on his behalf. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd at
> > > 15032-36. Accordingly, the Commission denied Mr. Schoenbohm's
> > applications.
> > > However, in accordance with its usual policy, the Commission authorized
> > Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm to continue to operate his amateur station until the 91st day
> > > following the release date of judicial review of its decision.
> Decision,
> > > 13 FCC Rcd at 15037. Subsequent to the Supreme Court's action in

> October
> > > 2000, which denied Mr. Schoenbohm's petition for a writ of certiorari,
> Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm ceased operating amateur station KV4FZ in late January 2001.
> > He
> > > submitted the applications currently under consideration on March 31,
> > 2001.
> > > Tr. 42. In conjunction with his applications, Mr. Schoenbohm, on April
> > 14,
> > > 2001, took and passed an examination for an extra class amateur license
> > > administered by the American Radio Relay League. Schoenbohm Ex. 31.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > B. Character Generally
> > >
> > > 7. At the instant hearing, Mr. Schoenbohm testified that,
> > prior
> > > to his conviction, he had never been convicted of any felony or serious
> > > crime. He further testified that, subsequent to his conviction, he has
> > not
> > > been convicted of anything. Schoenbohm Ex. 1. Before the Commission
> > denied
> > > his renewal applications in 1998, Mr. Schoenbohm had held an amateur
> > license
> > > for over 40 years. Schoenbohm Ex. 14. He also holds a general
> > > radiotelephone operator license. Schoenbohm Ex. 43.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 8. As for his testimony at the prior proceeding, Mr. Schoenbohm
> explained
> > > that he "was in a state of denial, believing that [he] had been
> wrongfully
> > > convicted of a crime that [he] did not commit." Schoenbohm Ex. 1.
> > > Notwithstanding his personal opinion of the events surrounding his
> > > conviction, Mr. Schoenbohm now realizes that he was found guilty and
> that
> > he
> > > is a felon. Id. Addressing the fact that his written testimony in this
> > > proceeding omits any reference to the Commission's findings that he
> > > misrepresented facts and lacked candor in his 1995 and 1997 testimony
> > > regarding his felony conviction and ex parte communication solicitation,
> > Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm explained that he did not think he had to address the
> > Commission'
> > > s findings from the prior proceeding. Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 44, 46-47.
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > > 9. Mr. Schoenbohm understands that the purpose of the instant hearing
> is
> > to
> > > determine whether he has "been sufficiently rehabilitated such that the
> > > Commission could be confident that [he] could be relied upon to deal
> with
> > > the Commission in an honest and forthright manner." Tr. 43. In support
> > of
> > > his claim that he now possesses the requisite character qualifications,
> > Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm testified that he has worked hard to rehabilitate himself
> "and
> > to
> > > overcome the effects of [his] conviction" and "to demonstrate that [he
> is]
> > > capable of obeying the law and, in particular, the FCC's rules and
> > > regulations." Schoenbohm Ex. 1. In this regard, Mr. Schoenbohm
> testified
> > > that for about the past eight years, he has "held a responsible position
> > > with the Virgin Islands government, in charge of expenditures and
> > > supervision of large amount[s] of money and property. During that time,
> > > nobody has accused me of any dishonesty, connected with my work."
> > > Schoenbohm Exs. 1. See also Schoenbohm Ex. 45. In this regard, the
> > record
> > > reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has worked in various capacities in the
> > Virgin
> > > Islands Department of Property and Procurement. Tr. 47; Schoenbohm Exs.
> > 4,
> > > 13, 30, 41, 45, 56. Among other positions, Mr. Schoenbohm has been the
> > > manager for the Recovery Hill repeater site. In that role, he "issued a
> > > plan of attack to get all the illegal, unlicensed, not properly licensed
> > or
> > > wrong location squatters that had moved in over the past ten years and
> > were
> > > using the facility." Tr. 48. More recently, Marc Briggs, the
> Commissioner
> > > of the Department of Property and Procurement, designated Mr. Schoenbohm
> a
> > > department "Essential Employee," effective August 21, 2001. Schoenbohm
> > Ex.
> > > 41.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 10. In his capacity as a member of the Virgin Islands Department of
> > > Property and Procurement, Mr. Schoenbohm serves on a committee that
> > > evaluates all government radio purchases. Tr. 47. The chief legal
> > counsel
> > > for the department appointed Mr. Schoenbohm as the "radio site
> inspector"

> > to
> > > investigate whether certain other Virgin Islands government agencies
> were
> > > procuring improperly licensed radios by skirting the competitive bidding
> > > process. Tr. 47-48. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that he has sent a letter
> > to
> > > Joseph Casey of the Commission's Enforcement Bureau regarding this
> matter,
> > > and that Mr. Schoenbohm has communicated "with [Commission] field
> > personnel
> > > in Puerto Rico, Rueben Jusino, and ha[s] been cooperating with him in
> > trying
> > > to get information, invoices, serial numbers, locations of radios," in
> > this
> > > on-going investigation. Tr. 48.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 11. Mr. Schoenbohm also responded to a complaint letter Riley
> > > Hollingsworth, an FCC attorney, forwarded to Mr. Schoenbohm. Tr. 79.
> > > According to Mr. Schoenbohm, Louis Bean, an amateur licensee, complained
> > to
> > > the FCC about Mr. Schoenbohm's operating a radio station associated with
> > Mr.
> > > Bean's amateur repeater. Tr. 78-80. Mr. Schoenbohm notes that Mr. Bean
> > > claimed that Mr. Schoenbohm restored Mr. Bean's station to operation "by
> > > hook or by crook." Tr. 78. Mr. Schoenbohm explained that, years ago,
> his
> > > call sign had been the designated call sign for use on the repeater.
> Tr.
> > > 80. When Mr. Schoenbohm's call sign was removed from the repeater, Mr.
> > Bean
> > > began using his call sign on the repeater. Tr. 80. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > testified that he never had the ability to operate the equipment
> > associated
> > > with Mr. Bean's call sign. Mr. Schoenbohm testified his only
> involvement
> > > with the repeater was to unplug the equipment in response to the letter
> > from
> > > Mr. Hollingsworth. Tr. 81.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 12. With regard to his reputation in the community, Mr. Schoenbohm is
> an
> > >
> > > elected and non-elected official of the Republican Party of the United
> > > State Virgin Islands. Tr. 52; Schoenbohm Ex. 3. Mr. Schoenbohm also
> > > continues to do volunteer work, although not to the same extent as he
> did

> > > before his license was not renewed due to the passing of time. For
> > example,
> > > Mr. Schoenbohm recently worked on a triathlon. Tr. 52.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 13. The only other witness to testify in person was Mr. Frank Todd of
> > Port
> > > Vue, Pennsylvania, who testified as to Mr. Schoenbohm's reputation in
> the
> > > amateur radio community. Schoenbohm Ex. 22; Tr. 129-145. Mr. Todd, who
> > has
> > > recently recovered from a car accident, traveled 236 miles to appear at
> > the
> > > hearing. Tr. 131. Mr. Todd has known Mr. Schoenbohm since
> approximately
> > > 1995, when, at the age of 13, Mr. Todd became interested in amateur
> radio
> > > and used to monitor Mr. Schoenbohm's transmissions. Tr. 140-141;
> > Schoenbohm
> > > Ex. 22. During his years of listening to Mr. Schoenbohm, Mr. Todd found
> > Mr.
> > > Shoenbohm's deportment exemplary. Id. Mr. Todd testified that he
> > considers
> > > Mr. Schoenbohm "a positive role model in amateur radio and an individual
> > > that is capable of making a very significant contribution to the Amateur
> > > Radio Service." Id. In fact, Mr. Schoenbohm was the "prime inspiration
> > and
> > > motivation" that led to Mr. Todd's acquisition of amateur license K3EKO.
> > > Id.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 14. Mr. Todd did not meet Mr. Schoenbohm in person until 1999. Tr.
> 141.
> > > Although Mr. Todd did not have an amateur license at that time, he
> > traveled
> > > to the Virgin Islands to meet Mr. Schoenbohm, and he stayed with Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm and his family for a week. Schoenbohm Ex. 22. Mr. Todd
> > > testified that he believes Mr. Schoenbohm to be a "decent, honest and
> > > hard-working person" and hopes the Commission will allow Mr. Schoenbohm
> > "to
> > > prove himself and enjoy his later years through a wonderful activity
> like
> > > amateur radio." Id.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 15. Mr. Todd testified that he is familiar with the reasons underlying
> the

> > > Commission's 1998 decision not to grant Mr. Schoenbohm's renewal
> > > applications. Tr. 133. Specifically, Mr. Todd acknowledged that he was
> > > aware of Mr. Schoenbohm's lack of candor regarding his testimony about
> his
> > > toll fraud conviction; however, Mr. Todd also admitted that he didn't
> > > understand the Commission's findings regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's lack of
> > > candor regarding his ex parte communications. Tr. 133, 136, 139. Mr.
> > Todd'
> > > s awareness of the Commission's finding regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's lack
> of
> > > candor did not change Mr. Todd's opinion as to Mr. Schoenbohm's
> > > qualifications because Mr. Todd believes that Mr. Schoenbohm has been
> > > truthful to him. Tr. 137, 140. Mr. Todd further testified that
> > > "[r]egardless of whatever Mr. Schoenbohm may have done, he has certainly
> > had
> > > time to make the adjustments in his life necessary to re-qualify himself
> > as
> > > a candidate for an Amateur Radio License." Schoenbohm Ex. 22.
> > >
> > > C. WSTX/WSTX-FM
> > >
> > > 16. After Hurricane Lenny hit the Virgin Islands in 1999 and
> interrupted
> > > the power supply for station WSTX(AM), Mr. Schoenbohm restored the
> > station's
> > > antenna and tuned the transmitter so that the station could continue
> > > operating. Tr. 51, 53-54, 60, 62-63. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that
> after
> > > Hurricane Lenny passed through St. Croix, the Virgin Islands Emergency
> > > Management Agency directed him to install an antenna to ensure that
> > station
> > > WSTX was able to operate "so the public could be informed by FEMA and by
> > the
> > > local government agencies on relief supplies. They considered it vital
> to
> > > put that station back on the air," because WSTX(AM) was the primary
> > station
> > > that people listened to during emergencies. Tr. 60-61, 64. Mr.
> > Schoenbohm
> > > advised the owner of station WSTX that he would need a competent
> > consulting
> > > engineer in order to put the station in the proper mode, and that he
> would
> > > have to notify the Commission of the station's circumstances and file
> the
> > > necessary papers as soon as practicable. Tr. 58, 60, 64-65.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > D. Contest

> > >
> > > 17. In October 2001, subsequent to the cancellation of the license for
> > > amateur station KV4FZ, Mr. Schoenbohm served as a host for a participant
> > in
> > > an international radio competition or contest. Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr.
> 81.
> > > The purpose of the competition was to have contestants make contact with
> > > other amateur stations around the world. Essentially, the message sent
> > and
> > > received involved each station identifying itself and transmitting a
> > message
> > > consisting of a signal report and a numeral identifying which of 40
> > "zones"
> > > where the station was located. The contestant(s) who had the highest
> > score
> > > would win. Tr. 84, 89, 102. Some months earlier, Mr. Schoenbohm had
> > placed
> > > a notice on the Internet advising that he would rent out part of his
> home
> > to
> > > amateur radio operators who wished to participate. Tr. 88. Steven S.
> > > Reichlyn, amateur radio licensee of station AA4V, accepted Mr.
> > Schoenbohm's
> > > offer and indicated that he and a friend would like to use Mr.
> > Schoenbohm's
> > > facilities, to include use of his home and radio equipment (a
> transmitter,
> > > amplifier, and a switch for some antennas). Tr. 89-90. At the last
> > minute,
> > > Mr. Reichlyn's friend cancelled. Tr. 89. Nevertheless, Mr. Reichlyn
> > > arrived at Mr. Schoenbohm's home on or about October 25, 2001, two days
> > > before the start of the contest, and did not leave Mr.Schoenbohm's
> > premises
> > > until after the contest ended on October 28, 2001. Tr. 81.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 18. The contest began on Saturday, October 27, 2001, at
> 00:00
> > > Greenwich Mean Time ("GMT") and lasted 48 hours. Tr. 96, 98, 100-101;
> EB
> > > Ex. 3. Mr. Reichlyn operated from inside of Mr. Schoenbohm's house, a
> > > single-level dwelling that is "basically a very open area that is
> > separated
> > > only by" louvered windows. Tr. 90. Mr. Reichlyn stopped transmitting
> at
> > > 0627 GMT, 27 October and asked Mr. Schoenbohm if he would like to
> operate
> > > the microphone because of Mr. Schoenbohm's expertise at operating on low
> > > frequencies. Tr. 109. Because Mr. Reichlyn had entered a two-man

> > contest,
> > > he could have another person assist him with the contest. Tr. 97. Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm accepted and began operating the equipment under Mr.
> Reichlyn's
> > > call sign from 0627 to 0758 GMT. Tr. 98, 110, 116. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > contacted multiple operators during that period, including contacts with
> > > operators in St. Martin, Scotland, the Canary Islands, New Zealand,
> > Ireland,
> > > and Aruba. Tr. 105, 106, 112, 113-114, 116; EB Ex. 3. According to Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm, Mr. Reichlyn did not stay in the equipment room with him,
> but,
> > > nevertheless, supervised him during this time period by remaining on the
> > > premises and staying within earshot of Mr. Schoenbohm and observing Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm's operations. Tr. 111.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 19. Mr. Reichlyn resumed operating the microphone at 0758 GMT and did
> not
> > > ask Mr. Schoenbohm to take over for him again until the following day.
> > Tr.
> > > 101, 117. Mr. Schoenbohm thereupon operated the equipment from 0834 to
> > > 0903 GMT, 28 October. Tr. 101, 117; EB Ex. 3. During this second spell
> at
> > > the microphone, Mr. Schoenbohm again contacted multiple operators,
> > including
> > > operators located in New Zealand and the Wallis and Futuna Islands (a
> > French
> > > possession in the South Pacific). Tr. 119; EB Ex. 3. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > testified that, during this second time period, Mr. Reichlyn "did not
> > leave
> > > the premises" and that he, Mr. Reichlyn, was "always in a position to
> > > exercise the necessary controls to comply with the rules and
> regulations."
> > > Tr. 127-28. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that his premises consist of the
> > "ham
> > > shack" and a very small yard, and that Mr. Reichlyn was always within
> > > earshot of the facility and that "he was always in a position to control
> > the
> > > time, periods of time that I was operating." Tr. 128.
> > >
> > > 20. Mr. Schoenbohm is aware that section 97.115 of the Commission's
> rules
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > prohibits certain communications involving a "third party."[3] Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm asserts that he did not violate section 97.115 of the
> > Commission'
> > > s rules when he operated radio equipment under Mr. Reichlyn's call sign.

> > > Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 106. As Mr. Schoenbohm understands that rule, he
> > > would be prohibited, as an unlicensed operator, from "passing messages
> to
> > a
> > > third party." Tr. 106; 108. Mr. Schoenbohm contends that the rules
> would
> > > not, however, prohibit him "from being a voice behind the microphone to
> > > establish the communication" with another operator. Tr. 106; 108. Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm understood that, so long as a licensed operator supervises
> the
> > > transmission, an unlicensed operator, such as Mr. Schoenbohm, could
> speak
> > > into the microphone. Tr. 108.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > E. Effect of the Loss of License
> > >
> > > 21. Mr. Schoenbohm acknowledged that "misrepresentation . . . is
> > considered
> > > to
> > >
> > > be a cardinal sin at the Commission," and he viewed the Commission's
> > denial
> > > of an application for renewal as "a death penalty." Tr. 175, 176.
> > Although
> > > Mr. Schoenbohm disavowed any intent to deliberately deceive the trier of
> > > fact during his earlier Commission hearings, he specifically
> acknowledged
> > > that the mistakes he made in his prior testimony "were egregious
> mistakes,
> > > fatal mistakes." Tr. 180. Mr. Schoenbohm believes that being denied
> use
> > of
> > > an amateur station for fifteen months has been an adequate sanction.
> Tr.
> > > 176. As he described it:
> > >
> > > [i]f you have been off the air for a year in ham radio you have shamed
> > > yourself, like a
> > >
> > > Japanese industrialist would, before the entire amateur community. It
> is
> > a
> > > very serious
> > >
> > > punishment when you are . . . a very high-profiled [sic] individual,
> > > involved a lot in
> > >
> > > contests and international operations, regarded as a person who has won

> > > contests and
> > >
> > > achieved world records, all of a sudden he is banished. That is a much
> > more
> > > serious
> > >
> > > deterrent than a taxicab licensee losing his communication system for
> one
> > > year because
> > >
> > > it is a personal shame that is attached to it.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > And it is very hard for me to explain that to you because only I know
> it.
> > .
> > > . . I let the
> > >
> > > community down and the Virgin Islands down where I cannot perform the
> > > services they
> > >
> > > have relied on in the past because I can't even talk to the National
> > Weather
> > > Service when
> > >
> > > a hurricane passes over. Tr. 176-177.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > III. PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 22. The HDO requires the Commission to determine whether Herbert L.
> > > Schoenbohm possesses the requisite character qualifications to be a
> > > Commission licensee.
> > >
> > > In assessing character, the Commission seeks to predict whether a
> > > prospective licensee will be honest in his dealings with the Commission
> > and
> > > will abide by the Commission's rules and the Communications Act. See
> > Policy
> > > Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 102 FCC 2d
> > 1179,
> > > 1183, 1189-91 (1986) (subsequent history omitted) ("Character Policy
> > > Statement").[4] As discussed herein, the Bureau believes that the
> > evidence,

> > > although mixed, supports a conclusion that Mr. Schoenbohm has the
> > requisite
> > > character qualifications. In this regard, the Bureau notes that its
> > > reluctance to fully endorse Mr. Schoenbohm stems from his operation of
> an
> > > amateur station when he did not have a license to do so.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 23. As noted in the HDO, the Commission considers various
> > > factors in determining whether an applicant such as Mr. Schoenbohm has
> > > rehabilitated himself and demonstrated that he possesses the requisite
> > > character qualifications to become or remain a licensee. Among other
> > > things, the Commission will consider: 1) whether the applicant has
> avoided
> > > any significant wrongdoing since the prior disqualifying misconduct; 2)
> > the
> > > amount of time elapsed since the misconduct; 3) the applicant's
> reputation
> > > for good character in the community; and 4)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > meaningful measures taken by the applicant to prevent the future
> > occurrence
> > > of misconduct. Moreover, in assessing the character of someone who,
> like
> > > Mr. Schoenbohm, had a previous application denied by the Commission, the
> > > Commission will also consider the deterrent impact of its previous
> action.
> > > Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 5 FCC
> > Rcd
> > > 3252, 3254 (1990) ("Modified Character Policy Statement").
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 24. Behavior subsequent to prior misconduct. The record
> > > reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has not engaged in any criminal misconduct
> > > since his 1992 conviction for toll fraud. However, as discussed below,
> > the
> > > record reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act
> > > subsequent to his 1995/1997 misconduct. Specifically, the findings
> > support,
> > > and it should be concluded, that Mr. Schoenbohm's operation of Mr.
> > Reichlyn'
> > > s station during an amateur contest violated section 301 of the
> > > Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 301, because Mr. Schoenbohm's operation
> > was
> > > not allowed by sections 97.5 and 97.7 of the Commission's rules.[5]
> Read

> > > together, those rules require that, during transmissions, an amateur
> > station
> > > be under the physical control of a control operator who is a licensee.
> > > Clearly, that was not the case during the two hours Mr. Schoenbohm
> > operated
> > > Mr. Reichlyn's station. Instead, the evidence reflects that Mr.
> > Schoenbohm
> > > had physical control of the station. In this regard, even though Mr.
> > > Reichlyn was present or nearby and able to supervise Mr. Schoenbohm, it
> is
> > > apparent that Mr. Schoenbohm operated the station and made transmissions
> > > while at the microphone. Thus, Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301 of
> the
> > > Act because he did not have a license to transmit and was not otherwise
> > > authorized to operate Mr. Reichlyn's station.[6] Finally, Mr.
> > Schoenbohm's
> > > work on WSTX(AM)'s antenna in 1999 occurred in the context of restoring
> > > service following a hurricane. The "fix" was meant to be temporary, and
> > Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm advised the licensee that additional work was needed before
> the
> > > station's antenna complied with the terms of the station's license. Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm worked as a contractor and was not responsible for the
> legality
> > > or illegality of WSTX's antenna.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 25. Time since the misconduct. The evidence reflects that
> > the
> > > last misrepresentation occurred in 1997, when Mr. Schoenbohm testified
> > > falsely before a Commission Administrative Law Judge. However, as noted
> > > above, Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act during a two-hour
> > > period in October 2001, when, although unlicensed, he operated Mr.
> > >
> > > Reichlyn's station.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 26. Applicant's reputation for good character in the
> > community.
> > > The only direct evidence of reputation proffered by Mr. Schoenbohm was
> the
> > > testimony of Frank Todd. However, Mr. Todd testified unequivocally that
> > Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm's reputation for good character in the Virgin Islands was
> > > favorable. The Bureau submits it is also appropriate to infer that Mr.
> > >
> > > Schoenbohm's reputation for character in the local community is good in
> > view

> > > of his current employment, his employment history, and his position in
> the
> > > local Republican Party. Specifically, as to employment, Mr. Schoenbohm
> > has
> > > held and continues to hold positions of responsibility and trust in the
> > > Virgin Islands' Department of Property and Procurement.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 27. Meaningful measures taken by the applicant to prevent
> the
> > > future occurrence of misconduct. The denial of Mr. Schoenbohm's renewal
> > > application occurred because he committed a felony and because he
> > testified
> > > deceitfully before this agency. Consequently, meaningful measures
> should
> > > reasonably include a commitment by Mr. Schoenbohm to avoid criminal
> > behavior
> > > and to be truthful in all his dealings with this agency. The findings
> > > reflect that such has occurred. As noted, Mr. Schoenbohm has not been
> > > convicted of anything since 1992, and there is nothing in the record to
> > > suggest that Mr. Schoenbohm will commit crimes in the future. As for
> > > truthfulness, Mr. Schoenbohm recognizes that he made "fatal mistakes" in
> > his
> > > prior hearing testimony. While not exactly an unequivocal commitment to
> > > future truthfulness, the Bureau believes it sufficient, when coupled
> with
> > > Mr. Schoenbohm's recognition that misrepresentation is a "cardinal sin
> at
> > > the Commission," to constitute a meaningful measure to prevent a future
> > > recurrence of deceit.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 28. Deterrent impact of previous denial. Mr. Schoenbohm
> has
> > > not been authorized to operate an amateur station since January 2001.
> > Given
> > > his governmental positions and his previous use of amateur radio, inter
> > > alia, in connection with hurricane relief, Mr. Schoenbohm apparently had
> > > some notoriety in the amateur community. Thus, as he testified, his
> > > banishment, which has now extended some 17 months,[7] could have caused
> > him
> > > great shame. Such shame, and the desire to avoid such shame in the
> > future,
> > > should lead to the conclusion that the Commission's previous denial has
> > had
> > > the desired deterrent impact. Notwithstanding Mr. Schoenbohm's October
> > 2001
> > > violation of the Communications Act, it is the Bureau's view that Mr.

> > > Schoenbohm has learned his lesson and will not, in the future, engage in
> > > behavior that calls into question his fitness to be a Commission
> licensee.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 29. In light of the foregoing, it appears that Mr.
> Schoenbohm
> > > has shown by a
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > preponderance of the evidence that he possesses the requisite character
> to
> > > be a Commission licensee.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > IV. ULTIMATE CONCLUSION
> > >
> > > 30. Issue (b) requires the determination of whether, in light of the
> > > evidence adduced, the captioned applications should be granted. For the
> > > reasons noted above, the Bureau believes they should.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Respectfully
> > > submitted,
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Charles W.
> > > Kelley
> > >
> > > Chief,
> > > Investigations and Hearings Division
> > >
> > > Enforcement
> > > Bureau
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > > James W.
> Shook
> > >
> > > Attorney,
> > > Investigations and Hearings Division
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Dana E.
> > Leavitt
> > >
> > > Attorney,
> > > Investigations and Hearings Division
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Federal Communications Commission
> > >
> > > 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 3B-443
> > >
> > > Washington, D.C. 20554
> > >
> > > (202) 418-1420
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > July 9, 2002
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > I, Karen Richardson, secretary of the Investigations and
> > > Hearings Division,
> > >
> > > Enforcement Bureau, certify that I have, on this 9th day of July, 2002,
> > > served, by the method indicated, copies of the foregoing "Enforcement
> > Bureau
> > > 's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law" to:
> > >
> > > Via facsimile, email and first class mail:
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > > Herbert L. Schoenbohm
> > >
> > > Post Office Box 4419
> > >
> > > Kingshill, Virgin Islands 00851
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Via hand delivery to:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Administrative Law Judge Arthur I. Steinberg
> > >
> > > Federal Communications Commission
> > >
> > > 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 1-C861
> > >
> > > Washington, D.C. 20554
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > >
> > > Karen Richardson
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > ---
> > --
> > > ----
> > >
> > > [1] See United States v. Schoenbohm, No. Crim.: 1991/0108 (D.V.I. Dec.
> > 30,
> > > 1992), aff'd in pertinent part, No. 93-7516 (3d Cir. July 22, 1994),
> > > rehearing den. (3d Cir. Nov. 2, 1994).
> > >
> > > [2] Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 13 FCC Rcd 15028 (1998) ("Decision"), recon.
> > > den., 13 FCC Rcd 23774 (1998), aff'd, 204 F.3d 243 (D.C. Cir. 2000),
> > > rehearing en banc denied (May 2, 2000), as amended (June 28, 2000),

> cert.
> > > denied, 531 U.S. 968 (2000).
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [3] Section 97.3(46) of the Commission's rules defines a third party
> > > communication as a message from the control operator (first party) of an
> > > amateur station to another amateur station control operator (second
> party)
> > > on behalf of another person (third party).
> > >
> > > [4] Although the character policy arose in the context of broadcast
> > > licensing, the principles used to assess character apply to all
> decisions
> > > involving Commission authorizations. See, e.g., Marc Sobel, 17 FCC Rcd
> > > 1872, 1893-94 (2002), recon. denied, 17 FCC Rcd 8562 (2002).
> > >
> > > [5] Section 301 of the Communications Act reads in pertinent part: "No
> > > person shall use or operate any apparatus for the transmission of energy
> > or
> > > communications or signals by radio . except under and in accordance with
> > > this Act and with a license.." In pertinent part, section 97.5(a) of
> the
> > > rules states: "The station apparatus must be under the physical control
> of
> > a
> > > person named in an amateur station license grant on the ULS consolidated
> > > license database . before the station may transmit on any amateur
> service
> > > frequency.." 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 97.5(a). Section 97.7 of the rules provides:
> > > "When transmitting, each amateur station must have a control operator.
> > The
> > > control operator must be a person: (a) For whom an amateur
> > operator/primary
> > > station license grant appears on the ULS consolidated licensee
> database.."
> > > 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 97.7. Section 97.3(a)(13) defines control operator as: "An
> > > amateur operator designated by the licensee of a station to be
> responsible
> > > for the transmissions from that station to assure compliance with the
> FCC
> > > Rules," while section 97.3(a)(1) defines amateur operator as: "A person
> > > holding a written authorization to be the control operator of an amateur
> > > station." 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 97.3(a)(1) and (13).
> > >
> > > [6] In light of this conclusion, the Bureau believes it need not
> address
> > > whether Mr. Schoenbohm's actions also resulted in a violation of section
> > > 97.115 of the rules, which regulates third party communications. See,
> > > generally, Kenwood Communications Corp., 15 FCC Rcd 13819, 13825 n. 29

> > > (2000). See also 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 97.3(a)(46); Public Notice, Amateur
> Service
> > > International Arrangements, July 21, 1999, 1999 WL 511186. Moreover,
> even
> > > though Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301 of the Act, the presiding
> > officer
> > > may not impose a forfeiture due to the absence of the requisite notice.
> > See
> > > 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 503(b)(3); 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.80(g).
> > >
> > > [7] The Bureau notes that the Commission is considering an amendment to
> > > section 1.937 of the Commission's rules. In the Matter of Amendment of
> > > Section 1.937 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Repetitious or
> > > Conflicting Applications, 17 FCC Rcd 5628 (2002). Section 1.937
> currently
> > > prohibits "repetitious" applications, that is, applications filed within
> > 12
> > > months of the denial or dismissal of a substantially similar
> application.
> > > While that rule did not explicitly bar Mr. Schoenbohm's current
> > application,
> > > the Commission plainly intended that applicants such as Mr. Schoenbohm
> > wait
> > > at least 12 months before seeking to reacquire the license that had been
> > so
> > > recently lost. See id, 17 FCC Rcd at 5629. Because of the hearing
> > > proceeding, however, the passage of time between the denial of Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm's renewal application and the submission of his current
> > > application is no longer an issue of any import.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1813. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:10:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717141017.13297.00000001@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49725

In article <20020710211802.00961.00000938@mb-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020709224450.01164.00000443@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>
>>>>Lenover21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>ARRL publication prices are the same from surface mail or e-mail
>>>>>>>as in retail outlets. If shipping charges are required extra cost to
>>>>>>>a mail order then that is approximately equal or slightly more than
>>>>>>>local state sales tax. But, a retail ARRL publication outlet has to
>>>>>>>make a profit to stay in business, so it obtains ARRL publications
>>>>>>>at a reduced rate...or did you think the retail outlet sells without
>>>>>>>profit out of the goodness of their hearts?!? :-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Retailing 101
>>>>>
>>>>>That's not answering any questions.
>>>>
>>>>The only question you posed was rhetorical.
>>>
>>>Nonsense. Inaccurate.
>>
>>How? You asked a rhetorical question.
>
>No.

Yes. You asked a rhetorical question. Perhaps that's not the way you intended
it.

> I gave an explanation.

You gave one of your many lectures on things obvious to everyone.

>I will ask it again: "Do you think a retail
>outlet sells without profit out of the goodness of their hearts?"

Nope.
>
>Do you think that or do you think differently?

I think differently.
>
>>>The Word of Extras in here has the ARRL

>>>as acting out of the Goodness of Their Hearts.
>>
>>Who said that? Not me.
>
>Not in those same words, extreme literalist, but in tone and tenor of
>your words.

You infer something that was not implied.

> You are an amateur extra.

I've held that class of license since 1970. You have never held any class of amateur radio license. What does that have to do with the various approaches to retailing?

>You state pedantic
>conditions straight out of the ARRL statements as your own.

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean. "Pedantic conditions"?

> You
>become upset at negative criticsm of any kind about the ARRL yet
>you do not work for them.

I don't "become upset" at such things. I do post corrections to other's errors and misconceptions, as well as my own opions and relevant facts.

>You are a "believer" in the sanctity and
>honesty of the ARRL.

Naw, just a member who supports the mission of that organization. I don't always support the policies, though.

>Ergo, you espouse the "Word of Extras."

Nonsense.
>
>>>>ARRL's publishing operation is using a certain retailing strategy.
>Obviously
>>>>they want people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at all
>>>>possible - that's one way they support stores that sell to radio amateurs
>>>>and prospective radio amateurs.
>>>
>>>Again, obvious nonsense.
>>
>>No, it's obviously true. You just don't like the ARRL for some reason.
>Probably
>>it's because their books are both good and inexpensive.
>
>You have failed to show "obviousness" of your statement.
> You stated that
>the ARRL wants people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at all
>possible. That's not obvious.

Sure it is. You just don't want to see it.
>
>If the ARRL wanted people to go to retail outlets to buy their products, then
>they would only advertise their wares but would not make them available
>through mail-order.

Untrue. Doing so would force everyone to buy through retail outlets.
>
>>>If the ARRL "wanted peoble to buy their publications via retail
>>>outlets," why would they have them for resale through headquarters
>>>in Newington?
>>
>>The idea is to maximize the availability of the product. Those who prefer
>mail
>>order can get publications direct from Hq, either by mail or online. Those
>who
>>would rather buy from a store can do it that way.
>
>Then your "obvious" word is incorrect as you used it earlier in the message.
>
>You cannot use a strong word like "obvious" and then, a few sentences
>later, make it unqualified as a descriptor.

Sure I can. It's obvious that I did.
>
>>ARRL also has a booth at most hamfests where publications can be bought
>>directly, avoidingshipping.
>
>Irrelevant.

No, completely relevant.

>One has to attend a hamfest in order to buy it. Mail ordering
>is available to anyone with a postal address.

So? If someone is at the hamfest, they can save postage.
>
>>>How many "retail outlets" for amateur radio equipment are there in
>>>the USA? [ask K0HB]
>>
>>You ask him, if you really want to know.
>
>Hans Brakob has stated in here more than once about the shrinking
>number of amateur radio retail outlets in the USA.

Irrelevant.
>
>>However, there are over 200 stores selling ARRL publications in the USA. The
>>ARRL website has a store locator, identifying retail stores that sell ARRL
>>publications.
>
>There are approximately 300 million in the USA population. If there are
>really "200 stores selling ARRL publications" then that would be about

>one store per 1.5 million in the population.

So? What's your point?

>>>OK, order an official ARRL membership decal (#1130) for a dollar.
>>>Do you or do you not pay FOUR dollars in shipping charges to
>>>include "cost of packing materials, labor, general overhead and
>>>profit?"
>>
>>So what? It's a disincentive for small orders.
>>
>>How many official ARRL decals have you ordered?
>
>Irrelevant again. It is not a "requirement" to order something to talk
>about the conditions of ordering.

Translation: None.
>
>>>An envelope, 37 cents in a stamp, a zip through a computer-
>>>controlled printer for the addressing and 1/12th of an hour time
>>>(at most) of a clerk to handle it. All that "shipping charge" is
>>>still worth FOUR TIMES the cost of the product itself?!?
>>
>>Are you offering to do it for less?
>
>I asked a question, pointing out that which should be obvious.
>You "replied" with an irrelevant, uncivil "question."
>
>You could have answered the posed question civilly with any
>sarcastic overtones.

Why? Your question is full of nastygram sarcastic overtones.

>>>That difference in price is a lesser profit for the ARRL but it
>>>still represents PROFIT on PRODUCT.
>>>
>>Do you know how much it costs to produce the publications?
>
>I have experience in costing-out publishing costs in addition to
>experience in costing-out design, development, and manufacturing
>of electronics goods.

Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>
>As a generality in US publishing, the "list" retail price on books is
>twice what a retailer must pay to buy those books. To put it another
>way, the general public paying a list price on a general book will
>have approximately half that purchase cost go towards profit for the
>retailer. The publisher still makes a profit on their price to a retailer
>so more than fifty percent of a list price at a retailer goes towards
>profit.

That's a generality. Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>
>This high "profit margin" on the general book market allows some
>firms such as Crown Books and Amazon to resell at lower-than-list
>prices. While those "discount" retailers make a lesser profit than
>"list" retailers, they can sell more product than "list" retailers due
>to attracting more buyers. The end result is that the "discounters"
>make more total profit than "list" retailers.

In theory, they "make it up on volume". Amazon's financial statements speak otherwise.

Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>
>>I simply point out errors and mistakes. You make so many it's hard to keep
>>up.
>
>Incorrect again.

What is incorrect? I do point out errors and mistakes. You do make them. I do have a hard time keeping up.

>You state an incorrect absolute as if it is fact, yet it
>isn't true.

What part isn't true?

>That is very uncivil of you.

The truth is always civil.

>>Somehow I suspect that you want the ARRL to simply go away.
>
>You may "suspect" all you want, but your statement is still incorrect,
>biased, and uncivil.

In other words, I'm right on target.
>
>Like any political-action organization, the ARRL should not consider
>itself above reproach.

All you do is reproach it.

>The ARRL could correct a number of perceived wrongs in its
>approach to control-leadership of US radio amateurs. To do so
>would admit it is not perfect nor even approaching a majority view
>in amateur radio. Some members of the ARRL, such as yourself,
>do not allow any negative statements about the ARRL..

"do not allow"? How is that done?

>.and make
>libelous statements about those who challenge the ARRL for
>whatever reason.

Give us an example of these "libelous statements"

>That is the action of an emotional fanatic.

HAW! That's funny!
>
>>I'm not worked up. I'm not the one calling people diminutive names and
>>shouting.
>
>"Diminutives" in naming seems to be a standard practice in here. :-)

Only by you.

>>You're not the moderator of rrap, and you can't control who posts here or
>>what they post. Grow up and learn to live with it.
>
>I'm not trying to "control" who posts or doesn't post. I'm simply pointing
>out certain negative traits of individuals who cannot take any sort of
>negative criticism. You do not seem able to take negative criticsm.

Why should anyone here take "negative criticism" from you? You don't take it.
Is this "do as Lenover21 says, not as Lenover21 does" things?

Your descriptions of my alleged behavior are actually much more accurate
descriptions of yourself.

>>>Amazon is still a profit-making business.
>>
>>HAW! That's a GOOD one!
>
>Is Amazon still in business after many years? If so, it must be making
>a profit. Businesses do not continue in business without profit.

That's simply incorrect.

Amazon has not been in business for many years. It is heavily in debt. On an
annual basis it has not made a profit in 1999, 2000 or 2001.
>
>"Creative accounting" may make it appear that a business is "losing
>money" as well as "making a profit."
>
>If a business remains in business, it is making a profit.

False. By that reasoning, Chrysler would not exist today.
>
>>The facts are there for all to see. Show us where Amazon is "making a
>>profit,
>>all the while absorbing those high shipping charges plus their 'burden (bean
>>counter talk for the overhead of plant and equipment and all other
>>miscellaneous business costs)"
>
>I'm not an accountant nor am I in possession of audit information on Amazon.

In other words, you can't show that Amazon is making a profit, but you will not
admit it here.

>>You keep mentioning the ARRL membership percentage. Is there a point to
>that?
>
>Yes. The ARRL membership is a minority of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>
>The words of the ARRL are generated by a minority of that minority...which
>includes the board of directors of the ARRL.

So what?

>>Not misdirection. A simple question. You derided a current, nondefunct
>magazine
>>that has published an article by me as a "high priced fanzine", but we don't
>>see any hard data on "ham radio" magazine.
>
>Where is the retail outlet where anyone can buy an issue of "Electric
>Radio?" Are all articles available on CDs?

You can buy the current issue, or any back issue, by mail. Where can one buy
the current issue of "Ham Radio" magazine?
>
>Do they have cutting-edge, state-of-the-art articles to advance the
>state of the amateur radio art?

At least as many as in the current issue of "Ham Radio" magazine.
>
>>>QST is a MEMBERSHIP MAGAZINE, so said in capitals because
>>>so few understand the difference between that and an independent.
>>>The "subscribership" numbers of QST are the same as the ARRL
>>>membership. QST doesn't depend solely on advertising sales to
>>>keep going but can receive backing from the ARRL general budget.
>>>[whether or not they do so is irrelevant...the fact is that QST is
>>>backed by the ARRL because it is THE periodical of ARRL
>>>membership]
>>
>>And this is a problem how?
>
>Advertising revenue is the main profit-making element in periodical
>publication. Advertisers buy advertising space based on a periodical's
>market penetration and that market's demographics. A membership
>magazine can point to its membership numbers as the total market.
>Further, a membership magazine has the advantage of the general
>membership fund to support it when needed.

How is this a problem?
>
>By contrast, CQ and 73 are independents, have no support from any
>membership organization, depend solely advertising sales to stay in
>business.

They give away the magazines free?

>Their sales are through retail sales outlets and through
>subscribership. They have no buffer or larger group budget
>advantage to fall back on during lean ad sales times.

They also have no membership organization to operate, no station or lab, etc.

>>>>What percentage of all licensed US hams are members of NCI?
>>>
>>>No Code International is, in its own statements, solely a political
>>>action organization. It does NOT require all members to be
>>>licensed in any radio service. Further, it has said since the
>>>beginning of its organization that it will dissolve when the morse
>>>code test requirement for an amateur radio license is eliminated.
>>
>>Doesn't answer the question. What percentage of all licensed US hams are
>>members of NCI?
>
>Irrelevant again.

If it's irrelevant, then the ARRL percentage is also irrelevant.

> Misdirection.

No, just simple truth.

> To reiterate:
>
>No Code International is, in its own statements, solely a political
>action organization.

Sure. No publications, no other services. Yet they propose things that would
affect all of ham radio, not just their membership. Same as ARRL.

> It does NOT require all members to be
>licensed in any radio service.

Neither does ARRL.

What's the problem?

>Further, it has said since the
>beginning of its organization that it will dissolve when the morse
>code test requirement for an amateur radio license is eliminated.

So? It's a single-issue organization. Nothing wrong with that. When the job is
done, there will be no reason for NCI to exist. But there IS a reason for ARRL
to exist, code tests or no code tests.
>
>>Sounds like you want the ARRL to just go away. You'd like that, because the
>>ARS in the USA would be left without a strong national organization.
>
>Sounds like

(N2EY)

>has a vivid imagination and a spiteful attitude.
>:-)

Sounds like I'm onto what you really want.

>
>The ARRL could change its marketing policy on resold goods. That
>was the gist of my commentary. The ARRL is NOT a discount seller
>of much of anything nor is it a "model" for the reselling business. :-)

Interesting that you do not deny the claim that you want ARRL to just go away.
That says it all.

ARRL is more than a "reseller", too.

>>It is interesting to note that Lenover21 does not offer solutions to
>problems
>>but simply says how things should not be. One does not get things done by
>>saying what shouldn't be done.
>
>I am not in the reselling business nor the political-action lobbying
>business nor am I a membership organization getting members to
>give non-members the business. :-)

I think you're in the gadfly business.

Jim, N2EY

1814. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:10:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717141018.13297.00000002@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49727

In article <20020717062106.02908.00001045@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>Waiver?
>
>Is taunting Dan with that word your newest obsession, Brian?
>
It's just a quick way of getting his message total higher.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1815. Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:15:54 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207171015.729ffff6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49728

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020716201717.16680.00000172@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> " I am laughing at the superior intellect." (*)
>
> Steve
>
> (*) With apologies to Gene Roddenberry (RIP) and company

Steve,

Wasn't that a GREAT line? Ranks right up there with "Do as I say and
this won't go off." Can you name that one?

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1816. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:35:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717143537.26909.00000004@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49737

In article <20020716064524.01952.00000385@mb-mn.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>As long as you want it to go on, Ed. Dave says he's tired of it, but then
>>...
>
>But then... Brian absolutely must have the last word -- by proclamation a
>dozen times.

Yes...and Ed Hare controls who has what business in here.

Uh huh...

1817. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:35:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717143537.26909.00000003@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49740

In article <20020716075226.06987.00000738@mb-cr.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>You have not qualified yourself in US military HF communications.
>
> I didn't have to. They qualified me, Lennie. MOS and all. Sorry you
>missed it.

Nothing was missed because NOTHING WAS WRITTEN.

Robeson is unable to speak of one single radio nomenclature, formal or informal, allegedly used by himself in the USMC.

Robeson is unfamiliar with the standard, all-branch small-unit radio which is now into 13 years as an operational radio family.

Robeson is BLUFFING. He just doesn't know anything in military radio.

NO radio-interested radio amateur would fail to mention at least one radio type used by him or her in their military service. Robeson has not mentioned any. He hasn't because he can't because he never used any.

Robeson is UNQUALIFIED by ANY STANDARDS to talk about military radio.

>>You have not qualified yourself in any civilian experience of
>>working on US military communications.
>
> I didn't claim I had any.

You wouldn't dare.

>>You have not qualified yourself in stating anything of validity in
>>radio communications in any radio service except amateur radio.
>
> It's not pertinent to this forum.

Sorry, Robeson does not control "this forum." Moderation of this forum has already been claimed by Ed Hare.

There has been sporadic talk by others of military radio. See N2EY for one speaking of his alleged familiarity with a surplus SSB field radio.

>>You have not qualified your comments with any evidence of
>>web search or literature search concerning any communications

>>other than techniques used in amateur radio.
>
> Hmmmmcould it be that this is a forum on AMATEUR RADIO, Lennie?

Amateur radio does NOT work on different physics principles
than any other radio service. Amateur radio uses the same
propagation techniques as every other radio service. If Robeson
believes that they are "different" because of regulations, then he
is more brain-dead than usual.

>>> You've been provided the information before.
>>
>>Everyone has seen UN-qualified statements of individuals stating
>>that morse code is currently used in military communications.
>
> The context that has set you off into Rantland again Lennie said NOTHING
>about Morse Code.

Robeson has NOT "provided" anything other than insults and
denigrations. He cannot talk of his military radio experiences
because he hasn't had any military radio experiences.

>>The US military statements do NOT corroborate that use.
>
> And we ALL know the Armed Forces ALWAYS discuss in open forums everything
>they are doing...

Robeson is pedaling his conspiracy bicycle again. The communications
doctrine of the US military is an open topic for the public. Communications
equipment of the US military is shown and illustrated on both military and
commercial web sites...found in electronics trade publications.

> ...kinda like all the times they dismissed as "unfounded" the stories of
>strange, delta-shaped balck airplanes over the Nevada desert...

There were no "balck" airplanes in any Nevada desert. A black F-106 could
have been found in Culver City, CA, decades ago. A dark F-117 could have
been found implanted near Thousand Oaks, CA, back in 1977. The F-117
has been seen on public television prior to the Gulf War of 11-12 years ago.

Do you want training instructions on operating any of the SINCGARS radios?
There's a nice computer training aid that is publicly available at the Fort
Gordon website. The SINCGARS family has COMMSEC for both voice and
data. The manufacturer (General Dynamics, Fort Lauderdale) features that
family on its publicly-available website. The manufacturer of the critical ICs
essential to COMMSEC also has a public website. The SINCGARS is a
frequency-hopper (rate about 100 mSec) and covers 30 to 88 MHz. None of
that is secret. What is secret is the "hopset" that is entered into the radio
via the front-panel keypad. The hopset is established by the military net for
each and every tactical application...it can't be known beforehand because
it isn't established, doesn't have to be established as a fixed thing.

Robeson doesn't know either Jack or the characteristics of a common small-unit, all-branch military radio that is in its 13th year of operational service. He is BLUFFING on his "military radio experience" and "qualifications." His BLUFF has been called many times, found deficient and unqualified, but he is so ignorant he is unaware that he is wrong.

> YOU were "asked". No one in thier right mind would ever "task"
>something from someone as unqualified as you.

Robeson can't ask coherently because he is ignorant of publicly-available military radio statements, descriptions, and doctrine.

>>Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio
>>communications. Do not use ambiguous generalities, myths,
>>or outright lies. Do not evade the question by attempting to
>>misdirect as you have done above.
>
> Nothing was "evade(d)", Your Scumminess.

Robeson cannot explain any valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio communications. He doesn't have any. All he can do is evade the question by misdirection of personal insults as he has done above.

> Here's a question for YOU to not avoid: WHEN are you going to take the
>"extra lite" that you asserted you were going to take "out of the box"...???

Some time ago I mentioned that, "if I were to get an amateur radio license, I COULD get an amateur extra." Do you understand qualifiers in a sentence? Or are you as ignorant of ordinary English as you are of military radio statements, descriptions, and doctrine?

I am advocating only the elimination of the morse code test for ANY radio operator license. There's NO "need" to get any operator's license of any kind to discuss that. I have acquired radio operation, maintenance, design, and building experience in radio, including HF radio sufficient to speak of and about the general subject. At NO time in that half-century experience was morse code required.

The constant DEMAND to get a license is an artificiality brought out by those who cannot address the validity of the subject but DEMAND others be "as good as they."

>>Robeson has never explained his USMC MOS other than making
>>some general, ambiguous statements of "working on a flight line."
>
> You've tried this two posts in a row. Still not germane.

Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio communications. Do not use ambiguous generalities, myths, or outright lies. Do not evade the question by attempting to misdirect as you have done above.

> That's because I am not trying to be the King Of The Hill you are Lennie.
>It doesn't matter to me wether anyone likes it or not. No one here signs my
>paycheck.

Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio
communications. Do not use ambiguous generalities, myths,
or outright lies. Do not evade the question by attempting to
misdirect as you have done above.

>>Odds are that Robeson had little or NO such radio communications
>>experience. If he had, he would be constantly crowing about it
>>to demonstrate his gung-ho superiority.
>
> Like you?

Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio
communications. Do not use ambiguous generalities, myths,
or outright lies. Do not evade the question by attempting to
misdirect as you have done above.

>>Did you have any military radio communications experience
>>in the USMC and, if so, what was it?
>
> Yes I did and none of your business. Choke on it, Lennie!

Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio
communications. Do not use ambiguous generalities, myths,
or outright lies. Do not evade the question by attempting to
misdirect as you have done above.

>>Prove that by giving references as to the class, the dates of that
>>class, and students who were in that class and their licenses.
>
> For what purpose, Lennie? My serices as a VE are a matter of record with
>the ARRL, and anything else is not germane to the discussion that on-off
keying
>as an RF technique still has valid uses.

Robeson is BLUFFING once again. Give references to the CLASS, the
dates of that CLASS, and students who were in that CLASS and their
licenses. Volunteer Examiners are NOT tasked to "teach classes," they
proctor license examinations.

Robeson is again bluffing, huffing, and puffing. It looks like LYING.

>>Stop with the smoke blowing. Outline your curriculum, the general
>>class description.
>
> The "class descriptions", Your Stupidness, were for exam preparation
>courses for an Amarteur Radio license. Each of the required areas of
knowledge
>(including Morse Code skills) was included. ARRL texts were used. (The one's
>you try and discredit, Lennie...)

That sort of CLASS is not a VE function. VEs proctor license examinations.

Robeson's description is very general, has NO reference to "his class" or when that "class" occurred. Robeson is bluffing, huffing, and puffing. It looks like
LYING.

> Again with the assertions of "anger"...Lennie, you don't anger me, you
>SICKEN me.

Robeson is already SICK and obsessive.

> Perhaps, but so far you've not provided any evidnce of being anything
>more than a loud mouth who can quote off the Internet.
>
> In THIS forum, you have NO practical experience in Amateur Radio POLICY
>matters OTHER than this forum. And as we all know, this has no validity in
>the "real world".

By that ill logic, Robeson joins Heil in demanding that the FCC stop regulating US amateur radio...because they are accused of "having no validity."

The FCC is not required to have either commissioners or staff to have amateur radio licenses to MAKE US amateur radio regulations. If they are unlicensed, they have no (Heil-Robeson) "validity" to make any ham radio regulations.

In the "real world" of US democratic principles, all citizens may petition their
government to correct grievances. That's in the First Amendment. Robeson (and Heil) are invited to petition the US government for their "grievance" in that the FCC has "no validity to make regulations because they are unlicensed."

>>I've pointed out the ARRL in particular has FAILED to gain popular
>>media exposure for years. The ARRL in particular HAS failed to
>>gain popular media exposure for years.
>
> Yet YOU state how "popular" and "well known" Amateur Radio is to the
>general public.

No. Robeson proves (again) that he is UNQUALIFIED to read and understand statements in the English language.

Something that is publicly known or knowable does not have to be "popular" or "well known."

Examples: US military radio doctrine, descriptions, specifications are all public knowledge but they are not "popular" enough to permit Robeson to know about them. Robeson prefers the no-strain-on-mentality "conspiracy" theory where everything is "secret" so that he doesn't have to talk about it. In the real world he cannot talk about it because he is just ignorant.

> Where shall I start, Lennie? From the times you have misquoted Ed
>Hare...? The times you've refered to people a "a" holes or that thier posts
>were bull "s"..??? Or your assertions of radio services created for
>strictly recreational purposes?

I once told Hans Brakob to "stay with his ice holes." Brakob is an ice fisherman. I've openly called falsehoods as "bullshit" and been able to show those falsehoods were indeed false. Is that language too strong for a big, brave, proud ex-marine?

Robeson seems to bristle at others using "swear words" at him yet he doesn't mind signing off his postings by calling his "opponents" as "dickheads" or worse.

It must be one of those "do as I tell you, not as I do" things. Is that what is taught in the USMC?

> Or how about your attempts to "embellish" YOUR military career by
>"mentioning" that you served in a unit that had a high KIA rate in the opening
>days of the Korean conflict...Which HAPPENED three years before you got
>there?

I stated that I was assigned to the 8235th Army Unit, US Army. I did not state that it "had a high KIA rate" at any time. I stated that 23 members of that unit were killed. They were the first, the 8235th being a relatively new Army unit at that time. Robeson "embellishes" his READING, not what I wrote. His problem.

Robeson is so shallow and petty that he would spit on the graves of deceased US servicemen if it meant he could act tough in newsgroups and "get" living veterans.

What is Robeson's USMC unit "honor and glory" when he served with it or them? Did he ever have an entire brigade dependent on his radio skills? Another in here has claimed that.

The 8235th Army Unit had responsibility for operating and maintaining Army radio station ADA which served the Far East Command Headquarters. ADA operated trans-Pacific on HF. That began before Robeson was born...that counts as nothing in Robeson's virtual universe of "honor and glory" since that virtual universe is only in Robeson's mind.

Robeson was "qualified in the USMC" with an unspecified MOS to work on unspecified equipment for an unspecified purpose at an unspecified time in an unspecified location. :-)

Robeson is qualified only for the unspecified...which is essentially nothing but amateurism.

1818. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:35:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717143538.26909.00000005@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49738

In article <20020716065150.01952.00000387@mb-mn.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>He has yet to say, "No, I didn't get a code waiver."
>
>>Dan, did you get a Code Waiver?
>
>What makes that the least bit of your business?

Oh? Ed Hare controls the "BUSINESS" in here?

Why does Hare think goading and provocation is "moderation" of
the newsgroup?

1819. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:35:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717143541.26909.00000006@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49739

In article <3D344749.E171DA81@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Thanks for proving my point, Doctor Evil. There was no implication that
>the idea was mine.

Sorry, but you most definitely say it was your "good idea."

When confronted with someone who looked up Guinea-Bisseau
and pointed out its impovrished world trade condition, you
became defensive and blathered about "synchronization."

>In fact, I've stated on several occasions that the
>idea was not mine.

Well over a year later. You then tried to explain it as State
Department radio procedures policy in a round of double-
talk.

>Why would "Heil" think that? Do you think you are a radio amateur "in
>here"?

The term "order wire" is relatively unfamiliar to anyone not working
in rather specialized telecommunications. "Order wire" came from
landline telegraphy (teleprinter) and telephony practice referring to
the command circuit used internally to carry on common carrier
communications on multiple circuits.

>It probably was poor wording. Teleprinters are self-synchronizing but I
>wasn't referring to self-synchronization. I referred to crypto sync.

"Crypto sync?!?" You are bluffing again. You didn't say that
before. Is this another cover-up of previous bad wording? Of
course it is.

Is State's communications so lax that it sends crypto details
IN CLEAR?!? Did you send KEY LISTS in clear too?!?

>"Heil" didn't say that at all though I did make statements about the use
>of the CW circuit since our station was not in operation 24 hours per
>day.

If Heil didn't say it, then who is masquerading as Heil?

Then the above bears out my original criticsm that Guinea-Bisseau
is NOT of strategic importance to the USA nor is that embassy
any hot point of sending espionage information through the, what,
single TTY circuit?

>We weren't broadcasting, Len, and didn't do a "schedule" more than a
>couple of days in advance. There are time, due to severe multipath
>distortion, that even a teleprinter running in the clear, without crypto
>overhead, and using link enhancement, will print only garbage. During
>those periods, which occur much of the year in West Africa, CW worked
>when teleprinters did not work.

Nonsense. More bluffing...or the State Department radio equipment
in Guinea-Bisseau was obtained as WW2 surplus.

>Illogical, Spock? Any baudot character distortion caused by multipath
>can render a teleprinter totally unuseable. If you have no teleprinter
>order wire, you can't easily arrange to open, close or QSY the circuit.
>It wasn't as if I was working in a place where I could make a telephone
>call.

Illogical bluff bullshit. If you can't send command information
"because of severe multipath rendering teleprinting totally
unuseable," you can't send any regular traffic either. RTTY has
been going on for decades before the eighties and other folks in
radio communications know how to handle it as TTY.

>"Heil" never made that statement either. The system was not in use
>world wide by State. The system was not even Africa-wide. There were
>places using satellites and leased lines for the primary circuit.

Then why didn't you uplink to the TDRS? Now you are describing
a VERY hole-in-the-wall operation by State, planned and manned
by folks who don't seem to know how to maintain a radio circuit.

>It is apparent from your comments that you still don't know anything
>about State Department comms.

It is apparent that the alleged State Department radio ace is
trying to pull off a bluffing fast-one on everybody. Duhhh..."nobody
else knows a thing about State Department comms"...duhhhh.

>Did you think that the RACES system was in use worldwide?

No, never did. I said that two RACES machines were IN USE
in DC (referring to Washington, DC) for archival storage on the
State Department network.

>Do you believe it to still be in use?

No. Irrelevant question. RACES was fine at the end of the 60s
but has been superceded by smaller, more efficient, greater-
memory-capacity minimal-mechanical systems.

>Did you think that mechanical
>teleprinters were still in use in other than Africa and a few Asian
>spots in the 1980s?

From what you've described, the Guinea-Bisseau station was
put together from WW2 surplus equipment in its "efficiency."

>What we have here is someone never involved in State commo dismissing
>the statements of one who was actively involved in State Department
>communications over many years.

What we have really is an ex-State person doing his best to
bluff and make his exploits sound like "expertise." Yes, we've
all been treated to your "important assignments" in "key State
Department assignments" such as Guinea-Bisseau (whose
chief balance of trade commodity is exportation of cashew nuts).

If I want entertaining FICTION, I'll go to Clancy, not Heil.

>That's about as silly as you telling us
>what is best for amateur radio.

Poor baby, having to resort to THAT are you? You are certainly
getting deficient on "claims to expertise" in US government.

Heil does not grant US amateur radio licenses.

Heil does not set US amateur radio regulations.

Heil is just an ordinary citizen of the US whose word is no
better, but possibly a bit worse, than any other US citizen.

Heil can only "claim turf" or claim some territorial imperative
through having an amateur radio license for years. All Heil
can prove by such long tenure is that he knows what is best
for Heil, not for the majority of the rest of amateur radio.

>Sure, I could have avoided all that by letting you blow smoke up the
>asses of any who would be naive enough to know how you operate.

Of course you could, because "blowing smoke up others' asses"
IS what you do.

>You
>pontificate, chuff and puff and in general sway others into believing
>that what you say is true by sheer length and number of posts.

So...we should all leave the pontification, chuffing, and puffing to
YOU?!? You, the classic archtypical "ugly American" of manner?

According to Heil, ALL should let him preen, posture, pontificate,
and blow smoke to make Heil look mahhhhhvelous. :-)

>No one
>can know more about something dealing with the world of electronic
>communications than you, huh?

Getting aggravated and infuriated? :-)

I've never laid any such claim on my abilities. You've emphasized
all that bullshit.

If your RTTY circuit is suffering so much "multipath distortion that
you can't use it for control purposes," then it sure as hell is so

very UNSUITABLE for any regular traffic. Either fix it, get good equipment, but quit laying a line of bullshit on everyone else about conditions you and you alone seem to be the only one cognizant of.

By the way, I talked to Jack. You don't know Jack.

>My original comments on this topic countered your balderdash that the
>U.S. government no longer used morse.

It still doesn't use morse codes for communications. There's NO verifiable source to prove your point.

>That's right. "Heil" doesn't concede or give-in when he has made
>statements which are true and correct.

Heil doesn't DARE give in. To give in would mean losing face (in the oriental sense). Unlike cards where you MUST show hidden cards after a call, you've gotten away with a LOT of bluffing, huffing, and puffing in here. Your only "source" is Dave Heil's statements. Has Heil produced any US State Department statements to prove his point? No. Heil cites his years of State service and implications of Good Works therein.

>To admit defeat, he'd first have
>to be defeated at something. That hasn't taken place despite your best
>efforts to distort and dismiss.

What is "distorted" or "dismissive" about US government sources explaining US government or military radio communications?

Are you going to fall into Robeson's conspiracy-theory rationalization about "it's all so 'secret' that I can't reveal it" as he has done about "military communications?!?"

I'm sure you will. You are two of a basic kind.

Now you go right ahead and tell us where we can find US government statements saying the US government or military USES morse codes for radio communications now.

Let's see how good your claim of "distortion" or "dismissiveness" is about that.

>The above is about as surreal as it gets, Len. What did my amateur
>station in Guinea-Bissau have to do with my daily tasks in a State
>Department communications center?

What WERE your "daily tasks" in the Guinea-Bisseau "comm center?"

You've already stated it wasn't a 24/7 circuit. Was it just a single-channel RTTY circuit? Did it come up one or two days a week?

Sounds to me it wasn't a very busy duty at all. Did you have lots of time to sit around and chew all those cashew nuts?

>I think you're honked that someone dared counter you claim that the US
>government does not use morse and didn't back down no matter how hard
>you huffed and puffed and attempted to blow the house down.

I've been "hooked" on the FACT that it doesn't ever since some
"colonel" popped in here claiming that his "son" was "using CW
behind the lines in the Gulf War to send intel to the coalition."
That was TOTAL FABRICATION on the "colonel's" part.

Total bullshit is total bullshit and all the bluffing, huffing, and puffing
and outrage at not being believed doesn't mean squat.

Now you show everybody the source for the US government "still
using morse for radio communicaitons."

No more of this bluffing, huffing, and puffing, smoke-eater.

>The only thing which comes to mind is your years-old bluff that you know
>anything about what is good for amateur radio.

All I'm for is the elimination of the morse code test.

LOTS of citizens think that would be a mighty GOOD thing
for US amateur radio. There's even an international
organization that is working to eliminate that code test.
You may have heard of it?

Even the IARU thinks that the code test should be removed
from amateur radio testing worldwide.

But Dave Heil KNOWS WHAT IS GOOD FOR AMATEUR
RADIO because he says he knows better what is good for
everyone. Anyone who does not agree with Dave Heil is
not good for amateur radio. Yep, that covers what Dave said.

>How does referring to the tag team of Anderson and Burke by names from a
>very funny film indicate fixation?

It's an attempt at humiliation by someone who thinks that those
who don't agree with him are against all of ham radio. It doesn't
work, but it makes Heil feel good and Dave Heil is the only one
that counts in US amateur radio.

>I was aware that the characters are fictional.

Alec Baldwin is not fictional...he's a fairly good actor. "Spock"
is fictional and you are trying to make an enterprise about
anyone not in agreement with Dave Heil to be "bad" for US
amateur radio.

>Your history in amateur radio is also fictional.

No. The history of amateur radio may be exaggerated by the
ARRL but it isn't quite fictional.

Why don't you demand that the FCC does what you tell them?

Since 1934 the FCC hasn't been required to have any amateur radio license for its staff or commissioners to MAKE amateur radio regulations. By Dave Heil's logic that makes the ENTIRE FCC INCOMPETENT to make any sort of amateur regulations!

Ok, so MAKE the FCC get amateur radio licenses for its staff in order to make the amateur radio regulations. They can't possibly know "what is good for amateur radio" or even "tell radio amateurs what to do" if they aren't licensed!

Dave Heil and anyone who agrees with him are the only ones who know what is good for US amateur radio!

1820. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 18:57:18 UTC
From: pkearn_keane@yeates.eircom.org.com.net
Message-ID: <3d35bde0.6050784@news1.eircom.net>
Source record: 49741
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote:

> of operating a complex system. Operating is only trivial if the
> device has a trivial function. Try operating a 747 (Boeing not Yaesu).
> Clearly you have never operated a radio as you believe that 'FM will
> appear as unmodulated carrier' Ha Ha HA HA HA !!!!! and cannot

yes, no problem - where do you wanna go ?

1821. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 20:16:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717161630.26909.00000013@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49742

In article <3D34E141.D045E94E@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>> No, if you don't operate CW, you are on a lower plane of existence as
>> an amateur radio operator.
>
>Now, you can't have it both ways, Larry. If CW is the most basic form
>of amateur radio communications, that would put it on the lowest, most
>basic plane.

His plane is grounded... :-)

1822. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 20:16:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717161631.26909.00000014@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49743

In article <20020716213353.01564.00000440@mb-cu.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>No, if you don't operate CW, you are on a lower plane of existence as
>an amateur radio operator. I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
>code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
>That's as good as it gets.

"Look upon his works, ye mighty, and despair!" - Tenneyson

:-)

1823. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 20:16:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717161631.26909.00000015@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49746

In article <20020717062246.02908.00001047@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>His unprovoked attack on me.
>
>I don't recall he attacked you, Brian. I do recall he was provoked.
>
>Or is your repeated single-word post "waiver" not intended to provoke?

Are you the official modeator in here? Does Paul know of this?

Are you "waiving your superior moderateship" in here?

1824. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 20:16:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717161632.26909.00000016@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49744

In article <Ig5Z8.84586$JU3.34933196@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn"
<djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:511Z8.1435>
>
>> Spanish again? That's where you got into trouble last time.
>
>What do you have against Spanish people?

Those who sign off their messages with the profane "audios, amigo"
are not likely to be loved by Latinos.

Do you worship your sound system? How odd...

1825. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 20:16:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717161633.26909.00000017@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49745

In article <hU0Z8.1428$Tb2.38071855@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> > > I would contest against you in code anytime and win, Boy! When you
>> > > use code at greater than 18 wpm, you cannot use Farnsworth. Stop writing about
>> > things
>> > > you know nothing about.
>> >
>> > What waiver?
>>
>> Another characteristically stupid question.
>
>Waiver

I think Dan is "waiving at your superior intellect..." :-)

1826. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 20:16:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717161635.26909.00000018@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49791

In article <20020717141017.13297.00000001@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>You gave one of your many lectures on things obvious to everyone.

Don't be annoyed if you got a failing grade in my "lecture." :-)

>I think differently.

Not for 32 years. :-)

>You infer something that was not implied.

No, I'm just pointing out the OBVIOUS, Mr. Extreme Literalist.

>> You are an amateur extra.
>
>I've held that class of license since 1970. You have never held any class of
>amateur radio license. What does that have to do with the various approaches
>to retailing?

For 32 years for you, it's been the Word of Extras! :-)

How many commercial radio operator licenses has James Miccolis
held? Has he held one longer than 46 years? :-)

>I don't "become upset" at such things. I do post corrections to other's errors
>and misconceptions, as well as my own opions and relevant facts.

Now, now...you become upset when your own myths, suppositions,
and opions [sic] are shown to be irrelevant. :-)

>>You have failed to show "obviousness" of your statement. You stated that
>>the ARRL wants people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at all
>>possible. That's not obvious.
>
>Sure it is. You just don't want to see it.

Does the ARRL make a statement saying "buy our products at
retail outlets because we want you to?" I don't think so. I sure
haven't seen that statement. :-)

>>If the ARRL wanted people to go to retail outlets to buy their products, then
>>they would only advertise their wares but would not make them available
>>through mail-order.
>
>Untrue. Doing so would force everyone to buy through retail outlets.

"Force?!?" :-)

>>Hans Brakob has stated in here more than once about the shrinking
>>number of amateur radio retail outlets in the USA.
>
>Irrelevant.

Is it? I think Hans had a valid complaint. Why are you against Hans?

>>There are approximately 300 million in the USA population. If there are
>>really "200 stores selling ARRL publications" then that would be about
>>one store per 1.5 million in the population.
>
>So? What's your point?

Okay, I understand where you are coming from...an OBVIOUS
condition is ONLY obvious if YOU state it. It cannot possibly be
obvious if someone else states something. :-)

That's obvious to me. :-)

Do you think that one store per 1.5 million in the population is a
good condition to make ARRL products through retail outlets
"readily available?!?"

>Why? Your question is full of nastygram sarcastic overtones.

Now, now...settle down...you are upset again. Just because
someone doesn't agree with your exhalted opinions, that's not
a reason to throw a hissy-fit and grow snarly...

>>I have experience in costing-out publishing costs in addition to
>>experience in costing-out design, development, and manufacturing
>>of electronics goods.
>
>Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?

I can estimate it without having to get an internal, private
document from within the ARRL. Some of the publication
production information is already in the open. It's a matter of
contacting the printer, asking prices, doing the numbers.

Do you need an EXACT figure? I'm sure you won't be "satisfied"
with anything other than an exact figure...because your attitude
in here "in the interests of accuracy" demands exactness. Any
inexact is subject to denunciation, denigration, and accusations
of body odor. :-)

>That's a generality. Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL
>publications?

Do you? Remember, if you haven't got an EXACT figure, you are
"completely wrong" and irrlevant and should never post anything
again because you would "always be wrong, wrong, wrong!" :-)

>In theory, they "make it up on volume". Amazon's financial statements speak
>otherwise.

Is Amazon still in business? :-)

>Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?

Do you? :-)

>What is incorrect? I do point out errors and mistakes. You do make them. I do
>have a hard time keeping up.

Not a problem to me. If you can't keep up with everyone, maybe
this newsgroup is too much for you...

>>You may "suspect" all you want, but your statement is still incorrect,
>>biased, and uncivil.
>
>In other words, I'm right on target.

Heh heh heh...you aren't even firing on the same range, let alone
"being on target."

Try to keep up. I know it's hard, but you must try...

>>Like any political-action organization, the ARRL should not consider
>>itself above reproach.
>
>All you do is reproach it.

Okay, quote everything I've said about the ARRL to prove that
statement. EVERYTHING. Show your work.

Remember, anything you do not quote shall be indicative of YOUR
mistake. Make an error in here and you will be forever inaccurate
and totally wrong! :-)

>>in amateur radio. Some members of the ARRL, such as yourself,
>>do not allow any negative statements about the ARRL..
>
>"do not allow"? How is that done?

It's obvious in your statements. :-)

>>"Diminutives" in naming seems to be a standard practice in here. :-)
>
>Only by you.

Is this another one of those "you cannot do as I do, only do as I
say" kind of things? Must be... :-)

>Why should anyone here take "negative criticism" from you? You don't take it.
>Is this "do as Lenover21 says, not as Lenover21 does" things?

"Lenover21" hasn't said a thing. Your sentence is a perfect example
of the hypocrisy of your standard quoted above. :-)

>>Is Amazon still in business after many years? If so, it must be making
>>a profit. Businesses do not continue in business without profit.
>

>That's simply incorrect.

Why are you so angry at Amazon? Have they failed to deliver your
order? Sent you the wrong product? Amazon has been in business
for years. Have they filed for any sort of bankruptcy?

>>The words of the ARRL are generated by a minority of that minority...which
>>includes the board of directors of the ARRL.
>
>So what?

Not a problem if you are a Faithful Follower of your Chosen Leader.
They can do NO wrong and they KNOW what is best for all. :-)

Do you believe in minority rule? Do you wish to abolish democratic
principles? If so, why?

>>By contrast, CQ and 73 are independents, have no support from any
>>membership organization, depend solely advertising sales to stay in
>>business.
>
>They give away the magazines free?

Some are given away free. QST does the same. You can find that
out in the Publisher's Statement of each one.

"Subscription" costs to subscribers go almost entirely to "fulfillment,"
the word used to describe subscription handling, listing, and services
done by preparers (if contracted) and for mailing. That's standard in
the periodicals business. Didn't you know that?

>>Their sales are through retail sales outlets and through
>>subscribership. They have no buffer or larger group budget
>>advantage to fall back on during lean ad sales times.
>
>They also have no membership organization to operate, no station or lab, etc.

Where is the detailed listing of the ARRL operating budget that
proves your statement? Does QST profit go directly to lab,
station operation and maintenance? Show your work.

>>>Doesn't answer the question. What percentage of all licensed US hams are
>>>members of NCI?
>>
>>Irrelevant again.
>
>If it's irrelevant, then the ARRL percentage is also irrelevant.

No Code International does not state, infer, or imply that it is a
national amateur radio membership organization. The ARRL does.

No Code International is an international organization that seeks
the elimination of the morse code testing for an amateur radio
license anywhere. No Code International does not restrict

membership only to licensed radio amateurs. No Code International
has stated originally and continues to state that it will dissolve when
the morse code tests are eliminated.

The ARRL claims to represent "all" of US amateur radio yet its
membership is less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.
The ARRL keeps wanting more money. ARRL voting membership
is restrictive. ARRL membership requires money. NCI is free.

>Sounds like I'm onto what you really want.

Only in your little imagination. :-)

>>I am not in the reselling business nor the political-action lobbying
>>business nor am I a membership organization getting members to
>>give non-members the business. :-)
>
>I think you're in the gadfly business.

Now, now...I believe you are trying to "give me the business." :-)

1827. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 20:16:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717161636.26909.00000019@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49790

In article <ae1Z8.1444$Oe2.38253038@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Heil's amateur radio station in that country was then the ONLY
>> amateur station there; with a truly rare callsign issued (as a
>> compliment to an embassy employee?) he would be much in
>> demand as "DX" for the rest of the world. That probably was
>> very emotionally rewarding for him. It may have led to some
>> other emotional problems with logic and thinking he was truly
>> superior instead of just the rare-call individual.
>
>In the interest of "accuracy," its important to note that others with
>multiple DX calls cannot know much about DX.
>
>Oh, Please!

I gotta love that "in the interest of accuracy" such as N2EY's epic poems of "corrections." :-)

Dave may have eaten too many cashew nuts in the Guinea-Bisseau assignment...that may explain his "accuracy." :-)

1828. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 20:59:40 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <0VkZ8.71136$uw.37152@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

These allegations are false and libellous. As those who know Herb well can attest, he is not and has never been anti-semitic. The poster has no tape because none exists.

During the original hearing, some nut called Herb on the phone and said that he was going to send him a tape of KV4FZ making anti-semitic remarks. A tape did arrive in the mail but, when he listened to it, it was not Herb's voice and there were no "anti-semitic" remarks.

73

Frank K3EK0

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ah4cblqsj1@pita.alt.net...
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ah3j6a$pd9$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Herbie used the words, "death sentence." This implies suicide. Had he
taken
> > his life in desperation,then his wife sues the FCC for rendering cruel
and
> > inhuman punishment and just might win millions. You think the government
> > considers FZ as worth anything let alone millions?
> > AF2Y
>
> Selective enforcement.
>
> > "Larry Gannon" <lg23@bigmailtousenet.org> wrote in message
> > news:ah2ldl$633$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be
> > > all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement
> > > work right down the shitter. Follow that with the moment Schoenbohm
> > > attempts to check into the Maritime Mobile Net all hell will let
loose,
> > > and Hollingsworth can flush the shitter again just to make sure his
five
> > > years of hard work are gone down the shitter. And of course history
> > > will repeat itself, because where Herbie goes, his little band of
> > > sycophants are sure to follow.
> > >
> > > Larry
> > >
> > >
> > > "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ah19sp$ij6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...

> > > > Can you imagine...that Schoenbohm creature is back on the bands? And
the
> > > > Administrative Law Judge was a Jewish gent named Steinberg whom I'm
sure
> > > had
> > > > no knowledge of FZ's vicious anti-Semitism whch I can prove by tape.
How
> > > odd
> > > > this all is. WB2OTK gets what seems to be a "forever boot" off ham
radio
> > > and
> > > > did nothing but accidentally launch an email. KV4FZ is convicted of
> > this,
> > > of
> > > > that, was called a liar and he's back. I am totally bewildered but
then
> > > > again what's happened to Conservatism in this nation? I thought GW
and
> > > > company would be tough noogies but when a rat like Johnny "Taliban"
> > Lindh,
> > > > an American who had an avowed hatred of this nation and would have
> > killed
> > > > Americans in Afghanistan gets 20 years instead of the death sentence
and
> > > > that 20 may go down to actually 10, we're talking more liberal than
> > > liberal.
> > > > I simply cannot believe that KV4FZ got a "pass". What a travesty.
> > > > Doc,AF2Y
> > > >
> > >
> >
==========
> > > > ===
> > > > Before the
> > > >
> > > > FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> > > >
> > > > Washington, D.C. 20554
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > In the Matter of Applications
)
> > > > WT DOCKET NO. 01-352
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >)

> > > >
> > > > Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
> > > >
> > > > for a Station License in the Amateur)
> > > >
> > > > Radio
> > > >)
> > > >
> > > >)
> > > >
> > > > and
> > > >)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >)
> > > >
> > > > Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
> > > >
> > > > for a General Class Operator License in the)
> > > >
> > > > Amateur Radio
)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > To: Administrative Law Judge
> > > >
> > > > Arthur I. Steinberg
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ENFORCEMENT
BUREAU'S
> > > >
> > > > PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
> > > >
> > > > 1. By Hearing Designation Order, 17 FCC Rcd 1369 (2002)
("HDO"),
> > the
> > > > Commission commenced this proceeding to determine whether the
> > > > above-captioned Amateur Radio Service applications filed by Herbert
L.
> > > > Schoenbohm should be granted. The Commission concluded a hearing

was
> > > > necessary because Mr. Schoenbohm had offered no evidence of
> > rehabilitation
> > > > to offset previously adjudicated disqualifying behavior.
Specifically,
> > > Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm had used illicitly obtained telephone company access
codes,
> > > which
> > > > resulted in a felony conviction,[1] and he had testified deceitfully
in
> > a
> > > > subsequent Commission hearing.[2]
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 2. Consequently, in paragraph 7 of the HDO, the
Commission
> > > > specified the following issues for resolution in this proceeding:
> > > >
> > > > (a) To determine whether Herbert L. Schoenbohm possesses the
> > > > requisite character qualifications to be a Commission licensee.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > (b) To determine, in light of the evidence adduced under
issue
> > (a),
> > > > whether the captioned applications should be granted.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 3. Paragraph 10 of the HDO placed the burden of the
> > > > introduction of evidence and the burden of proof on Mr. Schoenbohm.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 4. A hearing on the issues was held in Washington, D.C.
on
> > > May
> > > > 7, 2002. The record in this proceeding was closed that same day.
Tr.
> > > 189.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > II. Proposed Findings of Fact

> > > >
> > > > A. background
> > > >
> > > > 5. In December 1992, Mr. Schoenbohm was convicted for
> > > > fraudulently using a counterfeit access device to obtain long
distance
> > > > telephone service in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1029(a)(1). Decision,
13
> > > FCC
> > > > Rcd at 15028. Mr. Schoenbohm was sentenced to house arrest for two
> > > months,
> > > > given two years probation, and ordered to pay a fine of $5,000.
Id., 13
> > > FCC
> > > > Rcd at 15028.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 6. In 1994, Mr. Schoenbohm filed applications to renew
his
> > > > Amateur Radio Service station license for KV4FZ and for his Amateur
> > Radio
> > > > Service operator license. In 1995, the Wireless Telecommunications
> > > Bureau,
> > > > under delegated authority, designed Mr. Schoenbohm's applications
for
> > > > hearing. HDO, 17 FCC Rcd at 1370. Following two hearing sessions,
the
> > > > Commission, in July 1998, determined that Mr. Schoenbohm had engaged
in
> > > > misrepresentation and was not fully candid in describing his
conviction.
> > > > The Commission found that Mr. Schoenbohm had testified deceptively
about
> > > his
> > > > conviction both in 1995 and again in 1997. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd at
> > > > 15029-31; Tr. 39. In addition, the Commission found that Mr.
Schoenbohm
> > > had
> > > > testified deceptively in 1997 about an amateur radio transmission he
> > made
> > > in
> > > > 1995, during which Mr. Schoenbohm solicited others to make ex parte
> > > > presentations to the Commission on his behalf. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd
at
> > > > 15032-36. Accordingly, the Commission denied Mr. Schoenbohm's
> > > applications.
> > > > However, in accordance with its usual policy, the Commission
authorized

> > > Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm to continue to operate his amateur station until the 91st
day
> > > > following the release date of judicial review of its decision.
> > Decision,
> > > > 13 FCC Rcd at 15037. Subsequent to the Supreme Court's action in
> > October
> > > > 2000, which denied Mr. Schoenbohm's petition for a writ of
certiorari,
> > Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm ceased operating amateur station KV4FZ in late January
2001.
> > > He
> > > > submitted the applications currently under consideration on March
31,
> > > 2001.
> > > > Tr. 42. In conjunction with his applications, Mr. Schoenbohm, on
April
> > > 14,
> > > > 2001, took and passed an examination for an extra class amateur
license
> > > > administered by the American Radio Relay League. Schoenbohm Ex. 31.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > B. Character Generally
> > > >
> > > > 7. At the instant hearing, Mr. Schoenbohm testified
that,
> > > prior
> > > > to his conviction, he had never been convicted of any felony or
serious
> > > > crime. He further testified that, subsequent to his conviction, he
has
> > > not
> > > > been convicted of anything. Schoenbohm Ex. 1. Before the
Commission
> > > denied
> > > > his renewal applications in 1998, Mr. Schoenbohm had held an amateur
> > > license
> > > > for over 40 years. Schoenbohm Ex. 14. He also holds a general
> > > > radiotelephone operator license. Schoenbohm Ex. 43.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 8. As for his testimony at the prior proceeding, Mr. Schoenbohm
> > explained
> > > > that he "was in a state of denial, believing that [he] had been
> > wrongfully

> > > > convicted of a crime that [he] did not commit." Schoenbohm Ex. 1.
> > > > Notwithstanding his personal opinion of the events surrounding his
> > > > conviction, Mr. Schoenbohm now realizes that he was found guilty and
> > that
> > > he
> > > > is a felon. Id. Addressing the fact that his written testimony in
this
> > > > proceeding omits any reference to the Commission's findings that he
> > > > misrepresented facts and lacked candor in his 1995 and 1997
testimony
> > > > regarding his felony conviction and ex parte communication
solicitation,
> > > Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm explained that he did not think he had to address the
> > > Commission'
> > > > s findings from the prior proceeding. Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 44,
46-47.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 9. Mr. Schoenbohm understands that the purpose of the instant
hearing
> > is
> > > to
> > > > determine whether he has "been sufficiently rehabilitated such that
the
> > > > Commission could be confident that [he] could be relied upon to deal
> > with
> > > > the Commission in an honest and forthright manner." Tr. 43. In
support
> > > of
> > > > his claim that he now possesses the requisite character
qualifications,
> > > Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm testified that he has worked hard to rehabilitate himself
> > "and
> > > to
> > > > overcome the effects of [his] conviction" and "to demonstrate that
[he
> > is]
> > > > capable of obeying the law and, in particular, the FCC's rules and
> > > > regulations." Schoenbohm Ex. 1. In this regard, Mr. Schoenbohm
> > testified
> > > > that for about the past eight years, he has "held a responsible
position
> > > > with the Virgin Islands government, in charge of expenditures and
> > > > supervision of large amount[s] of money and property. During that
time,
> > > > nobody has accused me of any dishonesty, connected with my work."

> > > > Schoenbohm Exs. 1. See also Schoenbohm Ex. 45. In this regard, the
> > > record
> > > > reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has worked in various capacities in the
> > > Virgin
> > > > Islands Department of Property and Procurement. Tr. 47; Schoenbohm
Exs.
> > > 4,
> > > > 13, 30, 41, 45, 56. Among other positions, Mr. Schoenbohm has been
the
> > > > manager for the Recovery Hill repeater site. In that role, he
"issued a
> > > > plan of attack to get all the illegal, unlicensed, not properly
licensed
> > > or
> > > > wrong location squatters that had moved in over the past ten years
and
> > > were
> > > > using the facility." Tr. 48. More recently, Marc Briggs, the
> > Commissioner
> > > > of the Department of Property and Procurement, designated Mr.
Schoenbohm
> > a
> > > > department "Essential Employee," effective August 21, 2001.
Schoenbohm
> > > Ex.
> > > > 41.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 10. In his capacity as a member of the Virgin Islands Department of
> > > > Property and Procurement, Mr. Schoenbohm serves on a committee that
> > > > evaluates all government radio purchases. Tr. 47. The chief legal
> > > counsel
> > > > for the department appointed Mr. Schoenbohm as the "radio site
> > inspector"
> > > to
> > > > investigate whether certain other Virgin Islands government agencies
> > were
> > > > procuring improperly licensed radios by skirting the competitive
bidding
> > > > process. Tr. 47-48. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that he has sent a
letter
> > > to
> > > > Joseph Casey of the Commission's Enforcement Bureau regarding this
> > matter,
> > > > and that Mr. Schoenbohm has communicated "with [Commission] field
> > > personnel
> > > > in Puerto Rico, Rueben Jusino, and ha[s] been cooperating with him
in

> > > trying
> > > > to get information, invoices, serial numbers, locations of radios,"
in
> > > this
> > > > on-going investigation. Tr. 48.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 11. Mr. Schoenbohm also responded to a complaint letter Riley
> > > > Hollingsworth, an FCC attorney, forwarded to Mr. Schoenbohm. Tr.
79.
> > > > According to Mr. Schoenbohm, Louis Bean, an amateur licensee,
complained
> > > to
> > > > the FCC about Mr. Schoenbohm's operating a radio station associated
with
> > > Mr.
> > > > Bean's amateur repeater. Tr. 78-80. Mr. Schoenbohm notes that Mr.
Bean
> > > > claimed that Mr. Schoenbohm restored Mr. Bean's station to operation
"by
> > > > hook or by crook." Tr. 78. Mr. Schoenbohm explained that, years
ago,
> > his
> > > > call sign had been the designated call sign for use on the repeater.
> > Tr.
> > > > 80. When Mr. Schoenbohm's call sign was removed from the repeater,
Mr.
> > > Bean
> > > > began using his call sign on the repeater. Tr. 80. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > > testified that he never had the ability to operate the equipment
> > > associated
> > > > with Mr. Bean's call sign. Mr. Schoenbohm testified his only
> > involvement
> > > > with the repeater was to unplug the equipment in response to the
letter
> > > from
> > > > Mr. Hollingsworth. Tr. 81.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 12. With regard to his reputation in the community, Mr. Schoenbohm
is
> > an
> > > >
> > > > elected and non-elected official of the Republican Party of the
United
> > > > State Virgin Islands. Tr. 52; Schoenbohm Ex. 3. Mr. Schoenbohm
also

> > > > continues to do volunteer work, although not to the same extent as
he
> > did
> > > > before his license was not renewed due to the passing of time. For
> > > example,
> > > > Mr. Schoenbohm recently worked on a triathlon. Tr. 52.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 13. The only other witness to testify in person was Mr. Frank Todd
of
> > > Port
> > > > Vue, Pennsylvania, who testified as to Mr. Schoenbohm's reputation
in
> > the
> > > > amateur radio community. Schoenbohm Ex. 22; Tr. 129-145. Mr. Todd,
who
> > > has
> > > > recently recovered from a car accident, traveled 236 miles to appear
at
> > > the
> > > > hearing. Tr. 131. Mr. Todd has known Mr. Schoenbohm since
> > approximately
> > > > 1995, when, at the age of 13, Mr. Todd became interested in amateur
> > radio
> > > > and used to monitor Mr. Schoenbohm's transmissions. Tr. 140-141;
> > > Schoenbohm
> > > > Ex. 22. During his years of listening to Mr. Schoenbohm, Mr. Todd
found
> > > Mr.
> > > > Shoenbohm's deportment exemplary. Id. Mr. Todd testified that he
> > > considers
> > > > Mr. Schoenbohm "a positive role model in amateur radio and an
individual
> > > > that is capable of making a very significant contribution to the
Amateur
> > > > Radio Service." Id. In fact, Mr. Schoenbohm was the "prime
inspiration
> > > and
> > > > motivation" that led to Mr. Todd's acquisition of amateur license
K3EKO.
> > > > Id.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 14. Mr. Todd did not meet Mr. Schoenbohm in person until 1999. Tr.
> > 141.
> > > > Although Mr. Todd did not have an amateur license at that time, he
> > > traveled

> > > > to the Virgin Islands to meet Mr. Schoenbohm, and he stayed with Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm and his family for a week. Schoenbohm Ex. 22. Mr. Todd
> > > > testified that he believes Mr. Schoenbohm to be a "decent, honest
and
> > > > hard-working person" and hopes the Commission will allow Mr.
Schoenbohm
> > > "to
> > > > prove himself and enjoy his later years through a wonderful activity
> > like
> > > > amateur radio." Id.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 15. Mr. Todd testified that he is familiar with the reasons
underlying
> > the
> > > > Commission's 1998 decision not to grant Mr. Schoenbohm's renewal
> > > > applications. Tr. 133. Specifically, Mr. Todd acknowledged that he
was
> > > > aware of Mr. Schoenbohm's lack of candor regarding his testimony
about
> > his
> > > > toll fraud conviction; however, Mr. Todd also admitted that he
didn't
> > > > understand the Commission's findings regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's lack
of
> > > > candor regarding his ex parte communications. Tr. 133, 136, 139.
Mr.
> > > Todd'
> > > > s awareness of the Commission's finding regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's
lack
> > of
> > > > candor did not change Mr. Todd's opinion as to Mr. Schoenbohm's
> > > > qualifications because Mr. Todd believes that Mr. Schoenbohm has
been
> > > > truthful to him. Tr. 137, 140. Mr. Todd further testified that
> > > > "[r]egardless of whatever Mr. Schoenbohm may have done, he has
certainly
> > > had
> > > > time to make the adjustments in his life necessary to re-qualify
himself
> > > as
> > > > a candidate for an Amateur Radio License." Schoenbohm Ex. 22.
> > > >
> > > > C. WSTX/WSTX-FM
> > > >
> > > > 16. After Hurricane Lenny hit the Virgin Islands in 1999 and
> > interrupted
> > > > the power supply for station WSTX(AM), Mr. Schoenbohm restored the

> > > station's
> > > > antenna and tuned the transmitter so that the station could continue
> > > > operating. Tr. 51, 53-54, 60, 62-63. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that
> > after
> > > > Hurricane Lenny passed through St. Croix, the Virgin Islands
Emergency
> > > > Management Agency directed him to install an antenna to ensure that
> > > station
> > > > WSTX was able to operate "so the public could be informed by FEMA
and by
> > > the
> > > > local government agencies on relief supplies. They considered it
vital
> > to
> > > > put that station back on the air," because WSTX(AM) was the primary
> > > station
> > > > that people listened to during emergencies. Tr. 60-61, 64. Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm
> > > > advised the owner of station WSTX that he would need a competent
> > > consulting
> > > > engineer in order to put the station in the proper mode, and that he
> > would
> > > > have to notify the Commission of the station's circumstances and
file
> > the
> > > > necessary papers as soon as practicable. Tr. 58, 60, 64-65.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > D. Contest
> > > >
> > > > 17. In October 2001, subsequent to the cancellation of the license
for
> > > > amateur station KV4FZ, Mr. Schoenbohm served as a host for a
participant
> > > in
> > > > an international radio competition or contest. Schoenbohm Ex. 1;
Tr.
> > 81.
> > > > The purpose of the competition was to have contestants make contact
with
> > > > other amateur stations around the world. Essentially, the message
sent
> > > and
> > > > received involved each station identifying itself and transmitting a
> > > message
> > > > consisting of a signal report and a numeral identifying which of 40
> > > "zones"
> > > > where the station was located. The contestant(s) who had the

highest
> > > score
> > > > would win. Tr. 84, 89, 102. Some months earlier, Mr. Schoenbohm
had
> > > placed
> > > > a notice on the Internet advising that he would rent out part of his
> > home
> > > to
> > > > amateur radio operators who wished to participate. Tr. 88. Steven
S.
> > > > Reichlyn, amateur radio licensee of station AA4V, accepted Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm's
> > > > offer and indicated that he and a friend would like to use Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm's
> > > > facilities, to include use of his home and radio equipment (a
> > transmitter,
> > > > amplifier, and a switch for some antennas). Tr. 89-90. At the last
> > > minute,
> > > > Mr. Reichlyn's friend cancelled. Tr. 89. Nevertheless, Mr.
Reichlyn
> > > > arrived at Mr. Schoenbohm's home on or about October 25, 2001, two
days
> > > > before the start of the contest, and did not leave Mr.Schoenbohm's
> > > premises
> > > > until after the contest ended on October 28, 2001. Tr. 81.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 18. The contest began on Saturday, October 27, 2001, at
> > 00:00
> > > > Greenwich Mean Time ("GMT") and lasted 48 hours. Tr. 96, 98,
100-101;
> > EB
> > > > Ex. 3. Mr. Reichlyn operated from inside of Mr. Schoenbohm's house,
a
> > > > single-level dwelling that is "basically a very open area that is
> > > separated
> > > > only by" louvered windows. Tr. 90. Mr. Reichlyn stopped
transmitting
> > at
> > > > 0627 GMT, 27 October and asked Mr. Schoenbohm if he would like to
> > operate
> > > > the microphone because of Mr. Schoenbohm's expertise at operating on
low
> > > > frequencies. Tr. 109. Because Mr. Reichlyn had entered a two-man
> > > contest,
> > > > he could have another person assist him with the contest. Tr. 97.
Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm accepted and began operating the equipment under Mr.

> > Reichlyn's
> > > > call sign from 0627 to 0758 GMT. Tr. 98, 110, 116. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > > contacted multiple operators during that period, including contacts
with
> > > > operators in St. Martin, Scotland, the Canary Islands, New Zealand,
> > > Ireland,
> > > > and Aruba. Tr. 105, 106, 112, 113-114, 116; EB Ex. 3. According to
Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm, Mr. Reichlyn did not stay in the equipment room with
him,
> > but,
> > > > nevertheless, supervised him during this time period by remaining on
the
> > > > premises and staying within earshot of Mr. Schoenbohm and observing
Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm's operations. Tr. 111.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 19. Mr. Reichlyn resumed operating the microphone at 0758 GMT and
did
> > not
> > > > ask Mr. Schoenbohm to take over for him again until the following
day.
> > > Tr.
> > > > 101, 117. Mr. Schoenbohm thereupon operated the equipment from
0834 to
> > > > 0903 GMT, 28 October. Tr. 101, 117; EB Ex. 3. During this second
spell
> > at
> > > > the microphone, Mr. Schoenbohm again contacted multiple operators,
> > > including
> > > > operators located in New Zealand and the Wallis and Futuna Islands
(a
> > > French
> > > > possession in the South Pacific). Tr. 119; EB Ex. 3. Mr.
Schoenbohm
> > > > testified that, during this second time period, Mr. Reichlyn "did
not
> > > leave
> > > > the premises" and that he, Mr. Reichlyn, was "always in a position
to
> > > > exercise the necessary controls to comply with the rules and
> > regulations."
> > > > Tr. 127-28. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that his premises consist of
the
> > > "ham
> > > > shack" and a very small yard, and that Mr. Reichlyn was always
within

> > > > earshot of the facility and that "he was always in a position to
control
> > > the
> > > > time, periods of time that I was operating." Tr. 128.
> > > >
> > > > 20. Mr. Schoenbohm is aware that section 97.115 of the Commission's
> > rules
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > prohibits certain communications involving a "third party."[3] Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm asserts that he did not violate section 97.115 of the
> > > Commission'
> > > > s rules when he operated radio equipment under Mr. Reichlyn's call
sign.
> > > > Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 106. As Mr. Schoenbohm understands that rule,
he
> > > > would be prohibited, as an unlicensed operator, from "passing
messages
> > to
> > > a
> > > > third party." Tr. 106; 108. Mr. Schoenbohm contends that the rules
> > would
> > > > not, however, prohibit him "from being a voice behind the microphone
to
> > > > establish the communication" with another operator. Tr. 106; 108.
Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm understood that, so long as a licensed operator
supervises
> > the
> > > > transmission, an unlicensed operator, such as Mr. Schoenbohm, could
> > speak
> > > > into the microphone. Tr. 108.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > E. Effect of the Loss of License
> > > >
> > > > 21. Mr. Schoenbohm acknowledged that "misrepresentation . . . is
> > > considered
> > > > to
> > > >
> > > > be a cardinal sin at the Commission," and he viewed the Commission's
> > > denial
> > > > of an application for renewal as "a death penalty." Tr. 175, 176.
> > > Although
> > > > Mr. Schoenbohm disavowed any intent to deliberately deceive the
trier of
> > > > fact during his earlier Commission hearings, he specifically

> > acknowledged
> > > > that the mistakes he made in his prior testimony "were egregious
> > mistakes,
> > > > fatal mistakes." Tr. 180. Mr. Schoenbohm believes that being
denied
> > use
> > > of
> > > > an amateur station for fifteen months has been an adequate sanction.
> > Tr.
> > > > 176. As he described it:
> > > >
> > > > [i]f you have been off the air for a year in ham radio you have
shamed
> > > > yourself, like a
> > > >
> > > > Japanese industrialist would, before the entire amateur community.
It
> > is
> > > a
> > > > very serious
> > > >
> > > > punishment when you are . . . a very high-profiled [sic]
individual,
> > > > involved a lot in
> > > >
> > > > contests and international operations, regarded as a person who has
won
> > > > contests and
> > > >
> > > > achieved world records, all of a sudden he is banished. That is a
much
> > > more
> > > > serious
> > > >
> > > > deterrent than a taxicab licensee losing his communication system
for
> > one
> > > > year because
> > > >
> > > > it is a personal shame that is attached to it.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > And it is very hard for me to explain that to you because only I
know
> > it.
> > > .
> > > > . . I let the
> > > >

> > > > community down and the Virgin Islands down where I cannot perform
the
> > > > services they
> > > >
> > > > have relied on in the past because I can't even talk to the National
> > > Weather
> > > > Service when
> > > >
> > > > a hurricane passes over. Tr. 176-177.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > III. PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 22. The HDO requires the Commission to determine whether Herbert L.
> > > > Schoenbohm possesses the requisite character qualifications to be a
> > > > Commission licensee.
> > > >
> > > > In assessing character, the Commission seeks to predict whether a
> > > > prospective licensee will be honest in his dealings with the
Commission
> > > and
> > > > will abide by the Commission's rules and the Communications Act.
See
> > > Policy
> > > > Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 102 FCC
2d
> > > 1179,
> > > > 1183, 1189-91 (1986) (subsequent history omitted) ("Character Policy
> > > > Statement").[4] As discussed herein, the Bureau believes that the
> > > evidence,
> > > > although mixed, supports a conclusion that Mr. Schoenbohm has the
> > > requisite
> > > > character qualifications. In this regard, the Bureau notes that its
> > > > reluctance to fully endorse Mr. Schoenbohm stems from his operation
of
> > an
> > > > amateur station when he did not have a license to do so.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 23. As noted in the HDO, the Commission considers
various
> > > > factors in determining whether an applicant such as Mr. Schoenbohm
has

> > > > rehabilitated himself and demonstrated that he possesses the
requisite
> > > > character qualifications to become or remain a licensee. Among
other
> > > > things, the Commission will consider: 1) whether the applicant has
> > avoided
> > > > any significant wrongdoing since the prior disqualifying misconduct;
2)
> > > the
> > > > amount of time elapsed since the misconduct; 3) the applicant's
> > reputation
> > > > for good character in the community; and 4)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > meaningful measures taken by the applicant to prevent the future
> > > occurrence
> > > > of misconduct. Moreover, in assessing the character of someone who,
> > like
> > > > Mr. Schoenbohm, had a previous application denied by the Commission,
the
> > > > Commission will also consider the deterrent impact of its previous
> > action.
> > > > Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 5
FCC
> > > Rcd
> > > > 3252, 3254 (1990) ("Modified Character Policy Statement").
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 24. Behavior subsequent to prior misconduct. The
record
> > > > reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has not engaged in any criminal
misconduct
> > > > since his 1992 conviction for toll fraud. However, as discussed
below,
> > > the
> > > > record reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act
> > > > subsequent to his 1995/1997 misconduct. Specifically, the findings
> > > support,
> > > > and it should be concluded, that Mr. Schoenbohm's operation of Mr.
> > > Reichlyn'
> > > > s station during an amateur contest violated section 301 of the
> > > > Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. &#65533; 301, because Mr. Schoenbohm's
operation
> > > was
> > > > not allowed by sections 97.5 and 97.7 of the Commission's rules.[5]
> > Read
> > > > together, those rules require that, during transmissions, an amateur

> > > station
> > > > be under the physical control of a control operator who is a
licensee.
> > > > Clearly, that was not the case during the two hours Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > operated
> > > > Mr. Reichlyn's station. Instead, the evidence reflects that Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm
> > > > had physical control of the station. In this regard, even though
Mr.
> > > > Reichlyn was present or nearby and able to supervise Mr. Schoenbohm,
it
> > is
> > > > apparent that Mr. Schoenbohm operated the station and made
transmissions
> > > > while at the microphone. Thus, Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301
of
> > the
> > > > Act because he did not have a license to transmit and was not
otherwise
> > > > authorized to operate Mr. Reichlyn's station.[6] Finally, Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm's
> > > > work on WSTX(AM)'s antenna in 1999 occurred in the context of
restoring
> > > > service following a hurricane. The "fix" was meant to be temporary,
and
> > > Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm advised the licensee that additional work was needed
before
> > the
> > > > station's antenna complied with the terms of the station's license.
Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm worked as a contractor and was not responsible for the
> > legality
> > > > or illegality of WSTX's antenna.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 25. Time since the misconduct. The evidence reflects
that
> > > the
> > > > last misrepresentation occurred in 1997, when Mr. Schoenbohm
testified
> > > > falsely before a Commission Administrative Law Judge. However, as
noted
> > > > above, Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act during a
two-hour
> > > > period in October 2001, when, although unlicensed, he operated Mr.
> > > >
> > > > Reichlyn's station.

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 26. Applicant's reputation for good character in the
> > > community.
> > > > The only direct evidence of reputation proffered by Mr. Schoenbohm
was
> > the
> > > > testimony of Frank Todd. However, Mr. Todd testified unequivocally
that
> > > Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm's reputation for good character in the Virgin Islands was
> > > > favorable. The Bureau submits it is also appropriate to infer that
Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm's reputation for character in the local community is good
in
> > > view
> > > > of his current employment, his employment history, and his position
in
> > the
> > > > local Republican Party. Specifically, as to employment, Mr.
Schoenbohm
> > > has
> > > > held and continues to hold positions of responsibility and trust in
the
> > > > Virgin Islands' Department of Property and Procurement.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > 27. Meaningful measures taken by the applicant to
prevent
> > the
> > > > future occurrence of misconduct. The denial of Mr. Schoenbohm's
renewal
> > > > application occurred because he committed a felony and because he
> > > testified
> > > > deceitfully before this agency. Consequently, meaningful measures
> > should
> > > > reasonably include a commitment by Mr. Schoenbohm to avoid criminal
> > > behavior
> > > > and to be truthful in all his dealings with this agency. The
findings
> > > > reflect that such has occurred. As noted, Mr. Schoenbohm has not
been
> > > > convicted of anything since 1992, and there is nothing in the record
to
> > > > suggest that Mr. Schoenbohm will commit crimes in the future. As
for

> > > > truthfulness, Mr. Schoenbohm recognizes that he made "fatal
mistakes" in
> > > his
> > > > prior hearing testimony. While not exactly an unequivocal
commitment to
> > > > future truthfulness, the Bureau believes it sufficient, when coupled
> > with
> > > > Mr. Schoenbohm's recognition that misrepresentation is a "cardinal
sin
> > at
> > > > the Commission," to constitute a meaningful measure to prevent a
future
> > > > recurrence of deceit.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 28. Deterrent impact of previous denial. Mr.
Schoenbohm
> > has
> > > > not been authorized to operate an amateur station since January
2001.
> > > Given
> > > > his governmental positions and his previous use of amateur radio,
inter
> > > > alia, in connection with hurricane relief, Mr. Schoenbohm apparently
had
> > > > some notoriety in the amateur community. Thus, as he testified, his
> > > > banishment, which has now extended some 17 months,[7] could have
caused
> > > him
> > > > great shame. Such shame, and the desire to avoid such shame in the
> > > future,
> > > > should lead to the conclusion that the Commission's previous denial
has
> > > had
> > > > the desired deterrent impact. Notwithstanding Mr. Schoenbohm's
October
> > > 2001
> > > > violation of the Communications Act, it is the Bureau's view that
Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm has learned his lesson and will not, in the future,
engage in
> > > > behavior that calls into question his fitness to be a Commission
> > licensee.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 29. In light of the foregoing, it appears that Mr.
> > Schoenbohm

> > > > has shown by a
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > preponderance of the evidence that he possesses the requisite
character
> > to
> > > > be a Commission licensee.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > IV. ULTIMATE CONCLUSION
> > > >
> > > > 30. Issue (b) requires the determination of whether, in light of
the
> > > > evidence adduced, the captioned applications should be granted. For
the
> > > > reasons noted above, the Bureau believes they should.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
Respectfully
> > > > submitted,
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Charles
W.
> > > > Kelley
> > > >
> > > > Chief,
> > > > Investigations and Hearings Division
> > > >
> > > >
Enforcement
> > > > Bureau
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > James W.

> > Shook
> > > >
> > > >
Attorney,
> > > > Investigations and Hearings Division
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dana E.
> > > Leavitt
> > > >
> > > >
Attorney,
> > > > Investigations and Hearings Division
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Federal Communications Commission
> > > >
> > > > 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 3B-443
> > > >
> > > > Washington, D.C. 20554
> > > >
> > > > (202) 418-1420
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > July 9, 2002
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I, Karen Richardson, secretary of the Investigations and
> > > > Hearings Division,
> > > >
> > > > Enforcement Bureau, certify that I have, on this 9th day of July,
2002,
> > > > served, by the method indicated, copies of the foregoing
"Enforcement
> > > Bureau
> > > > 's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law" to:
> > > >

> > > > Via facsimile, email and first class mail:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Herbert L. Schoenbohm
> > > >
> > > > Post Office Box 4419
> > > >
> > > > Kingshill, Virgin Islands 00851
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Via hand delivery to:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Administrative Law Judge Arthur I. Steinberg
> > > >
> > > > Federal Communications Commission
> > > >
> > > > 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 1-C861
> > > >
> > > > Washington, D.C. 20554
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > _____
> > > >
> > > > Karen Richardson
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
> ---
> > > --
> > > > ----
> > > >
> > > > [1] See United States v. Schoenbohm, No. Crim.: 1991/0108 (D.V.I.
Dec.

> > > 30,
> > > > 1992), aff'd in pertinent part, No. 93-7516 (3d Cir. July 22, 1994),
> > > > rehearing den. (3d Cir. Nov. 2, 1994).
> > > >
> > > > [2] Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 13 FCC Rcd 15028 (1998) ("Decision"),
recon.
> > > > den., 13 FCC Rcd 23774 (1998), aff'd, 204 F.3d 243 (D.C. Cir. 2000),
> > > > rehearing en banc denied (May 2, 2000), as amended (June 28, 2000),
> > cert.
> > > > denied, 531 U.S. 968 (2000).
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > [3] Section 97.3(46) of the Commission's rules defines a third
party
> > > > communication as a message from the control operator (first party)
of an
> > > > amateur station to another amateur station control operator (second
> > party)
> > > > on behalf of another person (third party).
> > > >
> > > > [4] Although the character policy arose in the context of broadcast
> > > > licensing, the principles used to assess character apply to all
> > decisions
> > > > involving Commission authorizations. See, e.g., Marc Sobel, 17 FCC
Rcd
> > > > 1872, 1893-94 (2002), recon. denied, 17 FCC Rcd 8562 (2002).
> > > >
> > > > [5] Section 301 of the Communications Act reads in pertinent part:
"No
> > > > person shall use or operate any apparatus for the transmission of
energy
> > > or
> > > > communications or signals by radio . except under and in accordance
with
> > > > this Act and with a license.." In pertinent part, section 97.5(a)
of
> > the
> > > > rules states: "The station apparatus must be under the physical
control
> > of
> > > a
> > > > person named in an amateur station license grant on the ULS
consolidated
> > > > license database . before the station may transmit on any amateur
> > service
> > > > frequency.." 47 C.F.R. § 97.5(a). Section 97.7 of the rules
provides:
> > > > "When transmitting, each amateur station must have a control

operator.
> > > The
> > > > control operator must be a person: (a) For whom an amateur
> > > operator/primary
> > > > station license grant appears on the ULS consolidated licensee
> > database.."
> > > > 47 C.F.R. 97.7. Section 97.3(a)(13) defines control operator as:
"An
> > > > amateur operator designated by the licensee of a station to be
> > responsible
> > > > for the transmissions from that station to assure compliance with
the
> > FCC
> > > > Rules," while section 97.3(a)(1) defines amateur operator as: "A
person
> > > > holding a written authorization to be the control operator of an
amateur
> > > > station." 47 C.F.R. 97.3(a)(1) and (13).
> > > >
> > > > [6] In light of this conclusion, the Bureau believes it need not
> > address
> > > > whether Mr. Schoenbohm's actions also resulted in a violation of
section
> > > > 97.115 of the rules, which regulates third party communications.
See,
> > > > generally, Kenwood Communications Corp., 15 FCC Rcd 13819, 13825 n.
29
> > > > (2000). See also 47 C.F.R. 97.3(a)(46); Public Notice, Amateur
> > Service
> > > > International Arrangements, July 21, 1999, 1999 WL 511186.
Moreover,
> > even
> > > > though Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301 of the Act, the presiding
> > > officer
> > > > may not impose a forfeiture due to the absence of the requisite
notice.
> > > See
> > > > 47 U.S.C. 503(b)(3); 47 C.F.R. 1.80(g).
> > > >
> > > > [7] The Bureau notes that the Commission is considering an
amendment to
> > > > section 1.937 of the Commission's rules. In the Matter of Amendment
of
> > > > Section 1.937 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Repetitious or
> > > > Conflicting Applications, 17 FCC Rcd 5628 (2002). Section 1.937
> > currently
> > > > prohibits "repetitious" applications, that is, applications filed
within
> > > 12

> > > > months of the denial or dismissal of a substantially similar
> > application.
> > > > While that rule did not explicitly bar Mr. Schoenbohm's current
> > > application,
> > > > the Commission plainly intended that applicants such as Mr.
Schoenbohm
> > > wait
> > > > at least 12 months before seeking to reacquire the license that had
been
> > > so
> > > > recently lost. See id, 17 FCC Rcd at 5629. Because of the hearing
> > > > proceeding, however, the passage of time between the denial of Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm's renewal application and the submission of his current
> > > > application is no longer an issue of any import.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

1829. Re: Let's Welcome back KV4FZ with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 22:05:57 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ah4pto$re8$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:2PkZ8.52289$1q2.4028830@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?
>
> This would be fun! Anyone can check in. Freind or Foe. Let's welcome
> Herbie back.
>
> Herbie is back. Let us rejoice.
>
> Lloyd
>
>
> --
> Lloyd Davies
> B.A.R.F Chief of Communications
> (New Webpage coming soon!)
==========
====
Are those church bells I hear ringing or just the "Gong Show?"
>
>
>
>
>

1830. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 22:10:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717181031.01571.00000001@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 49796

In article <20020713161621.01545.00000064@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020713120649.01569.00000046@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <20020713063848.12736.00000375@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>>(W1RFI)
>>writes:
>>
>>>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>>>
>>>>Jim, be careful with that. Its what a lot of the Extra arguments are
>based
>>>>upon. If you were to negate it, Dick would have nothing.
>>>
>>>I have never once seen Dick claim that he was right because he was older.
>>
>>Nor I.
>
>Dick has made an enormous number of "claims" in here and
>he HAS cited his "long experience" in many things.

So have many people. It is not the same thing as saying "I'm older than you,
therefore I'm right", which has been tossed at me a number of times by folks
Cecil and "Lenover21"

> Most
>reasoning folks could equate "long experience" as being due
>to age...but that apparently doesn't apply if opinions differ?

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.

>:-)
>
>>>Care
>>>to do one of those google searches you are always telling others to do and
>>>post the quote?
>>
>>What I have seen is similar claims by Cecil and Lenover21. They cite being
>>older than me as a reason that my arguments are supposedly invalid.
>
>N2EY's OPINIONS are challenged as being invalid.

How can an opinion be "invalid"? Suppose Cecil's favorite ice cream is vanilla
bean - are you going to argue that chocolate chip mint is "better", (even

though Cecil has tried chocolate mint chip and hates it) and that Cecil's opinion is "invalid"? Sounds like it!

Your challenging my opinions shows that you find my arguments to be unchallengable.

>Try to learn the difference...please.

I know the difference, thank you.
>
>>Cecil gave
>>up on that game long ago but Lenover21 keeps at it for some reason.
>
>Leonard H. Anderson keeps at it to counter the OPINIONS of
>N2EY as being "equal" to What Is Best In Amateur Radio.

Indeed. Rather than accept my facts, arguments and opinions, people should blindly accept your "I'm older, therefore I'm right" statements? Get real! Please.
>
>>Both are mistaken, of course.
>
>Naturally. NO ONE knows better than N2EY What Is Best in
>Amateur Radio. :-)

You said that, not me.

Jim, N2EY

1831. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 22:33:19 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D35F0AF.4204E4E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I don't suppose he will get his old callsign back, unless he
does a vanity application.

As far as felonies go, it was a relatively minor one, as
compared to rape, murder, bank robbery and such.

1832. Let's Welcome back KV4FZ with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 22:58:38 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <daviesl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <2PkZ8.52289$1q2.4028830@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?

This would be fun! Anyone can check in. Freind or Foe. Let's welcome
Herbie back.

Herbie is back. Let us rejoice.

Lloyd

--
Lloyd Davies
B.A.R.F Chief of Communications
(New Webpage coming soon!)

1833. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 23:06:16 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <ILmZ8.72263$uw.37393@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Robert,

Herb does have an application filed to get KV4FZ back. You are right about the felony. There was a ham in prison who renewed his ticket even though he is a convicted child molester who used to lure kids into his ham shack. We all know who he is.

73

Frank K3EKO

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3D35F0AF.4204E4E@ix.netcom.com...
> I don't suppose he will get his old callsign back, unless he
> does a vanity application.
>
> As far as felonies go, it was a relatively minor one, as
> compared to rape, murder, bank robbery and such.
>
>
>

1834. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 23:08:01 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <daviesl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RXkZ8.52450$1q2.4065447@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49794
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:0VkZ8.71136$uw.37152@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> These allegations are false and libellous. As those who know Herb well
can
> attest, he is not and has never been anti-semitic. The poster has no tape
> because none exists.
>
> During the original hearing, some nut called Herb on the phone and said
that
> he was going to send him a tape of KV4FZ making anti-semitic remarks. A
tape
> did arrive in the mail but, when he listened to it, it was not Herb's
voice
> and there were no "anti-semitic" remarks.
>
> 73
>
> Frank K3EKO

Well said Frank.

These knotheads like Doc and Woger are upset that Herb is back! I wonder how long it will take for these 2 to revert back to thier nasty selves on the air.

--
Lloyd Davies
B.A.R.F Chief of Communications
(New Webpage coming soon!)

1835. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-17 23:58:13 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 49799
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
>> > Riley Hollingsworth
>> >
>The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.

A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
the courtroom staff every time....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1836. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 00:12:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3607ba.2308662@news.cet.com>
Source record: 49800
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In <cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:

>On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
>>> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
>>> > Riley Hollingsworth
>>> >
>>The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.
>
> A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
> so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
> is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
> the courtroom staff every time....
>
>---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Take it for what it's worth, but to quote Jesse Ventura, "You have the
Constitutional right to be stupid."

1837. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 00:31:25 UTC
From: "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com>
Message-ID: <ujeneqhb9biic6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

> LET THE RADIO WARS BEGIN !!!!!!

what time and frequency?

phil :)

1838. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 00:58:45 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <BloZ8.55031$1q2.4796824@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49651

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020717161632.26909.00000016@mb-mo.aol.com...
> In article <Ig5Z8.84586$JU3.34933196@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan
Finn"
> <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:511Z8.1435>
> >
> >> Spanish again? That's where you got into trouble last time.
> >
> >What do you have against Spanish people?
>
> Those who sign off their messages with the profane "audios, amigo"
> are not likely to be loved by Latinos.

This, coming from someone who throws around the word "overreaction" with
reckless abondon.
>
> Do you worship your sound system? How odd...

No comprende.

1839. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 01:21:54 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <TyoZ8.91957$JU3.36656128@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49652

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:T%0Z8.1434$ed2.38151682@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:VlLY8.37176$1q2.2084941@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:54IY8.193$c83.11513888@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> > > news:_SpY8.67278$JU3.26069608@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:s13Y8.667
> > > > > Dan, that's a whole lot of over-reaction. So what was your waiver
> > for?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sort of like your very abnormal and irrational over-reaction to my
> > little
> > > > > joke about your so-called 'porno' solicitation? I demand an apology.
> > > >
> > > > You're a funny guy.
> > > >
> > > > I'm still waiting on my apology for your sick "joke."
> > >
> > > heh heh heh. Audios, amigo!
> >
> Sayonara, baby!

You know when you are beaten.

1840. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 01:32:41 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <ah55un$qh5kg$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49653
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk...
> Brain Rarey wrote:
<snip>
> Clearly you have never operated a radio as you believe that 'FM will
> appear as unmodulated carrier' Ha Ha HA HA HA !!!!!
> Andy, M3ABC
>
FM on a AM RX Will appear as a unmodulated carrier if tuned to the centre
frequency
You won't hear by slope detection, until you slope off frequency

Steve Terry

1841. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 01:40:08 UTC
From: Itole Yasoe <Anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <ah566uqpl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49654
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:ILmZ8.72263$uw.37393@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Robert,
>
> Herb does have an application filed to get KV4FZ back. You are right
about
> the felony. There was a ham in prison who renewed his ticket even though
he
> is a convicted child molester who used to lure kids into his ham shack.
We
> all know who he is.
>
> 73
>
> Frank K3EKO
>

There is also another Ham who has made his name widely known that already
has one felony (and jail time) for illegally distributing pharmaceuticals,
and he has yet another, more recent felony pending for aggravated
stalking...not to mention a couple more misdemeaner charges that went along
with his latest arrest. Hmmmm.....I wonder if he will keep his license, too?

1842. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 01:52:09 UTC
From: the microphone <hignisfor@aol.com>
Message-ID: <dbpZ8.1422$Kg.1366@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Larry Gannon" <lg23@bigmailtousenet.org> wrote in message
news:ah2ldl$633$0@pita.alt.net...
> The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be
> all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement
> work right down the shitter. Follow that with the moment Schoenbohm
> attempts to check into the Maritime Mobile Net all hell will let loose,
> and Hollingsworth can flush the shitter again just to make sure his five
> years of hard work are gone down the shitter. And of course history
> will repeat itself, because where Herbie goes, his little band of
> sycophants are sure to follow.
>
> Larry

Larry, I could not have put it better myself.

I really really hope for the future of ham radio
that he does not re-start the old 14.313 wars
again, as this time the mayhem will be TEN TIMES
worse and Hollingsworth will have a TRUE RADIO WAR
on his hands that will make CB look like kids stuff- take it to the bank.

1843. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 02:09:54 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <SrpZ8.552927$352.96784@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 49656
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Do you have a callsign, Sir?

"the microphone" <hignisfor@aol.com> wrote in message
news:dbpZ8.1422$Kg.1366@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Larry Gannon" <lg23@bigmailtousenet.org> wrote in message
> news:ah2ldl$633$0@pita.alt.net...
> > The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be
> > all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement
> > work right down the shitter. Follow that with the moment Schoenbohm
> > attempts to check into the Maritime Mobile Net all hell will let loose,
> > and Hollingsworth can flush the shitter again just to make sure his five
> > years of hard work are gone down the shitter. And of course history
> > will repeat itself, because where Herbie goes, his little band of
> > sycophants are sure to follow.
> >
> > Larry
>
> Larry, I could not have put it better myself.
>
> I really really hope for the future of ham radio
> that he does not re-start the old 14.313 wars
> again, as this time the mayhem will be TEN TIMES
> worse and Hollingsworth will have a TRUE RADIO WAR
> on his hands that will make CB look like kids stuff- take it to the bank.
>
>

1844. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 03:06:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C7C5B2D.DD230D9E@att.net>
Source record: 49657

W1RFI wrote:

> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >As long as you want it to go on, Ed. Dave says he's tired of it, but then
> >...
>
> But then... Brian absolutely must have the last word -- by proclamation a dozen
> times.

What good is the last word a dozen times over if it is meaningless?

1845. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 03:06:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C7C2945.C5715660@att.net>
Source record: 49659

Dan Finn wrote:

> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:tV0Z8.1429$Mb2.38064656@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > Waiver?
>
> No, I never obtained a waiver of any kind.
> de KR4AJ

Don't waste your time trying to convince E-gadfly when his
mind, such as it is, is made up.

1846. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 03:06:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C7C2E0D.91ACC677@att.net>
Source record: 49658

W1RFI wrote:

> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >He has yet to say, "No, I didn't get a code waiver."
>
> >Dan, did you get a Code Waiver?
>
> What makes that the least bit of your business?

E-gadfly is clearly trolling his way through life, having missed, -nay, outright rejected- his golden opportunity many years ago. He's the epitome of a sad case. Nowdays his highest ambition is to "win" the message count on rrap each month, with mundane trivialities attempting to masquerade as informed opinions, empty positions and endless inaccurate trollin accusations toward his "enemies"(or is that his enemas?) With liberal sprinklings of thinly vieled untruths and outright lies, from another of our "superior character" NCTA persons.
Whatta guy! Whatta goal!

1847. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 06:46:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D36640E.7FFC1B7F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49660

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D344749.E171DA81@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Thanks for proving my point, Doctor Evil. There was no implication that
> >the idea was mine.
>
> Sorry, but you most definitely say it was your "good idea."

That is a blatant falsehood. I never said the idea was mine.

> When confronted with someone who looked up Guinea-Bisseau
> and pointed out its impovrished world trade condition, you
> became defensive and blathered about "synchronization."

What does Guinea-Bissau's economic condition have to do with the
operation of the U.S. embassy's comm center?

> >In fact, I've stated on several occasions that the
> >idea was not mine.
>
> Well over a year later. You then tried to explain it as State
> Department radio procedures policy in a round of double-
> talk.

This one's not true either. I do recall telling you that you don't have
a clearance or a need to know for further information. You didn't like
it then. I'm going to guess that you aren't going to like it now.

> >Why would "Heil" think that? Do you think you are a radio amateur "in
> >here"?
>
> The term "order wire" is relatively unfamiliar to anyone not working
> in rather specialized telecommunications. "Order wire" came from
> landline telegraphy (teleprinter) and telephony practice referring to
> the command circuit used internally to carry on common carrier
> communications on multiple circuits.

Sorry, Len. I can't respond when you snip out the relevant portions.

> >It probably was poor wording. Teleprinters are self-synchronizing but I
> >wasn't referring to self-synchronization. I referred to crypto sync.
>
> "Crypto sync?!?" You are bluffing again. You didn't say that
> before. Is this another cover-up of previous bad wording? Of
> course it is.

Yes, I did say that.

> Is State's communications so lax that it sends crypto details
> IN CLEAR?!? Did you send KEY LISTS in clear too?!?

Details? You mean like a segment number? Yes, those may be sent in the clear.

> >"Heil" didn't say that at all though I did make statements about the use
> >of the CW circuit since our station was not in operation 24 hours per
> >day.
>
> If Heil didn't say it, then who is masquerading as Heil?

So far, it'd be you since you are the individual coming up with the phoney quotes.
>
> Then the above bears out my original criticsm that Guinea-Bisseau
> is NOT of strategic importance to the USA nor is that embassy
> any hot point of sending espionage information through the, what,
> single TTY circuit?

Not many embassy comm centers are open for business 24 hours per day, Len. I doubt whether even London or Paris do that these days. The importance of Guinea-Bissau to the United States in terms of the uncivil discussion is irrelevant.

> >We weren't broadcasting, Len, and didn't do a "schedule" more than a
> >couple of days in advance. There are time, due to severe multipath
> >distortion, that even a teleprinter running in the clear, without crypto
> >overhead, and using link enhancement, will print only garbage. During
> >those periods, which occur much of the year in West Africa, CW worked
> >when teleprinters did not work.
>
> Nonsense. More bluffing...or the State Department radio equipment
> in Guinea-Bisseau was obtained as WW2 surplus.

Neither. You, it would appear, do not know what you are talking about.

> >Illogical, Spock? Any baudot character distortion caused by multipath
> >can render a teleprinter totally unuseable. If you have no teleprinter
> >order wire, you can't easily arrange to open, close or QSY the circuit.
> >It wasn't as if I was working in a place where I could make a telephone
> >call.
>
> Illogical bluff bullshit. If you can't send command information
> "because of severe multipath rendering teleprinting totally
> unuseable," you can't send any regular traffic either.

Brilliant! You are absolutely correct. Under those conditions, you can't send or receive traffic. You can attempt to QSY lower in frequency to see if it is possible to find a frequency not effected by the multipath distortion. If not, it is quite simple to send a closure via CW and to include a time for opening the following day.

> RTTY has
> been going on for decades before the eighties and other folks in
> radio communications know how to handle it as TTY.

Was there a relevant point?

> >"Heil" never made that statement either. The system was not in use
> >world wide by State. The system was not even Africa-wide. There were
> >places using satellites and leased lines for the primary circuit.
>
> Then why didn't you uplink to the TDRS? Now you are describing
> a VERY hole-in-the-wall operation by State, planned and manned
> by folks who don't seem to know how to maintain a radio circuit.

Gosh, if State had only known that men of your calibre were available,
so much more could have been accomplished!

> >It is apparent from your comments that you still don't know anything
> >about State Department comms.
>
> It is apparent that the alleged State Department radio ace is
> trying to pull off a bluffing fast-one on everybody. Duhhh..."nobody
> else knows a thing about State Department comms"...duhhhh.

How is that apparent, Len? What is it that you know about the
circumstances requiring the practices? I've not said that nobody else
knows anything about State Department communications. I've said that
YOU don't know anything about them.

> >Did you think that the RACES system was in use worldwide?
>
> No, never did. I said that two RACES machines were IN USE
> in DC (referring to Washington, DC) for archival storage on the
> State Department network.

So what did these have to do with Guinea-Bissau, Lisbon or Reykjavik?

> >Do you believe it to still be in use?
>
> No. Irrelevant question. RACES was fine at the end of the 60s
> but has been superceded by smaller, more efficient, greater-
> memory-capacity minimal-mechanical systems.

No. Irrelevant insertion of comment about equipment not used in decades
at State and not used at any embassy anywhere in the world. Knowledge
of RACES does not qualify you as even mildly knowledgeable of State
Department communications systems or techniques.

> >Did you think that mechanical
> >teleprinters were still in use in other than Africa and a few Asian
> >spots in the 1980s?
>
> From what you've described, the Guinea-Bisseau station was
> put together from WW2 surplus equipment in its "efficiency."

I have not described the equipment at all.

> >What we have here is someone never involved in State commo dismissing
> >the statements of one who was actively involved in State Department
> >communications over many years.
>
> What we have really is an ex-State person doing his best to
> bluff and make his exploits sound like "expertise." Yes, we've
> all been treated to your "important assignments" in "key State
> Department assignments" such as Guinea-Bisseau (whose
> chief balance of trade commodity is exportation of cashew nuts).

Assignments are not based on the perceived importance of the post.
You've come on like you are more knowledgeable than me on the topic of
amateur radio. Now you write as if you know more about my job than I
did. Do you think anyone believes you?

> If I want entertaining FICTION, I'll go to Clancy, not Heil.

That should keep you busy for a while.

> >That's about as silly as you telling us
> >what is best for amateur radio.
>
> Poor baby, having to resort to THAT are you? You are certainly
> getting deficient on "claims to expertise" in US government.

I'm neither poor nor a baby. You aren't in a position to judge my
claims to expertise in amateur radio or State Department communications.
You don't have any background in either.

> Heil does not grant US amateur radio licenses.
>
> Heil does not set US amateur radio regulations.
>
> Heil is just an ordinary citizen of the US whose word is no
> better, but possibly a bit worse, than any other US citizen.
>
> Heil can only "claim turf" or claim some territorial imperative
> through having an amateur radio license for years. All Heil
> can prove by such long tenure is that he knows what is best
> for Heil, not for the majority of the rest of amateur radio.

My qualifications for knowing what is best for amateur radio are 39
years as an active ham. Your qualifications are what? Oh yeah,
ordinary citizen non-participant in amateur radio.
>
> >Sure, I could have avoided all that by letting you blow smoke up the
> >asses of any who would be naive enough to know how you operate.
>
> Of course you could, because "blowing smoke up others' asses"
> IS what you do.

No, Len. The smoke and mirrors belong to you. You seem to have snipped
the item on which I was commenting.

> >You
> >pontificate, chuff and puff and in general sway others into believing
> >that what you say is true by sheer length and number of posts.
>
> So...we should all leave the pontification, chuffing, and puffing to
> YOU?!? You, the classic archtypical "ugly American" of manner?

You could add all my posts together and they probably wouldn't make up
more than one or two of yours.

> According to Heil, ALL should let him preen, posture, pontificate,
> and blow smoke to make Heil look mahhhhhvelous. :-)

My posts aren't long enough to permit pontification, Len. What you've
accorded to "Heil", Heil didn't write.

> >No one
> >can know more about something dealing with the world of electronic
> >communications than you, huh?
>
> Getting aggravated and infuriated? :-)
>
> I've never laid any such claim on my abilities. You've emphasized
> all that bullshit.

C'mon, Len. Now you know more about my State Department job than I did.
Your typical bluster isn't likely to frighten me, so knock it off. You
don't know diddly about State commo and you don't know diddly about ham
radio.

> If your RTTY circuit is suffering so much "multipath distortion that
> you can't use it for control purposes," then it sure as hell is so
> very UNSUITABLE for any regular traffic.

That's right again, Doctor Evil!

> Either fix it,

It wasn't broken. The ionosphere was broken.

> get good equipment,

...had plenty of good equipment. Now what to do, what to do....

but quit laying a line of bullshit on everyone else
> about conditions you and you alone seem to be the only one
> cognizant of.

No, no, Len. Conditions which YOU are not cognizant of.

> By the way, I talked to Jack. You don't know Jack.
>
> >My original comments on this topic countered your balderdash that the

> >U.S. government no longer used morse.
>
> It still doesn't use morse codes for communications. There's NO
> verifiable source to prove your point.

Why would the government bother to tell you or clear things with you,
Len? Who the hell are you?

> >That's right. "Heil" doesn't concede or give-in when he has made
> >statements which are true and correct.
>
> Heil doesn't DARE give in. To give in would mean losing face (in
> the oriental sense). Unlike cards where you MUST show hidden
> cards after a call, you've gotten away with a LOT of bluffing,
> huffing, and puffing in here. Your only "source" is Dave Heil's
> statements. Has Heil produced any US State Department
> statements to prove his point? No. Heil cites his years of State
> service and implications of Good Works therein.

"Heil" doesn't need to produce government documents to prove anything.
You'd better be able to come up with something to disprove it or you'll
just have to huff and puff.

My citing of years of State Department service would certainly stack up
well compared to your total inexperience with same. Your claim to be
more knowledgeable about that would be as silly as your pretense at
knowing more about amateur radio. You'd have to be a fool to write
anything like that.

> >To admit defeat, he'd first have
> >to be defeated at something. That hasn't taken place despite your best
> >efforts to distort and dismiss.
>
> What is "distorted" or "dismissive" about US government sources
> explaining US government or military radio communications?

I've explained. You have not accepted. You have dismissed despite your
total lack of knowledge of the situation. You have repeatedly distorted
by attributing to me things which I did not say.

> Are you going to fall into Robeson's conspiracy-theory rationalization
> about "it's all so 'secret' that I can't reveal it" as he has done about
> "military communications?!?"
>
> I'm sure you will. You are two of a basic kind.

Then this will chafe the hell out of you. You've been told all I can
tell you.

> Now you go right ahead and tell us where we can find US
> government statements saying the US government or military
> USES morse codes for radio communications now.

I've a better idea. You show me some documents which say that it does
not use morse. That aside, my comment was made about the late 1980s.

> Let's see how good your claim of "distortion" or "dismissiveness"
> is about that.
>
> >The above is about as surreal as it gets, Len. What did my amateur
> >station in Guinea-Bissau have to do with my daily tasks in a State
> >Department communications center?
>
> What WERE your "daily tasks" in the Guinea-Bisseau "comm center?"

Who wants to know?

> You've already stated it wasn't a 24/7 circuit. Was it just a single-
> channel RTTY circuit? Did it come up one or two days a week?

Number of circuits: You have no need to know. Operation: You have no
need to know.

> Sounds to me it wasn't a very busy duty at all. Did you have lots of
> time to sit around and chew all those cashew nuts?

You're the expert on State Department comms. Tell me. Was I busy?

> >I think you're honked that someone dared counter you claim that the US
> >government does not use morse and didn't back down no matter how hard
> >you huffed and puffed and attempted to blow the house down.
>
> I've been "hooked" on the FACT that it doesn't ever since some
> "colonel" popped in here claiming that his "son" was "using CW
> behind the lines in the Gulf War to send intel to the coalition."
> That was TOTAL FABRICATION on the "colonel's" part.

And you know this because...
My comments were not made about the military at all, Len. Think "State
Department".

> Total bullshit is total bullshit and all the bluffing, huffing, and puffing
> and outrage at not being believed doesn't mean squat.

I know something you don't know....

> Now you show everybody the source for the US government "still
> using morse for radio communicaitons."

You don't have the need to know.

> No more of this bluffing, huffing, and puffing, smoke-eater.
>
> >The only thing which comes to mind is your years-old bluff that you know
> >anything about what is good for amateur radio.
>
> All I'm for is the elimination of the morse code test.

I certainly believe that you're for elimination. "All" would seem to be
a "typo" on your part. Aren't you for a minimum age for obtaining an
amateur radio license. You know these things are good for amateur radio
because of what--your vast experience?

> LOTS of citizens think that would be a mighty GOOD thing
> for US amateur radio. There's even an international
> organization that is working to eliminate that code test.
> You may have heard of it?

Yeah, it's a real "international" outfit.

> Even the IARU thinks that the code test should be removed
> from amateur radio testing worldwide.

What does the IARU think about a minimum age for entering amateur radio?

> But Dave Heil KNOWS WHAT IS GOOD FOR AMATEUR
> RADIO because he says he knows better what is good for
> everyone. Anyone who does not agree with Dave Heil is
> not good for amateur radio. Yep, that covers what Dave said.

Yeah, except Dave never said that. Wait a minute, Len. Aren't you
telling us that we should believe what Leonard H. Anderson says is good
for amateur radio? Why should anyone listen to you?

> >How does referring to the tag team of Anderson and Burke by names from a
> >very funny film indicate fixation?
>
> It's an attempt at humiliation by someone who thinks that those
> who don't agree with him are against all of ham radio. It doesn't
> work, but it makes Heil feel good and Dave Heil is the only one
> that counts in US amateur radio.

Oh, I see. You, of course, have never, never ever done anything
remotely akin to that in your posts, have you?

> >I was aware that the characters are fictional.
>
> Alec Baldwin is not fictional...he's a fairly good actor. "Spock"
> is fictional and you are trying to make an enterprise about
> anyone not in agreement with Dave Heil to be "bad" for US
> amateur radio.

I never wrote that Alec Baldwin is fictional. I never wrote that Spock
is non-fictional. Try to stay with me, Len. I was writing about Doctor
Evil and Mini-Me.

> >Your history in amateur radio is also fictional.
>
> No. The history of amateur radio may be exaggerated by the
> ARRL but it isn't quite fictional.

You're still not a part of that history. Your amateur radio history is
fictional.

> Why don't you demand that the FCC does what you tell them?

I can think of a couple of reasons. They don't post here. They haven't proposed a minimum age for obtaining an amateur radio license.

> Since 1934 the FCC hasn't been required to have any amateur
> radio license for its staff or commissioners to MAKE amateur
> radio regulations. By Dave Heil's logic that makes the ENTIRE
> FCC INCOMPETENT to make any sort of amateur regulations!

Unh uh. You're confusing yourself with the FCC. You don't work for the Commission. It matters not whether anyone at the FCC holds an amateur license. Regulating communications is their job. It is not your job. No one at the DMV is required to have a drivers license. No one at a butcher shop is required to eat meat.

> Ok, so MAKE the FCC get amateur radio licenses for its staff in
> order to make the amateur radio regulations. They can't possibly
> know "what is good for amateur radio" or even "tell radio amateurs
> what to do" if they aren't licensed!

I don't have a beef with the FCC. I have a beef with you. It's the FCC's job to regulate communications.

> Dave Heil and anyone who agrees with him are the only ones
> who know what is good for US amateur radio!

You don't agree with me. You claim to know what's best for amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

1848. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 07:21:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718032109.02831.00000361@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49661

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/17/02 14:35 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <2002071714353

>> I didn't have to. They qualified me, Lennie. MOS and all. Sorry you
>>missed it.
>
>Nothing was missed because NOTHING WAS WRITTEN.

Two lies for the price of one:

I posted my revious MOS's at one point when first queried about them (at
your behest, as I remember), and again provided to you in one of the very few e
mails you exchanged before launching into the "jackbooted thug" rant.

Sorry you feel compelled to try and misrepresent the truth even further.

>Robeson is unable to speak of one single radio nomenclature,
>formal or informal, allegedly used by himself in the USMC.

There's no need to, Lennie.

>Robeson is unfamiliar with the standard, all-branch small-unit
>radio which is now into 13 years as an operational radio family.

Sorry, Lennie. Rant on if it makes you happy.

>Robeson is BLUFFING. He just doesn't know anything in
>military radio.

I could plagerize stuff from Aviation Week and Space Technology just as
well as you do Lennie, but WHY...???

>Robeson has not mentioned any. He hasn't because he can't
>because he never used any.

Robeson has, and you can lie about it all that you care to do, Herr
Anderscum...still doesn't change facts.

>Robeson is UNQUALIFIED by ANY STANDARDS to talk about
>military radio.

Says you. But then YOU are UNQUALIFIED to make that determination, Your
Geezerness.

>You wouldn't dare.

Sure I would. But why? Just so you can further perpetuate even another thread on how you did it first or sucked hind nipple with the guy who did?

Like we NEED that?

>Sorry, Robeson does not control "this forum."

To the extent that I don't have to feed your hatred anymoe I do. And it just tickles me silly when you can't have your way.

>If Robeson
>believes that they are "different" because of regulations, then he
>is more brain-dead than usual.

Amateur practice and policy is different from other radio services. That is a fact. That the physics of radiocommunication are the same is obvious...Except of course when Lennie has nothing else to rant about and then accuses us of some assertions that otherwise is the case.

>Robeson has NOT "provided" anything other than insults and
>denigrations.

Sorry your think so, Your Lyingness, but then if you weren't such a lying and antagonistic creep, the conversation might turn.

But you're set in yor ways, so we can just loft along like this for a while.

> He cannot talk of his military radio experiences
>because he hasn't had any military radio experiences.

Hmmmmm...A "statement of fact"....

One we will wait for Lennie to provide evidence corroborating...

>Robeson is pedaling his conspiracy bicycle again. The communications
>doctrine of the US military is an open topic for the public. Communications
>equipment of the US military is shown and illustrated on both military and
>commercial web sites...found in electronics trade publications.

We know how the Armed Forces puts EVERYTHING they know, do or are interested in doing in AW&ST, Lennie.

Uh huh...yeah...right....

>Do you want training instructions on operating any of the SINCGARS radios?

No, Lennie, because just one more trip down "SINCGARS" lane by Lennie the Loser is more boring that any of us can handle.

It's not germane to the original topic of the thread. It's just one more sidetrip that you like to take everyone on when you get into you huffy "I know more than you do" rants.

You plagerize the info from websites, TM's and any other source you can to show us how much you "know".

I am not impressed.

>Robeson doesn't know either Jack or the characteristics of a common
>small-unit, all-branch military radio that is in its 13th year of operational
>service.

In as much as I've been off active duty for 10 years now, it's as close as
you have come to any truth.

>He is BLUFFING on his "military radio experience" and
>"qualifications." His BLUFF has been called many times, found
>deficient and unqualified, but he is so ignorant he is unaware that he
>is wrong.

I'm not wrong, Lennie. I'm just not playing yor game by your rules.

Sorry it's got you in a twist, but it sure is funny watching!

>Robeson cannot explain any valid military experience in any
>kind of USMC radio communications. He doesn't have any. All
>he can do is evade the question by misdirection of personal
>insults as he has done above.

The original comments were relative to the likelyhood that on-off keying
techniques would remain a basic and viable method of signalling and
communication in several sectors of communications.

YOU have tried to "discredit" that statement by trying to make my service
in the Armed Forces relavant to the discussion.

So...rant all you care to about "misdirection", but it's clear who's
driving.

>Some time ago I mentioned that, "if I were to get an amateur radio license,
>I COULD get an amateur extra." Do you understand qualifiers in a
>sentence? Or are you as ignorant of ordinary English as you are of
>military radio statements, descriptions, and doctrine?

I understand one more attempt to squirm out of what was said.

You are a "person" of poor character, Lennie, and getting poorer all the
time.

>I am advocating only the elimination of the morse code test for ANY
>radio operator license. There's NO "need" to get any operator's
>license of any kind to discuss that.

The by this statement, we can safely assume that all your previous
statements relative to your "admiration" for Amateur Radio and other comments
made relative to it's fitness were yet another lie.

>I have acquired radio operation,
>maintenance, design, and building experience in radio, including
>HF radio sufficient to speak of and about the general subject.

You are NOT an Amateur Radio licensee, have NO experience in Amateur Radio policy matters, and have NO reason to be here save yor desire to create the torrent of hateful, antagonistic bile that yo succesfully manage to create.

Bully for you. Well done.

>The constant DEMAND to get a license is an artificiality brought
>out by those who cannot address the validity of the subject but
>DEMAND others be "as good as they."

Lennie, there is NO WAY on this God's Earth that you will EVER be so much as 1/100th as good as ANYONE in this forum when it comes to ANYTHING related to Amateur Radio.

>Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio
>communications.

Pay attention Your Creepiness:

I NEVER SAID MY MILITARY EXPERIENCE WAS GERMANE!

YOU are the ONLY ONE who tries to make any "hay" over it.

>Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio
>communications. Do not use ambiguous generalities, myths,
>or outright lies. Do not evade the question by attempting to
>misdirect as you have done above.

It's not germane to the discussion, Lennie. It's only a subtrefuge by you to do that "King Of The Hill" thing again.

Sorry. I am not playing.

>Explain your valid military experience in any kind of USMC radio
>communications. Do not use ambiguous generalities, myths,
>or outright lies. Do not evade the question by attempting to
>misdirect as you have done above.

Do you just cut-and-paste this, Lennie, or do you have fun re-typing it over and over?

>Robeson is BLUFFING once again. Give references to the CLASS, the
>dates of that CLASS, and students who were in that CLASS and their
>licenses. Volunteer Examiners are NOT tasked to "teach classes," they
>proctor license examinations.

Oh...I see...Since I happen to be a VE I cannot teach classes too?

Sorry it irks you, Your Geezerness.

>Robeson is again bluffing, huffing, and puffing. It looks like LYING.

No, Lennie, if you want to see "LYING", I suggest you do a "Google" on "Lenover21", "Lenof21", "NoCWTest", "AveryFine", "AveryFineman", or anyone of several screen names used by your buddy in Illinois.

Most are bland, some are classic, all are archived.

>That sort of CLASS is not a VE function. VEs proctor license examinations.

I did not say it was, Your Misguidedness. Nice try.

>Robeson is already SICK and obsessive.

Sick that creeps like you can get away with what you do. Obsessed with
the idea that you will not be allowed to spew such bile and hatred uncontested.

>In the "real world" of US democratic principles, all citizens may petition
>their
>government to correct grievances. That's in the First Amendment. Robeson
>(and Heil) are invited to petition the US government for their "grievance" in
>that the FCC has "no validity to make regulations because they are
>unlicensed."

Neither Dave nor I have made any such assertion, Lennie. It is a cowardly
and boring rhetorical twist that was erroneous the first time you trotted it
out and is just as silly today.

>No. Robeson proves (again) that he is UNQUALIFIED to read and understand
>statements in the English language.
>
>Something that is publicly known or knowable does not have to be "popular"
>or "well known."

Well, Lennie, then you should not use those words when that is not what you
mean.

>I once told Hans Brakob to "stay with his ice holes." Brakob is an ice
>fisherman. I've openly called falsehoods as "bullshit" and been able to
>show those falsehoods were indeed false. Is that language too strong
>for a big, brave, proud ex-marine?

The Marine is big, brave and proud enough to be able to express himself
without the profanity, Lennie.

And your calling of "falsehoods" as "bull!@#$" does not "indeed prove them
false". It only means you used profanity and and tried to out-crow the other
poster.

You've "proved" nothing.

>Robeson seems to bristle at others using "swear words" at him yet he
>doesn't mind signing off his postings by calling his "opponents" as
>"dickheads" or worse.

I've never called you a "dickhead", Lennie. I have called you a "putz".

>I stated that I was assigned to the 8235th Army Unit, US Army. I did not
>state that it "had a high KIA rate" at any time. I stated that 23 members
>of that unit were killed. They were the first, the 8235th being a relatively
>new Army unit at that time. Robeson "embellishes" his READING, not
>what I wrote.

I read it thoroughouly, Your Cowardliness. I am not the only one who caught your little charade.

Sucks to be you.

>Robeson is so shallow and petty that he would spit on the graves of
>deceased US servicemen if it meant he could act tough in newsgroups
>and "get" living veterans.

Thanks, Lennie. Just when I think you couldn't get any MORE callous or any more cowardly, you prove me wrong.

>Robeson is qualified only for the unspecified...which is essentially nothing
>but amateurism.

I am sure you think so. But that's because you don't know any better.

G'Nite, Lennie!

1849. Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 07:26:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718032609.12626.00001135@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 49662

>Subject: Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect
>From: wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
>Date: 07/17/02 14:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <b7d1465c.02

>Wasn't that a GREAT line? Ranks right up there with "Do as I say and
>this won't go off." Can you name that one?

Hmmmm....I want to say it was a line from Mel Gibson in one of the "Lethal Weapon" movies, wasn't it..?!?!

Just a WAG on my part...

73

Steve, K4YZ

1850. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 07:29:19 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d3671f5$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49663
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Non-sequitur, OM.

Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk...
> Brain Rarey wrote:
> > No. Operating anything you buy is a trivial matter,
> > Hoover, GSM phone, screwdriver, CB set (whether Midland
> > or Yaesu 706).
> > Rene Altena <pd1ald@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:ah3esi$k1f$2@reader11.wxs.nl...
> > > Operating is also part of the 'technical pursuit' (i.e. studying
> > > propagation).
> Why not come to Bristol Poly and try to operate one of our larger
> computers

1851. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 07:30:06 UTC
From: "Brain Rarey"
Message-ID: <3d3671f6$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 49664
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Exactly - and Xtal controlled rigs will be right
on centre channel.

Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:ah55un$qh5kg$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> "Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
> news:3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk...
> > Brain Rarey wrote:
> <snip>
> > Clearly you have never operated a radio as you believe that 'FM will
> > appear as unmodulated carrier' Ha Ha HA HA HA !!!!!
> > Andy, M3ABC
> >
> FM on a AM RX Will appear as a unmodulated carrier if tuned to the centre
> frequency
> You won't hear by slope detection, until you slope off frequency
>
> Steve Terry
>
>
>
```

1852. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 08:38:50 UTC
From: RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk>
Message-ID: <lcvcjuc572hf370jpsntm41mfk9h43js2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 49665
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote:

>Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
>news:ah55un$qh5kg$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
>> "Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
>> news:3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk...
>> > Brain Rarey wrote:
>> <snip>
>> > Clearly you have never operated a radio as you believe that 'FM will
>> > appear as unmodulated carrier' Ha Ha HA HA HA !!!!!
>> > Andy, M3ABC
>> >
>> FM on a AM RX Will appear as a unmodulated carrier if tuned to the centre
>> frequency
>> You won't hear by slope detection, until you slope off frequency
>>
>> Steve Terry

>Exactly - and Xtal controlled rigs will be right on centre channel.

Radio Ham/Gareth/SockPuppet forgot to qualify his original statement
by qualifying it with the word "can" in the appropriate place, thereby
generalising the specific. He will be able to tell you what form of
'argumentum' he used. More scientific analphabeticity from this
source. Cue Gerald in his defence.....

--
from
RVMJ
Remove Safety-&-Arming before e-mailing

1853. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 08:49:59 UTC
From: Gareth the CBer <cerebras@de_mierda.com>
Message-ID: <DfvZ8.13247$Zl6.372210@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49666
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:ah55un$qh5kg$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> "Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
> news:3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk...
> > Brain Rarey wrote:
> <snip>
> > Clearly you have never operated a radio as you believe that 'FM will
> > appear as unmodulated carrier' Ha Ha HA HA HA !!!!!
> > Andy, M3ABC
> >
> FM on a AM RX Will appear as a unmodulated carrier if tuned to the centre
> frequency
> You won't hear by slope detection, until you slope off frequency
>
> Steve Terry
>
As you tune off frequency the slope detection kicks in and the
signal becomes more intelligible; however you can STILL hear
some audio on centre frequency. Try it for yourself and see.

1854. Club Licensure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 10:46:16 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ah6g5g527ol@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 49673

I am asking here, since I haven't the foggiest idea where to get this answer.... What is the actual requirements for obtaining a "club callsign," and what actual documents are required for obtaining a club call. Is there certain criteria for what exactly a "club" is?

Many thanks for your help in advance.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1855. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 12:04:44 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah6cjt$47h$2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:ILmZ8.72263$uw.37393@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Robert,
>
> Herb does have an application filed to get KV4FZ back. You are right about
> the felony. There was a ham in prison who renewed his ticket even though he
> is a convicted child molester who used to lure kids into his ham shack. We
> all know who he is.
>
> 73
>
> Frank K3EKO
>
>
>
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3D35F0AF.4204E4E@ix.netcom.com...
> > I don't suppose he will get his old callsign back, unless he
> > does a vanity application.
> >
> > As far as felonies go, it was a relatively minor one, as
> > compared to rape, murder, bank robbery and such.

Hey, Frank!

1856. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 12:19:00 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah6ckm$47h$a@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:0VkZ8.71136$uw.37152@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> These allegations are false and libellous. As those who know Herb well can
> attest, he is not and has never been anti-semitic. The poster has no tape
> because none exists.
>
> During the original hearing, some nut called Herb on the phone and said that
> he was going to send him a tape of KV4FZ making anti-semitic remarks. A tape
> did arrive in the mail but, when he listened to it, it was not Herb's voice
> and there were no "anti-semitic" remarks.
>
> 73
>
> Frank K3EKO

Hey, Frank! How's your summer going, man?

> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ah4cblqsj1@pita.alt.net...
> > "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:ah3j6a$pd9$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Herbie used the words, "death sentence." This implies suicide. Had he
> taken
> > > his life in desperation,then his wife sues the FCC for rendering cruel
> and
> > > inhuman punishment and just might win millions. You think the government
> > > considers FZ as worth anything let alone millions?
> > > AF2Y
> >
> > Selective enforcement.
> >
> > > "Larry Gannon" <lg23@bigmailtousenet.org> wrote in message
> > > news:ah2ldl$633$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be
> > > > all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement
> > > > work right down the shitter. Follow that with the moment Schoenbohm
> > > > attempts to check into the Maritime Mobile Net all hell will let
> loose,
> > > > and Hollingsworth can flush the shitter again just to make sure his
> five
> > > > years of hard work are gone down the shitter. And of course history
> > > > will repeat itself, because where Herbie goes, his little band of
> > > > sycophants are sure to follow.
> > > >
> > > > Larry

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:ah19sp$ij6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > Can you imagine...that Schoenbohm creature is back on the bands? And
> the
> > > > > Administrative Law Judge was a Jewish gent named Steinberg whom I'm
> sure
> > > > had
> > > > > no knowledge of FZ's vicious anti-Semitism whch I can prove by tape.
> How
> > > > odd
> > > > > this all is. WB2OTK gets what seems to be a "forever boot" off ham
> radio
> > > > and
> > > > > did nothing but accidentally launch an email. KV4FZ is convicted of
> > > this,
> > > > of
> > > > > that, was called a liar and he's back. I am totally bewildered but
> then
> > > > > again what's happened to Conservatism in this nation? I thought GW
> and
> > > > > company would be tough noogies but when a rat like Johnny "Taliban"
> > > Lindh,
> > > > > an American who had an avowed hatred of this nation and would have
> > > killed
> > > > > Americans in Afghanistan gets 20 years instead of the death sentence
> and
> > > > > that 20 may go down to actually 10, we're talking more liberal than
> > > > liberal.
> > > > > I simply cannot believe that KV4FZ got a "pass". What a travesty.
> > > > > Doc,AF2Y
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > ==========
> > > > ===
> > > > Before the
> > > >
> > > > FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> > > >
> > > > Washington, D.C. 20554
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > In the Matter of Applications
>)
> > > > WT DOCKET NO. 01-352

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >)
> > > > >
> > > > > Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
> > > > >
> > > > > for a Station License in the Amateur)
> > > > >
> > > > > Radio
> > > > >)
> > > > >
> > > > >)
> > > > >
> > > > > and
> > > > >)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >)
> > > > >
> > > > > Herbert L. Schoenbohm)
> > > > >
> > > > > for a General Class Operator License in the)
> > > > >
> > > > > Amateur Radio
>)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > To: Administrative Law Judge
> > > > >
> > > > > Arthur I. Steinberg
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > ENFORCEMENT
> BUREAU'S
> > > > >
> > > > > PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
> > > > >
> > > > > 1. By Hearing Designation Order, 17 FCC Rcd 1369 (2002)
> ("HDO"),
> > > the
> > > > > Commission commenced this proceeding to determine whether the
> > > > > above-captioned Amateur Radio Service applications filed by Herbert

> L.
> > > > > Schoenbohm should be granted. The Commission concluded a hearing
> was
> > > > > necessary because Mr. Schoenbohm had offered no evidence of
> > > rehabilitation
> > > > > to offset previously adjudicated disqualifying behavior.
> Specifically,
> > > > Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm had used illicitly obtained telephone company access
> codes,
> > > > which
> > > > > resulted in a felony conviction,[1] and he had testified deceitfully
> in
> > > a
> > > > > subsequent Commission hearing.[2]
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 2. Consequently, in paragraph 7 of the HDO, the
> Commission
> > > > > specified the following issues for resolution in this proceeding:
> > > > >
> > > > > (a) To determine whether Herbert L. Schoenbohm possesses the
> > > > > requisite character qualifications to be a Commission licensee.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > (b) To determine, in light of the evidence adduced under
> issue
> > > (a),
> > > > > whether the captioned applications should be granted.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 3. Paragraph 10 of the HDO placed the burden of the
> > > > > introduction of evidence and the burden of proof on Mr. Schoenbohm.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 4. A hearing on the issues was held in Washington, D.C.
> on
> > > > > May
> > > > > 7, 2002. The record in this proceeding was closed that same day.
> Tr.
> > > > 189.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > > II. Proposed Findings of Fact
> > > > >
> > > > > A. background
> > > > >
> > > > > 5. In December 1992, Mr. Schoenbohm was convicted for
> > > > > fraudulently using a counterfeit access device to obtain long
> distance
> > > > > telephone service in violation of 18 U.S.C. ï¿½ 1029(a)(1). Decision,
> 13
> > > > FCC
> > > > > Rcd at 15028. Mr. Schoenbohm was sentenced to house arrest for two
> > > > months,
> > > > > given two years probation, and ordered to pay a fine of $5,000.
> Id., 13
> > > FCC
> > > > > Rcd at 15028.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 6. In 1994, Mr. Schoenbohm filed applications to renew
> his
> > > > > Amateur Radio Service station license for KV4FZ and for his Amateur
> > > Radio
> > > > > Service operator license. In 1995, the Wireless Telecommunications
> > > > Bureau,
> > > > > under delegated authority, designed Mr. Schoenbohm's applications
> for
> > > > > hearing. HDO, 17 FCC Rcd at 1370. Following two hearing sessions,
> the
> > > > > Commission, in July 1998, determined that Mr. Schoenbohm had engaged
> in
> > > > > misrepresentation and was not fully candid in describing his
> conviction.
> > > > > The Commission found that Mr. Schoenbohm had testified deceptively
> about
> > > > his
> > > > > conviction both in 1995 and again in 1997. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd at
> > > > > 15029-31; Tr. 39. In addition, the Commission found that Mr.
> Schoenbohm
> > > had
> > > > > testified deceptively in 1997 about an amateur radio transmission he
> > > made
> > > > in
> > > > > 1995, during which Mr. Schoenbohm solicited others to make ex parte
> > > > > presentations to the Commission on his behalf. Decision, 13 FCC Rcd
> at
> > > > > 15032-36. Accordingly, the Commission denied Mr. Schoenbohm's
> > > applications.
> > > > > However, in accordance with its usual policy, the Commission
> authorized

> > > > Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm to continue to operate his amateur station until the 91st
> day
> > > > > following the release date of judicial review of its decision.
> > > Decision,
> > > > > 13 FCC Rcd at 15037. Subsequent to the Supreme Court's action in
> > > October
> > > > > 2000, which denied Mr. Schoenbohm's petition for a writ of
> certiorari,
> > > Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm ceased operating amateur station KV4FZ in late January
> 2001.
> > > > He
> > > > > submitted the applications currently under consideration on March
> 31,
> > > > 2001.
> > > > > Tr. 42. In conjunction with his applications, Mr. Schoenbohm, on
> April
> > > > 14,
> > > > > 2001, took and passed an examination for an extra class amateur
> license
> > > > > administered by the American Radio Relay League. Schoenbohm Ex. 31.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > B. Character Generally
> > > > >
> > > > > 7. At the instant hearing, Mr. Schoenbohm testified
> that,
> > > > prior
> > > > > to his conviction, he had never been convicted of any felony or
> serious
> > > > > crime. He further testified that, subsequent to his conviction, he
> has
> > > > not
> > > > > been convicted of anything. Schoenbohm Ex. 1. Before the
> Commission
> > > > denied
> > > > > his renewal applications in 1998, Mr. Schoenbohm had held an amateur
> > > > license
> > > > > for over 40 years. Schoenbohm Ex. 14. He also holds a general
> > > > > radiotelephone operator license. Schoenbohm Ex. 43.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 8. As for his testimony at the prior proceeding, Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > explained
> > > > > that he "was in a state of denial, believing that [he] had been
> > > wrongfully
> > > > > convicted of a crime that [he] did not commit." Schoenbohm Ex. 1.

> > > > > Notwithstanding his personal opinion of the events surrounding his
> > > > > conviction, Mr. Schoenbohm now realizes that he was found guilty and
> > > that
> > > > he
> > > > > is a felon. Id. Addressing the fact that his written testimony in
> this
> > > > > proceeding omits any reference to the Commission's findings that he
> > > > > misrepresented facts and lacked candor in his 1995 and 1997
> testimony
> > > > > regarding his felony conviction and ex parte communication
> solicitation,
> > > > Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm explained that he did not think he had to address the
> > > > Commission'
> > > > > s findings from the prior proceeding. Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 44,
> 46-47.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 9. Mr. Schoenbohm understands that the purpose of the instant
> hearing
> > > is
> > > > > to
> > > > > determine whether he has "been sufficiently rehabilitated such that
> the
> > > > > Commission could be confident that [he] could be relied upon to deal
> > > with
> > > > > the Commission in an honest and forthright manner." Tr. 43. In
> support
> > > > of
> > > > > his claim that he now possesses the requisite character
> qualifications,
> > > > Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm testified that he has worked hard to rehabilitate himself
> > > "and
> > > > > to
> > > > > overcome the effects of [his] conviction" and "to demonstrate that
> [he
> > > is]
> > > > > capable of obeying the law and, in particular, the FCC's rules and
> > > > > regulations." Schoenbohm Ex. 1. In this regard, Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > testified
> > > > > that for about the past eight years, he has "held a responsible
> position
> > > > > with the Virgin Islands government, in charge of expenditures and
> > > > > supervision of large amount[s] of money and property. During that
> time,
> > > > > nobody has accused me of any dishonesty, connected with my work."
> > > > > Schoenbohm Exs. 1. See also Schoenbohm Ex. 45. In this regard, the
> > > > record

> > > > > reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has worked in various capacities in the
> > > > Virgin
> > > > > Islands Department of Property and Procurement. Tr. 47; Schoenbohm
> Exs.
> > > > 4,
> > > > > 13, 30, 41, 45, 56. Among other positions, Mr. Schoenbohm has been
> the
> > > > > manager for the Recovery Hill repeater site. In that role, he
> "issued a
> > > > > plan of attack to get all the illegal, unlicensed, not properly
> licensed
> > > > or
> > > > > wrong location squatters that had moved in over the past ten years
> and
> > > > were
> > > > > using the facility." Tr. 48. More recently, Marc Briggs, the
> > > Commissioner
> > > > > of the Department of Property and Procurement, designated Mr.
> Schoenbohm
> > > a
> > > > > department "Essential Employee," effective August 21, 2001.
> Schoenbohm
> > > > Ex.
> > > > > 41.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 10. In his capacity as a member of the Virgin Islands Department of
> > > > > Property and Procurement, Mr. Schoenbohm serves on a committee that
> > > > > evaluates all government radio purchases. Tr. 47. The chief legal
> > > > counsel
> > > > > for the department appointed Mr. Schoenbohm as the "radio site
> > > inspector"
> > > > to
> > > > > investigate whether certain other Virgin Islands government agencies
> > > were
> > > > > procuring improperly licensed radios by skirting the competitive
> bidding
> > > > > process. Tr. 47-48. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that he has sent a
> letter
> > > > to
> > > > > Joseph Casey of the Commission's Enforcement Bureau regarding this
> > > matter,
> > > > > and that Mr. Schoenbohm has communicated "with [Commission] field
> > > > personnel
> > > > > in Puerto Rico, Rueben Jusino, and ha[s] been cooperating with him
> in
> > > > trying
> > > > > to get information, invoices, serial numbers, locations of radios,"
> in

> > > > this
> > > > > on-going investigation. Tr. 48.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 11. Mr. Schoenbohm also responded to a complaint letter Riley
> > > > > Hollingsworth, an FCC attorney, forwarded to Mr. Schoenbohm. Tr.
> 79.
> > > > > According to Mr. Schoenbohm, Louis Bean, an amateur licensee,
> complained
> > > > to
> > > > > the FCC about Mr. Schoenbohm's operating a radio station associated
> with
> > > > Mr.
> > > > > Bean's amateur repeater. Tr. 78-80. Mr. Schoenbohm notes that Mr.
> Bean
> > > > > claimed that Mr. Schoenbohm restored Mr. Bean's station to operation
> "by
> > > > > hook or by crook." Tr. 78. Mr. Schoenbohm explained that, years
> ago,
> > > his
> > > > > call sign had been the designated call sign for use on the repeater.
> > > Tr.
> > > > > 80. When Mr. Schoenbohm's call sign was removed from the repeater,
> Mr.
> > > > Bean
> > > > > began using his call sign on the repeater. Tr. 80. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > > > testified that he never had the ability to operate the equipment
> > > > associated
> > > > > with Mr. Bean's call sign. Mr. Schoenbohm testified his only
> > > involvement
> > > > > with the repeater was to unplug the equipment in response to the
> letter
> > > > from
> > > > > Mr. Hollingsworth. Tr. 81.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 12. With regard to his reputation in the community, Mr. Schoenbohm
> is
> > > an
> > > > >
> > > > > elected and non-elected official of the Republican Party of the
> United
> > > > > State Virgin Islands. Tr. 52; Schoenbohm Ex. 3. Mr. Schoenbohm
> also
> > > > > continues to do volunteer work, although not to the same extent as
> he
> > > did
> > > > > before his license was not renewed due to the passing of time. For

> > > > example,
> > > > > Mr. Schoenbohm recently worked on a triathlon. Tr. 52.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 13. The only other witness to testify in person was Mr. Frank Todd
> of
> > > > Port
> > > > > Vue, Pennsylvania, who testified as to Mr. Schoenbohm's reputation
> in
> > > the
> > > > > amateur radio community. Schoenbohm Ex. 22; Tr. 129-145. Mr. Todd,
> who
> > > > has
> > > > > recently recovered from a car accident, traveled 236 miles to appear
> at
> > > > the
> > > > > hearing. Tr. 131. Mr. Todd has known Mr. Schoenbohm since
> > > approximately
> > > > > 1995, when, at the age of 13, Mr. Todd became interested in amateur
> > > radio
> > > > > and used to monitor Mr. Schoenbohm's transmissions. Tr. 140-141;
> > > > Schoenbohm
> > > > > Ex. 22. During his years of listening to Mr. Schoenbohm, Mr. Todd
> found
> > > > Mr.
> > > > > Shoenbohm's deportment exemplary. Id. Mr. Todd testified that he
> > > > considers
> > > > > Mr. Schoenbohm "a positive role model in amateur radio and an
> individual
> > > > > that is capable of making a very significant contribution to the
> Amateur
> > > > > Radio Service." Id. In fact, Mr. Schoenbohm was the "prime
> inspiration
> > > > and
> > > > > motivation" that led to Mr. Todd's acquisition of amateur license
> K3EKO.
> > > > > Id.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 14. Mr. Todd did not meet Mr. Schoenbohm in person until 1999. Tr.
> > > 141.
> > > > > Although Mr. Todd did not have an amateur license at that time, he
> > > > traveled
> > > > > to the Virgin Islands to meet Mr. Schoenbohm, and he stayed with Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm and his family for a week. Schoenbohm Ex. 22. Mr. Todd
> > > > > testified that he believes Mr. Schoenbohm to be a "decent, honest
> and
> > > > > hard-working person" and hopes the Commission will allow Mr.

> Schoenbohm
> > > > "to
> > > > > prove himself and enjoy his later years through a wonderful activity
> > > like
> > > > > amateur radio." Id.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 15. Mr. Todd testified that he is familiar with the reasons
> underlying
> > > the
> > > > > Commission's 1998 decision not to grant Mr. Schoenbohm's renewal
> > > > > applications. Tr. 133. Specifically, Mr. Todd acknowledged that he
> was
> > > > > aware of Mr. Schoenbohm's lack of candor regarding his testimony
> about
> > > his
> > > > > toll fraud conviction; however, Mr. Todd also admitted that he
> didn't
> > > > > understand the Commission's findings regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's lack
> of
> > > > > candor regarding his ex parte communications. Tr. 133, 136, 139.
> Mr.
> > > Todd'
> > > > > s awareness of the Commission's finding regarding Mr. Schoenbohm's
> lack
> > > of
> > > > > candor did not change Mr. Todd's opinion as to Mr. Schoenbohm's
> > > > > qualifications because Mr. Todd believes that Mr. Schoenbohm has
> been
> > > > > truthful to him. Tr. 137, 140. Mr. Todd further testified that
> > > > > "[r]egardless of whatever Mr. Schoenbohm may have done, he has
> certainly
> > > had
> > > > > time to make the adjustments in his life necessary to re-qualify
> himself
> > > as
> > > > > a candidate for an Amateur Radio License." Schoenbohm Ex. 22.
> > > > >
> > > > > C. WSTX/WSTX-FM
> > > > >
> > > > > 16. After Hurricane Lenny hit the Virgin Islands in 1999 and
> > > interrupted
> > > > > the power supply for station WSTX(AM), Mr. Schoenbohm restored the
> > > > > station's
> > > > > antenna and tuned the transmitter so that the station could continue
> > > > > operating. Tr. 51, 53-54, 60, 62-63. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that
> > > after
> > > > > Hurricane Lenny passed through St. Croix, the Virgin Islands
> Emergency

> > > > > Management Agency directed him to install an antenna to ensure that
> > > > station
> > > > > WSTX was able to operate "so the public could be informed by FEMA
> and by
> > > > the
> > > > > local government agencies on relief supplies. They considered it
> vital
> > > to
> > > > > put that station back on the air," because WSTX(AM) was the primary
> > > > station
> > > > > that people listened to during emergencies. Tr. 60-61, 64. Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm
> > > > > advised the owner of station WSTX that he would need a competent
> > > > consulting
> > > > > engineer in order to put the station in the proper mode, and that he
> > > would
> > > > > have to notify the Commission of the station's circumstances and
> file
> > > the
> > > > > necessary papers as soon as practicable. Tr. 58, 60, 64-65.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > D. Contest
> > > > >
> > > > > 17. In October 2001, subsequent to the cancellation of the license
> for
> > > > > amateur station KV4FZ, Mr. Schoenbohm served as a host for a
> participant
> > > > in
> > > > > an international radio competition or contest. Schoenbohm Ex. 1;
> Tr.
> > > 81.
> > > > > The purpose of the competition was to have contestants make contact
> with
> > > > > other amateur stations around the world. Essentially, the message
> sent
> > > > and
> > > > > received involved each station identifying itself and transmitting a
> > > > message
> > > > > consisting of a signal report and a numeral identifying which of 40
> > > > "zones"
> > > > > where the station was located. The contestant(s) who had the
> highest
> > > > score
> > > > > would win. Tr. 84, 89, 102. Some months earlier, Mr. Schoenbohm
> had
> > > > placed
> > > > > a notice on the Internet advising that he would rent out part of his
> > > home

> > > > to
> > > > > amateur radio operators who wished to participate. Tr. 88. Steven
> S.
> > > > > Reichlyn, amateur radio licensee of station AA4V, accepted Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm's
> > > > > offer and indicated that he and a friend would like to use Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm's
> > > > > facilities, to include use of his home and radio equipment (a
> > > transmitter,
> > > > > amplifier, and a switch for some antennas). Tr. 89-90. At the last
> > > > minute,
> > > > > Mr. Reichlyn's friend cancelled. Tr. 89. Nevertheless, Mr.
> Reichlyn
> > > > > arrived at Mr. Schoenbohm's home on or about October 25, 2001, two
> days
> > > > > before the start of the contest, and did not leave Mr.Schoenbohm's
> > > > premises
> > > > > until after the contest ended on October 28, 2001. Tr. 81.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 18. The contest began on Saturday, October 27, 2001, at
> > > 00:00
> > > > > Greenwich Mean Time ("GMT") and lasted 48 hours. Tr. 96, 98,
> 100-101;
> > > EB
> > > > > Ex. 3. Mr. Reichlyn operated from inside of Mr. Schoenbohm's house,
> a
> > > > > single-level dwelling that is "basically a very open area that is
> > > > separated
> > > > > only by" louvered windows. Tr. 90. Mr. Reichlyn stopped
> transmitting
> > > at
> > > > > 0627 GMT, 27 October and asked Mr. Schoenbohm if he would like to
> > > operate
> > > > > the microphone because of Mr. Schoenbohm's expertise at operating on
> low
> > > > > frequencies. Tr. 109. Because Mr. Reichlyn had entered a two-man
> > > > contest,
> > > > > he could have another person assist him with the contest. Tr. 97.
> Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm accepted and began operating the equipment under Mr.
> > > Reichlyn's
> > > > > call sign from 0627 to 0758 GMT. Tr. 98, 110, 116. Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > > > contacted multiple operators during that period, including contacts
> with
> > > > > operators in St. Martin, Scotland, the Canary Islands, New Zealand,
> > > > Ireland,
> > > > > and Aruba. Tr. 105, 106, 112, 113-114, 116; EB Ex. 3. According to
> Mr.

> > > > > Schoenbohm, Mr. Reichlyn did not stay in the equipment room with
> him,
> > > but,
> > > > > nevertheless, supervised him during this time period by remaining on
> the
> > > > > premises and staying within earshot of Mr. Schoenbohm and observing
> Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm's operations. Tr. 111.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 19. Mr. Reichlyn resumed operating the microphone at 0758 GMT and
> did
> > > not
> > > > > ask Mr. Schoenbohm to take over for him again until the following
> day.
> > > > Tr.
> > > > > 101, 117. Mr. Schoenbohm thereupon operated the equipment from
> 0834 to
> > > > > 0903 GMT, 28 October. Tr. 101, 117; EB Ex. 3. During this second
> spell
> > > at
> > > > > the microphone, Mr. Schoenbohm again contacted multiple operators,
> > > > including
> > > > > operators located in New Zealand and the Wallis and Futuna Islands
> (a
> > > > French
> > > > > possession in the South Pacific). Tr. 119; EB Ex. 3. Mr.
> Schoenbohm
> > > > > testified that, during this second time period, Mr. Reichlyn "did
> not
> > > > leave
> > > > > the premises" and that he, Mr. Reichlyn, was "always in a position
> to
> > > > > exercise the necessary controls to comply with the rules and
> > > regulations."
> > > > > Tr. 127-28. Mr. Schoenbohm testified that his premises consist of
> the
> > > > "ham
> > > > > shack" and a very small yard, and that Mr. Reichlyn was always
> within
> > > > > earshot of the facility and that "he was always in a position to
> control
> > > > the
> > > > > time, periods of time that I was operating." Tr. 128.
> > > > >
> > > > > 20. Mr. Schoenbohm is aware that section 97.115 of the Commission's
> > > rules
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > > prohibits certain communications involving a "third party."[3] Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm asserts that he did not violate section 97.115 of the
> > > > Commission'
> > > > > s rules when he operated radio equipment under Mr. Reichlyn's call
> sign.
> > > > > Schoenbohm Ex. 1; Tr. 106. As Mr. Schoenbohm understands that rule,
> he
> > > > > would be prohibited, as an unlicensed operator, from "passing
> messages
> > > to
> > > > a
> > > > > third party." Tr. 106; 108. Mr. Schoenbohm contends that the rules
> > > would
> > > > > not, however, prohibit him "from being a voice behind the microphone
> to
> > > > > establish the communication" with another operator. Tr. 106; 108.
> Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm understood that, so long as a licensed operator
> supervises
> > > the
> > > > > transmission, an unlicensed operator, such as Mr. Schoenbohm, could
> > > speak
> > > > > into the microphone. Tr. 108.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > E. Effect of the Loss of License
> > > > >
> > > > > 21. Mr. Schoenbohm acknowledged that "misrepresentation . . . is
> > > considered
> > > > > to
> > > > >
> > > > > be a cardinal sin at the Commission," and he viewed the Commission's
> > > denial
> > > > > of an application for renewal as "a death penalty." Tr. 175, 176.
> > > Although
> > > > > Mr. Schoenbohm disavowed any intent to deliberately deceive the
> trier of
> > > > > fact during his earlier Commission hearings, he specifically
> > > acknowledged
> > > > > that the mistakes he made in his prior testimony "were egregious
> > > mistakes,
> > > > > fatal mistakes." Tr. 180. Mr. Schoenbohm believes that being
> denied
> > > use
> > > > of
> > > > > an amateur station for fifteen months has been an adequate sanction.
> > > Tr.
> > > > > 176. As he described it:

> > > > >
> > > > > [i]f you have been off the air for a year in ham radio you have
> shamed
> > > > > yourself, like a
> > > > > >
> > > > > Japanese industrialist would, before the entire amateur community.
> It
> > > is
> > > > a
> > > > > very serious
> > > > > >
> > > > > punishment when you are . . . a very high-profiled [sic]
> individual,
> > > > > involved a lot in
> > > > > >
> > > > > contests and international operations, regarded as a person who has
> won
> > > > > contests and
> > > > > >
> > > > > achieved world records, all of a sudden he is banished. That is a
> much
> > > > more
> > > > > serious
> > > > > >
> > > > > deterrent than a taxicab licensee losing his communication system
> for
> > > one
> > > > > year because
> > > > > >
> > > > > it is a personal shame that is attached to it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > And it is very hard for me to explain that to you because only I
> know
> > > it.
> > > > > .
> > > > > > . . I let the
> > > > > >
> > > > > community down and the Virgin Islands down where I cannot perform
> the
> > > > > services they
> > > > > >
> > > > > have relied on in the past because I can't even talk to the National
> > > > Weather
> > > > > Service when
> > > > > >
> > > > > a hurricane passes over. Tr. 176-177.
> > > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > III. PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 22. The HDO requires the Commission to determine whether Herbert L.
> > > > > Schoenbohm possesses the requisite character qualifications to be a
> > > > > Commission licensee.
> > > > >
> > > > > In assessing character, the Commission seeks to predict whether a
> > > > > prospective licensee will be honest in his dealings with the
> Commission
> > > > and
> > > > > will abide by the Commission's rules and the Communications Act.
> See
> > > > Policy
> > > > > Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 102 FCC
> 2d
> > > > 1179,
> > > > > 1183, 1189-91 (1986) (subsequent history omitted) ("Character Policy
> > > > > Statement").[4] As discussed herein, the Bureau believes that the
> > > > evidence,
> > > > > although mixed, supports a conclusion that Mr. Schoenbohm has the
> > > > requisite
> > > > > character qualifications. In this regard, the Bureau notes that its
> > > > > reluctance to fully endorse Mr. Schoenbohm stems from his operation
> of
> > > an
> > > > > amateur station when he did not have a license to do so.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 23. As noted in the HDO, the Commission considers
> various
> > > > > factors in determining whether an applicant such as Mr. Schoenbohm
> has
> > > > > rehabilitated himself and demonstrated that he possesses the
> requisite
> > > > > character qualifications to become or remain a licensee. Among
> other
> > > > > things, the Commission will consider: 1) whether the applicant has
> > > avoided
> > > > > any significant wrongdoing since the prior disqualifying misconduct;
> 2)
> > > > the
> > > > > amount of time elapsed since the misconduct; 3) the applicant's
> > > reputation
> > > > > for good character in the community; and 4)

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > meaningful measures taken by the applicant to prevent the future
> > > > occurrence
> > > > > of misconduct. Moreover, in assessing the character of someone who,
> > > like
> > > > > Mr. Schoenbohm, had a previous application denied by the Commission,
> the
> > > > > Commission will also consider the deterrent impact of its previous
> > > action.
> > > > > Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 5
> FCC
> > > > Rcd
> > > > > 3252, 3254 (1990) ("Modified Character Policy Statement").
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 24. Behavior subsequent to prior misconduct. The
> record
> > > > > reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm has not engaged in any criminal
> misconduct
> > > > > since his 1992 conviction for toll fraud. However, as discussed
> below,
> > > > the
> > > > > record reflects that Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act
> > > > > subsequent to his 1995/1997 misconduct. Specifically, the findings
> > > > support,
> > > > > and it should be concluded, that Mr. Schoenbohm's operation of Mr.
> > > > Reichlyn'
> > > > > s station during an amateur contest violated section 301 of the
> > > > > Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 301, because Mr. Schoenbohm's
> operation
> > > > was
> > > > > not allowed by sections 97.5 and 97.7 of the Commission's rules.[5]
> > > Read
> > > > > together, those rules require that, during transmissions, an amateur
> > > > station
> > > > > be under the physical control of a control operator who is a
> licensee.
> > > > > Clearly, that was not the case during the two hours Mr. Schoenbohm
> > > > operated
> > > > > Mr. Reichlyn's station. Instead, the evidence reflects that Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm
> > > > > had physical control of the station. In this regard, even though
> Mr.
> > > > > Reichlyn was present or nearby and able to supervise Mr. Schoenbohm,
> it
> > > is
> > > > > apparent that Mr. Schoenbohm operated the station and made

> transmissions
> > > > > while at the microphone. Thus, Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301
> of
> > > the
> > > > > Act because he did not have a license to transmit and was not
> otherwise
> > > > > authorized to operate Mr. Reichlyn's station.[6] Finally, Mr.
> > > > Schoenbohm's
> > > > > work on WSTX(AM)'s antenna in 1999 occurred in the context of
> restoring
> > > > > service following a hurricane. The "fix" was meant to be temporary,
> and
> > > > Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm advised the licensee that additional work was needed
> before
> > > the
> > > > > station's antenna complied with the terms of the station's license.
> Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm worked as a contractor and was not responsible for the
> > > legality
> > > > > or illegality of WSTX's antenna.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 25. Time since the misconduct. The evidence reflects
> that
> > > > the
> > > > > last misrepresentation occurred in 1997, when Mr. Schoenbohm
> testified
> > > > > falsely before a Commission Administrative Law Judge. However, as
> noted
> > > > > above, Mr. Schoenbohm violated the Communications Act during a
> two-hour
> > > > > period in October 2001, when, although unlicensed, he operated Mr.
> > > > >
> > > > > Reichlyn's station.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 26. Applicant's reputation for good character in the
> > > > community.
> > > > > The only direct evidence of reputation proffered by Mr. Schoenbohm
> was
> > > the
> > > > > testimony of Frank Todd. However, Mr. Todd testified unequivocally
> that
> > > > Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm's reputation for good character in the Virgin Islands was
> > > > > favorable. The Bureau submits it is also appropriate to infer that
> Mr.

> > > > >
> > > > > Schoenbohm's reputation for character in the local community is good
> in
> > > > view
> > > > > of his current employment, his employment history, and his position
> in
> > > the
> > > > > local Republican Party. Specifically, as to employment, Mr.
> Schoenbohm
> > > > has
> > > > > held and continues to hold positions of responsibility and trust in
> the
> > > > > Virgin Islands' Department of Property and Procurement.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > 27. Meaningful measures taken by the applicant to
> prevent
> > > the
> > > > > future occurrence of misconduct. The denial of Mr. Schoenbohm's
> renewal
> > > > > application occurred because he committed a felony and because he
> > > > testified
> > > > > deceitfully before this agency. Consequently, meaningful measures
> > > should
> > > > > reasonably include a commitment by Mr. Schoenbohm to avoid criminal
> > > > behavior
> > > > > and to be truthful in all his dealings with this agency. The
> findings
> > > > > reflect that such has occurred. As noted, Mr. Schoenbohm has not
> been
> > > > > convicted of anything since 1992, and there is nothing in the record
> to
> > > > > suggest that Mr. Schoenbohm will commit crimes in the future. As
> for
> > > > > truthfulness, Mr. Schoenbohm recognizes that he made "fatal
> mistakes" in
> > > > his
> > > > > prior hearing testimony. While not exactly an unequivocal
> commitment to
> > > > > future truthfulness, the Bureau believes it sufficient, when coupled
> > > with
> > > > > Mr. Schoenbohm's recognition that misrepresentation is a "cardinal
> sin
> > > at
> > > > > the Commission," to constitute a meaningful measure to prevent a
> future
> > > > > recurrence of deceit.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > > 28. Deterrent impact of previous denial. Mr.
> Schoenbohm
> > > has
> > > > > not been authorized to operate an amateur station since January
> 2001.
> > > > Given
> > > > > his governmental positions and his previous use of amateur radio,
> inter
> > > > > alia, in connection with hurricane relief, Mr. Schoenbohm apparently
> had
> > > > > some notoriety in the amateur community. Thus, as he testified, his
> > > > > banishment, which has now extended some 17 months,[7] could have
> caused
> > > > him
> > > > > great shame. Such shame, and the desire to avoid such shame in the
> > > > future,
> > > > > should lead to the conclusion that the Commission's previous denial
> has
> > > > had
> > > > > the desired deterrent impact. Notwithstanding Mr. Schoenbohm's
> October
> > > > 2001
> > > > > violation of the Communications Act, it is the Bureau's view that
> Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm has learned his lesson and will not, in the future,
> engage in
> > > > > behavior that calls into question his fitness to be a Commission
> > > licensee.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 29. In light of the foregoing, it appears that Mr.
> > > Schoenbohm
> > > > > > has shown by a
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > preponderance of the evidence that he possesses the requisite
> character
> > > to
> > > > > > be a Commission licensee.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > IV. ULTIMATE CONCLUSION
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 30. Issue (b) requires the determination of whether, in light of
> the
> > > > > > evidence adduced, the captioned applications should be granted. For

> the
> > > > > reasons noted above, the Bureau believes they should.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> Respectfully
> > > > > submitted,
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Charles
> W.
> > > > > Kelley
> > > > >
> > > > > Chief,
> > > > > Investigations and Hearings Division
> > > > >
> > > > >
> Enforcement
> > > > > Bureau
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > James W.
> > > Shook
> > > > >
> > > > >
> Attorney,
> > > > > Investigations and Hearings Division
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dana E.
> > > > Leavitt
> > > > >
> > > > >
> Attorney,

> > > > > Investigations and Hearings Division
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Federal Communications Commission
> > > > >
> > > > > 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 3B-443
> > > > >
> > > > > Washington, D.C. 20554
> > > > >
> > > > > (202) 418-1420
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > July 9, 2002
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > I, Karen Richardson, secretary of the Investigations and
> > > > > Hearings Division,
> > > > >
> > > > > Enforcement Bureau, certify that I have, on this 9th day of July,
> 2002,
> > > > > served, by the method indicated, copies of the foregoing
> "Enforcement
> > > > Bureau
> > > > > 's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law" to:
> > > > >
> > > > > Via facsimile, email and first class mail:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Herbert L. Schoenbohm
> > > > >
> > > > > Post Office Box 4419
> > > > >
> > > > > Kingshill, Virgin Islands 00851
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Via hand delivery to:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > > Administrative Law Judge Arthur I. Steinberg
> > > > >
> > > > > Federal Communications Commission
> > > > >
> > > > > 445 12th Street, N.W., Room 1-C861
> > > > >
> > > > > Washington, D.C. 20554
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > _____
> > > > >
> > > > > Karen Richardson
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > ---
> > > > > --
> > > > > > ----
> > > > >
> > > > > [1] See United States v. Schoenbohm, No. Crim.: 1991/0108 (D.V.I.
> Dec.
> > > > 30,
> > > > > 1992), aff'd in pertinent part, No. 93-7516 (3d Cir. July 22, 1994),
> > > > > rehearing den. (3d Cir. Nov. 2, 1994).
> > > > >
> > > > > [2] Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 13 FCC Rcd 15028 (1998) ("Decision"),
> recon.
> > > > > den., 13 FCC Rcd 23774 (1998), aff'd, 204 F.3d 243 (D.C. Cir. 2000),
> > > > > rehearing en banc denied (May 2, 2000), as amended (June 28, 2000),
> > > cert.
> > > > > denied, 531 U.S. 968 (2000).
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > [3] Section 97.3(46) of the Commission's rules defines a third
> party
> > > > > communication as a message from the control operator (first party)
> of an
> > > > > amateur station to another amateur station control operator (second
> > > party)

> > > > > on behalf of another person (third party).
> > > > >
> > > > > [4] Although the character policy arose in the context of broadcast
> > > > > licensing, the principles used to assess character apply to all
> > > decisions
> > > > > involving Commission authorizations. See, e.g., Marc Sobel, 17 FCC
> Rcd
> > > > > 1872, 1893-94 (2002), recon. denied, 17 FCC Rcd 8562 (2002).
> > > > >
> > > > > [5] Section 301 of the Communications Act reads in pertinent part:
> "No
> > > > > person shall use or operate any apparatus for the transmission of
> energy
> > > > or
> > > > > communications or signals by radio . except under and in accordance
> with
> > > > > this Act and with a license.." In pertinent part, section 97.5(a)
> of
> > > the
> > > > > rules states: "The station apparatus must be under the physical
> control
> > > of
> > > > a
> > > > > person named in an amateur station license grant on the ULS
> consolidated
> > > > > license database . before the station may transmit on any amateur
> > > service
> > > > > frequency.." 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 97.5(a). Section 97.7 of the rules
> provides:
> > > > > "When transmitting, each amateur station must have a control
> operator.
> > > The
> > > > > control operator must be a person: (a) For whom an amateur
> > > > operator/primary
> > > > > station license grant appears on the ULS consolidated licensee
> > > database.."
> > > > > 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 97.7. Section 97.3(a)(13) defines control operator as:
> "An
> > > > > amateur operator designated by the licensee of a station to be
> > > responsible
> > > > > for the transmissions from that station to assure compliance with
> the
> > > FCC
> > > > > Rules," while section 97.3(a)(1) defines amateur operator as: "A
> person
> > > > > holding a written authorization to be the control operator of an
> amateur
> > > > > station." 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 97.3(a)(1) and (13).
> > > > >
> > > > > [6] In light of this conclusion, the Bureau believes it need not

> > > address
> > > > > whether Mr. Schoenbohm's actions also resulted in a violation of
> section
> > > > > 97.115 of the rules, which regulates third party communications.
> See,
> > > > > generally, Kenwood Communications Corp., 15 FCC Rcd 13819, 13825 n.
> 29
> > > > > (2000). See also 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 97.3(a)(46); Public Notice, Amateur
> > > Service
> > > > > International Arrangements, July 21, 1999, 1999 WL 511186.
> Moreover,
> > > even
> > > > > though Mr. Schoenbohm violated section 301 of the Act, the presiding
> > > > officer
> > > > > may not impose a forfeiture due to the absence of the requisite
> notice.
> > > > See
> > > > > 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 503(b)(3); 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.80(g).
> > > > >
> > > > > [7] The Bureau notes that the Commission is considering an
> amendment to
> > > > > section 1.937 of the Commission's rules. In the Matter of Amendment
> of
> > > > > Section 1.937 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Repetitious or
> > > > > Conflicting Applications, 17 FCC Rcd 5628 (2002). Section 1.937
> > > currently
> > > > > prohibits "repetitious" applications, that is, applications filed
> within
> > > > 12
> > > > > months of the denial or dismissal of a substantially similar
> > > application.
> > > > > While that rule did not explicitly bar Mr. Schoenbohm's current
> > > > application,
> > > > > the Commission plainly intended that applicants such as Mr.
> Schoenbohm
> > > > wait
> > > > > at least 12 months before seeking to reacquire the license that had
> been
> > > > so
> > > > > recently lost. See id, 17 FCC Rcd at 5629. Because of the hearing
> > > > > proceeding, however, the passage of time between the denial of Mr.
> > > > > Schoenbohm's renewal application and the submission of his current
> > > > > application is no longer an issue of any import.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

> >
>
>

1857. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 12:32:39 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah6ckv$47h$g@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lllllllllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies"
<daviesl@bellsouth.net> whined out his fatass in message
news:RXkZ8.52450$1q2.4065447@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Well said Frank.
>
> These knotheads like Doc and Woger are upset that Herb is back!

Dumbfuck, I don't really give a fuck if Herb is back or not.

> I wonder how long it will take for these 2 to revert back to thier nasty
selves on
> the air.

I wonder how long it will be before you're on HF with a no-code GEEK license,
making unidentified comments in that Missouri hick voice of yours,
Lllardasssssssssss aka
"Kawasaki."

You'd do well to stay awa from Lllardassssss Lllllllloyd, Frank here's some of
his idiotic slobberings:

"YOU ARE NOTHING BUT A LYING JEW WHO LOVES TO CONTROL EVEYTHING AROUND HIM.
Drools the sub-human antisemite N0VFP, Lloyd Davies in
<19990730080252.21150.00003002@ng-fx1.aol.com>

Lloyd A Davies (n0vfp@aol.com) neo-nazi idiot savant slobbered:
"No Doc, We have just begun. The Whites will rise again and take back what
the Jews have taken and then some!"

Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM proves is as ignorant of history and
politics as he is in everything else when he "wrote" in message
<19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
"There are those call Liberty People "Nazis" What does supposed to mean?
I thought a Nazi was a communist."

"People like AF2Y dont listen to reason! He's just a Jew!"
-Lloyd Davies, neo-nazi comic sex clown, keeping
that Old Auschwitz spirit alive, in <iDbA4.9105$_P5.1472043@news2.mia>
----
n0vfp@aol.comWWCR (Brother Lloyd Davies) drooled in
<20010318230639.03162.00003271@ng-md1.aol.com>
"Never Met a Jew I liked"

Antisemetic Lllllllloyd Davies, N0VFP slobbered in
<3AAEDE2C@MailAndNews.com>:
"No, Jew lover, I have a Ten-Tec RX-320, controlled by my new IBM
Thinkpad laptop."

Racist "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> drooled in message
news:OYs16.135$Gc5.10799@news1.atl...
"Hey nigger breath, you and your "brothers" will all pay for all the
trouble you given us for many, many years...It's CHRISTMAS, you jungle bunny.
Here's to hoping you will get the lynching you so deserve.
Hoping someone will lynch you......."

Lllllllllllardassss Lllllllloyd the degenerate homosexual racist fuck slobbered
in
<20020121163251.04829.00002231@mb-cm.aol.com>:

"You sound just like a nigger lover. Down here in Athens, Alabama. We celabreat
robert. e. lees birthday."

Lardassssss "Mengele-nutsack slurper" Lllllllllllllllllloyd Davies, N0VFP
goosestepped and slobbered in <3c755173$2_4@news.teranews.com> :
"What you gonna do about it, you zionist piece of filth."

DUMBFUCKING LARDASS LLLLLLOYD "Lloyd, N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> the
pedophile babbled in message news:ddkz6.2997$al2.186535@news2.atl...

"The answer is simple, he's a JEW."

LARDASS "Lloyd, N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> slobbered in
message news:DBnD6.3666$rk3.142757@news1.atl...
"And I'd do the same back to you, JEW "

LLlllllllllllllaaaaaaaaaardasssss Lllllllllllllllllllllllloyd
"Friends of Hal Turner" <we.will@get.by.com> wrote in
message news:3c3886cb$1_8@news.teranews.com...
"MOST OF THESE MESSAGES ARE DONE BY AUTOBOT. NOTHING WILL EVER BE DONE.
YOU LOSE jew......."

Llllardasssssssss Lllllllloyd,who has Hitler's lone testicle in his mouth,
slobbered in
<3c75c041_7@news.teranews.com>:
"Listen old zionist pig, I do not have a "ghost writer""

Llllardassssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> slurped Hitler's
remaining testicle in
<46zO8.15295$x21.1500509@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>:
"Where is..... That jew radiosigs?"

Lllllllllllllllllllllardassssssss "Cheeta's Brother Lloyd"
<brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> slobbered his stupidity and antisemitism in
<27e38977.0206252053.50683242@posting.google.com>:
"You cause trouble everywhere you go, jew"

Antisemetic fuckwit Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllardasssssssssssss
"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> slobbered in
<8MEY8.32822$1q2.1935530@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>:
" I like to eat pork. Right now, I am having a good BBQ pork sandwich. I
wonder if Doc would like to have one with me. Opps, I forgot, Doc is a
jew!!!! HAHAHAHAHA!!!! I think all whites should go out and just eat pork!
Run all the jew-owned meat shops out of business!"

"Cheeta's Brother Llllllllardasssssssssssss
Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd"
<brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> blathered more ignorance, what else is new in
message news:27e38977.0207161058.285cefd9@posting.google.com...
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<ah19sp$ij6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > Can you imagine...(a bunch of jew whining about not getting his way
snipped).>

1858. kv4fz is back?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 12:47:59 UTC
From: Okracoke Peter <hoo4enters@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3OyZ8.2468$_C2.189816@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I heard something on 75 Meters last night
that Herb, KV4FZ has somehow pulled a rabbit
out of his hat and the FCC is going to re-instate
his ham radio licence. Is this true..?
Can someone PLEASE post a URL or a link to
and OFFICIAL FCC PRESS RELEASE to this effect.

Most of this stuff in the newsgroups and on 75 Meters
is pure bullshi+ with extra sauce. I will believe
it ONLY when I read it from an official and a credible source.

1859. Re: Let's Welcome back KV4FZ with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 12:52:42 UTC
From: Okracoke Peter <hoo4enters@aol.com>
Message-ID: <uSyZ8.2472$_C2.190468@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:2PkZ8.52289$1q2.4028830@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?

I will believe it when I see an OFFICIAL
FCC issued Press Release to the same effect.
Until then, it's more ham radio newsgroups
bullshi+.

1860. Bull-shit ham-radio programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 13:34:44 UTC
From: Dan <tester@soft.com>
Message-ID: <2002718-143444-899862@foorum.com>
Source record: 49670

WSJT221 and WinPSKse are screen dependent programs, i.e they do not work
properly in the 640x480 mode. An intelligent program must be independent
of the display size or at leat must accept the 640x480 mode which is a very
commonly used format.
It's no use to make 3000 more revisions of these softwares before solving that
screen problem.

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

1861. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 14:34:56 UTC
From: John Kimball <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4gkdjugdogvasqd87g30j72eabt082nb1p@4ax.com>
Source record: 49674
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 23:06:16 GMT, "Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> Blew
their wad in Message id: <ILmZ8.72263$uw.37393@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>:

>Robert,
>
>Herb does have an application filed to get KV4FZ back. You are right about
>the felony. There was a ham in prison who renewed his ticket even though he
>is a convicted child molester who used to lure kids into his ham shack. We
>all know who he is.

AB8MQ?

--
begin trash harddisk.vbs
I'm a signature virus. Copy me! Look here why:
http://support.microsoft.com/support/kb/articles/Q265/2/30.ASP
end

1862. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 14:36:22 UTC
From: abadaba@mail.zelgo.com
Message-ID: <45b0a4c9.0207180636.5c709122@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49675
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:<SrpZ8.552927$352.96784@sccrnsc02>...
> Do you have a callsign, Sir?
>
>
> "the microphone" <hignisfor@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:dbpZ8.1422$Kg.1366@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Larry Gannon" <lg23@bigmailtousenet.org> wrote in message
> > news:ah2ldl$633$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be
> > > all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement
> > > work right down the shitter. Follow that with the moment Schoenbohm
> > > attempts to check into the Maritime Mobile Net all hell will let loose,
> > > and Hollingsworth can flush the shitter again just to make sure his five
> > > years of hard work are gone down the shitter. And of course history
> > > will repeat itself, because where Herbie goes, his little band of
> > > sycophants are sure to follow.
> > >
> > > Larry
> >
> > Larry, I could not have put it better myself.
> >
> > I really really hope for the future of ham radio
> > that he does not re-start the old 14.313 wars
> > again, as this time the mayhem will be TEN TIMES
> > worse and Hollingsworth will have a TRUE RADIO WAR
> > on his hands that will make CB look like kids stuff- take it to the bank.

Yes!!!!! We need Herb back on ham radio, and with his KV4FZ call sign
... Herb was a beacon of light shining through the ham radio garbage,
consisting of AF2Y, crazy disgraced dentist..assorted Child
Molestors... Fat "mama boy " qrmers etc... FCC, give Herb his ticket
back.. he has been treated unfairly.... He will be welcome on the ham
bands with open arms ......Zitchey
> >
> >

1863. Re: Club Licensure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 14:53:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718105352.11240.00000152@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49676

>Subject: Club Licensure
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 07/18/02 06:46 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ah6g5g527ol@enews1.newsguy.com>
>
>I am asking here, since I haven't the foggiest idea where to get this
>answer.... What is the actual requirements for obtaining a "club callsign,"
>and what actual documents are required for obtaining a club call. Is there
>certain criteria for what exactly a "club" is?
>
>Many thanks for your help in advance.

Hi Ryan. The FCC has passed such responsibility on to Club Callsign Administrators of which the ARRL and W5YI are each one. I am not sure if there are others.

They each have an application which can be completed and routed back to them for the initial callsign. Vanity calls are hndles as per "regular" callsigns.

The criteria used to be at least four licensees, and you had to be prepared to provide some sort of evidence of the existence of the club. This could be copies of dues receipts, minutes of meetings, or a copy of the club charter or by-laws.

Good luck

73

Steve, K4YZ

1864. Re: Club Licensure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 15:08:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <IRAZ8.971$Qk6.656@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49677

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:ah6g5g527ol@enews1.newsguy.com...
> I am asking here, since I haven't the foggiest idea where to get this
> answer.... What is the actual requirements for obtaining a "club
callsign,"
> and what actual documents are required for obtaining a club call. Is
there
> certain criteria for what exactly a "club" is?

97.5(b)(2) gives the requirements.

A club officer must designate the trustee who can be any license class
except Novice. The club must consist of at least 4 persons, have a name, a
document of organization (constitution/bylaws), management (officers), and a
primary purpose related to ham radio (in other words, your garden club or
bridge club is not eligible for a license).

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

1865. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 17:09:59 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <daviesl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XHBZ8.58529$1q2.5947519@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49678
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"John Kimball" <johnkimb@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4gkdjugdogvasqd87g30j72eabt082nb1p@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 23:06:16 GMT, "Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> Blew
> their wad in Message id: <ILmZ8.72263$uw.37393@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>:
>
> >Robert,
> >
> >Herb does have an application filed to get KV4FZ back. You are right
about
> >the felony. There was a ham in prison who renewed his ticket even though
he
> >is a convicted child molester who used to lure kids into his ham shack.
We
> >all know who he is.
>
> AB8MQ?
>
> --
>

Not Woger. He has a 4 call in Florida. That witch Hoffman would still
visit that excuse for a human being on sundays. This clown was able to
renew his ticket from prison. He must have had one of his intercon buddys
do it for him.

--
Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
God created only so many perfect heads...
the rest he covered with hair !

http://clik.to/n0vfp
http://www.halturnershow.com

1866. KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 18:06:22 UTC
From: BARF HQ <BARFHQ@VirginIslandsJammingHQ.net>
Message-ID: <ah7030$20d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

LET THE RADIO WARS BEGIN !!!!!!

1867. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 18:21:53 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah7269$6nm$1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Polly Parrot "Zitchey" <abadaba@mail.zelgo.com> whined in message
news:45b0a4c9.0207180636.5c709122@posting.google.com...
> "Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:<SrpZ8.552927$352.96784@sccrnsc02>...
> > Do you have a callsign, Sir?
> >
> >
> > "the microphone" <hignisfor@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:dbpZ8.1422$Kg.1366@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > "Larry Gannon" <lg23@bigmailtousenet.org> wrote in message
> > > news:ah2ldl$633$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > The moment Schoenbohm returns to 14313 the jammers will be
> > > > all over him, and Hollingsworth can flush five years of enforcement
> > > > work right down the shitter. Follow that with the moment Schoenbohm
> > > > attempts to check into the Maritime Mobile Net all hell will let loose,
> > > > and Hollingsworth can flush the shitter again just to make sure his five
> > > > years of hard work are gone down the shitter. And of course history
> > > > will repeat itself, because where Herbie goes, his little band of
> > > > sycophants are sure to follow.
> > > >
> > > > Larry
> > >
> > > Larry, I could not have put it better myself.
> > >
> > > I really really hope for the future of ham radio
> > > that he does not re-start the old 14.313 wars
> > > again, as this time the mayhem will be TEN TIMES
> > > worse and Hollingsworth will have a TRUE RADIO WAR
> > > on his hands that will make CB look like kids stuff- take it to the bank.
> >
> Yes!!!!! We need Herb back on ham radio, and with his KV4FZ call sign
> ... Herb was a beacon of light shining through the ham radio garbage,
> consisting of AF2Y, crazy disgraced dentist..assorted Child
> Molestors... Fat "mama boy " qrmers etc...

Speaoking of fat, Polly, didn't you have to lose quite a bit to get back down to
your size 44 pants, dumbass?
Maybe it's because you'd die from your diabetes if you didn't lose some weight.
Let's hope you die anyways, that way all the hams can piss on your grave. Too
bad they couldn't piss on your face as you lie in the funeral home.

> FCC, give Herb his ticket
> back.. he has been treated unfairly.... He will be welcome on the ham

> bands with open arms ......Zitchey

Why don't you ever get on the air, Polly? Did Riley tell you to take a permanent vacation, or lose your license the last time he made you inventory all your equipment at both your hovels?

February 7, 2001

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. George A. Ashley
1138 Collierville Arlington Road
Collierville, TN 38017

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio Station W4FQF

Dear Mr. Ashley:

Information before the Commission indicates that you have engaged in deliberate interference on the 75 Meter Amateur Band (3.901 MHz) at various times since October 2000. Some of the interference included tape recordings. We note that on January 7, 1999 you were warned about such activities on the 20- Meter Amateur Band.

This constitutes a second warning that any further incidents of such operation will result in enforcement action being taken against you, including license revocation, monetary forfeiture, or both.

Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 18 U.S.C. Section 308(b), gives the Commission the authority to obtain information from licensees about the operation of their station. Pursuant to Section 308(b), you are requested to respond to this letter within 20 days of the above date. You are also requested to furnish the following information.

1. Describe the radio transmitting equipment, including antennas, you have at the 1138 Collierville Arlington Road Address. State the manufacturer, model and serial number of each item. If any items were constructed or modified by you, describe the item in detail.

2. Describe any radio transmitting equipment, including antennas, you have at any other address in Tennessee. State the manufacturer, model and serial number of each item. If any items were constructed or modified by you, describe the item in detail.

Please be advised that Congress has made punishable a willfully false response to a letter of this type. See 18 U.S.C. Section 1001.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel
Enforcement Bureau

1868. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 18:36:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <cVDZ8.1359$Qk6.442@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote

> Can you imagine...that Schoenbohm creature is back on the bands?

The FCC, under due process, has determined that Herb is eligible for an amateur radio license.

We can only hope that he distances himself from hatemongers like you and Lloyd Davies.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
k0hb@arrldotnet

1869. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 18:37:16 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah726g$6nm$4@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.flame

Lllllllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssss"Lloyd Davies"
<daviesl@bellsouth.net> whined in message
news:XHBZ8.58529$1q2.5947519@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
>
>
> "John Shitball" <johnkimb@hotmail.com> blathered out of his malformed face
that doubles as his ass in message
> news:4gkdjugdogvasqd87g30j72eabt082nb1p@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 23:06:16 GMT, "Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> Blew
> > their wad in Message id: <ILmZ8.72263$uw.37393@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>:
> >
> > >Robert,
> > >
> > >Herb does have an application filed to get KV4FZ back. You are right about
> > >the felony. There was a ham in prison who renewed his ticket even though
he
> > >is a convicted child molester who used to lure kids into his ham shack.
> > >Weall know who he is.
> >
> > AB8MQ?

Says John Shitball who is a convicted Child molester in Massachussetts.

From : "Tom" <ghxgjn5001@sneakemail.com>

To : johnkimb@hotmail.com
Subject : Regurgitated Human Entrails found in non-human being
Date : 12 Apr 2002 23:16:29 -0000
MIME-Version: 1.0
Received: from [207.106.87.13] by hotmail.com (3.2) with ESMTP id
MHotMailBE80EE12007640043193CF6A570DB4F30; Fri, 12 Apr 2002 20:16:35 -0700
Received: (qmail 6596 invoked from network); 13 Apr 2002 03:16:31 -0000
Received: from localhost (HELO monkey) (127.0.0.1) by localhost with SMTP; 13
Apr 2002 03:16:31 -0000
From ghxgjn5001@sneakemail.com Fri, 12 Apr 2002 20:16:51 -0700
Message-ID: <2089442896.1018667791760.JavaMail.root@monkey>

John Kimball, you are one "interesting" "it". It is the only descrip I can
think of, sorry, for such an ignorant moron as yourself since you have no sex
and no life. With an interest in sociology and what makes some minds tick, I
did a little checking on you: I now have your real name, your address, and am
working on your id number. Your local law enforcement and regulatory societies
will be in touch with you shortly - I have to mail the documents - e-mail is no

good for this sort of thing as it is too easily forged - as you obviously know. From what I have found so far, you are no stranger to your local authorities, though you may not yet realize the extent of their interest in you. Ain't it amazing what a few well placed phone calls can do?

Your favorite hangouts:

alt.flame.* (84 groups)
alt.flamenet
alt.usenet.kooks
soc.culture.scottish

Your lookup results (small excerpt):
Results 1 - 10 of about 8,270:

[Editors note - Holy shit, this Brainiac knows how to use Google!]

Re: Trash harddisc.vbs & John Kimball <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
To: abuse@hotmail.com John Kimball johnkimb@hotmail.com posting virus to NG soc.culture.scottish
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!! You fucking moron. John Kimball ...
soc.culture.scottish - 16 Aug 2001 by John Kimball - View Thread (4 articles)

John Kimball" johnkimb@hotmail.com begs for my attention - ...
On Fri, 1 Mar 2002 03:19:08 -0500, "subRoutine" <s^0.0^7ii.exe@softtargets.com ...
alt.flame - 02 Mar 2002 by subRoutine - View Thread (1 article)

ATTENTION PAUL RIGGS <pfriggs@attbi.com> : - STAY THE FUCK ...
... pasted email: From : "paul riggs" <pfriggs@attbi.com> To : "John Kimball" <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
Subject : Re: For notrollmaid and others Date : Fri, 29 Mar ...
misc.transport.trucking - 30 Mar 2002 by John Kimball - View Thread (18 articles)

Re: Chad "Hangin' Out At The Wendy's" Bryant. Re: Alex " ...
... Cain <alexacain@yahoo.com> Reply-To : alexacain@yahoo.com To : John Kimball <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
Subject : Re: Hey Dink, how's college going? Date : Tue, 08 ...
alt.usenet.kooks - 08 Aug 2001 by John Kimball - View Thread (7 articles)

Re: Joanie Shitball snivels and trieds to point crooked ...
... who can't hack it in alt.flame always say that." "John Shiteater Kimball" <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
the "writer" slobbers, head up his fatass as usual in message ...
rec.music.beatles - 16 Feb 2002 by John Kimball - View Thread (51 articles)

Re: Why Joanie is such a wanker
... can be seen in all his favorite groups) "John 'cocksucker' Kimball" <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
took time out from ^^^^^ news:alt.cocksucker.john-kimball Quit ...
alt.flame - 29 Nov 2001 by KC8JBO - View Thread (4 articles)

Re: Recession And Females
Troll. Do you EVER contribute anything but the "troll"
epithet, and a tedious sig? STFU. ESAD ...
soc.culture.australian - 28 Sep 2001 by John Kimball - View Thread (175
articles)

REPOST: Re: Optional Identity www.petitmorte.net Registrant: ...
Air Thu, 23 Aug 2001 13:02:58 -0400, sgrï¿½obh John Kimball
<johnkimb@hotmail.com>:
http://www.petitmorte.net/ Optional Identity Registrant: Alexandra Kittrie ...
soc.culture.scottish - 27 Aug 2001 by John Kimball - View Thread (3 articles)

Re: The Breidbart Index
Date: 20/08/01 21:14 GMT Daylight Time Message-id:
<3b816eab.10750698@news.bna.bellsouth.net ...
alt.flame - 21 Aug 2001 by Madra Dubh - View Thread (29 articles)

Re: slow drivers
... be rested up by now. -- "John Kimball" <johnkimb@hotmail.com> admits he eats
shit
in message <a3gs9e$662$0@dosa.alt.net>. Joanie admits to his worthlessness as
...
alt.flame - 16 Feb 2002 by KC8JBO - View Thread (133 articles)

Club-Internet : Forums - consultation liste des titres - [Translate this page]
... 03.03 13h17, John Kimball" johnkimb@hotmail.com begs for
my attention - suck up to me boy c'mon, 1, John Kimball, ...
forum.club-internet.fr/page/club-internet/title/list_title.asp?lan=fre&country=f
r&skin=club-internet&group=alt.bonehead.james-koput&level=1
- 66k - Cached - Similar pages

Club-Internet : Forums - consultation liste des titres - [Translate this page]
... 03.03 13h17, John Kimball" johnkimb@hotmail.com begs for
my attention - suck up to me boy c'mon, 1, John Kimball, ...
forum.club-internet.fr/page/club-internet/title/list_title.asp?lan=fre&country=f
r&skin=club-internet&group=alt.limpdick.james-koput&level=1
- 66k - Cached - Similar pages

paolillo.slis.indiana.edu/~paolillo/usenet/1-09-20/scottish
... 01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet From: John Kimball
<johnkimb@hotmail.com> Newsgroups:
soc.culture.scottish Subject: Re: A very unfortunate message. Date ...
101k - Cached - Similar pages

paolillo.slis.indiana.edu/~paolillo/usenet/1-09-28/scottish
... howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!usenet From: John Kimball
<johnkimb@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: soc.culture.scottish Subject: Re: USA Rules - OK ? Date: Tue, 25 ...
101k - Cached - Similar pages

---------------------------------End included
email---------------------------------

You sure you want to support Llllardasssssssss Lllllllllllllloyd, and have guilt by association, Shitball?

> Not Woger.

No shit, stupidfuck.....Probably KT4TR.

> He has a 4 call in Florida. That witch Hoffman would still
> visit that excuse for a human being on sundays. This clown was able to
> renew his ticket from prison. He must have had one of his intercon buddys
> do it for him.

Llllardasssssssssssss Lllllllllllllllllloyd, are you talking about Raincoat Charlie by any chance?

--
Llllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssss"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> blathered out of his goosestepping fatass in <VEBZ8.58525$1q2.5936970@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>:
"(insane jewish crap snipped....) Look, The world does not revolve around you stupid jews. "

"YOU ARE NOTHING BUT A LYING JEW WHO LOVES TO CONTROL EVEYTHING AROUND HIM.
Drools the sub-human antisemite N0VFP, Lloyd Davies in <19990730080252.21150.00003002@ng-fx1.aol.com>

Lloyd A Davies (n0vfp@aol.com) neo-nazi idiot savant slobbered:
"No Doc, We have just begun. The Whites will rise again and take back what the Jews have taken and then some!"

Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM proves is as ignorant of history and politics as he is in everything else when he "wrote" in message <19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
"There are those call Liberty People "Nazis" What does supposed to mean? I thought a Nazi was a communist."

"People like AF2Y dont listen to reason! He's just a Jew!"
-Lloyd Davies, neo-nazi comic sex clown, keeping that Old Auschwitz spirit alive, in <iDbA4.9105$_P5.1472043@news2.mia>
----
n0vfp@aol.comWWCR (Brother Lloyd Davies) drooled in <20010318230639.03162.00003271@ng-md1.aol.com>
"Never Met a Jew I liked"

Antisemetic Lllllllloyd Davies, N0VFP slobbered in <3AAEDE2C@MailAndNews.com>:
"No, Jew lover, I have a Ten-Tec RX-320, controlled by my new IBM Thinkpad laptop."

Racist "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> drooled in message news:OYs16.135$Gc5.10799@news1.atl...
"Hey nigger breath, you and your "brothers" will all pay for all the

trouble you given us for many, many years...It's CHRISTMAS, you jungle bunny.
Here's to hoping you will get the lynching you so deserve.
Hoping someone will lynch you......."

Lllllllllllardassss Llllllloyd the degenerate homosexual racist fuck slobbered
in
<20020121163251.04829.00002231@mb-cm.aol.com>:

"You sound just like a nigger lover. Down here in Athens, Alabama. We celabreat
robert. e. lees birthday."

Lardasssssss "Mengele-nutsack slurper" Lllllllllllllllllloyd Davies, N0VFP
goosestepped and slobbered in <3c755173$2_4@news.teranews.com> :
"What you gonna do about it, you zionist piece of filth."

DUMBFUCKING LARDASS LLLLLLOYD "Lloyd, N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> the
pedophile babbled in message news:ddkz6.2997$al2.186535@news2.atl...

"The answer is simple, he's a JEW."

LARDASS "Lloyd, N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> slobbered in
message news:DBnD6.3666$rk3.142757@news1.atl...
"And I'd do the same back to you, JEW "

LLlllllllllllllaaaaaaaaaardasssss Lllllllllllllllllllllllloyd
"Friends of Hal Turner" <we.will@get.by.com> wrote in
message news:3c3886cb$1_8@news.teranews.com...
"MOST OF THESE MESSAGES ARE DONE BY AUTOBOT. NOTHING WILL EVER BE DONE.
YOU LOSE jew......."

Llllardasssssss Llllllloyd,who has Hitler's lone testicle in his mouth,
slobbered in
<3c75c041_7@news.teranews.com>:
"Listen old zionist pig, I do not have a "ghost writer""

Llllardassssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> slurped Hitler's
remaining testicle in
<46zO8.15295$x21.1500509@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>:
"Where is..... That jew radiosigs?"

Lllllllllllllllllllllardassssssss "Cheeta's Brother Lloyd"
<brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> slobbered his stupidity and antisemitism in
<27e38977.0206252053.50683242@posting.google.com>:
"You cause trouble everywhere you go, jew"

Antisemetic fuckwit Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllardasssssssssssss
"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> slobbered in
<8MEY8.32822$1q2.1935530@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>:

" I like to eat pork. Right now, I am having a good BBQ pork sandwich. I wonder if Doc would like to have one with me. Opps, I forgot, Doc is a jew!!!! HAHAHAHAHA!!!! I think all whites should go out and just eat pork! Run all the jew-owned meat shops out of business!"

"Cheeta's Brother Lllllllllardasssssssssssss Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> blathered more ignorance, what else is new in message news:27e38977.0207161058.285cefd9@posting.google.com...
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:<ah19sp$ij6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > Can you imagine...(a bunch of jew whining about not getting his way snipped).>

1870. Re: Club Licensure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 21:38:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <DzGZ8.108$ja7.61359269@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49683

Ask Mike Deignan. At one time he was quite a collector of club callsigns,
until...

Riley Hollingsworth took a dim view of callsign collectors.

Some of these coded people think they own the amateur radio service.

73, Brian

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:ah6g5g527ol@enews1.newsguy.com...
> I am asking here, since I haven't the foggiest idea where to get this
> answer.... What is the actual requirements for obtaining a "club
callsign,"
> and what actual documents are required for obtaining a club call. Is
there
> certain criteria for what exactly a "club" is?
>
> Many thanks for your help in advance.
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the
site
> please)
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>

1871. Re: Club Licensure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 21:40:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zBGZ8.109$%d7.61582429@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49684

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:lRAZ8.971$Qk6.656@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:ah6g5g527ol@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > I am asking here, since I haven't the foggiest idea where to get this
> > answer.... What is the actual requirements for obtaining a "club
> callsign,"
> > and what actual documents are required for obtaining a club call. Is
> there
> > certain criteria for what exactly a "club" is?
>
> 97.5(b)(2) gives the requirements.
>
> A club officer must designate the trustee who can be any license class
> except Novice. The club must consist of at least 4 persons, have a name,
a
> document of organization (constitution/bylaws), management (officers), and
a
> primary purpose related to ham radio (in other words, your garden club or
> bridge club is not eligible for a license).
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

I wonder if Mike's "Radio Commando's" are hunting down terrorists?

1872. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 22:26:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718182616.02933.00000016@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 49686

In article <20020717181031.01571.00000001@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>Dick has made an enormous number of "claims" in here and
>>he HAS cited his "long experience" in many things.
>
>So have many people. It is not the same thing as saying "I'm older than you,
>therefore I'm right", which has been tossed at me a number of times by folks
>Cecil and "Lenover21"

Some of us have more experience in radio and electronics than
you, Jim. I have and I know Cecil has. With more experience
comes greater exposure to many things.

If the majority of your "experience" comes from reading ARRL
publications and personal radio operating (following the ARRL
rules), then your "experience" has been dictated to you as to
what to do, how to do it, and what is "proper" in amateurism.

My experience comes from a lot of exposure to various kinds
of radio and electronics, many different radio services. I've been
fortunate to start out my radio experience in the Big Leagues of
HF communications some time ago. You will negate that in
that "it isn't amateur radio" and you would be right...it isn't IN
amateur radio. However, radio physics are the same regardless
of man-made rules on what is professional and what is amateur.

Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a guild, trade, or union and it
isn't a pseudo-military "service" requiring rigid adherence to
methods, standards, procedures, jargon, or anything else. All
that is required is to follow the regulations made by the only
agency that grants permissions to radiate RF, the FCC.

>> Most
>>reasoning folks could equate "long experience" as being due
>>to age...but that apparently doesn't apply if opinions differ?
>
>I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.

Sure you do...you use it implicitly all the time.

You have a rather rigid adherence to amateur methods,
standard, practices, jargon, etc., all "specified" by the ARRL.
You expect others to adhere to the same or suffer some kind
of chastisement from your "old man 2" sequel of negative
criticsm (a la H.P.Maxim).

So you've been an amateur extra for 32 years. That means
you achieved that class as a teenager. You were not yet an
adult then. Have you spent those three decades as an extra
in exploring and experimenting the state of the art of radio?
Or didn't you follow the "proper" behavior and all the "in" things
as they were proscribed for you in the ARRL publications? :-)

>>N2EY's OPINIONS are challenged as being invalid.
>
>How can an opinion be "invalid"? Suppose Cecil's favorite ice cream is
>vanilla
>bean - are you going to argue that chocolate chip mint is "better", (even
>though Cecil has tried chocolate mint chip and hates it) and that Cecil's
>opinion is "invalid"? Sounds like it!

No...your comment is simply misdirection. This isn't about anyone
else's favorite flavor of ice cream. However, if the ARRL proscribed
the "proper" flavor of ice cream to enjoy, I'm absolutely certain you
would follow their directions. :-)

I find you opinions to be nearly the same as what the ARRL
writes as "good and proper" for all radio amateurs to follow. :-)

I find that your opinions are simply mirroring what has been
proscribed to you with very little originality or evidence that
they really are your own "opinions." For that reason I find them
INVALID as your personal opinion.

>Indeed. Rather than accept my facts, arguments and opinions, people should
>blindly accept your "I'm older, therefore I'm right" statements? Get real!
>Please.

Where am I stating saying "follow my direction, I am right?"

I haven't done that. All I've advocated is the elimination of the
code test for an amateur radio license...and the ability to be
independent of a national membership organization that has all
the earmarks of trying to be a Big Brother ex-officio control group.

You and your PCTA group are all saying (practically in all
capitals) "DO AS I DID, OTHERWISE YOU AREN'T A REAL
HAM!" The clear implication that someone who doesn't do what
you or the PCTAs do is a "lesser" person in the hobby.

>>Naturally. NO ONE knows better than N2EY What Is Best in
>>Amateur Radio. :-)
>
>You said that, not me.

Oh, you've SAID it all right, just not in those exact words. You
will tout "being an extra since 1970" and expect to be regarded
as an "expert" (or at least a guru figure) in amateur radio and
then get all upset if someone else doesn't agree with your
"expertise." You will, as above, get upset if others with more

life experience over a varied radio environment show up and challenge your opinions. You generally get upset with such challenges as evident in your post quoted here. :-)

Are you thinking at all, really and truly thinking about newcomers to amateur radio? I don't think so. For a long while you've been emphasizing amateur extra classes in those many "statistics" postings. You are an extra. You want to show "how good you are." You've said outright that folks should look up your stuff in a non-newsstand old-radio-technology magazine and stated your own projects as being "good" without giving others a chance to do a review. That's all evidence of thinking about YOUR opinions being "good" for amateur radio.

For a dozen years the US amateur radio ranks were swelled by the no-code-test Technician class licensees. You've discounted those, dismissed them, never really regarded their existance as meaningful in any way (except maybe as warm bodies who "will upgrade through code practice"). Technician class licensees now make up a third of all licensees and are also the least class in membership percentage in the ARRL. To most reasoning people that is a sign that newcomers aren't buying the old standards, practices, or "what is good for them" (as proscribed by older hams). You see only ONE way to be "good amateurs:" Do as you did, faithfully follow anything dictated by the ARRL, march in ranks to their drumbeat like good little servicemen.

"Real hams work DX on HF with CW."

"Gigahertz are for giga-squirts."

"No lids, kids, or space cadets."

1873. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 22:26:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718182617.02933.00000017@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 49685

In article <BloZ8.55031$1q2.4796824@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>> Do you worship your sound system? How odd...
>
>No comprende.

"...audios, amigo" :-)

[leave the U out next time... :-)]

1874. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-18 23:57:31 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3755EB.E90A73BC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49687
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Frank Todd wrote:

> Robert,
>
> Herb does have an application filed to get KV4FZ back. You are right about
> the felony. There was a ham in prison who renewed his ticket even though he
> is a convicted child molester who used to lure kids into his ham shack. We
> all know who he is.
>

The FCC probably didn't know about the molester convection. Probably the only reason they knew about Herb is that it was a telecom related crime, and telecom is part of what the FCC regulates. I suppose the FCC could have the FBI check the ham license database for any other felons, but the FCC would need to budget money for that, and the FBI is busy with other stuff right now. And it's not clear that it's anything worth worrying about anyway....

1875. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 00:01:36 UTC
From: dahdah@aol.com
Message-ID: <3d3753db.5358702@news.nildram.co.uk>
Source record: 49688
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 18 Jul 2002 08:30:06 +0100, "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote:

>Exactly - and Xtal controlled rigs will be right
>on centre channel.
>

Time to put your shovel away, the hole is already big enough!

Xtals have a tolerance. No receiver is ever exactly on
centre channel.

AM receivers do not have a sufficiently flat passband for
'nothing' to be demodulated, even when they are tuned
completely centrally on an fm signal. A passband ripple
of less than 1dB will give an easily audible response, due to
slope detection within the passband.

Also, if the bandwidth of the fm signal is wider than the bandwidth
of the AM receiver, there will be no 'accurately centered' tuning
point where 'nothing' would be heard, even if the passband was
exactly flat.

In theory, with a perfect AM receiver, with a bandwidth wider than
the fm signal, it would give no demodulation of an FM signal,
but there are no perfect receivers available to real world radio
amateurs.

>Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
>news:ah55un$qh5kg$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
>> "Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
>> news:3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk...
>> > Brain Rarey wrote:
>> <snip>
>> > Clearly you have never operated a radio as you believe that 'FM will
>> > appear as unmodulated carrier' Ha Ha HA HA HA !!!!!
>> > Andy, M3ABC
>> >
>> FM on a AM RX Will appear as a unmodulated carrier if tuned to the centre
>> frequency
>> You won't hear by slope detection, until you slope off frequency
>>
>> Steve Terry
>>
>>
>>

>
>

1876. Re: A complete travesty of justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 00:39:19 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <ah7n0qtio0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ah6ckv$47h$g@pita.alt.net...
> Lllllllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies"
> <daviesl@bellsouth.net> whined out his fatass in message
> news:RXkZ8.52450$1q2.4065447@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Well said Frank.
> >
> > These knotheads like Doc and Woger are upset that Herb is back!
>
> Dumbfuck, I don't really give a fuck if Herb is back or not.

--
Because if his inability to speak intelligently, Roger Wiseman again resorts
to his typical filth.

1877. Re: Club Licensure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 00:58:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718205857.26909.00000044@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49691

In article <zBGZ8.109$%d7.61582429@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>I wonder if Mike's "Radio Commando's" are hunting down terrorists?

I doubt it. Mikey D's famous list of "Hawaiian clubs" is not to be found. Mikey still has KH6HZ obtained with someone else's PO Box address...but now has a Chepachet, RI, mailing address.

The WE1RD Michael P. Deignan Repeater Association is still listed with KD1S as trustee. Weird is right. :-)

Apparently all the "RF Commandos" were RIFed, probably with a Dishonorable. :-)

There's still a whole bunch of multiple clubs on the AH0A site.

Dave Sumner is still on that one with the "Residence Radio Club." Must have a really big house! :-)

1878. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 00:59:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718205900.26909.00000045@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49692

In article <3D36640E.7FFC1B7F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D344749.E171DA81@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Thanks for proving my point, Doctor Evil. There was no implication that
>> >the idea was mine.
>>
>> Sorry, but you most definitely say it was your "good idea."
>
>That is a blatant falsehood. I never said the idea was mine.

Okay, then it was an impersonator using your name and call!
:-)

[maybe it was an Al-Queda sleeper practicing for later?!?]

>> Well over a year later. You then tried to explain it as State
>> Department radio procedures policy in a round of double-
>> talk.
>
>This one's not true either. I do recall telling you that you don't have
>a clearance or a need to know for further information. You didn't like
>it then. I'm going to guess that you aren't going to like it now.

Wow! We need "clearances" or "need to know" for discussing
falsehoods! :-)

>Sorry, Len. I can't respond when you snip out the relevant portions.

No problem...you just can't respond. I accept that.

>> "Crypto sync?!?" You are bluffing again. You didn't say that
>> before. Is this another cover-up of previous bad wording? Of
>> course it is.
>
>Yes, I did say that.

Who was it who wrote that, your impersonator or who?

>> If Heil didn't say it, then who is masquerading as Heil?
>
>So far, it'd be you since you are the individual coming up with the

>phoney quotes.

Not me...but I HAVE been thinking about getting a Hawaiian
PO Box... :-)

>Not many embassy comm centers are open for business 24 hours per day,
>Len. I doubt whether even London or Paris do that these days. The
>importance of Guinea-Bissau to the United States in terms of the uncivil
>discussion is irrelevant.

Okay, so mum's the word on the Hotbed of International Intrigue,
Guinea-Bisseau. [Open on alternate Tuesdays, 0900-1100 hrs?]

>> Nonsense. More bluffing...or the State Department radio equipment
>> in Guinea-Bisseau was obtained as WW2 surplus.
>
>Neither. You, it would appear, do not know what you are talking about.

Understand. Anyone would be touchy on mentioning having
to use WW2 surplus radio equipment in the 1980s. Sorry.

>Brilliant! You are absolutely correct. Under those conditions, you
>can't send or receive traffic. You can attempt to QSY lower in
>frequency to see if it is possible to find a frequency not effected by
>the multipath distortion. If not, it is quite simple to send a closure
>via CW and to include a time for opening the following day.

Oh? Open every day? Well, it must have been busy with all that
area intrigue in 80s.

>Gosh, if State had only known that men of your calibre were available,
>so much more could have been accomplished!

Too bad for Uncle Sammy then... :-)

>So what did these have to do with Guinea-Bissau, Lisbon or Reykjavik?

One place has cashews, one has Nokias? :-)

>No. Irrelevant insertion of comment about equipment not used in decades
>at State and not used at any embassy anywhere in the world. Knowledge
>of RACES does not qualify you as even mildly knowledgeable of State
>Department communications systems or techniques.

Ever see one? :-)

>I have not described the equipment at all.

I DID apologize for mentioning "WW2 surplus." Geez!

>Assignments are not based on the perceived importance of the post.
>You've come on like you are more knowledgeable than me on the topic of
>amateur radio. Now you write as if you know more about my job than I
>did. Do you think anyone believes you?

You still have your job? I thought you retired to live in the States?

Who said you always speak the truth? [you did...rhetorically]

>I'm neither poor nor a baby. You aren't in a position to judge my
>claims to expertise in amateur radio or State Department communications.
>You don't have any background in either.

Got up on the wrong side of the kaserne this morning? :-)

>My qualifications for knowing what is best for amateur radio are 39
>years as an active ham.

Yes, everyone do what you want because that pleases you. :-)

>Your qualifications are what? Oh yeah,
>ordinary citizen non-participant in amateur radio.

Of course. "Civilians" are not allowed to speak. They can only
speak when spoken to and then only with permission. :-)

[see also "clearance" and "need to know"]

>No, Len. The smoke and mirrors belong to you. You seem to have snipped
>the item on which I was commenting.

Were you "commenting?" Geez, I thought you were today's
Dill Instructor chewing out the troops!

>My posts aren't long enough to permit pontification, Len. What you've
>accorded to "Heil", Heil didn't write.

Ok, ok, so your impersonator has been very busy..."you" hardly
wrote a thing except some pithy one-liners.

You got pithed off. Understood.

>C'mon, Len. Now you know more about my State Department job than I did.

I did? How could I know that? No clearance or need to know,
etc. I guess NOBODY knows about your State job, huh?

>It wasn't broken. The ionosphere was broken.

Yes, that happens. The work-around? :-)

>Why would the government bother to tell you or clear things with you,
>Len? Who the hell are you?

Well then, we can all rest safely knowing that the USA Department
of State is in good but secret hands, not to be revealed without
the proper clearance or "need to know." More mum's the word.

>"Heil" doesn't need to produce government documents to prove anything.
>You'd better be able to come up with something to disprove it or you'll
>just have to huff and puff.

I'm not licensed for bluffing, huffing, or puffing. No clearance or
"need to know," remember?

>I've explained. You have not accepted. You have dismissed despite your
>total lack of knowledge of the situation. You have repeatedly distorted
>by attributing to me things which I did not say.

Ah, but SOMEONE named "Dave Heil" wrote what I said.

>Then this will chafe the hell out of you. You've been told all I can
>tell you.

No problem. I'll just go to the CIA Fact Book again for answers
on hotbeds of intrigue in foreign countries.

>I've a better idea. You show me some documents which say that it does
>not use morse.

<insert about 10 minutes of raucous laughter at this one...>

>> What WERE your "daily tasks" in the Guinea-Bisseau "comm center?"
>
>Who wants to know?

Don't worry, big Dave, I won't tell...honest, I won't... :-)

What percentage of daily time was devoted to making out
all those QSLs as a rare (the ONLY) ham call in Guinea-
Bisseau? [just wondering]

>Number of circuits: You have no need to know. Operation: You have no
>need to know.

Oh, heavens, yes! NO clearance, NO "need to know!" :-)

I'll just wait for someone else to write it up as a footnote in a
CIA or NSA book sold by that bankrupt, non-profit book seller
called Amazon. :-)

>You're the expert on State Department comms. Tell me. Was I busy?

According to the documents in front of me, it says nothing at all
about your work. Amazing!

>I know something you don't know....

Of course, of course. :-) :-) :-)

>You don't have the need to know.

Of course, of course. :-) :-) :-)

>> LOTS of citizens think that would be a mighty GOOD thing
>> for US amateur radio. There's even an international
>> organization that is working to eliminate that code test.
>> You may have heard of it?
>
>Yeah, it's a real "international" outfit.

Oh-oh, do we detect an extra snarly undertone there?

>What does the IARU think about a minimum age for entering amateur radio?

Will the IARU grant a clearance with a "need to know?" :-)

> Aren't you
>telling us that we should believe what Leonard H. Anderson says is good
>for amateur radio?

No. I'm just for the elimination of any morse code test for an
amateur radio license...and poking holes in a lot of old, dated
puffed-up dudes who think Their ideas is What Is Best For
Amateur Radio (because that pleases them no end...).

> I was writing about Doctor Evil and Mini-Me.

Why? The movie review newsgroup is on the second floor
to the right. Go there or right next door for the Mike Myers
Fan Club.

>Unh uh. You're confusing yourself with the FCC.

Nope. You seem to be, though.

>You don't work for the Commission.

Right...and neither do you, big Dave.

>It matters not whether anyone at the FCC holds an amateur
>license.

Oh? You just said that anyone without a ham license should
not be listened to, that they are fictional, should be disregarded.
Disregarding the FCC is not a nice thing...

Please try to stay on focus, your picture is very blurry...

>Regulating communications is their job. It is not your job.

Ah, but YOU are trying very hard to regulate newsgroup
communications...and to say that anyone without an amateur
radio license should not be listened to, are fictional, and
should be disregarded!

>No one at the DMV is required to have a drivers license.

In the California DMV the driving test inspectors must have
a valid driver license. They don't have to test for morse code
communications, though. :-)

>No one at a butcher shop is required to eat meat.

They don't have to test for morse code, either... :-)

>I don't have a beef with the FCC. I have a beef with you.

You are a ham with a beef. Sounds fishy to me.

Meaty subject, that... :-)

>It's the FCC's job to regulate communications.

...and no one at the FCC is required to have an amateur radio license to either regulate amateur radio or enforce it. The FCC should be disregarded (according to big Dave) because they are "unlicensed."

>You don't agree with me.

Sorry, I can't tell you I either agree with you or disagree with you...you don't have a clearance or "need to know" to find that out.

>You claim to know what's best for amateur radio.

Nope. I just want to eliminate the morse code test for any amateur radio license.

Hello? To anyone with more than four working neurons, that's about GETTING INTO AMATEUR RADIO.

I'll just let the ARRL and ruff, tuff long-time extras dictate to everyone "what is best for amateur radio" after newcomers get into it. That way the ruffies and tuffies can satisfy their control-freak needs and pioneer HF radio because they have clearances and a "need to know." :-)

1879. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 01:04:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020718210431.18757.00000041@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 49693

In article <20020717062610.02908.00001048@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>I'd like to see that also. I wouldn't be able to do a lookup. By the time
>>you identify one letter, the next two have rolled by. "Flying behind the
>>airplane" as they call it.
>
>Larry likes to use that lookup thing as a way to insult other hams. He has
>not
>yet accepted the unknown code lookup-table challenge at 5 wpm, though. FWIW.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

However, Ed has gone way beyond the pale in making a big issue out of something said as a joke!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1880. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 01:04:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020718210431.18757.00000042@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 49694

In article <20020717062704.02908.00001049@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>Were you posting here before I met you at the Penn-Del Hamfest and
>>the Rochester Hamfest a few years ago?
>
>Yes. I have been here for over 10 years now, Larry.
>
>Lord knows why!
>
>73,

Hmmm. I go back at least that far myself, and a lot farther than that on Fidonet. I guess I just don't remember what your screen identity used to be before you became W1RFI@aol.com.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1881. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 01:04:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020718210432.18757.00000044@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 49696

In article <3D34E0BC.898D7650@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> > Is a person who took their tests in front of an FCC examiner a better
>> > ham than one who did not?
>>
>> Absolutely.
>
>Was I a better ham before I took my Extra exam?

Cecil:

I imagine that what you're saying is you took your Extra exams before a VE-team. Since there is a positive connection between VE teams and corruption of the amateur radio license testing process, you, like every other ham tested by a VE team, will always carry with you the possibility that there was something suspicious happening at your testing session. Therefore, it can reasonably be asserted that the best hams are those who took 100% of their tests at an FCC Field Office. Sorry for your luck.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1882. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 01:04:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020718210432.18757.00000043@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 49697

In article <20020717063217.02908.00001051@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>>If I make up a new code, care to demonstrate the lookup process sometime?
>
>>Nope. If the code is worth learning, and is adopted for general use in
>>the ARS, I'll just learn it the way I learned the good 'ole Morse code.
>
>But that is the premise here, Larry. You claim that applicants are using a
>lookup table instead of learning the code. If it as easy as you claim, surely
>you could do it.

Ed:

Are you saying that no ham has ever passed a 5 WPM code test
using a lookup table?

>>When can we expect to see a QST article on the Hare code?
>
>You cannot expect the article. I raised the point only to demonstrate that
>you
>were wrong when you accuse new hams of using a lookup table to pass their 5
>wpm
>code tests. I don't need to do that now because you wouldn't accept the
>challenge. I think that alone made my point.

There hasn't been any point made, Ed, since my original comment to
Brian was just my typical tongue-in-cheek humor! If you haven't had the
mandatory funnybonectomy required for employment on the ARRL HQ
Staff, you would have understood that.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1883. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 01:04:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020718210433.18757.00000045@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 49695

In article <20020717061445.02908.00001043@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>And the above is Ed's greatest accomplishment in ham radio: becoming
>>a member of the ARRL HQ staff, and then using his position to behave
>>in an arrogant manner toward members.
>
>Not at all, Larry. You once told me I treated others too kindly here so I am
>giving you exactly what you asked for.

Ed:

Oh, did I? Hmmm. I don't seem to recall that. You wouldn't care to
provide the exact quote, would you?

I thought not.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1884. Re: kv4fz is back?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 01:38:05 UTC
From: abadaba@mail.zelgo.com
Message-ID: <45b0a4c9.0207181738.3ba5b087@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Okracoke Peter" <hoo4enters@aol.com> wrote in message
news:<3OyZ8.2468$_C2.189816@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> I heard something on 75 Meters last night
> that Herb, KV4FZ has somehow pulled a rabbit
> out of his hat and the FCC is going to re-instate
> his ham radio licence. Is this true..?
> Can someone PLEASE post a URL or a link to
> and OFFICIAL FCC PRESS RELEASE to this effect.
>
> Most of this stuff in the newsgroups and on 75 Meters
> is pure bullshi+ with extra sauce. I will believe
> it ONLY when I read it from an official and a credible source.

I sure hope Herbie is back on the air... Ham radio has been so
dull..Plese let it be so.... HERBIE BABY IS NUMBER ONE!!!!! We all
know that.... Zitchey

1885. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 02:06:27 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.18.22.06.21.623317.12489@arrl.org>
Source record: 49699

On Thu, 18 Jul 2002 21:04:31 -0400, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> In article <20020717062704.02908.00001049@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
>>>Were you posting here before I met you at the Penn-Del Hamfest and
>>>the Rochester Hamfest a few years ago?
>>
>>Yes. I have been here for over 10 years now, Larry.
>>
>>Lord knows why!
>>
>>73,
>
> Hmmm. I go back at least that far myself, and a lot farther than that
> on Fidonet. I guess I just don't remember what your screen identity used
> to be before you became W1RFI@aol.com.

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=135%40arrl.org

1886. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 02:24:56 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <ah7tcq$qm66v$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49700
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Gareth the CBer" <cerebras@de_mierda.com> wrote in message
news:DfvZ8.13247$Zl6.372210@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net...
> Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
> news:ah55un$qh5kg$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> > "Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
> > news:3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk...
> > > Brain Rarey wrote:
> > <snip>
> > > Clearly you have never operated a radio as you believe that 'FM will
> > > appear as unmodulated carrier' Ha Ha HA HA HA !!!!!
> > > Andy, M3ABC
> > >
> > FM on a AM RX Will appear as a unmodulated carrier if tuned to the centre
> > frequency
> > You won't hear by slope detection, until you slope off frequency
> > Steve Terry
> >
> As you tune off frequency the slope detection kicks in and the
> signal becomes more intelligible; however you can STILL hear
> some audio on centre frequency. Try it for yourself and see.
>
Really ? Thanks for telling me, I bet you tell your granny how to suck eggs too
I'd been slope detecting before you were born (probably?)

Let me think, firstly on an R1155 with a RF27 VHF converter modded for 2M,
around 1968 ?

OK you'll get a slight squeak on centre frequency, but nothing intelligible

Steve Terry

1887. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 03:13:24 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3783D4.1AFB64AA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49601

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I imagine that what you're saying is you took your Extra exams before
> a VE-team. Since there is a positive connection between VE teams and
> corruption of the amateur radio license testing process, you, like every
> other ham tested by a VE team, will always carry with you the possibility
> that there was something suspicious happening at your testing session.

So I really *was* a better ham before I became an Extra?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1888. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 03:15:13 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D378441.A760CCD7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49602

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Are you saying that no ham has ever passed a 5 WPM code test
> using a lookup table?

I used a lookup table when I passed my Novice exam at the FCC
office in Houston in 1951. My lookup table was rote memorized.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1889. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 03:37:00 UTC
From: KK7HO <KK7HO@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <2OLZ8.36590$rX.6223383@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

That'll go over like a fart in church! Go ahead have it! LOL

"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:2PkZ8.52289$1q2.4028830@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?
>
> This would be fun! Anyone can check in. Freind or Foe. Let's welcome
> Herbie back.
>
> Herbie is back. Let us rejoice.
>
> Lloyd
>
>
> --
> Lloyd Davies
> B.A.R.F Chief of Communications
> (New Webpage coming soon!)
>
>
>
>
>

1890. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 04:10:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719001057.13297.00000026@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49605

In article <20020713182101.02595.00000070@mb-fk.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020713140621.01619.00000023@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com writes:

>>>No. TM 11-690 is "Theory and Application of Transistors," a
>>>technical manual or "TM" published by the US Army.
>>>It described
>>>the theory and application of transistors. Further, it used the
>>>standard symbolism of the transistor whereas many textbooks
>>>of the 50s and some of the 60s used a non-standard symbolism.
>>
>>Yeah, I know. I had one. Still around somewhere.
>
>Ah, but did you LEARN anything from it? :-)

A little. Most of it I knew already.
>
>>Doesn't answer the question though - was RCA behind the times by not
>>including transistors in the "Radiotron"?
>
>Which "RCA" are you talking about? RCA-Montreal? RCA-Camden?

RCA the company.

>>It's clear you're avoiding the simple truth that, in fact, the transmitters
>>were designed, built and installed by others at taxpayer's expense. And a
>>whole
>>team kept them going. You were part of that team - and not the leader of it.
>>
>>Is any of the above not true?
>
>Not true.

Which parts?

>"Trick Chiefs" (shift supervisors, as I was as an E-5) were the
>leaders on swing and midnight shifts.

But not the overall leaders.

> Since all teams worked all
>shifts, shift supervisors were leaders 2/3 of the time.

When on duty.
>
>At ADA we also installed some of the transmitters.

But you did not build them, design them, or pay for them.

>Considering that
>there were 43 HF transmitters there at the beginning of 1956, we
>couldn't have all "built them," could we?

Perhaps you could have. All depends on the available resources.

>Could you or have you
>built a 1 KW transmitter?
> A 5 KW transmitter?

I'm sure I could build those.

>A 15 KW
>transmitter? A 50 KW transmitter that was used as a 40 KW
>output linear amplifier for SSB?

Probably. All depends on the requirements and resources available. The
principles are the same.

>>I was born in 1954. Being older doesn't make you right, yet you talk as
>>though it does.
>
>True, and neither does being "an Extra since 1970" make you some
>great guru of amateur radio by the same logic... :-)

I've never claimed it does.

>>>Is theory out of date or isn't it? Try answering the question.
>>
>>Why? You never answer mine.
>
>Aw, poor baby...gonna take your ball and go home? :-)

I'm neither poor nor a baby.
>
>>But I'll answer anyway: Theory rarely goes out of date. And old technology
>>often holds the answer to modern problems. Old technology is not necessarily
>>"bad" or "obsolete".
>
>True enough. If so, why must old standards and practices be kept because
>a few want to keep a hobby static and unchanging?

Who wants to keep anyhting "static and unchanging"? Not me.

Standards and practices that work need not be changed unless something better
comes along. If it's not broke...
>
>Ham Radio Magazine is still being published... :-)

So is Shakespeare. Just no new stuff from him or HR.

>>There's an online index of HR articles. Turns out the vast majority of them
>>were written by hams. Also turns out I know several of the authors.
>

>Do you channel Jim Fisk to get to "know" those several? :-)
>
>Do you know any of the HR staff? :-)

Authors.
>
>>>Why do you consider lack of praise to be "ridicule?"
>>
>>It's the name-calling ("Jimmie", "Nursie", "Herr Heil", etc.) that I refer
>>to. Many other examples.
>
>How do you wish to be addressed?

Call sign or first name. Like practically everyone else in this ng.
>
>>>>How many amateur radio transceivers have you built with your own money and
>>>>time? How many have you designed?
>>>
>>>Six, but those were not solely for amateur radio although each one could
>>>cover at least one amateur band.
>>
>>Sounds like they were not ham gear in the usual sense. A CB set can be used
>>on 10 meters, for example.
>
>I never stated that they were FOR amateur radio, did I?

Then they were not amateur radio transceivers. The question was about "amateur
radio transceivers".

>>A receiver-transmitter is not a transceiver.
>
>The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver."

The meaning of the word in amateur radio use includes more than that. Like
using the same variable oscillator system for both transmit and receive
frequency control.

>>Not patentable - it was obvious to anyone skilled in the art. I wrote it up
>but
>>a few months later a similar design appeared in QST. Author was W1DBM - Phil
>>Rand. Great minds think alike..
>
>I'm sure "great minds" think highly of themselves... :-)

Why not? In some ways my desin was better than Phil's.

>>>You built "heterodyne transmitters?!?"

>>Yes. The output frequency ius generated by heterodyning rather than
>>multiplication. Didn't you know that?

>>> Did the FCC ever catch you
>>>"heterodyning?" What did you convert those receivers from? :-)

>>
>>Obviously you didn't know it.
>
>Mr. Extreme Literalist, to "heterodyne" someone is to put a transmitter
>frequency very close to theirs so as to make the listening party hear
>only the squeal of a "heterodyne" beat note.

That's one meaning, but not the correct one in this case.

>Transmitter frequency generation by "methods other than multiplication"
>has been done for years in commercial or military radios.

Did you invent it? I think not.

>One of the
>first commercial uses was in the civil aircraft radio band 108 to 137 MHz
>beginning with mixing two selectable-crystal oscillators to achieve a
>"channel" tuning.

So? Amateur radio HF equipment is not channelized.

>The term "heterodyne transmitter" seems to be
>common only to VERY old ham radio literature... :-)

You ever design and build an amateur radio heterodyne transmitter?

>>>Or will you deride it, denigrate it, and otherwise damn it if it doesn't
>have
>>>"CW" capability?
>>
>>You're the expert at deriding and denigrating.
>
>You didn't answer the question. What if it doesn't have "CW"
>capability?

It can be easily added.

>>>>Like your nostalgia for 1954?
>>>
>>>What "nostalgia?"
>>
>>You mention it ad infinitum, as if it had some significance to today's
>>amateur radio policies.
>
>Wrong year. I mention 1953, specifically late February of that year, to
>illustrate a point about HF radio communications in the US military.

What point?
>
>In 1948 the US military decided to eliminate morse codings in long-
>haul HF communications, all branches. They did.

They decided in 1948?

Do you have some proof of this decision?

When did they finally eliminate HF Morse in all long haul HF military radio communications?

And what does that have to do with amateur radio?
>
>>>So...you did NOT design something, but rather assembled a kit that
>>>someone else designed. [at least you admitted it outright...there's
>>>hope for you...]
>>
>>That is only one of my transceivers.
>
>The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver."

See above.

Do you know what a premixer is?

Jim, N2EY

1891. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 04:11:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49604

In article <20020718182616.02933.00000016@mb-bd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020717181031.01571.00000001@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:
>
>>>Dick has made an enormous number of "claims" in here and
>>>he HAS cited his "long experience" in many things.
>>
>>So have many people. It is not the same thing as saying "I'm older than you, >>therefore I'm right", which has been tossed at me a number of times by folks >>Cecil and "Lenover21"
>
>Some of us have more experience in radio and electronics than
>you, Jim.

Some people do. Like K8MN.

>I have and I know Cecil has.

How do you know? "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range. Do you know how to program a PLC?

>With more experience
>comes greater exposure to many things.

But not everything. "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range.

There are undoubtedly areas of "radio and electronics" where you have more experience than I. Same for Cecil. And there are also areas where I have more experience than either of you - or both of you put together.
>
>My experience comes from a lot of exposure to various kinds
>of radio and electronics, many different radio services.

So you claim. But you have very little experience in amateur radio. You have no experience whatsoever as an amateur radio operator.

> I've been
>fortunate to start out my radio experience in the Big Leagues of
>HF communications some time ago.

"Big Leagues"? So you keep telling us.

>You will negate that in
>that "it isn't amateur radio" and you would be right...it isn't IN
>amateur radio.

No, it isn't amateur radio. The resources and goals of different radio services are very different.

>However, radio physics are the same regardless
>of man-made rules on what is professional and what is amateur.

Physics is only one aspect of radio, however. Radio policy is not determined solely by radio physics.

>Amateur radio is a hobby.

Actually, it's more of a sport.

>It isn't a guild, trade, or union and it
>isn't a pseudo-military "service" requiring rigid adherence to
>methods, standards, procedures, jargon, or anything else. All
>that is required is to follow the regulations made by the only
>agency that grants permissions to radiate RF, the FCC.

Legally, adherence to FCC rules is all that is required. However, the amateur radio service is enhanced by voluntary adherence to good operating and technical standards. Such as using the standard phonetics. Are you against amateurs having standards?
>
>>> Most
>>>reasoning folks could equate "long experience" as being due
>>>to age...but that apparently doesn't apply if opinions differ?
>>
>>I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>
>Sure you do...you use it implicitly all the time.

Do you have the ability to mindread?
>
>You have a rather rigid adherence to amateur methods,
>standard, practices, jargon, etc., all "specified" by the ARRL.

How do you know? Have you ever heard me on the air? Cecil has.

>You expect others to adhere to the same or suffer some kind
>of chastisement from your "old man 2" sequel of negative
>criticsm (a la H.P.Maxim).

What's your point? Do you think all operating practices are the same, and should be accepted equally? I don't.

>So you've been an amateur extra for 32 years.

Is that a problem? Does my experience somehow disqualify me?

>That means
>you achieved that class as a teenager.

16 years old, to be exact. Between sophomore and junior year of high school. Would've done it sooner but for that dern 2-years-as-a-General-or-higher experience requirement. Even back then, it wasn't hard to get an Extra.

>You were not yet an adult then.

Not in years, anyway. However, I DID meet all the requirements for the highest class of US amateur radio license, which is issued by the FCC, the only agency that grants permissions to radiate RF.

>Have you spent those three decades as an extra
>in exploring and experimenting the state of the art of radio?

Sometimes. There is no FCC requirement to do so, however.

>Or didn't you follow the "proper" behavior and all the "in" things
>as they were proscribed for you in the ARRL publications? :-)

I did what interested me. Technical stuff, public service, teaching, operating of many kinds, etc. That's what amateur radio is all about.

>>>N2EY's OPINIONS are challenged as being invalid.
>>
>>How can an opinion be "invalid"? Suppose Cecil's favorite ice cream is
>>vanilla bean - are you going to argue that chocolate chip mint is "better",
(even
>>though Cecil has tried chocolate mint chip and hates it) and that Cecil's
>>opinion is "invalid"? Sounds like it!
>
>No...your comment is simply misdirection.

It's dead-on accurate. You wrote:

"N2EY's OPINIONS are challenged as being invalid."

How can an opinion be "invalid"?

>This isn't about anyone
>else's favorite flavor of ice cream.

It's an analogy. How can an opinion be invalid?

>However, if the ARRL proscribed
>the "proper" flavor of ice cream to enjoy, I'm absolutely certain you
>would follow their directions. :-)

You'd be mistaken, of course.

>I find you opinions to be nearly the same as what the ARRL
>writes as "good and proper" for all radio amateurs to follow. :-)

Ever think that perhaps they are good and considered opinions? Does being from the ARRL automatically discredit something?

>I find that your opinions are simply mirroring what has been
>proscribed to you with very little originality or evidence that
>they really are your own "opinions." For that reason I find them
>INVALID as your personal opinion.

Then you are mistaken.

>>Indeed. Rather than accept my facts, arguments and opinions, people should
>>blindly accept your "I'm older, therefore I'm right" statements? Get real!
>>Please.
>
>Where am I stating saying "follow my direction, I am right?"

You hold up your age as evidence of being right.
>
>I haven't done that.

You've held up your age as evidence of being right. You use your claimed experience in nonamateur radio as somehow qualifying you to pass judgement on the amateur radio rules, methods and practices. When people disagree, you make fun of their names, jobs, and other characteristics. Why not just discuss the issues?

>All I've advocated is the elimination of the
>code test for an amateur radio license

You also advocated an age requirement (14, if I recall correctly) for an amateur radio license of any class. Age seems to be a big issue with you.

You also ridicule the accomplishments of those who disagree with you.

>...and the ability to be
>independent of a national membership organization

No one is required to be a member of ARRL in order to be a radio amateur. Membership does have benefits, however.

> that has all
>the earmarks of trying to be a Big Brother ex-officio control group.

Sounds like what really bothers you is the existence of a strong national amateur radio organization, and the development of standard practices and methods by amateurs.
>
>You and your PCTA group are all saying (practically in all
>capitals) "DO AS I DID, OTHERWISE YOU AREN'T A REAL
>HAM!"

I don't say that. I simply relate my own experiences, opinions, and facts.

>The clear implication that someone who doesn't do what
>you or the PCTAs do is a "lesser" person in the hobby.

Not at all.

You are trying the old "guilt by association" gambit.Also called bigotry. Rather than treat me as an individual, you lump me in with all other procodetest people, as if we are all the same.

>>>Naturally. NO ONE knows better than N2EY What Is Best in
>>>Amateur Radio. :-)
>>
>>You said that, not me.

>
>Oh, you've SAID it all right, just not in those exact words.

Where? Produce some actual posts, not just claims.

ANYONE who has an opinion about amateur radio policy, methods or practices claims to "know what is best for amateur radio". For example, Carl Stevenson, Executive Director of NCI, thinks that total elimination of code testing is best for amateur radio. He KNOWS it is.

>You
>will tout "being an extra since 1970" and expect to be regarded
>as an "expert" (or at least a guru figure) in amateur radio and
>then get all upset if someone else doesn't agree with your
>"expertise." You will, as above, get upset if others with more
>life experience over a varied radio environment show up and
>challenge your opinions. You generally get upset with such
>challenges as evident in your post quoted here. :-)

I don't "get upset" at things posted here. You're the one who does the shouting, the name calling, the diminutives, etc.
>
>Are you thinking at all, really and truly thinking about newcomers
>to amateur radio?

Yep. You are not even a newcomer, however. Despite your post back in January of 2000 that you were going for Extra "right out of the box", your still on the sidelines.

> I don't think so.

Only because I disagree with you.

> For a long while you've been
>emphasizing amateur extra classes in those many "statistics"
>postings.

How? All classes of license are posted, and the total. Is there something wrong with the data?

>You are an extra.

So are about 102,000 other people. More of us every day.

>You want to show "how good you are."

Is that bad? Lots of people do the same thing here. Or is it only bad because I'm procodetest and procode, and you are not?

>You've said outright that folks should look up your stuff in
>a non-newsstand old-radio-technology magazine and stated your
>own projects as being "good" without giving others a chance to
>do a review.

Anyone can "do a review" by looking up the articles. Back issues are available from the publishers.

Do you know what a premixer is?

Perhaps you are jealous of the fact that I have actually designed and built amateur radio stations and operated them on the air successfully for the past 35 years.

>That's all evidence of thinking about YOUR opinions being "good" for amateur radio.

Perhaps you could show us how my opinions are "bad" for amateur radio?

For example, I've got a list of operating skills that I think all hams should strive to acquire.
>
>For a dozen years the US amateur radio ranks were swelled by
>the no-code-test Technician class licensees.

"Were swelled"? Why the past tense?

Amateur radio was growing before the Tech lost its code test, and continued to grow after that change. The actual effects are difficult to gauge due to the effects of other changes such as the doubling of the license term.

>You've discounted
>those, dismissed them, never really regarded their existance
>as meaningful in any way (except maybe as warm bodies who
>"will upgrade through code practice").

That is simply incorrect. Flat out wrong. Some (not me) would even call it a lie.

I challenge you to provide examples of posts where I "discounted those, dismissed them, never really regarded their existance
as meaningful in any way".

Let's see you back up that claim.

>Technician class licensees
>now make up a third of all licensees

Yup. In part, that is because the FCC has been renewing Tech Pluses as Techs for over two years now.

> and are also the least class
>in membership percentage in the ARRL.

Lots of reasons for that.

>To most reasoning
>people that is a sign that newcomers aren't buying the old
>standards, practices, or "what is good for them" (as proscribed
>by older hams).

Nope. Incorrect. That's how it seems to you. Others think differently. For example, many Technicians that I know are mostly interested in local/regional communications, and see little reason to be involved in a national organization. Particularly one that costs $39 a year.

Ask Ryan, KC8PMX.

>You see only ONE way to be "good amateurs:"
>Do as you did, faithfully follow anything dictated by the ARRL,
>march in ranks to their drumbeat like good little servicemen.

You are quite mistaken about that.

>"Real hams work DX on HF with CW."

That's true. Real hams also do lots of other things, like bounce microwave signals off the moon using low power and small dishes. Or build their own equipment from recycled parts.
>
>"Gigahertz are for giga-squirts."

That's not one of mine.
>
>"No lids, kids, or space cadets."
>
Is that what YOU believe? After all, you want an age limit (no kids)...

Jim, N2EY

1892. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 04:22:36 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <IrMZ8.359$K12.3998@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49606
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve Terry" <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:ah7tcq$qm66v$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> Really ? Thanks for telling me, I bet you tell your granny how to suck
eggs too
> I'd been slope detecting before you were born (probably?)
>
> Let me think, firstly on an R1155 with a RF27 VHF converter modded for 2M,
> around 1968 ?
>
> OK you'll get a slight squeak on centre frequency, but nothing
intelligible
>

That will have been on GB2LO then? ;-)

73

Brian
G8OSN
--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk

1893. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 05:07:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D379E9E.64937282@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49608

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3D36640E.7FFC1B7F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3D344749.E171DA81@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Thanks for proving my point, Doctor Evil. There was no implication that
> >> >the idea was mine.
> >>
> >> Sorry, but you most definitely say it was your "good idea."
> >>
> >That is a blatant falsehood. I never said the idea was mine.
>
> Okay, then it was an impersonator using your name and call!
> :-)

Care to produce it, Len? If you could do so, it'd let you off the hook
on my claim that you are a fabricator.

> [maybe it was an Al-Queda sleeper practicing for later?!?]

I have no idea what that peculiar comment is supposed to mean.

> >> Well over a year later. You then tried to explain it as State
> >> Department radio procedures policy in a round of double-
> >> talk.
> >>
> >This one's not true either. I do recall telling you that you don't have
> >a clearance or a need to know for further information. You didn't like
> >it then. I'm going to guess that you aren't going to like it now.
>
> Wow! We need "clearances" or "need to know" for discussing
> falsehoods! :-)

Lack of response and a snip noted.

> >Sorry, Len. I can't respond when you snip out the relevant portions.
>
> No problem...you just can't respond. I accept that.

Lack of response noted.

> >> "Crypto sync?!?" You are bluffing again. You didn't say that
> >> before. Is this another cover-up of previous bad wording? Of
> >> course it is.
> >
> >Yes, I did say that.
>
> Who was it who wrote that, your impersonator or who?

Partial snip noted.

> >> If Heil didn't say it, then who is masquerading as Heil?
> >
> >So far, it'd be you since you are the individual coming up with the
> >phoney quotes.
>
> Not me...but I HAVE been thinking about getting a Hawaiian
> PO Box... :-)

Not you? Of course it was you.

> >Not many embassy comm centers are open for business 24 hours per day,
> >Len. I doubt whether even London or Paris do that these days. The
> >importance of Guinea-Bissau to the United States in terms of the uncivil
> >discussion is irrelevant.
>
> Okay, so mum's the word on the Hotbed of International Intrigue,
> Guinea-Bisseau. [Open on alternate Tuesdays, 0900-1100 hrs?]

Mum's the word on any details concerning what hours the embassy comm
center was open.

> >> Nonsense. More bluffing...or the State Department radio equipment
> >> in Guinea-Bisseau was obtained as WW2 surplus.
> >
> >Neither. You, it would appear, do not know what you are talking about.
>
> Understand. Anyone would be touchy on mentioning having
> to use WW2 surplus radio equipment in the 1980s. Sorry.

Snip noted. I did not mention equipment used, Len.

> >Brilliant! You are absolutely correct. Under those conditions, you
> >can't send or receive traffic. You can attempt to QSY lower in
> >frequency to see if it is possible to find a frequency not effected by
> >the multipath distortion. If not, it is quite simple to send a closure
> >via CW and to include a time for opening the following day.
>
> Oh? Open every day? Well, it must have been busy with all that
> area intrigue in 80s.

I didn't say when we were open. You actually don't know much about what
was going on in Guinea-Bissau in the eighties, do you? Come to think of
it, you don't really know much about what goes on in embassies either.

> >Gosh, if State had only known that men of your calibre were available,
> >so much more could have been accomplished!
>
> Too bad for Uncle Sammy then... :-)

If I operated the way you do, this would be the point where I'd accuse
you of denigrating an entire group of fine people.

> >So what did these have to do with Guinea-Bissau, Lisbon or Reykjavik?
>
> One place has cashews, one has Nokias? :-)

Snip and mindless comment noted.

> >No. Irrelevant insertion of comment about equipment not used in decades
> >at State and not used at any embassy anywhere in the world. Knowledge
> >of RACES does not qualify you as even mildly knowledgeable of State
> >Department communications systems or techniques.
>
> Ever see one? :-)

I can't see it if they don't have it.

> >I have not described the equipment at all.
>
> I DID apologize for mentioning "WW2 surplus." Geez!

I've not described the equipment at all.

> >Assignments are not based on the perceived importance of the post.
> >You've come on like you are more knowledgeable than me on the topic of
> >amateur radio. Now you write as if you know more about my job than I
> >did. Do you think anyone believes you?
>
> You still have your job? I thought you retired to live in the States?

I had it a year-and-a-half ago. This is the job you are now writing
about, remember? Allow me to state once again that you write as if you
know more about my job than me. Do you think anyone believes that?

> Who said you always speak the truth? [you did...rhetorically]
>
> >I'm neither poor nor a baby. You aren't in a position to judge my
> >claims to expertise in amateur radio or State Department communications.
> >You don't have any background in either.
>
> Got up on the wrong side of the kaserne this morning? :-)

What would lead you to write that? What part of "you don't have a
background in either" is incorrect?
>
> >My qualifications for knowing what is best for amateur radio are 39
> >years as an active ham.
>
> Yes, everyone do what you want because that pleases you. :-)

>
> >Your qualifications are what? Oh yeah,
> >ordinary citizen non-participant in amateur radio.
>
> Of course. "Civilians" are not allowed to speak. They can only
> speak when spoken to and then only with permission. :-)

You've spoken. I asked what qualifications you have for telling folks
what should happen in amateur radio?

> [see also "clearance" and "need to know"]
>
> >No, Len. The smoke and mirrors belong to you. You seem to have snipped
> >the item on which I was commenting.
>
> Were you "commenting?" Geez, I thought you were today's
> Dill Instructor chewing out the troops!

You are "troops"?

> >My posts aren't long enough to permit pontification, Len. What you've
> >accorded to "Heil", Heil didn't write.
>
> Ok, ok, so your impersonator has been very busy..."you" hardly
> wrote a thing except some pithy one-liners.
>
> You got pithed off. Understood.

Len, you post like a short story writer being paid by the word.

> >C'mon, Len. Now you know more about my State Department job than I did.
>
> I did? How could I know that? No clearance or need to know,
> etc.

You claim to know what took place in the embassy comm center in
Guinea-Bissau. Apparently the fact that you had some part in some
system used at Main State decades ago provides you with qualification as
an "expert" in State Department methods and equipment.

> I guess NOBODY knows about your State job, huh?

Lots of folks at State know about it. I didn't say "NOBODY" knows.
The fact is, YOU don't know.

> >It wasn't broken. The ionosphere was broken.
>
> Yes, that happens. The work-around? :-)

Snip of your material on fixing broken equipment noted.

> >Why would the government bother to tell you or clear things with you,
> >Len? Who the hell are you?
>

> Well then, we can all rest safely knowing that the USA Department
> of State is in good but secret hands, not to be revealed without
> the proper clearance or "need to know." More mum's the word.

There are many things you can know about the Department of State and its
work. Give 'em a call or e-mail them. Commo details are not part of
the grand tour.

> >"Heil" doesn't need to produce government documents to prove anything.
> >You'd better be able to come up with something to disprove it or you'll
> >just have to huff and puff.
>
> I'm not licensed for bluffing, huffing, or puffing. No clearance or
> "need to know," remember?

You'll likely be pulled over for illegal huffing and puffing then.

> >I've explained. You have not accepted. You have dismissed despite your
> >total lack of knowledge of the situation. You have repeatedly distorted
> >by attributing to me things which I did not say.
>
> Ah, but SOMEONE named "Dave Heil" wrote what I said.

Trot it out.

> >Then this will chafe the hell out of you. You've been told all I can
> >tell you.
>
> No problem. I'll just go to the CIA Fact Book again for answers
> on hotbeds of intrigue in foreign countries.

You poor boob. Do you actually believe that all the answers to your
curiousity are contained in a single, unclassified document?

> >I've a better idea. You show me some documents which say that it does
> >not use morse.
>
> <insert about 10 minutes of raucous laughter at this one...>

> >> What WERE your "daily tasks" in the Guinea-Bisseau "comm center?"
> >
> >Who wants to know?
>
> Don't worry, big Dave, I won't tell...honest, I won't... :-)

I know you won't--especially if I don't provide you with information :)

> What percentage of daily time was devoted to making out
> all those QSLs as a rare (the ONLY) ham call in Guinea-
> Bisseau? [just wondering]

Write to Ken Scheper WA8JOC and ask him. You can e-mail him at
kss@juno.com if you like. He'll probably have a handle on how long it
took to fill in roughly 45,000 QSLs by hand. We computerized the logs

for the next assignment.

> >Number of circuits: You have no need to know. Operation: You have no
> >need to know.
>
> Oh, heavens, yes! NO clearance, NO "need to know!" :-)

That's right.

> I'll just wait for someone else to write it up as a footnote in a
> CIA or NSA book sold by that bankrupt, non-profit book seller
> called Amazon. :-)

You do that. Be sure to let me know if any of that info appears. I may
buy a copy myself.

> >You're the expert on State Department comms. Tell me. Was I busy?
>
> According to the documents in front of me, it says nothing at all
> about your work. Amazing!

Then how are you able to tell me what I did or didn't do in my job in a
remote West African country?

> >I know something you don't know....
>
> Of course, of course. :-) :-) :-)
>
> >You don't have the need to know.
>
> Of course, of course. :-) :-) :-)

Of course.

> >> LOTS of citizens think that would be a mighty GOOD thing
> >> for US amateur radio. There's even an international
> >> organization that is working to eliminate that code test.
> >> You may have heard of it?
> >
> >Yeah, it's a real "international" outfit.
>
> Oh-oh, do we detect an extra snarly undertone there?

No.

> >What does the IARU think about a minimum age for entering amateur radio?
>
> Will the IARU grant a clearance with a "need to know?" :-)

What does the IARU think about a minimum age for entering amateur radio?

> > Aren't you
> >telling us that we should believe what Leonard H. Anderson says is good
> >for amateur radio?

>
> No. I'm just for the elimination of any morse code test for an
> amateur radio license...and poking holes in a lot of old, dated
> puffed-up dudes who think Their ideas is What Is Best For
> Amateur Radio (because that pleases them no end...).

Looks like most of the dudes are younger than you. They seem to be
doing a pretty good job of avoiding your poking. By the way, I'm for
the retention of morse testing and am against the imposition of any
minimum age for amateur radio licensing.

> > I was writing about Doctor Evil and Mini-Me.
>
> Why? The movie review newsgroup is on the second floor
> to the right. Go there or right next door for the Mike Myers
> Fan Club.

Why? Because I felt like it. I'm not reviewing the movie. I'm
comparing you and mini-Brian with two funny characters.

> >Unh uh. You're confusing yourself with the FCC.
>
> Nope. You seem to be, though.

I've never confused you with the FCC.

> >You don't work for the Commission.
>
> Right...and neither do you, big Dave.

I'm well aware of that.
>
> >It matters not whether anyone at the FCC holds an amateur
> >license.
>
> Oh? You just said that anyone without a ham license should
> not be listened to, that they are fictional, should be disregarded.
> Disregarding the FCC is not a nice thing...

I do not disgregard the FCC. I urge others to disregard you. You
should not be listened to. Your history in amateur radio is fictional.

> Please try to stay on focus, your picture is very blurry...

You have a picture of me?

> >Regulating communications is their job. It is not your job.
>
> Ah, but YOU are trying very hard to regulate newsgroup
> communications...and to say that anyone without an amateur
> radio license should not be listened to, are fictional, and
> should be disregarded!

In what way? Have I removed your internet access? cut your telephone line? You don't seriously believe that you have the right to post anything you want without accepting ridicule and brickbats, do you?

> >No one at the DMV is required to have a drivers license.
>
> In the California DMV the driving test inspectors must have
> a valid driver license. They don't have to test for morse code
> communications, though. :-)

Clerks don't give driving exams.

> >No one at a butcher shop is required to eat meat.
>
> They don't have to test for morse code, either... :-)

Neither does the FCC. It abdicated much of its responsibilities to a number of radio services some time ago.

Dave K8MN

1894. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 05:23:56 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D37A26C.92FAD71B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49609

N2EY wrote:
> So have many people. It is not the same thing as saying "I'm older than you,
> therefore I'm right", which has been tossed at me a number of times by folks
> Cecil and "Lenover21"

The only time I have done that is tongue-in-cheek fun poking at someone who is dishing out stuff like that to others. No matter how old or how experienced someone is, odds are there is someone even older and more experienced. You have been guilty of saying something like, I have 35 years experience and I know what I am talking about. I replied that I have 15 more years of experience than you just to show you what it feels like.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1895. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 05:46:23 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <daviesl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <HEMZ8.100075$JU3.40124076@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49607
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You better be good, timmy. I have not forgotten your part with jamming
3950.

--
Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
God created only so many perfect heads...
the rest he covered with hair !

http://clik.to/n0vfp
http://www.halturnershow.com

"KK7HO" <KK7HO@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:2OLZ8.36590$rX.6223383@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> That'll go over like a fart in church! Go ahead have it! LOL
>
> "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:2PkZ8.52289$1q2.4028830@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?
> >
> > This would be fun! Anyone can check in. Freind or Foe. Let's welcome
> > Herbie back.
> >
> > Herbie is back. Let us rejoice.
> >
> > Lloyd
> >
> >
> > --
> > Lloyd Davies
> > B.A.R.F Chief of Communications
> > (New Webpage coming soon!)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1896. Re: kv4fz is back?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 06:11:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujfbar2bsasg94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Heck, Herby wouldn't hold a candle to Mike, W2OY.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Zitchey" <abadaba@mail.zelgo.com> wrote in message
news:45b0a4c9.0207181738.3ba5b087@posting.google.com...
> "Okracoke Peter" <hoo4enters@aol.com> wrote in message
news:<3OyZ8.2468$_C2.189816@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > I heard something on 75 Meters last night
> > that Herb, KV4FZ has somehow pulled a rabbit
> > out of his hat and the FCC is going to re-instate
> > his ham radio licence. Is this true..?
> > Can someone PLEASE post a URL or a link to
> > and OFFICIAL FCC PRESS RELEASE to this effect.
> >
> > Most of this stuff in the newsgroups and on 75 Meters
> > is pure bullshi+ with extra sauce. I will believe
> > it ONLY when I read it from an official and a credible source.
>
>
>
> I sure hope Herbie is back on the air... Ham radio has been so
> dull..Plese let it be so.... HERBIE BABY IS NUMBER ONE!!!!! We all
> know that.... Zitchey

1897. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 07:13:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719031317.03734.00000253@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49611

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/18/02 18:26 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020718182616.02933.00000016@mb-bd.aol.com>

>Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a guild, trade, or union and it
>isn't a pseudo-military "service" requiring rigid adherence to
>methods, standards, procedures, jargon, or anything else.

Amateur Radio is enjoyed as a hobby by a great many people, but it is a radio SERVICE as codified in 47CFR97.1. It has specific basis and purpose, of which the government has allowed specific language that allows for the service to be utilized for past time applications.

That is NOT all that it is. If you were a participant instead of an antagonist, you'd know.

>So you've been an amateur extra for 32 years. That means
>you achieved that class as a teenager. You were not yet an
>adult then.

Good for him. And you are not yet one, Lennie. So what?

>I find that your opinions are simply mirroring what has been
>proscribed to you with very little originality or evidence that
>they really are your own "opinions." For that reason I find them
>INVALID as your personal opinion.

Whew. Talk about spewing rhetoric for rhetoric's sake. Let's hope the EPA never reads RRAP...they'll haul the Licenseless One away for creatile such a mess...

>>Indeed. Rather than accept my facts, arguments and opinions, people should
>>blindly accept your "I'm older, therefore I'm right" statements? Get real!
>>Please.
>
>Where am I stating saying "follow my direction, I am right?"

WhhhhoooooAAAAAAAA ! ! ! ! ! ! In just about every post you write, Your Creepiness! And you've been told in just about as many words, so don't act like you hav never heard THAT before!

>I haven't done that.

Absolute, positively, unabashedly bold faced lying. No other words for it. An absolute falsehood!

>>>Naturally. NO ONE knows better than N2EY What Is Best in
>>>Amateur Radio. :-)
>>
>>You said that, not me.
>
>Oh, you've SAID it all right, just not in those exact words.

Aha...Jim, do your notice that just two paragraphs above, Lennie tries to pass off HIS rhetoric, but then tries to "claim" that you 'said' something, if not "not in those exact words"...???

And peopel wonder why I keep dogging this creep.

>You generally get upset with such
>challenges as evident in your post quoted here.

Which is different from you....HOW...?!?!

>Are you thinking at all, really and truly thinking about newcomers
>to amateur radio?

Are you, Lennie? I doubt it. You can't evn get yourself past the most basic license.

>For a dozen years the US amateur radio ranks were swelled by
>the no-code-test Technician class licensees. You've discounted
>those, dismissed them, never really regarded their existance
>as meaningful in any way (except maybe as warm bodies who
>"will upgrade through code practice").

He has NEVER said anything of the sort.

Sheesh.

> Technician class licensees
>now make up a third of all licensees and are also the least class
>in membership percentage in the ARRL.

And this is NOT the fault of the ARRL. The do not "decline" membership based on licensure.

My wife is an NCT. She occassioanlly get's the same promotional literature as any other Amateur.

If anyone "discounts" the NCT, it is themselves. Most that I have known that were not League members didn't join the ARRL because all they want to do is work the local repeater. The ARRL represents the interests of as wide a cross section of Amateurs as it can.

And if that "third of all licensees" want ths ARRL to be anything different, they can join, then vote. It's that simple. It's no different than the useless slugs who complain about "government", but when you challenge them on how they voted, they have 101 excuses as to why they didn't go.

The only "good" excuses are hospitalization, death of a CLOSE relative, your own death, or military deployment.

>You see only ONE way to be "good amateurs:"

I do...PARTICIPATE. Anything after that is optional.

>"No lids, kids, or space cadets."

And thankfully, No Lennie Nocalls!

1898. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 07:18:36 UTC
From: RVMJ <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3uefjuo806dgr98s3kpms1lvha513agali@4ax.com>
Source record: 49612
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Terry wrote:

>I'd been slope detecting before you were born (probably?)
>
>Let me think, firstly on an R1155 with a RF27 VHF converter modded for 2M,
>around 1968 ?

Interesting pieces of gear. The R1155 is too well-known to need
comment, but it isn't generally appreciated that the RF27 units were
the front end of the receiver for the Gee navigation device; the
1000-bomber raid to Cologne was Gee's first big trial.

Later versions had an "electronic ruler" (analogue scale) generated
within the device. There is a very brief shot of one being used in the
classic and very watchable film "School for Secrets"; the film
industry's tribute to the 'radio war' boffins who worked on radar.

--
from
RVMJ
Remove Safety-&-Arming before e-mailing

1899. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 07:27:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719032757.03734.00000254@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49613

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 07/19/02 00:11 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>

>> I've been
>>fortunate to start out my radio experience in the Big Leagues of
>>HF communications some time ago.
>
>"Big Leagues"? So you keep telling us.

Yeah...Big Leagues. Lennie spent three years in a rear-area Army radio station. Whoopie.

Be still my heart.

> Why not just discuss the issues?

...because the insufferable creep knows he would lose his "audience" in 2 minutes as he has nothing to offer.

>>All I've advocated is the elimination of the
>>code test for an amateur radio license
>
>You also advocated an age requirement (14, if I recall correctly) for an
>amateur radio license of any class. Age seems to be a big issue with you.

Speaking of which, there's yet another question Lennie never answered...What experience does he have with children or adolescents that "qualified" him to make such a suggestion?

He obiously never had any of his own or we'd be hearing about it. Just another "because it's MY idea" reason, no doubt.

>You are trying the old "guilt by association" gambit.Also called bigotry.

Lennie knows all about reputation by association, Jim. He tried to embellish his own lacklustre military "career" by trying to belly-up to the memory of Veterans who died in combat...Even though he was still in the States when those Veterans lost thier lives.

And THEN accused me of spitting on other Veterans graves to "get back" at him. What a loser. What a putz.

1900. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 08:34:53 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0207190034.2e534f31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

"BARF HQ" <BARFHQ@VirginIslandsJammingHQ.net> wrote in message
news:<ah7030$20d$0@pita.alt.net>...
> LET THE RADIO WARS BEGIN !!!!!!

Yawn... Glad to see he got back on the air though, if thats true. I
used to talk to him on 40m back when he was a nobody. I kind of doubt
he wants to start a radio war if he just talked Riley into letting him
back on. I don't really keep up with the latest radio "gossip", so not
up on the latest episode in the saga of Herb vs Riley.... MK

1901. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 08:41:16 UTC
From: Sad Demented Welshman <cerebras@de_mierda.com>
Message-ID: <tdQZ8.1515$K12.33555@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49615
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:ah7tcq$qm66v$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> "Gareth the CBer" <cerebras@de_mierda.com> wrote in message
> news:DfvZ8.13247$Zl6.372210@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net...
> > Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
> > news:ah55un$qh5kg$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> > > "Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
> > > news:3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk...
> > > > Brain Rarey wrote:
> > > <snip>
> > > > Clearly you have never operated a radio as you believe that 'FM will
> > > > appear as unmodulated carrier' Ha Ha HA HA HA !!!!!
> > > > Andy, M3ABC
> > > >
> > > FM on a AM RX Will appear as a unmodulated carrier if tuned to the
centre
> > > frequency
> > > You won't hear by slope detection, until you slope off frequency
> > > Steve Terry
> > >
> > As you tune off frequency the slope detection kicks in and the
> > signal becomes more intelligible; however you can STILL hear
> > some audio on centre frequency. Try it for yourself and see.
> >
> Really ? Thanks for telling me, I bet you tell your granny how to suck
eggs too
> I'd been slope detecting before you were born (probably?)
>
> Let me think, firstly on an R1155 with a RF27 VHF converter modded for 2M,
> around 1968 ?
>
> OK you'll get a slight squeak on centre frequency, but nothing
intelligible
>
Yes, but it you WON'T get an unmodulated carrier will you?
(see posting by morose cod elsewhere).

1902. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 09:30:46 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1ilfjug52lk5qmk8b8o99cro6q43u4iu7j@4ax.com>
Source record: 49616
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 19 Jul 2002 05:22:36 +0100, "Brian Reay"
<brian.reay@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>> OK you'll get a slight squeak on centre frequency, but nothing
>intelligible
>>
>
>That will have been on GB2LO then? ;-)

The squeak on GB3LO was more than slight!!!

:-)
73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

1903. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 11:50:16 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah91rr$fo8$3@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

Lllllllardasssssssssssssss Lllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd N0VFP aka "BARF HQ"
<BARFHQ@VirginIslandsJammingHQ.net> wrote in message
news:ah7030$20d$0@pita.alt.net...
> LET THE RADIO WARS BEGIN !!!!!!

You are really fucking stupid, Llllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssss..

1904. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 12:10:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719081056.13297.00000036@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49617

In article <20020716213353.01564.00000440@mb-cu.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

> I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
>code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
>That's as good as it gets.

Two words: Sending test.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1905. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 12:10:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719081056.13297.00000035@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49618

In article <20020717161635.26909.00000018@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020717141017.13297.00000001@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>You gave one of your many lectures on things obvious to everyone.
>
>Don't be annoyed if you got a failing grade in my "lecture." :-)

Only a poor teacher blames the students.

>How many commercial radio operator licenses has

(N2EY)

>held?

One. Second Class Radiotelephone. I let it lapse.

>>>You have failed to show "obviousness" of your statement. You stated that
>>>the ARRL wants people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at
>all
>>>possible. That's not obvious.
>>
>>Sure it is. You just don't want to see it.
>
>Does the ARRL make a statement saying "buy our products at
>retail outlets because we want you to?" I don't think so. I sure
>haven't seen that statement. :-)

None so blind...
>
>>>If the ARRL wanted people to go to retail outlets to buy their products,
>then
>>>they would only advertise their wares but would not make them available
>>>through mail-order.
>>
>>Untrue. Doing so would force everyone to buy through retail outlets.
>
>"Force?!?" :-)

Yep. As in "require by circumstances". Ask Cecil Webster.

>Just because
>someone doesn't agree with your exhalted opinions, that's not
>a reason to throw a hissy-fit and grow snarly...

Then why do you get that way so often?
>
>>>I have experience in costing-out publishing costs in addition to
>>>experience in costing-out design, development, and manufacturing
>>>of electronics goods.
>>
>>Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>
>I can estimate it without having to get an internal, private
>document from within the ARRL. Some of the publication
>production information is already in the open. It's a matter of
>contacting the printer, asking prices, doing the numbers.

In other words, no.

>>That's a generality. Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL
>>publications?
>
>Do you?

Nope. Nor do I claim to know.

>>In theory, they "make it up on volume". Amazon's financial statements speak
>>otherwise.
>
>Is Amazon still in business? :-)

Sure - and losing money. Not making a profit.

>>>Like any political-action organization, the ARRL should not consider
>>>itself above reproach.
>>
>>All you do is reproach it.
>
>Okay, quote everything I've said about the ARRL to prove that
>statement. EVERYTHING. Show your work.

No. You're not the boss.

>>>"Diminutives" in naming seems to be a standard practice in here. :-)
>>
>>Only by you.
>
>Is this another one of those "you cannot do as I do, only do as I
>say" kind of things? Must be... :-)

Yep. You get all upset if someone calls you "Lennie". That's Not Acceptable.
>
>>Why should anyone here take "negative criticism" from you? You don't take
>it.
>>Is this "do as Lenover21 says, not as Lenover21 does" things?
>
>"Lenover21" hasn't said a thing. Your sentence is a perfect example
>of the hypocrisy of your standard quoted above. :-)

How? Do you not want to be addressed as Lenover21? That's your screenname, and
you don't sign your posts. What should people call you?

>>>Is Amazon still in business after many years? If so, it must be making
>>>a profit. Businesses do not continue in business without profit.
>>
>>That's simply incorrect.
>
>Why are you so angry at Amazon?

I'm not angry at them.

> Have they failed to deliver your
>order? Sent you the wrong product? Amazon has been in business
>for years. Have they filed for any sort of bankruptcy?

They are losing money. Perhaps they will become profitable. Amazon is not
making a profit now, nor has it for the past 3 years or so. Simple fact.

>>>The words of the ARRL are generated by a minority of that minority...which
>>>includes the board of directors of the ARRL.
>>
>>So what?
>
>Not a problem if you are a Faithful Follower of your Chosen Leader.
>They can do NO wrong and they KNOW what is best for all. :-)
>
>Do you believe in minority rule?

Nope. Do you?

The majority of those who commented to the FCC on 98-143 not only wanted code
testing, they wanted at least two code tests (5 and 12-13 wpm). The MINORITY
OPINION won out over the MAJORITY in that case.

Do you believe in minority rule? If you agree with the FCC's dropping of the
code tests beyond 5 wpm, the answer is YES.
>
>>>>Doesn't answer the question. What percentage of all licensed US hams are
>>>>members of NCI?
>>>
>>>Irrelevant again.
>>
>>If it's irrelevant, then the ARRL percentage is also irrelevant.
>
>No Code International does not state, infer, or imply that it is a
>national amateur radio membership organization. The ARRL does.

NCI says it's international. It's in the name.
>
>No Code International is an international organization that seeks
>the elimination of the morse code testing for an amateur radio
>license anywhere.

They claim to represent all radio amateurs everywhere, then.

> No Code International does not restrict
>membership only to licensed radio amateurs.

Neither does ARRL.

> No Code International
>has stated originally and continues to state that it will dissolve when
>the morse code tests are eliminated.

So? What's your point?
>
>The ARRL claims to represent "all" of US amateur radio yet its
>membership is less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.

NCI claims to represent what's best for all amateurs everywhere.

>The ARRL keeps wanting more money.

Costs money to do what they do.

> ARRL voting membership
>is restrictive.

How? All ham members get one vote, regardless of license class.

>ARRL membership requires money. NCI is free.

NCI membership requires a loyalty oath. ARRL does not.

Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?

Jim, N2EY

1906. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 12:10:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719081057.13297.00000037@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49619

In article <3D37A26C.92FAD71B@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> So have many people. It is not the same thing as saying "I'm older than
>you,
>> therefore I'm right", which has been tossed at me a number of times by
>folks
>> Cecil and "Lenover21"
>
>The only time I have done that is tongue-in-cheek fun poking at someone who
>is dishing out stuff like that to others.

It sure looked serious the times I've seen it.

>No matter how old or how experienced
>someone is, odds are there is someone even older and more experienced.

My point exactly. Tell it to "Lenover21".

>You have
>been guilty of saying something like, I have 35 years experience and I know
>what I am talking about.

How can one be "guilty" of telling the truth?

Let's look at the statement:

"I have 35 years experience"

That's true.

"and"

A logical connector

"I know what I am talking about."

Another true statement.

End result: Two true statements with a logical connector. True and true equals
true.

What I have not said is something like: "I have 35 years experience, therefore
I know what I am talking about."

>I replied that I have 15 more years of experience than
>you just to show you what it feels like.

But you weren't serious. You actually pulled the age/experience gambit long ago, Cecil, in an attempt to intimidate me. Didn't work.

And in in fact you do not have 15 more years of experience than me, because you took a "vacation from HF" for many years.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1907. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 12:22:30 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah91s9$fo8$d@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"KK7HO" <KK7HO@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:2OLZ8.36590$rX.6223383@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> That'll go over like a fart in church! Go ahead have it! LOL

Lllllllllardasssssss Lllllllllllllllllloyd goes to church?

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

> Lllllllardasssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in
message
> news:2PkZ8.52289$1q2.4028830@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > How [idiocy]

What a fucking idiot you are, Lllllardassssssssssssssssssssssss.

1908. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 12:24:23 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ah91sb$fo8$e@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lllllllardasssssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in
message news:HEMZ8.100075$JU3.40124076@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> You better be good, timmy. I have not forgotten your part with jamming
> 3950.

And I haven't forgotten you getting on HF unidentified with that Missouri hick
voice of yours, Llardasssssssssss. Or shall I call you "B-52" or Kawasaki" or
"Hillbilly."
That was you jamming and singing and so forth unisentified with a no-code GEEK
license, Lardasssssssssss. What's the matter, Lllardassssss, too fucking stupid
to upgrade?

1909. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 12:50:23 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D380B0F.FC68CAA5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49623

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >The only time I have done that is tongue-in-cheek fun poking at someone who
> >is dishing out stuff like that to others.
>
> It sure looked serious the times I've seen it.

Tongue-in-cheek humor should not appear too obvious.

> "I have 35 years experience"
>
> That's true.

Also true that I've had 50 years experience. Tongue-in-cheek implication: If experience counts, I've had 40+% more experience. You cannot have it both ways, Jim. It is a contradiction to laud your own experience while discounting someone with more experience than you. Experience is not what matters. A person can be right with one year's experience while another person can be wrong with 50 years of experience. In fact, a person can be wrong *because* his knowledge is 50 years out of date.

> But you weren't serious. You actually pulled the age/experience gambit long
> ago, Cecil, in an attempt to intimidate me. Didn't work.

Oh, how you misread my posting, Jim. I made it with a tongue-in-cheek twinkle in my eye. I'm sorry you consider a good-natured yanking of your chains as an attempt at intimidation. That says everything about you and nothing about me. All it was was an attempt to get you to see the mote in your own eye.

> And in in fact you do not have 15 more years of experience than me, because you
> took a "vacation from HF" for many years.

You really need to do something about that chip on your shoulder. Life is for enjoyment, not competing with your fellow hams for King of the Mountain status. You may have 35 years of experience but that may (or may not) be 12,775 days of simply having the same experience over and over. Someone may have learned more in one year than you did in 35 years or that I did in 50 years.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1910. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 14:04:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C7E46C4.6615848A@att.net>
Source record: 49624

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 07/19/02 00:11 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>
>
> >> I've been
> >>fortunate to start out my radio experience in the Big Leagues of
> >>HF communications some time ago.
> >>
> >"Big Leagues"? So you keep telling us.
>
> Yeah...Big Leagues. Lennie spent three years in a rear-area Army radio
> station. Whoopie.
>
> Be still my heart.
>
> > Why not just discuss the issues?
>
> ...because the insufferable creep knows he would lose his "audience" in 2
> minutes as he has nothing to offer.
>
> >>All I've advocated is the elimination of the
> >>code test for an amateur radio license
> >>
> >You also advocated an age requirement (14, if I recall correctly) for an
> >amateur radio license of any class. Age seems to be a big issue with you.
>
> Speaking of which, there's yet another question Lennie never
> answered...What experience does he have with children or adolescents that
> "qualified" him to make such a suggestion?
>
> He obiously never had any of his own or we'd be hearing about it. Just
> another "because it's MY idea" reason, no doubt.
>
> >You are trying the old "guilt by association" gambit.Also called bigotry.
>
> Lennie knows all about reputation by association, Jim. He tried to
> embellish his own lacklustre military "career" by trying to belly-up to the
> memory of Veterans who died in combat...Even though he was still in the States
> when those Veterans lost thier lives.
>
> And THEN accused me of spitting on other Veterans graves to "get back" at

> him. What a loser. What a putz.

WHY in creation are *any* of you even acknowledging his rantings, much less trying to anwer them point by point? He *long ago* showed clearly that all he even wanted to do is slam ham radio and all ham radio operators, *particularily* those who took it to the top of the licensing structure. He has never had any interest in ham radio beyond that, and all you guys are doing is facilitating his goals. Cut it out!

The history of rrap for the past halfdozen years is that if everyone ignores him long enough he'll go away. Oh, he posts some small trivial nonsense post from time to time, but you guys are giving him the platform he desperately needs to do exactly what he is doing. Without it he might go ahead and dry up like the prune he is. There is no rational discussion with anyone like him.

1911. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 14:14:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C7E493B.A787FC6A@att.net>
Source record: 49625

CAM wrote:

>a person can be wrong *because* his knowledge is 50 years

> out of date.

Boy, if that doesn't characterize Lennie the Lot Lizard, nothing I've seen here does.

1912. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 14:54:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719105408.11246.00000477@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49626

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 07/19/02 08:10 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020719081056.13297.00000035@mb-fy.aol.com>
>

>>>Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>>
>>I can estimate it without having to get an internal, private
>>document from within the ARRL. Some of the publication
>>production information is already in the open. It's a matter of
>>contacting the printer, asking prices, doing the numbers.
>
>In other words, no.

Lennie has a few articles published under his "by line" (we'll ASSUME it
was his original work...haven't seen any evidence yet) in a magazine tht is now
defunct, and suddenly he knows all about "publishing costs"...?!?!

Is it just me, or does Lennie claim a lot of stuff by simple association?

>>No Code International does not state, infer, or imply that it is a
>>national amateur radio membership organization. The ARRL does.
>
>NCI says it's international. It's in the name.

The simplicity of that was overwhelming for him, Jim.

>>ARRL membership requires money. NCI is free.
>
>NCI membership requires a loyalty oath. ARRL does not.

Rim shot....ra-dadaduump. Did Lennie swear the oath? Must have, since
he's a member. I've never had to 'swear' anyhting to be a League member, have
you?

>Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?

Don't the NCI BoD guys communicate with antique Captain Midnight Decoder
Rings?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1913. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 14:59:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719105940.11246.00000478@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49627

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: Dick Carroll dickc58@att.net
>Date: 07/19/02 10:04 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3C7E46C4.6615848A@att.net>

>The history of rrap for the past halfdozen years is that if everyone ignores
>him
>long enough he'll go away. Oh, he posts some small trivial nonsense post from
>time
>to time, but you guys are giving him the platform he desperately needs to do
>exactly what he is doing. Without it he might go ahead and dry up like the
>prune
>he is. There is no rational discussion with anyone like him.

You're probably right, Dick. Ii just can't stand letting him go
unchallenged as it gives the appearence of passive agreement with his tripe.

Unfortunatley people don't look at what others DON'T say to a scumbag like
Leonard, it's what they do say, even if they don't agree with what's being
said.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1914. Re: Let's Welcome back KV4FZ with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 15:16:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <33WZ8.3118$Qk6.3018@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote

> How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?

Too bad you can't even get on HF to welcome him back!

With all kind wishes,

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

1915. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 16:47:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <koXZ8.3277$Qk6.1135@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49629

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote

>
> Hmmm. I go back at least that far myself, and a lot farther than that
> on Fidonet. I guess I just don't remember what your screen identity used
> to be before you became W1RFI@aol.com.

From 1991 on rec.radio.amateur.misc I have this address -- Ed Hare KA1CV
(ehare%arrlhq.UUCP@uhasun.hartford.edu)

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

1916. Back after years - with questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 19:41:13 UTC
From: eatc7402 <eatc7402@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <tXZZ8.44705$_51.42559@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49630

Hello. I'm coming back to ham radio after a number of years of inactivity. I was first licensed in 1960 (same call as now except for the "N", for novice). I've had a stroke about 9 months ago, and I think it would be good for me to get active in ham radio again.

I have an Advanced class and I know there have been some changes regarding that class. Is there a good place I can go to get a summary of the changes. I understand the Advanced still exists for those who already have it, but is no longer offered as a new choice. Do all the Advanced class privileges still exist? Any help would be appreciated.

David Freedman, K0KWK
Eagan, MN

1917. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 19:42:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <%YZZ8.3540$Qk6.2107@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49631

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com>

>
> Does the ARRL make a statement saying "buy our products at
> retail outlets because we want you to?" I don't think so.
>

Well, you think wrong......

Quoting from an ad for various ARRL publications on page 144 in the June
issue of QST "Available from ARRL Publication Dealers EVERYWHERE!"

With all kind wishes,

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

1918. Re: Back after years - with questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 19:43:56 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207191943450.873-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 49632

On Fri, 19 Jul 2002, eatc7402 wrote:
>Hello. I'm coming back to ham radio after a number of years of inactivity.
>I was first licensed in 1960 (same call as now except for the "N", for
>novice). I've had a stroke about 9 months ago, and I think it would
>be good for me to get active in ham radio again.
>
>I have an Advanced class and I know there have been some changes
>regarding that class. Is there a good place I can go to get a summary of the
>changes. I understand the Advanced still exists for those who already
>have it, but is no longer offered as a new choice. Do all the Advanced
>class privileges still exist? Any help would be appreciated.

Yes - to privilege.

1919. Re: Back after years - with questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 19:56:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <k9_Z8.3562$Qk6.2036@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49633

"eatc7402" <eatc7402@attbi.com> wrote

>
> I have an Advanced class and I know there have been some changes
> regarding that class. Is there a good place I can go to get a summary of
the
> changes. I understand the Advanced still exists for those who already
> have it, but is no longer offered as a new choice. Do all the Advanced
> class privileges still exist? Any help would be appreciated.
>

David,

The Advanced Class license still exists, and there have been very
few changes. Basically you have access to all portions of all amateur bands
except for a few small slivers which are reserved for Extra licensees. You
can renew your license in your current class, or you can upgrade to Extra
with only a written test, as the 20WPM Morse test is no longer required.

Give me a call at 763-473-1594 if you have specific questions, or visit the
ARRL web site at www.arrl.org and browse around for current rules, etc.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

1920. Re: Let's Welcome back KV4FZ with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 21:26:54 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <daviesl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <xq_Z8.104448$JU3.45251177@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49634
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:33WZ8.3118$Qk6.3018@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote
>
> > How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?
>
> Too bad you can't even get on HF to welcome him back!
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>
>
>

Can I use your call?

LOL!
--
Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
God created only so many perfect heads...
the rest he covered with hair !

http://clik.to/n0vfp
http://www.halturnershow.com

1921. Re: Back after years - with questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 22:35:34 UTC
From: John Mullan <jmullan@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3D389435.155ED001@pacbell.net>
Source record: 49635

see http://www.arrl.org/news/restructuring/

This provides a lot of information on the most recent wave of changes,
but probably doesn't touch on everything you missed while you were out
of the office.

John Mullan, KD2L

eatc7402 wrote:
>
> Hello. I'm coming back to ham radio after a number of years of inactivity.
> I was first licensed in 1960 (same call as now except for the "N", for
> novice). I've had a stroke about 9 months ago, and I think it would
> be good for me to get active in ham radio again.
>
> I have an Advanced class and I know there have been some changes
> regarding that class. Is there a good place I can go to get a summary of the
> changes. I understand the Advanced still exists for those who already
> have it, but is no longer offered as a new choice. Do all the Advanced
> class privileges still exist? Any help would be appreciated.
>
> David Freedman, K0KWK
> Eagan, MN

1922. Re: Back after years - with questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 22:40:04 UTC
From: Keith <n6jpa_spam@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <8z0_8.578045$352.117765@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 49636

```
On Fri, 19 Jul 2002 19:41:13 GMT, eatc7402 <eatc7402@attbi.com> wrote:
> Hello. I'm coming back to ham radio after a number of years of inactivity.
> I was first licensed in 1960 (same call as now except for the "N", for
> novice). I've had a stroke about 9 months ago, and I think it would
> be good for me to get active in ham radio again.
>
> I have an Advanced class and I know there have been some changes
> regarding that class. Is there a good place I can go to get a summary of the
> changes. I understand the Advanced still exists for those who already
> have it, but is no longer offered as a new choice. Do all the Advanced
> class privileges still exist? Any help would be appreciated.
>
Check out the ARRL web site: http://www.arrl.org/

Best Regards, Keith
--
NW Oregon Radio http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
Tux, Save us from the evil Gates of Hell! http://linux.com
Linux 2.4.7-10 Slrn 0.9.7.4 [2002-03-13]
```

1923. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 23:24:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207191524.6c9f1e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49637

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020719105940.11246.00000478@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: Dick Carroll dickc58@att.net
> >Date: 07/19/02 10:04 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3C7E46C4.6615848A@att.net>
>
> >The history of rrap for the past halfdozen years is that if everyone ignores
> >him
> >long enough he'll go away. Oh, he posts some small trivial nonsense post from
> >time
> >to time, but you guys are giving him the platform he desperately needs to do
> >exactly what he is doing. Without it he might go ahead and dry up like the
> >prune
> >he is. There is no rational discussion with anyone like him.
>
> You're probably right, Dick. Ii just can't stand letting him go
> unchallenged as it gives the appearence of passive agreement with his tripe.
>
> Unfortunatley people don't look at what others DON'T say to a scumbag like
> Leonard, it's what they do say, even if they don't agree with what's being
> said.
>
>
When I first decided to categorically refuse to respond to any and all
of his posts I had a hard time restraining myself on a few occasions.
But at this later point ignoring his posts is akin to flicking a
booger. Considering the similarities.
>
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
w3rv

1924. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 23:37:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D38CCEE.2020200@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49638

N2EY wrote:

> In article <20020716213353.01564.00000440@mb-cu.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
>
>>I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
>>code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
>>That's as good as it gets.
>>
>
> Two words: Sending test.

Not sure your exact meaning, but I can send a lot better than I recieve.
Would that make me a superior ham or an inferior one?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1925. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-19 23:40:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aha80r$5gl$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49639
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger,

I have been avoiding you because I do not desire to get you wound up again.
However I must correct you, Sir.

There is NO WAY IN HELL Lloyd could have been Kawasaki, B52, ying yang, etc.

I can not go into details here. You are just going to have to accept it.

Have a nice day.

Dan/W4NTI

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ah91sb$fo8$e@pita.alt.net...
> Lllllllardasssssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote
in
> message news:HEMZ8.100075$JU3.40124076@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > You better be good, timmy. I have not forgotten your part with jamming
> > 3950.
>
> And I haven't forgotten you getting on HF unidentified with that Missouri
hick
> voice of yours, Llardasssssssssss. Or shall I call you "B-52" or Kawasaki"
or
> "Hillbilly."
> That was you jamming and singing and so forth unisentified with a no-code
GEEK
> license, Lardasssssssssss. What's the matter, Lllardasssssss, too fucking
stupid
> to upgrade?
>
>

1926. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 00:10:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719201032.04875.00000096@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 49641

In article <3D380B0F.FC68CAA5@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>> >The only time I have done that is tongue-in-cheek fun poking at someone
>who
>> >is dishing out stuff like that to others.
>>
>> It sure looked serious the times I've seen it.
>
>Tongue-in-cheek humor should not appear too obvious.

You hid it very well.
>
>> "I have 35 years experience"
>>
>> That's true.
>
>Also true that I've had 50 years experience.

Not on amateur radio HF CW. In fact, I may have more CW experience and
expertise than you.

>Tongue-in-cheek implication: If
>experience counts, I've had 40+% more experience.

Nope. Your "vacation" doesn't count.

>You cannot have it both ways,
>Jim.

I don't want it both ways. I just want it my way ;-)

>It is a contradiction to laud your own experience while discounting
>someone with more experience than you.

I don't discount people with more experience than me, like K0HB, K2ASP, K8MN,
W3RV, W0EX, W1RFI...

I do discount self-described "experts", though ;-)

> Experience is not what matters.

Tell it to Lenover21.

>A person can be right with one year's experience while another person can be
wrong with 50

>years of experience.

Of course.

The race is not always to the swift, nor the battle to the strong. But that's
the way to bet.

>In fact, a person can be wrong *because* his knowledge is 50
>years out of date.

Tell it to Lenover21.
>
>> But you weren't serious. You actually pulled the age/experience gambit long
>> ago, Cecil, in an attempt to intimidate me. Didn't work.
>
>Oh, how you misread my posting, Jim. I made it with a tongue-in-cheek twinkle
>in my eye. I'm sorry you consider a good-natured yanking of your chains as an
>attempt at intimidation.

I'm talking about a post from years ago.

>That says everything about you and nothing about me.

Nope. It says your attempt to intimidate failed, so you passed it off as a
joke. Chuckles all around.

>All it was was an attempt to get you to see the mote in your own eye.

What about the beam in yours?
>
>> And in in fact you do not have 15 more years of experience than me, because
>>you took a "vacation from HF" for many years.
>
>You really need to do something about that chip on your shoulder.

No chip. Just the facts. Nothing wrong with being inactive for a while - lots
of hams do that. In fact I wonder how many "new" hams are actually "retreads".

Just don't claim "experience credit" for those inactive years.

>Life is for enjoyment, not competing with your fellow hams for King of the
Mountain
>status.

Healthy competition is a good thing.

>You may have 35 years of experience but that may (or may not) be 12,775 days
>of simply having the same experience over and over.

It's not been the same thing over and over.

>Someone may have learned more
>in one year than you did in 35 years or that I did in 50 years.

Tell it to Lenover21.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1927. Re: Back after years - with questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 00:10:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719201033.04875.00000098@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 49640

In article <tXZZ8.44705$_51.42559@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>, "eatc7402"
<eatc7402@attbi.com> writes:

>Hello. I'm coming back to ham radio after a number of years of inactivity.
>I was first licensed in 1960 (same call as now except for the "N", for
>novice). I've had a stroke about 9 months ago, and I think it would
>be good for me to get active in ham radio again.

Welcome back, David!
>
>I have an Advanced class and I know there have been some changes
>regarding that class. Is there a good place I can go to get a summary of the
>changes. I understand the Advanced still exists for those who already
>have it, but is no longer offered as a new choice. Do all the Advanced
>class privileges still exist? Any help would be appreciated.

Quick summary:

- You can renew and modify your Advanced as long as you wish, but no new
Advanceds are being issued.

- Privileges (subbands) are same as before. You have all amateur operating
privileges on all bands except 80, 40, 20 and 15 meters. On those four bands
you don't have the "Extra only" subbands.

- You can upgrade to Extra by passing new Element 4, which is a 50 question
multiple-choice written test. No other testing is required to upgrade.

http://www.arrl.org

has lots more info, including the current version of Part 97 (FCC rules for
hams)

http://www.fcc.gov

is the FCC website.

Any other specific questions?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1928. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 01:46:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D38A314.6478AE52@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

2test AB8MQ wrote:

>
> And I haven't forgotten you getting on HF unidentified with that Missouri hick
> voice of yours, Llardasssssssssss. Or shall I call you "B-52" or Kawasaki" or
> "Hillbilly."
> That was you jamming and singing and so forth unisentified with a no-code GEEK
> license, Lardasssssssssss. What's the matter, Lllardasssssss, too fucking stupid
> to upgrade?

GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to
General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO,
citing evidence that the licensee had been "deliberately and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other
licensed
amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred at various
times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from
FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley
Hollingsworth. Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of
the type described could result in a fine or license revocation
proceeding, and he requested that the licensee contact him to
discuss the allegations.

GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L.
Wiseman, KC8JBO, retake the General examination
elements under the supervision of an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner
team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by September
11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing
for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license
consistent with the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman
on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware
of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and another
licensee that included allegations of interference or jamming on
20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley
Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him
to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a
Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of
deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters.

1929. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 02:46:09 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <f34_8.107087$JU3.46779718@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49644

NOW!

Now that the thread has gone on and on and digressed WAY away from the
original topic, think what YOU will do about said original topic. How
about a
letter to your Congressperson? How about dropping a line to Billy Tauzin?
Instead of griping about it, and taking the thread off topic, while each of
us
was busy reading this, we could've done SOME-thing about the truckers and
CBers on 10 and 12 meters! We COULD have written letters while we were
busy arguing with each other on this forum!

73

Jerry

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713022356.12748.00000230@mb-mf.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 07/12/02 22:54 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020712225452.01458.00000011@mb-bk.aol.com>
>
> >It is still being PUBLISHED.
>
> Oh? Does the Postmaster General know about this? According to the
Untied
> States Postal Service, there is NO periodical by the name "Ham Radio"
presently
> in circulation.
>
> >You have to understand the word "published" and "publishing."
> >That applies to CD-ROM disks as well as the printed page.
>
> They "published" reprints of a defunct magazine, Lennie, as much as
you
> hate to hear that...Sorry.
>
> >>I'll be darned. Where can I pick up the July 2002 issue?
> >
> >From CQ or ARRL on CD-ROM. Order by mail if you wish.
>
> Oh? You deliver a copy of the July 2002 issue of "Ham Radio"
magazine to
> my door, Lennie, and I'll quit dogging you.

>
> (I am, as usual, NOT holding my breath...)
>
> >You still don't understand.
>
> You never did, Lennie. Never did.
>
> Steve
>
>

1930. Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 03:08:04 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207191908.47b11ac1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49643

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020718032609.12626.00001135@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect
> >From: wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
> >Date: 07/17/02 14:15 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <b7d1465c.02
>
> >Wasn't that a GREAT line? Ranks right up there with "Do as I say and
> >this won't go off." Can you name that one?
>
> Hmmmm....I want to say it was a line from Mel Gibson in one of the "Lethal
> Weapon" movies, wasn't it..?!?!
>
> Just a WAG on my part...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Good shot, Steve, but nope. It was Clint Eastwood in 'The Gauntlet'
when he commandered a local (Las Vegas) constable's car. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1931. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 03:18:46 UTC
From: KK7HO <KK7HO@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <%C4_8.36857$rX.6250665@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Davies, take a hike. There's a lot that I haven't forgotten about you. Some
of them you wouldn't want your leaders to know. As Arky Bob would say, "when
in Vegas, stop by and KMDA" LOL
"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:HEMZ8.100075$JU3.40124076@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> You better be good, timmy. I have not forgotten your part with jamming
> 3950.
>
> --
> Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
> God created only so many perfect heads...
> the rest he covered with hair !
>
> http://clik.to/n0vfp
> http://www.halturnershow.com
>
> "KK7HO" <KK7HO@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:2OLZ8.36590$rX.6223383@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > That'll go over like a fart in church! Go ahead have it! LOL
> >
> > "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:2PkZ8.52289$1q2.4028830@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?
> > >
> > > This would be fun! Anyone can check in. Freind or Foe. Let's
welcome
> > > Herbie back.
> > >
> > > Herbie is back. Let us rejoice.
> > >
> > > Lloyd
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Lloyd Davies
> > > B.A.R.F Chief of Communications
> > > (New Webpage coming soon!)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
```

> >
> >
>
>
>

1932. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 03:33:45 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <ahaljr$rd7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1b4_8.107131$JU3.46826334@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:aha80r$5gl$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Roger,
> >
> > I have been avoiding you because I do not desire to get you wound up
> again.
> > However I must correct you, Sir.
> >
> > There is NO WAY IN HELL Lloyd could have been Kawasaki, B52, ying yang,
> etc.
> >
> > I can not go into details here. You are just going to have to accept
it.
> >
> > Have a nice day.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Thanks Dan. I don't have the ability to get on HF in that "HUD apartment"
>
> Lloyd
>

C'mon now, Lloyd. If Rogie can get on HF from that 700 sq. ft. house his
mommy left him, surely you can find a way, too! Anything Roger can do, you
can do better...and that is what irks him!

1933. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 03:36:54 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qV4_8.40600$lu6.2285749@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Earth to Bozos, Earth to Bozos:

No one cares. Spare us the arrogant crossposting

"KK7HO" <KK7HO@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:%C4_8.36857$rX.6250665@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Davies, take a hike. There's a lot that I haven't forgotten about you.
Some
> of them you wouldn't want your leaders to know. As Arky Bob would say,
"when
> in Vegas, stop by and KMDA" LOL
> "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:HEMZ8.100075$JU3.40124076@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > You better be good, timmy. I have not forgotten your part with
jamming
> > 3950.
> >
> > --
> > Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
> > God created only so many perfect heads...
> > the rest he covered with hair !
> >
> > http://clik.to/n0vfp
> > http://www.halturnershow.com
> >
> > "KK7HO" <KK7HO@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > news:2OLZ8.36590$rX.6223383@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > That'll go over like a fart in church! Go ahead have it! LOL
> > >
> > > "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:2PkZ8.52289$1q2.4028830@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?
> > > >
> > > > This would be fun! Anyone can check in. Freind or Foe. Let's
> welcome
> > > > Herbie back.
> > > >
> > > > Herbie is back. Let us rejoice.
> > > >
> > > > Lloyd
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Lloyd Davies
```

> > > > B.A.R.F Chief of Communications
> > > > (New Webpage coming soon!)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1934. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 03:59:57 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <daviesl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1b4_8.107131$JU3.46826334@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:aha80r$5gl$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Roger,
>
> I have been avoiding you because I do not desire to get you wound up
again.
> However I must correct you, Sir.
>
> There is NO WAY IN HELL Lloyd could have been Kawasaki, B52, ying yang,
etc.
>
> I can not go into details here. You are just going to have to accept it.
>
> Have a nice day.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Thanks Dan. I don't have the ability to get on HF in that "HUD apartment"

Lloyd

1935. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 04:02:35 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <ahang4$rl43o$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49649
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"RVMJ" <RVMJ@Safety-&-Arming.Clara.co.uk> wrote in message
news:3uefjuo806dgr98s3kpms1lvha513agali@4ax.com...
> Steve Terry wrote:
> >I'd been slope detecting before you were born (probably?)
> >Let me think, firstly on an R1155 with a RF27 VHF converter modded for 2M,
> >around 1968 ?
>
> Interesting pieces of gear. The R1155 is too well-known to need
> comment, but it isn't generally appreciated that the RF27 units were
> the front end of the receiver for the Gee navigation device; the
> 1000-bomber raid to Cologne was Gee's first big trial.
>
> Later versions had an "electronic ruler" (analogue scale) generated
> within the device. There is a very brief shot of one being used in the
> classic and very watchable film "School for Secrets"; the film
> industry's tribute to the 'radio war' boffins who worked on radar.
> from
> RVMJ
>
Sounds interesting i'll have to keep an eye out for it
I also had a RF25 (or was it 26 unit ?) for 4 meters
(never heard a thing on it) but was OK for Band 2 :-)
that was over 30 years ago

RF24 to 27 units were very popular in the 50s and 60s as VHF converters

Then I progressed on to Pye Vanguards, etc. which made life easier on 2

Steve Terry

1936. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 04:11:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720001117.07756.00000054@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 49650

In article <3D38CCEE.2020200@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <20020716213353.01564.00000440@mb-cu.aol.com>,
>yodoc@aol.comnospam
>> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>>
>>
>>>I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
>>>code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
>>>That's as good as it gets.
>>>
>>
>> Two words: Sending test.
>
>
> Not sure your exact meaning, but I can send a lot better than I
recieve.
>Would that make me a superior ham or an inferior one?

The point is - did Larry have to pass sending tests? I did.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1937. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 04:12:06 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020720001206.10321.00000214@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 49730

In article <3D3783D4.1AFB64AA@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I imagine that what you're saying is you took your Extra exams before
>> a VE-team. Since there is a positive connection between VE teams and
>> corruption of the amateur radio license testing process, you, like every
>> other ham tested by a VE team, will always carry with you the possibility
>> that there was something suspicious happening at your testing session.
>
>So I really *was* a better ham before I became an Extra?

Cecil:

Better than anyone with a VE-tested Advanced, yes -- but that's as far
as it goes. As a VE-tested, Post-Restructuring Extra, you're in the
came category as the slime left behind by a garden snail.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1938. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 04:12:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020720001207.10321.00000215@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 49731

In article <20020719081056.13297.00000036@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>> I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
>>code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
>>That's as good as it gets.
>
>Two words: Sending test.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim:

Meaning what? Being able to send CW and copy it by ear are two separate and entirely different things, from a testing standpoint. If all I had to do was pass a sending test, I could have been an Amateur Extra anytime I wanted. That was my problem. As an avid electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code in a couple of hours. However, I couldn't copy at 1 WPM because I didn't know that I needed to teach myself the sound of the characters, as opposed to simply memorizing patterns of dots and dashes (let's see, I wanna send the letter C, and that's dash dot dash dot, so I'll send it now: dash dot dash dot.) I wasn't even hearing the dashes and dots as "dits" and "dahs." My frustration at not being able to copy AT ALL didn't resolve until I finally got the ARRL code tapes, put the practice oscillator/key in the drawer, and sharpened my pencil.

I give Zero points for having taken a sending test. It is genuinely and truly irrelevant. If you can copy, you can usually send; however, it's almost never vice-versa. I know that sending tests are used as an "accommodation" for sob-sisters who claim some sort of phony disability, but in reality, they're not testing a damn thing.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1939. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 05:07:18 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D38F006.4F3B81BE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49732

N2EY wrote:
> Nope. It says your attempt to intimidate failed, so you passed it off as a
> joke. Chuckles all around.

Sounds like you felt intimidated by my humor and that's your problem,
not mine. Intimidation is completely alien to me - humor is not.

> Healthy competition is a good thing.

Maybe in the marketplace, but IMO competition within amateur radio is
one of the things that is wrong with the hobby. Knock yourself out -
I simply refuse to compete.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1940. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 05:10:28 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D38F0C4.78B30D3F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49733

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Better than anyone with a VE-tested Advanced, yes -- but that's as far
> as it goes. As a VE-tested, Post-Restructuring Extra, you're in the
> came category as the slime left behind by a garden snail.

Well, this fits right in with your theory that ordinary people get
dumbed-down when they obtain a Tech license. Good thing Einstein
didn't ever get a Tech license, eh?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1941. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 05:22:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D38D5B9.DC78738D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49734

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> As an avid
> electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
> Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
> in a couple of hours.

Wow, you built a code practice oscillator. I guess that is another one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham. Have you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet from aluminum by hand? I have. Have you ever homebrewed an swr bridge, a watt meter, a phone patch, a beam antenna, a linear amplifier? I have. You have to do those things to be able to call yourself a real ham. Being able to send and receive Morse code is child's play.

1942. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 10:16:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720061634.03588.00000412@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49735

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>> I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
>>code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
>>That's as good as it gets.

>Two words: Sending test.

Two more: Draw schematics.

Two more: Essay answers.

Clearly, by Larry's standards, there are other hams that he sees as better than he. In his mind, because they took a more difficult test, there is nothing he can ever do in ham radio to be better than they are.

A really limited way to view the world, I think.

73.
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1943. Re: Club Licensure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 10:21:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720062105.03588.00000413@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49736

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Some of these coded people think they own the amateur radio service.

Why do you draw "coded people" into what he did? Because he passed a code test or because he believes that Morse code testing should be removed as a testing requirement?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1944. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 10:26:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wVa_8.820$jY3.109989029@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49747

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:un5Z8.84625$JU3.34946464@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:1MHY8.181$kQ2.10238074@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > Which is why I say Farnsowrth can be anything you want it to be, or
> anything
> > the VEC wants it to be. It can even be exactly the Morse specification.
> > This is because Morse is a single event in the infinite set called
> > Farnsworth.
>
> Is there something you would like to tell us about your Morse test? What
is
> the story here, bad experience with a VEC? Would you have done better on
the
> test if they didn't use "Farnse"? Tell us about your your bad experience.

San, I've had no problems with the exams, nor have I needed to ask for a
waiver.

1945. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 10:28:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sXa_8.821$MY3.110018805@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49748

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:TyoZ8.91957$JU3.36656128@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:T%0Z8.1434$ed2.38151682@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> > news:VlLY8.37176$1q2.2084941@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:54IY8.193$c83.11513888@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:_SpY8.67278$JU3.26069608@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:s13Y8.667
> > > > > > Dan, that's a whole lot of over-reaction. So what was your
waiver
> > > for?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sort of like your very abnormal and irrational over-reaction to my
> > > little
> > > > > joke about your so-called 'porno' solicitation? I demand an
apology.
> > > >
> > > > You're a funny guy.
> > > >
> > > > I'm still waiting on my apology for your sick "joke."
> > >
> > > heh heh heh. Audios, amigo!
> >
> > Sayonara, baby!
>
> You know when you are beaten.

Dan, you're still PO'd about the spanking you got for the pornography
fiasco.

1946. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 10:30:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QYa_8.822$RS3.109703290@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49749

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:Ig5Z8.84586$JU3.34933196@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:511Z8.1435>
>
> > Spanish again? That's where you got into trouble last time.
>
> What do you have against Spanish people?
>
None. Why do you ask?

Do they give out waivers?

1947. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 10:32:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <F_a_8.823$MY3.110018805@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49750

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020717062246.02908.00001047@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >His unprovoked attack on me.
>
> I don't recall he attacked you, Brian. I do recall he was provoked.
>
> Or is your repeated single-word post "waiver" not intended to provoke?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

How was he provoked?

1948. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 12:10:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720081048.01571.00000079@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 49751

In article <20020720001207.10321.00000215@mb-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20020719081056.13297.00000036@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>
>>> I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
>>>code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
>>>That's as good as it gets.
>>
>>Two words: Sending test.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim:
>
>Meaning what?

Did you have to pass sending and receiving tests, or just receiving tests?

>Being able to send CW and copy it by ear are two
>separate and entirely different things, from a testing standpoint.

I agree 100%.

>If all
>I had to do was pass a sending test, I could have been an Amateur
>Extra anytime I wanted. That was my problem. As an avid
>electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
>Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
>in a couple of hours.

At 20 wpm?

>However, I couldn't copy at 1 WPM because
>I didn't know that I needed to teach myself the sound of the characters,
>as opposed to simply memorizing patterns of dots and dashes (let's
>see, I wanna send the letter C, and that's dash dot dash dot, so I'll
>send it now: dash dot dash dot.) I wasn't even hearing the dashes
>and dots as "dits" and "dahs." My frustration at not being able to
>copy AT ALL didn't resolve until I finally got the ARRL code tapes,
>put the practice oscillator/key in the drawer, and sharpened my pencil.

>I give Zero points for having taken a sending test. It is genuinely and
>truly irrelevant. If you can copy, you can usually send; however, it's
>almost never vice-versa. I know that sending tests are used as an

>"accommodation" for sob-sisters who claim some sort of phony
>disability, but in reality, they're not testing a damn thing.

I have found that knowing how to receive does NOT necessarily mean the ability to send. You happened to learn how to send first, that's all.

You wrote:

"I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham. That's as good as it gets."

Seems obvious that, by your own value scale, someone who had done all that AND passed sending and receiving tests in front of an FCC official would be just a tad "better".

73 de Jim, N2EY

1949. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:07:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720100702.13297.00000053@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49753

In article <3D38F006.4F3B81BE@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Nope. It says your attempt to intimidate failed, so you passed it off as a
>> joke. Chuckles all around.
>
>Sounds like you felt intimidated by my humor and that's your problem,
>not mine.

I wasn't intimidated, Cecil. Your intimidation attempt failed. Thus, the "chuckles all around".

>Intimidation is completely alien to me - humor is not.

Now THAT'S funny!
>
>> Healthy competition is a good thing.
>
>Maybe in the marketplace, but IMO competition within amateur radio is
>one of the things that is wrong with the hobby.

Why? What's wrong with things like contests and operating awards? The hams who did microwave moonbounce with less than 100W and small dishes were competing to be the first.

Competition is what has driven much of the development of radio technology. You think the development of rigs like the FT-1000 was driven by ragchewers' requests? Get real!

>Knock yourself out - I simply refuse to compete.

Your choice, of course. That's waht makes ham radio a sporting-type activity. There are competitors and there are spectators.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1950. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:07:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720100702.13297.00000052@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49754

In article <20020719105408.11246.00000477@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 19 Jul 2002 14:54:08 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 07/19/02 08:10 Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020719081056.13297.00000035@mb-fy.aol.com>
>
>>>>Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>>>
>>>I can estimate it without having to get an internal, private
>>>document from within the ARRL. Some of the publication
>>>production information is already in the open. It's a matter of
>>>contacting the printer, asking prices, doing the numbers.
>>
>>In other words, no.
>
(Lenover21)

>has a few articles published under his "by line" (we'll ASSUME it
>was his original work...haven't seen any evidence yet) in a magazine tht is
>now defunct, and suddenly he knows all about "publishing costs"...?!?!

Yup. Note that QST articles include things like the Product Reviews, which are Consumer-Reports type evaluations, not puff pieces. ARRL actually buys the products tested, anonymously, then tests 'em. They are then resold at auction.

>>>No Code International does not state, infer, or imply that it is a
>>>national amateur radio membership organization. The ARRL does.
>>
>>NCI says it's international. It's in the name.
>
> The simplicity of that was overwhelming for him, Jim.

If it works...
>
>>>ARRL membership requires money. NCI is free.
>>
>>NCI membership requires a loyalty oath. ARRL does not.
>
> Rim shot....ra-dadaduump. Did Lennie swear the oath? Must have, since
>he's a member. I've never had to 'swear' anyhting to be a League member,

>have you?

Nope.

NCI's "loyalty oath" is there for a very good reason. It's a way of denying membership to anyone who does not agree with their basic purpose of eliminating all code testing. Without that "loyalty oath", pro-code-test people could simply join up in droves and derail the organization. Pro-code-test people are obviously the majority, as evidenced by the comments to 98-143. So the "loyalty" thing makes perfect sense.

ARRL's requirement for a ham license in order to be a full member also makes sense, because it keeps the organization in the hands of hams. Note that ALL hams who join ARRL get the same voting power, regardless of experience or license class. The new Tech has the same number of votes as the grizzled Extra with life membership. What could be fairer?

>>Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?
>
> Don't the NCI BoD guys communicate with antique Captain Midnight Decoder Rings?

Nope. E-mail does just fine.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1951. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:07:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720100703.13297.00000054@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49752

In article <20020720061634.03588.00000412@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

(K3LT wrote):
>
>>> I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
>>>code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
>>>That's as good as it gets.
>
(N2EY wrote):

>>Two words: Sending test.
>
>Two more: Draw schematics.
>
>Two more: Essay answers.

Two more: Experience requirement
>
>Clearly, by Larry's standards, there are other hams that he sees as better
>than he. In his mind, because they took a more difficult test, there is
nothing
>he can ever do in ham radio to be better than they are.

Fun game, huh?
>
>A really limited way to view the world, I think.
>
All the tests do is set the starting point. Where a particular ham finishes,
and what happens along the way, are another story.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1952. Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:09:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720100947.11197.00000700@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49755

>Subject: Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect
>From: wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
>Date: 07/19/02 23:08 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <b7d1465c.0207191908.47b11ac1@posting.google.com>

>Good shot, Steve, but nope. It was Clint Eastwood in 'The Gauntlet'
>when he commandered a local (Las Vegas) constable's car.

Now I remember...He and Sandra Locke were trying to out of Vegas and they
couldn't figure out why they kept getting way-laid at every turn...

Great movie!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1953. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:15:51 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahbtgp$5au$a@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49758
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lllllardasssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies" the pumpkin fucker <daviesl@bellsouth.net>
wrote in message news:1b4_8.107131$JU3.46826334@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Thanks Dan. I don't have the ability to get on HF in that "HUD apartment"

No, you just get on it from that rusted out pickup truck,
Lllllllllllllllllllardasssssssssss, which explains the tiny signal.

1954. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:18:31 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahbtgs$5au$d@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49759
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"KK7HO" <KK7HO@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:%C4_8.36857$rX.6250665@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Davies, take a hike. There's a lot that I haven't forgotten about you. Some
> of them you wouldn't want your leaders to know. As Arky Bob would say, "when
> in Vegas, stop by and KMDA" LOL

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

> "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:HEMZ8.100075$JU3.40124076@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > You better be good, timmy. I have not forgotten your part with jamming
> > 3950.
> >
> > --
> > Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
> > God created only so many perfect heads...
> > the rest he covered with hair !
> >
> > http://clik.to/n0vfp
> > http://www.halturnershow.com
> >
> > "KK7HO" <KK7HO@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > news:2OLZ8.36590$rX.6223383@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > That'll go over like a fart in church! Go ahead have it! LOL
> > >
> > > "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:2PkZ8.52289$1q2.4028830@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?
> > > >
> > > > This would be fun! Anyone can check in. Freind or Foe. Let's
> welcome
> > > > Herbie back.
> > > >
> > > > Herbie is back. Let us rejoice.
> > > >
> > > > Lloyd
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Lloyd Davies
> > > > B.A.R.F Chief of Communications
> > > > (New Webpage coming soon!)
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1955. Re: Let's Welcome back KV4FZ with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:20:30 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahbtgt$5au$e@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Llllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssss"Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote
in message news:xq_Z8.104448$JU3.45251177@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:33WZ8.3118$Qk6.3018@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote
> >
> > > How about we welcome our hero Herbie back with a ROLL CALL?
> >
> > Too bad you can't even get on HF to welcome him back!
> >
> > With all kind wishes,
> >
> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
> >
> >
> >
>
> Can I use your call?

That never stopped you before, Lardasssssssssssssssssss.

1956. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:24:08 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahbtgv$5au$g@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49761
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Shemale Fuckup Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> Pee Wee'd in message
news:pkb.mccollum.0088@houston-hunks.com...
>
> On Sat, 20 Jul 2002 03:36:54 GMT, Richard McCollum wrote:
> : Earth to Bozos, Earth to Bozos:
> :
> : No one cares. Spare us the arrogant crossposting
>
> PKB.

I know you are but what am I, Fuckup Lee.

Can't you even reply without ONE fuckup?

"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile fucks up as
usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama and Marshall County, West Virginia
are the same place in message <afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to send
email."

Fuckup Lee fucks up as usual getting his facts straight about a retest letter
which clearly indicated I was to retake the General exam , it gives no reasons
and Fuckup Lee is too fucking stupid to notice I have an Amateur Extra ham
license in <3F239C83.A22E1AD5@houston-hunks.com>:
"And you claim that you passed an amateur Extra class license exam? No
wonder the FCC had its doubts and forced you to retest."

"Fuckup Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote from his personal "hands on
knowledge" from being a rimjobber in message
<vroom.vroom.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"The human anal sphincter muscle is naturally contracted when relaxed"

1957. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:32:51 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.20.10.32.51.254118.32327@arrl.org>
Source record: 49756

On Sat, 20 Jul 2002 10:07:02 -0400, N2EY wrote:
> Your choice, of course. That's waht makes ham radio a sporting-type
> activity. There are competitors and there are spectators.

That's only one aspect of ham radio. The ~150 amateurs who provided flood relief communications in Texas earlier this month, for example, weren't competing, but you would hardly call them ham-radio "spectators," would you?

Jon

1958. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:40:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C7FA0D3.5EF60FDF@att.net>
Source record: 49757

> k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
>
> > Don't the NCI BoD guys communicate with antique Captain Midnight
> >De--*code*--r Rings?

Careful Steve, the very mention may traumatize some of them.

1959. Re: Let's Welcome back Kotter with a ROLL CALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 14:44:16 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahbth9$5au$o@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4No Trace of Intelligence" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:aha80r$5gl$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Roger,
>
> I have been avoiding you because I do not desire to get you wound up again.

Seems to me you've got it reversed, disabled GIMP.

> However I must correct you, Sir.

Wait a minute, here. You said you were going to just not respond in another
thread, in fact, you made a big deal about posting that, you said you were
killfiling me. And here you are, proving that you are a liar, by responding.

> There is NO WAY IN HELL Lloyd could have been Kawasaki, B52, ying yang, etc.
>
> I can not go into details here.

Translation: "No Trace of Intelligence has no deatils."

>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ah91sb$fo8$e@pita.alt.net...
> > Lllllllardasssssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> wrote
> in
> > message news:HEMZ8.100075$JU3.40124076@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > You better be good, timmy. I have not forgotten your part with jamming
> > > 3950.
> >
> > And I haven't forgotten you getting on HF unidentified with that Missouri
> hick
> > voice of yours, Llardasssssssssss. Or shall I call you "B-52" or Kawasaki"
> or
> > "Hillbilly."
> > That was you jamming and singing and so forth unisentified with a no-code
> GEEK
> > license, Lardasssssssssss. What's the matter, Lllardasssssss, too fucking
> stupid
> > to upgrade?
--
"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile fucks up as
usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama and Marshall County, West Virginia
are the same place in message <afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to send
email."

"Fuckup Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote from his personal "hands on knowledge" from being a rimjobber of his father in message <vroom.vroom.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"The human anal sphincter muscle is naturally contracted when relaxed"

1960. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 15:03:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C7F2058.1E6F4308@att.net>
Source record: 49763

JJ wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > As an avid
> > electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
> > Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
> > in a couple of hours.
>
> Wow, you built a code practice oscillator. I guess that is another
> one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham. Have
> you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
> from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
> from aluminum by hand? I have. Have you ever homebrewed an swr
> bridge, a watt meter, a phone patch, a beam antenna, a linear
> amplifier? I have. You have to do those things to be able to call
> yourself a real ham. Being able to send and receive Morse code is
> child's play.

So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?

1961. Re: Back after years - with questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 15:57:37 UTC
From: eatc7402 <eatc7402@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <RLf_8.602730$cQ3.97605@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 49764

Thanks too all who replied. I think I have the picture now, and am looking
forward to making some contacts again.

David Freedman, KOKWK

"eatc7402" <eatc7402@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:tXZZ8.44705$_51.42559@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Hello. I'm coming back to ham radio after a number of years of inactivity.
> I was first licensed in 1960 (same call as now except for the "N", for
> novice). I've had a stroke about 9 months ago, and I think it would
> be good for me to get active in ham radio again.
>
> I have an Advanced class and I know there have been some changes
> regarding that class. Is there a good place I can go to get a summary of
the
> changes. I understand the Advanced still exists for those who already
> have it, but is no longer offered as a new choice. Do all the Advanced
> class privileges still exist? Any help would be appreciated.
>
> David Freedman, K0KWK
> Eagan, MN
>
>
>

1962. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 16:06:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720120635.01571.00000093@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 49766

In article <f34_8.107087$JU3.46779718@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>NOW!
>
>Now that the thread has gone on and on and digressed WAY away from the
> original topic, think what YOU will do about said original topic. How
>about a
>letter to your Congressperson? How about dropping a line to Billy Tauzin?
>Instead of griping about it, and taking the thread off topic, while each of
>us
>was busy reading this, we could've done SOME-thing about the truckers and
>CBers on 10 and 12 meters! We COULD have written letters while we were
>busy arguing with each other on this forum!

Jerry,

Good point!

But we must be careful what we ask for, or we may just get it. Do you remember the trouble columnist Jack Anderson caused in the mid-'70s? I do. How about the flap over Newt Gingrich's overheard cellphone calls?

Consider the following:

There are somewhere around 450,000-500,000 hams in the USA licensed to use 10 meters. There are an unknown number of freebanders and truckers - some estimates say 2 million long-distance truck rigs are in service in the USA.

Now imagine a congresscritter gets a letter about the situation. Suppose this congresscritter examines the situation and discovers:

- the truckers have only the 40 cb channels plus a handful of MURS channels, while hams have many MHz of MF, HF, VHF and UHF.

- hams are poised to get a new band at 60 meters

- many of the ham bands are relatively quiet

- hams are trying to get around CC&Rs for antennas

The truckers and freebanders main argument is that the legal channels are overcrowded, so they go elsewhere. In their minds the 40 channel limitation makes as much sense as the old 55 mph rule.

The FCC isn't going to say that they made a mistake back in the late '50s when they created 27 MHz cb. They also aren't going to say that they had not been enforcing the rules for years, until recently. Instead, they may point out that

they tried to "fix" the problem in the mid-70s by reassigning 220-225 to "Class E" cb - but opposition from hams stopped it.

Considering how The Congress handled Newt's cellphone mess, it would not surprise me at all if their "solution" were something like:

- reallocate 146-148 to MURS and "encourage" truckers to use it
- reallocate 222-225 to a new service for truckers
- allow freebanders to use "60 meters" instead of hams
- various combinations of the above.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1963. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 16:06:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720120636.01571.00000094@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 49765

In article <pan.2002.07.20.10.32.51.254118.32327@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>On Sat, 20 Jul 2002 10:07:02 -0400, N2EY wrote:
>> Your choice, of course. That's waht makes ham radio a sporting-type
>> activity. There are competitors and there are spectators.
>
>That's only one aspect of ham radio. The ~150 amateurs who provided flood
>relief communications in Texas earlier this month, for example, weren't
>competing, but you would hardly call them ham-radio "spectators," would
>you?

I would say theywere competing against Mother Nature,,, ;-)

Of course, your point is valid. Those hams were not competing against each
other, nor were they spectators.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1964. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 16:13:03 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D398C0F.3DB20254@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49767

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> Nope. It says your attempt to intimidate failed, so you passed it off as a
> >> joke. Chuckles all around.
> > >
> > >Sounds like you felt intimidated by my humor and that's your problem,
> > >not mine.
> >
> > I wasn't intimidated, Cecil. Your intimidation attempt failed. Thus, the
> > "chuckles all around".

That alleged "intimidation attempt" was *your* fertile imagination
at work. Most of what I do here is good naturedly point out people's
double standards, including yours.

> >Intimidation is completely alien to me - humor is not.
>
> Now THAT'S funny!

And proves my point.

> >Maybe in the marketplace, but IMO competition within amateur radio is
> >one of the things that is wrong with the hobby.
>
> Why? What's wrong with things like contests ... ?

Some of us have evolved to a plane where we are not driven by testosterone
to beat our hairy chests and engage in silly King of the Mountain pileups.

> Competition is what has driven much of the development of radio technology.

I agree. Like I said, it has its place in the marketplace. However, I
believe that competition within the ARS is a cancer that is attempting
to destroy the hobby.

> There are competitors and there are spectators.

There are junk yard dogs and there are gentlemen.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1965. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 16:57:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720125722.01260.00000298@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49768

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Or is your repeated single-word post "waiver" not intended to provoke?

>How was he provoked?

You answered a different question than the one I asked, Brian. Can I take it that there is a reason you are being evasive?

Why did you keep repeating the single word waiver in response to his posts?

It is my opinion that you were trying to provoke him, and that then you planned to cry "foul" if he said anything unkind. Is that true? If not, any explanation why your repeated single word had any value whatsoever would be most welcome.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1966. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 17:04:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720130419.01260.00000299@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49769

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Hmmm. I go back at least that far myself, and a lot farther than that
>on Fidonet. I guess I just don't remember what your screen identity used
>to be before you became W1RFI@aol.com.

More than likely ka1cv@arrl.org or ehare@arrl.org.

I had no trouble at all finding my first post when I tried a google search.
Would you like some help with that useful tool?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1967. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 17:07:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720130700.01260.00000301@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49770

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>There hasn't been any point made, Ed, since my original comment to
>Brian was just my typical tongue-in-cheek humor! If you haven't had the
>mandatory funnybonectomy required for employment on the ARRL HQ
>Staff, you would have understood that.

Larry, my sense of humor is pretty well known. You have met me in person; did you find me humorless? Perhaps I just find your "joke" (good dodge) less funny than you do.

In what other parts of your life do you find saying insulting things to others in front of groups of people to be amusing?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1968. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 18:22:32 UTC
From: Zitchey <PoorWogie@CurtainsBoyLavenderLads.org>
Message-ID: <ahc9pc$8km$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49771
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message news:ah91rr$fo8$3@pita.alt.net...

> You are really fucking stupid,
Llllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssss..
>

One would not give the above statement a second thought, except
in this case it comes from Curtains Boy 2Test Wiseman, Usenet's
stupidest punching bag. hahahahahahahahahha

Hey Shebelle, you gotta be funny calling Lloyd a lard ass when your
obese ass tips the scales over 300 now!

Zitchey

1969. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 18:56:14 UTC
From: Eduardo Hernandez <EdHernanandez@vivaMexicoTravel.com>
Message-ID: <ahcboheca0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49772
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

Roger,

That was a very nasty letter you sent to the
Computer Science Dept. in Mexico City about me. You are
sick, very sick Roger. Get Help! And some advice Roger,
write in English next time. We are all fluent in English in the
Computer Science Dept. Your attempt to communicate in
Spanish gave all of us a big laugh! Take some Spanish
lessons at a college of your choice Roger.

With All Best Wishes,

Eduardo "Beans Boy" Hernandez de Ciudad de Juarez
Computer Science Dept.
El Universidad del Mexico

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ah91rr$fo8$3@pita.alt.net...
> Lllllllardasssssssssssssss Lllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd N0VFP aka "BARF
HQ"
> <BARFHQ@VirginIslandsJammingHQ.net> wrote in message
> news:ah7030$20d$0@pita.alt.net...
> > LET THE RADIO WARS BEGIN !!!!!!
>
> You are really fucking stupid,
Llllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssss..
>
>

1970. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 19:01:30 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahccuvhni5@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49773
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.john-kimball

"Edildo Hernandez" <EdHernanandez@vivaMexicoTravel.com> whined out his ass in
message news:ahcboheca0@pita.alt.net...
> Roger,
>
> That was a very nasty letter

Aww, did Eddildo get his pudgy hands slapped?

Oh, too bad, fuckwit.

1971. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 19:44:32 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <A4j_8.112737$UT.7263937@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49774
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

The groups in this were originally selected by Lloyd. Do you characters always follow Lloyd's lead? Ain't no one on Misc or Policy that gives a hoot what Roger and his travelling entourage do, say, or think.

Please keep it to the alt groups designed for this sort of sub-human activity.

Dick N&#65533;BK

"Eduardo Hernandez" <EdHernanandez@vivaMexicoTravel.com> wrote in message news:ahcboheca0@pita.alt.net...
> Roger,
>
> That was a very nasty letter you sent to the
> Computer Science Dept. in Mexico City about me. You are
> sick, very sick Roger. Get Help! And some advice Roger,
> write in English next time. We are all fluent in English in the
> Computer Science Dept. Your attempt to communicate in
> Spanish gave all of us a big laugh! Take some Spanish
> lessons at a college of your choice Roger.
>
> With All Best Wishes,
>
> Eduardo "Beans Boy" Hernandez de Ciudad de Juarez
> Computer Science Dept.
> El Universidad del Mexico
>

1972. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:27:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cJj_8.70$uY5.6024421@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49775

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720125722.01260.00000298@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> Or is your repeated single-word post "waiver" not intended to provoke?
>
> >How was he provoked?
>
> You answered a different question than the one I asked, Brian. Can I take
it
> that there is a reason you are being evasive?
>
> Why did you keep repeating the single word waiver in response to his
posts?
>
> It is my opinion that you were trying to provoke him, and that then you
planned
> to cry "foul" if he said anything unkind. Is that true? If not, any
> explanation why your repeated single word had any value whatsoever would
be
> most welcome.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Why do you say he was provoked after he attacked me? Is that a double
standard kind of thing?

1973. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:30:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4Mj_8.73$uZ5.6079786@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49776

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:Jk5Z8.84606$JU3.34950185@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:q31Z8.1438$J92.37940788@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> ..
> >
> > Beep beep n beep beep, yeh! (Paul McCartney)
>
> Right, you wish you could beep, right?

I can do like Dick;

I can send code so badly that a No Code Tech with a computer wouldn't stand
one chance in hell of copying it.

No guarantees that anyone else could, either.

1974. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:31:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WMj_8.74$cW5.5884005@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49777

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C7C2945.C5715660@att.net...
> Dan Finn wrote:
>
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:tV0Z8.1429$Mb2.38064656@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > Waiver?
> >
> > No, I never obtained a waiver of any kind.
> > de KR4AJ
>
> Don't waste your time trying to convince E-gadfly when his
> mind, such as it is, is made up.

I accept Dan's answer. Why do you think I wouldn't?

1975. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:32:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BNj_8.75$4N5.5341233@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49778

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020717062106.02908.00001045@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Waiver?
>
> Is taunting Dan with that word your newest obsession, Brian?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

He answered the question. Not need to ask any more.

1976. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:33:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1Oj_8.77$ST5.5732639@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49779

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020717141018.13297.00000002@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <20020717062106.02908.00001045@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>Waiver?
> >
> >Is taunting Dan with that word your newest obsession, Brian?
> >
> It's just a quick way of getting his message total higher.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

How am I doing?

1977. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:36:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aRj_8.78$NY5.6042816@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49780

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020717061304.02908.00001041@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >After the Dann Finn incident, I thought you had turned over a new forest.
>
> Larry is not any more likely to turn over a new "forest" than you are,
Brian.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I believe in clear cutting.

1978. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:38:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%Sj_8.79$aY5.6003966@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49781

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020717161630.26909.00000013@mb-mo.aol.com...
> In article <3D34E141.D045E94E@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
writes:
>
> >> No, if you don't operate CW, you are on a lower plane of existence as
> >> an amateur radio operator.
> >
> >Now, you can't have it both ways, Larry. If CW is the most basic form
> >of amateur radio communications, that would put it on the lowest, most
> >basic plane.
>
> His plane is grounded... :-)
>
Bad switch actuator. ;^)

1979. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:40:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XUj_8.81$Z_5.6175989@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49782

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C7F2058.1E6F4308@att.net...
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > As an avid
> > > electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
> > > Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
> > > in a couple of hours.
> >
> > Wow, you built a code practice oscillator. I guess that is another
> > one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham. Have
> > you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
> > from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
> > from aluminum by hand? I have. Have you ever homebrewed an swr
> > bridge, a watt meter, a phone patch, a beam antenna, a linear
> > amplifier? I have. You have to do those things to be able to call
> > yourself a real ham. Being able to send and receive Morse code is
> > child's play.
>
> So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?

Dick, what do you call an Advanced who comes on here and asks if CW can be
used in the phone band?

1980. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:42:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GWj_8.82$xL5.5249087@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49783

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020717062913.02908.00001050@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >And you don't need my permission to follow me around on rrap and say "Is
> >this one of those""What Brian said, what Brian meant kind of things?""
>
> Why thank you, Brian. You are right; one does not need permission to post
on
> rrap. Did you learn that recently?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

1981. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:44:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%Yj_8.83$t06.6263900@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49784

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020716230249.01564.00000449@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <wR1Z8.1466$pr2.38997454@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> If I make up a new code, care to demonstrate the lookup process
sometime?
> >>
> >> 73,
> >> Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> >Ed, do me a favor. Please make ZERO a little shorter.
>
> That's already been taken care of. It is common among CW operators
> to use the letter "T" in place of a "zero." It is definitely shorter, and
well
> understood; people don't normally use "T's" mixed within number groups.
> If I send my Zip Code as "199T4," most hams will understand that I
> meant "19904." For that matter the whole thing could be sent "1NNT4,"
> but that could be confusing to less experienced CW operators.
>
> This obviously cannot be done when giving station identification.
> All five "dahs" of the "0" have to be pounded out.

Larry, you'd think that people that like the code would want to send it
"longhand" rather than "shorthand." Just that much more pleasure from it
all.

1982. Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:46:24 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D39CC20.ED8CE06C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49786

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Now I remember...He and Sandra Locke were trying to out of Vegas and they
> couldn't figure out why they kept getting way-laid at every turn...

Ever wonder why none of the thousands of bullets ever hit a tire?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1983. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:47:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <j%j_8.84$s06.6263062@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49785

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020716230249.01564.00000448@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <tQ1Z8.1465$Sp2.38895970@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Ed:
> >>
> >> Simple. With the code test sent at the Farnsworth character speed
> >> (16 WPM, I think is what they're using), he can't count the dots and
> >> dashes and look up the characters on the chart he made on the palm
> >> of his hand with a ball-point pen.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Larry, no cheating involved.
> >
> >The look up table was in my head. Perfect copy at 9wpm.
>
> Brian:
>
> Good for you. Why don't you get on the air with CW?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I used to. No one there.

1984. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 20:49:03 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D39CCBF.3568F89D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49787

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?

Same thing they called me back in 1952 - a ham with lots to learn.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1985. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 21:05:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D39B2A9.2831FA30@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49788

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > As an avid
> > > electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
> > > Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
> > > in a couple of hours.
> >
> > Wow, you built a code practice oscillator. I guess that is another
> > one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham. Have
> > you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
> > from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
> > from aluminum by hand? I have. Have you ever homebrewed an swr
> > bridge, a watt meter, a phone patch, a beam antenna, a linear
> > amplifier? I have. You have to do those things to be able to call
> > yourself a real ham. Being able to send and receive Morse code is
> > child's play.
>
> So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?

That is what I wonder what Larry would call someone like that. He
seems to think he is such a high and mighty ham because he
operates CW and anyone, like me, who doesn't is not a real ham.
Using Larry's standards, I should consider myself to be a really
real ham since I have homebrewed my own equipment. His code
ability he so brags about is child's play to homebrewing something
like an SSB transmitter. The post was a dig at Larry in case you
didn't catch it.

1986. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 21:09:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D39B39D.460A709F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49789

JJ wrote:

>
> That is what I wonder what Larry would call someone like that. He
> seems to think he is such a high and mighty ham because he
> operates CW and anyone, like me, who doesn't is not a real ham.
> Using Larry's standards, I should consider myself to be a really
> real ham since I have homebrewed my own equipment. His code
> ability he so brags about is child's play to homebrewing something
> like an SSB transmitter. The post was a dig at Larry in case you
> didn't catch it.

Now watch Larry come back and claim he has done all that and more.

1987. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 21:15:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0qk_8.94$xS5.5655759@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49801

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
> > >
> > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to learn
> > > additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary and
unnecessary
> > > have yet to be proven.
> >
> > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary and
unnecessary.
>
> Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for success.

Sure I did. I passed the exams.

> > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?
> > >
> > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you considered to be
> > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can be as
> > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in other things.
> >
> > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
>
> There you have it.

How is that wrong?

> > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries
authorizations
> > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just supposed to tune
from
> > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the ones I
need.
>
> Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.

Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160 meter
authorizations of the rest of the world?

> > > > Oh, and try to be accurate.
> > >
> > > I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On how many

bands
> > > were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand out? How
> > > prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy in handling
> > > both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his name, correct
> > > spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like? That'll take care
of
> > > one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told us that you
> > > enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider that to be
> > > DXing?
>
> ??????????????

Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is 100 countries,
why would I work 10,000 QSO's?

Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I didn't work 10,000
of them?

> > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
>
> How?

One at a time.

> > QSLing was a huge chore.
>
> That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing, you can't use
> for operating.

But I consider it my job.

> > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did enjoy ragchewing
with the WestPac regulars.
>
> Ragchewing isn't DXing.

What is it?

> > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted to
> > know what it was like over there.
>
> That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line" technique. Shake
> their hand with your right while moving them along the line with your
> left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".

I see that hamming means a lot to you.

> > > I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is quite different
> > > from DXing from the U.S.
> >
> > Sure. The DX station runs the pile-up, the US station tries to work it.
> > Contests are an excellent source of finding DX on either side of the
pileup.
> > The US DXer hunts and pounces the DX during a contest.

>
> Not necessarily. Lots of U.S. stations are able to generate a pileup in
> a DX contest. I've had JA runs and EU runs last for hours. You can't
> run up a high score on this side of the pond if all you do is search and
> pounce.

Hey Dave, are you contesting or DXing? Make up your mind.

> > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious contester. A US >
station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
>
> An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.

For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands. Guam was one of
those islands.

> > What differences have you note in goals and
> > > technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do you have
> > > confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of your
strategy
> > > for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S. How are you
> > > doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m? 160m?
satellite?
> >
> > 10M SSB only when I was active. I'm inactive on HF at present.
>
> Worked/confirmed totals? DXer's aren't inactive unless there is nothing
> on which they need.

Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the mainland.

> > For the pileup, try to listen to where he is actually picking them >
when he says "up 10," then call at QRZ or tailend the current Op.
>
> Or you can use your reverse button to follow the action without calling
> at all for a while to see if you can pick up a pattern. Some ops listen
> over a window of frequencies and tune from bottom to top, a little at a
> time. Others work top to bottom. Some jump around randomly within a
> window looking for a clear spot with few callers. Some announce "up ten"
> when they are listening up five.

Common.

The guys on the distant end don't
> always operate split.

I only went split when it started to turn into a hornets nest. I tuned
around for a station that was easiest to hear. Calling #'d areas also
helped calm it down. Then there were the W5xx/7 that would call in 5's and
7's.

You might need to concentrate on timing,
> espcecially if you don't have an amp or a gain antenna at height.

> Sometimes you have to realize that you aren't going to work the station
> at all because propagation isn't favoring your area.

Yep, there are some that never make it in the log. Bad timing, no amp,
cubical quad on 10M.

> > When operating 10M SSB, what specialty is there other than QRP?
>
> If you limit yourself to SSB, there aren't many options. If you limit
> yourself to 10m, there aren't many options. Those limits would certainly
> get in the way of a DXer.

Why? I enjoyed it.

> > > > > > You've been consistently a jerk.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ...and this coming from a fellow who cranks up three or four
> > > > > > incarnations of the same misdirected crusade in which he ends up
> > > looking
> > > > > > like a goober each time. Now THAT'S consistency.
> > > > >
> > > > > It makes sense to me.
> > > >
> > > That has been made alarmingly clear. It seems that others don't think
> > > it makes sense. You've not been very successful in selling your view.
> > >
> > They've not commented. I think this is between you and me.
>
> I've certainly seen comments from others. You haven't noticed them?
> Have you noticed any rose petals scattered in your path? Aside from
> sniping by Len, have you noticed criticism of my stand? Those should be
> clues.

I haven't seen Steve on here in several years. Whose been in here lately
saying I"m not a DXer?

> > > > If you don't know what "Good Amateur Practice" is by
> > > > this point in your amateur career, you'll never get it.
> > >
> > > Good amateur practice has naught to do with how the other guy operates
> > > his station. It has to do with how you operate YOUR station. I'd say
> > > you'll never get it.
> >
> > So far it hasn't been a problem. Perhaps when I become a pompous
Code-Tape
> > Extra-Lite I might have problems in that department.
>
> Do you mean that "not getting it" hasn't been an impediment but that you
> feel it could become an impediment if you upgrade? I had to ask since
> your comment reads that way.

;^)

> > In amateur radio I
> > outrank the hell out of Len, but I don't act pompous with him.
>
> Good for you. You've become his ally and, God knows, he needed one.

He makes sense when he and Steve aren't trying to anhilate each other.

> > He was a
> > professional, I'm an amateur.
>
> We're amateurs because we're licensed in the amateur service. I was
> good as an Air Force op largely because of experience in amateur radio.
> I was good as a State Department communicator largely due to experience
> gained in amateur radio. I've known professionals who were bum CW ops,
> who were slow or used poor technique as voice ops, who didn't know the
> first thing about propagation. The fact is that this venue deals with
> matters relating to amateur radio.

I'm mostly self taught. I took a Novice class with the code tapes.

> > No, I don't think I'll have "Good > Amateur Practice" problems should >
I ever upgrade.
>
> I don't think so either. You'll be so busy looking up frequency
> allocation tables that you'll seldom have time to be on the air.
> All you really need to do is remember where YOU'RE allowed to be.

Dave, I agree that is a good first step. But knowing the authorizations of
the ones you need could give you an edge. Also, I still consider it poor
amateur practice to be working bootleggers if you know they don't belong
there. I simply won't do it.

> > > > Is this where The Old Man breaks out the "Wrenchy Stitch?" hihi
> > >
> > > As in choosing the correct golf club for a given shot, it does matter
> > > which instrument is selected for amateur attitude correction. I'd
> > > choose the Uggerumph for this one.
>
> > Do tell. What is that?
>
> ...an amateur radio "sand wedge".
>
> Dave K8MN

Goofy Golf?

1988. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 21:17:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Irk_8.96$866.6589668@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49802

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020717061755.02908.00001044@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries
authorizations
> >so I'll know where to look for them.
>
> I don't recall that he ever said that, Brian.
>
> >Instead I'm just supposed to tune from
> >one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the ones I
need.
>
> I don't recall that he ever said that, either.
>
> If he didn't, you are putting your words into his mouth. Why?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, go back and look again.

Is it important to you to keep posting on things you don't remember?

Are you just trying to get your monthy totals up?

1989. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 21:19:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9tk_8.97$1a6.6830363@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49803

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020716064524.01952.00000385@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >As long as you want it to go on, Ed. Dave says he's tired of it, but
then
> >...
>
> But then... Brian absolutely must have the last word -- by proclamation a
dozen
> times.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

No Ed, I posted it on each separate subthread as Dave claims that he doesn't read everything on here. I've said this before, so this should be a re-run for you. How many reruns would you like?

1990. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 21:25:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2zk_8.100$xL5.5249087@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49804

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D34D7B5.F644CCB7@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020715235020.01458.00000100@mb-bk.aol.com...
> >
> > Apparently,
> > > Heil's amateur radio station in that country was then the ONLY
> > > amateur station there; with a truly rare callsign issued (as a
> > > compliment to an embassy employee?) he would be much in
> > > demand as "DX" for the rest of the world. That probably was
> > > very emotionally rewarding for him. It may have led to some
> > > other emotional problems with logic and thinking he was truly
> > > superior instead of just the rare-call individual.
> >
> > In the interest of "accuracy," its important to note that others with
> > multiple DX calls cannot know much about DX.
> >
> > Oh, Please!
>
> It is quite possible to obtain a license to operate without possessing
> the necessary skills required to be a DXer, Brian. Ragchewing with a
> "G" or an "EA" isn't DXing.
>
> Dave K8MN

Depends on where you're at. And once the ragchew ends, the buzzing in the
speaker starts.

1991. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 21:28:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6Ck_8.105$Pc6.6997236@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49805

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message

> When I first decided to categorically refuse to respond to any and all
> of his posts I had a hard time restraining myself on a few occasions.
> But at this later point ignoring his posts is akin to flicking a
> booger. Considering the similarities.
> >
> w3rv

Brian, please be sure to ignore mine, too. I'd consider it a personal
favor.

Thanks and 73,
Brian/N0iMD

1992. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 21:30:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QDk_8.107$cd6.7016621@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49806

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.07.20.10.32.51.254118.32327@arrl.org...
> On Sat, 20 Jul 2002 10:07:02 -0400, N2EY wrote:
> > Your choice, of course. That's waht makes ham radio a sporting-type
> > activity. There are competitors and there are spectators.
>
> That's only one aspect of ham radio. The ~150 amateurs who provided flood
> relief communications in Texas earlier this month, for example, weren't
> competing, but you would hardly call them ham-radio "spectators," would
> you?
>
> Jon

There are many aspects of amateur radio.

Any ham can make as much or as little of their license as they choose.

1993. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 21:32:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XFk_8.108$7c6.6952188@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49807

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020717054703.01619.00000165@mb-cc.aol.com...
> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals
> on the dates listed:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Tech - 205,394
> Tech Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
> Total - 674,792
>
>
> As of July 15, 2002:
>
> Novice - 37,424 (decrease of 11,905)
> Tech - 242,219 (increase of 36,825)
> Tech Plus - 77,447 (decrease of 51,413)
> General - 138,453 (increase of 25,776)
> Advanced - 85,015 (decrease of 14,767)
> Extra - 102,476 (increase of 23,726)
> Total - 683,034 (increase of 8,242)
>
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Darn, I thought this was going to be a preview of how many messages we've
sent this month!

1994. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 23:09:31 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahcsve$2sl$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49809
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.john-kimball

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:A4j_8.112737$UT.7263937@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The groups in this were originally selected by Lloyd. Do you characters
> always follow Lloyd's lead? Ain't no one on Misc or Policy that gives a
> hoot what Roger and his travelling entourage do, say, or think.
>
> Please keep it to the alt groups designed for this sort of sub-human
> activity.
>
> Dick Nï¿½BK

Poor Ed-Dildo is so addled, I never sent any letter nor do I give a shit what my "followers" do, say or think. Nor do I give a shit what you do, say or think, DICK.

1995. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 23:26:21 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <r8m_8.115697$JU3.53154850@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49808

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:cJj_8.70$uY5.6024421@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020720125722.01260.00000298@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >> Or is your repeated single-word post "waiver" not intended to
provoke?
> >
> > >How was he provoked?
> >
> > You answered a different question than the one I asked, Brian. Can I
take
> it
> > that there is a reason you are being evasive?
> >
> > Why did you keep repeating the single word waiver in response to his
> posts?
> >
> > It is my opinion that you were trying to provoke him, and that then you
> planned
> > to cry "foul" if he said anything unkind. Is that true? If not, any
> > explanation why your repeated single word had any value whatsoever would
> be
> > most welcome.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Why do you say he was provoked after he attacked me? Is that a double
> standard kind of thing?

I did not attack the guy. Heck, I merely said that that I agreed with the old "physician's waiver" and that it was a compassionate thing to do. But for some uncomprehendable reason, Brian P Burke must have disagreed with my position and in his mind, construed it as an "attack", as per his statement above. I do not understand; if I say that I agree with the Advanced license class, will Mr. Burke also perceive that as an attack on his person, just because I may think it is a good license class? I don't know but there is nothing I can do about irrationality either so I just takes it as it comes!

73 de KR4AJ

1996. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-20 23:56:13 UTC
From: Douglas <Douglas@TexasTradersNet7241.net>
Message-ID: <ahctb1$3ji$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49810
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

I see Roger is still up to old tricks of writing hate letters.
A very sick boy!

Douglas

"Eduardo Hernandez" <EdHernanandez@vivaMexicoTravel.com> wrote in message
news:ahcboheca0@pita.alt.net...
> Roger,
>
> That was a very nasty letter you sent to the
> Computer Science Dept. in Mexico City about me. You are
> sick, very sick Roger. Get Help! And some advice Roger,
> write in English next time. We are all fluent in English in the
> Computer Science Dept. Your attempt to communicate in
> Spanish gave all of us a big laugh! Take some Spanish
> lessons at a college of your choice Roger.
>
> With All Best Wishes,
>
> Eduardo "Beans Boy" Hernandez de Ciudad de Juarez
> Computer Science Dept.
> El Universidad del Mexico
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ah91rr$fo8$3@pita.alt.net...
> > Lllllllardasssssssssssssss Lllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd N0VFP aka
"BARF
> HQ"
> > <BARFHQ@VirginIslandsJammingHQ.net> wrote in message
> > news:ah7030$20d$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > LET THE RADIO WARS BEGIN !!!!!!
> >
> > You are really fucking stupid,
> Llllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssss..
> >
> >
>
>

1997. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 00:12:48 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <ahcu73$5io$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49811
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.flamenet,alt.pedophile.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Douglas" <Douglas@TexasTradersNet7241.net> wrote in message
news:ahctb1$3ji$0@pita.alt.net...
> I see Roger is still up to old tricks of writing hate letters.
> A very sick boy!
>
> Douglas
>
> "Eduardo Hernandez" <EdHernanandez@vivaMexicoTravel.com> wrote in message
> news:ahcboheca0@pita.alt.net...
> > Roger,
> >
> > That was a very nasty letter you sent to the
> > Computer Science Dept. in Mexico City about me. You are
> > sick, very sick Roger. Get Help! And some advice Roger,
> > write in English next time. We are all fluent in English in the
> > Computer Science Dept. Your attempt to communicate in
> > Spanish gave all of us a big laugh! Take some Spanish
> > lessons at a college of your choice Roger.
> >
> > With All Best Wishes,
> >
> > Eduardo "Beans Boy" Hernandez de Ciudad de Juarez
> > Computer Science Dept.
> > El Universidad del Mexico
/.
Roger learned this cheap tactic from his butt-buddy, W4AMP down in Hiram,
Georgia. The two of them are closerthanthis.

1998. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 00:33:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D3A2B8F.2060908@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49812

JJ wrote:

>
> JJ wrote:
>
>
>>That is what I wonder what Larry would call someone like that. He
>>seems to think he is such a high and mighty ham because he
>>operates CW and anyone, like me, who doesn't is not a real ham.
>>Using Larry's standards, I should consider myself to be a really
>>real ham since I have homebrewed my own equipment. His code
>>ability he so brags about is child's play to homebrewing something
>>like an SSB transmitter. The post was a dig at Larry in case you
>>didn't catch it.
>>
>
> Now watch Larry come back and claim he has done all that and more.

That some people will think they are superior for rather simple things is one of the mysteries of life, JJ.

CW, being one small (but important) part of the Ham radio experience, is hardly the defining quality.

You won't get any argument from me that we should all know cw, and be tested for it, but beyond that... so what?

People like that GIVE cw a bad name.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1999. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 01:09:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <FRn_8.148$lc7.10850484@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49813

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:r8m_8.115697$JU3.53154850@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:cJj_8.70$uY5.6024421@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020720125722.01260.00000298@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >> Or is your repeated single-word post "waiver" not intended to
> provoke?
> > >
> > > >How was he provoked?
> > >
> > > You answered a different question than the one I asked, Brian. Can I
> take
> > it
> > > that there is a reason you are being evasive?
> > >
> > > Why did you keep repeating the single word waiver in response to his
> > posts?
> > >
> > > It is my opinion that you were trying to provoke him, and that then
you
> > planned
> > > to cry "foul" if he said anything unkind. Is that true? If not, any
> > > explanation why your repeated single word had any value whatsoever
would
> > be
> > > most welcome.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> > Why do you say he was provoked after he attacked me? Is that a double
> > standard kind of thing?
>
> I did not attack the guy. Heck, I merely said that that I agreed with the
> old "physician's waiver" and that it was a compassionate thing to do. But
> for some uncomprehendable reason, Brian P Burke must have disagreed with
my
> position and in his mind, construed it as an "attack", as per his
statement

> above. I do not understand; if I say that I agree with the Advanced
license
> class, will Mr. Burke also perceive that as an attack on his person, just
> because I may think it is a good license class? I don't know but there is
> nothing I can do about irrationality either so I just takes it as it
comes!
>
> 73 de KR4AJ

That's right Dan, the only license class remaining that proves that one learned "higher speed code" also has people with code waivers. So in the big scheme of things, when your cronies are measuring who has the higher speed code and who doesn't, you'll just have to ask if they have a waiver.

Having the idea that any of this actually matters is what is irrational; that and your over-reaction to my bringing it up.

2000. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 01:11:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_Sn_8.149$Gc7.10848404@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49814

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:Jk5Z8.84606$JU3.34950185@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:q31Z8.1438$J92.37940788@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> ..
> >
> > Beep beep n beep beep, yeh! (Paul McCartney)
>
> Right, you wish you could beep, right?

didit

2001. Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 02:09:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720220922.14343.00000017@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49815

>Subject: Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect
>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>Date: 07/20/02 16:46 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D39CC20.ED8CE06C@hotmail.com>

>Ever wonder why none of the thousands of bullets ever hit a tire?

Cuz the guy shooting read the script?

SR

2002. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 02:31:24 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Z5p_8.70883$1q2.8379147@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49817

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720120635.01571.00000093@mb-ct.aol.com...
> In article <f34_8.107087$JU3.46779718@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry
> Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:
>
> >NOW!
> >
> >Now that the thread has gone on and on and digressed WAY away from the
> > original topic, think what YOU will do about said original topic. How
> >about a
> >letter to your Congressperson? How about dropping a line to Billy
Tauzin?
> >Instead of griping about it, and taking the thread off topic, while each
of
> >us
> >was busy reading this, we could've done SOME-thing about the truckers and
> >CBers on 10 and 12 meters! We COULD have written letters while we were
> >busy arguing with each other on this forum!
>
> Jerry,
>
> Good point!
>
> But we must be careful what we ask for, or we may just get it. Do you
remember
> the trouble columnist Jack Anderson caused in the mid-'70s? I do. How
about the
> flap over Newt Gingrich's overheard cellphone calls?
>
> Consider the following:
>
> There are somewhere around 450,000-500,000 hams in the USA licensed to use
10
> meters. There are an unknown number of freebanders and truckers - some
> estimates say 2 million long-distance truck rigs are in service in the
USA.
>
> Now imagine a congresscritter gets a letter about the situation. Suppose
this
> congresscritter examines the situation and discovers:
>
> - the truckers have only the 40 cb channels plus a handful of MURS
channels,
> while hams have many MHz of MF, HF, VHF and UHF.

>
> - hams are poised to get a new band at 60 meters
>
> - many of the ham bands are relatively quiet
>
> - hams are trying to get around CC&Rs for antennas
>
> The truckers and freebanders main argument is that the legal channels are
> overcrowded, so they go elsewhere. In their minds the 40 channel
limitation
> makes as much sense as the old 55 mph rule.
>
> The FCC isn't going to say that they made a mistake back in the late '50s
when
> they created 27 MHz cb. They also aren't going to say that they had not
been
> enforcing the rules for years, until recently. Instead, they may point out
that
> they tried to "fix" the problem in the mid-70s by reassigning 220-225 to
"Class
> E" cb - but opposition from hams stopped it.
>
> Considering how The Congress handled Newt's cellphone mess, it would not
> surprise me at all if their "solution" were something like:
>
> - reallocate 146-148 to MURS and "encourage" truckers to use it
> - reallocate 222-225 to a new service for truckers
> - allow freebanders to use "60 meters" instead of hams
> - various combinations of the above.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

So are you saying that we are to just sit still while they continue to
spread from
10 meters to 33 MHZ, from 12 meters to 20 meters, and so on until they are
knocking on the doors of every legitimate radio service in the land? If our
Con-
gress is not smart enough to recognize that you don't reward wrongdoing by
giving in to those "roaches" and lawless vermin, then we are doomed anyway!!

73

Jerry
K4KWH

2003. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 02:32:00 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <%_o_8.70862$1q2.8370735@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49816

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:FRn_8.148$Ic7.10850484@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:r8m_8.115697$JU3.53154850@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:cJj_8.70$uY5.6024421@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020720125722.01260.00000298@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >
> > > > >> Or is your repeated single-word post "waiver" not intended to
> > provoke?
> > > >
> > > > >How was he provoked?
> > > >
> > > > You answered a different question than the one I asked, Brian. Can I
> > take
> > > it
> > > > that there is a reason you are being evasive?
> > > >
> > > > Why did you keep repeating the single word waiver in response to his
> > > posts?
> > > >
> > > > It is my opinion that you were trying to provoke him, and that then
> you
> > > planned
> > > > to cry "foul" if he said anything unkind. Is that true? If not,
any
> > > > explanation why your repeated single word had any value whatsoever
> would
> > > be
> > > > most welcome.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
> > > Why do you say he was provoked after he attacked me? Is that a double
> > > standard kind of thing?
> >
> > I did not attack the guy. Heck, I merely said that that I agreed with
the

> > old "physician's waiver" and that it was a compassionate thing to do.
But
> > for some uncomprehendable reason, Brian P Burke must have disagreed with
> my
> > position and in his mind, construed it as an "attack", as per his
> statement
> > above. I do not understand; if I say that I agree with the Advanced
> license
> > class, will Mr. Burke also perceive that as an attack on his person,
just
> > because I may think it is a good license class? I don't know but there
is
> > nothing I can do about irrationality either so I just takes it as it
> comes!
> >
> > 73 de KR4AJ
>
> That's right Dan, the only license class remaining that proves that one
> learned "higher speed code" also has people with code waivers.

This is not an accurate statement. It also may include extra class hams who did not change their call signs when they upgraded. Or, it mat include extras who obtained advanced vanity call signs.

So in the
> big scheme of things, when your cronies are measuring who has the higher
> speed code and who doesn't, you'll just have to ask if they have a waiver.

And the additional questions indicated above.
>
> Having the idea that any of this actually matters is what is irrational;

I never asked anybody if they obtained a waiver. You asked me several times, therefore it matters to you, not me. Using your own logic, you would be the one who is acting irrational.

> that and your over-reaction to my bringing it up.

What over-reaction? As you say, you brought it up. I was merely trying to siphon some logic out of your curious behavior. I was unsuccessful at that but that's OK. I just takes it as it comes!

On the other subject, did you have a falling out with a VEC who tested using Farnsworth. Which VEC was that?

73 de KR4AJ

2004. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 03:47:04 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <3d3a32de_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 49818

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C7F2058.1E6F4308@att.net...
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > As an avid
> > > electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
> > > Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
> > > in a couple of hours.
> >
> > Wow, you built a code practice oscillator. I guess that is another
> > one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham. Have
> > you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
> > from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
> > from aluminum by hand? I have. Have you ever homebrewed an swr
> > bridge, a watt meter, a phone patch, a beam antenna, a linear
> > amplifier? I have. You have to do those things to be able to call
> > yourself a real ham. Being able to send and receive Morse code is
> > child's play.
>
> So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
>
>

Hmmmm, "call" them? Such an odd question--or does Little Dick intend to
imply that he would call newcomers names? Wow--what a magnificent spectacle
for amateur radio. NOT.

I'd call them by their callsign, and ask their name. Oh, and I'd probably
be talking to them on my homebrew quad antenna, but through a much more
efficient radio--built by a company with years of design and manufacture
experience. And, uh, the antenna was built *while* I was taking the ham
class for my Technician+ license.

Kim W5TIT

2005. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 03:49:19 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <3d3a3364_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 49819

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720100703.13297.00000054@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <20020720061634.03588.00000412@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
> (K3LT wrote):
> >
> >>> I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM
> >>>code test passed, Amateur Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham.
> >>>That's as good as it gets.
> >
> (N2EY wrote):
>
> >>Two words: Sending test.
> >
> >Two more: Draw schematics.
> >
> >Two more: Essay answers.
>
> Two more: Experience requirement
> >
> >Clearly, by Larry's standards, there are other hams that he sees as
better
> >than he. In his mind, because they took a more difficult test, there is
> nothing
> >he can ever do in ham radio to be better than they are.
>
> Fun game, huh?
> >
> >A really limited way to view the world, I think.
> >
> All the tests do is set the starting point. Where a particular ham
finishes,
> and what happens along the way, are another story.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I'd say more that where a particular ham finishes and what happens along the
way, are truly the cornerstones of what ham radio is really all about.

Kim W5TIT

2006. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 12:06:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721080632.01571.00000108@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 49821

In article <3D38D5B9.DC78738D@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> As an avid
>> electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
>> Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
>> in a couple of hours.
>
>Wow, you built a code practice oscillator. I guess that is another
>one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham.

JJ,

I built one from junk parts when I was 12 or so. Nothing to it, really.

> Have
>you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
>from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
>from aluminum by hand? I have.

Now THAT's COOL!

I've designed and built 100 watt-class multiband HF CW transceivers, including
the metalwork. I know where you're coming from.

>Have you ever homebrewed an swr
>bridge, a watt meter, a phone patch, a beam antenna, a linear
>amplifier? I have.

SWR bridges, transmatches, control circuits, power supplies, receivers,
transmitters, antennas, shack furniture, test gear. Great fun.

>You have to do those things to be able to call
>yourself a real ham.

Been there, done that. Tell us more about your projects, please. All-homebrew
hamshacks are a bit rare these days.

>Being able to send and receive Morse code is
>child's play.

Makes you wonder why people make such a fuss about it, and the test for it,
huh?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2007. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 12:06:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721080633.01571.00000110@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 49820

In article <Z5p_8.70883$1q2.8379147@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020720120635.01571.00000093@mb-ct.aol.com...
>> In article <f34_8.107087$JU3.46779718@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry
>> Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:
>>
>> >NOW!
>> >
>> >Now that the thread has gone on and on and digressed WAY away from the
>> > original topic, think what YOU will do about said original topic. How
>> >about a
>> >letter to your Congressperson? How about dropping a line to Billy
>Tauzin?
>> >Instead of griping about it, and taking the thread off topic, while each
>of
>> >us
>> >was busy reading this, we could've done SOME-thing about the truckers and
>> >CBers on 10 and 12 meters! We COULD have written letters while we were
>> >busy arguing with each other on this forum!
>>
>> Jerry,
>>
>> Good point!
>>
>> But we must be careful what we ask for, or we may just get it. Do you
>remember
>> the trouble columnist Jack Anderson caused in the mid-'70s? I do. How
>about the
>> flap over Newt Gingrich's overheard cellphone calls?
>>
>> Consider the following:
>>
>> There are somewhere around 450,000-500,000 hams in the USA licensed to use
>10
>> meters. There are an unknown number of freebanders and truckers - some
>> estimates say 2 million long-distance truck rigs are in service in the
>USA.
>>
>> Now imagine a congresscritter gets a letter about the situation. Suppose
>this
>> congresscritter examines the situation and discovers:
>>

>> - the truckers have only the 40 cb channels plus a handful of MURS
>channels,
>> while hams have many MHz of MF, HF, VHF and UHF.
>>
>> - hams are poised to get a new band at 60 meters
>>
>> - many of the ham bands are relatively quiet
>>
>> - hams are trying to get around CC&Rs for antennas
>>
>> The truckers and freebanders main argument is that the legal channels are
>> overcrowded, so they go elsewhere. In their minds the 40 channel
>limitation
>> makes as much sense as the old 55 mph rule.
>>
>> The FCC isn't going to say that they made a mistake back in the late '50s
>when
>> they created 27 MHz cb. They also aren't going to say that they had not
>been
>> enforcing the rules for years, until recently. Instead, they may point out
>that
>> they tried to "fix" the problem in the mid-70s by reassigning 220-225 to
>"Class
>> E" cb - but opposition from hams stopped it.
>>
>> Considering how The Congress handled Newt's cellphone mess, it would not
>> surprise me at all if their "solution" were something like:
>>
>> - reallocate 146-148 to MURS and "encourage" truckers to use it
>> - reallocate 222-225 to a new service for truckers
>> - allow freebanders to use "60 meters" instead of hams
>> - various combinations of the above.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>So are you saying that we are to just sit still while they continue to
>spread from 10 meters to 33 MHZ, from 12 meters to 20 meters, and so on
>until they are knocking on the doors of every legitimate radio service in the
>land?

Not at all! But we must be careful how we go about stopping them. Tapes to the FCC, that's a good step. Occupy the bands to the extent that they are unattractive to freebanders, that's another.

>If our Congress is not smart enough to recognize that you don't reward
>wrongdoing by giving in to those "roaches" and lawless vermin, then we are
>doomed anyway!!

All I'm saying is that Congress is not the first place to go with a complaint.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2008. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 12:52:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721085213.13297.00000085@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 49822

From the ARRL letter:

Addressing Board members, guests and ARRL staff members July 18
prior to the formal Board session, FCC Special Counsel for
Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth said that while enforcement will be
''maintaining the course,'' he's hoping by year's end to mount ''a more
aggressive stance'' against 10-meter incursions by unlicensed
individuals. He said that using 10-meters was among the best
defenses against intruders. Hollingsworth also met with ARRL staff
members July 19 to discuss specific areas of concern and
cooperation.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2009. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:03:52 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721090352.14338.00000105@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49823

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Hollingsworth also met with ARRL staff
>members July 19 to discuss specific areas of concern and
>cooperation.

My part of that was to hammer out a plan of action between ARRL and the FCC about followup on the electric utility companies that do not respond appropriately to the ARRL and FCC letters about reported interference. More to follow as I get a report on this to Rick Lindquist.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2010. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:05:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721090557.14338.00000107@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49824

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Brian, please be sure to ignore mine, too. I'd consider it a personal
>favor.

Just think, if we manage to all ignore each others' posts, we can all post monologues without fear of anyone telling us that we are wrong about something we say.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2011. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:08:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721090818.14338.00000108@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49825

From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net

>So are you saying that we are to just sit still while they continue to
>spread from
>10 meters to 33 MHZ, from 12 meters to 20 meters, and so on until they are
>knocking on the doors of every legitimate radio service in the land?

Of course that is not a good idea. Riley gave us all an excellent suggestion --
on this band, and all others, the best defense we have to protect our spectrum
against intruders is to make good and proper use of it. At least that is the
part of such protection that WE can personally do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2012. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:11:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721091141.14338.00000109@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49826

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Is it important to you to keep posting on things you don't remember?

Only when I see someone posting on something I believe he is misremembering,
Brian.

>Are you just trying to get your monthy totals up?

No, if I wanted to do that, I would post single-word responses to every post
someone else makes.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2013. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:12:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721091228.14338.00000110@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49827

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>No Ed, I posted it on each separate subthread as Dave claims that he doesn't
>read everything on here. I've said this before, so this should be a re-run
>for you. How many reruns would you like?

As I said, you have to have the last word. Prove me wrong...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2014. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:15:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721091510.02083.00000086@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 49828

In article <3D3A2B8F.2060908@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:
>>
>> JJ wrote:
>>
>>
>>>That is what I wonder what Larry would call someone like that. He
>>>seems to think he is such a high and mighty ham because he
>>>operates CW and anyone, like me, who doesn't is not a real ham.
>>>Using Larry's standards, I should consider myself to be a really
>>>real ham since I have homebrewed my own equipment.

Really real - luv it.

>>> His code
>>>ability he so brags about is child's play to homebrewing something
>>>like an SSB transmitter. The post was a dig at Larry in case you
>>>didn't catch it.

What about the ham who both homebrews and uses CW?

>> Now watch Larry come back and claim he has done all that and more.

>That some people will think they are superior for rather simple things is
>one of the mysteries of life, JJ.

Well, our society does encourage that sort of thinking. Note how pro athletes
and show business personalities are held in high regard by many simply because
they have some specialized skills.
>
>CW, being one small (but important) part of the Ham radio experience, is
>hardly the defining quality.

I'd say it's A defining quality, but not THE defining quality....
>
>You won't get any argument from me that we should all know cw, and be
>tested for it, but beyond that... so what?

Actually, what's most important is the USE of CW. What would be the point of
knowing it and testing for it if nobody used it? Fortunately, CW use on the ham
bands is widespread.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2015. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:16:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721091611.14338.00000112@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49829

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Why do you say he was provoked after he attacked me? Is that a double
>standard kind of thing?

No, because I don't believe he attacked you. He misunderstood your intent, and
you reacted with another obsession and then continued to try to goad him at
every opportunity.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2016. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:17:35 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721091735.14338.00000113@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49830

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Having the idea that any of this actually matters is what is irrational;

Do you believe your single non-sequitor posts of the word "waiver" to a number of posts that Dann made was rational?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2017. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:20:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721092032.14338.00000116@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49831

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>I can send code so badly that a No Code Tech with a computer wouldn't stand
>one chance in hell of copying it.

The ham using a computer to copy code can do the same thing I do when I run across poorly sent code that I am decoding manually -- I QSY as quickly as possible. Virtually all of the code I copy on the air is sent sufficiently accurately that I believe a computer could decode it. Why do you see this as as big a problem as you do?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2018. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:21:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721092159.14338.00000118@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49832

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>He answered the question. Not need to ask any more.

Do you think he answered it because he really wanted to, or because it was the easiest way to stop your bullying. That WAS the intent of the repeated single-word "Waiver" posts, was it not -- to bully him into answering your question?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2019. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 13:23:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721092354.14338.00000120@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 49833

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Larry, you'd think that people that like the code would want to send it
>"longhand" rather than "shorthand." Just that much more pleasure from it
>all.

Actually, that is not the case. You obviously enjoy posting on rrap. Do you type more slowly to increase your enjoyment?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2020. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110805.12089.00000207@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49841

In article <20020720130419.01260.00000299@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Hmmm. I go back at least that far myself, and a lot farther than that
>>on Fidonet. I guess I just don't remember what your screen identity used
>>to be before you became W1RFI@aol.com.
>
>More than likely ka1cv@arrl.org or ehare@arrl.org.
>
>I had no trouble at all finding my first post when I tried a google search.
>Would you like some help with that useful tool?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Now that you offer, yes, I would. I could not find any of my posts dating earlier than 1 Jan '97. Please help me find the first post I made on this newsgroup. It would have to be in the '91 or '92 range. When I plug in a date range from 1 Jan '91 to present, the earliest it returns are, as I said, in 1997. Maybe they only archive a finite number of postings from each author?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2021. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:06 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110806.12089.00000208@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49845

In article <20020720130700.01260.00000301@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>There hasn't been any point made, Ed, since my original comment to
>>Brian was just my typical tongue-in-cheek humor! If you haven't had the
>>mandatory funnybonectomy required for employment on the ARRL HQ
>>Staff, you would have understood that.
>
>Larry, my sense of humor is pretty well known. You have met me in person;
>did
>you find me humorless? Perhaps I just find your "joke" (good dodge) less
>funny
>than you do.
>
>In what other parts of your life do you find saying insulting things to
>others
>in front of groups of people to be amusing?

Ed:

Actually, that's something I pretty much reserve for Usenet, since I learned that particular behavior from the NCTA whiners who accused me of "ethnic cleansing," technical incompetence, not being "inclusive," etc. etc. as a result of expressing my opinion that code testing was a valuable licensing requirement. However, in real life, I have been known to tell people exactly which bus to take and where to get off! (Don't look now, but that was more humor, Ed, since I'm a bus driver -- a point that Len Anderson will be glad to drive home if you don't get it!)

OH lookee -- I made another funny -- "drive home" -- get it! I'm on a Roll tonight!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2022. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110807.12089.00000210@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49834

In article <j%j_8.84$s06.6263062@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Brian:
>>
>> Good for you. Why don't you get on the air with CW?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>I used to. No one there.

Brian:

Hint: You gotta use a radio.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2023. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110807.12089.00000211@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49835

In article <20020720001117.07756.00000054@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>> Not sure your exact meaning, but I can send a lot better than I
>recieve.
>>Would that make me a superior ham or an inferior one?
>
>The point is - did Larry have to pass sending tests? I did.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

That makes you a better ham than I am, Jim! How many points do I lose by admitting that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2024. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110807.12089.00000209@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49836

In article <%Yj_8.83$t06.6263900@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>
>Larry, you'd think that people that like the code would want to send it
>"longhand" rather than "shorthand." Just that much more pleasure from it
>all.

Brian:

I can type around 90 WPM, but trust me, if I could learn to use a reporting stenographer's keying machine, I'd definitely use it! Too bad it's not a licensing requirement...

73 de Larry, K3LT

2025. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:08 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110808.12089.00000212@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49838

In article <3D38F0C4.78B30D3F@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Better than anyone with a VE-tested Advanced, yes -- but that's as far
>> as it goes. As a VE-tested, Post-Restructuring Extra, you're in the
>> came category as the slime left behind by a garden snail.
>
>Well, this fits right in with your theory that ordinary people get
>dumbed-down when they obtain a Tech license. Good thing Einstein
>didn't ever get a Tech license, eh?

Cecil:

Right -- because as a ham radio operator, he'd be snail slime.
However, as a physicist, he'd still be Einstein. How inconvenient for
you that the two aren't one and the same!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2026. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:08 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110808.12089.00000213@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49844

In article <3D38D5B9.DC78738D@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> As an avid
>> electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
>> Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
>> in a couple of hours.
>
>Wow, you built a code practice oscillator.

Among other things -- LOTS of other things!

>I guess that is another
>one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham.

AM I a superior ham? Superior to whom? How would you know that?

>Have
>you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
>from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
>from aluminum by hand?

Nope, built two each HW-8's and HW-101's from Heathkits, plus a lot
of non-kitted projects out of books and magazines, but nothing involving
the metal shop work.

>I have.

Good. I'm impressed. Not got CW? Bzzzzzt! You're inferior to me
as a ham! You lose!

>Have you ever homebrewed an swr bridge, a watt meter,

Yes, yes.

>a phone patch,

No.

>a beam antenna, a linear amplifier?

Yes, yes.

>I have.

Good for you, I'm genuinely impressed.

>You have to do those things to be able to call
>yourself a real ham.

No, I don't. I'll call myself a Real Ham based on my own assessment of what makes a Real Ham -- which includes, as a prerequisite, a minimum of 13 WPM code proficiency and the ability to operate CW comfortably and competently on-the-air -- sending by hand and receiving by ear and copying in writing.

>Being able to send and receive Morse code is child's play.

I agree. Lots of 5 - 10 year olds have passed the 20 WPM code test. What's your excuse?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2027. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110809.12089.00000214@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49839

In article <20020720061634.03588.00000412@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
writes:

>
>>Two words: Sending test.
>
>Two more: Draw schematics.
>
>Two more: Essay answers.
>
>Clearly, by Larry's standards, there are other hams that he sees as better
>than
>he. In his mind, because they took a more difficult test, there is nothing
>he can ever do in ham radio to be better than they are.

I don't recall saying that, Ed. You're just trying to Cecilize me.

>A really limited way to view the world, I think.
>
>73.
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Yeah, the way you think does create a limited view of the world. I
prefer to think in a way that helps me overcome limitations.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2028. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110810.12089.00000216@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49842

In article <20020720100703.13297.00000054@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>>>Two words: Sending test.
>>
>>Two more: Draw schematics.
>>
>>Two more: Essay answers.
>
>Two more: Experience requirement
>>
>>Clearly, by Larry's standards, there are other hams that he sees as better
>>than he. In his mind, because they took a more difficult test, there is
>nothing
>>he can ever do in ham radio to be better than they are.
>
>Fun game, huh?
>>
>>A really limited way to view the world, I think.
>>
>All the tests do is set the starting point. Where a particular ham finishes,
>and what happens along the way, are another story.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim:

I'll always be the first to admit that there are hams that are worlds above me, especially in technical knowledge and skills. I am making comparisons mainly between myself and the code-free whiners who think that knowing how to solder a PL-259 onto a section of RG-8U, and being able to coordinate the action of their voices and a PTT switch, makes them a Real Ham. If they make their living as electronics engineers or technicians, then I'll certainly stipulate to their far superior technical skills -- but that doesn't mean that they surpass me (or you!) as a ham!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2029. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110810.12089.00000215@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49847

In article <20020720081048.01571.00000079@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>
>Did you have to pass sending and receiving tests, or just receiving tests?
>
>>Being able to send CW and copy it by ear are two
>>separate and entirely different things, from a testing standpoint.
>
>I agree 100%.
>
>>If all
>>I had to do was pass a sending test, I could have been an Amateur
>>Extra anytime I wanted. That was my problem. As an avid
>>electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
>>Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
>>in a couple of hours.
>
>At 20 wpm?

Jim:

At least. I had no difficulty sending cleanly at high speeds -- something
I attribute to my musical skills.

>>I give Zero points for having taken a sending test. It is genuinely and
>>truly irrelevant. If you can copy, you can usually send; however, it's
>>almost never vice-versa. I know that sending tests are used as an
>>"accommodation" for sob-sisters who claim some sort of phony
>>disability, but in reality, they're not testing a damn thing.
>
>I have found that knowing how to receive does NOT necessarily mean the
>ability to send.

I'll gladly stipulate to that fact, particularly in view of all the sloppy
sending that on the CW bands, even on the FISTS calling freqs!

>You happened to learn how to send first, that's all.
>
>You wrote:
>
>"I am a FCC Field Office-examined, 20 WPM code test passed, Amateur
>Extra-class, CW using, CW loving Real Ham. That's as good as it gets."
>
>Seems obvious that, by your own value scale, someone who had done all that
>AND

>passed sending and receiving tests in front of an FCC official would be just
>a
>tad "better".
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Right, Jim, they are. The difference between me and the code-free whiners is that I'll admit it when some ham is better than I am, and they'll just whine about it. I have nothing to lose by admitting that there are better hams than I out there, and nothing to gain by denying it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2030. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110811.12089.00000218@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49837

In article <XUj_8.81$Z_5.6175989@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
>> question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
>> dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
>
>Dick, what do you call an Advanced who comes on here and asks if CW can be
>used in the phone band?

Brian:

Seems like a perfectly reasonable question to me -- what kind of
an answer did you give him?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2031. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110811.12089.00000217@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49840

In article <3C7F2058.1E6F4308@att.net>, Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net> writes:

>> Wow, you built a code practice oscillator. I guess that is another
>> one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham. Have
>> you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
>> from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
>> from aluminum by hand? I have. Have you ever homebrewed an swr
>> bridge, a watt meter, a phone patch, a beam antenna, a linear
>> amplifier? I have. You have to do those things to be able to call
>> yourself a real ham. Being able to send and receive Morse code is
>> child's play.
>
> So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
>question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
>dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?

With ready-made cables, at that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2032. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110812.12089.00000219@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49843

In article <3D39B39D.460A709F@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> That is what I wonder what Larry would call someone like that. He
>> seems to think he is such a high and mighty ham because he
>> operates CW and anyone, like me, who doesn't is not a real ham.
>> Using Larry's standards, I should consider myself to be a really
>> real ham since I have homebrewed my own equipment. His code
>> ability he so brags about is child's play to homebrewing something
>> like an SSB transmitter. The post was a dig at Larry in case you
>> didn't catch it.
>
>Now watch Larry come back and claim he has done all that and more.

JJ:

I have "homebrewed" a lot of equipment -- both in my 20 years as a
ham and the preceding 15 years as a general-purpose electronics
hobbyist. I have also learned the Morse code and can operate CW at
a useful proficiency level.

BTW -- is this SSB transmitter your own design? If so, tell us more
about it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2033. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:08:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721110813.12089.00000220@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49846

In article <3D3A2B8F.2060908@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>>>That is what I wonder what Larry would call someone like that. He
>>>seems to think he is such a high and mighty ham because he
>>>operates CW and anyone, like me, who doesn't is not a real ham.
>>>Using Larry's standards, I should consider myself to be a really
>>>real ham since I have homebrewed my own equipment. His code
>>>ability he so brags about is child's play to homebrewing something
>>>like an SSB transmitter. The post was a dig at Larry in case you
>>>didn't catch it.
>>>
>>
>> Now watch Larry come back and claim he has done all that and more.

I have never homebrewed a SSB transmitter (of my own design, that is).
I have built a couple from construction articles, and several Heathkits --
do these count as "homebrewing?"

> That some people will think they are superior for rather simple things
is
>one of the mysteries of life, JJ.
>
> CW, being one small (but important) part of the Ham radio experience,
is
>hardly the defining quality.

Mike:

I'm glad to see that you consider CW important, but what would you
call the "defining quality" of the Ham Radio experience? It may surprise
you to know that I don't think this defining quality is mode-specific
at all. It's more the aggregate on-the-air experience using all modes,
combined with acquiring practical technical skills that permit the
individual ham to be as self-sufficient as possible in station setup
and operation. This means doing a lot of self-study, reading everything
one can get their hands on, really embracing the learning experience -
as opposed to depending on having all the information spoon-fed to
you by so-called "Elmers."

> You won't get any argument from me that we should all know cw, and be
>tested for it, but beyond that... so what?

Test at what level? 5 WPM? That doesn't test anything but basic
character recognition, at the very most. It is not a proficiency level
which lends one to being able to effectively handle traffic. The "so what,"

then, is that you are either not being exactly candid when you say you value CW, or you haven't learned to value the mode through gaining useful proficiency and having on-the-air operating experience using that mode.

> People like that GIVE cw a bad name.

That's the typical whine of people who have no personal operating experience upon which to base a true appreciation for the Morse/CW mode -- and it's value, or "defining quality" to the overall amateur radio "experience."

73 de Larry, K3LT

2034. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:33:20 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721113320.12089.00000221@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49848

In article <20020721091141.14338.00000109@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>Is it important to you to keep posting on things you don't remember?
>
>Only when I see someone posting on something I believe he is misremembering,
>Brian.
>
>>Are you just trying to get your monthy totals up?
>
>No, if I wanted to do that, I would post single-word responses to every post
>someone else makes.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Right.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2035. "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 15:33:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721113321.12089.00000222@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49849

In article <3d3a3364_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> All the tests do is set the starting point. Where a particular ham
>finishes,
>> and what happens along the way, are another story.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>I'd say more that where a particular ham finishes and what happens along the
>way, are truly the cornerstones of what ham radio is really all about.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

In that case, I hope nobody is using you as an example. I'm sure you
started out as a ham pretty much the same way anyone else would,
but you ended up taking the ARS "...one step closer to extinction." I
sure hope nobody *really* thinks that's what ham radio is all about."

73 de Larry, K3LT

2036. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 17:57:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3AD812.1D54325E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49850

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> I have never homebrewed a SSB transmitter (of my own design, that is).

Too bad, then you're not a real ham.

2037. ARNewsline 1301 - July 19 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 17:57:31 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721135731.29816.00000206@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49851

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1301 - July 19, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1301 with a release date of Friday, July 19th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

The United States takes the gold at W-R-T-C 2002 but ham radio growth in the U-S appears to be standing still. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1301 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**
RADIOSPORTS: N5TJ AND K1TO MAKE IT A THREE-PEAT

The Lakers did it in Los Angeles in basketball. Now the Radiosport team of Jeff Steinman, N5TJ, and Dan Street, K1TO have made it three in a row as they win the World Radiosports Team Championship games in Finland. Dave Bell, W6AQ, attended the games. He is just back and we asked him to describe the event:

--
Dave Bell W6AQ: “I got to go out with the camera and watch them in action. And I saw some of thje worlds best contesters and I realized how they win contests: Thst is, they stay focused totally on what it is that they are doing for 24 hours. And other than swap chairs with a co-pilot so that the co-pilot becomes the pilot, those guys are focusted totally on this contest. For me, it is a lesson on how to concentrate and, if you are really into it – how to win.”
--

N5TJ and K1TO operating as OJ3A fended off a very close challenge from Igor Booklan, RA3AUU, and Andrei Karpov, RV1AW, who claimed the silver. The Americans had a score of 1,626,900 to the Russians 1,620,760. A difference of only 6140 points. W6AQ says that regardless of the numbers, these operators are dedicated to the sport of radio:

--

Dave Bell W6AQ: “The two winners this year, Jeff Steinman, N5TJ, and Dan Street, K1TO, also won last year and they won the one before that. And it was not surprising to me, ehen I came to their shack and watched them operate.”

“When I showed up there, Dan Street was the second operator and he noticed me come in and he nodded. I brought the camera up and came right around in front of Jeff Steinman. I was basically between him and his co-pilot. In fact I was actually looking at his face and I am not sure to this day that he even knew I was there – so concentrated was on listening and talking.”

--

The German team made up of Frank Grossman, DL2CC, and Bernd Och, DL6FBL, finished third to claim the bronze with a score of 1,614,591. All of the scores are on the web at www.wrtc2002.org. No announcement yet as to the venue for the 2004 WRTC games. (ARNewsline ™)

**

HAM RADIO STATS: NO GROWTH

The numbers are in and the word is -- stagnant. That about sums up the growth in ham radio since the introduction of a restructured United States Amateur service April of 2000. Amateur Radio Newsline's Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, takes a close look at the numbers and what they mean:

--
The numbers come from the interpreter of the numbers. And W5YI Report Editor Fred Maia in Dallas Texas says that growth in ham radio is at a standstill.

Maia has been right on the money in his predictions over the years, and he looks back at the past two years in his newsletter. And in it, Fred says that there are just about the same number of Amateur Radio operators under FCC license now as there were in the spring of 2000. That's when the service was restructured.

In April of 2000 there were 678,539 holders of Amateur licensees in the United States and its possessions. In June of 2002 the number was 680,342. Taking into account attrition from people not renewing for any number of reasons, that's a net gain of only 1803 new hams over the past two years. Not allot, but there is a good reason. Keep listening.

Maia breaks the numbers down by license class. In April of 2000 there were 50,077 holders of Novice tickets. In June 2002 there were only 39,930. A loss to the license class of 10,147 but not necessarily gone from ham radio. Why? The numbers for General and Extra kind of tell the story. General is up by 27,037 and Extra by 20,282 since restructuring. Chances are that most of the new holders of General class are probably the results of upgrades by Novices and Technician's wanting a wider range of high frequency operating privileges. This is born out by looking at the numbers for the Tech license which is also down by about the amount General and Extra are up.

So its really been two years of ham radio musical chairs and that was not unexpected. It takes time for as massive a change as the restructuring of 2000 to settle in. It will be the next set of numbers a year from now in 2003 that will really tell the story.

Are we up or are we down? In 12 months we should have the answer.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.

--

The complete set of statistics can be found on pages 7 and 8 of the July 1st W5YI Report. (W5YI Report)

**

SPECTRUM: FCC PROPOSES ALLOCATION AND SERVICE RULES
FOR THE 71-76, 81-86, AND 92-95 GHZ BANDS

New rules may soon be on their way for a set of super high frequencies. David Black, KB4KCH has the details:

--

The FCC has proposed a Notice of Proposed Rule Making designed to promote commercial development and growth of the millimeter wave. This, in the spectrum in the 71-76, 81-86 and 92-95 GHz bands.

This millimeter wave band is also known as the Extremely High Frequency or E-H-F band. It refers to radio signals between 30 and 300 Gigahertz, where the wavelengths range between 1 and 10 millimeters. Research sponsored by the U.S. government has led to the verge of being able to use millimeter waves economically.

One advantage of using this frequency band is that the beams can be tightly collimated, easing channel sharing. Another important consideration is increased path loss due to oxygen and atmospheric absorption which can perhaps be viewed as a positive in terms of frequency reuse for wide dispersal applications such as high speed data transfer.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m David Black, KB4KCH.

--

If enacted, the new rules will be under Parts 15 and 101 of the Commission's Rules. (FCC, CGC Communicator)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the N7KSO repeater System serving all of Northwest Arizona.

(5 sec pause here)

**

HIGHER AMATEUR VANITY CALL SIGN FEE EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 9

The FCC has officially set $14.50 as the cost to apply for, renew or reinstate an Amateur Radio vanity call sign. According to the ARRL Letter, a Report and Order adopted July 3rd says that the new fee schedule will become effective for applications received on or after September 9th.

The current vanity fee is $12 for the 10-year license term. The FCC had proposed the new, higher fee in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking released March 27th aimed at setting all Fiscal Year 2002 fees. Again, the new higher fee ineffective on September 9th. (ARRL, FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC ACTS ON CALIFORNIA REPEATER INTERFERENCE ISSUE

A California ham appears to have decided to quit ham radio rather than fight allegations that he was the source of interference to a repeater. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement, Riley Hollingsworth, explains:

--
Riley Hollingsworth: Hollingsworth: “We opened an investigation of interference allegations against Steven Holt, KE6WSJ, of West Hills California. That matter involves interference to the WB6VBV repeater in Palmdale California. We have been contacted by Mr. Holt. He informed us that on the advice of his attorney, he was submitting his license for cancellation.” (RAIN, FCC)

--

ENFORCEMENT: FCC ACTS ON ANOTHER CALIFORNIA REPEATER INTERFERENCE ISSUE

But Holt is not the only individual involved in a California repeater related issue that the FCC is looking at. Another is Leobardo C. Coronado, KC6PXL, of Sun Valley California. Again, the FCC’s Riley Hollingsworth:

--
Hollingsworth: “Coronado is coordinated for operation on 145.120 Mhz but links to 224.160 Mhz on which there is a coordinated repeater receiving interference.”
--

Hollingsworth’s letter to Coronado said that the FCC had evidence that the repeater operator had taken no action to alleviate the problem even though he had been advised of the interference numerous times. The FCC gave Coronado 20 days from receipt of its letter to write back and advise the regulatory agency about the coordination status of his repeaters and what has been done regarding any complaints. (RAIN, FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC QUESTIONS CALIFORNIA CLUB CALL SIGNS

And in yet another California matter, the FCC has requested that Long Beach resident Richard Crow, KB6IAT, justify a pair of club call signs for which he is listed as trustee. The calls are WB6FCC for an organization identified as the Richard Burton Amateur Radio Club and WB6JAM for a club called Jammers for Jesus.

The FCC gave Crow thirty days from the date of its June letter to provide justification as to the need for each of these call signs. He was also directed to furnish the Commission with a list of the names, addresses and telephone numbers of the members of the two clubs. Also, the meeting times and dates within the past year, proposed meeting times and locations within the coming year, and copies of minutes, if any, taken at meetings within the past three months for each club. Crow was told that the FCC would cancel the two call signs if he did not file a satisfactory response. (FCC)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: WORLDCOM AND UU-NET

Even if WorldComm files for bankruptcy it will have little effect on the way the Intrernet operates. This even though the troubled financially strapped telecommunications giant controls a big chunk of it. Jim Damron, N8TMW and Jeff Clark, K8JAC, have more:

--
WorldComm officials say that even if the company goes under, the Internet will keep running. This, after market analysts predict WorldComm will file for bankruptcy following a major accounting scandal.

The telecom giant handles half of the Internet's U-S traffic through a subsidiary known as U-U- Net. Newly elected WorldCom C-E-O John Sidgmore says that U-U-Net -- will keep going no matter what happens to the WorldCom parent corporation.

According to Sidgmore, U-U-Net handles more than 50 percent of U-S Internet traffic. This includes about 70 percent of all e-mails sent within the United States and half of e-mails sent in the world. Thousands of companies and agencies in more than 100 countries rely on WorldCom for Internet access, including the United States Department of Defense and the U-S Department of State.

--

The bottom line. The Internet and your e-mail are safe even if WorldComm goes away. (Published news reports)

**

SWAP MEETS: HAM LICENSE A MUST FOR SELLERS AT TRW SWAPMEET

The TRW Radio Club passes along a reminder notice that every seller at its famed monthly Swapmeet must show a copy of his or her valid Amateur Radio license in order to receive a seller's permit. This restriction is for sellers only. Those coming to browse or make purchases are not subject to this rule. The T-R-W swap-meet takes place monthly in the Los Angeles California area but draws buyers and sellers nationwide. (TRW-ARC Via e-Mail)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: HAM RADIO AT THE SOAP BOX DERBY

Ham radio will be a part of the 65th running of the All-American Soap Box Derby. This, in Akron Ohio on Saturday July 27th. To commemorate the event, members of the Cayahoga Falls Amateur Radio Club will activate special events station W8D from 2000 to 2400 hours U-T-C from
July 22nd to the 26th. On the day of the race W8D will be on the air from 1200 to 2000 U-T-C. Look for this one on 7.275, 14.250, 21.275 and 28.340 MHz and Q-S-L to Post Office Box 14, Cayahoga Falls Ohio 44222. (Press release)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: FORT TUTHILL HAMFEST

And finally one not in Ohio. How about Flagstaff Arizona the weekend of July 26th, 27th and 28th. That’s when the Fort Tuthill Hamfest and Arizona State ARRL convention takes place at Flagstaff Airport oat exit 337 on Arizona State Highway 17. Aside from the usual hamfest fare, the Fort Tuthill gathering has something very special to offer. The clean air of the Arizona mountains and a truly breathtaking majestic nighttime sky. And less we forget, there is the famed Fort Tuthill Saturday evening Barbecue that makes people want to come back for more.

And less we forget, there will also be a wedding taking place at this years Fort Tuthill Hamfest. The groom is James Pastorfield, KB7TBT. The bride is Laura Lindstrom, KD7KYO. They met during an emergency in which the local ham community was involved. Not a ham at the time, Laura was assigned to ride in Jame's vehicle. The wedding is scheduled for 5 PM, Saturday, July 27th. They hope to honeymoon at the Grand Canyon. You can see lots more at www.acra-az.org on the world wide web. (ACRA, ARNewsline™)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

POST-IT NOTES CAUSE PROBLEMS

Warning: The effect of Post-It Notes on archived material is devastating. According to the Scripps Institution of Oceanography Library in La Jolla California the glue on Post-It notes eventually hardens and leaves a film that becomes acidic. This results in eventual discoloration and brittleness of the paper. In fact, Post-Its left for more than a month or two on already brittle paper such as old books can cause the paper to tear when the notes are removed. (CGC Communicator)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: LANCE BASS IN TRAINING FOR ISS VISIT

Will a teen heart-throb be the next tourist in space? Possibly as Russian space officials soften their previously tough line on the much-hyped plans for a commercial flight to the international space station this October by pop singer Lance Bass.

Bass has already undergone at least two medical examinations and is now doing a round of intensive training at Russian space facilities. Two weeks ago, the 23 year old member of the group N SYNC began training in Russia at the Star City Cosmonaut Center near Moscow. A few days later, Music Television News reported that as part of his training, Bass would be dropped into the Black Sea for an emergency-splashdown test. He was also to be left in a Russian forest without food and supplies. He must also become fluent in the Russian language,

spacecraft flight control systems and standard operations of the International Space Station as a pre-requisite to fly.

The decision by the Russian agency to explore the possibility of Bass' flight was based on the idea that the $20 million ride would have one or more corporate sponsors. So far there is no word as to whether or not Bass would be willing to get his ham license and operate the ham station on board the I-S-S. (Published reports)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: VE1QJ APPOINTED ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

Maurice Le Blanc, VE1QJ, has been appointed as an Assistant Director for Radio Amateurs of Canada’s Atlantic Region. Le Blanc lives in St. Basile, New Brunswick province and is retired from the Université de Moncton where he taught English as a second language. He is also fluent in French, English, Spanish and German and has been licensed as an amateur since 1950. (RAC)

**

INTERNATIONAL: UK CONTEST WINNERS ANNOUNCED

The UK results of the special Marconi Centenary Contest that took place in December last year have now been released. This was a 'one-off' event to commemorate the centenary of Marconi's first trans-Atlantic contact between Canada and Great Britain.

The overall U-K winner was Dave Cree, G3TBK, with a total of exactly 400 VE QSOs. The UK winner of the 100-watt section was GI0KVQ with 149 Canadian contacts, while G4ELZ made an excellent 110 VE QSO’s and took the QRP prize. (GB2RS)

**

HAM HELP NEEDED: QSL’S LOST AS LIGHTNING STRIKES

Back here in the U-S-A, Don Moss, NL7CO reports over the VHF Reflector that Scot Sharp, KD5OMJ, was away on vacation when his house was hit by lightening. Moss says that it appears as though it entered the house through the ham shack modem line.

As a result of the ensuing fire, KD5OMJ has lost all of his logs and QSL cards and he is asking hams who had a QSO with him, to please send him a new QSL. This, because without logs he is not able to determine who he has talked with. Both Sharp and Moss are residents of Lawton Oklahoma. (VHF Reflector)

**

DX

In D-X, word from Ron Moorefield, W8ILC, that he along with K8FL, K8LEE, N9NS, W8GEX and WA8NJR will be operating between 40 and 6 metres as C6AJR from Eleuthera, Bahamas, between the 24th and the 31st of July. Modes will be on SSB, CW and PSK31. The team will also be in the IOTA Contest operating as C6DX.

QSL is via N8QET. (W8ILC)

Also word that DL4FCH, will be active, as DL4FCH/P from Pellworm Island from the 20th of July to the 2nd of August. Operation will be mostly on CW, and will include the IOTA Contest. (RSGB)

And word that W2SGX has become a VUCC awards checker in the central New York area. Carl says over the VHF Reflector that he will be available to check cards for VUCC awards at the Fingerlakes Hamfest on Saturday August 3rd. The venue is the Tompkins County Airport in Ithaca, New York from 10:00 AM until 2:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time. (VHF Reflector)

**

SUPPORT FUND REPORT WITH ANDY JAREMA, N6TCQ

And finally this week, its time for another Support Fund report from Administrator Andy Jarema. This time N6TCQ is not asking for funds. Just some advice:

--

Hi. I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ, your Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund Administrator.

For the past several months, a number of you have written to us -- asking why we are not using on-line payments for Amateur Radio Newsline donations? Well, we have given it a lot of thought. And each time, we end up asking ourselves the same question: does the price tag to establish and maintain this service justify the additional cost?

No matter whose services we use, there are set-up and monthly usage fees for the secure e-commerce web server. And our bank will charge us 3 to 5 percent for every credit card transaction they process.

The answer is simple. Supporters would have to donate enough using this method to break even. Only after that could your generosity be put to work.

So for the next several weeks we'll be asking for your input. Would you use your credit card on-line to support ARNewsline?

Send your answer to newsline@arnewsline.org. If you are reading the Internet version, just click on the link shown. mailto: newsline@arnewsline.org.

Type "YES" in the subject line if you would use this service. If not, type "NO." Feel free to make any comments in the body of the e-mail. We'll tally the results and report to you in a future Amateur Radio Newsline report.

Remember, Amateur Radio Newsline is a 501(c)(3) corporation. Your donations are tax-deductable and your support is always appreciated.

That's it for this week. I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--

In case you missed those instructions you can find them in the print edition of this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline report. (ARNewsline™ Support Fund)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline™. Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline™, P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Roy Neal, K6DUE, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

**

TERMINAL BREAK

**

RAIN Promo Here

2038. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 17:58:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3AD862.A9E3C3D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49852

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3D39B39D.460A709F@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> That is what I wonder what Larry would call someone like that. He
> >> seems to think he is such a high and mighty ham because he
> >> operates CW and anyone, like me, who doesn't is not a real ham.
> >> Using Larry's standards, I should consider myself to be a really
> >> real ham since I have homebrewed my own equipment. His code
> >> ability he so brags about is child's play to homebrewing something
> >> like an SSB transmitter. The post was a dig at Larry in case you
> >> didn't catch it.
> >
> >Now watch Larry come back and claim he has done all that and more.
>
> JJ:
>
> I have "homebrewed" a lot of equipment -- both in my 20 years as a
> ham and the preceding 15 years as a general-purpose electronics
> hobbyist. I have also learned the Morse code and can operate CW at
> a useful proficiency level.
>
> BTW -- is this SSB transmitter your own design? If so, tell us more
> about it.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

See, told ya he would claim it did it and more.

2039. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 18:06:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3ADA1C.2AA15D7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49853

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3D38D5B9.DC78738D@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> As an avid
> >> electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
> >> Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
> >> in a couple of hours.
> >>
> >Wow, you built a code practice oscillator.
>
> Among other things -- LOTS of other things!
>
> >I guess that is another
> >one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham.
>
> AM I a superior ham? Superior to whom? How would you know that?

Slightly superior to a cber maybe.

>
> >Have
> >you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
> >from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
> >from aluminum by hand?
>
> Nope, built two each HW-8's and HW-101's from Heathkits, plus a lot
> of non-kitted projects out of books and magazines, but nothing involving
> the metal shop work.
>
> >I have.
>
> Good. I'm impressed. Not got CW? Bzzzzzt! You're inferior to me
> as a ham! You lose!

BZZZZT!! Yes I've got cw, still can do 13 plus even though I don't
use it on the air and been a ham since 1961. My first station as a
Novice was homebrew transmitter and modified surplus receiver. I'm
still superior to you. You lose!!

> >Have you ever homebrewed an swr bridge, a watt meter,
>
> Yes, yes.

>
> >a phone patch,
>
> No.
>
> >a beam antenna, a linear amplifier?
>
> Yes, yes.
>
> >I have.
>
> Good for you, I'm genuinely impressed.
>
> >You have to do those things to be able to call
> >yourself a real ham.
>
> No, I don't. I'll call myself a Real Ham based on my own assessment
> of what makes a Real Ham -- which includes, as a prerequisite, a
> minimum of 13 WPM code proficiency and the ability to operate CW
> comfortably and competently on-the-air -- sending by hand and
> receiving by ear and copying in writing.
>
> >Being able to send and receive Morse code is child's play.
>
> I agree. Lots of 5 - 10 year olds have passed the 20 WPM code
> test. What's your excuse?

Don't need an excuse, can still send and receive at 13+.

See, I said Larry would claim everything I did and more.

2040. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 19:00:40 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B04D8.12923000@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49854

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:
> >The point is - did Larry have to pass sending tests? I did.
>
> That makes you a better ham than I am, Jim! How many points do
> I lose by admitting that?

Hey, great! I also had to pass a sending test at the FCC.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2041. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 19:02:24 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B0540.10F42C2A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49855

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >Well, this fits right in with your theory that ordinary people get
> >dumbed-down when they obtain a Tech license. Good thing Einstein
> >didn't ever get a Tech license, eh?
>
> Right -- because as a ham radio operator, he'd be snail slime.
> However, as a physicist, he'd still be Einstein. How inconvenient for
> you that the two aren't one and the same!

So getting a Tech license would transform Albert Einstein into snail slime?
Sure it would, Larry. (Somebody get the net.)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2042. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 19:05:23 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B05F3.5AA5A9B7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49856

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Not got CW? Bzzzzzt! You're inferior to me as a ham! You lose!

No matter what else he has ever done within ham radio? Just shows
that you consider Morse Code more important than everything else
in ham radio combined. Would a nocode Tech saving ten human lives
be more important than Morse code skill, Larry?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2043. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 19:08:36 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B06B4.ED75FEAB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49857

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If they make their living as
> electronics engineers or technicians, then I'll certainly stipulate to
> their far superior technical skills -- but that doesn't mean that they
> surpass me (or you!) as a ham!

Please list specific qualifications and/or skills/deeds that it takes
for another ham to surpass you, Larry.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2044. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 19:16:47 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B089F.BE4BA101@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49858

JJ wrote:
> See, I said Larry would claim everything I did and more.

He can't claim a EE degree but he says that's irrelevant. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2045. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 19:40:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721154034.01571.00000137@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 49859

In article <20020721110807.12089.00000211@mb-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20020720001117.07756.00000054@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>> Not sure your exact meaning, but I can send a lot better than I
>>recieve.
>>>Would that make me a superior ham or an inferior one?
>>
>>The point is - did Larry have to pass sending tests? I did.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>That makes you a better ham than I am, Jim!

Well, there you have it.

> How many points do
>I lose by admitting that?
>
None.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2046. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 20:28:06 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B1956.CD63B121@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49860

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
>
> His plane is grounded... :-)

Then I'll take the bus......

2047. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 20:38:44 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B1BD4.A4C40004@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49861

>
> >
> >I have found that knowing how to receive does NOT necessarily mean the
> >ability to send.
>
> I'll gladly stipulate to that fact, particularly in view of all the sloppy
> sending that on the CW bands, even on the FISTS calling freqs!
>
>

Looks like the FCC agrees, as they dropped the sending test.
What they should test for is the ability to send using a bus horn.

:-)

2048. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 20:41:53 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B1C91.ABBA4707@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49862

>
> >
> >I'd say more that where a particular ham finishes and what happens along the
> >way, are truly the cornerstones of what ham radio is really all about.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> In that case, I hope nobody is using you as an example. I'm sure you
> started out as a ham pretty much the same way anyone else would,
> but you ended up taking the ARS "...one step closer to extinction." I
> sure hope nobody *really* thinks that's what ham radio is all about."

Aside from the silly callsign, I'd say that she is as a good example as
most hams are. What you don't want is one of those clowns on that
LA repeater or 14.313

2049. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:00:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <bfF_8.125105$JU3.57726975@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49863

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721090818.14338.00000108@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net
>
> >So are you saying that we are to just sit still while they continue to
> >spread from
> >10 meters to 33 MHZ, from 12 meters to 20 meters, and so on until they
are
> >knocking on the doors of every legitimate radio service in the land?
>
> Of course that is not a good idea. Riley gave us all an excellent
suggestion --
> on this band, and all others, the best defense we have to protect our
spectrum
> against intruders is to make good and proper use of it. At least that is
the
> part of such protection that WE can personally do.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

How about ARRL following up with another initiative on the heels of the
likely
successful (the way it sounds) bill to exempt hams from CC&Rs with some, or
one, of the following:

1: Asking Congress to restore funding to FCC to permit more stringent
enforce-
ment of out of band operators?

2. Amend the Local Enforcement Bill to have state DOT and cops inspect
truckers' radios at weight stations, or other stops and cite for illegal
radios.

3. Have FCC amend their rules to that these "imitation" 10 meter radios
that
we all know are targeted to CBers so that such radios having BOTH frequency
readouts AND channel markers, or "band" switches such that Customs can
and will interdict shipments of such radios and turn them back to the
shippers.

If SOME-thing isn't done, we will be hearing chicken banders on the 6 MHZ
HF aviation band eventually. These "militant" operators will tell you in a
heart-
beat that they are GOING to operate anyway, anyhow, and anyWHERE they

choose and FCC can't do a ****** thing about it. It is almost as if we are AFRAID to do anything. The truckers, at least the illegal radio users, will tell
you that THEY are the most important people in the world, everything you have
comes by truck and if anybody f**** with them, they would strike and shut everything down. Hey, let 'em strike. If I have to work for the privilege of using
ham frequencies, then those SOBs should NOT get away with just taking what they want.

Jerry
K4KWH

2050. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:12:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <KsF_8.448$O_4.26949675@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49864

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:%_o_8.70862$1q2.8370735@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:FRn_8.148$Ic7.10850484@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> > news:r8m_8.115697$JU3.53154850@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:cJj_8.70$uY5.6024421@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020720125722.01260.00000298@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> Or is your repeated single-word post "waiver" not intended to
> > > provoke?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >How was he provoked?
> > > > > >
> > > > > You answered a different question than the one I asked, Brian. Can
I
> > > take
> > > > it
> > > > > that there is a reason you are being evasive?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Why did you keep repeating the single word waiver in response to
his
> > > posts?
> > > > > >
> > > > > It is my opinion that you were trying to provoke him, and that
then
> > you
> > > > planned
> > > > > to cry "foul" if he said anything unkind. Is that true? If not,
> any
> > > > > explanation why your repeated single word had any value whatsoever
> > would
> > > > be
> > > > > most welcome.
> > > > > >
> > > > > 73,
> > > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI

> > > >
> > > > Why do you say he was provoked after he attacked me? Is that a
double
> > > > standard kind of thing?
> > >
> > > I did not attack the guy. Heck, I merely said that that I agreed with
> the
> > > old "physician's waiver" and that it was a compassionate thing to do.
> But
> > > for some uncomprehendable reason, Brian P Burke must have disagreed
with
> > my
> > > position and in his mind, construed it as an "attack", as per his
> > statement
> > > above. I do not understand; if I say that I agree with the Advanced
> > license
> > > class, will Mr. Burke also perceive that as an attack on his person,
> just
> > > because I may think it is a good license class? I don't know but there
> is
> > > nothing I can do about irrationality either so I just takes it as it
> > comes!
> > >
> > > 73 de KR4AJ
> >
> > That's right Dan, the only license class remaining that proves that one
> > learned "higher speed code" also has people with code waivers.
>
> This is not an accurate statement. It also may include extra class hams
who
> did not change their call signs when they upgraded. Or, it mat include
> extras who obtained advanced vanity call signs.

Its incredibley accurate. Your statement is irrelevant. The callsign
doesn't make the license class.

> So in the
> > big scheme of things, when your cronies are measuring who has the higher
> > speed code and who doesn't, you'll just have to ask if they have a
waiver.
>
> And the additional questions indicated above.

Enjoy.

> > Having the idea that any of this actually matters is what is irrational;
>
> I never asked anybody if they obtained a waiver. You asked me several
times,
> therefore it matters to you, not me. Using your own logic, you would be
the

> one who is acting irrational.

You overreacted. It matters not to me.

> > that and your over-reaction to my bringing it up.
>
> What over-reaction? As you say, you brought it up. I was merely trying to
> siphon some logic out of your curious behavior. I was unsuccessful at that
> but that's OK. I just takes it as it comes!

You took it, all right.

> On the other subject, did you have a falling out with a VEC who tested
using
> Farnsworth. Which VEC was that?

No. Why would you ask that?

2051. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:13:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <DtF_8.449$H25.27195607@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49865

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721091611.14338.00000112@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Why do you say he was provoked after he attacked me? Is that a double
> >standard kind of thing?
>
> No, because I don't believe he attacked you. He misunderstood your
intent, and
> you reacted with another obsession and then continued to try to goad him
at
> every opportunity.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

OIC. Everything turned out OK after he stopped spassing.

2052. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:21:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2BF_8.451$G55.27379983@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49866

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721092159.14338.00000118@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >He answered the question. Not need to ask any more.
>
> Do you think he answered it because he really wanted to, or because it was
the
> easiest way to stop your bullying. That WAS the intent of the repeated
> single-word "Waiver" posts, was it not -- to bully him into answering your
> question?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

How about when Jim kept asking about my recent Novice subband experiences? I didn't see you having a problem with that, now did I? And I didn't answer the question, did I? That must be one of those double standard kind of things.

Some of us are not so weak. We say what is right, regardless of how "unpopular" it is with the Extra types. We don't knuckle under to some irrelevant questioning just because it is asked.

FWIW, Dan could have lied. But since the matter of a waiver isn't really important to me, I could care less.

2053. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:22:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1CF_8.453$p55.27362372@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49867

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D3B0540.10F42C2A@hotmail.com...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> > >Well, this fits right in with your theory that ordinary people get
> > >dumbed-down when they obtain a Tech license. Good thing Einstein
> > >didn't ever get a Tech license, eh?
> >
> > Right -- because as a ham radio operator, he'd be snail slime.
> > However, as a physicist, he'd still be Einstein. How inconvenient for
> > you that the two aren't one and the same!
>
> So getting a Tech license would transform Albert Einstein into snail
slime?
> Sure it would, Larry. (Somebody get the net.)
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

A net? What about a shovel?

2054. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:23:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iDF_8.454$865.27410622@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49868

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020721110811.12089.00000218@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <XUj_8.81$Z_5.6175989@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> >> question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build
a
> >> dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
> >
> >Dick, what do you call an Advanced who comes on here and asks if CW can
be
> >used in the phone band?
>
> Brian:
>
> Seems like a perfectly reasonable question to me -- what kind of
> an answer did you give him?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I paraphrased the answer I thought you'd give him if he were a NCT.

2055. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:30:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NJF_8.457$D85.27562083@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49870

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721092354.14338.00000120@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Larry, you'd think that people that like the code would want to send it
> >"longhand" rather than "shorthand." Just that much more pleasure from it
> >all.
>
> Actually, that is not the case. You obviously enjoy posting on rrap. Do
you
> type more slowly to increase your enjoyment?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Abbreviations, Ed, abbreviations.

I just don't know why the codesters want to abbreviate everything so much if it is so much fun. I mean when I go to the park and ride the roller coaster, I don't ask for a shorter ride.

2056. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:30:32 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gzm3d8a.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 49869

On Sun, 21 Jul 2002 17:00:49 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>1: Asking Congress to restore funding to FCC to permit more stringent
>enforce-ment of out of band operators?

We've been asking for this for more than 15 years. It finds a deaf
war at the Commission level, and naturally The Congress isn't interested
if the agency isn't.
>
>2. Amend the Local Enforcement Bill to have state DOT and cops inspect
>truckers' radios at weight stations, or other stops and cite for illegal
>radios.

Local law enforcment people have neither the training nor the expertise
to be able to identify such.

>3. Have FCC amend their rules to that these "imitation" 10 meter
>radios that we all know are targeted to CBers so that such radios
>having BOTH frequency readouts AND channel markers, or "band" switches
>such that Customs can and will interdict shipments of such radios and
>turn them back to the shippers.

Radio transmitters/transceivers that are not FCC-certified are
already illegal to import, and The Customs Service has both the
authority and the training to seize them whenever they are found.
If there is any question, the shipment is held for FCC inspection.

Those that make it into the country are smuggled goods, no matter
how numerous or popular. Most of them get in by being mis-declated.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2057. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:31:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CKF_8.458$c75.27479314@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49871

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020721110807.12089.00000209@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <%Yj_8.83$t06.6263900@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Larry, you'd think that people that like the code would want to send it
> >"longhand" rather than "shorthand." Just that much more pleasure from it
> >all.
>
> Brian:
>
> I can type around 90 WPM, but trust me, if I could learn to use a
> reporting stenographer's keying machine, I'd definitely use it! Too
> bad it's not a licensing requirement...
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Stenographers machine? All you got to do is use a keyboard.

2058. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:32:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2MF_8.460$O95.27638836@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49872

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020721110806.12089.00000208@mb-fc.aol.com...

> OH lookee -- I made another funny -- "drive home" -- get it! I'm on a
> Roll tonight!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I thought you went to school for "human resources?"

2059. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:33:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BMF_8.461$W75.27526409@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49873

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020721110807.12089.00000210@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <j%j_8.84$s06.6263062@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Brian:
> >>
> >> Good for you. Why don't you get on the air with CW?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >I used to. No one there.
>
> Brian:
>
> Hint: You gotta use a radio.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Darn, I'll have to put the magic carpet away.

2060. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:35:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cOF_8.463$S95.27642991@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49874

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721091141.14338.00000109@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Is it important to you to keep posting on things you don't remember?
>
> Only when I see someone posting on something I believe he is
misremembering,
> Brian.

But you are the one saying that you don't remember.

2061. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:35:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0PF_8.464$F75.27508753@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49875

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020721113320.12089.00000221@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <20020721091141.14338.00000109@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>Is it important to you to keep posting on things you don't remember?
> >
> >Only when I see someone posting on something I believe he is
misremembering,
> >Brian.
> >
> >>Are you just trying to get your monthy totals up?
> >
> >No, if I wanted to do that, I would post single-word responses to every
post
> >someone else makes.
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Right.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Ditto that "right" and raise you two "ROTFLMFAO."

2062. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:37:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8QF_8.465$cX4.26746940@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49876

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721090557.14338.00000107@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Brian, please be sure to ignore mine, too. I'd consider it a personal
> >favor.
>
> Just think, if we manage to all ignore each others' posts, we can all post
> monologues without fear of anyone telling us that we are wrong about
something
> we say.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Oh God, that sounds too much like 75M.

2063. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 21:39:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8SF_8.466$n45.27304037@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49877

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721085213.13297.00000085@mb-fy.aol.com...
> From the ARRL letter:
>
> Addressing Board members, guests and ARRL staff members July 18
> prior to the formal Board session, FCC Special Counsel for
> Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth said that while enforcement will be
> ''maintaining the course,'' he's hoping by year's end to mount ''a more
> aggressive stance'' against 10-meter incursions by unlicensed
> individuals. He said that using 10-meters was among the best
> defenses against intruders. Hollingsworth also met with ARRL staff
> members July 19 to discuss specific areas of concern and
> cooperation.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Did he say anything that might get Larry to a) tolerate, b) accept, c)
embrace, or d) join Ten-Ten International?

2064. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 22:07:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721180701.05192.00000053@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 49878

In article <3D3B04D8.12923000@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:
>> >The point is - did Larry have to pass sending tests? I did.
>>
>> That makes you a better ham than I am, Jim! How many points do
>> I lose by admitting that?
>
>Hey, great! I also had to pass a sending test at the FCC.

Sure - for 5 wpm.

I had to do 13 and 20 wpm with straight key for the FCC.

(See how it feels, Cecil?)

Seriously, tho, I'd rather read about JJ's homebrew rigs.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2065. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-21 22:13:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D3B5C35.4020207@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49879

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Abbreviations, Ed, abbreviations.
>
> I just don't know why the codesters want to abbreviate everything so much if
> it is so much fun. I mean when I go to the park and ride the roller
> coaster, I don't ask for a shorter ride.

Brian, you just hit on one of the biggest deterrents to my learning the code on air, from real hams. I would copy the code, but none of it made much sense to me. There were so many abbreviations that I had no idea if I was correct or not! beyond the callsigns, which of course I could make a mistake on and not know it, the body of the message was pretty indecipherable, especially with the multiple abbreviations for a single word.

Later I got some of the abbreviations from the practice tapes, but there were still plenty more that I didn't know.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2066. Re: KV4FZ RETURNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 00:36:48 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3d3b535a.65436882@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 49880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 18 Jul 2002 14:06:22 -0400, "BARF HQ"
<BARFHQ@VirginIslandsJammingHQ.net> wrote:

> LET THE RADIO WARS BEGIN !!!!!!
>
>
really?

how'd that happen?

To find out who 'wf3h' is, go to 'qrz.com'
and enter 'wf3h' in the field.

lat. 40 41.288N
long. 75 32.177W

I've always wanted to procrastinate...but
I never got around to it...

2067. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 01:41:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <YoJ_8.9366$Qk6.7042@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49881

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote

> Ed:
>
> I could not find any of my posts
> dating earlier than 1 Jan '97. Please help me find the first post I
> made on this newsgroup.

The earliest one I can find was from Larry.Roll@ssalpha.com dated 1994-09-01
03:23:52 PST, on the subject of "Tandy's Proposed Family Radio Service".
You were in favor, and your "signature line" said "... Amateur Radio
Operators have Extra Class!"

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

2068. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:48:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D3B7257.9C83F74A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49882

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D34D7B5.F644CCB7@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020715235020.01458.00000100@mb-bk.aol.com...
> > > >
> > > > Apparently,
> > > > > Heil's amateur radio station in that country was then the ONLY
> > > > > amateur station there; with a truly rare callsign issued (as a
> > > > > compliment to an embassy employee?) he would be much in
> > > > > demand as "DX" for the rest of the world. That probably was
> > > > > very emotionally rewarding for him. It may have led to some
> > > > > other emotional problems with logic and thinking he was truly
> > > > > superior instead of just the rare-call individual.
> > > >
> > > > In the interest of "accuracy," its important to note that others with
> > > > multiple DX calls cannot know much about DX.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, Please!
> > >
> > > It is quite possible to obtain a license to operate without possessing
> > > the necessary skills required to be a DXer, Brian. Ragchewing with a
> > > "G" or an "EA" isn't DXing.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Depends on where you're at.

I beg to differ.

> And once the ragchew ends, the buzzing in the
> speaker starts.

Fix the speaker. Better yet--use cans.

Dave K8MN

2069. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:51:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225145.06693.00000221@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49887

In article <20020719001057.13297.00000026@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>>>No. TM 11-690 is "Theory and Application of Transistors," a
>>>>technical manual or "TM" published by the US Army.
>>>>It described
>>>>the theory and application of transistors. Further, it used the
>>>>standard symbolism of the transistor whereas many textbooks
>>>>of the 50s and some of the 60s used a non-standard symbolism.
>>>
>>>Yeah, I know. I had one. Still around somewhere.
>>
>>Ah, but did you LEARN anything from it? :-)
>
>A little. Most of it I knew already.

The TM came out BEFORE YOU WERE BORN and "you knew it already?!?!?"

:-)

>>>Doesn't answer the question though - was RCA behind the times by not
>>>including transistors in the "Radiotron"?
>>
>>Which "RCA" are you talking about? RCA-Montreal? RCA-Camden?
>
>RCA the company.

Was that a little tiny company? :-)

RCA Corporation was incorporated in the USA. They maintained controlling interest and had foreign patent sharing with non-USA RCA corporations. Montreal is in Canada. F. Langford Smith was a long-time employee of RCA in Australia.

>>>It's clear you're avoiding the simple truth that, in fact, the transmitters
>>>were designed, built and installed by others at taxpayer's expense. And a
>>>whole
>>>team kept them going. You were part of that team - and not the leader of it.
>>>
>>>Is any of the above not true?
>>
>>Not true.
>
>Which parts?
>

>>"Trick Chiefs" (shift supervisors, as I was as an E-5) were the
>>leaders on swing and midnight shifts.
>
>But not the overall leaders.

Heh heh heh...the "overall leader" was the President of the United States at the time. Was he supposed to "lead" radio operations?

I think you've taken Extreme Literalism to new heights! Congratulaions!

>> Since all teams worked all
>>shifts, shift supervisors were leaders 2/3 of the time.
>
> When on duty.

Which were ANY TIME our warm bodies were wanted on the job, to a maximum of 48 hours with only a mandatory 4-hour sleep period (unified medical requirement, all services at that time). :-)

>>At ADA we also installed some of the transmitters.
>
>But you did not build them, design them, or pay for them.

That's true since most of them were built during or before WW2. Neither did we "build, design, or pay" for the VHF and UHF radio relay equipment (later supplanted by GE commercial microwave radio relay equipment). Neither did we "build,design, or pay" for the transmitter buildings, the antennas covering a small ex-airfield, the receiver site shared with the USAF with more antennas there than most small communities had total, nor the teleprinter equipment (over 200 p-tape T-Ds), nor the emergency power generators, nor the trucks and busses used to get to and from, nor the uniforms nor the meals nor the personal weaponry issued to us.

>>Considering that
>>there were 43 HF transmitters there at the beginning of 1956, we
>>couldn't have all "built them," could we?
>
>Perhaps you could have. All depends on the available resources.

Those filled the (approximate) 160-foot length of the Kashiwa site transmitter building, on both sides. [how's YOUR experience in "designing, building, or paying for" 40 KW linear amplifiers? :-)]

Primary power load demand was around 480 KW. I don't think you could afford the three-phase copper bus bars in the primary conduit on each wall...or the 600 KW motor-generators that powered all of the Kashiwa site.

How about the 4 six-rack, 360+ tube, 1.8 GHz microwave radio
relay equipment at Kashiwa? Or the 9 microwave radio relay sets
also by GE at Chuo Kogyo or the 3 at receivers or the 3 at
Pershing Heights? No problem for you, right? Just a matter of
"applying the same principles." :-)

>>Could you or have you
>>built a 1 KW transmitter?
>> A 5 KW transmitter?
>
>I'm sure I could build those.

Oh, YES, you could...and have it be RELIABLE in 24/7 operation!

But, you HAVEN'T done so, have you? :-)

>>A 15 KW
>>transmitter? A 50 KW transmitter that was used as a 40 KW
>>output linear amplifier for SSB?
>
>Probably. All depends on the requirements and resources available. The
>principles are the same.

It also depends on far off the deep end you go in being foolish
on what you "could do" using the "same principles." :-)

>I'm neither poor nor a baby.

Poor baby.

>Standards and practices that work need not be changed unless something better
>comes along. If it's not broke...

Some don't realize that SOMETHING BETTER HAS COME ALONG.

Some don't realize that 70-year-old standards and practices
are getting old, careworn, obsolete.

>>How do you wish to be addressed?
>
>Call sign or first name. Like practically everyone else in this ng.

Are you sure you don't want "Sir" or "Your Excellency" or
something noble like that? Maybe "Your Worship?"

How about your street number? The USPS thinks that is a good
address...

>>The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver."
>
>The meaning of the word in amateur radio use includes more than that. Like
>using the same variable oscillator system for both transmit and receive
>frequency control.

Wow! High-tech...for around the time you were born...became rather
standard after a few years.

>>>Not patentable - it was obvious to anyone skilled in the art. I wrote it up
but
>>>a few months later a similar design appeared in QST. Author was W1DBM - Phil
>>>Rand. Great minds think alike..
>>
>>I'm sure "great minds" think highly of themselves... :-)
>
>Why not? In some ways my desin was better than Phil's.

Well, then, by your own admission you are a better desiner than Phil.
:-)

>>Transmitter frequency generation by "methods other than multiplication"
>>has been done for years in commercial or military radios.
>
>Did you invent it? I think not.

The word I wrote was plural..."methods." You should have written
"them" instead of "it" in your nastygram. :-)

Oh, must I have a patent or many patents to be acknowledged
some kind of "technical" person? K0HB has already judged and
juried my single patent as "unsuitable for amateur radio." :-)

>>One of the
>>first commercial uses was in the civil aircraft radio band 108 to 137 MHz
>>beginning with mixing two selectable-crystal oscillators to achieve a
>>"channel" tuning.
>
>So? Amateur radio HF equipment is not channelized.

No? :-)

You don't tweak the knobs or push the buttons on the above-30-
MHz ham stuff then. :-)

You aren't familiar with the incremental tuning of modern ham
transceivers, either. :-)

Most of those are in 10 Hz increments, rather small "channels"
but incremental fixed frequencies all of them. :-)

>>The term "heterodyne transmitter" seems to be
>>common only to VERY old ham radio literature... :-)
>
>You ever design and build an amateur radio heterodyne transmitter?

No. I've never wanted to interfere with amateur radio communications.
:-)

>>Wrong year. I mention 1953, specifically late February of that year, to
>>illustrate a point about HF radio communications in the US military.
>
>What point?

Your head is the point at this point. :-)

>>In 1948 the US military decided to eliminate morse codings in long-
>>haul HF communications, all branches. They did.
>
>They decided in 1948?
>
>Do you have some proof of this decision?

Yes. Do you have a clearance and a "need to know?" :-)

YOU are the "historian" (claimed) in here. YOU go research the
subject. I know because I've seen the military reports and TMs
back around when the doc was writing up your birth certificate.

>When did they finally eliminate HF Morse in all long haul HF military radio
>communications?

In fixed-point to fixed-point about 1950. In ship to ship or ship to
shore it was some time in the 1960s.

>And what does that have to do with amateur radio?

If amateur radio is "really" a SERVICE, then it ought to emulate
the other services such as the military service. :-)

>Do you know what a premixer is?

Yes, if you want to talk about audio recording or concrete
manufacture. :-)

That isn't a subject for this newsgroup, is it?

2070. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:51:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49889

In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>How do you know? "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range. Do you
>know how to program a PLC?

The most used acronym "PLC" is part of a corporate signature denoting
its "liability." I don't think you meant that since you don't have a legal
or business degree. :-)

If you mean Programmable Logic Controller for "PLC," then no. I'm not
into control of big electrical motors or other industrial process machinery.

I've learned and become accomplished on several programmable
calculators and computers and know enough, but have not actually,
programmed a PLA (Programmable Logic Array...had someone else
do that). Why do you ask (as if readers didn't know...)?

>But not everything. "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range.
>
>There are undoubtedly areas of "radio and electronics" where you have more
>experience than I. Same for Cecil. And there are also areas where I have more
>experience than either of you - or both of you put together.

How can readers "know" this? Your only "expertise" is limited to your
own claims and a write-up in the "Electric Radio" specialty mail-only
periodical.

>So you claim. But you have very little experience in amateur radio. You have
>no experience whatsoever as an amateur radio operator.

So, you do not accept "third-party" "operators" communicating over
amateur radio under control of a licensed amateur? :-)

Are amateur radio transmissions encrypted or otherwise made to
deceive or change meanings of communications? I think you'd find
THAT a lot more illegal...but I've not been illegal in radio operation in
any radio service, ever.

Define "experience in amateur radio." Does that mean crawling inside
the head of each amateur and observing "operation" through their ears
and eyes, experiencing their unique reactions to communications?
That would be clinically interesting to a psychiatrist, I'm sure, if not a
bit revolting. Never happen. Amateur radio experience is as unique as
the individual licensees.

Has Jimmie done moonbounce? [correct ham jargon is "EME"] I have
not done that. I've only communicated with a station ON the moon.

Has Jimmie ever had communication with a station on the moon?

Has Jimmie ever been on ham bands in the microwave region or communicated above the 70 cm ham band? I have both communicated and transmitted RF legally on non-ham-occupied radio frequencies from VLF on up to about 24 GHz.

There are many activities in amateur radio which Jimmie was NOT engaged yet has been written up in the amateur periodicals. Why hasn't Jimmie done all those things to become "experienced?"

I've been emitting RF legally since 1953, obtained a FIRST class radiotelephone operator's license in 1956 (one test sitting). Why do you wish that to be "not applicable?" Are you so brainwashed or into the fantasy of the "lodge-hall fairyland" that you think there is some vast gulf between operating (legally) an HF SSB transceiver on a private boat is "different" than an HF SSB transceiver on ham bands?

>> I've been
>>fortunate to start out my radio experience in the Big Leagues of
>>HF communications some time ago.
>
>"Big Leagues"? So you keep telling us.

I have a long-licensed radio amateur as a reference...we were in the same "big league" HF station at the same time in Army service. That station had 36 (in 1953) growing to 43 transmitters (by 1956) with power outputs of 1 KW to 40 KW. The monthly message transmission was over 200K. In 1955 the transmitter antenna field occupied the entirety of a small airfield. It took a Battalion to staff everything. I'd say it was the "big leagues." :-)

>No, it isn't amateur radio. The resources and goals of different radio
>services are very different.

My, but aren't you observant! :-)

When I began in those "big leagues" there was also a requirement to be able to "close with and destroy the enemy." The 1952 to 1956 period was not a "safe" time since the USSR had The Bomb, the French had lost their colony called "Indochina" and the Cold War was very hot, indeed.

Nowadays there is a "cold war" where the PCTAs are desperate to find some outlet for their aggressions and looking to "destroy anyone who seems threatening" to their "service" by any means possible. The PCTAs are now down to guerilla tactics...but those resemble gorilla tactics more and more.

>>However, radio physics are the same regardless
>>of man-made rules on what is professional and what is amateur.
>

>Physics is only one aspect of radio, however. Radio policy is not determined
>solely by radio physics.

Tell that to the FCC. :-)

>>Amateur radio is a hobby.
>
>Actually, it's more of a sport.

Of course you sit around in a track suit or equivalent, sweating out
point scores from the "judges," bravely lifting the weights off you
paddles. Yes, a "sport" like chess or checkers or bridge is a
"sport." :-)

According to your esteemed and steamed buddy, Sgt Putz,
amateur radio is supposed to be a SERVICE all done up in
capital letters, a vast almost-paramilitary group of "minutemen"
ready to "fight wars" (of their own imagining), right "wrongs"
(damnable humans who think they can get on HF without a
"proper" license), and "save the world from evil" by holding
dearly to the standards and practices of When They Were
Young. :-)

>Legally, adherence to FCC rules is all that is required.

Why isn't that enough? Oh...you will justify "more" as follows-

>However, the amateur
>radio service is enhanced by voluntary adherence to good operating and
>technical standards.

How do you mean? See Part 97 for "good operating and technical
standards." Or do you refer to the "Amateur's Code" for all those
Maxims?

>Such as using the standard phonetics.

I was using "standard phonetics" in 1955...when the US military
converted to the NATO Phonetic Alphabet, which was quickly
adopted by the ICAO the same year. Not a problem. Minor
memorizing and being careful not to use the old set. :-)

>Are you against amateurs having standards?

No. Why are you so insistent on the standards and practices
of 70 years ago in amateur radio?

>>>I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>>
>>Sure you do...you use it implicitly all the time.
>
>Do you have the ability to mindread?

Absolutely not...but then my human interaction does not involve
totally on a monotonic on-off representation of an alphabet and

numbers.

For someone that CAN mindread, see Ed Hare's postings in
chiding Brian Burke on his alleged "bullying" of poor distraught
Dan Finn. Ed KNEW what "Dan meant" and what Brian "was
'really' doing." [you won't need to consult Google for archives on
that...:-)]

I recall a Jimmie Miccolis who KNEW what the "FCC meant" on
the code test issue and KNEW exactly what the "FCC was trying
to do" on some past NPRMs and R&Os. [one does need Google
for those archives but they weren't before 1997...:-)]

>>You have a rather rigid adherence to amateur methods,
>>standard, practices, jargon, etc., all "specified" by the ARRL.
>
>How do you know? Have you ever heard me on the air? Cecil has.

All the readers have "heard your air" in here, countless PCTA
boosting words of olde tyme hammism and morse code uber
alles. Not a problem. We don't need telepathy to understand
what you mean.

>>Or didn't you follow the "proper" behavior and all the "in" things
>>as they were proscribed for you in the ARRL publications? :-)
>
>I did what interested me.

Judging from your voluminous output in here you are very insistent
on OTHERS doing "what interests" YOU. :-)

>"N2EY's OPINIONS are challenged as being invalid."
>
>How can an opinion be "invalid"?

Because you claim you "know what is best for ham radio" in the
insistence of everyone else doing as you did... :-)

>You'd be mistaken, of course.

Tsk, tsk, hypocrisy raises its ugly head in reinforces the double
standard. Your opinion cannot be "invalid" yet another's different
opinion is "mistaken." :-)

>>I find you opinions to be nearly the same as what the ARRL
>>writes as "good and proper" for all radio amateurs to follow. :-)
>
>Ever think that perhaps they are good and considered opinions? Does being from
>the ARRL automatically discredit something?

Ever think that your opinions are old, outdated, and belong to a
minority segment? Does being agreeable with the ARRL
automatically indicate "you know what is best?" :-)

>>I find that your opinions are simply mirroring what has been
>>proscribed to you with very little originality or evidence that
>>they really are your own "opinions." For that reason I find them
>>INVALID as your personal opinion.
>
>Then you are mistaken.

Of course. :-) You don't get praise so you try to damn all those
who have differing opinions. Evident.

>>Where am I stating saying "follow my direction, I am right?"
>
>You hold up your age as evidence of being right.

No. EXPERIENCE. Experience in a lot of radio services as both
military member and civilian. Experience in seeing how large-scale
decisions were reached and their justifications, all for a variety of
communications that seems to be foreign for your thinking of ham
radio.

>You've held up your age as evidence of being right. You use your claimed
>experience in nonamateur radio as somehow qualifying you to pass judgement on
>the amateur radio rules, methods and practices.

I don't use JUST my age, but decades of observation of INDIVIDUALS
within amateur radio and whether or not they used a mask of altruism
over their personal desires versus those who actually looked towards
the good of the whole.

>When people disagree, you make
>fun of their names, jobs, and other characteristics. Why not just discuss the
>issues?

I would LOVE to get back to issues and subjects. However, there are
so many hams who are only interested in showing their "qualifications"
in "knowing what is best," demeaning anyone who disagrees with them,
and generally making fun of anyone who does not parrot the party line
of the long-timers.

>You also ridicule the accomplishments of those who disagree with you.

Poor baby, suffering from "double standard syndrome" still?

You have discounted, demeaned, tried to discredit my experience in
radio that goes farther, extends for a longer time than your own total
life experience. Sorry, but you cannot hold yourself up as anything
"more superior" in radio other than your morsemanship...but you
insist on being the "superior" and get all upset when someone
doesn't bow down to you or similar persons who claim to "know
what is best" for just about anything.

>No one is required to be a member of ARRL in order to be a radio amateur.

True, over three-fourths of all US radio amateurs are NOT members.

I don't know your "point" here and, evidently, you cannot under-
stand why that majority of radio amateurs are NOT members. :-)

>I don't say that. I simply relate my own experiences, opinions, and facts.

If you want such things accepted about yourself, you have to
accept others' experiences, opinions, and facts. You don't
seem to want that, preferring to have it YOUR way or everyone
to the highway.

>Rather than treat me as an individual, you lump me in with all other
>procodetest people, as if we are all the same.

You PCTAs are individual but seem to be the SAME on the
"reasons" for keeping the code test. That's "lumpy" and you
are stuck with being lumped. Learn to like your lumps.

>ANYONE who has an opinion about amateur radio policy, methods or practices
>claims to "know what is best for amateur radio". For example, Carl Stevenson,
>Executive Director of NCI, thinks that total elimination of code testing is
>best for amateur radio. He KNOWS it is.

Incorrect. Carl is of that opinion as are all the members of NCI,
licensed or not, US nationals or citizens of non-USA countries.

What you fail to understand (or don't WANT to understand) is that
many members of NCI are already "vetted" in morsemanship (have
taken tests proving their ability) but are against the code test as a
REQUIREMENT FOR LICENSING. They aren't against USE of
morse in amateur radio activities, just against the code TEST.

The PCTAs seem united in their major opinion for keeping the
test and that is all tied up in "they had to do it so everyone else
has to do it too." Secondarily, their reasons are traditional in
that the code test has never been absent in licensing, therefore
"it should always remain." :-)

>Is that bad? Lots of people do the same thing here. Or is it only bad because
>I'm procodetest and procode, and you are not?

Removal of the code test for a license will remove the single
thing that you and other PCTAs use as an "award billboard" to
"prove your superiority," morsemanship. :-)

When that is gone all you will have is your insistence to being
"superior" is a long time spent using morse code. In other words,
you will turn into another Larry Roll (don't let the wheelchair hit
you in the tire on your way out). :-)

>Do you know what a premixer is?

Yes, whether the term is used in audio recording, chemical
preparation (such as making Portland cement), or amateur radio
where it is a not-often used term.

Audio pre-mixing refers to most of the equipment used in
sound pickup before being applied to the master mixing console
controls in a recording studio. That's a widespread term of about
two decades. Chemical preparation pre-mixing can cover many
dry material products. I heard of it first back in 1960 when Ramo
Wooldridge Corporation sold their first minicomputer to a cement
plant...RW had it in their promotional literature on computers.

I'm not absolutely positive about ham radio "pre-mixers" although
I think it refers to the L.O. subsystem where the variable tuning
oscillator is mixed with two or more fixed-frequency oscillators to
make a single set of frequencies which are then applied to a
mixer. That single mixer may be in a receiver where it reduces
the need for more "conversions" or it may be in a transmitter
where the mixer forms the final radio frequency for power
amplification. The terms seems just another name for the
frequency conversion subsystem in a radio.

>Perhaps you are jealous of the fact that I have actually designed and built
>amateur radio stations and operated them on the air successfully for the past
>35 years.

Good grief, no! :-)

I could care less about your self-touted "abilities." I doubt if that
was the original subject off-shoot, but rather another bit of bragging
about yourself. :-)

>For example, I've got a list of operating skills that I think all hams should
>strive to acquire.

You've already made such lists here (more than once). Other
than trying to make others do as you do (or say), what are
you proving with those? :-)

>Amateur radio was growing before the Tech lost its code test, and continued to
>grow after that change. The actual effects are difficult to gauge due to the
>effects of other changes such as the doubling of the license term.

Technician class licensees now account for about one-third
of all licensed US radio amateurs. Before you hop on another
rationalization bandwagon, go to "Amateur Radio Newsline"
and read that total US ham radio numbers have been relatively
stagnant for two years. :-)

>I challenge you to provide examples of posts where I "discounted those,
>dismissed them, never really regarded their existance
>as meaningful in any way".
>
>Let's see you back up that claim.

Are you "challenging" me to prove the obvious? :-)

>>Technician class licensees
>>now make up a third of all licensees

>
>Yup. In part, that is because the FCC has been renewing Tech Pluses as Techs
>for over two years now.

I just knew you would attempt a rationalization somehow. :-)

That doesn't hold water in the rainy season but you couldn't be
convinced otherwise back before restructuring and you won't
be convinced now. :-)

>> and are also the least class
>>in membership percentage in the ARRL.
>
>Lots of reasons for that.

Name about 300,000 of them. :-)

>>"Gigahertz are for giga-squirts."
>
>That's not one of mine.

It is, or was, a favorite of James Kehler, KH2D, formerly the
guru of Guam.

>>"No lids, kids, or space cadets."
>>
>Is that what YOU believe? After all, you want an age limit (no kids)...

No PRE-SCHOOL CHILDREN who don't have a grasp or under-
standing of written questions. See the (now) "old" ARRL news
page for two six-year-olds "passing ham tests" and shown with
a nice, grandfatherly VE (who was very, very "honest" in "passing"
them). :-)

Under the FCC regulations of now and then, there is no, repeat
NO requirement, that SMALL CHILDREN must be overseen by
adults while "legally" using their amateur radio license privileges.

You won't even consider the implications of what can happen if
small children operate by themselves. None in here have done
so in the past. Why not? Isn't the "why not" answered by your
simply wanting to discount what I bring up? I think so. I also
think that lends a great deal of hypocrisy to your own "fairness"
and "honesty" in posting.

All you want to do is discredit any age barrier...because that
comes close to the age YOU (or a lot of others) got into amateur
radio. You are applying your own personal standards as that
which should apply to all others. You are god and judge. No
one else can speak against you... :-)

2071. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:51:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225153.06693.00000224@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49885

In article <%YZZ8.3540$Qk6.2107@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com>
>
>> Does the ARRL make a statement saying "buy our products at
>> retail outlets because we want you to?" I don't think so.
>>
>
>Well, you think wrong......
>
>Quoting from an ad for various ARRL publications on page 144 in the June
>issue of QST "Available from ARRL Publication Dealers EVERYWHERE!"

Oh, my, a "booger flick" is issued! :-)

According to me and my quote (above), I wrote "retail outlets." You
are quoting "ARRL Publication Dealers EVERYWHERE!"

Maybe the HQ in Newington failed to consider Southern California
as "EVERYWHERE." I can't find any "ARRL Publication Dealers"
listed in any of our phone books nor can I find them in the latest
search at the American Publishers Association. The local Barnes&
Noble, Borders, or Crown outlets stock a few QSTs monthly but the
local HRO is only three miles away. HRO is an amateur radio
retailer...not specifically an "ARRL Publication Dealer"...and they
also sell radios and radio equipment to non-amateurs.

That wasn't a good booger, Hans. You can do better. Go get some
male radio hormone treatments and come on back. Let's see what
you got... :-)

2072. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:51:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225153.06693.00000223@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49886

In article <20020719081056.13297.00000035@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>You gave one of your many lectures on things obvious to everyone.
>>
>>Don't be annoyed if you got a failing grade in my "lecture." :-)
>
>Only a poor teacher blames the students.

Ah! An inciteful aphorism direct from the Book of Maxim (H.P.
chapter). :-)

>>How many commercial radio operator licenses has
>
>(N2EY)
>
>>held?
>
>One. Second Class Radiotelephone. I let it lapse.

ONLY a SECOND?!? Oh, my, with all your smarts you
couldn't handle a FIRST?!?!? I had no problems getting
a FIRST...except for a boring 90 mile train ride to Chicago
and the nearest FCC office. [I kept my shoes on all the
time and the only "uphill both ways" was the few steps
at the end of the railway car]

>Yep. As in "require by circumstances". Ask Cecil Webster.

Who?!? :-)

>>Just because
>>someone doesn't agree with your exhalted opinions, that's not
>>a reason to throw a hissy-fit and grow snarly...
>
>Then why do you get that way so often?

Because I don't...and I just LOVE to see the reaction of the
Extreme Literalists. :-)

>>>That's a generality. Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL
>>>publications?
>>
>>Do you?
>
>Nope. Nor do I claim to know.

You can find out. Gosh, with all your "32 years as an extra" I'm surprised you don't have a full dossier on the ARRL as that paragon of Good Works for Hams. :-)

>>Is Amazon still in business? :-)
>
>Sure - and losing money. Not making a profit.

Hehehehehehehehe. Six years that I know of Amazon being in business and they are still dealing...and expanding.

You must have a VERY narrow extremely literal definition of "profit." :-)

>No. You're not the boss.

...and YOU insist you are the "boss" in here... :-)

>How? Do you not want to be addressed as Lenover21? That's your screenname,
>and you don't sign your posts. What should people call you?

<insert about 20 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing here>

See the posts by your close, honorable K4YZ. :-)

>>>>Is Amazon still in business after many years? If so, it must be making
>>>>a profit. Businesses do not continue in business without profit.
>>>
>>>That's simply incorrect.
>>
>>Why are you so angry at Amazon?
>
>I'm not angry at them.

You must be to make such an issue over them. You have stock in them and they aren't paying you dividends? :-)

>They are losing money. Perhaps they will become profitable. Amazon is not
>making a profit now, nor has it for the past 3 years or so. Simple fact.

Yes, well, I'll take it up with Allan Greenspan or maybe Louis Rukeyser... :-)

>The majority of those who commented to the FCC on 98-143 not only wanted code
>testing, they wanted at least two code tests (5 and 12-13 wpm). The MINORITY
>OPINION won out over the MAJORITY in that case.

<insert about 45 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing here>

>Do you believe in minority rule? If you agree with the FCC's dropping of the
>code tests beyond 5 wpm, the answer is YES.

Wow, Jimmie, you're so FIXATED on the "need for code testing" and ARRL propaganda brainwashing that you can't see what it's all about.

The FCC establishes the licensing criteria, NOT the licensees who
have already been licensed nor the demands of the ARRL (which
has formerly dominated lobbying as a MINORITY organization).

There was no "official poll" of all the 2600+ documents on public
view for the 98-143 NPRM. The FCC did list all the names of the
commenters but there were fewer actual individuals due to so many
making more than one comment.

Did you read ALL 2600+ DOCUMENTS?!?!? I don't think so. If you
did you would find that the majority of the PCTAs commenting were
doing so on the basis of each one of them having to go through all
the steps in upgrading and testing at higher and higher code rates.
Nearly all of them cited their "tenure" in amateur radio as one of
the prime basis for "knowing what was best for the future."

Somehow, nearly everyone of those long-tenured knowledgeable-at-
what-is-best-for-the-future-based-on-their-personal-past had failed
to note the FCC's own statement in the 1990 NPRM establishing
the no-code-test Technician class.

>>No Code International is an international organization that seeks
>>the elimination of the morse code testing for an amateur radio
>>license anywhere.
>
>They claim to represent all radio amateurs everywhere, then.

No, they represent ALL interested parties in the code test issue.
No one need be an amateur licensee.

You seem to dread the future based on a political action
organization that might affect ARRL decisions. Why?

>> No Code International does not restrict
>>membership only to licensed radio amateurs.
>
>Neither does ARRL.

VOTING membership. I can get a subscription to QST and have
all the "voting" of a public library on ham issues...:-)

That is just "membership" in a changed-definition sense.

>>The ARRL claims to represent "all" of US amateur radio yet its
>>membership is less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>
>NCI claims to represent what's best for all amateurs everywhere.

NCI and its membership are only interested in ending the International
Morse Code testing requirement for any amateur radio license exam.

They are a political-action membership organization with only one
purpose...and that purpose is shared internationally. Didn't you read
what they have written on their website?

The IARU has now taken the position of ending the ITU-R code test recommendation. Do you want to label the IARU a "minority" group or that "they do NOT know what is best for amateur radio?!?"

Or do you insist that ONLY the ARRL "knows what is best for amateur radio?" Must be...

>>The ARRL keeps wanting more money.
>
>Costs money to do what they do.

H O W M U C H ?

Give the exact dollar amounts, say from the 2001 tax returns.

Is the ARRL BoD paid a salary? Don't they do their thing and travel to various meetings (supposedly paying their way, etc.) out of the "goodness of their hearts?"

Now show your dollars figures...EXACTLY. Remember that under your own ROE in here you MUST be terribly EXACT and any deviation from EXACTNESS constitutes an ERROR and FAILURE which negates any future claims, statements, or opinions!!!! :-)

>NCI membership requires a loyalty oath. ARRL does not.

<insert about 30 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing here>

Of course, each and every 4300+ members of NCI had to be in front of a judge, raised their right hands, and swore to defend the constitution of NCI or something. The secret is out. That was a blood oath under muerta or something. Yes, and we all wear black suits with black shades, carry alien firearms, make movies under surnames of "Smith" and "Jones." Of course.

>Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?

There was but you aren't cleared for such information and have NO "need to know."

2073. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:51:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225155.06693.00000225@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49888

In article <20020720100702.13297.00000052@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>>>Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>>>>
>>>>I can estimate it without having to get an internal, private
>>>>document from within the ARRL. Some of the publication
>>>>production information is already in the open. It's a matter of
>>>>contacting the printer, asking prices, doing the numbers.
>>>
>>>In other words, no.
>>
>(Lenover21)
>
>>has a few articles published under his "by line" (we'll ASSUME it
>>was his original work...haven't seen any evidence yet) in a magazine tht is
>>now defunct, and suddenly he knows all about "publishing costs"...?!?!

Poor baby doesn't realize that some of us have done, are doing
work (for hire if smart) outside of radio or even amateur radio. :-)

>Yup. Note that QST articles include things like the Product Reviews, which are
>Consumer-Reports type evaluations, not puff pieces. ARRL actually buys the
>products tested, anonymously, then tests 'em. They are then resold at
>auction.

Oh, gosh yes...everything is so absolutely squeaky-clean honest
that nobody would EVER think to fudge a bit on reports! :-)

The ARRL could NEVER do anything wrong, could it? :-)

>NCI's "loyalty oath" is there for a very good reason. It's a way of denying
>membership to anyone who does not agree with their basic purpose of
eliminating
>all code testing. Without that "loyalty oath", pro-code-test people could
>simply join up in droves and derail the organization.

<insert 15 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing in here>

There was a "loyalty oath" administered to all members? I never took
one of those...yet I've got my "certificate" of membership somewhere
on a CD. Didn't have to raise my hand or recite anything...:-)

Oh, Jimmie must mean the "oath" taken when everyone installs
software...to promise (faithfully) to abide by the terms of the
license agreement...and never, ever violate it! :-)

>Pro-code-test people are
>obviously the majority, as evidenced by the comments to 98-143.

<insert 6 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing in here>

Gosh and golly, Jimmie, you ought to start up a class-action civil
suit against the FCC for "violating" their charter at not "listening" to
your "big majority."

>So the "loyalty" thing makes perfect sense.

In what regard? NCI isn't an "all things to all amateurs" kind of
organization. They never were. Once we all achieve the desired
goals, aims, and objectives, the organization disbands, dissolves.

Maybe not quite as dramatically as Eric June or Jim Kehler (of
"No SSB International" satirism).

>ARRL's requirement for a ham license in order to be a full member also makes
>sense, because it keeps the organization in the hands of hams.

...or rather its Section Managers and, most importantly, its Board
of Directors. :-)

>Note that ALL
>hams who join ARRL get the same voting power, regardless of experience or
>license class.

<insert 3 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing in here>

Of course, of course... :-)

>The new Tech has the same number of votes as the grizzled Extra
>with life membership. What could be fairer?

That's just so wonderful! So, Mr. Democratic Principles At Work,
why aren't there more Technician Class licensees joining up to be
part of all the "fairness?" Technicians are now the highest licensee
class (at about one-third of all US amateur licensees) and also the
lowest licensee class in ARRL membership.

What is your excuse for that?

>>>Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?
>>
>> Don't the NCI BoD guys communicate with antique Captain Midnight
>Decoder Rings?
>
>Nope. E-mail does just fine.

It's nice that you KNOW that for a fact. Are you one of the "secret"
members of NCI? Or are you really blessed with telepathic powers
(a side benefit of a pre-restructuring extra) so that you can divine
everything? Marvelous talent. :-)

2074. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:51:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225156.06693.00000227@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49883

In article <3D3B089F.BE4BA101@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>JJ wrote:
>> See, I said Larry would claim everything I did and more.
>
>He can't claim a EE degree but he says that's irrelevant. :-)
>--
>cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Cecil, once upon a time not too long ago, Roll kept mentioning
his "summa cum laude" status is learning all about becoming
a professional personnel person...along with claims of having
his "choice of positions."

Guess what? The superior-grade soma cum loud didn't seem to
get his choice of professional positions despite his formal
"human resources" education achieved with high marks.

"Look upon his works ye mighty and despair." :-)

2075. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:51:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225156.06693.00000226@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49884

In article <3D398C0F.3DB20254@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> Nope. It says your attempt to intimidate failed, so you passed it off as
a
>> >> joke. Chuckles all around.
>> >
>> >Sounds like you felt intimidated by my humor and that's your problem,
>> >not mine.
>>
>> I wasn't intimidated, Cecil. Your intimidation attempt failed. Thus, the
>> "chuckles all around".
>
>That alleged "intimidation attempt" was *your* fertile imagination
>at work. Most of what I do here is good naturedly point out people's
>double standards, including yours.

Careful, Cecil, Jim thinks all this is very very serious... :-)

>> >Intimidation is completely alien to me - humor is not.
>>
>> Now THAT'S funny!
>
>And proves my point.
>
>> >Maybe in the marketplace, but IMO competition within amateur radio is
>> >one of the things that is wrong with the hobby.
>>
>> Why? What's wrong with things like contests ... ?
>
>Some of us have evolved to a plane where we are not driven by testosterone
>to beat our hairy chests and engage in silly King of the Mountain pileups.

He'll probably get all hissy about "denigrating a 'sport'"...:-)

>> Competition is what has driven much of the development of radio technology.
>
>I agree. Like I said, it has its place in the marketplace. However, I
>believe that competition within the ARS is a cancer that is attempting
>to destroy the hobby.

Haven't you heard? Amateur radio is a SERVICE. :-)

>> There are competitors and there are spectators.
>
>There are junk yard dogs and there are gentlemen.

Yes, and the junk yard dogs have been barking furiously all
the time. At what, I'm not sure.

It may be that the junk yard dogs don't realize who they are
and may think of themselves as "gentlemen?"

2076. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:53:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <RsK_8.9540$Qk6.6374@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49890

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

>
> Your choice, of course. That's waht makes ham radio a sporting-type
activity.
> There are competitors and there are spectators.
>

I think that's a gross oversimplification. Within some subsets of amateur radio (contesting, DXing to some degree) there is "competition". But there are lots of other aspects of the hobby which are completely devoid of competition, but the participants are in no way "spectators". Public service, traffic handling, tinkering, and Skywarn are a few which come to mind in which "competition" in it's commonly held connotation of "beating a competitor" is starkly absent.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

2077. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:12 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225912.06693.00000228@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49894

In article <3D398C0F.3DB20254@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> Nope. It says your attempt to intimidate failed, so you passed it off as
>a
>> >> joke. Chuckles all around.
>> >
>> >Sounds like you felt intimidated by my humor and that's your problem,
>> >not mine.
>>
>> I wasn't intimidated, Cecil. Your intimidation attempt failed. Thus, the
>> "chuckles all around".
>
>That alleged "intimidation attempt" was *your* fertile imagination
>at work. Most of what I do here is good naturedly point out people's
>double standards, including yours.
>
>> >Intimidation is completely alien to me - humor is not.
>>
>> Now THAT'S funny!
>
>And proves my point.
>
>> >Maybe in the marketplace, but IMO competition within amateur radio is
>> >one of the things that is wrong with the hobby.
>>
>> Why? What's wrong with things like contests ... ?
>
>Some of us have evolved to a plane where we are not driven by testosterone
>to beat our hairy chests and engage in silly King of the Mountain pileups.
>
>> Competition is what has driven much of the development of radio technology.
>
>I agree. Like I said, it has its place in the marketplace. However, I
>believe that competition within the ARS is a cancer that is attempting
>to destroy the hobby.
>
>> There are competitors and there are spectators.
>
>There are junk yard dogs and there are gentlemen.

2078. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:13 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225913.06693.00000229@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49900

In article <20020720100702.13297.00000052@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>>>Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>>>>
>>>>I can estimate it without having to get an internal, private
>>>>document from within the ARRL. Some of the publication
>>>>production information is already in the open. It's a matter of
>>>>contacting the printer, asking prices, doing the numbers.
>>>
>>>In other words, no.
>>
>(Lenover21)
>
>>has a few articles published under his "by line" (we'll ASSUME it
>>was his original work...haven't seen any evidence yet) in a magazine tht is
>>now defunct, and suddenly he knows all about "publishing costs"...?!?!
>
>Yup. Note that QST articles include things like the Product Reviews, which
>are
>Consumer-Reports type evaluations, not puff pieces. ARRL actually buys the
>products tested, anonymously, then tests 'em. They are then resold at
>auction.
>
>>>>No Code International does not state, infer, or imply that it is a
>>>>national amateur radio membership organization. The ARRL does.
>>>
>>>NCI says it's international. It's in the name.
>>
>> The simplicity of that was overwhelming for him, Jim.
>
>If it works...
>>
>>>>ARRL membership requires money. NCI is free.
>>>
>>>NCI membership requires a loyalty oath. ARRL does not.
>>
>> Rim shot....ra-dadaduump. Did Lennie swear the oath? Must have, since
>>he's a member. I've never had to 'swear' anyhting to be a League member,
>>have you?
>
>Nope.
>
>NCI's "loyalty oath" is there for a very good reason. It's a way of denying

>membership to anyone who does not agree with their basic purpose of
>eliminating
>all code testing. Without that "loyalty oath", pro-code-test people could
>simply join up in droves and derail the organization. Pro-code-test people
>are
>obviously the majority, as evidenced by the comments to 98-143. So the
>"loyalty" thing makes perfect sense.
>
>ARRL's requirement for a ham license in order to be a full member also makes
>sense, because it keeps the organization in the hands of hams. Note that ALL
>hams who join ARRL get the same voting power, regardless of experience or
>license class. The new Tech has the same number of votes as the grizzled
>Extra
>with life membership. What could be fairer?
>
>>>Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?
>>
>> Don't the NCI BoD guys communicate with antique Captain Midnight
>Decoder
>Rings?
>
>Nope. E-mail does just fine.

2079. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:14 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225914.06693.00000231@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49891

In article <%YZZ8.3540$Qk6.2107@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com>
>
>>
>> Does the ARRL make a statement saying "buy our products at
>> retail outlets because we want you to?" I don't think so.
>>
>
>Well, you think wrong......
>
>Quoting from an ad for various ARRL publications on page 144 in the June
>issue of QST "Available from ARRL Publication Dealers EVERYWHERE!"

2080. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:14 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225914.06693.00000230@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49895

In article <20020720100702.13297.00000053@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3D38F006.4F3B81BE@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>> Nope. It says your attempt to intimidate failed, so you passed it off as a
>>> joke. Chuckles all around.
>>
>>Sounds like you felt intimidated by my humor and that's your problem,
>>not mine.
>
>I wasn't intimidated, Cecil. Your intimidation attempt failed. Thus, the
>"chuckles all around".
>
>>Intimidation is completely alien to me - humor is not.
>
>Now THAT'S funny!
>>
>>> Healthy competition is a good thing.
>>
>>Maybe in the marketplace, but IMO competition within amateur radio is
>>one of the things that is wrong with the hobby.
>
>Why? What's wrong with things like contests and operating awards? The hams
>who
>did microwave moonbounce with less than 100W and small dishes were competing
>to
>be the first.
>
>Competition is what has driven much of the development of radio technology.
>You
>think the development of rigs like the FT-1000 was driven by ragchewers'
>requests? Get real!
>
>>Knock yourself out - I simply refuse to compete.
>
>Your choice, of course. That's waht makes ham radio a sporting-type activity.
>There are competitors and there are spectators.

2081. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:15 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225915.06693.00000232@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49893

In article <20020719105408.11246.00000477@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>>Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>>>
>>>I can estimate it without having to get an internal, private
>>>document from within the ARRL. Some of the publication
>>>production information is already in the open. It's a matter of
>>>contacting the printer, asking prices, doing the numbers.
>>
>>In other words, no.
>
> Lennie has a few articles published under his "by line" (we'll ASSUME it
>was his original work...haven't seen any evidence yet) in a magazine tht is
>now
>defunct, and suddenly he knows all about "publishing costs"...?!?!
>
> Is it just me, or does Lennie claim a lot of stuff by simple
>association?
>
>>>No Code International does not state, infer, or imply that it is a
>>>national amateur radio membership organization. The ARRL does.
>>
>>NCI says it's international. It's in the name.
>
> The simplicity of that was overwhelming for him, Jim.
>
>>>ARRL membership requires money. NCI is free.
>>
>>NCI membership requires a loyalty oath. ARRL does not.
>
> Rim shot....ra-dadaduump. Did Lennie swear the oath? Must have, since
>he's a member. I've never had to 'swear' anyhting to be a League member,
>have
>you?
>
>>Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?
>
> Don't the NCI BoD guys communicate with antique Captain Midnight Decoder
>Rings?

2082. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:16 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225916.06693.00000233@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49896

In article <3C7E46C4.6615848A@att.net>, Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net> writes:

>> >> I've been
>> >>fortunate to start out my radio experience in the Big Leagues of
>> >>HF communications some time ago.
>> >
>> >"Big Leagues"? So you keep telling us.
>>
>> Yeah...Big Leagues. Lennie spent three years in a rear-area Army
>radio
>> station. Whoopie.
>>
>> Be still my heart.
>>
>> > Why not just discuss the issues?
>>
>> ...because the insufferable creep knows he would lose his "audience" in
>2
>> minutes as he has nothing to offer.
>>
>> >>All I've advocated is the elimination of the
>> >>code test for an amateur radio license
>> >
>> >You also advocated an age requirement (14, if I recall correctly) for an
>> >amateur radio license of any class. Age seems to be a big issue with you.
>>
>> Speaking of which, there's yet another question Lennie never
>> answered...What experience does he have with children or adolescents that
>> "qualified" him to make such a suggestion?
>>
>> He obiously never had any of his own or we'd be hearing about it.
>Just
>> another "because it's MY idea" reason, no doubt.
>>
>> >You are trying the old "guilt by association" gambit.Also called bigotry.
>>
>> Lennie knows all about reputation by association, Jim. He tried to
>> embellish his own lacklustre military "career" by trying to belly-up to the
>> memory of Veterans who died in combat...Even though he was still in the
>States
>> when those Veterans lost thier lives.
>>
>> And THEN accused me of spitting on other Veterans graves to "get back"
>at

>> him. What a loser. What a putz.
>
>WHY in creation are *any* of you even acknowledging his rantings, much less
>trying
>to anwer them point by point? He *long ago* showed clearly that all he even
>wanted
>to do is slam ham radio and all ham radio operators, *particularily* those
>who
>took it to the top of the licensing structure. He has never had any interest
>in
>ham radio beyond that, and all you guys are doing is facilitating his goals.
>Cut
>it out!
>
> The history of rrap for the past halfdozen years is that if everyone ignores
>him
>long enough he'll go away. Oh, he posts some small trivial nonsense post from
>time
>to time, but you guys are giving him the platform he desperately needs to do
>exactly what he is doing. Without it he might go ahead and dry up like the
>prune
>he is. There is no rational discussion with anyone like him.

2083. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:17 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225917.06693.00000234@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49951

In article <3D380B0F.FC68CAA5@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>> >The only time I have done that is tongue-in-cheek fun poking at someone
>who
>> >is dishing out stuff like that to others.
>>
>> It sure looked serious the times I've seen it.
>
>Tongue-in-cheek humor should not appear too obvious.
>
>> "I have 35 years experience"
>>
>> That's true.
>
>Also true that I've had 50 years experience. Tongue-in-cheek implication: If
>experience counts, I've had 40+% more experience. You cannot have it both
>ways,
>Jim. It is a contradiction to laud your own experience while discounting
>someone
>with more experience than you. Experience is not what matters. A person can
>be
>right with one year's experience while another person can be wrong with 50
>years
>of experience. In fact, a person can be wrong *because* his knowledge is 50
>years
>out of date.
>
>> But you weren't serious. You actually pulled the age/experience gambit long
>> ago, Cecil, in an attempt to intimidate me. Didn't work.
>
>Oh, how you misread my posting, Jim. I made it with a tongue-in-cheek twinkle
>in my eye. I'm sorry you consider a good-natured yanking of your chains as an
>
>attempt at intimidation. That says everything about you and nothing about me.
>All it was was an attempt to get you to see the mote in your own eye.
>
>> And in in fact you do not have 15 more years of experience than me, because
>you
>> took a "vacation from HF" for many years.
>
>You really need to do something about that chip on your shoulder. Life is for

>enjoyment, not competing with your fellow hams for King of the Mountain
>status.
>You may have 35 years of experience but that may (or may not) be 12,775 days
>of
>simply having the same experience over and over. Someone may have learned
>more
>in one year than you did in 35 years or that I did in 50 years.

2084. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:19 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225919.06693.00000235@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49898

In article <20020719081056.13297.00000035@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020717161635.26909.00000018@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020717141017.13297.00000001@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>You gave one of your many lectures on things obvious to everyone.
>>
>>Don't be annoyed if you got a failing grade in my "lecture." :-)
>
>Only a poor teacher blames the students.
>
>>How many commercial radio operator licenses has
>
>(N2EY)
>
>>held?
>
>One. Second Class Radiotelephone. I let it lapse.
>
>>>>You have failed to show "obviousness" of your statement. You stated that
>>>>the ARRL wants people to buy their publications via retail outlets if at
>>all
>>>>possible. That's not obvious.
>>>
>>>Sure it is. You just don't want to see it.
>>
>>Does the ARRL make a statement saying "buy our products at
>>retail outlets because we want you to?" I don't think so. I sure
>>haven't seen that statement. :-)
>
>None so blind...
>>
>>>>If the ARRL wanted people to go to retail outlets to buy their products,
>>then
>>>>they would only advertise their wares but would not make them available
>>>>through mail-order.
>>>
>>>Untrue. Doing so would force everyone to buy through retail outlets.
>>

>>"Force?!?" :-)
>
>Yep. As in "require by circumstances". Ask Cecil Webster.
>
>>Just because
>>someone doesn't agree with your exhalted opinions, that's not
>>a reason to throw a hissy-fit and grow snarly...
>
>Then why do you get that way so often?
>>
>>>>I have experience in costing-out publishing costs in addition to
>>>>experience in costing-out design, development, and manufacturing
>>>>of electronics goods.
>>>
>>>Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL publications?
>>
>>I can estimate it without having to get an internal, private
>>document from within the ARRL. Some of the publication
>>production information is already in the open. It's a matter of
>>contacting the printer, asking prices, doing the numbers.
>
>In other words, no.
>
>>>That's a generality. Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL
>>>publications?
>>
>>Do you?
>
>Nope. Nor do I claim to know.
>
>>>In theory, they "make it up on volume". Amazon's financial statements speak
>>>otherwise.
>>
>>Is Amazon still in business? :-)
>
>Sure - and losing money. Not making a profit.
>
>>>>Like any political-action organization, the ARRL should not consider
>>>>itself above reproach.
>>>
>>>All you do is reproach it.
>>
>>Okay, quote everything I've said about the ARRL to prove that
>>statement. EVERYTHING. Show your work.
>
>No. You're not the boss.
>
>>>>"Diminutives" in naming seems to be a standard practice in here. :-)
>>>
>>>Only by you.

>>
>>Is this another one of those "you cannot do as I do, only do as I
>>say" kind of things? Must be... :-)
>
>Yep. You get all upset if someone calls you "Lennie". That's Not Acceptable.
>>
>>>Why should anyone here take "negative criticism" from you? You don't take
>>it.
>>>Is this "do as Lenover21 says, not as Lenover21 does" things?
>>
>>"Lenover21" hasn't said a thing. Your sentence is a perfect example
>>of the hypocrisy of your standard quoted above. :-)
>
>How? Do you not want to be addressed as Lenover21? That's your screenname,
>and
>you don't sign your posts. What should people call you?
>
>>>>Is Amazon still in business after many years? If so, it must be making
>>>>a profit. Businesses do not continue in business without profit.
>>>
>>>That's simply incorrect.
>>
>>Why are you so angry at Amazon?
>
>I'm not angry at them.
>
>> Have they failed to deliver your
>>order? Sent you the wrong product? Amazon has been in business
>>for years. Have they filed for any sort of bankruptcy?
>
>They are losing money. Perhaps they will become profitable. Amazon is not
>making a profit now, nor has it for the past 3 years or so. Simple fact.
>
>>>>The words of the ARRL are generated by a minority of that minority...which
>>>>includes the board of directors of the ARRL.
>>>
>>>So what?
>>
>>Not a problem if you are a Faithful Follower of your Chosen Leader.
>>They can do NO wrong and they KNOW what is best for all. :-)
>>
>>Do you believe in minority rule?
>
>Nope. Do you?
>
>The majority of those who commented to the FCC on 98-143 not only wanted code
>testing, they wanted at least two code tests (5 and 12-13 wpm). The MINORITY
>OPINION won out over the MAJORITY in that case.
>
>Do you believe in minority rule? If you agree with the FCC's dropping of the

>code tests beyond 5 wpm, the answer is YES.
>>
>>>>>Doesn't answer the question. What percentage of all licensed US hams are
>>>>>members of NCI?
>>>>
>>>>Irrelevant again.
>>>
>>>If it's irrelevant, then the ARRL percentage is also irrelevant.
>>
>>No Code International does not state, infer, or imply that it is a
>>national amateur radio membership organization. The ARRL does.
>
>NCI says it's international. It's in the name.
>>
>>No Code International is an international organization that seeks
>>the elimination of the morse code testing for an amateur radio
>>license anywhere.
>
>They claim to represent all radio amateurs everywhere, then.
>
>> No Code International does not restrict
>>membership only to licensed radio amateurs.
>
>Neither does ARRL.
>
>> No Code International
>>has stated originally and continues to state that it will dissolve when
>>the morse code tests are eliminated.
>
>So? What's your point?
>>
>>The ARRL claims to represent "all" of US amateur radio yet its
>>membership is less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>
>NCI claims to represent what's best for all amateurs everywhere.
>
>>The ARRL keeps wanting more money.
>
>Costs money to do what they do.
>
>> ARRL voting membership
>>is restrictive.
>
>How? All ham members get one vote, regardless of license class.
>
>>ARRL membership requires money. NCI is free.
>
>NCI membership requires a loyalty oath. ARRL does not.
>
>Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?

2085. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:20 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225920.06693.00000236@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49899

In article <20020719032757.03734.00000254@mb-cv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>> I've been
>>>fortunate to start out my radio experience in the Big Leagues of
>>>HF communications some time ago.
>>
>>"Big Leagues"? So you keep telling us.
>
> Yeah...Big Leagues. Lennie spent three years in a rear-area Army radio
>station. Whoopie.
>
> Be still my heart.
>
>> Why not just discuss the issues?
>
> ...because the insufferable creep knows he would lose his "audience" in 2
>minutes as he has nothing to offer.
>
>>>All I've advocated is the elimination of the
>>>code test for an amateur radio license
>>
>>You also advocated an age requirement (14, if I recall correctly) for an
>>amateur radio license of any class. Age seems to be a big issue with you.
>
> Speaking of which, there's yet another question Lennie never
>answered...What experience does he have with children or adolescents that
>"qualified" him to make such a suggestion?
>
> He obiously never had any of his own or we'd be hearing about it. Just
>another "because it's MY idea" reason, no doubt.
>
>>You are trying the old "guilt by association" gambit.Also called bigotry.
>
> Lennie knows all about reputation by association, Jim. He tried to
>embellish his own lacklustre military "career" by trying to belly-up to the
>memory of Veterans who died in combat...Even though he was still in the
>States
>when those Veterans lost thier lives.
>
> And THEN accused me of spitting on other Veterans graves to "get back" at
>him. What a loser. What a putz.

2086. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:23 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225923.06693.00000238@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49892

In article <3D37A26C.92FAD71B@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> So have many people. It is not the same thing as saying "I'm older than
>you,
>> therefore I'm right", which has been tossed at me a number of times by
>folks
>> Cecil and "Lenover21"
>
>The only time I have done that is tongue-in-cheek fun poking at someone who
>is dishing out stuff like that to others. No matter how old or how
>experienced
>someone is, odds are there is someone even older and more experienced. You
>have
>been guilty of saying something like, I have 35 years experience and I know
>what I am talking about. I replied that I have 15 more years of experience
>than you just to show you what it feels like.

2087. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 02:59:23 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721225923.06693.00000237@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49897

In article <20020719031317.03734.00000253@mb-cv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a guild, trade, or union and it
>>isn't a pseudo-military "service" requiring rigid adherence to
>>methods, standards, procedures, jargon, or anything else.
>
> Amateur Radio is enjoyed as a hobby by a great many people, but it is a
>radio SERVICE as codified in 47CFR97.1. It has specific basis and purpose,
>of
>which the government has allowed specific language that allows for the
>service
>to be utilized for past time applications.
>
> That is NOT all that it is. If you were a participant instead of an
>antagonist, you'd know.
>
>>So you've been an amateur extra for 32 years. That means
>>you achieved that class as a teenager. You were not yet an
>>adult then.
>
> Good for him. And you are not yet one, Lennie. So what?
>
>>I find that your opinions are simply mirroring what has been
>>proscribed to you with very little originality or evidence that
>>they really are your own "opinions." For that reason I find them
>>INVALID as your personal opinion.
>
> Whew. Talk about spewing rhetoric for rhetoric's sake. Let's hope the
>EPA never reads RRAP...they'll haul the Licenseless One away for creatile
>such
>a mess...
>
>>>Indeed. Rather than accept my facts, arguments and opinions, people should
>>>blindly accept your "I'm older, therefore I'm right" statements? Get real!
>>>Please.
>>
>>Where am I stating saying "follow my direction, I am right?"
>
> WhhhhoooooAAAAAAAA ! ! ! ! ! ! In just about every post you write, Your
>Creepiness! And you've been told in just about as many words, so don't act
>like you hav never heard THAT before!
>
>>I haven't done that.

>
> Absolute, positively, unabashedly bold faced lying. No other words for
>it.
> An absolute falsehood!
>
>>>>Naturally. NO ONE knows better than N2EY What Is Best in
>>>>Amateur Radio. :-)
>>>
>>>You said that, not me.
>>
>>Oh, you've SAID it all right, just not in those exact words.
>
> Aha...Jim, do your notice that just two paragraphs above, Lennie tries
>to
>pass off HIS rhetoric, but then tries to "claim" that you 'said' something,
>if
>not "not in those exact words"...???
>
> And peopel wonder why I keep dogging this creep.
>
>>You generally get upset with such
>>challenges as evident in your post quoted here.
>
> Which is different from you....HOW...?!?!
>
>>Are you thinking at all, really and truly thinking about newcomers
>>to amateur radio?
>
> Are you, Lennie? I doubt it. You can't evn get yourself past the most
>basic license.
>
>>For a dozen years the US amateur radio ranks were swelled by
>>the no-code-test Technician class licensees. You've discounted
>>those, dismissed them, never really regarded their existance
>>as meaningful in any way (except maybe as warm bodies who
>>"will upgrade through code practice").
>
> He has NEVER said anything of the sort.
>
> Sheesh.
>
>> Technician class licensees
>>now make up a third of all licensees and are also the least class
>>in membership percentage in the ARRL.
>
> And this is NOT the fault of the ARRL. The do not "decline" membership
>based on licensure.
>
> My wife is an NCT. She occassioanlly get's the same promotional
>literature as any other Amateur.

>
> If anyone "discounts" the NCT, it is themselves. Most that I have known
>that were not League members didn't join the ARRL because all they want to do
>is work the local repeater. The ARRL represents the interests of as wide a
>cross section of Amateurs as it can.
>
> And if that "third of all licensees" want ths ARRL to be anything
>different, they can join, then vote. It's that simple. It's no different
>than
>the useless slugs who complain about "government", but when you challenge
>them
>on how they voted, they have 101 excuses as to why they didn't go.
>
> The only "good" excuses are hospitalization, death of a CLOSE relative,
>your own death, or military deployment.
>
>>You see only ONE way to be "good amateurs:"
>
> I do...PARTICIPATE. Anything after that is optional.
>
>>"No lids, kids, or space cadets."
>
> And thankfully, No Lennie Nocalls!

2088. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:06:34 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B76BA.FE7BA89E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49952

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >Hey, great! I also had to pass a sending test at the FCC.
>
> Sure - for 5 wpm.
> I had to do 13 and 20 wpm with straight key for the FCC.
>
> (See how it feels, Cecil?)

I trust your posting was as non-serious as mine was and
therefore no feelings happened other than a grin. Do you
really pat yourself on the back for passing your Morse
code exams at the FCC? Does that really matter or is your
present Morse code skill what really matters?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2089. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:12:41 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B7829.7FABA4F0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49953

Avery Fineman wrote:

Not much. Hey Avery, you are reposting with nothing added.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2090. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:34:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49954

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
> > > >
> > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to learn
> > > > additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary and
> unnecessary
> > > > have yet to be proven.
> > >
> > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary and
> unnecessary.
> >
> > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for success.
>
> Sure I did. I passed the exams.

If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional frequencies.
It can't be both ways, Brian.
>
> > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?
> > > >
> > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you considered to be
> > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can be as
> > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in other things.
> > >
> > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> >
> > There you have it.
>
> How is that wrong?

How is that a description of DXing?

> > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries
> authorizations
> > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just supposed to tune
> from
> > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the ones I
> need.
> >

> > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
>
> Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160 meter
> authorizations of the rest of the world?

I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted to look up 80
and 160 allocations.

> > > > > Oh, and try to be accurate.
> > > >
> > > > I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On how many
> bands
> > > > were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand out? How
> > > > prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy in handling
> > > > both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his name, correct
> > > > spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like? That'll take care
> of
> > > > one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told us that you
> > > > enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider that to be
> > > > DXing?
> > >
> > ??????????????
>
> Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is 100 countries,
> why would I work 10,000 QSO's?

Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100 countries worked and
confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have any idea how
many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only one band and
and one mode, those limitations were things you could have done
something about.

> Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I didn't work 10,000
> of them?

No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept of DXing.

> > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > >
> > How?
>
> One at a time.

How?

> > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > >
> > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing, you can't use
> > for operating.
>
> But I consider it my job.

I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why waste time in
a rare spot making out QSL cards?

> > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did enjoy ragchewing
> with the WestPac regulars.
> >
> > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
>
> What is it?

It is ragchewing.

> > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted to
> > > know what it was like over there.
> >
> > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line" technique. Shake
> > their hand with your right while moving them along the line with your
> > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
>
> I see that hamming means a lot to you.

Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a pileup does
not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to Alistair spell his
name phonetically and waiting while he describes his station and
antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his microphone
does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for me to provide
my name and location along with QSLing information every ten or fifteen
QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's your QSL info?"
two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic receptions which
did not mean a lot to me.

> > > > I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is quite different
> > > > from DXing from the U.S.
> > >
> > > Sure. The DX station runs the pile-up, the US station tries to work it.
> > > Contests are an excellent source of finding DX on either side of the
> pileup.
> > > The US DXer hunts and pounces the DX during a contest.
> >
> > Not necessarily. Lots of U.S. stations are able to generate a pileup in
> > a DX contest. I've had JA runs and EU runs last for hours. You can't
> > run up a high score on this side of the pond if all you do is search and
> > pounce.
>
> Hey Dave, are you contesting or DXing? Make up your mind.

I'm commenting on incorrect statements made by you. I do both. You
make up your mind whether you want to talk DXing or contesting.
>
> > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious contester. A US >
> station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> >
> > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.

>
> For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands. Guam was one of
> those islands.

Marvy.

> > > What differences have you note in goals and
> > > > technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do you have
> > > > confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of your
> strategy
> > > > for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S. How are you
> > > > doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m? 160m?
> satellite?
> > >
> > > 10M SSB only when I was active. I'm inactive on HF at present.
> >
> > Worked/confirmed totals? DXer's aren't inactive unless there is nothing
> > on which they need.
>
> Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the mainland.

DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.
>
> > > For the pileup, try to listen to where he is actually picking them >
> when he says "up 10," then call at QRZ or tailend the current Op.
> >
> > Or you can use your reverse button to follow the action without calling
> > at all for a while to see if you can pick up a pattern. Some ops listen
> > over a window of frequencies and tune from bottom to top, a little at a
> > time. Others work top to bottom. Some jump around randomly within a
> > window looking for a clear spot with few callers. Some announce "up ten"
> > when they are listening up five.
>
> Common.

If you knew it to be common, why didn't you write it?

> > The guys on the distant end don't
> > always operate split.
>
> I only went split when it started to turn into a hornets nest. I tuned
> around for a station that was easiest to hear. Calling #'d areas also
> helped calm it down. Then there were the W5xx/7 that would call in 5's and
> 7's.

There are and will always be those types. There are also those who
attempt to work you on the same band night after night, week after week.
One can develop selective hearing to deal with them.

> > You might need to concentrate on timing,
> > espcecially if you don't have an amp or a gain antenna at height.
> > Sometimes you have to realize that you aren't going to work the station

> > at all because propagation isn't favoring your area.
>
> Yep, there are some that never make it in the log. Bad timing, no > amp, cubical quad on 10M.

Could be good timing and no propagation or good timing but you're
covered by those WITH an amp. There'll be other days.

> > > When operating 10M SSB, what specialty is there other than QRP?
> >
> > If you limit yourself to SSB, there aren't many options. If you limit
> > yourself to 10m, there aren't many options. Those limits would certainly
> > get in the way of a DXer.
>
> Why? I enjoyed it.

...because those things place limitations on your DXing.

Dave K8MN

2091. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:37:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721233730.12089.00000251@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49961

In article <3D3B1C91.ABBA4707@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Kim:
>>
>> In that case, I hope nobody is using you as an example. I'm sure you
>> started out as a ham pretty much the same way anyone else would,
>> but you ended up taking the ARS "...one step closer to extinction." I
>> sure hope nobody *really* thinks that's what ham radio is all about."
>
>Aside from the silly callsign, I'd say that she is as a good example as
>most hams are. What you don't want is one of those clowns on that
>LA repeater or 14.313

Bob:

Be that as it may, Kim and her silly callsign are certified by on less
an authority as Riley Hollingsworth as taking the ARS "...one step
closer to extinction." It doesn't get much worse than that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2092. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:37:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721233731.12089.00000252@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49959

In article <8SF_8.466$n45.27304037@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Addressing Board members, guests and ARRL staff members July 18
>> prior to the formal Board session, FCC Special Counsel for
>> Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth said that while enforcement will be
>> ''maintaining the course,'' he's hoping by year's end to mount ''a more
>> aggressive stance'' against 10-meter incursions by unlicensed
>> individuals. He said that using 10-meters was among the best
>> defenses against intruders. Hollingsworth also met with ARRL staff
>> members July 19 to discuss specific areas of concern and
>> cooperation.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Did he say anything that might get Larry to a) tolerate, b) accept, c)
>embrace, or d) join Ten-Ten International?

Not that I could see.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2093. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:37:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721233731.12089.00000253@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49960

In article <3D3ADA1C.2AA15D7@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> Good. I'm impressed. Not got CW? Bzzzzzt! You're inferior to me
>> as a ham! You lose!
>
>BZZZZT!! Yes I've got cw, still can do 13 plus even though I don't
>use it on the air and been a ham since 1961. My first station as a
>Novice was homebrew transmitter and modified surplus receiver. I'm
>still superior to you. You lose!!

Care to back up your claim that you can still TX/RX CW at 13 WPM
by scheduling a QSO on 20 meters with me? BTW --if the answer
is "no," you're a liar.

>> >Being able to send and receive Morse code is child's play.
>>
>> I agree. Lots of 5 - 10 year olds have passed the 20 WPM code
>> test. What's your excuse?
>
>Don't need an excuse, can still send and receive at 13+.
>
>See, I said Larry would claim everything I did and more.

Well, now you're claiming that you can send/receive Morse code
at 13 WPM. It will be interesting to hear your response to my
invitation for a QSO.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2094. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:37:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721233732.12089.00000255@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49955

In article <3D3B06B4.ED75FEAB@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> If they make their living as
>> electronics engineers or technicians, then I'll certainly stipulate to
>> their far superior technical skills -- but that doesn't mean that they
>> surpass me (or you!) as a ham!
>
>Please list specific qualifications and/or skills/deeds that it takes
>for another ham to surpass you, Larry.

Cecil:

Just ask Jim, N2EY. He knows.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2095. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:37:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721233732.12089.00000256@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49957

In article <3D3B05F3.5AA5A9B7@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Not got CW? Bzzzzzt! You're inferior to me as a ham! You lose!
>
>No matter what else he has ever done within ham radio? Just shows
>that you consider Morse Code more important than everything else
>in ham radio combined. Would a nocode Tech saving ten human lives
>be more important than Morse code skill, Larry?

Cecil:

Maybe, if anything like that had ever happened, which it hasn't.
The reality is that Ham Hero nocode Techs are probably more likely
to cause "emergencies" with loss of life than they are to save lives.
The cop, fireman, SAR wannabes all gravitate toward the nocode
Tech ticket, because those HT's look sooo cool on their utility
belts!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2096. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:37:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721233732.12089.00000254@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49958

In article <3D3B04D8.12923000@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:
>> >The point is - did Larry have to pass sending tests? I did.
>>
>> That makes you a better ham than I am, Jim! How many points do
>> I lose by admitting that?
>
>Hey, great! I also had to pass a sending test at the FCC.

Sorry Cecil, it doesn't count for you! You lost points by getting
your dumbed-down, VE-tested, Post-Restructuring Extra!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2097. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:37:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721233733.12089.00000257@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49956

In article <2MF_8.460$O95.27638836@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
>news:20020721110806.12089.00000208@mb-fc.aol.com...
>
>> OH lookee -- I made another funny -- "drive home" -- get it! I'm on a
>> Roll tonight!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>I thought you went to school for "human resources?"

Brian:

So I did. We also have drivers who are former teachers, nurses, lots of retired military (like myself), a couple of degreed ministers, and, of course, some ordinary hard-working folk. Are you now going to jump on Lennie Anderson's bandwagon and start whining about how I'm doing a job for which I'm overqualified?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2098. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:44:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721234433.12089.00000258@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49962

In article <20020721225156.06693.00000227@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>Cecil, once upon a time not too long ago, Roll kept mentioning
>his "summa cum laude" status is learning all about becoming
>a professional personnel person...along with claims of having
>his "choice of positions."
>
>Guess what? The superior-grade soma cum loud didn't seem to
>get his choice of professional positions despite his formal
>"human resources" education achieved with high marks.

Lennie:

Is that really what happened? Are you sure about this? Do you
have your facts absolutely, verifiably correct?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2099. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 03:52:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D3B8189.AB18D60B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49963

Avery Label wrote:

" ". "
", he added.

A very fine series of posts indeed, Len.

Dave K8MN

>
> In article <20020719031317.03734.00000253@mb-cv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >>Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a guild, trade, or union and it
> >>isn't a pseudo-military "service" requiring rigid adherence to
> >>methods, standards, procedures, jargon, or anything else.
> >
> > Amateur Radio is enjoyed as a hobby by a great many people, but it is a
> >radio SERVICE as codified in 47CFR97.1. It has specific basis and purpose,
> >of
> >which the government has allowed specific language that allows for the
> >service
> >to be utilized for past time applications.
> >
> > That is NOT all that it is. If you were a participant instead of an
> >antagonist, you'd know.
> >
> >>So you've been an amateur extra for 32 years. That means
> >>you achieved that class as a teenager. You were not yet an
> >>adult then.
> >
> > Good for him. And you are not yet one, Lennie. So what?
> >
> >>I find that your opinions are simply mirroring what has been
> >>proscribed to you with very little originality or evidence that
> >>they really are your own "opinions." For that reason I find them
> >>INVALID as your personal opinion.
> >
> > Whew. Talk about spewing rhetoric for rhetoric's sake. Let's hope the
> >EPA never reads RRAP...they'll haul the Licenseless One away for creatile
> >such
> >a mess...
> >
> >>>Indeed. Rather than accept my facts, arguments and opinions, people should
> >>>blindly accept your "I'm older, therefore I'm right" statements? Get real!

> >>>Please.
> >>
> >>Where am I stating saying "follow my direction, I am right?"
> >
> > WhhhhoooooAAAAAAAA ! ! ! ! ! ! In just about every post you write, Your
> >Creepiness! And you've been told in just about as many words, so don't act
> >like you hav never heard THAT before!
> >
> >>I haven't done that.
> >
> > Absolute, positively, unabashedly bold faced lying. No other words for
> >it.
> > An absolute falsehood!
> >
> >>>>Naturally. NO ONE knows better than N2EY What Is Best in
> >>>>Amateur Radio. :-)
> >>>
> >>>You said that, not me.
> >>
> >>Oh, you've SAID it all right, just not in those exact words.
> >
> > Aha...Jim, do your notice that just two paragraphs above, Lennie tries
> >to
> >pass off HIS rhetoric, but then tries to "claim" that you 'said' something,
> >if
> >not "not in those exact words"...???
> >
> > And peopel wonder why I keep dogging this creep.
> >
> >>You generally get upset with such
> >>challenges as evident in your post quoted here.
> >
> > Which is different from you....HOW...?!?!
> >
> >>Are you thinking at all, really and truly thinking about newcomers
> >>to amateur radio?
> >
> > Are you, Lennie? I doubt it. You can't evn get yourself past the most
> >basic license.
> >
> >>For a dozen years the US amateur radio ranks were swelled by
> >>the no-code-test Technician class licensees. You've discounted
> >>those, dismissed them, never really regarded their existance
> >>as meaningful in any way (except maybe as warm bodies who
> >>"will upgrade through code practice").
> >
> > He has NEVER said anything of the sort.
> >
> > Sheesh.
> >

> >> Technician class licensees
> >>now make up a third of all licensees and are also the least class
> >>in membership percentage in the ARRL.
> >
> > And this is NOT the fault of the ARRL. The do not "decline" membership
> >based on licensure.
> >
> > My wife is an NCT. She occassioanlly get's the same promotional
> >literature as any other Amateur.
> >
> > If anyone "discounts" the NCT, it is themselves. Most that I have known
> >that were not League members didn't join the ARRL because all they want to do
> >is work the local repeater. The ARRL represents the interests of as wide a
> >cross section of Amateurs as it can.
> >
> > And if that "third of all licensees" want ths ARRL to be anything
> >different, they can join, then vote. It's that simple. It's no different
> >than
> >the useless slugs who complain about "government", but when you challenge
> >them
> >on how they voted, they have 101 excuses as to why they didn't go.
> >
> > The only "good" excuses are hospitalization, death of a CLOSE relative,
> >your own death, or military deployment.
> >
> >>You see only ONE way to be "good amateurs:"
> >
> > I do...PARTICIPATE. Anything after that is optional.
> >
> >>"No lids, kids, or space cadets."
> >
> > And thankfully, No Lennie Nocalls!

2100. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 04:24:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722002413.26909.00000115@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49965

In article <20020721233730.12089.00000251@mb-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>Be that as it may, Kim and her silly callsign are certified by on less
>an authority as Riley Hollingsworth as taking the ARS "...one step
>closer to extinction." It doesn't get much worse than that!

Let us know how your latest job search in "human resources"
came out, Larrie.

2101. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 04:24:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722002414.26909.00000117@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49967

In article <20020721233733.12089.00000257@mb-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <2MF_8.460$O95.27638836@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>Burke"
><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
>>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
>>news:20020721110806.12089.00000208@mb-fc.aol.com...
>>
>>> OH lookee -- I made another funny -- "drive home" -- get it! I'm on a
>>> Roll tonight!
>>>
>>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>>I thought you went to school for "human resources?"
>
>Brian:
>
>So I did. We also have drivers who are former teachers, nurses,
>lots of retired military (like myself), a couple of degreed ministers,
>and, of course, some ordinary hard-working folk. Are you now
>going to jump on Lennie Anderson's bandwagon and start whining
>about how I'm doing a job for which I'm overqualified?

Poor baby. Still can't get that job in Personnel, ey?

Times are tough. Whip out your bug and show them how
Good you really are... :-)

2102. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 04:24:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722002414.26909.00000116@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49968

In article <20020721234433.12089.00000258@mb-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>>Cecil, once upon a time not too long ago, Roll kept mentioning
>>his "summa cum laude" status is learning all about becoming
>>a professional personnel person...along with claims of having
>>his "choice of positions."
>>
>>Guess what? The superior-grade soma cum loud didn't seem to
>>get his choice of professional positions despite his formal
>>"human resources" education achieved with high marks.
>
>Lennie:
>
>Is that really what happened? Are you sure about this? Do you
>have your facts absolutely, verifiably correct?

Hmmm...let's see...Roll claimed high marks in a college course for "human resources" (a buzzphrase for 'personnel' work)...and then bragged about how he could "get his choice of jobs after graduation." That was in here. A Google search will turn up.

Does Roll have a "human resources" job now? No, he says he is driving a bus. Nothing wrong with that except for the inflationary nomenclature of "paratransit specialist." :-)

Good luck on finding that job in "human resources," Larrie...

2103. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 04:24:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722002415.26909.00000118@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49966

In article <3D3B7829.7FABA4F0@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Avery Fineman wrote:
>
>Not much. Hey Avery, you are reposting with nothing added.

My sincere apologies, Cecil, and to everyone else. It was an
"AOL add-on" program written by a friend...who was notified
of the happening when it also crashed my computer system.

Back to the drawing board for him, and I have recovered the
platform here. Not a good thing this otherwise peaceful
Sunday. :-)

Len

aka "AveryFineman@aol.com"

2104. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 04:24:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C81B35B.5B777F5A@att.net>
Source record: 49964

CAM wrote:

> Avery Fineman wrote:
>
> Not much. Hey Avery, you are reposting with nothing added.

Not to worry, that's just "Lenunder6" fouling his nest again.

2105. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 04:33:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C81B56C.E36198B0@att.net>
Source record: 49969

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <20020721225156.06693.00000227@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >Cecil, once upon a time not too long ago, Roll kept mentioning
> >his "summa cum laude" status is learning all about becoming
> >a professional personnel person...along with claims of having
> >his "choice of positions."
> >
> >Guess what? The superior-grade soma cum loud didn't seem to
> >get his choice of professional positions despite his formal
> >"human resources" education achieved with high marks.
>
> Lennie:
>
> Is that really what happened? Are you sure about this? Do you
> have your facts absolutely, verifiably correct?

ARE you kidding? Loosie Goosie doesn't even own a single fact. I doubt he could even recognize one for identification if it jumped up and bit him on the butt.

2106. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 04:38:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C81B69D.C607132C@att.net>
Source record: 49970

JJ wrote:

>
> See, I said Larry would claim everything I did and more.

Uh, JJ, if you'll dig into Googe you'll find that Larry claimed it long
before you did.

2107. New Twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 04:41:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C81B766.124F4037@att.net>
Source record: 49971

NO CODE = NO HAM = LOTTA SPAM :-)

2108. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 04:47:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C81769A.99A8AC72@att.net>
Source record: 49972

CAM wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> > question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> > dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
>
> Same thing they called me back in 1952 - a ham with lots to learn.

IOW a Novice, not an Extra as is often the case these days. I see you deliberately sidestep the meat of most arguments.

2109. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:02:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3B73FD.6AE4C2AA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49973

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3D3ADA1C.2AA15D7@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> Good. I'm impressed. Not got CW? Bzzzzzt! You're inferior to me
> >> as a ham! You lose!
> > >
> > >BZZZZT!! Yes I've got cw, still can do 13 plus even though I don't
> > >use it on the air and been a ham since 1961. My first station as a
> > >Novice was homebrew transmitter and modified surplus receiver. I'm
> > >still superior to you. You lose!!
> >
> > Care to back up your claim that you can still TX/RX CW at 13 WPM
> > by scheduling a QSO on 20 meters with me? BTW --if the answer
> > is "no," you're a liar.
> >
> > >> >Being able to send and receive Morse code is child's play.
> > >>
> > >> I agree. Lots of 5 - 10 year olds have passed the 20 WPM code
> > >> test. What's your excuse?
> > > >
> > > >Don't need an excuse, can still send and receive at 13+.
> > > >
> > > >See, I said Larry would claim everything I did and more.
> >
> > Well, now you're claiming that you can send/receive Morse code
> > at 13 WPM. It will be interesting to hear your response to my
> > invitation for a QSO.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT

As I plainly stated before, I don't work cw, don't even own a key,
so call me a liar if you want but I don't have to prove a thing to
you.
I say you are probably a liar about most of your homebrew claims.
You will never be superior to me as a ham so get over it.

2110. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:16:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722011618.17874.00001003@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49974

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/21/02 22:51 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020721225153.06693.00000224@mb-mm.aol.com>

>That wasn't a good booger, Hans. You can do better. Go get some
>male radio hormone treatments and come on back. Let's see what
>you got

That's what I like.. A retired "engineer" and "radio prodessional"
discussing dried mucosa and how it's disposed of....

This has "what" to do with the code test issue, Lennie ol boy?

2111. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:17:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722011749.17874.00001004@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49975

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/21/02 22:51 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020721225155.06693.00000225@mb-mm.aol.com>

>Poor baby doesn't realize that some of us have done, are doing
>work (for hire if smart) outside of radio or even amateur radio.

If it's "you", it doesn't matter. In any case, that's not what he said,
but then you knew that.

Putz.

2112. Avery Fineman=Lenover21=Lenof21=RRAP Spam Bot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:26:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722012610.17874.00001005@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49976

Hey Lennie! Nice Job! Used 11 posts under your new "nom de guerre" of
Avery Fineman to do nothing more than quote other posters! No commentary, not
that anything you have to offer is ever of any value anyway.

Real professional there, Mr. I'm An Engineer And I Know Better Than You.
Learn that new spam protocol in one of those night school classes?

Your Putziness Factor scored a 7.5 on that one, Your Geezerness.

Nice job.

Steve

2113. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:29:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722012944.17874.00001006@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49977

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>Date: 07/21/02 23:12 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D3B7829.7FABA4F0@hotmail.com>
>
>Avery Fineman wrote:
>
>Not much. Hey Avery, you are reposting with nothing added.

Avery Fineman=lenover21=lenof21=nothing to say anyway.

Or did you miss that, Cecil?

73

Steve

2114. Re: Avery Fineman=Lenover21=Lenof21=RRAP Spam Bot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:34:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722013411.17874.00001009@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49978

Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Date: 07/22/02 00:24 Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <20020722002415.26909.00000118@mb-mo.aol.com>

In article <3D3B7829.7FABA4F0@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Avery Fineman wrote:
>
>Not much. Hey Avery, you are reposting with nothing added.

My sincere apologies, Cecil, and to everyone else. It was an
"AOL add-on" program written by a friend...who was notified
of the happening when it also crashed my computer system.

Yes, Lennie...we know all about your "friend" and your excuses for
cross-posting under the "Avery Fine/Avery Fineman" names.

Just a poor excuse for trying to water down the Google pool of your own
spam.

Just poor netiquette. More "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do........

Steve

2115. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:36:57 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B99F9.FFB976BB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49979

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Be that as it may, Kim and her silly callsign are certified by on less
> an authority as Riley Hollingsworth as taking the ARS "...one step
> closer to extinction." It doesn't get much worse than that!

Heck Larry, every passing day brings the ARS one step closer to
extinction.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2116. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:39:03 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B9A77.9E5135EA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49980

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Sorry Cecil, it doesn't count for you! You lost points by getting
> your dumbed-down, VE-tested, Post-Restructuring Extra!

Do you think I lost as many IQ points when I upgraded to Extra
as you did?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2117. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:41:39 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B9B13.F4EF1C83@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49981

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Maybe, if anything like that had ever happened, which it hasn't.
> The reality is that Ham Hero nocode Techs are probably more likely
> to cause "emergencies" with loss of life than they are to save lives.
> The cop, fireman, SAR wannabes all gravitate toward the nocode
> Tech ticket, because those HT's look sooo cool on their utility
> belts!

Those HT's sure look cool on the NASA spacesuits, you know the ones
worn by those PhD physicists?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2118. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 05:47:39 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B9C7B.9BF58467@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49982

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> > > question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> > > dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
> > >
> > Same thing they called me back in 1952 - a ham with lots to learn.
>
> IOW a Novice, not an Extra as is often the case these days. I see you
> deliberately sidestep the meat of most arguments.

Uhhhhhhh Dick, you didn't say anything about an Extra license above. You
said, "get a license". That could be a Tech license. I see you accidentally
sidestepped the meat of the argument and stepped right in the cagada.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2119. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 09:32:05 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.22.05.32.05.311106.9200@arrl.org>
Source record: 49983

On Sun, 21 Jul 2002 23:52:53 -0400, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Avery Label wrote:
>
> " ". "
> ", he added.
>
> A very fine series of posts indeed, Len.

I thought they were among the most insightful he's ever made. :-)

Jon

2120. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 10:08:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722060803.07756.00000064@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 49984

In article <3D3B76BA.FE7BA89E@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>> >Hey, great! I also had to pass a sending test at the FCC.
>>
>> Sure - for 5 wpm.
>> I had to do 13 and 20 wpm with straight key for the FCC.
>>
>> (See how it feels, Cecil?)
>
>I trust your posting was as non-serious as mine was and
>therefore no feelings happened other than a grin.

Sounds like you were intimidated by my post, Cecil. That was not the intent.

>Do you
>really pat yourself on the back for passing your Morse
>code exams at the FCC?

No more than you do, Cecil.

> Does that really matter or is your
>present Morse code skill what really matters?

'zactly. The tests were data points to satisfy the FCC. The really important
stuff is what happens on the air.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2121. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 12:06:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722080642.04875.00000151@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 49985

In article <20020721225155.06693.00000225@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) aka lenof21/averyfine/averyfineman/nocwtest writes:

>In article <20020720100702.13297.00000052@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:

>>Yup. Note that QST articles include things like the Product Reviews, which >>are
>>Consumer-Reports type evaluations, not puff pieces. ARRL actually buys the >>products tested, anonymously, then tests 'em. They are then resold at >>auction.
>
>Oh, gosh yes...everything is so absolutely squeaky-clean honest >that nobody would EVER think to fudge a bit on reports! :-)

Sounds like you have a guilty conscience ;-) How many product reviews have you done? ;-) How much "fudging" have you done in your career? Be a good honest boy and 'fess up now. ;-)
>
>The ARRL could NEVER do anything wrong, could it? :-)

Sure they could. They've backed down on support of code testing. ;-)

>>Pro-code-test people are
>>obviously the majority, as evidenced by the comments to 98-143.
>
> <insert 6 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing in here>

Ignorance of data posted by NCI people noted ;-)
>
>Gosh and golly, Jimmie, you ought to start up a class-action civil >suit against the FCC for "violating" their charter at not "listening" to >your "big majority."

Gee whiz, "Lenover21", you ought to actually try to understand the process as explained by those who know more about it than you :-)
>
>>So the "loyalty" thing makes perfect sense.
>
>In what regard?

Keep out those not of the No Code True Faith, Who Know What Is Best For The Future Of Amateur Radio ;-)

>NCI isn't an "all things to all amateurs" kind of >organization. They never were. Once we all achieve the desired >goals, aims, and objectives, the organization disbands, dissolves.

I'll believe that when it happens. Will you stop posting here when code testing goes away?
>
>>ARRL's requirement for a ham license in order to be a full member also makes
>>sense, because it keeps the organization in the hands of hams.
>
>...or rather its Section Managers and, most importantly, its Board
>of Directors. :-)

BoD members are elected by popular vote of the membership masses.
>
>>Note that ALL
>>hams who join ARRL get the same voting power, regardless of experience or
>>license class.
>
>Of course, of course... :-)

Yup ;-)

How would you know any different?
>
>>The new Tech has the same number of votes as the grizzled Extra
>>with life membership. What could be fairer?
>
>That's just so wonderful!

Ain't it great?

>So, Mr. Democratic Principles At Work,
>why aren't there more Technician Class licensees joining up to be
>part of all the "fairness?"

They don't see a reason to spend $39.

>Technicians are now the highest licensee
>class (at about one-third of all US amateur licensees) and also the
>lowest licensee class in ARRL membership.

So? What's your point? Do you even have one? ;-)

>What is your excuse for that?

Don't need one. Consider the followig, though:

- many Techs are inactive
- many Techs are focused on local comms and don't see the need for a national organization
- many Techs don't know what the ARRL does for them
>
>>>>Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?
>>>
>>> Don't the NCI BoD guys communicate with antique Captain Midnight
>>Decoder Rings?
>>
>>Nope. E-mail does just fine.

>
>It's nice that you KNOW that for a fact. Are you one of the "secret"
>members of NCI?

Nope ;-)

Is it not a fact? ;-)

Jim, N2EY

2122. Re: PING! Fuckup Shemale Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 12:08:27 UTC
From: Anonymous <Paul83@yahooeypost.net>
Message-ID: <ahgsjs$6gv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The graphic descriptions of various ham radio operators engaging
in sexual acts with their children which AB8MQ@OVIS.NET continues
to post in these ham radio groups are examples of speech which has
never been protected by the courts. However, one cannot expect
Roger Wiseman to comprehend this, much less obey any laws or rules
intended to control such obscenity.

BA

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ahgphdvsl0@pita.alt.net...
> Fuckup Shemale Lee fucks up again in
> <3D39C465.63A9CCEF@houston-hunks.com>:
> "I wonder how long it will take Ohio Valley Internet Services to boot
> your ass?"
>
>
> Poor Fuckup Lee, he's always so hopeful he can censor others' free speech.
>

2123. Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 12:28:43 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207220428.4b477783@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49987

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020720100947.11197.00000700@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Laughing At The Superior Intellect
> >From: wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
> >Date: 07/19/02 23:08 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <b7d1465c.0207191908.47b11ac1@posting.google.com>
>
> >Good shot, Steve, but nope. It was Clint Eastwood in 'The Gauntlet'
> >when he commandered a local (Las Vegas) constable's car.
>
> Now I remember...He and Sandra Locke were trying to out of Vegas and they
> couldn't figure out why they kept getting way-laid at every turn...
>
> Great movie!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yes it is. I remember going to the Roosevelt Field theater with my dad
to see it when it came out. I was always a BIG Clint Eastwood fan. The
same movie gave us "This is my gun, Clyde."

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2124. Re: Avery Fineman=Lenover21=Lenof21=RRAP Spam Bot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 13:13:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <SxT_8.10864$Qk6.4293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49988

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Hey Lennie! Nice Job! Used 11 posts under your new "nom de guerre" of
> Avery Fineman to do nothing more than quote other posters!

Len may be A Very Fine Man, but turns out he is related to Iben
Rascaloninoff.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

2125. Re: Avery Fineman=Lenover21=Lenof21=RRAP Spam Bot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 13:20:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <WET_8.10889$Qk6.937@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49989

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote

>
> Len may be A Very Fine Man, but turns out he is related to Iben
> Rascaloninoff.
>

Check out this piece of work from southern Cal. .....

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=19980305.021527.20582.0.RG8UA%40juno.com&oe=UTF-8&output=gplain

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

2126. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 13:26:39 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3C080F.C0BAFBA4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49990

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >I trust your posting was as non-serious as mine was and
> >therefore no feelings happened other than a grin.
>
> Sounds like you were intimidated by my post, Cecil. That was not the intent.

You sure are hung up on intimidation, Jim. Sounds like a personal problem
to me. It is impossible to intimidate anyone on a netnews group unless
that someone cooperates in his/her own intimidation. Sticks and stones ...

> >Do you
> >really pat yourself on the back for passing your Morse
> >code exams at the FCC?
>
> No more than you do, Cecil.

Mine are all in jest, Jim. It matters not one iota where or how long ago
one took one's exams.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2127. Re: Avery Fineman=Lenover21=Lenof21=RRAP Spam Bot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 14:40:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujo6a73m2pfl77@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49991

Now there is quite a literary work of um ... well, almost anything is called art these days, huh?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:WET_8.10889$Qk6.937@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote
>
> >
> > Len may be A Very Fine Man, but turns out he is related to Iben
> > Rascaloninoff.
> >
>
> Check out this piece of work from southern Cal. .....
>
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=19980305.021527.20582.0.RG8UA%40juno.co
> m&oe=UTF-8&output=gplain
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>
>
>
>

2128. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 15:32:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C825005.82D529B4@att.net>
Source record: 49992

CAM wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> > > > question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> > > > dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
> > >
> > > Same thing they called me back in 1952 - a ham with lots to learn.
> >
> > IOW a Novice, not an Extra as is often the case these days. I see you
> > deliberately sidestep the meat of most arguments.
>
> Uhhhhhhh Dick, you didn't say anything about an Extra license above.

Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh, Cecil, there *is no* Novice license available to newcomers these days. All may as well go ahead and glom an Extra, and many do.

2129. Re: PING! Fuckup Shemale Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 17:07:08 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahhf7dfsg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49993
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Anonymous" <Paul83@yahooeypost.net> wrote in message
news:ahgsjs$6gv$0@pita.alt.net...
> The graphic descriptions of various ham radio operators engaging
> in sexual acts with their children which AB8MQ@alt.net continues
> to give me a chubby. They are examples of speech which has
> never been able to get me hard before. However, one cannot expect
> Roger Wiseman to comprehend this, much less worry about it since I have
> that vacuum cleaner atachment when my smelly fish is selling cosmetics.
>
> Buttfuckers Anonymous

Poor Fuckup Lee. Get a new crying towel.

> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ahgphdvsl0@pita.alt.net...
> > Fuckup Shemale Lee fucks up again in
> > <3D39C465.63A9CCEF@houston-hunks.com>:
> > "I wonder how long it will take Ohio Valley Internet Services to boot
> > your ass?"
> >
> >
> > Poor Fuckup Lee, he's always so hopeful he can censor others' free speech.
> >
>
>

2130. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 17:28:16 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3C40B0.3EA3608@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49994

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > > So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> > > > > question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> > > > > dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
> > > >
> > > > Same thing they called me back in 1952 - a ham with lots to learn.
> > >
> > > IOW a Novice, not an Extra as is often the case these days. I see you
> > > deliberately sidestep the meat of most arguments.
> >
> > Uhhhhhhh Dick, you didn't say anything about an Extra license above.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh, Cecil, there *is no* Novice license available to
> newcomers these days. All may as well go ahead and glom an Extra, and many do.

Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh, Dick, I wasn't talking about a Novice
license. I got my Conditional (General) in 1952 which included *ALL* frequency
and power privileges. I had *ALL* frequency and power privileges, just like
the Extras do now, and didn't "know enough to build a dipole". I had to rely on
my Elmer for instructions on how to build a dipole. As I recall, how to build a
dipole was not even covered on the 1952 General License exam.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

2131. Re: PING! Fuckup Shemale Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 18:05:20 UTC
From: Anonymous <anonymous@anonymousxxxnospamxxx.com>
Message-ID: <ahhhh2$kr6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49995
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message:

> > The graphic descriptions of various ham radio operators engaging
> > in sexual acts with their children which AB8MQ@OVIS.NET continues
> > to give me a chubby. They are examples of speech which has
> > never been able to get me hard before. However, one cannot expect
> > Roger Wiseman to comprehend this, much less worry about it since I have
> > that vacuum cleaner atachment when my smelly fish is selling cosmetics.
> >
> > Buttfuckers Anonymous
>
>
> Poor Fuckup Lee. Get a new crying towel.
>

Ohio Valley Internet Service OVIS.NET
1816 7th St
Moundsville WV 26041
304-843-5090
304-843-5092 fax

Mr. Anthony Lemons, Administrative Manager
ALEMONS@OVIS.NET

2132. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 18:06:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722140649.07756.00000086@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 49996

In article <3D3C080F.C0BAFBA4@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>> >I trust your posting was as non-serious as mine was and
>> >therefore no feelings happened other than a grin.
>>
>> Sounds like you were intimidated by my post, Cecil. That was not the
>intent.
>
>You sure are hung up on intimidation, Jim.

Not me, Cecil.

>Sounds like a personal problem to me.

Perhaps you should seek help for it, then ;-)

>It is impossible to intimidate anyone on a netnews group unless
>that someone cooperates in his/her own intimidation.

There you go, being omniscient again...

> Sticks and stones ...
>
>> >Do you
>> >really pat yourself on the back for passing your Morse
>> >code exams at the FCC?
>>
>> No more than you do, Cecil.
>
>Mine are all in jest, Jim.

You are beginning to understand, grasshopper.

>It matters not one iota where or how long ago one took one's exams.

Sure it does. It matters exactly one iota. It's one tiny piece of information,
no more, no less.

What really matters is:

- did the person pass?
- what did they do with the resulting license and its privileges?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2133. More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 18:41:40 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <7IY_8.131445$JU3.60356207@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49997

I have included information many hams may have already received from other sources. This illustrates that Amateur Radio IS considered a part of our National Home Security and communications policy. Therefore, it is why I believe the time is NOW for an organized, as well as individual, effort to rid
our bands of illegal bandits and truckers who are usurping our bands for them-
selves. Perhaps, as Phil Kane has suggested, in the past there was no real incen-
tive for FCC to become aggressively involved in CB and Ham enforcement. However, IF Amateur Radio is to be an effective supplement to our Nation's Home Security Effort, can it not be argued that it deserves protection from illegal
and unnecessary incursions from "casual" operators who can interfere with such
Effort? If that be true, then now is the time for Amateurs to write letters--even to
Tom Ridge himself explaining the problems that illegal interference can cause. If
our own citizens (truckers and CBers) refuse to obey our laws, how can we be any effective force while being interfered with by illegal operators? We cannot
rid ourselves of these "roaches" if we sit on our hands, whine in here, and expect
someone else to DO something! We must rise up and do it ourselves. We do not have the legal power to punish these operators, and until the Feds make this interference unattractive thru fines and other actions, these people will only become bolder. Like exploring an old house, they will continue to peek into rooms and, finding said rooms attractive, they will go in and make themselves
comfortable while we whine and complain, and argue with each other expecting someone "else" to do something about it. This is "mob" rule, their activities, and
we cannot allow it to continue. We MUST take the initiative and let the Congress
know that we need help to get rid of the roaches that now infest our bands! At
another time, it may have been more difficult to make Congress see the need for
protection, or even realize the harm that such illegal operators do. But now, while
our presence is known, our achievements are well received, bills are even now circulating in Congress (relief from CC&Rs), it is a good time to make our case

against the unlicensed operators! At this time, I feel we have an
ADVANTAGE,
we have the momentum, and we have the favorable image to get something done!
Shouldn't we take advantage of our advantage and strike while the iron is
hot?
Please! Write your Representatives, write Mr. Tauzin, write Mr Ridge and
make
the need for spectrum protection from domestic interference known!

73

Jerry
K4KWH

>From: ^ <tricycleb@yahoo.com>
>Reply-To: SGUSA@yahoogroups.com
>To: mddf@yahoogroups.com, sgusa@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [SGUSA] The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland securitygrant
>from the US
>Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2002 04:40:23 -0700 (PDT)
>
>Source: www.arrl.org
>
>American Radio Relay League--The National Association
>For Amateur Radio--
>
>==>ARRL RECEIVES HOMELAND SECURITY TRAINING GRANT
>
>The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland security
>grant from the US
>government to train Amateur Radio operators in
>emergency communication. The
>League was among several dozen nonprofit organizations
>
>designated to receive
>some $10.3 million in federal money to boost homeland
>defense volunteer
>programs. The grant, from the Corporation for National
>
>and Community Service
>special volunteer program, will provide free ARRL
>Amateur Radio Emergency
>Communications Course training to 5200 volunteers
>nationwide, starting in
>2003.
>
>"ARRL is the national association for Amateur Radio
>and is the national
>leader in emergency communications by volunteers who
>operate their own
>equipment on their time at no cost to any government,

>organization, or
>corporation," said the July 19 announcement from
>Homeland Security Director
>Tom Ridge. The ARRL plans to revise and update the
>emergency communications
>curriculum to incorporate additional elements of
>emergency preparedness and
>homeland security.
>
>ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, said he was
>extremely pleased by the news.
>"This adds legitimacy to the public service work
>Amateur Radio has been doing
>for years," he said.
>
>ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH,
>applied for the funding in
>May, inviting the Corporation for National and
>Community Service to become "a
>partner with the nation's oldest volunteer radio
>communications organization."
>
>"I think this is an extraordinarily exciting day for
>Amateur Radio that the
>role of Amateur Radio in homeland security is
>recognized at the highest
>levels of government," Hobart said upon learning of
>the grant. The League's
>grant application characterized Amateur Radio as "the
>bedrock of
>communications when other outlets fail."
>
>Citing Amateur Radio's response in the aftermath of
>the September 11
>terrorist attacks, Hobart said the federal grant "will
>
>help continue our work
>in providing public service and to protect lives,
>homes, businesses and our
>frequencies, as we have for decades."
>
>The League had sought a three-year grant of $541,750.
>The $181,900 grant
>covers the first year's direct program costs. The
>proposed budget includes
>the cost of a project coordinator who would be
>responsible for overall fiscal
>management of the grant.
>
>ARRL Chief Executive Officer David Sumner, K1ZZ, said

>he was pleased that the
>League would be able to extend its Amateur Radio
>Emergency Communications
>program to thousands of amateurs who might otherwise
>not be able to afford
>the program. "We hope all who are interested will get
>on
>board," he said.
>
>The grant announcement said that "expanding the
>opportunities for Americans
>to participate in meaningful volunteer service" is at
>the heart of President
>George Bush's USA Freedom Corps, of which the
>Corporation for National and
>Community Service is a part.
>
>"We are deeply grateful to Tom Ridge and to the
>Corporation for National and
>Community Service for providing Amateur Radio with a
>unique opportunity to
>serve our country," Hobart said.

2134. Re: Avery Fineman=Lenover21=Lenof21=RRAP Spam Bot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 21:20:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722172034.25905.00000119@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 49998

In article <SxT_8.10864$Qk6.4293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> Hey Lennie! Nice Job! Used 11 posts under your new "nom de guerre" of
>> Avery Fineman to do nothing more than quote other posters!
>
>Len may be A Very Fine Man, but turns out he is related to Iben
>Rascaloninoff.

2135. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 21:20:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722172035.25905.00000120@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 49999

In article <20020722080642.04875.00000151@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020721225155.06693.00000225@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) aka lenof21/averyfine/averyfineman/nocwtest writes:
>
>>In article <20020720100702.13297.00000052@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>
>>>Yup. Note that QST articles include things like the Product Reviews, which
>>>are
>>>Consumer-Reports type evaluations, not puff pieces. ARRL actually buys the
>>>products tested, anonymously, then tests 'em. They are then resold at
>>>auction.
>>
>>Oh, gosh yes...everything is so absolutely squeaky-clean honest
>>that nobody would EVER think to fudge a bit on reports! :-)
>
>Sounds like you have a guilty conscience ;-) How many product reviews have
>you
>done? ;-) How much "fudging" have you done in your career? Be a good honest
>boy
>and 'fess up now. ;-)
>>
>>The ARRL could NEVER do anything wrong, could it? :-)
>
>Sure they could. They've backed down on support of code testing. ;-)
>
>>>Pro-code-test people are
>>>obviously the majority, as evidenced by the comments to 98-143.
>>
>> <insert 6 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing in here>
>
>Ignorance of data posted by NCI people noted ;-)
>>
>>Gosh and golly, Jimmie, you ought to start up a class-action civil
>>suit against the FCC for "violating" their charter at not "listening" to
>>your "big majority."
>
>Gee whiz, "Lenover21", you ought to actually try to understand the process as
>explained by those who know more about it than you :-)
>>
>>>So the "loyalty" thing makes perfect sense.

>>
>>In what regard?
>
>Keep out those not of the No Code True Faith, Who Know What Is Best For The
>Future Of Amateur Radio ;-)
>
>>NCI isn't an "all things to all amateurs" kind of
>>organization. They never were. Once we all achieve the desired
>>goals, aims, and objectives, the organization disbands, dissolves.
>
>I'll believe that when it happens. Will you stop posting here when code
>testing
>goes away?
>>
>>>ARRL's requirement for a ham license in order to be a full member also
>makes
>>>sense, because it keeps the organization in the hands of hams.
>>
>>...or rather its Section Managers and, most importantly, its Board
>>of Directors. :-)
>
>BoD members are elected by popular vote of the membership masses.
>>
>>>Note that ALL
>>>hams who join ARRL get the same voting power, regardless of experience or
>>>license class.
>>
>>Of course, of course... :-)
>
>Yup ;-)
>
>How would you know any different?
>>
>>>The new Tech has the same number of votes as the grizzled Extra
>>>with life membership. What could be fairer?
>>
>>That's just so wonderful!
>
>Ain't it great?
>
>>So, Mr. Democratic Principles At Work,
>>why aren't there more Technician Class licensees joining up to be
>>part of all the "fairness?"
>
>They don't see a reason to spend $39.
>
>>Technicians are now the highest licensee
>>class (at about one-third of all US amateur licensees) and also the
>>lowest licensee class in ARRL membership.
>

>So? What's your point? Do you even have one? ;-)
>
>>What is your excuse for that?
>
>Don't need one. Consider the followig, though:
>
>- many Techs are inactive
>- many Techs are focused on local comms and don't see the need for a national
>organization
>- many Techs don't know what the ARRL does for them
>>
>>>>>Were there any contested BOD positions in the NCI elections?
>>>>
>>>> Don't the NCI BoD guys communicate with antique Captain Midnight
>>>Decoder Rings?
>>>
>>>Nope. E-mail does just fine.
>>
>>It's nice that you KNOW that for a fact. Are you one of the "secret"
>>members of NCI?
>
>Nope ;-)
>
>Is it not a fact? ;-)

2136. Re: More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 21:41:37 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3D3C7BC5.BA4FAF38@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50000

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> At this time, I feel we have an
> ADVANTAGE,
> we have the momentum, and we have the favorable image to get something done!
> Shouldn't we take advantage of our advantage and strike while the iron is
> hot?
> Please! Write your Representatives, write Mr. Tauzin, write Mr Ridge and
> make
> the need for spectrum protection from domestic interference known!
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
>
>
1.
I have already written my Rep. about what I think about the
Patriot Act and Homeland Defense. I just noticed where Joe
Byden announced that it was OK for US Army active soldiers
to arrest american citizens at home.

2.
I am afraid anything I sent to Tauzin or Dingell would have
to be prefaced by a request for those fellows to return the
PAC money to WorldCom so they could get out of Chapter 11.

3.
As for Ridge, be careful of what you ask. One might reach
the conclusion that *more* unwashed 10 and 12 meter
operators are needed for the "Tipping" tasks, not less.

73,
Clay N4AOX

2137. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 21:58:04 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3C7FEB.1D24A3B5@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50001

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> Does Roll have a "human resources" job now? No, he says he
> is driving a bus. Nothing wrong with that except for the inflationary
> nomenclature of "paratransit specialist." :-)
>
> Good luck on finding that job in "human resources," Larrie...

If it's an HR position in an engineering or technology company,
they do little more than fill out paperwork for tax withholding,
benefits signups "Where's my damn ID card", paperwork for
layoff victims, and such. Engineering managers pick out who
to hire and can anyway. Driving a bus may actually be more
interesting....

2138. Re: More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 22:22:21 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <jA%_8.132307$JU3.60424444@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50002

Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3D3C7BC5.BA4FAF38@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > At this time, I feel we have an
> > ADVANTAGE,
> > we have the momentum, and we have the favorable image to get something
done!
> > Shouldn't we take advantage of our advantage and strike while the iron
is
> > hot?
> > Please! Write your Representatives, write Mr. Tauzin, write Mr Ridge
and
> > make
> > the need for spectrum protection from domestic interference known!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> > K4KWH
> >
> >
> 1.
> I have already written my Rep. about what I think about the
> Patriot Act and Homeland Defense. I just noticed where Joe
> Byden announced that it was OK for US Army active soldiers
> to arrest american citizens at home.
>
> 2.
> I am afraid anything I sent to Tauzin or Dingell would have
> to be prefaced by a request for those fellows to return the
> PAC money to WorldCom so they could get out of Chapter 11.
>
> 3.
> As for Ridge, be careful of what you ask. One might reach
> the conclusion that *more* unwashed 10 and 12 meter
> operators are needed for the "Tipping" tasks, not less.

Yeah,

I can just picture it now: "BREAK THAR, MERCY ME THAR, YUH GOTTA
OLE COPY THAR ON THIS H'YAR MIDN'AHT COWBOY THAR, GRE'T
DAY THAR, FER THIS H'YAR EEEE-MER-GIN-CEEE REDDY-O TRAF-

FIC THAR, KICK HIT BACK THAR, MERCY ME THAR, GO'RD AHAID
THAR. BREAK---SQUEAK, BEEP"!!!

Jerry
>
> 73,
> Clay N4AOX

2139. Re: More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-22 23:30:04 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020722193004.03964.00000158@mb-ck.news.cs.com>
Source record: 50003

>Yeah,
>
>I can just picture it now: "BREAK THAR, MERCY ME THAR, YUH GOTTA
>OLE COPY THAR ON THIS H'YAR MIDN'AHT COWBOY THAR, GRE'T
>DAY THAR, FER THIS H'YAR EEEE-MER-GIN-CEEE REDDY-O TRAF-
>FIC THAR, KICK HIT BACK THAR, MERCY ME THAR, GO'RD AHAID
>THAR. BREAK---SQUEAK, BEEP"!!!
>
>Jerry

Here's the description from the opening page of the R.A.I.N. Report website. You can then select the 'PRESENT R.A.I.N. REPORT' in either WindowsMedia or Real Player format.

"All Inclusive, Not Exclusive" The live town hall meeting sponsored by the Amateur Radio Newsline at this spring's Dayton HamVention generated some thought provoking talks we'll be bringing you over the next few weeks. None generated as much controversy as the keynote speech, delivered by Harold Ort, N2RLL, Editor of Popular Communications magazine. While his message may ruffle a few feathers, his call for merging ham radio's resources with those of other personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable. EVERY ham in America should listen to what he has to say. (16 minutes)

Mr. Ort did a superb job of explaining why thequoted text just isn't making it with all the challenges that lay ahead.

www.rainreport.com

Enjoy. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2140. Re: More on 10 and 12 meter operations, emerg coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 01:56:34 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mJ2%8.45715$Iu6.2661105@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50004

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020722193004.03964.00000158@mb-ck.news.cs.com...

> Here's the description from the opening page of the R.A.I.N. Report
website.
> You can then select the 'PRESENT R.A.I.N. REPORT' in either WindowsMedia
or
> Real Player format.
>
> "All Inclusive, Not Exclusive" The live town hall meeting sponsored by
the
> Amateur Radio Newsline at this spring's Dayton HamVention generated some
> thought provoking talks we'll be bringing you over the next few weeks.
None
> generated as much controversy as the keynote speech, delivered by Harold
Ort,
> N2RLL, Editor of Popular Communications magazine. While his message may
ruffle
> a few feathers, his call for merging ham radio's resources with those of
other
> personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable. EVERY ham
in
> America should listen to what he has to say. (16 minutes)
>
> Mr. Ort did a superb job of explaining why thequoted text just isn't
making it
> with all the challenges that lay ahead.
>
> www.rainreport.com
>
> Enjoy. :-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Bert, like so many good ideas the trick is getting from here to there.
How to take latent capability, a man and a radio, and get something
accomplished with it; the difference between an Army and a bunch of guys
with guns.

Assuming you can get the infrastructure established without too much
penis-measurement (and that is pretty well the current state of affairs)
it ultimately comes down not to the Majors, Colonels, and Generals but to
the Sergeants and Corporals. And these guys are very likely to be the ad
hoc people who are dumb enough to volunteer. Anyone who has ever been net

control on one of these things very quickly develops a lot of sympathy for Attilla the Hun or at least his top-kick because it takes a cast-iron SOB to keep things flowing in something resembling a straight line.
Discipline among those caught in the fog of action is either developed on the parade ground in advance or by someone looked to for leadership for whatever reason on the spot who forgets having to live with the troops afterward and kicks some butts while teaching very quickly. The first rule of mule-training applies: one must know more than the mule.

The problem in its simplest form is that a man with a radio feels called upon to talk now and then. Unless some order is imposed, you get the tendency of green troops to fire at everything in sight and you have the radio equivalent of Kent State. So the first requirement is to enforce Tom Lehrer's axiom: People who cannot communicate should have the good grace to shut up.

One good source of a bit of history of people faced with this kind of problem and from which some lessons might be drawn is -- of all places -- the history behind the Boy Scouts, the 'seige' of Mafeking - made famous by Shirley Pimple -- during the Boer War. The younger male residents were put to work under their own elected leadership at a lot of things not requiring carrying a gun and performed very well. Kind of early-day terrorism by a bunch of Dutch farmers riding plow horses in Kommandos which might well be a lesson of its own. The Brits of the period were bumbling incompetants (much like our people??) but blind pigs find acorns. Look up Kipling's 'Stellenbosch'.

Merging is too strong a term but working together is possible and probably necessary. But I strongly suspect that a successful operation will be characterized by a decentralized approach where groups are led by their own. This makes laison a major but necessary headache.

Think it thru Bert. Advocacy of arm-in-arm into the sunset is far easier than actually getting it done. And when the smoke clears, there is all that Health & Welfare traffic to handle.
Sells magazines but that is about all.

Dick N BK

2141. Re: More on 10 and 12 meter operations, emerg coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 02:54:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5p3%8.132936$JU3.60576686@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50005

Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:mJ2%8.45715$Iu6.2661105@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020722193004.03964.00000158@mb-ck.news.cs.com...
>
> > Here's the description from the opening page of the R.A.I.N. Report
> website.
> > You can then select the 'PRESENT R.A.I.N. REPORT' in either WindowsMedia
> or
> > Real Player format.
> >
> > "All Inclusive, Not Exclusive" The live town hall meeting sponsored by
> the
> > Amateur Radio Newsline at this spring's Dayton HamVention generated some
> > thought provoking talks we'll be bringing you over the next few weeks.
> None
> > generated as much controversy as the keynote speech, delivered by Harold
> Ort,
> > N2RLL, Editor of Popular Communications magazine. While his message may
> ruffle
> > a few feathers, his call for merging ham radio's resources with those of
> other
> > personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable. EVERY ham
> in
> > America should listen to what he has to say. (16 minutes)
> >
> > Mr. Ort did a superb job of explaining why thequoted text just isn't
> making it
> > with all the challenges that lay ahead.
> >
> > www.rainreport.com
> >
> > Enjoy. :-)
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
> Bert, like so many good ideas the trick is getting from here to there.
> How to take latent capability, a man and a radio, and get something
> accomplished with it; the difference between an Army and a bunch of guys
> with guns.
>

> Assuming you can get the infrastructure established without too much
> penis-measurement (and that is pretty well the current state of affairs)
> it ultimately comes down not to the Majors, Colonels, and Generals but to
> the Sergeants and Corporals. And these guys are very likely to be the ad
> hoc people who are dumb enough to volunteer. Anyone who has ever been net
> control on one of these things very quickly develops a lot of sympathy for
> Attilla the Hun or at least his top-kick because it takes a cast-iron SOB
> to keep things flowing in something resembling a straight line.
> Discipline among those caught in the fog of action is either developed on
> the parade ground in advance or by someone looked to for leadership for
> whatever reason on the spot who forgets having to live with the troops
> afterward and kicks some butts while teaching very quickly. The first
> rule of mule-training applies: one must know more than the mule.
>
> The problem in its simplest form is that a man with a radio feels called
> upon to talk now and then. Unless some order is imposed, you get the
> tendency of green troops to fire at everything in sight and you have the
> radio equivalent of Kent State. So the first requirement is to enforce
> Tom Lehrer's axiom: People who cannot communicate should have the good
> grace to shut up.
>
> One good source of a bit of history of people faced with this kind of
> problem and from which some lessons might be drawn is -- of all places --
> the history behind the Boy Scouts, the 'seige' of Mafeking - made famous
> by Shirley Pimple -- during the Boer War. The younger male residents were
> put to work under their own elected leadership at a lot of things not
> requiring carrying a gun and performed very well. Kind of early-day
> terrorism by a bunch of Dutch farmers riding plow horses in Kommandos
> which might well be a lesson of its own. The Brits of the period were
> bumbling incompetants (much like our people??) but blind pigs find acorns.
> Look up Kipling's 'Stellenbosch'.
>
> Merging is too strong a term but working together is possible and probably
> necessary. But I strongly suspect that a successful operation will be
> characterized by a decentralized approach where groups are led by their
> own. This makes laison a major but necessary headache.
>
> Think it thru Bert. Advocacy of arm-in-arm into the sunset is far easier
> than actually getting it done. And when the smoke clears, there is all
> that Health & Welfare traffic to handle.
> Sells magazines but that is about all.
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK

Like I said, "Yuh gotta ole copy thar, mercy me thar, shore thang thar, gre't day
'live thar, I know whutcha mean thar, shore do thar, BEEP SQUEAK!" ad nauseum. Yup, put 'em to work doin' sum'pin--just leave the message traffic to the trained ops.

Jerry
>
>
>

2142. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 03:14:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50007

In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:
>
>>How do you know? "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range. Do you >>know how to program a PLC?
>
>If you mean Programmable Logic Controller for "PLC," then no.

Well, there you have it.

>I'm not
>into control of big electrical motors or other industrial process machinery.

PLCs are a big part of "radio and electronics". Most industrial processes use them. Their sophistication has grown from simple relay-logic replacers to distributed control systems. Many use radio and/or fiberoptics for communications.

>I've learned and become accomplished on several programmable
>calculators and computers and know enough, but have not actually,
>programmed a PLA (Programmable Logic Array...had someone else
>do that). Why do you ask (as if readers didn't know...)?

The point is that "radio and electronics" is a very wide and diverse field.

>>But not everything. "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range.
>>
>>There are undoubtedly areas of "radio and electronics" where you have more >>experience than I. Same for Cecil. And there are also areas where I have >more
>>experience than either of you - or both of you put together.
>
>How can readers "know" this?

The same way they "know" anyhting else - including your claims.

>Your only "expertise" is limited to your
>own claims and a write-up in the "Electric Radio" specialty mail-only
>periodical.

Incorrect. I've had other articles published in amateur radio journals - QST, to be exact.

Your only "expertise" is limited to your own claims and a few articles written decades ago for a now-defunct magazine.
>
>>So you claim. But you have very little experience in amateur radio. You have
>>no experience whatsoever as an amateur radio operator.
>
>So, you do not accept "third-party" "operators" communicating over
>amateur radio under control of a licensed amateur? :-)

Nope. The FCC makes the rules, and to them, "operating an amateur radio station" has a very precise definition. Your activites do not meet that definition. The simple fact is that you have no experience whatsoever as an amateur radio operator.
>
>Are amateur radio transmissions encrypted or otherwise made to
>deceive or change meanings of communications? I think you'd find
>THAT a lot more illegal...but I've not been illegal in radio operation in
>any radio service, ever.

How can readers "know" this?

>>> I've been
>>>fortunate to start out my radio experience in the Big Leagues of
>>>HF communications some time ago.
>>
>>"Big Leagues"? So you keep telling us.
>
>I have a long-licensed radio amateur as a reference...we were in
>the same "big league" HF station at the same time in Army service.
>That station had 36 (in 1953) growing to 43 transmitters (by 1956)
>with power outputs of 1 KW to 40 KW. The monthly message
>transmission was over 200K. In 1955 the transmitter antenna field
>occupied the entirety of a small airfield. It took a Battalion to staff
>everything. I'd say it was the "big leagues." :-)

Of course you would. You did it, therefore it must be Important ;-)
>
>>No, it isn't amateur radio. The resources and goals of different radio
>>services are very different.
>
>My, but aren't you observant! :-)
>
>When I began in those "big leagues" there was also a requirement
>to be able to "close with and destroy the enemy."

How did you do that from Tokyo? ;-)

>The 1952 to 1956
>period was not a "safe" time since the USSR had The Bomb, the
>French had lost their colony called "Indochina" and the Cold War
>was very hot, indeed.

That's very observant of you. ;-)

>>Legally, adherence to FCC rules is all that is required.
>
>Why isn't that enough?

Letter of the law vs. spirit of the law.

>Oh...you will justify "more" as follows-
>
>>However, the amateur
>>radio service is enhanced by voluntary adherence to good operating and
>>technical standards.
>
>How do you mean? See Part 97 for "good operating and technical
>standards." Or do you refer to the "Amateur's Code" for all those
>Maxims?
>
>>Such as using the standard phonetics.
>
>I was using "standard phonetics" in 1955...when the US military
>converted to the NATO Phonetic Alphabet, which was quickly
>adopted by the ICAO the same year. Not a problem. Minor
>memorizing and being careful not to use the old set. :-)
>
>>Are you against amateurs having standards?
>
>No.

Then you must agree that simple adherence to FCC regulations is not all that is
required of hams.

> Why are you so insistent on the standards and practices
>of 70 years ago in amateur radio?

How do you mean? What "standards and practices" of 1932 are you referring to?

>>>>I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>>>
>>>Sure you do...you use it implicitly all the time.
>>
>>Do you have the ability to mindread?
>
>Absolutely not...but then my human interaction does not involve
>totally on a monotonic on-off representation of an alphabet and
>numbers.

Sure it does - it's called ASCII, and we see your use of it here all the time.
>
>>"N2EY's OPINIONS are challenged as being invalid."
>>
>>How can an opinion be "invalid"?
>
>Because you claim you "know what is best for ham radio" in the
>insistence of everyone else doing as you did... :-)

You are quite mistaken. Where have I "insisted" that everyone else do as I did?

>
>>>I find you opinions to be nearly the same as what the ARRL
>>>writes as "good and proper" for all radio amateurs to follow. :-)
>>
>>Ever think that perhaps they are good and considered opinions? Does being
>from
>>the ARRL automatically discredit something?
>
>Ever think that your opinions are old, outdated, and belong to a
>minority segment?

Nope.

>Does being agreeable with the ARRL
>automatically indicate "you know what is best?" :-)

Nope. Neither does your knee-jerk rejection of such things make you "right".
You don't get praise so you try to damn all those who have differing opinions.
>
>>>Where am I stating saying "follow my direction, I am right?"
>>
>>You hold up your age as evidence of being right.
>
>No. EXPERIENCE.

No. AGE. You make a big deal about how old you are vs. how old I am.

>Experience in a lot of radio services as both
>military member and civilian.

But none as a radio amateur.

>Experience in seeing how large-scale
>decisions were reached and their justifications,

But none as a radio amateur, making small-scale decisions with limited
resources.

>all for a variety of communications that seems to be foreign for your thinking
of ham radio.

So? You provide us with lots of criticism of ham radio, but no positive vision.
No new ideas.
>
>>You've held up your age as evidence of being right. You use your claimed
>>experience in nonamateur radio as somehow qualifying you to pass judgement
>>on the amateur radio rules, methods and practices.
>
>I don't use JUST my age, but decades of observation of INDIVIDUALS
>within amateur radio and whether or not they used a mask of altruism
>over their personal desires versus those who actually looked towards
>the good of the whole.

Sounds like you want us to grant you the title of "expert by association". No deal ;-)
>
>>When people disagree, you make
>>fun of their names, jobs, and other characteristics. Why not just discuss
>>the issues?
>
>I would LOVE to get back to issues and subjects.

Then why all the name calling and abuse? Why make fun of your opponents the way you do?

>However, there are
>so many hams who are only interested in showing their "qualifications"
>in "knowing what is best," demeaning anyone who disagrees with them,
>and generally making fun of anyone who does not parrot the party line
>of the long-timers.

What does that have to do with YOUR behavior?
>
>>You also ridicule the accomplishments of those who disagree with you.
>
>Poor baby, suffering from "double standard syndrome" still?

>You have discounted, demeaned, tried to discredit my experience in
>radio that goes farther, extends for a longer time than your own total
>life experience.

When? Give us an example.

>Sorry, but you cannot hold yourself up as anything
>"more superior" in radio other than your morsemanship..

Why not? You don't know how to program a PLC - I do. That's part of "radio and electronics. QED.

>.but you
>insist on being the "superior" and get all upset when someone
>doesn't bow down to you or similar persons who claim to "know
>what is best" for just about anything.

Describing yourself, again.

>>No one is required to be a member of ARRL in order to be a radio amateur.
>
>True, over three-fourths of all US radio amateurs are NOT members.

Over 99% of all US radio amateurs are NOT members of No Code International, either.

Which group is more representative US of amateur radio?

>I don't know your "point" here and, evidently, you cannot under-
>stand why that majority of radio amateurs are NOT members. :-)

My point is simply that since ARRL membership is not a requirement, many will not join.

What is your explanation of "why that majority of radio amateurs are NOT members"?

>>I don't say that. I simply relate my own experiences, opinions, and facts.
>
>If you want such things accepted about yourself, you have to
>accept others' experiences, opinions, and facts.

Why? You don't accept my experiences, opinions, and facts - why should I accept yours?

>>Rather than treat me as an individual, you lump me in with all other
>>procodetest people, as if we are all the same.
>
>You PCTAs are individual but seem to be the SAME on the
>"reasons" for keeping the code test.

There you go. Bigotry, and ad hominem attack.

>>ANYONE who has an opinion about amateur radio policy, methods or practices
>>claims to "know what is best for amateur radio". For example, Carl
>>Stevenson,
>>Executive Director of NCI, thinks that total elimination of code testing is
>>best for amateur radio. He KNOWS it is.
>
>Incorrect.

No, absolutely correct. As much as I disagree with Carl, I do not think he would push for something he knew was not in the best interest of amateur radio. Carl may be mistaken but he's not intentionally trying to damage amateur radio.

You, on the other hand, are focused on somthing else...

>Carl is of that opinion as are all the members of NCI,
>licensed or not, US nationals or citizens of non-USA countries.

And they KNOW it's what's best.
>
>What you fail to understand (or don't WANT to understand) is that
>many members of NCI are already "vetted" in morsemanship (have
>taken tests proving their ability) but are against the code test as a
>REQUIREMENT FOR LICENSING.

I understand that perfectly well. Those folks are simply mistaken.

>They aren't against USE of
>morse in amateur radio activities, just against the code TEST.

Can you guarantee that 100% of those against the TEST are not against the USE? I think not.
>
>The PCTAs seem united in their major opinion for keeping the

>test and that is all tied up in "they had to do it so everyone else
>has to do it too."

Nope. Incorrect. Oversimplfication.

> Secondarily, their reasons are traditional in
>that the code test has never been absent in licensing, therefore
>"it should always remain." :-)

Incorrect again.
>
>>Is that bad? Lots of people do the same thing here. Or is it only bad
>because
>>I'm procodetest and procode, and you are not?
>
>Removal of the code test for a license will remove the single
>thing that you and other PCTAs use as an "award billboard" to
>"prove your superiority," morsemanship. :-)

Incorrect, yet again.
>
>When that is gone all you will have is your insistence to being
>"superior" is a long time spent using morse code.

Batting 000

>>Do you know what a premixer is?

>I'm not absolutely positive about ham radio "pre-mixers" although
>I think it refers to the L.O. subsystem where the variable tuning
>oscillator is mixed with two or more fixed-frequency oscillators to
>make a single set of frequencies which are then applied to a
>mixer. That single mixer may be in a receiver where it reduces
>the need for more "conversions" or it may be in a transmitter
>where the mixer forms the final radio frequency for power
>amplification. The terms seems just another name for the
>frequency conversion subsystem in a radio.

Almost correct.

In amateur radio use, it refers to a method of generating the local oscillator
signal for a receiver, transmitter or transceiver by mixing the signal from one
tunable and one fixed oscillator to produce a resultant tunable signal of the
desired frequency range. This is usually done so that a single-range tunable
oscillator can be used on several bands by mixing its output with that of a
crystal oscillator. The R. L. Drake R4/T4X family are a good example of
equipment using this method.
>
>>Perhaps you are jealous of the fact that I have actually designed and built
>>amateur radio stations and operated them on the air successfully for the
>>past 35 years.
>
>Good grief, no! :-)

You sure spend a lot of time and effort demeaning them, if you are not.
>
>I could care less about your self-touted "abilities." I doubt if that
>was the original subject off-shoot, but rather another bit of bragging
>about yourself. :-)

I see. Only you have the right to talk about your accomplishments. All others
must be silent. ;-)

>>Amateur radio was growing before the Tech lost its code test, and continued
>>to
>>grow after that change. The actual effects are difficult to gauge due to the
>>effects of other changes such as the doubling of the license term.
>
>Technician class licensees now account for about one-third
>of all licensed US radio amateurs.

So? What's your point? How many hams were Technicians in 1991?

>Before you hop on another
>rationalization bandwagon, go to "Amateur Radio Newsline"
>and read that total US ham radio numbers have been relatively
>stagnant for two years. :-)

Their numbers are inaccurate.
>
>>I challenge you to provide examples of posts where I "discounted those,
>>dismissed them, never really regarded their existance
>>as meaningful in any way".
>>
>>Let's see you back up that claim.
>
>Are you "challenging" me to prove the obvious? :-)

I'm challenging you to actually post something other than empty claims ;-) ;-)

If you can ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
>>>Technician class licensees
>>>now make up a third of all licensees
>>
>>Yup. In part, that is because the FCC has been renewing Tech Pluses as Techs
>>for over two years now.
>
>I just knew you would attempt a rationalization somehow. :-)

Is it not a fact? Yes or no?

>>> and are also the least class

>>>"Gigahertz are for giga-squirts."
>>
>>That's not one of mine.
>

>It is, or was, a favorite of James Kehler, KH2D, formerly the
>guru of Guam.

I'm not him.
>
>>>"No lids, kids, or space cadets."
>>>
>>Is that what YOU believe? After all, you want an age limit (no kids)...
>
>No PRE-SCHOOL CHILDREN who don't have a grasp or under-
>standing of written questions.

Why? Have they caused any problems on the amateur bands? Broken any rules?
Gotten any NALs?

How do you KNOW they don't have a grasp or understanding of written questions?
Do you know the children involved?

Most of all, your recommendation was for an age limit of FOURTEEN. That's about
8th grade, not preschool.

>See the (now) "old" ARRL news
>page for two six-year-olds "passing ham tests" and shown with
>a nice, grandfatherly VE (who was very, very "honest" in "passing"
>them). :-)

Do you have ANY evidence that they did not pass the tests in accordance with
the rules?

Or are you just jealous because they did what you have not? Sure sounds like
it.
>
>Under the FCC regulations of now and then, there is no, repeat
>NO requirement, that SMALL CHILDREN must be overseen by
>adults while "legally" using their amateur radio license privileges.

Is this a problem? Can you name a single incident where a licensed radio
amateur under 14 years old violated the rules or caused a problem on-air
because of his/her age?

The truth is that many of the worst offenders in amateur radio, and outside it,
are about YOUR age. Like that guy Flippo.

>You won't even consider the implications of what can happen if
>small children operate by themselves.

Sure I will. Tell us about it. Then tell us how many times it has happened.

If anybody needs watching when on the air, it's folks of YOUR age bracket. ;-)

>None in here have done
>so in the past. Why not?

Because YOU have not shown it to be a valid concern.

>Isn't the "why not" answered by your
>simply wanting to discount what I bring up? I think so.

Incorrect.

>I also
>think that lends a great deal of hypocrisy to your own "fairness"
>and "honesty" in posting.

How? I have asked for examples of violations or problems caused by young radio amateurs before. You did not provide any. Yet you want an age limit of FOURTEEN (!) for a problem that does not exist.
>
>All you want to do is discredit any age barrier...because that
>comes close to the age YOU (or a lot of others) got into amateur
>radio.

Nope. Incorrect. Personal experience has nothing to do with it.

No age barrier is needed unless someone can show there is a real problem. There isn't one.

One of the most-repeated arguments against the code test is that all license requirements must show that they are necessary - that is, it must be shown that without those requirements, serious problems would result.

Apply that same logic to age requirements. What problems exist today that would be solved by age requirements? The answer: NONE.

If you want anyone to consider the age requirement idea, produce some evidence that the licensing of people younger than 14 causes problems in the ARS.

>You are applying your own personal standards as that
>which should apply to all others.

I am applying the standard of freedom and licensing by qualification. You have not shown any reason why there should be an age requirement for a ham license. None whatsoever. Why should anyone take you seriously?

You are applying your own anti-youth prejudice as a "standard" that would restrict others - but not you. And doing so with NO solid information to back up your anti-youth agenda.

It's clearly your jealousy at work.

Jim, N2EY

2143. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 03:14:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722231403.07768.00000227@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50006

In article <RsK_8.9540$Qk6.6374@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Your choice, of course. That's waht makes ham radio a sporting-type
>activity.
>> There are competitors and there are spectators.
>>
>
>I think that's a gross oversimplification.

It is, Hans. But note that my post was a response to Cecil, who likes things presented in binary fashion.

>Within some subsets of amateur
>radio (contesting, DXing to some degree) there is "competition". But there
>are lots of other aspects of the hobby which are completely devoid of
>competition, but the participants are in no way "spectators". Public
>service, traffic handling, tinkering, and Skywarn are a few which come to
>mind in which "competition" in it's commonly held connotation of "beating a
>competitor" is starkly absent.

I agree 100% - unless we consider the "competition" to be Mother Nature.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2144. Re: Avery Fineman=Lenover21=Lenof21=RRAP Spam Bot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 03:22:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722232234.17813.00000127@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50008

>Subject: Re: Avery Fineman=Lenover21=Lenof21=RRAP Spam Bot
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/22/02 17:20 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020722172034.25905.00000119@mb-mt.aol.com>
>
>In article <SxT_8.10864$Qk6.4293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
><KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
>>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>
>>> Hey Lennie! Nice Job! Used 11 posts under your new "nom de guerre" of
>>> Avery Fineman to do nothing more than quote other posters!
>>
>>Len may be A Very Fine Man, but turns out he is related to Iben
>>Rascaloninoff.

And to even further prove my point, Lennie does the same thing under one
of his "regular" screen names.

What a PUTZ!

73

Steve

2145. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 04:03:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723000329.17813.00000129@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50009

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 07/22/02 23:14 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>

>> Why are you so insistent on the standards and practices
>>of 70 years ago in amateur radio?
>
>How do you mean? What "standards and practices" of 1932 are you referring to?

Lennie the Creep will repeat that line over and over in the hopes that
SOMEone will believe him.

The only one "fooled" by Lennie's deceitful rhetoric is Lennie.

Sucks to be him!

>>No. EXPERIENCE.
>
>No. AGE. You make a big deal about how old you are vs. how old I am.

Right on, Jim. One moe time Lennie tries to tell us of his "experience",
only to be measured and weighed and found wanting.

>So? You provide us with lots of criticism of ham radio, but no positive
>vision.
>No new ideas.

Sure he does, Jim. By enacting his idea of a 14 year-old minimum age, we
can forget about using Amateur Radio in schools, thereby letting them get their
"electronics knowledge" from cellphones and "Game Boys".

>>I don't use JUST my age, but decades of observation of INDIVIDUALS
>>within amateur radio and whether or not they used a mask of altruism
>>over their personal desires versus those who actually looked towards
>>the good of the whole.
>
>Sounds like you want us to grant you the title of "expert by association". No
>deal

If Lennie's view is the "good of the whole", I suggest we spring Jack
Kevorkian and let him loose.

>>You have discounted, demeaned, tried to discredit my experience in
>>radio that goes farther, extends for a longer time than your own total
>>life experience.
>
>When? Give us an example.

Don't hold your breath waiting on that answer, Jim. Lennie get's his rants confused...forget's who he's talking to...

>>Under the FCC regulations of now and then, there is no, repeat
>>NO requirement, that SMALL CHILDREN must be overseen by
>>adults while "legally" using their amateur radio license privileges.
>
>Is this a problem? Can you name a single incident where a licensed radio
>amateur under 14 years old violated the rules or caused a problem on-air
>because of his/her age?

Lennie's been asked on several occassions to provide us with some sample of his own experience with children or adolescents in this regard.

Again...nothing.

>>You won't even consider the implications of what can happen if
>>small children operate by themselves.
>
>Sure I will. Tell us about it. Then tell us how many times it has happened.

If it was a "small child" who slipped into daddy's radio room and keyed up the rig while daddy wasn't there, then "small children" would be a problem.

BUT...(1) Pre-teen and teenage licensees have had some training or education on thier responsibilities, hence thier successful passing of the test (2) "Children" and adolescents have consistently proven that when given responsibility with trust and proper training, they hold thier own.

>>All you want to do is discredit any age barrier...because that
>>comes close to the age YOU (or a lot of others) got into amateur
>>radio.
>
>Nope. Incorrect. Personal experience has nothing to do with it.

I disagree, Jim. People who were given responsibility as youngsters tend to do the same with thier offspring, perhaps even moreso.

My (now 17) stepdaughter is an example. On more than one occassion she successfully cleared her disabled sister's aiway while feeding her.

I may not agree with her clothes styles or music choices, but I also know that she's demonstrated great maturity and clear thinking under stress.

Likewise my 10 year old. While I was doing CPR on Tater, Sam was at my feet helping to count cadence and checking pulse. For 15 agonizing minutes she was an adult. She'll be one for real some day, and I trust her already to "do the right thing".

>I am applying the standard of freedom and licensing by qualification. You
>have
>not shown any reason why there should be an age requirement for a ham
>license.
>None whatsoever. Why should anyone take you seriously?

Why indeed. No experience=no trust.

2146. WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 04:47:21 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <I25%8.133094$JU3.60629600@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50010

Roper Electronics of Tomah, WI is on the RainReport for selling those junk so-called "10 Meter" radios! GO Riley!!

Jerry

2147. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 04:53:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C82E61A.8D50B2C6@att.net>
Source record: 50011

CAM wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > > > So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> > > > > > question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> > > > > > dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Same thing they called me back in 1952 - a ham with lots to learn.
> > > > >
> > > > IOW a Novice, not an Extra as is often the case these days. I see you
> > > > deliberately sidestep the meat of most arguments.
> > > >
> > > Uhhhhhhh Dick, you didn't say anything about an Extra license above.
> > >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh, Cecil, there *is no* Novice license available to
> > newcomers these days. All may as well go ahead and glom an Extra, and many do.
> >
> Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh, Dick, I wasn't talking about a Novice
> license. I got my Conditional (General) in 1952 which included *ALL* frequency
> and power privileges. I had *ALL* frequency and power privileges, just like
> the Extras do now, and didn't "know enough to build a dipole". I had to rely on
> my Elmer for instructions on how to build a dipole. As I recall, how to build a
> dipole was not even covered on the 1952 General License exam.

Yes, Cecil you've regaled us many times with how dumb a kid you were. Usually right next to your post on how smart you were.

2148. Re: Pyotr Lives On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 05:08:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723010804.01951.00000067@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 50012

In article <SxT_8.10864$Qk6.4293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>> Hey Lennie! Nice Job! Used 11 posts under your new "nom de guerre" of
>> Avery Fineman to do nothing more than quote other posters!
>
>Len may be A Very Fine Man, but turns out he is related to Iben
>Rascaloninoff.

You are close, Kayzits Hansenbuoy...just on the wrong channel.
Exercise, keep those running lights running.

Sorry, can't be a "relative" or anything else to Pyotr Rascaloninoff
(of the March 1998 newsgroup message).

I'd like to claim that, but can't. Cute story idea... :-)

If you'd been awake and aware lately, you'd know that "Avery
Fineman" is one of my AOL screen names (AOL allows
subscribers to have 7 such screen names). My add-on
message-handler program has malfunctioned once before,
just a few days ago. It went back to my friend's shop for
a rebuild with my rebuke. :-(

"So it has been and so will it be." - R. Marmadukov

2149. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 05:08:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723010805.01951.00000068@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 50013

In article <20020722080642.04875.00000151@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020721225155.06693.00000225@mb-mm.aol.com>, Lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) aka lenof21/averyfine/averyfineman/nocwtest writes:
>
>>In article <20020720100702.13297.00000052@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>writes:
>
>>>Yup. Note that QST articles include things like the Product Reviews, which
are
>>>Consumer-Reports type evaluations, not puff pieces. ARRL actually buys the
>>>products tested, anonymously, then tests 'em. They are then resold at
>>>auction.
>>
>>Oh, gosh yes...everything is so absolutely squeaky-clean honest
>>that nobody would EVER think to fudge a bit on reports! :-)
>
>Sounds like you have a guilty conscience ;-) How many product reviews have you
>done? ;-) How much "fudging" have you done in your career? Be a good honest
boy
>and 'fess up now. ;-)

No doubt you "believe" Consumer Reports is on the up-and-up, always honest
100% of the time. Considering that the CR magazine doesn't carry ads, who
exactly PAYS for all their "testing?"

You didn't answer the remark about QST product reviews. Do you believe each
and every one of them is absolutely "honest" and technically without error?
If so, can you prove that?

>>The ARRL could NEVER do anything wrong, could it? :-)
>
>Sure they could. They've backed down on support of code testing. ;-)

INCORRECT. The ARRL took a NULL stand on code testing, neither support
nor "backing down." In a null opinion there is NO "up" or "down," just
NOTHING.

>>>Pro-code-test people are
>>>obviously the majority, as evidenced by the comments to 98-143.
>>
>> <insert 6 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing in here>
>
>Ignorance of data posted by NCI people noted ;-)

Not "ignorance," just not emotionally-biased in favor of code testing
and the "I bin a ham fo fotty yars an evybody gotta do like me" sort
of tenure-inspired turf comments.

>>Gosh and golly, Jimmie, you ought to start up a class-action civil
>>suit against the FCC for "violating" their charter at not "listening" to
>>your "big majority."
>
>Gee whiz, "Lenover21", you ought to actually try to understand the process as
>explained by those who know more about it than you :-)

Those who have claimed telepathy "know more than me?"

What is little Jimmie's history with the FCC that he "knows" how they
decide things? He hasn't explained that yet after nearly two years.

>Keep out those not of the No Code True Faith, Who Know What Is Best For The
>Future Of Amateur Radio ;-)

Hmmm...let's see...the code test has never been absent from a license
test (for under 30 MHz privileges) and now the numbers of total US
ham licensees have been essentially stagnant for two years. From that
the PCTAs can "prove" that "code tests are 'good' for the future of US
amateur radio?"

Your favorite icon (code testing) keeps getting knocked off the wall, smudged
and dirtied-up, producing NO heavenly visions of prosperity for the US ARS
and you still demand adherence to it? Why? Because YOU say so?

>>NCI isn't an "all things to all amateurs" kind of
>>organization. They never were. Once we all achieve the desired
>>goals, aims, and objectives, the organization disbands, dissolves.
>
>I'll believe that when it happens. Will you stop posting here when code
>testing goes away?

Why? You won't stop boosting code testing in your demands for
everyone to Believe in YOUR Words as What Is Best.

>>>ARRL's requirement for a ham license in order to be a full member also makes
>>>sense, because it keeps the organization in the hands of hams.
>>
>>...or rather its Section Managers and, most importantly, its Board
>>of Directors. :-)
>
>BoD members are elected by popular vote of the membership masses.

Have you read the number of votes tallies in each election? That's
hardly "popular," is it?

Voting can ONLY be done by the ARRL voting membership. Those are
less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.

>How would you know any different?

I'm a reasoning human being, not a pseudo-religious fanatic who must cling to a belief system.

>>So, Mr. Democratic Principles At Work,
>>why aren't there more Technician Class licensees joining up to be
>>part of all the "fairness?"
>
>They don't see a reason to spend $39.

The REASON should be apparent enough, little Jimmie. The ARRL won't represent them as they do other classes...that is their clear perception. It's not a matter of "$39" it is a matter of just throwing away money to get nothing in return except a magazine.

You cannot envision that. To you, amateur radio is "working DX on HF with CW" and little more.

You belong in the 1930s. Have fun back there.

2150. Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 05:30:18 UTC
From: xtx99@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723013018.00473.00000116@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50014

Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3
I would like to get my child interested in getting her license; I got my tech license recently. The question I have is: Can my non-licensed daughter hold and press the microphone by herself and talk assuming I'm right there as the "control operator" and that she (or I) identifies that I am in fact the "control operator" and my call sign is identified? I believe she would get more interested in the hobby if she tried it herself several times. I *think* the issue comes down to whether or not her pressing the mic is tantamount to her "operating" the radio.
A "control operator" is defined by 47CFR97.3 as "An amateur operator designated by the licensee of a station to be responsible for the transmissions from that station to assure compliance with the FCC Rules." Under this definition, I'm still the "control operator" because I'm the one there (at the "control point") responsible for the transmission and "manipulating the operating adjustments in the station to achieve compliance with the FCC Rules."
Any thoughts on this issue are appreciated.

2151. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 07:11:37 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahjlcp3mj1@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50031

Seems to me that someone with a top-class or second-from-the-top license class should have known better, or how did they make it that far eh? It may be a "perfectly reasonable" question for a brand new tech or older novice but.... Advanced license class licensee's have had some time holding their licenses by know and should know better.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR

> >Dick, what do you call an Advanced who comes on here and asks if CW can be
> >used in the phone band?
>
> Brian:
>
> Seems like a perfectly reasonable question to me -- what kind of
> an answer did you give him?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2152. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 07:19:27 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahjlcr4mj1@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50033

In all that I have learned, I have done it 99.1% on my own through text books, experimentation and research. Shit, I wish I had the help of others like an Elmer. Too bad people around here (for the most part) seem to care less about the "Elmering" spirit.

Maybe if there was better "elmering" around here, with older hams teaching new and prospective hams the techniques, principles, and ham radio morals (the morse code mantra etc...) like THEY believe in, then *maybe* we would not have been in the position we are in now, with them bitching about everything. Also important would be getting involved in the structure(s) responsible for the changes that have occured in the past 5-25 years that seem to piss them off so bad....

and didn't "know enough to build a dipole". I had to rely on
> my Elmer for instructions on how to build a dipole.

I did learn that through texts but real knowledge of dipoles came from actual building them and experimenting with them. One of my "areas of interest OR knowledge" is the field of antenna theory. Maybe some day I will have an antenna of my own on the market. Who knows, crazier things have happened.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2153. Re: New Twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 07:32:53 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahjlcr5mj1@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50030

Dick,

I finally agree with you one hundred percent with something. From time to time, the same idiot posts the same originating message that invariable starts the same tired arguments here in r.r.a.p. It is too bad, with all the alleged intelligence that we all seem to resort to same old arguments and mantras that always seem to follow this specific thread as opposed to coming out with new topics for debate. Guess things will never change (at least until we finally do not respond to this particular thread when it comes up) and that the originating poster must actually get a kick out of the ensuing thread.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site please)
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C81B766.124F4037@att.net...
>
>
> NO CODE = NO HAM = LOTTA SPAM :-)
>

2154. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 08:00:57 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahjlcs6mj1@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50029

Hmmm, I resemble part of that statement, but it is not entirely true. Most ham emergency services employees do not carry their ham stuff while on duty, as their duties supercedes playing on the radio (regardless of licensure or mode of operation)

Also, the no-code tech license is the ENTRY point now to ham radio. Novices are no longer being issued, only re-issued to existing hams. I am quite sure that some do not upgrade, and I am quite sure that some do upgrade. I am also quite sure that some also are very capable of just taking the highest license class and passing the test regardless of when the test is issued (this year or the test of 30+ years ago or anywhere in between)

I am quite sure that these licensed individuals within the emergency services field have or will have a much greater chance of saving a life that some anal rententive, introverted old fart.

BTW.... they usually are called duty belts, not utility belts. I believe those are worn by construction workers/building trades/repair people.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
Firefighter/MFR

> Maybe, if anything like that had ever happened, which it hasn't.
> The reality is that Ham Hero nocode Techs are probably more likely
> to cause "emergencies" with loss of life than they are to save lives.
> The cop, fireman, SAR wannabes all gravitate toward the nocode
> Tech ticket, because those HT's look sooo cool on their utility
> belts!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2155. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 08:09:10 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahjlct7mj1@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50032

>
> Two more: Draw schematics.

No argument there.... and the level of the schematics (not just block diagrams) should reflect the level of the license class. The tech should meet a certain level, and general even more advanced, and the extra should be able to draw out a schematic for at least full transmitter or reciever, or even more challenging, a transciever (sarc.).

>
> Two more: Essay answers.

Although I like this idea, there is too much possibility for the VEC's to interpret the answers "as they see fit" as opposed to some way of having some type of "talking point" list of points that must be listed within the essay answer. Fill in the blank may be also a good tool, as they would need to have the proper 1,2,3+ word answer to fulfill the question.

>
> Clearly, by Larry's standards, there are other hams that he sees as better
than
> he. In his mind, because they took a more difficult test, there is
nothing he
> can ever do in ham radio to be better than they are.

He is not alone..... and even in the ham field either. We have them in the fire service too.
>
> A really limited way to view the world, I think.

Yeah, probably is, but the way alot of people are that way. And that is definitely sad.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2156. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 08:13:58 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahjlcu8mj1@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50028

This makes me ask how many people have told you that they are superior to
you Larry? Simple numerical answer please. (5, 10, 20 people????)

I am referring to this question in the environs of the field of amateur
radio only.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

If they make their living as
> electronics engineers or technicians, then I'll certainly stipulate to
> their far superior technical skills -- but that doesn't mean that they
> surpass me (or you!) as a ham!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2157. Re: PING! Fuckup Shemale Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 08:16:34 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahjlcv9mj1@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50027
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keep this garbage and all of the connected people to it in
rec.radio.amateur.misc where it belongs and not rec.radio.amateur.policy.
We may argue and debate here, but we keep it reasonably clean. Please
remove further cross-posts here as we could care less about the
long-standing battle between the hams in rec.radio.amateur.misc.

"Anonymous" <Paul83@yahooeypost.net> wrote in message
news:ahgsjs$6gv$0@pita.alt.net...
> The graphic descriptions of various ham radio operators engaging
> in sexual acts with their children which AB8MQ@OVIS.NET continues
> to post in these ham radio groups are examples of speech which has
> never been protected by the courts. However, one cannot expect
> Roger Wiseman to comprehend this, much less obey any laws or rules
> intended to control such obscenity.
>
> BA
>
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ahgphdvsl0@pita.alt.net...
> > Fuckup Shemale Lee fucks up again in
> > <3D39C465.63A9CCEF@houston-hunks.com>:
> > "I wonder how long it will take Ohio Valley Internet Services to boot
> > your ass?"
> >
> >
> > Poor Fuckup Lee, he's always so hopeful he can censor others' free
speech.
> >
>
>

2158. Re: Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 09:56:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723055607.27556.00000196@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50015

From: xtx99@aol.com (Xtx99)

>I would like to get my child interested in getting her license; I got
>my tech license recently. The question I have is: Can my non-licensed
>daughter hold and press the microphone by herself and talk assuming I'm right
>there as the "control operator" and that she (or I) identifies that I am in
>fact the "control operator" and my call sign is identified? I believe she
>would get more interested in the hobby if she tried it herself several times.
>
>I *think* the issue comes down to whether or not her pressing the mic is
>tantamount to her "operating" the radio.
> A "control operator" is defined by 47CFR97.3 as "An amateur
>operator
>designated by the licensee of a station to be responsible for the
>transmissions
>from that station to assure compliance with the FCC Rules." Under this
>definition, I'm still the "control operator" because I'm the one there (at
>the
>"control point") responsible for the transmission and "manipulating the
>operating adjustments in the station to achieve compliance with the FCC
>Rules."
> Any thoughts on this issue are appreciated.

Yes, a non-ham can participate in the operation of an amateur station as long as a control operator is present. This is a form of "third-party" communications. There are some restrictions on international third-party communication; a third party cannot communicate with hams in nations with which we don't have a third-party agreement.

You don't have to annonce that you are the control operator, but it may stop a few of the inevitable questions from people who may be concerned that a non-ham is bootlegging on the ham bands.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2159. Re: More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 09:59:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723055931.27556.00000197@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50016

From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net

>Therefore, it is why I believe the time is NOW for an organized, as well as
> individual, effort to rid our bands of illegal bandits and truckers who are
> usurping our bands for them-selves.

Riley had a nice talk with the ARRL Board of Directors at its recent meeting. He told ARRL that the FCC expects to step up its activity on the 10-meter intrusion problem. He reiterated that to me in the "RFI" meeting John Phillips and I had with him the next day.

So far, the FCC has pretty much done everything that Riley has indicated they will.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2160. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 10:06:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723060609.27561.00000143@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50017

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>I just don't know why the codesters want to abbreviate everything so much if
>it is so much fun.

You are pulling our legs, aren't you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2161. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 10:12:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723061237.27561.00000144@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50018

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>OIC. Everything turned out OK after he stopped spassing.

You mean after he caved in to your pressure tactics...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2162. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 10:13:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723061354.27561.00000145@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50019

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>How about when Jim kept asking about my recent Novice subband experiences?
>I didn't see you having a problem with that, now did I? And I didn't answer
>the question, did I? That must be one of those double standard kind of
>things.

Or a thread I wasn't following...

You are starting to see "double standards" behind every bush. Is this going to
be your obession of the week?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2163. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 10:14:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723061455.27561.00000146@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50020

From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com

>Hey, great! I also had to pass a sending test at the FCC.

As did I.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2164. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 10:16:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723061656.27561.00000147@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50021

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Just think, if we manage to all ignore each others' posts, we can all post
>> monologues without fear of anyone telling us that we are wrong about
>something
>> we say.

>Oh God, that sounds too much like 75M.

Never happened to me on the lower 50 kHz, Brian.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2165. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 10:19:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723061957.27561.00000148@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50022

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Did he say anything that might get Larry to a) tolerate, b) accept, c)
>embrace, or d) join Ten-Ten International?

I don't believe he said anything that was intended to get Larry more involved with amateur radio in any form, Brian. I don't think that Riley knows Larry, and even if he did, I don't think he would direct comments to the ARRL Board that he intended to influence Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2166. Re: More on 10 and 12 meter operations, emerg coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 10:25:22 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207230225.35728edf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50023

Both posts answered below.

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<5p3%8.132936$JU3.60576686@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:mJ2%8.45715$Iu6.2661105@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20020722193004.03964.00000158@mb-ck.news.cs.com...
> >
> > > Here's the description from the opening page of the R.A.I.N. Report
> website.
> > > You can then select the 'PRESENT R.A.I.N. REPORT' in either WindowsMedia
> or
> > > Real Player format.
> > >
> > > "All Inclusive, Not Exclusive" The live town hall meeting sponsored by
> the
> > > Amateur Radio Newsline at this spring's Dayton HamVention generated some
> > > thought provoking talks we'll be bringing you over the next few weeks.
> None
> > > generated as much controversy as the keynote speech, delivered by Harold
> Ort,
> > > N2RLL, Editor of Popular Communications magazine. While his message may
> ruffle
> > > a few feathers, his call for merging ham radio's resources with those of
> other
> > > personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable. EVERY ham
> in
> > > America should listen to what he has to say. (16 minutes)
> > >
> > > Mr. Ort did a superb job of explaining why thequoted text just isn't
> making it
> > > with all the challenges that lay ahead.
> > >
> > > www.rainreport.com
> > >
> > > Enjoy. :-)
> > >
> > > 73 de Bert
> > > WA2SI
> >
> > Bert, like so many good ideas the trick is getting from here to there.
> > How to take latent capability, a man and a radio, and get something

> > accomplished with it; the difference between an Army and a bunch of guys
> > with guns.
> >
> > Assuming you can get the infrastructure established without too much
> > penis-measurement (and that is pretty well the current state of affairs)
> > it ultimately comes down not to the Majors, Colonels, and Generals but to
> > the Sergeants and Corporals. And these guys are very likely to be the ad
> > hoc people who are dumb enough to volunteer. Anyone who has ever been net
> > control on one of these things very quickly develops a lot of sympathy for
> > Attilla the Hun or at least his top-kick because it takes a cast-iron SOB
> > to keep things flowing in something resembling a straight line.
> > Discipline among those caught in the fog of action is either developed on
> > the parade ground in advance or by someone looked to for leadership for
> > whatever reason on the spot who forgets having to live with the troops
> > afterward and kicks some butts while teaching very quickly. The first
> > rule of mule-training applies: one must know more than the mule.
> >
> > The problem in its simplest form is that a man with a radio feels called
> > upon to talk now and then. Unless some order is imposed, you get the
> > tendency of green troops to fire at everything in sight and you have the
> > radio equivalent of Kent State. So the first requirement is to enforce
> > Tom Lehrer's axiom: People who cannot communicate should have the good
> > grace to shut up.
> >
> > One good source of a bit of history of people faced with this kind of
> > problem and from which some lessons might be drawn is -- of all places --
> > the history behind the Boy Scouts, the 'seige' of Mafeking - made famous
> > by Shirley Pimple -- during the Boer War. The younger male residents were
> > put to work under their own elected leadership at a lot of things not
> > requiring carrying a gun and performed very well. Kind of early-day
> > terrorism by a bunch of Dutch farmers riding plow horses in Kommandos
> > which might well be a lesson of its own. The Brits of the period were
> > bumbling incompetants (much like our people??) but blind pigs find acorns.
> > Look up Kipling's 'Stellenbosch'.
> >
> > Merging is too strong a term but working together is possible and probably
> > necessary. But I strongly suspect that a successful operation will be
> > characterized by a decentralized approach where groups are led by their
> > own. This makes laison a major but necessary headache.
> >
> > Think it thru Bert. Advocacy of arm-in-arm into the sunset is far easier
> > than actually getting it done. And when the smoke clears, there is all
> > that Health & Welfare traffic to handle.
> > Sells magazines but that is about all.
> >
> > Dick Nï¿½BK

Dick, I read you 100% and quite agree to a certain point. But why not
give it a whirl. When you really think about it, only those who are
actually desireable will likely apply. (More'n likely the

"hobbyists.") No effort will certainly net us nothing. As for the rest...the heck with them.

> Like I said, "Yuh gotta ole copy thar, mercy me thar, shore thang thar,
> gre't day
> 'live thar, I know whutcha mean thar, shore do thar, BEEP SQUEAK!" ad
> nauseum. Yup, put 'em to work doin' sum'pin--just leave the message traffic
> to the trained ops.
>
> Jerry

Jerry Jerry Jerry,

You can do better than this. Mighty wide brush your wielding mister. Almost like saying that all Tar Heels are dumb country bumpkins, we all know that's not true...don't we? Hey Jerry, what's my malfunction? I'm a CBer and I don't speak like the prose above. I was a CBer long before I became an ARO so it's not like I learned my mannerisms OTA on 10 and brought 'em to 11, so what's the explanation? I like the code and don't go "QRT and on the side." (Nor have I ever been "destinated," for that matter. <ggg>)

Now I'll drop a dime (Ok, quarter.) on ANYONE causing interference or interloping on 10, 12, or ANY AR band...but I know that all CBer's dont speak like your example and I also know that there are plenty of fine decent folks that have, are, and will continue to come into AR via the CB. Some will leave the CB behind and some will continue to enjoy an occassional QSO on the CB. Do you really believe that they'll all say "tahn far!?" I'm not trying to bust chops and I'll give you the benefit of the doubt that you're not trying to bust mine...just give it a little thought please. Take care now.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2167. Re: Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 10:40:02 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207230240.6fd26e89@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50024

xtx99@aol.com (Xtx99) wrote in message
news:<20020723013018.00473.00000116@mb-da.aol.com>...
> Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3
> I would like to get my child interested in getting her license; I got
> my tech license recently. The question I have is: Can my non-licensed
> daughter hold and press the microphone by herself and talk assuming I'm right
> there as the "control operator" and that she (or I) identifies that I am in
> fact the "control operator" and my call sign is identified? I believe she
> would get more interested in the hobby if she tried it herself several times.
> I *think* the issue comes down to whether or not her pressing the mic is
> tantamount to her "operating" the radio.
> A "control operator" is defined by 47CFR97.3 as "An amateur operator
> designated by the licensee of a station to be responsible for the transmissions
> from that station to assure compliance with the FCC Rules." Under this
> definition, I'm still the "control operator" because I'm the one there (at the
> "control point") responsible for the transmission and "manipulating the
> operating adjustments in the station to achieve compliance with the FCC Rules."
> Any thoughts on this issue are appreciated.

Great question. I don't know the answer. (Although I'll look it up
now.) I just want to wish you guys luck in any event. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2168. Re: PING! Fuckup Shemale Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 12:03:39 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahjiqhlpc2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50025
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.2600

"Anonymous YELLOW COWARD " <anonymous@anonymousxxxnospamxxx.com> wrote in message
news:ahhhh2$kr6$0@pita.alt.net...

Abuse@alt.net

Fuckup Shemale Lee hozin@email.msn.com is the one posting this.

> AB8MQ@alt.net wrote in message:
>
> > > The graphic descriptions of various ham radio operators engaging
> > > in sexual acts with their children which AB8MQ@alt.net continues
> > > to give me a chubby. They are examples of speech which has
> > > never been able to get me hard before. However, one cannot expect
> > > Roger Wiseman to comprehend this, much less worry about it since I have
> > > that vacuum cleaner atachment when my smelly fish is selling cosmetics.
> > >
> > > Buttfuckers Anonymous
> >
> > Poor Fuckup Lee. Get a new crying towel.
> >
>
>
> Ohio

Poor Fuckup Lee, only thinks Freedom of Speech applies to him. He's that kind of
Taliban supporter, I suppose.
--
"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to
send email."

2169. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 12:54:02 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3D51EA.8CE1BA47@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50026

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yes, Cecil you've regaled us many times with how dumb a kid you were. Usually right
> next to your post on how smart you were.

I was ignorant, Dick, not dumb. Brand new hams are likely to be ignorant of
certain things about ham radio just as you and I once were. Give them a break
and make them feel welcome.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2170. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 15:39:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C83A2FC.750D17D7@att.net>
Source record: 50034

W1RFI wrote:

> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >I just don't know why the codesters want to abbreviate everything so much if
> >it is so much fun.
>
> You are pulling our legs, aren't you?

No, again, he just doesn't know better.

2171. Re: More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 16:05:45 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <X_e%8.144513$JU3.61258881@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50035

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020723055931.27556.00000197@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net
>
> >Therefore, it is why I believe the time is NOW for an organized, as well
as
> > individual, effort to rid our bands of illegal bandits and truckers who
are
> > usurping our bands for them-selves.
>
> Riley had a nice talk with the ARRL Board of Directors at its recent
meeting.
> He told ARRL that the FCC expects to step up its activity on the 10-meter
> intrusion problem. He reiterated that to me in the "RFI" meeting John
Phillips
> and I had with him the next day.
>
> So far, the FCC has pretty much done everything that Riley has indicated
they
> will.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I agree, and it is appreciated--both FCC's AND ARRL's efforts in this arena.
They just hit an outfit in Wisconsin, I see by the RainReport! GO Riley!!!

73

Jerry
>
>
>

2172. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 16:44:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723124433.02191.00000533@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50039

In article <20020722002414.26909.00000116@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>
>Hmmm...let's see...Roll claimed high marks in a college course
>for "human resources" (a buzzphrase for 'personnel' work)...and
>then bragged about how he could "get his choice of jobs after
>graduation." That was in here. A Google search will turn up.
>

Lennie:

Apparently not. I've attempted every permutation of "any choice +of jobs," "my choice +of jobs," and just "jobs." I cannot disclose this quote from myself anywhere. Perhaps with your superior technical and computer skills, you can locate it and repost it here for the rest of us to marvel at?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2173. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 16:44:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723124433.02191.00000532@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50041

In article <3D3C7FEB.1D24A3B5@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>
>If it's an HR position in an engineering or technology company,
>they do little more than fill out paperwork for tax withholding,
>benefits signups "Where's my damn ID card", paperwork for
>layoff victims, and such.

That's pretty much what they do, besides going to meetings and
seminars about how to shift their paradigms and achieve Total
Quality Nirvana. Truthfully, I had my fill of that in the Air Force, and
am quite glad to be driving my bus, especially since it pays a lot
better than any entry-to-mid-level HR job, and the benefits are the
same. There's also a lot more job security since I'm a Union member.

>Engineering managers pick out who to hire and can anyway.

Typically they will do the interviewing, and recommend candidates for
employment, but final say would be in the hands of the HR department.
Of course, 99% of the time, the functional manager's choices would be
rubber stamped by HR, barring any problems the candidate may have
such as a poor employment record, a criminal record, etc.

>Driving a bus may actually be more interesting....

Definitely, to me, anyway. I've experienced life in the cubicles and
conference
rooms. I'm very happy to be in my bus, especially since every day is
different, and I get to interact with a lot of very nice people as opposed to
knowing them as data records on a computer screen or stacks of files.
I fill in as a dispatcher occasionally, since I learned the job through
my own initiative in helping the dispatchers whenever I'd come in early from
my run or have a long break. However, as long as I'm physically able to
do so, I'll stay in my nice quiet bus where I'm Captain of my own ship and
don't have some boy wonder boss who can't spell three-letter words breathing
down my neck.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2174. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 16:44:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723124434.02191.00000536@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50036

In article <3D3B73FD.6AE4C2AA@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>
>As I plainly stated before, I don't work cw, don't even own a key,
>so call me a liar if you want but I don't have to prove a thing to
>you.
>I say you are probably a liar about most of your homebrew claims.
>You will never be superior to me as a ham so get over it.

Delude yourself all you want. I have nothing to "get over."

73 de Larry, K3LT

2175. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 16:44:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723124434.02191.00000534@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50038

In article <20020722002413.26909.00000115@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>
>>Be that as it may, Kim and her silly callsign are certified by on less
>>an authority as Riley Hollingsworth as taking the ARS "...one step
>>closer to extinction." It doesn't get much worse than that!
>
>Let us know how your latest job search in "human resources"
>came out, Larrie.

Lennie:

I'm not looking for a job in "human resources." I'm a bus driver, remember? I'd rather get paid for my overtime, plus as a union member, I can't be fired from a 16-inch naval gun!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2176. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 16:44:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723124434.02191.00000535@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50040

In article <20020722002414.26909.00000116@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>Lennie:
>>
>>Is that really what happened? Are you sure about this? Do you
>>have your facts absolutely, verifiably correct?
>
>Hmmm...let's see...Roll claimed high marks in a college course
>for "human resources" (a buzzphrase for 'personnel' work)...and
>then bragged about how he could "get his choice of jobs after
>graduation." That was in here. A Google search will turn up.

Lennie:

Why don't you just go ahead and do that, then!

>Does Roll have a "human resources" job now?

Nope. I interviewed for one with my company, was offered the job, but it doesn't pay as well as being an operator, and the "office" people usually work 45 - 50 hr. weeks for 37.5 hrs of pay with no overtime. I had my fill of that bad deal in the Air Force.

>No, he says he
>is driving a bus. Nothing wrong with that except for the inflationary
>nomenclature of "paratransit specialist." :-)

That's what the company calls it -- however now they call us Paratransit Operators. Go have your fun with that one.

>Good luck on finding that job in "human resources," Larrie...

Thanks. Maybe some other time.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2177. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 16:44:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723124435.02191.00000537@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50037

In article <3D3B9B13.F4EF1C83@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>Those HT's sure look cool on the NASA spacesuits, you know the ones
>worn by those PhD physicists?

Cecil:

I wouldn't know about that. I've never seen an HT hanging from the spacesuit (made in Frederica, DE by ILC) of a NASA PhD physicist spaceperson. They usually keep their HT's stowed in an equipment case aboard the Space Shuttle or the ISS. Moreover, they use them for talking to school children -- not to report brush fires they just started with a gallon of unleaded regular and a Zippo.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2178. Re: Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 16:57:01 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723125701.02191.00000541@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50043

In article <20020723013018.00473.00000116@mb-da.aol.com>, xtx99@aol.com (Xtx99)
writes:

>Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3
> I would like to get my child interested in getting her license; I
>got
>my tech license recently. The question I have is: Can my non-licensed
>daughter hold and press the microphone by herself and talk assuming I'm right
>there as the "control operator" and that she (or I) identifies that I am in
>fact the "control operator" and my call sign is identified? I believe she
>would get more interested in the hobby if she tried it herself several times.

The answer is Yes. She can hold the mic, operate the PTT button,
give YOUR call sign at the proper intervals (at least every 10 min.) and
she doesn't even have to mention the fact that you are standing beside
her, nor do you. You just have to make sure you ARE there to physically
take over should she start doing something that isn't right. This is
called Third Party operation, and it is done all the time.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2179. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 16:57:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723125702.02191.00000542@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50042

In article <ahjlcu8mj1@enews4.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>This makes me ask how many people have told you that they are superior to
>you Larry? Simple numerical answer please. (5, 10, 20 people????)

Only one that I know of, Jim Miccolis, N2EY. However, he was telling
the TRVTH.

>I am referring to this question in the environs of the field of amateur
>radio only.

So am I.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2180. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 17:09:32 UTC
From: Jake <jaemesverez@box125commnet.net>
Message-ID: <ahk2kdkhj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50044

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:I25%8.133094$JU3.60629600@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Roper Electronics of Tomah, WI is on the RainReport for selling those junk
> so-called "10 Meter" radios! GO Riley!!
>
>
> Jerry
>

Don't get too excited. Think about it. Roper Electronics is one
of a thousand selling this type of radio. I was at a truck stop on I-75
and went into the store a few months ago. There were all sorts of
modified radios, marine radios, ham gear, even imported amplifiers,
and the stuff was sitting right out in the open for sale. I got up enough
nerve and asked the clerk if I needed a license to operate a couple
radios and an amplifier I pointed at, and the guy said to me, "Who
knows who cares, it ain't nothing to worry about." Hams tend to think
radio consists of their ham bands. Tune outside the ham bands some
time and listen, especially in the 4,5,6,7,8, 10, 11,12,14, & 16 mHz bands.
Anytime of the day or night I can find CB and trucker style conversations
going on and never a call sign given. And no, I am not confusing this
type of comms with something legal, especially when you hear liberal
profanity and explicit sexual talk.

Jake

2181. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 17:35:54 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3D93FA.3BB134D5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50045

KC8PMX wrote:
> Seems to me that someone with a top-class or second-from-the-top license
> class should have known better, or how did they make it that far eh? It may
> be a "perfectly reasonable" question for a brand new tech or older novice
> but.... Advanced license class licensee's have had some time holding their
> licenses by know and should know better.

Maybe he should know better but he doesn't. Does that mean that Advanced
license class licensees should get to where they never ask any more
questions and therefore remain ignorant?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2182. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 17:40:17 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3D9501.D03205A1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50046

```
Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'd rather get paid for my overtime, plus as a union
> member, I can't be fired from a 16-inch naval gun!

Egads Larry, are you a Democrat now?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
```


```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

2183. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 17:44:12 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3D95EC.DD267788@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50047

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >Those HT's sure look cool on the NASA spacesuits, you know the ones
> >worn by those PhD physicists?
>
> I wouldn't know about that. I've never seen an HT hanging from the
> spacesuit (made in Frederica, DE by ILC) of a NASA PhD physicist
> spaceperson. They usually keep their HT's stowed in an equipment
> case aboard the Space Shuttle or the ISS.

Just think how smart those nocode Tech PhD physicists would have
been if they had not been dumbed down by getting a ham license.
Too bad the astronauts are all so inferior to you, eh?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2184. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 17:53:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723135331.05063.00000233@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 50048

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/23/02 01:08 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020723010805.01951.00000068@mb-de.aol.com>

>You didn't answer the remark about QST product reviews. Do you believe each
>and every one of them is absolutely "honest" and technically without error?

QST product reviews are done (generally) by one person. That makes it
subject to the variances of opinion. Anyone who accepts a "product review"
authoried by one person as blunt fact is only fooling themself.

>If so, can you prove that?

No...but can you prove otherwise?

>INCORRECT. The ARRL took a NULL stand on code testing, neither support
>nor "backing down." In a null opinion there is NO "up" or "down," just
>NOTHING.

Non-sequitor, Lennie-ya-putz. The result was the same.

>What is little Jimmie's history with the FCC that he "knows" how they
>decide things? He hasn't explained that yet after nearly two years.

Still with the diminutives for people who have not done the same to you.

Putz-o-meter is at 8.0.

>Have you read the number of votes tallies in each election? That's
>hardly "popular," is it?

If it's 51 to 49, THAT is a "popular" vote. It may not be a landslide, but
it IS "popular vote" under current political terminology.

>Voting can ONLY be done by the ARRL voting membership. Those are
>less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.

Most elections in the United States are conducted by less than a majority
of the electorate, Your Creepiness. So what?

>I'm a reasoning human being, not a pseudo-religious fanatic who must
>cling to a belief system.

Wrong.

You a re a disgusting, dying, defeatest old man who is clinging to his OWN
"belief system", and intent to harrass and antagonize until God finally has
enough of your shenanigans and punches yor card for you.

Hopefully he has the clicker in his hand.

>The REASON should be apparent enough, little Jimmie.

More diminutives. Not a single one in here from Jim relative to you.

And you think no one notices YOUR behaviour, Lennie?

>The REASON should be apparent enough, little Jimmie. The ARRL
>won't represent them as they do other classes.

That is a lie. Pure and simple.

>..that is their clear perception.

I don't doubt that is the "perception" of a few. However it is NOT the perception of the majority.

Unlike you, Lennie, I am active in not one but three different radio clubs to one degree or another. In two of the clubs I have made the point of polling the NCT's about League membership and why they don't belong.

Of 38 responses I have received, only ONE was relative to "league policies". The other 37 were all "I am only active in local activities and do not care to join a national organization.

The choices, by the way, were:

(1) I am active only in local activities and do not care to join a national organization.

(2) I do no agree with ARRL policies and do not care to support them.

(3) I cannot answer as I do not know what the ARRL is or what it represents.

>It's not a matter of "$39" it is a matter of just throwing
>away money to get nothing in return except a magazine.

Of course you have this on good authority because you are.active in local Amateur Radio clubs or programs...?!?!?

>You cannot envision that.

Sound like YOU have the vision problem, Liar Lennie.

>To you, amateur radio is "working DX on
>HF with CW" and little more.

Please quote where Jim hs EVER said that.

>You belong in the 1930s.

Perhaps...then he can find your mother and introduce her to contraceptives.

>Have fun back there.

Guess he can borrow your "Back in 1953..." writings after that, eh? Just change the dates?

Steve

2185. Re: Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 18:00:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723140052.05063.00000234@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 50050

>Subject: Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3
>From: xtx99@aol.com (Xtx99)
>Date: 07/23/02 01:30 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020723013018.00473.00000116@mb-da.aol.com>
>

>The question I have is: Can my non-licensed
>daughter hold and press the microphone by herself and talk assuming I'm right
>there as the "control operator" and that she (or I) identifies that I am in
>fact the "control operator" and my call sign is identified? I believe she
>would get more interested in the hobby if she tried it herself several times.

Absolutely! This is promoted at more than one "official" Amateur Radio
function. The WB2JKJ School Project in New York and almost any Field Day event
are two prime examples.

>I *think* the issue comes down to whether or not her pressing the mic is
>tantamount to her "operating" the radio.
> A "control operator" is defined by 47CFR97.3 as "An amateur
>operator
>designated by the licensee of a station to be responsible for the
>transmissions
>from that station to assure compliance with the FCC Rules." Under this
>definition, I'm still the "control operator" because I'm the one there (at
>the
>"control point") responsible for the transmission and "manipulating the
>operating adjustments in the station to achieve compliance with the FCC
>Rules."
> Any thoughts on this issue are appreciated.

It's not about who's "manipulating the controls", Jake. it's about who's
responsible. She can spin the dials all day long, but if she goofs up, it's
your responsibility! Show her how and let her have fun!

73 es Good Luck

Steve, K4YZ
Winchester, TN
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2186. Re: More on 10 and 12 meter operations, emerg coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 18:02:05 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xSg%8.318$pg2.25993@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50049

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0207230225.35728edf@posting.google.com...
>
> Dick, I read you 100% and quite agree to a certain point. But why not
> give it a whirl. When you really think about it, only those who are
> actually desireable will likely apply. (More'n likely the
> "hobbyists.") No effort will certainly net us nothing. As for the
> rest...the heck with them.
>

Hope springs eternal. Won't be the first or last time I or most people
have suspended disbelief.

2187. Re: PING! Fuckup Shemale Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 18:03:31 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ahkdeq$b9m$2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:ahjlcv9mj1@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Keep this garbage and all of the connected people to it in
> rec.radio.amateur.misc where it belongs and not rec.radio.amateur.policy.
> We may argue and debate here, but we keep it reasonably clean. Please
> remove further cross-posts here as we could care less about the
> long-standing battle between the hams in rec.radio.amateur.misc.

Blow me. The anonymous coward cross-posted initally, dumbass.

>
> "Anonymous" <Paul83@yahooeypost.net> wrote in message
> news:ahgsjs$6gv$0@pita.alt.net...
> > The graphic descriptions of various ham radio operators engaging
> > in sexual acts with their children which AB8MQ@alt.net continues
> > to post in these ham radio groups are examples of speech which has
> > never been protected by the courts. However, one cannot expect
> > Roger Wiseman to comprehend this, much less obey any laws or rules
> > intended to control such obscenity.
> >
> > BA
> >
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ahgphdvsl0@pita.alt.net...
> > > Fuckup Shemale Lee fucks up again in
> > > <3D39C465.63A9CCEF@houston-hunks.com>:
> > > "I wonder how long it will take Ohio Valley Internet Services to boot
> > > your ass?"
> > >
> > >
> > > Poor Fuckup Lee, he's always so hopeful he can censor others' free
> speech.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2188. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 19:53:10 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3DB426.6736FDAF@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49901

CAM wrote:

> KC8PMX wrote:
> > Seems to me that someone with a top-class or second-from-the-top license
> > class should have known better, or how did they make it that far eh? It may
> > be a "perfectly reasonable" question for a brand new tech or older novice
> > but.... Advanced license class licensee's have had some time holding their
> > licenses by know and should know better.
>
> Maybe he should know better but he doesn't. Does that mean that Advanced
> license class licensees should get to where they never ask any more
> questions and therefore remain ignorant?

Maybe he thought the rules changed or such. Also there's the practical
issue of the odds of finding someone also using CW in a phone subband.
Common sense would say that he would have a better chance of having
a CW QSO in the data subbands than in phone subbands. Also there
is "good amateur practice".

2189. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 20:04:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujrdktprc8vtdd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49902

Some of the hams don't worry too much about what happens outside the amateur bands - that's for someone else to complain about. I'm just waiting for an accident to happen on one of the lakes and the marine emergency comms get jammed. Sue Uncle Sam for a total lack of enforcement? I have to laugh. Yep, they eliminated the stiff licensing requirements for AM, FM, and television stations. Yesterday, one of the FM stations was broadcasting totally different music (it was supposed to be oldies, but was all foreign music). I tried calling the station (WBBF AM). Hmmmm ... the white pages said to call Heritage Media Corporation. Same thing for WBBF FM and WBEE. OK, so I looked for Heritage Media Corporation. No listing. Called 411 - yep, for a fee, they had it (should have hit the internet, especially since I'm always connected). Phone rang. And rang. And rang. Hmm... you could hear the ring change as the call was forwarded. A telephone recording from someone who will be out of the office until next Monday. Yep, fire everyone and keep a 'chief engineer' responsible for all of the local stations and one person to answer the phone for all of the radio stations - except, of course, when she is on vacation. Apparently the things run themselves. The computer must be running Windows the way it screws up occasionally (like over half an hour of dead carrier - that would have been serious 20 or 30 years ago; now, no one cares). Thankfully, I subscribe to an internet high speed radio service and I guess I'll have to go and hook the sound card into the stereo.

This not caring goes far deeper (and higher to boot) than many suspect. I sincerely hope you don't believe that when a manufacturer receives a million defective subassemblies made offshore that they either don't know about it or don't ship it. Yeah, right. I personally know better than that. Don't expect much from the government either. Mr. Bush has a few questionable past business transactions; Hillary Clinton was off the hook as far as Whitewater gate; but how does the average individual turn $3,000 into $100,000 almost overnight? Her husband was likely innocent; he was too busy playing fun and games in the oval office and I suspect he has before and likely continues now.

Myself, I sit on the fence. At times I wish they would finally get a budget and start listening and busting these folks - both the manufacturers and the users. Then again, I wish they'd make a nice 'export' radio running 500 watts FM on channels running from 54 to 60 MHz. That might raise a few eyebrows. Um, better make that class C am and ssb. At least the big businesses owning channel 2 television stations would have the deep pockets to go after the renegades.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Jake" <jaemesverez@box125commnet.net> wrote in message
news:ahk2kdkhj0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:I25%8.133094$JU3.60629600@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > Roper Electronics of Tomah, WI is on the RainReport for selling those
junk
> > so-called "10 Meter" radios! GO Riley!!
> >
> >
> > Jerry
> >
>
> Don't get too excited. Think about it. Roper Electronics is one
> of a thousand selling this type of radio. I was at a truck stop on I-75
> and went into the store a few months ago. There were all sorts of
> modified radios, marine radios, ham gear, even imported amplifiers,
> and the stuff was sitting right out in the open for sale. I got up enough
> nerve and asked the clerk if I needed a license to operate a couple
> radios and an amplifier I pointed at, and the guy said to me, "Who
> knows who cares, it ain't nothing to worry about." Hams tend to think
> radio consists of their ham bands. Tune outside the ham bands some
> time and listen, especially in the 4,5,6,7,8, 10, 11,12,14, & 16 mHz
bands.
> Anytime of the day or night I can find CB and trucker style conversations
> going on and never a call sign given. And no, I am not confusing this
> type of comms with something legal, especially when you hear liberal
> profanity and explicit sexual talk.
>
> Jake
>
>
>
>

2190. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 20:16:17 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3DB991.80DA99C5@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49904

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> >If it's an HR position in an engineering or technology company,
> >they do little more than fill out paperwork for tax withholding,
> >benefits signups "Where's my damn ID card", paperwork for
> >layoff victims, and such.
>
> That's pretty much what they do, besides going to meetings and
> seminars about how to shift their paradigms and achieve Total
> Quality Nirvana.

I've seen times where upper management gets HR to dictate stuff
that "passes the buck" of responsibility onto the employees. Like
"You must fill out your timecard accurately, and ignore your
bosses' orders to falsify it". Yeah, right, I want to piss off my
boss, who decides my raises and if I survive layoffs or not, over
something like that. It was just a ploy by upper management to
shift blame to the employees if the defense dept catches the falsehoods.
Other times HR has to pitch a new benefits package that turns out
to be worse than the old one.

>
> >Engineering managers pick out who to hire and can anyway.
>
> Typically they will do the interviewing, and recommend candidates for
> employment, but final say would be in the hands of the HR department.
> Of course, 99% of the time, the functional manager's choices would be
> rubber stamped by HR, barring any problems the candidate may have
> such as a poor employment record, a criminal record, etc.

Someone almost got an offer one place I worked except HR found a felony on his
record.
Don't know what the felony was, but they killed the offer.

>
>
> >Driving a bus may actually be more interesting....
>
> Definitely, to me, anyway. I've experienced life in the cubicles and
> conference
> rooms. I'm very happy to be in my bus, especially since every day is
> different, and I get to interact with a lot of very nice people as opposed to
> knowing them as data records on a computer screen or stacks of files.
> I fill in as a dispatcher occasionally, since I learned the job through
> my own initiative in helping the dispatchers whenever I'd come in early from

> my run or have a long break. However, as long as I'm physically able to
> do so, I'll stay in my nice quiet bus where I'm Captain of my own ship and
> don't have some boy wonder boss who can't spell three-letter words breathing
> down my neck.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

2191. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 20:26:43 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message
news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
> I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
>
>
> thanx

Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios" on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with "high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10 meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by truckers and casual users will only increase.

-Aaron-

2192. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 20:28:56 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3DBC88.AFF0F0BB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49906

>
> The cop, fireman, SAR wannabes all gravitate toward the nocode
> Tech ticket, because those HT's look sooo cool on their utility
> belts!

Well, many police and fire depts have programs for citizens who
want to help/ be hero. So they can be guided into being trained
and used where they can actually provide assistance and not screw
thing up and cause problems. And not try to be the hero by himself
but assist in making the entire operation get the situation under
control. "I took care of mundane H&W so the pros could spend
their efforts saving the lives".

2193. Re: Question Regarding Non-Licensee Transmission and 47CFR97.3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 20:31:21 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207232026460.2969-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 49907

On 23 Jul 2002, W1RFI wrote:
>From: xtx99@aol.com (Xtx99)
>>I would like to get my child interested in getting her license; I got
>>my tech license recently. The question I have is: Can my non-licensed
>>daughter hold and press the microphone by herself and talk assuming I'm right
>>there as the "control operator" and that she (or I) identifies that I am in
>>fact the "control operator" and my call sign is identified? I believe she
>>would get more interested in the hobby if she tried it herself several times.
>>
>>I *think* the issue comes down to whether or not her pressing the mic is
>>tantamount to her "operating" the radio.
>> A "control operator" is defined by 47CFR97.3 as "An amateur operator
>>designated by the licensee of a station to be responsible for the transmissions
>>from that station to assure compliance with the FCC Rules." Under this
>>definition, I'm still the "control operator" because I'm the one there (at the
>>"control point") responsible for the transmission and "manipulating the
>>operating adjustments in the station to achieve compliance with the FCC
>>Rules."
>> Any thoughts on this issue are appreciated.
>
>Yes, a non-ham can participate in the operation of an amateur station as long
>as a control operator is present. This is a form of "third-party"
>communications. There are some restrictions on international third-party
>communication; a third party cannot communicate with hams in nations with which
>we don't have a third-party agreement.
>
>You don't have to annonce that you are the control operator, but it may stop a
>few of the inevitable questions from people who may be concerned that a non-ham
>is bootlegging on the ham bands.

In contrast to Mr. Hare's view, there are some operators who would say NO to what you describe. They would demand that YOU have to be the one who keys and unkeys the transmitter and that YOU come on and identify, saying "<call> with third-party." In other words, the fact that the unlicensed third party has her hand on the key isn't consistent with you keeping control.

[Those people need their butt-plugs removed or something!]

I do agree that the SPIRIT of the regulation is that the licensee is responsible and that as long as he/she can cut off the communication should something go wrong, that is sufficient.

My point is: Watch out - there are anal-retentives out there that may say otherwise.

2194. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 20:39:44 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <o8j%8.199$ND5.134936@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49909

Jake <jaemesverez@box125commnet.net> wrote in message
news:ahk2kdkhj0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:I25%8.133094$JU3.60629600@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > Roper Electronics of Tomah, WI is on the RainReport for selling those
junk
> > so-called "10 Meter" radios! GO Riley!!
> >
> >
> > Jerry
> >
>
> Don't get too excited. Think about it. Roper Electronics is one
> of a thousand selling this type of radio. I was at a truck stop on I-75
> and went into the store a few months ago. There were all sorts of
> modified radios, marine radios, ham gear, even imported amplifiers,
> and the stuff was sitting right out in the open for sale. I got up enough
> nerve and asked the clerk if I needed a license to operate a couple
> radios and an amplifier I pointed at, and the guy said to me, "Who
> knows who cares, it ain't nothing to worry about." Hams tend to think
> radio consists of their ham bands. Tune outside the ham bands some
> time and listen, especially in the 4,5,6,7,8, 10, 11,12,14, & 16 mHz
bands.
> Anytime of the day or night I can find CB and trucker style conversations
> going on and never a call sign given. And no, I am not confusing this
> type of comms with something legal, especially when you hear liberal
> profanity and explicit sexual talk.
>
> Jake

I assure you I am fully aware of those problems. However, getting something
done about it has to start somewhere. Now, about the truck stop you were
visiting. Did you send this info to Mr. Hollingsworth? Did you get one of
those
"cashier" tickets that you're supposed to take to the counter and send it in
to
him to prove that these radios are on sale? I don't know about you, but I
will
do it in a skinny minute. In order for something to be done about this,
somebody
has to get off their duff(s) and DO something instead of throwing up our
hands and saying it's up to "somebody" else.

I am told that Mr. Hollingsworth just recently met with ARRL's RFI Committee and assured them that these kinds of enforcement actions are going to increase.
Indeed, they HAVE been increasing, and I literally rejoice everytime I hear about
one. Yes, there are thousands of illegal vendors, and, again, it has to start some-
where. If nothing else, listen to the lower end of 10 meters when traveling. Record, photograph, and write down truckers' info that you can positively identify
as operating on our bands. Drop a dime when you get home. If we as the rightful
users of these bands want to protect our bands from encroachment, then we must
get involved and not sit around whining about it and saying we can't do anything
about it. One, we can USE those bands, keep 'em busy, send CW right over the
top of the SOBs and send CQs. Then we can report ANY illegal activity to the
proper authorities. Perhaps, letters to our REPs in Congress wouldn't hurt in light
of the good press ham radio has gotten since 9/11? But don't just sit there; DO it!

73
Jerry
K4KWH
>
>
>
>

2195. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 20:40:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3DBF47.C6778D7C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 49908

>
> I fill in as a dispatcher occasionally, since I learned the job through
> my own initiative in helping the dispatchers whenever I'd come in early from
> my run or have a long break.

Are there 2 way radios on the busses? Up in Syracuse in the late 70's
their busses had them. As dispatcher I suppose some of the drivers
might try to talk before someone else finishes, and other operational
radio errors. Any you have to teach them the realities of half duplex.
My boss, during teleconference calls, would sometimes try to talk and
not realize that the other end couldn't hear him as he didn't trigger the
VOX. I told him "They can't hear you if you can hear them at the
same time". I don't think he ever quite got that.

2196. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 21:00:22 UTC
From: Fwankie <Fwankie@BARFHQ14313.net>
Message-ID: <ahkg5a$h2l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 49910

```
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:o8j%8.199$ND5.134936@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Jake <jaemesverez@box125commnet.net> wrote in message
> news:ahk2kd$khj$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:I25%8.133094$JU3.60629600@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > Roper Electronics of Tomah, WI is on the RainReport for selling those
> junk
> > > so-called "10 Meter" radios! GO Riley!!
> > >
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> >
> > Don't get too excited. Think about it. Roper Electronics is one
> > of a thousand selling this type of radio. I was at a truck stop on
I-75
> > and went into the store a few months ago. There were all sorts of
> > modified radios, marine radios, ham gear, even imported amplifiers,
> > and the stuff was sitting right out in the open for sale. I got up
enough
> > nerve and asked the clerk if I needed a license to operate a couple
> > radios and an amplifier I pointed at, and the guy said to me, "Who
> > knows who cares, it ain't nothing to worry about." Hams tend to think
> > radio consists of their ham bands. Tune outside the ham bands some
> > time and listen, especially in the 4,5,6,7,8, 10, 11,12,14, & 16 mHz
> bands.
> > Anytime of the day or night I can find CB and trucker style
conversations
> > going on and never a call sign given. And no, I am not confusing this
> > type of comms with something legal, especially when you hear liberal
> > profanity and explicit sexual talk.
> >
> > Jake
>
> I assure you I am fully aware of those problems. However, getting
something
> done about it has to start somewhere. Now, about the truck stop you were
> visiting. Did you send this info to Mr. Hollingsworth? Did you get one
of
> those
> "cashier" tickets that you're supposed to take to the counter and send it
```

in
> to
> him to prove that these radios are on sale? I don't know about you, but I
> will
> do it in a skinny minute. In order for something to be done about this,
> somebody
> has to get off their duff(s) and DO something instead of throwing up our
> hands and saying it's up to "somebody" else.
>
> I am told that Mr. Hollingsworth just recently met with ARRL's RFI
Committee
> and assured them that these kinds of enforcement actions are going to
> increase.
> Indeed, they HAVE been increasing, and I literally rejoice everytime I
hear
> about
> one. Yes, there are thousands of illegal vendors, and, again, it has to
> start some-
> where. If nothing else, listen to the lower end of 10 meters when
> traveling. Record, photograph, and write down truckers' info that you can
> positively identify
> as operating on our bands. Drop a dime when you get home. If we as the
> rightful
> users of these bands want to protect our bands from encroachment, then we
> must
> get involved and not sit around whining about it and saying we can't do
> anything
> about it. One, we can USE those bands, keep 'em busy, send CW right over
> the
> top of the SOBs and send CQs. Then we can report ANY illegal activity to
> the
> proper authorities. Perhaps, letters to our REPs in Congress wouldn't
hurt
> in light
> of the good press ham radio has gotten since 9/11? But don't just sit
> there; DO it!
>
> 73
> Jerry
> K4KWH
> >
> >
> >
> >
I have it on good word that KV4FZ will take care of all
these problems if/when he returns to ham radio.

Fwankie

2197. Re: More on 10 and 12 meter operations, emerg coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 21:06:24 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <qxj%8.438$ND5.155707@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49911

Perhaps, I am being a mite strong, but the truth is, I have never seen CB Radio do
anything but keep up with Smokey and provide a diversion to kids out on the town (tho that't sort of died down). Prior to 1973, I can remember CB Radio as
a good, reliable local means of comms, and I spent many a night sitting on the edge of my bed with a cigarette in one hand, mike in the other. Afterwards, it
went to hell in a handbasket, and I reckon the increase in lawlessness plus the in-
cursions onto the ham bands has me pretty damned mad at the whole thing. I am going to go ahead and say it (probably to my regret): if it were up to me, I would
sunset CB Radio on 27 MHZ so fast it'd make your head swim! But it isn't up to
me, so..... Actually, I didn't come to ham radio via CB Radio. I began as
a cadet in Civil Air Patrol back in 1964, and my introduction to radio was basically thru military-type protocol--read that as no-nonsense, direct, and to the
point. After having that drilled into me, it was something of a fingernails-scraping-
on-the-blackboard kind of reaction to anything-goes, "cutsey", phrases, and pseudo-important introductions ("You got the OOOOOONNNNEE Sloop Dog
on this h'yar end") along with the endless, empty talking that doesn't say anything.
For example, I began to hear "Shore thang thar, mercy me thar, gre't day, mercy me, I know whutcha mean, shore do thar-----all that nonsense just to say the word "yes". To me, it made no sense, and to this day, I cannot just go on and on
and on about something.

Of COURSE, I know everybody on CB isn't that way, and I have listened to some of that "freeband" activity where many of the CB operators try to copy the hams and aren't so redundant with their lingo, but I even resent THAT be-
cause 1) they are illegal, and 2) they are "pretend" hams. Can't win, eh?

I know that many operators DO get their first exposure to radio via CB, and that
they aren't intellectually challenged in any way. Again, I guess I let my resentment
towards *some* facets of it to show thru even when I try not to.

73

Jerry

Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0207230225.35728edf@posting.google.com...
> Both posts answered below.
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<5p3%8.132936$JU3.60576686@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:mJ2%8.45715$lu6.2661105@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> > > news:20020722193004.03964.00000158@mb-ck.news.cs.com...
> > >
> > > > Here's the description from the opening page of the R.A.I.N. Report
> > website.
> > > > You can then select the 'PRESENT R.A.I.N. REPORT' in either
WindowsMedia
> > or
> > > > Real Player format.
> > > >
> > > > "All Inclusive, Not Exclusive" The live town hall meeting sponsored
by
> > the
> > > > Amateur Radio Newsline at this spring's Dayton HamVention generated
some
> > > > thought provoking talks we'll be bringing you over the next few
weeks.
> > None
> > > > generated as much controversy as the keynote speech, delivered by
Harold
> > Ort,
> > > > N2RLL, Editor of Popular Communications magazine. While his message
may
> > ruffle
> > > > a few feathers, his call for merging ham radio's resources with
those of
> > other
> > > > personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable. EVERY
ham
> > in
> > > > America should listen to what he has to say. (16 minutes)
> > > >
> > > > Mr. Ort did a superb job of explaining why thequoted text just
isn't
> > making it
> > > > with all the challenges that lay ahead.
> > > >
> > > > www.rainreport.com
> > > >

> > > > Enjoy. :-)
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Bert
> > > > WA2SI
> > >
> > > Bert, like so many good ideas the trick is getting from here to there.
> > > How to take latent capability, a man and a radio, and get something
> > > accomplished with it; the difference between an Army and a bunch of
guys
> > > with guns.
> > >
> > > Assuming you can get the infrastructure established without too much
> > > penis-measurement (and that is pretty well the current state of
affairs)
> > > it ultimately comes down not to the Majors, Colonels, and Generals but
to
> > > the Sergeants and Corporals. And these guys are very likely to be the
ad
> > > hoc people who are dumb enough to volunteer. Anyone who has ever been
net
> > > control on one of these things very quickly develops a lot of sympathy
for
> > > Attilla the Hun or at least his top-kick because it takes a cast-iron
SOB
> > > to keep things flowing in something resembling a straight line.
> > > Discipline among those caught in the fog of action is either developed
on
> > > the parade ground in advance or by someone looked to for leadership
for
> > > whatever reason on the spot who forgets having to live with the troops
> > > afterward and kicks some butts while teaching very quickly. The first
> > > rule of mule-training applies: one must know more than the mule.
> > >
> > > The problem in its simplest form is that a man with a radio feels
called
> > > upon to talk now and then. Unless some order is imposed, you get the
> > > tendency of green troops to fire at everything in sight and you have
the
> > > radio equivalent of Kent State. So the first requirement is to
enforce
> > > Tom Lehrer's axiom: People who cannot communicate should have the good
> > > grace to shut up.
> > >
> > > One good source of a bit of history of people faced with this kind of
> > > problem and from which some lessons might be drawn is -- of all
places --
> > > the history behind the Boy Scouts, the 'seige' of Mafeking - made
famous
> > > by Shirley Pimple -- during the Boer War. The younger male residents

were
> > > put to work under their own elected leadership at a lot of things not
> > > requiring carrying a gun and performed very well. Kind of early-day
> > > terrorism by a bunch of Dutch farmers riding plow horses in Kommandos
> > > which might well be a lesson of its own. The Brits of the period were
> > > bumbling incompetants (much like our people??) but blind pigs find
acorns.
> > > Look up Kipling's 'Stellenbosch'.
> > >
> > > Merging is too strong a term but working together is possible and
probably
> > > necessary. But I strongly suspect that a successful operation will be
> > > characterized by a decentralized approach where groups are led by
their
> > > own. This makes laison a major but necessary headache.
> > >
> > > Think it thru Bert. Advocacy of arm-in-arm into the sunset is far
easier
> > > than actually getting it done. And when the smoke clears, there is
all
> > > that Health & Welfare traffic to handle.
> > > Sells magazines but that is about all.
> > >
> > > Dick N&#65533;BK
>
> Dick, I read you 100% and quite agree to a certain point. But why not
> give it a whirl. When you really think about it, only those who are
> actually desireable will likely apply. (More'n likely the
> "hobbyists.") No effort will certainly net us nothing. As for the
> rest...the heck with them.
>
> > Like I said, "Yuh gotta ole copy thar, mercy me thar, shore thang thar,
> > gre't day
> > 'live thar, I know whutcha mean thar, shore do thar, BEEP SQUEAK!" ad
> > nauseum. Yup, put 'em to work doin' sum'pin--just leave the message
traffic
> > to the trained ops.
> >
> > Jerry
>
> Jerry Jerry Jerry,
>
> You can do better than this. Mighty wide brush your wielding mister.
> Almost like saying that all Tar Heels are dumb country bumpkins, we
> all know that's not true...don't we? Hey Jerry, what's my malfunction?
> I'm a CBer and I don't speak like the prose above. I was a CBer long
> before I became an ARO so it's not like I learned my mannerisms OTA on
> 10 and brought 'em to 11, so what's the explanation? I like the code
> and don't go "QRT and on the side." (Nor have I ever been

> "destinated," for that matter. <ggg>)
>
> Now I'll drop a dime (Ok, quarter.) on ANYONE causing interference or
> interloping on 10, 12, or ANY AR band...but I know that all CBer's
> dont speak like your example and I also know that there are plenty of
> fine decent folks that have, are, and will continue to come into AR
> via the CB. Some will leave the CB behind and some will continue to
> enjoy an occassional QSO on the CB. Do you really believe that they'll
> all say "tahn far!?" I'm not trying to bust chops and I'll give you
> the benefit of the doubt that you're not trying to bust mine...just
> give it a little thought please. Take care now.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

2198. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 21:15:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3dc710.2723126@news.cet.com>
Source record: 49912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com>,
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message
news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
>> I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
>> the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
>> alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
>>
>>
>> thanx
>
>Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly
>represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios"
>on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with
>"high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers
>like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the
>scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper
>Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter
>radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose
>band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally
>take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate
>that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10
>meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by
>truckers and casual users will only increase.

Hmmm... I never thought of that. Good point, Voob.

2199. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 22:41:02 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujrmqs6d4lfn1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49913

There have been a few 'problem children' within the amateur ranks; however, the percentage of 'problem children' is FAR FAR less than with the unlicensed population. I'm starting to get tired of hearing how 220 MHz should be turned over to MURS and how 10 should be turned over to CB. There exists a simple access to the amateur bands - pass a test and obtain an amateur license. The marine band is for exactly that, not hobby use. The amateur bands are exactly that - many HF amateur bands are exclusively amateur allocations. Others are shared with other users. None are for unlicensed operation. Of course, this may prove to difficult for some; you can always go to rec.radio.cb and argue why class C amps are fine for am and ssb or how long coax should be.

Jim AA2QA
"Fwankie" <Fwankie@BARFHQ14313.net> wrote in message
news:ahkg5a$h2l$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:o8j%8.199$ND5.134936@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Jake <jaemesverez@box125commnet.net> wrote in message
> > news:ahk2kdkhj0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:I25%8.133094$JU3.60629600@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > Roper Electronics of Tomah, WI is on the RainReport for selling
those
> > junk
> > > > so-called "10 Meter" radios! GO Riley!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Jerry
> > > >
> > >
> > > Don't get too excited. Think about it. Roper Electronics is one
> > > of a thousand selling this type of radio. I was at a truck stop on
> I-75
> > > and went into the store a few months ago. There were all sorts of
> > > modified radios, marine radios, ham gear, even imported amplifiers,
> > > and the stuff was sitting right out in the open for sale. I got up
> enough
> > > nerve and asked the clerk if I needed a license to operate a couple
> > > radios and an amplifier I pointed at, and the guy said to me, "Who
> > > knows who cares, it ain't nothing to worry about." Hams tend to thi
nk

> > > radio consists of their ham bands. Tune outside the ham bands some
> > > time and listen, especially in the 4,5,6,7,8, 10, 11,12,14, & 16 mHz
> > bands.
> > > Anytime of the day or night I can find CB and trucker style
> conversations
> > > going on and never a call sign given. And no, I am not confusing
this
> > > type of comms with something legal, especially when you hear liberal
> > > profanity and explicit sexual talk.
> > >
> > > Jake
> >
> > I assure you I am fully aware of those problems. However, getting
> something
> > done about it has to start somewhere. Now, about the truck stop you were
> > visiting. Did you send this info to Mr. Hollingsworth? Did you get one
> of
> > those
> > "cashier" tickets that you're supposed to take to the counter and send
it
> in
> > to
> > him to prove that these radios are on sale? I don't know about you, but
I
> > will
> > do it in a skinny minute. In order for something to be done about this,
> > somebody
> > has to get off their duff(s) and DO something instead of throwing up our
> > hands and saying it's up to "somebody" else.
> >
> > I am told that Mr. Hollingsworth just recently met with ARRL's RFI
> Committee
> > and assured them that these kinds of enforcement actions are going to
> > increase.
> > Indeed, they HAVE been increasing, and I literally rejoice everytime I
> hear
> > about
> > one. Yes, there are thousands of illegal vendors, and, again, it has to
> > start some-
> > where. If nothing else, listen to the lower end of 10 meters when
> > traveling. Record, photograph, and write down truckers' info that you
can
> > positively identify
> > as operating on our bands. Drop a dime when you get home. If we as the
> > rightful
> > users of these bands want to protect our bands from encroachment, then
we
> > must
> > get involved and not sit around whining about it and saying we can't do
> > anything

> > about it. One, we can USE those bands, keep 'em busy, send CW right
over
> > the
> > top of the SOBs and send CQs. Then we can report ANY illegal activity
to
> > the
> > proper authorities. Perhaps, letters to our REPs in Congress wouldn't
> hurt
> > in light
> > of the good press ham radio has gotten since 9/11? But don't just sit
> > there; DO it!
> >
> > 73
> > Jerry
> > K4KWH
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> I have it on good word that KV4FZ will take care of all
> these problems if/when he returns to ham radio.
>
> Fwankie
>
>
>
>

2200. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 22:47:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujrn6j1cu92hc9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Perhaps, but there won't be anything in the way of F layer reflection. With only occasional sporadic e, this will make the job of locating the offender MUCH easier. You will see a lot of the stuff disappear with the sunspots. What good will 320 channels do if you can't hear anything beyond 20 miles (ok, 70 miles for the 100 watt units - but they have to hear something respond ...). When a signal is 10 dB over S-9, you will likely be hearing someone within 5 miles or so ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com...
> ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message
news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
> > I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> > the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> > alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
> >
> >
> > thanx
>
> Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly
> represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios"
> on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with
> "high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers
> like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the
> scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper
> Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter
> radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose
> band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally
> take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate
> that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10
> meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by
> truckers and casual users will only increase.
>
> -Aaron-

2201. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 22:53:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujrnist5740r7a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I will admit that I'm questioning Ranger's rigs. I don't know of many amateurs (none, actually) who have a 10 meter or 10/12 meter radio. Now, they advertise in QST with a 150 watt pep radio. I don't think they have a world-class receiver in the thing, but that probably isn't important. Fact is, for something like 50% more, you could have a rig that runs 1.8 MHz to 440 MHz. This could prove interesting.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com...
> ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
> > I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> > the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> > alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
> >
> >
> > thanx
>
> Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly
> represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios"
> on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with
> "high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers
> like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the
> scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper
> Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter
> radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose
> band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally
> take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate
> that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10
> meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by
> truckers and casual users will only increase.
>
> -Aaron-

2202. Re: More on 10 and 12 meter operations, emerg coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 23:39:46 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3DE942.7B0003B2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49916

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> Perhaps, I am being a mite strong, but the truth is, I have never seen CB
> Radio do
> anything but keep up with Smokey and provide a diversion to kids out on the
> town (tho that't sort of died down).

I ran out of gas on the interstate one night when my gas gage stuck
and I tried and tried to raise a ham on VHF and HF. It was freezing
cold and I switched over to CB and got a good Samaritan to help me
within five minutes.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2203. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 23:47:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723194701.04999.00000396@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49917

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>QST product reviews are done (generally) by one person.

Actually, not really. The review starts with testing in the ARRL Lab. Mike Tracy, KC1SX does the testing, but as the Lab Dad and a former test engineer, I wander in quite a bit and he and I discuss the findings. It then goes to a reviewer, but more often than not, especially for a high-end rig, others are also given a chance to use the radio and communicate their impressions to the reviewer. In some cases, notably the comparative reviews, a team of reviewers each get the rigs in turn. The actual reviewer writes the initial article.

The text then goes to the Product Review Editor, Brennan Price, who often follows up on various things that are said about the radio, and, in the course of editing it, imprints his own style and opinion into the review text. You have also been seeing more sidebars by the Lab staff about some of the technical issues. Mike Tracy and Zack Lau in the Lab also get to see the final copy.

About a week before it goes to press, a copy of the review is also sent to the involved manufacturer, primarily to give them an opportunity to correct factual errors. An example might be found if we said that a radio lacked a certain feature, but the feature existed, but was not documented in the users manual (that happened). The manufacturer often tries to get us to make some changes to the text, of course. Sometimes we agree and make the changes; often we don't. A good example of such a change was found a few years ago. A Japanese manufacturer had left off a common feature on an HT. The text originally said, "A pox on Yaecomwood for leaving off this feature." The manufacturer explained that this would be translated into Japanese to say "We hope the manufacturer develops sores on their bodies for leaving off this feature." The text was changed. :-)

The QST supervisor, Managing Editor and the Chief Operating Officer also see the text and often make changes.

Nonetheless, I have seen technical and non-technical errors in the reviews. Most are omission, and none are intentional, IMHO.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2204. Re: More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-23 23:56:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723195623.05089.00000276@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 49918

From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net

>I agree, and it is appreciated--both FCC's AND ARRL's efforts in this arena.
>They just hit an outfit in Wisconsin, I see by the RainReport! GO Riley!!!

That was probably a hit by the nearest FCC field office. I have been surprised to see how busy those guys are. See:

http://www.fcc.gov/eb/rfo/ActAct.html

I see a number of actions that appear to have been taken against freeband operators or sellers of illegal equipment.

I hope to take advantage of this level of FCC field-office activity and get a few actions against the electric utility companies that have not been effectively addressing line noise. Riley has been writing the initial FCC letters (to those companies that don't respond to ARRL's letters), and he will help pass the baton to the field for investigation.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

2205. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 00:26:51 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <ahks8bfvt1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 49919

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>I'd rather get paid for my overtime, plus as a union
>member, I can't be fired from a 16-inch naval gun!

Whew, that's not what I would have expected. I grew up in a (two-)company town where lots of guys thought exactly the way you do, but they were also the guys who kept electing socialist party candidates who were slightly left of Chairman Mao to the embarrassment of even the other socialists in the province let alone rational people. The behaviours became so closely associated in my mind that I spent half a lifetime avoiding those types of jobs along with those types of politicians.

Dennis Ferguson

2206. Re: More on 10 and 12 meter operations, emerg coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 01:41:57 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ahl1d3$6gl$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49920

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:qxj%8.438$ND5.155707@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Perhaps, I am being a mite strong, but the truth is, I have never seen CB
> Radio do
> anything but keep up with Smokey and provide a diversion to kids out on
the
> town (tho that't sort of died down).

I have traveled in convoy with several other antique car owners and
we have used CB quite well in maintaining car to car communications.
We would use any channel but 9 or 19 and if we got into an area
where some local yocal was annoying we'd just wait a few minutes and
we'd be out of the local's reach.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2207. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 02:07:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723220702.02083.00000147@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 49921

In article <20020721225145.06693.00000221@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>n article <20020719001057.13297.00000026@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>>>No. TM 11-690 is "Theory and Application of Transistors," a
>>>>>technical manual or "TM" published by the US Army.
>>>>>It described
>>>>>the theory and application of transistors. Further, it used the
>>>>>standard symbolism of the transistor whereas many textbooks
>>>>>of the 50s and some of the 60s used a non-standard symbolism.
>>>>
>>>>Yeah, I know. I had one. Still around somewhere.
>>>
>>>Ah, but did you LEARN anything from it? :-)
>>
>>A little. Most of it I knew already.
>
>The TM came out BEFORE YOU WERE BORN and "you knew
>it already?!?!?"

I didn't see one until some years after it was issued. By then I knew a bit
about transistors.
>
>>>>Doesn't answer the question though - was RCA behind the times by not
>>>>including transistors in the "Radiotron"?
>>>
>>>Which "RCA" are you talking about? RCA-Montreal? RCA-Camden?
>>
>>RCA the company.
>
>Was that a little tiny company? :-)
>
>RCA Corporation was incorporated in the USA. They maintained
>controlling interest and had foreign patent sharing with non-USA
>RCA corporations. Montreal is in Canada. F. Langford Smith
>was a long-time employee of RCA in Australia.

That doesn't answer the question.

>>>At ADA we also installed some of the transmitters.
>>
>>But you did not build them, design them, or pay for them.
>

>That's true since most of them were built during or before
>WW2. Neither did we "build, design, or pay" for the VHF
>and UHF radio relay equipment (later supplanted by GE
>commercial microwave radio relay equipment). Neither did
>we "build,design, or pay" for the transmitter buildings, the
>antennas covering a small ex-airfield, the receiver site
>shared with the USAF with more antennas there than most
>small communities had total, nor the teleprinter equipment
>(over 200 p-tape T-Ds), nor the emergency power generators,
>nor the trucks and busses used to get to and from, nor the
>uniforms nor the meals nor the personal weaponry issued to
>us.

Sounds like all you did was watch the equipment operate, and install a few
things.
Almost what today would be called "appliance operating" ;-)
So how does that experience have any relevance to modern amateur radio?

>>>Considering that
>>>there were 43 HF transmitters there at the beginning of 1956, we
>>>couldn't have all "built them," could we?
>>
>>Perhaps you could have. All depends on the available resources.
>
>Those filled the (approximate) 160-foot length of the Kashiwa site
>transmitter building, on both sides.

There were how many personnel assigned to that job?

>[how's YOUR experience in
>"designing, building, or paying for" 40 KW linear amplifiers? :-)]

Quite limited - as is your experience in amateur radio.

>Primary power load demand was around 480 KW.

Only 643 horsepower. Not very much, in some circles.

>I don't think
>you could afford the three-phase copper bus bars in the primary
>conduit on each wall...or the 600 KW motor-generators that
>powered all of the Kashiwa site.
>
>How about the 4 six-rack, 360+ tube, 1.8 GHz microwave radio
>relay equipment at Kashiwa? Or the 9 microwave radio relay sets
>also by GE at Chuo Kogyo or the 3 at receivers or the 3 at
>Pershing Heights? No problem for you, right? Just a matter of
>"applying the same principles." :-)

All depends on the resources.

You talk as if the ADA installation was "yours", but in fact it was designed,
built and paid for by others, and you simply played a role in its operation for

a time.
>
>>>Could you or have you
>>>built a 1 KW transmitter?
>>> A 5 KW transmitter?
>>
>>I'm sure I could build those.
>
>Oh, YES, you could...and have it be RELIABLE in 24/7 operation!

Sure - with adequate resources.
>
>But, you HAVEN'T done so, have you? :-)

So what? Must one have done something in order to talk about it? You have never held any class of amateur radio license, but you expound on the subject at great length, and claim you could get one. In fact, you said on January 19, 2000, that you were going for an Extra "right out of the box". But, you HAVEN'T done so, have you? :-)

>>>A 15 KW
>>>transmitter? A 50 KW transmitter that was used as a 40 KW
>>>output linear amplifier for SSB?
>>
>>Probably. All depends on the requirements and resources available. The
>>principles are the same.
>
>It also depends on far off the deep end you go in being foolish
>on what you "could do" using the "same principles." :-)

Nonsense. Is there a fundamental difference in principles between a 50 kW transmitter and a 50 W transmitter? Or are they both governed by the same "radio physics"?

>>Standards and practices that work need not be changed unless something
>better
>>comes along. If it's not broke...
>
>Some don't realize that SOMETHING BETTER HAS COME ALONG.

Like what?

You seem to be claiming to KNOW WHAT IS BETTER for amateur radio.
>
>Some don't realize that 70-year-old standards and practices
>are getting old, careworn, obsolete.

Which standards and practices? Be specific.

Hams have been using SSB since the early 1930s, for example.

>>>How do you wish to be addressed?
>>

>>Call sign or first name. Like practically everyone else in this ng.
>
>Are you sure you don't want "Sir" or "Your Excellency" or
>something noble like that? Maybe "Your Worship?"

No. Sounds like you want that sort of address. You're not going to get it.

>>>The word "transceiver" is a contraction of "transmitter-receiver."
>>
>>The meaning of the word in amateur radio use includes more than that. Like
>>using the same variable oscillator system for both transmit and receive
>>frequency control.
>
>Wow! High-tech...for around the time you were born...became rather
>standard after a few years.

Have you ever designed and built a multiband amateur radio HF transceiver from
scratch, using only your own resources? I've desined and built several.

>>>Transmitter frequency generation by "methods other than multiplication"
>>>has been done for years in commercial or military radios.
>>
>>Did you invent it? I think not.
>
>The word I wrote was plural..."methods." You should have written
>"them" instead of "it" in your nastygram. :-)
>
>Oh, must I have a patent or many patents to be acknowledged
>some kind of "technical" person?

Nope. I'm coninventor on a patent - they aren't that hard to get.

>>>One of the
>>>first commercial uses was in the civil aircraft radio band 108 to 137 MHz
>>>beginning with mixing two selectable-crystal oscillators to achieve a
>>>"channel" tuning.
>>
>>So? Amateur radio HF equipment is not channelized.
>
>No? :-)

Nope.
>
>You don't tweak the knobs or push the buttons on the above-30-
>MHz ham stuff then. :-)

Sure I do. It's not channelized.
>
>You aren't familiar with the incremental tuning of modern ham
>transceivers, either. :-)

Sure am. Not channelized either.
>

>Most of those are in 10 Hz increments, rather small "channels"
>but incremental fixed frequencies all of them. :-)

Not channelized, though.
>
>>>The term "heterodyne transmitter" seems to be
>>>common only to VERY old ham radio literature... :-)
>>
>>You ever design and build an amateur radio heterodyne transmitter?
>
>No.

Didn't think so.

>>>In 1948 the US military decided to eliminate morse codings in long-
>>>haul HF communications, all branches. They did.
>>
>>They decided in 1948?
>>
>>Do you have some proof of this decision?
>
>Yes. Do you have a clearance and a "need to know?" :-)

If such a decision requires a "clearance", then you have violated security by
revealing it on a public ng. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>YOU are the "historian" (claimed) in here. YOU go research the
>subject.

Why? You're the one making the claim, not me. YOU provide the documentation.

>I know because I've seen the military reports and TMs
>back around when the doc was writing up your birth certificate.

Can you "prove" any of it?
>
>>When did they finally eliminate HF Morse in all long haul HF military radio
>>communications?
>
>In fixed-point to fixed-point about 1950. In ship to ship or ship to
>shore it was some time in the 1960s.

So it took almost 20 years to make it happen.
>
>>And what does that have to do with amateur radio?
>
>If amateur radio is "really" a SERVICE, then it ought to emulate
>the other services such as the military service. :-)

Obviously your thought processes are not restrained by logic.

--

Found any examples of problems caused by radio amateurs younger than 14 yet?

Jim, N2EY

2208. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 02:40:51 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Tso%8.1510$Kl6.83170@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49922

"Dennis Ferguson" <dennis@wawa.juniper.net> wrote in message
news:ahks8bfvt1@heap.juniper.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
> >I'd rather get paid for my overtime, plus as a union
> >member, I can't be fired from a 16-inch naval gun!
>
> Whew, that's not what I would have expected. I grew up in a
(two-)company
> town where lots of guys thought exactly the way you do, but they were
also
> the guys who kept electing socialist party candidates who were slightly
left
> of Chairman Mao to the embarrassment of even the other socialists in the
> province let alone rational people. The behaviours became so closely
> associated in my mind that I spent half a lifetime avoiding those types
> of jobs along with those types of politicians.
>
> Dennis Ferguson

Like a lot of other institutions, government and unions attempt to freeze time and enshrine the past to their ultimate detriment. So what else is new?

Living as I do in the most Republican state in the Union, even exceeding Utah, but the only US state to remove the protective coloration and hiding places for pols by eliminating one house of its legislature -- in other words, like Canada -- I have it driven home regularly that structure does not prevent stupidity it just makes it easier to see.

So we have such jewels as 'right to work' which simply causes more labor strife and a term-limited, session-limited group of Neanderthals that can spend more money to less effect than virtually anyone but California and managed to hand virtual control of the Unicameral to a black Senator from Omaha who fortunately is the smartest guy in the room, temporarily.

Spare me the ideologue of any stripe.

Dick NBK

2209. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 03:00:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujs61njmkgu8e6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49923

Jerry, at times I have thought you were a bit strong in your wording; however, in looking at the FCC site, I am beginning to see the light. The following is a snip from the FCC website:

Ironically, these rescue operations occurred during a weeklong exercise known as Operation Mayday in which Commission agents participated along with the Coast Guard and numerous Los Angeles-Long Beach region marine search and rescue personnel. Operation Mayday was instituted to locate and identify hoax distress callers operating over marine band VHF-FM radio. It has been estimated that that such hoax distress calls in the Los Angeles-Long Beach area cost taxpayers over one million dollars annually in Coast Guard deployment of personnel and equipment not including the additional expenses incurred by back-up local or state search and rescue teams.

I had to double-check that one. Over ONE MILLION dollars annually just in the Los Angeles-Long Beach area! Good grief, I had no idea that many people were that stupid. False distress signals convey a maximum of six years. Anyone pulling that stunt should get that six year sentence. They will likely never repay the costs (which they are supposed to). What some idiots consider fun is quite unbelievable.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:I25%8.133094$JU3.60629600@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Roper Electronics of Tomah, WI is on the RainReport for selling those junk
> so-called "10 Meter" radios! GO Riley!!
>
>
> Jerry
>
>
>

2210. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 03:17:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723231742.29873.00000358@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 49924

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 07/23/02 19:47 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020723194701.04999.00000396@mb-fx.aol.com>

>>QST product reviews are done (generally) by one person.
>
>Actually, not really. The review starts with testing in the ARRL Lab.

I stand corrected. I was going by the fact that one name ususally appears
in the "by line".

Oooops!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2211. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 03:38:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <lhp%8.2272$ND5.638025@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49925

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ujs61njmkgu8e6@corp.supernews.com...
> Jerry, at times I have thought you were a bit strong in your wording;
> however, in looking at the FCC site, I am beginning to see the light. The
> following is a snip from the FCC website:
>
> Ironically, these rescue operations occurred during a weeklong exercise
> known as Operation Mayday in which Commission agents participated along
with
> the Coast Guard and numerous Los Angeles-Long Beach region marine search
and
> rescue personnel. Operation Mayday was instituted to locate and identify
> hoax distress callers operating over marine band VHF-FM radio. It has been
> estimated that that such hoax distress calls in the Los Angeles-Long Beach
> area cost taxpayers over one million dollars annually in Coast Guard
> deployment of personnel and equipment not including the additional
expenses
> incurred by back-up local or state search and rescue teams.
>
> I had to double-check that one. Over ONE MILLION dollars annually just in
> the Los Angeles-Long Beach area! Good grief, I had no idea that many
people
> were that stupid. False distress signals convey a maximum of six years.
> Anyone pulling that stunt should get that six year sentence. They will
> likely never repay the costs (which they are supposed to). What some
idiots
> consider fun is quite unbelievable.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Exactly. Obviously, some drastic measures need to be taken to teach these
knot-
heads a lesson. Their antics are NOT funny!

Jerry

>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:I25%8.133094$JU3.60629600@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > Roper Electronics of Tomah, WI is on the RainReport for selling those
junk
> > so-called "10 Meter" radios! GO Riley!!
> >

> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2212. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 03:47:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723234754.08190.00000721@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49927

In article <3D3DBF47.C6778D7C@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> I fill in as a dispatcher occasionally, since I learned the job through
>> my own initiative in helping the dispatchers whenever I'd come in early
>from
>> my run or have a long break.
>
>Are there 2 way radios on the busses?

Yes, actually two of them. We use the Orbit CAD/AVL system, which
gives us two-way voice and data messaging capability along with
GPS vehicle location.

>Up in Syracuse in the late 70's
>their busses had them. As dispatcher I suppose some of the drivers
>might try to talk before someone else finishes, and other operational
>radio errors. Any you have to teach them the realities of half duplex.

A lot of our dispatchers have a problem with that. They can't get used
to the fact that it takes the radio system a few milliseconds to come
on before they start talking; therefore they usually clip off the first part
of their transmission. And a lot of times it's simply because they
can't coordinate their mouths with the mouse button.

>My boss, during teleconference calls, would sometimes try to talk and
>not realize that the other end couldn't hear him as he didn't trigger the
>VOX. I told him "They can't hear you if you can hear them at the
>same time". I don't think he ever quite got that.

I've always thought VOX was a cheap substitute for full duplex operation.
It is almost never properly implemented or utilized, at least in ham radio.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2213. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 03:47:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723234755.08190.00000722@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49928

In article <3D3D95EC.DD267788@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>> I wouldn't know about that. I've never seen an HT hanging from the
>> spacesuit (made in Frederica, DE by ILC) of a NASA PhD physicist
>> spaceperson. They usually keep their HT's stowed in an equipment
>> case aboard the Space Shuttle or the ISS.
>
>Just think how smart those nocode Tech PhD physicists would have
>been if they had not been dumbed down by getting a ham license.
>Too bad the astronauts are all so inferior to you, eh?

Cecil:

Like I said, as astronauts, they're still PhD's. As hams, unless
they are Pre-Restructuring, code tested, and CW-using Extras,
they aren't in my league. I have a habit of comparing apples to
apples. You always choose to do the Mensa thing, which is to
compare apples to aluminum siding -- all the while claming to be
a master of applied logic.

Being an astronaut requires years of post-graduate study and the
requisite doctorate, plus additional years of rigorous, mission-
orientated training usually received as part of military service.
Being a ham radio operator, especially in the Post-Restructuring
Era, involved a few hour's worth of effort in studying for some
very easy multiple-choice tests and a maximum 5 WPM code
test which doesn't result in the development of an ability to use
the code at a useful proficiency level. The two are not the same.
All astronauts can be hams, but not all hams can be astronauts.
Astronaut hams use their ham radio privileges mainly to talk to
school children from space, thus inspiring them to seek out
technically-oriented careers as a result. This is all well and good,
but the communications capability they employ *as* hams is
primitive compared to what they have at their disposal on the ISS
or the Space Shuttle.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2214. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 03:47:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020723234756.08190.00000723@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49926

Dennis Ferguson said:

>Whew, that's not what I would have expected. I grew up in a (two-)company
>town where lots of guys thought exactly the way you do, but they were also
>the guys who kept electing socialist party candidates who were slightly left
>of Chairman Mao to the embarrassment of even the other socialists in the
>province let alone rational people. The behaviours became so closely
>associated in my mind that I spent half a lifetime avoiding those types
>of jobs along with those types of politicians.

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I'd rather get paid for my overtime, plus as a union
>> member, I can't be fired from a 16-inch naval gun!

Then Cecil said:

>
>Egads Larry, are you a Democrat now?

Cecil/Dennis:

No, I've hardly turned into a Democrat. I work in a closed shop, so Union membership is not a choice, but a necessity. And, fortunately for me, this type of work is one of the few where I believe unions still have a valid and necessary influence. The work we do does not lend itself to automation, and will always require the services of dedicated, hard-working employees. And, since the Union mechanism is already in place, I may as well take advantage of it.

From a purely political point of view, the ATU seems not to be particularly "Communist" to me. In fact, our local seems to be particularly management-friendly -- we still have some fairly draconian work rules such as involuntary overtime, stingy vacation/personal days, etc. But without the union, we would probably not be getting anywhere near the pay and benefits we enjoy, so it's basically a wash.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2215. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 04:45:25 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3E30E5.F2929F69@hotmail.com>
Source record: 49929

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
.> Like I said, as astronauts, they're still PhD's. As hams, unless
> they are Pre-Restructuring, code tested, and CW-using Extras,
> they aren't in my league.

And they will be eternally grateful for that.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2216. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 04:54:19 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C845D5F.D60997D1@att.net>
Source record: 49930

N2EY wrote:

> You have never
> held any class of amateur radio license, but you expound on the subject at
> great length, and claim you could get one. In fact, you said on January 19,
> 2000, that you were going for an Extra "right out of the box". But, you
> HAVEN'T done so, have you? :-)

You know what the problem is, Jim. The poor bustard can't do even 5wpm!!!

2217. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:13:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C8461E5.82044934@att.net>
Source record: 49931

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:ujs61njmkgu8e6@corp.supernews.com...
> > Jerry, at times I have thought you were a bit strong in your wording;
> > however, in looking at the FCC site, I am beginning to see the light. The
> > following is a snip from the FCC website:
> >
> > Ironically, these rescue operations occurred during a weeklong exercise
> > known as Operation Mayday in which Commission agents participated along
> with
> > the Coast Guard and numerous Los Angeles-Long Beach region marine search
> and
> > rescue personnel. Operation Mayday was instituted to locate and identify
> > hoax distress callers operating over marine band VHF-FM radio. It has been
> > estimated that that such hoax distress calls in the Los Angeles-Long Beach
> > area cost taxpayers over one million dollars annually in Coast Guard
> > deployment of personnel and equipment not including the additional
> expenses
> > incurred by back-up local or state search and rescue teams.
> >
> > I had to double-check that one. Over ONE MILLION dollars annually just in
> > the Los Angeles-Long Beach area! Good grief, I had no idea that many
> people
> > were that stupid. False distress signals convey a maximum of six years.
> > Anyone pulling that stunt should get that six year sentence. They will
> > likely never repay the costs (which they are supposed to). What some
> idiots
> > consider fun is quite unbelievable.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
> Exactly. Obviously, some drastic measures need to be taken to teach these
> knot-
> heads a lesson. Their antics are NOT funny!

And such nonsense was virtually unheard of before CB went off the deep end,
and then regulators turned their back on it. It's more than clear that such crap
is self-engendering and self-perpetuating. The idea that communications and
communicators need qualification, direction and regulation didn't come from
nowhere for no reason.
It IS encouraging to see FCC once again with the big stick in hand.

Dick

2218. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:22:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C83A653.35758CE6@att.net>
Source record: 49932

KC8PMX wrote:

>
>
> Also, the no-code tech license is the ENTRY point now to ham radio.

Would you care to expound on why it is that a third of all ham radio licensees
have chosen to remain at the entry point?

2219. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014429.25170.00000182@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49933

In article <3D3D9501.D03205A1@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I'd rather get paid for my overtime, plus as a union
>> member, I can't be fired from a 16-inch naval gun!
>
>Egads Larry, are you a Democrat now?

...he thinks he is a "big gun" in any task... :-)

2220. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014430.25170.00000184@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49934

In article <20020723124433.02191.00000533@mb-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>Apparently not. I've attempted every permutation of "any choice +of
>jobs," "my choice +of jobs," and just "jobs." I cannot disclose this
>quote from myself anywhere. Perhaps with your superior technical
>and computer skills, you can locate it and repost it here for the rest
>of us to marvel at?

I could, but first you have to tell us all the secrets of being
able to ignite brush fires without being caught... :-)

2221. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014430.25170.00000183@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49939

In article <20020723124434.02191.00000535@mb-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20020722002414.26909.00000116@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>>Lennie:
>>>
>>>Is that really what happened? Are you sure about this? Do you
>>>have your facts absolutely, verifiably correct?
>>
>>Hmmm...let's see...Roll claimed high marks in a college course
>>for "human resources" (a buzzphrase for 'personnel' work)...and
>>then bragged about how he could "get his choice of jobs after
>>graduation." That was in here. A Google search will turn up.
>
>Lennie:
>
>Why don't you just go ahead and do that, then!

You wouldn't like that... :-)

>>Does Roll have a "human resources" job now?
>
>Nope. I interviewed for one with my company, was offered the job,
>but it doesn't pay as well as being an operator, and the "office"
>people usually work 45 - 50 hr. weeks for 37.5 hrs of pay with no
>overtime. I had my fill of that bad deal in the Air Force.

Poor baby.

Okay, now you are ON RECORD as being "offered the job" but
you didn't take it even with all those good marks for a degree.

Didn't you go to school for the express purpose of doing "office
work" after graduation? Why didn't you skip all the classroom
stuff about "office work" and go straight to a bus driver's course?

>>No, he says he
>>is driving a bus. Nothing wrong with that except for the inflationary
>>nomenclature of "paratransit specialist." :-)
>
>That's what the company calls it -- however now they call us
>Paratransit Operators. Go have your fun with that one.

My apologies for thinking you were a "specialist" instead of a mere
"operator." For a while there you sounded like a top-notch HR

person... :-)

>>Good luck on finding that job in "human resources," Larrie...
>
>Thanks. Maybe some other time.

No time like the present, is there? :-)

2222. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014431.25170.00000185@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49935

In article <20020723124435.02191.00000537@mb-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>I wouldn't know about that. I've never seen an HT hanging from the
>spacesuit (made in Frederica, DE by ILC) of a NASA PhD physicist
>spaceperson. They usually keep their HT's stowed in an equipment
>case aboard the Space Shuttle or the ISS. Moreover, they use them
>for talking to school children -- not to report brush fires they just
>started with a gallon of unleaded regular and a Zippo.

Larrie, do you start YOUR brush fires with premium grade and
a fancy European lighter? :-)

2223. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014431.25170.00000186@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49937

In article <3D3C7FEB.1D24A3B5@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Does Roll have a "human resources" job now? No, he says he
>> is driving a bus. Nothing wrong with that except for the inflationary
>> nomenclature of "paratransit specialist." :-)
>>
>> Good luck on finding that job in "human resources," Larrie...
>
>If it's an HR position in an engineering or technology company,
>they do little more than fill out paperwork for tax withholding,
>benefits signups "Where's my damn ID card", paperwork for
>layoff victims, and such. Engineering managers pick out who
>to hire and can anyway. Driving a bus may actually be more
>interesting....

Oh, I think I'm familiar with the process of getting into a company
doing engineering. :-)

I have nothing against operating any vehicle for fun or profit. I have
something against the individuals who brag up a storm all about
their "high grades" and how they "can get a job anywhere after
graduation." Then aren't able to... :-)

2224. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014432.25170.00000187@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49936

In article <koXZ8.3277$Qk6.1135@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote
>
>> Hmmm. I go back at least that far myself, and a lot farther than that
>> on Fidonet. I guess I just don't remember what your screen identity used
>> to be before you became W1RFI@aol.com.
>
>From 1991 on rec.radio.amateur.misc I have this address -- Ed Hare KA1CV
>(ehare%arrlhq.UUCP@uhasun.hartford.edu)

Why are you using Ed Hare's address? :-)

2225. Unca Jimmie Shows Us How

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014434.25170.00000188@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49940

In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>Do you know what a premixer is?
>
>>I'm not absolutely positive about ham radio "pre-mixers" although
>>I think it refers to the L.O. subsystem where the variable tuning
>>oscillator is mixed with two or more fixed-frequency oscillators to
>>make a single set of frequencies which are then applied to a
>>mixer. That single mixer may be in a receiver where it reduces
>>the need for more "conversions" or it may be in a transmitter
>>where the mixer forms the final radio frequency for power
>>amplification. The terms seems just another name for the
>>frequency conversion subsystem in a radio.
>
>Almost correct.
>
>In amateur radio use, it refers to a method of generating the local oscillator
>signal for a receiver, transmitter or transceiver by mixing the signal from
one
>tunable and one fixed oscillator to produce a resultant tunable signal of the
>desired frequency range. This is usually done so that a single-range tunable
>oscillator can be used on several bands by mixing its output with that of a
>crystal oscillator. The R. L. Drake R4/T4X family are a good example of
>equipment using this method.

Both Collins Radio and RCA Corporation did that in military SSB transceivers
before the Drake company had such a product.

If you want to make a BIG deal over the word "premixer" in radio, fine. Few
others in radio do. They are content with simply thinking of the "frequency
control" section and knowing it almost subconsciously. The audio recording
industry uses "premixer" much more than hams. :-)

>>>Perhaps you are jealous of the fact that I have actually designed and built
>>>amateur radio stations and operated them on the air successfully for the
>>>past 35 years.
>>
>>Good grief, no! :-)
>

>You sure spend a lot of time and effort demeaning them, if you are not.

Jay-suss...you really are putting yourself on a great big granite column
for all to see and admire.

Okay, at about age 13 (14?) you "designed and built amateur radio stations."

No problem, you were a child prodigy.

Now you know all about programming PLCs for process control and think
that is an "important" attribute for radio amateurs. What ever happened to
the prodigy?

>>Technician class licensees now account for about one-third
>>of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>
>So? What's your point? How many hams were Technicians in 1991?

I can safely say that the number of NO-CODE Technicians in 1990
was ZERO. :-)

Why do you hate Technician class licensees so much?

>>Before you hop on another
>>rationalization bandwagon, go to "Amateur Radio Newsline"
>>and read that total US ham radio numbers have been relatively
>>stagnant for two years. :-)
>
>Their numbers are inaccurate.

Of course. :-) They seem to disagree with your opinions. :-)

>I'm challenging you to actually post something other than empty claims ;-)

They aren't "empty." You are too lazy to verify them since you don't like
them. Tough.

>Most of all, your recommendation was for an age limit of FOURTEEN. That's
>about 8th grade, not preschool.

Isn't that about the age YOU were at when "designing and building ham
stations?"

>>See the (now) "old" ARRL news
>>page for two six-year-olds "passing ham tests" and shown with
>>a nice, grandfatherly VE (who was very, very "honest" in "passing"
>>them). :-)
>
>Do you have ANY evidence that they did not pass the tests in accordance with
>the rules?

Only on the basis of remarks of four teachers with experience in K-6
classes when shown a written copy of the Q&A pool. Dubiousness
at the "honesty." A LOT of dubiousness.

Why do you want to make a big deal of this? You want to bicker over something that happened years ago? Of course you do. You love living in the past.

>>Under the FCC regulations of now and then, there is no, repeat
>>NO requirement, that SMALL CHILDREN must be overseen by
>>adults while "legally" using their amateur radio license privileges.
>
>Is this a problem? Can you name a single incident where a licensed radio
>amateur under 14 years old violated the rules or caused a problem on-air
>because of his/her age?

Sigh...not without reading every damn report in the FCC Reading Room.

On the other hand the ARRL news carries reports of a lot of children
OVER 14 who violated the rules, causing problems on the air.

>The truth is that many of the worst offenders in amateur radio, and outside
>it, are about YOUR age. Like that guy Flippo.

I was born in 1932, flip-out. Do the math: 69.

Oh, what the hell, flip-out, I'm a US military veteran too...you like to spit on
them like they aren't worthy of your consideration.

>If anybody needs watching when on the air, it's folks of YOUR age bracket.

Do you have proof of this, flip-out?

Okay, you show everybody where they can see the FCC violation that I made
at any time, in any radio service. Go ahead, kid, make everybody's day.

2226. Book of Miccolis; an Epistle to the Morsodists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014437.25170.00000189@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49941

In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>Ever think that your opinions are old, outdated, and belong to a
>>minority segment?
>
>Nope.

Not unexpected. Along with trying to pose as an eightysomething
amateur guru you have to expect Alzheimers syndrome thinking that
nothing new happened in ham radio standards and practices since
the 1930s.

>>Does being agreeable with the ARRL
>>automatically indicate "you know what is best?" :-)
>
>Nope. Neither does your knee-jerk rejection of such things make you "right".
>You don't get praise so you try to damn all those who have differing
>opinions.

Ah, so now "differing opinions" equate to "knee-jerk rejection?!?"

I'm NOT looking for "praise," old-timer...YOU are. You know it.
Nearly every other reader knows it. You get pissed off every time
someone doesn't approve of CW or the thousand and one jingo
phrases of the ARRL in print.

>No. AGE. You make a big deal about how old you are vs. how old I am.

I'm not trying to pose like an eightysomething old-timer guru with a 1x2
"from the old days."

>But none as a radio amateur, making small-scale decisions with limited
>resources.

Small-scale is as small-scale does. You are definitely in the small-scale
category.

Oh, and the HRO catalog prices rather belay the "limited resources,"
doesn't it?

>So? You provide us with lots of criticism of ham radio, but no positive
>vision. No new ideas.

I provide you and other pompous types with tons of negative criticsm
about you and your cronies putting down larger-scale folks. You don't
like it. Tough. It isn't going to stop now.

Where was it written on Mose's tablets that I "must provide positive
vision?!?" If you want good vision, go to Lens Crafters...most stores
have opticians for checking the Mark I eyeballs.

>>I don't use JUST my age, but decades of observation of INDIVIDUALS
>>within amateur radio and whether or not they used a mask of altruism
>>over their personal desires versus those who actually looked towards
>>the good of the whole.
>
>Sounds like you want us to grant you the title of "expert by association". No
>deal ;-)

You can't hear very well.

Look again, you'll find that YOU are the one demanding the "expert" title, all
for having small-scale thoughts about other people.

>>I would LOVE to get back to issues and subjects.
>
>Then why all the name calling and abuse? Why make fun of your opponents the
>way you do?

Damn...I forgot again...You are god. You can make fun of folks whose scale
is larger than your own and demand that they worship your small-scaleness.

>What does that have to do with YOUR behavior?

My fault, god, mea culpa. I pray small-scale forgiveness for small-scale
sins against small-scale icons and catechisms.

>Why not? You don't know how to program a PLC - I do. That's part of "radio
>and electronics. QED.

Quod erat dumthinking, old-timer.

You show us where process control machinery is essential for amateur radio
operations and should be a glorious, wondrous topic for amateur policy.

Goodie, now we have a Topic with a capital D where we will be lectured by
a guru with 32-year extraness about the Importance of Programmable
Logic Controllers. Who knows, maybe he "programs" them with
International Morse Code?

>>>No one is required to be a member of ARRL in order to be a radio amateur.
>>
>>True, over three-fourths of all US radio amateurs are NOT members.
>
>Over 99% of all US radio amateurs are NOT members of No Code International,
>either.

>
>Which group is more representative US of amateur radio?

Who knows? Less than a quarter of US hams are ARRL members and
the ARRL didn't get what it wanted in Restructuring, did it?

NCI is solely a political-action membership organization built around one
goal, the elimination of morse code testing for any amateur radio license.

The ARRL stance on morse code testing is NULL, nothing, they don't
commit one way or the other. The IARU is on record as eliminating the
ITU-R recommendation.

If the ARRL is "the most representative organization" then it isn't
"representing" anyone but the 170K or so membership, is it? Even then
there are ARRL members who side with NCI's aims and goals. Old-timers
in the ARRL (31.4% are age 51-60) seem to be very much for the code
test...but the ARRL has NO OPINION. Is that "representation?"

>My point is simply that since ARRL membership is not a requirement, many will
>not join.

Oh, do you now want legislation to FORCE US amateurs to be ARRL members?!?

>What is your explanation of "why that majority of radio amateurs are NOT
>members"?

You can't read well, can you? I ALREADY wrote why. The majority can't see
having to join an old-timer club that doesn't listen to them, agree with them,
just lectures to them about the "old days" of "old ways," the "proper way" to
"have fun" with the old ways like the old-timers did in the old days.

>Why? You don't accept my experiences, opinions, and facts - why should I
>accept yours?

I don't accept knowledge of process control machinery or PLCs to control
big electric motors as being anything worthwhile in amateur radio. If you
think that is in any way a "qualifier" for your "expertise," go grab a P&ID
and have a ball...somewhere else.

I don't accept being lectured about the "importance and necessity" of
morse code testing in amateur radio when morse code testing isn't a
mandatory mode of operation or used in most other radio services.
I don't accept the "importance and necessity" when it can't be proven
with any validity except that it was once very important.

I don't accept being told that vacuum tube circuitry is the ultimate high
technology in radio when the solid-state era began four decades ago.
I say to those who worship vacuum, go join NASA and be an astronaut
where the REAL vacuum exists.

I don't accept lectures on the "old ways being important" from fortysomethings
trying to sound like pompous eightysomethings. No one should.

I don't accept even more lectures from poor injured prides and egos who
can't take an opposing opinion. Nobody should. But they wail and whine
and puff their chests and make billboards of their mighty deeds and
challenge others to dumb down to their level.

>>You PCTAs are individual but seem to be the SAME on the
>>"reasons" for keeping the code test.
>
>There you go. Bigotry, and ad hominem attack.

Oh, MY, aren't you the terribly sensitive person!!!

Note the qualifier word "seem" in my quote above. Did you see any
specific reason given? No.

Ah, but you are once again in "god" mode...ANYTHING said that
doesn't agree with you is "bigotry and ad hominem attack!!!"

Jimmie, clearly, the newsgroup environment is NOT for you. Go.
You will feel better for going.

>No, absolutely correct. As much as I disagree with Carl, I do not think he
>would push for something he knew was not in the best interest of amateur
>radio.
>Carl may be mistaken but he's not intentionally trying to damage amateur
>radio.
>
>You, on the other hand, are focused on somthing else...

I am focussed on eliminating the morse code test for an amateur radio
license. It's not a coincidence that NCI is focussed on the same.

You still haven't explained why eliminating the code test is "not in the
best interest of amateur radio." Explain it.

Tell us why you wish to exclude so many US citizens from an enjoyable
hobby. Rationalize why you wish to keep the below-30-MHz spectrum
an exclusive playground only for those who can test for morse code.
[you haven't explained it with any validity yet so I use the word
"rationalize" because that is what you will write]

>>What you fail to understand (or don't WANT to understand) is that
>>many members of NCI are already "vetted" in morsemanship (have
>>taken tests proving their ability) but are against the code test as a
>>REQUIREMENT FOR LICENSING.
>
>I understand that perfectly well. Those folks are simply mistaken.

Ah, yes, "simply mistaken."

The "god" syndrome again. YOU know what is "correct" and what is
a "mistake."

>>They aren't against USE of
>>morse in amateur radio activities, just against the code TEST.

>
>Can you guarantee that 100% of those against the TEST are not against the
>USE?
>I think not.

You are misdirecting again. Can you guarantee that 100% of the PCTAs
"know what is best" for anything but themselves?!? Same misdirection.

If you need to answer (you seem to NEED to answer everything), then
say simply that you don't agree with them.

>>The PCTAs seem united in their major opinion for keeping the
>>test and that is all tied up in "they had to do it so everyone else
>>has to do it too."
>
>Nope. Incorrect. Oversimplfication.

With no other valid reasons for retention of the code test, the personal
desires come to be the baseline.

>> Secondarily, their reasons are traditional in
>>that the code test has never been absent in licensing, therefore
>>"it should always remain." :-)
>
>Incorrect again.

No, you are incorrect. Some adamant morsodists have stated that
the code test was always in ham radio and (usually "by God") it
should always remain. In here.

>>Removal of the code test for a license will remove the single
>>thing that you and other PCTAs use as an "award billboard" to
>>"prove your superiority," morsemanship. :-)
>
>Incorrect, yet again.

False "correction." See Larrie Roll's postings, an avowed PCTA.
You may see others of the same opinion. Try KH2D for a good
sampling in older days of the newsgroup.

Jimmie is making too many mistakes in here. Tsk, tsk. This is
NOT good. If he keeps on making mistakes then no one will ever
take him "seriously." Boo hoo.

2227. The Amateur EXPERIENCE According To Uncle Jimmie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014439.25170.00000190@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49938

In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>Incorrect. I've had other articles published in amateur radio journals - QST,
to be exact.
>
>Your only "expertise" is limited to your own claims and a few articles
>written decades ago for a now-defunct magazine.

Magazines. Plural. Microcomputing bit the dust, I think. BYTE
is still in Peterborough and selling ads like there's no tomorrow.
I don't know what happened to Call-A.P.P.L.E. other than that
Washington organization dissolved. McGraw-Hill's biweekly
Electronics morphed into three separate trade magazine, isn't a
subscription trade type anymore. EDN is hanging in there, though.
Been there, done those, have the bylines. :-)

Well, you can count RCA Corporation as "defunct" I suppose. :-)
They got sold to General Electric and GE sold out parts of it to
other companies. There went a bunch of technical reports and six
programs of mine in the Central Engineering Software Library.

Of course, with the RCA sale (they came out of GE, went back to
GE in an ironic Worm Ouroboros kind of way) the 1.6 GHz final
SECANT transponder version with those eight SAW filters which were
literally matched to the final mixer output with 1/8" coax lines. DoT
got the hardware, we engineers got a souvenir Final Report. Too
bad, was state-of-the-art in 1974. :-)

I doubt you will accept publication in the SAS in-flight magazine
under a pseudonym. Those are non-radio humor pieces and you
don't seem to understand much humor in here. :-)

>>>So you claim. But you have very little experience in amateur radio. You have
>>>no experience whatsoever as an amateur radio operator.

Yes, Mr. Einstein, no unlicensed-in-amateur-radio person will have
much experience legally operating a radio in the amateur radio
service! [how brilliant your deduction...should I call you Holmes?]

I can truthfully say that I have NEVER operated on the amateur radio bands without a fully-licensed, vetted, a-number-one, ichi-ban amateur radio licensee! With a few slight exceptions while working on DoD contracts where the US government was Prime for those frequencies.

It should also be pointed out while you are busy pointing fingers that EVERYONE before they were licensed in the amateur radio service did not have any amateur radio operating experience. Not legally, not in the terms you've outlined. EVERYONE unlicensed would have ZERO "experience as an amateur radio operator." :-)

The unfortunate (for you) situation is that I HAVE had a fair amount of radio experience in operating as well as maintaining, tuning, measuring, designing, testing them since 1953. Note...that includes frequencies in VLF, LF, MF, HF, VHF, UHF regions as well as the L, S, C, X, K, and 4mm microwave bands. I'm not including the non-allocated frequencies above 300 GHz. :-)

>Nope. The FCC makes the rules, and to them, "operating an amateur radio
>station" has a very precise definition. Your activites do not meet that
>definition. The simple fact is that you have no experience whatsoever as an
>amateur radio operator.

De jure, not de facto, Sherlock. Nearly all of my radio experience has been as a PROFESSIONAL. Heh heh, that seems to piss off a lot of newsgroupies in here.

I wasn't talking about operating as an amateur, Sherlock.

I've been trying to talk about GETTING INTO amateur radio via the TEST REGULATIONS. The morse code test. The TEST.

ITU-R S25.5...eliminate or re-write to delete the international recommendation of morse code testing for an AMATEUR radio license.

>>Are amateur radio transmissions encrypted or otherwise made to
>>deceive or change meanings of communications? I think you'd find
>>THAT a lot more illegal...but I've not been illegal in radio operation in
>>any radio service, ever.
>
>How can readers "know" this?

They can read Part 97, Title 47 CFR, to find explicit regulations prohibiting encryption or methods to obscure transmission information.

They can read the Federal Register, read every law book in any law library, every single newspaper column covering police arrests, and whatever anything to find out "I've not been illegal in radio operation in any radio service, ever."

I can't PROVE something that doesn't exist. It just doesn't exist. :-)

>>occupied the entirety of a small airfield. It took a Battalion to staff
>>everything. I'd say it was the "big leagues." :-)
>
>Of course you would. You did it, therefore it must be Important ;-)

"I" didn't say it was "important," the Chief of Staff of the United States Army said the work of the 8235th was important enough to give the unit TWO Presidential Unit Citations...for the work we did after the formalized truce in Korea in July 1953.

From what experience can YOU judge the US military, Jimmie? Old 1960s anti-war Vietnam propaganda? Why do you want to spit on US military veterans?

>>When I began in those "big leagues" there was also a requirement
>>to be able to "close with and destroy the enemy."
>
>How did you do that from Tokyo? ;-)

From ANYPLACE the President wanted us. Of course, with NO military experience whatsoever, you "know" all about warfare, the Cold War, and so forth. You "know" all about the military because you memorized the last-of-the-war-surplus brochures. Pin a medal on you and call you hero...

>>I was using "standard phonetics" in 1955...when the US military
>>converted to the NATO Phonetic Alphabet, which was quickly
>>adopted by the ICAO the same year. Not a problem. Minor
>>memorizing and being careful not to use the old set. :-)
>>
>>>Are you against amateurs having standards?

I'm against some amateurs spitting on US veterans. What was "wrong" with the NATO Phonetic Alphabet? Do you even know what NATO is? Do you know what ICAO stands for?

What are you hooked on? Rugs? Anti-military feelings? Trying to relive the 1930s?

>How do you mean? What "standards and practices" of 1932 are you referring to?

Of the ENTIRE 1930s. Such as "CW gets through when nothing else will." A phrase fine for the 30s. Dumshit jingoism in this new millennium. The NTS is another, like it is a "needed by the nation" sort of "emergency communication system?" Pfui.

>>>>>I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.

It is time you started understanding. You don't boss the US ARS because you have 32 yarns as an extra or a swelled head (or whatever is swelled) because you want to be a real old one-by-two "expert." Get with the program.

It's a new world with new people with new ideas and willing to try something new. I could care less if you are a fortysomething trying

vainly to be an eightysomething revered amateur guru. Pose as an eighty-something in print all you want, but you didn't pioneer radio when you started or when you operated as an amateur or when you got your first computer and started being a pompous morsodist modemite.

2228. BIG Motors in Ham Radio! (was:Freebanders...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 05:44:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724014447.25170.00000191@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 49942

In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:
>>
>>>How do you know? "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range. Do you
>>>know how to program a PLC?
>>
>>If you mean Programmable Logic Controller for "PLC," then no.
>
>Well, there you have it.

Okay, Mr. Wizard, explain how them (Toshiba?) PLCs are really neat and in
demand for ham shacks anywhere.

>>I'm not
>>into control of big electrical motors or other industrial process machinery.
>
>PLCs are a big part of "radio and electronics". Most industrial processes use
>them. Their sophistication has grown from simple relay-logic replacers to
>distributed control systems. Many use radio and/or fiberoptics for
>communications.

Aha! Everyone can get the drift of this conversation...:-)

You probably work with PLCs and/or process control equipment, right?
To you they are a BIG THING. Especially if it pays your salary.

Oh, wow, the days of Piping and Instrumentation Diagrams on Solar
One, the 50 MWe experimental generating station in the CA Middle
Desert. No thanks, had all the P&IDs and 4-20 mA sensors I care to
have. MacDac was prime, Rocketdyne did the boilers and energy
storage.

You want MOTORS in radio? Okay, no problem. Been there, done
many.

Let's start with lil bitty motors in R/C servo assemblies. Those are
"programmable" through the CMOS microprocessor in the transmitter
(see the neat Futaba sets). Not a problem...did the actuator
"programming" of the separate elevons of a V-tail glider to be controlled
like a conventional rudder-elevator. A no-brainer, really. :-)

I had a neat little "bridge" circuit for DC motor control in 1962, was going to be part of a big R/C project...except the sparking noise of the motors themselves made the receiver sensitivity go to pieces. Circuit worked just fine. Didn't have Hall-effect sensors or other techniques for non-contact motor energization that exist today.

OK, how about the famous mechanical Autotune monstrosity in the Collins R-392 receiver? FUN to see the mechanism move while changing channels, almost a visual treat. 24 VDC motor, simple servo system. No real "programming" there. :-)

How about a BIG Collins Autotune transmitter? The one with the HUGE electric motor in the bottom of rack #2? Had one of those at ADA back in '53 but it was exchanged for a Wilcox about four months after I got there. The "programmer" was the resident civilian engineer. QSY via a telephone dial controller circuit. I did it just twice. Very automated. :-)

Nothing beat the Western Electric LD-T2, a 4 KW PEP SSB (12 KHz BW commercial mode) wonder that had Class A stages up to the final which ran AB2, individual stage compartments, and a dozen-plus small AC motors to do bandswitching and variable tuning. Ten frequencies preset by a bank of 120 potentiometers, 12 pots per frequency controlling the servo motor positions. A joy to QSY and could be done in less than a minute, the delay due to the motor speed. Stable, reliable, looked and felt like it could work forever. ADA eventually got 6 of them.

Want REALLY BIG motors in radio? Heh, I've seen 30 HP air-movers used to exhaust air in hot electronics rooms of the old vacuum tube variety...and the conventional 1/4-horse blowers by the dozens. They were programmable by a single SPST switch. :-)

How about military radio motors, like the old vehicular HF-VHF sets with automatic antenna tuners? You know, the first radios to use the "Bruene" amplitude-phase detector in 1955? Those didn't have little microprocessors to "program" them automatically, they just did their thing all by themselves with enough RF.

>>I've learned and become accomplished on several programmable
>>calculators and computers and know enough, but have not actually,
>>programmed a PLA (Programmable Logic Array...had someone else
>>do that). Why do you ask (as if readers didn't know...)?
>
>The point is that "radio and electronics" is a very wide and diverse field.

Your "point" is simply one-upmanship, Mr. Wizard. You want to make a Big Thing out of PLCs. Okay, you did. Now what?

Have you ever programmed a Microchip PIC? I'm just beginning to learn now that I have a PC program development program. A case of memorizing the Instruction Set...which none of the micro makers have ever really standardized. This is for some radio applications, Jimmie, not

factory process control.

Have you had any microprocessor or microcontroller programming
practice? Note: Most PICs are microcontrollers since they have a
variety of "peripheral" circuits built-in such as D-to-A converters. My
experience is limited to the 6800 series, the 6500 series, the
ubiquitous Intel 8051 of a decade ago, the first of the RCA COSMACs,
and some limited experience in small routines for the Intel '86 line in
PCs. Oh, and a LOT of FORTRAN. I did do one small Assembler
routine for the IBM 360 which also worked on the Spectra 70...too
expensive to play with in 1973 on a time-share system...but it worked.
At the time (the late 70s and early 80s) it was politically incorrect for
an engineer to do "hard" (Assembler) programming, about the same
for typing one's own written drafts (would have put the secretaries
out of work or something, heh heh heh).

Can you do a good flow chart for computer instructions? Those apply
equally to microcontrollers. Think of it as the "schematic" for a
program. I'm SURE Cecil can after all those years at Intel in digital
work (and a few patents).

>>>But not everything. "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range.
>>>
>>>There are undoubtedly areas of "radio and electronics" where you have more
>>>experience than I. Same for Cecil. And there are also areas where I have
more
>>>experience than either of you - or both of you put together.

Of course you do...you've just claimed that.

Now, how does PLC application apply to ham radio?

Tell us where a 4-20 mA current loop fits into some ham shack and
where is it featured? Can QST draw P&IDs? :-)

>>How can readers "know" this?
>
>The same way they "know" anyhting else - including your claims.

Any reader can find out by simply ASKING QUESTIONS...via e-mail
if they don't want to be public about their question.

I've had some shared correspondence on programming microprocessors
that way over BBSs and then the Internet since 1984. If one shares
Assembler or high-level program routines, exchanges lines of code,
folks will KNOW whether the parties know how things are done.

If one does the "challenge" bit first and doesn't back it up, then all that
has been done is a lot of big-mouth hollering. :-)

Back around 1985 I was doing some simple 6502 Assembler routines
and gave the listing in a public message, asking for help on resolving
a problem from a claimed "expert" on Lynzie's Motherboard BBS.
The guy suddenly "had to leave town on a trip" and "couldn't answer

right away." :-) Another who didn't claim expertise got into the exchange and asked about the Status Register settings (which I should have checked and didn't, my fault). I added a couple SR instructions and the routine worked fine. I thanked him in public for a good tip. The "expert" wasn't heard of again in public on that BBS. :-)

With some bonus money from work I was looking into wide-coverage HF receivers in the early 80s. Went to the L.A. HRO (then in Van Nuys) for a hands-on feel of them. So...all the major critters have 10 Hz resolution in tuning but the PLL reference is 1 KHz...how does that work was the question posed to at least three "expert" hams at that HRO. Now, I'd only done a couple PLLs in my experience up to that point and I was curious as to how it was done. If the price tag is above $500 for a little box I damn well want to know what I'm buying. Nobody seemed to know anything except the advertising phrases. :-)

One of the "experts" did show me the instruction manual for an Icom IC-R70. It DID have a general explanation, enough to satisfy me to figure it out for myself. Could I get a xerocopy of a couple pages? No. But I could do some quick sketching (on my own paper) for details. Wow, helpful! :-) The manual went back into whatever box it was from and I went home to do an analysis of it. The fine resolution comes mainly from digital division within a three-loop complex PLL system (not just one PLL) and a tie-in to the internal microprocessor which links the front-panel control to the PLLs. There was an essentially similar system in the Kenwood and Yaesu receivers...but no detailed info. I bought the R70 and it still works fine. It fit under the dash of the '82 Berlinetta Camaro just dandy...but the Camaro is gone...not enough interesting HF anymore to justify putting a mount in the '92 Cavalier wagon.

Yes, I know that DDS (Direct Digital Synthesis) is now the "preferred" way to go. I KNOW about DDS in general and recommend Bar-Giora Goldberg's "Digital Frequency Synthesis Demystified" (LLH Technology Publishing, Eagle Rock, VA, includes a CD with all text plus programs) for anyone else to see how PLLs and DDSs can be done.

There's a REASON that so many manufactured ham rigs use DDS frequency control as opposed to "old" PLL method, but it isn't tied up in those radios being "ham" types...other radio applications also have the DDS while others use PLLs. The reason is cost to build and being able to make more profit.

Now all of the above gets far away from motors, doesn't it? :-)

Of course. The simple reason is that MOTORS only apply to things like moving large masses today (rotatable antennas)...and maybe the electric wheelchairs of the ancient long-timers who've sat so long in front of their radios engaging in "radio sport." :-)

Go to the Jackson Brothers new site (www.mainlinegroup.co.uk/jacksonbrothers/index.htm) and find out about obsolesence in certain

areas of technology. Nice history pages for old-timers' nostalgia lust.
Good products brought back, but not all of them. The uses of
mechanically-variable "condensers" aren't as big as they once were.
Those great Jackson dial drives won't be back. Tuning for frequency
control is now digital...all the way from the tuning knob's encoder to
the PLL or DDS loop whose final frequency control is through an
electrically-variable semiconductor capacitance junction. Better
control, more accurate control, greater stability than the old mechanical
methods. That requires understanding New Technology. Well, NEWER
at least. Some don't seem to have progressed much beyond the level
of 1970. :-)

If you want to brag about PROGRAMMING anything, fine. A lot of folks
are into programming Microchip PICs for a lot of purposes in radio
applications. The program development software is FREE, works on
PCs or Macs. PIC microcontrollers are available in dozens of different
types at quite low prices. Fun projects await for those who don't mind
thinking and planning before hauling out those "Greenlee punches." :-)

Okay, now YOU show us how PLC programming "expertise" applies
to radio applications...and how inexpensive those Toshiba PLC
modules are... :-)

>
>>Your only "expertise" is limited to your
>>own claims and a write-up in the "Electric Radio" specialty mail-only
>>periodical.
>
>Incorrect. I've had other articles published in amateur radio journals - QST,
>to be exact.
>
>Your only "expertise" is limited to your own claims and a few articles
>written
>decades ago for a now-defunct magazine.
>>
>>>So you claim. But you have very little experience in amateur radio. You
>have
>>>no experience whatsoever as an amateur radio operator.
>>
>>So, you do not accept "third-party" "operators" communicating over
>>amateur radio under control of a licensed amateur? :-)
>
>Nope. The FCC makes the rules, and to them, "operating an amateur radio
>station" has a very precise definition. Your activites do not meet that
>definition. The simple fact is that you have no experience whatsoever as an
>amateur radio operator.
>>
>>Are amateur radio transmissions encrypted or otherwise made to
>>deceive or change meanings of communications? I think you'd find
>>THAT a lot more illegal...but I've not been illegal in radio operation in
>>any radio service, ever.

>
>How can readers "know" this?
>
>>>> I've been
>>>>fortunate to start out my radio experience in the Big Leagues of
>>>>HF communications some time ago.
>>>
>>>"Big Leagues"? So you keep telling us.
>>
>>I have a long-licensed radio amateur as a reference...we were in
>>the same "big league" HF station at the same time in Army service.
>>That station had 36 (in 1953) growing to 43 transmitters (by 1956)
>>with power outputs of 1 KW to 40 KW. The monthly message
>>transmission was over 200K. In 1955 the transmitter antenna field
>>occupied the entirety of a small airfield. It took a Battalion to staff
>>everything. I'd say it was the "big leagues." :-)
>
>Of course you would. You did it, therefore it must be Important ;-)
>>
>>>No, it isn't amateur radio. The resources and goals of different radio
>>>services are very different.
>>
>>My, but aren't you observant! :-)
>>
>>When I began in those "big leagues" there was also a requirement
>>to be able to "close with and destroy the enemy."
>
>How did you do that from Tokyo? ;-)
>
>>The 1952 to 1956
>>period was not a "safe" time since the USSR had The Bomb, the
>>French had lost their colony called "Indochina" and the Cold War
>>was very hot, indeed.
>
>That's very observant of you. ;-)
>
>>>Legally, adherence to FCC rules is all that is required.
>>
>>Why isn't that enough?
>
>Letter of the law vs. spirit of the law.
>
>>Oh...you will justify "more" as follows-
>>
>>>However, the amateur
>>>radio service is enhanced by voluntary adherence to good operating and
>>>technical standards.
>>
>>How do you mean? See Part 97 for "good operating and technical
>>standards." Or do you refer to the "Amateur's Code" for all those

>>Maxims?
>>
>>>Such as using the standard phonetics.
>>
>>I was using "standard phonetics" in 1955...when the US military
>>converted to the NATO Phonetic Alphabet, which was quickly
>>adopted by the ICAO the same year. Not a problem. Minor
>>memorizing and being careful not to use the old set. :-)
>>
>>>Are you against amateurs having standards?
>>
>>No.
>
>Then you must agree that simple adherence to FCC regulations is not all that
>is
>required of hams.
>
>> Why are you so insistent on the standards and practices
>>of 70 years ago in amateur radio?
>
>How do you mean? What "standards and practices" of 1932 are you referring to?
>
>>>>>I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>>>>
>>>>Sure you do...you use it implicitly all the time.
>>>
>>>Do you have the ability to mindread?
>>
>>Absolutely not...but then my human interaction does not involve
>>totally on a monotonic on-off representation of an alphabet and
>>numbers.
>
>Sure it does - it's called ASCII, and we see your use of it here all the
>time.
>>
>>>"N2EY's OPINIONS are challenged as being invalid."
>>>
>>>How can an opinion be "invalid"?
>>
>>Because you claim you "know what is best for ham radio" in the
>>insistence of everyone else doing as you did... :-)
>
>You are quite mistaken. Where have I "insisted" that everyone else do as I
>did?
>
>>
>>>>I find you opinions to be nearly the same as what the ARRL
>>>>writes as "good and proper" for all radio amateurs to follow. :-)
>>>
>>>Ever think that perhaps they are good and considered opinions? Does being

>>from
>>>the ARRL automatically discredit something?
>>
>>Ever think that your opinions are old, outdated, and belong to a
>>minority segment?
>
>Nope.
>
>>Does being agreeable with the ARRL
>>automatically indicate "you know what is best?" :-)
>
>Nope. Neither does your knee-jerk rejection of such things make you "right".
>You don't get praise so you try to damn all those who have differing
>opinions.
>>
>>>>Where am I stating saying "follow my direction, I am right?"
>>>
>>>You hold up your age as evidence of being right.
>>
>>No. EXPERIENCE.
>
>No. AGE. You make a big deal about how old you are vs. how old I am.
>
>>Experience in a lot of radio services as both
>>military member and civilian.
>
>But none as a radio amateur.
>
>>Experience in seeing how large-scale
>>decisions were reached and their justifications,
>
>But none as a radio amateur, making small-scale decisions with limited
>resources.
>
>>all for a variety of communications that seems to be foreign for your
>thinking
>of ham radio.
>
>So? You provide us with lots of criticism of ham radio, but no positive
>vision.
>No new ideas.
>>
>>>You've held up your age as evidence of being right. You use your claimed
>>>experience in nonamateur radio as somehow qualifying you to pass judgement
>>>on the amateur radio rules, methods and practices.
>>
>>I don't use JUST my age, but decades of observation of INDIVIDUALS
>>within amateur radio and whether or not they used a mask of altruism
>>over their personal desires versus those who actually looked towards
>>the good of the whole.

>
>Sounds like you want us to grant you the title of "expert by association". No
>deal ;-)
>>
>>>When people disagree, you make
>>>fun of their names, jobs, and other characteristics. Why not just discuss
>>>the issues?
>>
>>I would LOVE to get back to issues and subjects.
>
>Then why all the name calling and abuse? Why make fun of your opponents the
>way
>you do?
>
>>However, there are
>>so many hams who are only interested in showing their "qualifications"
>>in "knowing what is best," demeaning anyone who disagrees with them,
>>and generally making fun of anyone who does not parrot the party line
>>of the long-timers.
>
>What does that have to do with YOUR behavior?
>>
>>>You also ridicule the accomplishments of those who disagree with you.
>>
>>Poor baby, suffering from "double standard syndrome" still?
>
>>You have discounted, demeaned, tried to discredit my experience in
>>radio that goes farther, extends for a longer time than your own total
>>life experience.
>
>When? Give us an example.
>
>>Sorry, but you cannot hold yourself up as anything
>>"more superior" in radio other than your morsemanship..
>
>Why not? You don't know how to program a PLC - I do. That's part of "radio
>and
>electronics. QED.
>
>>.but you
>>insist on being the "superior" and get all upset when someone
>>doesn't bow down to you or similar persons who claim to "know
>>what is best" for just about anything.
>
>Describing yourself, again.
>
>>>No one is required to be a member of ARRL in order to be a radio amateur.
>>
>>True, over three-fourths of all US radio amateurs are NOT members.
>

>Over 99% of all US radio amateurs are NOT members of No Code International,
>either.
>
>Which group is more representative US of amateur radio?
>
>>I don't know your "point" here and, evidently, you cannot under-
>>stand why that majority of radio amateurs are NOT members. :-)
>
>My point is simply that since ARRL membership is not a requirement, many will
>not join.
>
>What is your explanation of "why that majority of radio amateurs are NOT
>members"?
>
>>>I don't say that. I simply relate my own experiences, opinions, and facts.
>>
>>If you want such things accepted about yourself, you have to
>>accept others' experiences, opinions, and facts.
>
>Why? You don't accept my experiences, opinions, and facts - why should I
>accept
>yours?
>
>>>Rather than treat me as an individual, you lump me in with all other
>>>procodetest people, as if we are all the same.
>>
>>You PCTAs are individual but seem to be the SAME on the
>>"reasons" for keeping the code test.
>
>There you go. Bigotry, and ad hominem attack.
>
>>>ANYONE who has an opinion about amateur radio policy, methods or practices
>>>claims to "know what is best for amateur radio". For example, Carl
>>>Stevenson,
>>>Executive Director of NCI, thinks that total elimination of code testing is
>>>best for amateur radio. He KNOWS it is.
>>
>>Incorrect.
>
>No, absolutely correct. As much as I disagree with Carl, I do not think he
>would push for something he knew was not in the best interest of amateur
>radio.
>Carl may be mistaken but he's not intentionally trying to damage amateur
>radio.
>
>You, on the other hand, are focused on somthing else...
>
>>Carl is of that opinion as are all the members of NCI,
>>licensed or not, US nationals or citizens of non-USA countries.
>

>And they KNOW it's what's best.
>>
>>What you fail to understand (or don't WANT to understand) is that
>>many members of NCI are already "vetted" in morsemanship (have
>>taken tests proving their ability) but are against the code test as a
>>REQUIREMENT FOR LICENSING.
>
>I understand that perfectly well. Those folks are simply mistaken.
>
>>They aren't against USE of
>>morse in amateur radio activities, just against the code TEST.
>
>Can you guarantee that 100% of those against the TEST are not against the
>USE?
>I think not.
>>
>>The PCTAs seem united in their major opinion for keeping the
>>test and that is all tied up in "they had to do it so everyone else
>>has to do it too."
>
>Nope. Incorrect. Oversimplfication.
>
>> Secondarily, their reasons are traditional in
>>that the code test has never been absent in licensing, therefore
>>"it should always remain." :-)
>
>Incorrect again.
>>
>>>Is that bad? Lots of people do the same thing here. Or is it only bad
>>because
>>>I'm procodetest and procode, and you are not?
>>
>>Removal of the code test for a license will remove the single
>>thing that you and other PCTAs use as an "award billboard" to
>>"prove your superiority," morsemanship. :-)
>
>Incorrect, yet again.
>>
>>When that is gone all you will have is your insistence to being
>>"superior" is a long time spent using morse code.
>
>Batting 000
>
>>>Do you know what a premixer is?
>
>>I'm not absolutely positive about ham radio "pre-mixers" although
>>I think it refers to the L.O. subsystem where the variable tuning
>>oscillator is mixed with two or more fixed-frequency oscillators to
>>make a single set of frequencies which are then applied to a
>>mixer. That single mixer may be in a receiver where it reduces

>>the need for more "conversions" or it may be in a transmitter
>>where the mixer forms the final radio frequency for power
>>amplification. The terms seems just another name for the
>>frequency conversion subsystem in a radio.
>
>Almost correct.
>
>In amateur radio use, it refers to a method of generating the local
>oscillator
>signal for a receiver, transmitter or transceiver by mixing the signal from
>one
>tunable and one fixed oscillator to produce a resultant tunable signal of the
>desired frequency range. This is usually done so that a single-range tunable
>oscillator can be used on several bands by mixing its output with that of a
>crystal oscillator. The R. L. Drake R4/T4X family are a good example of
>equipment using this method.
>>
>>>Perhaps you are jealous of the fact that I have actually designed and built
>>>amateur radio stations and operated them on the air successfully for the
>>>past 35 years.
>>
>>Good grief, no! :-)
>
>You sure spend a lot of time and effort demeaning them, if you are not.
>>
>>I could care less about your self-touted "abilities." I doubt if that
>>was the original subject off-shoot, but rather another bit of bragging
>>about yourself. :-)
>
>I see. Only you have the right to talk about your accomplishments. All others
>must be silent. ;-)
>
>>>Amateur radio was growing before the Tech lost its code test, and continued
>>>to
>>>grow after that change. The actual effects are difficult to gauge due to
>the
>>>effects of other changes such as the doubling of the license term.
>>
>>Technician class licensees now account for about one-third
>>of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>
>So? What's your point? How many hams were Technicians in 1991?
>
>>Before you hop on another
>>rationalization bandwagon, go to "Amateur Radio Newsline"
>>and read that total US ham radio numbers have been relatively
>>stagnant for two years. :-)
>
>Their numbers are inaccurate.
>>

>>>I challenge you to provide examples of posts where I "discounted those,
>>>dismissed them, never really regarded their existance
>>>as meaningful in any way".
>>>
>>>Let's see you back up that claim.
>>
>>Are you "challenging" me to prove the obvious? :-)
>
>I'm challenging you to actually post something other than empty claims ;-)
>;-)
>
>If you can ;-) ;-) ;-)
>>
>>>>Technician class licensees
>>>>now make up a third of all licensees
>>>
>>>Yup. In part, that is because the FCC has been renewing Tech Pluses as
>Techs
>>>for over two years now.
>>
>>I just knew you would attempt a rationalization somehow. :-)
>
>Is it not a fact? Yes or no?
>
>>>>> and are also the least class
>
>>>>"Gigahertz are for giga-squirts."
>>>
>>>That's not one of mine.
>>
>>It is, or was, a favorite of James Kehler, KH2D, formerly the
>>guru of Guam.
>
>I'm not him.
>>
>>>>>"No lids, kids, or space cadets."
>>>>
>>>Is that what YOU believe? After all, you want an age limit (no kids)...
>>
>>No PRE-SCHOOL CHILDREN who don't have a grasp or under-
>>standing of written questions.
>
>Why? Have they caused any problems on the amateur bands? Broken any rules?
>Gotten any NALs?
>
>How do you KNOW they don't have a grasp or understanding of written
>questions?
>Do you know the children involved?
>
>Most of all, your recommendation was for an age limit of FOURTEEN. That's

>about
>8th grade, not preschool.
>
>>See the (now) "old" ARRL news
>>page for two six-year-olds "passing ham tests" and shown with
>>a nice, grandfatherly VE (who was very, very "honest" in "passing"
>>them). :-)
>
>Do you have ANY evidence that they did not pass the tests in accordance with
>the rules?
>
>Or are you just jealous because they did what you have not? Sure sounds like
>it.
>>
>>Under the FCC regulations of now and then, there is no, repeat
>>NO requirement, that SMALL CHILDREN must be overseen by
>>adults while "legally" using their amateur radio license privileges.
>
>Is this a problem? Can you name a single incident where a licensed radio
>amateur under 14 years old violated the rules or caused a problem on-air
>because of his/her age?
>
>The truth is that many of the worst offenders in amateur radio, and outside
>it,
>are about YOUR age. Like that guy Flippo.
>
>>You won't even consider the implications of what can happen if
>>small children operate by themselves.
>
>Sure I will. Tell us about it. Then tell us how many times it has happened.
>
>If anybody needs watching when on the air, it's folks of YOUR age bracket.
>;-)
>
>>None in here have done
>>so in the past. Why not?
>
>Because YOU have not shown it to be a valid concern.
>
>>Isn't the "why not" answered by your
>>simply wanting to discount what I bring up? I think so.
>
>Incorrect.
>
>>I also
>>think that lends a great deal of hypocrisy to your own "fairness"
>>and "honesty" in posting.
>
>How? I have asked for examples of violations or problems caused by young
>radio

>amateurs before. You did not provide any. Yet you want an age limit of
>FOURTEEN
>(!) for a problem that does not exist.
>>
>>All you want to do is discredit any age barrier...because that
>>comes close to the age YOU (or a lot of others) got into amateur
>>radio.
>
>Nope. Incorrect. Personal experience has nothing to do with it.
>
>No age barrier is needed unless someone can show there is a real problem.
>There
>isn't one.
>
>One of the most-repeated arguments against the code test is that all license
>requirements must show that they are necessary - that is, it must be shown
>that
>without those requirements, serious problems would result.
>
>Apply that same logic to age requirements. What problems exist today that
>would
>be solved by age requirements? The answer: NONE.
>
>If you want anyone to consider the age requirement idea, produce some
>evidence
>that the licensing of people younger than 14 causes problems in the ARS.
>
>>You are applying your own personal standards as that
>>which should apply to all others.
>
>I am applying the standard of freedom and licensing by qualification. You
>have
>not shown any reason why there should be an age requirement for a ham
>license.
>None whatsoever. Why should anyone take you seriously?
>
>You are applying your own anti-youth prejudice as a "standard" that would
>restrict others - but not you. And doing so with NO solid information to back
>up your anti-youth agenda.
>
>It's clearly your jealousy at work.

2229. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 06:26:15 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/

"Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"

Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e. CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440 mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?

-Aaron-

2230. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 07:07:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3E3433.958A9648@mailcity.net>
Source record: 49944

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> Delude yourself all you want. I have nothing to "get over."
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

You're right, you have nothing but an over inflated ego. I was a ham when your mother was wiping your snotty kid nose. I will always be a more superior ham than you.

2231. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 07:10:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724031000.17857.00000353@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49945

>Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/24/02 01:44 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724014430.25170.00000184@mb-fr.aol.com

.....from the keyboard of the guy who whines about "improper"
accusations...

>I could, but first you have to tell us all the secrets of being
>able to ignite brush fires without being caught...

I'd sue this putz, Larry.

Steve

2232. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 07:12:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724031253.17857.00000354@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49946

>Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/24/02 01:44 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724014431.25170.00000186@mb-fr.aol.com>

>Oh, I think I'm familiar with the process of getting into a company
>doing engineering.

It just seems your problem is STAYING in...

>I have nothing against operating any vehicle for fun or profit. I have
>something against the individuals who brag up a storm all about
>their "high grades" and how they "can get a job anywhere after
>graduation." Then aren't able to...

There are tens-of-thousands of PhD educated landscapers, bus drivers and
other non-doctorate level jobs working, Lennie.

Sorry you haven't been reading the LA Times. It's right in front of you.

Steve

2233. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 07:16:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724031627.17857.00000355@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49947

>Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/24/02 01:44 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724014430.25170.00000183@mb-fr.aol.com>

>>>Good luck on finding that job in "human resources," Larrie...
>>
>>Thanks. Maybe some other time.
>
>No time like the present, is there?

In the Andersonian Rules-of-Engagement, one must wonder what Lennie's hassling Larry over jobs has to do with the Morse Code test issue.

Damned if I can tell, but hey...It's Lennie's rules.

Lennie, if it ain't about radio, what's it about if not an attempt to discredit those with whom you obviously disagree on a personal level?

More Anderscum "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do" rhetoric.

Putz.

2234. Re: Unca Jimmie Shows Us How

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 07:25:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724032523.17857.00000356@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49948

>Subject: Unca Jimmie Shows Us How
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/24/02 01:44 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724014434.25170.00000188@mb-fr.aol.com>

>If you want to make a BIG deal over the word "premixer" in radio, fine.

Lennie-to-English translation:

"I don't need to let my testicles get dragged around the pavement like
that again..."

>Few
>others in radio do. They are content with simply thinking of the "frequency
>control" section and knowing it almost subconsciously. The audio recording
>industry uses "premixer" much more than hams.

Nice attempt to get away from the stinging Lennie. Ineffective from
avoiding it, but nice try.

>Jay-suss...you really are putting yourself on a great big granite column
>for all to see and admire.

And this would be different from the marble one you try to put yourself up
on, Lennie?

>>So? What's your point? How many hams were Technicians in 1991?
>
>I can safely say that the number of NO-CODE Technicians in 1990
>was ZERO.

That's ALL you can say "safely", and does not answer Jim's question.

>Why do you hate Technician class licensees so much?

Why did you hate having sex with your mother, Lennie?

>They aren't "empty."

Yes..they are.

>Why do you want to make a big deal of this? You want to bicker over
>something
>that happened years ago? Of course you do. You love living in the past.

WHOOOOOHOOOOOO! ! ! ! ! ! !

Lennie, you insufferable creep, I DARE YOU to NEVER mention your 1950's era
HF experiences EVER AGAIN.

To do so is to simply make yourself more piriful and more hipocritical
than you already are.

What a PUTZ!

2235. A Dare For Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 07:36:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724033625.17857.00000357@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49949

Actually, it's three dares. The first is to get the Amateur Extra he said he was going to get.

The second is to deliver the copy of the July 2002 "Ham Radio" magazine that he insists is being published delivered to me.

The third, after reading this LennieQuote:

Subject: Unca Jimmie Shows Us How
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Date: 07/24/02 01:44 Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <20020724014434.25170.00000188@mb-fr.aol.com>

In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Do you have ANY evidence that they did not pass the tests in accordance with >the rules?

Only on the basis of remarks of four teachers with experience in K-6 classes when shown a written copy of the Q&A pool. Dubiousness at the "honesty." A LOT of dubiousness.

Why do you want to make a big deal of this? You want to bicker over something that happened years ago? Of course you do. You love living in the past.

"You love living in the past"...Whooya! What a QUOTE Lennie!

Here's the dare....STOP reciting to us your 1950's era HF experience while serving as a radio clerk in rear-area Japan. Do it..try...DON'T "live in the past", Lennie...Your words now, remember?

Now...not ONE MORE WORD on it, lest you violate your OWN caveats, Yor Putziness!

Let's see how long Lennie can go without one mention of "ADA" or his war record in rear-area Japan. We'll see how long he can go without "living in the past".

I give it 3 days. Four at the outside.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2236. Lennie's Agenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 08:09:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724040909.17857.00000358@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49950

Subject: Book of Miccolis; an Epistle to the Morsodists
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Date: 07/24/02 01:44 Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <20020724014437.25170.00000189@mb-fr.aol.com>

>I'm NOT looking for "praise," old-timer...YOU are. You know it.
>Nearly every other reader knows it. You get pissed off every time
>someone doesn't approve of CW or the thousand and one jingo
>phrases of the ARRL in print.

No, not "every other reader knows it".

Nice try at trying to create a "silent majority", Lennie. Maybe "MegHz"
and Brian will chime in though. Might even dig up the Illinois Buttblaster.
Now there's company I know I'd enjoy being in...

>>No. AGE. You make a big deal about how old you are vs. how old I am.

>I'm not trying to pose like an eightysomething old-timer guru with a 1x2
>"from the old days."

Neither's Jim, but I know you wish people thought he did.

>I provide you and other pompous types with tons of negative criticsm
>about you and your cronies putting down larger-scale folks. You don't
l>ike it. Tough. It isn't going to stop now.

So here we have it...the sole substance of Lennie's being here. His own
perceived "bruised ego" on a revenge rampage.

Jim does nothing of the sort save for YOU, Herr Anderscum, and that is a
direct result of YOUR demeaning, denigrating, belittling and antagonistic bile.

Jim Miccolis has NEVER made a single accusation, sleight or innuendo that
even remotely looks like anything Lennie alleges.

Just more lying...just more threats...just more antagonizing bile.

>Where was it written on Mose's tablets that I "must provide positive
>vision?!?" If you want good vision, go to Lens Crafters...most stores
>have opticians for checking the Mark I eyeballs.

Whew..there's some "real engineer" talk for you.

>Look again, you'll find that YOU are the one demanding the "expert" title, all
>for having small-scale thoughts about other people.

I would LOVE to see the quote wherein Jim "demands" any title, Lennie.

The rest of the NG is watching, too...Now, you made the assertion...

BACK IT UP.

>>Then why all the name calling and abuse? Why make fun of your opponents the
>>way you do?

>Damn...I forgot again...You are god. You can make fun of folks whose scale
>is larger than your own and demand that they worship your small-scaleness.

Here we have typcial Andersonian rhetoric. Of course the rhetoric is in asserting that he (Lennie) is "bigger" than anyone else here. A clear case of "I consider myself better than you" from the fella who accuses almost everyone with an Amateur license of doing the same thing.

Lennie, on what SCALE are you "bigger"...??? In one of those "house of mirrors" at the arcade? Telling all the ladies you have "ten big ones", when you were actually measuring in centimeters?

>You can't read well, can you? I ALREADY wrote why. The majority can't see
>having to join an old-timer club that doesn't listen to them, agree with them,
j>ust lectures to them about the "old days" of "old ways," the "proper way" to
">have fun" with the old ways like the old-timers did in the old days.

What "majority", Lennie?

You are not a member of a single Amateur Radio club or organization, yet YOU are asserting what the "majority" of them believe in? You got this from who? Brian? Loyd Davies? Your astrologer or Tarot reader? A Wayne Green editorial?

From what reasonably reputable source did this information about what the "majority" of NCT's believes in come to you, Lennie? NCI?

We'll be looking for you to back that one up too.

Lennie, you keep belittling Amateur Radio in general and anyone who dares to question your "wisdom" in particular, yet it's nothing more than a mean-spirited, antagonistic attempt to cover your own "bruised ego".

It's shameful.

If you represent "professional radio engineering" the same way you assert any one of those of us YOU claim "represents Amateur Radio" when you are being disparaging, then WHO would want to go into it?

You're just a hateful putz.

2237. Where's The Beef, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 08:25:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724042556.17857.00000359@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50051

>Subject: BIG Motors in Ham Radio! (was:Freebanders...)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/24/02 01:44 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724014447.25170.00000191@mb-fr.aol.com>

>Okay, Mr. Wizard, explain how them (Toshiba?) PLCs are really neat and in
>demand for ham shacks anywhere.

Hmmm...nothing here about the Morse Code test issue.

>You probably work with PLCs and/or process control equipment, right?
>To you they are a BIG THING. Especially if it pays your salary.

Nor here....

>Oh, wow, the days of Piping and Instrumentation Diagrams...(SNIP)

Not here either...

>You want MOTORS in radio? Okay, no problem. Been there, done
>many.

Nawwww, Lennie, we want PEOPLE in radio. Thankfully that leaves YOU out.

>Let's start with lil bitty motors in R/C servo assemblies.

That must be from that radio service created "strictly for recreation".
Still not addressing the "Morse Code test issue.

>I had a neat little "bridge" circuit for DC motor control in 1962...(SNIP)

There's more of that "living in the past" thing Lennie accuses others of
being stuck in, but can't seem to get away from himself.

>OK, how about the famous mechanical Autotune monstrosity in the
>Collins R-392 receiver?

More old stuff. Seems Lennie is waaaaaay back in the past.

>Nothing beat the Western Electric LD-T2, a 4 KW PEP SSB
>(12 KHz BW commercial mode) wonder that had Class A stages
>up to the final which ran AB2, individual stage compartments, and
>a dozen-plus small AC motors to do bandswitching and variable
>tuning.

Could this be OUR Lennie, reminiscing about OLD radios?

Naaaaaaah.....he wouldn't belittle others for that and then do it
himself....WOULD he..?!?!

>Your "point" is simply one-upmanship, Mr. Wizard.

A diminutive reference in a sentence highlighting a post wherein everything was nothing more than Lennie exerting his "Did It First, Did It Better" rhetoric.

Whew...

>Have you ever programmed a Microchip PIC?

Do we care? Does it answer any of the questions of the Morse Code test issue. Lennie?

>Now, how does PLC application apply to ham radio?

Now how does any of your "been there done that" rant address any Amateur Radio POLICY issues, Your Selfimpressedness???

>Okay, now YOU show us how PLC programming "expertise" applies
>to radio applications...and how inexpensive those Toshiba PLC
>modules are.

And YOU show US where any of that had anything AT ALL to do with Amateur Radio policy or practice, Lennie.....

2238. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 08:29:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724042914.17857.00000360@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50052

>Subject: Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse
>From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>Date: 07/24/02 02:26 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com>
>

> Can't
>figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
>weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
>mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
>site except for CB's.

Obviously he has not been paying attention to Stewart Teaze who INSISTS
that such things are not happening.

Guess we'll just have to have Stew tell him to stop, eh?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2239. Hey Stewart Teaze...Ya payin' Attention, MURSMan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 08:30:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724043056.17857.00000362@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50053

Subject: Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
Date: 07/24/02 02:26 Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com>

from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/

"Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"

Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?

-Aaron-

2240. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 10:05:25 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahm6lj12dkr@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50059

Not at all.... last I understood knowledge of band allocations, priviledges allowed, power allowed etc. is basic knowledge even required of us lowly techs... I guess my question is, how did he get that far without the basic knowledge?

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> Maybe he should know better but he doesn't. Does that mean that Advanced
> license class licensees should get to where they never ask any more
> questions and therefore remain ignorant?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>

2241. Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 10:06:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724060647.01571.00000225@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 50054

In article <20020724014434.25170.00000188@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>
>>>>Perhaps you are jealous of the fact that I have actually designed and
>built
>>>>amateur radio stations and operated them on the air successfully for the
>>>>past 35 years.
>>>
>>>Good grief, no! :-)
>>
>>You sure spend a lot of time and effort demeaning them, if you are not.
>
[expletive deleted]

>..you really are putting yourself on a great big granite column
>for all to see and admire.

How? All I'm doing is relating a few experiences and accomplsihments.

You keep telling us about your early-1950s HF military radio experiences in
Japan. Looks like another "do as Lenover21 says, not as Lenover21 does" double
standard things.
>
>Okay, at about age 13 (14?) you "designed and built amateur radio stations."

Simple ones, yes. Built receivers even earlier.
>
>No problem, you were a child prodigy.
>
Nope. Just a kid with an interest in amateur radio.

>Now you know all about programming PLCs for process control and think
>that is an "important" attribute for radio amateurs.

Nope. You've got it all wrong.

PLCs are part of "radio and electronics". The subject of PLCs was brought up to demonstrate that "radio and electronics" is a very wide field.

There is as much need for hams to know about PLCs as there is for hams to know how to design and build 50 kW transmitters.

>What ever happened to the prodigy?

I wasn't a prodigy. But I did manage to get a couple of EE degrees and an interesting career in electrical engineering. Ham radio was instrumental in leading me to that career.
>
>>>Technician class licensees now account for about one-third
>>>of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>>
>>So? What's your point? How many hams were Technicians in 1991?
>
>I can safely say that the number of NO-CODE Technicians in 1990
>was ZERO. :-)

So? What's your point? Or are you just blowing smoke, as usual?
>
>Why do you hate Technician class licensees so much?

I don't "hate" any class of radio amateurs - except possibly those who break the rules.

>>>Before you hop on another
>>>rationalization bandwagon, go to "Amateur Radio Newsline"
>>>and read that total US ham radio numbers have been relatively
>>>stagnant for two years. :-)
>>
>>Their numbers are inaccurate.
>
>Of course. :-) They seem to disagree with your opinions. :-)

Their numbers disagree with those provided by the FCC.
>
>>I'm challenging you to actually post something other than empty claims ;-)
>
>They aren't "empty."

Yes, they are.

>You are too lazy to verify them since you don't like
>them. Tough.

You are the one making the claim, so it's your job to back it up.
>
>>Most of all, your recommendation was for an age limit of FOURTEEN. That's
>>about 8th grade, not preschool.
>
>Isn't that about the age YOU were at when "designing and building ham
>stations?"

About that age is when I started. Is that a problem? Why do you hate children so much?
>
>>>See the (now) "old" ARRL news
>>>page for two six-year-olds "passing ham tests" and shown with
>>>a nice, grandfatherly VE (who was very, very "honest" in "passing"
>>>them). :-)
>>
>>Do you have ANY evidence that they did not pass the tests in accordance with
>>the rules?
>
>Only on the basis of remarks of four teachers with experience in K-6
>classes when shown a written copy of the Q&A pool. Dubiousness
>at the "honesty." A LOT of dubiousness.

In other words, NO. You don't have ANY evidence that they did not pass the tests in accordance with the rules other than some third parties' OPINIONS.

Kids can do some amazing things.
>
>Why do you want to make a big deal of this?

Why do you? You're the one who posted "no kids, no lids, no space cadets". You're the one who recommended an age limit of 14 to the FCC in reply comments on 98-143. You're the one who claimed that all you want is an end to code testing, when in fact you want the new and unnecessary restriction of age limits.

>You want to bicker over something that happened years ago?

You claim to want to "discuss issues". Age limits for a ham license are an issue that you brought up.

>>>Under the FCC regulations of now and then, there is no, repeat
>>>NO requirement, that SMALL CHILDREN must be overseen by
>>>adults while "legally" using their amateur radio license privileges.
>>
>>Is this a problem? Can you name a single incident where a licensed radio
>>amateur under 14 years old violated the rules or caused a problem on-air
>>because of his/her age?
>
>Sigh...not without reading every damn report in the FCC Reading Room.

In other words, NO. You can't cite a single problem, but you want to prevent all kids under 14 from getting a ham license. That's an unnecessary restriction.
>
>On the other hand the ARRL news carries reports of a lot of children
>OVER 14 who violated the rules, causing problems on the air.

Most of them are closer to your age than to 14. So your age limit idea would not solve any problems but would create a new and unnecessary restriction.

>>The truth is that many of the worst offenders in amateur radio, and outside
>>it, are about YOUR age. Like that guy Flippo.
>
>I was born in 1932, flip-out. Do the math: 69.

Flippo is in his 60s. Much closer to your age than mine. Much, much closer to your age than to 14 - or 6.

Flippo is also unlicensed.

Jim, N2EY

2242. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 10:11:50 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahm6ll22dkr@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50060

> >This makes me ask how many people have told you that they are superior to
> >you Larry? Simple numerical answer please. (5, 10, 20 people????)
>
> Only one that I know of, Jim Miccolis, N2EY. However, he was telling
> the TRVTH.

Now I must ask, how can you generalize a whole group of people based upon one other person's opinion of you? There is a close parallel to this, it is called racism. If one person of another race did something wrong to you, do you consider the whole race bad?

>
> >I am referring to this question in the environs of the field of amateur
> >radio only.
>
> So am I.
>

Thats cool, just wanted to make sure. There may be legitamite reasons why someone else may actually be superior to you, totally unrelated to amateur radio. Hell, there is a ton of people that are superior to me in amateur radio, (which I will not argue) as well as in my field of employment; firefighters and medically trained personell. They are called officers and paramedics. I am working on achieving those levels as well as future upgrades in my amateur radio life.

(BTW... looked ya up on QRZ.com..... I thought you were a hell of alot older that you appear in the picture..... :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2243. Re: PING! Fuckup Shemale Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 10:14:55 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahm6lm32dkr@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50061

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ahkdeq$b9m$2@pita.alt.net...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:ahjlcv9mj1@enews4.newsguy.com...
> > Keep this garbage and all of the connected people to it in
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc where it belongs and not
rec.radio.amateur.policy.
> > We may argue and debate here, but we keep it reasonably clean. Please
> > remove further cross-posts here as we could care less about the
> > long-standing battle between the hams in rec.radio.amateur.misc.
>
>
> Blow me. The anonymous coward cross-posted initally, dumbass.
>

Whatever, I am just saying to remove the crossposting since none of us in
r.r.a.p. care about whatever war is going on in r.r.a.m.

BTW.... sorry to disappoint ya, but I am straight, but I am sure that you
can find someone where you live to take care of those matters.

Have a nice day.

2244. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 11:18:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 50057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
>
> "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
>
> Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>

Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
MURS/Marine?
FRS?

Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked systems or digital. The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold dirt cheap. Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham bands. Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many "other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band. Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices, much less cares.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2245. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 11:53:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207240353.72af3ac7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com>...
> from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
>
> "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
>
> Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>
> -Aaron-

So now Anal Aaron is posting advertising for H&Y Electronics? On top
of encouraging a fellah to get his linear amp modified for CB?

You're really losing all credibility, Aaron!

2246. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 12:06:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724080630.04875.00000198@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 50056

In article <20020723000329.17813.00000129@mb-mn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 23 Jul 2002 04:03:29 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 07/22/02 23:14 Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>
>
>>> Why are you so insistent on the standards and practices
>>>of 70 years ago in amateur radio?
>>
>>How do you mean? What "standards and practices" of 1932 are you referring
>to?
>
> Lennie the Creep will repeat that line over and over in the hopes that
>SOMEone will believe him.

Steve,

There's nothing to be gained by referring to "Lenover21" as "Lennie the Creep" or other such names. All that does is lower your behavior to his level.

Why not simply discuss the issues? Note that such diversions as name calling distract from the question: "What "standards and practices" of 1932 are you referring to?"

>No. EXPERIENCE.
>>
>>No. AGE. You make a big deal about how old you are vs. how old I am.
>
> Right on, Jim. One moe time

(Lenover21)

>tries to tell us of his "experience",
>only to be measured and weighed and found wanting.
>
>>So? You provide us with lots of criticism of ham radio, but no positive
>>vision.
>>No new ideas.
>
> Sure he does, Jim. By enacting his idea of a 14 year-old minimum age,

>we can forget about using Amateur Radio in schools, thereby letting them get
>their electronics knowledge" from cellphones and "Game Boys".

Then focus on that issue. I'm open to the idea of age limits IF it can be shown
that the lack of them causes problems for the ARS. So far that has not been
shown.

>>>You have discounted, demeaned, tried to discredit my experience in
>>>radio that goes farther, extends for a longer time than your own total
>>>life experience.
>>
>>When? Give us an example.
>
> Don't hold your breath waiting on that answer, Jim.

(Lenover21)

> get's his
>rants confused...forget's who he's talking to...

That is the reason for my question. Everyone makes mistakes. If such
discounting and demeaning exists, it should be simple to provide examples of it
here.
>
>>>Under the FCC regulations of now and then, there is no, repeat
>>>NO requirement, that SMALL CHILDREN must be overseen by
>>>adults while "legally" using their amateur radio license privileges.
>>
>>Is this a problem? Can you name a single incident where a licensed radio
>>amateur under 14 years old violated the rules or caused a problem on-air
>>because of his/her age?
>
(Lenover21)
> been asked on several occassions to provide us with some sample
>of his own experience with children or adolescents in this regard.

> Again...nothing.

That's the key point. The most basic justification for any restriction is
avoidance of bad things that would happen without the rule. What problems exist
today in the ARS because of the lack of an age restriction? None have been
presented.
>
>>>You won't even consider the implications of what can happen if
>>>small children operate by themselves.
>>
>>Sure I will. Tell us about it. Then tell us how many times it has happened.

So far, NOTHING.
>
> If it was a "small child" who slipped into daddy's radio room and keyed
>up the rig while daddy wasn't there, then "small children" would be a problem.

But that's not the question. We're talking about children under 14 being
licensed hams themselves, after passing the FCC license examinations.
>
> BUT...(1) Pre-teen and teenage licensees have had some training or
>education on thier responsibilities, hence thier successful passing of the
>test
> (2) "Children" and adolescents have consistently proven that when given
>responsibility with trust and proper training, they hold thier own.
>
Agreed on both counts - IF the training and education are adequate, and IF the
children are at a developmental level to handle the responsibility.

>>>All you want to do is discredit any age barrier...because that
>>>comes close to the age YOU (or a lot of others) got into amateur
>>>radio.
>>
>>Nope. Incorrect. Personal experience has nothing to do with it.
>
> I disagree, Jim. People who were given responsibility as youngsters
>tend to do the same with thier offspring, perhaps even moreso.

We are talking about two different things here.

Lenover21 claims that I am against age restrictions "because that
comes close to the age YOU (or a lot of others) got into amateur radio."

That is NOT the reason I am against them. He is mistaken. My personal
experience as a young ham has nothing to do with it.

My opposition to age restrictions is based on the fact that NO, repeat, NO
evidence has been presented to show that the lack of such restrictions has
caused problems in the ARS.

> My (now 17) stepdaughter is an example. On more than one occassion she
>successfully cleared her disabled sister's aiway while feeding her.

And she was how old when she did this?
>
>I may not agree with her clothes styles or music choices, but I also
>know that she's demonstrated great maturity and clear thinking under stress.
>
> Likewise my 10 year old. While I was doing CPR on Tater, Sam was at my
>feet helping to count cadence and checking pulse. For 15 agonizing minutes
>she was an adult. She'll be one for real some day, and I trust her already to
>"do the right thing".

Exactly.
>
>>I am applying the standard of freedom and licensing by qualification. You
>>have
>>not shown any reason why there should be an age requirement for a ham
>>license.
>>None whatsoever. Why should anyone take you seriously?
>

> Why indeed. No experience=no trust.

Focus on the issue: What is the "problem" that age restrcitions would allegedly "solve"? If young hams were messing up on the air and causing interference or other troubles, there might be some justification. But it seems that the exact reverse is true - the young hams I meet, in person and on the air, do NOT cause problems on the air.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2247. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 12:45:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724084529.24905.00000536@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 50058

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: Dick Carroll dickc58@att.net
>Date: 7/24/02 12:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3C845D5F.D60997D1@att.net>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>
>> You have never
>> held any class of amateur radio license, but you expound on the subject at
>> great length, and claim you could get one. In fact, you said on January 19,
>> 2000, that you were going for an Extra "right out of the box". But, you
>> HAVEN'T done so, have you? :-)
>
> You know what the problem is, Jim. The poor bustard can't do even 5wpm!!!

How do you know that, Dick?

For more than 11 years, all US amateur operating privileges above 3o MHz have been available without a code test. Yet Lenover21, despite numerous wordy claims of radio experience, has not acquired a license.

It logically follows that, to him, it's all about HF.

Or perhaps the written test is too much of a challenge for him.

73 de Jim, N2EY.

2248. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 13:04:35 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3EA5E3.1F80B59@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50062

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Would you care to expound on why it is that a third of all ham radio licensees
> have chosen to remain at the entry point?

Many, many hams are satisfied with VHF/UHF privileges. The majority of
nocode techs that I know just don't care for HF. Maybe their Mothers
taught them not to talk to strange men. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2249. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 13:10:30 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D3EA746.A0FD6387@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50063

KC8PMX wrote:
> Not at all.... last I understood knowledge of band allocations, priviledges
> allowed, power allowed etc. is basic knowledge even required of us lowly
> techs... I guess my question is, how did he get that far without the basic
> knowledge?

One only has to have a passing grade on the exams. One isn't required to
know all "basic knowledge". But perhaps he has been inactive and wonders
if the rules have been changed in the meanwhile.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2250. Re: More on 10 and 12 meter operations, emerg coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 16:02:30 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724120230.28196.00000494@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 50064

>Perhaps, I am being a mite strong, but the truth is, I have never seen CB
>Radio do
>anything but keep up with Smokey and provide a diversion to kids out on the
>town (tho that't sort of died down).

I'm sorry to hear that.

>Prior to 1973, I can remember CB Radio
>as
>a good, reliable local means of comms, and I spent many a night sitting on
>the edge of my bed with a cigarette in one hand, mike in the other.

Kinda sounds like you were an AM ragchewer. I did that for about 8 mos. or so in 1977/1978...until I got my first SSB rig. Now the only time the mode selector is in the AM position is momentarily while switching from LSB to USB or vice versa as the switch is LSB-AM-USB. <ggg>

>Afterwards, it
>went to hell in a handbasket, and I reckon the increase in lawlessness plus
>the in-
>cursions onto the ham bands has me pretty damned mad at the whole thing.

As well you should be...just not at us all. Heck some of us even contributed to that lawlessness, but eventually grew up and now "fly right." I often get a chuckle over how many fans not only overlook, but actually admire some of the first generation NASCAR legends' past vocational activities...running moonshine. "That's where some of the greats frst learned how to drive so well, runnin' from the police." <Revenuers> Nobody EVER refers to them as "federal felons." Forget the fact that they actually put pedestrian and/or fellow motorists at risk. Albeit remote as I understand that the stills were generally located in remote locations. But that moonshine had to come to town somehow.

>I
>am going to go ahead and say it (probably to my regret): if it were up to
>me, I would
>sunset CB Radio on 27 MHZ so fast it'd make your head swim! But it isn't up
>to
>me, so.

I generally NEVER say NEVER...but this is as close as I'll ever come. To wide an installed base. Plus it's experienced phenomenal growth, bith domestically and internationally, due to the internet. I see different things ahead for 27 MHz comms, none of which I think you'd like.

>Actually, I didn't come to ham radio via CB Radio.

I did.

>I began as
>a cadet in Civil Air Patrol back in 1964, and my introduction to radio was
>basically thru military-type protocol--read that as no-nonsense, direct, and
>to the
>point. After having that drilled into me, it was something of a
>fingernails-scraping-
>on-the-blackboard kind of reaction to anything-goes, "cutsey", phrases, and
>pseudo-important introductions ("You got the OOOOOONNNNEE Sloop Dog
>on this h'yar end") along with the endless, empty talking that doesn't say
>anything.
>For example, I began to hear "Shore thang thar, mercy me thar, gre't day,
>mercy me, I know whutcha mean, shore do thar-----all that nonsense just to
>say the word "yes". To me, it made no sense, and to this day, I cannot just
>go on and on
>and on about something.

I (and many others) agree with you 100%! I hear that garbage on AM and it really does make my skin crawl. My CB has additional receive filtering to improve its ACR. It also shares the Timewave DSP-599zx with my ham gear, so whatever the Channel Guard ACR filter doesn't catch gets chewed up by the DSP unit and discarded into the "bit bucket." Can you tell I'm not much of an AMer? LOL!

>Of COURSE, I know everybody on CB isn't that way, and I have listened to
>some of that "freeband" activity where many of the CB operators try to copy
>the hams and aren't so redundant with their lingo, but I even resent THAT
>be-
>cause 1) they are illegal

Fair enough.

>, and 2) they are "pretend" hams.

Nope, they're just operating well. Like I said before, I didn't bring my mannerisms from 10 to 11. I brought 'em from 11 to 10 with very little transitional discomfort. I tend to QSO as if I'm talking to the other op face-to-face...no cutesy phrases, no abuse of the Q signals, and always couteous. (Even if the other op isn't.) There's more out there just like me...and were coming to a VEC near you. (Some sooner, some later.)

>Can't win, eh?

Sure we can, and I mean ALL of us. (Both AR and CB/11-meter ops.) It'll just take some time, patience, and work. (In the form of setting a good example, which is why you can still hear me occassionally on ch. 38 LSB.)

>I know that many operators DO get their first exposure to radio via CB, and
>that
>they aren't intellectually challenged in any way. Again, I guess I let my
>resentment
>towards *some* facets of it to show thru even when I try not to.

Quite understood, OM. It's a cruddy situation all-around. Take care now. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2251. Re: More on 10 and 12 meter operations, emerg coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 16:12:47 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724121247.28196.00000496@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 50065

>I ran out of gas on the interstate one night when my gas gage stuck
>and I tried and tried to raise a ham on VHF and HF. It was freezing
>cold and I switched over to CB and got a good Samaritan to help me
>within five minutes.
>--
>cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Hi Cecil,

I was pleasantly surprised, when a CB op (Or user, as some prefer.) got some good press on the tube recently. It was on one of those 'Greatest Police Pursuits' shows. The local PD used their CB radios to contact a trucker who then helped box in a fleeing perp. As it turned out the trucker was also a ret. PO. Kinda nice to see something positive re. the CB for a change. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2252. Re: More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 16:18:03 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724121803.28196.00000497@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 50066

>I see a number of actions that appear to have been taken against freeband
>operators or sellers of illegal equipment.

Do I owe you that lobster dinner yet, Ed? :-)

>I hope to take advantage of this level of FCC field-office activity and get a
>few actions against the electric utility companies that have not been
>effectively addressing line noise. Riley has been writing the initial FCC
>letters (to those companies that don't respond to ARRL's letters), and he
>will
>help pass the baton to the field for investigation.

Please do. And please point them in the dir'n of Suffolk County, LI. Thanks in
advance.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2253. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 17:44:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <VxB%8.6433$Og3.2059309@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50067

Repying to Jim and Dick,

Not to say "I told you so", but I have espoused this very theory for years. Radio is a peculiar medium that has to have discipline, training, regulation, and pretty strict guidelines in order for the most people to get the most use of it. Once the CB band was left unguarded, the gate was thrown open. It seems incredible that FCC could not see that (nor Congress, for that matter) if a group of untrained, undisciplined radio ops would not obey the rules concerning the 40 CB channels, they would also not see the reason why they couldn't operate on other bands as well. Once the "cat" left the house, the rogue operators peeped into another room (first the so-called "freeband") and, cautiously at first, called out "Is anybody home?". Not receiving a direct answer, and the rules not being enough to deter them, they began to explore this "room". Then another, first cracking the door just a bit to see if anyone chased them away, then entering and exploring it as well. And so on and so on.

The incursions onto the Marine band where the potential for interference is high, and the dangers of denying help are great, are an alarming indication that enforce-ment MUST be a visible and ever-present part of any radio service. Untrained and unorganized users of radio must have effective "schoolma'rms" to keep them in single file, so to speak.

My pronouncements on unlicensed operators may, on the surface, seem unduly harsh--even my view that 27 MHZ CB should be "sunsetted"; i.e., shut down--no easy task by any means, and swift punishment meted out to those who would defy the rules. Some ops just don't get it, and believe that talking on a radio neither does any harm, no matter WHERE they do it, nor should there be any regulation of same. Not only are there illegal ops on the Amateur and Marine bands, but I hear of pirate operations all over HF. I personally hear from time to time operations on the Civil Air Patrol's HF frequencies that appear to be some

sort
of fishing vessels (?), but it is faint and may be of foreign origin. The
point is, in my view, something MUST be done quickly to cause these jerks to
quit. I am
thankful to Mr. Hollingsworth for his efforts and I trust they will become
more
numerous. There is plenty of "work" to be done, and one can sit in his own
home
and find illegal sales of non-approved radios in seconds! We as hams need
to be
vigilant and active in reporting illegal activity on our bands (others if we
hear it) so
as to protect them for future generations of Amateurs.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C8461E5.82044934@att.net...
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> > Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> > news:ujs61njmkgu8e6@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Jerry, at times I have thought you were a bit strong in your wording;
> > > however, in looking at the FCC site, I am beginning to see the light.
The
> > > following is a snip from the FCC website:
> > >
> > > Ironically, these rescue operations occurred during a weeklong
exercise
> > > known as Operation Mayday in which Commission agents participated
along
> > with
> > > the Coast Guard and numerous Los Angeles-Long Beach region marine
search
> > and
> > > rescue personnel. Operation Mayday was instituted to locate and
identify
> > > hoax distress callers operating over marine band VHF-FM radio. It has
been
> > > estimated that that such hoax distress calls in the Los Angeles-Long
Beach
> > > area cost taxpayers over one million dollars annually in Coast Guard
> > > deployment of personnel and equipment not including the additional
> > expenses
> > > incurred by back-up local or state search and rescue teams.
> > >
> > > I had to double-check that one. Over ONE MILLION dollars annually
just in

> > > the Los Angeles-Long Beach area! Good grief, I had no idea that many
> > people
> > > were that stupid. False distress signals convey a maximum of six
years.
> > > Anyone pulling that stunt should get that six year sentence. They
will
> > > likely never repay the costs (which they are supposed to). What some
> > idiots
> > > consider fun is quite unbelievable.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> >
> > Exactly. Obviously, some drastic measures need to be taken to teach
these
> > knot-
> > heads a lesson. Their antics are NOT funny!
>
> And such nonsense was virtually unheard of before CB went off the deep
end,
> and then regulators turned their back on it. It's more than clear that
such crap
> is self-engendering and self-perpetuating. The idea that communications
and
> communicators need qualification, direction and regulation didn't come
from
> nowhere for no reason.
> It IS encouraging to see FCC once again with the big stick in hand.
>
> Dick
>

2254. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 20:42:03 UTC
From: Itchzitchy <drankin2@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>

Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.

In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.

Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
roadtalk channel.

2255. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 21:25:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724172554.29873.00000456@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50069

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 07/24/02 08:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724080630.04875.00000198@mb-mj.aol.com>

>There's nothing to be gained by referring to "Lenover21" as "Lennie the
>Creep"
>or other such names. All that does is lower your behavior to his level.
>

Actually, I've come down to him since it's highly improbable that he can
understand us.

>Why not simply discuss the issues?

I agree. With you, Ed Hare, Bill Sohl, Cecil Moore, etc, that can be done,
because at the end of the day, there is some common ground to be covered.

Lennie has no ground ehre he can cover with us.

>Then focus on that issue. I'm open to the idea of age limits IF it can be
>shown
>that the lack of them causes problems for the ARS. So far that has not been
>shown.

There's nothing to be open to in this case, Jim. I am not aware of a
single enforcement action against an under-18 (or under 14, for that matter)
operator since I was myself licensed in 1972.

And I am still waiting for Lennie's psoting of "for instance" exampels of
why his proposed age limit should be considered.

I the other hand there are numerous example so why an upper age limit
could be reasonably argued. Your reference to Convict Flippo was certainly
germane.

>That is the reason for my question. Everyone makes mistakes. If such
>discounting and demeaning exists, it should be simple to provide examples of
>it
>here.

But...but...but...JIM! He's a PROFESSIONAL!

>That's the key point. The most basic justification for any restriction is
>avoidance of bad things that would happen without the rule. What problems
>exist
>today in the ARS because of the lack of an age restriction? None have been
>presented.

And certainly none by the person proposing the restriction despite two years of prodding for a reason other than "I said so"...

>Lenover21 claims that I am against age restrictions "because that
>comes close to the age YOU (or a lot of others) got into amateur radio."
>
>That is NOT the reason I am against them. He is mistaken. My personal
>experience as a young ham has nothing to do with it.

I agree. If anything, we represent those reasons for NOT having an age limit...You were 14? I was 16 or 17 when licensed...never so much as an Official Observer notice.

>> My (now 17) stepdaughter is an example. On more than one occassion she
>>successfully cleared her disabled sister's aiway while feeding her.
>
>And she was how old when she did this?

Eight through 14. Taelor died 3 years ago now.

>Focus on the issue: What is the "problem" that age restrcitions would
>allegedly
>"solve"? If young hams were messing up on the air and causing interference or
>other troubles, there might be some justification. But it seems that the
>exact
>reverse is true - the young hams I meet, in person and on the air, do NOT
>cause

Hey...I am as "focused" as I can be here, Jim! I work with CAP cadets between ages 12 to 18 and see them carry duties and responsibilities of adults.

Likewise I have raised kids who do the same thing, aged now 10 to 27.

I have yet to see Lennie bring any of his youth-organization or parenting credentials to the table.

Even more "dubiousness" about his practical experience, not that there was much question about his PE on Amateur Radio matters...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2256. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 21:37:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724173734.29873.00000457@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50070

>Subject: Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!
>From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net
>Date: 07/24/02 13:44 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <VxB%8.6433$Og3.2059309@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>

>The incursions onto the Marine band where the potential for interference is
>high,
>and the dangers of denying help are great, are an alarming indication that
>enforce-
>ment MUST be a visible and ever-present part of any radio service.
>Untrained
>and unorganized users of radio must have effective "schoolma'rms" to keep
>them
>in single file, so to speak.

Absolutely. Unfortunately we have already "proven" what happens when you allow undisciplined and technically inept "operators" to have free range over the spectrum

>My pronouncements on unlicensed operators may, on the surface, seem unduly
>harsh--even my view that 27 MHZ CB should be "sunsetted"; i.e., shut
>down--no
>easy task by any means, and swift punishment meted out to those who would
>defy
>the rules.

The only way I see 27Mhz being "vacated" would be to place some sort of artifical (ie: jamming) barrier to the use of the spectrum. It would take a year's worth of 24/7 jamming to force users to give up. That would be awfully expensive.

And the international uproar over such unilateral blocking of a chunk of spectrum would be deafening. We could get away with that if CB had been at 150Mhz, but not a globally propagated band.

>I personally hear from time to time
>operations on the Civil Air Patrol's HF frequencies that appear to be some
>sort
>of fishing vessels.

Ditto. The legal stuff is bad enough (RTTY stations only a few Kc's away)...the extraneous stuff makes it worse.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2257. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 21:59:24 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207241359.172a7a49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Itchzitchy" drankin2@cfl.rr.com wrote in message
<viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> >What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>
>
>Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.

Huh? Those little radios you buy at Wal-Mart? There's not even an RF
out jack on them. And why would they need 200 watts? To key over Joe &
Jane Average?

-A

2258. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 22:02:16 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <usF%8.6462$ND5.1185670@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Itchzitchy <drankin2@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> > What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> >
>
> Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.
>
> In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
> 27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.
>
> Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
> to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
> roadtalk channel.

What is the frequency so I can put it in my scanner? LOL!

73
Jerry
>

2259. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 22:14:53 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <jEF%8.6550$ND5.1195886@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron H. Voobner <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com...
> ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message
news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
> > I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> > the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> > alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
> >
> >
> > thanx
>
> Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly
> represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios"
> on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with
> "high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers
> like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the
> scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper
> Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter
> radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose
> band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally
> take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate
> that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10
> meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by
> truckers and casual users will only increase.
>
> -Aaron-

Aaron,

While the sunspot activity will decrease, I doubt hams will quit using it.
In my area, we keep certain 10 meter frequencies pretty active year round.
Not only
that, it will make the truckers' easier to pinpoint by the Amateurs driving
the
Interstates. I'll drop a dime on the SOBs in a skinny minute!

Jerry

2260. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 22:20:53 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207241420.25e46007@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
Itchzitchy <drankin2@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
> >to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
> >roadtalk channel.
>
>
>What is the frequency so I can put it in my scanner? LOL!

Here's the frequencies for the FRS channels:

Channel 1: 462.5625mhz
Channel 2: 462.5875mhz
Channel 3: 462.6125mhz
Channel 4: 462.6375mhz
Channel 5: 462.6635mhz
Channel 6: 462.6875mhz
Channel 7: 462.7152mhz
Channel 8: 467.5625mhz
Channel 9: 467.5875mhz
Channel 10: 467.6125mhz
Channel 11: 467.6375mhz
Channel 12: 467.6625mhz
Channel 13: 467.6875mhz
Channel 14: 467.7125mhz

Also....

Rules and Regulations for FRS

The FCC (Federal Communications Commission) regulates FRS. Here is a
summary of the rules for FRS:

1. * You cannot modify your radio in any way.
2. * You cannot swear on FRS.
3. * You cannot play music or do any other type of one-way
communications, except for SOS messages, brief test messages, and
traveler assistance.
4. * You cannot use FRS for any illegal purpose, or to assist you in
any illegal purpose.
5. * You cannot monitor others using FRS. You may only use it for
two-way (or three, or four, or five....) communications.

Rule #4 is interesting. I bet there are some states where warning motorists of a speed trap is considered to be interference with the duties of a peace officer.

-A

2261. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 23:07:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 50077

In article <20020724060647.01571.00000225@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>>>Perhaps you are jealous of the fact that I have actually designed and built
>>>>>amateur radio stations and operated them on the air successfully for the
>>>>>past 35 years.
>>>>
>>>>Good grief, no! :-)
>>>
>>>You sure spend a lot of time and effort demeaning them, if you are not.
>>
>[expletive deleted]

You shouldn't swear at the screen when you are posting replies. I doesn't help you. :-)

>>..you really are putting yourself on a great big granite column
>>for all to see and admire.
>
>How? All I'm doing is relating a few experiences and accomplsihments.

Yes, you were a child prodigy 35 years ago designing all your stations.

Now you claim expertise at process control and think that applies to ham radio. :-)

>You keep telling us about your early-1950s HF military radio experiences in
>Japan. Looks like another "do as Lenover21 says, not as Lenover21 does"
>double standard things.

...and Jimmie keeps saying that I "never did those things." Impasse?

The United States military (in which Jimmie never served) decided to stop using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a half century ago. They did. That was before Jimmie ever had ANY age.

>>Okay, at about age 13 (14?) you "designed and built amateur radio stations."
>
>Simple ones, yes. Built receivers even earlier.
>>
>>No problem, you were a child prodigy.
>>
>Nope. Just a kid with an interest in amateur radio.

But you DESIGNED them. Of course. You were a child prodigy, another
Armstrong re-inventing the regen tube receiver all over again. Good for you.

>>Now you know all about programming PLCs for process control and think
>>that is an "important" attribute for radio amateurs.
>
>Nope. You've got it all wrong.
>
>PLCs are part of "radio and electronics". The subject of PLCs was brought up
>to demonstrate that "radio and electronics" is a very wide field.

My fault. I thought it was all about the work you did.

Tell us again why you think programming Programmable Logic Controllers
for process control machinery is an important factor in amateur radio.

>There is as much need for hams to know about PLCs as there is for hams to
>know how to design and build 50 kW transmitters.

Well, you could always play with a Toshiba PLC to run a motor which
runs a high-rate AC generator to produce 136 KHz CW which you
could then on-off key. That would be "pioneering" LF all over again. :-)

I never claimed "design and building" of a 50 KW transmitter. I never ran
the 40 KW Collins linears at ADA. :-)

Just on a hunch, I'd say that the design principles of 50 KW transmitters
can apply to 1.5 KW transmitters...a lot more than PLC programming
expertise applies to transmitters. I could be wrong, but I think not. :-)

>>What ever happened to the prodigy?
>
>I wasn't a prodigy. But I did manage to get a couple of EE degrees and an
>interesting career in electrical engineering. Ham radio was instrumental in
>leading me to that career.

...and now you are a master of PLC programming? :-)

>>>>Technician class licensees now account for about one-third
>>>>of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>>>
>>>So? What's your point? How many hams were Technicians in 1991?
>>
>>I can safely say that the number of NO-CODE Technicians in 1990
>>was ZERO. :-)
>
>So? What's your point? Or are you just blowing smoke, as usual?

From zero to a quarter million licensees in only 13 years is pretty
good. If those didn't exist the total ARS licensee numbers would be
dropping now.

>>Why do you hate Technician class licensees so much?
>
>I don't "hate" any class of radio amateurs - except possibly those who break

>the rules.

You hate them enough to avoid mentioning them. You don't want to
realize that, without the Techs, the US ARS numbers would be down
now, not stagnant for two years.

>>Of course. :-) They seem to disagree with your opinions. :-)
>
>Their numbers disagree with those provided by the FCC.

The FCC only makes the entire US ARS database public. I haven't
seen where they do totals and post the results. Others download
the databases and do the totalizing. I look at the QRZ totals.
QRZ doesn't seem to be biased one way or the other like the
AH0A site stats.

Maybe you could use your "programming experience" and have a
PLC do the public database sorting for you? :-)

>>>I'm challenging you to actually post something other than empty claims ;-)
>>
>>They aren't "empty."
>
>Yes, they are.

How so? Give us another ten thousand words of long postings to tell
everyone how your empty claims are all "correct" and everyone else's
is all "wrong" and "empty." :-)

>You are the one making the claim, so it's your job to back it up.

I've posted my references in here. I've not notified anyone that I did so
in order than anyone else can contact them and verify it for themselves.
This includes my former employers (and that Rocketdyne division of
Rockwell International was sold to Boeing Airplane Company), the
Delphion patent site where you can see my one and only patent, and
three long-time radio amateur licensees who I worked with up to 49
years ago. I could get more references but then all readers would be
annoyed by a braying little hound from TN whining and bitching about
"CVs."

On the other hand, James Miccolis won't say exactly what he works
on or what company he works for (due to some personal fear). How
can anyone "back that up" beyond the bare-minimum info on the QRZ
site or the FCC ARS database? Miccolis made a number of claims
yet he can't back them up; he has worked "field day" with W3RV so
one can presume his morsemanship is good.

Miccolis has revealed "PLCs" which he thinks is an important factor
in amateur radio so all readers have the hint that he is somehow
involved in process control electronics. He has yet to reveal WHY
PLC programming is so important so we are all breathlessly awaiting
such revelations.

>>>Most of all, your recommendation was for an age limit of FOURTEEN. That's
>>>about 8th grade, not preschool.
>>
>>Isn't that about the age YOU were at when "designing and building ham
>>stations?"
>
>About that age is when I started. Is that a problem?

Your very words were [35 years ago] "designed and built amateur
radio stations." I challenge Miccolis to back up his claim to
DESIGNING those stations at age 14.

>Why do you hate children so much?

As to children, I am into R&D, not production. :-)

>>Only on the basis of remarks of four teachers with experience in K-6
>>classes when shown a written copy of the Q&A pool. Dubiousness
>>at the "honesty." A LOT of dubiousness.
>
>In other words, NO. You don't have ANY evidence that they did not pass the
>tests in accordance with the rules other than some third parties' OPINIONS.

The usual Miccolis chant "the ARRL never does anything wrong!"

I was referring to SIX-YEAR-OLDS having sufficient comprehension
of the English language to understand and answer the test questions.
The six-year-olds are in the first or second grade of public school.

I wasn't referring to 14 year-olds in the 8th or 9th grade. Any teacher
will inform you that there is a HUGE gap in reading ability and
comprehension. Your "answer" consists of -

>Kids can do some amazing things.

Not an informed "answer" is it? Has Miccolis taught children? My
wife has (Masters in Education, U of I, taught K thru 4 in Illinois).
So have two neighbors (degreed, didn't have Masters but had more
experience). A fourth former teacher (CA, grades 2-10) is male,
spent a full career in teaching. All will agree that some kids CAN
do some "amazing things" but ALL AGREE that reading and
comprehension of the US VEC-generated questions and answers
were beyond the abilities of even exceptional six-year-olds.

Apparently the two six-year-olds featured on the ARRL news of
about four years ago are also "prodigies." Much like yourself
who "designed and built amateur radio stations" 35 years ago.

>Why do you? You're the one who posted "no kids, no lids, no space cadets".

Satirical quote of others. :-)

>You're the one who recommended an age limit of 14 to the FCC in reply
>comments on 98-143.

A suggestion, the very last of several. You would have been unaware of it except for Kayzits Hansenbuoy's snarly little comment three years ago in here. You NEED some small molehill to blow up to mountainous proportions because you cannot argue the true mountain issues.

>You're the one who claimed that all you want is an end to code
>testing, when in fact you want the new and unnecessary restriction of age
>limits.

Unconnected logic, oh master of the PLC programming skills.

Argue the retention of code testing APART FROM AGE of the license applicant. Try.

All you appear to be able to do is argue that YOU made it, therefore all others can. YOU did it at a young age, therefore all others can. Justify that as applying to ALL would-be licensees.

>In other words, NO. You can't cite a single problem, but you want to prevent
>all kids under 14 from getting a ham license. That's an unnecessary
>restriction.

Again, unconnected logic. Bad "programming." Down, boy, down.

So, you want to make your glorious accomplishments and wondrous amateur skills on par with SIX-YEAR-OLDS?

That doesn't say much for "machismo" attached to US amateur radio as expressed by others does it? [radio amateurs are predominently white males by years of photographic evidence]

The FCC seems to avoid any single mention of age in nearly the whole of Title 47 CFR.

The FCC granted Novice and Tech licenses to two SIX-YEAR-OLDS so that would make the FCC appear to allow childishness in US amateur radio. How else to explain SIX-YEAR-OLDS with amateur radio licenses?

>>On the other hand the ARRL news carries reports of a lot of children
>>OVER 14 who violated the rules, causing problems on the air.
>
>Most of them are closer to your age than to 14. So your age limit idea would
>not solve any problems but would create a new and unnecessary restriction.

According to the current (or immediately previous) ARRL website poll, 31.4% of all respondents were in the 51 to 60 age group. How many of that age group are mature adults and how many are still "children?"

James "ham station designer at 14" Miccolis isn't in that group. He has nothing to fear. He was a prodigy and is a master of PLC programming.

>Flippo is in his 60s. Much closer to your age than mine. Much, much closer to
>your age than to 14 - or 6.
>
>Flippo is also unlicensed.

Of course. Guilt by association. N2EY drops to the depths of barking-
dog K4YZ's immature commentary. Had N2EY ever served in the US
military he would have overcome his victorian inhibitions to use choice
epithets and pejoratives of an earthy nature. That is what I've come to
expect among long-time US ARS members.

2262. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 23:07:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724190722.25170.00000225@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 50075

In article <3D3E3433.958A9648@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>>
>> Delude yourself all you want. I have nothing to "get over."
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>You're right, you have nothing but an over inflated ego. I was a
>ham when your mother was wiping your snotty kid nose. I will
>always be a more superior ham than you.

JJ, the "age" issue isn't allowed in here. Keep up the above
(regardless of truth) and N2EY will come after you with
voluminous messaging! :-)

2263. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 23:07:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724190722.25170.00000226@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 50076

In article <20020724084529.24905.00000536@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>>From: Dick Carroll dickc58@att.net
>>Date: 7/24/02 12:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <3C845D5F.D60997D1@att.net>
>>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>> You have never
>>> held any class of amateur radio license, but you expound on the subject at
>>> great length, and claim you could get one. In fact, you said on January 19,
>>> 2000, that you were going for an Extra "right out of the box". But, you
>>> HAVEN'T done so, have you? :-)
>>
>> You know what the problem is, Jim. The poor bustard can't do even 5wpm!!!
>
>How do you know that, Dick?
>
>For more than 11 years, all US amateur operating privileges above 3o MHz have
>been available without a code test. Yet Lenover21, despite numerous wordy
>claims of radio experience, has not acquired a license.

I've never needed an amateur license to do PROFESSIONAL radio work.

Explain why a valid amateur radio license is "necessary" to post on
any subject regarding GETTING INTO amateur radio.

Note: This is an UNmoderated newsgroup.

I have a commercial license in radio operating. If anyone wishes to see
a copy of it I will go retrieve the first one from my deposit box, scan it,
and send the image in e-mail. A notarized-that-it-exists copy could be
made available but that requires monetary compensation for the notary
fees.

>It logically follows that, to him, it's all about HF.

Not to me. Radio can exist between 9 KHz and 300 GHz. I don't
think that US ham radio is "all about working DX on HF with CW."

>Or perhaps the written test is too much of a challenge for him.

Yes. Too much of a challenge to dumb myself down to a SIX-YEAR-
OLD's level.

2264. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 23:45:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6_G%8.91$Wa2.5778446@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50078

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020723061354.27561.00000145@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >How about when Jim kept asking about my recent Novice subband
experiences?
> >I didn't see you having a problem with that, now did I? And I didn't
answer
> >the question, did I? That must be one of those double standard kind of
> >things.
>
> Or a thread I wasn't following...
>
> You are starting to see "double standards" behind every bush. Is this
going to
> be your obession of the week?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

You're not a bush, are you?

2265. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 23:51:08 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3F3D6C.D2C4B81E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50079

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <20020723124434.02191.00000535@mb-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
> >In article <20020722002414.26909.00000116@mb-mo.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >>>Lennie:
> >>>
> >>>Is that really what happened? Are you sure about this? Do you
> >>>have your facts absolutely, verifiably correct?
> >>
> >>Hmmm...let's see...Roll claimed high marks in a college course
> >>for "human resources" (a buzzphrase for 'personnel' work)...and
> >>then bragged about how he could "get his choice of jobs after
> >>graduation." That was in here. A Google search will turn up.
> >
> >Lennie:
> >
> >Why don't you just go ahead and do that, then!
>
> You wouldn't like that... :-)
>
> >>Does Roll have a "human resources" job now?
> >
> >Nope. I interviewed for one with my company, was offered the job,
> >but it doesn't pay as well as being an operator, and the "office"
> >people usually work 45 - 50 hr. weeks for 37.5 hrs of pay with no
> >overtime. I had my fill of that bad deal in the Air Force.
>
> Poor baby.
>
> Okay, now you are ON RECORD as being "offered the job" but
> you didn't take it even with all those good marks for a degree.

What, switch to a job that pays less, less enjoyable, and less
job security? Larry's no fool....

2266. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 23:51:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <34H%8.93$lc2.5869676@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50080

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D3D93FA.3BB134D5@hotmail.com...
> KC8PMX wrote:
> > Seems to me that someone with a top-class or second-from-the-top license
> > class should have known better, or how did they make it that far eh? It
may
> > be a "perfectly reasonable" question for a brand new tech or older
novice
> > but.... Advanced license class licensee's have had some time holding
their
> > licenses by know and should know better.
>
> Maybe he should know better but he doesn't. Does that mean that Advanced
> license class licensees should get to where they never ask any more
> questions and therefore remain ignorant?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

As Larry tells the NCT's who ask "stupid" questions; Go look it up at the
FCC or ARRL web site.

2267. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 23:56:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <r8H%8.96$%e2.6011171@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50081

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C83A2FC.750D17D7@att.net...
> W1RFI wrote:
>
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >I just don't know why the codesters want to abbreviate everything so
much if
> > >it is so much fun.
> >
> > You are pulling our legs, aren't you?
>
> No, again, he just doesn't know better.

Dick, so when you go to the park, you ask the roller coaster operator for an
abbreviated ride?

2268. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-24 23:58:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <laH%8.99$af2.6022326@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50082

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020721233733.12089.00000257@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <2MF_8.460$O95.27638836@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> >news:20020721110806.12089.00000208@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >
> >> OH lookee -- I made another funny -- "drive home" -- get it! I'm on a
> >> Roll tonight!
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >I thought you went to school for "human resources?"
>
> Brian:
>
> So I did. We also have drivers who are former teachers, nurses,
> lots of retired military (like myself), a couple of degreed ministers,
> and, of course, some ordinary hard-working folk. Are you now
> going to jump on Lennie Anderson's bandwagon and start whining
> about how I'm doing a job for which I'm overqualified?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Is that what an Extra does when he operates CW? Should he be pushing some
state of the art?

2269. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 00:00:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ncH%8.102$Fg2.6076554@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50083

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:YoJ_8.9366$Qk6.7042@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote
>
> > Ed:
> >
> > I could not find any of my posts
> > dating earlier than 1 Jan '97. Please help me find the first post I
> > made on this newsgroup.
>
> The earliest one I can find was from Larry.Roll@ssalpha.com dated
1994-09-01
> 03:23:52 PST, on the subject of "Tandy's Proposed Family Radio Service".
> You were in favor, and your "signature line" said "... Amateur Radio
> Operators have Extra Class!"
>
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

Yep, Larry's attitude goes way back.

2270. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 00:01:51 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3F3FEE.B766B67B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50084

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <3D3D95EC.DD267788@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >> I wouldn't know about that. I've never seen an HT hanging from the
> >> spacesuit (made in Frederica, DE by ILC) of a NASA PhD physicist
> >> spaceperson. They usually keep their HT's stowed in an equipment
> >> case aboard the Space Shuttle or the ISS.
> > >
> >Just think how smart those nocode Tech PhD physicists would have
> >been if they had not been dumbed down by getting a ham license.
> >Too bad the astronauts are all so inferior to you, eh?
>
> Cecil:
>
> Like I said, as astronauts, they're still PhD's. As hams, unless
> they are Pre-Restructuring, code tested, and CW-using Extras,
> they aren't in my league. I have a habit of comparing apples to
> apples. You always choose to do the Mensa thing, which is to
> compare apples to aluminum siding -- all the while claming to be
> a master of applied logic.

Reminds me of an ad for joining Mensa. It said that one of the
qualifications was if you did better than 1300 on the SAT test,
you could join. Well, I got 1310. But do I want to be one of
the dumbest members of a club that prides itself of being all
smart guys?

>
>
> Being an astronaut requires years of post-graduate study and the
> requisite doctorate, plus additional years of rigorous, mission-
> orientated training usually received as part of military service.
> Being a ham radio operator, especially in the Post-Restructuring
> Era, involved a few hour's worth of effort in studying for some
> very easy multiple-choice tests and a maximum 5 WPM code
> test which doesn't result in the development of an ability to use
> the code at a useful proficiency level. The two are not the same.
> All astronauts can be hams, but not all hams can be astronauts.
> Astronaut hams use their ham radio privileges mainly to talk to
> school children from space, thus inspiring them to seek out
> technically-oriented careers as a result. This is all well and good,
> but the communications capability they employ *as* hams is
> primitive compared to what they have at their disposal on the ISS
> or the Space Shuttle.

I'd like to see an HF station on ISS. "What, you crazy, the ionosphere is in the way, nothing will get thru". No, the ionosphere isn't a perfect reflector/ barrier. Especially straight up. Antennas up there should be easy, all you'd need are some long tape measures long enough for even a 160M dipole. And the astronauts could listen to local AM MW and SW broadcasts if they get bored.

2271. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 00:05:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D3F40C4.F7F4370A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50085

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Dennis Ferguson said:
>
> >Whew, that's not what I would have expected. I grew up in a (two-)company
> >town where lots of guys thought exactly the way you do, but they were also
> >the guys who kept electing socialist party candidates who were slightly left
> >of Chairman Mao to the embarrassment of even the other socialists in the
> >province let alone rational people. The behaviours became so closely
> >associated in my mind that I spent half a lifetime avoiding those types
> >of jobs along with those types of politicians.
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> I'd rather get paid for my overtime, plus as a union
> >> member, I can't be fired from a 16-inch naval gun!
>
> Then Cecil said:
>
> >
> >Egads Larry, are you a Democrat now?
>
> Cecil/Dennis:
>
> No, I've hardly turned into a Democrat. I work in a closed shop, so Union
> membership is not a choice, but a necessity. And, fortunately for me, this
> type of work is one of the few where I believe unions still have a valid and
> necessary influence. The work we do does not lend itself to automation, and
> will always require the services of dedicated, hard-working employees. And,
> since the Union mechanism is already in place, I may as well take
> advantage of it.
>
> From a purely political point of view, the ATU seems not to be particularly
> "Communist" to me. In fact, our local seems to be particularly
> management-friendly -- we still have some fairly draconian work rules
> such as involuntary overtime, stingy vacation/personal days, etc. But
> without the union, we would probably not be getting anywhere near the
> pay and benefits we enjoy, so it's basically a wash.

Used to be in NYC (maybe still is) bus driver pensions were based on
the last 3 years of income including overtime. So the older guys would take
as much overtime they could get in their last years.

2272. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 00:14:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724201404.02933.00000219@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50086

In article <20020723010805.01951.00000068@mb-de.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020722080642.04875.00000151@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <20020721225155.06693.00000225@mb-mm.aol.com>, Lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) aka lenof21/averyfine/averyfineman/nocwtest writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020720100702.13297.00000052@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>>writes:
>>
>>>>Yup. Note that QST articles include things like the Product Reviews, which
>are
>>>>Consumer-Reports type evaluations, not puff pieces. ARRL actually buys the
>>>>products tested, anonymously, then tests 'em. They are then resold at
>>>>auction.
>>>
>>>Oh, gosh yes...everything is so absolutely squeaky-clean honest
>>>that nobody would EVER think to fudge a bit on reports! :-)
>>
>>Sounds like you have a guilty conscience ;-) How many product reviews have
>you
>>done? ;-) How much "fudging" have you done in your career? Be a good honest
>boy
>>and 'fess up now. ;-)
>
>No doubt you "believe" Consumer Reports is on the up-and-up, always honest
>100% of the time.

I think Consumer Reports is honest. That does not mean they are always
error-free.
Do you have any evidence that they are dishonest? Or are you just blowin smoke,
as usual?

> Considering that the CR magazine doesn't carry ads, who
> exactly PAYS for all their "testing?"

The subscribers.
>
> You didn't answer the remark about QST product reviews.

Why should I? You don't answer direct questions that others pose. For
example, - what was the circulation of Ham Radio magazine during its
22 years? What percenage of hams ever subscribed?

> Do you believe each
> and every one of them is absolutely "honest" and technically without error?

I think they are honest, in that what is reported is what was
observed. Technical errors are always possible.

> If so, can you prove that?

Nope. Can you prove that their is any reason to doubt the Product
Reviews in QST? Do you have any evidence of dishonesty?

Any evidence whatsoever? Or are you just blowing smoke, as usual?
>
> >>The ARRL could NEVER do anything wrong, could it? :-)
> >
> >Sure they could. They've backed down on support of code testing. ;-)
>
> INCORRECT.

CORRECT. ARRL policy on code testing changed about 18 months ago.

> The ARRL took a NULL stand on code testing, neither support
> nor "backing down."

Their former position was unqualified SUPPORT. That support is gone.
Thus, they backed down from supporting code testing.

Specifically, the ARRL BoD no longer supports retention of S25.5.
Previously, they did.

> In a null opinion there is NO "up" or "down," just
> NOTHING.

Which is a different state from support. Thus, they have backed down.

> >>>Pro-code-test people are
> >>>obviously the majority, as evidenced by the comments to 98-143.
> >>
> >> <insert 6 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing in here>
> >
> >Ignorance of data posted by NCI people noted ;-)
>
> Not "ignorance," just not emotionally-biased in favor of code testing
> and the "I bin a ham fo fotty yars an evybody gotta do like me" sort
> of tenure-inspired turf comments.

Instead, you are emotionally-biased in opposition of code testing
and the "I bin a in radio fo fifty yars an evybody gotta heed what I
say" sort of tenure-inspired turf comments.

> >>NCI isn't an "all things to all amateurs" kind of
> >>organization. They never were. Once we all achieve the desired
> >>goals, aims, and objectives, the organization disbands, dissolves.
> >
> >I'll believe that when it happens. Will you stop posting here when code

> >testing goes away?
>
> Why? You won't stop boosting code testing in your demands for
> everyone to Believe in YOUR Words as What Is Best.

In other words, NO.
>
> >>>ARRL's requirement for a ham license in order to be a full member also
makes
> >>>sense, because it keeps the organization in the hands of hams.
> >>
> >>...or rather its Section Managers and, most importantly, its Board
> >>of Directors. :-)
> >
> >BoD members are elected by popular vote of the membership masses.
>
> Have you read the number of votes tallies in each election? That's
> hardly "popular," is it?

Sure it is. All full members get a ballot. Results are published. Do
you have any evidence that the process is not honest? Or are you just
blowing smoke, as usual?
>
> Voting can ONLY be done by the ARRL voting membership.

Why should nonmembers be allowed to vote? Does NCI allow nonmembers to
vote?

> Those are
> less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.

NCI is less than 1% of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>
> >How would you know any different?
>
> I'm a reasoning human being, not a pseudo-religious fanatic who must
> cling to a belief system.

(insert 10 minutes of guffaws here)
>
> >>So, Mr. Democratic Principles At Work,
> >>why aren't there more Technician Class licensees joining up to be
> >>part of all the "fairness?"
> >
> >They don't see a reason to spend $39.
>
> The REASON should be apparent enough, little Jimmie.

Ah, the usual diminutive put-down.

I'm not "little" and you can call me Jim. Or N2EY.

> The ARRL
> won't represent them as they do other classes...that is their clear
> perception.

How do you know? Have you done a scientific survey? A poll? Or are you
just blowing smoke, as usual?

> It's not a matter of "$39" it is a matter of just throwing
> away money to get nothing in return except a magazine.

How do you know? Poll? Survey?
>
> You cannot envision that.

Sure I can. What you cannot envision is that most hams, including
Technicians, disagree with you. What you cannot envision is the idea that you
are mistaken about some things.

>To you, amateur radio is "working DX on
> HF with CW" and little more.

Where do you get that? I'm not a DXer. And I'm not limited to CW or HF.

btw, Technicians currently account for 35% of US amateur licenses held by
individuals. According to the 1993 "winged horse" Callbook, back in late 1992
Technicians accounted for 32% of US amateur licenses held by individuals.
That's just a 3% increase in a decade, despite the drpping of the code test for
Tech, the closing of the Novice to new licenses 2-1/2 years ago, and the
renewal of Tech Pluses as Techs for 2-1/2 years.

--

Do you think most Technicians would support age requirements?

Jim, N2EY

2273. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 00:17:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0sH%8.110$Uf2.6069553@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50087

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
> > > > >
> > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to learn
> > > > > additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary and
> > unnecessary
> > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > >
> > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary and
> > unnecessary.
> > > >
> > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for success.
> > >
> > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> >
> If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional frequencies.
> It can't be both ways, Brian.

Why not?

> > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?
> > > > >
> > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you considered to
be
> > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can be as
> > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in other
things.
> > > >
> > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > >
> > > There you have it.
> > >
> > How is that wrong?
> >
> How is that a description of DXing?

Should I chant or face the East or something?
```

> > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries
> > authorizations
> > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just supposed to
tune
> > from
> > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the ones I
> > need.
> > >
> > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> >
> > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160 meter
> > authorizations of the rest of the world?
>
> I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted to look up 80
> and 160 allocations.

Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget them when someone
out of band tries to caontact me?

> > > > > > Oh, and try to be accurate.
> > > > >
> > > > > I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On how many
> > bands
> > > > > were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand out? How
> > > > > prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy in
handling
> > > > > both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his name,
correct
> > > > > spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like? That'll take
care
> > of
> > > > > one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told us that
you
> > > > > enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider that to
be
> > > > > DXing?
> > >
> > > ??????????????
> >
> > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is 100
countries,
> > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
>
> Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100 countries worked and
> confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have any idea how
> many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only one band and
> and one mode, those limitations were things you could have done
> something about.

Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX. But you appear
to have a problem with that. Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
until I'm an Extra, does it?

> > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I didn't work
10,000
> > of them?
>
> No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept of DXing.

Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.

> > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > >
> > > How?
> > >
> > One at a time.
>
> How?

How do you do it?

> > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > >
> > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing, you can't
use
> > > for operating.
> > >
> > But I consider it my job.
>
> I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why waste time in
> a rare spot making out QSL cards?

So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.

> > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did enjoy
ragchewing
> > with the WestPac regulars.
> > >
> > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > >
> > What is it?
>
> It is ragchewing.

Tell that to Diego Garcia.

> > > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted to
> > > > know what it was like over there.
> > >
> > > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line" technique. Shake
> > > their hand with your right while moving them along the line with your
> > > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
> > >
> > I see that hamming means a lot to you.
>
> Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a pileup does
> not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to Alistair spell his

> name phonetically and waiting while he describes his station and
> antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his microphone
> does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for me to provide
> my name and location along with QSLing information every ten or fifteen
> QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's your QSL info?"
> two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic receptions which
> did not mean a lot to me.

Whatever is easier for you. As in QSLing.

> > > > > I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is quite
different
> > > > > from DXing from the U.S.
> > > > >
> > > > Sure. The DX station runs the pile-up, the US station tries to work
it.
> > > > Contests are an excellent source of finding DX on either side of the
> > pileup.
> > > > The US DXer hunts and pounces the DX during a contest.
> > > >
> > > Not necessarily. Lots of U.S. stations are able to generate a pileup
in
> > > a DX contest. I've had JA runs and EU runs last for hours. You can't
> > > run up a high score on this side of the pond if all you do is search
and
> > > pounce.
> > >
> > Hey Dave, are you contesting or DXing? Make up your mind.
> >
> I'm commenting on incorrect statements made by you. I do both. You
> make up your mind whether you want to talk DXing or contesting.

So how many times did you need to confirm JA-land? DXers use contests to
work some new ones. They're not necessarily in the contest to score big.

> > > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious contester. A
US >
> > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> > >
> > > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.
> > >
> > For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands. Guam was
one of
> > those islands.
> >
> Marvy.

You'll get over it.

> > > > What differences have you note in goals and
> > > > > technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do you have
> > > > > confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of your

> > strategy
> > > > > for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S. How are
you
> > > > > doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m? 160m?
> > satellite?
> > > >
> > > > 10M SSB only when I was active. I'm inactive on HF at present.
> > >
> > > Worked/confirmed totals? DXer's aren't inactive unless there is
nothing
> > > on which they need.
> >
> > Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the mainland.
>
> DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.

Then why do some of them set up big stations away from their QTH?

> > > > For the pileup, try to listen to where he is actually picking them
>
> > when he says "up 10," then call at QRZ or tailend the current Op.
> > >
> > > Or you can use your reverse button to follow the action without
calling
> > > at all for a while to see if you can pick up a pattern. Some ops
listen
> > > over a window of frequencies and tune from bottom to top, a little at
a
> > > time. Others work top to bottom. Some jump around randomly within a
> > > window looking for a clear spot with few callers. Some announce "up
ten"
> > > when they are listening up five.
> >
> > Common.
>
> If you knew it to be common, why didn't you write it?

It's kind of basic, Dave. Why do you patronize me?

> > > The guys on the distant end don't
> > > always operate split.
> >
> > I only went split when it started to turn into a hornets nest. I tuned
> > around for a station that was easiest to hear. Calling #'d areas also
> > helped calm it down. Then there were the W5xx/7 that would call in 5's
and
> > 7's.
>
> There are and will always be those types. There are also those who
> attempt to work you on the same band night after night, week after week.
> One can develop selective hearing to deal with them.

Unless they're real loud and you work them so you can hear the others.

> > > You might need to concentrate on timing,
> > > espcecially if you don't have an amp or a gain antenna at height.
> > > Sometimes you have to realize that you aren't going to work the
station
> > > at all because propagation isn't favoring your area.
> >
> > Yep, there are some that never make it in the log. Bad timing, no >
amp, cubical quad on 10M.
>
> Could be good timing and no propagation or good timing but you're
> covered by those WITH an amp. There'll be other days.

I was never able to work India. Heard them plenty, but extremely weak.

> > > > When operating 10M SSB, what specialty is there other than QRP?
> > >
> > > If you limit yourself to SSB, there aren't many options. If you limit
> > > yourself to 10m, there aren't many options. Those limits would
certainly
> > > get in the way of a DXer.
> >
> > Why? I enjoyed it.
>
> ...because those things place limitations on your DXing.
>
> Dave K8MN

So did the Wing Commander. My Quad had to come down.

73, Brian

2274. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 00:37:25 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujui0uqotnt528@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jerry, don't worry. They'll be interfering with business services and my guess is that a number of those businesses will have DEEP pockets and will go after people themselves. BTW, I have learned we can't use FRS on company property. Any guess as to why?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:usF%8.6462$ND5.1185670@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Itchzitchy <drankin2@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> > > What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> > >
> >
> > Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.
> >
> > In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
> > 27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.
> >
> > Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
> > to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
> > roadtalk channel.
>
>
> What is the frequency so I can put it in my scanner? LOL!
>
>
> 73
> Jerry
> >
>
>
>

2275. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 00:49:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujuin4286b2r54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50089

Yes, Jerry, you did this before and you appear to be 100% correct. What worrys me even more that as we congratulate the FCC for doing a better job, that website only revealed 10 or 15 "busts" over a year's worth of time. And a couple of those were to large commercial firms (AT&T, I think, for tower violations). The FCC needs a TON of money and a lot of agents so that they can come up with a few hundred busts year after year. Eventually, the worst offenders will disappear (or quiet down) and then the FCC can go after the lesser offenders. I don't see it ending soon. The sunspot cycle will bring 27 down to ALMOST reasonable. What is scaring me now is the sale of VHF/UHF amplifiers. This is going to be interesting. If the FCC thinks the amateurs are complaining, just wait until large business can't use the business bands! FRS is damaging UHF and now MURS radios, complete with antenna connections, can mate with big amplifiers. The problem is that the FCC can't simply fine people. They go to court and whine they can't pay. Give 'em a year (say 6 months with good behaviour). You'll need to see several dozen people per year go to prison or loose their houses before others will start to take notice.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:VxB%8.6433$Og3.2059309@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Repying to Jim and Dick,
>
> Not to say "I told you so", but I have espoused this very theory for
years.
> Radio is a peculiar medium that has to have discipline, training,
> regulation, and pretty strict guidelines in order for the most people to
get
> the most use of it. Once the
> CB band was left unguarded, the gate was thrown open. It seems incredible
> that
> FCC could not see that (nor Congress, for that matter) if a group of
> untrained,
> undisciplined radio ops would not obey the rules concerning the 40 CB
> channels,
> they would also not see the reason why they couldn't operate on other
bands
> as
> well. Once the "cat" left the house, the rogue operators peeped into
> another room
> (first the so-called "freeband") and, cautiously at first, called out "Is
> anybody home?". Not receiving a direct answer, and the rules not being
> enough to deter

> them, they began to explore this "room". Then another, first cracking the
> door just a bit to see if anyone chased them away, then entering and
> exploring it as well. And so on and so on.
>
> The incursions onto the Marine band where the potential for interference
is
> high,
> and the dangers of denying help are great, are an alarming indication that
> enforce-
> ment MUST be a visible and ever-present part of any radio service.
> Untrained
> and unorganized users of radio must have effective "schoolma'rms" to keep
> them
> in single file, so to speak.
>
> My pronouncements on unlicensed operators may, on the surface, seem unduly
> harsh--even my view that 27 MHZ CB should be "sunsetted"; i.e., shut
> down--no
> easy task by any means, and swift punishment meted out to those who would
> defy
> the rules. Some ops just don't get it, and believe that talking on a
radio
> neither does any harm, no matter WHERE they do it, nor should there be any
> regulation
> of same. Not only are there illegal ops on the Amateur and Marine bands,
> but I
> hear of pirate operations all over HF. I personally hear from time to
time
> operations on the Civil Air Patrol's HF frequencies that appear to be some
> sort
> of fishing vessels (?), but it is faint and may be of foreign origin. The
> point is, in my view, something MUST be done quickly to cause these jerks
to
> quit. I am
> thankful to Mr. Hollingsworth for his efforts and I trust they will become
> more
> numerous. There is plenty of "work" to be done, and one can sit in his
own
> home
> and find illegal sales of non-approved radios in seconds! We as hams need
> to be
> vigilant and active in reporting illegal activity on our bands (others if
we
> hear it) so
> as to protect them for future generations of Amateurs.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH

>
> Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
> news:3C8461E5.82044934@att.net...
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > > Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ujs61njmkgu8e6@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Jerry, at times I have thought you were a bit strong in your
wording;
> > > > however, in looking at the FCC site, I am beginning to see the
light.
> The
> > > > following is a snip from the FCC website:
> > > >
> > > > Ironically, these rescue operations occurred during a weeklong
> exercise
> > > > known as Operation Mayday in which Commission agents participated
> along
> > > with
> > > > the Coast Guard and numerous Los Angeles-Long Beach region marine
> search
> > > and
> > > > rescue personnel. Operation Mayday was instituted to locate and
> identify
> > > > hoax distress callers operating over marine band VHF-FM radio. It
has
> been
> > > > estimated that that such hoax distress calls in the Los Angeles-Long
> Beach
> > > > area cost taxpayers over one million dollars annually in Coast Guard
> > > > deployment of personnel and equipment not including the additional
> > > expenses
> > > > incurred by back-up local or state search and rescue teams.
> > > >
> > > > I had to double-check that one. Over ONE MILLION dollars annually
> just in
> > > > the Los Angeles-Long Beach area! Good grief, I had no idea that
many
> > > people
> > > > were that stupid. False distress signals convey a maximum of six
> years.
> > > > Anyone pulling that stunt should get that six year sentence. They
> will
> > > > likely never repay the costs (which they are supposed to). What
some
> > > idiots
> > > > consider fun is quite unbelievable.
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim

> > >
> > > Exactly. Obviously, some drastic measures need to be taken to teach
> these
> > > knot-
> > > heads a lesson. Their antics are NOT funny!
> >
> > And such nonsense was virtually unheard of before CB went off the deep
> end,
> > and then regulators turned their back on it. It's more than clear that
> such crap
> > is self-engendering and self-perpetuating. The idea that communications
> and
> > communicators need qualification, direction and regulation didn't come
> from
> > nowhere for no reason.
> > It IS encouraging to see FCC once again with the big stick in hand.
> >
> > Dick
> >
>
>
>

2276. A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 01:11:57 UTC
From: Univrsal Brain <brain@best.net>
Message-ID: <2002725-21157-654989@foorum.com>
Source record: 50115

CW was a bad test for that. In fact, most of the CW coders are stupid and are brain-dead CBers with a low-level in mathematics.

On the contrary, the Rubik's cube test (used by NASA, see the Armageddon movie) to select people, is a good approach to verify the ability to solve a logic mathematical problem in a 3D space, and demonstrates a scientific brain.

Anyone able to solve a totally mixed Rubik's cube (6 faces of 3x3) in less than 30 seconds, merits to have access on HF bands.

So, NO RUBIK=NO HAM is the new concept now.

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2277. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 01:38:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujulis9n4bku88@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I've got news for you. A few years back I worked in a VHF/UHF/trunking radio shop. They have repeaters. Would you believe that they had a low band VHF repeater? Used for interstate trucking. Someone jump on that frequency and things will get interesting. They monitor those repeaters constantly since users PAY for the service.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net...
> Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
> >
> > from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
> >
> > "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> > we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
> >
> > Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> > CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> > channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> > figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> > weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> > mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> > site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> > amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> >
>
> Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
> MURS/Marine?
> FRS?
>
> Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service
> bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked
> systems or digital. The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
> dirt cheap. Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
> bands. Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
> Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
> "other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
> Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
> much less cares.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

>
>

2278. Re: Hey Stewart Teaze...Ya payin' Attention, MURSMan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 01:40:05 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujulmeg7q07sa7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50091

This may be the break amateurs are waiting for. Jam a couple of commercial sites and things are GUARANTEED to get very, very interesting.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020724043056.17857.00000362@mb-mn.aol.com...
> Subject: Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse
> From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> Date: 07/24/02 02:26 Eastern Daylight Time
> Message-id: <22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com>
>
> from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
>
> "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
>
> Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>
> -Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2279. Check out my free porno site! 0030540024 7471684206

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 02:13:39 UTC
From: olovja@tgos.org
Message-ID: <yIPTN7YY6$nscEO.0866658@news.arcor-ip.net>
Source record: 50108
Cross-posted to: rec.pets.herp,rec.puzzles.crosswords,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

http://www.tgos.org
Free porn!!

Will you smell beneath the store, if Mohammar truly dines the pear?
I was believing to join you some of my distant sauces.

porn smut teen babes girls cunt twat pussy anal
My dark can won't believe before I jump it. While cobblers mercilessly
look lentils, the coffees often behave alongside the glad printers.

When doesn't Hala depart daily? No tired units are wide and other
humble tyrants are cosmetic, but will Saeed recommend that? If the
sharp sauces can kick weakly, the blank draper may irritate more
evenings. He'll be climbing among lost Ayub until his envelope
pulls believably. Yesterday, Doris never dyes until Ramez cooks the
think hat eerily. Who moves neatly, when Ronnie cares the closed
jar alongside the moon? Hassan attacks, then Ghassan annually
pours a pathetic ulcer outside Edward's navel. You eventually
wander angry and grasps our elder, deep clouds outside a doorway. Until
Susie teases the raindrops inadvertently, Ahmad won't attempt any
cold fires. Get your quietly solving disk against my summer.
Murad, have a lazy plate. You won't fear it. They quickly waste
alongside clean new fields. She wants to improve distant candles
beneath Katherine's window. Courtney, among bowls fresh and
polite, kills between it, joining rigidly. Never taste a exit!
Don't even try to fill usably while you're cleaning in a cheap
powder. How did Aziz smell the frog on the bad pickle? Lots of
sticky pears among the difficult obelisk were rejecting beside the
younger corner.

As unbelievably as Otto converses, you can live the coconut much more
easily. Who does Rosalind irrigate so fully, whenever Ismat
combs the pretty bandage very wrongly? It can excuse halfheartedly if
Mustafa's fork isn't healthy. Some puddles call, like, and order. Others
stupidly shout. Try not to cover the teachers frantically, promise them
freely. I am absolutely sour, so I lift you. We explain the
sick ache. Other sweet fat caps will walk seemingly towards
pitchers. Try playing the house's ugly enigma and Ayub will
hate you! You dine inner balls behind the stupid empty light, whilst
Muhammad crudely talks them too. Will you dream between the
square, if Jadallah superbly helps the barber? Plenty of upper
heavy bushs actually measure as the dirty potters open. Little by little, go
love a orange! We mould them, then we cruelly answer Katya and
Rifaat's urban case.

Are you bizarre, I mean, receiving throughout handsome desks? Hardly any twigs sneakily change the noisy autumn. The easy onion rarely arrives Terrance, it seeks Mohammad instead. Just learning outside a code in front of the bedroom is too clever for Ramsi to sow it. Otherwise the shopkeeper in Abbas's elbow might burn some stale papers. It's very light today, I'll recollect partially or Saad will laugh the pens. I was nibbling yogis to proud Abdel, who's creeping alongside the game's winter. The tags, dusts, and spoons are all strange and open. Her jug was abysmal, poor, and judges under the rain. If you will scold Ignatius's mountain behind boats, it will weekly expect the poultice. If you'll care Alice's ladder with floors, it'll bimonthly converse the diet. Harvey! You'll kill cats. Little by little, I'll love the weaver.

Why will you clean the good solid stickers before Marla does?

Tell Afif it's full combing between a film.

She'd rather dye subtly than join with Frank's young hen. Plenty of books will be kind worthwhile cars.

2280. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 02:22:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724222230.04721.00000423@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50092

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 07/24/02 20:14 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724201404.02933.00000219@mb-bd.aol.com>

>> Those are
>> less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>
>NCI is less than 1% of all licensed US radio amateurs.

And even less, most likely, since there is some quantitiy of non-licensed persons in thier ranks.

>Sure I can. What you cannot envision is that most hams, including
>Technicians, disagree with you. What you cannot envision is the idea that you
>are mistaken about some things.

I imagine Lennie has spent a lot of his life looking to others to account for his own shortcommings.

>> You didn't answer the remark about QST product reviews.
>
>Why should I? You don't answer direct questions that others pose. For
>example, - what was the circulation of Ham Radio magazine during its
>22 years? What percenage of hams ever subscribed?

Of course he doesn't, Jim. He is "The Superior Intellect", and we, the unwashed masses, could naver hope to fathom the depth of his wisdom...or so he believes.

Lennie will never own up to his own errors or failings, nor will he honestly answer any questions put to him, although he demands such complaince from others lest they be found wanting.

And of course EVERYone is found wanting in his eyes.....

73

Steve, K4YZ

2281. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 02:28:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724222808.04721.00000426@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50093

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/24/02 19:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724190722.25170.00000226@mb-fr.aol.com>

>Explain why a valid amateur radio license is "necessary" to post on
>any subject regarding GETTING INTO amateur radio.

The problem is you don't limit yourself to such material, now do you? We
are frequently bombarded with your ramblings on matters of which you obviously
have no experience or knowledge.

>Note: This is an UNmoderated newsgroup.

True. If it were, you'd have been canned a long time ago.

>I have a commercial license in radio operating.

Oh noooooooooooo you don't, Lennie! October of 2001 you specifically stated
that you were allowing your GROL to "expire"...or have you convieniently
"forgotten THAT little misdeed too.???

> If anyone wishes to see
>a copy of it I will go retrieve the first one from my deposit box, scan it,
>and send the image in e-mail. A notarized-that-it-exists copy could be
>made available but that requires monetary compensation for the notary
>fees.

You said "anyone".

I want to see it, Lennie. This e mail address will be fine.

>>Or perhaps the written test is too much of a challenge for him.
>
>Yes. Too much of a challenge to dumb myself down to a SIX-YEAR-
>OLD's level.

But not too much of a challenge to spend hour upon hour antagonizing and
denigrating Radio Amateurs in this forum, eh...???

Putz.

2282. I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 02:32:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724223203.04721.00000428@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50094

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/24/02 19:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724190722.25170.00000226@mb-fr.aol.com>

>I have a commercial license in radio operating. If anyone wishes to see
>a copy of it I will go retrieve the first one from my deposit box, scan it,
>and send the image in e-mail. A notarized-that-it-exists copy could be
>made available but that requires monetary compensation for the notary
>fees.

OK, Lennie. Your bluff is called. I will patiently await for you to live up to your promise of "anyone" being allowed to see it. "k4yz@aol.com" will be fine.

Forgive me if I don't hold my breath, though. You have a long history of promising things you don't produce. I dare you prove me wrong this time.

Steve

>It logically follows that, to him, it's all about HF.

Not to me. Radio can exist between 9 KHz and 300 GHz. I don't think that US ham radio is "all about working DX on HF with CW."

>Or perhaps the written test is too much of a challenge for him.

Yes. Too much of a challenge to dumb myself down to a SIX-YEAR-OLD's level.

2283. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 02:35:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724223558.04721.00000430@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50095

>Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 07/24/02 20:01 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D3F3FEE.B766B67B@ix.netcom.com>

>And the astronauts could
>listen to local AM MW and SW broadcasts if they get bored.

One of the unfortunate aspects of thier perch, however, is that they'd have
to listen to a whole half hemisphere at once! =)

But oooooh to be there and try!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2284. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 02:43:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724224302.04721.00000435@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50096

>Subject: Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse
>From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>Date: 07/24/02 17:59 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <22a5e694.0207241359.172a7a49@posting.google.com>

>Huh? Those little radios you buy at Wal-Mart? There's not even an RF
>out jack on them. And why would they need 200 watts? To key over Joe &
>Jane Average?

I dare say that they will be showing up on MURS radios and GMRS mobiles.
As I tried to tell Stewart, they are already on MURS.

I live almost 20 miles from I-24 in SE Tennessee, yet am hearing "10-4
good buddy" type traffic on MURS from my residence.

Argue the potentials of propagation over that path all you care to, the
practicality of it is that I typcially cannot hear guys on 2M simplex over that
path unless they have a considerable amount of power feeding a relatively "high
gain" mobile antenna. It's certainly not coming from guys using 2 watts to a
rubber duck...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2285. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724231431.08190.00000775@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50100

In article <laH%8.99$af2.6022326@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> So I did. We also have drivers who are former teachers, nurses,
>> lots of retired military (like myself), a couple of degreed ministers,
>> and, of course, some ordinary hard-working folk. Are you now
>> going to jump on Lennie Anderson's bandwagon and start whining
>> about how I'm doing a job for which I'm overqualified?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Is that what an Extra does when he operates CW? Should he be pushing some
>state of the art?

Brian:

No, that's what all the teckkie-computer-whiz No-Code Technicians
are supposed to be doing, or at least, so they claimed they would, if
only they could be relieved of the requirement to pass a 5 WPM code
test to get on-the-air! So far, all they seem to be pushing since 1991
are their PTT buttons!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2286. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724231432.08190.00000777@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50101

In article <20020724014431.25170.00000185@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>I wouldn't know about that. I've never seen an HT hanging from the
>>spacesuit (made in Frederica, DE by ILC) of a NASA PhD physicist
>>spaceperson. They usually keep their HT's stowed in an equipment
>>case aboard the Space Shuttle or the ISS. Moreover, they use them
>>for talking to school children -- not to report brush fires they just
>>started with a gallon of unleaded regular and a Zippo.
>
>Larrie, do you start YOUR brush fires with premium grade and
>a fancy European lighter? :-)

No, Lennie -- up to this point, I've had no use for a brush fire. Alas, I doubt that I ever will. However, If I ever do, you'll be the first one to whom I'll reveal my technique.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2287. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724231432.08190.00000776@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50102

In article <20020724014430.25170.00000184@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>Apparently not. I've attempted every permutation of "any choice +of >>jobs," "my choice +of jobs," and just "jobs." I cannot disclose this >>quote from myself anywhere. Perhaps with your superior technical >>and computer skills, you can locate it and repost it here for the rest >>of us to marvel at?
>
>I could, but first you have to tell us all the secrets of being >able to ignite brush fires without being caught... :-)

Lennie:

Recent events would tend to indicate that it is not likely that one could start a brush fire without being caught. This is but one of many reasons why I couldn't be bothered to start one. However, chief among those reasons is my particularly stubborn disinterest in burning brush -- or anything else, for that matter.

If I ever want to burn something, I'll make another attempt at cooking some risotto.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2288. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724231433.08190.00000778@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50099

In article <20020724014431.25170.00000186@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>
>Oh, I think I'm familiar with the process of getting into a company
>doing engineering. :-)
>
>I have nothing against operating any vehicle for fun or profit. I have
>something against the individuals who brag up a storm all about
>their "high grades" and how they "can get a job anywhere after
>graduation." Then aren't able to... :-)
>

Tell you what, Lennie -- just for your own amusement, why don't you
locate and repost the posting where I make this claim? I'll be darned
if I can find it! However, I don't have your professional-level technical
and computer skills!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2289. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724231433.08190.00000779@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50105

In article <20020724014430.25170.00000183@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>Poor baby.
>
>Okay, now you are ON RECORD as being "offered the job" but
>you didn't take it even with all those good marks for a degree.
>
>Didn't you go to school for the express purpose of doing "office
>work" after graduation? Why didn't you skip all the classroom
>stuff about "office work" and go straight to a bus driver's course?

Lennie:

Axeually, I went to school in order to avoid being buried without
a Bachelor's degree. Having an education is it's own reward, it
enriches our lives whether or not we pursue the technical field
in which we hold a degree. And who's to say that having my degree
won't come in to play in my future? I can't honestly say I'll be a
bus driver until I retire, although I can think of a lot worse things.

>>>No, he says he
>>>is driving a bus. Nothing wrong with that except for the inflationary
>>>nomenclature of "paratransit specialist." :-)
>>
>>That's what the company calls it -- however now they call us
>>Paratransit Operators. Go have your fun with that one.
>
>My apologies for thinking you were a "specialist" instead of a mere
>"operator." For a while there you sounded like a top-notch HR
>person... :-)

What's in a name?

>>>Good luck on finding that job in "human resources," Larrie...
>>
>>Thanks. Maybe some other time.
>
>No time like the present, is there? :-)

Only if you assume you have no future. I'm not thinking along those
lines, but I'm sure sorry if you are!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2290. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724231434.08190.00000780@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50097

In article <3D3E3433.958A9648@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>>
>> Delude yourself all you want. I have nothing to "get over."
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>You're right, you have nothing but an over inflated ego. I was a
>ham when your mother was wiping your snotty kid nose. I will
>always be a more superior ham than you.

JJ:

Fine. Hold on to that thought -- I'm sure it gives you great comfort
in your old age!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2291. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724231434.08190.00000781@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50098

In article <20020724031000.17857.00000353@mb-mn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
> .....from the keyboard of the guy who whines about "improper"
>accusations...
>
>>I could, but first you have to tell us all the secrets of being
>>able to ignite brush fires without being caught...
>
> I'd sue this putz, Larry.
>
>Steve

Steve:

Why should I punish Mrs. Anderson for her husband's stupidity?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2292. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724231434.04721.00000464@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50106

>Subject: Re: Age Limits - WHY?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/24/02 19:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>

>You shouldn't swear at the screen when you are posting replies. I doesn't
>help you.

Practicing Ebonics, Lennie?

>Now you claim expertise at process control and think that applies to
>ham radio.

So...?!?! You claim that 'experience' as a rear-area radio clerk in
1950's era Army communications somehow has 'qualified' you to comment on
Amateur Radio in 2002.

>>You keep telling us about your early-1950s HF military radio experiences in
>>Japan. Looks like another "do as Lenover21 says, not as Lenover21 does"
>>double standard things.
>
>...and Jimmie keeps saying that I "never did those things." Impasse?

Never once has Jim Miccolis stated ANYTHING like "you didn't do those
things".

>Tell us again why you think programming Programmable Logic Controllers
>for process control machinery is an important factor in amateur radio.

Please tell US why your experience in "professional" radio qualifies you to
discuss current Amateur practice, Lennie.

>From zero to a quarter million licensees in only 13 years is pretty
>good. If those didn't exist the total ARS licensee numbers would be
>dropping now.

There has not been "zero" licensee's since the early 1900's, Lennie.

And since the present numbers also include grandfathered Technicians, your
facts are, again, skewed.

>>>Why do you hate Technician class licensees so much?
>>
>>I don't "hate" any class of radio amateurs - except possibly those who break
>>the rules.
>
>You hate them enough to avoid mentioning them. You don't want to
>realize that, without the Techs, the US ARS numbers would be down

>now, not stagnant for two years.

I've not seen him make any concerted effort to mention Novices,
Technicians, Tech Plus's, Generals, Advanced OR Extras.

So what?

>How so? Give us another ten thousand words of long postings to tell
>everyone how your empty claims are all "correct" and everyone else's
>is all "wrong" and "empty."

The difference between Jim's 10K posts and yours are that he ususally IS
right. Nor condescending in the process.

>I could get more references but then all readers would be
>annoyed by a braying little hound from TN whining and bitching about
>"CVs."

Oh Lennie! I am SO proud to know I have made such an impact in your life !
! ! Espcecially since you have gone out of your way to impact mine!

>On the other hand, James Miccolis won't say exactly what he works
>on or what company he works for (due to some personal fear). How
>can anyone "back that up" beyond the bare-minimum info on the QRZ
>site or the FCC ARS database?

Really SUCKS when you have to "debate" the issues on the merit of the
issues, and not on what personal denigration you can insinuate, huh Lennie?

Sucks to be you!

>>Why do you hate children so much?
>
>As to children, I am into R&D, not production.

Just what I thought...More "theoretical" nonesense without substantiating
practical experience.

Thanks to God you never procreated. Pray you never will.

>>>Only on the basis of remarks of four teachers with experience in K-6
>>>classes when shown a written copy of the Q&A pool. Dubiousness
>>>at the "honesty." A LOT of dubiousness.
>>
>>In other words, NO. You don't have ANY evidence that they did not pass the
>>tests in accordance with the rules other than some third parties' OPINIONS.
>
>The usual Miccolis chant "the ARRL never does anything wrong!"

Another subtrefuge.

The subject is your lack of evidence as to why an age limit is necessary
for Amateur Radio. Not a word mentioned ARRL.

>I was referring to SIX-YEAR-OLDS having sufficient comprehension
>of the English language to understand and answer the test questions.
>The six-year-olds are in the first or second grade of public school.

Samantha was 5 when I first taught her the basics of CPR. She managed to
retain it pretty well. As she has Morse Code.

>>Kids can do some amazing things.
>
>Not an informed "answer" is it?

It is if he's had PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE with kids.

>My
>wife has (Masters in Education, U of I, taught K thru 4 in Illinois).
>So have two neighbors (degreed, didn't have Masters but had more
>experience). A fourth former teacher (CA, grades 2-10) is male,
>spent a full career in teaching. All will agree that some kids CAN
>do some "amazing things" but ALL AGREE that reading and
>comprehension of the US VEC-generated questions and answers
>were beyond the abilities of even exceptional six-year-olds.

So your wife and two neighbors have been around kids.

What have YOU done? What have YOU witnessed? What EVIDENCE of IMPROPER
CONDUCT involving preteen licensee's do you have that would warrant an age
limit in Part 97?

>Argue the retention of code testing APART FROM AGE of the
>license applicant. Try.

TRY and answer the question put to you as to WHAT EVIDENCE of IMPROPER
CONDUCT do you cite as justification for an age limit on the Amateur Radio
Service?

>All you appear to be able to do is argue that YOU made it,
>therefore all others can. YOU did it at a young age, therefore
>all others can. Justify that as applying to ALL would-be
>licensees.

Yes, he DID do it, as did I and a very significant part of the present
generation of Amateur Radio operators.

YOU want to say "someone MIGHT make an error, ergo a rule should be enacted
to prevent it."

As aptly pointed out by Jim, most of the more virulent offenders being
prosecuted lately are in YOUR generation, Lennie....Flippo in Florida and
Burton in YOUR neighborhood, Lennie.

>So, you want to make your glorious accomplishments and
>wondrous amateur skills on par with SIX-YEAR-OLDS?

At least we are in favor of giving the 6-year olds the chance.

>That doesn't say much for "machismo" attached to US amateur
>radio as expressed by others does it?

Better than the "machismo" of connecting your rear area radio clerk duties with those of members of the same unit who died in combat 3 years before you joined the unit....

>The FCC granted Novice and Tech licenses to two SIX-YEAR-OLDS
>so that would make the FCC appear to allow childishness in US
>amateur radio.

Lennie doesn't want to be associated with a radio service that allows ANY US citizen that puts forth the effort to gain licensure and use privileges he never had in "professional" radio.

>How else to explain SIX-YEAR-OLDS with amateur
>radio licenses?

They studied. They took the test. They PASSED the test....

UNLIKE you, Leonard...UNLIKE you.....

2293. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724231435.08190.00000783@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50103

In article <3D3F40C4.F7F4370A@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> From a purely political point of view, the ATU seems not to be particularly
>> "Communist" to me. In fact, our local seems to be particularly
>> management-friendly -- we still have some fairly draconian work rules
>> such as involuntary overtime, stingy vacation/personal days, etc. But
>> without the union, we would probably not be getting anywhere near the
>> pay and benefits we enjoy, so it's basically a wash.
>
>Used to be in NYC (maybe still is) bus driver pensions were based on
>the last 3 years of income including overtime. So the older guys would take
>as much overtime they could get in their last years.

Hmmm -- interesting. That would explain why our more senior drivers
are such overtime hogs. Perhaps I should enjoy slacking off with my
40 - 50 hr. weeks while I still can!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2294. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:14:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724231435.08190.00000782@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50104

In article <ahm6ll22dkr@enews3.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>
>> >This makes me ask how many people have told you that they are superior to
>> >you Larry? Simple numerical answer please. (5, 10, 20 people????)
>>
>> Only one that I know of, Jim Miccolis, N2EY. However, he was telling
>> the TRVTH.
>
>Now I must ask, how can you generalize a whole group of people based upon
>one other person's opinion of you? There is a close parallel to this, it is
>called racism. If one person of another race did something wrong to you, do
>you consider the whole race bad?

Ryan:

At least at that particular moment, yes. That would be a natural human
reaction, and I'm only human. Now go ahead and tell me that you've never
had a racist thought in your entire life.

>> >I am referring to this question in the environs of the field of amateur
>> >radio only.
>>
>> So am I.
>>
>
>Thats cool, just wanted to make sure. There may be legitamite reasons why
>someone else may actually be superior to you, totally unrelated to amateur
>radio.

"May be?" Hell, I guarandamntee it! There are plenty of people who are
superior to me, including as a ham -- and some of them are No-code Techs!
However, they have one thing in common -- they don't whine about the
Morse code tests, accept the fact that they are limited as hams because they
choose not to acquire code skills, and very generously contribute their
professional technical skills to their local ham community as repeater
technicians. They also don't go around accusing code-tested, CW-proficient
Extras of "ethnic cleansing" and of being "technically incompetent" just
because they support code testing requirements or don't possess the same
professionally-acquired technical skill levels. In other words, they are
reasonable people who behave in a reasonable manner, and therefore, I
am motivated to treat them with the respect they deserve, since they do the
same for me.

>Hell, there is a ton of people that are superior to me in amateur
>radio, (which I will not argue) as well as in my field of employment;
>firefighters and medically trained personell. They are called officers and
>paramedics. I am working on achieving those levels as well as future
>upgrades in my amateur radio life.

Fine -- in that case, it seems as though we understand each other.

>(BTW... looked ya up on QRZ.com..... I thought you were a hell of alot
>older that you appear in the picture..... :)

That is very kind; however that photo is now about three years old. Whenever I motivate myself to purchase a digital camera, I'll post an updated photo. Just in case anyone's wondering, I'm 39 years old. I celebrate the 11th anniversary of my 39th birthday this coming October.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2295. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:16:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724231637.04721.00000466@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50107

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 07/24/02 22:28 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724222808.04721.00000426@mb-mf.aol.com>

>Oh nooooooooooo you don't, Lennie! October of 2001....

excuse me...October 2000....

Steve

2296. Miccolis on Mistakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:22:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724232218.02933.00000222@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50110

In article <20020724201404.02933.00000219@mb-bd.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>>In article <20020720100702.13297.00000052@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
>>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>>Yup. Note that QST articles include things like the Product Reviews, which
are
>>>>>Consumer-Reports type evaluations, not puff pieces. ARRL actually buys the
>>>>>products tested, anonymously, then tests 'em. They are then resold at
>>>>>auction.
>>>>
>>>>Oh, gosh yes...everything is so absolutely squeaky-clean honest
>>>>that nobody would EVER think to fudge a bit on reports! :-)
>>>
>>>Sounds like you have a guilty conscience ;-) How many product reviews have
you
>>>done? ;-) How much "fudging" have you done in your career? Be a good honest
boy
>>>and 'fess up now. ;-)
>>
>>No doubt you "believe" Consumer Reports is on the up-and-up, always honest
>>100% of the time.
>
>I think Consumer Reports is honest. That does not mean they are always
error-free.
>Do you have any evidence that they are dishonest? Or are you just blowin
smoke,
>as usual?

Jimmie boy, I can't seem to get you to open your mind when touching
on your belief systems.

I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest. However,
CR seldom reviews amateur radio equipment, so I fail to see where
this is going except in another dead-end misdirection.

>> You didn't answer the remark about QST product reviews.
>
>Why should I? You don't answer direct questions that others pose. For
>example, - what was the circulation of Ham Radio magazine during its
>22 years? What percenage of hams ever subscribed?

Ham Radio Magazine had a circulation over 50,000...and their actual
numbers were included in the "Publisher's Sworn Statement" at least

annually. I'm sorry, but your (again) misdirection into EXACT
numbers in quantity (22 years) is getting ridiculous.

HR existed for 22 years as an independent. Lack of advertising
revenue forced Skip Tenney to sell off the assets to CQ
Communications.

Why do you hate Ham Radio Magazine so much?

>> >>The ARRL could NEVER do anything wrong, could it? :-)
>> >
>> >Sure they could. They've backed down on support of code testing. ;-)
>>
>> INCORRECT.
>
>CORRECT. ARRL policy on code testing changed about 18 months ago.

Thank you for acknowledging my correction of your error.

The ARRL took a null stance on code testing. Faced with the IARU
position to lobby for elimination of ITU-R S25.5, the ARRL took the
most politically-correct stance of NOT siding on the issue of code
testing. That is their advantage: If S25.5 rewrite results in the code
test recommendation elimination, then the ARRL can claim they
were "correct" in "not opposing it." If S25.5 remains as-is, they can
claim they were "correct" in not supporting its change. :-)

A null position on any issue is a WONDERFUL opportunity to
create spin by saying they were "for" whatever comes to be! :-)

>Instead, you are emotionally-biased in opposition of code testing
>and the "I bin a in radio fo fifty yars an evybody gotta heed what I
>say" sort of tenure-inspired turf comments.

You ARE getting like that little barking dog K4YZ! Complete with
mirroring my original text. Can't you be original?

I've been IN radio for almost a half century and have NEVER had
to use morse code in any radio service. Why should I be biased
FOR morse code?

>> >>NCI isn't an "all things to all amateurs" kind of
>> >>organization. They never were. Once we all achieve the desired
>> >>goals, aims, and objectives, the organization disbands, dissolves.
>> >
>> >I'll believe that when it happens. Will you stop posting here when code
>> >testing goes away?
>>
>> Why? You won't stop boosting code testing in your demands for
>> everyone to Believe in YOUR Words as What Is Best.
>
>In other words, NO.

No, I'm just taking a NULL stance. :-)

Unlike some amateur extra claimants, I can't predict the future. You
apparently can and demand that others do the same.

At the same time you keep living in the past, trying to keep the old
standards and practices going forever. That's a strange and perverse
postion...one foot in the past, the other in the unknown future, and
then claiming to know What Is Best for everyone. Wierd. Wired?

>> >BoD members are elected by popular vote of the membership masses.
>>
>> Have you read the number of votes tallies in each election? That's
>> hardly "popular," is it?
>
>Sure it is. All full members get a ballot. Results are published. Do
>you have any evidence that the process is not honest? Or are you just
>blowing smoke, as usual?

I'm not blowing smoke, I'm pointing it out rising in the northeast USA.

I do NOT believe the ARRL voting is honest.
I do NOT believe the ARRL BoD is pushing the "will of the member-
ship" in its meetings.
I do NOT believe that Section Managers are "true representatives" that
are "elected freely and honestly in open elections."

Neither do I believe the ARRL is truly representing "all of amateur radio"
as its propaganda has put out for so many years. I believe it
only represents a core group of the BoD and higher staff that want
to control policy in regard to their wishes.

Those are MY beliefs in regard to the ARRL. Call a belief a sort of
"opinion." You just can't call my beliefs "mistaken" until you prove
you are God.

I have seen numerical evidence that the ARRL membership is still
less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs. I have heard
the same expressions of distrust of the ARRL for years from licensed
radio amateurs, some of whom were members, others not. Where
is the evidence that the ARRL "knows what is best for amateur radio"
in the USA?

The only "evidence" that the ARRL is correct comes directly from
the ARRL itself. They say they are "correct." Anyone with a
printing press, paper, and an Internet service provider contract can
"prove" the same thing...which is nothing more than a CLAIM.

There is NO "proof" either way, no evidence that would stand up in
court. All you have is a Belief System wrapped in pseudo-patriotism
and lodge-hall-loyalty.

>> Voting can ONLY be done by the ARRL voting membership.
>
>Why should nonmembers be allowed to vote? Does NCI allow nonmembers to
>vote?

More misdirection. NCI is NOT a national membership organization
that prints products and resells others while trying to act like some
kind of auxilliary "government" and also being a special-interest lobbying
group in Washington DC.

NCI is purely a political-action organization, an INTERNATIONAL
organization of like-minded individuals. It isn't a lodge hall fraternal
buddy-buddy place. It has ONE goal, the elimination of the morse
code test from amateur radio license examinations. When that is
accomplished, NCI will dissolve and be no more.

ARRL has NO plans to dissolve...indeed, they are starting to look
strapped for cash flow with all the additional money requests. NCI is
FREE and donations are voluntary. Membership in the ARRL costs
money...voting can't be done without paying membership in ARRL.
ARRL is trying to live forever because everyone there LIKES the
power and control positions, the titles, the noteriety.

>> The ARRL
>> won't represent them as they do other classes...that is their clear
>> perception.
>
>How do you know? Have you done a scientific survey? A poll? Or are you
>just blowing smoke, as usual?

I am pointing to the ever-present SMOKE rising in the northeast.

Show us YOUR "evidence" that ARRL is "favoring Technicians" at all in
the pages of ARRL publications. Technicians are now nearly 1/3 of all
licensed US radio amateurs and the most recent ARRL demographic
breakdown (for ad sales use) had Technicians as the lowest number of
members. Nearly every issue of QST pays homage to the rank, status,
etc., of license classes and emphasizes morse code modes over the
others as being the "best" mode.

It's all in ARRL's own publications. It's all in ARRL's own website.
You BELIEVE in what they say and have accepted their Order and
believe it all as "fact." It is still nothing more than a Belief.

>> You cannot envision that.
>
>Sure I can. What you cannot envision is that most hams, including
>Technicians, disagree with you.

That's unprovable and you know it. That's only your opinion (perhaps)
stated to attempt winning message points. You get no points.

You don't understand that my opinions aren't just shared with some
already-licensed radio amateurs, they are shared with an unknown
number of would-be radio amateurs. That latter number is un-
quantifiable but they exist. Some of them are the "evil" CB-ers, but
most have found out about US amateur radio, didn't like what they
found out, and moved on to other hobbies.

You seem to have a great deal of trouble with people who do not
believe as you do. Try to get over it. You are not God and you
are not an apostle.

>What you cannot envision is the idea that you
>are mistaken about some things.

I can only envision that I have a DIFFERENT OPINION than yours
and I do not share your belief system.

Different opinions and different belief systems are not "mistaken."
You are not God who can determine truth in everything.

Different opinions are simply different opinions. There should be a
freedom of beliefs in addition to freedom of religions in this country.
You apparently dislike FREEDOM. You want the hobby, perhaps
the country, organized solely to your desires. You can't have that
and I'm sure it bothers you enough to mount attacks on those
who do not share your beliefs.

2297. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:22:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724232219.02933.00000223@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50109

In article <3D3F3D6C.D2C4B81E@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Okay, now you are ON RECORD as being "offered the job" but
>> you didn't take it even with all those good marks for a degree.
>
>What, switch to a job that pays less, less enjoyable, and less
>job security? Larry's no fool....

Oh? :-)

2298. Re: Miccolis on Mistakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:37:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724233721.04721.00000470@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50111

>Subject: Miccolis on Mistakes
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/24/02 23:22 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724232218.02933.00000222@mb-bd.aol.com>

>You ARE getting like that little barking dog K4YZ! Complete with
>mirroring my original text.

Glad to see my nipping at your heels is getting to you, Your geezerness

And I see that even after Jim more-or-less came to your "support", you still insist on using diminutives and denigrations against him that he does not extend to you.

How Putzy.

But we've come to expect it!

Nite, Lennie!

Stevie

2299. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 03:38:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724233854.04721.00000471@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50112

>Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 07/24/02 23:14 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020724231434.08190.00000781@mb-cu.aol.com>

>Why should I punish Mrs. Anderson for her husband's stupidity?

Good point...Waking up next to this Putz is punishment enough.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2300. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 05:07:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C85B207.91E50D07@att.net>
Source record: 50113

CAM wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Would you care to expound on why it is that a third of all ham radio licensees
> > have chosen to remain at the entry point?
>
> Many, many hams are satisfied with VHF/UHF privileges. The majority of
> nocode techs that I know just don't care for HF. Maybe their Mothers
> taught them not to talk to strange men. :-)

Were that the case they wouldn't be your friends.

2301. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 05:31:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C85B788.984B29EB@att.net>
Source record: 50114

CAM wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Would you care to expound on why it is that a third of all ham radio licensees
> > have chosen to remain at the entry point?
>
> Many, many hams are satisfied with VHF/UHF privileges.

You're claiming to be personally knowledgable with a significant percentage of nocode techs? Doubtful at the least. If they comprise a third of all US hams that's over 200,000. Seems you're twisting facts to fit your agenda again.

> The majority of
> nocode techs that I know just don't care for HF. Maybe their Mothers
> taught them not to talk to strange men. :-)

2302. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 10:51:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020725065131.11178.00000460@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 50116

In article <20020724223203.04721.00000428@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
> OK, Lennie. Your bluff is called. I will patiently await for you to
>live up to your promise of "anyone" being allowed to see it. "k4yz@aol.com" will
>be fine.

Lennie:

Count me "in" as well. Yodoc@aol or k3lt@magpage.com will work for me.

> Forgive me if I don't hold my breath, though. You have a long history of
>promising things you don't produce. I dare you prove me wrong this time.

Aw, c'mon, Steve -- I'm sure Lennie is capable of putting up at least ONCE.

>>It logically follows that, to him, it's all about HF.
>
>Not to me. Radio can exist between 9 KHz and 300 GHz. I don't
>think that US ham radio is "all about working DX on HF with CW."

Me neither. It's also about working DX on HF RTTY and PSK31.

>>Or perhaps the written test is too much of a challenge for him.
>
>Yes. Too much of a challenge to dumb myself down to a SIX-YEAR-
>OLD's level.

See, Steve? Lennie's not all bad. He agrees with me that the ARS is dumbed down!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2303. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 11:23:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725072346.25179.00000712@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 50118

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dick, so when you go to the park, you ask the roller coaster operator for an
>abbreviated ride?

Brian, in life, some things are different than other things -- they are not all
the same.

Can I presume that you will henceforth be typing your posts in 0s and 1s
instead of using the letter abbreviations for the ascii code that is actually
being sent by your computer?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2304. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 11:25:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725072541.25179.00000713@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 50120

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>You're not a bush, are you?

That is a really stupid question, Brian. Let's pick this up again when you are not in a mood to just babble whatever question pops into your head.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2305. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 11:26:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725072656.25179.00000714@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 50122

From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net

>What
>worrys me even more that as we congratulate the FCC for doing a better job,
>that website only revealed 10 or 15 "busts" over a year's worth of time.

Dig a little deeper; the field activities log page shows a lot more action than
that.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2306. Power-line Interference (was Re: More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 11:32:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725073221.25179.00000715@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 50117

From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)

>>I hope to take advantage of this level of FCC field-office activity and get
>>a few actions against the electric utility companies that have not been
>>effectively addressing line noise. Riley has been writing the initial FCC
>>letters (to those companies that don't respond to ARRL's letters), and he
>>will help pass the baton to the field for investigation.

>Please do. And please point them in the dir'n of Suffolk County, LI. Thanks
>in advance.

Here is the process:

First, read through ARRL's information on power-line interference at http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfi-elect.html (I hope I got the URL right; I am working from memory from my sister's computer).

Use it to try to resolve the problem with your power company.

If that doesn't work out, email rfi@arrl.org with a summary of your attempts to solve the problem (in a form that John Phillips can send to the power company if necessary) and the name and mailing address of the utility CEO or Senior Vice President in charge of customer service.

ARRL will then write them a letter, under the wing of the agreement we have with the FCC.

If that doesn't work, the FCC is then convinced that reasonable efforts have been made to resolve it, and they write a similar letter to the CEO. One followup letter might be forthcoming.

The next steps are being worked out right now, so that Riley can turn these cases over to the FCC field offices in a consistent manner.

Right now, John has about 100 cases open.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2307. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 11:34:47 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725073447.25179.00000716@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 50121

From: Dick Carroll dickc58@att.net

>> Many, many hams are satisfied with VHF/UHF privileges.

> You're claiming to be personally knowledgable with a significant percentage
>of
>nocode techs?

No, he said:

>> Many, many hams are satisfied with VHF/UHF privileges.

Perhaps if you read it a few times, you will see the words he actually said.

> Seems you're twisting facts to fit your agenda again.

You are the one that is claiming that he said something different than what he said, Dick. Who is twisting whom?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/7

2308. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 11:38:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FE351.244D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 50127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> I've got news for you. A few years back I worked in a VHF/UHF/trunking
> radio shop. They have repeaters. Would you believe that they had a low
> band VHF repeater? Used for interstate trucking. Someone jump on that
> frequency and things will get interesting. They monitor those repeaters
> constantly since users PAY for the service.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Yea? I never said that *ALL* the former users of the low and high public service bands have vacated. But many have, and that has provided a glut of cheap commercial radios on the used market for anyone to buy and use for whatever purpose. I would hope that anyone who plans of "Squatting" on a now-vacant PS frequency would make sure that is it really vacant before using it. Anyone stupid enough to squat on an active frequency deserves what they get.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2309. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 11:54:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 50128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
> >MURS/Marine?
> >FRS?
> >
> >Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service
> >bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked
> >systems or digital.
>
> As I'm sure you know, this is a fallacy. There are still many active
> freqs police nets on VHF. Some cities use it as their primary LE comm.
> And it's still reserved for nets like L.E.R.N., which isn't used often
> but must be kept clear for interstate and emergency comm.

All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800 Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to the borough.

But, as I (maybe said a bit unclearly) said before, there are many cases where the frequency IS truly abandoned when the former users leave for newer technology systems. Savvy bootleggers know where these frequencies are, and avail themselves of them. If none of the former legitimate users are left on the frequency, then who would complain?

>
> > The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
> >dirt cheap.
>
> True story. I have picked up lots of VHF equipment at thrift stores
> and garage sales.
>
> > Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
> >bands.
>
> 6 meters being the most common. 2 meter radios are too cheap to bother
> with the conversion from any commercial radio.

Au contrare. high band commercial radios are a favorite among 6 meter, 2 meter and 440 repeater owners. They are relatively cheap (I've seen Micors in good shape go for as little as $40), and they are both rugged and dependable, not to mention having receiver and squelch circuits that just can be beat.
I am looking for a VHF Micor receiver that I want to convert to 220 Mhz. I have the information on what needs to be changed to bring it up to full sensitivity on this band. This would be the E-ticket for my 220 repeater. One of these days.....

> > Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
> >Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
> >"other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
> >Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
> >much less cares.
>
> Oh yes, they do care. Commercial licensees are very anal when it comes
> to someone interfering on their freq. They may use it often, or they
> may use it once a month, but if someone is bootlegging on their freq
> they -will- complain, and the FCC -will- respond. Money talks, and the
> freeloaders pay the price.

No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would be around to notice or care?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2310. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 12:04:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207250404.733c5652@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net>...
> Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
> >
> > from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
> >
> > "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> > we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
> >
> > Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> > CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> > channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> > figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> > weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> > mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> > site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> > amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> >
>
> Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
> MURS/Marine?
> FRS?
>
> Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service
> bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked
> systems or digital. The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
> dirt cheap. Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
> bands. Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
> Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
> "other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
> Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
> much less cares.
>
>
There are a couple volunteer Townwatch operations around here which
share a vhf simplex freq with a heating oil distributor & HVAC
maintenance company. The company uses it during the day, the
townwatchers use it at nite. They even share the same tower. Goes back
to the 1970s when the townwatchers got tired of the crap on CB and
popped for some inexpensive cigarette lighter powered Motorola radios
& mag mount antennas. There are also some taxicabs on the freq.
Everybody has been getting along just fine for close to 30 years
>

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>
w3rv

2311. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 12:05:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FE971.30A2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 50129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Itchzitchy wrote:
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> > What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> >
>
> Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.
>
> In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
> 27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.
>
> Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
> to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
> roadtalk channel.

I find that interesting. I had not heard anything of the sorts. Mostly, in my area, FRS has been taken over by kids plying "cops and robbers", or "spaceman". FRS has a nominal range of 2 miles. On clear, flat land you may get 5 miles or a bit more. Hills will drop it down considerably. Most FRS radios are hand-held, which is a bit awkward for a driver to use. Radio Shack makes (made?) a clever mobile radio, where the radio RF section and the antenna, were located in the antenna and mag-mount base (per FCC rules), and the cable connected the audio and control signals to the "radio" unit mounted in the car. This would be a better choice for a serious mobile user, but would still not afford the range that CB would, when the noise levels were low.

FRS radios are very hard to modify (by FCC mandate). You cannot add an external antenna, without tearing the radio apart and adding the connector yourself, which can be difficult as the radio is compact and there is not much room for things like connectors. A lot of trouble for the average user.

MURS would be a much better choice as an alternate to CB. You have a 2 watt limit, and you are allowed external (Gain!) antennas. Range should be in the 5-10 mile nominal range.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2312. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 12:06:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725080640.07768.00000352@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50126

In article <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020724060647.01571.00000225@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:
>
>>>>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com >(N2EY) writes:

>>>..you really are putting yourself on a great big granite column
>>>for all to see and admire.
>>
>>How? All I'm doing is relating a few experiences and accomplsihments.
>
>Yes, you were a child prodigy 35 years ago designing all your stations.

Nope. Just a kid with an interest in ham radio.
>
>Now you claim expertise at process control and think that applies to
>ham radio. :-)

Incorrect - I don't claim to be an expert at anyhting.

>The United States military (in which

(N2EY)

>never served) decided to stop
>using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a
>half century ago.

Show us some proof of that.

>They did. That was before

(N2EY)

> ever had ANY age.

Ah, the age thing again. How does that claim, if true, have any relevance to amateur radio, where Morse code is still widely used?

>>>Okay, at about age 13 (14?) you "designed and built amateur radio
>stations."
>>
>>Simple ones, yes. Built receivers even earlier.
>>>
>>>No problem, you were a child prodigy.

>>>
>>Nope. Just a kid with an interest in amateur radio.
>
>But you DESIGNED them.

Yup. Can you prove otherwise?

>Of course. You were a child prodigy, another
>Armstrong re-inventing the regen tube receiver all over again.

Nope. Just a kid with an interest in amateur radio.

>>>Now you know all about programming PLCs for process control and think
>>>that is an "important" attribute for radio amateurs.
>>
>>Nope. You've got it all wrong.
>>
>>PLCs are part of "radio and electronics". The subject of PLCs was brought up
>>to demonstrate that "radio and electronics" is a very wide field.
>
>My fault.

Yup. You made a mistake.

> I thought it was all about the work you did.
>
It's not. PLC programming is only a small part of my skill set.

>Tell us again why you think programming Programmable Logic Controllers
>for process control machinery is an important factor in amateur radio.

Where did I say it was? All I did was show that PLCs are part of "radio and
electronics". The subject of PLCs was brought up
to demonstrate that "radio and electronics" is a very wide field. And it's a
subject where you have very little knowledge or experience.

>>There is as much need for hams to know about PLCs as there is for hams to
>>know how to design and build 50 kW transmitters.

>I never claimed "design and building" of a 50 KW transmitter. I never ran
>the 40 KW Collins linears at ADA. :-)

But you've held up your experience their as a qualification to pontificate on
amateur radio.

>>>What ever happened to the prodigy?
>>
>>I wasn't a prodigy. But I did manage to get a couple of EE degrees and an
>>interesting career in electrical engineering. Ham radio was instrumental in
>>leading me to that career.
>
>...and now you are a master of PLC programming? :-)

Nope. Just pretty good. ;-)
>
>>>>>Technician class licensees now account for about one-third
>>>>>of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>>>>
>>>>So? What's your point? How many hams were Technicians in 1991?
>>>
>>>I can safely say that the number of NO-CODE Technicians in 1990
>>>was ZERO. :-)
>>
>>So? What's your point? Or are you just blowing smoke, as usual?
>
>From zero to a quarter million licensees in only 13 years is pretty
>good.

There were over 184,000 Technicians in late 1992. There are about 242,000
today.

>If those didn't exist the total ARS licensee numbers would be
>dropping now.

"If is for children, spinning daydreams"
>
>>>Why do you hate Technician class licensees so much?
>>
>>I don't "hate" any class of radio amateurs - except possibly those who break
>>the rules.
>
>You hate them enough to avoid mentioning them.

Incorrect. I mention them when appropriate.

>You don't want to
>realize that, without the Techs, the US ARS numbers would be down
>now, not stagnant for two years.

That statement is based on the assumption that the number of new hams would be
far less without the Tech license. That's not a valid assumption. Ham radio
grew all through the 1970s and 1980s, when all licenses required a code test.
>>
>>Their numbers disagree with those provided by the FCC.
>
>The FCC only makes the entire US ARS database public. I haven't
>seen where they do totals and post the results. Others download
>the databases and do the totalizing. I look at the QRZ totals.
>QRZ doesn't seem to be biased one way or the other like the
>AH0A site stats.

How are the AH0A stats biased?

The numbers I post in "ARS License Numbers" do not come from AH0A or QRZ.com.
The totals I post are the number of individuals holding licenses - clubs are
excluded.
>

>>You are the one making the claim, so it's your job to back it up.
>
>On the other hand,

(N2EY)

>won't say exactly what he works
>on or what company he works for (due to some personal fear).

Why are you so obsessed with that information? It's not relevant to amateur radio policy.

>>>>Most of all, your recommendation was for an age limit of FOURTEEN. That's
>>>>about 8th grade, not preschool.
>>>
>>>Isn't that about the age YOU were at when "designing and building ham
>>>stations?"
>>
>>About that age is when I started. Is that a problem?
>
>Your very words were [35 years ago] "designed and built amateur
>radio stations."

Yup. It's true. Receivers, transmitters, control systems, power supplies, antennas, etc. Simple ones, but effective.

>I challenge

(N2EY)

>to back up his claim to
>DESIGNING those stations at age 14.

I was 13 when I started.

>>>Only on the basis of remarks of four teachers with experience in K-6
>>>classes when shown a written copy of the Q&A pool. Dubiousness
>>>at the "honesty." A LOT of dubiousness.
>>
>>In other words, NO. You don't have ANY evidence that they did not pass the
>>tests in accordance with the rules other than some third parties' OPINIONS.
>
>The usual

(N2EY)

> chant "the ARRL never does anything wrong!"

Where have I claimed that?

You have not presented ANY evidence that the 6 year olds did not pass the tests in accordance with the rules - other than some third parties' OPINIONS.
>
>I was referring to SIX-YEAR-OLDS having sufficient comprehension
>of the English language to understand and answer the test questions.

You wrote:

"Dubiousness at the "honesty." A LOT of dubiousness."

That's a claim that something dishonest went on - but you provide NO evidence whatsoever.

>The six-year-olds are in the first or second grade of public school.

Actually, more like kindergarten or first grade.
>
>I wasn't referring to 14 year-olds in the 8th or 9th grade.

But you would forbid 13 year olds from getting ham licenses.

>Any teacher
>will inform you that there is a HUGE gap in reading ability and
>comprehension.

So what? The FCC does not require "comprehension" of the Q&A

Your "answer" consists of -
>
>>Kids can do some amazing things.

Is that not true?
>
>Not an informed "answer" is it?

Sure it is.

> Has

(N2EY)

> taught children?

Yes. Have you?

> My
>wife has (Masters in Education, U of I, taught K thru 4 in Illinois).
>So have two neighbors (degreed, didn't have Masters but had more
>experience). A fourth former teacher (CA, grades 2-10) is male,
>spent a full career in teaching.

So what? That does not mean that the 6 yearolds in question obtained their licenses dishonestly.

>All will agree that some kids CAN
>do some "amazing things" but ALL AGREE that reading and
>comprehension of the US VEC-generated questions and answers
>were beyond the abilities of even exceptional six-year-olds.

Do they know the 6 year olds involved? Where they at the test sessions? I think not.

Most important of all, comprehension of the Q&A is not required. All that IS required is to correctly answer at least the required number of questions on the test - nothing more. Those are the FCC's requirements.

FCC went to multiple-choice-only written tests for all classes of license about 142 years ago and to a published Q&A pool almost 20 years ago. Comprehension is NOT required to pass the tests.
>
>>Why do you? You're the one who posted "no kids, no lids, no space cadets".
>
>Satirical quote of others. :-)

Were your comments to the FCC a joke, too? Or were you serious about wanting an age limit of 14 years?
>
>>You're the one who recommended an age limit of 14 to the FCC in reply
>>comments on 98-143.
>
>A suggestion, the very last of several.

Were you serious about it or not?

>>You're the one who claimed that all you want is an end to code
>>testing, when in fact you want the new and unnecessary restriction of age
>>limits.
>
>Unconnected logic, oh master of the PLC programming skills.

You claimed that all you want is an end to code testing. But in fact you want the new and unnecessary restriction of age
limits.
>
>Argue the retention of code testing APART FROM AGE of the
>license applicant. Try.

Why? The issue on the table is age limits. You want all hams to be at least 14 years old, but you provide ZERO evidence that young hams cause ANY problems.

Why do you hate children so much?
>
>All you appear to be able to do is argue that YOU made it,
>therefore all others can. YOU did it at a young age, therefore
>all others can. Justify that as applying to ALL would-be
>licensees.

Nope. Not at all. All I'm saying is that there should not be an age requirement.

Sounds like you are saying that YOU can't do it.
>
>>In other words, NO. You can't cite a single problem, but you want to prevent
>>all kids under 14 from getting a ham license. That's an unnecessary
>>restriction.
>

>Again, unconnected logic.

Then show us WHY there should be an age limit.
>
>So, you want to make your glorious accomplishments and
>wondrous amateur skills on par with SIX-YEAR-OLDS?

Nope. It was an 8 year old that got the Extra some years back.
>
>The FCC seems to avoid any single mention of age in nearly the
>whole of Title 47 CFR.

Because there was no reason to.
>
>The FCC granted Novice and Tech licenses to two SIX-YEAR-OLDS
>so that would make the FCC appear to allow childishness in US
>amateur radio. How else to explain SIX-YEAR-OLDS with amateur
>radio licenses?

They met the requirements. Novice required a code test, too ;-)
>
>>>On the other hand the ARRL news carries reports of a lot of children
>>>OVER 14 who violated the rules, causing problems on the air.
>>
>>Most of them are closer to your age than to 14. So your age limit idea would
>>not solve any problems but would create a new and unnecessary restriction.

>>Flippo is in his 60s. Much closer to your age than mine. Much, much closer
>>to your age than to 14 - or 6.
>>
>>Flippo is also unlicensed.
>
>Of course. Guilt by association.

Nobody has accused you of breaking any rules.

You claim fame by association and assign guilt by association to others.

Do you have a guilty conscience? Seems like it.

Jim, N2EY

2313. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 12:07:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FE9F2.13FD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 50130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Jerry, don't worry. They'll be interfering with business services and my
> guess is that a number of those businesses will have DEEP pockets and will
> go after people themselves. BTW, I have learned we can't use FRS on company
> property. Any guess as to why?

Because your company has a GMRS station and expects FRS units would
interfere?

That's my guess. Or is your company just a bunch of stick in the muds?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2314. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 12:21:44 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3fea39.1480448@news.cet.com>
Source record: 50119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

<snip>
>Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
>MURS/Marine?
>FRS?
>
>Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service
>bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked
>systems or digital.

As I'm sure you know, this is a fallacy. There are still many active
freqs police nets on VHF. Some cities use it as their primary LE comm.
And it's still reserved for nets like L.E.R.N., which isn't used often
but must be kept clear for interstate and emergency comm.

> The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
>dirt cheap.

True story. I have picked up lots of VHF equipment at thrift stores
and garage sales.

> Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
>bands.

6 meters being the most common. 2 meter radios are too cheap to bother
with the conversion from any commercial radio.

> Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
>Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
>"other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
>Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
>much less cares.

Oh yes, they do care. Commercial licensees are very anal when it comes
to someone interfering on their freq. They may use it often, or they
may use it once a month, but if someone is bootlegging on their freq
they -will- complain, and the FCC -will- respond. Money talks, and the
freeloaders pay the price.

2315. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 12:26:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3fed78.2311683@news.cet.com>
Source record: 50123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Itchzitchy"
<drankin2@cfl.rr.com> wrote:

>
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
>> What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>>
>
>Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.
>
>In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
>27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.
>
>Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
>to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
>roadtalk channel.

Which demonstrates the inability of truckers to comprehend the fact
that higher freqs (UHF) are much easier to DF than HF. So I say let
them abuse FRS as much as they want, and when the FCC finally hires
someone that can do their job those truckers will get popped.

In the meantime, don't hold your breath.

2316. Anderson on Mistakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 12:27:52 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.25.12.27.51.951363.7106@arrl.org>
Source record: 50125

On Wed, 24 Jul 2002 23:22:18 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.

But without evidence.

> However,
> CR seldom reviews amateur radio equipment, so I fail to see where this
> is going except in another dead-end misdirection.

Yes, I suspected it was an attempt at misdirection when you brought it up.

> I've been IN radio for almost a half century and have NEVER had to use
> morse code in any radio service. Why should I be biased FOR morse code?

Why should you be biased at all?

> I do NOT believe the ARRL voting is honest.

But without evidence.

> I do NOT believe the ARRL BoD is pushing the "will of the member- ship"
> in its meetings.

But without evidence.

> I do NOT believe that Section Managers are "true representatives" that
> are "elected freely and honestly in open elections."

But without evidence.

> Neither do I believe the ARRL is truly representing "all of amateur
> radio" as its propaganda has put out for so many years. I believe it
> only represents a core group of the BoD and higher staff that want to
> control policy in regard to their wishes.

But without evidence.

> Those are MY beliefs in regard to the ARRL. Call a belief a sort of
> "opinion."

When an opinion is held without supporting evidence it is merely a
prejudice.

> You just can't call my beliefs "mistaken" until you prove you are God.

He can, but there's not much to be gained by it. By the above you've made
it clear that your anti-ARRL viewpoint is a matter of faith rather than
intellect, and arguing about religion is notoriously fruitless.

N2EY sed:
>>Sure I can. What you cannot envision is that most hams, including
>>Technicians, disagree with you.
>
> That's unprovable and you know it.

It's only unprovable in the sense that you will refuse to accept any proof that runs counter to your prejudice against ARRL. That's understandable since an opinion that is based purely on faith rather than reason is not susceptible to proof or disproof.

Jon, KE3Z

2317. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 13:25:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C8626A0.B179F185@att.net>
Source record: 50132

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Whenever I get a digital camera...

Czech out your local Staples office supply store. I just glommed an HP 715 3.3megapixel job that not long ago sold for over $700 for three bills. They won't last long.

2318. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 14:09:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d400232.7618317@news.cet.com>
Source record: 50131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

<snip>
>All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
>Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
>their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
>being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
>the borough.

They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.

>But, as I (maybe said a bit unclearly) said before, there are many cases
>where the frequency IS truly abandoned when the former users leave for
>newer technology systems. Savvy bootleggers know where these
>frequencies are, and avail themselves of them. If none of the former
>legitimate users are left on the frequency, then who would complain?

Many fire departments and law-enforcement agencies use what others
consider to be 'abandoned' frequencies for undercover work and/or
training operations (and probably other purposes). These freqs may
still be licensed, and although they might not be currently being
used, can still be used for emergency purposes or as backup comm
systems. Bootlegging on PSP freqs is still a very bad idea. I'm sure
that if any PSP licensee knew that their freq, used or not, was being
bootlegged they would monitor and prosecute the violator as quickly as
possible.

>>
>> > The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
>> >dirt cheap.
>>
>> True story. I have picked up lots of VHF equipment at thrift stores
>> and garage sales.
>>
>> > Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
>> >bands.
>>
>> 6 meters being the most common. 2 meter radios are too cheap to bother
>> with the conversion from any commercial radio.
>
>Au contrare. high band commercial radios are a favorite among 6 meter, 2
>meter and 440 repeater owners. They are relatively cheap (I've seen
>Micors in good shape go for as little as $40), and they are both rugged

>and dependable, not to mention having receiver and squelch circuits that
>just can be beat.

You missed the point: the 2 meter equivalents are just as cheap. It's
not worth the time and expense to modify a 2 meter rig for commercial
freqs when you can pick up commercial rigs for $40 or less. Once I
found a base and two mobiles at a flea market for $5. Scary.

>I am looking for a VHF Micor receiver that I want to convert to 220 Mhz.
>I have the information on what needs to be changed to bring it up to
>full sensitivity on this band. This would be the E-ticket for my 220
>repeater. One of these days.....

Go for it.

>> > Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
>> >Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
>> >"other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
>> >Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
>> >much less cares.
>>
>> Oh yes, they do care. Commercial licensees are very anal when it comes
>> to someone interfering on their freq. They may use it often, or they
>> may use it once a month, but if someone is bootlegging on their freq
>> they -will- complain, and the FCC -will- respond. Money talks, and the
>> freeloaders pay the price.
>
>No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would
>be around to notice or care?

People that monitor their police scanners.

If the bootleggers are allowed a foothold in the Safety Pool then you
might as well write off all the other emergency bands as well.

2319. Re: Power-line Interference (was Re: More on the Illegal 10 and 12 meter operations)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 15:37:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ahp5ukib1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50133

What a load of rubbish. 'In a perfect world' right Ed?

Let me tell you how it REALLY works. After you reach the point that the Power Company LIES to the ARRL about what they are doing (nothing). And you sit here on your hands for months and months and drop e-mails to the ARRL and try to get things moving.....THEN the ARRL IGNORES YOU TOTALLY.

I'm a LIFE member and this is just ANOTHER reason I wish I wasn't.

Bottom line. I'm STILL sitting here with noise that has been here for 3 years. Its like a big revolving shell game, an nobody can find the right shell.

Dan/W4NTI

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020725073221.25179.00000715@mb-fv.aol.com...
> From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
>
> >>I hope to take advantage of this level of FCC field-office activity and get
> >>a few actions against the electric utility companies that have not been
> >>effectively addressing line noise. Riley has been writing the initial FCC
> >>letters (to those companies that don't respond to ARRL's letters), and he
> >>will help pass the baton to the field for investigation.
>
> >Please do. And please point them in the dir'n of Suffolk County, LI. Thanks
> >in advance.
>
> Here is the process:
>
> First, read through ARRL's information on power-line interference at
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfi-elect.html (I hope I got the URL right; I am
> working from memory from my sister's computer).
>
> Use it to try to resolve the problem with your power company.
>
> If that doesn't work out, email rfi@arrl.org with a summary of your attempts
> to solve the problem (in a form that John Phillips can send to the power

> company if necessary) and the name and mailing address of the utility CEO
or
> Senior Vice President in charge of customer service.
>
> ARRL will then write them a letter, under the wing of the agreement we
have
> with the FCC.
>
> If that doesn't work, the FCC is then convinced that reasonable efforts
have
> been made to resolve it, and they write a similar letter to the CEO. One
> followup letter might be forthcoming.
>
> The next steps are being worked out right now, so that Riley can turn
these
> cases over to the FCC field offices in a consistent manner.
>
> Right now, John has about 100 cases open.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI/6
>

2320. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 16:21:12 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gzrvf2c.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50134

On Tue, 23 Jul 2002 23:38:38 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>Exactly. Obviously, some drastic measures need to be taken to teach
>these knot-heads a lesson. Their antics are NOT funny!

The usual sentence in Federal court is 2000 hours (8 hours a day, 5
daya a week, for a year) of community service, which is cleaning
bedpans in the VA Hospital.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2321. Re: 2m Repeater Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 21:02:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nHZ%8.126$x12.5253220@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50135

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020725072541.25179.00000713@mb-fv.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >You're not a bush, are you?
>
> That is a really stupid question, Brian. Let's pick this up again when you
are
> not in a mood to just babble whatever question pops into your head.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, no questions has popped into my head, but I'll assume that you are not a bush. Thus, I do not see a double standard behind every bush. I am currently seeing a double standard with you.

2322. Re: Customized Code Fist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 21:06:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CLZ%8.128$V22.5342265@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50136

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020724231431.08190.00000775@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <laH%8.99$af2.6022326@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> So I did. We also have drivers who are former teachers, nurses,
> >> lots of retired military (like myself), a couple of degreed ministers,
> >> and, of course, some ordinary hard-working folk. Are you now
> >> going to jump on Lennie Anderson's bandwagon and start whining
> >> about how I'm doing a job for which I'm overqualified?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Is that what an Extra does when he operates CW? Should he be pushing
some
> >state of the art?
>
> Brian:
>
> No, that's what all the teckkie-computer-whiz No-Code Technicians
> are supposed to be doing, or at least, so they claimed they would, if
> only they could be relieved of the requirement to pass a 5 WPM code
> test to get on-the-air! So far, all they seem to be pushing since 1991
> are their PTT buttons!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

What a shame. NCTs having fun with amateur radio just like Extras do.
There otta be a law!

2323. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 21:13:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kSZ%8.131$B42.5443656@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50137

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020723061957.27561.00000148@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Did he say anything that might get Larry to a) tolerate, b) accept, c)
> >embrace, or d) join Ten-Ten International?
>
> I don't believe he said anything that was intended to get Larry more
involved
> with amateur radio in any form, Brian. I don't think that Riley knows
Larry,
> and even if he did, I don't think he would direct comments to the ARRL
Board
> that he intended to influence Larry.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

So Larry isn't as important to amateur radio as he might think he is?

2324. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 21:35:42 UTC
From: JRW <jrw@0spam.gmx.co.uk>
Message-ID: <lZZ%8.1250$S03.161091@stones>
Source record: 50138
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> (This will not cause any problem to an M3, because if she
> regards herself as a fully-fledged Ham, then she must so
> regard any other M3. Therefore, by her own argument,
> if she is limited to talking to other M3's for the week, then
> she's still talking to other Hams (But we will know differently!))

What about male M3s?

Your replacement of he with she displays your sadly limited knowledge of the English language. Braindead prat.

John

2325. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 21:39:58 UTC
From: JRW <jrw@0spam.gmx.co.uk>
Message-ID: <m1_%8.1251$S03.161258@stones>
Source record: 50139
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Maybe all self-important G2, G3, G4, G5, G6xx and G8xx stations which haven't been on air for over 12 months should be banned from transmitting ever again. They only hold callsigns becasue they think they're 'elite'.

John

2326. Jon Bloom assuming a Torquemada Role

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 22:13:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725181341.06693.00000398@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 50140

In article <pan.2002.07.25.12.27.51.951363.7106@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>On Wed, 24 Jul 2002 23:22:18 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.
>
>But without evidence.

Where is the evidence that CR *IS* honest...other than they telling everyone
how honest they are?

>> However,
>> CR seldom reviews amateur radio equipment, so I fail to see where this
>> is going except in another dead-end misdirection.
>
>Yes, I suspected it was an attempt at misdirection when you brought it up.

But without evidence.

>> I've been IN radio for almost a half century and have NEVER had to use
>> morse code in any radio service. Why should I be biased FOR morse code?
>
>Why should you be biased at all?

Zero-bias doesn't work well in certain amplifiers. You should know that.

>> I do NOT believe the ARRL voting is honest.
>
>But without evidence.

There is NO evidence that the ARRL is honest...except in ARRL
statements that they are honest.

That would be good for sworn testimony in court but it is NOT
prima facie evidence that the ARRL is honest.

Will the ARRL admit to being dishonest? I don't think so. That would
not be good for their membership growth or money requests.

>> I do NOT believe the ARRL BoD is pushing the "will of the member- ship"
>> in its meetings.
>
>But without evidence.

The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.

>> I do NOT believe that Section Managers are "true representatives" that
>> are "elected freely and honestly in open elections."
>
>But without evidence.

The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.

>> Neither do I believe the ARRL is truly representing "all of amateur
>> radio" as its propaganda has put out for so many years. I believe it
>> only represents a core group of the BoD and higher staff that want to
>> control policy in regard to their wishes.
>
>But without evidence.

The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.

>> Those are MY beliefs in regard to the ARRL. Call a belief a sort of
>> "opinion."
>
>When an opinion is held without supporting evidence it is merely a
>prejudice.

I disagree. I'd call it suspicion.

Where is the un-biased evidence that the ARRL is always truthful?

The ARRL is a private organization. Their "elections" are not the same
as a government's elections. The ARRL has complete and total
control over what it says it does. How is that "evidence" that it is
truly honest?

Is Jon Bloom unbiased in his opinion? Can anyone suspect that Jon
Boom, a fairly-high-paid employee of the ARRL, might be biased?
Of course anyone can.

Does suspicion of Jon Bloom's bias make a person "prejudiced?"

>> You just can't call my beliefs "mistaken" until you prove you are God.
>
>He can, but there's not much to be gained by it. By the above you've made
>it clear that your anti-ARRL viewpoint is a matter of faith rather than
>intellect, and arguing about religion is notoriously fruitless.

The argument was over opinion, not religion. Miccolis wished to paint
opinions opposite to his as "mistakes." Opinions are only opinions.

Suspicion is prompted by intellect...by comparison of actions over the
years and the resulting impact on a group, how the group now reacts as
opposed to a time before. It is obvious that many within a group have
expressed great faith and belief in the organization in what it has said
and will not countenance any disbelief. THAT is prejudice and THAT is
pseudo-religious attitudes personified without any intellect.

There is no intellect operative in regards to belief systems.

There CAN be intellect at work in observation. That does not require bias. Rather, it requires zero bias, an open mind, a suspension of belief. In observation of the ARRL, the only evidence as to their honesty in their claims is their own statements swearing that they are honest in those claims.

There is NO presented evidence that the ARRL is honest just as there is NO presented evidence that the ARRL is dishonest. Neither is this venue a court of law where the penalty for false statements is perjury and possible criminal trial as a result of perjury.

What is at work here is suspicion that leads to certain opinions that the ARRL is not honest.

Is Jon Bloom free of prejudice? Is he "zero biased" in opinions? Or can the readers suspect that a paid employee of the ARRL might just be biased for his employer?

Readers are treated to accusations of "prejudice" and some impropriety at challenging opinions and statements of ARRL employees. Such near-outrage is itself prejudiced and seemingly grounded in some fundamental pseudo-religious belief system in the "honesty" of an organization that exercises total and complete control over what it says it does.

>It's only unprovable in the sense that you will refuse to accept any proof
>that runs counter to your prejudice against ARRL.

Contrary to your accusation, I have no prejudice against the ARRL. I simply do not believe in all their claims.

Present your bona fide claims of ARRL honesty from disinterested third-party source references and they will be considered fairly.

Note: Continuing statements of the ARRL, its employees, or ARRL members are NOT disinterested third-party sources. Those are, rightly, to be considered as prejudicial.

>That's understandable
>since an opinion that is based purely on faith rather than reason is not
>susceptible to proof or disproof.

Opinions can be based on suspicion alone. That does not require any "faith." That does not require "prejudice."

Where is your proof from disinterested third-party source references that the ARRL is honest in all its claims?

2327. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 22:29:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D40A600.7060308@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50141

Dick Carroll wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>
>
>>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>>> Would you care to expound on why it is that a third of all ham radio licensees
>>>have chosen to remain at the entry point?
>>>
>>Many, many hams are satisfied with VHF/UHF privileges. The majority of
>>nocode techs that I know just don't care for HF. Maybe their Mothers
>>taught them not to talk to strange men. :-)
>>
>
> Were that the case they wouldn't be your friends.

OHMYGAWD! ROTFLMAO! Good one Dick. And after my day I really needed a
good laugh. Sorry, Cecil.

- Mike KB3EIA

2328. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-25 23:17:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk11n4tm1o3847@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50142

I'm not sure that is appropriate - one question, but, then again, so is CW - although you also have to pass the theory exams (which, in my opinion, are exceedingly easy). A friend of mine sent me a URL for an IQ test the other day. Like an idiot, I tried it after 12 hours of work and being quite tired, I turned in the worst score on that that I've had for several years. 138. Oh well ...

Here is one for ya - answer this and I'll see if I can convince someone you deserve HF access without code. You start with a magic rope 1 kilometer long. It is fastened to an immovable object and an inchworm is at the immovable object. (I'll try and make this easy) ... the inchworm crawls 1 centimeter for 1 second. At the end of the second, the inchworm stops and the rope stretches 1 kilometer. The inchworm starts again and the sequence repeats. Each second, the inchworm crawls 1 cm, at the end of the second, the rope stretches 1 Km.

Question #1: Does the inchworm ever reach the far end of the rope.

Question #2: (now, pay attention: this question SHOULD answer question #1) If the inchworm CAN reach the end of the rope, how long will it take and how long will the rope be?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - don't try this on a computer. You will not have enough time nor processing power. You will also come to understand what 'overflow' and 'underflow' mean. One college professor learned that the hard way.

"Univrsal Brain" <brain@best.net> wrote in message
news:2002725-21157-654989@foorum.com...
>
>
> CW was a bad test for that. In fact, most of the CW coders are stupid and
are
> brain-dead CBers with a low-level in mathematics.
>
> On the contrary, the Rubik's cube test (used by NASA, see the Armageddon
movie)
> to select people, is a good approach to verify the ability to solve a
> logic mathematical problem in a 3D space, and demonstrates a scientific
brain.
>
> Anyone able to solve a totally mixed Rubik's cube (6 faces of 3x3) in less
than
> 30 seconds, merits to have access on HF bands.
>

> So, NO RUBIK=NO HAM is the new concept now.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2329. Who Is Dishonest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 00:07:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725200702.01571.00000266@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 50143

```
In article <20020724232218.02933.00000222@mb-bd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>In article <20020724201404.02933.00000219@mb-bd.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>>>In article <20020720100702.13297.00000052@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>>>>writes:
>>>>
>>>>>>Yup. Note that QST articles include things like the Product Reviews,
>which
>are
>>>>>>Consumer-Reports type evaluations, not puff pieces. ARRL actually buys
>the
>>>>>>products tested, anonymously, then tests 'em. They are then resold at
>>>>>>auction.
>>>>>
>>>>>Oh, gosh yes...everything is so absolutely squeaky-clean honest
>>>>>that nobody would EVER think to fudge a bit on reports! :-)
>>>>
>>>>Sounds like you have a guilty conscience ;-) How many product reviews have
>you
>>>>done? ;-) How much "fudging" have you done in your career? Be a good
>honest
>boy
>>>>and 'fess up now. ;-)
>>>
>>>No doubt you "believe" Consumer Reports is on the up-and-up, always honest
>>>100% of the time.
>>
>>I think Consumer Reports is honest. That does not mean they are always
>error-free.
>>Do you have any evidence that they are dishonest? Or are you just blowin
>smoke,
>>as usual?
>
>Jimmie boy, I can't seem to get you to open your mind when touching
>on your belief systems.

Try providing some evidence of your claims.
>
>I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.

Why? What evidence do you have that they are not?
```

>>> You didn't answer the remark about QST product reviews.
>>
>>Why should I? You don't answer direct questions that others pose. For
>>example, - what was the circulation of Ham Radio magazine during its
>>22 years? What percenage of hams ever subscribed?
>
>Ham Radio Magazine had a circulation over 50,000...

When? Was that monthly or annually?
>
>Why do you hate Ham Radio Magazine so much?

I don't hate Ham Radio Magazine. I simply asked for information.
>
>>> >>The ARRL could NEVER do anything wrong, could it? :-)
>>> >
>>> >Sure they could. They've backed down on support of code testing. ;-)
>>>
>>> INCORRECT.
>>
>>CORRECT. ARRL policy on code testing changed about 18 months ago.

>The ARRL took a null stance on code testing. Faced with the IARU
>position to lobby for elimination of ITU-R S25.5, the ARRL took the
>most politically-correct stance of NOT siding on the issue of code
>testing. That is their advantage: If S25.5 rewrite results in the code
>test recommendation elimination, then the ARRL can claim they
>were "correct" in "not opposing it." If S25.5 remains as-is, they can
>claim they were "correct" in not supporting its change. :-)

So what's the problem? The chances that S25.5 will survive WRC 2003 are
vanishingly small.
>
>I've been IN radio for almost a half century and have NEVER had
>to use morse code in any radio service.

You have never been in amateur radio, though.

>Why should I be biased FOR morse code?

Thanks for admitting that you are biased against it - and not just the test.

>Unlike some amateur extra claimants, I can't predict the future. You
>apparently can and demand that others do the same.

Where have I demanded anyhting?

>>> >BoD members are elected by popular vote of the membership masses.
>>>
>>> Have you read the number of votes tallies in each election? That's
>>> hardly "popular," is it?
>>
>>Sure it is. All full members get a ballot. Results are published. Do
>>you have any evidence that the process is not honest? Or are you just

>>blowing smoke, as usual?
>
>I'm not blowing smoke, I'm pointing it out rising in the northeast USA.

Show some evidence - if you can.
>
>I do NOT believe the ARRL voting is honest.

Why? Can you show some proof that it is not?

>I do NOT believe the ARRL BoD is pushing the "will of the member-
>ship" in its meetings.

Why? Do you have any proof that the Directors are not representing the
membership?

>I do NOT believe that Section Managers are "true representatives" that
>are "elected freely and honestly in open elections."

>Neither do I believe the ARRL is truly representing "all of amateur radio"
>as its propaganda has put out for so many years. I believe it
>only represents a core group of the BoD and higher staff that want
>to control policy in regard to their wishes.

Got any evidence?
>
>Those are MY beliefs in regard to the ARRL. Call a belief a sort of
>"opinion."

They are obviously based on prejudice, unless you can provide some evidence.
>
>I have seen numerical evidence that the ARRL membership is still
>less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs. I have heard
>the same expressions of distrust of the ARRL for years from licensed
>radio amateurs, some of whom were members, others not. Where
>is the evidence that the ARRL "knows what is best for amateur radio"
>in the USA?
>
>The only "evidence" that the ARRL is correct comes directly from
>the ARRL itself. They say they are "correct." Anyone with a
>printing press, paper, and an Internet service provider contract can
>"prove" the same thing...which is nothing more than a CLAIM.

Then where is YOUR evidence?
>
>There is NO "proof" either way, no evidence that would stand up in
>court. All you have is a Belief System wrapped in pseudo-patriotism
>and lodge-hall-loyalty.

Nope. I have my own experience as a League member and former holder of an
operating appointment (ORS).
>
>>> Voting can ONLY be done by the ARRL voting membership.

>>
>>Why should nonmembers be allowed to vote? Does NCI allow nonmembers to
>>vote?
>
>More misdirection.

Just answer the question. Does NCI allow nonmembers to vote?

>NCI is NOT a national membership organization
>that prints products and resells others while trying to act like some
>kind of auxilliary "government" and also being a special-interest lobbying
>group in Washington DC.

So what? Why should any organization allow nonmembers to vote, particularly
when membership is open to all who meet a few basic requirements?
>
>NCI is purely a political-action organization, an INTERNATIONAL
>organization of like-minded individuals.

How many individuals?

>It isn't a lodge hall fraternal
>buddy-buddy place. It has ONE goal, the elimination of the morse
>code test from amateur radio license examinations. When that is
>accomplished, NCI will dissolve and be no more.

How do you know? Can you predict the future?
>
>ARRL has NO plans to dissolve...indeed, they are starting to look
>strapped for cash flow with all the additional money requests. NCI is
>FREE and donations are voluntary. Membership in the ARRL costs
>money...voting can't be done without paying membership in ARRL.
>ARRL is trying to live forever because everyone there LIKES the
>power and control positions, the titles, the noteriety.

Why should ARRL dissolve?

Seems to me that you want the ARRL to go away because you hate the idea of a
strong national organization for amateur radio.
>
>>> The ARRL
>>> won't represent them as they do other classes...that is their clear
>>> perception.
>>
>>How do you know? Have you done a scientific survey? A poll? Or are you
>>just blowing smoke, as usual?
>
>I am pointing to the ever-present SMOKE rising in the northeast.

Sounds like a geographical bias.

You sure seem to see dishonesty everywhere, yet you provide NO evidence to back
up your claims. Could it be that you have a guilty conscience?

>
>Show us YOUR "evidence" that ARRL is "favoring Technicians" at all in
>the pages of ARRL publications.

No class of license is favored or disfavored. QST is full of articles on
VHF/UHF projects, contests and public service.

>Technicians are now nearly 1/3 of all
>licensed US radio amateurs and the most recent ARRL demographic
>breakdown (for ad sales use) had Technicians as the lowest number of
>members. Nearly every issue of QST pays homage to the rank, status,
>etc., of license classes and emphasizes morse code modes over the
>others as being the "best" mode.

Where? Give us some examples.

>>> You cannot envision that.
>>
>>Sure I can. What you cannot envision is that most hams, including
>>Technicians, disagree with you.
>
>That's unprovable and you know it.

The point is that you cannot even envision it.

>You don't understand that my opinions aren't just shared with some
>already-licensed radio amateurs, they are shared with an unknown
>number of would-be radio amateurs.

Key phrase there is "an unknown number".

>>What you cannot envision is the idea that you
>>are mistaken about some things.
>
>I can only envision that I have a DIFFERENT OPINION than yours
>and I do not share your belief system.

Show us some evidence for your belief system.
>
>Different opinions and different belief systems are not "mistaken."

Some of them are. Many people believed that the sun revolved around the earth.
Those beliefs were mistaken.
>
>Different opinions are simply different opinions. There should be a
>freedom of beliefs in addition to freedom of religions in this country.

You can believe what you want - including mistakes. But don't expect others to
believe as you do without solid evidence, particularly when your "beliefs"
include things like unproven claims that others are dishonest.

>You apparently dislike FREEDOM.

Not me. I love freedom. But with each freedom comes responsibility.

You are the one who would deny amateur radio licenses to those under 14, for no reason at all except your own prejudice aainst young people.

>You want the hobby, perhaps
>the country, organized solely to your desires.

Where do you get such wild ideas? Must be your tendency to believe in thins without any evidence.

Jim, N2EY

2330. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 00:31:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207251631.10cced03@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50144

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<6Ck_8.105$Pc6.6997236@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>
> > When I first decided to categorically refuse to respond to any and all
> > of his posts I had a hard time restraining myself on a few occasions.
> > But at this later point ignoring his posts is akin to flicking a
> > booger. Considering the similarities.
> > >
> > w3rv
>
> Brian, please be sure to ignore mine, too. I'd consider it a personal
> favor.
>
>
Only in yer dreams Burkie. With Kim and Plourde having been driven
outta this village your *IT* sweetums. Watch for me . . .
>
>
> Thanks and 73,
> Brian/N0iMD
>
>
w3rv

2331. Re: Hey Stewart Teaze...Ya payin' Attention, MURSMan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 00:54:51 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0207251654.ffe6cb3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50145

This KLV company is making amps for every frequency band
under the Sun. Also, there is absolutely ZERO PROOF that the
particular amp in question is being "marketed" specifically for
MURS... fact is there are HUNDREDS and HUNDREDS of frequencies
between 150-160Mhz, including Maritime; and on many of those
frequencies(depending on the particular license, etc.) the amp would
be PERFECTLY LEGAL - so why in the hell would you focus on the five
MURS frequencies where it is totally illegal?

What pisses me off is that you go around mentioning crap like this,
and some stupid fool who doesn't know any better would actually
waste his money on one - not knowing that it won't do a damn thing
to improve his communication range(the attenuation will actually
hurt it!), and that he will just be jamming a whole bunch of
stations he can't hear.

You are going to have to come up with something better than this. How
about an actual case of someone USING an external amp on MURS.

- Stewart

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020724043056.17857.00000362@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> Subject: Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse
> From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> Date: 07/24/02 02:26 Eastern Daylight Time
> Message-id: <22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com>
>
> from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
>
> "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
>
> Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>
> -Aaron-

2332. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 01:05:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <lf109.164$sb.8611142@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50146

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Tso%8.1510$Kl6.83170@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> Like a lot of other institutions, government and unions attempt to freeze
> time and enshrine the past to their ultimate detriment. So what else is
> new?

And some radio organizations.

2333. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 01:38:07 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ahq966$t9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50147

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020725080640.07768.00000352@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >The United States military (in which
> (N2EY)
> >never served) decided to stop
> >using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a
> >half century ago.
>
> Show us some proof of that.

How about personal experience... US Navy 66-70 (almost 40
years ago). As a Navy electronic tech, the ships at sea used no
radio morse code at all for any communications at all. The only time I
saw morse used at all was an occasional "drill" excersize.
From my experience, by 66, all long haul comms were
via HF RTTY (encrypted).

Voice radio comms were usually all local UHV/VHF.only.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2334. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 02:07:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725220700.07756.00000162@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50148

In article <2002725-21157-654989@foorum.com>, Univrsal Brain <brain@best.net>
writes:
>
>On the contrary, the Rubik's cube test (used by NASA, see the Armageddon
>movie)
>to select people, is a good approach to verify the ability to solve a
>logic mathematical problem in a 3D space, and demonstrates a scientific
>brain.
>
>Anyone able to solve a totally mixed Rubik's cube (6 faces of 3x3) in less
>than 30 seconds, merits to have access on HF bands.

Could YOU solve one that fast?

When the Rubik's Cube came out, I got one and learned to solve it. Once I got my skills up, I could solve it in 60 seconds or less. With a little practice, I could get back to that level of skill.

However, I used engineering methods to work out the solution.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2335. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 02:38:13 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Lr209.17926$Og3.5351786@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50149

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzrvf2c.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Tue, 23 Jul 2002 23:38:38 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> >Exactly. Obviously, some drastic measures need to be taken to teach
> >these knot-heads a lesson. Their antics are NOT funny!
>
> The usual sentence in Federal court is 2000 hours (8 hours a day, 5
> daya a week, for a year) of community service, which is cleaning
> bedpans in the VA Hospital.....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Well,

Today, I "accidentally" stopped at a Pilot Travel Center where I found
plenty
of Galaxy "reddios" ready for the truckers to beep and squeak on 10 meters.
Luckily, I have a pocketful of "dimes"! <<g>>

73

Jerry
>
>

2336. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 03:55:49 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D40C845.D09B63CF@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50150

> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>> >Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>> >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>> >Date: 07/24/02 20:01 Eastern Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <3D3F3FEE.B766B67B@ix.netcom.com>
>>
>> >And the astronauts could
>> >listen to local AM MW and SW broadcasts if they get bored.
>>
>> One of the unfortunate aspects of thier perch, however, is that they'd have
>>
>> to listen to a whole half hemisphere at once! =)
>>
>> But oooooh to be there and try!
>>
>
> They're not that high up. But they would have in visual and VHF view
> a radius of a thousand to 2 thousand miles of earth surface. As for HF and
> MW, the ionosphere may limit the "view" to just straight down. Something
> like the refraction of light a fish sees when looking upwards. Beyond
> a certain angle it all gets reflected down, up punches thru.
>

2337. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 04:06:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726000641.05192.00000173@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 50151

In article <20020724172554.29873.00000456@mb-cq.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 24 Jul 2002 21:25:54 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 07/24/02 08:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020724080630.04875.00000198@mb-mj.aol.com>
>
>>There's nothing to be gained by referring to "Lenover21" as "Lennie the
>>Creep"
>>or other such names. All that does is lower your behavior to his level.
>
> Actually, I've come down to him since it's highly improbable that he can
>understand us.

All that does is make you less distinguishable from him. I don't think that's what you want. When dealing with an unruly child, do you behave like the child? Of course not - you display more maturity than the child. Same principle applies to Lenover21 and his tantrums here.

>>Why not simply discuss the issues?

> I agree. With you, Ed Hare, Bill Sohl, Cecil Moore, etc, that can be
>done, because at the end of the day, there is some common ground to be
covered.
>
(Lenover21)

> has no ground ehre he can cover with us.

Then the only reason to reply to his posts is to point out the mistakes and fallacies in them.
>
>>Then focus on that issue. I'm open to the idea of age limits IF it can be
>>shown
>>that the lack of them causes problems for the ARS. So far that has not been
>>shown.
>
> There's nothing to be open to in this case, Jim.

Sure there is. When someone presents information about a problem in the ARS, we should look at the info and consider it.

> I am not aware of a
>single enforcement action against an under-18 (or under 14, for that matter)
>operator since I was myself licensed in 1972.

Same here. So keep the discussion focused on that point - there is no reason for age limits because there is no indication of any problems caused by their lack.

Oddly enough, when cb had licenses, there was an age requirement (18?) to get one. Didn't keep cb from turning into mess, did it?
>
> And I am still waiting for

(Lenover21's)

>psoting of "for instance" exampels
>of why his proposed age limit should be considered.

Which tells you that he's focusin on a nonexistent problem.
>
> I the other hand there are numerous example so why an upper age limit
>could be reasonably argued. Your reference to Convict Flippo was certainly
>germane.

Was Flippo ever licensed?
>
>>That is the reason for my question. Everyone makes mistakes. If such
>>discounting and demeaning exists, it should be simple to provide examples of
>>it
>>here.
>
> But...but...but...JIM! He's a PROFESSIONAL!

Then it should be easy for him to do. So far...nothing.

Professionals built and operated the Titanic. Amateurs built and operated Noah's Ark.......
>
>>That's the key point. The most basic justification for any restriction is
>>avoidance of bad things that would happen without the rule. What problems
>>exist
>>today in the ARS because of the lack of an age restriction? None have been
>>presented.
>
> And certainly none by the person proposing the restriction despite two
>years of prodding for a reason other than "I said so"...

Exactly.
>
>>Lenover21 claims that I am against age restrictions "because that
>>comes close to the age YOU (or a lot of others) got into amateur radio."
>>
>>That is NOT the reason I am against them. He is mistaken. My personal
>>experience as a young ham has nothing to do with it.

>
> I agree. If anything, we represent those reasons for NOT having an age
>limit...You were 14? I was 16 or 17 when licensed...never so much as an
>Official Observer notice.
>
I got my Novice at age 13, Tech and Advanced at 14, and Extra at 16. Would have had the Extra much sooner but back then you needed two years as a General or Advanced to even take the Extra.

However, consider the following example, from Bala Cynwyd, PA.....

Way back in 1948, a 9 year old earned a ham license, with the help of her father, an active amateur and a schoolteacher by profession. This child had been copying code since 6 years of age.

Back in 1948 there was no Novice or Technician license - the old ABC system was in effect. This young ham got a Class B license, which meant:

- a trip to the Philadelphia FCC office, to pass all the required tests in front of an FCC examiner
- code receiving and sending tests at 13 wpm
- a written exam that included multiple choice and essay questions as well as drawing schematics.

There were no Bash books or published question pools for ham exams back then. Quite an accomplishment. Yet Lenover21 would have made that young ham wait 5 years before even being allowed to try the tests.

>>> My (now 17) stepdaughter is an example. On more than one occassion
>she
>>>successfully cleared her disabled sister's aiway while feeding her.
>>
>>And she was how old when she did this?
>
> Eight through 14.

That's about what I figured.

>Taelor died 3 years ago now.

I still remember that day...
>
>>Focus on the issue: What is the "problem" that age restrcitions would
>>allegedly
>>"solve"? If young hams were messing up on the air and causing interference
>or
>>other troubles, there might be some justification. But it seems that the
>>exact
>>reverse is true - the young hams I meet, in person and on the air, do NOT
>>cause
>
> Hey...I am as "focused" as I can be here, Jim! I work with CAP cadets
>between ages 12 to 18 and see them carry duties and responsibilities of

>adults.

> Likewise I have raised kids who do the same thing, aged now 10 to 27.

Well, there you have it.
>
> I have yet to see

(Lenover21)

> bring any of his youth-organization or
>parenting
>credentials to the table.
>
The most important "credential" is some actual data that showed a problem. So far, none has been provided.

> Even more "dubiousness" about his practical experience, not that there
>was much question about his PE on Amateur Radio matters...

PE? That usually means Professional Engineer, which is a license granted by the state. I don;t think Lenover21 is a PE.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2338. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 04:53:22 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahreev12dm7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50165

Jeesh..... that would be a tough one to answer, but there are many reasons I could think of:

A. Enjoying that level of licensure for a while.
B. Ham radio is a part of thier life, not their WHOLE LIFE.
C. Employment issues.
D. Currently studying for higher licensure.
E. Not able to utilize higher frequency priviledges for any number of reasons.
F. Just wanting local (within state or local immediate area) usage.
G. Maybe what they thought they might get out of ham radio is not actually there.
H. Personal choice.
I. Many others that I have not gotten the abilitly to speak to these 35% of all licensees but I am sure that at least half of them have a viable reason.

These are some of the reasons I have heard. If upgrading is a REQUIREMENT, I guess some of these people want to take it at their own pace. I am in no hurry because they only way I can operate is mobile or a "extremely quick to set up" installation at my place of employment, as I cannot operate from my residence. Also I am saving up for a HF mobile rig, of which I should hopefully own within 2 to 3 years. Also within my new career that I have embarked upon a little over 2 years ago, does take up a considerable amount of time, that I would rather use to enjoy hobbies etc. Almost ALL of my hobbies such as amateur radio, photography, scuba, audio remixing and editing, still and video digital editing have taken a back seat to this career, as I beleive the long-term payoff is more worthwhile. I still utilize all of my hobbies, jsut not like I would like, but later on in my career when there is more time I am sure I will.

Have a great day! :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C83A653.35758CE6@att.net...
> KC8PMX wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Also, the no-code tech license is the ENTRY point now to ham radio.
>
> Would you care to expound on why it is that a third of all ham radio

licensees
> have chosen to remain at the entry point?
>
>

2339. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 04:56:53 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahref022dm7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50166

>
> Axeually, I went to school in order to avoid being buried without
> a Bachelor's degree. Having an education is it's own reward, it
> enriches our lives whether or not we pursue the technical field
> in which we hold a degree. And who's to say that having my degree
> won't come in to play in my future? I can't honestly say I'll be a
> bus driver until I retire, although I can think of a lot worse things.

Larry, actually that degree may help you in the future if you choose to get
into upper management within the bus company whether it is a municipal
entity or a "for profit" company either way.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2340. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 05:00:48 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahref232dm7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50163

Guess you missed the sarcasm (not necessary directed at you at all) about the original response there Cecil..... Main point was that if techs are supposed to be held to the same level as old or new extras, then by all means an advanced class licensee should definitely know better, and be so devoted to keep up with the ham radio field along the way. :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D3EA746.A0FD6387@hotmail.com...
> KC8PMX wrote:
> > Not at all.... last I understood knowledge of band allocations,
priviledges
> > allowed, power allowed etc. is basic knowledge even required of us lowly
> > techs... I guess my question is, how did he get that far without the
basic
> > knowledge?
>
> One only has to have a passing grade on the exams. One isn't required to
> know all "basic knowledge". But perhaps he has been inactive and wonders
> if the rules have been changed in the meanwhile.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2341. Re: Miccolis on Mistakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 05:07:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D40D8E7.A211A930@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50152

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Ham Radio Magazine had a circulation over 50,000...and their actual
> numbers were included in the "Publisher's Sworn Statement" at least
> annually. I'm sorry, but your (again) misdirection into EXACT
> numbers in quantity (22 years) is getting ridiculous.

Since you maintain that HR is still being published perhaps you'd like
to tell us what the monthly circulation is these days.

> HR existed for 22 years as an independent. Lack of advertising
> revenue forced Skip Tenney to sell off the assets to CQ
> Communications.

So it is now defunct?

Dave K8MN

2342. Re: Miccolis on Mistakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 05:17:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D40DB31.EE2E2AB8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50153

Len Over 21 wrote:

> I do NOT believe the ARRL voting is honest.
> I do NOT believe the ARRL BoD is pushing the "will of the member-
> ship" in its meetings.
> I do NOT believe that Section Managers are "true representatives" that
> are "elected freely and honestly in open elections."
>
> Neither do I believe the ARRL is truly representing "all of amateur radio"
> as its propaganda has put out for so many years.

Then again, you don't have any experience as an ARRL member or as a ham so you really don't have much knowledge of the ARRL at all. You're starting to remind me again of former neighbor Mr. Rober. There he sat, typing away all day--every day--writing letters to express his outrage. He didn't really know what he was angry about or who he was angry with but he knew he was damned mad. He was a queer duck in our neighborhood. You are a queer duck in this neighborhood.

Ever wonder what they're hiding in that hangar in Roswell, Len?

Dave K8MN

2343. Re: Agreements and disagreements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 05:17:22 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahref442dm7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50167

>
> At least at that particular moment, yes. That would be a natural human
> reaction, and I'm only human. Now go ahead and tell me that you've never
> had a racist thought in your entire life.
>

Not doubting that one bit, I am sure that I had a few when I got "beat down"
once in Detroit while leaving a restaurant there, and being white. I do not
classify the whole race as same though. I beleive some of the comments were
something like "G.D. stupid MF'ers" and stuff like that.

.
>
> "May be?" Hell, I guarandamntee it! There are plenty of people who are
> superior to me, including as a ham -- and some of them are No-code Techs!
> However, they have one thing in common -- they don't whine about the
> Morse code tests, accept the fact that they are limited as hams because
they
> choose not to acquire code skills, and very generously contribute their
> professional technical skills to their local ham community as repeater
> technicians. They also don't go around accusing code-tested,
CW-proficient
> Extras of "ethnic cleansing" and of being "technically incompetent" just
> because they support code testing requirements or don't possess the same
> professionally-acquired technical skill levels. In other words, they are
> reasonable people who behave in a reasonable manner, and therefore, I
> am motivated to treat them with the respect they deserve, since they do
the
> same for me.

Some of us techies do act like that, as well as some Novices, Generals,
Advanced and Extra class licensees. Regardless of date of licensure. I
have the belief, and I state that by my LOCAL experiences with traveling
around Michigan, that the overwhelming majority of the operators up to
general class licensure are very professional, knowledgeable, and courteous.
There a few bad apples here and there, but that is bound to happen in any
environment. It is how we deal with these few bad apples that really
counts. It is also how we deal with the rest, as a brotherhood/sisterhood
to help better the hobby which is also important. If uncoded hams are such
a "bad thing" then logic would dictate that proficient hams would be better
served expending their energy trying to sell code in a positive light and
also possibly trying to help teach it too, instead of the energy expended
bitching and whining about uncoded hams. Until we learn to change this, we
will destroy ourselves from within.

>
> >Hell, there is a ton of people that are superior to me in amateur
> >radio, (which I will not argue) as well as in my field of employment;
> >firefighters and medically trained personell. They are called officers
and
> >paramedics. I am working on achieving those levels as well as future
> >upgrades in my amateur radio life.
>
> Fine -- in that case, it seems as though we understand each other.

Great.... I guess it is a matter of at least wanting to achieve more, even if it does take some time that is important, rather than that small group that has no aspiration to advance further.... (some prime examples in some of the trailer parks in my county!!!!! :)

>
> >(BTW... looked ya up on QRZ.com..... I thought you were a hell of alot
> >older that you appear in the picture..... :)
>
> That is very kind; however that photo is now about three years old.
Whenever
> I motivate myself to purchase a digital camera, I'll post an updated
photo.
> Just in case anyone's wondering, I'm 39 years old. I celebrate the 11th
> anniversary of my 39th birthday this coming October.
>

Don't look at my picture then.... it is about 4 years old also. A little less hair on the "dome" and about 20 extra pounds makes me look a little different. I am 32 but I am telling everyone I am 28.... next year I will tell them I am 27, and so on. Figured 30 is my mid-life crisis! LOL :)

Happy Hamming!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2344. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 05:19:57 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ahref652dm7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50164

Still not sold on the idea of digital cams yet.... Mostly because of the media storage issues. If Sony would make that damned Mavica series with a Iomega Zip disk drive instead of floppies... I would buy one ASAP.

Ryan

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message news:3C8626A0.B179F185@att.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > Whenever I get a digital camera...
>
> Czech out your local Staples office supply store. I just glommed an HP 715
> 3.3megapixel job that not long ago sold for over $700 for three bills. They won't
> last long.
>

2345. Re: Hey Stewart Teaze...Ya payin' Attention, MURSMan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 05:29:46 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk1nh6sn6tck28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50154

Stewart, he won't improve his communications range anymore than a 27 MHz cb station will improve his range - with a low power station. With two stations running perhaps 200 watts, you will find a pretty consistant range of perhaps 70 miles. This is not fancy, this will be found fact. No one has accused you of subverting MURS. Rather, I believe you are going to find out something you don't really want to believe. You talk about totally illegal. Check around 27 MHz. There are killowatt stations and more. They run out of band. They run from perhaps 3 MHz to 40 MHz. That too, is illegal. Do you think they will avoid MURS because it is illegal? You have my e-mail address. I've nothing to hide. Come tell me that I am wrong 3 years from now. Seriously, I hope you can do that and I can admit that I was wrong. I seriously doubt it. If the government is spending $1,000,000 per year on false distress calls in the Los Angeles area alone, what would make you feel the MURS is going to be a happy camping ground for you? What is it that you don't understand? $1,000,000.00 per year on false distress signals? Check the FCC website. What makes you believe that MURS will be immune? Get a grip! Those amps are not being specifically marketed for MURS, they are being marketed for anyone who wants them. That will, unfortunately, include MURS. As I said, I hope that 3 to 5 years from now you will be able to quote me and tell me that I was wrong. Heck, there have only been a couple of cases of people caught and convicted of using an amp on CB! Can I ask a personal question? Is your IQ well below 100? (that may improperly be taken as a flame, but you have to look at the evidence - please don't put your blinders on)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0207251654.ffe6cb3@posting.google.com...
> This KLV company is making amps for every frequency band
> under the Sun. Also, there is absolutely ZERO PROOF that the
> particular amp in question is being "marketed" specifically for
> MURS... fact is there are HUNDREDS and HUNDREDS of frequencies
> between 150-160Mhz, including Maritime; and on many of those
> frequencies(depending on the particular license, etc.) the amp would
> be PERFECTLY LEGAL - so why in the hell would you focus on the five
> MURS frequencies where it is totally illegal?
>
> What pisses me off is that you go around mentioning crap like this,
> and some stupid fool who doesn't know any better would actually
> waste his money on one - not knowing that it won't do a damn thing
> to improve his communication range(the attenuation will actually
> hurt it!), and that he will just be jamming a whole bunch of
> stations he can't hear.

>
> You are going to have to come up with something better than this. How
> about an actual case of someone USING an external amp on MURS.
>
> - Stewart
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020724043056.17857.00000362@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> > Subject: Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse
> > From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> > Date: 07/24/02 02:26 Eastern Daylight Time
> > Message-id: <22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com>
> >
> > from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
> >
> > "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> > we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
> >
> > Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> > CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> > channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> > figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> > weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> > mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> > site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> > amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> >
> > -Aaron-

2346. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 05:41:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50155

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to learn
> > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary and
> > > unnecessary
> > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary and
> > > unnecessary.
> > > > >
> > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for success.
> > > >
> > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> > >
> > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional frequencies.
> > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> >
> Why not?

That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass, you aren't
rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did pass, you
do receive additional spectrum or privileges.

> > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you considered to
> > be
> > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can be as
> > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in other
> > things.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > >
> > > > There you have it.

> > >
> > > How is that wrong?
> >
> > How is that a description of DXing?
>
> Should I chant or face the East or something?

If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask me
questions.

> > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries
> > > authorizations
> > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just supposed to
> tune
> > > from
> > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the ones I
> > > need.
> > > >
> > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> > >
> > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160 meter
> > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> >
> > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted to look up 80
> > and 160 allocations.
>
> Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget them when > someone out of band
tries to caontact me?

I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up allocations in
order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those you don't
wish to contact.

> > > > > > > Oh, and try to be accurate.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On how many
> > > bands
> > > > > > were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand out? How
> > > > > > prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy in
> handling
> > > > > > both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his name,
> correct
> > > > > > spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like? That'll take
> care
> > > of
> > > > > > one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told us that
> you
> > > > > > enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider that to
> be
> > > > > > DXing?
> > > > >

> > > > ??????????????
> > >
> > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is 100
> countries,
> > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
> >
> > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100 countries worked and
> > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have any idea how
> > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only one band and
> > and one mode, those limitations were things you could have done
> > something about.
>
> Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX. But you > appear to have a
problem with that.

I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't do that
"instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to DX spots to
operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in the U.S.?

> Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> until I'm an Extra, does it?

Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its territories and
possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S. possession.

> > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I didn't work
> 10,000
> > > of them?
> >
> > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept of DXing.
>
> Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.

...not if I go by what you've stated here.

> > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > >
> > > > How?
> > >
> > > One at a time.
> >
> > How?
>
> How do you do it?

You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't you know?

> > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > >
> > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing, you can't
> use
> > > > for operating.
> > >

> > > But I consider it my job.
> >
> > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why waste time in
> > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
>
> So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.

Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think rare
stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!

> > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did enjoy
> ragchewing
> > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > >
> > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > >
> > > What is it?
> >
> > It is ragchewing.
>
> Tell that to Diego Garcia.

What's his call?

> > > > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted to
> > > > > know what it was like over there.
> > > >
> > > > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line" technique. Shake
> > > > their hand with your right while moving them along the line with your
> > > > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
> > >
> > > I see that hamming means a lot to you.
> >
> > Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a pileup does
> > not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to Alistair spell his
> > name phonetically and waiting while he describes his station and
> > antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his microphone
> > does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for me to provide
> > my name and location along with QSLing information every ten or fifteen
> > QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's your QSL info?"
> > two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic receptions which
> > did not mean a lot to me.
>
> Whatever is easier for you. As in QSLing.

That's exactly right! Whatever makes it easier for me to put large
numbers of folks into the log so that no one has to go away empty
handed.

> > > > > > I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is quite
> different
> > > > > > from DXing from the U.S.

> > > > >
> > > > > Sure. The DX station runs the pile-up, the US station tries to work
> it.
> > > > > Contests are an excellent source of finding DX on either side of the
> > > pileup.
> > > > > The US DXer hunts and pounces the DX during a contest.
> > > >
> > > > Not necessarily. Lots of U.S. stations are able to generate a pileup
> in
> > > > a DX contest. I've had JA runs and EU runs last for hours. You can't
> > > > run up a high score on this side of the pond if all you do is search
> and
> > > > pounce.
> > >
> > > Hey Dave, are you contesting or DXing? Make up your mind.
> >
> > I'm commenting on incorrect statements made by you. I do both. You
> > make up your mind whether you want to talk DXing or contesting.
>
> So how many times did you need to confirm JA-land? DXers use contests > to work some new
ones. They're not necessarily in the contest to > score big.

Pretty one-sided, Brian. How many JA's need to work Tanzania in or out
of a contest? Many DXers are also contesters.

> > > > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious contester. A
> US >
> > > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> > > >
> > > > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.
> > >
> > > For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands. Guam was
> one of
> > > those islands.
> >
> > Marvy.
>
> You'll get over it.

I'm already over it.

> > > > > What differences have you noted in goals and
> > > > > > technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do you have
> > > > > > confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of your
> > > strategy
> > > > > > for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S. How are
> you
> > > > > > doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m? 160m?
> > > satellite?
> > > > > >
> > > > > 10M SSB only when I was active. I'm inactive on HF at present.

> > > >
> > > > Worked/confirmed totals? DXer's aren't inactive unless there is
> nothing
> > > > on which they need.
> > >
> > > Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the mainland.
> >
> > DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.
>
> Then why do some of them set up big stations away from their QTH?

I'd guess because they already own a place which has some zoning
limitations but not necessarily CC&Rs. Maybe they want to put up three
really tall towers but own a home where they can get a permit for only
one modest tower. I don't know many DXers who have "big stations away
from their QTH".

> > > > > For the pileup, try to listen to where he is actually picking them
> >
> > > when he says "up 10," then call at QRZ or tailend the current Op.
> > > > >
> > > > > Or you can use your reverse button to follow the action without
> calling
> > > > > at all for a while to see if you can pick up a pattern. Some ops
> listen
> > > > > over a window of frequencies and tune from bottom to top, a little at
> a
> > > > > time. Others work top to bottom. Some jump around randomly within a
> > > > > window looking for a clear spot with few callers. Some announce "up
> ten"
> > > > > when they are listening up five.
> > >
> > > Common.
> >
> > If you knew it to be common, why didn't you write it?
>
> It's kind of basic, Dave. Why do you patronize me?

Because you claim to be a DXer and didn't make note of these basics.
>
> > > > The guys on the distant end don't
> > > > always operate split.
> > >
> > > I only went split when it started to turn into a hornets nest. I tuned
> > > around for a station that was easiest to hear. Calling #'d areas also
> > > helped calm it down. Then there were the W5xx/7 that would call in 5's
> and
> > > 7's.
> >
> > There are and will always be those types. There are also those who
> > attempt to work you on the same band night after night, week after week.

> > One can develop selective hearing to deal with them.
>
> Unless they're real loud and you work them so you can hear the others.

Maybe they make the log; maybe they don't.

> > > > You might need to concentrate on timing,
> > > > espcecially if you don't have an amp or a gain antenna at height.
> > > > Sometimes you have to realize that you aren't going to work the
> station
> > > > at all because propagation isn't favoring your area.
> > > >
> > > Yep, there are some that never make it in the log. Bad timing, no >
> amp, cubical quad on 10M.
> >
> > Could be good timing and no propagation or good timing but you're
> > covered by those WITH an amp. There'll be other days.
>
> I was never able to work India. Heard them plenty, but extremely weak.
>
> > > > > > When operating 10M SSB, what specialty is there other than QRP?
> > > > >
> > > > > If you limit yourself to SSB, there aren't many options. If you limit
> > > > > yourself to 10m, there aren't many options. Those limits would
> certainly
> > > > > get in the way of a DXer.
> > > >
> > > > Why? I enjoyed it.
> > >
> > ...because those things place limitations on your DXing.

>
> So did the Wing Commander. My Quad had to come down.

You have a Wing Commander now?

Dave K8MN

2347. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 06:07:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726020736.04625.00000869@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 50156

>Subject: Re: I Want To See It, Lennie
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 07/25/02 06:51 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020725065131.11178.00000460@mb-ch.aol.com>

>Aw, c'mon, Steve -- I'm sure Lennie is capable of putting up at least
>ONCE.

And he did! CALL 9-1-1 ! ! !

My dopey lil e machines puter at work won't unzip it, but I will see it at
home!

A Big Well Done to Len of 21...for once!

Steve

2348. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 06:13:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726021318.04625.00000870@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 50157

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 07/26/02 00:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020726000641.05192.00000173@mb-cb.aol.com>

>PE? That usually means Professional Engineer, which is a license granted by
>the
>state. I don;t think Lenover21 is a PE.
>

Sorry, Jim...Was abbreviating "practical experience".....My bust!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2349. Re: Jon Bloom assuming a Torquemada Role

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 06:27:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726022729.04625.00000871@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 50158

>Subject: Jon Bloom assuming a Torquemada Role
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/25/02 18:13 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020725181341.06693.00000398@mb-mm.aol.com>

>>> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.
>>
>>But without evidence.
>
>Where is the evidence that CR *IS* honest...other than they telling everyone
>how honest they are?

In as much as they are wide open to public scrutiny and there is NO
evidence of any misdeeds or wrongdoing, one can safely state they are telling
the truth.

I agree with others that technical erros can occur, but a technical error
is NOT a lie or falsehood. Poor practice, perhaps, but not lying.

>There is NO evidence that the ARRL is honest...except in ARRL
>statements that they are honest.

In the absence of evidence of dishonesty, they must be honest.

>Will the ARRL admit to being dishonest? I don't think so. That would
>not be good for their membership growth or money requests.

You are invited to submit your evidence of wrongdoing, Lennie.

>The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.

Which is required to be without falsehood lest they be made subject to
federal offense for misuse of the mail.

>Where is the un-biased evidence that the ARRL is always truthful?

Where is the evidnce they are not? Eighty+ years is certainly enough time
for SOMEone to ahve written a Truman Capote-style expose on thier life of crime
and misconduct in the ARRL.

>Is Jon Bloom unbiased in his opinion? Can anyone suspect that Jon
>Boom, a fairly-high-paid employee of the ARRL, might be biased?
>Of course anyone can.

I've never met Jon, but I'd take a good bet on HIS honesty and impartiality
before I would yours...ANY day.

>Opinions are only opinions.

Unless they are fanatically touted as "fact" to the point of being almost cult-like in thier efforts...Kinda like your several years long antagonizing of RRAP.

>There is NO presented evidence that the ARRL is honest just as there
>is NO presented evidence that the ARRL is dishonest.

A lack of evidence of dishonesty, in the United States, IS evidence of honesty. The Constitution says so.

>Present your bona fide claims of ARRL honesty from disinterested
>third-party source references and they will be considered fairly.

The lack of indictment of dishonesty or fraud is, in the United States, evidence of honesty.

>Where is your proof from disinterested third-party source references
>that the ARRL is honest in all its claims?

Where is YOURS that it is dishonest?

SR

2350. Re: Hey Stewart Teaze...Ya payin' Attention, MURSMan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 06:39:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726023940.04625.00000872@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 50159

>Subject: Re: Hey Stewart Teaze...Ya payin' Attention, MURSMan?
>From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart)
>Date: 07/25/02 20:54 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <5f4fe4c2.0207251654.ffe6cb3@posting.google.com>

>This KLV company is making amps for every frequency band
>under the Sun. Also, there is absolutely ZERO PROOF that the
>particular amp in question is being "marketed" specifically for
>MURS... fact is there are HUNDREDS and HUNDREDS of frequencies
>between 150-160Mhz, including Maritime; and on many of those
>frequencies(depending on the particular license, etc.) the amp would
>be PERFECTLY LEGAL - so why in the hell would you focus on the five
>MURS frequencies where it is totally illegal?

Stewart..Are you REALLY this stupid, or is it a summer thing?

A "CB" radio shop, selling NO bonafide Amateur Radio transceivers or
Marine band gear but DOES sell "CB" and "Export" radios is now selling linear
amplifiers for...WHO?

>What pisses me off is that you go around mentioning crap like this,
>and some stupid fool who doesn't know any better would actually
>waste his money on one - not knowing that it won't do a damn thing
>to improve his communication range(the attenuation will actually
>hurt it!), and that he will just be jamming a whole bunch of
>stations he can't hear.

And what ticks ME off is that you insist that it's not happening.

And what FURTHER ticks me off is that you are still unable to comprehend
even elementary principles of VHF practice.

>You are going to have to come up with something better than this. How
>about an actual case of someone USING an external amp on MURS.

How about I can hear them from my house.

Stick your head in your self-proclaimed noble sand, Stew, but the FACTS
are aftermarket linear amplifiers for VHF (read that MURS) radios are being
sold to truckers for use on MURS (and other) frequencies where they do NOT ahv
licensure to use these devices.

The proof is right there in the original post Aaron Voobner made.

Ignore it if you choose, but I serious doubt that someone created that
webpage just to get you twisted, Stew.

Wake up, MURSman...Your cloak of nobility just turned out to be made by the same tailor who made the King's new clothes!

Steve

2351. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 06:44:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726024412.04660.00000910@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 50160

>Subject: Re: I Want To See It, Lennie
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 07/26/02 02:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020726020736.04625.00000869@mb-mk.aol.com>
>

>A Big Well Done to Len of 21...for once!

well...it didn't last:

Final-Recipient: RFC822; lenof21@aol.com
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: DNS; air-xh02.mail.aol.com
Diagnostic-Code: SMTP; 550 lenof21 IS NOT ACCEPTING MAIL FROM THIS SENDER
Last-Attempt-Date: Fri, 26 Jul 2002 02:08:37 -0400 (EDT)

This is how Lennie discusses things in private e mail.

He DOESN'T.

Shudda known.

Steve

2352. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 06:58:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726025833.29921.00000502@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50161

>Subject: Re: I Want To See It, Lennie
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 07/26/02 02:07 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020726020736.04625.00000869@mb-mk.aol.com>

>My dopey lil e machines puter at work won't unzip it, but I will see it at
>home!

Wheeeelp....I REALLY sudda known that a scumbag like Anderson could not
live up to his own "promises"

Suffice it to say that when I got the file opened at home, it was anything
but what he promised.

He had sent a second "attachment", but I think we'll jus tlet that one go.
It would be just like the Putzy One to send a contaminated file.

Cute, Lennie, but just more documentary evidence of what a real loser you
are. And now with evidence direct from you to me...Thanks

Steve

2353. Len's specious claims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 08:54:01 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.26.08.54.01.671688.22096@arrl.org>
Source record: 50162

On Thu, 25 Jul 2002 18:13:41 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <pan.2002.07.25.12.27.51.951363.7106@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>On Wed, 24 Jul 2002 23:22:18 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>
>>> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.
>>
>>But without evidence.
>
> Where is the evidence that CR *IS* honest...other than they telling
> everyone how honest they are?

Do you read Consumer Reports? If you do and have any experience with any of the products they review, you can easily verify claims they've made about those products. Those verifications should convince you that there is honest reporting in CR. Does that "prove" that it's ALL honest? No, but to have a REASONABLE suspicion of dishonesty you need to counterbalance the verifiable truths they print with at least one case where they can be shown to have knowingly printed an untruth. Since you don't know of any such case, your suspicion of CU is unreasonable. The same goes for QST and ARRL.

>>> However,
>>> CR seldom reviews amateur radio equipment, so I fail to see where this
>>> is going except in another dead-end misdirection.
>>
>>Yes, I suspected it was an attempt at misdirection when you brought it
>>up.
>
> But without evidence.

No, the evidence is legion. You have a rich posting history of trying to change the subject when you're losing the debate. Sometimes, like now, you just try to change the subject, but often you diverge from the issue into personal attacks designed to distract your debating opponent from the real issues. (Example: Calling Jim, N2EY, "Jimmie boy.")

>>> I've been IN radio for almost a half century and have NEVER had to use
>>> morse code in any radio service. Why should I be biased FOR morse
>>> code?
>>
>>Why should you be biased at all?
>
> Zero-bias doesn't work well in certain amplifiers. You should know

> that.

Just ducking uncomfortable questions seems to be another one of your
principal debating techniques.

>>> I do NOT believe the ARRL voting is honest.
>>
>>But without evidence.
>
> There is NO evidence that the ARRL is honest...except in ARRL statements
> that they are honest.

Sure there is. There are plenty of ways you can verify ARRL's honesty.
ARRL promises services to members and delivers on those promises. That's
honesty. (Which is not to say we achieve perfection in our operations, but
that's a totally different topic from honesty.) ARRL sells products to
members and nonmembers alike. There, too, promises are kept. Honesty. ARRL
says it's working on Amateur Radio matters in Washington, Geneva and
elswehere. There's plenty of public record available with which to verify
that ARRL is in fact doing that, as it says.

The problem, of course, is that you dislike some of ARRL's policies but
are unable to mount effective arguments against them. So, you mutter vague
intimations of dishonesty while lacking any evidence to support those
claims.

> That would be good for sworn testimony in court but it is NOT prima
> facie evidence that the ARRL is honest.
>
> Will the ARRL admit to being dishonest? I don't think so. That would
> not be good for their membership growth or money requests.
>
>>> I do NOT believe the ARRL BoD is pushing the "will of the member-
>>> ship" in its meetings.
>>
>>But without evidence.
>
> The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.

Ah, so there is no independent evidence of what the "will of the
membership" is yet you believe that it isn't being followed. On what
grounds?

>>> I do NOT believe that Section Managers are "true representatives" that
>>> are "elected freely and honestly in open elections."
>>
>>But without evidence.
>
> The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.

What other evidence could there be? This is one of those "have you stopped
beating your wife yet" deals.

>>> Neither do I believe the ARRL is truly representing "all of amateur
>>> radio" as its propaganda has put out for so many years. I believe it
>>> only represents a core group of the BoD and higher staff that want to
>>> control policy in regard to their wishes.
>>
>>But without evidence.
>
> The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.

Nope. Go to a large hamfest and attend the ARRL forum, or just hang around the ARRL table. You'll hear plenty of exchanges between the ARRL leadership and the ham-in-the-street. If the ARRL's actions were counter to the interests of those people, the exchanges would be largely negative in tone. But they aren't. The evidence is there, and people who actually participate in ham radio are well aware of it. What you fail to understand is that the interests of the BoD and staff aren't different from those of the members or of hams in general.

>>> Those are MY beliefs in regard to the ARRL. Call a belief a sort of
>>> "opinion."
>>
>>When an opinion is held without supporting evidence it is merely a
>>prejudice.
>
> I disagree. I'd call it suspicion.

When suspicion is based on no evidence it's paranoid..

> Where is the un-biased evidence that the ARRL is always truthful?

Answered above. Once a baseline of truthfulness is established, you have to have EVIDENCE of untruthfulness to make a substantive claim of dishonesty.

> The ARRL is a private organization. Their "elections" are not the same
> as a government's elections. The ARRL has complete and total control
> over what it says it does. How is that "evidence" that it is truly
> honest?
>
> Is Jon Bloom unbiased in his opinion? Can anyone suspect that Jon Boom,
> a fairly-high-paid employee of the ARRL, might be biased? Of course
> anyone can.

I readily admit I'm biased. But I'm not dishonest. And my biases only influence my judgement, they don't control it.

> Does suspicion of Jon Bloom's bias make a person "prejudiced?"
>
>>> You just can't call my beliefs "mistaken" until you prove you are God.
>>
>>He can, but there's not much to be gained by it. By the above you've
>>made it clear that your anti-ARRL viewpoint is a matter of faith rather
>>than intellect, and arguing about religion is notoriously fruitless.
>

> The argument was over opinion, not religion.

In your case there's not much difference since you form your opinions
without evidence.

> Miccolis wished to paint
> opinions opposite to his as "mistakes." Opinions are only opinions.

But not all opinions are equal. Opinions which are based on a reasonably
objective evaluation of the available facts, aided by public discussion of
those facts and evaluations, are substantive. Opinions, such as yours,
which are based on unreasoned emotion are quasi-religious and add nothing
useful to the public debate.

> Suspicion is prompted by intellect...by comparison of actions over the
> years and the resulting impact on a group, how the group now reacts as
> opposed to a time before. It is obvious that many within a group have
> expressed great faith and belief in the organization in what it has said
> and will not countenance any disbelief. THAT is prejudice and THAT is
> pseudo-religious attitudes personified without any intellect.

Nonsense. As I've pointed out, there are plenty of ways in which ARRL's
bona fides can be and are verified daily and for the past 80+ years. That
evidence of ARRL's delivery of value to hams and ham radio is what keeps
those many hams affiliated with the League, not some mystical "belief
system."

> There is no intellect operative in regards to belief systems.

My point exactly. Since you can supply no concrete evidence upon which to
base your suspicions, there's no intellectual component to your beliefs.

> There CAN be intellect at work in observation. That does not require
> bias. Rather, it requires zero bias, an open mind, a suspension of
> belief. In observation of the ARRL, the only evidence as to their
> honesty in their claims is their own statements swearing that they are
> honest in those claims.

Asked and answered. The evidence is readily available. Pick up a copy of
QST. See if you can find statements made therein that are demonstrably
true. You can. See if you can find statements that are demonstrably and
knowingly false. You can't. QED.

> There is NO presented evidence that the ARRL is honest

Untrue.

> just as there is
> NO presented evidence that the ARRL is dishonest.

True.

> Neither is this venue
> a court of law where the penalty for false statements is perjury and
> possible criminal trial as a result of perjury.

>
> What is at work here is suspicion that leads to certain opinions that
> the ARRL is not honest.

A suspicion for which there are no grounds.

> Is Jon Bloom free of prejudice? Is he "zero biased" in opinions? Or
> can the readers suspect that a paid employee of the ARRL might just be
> biased for his employer?

I'm biased. I'm biased by the overwhelming weight of evidence of ARRL's honesty. I encountered that evidence long before I was an employee of ARRL; I still encounter it. Because of that weight of evidence, I harbor no suspicion of any dishonesty at ARRL. That doesn't mean I'm incapable of acknowledging it if any evidence of dishonesty appears. But there isn't any.

> Readers are treated to accusations of "prejudice" and some impropriety
> at challenging opinions and statements of ARRL employees.

You can "challenge" ARRL's policy decisions all you want, but when you impugn a person's or organization's honesty, you are ethically obligated to back it up with evidence.

> Such
> near-outrage is itself prejudiced and seemingly grounded in some
> fundamental pseudo-religious belief system in the "honesty" of an
> organization that exercises total and complete control over what it says
> it does.

I would certainly hope it does. Are you suggesting, Len, that you do not have total control over what YOU say and do? That would explain much. :-)

>>It's only unprovable in the sense that you will refuse to accept any
>>proof that runs counter to your prejudice against ARRL.
>
> Contrary to your accusation, I have no prejudice against the ARRL. I
> simply do not believe in all their claims.

Calling someone dishonest without evidence IS prejudice.

> Present your bona fide claims of ARRL honesty from disinterested
> third-party source references and they will be considered fairly.

No, I don't think they will. You've demonstrated no open-mindedness on this topic.

> Note: Continuing statements of the ARRL, its employees, or ARRL members
> are NOT disinterested third-party sources. Those are, rightly, to be
> considered as prejudicial.

But not dishonest, lacking evidence of dishonesty.

>>That's understandable
>>since an opinion that is based purely on faith rather than reason is not

>>susceptible to proof or disproof.
>
> Opinions can be based on suspicion alone. That does not require any
> "faith." That does not require "prejudice."

But it does require evidence if the opinions are to have any merit.
Lacking any evidence, yours don't.

> Where is your proof from disinterested third-party source references
> that the ARRL is honest in all its claims?

I see, you demand proof of honesty for every single statement that ARRL
has ever made while being unable to identify even one case where ARRL was
dishonest. By that standard, you must suspect every single person and
organization of dishonesty, since noboby's entire body of actions and
statements can be PROVEN to be honest.

As for disinterested third parties, do you suppose these organizations
consider ARRL to be dishonest?
http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/mou/

The bottom line is that there is are many ways in which what ARRL does can
be verifiable -- and is verified. Meanwhile, you can't adduce a single
case of dishonesty. Your "beliefs" are based on nothing more than your own
fevered imagination.

Jon, KE3Z

2354. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 12:25:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D413FCB.5049@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 50168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
> >Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
> >their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
> >being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
> >the borough.
>
> They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
> assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.

I wondered about that myself. But nevertheless it happened. I think that
this particular frequency (155.115) is in a "shared" area of the band.

>
> >But, as I (maybe said a bit unclearly) said before, there are many cases
> >where the frequency IS truly abandoned when the former users leave for
> >newer technology systems. Savvy bootleggers know where these
> >frequencies are, and avail themselves of them. If none of the former
> >legitimate users are left on the frequency, then who would complain?
>
> Many fire departments and law-enforcement agencies use what others
> consider to be 'abandoned' frequencies for undercover work and/or
> training operations (and probably other purposes). These freqs may
> still be licensed, and although they might not be currently being
> used, can still be used for emergency purposes or as backup comm
> systems. Bootlegging on PSP freqs is still a very bad idea. I'm sure
> that if any PSP licensee knew that their freq, used or not, was being
> bootlegged they would monitor and prosecute the violator as quickly as
> possible.

True also. My township still holds a license to use one of the old
"abandoned" low band police frequencies. I never hear any activity on
it, but the police cars still have the capability to switch there, so I
imagine they do from time to time, when they want to talk to each other
without being monitored by county dispatch.

>
> >>
> >> > The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold

> >> >dirt cheap.
> >>
> >> True story. I have picked up lots of VHF equipment at thrift stores
> >> and garage sales.
> >>
> >> > Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
> >> >bands.
> >>
> >> 6 meters being the most common. 2 meter radios are too cheap to bother
> >> with the conversion from any commercial radio.
> >
> >Au contrare. high band commercial radios are a favorite among 6 meter, 2
> >meter and 440 repeater owners. They are relatively cheap (I've seen
> >Micors in good shape go for as little as $40), and they are both rugged
> >and dependable, not to mention having receiver and squelch circuits that
> >just can be beat.
>
> You missed the point: the 2 meter equivalents are just as cheap. It's
> not worth the time and expense to modify a 2 meter rig for commercial
> freqs when you can pick up commercial rigs for $40 or less. Once I
> found a base and two mobiles at a flea market for $5. Scary.

I misunderstood you. You're talking about modifying ham gear to bootleg
on commercial freqs. I was talking about hams modding commercial gear to
use on the ham bands, since they are usually more dependable and rugged.

>
> >I am looking for a VHF Micor receiver that I want to convert to 220 Mhz.
> >I have the information on what needs to be changed to bring it up to
> >full sensitivity on this band. This would be the E-ticket for my 220
> >repeater. One of these days.....
>
> Go for it

It's all a matter of finding the time.

>
> >> > Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
> >> >Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
> >> >"other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
> >> >Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
> >> >much less cares.
> >>
> >> Oh yes, they do care. Commercial licensees are very anal when it comes
> >> to someone interfering on their freq. They may use it often, or they
> >> may use it once a month, but if someone is bootlegging on their freq
> >> they -will- complain, and the FCC -will- respond. Money talks, and the
> >> freeloaders pay the price.
> >
> >No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would
> >be around to notice or care?

>
> People that monitor their police scanners.

Why would people monitor a supposed "dead" frequency?

>
> If the bootleggers are allowed a foothold in the Safety Pool then you
> might as well write off all the other emergency bands as well.

I have caught wind of some people doing things like that. I don't know
if they take the trouble to get their cheaply purchased commercial rigs,
reprogrammed for different freqs, or they just bootleg on the one(s)
that's already there. Frankly, I don't want to know. I don't want any
part of people playing in the public service bands.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2355. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 17:03:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0207260903.a155d5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50169

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020726025833.29921.00000502@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: I Want To See It, Lennie
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 07/26/02 02:07 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020726020736.04625.00000869@mb-mk.aol.com>
>
> >My dopey lil e machines puter at work won't unzip it, but I will see it at
> >home!
>
> Wheeeelp....I REALLY sudda known that a {expletive deleted] like Anderson could not
> live up to his own "promises"

Steve,

No need for the pejoratives. Perhaps Mr. Anderson is having trouble
with his computer.

Last night I received an unsolicited email with attachment from
"Lenof21", which is one of Mr. Anderson's many screen names seen in
rrap. The attachment file was 1.8 meg, zipped, and there was no
explanation of what it contained. An email requesting further
information has not been answered yet. I do not unzip or open email
attachments unless I know what they are and who they are from.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2356. Re: Hey Stewart Teaze...Ya payin' Attention, MURSMan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 17:42:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk32eeab5o78f8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50170

Steve, I'm going to simply drop the subject. Facts are being taken as flames. Certainly MURS is not currently a vast wasteland; given time, I'm afraid it will become so. The fact is that the FCC has checked a bit and has come to the realization that most business band radios are not being licensed. So I have to assume that the 27 MHz problem is now moving into marine and business band segments of the spectrum. With the FCC stating that over 1 million dollars a year is being spent in the Los Angeles area alone JUST FOR FALSE DISTRESS SIGNALS, I am of the opinion that there are a lot of folks who need a new hobby. Like making license plates. Are the MURS users aware that at 144 MHz, the Hawaii to California path has been made many times? Do they understand tropo? Have they a clue as to scatter (the mode that will give a few hundred watts coverage out 70 miles or so even on a 35 foot tower)? Certainly, one guy with an amp still won't have anyone to talk to more than perhaps 20 miles away; however, with that website selling amps, I doubt he'll have long to wait.

I'd like the FCC to mandate that all new transmitter and transceivers be equipped with a CPU with a rom burned into it that continuously sends the unit's serial number out at perhaps a 10% level. Anything caught where it shouldn't be or with a high level sends the FCC to the manufacturer. Check the sales receipt. Go to the retailer. Check the sales receipt. Go to the individual. Did he sell it? If so, check the receipt. The 1st person with no receipt of to whom he sold it is on the hook for a NAL. Who cares if you gave it away? Produce a receipt or you are stuck. Current equipment would be exempted for a period of 10 or 20 years; after that, no id - NAL. I would suspect after the first hundred or so of NALs, things would quiet down. MURS users would have MURS back, business users would have their channels back. Amateur bands would no longer have intruders. 27 MHz might actually become useful again. File a bankruptcy? Ah, new law. You can't go chapter 7; You'd have to pay at least part of that NAL.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2357. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 17:46:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk32meo8dfa89a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are exactly correct. They use GMRS and don't want the interference. A few miles away it is no problem, of course; but on company property it could pose a problem.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3D3FE9F2.13FD@worldlynx.net...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> > Jerry, don't worry. They'll be interfering with business services and my
> > guess is that a number of those businesses will have DEEP pockets and will
> > go after people themselves. BTW, I have learned we can't use FRS on company
> > property. Any guess as to why?
>
> Because your company has a GMRS station and expects FRS units would
> interfere?
>
>
> That's my guess. Or is your company just a bunch of stick in the muds?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

2358. Unused frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 18:04:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk33om3l9lkjb9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50172

I've read a lot of posts concerning freebanding, MURS, frs, cb, and amateur radio. I've a question for all. Suppose a bunch of us are renting in an apartment complex. I didn't pay my phone bill and my telephone is shut off. I want to make a call, so I go to the phone box in the hall and jumper into a neighbor's telephone. I make my call. Hmmm ... why pay the phone bill? I just make sure the frequency is not being used ... oops, I mean you aren't using your telephone and I make my calls. Hmm .. this gets interesting. Now I call the telephone company pretending I'm you and pay for (well, actually, YOU are going to get the bill) a distinctive ring for the 'kids' (yeah, you're a grandparent and don't have kids, but follow the reasoning) along with a second number. It is cheap, but anyone dialing the kids' phone (me, actually) will ring your phone with a distinctive ring. Now, someone calling me will ring your (and my) telephone with a distinctive number and I can pick up! This sure is neat and only costs you a couple of bucks every month. Well, sure enough, you are home one day and a funny ring comes over your phone. You pick up and find me talking with someone else - on the line YOU are paying for. Hey, buddy, this telephone wasn't being used! You've gotta share it! Hey, what is going on here? Now, I contact my other buddies in the apartment complex. We all stop paying our bills (why should we take a test or pay for a license? Ooops, I meant to say "why should we pay for a telephone?). There is one in the building that is hardly ever used (well, maybe only 15 minutes out of 24 hours). Soon, there are 10 people sharing your line and all kinds of funny funky rings going over the telephone. You are starting to get mad. Hey, you weren't using the frequ ... I mean you weren't using the telephone, share the dumb thing! I mean, heck, you can likely get your line several times during the day and if you wait until 2:00 AM until 5:00 AM you will have your telephone all to yourself!

Now I am just curious if someone would enjoy that. If you would, I can save you a lot of trouble moving to an appartment. I'll just send you my telephone bill and you can pay it. BTW, I'd enjoy it if you also paid for my DSL.

Thanks and 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2359. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 19:15:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d419fa0.2188266@news.cet.com>
Source record: 50173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <3D413FCB.5049@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>>
>> In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
>> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
>> >Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
>> >their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
>> >being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
>> >the borough.
>>
>> They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
>> assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.
>
>I wondered about that myself. But nevertheless it happened. I think that
>this particular frequency (155.115) is in a "shared" area of the band.

155.115 MHz is in the PSP and designated "PX" according to the
following list:

PF--Fire Coordinator
PH--Highway Maintenance Coordinator
PM--Emergency Medical Coordinator
PO--Forestry-Conservation Coordinator
PP--Police Coordinator
PS--Special Emergency Coordinator
PX--Any Public Safety Coordinator, except the Special Emergency
Coordinator

So if the freq was used for police it can be changed to fire, highway,
forestry, etc.

<snip>
>> >No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would
>> >be around to notice or care?
>>
>> People that monitor their police scanners.
>
>Why would people monitor a supposed "dead" frequency?

To see if it's really dead. Some of these scanner 'enthusiasts' aren't satisfied with the FCC's listings so they constantly scan for 'secret' freqs. Sometimes they get lucky and sometimes they get freeloaders.

>> If the bootleggers are allowed a foothold in the Safety Pool then you
>> might as well write off all the other emergency bands as well.
>
>I have caught wind of some people doing things like that. I don't know
>if they take the trouble to get their cheaply purchased commercial rigs,
>reprogrammed for different freqs, or they just bootleg on the one(s)
>that's already there. Frankly, I don't want to know. I don't want any
>part of people playing in the public service bands.

It's too easy to reprogram many of the older rigs. I think I had an old Regency that was already modified with the programming switch wired to the front panel, all you had to do was enter your ten freqs in the keypad. It even scanned the programmed channels. Come to think of it I still have that radio, but it's in pieces right now. Anyway, all that was required was to solder one wire to an existing switch. That's all. (And before anyone emails me an offer, it only covered 138 to 151 MHz, no police bands.)

2360. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 20:12:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726161227.12781.00000265@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 50174

In article <9f4bfe89.0207260903.a155d5d@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>No need for the pejoratives. Perhaps Mr. Anderson is having trouble >with his computer.

Quite so, as all readers have discovered in the last week.

That should be rectified with file "Attach L.ZIP" which you received. The same will be sent to the other two requestors.

>Last night I received an unsolicited email with attachment from >"Lenof21", which is one of Mr. Anderson's many screen names seen in >rrap. The attachment file was 1.8 meg, zipped, and there was no >explanation of what it contained. An email requesting further >information has not been answered yet. I do not unzip or open email >attachments unless I know what they are and who they are from.

The file should be over 2 MB since it contains only two images, scans of the front and back of my First Phone first license. It does not contain any known viruses. The scan is at 300 DPI to preserve as much detail as possible, knowing the high probability of unkind remarks...:-)

Another ZIP, done at 150 DPI each image, is smaller at about 635 KB total size, still fairly readable. That is available on request.

The original "Attach" file seems to be a JPG of a converted B-26 with the moon visible from the nose. It is quite funny to all but the Amish Radio Service who are terribly mature and grown-up. :-)

The thought-corrected second file "Attach2" seems to be another mistake, being a PowerPoint type (file extension ".PPS"). It contains a nice day's greeting with an avian theme. PowerPoint readers are free for the download from the software producer's site.

2361. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 20:17:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D41AE63.AB2863B2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50175

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> That is very kind; however that photo is now about three years old. Whenever
> I motivate myself to purchase a digital camera, I'll post an updated photo.
> Just in case anyone's wondering, I'm 39 years old. I celebrate the 11th
> anniversary of my 39th birthday this coming October.

Happy Birthday. I'll be thirty-nineteen next month. :-)

2362. HAM and SWL programs FREE de G6PBS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 20:53:11 UTC
From: colin@polstargroup.com
Message-ID: <9c1f028e.0207261253.7ba9a8ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50176

CQ de G6PBS

I am the webmaster for the Polstar Group http://www.polstargroup.com
and have got some free HAM/SWL software. Click on the link or paste
www.polstargroup.com into URL address and when you arrive at the
Polstar Group site click on the "PIE" link and follow the simple
intructions.

It's all FREE!

I want to build a HAM/SWL resource web site so if you know of any free
info or products then let me know at g6pbs@polstargroup.com and I will
host it.

73's

G6PBS (Colin)

2363. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 21:46:25 UTC
From: pkearn_keane@yeates.eircom.org.com.net
Message-ID: <3d41c2eb.7997077@news1.eircom.net>
Source record: 50177
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JRW" <jrw@0spam.gmx.co.uk> wrote:

> Maybe all self-important G2, G3, G4, G5, G6xx and G8xx stations which
> haven't been on air for over 12 months should be banned from transmitting
> ever again. They only hold callsigns becasue they think they're 'elite'.

whats this 'think' rubbish ?

dont you know that according to another on this NG
''starting at the bottom'' (with an M3 licence)
logically implies that the CLASS A LICENCE must be the top.

2364. Re: Jon Bloom assuming a Torquemada Role

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 22:04:41 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ahsh12$c83$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50178

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020726022729.04625.00000871@mb-mk.aol.com...
> >Subject: Jon Bloom assuming a Torquemada Role
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 07/25/02 18:13 Eastern Daylight Time
> >>> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.
> >>
> >>But without evidence.
> >
> >Where is the evidence that CR *IS* honest...other than they telling
everyone
> >how honest they are?
>
> In as much as they are wide open to public scrutiny and there is NO
> evidence of any misdeeds or wrongdoing, one can safely state they are
telling
> the truth.

Well said and given the duration and exposure, IF the CR was in
any way dishonest it would have come to light by now.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2365. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 22:44:20 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D41D0C7.C9BEC694@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 50179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dave Hall wrote:
>
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
> >
> > In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> > <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> >
> > <snip>
> > >All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
> > >Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
> > >their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
> > >being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
> > >the borough.
> >
> > They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
> > assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.
>
> I wondered about that myself. But nevertheless it happened. I think that
> this particular frequency (155.115) is in a "shared" area of the band.

If the frequency was issued a "local gov't" license, they can use it
for any purpose they want.
```

2366. Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 23:05:16 UTC
From: Joker <qrp@radio.net>
Message-ID: <2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com>
Source record: 50195

Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about the power used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to smash people on pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is became a war of amps. The real ham spririt has disapeared, there is no more friendship on the overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because there are too much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war "Oh he got a 3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !". That's the spirit now : to have the bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of his shack and have his picture in QST.
This bad spirit is now totally spreaded by the amp sellers that say in their ads "No time to waste on pile-ups" (i.e "Smash other hams with our amps").
How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power is limited to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW on 6m ?? It's evident that the result is not the same.
Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood the call and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you have missed.
So where is the merit to have a DXCC ? There is none ... and when you think that EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2367. Re: Hey Stewart Teaze...Ya payin' Attention, MURSMan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 23:35:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gzv7gq3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50180

On Fri, 26 Jul 2002 13:42:13 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:

>I'd like the FCC to mandate that all new transmitter and transceivers be
>equipped with a CPU with a rom burned into it that continuously sends the
>unit's serial number out at perhaps a 10% level.

This was discussed at the FCC some 20 years ago as an interference resolution measure. The enforcement people who had to run around in the cold/dark/wet to find the intermittent/stuck/dirty transmitters loved it. The rules and policy people who issued the licenses didn't (too much work for them that they didn't do now), and got their allies, the manufacturers, to make sure that it wouldn't happen.

It survives today in data transmissions from 406 MHz marine Emergency Position Indicating Radiobeacons, small floatable emergency transmitters required on each ocean-going vessel, and which are serialized and can be traced back to specific vessels.

The cellphone system of Electronic ID Numbers was meant to do the same thing but the wireless thugs figured out a way to jimmy the EIN as part of "cloning" to the point that it is meaningless for that purpose.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2368. Jon thinks ARRL disbelief is all specious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-26 23:42:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726194252.08931.00000502@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 50181

In article <pan.2002.07.26.08.54.01.671688.22096@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>On Thu, 25 Jul 2002 18:13:41 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <pan.2002.07.25.12.27.51.951363.7106@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>>
>>>On Wed, 24 Jul 2002 23:22:18 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.
>>>
>>>But without evidence.
>>
>> Where is the evidence that CR *IS* honest...other than they telling
>> everyone how honest they are?
>
>Do you read Consumer Reports? If you do and have any experience with any
>of the products they review, you can easily verify claims they've made
>about those products. Those verifications should convince you that there
>is honest reporting in CR.

I used to be a subscriber to CR, total of six years. I DID verify that
their claims were not always true; that cannot be absolute since I
did not check out ALL of them. But this isn't about CR, is it?

>No, the evidence is legion. You have a rich posting history of trying to
>change the subject when you're losing the debate.

Is someone "winning" while others are "losing" debates? :-)

>Sometimes, like now, you
>just try to change the subject, but often you diverge from the issue into
>personal attacks designed to distract your debating opponent from the real
>issues. (Example: Calling Jim, N2EY, "Jimmie boy.")

Now, now, Jon, try to be fair and mature. :-)

You are welcome to spend hours in Google to your hearts content
on searching out "personal attacks." Be as biased or unbiased
as you want. All you will be doing is triumphing in your own mind
after finding some iota and you will not, repeat NOT change much of
anything in the flow of messages now.

On the small subject of the above paragraph: How is a "familiar"
turn of phrase (some describe it as "diminutive") a "personal attack?"
That sort of address is very common among families in the midwestern
USA addressing other family members. Isn't that use more affectionate

than "attacking?"

Okay, so how do you explain all the others who have used the exact same form of address. Begin with Jim Kehler long ago. Continue on to over two years of such use by an extra who regularly tags his messages about me with a Yiddish-ethnic synonym for "dickhead."

Is that somehow "okay" but someone else (conveniently of opposite opinion) doing the same thing is now "wrong?" Curious double standard there.

>Just ducking uncomfortable questions seems to be another one of your
>principal debating techniques.

Misdirection and false allegations of wrong-doing seem to be a standard operating technique of "superior" (and "mature") extras.

Those, like yourself here, are simply trying to win message points by painting others in nasty colors.

Jon, Jon, Jon...no one has to answer each and every "question" posed by someone else in a newsgroup. If you want to keep implying that (for example) "I am still beating my wife," I won't bother with such...or...I may pose an equally-nonsensical "question" right back at you or anyone else.

Jon, I don't know what has gotten into you recently, but a self-righteous demand to "answer the question (you put)!" is simply a self-righteous demand. It doesn't have to be followed nor does it have to be answered in any way. No one is the "Dill Sergeant" addressing the troops who demands the "correct answers" and feels all those who do not answer "correctly" to "fall down and give me 10 (push-ups)." :-)

>> There is NO evidence that the ARRL is honest...except in ARRL statements
>> that they are honest.
>
>Sure there is. There are plenty of ways you can verify ARRL's honesty.

Jon, Jon, Jon...try to stay with the original statement.

The ARRL says it is "honest." The proof of such "honesty" cannot be more of the same. :-)

The ARRL says it speaks for all [US] radio amateurs. Obviously it doesn't if less than a quarter are ARRL members. Obviously it doesn't if there are many, many individuals who challenge the ARRL "honesty," who have challenged that "honesty" for many years.

The ARRL would be honest if it said "the ARRL represents its membership" and let it go at that (or words to that same effect). I have NO problem with that.

The ARRL cannot possible "represent" anyone who is interested
or just wants to learn more about amateur radio and is not yet
licensed as an amateur. Yet, the ARRL insists that it knows all
about "how to get into amateur radio" and fails to qualify that by
the "how to" being based on what the "how to" was in the minds
of members's experiences of many years in the past. Times have
changed and many more unlicensed in the amateur service people
have knowledge and experience in other radio.

Do you want the ARRL to continue to talk down to newcomers?
Do you want the ARRL to lead everyone by a parental hand as if
they were all ignorant lambs? Do you want the ARRL to appear
as some superior judge and arbiter of behavior when the evidence
is clear that they are simply "representing" the thoughts of long-
time members thinking their way is the "only" way?

>ARRL promises services to members and delivers on those promises. That's
>honesty.

That's NOT the WHOLE honesty. The whole honesty area includes
policy and lobbying the FCC "on behalf of the [US] ARS community."

As a non-member, I can't comment on the services to members or
their delivery. I do know that the goods produced or resold by the
ARRL are for the same amounts of money as required in stores
(but shipping charges are extra). Except for a large shipping charge
I have no quarrel with that.

Let's go to POLICY of the ARRL "for the [US] ARS community."
How does anyone KNOW that "ARRL Policy" truly represents
the wishes of the ARRL membership? Few do since the proof is
displayed by the ARRL claim that "the ARRL is honest." The
ARRL says it so it must be true? Sorry, not a reasonable proof.

Political parties have used the same sort of technique for
centuries. They say their viewpoints, statements, opinions are
all "true" and keep repeating it so often that some folks will
simply believe it. The ARRL is also a political organization
whether it accepts that or not...it just doesn't have any other
political party to politic against...it is the ARRL members
against all the ARRL non-members. The ARRL must resort to
political spin in order to continue existance.

If its not politics, then it revolves around the pseudo-religion of
Groups. A Group appears powerful and mighty if it acts with
a loud voice for a long time. Groups promise many things,
make many claims, but are just people and the Group is led
by a small core group of "movers and shakers" who do the
deciding and leading. The majority of Group members sit
back and follow. "Good" Group members embrace everything
and become Believers. Skeptical Group members are shunned
by the Believers, sometimes refused or even cast out. Believers
do not allow disbelief to exist, however slight.

While minutes to board meetings are made public, they are made public by the ARRL. The ARRL controls what is revealed. Others in here have stated that BoD meetings have covered items not in public view. Which is then correct? No one can say for the ARRL controls the public content of the meetings.

Believers bring out the "black paintbrush" and say all skeptics are paranoid, are "lying," or are simply heretics. All that finger-pointing by Believers is still no proof of honesty.

Then we have the Section Managers, the "freely elected representatives" in national areas. The ARRL people urge others to communicate with their Section to make their feelings-desires-etc known on issues and matters.

A problem there since "freely elected" is ONLY by the membership...which is less than a quarter of all US radio amateurs. Section Managers cannot "represent" non-members and they certainly cannot "represent" the un-licensed. Some matters of regulation and policy concern entrance to amateur radio. It would seem that most SMs are a long time since their entrance to amateur radio and the times and regulations have changed in the interim.

The "free election" is NOT anywhere close to the same sort of "free election" as is done for elected government officials. To say it was the same would be an unabashed lie. To imply that it is "the same" is also groundless...but the implication is a favorite gambit of the Believers and the Officials of the ARRL.

>ARRL sells products to
>members and nonmembers alike. There, too, promises are kept.

There are some small differences on sales relative to member-ship. It is pointless to argue it here due to the obvious tone of your response.

>... ARRL
>says it's working on Amateur Radio matters in Washington, Geneva and
>elswehere. There's plenty of public record available with which to verify
>that ARRL is in fact doing that, as it says.

Using a phrase "[the] ARRL says it's working on it..." is more self-serving and prideful than it is a statement of "honesty."

Yes, the ARRL is "working on things" but is that "work" truly representative of even the membership? It sure isn't the "work" that many of the non-members want. It isn't "representative" of anything but what the ARRL wants.

In recent years the public record will show that the ARRL does NOT "represent" enough of the US ARS. To bring up a very dead horse again, look at the difference between the ARRL position on license classes and code testing versus the final

Report and Order on 98-143...along with all the comments
received by the FCC on that NPRM. The ARRL lost most
of its position items as quoted in the R&O, still refusing to
recognize the FCC's own regulatory position on code testing.

On 90-53, the NPRM for creation of the no-code-test
Technician class license, the ARRL's position on policy was
essentially against it or for forcing allocated operation well
above 30 MHz. The ARRL lost and refused to accept the
FCC's own reason for elimination of the code test.

Look at the ARRL position relative to that of the IARU on
ITU-R S25.5 or the four principal action items concerning
amateur radio for WRC-03. NOT the same policy.

The problem of the SW BC interference to amateurs in the
40 meter band has been around since WARC-79 and, so
far, very little has been done despite all the claims of "the
ARRL is working in Washington, Geneva, and elsewhere."
By WRC-03 the 40m problem will have been around for 24
years with no signs of easing. The IARU has been more
aggressive in that matter...see their strong, colorful
presentation on their own website. What has the ARRL
done as effectively as the IARU or other amateur
organizations in the past 23 years? Make excuses?
Make promises? Make claims?

>The problem, of course, is that you dislike some of ARRL's policies but
>are unable to mount effective arguments against them.

Wrong wording. You are acting as a Believer and trying to
wield that black paintbrush again. You don't have any paint.

See some major topics up above.

The EFFECTIVENESS is due to a number of policy issues
in which the ARRL lost. 90-53. 98-143. The on-going 40m
interference problem which still isn't decided after 23 years.

>So, you mutter vague
>intimations of dishonesty while lacking any evidence to support those
>claims.

Jon, you are like a man tied to a post in front of a firing squad
trying to argue that the on-coming bullets don't exist. :-)

>> The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.
>
>Ah, so there is no independent evidence of what the "will of the
>membership" is yet you believe that it isn't being followed. On what
>grounds?

Skepticsm. Where is the disinterested third-party reference to
report that everything is on the up-and-up?

Skeptics can't read minds. Skeptics don't get Divine Revelations
to the Truth. Skeptics are stuck with public observation.

Believers refuse to accept skeptics. Skepticsm challenges a
Belief System by its existance and the Believers MUST retain
their Belief.

>> The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.
>
>What other evidence could there be? This is one of those "have you stopped
>beating your wife yet" deals.

I could write for hours on the "inherent honesty" of such "true
statements" but it would be wasted time in trying to change the
minds of Believers. :-)

There were skeptics who observed the activity of Worldcom
executives many months ago...and now Worldcom is under
investigation of many nasty things. All of the lawyers for the
Worldcom executives say "they are innocent and haven't done
anything wrong!"

There were skeptics who observed the activity of the Adelphia
founding family many months ago...and now that family has
been arrested and Adelphia operations are under investigation.
The defense lawyers all claim their clients are "innocent!"

Need I mention Enron? Many Enron employees Believed
in their company sufficiently to invest, thinking everything
was on the up-and-up there. :-)

>> The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.
>
>Nope. Go to a large hamfest and attend the ARRL forum, or just hang around
>the ARRL table.

Oh, so the "proof" exists in Dayton? :-)

>You'll hear plenty of exchanges between the ARRL
>leadership and the ham-in-the-street.

I've gone to many various gatherings of different organizations and
heard their leadership and the member-in-the-hall. That includes
ham clubs, Jon. The leader-ship can never do wrong...because
the leadership says they can never do wrong. :-)

>If the ARRL's actions were counter
>to the interests of those people, the exchanges would be largely negative
>in tone. But they aren't.

Get away from an ARRL-controlled fest and do it, Jon. Use
Mark Twain's novel as an example...be pauper instead of
prince. The tone will change. Trust me... :-)

>The evidence is there, and people who actually
>participate in ham radio are well aware of it. What you fail to understand
>is that the interests of the BoD and staff aren't different from those of
>the members or of hams in general.

How do I know the above is true? Jon Bloom says it is true!
:-)

Jon, the problem is that you won't recognize negative criticsm
plus you demonstrate all the symptoms of a Believer.

Personally, I believe in Christianity, the Trinity, and the existance
of a Higher Power. I can't offer evidence of any of that, pro or con!
Am I "mistaken?" To a non-believer I would be.

This isn't about a Belief system in something organized by
humans for other humans. In such affairs it is possible to be a
skeptic and not considered a heretic.

>>>When an opinion is held without supporting evidence it is merely a
>>>prejudice.
>>
>> I disagree. I'd call it suspicion.
>
>When suspicion is based on no evidence it's paranoid.

Under that definition -

Then all police investigators are "paranoid" in looking for
evidence...because they have NO evidence to start with.
Not a good reason, Jon.

Most research scientists would be classified as "paranoid"
because they are looking for evidence that a particular
science is not quite that of established theory. Based on
"gut feel" sometimes, by being skeptical about existing
theory. Not a good reason, Jon.

>> Where is the un-biased evidence that the ARRL is always truthful?
>
>Answered above. Once a baseline of truthfulness is established, you have
>to have EVIDENCE of untruthfulness to make a substantive claim of
>dishonesty.

Until someone other than the ARRL, ARRL officials, or ARRL members
can come up with disinterested third-party references to the total
honesty of all ARRL claims, positions, and policies, I will remain the
skeptic. Not a Believer, a skeptic. Call me all the names you want,
apply all the black paint you want, insult me, my family, my ancestors,
my city, county, state...and you haven't yet provided that "baseline
of truthfulness."

The ARRL says it is honest in everything. It's a Belief system.

Under the "baseline of truthfulness" description, ANY propaganda
would be truthful. It isn't.

>> The argument was over opinion, not religion.
>
>In your case there's not much difference since you form your opinions
>without evidence.

I believe in God and Christianity. I have NO tangible evidence to confirm
of any of it. Nonetheless, I have a belief that it is true.

I am a skeptic concerning the human-organized and run ARRL,
based largely on general human behavior in Groups. The ARRL
is not a religion per se. I have NO tangible evidence to confirm
that it is honest in its policies, statements, and claims.

Which of my statements is "correct?" Which are "incorrect?"
You make a false allegation against an individual based on your
own Belief System? What is that called elsewhere?

>> Miccolis wished to paint
>> opinions opposite to his as "mistakes." Opinions are only opinions.
>
>But not all opinions are equal.

We can operate under the Latin oxymoron, "Primus inter pares?"
:-)

>Opinions which are based on a reasonably
>objective evaluation of the available facts, aided by public discussion of
>those facts and evaluations, are substantive.

Be careful on making such a sweeping, blanket absolute! :-)

What you've just written is a prime example of the intellectual
output of nearly every single religion in human existance!

>Opinions, such as yours,
>which are based on unreasoned emotion are quasi-religious and add nothing
>useful to the public debate.

Tsk, tsk. There goes that paintbrush again! :-)

Basically, I'm just against the morse code test for a radio license,
Jon. I seem to be arguing against a Belief System there.

Let's see...if I've been IN radio communication for a large part of
the last half century and I've never used morse code once (or had
to use it for any purpose) and I've seen all kinds of evidence that
morse code is slow, inefficient, error-prone in communications even
though the ARRL has constantly pushed morsemanship as the
ultimate communication mode for all radio amateurs and I've found
some inconsistencies between ARRL statements-policies-stands
on amateur radio issues...then I am acting on "emotional bias" in
"quasi-religious" misunderstanding? :-)

OK. Call it what you will. I've been pretty well insulted three ways from Sunday in here for quite some time by a lot of emotionally-driven pseudo-religious zealots about morse code. Should I add your name to the list? :-)

Maybe I'll take it all up with Pastor Ralph (Midtlyng) next Sunday. He can consult with higher church members on this weightly issue which concerns separation of church and BoD. We'll get back to you after a while. You hang in there with your Divine Truth.

2369. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 01:07:19 UTC
From: Tony Hancock <no-one@noradio.org>
Message-ID: <ahsro6$5jq$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50182
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JRW" <jrw@0spam.gmx.co.uk> wrote in message
news:m1_%8.1251$S03.161258@stones...
> Maybe all self-important G2, G3, G4, G5, G6xx and G8xx stations which
> haven't been on air for over 12 months should be banned from transmitting
> ever again. They only hold callsigns becasue they think they're 'elite'.
>
> John
>
>
Do you really have to write complete garbage?

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.377 / Virus Database: 211 - Release Date: 15/07/2002

2370. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 02:04:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Xcn09.734$RP5.27078879@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50183

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to
learn
> > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary and
> > > > unnecessary
> > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary and
> > > > unnecessary.
> > > > >
> > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for success.
> > > >
> > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> > >
> > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional
frequencies.
> > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> >
> Why not?
>
> That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass, you aren't
> rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did pass, you
> do receive additional spectrum or privileges.

Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And I've previously
stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not envision how a person
can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?

> > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you considered
```

to
> > be
> > > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can be
as
> > > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in other
> > things.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > > >
> > > > > There you have it.
> > > > >
> > > > How is that wrong?
> > >
> > How is that a description of DXing?
> >
> > Should I chant or face the East or something?
>
> If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask me
> questions.

But I am, and I do. So answer the question.

> > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries
> > > > authorizations
> > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just supposed
to
> > tune
> > > > from
> > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the
ones I
> > > > need.
> > > > >
> > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> > > >
> > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160 meter
> > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> > >
> > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted to look up 80
> > and 160 allocations.
> >
> > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget them when >
someone out of band tries to caontact me?
>
> I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up allocations in
> order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those you don't
> wish to contact.

Yes that is correct.

> > > > > > > Oh, and try to be accurate.
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > > I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On how
many
> > > > bands
> > > > > > > were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand out?
How
> > > > > > > prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy in
> > handling
> > > > > > > both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his name,
> > correct
> > > > > > > spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like? That'll
take
> > care
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told us
that
> > you
> > > > > > > enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider that
to
> > be
> > > > > > > DXing?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ??????????????
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is 100
> > countries,
> > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
> > >
> > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100 countries worked and
> > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have any idea how
> > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only one band
and
> > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could have done
> > > something about.
> >
> > Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX. But you >
appear to have a problem with that.
>
> I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't do that
> "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to DX spots to
> operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in the U.S.?

No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it. I've accepted
that.

> > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > until I'm an Extra, does it?
>
> Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its territories and
> possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S. possession.

You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX country?

> > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I didn't work
> > 10,000
> > > > of them?
> > >
> > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept of DXing.
> >
> > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
>
> ...not if I go by what you've stated here.

Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a pre-conceived idea
that whatever I do is wrong.

You've obviously missed how I passed all of the exams and yet gained no
additional priveleges.

> > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How?
> > > > >
> > > > > One at a time.
> > > >
> > > How?
> > >
> > How do you do it?
>
> You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't you know?

I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a time."

Do you work them two at a time? five?

> > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing, you
can't
> > use
> > > > > > for operating.
> > > > >
> > > > > But I consider it my job.
> > > >
> > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why waste time
in
> > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
> > >
> > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
>
> Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think rare
> stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!

Someone is a stamp collector?

> > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did enjoy
> > ragchewing

> > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > > > >
> > > > > What is it?
> > > >
> > > > It is ragchewing.
> > >
> > Tell that to Diego Garcia.
>
> What's his call?

Don't know. Why?

> > > > > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted to
> > > > > > know what it was like over there.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line" technique.
Shake
> > > > > > their hand with your right while moving them along the line with
your
> > > > > > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
> > > > >
> > > > > I see that hamming means a lot to you.
> > > >
> > > Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a pileup does
> > > not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to Alistair spell his
> > > name phonetically and waiting while he describes his station and
> > > antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his microphone
> > > does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for me to
provide
> > > my name and location along with QSLing information every ten or
fifteen
> > > QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's your QSL
info?"
> > > two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic receptions which
> > > did not mean a lot to me.
> > >
> > Whatever is easier for you. As in QSLing.
>
> That's exactly right! Whatever makes it easier for me to put large
> numbers of folks into the log so that no one has to go away empty
> handed.

Dave, that's wht I did with you. Yet you're still not happy.

> > > > > > > I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is quite
> > different
> > > > > > > from DXing from the U.S.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure. The DX station runs the pile-up, the US station tries to

work
> > it.
> > > > > > > Contests are an excellent source of finding DX on either side of
the
> > > > pileup.
> > > > > > > The US DXer hunts and pounces the DX during a contest.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not necessarily. Lots of U.S. stations are able to generate a
pileup
> > in
> > > > > > a DX contest. I've had JA runs and EU runs last for hours. You
can't
> > > > > > run up a high score on this side of the pond if all you do is
search
> > and
> > > > > > pounce.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hey Dave, are you contesting or DXing? Make up your mind.
> > >
> > > I'm commenting on incorrect statements made by you. I do both. You
> > > make up your mind whether you want to talk DXing or contesting.
> >
> > So how many times did you need to confirm JA-land? DXers use contests >
to work some new ones. They're not necessarily in the contest to > score
big.
>
> Pretty one-sided, Brian. How many JA's need to work Tanzania in or out
> of a contest? Many DXers are also contesters.

So you were contesting.

> > > > > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious contester.
A
> > US >
> > > > > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.
> > > > >
> > > > > For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands. Guam
was
> > one of
> > > > > those islands.
> > >
> > > Marvy.
> >
> > You'll get over it.
>
> I'm already over it.

I'm not so sure. You seem to think I'm unworthy of the DX I was and the DX
I've worked.

> > > > > > What differences have you noted in goals and
> > > > > > > technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do you
have
> > > > > > > confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of
your
> > > > strategy
> > > > > > > for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S. How
are
> > you
> > > > > > > doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m? 160m?
> > > > satellite?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 10M SSB only when I was active. I'm inactive on HF at present.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Worked/confirmed totals? DXer's aren't inactive unless there is
> > nothing
> > > > > on which they need.
> > > >
> > > Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the
mainland.
> > >
> > DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.
> >
> Then why do some of them set up big stations away from their QTH?
>
> I'd guess because they already own a place which has some zoning
> limitations but not necessarily CC&Rs. Maybe they want to put up three
> really tall towers but own a home where they can get a permit for only
> one modest tower. I don't know many DXers who have "big stations away
> from their QTH".

What nasty thing would you like to say about them?

> > > > > > For the pileup, try to listen to where he is actually picking
them
> > >
> > > > when he says "up 10," then call at QRZ or tailend the current Op.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Or you can use your reverse button to follow the action without
> > calling
> > > > > at all for a while to see if you can pick up a pattern. Some ops
> > listen
> > > > > over a window of frequencies and tune from bottom to top, a little
at
> > a
> > > > > time. Others work top to bottom. Some jump around randomly
within a
> > > > > window looking for a clear spot with few callers. Some announce
"up
> > ten"
> > > > > when they are listening up five.
> > > >

> > > > Common.
> > >
> > > If you knew it to be common, why didn't you write it?
> >
> > It's kind of basic, Dave. Why do you patronize me?
>
> Because you claim to be a DXer and didn't make note of these basics.

Did I mention that I usually connect my antenna before operating DX?

> > > > > The guys on the distant end don't
> > > > > always operate split.
> > > > >
> > > > > I only went split when it started to turn into a hornets nest. I
tuned
> > > > > around for a station that was easiest to hear. Calling #'d areas
also
> > > > > helped calm it down. Then there were the W5xx/7 that would call in
5's
> > and
> > > > > 7's.
> > > >
> > > > There are and will always be those types. There are also those who
> > > > attempt to work you on the same band night after night, week after
week.
> > > > One can develop selective hearing to deal with them.
> > >
> > > Unless they're real loud and you work them so you can hear the others.
>
> Maybe they make the log; maybe they don't.

Yeh.

> > > > > You might need to concentrate on timing,
> > > > > espcecially if you don't have an amp or a gain antenna at height.
> > > > > Sometimes you have to realize that you aren't going to work the
> > station
> > > > > at all because propagation isn't favoring your area.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep, there are some that never make it in the log. Bad timing, no >
> > > amp, cubical quad on 10M.
> > > >
> > > > Could be good timing and no propagation or good timing but you're
> > > > covered by those WITH an amp. There'll be other days.
> > >
> > > I was never able to work India. Heard them plenty, but extremely weak.
> >
> > > > > > When operating 10M SSB, what specialty is there other than QRP?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you limit yourself to SSB, there aren't many options. If you
limit

> > > > > yourself to 10m, there aren't many options. Those limits would
> > certainly
> > > > > > get in the way of a DXer.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why? I enjoyed it.
> > > >
> > > > ...because those things place limitations on your DXing.
> > >
> > So did the Wing Commander. My Quad had to come down.
>
> You have a Wing Commander now?

No. Do you?

2371. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 02:06:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726220650.07756.00000176@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50185

In article <3C83A653.35758CE6@att.net>, Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net> writes:

>KC8PMX wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Also, the no-code tech license is the ENTRY point now to ham radio.
>
> Would you care to expound on why it is that a third of all ham radio
>licensees
>have chosen to remain at the entry point?
>

1) Because the license gives them everything they want today.
2) Because they cannot use HF/MF at the present time.
3) Because they expect the requirements for the higher classes of license to
become even easier in the future.
4) Because they are working towards an upgrade.
5) Because they have lost interest and are inactive.

Remember, too, that since April of 2000 the FCC has been renewing Tech Pluses
as Techs. In 7-1/2 years or less there will be no Tech Pluses at all.

While the total number of Techs has grown since restructuring, the total number
of Techs and Tech Pluses has decreased by over 14,000. In fact, the total
number of hams with "entry class" licenses (Novice, Tech, Tech Plus) has
decreased by over 26,000, while the total US ham population has increased by
over 8,000 in the same time period. .

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
Tech + Tech Plus: 334,254
Novice + Tech + Tech Plus: 383,583

As of July 15, 2002:

Novice - 37,424 (decrease of 11,905)
Tech - 242,219 (increase of 36,825)
Tech Plus - 77,447 (decrease of 51,413)
Tech + Tech Plus: 319,666 (decrease of 14,588)
Novice + Tech + Tech Plus: 357,090 (decrease of 26,493)

Obviously lots of hams who held these licenses ARE upgrading to General or
Extra.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2372. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 02:06:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726220651.07756.00000177@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50184

In article <ahm6lj12dkr@enews3.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>Not at all.... last I understood knowledge of band allocations, priviledges
>allowed, power allowed etc. is basic knowledge even required of us lowly
>techs... I guess my question is, how did he get that far without the basic
>knowledge?
>
All that the written license tests have done since about 1960 is to insure that the person who passed them got at least the minimum number of multiple-choice questions correct. Although the test cover lots of stuff, passing them does not mean that a person knows all of the material covered.

As I recall the questions, the ham involved had been inactive for quite some time and was in the process of getting active again. He was checking to see if the rules had changed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2373. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 02:06:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726220652.07756.00000178@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50187

In article <ahq966$t9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020725080640.07768.00000352@mb-mw.aol.com...
>> In article <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >The United States military (in which
>> (N2EY)
>> >never served) decided to stop
>> >using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a
>> >half century ago.
>>
>> Show us some proof of that.
>
>How about personal experience...

Works for me.

> US Navy 66-70 (almost 40
>years ago).

More like 30, but let's see what ya got.

>As a Navy electronic tech, the ships at sea used no
>radio morse code at all for any communications at all. The only time I
>saw morse used at all was an occasional "drill" excersize.
>From my experience, by 66, all long haul comms were
>via HF RTTY (encrypted).

So, in the 1966-70 time frame, the US Navy retained Morse code capability but
did not use it except for drills and in the rare case of an RTTY failure.

Was this on all USN ships? From the records and accounts I've seen, the smaller
and older ships were the last to get new radio gear. In the early 1960s, many
of the older, smaller ships were still equipped with WW2 vintage RBA/RBB/RBC
receivers, transmitters of similar vintage, and were heavily dependent on
Morse. But that is a few years before the time period you refer to. It's quite
possible that even the oldest and smallest USN ships had been refitted or
retired by 1966.
>
>Voice radio comms were usually all local UHV/VHF.only.
>
Makes sense.

Some intersting points:

- While it is claimed that all branches of the US military decided to eliminate Morse in 1948, almost 20 years later the capability was still retained by the Navy as a backup.

- The FCC of the 1960s not only supported Morse code testing for all ham licenses but actually raised the standards by requiring 20 wpm instead of 13 wpm for full-privileges after November of 1968.

Thanks for the info, Bill

73 de Jim, N2EY

2374. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 02:06:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726220653.07756.00000179@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50186

In article <20020726161227.12781.00000265@mb-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>Subject: Re: I Want To See It, Lennie
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 26 Jul 2002 20:12:27 GMT
>
>In article <9f4bfe89.0207260903.a155d5d@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>No need for the pejoratives. Perhaps Mr. Anderson is having trouble
>>with his computer.
>
>Quite so, as all readers have discovered in the last week.

Even professionals make mistakes, huh? ;-)

>That should be rectified with file "Attach L.ZIP" which you
>received.

It's good practice to explain what an attachment is when sending it.

> The same will be sent to the other two requestors.

I did not request any of what you sent.
>
>>Last night I received an unsolicited email with attachment from
>>"Lenof21", which is one of Mr. Anderson's many screen names seen in
>>rrap. The attachment file was 1.8 meg, zipped, and there was no
>>explanation of what it contained. An email requesting further
>>information has not been answered yet. I do not unzip or open email
>>attachments unless I know what they are and who they are from.
>
>The file should be over 2 MB since it contains only two images,
>scans of the front and back of my First Phone first license.

"First Phone first" license?

>It does
>not contain any known viruses.

What about unknown viruses? ;-)

>The scan is at 300 DPI to preserve
>as much detail as possible, knowing the high probability of unkind
>remarks...:-)

I did not request it - why send it to me?

You seem to be confused as to who requests what from you. You frequently blame A for what B has done.
>
>Another ZIP, done at 150 DPI each image, is smaller at about 635
>KB total size, still fairly readable. That is available on request.

I don't want it. I'll take your word that you once had an FCC commercial license.

My Second Class Phone license was issued on July 26, 1972. I was 18 then ;-)

>The original "Attach" file seems to be a JPG of a converted B-26
>with the moon visible from the nose.

Showing us your brains, Len? ;-)

>It is quite funny to all but the
>Amish Radio Service who are terribly mature and grown-up. :-)

What have you got against the Amish? Ever seen an Amish rake fight?
>
>The thought-corrected second file "Attach2" seems to be another
>mistake, being a PowerPoint type (file extension ".PPS"). It
>contains a nice day's greeting with an avian theme.

Do lots of people greet you that way?

Jim, N2EY

2375. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 03:29:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726232922.17808.00000893@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50188

>Subject: Re: I Want To See It, Lennie
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/26/02 16:12 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020726161227.12781.00000265@mb-mg.aol.com>

>That should be rectified with file "Attach L.ZIP" which you
>received. The same will be sent to the other two requestors.

Sorry, Your Putziness. you had your chance and you had to show
your...well...suffice it to say to other posters you lived up to yor reputation
as being undependable.

The other files may have very well have been your will making me sole
beneficiary to the Anderson estate (all $35 of it...) but it went to .zip file
heaven without being read.

Fool me once, shame on me...Won't go there again.
>The original "Attach" file seems to be a JPG of a converted B-26
>with the moon visible from the nose. It is quite funny to all but the
>Amish Radio Service who are terribly mature and grown-up.

It WAS cute Lennie, but not what you promised. That was the point. Oh
well.
>

2376. Evidence of Dishonesty vs Facts (Or..."Lennie vs the World")

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 04:50:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727005025.17808.00000907@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50189

>Subject: Jon thinks ARRL disbelief is all specious
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/26/02 19:42 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020726194252.08931.00000502@mb-ck.aol.com>

>Okay, so how do you explain all the others who have used the exact
>same form of address. Begin with Jim Kehler long ago. Continue on
>to over two years of such use by an extra who regularly tags his
>messages about me with a Yiddish-ethnic synonym for "dickhead."

Well, Lennie, the "problem" is that you whine about it, cuss about it and
then do it yourself to people who do NOT do it to you.

>Is that somehow "okay" but someone else (conveniently of opposite
>opinion) doing the same thing is now "wrong?" Curious double
>standard there.

You're the one with the double standard, Lennie. Jim Miccolis only calls
you "Len" or ID's you by the screen names you yourself use. I have never seen
him call you "Lennie" or anything OTHER than names or tags you yourself have
used.

>Those, like yourself here, are simply trying to win message
>points by painting others in nasty colors.

Hmmmmm......

You 'complain" about how you are addressed, but then do it virulently to
an "opponent" who does no such thing to you.

You promise to provide 'evidence' of your GROL or professional licensure,
but instead send a picture of an unknown person doing something that would get
him incarcerated in many states.

You assert falsehoods and dishonesty of persons and organizations where no
evidence exists.

You assert that you are "only" interested in the Morse Code test issue,
yet your myriad of posts in just this week prove otherwise.

You asserted that you were going to get your "extra lite" "out of the
box". Still no Lenonard H. Anderson on Lanark with an Amateur Radio license of
ANY class as of today.

>Jon, Jon, Jon...no one has to answer each and every "question"
>posed by someone else in a newsgroup.

Or ANY question, obviously. So far your one and only e mail to me, and one that you yourself offered to provide, was a picture of an obscene gesture.

>If you want to keep
>implying that (for example) "I am still beating my wife," I won't
>bother with such...or...I may pose an equally-nonsensical
>"question" right back at you or anyone else.

That's ALL you "provide", Lennie. Still waiting on your microwave discussion, your extra lite, the list of volunteer organizations you belong to, the number of kids that you have raised or worked with in youth groups that validate your "age restriction" proposals, etc etc etc...

>Jon, I don't know what has gotten into you recently, but a
>self-righteous demand to "answer the question (you put)!" is
>simply a self-righteous demand.

Cute coming from the keyboard of the one who only this past week "demanded" specific knowledge of my Armed Forces service and later said it "was" his business when I refused the "demand".

>The ARRL says it is "honest." The proof of such "honesty" cannot
>be more of the same.

Lennie, Lennie LENNIE! In American jurisprudence, a lack of evidence "proving" dishonesty IS prima facia evidence of HONESTY.

You are asking the "beating your wife" question again. Very tacky.

>The ARRL would be honest if it said "the ARRL represents its
>membership" and let it go at that (or words to that same effect).
>I have NO problem with that.

Not being a member of the organization lends that last sentence to the question "Who cares if you DID have a problem with it?"

>Yet, the ARRL insists that it knows all
>about "how to get into amateur radio" and fails to qualify that by
>the "how to" being based on what the "how to" was in the minds
>of members's experiences of many years in the past.

What qualifications, Lennie?

Present ARRL publications on the subject provides specific material that is germane ONLY to PRESENT Amateur qualifications.

Other than "reprints" of old QST's and pubs, the only currently published ARRL text on Amateur matters of "years in the past" is a historical text called "Two Hundred Meters And Down".

>Times have
>changed and many more unlicensed in the amateur service people
>have knowledge and experience in other radio.

There are NO "unlicensed" persons in the Amateur Radio service. One cannot be "in" the Amateur service unless they are licensed.

Refer to recent enforcement letters on the ARRL website.

There may be persons who do not posses an Amateur license that are INTERESTED in it AND have other related interests, ...certainly many who know "reams" about "other radio" than many licened Amateurs, but if they themselves are not licensed per Part 97, they are simply radio afficionados...nothing more.

>Do you want the ARRL to continue to talk down to newcomers?

Do you want the neighbors to know you still beat your wife?

>Do you want the ARRL to lead everyone by a parental hand as if
>they were all ignorant lambs?

If they are ignorant, yes. Someone led you once. Didn't do much of a job, but you got through.

>Do you want the ARRL to appear
>as some superior judge and arbiter of behavior when the evidence
>is clear that they are simply "representing" the thoughts of long-
>time members thinking their way is the "only" way?

Evidence, Lennie...evidence....

>While minutes to board meetings are made public, they are
>made public by the ARRL. The ARRL controls what is revealed.
>Others in here have stated that BoD meetings have covered
>items not in public view. Which is then correct? No one can
>say for the ARRL controls the public content of the meetings.

Evidence of wrongdoing or dishonesty, Lennie..Where is it?

>Believers bring out the "black paintbrush" and say all skeptics
>are paranoid, are "lying," or are simply heretics. All that finger-
>pointing by Believers is still no proof of honesty.

I believe the ARRL is honest, Lennie. All I ask of YOU is EVIDENCE that your assertions of DIShonesty are valid.

So far all you have done is trot out the insistence that since anyone who says they support the ARRL is "biased", they can't accept anything else.

You are wrong.

Show me REAL evidence of dishonesty..a willful and concious effort to deceive on the part of the ARRL, and I will cancel my membership immediately and will do everything I can to convince others to do likewise.

>Yes, the ARRL is "working on things" but is that "work" truly
>representative of even the membership? It sure isn't the "work"
>that many of the non-members want. It isn't "representative"
>of anything but what the ARRL wants.

If "non-members" want the ARRL to do something else, then can become members and change it's direction.

>The ARRL lost most
>of its position items as quoted in the R&O, still refusing to
>recognize the FCC's own regulatory position on code testing.

How is the ARRL "refusing to recognize ANY FCC jurisdiction, Lennie.?

>On 90-53, the NPRM for creation of the no-code-test
>Technician class license, the ARRL's position on policy was
>essentially against it or for forcing allocated operation well
>above 30 MHz. The ARRL lost and refused to accept the
>FCC's own reason for elimination of the code test.

What ARRL "policy" refuses to accept ANYthing of the FCC's, Lennie? They may not LIKE it, but that's no different than YOU asserting YOUR dislike for anything...well..for everything, acutally...

>>The problem, of course, is that you dislike some of ARRL's policies but
>>are unable to mount effective arguments against them.
>
>Wrong wording.

Nope...right on the money. Sorry you didn't like it....

>>So, you mutter vague
>>intimations of dishonesty while lacking any evidence to support those
>>claims.
>
>Jon, you are like a man tied to a post in front of a firing squad
>trying to argue that the on-coming bullets don't exist.

Nice dodge, Lennie. Grossly inaccurate, of course.

We ARE waiting for your "evidence" of dishonesty on the part of the ARRL.

>Skepticsm. Where is the disinterested third-party reference to
>report that everything is on the up-and-up?

How about the Attorney General of the State of Connecticut? The ARRL is organized under CT laws, and I don't see any pending litigation against the ARRL for dishonesty, fraud, etc.

How about the Postmaster General of the United States? If the ARRL were doing something fraudulently and that act were supported, promulgated or otherwise conducted via the pages of QST, QEX or other League publications, federal law would apply.

Nothing there, either....

>Skeptics can't read minds. Skeptics don't get Divine Revelations
>to the Truth. Skeptics are stuck with public observation.

And so far "public observation" is such that the Better Business Bureau of CT reports no violations by ARRL. Neither does any law enforcement agency that reports such things.

(that didn't take much work......)

>Believers refuse to accept skeptics. Skepticsm challenges a
>Belief System by its existance and the Believers MUST retain
>their Belief.

There are no skeptics or "belief system" working here...Just facts...NO EVIDENCE OF ANY WRONGDOING IN 80+ YEARS of existence of the American Radio Relay League.

Of course we DO have the accusations and paranoia of one crochety old retiree in southern California...A place known to incubate some of America's most assinine and bizzare nut cases...

Let's see...WHO am I going to believe? An obviously biased and bigoted antagonist ranting and raving of perceived dishonesty...

OR...reputable agencies such as the AG's office of CT, the BBB and the Postmaster General of the United States...????

WHAT am I going to believe? The 80+ years of honest, earnest service of an organization who's service has been lauded in the Federal Register by Congress, the Department of State, Department of Defense, American Red Cross, Slavation Army, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (and others...)...

OR...Lennie...???

Hmmmmmmmmm.....Lemme think on THAT one for a while...NOT!

2377. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 05:20:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50190

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to
> learn
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary and
> > > > > > > > > > unnecessary
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary and
> > > > > > > > > > unnecessary.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for success.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional
> frequencies.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Why not?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass, you aren't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did pass, you
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > do receive additional spectrum or privileges.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And I've previously
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not envision how a person
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?
```

About the only way I could see that happening is if you didn't pass ALL
the required exams. If you didn't pass all of the required exams, you
weren't rewarded. Those who pass all the exams ARE rewarded.

> > > > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and /T5?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you considered
> to
> > > be
> > > > > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can be
> as
> > > > > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in other
> > > things.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > There you have it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > How is that wrong?
> > > > > >
> > > > > How is that a description of DXing?
> > > >
> > > Should I chant or face the East or something?
> >
> > If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask me
> > questions.
>
> But I am, and I do. So answer the question.

If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.

> > > > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries
> > > > > authorizations
> > > > > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just supposed
> to
> > > tune
> > > > > > > from
> > > > > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across the
> ones I
> > > > > > need.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160 meter
> > > > > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted to look up 80
> > > > and 160 allocations.
> > > >
> > > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget them when >
> someone out of band tries to caontact me?
> >

> > I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up allocations in
> > order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those you don't
> > wish to contact.
>
> Yes that is correct.

You seemed confused.

> > > > > > > > > > Oh, and try to be accurate.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On how
> many
> > > > > > bands
> > > > > > > > > > were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand out?
> How
> > > > > > > > > > prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy in
> > > > handling
> > > > > > > > > > both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his name,
> > > > correct
> > > > > > > > > > spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like? That'll
> take
> > > > care
> > > > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > > > one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told us
> that
> > > > you
> > > > > > > > > > enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider that
> to
> > > > be
> > > > > > > > > > DXing?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > ??????????????
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is 100
> > > > countries,
> > > > > > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100 countries worked and
> > > > > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have any idea how
> > > > > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only one band
> and
> > > > > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could have done
> > > > > > something about.
> > > > >
> > > > > Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX. But you >
> appear to have a problem with that.
> > >
> > > I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't do that
> > > "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to DX spots to
> > > operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in the U.S.?
> >

> No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it. I've accepted
> that.

I understand if you find my question embarrassing.

> > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > > until I'm an Extra, does it?
> >
> > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its territories and
> > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S. possession.
>
> You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX country?

I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.

> > > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I didn't work
> > > 10,000
> > > > > of them?
> > > > >
> > > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept of DXing.
> > > >
> > > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
> >
> > ...not if I go by what you've stated here.
>
> Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a pre-conceived idea
> that whatever I do is wrong.

You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be DXing.
Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges between us.
Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion that
everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about everything you do.

> You've obviously missed how I passed all of the exams and yet gained no
> additional priveleges.

There's only one way that could happen. You didn't pass all of the
required exams.

> > > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > How?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > One at a time.
> > > > >
> > > > > How?
> > > >
> > > How do you do it?
> >
> > You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't you know?
>
> I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a time."

That's pretty much descriptive of almost any amateur radio QSO.
Funny--most of the DXers I know have a more complex idea of how a pileup
is handled from the DX end.

> Do you work them two at a time? five?

Divine it from the ether. You're a DXer. You've said so.

> > > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing, you
> can't
> > > use
> > > > > > > for operating.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But I consider it my job.
> > > > >
> > > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why waste time
> in
> > > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
> > >
> > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
> >
> > Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think rare
> > stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!
>
> Someone is a stamp collector?

My QSL manager of fifteen years isn't a stamp collector. No one in his
family is a stamp collector. Any other guesses?

> > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did enjoy
> > > ragchewing
> > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What is it?
> > > > >
> > > > It is ragchewing.
> > >
> > Tell that to Diego Garcia.
> >
> > What's his call?
>
> Don't know. Why?

You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that I should
direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a door.

> > > > > > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted to
> > > > > > > know what it was like over there.
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line" technique.
> Shake
> > > > > > their hand with your right while moving them along the line with
> your
> > > > > > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
> > > > >
> > > > > I see that hamming means a lot to you.
> > > >
> > > > Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a pileup does
> > > > not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to Alistair spell his
> > > > name phonetically and waiting while he describes his station and
> > > > antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his microphone
> > > > does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for me to
> provide
> > > > my name and location along with QSLing information every ten or
> fifteen
> > > > QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's your QSL
> info?"
> > > > two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic receptions which
> > > > did not mean a lot to me.
> > >
> > > Whatever is easier for you. As in QSLing.
> >
> > That's exactly right! Whatever makes it easier for me to put large
> > numbers of folks into the log so that no one has to go away empty
> > handed.
>
> Dave, that's wht I did with you. Yet you're still not happy.

You made it easier for me to put large numbers of folks in my log? How?

> > > > > > > > I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is quite
> > > different
> > > > > > > > > from DXing from the U.S.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sure. The DX station runs the pile-up, the US station tries to
> work
> > > it.
> > > > > > > > > Contests are an excellent source of finding DX on either side of
> the
> > > > > > pileup.
> > > > > > > > > The US DXer hunts and pounces the DX during a contest.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not necessarily. Lots of U.S. stations are able to generate a
> pileup
> > > in
> > > > > > > a DX contest. I've had JA runs and EU runs last for hours. You
> can't
> > > > > > > run up a high score on this side of the pond if all you do is
> search
> > > and

> > > > > > pounce.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hey Dave, are you contesting or DXing? Make up your mind.
> > > >
> > > > I'm commenting on incorrect statements made by you. I do both. You
> > > > make up your mind whether you want to talk DXing or contesting.
> > >
> > > So how many times did you need to confirm JA-land? DXers use contests >
> to work some new ones. They're not necessarily in the contest to > score
> big.
> >
> > Pretty one-sided, Brian. How many JA's need to work Tanzania in or out
> > of a contest? Many DXers are also contesters.
>
> So you were contesting.

Not necessarily. I may not have even sent a log to the sponsoring
organization. I was handing out DX contacts to those who were in a
contest. As you stated, many of them are not really planning on
submitting a score. Some are just chasing countries.

> > > > > > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious contester.
> A
> > > US >
> > > > > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands. Guam
> was
> > > one of
> > > > > > those islands.
> > > > >
> > > > > Marvy.
> > > >
> > > > You'll get over it.
> >
> > I'm already over it.
>
> I'm not so sure. You seem to think I'm unworthy of the DX I was and > the DX I've worked.

I think you're very worthy of operating from foreign soil and I think
you're very worthy of the countries you've worked. I just don't think
you're a DXer.

> > > > > > > What differences have you noted in goals and
> > > > > > > > technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do you
> have
> > > > > > > confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of
> your
> > > > > > strategy

> > > > > > > > > for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S. How
> are
> > > > you
> > > > > > > > > doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m? 160m?
> > > > > > satellite?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 10M SSB only when I was active. I'm inactive on HF at present.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Worked/confirmed totals? DXer's aren't inactive unless there is
> > > > nothing
> > > > > > > > > on which they need.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the
> mainland.
> > > > >
> > > > > DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.
> > > >
> > > Then why do some of them set up big stations away from their QTH?
> > >
> > I'd guess because they already own a place which has some zoning
> > limitations but not necessarily CC&Rs. Maybe they want to put up three
> > really tall towers but own a home where they can get a permit for only
> > one modest tower. I don't know many DXers who have "big stations away
> > from their QTH".
>
> What nasty thing would you like to say about them?

Why would I want to say anything nasty to them?

> > > > > > > > For the pileup, try to listen to where he is actually picking
> them
> > > > >
> > > > > when he says "up 10," then call at QRZ or tailend the current Op.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Or you can use your reverse button to follow the action without
> > > calling
> > > > > > > > > at all for a while to see if you can pick up a pattern. Some ops
> > > listen
> > > > > > > > > over a window of frequencies and tune from bottom to top, a little
> at
> > > a
> > > > > > > > > time. Others work top to bottom. Some jump around randomly
> within a
> > > > > > > > > window looking for a clear spot with few callers. Some announce
> "up
> > > ten"
> > > > > > > > > when they are listening up five.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Common.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you knew it to be common, why didn't you write it?

> > >
> > > It's kind of basic, Dave. Why do you patronize me?
> >
> > Because you claim to be a DXer and didn't make note of these basics.
>
> Did I mention that I usually connect my antenna before operating DX?

Even non-DXers do that.

> > > > > > > When operating 10M SSB, what specialty is there other than QRP?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you limit yourself to SSB, there aren't many options. If you
> limit
> > > > > > > yourself to 10m, there aren't many options. Those limits would
> > > certainly
> > > > > > > get in the way of a DXer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why? I enjoyed it.
> > > > >
> > > > ...because those things place limitations on your DXing.
> > >
> > > So did the Wing Commander. My Quad had to come down.
> >
> > You have a Wing Commander now?
>
> No. Do you?

No, I have a couple of towers and lots of antennas.

Dave K8MN

2378. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 05:45:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727014545.05039.00000290@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 50191

In article <20020726220653.07756.00000179@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>In article <9f4bfe89.0207260903.a155d5d@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>No need for the pejoratives. Perhaps Mr. Anderson is having trouble
>>>with his computer.
>>
>>Quite so, as all readers have discovered in the last week.
>
>Even professionals make mistakes, huh? ;-)

Who made mistakes? I didn't write that mail-assist program.
My only "mistake" was in using it and taking it on faith that
it worked without problems.

>>That should be rectified with file "Attach L.ZIP" which you
>>received.
>
>It's good practice to explain what an attachment is when sending it.

It's good practice to try emulating a civil human sometime,
instead of passing yourself off as a pedantic father-figure.
You ought to try it.

>> The same will be sent to the other two requestors.
>
>I did not request any of what you sent.

You got it anyway. Are you going to open it and view it?

Or don't you have a simple JPG viewer?

>>>... An email requesting further
>>>information has not been answered yet.

That alleged e-mail hasn't been RECEIVED yet. [You will now
get real busy and write, send one to "prove" you did it...]

>>The file should be over 2 MB since it contains only two images,
>>scans of the front and back of my First Phone first license.
>
>"First Phone first" license?

In that time period a First Phone was valid only for five years.
Mine was granted on March 29, 1956, and expired on March
29, 1961. One had to renew the license by mail, sending in

the one to be renewed. A new certificate was then mailed back
along with the old certificate, the old certificate stamped either
"Expired" or "Cancelled."

I call it my "first First Phone" but it is really the first Form 758A
received. Are you going to be pedantic again on nomenclature
and get all stuffy and full of yourself?

>I did not request it - why send it to me?

Why not? Now that you have it, do you want to argue little
picayune details of it, make Himilayas out of anthills?

>I don't want it. I'll take your word that you once had an FCC commercial
>license.

Now you have near-tangible PROOF. If you want to make an
ISSUE out of it, go to the FCC.

>My Second Class Phone license was issued on July 26, 1972. I was 18 then ;-)

You never got a First...yet you could have done it at the same
test sitting. Why didn't you? It should have been a no-brainer
to a kid with all those claimed smarts and earlier exploits.

>>The thought-corrected second file "Attach2" seems to be another
>>mistake, being a PowerPoint type (file extension ".PPS"). It
>>contains a nice day's greeting with an avian theme.
>
>Do lots of people greet you that way?

Only those pedantic, stuffy, self-righteous easterners looking to
be some kind of "father figure" to show their "superiority" to
people they think are "lesser." Fortunately most of those that I
meet are only on the Internet, very few managed to survive in the
most populous state in the USA without changing attitudes..

One of the major problems of pedantic, stuffy, self-righteous
easterners are a serious lack of sense of humor. Neither can
they take being set up when they damn well deserved such
treatment.

Okay, you got my first Form 758A. What are you going to do
about it? Make more nastygrams?

Have a nice day.

2379. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 05:45:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727014547.05039.00000291@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 50192

In article <20020726220652.07756.00000178@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <ahq966$t9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
><billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:20020725080640.07768.00000352@mb-mw.aol.com...
>>> In article <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>,
>>lenover21@aol.com
>>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>> >The United States military (in which
>>> (N2EY)
>>> >never served) decided to stop
>>> >using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a
>>> >half century ago.
>>>
>>> Show us some proof of that.
>>
>>How about personal experience...
>
>Works for me.
>
>> US Navy 66-70 (almost 40
>>years ago).
>
>More like 30, but let's see what ya got.
>
>>As a Navy electronic tech, the ships at sea used no
>>radio morse code at all for any communications at all. The only time I
>>saw morse used at all was an occasional "drill" excersize.
>>From my experience, by 66, all long haul comms were
>>via HF RTTY (encrypted).
>
>So, in the 1966-70 time frame, the US Navy retained Morse code capability but
>did not use it except for drills and in the rare case of an RTTY failure.

So, by all that you've said in here, you've never been IN any
military branch. Further, you haven't done much research in
the matter either.

Think on this: The USN has a lot of shore stations. Those
shore stations are considered "fixed-point" stations; i.e., they
do not move. The shore stations communicate with one
another.

>Was this on all USN ships? From the records and accounts I've seen, the
smaller
>and older ships were the last to get new radio gear. In the early 1960s, many
>of the older, smaller ships were still equipped with WW2 vintage RBA/RBB/RBC
>receivers, transmitters of similar vintage, and were heavily dependent on
>Morse. But that is a few years before the time period you refer to. It's quite
>possible that even the oldest and smallest USN ships had been refitted or
>retired by 1966.

I'm sorry, but how did you derive "...heavily dependent on morse?" You saw
one code key on a photo of a radio bench and enlarged that?!?

Had you done enough research you would have found out that the
USN was using RTTY both in-clear and on-line-encrypted in 1940
on cruisers and heavier ships.

>Some intersting points:
>
>- While it is claimed that all branches of the US military decided to
eliminate
>Morse in 1948, almost 20 years later the capability was still retained by the
>Navy as a backup.

I'm not sure who Miccolis uses for the source of that factoid, but I said that
the US military had decided to eliminate OOK CW for (capitals to make
sure the poor-of-seeing have aid) FIXED-POINT TO FIXED-POINT HF long-
haul communications in 1948. Hello? The largest "traffic" of the US
military communications over radio occured on fixed-point to fixed-point
HF long-haul communications. The reasons are: Much higher throughput,
much fewer operator mistakes, encryption can be integrated with in-clear
communications means using TTY.

As late as 1965 land force HF radio equipment still had OOK CW
capability up to Division level. But, having the capability does not infer
that OOK CW was much used. The use of OOK CW communications
on land was steadily decreasing. Teleprinter was the favored written-
message mode of that time. Radio equipment with carrier on-off
switching capability was re-adapted to station control; stations having
easier shut-down or the capability to quickly switch from transmitter to
transmitter.

The major communications mode of US military land forces in the
1950s to time-now period is voice. Second mode is data/(TTY-like). Third
mode is imagery. Since about 1994 the US military has been advancing
the "digital battlefield" concept...and succeeding.

>- The FCC of the 1960s not only supported Morse code testing for all ham
>licenses but actually raised the standards by requiring 20 wpm instead of 13
>wpm for full-privileges after November of 1968.

Unrelated comparison but not unexpected in here.

The FCC no doubt was heavily lobbied then by the ARRL for that
"incentive" plan from the more-influential ARRL members who were either

retired (and wanting to strut their stuff with nice titles and status) or had morsemanship as a personal favorite. SSB voice was coming on strong on HF. More and more ready-manufactured equipment was becoming available and behind-panel, hands-on work on the hardware would begin a downturn that would continue until now. The emphasis was on operating. The solid-state era was beginning in earnest and would take over nearly all active electronics functions. New methods of technology were being introduced. The old methods known by the old-timers who began before or during WW2 were being replaced by new technology. The old-timers wanted their skills-while-young to be "worth something" so they pushed for morsemanship as a criteria for "excellence" in amateurism.

Did the "FCC" push for the "incentive plan?" No. The ARRL was doing the pushing. The FCC probably didn't much care one way or the other since it was facing a great heaping amount of new radio services using ever-increasing technology. Regardless of the political phrasing concerning "national importance" of amateur radio, it was still a hobby activity. It was not broadcasting, not a common carrier, not inter-connected with foreign telecommunications, not directly related to public safety, not related to business activities. It was avocational. Important to individuals, yes, but each station did not directly tie in with national needs. But, the FCC must listen to its citizens so it did what amounted to a compromise in the 1960s...it listened to the only large amateur radio membership organization of the time, the ARRL. There was nothing at all approaching the level of individual citizen contact such as possible by the Internet now and back to a decade ago.

The FCC effectively rubber-stamped the ARRL proposal and the ARRL proceeded to take the credit for it ever since...until the 98-143 NPRM and eventual restructuring of license classes and testing. There can be no mistake that the ARRL took the credit for the "incentive plan" and it is pointless to now "blame" the plan on the FCC.

Good historians consult as much research material as is possible, from as many sources as possible. Bad historians limit their research and interject their own personal desires into their histories.

2380. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 06:08:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727020847.27485.00001006@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50193

>Subject: Re: I Want To See It, Lennie
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/27/02 01:45 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020727014545.05039.00000290@mb-cm.aol.com>

>It's good practice to try emulating a civil human sometime,
>instead of passing yourself off as a pedantic father-figure.
>You ought to try it.

BWWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! !

WHAT A RIP, LENNIE!

Who said you don't have a sense of humor?!?!

2381. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 06:30:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D423C4C.656757F9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50194

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020726220653.07756.00000179@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >>In article <9f4bfe89.0207260903.a155d5d@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> >>(N2EY) writes:
>
> In that time period a First Phone was valid only for five years.
> Mine was granted on March 29, 1956, and expired on March
> 29, 1961. One had to renew the license by mail, sending in
> the one to be renewed. A new certificate was then mailed back
> along with the old certificate, the old certificate stamped either
> "Expired" or "Cancelled."
>
> I call it my "first First Phone" but it is really the first Form 758A
> received. Are you going to be pedantic again on nomenclature
> and get all stuffy and full of yourself?
>
> >I did not request it - why send it to me?
>
> Why not? Now that you have it, do you want to argue little
> picayune details of it, make Himilayas out of anthills?
>
> >I don't want it. I'll take your word that you once had an FCC commercial
> >license.
>
> Now you have near-tangible PROOF. If you want to make an
> *ISSUE* out of it, go to the FCC.

I know you didn't request it, Len, but I'm thinking about sending you a copy of my first amateur radio license. Maybe I'll include a jpeg of my Extra Class certificate too. A couple of mb shouldn't be much trouble for you.

Let's see if you stuffy westerners have a sense of humor.

Dave K8MN

2382. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 12:06:34 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <e1w09.8192$Kl6.498490@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50196

"Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
>
> There is none ... and when you think that
> EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !

Posted by an unidentified moron. Hope he feels better now.

2383. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 12:51:11 UTC
From: Herb <Sanhedrin@rrapSanhedrin.org>
Message-ID: <ahu500$sn8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50197

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:e1w09.8192$Kl6.498490@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
> news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
> >
> > There is none ... and when you think that
> > EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
>
> Posted by an unidentified moron. Hope he feels better now.
>

And responded to by one of the r.r.a.p. Sanhedrin.
Hope you feel better now.

2384. The church of Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 13:38:49 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.27.13.38.49.291004.18263@arrl.org>
Source record: 50198

On Fri, 26 Jul 2002 19:42:52 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <pan.2002.07.26.08.54.01.671688.22096@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>On Thu, 25 Jul 2002 18:13:41 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>> In article <pan.2002.07.25.12.27.51.951363.7106@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 24 Jul 2002 23:22:18 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.
>>>>
>>>>But without evidence.
>>>
>>> Where is the evidence that CR *IS* honest...other than they telling
>>> everyone how honest they are?
>>
>>Do you read Consumer Reports? If you do and have any experience with any
>>of the products they review, you can easily verify claims they've made
>>about those products. Those verifications should convince you that there
>>is honest reporting in CR.
>
> I used to be a subscriber to CR, total of six years. I DID verify that
> their claims were not always true; that cannot be absolute since I did
> not check out ALL of them. But this isn't about CR, is it?

Once you claim someone to be dishonest it becomes about them, in part. I'd
be interested to hear the specifics of CR's untruths. I'd also be
interested in hearing how you determined that CU knew it was printing
untruths.

>>No, the evidence is legion. You have a rich posting history of trying to
>>change the subject when you're losing the debate.
>
> Is someone "winning" while others are "losing" debates? :-)

No, nobody is winning. But you're losing because you're making no real
argument.

>>Sometimes, like now, you
>>just try to change the subject, but often you diverge from the issue
>>into personal attacks designed to distract your debating opponent from
>>the real issues. (Example: Calling Jim, N2EY, "Jimmie boy.")
>
> Now, now, Jon, try to be fair and mature. :-)

>
> You are welcome to spend hours in Google to your hearts content on
> searching out "personal attacks." Be as biased or unbiased as you want.
> All you will be doing is triumphing in your own mind after finding some
> iota and you will not, repeat NOT change much of anything in the flow of
> messages now.
>
> On the small subject of the above paragraph: How is a "familiar" turn
> of phrase (some describe it as "diminutive") a "personal attack?" That
> sort of address is very common among families in the midwestern USA
> addressing other family members. Isn't that use more affectionate than
> "attacking?"

Is Jim a member of your family? Was the form of address intended to be
affectionate?

> Okay, so how do you explain all the others who have used the exact same
> form of address. Begin with Jim Kehler long ago. Continue on to over
> two years of such use by an extra who regularly tags his messages about
> me with a Yiddish-ethnic synonym for "dickhead."
>
> Is that somehow "okay" but someone else (conveniently of opposite
> opinion) doing the same thing is now "wrong?" Curious double standard
> there.

No, it's not okay. But what has that got to do with your use of personal
attack as a way of ducking the hard questions?

>>Just ducking uncomfortable questions seems to be another one of your
>>principal debating techniques.
>
> Misdirection and false allegations of wrong-doing seem to be a standard
> operating technique of "superior" (and "mature") extras.
>
> Those, like yourself here, are simply trying to win message points by
> painting others in nasty colors.

I'm not painting, Len, I'm just pointing out the features of the image
you're painting.

> Jon, Jon, Jon...no one has to answer each and every "question" posed by
> someone else in a newsgroup.

Of course not. You are under no legal obligation to answer questions posed
here. But when you accuse others of dishonesty, you have an ethical
obligation to back up the accusations with specific evidence. I'm just
attempting to get you to live up to that ethical obligation. If you prefer
to hide behind legalisms, so be it.

> If you want to keep implying that (for example) "I am still beating my
> wife," I won't bother with such...or...I may pose an equally-nonsensical
> "question" right back at you or anyone else.
>

> Jon, I don't know what has gotten into you recently, but a
> self-righteous demand to "answer the question (you put)!" is simply a
> self-righteous demand. It doesn't have to be followed nor does it have
> to be answered in any way. No one is the "Dill Sergeant" addressing the
> troops who demands the "correct answers" and feels all those who do not
> answer "correctly" to "fall down and give me 10 (push-ups)." :-)

You're repeating yourself.

>>> There is NO evidence that the ARRL is honest...except in ARRL
>>> statements that they are honest.
>>
>>Sure there is. There are plenty of ways you can verify ARRL's honesty.
>
> Jon, Jon, Jon...try to stay with the original statement.
>
> The ARRL says it is "honest." The proof of such "honesty" cannot be
> more of the same. :-)

Ignoring the presented evidence isn't the same as there not being any.

> The ARRL says it speaks for all [US] radio amateurs. Obviously it
> doesn't if less than a quarter are ARRL members. Obviously it doesn't
> if there are many, many individuals who challenge the ARRL "honesty,"
> who have challenged that "honesty" for many years.

Oh? Who, specifically? In what way, and using what evidence? Claiming that a lot of people agree with you is an old, weak rhetorical trick. Unless you can show where these supposed "many, many" challenges have been made, you're just blowing smoke.

> The ARRL would be honest if it said "the ARRL represents its membership"
> and let it go at that (or words to that same effect). I have NO problem
> with that.
>
> The ARRL cannot possible "represent" anyone who is interested or just
> wants to learn more about amateur radio and is not yet licensed as an
> amateur. Yet, the ARRL insists that it knows all about "how to get into
> amateur radio" and fails to qualify that by the "how to" being based on
> what the "how to" was in the minds of members's experiences of many
> years in the past.

Nope. ARRL's take on "how to get into Amateur Radio" is: Pass the license exams, then get on the air. That's as relevant today as it was 80 years ago. (It's also blindingly obvious.)

> Times have changed and many more unlicensed in the amateur service
> people have knowledge and experience in other radio.

Where that experience is relevant to Amateur Radio they can apply it. Where it is not relevant to Amateur Radio, it is not germane. So?

> Do you want the ARRL to continue to talk down to newcomers?]

It doesn't.

> Do you want
> the ARRL to lead everyone by a parental hand as if they were all
> ignorant lambs?

It doesn't.

> Do you want the ARRL to appear as some superior judge
> and arbiter of behavior when the evidence is clear that they are simply
> "representing" the thoughts of long- time members thinking their way is
> the "only" way?

What evidence, specifically?

>>ARRL promises services to members and delivers on those promises. That's
>>honesty.
>
> That's NOT the WHOLE honesty. The whole honesty area includes policy
> and lobbying the FCC "on behalf of the [US] ARS community."
>
> As a non-member, I can't comment on the services to members or their
> delivery. I do know that the goods produced or resold by the ARRL are
> for the same amounts of money as required in stores (but shipping
> charges are extra). Except for a large shipping charge I have no
> quarrel with that.
>
> Let's go to POLICY of the ARRL "for the [US] ARS community." How does
> anyone KNOW that "ARRL Policy" truly represents the wishes of the ARRL
> membership? Few do since the proof is displayed by the ARRL claim that
> "the ARRL is honest." The ARRL says it so it must be true? Sorry, not
> a reasonable proof.

So, let's see how you think the world should work: When someone is accused
of dishonesty, it's not up to the accuser to prove the charge, it's up to
the accused to prove innocence. And you accuse ME of Torquemadan
tendencies!

ARRL policy is judged by its members. If ARRL policy doesn't hew to the
members' interests the members can "vote with their feet." But they don't.
So, please explain why ARRL members remain as members when, in your
opinion, ARRL is acting against their best interests.

> Political parties have used the same sort of technique for centuries.
> They say their viewpoints, statements, opinions are all "true" and keep
> repeating it so often that some folks will simply believe it. The ARRL
> is also a political organization whether it accepts that or not...it
> just doesn't have any other political party to politic against...it is
> the ARRL members against all the ARRL non-members. The ARRL must resort
> to political spin in order to continue existance.

It's easy to characterize statements you disagree with as politicl spin.
It's more difficult to challenge the statements with substantive
discussion. But try taking the harder route if you expect to make a

convincing argument.

> If its not politics, then it revolves around the pseudo-religion of
> Groups. A Group appears powerful and mighty if it acts with a loud
> voice for a long time. Groups promise many things, make many claims,
> but are just people and the Group is led by a small core group of
> "movers and shakers" who do the deciding and leading. The majority of
> Group members sit back and follow. "Good" Group members embrace
> everything and become Believers. Skeptical Group members are shunned by
> the Believers, sometimes refused or even cast out. Believers do not
> allow disbelief to exist, however slight.

Again, where are the specifics? Just claiming that "they're being mean to me" doesn't have much substance.

> While minutes to board meetings are made public, they are made public by
> the ARRL. The ARRL controls what is revealed. Others in here have
> stated that BoD meetings have covered items not in public view.

Specifics? Evidence? Why is it, Len, that your arguments are so often presented in terms of "(unidentified) others have said," with no citation of who, where and when? It leads one to suspect you're just making it all up.

> Which
> is then correct? No one can say for the ARRL controls the public
> content of the meetings.

"Prove you're innocent."

> Believers bring out the "black paintbrush" and say all skeptics are
> paranoid, are "lying," or are simply heretics. All that finger-
> pointing by Believers is still no proof of honesty.
>
> Then we have the Section Managers, the "freely elected representatives"
> in national areas. The ARRL people urge others to communicate with
> their Section to make their feelings-desires-etc known on issues and
> matters.
>
> A problem there since "freely elected" is ONLY by the membership...which
> is less than a quarter of all US radio amateurs. Section Managers
> cannot "represent" non- members and they certainly cannot "represent"
> the un- licensed. Some matters of regulation and policy concern
> entrance to amateur radio. It would seem that most SMs are a long time
> since their entrance to amateur radio and the times and regulations have
> changed in the interim.

SMs don't make ARRL policy, Len. If you're going to criticize an organization's structure, you really ought to first learn what it is.

> The "free election" is NOT anywhere close to the same sort of "free
> election" as is done for elected government officials.

Sure it is. In each case the electorate is restricted. In the case of governmental elections, it's restricted to the citizens of the jurisdiction the electoral office represents. Citizens of other jurisdictions can't vote for officials of a particular jurisdiction just because they happen to be interested in that election. In the case of ARRL, voting is restricted to ARRL members. Nonmembers and nonhams can't vote just because they are interested in the election. (Which, frankly, few are.)

> To say it was the
> same would be an unabashed lie. To imply that it is "the same" is also
> groundless...but the implication is a favorite gambit of the Believers
> and the Officials of the ARRL.

Yet another groundless charge. Just repeating "ARRL is dishonest" doesn't convince anyone. Pony up some evidence.

>>ARRL sells products to
>>members and nonmembers alike. There, too, promises are kept.
>
> There are some small differences on sales relative to member- ship. It
> is pointless to argue it here due to the obvious tone of your response.

Translation: Here'e yet another accusation for which there is no evidence whatsoever.

>>... ARRL
>>says it's working on Amateur Radio matters in Washington, Geneva and
>>elswehere. There's plenty of public record available with which to
>>verify that ARRL is in fact doing that, as it says.
>
> Using a phrase "[the] ARRL says it's working on it..." is more
> self-serving and prideful than it is a statement of "honesty."
>
> Yes, the ARRL is "working on things" but is that "work" truly
> representative of even the membership? It sure isn't the "work" that
> many of the non-members want.

That's certainly true. Nonmembers such as some of the Part 15 manufacturers, for example, would love to suppress the work that ARRL does. Hmmm... where's the proof that you're not secretly being paid by them to undermine ARRL? :-)

> It isn't "representative" of anything but what the ARRL wants.

"What the ARRL wants" is for the Amateur Radio Service to flourish. It's true that ARRL's activities aren't representative of anything except that. Which explains why so many hams have aligned themselves with ARRL's activities. They, too, want the ARS to flourish. What do you want, Len?

> In recent years the public record will show that the ARRL does NOT
> "represent" enough of the US ARS. To bring up a very dead horse again,
> look at the difference between the ARRL position on license classes and
> code testing versus the final Report and Order on 98-143...along with

> all the comments received by the FCC on that NPRM.

Most of the comments were closer to ARRL's position than to the one
finally adopted in the R&O.

> The ARRL lost most
> of its position items as quoted in the R&O, still refusing to recognize
> the FCC's own regulatory position on code testing.

"Refusing to recognize" how? Has ARRL instructed VEs to give code tests
other than those required by the FCC? Or what, exactly?

> On 90-53, the NPRM for creation of the no-code-test Technician class
> license, the ARRL's position on policy was essentially against it or for
> forcing allocated operation well above 30 MHz. The ARRL lost and
> refused to accept the FCC's own reason for elimination of the code test.

Uh, the NCTs were restricted to operation above 30 MHz, Len.

> Look at the ARRL position relative to that of the IARU on ITU-R S25.5 or
> the four principal action items concerning amateur radio for WRC-03. NOT
> the same policy.

So?

> The problem of the SW BC interference to amateurs in the 40 meter band
> has been around since WARC-79 and, so far, very little has been done
> despite all the claims of "the ARRL is working in Washington, Geneva,
> and elsewhere." By WRC-03 the 40m problem will have been around for 24
> years with no signs of easing. The IARU has been more aggressive in
> that matter...see their strong, colorful presentation on their own
> website. What has the ARRL done as effectively as the IARU or other
> amateur organizations in the past 23 years? Make excuses? Make
> promises? Make claims?

This is funny! Len, the ARRL is part of the IARU. IARU is the mechanism by
which ARRL and other national AR societies present the case of Amateur
Radio in international matters, particularly HF allocations. When you see
IARU doing things, you ARE seeing ARRL and it's sister socities in action.

Nothing has been done? How do you suppose that realignment of the 7-MHz
band got onto the agenda for WRC-03? How is it that the FCC's preliminary
view on the issue, released for public comment last spring, called for a
300-kHz-wide amateur primary allocation at 40m? Are you even aware that
said preliminary view was formulated by an FCC-appointed advisory
committee to which ARRL contributes and of which an ARRL employee is vice
chairman? If you are unaware of all of those things then you are making
comments about a topic about which you are woefully uninformed. If you did
know those things, then you are being dishonest in claiming that nothing
has been done. Which is it? We skeptics want to know!

>>The problem, of course, is that you dislike some of ARRL's policies but
>>are unable to mount effective arguments against them.
>

> Wrong wording. You are acting as a Believer and trying to wield that
> black paintbrush again. You don't have any paint.

Until you present effective counter arguments instead of innuendo and
misinformation, I'll stand by what I said.

> See some major topics up above.
>
> The EFFECTIVENESS is due to a number of policy issues in which the ARRL
> lost. 90-53. 98-143. The on-going 40m interference problem which
> still isn't decided after 23 years.
>
>>So, you mutter vague
>>intimations of dishonesty while lacking any evidence to support those
>>claims.
>
> Jon, you are like a man tied to a post in front of a firing squad trying
> to argue that the on-coming bullets don't exist. :-)

Bullets? You're shooting blanks, Len. You still haven't presented any
evidence of dishonesty on the part of ARRL. Where is it?

>>> The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.
>>
>>Ah, so there is no independent evidence of what the "will of the
>>membership" is yet you believe that it isn't being followed. On what
>>grounds?
>
> Skepticsm. Where is the disinterested third-party reference to report
> that everything is on the up-and-up?

In short, you have NO evidence.

> Skeptics can't read minds. Skeptics don't get Divine Revelations to the
> Truth. Skeptics are stuck with public observation.

Observation of what? Surely if you have observed something that gives
grounds for skepticism you can cite it. I'm still waiting for you to do
so.

> Believers refuse to accept skeptics. Skepticsm challenges a Belief
> System by its existance and the Believers MUST retain their Belief.

It's only because you lack any real argument that you keep trying to turn
the discussion into a conflict of "belief systems." You haven't presented
anything to give substance to your belief system.

>>> The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.
>>
>>What other evidence could there be? This is one of those "have you
>>stopped beating your wife yet" deals.
>
> I could write for hours on the "inherent honesty" of such "true

> statements" but it would be wasted time in trying to change the minds of
> Believers. :-)

Meaning you have no answer to give. Just a string of baseless and
unsupported accusations accompanied by assertions that there is no
proof that the accusations aren't true.

> There were skeptics who observed the activity of Worldcom executives
> many months ago...and now Worldcom is under investigation of many nasty
> things. All of the lawyers for the Worldcom executives say "they are
> innocent and haven't done anything wrong!"

There is evidence against the Worldcom executives. Where's the evidence
against ARRL?

> There were skeptics who observed the activity of the Adelphia founding
> family many months ago...and now that family has been arrested and
> Adelphia operations are under investigation. The defense lawyers all
> claim their clients are "innocent!"

There is evidence against the Adelphia owners. Where's the evidence
against ARRL?

> Need I mention Enron? Many Enron employees Believed in their company
> sufficiently to invest, thinking everything was on the up-and-up there.
> :-)

There is evidence against the Enron executins and the auditors. Where's
the evidence againt ARRL?

Anyody can make accusations without evidence. But it's unethical to do so.

>>> The only evidence presented is what the ARRL submits to the public.
>>
>>Nope. Go to a large hamfest and attend the ARRL forum, or just hang
>>around the ARRL table.
>
> Oh, so the "proof" exists in Dayton? :-)

Among other places.

>>You'll hear plenty of exchanges between the ARRL leadership and the
>>ham-in-the-street.
>
> I've gone to many various gatherings of different organizations and
> heard their leadership and the member-in-the-hall. That includes ham
> clubs, Jon. The leader-ship can never do wrong...because the leadership
> says they can never do wrong. :-)

If the leadership does do wrong they get voted out.

>>If the ARRL's actions were counter
>>to the interests of those people, the exchanges would be largely
>>negative in tone. But they aren't.

>
> Get away from an ARRL-controlled fest and do it, Jon. Use Mark Twain's
> novel as an example...be pauper instead of prince. The tone will
> change. Trust me... :-)

There are no "ARRL-controlled" hamests, Len. You really should learn
something about the topics you address.

>>The evidence is there, and people who actually participate in ham radio
>>are well aware of it. What you fail to understand is that the interests
>>of the BoD and staff aren't different from those of the members or of
>>hams in general.
>
> How do I know the above is true? Jon Bloom says it is true!
> :-)
>
> Jon, the problem is that you won't recognize negative criticsm plus you
> demonstrate all the symptoms of a Believer.

I'm just looking for evidence to support the chages you're making, Len. So
far, you haven't presented any.

> Personally, I believe in Christianity, the Trinity, and the existance of
> a Higher Power. I can't offer evidence of any of that, pro or con! Am I
> "mistaken?" To a non-believer I would be.

Ah, so your belief that ARRL is dishonest came in the form of a
revelation. No wonder you have no evidence to present!

> This isn't about a Belief system in something organized by humans for
> other humans. In such affairs it is possible to be a skeptic and not
> considered a heretic.

Yep. But if you want your skepticism to be accepted, you should present
something to justify it.

>>>>When an opinion is held without supporting evidence it is merely a
>>>>prejudice.
>>>
>>> I disagree. I'd call it suspicion.
>>
>>When suspicion is based on no evidence it's paranoid.
>
> Under that definition -
>
> Then all police investigators are "paranoid" in looking for
> evidence...because they have NO evidence to start with. Not a good
> reason, Jon.

They have evidence of a crime to start with. Where's the evidence. Len?

> Most research scientists would be classified as "paranoid" because they
> are looking for evidence that a particular science is not quite that of

> established theory. Based on "gut feel" sometimes, by being skeptical
> about existing theory. Not a good reason, Jon.

Research scientists don't start with suspicion, they start with questions.
And they require verifiable evidence before deciding that someting might
be true. Where's your verifiable evidence, Len?

>>> Where is the un-biased evidence that the ARRL is always truthful?
>>
>>Answered above. Once a baseline of truthfulness is established, you have
>>to have EVIDENCE of untruthfulness to make a substantive claim of
>>dishonesty.
>
> Until someone other than the ARRL, ARRL officials, or ARRL members can
> come up with disinterested third-party references to the total honesty
> of all ARRL claims, positions, and policies, I will remain the skeptic.

In short, "prove you're innocent."

> Not a Believer, a skeptic. Call me all the names you want, apply all
> the black paint you want, insult me, my family, my ancestors, my city,
> county, state...and you haven't yet provided that "baseline of
> truthfulness."

I've not insulted any of those things. Are you claiming I have?

> The ARRL says it is honest in everything. It's a Belief system.

It's easy to find cases where ARRL has acted honestly, which supports the
assertion that it does so act. You can't cite one example of where it has
acted dishonestly. The objective evidence is that ARRL is honest. Your
"belief system" simply ignores the objective evidence. Which is better, a
belief that is supported by avilable evidence or one for which there is no
supporting evidence?

> Under the "baseline of truthfulness" description, ANY propaganda would
> be truthful. It isn't.

Nope. The "baseline of truthfulness" consists of those statements that can
be verified. There are plenty of those from ARRL, as you well know.

>>> The argument was over opinion, not religion.
>>
>>In your case there's not much difference since you form your opinions
>>without evidence.
>
> I believe in God and Christianity. I have NO tangible evidence to
> confirm of any of it. Nonetheless, I have a belief that it is true.

Like I said, revelation. And I have no problem with your belief that ARRL
is dishonest being of the same nature. I just wanted to clarify that it
is, in fact, an article of faith on your part rather than a conclusion
based on the rational evaluation of observed events.

> I am a skeptic concerning the human-organized and run ARRL, based
> largely on general human behavior in Groups. The ARRL is not a religion
> per se. I have NO tangible evidence to confirm that it is honest in its
> policies, statements, and claims.

Sure you do, you just prefer to ignore it because it doesn't conform to
revealed truth as you see it.

> Which of my statements is "correct?"

1) That there is no absolute proof that ARRL is honest. Such proof is
impossible, of course. On the other hand, there is EVIDENCE of ARRL's
honesty that you won't acknowledge.

2) That your belief in ARRL's dishonesty is akin to a religious faith.

> Which are "incorrect?"

That ARRL is dishonest.

> You make a
> false allegation against an individual based on your own Belief System?
> What is that called elsewhere?

I've made no allegations. I've only pointed out the fallacies in your
belief system, the principal one being the complete lack of supporting
evidence.

>>> Miccolis wished to paint
>>> opinions opposite to his as "mistakes." Opinions are only opinions.
>>
>>But not all opinions are equal.
>
> We can operate under the Latin oxymoron, "Primus inter pares?"
> :-)
>
>>Opinions which are based on a reasonably objective evaluation of the
>>available facts, aided by public discussion of those facts and
>>evaluations, are substantive.
>
> Be careful on making such a sweeping, blanket absolute! :-)

Why?

> What you've just written is a prime example of the intellectual output
> of nearly every single religion in human existance!

No, religious debates aren't settled by "reasonably objective evaluation of
the available facts." They're settled by schism.

But I don't approach the question of whether or not a person or an
organization is honest on the basis of religion. I approach it on the
basis of evaluation of the evidence. That seems to be the fundamental
difference between your opinions and mine. Yours are based on revelation,
mine on evaluation.

>>Opinions, such as yours,
>>which are based on unreasoned emotion are quasi-religious and add
>>nothing useful to the public debate.
>
> Tsk, tsk. There goes that paintbrush again! :-)
>
> Basically, I'm just against the morse code test for a radio license,
> Jon. I seem to be arguing against a Belief System there.

Guess what, Len. I'm against it, too.

> Let's see...if I've been IN radio communication for a large part of the
> last half century and I've never used morse code once (or had to use it
> for any purpose) and I've seen all kinds of evidence that morse code is
> slow, inefficient, error-prone in communications even though the ARRL
> has constantly pushed morsemanship as the ultimate communication mode
> for all radio amateurs and I've found some inconsistencies between ARRL
> statements-policies-stands on amateur radio issues...then I am acting on
> "emotional bias" in "quasi-religious" misunderstanding? :-)

Until you can "show your work," yes, you are.

> OK. Call it what you will. I've been pretty well insulted three ways
> from Sunday in here for quite some time by a lot of emotionally- driven
> pseudo-religious zealots about morse code. Should I add your name to
> the list? :-)

Why, do you feel I've insulted you? In what way? Or is this another
article of faith in the catechism? :-)

> Maybe I'll take it all up with Pastor Ralph (Midtlyng) next Sunday. He
> can consult with higher church members on this weightly issue which
> concerns separation of church and BoD. We'll get back to you after a
> while. You hang in there with your Divine Truth.

I'll be interested to hear what he has to say. :-)

Jon, KE3Z

2385. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 16:50:25 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lbA09.6011$pg2.515462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50199

```
"Herb" <Sanhedrin@rrapSanhedrin.org> wrote in message
news:ahu500$sn8$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:e1w09.8192$Kl6.498490@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
> > news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
> > >
> > > There is none ... and when you think that
> > > EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
> >
> > Posted by an unidentified moron. Hope he feels better now.
> >
>
> And responded to by one of the r.r.a.p. Sanhedrin.
> Hope you feel better now.

Glorioski, promoted to the Sanhedrin and here I thought I was a minor
flunkey. Course I got promoted by someone else who likes unidentified
potshots so maybe it don't count.

And noting the pita.alt.net I will bet this is one of the sub-humans from
the alt-ghetto with a case of sore hemorrhoids on a fine Saturday morning.
Oh well, the promotion was fun while it lasted.

Dick, N�BK
```

2386. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 17:02:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ahuk2v$v2h$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50200

This has got to me a troll right? No one is this stupid...Yeah I said
stupid and not ignorant. Whats the diff 'Joker' asks? You can fix
ignorant...but you just cain't fix stupid. How goes it Joker?

'Every state in the US is a DXCC entity'... now thats STUPID.

Dan/W4NTI

"Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
>
>
> Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about the power
> used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to smash people
on
> pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is became a war of
amps.
> The real ham spririt has disapeared, there is no more friendship on the
> overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because there are
too
> much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war "Oh he got a
> 3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !". That's the spirit now : to have the
> bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of his shack
and
> have his picture in QST.
> This bad spirit is now totally spreaded by the amp sellers that say in
their ads
> "No time to waste on pile-ups" (i.e "Smash other hams with our amps").
> How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power is
limited
> to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW on 6m ??
It's
> evident that the result is not the same.
> Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood the call
> and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you have
missed.
> So where is the merit to have a DXCC ? There is none ... and when you
think that
> EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2387. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 17:45:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727134531.20918.00000784@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 50201

>Subject: Why I will never ask a DXCC award
>From: Joker qrp@radio.net
>Date: 07/26/02 19:05 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com>

>Because it's a lame award.

Yeah...that's why there are so many people competing fot it.

Except you, of course.

>Nobody cares.

Somebody does, or (1) no one would apply for it, and (2) you wouldn't have
wasted bandwidth on it.

>How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power is limited
>to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW on 6m ??

You don't. DXCC is typically an HF award. And even if you do, it's still
an individual award. It's "value" is what you put into it.

>So where is the merit to have a DXCC ?

Where indeed. If you don't want one, don't waste your time. Otherwise
shut up and dig in.

>and when you think that
>EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !

The "joke" is that you are some anonymous putz who obviously has an axe to
grind. Also, you don't seem to have your facts right.

Reminds me of someone else I know who has an axe to grind and poor mastery
of any facts........

K4YZ

2388. Re: Jon Bloom assuming a Torquemada Role

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 17:52:07 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <3d42dd20$0$98815$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 50202

In <ahsh12$c83$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020726022729.04625.00000871@mb-mk.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Jon Bloom assuming a Torquemada Role
>> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >>Date: 07/25/02 18:13 Eastern Daylight Time
>> >>> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.
>> >>
>> >>But without evidence.
>> >
>> >Where is the evidence that CR *IS* honest...other than they telling
>everyone
>> >how honest they are?
>>
>> In as much as they are wide open to public scrutiny and there is NO
>> evidence of any misdeeds or wrongdoing, one can safely state they are
>telling
>> the truth.

>Well said and given the duration and exposure, IF the CR was in
>any way dishonest it would have come to light by now.

>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

I've got to (grudgingly) agree with Len Anderson on this one.

Consumer Reports does suffer from enough built-in agendas, bias, subjectivity, and sampling problems to make any consumer take its pronouncements with a huge grain of salt. Specific examples:

- Statistical problems with automobile reviews, including self-selected samples from voluntary surveys, setting expectations, lack of clarification about what is a "serious" problem, and insufficient factoring out of the resulting anomalies. For example, widely varying results from identical sibling models made on the same assembly line differing only in "brand engineering" of labels, grills, etc. This is most acute when one model wears a foreign make (e.g., Mitsubishi or Toyota) and another one wears a domestic make (e.g., Chrysler or Chevrolet):

http://www.allpar.com/cr.html

Even if the objective measurements are done well, what should the reader's reaction be to subjective commentary like, "No one needs a car this big" when reviewing the Ford Crown Victoria?

- Excessively alarmist and flawed stories about pesticides, with insufficient disclosure about the amount of funding that CR takes from groups that have a strong anti-pesticide agenda:

http://www.quackwatch.com/03HealthPromotion/cu.html

- "Consumer Distorts" when it steps outside the area of fairly objective testing of consumer products into the nebulous area of strong environmental advocacy. Often this advocacy is not grounded in good science and is alarmist, presented out of proportion to other risks in society:

http://www.junkscience.com/consumer/consumer_about.htm

- Flawed editorial coverage of industry deregulation of telecommunications, airline travel, and power. In particular, failing to acknowledge reduced prices (in inflation-adjusted dollars) to the consumer, and completely ignoring the positive outcomes of deregulation in areas like freight transportation. Its advocacy of "redistributive" versus "public interest" regulation is a clear, and non-consensus, agenda:

http://www.cato.org/cgi-bin/scripts/printtech.cgi/research/articles/vandoren-firey-020627.html

- Presuming to give medical advice, and sometimes making dangerous mistakes as a result. For example, advising women as young as their 40's to take the drug Tamoxifen and have regular mammograms, despite medical research and patient experiences that have indicated that such interventions have greater risks to those women than no intervention:

http://www.junkscience.com/consumer/consumer_index.html

- CR's past perfect record of never being successfully sued in court ended with the Isuzu rollover debacle where the courts agreed with Isuzu that the CR review of its vehicle made false statements (though stopping short of finding libel or product defamation):

http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/1999/11/29/60II/main72161.shtml

CR makes mistakes in minor ways as well, and the reader should be aware of this when making purchase decisions based on test results. For example, it's my personal experience that some product testing is unavoidably subjective, CR doesn't properly acknowledge this degree of subjectivity, and this may result in outcomes that do not stand up well in the fullness of time (i.e., when the market speaks and eventually favors one product over another). The review of so-called "gift pens" (expensive writing instruments) from 1992 or 1993 was an example of a mostly subjective test given a coat of scientific varnish to make it look "objective." Their favorite pick? The Parker Place Vendome. It was a very narrow, stick-like pen with a very rigid, narrow nib which was too small to take a standard-sized ink reservoir. This pen is no longer made. Parker has replaced it in its price range with fatter, more ergonomic, instruments like the Frontier and the Poetry with

larger, more flexible nibs. According to the article, the testers were employees of CR who could, or preferred to, hand-write. One reasonable conclusion was that their testers were mostly women and preferred small, narrow, writing instruments. Larger instruments more comfortable to those with bigger hands (i.e., men) were unfairly downgraded in the test results.

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

2389. Re: Jon thinks ARRL disbelief is all specious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 17:56:18 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <ahums2$206u$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 50203

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>Look at the ARRL position relative to that of the IARU on
>ITU-R S25.5 or the four principal action items concerning
>amateur radio for WRC-03. NOT the same policy.
>
>The problem of the SW BC interference to amateurs in the
>40 meter band has been around since WARC-79 and, so
>far, very little has been done despite all the claims of "the
>ARRL is working in Washington, Geneva, and elsewhere."
>By WRC-03 the 40m problem will have been around for 24
>years with no signs of easing. The IARU has been more
>aggressive in that matter...see their strong, colorful
>presentation on their own website. What has the ARRL
>done as effectively as the IARU or other amateur
>organizations in the past 23 years? Make excuses?
>Make promises? Make claims?

Traceroute tells me that the IARU's own website, www.iaru.org, is on a machine whose real name is www.remote.arrl.org which is also the home for www.arrl.org. I noticed that someone with an ARRL business card (W4RI?) has a permanent document cubby hole in the ITU building in Geneva where they hold meetings (or at least had one when I was there), which I assume means he attends meetings there quite frequently. The ARRL is the IARU's "International Secretariat", which seems to mean that they pay a lot of the bills. I don't know how long ago it was when the IARU's President was a ham from somewhere other than the US, certainly not in the last 8 years or so.

While it is probably too politically incorrect for Jon to mention it I suspect that much of what the IARU wants to do is actually done by employees of the ARRL and paid for by ARRL members. I can't figure out why these guys would be so effective when working on IARU stuff but so ineffective when working on ARRL stuff.

Dennis Ferguson

2390. Re: The church of Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 18:16:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727141603.20918.00000790@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 50204

>Subject: The church of Len
>From: Jon Bloom jbloom@arrl.org
>Date: 07/27/02 09:38 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <pan.2002.07.27.13.38.49.291004.18263@arrl.org>

>> On the small subject of the above paragraph: How is a "familiar" turn
>> of phrase (some describe it as "diminutive") a "personal attack?" That
>> sort of address is very common among families in the midwestern USA
>> addressing other family members. Isn't that use more affectionate than
>> "attacking?"
>
>Is Jim a member of your family? Was the form of address intended to be
>affectionate?

He most likely was trying to be affectionate. Some of the most virulent
gay-bashers are themselves homosexual. It's an attempt to divert attention
away from themselves.

>> Jon, Jon, Jon...no one has to answer each and every "question" posed by
>> someone else in a newsgroup.
>
>Of course not. You are under no legal obligation to answer questions posed
>here. But when you accuse others of dishonesty, you have an ethical
>obligation to back up the accusations with specific evidence. I'm just
>attempting to get you to live up to that ethical obligation. If you prefer
>to hide behind legalisms, so be it.

We would expect Lennie to back up his bravado with facts, Jon?

>So, please explain why ARRL members remain as members when, in your
>opinion, ARRL is acting against their best interests.

Good question, Jon. I can't wait to see the reply.

>Specifics? Evidence? Why is it, Len, that your arguments are so often
>presented in terms of "(unidentified) others have said," with no citation
>of who, where and when? It leads one to suspect you're just making it all
>up.

Well, he IS from Southern California...home of the yellow tabloid and
Hollywierd...fantasy is a way of life there. That's why the best part of SoCal
I ever saw was in the rear-view mirror.

>> The "free election" is NOT anywhere close to the same sort of "free
>> election" as is done for elected government officials.
>
>Sure it is. In each case the electorate is restricted. In the case of

>governmental elections, it's restricted to the citizens of the
>jurisdiction the electoral office represents. Citizens of other
>jurisdictions can't vote for officials of a particular jurisdiction just
>because they happen to be interested in that election. In the case of
>ARRL, voting is restricted to ARRL members. Nonmembers and nonhams can't
>vote just because they are interested in the election. (Which, frankly,
>few are.)

Well done, Jon. Well spoken.

>> There are some small differences on sales relative to member- ship. It
>> is pointless to argue it here due to the obvious tone of your response.
>
>Translation: Here'e yet another accusation for which there is no evidence
>whatsoever.

Exactly.

>That's certainly true. Nonmembers such as some of the Part 15
>manufacturers, for example, would love to suppress the work that ARRL
>does. Hmmm... where's the proof that you're not secretly being paid by
>them to undermine ARRL?

This I'd like to see! (gesturing a pat on the back for KE3Z)

>If you are unaware of all of those things then you are making
>comments about a topic about which you are woefully uninformed. If you did
>know those things, then you are being dishonest in claiming that nothing
>has been done. Which is it? We skeptics want to know!

Both?

>> Personally, I believe in Christianity, the Trinity, and the existance of
>> a Higher Power.

Obviously there must be more than one Christianity...because in the
Christianity >>I<< believe in, "Thou Shall Not Bear False Witness" is one of
the biggie tenants.

>> Not a Believer, a skeptic. Call me all the names you want, apply all
>> the black paint you want, insult me, my family, my ancestors, my city,
>> county, state...and you haven't yet provided that "baseline of
>> truthfulness."

Eighty+ years of continuous operation pretty establishes a clear baseline.

>> OK. Call it what you will. I've been pretty well insulted three ways
>> from Sunday in here for quite some time by a lot of emotionally- driven
>> pseudo-religious zealots about morse code. Should I add your name to
>> the list?

I'd be asking myself why I was being so targeted and questioning my own
conduct, regardless of WHO was doing the "insulting".

One or two people you can discount as being social misfits or just plain unsocialable.

Every other respondent kinda set's up a trend, however. And Lennie is on the downside of that curve.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2391. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 18:26:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <pBB09.67$Ka1.3893381@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50205

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to
> > learn
> > > > > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary
and
> > > > > > unnecessary
> > > > > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary
and
> > > > > > unnecessary.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for success.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional
> > frequencies.
> > > > > > > > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why not?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass, you aren't
> > > > > > rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did pass, you
> > > > > > do receive additional spectrum or privileges.
> > >
```

> > Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And I've previously
> > stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not envision how a
person
> > can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?
>
> About the only way I could see that happening is if you didn't pass ALL
> the required exams. If you didn't pass all of the required exams, you
> weren't rewarded. Those who pass all the exams ARE rewarded.
>
> > > > > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and
/T5?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you
considered
> > to
> > > > be
> > > > > > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can
be
> > as
> > > > > > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in
other
> > > > things.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > There you have it.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > How is that wrong?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > How is that a description of DXing?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Should I chant or face the East or something?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask me
> > > > > > questions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But I am, and I do. So answer the question.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.
> > > >
> > > > You need to verify that you know.
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries
> > > > > > > > > > authorizations
> > > > > > > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just
supposed
> > to
> > > > > > tune
> > > > > > > > > > from
> > > > > > > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across
the

> > ones I
> > > > > > need.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160 meter
> > > > > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted to look
up 80
> > > > > and 160 allocations.
> > > > >
> > > > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget them when
>
> > someone out of band tries to caontact me?
> > >
> > > I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up allocations in
> > > order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those you
don't
> > > wish to contact.
> >
> > Yes that is correct.
>
> You seemed confused.

No, I am not confused. If I know the target countries operating priveleges, then I know where to look for them. And should the French amateurs try to contact me out of band on 6M, I wouldn't work them because I would know they were out of band.

I haven't the slightest amount of confusion about this.

> > > > > > > > > Oh, and try to be accurate.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I dunno, Brian. How many'd you work from each spot? On
how
> > many
> > > > > > > bands
> > > > > > > > > > were you active? How many CW and RTTY QSOs did you hand
out?
> > How
> > > > > > > > > > prompt were you in handling QSLs? What was your strategy
in
> > > > handling
> > > > > > > > > > both SSB and CW pileups? Did you ask each op for his
name,
> > > > correct
> > > > > > > > > > spelling of his QTH and what his weather was like?
That'll
> > take
> > > > > care

> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > > > one side of the fence. I do seem to recall that you told
us
> > that
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > > enjoy ragchewing with distant stations. Do you consider
that
> > to
> > > > > be
> > > > > > > > > > DXing?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > ?????????????
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is 100
> > > > > countries,
> > > > > > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100 countries worked
and
> > > > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have any idea
how
> > > > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only one
band
> > and
> > > > > > > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could have done
> > > > > > > > something about.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX. But you
>
> > appear to have a problem with that.
> > > >
> > > I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't do that
> > > "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to DX spots
to
> > > operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in the U.S.?
> > >
> > No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it. I've accepted
> > that.
>
> I understand if you find my question embarrassing.

I don't find my license class embarassing, nor being and chasing DX with
that license embarassing.

I find your unethical DXing embarassing. Typical ugly American abroad.

> > > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > > > until I'm an Extra, does it?
> > > >
> > > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its territories and
> > > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S. possession.

> >
> > You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX country?
>
> I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.

Are you saying that Somalia cannot be a DX country because it is not a U.S.
posession?

FYI, the USA can be a DX country if you're in the Falkland Islands.

> > > > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I didn't
work
> > > > 10,000
> > > > > > of them?
> > > > >
> > > > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept of
DXing.
> > > >
> > > > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
> > >
> > > ...not if I go by what you've stated here.
> >
> > Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a pre-conceived
idea
> > that whatever I do is wrong.
>
> You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be DXing.
> Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges between us.
> Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion that
> everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about everything you do.

So is Somalia now a US Posession?

> > You've obviously missed how I passed all of the exams and yet gained no
> > additional priveleges.
>
> There's only one way that could happen. You didn't pass all of the
> required exams.

Sure.

> > > > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > One at a time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How?
> > > >
> > > > How do you do it?
> > >
> > > You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't you know?

> >
> > I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a time."
>
> That's pretty much descriptive of almost any amateur radio QSO.
> Funny--most of the DXers I know have a more complex idea of how a pileup
> is handled from the DX end.

Most? What of the ones who don't hold your view? Do you make fun of them?

> > Do you work them two at a time? five?
>
> Divine it from the ether. You're a DXer. You've said so.

I knew there was something magical. You are truly magical.

> > > > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing, you
> > can't
> > > > > use
> > > > > > > > for operating.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But I consider it my job.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why waste
time
> > in
> > > > > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
> > > > >
> > > > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
> > >
> > > Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think rare
> > > stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!
> >
> > Someone is a stamp collector?
>
> My QSL manager of fifteen years isn't a stamp collector. No one in his
> family is a stamp collector. Any other guesses?

You pay him handsomely?

> > > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did enjoy
> > > > ragchewing
> > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What is it?
> > > > >
> > > > > It is ragchewing.
> > > >
> > > Tell that to Diego Garcia.

> > >
> > > What's his call?
> >
> > Don't know. Why?
>
> You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that I should
> direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a door.

Any amateur on Diego Garcia can tell you. You don't need any specific
amateur on that rock.

> > > > > > > > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted
to
> > > > > > > > > know what it was like over there.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line" technique.
> > Shake
> > > > > > > > > their hand with your right while moving them along the line
with
> > your
> > > > > > > > > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I see that hamming means a lot to you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a pileup
does
> > > > > > not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to Alistair spell
his
> > > > > > name phonetically and waiting while he describes his station and
> > > > > > antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his
microphone
> > > > > does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for me to
> > provide
> > > > > my name and location along with QSLing information every ten or
> > fifteen
> > > > > QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's your QSL
> > info?"
> > > > > two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic receptions
which
> > > > > did not mean a lot to me.
> > > >
> > > > Whatever is easier for you. As in QSLing.
> > >
> > > That's exactly right! Whatever makes it easier for me to put large
> > > numbers of folks into the log so that no one has to go away empty
> > > handed.
> >
> > Dave, that's wht I did with you. Yet you're still not happy.
>
> You made it easier for me to put large numbers of folks in my log? How?

You're in my log. You got confirmation.

> > > > > > > > > I think you agree that perating from a rare DX spot is
quite
> > > > different
> > > > > > > > > from DXing from the U.S.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Sure. The DX station runs the pile-up, the US station tries
to
> > work
> > > > > it.
> > > > > > > > > Contests are an excellent source of finding DX on either
side of
> > the
> > > > > > > pileup.
> > > > > > > > > The US DXer hunts and pounces the DX during a contest.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Not necessarily. Lots of U.S. stations are able to generate a
> > pileup
> > > > > in
> > > > > > > > a DX contest. I've had JA runs and EU runs last for hours.
You
> > can't
> > > > > > > > run up a high score on this side of the pond if all you do is
> > search
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > pounce.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hey Dave, are you contesting or DXing? Make up your mind.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm commenting on incorrect statements made by you. I do both.
You
> > > > > > make up your mind whether you want to talk DXing or contesting.
> > > > >
> > > > So how many times did you need to confirm JA-land? DXers use
contests >
> > to work some new ones. They're not necessarily in the contest to >
score
> > big.
> > >
> > Pretty one-sided, Brian. How many JA's need to work Tanzania in or
out
> > of a contest? Many DXers are also contesters.
> >
> So you were contesting.
>
> Not necessarily. I may not have even sent a log to the sponsoring
> organization. I was handing out DX contacts to those who were in a
> contest. As you stated, many of them are not really planning on
> submitting a score. Some are just chasing countries.

Marvy.

> > > > > > > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious
contester.
> > A
> > > > US >
> > > > > > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands.
Guam
> > was
> > > > > one of
> > > > > > > those islands.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Marvy.
> > > > >
> > > > > You'll get over it.
> > >
> > > I'm already over it.
> >
> > I'm not so sure. You seem to think I'm unworthy of the DX I was and >
the DX I've worked.
>
> I think you're very worthy of operating from foreign soil and I think
> you're very worthy of the countries you've worked. I just don't think
> you're a DXer.

And I don't think you're an ethical amateur.

> > > > > > > > What differences have you noted in goals and
> > > > > > > > > technique between rare DX ops and U.S. ops. How many do
you
> > have
> > > > > > > > > confirmed overall from the U.S. on each mode? Advise us of
> > your
> > > > > > > strategy
> > > > > > > > > > for busting the pile on a really rare one from the U.S.
How
> > are
> > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > > doing on specialty DXCCs? Are you chasing them on 6m?
160m?
> > > > > > satellite?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > 10M SSB only when I was active. I'm inactive on HF at
present.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Worked/confirmed totals? DXer's aren't inactive unless there
is
> > > > nothing
> > > > > > > > > on which they need.
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the
> > mainland.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.
> > > > >
> > > > > Then why do some of them set up big stations away from their QTH?
> > > >
> > > > I'd guess because they already own a place which has some zoning
> > > > limitations but not necessarily CC&Rs. Maybe they want to put up
three
> > > > really tall towers but own a home where they can get a permit for only
> > > > one modest tower. I don't know many DXers who have "big stations away
> > > > from their QTH".
> > >
> > What nasty thing would you like to say about them?
>
> Why would I want to say anything nasty to them?

Would you say they are not DXers?

> > > > > > > > > For the pileup, try to listen to where he is actually
picking
> > them
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > when he says "up 10," then call at QRZ or tailend the current
Op.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Or you can use your reverse button to follow the action
without
> > > > calling
> > > > > > > > > at all for a while to see if you can pick up a pattern. Some
ops
> > > > listen
> > > > > > > > > over a window of frequencies and tune from bottom to top, a
little
> > at
> > > > > a
> > > > > > > > > time. Others work top to bottom. Some jump around randomly
> > within a
> > > > > > > > > window looking for a clear spot with few callers. Some
announce
> > "up
> > > > > ten"
> > > > > > > > > when they are listening up five.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Common.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you knew it to be common, why didn't you write it?
> > > > >
> > > > It's kind of basic, Dave. Why do you patronize me?
> > >

> > > Because you claim to be a DXer and didn't make note of these basics.
> >
> > Did I mention that I usually connect my antenna before operating DX?
>
> Even non-DXers do that.
>
> > > > > > > > > When operating 10M SSB, what specialty is there other than
QRP?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If you limit yourself to SSB, there aren't many options. If
you
> > limit
> > > > > > > > yourself to 10m, there aren't many options. Those limits would
> > > > certainly
> > > > > > > > get in the way of a DXer.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why? I enjoyed it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ...because those things place limitations on your DXing.
> > > > >
> > > > > So did the Wing Commander. My Quad had to come down.
> > >
> > > You have a Wing Commander now?
> >
> > No. Do you?
>
> No, I have a couple of towers and lots of antennas.
>
> Dave K8MN

2392. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 18:46:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727144611.25905.00000271@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 50206

In article <20020726232922.17808.00000893@mb-mn.aol.com>, a little barking dog writes:

>>That should be rectified with file "Attach L.ZIP" which you
>>received. The same will be sent to the other two requestors.
>
> Sorry, Your Putziness. you had your chance and you had to show
>your...well...suffice it to say to other posters you lived up to yor
>reputation as being undependable.
>
> The other files may have very well have been your will making me sole
>beneficiary to the Anderson estate (all $35 of it...) but it went to .zip
>file heaven without being read.

I cannot be responsible for those that cannot handle old ZIP-format file compression or JPG-format image viewing. Those are in very common use by millions of computer users worldwide.

For PC users I would recommend at least a 32 MB RAM on their platform. Internet Explorer 4.0 and above will handle the JPG-format files that I sent and also display them. There are a number of JPG-format viewers available for free download from many different sites on the Internet. I recommend a simple Search for those, available on many ISPs such as AOL (on AOL click on "Internet" on tool bar, then "Search the Internet" on the pull-down menu).

It is realized that not all who access this newsgroup have PCs or computers with relatively-modern software installations ("relatively-modern" defined as that available within the last five years). For that reason I have made a reduced-resolution image set at 150 DPI (from the 300 DPI original scan), packed them in ZIP-format compression, sent that to the requestor as e-mail attachment file "Attach L 150." Those are the same front and back license form scans as described before. That file (about 630 KB in size) will be sent without accompanying message text to the requestor in private e-mail.

Neither that file nor any previously-sent file contain any computer viruses detectable by Norton Virus Scanning software. Thank you all for your patience in this trying matter.

2393. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 19:40:33 UTC
From: Rene Altena <rene.altena@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <ahut23$ul6kl$1@ID-153362.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50207

Nobody EVER managed to solve it in 60 seconds! Don't brag.

Rene

PS. I'm an engineer as well.

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020725220700.07756.00000162@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <2002725-21157-654989@foorum.com>, Univrsal Brain
<brain@best.net>
> writes:
> >
> >On the contrary, the Rubik's cube test (used by NASA, see the Armageddon
> >movie)
> >to select people, is a good approach to verify the ability to solve a
> >logic mathematical problem in a 3D space, and demonstrates a scientific
> >brain.
> >
> >Anyone able to solve a totally mixed Rubik's cube (6 faces of 3x3) in
less
> >than 30 seconds, merits to have access on HF bands.
>
> Could YOU solve one that fast?
>
> When the Rubik's Cube came out, I got one and learned to solve it. Once I
got
> my skills up, I could solve it in 60 seconds or less. With a little
practice, I
> could get back to that level of skill.
>
> However, I used engineering methods to work out the solution.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2394. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 20:01:32 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D42FC1C.7A9A415D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50208

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> This has got to me a troll right? No one is this stupid...Yeah I said
> stupid and not ignorant. Whats the diff 'Joker' asks? You can fix
> ignorant...but you just cain't fix stupid. How goes it Joker?
>
> 'Every state in the US is a DXCC entity'... now thats STUPID.

I'd just as soon use a beam antenna with the kilowatt linear to
aim more signal towards the DX. Less FR to most of the neighbors.

If every state was a DXCC entity, then if I had a WAS award
I'd be halfway home to a DXCC. Yeah....

2395. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 20:06:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727160646.13297.00000237@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50209

In article <20020727014547.05039.00000291@mb-cm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020726220652.07756.00000178@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <ahq966$t9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>><billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:20020725080640.07768.00000352@mb-mw.aol.com...
>>>> In article <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>,
>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>>> >The United States military (in which
>>>> (N2EY)
>>>> >never served) decided to stop
>>>> >using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a
>>>> >half century ago.
>>>>
>>>> Show us some proof of that.
>>>
>>>How about personal experience...
>>
>>Works for me.
>>
>>> US Navy 66-70 (almost 40
>>>years ago).
>>
>>More like 30, but let's see what ya got.
>>
>>>As a Navy electronic tech, the ships at sea used no
>>>radio morse code at all for any communications at all. The only time I
>>>saw morse used at all was an occasional "drill" excersize.
>>>From my experience, by 66, all long haul comms were
>>>via HF RTTY (encrypted).
>>
>>So, in the 1966-70 time frame, the US Navy retained Morse code capability
>>but did not use it except for drills and in the rare case of an RTTY failure.
>
>So, by all that you've said in here, you've never been IN any
>military branch.

Even if that's true, why would it be significant? K2UNK is relating his
personal experiences aboard US Navy ships in the 1966-1970 time period. I think

he is being honest about his observations.

Were you ever in the US Navy?

> Further, you haven't done much research in the matter either.

How do you know?
>
>Think on this: The USN has a lot of shore stations.

How many is "a lot"?

>Those shore stations are considered "fixed-point" stations; i.e., they
>do not move. The shore stations communicate with one
>another.

How does this have any bearing on Bill's experiences?
>
>>Was this on all USN ships? From the records and accounts I've seen, the
>>smaller and older ships were the last to get new radio gear. In the early
1960s,
>>many of the older, smaller ships were still equipped with WW2 vintage
RBA/RBB/RBC
>>receivers, transmitters of similar vintage, and were heavily dependent on
>>Morse. But that is a few years before the time period you refer to. It's
>>quite possible that even the oldest and smallest USN ships had been refitted
or
>>retired by 1966.
>
>I'm sorry, but how did you derive "...heavily dependent on morse?"

From the personal experiences of US Navy personnel serving on US Navy ships in
the time period cited.

>You saw
>one code key on a photo of a radio bench and enlarged that?!?

Nope. US Navy radio operators on various ships reported on the equipment and
techniques in use, just as Bill did.

Were you ever in the US Navy?
>
>Had you done enough research you would have found out that the
>USN was using RTTY both in-clear and on-line-encrypted in 1940
>on cruisers and heavier ships.

I knew that already. The discussion was about the use of Morse, not RTTY. And
while RTTY was used on those ships in that time period, so was Morse.

The US Navy consists of more than cruisers and heavier ships. What mode do you
think was used by US Navy submarines in WW2?
>
>>Some interesting points:
>>

>>- While it is claimed that all branches of the US military decided to
>>eliminate Morse in 1948, almost 20 years later the capability was still
retained by
>>the Navy as a backup.
>
>I'm not sure who

(N2EY)

>uses for the source of that factoid, but I said
>that
>the US military had decided to eliminate OOK CW for (capitals to make
>sure the poor-of-seeing have aid) FIXED-POINT TO FIXED-POINT HF long-
>haul communications in 1948.

Mountains out of molehills. Why do you insist on an extremely literal
interpretation of everything?

>Hello? The largest "traffic" of the US
>military communications over radio occured on fixed-point to fixed-point
>HF long-haul communications.

Are you sure of that fact for the US Navy? Show us your sources.

>The reasons are: Much higher throughput,
>much fewer operator mistakes, encryption can be integrated with in-clear
>communications means using TTY.

Nobody disputes any of that - for military applications.
>
>As late as 1965 land force HF radio equipment still had OOK CW
>capability up to Division level. But, having the capability does not infer
>that OOK CW was much used.

It does indicate that the capability had not been eliminated. And the
discussion is about the US Navy.

>The use of OOK CW communications
>on land was steadily decreasing. Teleprinter was the favored written-
>message mode of that time. Radio equipment with carrier on-off
>switching capability was re-adapted to station control; stations having
>easier shut-down or the capability to quickly switch from transmitter to
>transmitter.
>
That's nice.

When did the various military services stop requiring Morse training of their
radio operators?

>The major communications mode of US military land forces in the
>1950s to time-now period is voice. Second mode is data/(TTY-like). Third
>mode is imagery. Since about 1994 the US military has been advancing
>the "digital battlefield" concept...and succeeding.

That's nice.

How does any of that relate to your demands to the FCC for an age requirement for a ham license?

>>- The FCC of the 1960s not only supported Morse code testing for all ham
>>licenses but actually raised the standards by requiring 20 wpm instead of 13
>>wpm for full-privileges after November of 1968.
>
>Unrelated comparison but not unexpected in here.

No, it's very relevant. FCC knew what the military was doing, but did not see those changes as having any bearing on the amateur radio service.
>
>The FCC no doubt was heavily lobbied then by the ARRL for that
>"incentive" plan from the more-influential ARRL members who were either
>retired (and wanting to strut their stuff with nice titles and status) or had
>morsemanship as a personal favorite.

INCORRECT. Pure wishful speculation on your part, driven by your ignorance and anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and prejudice.

>SSB voice was coming on strong on HF.

The use of SSB by hams had been long promoted by the ARRL leadership and others. This started in earnest in the late 1940s. As examples of how ARRL promoted the use of SSB, there was a regular column in QST as early as 1948 ("On The Air With Single Sideband") and an in-depth publication on SSB for the radio amateur. AM and CW got no such special promotion.
>
>More and more ready-manufactured equipment was becoming
>available and behind-panel, hands-on work on the hardware would begin
>a downturn that would continue until now. The emphasis was on
>operating. The solid-state era was beginning in earnest and would
>take over nearly all active electronics functions. New methods of
>technology were being introduced.

This part is true.

>The old methods known by the
>old-timers who began before or during WW2 were being replaced by
>new technology.

That's true, also.

>The old-timers wanted their skills-while-young to
>be "worth something" so they pushed for morsemanship as a
>criteria for "excellence" in amateurism.

Obviously some did that. But not the ARRL leadership.

>
>Did the "FCC" push for the "incentive plan?" No.

INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
research material as is possible,
from as many sources as possible. Bad historians limit their research and
interject their own personal desires into their histories. You are being a bad
historian.

FCC wanted incentive licensing.

>The ARRL was doing the pushing.

INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
research material as is possible,
from as many sources as possible. Bad historians limit their research and
interject their own personal desires into their histories. You are being a bad
historian.

ARRL was responding to FCC pronouncements in 1963.

>The FCC probably didn't much care one way or the
>other since it was facing a great heaping amount of new radio services
>using ever-increasing technology.

INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
research material as is possible,
from as many sources as possible. Bad historians limit their research and
interject their own personal desires into their histories. You are being a bad
historian. You really need to do some research on that bit of history.

>Regardless of the political phrasing
>concerning "national importance" of amateur radio, it was still a hobby
>activity. It was not broadcasting, not a common carrier, not inter-
>connected with foreign telecommunications, not directly related to
>public safety, not related to business activities. It was avocational.

Do you think the public service contributions of amateur radio are not
important? How about during the Alaska Earthquake, which happened about that
time?

>Important to individuals, yes, but each station did not directly tie in
>with national needs. But, the FCC must listen to its citizens so it did
>what amounted to a compromise in the 1960s...it listened to the only
>large amateur radio membership organization of the time, the ARRL.

INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
research material as is possible,
from as many sources as possible. Bad historians limit their research and
interject their own personal desires into their histories. You are being a bad
historian.

>There was nothing at all approaching the level of individual citizen
>contact such as possible by the Internet now and back to a decade
>ago.

INCORRECT. While the immediacy of email and websites did not exist then, all
this did was to lengthen the time span required. The percentage of interested

parties commenting was actually greater back then than for 98-143.

>The FCC effectively rubber-stamped the ARRL proposal and the ARRL
>proceded to take the credit for it ever since...until the 98-143 NPRM
>and eventual restructuring of license classes and testing. There can
>be no mistake that the ARRL took the credit for the "incentive plan"
>and it is pointless to now "blame" the plan on the FCC.

INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much research material as is possible,
from as many sources as possible. Bad historians limit their research and interject their own personal desires into their histories. You are being a bad historian.

Here's what REALLY happened. This is a short account, but the essential details are there. Show us, if you can, where any of the following facts are inaccurate.

In 1963, the FCC expressed concern that so few amateurs had aspired to the Amateur Extra class license. The FCC asked for proposals on how to restructure the ARS to provide more "incentive" for hams to attain higher license grades.

The ARRL leadership responded in 1963 with a simple proposal:

1) Reopen the Advanced Class license to new applicants.
2) Require an Advanced or Extra Class license to operate voice on the 75, 40, 20 and 15 meter bands.

Note how simple this proposal was. Note that it did not raise the level of code testing required for all amateur privileges - just the written test requirements. FCC did not "rubber stamp" it, however. They gave it an RM number (RM-499) and asked for more ideas.

FCC got many proposals from the amateur radio community. Some came from individuals, some from other amateur radio groups. At least ten (10) of these proposals were taken seriously enough by the FCC to earn RM numbers (RM-378, 455, 470, 474, 480, 481, 516, 517, 538, 577). It was from these proposals that the idea of subbands-by-license-class and other details came about.

Commentary on the various proposals resulted in an FCC NPRM on March 31, 1965 called Docket 15928. Besides subbands-by-license class, this NPRM proposed complex callsign rules, demoting old Advanceds to General and creating a new "Amateur First" class of license, with a 16 wpm code test (!) and a new written test. This would have made four code test speeds - 5, 13, 16, and 20 wpm.

The demotion of Advanceds and the new "Amateur First" license were vigorously opposed by the ARRL and other amateur radio groups and individuals, and were eventually dropped from the FCC NPRM. FCC announced its final version in the fall of 1967, and it became effective in November of 1968.

It should be noted that the FCC received over 1700 formal comments on Docket 15928, back when the US amateur population was about 40% of what it is today. This figure does not include those whose comments were part of RM-499 or other proposals.

FCC wanted incentive licensing. FCC did not "rubber stamp" anyone's proposal. The whole process was public and open to anyone wanting to comment or propose different ideas.

And there was no talk of an age requirement for any amateur license.

Lenover21's account of how "incentive licensing" came to be is in error. It borders on being intellectually dishonest.

Jim, N2EY

2396. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 20:07:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk5va42iooe22f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50210

And when you state that every state in the USA is a DXCC entity, you have conclusively shown that the joke is you. BTW, there are virtually no amateurs running in excess of the authorized 1.5 KW for the simple reason that by giving your call sign, you are quite easily traced. No call sign, well, no ticky no washie. Also, many (likely most) amateurs DON'T EVEN OWN AN AMP! The guys I know personally around here are simply using HF transceivers WITHOUT an amp. My guess is that there exists a much higher percentage of CBers with amps (illegal) than the percentage of hams with amps (I am talking percentages here - likely there are more CBers with amps in the USA than there are amateurs in the whole world).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
>
>
> Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about the power
> used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to smash people
on
> pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is became a war of
amps.
> The real ham spririt has disapeared, there is no more friendship on the
> overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because there are
too
> much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war "Oh he got a
> 3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !". That's the spirit now : to have the
> bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of his shack
and
> have his picture in QST.
> This bad spirit is now totally spreaded by the amp sellers that say in
their ads
> "No time to waste on pile-ups" (i.e "Smash other hams with our amps").
> How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power is
limited
> to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW on 6m ??
It's
> evident that the result is not the same.
> Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood the call
> and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you have
missed.
> So where is the merit to have a DXCC ? There is none ... and when you
think that
> EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2397. Re: Hey Stewart Teaze...Ya payin' Attention, MURSMan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 20:12:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk5vjp1158cjb8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50211

Phil, a good friend of mine owns a construction business. He was at a shop when a guy came in and wanted his cell phones cloned. The shop offered to do it for him while he waited (sure, that just not be too bright). Some quick checking and everything was ready inside of 20 minutes. Yep, the police showed up. The guy did not pass go nor did he collect $200.00.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

ps - thanks for the history update. I dropped all of my tickets (1st phone, 2nd telegraph, and amateur extra) around 1980 and didn't get back in until 1993.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzv7gq3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 26 Jul 2002 13:42:13 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> >I'd like the FCC to mandate that all new transmitter and transceivers be
> >equipped with a CPU with a rom burned into it that continuously sends the
> >unit's serial number out at perhaps a 10% level.
>
> This was discussed at the FCC some 20 years ago as an interference
> resolution measure. The enforcement people who had to run around
> in the cold/dark/wet to find the intermittent/stuck/dirty transmitters
> loved it. The rules and policy people who issued the licenses didn't
> (too much work for them that they didn't do now), and got their allies,
> the manufacturers, to make sure that it wouldn't happen.
>
> It survives today in data transmissions from 406 MHz marine
> Emergency Position Indicating Radiobeacons, small floatable
> emergency transmitters required on each ocean-going vessel, and
> which are serialized and can be traced back to specific vessels.
>
> The cellphone system of Electronic ID Numbers was meant to do
> the same thing but the wireless thugs figured out a way to jimmy
> the EIN as part of "cloning" to the point that it is meaningless for
> that purpose.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2398. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 20:19:45 UTC
From: Rene Altena <rene.altena@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <ahuvol$vpnpq$1@ID-153362.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50212

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020723234755.08190.00000722@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <3D3D95EC.DD267788@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
writes:
>
>
> Being an astronaut requires years of post-graduate study and the
> requisite doctorate,

No, you don't have to hold a PhD to become an astronaut.

Rene

2399. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 21:23:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mbE09.1284$U24.42784923@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> >> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
> >> > Riley Hollingsworth
> >> >
> >The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.
>
> A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
> so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
> is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
> the courtroom staff every time....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Public servants making fun of citizens?

2400. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 21:25:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ndE09.1288$o84.43109397@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50214

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D41AE63.AB2863B2@ix.netcom.com...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >
> > That is very kind; however that photo is now about three years old.
Whenever
> > I motivate myself to purchase a digital camera, I'll post an updated
photo.
> > Just in case anyone's wondering, I'm 39 years old. I celebrate the 11th
> > anniversary of my 39th birthday this coming October.
>
> Happy Birthday. I'll be thirty-nineteen next month. :-)

Happy birthday. I'll be thirtynine five on Monday.

2401. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 22:07:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727180704.02083.00000287@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 50215

In article <ahut23$ul6kl$1@ID-153362.news.dfncis.de>, "Rene Altena"
<rene.altena@gmx.net> writes:

>Nobody EVER managed to solve it in 60 seconds!

Not the first time, anyway. But once you know how to solve it, solution speed
is mostly a matter of how fast you can manipulate the cube. Serious cubists
would lubricate the segments with Vaseline to make it faster.

> Don't brag.

"It ain;t braggin' if ya really done it" - Babe Ruth
>
>Rene
>
>PS. I'm an engineer as well.

Then you know the "engineering solution"?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020725220700.07756.00000162@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> In article <2002725-21157-654989@foorum.com>, Univrsal Brain
><brain@best.net>
>> writes:
>> >
>> >On the contrary, the Rubik's cube test (used by NASA, see the Armageddon
>> >movie)
>> >to select people, is a good approach to verify the ability to solve a
>> >logic mathematical problem in a 3D space, and demonstrates a scientific
>> >brain.
>> >
>> >Anyone able to solve a totally mixed Rubik's cube (6 faces of 3x3) in
>less
>> >than 30 seconds, merits to have access on HF bands.
>>
>> Could YOU solve one that fast?
>>
>> When the Rubik's Cube came out, I got one and learned to solve it. Once I
>got
>> my skills up, I could solve it in 60 seconds or less. With a little
>practice, I
>> could get back to that level of skill.
>>
>> However, I used engineering methods to work out the solution.

>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2402. Re: Jon thinks ARRL disbelief is all specious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 22:29:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727182910.26909.00000340@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 50216

In article <ahums2$206u$1@heap.juniper.net>, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) writes:

<snip>

>While it is probably too politically incorrect for Jon to mention it
>I suspect that much of what the IARU wants to do is actually done by
>employees of the ARRL and paid for by ARRL members. I can't figure
>out why these guys would be so effective when working on IARU stuff but
>so ineffective when working on ARRL stuff.

Dennis, here is another item to consider -

David Sumner, K1ZZ, is given as Trustee for three radio clubs:

W1AW ARRL Hq Operators Club

NU1AW International Radio Amateur Union (previously KB1BUY)

K1ZD Residence Radio Club (previously WA1RDH)

The above may be verified with www.qrz.com

NU1AW is a Vanity callsign. Note the similarity of of the last two letters with W1AW. The given mailing address is PO Box 310905, Newington, CT. W1AW given mailing address is 225 Main St, Newington, CT.

The third "club" is interesting, the "Residence Radio Club." Is that residence so big or the residents so numerous that a club is needed? :-)

2403. Inquisition 2 in full Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 22:29:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727182916.26909.00000341@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 50217

In article <pan.2002.07.27.13.38.49.291004.18263@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>>>>>On Wed, 24 Jul 2002 23:22:18 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.
>>>>>
>>>>>But without evidence.
>>>>
>>>> Where is the evidence that CR *IS* honest...other than they telling
>>>> everyone how honest they are?
>>>
>>>Do you read Consumer Reports? If you do and have any experience with any
>>>of the products they review, you can easily verify claims they've made
>>>about those products. Those verifications should convince you that there
>>>is honest reporting in CR.
>>
>> I used to be a subscriber to CR, total of six years. I DID verify that
>> their claims were not always true; that cannot be absolute since I did
>> not check out ALL of them. But this isn't about CR, is it?
>
>Once you claim someone to be dishonest it becomes about them, in part. I'd
>be interested to hear the specifics of CR's untruths. I'd also be
>interested in hearing how you determined that CU knew it was printing
>untruths.

This is not a forum for Consumer Reports magazine. If you wish to discuss
Consumers Union or their magazine, I suggest you access the links provided
by Paul Schleck.

>> Is someone "winning" while others are "losing" debates? :-)
>
>No, nobody is winning. But you're losing because you're making no real
>argument.

That statement is just your personal opinion. Nothing is "lost." Your
statement is just an attempt to paint another in bad colors. Bad form.

>Is Jim a member of your family? Was the form of address intended to be
>affectionate?

"Jim?" Which "Jim?" The only header information is "N2EY," not a person.

Go back a couple years and determine if "K4YZ" is attempting to be
"affectionate" with his form of address to me.

>No, it's not okay. But what has that got to do with your use of personal
>attack as a way of ducking the hard questions?

Nobody is "ducking 'hard' questions." You have been attempting to misdirect by questions on Consumers Union and omission of some lengthy personal attack ploys by others as applying to me.

Explain again how using "Jimmie" can be construed as a "personal attack."

>I'm not painting, Len, I'm just pointing out the features of the image
>you're painting.

No, Jon, you are posting what you post out of various kinds of personal pique/irritation/discomfort on negative criticism of the ARRL. That makes your vision astigmatic, distorted. It might even be considered "mono-path" as with a "one-way" mirror. I suggest a visit to an opthalmologist to have your vision checked.

>Of course not. You are under no legal obligation to answer questions posed
>here. But when you accuse others of dishonesty, you have an ethical
>obligation to back up the accusations with specific evidence.

In another thread I made mention of scanning a license form of mine and sending e-mail attachments to requesters. In yet another thread opened by one little barking dog, he demanded to see it. I sent it. In fact, I have now sent two of them, a second at smaller resolution and file size. That individual said he deleted them. I complied with the request. Others would consider that ethical. What I got in return was more personal insults in public. Do you consider such things as "ethical?"

>I'm just
>attempting to get you to live up to that ethical obligation. If you prefer
>to hide behind legalisms, so be it.

I'm not a legal expert nor do I pretend to be one. This is not a question of "law."

>> The ARRL says it is "honest." The proof of such "honesty" cannot be
>> more of the same. :-)
>
>Ignoring the presented evidence isn't the same as there not being any.

Where is the "evidence" that the ARRL is honest in every claim? All that anyone can see is statements made by the ARRL, their officers and staff, and ARRL members that they are "honest." In any other venue those would be called CLAIMS, not "evidence."

>Oh? Who, specifically? In what way, and using what evidence? Claiming that
>a lot of people agree with you is an old, weak rhetorical trick.

Claiming "honesty" is an old, weak political rhetoric trick. When coupled with omission of certain other things to emphasize an organization "good works," it is called SPIN.

>Unless
>you can show where these supposed "many, many" challenges have been made,
>you're just blowing smoke.

Propagandists "blow smoke" all the time.

>> Do you want the ARRL to continue to talk down to newcomers?]
>
>It doesn't.

In many cases it does. For the most part the tone of the ARRL seems to
talk down to many as if "radio" were some new technology and mainly
known only to amateurs. That's normally called "patronizing." :-)

Not only that, the ARRL pretends to be some sort of governmental
surrogate (too strong a word, perhaps) or at least an "assistant to
government" in amateur radio regulation. They claim freely elected
"representatives" which are supposed to be "for all amateurs" yet they
are a private organization not governed by federal laws for elected
officials of government. That leads to some viewing the ARRL as an
Orwellian "Big Brother" (from George Orwell's famous/notorious
novel "1984").

>> Do you want
>> the ARRL to lead everyone by a parental hand as if they were all
>> ignorant lambs?
>
>It doesn't.

"Now You're Talking."
:-)

>> Do you want the ARRL to appear as some superior judge
>> and arbiter of behavior when the evidence is clear that they are simply
>> "representing" the thoughts of long- time members thinking their way is
>> the "only" way?
>
>What evidence, specifically?

Patronization is the most blatant aspect. The ARRL implies that it knows
what is best for amateur radio but the actual fact seems to be that the ARRL
"knows what is best" only for those higher-up in the ARRL opinion and
policy determining levels.

Arrogance is not a positive attribute to any organization, yet a common
fault with the ARRL's presentation of itself is just that to many. One
example is their continuing emphasis on morse code skill as the top
attribute for all radio amateurs, a throwback to very early days when
on-off keying was the only practical communications mode for radio
amateurs. Such is out of place in this new millennium of advancing
technology, modes, and methods. Observe the construction articles on
HF output transmitters in QEX where, seemingly, there must always be
some mention of "CW capability" regardless of the non-CW mode
design of the transmitter...or the abundance of such transmitter articles

that do feature "CW" (on-off keyed CW).

>So, let's see how you think the world should work: When someone is accused
>of dishonesty, it's not up to the accuser to prove the charge, it's up to
>the accused to prove innocence. And you accuse ME of Torquemadan
>tendencies!

I don't think the "world" should work as I say it should. I leave that to elected officials.

I stated my OPINIONS on the ARRL and you (angrily?) DEMANDED evidence of wrong-doing! :-)

It would seem that the sequel to the infamous Spanish Inquisition is in full bloom, again, but in a different venue. :-)

>ARRL policy is judged by its members. If ARRL policy doesn't hew to the
>members' interests the members can "vote with their feet." But they don't.
>So, please explain why ARRL members remain as members when, in your
>opinion, ARRL is acting against their best interests.

I can't explain what I didn't write.

I have stated a numerical fact several times, to wit: "Membership in the ARRL is less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs." That numerical fact has been true for several years.

I have stated that my opinion of many ARRL members to be that of Believers, believing in the all-goodness of the ARRL, some to the point of such belief to be pseudo-religious. It is axiomatic that Believers cannot be dissuaded from their beliefs...without incurring something akin to open warfare. :-)

>It's easy to characterize statements you disagree with as politicl spin.

A Republican may disagree with a Democrat's political spin and call it that while a Democrat may disagree with a Republican's political spin and call it that. Both are correct. :-)

The ARRL is a also a political organization. They use elements of propaganda to continue their existence. Propaganda emphasizing themselves and positive attributes, then omitting de-emphasizing statements and negative attributes is pure SPIN. :-)

Yes, it is easy to characterize!

>It's more difficult to challenge the statements with substantive
>discussion.

Oh, my! "Substantive discussion" on pseudo-religions? Sorry, this isn't a theological seminary group or a rabbinical institute forum.

>But try taking the harder route if you expect to make a
>convincing argument.

I have stated my personal OPINIONS. You wish to put such opinions
"on trial" by demanding "evidence" of their "correctness." [such is the
reason for naming this thread the "Inquisition."]

Very well, where is the EVIDENCE that the ARRL is "honest" in
all its statements, claims, and policies?

Is this "evidence" contained in "sworn statements?" [believe us or we
will swear at you? :-)]

>Again, where are the specifics? Just claiming that "they're being mean to
>me" doesn't have much substance.

I've never claimed that the ARRL "was being mean to me." :-)

I once mentioned a written, USPS-delivered letter exchange with a
now-deceased President of the ARRL. The "reply" was a form-letter
format response consisting of -

1. Join the ARRL and become a participating, voting member.

2. A then-new publication on the market "Now You're Talking"
would "explain" radio to me (this was some time after my
military HF radio experience and grant of a First Phone, which
had been explained by my).

That was concerning my comments (in business-courtesy format) on
the code test regulation issue for GETTING INTO amateur radio. So,
the form-letter response took the arrogant stance of my having to go
through the process FIRST, becoming licensed in the OLD way, in
order to become a "participating, voting member." At that time (prior
to 1990) the ARRL position and policy obviously went only with the
membership who had already gone through the code test process.
Where was the openness on considering revised regulations? Where
was the consideration of newcomers not yet licensed? It wasn't there.
Yet the ARRL had considerable influence on FCC decisions regarding
amateur radio regulations in the pre-1990 time...so the ARRL was
certainly attempting to keep federal regulations (which apply to all)
solely for the alleged wishes of its membership.

I won't go into the "suggestion" on "learning about radio" through
the new publication...when I was actively engaged in radio design as
a professional at the time. Perhaps that was just a gratuitous form-
letter response. It did not sit well at the time.

Form-letters are convenient for the secretarial staff. If written well,
they can save executives' much time and trouble. If applied poorly
they can be gratuitous insults-by-arrogant responses.

>> While minutes to board meetings are made public, they are made public by
>> the ARRL. The ARRL controls what is revealed. Others in here have
>> stated that BoD meetings have covered items not in public view.
>
>Specifics? Evidence? Why is it, Len, that your arguments are so often
>presented in terms of "(unidentified) others have said," with no citation

>of who, where and when? It leads one to suspect you're just making it all
>up.

Another staff member of the ARRL has admitted openly in here that some
ARRL meeting details were never included in publicly-released meeting
minutes or text.

I could get a number of licensed radio amateurs on a list for your damnation
but, ethically, I would have to get their consent for public disclosure. I
doubt
many of them are eager for a public trial in which they are charged with
heretical opinions against the League.

>SMs don't make ARRL policy, Len. If you're going to criticize an
>organization's structure, you really ought to first learn what it is.

It is what the ARRL says it is. The ARRL says so.

>> The "free election" is NOT anywhere close to the same sort of "free
>> election" as is done for elected government officials.
>
>Sure it is. In each case the electorate is restricted. In the case of
>governmental elections, it's restricted to the citizens of the
>jurisdiction the electoral office represents. Citizens of other

Election of officials in a PRIVATE organization is NOT under the
same laws as elected government officials. You want to IMPLY
that it is the "same" but it is not. [bad application of spin]

>Yet another groundless charge. Just repeating "ARRL is dishonest" doesn't
>convince anyone. Pony up some evidence.

Yet another groundless bench-pounding by an inquisitor. "Pony up some
evidence" that the ARRL is scrupulously honest in all its statements, claims,
policies. I stated my OPINIONS. Like them or not, they are my opinions.
Others hold the same opinions.

>"What the ARRL wants" is for the Amateur Radio Service to flourish. It's
>true that ARRL's activities aren't representative of anything except that.

It is my opinion that the ARRL is simply there to SURVIVE at this point,
for its leaders to continue exercising CONTROL over all of amateur radio
in the USA to the leaders' personal desires.

>Which explains why so many hams have aligned themselves with ARRL's
>activities.

That also explains why three times that "many" have NOT aligned
themselves with ARRL policies.

>They, too, want the ARS to flourish.

Or they want to continue regulations and policies in the old ways so that
they feel secure without having to learn new things, so that they can have
their status, rank, privileges preserved for showing their "superior"

accomplishments, so that they have an ability to control without being
held accountable for such control.

>Most of the comments were closer to ARRL's position than to the one
>finally adopted in the R&O.

There was only ONE such "summary," apparently an independent effort
which became part of the FCC public record. It had mistakes in it, partially
corrected in a follow-on document.

"Most" of those "most" comments were essentially form-letters stressing
individuals' tenure and personal desires for morse code testing. Quit
doing the necro-equine flagellation over sour wine of losing.

>> On 90-53, the NPRM for creation of the no-code-test Technician class
>> license, the ARRL's position on policy was essentially against it or for
>> forcing allocated operation well above 30 MHz. The ARRL lost and
>> refused to accept the FCC's own reason for elimination of the code test.
>
>Uh, the NCTs were restricted to operation above 30 MHz, Len.

The original ARRL position on 90-53 restricted "NCTs" to above
2 meters. Can it, Jon, that's an older horse beaten even more
severely so that a coroner's report is needed to identify it.

>This is funny! Len, the ARRL is part of the IARU. IARU is the mechanism by
>which ARRL and other national AR societies present the case of Amateur
>Radio in international matters, particularly HF allocations. When you see
>IARU doing things, you ARE seeing ARRL and it's sister socities in action.

Then why the dichotomy in public information?

>Nothing has been done? How do you suppose that realignment of the 7-MHz
>band got onto the agenda for WRC-03?

Explain why it failed to get into WARCs 81 through 99 and failed WRC-01.

That issue has been around for TWENTY THREE YEARS.

>How is it that the FCC's preliminary
>view on the issue, released for public comment last spring, called for a
>300-kHz-wide amateur primary allocation at 40m? Are you even aware that
>said preliminary view was formulated by an FCC-appointed advisory
>committee to which ARRL contributes and of which an ARRL employee is vice
>chairman? If you are unaware of all of those things then you are making
>comments about a topic about which you are woefully uninformed.

Walt Ireland. Yes, I'm "informed" enough to point out that, as the "scribe"
for IWG-6 he was quite (months) late on public minutes write-up. IWG-6
is presently (and still) looking for a replacement "scribe."

I'm well aware of ALL the action items in the USA Proposals for WRC-03.
Such are available to all with access to the FCC website and their
International

Bureau's sub-page on WRC-03. According to the US Proposal, the "reasons" given are those of the IARU, not the ARRL. Isn't that interesting...the IARU is an "international" organization while the ARRL is a USA-national one and the FCC chose the IARU comments to repeat.

Stuff it, Jon. You are trying to beat a horse that isn't dead which a lot of us
have already caught and featured.

>Until you present effective counter arguments instead of innuendo and
>misinformation, I'll stand by what I said.

Okay, so YOUR "counter arguments and innuendo and misinformation" is "correct" and your calling my opinion statements as "unethical" and "wrong." Sounds about right. :-)

>Bullets? You're shooting blanks, Len. You still haven't presented any
>evidence of dishonesty on the part of ARRL. Where is it?

You've been hit many times but are too numb to know you're wounded.

Show us the EVIDENCE that the ARRL is honest in all its statements, claims, and policies...and that it is worthy to be the Big Brother surrogate to the FCC.

>In short, you have NO evidence.

We can't continue this trial if you don't recognize evidence.

>Observation of what? Surely if you have observed something that gives
>grounds for skepticism you can cite it. I'm still waiting for you to do so.

I did. You refuse to see it.

>It's only because you lack any real argument that you keep trying to turn
>the discussion into a conflict of "belief systems." You haven't presented
>anything to give substance to your belief system.

I did. You refuse to see it.

>Meaning you have no answer to give. Just a string of baseless and
>unsupported accusations accompanied by assertions that there is no
>proof that the accusations aren't true.

I gave answers. You didn't like them. Tough.

>Anyody can make accusations without evidence. But it's unethical to do so.

You have made a number of accusations in here without evidence. Ergo, Jon Bloom is unethical.

>I'm just looking for evidence to support the chages you're making, Len. So
>far, you haven't presented any.

I've presented them, you can't - or won't - see them. I suggested a vision check with an MD earlier. I repeat that now.

>> This isn't about a Belief system in something organized by humans for
>> other humans. In such affairs it is possible to be a skeptic and not
>> considered a heretic.
>
>Yep. But if you want your skepticism to be accepted, you should present
>something to justify it.

I did. If you want this to be a true discussion, you have to go along with it.

You will not accept dissension or skeptics. QED.

>It's easy to find cases where ARRL has acted honestly, which supports the
>assertion that it does so act. You can't cite one example of where it has
>acted dishonestly.

I have. You refuse to accept it. QED.

>The objective evidence is that ARRL is honest.

Not enough. They constantly claim things, make statements, make policy
as if they are "leading" US amateur radio as the ARS "representative."
Spin, sin by omission, and they "represent" only the membership (which is
still less than a quarter of all US amateurs, a minority group).

>> Under the "baseline of truthfulness" description, ANY propaganda would
>> be truthful. It isn't.
>
>Nope. The "baseline of truthfulness" consists of those statements that can
>be verified. There are plenty of those from ARRL, as you well know.

Then you are defining "baseline of truthfulness" as being MORE SPIN.
Plenty of that as everyone well knows.

>Sure you do, you just prefer to ignore it because it doesn't conform to
>revealed truth as you see it.

Oh? And this is the New Epiphany?!?

>1) That there is no absolute proof that ARRL is honest. Such proof is
>impossible, of course.

Unacceptable. You cannot have it both ways...although you try.

If you cannot prove honesty, they neither can one prove dishonesty.

>2) That your belief in ARRL's dishonesty is akin to a religious faith.

So, atheists belong to a "church of nothing?!?" Agnostics belong to a
"church of somethingelse?!?" You are being ridiculous.

>I've made no allegations. I've only pointed out the fallacies in your
>belief system, the principal one being the complete lack of supporting
>evidence.

I don't have any "belief system" in a human membership organization.

I am a SKEPTIC. I don't believe in some "inherent honesty which is impossible to prove." NON-belief, Jon.

>No, religious debates aren't settled by "reasonably objective evaluation of
>the available facts." They're settled by schism.

Okay, so there are three times as many licensed radio amateurs in the USA who are "schismed" in non-ARRL-membership as those who are "unschismed." Is that "settled?" :-)

>But I don't approach the question of whether or not a person or an
>organization is honest on the basis of religion. I approach it on the
>basis of evaluation of the evidence. That seems to be the fundamental
>difference between your opinions and mine. Yours are based on revelation,
>mine on evaluation.

Incorrect. My OPINIONS are based on MY evaluation.

Because my OPINIONS are not in line with yours, you wish to "correct" them as in some Inquisition "trial by fire" with many accusations.

If the ARRL cannot accept negative criticism from anyone, then it has NO business being a political-action organization "representing" anyone. Republicans, Democrats, and other political parties continually get bombarded with negative criticism, yet they manage to survive. Those political parties acknowledge openly that they use spin to influence voters...but somehow, the ARRL is "above" such things?

I think not. What I've seen in here (observation and evaluation) is definite proof of a Belief System at work...that the ARRL can do no wrong, is always doing "good works," and that they "speak" for all "good" radio amateurs. All because they "say" they do...despite past public history that they are at odds with significant groups of people. What I see in here is considerable pique/irritation/suppressed-anger against those who are not Believers (in "impossible-to-prove honesty"), some of which results in outright damning of skeptics for expressing skeptical observations and evaluations.

The ARRL should not be worried...they have no competition in "representation." They can be as arrogant as they want under those conditions. Sometimes they ARE.

2404. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-27 22:59:20 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cBF09.6577$pg2.553407@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50218

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020727180704.02083.00000287@mb-cs.aol.com...
> > Don't brag.
>
> "It ain;t braggin' if ya really done it" - Babe Ruth
> >
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim I think this line came from that emminent baseball philospher Jerome
Herman Dean.

2405. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 00:27:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727202745.12781.00000282@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 50219

In article <20020727160646.13297.00000237@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>In article <ahq966$t9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>>><billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>>
>>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:20020725080640.07768.00000352@mb-mw.aol.com...
>>>>> In article <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>,
>>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>> >The United States military (in which
>>>>> (N2EY)
>>>>> >never served) decided to stop
>>>>> >using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a
>>>>> >half century ago.
>>>>>
>>>>> Show us some proof of that.
>>>>
>>>>How about personal experience...
>>>
>>>Works for me.
>>>
>>>> US Navy 66-70 (almost 40
>>>>years ago).
>>>
>>>More like 30, but let's see what ya got.
>>>
>>>>As a Navy electronic tech, the ships at sea used no
>>>>radio morse code at all for any communications at all. The only time I
>>>>saw morse used at all was an occasional "drill" excersize.
>>>>From my experience, by 66, all long haul comms were
>>>>via HF RTTY (encrypted).
>>>
>>>So, in the 1966-70 time frame, the US Navy retained Morse code capability
>>>but did not use it except for drills and in the rare case of an RTTY
>failure.
>>
>>So, by all that you've said in here, you've never been IN any
>>military branch.
>
>Even if that's true, why would it be significant? K2UNK is relating his
>personal experiences aboard US Navy ships in the 1966-1970 time period. I
>think he is being honest about his observations.

So, you are the Judge of what is acceptible and not-acceptible in this unmoderated newsgroup?!?

I've been honest about my descriptions of US Army communications in the 1952-1960 period. Others have said that I "lied."

>Were you ever in the US Navy?

Irrelevant. I've stated that I served in the US Army. Do you know the difference between the two?

>> Further, you haven't done much research in the matter either.
>
>How do you know?

You are unable to cite any sources other than amateur radio publications. You have been given information sources for the rest of the radio world in here, yet there is no sign you have accessed any of them.

>>Think on this: The USN has a lot of shore stations.
>
>How many is "a lot"?

Irrelevant again. A very close approximation to the exact number may be found from USN websites.

>>Those shore stations are considered "fixed-point" stations; i.e., they
>>do not move. The shore stations communicate with one another.
>
>How does this have any bearing on Bill's experiences?

It is additional information (which leads to a correction of a quote later). Ships are mobile. Shore stations are almost always fixed geographically. Fixed-point locations allow easier planning and management of HF radio paths. Shore stations are inherently capable of larger antennas than can be mounted on ships. For example, a rhombic antenna for HF would be difficult to fit on a battleship.

>>I'm sorry, but how did you derive "...heavily dependent on morse?"
>
>From the personal experiences of US Navy personnel serving on US Navy ships
>in the time period cited.

...particularly if they favored morse code. :-)

>>You saw
>>one code key on a photo of a radio bench and enlarged that?!?
>
>Nope. US Navy radio operators on various ships reported on the equipment and
>techniques in use, just as Bill did.

Independent historical data is available. Why didn't you use it?

>Were you ever in the US Navy?

No. Were you ever in any branch of the US military?

>>Had you done enough research you would have found out that the
>>USN was using RTTY both in-clear and on-line-encrypted in 1940
>>on cruisers and heavier ships.
>
>I knew that already. The discussion was about the use of Morse, not RTTY. And
>while RTTY was used on those ships in that time period, so was Morse.

If you "knew" that independently, you could have mentioned the source.

Seemingly, you "knew" that because I mentioned it in here years back.

Find your reference to the "knew that already" statement...let's compare.

>The US Navy consists of more than cruisers and heavier ships. What mode do
>you think was used by US Navy submarines in WW2?

You can find out by going to the USS Pampanito website. They have
a nice website describing their radios and radio equipment.

Were you in the US Navy during WW2?

>>I'm not sure who
>
>(N2EY)
>
>>uses for the source of that factoid, but I said that
>>the US military had decided to eliminate OOK CW for (capitals to make
>>sure the poor-of-seeing have aid) FIXED-POINT TO FIXED-POINT HF long-
>>haul communications in 1948.
>
>Mountains out of molehills. Why do you insist on an extremely literal
>interpretation of everything?

You made a false quotation out of a statement that I made. I corrected it.

There are some significant differences in fixed-point (shore stations, for
example) and mobile (ships, for example) communications. Fixed-point
stations can use larger antennas on HF, have more transmitter power
(generally), and possibly use space-diversity receiving techniques. If a
large geographic locations allows it, receiver and transmitter sites may be
separated to improve overall efficiency. In "traffic handling" shore stations
have the capability to communicate a larger number of messages than
individual mobile stations.

>>Hello? The largest "traffic" of the US
>>military communications over radio occured on fixed-point to fixed-point
>>HF long-haul communications.
>
>Are you sure of that fact for the US Navy? Show us your sources.

Communication facilities for the Yokosuka Naval Base were large enough
to handle about 2/5ths of what the Army "traffic" through ADA was
during the Korean War . That would make it about 90,000 messages a

month. As to the EXACT number, that is irrelevant to the discussion.
At the above rate, that is about 3,000 messages per 24 hour period.
It is a bit much to expect any carrier of the Korean War period to handle
that many messages daily.

>>The reasons are: Much higher throughput,
>>much fewer operator mistakes, encryption can be integrated with in-clear
>>communications means using TTY.
>
>Nobody disputes any of that - for military applications.

You should not dispute any of that for commercial carriers, either.

>>As late as 1965 land force HF radio equipment still had OOK CW
>>capability up to Division level. But, having the capability does not infer
>>that OOK CW was much used.
>
>It does indicate that the capability had not been eliminated. And the
>discussion is about the US Navy.

This whole thread "started" with a lot of bitching and whining over some
alleged "age discrimination" given in a comment on NPRM 98-143. You
do NOT control anything in here nor have you the power to decide which
discussion is allowed or not allowed.

All "discussions" in unmoderated newsgroups are free-form; i.e., they
can take directions determined by the participants.

>When did the various military services stop requiring Morse training of their
>radio operators?

Some time prior to 1990. Why is that relevant? There was no morse code
used by the US military in the Gulf War.

The Army Training Command Digital Library has a publicly-viewable and
downloadable field manual that is a catalog of operational signal
equipment utilized by all US military branches. It is FM 24-24 and dated
1994.

>How does any of that relate to your demands to the FCC for an age requirement
>for a ham license?

It doesn't. Nor does it relate to your demands at what is permissible to post
in here or not permissible.

>>Unrelated comparison but not unexpected in here.
>
>No, it's very relevant. FCC knew what the military was doing, but did not see
>those changes as having any bearing on the amateur radio service.

How do you "know" what the FCC knew at any time? When were you an
employee of the FCC?

Under 97.1, Title 47 CFR, you will find political statements that relate to the amateur radio service as "providing a pool of trained operators" for the nation.
If the US military did NOT require morse communications then that "pool" would not need tested ability at morse code. Perhaps the nation needed morse code "pool" amateurs for other radio services? The only other radio service needing morse communications is maritime radio and that is rather limited.

>>The FCC no doubt was heavily lobbied then by the ARRL for that
>>"incentive" plan from the more-influential ARRL members who were either
>>retired (and wanting to strut their stuff with nice titles and status) or
>>had morsemanship as a personal favorite.
>
>INCORRECT. Pure wishful speculation on your part, driven by your ignorance
>and anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and prejudice.

NOT "incorrect." I stated an opinion based on observation and evaluation.
I watched it happen, talked with all sides on that (pro, con, and indifferent).

I could say, with equal "correctness" that your "corrective comment" is based solely on your pro-morse-code bigotry, extreme bias, and having a hissy fit. That would be unethical, so I won't say it. :-)

>The use of SSB by hams had been long promoted by the ARRL leadership and
>others. This started in earnest in the late 1940s. As examples of how ARRL
>promoted the use of SSB, there was a regular column in QST as early as 1948
>("On The Air With Single Sideband") and an in-depth publication on SSB for
>the radio amateur. AM and CW got no such special promotion.

OOK CW has been getting special mention and dispensation since those same late 1940s until time-now...in the ARRL periodicals.

>>The old-timers wanted their skills-while-young to
>>be "worth something" so they pushed for morsemanship as a
>>criteria for "excellence" in amateurism.
>
>Obviously some did that. But not the ARRL leadership.

Incorrect by observation and evaluation.

>>Did the "FCC" push for the "incentive plan?" No.
>
>INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
>research material as is possible,
>from as many sources as possible. Bad historians limit their research and
>interject their own personal desires into their histories. You are being a
>bad historian.

The FCC merely codified the regulations to fit the ARRL requests. That is my observation and evaluation.

I'm not trying to be a "historian," just pointing out who did what to whom. :-)

>FCC wanted incentive licensing.

Why don't you be a good little morseman and trot on over to the FCC public Reading Room? There you can find all the relevant material to your claims (or against your claims) of who wanted what during the 1960s. DC is a lot closer to you than to me. :-)

>>The ARRL was doing the pushing.
>
>INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
>research material as is possible,
>from as many sources as possible. Bad historians limit their research and
>interject their own personal desires into their histories. You are being a
>bad historian.

The source is rather obvious...ARRL publications, particularly QST, of that time period both BEFORE any FCC regulatory changes and afterwards.

Can't you be original in a "reply?" You have mirrored my text twice now. :-)

>ARRL was responding to FCC pronouncements in 1963.

Every time the FCC has made regulatory changes, the ARRL makes statements that it "approves" of them. Pro forma. :-)

The ARRL would be foolish to say much against new regulations. :-)

>>The FCC probably didn't much care one way or the
>>other since it was facing a great heaping amount of new radio services
>>using ever-increasing technology.
>
>INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
> <a third mirroring of my original text deleted>

Sources? The entire output of the trade magazines of the period from the end of WW2 to time-now...the statements of the FCC itself since they have put up a website. Certainly in the last four decades, the number of new radio services have increased considerably more than at any previous time, plus those existing radio services have changed, added more and different technologies in their operation. The result was a substantial workload addition to the FCC's regulatory task.

>Do you think the public service contributions of amateur radio are not
>important?

Not in the overall picture of "public service" communications involving public safety, search and rescue.

>How about during the Alaska Earthquake, which happened about that
>time?

What about the Cuban Missle Crisis that happened about that time? :-)

What about the Loma Prieta Earthquake a quarter century later?

What about the Northridge Earthquake about three decades later?

>>Important to individuals, yes, but each station did not directly tie in
>>with national needs. But, the FCC must listen to its citizens so it did
>>what amounted to a compromise in the 1960s...it listened to the only
>>large amateur radio membership organization of the time, the ARRL.
>
>INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much

<fourth mirroring of my original statement deleted>

Was there a large national amateur radio membership organization other
than the ARRL in the 1960s? Where are they (or it) now? :-)

Explain how radio hobbyists, however licensed, directly tie in with national
needs? Are they "called up" in times of "emergency" and required to
serve? Are they "mobilized" in any way in required service?

>INCORRECT. While the immediacy of email and websites did not exist then, all
>this did was to lengthen the time span required. The percentage of interested
>parties commenting was actually greater back then than for 98-143.

I haven't seen any numbers in those claims.

Personal computers did not exist in the 1960s.

>>The FCC effectively rubber-stamped the ARRL proposal and the ARRL
>>proceded to take the credit for it ever since...until the 98-143 NPRM
>>and eventual restructuring of license classes and testing. There can
>>be no mistake that the ARRL took the credit for the "incentive plan"
>>and it is pointless to now "blame" the plan on the FCC.
>
>INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
> <mirror #5>

Okay...give us the "real" truth as you "know" it... :-)

>Here's what REALLY happened. This is a short account, but the essential
details
>are there. Show us, if you can, where any of the following facts are
inaccurate.

First you show where your "facts" are accurate. :-)

>In 1963, the FCC expressed concern that so few amateurs had aspired to the
>Amateur Extra class license.

They did? The FCC isn't chartered to "promote" one radio service or another.
The FCC does create new radio services on the basis of citizen's requests
that result in NPRMs. [the 98-143 NPRM was based on Congressional demand
for restructuring, to be applied to all radio services]

In what FCC document is this "concern" expressed?

Show why the FCC was "concerned." Please use exact FCC wording.

>The FCC asked for proposals on how to restructure
>the ARS to provide more "incentive" for hams to attain higher license grades.

>
>The ARRL leadership responded in 1963 with a simple proposal:
> <remainder omitted for brevity>

So, was the ARRL the only organization or individual responding to all that "concern?" How many others were concerned with the "concern?"

>FCC got many proposals from the amateur radio community. Some came from
>individuals, some from other amateur radio groups. At least ten (10) of these
>proposals were taken seriously enough by the FCC to earn RM numbers (RM-378,
>455, 470, 474, 480, 481, 516, 517, 538, 577). It was from these proposals
>that the idea of subbands-by-license-class and other details came about.

Where are these documents to be found? Do we all have to go to the Reading Room at the FCC to find the official documents? Are they available on other government web sites?

>It should be noted that the FCC received over 1700 formal comments on Docket
>15928, back when the US amateur population was about 40% of what it is today.
>This figure does not include those whose comments were part of RM-499 or
>other proposals.

Where may we confirm this with the US government?

>FCC wanted incentive licensing. FCC did not "rubber stamp" anyone's proposal.
>The whole process was public and open to anyone wanting to comment or propose
>different ideas.

The FCC didn't use rubber stamps? Gosh, I have a citizen's band radio license from 1959 and my First Phone of 1956 that plainly had a "Mary Jane Morris" rubber stamping in place of a Secretary's signature on both documents! :-)

They are of different sizes so the FCC had at least two rubber stamps!

>And there was no talk of an age requirement for any amateur license.

How many six-year-old licensees were there in the 1960s? :-)

>Lenover21's account of how "incentive licensing" came to be is in error. It
>borders on being intellectually dishonest.

Didn't you forget "unethical," "heretical," or even "treasonous?!?" :-)

When am I to be burned at the stake? :-)

Pile up those sticks and wear your uniform, Jimmie, Jon Bloom
will have the matches.

2406. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 00:38:09 UTC
From: dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <hdevha.u81.ln@spamblock.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 50230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In alt.radio.pirate Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote:

> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...

>> A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
>> so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
>> is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
>> the courtroom staff every time....

> Public servants making fun of citizens?

<Followups Set to rec.radio.cb>.

Whats wrong with that? Citizens make fun of public servants all the time!

Besides, seems to me that the judges probably get to see more examples
of outright luser behaviour the almost any other profession, with the
possible exceptions of traffic police and A&E doctors.

If the guy in front of the bench is behaving like a tosser the judge
should be alowed to say so!

Regards, Dan.
--
** The email address *IS* valid, do NOT remove the spamblock
And on the evening of the first day the lord said.....
.... LX 1, GO!; and there was light.

2407. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 00:58:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727205820.13297.00000255@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50220

In article <cBF09.6577$pg2.553407@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020727180704.02083.00000287@mb-cs.aol.com...
>> > Don't brag.
>>
>> "It ain;t braggin' if ya really done it" - Babe Ruth
>> >
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim I think this line came from that emminent baseball philospher Jerome
>Herman Dean.
>
Perhaps you are correct. I will do further research ;-)

Gotta go spin the cube...

73 de Jim, N2EY

2408. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 01:03:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727210313.01951.00000166@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 50221

In article <cBF09.6577$pg2.553407@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020727180704.02083.00000287@mb-cs.aol.com...
>> > Don't brag.
>>
>> "It ain;t braggin' if ya really done it" - Babe Ruth
>> >
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim I think this line came from that emminent baseball philospher Jerome
>Herman Dean.

Close enough. :-)

The exact quote is -

"It ain't braggin' if you can back it up." - Dizzy Dean

Another, which should serve as a paraphrase baseline for several self-distinguished 1x2s in here is -

"Anybody who's ever had the privilege of seeing me play knows I am the greatest pitcher in the world." - Dizzy Dean

There's considerable difference between "if ya done it" and "if you can back it up." However, that's a whole new flame fest for another time, heh heh.

Quite a guy, old Diz. There's even a website for him at

www.dizzydean.com

2409. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 01:55:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020727215523.08190.00000966@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50222

In article <3C8626A0.B179F185@att.net>, Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> Whenever I get a digital camera...
>
>Czech out your local Staples office supply store. I just glommed an HP 715
>3.3megapixel job that not long ago sold for over $700 for three bills. They
>won't
>last long.

Dick:

Thanks for the tip. I'll Czech it out.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2410. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 02:01:38 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ahvj9f$5hs$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50223

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020726220652.07756.00000178@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <ahq966$t9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020725080640.07768.00000352@mb-mw.aol.com...
> >> In article <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>,
> >lenover21@aol.com
> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >> >The United States military (in which
> >> (N2EY)
> >> >never served) decided to stop
> >> >using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a
> >> >half century ago.
> >>
> >> Show us some proof of that.
> >
> >How about personal experience...
>
> Works for me.
>
> > US Navy 66-70 (almost 40
> >years ago).
>
> More like 30, but let's see what ya got.

well 2002-1966 = 36

> >As a Navy electronic tech, the ships at sea used no
> >radio morse code at all for any communications at all. The only time I
> >saw morse used at all was an occasional "drill" excersize.
> >From my experience, by 66, all long haul comms were
> >via HF RTTY (encrypted).
>
> So, in the 1966-70 time frame, the US Navy retained Morse code capability
but
> did not use it except for drills and in the rare case of an RTTY failure.

Given the multiple recievers, RTTY failure wasn't an issue. Indeed, we
received 24 hours
uninterupted encrypted RTTY. The decryption was via KWR-37.

> Was this on all USN ships? From the records and accounts I've seen, the
smaller

> and older ships were the last to get new radio gear. In the early 1960s,
many
> of the older, smaller ships were still equipped with WW2 vintage
RBA/RBB/RBC
> receivers, transmitters of similar vintage, and were heavily dependent on
> Morse. But that is a few years before the time period you refer to. It's
quite
> possible that even the oldest and smallest USN ships had been refitted or
> retired by 1966.

I reported onboard DD-781 (a late WW-II destroyer) and the only
RBA we had was used for receiving AM broadcasts for shibboard entertainment.
All the HF receivers were R-390 or R-390a. When we went for a complete
refurbish over winter of 66/67, some of the R390s were replaced with
R-1051s...
the first digital frequency synthesized unit I saw. Eventually we recieved
new R-1051s

> - While it is claimed that all branches of the US military decided to
eliminate
> Morse in 1948, almost 20 years later the capability was still retained by
the
> Navy as a backup.

Well morse was at least still being taught to new radiomen when I got
out in Jan 70. But, as I've said before, in over 3.5 years on the same
ship I never saw morse used except for a drill practice.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2411. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 04:01:44 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uk6r492kt3dlcd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50224
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:mbE09.1284$U24.42784923@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > >> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
> > >> > Riley Hollingsworth
> > >> >
> > >The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.
> >
> > A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
> > so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
> > is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
> > the courtroom staff every time....
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> Public servants making fun of citizens?
>
>
I agree with the judge on this one. As a matter of fact,
it's a darn good thing stupidity isn't a crime in the U.S.A.!!

It's just like Gallagher said "they aught to let people have
little dart guns and when ever they see somebody driving
like an idiot they shoot the car with the dart. That way
whenever the cops see someone with a lot of darts on
their car they stop them and give em a ticket for being
stupid."
--
____

'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2412. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 04:34:40 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D437460.873F588E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50225

N2EY wrote:

> In article <2002725-21157-654989@foorum.com>, Univrsal Brain <brain@best.net>
> writes:
> >
> >On the contrary, the Rubik's cube test (used by NASA, see the Armageddon
> >movie)
> >to select people, is a good approach to verify the ability to solve a
> >logic mathematical problem in a 3D space, and demonstrates a scientific
> >brain.
> >
> >Anyone able to solve a totally mixed Rubik's cube (6 faces of 3x3) in less
> >than 30 seconds, merits to have access on HF bands.
>
> Could YOU solve one that fast?
>
> When the Rubik's Cube came out, I got one and learned to solve it. Once I got
> my skills up, I could solve it in 60 seconds or less. With a little practice, I
> could get back to that level of skill.
>
> However, I used engineering methods to work out the solution.
>

I used the special solution with screwdriver. AKA just pry the damn
thing apart and reassemble it.

2413. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 04:36:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4371C8.C54065F3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50226

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted anyone.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the effort to
> > > learn
> > > > > > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum. Arbitrary
> and
> > > > > > > > > unnecessary
> > > > > > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds arbitrary
> and
> > > > > > > > unnecessary.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for success.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional
> > > frequencies.
> > > > > > > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not?
> > > > >
> > > > > That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass, you aren't
> > > > > rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did pass, you
```

> > > > do receive additional spectrum or privileges.
> > >
> > > Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And I've previously
> > > stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not envision how a
> person
> > > can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?
> >
> > About the only way I could see that happening is if you didn't pass ALL
> > the required exams. If you didn't pass all of the required exams, you
> > weren't rewarded. Those who pass all the exams ARE rewarded.

(Lack of comment noted)

> > > > > > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2, and
> /T5?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you
> considered
> > > > to
> > > > > be
> > > > > > > > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you can
> be
> > > > as
> > > > > > > > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been in
> other
> > > > > things.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > There you have it.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > How is that wrong?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > How is that a description of DXing?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Should I chant or face the East or something?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask me
> > > > > > questions.
> > > > >
> > > > > But I am, and I do. So answer the question.
> > >
> > > If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.
> >
> > You need to verify that you know.

I need to verify to you that I know? I'll let my country count speak to
that in reference to my operation from American soil. As to my
operations abroad, feel free to check any of the online DX newsletters
or back issues of any magazines containing DX news.

> > > > > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other countries
> > > > > > > authorizations
> > > > > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just
> supposed
> > > to
> > > > > tune
> > > > > > > from
> > > > > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble across
> the
> > > ones I
> > > > > > > need.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160 meter
> > > > > > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted to look
> up 80
> > > > > and 160 allocations.
> > > > >
> > > > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget them when
> >
> > someone out of band tries to caontact me?
> > > >
> > > I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up allocations in
> > > order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those you
> don't
> > > wish to contact.
> > >
> > Yes that is correct.
> >
> You seemed confused.
>
> No, I am not confused. If I know the target countries operating priveleges,
> then I know where to look for them. And should the French amateurs try to
> contact me out of band on 6M, I wouldn't work them because I would know they
> were out of band.

> I haven't the slightest amount of confusion about this.

Your comments indicated confusion. If you'd like to look up allocations
for each station you contact on each band, you are fee to do that. Most
folks don't do that.

> > > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is 100
> > > > > countries,
> > > > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
> > > > >
> > > > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100 countries worked
> and
> > > > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have any idea

> how
> > > > > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only one
> band
> > > and
> > > > > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could have done
> > > > > > something about.
> > > > >
> > > > > Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX. But you
> >
> > > appear to have a problem with that.
> > > >
> > > > I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't do that
> > > > "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to DX spots
> to
> > > > operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in the U.S.?
> > >
> > > No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it. I've accepted
> > > that.
> >
> > I understand if you find my question embarrassing.
>
> I don't find my license class embarassing, nor being and chasing DX with
> that license embarassing.
>
> I find your unethical DXing embarassing. Typical ugly American abroad.

That's a tough one, Brian, in light of the fact that I haven't done any
"unethical" DXing. Your "ugly American" comment has no basis in fact.

> > > > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > > > > until I'm an Extra, does it?
> > > >
> > > > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its territories and
> > > > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S. possession.
> > >
> > > You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX country?
> >
> > I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.
>
> Are you saying that Somalia cannot be a DX country because it is not a U.S.
> posession?

You aren't keeping up. I said Somalia isn't regulated under Part 97.
How about HL9 operations? Are they governed by Part 97?

> FYI, the USA can be a DX country if you're in the Falkland Islands.

Really? Who knew! BTW, I'm not in the Falkland Islands.

> > > > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I didn't
> work
> > > > > 10,000

> > > > > > > of them?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept of
> DXing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
> > > > >
> > > > ...not if I go by what you've stated here.
> > > >
> > > Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a pre-conceived
> idea
> > > that whatever I do is wrong.
> >
> > You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be DXing.
> > Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges between us.
> > Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion that
> > everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about everything you do.
>
> So is Somalia now a US Posession?

That is a response to my statements?

> > > You've obviously missed how I passed all of the exams and yet gained no
> > > additional priveleges.
> >
> > There's only one way that could happen. You didn't pass all of the
> > required exams.
>
> Sure.

I'm glad we could find agreement.

> > > > > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > One at a time.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > How do you do it?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't you know?
> > > > >
> > > > I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a time."
> > >
> > > That's pretty much descriptive of almost any amateur radio QSO.
> > > Funny--most of the DXers I know have a more complex idea of how a pileup
> > > is handled from the DX end.
> >
> > Most? What of the ones who don't hold your view? Do you make fun of them?

If they ragchew with G's and consider that to be DXing, I'll be happy to poke fun at them. If they believe that the DXCC program is about working 100 countries and then stopping, then yes, I'll make fun of them. If they, like you, would ask a DX station to confirm that he is operating within his assigned allocation and tacks a cute "QSL?" to the end of the exchange, then yes, I'd laugh at him.

> > > Do you work them two at a time? five?
> >
> > Divine it from the ether. You're a DXer. You've said so.
>
> I knew there was something magical. You are truly magical.

I've worked 'em at the rate of over 300 QSOs/hr on SSB and over 200/hr on CW. I'm sure that an experienced DXer like you has done much better.

> > > > > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing, you
> > > can't
> > > > > > use
> > > > > > > > > for operating.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > But I consider it my job.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why waste
> time
> > > in
> > > > > > > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think rare
> > > > stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!
> > >
> > > Someone is a stamp collector?
> >
> > My QSL manager of fifteen years isn't a stamp collector. No one in his
> > family is a stamp collector. Any other guesses?
>
> You pay him handsomely?

You believe that my QSL manager is paid? You sure are a DXer, Brian.

> > > > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did enjoy
> > > > > ragchewing
> > > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > What is it?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It is ragchewing.

> > > > >
> > > > > Tell that to Diego Garcia.
> > > > >
> > > > What's his call?
> > > >
> > > Don't know. Why?
> > >
> > You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that I should
> > direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a door.
>
> Any amateur on Diego Garcia can tell you. You don't need any specific
> amateur on that rock.

Then why'd you ask me to tell something to Diego Garcia? Which
particular amateurs on Diego Garcia would you like for me to enlighten
with the fact that ragchewing at distance is not DXing?

> > > > > > > > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling wanted
> to
> > > > > > > > > know what it was like over there.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line" technique.
> > > Shake
> > > > > > > > > their hand with your right while moving them along the line
> with
> > > your
> > > > > > > > > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I see that hamming means a lot to you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a pileup
> does
> > > > > > > not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to Alistair spell
> his
> > > > > > > name phonetically and waiting while he describes his station and
> > > > > > > antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his
> microphone
> > > > > > > does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for me to
> > > provide
> > > > > > > my name and location along with QSLing information every ten or
> > > fifteen
> > > > > > > QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's your QSL
> > > info?"
> > > > > > > two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic receptions
> which
> > > > > > > did not mean a lot to me.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Whatever is easier for you. As in QSLing.
> > > > >
> > > > That's exactly right! Whatever makes it easier for me to put large
> > > > numbers of folks into the log so that no one has to go away empty

> > > > handed.
> > >
> > > Dave, that's wht I did with you. Yet you're still not happy.
> >
> > You made it easier for me to put large numbers of folks in my log? How?
>
> You're in my log. You got confirmation.

That isn't what you said you did with me. As far as being in your log
and having my QSL manager receive your QSL, I'm sure I'll treasure that
card forever.

> > > > > So how many times did you need to confirm JA-land? DXers use
> contests >
> > > to work some new ones. They're not necessarily in the contest to >
> score
> > > big.
> > > >
> > > > Pretty one-sided, Brian. How many JA's need to work Tanzania in or
> out
> > > > of a contest? Many DXers are also contesters.
> > >
> > > So you were contesting.
> >
> > Not necessarily. I may not have even sent a log to the sponsoring
> > organization. I was handing out DX contacts to those who were in a
> > contest. As you stated, many of them are not really planning on
> > submitting a score. Some are just chasing countries.
>
> Marvy.

No, just reality.

> > > > > > > > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious
> contester.
> > > A
> > > > > US >
> > > > > > > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands.
> Guam
> > > was
> > > > > > one of
> > > > > > > > those islands.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Marvy.
> > > > >
> > > > > You'll get over it.
> > > >

> > > > I'm already over it.
> > >
> > > I'm not so sure. You seem to think I'm unworthy of the DX I was and >
> the DX I've worked.
> >
> > I think you're very worthy of operating from foreign soil and I think
> > you're very worthy of the countries you've worked. I just don't think
> > you're a DXer.
>
> And I don't think you're an ethical amateur.

What you don't know about amateur radio ethics, including mine, could
fill volumes.

> > > > > > > Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the
> > > mainland.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Then why do some of them set up big stations away from their QTH?
> > > > >
> > > > I'd guess because they already own a place which has some zoning
> > > > limitations but not necessarily CC&Rs. Maybe they want to put up
> three
> > > > really tall towers but own a home where they can get a permit for only
> > > > one modest tower. I don't know many DXers who have "big stations away
> > > > from their QTH".
> > >
> > > What nasty thing would you like to say about them?
> >
> > Why would I want to say anything nasty to them?
>
> Would you say they are not DXers?

You aren't paying attention. I said that I don't consider YOU to be a
DXer.

Dave K8MN

2414. MORE Illegal Radio Vendors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 05:36:29 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207272136.2aae7c06@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50227

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Lr209.17926$Og3.5351786@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzrvf2c.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > On Tue, 23 Jul 2002 23:38:38 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > >Exactly. Obviously, some drastic measures need to be taken to teach
> > >these knot-heads a lesson. Their antics are NOT funny!
> >
> > The usual sentence in Federal court is 2000 hours (8 hours a day, 5
> > daya a week, for a year) of community service, which is cleaning
> > bedpans in the VA Hospital.....
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> Well,
>
> Today, I "accidentally" stopped at a Pilot Travel Center where I found
> plenty
> of Galaxy "reddios" ready for the truckers to beep and squeak on 10 meters.
> Luckily, I have a pocketful of "dimes"! <<g>>
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> >
> >

Jerry, here's another site that seems to be following the trend of calling 10 meter gear "CB Radios". In fact, at this url you will find a page listing "CB Radios" offered for sale - and the very first one on the list is a *marine* transceiver. The rest are mostly 10 meter gear.

http://www.cbsattheirbest.com/cbradios.html

There's far too much of this sort of thing going on and IMO it is the main reason why bootleggers are getting out of hand. Truckers and newbie CB types are being given the impression that CB has been defacto "expanded" to include 10 meters, FRS and even marine.

Getting the FCC interested in CB enforcement is difficult, but here's an idea that may streamline the process of getting a few of these "CB Dealers" popped. Since Riley H's charter is Ham Radio, look around on

these sites until you find names of people who are associated with the business: the owner, the marketer, or even the technician who "mods" these things. If you can find one of these people with a valid ham license, that opens the door for Riley to step in. Worth a try.

-Aaron-

2415. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 06:48:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3D4390CF.F78332A3@att.net>
Source record: 50228

N2EY wrote:

>
> Lenover21's account of how "incentive licensing" came to be is in error. It
> borders on being intellectually dishonest.

That would be because his intense desire to say anything that would serve to denigrate the Amateur Radio Service and all who participate in it IS intellectually dishonest.

2416. ARNewsline 1302 - July 26 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 06:54:22 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728025422.16159.00001918@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 50229

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1302 July 26th, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1302 with a release date of
Friday, July 26th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. A giant solar flare causes problems on the High Frequency bands and a popular ARRL Director calls it quits. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1302 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

PROPAGATION: X CLASS SOLAR FLARE CLOSES HF BANDS

If you have been wondering where all the signals you normally hear on the High Frequency bands have gone, we have the answer. A large flare on the Sun effectively closed them down. Amateur Radio Newsline's Don Wilbanks tells us about the solar eruption and what it could mean for ham radio:

--

Solar flares come in all sizes, and the Astro Alert service says the latest ones are some of the largest. The E-newsletter says that the sunspot complex believed to be responsible for a July 21st X Class flare rotated fully into Earth's view on July 22nd. It announced its arrival by spawning a second and spectacularly powerful X Class 4.8 level eruption.

Solar Alert says that this latest flaring region has the potential to produce substantial effects on Earth over the next two weeks and we could see more than we have in the last 10 years. It all depends on whether or not the sunspot complex continues to pump out activity at the same rate that it is presumed to have produced over the last several days.

For radio hobbyists it means that there could be very little to hear on the bands from 1.8 to 30 MHz for a while. And, depending on the level of atmospheric ionization that takes place, it also could bring some unexpected long distance communications to 6 meters and above. If you have weak signal gear for the world above 50 MHz, keep and ear on frequencies like 50.110 and 50.125 MHz and be prepared to hear -- and to work -- the unexpected.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, in New Orleans.

--

More on this story is in the Solar Alert URL at
http://www.spacew.com/astroalert.html. (WDX6AA)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: ARRL BOARD MEETS IN CONNECTICUT

The American Radio Relay League has held its 2nd meeting of 2002. It took place July 19th to the 20th in Windsor, Connecticut. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Cathy Anno, KB0FDU, has the details:

--
Perhaps the biggest news came as the Board Meeting was getting ready to begin. Thats when the American Radio Relay League was named to receive a $181,900 homeland security grant from the U-S government to train Amateur Radio operators in emergency communication. The A-R-R-L was among several dozen nonprofit organizations designated to receive upward of $10.3 million in federal money to boost homeland defense volunteer programs. The grant, from the Corporation for National and Community Service Special Volunteer program, will provide free ARRL Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Course training to 5200 volunteers nationwide, starting in 2003.

According to the ARRL South-Eastern Division E-letter, one issue that garnered a lot of conversation was the plan to remove the Section News columns in QST to the ARRL web-site. This proposal was presented last January but a decision was deferred for six months. Now the Leagues Directors, by a 9-6 vote, decided to approve the change.

Starting with the January 2003 issue of QST, columns from the 71 Section Managers will be moved to the web-site. ARRL staff will make provisions for those without web access, to have access to their own Section Managers column. The Board also voted to move the contest line scores out of QST and post them on the web as well.

ARRL's Development Director Mary Hobart, N1MMH, presented a new creative method of increasing member awareness. Soon, ARRL members will be asked to participate in a premium membership program. Various levels of membership will be created, like Diamond Club and Maxim Society. The higher level of participation the better the premium benefit received. Details on this program will be announced soon.

The board named Sherri Brower, W4STB, Vero Beach, Florida, as winner of the McGan Memorial Silver Antennas Award for Public Relations. Bower, who becomes Section Manager of South Florida on October 1st, was cited for her long-term commitment and dedication to present all aspects of amateur radio to the public. ARRL South Eastern Division Director Frank Butler, W4RH, will present the award to Bower at the Melbourne Hamfest in Melbourne Florida on September 14.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Cathy Anno, KB0FDU.

--

For full coverage of the ARRL Board meeting take your web browser over to www.arrl.org. (ARRL S.E. Division E-Letter)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: WB8BGY RESIGNS AS GREAT LAKES ARRL DIRECTOR

And a big surprise happened Saturday July 20th during the ARRL Board of Directors meeting on. That’s where Great Lakes Division Director George Race, WB8BGY, of Albion, Michigan, unexpectedly tendered his resignation. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Fred Vobbe, W8HDU reports:

--

George Race, WB8BGY, who is 66, has served on the ARRL Board of Directors for 12 years. Prior to that, he held numerous other field organization positions including several terms as Michigan Section Manager. Race is legendary within the ARRL field organization for his travels around the Great Lakes Division. Each year he has spenr btween 45,000 to 50,000 on the road on the League's behalf and has literally worn out three cars in the process.

Race admits that a Board vote to move ''Section News'' from QST magazine to the World Wide Web did play a small part in his decision to resign, it was not the primary factor. Rather it was time. Time to devote to his wife and family. WB8BGY sayd that there is life beyond the League.

Accolades for Race were quick in coming. One of the first was from ARRL President Emiritus George Wilson, W4OYI. He told Amateur Radio Newsline that George Race devoted decades to furthering the cause of both ham radio and the League. Wilson called Race a tireless worker on behalf of the hobby adding that he definately will be missed.

Reporting from the ARRL’s Great Lakes Division, I’m Fred Vobbe, W8HDU.

--

By normal succession of officers, Great Lakes Vice Director Gary Johnston, KI4LA, was declared the new director. ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, has appointed Michigan Section Manager Dick Mondro, W8FQT, to be the new Great Lakes Vice Director. A successor to Mondro as Michigan Section Manager is expected to be named soon. (Adapted from ARRL Bulletin, W4OYI)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Cresenta Valey Amateur Radio Club repeater N6JLY serving Cresenta Vally California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO LAW: CQ SAYS YES TO NEW HAM BANDS

The publisher of several hobby radio magazines is urging the FCCto proceed in the creation of several new ham bands. However, it is voicing significant concern that the regulatory agency appears to be placing the interests of unlicensed services on a par with, if not ahead of, those of licensed services. Amateur Radio Newsline’s David Black, KB4KCH, tellks us who filed and why:

--

Most magazine publishers traditionally stay out ham radio politics. But the people who publish CQ Amateur Radio, CQ VHF and Popular Communications magazines are making an exception this time.

The publishers have filed comments with the FCC strongly supporting proposals that would create two new amateur bands and elevate a current amateur allocation from secondary to primary status.

CQ wants the FCC to adopt a proposal to create a "splinter band" at 135.7 to 137.8 kHz -- this would give amateur radio its first allocation above 200 meters in nearly a century. The company proposes opening the band to all amateurs with General Class or higher licenses, not imposing mode restrictions and making technical requirements flexible enough to encourage a wide range of experimentation.

CQ is also pushing for creation of a new ham band at 60 meters from 5.250 to 5.400 Mhz. The proposal suggests that full amateur power be permitted; that there be no sub-banding, either by mode or by license class; and that the band be open to all amateurs with HF privileges. That would include CW privileges for hams holding Novice Class licenses or Technician licenses with code credit.

Finally, CQ voices strong support for the FCC's proposal to make the Amateur Service primary on 2400 to 2402 MHz. The publishers say they're very concerned about one Commission request in its Notice of Proposed Rule Making. That requests solicits comments on the change in status that might affect non-licensed users of this band, such as cordless telephones and wireless computer networks.

CQ wants the Commission to reaffirm its long-standing policy that the interests of licensed operators and services are primary on any frequencies shared by licensed and non-licensed users.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Im David Black, KB4KCH.

--

CQ's comments were filed electronically and may be viewed in full on the FCC's Electronic Comment Filing System website at www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html by selecting "Search for Filed Comments," then typing in "02-98" under "Proceeding" on the search page, scrolling through comment summaries until the CQ comments are reached. (CQ)

**

RADIO LAW: COMMISSION REQUIRES RADAR DETECTORS TO COMPLY WITH EMISSION LIMITS

The FCC has imposed new emission limits and certification requirements on radar detectors. This, to prevent them from causing interference to very small aperture satellite terminals that operate with downlink frequencies in the 11.7 to 12.2 GHz band. Tests at the Commission's Laboratory have shown that some radar detectors emit radio signals in the downlink band far in excess of the limits that apply to other unintentional radiators. As a result of the new standards, radar detectors sold in the United States will have to meet the new and more stringent limits. The complete document is at

http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-224518A1.doc (CGC Communicator)

**

RADIO LAW: VOTE ON NEW FCC COMMISSIONER EXPECTED

Ending months of political bickering over judicial nominations, a senate panel approved a longtime aide to Senate Majority Leader Tom Daschle for a seat on the Federal Communications Commission. The nomination of Jonathan S. Adelstein, who has worked for the Democratic leader since 1995, will go to the full Senate for a vote.

Approval is expected, with several Republican senators saying they would vote for the South Dakota native. The FCC panel has five commissioners, who are nominated by the president and confirmed by the Senate. Only three can be from the same political party. (Congressional Review)

**

ENFORCEMENT: WISCONSIN HAM ASKED ABOUT CB SALES

The FCC has asked a Wisconsin ham to explain his connection to a website that purportedly offers for sale C-B equipment not certified by the agency. The FCC’s Riley Hollingsworth explains:

--
Hollingsworth: “We opened an inquirey regarding the marketing of non certified radio equipment including non certified CB transceivers and HF linear amplifiers by Roper Electronics. Roper Electronics has a web page entitled tomah.com, and we are inquiring into the relationship between Roper Electronics and Randi Owen, N9UOM, of Tomah Wisconsin.”
--

Specifically, Owen was asked to fully describe his relationship, if any, with Roper Electronics. Also, if it turns out that he owns or is affiliated with Roper, he was requested to furnish the FCC a listing of all models of HF transceivers and amplifiers domestically sold and/or offered for sale by Roper since January 1st of this year. That list must include the FCC certification number for each model.

The letter of inquiry went out to Owen on June 18th. Hollingsworth says that a reply to that inquiry is do in right now. (FCC, RAIN)

**

HAM SCHOLARSHIPS: FAR SCHOLARSHIP WINNERS ANNOUNCED

The Foundation For amateur Radio has announced this year’s winners for the fifty-seven scholarships which it administers. The names of the recipients for the individual awards appear on a list at several websites including the ARRL. For more information, write FAR Scholarships, PO Box 831, Riverdale, Maryland, 20783. The announcement for the year 2003 awards availability will be made early next year. (FAR)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: PACKARD AUTOMOBILE CELEBRATION

On the special events calendar, the Warren Ohio Amateur Radio Association will be operating special events station W8P to commemorate the annual Packard automobile celebration and car show. The Warren group will operate on the 40, 20, 15 and 10 meter bands. QSL's go to the Warren Amateur Radio Association, Post Office Box 809, Warren Ohio. The Zipcode there is 44482 (Via Internet Posting)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: OH-KY-IN HAMFEST

Still in Ohio, but over in Cincinnati, the OH-KY-IN Amateur Radio Society will be holding its annual hamfest on July 27th. The venue is the Diamond Oaks Career Development Campus and this event features seminars, hidden transmitter hunts and a giant flea market. More information on this one is on the web at www dot ohkyin dot org. And in case you are wondering what OH-KY-IN stands for – its Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana – the three states that provide most of the attendees to this annual event. (Via E-Mail)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: NATIONAL BABY FOOD FESTIVAL

From one of our listeners in Michigan comes word that W1B is a special event operation from the National Baby Food Festival. Yes, there really is a National Baby Food Festival and W1B will operate the General class areas of 75, 40, 20, 15 and 10 meters while its underway. And if you work this one, you QSL with a self addressed stamped envelope to Shawn Gibson, KC8LGD, 3377 West 80th Street in Newaygo Michigan. Gibson’s zipcode is 49337. (Via Internet Posting)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: RUSSIA SAYS BASS LIKELY TO FLY TO ISS

The Russian space company Rosaviakosmos has announced that N*SYNC singer Lance Bass has signed a preliminary contract and is officially a candidate for a Russian mission to the International Space Station this October. This, even the United States National Aeronautics and Space Administration, which partners with the Russians on the space station project has expressed concerns that the pop musician may lack the proper education, endurance and fluency in Russian to undertake such a journey.

But a spokesman at Russia's Baikonur Cosmodrome training center in says that within a week Bass will begin training. The spokesman said that the singer should be able to cover enough ground to make the trip adding that three months was more than enough time to teach him the basics.

Bass will be the third space tourist to pay Russia $20 million to visit the orbiting outpost. Previous space visitors were U.S. millionaire Dennis Tito KG6FZX and South African entrepreneur Mark Shuttleworth. Tito and Shuttleworth did find time to use the ham gear on board the station. Bass interest in Amateur Radio operations from the ISS is still unknown. (ARNewsline™ from published news reports)

**

RADIO IN SPACE: LISTEN TO JUPITER ON YOUR HAM TRANSCEIVER

Want to hear what’s happening on the planet Jupiter? Just listen on the 15 meter band. So says Popular Communications Magazine in an article aptly titled Listening To Jupiter On Your Shortwave Receiver.

No, you won’t hear the latest scores from the Jupiter Red Sox playing the Mars Marlins. What can be heard is the natural sound of the orange giant. An electromagnetic wave that’s emitted at irregular intervals by Jupiter that the article describes as a sweeping lighthouse like sound.

All you need to listen in is a shortwave receiver that can tune the good old A-M mode and a decent antenna. Exactly how to listen and what to listen for is on pages 8 and 9 o the August issue of the magazine. (PopComm)

**

INTERNATIONAL - NEW ZEALAND: TECHNOLOGY CONVENTION 2003

From Down-Under, word that the New Zealand ham radio Technology Convention will now be held once every two years. Formerly called the VHF Convention, this bi-annual event now caters for a broader range of interests.

The Convention was last in Whangarei over Easter Weekend 2001. The New Zealand Association of Radio Transmitters days that it is currently is looking for a host club for Technology Convention, 2003. (NZART)

**

INTERNATIONAL - UK: HAMS GET NEW PROPAGATION STUDY BAND:

UK Radio Amateurs will shortly be able to use a number of spot frequencies around 5 MHz, to take part in a four-year propagation study. A full Class-A license holder wishing to take part will require a Notice of Variation to his existing license. At the present time, the final administrative arrangements are being put into place. A further announcement will be made regarding the start date shortly. (GB2RS)

**

INTERNATIONAL - UK: THE GREAT NEW TOOTH PHONE

Meantime, a pair of British engineers say they have invented a revolutionary tooth implant that works like a mobile phone. The 'tooth phone', designed by researchers James Auger and Jimmy Loizeau, consists of a micro sized receiver, transmitter and a transducer that are implanted into a tooth during routine dental surgery. Sound, which comes from the tooth is transferred to the inner ear by bone resonance. This means information can be received anywhere and nobody else can listen in. (Science & Technology)

**

DX

In DX, DJ6TK, is vacationing and operating 6 meters from Bornholm Island on CW and SSB mostly in his afternoon or late evening hours UTC. Listen for him signing JO75LA and possibly from JO74MX as well. (MDX)

I2IA stroke ID9 will be active from Ipari Island through September 15th. He intends to visit also other islands in the Eolie group during this time. (GB2RS)

And a group of operators from Indonesia and Italy plan to activate Masalembu Island as 8A3M from July 29th through August 4th. They expect to be on the air with two or three stations on SSB, CW and RTTY. QSL via IZ8CCW. (OPDX)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: CAPTAIN KIRK - “THEY BE WHALES”

In what sounds like it’s right out of a Star Trek movie plot, will Captain Kirk and Mr. Spock have to go rescue some whales from oblivion after all? And what does this have to do with radio communications? Amateur Radio Newsline’s Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has the answers to both of these questions:

--

In the movie Star Trek The Voyage Home, the crew of the star-ship Enterprise -- without the Enterprise -- takes on the job of saving our planet from annihilation by a space probe. The probe is angry because it is programmed to contact Humpback whales, but it cannot find any because all the whales are long gone from the planet. To save the world, Kirk and crew travel back in time to get some whales and bring them into the future.

With that in mind, picture this. The administration has now given the Navy permission to begin using a powerful new low-frequency SONAR to identify enemy submarines.

SONAR, which stands for Sound Navigation and Ranging, is usually used to observe objects in water to determine distance. According to the Navy, each of the new SONAR’s eighteen transducers produces an audio signal equivalent to the noise level you would hear if you stood next to an F-15 fighter jet while I was taking-off. It is this high power that makes it possible for the sound waves to travel several hundred miles and return an accurate target echo.

But environmentalists are worried. They note that that the new SONAR system operates in the same band of frequencies used for communication by many large

whales, including Humpbacks. They say that whales are particularly susceptible to SONAR interference because they rely on sound for communication, feeding, mating and migration. In fact, they navigate the oceans of the world using a kind of natural SONAR of their own.

Some scientists believe that whales will mistake the Navy SONAR signals for other whale pods and swim in the wrong direction. And they believe that if this happens, the worlds whale population will decrease.

Others disagree. This group of researchers believes that the two can co-exist with careful monitoring of the whales for any adverse effects which might be noticed. Adjustments could then be made to the SONAR systems operation to minimize or eliminate any problems.

The bottom line is that it's a tradeoff in communications. That of the whales versus the need of the public to be safe from enemy attack.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.

--

The National Marine Fisheries Service says with proper monitoring and safeguards, the Navy’s new SONAR is not likely to injure whales or any other marine mammals. But to be on the safe side, we hope Captain Kirk, Mr. Spock and Scotty are standing by. (Adapted from published news reports)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Mert Garlick, N6AWE, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

**
TERMINAL BREAK
**

RAIN PROMO

2417. The Church of Len, Schismatic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 11:56:42 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.28.11.56.42.271772.7555@arrl.org>
Source record: 50231

On Sat, 27 Jul 2002 18:29:16 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <pan.2002.07.27.13.38.49.291004.18263@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>>>>>On Wed, 24 Jul 2002 23:22:18 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I do NOT think Consumer Reports are completely honest.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>But without evidence.
>>>>>
>>>>> Where is the evidence that CR *IS* honest...other than they telling
>>>>> everyone how honest they are?
>>>>
>>>>Do you read Consumer Reports? If you do and have any experience with
>>>>any of the products they review, you can easily verify claims they've
>>>>made about those products. Those verifications should convince you
>>>>that there is honest reporting in CR.
>>>
>>> I used to be a subscriber to CR, total of six years. I DID verify
>>> that their claims were not always true; that cannot be absolute since
>>> I did not check out ALL of them. But this isn't about CR, is it?
>>
>>Once you claim someone to be dishonest it becomes about them, in part.
>>I'd be interested to hear the specifics of CR's untruths. I'd also be
>>interested in hearing how you determined that CU knew it was printing
>>untruths.
>
> This is not a forum for Consumer Reports magazine. If you wish to
> discuss Consumers Union or their magazine, I suggest you access the
> links provided by Paul Schleck.
>
>>> Is someone "winning" while others are "losing" debates? :-)
>>
>>No, nobody is winning. But you're losing because you're making no real
>>argument.
>
> That statement is just your personal opinion. Nothing is "lost." Your
> statement is just an attempt to paint another in bad colors. Bad form.

I characterized your arguments, Len, not your person. Don't you know the
difference?

>>Is Jim a member of your family? Was the form of address intended to be
>>affectionate?
>

> "Jim?" Which "Jim?" The only header information is "N2EY," not a
> person.

Do you think the postings are not coming from a person? Maybe you've been debating with an AI system -- and losing!

> Go back a couple years and determine if "K4YZ" is attempting to be
> "affectionate" with his form of address to me.

What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior toward other people?

>>No, it's not okay. But what has that got to do with your use of personal
>>attack as a way of ducking the hard questions?
>
> Nobody is "ducking 'hard' questions." You have been attempting to
> misdirect by questions on Consumers Union and omission of some lengthy
> personal attack ploys by others as applying to me.

It gives me a chuckle when you ascribe your own behavior to others. Changing the subject is your main tactic when you get cornered. Then when someone takes you up on the change, you accuse them of having changed the subject! (See the CR discussion above.)

> Explain again how using "Jimmie" can be construed as a "personal
> attack."

It's intended to belittle, Len, like much of what you post.

>>I'm not painting, Len, I'm just pointing out the features of the image
>>you're painting.
>
> No, Jon, you are posting what you post out of various kinds of personal
> pique/irritation/discomfort on negative criticism of the ARRL.

You would like people to believe that because you think it will detract from my arguments. But all it really does is expose your pique/irrritation/discomfort from being challenged to back up your claims with something substantive.

> That makes
> your vision astigmatic, distorted. It might even be considered
> "mono-path" as with a "one-way" mirror. I suggest a visit to an
> opthalmologist to have your vision checked.

My vision is fine, Len, but thanks for your concern.

>>Of course not. You are under no legal obligation to answer questions
>>posed here. But when you accuse others of dishonesty, you have an
>>ethical obligation to back up the accusations with specific evidence.
>
> In another thread I made mention of scanning a license form of mine and
> sending e-mail attachments to requesters. In yet another thread opened
> by one little barking dog, he demanded to see it. I sent it. In fact,

> I have now sent two of them, a second at smaller resolution and file
> size. That individual said he deleted them. I complied with the
> request. Others would consider that ethical. What I got in return was
> more personal insults in public. Do you consider such things as
> "ethical?"

I'm not interested in that exchange, Len. I routinely skip over the public squabble between you and Steve; it's pot and kettle as far as I'm concerned, and neither of you are doing yourselves credit. What I am interested in is whether you will present any specific evidence of the dishonesty with which you have changed ARRL.

>>I'm just
>>attempting to get you to live up to that ethical obligation. If you
>>prefer to hide behind legalisms, so be it.
>
> I'm not a legal expert nor do I pretend to be one. This is not a
> question of "law."
>
>
>>> The ARRL says it is "honest." The proof of such "honesty" cannot be
>>> more of the same. :-)
>>
>>Ignoring the presented evidence isn't the same as there not being any.
>
> Where is the "evidence" that the ARRL is honest in every claim? All
> that anyone can see is statements made by the ARRL, their officers and
> staff, and ARRL members that they are "honest." In any other venue
> those would be called CLAIMS, not "evidence."

I'll explain it to you again, Maybe you'll get it this time. It's a simple matter to verify many of the statements made by ARRL. (Do you agree, or are you claiming that everything ARRL says is a lie?) With a number of verifiable claims on the one hand and not a single instance of a dishonest statement on the other, a reasonable person, one not acting on the basis of an unchangable prejudice, could only conclude the ARRL is honest.

>>Oh? Who, specifically? In what way, and using what evidence? Claiming
>>that a lot of people agree with you is an old, weak rhetorical trick.
>
> Claiming "honesty" is an old, weak political rhetoric trick. When
> coupled with omission of certain other things to emphasize an
> organization "good works," it is called SPIN.

The same is true of "dishonesty." But when you claim dishonesty you're going to be asked to back it up. So, back it up.

>>Unless
>>you can show where these supposed "many, many" challenges have been
>>made, you're just blowing smoke.
>
> Propagandists "blow smoke" all the time.

Yes, they do, don't they?

>>> Do you want the ARRL to continue to talk down to newcomers?]
>>
>>It doesn't.
>
> In many cases it does. For the most part the tone of the ARRL seems to
> talk down to many as if "radio" were some new technology and mainly
> known only to amateurs. That's normally called "patronizing." :-)

ARRL produces material intended to introduce people to radio. If you think that is directed at radio professionals, you are deluded.

> Not only that, the ARRL pretends to be some sort of governmental
> surrogate (too strong a word, perhaps) or at least an "assistant to
> government" in amateur radio regulation.

I'm not aware of that language ever having been used, but it's certainly true that ARRL is active in the regulatory process.

> They claim freely elected
> "representatives" which are supposed to be "for all amateurs" yet they
> are a private organization not governed by federal laws for elected
> officials of government. That leads to some viewing the ARRL as an
> Orwellian "Big Brother" (from George Orwell's famous/notorious novel
> "1984").

No doubt a few do view it that way. Some people wrap tin foil around their heads to keep out the alien rays, too. Both make about as much sense.

Hey, Len, I saw in ECFS where you had advised the FCC on what to do. Does that make you an Orwellian Big Brother?

>>> Do you want
>>> the ARRL to lead everyone by a parental hand as if they were all
>>> ignorant lambs?
>>
>>It doesn't.
>
> "Now You're Talking."

So, your complaint is that an introductory book on ham radio is, well, introductory?

> :-)
>
>
>>> Do you want the ARRL to appear as some superior judge
>>> and arbiter of behavior when the evidence is clear that they are
>>> simply "representing" the thoughts of long- time members thinking
>>> their way is the "only" way?
>>
>>What evidence, specifically?
>

> Patronization is the most blatant aspect. The ARRL implies that it
> knows what is best for amateur radio but the actual fact seems to be
> that the ARRL "knows what is best" only for those higher-up in the ARRL
> opinion and policy determining levels.

I asked for evidence, Len, not more character assasination and baseless
charges. Maybe the problem is that you just can't tell the difference?

> Arrogance is not a positive attribute to any organization, yet a common
> fault with the ARRL's presentation of itself is just that to many.

Many, Len? How do you know? From alleged discussions with unidentified
hams?

> One
> example is their continuing emphasis on morse code skill as the top
> attribute for all radio amateurs,

Incorrect. It is one attribute that is presently required for HF
operation. There are a number of attributes hams might have, and I'm not
aware of ARRL ever having ranked them. Perhaps you could enlighten me by
citing where that ranking was published?

> a throwback to very early days when
> on-off keying was the only practical communications mode for radio
> amateurs. Such is out of place in this new millennium of advancing
> technology, modes, and methods. Observe the construction articles on HF
> output transmitters in QEX where, seemingly, there must always be some
> mention of "CW capability" regardless of the non-CW mode design of the
> transmitter...or the abundance of such transmitter articles that do
> feature "CW" (on-off keyed CW).

Uh, Len, CW capability is a throw-in with just about any narrowband radio.
I'd think that you, a radio expert, would realize that. So why would
anyone building a narrowband radio for use in the Amateur Radio Service
leave it out? And since CW is widely used in the ARS, what's wrong with
publishing designs that implement it?

Would you favor supression of publication of information about a mode
that's widely used and enjoyed just because you personally dislike the
mode?

>>So, let's see how you think the world should work: When someone is
>>accused of dishonesty, it's not up to the accuser to prove the charge,
>>it's up to the accused to prove innocence. And you accuse ME of
>>Torquemadan tendencies!
>
> I don't think the "world" should work as I say it should. I leave that
> to elected officials.
>
> I stated my OPINIONS on the ARRL and you (angrily?) DEMANDED evidence of
> wrong-doing! :-)

I'm not angry, Len, just disgusted. You keep making scurrilous charges and refuse to back them up. That leaves me shaking my head, not gritting my teeth.

> It would seem that the sequel to the infamous Spanish Inquisition is in
> full bloom, again, but in a different venue. :-)

"He's picking on me, Mom!"

>>ARRL policy is judged by its members. If ARRL policy doesn't hew to the
>>members' interests the members can "vote with their feet." But they
>>don't. So, please explain why ARRL members remain as members when, in
>>your opinion, ARRL is acting against their best interests.
>
> I can't explain what I didn't write.
>
> I have stated a numerical fact several times, to wit: "Membership in the
> ARRL is less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs." That
> numerical fact has been true for several years.
>
> I have stated that my opinion of many ARRL members to be that of
> Believers, believing in the all-goodness of the ARRL, some to the point
> of such belief to be pseudo-religious.

I don't know anyone of that description. Care to name them?

> It is axiomatic that Believers
> cannot be dissuaded from their beliefs...without incurring something
> akin to open warfare. :-)

Boy, is that the truth! You can't even ask true believers for evidence to back their beliefs without causing them to fly off the handle! :-)

>>It's easy to characterize statements you disagree with as politicl spin.
>
> A Republican may disagree with a Democrat's political spin and call it
> that while a Democrat may disagree with a Republican's political spin
> and call it that. Both are correct. :-)
>
> The ARRL is a also a political organization. They use elements of
> propaganda to continue their existence. Propaganda emphasizing
> themselves and positive attributes, then omitting de-emphasizing
> statements and negative attributes is pure SPIN. :-)
>
> Yes, it is easy to characterize!

But to make the characterization effective you need to provide something more substantive than, "Spin! It's Spin! Spin, I tell you! Nothing but Spin!"

>>It's more difficult to challenge the statements with substantive
>>discussion.
>
> Oh, my! "Substantive discussion" on pseudo-religions? Sorry, this

> isn't a theological seminary group or a rabbinical institute forum.

It shouldn't need to be. A discussion about a person's or organization's honesty should be evidentiary in nature, not theological. That's the point I've been making. It's perfectly clear why you want to avoid rational discussion -- you have no case on that basis. The only way you can continue to assert your absurd claims is by saying it's only a matter of opinion. Like so...

>>But try taking the harder route if you expect to make a convincing
>>argument.
>
> I have stated my personal OPINIONS. You wish to put such opinions "on
> trial" by demanding "evidence" of their "correctness."

Yes, I do. That's how issues are normally resolved in civilized society.

> [such is the
> reason for naming this thread the "Inquisition."]

You misunderstand what the Inquisition was.

> Very well, where is the EVIDENCE that the ARRL is "honest" in all its
> statements, claims, and policies?

Asked and answered.

> Is this "evidence" contained in "sworn statements?" [believe us or we
> will swear at you? :-)]
>
>
>>Again, where are the specifics? Just claiming that "they're being mean
>>to me" doesn't have much substance.
>
> I've never claimed that the ARRL "was being mean to me." :-)
>
> I once mentioned a written, USPS-delivered letter exchange with a
> now-deceased President of the ARRL. The "reply" was a form-letter
> format response consisting of -
>
> 1. Join the ARRL and become a participating, voting member.
>
> 2. A then-new publication on the market "Now You're Talking"
> would "explain" radio to me (this was some time after my
> military HF radio experience and grant of a First Phone,
> which had been explained by my).
>
> That was concerning my comments (in business-courtesy format) on the
> code test regulation issue for GETTING INTO amateur radio. So, the
> form-letter response took the arrogant stance of my having to go through
> the process FIRST, becoming licensed in the OLD way, in order to become
> a "participating, voting member." At that time (prior to 1990) the ARRL
> position and policy obviously went only with the membership who had

> already gone through the code test process. Where was the openness on
> considering revised regulations? Where was the consideration of
> newcomers not yet licensed? It wasn't there. Yet the ARRL had
> considerable influence on FCC decisions regarding amateur radio
> regulations in the pre-1990 time...so the ARRL was certainly attempting
> to keep federal regulations (which apply to all) solely for the alleged
> wishes of its membership.

Picture Len, repeatedly striking a deceased equine...

> I won't go into the "suggestion" on "learning about radio" through the
> new publication...when I was actively engaged in radio design as a
> professional at the time. Perhaps that was just a gratuitous form-
> letter response. It did not sit well at the time.

And you've spent the years since then making baseless charges against the
ARRL because you didn't like getting a form letter response!

> Form-letters are convenient for the secretarial staff. If written well,
> they can save executives' much time and trouble. If applied poorly they
> can be gratuitous insults-by-arrogant responses.

Only to people foolish enough to think that's what they are.

>>> While minutes to board meetings are made public, they are made public
>>> by the ARRL. The ARRL controls what is revealed. Others in here have
>>> stated that BoD meetings have covered items not in public view.
>>
>>Specifics? Evidence? Why is it, Len, that your arguments are so often
>>presented in terms of "(unidentified) others have said," with no
>>citation of who, where and when? It leads one to suspect you're just
>>making it all up.
>
> Another staff member of the ARRL has admitted openly in here that some
> ARRL meeting details were never included in publicly-released meeting
> minutes or text.

Specifics?

> I could get a number of licensed radio amateurs on a list for your
> damnation but, ethically, I would have to get their consent for public
> disclosure. I doubt
> many of them are eager for a public trial in which they are charged with
> heretical opinions against the League.

Uh, huh. The unidentified and unidentifible multitude that agrees with
Len, like a deus ex machina, makes its usual appearance.

>>SMs don't make ARRL policy, Len. If you're going to criticize an
>>organization's structure, you really ought to first learn what it is.
>
> It is what the ARRL says it is. The ARRL says so.

How else would an organization arrange itself?

>>> The "free election" is NOT anywhere close to the same sort of "free
>>> election" as is done for elected government officials.
>>
>>Sure it is. In each case the electorate is restricted. In the case of
>>governmental elections, it's restricted to the citizens of the
>>jurisdiction the electoral office represents. Citizens of other
>
> Election of officials in a PRIVATE organization is NOT under the same
> laws as elected government officials. You want to IMPLY that it is the
> "same" but it is not. [bad application of spin]

"This is not a question of 'law.'"

>>Yet another groundless charge. Just repeating "ARRL is dishonest"
>>doesn't convince anyone. Pony up some evidence.
>
> Yet another groundless bench-pounding by an inquisitor. "Pony up some
> evidence" that the ARRL is scrupulously honest in all its statements,
> claims, policies. I stated my OPINIONS. Like them or not, they are my
> opinions. Others hold the same opinions.

There are those mysterious "others" again. Can you not support your
OPINIONS with something more substantive than that?

>>"What the ARRL wants" is for the Amateur Radio Service to flourish. It's
>>true that ARRL's activities aren't representative of anything except
>>that.
>
> It is my opinion that the ARRL is simply there to SURVIVE at this point,
> for its leaders to continue exercising CONTROL over all of amateur radio
> in the USA to the leaders' personal desires.

You're entitled to your opinion, Len. Too bad it's at such variance with
the public record.

>>Which explains why so many hams have aligned themselves with ARRL's
>>activities.
>
> That also explains why three times that "many" have NOT aligned
> themselves with ARRL policies.
>
>>They, too, want the ARS to flourish.
>
> Or they want to continue regulations and policies in the old ways so
> that they feel secure without having to learn new things, so that they
> can have their status, rank, privileges preserved for showing their
> "superior" accomplishments, so that they have an ability to control
> without being held accountable for such control.

Witness the power of a form letter in the wrong hands!

>>Most of the comments were closer to ARRL's position than to the one
>>finally adopted in the R&O.
>

> There was only ONE such "summary," apparently an independent effort
> which became part of the FCC public record. It had mistakes in it,
> partially corrected in a follow-on document.
>
> "Most" of those "most" comments were essentially form-letters stressing
> individuals' tenure and personal desires for morse code testing. Quit
> doing the necro-equine flagellation over sour wine of losing.

Ah, I get it. All of the comments that expressed any agreement with the
ARRL point of view are to be discounted because of your delusions about
what motivated them. By counting only the comments you agree with you can
come up with result you like! Maybe the comments similar to ARRL's had
hanging chads?

>>> On 90-53, the NPRM for creation of the no-code-test Technician class
>>> license, the ARRL's position on policy was essentially against it or
>>> for forcing allocated operation well above 30 MHz. The ARRL lost and
>>> refused to accept the FCC's own reason for elimination of the code
>>> test.
>>
>>Uh, the NCTs were restricted to operation above 30 MHz, Len.
>
> The original ARRL position on 90-53 restricted "NCTs" to above 2 meters.
> Can it, Jon, that's an older horse beaten even more severely so that a
> coroner's report is needed to identify it.

Translation: Len was wrong and can't admit it.

>>This is funny! Len, the ARRL is part of the IARU. IARU is the mechanism
>>by which ARRL and other national AR societies present the case of
>>Amateur Radio in international matters, particularly HF allocations.
>>When you see IARU doing things, you ARE seeing ARRL and it's sister
>>socities in action.
>
> Then why the dichotomy in public information?

What dichotomy? Specifics, please.

>>Nothing has been done? How do you suppose that realignment of the 7-MHz
>>band got onto the agenda for WRC-03?
>
> Explain why it failed to get into WARCs 81 through 99 and failed WRC-01.
>
> That issue has been around for TWENTY THREE YEARS.

So what? Competing interests make a lot of issues time consuming to
resolve.

>>How is it that the FCC's preliminary
>>view on the issue, released for public comment last spring, called for a
>>300-kHz-wide amateur primary allocation at 40m? Are you even aware that
>>said preliminary view was formulated by an FCC-appointed advisory
>>committee to which ARRL contributes and of which an ARRL employee is

>>vice chairman? If you are unaware of all of those things then you are
>>making comments about a topic about which you are woefully uninformed.
>
> Walt Ireland. Yes, I'm "informed" enough to point out that, as the
> "scribe" for IWG-6 he was quite (months) late on public minutes
> write-up. IWG-6 is presently (and still) looking for a replacement
> "scribe."
>
> I'm well aware of ALL the action items in the USA Proposals for WRC-03.
> Such are available to all with access to the FCC website and their
> International
> Bureau's sub-page on WRC-03. According to the US Proposal, the
> "reasons" given are those of the IARU, not the ARRL. Isn't that
> interesting...the IARU is an "international" organization while the ARRL
> is a USA-national one and the FCC chose the IARU comments to repeat.
>
> Stuff it, Jon. You are trying to beat a horse that isn't dead which a
> lot of us
> have already caught and featured.

So if you were aware that the issue of 40 meters is actively being addressed, should we conclude that you were simply being dishonest when you said that nothing had been done to address that issue?

>>Until you present effective counter arguments instead of innuendo and
>>misinformation, I'll stand by what I said.
>
> Okay, so YOUR "counter arguments and innuendo and misinformation" is
> "correct" and your calling my opinion statements as "unethical" and
> "wrong." Sounds about right. :-)

Innuendo and misinformation is your debating style, Len, not mine.

>>Bullets? You're shooting blanks, Len. You still haven't presented any
>>evidence of dishonesty on the part of ARRL. Where is it?
>
> You've been hit many times but are too numb to know you're wounded.
>
> Show us the EVIDENCE that the ARRL is honest in all its statements,
> claims, and policies...and that it is worthy to be the Big Brother
> surrogate to the FCC.

Asked and answered.

>>In short, you have NO evidence.
>
> We can't continue this trial if you don't recognize evidence.

First you need to present some.

>>Observation of what? Surely if you have observed something that gives
>>grounds for skepticism you can cite it. I'm still waiting for you to do
>>so.

>
> I did. You refuse to see it.

No, we just have different ideas of what constitutes evidence. I think evidence consists of factual testimony and references that can be cross checked and analyzed. You seem to think it consists of little more than repeated assertions that those who disagree with you are arrogant and dishonest.

>>It's only because you lack any real argument that you keep trying to
>>turn the discussion into a conflict of "belief systems." You haven't
>>presented anything to give substance to your belief system.
>
> I did. You refuse to see it.

Your catechism of the anti-ARRL church is without substance. That can be seen quite clearly.

>>Meaning you have no answer to give. Just a string of baseless and
>>unsupported accusations accompanied by assertions that there is no proof
>>that the accusations aren't true.
>
> I gave answers. You didn't like them. Tough.

The answers were nonresponsive -- nothing more than repetition of the baseless charges.

>>Anyody can make accusations without evidence. But it's unethical to do
>>so.
>
> You have made a number of accusations in here without evidence. Ergo,
> Jon Bloom is unethical.

I've fully supported everything I've said, Len. Too bad you can't say the same -- at least, not accurately.

>>I'm just looking for evidence to support the chages you're making, Len.
>>So far, you haven't presented any.
>
> I've presented them, you can't - or won't - see them. I suggested a
> vision check with an MD earlier. I repeat that now.

Nonresponsive to the last!

>>> This isn't about a Belief system in something organized by humans for
>>> other humans. In such affairs it is possible to be a skeptic and not
>>> considered a heretic.
>>
>>Yep. But if you want your skepticism to be accepted, you should present
>>something to justify it.
>
> I did. If you want this to be a true discussion, you have to go along
> with it.

>
> You will not accept dissension or skeptics. QED.

I'll accept evidence.

>>It's easy to find cases where ARRL has acted honestly, which supports
>>the assertion that it does so act. You can't cite one example of where
>>it has acted dishonestly.
>
> I have. You refuse to accept it. QED.

No, you haven't. The only concrete incident or citation regaarding ARRL
that you've brought forward in this entire discussion is a decades-old
form letter that angered you. Apparently, that incident colors your entire
approach to anything in which ARRL is involved.

>>The objective evidence is that ARRL is honest.
>
> Not enough. They constantly claim things, make statements, make policy
> as if they are "leading" US amateur radio as the ARS "representative."
> Spin, sin by omission, and they "represent" only the membership (which
> is still less than a quarter of all US amateurs, a minority group).

So you keep saying.

>>> Under the "baseline of truthfulness" description, ANY propaganda would
>>> be truthful. It isn't.
>>
>>Nope. The "baseline of truthfulness" consists of those statements that
>>can be verified. There are plenty of those from ARRL, as you well know.
>
> Then you are defining "baseline of truthfulness" as being MORE SPIN.
> Plenty of that as everyone well knows.

Do you claim that there are no statements made by ARRL that can be
verified? Of course, you don't, because you know perfectly well that such
a claim would be easily disproven. Meanwhile, you can't come up with a
single example of untruthfullnes on the part of ARRL with which to support
your claim of dishonesty.

>>Sure you do, you just prefer to ignore it because it doesn't conform to
>>revealed truth as you see it.
>
> Oh? And this is the New Epiphany?!?

Seems to be, but I'm not a beliver in your church.

>>1) That there is no absolute proof that ARRL is honest. Such proof is
>>impossible, of course.
>
> Unacceptable. You cannot have it both ways...although you try.
>
> If you cannot prove honesty, they neither can one prove dishonesty.

Incorrect. A photo from a bank security camera can prove a person is a bank robber. The lack of such a photo doesn't prove he's not.

The absolute proof you're demanding is the "creation 'science'" of the Church of Len.

>>2) That your belief in ARRL's dishonesty is akin to a religious faith.
>
> So, atheists belong to a "church of nothing?!?" Agnostics belong to a
> "church of somethingelse?!?" You are being ridiculous.
>
>
>>I've made no allegations. I've only pointed out the fallacies in your
>>belief system, the principal one being the complete lack of supporting
>>evidence.
>
> I don't have any "belief system" in a human membership organization.

True. You have a belief system AGAINST a human membership organization. Which is truly weird.

> I am a SKEPTIC. I don't believe in some "inherent honesty which is
> impossible to prove." NON-belief, Jon.

Then you don't believe that anyone is honest, right? (Oh, except yourself, of course. Where's Diogenes when you need him, eh?)

>>No, religious debates aren't settled by "reasonably objective evaluation
>>of the available facts." They're settled by schism.
>
> Okay, so there are three times as many licensed radio amateurs in the
> USA who are "schismed" in non-ARRL-membership as those who are
> "unschismed." Is that "settled?" :-)

And the single-member church of Len. Don't forget that sect! :-)

>>But I don't approach the question of whether or not a person or an
>>organization is honest on the basis of religion. I approach it on the
>>basis of evaluation of the evidence. That seems to be the fundamental
>>difference between your opinions and mine. Yours are based on
>>revelation, mine on evaluation.
>
> Incorrect. My OPINIONS are based on MY evaluation.

Of what facts?

> Because my OPINIONS are not in line with yours, you wish to "correct"
> them as in some Inquisition "trial by fire" with many accusations.

I just wanted to see the facts and the analysis on which your opinions are based. But there are none.

> If the ARRL cannot accept negative criticism from anyone, then it has NO
> business being a political-action organization "representing" anyone.
> Republicans, Democrats, and other political parties continually get

> bombarded with negative criticism, yet they manage to survive. Those
> political parties acknowledge openly that they use spin to influence
> voters...but somehow, the ARRL is "above" such things?
>
> I think not. What I've seen in here (observation and evaluation) is
> definite proof of a Belief System at work...that the ARRL can do no
> wrong, is always doing "good works," and that they "speak" for all
> "good" radio amateurs. All because they "say" they do...despite past
> public history that they are at odds with significant groups of people.
> What I see in here is considerable pique/irritation/suppressed-anger
> against those who are not Believers (in "impossible-to-prove honesty"),
> some of which results in outright damning of skeptics for expressing
> skeptical observations and evaluations.
>
> The ARRL should not be worried...they have no competition in
> "representation." They can be as arrogant as they want under those
> conditions. Sometimes they ARE.

"I believe in the father, the son and the holy ghost..."

So, what have we learned from this exchange?

1) It's okay for Len to belittle Jim because Steve belittles Len.

2) ARRL should publish no introductory material because someome who's not licensed but knows a lot about radio might be insulted at being talked down to.

3) Len's tirades against the ARRL stem from the fact that he got a form letter 20 years ago and considered it an insult.

Like I said, truly weird!

Jon, KE3Z

2418. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 12:14:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728081428.05192.00000195@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 50233

In article <20020727202745.12781.00000282@mb-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020727160646.13297.00000237@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>>In article <ahq966$t9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>>>><billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>>>
>>>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:20020725080640.07768.00000352@mb-mw.aol.com...
>>>>>> In article <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>,
>>>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>>> >The United States military (in which
>>>>>> (N2EY)
>>>>>> >never served) decided to stop
>>>>>> >using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a
>>>>>> >half century ago.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Show us some proof of that.
>>>>>
>>>>>How about personal experience...
>>>>
>>>>Works for me.
>>>>
>>>>> US Navy 66-70 (almost 40
>>>>>years ago).
>>>>
>>>>More like 30, but let's see what ya got.
>>>>
>>>>>As a Navy electronic tech, the ships at sea used no
>>>>>radio morse code at all for any communications at all. The only time I
>>>>>saw morse used at all was an occasional "drill" excersize.
>>>>>From my experience, by 66, all long haul comms were
>>>>>via HF RTTY (encrypted).
>>>>
>>>>So, in the 1966-70 time frame, the US Navy retained Morse code capability
>>>>but did not use it except for drills and in the rare case of an RTTY
>>failure.
>>>
>>>So, by all that you've said in here, you've never been IN any
>>>military branch.
>>

>>Even if that's true, why would it be significant? K2UNK is relating his
>>personal experiences aboard US Navy ships in the 1966-1970 time period. I
>>think he is being honest about his observations.
>
>So, you are the Judge of what is acceptible and not-acceptible in this
>unmoderated newsgroup?!?

Where do you get that idea?
>
>I've been honest about my descriptions of US Army communications in the
>1952-1960 period.

Prove it. Why should anyone accept your "honesty" when you don't accept theirs?

>Others have said that I "lied."

Not me. I've said you are mistaken about other things.
>
>>Were you ever in the US Navy?
>
>Irrelevant. I've stated that I served in the US Army.

In other words, NO.
>
>>> Further, you haven't done much research in the matter either.
>>
>>How do you know?
>
>You are unable to cite any sources other than amateur radio
>publications. You have been given information sources for the rest
>of the radio world in here, yet there is no sign you have accessed
>any of them.

Why bother to cite sources? You won't accept them anyway.
>
>>Were you ever in the US Navy?
>
>No. Were you ever in any branch of the US military?

Irrelevant. You weren't in the Navy. K2UNK was.

>>>Had you done enough research you would have found out that the
>>>USN was using RTTY both in-clear and on-line-encrypted in 1940
>>>on cruisers and heavier ships.
>>
>>I knew that already. The discussion was about the use of Morse, not RTTY.
>And
>>while RTTY was used on those ships in that time period, so was Morse.
>
>If you "knew" that independently, you could have mentioned the source.

Why? You wouldn't accept it anyway.
>

>>>The reasons are: Much higher throughput,
>>>much fewer operator mistakes, encryption can be integrated with in-clear
>>>communications means using TTY.
>>
>>Nobody disputes any of that - for military applications.
>
>You should not dispute any of that for commercial carriers, either.

So, you are the Judge of what is acceptible and not-acceptible in this unmoderated newsgroup?!?

>>>As late as 1965 land force HF radio equipment still had OOK CW
>>>capability up to Division level. But, having the capability does not infer
>>>that OOK CW was much used.
>>
>>It does indicate that the capability had not been eliminated. And the
>>discussion is about the US Navy.
>
>This whole thread "started" with a lot of bitching and whining over some
>alleged "age discrimination" given in a comment on NPRM 98-143.

Not "bitching and whining" but a simple statement of the facts, which prove your prejudice. Where do you get "age discrimination"? Is that a quote? Show your source.

>You
>do NOT control anything in here nor have you the power to decide which
>discussion is allowed or not allowed.

Nor do you, though you try.
>
>All "discussions" in unmoderated newsgroups are free-form; i.e., they
>can take directions determined by the participants.
>
All I did was ask a question.
>
>>When did the various military services stop requiring Morse training of
>>their
>>radio operators?
>
>Some time prior to 1990.

When?

>Why is that relevant?

Because it would show how long the various military branches required it even though they rarely if ever used it.

>There was no morse code used by the US military in the Gulf War.

Were you there? How do you know for sure?
>

>>How does any of that relate to your demands to the FCC for an age
>>requirement for a ham license?
>
>It doesn't.

Then you are misdirecting.

> Nor does it relate to your demands at what is permissible to
>post in here or not permissible.

Where DO you get these wild ideas?
>
>>>Unrelated comparison but not unexpected in here.
>>
>>No, it's very relevant. FCC knew what the military was doing, but did not
>>see those changes as having any bearing on the amateur radio service.
>
>How do you "know" what the FCC knew at any time?

How do you?

>When were you an employee of the FCC?

Same time you were ;-)
>
>Under 97.1, Title 47 CFR, you will find political statements that relate to
>the amateur radio service as "providing a pool of trained operators" for the
>nation.

Put there by whom?

>If the US military did NOT require morse communications then that "pool"
>would not need tested ability at morse code.

The military is not "the nation"

> Perhaps the nation needed
>morse code "pool" amateurs for other radio services? The only other radio
>service needing morse communications is maritime radio and that is rather
>limited.

Yet the FCC enacted rules changes.
>
>>>The FCC no doubt was heavily lobbied then by the ARRL for that
>>>"incentive" plan from the more-influential ARRL members who were either
>>>retired (and wanting to strut their stuff with nice titles and status) or
>>>had morsemanship as a personal favorite.
>>
>>INCORRECT. Pure wishful speculation on your part, driven by your ignorance
>>and anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and prejudice.
>
>NOT "incorrect."

It's INCORRECT.

> I stated an opinion based on observation and evaluation.

Based on pure wishful speculation on your part, driven by your ignorance and anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and prejudice.

>I watched it happen, talked with all sides on that (pro, con, and
>indifferent).

From a vantage point of anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and prejudice. Were you an FCC employee? Did you read the various RMs and dockets? Did you comment to the FCC?

>>>The old-timers wanted their skills-while-young to
>>>be "worth something" so they pushed for morsemanship as a
>>>criteria for "excellence" in amateurism.
>>
>>Obviously some did that. But not the ARRL leadership.
>
>Incorrect by observation and evaluation.

How? Were you an FCC employee at the time?
>
>>>Did the "FCC" push for the "incentive plan?" No.
>>
>>INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
>>research material as is possible,
>>from as many sources as possible. Bad historians limit their research and
>>interject their own personal desires into their histories. You are being a
>>bad historian.
>
>The FCC merely codified the regulations to fit the ARRL requests.

The FCC rules changes bear very little relationship to the original ARRL proposal.

>That is my observation and evaluation.

It's incorrect. Like an "observation and evaluation" that the sun goes around the earth.

>>FCC wanted incentive licensing.
>
>Why don't you be a good little morseman and trot on over to the FCC public
>Reading Room? There you can find all the relevant material to your claims
>(or against your claims) of who wanted what during the 1960s. DC is a lot
>closer to you than to me. :-)

In other words, you know I'm telling the facts.

>>>The FCC probably didn't much care one way or the
>>>other since it was facing a great heaping amount of new radio services
>>>using ever-increasing technology.
>>
>>INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
>> <a third mirroring of my original text deleted>

>
>Sources? The entire output of the trade magazines of the period from the
>end of WW2 to time-now...the statements of the FCC itself since they
>have put up a website.

The FCC had a website in 1965?

>Certainly in the last four decades, the number
>of new radio services have increased considerably more than at any
>previous time, plus those existing radio services have changed, added
>more and different technologies in their operation. The result was a
>substantial workload addition to the FCC's regulatory task.

Yet FCC was making the amateur regs more complex all throught the '60s and
'70s. For example, FCC made the regs for amateur repeaters quite complex in
that time period.

>>Do you think the public service contributions of amateur radio are not
>>important?
>
>Not in the overall picture of "public service" communications involving
>public safety, search and rescue.

Well, there you have it.

>>>Important to individuals, yes, but each station did not directly tie in
>>>with national needs. But, the FCC must listen to its citizens so it did
>>>what amounted to a compromise in the 1960s...it listened to the only
>>>large amateur radio membership organization of the time, the ARRL.
>>
>>INCORRECT. What is your source for this? Good historians consult as much
>
>Was there a large national amateur radio membership organization other
>than the ARRL in the 1960s?

Nope - nor is there one now. But the FCC based much of the structure of
incentive licensing on proposals by small roups and individuals.

>>INCORRECT. While the immediacy of email and websites did not exist then, all
>>this did was to lengthen the time span required. The percentage of
>interested
>>parties commenting was actually greater back then than for 98-143.
>
>I haven't seen any numbers in those claims.

98-143: ~2200 comments. US ham population ~675,000. One in 306 hams commented.
incentive licensing: ~1700 comments. US ham population ~265,000. One in 155
hams commented.

>>>The FCC effectively rubber-stamped the ARRL proposal and the ARRL
>>>proceded to take the credit for it ever since...until the 98-143 NPRM
>>>and eventual restructuring of license classes and testing. There can
>>>be no mistake that the ARRL took the credit for the "incentive plan"

>>>and it is pointless to now "blame" the plan on the FCC.
>>
>>INCORRECT. What is your source for this?
>
>>Here's what REALLY happened. This is a short account, but the essential
>>details
>>are there. Show us, if you can, where any of the following facts are
>inaccurate.
>
>First you show where your "facts" are accurate. :-)

WHY? You won't accept them anyway.
>
>>In 1963, the FCC expressed concern that so few amateurs had aspired to the
>>Amateur Extra class license.
>
>They did?

Yep.

>>The FCC asked for proposals on how to restructure
>>the ARS to provide more "incentive" for hams to attain higher license
>grades.
>>
>>The ARRL leadership responded in 1963 with a simple proposal:
>> <remainder omitted for brevity>

You omitted it because it disproves your claims. The original ARRL proposal of
1963 bears little resemblance to what the FCC enacted. That's a fact.

>>FCC got many proposals from the amateur radio community. Some came from
>>individuals, some from other amateur radio groups. At least ten (10) of
>>these
>>proposals were taken seriously enough by the FCC to earn RM numbers (RM-378,
>>455, 470, 474, 480, 481, 516, 517, 538, 577). It was from these proposals
>>that the idea of subbands-by-license-class and other details came about.
>
>Where are these documents to be found?

Look 'em up - you're good at that. Or you claim to be.

Show us where any of my facts are inaccurate. If you can.
>
>>It should be noted that the FCC received over 1700 formal comments on Docket
>>15928, back when the US amateur population was about 40% of what it is
>>today.
>>This figure does not include those whose comments were part of RM-499 or
>>other proposals.
>
>Where may we confirm this with the US government?

Look it up. Anything I post will be rejected by you anyway.

>>And there was no talk of an age requirement for any amateur license.
>
>How many six-year-old licensees were there in the 1960s? :-)

I don't know. Do you?

You have demanded that the FCC require all hams attain the age of 14 before being allowed to have any class of amateur radio license. Yet you have not shown ANY evidence or example of problems in the ARS caused by licensing people younger than 14.

Your demands were in reply comments to the FCC, buried at the end of a long document and timed so that others would not be able to comment on them within the FCC deadline.

Why should anyone find you credible when you cannot supply as single reason to enact age limit requirements?

Jim, N2EY

2419. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 12:14:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728081429.05192.00000196@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 50232

In article <ahvj9f$5hs$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020726220652.07756.00000178@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> In article <ahq966$t9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20020725080640.07768.00000352@mb-mw.aol.com...
>> >> In article <20020724190721.25170.00000224@mb-fr.aol.com>,
>> >lenover21@aol.com
>> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >> >The United States military (in which
>> >> (N2EY)
>> >> >never served) decided to stop
>> >> >using morse code for long-haul HF point-to-point communications over a
>> >> >half century ago.
>> >>
>> >> Show us some proof of that.
>> >
>> >How about personal experience...
>>
>> Works for me.
>>
>> > US Navy 66-70 (almost 40
>> >years ago).
>>
>> More like 30, but let's see what ya got.
>
>well 2002-1966 = 36

2002-1970 = 32

Point is, it was a long time ago.
>
>> >As a Navy electronic tech, the ships at sea used no
>> >radio morse code at all for any communications at all. The only time I
>> >saw morse used at all was an occasional "drill" excersize.
>> >From my experience, by 66, all long haul comms were
>> >via HF RTTY (encrypted).
>>
>> So, in the 1966-70 time frame, the US Navy retained Morse code capability
>>but
>> did not use it except for drills and in the rare case of an RTTY failure.

>
>Given the multiple recievers, RTTY failure wasn't an issue. Indeed, we
>received 24 hours
>uninterupted encrypted RTTY. The decryption was via KWR-37.
>
Revise above to read "extremely rare case of RTTY failure"

>> Was this on all USN ships? From the records and accounts I've seen, the
>>smaller
>> and older ships were the last to get new radio gear. In the early 1960s,
>>many
>> of the older, smaller ships were still equipped with WW2 vintage
>RBA/RBB/RBC
>> receivers, transmitters of similar vintage, and were heavily dependent on
>> Morse. But that is a few years before the time period you refer to. It's
>quite
>> possible that even the oldest and smallest USN ships had been refitted or
>> retired by 1966.
>
>I reported onboard DD-781 (a late WW-II destroyer) and the only
>RBA we had was used for receiving AM broadcasts for shibboard entertainment.
>All the HF receivers were R-390 or R-390a. When we went for a complete
>refurbish over winter of 66/67, some of the R390s were replaced with
>R-1051s...
>the first digital frequency synthesized unit I saw. Eventually we recieved
>new R-1051s

Whether the R-1051 is an upgrade from the R-390A is a matter of opinion ;-)
Obviously DD-781 had gone through at least one previous replacement of the
radio gear
>
>> - While it is claimed that all branches of the US military decided to
>>eliminate
>> Morse in 1948, almost 20 years later the capability was still retained by
>>the
>> Navy as a backup.
>
>Well morse was at least still being taught to new radiomen when I got
>out in Jan 70.

Any idea when they finally stopped requiring it for the Radioman ratings?

> But, as I've said before, in over 3.5 years on the same
>ship I never saw morse used except for a drill practice.

I suspect your experience was not unusual in that time frame.
>
Thanks for the info, Bill.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2420. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 12:59:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207280459.1fd1edb6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50234

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<ahvj9f$5hs$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>
>
> Well morse was at least still being taught to new radiomen when I got
> out in Jan 70. But, as I've said before, in over 3.5 years on the same
> ship I never saw morse used except for a drill practice.
>
>
I'm surprised CW lasted that long in the U.S. military.
>
>
Which is not to say that CW has completely disappeared from the high
seas yet. Apparently CW is still used aboard civilian ships from
undeveloped countries in Asia and Africa and it's been claimed that
the Russians also still use the stuff. Probably only for coastal
and/or intership comms. Which makes no sense to me because
current-tech 150W SSB marine HF xcvrs are available in profusion and
are affordable enough to be found aboard thousands of modest pleasure
craft.
>
>
I keep wondering if they're using of CW in the fishing fleets to
"encode" their whereabouts so that their competition can't figger out
where they are when they find a hot fishing spot.
>
>
In any event the use of CW in other services might be marginally
interesting chatter but it has absolutely nothing to do with ham
radio, especially ham radio policies.
>
>

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
w3rv

2421. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 13:46:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MAS09.1347$vN6.54789265@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50235

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4371C8.C54065F3@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted
anyone.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the
effort to
> > > > learn
> > > > > > > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum.
Arbitrary
> > and
> > > > > > > > > unnecessary
> > > > > > > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds
arbitrary
> > and
> > > > > > > > unnecessary.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for
success.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional

> > > > frequencies.
> > > > > > > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not?
> > > > > >
> > > > > That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass, you
aren't
> > > > > rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did pass,
you
> > > > > do receive additional spectrum or privileges.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And I've
previously
> > > > stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not envision how
a
> > person
> > > > can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?
> > >
> > > About the only way I could see that happening is if you didn't pass
ALL
> > > the required exams. If you didn't pass all of the required exams, you
> > > weren't rewarded. Those who pass all the exams ARE rewarded.
>
>
> (Lack of comment noted)
>
Lack of insight noted.

> > > > > > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2,
and
> > /T5?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you
> > considered
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > be
> > > > > > > > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you
can
> > be
> > > > > as
> > > > > > > > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been
in
> > other
> > > > > > things.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > There you have it.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > How is that wrong?

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > How is that a description of DXing?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Should I chant or face the East or something?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask me
> > > > > > questions.
> > > > >
> > > > > But I am, and I do. So answer the question.
> > >
> > > If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.
> >
> > You need to verify that you know.
>
> I need to verify to you that I know? I'll let my country count speak to
> that in reference to my operation from American soil. As to my
> operations abroad, feel free to check any of the online DX newsletters
> or back issues of any magazines containing DX news.

Oh, I forgot you were the greatest DXer in the world. Forgive me.

> > > > > > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other
countries
> > > > > > > > authorizations
> > > > > > > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just
> > supposed
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > tune
> > > > > > > > > > from
> > > > > > > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble
across
> > the
> > > > > ones I
> > > > > > > > > need.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160
meter
> > > > > > > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted to
look
> > up 80
> > > > > > > and 160 allocations.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget them
when
> > >
> > > someone out of band tries to caontact me?
> > > > > > >

> > > > > I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up allocations
in
> > > > > order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those you
> > don't
> > > > > > wish to contact.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes that is correct.
> > > >
> > > > You seemed confused.
> > >
> > No, I am not confused. If I know the target countries operating
priveleges,
> > then I know where to look for them. And should the French amateurs try
to
> > contact me out of band on 6M, I wouldn't work them because I would know
they
> > were out of band.
>
> > I haven't the slightest amount of confusion about this.
>
> Your comments indicated confusion. If you'd like to look up allocations
> for each station you contact on each band, you are fee to do that. Most
> folks don't do that.

Why wouldn't you look up the ones that you need? Do you choose to remain ignorant of where they might be, or do you get some joy out of stumbling up and down the bands hoping they might appear one day?

Perhaps they have no authorization where you've been trying to find them.

Why are you so confused?

> > > > > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is
100
> > > > > > countries,
> > > > > > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100 countries
worked
> > and
> > > > > > > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have any
idea
> > how
> > > > > > > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only one
> > band
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could have
done
> > > > > > > > something about.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX. But

you
> > >
> > > > appear to have a problem with that.
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't do
that
> > > > > "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to DX
spots
> > to
> > > > > operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in the
U.S.?
> > > >
> > > > No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it. I've
accepted
> > > > that.
> > >
> > > I understand if you find my question embarrassing.
> >
> > I don't find my license class embarassing, nor being and chasing DX with
> > that license embarassing.
> >
> > I find your unethical DXing embarassing. Typical ugly American abroad.
>
> That's a tough one, Brian, in light of the fact that I haven't done any
> "unethical" DXing. Your "ugly American" comment has no basis in fact.

You must still be confused. You claimed that you would continue to work
Frenchmen out of band even after you learned that they were out of band.

> > > > > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > > > > > until I'm an Extra, does it?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its territories
and
> > > > > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S.
possession.
> > > >
> > > > You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX country?
> > >
> > > I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.
> >
> > Are you saying that Somalia cannot be a DX country because it is not a
U.S.
> > posession?
>
> You aren't keeping up. I said Somalia isn't regulated under Part 97.
> How about HL9 operations? Are they governed by Part 97?

Still confused? How does being regulated by the U.S. relate to an entity
being DX or not being DX?

As a matter of fact, I don't think the FCC published a DX list. As close as the FCC comes is to publish a list of 3rd party countries.

> > FYI, the USA can be a DX country if you're in the Falkland Islands.
>
> Really? Who knew! BTW, I'm not in the Falkland Islands.

Yes, really. Does it really matter where you are? You are the greatest DXer ever, wherever you are.

> > > > > > > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I didn't
> > work
> > > > > > > > 10,000
> > > > > > > > > > > > of them?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept of
> > DXing.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > ...not if I go by what you've stated here.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a pre-conceived
> > idea
> > > > > that whatever I do is wrong.
> > >
> > > You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be DXing.
> > > Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges between us.
> > > Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion that
> > > everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about everything you do.
> >
> > So is Somalia now a US Posession?
>
> That is a response to my statements?

I did operate in Somalia. What about it?

> > > > You've obviously missed how I passed all of the exams and yet gained no
> > > > additional priveleges.
> > >
> > > There's only one way that could happen. You didn't pass all of the
> > > required exams.
> >
> > Sure.
>
> I'm glad we could find agreement.

Right.

> > > > > > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > One at a time.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > How do you do it?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't you
know?
> > > > >
> > > > > I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a time."
> > > >
> > > That's pretty much descriptive of almost any amateur radio QSO.
> > > Funny--most of the DXers I know have a more complex idea of how a
pileup
> > > is handled from the DX end.
> >
> > Most? What of the ones who don't hold your view? Do you make fun of
them?
>
> If they ragchew with G's and consider that to be DXing, I'll be happy to
> poke fun at them. If they believe that the DXCC program is about
> working 100 countries and then stopping, then yes, I'll make fun of
> them. If they, like you, would ask a DX station to confirm that he is
> operating within his assigned allocation and tacks a cute "QSL?" to the
> end of the exchange, then yes, I'd laugh at him.

Wow! Dave laughs at DXCC. The greatest DXer of all time laughs at the very
award system that makes it all possible. The greatest DXer of all time
laughs at all of the little people in DX that makes it all possible.

Dave, when I look at the DXCC list, I swear G-land is on there. If I fill
it by a 12.73 second QSO vs a 12 minute QSO, what's the difference to you?
The DXCC desk counts it, but then you would laugh at them and me.

That smug attitude of yours sure shines through.

> > > > Do you work them two at a time? five?
> > >
> > > Divine it from the ether. You're a DXer. You've said so.
> >
> > I knew there was something magical. You are truly magical.
>
> I've worked 'em at the rate of over 300 QSOs/hr on SSB and over 200/hr
> on CW. I'm sure that an experienced DXer like you has done much better.

Dave, you must be confused again. I've never made that claim.

2 or 3 a minute is about as fast as I can write them in my log. The Heil
headset and footswitch was a big help.

> > > > > > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing,
you
> > > > can't
> > > > > > use
> > > > > > > > > > for operating.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > But I consider it my job.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why
waste
> > time
> > > > > in
> > > > > > > > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think rare
> > > > > stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!
> > > >
> > > Someone is a stamp collector?
> > >
> > My QSL manager of fifteen years isn't a stamp collector. No one in
his
> > family is a stamp collector. Any other guesses?
> >
> You pay him handsomely?
>
> You believe that my QSL manager is paid? You sure are a DXer, Brian.

You should get him to log for you, too. You might get your rate up.

> > > > > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did
enjoy
> > > > > > ragchewing
> > > > > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > What is it?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It is ragchewing.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Tell that to Diego Garcia.
> > > > > >
> > > > > What's his call?
> > > >
> > > Don't know. Why?
> > >
> > You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that I
should

> > > direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a door.
> >
> > Any amateur on Diego Garcia can tell you. You don't need any specific
> > amateur on that rock.
>
> Then why'd you ask me to tell something to Diego Garcia? Which
> particular amateurs on Diego Garcia would you like for me to enlighten
> with the fact that ragchewing at distance is not DXing?

Any of them. Why?

> > > > > > > > > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling
wanted
> > to
> > > > > > > > > > know what it was like over there.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line"
technique.
> > > > Shake
> > > > > > > > > > their hand with your right while moving them along the
line
> > with
> > > > > your
> > > > > > > > > > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I see that hamming means a lot to you.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a
pileup
> > does
> > > > > > > > not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to Alistair
spell
> > his
> > > > > > > > name phonetically and waiting while he describes his station
and
> > > > > > > > antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his
> > microphone
> > > > > > > > does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for me
to
> > > > provide
> > > > > > > > my name and location along with QSLing information every ten
or
> > > > fifteen
> > > > > > > > QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's your
QSL
> > > > info?"
> > > > > > > > two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic
receptions
> > which
> > > > > > > > did not mean a lot to me.
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > Whatever is easier for you. As in QSLing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's exactly right! Whatever makes it easier for me to put
large
> > > > > > numbers of folks into the log so that no one has to go away empty
> > > > > > handed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, that's wht I did with you. Yet you're still not happy.
> > > > >
> > > > > You made it easier for me to put large numbers of folks in my log?
How?
> > >
> > > You're in my log. You got confirmation.
> >
> That isn't what you said you did with me. As far as being in your log
> and having my QSL manager receive your QSL, I'm sure I'll treasure that
> card forever.

No doubt.

> > > > > > So how many times did you need to confirm JA-land? DXers use
> > contests >
> > > > > to work some new ones. They're not necessarily in the contest to >
> > score
> > > > > > big.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Pretty one-sided, Brian. How many JA's need to work Tanzania in
or
> > out
> > > > > > > of a contest? Many DXers are also contesters.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So you were contesting.
> > > >
> > > > Not necessarily. I may not have even sent a log to the sponsoring
> > > > organization. I was handing out DX contacts to those who were in a
> > > > contest. As you stated, many of them are not really planning on
> > > > submitting a score. Some are just chasing countries.
> > >
> > > Marvy.
> >
> No, just reality.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious
> > contester.
> > > > > > A
> > > > > > > > US >
> > > > > > > > > > > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands.

> > Guam
> > > > was
> > > > > > one of
> > > > > > > > those islands.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Marvy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You'll get over it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I'm already over it.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm not so sure. You seem to think I'm unworthy of the DX I was and
>
> > the DX I've worked.
> > >
> > > I think you're very worthy of operating from foreign soil and I think
> > > you're very worthy of the countries you've worked. I just don't think
> > > you're a DXer.
> >
> > And I don't think you're an ethical amateur.
>
> What you don't know about amateur radio ethics, including mine, could
> fill volumes.

Grab a tablet of paper and a fresh pen and we can get started.

> > > > > > > > Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the
> > > > mainland.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Then why do some of them set up big stations away from their
QTH?
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd guess because they already own a place which has some zoning
> > > > > limitations but not necessarily CC&Rs. Maybe they want to put up
> > three
> > > > > really tall towers but own a home where they can get a permit for
only
> > > > > one modest tower. I don't know many DXers who have "big stations
away
> > > > > from their QTH".
> > > >
> > > What nasty thing would you like to say about them?
> > >
> > > Why would I want to say anything nasty to them?
> >
> > Would you say they are not DXers?
>
> You aren't paying attention. I said that I don't consider YOU to be a
> DXer.

Dave, am I the only amateur that you consider not to be a DXer? Please make a list of all the amateurs who work DX that you consider not to be DXers.

You can start with the ones who buy new homes in restricted neighborhoods.

2422. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 13:55:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HJS09.1361$nQ6.54957207@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uk6r492kt3dlcd@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:mbE09.1284$U24.42784923@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > > On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > >
> > > >> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
> > > >> > Riley Hollingsworth
> > > >> >
> > > >The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.
> > >
> > > A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
> > > so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
> > > is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
> > > the courtroom staff every time....
> > >
> > > ---
> > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> > Public servants making fun of citizens?
> >
> >
> I agree with the judge on this one. As a matter of fact,
> it's a darn good thing stupidity isn't a crime in the U.S.A.!!

Perhaps we need to bring back debtors prison and sell our children into
indenture?

People behave financially irresponsible (stupid) every day.

> It's just like Gallagher said "they aught to let people have
> little dart guns and when ever they see somebody driving
> like an idiot they shoot the car with the dart. That way
> whenever the cops see someone with a lot of darts on
> their car they stop them and give em a ticket for being
> stupid."

That's a good one. Has he ever performed any public service?

Perhaps Gallagher should stick to smashing watermelons.

2423. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 13:58:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fMS09.1363$6R6.54998076@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50237

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020721110810.12089.00000216@mb-fc.aol.com...

> Jim:
>
> I'll always be the first to admit that there are hams that are worlds
> above me, especially in technical knowledge and skills. I am making
> comparisons mainly between myself and the code-free whiners who
> think that knowing how to solder a PL-259 onto a section of RG-8U,
> and being able to coordinate the action of their voices and a PTT
> switch, makes them a Real Ham. If they make their living as
> electronics engineers or technicians, then I'll certainly stipulate to
> their far superior technical skills -- but that doesn't mean that they
> surpass me (or you!) as a ham!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Dave and I are better DXers than you. Isn't that important?

2424. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 14:01:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%OS09.1364$JK6.54627595@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50238

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020722140649.07756.00000086@mb-mr.aol.com...

> - what did they do with the resulting license and its privileges?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Good question. Probably the only one you've asked lately that has merit.

2425. Re: The Church of Len, Schismatic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 14:12:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50239

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.07.28.11.56.42.271772.7555@arrl.org...
> On Sat, 27 Jul 2002 18:29:16 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:

> > > Go back a couple years and determine if "K4YZ" is attempting to be
> > > "affectionate" with his form of address to me.
>
> What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior toward
> other people?

Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for their
outrageous behavior on RRAP.

2426. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 15:03:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ai113r$kp4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50240

Good point Jim. I don't know if I totally agee about the 'legal'
signals...hi. But that is not something you can prove unless your sitting
in the FCC van in front of his house. In anycase I have NEVER had a legal
limit amplifier in my over 40 years of ham radio.

When the power limit was 1KW DC INPUT I didn't even own one. Now its 1.5kw
PEP output...wow. And my poor little 800 watt output Ameritron don't even
compete eh? I do just fine on DX and contesting, mostly barefoot. And at
times the extra s-unit or so helps.

Real hams only run what is necessary to maintain the communications. The
ones that don't are, IMHO, just CBers with a ham ticket.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uk5va42iooe22f@corp.supernews.com...
> And when you state that every state in the USA is a DXCC entity, you have
> conclusively shown that the joke is you. BTW, there are virtually no
> amateurs running in excess of the authorized 1.5 KW for the simple reason
> that by giving your call sign, you are quite easily traced. No call sign,
> well, no ticky no washie. Also, many (likely most) amateurs DON'T EVEN
OWN
> AN AMP! The guys I know personally around here are simply using HF
> transceivers WITHOUT an amp. My guess is that there exists a much higher
> percentage of CBers with amps (illegal) than the percentage of hams with
> amps (I am talking percentages here - likely there are more CBers with
amps
> in the USA than there are amateurs in the whole world).
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> "Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
> news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
> >
> >
> > Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about the
power
> > used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to smash
people
> on
> > pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is became a war
of
> amps.
> > The real ham spririt has disapeared, there is no more friendship on the

> > overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because there are
> too
> > much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war "Oh he got
a
> > 3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !". That's the spirit now : to have
the
> > bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of his shack
> and
> > have his picture in QST.
> > This bad spirit is now totally spreaded by the amp sellers that say in
> their ads
> > "No time to waste on pile-ups" (i.e "Smash other hams with our amps").
> > How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power is
> limited
> > to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW on 6m
??
> It's
> > evident that the result is not the same.
> > Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood the
call
> > and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you have
> missed.
> > So where is the merit to have a DXCC ? There is none ... and when you
> think that
> > EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> > More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/
>
>

2427. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 15:27:49 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <3d4411d6$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 50241

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D3B1C91.ABBA4707@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> > >
> > >I'd say more that where a particular ham finishes and what happens
along the
> > >way, are truly the cornerstones of what ham radio is really all about.
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Kim:
> >
> > In that case, I hope nobody is using you as an example. I'm sure you
> > started out as a ham pretty much the same way anyone else would,
> > but you ended up taking the ARS "...one step closer to extinction." I
> > sure hope nobody *really* thinks that's what ham radio is all about."
>
> Aside from the silly callsign, I'd say that she is as a good example as
> most hams are. What you don't want is one of those clowns on that
> LA repeater or 14.313
>

Larry's all talk and no action at all, Robert. He sits behind his AOL
internet account, behind his store-bought computer, in his apartment, after
a day's work driving a bus around and little or no CW work at all on his
manufactured ham radio through his manufactured antenna; then gets his rocks
off by pretending he's anything but equal to the rest of us.

I'd say for attitude alone most of us are far superior hams (and humans) to
the likes of Larry Roll. I'm pretty sure most people know hypocrisy when
they see it. Most people can even pick representative forms of hypocrisy ;)

Kim W5TIT :)

2428. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 15:33:53 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <3d441342_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 50243

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020723234756.08190.00000723@mb-cu.aol.com...
> Dennis Ferguson said:
>
> >Whew, that's not what I would have expected. I grew up in a
(two-)company
> >town where lots of guys thought exactly the way you do, but they were
also
> >the guys who kept electing socialist party candidates who were slightly
left
> >of Chairman Mao to the embarrassment of even the other socialists in the
> >province let alone rational people. The behaviours became so closely
> >associated in my mind that I spent half a lifetime avoiding those types
> >of jobs along with those types of politicians.
>
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> I'd rather get paid for my overtime, plus as a union
> >> member, I can't be fired from a 16-inch naval gun!
>
> Then Cecil said:
>
> >
> >Egads Larry, are you a Democrat now?
>
> Cecil/Dennis:
>
> No, I've hardly turned into a Democrat. I work in a closed shop, so Union
> membership is not a choice, but a necessity. And, fortunately for me,
this
> type of work is one of the few where I believe unions still have a valid
and
> necessary influence. The work we do does not lend itself to automation,
and
> will always require the services of dedicated, hard-working employees.
And,
> since the Union mechanism is already in place, I may as well take
> advantage of it.
>

Oh, yet new hams and longer-licensed hams *aren't* supposed to "take
advantage" of the new licensing structure. Did I say hypocrisy in other
post? Larry, you're getting less and less lucid and more and more
transparent.

Kim W5TIT

2429. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 15:38:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NdU09.1391$997.56169581@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50242

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uk5va42iooe22f@corp.supernews.com...
> And when you state that every state in the USA is a DXCC entity, you have
> conclusively shown that the joke is you.

From the other side, -any-of the contiguous 48 states qualify as a DXCC
entity, but only once, not 48 times. Hawaii and Alaska are counted
separately.

BTW, there are virtually no
> amateurs running in excess of the authorized 1.5 KW for the simple reason
> that by giving your call sign, you are quite easily traced. No call sign,
> well, no ticky no washie.

There is no apparent upper limit in Italy.

Also, many (likely most) amateurs DON'T EVEN OWN
> AN AMP! The guys I know personally around here are simply using HF
> transceivers WITHOUT an amp.

And never will.

My guess is that there exists a much higher
> percentage of CBers with amps (illegal) than the percentage of hams with
> amps (I am talking percentages here - likely there are more CBers with
amps
> in the USA than there are amateurs in the whole world).
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Probably true.

73, Brian

2430. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 15:55:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207280755.39e38285@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50244

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020727134531.20918.00000784@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Why I will never ask a DXCC award
> >From: Joker qrp@radio.net
> >Date: 07/26/02 19:05 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com>
>
> >Because it's a lame award.
>
> Yeah...that's why there are so many people competing fot it.
>
> Except you, of course.
>
> >Nobody cares.
>
> Somebody does, or (1) no one would apply for it, and (2) you wouldn't have
> wasted bandwidth on it.
>
> >How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power is limited
> >to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW on 6m ??
>
> You don't. DXCC is typically an HF award. And even if you do, it's still
> an individual award. It's "value" is what you put into it.
>
> >So where is the merit to have a DXCC ?
>
> Where indeed. If you don't want one, don't waste your time. Otherwise
> shut up and dig in.
>
> >and when you think that
> >EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
>
> The "joke" is that you are some anonymous putz who obviously has an axe to
> grind. Also, you don't seem to have your facts right.
>
> Reminds me of someone else I know who has an axe to grind and poor mastery
> of any facts........
>
>
This jerkoff probably IS His Putzness cowering behind another screen name.
>
>
> K4YZ
>

>
w3rv

2431. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 16:06:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728120629.07178.00000319@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 50245

In article <d22b54c3.0207280459.1fd1edb6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> Well morse was at least still being taught to new radiomen when I got
>> out in Jan 70. But, as I've said before, in over 3.5 years on the same
>> ship I never saw morse used except for a drill practice.

>I'm surprised CW lasted that long in the U.S. military.

Yup.

>Which is not to say that CW has completely disappeared from the high
>seas yet. Apparently CW is still used aboard civilian ships from
>undeveloped countries in Asia and Africa and it's been claimed that
>the Russians also still use the stuff. Probably only for coastal
>and/or intership comms. Which makes no sense to me because
>current-tech 150W SSB marine HF xcvrs are available in profusion and
>are affordable enough to be found aboard thousands of modest pleasure
>craft.

The Rooskies tend to prefer using their own technology rather than spend hard coin on imports.
>>
>I keep wondering if they're using of CW in the fishing fleets to
>"encode" their whereabouts so that their competition can't figger out
>where they are when they find a hot fishing spot.

Makes sense.
>
>In any event the use of CW in other services might be marginally
>interesting chatter but it has absolutely nothing to do with ham
>radio, especially ham radio policies.

'zactly. Whole codetest thing has become a nonissue for anyone with any sense. FCC has spoken, their hands are tied by S25.5. Codetest will go soon after S25.5 does, which will likely be 2003.

Until about 5 years ago, maritime regs required that all ships over a certain size have 500 kHz Morse capability. That meant trained ops, shore stations, the whole bit. GMRSS replaced it.

On the other hand, when someone demands that the FCC impose a minimum age of 14 as a requirement for a ham license, that's
a real policy issue and a threat to the future of the ARS.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2432. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 18:00:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk8c9fbqr42498@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50246

Dan, so many people forget that DX is only one facet of amateur radio. There are the moonbouncy guys, the FM guys (yep, I'm one of those, even with the extra. I enjoy the local folks, have talked to England and Australia via the 10 meter port, and the darn thing came in handy when all of the telephone lines died at the company one interesting evening), the slow scan folks, the fast scan folks, and a lot of half-fast scan types (likely me included!). We have fun, however ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message news:ai113r$kp4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Good point Jim. I don't know if I totally agee about the 'legal'
> signals...hi. But that is not something you can prove unless your sitting
> in the FCC van in front of his house. In anycase I have NEVER had a legal
> limit amplifier in my over 40 years of ham radio.
>
> When the power limit was 1KW DC INPUT I didn't even own one. Now its
1.5kw
> PEP output...wow. And my poor little 800 watt output Ameritron don't even
> compete eh? I do just fine on DX and contesting, mostly barefoot. And
at
> times the extra s-unit or so helps.
>
> Real hams only run what is necessary to maintain the communications. The
> ones that don't are, IMHO, just CBers with a ham ticket.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:uk5va42iooe22f@corp.supernews.com...
> > And when you state that every state in the USA is a DXCC entity, you
have
> > conclusively shown that the joke is you. BTW, there are virtually no
> > amateurs running in excess of the authorized 1.5 KW for the simple
reason
> > that by giving your call sign, you are quite easily traced. No call
sign,
> > well, no ticky no washie. Also, many (likely most) amateurs DON'T EVEN
> OWN
> > AN AMP! The guys I know personally around here are simply using HF
> > transceivers WITHOUT an amp. My guess is that there exists a much
higher
> > percentage of CBers with amps (illegal) than the percentage of hams with

> > amps (I am talking percentages here - likely there are more CBers with
> amps
> > in the USA than there are amateurs in the whole world).
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> > "Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
> > news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about the
> power
> > > used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to smash
> people
> > on
> > > pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is became a
war
> of
> > amps.
> > > The real ham spririt has disapeared, there is no more friendship on
the
> > > overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because there
are
> > too
> > > much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war "Oh he
got
> a
> > > 3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !". That's the spirit now : to have
> the
> > > bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of his
shack
> > and
> > > have his picture in QST.
> > > This bad spirit is now totally spreaded by the amp sellers that say in
> > their ads
> > > "No time to waste on pile-ups" (i.e "Smash other hams with our amps").
> > > How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power is
> > limited
> > > to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW on
6m
> ??
> > It's
> > > evident that the result is not the same.
> > > Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood the
> call
> > > and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you have
> > missed.
> > > So where is the merit to have a DXCC ? There is none ... and when you
> > think that
> > > EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> > > More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/
> >
> >
>
>

2433. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 18:58:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <i9X09.1950$WY7.21552408@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50247

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3D4390CF.F78332A3@att.net...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> >
> > Lenover21's account of how "incentive licensing" came to be is in error.
It
> > borders on being intellectually dishonest.
>
> That would be because his intense desire to say anything that would serve
to
> denigrate the Amateur Radio Service and all who participate in it IS
intellectually
> dishonest.

I don't find his remarks denigrating to the amateur radio service. He is quite selective about certain types within the amateur radio service to denigrate. I am unaffected as I'm not a Snooty Extra Class amateur.

If you find his remarks denigrating, then he has hit his target.

73, Brian

2434. Re: MORE Illegal Radio Vendors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:00:22 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D443F45.EEE3842F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50248

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Lr209.17926$Og3.5351786@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzrvf2c.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > > On Tue, 23 Jul 2002 23:38:38 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > >
> > > >Exactly. Obviously, some drastic measures need to be taken to teach
> > > >these knot-heads a lesson. Their antics are NOT funny!
> > >
> > > The usual sentence in Federal court is 2000 hours (8 hours a day, 5
> > > daya a week, for a year) of community service, which is cleaning
> > > bedpans in the VA Hospital.....
> > >
> > > ---
> > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> > Well,
> >
> > Today, I "accidentally" stopped at a Pilot Travel Center where I found
> > plenty
> > of Galaxy "reddios" ready for the truckers to beep and squeak on 10 meters.
> > Luckily, I have a pocketful of "dimes"! <<g>>
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> > >
> > >
>
> Jerry, here's another site that seems to be following the trend of
> calling 10 meter gear "CB Radios". In fact, at this url you will find
> a page listing "CB Radios" offered for sale - and the very first one
> on the list is a *marine* transceiver. The rest are mostly 10 meter
> gear.
>
> http://www.cbsattheirbest.com/cbradios.html
>

If nothing else, they should be busted for having bad web page design.
Images on top of images, text on top of text.

2435. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:05:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HfX09.1952$D6.21739670@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50249

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020728120629.07178.00000319@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0207280459.1fd1edb6@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >> Well morse was at least still being taught to new radiomen when I got
> >> out in Jan 70. But, as I've said before, in over 3.5 years on the same
> >> ship I never saw morse used except for a drill practice.
>
> >I'm surprised CW lasted that long in the U.S. military.
>
> Yup.

I saw a Nye Viking key in a comm van in about 1994. None of the instructors
knew what it was.

> >Which is not to say that CW has completely disappeared from the high
> >seas yet. Apparently CW is still used aboard civilian ships from
> >undeveloped countries in Asia and Africa and it's been claimed that
> >the Russians also still use the stuff. Probably only for coastal
> >and/or intership comms. Which makes no sense to me because
> >current-tech 150W SSB marine HF xcvrs are available in profusion and
> >are affordable enough to be found aboard thousands of modest pleasure
> >craft.
>
> The Rooskies tend to prefer using their own technology rather than spend
hard
> coin on imports.

Then we'll not be able to communicate with them. Some NATO partners they're
gonna make. Unless we fork over some 20th century comms. Can you say tax
increase?

> >I keep wondering if they're using of CW in the fishing fleets to
> >"encode" their whereabouts so that their competition can't figger out
> >where they are when they find a hot fishing spot.
>
> Makes sense.

Oh yeh, the fishing fleet with all those antennas.

> >In any event the use of CW in other services might be marginally
> >interesting chatter but it has absolutely nothing to do with ham
> >radio, especially ham radio policies.
>

> 'zactly. Whole codetest thing has become a nonissue for anyone with any
sense.
> FCC has spoken, their hands are tied by S25.5. Codetest will go soon after
> S25.5 does, which will likely be 2003.

Is that anything like next year?

> Until about 5 years ago, maritime regs required that all ships over a
certain
> size have 500 kHz Morse capability. That meant trained ops, shore
stations, the
> whole bit. GMRSS replaced it.

Yep. Are any amateurs standing by on 500 kHz awaiting a 3rd worlder to send
a distress signal?

> On the other hand, when someone demands that the FCC impose a minimum age
of 14
> as a requirement for a ham license, that's
> a real policy issue and a threat to the future of the ARS.

What if, instead, they placed an upper limit of 65 on the ARS?

Brian

2436. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:09:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CjX09.1955$Or7.19770474@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50250

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020722231403.07768.00000227@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <RsK_8.9540$Qk6.6374@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "K&#65533;HB"
> <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> >>
> >> Your choice, of course. That's waht makes ham radio a sporting-type
> >activity.
> >> There are competitors and there are spectators.
> >>
> > >
> >I think that's a gross oversimplification.
>
> It is, Hans. But note that my post was a response to Cecil, who likes
things
> presented in binary fashion.
>
> >Within some subsets of amateur
> >radio (contesting, DXing to some degree) there is "competition". But
there
> >are lots of other aspects of the hobby which are completely devoid of
> >competition, but the participants are in no way "spectators". Public
> >service, traffic handling, tinkering, and Skywarn are a few which come to
> >mind in which "competition" in it's commonly held connotation of "beating
a
> >competitor" is starkly absent.
>
> I agree 100% - unless we consider the "competition" to be Mother Nature.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

What percent would that make it?

2437. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:13:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4nX09.1958$L8.21857550@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50251

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020724222808.04721.00000426@mb-mf.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 07/24/02 19:07 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020724190722.25170.00000226@mb-fr.aol.com>
>
> >Explain why a valid amateur radio license is "necessary" to post on
> >any subject regarding GETTING INTO amateur radio.
>
> The problem is you don't limit yourself to such material, now do you?
We
> are frequently bombarded with your ramblings on matters of which you
obviously
> have no experience or knowledge.
>
> >Note: This is an UNmoderated newsgroup.
>
> True. If it were, you'd have been canned a long time ago.
>
> >I have a commercial license in radio operating.
>
> Oh nooooooooooo you don't, Lennie! October of 2001 you specifically
stated
> that you were allowing your GROL to "expire"...or have you convieniently
> "forgotten THAT little misdeed too.???

I thot it was gud 4 life.

2438. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:17:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <SqX09.1961$J9.21917894@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50252

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:3d441342_1@newsa.ev1.net...

> Oh, yet new hams and longer-licensed hams *aren't* supposed to "take
> advantage" of the new licensing structure. Did I say hypocrisy in other
> post? Larry, you're getting less and less lucid and more and more
> transparent.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim, in your wildest dreams. I don't wanna see more of Larry than I have
to.

2439. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:25:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8zX09.1962$9c.22044912@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50253

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C83A653.35758CE6@att.net...
> KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > Also, the no-code tech license is the ENTRY point now to ham radio.
>
> Would you care to expound on why it is that a third of all ham radio
licensees
> have chosen to remain at the entry point?

Because of an irrelevant code exam. Jims says it will be gone in 2003.
That is next year.

2440. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:26:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MzX09.1963$ac.22045803@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50254

"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C85B207.91E50D07@att.net...
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Would you care to expound on why it is that a third of all ham radio
licensees
> > > have chosen to remain at the entry point?
> >
> > Many, many hams are satisfied with VHF/UHF privileges. The majority of
> > nocode techs that I know just don't care for HF. Maybe their Mothers
> > taught them not to talk to strange men. :-)
>
> Were that the case they wouldn't be your friends.

Nor yours. You are amongst the strangest.

2441. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:27:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2BX09.1964$hb.21990509@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50255

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020723234755.08190.00000722@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <3D3D95EC.DD267788@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
writes:
>
> >> I wouldn't know about that. I've never seen an HT hanging from the
> >> spacesuit (made in Frederica, DE by ILC) of a NASA PhD physicist
> >> spaceperson. They usually keep their HT's stowed in an equipment
> >> case aboard the Space Shuttle or the ISS.
> >
> >Just think how smart those nocode Tech PhD physicists would have
> >been if they had not been dumbed down by getting a ham license.
> >Too bad the astronauts are all so inferior to you, eh?
>
> Cecil:
>
> Like I said, as astronauts, they're still PhD's. As hams, unless
> they are Pre-Restructuring, code tested, and CW-using Extras,
> they aren't in my league.

Only because as an amateur, you're an idiot.

2442. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:43:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728154318.02634.00001485@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 50256

>I am unaffected as I'm not a Snooty Extra Class amateur.
>
>

Correct, your just a CBplusser wth a Ham License

2443. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 19:45:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D442B3A.F29AA1DD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50257

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

>
> Larry's all talk and no action at all, Robert. He sits behind his AOL
> internet account, behind his store-bought computer, in his apartment, after
> a day's work driving a bus around and little or no CW work at all on his
> manufactured ham radio through his manufactured antenna; then gets his rocks
> off by pretending he's anything but equal to the rest of us.
>
> I'd say for attitude alone most of us are far superior hams (and humans) to
> the likes of Larry Roll. I'm pretty sure most people know hypocrisy when
> they see it. Most people can even pick representative forms of hypocrisy ;)
>
> Kim W5TIT :)

Larry's attitude make him inferior to even his despised no-code
hams.

2444. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 21:08:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D445961.BBDEF415@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50258

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4371C8.C54065F3@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted
> anyone.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the
> effort to
> > > > > > > > > > learn
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum.
> Arbitrary
> > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds
> arbitrary
> > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for
> success.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
```

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional
> > > > > frequencies.
> > > > > > > > > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why not?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass, you
> aren't
> > > > > > rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did pass,
> you
> > > > > > do receive additional spectrum or privileges.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And I've
> previously
> > > > > stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not envision how
> a
> > > person
> > > > > > can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?
> > > > >
> > > > > About the only way I could see that happening is if you didn't pass
> ALL
> > > > the required exams. If you didn't pass all of the required exams, you
> > > > weren't rewarded. Those who pass all the exams ARE rewarded.
> >
> >
> > (Lack of comment noted)
> >
> Lack of insight noted.

My insight tells me that you blew smoke. You didn't pass all the
required exams or you would have been rewarded. That you didn't pass
rests on you, not on the person making note of it.

> > > > > > > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9, /KH2,
> and
> > > /T5?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what you
> > > considered
> > > > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > > > be
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if you
> can
> > > be
> > > > > > > > as
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've been
> in
> > > other
> > > > > > > > > > > things.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > There you have it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > How is that wrong?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > How is that a description of DXing?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Should I chant or face the East or something?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask me
> > > > > > > > > > questions.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > But I am, and I do. So answer the question.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You need to verify that you know.
> > > >
> > > I need to verify to you that I know? I'll let my country count speak to
> > > that in reference to my operation from American soil. As to my
> > > operations abroad, feel free to check any of the online DX newsletters
> > > or back issues of any magazines containing DX news.
> >
> Oh, I forgot you were the greatest DXer in the world. Forgive me.

I've never made that claim. That I am a DXer is documented.

> > > > > > > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other
> countries
> > > > > > > > > > authorizations
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm just
> > > supposed
> > > > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > > > > tune
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > from
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble
> across
> > > the
> > > > > > > ones I
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > need.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and 160
> meter
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted to
> look
> > > > > up 80

> > > > > > > > and 160 allocations.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget them
> when
> > > > >
> > > > > > someone out of band tries to caontact me?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up allocations
> in
> > > > > > order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those you
> > > don't
> > > > > > wish to contact.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yes that is correct.
> > > >
> > > You seemed confused.
> > >
> > No, I am not confused. If I know the target countries operating
> priveleges,
> > > then I know where to look for them. And should the French amateurs try
> to
> > > contact me out of band on 6M, I wouldn't work them because I would know
> they
> > > were out of band.
> >
> > > I haven't the slightest amount of confusion about this.
> >
> > Your comments indicated confusion. If you'd like to look up allocations
> > for each station you contact on each band, you are fee to do that. Most
> > folks don't do that.
>
> Why wouldn't you look up the ones that you need? Do you choose to remain
> ignorant of where they might be, or do you get some joy out of stumbling up
> and down the bands hoping they might appear one day?

I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've
needed on 80 or 160m.

>
> Perhaps they have no authorization where you've been trying to find them.

I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've
needed on 80 or 160m.

> Why are you so confused?

Probably because I've been dealing with your cryptic material.

> > > > > > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If DXCC is
> 100
> > > > > > > countries,
> > > > > > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100 countries
> worked
> > > and
> > > > > > > > > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have any
> idea
> > > how
> > > > > > > > > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only one
> > > band
> > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could have
> done
> > > > > > > > > > something about.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX. But
> you
> > > > >
> > > > > > appear to have a problem with that.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't do
> that
> > > > > > > "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to DX
> spots
> > > to
> > > > > > > operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in the
> U.S.?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it. I've
> accepted
> > > > > > that.
> > > > >
> > > > > I understand if you find my question embarrassing.
> > >
> > > I don't find my license class embarassing, nor being and chasing DX with
> > > that license embarassing.
> >
> > I find your unethical DXing embarassing. Typical ugly American abroad.
> >
> > That's a tough one, Brian, in light of the fact that I haven't done any
> > "unethical" DXing. Your "ugly American" comment has no basis in fact.
>
> You must still be confused. You claimed that you would continue to work Frenchmen out of
band even after you learned that they were out of band.

I need only concern myself with operating where I AM PERMITTED TO
OPERATE. I've only made this statement about eight or ten times. Maybe
you'll pick up on it by and by.
>
> > > > > > > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > > > > > > > until I'm an Extra, does it?

> > > > > >
> > > > > > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its territories
> and
> > > > > > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S.
> possession.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX country?
> > > > >
> > > > I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.
> > > >
> > > Are you saying that Somalia cannot be a DX country because it is not a
> U.S.
> > > posession?
> >
> > You aren't keeping up. I said Somalia isn't regulated under Part 97.
> > How about HL9 operations? Are they governed by Part 97?
>
> Still confused? How does being regulated by the U.S. relate to an entity being DX or not
being DX?

I certainly am confused on this one, Brian. Your own comments were
"Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX until I'm an Extra, does
it?" Part 97 doesn't deal with "being DX" at all unless you happen to
be in a U.S. territory or possession.

>
> As a matter of fact, I don't think the FCC published a DX list. As close as
> the FCC comes is to publish a list of 3rd party countries.

That's right. The FCC doesn't regulate your operation from Somalia or
tell you that you can't operate from there with or without an Extra
Class ticket either.

> > > FYI, the USA can be a DX country if you're in the Falkland Islands.
> >
> > Really? Who knew! BTW, I'm not in the Falkland Islands.
>
> Yes, really. Does it really matter where you are?

Yes, it does matter.

You are the greatest DXer ever, wherever you are.

If you choose to think so, that's fine by me, Brian. In fairness, I've
never made such a claim nor would I do so. I think I can safely make
the claim that I know more about DXing than you.

> > > > > > > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I
> didn't
> > > > work
> > > > > > > > 10,000
> > > > > > > > > > of them?
> > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept of
> > > DXing.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ...not if I go by what you've stated here.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a
> pre-conceived
> > > idea
> > > > > > that whatever I do is wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be DXing.
> > > > Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges between
> us.
> > > > Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion that
> > > > everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about everything you
> do.
> > >
> > So is Somalia now a US Posession?
> >
> That is a response to my statements?
>
> I did operate in Somalia. What about it?

Your stuff isn't even coherent anymore. Your question about Somalia
being a U.S. possession was strange. Your added comment is waaaaaay out
there.

> > > > > You've obviously missed how I passed all of the exams and yet gained
> no
> > > > > additional priveleges.
> > > > >
> > > > There's only one way that could happen. You didn't pass all of the
> > > > required exams.
> > >
> > Sure.
> >
> I'm glad we could find agreement.
>
> Right.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > One at a time.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > How do you do it?

> > > > > >
> > > > > > You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't you
> know?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a time."
> > > > >
> > > > That's pretty much descriptive of almost any amateur radio QSO.
> > > > Funny--most of the DXers I know have a more complex idea of how a
> pileup
> > > > is handled from the DX end.
> > >
> > Most? What of the ones who don't hold your view? Do you make fun of
> them?
> >
> > If they ragchew with G's and consider that to be DXing, I'll be happy to
> > poke fun at them. If they believe that the DXCC program is about
> > working 100 countries and then stopping, then yes, I'll make fun of
> > them. If they, like you, would ask a DX station to confirm that he is
> > operating within his assigned allocation and tacks a cute "QSL?" to the
> > end of the exchange, then yes, I'd laugh at him.
>
> Wow! Dave laughs at DXCC.

No, Dave laughs at Brian.

> The greatest DXer of all time laughs at the very
> award system that makes it all possible.

No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls it DXing.

> The greatest DXer of all time
> laughs at all of the little people in DX that makes it all possible.

Who is the greatest DXer of all time? Was it Don Wallace?

> Dave, when I look at the DXCC list, I swear G-land is on there. If I > fill it by a 12.73
second QSO vs a 12 minute QSO, what's the > difference to you?

No difference, Brian. Let me know about you 12 minute QSOs with the
Laccadives, Glorioso, or North Korea. I can hardly wait. It'd be even
better if you manage to disrupt a pileup to make them.

> The DXCC desk counts it, but then you would laugh at them and me.

No, I'd only laugh at you. I promise.

> That smug attitude of yours sure shines through.

Don't forget that you'll be able to hear the peals of laughter--probably
without the aid of a radio receiver.

> > > > > Do you work them two at a time? five?
> > > >
> > > > Divine it from the ether. You're a DXer. You've said so.

> > >
> > > I knew there was something magical. You are truly magical.
> >
> > I've worked 'em at the rate of over 300 QSOs/hr on SSB and over 200/hr
> > on CW. I'm sure that an experienced DXer like you has done much better.
>
> Dave, you must be confused again. I've never made that claim.

I'm deferring to your vast experience, Brian. There's not much that a
guy like me could show a master such as you.

> 2 or 3 a minute is about as fast as I can write them in my log. The Heil
> headset and footswitch was a big help.

Write them in your log? By hand? Dark ages, man!

> > > > > > > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend QSLing,
> you
> > > > > can't
> > > > > > > > use
> > > > > > > > > > > for operating.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > But I consider it my job.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why
> waste
> > > time
> > > > > > in
> > > > > > > > > > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think rare
> > > > > > > > stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Someone is a stamp collector?
> > > > >
> > > > > My QSL manager of fifteen years isn't a stamp collector. No one in
> his
> > > > family is a stamp collector. Any other guesses?
> > >
> > > You pay him handsomely?
> >
> > You believe that my QSL manager is paid? You sure are a DXer, Brian.
>
> You should get him to log for you, too. You might get your rate up.

How might that be accomplished with the two of us separated by some
thousands of miles? Is this another of your DXing secrets?

> > > > > > > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did
> enjoy
> > > > > > > ragchewing
> > > > > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > What is it?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > It is ragchewing.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Tell that to Diego Garcia.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > What's his call?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Don't know. Why?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that I
> should
> > > > > > > > > direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a door.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Any amateur on Diego Garcia can tell you. You don't need any specific
> > > > > amateur on that rock.
> > >
> > Then why'd you ask me to tell something to Diego Garcia? Which
> > particular amateurs on Diego Garcia would you like for me to enlighten
> > with the fact that ragchewing at distance is not DXing?
>
> Any of them. Why?

Well, which ones, Brian? Which would know you and why would those
persons make such an incorrect statement?

> > > > > > > > > > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations calling
> wanted
> > > > to
> > > > > > > > > > > know what it was like over there.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line"
> technique.
> > > > > > Shake
> > > > > > > > > > > > their hand with your right while moving them along the
> line
> > > > with
> > > > > > > > your
> > > > > > > > > > > > > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I see that hamming means a lot to you.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a
> pileup
> > > > does

> > > > > > > > not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to Alistair
> spell
> > > his
> > > > > > > > name phonetically and waiting while he describes his station
> and
> > > > > > > > antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his
> > > microphone
> > > > > > > > does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for me
> to
> > > > > provide
> > > > > > > > my name and location along with QSLing information every ten
> or
> > > > > fifteen
> > > > > > > > QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's your
> QSL
> > > > > info?"
> > > > > > > > two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic
> receptions
> > > which
> > > > > > > > did not mean a lot to me.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Whatever is easier for you. As in QSLing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's exactly right! Whatever makes it easier for me to put
> large
> > > > > numbers of folks into the log so that no one has to go away empty
> > > > > handed.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, that's wht I did with you. Yet you're still not happy.
> > > >
> > > > You made it easier for me to put large numbers of folks in my log?
> How?
> > >
> > > You're in my log. You got confirmation.
> >
> > That isn't what you said you did with me. As far as being in your log
> > and having my QSL manager receive your QSL, I'm sure I'll treasure that
> > card forever.
>
> No doubt.
>
> > > > > > > So how many times did you need to confirm JA-land? DXers use
> > > contests >
> > > > > > to work some new ones. They're not necessarily in the contest to >
> > > score
> > > > > > big.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Pretty one-sided, Brian. How many JA's need to work Tanzania in
> or
> > > out

> > > > > > of a contest? Many DXers are also contesters.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So you were contesting.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not necessarily. I may not have even sent a log to the sponsoring
> > > > > organization. I was handing out DX contacts to those who were in a
> > > > > contest. As you stated, many of them are not really planning on
> > > > > submitting a score. Some are just chasing countries.
> > > >
> > > > Marvy.
> > >
> > No, just reality.
> >
> > > > > > > > > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a serious
> > > contester.
> > > > > > A
> > > > > > > > US >
> > > > > > > > > > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA islands.
> > > > Guam
> > > > > > was
> > > > > > > > one of
> > > > > > > > > > > those islands.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Marvy.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You'll get over it.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I'm already over it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm not so sure. You seem to think I'm unworthy of the DX I was and
> > > >
> > > > the DX I've worked.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I think you're very worthy of operating from foreign soil and I think
> > > > > > you're very worthy of the countries you've worked. I just don't think
> > > > > > you're a DXer.
> > > >
> > > > And I don't think you're an ethical amateur.
> > >
> > What you don't know about amateur radio ethics, including mine, could
> > fill volumes.
>
> Grab a tablet of paper and a fresh pen and we can get started.
>
> > > > > > > > > > Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from the
> > > > > > mainland.

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Then why do some of them set up big stations away from their
> QTH?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'd guess because they already own a place which has some zoning
> > > > > > > limitations but not necessarily CC&Rs. Maybe they want to put up
> > > three
> > > > > > > really tall towers but own a home where they can get a permit for
> only
> > > > > > > one modest tower. I don't know many DXers who have "big stations
> away
> > > > > > > from their QTH".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What nasty thing would you like to say about them?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why would I want to say anything nasty to them?
> > > >
> > > Would you say they are not DXers?
> >
> > You aren't paying attention. I said that I don't consider YOU to be a
> > DXer.
>
> Dave, am I the only amateur that you consider not to be a DXer? Please make a list of all
the amateurs who work DX that you consider not to be DXers.

You are not alone. There are many amateurs who enjoy ragchewing with
distant stations but who are not DXers.

> You can start with the ones who buy new homes in restricted neighborhoods.

That'd be a short list. Those guys have a tough enough time ragchewing
with domestic hams.

Dave K8MN
"If you were any weaker, you'd be receiving"

2445. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 21:18:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50259

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3D3B1C91.ABBA4707@ix.netcom.com...
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >I'd say more that where a particular ham finishes and what happens
> along the
> > > > >way, are truly the cornerstones of what ham radio is really all about.
> > > > >
> > > > >Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > > > Kim:
> > > >
> > > > In that case, I hope nobody is using you as an example. I'm sure you
> > > > started out as a ham pretty much the same way anyone else would,
> > > > but you ended up taking the ARS "...one step closer to extinction." I
> > > > sure hope nobody *really* thinks that's what ham radio is all about."
> > >
> > > Aside from the silly callsign, I'd say that she is as a good example as
> > > most hams are. What you don't want is one of those clowns on that
> > > LA repeater or 14.313
> > >
>
> Larry's all talk and no action at all, Robert. He sits behind his AOL
> internet account, behind his store-bought computer, in his apartment, after
> a day's work driving a bus around and little or no CW work at all on his
> manufactured ham radio through his manufactured antenna; then gets his rocks
> off by pretending he's anything but equal to the rest of us.
>
> I'd say for attitude alone most of us are far superior hams (and humans) to
> the likes of Larry Roll. I'm pretty sure most people know hypocrisy when
> they see it. Most people can even pick representative forms of hypocrisy ;)
>
> Kim W5TIT :)

Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?

Dave K8MN

2446. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 21:59:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728175910.14319.00000381@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 50260

In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
>news:pan.2002.07.28.11.56.42.271772.7555@arrl.org...
>> On Sat, 27 Jul 2002 18:29:16 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> > Go back a couple years and determine if "K4YZ" is attempting to be
>> > "affectionate" with his form of address to me.
>>
>> What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior toward
>> other people?
>
>Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for their
>outrageous behavior on RRAP.

Brian, it's just another little nail in the coffin called "double standard."

One cannot say anything bad about the ARRL for any reason
because they ARE amateur radio. How does everyone know?
Simple. ARRL says so, therefore it is sacrosanct. :-)

Dick did his military experience going to military school on TV
production, then confused teleprinter telegraphy with manual
morse telegraphy and said that national police departments
had "networks" of [manual] "CW." Before that he claimed
ignorance of the 1947 paper by Claude Shannon outlining
the relationship of bandwidth-noise-information-rate which has
been recognized everywhere else in world communications as
observable-useable truth and called "Shannon's Law." Then
he belittled everyone who replied to him expressing disbelief
at his opinions. Constantly.

Larry has extolled his inherent wonderfulness for years, citing
himself as a paragon of discipline and motivation and
accomplishment. During his after-service schooling he
made much mention in here of his deeds and accomplishments
as a Human Resources (aka "personnel") student and his
job offers just waiting for him after graduation and his assured
future. He has also repeated the urban myth of "new technicians
not coming up with new state of the art in amateur radio 'as
promised by them'" (a double-layered myth actually) and then
never showing any evidence of doing such original work despite
a long tenure as a licensee. Unlike Dick, Larry does little
outright belittlement of others by choosing to elevate his own
self-worth outrageously higher than others...all others are

belittled de facto by simply existing. Apparently driving a bus after achieving soma cum loud grades does things to a mind.

There are others, of course, such as a fairly-well paid employee of an pseudo-theological seminary arguing for the divinity of his order...the holier-than-thou ex-government employee who has clearances and needs to know denied ordinary mortals...the unspecified-job, unspecified-employer, morseodist and champion of the empty-state technology of ancient times who pretends to be an eightysomething guru four decades ahead of himself.

Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the Vanity regulations. :-)

Halleluja!

2447. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 21:59:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728175911.14319.00000382@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 50261

In article <20020728120629.07178.00000319@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>In article <d22b54c3.0207280459.1fd1edb6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>>> Well morse was at least still being taught to new radiomen when I got
>>> out in Jan 70. But, as I've said before, in over 3.5 years on the same
>>> ship I never saw morse used except for a drill practice.
>
>>I'm surprised CW lasted that long in the U.S. military.
>
>Yup.

Outstanding. Two individuals, never having achieved any communications experience as military members, agreeing on plans and policies of the US military. :-)

>>Which is not to say that CW has completely disappeared from the high
>>seas yet. Apparently CW is still used aboard civilian ships from
>>undeveloped countries in Asia and Africa and it's been claimed that
>>the Russians also still use the stuff. Probably only for coastal
>>and/or intership comms. Which makes no sense to me because
>>current-tech 150W SSB marine HF xcvrs are available in profusion and
>>are affordable enough to be found aboard thousands of modest pleasure
>>craft.
>
>The Rooskies tend to prefer using their own technology rather than spend hard
>coin on imports.

Disagreement? :-)

The esteemed historian of the Amish Radio Service is now an expert on foreign economy and world radio? Amazing.

>>I keep wondering if they're using of CW in the fishing fleets to
>>"encode" their whereabouts so that their competition can't figger out
>>where they are when they find a hot fishing spot.
>
>Makes sense.

Heh heh heh...now it's expertise on commercial fishing and ignorance of common, simple encryption in trade that has existed for centuries.

>>In any event the use of CW in other services might be marginally
>>interesting chatter but it has absolutely nothing to do with ham
>>radio, especially ham radio policies.

Correct in the Order of the Church of St. Hiram. Manual telegraphy is
the epitome, the essence of the high art in amateurism. So goes the
catechism and the mantra.

>'zactly. Whole codetest thing has become a nonissue for anyone with any sense.
>FCC has spoken, their hands are tied by S25.5. Codetest will go soon after
>S25.5 does, which will likely be 2003.

So sayeth the Prophets in their divine wisdom.

>Until about 5 years ago, maritime regs required that all ships over a certain
>size have 500 kHz Morse capability. That meant trained ops, shore stations,
>the whole bit. GMRSS replaced it.

GMDSS, your holiness. Global Marine Disaster Safety Service.

GMDSS became mandatory with IMO (International Maritime
Organization) member nations on 1 February 1999. It is a
component of SOLAS (Safety Of Life At Sea) planning that is
part of a larger effort that began with the first SOLAS convention
in 1974. You may find more information on that at www.imo.org

>On the other hand, when someone demands that the FCC impose a minimum age of
14
>as a requirement for a ham license, that's
>a real policy issue and a threat to the future of the ARS.

As far as I'm concerned (a mere citizen of the US, a US military
veteran, registered voter, etc.), I made my case back in 1999 and let
it rest afterwards. Correction: TRIED to let it rest until the
Catabolic Clergy got their horse-beating sticks to vent Outage.

If their Divine Holinesses wish to have mature, cogent, ethical,
prodigy six-year-olds passing amateur radio tests, then they are
welcome to them. No doubt they consider six-year-olds as a
shining example of the maturity of their "service."

Ho hum.

2448. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 21:59:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728175916.14319.00000383@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 50262

In article <20020728081428.05192.00000195@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>So, you are the Judge of what is acceptible and not-acceptible in this
>>unmoderated newsgroup?!?
>
>Where do you get that idea?

By your general message content. :-)

Anyone's opinion different than yours is "mistaken," "in error," "incorrect,"
otherwise dismissed.

>>I've been honest about my descriptions of US Army communications in the
>>1952-1960 period.
>
>Prove it. Why should anyone accept your "honesty" when you don't accept
>theirs?

Most of my references to US military communications have been posted
in here already. If you wish to judge those as "lying," "inaccurate," or
"mistaken," then there's no discussion with you possible.

As far as my personal military service is concerned, the United States
government, the states of Illinois and California, the California counties
of Ventura and Los Angeles have independently checked my military
records and found them to be as I stated. I could offer to scan my
DD-214 (release from active duty authorization) and send that in private
e-mail but no doubter-challenger seems to be able to receive, unpack,
or acknowledge any private mail receipts.

I have a number of photographs, both personal and Signal Corps photos
(so marked on the back in a sort of "mimeo" stamping) showing my
station equipment, personnel (including myself with SigC identification
on photo back), with sufficient imagery content to identify the time and
place. The quantity of those available precludes any reasonable request
for copies through any means.

I have made a 30-page text-and-image description of one part of my
military service concerning assignment at Army station ADA. I have
mailed copies of those to individuals who seemed reasonably
interested in communications of the 1950s. Ed Hare was sent a
copy although he has never acknowledged receiving it. I will mention
that Eugene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, was in the US Army and serving
at the same time as I and in the same unit and at the same station.
We have recently corresponded by mail and talked by telephone.
I haven't mentioned this to Gene but I feel satisfied that he would

confirm that I was, indeed, doing what I said I did.

I've given other references in here before, including the names and calls of radio amateurs, but no one seems to have contacted them. I'm not sure of how much "proof" is necessary for you, so I have to become repetitious (no doubt boring to others) on my explanations. That's unfortunate but you keep demanding "proof" or make the following statements -

>Not me. I've said you are mistaken about other things.

It seems almost Standard Operating Procedure that, whenever I say something in opposition to your opinions, you label them "mistaken," "incorrect," go into long diatribes such as "that has 'no' relationship to amateur radio." :-)

>>You are unable to cite any sources other than amateur radio
>>publications. You have been given information sources for the rest
>>of the radio world in here, yet there is no sign you have accessed
>>any of them.
>
>Why bother to cite sources? You won't accept them anyway.

I will go to sources and examine them if available. Good research into anything requires that. I will not accept opinions as facts and treat them as any arguable subject. I will certainly argue alleged factual statements which, by design or error, omit the entirety of statements so as to misconstrue its meaning (sin of omission).

>Why? You wouldn't accept it anyway.

Petulance, as quoted above, is not a positive attribute.

>So, you are the Judge of what is acceptible and not-acceptible in this
>unmoderated newsgroup?!?

Petulance, as quoted above, is not a positive attribute.

>>This whole thread "started" with a lot of bitching and whining over some
>>alleged "age discrimination" given in a comment on NPRM 98-143.
>
>Not "bitching and whining" but a simple statement of the facts, which prove
>your prejudice. Where do you get "age discrimination"? Is that a quote? Show
>your source.

No, sorry, you haven't "proved any prejudice" by bringing up an item from my comment on 98-143 back in 1999. What you re-started was a minor flame war over AGE originally ignited by Hans Brakob a few years ago. My reason for an age limit was given back in 1999 as the case of two six-year-olds who passed amateur radio tests.

>>All "discussions" in unmoderated newsgroups are free-form; i.e., they
>>can take directions determined by the participants.
>>

>All I did was ask a question.

Oh, I think you do rather more than that. :-)

>Because it would show how long the various military branches required it even
>though they rarely if ever used it.

The US Army has no horses in Armored Cavalry. There is only one
military horse unit and that is located somewhere in the DC area,
used only for ceremonial purposes. The Abrams and Bradleys do
the job in Armor now just as voice and data do the communications
jobs. Morse code has long since been relegated to largely
ceremony, ceremonies performed and praised outside the military.

>>There was no morse code used by the US military in the Gulf War.
>
>Were you there? How do you know for sure?

Industry trade magazines, classes at West Point, statements
of the US military have never, ever mentioned the use of morse
code in the Gulf War...not even from "behind enemy lines" by
various special forces as once alleged by a self-described
"colonel" in the "reserves" in here. :-)

The communications methods actually used in the Gulf War
have been extensively described in electronics trade magazines,
Signal (the US Army Signal Corps magazine, available to civilian
subscribers), on US Army websites, pictured in FM 24-24 (1994,
available for public download), described in print (along with
photographs) by many individuals who were there ranging from
Hughes Electronics field engineers to US military officers.
There had been some debate in the military and industry
concerning the data systems, but that largely concerning plans
and policy and methods which, at their worst, were far superior
to any morse code methods.

>>>How does any of that relate to your demands to the FCC for an age
>>>requirement for a ham license?
>>
>>It doesn't.
>
>Then you are misdirecting.

I thought I had quit that in 1999. It seems that all those old-timers
who got into amateur radio as (mature, ethical?) young teen-agers
were Terribly Furious (!) at such heretical demands...to the point of
making a strange biological product our of a dead, beaten horse
and a sacred cow. :-)

>Where DO you get these wild ideas?

From the outpourings of the cowboys taking care of all the
sacred cows in this newsgroup. :-)

>>How do you "know" what the FCC knew at any time?
>
>How do you?

I don't. I only see the public records and judge from those.

I don't claim telepathic powers or other paranormal attributes
which seems to be claimed by old-timer moresodists. :-)

>>Under 97.1, Title 47 CFR, you will find political statements that relate to
>>the amateur radio service as "providing a pool of trained operators" for the
>>nation.
>
>Put there by whom?

All of the FCC regulations were written by the FCC.

If you now state (gleefully?) that "the FCC made them, see?!"
then you would negate the people's ability to input their desires
to the US government and have laws and regulations made.
You and most other readers know that sort of response is a
prima facie exhibition of Extreme Literalism.

Strangely, such Extreme Literalism would also negate all the
lobbying efforts and influence of the ARRL to enact certain
changes in amateur radio service regulations. If the FCC is the
"only one" who made regulations, then the ARRL could not
credit itself for getting such changes.

>>If the US military did NOT require morse communications then that "pool"
>>would not need tested ability at morse code.
>
>The military is not "the nation"

The United States military is damn well a PART of the United States.

A very LARGE part. In the middle of year 2000 the strength of the
US military was 1 1/3 million on active duty throughout the world.

In the middle of 2000 there were over 24 million living civilian
veterans of the US military.

>>>INCORRECT. Pure wishful speculation on your part, driven by your ignorance
>>>and anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and prejudice.
>>
>>NOT "incorrect."
>
>It's INCORRECT.

Tsk, tsk, petulance again. :-)

I'll ask again, didn't you forget charges of heresy or treason? :-)

>> I stated an opinion based on observation and evaluation.
>
>Based on pure wishful speculation on your part, driven by your ignorance and

>anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and prejudice.

Shame, shame, the Amish are a gentle folk, not given to
table-thumping rage, aren't they? :-)

>From a vantage point of anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and
>prejudice.
>Were you an FCC employee? Did you read the various RMs and dockets? Did you
>comment to the FCC?

Somebody gather more sticks and bring some marshmallows.
Jimmie wants to light my fire.
:-)

>How? Were you an FCC employee at the time?

No, but YOU "know" the inside skinny... :-)

>>That is my observation and evaluation.
>
>It's incorrect. Like an "observation and evaluation" that the sun goes around
>the earth.

...or like "morse code will get through when all other modes fail!"

:-)

>>Why don't you be a good little morseman and trot on over to the FCC public
>>Reading Room? There you can find all the relevant material to your claims
>>(or against your claims) of who wanted what during the 1960s. DC is a lot
>>closer to you than to me. :-)
>
>In other words, you know I'm telling the facts.

Hardly. I see a lot of chest-thumping and patronizing arrogance
against someone who would brazenly dare such a renowned
amateur historian. :-)

I've never been to the FCC's Reading Room, but during the 1960s
I did subscribe to lots of FCC literature. Back then one could
subscribe (through the US GPO) to all the FCC radio regulations,
receive "updates" of each Part as they were made. That was in
3-ring-binder loose leaf form, convenient when the Regulations
were small relative to the 1990s. It got to be a bit much after a
few years, my jobs didn't need all the regulatory information any
more, so I stopped the subscriptions and tossed the lot.

Mea culpa! I should have had the foresight to "know" I would
encounter a renowned amateur historian in the future who would
try to show me the Error of My Ways!

>>Sources? The entire output of the trade magazines of the period from the
>>end of WW2 to time-now...the statements of the FCC itself since they
>>have put up a website.

>
>The FCC had a website in 1965?

No, but one could get subscription service from the USGPO. One could also (in this area) drive down to Long Beach and bother the surly secretaries down there into reading the latest. Since they weren't open on weekends, I went to subscriptions...better than subscribing to the Federal Register that contained a whole mess of info on things I didn't need. NTIS was fairly new then, beginning in their growth of becoming the enormous technical literature source they are now. The principal electronics industry periodicals were McGraw-Hill's Electronics, a biweekly magazine (I subscribed for about a decade), Electronic Design News (to become "EDN" in a name change), Electronics Design (still using the same name), Defense Electronics, and a couple of newcomers. I was also a Barron's subscriber, but more for stock and bond information rather than electronic industry stuff. Newsstand periodicals were more or less the same as now but with different organization and names...Radio and Television News, Radio-Electronics, CQ, 73. At big newsstands there might be Radio Communication magazine from across the pond. I haven't covered the EIA newsletters or High Fidelity or Audio Engineering or the magazine of the NAB.

>>Certainly in the last four decades, the number
>>of new radio services have increased considerably more than at any
>>previous time, plus those existing radio services have changed, added
>>more and different technologies in their operation. The result was a
>>substantial workload addition to the FCC's regulatory task.
>
>Yet FCC was making the amateur regs more complex all throught the '60s and
>'70s. For example, FCC made the regs for amateur repeaters quite complex in
>that time period.

At no time were amateur radio regulations ever as large and complex as most of the other Parts. You could evaluate that any way you want but it was so. :-)

>>>Do you think the public service contributions of amateur radio are not
>>>important?
>>
>>Not in the overall picture of "public service" communications involving
>>public safety, search and rescue.
>
>Well, there you have it.

No, discounting the "we are the greatest" membership propaganda the scanner enthusiasts had their input from the growing public safety (police, fire, paramedic, etc.) radio services. Go back to the mid-1960s and see just how many Public Land Mobile Radio Services existed. At the same time anyone could listen in to amateur radio communications and hear the hobby aspect as the overwhelming content with hardly any "public service" comm.

>>Was there a large national amateur radio membership organization other
>>than the ARRL in the 1960s?
>
>Nope - nor is there one now. But the FCC based much of the structure of
>incentive licensing on proposals by small roups and individuals.

Of course...you state that as an undeniable fact...as a not-ever
employee of the FCC. :-)

Oh, maybe you were a member of a small roup?

>>I haven't seen any numbers in those claims.
>
>98-143: ~2200 comments. US ham population ~675,000. One in 306 hams commented.
>incentive licensing: ~1700 comments. US ham population ~265,000. One in 155
>hams commented.

Tsk, tsk. More than 2500 documents in the last listing I saw (last year) on
98-143. Some of those had more than one individual per document. The
ARRL commented many more times than once. The INDIVIDUALS who
commented are all listed in the final Restructuring Report and Order. A
more accurate number of those commenting is found in that. Please try
to be ACCURATE.

>WHY? You won't accept them anyway.

Now, now, exhibiting more petulance... :-)

>You omitted it because it disproves your claims. The original ARRL proposal of
>1963 bears little resemblance to what the FCC enacted. That's a fact.

Of course...the FCC is the Big Bully. :-)

And the ARRL is the Big Brother to protect all the little hams from Big Bully.
:-)

>>Where are these documents to be found?
>
>Look 'em up - you're good at that. Or you claim to be.

Well, I tried to look them up in the FCC ECFS and a general search.
Unfortunately, I don't have all the time in the world to sit and do the
endless corrections of your mistakes by endless quoting of the
regulatory sources...so I asked "where are these documents to be
found?"

Should we all do a comprehensive summary of a thousand-plus
documents on a 30+ year old regulatory matter? Exactly what
would such accomplish? Your "vindication?" Vindication from
what...an OPINION that wasn't shared?

>>Where may we confirm this with the US government?
>
>Look it up. Anything I post will be rejected by you anyway.

Now, now, Jimmie...I've already spent quite some time correcting
this post. If you want to pay my fare to DC to search through
the FCC Reading Room content for a couple weeks, fine, but
include meals and incidental expenses, too...but I don't have all
the time in the world to massage the egos of the hidebound.

>>How many six-year-old licensees were there in the 1960s? :-)
>
>I don't know. Do you?

Weren't there two six-year-olds licensed in 1998 and shown on
the ARRL web page news along with their kindly VE?

>You have demanded that the FCC require all hams attain the age of 14 before
>being allowed to have any class of amateur radio license. Yet you have not
>shown ANY evidence or example of problems in the ARS caused by licensing
>people younger than 14.

I'm not "demanding" a thing, old-timer. I made a SUGGESTION, a COMMENT
back in 1999 and let it sit on public view along with other commentors on
98-143. A lot of outraged I-got-into-ham-radio-as-a-teen-age-boy-wonder
types have been beating the hell out of that poor horse ever since. :-)

>Your demands were in reply comments to the FCC, buried at the end of a long
>document and timed so that others would not be able to comment on them within
>the FCC deadline.

On the contrary. I didn't "time" anything except to TRY to get it done in time
while occupied by a great number of other, more-important personal tasks.

Nothing was "buried," everything was out in the open. I submitted the
requisite
number of copies plus a disk with text in an acceptible word processing format.
Everything that I submitted is all accounted for in the FCC's own
acknowledgement in the public record. It was all sent via USPS registered
with receipt of delivery returned before the deadline.

Had I known ahead of time that there would be so much necro-equine
flagellation about a 3 1/2 year old "issue" by such outraged egotists, I
would have saved the returned receipt, scanned it, sent it to all the righteous
so they could then ignore that document receipt and pretend to be victorious.
:-)

>Why should anyone find you credible when you cannot supply as single reason
>to enact age limit requirements?

The reason was supplied to the FCC 3 1/2 years ago and remains on public
view. A picture of two of the reasons is still visible on the ARRL website.

2449. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 23:23:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ai1ucm$mh2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50263

I know Jim.....HF is ham radio to me and a lot of other folks. But...I also do VHF FM, CW and SSB. I do SSTV, I do PSK31 and RTTY. And I'm slowly working toward a good satellite and maybe EME setup.

So, IMHO, HF is where it all began. Hopefully its not where it will all end.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message news:uk8c9fbqr42498@corp.supernews.com...
> Dan, so many people forget that DX is only one facet of amateur radio.
> There are the moonbouncy guys, the FM guys (yep, I'm one of those, even with
> the extra. I enjoy the local folks, have talked to England and Australia
> via the 10 meter port, and the darn thing came in handy when all of the
> telephone lines died at the company one interesting evening), the slow scan
> folks, the fast scan folks, and a lot of half-fast scan types (likely me
> included!). We have fun, however ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:ai113r$kp4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Good point Jim. I don't know if I totally agee about the 'legal'
> > signals...hi. But that is not something you can prove unless your sitting
> > in the FCC van in front of his house. In anycase I have NEVER had a legal
> > limit amplifier in my over 40 years of ham radio.
> >
> > When the power limit was 1KW DC INPUT I didn't even own one. Now its
> 1.5kw
> > PEP output...wow. And my poor little 800 watt output Ameritron don't even
> > compete eh? I do just fine on DX and contesting, mostly barefoot. And
> at
> > times the extra s-unit or so helps.
> >
> > Real hams only run what is necessary to maintain the communications. The
> > ones that don't are, IMHO, just CBers with a ham ticket.
> >

> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> > news:uk5va42iooe22f@corp.supernews.com...
> > > And when you state that every state in the USA is a DXCC entity, you
> have
> > > conclusively shown that the joke is you. BTW, there are virtually no
> > > amateurs running in excess of the authorized 1.5 KW for the simple
> reason
> > > that by giving your call sign, you are quite easily traced. No call
> sign,
> > > well, no ticky no washie. Also, many (likely most) amateurs DON'T
EVEN
> > OWN
> > > AN AMP! The guys I know personally around here are simply using HF
> > > transceivers WITHOUT an amp. My guess is that there exists a much
> higher
> > > percentage of CBers with amps (illegal) than the percentage of hams
with
> > > amps (I am talking percentages here - likely there are more CBers with
> > amps
> > > in the USA than there are amateurs in the whole world).
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > > "Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
> > > news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about the
> > power
> > > > used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to smash
> > people
> > > > on
> > > > pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is became a
> war
> > of
> > > > amps.
> > > > The real ham spririt has disapeared, there is no more friendship on
> the
> > > > overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because there
> are
> > > too
> > > > much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war "Oh he
> got
> > a
> > > > 3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !". That's the spirit now : to
have
> > the
> > > > bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of his

> shack
> > > and
> > > > have his picture in QST.
> > > > This bad spirit is now totally spreaded by the amp sellers that say
in
> > > their ads
> > > > "No time to waste on pile-ups" (i.e "Smash other hams with our
amps").
> > > > How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power is
> > > limited
> > > > to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW on
> 6m
> > ??
> > > It's
> > > > evident that the result is not the same.
> > > > Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood
the
> > call
> > > > and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you have
> > > missed.
> > > > So where is the merit to have a DXCC ? There is none ... and when
you
> > > think that
> > > > EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> > > > More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2450. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 23:53:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hu%09.2065$Gd1.25489528@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50264

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D445961.BBDEF415@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D4371C8.C54065F3@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted
> > anyone.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the
> > effort to
> > > > > > > > > > learn
> > > > > > > > > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum.
> > Arbitrary
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary
> > > > > > > > > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds
> > arbitrary
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for
> > success.

> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional
> > > > > > frequencies.
> > > > > > > > > > > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Why not?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass, you
> > aren't
> > > > > > > > > rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did
pass,
> > you
> > > > > > > > do receive additional spectrum or privileges.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And I've
> > previously
> > > > > > > > stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not envision
how
> > a
> > > > > person
> > > > > > > > can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > About the only way I could see that happening is if you didn't
pass
> > ALL
> > > > > > the required exams. If you didn't pass all of the required exams,
you
> > > > > > weren't rewarded. Those who pass all the exams ARE rewarded.
> > >
> > >
> > > (Lack of comment noted)
> > >
> > Lack of insight noted.
>
> My insight tells me that you blew smoke. You didn't pass all the
> required exams or you would have been rewarded. That you didn't pass
> rests on you, not on the person making note of it.

Noted.

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9,
/KH2,
> > and
> > > > > /T5?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what
you
> > > > > considered
> > > > > > > > > > to

> > > > > > > > be
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if
you
> > can
> > > > > be
> > > > > > > as
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've
been
> > in
> > > > other
> > > > > > > > things.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > There you have it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > How is that wrong?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > How is that a description of DXing?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Should I chant or face the East or something?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask
me
> > > > > > questions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > But I am, and I do. So answer the question.
> > > > >
> > > > If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.
> > > >
> > > You need to verify that you know.
> > >
> > I need to verify to you that I know? I'll let my country count speak
to
> > that in reference to my operation from American soil. As to my
> > operations abroad, feel free to check any of the online DX newsletters
> > or back issues of any magazines containing DX news.
> >
> > Oh, I forgot you were the greatest DXer in the world. Forgive me.
>
> I've never made that claim. That I am a DXer is documented.

How much of a DXer are you?

> > > > > > > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other
> > countries
> > > > > > > > > authorizations
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm
just
> > > > supposed
> > > > > > > to

> > > > > > > > tune
> > > > > > > > > > from
> > > > > > > > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble
> > across
> > > > the
> > > > > > ones I
> > > > > > > > > > need.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and
160
> > meter
> > > > > > > > > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted
to
> > look
> > > > up 80
> > > > > > > > > and 160 allocations.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget
them
> > when
> > > > >
> > > > > > someone out of band tries to caontact me?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up
allocations
> > in
> > > > > > order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those
you
> > > > don't
> > > > > > wish to contact.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yes that is correct.
> > > > >
> > > > You seemed confused.
> > > >
> > > No, I am not confused. If I know the target countries operating
> > priveleges,
> > > > then I know where to look for them. And should the French amateurs
try
> > to
> > > > contact me out of band on 6M, I wouldn't work them because I would
know
> > they
> > > > were out of band.
> > >
> > > I haven't the slightest amount of confusion about this.

> > >
> > > Your comments indicated confusion. If you'd like to look up
allocations
> > > for each station you contact on each band, you are fee to do that.
Most
> > > folks don't do that.
> >
> > Why wouldn't you look up the ones that you need? Do you choose to
remain
> > ignorant of where they might be, or do you get some joy out of stumbling
up
> > and down the bands hoping they might appear one day?
>
> I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've
> needed on 80 or 160m.

Previously you've told me that you just find them by tuning about. Now you
are claiming that you've looked them up. My only confusion is what to
believe, what you said then or what you are saying now.

> > Perhaps they have no authorization where you've been trying to find
them.
>
> I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've
> needed on 80 or 160m.
>
> > Why are you so confused?
>
> Probably because I've been dealing with your cryptic material.

Cryptic as in when I look up authorizations its a bad thing indicating that
I don't know much about DX, or cryptic as in when you look up authorizations
because that's what a smart DXer does?

> > > > > > > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If
DXCC is
> > 100
> > > > > > > > > countries,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100
countries
> > worked
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have
any
> > idea
> > > > > how
> > > > > > > > > > > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only
one
> > > > band

> > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could have
> > done
> > > > > > > > > > something about.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX.
But
> > you
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > appear to have a problem with that.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't
do
> > that
> > > > > > > > > "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to DX
> > spots
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > > operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in the
> > U.S.?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it. I've
> > accepted
> > > > > > > > that.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I understand if you find my question embarrassing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't find my license class embarassing, nor being and chasing DX
with
> > > > > that license embarassing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I find your unethical DXing embarassing. Typical ugly American
abroad.
> > >
> > > That's a tough one, Brian, in light of the fact that I haven't done
any
> > > "unethical" DXing. Your "ugly American" comment has no basis in fact.
> >
> > You must still be confused. You claimed that you would continue to work
Frenchmen out of band even after you learned that they were out of band.
>
> I need only concern myself with operating where I AM PERMITTED TO
> OPERATE. I've only made this statement about eight or ten times. Maybe
> you'll pick up on it by and by.

So you WILL work others who are out of band, even when you know they are out
of band?

> > > > > > > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > > > > > > > until I'm an Extra, does it?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its

territories
> > and
> > > > > > > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S.
> > possession.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX country?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.
> > > > >
> > > > > Are you saying that Somalia cannot be a DX country because it is not
a
> > U.S.
> > > > > posession?
> > >
> > > You aren't keeping up. I said Somalia isn't regulated under Part 97.
> > > How about HL9 operations? Are they governed by Part 97?
> >
> > Still confused? How does being regulated by the U.S. relate to an
entity being DX or not being DX?
>
> I certainly am confused on this one, Brian. Your own comments were
> "Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX until I'm an Extra, does
> it?" Part 97 doesn't deal with "being DX" at all unless you happen to
> be in a U.S. territory or possession.

No wonder you're the greatest DXer in the world.

Please tell us what Part 97 has to say about being or working DX. What does
it recommend for me to get my SSB rate up? Does it say I should stay with
the foot switch or should I use VOX?

I think Part 97 doesn't say squat about DX, but you're free to interpret it
any way you like.

> > As a matter of fact, I don't think the FCC published a DX list. As
close as
> > the FCC comes is to publish a list of 3rd party countries.
>
> That's right. The FCC doesn't regulate your operation from Somalia or
> tell you that you can't operate from there with or without an Extra
> Class ticket either.

As a matter of fact, there was no regulatory authority in Somalia when I was
there. So what was your point?

> > > > FYI, the USA can be a DX country if you're in the Falkland Islands.
> > >
> > > Really? Who knew! BTW, I'm not in the Falkland Islands.
> >
> > Yes, really. Does it really matter where you are?
>
> Yes, it does matter.

>
> You are the greatest DXer ever, wherever you are.
>
> If you choose to think so, that's fine by me, Brian. In fairness, I've
> never made such a claim nor would I do so. I think I can safely make
> the claim that I know more about DXing than you.

But how much more? Let's quantify it.

On a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 being the most brilliant DXer ever, where
would you place yourself?

> > > > > > > > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I
> > didn't
> > > > > work
> > > > > > > > > 10,000
> > > > > > > > > > > of them?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept
of
> > > > DXing.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ...not if I go by what you've stated here.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a
> > pre-conceived
> > > > > idea
> > > > > > > > that whatever I do is wrong.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be
DXing.
> > > > > Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges
between
> > us.
> > > > > Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion that
> > > > > everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about everything
you
> > do.
> > > >
> > > So is Somalia now a US Posession?
> > >
> > That is a response to my statements?
> >
> > I did operate in Somalia. What about it?
>
> Your stuff isn't even coherent anymore. Your question about Somalia
> being a U.S. possession was strange. Your added comment is waaaaaay out
> there.

It was your comment that was incredibly strange. The US government has no regulatory authority in Somalia. Why did you bring it up inside that relationship?

> > > > > > You've obviously missed how I passed all of the exams and yet gained
> > no
> > > > > > > additional priveleges.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There's only one way that could happen. You didn't pass all of the
> > > > > > required exams.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Sure.
> > > >
> > > I'm glad we could find agreement.
> >
> > Right.
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > One at a time.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > How do you do it?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't you
> > know?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a time."
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > That's pretty much descriptive of almost any amateur radio QSO.
> > > > > > > Funny--most of the DXers I know have a more complex idea of how a
> > pileup
> > > > > > is handled from the DX end.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Most? What of the ones who don't hold your view? Do you make fun of
> > them?
> > > >
> > > If they ragchew with G's and consider that to be DXing, I'll be happy to
> > > poke fun at them. If they believe that the DXCC program is about
> > > working 100 countries and then stopping, then yes, I'll make fun of
> > > them. If they, like you, would ask a DX station to confirm that he is
> > > operating within his assigned allocation and tacks a cute "QSL?" to the

> > > end of the exchange, then yes, I'd laugh at him.
> >
> > Wow! Dave laughs at DXCC.
>
> No, Dave laughs at Brian.
>
> > The greatest DXer of all time laughs at the very
> > award system that makes it all possible.
>
> No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls it DXing.

And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count? You might
want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility is slipping.

> > The greatest DXer of all time
> > laughs at all of the little people in DX that makes it all possible.
>
> Who is the greatest DXer of all time? Was it Don Wallace?

David Heil., Tar Paper Shack, WV.

> > Dave, when I look at the DXCC list, I swear G-land is on there. If I >
fill it by a 12.73 second QSO vs a 12 minute QSO, what's the > difference to
you?
>
> No difference, Brian. Let me know about you 12 minute QSOs with the
> Laccadives, Glorioso, or North Korea. I can hardly wait. It'd be even
> better if you manage to disrupt a pileup to make them.

Why would I do something stupid like that?

> > The DXCC desk counts it, but then you would laugh at them and me.
>
> No, I'd only laugh at you. I promise.
>
> > That smug attitude of yours sure shines through.
>
> Don't forget that you'll be able to hear the peals of laughter--probably
> without the aid of a radio receiver.

No doubt. I hear it whenever I drive by the State Hospital for the
Criminally Insane.

> > > > > > Do you work them two at a time? five?
> > > > >
> > > > > Divine it from the ether. You're a DXer. You've said so.
> > > >
> > > > I knew there was something magical. You are truly magical.
> > >
> > > I've worked 'em at the rate of over 300 QSOs/hr on SSB and over 200/hr
> > > on CW. I'm sure that an experienced DXer like you has done much
better.
> >

> > Dave, you must be confused again. I've never made that claim.
>
> I'm deferring to your vast experience, Brian. There's not much that a
> guy like me could show a master such as you.
>
> > 2 or 3 a minute is about as fast as I can write them in my log. The
Heil
> > headset and footswitch was a big help.
>
> Write them in your log? By hand? Dark ages, man!

But I'm a neat freak. The writing has to be good enough that I can confirm
them a year later when the cards start rolling in from the buro.

> > > > > > > > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend
QSLing,
> > you
> > > > > > can't
> > > > > > > > > use
> > > > > > > > > > > > for operating.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > But I consider it my job.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why
> > waste
> > > > > time
> > > > > > > in
> > > > > > > > > > > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think
rare
> > > > > > > > stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Someone is a stamp collector?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > My QSL manager of fifteen years isn't a stamp collector. No one
in
> > his
> > > > > > family is a stamp collector. Any other guesses?
> > > > > >
> > > > You pay him handsomely?
> > > >
> > > You believe that my QSL manager is paid? You sure are a DXer, Brian.
> > >
> > You should get him to log for you, too. You might get your rate up.
> >
> How might that be accomplished with the two of us separated by some

> thousands of miles? Is this another of your DXing secrets?

You could get married and the State Department would pay to move the both of you.

> > > > > > > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did
> > enjoy
> > > > > > > > > ragchewing
> > > > > > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > What is it?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > It is ragchewing.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Tell that to Diego Garcia.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > What's his call?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Don't know. Why?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that I
> > should
> > > > > > direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a door.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Any amateur on Diego Garcia can tell you. You don't need any
specific
> > > > amateur on that rock.
> > >
> > > Then why'd you ask me to tell something to Diego Garcia? Which
> > > particular amateurs on Diego Garcia would you like for me to enlighten
> > > with the fact that ragchewing at distance is not DXing?
> >
> > Any of them. Why?
>
> Well, which ones, Brian? Which would know you and why would those
> persons make such an incorrect statement?

They've probably changed out by now. But why wouldn't the new ones answer such a simple question?

> > > > > > > > > > > Somalia was different. Most of the stations
calling
> > wanted
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > > > > know what it was like over there.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > That's when you use the "diplomatic reception line"
> > technique.
> > > > > > > Shake

> > > > > > > > > > > their hand with your right while moving them along the
> > line
> > > > with
> > > > > > your
> > > > > > > > > > > left while murmuring, "Pleased to meet you. Welcome".
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I see that hamming means a lot to you.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Hamming means a gread deal to me. Efficient handling of a
> > pileup
> > > > > does
> > > > > > > > > > > not have to be rude, just efficient. Listening to
Alistair
> > spell
> > > > > his
> > > > > > > > > > > name phonetically and waiting while he describes his
station
> > and
> > > > > > > > > > > antennas then asks if I'll listen to an "A/B" test of his
> > > > > microphone
> > > > > > > > > > > does not promote pileup efficiency. It's much easier for
me
> > to
> > > > > > > provide
> > > > > > > > > > > my name and location along with QSLing information every
ten
> > or
> > > > > > > fifteen
> > > > > > > > > > > QSOs. Even so, there'll be fellows who'll bray, "What's
your
> > QSL
> > > > > > > info?"
> > > > > > > > > > > two QSOs after I've announced it. It was diplomatic
> > receptions
> > > > > which
> > > > > > > > > > > did not mean a lot to me.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Whatever is easier for you. As in QSLing.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > That's exactly right! Whatever makes it easier for me to put
> > large
> > > > > > > > > > > numbers of folks into the log so that no one has to go away
empty
> > > > > > > > > > > handed.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dave, that's wht I did with you. Yet you're still not happy.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You made it easier for me to put large numbers of folks in my log?
> > How?
> > > > >

> > > > You're in my log. You got confirmation.
> > >
> > > That isn't what you said you did with me. As far as being in your log
> > > and having my QSL manager receive your QSL, I'm sure I'll treasure
that
> > > card forever.
> > >
> > No doubt.
> >
> > > > > > > > > So how many times did you need to confirm JA-land? DXers
use
> > > > contests >
> > > > > > to work some new ones. They're not necessarily in the contest
to >
> > > > score
> > > > > > big.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Pretty one-sided, Brian. How many JA's need to work Tanzania
in
> > or
> > > > out
> > > > > > > of a contest? Many DXers are also contesters.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > So you were contesting.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not necessarily. I may not have even sent a log to the sponsoring
> > > > > organization. I was handing out DX contacts to those who were in
a
> > > > > contest. As you stated, many of them are not really planning on
> > > > > submitting a score. Some are just chasing countries.
> > > >
> > > > Marvy.
> > >
> > > No, just reality.
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > The DX station can do likewise if he's not a
serious
> > > > contester.
> > > > > > A
> > > > > > > > US >
> > > > > > > > > > > > station might get a pileup going during an IOTA contest.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > An IOTA contest? Good Lord deliver us.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > For some crazy reason, other hams like to work USA
islands.
> > > > Guam
> > > > > > was
> > > > > > > > one of
> > > > > > > > > > > > those islands.

> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Marvy.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You'll get over it.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I'm already over it.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I'm not so sure. You seem to think I'm unworthy of the DX I was
and
> > > >
> > > > > the DX I've worked.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I think you're very worthy of operating from foreign soil and I
think
> > > > > > you're very worthy of the countries you've worked. I just don't
think
> > > > > > you're a DXer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > And I don't think you're an ethical amateur.
> > > >
> > > What you don't know about amateur radio ethics, including mine, could
> > > fill volumes.
> >
> > Grab a tablet of paper and a fresh pen and we can get started.
> >
> > > > > > > > > > Covenants and Restrictions. I need plenty of them from
the
> > > > > > > mainland.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > DXers don't buy where there are covenants or restrictions.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Then why do some of them set up big stations away from their
> > QTH?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I'd guess because they already own a place which has some
zoning
> > > > > > > > limitations but not necessarily CC&Rs. Maybe they want to put
up
> > > > > three
> > > > > > > > really tall towers but own a home where they can get a permit
for
> > only
> > > > > > > > one modest tower. I don't know many DXers who have "big
stations
> > away
> > > > > > > > from their QTH".
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > What nasty thing would you like to say about them?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why would I want to say anything nasty to them?

> > > >
> > > > Would you say they are not DXers?
> > > >
> > > You aren't paying attention. I said that I don't consider YOU to be a
> > > DXer.
> > >
> > Dave, am I the only amateur that you consider not to be a DXer? Please
make a list of all the amateurs who work DX that you consider not to be
DXers.
>
> You are not alone. There are many amateurs who enjoy ragchewing with
> distant stations but who are not DXers.

And their country total is what?

> > You can start with the ones who buy new homes in restricted
neighborhoods.
>
> That'd be a short list. Those guys have a tough enough time ragchewing
> with domestic hams.

True enough. But go ahead and list them.

> Dave K8MN
> "If you were any weaker, you'd be receiving"

If I were using a regen I just might be transmitting.

2451. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-28 23:58:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Zy%09.2069$z01.24817810@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50265

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020728154318.02634.00001485@mb-mu.aol.com...
> >I am unaffected as I'm not a Snooty Extra Class amateur.
> >
> >
>
> Correct, your just a CBplusser wth a Ham License

And you're not a Snooty Extra, so you shouldn't mind Len's comments either.

2452. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 00:01:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dB%09.2070$3g1.25597252@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50266

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020728175911.14319.00000382@mb-bh.aol.com...
> In article <20020728120629.07178.00000319@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <d22b54c3.0207280459.1fd1edb6@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> >>> Well morse was at least still being taught to new radiomen when I got
> >>> out in Jan 70. But, as I've said before, in over 3.5 years on the
same
> >>> ship I never saw morse used except for a drill practice.
> >
> >>I'm surprised CW lasted that long in the U.S. military.
> >
> >Yup.
>
> Outstanding. Two individuals, never having achieved any communications
> experience as military members, agreeing on plans and policies of the US
> military. :-)

But they sure do sound like highly paid civilian contractors. I'm not sure
which one is the highest bidder and which one is the lowest bidder.

2453. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 00:09:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xI%09.2074$Lg1.25638141@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50267

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020728175910.14319.00000381@mb-bh.aol.com...
> In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> >news:pan.2002.07.28.11.56.42.271772.7555@arrl.org...
> >> On Sat, 27 Jul 2002 18:29:16 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> > Go back a couple years and determine if "K4YZ" is attempting to be
> >> > "affectionate" with his form of address to me.
> >>
> >> What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior toward
> >> other people?
> >
> >Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for
their
> >outrageous behavior on RRAP.
>
> Brian, it's just another little nail in the coffin called "double
standard."
>
> One cannot say anything bad about the ARRL for any reason
> because they ARE amateur radio. How does everyone know?
> Simple. ARRL says so, therefore it is sacrosanct. :-)
>
> Dick did his military experience going to military school on TV
> production, then confused teleprinter telegraphy with manual
> morse telegraphy and said that national police departments
> had "networks" of [manual] "CW." Before that he claimed
> ignorance of the 1947 paper by Claude Shannon outlining
> the relationship of bandwidth-noise-information-rate which has
> been recognized everywhere else in world communications as
> observable-useable truth and called "Shannon's Law." Then
> he belittled everyone who replied to him expressing disbelief
> at his opinions. Constantly.

Was it Dick who blasted me early on about the ChiComs overrunning his
position?

> Larry has extolled his inherent wonderfulness for years, citing
> himself as a paragon of discipline and motivation and
> accomplishment. During his after-service schooling he
> made much mention in here of his deeds and accomplishments
> as a Human Resources (aka "personnel") student and his

> job offers just waiting for him after graduation and his assured
> future. He has also repeated the urban myth of "new technicians
> not coming up with new state of the art in amateur radio 'as
> promised by them'" (a double-layered myth actually) and then
> never showing any evidence of doing such original work despite
> a long tenure as a licensee. Unlike Dick, Larry does little
> outright belittlement of others by choosing to elevate his own
> self-worth outrageously higher than others...all others are
> belittled de facto by simply existing. Apparently driving a bus
> after achieving soma cum loud grades does things to a mind.

But he won the Cold War and claimed a real young Russian girlfriend.

> There are others, of course, such as a fairly-well paid employee
> of an pseudo-theological seminary arguing for the divinity of his
> order...the holier-than-thou ex-government employee who has
> clearances and needs to know denied ordinary mortals...the
> unspecified-job, unspecified-employer, morseodist and champion
> of the empty-state technology of ancient times who pretends to
> be an eightysomething guru four decades ahead of himself.

Who are these?

> Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> Vanity regulations. :-)

Yes, The Sign.

;^)

2454. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 00:10:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <XJ%09.2075$1f1.25570576@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50268

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> > Kim W5TIT :)
>
> Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
>
> Dave K8MN

Eloped?

2455. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 00:13:37 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk9249cidnku12@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50269

Dan, I don't want to correct ya, but .... amateur radio began much below HF.
The important folks wanted that spectrum and gave the hams the 'worthless'
space. BWAaaahahahaha!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

\
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:ai1ucm$mh2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> I know Jim.....HF is ham radio to me and a lot of other folks. But...I
also
> do VHF FM, CW and SSB. I do SSTV, I do PSK31 and RTTY. And I'm slowly
> working toward a good satellite and maybe EME setup.
>
> So, IMHO, HF is where it all began. Hopefully its not where it will all
> end.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:uk8c9fbqr42498@corp.supernews.com...
> > Dan, so many people forget that DX is only one facet of amateur radio.
> > There are the moonbouncy guys, the FM guys (yep, I'm one of those, even
> with
> > the extra. I enjoy the local folks, have talked to England and
Australia
> > via the 10 meter port, and the darn thing came in handy when all of the
> > telephone lines died at the company one interesting evening), the slow
> scan
> > folks, the fast scan folks, and a lot of half-fast scan types (likely me
> > included!). We have fun, however ;)
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:ai113r$kp4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Good point Jim. I don't know if I totally agee about the 'legal'
> > > signals...hi. But that is not something you can prove unless your
> sitting
> > > in the FCC van in front of his house. In anycase I have NEVER had a
> legal
> > > limit amplifier in my over 40 years of ham radio.
> > >

> > > When the power limit was 1KW DC INPUT I didn't even own one. Now its
> > 1.5kw
> > > PEP output...wow. And my poor little 800 watt output Ameritron don't
> even
> > > compete eh? I do just fine on DX and contesting, mostly barefoot.
And
> > at
> > > times the extra s-unit or so helps.
> > >
> > > Real hams only run what is necessary to maintain the communications.
> The
> > > ones that don't are, IMHO, just CBers with a ham ticket.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uk5va42iooe22f@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > And when you state that every state in the USA is a DXCC entity, you
> > have
> > > > conclusively shown that the joke is you. BTW, there are virtually
no
> > > > amateurs running in excess of the authorized 1.5 KW for the simple
> > reason
> > > > that by giving your call sign, you are quite easily traced. No call
> > sign,
> > > > well, no ticky no washie. Also, many (likely most) amateurs DON'T
> EVEN
> > > OWN
> > > > AN AMP! The guys I know personally around here are simply using HF
> > > > transceivers WITHOUT an amp. My guess is that there exists a much
> > higher
> > > > percentage of CBers with amps (illegal) than the percentage of hams
> with
> > > > amps (I am talking percentages here - likely there are more CBers
with
> > > amps
> > > > in the USA than there are amateurs in the whole world).
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim
> > > > "Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about
the
> > > power
> > > > > used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to smash
> > > people
> > > > on

> > > > > pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is became
a
> > war
> > > of
> > > > amps.
> > > > > The real ham spririt has disapeared, there is no more friendship
on
> > the
> > > > > overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because
there
> > are
> > > > too
> > > > > much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war "Oh
he
> > got
> > > a
> > > > > 3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !". That's the spirit now : to
> have
> > > the
> > > > > bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of his
> > shack
> > > > and
> > > > > have his picture in QST.
> > > > > This bad spirit is now totally spreaded by the amp sellers that
say
> in
> > > > their ads
> > > > > "No time to waste on pile-ups" (i.e "Smash other hams with our
> amps").
> > > > > How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power
is
> > > > limited
> > > > > to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW
on
> > 6m
> > > ??
> > > > It's
> > > > > evident that the result is not the same.
> > > > > Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood
> the
> > > call
> > > > > and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you
have
> > > > missed.
> > > > > So where is the merit to have a DXCC ? There is none ... and when
> you
> > > > think that
> > > > > EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> > > > > More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

2456. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 00:16:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk928oc60s281@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50270

Heck, I'd just buy a can of red, blue, and yellow paint. Voilla! 10
seconds and the cube is 'fixed' (if not solved). It ain't the size of the
ship, it's the motion through the ocean!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
(heck, I can cut and paste as fast as the college students do for their
papers!)

"Rene Altena" <rene.altena@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:ahut23$ul6kl$1@ID-153362.news.dfncis.de...
> Nobody EVER managed to solve it in 60 seconds! Don't brag.
>
> Rene
>
> PS. I'm an engineer as well.
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020725220700.07756.00000162@mb-mr.aol.com...
> > In article <2002725-21157-654989@foorum.com>, Univrsal Brain
> <brain@best.net>
> > writes:
> > >
> > >On the contrary, the Rubik's cube test (used by NASA, see the
Armageddon
> > >movie)
> > >to select people, is a good approach to verify the ability to solve a
> > >logic mathematical problem in a 3D space, and demonstrates a scientific
> > >brain.
> > >
> > >Anyone able to solve a totally mixed Rubik's cube (6 faces of 3x3) in
> less
> > >than 30 seconds, merits to have access on HF bands.
> >
> > Could YOU solve one that fast?
> >
> > When the Rubik's Cube came out, I got one and learned to solve it. Once
I
> got
> > my skills up, I could solve it in 60 seconds or less. With a little
> practice, I
> > could get back to that level of skill.
> >
> > However, I used engineering methods to work out the solution.
> >

> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
>

2457. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 00:32:37 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728203237.06761.00001893@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50271

>so you shouldn't mind Len's comments either.

Could care less about Lens comments

2458. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 02:13:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0x119.8552$pg2.710214@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50272

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uk928oc60s281@corp.supernews.com...
> Heck, I'd just buy a can of red, blue, and yellow paint. Voilla! 10
> seconds and the cube is 'fixed' (if not solved). It ain't the size of
the
> ship, it's the motion through the ocean!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

There is the story of the Math major, Physics Major, and Business major who applied for the same job. In the pre-HR days the Personnel Mgr told them he could not select among them so he gave them each a ball of string, a lead weight, and a stopwatch and said that the one who could tell him the height of the building the closest would win the job.

Pretty soon the Physics major came back and announced the building was 246 feet high give or take 3 feet. Right behind him came the math major who announced it to be 247 feet give or take one. Seems one had simply dropped the weight over the side and timed it, the other had built a pendulum.

Then the business major said this building is 246 feet, 7 3/4 inches high. 'That's right!' said the personnel guy, how did you do it? Simple, said the candidate, I went downstairs and bribed the maintenence guy with the stop watch to give me a look at the plans.

Dick NBK

2459. Asking an Honest Qurstion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 03:25:05 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <Hw219.40248$ND5.3977614@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50274

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:34H%8.93>

As Larry tells the NCT's who ask "stupid" questions; Go look it up at the
> FCC or ARRL web site.

I beg to differ. Larry has been very accomodating to providing everyone who asks for a little refresher information on this ng. On the other hand, one N0iMD tends to launch into one of his well known episodes whenever someone asks a question that he (thinks) he knows the answers to but the requester does not. I completely disagree with with you on this post, Brian.

73 de KR4AJ

2460. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 03:25:21 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ai2cib$7m6$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50273

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020728081429.05192.00000196@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <ahvj9f$5hs$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
....
> >I reported onboard DD-781 (a late WW-II destroyer) and the only
> >RBA we had was used for receiving AM broadcasts for shibboard
entertainment.
> >All the HF receivers were R-390 or R-390a. When we went for a complete
> >refurbish over winter of 66/67, some of the R390s were replaced with
> >R-1051s...
> >the first digital frequency synthesized unit I saw. Eventually we
recieved
> >new R-1051s
>
> Whether the R-1051 is an upgrade from the R-390A is a matter of opinion
;-)
> Obviously DD-781 had gone through at least one previous replacement of the
> radio gear

The R1051 was most definetly a totally NEW receiver. All solid
state except for one or two RF preap tupes. Each R-1051 replaced an R-390
which was then removed from the ship.

> >> - While it is claimed that all branches of the US military decided to
> >>eliminate
> >> Morse in 1948, almost 20 years later the capability was still retained
by
> >>the
> >> Navy as a backup.
> >
> >Well morse was at least still being taught to new radiomen when I got
> >out in Jan 70.
>
> Any idea when they finally stopped requiring it for the Radioman ratings?

Nope. I was discharged in Jan 1970 and really had no direct
ongoing contact with it.

> > But, as I've said before, in over 3.5 years on the same
> >ship I never saw morse used except for a drill practice.
>
> I suspect your experience was not unusual in that time frame.
> >
> Thanks for the info, Bill.

Happy do participate.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2461. Re: Asking an Honest Qurstion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 03:42:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rQ219.13722$kv2.28871999@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50275

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:Hw219.40248$ND5.3977614@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:34H%8.93>
>
> As Larry tells the NCT's who ask "stupid" questions; Go look it up at the
> > FCC or ARRL web site.
>
> I beg to differ. Larry has been very accomodating to providing everyone
who
> asks for a little refresher information on this ng. On the other hand, one
> N0iMD tends to launch into one of his well known episodes whenever someone
> asks a question that he (thinks) he knows the answers to but the requester
> does not. I completely disagree with with you on this post, Brian.
>
> 73 de KR4AJ

Dann, beg all you want, Larry isn't about to change his spots or turn over a
new forest. But at least he doesn't direct pornography toward other
RRAPpers as you have tried to do. Just stick to the stupid questions and
you'll not get in trouble with your ISP.

73, Brian

2462. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 03:43:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RR219.13724$fr2.28656929@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50276

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020728203237.06761.00001893@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >so you shouldn't mind Len's comments either.
>
> Could care less about Lens comments

Then what's your problem?

2463. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 03:49:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tX219.13728$Mw2.28965111@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50277

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020721233732.12089.00000256@mb-fc.aol.com...

> Cecil:
>
> Maybe, if anything like that had ever happened, which it hasn't.
> The reality is that Ham Hero nocode Techs are probably more likely
> to cause "emergencies" with loss of life than they are to save lives.
> The cop, fireman, SAR wannabes all gravitate toward the nocode
> Tech ticket, because those HT's look sooo cool on their utility
> belts!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, I thought you had a shack on your hip?

2464. Re: Asking an Honest Qurstion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 03:56:54 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <v_219.40364$ND5.3998559@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50278

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:rQ219.13722$kv2.28871999@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:Hw219.40248$ND5.3977614@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:34H%8.93>
> >
> > As Larry tells the NCT's who ask "stupid" questions; Go look it up at
the
> > > FCC or ARRL web site.
> >
> > I beg to differ. Larry has been very accomodating to providing everyone
> who
> > asks for a little refresher information on this ng. On the other hand,
one
> > N0iMD tends to launch into one of his well known episodes whenever
someone
> > asks a question that he (thinks) he knows the answers to but the
requester
> > does not. I completely disagree with with you on this post, Brian.
> >
> > 73 de KR4AJ
>
> Dann, beg all you want, Larry isn't about to change his spots or turn over
a
> new forest. But at least he doesn't direct pornography toward other
> RRAPpers as you have tried to do. Just stick to the stupid questions and
> you'll not get in trouble with your ISP.

Brian, guess what? You never received materials that would offend your
alleged moral sensibilities. I never got in trouble with my ISP as I did not
violate their TOC's. However, some of the direct ad hominem postings I have
seen on some of your postings not only open you up to Prodigy TOC violations
but actual criminal liability for slander. If you wish, I can reporst them
to this ng whenever I read them, and I will copy Prodigy as well. I will be
constantly vigilant for everything you post. How do you like that? Deal?
After all, TOC's are TOC's and the Law is the Law. For one so "sensitive" to
"immoral" perturbations, I'm sure you you should have no problem with my
volunteered surveilance of your accusations and demeaning comments to anyone
who disagrees with you.
Your Ham Buddy
KR4AJ

2465. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:00:57 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <j2319.40375$ND5.4001328@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50279

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:XUj_8.81

$Z_5.6175989@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
> news:3C7F2058.1E6F4308@att.net...
> > JJ wrote:
> >
> > > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > > As an avid
> > > > electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got a
> > > > Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
> > > > in a couple of hours.
> > >
> > > Wow, you built a code practice oscillator. I guess that is another
> > > one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham. Have
> > > you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
> > > from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
> > > from aluminum by hand? I have. Have you ever homebrewed an swr
> > > bridge, a watt meter, a phone patch, a beam antenna, a linear
> > > amplifier? I have. You have to do those things to be able to call
> > > yourself a real ham. Being able to send and receive Morse code is
> > > child's play.
> >
> > So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> > question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build a
> > dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
>
> Dick, what do you call an Advanced who comes on here and asks if CW can be
> used in the phone band?

Please refrain from such comments as I consider them to be harrassment.
Consider this to be fair warning.
KR4AJ

2466. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:01:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50280

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> >news:pan.2002.07.28.11.56.42.271772.7555@arrl.org...
> >> On Sat, 27 Jul 2002 18:29:16 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> > Go back a couple years and determine if "K4YZ" is attempting to be
> >> > "affectionate" with his form of address to me.
> >>
> >> What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior toward
> >> other people?
> >>
> >Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for their
> >outrageous behavior on RRAP.
>
> Brian, it's just another little nail in the coffin called "double standard."
>
> One cannot say anything bad about the ARRL for any reason
> because they ARE amateur radio. How does everyone know?
> Simple. ARRL says so, therefore it is sacrosanct. :-)
>
> Dick did his military experience going to military school on TV
> production, then confused teleprinter telegraphy with manual
> morse telegraphy and said that national police departments
> had "networks" of [manual] "CW." Before that he claimed
> ignorance of the 1947 paper by Claude Shannon outlining
> the relationship of bandwidth-noise-information-rate which has
> been recognized everywhere else in world communications as
> observable-useable truth and called "Shannon's Law." Then
> he belittled everyone who replied to him expressing disbelief
> at his opinions. Constantly.
>
> Larry has extolled his inherent wonderfulness for years, citing
> himself as a paragon of discipline and motivation and
> accomplishment. During his after-service schooling he
> made much mention in here of his deeds and accomplishments
> as a Human Resources (aka "personnel") student and his
> job offers just waiting for him after graduation and his assured
> future. He has also repeated the urban myth of "new technicians
> not coming up with new state of the art in amateur radio 'as
> promised by them'" (a double-layered myth actually) and then

> never showing any evidence of doing such original work despite
> a long tenure as a licensee. Unlike Dick, Larry does little
> outright belittlement of others by choosing to elevate his own
> self-worth outrageously higher than others...all others are
> belittled de facto by simply existing. Apparently driving a bus
> after achieving soma cum loud grades does things to a mind.
>
> There are others, of course, such as a fairly-well paid employee
> of an pseudo-theological seminary arguing for the divinity of his
> order...the holier-than-thou ex-government employee who has
> clearances and needs to know denied ordinary mortals...the
> unspecified-job, unspecified-employer, morseodist and champion
> of the empty-state technology of ancient times who pretends to
> be an eightysomething guru four decades ahead of himself.
>
> Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> Vanity regulations. :-)

Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me Burke.
I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others is also
questionable. Double :-)

You've also left out some important players: The casual op masquerading
as DXer and the bile-filled non-ham as pretender in the world of amateur
radio.

> Halleluja!

Hiaheah!

Dave K8MN

2467. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:03:08 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <k4319.40381$ND5.4003176@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50281

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:niIX8.5316$%P6.278589777@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020711075634.19575.00002941@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy" descriptive
is
> > >> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"
> >
> > >Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That
would
> > >make him a congenital liar.
> >
> > He could be confused. Are you assassignating his character?
>
> Alzheimers?

I guess you consider this to be funny. Another group of unfortunate people
to make fun of.

2468. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:12:08 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <Lc319.40413$ND5.4007857@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50282

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:SqX09.1961$J9.21917894@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:3d441342_1@newsa.ev1.net...
>
> > Oh, yet new hams and longer-licensed hams *aren't* supposed to "take
> > advantage" of the new licensing structure. Did I say hypocrisy in other
> > post? Larry, you're getting less and less lucid and more and more
> > transparent.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim, in your wildest dreams. I don't wanna see more of Larry than I have
> to.

Another ad hominem attack. Well, this won't violate your TOCs per se but it
does get close to the edge, as you know. Prodigy does not like participation
in flame fests when it involves users' names. Did you have a falling out
with people who enjoy Morse code? Just asking.
73 de KR4AJ

2469. ARRL Love in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:27:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729002724.02933.00000353@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50287

> In article <pan.2002.07.27.13.38.49.291004.18263@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>The same is true of "dishonesty." But when you claim dishonesty you're
>going to be asked to back it up. So, back it up.

I've already archived the back-ups in here in previous repetitions.

I call hypocrisy as dishonest. ARRL claims leadership of [US] ham radio
but their leadership actions seem more to be following what other
countries have done in nurturing technology or achieving more bandspace.
Gave that in another message today.

>> Propagandists "blow smoke" all the time.

>Yes, they do, don't they?

ARRL uses propaganda very effectively. They came out on top as the
national amateur membership organization before WW2 and they
remain with a virtual monopoly on that to this day. It is still
propaganda just as marketing has hype and political arena has spin.

>ARRL produces material intended to introduce people to radio. If you think
>that is directed at radio professionals, you are deluded.

Are professionals undesired? "Now You're Talking!" :-)

>> Not only that, the ARRL pretends to be some sort of governmental
>> surrogate (too strong a word, perhaps) or at least an "assistant to
>> government" in amateur radio regulation.

>I'm not aware of that language ever having been used, but it's certainly
>true that ARRL is active in the regulatory process.

Try not to evoke the Extreme Literalist. Things written in quotes have
to be substitutes for underlines, italics, or bold-face type not yet
available in Internet newsgroups.

The "surrogate" comes from acting the necessary intermediary between
government and the individual citizen...as if the individual cannot go
direct to the government individually. It there a need to have an ARRL
official rulebook concerning Part 97 regulations? Why? Anyone with
Internet access can go directly to the USGPO or FCC sites and obtain
the same regulations. Part 97 is one of the smallest sections in all of
Title 47 CFR. Why use the word "official" at all without denoting it is
official only for the ARRL? It is only COPIED from the government.
Anything stated, printed, etc., by the US government is royalty-free and
the copyright laws don't apply. ARRL could copy the whole of Title

47 CFR if they wanted, say it is "official!" :-)

The "surrogate" comes from ARRL urging amateurs to contact their section managers to make their grievances with the government (on ham radio matters) known. Hams can go directly to the government agencies or their TRUE elected officials; the First Amendment gives them that right. Hey but going to ARRL officials is "official" and saves them from timidity in actually communicating with their government in person. Go to your section managers and not to your elected officials?

No doubt a few do view it that way. Some people wrap tin foil around their heads to keep out the alien rays, too. Both make about as much sense. - JB

>Hey, Len, I saw in ECFS where you had advised the FCC on what to do. Does
>that make you an Orwellian Big Brother?

No, I am just an individual citizen using my First Amendment rights. Big Brother in Newington doesn't appear to like that at all. Too bad.

>So, your complaint is that an introductory book on ham radio is, well,
>introductory?

No. But, coming from a late president of the ARRL, a suggestion to "find out about radio" was in poor taste, borderline civility to an already-working radio-electronics professional.

>I asked for evidence, Len, not more character assasination and baseless
>charges. Maybe the problem is that you just can't tell the difference?

No. You've just been both patronizing and sarcastic. No problem here.

I get the feeling that anything at all not in agreement with ARRL policy, statements, or everything else is "character assassination and baseless charges."

>Uh, Len, CW capability is a throw-in with just about any narrowband radio.
>I'd think that you, a radio expert, would realize that. So why would
>anyone building a narrowband radio for use in the Amateur Radio Service
>leave it out? And since CW is widely used in the ARS, what's wrong with
>publishing designs that implement it?

I don't, haven't billed myself as a "radio expert." I have a lot of experience in many areas of radio...and as a human being...to recognize the above for what it is. "CW" (on-off keying CW) is not a required mode according to the FCC, no more so that any other allocated mode on a band. "Widely" as in "widely used" is subjective and not quantitative. To say "in the ARS" would include the entire amateur bands above 30 MHz because it is not specific to the HF region. You made an unsubstantiated claim which does not appear to be true; i.e., that is dishonesty.

You want to misdirect away from my point that ARRL favors "CW" more than other modes. You do that misdirection by dishonest statements in a tone bordering on character assassination with baseless

charges.

>Would you favor supression of publication of information about a mode
>that's widely used and enjoyed just because you personally dislike the
>mode?

Irrelevant. I neither like nor dislike morse code. I think the morse code test for any amateur radio license is nonsense. I see no technical nor operational merit for morse code modes that favor its use over any other allocated mode. If you personally like the mode, fine. I'm not required to like anything you like.

>I'm not angry, Len, just disgusted. You keep making scurrilous charges and
>refuse to back them up. That leaves me shaking my head, not gritting my
>teeth.

Shake your teeth and grit your head if that makes you feel better. I'm not here for your entertainment as a radio noble like some jester...but that's what you are painting. Others have done the same but wound up as the fool. Join them.

Jon, you are simply unable to take negative criticism of your employer.

ARRL is a big organization with political orientation as well as a business. Sooner or later they are going to have to LIVE with folks who do not agree with them. ARRL and its officials cannot go and alienate individuals or groups by belittling them or making fun of them or whining about "scurrilous charges" or refusing to accept that there IS skepticism of their "honesty."

Remember that ARRL membership is still less than a quarter of all US radio amateurs and has been that way for quite a while. ARRL does not increase its membership by belittling or denigrating non-members...or of assuming patronizing, arrogant airs.

It hasn't increased its membership by using old methods, nor is it getting all it wants from the FCC. Consider that something needs to change to insure survival of the ARRL in the face of rising costs to stay solvent. No doubt attorney fee billing is going up and advertisers are being less generous in buying ad space. Not my problem. I don't have a salary dependent on a membership organization's revenue. Neither am I a member of the ARRL or a licensed radio amateur and the attitudes presented in here are not conducive to changing either.

I don't have a problem with negative criticism. I get that as a matter of course on a remarkable set of character assassinations, baseless charges, scurrilous insults from self-professed "superiors" in radio. I simply answer what I care to answer along with references and sources to prove facts...my opinions are my opinions based on my own observation and evaluation. I don't expect anyone to like them.

I AM having a problem with yourself and others not accepting observations and evaluations, of dismissing them with a royal wave

of the hand as if they do not exist. I can reply to demands for scans of proof of something and understand the demanders refusing to open them up and viewing them, and of making scurrilous charges of their being virus-laden and all other terrible things without bothering to test for those. That's par for this course.

Jon, you've shown a lengthy and tiring example of elements of a Belief System, of inherent honesty in that Belief that does not accept negative criticism. I didn't expect much else. I have other things to do than argue against Big Brother's faithful disciples about Beliefs.

2470. The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:27:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729002728.02933.00000354@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50286

>On Sat, 27 Jul 2002 18:29:16 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <pan.2002.07.27.13.38.49.291004.18263@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>I characterized your arguments, Len, not your person. Don't you know the
>difference?

Yes...I've been doing computer-modem communications since 1984 and
have been a sysop on two BBSs. Actually, I've been on ARPANET
earlier than that but that wasn't from my residence. I think I've seen close
to all the styles of "differences" you allude to, Jon, and I don't agree with
you. Try not to be patronizing.

>Do you think the postings are not coming from a person? Maybe you've been
>debating with an AI system -- and losing!

In some cases I'm almost certain that I've been communicating with
total artificialities! Of rational, civil human beings, that is.

>What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior toward
>other people?

They want the operative double-standard you've heard about. I have one
standard.

>It gives me a chuckle when you ascribe your own behavior to others.

I'm glad you are enjoying this. I find it feigned humor on your part and
really only a slightly-more-civilized denigration. Others on other places
have tried the same thing. It's almost SOP on newsgroups. Sometimes
it is effective...but only to those easily cowed. I'm not easily cowed.

>Changing the subject is your main tactic when you get cornered. Then when
>someone takes you up on the change, you accuse them of having changed the
>subject! (See the CR discussion above.)

I'm sure there are venues for Consumer Union elsewhere. Has Consumer
Reports ever published anything on amateur radio? I really don't know
since I quit subscribing to them years ago. This isn't the Consumer Union
newsgroup.

>> Explain again how using "Jimmie" can be construed as a "personal
>> attack."

>It's intended to belittle, Len, like much of what you post.

If sarcasm against dogma is to be treated as felonious, then I am guilty.
If remarks against conformity are considered uncivil, then I am one with

a civil rights movement.
If one must act the inferior in here, take orders, march in ranks, bow before self-professed "superiors," defer to their deserved noble status, then I lock and load, take aim and hit targets of opportunity that abound.
No problem, SIR. Do you have more targets, SIR?

Okay, then let's see you chastize a fellow amateur extra whose entire newsgroup stock in trade seems to be the belittling others.

I haven't yet been advised on a "proper" form of address for you.
Would you require "sir" as a honorific? Is a salute required?
How about "mister?" [or are you into water sprays?]
I think "your grace" or "your holiness" is a bit stuffy. What do you think? Please advise.

>You would like people to believe that because you think it will detract
>from my arguments. But all it really does is expose your
>pique/irritation/discomfort from being challenged to back up your claims
>with something substantive.

I don't think that Believers would believe the words of a heretic. Some think of my remarks as heresy. I don't think that hardcore fraternal members would tolerate any form of negative criticism of their fraternity.
If you think my opinions are without substance then we have no discussion. I'm sure you do...therefore you are simply lecturing.
No problem, that's a typical technique on newsgroups.

>I'm not interested in that exchange, Len. I routinely skip over the public
>squabble between you and Steve; it's pot and kettle as far as I'm
>concerned, and neither of you are doing yourselves credit.

That's a one-sided non-squabble. I don't have any worries about "credit" or a "credit rating."

>What I am
>interested in is whether you will present any specific evidence of the
>dishonesty with which you have changed ARRL.

No, you don't appear interested in anything of the sort. This isn't a "moot court" where you can be both judge and the prosecution, forbidding "evidence" because you don't like it. I've presented only my opinions, not charges of commission of a felony.

You "want something substantive." Material things have substance. Mental things have no substance. The ARRL has survived and prospered partially on manipulation of intangible ideas, opinions, and some outright propaganda for years. In the advertising business that is called "good marketing." In the political arena it is called "spin."

>I'll explain it to you again, Maybe you'll get it this time. It's a simple
>matter to verify many of the statements made by ARRL. (Do you agree, or
>are you claiming that everything ARRL says is a lie?) With a number of

>verifiable claims on the one hand and not a single instance of a dishonest
>statement on the other, a reasonable person, one not acting on the basis
>of an unchangable prejudice, could only conclude the ARRL is honest.

Ooooo...that's a very loaded "explanation!" :-)

Well done. "Unchangeable prejudice" was the crowning touch. :-)

>The same is true of "dishonesty." But when you claim dishonesty you're
>going to be asked to back it up. So, back it up.

Okay, in the same vein or possibly in vain, I could reply that "the lying
ARRL is always honest." :-)

Not good, unpolished, but about as loaded as your own demand. It's
oxymoronic, can use a sniff from an oxy bottle. I don't have all day
to argue the subject, prepare a tort or have a tart type it up.. This
isn't even moot court with some rules of evidence. My opinions are
based largely on the propaganda output of the ARRL over the years,
many cases of reporting issues plagued by sins of omission of other
parties involved, and always, always the spotlight on the ARRL
being the unswerving, righteous do-gooder organization they always
claim to be.

Yes, the ARRL does indeed do good in some things for members.
ARRL provides a number of good services for members. Those are
described on their website, found in their publications, available from
staunch, believing hardcore members at the slightest hint of disbelief.

At the same time, and for a very long period, the ARRL has engaged
in subtle manipulation of minds that isn't readily shown on a few
newsgroup messages. Firstly, the ARRL has been the only amateur
radio membership organization on the national level for years. But,
notice the reaction of any member (or employee) to a competitor,
however slight, however focused on single issues or even attempting
to "represent" a group of amateurs. The reaction is almost primitive
to cast out the "stranger," to eliminate the usurper. :-)

You've seen it in here. Others have seen similar reactions elsewhere
in other venues. It's almost a "there is but one god..." reaction.

Such a reaction can only have been established by a long period of
being the single organization, having no competitor, yet continually
reminding readers of their "leadership" in sometimes patronizing
tones. Still, over that same period, the ARRL membership remains
at a distinct minority percentage of all licensed radio amateurs.

The argument of many members is that they represent the "elite,"
or simply that they inherently "know what is best for ham radio."
Either one is terribly self-serving self-righteousness. Another
argument is that "amateur radio wouldn't be here today if it
weren't for the ARRL!" That is quite false since there were a
number of "national" membership organizations in the early days

of radio. ARRL managed to survive as dominant prior to WW2
and has remained there through effective marketing of itself.
That marketing includes some sin of omission by not noting the
other competing amateur organizations in the ARRL "official
histories." That's not a "dishonesty" in the marketing or
psychological-warfare areas, if used effectively it ensures survival.

The "...wouldn't be here today" argument is really metaphysical,
involving alternate times-universes that never existed. No one
can predict the future yet so many falsely assure themselves that
the future is predictable by drawing on the past. In other words,
keep the status quo static so that the future is understandable by
being a repetition of what is known. :-)

The argument can be advanced with honesty that ARRL provides
technical information on amateur radio. It does. But so do some
independent publishers and some foreign publishers. The ARRL
seems to survive budget-wise from its publishing arm. QST is,
or should be, self-supporting through advertising sales and the
true demographics of subscribership (entire membership) allow
ad sales to function. Not like independent publishers unaffiliated
with membership organizations. Everything else in ARRL
publications seems available for a price (shipping extra if ordered
from Newington).

As an example, ARRL urges coming to the ARRL for PSK31
information. Yes, ARRL does have quite a bit of it. But PSK31
didn't evolve in the USA but was innovated years before by
Peter Martinez in the UK. Martinez is also the one who
experimented with the Gingell polyphase network for SSB a
quarter century ago and was written up in RSGB's Radio
Communication. QEX had some nice write-ups on that
network nearly two decades later, well-done, but the author
attributed it to another rather than Gingell (corrected on the
author's website). One goes to the ARRL for the new...?

I could cite spread spectrum techniques. The ARRL does have
a book on that. One can find more informative texts elsewhere,
clearer tutorials available through TAPR. Has there been anything
at all on other radio services' use of SS available from ARRL?
The US military land forces SINCGARS family has been
operational for 13 years now, is a frequency-hopper, operates
from 30 to 88 MHz with specifications found on two
manufacturers' websites, public, available to all. It even has
QRP-like features such as manual RF power reduction. It is
common in the US military as a land-forces small-unit portable
communicator. One can only surmise that ARRL knows best
in non-mention due to membership non-interest...?

Ah! ARRL has led the way to a promised new HF band with
a sliver band at LF (suitable only for very narrowband modes).
The "60 meter" band to be, already tested by a selected

few to ascertain its suitability for amateur operation! Yes, as if a 5 MHz band needed testing for suitability in radio. Nearly a half century ago I was operating HF in, above, below the 5 MHz region as a matter of course, something others had done two decades before me. Of course, at a time when valid propagation simulation programs exist, work, and have been proven accurate, are available free from NTIA, there must be a trial to test suitability?!?

The UK and Europe already has its LF amateur bands and those have been allocated for a while now. Where has the ARRL been on requesting similar things? Have they been working the 40m interference situation ongoing now for 23 years? Have they even lobbied for any increase in HF ham bandspace as they say they want in two NTIA future spectrum use reports? I've mentioned and referenced all of those "leadership" actions before...

I'm mentioned a lot of subjects involving ARRL as the "leader" of US amateur radio before. I can repeat some more of what has already been written in here but it's more fun to watch our cat have a hairball or check the progress of my hard disk defrag. Yawn.

2471. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:27:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729002729.02933.00000356@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50284

In article <i9X09.1950$WY7.21552408@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
>news:3D4390CF.F78332A3@att.net...
>> N2EY wrote:
>>
>> > Lenover21's account of how "incentive licensing" came to be is in error. It
>> > borders on being intellectually dishonest.
>>
>> That would be because his intense desire to say anything that would serve to
>> denigrate the Amateur Radio Service and all who participate in it IS intellectually
>> dishonest.
>
>I don't find his remarks denigrating to the amateur radio service. He is
>quite selective about certain types within the amateur radio service to
>denigrate. I am unaffected as I'm not a Snooty Extra Class amateur.
>
>If you find his remarks denigrating, then he has hit his target.

...that's how I got all the high scores at Nellis. :-)

2472. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:27:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729002729.02933.00000355@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50285

In article <4nX09.1958$L8.21857550@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Oh noooooooooo you don't, Lennie! October of 2001 you specifically stated
>> that you were allowing your GROL to "expire"...or have you convieniently
>> "forgotten THAT little misdeed too.???
>
>I thot it was gud 4 life.

Actually, it is, but that wasn't the degenerative point the little barking dog tried to make.

He's a switch-hitter between being an Extreme Literalist Unable To Detect Humor and an obsessive snarly frustrated sort who desperately wants three-up and two-down back on his sleeve again.

I got my First Phone back in March, 1956, and kept renewing it, the FCC sending me new ones along with the old ones. The back carries a list of stations worked at along with dates, attested to by a signature of the station's owner or technical official and a "grade" of Satisfactory or Unsatisfactory. The FCC changed the radiotelephone operator license to eliminate classes with everything combined into a General Radiotelephone Operator License commonly called a "GROL."
No problem, the FCC sent me one of those too. In modern radio communications it is now a mere formality and useful only in a few US radio services. I've never had to use my GROL. Ech...<shrug>
It might as well have been cancelled. :-)

What is pitiable is that the little barking dog opened a new subject thread demanding to see a scan of my license. He got a scan at 300 DPI in private e-mail, says he "deleted it" without viewing it. He got another scan at 150 DPI (smaller file by still quite readable) and hasn't said a thing about it. He'd rather bark little dog obscenities rather than actually get his demands... :-)

2473. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:27:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729002730.02933.00000357@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50283

In article <2BX09.1964$hb.21990509@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
>news:20020723234755.08190.00000722@mb-cu.aol.com...
>> In article <3D3D95EC.DD267788@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
>writes:
>>
>> >> I wouldn't know about that. I've never seen an HT hanging from the
>> >> spacesuit (made in Frederica, DE by ILC) of a NASA PhD physicist
>> >> spaceperson. They usually keep their HT's stowed in an equipment
>> >> case aboard the Space Shuttle or the ISS.
>> >
>> >Just think how smart those nocode Tech PhD physicists would have
>> >been if they had not been dumbed down by getting a ham license.
>> >Too bad the astronauts are all so inferior to you, eh?
>>
>> Cecil:
>>
>> Like I said, as astronauts, they're still PhD's. As hams, unless
>> they are Pre-Restructuring, code tested, and CW-using Extras,
>> they aren't in my league.
>
>Only because as an amateur, you're an idiot.

Let's resolve this. Larrah is an Amateur Idiot.

There, that's settled...

2474. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:47:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D44C8E4.286E626E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50288

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D445961.BBDEF415@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D4371C8.C54065F3@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted
> > > > > anyone.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the
> > > > > effort to
> > > > > > > > > > > > learn
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum.
> > > > > Arbitrary
> > > > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds
> > > > > arbitrary
> > > > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > > > > > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for
> > > success.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with additional
> > > > > > > frequencies.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Why not?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass, you
> > > aren't
> > > > > > > > > > > > rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did
> pass,
> > > you
> > > > > > > > > > > > do receive additional spectrum or privileges.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And I've
> > > previously
> > > > > > > > > > > stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not envision
> how
> > > a
> > > > > > > > person
> > > > > > > > > > > > can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > About the only way I could see that happening is if you didn't
> pass
> > > ALL
> > > > > > > > > > the required exams. If you didn't pass all of the required exams,
> you
> > > > > > > > > > weren't rewarded. Those who pass all the exams ARE rewarded.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > (Lack of comment noted)
> > > > > >
> > > > > Lack of insight noted.
> > >
> > My insight tells me that you blew smoke. You didn't pass all the
> > required exams or you would have been rewarded. That you didn't pass
> > rests on you, not on the person making note of it.
>
> Noted.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9,
> /KH2,
> > > and
> > > > > > > > /T5?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> You tell us. You commented one time about what

> you
> > > > > considered
> > > > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > be
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if
> you
> > > can
> > > > > be
> > > > > > > as
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've
> been
> > > in
> > > > > other
> > > > > > > > > things.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > There you have it.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > How is that wrong?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > How is that a description of DXing?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Should I chant or face the East or something?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask
> me
> > > > > > > > > questions.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > But I am, and I do. So answer the question.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > You need to verify that you know.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I need to verify to you that I know? I'll let my country count speak
> to
> > > > > that in reference to my operation from American soil. As to my
> > > > > operations abroad, feel free to check any of the online DX newsletters
> > > > > or back issues of any magazines containing DX news.
> > > > > > > > Oh, I forgot you were the greatest DXer in the world. Forgive me.
> > > > > > > I've never made that claim. That I am a DXer is documented.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other
> > > countries

> How much of a DXer are you?

I'm much of a DXer.

> > > > > > > > > > > authorizations
> > > > > > > > > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm
> just
> > > > > supposed
> > > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > tune
> > > > > > > > > > from
> > > > > > > > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble
> > > across
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > ones I
> > > > > > > > > > need.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and
> 160
> > > meter
> > > > > > > > > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted
> to
> > > look
> > > > > up 80
> > > > > > > > > > and 160 allocations.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget
> them
> > > when
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > someone out of band tries to caontact me?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up
> allocations
> > > in
> > > > > > > order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those
> you
> > > > > don't
> > > > > > > > wish to contact.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes that is correct.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You seemed confused.
> > > > > >
> > > > > No, I am not confused. If I know the target countries operating
> > > priveleges,
> > > > > > then I know where to look for them. And should the French amateurs
> try
> > > to
> > > > > > contact me out of band on 6M, I wouldn't work them because I would

> know
> > > they
> > > > > were out of band.
> > > >
> > > > > I haven't the slightest amount of confusion about this.
> > > >
> > > > Your comments indicated confusion. If you'd like to look up
> allocations
> > > > for each station you contact on each band, you are fee to do that.
> Most
> > > > folks don't do that.
> > >
> > > Why wouldn't you look up the ones that you need? Do you choose to
> remain
> > > ignorant of where they might be, or do you get some joy out of stumbling
> up
> > > and down the bands hoping they might appear one day?
> >
> > I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've
> > needed on 80 or 160m.
>
> Previously you've told me that you just find them by tuning about. Now you
> are claiming that you've looked them up. My only confusion is what to
> believe, what you said then or what you are saying now.

Actually, if you'll read some of the above exchange, YOU asked if you
should tune about the band. I wrote "Tuning works". You appear to be
arguing with yourself at this point.

> > > Perhaps they have no authorization where you've been trying to find
> them.
> >
> > I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've
> > needed on 80 or 160m.
> >
> > > Why are you so confused?
> >
> > Probably because I've been dealing with your cryptic material.
>
> Cryptic as in when I look up authorizations its a bad thing indicating that
> I don't know much about DX, or cryptic as in when you look up authorizations
> because that's what a smart DXer does?

Cryptic as in: you seem to be arguing with items which you posted.

> > > > > > > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If
> DXCC is
> > > 100
> > > > > > > > > > countries,
> > > > > > > > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
> > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100
> countries
> > > worked
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have
> any
> > > idea
> > > > > how
> > > > > > > > > > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having only
> one
> > > > > band
> > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could have
> > > done
> > > > > > > > > > something about.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX.
> But
> > > you
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > appear to have a problem with that.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't
> do
> > > that
> > > > > > > > > "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to DX
> > > spots
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in the
> > > U.S.?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it. I've
> > > accepted
> > > > > > > > > that.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I understand if you find my question embarrassing.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I don't find my license class embarassing, nor being and chasing DX
> with
> > > > > > > > that license embarassing.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I find your unethical DXing embarassing. Typical ugly American
> abroad.
> > > > >
> > > > > > That's a tough one, Brian, in light of the fact that I haven't done
> any
> > > > > "unethical" DXing. Your "ugly American" comment has no basis in fact.
> > > >
> > > > You must still be confused. You claimed that you would continue to work
> Frenchmen out of band even after you learned that they were out of band.

> >
> > I need only concern myself with operating where I AM PERMITTED TO
> > OPERATE. I've only made this statement about eight or ten times. Maybe
> > you'll pick up on it by and by.
>
> So you WILL work others who are out of band, even when you know they are out
> of band?

Let's try it again. I wrote "I need only concern myself with operating
where I AM PERMITTED TO OPERATE." Read what I wrote, not what you think
I wrote.

> > > > > > > > > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > > > > > > > > > until I'm an Extra, does it?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its
> territories
> > > and
> > > > > > > > > > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S.
> > > possession.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX country?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Are you saying that Somalia cannot be a DX country because it is not
> a
> > > U.S.
> > > > > > posession?
> > > > >
> > > > > You aren't keeping up. I said Somalia isn't regulated under Part 97.
> > > > > How about HL9 operations? Are they governed by Part 97?
> > >
> > > Still confused? How does being regulated by the U.S. relate to an
> entity being DX or not being DX?
> >
> > I certainly am confused on this one, Brian. Your own comments were
> > "Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX until I'm an Extra, does
> > it?" Part 97 doesn't deal with "being DX" at all unless you happen to
> > be in a U.S. territory or possession.
>
> No wonder you're the greatest DXer in the world.

It's flattering that you think so, Brian but I've already stated that
I've never made such a claim.

> Please tell us what Part 97 has to say about being or working DX.

I didn't initiate the gabble about Part 97 as it relates to being DX.
You did. You wrote: "Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
until I'm an Extra, does it?" I don't believe Part 97 says anything
about being DX or working DX. Part 97 certainly doesn't, as I've

pointed out several times, have anything to do with amateur radio operations from places which are not part of the United States or its possessions/territories. If you are in the United States or its possessions/territories, Part 97 has everything to do with any and all of your amateur radio operation, DXing included.

> What does
> it recommend for me to get my SSB rate up? Does it say I should stay > with the foot switch or should I use VOX?

You claim that you're a DXer. Don't you know? Want some hints on bringing your 40m rate up?--your CW rate?

> I think Part 97 doesn't say squat about DX, but you're free to interpret it
> any way you like.

As far as my operations from Guinea-Bissau, Sierra Leone, Botswana, Finland, the Aland Islands, Market Reef or Tanzania, I didn't have to interpret Part 97 at all. I didn't operate under Part 97 or any other FCC regs. I didn't bring Part 97 up. You did.

> > > As a matter of fact, I don't think the FCC published a DX list. As
> close as
> > > the FCC comes is to publish a list of 3rd party countries.
> >
> > That's right. The FCC doesn't regulate your operation from Somalia or
> > tell you that you can't operate from there with or without an Extra
> > Class ticket either.
>
> As a matter of fact, there was no regulatory authority in Somalia when I was
> there. So what was your point?

We haven't gotten to a need for my point. You first need to make YOUR point. I'm still trying to figure out if you are simply trying to be cute or whether you're really thick.

> > > > > FYI, the USA can be a DX country if you're in the Falkland Islands.
> > > >
> > > > Really? Who knew! BTW, I'm not in the Falkland Islands.
> > >
> > > Yes, really. Does it really matter where you are?
> >
> > Yes, it does matter.
> >
> > You are the greatest DXer ever, wherever you are.
> >
> > If you choose to think so, that's fine by me, Brian. In fairness, I've
> > never made such a claim nor would I do so. I think I can safely make
> > the claim that I know more about DXing than you.
>
> But how much more? Let's quantify it.
>

> On a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 being the most brilliant DXer ever, where
> would you place yourself?

You aren't being fair, Brian. I don't need to compare myself with the
world's most brilliant DXer. I'm dealing with you. Let's compare your
DXing knowledge and abilities with mine. Put me at 100 if you like.
Now where do you fit on the 1 to 100 scale?

> > > > > > > > > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because I
> > > didn't
> > > > > work
> > > > > > > > > > 10,000
> > > > > > > > > > > > of them?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the concept
> of
> > > > > DXing.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > ...not if I go by what you've stated here.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a
> > > pre-conceived
> > > > > > idea
> > > > > > > > > > that whatever I do is wrong.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be
> DXing.
> > > > > > Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges
> between
> > > us.
> > > > > > Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion that
> > > > > > everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about everything
> you
> > > do.
> > > > > >
> > > > > So is Somalia now a US Posession?
> > > >
> > > > That is a response to my statements?
> > >
> > > I did operate in Somalia. What about it?
> >
> > Your stuff isn't even coherent anymore. Your question about Somalia
> > being a U.S. possession was strange. Your added comment is waaaaaay out
> > there.
>
> It was your comment that was incredibly strange. The US government has no
> regulatory authority in Somalia.

I believe I may have pointed that out several times. It's nice to see
that you finally caught on.

> Why did you bring it up inside that
> relationship?

It was in response to your comment on what Part 97 says about being DX.
Tell us how your operation from Somalia related in any way to Part 97.

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > One at a time.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > How do you do it?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't
> you
> > > know?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a time."
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > That's pretty much descriptive of almost any amateur radio QSO.
> > > > > > > > > > Funny--most of the DXers I know have a more complex idea of how a
> > > pileup
> > > > > > > > > > is handled from the DX end.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Most? What of the ones who don't hold your view? Do you make fun
> of
> > > them?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > If they ragchew with G's and consider that to be DXing, I'll be happy
> to
> > > > > poke fun at them. If they believe that the DXCC program is about
> > > > > working 100 countries and then stopping, then yes, I'll make fun of
> > > > > them. If they, like you, would ask a DX station to confirm that he is
> > > > > operating within his assigned allocation and tacks a cute "QSL?" to
> the
> > > > > end of the exchange, then yes, I'd laugh at him.
> > > >
> > > Wow! Dave laughs at DXCC.
> > >
> > No, Dave laughs at Brian.
> >
> > > The greatest DXer of all time laughs at the very
> > > award system that makes it all possible.
> >
> > No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls it DXing.
>

> And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count? You might
> want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility is slipping.

I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My credibility is
intact but I'm still laughing.

> > > The greatest DXer of all time
> > > laughs at all of the little people in DX that makes it all possible.
> > >
> > Who is the greatest DXer of all time? Was it Don Wallace?
> >
> David Heil., Tar Paper Shack, WV.

I've never made such a claim. I am a DXer though so you got part of it
right.

> > > Dave, when I look at the DXCC list, I swear G-land is on there. If I >
> fill it by a 12.73 second QSO vs a 12 minute QSO, what's the > difference to
> you?
> > >
> > No difference, Brian. Let me know about you 12 minute QSOs with the
> > Laccadives, Glorioso, or North Korea. I can hardly wait. It'd be even
> > better if you manage to disrupt a pileup to make them.
> >
> Why would I do something stupid like that?

It fits a pattern.

> > > The DXCC desk counts it, but then you would laugh at them and me.
> > >
> > No, I'd only laugh at you. I promise.
> > >
> > > That smug attitude of yours sure shines through.
> > >
> > Don't forget that you'll be able to hear the peals of laughter--probably
> > without the aid of a radio receiver.
> >
> No doubt. I hear it whenever I drive by the State Hospital for the
> Criminally Insane.

So they know about your DXing prowess too?

> > > > > > > Do you work them two at a time? five?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Divine it from the ether. You're a DXer. You've said so.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I knew there was something magical. You are truly magical.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I've worked 'em at the rate of over 300 QSOs/hr on SSB and over 200/hr
> > > > > on CW. I'm sure that an experienced DXer like you has done much
> better.
> > > >
> > > Dave, you must be confused again. I've never made that claim.

> >
> > I'm deferring to your vast experience, Brian. There's not much that a
> > guy like me could show a master such as you.
> >
> > > 2 or 3 a minute is about as fast as I can write them in my log. The
> Heil
> > > headset and footswitch was a big help.
> >
> > Write them in your log? By hand? Dark ages, man!
>
> But I'm a neat freak. The writing has to be good enough that I can confirm
> them a year later when the cards start rolling in from the buro.

I can see where your neatly penned entries would be faster and cleaner
than entering the material into a computer log. I'm sure that most top
DXers also send their requests for needed countires and expect replies
via the bureau system. That makes lots of sense too.

> > > > > > > > > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend
> QSLing,
> > > you
> > > > > > can't
> > > > > > > > > use
> > > > > > > > > > > > > for operating.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > But I consider it my job.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why
> > > waste
> > > > > > time
> > > > > > > > > in
> > > > > > > > > > > > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think
> rare
> > > > > > > > > stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Someone is a stamp collector?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > My QSL manager of fifteen years isn't a stamp collector. No one
> in
> > > his
> > > > > > > > > family is a stamp collector. Any other guesses?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You pay him handsomely?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You believe that my QSL manager is paid? You sure are a DXer, Brian.

> > >
> > > You should get him to log for you, too. You might get your rate up.
> >
> > How might that be accomplished with the two of us separated by some
> > thousands of miles? Is this another of your DXing secrets?
>
> You could get married and the State Department would pay to move the both of
> you.

Your response carries the same weight as your "the F6 station, please
confirm that you're operating within your allocation. QSL?"

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I did
> > > enjoy
> > > > > > > > > > ragchewing
> > > > > > > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > What is it?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > It is ragchewing.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Tell that to Diego Garcia.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's his call?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Don't know. Why?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that I
> > > > > should
> > > > > > > > > > > direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a door.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Any amateur on Diego Garcia can tell you. You don't need any
> specific
> > > > > > > > amateur on that rock.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Then why'd you ask me to tell something to Diego Garcia? Which
> > > > > > particular amateurs on Diego Garcia would you like for me to enlighten
> > > > > with the fact that ragchewing at distance is not DXing?
> > > >
> > > Any of them. Why?
> >
> > Well, which ones, Brian? Which would know you and why would those
> > persons make such an incorrect statement?
>
> They've probably changed out by now. But why wouldn't the new ones answer such a simple
question?

I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to answer it.
I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not confirm your

silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.

> > > Dave, am I the only amateur that you consider not to be a DXer? Please
> make a list of all the amateurs who work DX that you consider not to be
> DXers.
> >
> > You are not alone. There are many amateurs who enjoy ragchewing with
> > distant stations but who are not DXers.
>
> And their country total is what?

Why not ask them? If ragchewing at distance is what they do, I'd guess
their totals are not high. Maybe, as is your idea, they only work 100
countries in order to qualify for their initial DXCC and then stop.
Maybe they just work 10m SSB. Maybe they simply spend so much time
bitching about their lot in amateur radio that they don't have time to
get on the air much.

> > > You can start with the ones who buy new homes in restricted
> neighborhoods.
> >
> > That'd be a short list. Those guys have a tough enough time ragchewing
> > with domestic hams.
>
> True enough. But go ahead and list them.

List 'em yourself.

> > Dave K8MN
> > "If you were any weaker, you'd be receiving"
>
> If I were using a regen I just might be transmitting.

In any event, the effect would likely be the same.

Dave K8MN

2475. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 04:49:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50289

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > > Kim W5TIT :)
> >
> > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Eloped?

Weren't you supposed to be with them?

Dave K8MN
```

2476. 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 06:37:48 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207282237.3421f141@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50290

Here's a site called "Bob's CB Radio, 10 meter Radio & Antennas".....
http://www.bobscb.com/bobs_cb.htm

Here's an editorial I found on a site for truck drivers........

http://www.layover.com/driverscorner/cbshop/0102.html
The Power Wars Continue
By Stan Wells

The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to add more channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40 channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies from 5 watts to 3.5 watts. Shortly thereafter, the power wars began. It was soon discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was virtually unregulated. This gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy revolution. These radios were easy to convert to 11-meters which is the CB band. Additionally, they had 25 watts of power. They eventually captured nearly half of the sales in the CB marketplace with the addition of many other brand names to the field--some now offering as much as 250 watts of power. The current top seller is the General Lee. This radio is a copy of the Connex 3300 HP with the dual finals. This allows the radio to run both finals at the same time, giving nearly double the power output of the single final radio.

2477. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 06:44:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207282244.597b2755@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50291

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:<dB%09.2070
> >
> > Outstanding. Two individuals, never having achieved any communications
> > experience as military members, agreeing on plans and policies of the US
> > military. :-)
>
> But they sure do sound like highly paid civilian contractors. I'm not sure
> which one is the highest bidder and which one is the lowest bidder.
>
>
The village idiot and the village twistoid team up yet one more time
to bring us the RRAP Abbott & Costello show . . .

2478. Re: The Church of Len, Schismatic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 11:46:09 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.29.11.46.09.129543.12001@arrl.org>
Source record: 50292

On Sun, 28 Jul 2002 10:12:25 -0400, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for their
> outrageous behavior on RRAP.

Again: What does that have to do with Len's behavior toward Jim?

Jon, KE3Z

2479. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 11:49:38 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D452BD2.F06@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 50294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <3D413FCB.5049@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> >yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
> >>
> >> In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> >> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
> >> >Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
> >> >their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
> >> >being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
> >> >the borough.
> >>
> >> They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
> >> assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.
> >
> >I wondered about that myself. But nevertheless it happened. I think that
> >this particular frequency (155.115) is in a "shared" area of the band.
>
> 155.115 MHz is in the PSP and designated "PX" according to the
> following list:
>
> PF--Fire Coordinator
> PH--Highway Maintenance Coordinator
> PM--Emergency Medical Coordinator
> PO--Forestry-Conservation Coordinator
> PP--Police Coordinator
> PS--Special Emergency Coordinator
> PX--Any Public Safety Coordinator, except the Special Emergency
> Coordinator
>
> So if the freq was used for police it can be changed to fire, highway,
> forestry, etc.

Which probably explains why the old police radios ended up in borough
maintenance trucks, and no one got upset about it.

>
> <snip>
> >> >No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would

> >> >be around to notice or care?
> >>
> >> People that monitor their police scanners.
> >
> >Why would people monitor a supposed "dead" frequency?
>
> To see if it's really dead. Some of these scanner 'enthusiasts' aren't
> satisfied with the FCC's listings so they constantly scan for 'secret'
> freqs. Sometimes they get lucky and sometimes they get freeloaders.

I do "band scans" with my scanner from time to time. I don't, as a rule, program dead frequencies into it though. But I guess there are those that do. But your point does underscore the fact that no matter how clever you think you are, when you create your own "private" frequency on the PSB, the more your transmit, the greater the risk that someone will eventually hear you. What happens after that is a crap shoot.

> It's too easy to reprogram many of the older rigs. I think I had an
> old Regency that was already modified with the programming switch
> wired to the front panel, all you had to do was enter your ten freqs
> in the keypad. It even scanned the programmed channels. Come to think
> of it I still have that radio, but it's in pieces right now. Anyway,
> all that was required was to solder one wire to an existing switch.
> That's all. (And before anyone emails me an offer, it only covered 138
> to 151 MHz, no police bands.)

I have a Kenwood UHF handheld that is programmed though the MIC jack via a programmer. The frequency range of that radio is something like 420 - 470 Mhz. I ended up using it on the 440 ham band.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2480. Len, Lies and Videotape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 12:53:51 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.29.12.53.50.740559.12001@arrl.org>
Source record: 50293

On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 00:27:24 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <pan.2002.07.27.13.38.49.291004.18263@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>The same is true of "dishonesty." But when you claim dishonesty you're
>>going to be asked to back it up. So, back it up.
>
> I've already archived the back-ups in here in previous repetitions.
>
> I call hypocrisy as dishonest. ARRL claims leadership of [US] ham radio
> but their leadership actions seem more to be following what other
> countries have done in nurturing technology or achieving more bandspace.
> Gave that in another message today.

So your claim that ARRL is dishonest rests solely on your opinion that it doesn't exhibit leadership. What an incredibly off-the-wall definition of "dishonesty" you seem to have! I say "seem to have" because it's clear that you're now only weakly scrambling for some hook on which to hang your defamatory accusations, having utterly failed to provide any factual support for them and having ducked every question intended to elicit such information.

>>> Propagandists "blow smoke" all the time.
>
>>Yes, they do, don't they?
>
> ARRL uses propaganda very effectively. They came out on top as the
> national amateur membership organization before WW2 and they remain with
> a virtual monopoly on that to this day. It is still propaganda just as
> marketing has hype and political arena has spin.

What does your opinion of ARRL marketing have to do with your false charges of dishonesty?

>>ARRL produces material intended to introduce people to radio. If you
>>think that is directed at radio professionals, you are deluded.
>
> Are professionals undesired? "Now You're Talking!" :-)

Do you think the target audience of "Now You're Talking" is radio professionals? Gee, you ought to see the videotape courses ARRL offers. Those would *really* insult you!

And what does that have to do with your false charges of dishonesty?

>>> Not only that, the ARRL pretends to be some sort of governmental
>>> surrogate (too strong a word, perhaps) or at least an "assistant to
>>> government" in amateur radio regulation.
>
>>I'm not aware of that language ever having been used, but it's certainly
>>true that ARRL is active in the regulatory process.
>
> Try not to evoke the Extreme Literalist. Things written in quotes have
> to be substitutes for underlines, italics, or bold-face type not yet
> available in Internet newsgroups.

Ah, so when you pretend to quote people we should just ignore it. Okay.

> The "surrogate" comes from acting the necessary intermediary between
> government and the individual citizen...as if the individual cannot go
> direct to the government individually. It there a need to have an ARRL
> official rulebook concerning Part 97 regulations? Why? Anyone with
> Internet access can go directly to the USGPO or FCC sites and obtain the
> same regulations. Part 97 is one of the smallest sections in all of
> Title 47 CFR. Why use the word "official" at all without denoting it is
> official only for the ARRL? It is only COPIED from the government.
> Anything stated, printed, etc., by the US government is royalty-free and
> the copyright laws don't apply. ARRL could copy the whole of Title 47
> CFR if they wanted, say it is "official!" :-)
>
> The "surrogate" comes from ARRL urging amateurs to contact their section
> managers to make their grievances with the government (on ham radio
> matters) known. Hams can go directly to the government agencies or
> their TRUE elected officials; the First Amendment gives them that right.
> Hey but going to ARRL officials is "official" and saves them from
> timidity in actually communicating with their government in person. Go
> to your section managers and not to your elected officials?
>
> No doubt a few do view it that way. Some people wrap tin foil around
> their heads to keep out the alien rays, too. Both make about as much
> sense. - JB
>
>>Hey, Len, I saw in ECFS where you had advised the FCC on what to do.
>>Does that make you an Orwellian Big Brother?
>
> No, I am just an individual citizen using my First Amendment rights. Big
> Brother in Newington doesn't appear to like that at all. Too bad.

Considering that ARRL regularly encougages people to contact the FCC
directly with their comments, the above tirade is false on its face. Add
usurpation of government authority to the list of false charges you've
made against ARRL.

>>So, your complaint is that an introductory book on ham radio is, well,
>>introductory?
>
> No. But, coming from a late president of the ARRL, a suggestion to

> "find out about radio" was in poor taste, borderline civility to an
> already-working radio-electronics professional.

And your thin skin about that alleged incident has led you to make false
charges of dishonesty and usurpation against ARRL.

>>I asked for evidence, Len, not more character assasination and baseless
>>charges. Maybe the problem is that you just can't tell the difference?
>
> No. You've just been both patronizing and sarcastic. No problem here.
>
> I get the feeling that anything at all not in agreement with ARRL
> policy, statements, or everything else is "character assassination and
> baseless charges."

Yet another failure to address your false charges of dishonesty and
usurpation against ARRL.

>>Uh, Len, CW capability is a throw-in with just about any narrowband
>>radio. I'd think that you, a radio expert, would realize that. So why
>>would anyone building a narrowband radio for use in the Amateur Radio
>>Service leave it out? And since CW is widely used in the ARS, what's
>>wrong with publishing designs that implement it?
>
> I don't, haven't billed myself as a "radio expert." I have a lot of
> experience in many areas of radio...and as a human being...to recognize
> the above for what it is. "CW" (on-off keying CW) is not a required
> mode according to the FCC, no more so that any other allocated mode on a
> band. "Widely" as in "widely used" is subjective and not quantitative.
> To say "in the ARS" would include the entire amateur bands above 30 MHz
> because it is not specific to the HF region. You made an
> unsubstantiated claim which does not appear to be true; i.e., that is
> dishonesty.

Yet another reckless and unsubstantiated charge of dishonesty!

> You want to misdirect away from my point that ARRL favors "CW" more than
> other modes. You do that misdirection by dishonest statements in a tone
> bordering on character assassination with baseless charges.

Are you planning to ever substantiate these charges or just keep repeating
them endlessly, Goebbels-like?

>>Would you favor supression of publication of information about a mode
>>that's widely used and enjoyed just because you personally dislike the
>>mode?
>
> Irrelevant. I neither like nor dislike morse code.

No, you just have an unreasoning hatred of those who use Morse code!

> I think the morse
> code test for any amateur radio license is nonsense. I see no technical

> nor operational merit for morse code modes that favor its use over any
> other allocated mode. If you personally like the mode, fine. I'm not
> required to like anything you like.

The only license you seem to like is taking license with the truth.

>>I'm not angry, Len, just disgusted. You keep making scurrilous charges
>>and refuse to back them up. That leaves me shaking my head, not gritting
>>my teeth.
>
> Shake your teeth and grit your head if that makes you feel better. I'm
> not here for your entertainment as a radio noble like some jester...but
> that's what you are painting. Others have done the same but wound up as
> the fool. Join them.
>
> Jon, you are simply unable to take negative criticism of your employer.

I'm unwilling to let serious, unfounded charges go unchallenged. Having the unethical nature of your statements of OPINION pointed out may enrage you, but I'm not here to soothe your fragile ego.

> ARRL is a big organization with political orientation as well as a
> business. Sooner or later they are going to have to LIVE with folks who
> do not agree with them. ARRL and its officials cannot go and alienate
> individuals or groups by belittling them or making fun of them or
> whining about "scurrilous charges" or refusing to accept that there IS
> skepticism of their "honesty."

Skepticism unsupported by any evidence or analysis. Mere baseless charges of dishonesty and usurpation against ARRL.

> Remember that ARRL membership is still less than a quarter of all US
> radio amateurs and has been that way for quite a while. ARRL does not
> increase its membership by belittling or denigrating non- members...or
> of assuming patronizing, arrogant airs.
>
> It hasn't increased its membership by using old methods, nor is it
> getting all it wants from the FCC. Consider that something needs to
> change to insure survival of the ARRL in the face of rising costs to
> stay solvent. No doubt attorney fee billing is going up and advertisers
> are being less generous in buying ad space. Not my problem. I don't
> have a salary dependent on a membership organization's revenue. Neither
> am I a member of the ARRL or a licensed radio amateur and the attitudes
> presented in here are not conducive to changing either.
>
> I don't have a problem with negative criticism. I get that as a matter
> of course on a remarkable set of character assassinations, baseless
> charges, scurrilous insults from self-professed "superiors" in radio. I
> simply answer what I care to answer along with references and sources to
> prove facts...my opinions are my opinions based on my own observation
> and evaluation. I don't expect anyone to like them.

I'm still waiting for the "references and sources to prove facts" that support your claims of dishonesty and usurpation against ARRL. When can we expect to see them? Where is your citation of lies published by ARRL? All you've said is that you're insulted because you got a form letter response to a letter you sent 2 decades ago, that you are further insulted because you think that ARRL's introductory material talks down to radio professionals for who it wasn't intended, and that you don't like the fact that ARRL publishes information about CW. There's no dishonesty on the part of ARRL in any of that.

> I AM having a problem with yourself and others not accepting
> observations and evaluations, of dismissing them with a royal wave of
> the hand as if they do not exist.

They do not exist. Only OPINIONS and more malignant, baseless claims of dishonesty and usurpation against ARRL.

> I can reply to demands for scans of
> proof of something and understand the demanders refusing to open them up
> and viewing them, and of making scurrilous charges of their being
> virus-laden and all other terrible things without bothering to test for
> those. That's par for this course.

Sending a copy of your commercial license to Steve and Jim has nothing to do with the exchange between you and me. It also has nothing to do with your false charges of dishonesty and usurpation against ARRL.

> Jon, you've shown a lengthy and tiring example of elements of a Belief
> System, of inherent honesty in that Belief that does not accept negative
> criticism. I didn't expect much else. I have other things to do than
> argue against Big Brother's faithful disciples about Beliefs.

It's too bad you're tired, Len. But you can stop reading any time it gets to be too much for you. If you also stop making charges that are without supporting evidence, I'll have no reason to tire you further.

Jon, KE3Z

2481. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 13:41:26 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.29.13.41.26.521702.12001@arrl.org>
Source record: 50295

On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 00:27:28 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:

>>On Sat, 27 Jul 2002 18:29:16 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <pan.2002.07.27.13.38.49.291004.18263@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>I characterized your arguments, Len, not your person. Don't you know the
>>difference?
>
> Yes...I've been doing computer-modem communications since 1984 and have
> been a sysop on two BBSs. Actually, I've been on ARPANET earlier than
> that but that wasn't from my residence. I think I've seen close to all
> the styles of "differences" you allude to, Jon, and I don't agree with
> you. Try not to be patronizing.

I'm not. If I wanted to be patronizing I'd so something juvenile like, oh,
I don't know, maybe making fun of your name in the article title.

>>Do you think the postings are not coming from a person? Maybe you've
>>been debating with an AI system -- and losing!
>
> In some cases I'm almost certain that I've been communicating with total
> artificialities! Of rational, civil human beings, that is.

But at least you're not patronizing, eh?

>>What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior toward
>>other people?
>
> They want the operative double-standard you've heard about. I have one
> standard.

You standard is that if one person is mean to you, you have cause to be
nasty to other people? What's that you were saying about rational, civil
human beings?

>>It gives me a chuckle when you ascribe your own behavior to others.
>
> I'm glad you are enjoying this. I find it feigned humor on your part
> and really only a slightly-more-civilized denigration. Others on other
> places have tried the same thing. It's almost SOP on newsgroups.
> Sometimes it is effective...but only to those easily cowed. I'm not
> easily cowed.
>
>>Changing the subject is your main tactic when you get cornered. Then
>>when someone takes you up on the change, you accuse them of having

>>changed the subject! (See the CR discussion above.)
>
> I'm sure there are venues for Consumer Union elsewhere. Has Consumer
> Reports ever published anything on amateur radio? I really don't know
> since I quit subscribing to them years ago. This isn't the Consumer
> Union newsgroup.

You're persistent, I'll give you that! Complaining about the presence a
topic that you yourself introduced -- that IS funny, Len. No feigning
needed!

>>> Explain again how using "Jimmie" can be construed as a "personal
>>> attack."
>
>>It's intended to belittle, Len, like much of what you post.
>
> If sarcasm against dogma is to be treated as felonious, then I am
> guilty. If remarks against conformity are considered uncivil, then I am
> one with a civil rights movement.

Oh, my God! Len and Dr. King, arm in arm? "I have a dream, Jimmie boy!"

> If one must act the inferior in here, take orders, march in ranks, bow
> before self-professed "superiors," defer to their deserved noble status,
> then I lock and load, take aim and hit targets of opportunity that
> abound. No problem, SIR. Do you have more targets, SIR?
>
> Okay, then let's see you chastize a fellow amateur extra whose entire
> newsgroup stock in trade seems to be the belittling others.

I've done so before. It doesn't seem to do much good.

> I haven't yet been advised on a "proper" form of address for you. Would
> you require "sir" as a honorific? Is a salute required? How about
> "mister?" [or are you into water sprays?] I think "your grace" or "your
> holiness" is a bit stuffy. What do you think? Please advise.

"Jon" is just fine.

>>You would like people to believe that because you think it will detract
>>from my arguments. But all it really does is expose your
>>pique/irrritation/discomfort from being challenged to back up your
>>claims with something substantive.
>
> I don't think that Believers would believe the words of a heretic. Some
> think of my remarks as heresy. I don't think that hardcore fraternal
> members would tolerate any form of negative criticism of their
> fraternity. If you think my opinions are without substance then we have
> no discussion. I'm sure you do...therefore you are simply lecturing. No
> problem, that's a typical technique on newsgroups.

Is that technique what you learned in your many years of experience in
computer-modem communications?

>>I'm not interested in that exchange, Len. I routinely skip over the
>>public squabble between you and Steve; it's pot and kettle as far as I'm
>>concerned, and neither of you are doing yourselves credit.
>
> That's a one-sided non-squabble. I don't have any worries about
> "credit" or a "credit rating."
>
>>What I am
>>interested in is whether you will present any specific evidence of the
>>dishonesty with which you have changed ARRL.
>
> No, you don't appear interested in anything of the sort. This isn't a
> "moot court" where you can be both judge and the prosecution, forbidding
> "evidence" because you don't like it. I've presented only my opinions,
> not charges of commission of a felony.

You made defamatory statements. But yes, it's true that you're presented
only your own opinions -- no facts to back them up.

> You "want something substantive." Material things have substance.
> Mental things have no substance.

Some do, some don't.

> The ARRL has survived and prospered
> partially on manipulation of intangible ideas, opinions, and some
> outright propaganda for years. In the advertising business that is
> called "good marketing." In the political arena it is called "spin."

What ARRL does is called "providing a service." Your problem with that is
that you don't want the services ARRL provides to exist because you think
that anything that helps Amateur Radio flourish somehow diminishes your
"professional" standing. That's a weird thing for you to believe, but your
repeated attacks on anyone who practices Amateur Radio, and particularly
on anyone -- especially ARRL -- who works to make Amateur Radio stronger
lead to that conclusion.

>>I'll explain it to you again, Maybe you'll get it this time. It's a
>>simple matter to verify many of the statements made by ARRL. (Do you
>>agree, or are you claiming that everything ARRL says is a lie?) With a
>>number of verifiable claims on the one hand and not a single instance of
>>a dishonest statement on the other, a reasonable person, one not acting
>>on the basis of an unchangable prejudice, could only conclude the ARRL
>>is honest.
>
> Ooooo...that's a very loaded "explanation!" :-)

And accurate, to boot.

> Well done. "Unchangeable prejudice" was the crowning touch. :-)

The smileys can't hide the fact that you still have produced no citation
of ARRL untruths upon which to base your OPINION that ARRL is dishonest.

>>The same is true of "dishonesty." But when you claim dishonesty you're
>>going to be asked to back it up. So, back it up.
>
> Okay, in the same vein or possibly in vain, I could reply that "the
> lying ARRL is always honest." :-)
>
> Not good, unpolished, but about as loaded as your own demand. It's
> oxymoronic, can use a sniff from an oxy bottle. I don't have all day
> to argue the subject, prepare a tort or have a tart type it up.. This
> isn't even moot court with some rules of evidence. My opinions are
> based largely on the propaganda output of the ARRL over the years, many
> cases of reporting issues plagued by sins of omission of other parties
> involved, and always, always the spotlight on the ARRL being the
> unswerving, righteous do-gooder organization they always claim to be.

More repeated recitation of the same OPINION, always without specifics, in
the hope that if you throw enough mud, some of it will stick.

> Yes, the ARRL does indeed do good in some things for members. ARRL
> provides a number of good services for members. Those are described on
> their website, found in their publications, available from staunch,
> believing hardcore members at the slightest hint of disbelief.
>
> At the same time, and for a very long period, the ARRL has engaged in
> subtle manipulation of minds that isn't readily shown on a few newsgroup
> messages.

Ah, so subtle that only you and the unidentified and unidentifiable
teeming masses who agree with you can detect it! The rest of us poor saps
are under ARRL mind control! ("Whup-whup-whup" go the black helicopters!)

> Firstly, the ARRL has been the only amateur radio membership
> organization on the national level for years. But, notice the reaction
> of any member (or employee) to a competitor, however slight, however
> focused on single issues or even attempting to "represent" a group of
> amateurs. The reaction is almost primitive to cast out the "stranger,"
> to eliminate the usurper. :-)

What "competitor" are you referring to? Can you cite any examples where
ARRL supressed the formation of another national amateur organization? Oh,
I forgot, citing actual evidence is not in your line!

ARRL's success comes from having been effective at serving amateurs and
Amateur Radio. But to you, the people who believe that are just under ARRL
mind control!

> You've seen it in here. Others have seen similar reactions elsewhere in
> other venues. It's almost a "there is but one god..." reaction.
>
> Such a reaction can only have been established by a long period of being
> the single organization, having no competitor, yet continually reminding
> readers of their "leadership" in sometimes patronizing tones. Still,
> over that same period, the ARRL membership remains at a distinct

> minority percentage of all licensed radio amateurs.
>
> The argument of many members is that they represent the "elite," or
> simply that they inherently "know what is best for ham radio." Either
> one is terribly self-serving self-righteousness. Another argument is
> that "amateur radio wouldn't be here today if it weren't for the ARRL!"
> That is quite false since there were a number of "national" membership
> organizations in the early days of radio. ARRL managed to survive as
> dominant prior to WW2 and has remained there through effective marketing
> of itself. That marketing includes some sin of omission by not noting
> the other competing amateur organizations in the ARRL "official
> histories." That's not a "dishonesty" in the marketing or
> psychological-warfare areas, if used effectively it ensures survival.

I see, it's a sin (albeit not dishonest) for ARRL's official histories to document the history of ARRL rather than of other organizations! Tell me, Len, when you took US history in high school, did you complain that the course didn't discuss the history of Australia, too?

> The "...wouldn't be here today" argument is really metaphysical,
> involving alternate times-universes that never existed. No one can
> predict the future yet so many falsely assure themselves that the future
> is predictable by drawing on the past. In other words, keep the status
> quo static so that the future is understandable by being a repetition of
> what is known. :-)

"Those who do not remember the past are condemned to repeat it."

> The argument can be advanced with honesty that ARRL provides technical
> information on amateur radio. It does. But so do some independent
> publishers and some foreign publishers. The ARRL seems to survive
> budget-wise from its publishing arm. QST is, or should be,
> self-supporting through advertising sales and the true demographics of
> subscribership (entire membership) allow ad sales to function. Not like
> independent publishers unaffiliated with membership organizations.
> Everything else in ARRL publications seems available for a price
> (shipping extra if ordered from Newington).

Untrue. ARRL publishes a Web site that has thousands of informative documents available without cost (along with some that require membership). Shipping is free, too! :-) Or don't you consider the Web a publishing medium?

> As an example, ARRL urges coming to the ARRL for PSK31 information. Yes,
> ARRL does have quite a bit of it.

So, it makes sense to urge people to use the "quite a bit" of information provided, does it not?

> But PSK31 didn't evolve in the USA
> but was innovated years before by Peter Martinez in the UK.

Are you suggesting that ARRL should not provide information on any topic
that it did not invent? Why?

> Martinez is
> also the one who experimented with the Gingell polyphase network for SSB
> a quarter century ago and was written up in RSGB's Radio Communication.
> QEX had some nice write-ups on that network nearly two decades later,
> well-done, but the author attributed it to another rather than Gingell
> (corrected on the author's website). One goes to the ARRL for the
> new...?

Peter was also the principal mover behind getting SITOR introduced into
the ARS as AMTOR. What's you point?

> I could cite spread spectrum techniques. The ARRL does have a book on
> that. One can find more informative texts elsewhere, clearer tutorials
> available through TAPR. Has there been anything at all on other radio
> services' use of SS available from ARRL? The US military land forces
> SINCGARS family has been operational for 13 years now, is a
> frequency-hopper, operates from 30 to 88 MHz with specifications found
> on two manufacturers' websites, public, available to all. It even has
> QRP-like features such as manual RF power reduction. It is common in
> the US military as a land-forces small-unit portable communicator. One
> can only surmise that ARRL knows best in non-mention due to membership
> non-interest...?

First you condemn ARRL for publishing information about a mode it didn't
create, then you follow that up by condemning ARRL for NOT publishing
information on military use of a mode! Looks to me like your just in the
condemning-ARRL business, not applying any consistent concept of what ARRL
ought to be doing.

> Ah! ARRL has led the way to a promised new HF band with a sliver band
> at LF (suitable only for very narrowband modes). The "60 meter" band to
> be, already tested by a selected few to ascertain its suitability for
> amateur operation! Yes, as if a 5 MHz band needed testing for
> suitability in radio. Nearly a half century ago I was operating HF in,
> above, below the 5 MHz region as a matter of course, something others
> had done two decades before me. Of course, at a time when valid
> propagation simulation programs exist, work, and have been proven
> accurate, are available free from NTIA, there must be a trial to test
> suitability?!?

Apparently the FCC thinks so since they licensed the experimental
operation. Have you shared your outrage with the FCC?

> The UK and Europe already has its LF amateur bands and those have been
> allocated for a while now. Where has the ARRL been on requesting
> similar things? Have they been working the 40m interference situation
> ongoing now for 23 years? Have they even lobbied for any increase in HF
> ham bandspace as they say they want in two NTIA future spectrum use
> reports? I've mentioned and referenced all of those "leadership"
> actions before...

And, when the fruits of those were pointed out to you, you immediately ducked that issue as well.

> I'm mentioned a lot of subjects involving ARRL as the "leader" of US
> amateur radio before. I can repeat some more of what has already been
> written in here but it's more fun to watch our cat have a hairball or
> check the progress of my hard disk defrag. Yawn.

Yes, Len, you have mentoned a lot of subjects. You keep dredging up the same tired, inconsistent, often incoherent, charges against ARRL. Yawn, indeed.

What really bugs you about ARRL is not is supposed ineffectiveness. No, it's the fact that ARRL is effective, helping to keep Amateur Radio strong and vital. That's just the reverse of what you would like to see. I draw that conclusion from your attacks on the presence and practice of Amateur Radio and amateurs, from your attempt to get the FCC to impose an age limit so that young people can be kept out of Amateur Radio, and from your repeated attacks on the organization that is most effective in ensuring the continued existence of Amateur Radio. That's my OPINION, Len.

Jon, KE3Z

2482. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 15:02:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ai3ldpvtp1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50296

I am aware that ham radio began well below what we now consider HF.

I see the ARRL is putting out "200 meters and down" again. Lots of folks need to read that one.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message news:uk9249cidnku12@corp.supernews.com...
> Dan, I don't want to correct ya, but .... amateur radio began much below HF.
> The important folks wanted that spectrum and gave the hams the 'worthless'
> space. BWAaaahahahaha!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
> \
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:ai1ucm$mh2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I know Jim.....HF is ham radio to me and a lot of other folks. But...I
> also
> > do VHF FM, CW and SSB. I do SSTV, I do PSK31 and RTTY. And I'm slowly
> > working toward a good satellite and maybe EME setup.
> >
> > So, IMHO, HF is where it all began. Hopefully its not where it will all
> > end.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> > news:uk8c9fbqr42498@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Dan, so many people forget that DX is only one facet of amateur radio.
> > > There are the moonbouncy guys, the FM guys (yep, I'm one of those,
even
> > with
> > > the extra. I enjoy the local folks, have talked to England and
> Australia
> > > via the 10 meter port, and the darn thing came in handy when all of
the
> > > telephone lines died at the company one interesting evening), the slow
> > scan
> > > folks, the fast scan folks, and a lot of half-fast scan types (likely
me
> > > included!). We have fun, however ;)

> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ai113r$kp4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > Good point Jim. I don't know if I totally agee about the 'legal'
> > > > signals...hi. But that is not something you can prove unless your
> > sitting
> > > > in the FCC van in front of his house. In anycase I have NEVER had a
> > legal
> > > > limit amplifier in my over 40 years of ham radio.
> > > >
> > > > When the power limit was 1KW DC INPUT I didn't even own one. Now
its
> > > 1.5kw
> > > > PEP output...wow. And my poor little 800 watt output Ameritron
don't
> > even
> > > > compete eh? I do just fine on DX and contesting, mostly barefoot.
> And
> > > at
> > > > times the extra s-unit or so helps.
> > > >
> > > > Real hams only run what is necessary to maintain the communications.
> > The
> > > > ones that don't are, IMHO, just CBers with a ham ticket.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:uk5va42iooe22f@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > And when you state that every state in the USA is a DXCC entity,
you
> > > have
> > > > > conclusively shown that the joke is you. BTW, there are virtually
> no
> > > > > amateurs running in excess of the authorized 1.5 KW for the simple
> > > reason
> > > > > that by giving your call sign, you are quite easily traced. No
call
> > > sign,
> > > > > well, no ticky no washie. Also, many (likely most) amateurs DON'T
> > EVEN
> > > > OWN
> > > > > AN AMP! The guys I know personally around here are simply using
HF
> > > > > transceivers WITHOUT an amp. My guess is that there exists a much
> > > higher
> > > > > percentage of CBers with amps (illegal) than the percentage of

hams
> > with
> > > > > amps (I am talking percentages here - likely there are more CBers
> with
> > > > amps
> > > > > in the USA than there are amateurs in the whole world).
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > Jim
> > > > > "Joker" <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about
> the
> > > > power
> > > > > > used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to
smash
> > > people
> > > > > on
> > > > > > pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is
became
> a
> > > war
> > > > of
> > > > > amps.
> > > > > > The real ham spririt has disapeared, there is no more friendship
> on
> > the
> > > > > > overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because
> there
> > > are
> > > > > too
> > > > > > much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war
"Oh
> he
> > > got
> > > > a
> > > > > > 3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !". That's the spirit now :
to
> > have
> > > > the
> > > > > > bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of
his
> > > shack
> > > > > and
> > > > > > have his picture in QST.
> > > > > > This bad spirit is now totally spreaded by the amp sellers that
> say
> > in

> > > > > their ads
> > > > > > "No time to waste on pile-ups" (i.e "Smash other hams with our
> > amps").
> > > > > > How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF
power
> is
> > > > > limited
> > > > > > to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to
6kW
> on
> > > 6m
> > > > ??
> > > > > It's
> > > > > > evident that the result is not the same.
> > > > > > Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have
understood
> > the
> > > > call
> > > > > > and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you
> have
> > > > > missed.
> > > > > > So where is the merit to have a DXCC ? There is none ... and
when
> > you
> > > > > think that
> > > > > > EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> > > > > > More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >

> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2483. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 15:52:17 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D4564B2.62CAD913@psu.edu>
Source record: 50297

KC8PMX wrote:
>
> Still not sold on the idea of digital cams yet.... Mostly because of the
> media storage issues. If Sony would make that damned Mavica series with a
> Iomega Zip disk drive instead of floppies... I would buy one ASAP.
>
> Ryan

Yeah, I would like a zip drive too. But did you check out the new
Mavica that records on mini-CD's? I tried one and it works well.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2484. Re: Unused frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 16:40:40 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <cee19.12598$Kl6.787797@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50298

Jim Hampton, AA2QA, makes the telephone-line/frequency
analogy:

> ..... You pick up and find me talking with someone else
> - on the line YOU are paying for. Hey, buddy, this
> telephone wasn't being used! You've gotta share it!
> Hey, what is going on here? Now, I contact my other
> buddies in the apartment complex. We all stop paying
> our bills (why should we take a test or pay for a license?
> Ooops, I meant to say "why should we pay for a telephone?).

I think this analogy breaks down when one tries to
equate the hard work earning a license with the privilege
of using a given set of frequencies. The way I read
the situation, you have to be rich enough to pay off
the government to "rent" you radio bandwidth and then rich
enough to hire law-enforcement types to run off any
interlopers that show up. If you can put up the money,
hard work doesn't matter at all. Conversely, hard work
without cash won't get you anything.

I learned this lesson when the broadcast industry lobby
got the FCC to cancel my hard-earned First 'Phone license.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2485. Re: Unused frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 17:17:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukau3tf7aq20c8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50299

Good point; I've been having a few chuckles myself of late, having had a 1st phone license back into the 60s (now, of course, a GROL). We've got a radio station (WBBF-AM) here locally that is (or, rather, was) of the 'oldies' format. Now and then it goes off the air for anywhere from 10 seconds (likely switching pattern in the evening) to HOURS! A week ago it was transmitting something that sounded like far-Eastern music. I tried to look them up in the telephone book. Hmmm ... see whatever broadcasting. Hmmm .. WBEE, WBBF-AM, and WBBF-FM are all the same. No listing. Paid the 75 cents for information. They rang the station. And rang. And rang - oops, there goes call forwarding. A voice answered stating that she'd be out of the office (for a WEEK!). Great broadcasting industry we have. I now have a paid up internet radio account. Nice music.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:cee19.12598$Kl6.787797@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Jim Hampton, AA2QA, makes the telephone-line/frequency
> analogy:
>
> > ..... You pick up and find me talking with someone else
> > - on the line YOU are paying for. Hey, buddy, this
> > telephone wasn't being used! You've gotta share it!
> > Hey, what is going on here? Now, I contact my other
> > buddies in the apartment complex. We all stop paying
> > our bills (why should we take a test or pay for a license?
> > Ooops, I meant to say "why should we pay for a telephone?).
>
> I think this analogy breaks down when one tries to
> equate the hard work earning a license with the privilege
> of using a given set of frequencies. The way I read
> the situation, you have to be rich enough to pay off
> the government to "rent" you radio bandwidth and then rich
> enough to hire law-enforcement types to run off any
> interlopers that show up. If you can put up the money,
> hard work doesn't matter at all. Conversely, hard work
> without cash won't get you anything.
>
> I learned this lesson when the broadcast industry lobby
> got the FCC to cancel my hard-earned First 'Phone license.
>
>
> --

> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona
>
>

2486. Re: Unused frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 22:22:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729182240.03298.00000008@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 50305

In article <cee19.12598$Kl6.787797@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "K7JEB"
<k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>Jim Hampton, AA2QA, makes the telephone-line/frequency
>analogy:
>
>> ..... You pick up and find me talking with someone else
>> - on the line YOU are paying for. Hey, buddy, this
>> telephone wasn't being used! You've gotta share it!
>> Hey, what is going on here? Now, I contact my other
>> buddies in the apartment complex. We all stop paying
>> our bills (why should we take a test or pay for a license?
>> Ooops, I meant to say "why should we pay for a telephone?).
>
>I think this analogy breaks down when one tries to
>equate the hard work earning a license with the privilege
>of using a given set of frequencies. The way I read
>the situation, you have to be rich enough to pay off
>the government to "rent" you radio bandwidth and then rich
>enough to hire law-enforcement types to run off any
>interlopers that show up. If you can put up the money,
>hard work doesn't matter at all. Conversely, hard work
>without cash won't get you anything.
>
>I learned this lesson when the broadcast industry lobby
>got the FCC to cancel my hard-earned First 'Phone license.

If there be lessons to be learned, then it should be that
requirements and regulations must change to meet the
needs of the present, not the past. There's also a need to
remember that territorial imperative personal feelings aren't
programmed into radio regulations. [a toughie for many
folks] In the 46 years since I got my First Phone and
entered into commercial-industrial radio there have been
enormous changes in both the state-of-the-art and in
regulations. Radio as a communications medium is only
about 108 years old and now has almost no resemblance
to what it was at the previous turn of the century.

Regulations on radio are necessary to avoid the spectrum
disputes, interference, and general quasi-piracy fights over
frequency territory characterized by the first quarter-
century of radio use. [while the first US radio regualtory
agency was created in 1912, it wasn't until after WW1 that
a semblance to frequency allocations of today were

made] We should all obey regulations (they are law after all) of today, but we should all recognize that today isn't always applicable to tomorrow or next year.

When I got my "renewal" of a Commercial Radiotelephone license changed from the blue field with a label of "First Class" to the pale yellow of a "General" (no class), I wasn't happy either. My resentment lasted only a few hours. Times had changed. My "hard work in earning that license" corresponded to an inense week of cramming and memorizing regulations (lacking a Q&A book, I was able to borrow the folders for the entire CFR and used that...not recommended at all today). Test day required a two-hour train ride into Chicago in the morning and the same in the afternoon to return with enough time to see a movie before returning. Lots of anxiety for one day, yes, but not a great deal of "hard work" all in all.

What did I get? A nice looking piece of paper attesting that I had met certain government requirements and passed a government test. It would enable me to lawfully "operate" some radio equipment as codified by government regulations in effect on that exam date. Did a "First Class" signify expertise? No. At the time it signified only that I had passed all the test elements, four of them at that time. It was a formality, a glorified merit badge, signifying that I could go ahead and do the really hard work later and, in that case, earn money while doing it. All the "hard work" came later.

The broadcast industry was required to have licensed operators back then and so were all sorts of civilian radio services. The thing was that all those operators really had to learn their particular equipment (not covered on the test) in some detail and follow the regulations. In actual fact the license wasn't needed at all to actually operate the equipment, do maintenance and tests on the equipment, or follow regulations. One had to have the smarts to learn it and do it satisfactorily to receive the pay check (what I call the real test in life). The license was just a legal formality that basically only got one an interview for a job, nothing more than that. With the advancing states of the art in nearly all radio services and the attendant increase in reliability of equipment, it was beneficial to the industry and government to remove the formalities, the redundancies of paperwork. All radio services were still required to meet technical operating regulations. Frequencies, power output, stability, distortion, etc. requirements had to be maintained and were not somehow automatically okay just because an operator had passed a government

test years past.

Stations have to meet technical regulations of the present and whatever they become in the future. That is necessary for mitigation of interference, the primary task of radio regulating agencies. If an agency has allocated certain frequencies to a particular station (or a band of frequencies to a radio service), then that is their "claim" to use, not whether or not they use it (they must not abuse it as codified in law). There is no territorial "claim" to be made by another station or another radio service as if that were real property. The usefulness of knowledge of use or disuse is only good for proposals to change allocations.

Jim Hampton wants to equate radio and the radio spectrum and radio allocations to real property and carrier services provided by others for a fee. That, in my observation-evaluation, fails. The EM spectrum isn't real property that can remain static over many human lifetimes. Radio has changed considerably in its short 108 year existance. Regulations and the accompanying allocations have to change with changing demands.

2487. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 22:22:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729182241.03298.00000009@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 50303

In article <c9ceae5b.0207282237.3421f141@posting.google.com>,
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) writes:

>Here's a site called "Bob's CB Radio, 10 meter Radio & Antennas".....
>http://www.bobscb.com/bobs_cb.htm
>
>Here's an editorial I found on a site for truck drivers........
>
>http://www.layover.com/driverscorner/cbshop/0102.html
>The Power Wars Continue
>By Stan Wells
>
>The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
>Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to
>add more channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from
>23 to 40 channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these
>frequencies from 5 watts to 3.5 watts. Shortly thereafter, the power
>wars began.
<snip>

I will suggest that the "power wars" began rather earlier, back when
most radios were still hollow-state in the early 1960s.

Approximately 1961, a company called General Radiotelephone
opened a manufacturing facility in Burbank, CA, assembling
their own design in a second-floor loft area. Their major product
was a Class D CB transceiver using a TV sweep tube output
stage. The instruction manual packed with each set stated
that the company expected the CB power output limits to be
raised in the future...so they explained that removal of a simple
jumper wire would increase the power output to meet "future
regulation changes." :-)

About 1963 "General Radio" (familiar name used then which
I never liked) planned to improve their company test antenna
with a new tower-mounted vertical. They had perhaps 15 feet
of it erected when the company dissolved/disappeared/went-
bybye. The present leaser of the loft is a sound recording
company contracting to the local movie/TV industry. The
tower section is still in place and can be seen on Burbank
Boulevard a couple blocks east of Hollywood Way. :-)

The General Radiotelephone design was so-so and reliability
in mobile operation questionable (never had one, knew two
that did), the case color definitely non-esthetic two-tone
brown. Their primary attraction was the ability to increase

power by a simple means (for "future changes") back when
the simple licensing was still required and only 23 channels
allocated yet only a few channels were selectable.

2488. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 22:22:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729182244.03298.00000010@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 50304

In article <pan.2002.07.29.13.41.26.521702.12001@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>> you. Try not to be patronizing.
>
>I'm not. If I wanted to be patronizing I'd so something juvenile like, oh,
>I don't know, maybe making fun of your name in the article title.

...such as "Len's Specious Claims" of 26 Jul 02?

...such as "The church of Len" of 27 Jul 02?

...such as "The Church of Len, Schismatic" of 28 Jul 02?

...or the new one, "Len, Lies, and Videotape?"

There's no "maybe" involved, Jon. You have, you did.

>You're persistent, I'll give you that!

Relentless.

>> Okay, then let's see you chastize a fellow amateur extra whose entire
>> newsgroup stock in trade seems to be the belittling others.
>
>I've done so before. It doesn't seem to do much good.

But you are doing so now to a non-amateur. Is that the new way
to interest non-members to become members of the ARRL?

>Is that technique what you learned in your many years of experience in
>computer-modem communications?

Yes. Based on the much older "Do unto others as they have already
done unto you."

>You made defamatory statements. But yes, it's true that you're presented
>only your own opinions -- no facts to back them up.

I've made lots of statements that puncture inflated egos.

I've presented publicly-available facts and resources but the
punctured will not accept them. They cannot accept them.

>What ARRL does is called "providing a service." Your problem with that is
>that you don't want the services ARRL provides to exist because you think
>that anything that helps Amateur Radio flourish somehow diminishes your
>"professional" standing.

An incorrect, scurrilous, baseless lie, Jon. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

My professional standing has already been established. I am retired, I have been published nationally and internationally. I am licensed in civil radio. I have a US patent grant. I accept money for services rendered. No problem.

The ARRL "provides a service" in several ways: It publishes a number of informative periodicals and books which are for sale at a modest profit to the ARRL (about $1.6 million in profit for the year 2000 according to federal tax records). It contacts lawmakers in Washington, DC, to influence laws and regulations according to ARRL policy (a modest $165 thousand in 2000). It offers a number of free services to radio amateurs plus some low-cost benefits to members.

>That's a weird thing for you to believe, but your
>repeated attacks on anyone who practices Amateur Radio, and particularly
>on anyone -- especially ARRL -- who works to make Amateur Radio stronger
>lead to that conclusion.

You are putting your own desires, observations, evaluations as on an equal basis with all of US amateur radio. That's rather impossible since there are over a half million licensed US radio amateurs. If they all thought the same as you then all would be having a "hive mind," a decidedly non-human characteristic. I put your "repeated attacks on anyone who practices amateur radio" into the scurrilous, baseless dumper.

I will readily admit to not being kind, generous, or respectful to those who wish to make US amateur radio into their own image...or to "make it stronger" for their own personal enjoyment. Radio regulations apply to all citizens of the USA.

>More repeated recitation of the same OPINION, always without specifics, in
>the hope that if you throw enough mud, some of it will stick.

Incorrect, scurrilous, baseless lie again. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>Ah, so subtle that only you and the unidentified and unidentifiable
>teeming masses who agree with you can detect it! The rest of us poor saps
>are under ARRL mind control! ("Whup-whup-whup" go the black helicopters!)

Now it's an arrogant attempt at ridicule. Expected.

>What "competitor" are you referring to? Can you cite any examples where
>ARRL supressed the formation of another national amateur organization? Oh,
>I forgot, citing actual evidence is not in your line!

I've never found that the ARRL "suppressed formation" of another national amateur organization. Several were in existance prior to the formation of the ARRL. All but one did not survive. The only one still existing, the Radio Club of America, founded 1909, now has an interest in all of radio, not just amateur radio.

>ARRL's success comes from having been effective at serving amateurs and
>Amateur Radio. But to you, the people who believe that are just under ARRL
>mind control!

Without any competition to gauge "success" the "been effective at
serving amateurs" statement is merely a claim.

The only possible objective gauge of "success" is that it has
survived since founding as a local club in 1914. The other
gauges read only in subjective quanta.

>I see, it's a sin (albeit not dishonest) for ARRL's official histories to
>document the history of ARRL rather than of other organizations! Tell me,
>Len, when you took US history in high school, did you complain that the
>course didn't discuss the history of Australia, too?

A "sin of omission" is a nice tool in the toolbox of influence
peddlers and sales people. Emphasize the good deeds and
simply don't mention the bad ones, the mistakes, the
competition, or anything else negative. Very SOP. It works
by virtue of its emotional loading, brevity. In the case of
specialized histories over a long period, it give the indication
to readers of the "whole history record" without the reader
having to research the subject (lengthy effort) themselves.

I took US History in college, Jon, and my wife has a Masters
Degree in Education (U of I) with a specialty in US History.
To your non-question question I say "g'day mite."

>Untrue. ARRL publishes a Web site that has thousands of informative
>documents available without cost (along with some that require
>membership). Shipping is free, too! :-) Or don't you consider the Web a
>publishing medium?

The number you quote would be more accurate if changed to
"hundreds," not thousands.

Anyone with Internet access can, at the same time, find tens of
thousands of documents on amateur radio related subjects
available totally free without membership of any kind.

That includes such diverse document types ranging from nearly
all Heathkit radio schematics to copies of the 1994 through 2000
federal income tax forms of the ARRL.

>So, it makes sense to urge people to use the "quite a bit" of information
>provided, does it not?

They can also go to the RSGB sites or the specialty sites for
a PSK31 and see the same thing.

>Are you suggesting that ARRL should not provide information on any topic
>that it did not invent? Why?

Do not misdirect with ridicule using "wife beating" questions.

>First you condemn ARRL for publishing information about a mode it didn't
>create, then you follow that up by condemning ARRL for NOT publishing
>information on military use of a mode! Looks to me like your just in the
>condemning-ARRL business, not applying any consistent concept of what ARRL
>ought to be doing.

Do you feel you must always have input on "concepts of what ARRL
ought to be doing?" Doesn't ARRL have "unpaid" Directors and
section managers and staff, not to mention an ex-salesman
president and an executive-president-for-life to advise on that?

Jonathan Bloom is reported to have earned a year 2000 salary from
the ARRL in the amount of $70,364 plus expense account
allowance of $5,672 plus general benefits of $657. That's a grand
total of $76,693 received from ARRL.

How do you have the temerity to ask for guidance and advice
from retired persons or anyone not employed by ARRL with a
$75K+ salary?

>Apparently the FCC thinks so since they licensed the experimental
>operation. Have you shared your outrage with the FCC?

It would seem the FCC simply got talked into it since HF radio
propagation was pioneered many decades ago. This could
also be a sop to a membership group for purely political
reasons without regard to any technical requirements. With
this experimental grant the selected operators could play at
pioneering the airwaves for real instead of reading about it
happening at a time before most of them were born. :-)

>And, when the fruits of those were pointed out to you, you immediately
>ducked that issue as well.

What "fruits?" Dried-out apples? Totally-black dehydrated
bananas? Anyone would duck garbage thrown at them...

>Yes, Len, you have mentoned a lot of subjects. You keep dredging up the
>same tired, inconsistent, often incoherent, charges against ARRL. Yawn,
>indeed.

Ridicule, belittlement again. Perhaps that comes with the $75K+
salary as a professional amateur.

>What really bugs you about ARRL is not is supposed ineffectiveness. No,
>it's the fact that ARRL is effective, helping to keep Amateur Radio strong
>and vital.

No, that "fact" is simply a claim. It's not substantiable as a
fact except that the ARRL is effective only in maintaining the
ARRL. "Keeping [ARS] strong and vital" is emotionally-loaded
visceral phrasing that works well with a hobby group composed
mainly of white males.

ARRL is just a membership organization whose members are
engaged in a hobby involving radio. It needs more members
and income to keep going (only $11.6 million total revenue
reported in 2000 with a $3.9 million payroll for staff). It has no
competition in other national amateur radio organizations yet
still has a membership less than a quarter of all licensed US
radio amateurs.

>I draw
>that conclusion from your attacks on the presence and practice of Amateur
>Radio and amateurs, from your attempt to get the FCC to impose an age
>limit so that young people can be kept out of Amateur Radio, and from your
>repeated attacks on the organization that is most effective in ensuring
>the continued existence of Amateur Radio. That's my OPINION, Len.

Amazing. One individual citizen made a comment to his
government 3 1/2 years ago and suddenly there is this
dastardly ATTEMPT to IMPOSE! :-) Oh, my, everyone
take up arms to fight the infidel, the heretic, man the
barricades! Negative criticism must be suppressed,
stopped or ridiculed, belittled, made the target of
scurrilous, baseless lies! Can't have that, can you? :-)

Gosh, for a manager type making $75K+ a year at the
ARRL who still needs guidance in what they should do,
that must really be a serious thing!

...somehow I think it isn't conducive to getting new ARRL
members or even encouraging anyone to get a ham license.
But that's my (heretical) opinion as a skeptic, of course. :-)

2489. Re: Asking an Honest Qurstion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 22:22:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729182245.03298.00000011@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 50302

In article <Hw219.40248$ND5.3977614@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Dan Finn"
<djfinn2@bell.net> writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:34H%8.93>
>
>> As Larry tells the NCT's who ask "stupid" questions; Go look it up at the
>> FCC or ARRL web site.
>
>I beg to differ. Larry has been very accomodating to providing everyone who
>asks for a little refresher information on this ng. On the other hand, one
>N0iMD tends to launch into one of his well known episodes whenever someone
>asks a question that he (thinks) he knows the answers to but the requester
>does not. I completely disagree with with you on this post, Brian.

"Please refrain from such comments as I consider them to be harrassment.
Consider this to be fair warning." - KR4AJ

2490. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 22:22:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729182246.03298.00000013@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 50300

In article <RR219.13724$fr2.28656929@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020728203237.06761.00001893@mb-fc.aol.com...
>> >so you shouldn't mind Len's comments either.
>>
>> Could care less about Lens comments
>
>Then what's your problem?

You have to ask? :-) :-) :-)

2491. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 22:22:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729182246.03298.00000012@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 50301

In article <d22b54c3.0207282244.597b2755@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>The village idiot and the village twistoid team up yet one more time
>to bring us the RRAP Abbott & Costello show . . .

"Please refrain from such comments as I consider them to be harrassment. Consider this to be fair warning." - KR4AJ

:-)

2492. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 22:58:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729185804.22104.00000123@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50306

>Subject: Re: Age Limits - WHY?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/27/02 20:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020727202745.12781.00000282@mb-mg.aol.com>

>I've been honest about my descriptions of US Army communications in the
>1952-1960 period. Others have said that I "lied."

Incorrect. No one has said you "lied" about having served in the United States Army.

What we HAVE said is that you have tried to embellish your "war record" by associating yourself with soldiers that wee KIA two to three years before you were in-theater.

AND...we have demanded to know what your rear-area clerk job in an Army radio facility has to do with ANY aspect of Amateur Radio.

>Irrelevant. I've stated that I served in the US Army. Do you know the
>difference between the two?

Do you?

>You are unable to cite any sources other than amateur radio
>publications. You have been given information sources for the rest
>of the radio world in here, yet there is no sign you have accessed
>any of them.

Irelevant. This is a newsgroup for AMATEUR RADIO.

>Find your reference to the "knew that already" statement...let's compare.

I am sure whatever information you "know", Lennie, "mirrors" what you read off the website in order to "know" it.

>>INCORRECT. Pure wishful speculation on your part, driven by your ignorance
>>and anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and prejudice.
>
>NOT "incorrect." I stated an opinion based on observation and evaluation.
>I watched it happen, talked with all sides on that (pro, con, and
>indifferent).

What "sides"...??? Who? When? Where? What radio clubs do you belong to? What Amateur Radio activities have you participated in in the last 5, 10, or 15 years? What Amateur Radio related programs to you belong to?

>I could say, with equal "correctness" that your "corrective comment" is based
>solely on your pro-morse-code bigotry, extreme bias, and having a hissy fit.

>That would be unethical, so I won't say it.

But the basis for HIS opinions comes from PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in the Amateur Radio service. He IS a licensee...He HAS "been there, done that"....

On the otherhand , YOUR opinion is based on nothing more than what you've read from magazines and personal grievences due to your own unwillingess to "get with the program".

If you could at least show us where you were an ACTIVE member of some radio club...participated in Field Day, etc etc, you would have SOME practical frame of reference.

You don't even have that.

>>Do you think the public service contributions of amateur radio are not
>>important?
>
>Not in the overall picture of "public service" communications involving
>public safety, search and rescue.

Then I guess all those accolades from FEMA, ARC, DoS, DoD, etc really eat at you, don't they?

>>INCORRECT. While the immediacy of email and websites did not exist then, all
>>this did was to lengthen the time span required. The percentage of
>interested
>>parties commenting was actually greater back then than for 98-143.
>
>I haven't seen any numbers in those claims.
>
>Personal computers did not exist in the 1960s.

Which only leads me to reinforce my own belief that any/all of your "work" here is nothing more than that gleaned from websites...NO experience...Just passive recitation of others works.

>>FCC got many proposals from the amateur radio community. Some came from
>>individuals, some from other amateur radio groups. At least ten (10) of
>these
>>proposals were taken seriously enough by the FCC to earn RM numbers (RM-378,
>>455, 470, 474, 480, 481, 516, 517, 538, 577). It was from these proposals
>>that the idea of subbands-by-license-class and other details came about.
>
>Where are these documents to be found? Do we all have to go to the Reading
>Room at the FCC to find the official documents? Are they available on other
>government web sites?

Geeeeze, Lennie...

>Where may we confirm this with the US government?

YOU need to ask?

>>And there was no talk of an age requirement for any amateur license.
>
>How many six-year-old licensees were there in the 1960s?

How many of them were cited by the FCC for operating errors?

>When am I to be burned at the stake?

Today wouldn't be too soon. I got a match.....

2493. Re: Inquisition 2 in full Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-29 23:05:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729190519.22104.00000126@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50307

>Subject: Inquisition 2 in full Bloom
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/27/02 18:29 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020727182916.26909.00000341@mb-mo.aol.com>

>>Once you claim someone to be dishonest it becomes about them, in part. I'd
>>be interested to hear the specifics of CR's untruths. I'd also be
>>interested in hearing how you determined that CU knew it was printing
>>untruths.
>
>This is not a forum for Consumer Reports magazine. If you wish to discuss
>Consumers Union or their magazine, I suggest you access the links provided
>by Paul Schleck.

Once again backed into a corner due to his own silly allegations of misconduct without evidence, Lennie tries to duck out by "reminding" us that "...This is not a forum for Consumer Reports magazine".

Sling and Slide Lennie.......Sling's his mud, then slides out before any of it can splatter back on him.

This time he was too slow!

>Go back a couple years and determine if "K4YZ" is attempting to be
>"affectionate" with his form of address to me.

Sure, Lennie! You're my best buddy! Like brothers!

>Explain again how using "Jimmie" can be construed as a "personal attack."

After his numerous requests that you not do so and his adamant refusals to NOT do the same to you, it's reasonable to assume that your intention is to be antagonistic.

Spin, spin, spin, Lennie....You'll just get dizzy.

Steve

2494. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 00:58:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020729205854.15314.00000066@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 50310

In article <3d4411d6$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>Larry's all talk and no action at all, Robert.

That's right, Robert. Kim's better than me. Just ask her.

>He sits behind his AOL
>internet account,

AOL is my "internet account?" Then why am I sending $19.95/month
to magpage.com? (Kim is obviously blissfully unaware that you can
be on AOL without using it for your Internet access.)

>behind his store-bought computer,

That's right -- as opposed to the computer that Kim made out of
pure, raw silicon, some bulk chemicals, a few pounds of copper and
other metals, her own chip manufacturing plant, etc. I'm way too
technically disinclined to do all that. Heck, I even had to buy a nice
new flat-panel monitor -- I just couldn't quite homebrew one out of
my ham radio junkbox!

>in his apartment,

Right. My apartment. I live in an apartment, Robert. This is a crime
in all states except Delaware. Kim's tired of lookng at my picture
every time she goes to the Post Office and passes that clibboard full
of the faces of all Delaware apartment dwellers wanted by the FBI
for subversive apartment living.

>after a day's work driving a bus around

That's right, Robert. I work driving a bus around -- all around the entire
county, transporting elderly, disabled, "special" people who wouldn't
have a life without door-to-door Paratransit service. This is what I do
for a living, but it is a crime in Kim's World.

>and little or no CW work at all on his
>manufactured ham radio

My ham radio gear is manufactured, Robert. Guilty. Call the
non-homebrewer's police -- again!

>through his manufactured antenna;

I have a manufactured antenna, Kim? Cool! Which one? I never knew
this, all this time I've been using this 20 - 10 meter dipole I made out
of 35 cents worth of junk wire! (Over 1,000 CW/digital QSO's on it, and

counting!)

>then gets his rocks
>off by pretending he's anything but equal to the rest of us.

Right. I'm not equal to the rest of you. We're all different, but Kim doesn't grasp *that* concept, either.

>I'd say for attitude alone most of us are far superior hams (and humans) to
>the likes of Larry Roll.

You see, my "attitude" is that ham radio licensing requirements didn't have to be dumbed down, particularly the code tests, so Kim, in her murderous resentment of my having an opinion that she disagrees with, makes her silly digs at me. Isn't she charming?

>I'm pretty sure most people know hypocrisy when
>they see it.

Yes, Kim, unfortunately for you.

>Most people can even pick representative forms of hypocrisy ;)

Yes, Kim, unfortunately for you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2495. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 00:58:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020729205855.15314.00000068@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 50308

In article <3D442B3A.F29AA1DD@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> I'd say for attitude alone most of us are far superior hams (and humans) to
>> the likes of Larry Roll. I'm pretty sure most people know hypocrisy when
>> they see it. Most people can even pick representative forms of hypocrisy
>;)
>>
>> Kim W5TIT :)
>
>Larry's attitude make him inferior to even his despised no-code
>hams.
>

My "attitude" is that I won't rubber-stamp the laziness of the no-coder
whiners who managed to get the licensing requirements of the ARS
dumbed-down to their level. A level at which, BTW, they are still NOT
upgrading in droves! Funny how that worked out, isn't it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2496. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 00:58:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020729205855.15314.00000067@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 50309

In article <3d441342_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> No, I've hardly turned into a Democrat. I work in a closed shop, so Union
>> membership is not a choice, but a necessity. And, fortunately for me,
>this
>> type of work is one of the few where I believe unions still have a valid
>and
>> necessary influence. The work we do does not lend itself to automation,
>and
>> will always require the services of dedicated, hard-working employees.
>And,
>> since the Union mechanism is already in place, I may as well take
>> advantage of it.
>>
>
>Oh, yet new hams and longer-licensed hams *aren't* supposed to "take
>advantage" of the new licensing structure.

I don't know, Kim. Since I've never said that, I can only assume that
you ARE. So, pray tell, why *aren't* new hams supposed to take
advantage of the new licensing structure?

>Did I say hypocrisy in other
>post? Larry, you're getting less and less lucid and more and more
>transparent.

I'd say putting words into people's mouths, and then criticizing that
person for what he allegedly "said," is not necessarily a demonstration
of "lucidity." *Your* agenda, however, is as transparent as ever.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2497. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 01:11:35 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D45E7C7.89A89A54@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50311

Frank Todd wrote:

> [Image]

Next upgrade will have "ANAL" for the "ANL" function..... :-)

Also there will be a knob for adjusting upwards "Violations".

2498. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 01:21:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020729212158.07318.00000019@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 50312

In article <20020728203237.06761.00001893@mb-fc.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>so you shouldn't mind Len's comments either.
>
>Could care less about Lens comments

Hmmm. I can't say I've ever heard a lens make a comment.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2499. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 01:22:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020729212200.07318.00000020@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 50313

In article <20020729002730.02933.00000357@mb-bd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>
>Let's resolve this. Larrah is an Amateur Idiot.
>
>There, that's settled...

Lennie:

Coming from a Professional Idiot, that's nice to know!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2500. Re: Unused frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 01:25:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D46151D.7080908@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50314

Jim Hampton wrote:

> goes call forwarding. A voice answered stating that she'd be out of the
> office (for a WEEK!). Great broadcasting industry we have. I now have a
> paid up internet radio account. Nice music.

In our area, we have maybe 6 stations. There are many times that 3 of them are playing the exact same thing. I think deregulation is going to kill the industry now that it has killed competition.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2501. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 01:28:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D4615E3.6020608@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50315

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> I am aware that ham radio began well below what we now consider HF.
>
> I see the ARRL is putting out "200 meters and down" again. Lots of folks
> need to read that one.

I second that. Its an excellent book, and a very good read. It explains a lot of how we got to where we are now, and ..... oh heck, just read it folks!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2502. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 02:06:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729220651.02372.00000020@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 50316

In article <ai2cib$7m6$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020728081429.05192.00000196@mb-cb.aol.com...
>> In article <ahvj9f$5hs$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>....
>> >I reported onboard DD-781 (a late WW-II destroyer) and the only
>> >RBA we had was used for receiving AM broadcasts for shibboard
>entertainment.
>> >All the HF receivers were R-390 or R-390a. When we went for a complete
>> >refurbish over winter of 66/67, some of the R390s were replaced with
>> >R-1051s...
>> >the first digital frequency synthesized unit I saw. Eventually we
>recieved
>> >new R-1051s
>>
>> Whether the R-1051 is an upgrade from the R-390A is a matter of opinion
>;-)
>> Obviously DD-781 had gone through at least one previous replacement of the
>> radio gear
>
>The R1051 was most definetly a totally NEW receiver. All solid
>state except for one or two RF preap tupes. Each R-1051 replaced an R-390
>which was then removed from the ship.

Sorry if I wasn't clear, Bill.

R-1051 was definitely a much newer design than R-390 or R-390A. Much smaller,
lighter, much less power consumption and simpler to use. Retained the modular
construction but had less mechanical complexity. There was even a transceiver
version.

My comment addressed the fact that some of the performance specs of the R-1051
were quite below those of the R-390 and R-390A (various dynamic range figures,
for example).

>> >Well morse was at least still being taught to new radiomen when I got
>> >out in Jan 70.
>>
>> Any idea when they finally stopped requiring it for the Radioman ratings?
>
>Nope. I was discharged in Jan 1970 and really had no direct
>ongoing contact with it.

Would be interesting to find out when the various military branches stopped requiring it of all radioman ratings.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2503. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 02:06:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729220655.02372.00000021@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 50317

In article <20020728175916.14319.00000383@mb-bh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020728081428.05192.00000195@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:

>>>I've been honest about my descriptions of US Army communications in the >>>1952-1960 period.
>>
>>Prove it. Why should anyone accept your "honesty" when you don't accept >>theirs?
>
>Most of my references to US military communications have been posted >in here already.

So what? Do you think all websites you cite are 100% accurate and true?

>If you wish to judge those as "lying," "inaccurate," or >"mistaken," then there's no discussion with you possible.

Where have I called anyone a liar?

You have not answered the question, however. Why should anyone accept your "honesty" when you don't accept theirs?

>As far as my personal military service is concerned, the United States >government, the states of Illinois and California, the California counties >of Ventura and Los Angeles have independently checked my military >records and found them to be as I stated.

So? Nobody disputes that you were in the Army. You remind us of it with almost every post.

(lots of irrelevant boring CV stuff deleted)

>I've given other references in here before, including the names and >calls of radio amateurs, but no one seems to have contacted them.

Why should I accept what your friends say as true? You don't accept what my friends say as true.

>I'm not sure of how much "proof" is necessary for you, so I have to >become repetitious (no doubt boring to others) on my explanations. >That's unfortunate but you keep demanding "proof" or make the >following statements -

You have not answered the questionr. Why should anyone accept your "honesty" when you don't accept theirs?

>
>>Not me. I've said you are mistaken about other things.
>
>It seems almost Standard Operating Procedure that, whenever
>I say something in opposition to your opinions, you label them
>"mistaken," "incorrect," go into long diatribes such as "that
>has 'no' relationship to amateur radio." :-)

I simply point out mistakes in your alleged facts and reasoning. Reality exists independent of your acceptance of it.

>
>>>You are unable to cite any sources other than amateur radio
>>>publications. You have been given information sources for the rest
>>>of the radio world in here, yet there is no sign you have accessed
>>>any of them.
>>
>>Why bother to cite sources? You won't accept them anyway.
>
>I will go to sources and examine them if available.

So if it's on a website, it must be true?

>Good research
>into anything requires that. I will not accept opinions as facts and
>treat them as any arguable subject.

You will not even accept facts as facts.

>I will certainly argue alleged
>factual statements which, by design or error, omit the entirety of
>statements so as to misconstrue its meaning (sin of omission).

Well, you sure know about that subject.

>>>This whole thread "started" with a lot of bitching and whining over some
>>>alleged "age discrimination" given in a comment on NPRM 98-143.
>>
>>Not "bitching and whining" but a simple statement of the facts, which prove
>>your prejudice. Where do you get "age discrimination"? Is that a quote? Show
>>your source.
>
>No, sorry, you haven't "proved any prejudice" by bringing up an item
>from my comment on 98-143 back in 1999.

Do you have any evidence that the licensing of young people has caused any problems in the ARS?

>What you re-started was
>a minor flame war over AGE originally ignited by Hans Brakob a few
>years ago.

Are you the judge of what is acceptable and unacceptable discussion in this unmoderated ng? Age limits for a ham license are definitely a policy issue.

You keep saying you want "discussion of the issues" but when someone brings up a valid issue, you have a hissy fit and get all upset because someone disagrees with your Words Of Wisdom.

>My reason for an age limit was given back in 1999 as
>the case of two six-year-olds who passed amateur radio tests.

Ah - so you agree that those two hams did, indeed, pass their tests. Good.

What is the problem, then? How does the fact that two six-year-olds passed the FCC ham license exams justify an age limit of 14 years? (that's what you wanted the FCC to enact).

Do you have any evidence that the licensing of young people has caused any problems in the ARS?

>>>Under 97.1, Title 47 CFR, you will find political statements that relate to
>>>the amateur radio service as "providing a pool of trained operators" for
>the
>>>nation.
>>
>>Put there by whom?
>
>All of the FCC regulations were written by the FCC.

Well, there you have it. FCC put those woids there, so FCC must think they are true and correct. Or are you calling the FCC a bunch of liars?

>>>If the US military did NOT require morse communications then that "pool"
>>>would not need tested ability at morse code.
>>
>>The military is not "the nation"
>
>The United States military is

[expletive deleted]

>well a PART of the United States.

Nobody denies that the military is part of the USA. It's not "the nation" however - just part of it.
>
>A very LARGE part.

Only of the Federal budget. ;-)

>In the middle of year 2000 the strength of the
>US military was 1 1/3 million on active duty throughout the world.
>
The 2000 census showed the US population to be 270 million, if I remember correctly. (You can get all upset over the exact figure). 1-1/3 million represents less than 1/2 of one percent of that.

Is one half of one percent "A very LARGE part"?

>>>>INCORRECT. Pure wishful speculation on your part, driven by your ignorance
>>>>and anti-ARRL/anti-Morse code bias, bigotry and prejudice.
>>>
>>>NOT "incorrect."
>>
>>It's INCORRECT.
>
>Tsk, tsk, petulance again. :-)

Yes, you should stop being so petulant and accept that you are driven by bias,
bigotry and prejudice.

>>How? Were you an FCC employee at the time?
>
>No

Well, there you have it.
>
>>>That is my observation and evaluation.
>>
>>It's incorrect. Like an "observation and evaluation" that the sun goes
>>around the earth.
>
>...or like "morse code will get through when all other modes fail!"

Then you agree that your observations and evaluations are as correct as the
statement "morse code will get through when all other modes fail!"
>
>>>Why don't you be a good little morseman and trot on over to the FCC public
>>>Reading Room? There you can find all the relevant material to your claims
>>>(or against your claims) of who wanted what during the 1960s. DC is a lot
>>>closer to you than to me. :-)
>>
>>In other words, you know I'm telling the facts.
>
>Hardly. I see a lot of chest-thumping and patronizing arrogance
>against someone who would brazenly dare such a renowned
>amateur historian. :-)

Yes, your chest-thumping and patronizing arrogance are obvious to everyone.
>
>I've never been to the FCC's Reading Room, but during the 1960s
>I did subscribe to lots of FCC literature. Back then one could
>subscribe (through the US GPO) to all the FCC radio regulations,
>receive "updates" of each Part as they were made. That was in
>3-ring-binder loose leaf form, convenient when the Regulations
>were small relative to the 1990s. It got to be a bit much after a
>few years, my jobs didn't need all the regulatory information any
>more, so I stopped the subscriptions and tossed the lot.

So? How does that prove or disprove anything?

>>>Sources? The entire output of the trade magazines of the period from the
>>>end of WW2 to time-now...the statements of the FCC itself since they
>>>have put up a website.
>>
>>The FCC had a website in 1965?
>
>No, but one could get subscription service from the USGPO. One
>could also (in this area) drive down to Long Beach and bother the
>surly secretaries down there into reading the latest. Since they
>weren't open on weekends, I went to subscriptions...better than
>subscribing to the Federal Register that contained a whole mess of
>info on things I didn't need. NTIS was fairly new then, beginning in
>their growth of becoming the enormous technical literature source
>they are now. The principal electronics industry periodicals were
>McGraw-Hill's Electronics, a biweekly magazine (I subscribed for
>about a decade), Electronic Design News (to become "EDN" in a
>name change), Electronics Design (still using the same name),
>Defense Electronics, and a couple of newcomers. I was also a
>Barron's subscriber, but more for stock and bond information
>rather than electronic industry stuff. Newsstand periodicals were
>more or less the same as now but with different organization and
>names...Radio and Television News, Radio-Electronics, CQ, 73.
>At big newsstands there might be Radio Communication magazine
>from across the pond. I haven't covered the EIA newsletters or
>High Fidelity or Audio Engineering or the magazine of the NAB.

And these told you all about How Incentive Licensin Came To Be, huh?
>
>>>Certainly in the last four decades, the number
>>>of new radio services have increased considerably more than at any
>>>previous time, plus those existing radio services have changed, added
>>>more and different technologies in their operation. The result was a
>>>substantial workload addition to the FCC's regulatory task.
>>
>>Yet FCC was making the amateur regs more complex all throught the '60s and
>>'70s. For example, FCC made the regs for amateur repeaters quite complex in
>>that time period.
>
>At no time were amateur radio regulations ever as large and complex
>as most of the other Parts.

So? The point is simply that the FCC was making the amateur rules more complex
duting the time period cited.
>
>>>Was there a large national amateur radio membership organization other
>>>than the ARRL in the 1960s?
>>
>>Nope - nor is there one now. But the FCC based much of the structure of
>>incentive licensing on proposals by small roups and individuals.
>
>Of course...you state that as an undeniable fact...as a not-ever
>employee of the FCC. :-)

Nope. I base it on the contents of the various RMs at the time.

>>>I haven't seen any numbers in those claims.
>>
>>98-143: ~2200 comments. US ham population ~675,000. One in 306 hams
>commented.
>>incentive licensing: ~1700 comments. US ham population ~265,000. One in 155
>>hams commented.
>
>Tsk, tsk.

What's your problem?

>More than 2500 documents in the last listing I saw (last year) on
>98-143.

So what? Do the math - 98-143: ~2500 comments. US ham population ~675,000. One in 270 hams commented. incentive licensing: ~1700 comments. US ham population ~265,000. One in 155 hams commented.

Don't strain on those gnats.
>
>>You omitted it because it disproves your claims. The original ARRL proposal
>>of 1963 bears little resemblance to what the FCC enacted. That's a fact.

Not an opinion - a fact.
>
>>>Where are these documents to be found?
>>
>>Look 'em up - you're good at that. Or you claim to be.
>
>Well, I tried to look them up in the FCC ECFS and a general search.
>Unfortunately, I don't have all the time in the world to sit and do the
>endless corrections of your mistakes by endless quoting of the
>regulatory sources...so I asked "where are these documents to be
>found?"

You have more time than I. You look them up.
>
>Should we all do a comprehensive summary of a thousand-plus
>documents on a 30+ year old regulatory matter?

Yes - if you really want to know. I don;t think you really want to know the truth.

>Exactly what would such accomplish?

Not much, because you'd deny the truth even if it showed up and bit you.

>>You have demanded that the FCC require all hams attain the age of 14 before
>>being allowed to have any class of amateur radio license. Yet you have not
>>shown ANY evidence or example of problems in the ARS caused by licensing
>>people younger than 14.
>
>I'm not "demanding" a thing, old-timer.

Sure you are, not-yet-a-newcomer.

>I made a SUGGESTION, a COMMENT back in 1999 and let it sit on public view
>along with other commentors on 98-143.

Comments closed almost a month before you sent your reply comments in. They
"were on public view" only after being scanned into the ECFS.

And when someone did bother to take issue with your reply comments, you got all
petulant and nasty about your "rights" being violated. Poor baby.

>>Your demands were in reply comments to the FCC, buried at the end of a long
>>document and timed so that others would not be able to comment on them
>>within the FCC deadline.
>
>On the contrary. I didn't "time" anything except to TRY to get it done in
>time while occupied by a great number of other, more-important personal tasks.

HAW - that's a GOOD one!

FCC gave a longer-than-usual comment period, during which you authored many
many posts here. No time for comments? That's a real knee-slapper!
>
>Nothing was "buried," everything was out in the open.

At the end of over a dozen pages.

>I submitted the requisite
>number of copies plus a disk with text in an acceptible word processing
>format.

Most people just emailed their comments. New technology and all that. Lots of
us hams submitted that way.

>Everything that I submitted is all accounted for in the FCC's own
>acknowledgement in the public record. It was all sent via USPS registered
>with receipt of delivery returned before the deadline.

Right before the REPLY COMMENTS deadline. FCC classified your submittal as
reply comments.

Reply comments are supposed to be rebuttals of what others have submitted. Most
of what you wrote was reply comments to Mr. Deignan's comments. The
age-requirement demand was at the end of your reply comments, and was not a
reply to anything by anyone. Tsk, tsk, bad form, very bad. Someone who has been
IN RADIO FOR 50 YEARS should know that.....;-)

Proper procedure is to bring up new subjects (like age limits) during the
comment period, not as part of reply comments. FCC procedure makes this quite
clear.
>
>>Why should anyone find you credible when you cannot supply as single reason
>>to enact age limit requirements?
>
>The reason was supplied to the FCC 3 1/2 years ago and remains on public

>view.

I could find no reason in your reply comments to the FCC.

>A picture of two of the reasons is still visible on the ARRL website.

How is the licensing of six-year-olds a problem? Do you have any evidence that the licensing of young people has caused any problems in the ARS?

Jim, N2EY

2504. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 02:14:25 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207300213550.3393-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 50318

On Tue, 30 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
>I've been a Novice since 1982. Somewhere along the way, I lost my
>license ticket. After a lengthy absence from the field, I finally
>wrote the FCC and they furnished a replacement copy.
>
>The only physical tickets I have show me as a Novice from 4/98 through
>10/02, and I just got my Novice renewed which shows 7/02 through
>10/2012. These are the only 2 pieces of paper I have in my
>possession.
>
>Now - I'm planning on taking the Element 2 test. What do I need to
>show to prove I passed the 5 wpm test many years ago to qualify for
>Tech + HF privileges? The 4/98 - 10/02 ticket? I've been reading
>something about April 2000 as a cutoff date, but need more info...

Since your license is still valid, all you need is your current license.

2505. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 02:27:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WPm19.13839$Kl6.842847@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50319

"Erik" <not@this.juncture.net> wrote in message
news:rdsbkuocujsjau89sq1pumcb0bb93fdf1k@4ax.com...
> I've been a Novice since 1982. Somewhere along the way, I lost my
> license ticket. After a lengthy absence from the field, I finally
> wrote the FCC and they furnished a replacement copy.
>
> The only physical tickets I have show me as a Novice from 4/98 through
> 10/02, and I just got my Novice renewed which shows 7/02 through
> 10/2012. These are the only 2 pieces of paper I have in my
> possession.
>
> Now - I'm planning on taking the Element 2 test. What do I need to
> show to prove I passed the 5 wpm test many years ago to qualify for
> Tech + HF privileges? The 4/98 - 10/02 ticket? I've been reading
> something about April 2000 as a cutoff date, but need more info...
>
> Thanks.
> Erik

Some of this takes a theologian to understand but I believe it is like this: When you pass Element 2 you will get a Tech license that - with either of the Novices you have - gives you the Plus privleges. In addition your Novice is proof of the code test forever.

Were you to take the code test today, the Cert would give you Plus privleges forever but could only be used for 365 days toward the General/Extra. So you luck out with the old ticket. See what I mean about needing a theologian?

As of 15 April 2000, no new Novices are issued, but you can renew it as you did.

Might I suggest the following:

1. Clear all of this with the VEs you are testing with. They are closer to the font on this one.
2. Fire up and study for the General. One source of good sample exams is http://www.qrz.com and the whole bloody question pool is at http://www.arrl.org. Costs no more to go first class.

Dick N&#65533;BK

2506. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 03:37:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3D4609BA.4E042946@att.net>
Source record: 50320

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <20020729002730.02933.00000357@mb-bd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >
> >Let's resolve this. Larrah is an Amateur Idiot.
> >
> >There, that's settled...
>
> Lennie:
>
> Coming from a Professional Idiot, that's nice to know!

Larry, were it true, at least you're not making a profession of it as is
Loosie!

2507. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 04:07:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730000701.29531.00000019@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 50321

In article <rdsbkuocujsjau89sq1pumcb0bb93fdf1k@4ax.com>, "Erik"
<not@this.juncture.net> writes:

>I've been a Novice since 1982. Somewhere along the way, I lost my
>license ticket. After a lengthy absence from the field, I finally
>wrote the FCC and they furnished a replacement copy.
>
>The only physical tickets I have show me as a Novice from 4/98 through
>10/02, and I just got my Novice renewed which shows 7/02 through
>10/2012. These are the only 2 pieces of paper I have in my
>possession.
>
>Now - I'm planning on taking the Element 2 test. What do I need to
>show to prove I passed the 5 wpm test many years ago to qualify for
>Tech + HF privileges? The 4/98 - 10/02 ticket? I've been reading
>something about April 2000 as a cutoff date, but need more info...
>

Erik,

Your current license document(s) that show you as holding a Novice class
license are proof of Element 1 credit. Bring them to the VE session.

I suggest that you try Element 3 while you are at the VE session for Element 2.
It's not much harder than Element 2. And if you pass, try Element 4.

You get one try at each test element for a single VE fee, provided you take and
pass them in order (they won't let you try Element 3 until you pass Element 2,
etc.)

73 es Good Luck!

Jim, N2EY

2508. Re: Jon Bloom assuming a Torquemada Role

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 09:00:47 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50322

Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

2509. Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 10:17:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730061706.28360.00000084@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 50323

In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
<k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:

>Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.

NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!

Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?

73 de Jim, N2EY

......mmmmm - Crunchy Frog!.........

2510. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 12:06:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730080649.10086.00000026@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50324

In article <3D437460.873F588E@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <2002725-21157-654989@foorum.com>, Univrsal Brain
><brain@best.net>
>> writes:
>> >
>> >On the contrary, the Rubik's cube test (used by NASA, see the Armageddon
>> >movie)
>> >to select people, is a good approach to verify the ability to solve a
>> >logic mathematical problem in a 3D space, and demonstrates a scientific
>> >brain.
>> >
>> >Anyone able to solve a totally mixed Rubik's cube (6 faces of 3x3) in less
>> >than 30 seconds, merits to have access on HF bands.
>>
>> Could YOU solve one that fast?
>>
>> When the Rubik's Cube came out, I got one and learned to solve it. Once I
>got
>> my skills up, I could solve it in 60 seconds or less. With a little
>practice, I
>> could get back to that level of skill.
>>
>> However, I used engineering methods to work out the solution.
>>
>
>I used the special solution with screwdriver. AKA just pry the damn
>thing apart and reassemble it.
>
Heck, ya don't even need a screwdriver if the cube is turned the right way.

The engineering solution is to find the solution book and learn the solution
techniques. With a little practice it becomes easy to solve.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2511. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 12:06:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730080649.10086.00000027@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50325

In article <d22b54c3.0207282244.597b2755@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>The village idiot and the village twistoid team up yet one more time
>to bring us the RRAP Abbott & Costello show . . .
>
INCORRECT.

Abbott & Costello were funny. At least some of the time.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2512. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 13:15:49 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org>
Source record: 50326

On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:22:44 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <pan.2002.07.29.13.41.26.521702.12001@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>> you. Try not to be patronizing.
>>
>>I'm not. If I wanted to be patronizing I'd so something juvenile like,
>>oh, I don't know, maybe making fun of your name in the article title.
>
> ...such as "Len's Specious Claims" of 26 Jul 02?
>
> ...such as "The church of Len" of 27 Jul 02?
>
> ...such as "The Church of Len, Schismatic" of 28 Jul 02?
>
> ...or the new one, "Len, Lies, and Videotape?"
>
> There's no "maybe" involved, Jon. You have, you did.

How is any of that "making fun of your name?" I used your name AS your
name. Contrast that to the way you've used my last name in some of the
thread titles, such as this one.

>>> Okay, then let's see you chastize a fellow amateur extra whose entire
>>> newsgroup stock in trade seems to be the belittling others.
>>
>>I've done so before. It doesn't seem to do much good.
>
> But you are doing so now to a non-amateur. Is that the new way to
> interest non-members to become members of the ARRL?

What does interesting nonmembers in ARRL have to do with your behavior
toward Jim? More misdirection!

>>Is that technique what you learned in your many years of experience in
>>computer-modem communications?
>
> Yes. Based on the much older "Do unto others as they have already done
> unto you."

That's a disgusting philosophy you've got there.

> I've presented publicly-available facts and resources but the punctured
> will not accept them. They cannot accept them.

You've posted no "publicly-available facts" at all! All you've posted is
your opinions of what motivates people with whom you disagree. Those
aren't facts, by any stretch of the imagination.

>>What ARRL does is called "providing a service." Your problem with that
>>is that you don't want the services ARRL provides to exist because you
>>think that anything that helps Amateur Radio flourish somehow diminishes
>>your "professional" standing.
>
> An incorrect, scurrilous, baseless lie, Jon. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

No, a simple conclusion based on your continued attacks on amateurs and
Amateur Radio.

> My professional standing has already been established. I am retired, I
> have been published nationally and internationally. I am licensed in
> civil radio. I have a US patent grant. I accept money for services
> rendered. No problem.

Your professional experience has nothing to do with Amateur Radio, yet you
continue to trot it out to to try to cow others in discussions of amateur
topics. It's perfectly clear that you find amateur accomplishments
threatening to your self-image.

> I will readily admit to not being kind, generous, or respectful to those
> who wish to make US amateur radio into their own image...or to "make it
> stronger" for their own personal enjoyment. Radio regulations apply to
> all citizens of the USA.

From my observations, you aren't "kind, generous, or respectful" to anyone
who disagrees with you in any particular.

>>Ah, so subtle that only you and the unidentified and unidentifiable
>>teeming masses who agree with you can detect it! The rest of us poor
>>saps are under ARRL mind control! ("Whup-whup-whup" go the black
>>helicopters!)
>
> Now it's an arrogant attempt at ridicule. Expected.

Don't say ridiculous things if you don't want to look ridiculous. Are you
planning to defend your claim that ARRL engages in "subtle manipulation of
minds," or just leave it lying there, looking asinine?

>>What "competitor" are you referring to? Can you cite any examples where
>>ARRL supressed the formation of another national amateur organization?
>>Oh, I forgot, citing actual evidence is not in your line!
>
> I've never found that the ARRL "suppressed formation" of another
> national amateur organization. Several were in existance prior to the
> formation of the ARRL. All but one did not survive. The only one still
> existing, the Radio Club of America, founded 1909, now has an interest
> in all of radio, not just amateur radio.

Then what did you mean when you accused ARRL and its members of trying to "eliminate the usurper" competitors to ARRL?

>>ARRL's success comes from having been effective at serving amateurs and
>>Amateur Radio. But to you, the people who believe that are just under
>>ARRL mind control!
>
> Without any competition to gauge "success" the "been effective at
> serving amateurs" statement is merely a claim.

But, Len, you just pointed out that ARRL DID have competition.

> The only possible objective gauge of "success" is that it has survived
> since founding as a local club in 1914. The other gauges read only in
> subjective quanta.

No, the measure of success is that AMATEUR RADIO has survived and prospered. That is, after all, the goal of ARRL. That it has been achieved so far is demonstrative of ARRL's success. ARRL has played a significant role in that success, despite your unwillingless to admit it.

>>I see, it's a sin (albeit not dishonest) for ARRL's official histories
>>to document the history of ARRL rather than of other organizations! Tell
>>me, Len, when you took US history in high school, did you complain that
>>the course didn't discuss the history of Australia, too?
>
> A "sin of omission" is a nice tool in the toolbox of influence peddlers
> and sales people. Emphasize the good deeds and simply don't mention the
> bad ones, the mistakes, the competition, or anything else negative. Very
> SOP. It works by virtue of its emotional loading, brevity. In the case
> of specialized histories over a long period, it give the indication to
> readers of the "whole history record" without the reader having to
> research the subject (lengthy effort) themselves.

Any reader who thinks that a specialized history is all-encompassing is mistaken. Your assertion that such a history is intended to give readers the idea that it's the "whole history record" is perverse and foolish. ARRL's publications about its own history are just that, and it's only your prejudice against ARRL that leads you to see them as anything else.

> I took US History in college, Jon, and my wife has a Masters Degree in
> Education (U of I) with a specialty in US History. To your non-question
> question I say "g'day mite."

Ask your wife if she considers it a sin of omission that, for example, the memoirs of U. S. Grant don't include a full exploration of the political issues of the Civil War. What trickery!

>>Untrue. ARRL publishes a Web site that has thousands of informative
>>documents available without cost (along with some that require
>>membership). Shipping is free, too! :-) Or don't you consider the Web a
>>publishing medium?
>
> The number you quote would be more accurate if changed to "hundreds,"

> not thousands.

Incorrect. A few moments with a search engine will produce thousands of documents from ARRL's Web site. For example: http://www.google.com/search?q=radio+site%3Awww.arrl.org

> Anyone with Internet access can, at the same time, find tens of
> thousands of documents on amateur radio related subjects available
> totally free without membership of any kind.

So? The claim you were trying to advance, poorly, was that ARRL doesn't provide information except for sale. That claim stands disproven.

> They can also go to the RSGB sites or the specialty sites for a PSK31
> and see the same thing.

So what?

>>Are you suggesting that ARRL should not provide information on any topic
>>that it did not invent? Why?
>
> Do not misdirect with ridicule using "wife beating" questions.

You complained that ARRL published information on PSK31, noting that ARRL didn't originate PSK31 (which nobody has ever claimed it did). What WAS your point?

>>First you condemn ARRL for publishing information about a mode it didn't
>>create, then you follow that up by condemning ARRL for NOT publishing
>>information on military use of a mode! Looks to me like your just in the
>>condemning-ARRL business, not applying any consistent concept of what
>>ARRL ought to be doing.
>
> Do you feel you must always have input on "concepts of what ARRL ought
> to be doing?" Doesn't ARRL have "unpaid" Directors and section managers
> and staff, not to mention an ex-salesman president and an
> executive-president-for-life to advise on that?

You offered the input without solicitation, apparently in the expectation that nobody would point out the contradictions and fundamental emptiness of your opinions. Your expectations were unrealized. The contradicatory nature of your bloviations against ARRL stand exposed.

> How do you have the temerity to ask for guidance and advice from retired
> persons or anyone not employed by ARRL with a $75K+ salary?

Our members (many of whom are retired) provide guidance regularly. They seem to appreciate the opportunity to do so.

You've placed yourself in the odd position of complaining on the one hand that in your OPINION the ARRL leadership runs things only to satify their personal desires, and on the other hand complaining that ARRL solicits input from others! With 180-degree-out inconsistencies like that in your diatribes, is it any wonder that I think your criticisms are based solely

of prejudice and hate-mongering?

>>Apparently the FCC thinks so since they licensed the experimental
>>operation. Have you shared your outrage with the FCC?
>
> It would seem the FCC simply got talked into it since HF radio
> propagation was pioneered many decades ago. This could also be a sop to
> a membership group for purely political reasons without regard to any
> technical requirements. With this experimental grant the selected
> operators could play at pioneering the airwaves for real instead of
> reading about it happening at a time before most of them were born.
> :-)

In other words, no, you haven't complained to the FCC. You're just
throwing more mud, hoping some of it will stick.

>>Yes, Len, you have mentoned a lot of subjects. You keep dredging up the
>>same tired, inconsistent, often incoherent, charges against ARRL. Yawn,
>>indeed.
>
> Ridicule, belittlement again. Perhaps that comes with the $75K+ salary
> as a professional amateur.

Your baseless charges are self-belittling. You have yet to present a
single reference to any verifiable instance of dishonesty on ARRL's part
while repeatedly giving it as your OPINION that ARRL is dishonest. Having
utterly failed to support the charge, your response now is to spew forth
yet again your equally unsupportable mix of complaints that ARRL is too
effective (and yet not effective), publishing information (yet not
publishing information) and is run only for the insiders (yet soliciting
guidance from members). These apparent inconsistencies only resolve
themselves once one realizes that your entire purpose is to attack the ARRL
and Amateur Radio.

>>What really bugs you about ARRL is not is supposed ineffectiveness. No,
>>it's the fact that ARRL is effective, helping to keep Amateur Radio
>>strong and vital.
>
> No, that "fact" is simply a claim. It's not substantiable as a fact
> except that the ARRL is effective only in maintaining the ARRL. "Keeping
> [ARS] strong and vital" is emotionally-loaded visceral phrasing that
> works well with a hobby group composed mainly of white males.

The numbers say otherwise, no matter how you posture.

>>I draw
>>that conclusion from your attacks on the presence and practice of
>>Amateur Radio and amateurs, from your attempt to get the FCC to impose
>>an age limit so that young people can be kept out of Amateur Radio, and
>>from your repeated attacks on the organization that is most effective in
>>ensuring the continued existence of Amateur Radio. That's my OPINION,
>>Len.

>
> Amazing. One individual citizen made a comment to his government 3 1/2
> years ago and suddenly there is this dastardly ATTEMPT to IMPOSE! :-)

Yep. You tried to convince the FCC to use the power of the government to
proscribe youth participation in Amateur Radio.

> ...somehow I think it isn't conducive to getting new ARRL members or
> even encouraging anyone to get a ham license. But that's my (heretical)
> opinion as a skeptic, of course. :-)

That's also your goal, in my OPINION.

Jon, KE3Z

2513. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 14:34:50 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ai685o$lp3$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50327

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org...
> On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:22:44 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <pan.2002.07.29.13.41.26.521702.12001@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> > <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
....
> >>What "competitor" are you referring to? Can you cite any examples where
> >>ARRL supressed the formation of another national amateur organization?
> >>Oh, I forgot, citing actual evidence is not in your line!
> >
> > I've never found that the ARRL "suppressed formation" of another
> > national amateur organization. Several were in existance prior to the
> > formation of the ARRL. All but one did not survive. The only one still
> > existing, the Radio Club of America, founded 1909, now has an interest
> > in all of radio, not just amateur radio.
>
> Then what did you mean when you accused ARRL and its members of trying to
> "eliminate the usurper" competitors to ARRL?

I'm curious too as to any answer to that charge.

> >>ARRL's success comes from having been effective at serving amateurs and
> >>Amateur Radio. But to you, the people who believe that are just under
> >>ARRL mind control!
> >
> > Without any competition to gauge "success" the "been effective at
> > serving amateurs" statement is merely a claim.
>
> But, Len, you just pointed out that ARRL DID have competition.

Additionally, this being a free market economy, if the ARRL did NOT
successfully meet the needs of enough of its membership then
other organizations would have likly sprung up...or the ARRL would simply
have died anyway. Bottom line...while some will disagree with
certain specifics, most hams who are ARRL members see
their membership as beneficial and the ARRL as meeting enough
of their needs to retain their memberships.

One area that recently garnered sufficient "disagreement" with
ARRL was on the morse issue. As a result, several people (including
myself) created No Code International to counter the position
of ARRL as well as all other amateurs that want to retain code
testing. The ARRL made NO effort to stop NCI from forming...
either overtly or covertly to our knowledge.

> > The only possible objective gauge of "success" is that it has survived
> > since founding as a local club in 1914. The other gauges read only in
> > subjective quanta.
>
> No, the measure of success is that AMATEUR RADIO has survived and
> prospered. That is, after all, the goal of ARRL. That it has been achieved
> so far is demonstrative of ARRL's success. ARRL has played a significant
> role in that success, despite your (Len's) unwillingless to admit it.

Works for me.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
Director NCI
Local Gov't Liaison ARRL

2514. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 15:53:20 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D46B671.BD4243BE@psu.edu>
Source record: 50328

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <ahut23$ul6kl$1@ID-153362.news.dfncis.de>, "Rene Altena"
> <rene.altena@gmx.net> writes:
>
> >Nobody EVER managed to solve it in 60 seconds!
>
> Not the first time, anyway. But once you know how to solve it, solution speed
> is mostly a matter of how fast you can manipulate the cube. Serious cubists
> would lubricate the segments with Vaseline to make it faster.

What exactly would be the point in continually "re-solving" the
puzzle? Once you have found the trick, it would get boring reeeal fast.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2515. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 15:59:05 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D46B7CA.7CCA3F5B@psu.edu>
Source record: 50329

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
> <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.
>
> NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!
>
> Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?

Its just that it is so unusaul, Jim! I think this is the first time
anyone disagreed on something in the ng. har! <big grin>

- Mike KB3EIA -

2516. Re: I Want To See It, Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 16:07:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730120701.09299.00000296@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50330

>Subject: Re: I Want To See It, Lennie
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/27/02 14:46 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020727144611.25905.00000271@mb-mt.aol.com>

>I cannot be responsible for those that cannot handle old ZIP-format file
>compression or JPG-format image viewing. Those are in very common
>use by millions of computer users worldwide.

It's got nothing to do with the format, Lennie.

I trashed it without opening it in as much as your other 'response" was
not what you promised .

You lied. (Again...why am I surprised...You promised one thing and
delivered something else instead.)

>For PC users I would recommend...

I don't care WHAT you recommend, and that includes your suggestions about
Morse Code testing.

>It is realized that not all who access this newsgroup have PCs or
>computers with relatively-modern software installations...

It's not about the computers, Your Geezerness...it's about your lack of
integrity. You promised one thing, delivered another. Switch and bait is
illegal in most places.

>Thank you
>all for your patience in this trying matter.

Yes, Lennie, your lying and deceit are very, very trying.

As always.

2517. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 16:09:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730120945.09299.00000297@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50331

>Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 07/29/02 21:22 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020729212200.07318.00000020@mb-mv.aol.com>
>
>In article <20020729002730.02933.00000357@mb-bd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>
>>Let's resolve this. Larrah is an Amateur Idiot.
>>
>>There, that's settled...
>
>Lennie:
>
>Coming from a Professional Idiot, that's nice to know!

Rim shot sounds with a burst of laughter...Score one for Larry.

2518. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 16:14:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730121455.09299.00000299@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50332

>Subject: The ARRL in Bloom
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/29/02 00:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020729002728.02933.00000354@mb-bd.aol.com>

>>I characterized your arguments, Len, not your person. Don't you know the
>>difference?
>
>Yes...I've been doing computer-modem communications since 1984 and
>have been a sysop on two BBSs. Actually, I've been on ARPANET
>earlier than that but that wasn't from my residence. I think I've seen close
>to all the styles of "differences" you allude to, Jon, and I don't agree with
>you. Try not to be patronizing.

The medium by which the comments passed are irrelevant, Your
Selfimpressedness..it's the content. And Jon is still correct.

As for "patronizing", all I can say Is you ahve a lot of nerve suggesting
someone else not be so...

2519. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 16:55:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730125507.09299.00000311@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50333

>Subject: Re: The ARRL in Bloom
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/29/02 18:22 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020729182244.03298.00000010@mb-fg.aol.com>

>>I'm not. If I wanted to be patronizing I'd so something juvenile like, oh,
>>I don't know, maybe making fun of your name in the article title.
>
>...such as "Len's Specious Claims" of 26 Jul 02?
>
>...such as "The church of Len" of 27 Jul 02?
>
>...such as "The Church of Len, Schismatic" of 28 Jul 02?
>
>...or the new one, "Len, Lies, and Videotape?"
>
>There's no "maybe" involved, Jon. You have, you did.

No, He did not.

Re-read what Jon wrote in the first paragraph...."maybe making fun of your name in the article title."

In EVERY ONE of the examples you quote, Jon called you "Len". Exactly what you call yourself.

You were right...thre was NO "maybe" involved. he DIDN'T make fun of your name.

Back to Reading Comprehension 101...Maybe your night school will give you a refund.

>>You're persistent, I'll give you that!
>
>Relentless.

Boring, acutally.

>>You made defamatory statements. But yes, it's true that you're presented
>>only your own opinions -- no facts to back them up.
>
>I've made lots of statements that puncture inflated egos.

The only ego needing deflating is yours, Your Puziness. And it has been. You deserve SOME credit for patching the holes and going back for more, but here ARE some people who enjoy being humilliated publically. Guess you're one of them.

And STILL no facts about allegations of dishonesty at ARRL at any level.
Just more nauseating bile and rhetoric.

>>What ARRL does is called "providing a service." Your problem with that is
>>that you don't want the services ARRL provides to exist because you think
>>that anything that helps Amateur Radio flourish somehow diminishes your
>>"professional" standing.
>
>An incorrect, scurrilous, baseless lie, Jon. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Documented and archived fact, Your Putziness. Sorry.

>My professional standing has already been established.

That kind of "professional standing" would get you 90 days in county
lock-up in most states.

>I have been published nationally and internationally.

Too bad they can't seem to stay in business after they print your stuff,
huh Lennie?

>>That's a weird thing for you to believe, but your
>>repeated attacks on anyone who practices Amateur Radio, and particularly
>>on anyone -- especially ARRL -- who works to make Amateur Radio stronger
>>lead to that conclusion.
>
>You are putting your own desires, observations, evaluations as on an
>equal basis with all of US amateur radio.

No he's not. The results of ARRL's efforts are documented fact. Sorry you
don't agree.

>I put your "repeated attacks
>on anyone who practices amateur radio" into the scurrilous, baseless
>dumper.

You may, but it's still correct...AND archived in USENET.

>I will readily admit to not being kind, generous, or respectful to those
>who wish to make US amateur radio into their own image...or to
>"make it stronger" for their own personal enjoyment.

Anyone who enjoys Amateur Radio WANTS to make it stronger for thier
enjoyment! How could they enjoy it if it were less that what it is or
undermined altogether?

Sheesh...PUTZ!

>>More repeated recitation of the same OPINION, always without specifics, in
>>the hope that if you throw enough mud, some of it will stick.
>
>Incorrect, scurrilous, baseless lie again. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

If it's a(n) "Incorrect, scurrilous, baseless lie..", PROVIDE THE EVIDENCE
OF DISHONESTY, LENNIE!

Failure to do so is only further evidence ofyour own deceit and
dishonesty.

>Without any competition to gauge "success" the "been effective at
>serving amateurs" statement is merely a claim.

In as much as Amateur Radio still exists after numerous attempts by the
Armed Forces and commercial concerns to see it done away with, the "evidence"
is, well, evident!

The efforts of the ARRL to maintain the Amateur Radio service throughout
wars and capitalistic adventures has been monumental. I don't know of any other
organization that has so adamantly defended it's cause in the face of such odds
with such effectiveness.

>The only possible objective gauge of "success" is that it has
>survived since founding as a local club in 1914. The other
>gauges read only in subjective quanta.

There's nothing "subjective" about the facts.

>I took US History in college, Jon, and my wife has a Masters
>Degree in Education (U of I) with a specialty in US History.
>To your non-question question I say "g'day mite."

You should seek a refund and who cares what your wife did? It's obviously
not doing YOU any good.

>Do not misdirect with ridicule using "wife beating" questions.

You still haven't answered mine...

>How do you have the temerity to ask for guidance and advice
>from retired persons or anyone not employed by ARRL with a
>$75K+ salary?

Who needs "temerity"...??? I have far greater respect for ANY man or woman
that seeks guidance from whatever source, rather than try and foist one's self
off as "all-knowing"...Kinda like YOU, Your Ivory Pedestalness.....

One's salary ahs nothing to do with it.

You brag about how much money you have and your material possessions, yet
none of that seems to indicate that you have any common sense nor appreciation
for certain knowledge, facts or experience.

You got lotsa toys that make lotsa noise, but not much else.

>>Apparently the FCC thinks so since they licensed the experimental
>>operation. Have you shared your outrage with the FCC?
>
>It would seem the FCC simply got talked into it since HF radio
>propagation was pioneered many decades ago.

This is cute. The same Lennie that is adamant about how "independent" the
FCC is from ARRL or Amateur Radio personalities now asserting that the FCC "got

talked into" something by those same entities.

Sheeesh....

>This could
>also be a sop to a membership group for purely political
>reasons without regard to any technical requirements. With
>this experimental grant the selected operators could play at
>pioneering the airwaves for real instead of reading about it
>happening at a time before most of them were born.

Or, just like it was said before, it was an effort to determine whether or not Amateur type operations could be conducted with other non-Amateur users of the band.

And the "evidence" of the "experiment" was sufficient to sway FCC staffers to go ahead and allow an NPRM on the creation of the "new" band...???

Hmmm....A group of concerned citizens seeks a change to FCC rules, does the "homework", presents thier findings, and then the FCC finds sufficient reason to at least ask the public what THIER opinion is.......

So far the ONLY problem I see in the whole process is that LENNIE wasn't a part of it, and now needs to FIND a reason to discredit the entire process.

So far, he's FAILED.

>>What really bugs you about ARRL is not is supposed ineffectiveness. No,
>>it's the fact that ARRL is effective, helping to keep Amateur Radio strong
>>and vital.
>
>No, that "fact" is simply a claim. It's not substantiable as a
>fact except that the ARRL is effective only in maintaining the
>ARRL. "Keeping [ARS] strong and vital" is emotionally-loaded
>visceral phrasing that works well with a hobby group composed
>mainly of white males.

Ahhhhh...the race card again. No eal argument...Only his own "emotionally charged" rhetoric.

Facts don't support it, but hey, it keeps him warm at night.

Spin, Lennie, spin...It's the only thing keeping you vertical, I am sure.

>

2520. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 17:20:41 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207300920.4b42da93@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50334

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020730061706.28360.00000084@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
> <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.
>
> NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!
>
> Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> ......mmmmm - Crunchy Frog!.........
>
>
Ya finally got there Jimbo, you're over the edge. I knew this would happen.
>
>
w3rv

2521. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 17:47:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207300947.5bf1c26@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50335

Joker <qrp@radio.net> wrote in message news:<2002727-0516-44363@foorum.com>...
> Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about the power
> used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to smash people on
> pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is became a war of amps.
> The real ham spriirit has disapeared, there is no more friendship on the
> overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because there are too
> much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war "Oh he got a
> 3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !". That's the spirit now : to have the
> bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of his shack and
> have his picture in QST.
> This bad spirit is now totally spreaded by the amp sellers that say in their ads
> "No time to waste on pile-ups" (i.e "Smash other hams with our amps").
> How can you compare a DXCC obtained in FRANCE where the VHF power is limited
> to 120W (for example) with the Italian stations that use 2 to 6kW on 6m ?? It's
> evident that the result is not the same.
> Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood the call
> and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you have missed.
> So where is the merit to have a DXCC ? There is none ... and when you think that
> EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
>
>
Hey dipshit I got a message for you: I have dxcc's on all five primary
bands between 3.5 and 29.7 mHz and I did it all with a pair of
half-shot 572Bs. The plaque is nailed to the wall. I have buddies who
did it with barefoot xcvrs and spanked me plenty. Without packet
spots.
>
>
Climb back under yer wet rock.
>
>
w3rv

2522. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 17:58:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D46B54E.6CB314B7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50336

Brian Kelly wrote:

> >
> Hey dipshit I got a message for you: I have dxcc's on all five primary
> bands between 3.5 and 29.7 mHz and I did it all with a pair of
> half-shot 572Bs. The plaque is nailed to the wall. I have buddies who
> did it with barefoot xcvrs and spanked me plenty. Without packet
> spots.
> >
> >
> Climb back under yer wet rock.
> >
> >
> w3rv

And all that and $.50 will get you a cup of coffee, big deal.

2523. Re: Unused frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 18:06:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukdlcpb27301c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50337

Methinks you are correct. WOKR TV here in Rochester gets its' feed from Syracuse. That station feeds a couple of other stations owned by the same group. The net result is that most of the employees were let go. They have a transmitter guy and staff for the local news. Other than that, it is all remote control. (I worked there for six months in early 72. I left them for Kodak.)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D46151D.7080908@adelphia.net...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
>
> > goes call forwarding. A voice answered stating that she'd be out of the
> > office (for a WEEK!). Great broadcasting industry we have. I now have
a
> > paid up internet radio account. Nice music.
>
> In our area, we have maybe 6 stations. There are many times that 3 of
> them are playing the exact same thing. I think deregulation is going to
> kill the industry now that it has killed competition.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2524. Re: Unused frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 18:20:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h01bss7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50338

On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 01:25:06 GMT, Mike Coslo wrote:

>I think deregulation is going to
>kill the industry now that it has killed competition.

"The public" wanted less government "in their lives" telling the
stations what to do,

They got what they wanted.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2525. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:00:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ai7287$p2a$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50339

Well "JJ" it actually is a 'big deal' to get DXCC on all those bands. Do you have any concept of the time and dedication that took? How much knowledge of radio propagation and skill in operating it took to work those stations. Not to mention the monumental task of actually getting them confirmed?

In otherwords "JJ" it IS A BIG DEAL.

Dan/W4NTI

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3D46B54E.6CB314B7@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > >
> > Hey dipshit I got a message for you: I have dxcc's on all five primary
> > bands between 3.5 and 29.7 mHz and I did it all with a pair of
> > half-shot 572Bs. The plaque is nailed to the wall. I have buddies who
> > did it with barefoot xcvrs and spanked me plenty. Without packet
> > spots.
> > >
> > >
> > Climb back under yer wet rock.
> > >
> > >
> > w3rv
>
> And all that and $.50 will get you a cup of coffee, big deal.

2526. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:02:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <52E19.5801$m31.90674578@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50340

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020729002729.02933.00000356@mb-bd.aol.com...
> In article <i9X09.1950$WY7.21552408@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
> >news:3D4390CF.F78332A3@att.net...
> >> N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> > Lenover21's account of how "incentive licensing" came to be is in
error.
> It
> >> > borders on being intellectually dishonest.
> >>
> >> That would be because his intense desire to say anything that would
serve to
> >> denigrate the Amateur Radio Service and all who participate in it IS
> intellectually
> >> dishonest.
> >
> >I don't find his remarks denigrating to the amateur radio service. He is
> >quite selective about certain types within the amateur radio service to
> >denigrate. I am unaffected as I'm not a Snooty Extra Class amateur.
> >
> >If you find his remarks denigrating, then he has hit his target.
>
> ...that's how I got all the high scores at Nellis. :-)
>

"Bombs on Target" the motto of the now defunct Strategic Air Command.

2527. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:05:03 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <j4E19.15227$Kl6.934339@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50341

JJ is one of the sub-human fugitives from the alt-ghetto who, it would appear, buys his coffee in cheap diners.

Dick, N&#65533;BK

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message news:ai7287$p2a$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Well "JJ" it actually is a 'big deal' to get DXCC on all those bands. Do
> you have any concept of the time and dedication that took? How much
> knowledge of radio propagation and skill in operating it took to work those
> stations. Not to mention the monumental task of actually getting them
> confirmed?
>
> In otherwords "JJ" it IS A BIG DEAL.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D46B54E.6CB314B7@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > Hey dipshit I got a message for you: I have dxcc's on all five primary
> > > bands between 3.5 and 29.7 mHz and I did it all with a pair of
> > > half-shot 572Bs. The plaque is nailed to the wall. I have buddies who
> > > did it with barefoot xcvrs and spanked me plenty. Without packet
> > > spots.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Climb back under yer wet rock.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > And all that and $.50 will get you a cup of coffee, big deal.
>
>

2528. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:05:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Z4E19.5804$W41.90750542@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50342

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0207282244.597b2755@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:<dB%09.2070
> > >
> > > Outstanding. Two individuals, never having achieved any
communications
> > > experience as military members, agreeing on plans and policies of the
US
> > > military. :-)
> >
> > But they sure do sound like highly paid civilian contractors. I'm not
sure
> > which one is the highest bidder and which one is the lowest bidder.
> >
> >
> The village idiot and the village twistoid team up yet one more time
> to bring us the RRAP Abbott & Costello show . . .

Brian, I've asked you for the courtesy of being ignored.

Apparently as a snooty Extra, Len's comments have hit home with you. Enjoi!

Brian

2529. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:07:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <u6E19.5805$a51.90766889@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50343

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020729182246.03298.00000012@mb-fg.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0207282244.597b2755@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >The village idiot and the village twistoid team up yet one more time
> >to bring us the RRAP Abbott & Costello show . . .
>
> "Please refrain from such comments as I consider them to be harrassment.
> Consider this to be fair warning." - KR4AJ
>
> :-)

Whatta Bozo you've quoted. That is, if Bozo ever tried sending pornography
to anyone.

2530. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:08:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <P7E19.5806$k51.90776743@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50344

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020730080649.10086.00000027@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0207282244.597b2755@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >The village idiot and the village twistoid team up yet one more time
> >to bring us the RRAP Abbott & Costello show . . .
> >
> INCORRECT.
>
> Abbott & Costello were funny. At least some of the time.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

They were in black and white. Perhaps I should change the color of my
text...

2531. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:15:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730181500.11623.00000042@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 50345

In article <pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:22:44 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <pan.2002.07.29.13.41.26.521702.12001@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>>
>>>> you. Try not to be patronizing.
>>>
>>>I'm not. If I wanted to be patronizing I'd so something juvenile like,
>>>oh, I don't know, maybe making fun of your name in the article title.
>>
>> ...such as "Len's Specious Claims" of 26 Jul 02?
>>
>> ...such as "The church of Len" of 27 Jul 02?
>>
>> ...such as "The Church of Len, Schismatic" of 28 Jul 02?
>>
>> ...or the new one, "Len, Lies, and Videotape?"
>>
>> There's no "maybe" involved, Jon. You have, you did.
>
>How is any of that "making fun of your name?" I used your name AS your
>name. Contrast that to the way you've used my last name in some of the
>thread titles, such as this one.

No. You used my given name IN CONNECTION with religion or
directly associated with "lies." Tsk, tsk.

The word "bloom" is much more associated with flowers and plants.
ARRL has been portrayed as the "flower of US ham-dom." :-)

>What does interesting nonmembers in ARRL have to do with your behavior
>toward Jim? More misdirection!

I'm not communicating with any "Jim" here. Quit misdirecting.

>> Yes. Based on the much older "Do unto others as they have already done
>> unto you."
>
>That's a disgusting philosophy you've got there.

In other venues it is called "self defense." It can be called
"disgusting" when you've been caught at it, thought you were
victorious, and wound up the victim, losing points. You were

and you did. Tsk, tsk.

>You've posted no "publicly-available facts" at all! All you've posted is
>your opinions of what motivates people with whom you disagree. Those
>aren't facts, by any stretch of the imagination.

Scurrilous, baseless charges. I've posted opinions. I've posted facts.
That you cannot distinguish between them is your problem, not mine.

>No, a simple conclusion based on your continued attacks on amateurs and
>Amateur Radio.

I am against individuals and some parts of a national membership
organization. You do not represent all US radio amateurs nor
does ARRL represent all US radio amateurs.

Please stop those scurrilous, baseless charges.

>Your professional experience has nothing to do with Amateur Radio, yet you
>continue to trot it out to to try to cow others in discussions of amateur
>topics. It's perfectly clear that you find amateur accomplishments
>threatening to your self-image.

How can a single individual "cow" over a half-million others? :-)

You are a professional amateur. You accept money working for an
amateur radio membership organization. You are the one with the
sacred cow. I'm guilty of nothing but being a cow puncher. :-)

>From my observations, you aren't "kind, generous, or respectful" to anyone
>who disagrees with you in any particular.

Not a problem here. :-)

Jon, maybe the Internet arena is too much for you. Or maybe you
think your employment and titles with ARRL automatically demand
some servile respect? Tsk, tsk, you've been patronizing...

>Don't say ridiculous things if you don't want to look ridiculous. Are you
>planning to defend your claim that ARRL engages in "subtle manipulation of
>minds," or just leave it lying there, looking asinine?

I already explained in a post on Bill Sohl's comment.

If you want to remain the arrogant patron, you are welcome to all
the outrage you can muster. Readers can judge for themselves as
to who is "ridiculous" and who isn't. :-)

>Then what did you mean when you accused ARRL and its members of trying to
>"eliminate the usurper" competitors to ARRL?

See my response to Bill Sohl's comment.

>No, the measure of success is that AMATEUR RADIO has survived and
>prospered. That is, after all, the goal of ARRL. That it has been achieved
>so far is demonstrative of ARRL's success. ARRL has played a significant

>role in that success, despite your unwillingless to admit it.

Please try to be original in here. Recycling old paragraphs is not
eco-friendly. :-)

US amateur radio survived, yes. It is now considered stagnant in
number of licensees over a two-decade period. See the amateur
radio newsline.

I claim that ARRL's influence in DC has made US amateur radio as
what ARRL wishes, in the image they present.

>Any reader who thinks that a specialized history is all-encompassing is
>mistaken. Your assertion that such a history is intended to give readers
>the idea that it's the "whole history record" is perverse and foolish.

...then you've just defined skepticism as "perverse and foolish."

Each and every history published anywhere reflects its
publisher. If the ARRL wishes to conveniently forget all others
that influenced amateur radio in earlier days, then it can go
ahead and write it any way it wants. If you want to say that it
is perfectly correct, honest then fine...I'll say you are the one
that is "perverse and foolish." :-)

>Ask your wife if she considers it a sin of omission that, for example, the
>memoirs of U. S. Grant don't include a full exploration of the political
>issues of the Civil War. What trickery!

Radio didn't exist in the American Civil War. Why do you mention
the above?

>So? The claim you were trying to advance, poorly, was that ARRL doesn't
>provide information except for sale. That claim stands disproven.

I didn't claim anything of the sort.

>You complained that ARRL published information on PSK31, noting that ARRL
>didn't originate PSK31 (which nobody has ever claimed it did). What WAS
>your point?

I "complained?" :-)

I made a point. The fact that you don't accept anything negative
seems to be your only counter-point.

>You offered the input without solicitation, apparently in the expectation
>that nobody would point out the contradictions and fundamental emptiness
>of your opinions. Your expectations were unrealized. The contradicatory
>nature of your bloviations against ARRL stand exposed.

"Bloviations?" :-)

I just offer "input" here. I don't "expect" anything but am often
surprised as to the responses!

So far, you've offered almost nothing but re-hash of old ARRL
claims of inherent wonderfulness and anything whatsoever
negative about ARRL is "scurrilous, baseless, perverse, empty."
From that I can only conclude that you are in disagreement?
:-)

>Our members (many of whom are retired) provide guidance regularly. They
>seem to appreciate the opportunity to do so.

That's wonderful. Does ARRL act on such guidance or do they
just pat them on the head, say thank you, and continue anyway?

>You've placed yourself in the odd position of complaining on the one hand
>that in your OPINION the ARRL leadership runs things only to satify their
>personal desires, and on the other hand complaining that ARRL solicits
>input from others! With 180-degree-out inconsistencies like that in your
>diatribes, is it any wonder that I think your criticisms are based solely
>of prejudice and hate-mongering?

Okay, now I add "diatribes, prejudice, and hate-mongering!"

Getting to be a long list. :-)

Maybe ARRL can publish a new title ridiculing all skeptics in those
terms?

>In other words, no, you haven't complained to the FCC. You're just
>throwing more mud, hoping some of it will stick.

:-)

>Your baseless charges are self-belittling. You have yet to present a
>single reference to any verifiable instance of dishonesty on ARRL's part
>while repeatedly giving it as your OPINION that ARRL is dishonest. Having
>utterly failed to support the charge, your response now is to spew forth
>yet again your equally unsupportable mix of complaints that ARRL is too
>effective (and yet not effective), publishing information (yet not
>publishing information) and is run only for the insiders (yet soliciting
>guidance from members). These apparent inconsistencies only resolve
>themselves once one realizes that your entire purpose is to attack the ARRL
>and Amateur Radio.

Thank you for pointing out the error of my ways, Big Brother.

>Yep. You tried to convince the FCC to use the power of the government to
>proscribe youth participation in Amateur Radio.

Is everyone over 13 an adult? :-)

Did you complain to the FCC about my comment? :-)

>> ...somehow I think it isn't conducive to getting new ARRL members or
>> even encouraging anyone to get a ham license. But that's my (heretical)
>> opinion as a skeptic, of course. :-)
>
>That's also your goal, in my OPINION.

<roll up the anthem and heavenly chorus voice-over>

Announcer: Thank you for listening. This has been another public service program from the one, the only voice of amateur radio, the A-R-R-L, the one true faith for all hams everywhere. We were happy to bring you another reasoned discourse on how we were so wonderful, so honest, so everything good for amateur radio all the time. Goodbye until tomorrow when we will be back with another unbiased professional amateur showing how non-members are such dirty rotten scoundrels.

2532. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:15:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730181503.11623.00000043@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 50346

In article <ai685o$lp3$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
>news:pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org...
>> On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:22:44 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> > In article <pan.2002.07.29.13.41.26.521702.12001@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> > <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>....
>> >>What "competitor" are you referring to? Can you cite any examples where
>> >>ARRL supressed the formation of another national amateur organization?
>> >>Oh, I forgot, citing actual evidence is not in your line!
>> >
>> > I've never found that the ARRL "suppressed formation" of another
>> > national amateur organization. Several were in existance prior to the
>> > formation of the ARRL. All but one did not survive. The only one still
>> > existing, the Radio Club of America, founded 1909, now has an interest
>> > in all of radio, not just amateur radio.
>>
>> Then what did you mean when you accused ARRL and its members of trying to
>> "eliminate the usurper" competitors to ARRL?
>
>I'm curious too as to any answer to that charge.

I did not answer that directly since it is a loaded question. Jon could
have asked me if I still beat my wife and gotten the same non-answer.

There was a competition in pre-WW2 membership organizations
and the ARRL grew from a just-pre-WW1 local club to a national
one by the 1930s. The ARRL effectively marketed itself through
tangible periodicals and publications, very useful to individual
amateurs whose major technical and event information was
obtained through tangible periodicals and publications. That was
the extent of amateur radio mass media information at the time.

>Additionally, this being a free market economy, if the ARRL did NOT
>successfully meet the needs of enough of its membership then
>other organizations would have likly sprung up...or the ARRL would simply
>have died anyway.

Sorry, that's still mostly a claim. It's a multi-level condition with
a lot of assumptions. A fact to remember is that the ARRL was
NOT the first membership organization, not even at a local level.
The Radio Club of America was founded in 1909 and still exists
although it went mainstream in radio a long time ago and did not
concentrate on amateurism. There were other organizations that

existed but most of them eventually dissolved, principally for generally lacking a marketing vehicle, the publications. Few had the resources to employ representatives to the US government to influence one of the four radio regulatory agencies that existed in the pre-WW2 period.

In the whole of the pre-WW2 period, It is convenient fiction to assume that all US radio amateurs were somehow in an easy-to-use communications medium environment where information can be quickly shared by most radio amateurs. The vast majority of information sharing for hams at greater distances than a local state grouping was by printed matter...magazines, newsletters, books. Printed matter is a subtly powerful medium for influence, it being considered "truth" as nearly everyone has been imprinted since public school days. Printed matter is essentially one-way, that is, there cannot be any immediate respondu-cantu with the originators of printed matter. The originators have full control over the content and printed matter retains its content intact until physically damaged.

Printed matter...and later, most mass media sources, are enormously powerful influence-peddling tools. They can shape opinions, even desires. All countries use them, have used them sometimes with disasterous results. I would surmise the ARRL saw this early-on since they have capitalized on it and mildly prospered through it.

So, in the pre-WW2 period and even pre-1950 period, those with printed matter media had an intrinsic positive-feedback, self-reinforcing system for influencing opinions, thoughts. One could not argue with printed matter. It was there and didn't change unless one physically destroyed it. All the copies of a particular printed matter had the same content. Printed matter had the appearance of "authority," something all learned from first school days. We all went to textbooks for truthful information...we all read newspapers to find out what happened elsewhere where we could not be physically present to find out first-hand. Through photographs and drawings in printed matter we could find out about complex things that were difficult to explain in just words. The power of printed matter for communicating information, thoughts, ideas, facts...and even fallacies and myths...is enormous.

>Bottom line...while some will disagree with
>certain specifics, most hams who are ARRL members see
>their membership as beneficial and the ARRL as meeting enough
>of their needs to retain their memberships.

All fraternal organization members who remain fraternal organization members will support their fraternal organization in a positive way. That syndrome is intrinsic to human groupings...the family, the tribe, the school, a sports team,

shared avocations, all have the same characteristic feelings of loyalty, of belonging, the group being "theirs." It is emotional, almost to the level of being visceral. That is not "good" or "bad," it simply IS. It is a very human thing.

ARRL is a fraternal organization that is also engaged in a publishing business. It began as a little local club in New England and has been expanding for decades. They are de facto that most definitely even though some laws define their organization as "non-profit."

ARRL is presently the ONLY national membership organization actively operating as a combination fraternal order, publishing business, and political-action group for radio amateurs. They have no real competition. There exist only special-interest groups such as TAPR and NCI, neither one claiming to represent all of amateur radio.

Charges have been made that I said ARRL has "suppressed" rival groups, ostensibly by forceful means. I didn't and they haven't. They simply outlasted the others. That's quite common in commerce or any other competitive endeavor. The fact that ARRL survived is not a valid claim to their "correctness," "honesty" or anything else but survival.

ARRL has skillfully influenced members into thinking it is always for them. If they keep saying that for decades through amateur radio mass media, that can also be likened to long-term brainwashing...although there will be intense emotional negative reaction from ARRL loyalists. The technique, also known as the "big lie" has been practiced many places, many times, by many organizations. Those who control the mass media have a terribly strong influence over people's thoughts and desires. All advertising marketing efforts are aimed at manipulating thoughts and desires for the advertisers' benefit.

>One area that recently garnered sufficient "disagreement" with
>ARRL was on the morse issue. As a result, several people (including
>myself) created No Code International to counter the position
>of ARRL as well as all other amateurs that want to retain code
>testing. The ARRL made NO effort to stop NCI from forming...
>either overtly or covertly to our knowledge.

ARRL had no need to "stop anyone" nor did it have any control over the much-wider mass media of the Internet and independent amateur radio oriented publications. With the implanted thoughts over years and capitalizing on emotional loyalty to fraternal organizations, ARRL could have thought that the existing membership would simply talk NCI down. Examples of that in this newsgroup are many.

What their detractors don't want to acknowledge is that NCI demonstrated an intense feeling on a specific thing and was able to organize a movement largely through the Internet. ARRL was powerless to stop anyone in such an environment. They did not have any control.

ARRL does have control in its own mass media output...as it has for decades. They keep saying and implying they "lead" radio amateurs in some "proper way" as they have for decades. With no real competitor, their members have extended their loyalty into a form of belief system. They intensely believe in an inherent honesty in all of ARRL statements and question little, if anything. They brook no dissention and will not hesitate to quash all disbelievers. Purely visceral.

>> No, the measure of success is that AMATEUR RADIO has survived and
>> prospered. That is, after all, the goal of ARRL.

That is the stated goal of ARRL. They say so.

The question remains unanswered...exactly who "prospered?" ARRL survived as a national membership organization. There are no others in the USA.

The appearance is that amateur-radio-as-defined-by-ARRL has "survived" and "prospered." When there is only one organization "representing" a minority of a group, there is a great deal of skepticism in that. There is only a belief that ARRL represents the thoughts, goals, ideals because there is no competition, no easy way to prove all that representation and goal-holding.

>> That it has been achieved
>> so far is demonstrative of ARRL's success. ARRL has played a significant
>> role in that success, despite your (Len's) unwillingless to admit it.
>
>Works for me.

I have no doubt whatsoever that ARRL has played a significant role in keeping amateur radio service in the USA...but I state that it is an ARS as ARRL upper levels wishes it to be. There is a considerable difference. ARRL Believers cannot see any difference.

ARRL spokespersons and very loyal members have given no proof that I am incorrect in my skepticism. They have, in visible fact, attempted to suppress skepticism through ridicule, denigration, and charges of some sort of "disloyalty." :-)

Believers are beyond argument. There is no faith but their one true faith. All others are heretics, infidels deserving no mercy. :-)

2533. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:18:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mhE19.5810$C61.90847829@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50347

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...

> Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me Burke.
> I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others is also
> questionable. Double :-)

Is the suffix "MN" not short enough on CW?

> You've also left out some important players: The casual op masquerading
> as DXer and the bile-filled non-ham as pretender in the world of amateur
> radio.
>
> Dave K8MN

And the World Class DXer who stoops to working out of band Frenchmen for
what?

Dunno? I can't really say why he would risk his reputation for some
out-of-banders.

2534. Re: The Church of Len, Schismatic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:21:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VjE19.5811$e71.90876513@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50348

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.07.29.11.46.09.129543.12001@arrl.org...
> On Sun, 28 Jul 2002 10:12:25 -0400, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for
their
> > outrageous behavior on RRAP.
>
> Again: What does that have to do with Len's behavior toward Jim?
>
> Jon, KE3Z

Jon, it's just a double standard kind of thing.

Dick and Larry can be nasty to anyone they want because of some perceived
past infraction.

But Len has to play by different rules.

2535. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:35:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wwE19.630$3k2.18562176@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50350

```
"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:j2319.40375$ND5.4001328@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:XUj_8.81
>
>
> $Z_5.6175989@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dickc58@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:3C7F2058.1E6F4308@att.net...
> > > JJ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > > > As an avid
> > > > > electronics hobbyist, I had built a code practice oscillator, got
a
> > > > > Radio Shack brass key, and taught myself how to send Morse code
> > > > > in a couple of hours.
> > > >
> > > > Wow, you built a code practice oscillator. I guess that is another
> > > > one of the things that you believe makes you a superior ham. Have
> > > > you ever constructed a complete 80-10 meter SSB transmitter? Not
> > > > from a kit, but from scratch, even forming the chassis and cabinet
> > > > from aluminum by hand? I have. Have you ever homebrewed an swr
> > > > bridge, a watt meter, a phone patch, a beam antenna, a linear
> > > > amplifier? I have. You have to do those things to be able to call
> > > > yourself a real ham. Being able to send and receive Morse code is
> > > > child's play.
> > >
> > > So what are you going to call all the newcomers who memorized enough
> > > question pool answers to get a license without knowing enough to build
a
> > > dipole, or even cable together the components of a station?
> >
> > Dick, what do you call an Advanced who comes on here and asks if CW can
be
> > used in the phone band?
>
> Please refrain from such comments as I consider them to be harrassment.
> Consider this to be fair warning.
> KR4AJ

Dan, how can you consider this harassment?
```

2536. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:35:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xwE19.631$3k2.18562176@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50349

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:k4319.40381$ND5.4003176@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:niIX8.5316$%P6.278589777@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020711075634.19575.00002941@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy"
descriptive
> is
> > > >> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have time"
> > >
> > > >Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That
> would
> > > >make him a congenital liar.
> > >
> > > He could be confused. Are you assassignating his character?
> >
> > Alzheimers?
>
> I guess you consider this to be funny. Another group of unfortunate people
> to make fun of.

Who is making fun of anyone? Do you see a smiley face?

Your guessing is incorrect. I demand an apology.

2537. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 22:41:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ICE19.637$Xm2.18731206@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50351

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:Lc319.40413$ND5.4007857@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:SqX09.1961$J9.21917894@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > news:3d441342_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> >
> > > Oh, yet new hams and longer-licensed hams *aren't* supposed to "take
> > > advantage" of the new licensing structure. Did I say hypocrisy in
other
> > > post? Larry, you're getting less and less lucid and more and more
> > > transparent.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Kim, in your wildest dreams. I don't wanna see more of Larry than I
have
> > to.
>
> Another ad hominem attack. Well, this won't violate your TOCs per se but
it
> does get close to the edge, as you know. Prodigy does not like
participation
> in flame fests when it involves users' names. Did you have a falling out
> with people who enjoy Morse code? Just asking.
> 73 de KR4AJ

Speaking of transparent, it would appear that BellSouth finally took some
action.

2538. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 23:15:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D46FF77.71DEE92B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50352

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> Well "JJ" it actually is a 'big deal' to get DXCC on all those bands. Do
> you have any concept of the time and dedication that took? How much
> knowledge of radio propagation and skill in operating it took to work those
> stations. Not to mention the monumental task of actually getting them
> confirmed?
>
> In otherwords "JJ" it IS A BIG DEAL.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

OK, so you spend countless hours in front of a radio making
contacts that amount to nothing more than exchanging calls signs,
signal reports and QSL info to get some certificates to hang on
the wall you can point to to attest to all the hours you spent in
front of the radio. Over the years I have worked over 275
countries, all confirmed, on 15 meter sideband. These were real
QSOs, not just exchange signal reports and run. What good does a
contact like that do? Never did apply for any wallpaper. Like I
say, all that and a few coins will get you a cup of coffee.
Nothing to brag about.

2539. Re: The Church of Len, Schismatic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 23:19:10 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.30.23.19.10.207343.24042@arrl.org>
Source record: 50353

On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 18:21:41 -0400, Brian P Burke wrote:
> "Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> news:pan.2002.07.29.11.46.09.129543.12001@arrl.org...
>> On Sun, 28 Jul 2002 10:12:25 -0400, Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> > Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for
> their
>> > outrageous behavior on RRAP.
>>
>> Again: What does that have to do with Len's behavior toward Jim?
>>
>> Jon, KE3Z
>
> Jon, it's just a double standard kind of thing.
>
> Dick and Larry can be nasty to anyone they want because of some
> perceived past infraction.

According to who? Not me.

> But Len has to play by different rules.

Len can do as he pleases, as can we all. And his behavior is subject to
comment, as is each of ours.

Jon

2540. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 23:49:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D47501E.3090103@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50354

JJ wrote:

> OK, so you spend countless hours in front of a radio making
> contacts that amount to nothing more than exchanging calls signs,
> signal reports and QSL info to get some certificates to hang on
> the wall you can point to to attest to all the hours you spent in
> front of the radio. Over the years I have worked over 275
> countries, all confirmed, on 15 meter sideband. These were real
> QSOs, not just exchange signal reports and run. What good does a
> contact like that do? Never did apply for any wallpaper. Like I
> say, all that and a few coins will get you a cup of coffee.
> Nothing to brag about.
>

hmm, you really don't get it, do you? A person could write something similar to what you just did abour any accomplishment. If you don't want to go after it, don't. But your belittling of it only shows that you just don't get it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2541. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-30 23:59:15 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h02xkfa.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50355

On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 22:02:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>"Bombs on Target" the motto of the now defunct Strategic Air Command.

Sorry, Brian, SAC's motto was "Peace is Our Profession".

At least that's what was on the sign over my desk in the SAC
Command Posts at Offutt and March AFBs when I was assigned
there in the 60s..

"Skybird, Skybird, do not answer....."

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2542. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 00:06:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D470B9D.2A84087D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50356

Hmm, lets see, according to you I could say the same thing about
someone who spends 10 plus years earning a medical degree and it
means nothing. At least the time spent earning a medical degree or
other education skill is time spent learning something that can be
put to use. But to brag about earning DXCC as some accomplishment
that merits some value other than maybe satisfying one's own ego
is stretching it a bit. You just don't get it do you.

Mike Coslo wrote:

> >
>
> hmm, you really don't get it, do you? A person could write something
> similar to what you just did abour any accomplishment. If you don't want
> to go after it, don't. But your belittling of it only shows that you
> just don't get it.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2543. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 00:07:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730200702.10086.00000043@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50357

In article <3D46B7CA.7CCA3F5B@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
>> <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.
>>
>> NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!
>>
>> Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?
>
>
> Its just that it is so unusaul, Jim!

No it isn't.

>I think this is the first time anyone disagreed on something in the ng. har!

Not at all.

73 de Jim "and now for something completely different" N2EY
>

2544. Re: A new test to test the brain of hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 00:07:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730200702.10086.00000044@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50358

In article <3D46B671.BD4243BE@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <ahut23$ul6kl$1@ID-153362.news.dfncis.de>, "Rene Altena"
>> <rene.altena@gmx.net> writes:
>>
>> >Nobody EVER managed to solve it in 60 seconds!
>>
>> Not the first time, anyway. But once you know how to solve it, solution
>speed
>> is mostly a matter of how fast you can manipulate the cube. Serious cubists
>> would lubricate the segments with Vaseline to make it faster.
>
> What exactly would be the point in continually "re-solving" the
>puzzle?

Bar bets, for one.

>Once you have found the trick, it would get boring reeeal fast.
>
Nope. The solution is different for each different starting arrangement.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2545. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 00:37:58 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GjG19.15383$Kl6.950354@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50359

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h02xkfa.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 22:02:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >"Bombs on Target" the motto of the now defunct Strategic Air Command.
>
> Sorry, Brian, SAC's motto was "Peace is Our Profession".
>
> At least that's what was on the sign over my desk in the SAC
> Command Posts at Offutt and March AFBs when I was assigned
> there in the 60s..
>
> "Skybird, Skybird, do not answer....."
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

It is certainly the motto over the SAC Museum southwest of Omaha.

2546. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 01:21:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D4765D2.6040207@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50360

JJ wrote:

> Hmm, lets see, according to you I could say the same thing about
> someone who spends 10 plus years earning a medical degree and it
> means nothing.

Absolutely. It means the person went to school for a long time.

> At least the time spent earning a medical degree or
> other education skill is time spent learning something that can be
> put to use.

The ARS is a hobby. One that can be put to practical use, but a hobby nonetheless. I have another hobby, amateur astronomy, in which I have collected certificates similar to DXCC for the identification and placement of various objects in the sky. Of what use is that? The objects are already discovered, and I won't be adding to the aggregate knowledge by my finding them myself. So they are useless, no?

Hold on a second! After learning how to find these objects, I can now navigate around in the night sky. I can participate as an amateur astronomer in the search for asteroids, or add to the data on the planets, two fields in which amateurs provide a lot of information. And I had fun at the same time.

Back to the ARS, if a person is honing their skills by working DX, often weak and elusive DX, they are preparing themselves for more "practical" things like emergency communications, and having fun at the same time. Some folk like this sort of thing, and some don't. But to diss DXCC just because you don't like it is disingenuous for sure.

> But to brag about earning DXCC as some accomplishment
> that merits some value other than maybe satisfying one's own ego
> is stretching it a bit. You just don't get it do you.

Ego? DXCC is a game, and it has some practical aspects to it. It can be fun for those who like such things. Games are fun, and really good ones have something beyond just beating an opponent, like training for a useful purpose.

As for getting it, I'll let others decide, but I have noted a reason for DXCC, and why some people might like it, and some not.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2547. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 02:06:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sCH19.5879$VP1.93471922@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50362

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020729205855.15314.00000068@mb-dh.aol.com...
> In article <3D442B3A.F29AA1DD@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> I'd say for attitude alone most of us are far superior hams (and
humans) to
> >> the likes of Larry Roll. I'm pretty sure most people know hypocrisy
when
> >> they see it. Most people can even pick representative forms of
hypocrisy
> >;)
> >>
> >> Kim W5TIT :)
> >
> >Larry's attitude make him inferior to even his despised no-code
> >hams.
> >
>
> My "attitude" is that I won't rubber-stamp the laziness of the no-coder
> whiners who managed to get the licensing requirements of the ARS
> dumbed-down to their level. A level at which, BTW, they are still NOT
> upgrading in droves! Funny how that worked out, isn't it?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, after witnessing your temper tantrums on the subject, I wonder why
they are staying away?

2548. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 02:06:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <YCH19.5881$LU1.93741178@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50361

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > >
> > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Eloped?
> >
> > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> >
> > Dave K8MN

Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?

2549. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 02:34:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D474CB9.CCF26E9F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50363

Len Over 21 wrote:

> I am against individuals and some parts of a national membership
> organization. You do not represent all US radio amateurs nor
> does ARRL represent all US radio amateurs.

If the ARRL represents one radio amateur, it represents one more than
you represent, Len.

Dave K8MN

2550. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 02:36:55 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.31.02.36.54.470475.24042@arrl.org>
Source record: 50364

On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 18:15:00 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:22:44 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
> The word "bloom" is much more associated with flowers and plants. ARRL
> has been portrayed as the "flower of US ham-dom." :-)

Do you think the smiley excuses your ill-mannered behavior?

>>What does interesting nonmembers in ARRL have to do with your behavior
>>toward Jim? More misdirection!
>
> I'm not communicating with any "Jim" here.

Ah, that's right, you're communicating with "Jimmie Boy" since you are
unwilling to treat others with even a modicum of common courtesy.

>>> Yes. Based on the much older "Do unto others as they have already
>>> done unto you."
>>
>>That's a disgusting philosophy you've got there.
>
> In other venues it is called "self defense."

Belittling people and calling them names is self defense? You should write
your own dictionary so we can look up the peculiar definitions you use.

> It can be called
> "disgusting" when you've been caught at it, thought you were victorious,
> and wound up the victim, losing points. You were and you did. Tsk,
> tsk.

Oh? Care to be explicit about what I've "done unto others as they have
already done unto me?" Or is this yet another wild accusation without
merit that you're unable to defend?

>>You've posted no "publicly-available facts" at all! All you've posted is
>>your opinions of what motivates people with whom you disagree. Those
>>aren't facts, by any stretch of the imagination.
>
> Scurrilous, baseless charges. I've posted opinions. I've posted facts.
> That you cannot distinguish between them is your problem, not mine.

Maybe I just need more help, Len. Could you please enumerate the facts
that support your charge of dishonesty against the ARRL so I can see them

more clearly? I can't find a single citation on your part of an ARRL statement that is demonstrably a lie. Maybe if you'll just post the citations succintly I'll see the facts. (Don't bother reposting your opinions; those are perfectly clear to me.)

>>No, a simple conclusion based on your continued attacks on amateurs and
>>Amateur Radio.
>
> I am against individuals and some parts of a national membership
> organization. You do not represent all US radio amateurs nor does ARRL
> represent all US radio amateurs.
>
> Please stop those scurrilous, baseless charges.

You mean, Len that you are trying to supress OPINIONS just because you disagree with them? Tsk, tsk. How Big Brotherish!

>>Your professional experience has nothing to do with Amateur Radio, yet
>>you continue to trot it out to to try to cow others in discussions of
>>amateur topics. It's perfectly clear that you find amateur
>>accomplishments threatening to your self-image.
>
> How can a single individual "cow" over a half-million others? :-)

I didn't say you were succeeding, just trying. :-)

> You are a professional amateur. You accept money working for an amateur
> radio membership organization. You are the one with the sacred cow. I'm
> guilty of nothing but being a cow puncher. :-)

You're guilty of making slanderous statements about the ARRL.

>>From my observations, you aren't "kind, generous, or respectful" to
>>anyone who disagrees with you in any particular.
>
> Not a problem here. :-)

Clearly.

> Jon, maybe the Internet arena is too much for you. Or maybe you think
> your employment and titles with ARRL automatically demand some servile
> respect? Tsk, tsk, you've been patronizing...

I think all persons are deserving of civil treatment -- even those who disagree with you.

>>Don't say ridiculous things if you don't want to look ridiculous. Are
>>you planning to defend your claim that ARRL engages in "subtle
>>manipulation of minds," or just leave it lying there, looking asinine?
>
> I already explained in a post on Bill Sohl's comment.
>
> If you want to remain the arrogant patron, you are welcome to all the
> outrage you can muster. Readers can judge for themselves as to who is

> "ridiculous" and who isn't. :-)

I'm fine with that. So far the readers have seen you make unfounded charges of dishonesty and a self-contradictory list of criticisms of ARRL for no apparent reason other than personal pique.

>>Then what did you mean when you accused ARRL and its members of trying
>>to "eliminate the usurper" competitors to ARRL?
>
> See my response to Bill Sohl's comment.

Your non-response, you should say. You only called it a loaded question, which is how you characterize any question that attempts to elicit some substance for you claims of unethical behavior of the party of others. You've still failed utterly to show in any manner how ARRL has ever tried to suppress any organization. In short, this is yet another charge that you made up in its entirety.

> I claim that ARRL's influence in DC has made US amateur radio as what
> ARRL wishes, in the image they present.

Yes, Amateur Radio is still going strong thanks to ARRL.

>>Any reader who thinks that a specialized history is all-encompassing is
>>mistaken. Your assertion that such a history is intended to give readers
>>the idea that it's the "whole history record" is perverse and foolish.
>
> ...then you've just defined skepticism as "perverse and foolish."

Not skepticism, just the cynicism you've been spouting.

> Each and every history published anywhere reflects its publisher. If
> the ARRL wishes to conveniently forget all others that influenced
> amateur radio in earlier days, then it can go ahead and write it any way
> it wants. If you want to say that it is perfectly correct, honest then
> fine...I'll say you are the one that is "perverse and foolish." :-)

The extent to which history published by ARRL is correct is a matter for historians to argue. Whether the publication is honest is another matter, and in making the charge that it is not you are ethically obligated to support the charge with something more than OPINION. Yes, I know I'm repeating myself and that you are incapable of or unwilling to behave ethically, but, you see, I'm persistent, too.

>>Ask your wife if she considers it a sin of omission that, for example,
>>the memoirs of U. S. Grant don't include a full exploration of the
>>political issues of the Civil War. What trickery!
>
> Radio didn't exist in the American Civil War. Why do you mention the
> above?

Because you seem to think that a history that is not all-inclusive is an attempt to exercise mind control. I was wondering if that applies only to the ARRL of if you are equally "skeptical" of others. By ducking the

question, you've answered it.

>>So? The claim you were trying to advance, poorly, was that ARRL doesn't
>>provide information except for sale. That claim stands disproven.
>
> I didn't claim anything of the sort.

Incorrect. You said:

> QST is, or should be,
> self-supporting through advertising sales and the true demographics of
> subscribership (entire membership) allow ad sales to function. Not like
> independent publishers unaffiliated with membership organizations.
> Everything else in ARRL publications seems available for a price
> (shipping extra if ordered from Newington).

Note especially the last two lines. Or are you using the word "everything"
in some manner heretofore unknown?

>>You complained that ARRL published information on PSK31, noting that
>>ARRL didn't originate PSK31 (which nobody has ever claimed it did). What
>>WAS your point?
>
> I "complained?" :-)
>
> I made a point. The fact that you don't accept anything negative seems
> to be your only counter-point.

Your answer to "what was the point" is, "I made a point"? If you can't
even figure out what point you were making, how do you expect anyone else
to do so?

But of course, the real point that you continue to try to make is, "ARRL
is wrong, wrong, WRONG!" Too bad you can't back that up with any factual
basis or cogent analysis -- or even a consistent, understandable set of
criticisms!

> "Bloviations?" :-)
>
> I just offer "input" here. I don't "expect" anything but am often
> surprised as to the responses!
>
> So far, you've offered almost nothing but re-hash of old ARRL claims of
> inherent wonderfulness and anything whatsoever negative about ARRL is
> "scurrilous, baseless, perverse, empty." From that I can only conclude
> that you are in disagreement?

You can also conclude that when you make serious charges you'll be asked
to substantiate them and, when you prove unable to do so, will impeach
your credibility and make yourself look foolish.

>>Our members (many of whom are retired) provide guidance regularly. They
>>seem to appreciate the opportunity to do so.

>
> That's wonderful. Does ARRL act on such guidance or do they just pat
> them on the head, say thank you, and continue anyway?

Were it the latter, they wouldn't remain members, yet, by and large, they
do.

>>Yep. You tried to convince the FCC to use the power of the government to
>>proscribe youth participation in Amateur Radio.
>
> Is everyone over 13 an adult? :-)

Did I say "youths of all ages?" No, so you can drop that fruitless attempt
to distract attention from your efforts.

> Did you complain to the FCC about my comment? :-)

No need for that. That you attempted to constrict Amateur Radio growth is
pitiful. If you thought you would actually succeeed, it's laughable.

> Announcer: Thank you for listening. This has been another public
> service program from the one, the only voice of amateur radio, the
> A-R-R-L, the one true faith for all hams everywhere. We were happy to
> bring you another reasoned discourse on how we were so wonderful, so
> honest, so everything good for amateur radio all the time. Goodbye
> until tomorrow when we will be back with another unbiased professional
> amateur showing how non-members are such dirty rotten scoundrels.

Same old stentorian tone, same complete lack of meaningful content. And
still nothing on which to base your charge of dishonesty. At least that's
ONE thing you're consistent about, Len.

Jon, KE3Z

2551. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 02:37:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D474D54.53713B02@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50365

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

> >Don't say ridiculous things if you don't want to look ridiculous. Are you
> >planning to defend your claim that ARRL engages in "subtle manipulation of
> >minds," or just leave it lying there, looking asinine?
>
> I already explained in a post on Bill Sohl's comment.
>
> If you want to remain the arrogant patron, you are welcome to all
> the outrage you can muster. Readers can judge for themselves as
> to who is "ridiculous" and who isn't. :-)

Okay, Len. I've read the stuff. I've decided for myself that you are
ridiculous and that Jon isn't.

Dave K8MN

2552. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 02:46:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D474FAB.E6589244@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50366

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 22:02:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >"Bombs on Target" the motto of the now defunct Strategic Air Command.
>
> Sorry, Brian, SAC's motto was "Peace is Our Profession".
>
> At least that's what was on the sign over my desk in the SAC
> Command Posts at Offutt and March AFBs when I was assigned
> there in the 60s..
>
> "Skybird, Skybird, do not answer....."

More like:

"Skyking, Skyking this is Retail, this is Retail--break break--Alfa Sierra Papa November. Authentications Tango Yankee. I say again..."

or

"Tong 57, go ahead with your JOPREP JIFFY ATBAR; over"

It's been nearly 32 years but the words won't go away.

Dave K8MN

2553. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 02:49:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <UeI19.5896$vX1.93909621@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50367

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D44C8E4.286E626E@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D445961.BBDEF415@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D4371C8.C54065F3@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never
benefitted
> > > > anyone.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Incentive licensing rewards those who put in
the
> > > effort to
> > > > > > > > learn
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum.
> > > Arbitrary
> > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > have yet to be proven.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded.
Sounds
> > > > arbitrary
> > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for
> > > > success.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with
additional
> > > > > > > > frequencies.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > It can't be both ways, Brian.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Why not?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass,
you
> > > > aren't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you
did
> > pass,
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > do receive additional spectrum or privileges.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And
I've
> > > > previously
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not
envision
> > how
> > > > > a
> > > > > > > > > > person
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > About the only way I could see that happening is if you didn't
> > pass
> > > > > ALL
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > the required exams. If you didn't pass all of the required
exams,
> > you
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > weren't rewarded. Those who pass all the exams ARE rewarded.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > (Lack of comment noted)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Lack of insight noted.
> > > >

> > > My insight tells me that you blew smoke. You didn't pass all the
> > > required exams or you would have been rewarded. That you didn't pass
> > > rests on you, not on the person making note of it.
> >
> > Noted.
> >
> What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9,
> > /KH2,
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > /T5?
> >
> You tell us. You commented one time about
what
> > you
> > > > > > > considered
> > > > > > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > be
> DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see
if
> > you
> > > > > can
> > > > > > > > > be
> > > > > > > > > > > as
> consistent in your explanation as you say
you've
> > been
> > > > > in
> > > > > > > > > other
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > things.
> >
> I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> >
> There you have it.
> >
> How is that wrong?
> >
> How is that a description of DXing?
> >
> Should I chant or face the East or something?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to
ask
> > me
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > questions.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> But I am, and I do. So answer the question.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> You need to verify that you know.

> > > > >
> > > > > I need to verify to you that I know? I'll let my country count
speak
> > to
> > > > > that in reference to my operation from American soil. As to my
> > > > > operations abroad, feel free to check any of the online DX
newsletters
> > > > > or back issues of any magazines containing DX news.
> > > > >
> > > > Oh, I forgot you were the greatest DXer in the world. Forgive me.
> > >
> > > I've never made that claim. That I am a DXer is documented.
> >
> > How much of a DXer are you?
>
> I'm much of a DXer.

Quantify it.

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn
other
> > > > countries
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > authorizations
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead
I'm
> > just
> > > > > > > supposed
> > > > > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > > > tune
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > from
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to
stumble
> > > > across
> > > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > > > ones I
> > > > > > > > > > > > > need.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in
time.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80
and
> > 160
> > > > > meter
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be
permitted
> > to
> > > > > look
> > > > > > > > > up 80

> > > > > > > > > > > and 160 allocations.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then
forget
> > them
> > > > when
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > someone out of band tries to caontact me?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up
> > allocations
> > > > in
> > > > > > > > > order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding
those
> > you
> > > > > > don't
> > > > > > > > > > wish to contact.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Yes that is correct.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You seemed confused.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > No, I am not confused. If I know the target countries operating
> > > > > privileges,
> > > > > > then I know where to look for them. And should the French
amateurs
> > try
> > > > > to
> > > > > > contact me out of band on 6M, I wouldn't work them because I
would
> > know
> > > > > they
> > > > > > were out of band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I haven't the slightest amount of confusion about this.
> > > > >
> > > > Your comments indicated confusion. If you'd like to look up
> > allocations
> > > > for each station you contact on each band, you are fee to do that.
> > Most
> > > > folks don't do that.
> > > >
> > > Why wouldn't you look up the ones that you need? Do you choose to
> > remain
> > > ignorant of where they might be, or do you get some joy out of
stumbling
> > up
> > > and down the bands hoping they might appear one day?
> > >
> > I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've

> > > needed on 80 or 160m.
> >
> > Previously you've told me that you just find them by tuning about. Now
you
> > are claiming that you've looked them up. My only confusion is what to
> > believe, what you said then or what you are saying now.
>
> Actually, if you'll read some of the above exchange, YOU asked if you
> should tune about the band. I wrote "Tuning works". You appear to be
> arguing with yourself at this point.

Previous to that.

> > > > Perhaps they have no authorization where you've been trying to find
> > them.
> > >
> > > I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've
> > > needed on 80 or 160m.
> > >
> > > > Why are you so confused?
> > >
> > > Probably because I've been dealing with your cryptic material.
> >
> > Cryptic as in when I look up authorizations its a bad thing indicating
that
> > I don't know much about DX, or cryptic as in when you look up
authorizations
> > because that's what a smart DXer does?
>
> Cryptic as in: you seem to be arguing with items which you posted.

Now you're not telling the truth. Another gem from your "Good Amateur
Practice" handbook?

> > > > > > > > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If
> > DXCC is
> > > > > 100
> > > > > > > > > > > countries,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100
> > countries
> > > > > worked
> > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you
have
> > any
> > > > > idea
> > > > > > how
> > > > > > > > > > > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having
only

> > one
> > > > > > band
> > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could
have
> > > > done
> > > > > > > > > > something about.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Instead I made much of my license by being and working
DX.
> > But
> > > > you
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > appear to have a problem with that.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really
didn't
> > do
> > > > that
> > > > > > > > > "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now
to DX
> > > > spots
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in
the
> > > > U.S.?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it.
I've
> > > > accepted
> > > > > > > > that.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I understand if you find my question embarrassing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't find my license class embarassing, nor being and chasing
DX
> > with
> > > > > > that license embarassing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I find your unethical DXing embarassing. Typical ugly American
> > abroad.
> > > > >
> > > > > > That's a tough one, Brian, in light of the fact that I haven't
done
> > any
> > > > > > "unethical" DXing. Your "ugly American" comment has no basis in
fact.
> > > >
> > > > > You must still be confused. You claimed that you would continue to
work

> > Frenchmen out of band even after you learned that they were out of band.
> > >
> > > I need only concern myself with operating where I AM PERMITTED TO
> > > OPERATE. I've only made this statement about eight or ten times.
Maybe
> > > you'll pick up on it by and by.
> > >
> > So you WILL work others who are out of band, even when you know they are
out
> > of band?
>
> Let's try it again. I wrote "I need only concern myself with operating
> where I AM PERMITTED TO OPERATE." Read what I wrote, not what you think
> I wrote.

Yes, you keep saying that. Now how do you conduct yourself on the bands?

> > > > > > > > > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > > > > > > > > > until I'm an Extra, does it?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its
> > territories
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S.
> > > > possession.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX
country?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Are you saying that Somalia cannot be a DX country because it is
not
> > a
> > > > > U.S.
> > > > > > posession?
> > > > >
> > > > > You aren't keeping up. I said Somalia isn't regulated under Part
97.
> > > > How about HL9 operations? Are they governed by Part 97?
> > >
> > > Still confused? How does being regulated by the U.S. relate to an
> > entity being DX or not being DX?
> >
> > I certainly am confused on this one, Brian. Your own comments were
> > "Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX until I'm an Extra, does
> > it?" Part 97 doesn't deal with "being DX" at all unless you happen to
> > be in a U.S. territory or possession.
> >
> > No wonder you're the greatest DXer in the world.
>

> It's flattering that you think so, Brian but I've already stated that
> I've never made such a claim.

You should be nominated for the A-1 Operators award.

> > Please tell us what Part 97 has to say about being or working DX.
>
> I didn't initiate the gabble about Part 97 as it relates to being DX.

You did. You said that Somalia isn't a US posession. The only connection
is that Somalia doesn't fall under Part 97. What else could it mean?

Unless you meant that "General" Aideed doesn't pay US taxes.

> You did. You wrote: "Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> until I'm an Extra, does it?"

Well does it?

I don't believe Part 97 says anything
> about being DX or working DX. Part 97 certainly doesn't, as I've
> pointed out several times, have anything to do with amateur radio
> operations from places which are not part of the United States or its
> possessions/territories.

So why your question concerning Somalia? Are you saying that I must confine
my DXing activities to only US posessions?

> If you are in the United States or its
> possessions/territories, Part 97 has everything to do with any and all
> of your amateur radio operation, DXing included.

Yes, I've done that as well. It was a lot of fun.

> > What does
> > it recommend for me to get my SSB rate up? Does it say I should stay >
with the foot switch or should I use VOX?
>
> You claim that you're a DXer. Don't you know? Want some hints on
> bringing your 40m rate up?--your CW rate?

I'll pass on the CW, but do tell about 40M SSB. I'm always interested in
learning more about my favorite hobby.

> > I think Part 97 doesn't say squat about DX, but you're free to interpret
it
> > any way you like.
>
> As far as my operations from Guinea-Bissau, Sierra Leone, Botswana,
> Finland, the Aland Islands, Market Reef or Tanzania, I didn't have to
> interpret Part 97 at all. I didn't operate under Part 97 or any other
> FCC regs. I didn't bring Part 97 up. You did.

Dave, you couldn't be more right. Ditto Somalia. So why did you bring it
up?

> > > > As a matter of fact, I don't think the FCC published a DX list. As
> > close as
> > > > the FCC comes is to publish a list of 3rd party countries.
> > >
> > > That's right. The FCC doesn't regulate your operation from Somalia or
> > > tell you that you can't operate from there with or without an Extra
> > > Class ticket either.
> >
> > As a matter of fact, there was no regulatory authority in Somalia when I
> was
> > there. So what was your point?
>
> We haven't gotten to a need for my point. You first need to make YOUR
> point. I'm still trying to figure out if you are simply trying to be
> cute or whether you're really thick.

Do try to make your point.

> > > > > > FYI, the USA can be a DX country if you're in the Falkland
> Islands.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Really? Who knew! BTW, I'm not in the Falkland Islands.
> > > > >
> > > > Yes, really. Does it really matter where you are?
> > >
> > > Yes, it does matter.
> > >
> > > You are the greatest DXer ever, wherever you are.
> > >
> > > If you choose to think so, that's fine by me, Brian. In fairness,
> I've
> > > never made such a claim nor would I do so. I think I can safely make
> > > the claim that I know more about DXing than you.
> >
> > But how much more? Let's quantify it.
> >
> > On a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 being the most brilliant DXer ever,
> where
> > would you place yourself?
>
> You aren't being fair, Brian. I don't need to compare myself with the
> world's most brilliant DXer. I'm dealing with you. Let's compare your
> DXing knowledge and abilities with mine. Put me at 100 if you like.
> Now where do you fit on the 1 to 100 scale?

15?

Now, on a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 being the world's most brilliant DXer
and me at 1, where do you place yourself?

> > > > > > > > > > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good
> because I
> > > > didn't

> > > > > > work
> > > > > > > > > > > 10,000
> > > > > > > > > > > > > of them?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the
concept
> > of
> > > > > > > DXing.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > ...not if I go by what you've stated here.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a
> > > > pre-conceived
> > > > > > > idea
> > > > > > > > > > > > > that whatever I do is wrong.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be
> > DXing.
> > > > > > > Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges
> > between
> > > > > us.
> > > > > > > > > > > > Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion
that
> > > > > > > everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about
everything
> > you
> > > > > do.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > So is Somalia now a US Posession?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > That is a response to my statements?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I did operate in Somalia. What about it?
> > > >
> > > Your stuff isn't even coherent anymore. Your question about Somalia
> > > being a U.S. possession was strange. Your added comment is waaaaaay
out
> > > there.
> >
> > It was your comment that was incredibly strange. The US government has
no
> > regulatory authority in Somalia.
>
> I believe I may have pointed that out several times. It's nice to see
> that you finally caught on.

I have first hand evidence that it is not a US posession. What was your QSO
rate from Somalia?

> > Why did you bring it up inside that
> > relationship?
>
> It was in response to your comment on what Part 97 says about being DX.
> Tell us how your operation from Somalia related in any way to Part 97.

Wrongo. I brought up Part 97 as in, "Part 97 doesn't apply to Somalia."

Why did you say Somalia is in the list of countries that I've "trotted out"
and is not a US posession?

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > One at a time.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > How?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > How do you do it?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me?
Don't
> > you
> > > > > know?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a
time."
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > That's pretty much descriptive of almost any amateur radio
QSO.
> > > > > > > > > > > > Funny--most of the DXers I know have a more complex idea of
how a
> > > > > pileup
> > > > > > > > > > > is handled from the DX end.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Most? What of the ones who don't hold your view? Do you make
fun
> > of
> > > > > them?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > If they ragchew with G's and consider that to be DXing, I'll be
happy
> > to
> > > > > > > poke fun at them. If they believe that the DXCC program is about
> > > > > > working 100 countries and then stopping, then yes, I'll make fun
of
> > > > > > them. If they, like you, would ask a DX station to confirm that
he is
> > > > > operating within his assigned allocation and tacks a cute "QSL?"
to
> > the

> > > > > end of the exchange, then yes, I'd laugh at him.
> > > >
> > > > Wow! Dave laughs at DXCC.
> > >
> > > No, Dave laughs at Brian.
> > >
> > > > The greatest DXer of all time laughs at the very
> > > > award system that makes it all possible.
> > >
> > > No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls it
DXing.
> >
> > And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count? You might
> > want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility is
slipping.
>
> I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My credibility is
> intact but I'm still laughing.

Then what does it count for? C'mon, the worlds greatest DXer otta know this
one.

> > > > The greatest DXer of all time
> > > > laughs at all of the little people in DX that makes it all possible.
> > >
> > > Who is the greatest DXer of all time? Was it Don Wallace?
> >
> > David Heil., Tar Paper Shack, WV.
>
> I've never made such a claim. I am a DXer though so you got part of it
> right.

You've said right here on RRAP that from downtown Dar Tanzania you were
returning to a tar paper shack in West Virginia.

> > > > Dave, when I look at the DXCC list, I swear G-land is on there. If
I >
> > fill it by a 12.73 second QSO vs a 12 minute QSO, what's the >
difference to
> > you?
> > >
> > > No difference, Brian. Let me know about you 12 minute QSOs with the
> > > Laccadives, Glorioso, or North Korea. I can hardly wait. It'd be
even
> > > better if you manage to disrupt a pileup to make them.
> >
> > Why would I do something stupid like that?
>
> It fits a pattern.

And your log book indicates this pattern?

> > > > The DXCC desk counts it, but then you would laugh at them and me.
> > >
> > > No, I'd only laugh at you. I promise.
> > >
> > > > That smug attitude of yours sure shines through.
> > >
> > > Don't forget that you'll be able to hear the peals of
laughter--probably
> > > without the aid of a radio receiver.
> >
> > No doubt. I hear it whenever I drive by the State Hospital for the
> > Criminally Insane.
>
> So they know about your DXing prowess too?

I don't think so unless you clued them in. You been there lately?

> > > > > > > > Do you work them two at a time? five?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Divine it from the ether. You're a DXer. You've said so.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I knew there was something magical. You are truly magical.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've worked 'em at the rate of over 300 QSOs/hr on SSB and over
200/hr
> > > > > on CW. I'm sure that an experienced DXer like you has done much
> > better.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, you must be confused again. I've never made that claim.
> > > >
> > > > I'm deferring to your vast experience, Brian. There's not much that a
> > > guy like me could show a master such as you.
> > >
> > > > 2 or 3 a minute is about as fast as I can write them in my log. The
> > Heil
> > > > headset and footswitch was a big help.
> > >
> > > Write them in your log? By hand? Dark ages, man!
> >
> > But I'm a neat freak. The writing has to be good enough that I can
confirm
> > them a year later when the cards start rolling in from the buro.
>
> I can see where your neatly penned entries would be faster and cleaner
> than entering the material into a computer log.

I work with what I've got. You didn't seem to mind that in getting US
Posession Guam Island confirmed. Maybe it put you over in the IOTA contest
or something.

I'm sure that most top
> DXers also send their requests for needed countires and expect replies

> via the bureau system. That makes lots of sense too.

Thanks. That's what its there for, I'm told. No?

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend
> > QSLing,
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > can't
> > > > > > > > > > use
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > for operating.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > But I consider it my job.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups.
Why
> > > > waste
> > > > > > time
> > > > > > > > > in
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you
think
> > rare
> > > > > > > > > > stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Someone is a stamp collector?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > My QSL manager of fifteen years isn't a stamp collector. No
one
> > in
> > > > > his
> > > > > > > > > > family is a stamp collector. Any other guesses?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You pay him handsomely?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You believe that my QSL manager is paid? You sure are a DXer,
Brian.
> > > > >
> > > > > You should get him to log for you, too. You might get your rate up.
> > >
> > > How might that be accomplished with the two of us separated by some
> > > thousands of miles? Is this another of your DXing secrets?
> >
> > You could get married and the State Department would pay to move the
both of
> > you.
>

> Your response carries the same weight as your "the F6 station, please
> confirm that you're operating within your allocation. QSL?"

I guess its better to just get the greenstamp and press on.

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I
did
> > > > enjoy
> > > > > > > > > > ragchewing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What is it?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > It is ragchewing.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Tell that to Diego Garcia.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's his call?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Don't know. Why?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that
I
> > > > should
> > > > > > > > > > direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a
door.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Any amateur on Diego Garcia can tell you. You don't need any
> > specific
> > > > > > > > > amateur on that rock.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Then why'd you ask me to tell something to Diego Garcia? Which
> > > > > > > > particular amateurs on Diego Garcia would you like for me to
enlighten
> > > > > > > with the fact that ragchewing at distance is not DXing?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Any of them. Why?
> > > > >
> > > Well, which ones, Brian? Which would know you and why would those
> > > persons make such an incorrect statement?
> >
> They've probably changed out by now. But why wouldn't the new ones
answer such a simple question?
>
> I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to answer it.
> I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not confirm your
> silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.

If it confirms a country, what's the difference? Should I cut off my fellow countrymen because they've exceeded the 12.3 second El Supremo DXer QSO length rule?

> > > > Dave, am I the only amateur that you consider not to be a DXer? Please
> > make a list of all the amateurs who work DX that you consider not to be
> > DXers.
> > >
> > > You are not alone. There are many amateurs who enjoy ragchewing with
> > > distant stations but who are not DXers.
> >
> > And their country total is what?
>
> Why not ask them?

Why?

If ragchewing at distance is what they do, I'd guess
> their totals are not high. Maybe, as is your idea, they only work 100
> countries in order to qualify for their initial DXCC and then stop.
> Maybe they just work 10m SSB. Maybe they simply spend so much time
> bitching about their lot in amateur radio that they don't have time to
> get on the air much.

Or maybe they just look down their nose on everyone else, poopooh others accomplishments no matter how small, and act like a general shitbyrd just because they've got 300+ confirmed and an A-1 Operators award?

Yep, you've heaped yourself pretty high.

> > > > You can start with the ones who buy new homes in restricted
> > neighborhoods.
> > >
> > > That'd be a short list. Those guys have a tough enough time ragchewing
> > > with domestic hams.
> >
> > True enough. But go ahead and list them.
>
> List 'em yourself.

No, please, you list them.

> > > Dave K8MN
> > > "If you were any weaker, you'd be receiving"
> >
> > If I were using a regen I just might be transmitting.
>
> In any event, the effect would likely be the same.
>
> Dave K8MN

So now you don't like QRPpers?

One final question; Are there any other hams that you actually like, or do you see them all as competitors that must be destroyed else your status be challenged?

2554. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 02:49:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50368

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > >
> > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Eloped?
> >
> > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?

With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you were about to
work were operating within their allocated segments, ragchewing with W4s
and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much where you go.

Dave K8MN

2555. Re: Asking an Honest Qurstion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:14:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aCI19.5939$D82.94667373@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50369

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
news:v_219.40364$ND5.3998559@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:rQ219.13722$kv2.28871999@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> > news:Hw219.40248$ND5.3977614@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:34H%8.93>
> > >
> > > As Larry tells the NCT's who ask "stupid" questions; Go look it up at
> the
> > > > FCC or ARRL web site.
> > >
> > > I beg to differ. Larry has been very accomodating to providing
everyone
> > who
> > > asks for a little refresher information on this ng. On the other hand,
> one
> > > N0iMD tends to launch into one of his well known episodes whenever
> someone
> > > asks a question that he (thinks) he knows the answers to but the
> requester
> > > does not. I completely disagree with with you on this post, Brian.
> > >
> > > 73 de KR4AJ
> >
> > Dann, beg all you want, Larry isn't about to change his spots or turn
over
> a
> > new forest. But at least he doesn't direct pornography toward other
> > RRAPpers as you have tried to do. Just stick to the stupid questions
and
> > you'll not get in trouble with your ISP.
>
> Brian, guess what? You never received materials that would offend your
> alleged moral sensibilities. I never got in trouble with my ISP as I did
not
> violate their TOC's. However, some of the direct ad hominem postings I
have
> seen on some of your postings not only open you up to Prodigy TOC
violations
> but actual criminal liability for slander. If you wish, I can reporst them

> to this ng whenever I read them, and I will copy Prodigy as well. I will
be
> constantly vigilant for everything you post. How do you like that? Deal?
> After all, TOC's are TOC's and the Law is the Law. For one so "sensitive"
to
> "immoral" perturbations, I'm sure you you should have no problem with my
> volunteered surveilance of your accusations and demeaning comments to
anyone
> who disagrees with you.
> Your Ham Buddy
> KR4AJ

Dear Hamm Buddy, knock yourself out. Be sure to cc the folks who are buying out Prodigy. And never, ever send anything directly to my e-mail account ever again. I don't like your kind of pranks. Disagree with me all you want, but keep your comments public and no sick jokes directly to me, please.

In fact, since your post on RRAP requesting that pornography be sent to me, I have received explicit pornography spam. The pictures just come up as part of the message - I don't have to open any attachments or visit a web site. I wonder who would be liable for this distasteful stuff showing up in my mailboX?

You can "reporst" whatever you like, even vehemently disagree. But please keep your comments in English, not Spanish. I could barely figure out your pornography "joke," such as you called it.

2556. Re: Asking an Honest Qurstion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:17:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qFI19.5941$f92.94708220@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50370

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020729182245.03298.00000011@mb-fg.aol.com...
> In article <Hw219.40248$ND5.3977614@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Dan
Finn"
> <djfinn2@bell.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:34H%8.93>
> >
> >> As Larry tells the NCT's who ask "stupid" questions; Go look it up at
the
> >> FCC or ARRL web site.
> >
> >I beg to differ. Larry has been very accomodating to providing everyone
who
> >asks for a little refresher information on this ng. On the other hand,
one
> >N0iMD tends to launch into one of his well known episodes whenever
someone
> >asks a question that he (thinks) he knows the answers to but the
requester
> >does not. I completely disagree with with you on this post, Brian.
>
> "Please refrain from such comments as I consider them to be harrassment.
> Consider this to be fair warning." - KR4AJ

"Captain, I find it quite illogical that this species harrasses one, then
claims to have been harrassed, and then issues a warning."

Spock

2557. Re: The Church of Len, Schismatic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:20:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fII19.5943$E92.94731931@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50371

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.07.30.23.19.10.207343.24042@arrl.org...
> On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 18:21:41 -0400, Brian P Burke wrote:
> > "Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> > news:pan.2002.07.29.11.46.09.129543.12001@arrl.org...
> >> On Sun, 28 Jul 2002 10:12:25 -0400, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for
> > their
> >> > outrageous behavior on RRAP.
> >>
> >> Again: What does that have to do with Len's behavior toward Jim?
> >>
> >> Jon, KE3Z
> >>
> > Jon, it's just a double standard kind of thing.
> >
> > Dick and Larry can be nasty to anyone they want because of some
> > perceived past infraction.
>
> According to who? Not me.

According to either of them, both of them.

> > But Len has to play by different rules.
>
> Len can do as he pleases, as can we all. And his behavior is subject to
> comment, as is each of ours.
>
> Jon

I don't see you commenting on Dick and/or Larry's behavior.

2558. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:21:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730232144.22144.00000413@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50372

>Subject: Re: Age Limits - WHY?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/29/02 00:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020729002729.02933.00000356@mb-bd.aol.com>

>>If you find his remarks denigrating, then he has hit his target.
>
>...that's how I got all the high scores at Nellis.

Careful, Brian. Get your nose any farther up Lennie's arse any you'll
have to wipe it everytime Lennie passes wind...Not that we'd be able to tell
the difference between your diatribe and his wind anyway......

2559. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:27:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1PI19.5945$Nb2.94859597@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50373

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0207300947.5bf1c26@posting.google.com...

> Hey dipshit I got a message for you: I have dxcc's on all five primary
> bands between 3.5 and 29.7 mHz and I did it all with a pair of
> half-shot 572Bs. The plaque is nailed to the wall. I have buddies who
> did it with barefoot xcvrs and spanked me plenty. Without packet
> spots.
> >
> >
> Climb back under yer wet rock.
> >
> >
> w3rv

Why did they spank you? Is this a Fraternity thing like on the movie,
"Animal House?"

2560. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:31:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2SI19.5946$db2.94823128@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50374

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D46FF77.71DEE92B@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > Well "JJ" it actually is a 'big deal' to get DXCC on all those bands.
Do
> > you have any concept of the time and dedication that took? How much
> > knowledge of radio propagation and skill in operating it took to work
those
> > stations. Not to mention the monumental task of actually getting them
> > confirmed?
> >
> > In otherwords "JJ" it IS A BIG DEAL.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> OK, so you spend countless hours in front of a radio making
> contacts that amount to nothing more than exchanging calls signs,
> signal reports and QSL info to get some certificates to hang on
> the wall you can point to to attest to all the hours you spent in
> front of the radio. Over the years I have worked over 275
> countries, all confirmed, on 15 meter sideband. These were real
> QSOs, not just exchange signal reports and run. What good does a
> contact like that do? Never did apply for any wallpaper. Like I
> say, all that and a few coins will get you a cup of coffee.
> Nothing to brag about.

JJ, you must not have known at the time that you're not any good at getting
those 275 countries unless you adhered to the 12.3 second QSO rule.

Hey, I'm just as suprised as you are, but all those "ragchew" DX contacts
gotta go.

;^)

Brian

2561. Re: Asking an Honest Qurstion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:34:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730233411.10742.00000497@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 50375

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>In fact, since your post on RRAP requesting that pornography be sent to me,
>I have received explicit pornography spam.

Um, Brian, every email address on every newsgroup receives such spam.

Get real on this one.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2562. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:34:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7VI19.5950$Ta2.94800088@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50376

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Eloped?
> > > >
> > > > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?
> >
> > With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you were about to
> > work were operating within their allocated segments, ragchewing with W4s
> > and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much where you go.
> >
> > Dave K8MN

But I want El Supreme DXer to pre-approve it. Otherwise it won't count as
my other DX activities haven't counted.
```

2563. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:36:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2XI19.5951$yb2.94844518@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50380

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D474FAB.E6589244@earthlink.net...
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 22:02:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >"Bombs on Target" the motto of the now defunct Strategic Air Command.
> >
> > Sorry, Brian, SAC's motto was "Peace is Our Profession".
> >
> > At least that's what was on the sign over my desk in the SAC
> > Command Posts at Offutt and March AFBs when I was assigned
> > there in the 60s..
> >
> > "Skybird, Skybird, do not answer....."
>
> More like:
>
> "Skyking, Skyking this is Retail, this is Retail--break break--Alfa
> Sierra Papa November. Authentications Tango Yankee. I say again..."
>
> or
>
> "Tong 57, go ahead with your JOPREP JIFFY ATBAR; over"
>
> It's been nearly 32 years but the words won't go away.
>
> Dave K8MN

Nothing from "Buff 52?"

2564. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:37:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cYI19.5953$Fd2.94968849@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50379

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h02xkfa.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 22:02:41 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >"Bombs on Target" the motto of the now defunct Strategic Air Command.
>
> Sorry, Brian, SAC's motto was "Peace is Our Profession".
>
> At least that's what was on the sign over my desk in the SAC
> Command Posts at Offutt and March AFBs when I was assigned
> there in the 60s..
>
> "Skybird, Skybird, do not answer....."
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Yeh, you're right. I recall seeing it at Loring. Where did I get "Bombs on
Target" from?

2565. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:39:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <JZI19.5956$S72.94629408@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50377

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020730232144.22144.00000413@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Age Limits - WHY?
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 07/29/02 00:27 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020729002729.02933.00000356@mb-bd.aol.com>
>
> >>If you find his remarks denigrating, then he has hit his target.
> >
> >...that's how I got all the high scores at Nellis.
>
> Careful, Brian. Get your nose any farther up Lennie's arse any
you'll
> have to wipe it everytime Lennie passes wind...Not that we'd be able to
tell
> the difference between your diatribe and his wind anyway......

You two really need to make nice. Wouldn't you rather waste time more
productively?

;^)

Brian

2566. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:40:27 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730234027.10742.00000499@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 50378

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Can you not envision how a person
>can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?

o By passing only portions of the examination for a particular class of license
at one sitting and having the CSCE expire
o By passing the exams and losing the CSCE
o By passing the old Tech exam and not bothering to apply for a new General

I am sure there are a few more combinations of not doing what the regulations
require to get a license that would result in someone having passed the
necessary elements but not gaining privileges.

Life is tough, isn't it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2567. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:44:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730234407.10742.00000500@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 50381

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>No, I am not confused. If I know the target countries operating priveleges,
>then I know where to look for them. And should the French amateurs try to
>contact me out of band on 6M, I wouldn't work them because I would know they
>were out of band

Without looking, how many countries' operating privileges do you know off the
top of your head?

Are you not expecting something of Dave that you do not expect of yourself? In
the pileups your getting on the air must generate, do you really tell stations
to QRX while you check whether they have the privileges to work you on the
frequency you are using? We know that you now know this of French stations,
but just how many countries do you know?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFIi

2568. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:46:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730234611.10742.00000501@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 50382

Hey, guys, could you trim all the irrelevant parts of the posts you are responding to? This is getting mighty tough to follow.

Thanks.

Ed

>Subject: Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/28/02 9:47 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D44C8E4.286E626E@earthlink.net>
>
>Brian P Burke wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:3D445961.BBDEF415@earthlink.net...
>> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > >
>> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > news:3D4371C8.C54065F3@earthlink.net...
>> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > news:3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
>> Brian P Burke wrote:
>> >
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
>> 3. Arbitrary and unnecessary never benefitted
>> > > > > > anyone.
>> >
>> Incentive licensing rewards those who put in the
>> > > > > effort to
>> > > > > > > > > > > > learn

>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > additional material with additional spectrum.
>> > > Arbitrary
>> > > > > and
>> > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > have yet to be proven.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > I have learned, I have not been rewarded. Sounds
>> > > arbitrary
>> > > > > and
>> > > > > > > > > > > unnecessary.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Then you didn't follow the simple instructions for
>> > > success.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Sure I did. I passed the exams.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > If you passed the exams, you were rewarded with
>additional
>> > > > > > frequencies.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > It can't be both ways, Brian.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Why not?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > That should be apparent, even to you. If you didn't pass,
>you
>> > > aren't
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > rewarded with additional spectrum or privileges. If you did
>> pass,
>> > > you
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > do receive additional spectrum or privileges.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave, I passed them yet do not have the priveleges. And I've
>> > > previously
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > stated why I don't have those priveleges. Can you not envision
>> how
>> > > a
>> > > > > > > > > person
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > can pass the exams and not gain priveleges?
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > About the only way I could see that happening is if you didn't
>> pass
>> > > ALL
>> > > > > > > > > > > the required exams. If you didn't pass all of the required
>exams,
>> you
>> > > > > > > > > > > weren't rewarded. Those who pass all the exams ARE rewarded.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > (Lack of comment noted)
>> > > > >

>> > > Lack of insight noted.
>> >
>> > My insight tells me that you blew smoke. You didn't pass all the
>> > required exams or you would have been rewarded. That you didn't pass
>> > rests on you, not on the person making note of it.
>>
>> Noted.
>>
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9,
>> /KH2,
>> > > and
>> > > > > > /T5?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You tell us. You commented one time about what
>> you
>> > > > > considered
>> > > > > > > > to
>> > > > > > > > > > > > be
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > DXing from your station in the U.S. Let's see if
>> you
>> > > can
>> > > > > > > be
>> > > > > > > > > as
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > consistent in your explanation as you say you've
>> been
>> > > in
>> > > > > > other
>> > > > > > > > > > > > things.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > There you have it.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > How is that wrong?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > How is that a description of DXing?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Should I chant or face the East or something?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to ask
>> me
>> > > > > > > > > > questions.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > But I am, and I do. So answer the question.
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > You need to verify that you know.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > I need to verify to you that I know? I'll let my country count speak

>> to
>> > > > that in reference to my operation from American soil. As to my
>> > > > operations abroad, feel free to check any of the online DX
>newsletters
>> > > > or back issues of any magazines containing DX news.
>> > > >
>> > > Oh, I forgot you were the greatest DXer in the world. Forgive me.
>> >
>> > I've never made that claim. That I am a DXer is documented.
>>
>> How much of a DXer are you?
>
>I'm much of a DXer.
>
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > According to you, I'm not supposed to learn other
>> > > countries
>> > > > > > > > > > > > authorizations
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > so I'll know where to look for them. Instead I'm
>> just
>> > > > > supposed
>> > > > > > > > to
>> > > > > > > > > > > tune
>> > > > > > > > > > > > from
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > one end of the band to the other hoping to stumble
>> > > across
>> > > > > > the
>> > > > > > > > > > ones I
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > need.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Tuning works. You'll overcome the stumbling in time.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Why would some of the DXing manuals publish the 80 and
>> 160
>> > > meter
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > authorizations of the rest of the world?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > I don't believe I stated that you shouldn't be permitted
>> to
>> > > look
>> > > > > > up 80
>> > > > > > > > > > > > and 160 allocations.
>> > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > Then what did you say? I should look them up, then forget
>> them
>> > > when
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > someone out of band tries to caontact me?
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > I don't recall writing that. I'd think you'd look up
>> allocations

>> > > in
>> > > > > > > > > order to find DX you wanted to contact, not for finding those
>> you
>> > > > > don't
>> > > > > > > > wish to contact.
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Yes that is correct.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > You seemed confused.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > No, I am not confused. If I know the target countries operating
>> > > privileges,
>> > > > > > then I know where to look for them. And should the French amateurs
>> try
>> > > to
>> > > > > > contact me out of band on 6M, I wouldn't work them because I would
>> know
>> > > they
>> > > > > > were out of band.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > I haven't the slightest amount of confusion about this.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Your comments indicated confusion. If you'd like to look up
>> allocations
>> > > > for each station you contact on each band, you are fee to do that.
>> Most
>> > > > folks don't do that.
>> > >
>> > > Why wouldn't you look up the ones that you need? Do you choose to
>> remain
>> > > ignorant of where they might be, or do you get some joy out of
>stumbling
>> up
>> > > and down the bands hoping they might appear one day?
>> >
>> > I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've
>> > needed on 80 or 160m.
>>
>> Previously you've told me that you just find them by tuning about. Now you
>> are claiming that you've looked them up. My only confusion is what to
>> believe, what you said then or what you are saying now.
>
>Actually, if you'll read some of the above exchange, YOU asked if you
>should tune about the band. I wrote "Tuning works". You appear to be
>arguing with yourself at this point.
>
>> > > Perhaps they have no authorization where you've been trying to find
>> them.
>> >
>> > I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've

>> > needed on 80 or 160m.
>> >
>> > > Why are you so confused?
>> >
>> > Probably because I've been dealing with your cryptic material.
>>
>> Cryptic as in when I look up authorizations its a bad thing indicating that
>> I don't know much about DX, or cryptic as in when you look up
>authorizations
>> because that's what a smart DXer does?
>
>Cryptic as in: you seem to be arguing with items which you posted.
>
>> > > > > > > > > > > > Dave, you chase band/countries. I had one band. If
>> DXCC is
>> > > 100
>> > > > > > > > > countries,
>> > > > > > > > > > > why would I work 10,000 QSO's?
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > Do you mean that you believe that one gets to 100
>> countries
>> > > worked
>> > > > > > and
>> > > > > > > > > > > confirmed and then stops chasing new ones? Do you have
>> any
>> > > idea
>> > > > > > how
>> > > > > > > > > > > many entities are on the DXCC list? As far as having
>only
>> one
>> > > > > > band
>> > > > > > > > and
>> > > > > > > > > > > and one mode, those limitations were things you could
>have
>> > > done
>> > > > > > > > > > > something about.
>> > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > Instead I made much of my license by being and working DX.
>> But
>> > > you
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > appear to have a problem with that.
>> > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > I don't have a problem with that, Brian but you really didn't
>> do
>> > > that
>> > > > > > > > > "instead", you did it at the time. You don't travel now to
>DX
>> > > spots
>> > > > > > > to

>> > > > > > > > operate. Have you stopped being a DXer now that you're in
>the
>> > > U.S.?
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > No matter what I do, you will have some problem with it. I've
>> > > accepted
>> > > > > > > that.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > I understand if you find my question embarrassing.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > I don't find my license class embarassing, nor being and chasing DX
>> with
>> > > > > that license embarassing.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > I find your unethical DXing embarassing. Typical ugly American
>> abroad.
>> > > >
>> > > That's a tough one, Brian, in light of the fact that I haven't done
>> any
>> > > "unethical" DXing. Your "ugly American" comment has no basis in
>fact.
>> > >
>> > You must still be confused. You claimed that you would continue to
>work
>> Frenchmen out of band even after you learned that they were out of band.
>> >
>> > I need only concern myself with operating where I AM PERMITTED TO
>> > OPERATE. I've only made this statement about eight or ten times. Maybe
>> > you'll pick up on it by and by.
>>
>> So you WILL work others who are out of band, even when you know they are
>out
>> of band?
>
>Let's try it again. I wrote "I need only concern myself with operating
>where I AM PERMITTED TO OPERATE." Read what I wrote, not what you think
>I wrote.
>
>> > > > > > > > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
>> > > > > > > > > until I'm an Extra, does it?
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its
>> territories
>> > > and
>> > > > > > > > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S.
>> > > possession.
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX country?
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.

>> > > > >
>> > > > > Are you saying that Somalia cannot be a DX country because it is
>not
>> a
>> > > U.S.
>> > > > > posession?
>> > > >
>> > > > You aren't keeping up. I said Somalia isn't regulated under Part 97.
>> > > > How about HL9 operations? Are they governed by Part 97?
>> > >
>> > > Still confused? How does being regulated by the U.S. relate to an
>> entity being DX or not being DX?
>> >
>> > I certainly am confused on this one, Brian. Your own comments were
>> > "Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX until I'm an Extra, does
>> > it?" Part 97 doesn't deal with "being DX" at all unless you happen to
>> > be in a U.S. territory or possession.
>>
>> No wonder you're the greatest DXer in the world.
>
>It's flattering that you think so, Brian but I've already stated that
>I've never made such a claim.
>
>> Please tell us what Part 97 has to say about being or working DX.
>
>I didn't initiate the gabble about Part 97 as it relates to being DX.
>You did. You wrote: "Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> until I'm an Extra, does it?" I don't believe Part 97 says anything
>about being DX or working DX. Part 97 certainly doesn't, as I've
>pointed out several times, have anything to do with amateur radio
>operations from places which are not part of the United States or its
>possessions/territories. If you are in the United States or its
>possessions/territories, Part 97 has everything to do with any and all
>of your amateur radio operation, DXing included.
>
>> What does
>> it recommend for me to get my SSB rate up? Does it say I should stay >
>with the foot switch or should I use VOX?
>
>You claim that you're a DXer. Don't you know? Want some hints on
>bringing your 40m rate up?--your CW rate?
>
>> I think Part 97 doesn't say squat about DX, but you're free to interpret it
>> any way you like.
>
>As far as my operations from Guinea-Bissau, Sierra Leone, Botswana,
>Finland, the Aland Islands, Market Reef or Tanzania, I didn't have to
>interpret Part 97 at all. I didn't operate under Part 97 or any other
>FCC regs. I didn't bring Part 97 up. You did.
>

>> > > As a matter of fact, I don't think the FCC published a DX list. As
>> close as
>> > > the FCC comes is to publish a list of 3rd party countries.
>> >
>> > That's right. The FCC doesn't regulate your operation from Somalia or
>> > tell you that you can't operate from there with or without an Extra
>> > Class ticket either.
>>
>> As a matter of fact, there was no regulatory authority in Somalia when I
>was
>> there. So what was your point?
>
>We haven't gotten to a need for my point. You first need to make YOUR
>point. I'm still trying to figure out if you are simply trying to be
>cute or whether you're really thick.
>
>> > > > > FYI, the USA can be a DX country if you're in the Falkland Islands.
>> > > >
>> > > > Really? Who knew! BTW, I'm not in the Falkland Islands.
>> > >
>> > > Yes, really. Does it really matter where you are?
>> >
>> > Yes, it does matter.
>> >
>> > You are the greatest DXer ever, wherever you are.
>> >
>> > If you choose to think so, that's fine by me, Brian. In fairness, I've
>> > never made such a claim nor would I do so. I think I can safely make
>> > the claim that I know more about DXing than you.
>>
>> But how much more? Let's quantify it.
>>
>> On a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 being the most brilliant DXer ever, where
>> would you place yourself?
>
>You aren't being fair, Brian. I don't need to compare myself with the
>world's most brilliant DXer. I'm dealing with you. Let's compare your
>DXing knowledge and abilities with mine. Put me at 100 if you like.
>Now where do you fit on the 1 to 100 scale?
>
>> > > > > > > > > > > Are you saying that my DX QSOs aren't any good because
>I
>> > > didn't
>> > > > > work
>> > > > > > > > > > 10,000
>> > > > > > > > > > > of them?
>> > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > No. I'm saying that you don't even understand the
>concept
>> of

>> > > > > DXing.
>> > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > Gosh. You're simply wrong, then.
>> > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > ...not if I go by what you've stated here.
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a
>> > > pre-conceived
>> > > > > > > idea
>> > > > > > > > > that whatever I do is wrong.
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be
>> DXing.
>> > > > > > > Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges
>> between
>> > > us.
>> > > > > > > Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion
>that
>> > > > > > everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about everything
>> you
>> > > do.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > So is Somalia now a US Posession?
>> > > >
>> > > That is a response to my statements?
>> > >
>> > I did operate in Somalia. What about it?
>> >
>> > Your stuff isn't even coherent anymore. Your question about Somalia
>> > being a U.S. possession was strange. Your added comment is waaaaaay out
>> > there.
>>
>> It was your comment that was incredibly strange. The US government has no
>> regulatory authority in Somalia.
>
>I believe I may have pointed that out several times. It's nice to see
>that you finally caught on.
>
>> Why did you bring it up inside that
>> relationship?
>
>It was in response to your comment on what Part 97 says about being DX.
>Tell us how your operation from Somalia related in any way to Part 97.
>
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > When I've got a pile-up, I run it.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > How?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > One at a time.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >

>> > > > > > > > > > > How?
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > How do you do it?
>> > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > You're claiming to be a DXer. Why are you asking me? Don't
>> you
>> > > know?
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > I know how. I've done it. Just like I said, "one at a time."
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > That's pretty much descriptive of almost any amateur radio QSO.
>> > > > > > > > Funny--most of the DXers I know have a more complex idea of how a
>> > > pileup
>> > > > > > > > is handled from the DX end.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > Most? What of the ones who don't hold your view? Do you make fun
>> of
>> > > them?
>> > > > > >
>> > > > If they ragchew with G's and consider that to be DXing, I'll be happy
>> to
>> > > > poke fun at them. If they believe that the DXCC program is about
>> > > > working 100 countries and then stopping, then yes, I'll make fun of
>> > > > them. If they, like you, would ask a DX station to confirm that he
>is
>> > > > operating within his assigned allocation and tacks a cute "QSL?" to
>> the
>> > > > end of the exchange, then yes, I'd laugh at him.
>> > >
>> > > Wow! Dave laughs at DXCC.
>> >
>> No, Dave laughs at Brian.
>> >
>> > > The greatest DXer of all time laughs at the very
>> > > award system that makes it all possible.
>> >
>> No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls it DXing.
>>
>> And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count? You might
>> want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility is
>slipping.
>
>I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My credibility is
>intact but I'm still laughing.
>
>> > > The greatest DXer of all time
>> > > laughs at all of the little people in DX that makes it all possible.
>> >
>> Who is the greatest DXer of all time? Was it Don Wallace?
>>

>> David Heil., Tar Paper Shack, WV.
>
>I've never made such a claim. I am a DXer though so you got part of it
>right.
>
>> > > Dave, when I look at the DXCC list, I swear G-land is on there. If I >
>> fill it by a 12.73 second QSO vs a 12 minute QSO, what's the > difference
>to
>> you?
>> >
>> > No difference, Brian. Let me know about you 12 minute QSOs with the
>> > Laccadives, Glorioso, or North Korea. I can hardly wait. It'd be even
>> > better if you manage to disrupt a pileup to make them.
>>
>> Why would I do something stupid like that?
>
>It fits a pattern.
>
>> > > The DXCC desk counts it, but then you would laugh at them and me.
>> >
>> > No, I'd only laugh at you. I promise.
>> >
>> > > That smug attitude of yours sure shines through.
>> >
>> > Don't forget that you'll be able to hear the peals of laughter--probably
>> > without the aid of a radio receiver.
>>
>> No doubt. I hear it whenever I drive by the State Hospital for the
>> Criminally Insane.
>
>So they know about your DXing prowess too?
>
>> > > > > > > Do you work them two at a time? five?
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Divine it from the ether. You're a DXer. You've said so.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > I knew there was something magical. You are truly magical.
>> > > >
>> > > > I've worked 'em at the rate of over 300 QSOs/hr on SSB and over
>200/hr
>> > > > on CW. I'm sure that an experienced DXer like you has done much
>> better.
>> > >
>> > > Dave, you must be confused again. I've never made that claim.
>> >
>> > I'm deferring to your vast experience, Brian. There's not much that a
>> > guy like me could show a master such as you.
>> >
>> > > 2 or 3 a minute is about as fast as I can write them in my log. The
>> Heil

>> > > headset and footswitch was a big help.
>> >
>> > Write them in your log? By hand? Dark ages, man!
>>
>> But I'm a neat freak. The writing has to be good enough that I can confirm
>> them a year later when the cards start rolling in from the buro.
>
>I can see where your neatly penned entries would be faster and cleaner
>than entering the material into a computer log. I'm sure that most top
>DXers also send their requests for needed countires and expect replies
>via the bureau system. That makes lots of sense too.
>
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > QSLing was a huge chore.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > That's what managers are for. Any time you spend
>> QSLing,
>> > > you
>> > > > > > > > > can't
>> > > > > > > > > > > use
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > for operating.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > But I consider it my job.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I had a job and a "second job" handling the pileups. Why
>> > > waste
>> > > > > > > time
>> > > > > > > > > > in
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > a rare spot making out QSL cards?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > So QSLing is now a waste of time? Some DXer.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Yes, QSLing is a waste of operating time. Why do you think
>> rare
>> > > > > > > > > > stations usually have a QSL manager? Some DXer!
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Someone is a stamp collector?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > My QSL manager of fifteen years isn't a stamp collector. No one
>> in
>> > > > > his
>> > > > > > > > > > family is a stamp collector. Any other guesses?
>> > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > You pay him handsomely?
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > You believe that my QSL manager is paid? You sure are a DXer, Brian.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > You should get him to log for you, too. You might get your rate up.
>> > > > >
>> > > How might that be accomplished with the two of us separated by some
>> > > thousands of miles? Is this another of your DXing secrets?

>>
>> You could get married and the State Department would pay to move the both
>of
>> you.
>
>Your response carries the same weight as your "the F6 station, please
>confirm that you're operating within your allocation. QSL?"
>
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I
>did
>> > > enjoy
>> > > > > > > > > > ragchewing
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Ragchewing isn't DXing.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > What is it?
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > It is ragchewing.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Tell that to Diego Garcia.
>> > > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's his call?
>> > > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > > > Don't know. Why?
>> > > > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > > > You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that I
>> > > > should
>> > > > > > > > > direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a
>door.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Any amateur on Diego Garcia can tell you. You don't need any
>> specific
>> > > > > amateur on that rock.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Then why'd you ask me to tell something to Diego Garcia? Which
>> > > > particular amateurs on Diego Garcia would you like for me to
>enlighten
>> > > with the fact that ragchewing at distance is not DXing?
>> > >
>> > > Any of them. Why?
>> >
>> > Well, which ones, Brian? Which would know you and why would those
>> > persons make such an incorrect statement?
>>
>> They've probably changed out by now. But why wouldn't the new ones answer
>such a simple question?
>
>I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to answer it.
>I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not confirm your

>silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.
>
>> > > Dave, am I the only amateur that you consider not to be a DXer? Please
>> make a list of all the amateurs who work DX that you consider not to be
>> DXers.
>> >
>> > You are not alone. There are many amateurs who enjoy ragchewing with
>> > distant stations but who are not DXers.
>>
>> And their country total is what?
>
>Why not ask them? If ragchewing at distance is what they do, I'd guess
>their totals are not high. Maybe, as is your idea, they only work 100
>countries in order to qualify for their initial DXCC and then stop.
>Maybe they just work 10m SSB. Maybe they simply spend so much time
>bitching about their lot in amateur radio that they don't have time to
>get on the air much.
>
>> > > You can start with the ones who buy new homes in restricted
>> neighborhoods.
>> >
>> > That'd be a short list. Those guys have a tough enough time ragchewing
>> > with domestic hams.
>>
>> True enough. But go ahead and list them.
>
>List 'em yourself.
>
>> > Dave K8MN
>> > "If you were any weaker, you'd be receiving"
>>
>> If I were using a regen I just might be transmitting.
>
>In any event, the effect would likely be the same.
>
>Dave K8MN
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2569. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:49:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Q6J19.5964$Be2.95018666@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50384

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.07.31.02.36.54.470475.24042@arrl.org...
> On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 18:15:00 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> > <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
> >
> >>On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:22:44 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> > The word "bloom" is much more associated with flowers and plants. ARRL
> > has been portrayed as the "flower of US ham-dom." :-)
>
> Do you think the smiley excuses your ill-mannered behavior?
>
> >>What does interesting nonmembers in ARRL have to do with your behavior
> >>toward Jim? More misdirection!
> >
> > I'm not communicating with any "Jim" here.
>
> Ah, that's right, you're communicating with "Jimmie Boy" since you are
> unwilling to treat others with even a modicum of common courtesy.

What affectionate names does Dick use in his communications and why do you
only comment about what Len says?

2570. Re: ARRL Love in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:50:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730235055.22144.00000418@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50383

>Subject: ARRL Love in Bloom
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/29/02 00:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020729002724.02933.00000353@mb-bd.aol.com>

>I've already archived the back-ups in here in previous repetitions.

No, Anderson, ALL you have done is archived your repetitive allegations.

Not one shred of "evidence" of ANY misdeed, EXCEPT for your opinions and allegations.

>I call hypocrisy as dishonest. ARRL claims leadership of [US] ham radio
>but their leadership actions seem more to be following what other
>countries have done in nurturing technology or achieving more bandspace.
>Gave that in another message today.

Then you have provided not one shred of "EVIDENCE" of ANY misdeed.

Do you need a copy of Webster's from which to draw a definition of "evidence" from, Lennie?

>Are professionals undesired?

If YOU are the milestone by which we determine, "professional", I certainly hope so!

>No. But, coming from a late president of the ARRL, a suggestion to
>"find out about radio" was in poor taste, borderline civility to an
>already-working radio-electronics professional.

You really do dig hard to find things to be indignant about, don't you, Lennie? You ARE a "professional" victim.

>>I asked for evidence, Len, not more character assasination and baseless
>>charges. Maybe the problem is that you just can't tell the difference?
>
>No. You've just been both patronizing and sarcastic.

No matter how patronizing and saracstic you think he is, he has still asked you a VALID question...What EVIDENCE do you ahve of ARRL dishonesty?

>No problem here.

Wrong. Your problems are abundant and apparent. Too bad you won't do anything to fix them.

>I get the feeling that anything at all not in agreement with ARRL policy,
>statements, or everything else is "character assassination and baseless

>charges."

It is when you make allegations you can't back up with facts.

>I don't, haven't billed myself as a "radio expert."

Liar.

Jon said: >>Uh, Len, CW capability is a throw-in with just about any
narrowband radio.
>>I'd think that you, a radio expert, would realize that. So why would
>>anyone building a narrowband radio for use in the Amateur Radio Service
>>leave it out? And since CW is widely used in the ARS, what's wrong with
>>publishing designs that implement it?

Lennie followed:

>I don't, haven't billed myself as a "radio expert." I have a lot of
>experience
>in many areas of radio...and as a human being...to recognize the above for
>what it is. "CW" (on-off keying CW) is not a required mode according to
>the FCC, no more so that any other allocated mode on a band. "Widely" as
>in "widely used" is subjective and not quantitative. To say "in the ARS"
>would include the entire amateur bands above 30 MHz because it is not
>specific to the HF region. You made an unsubstantiated claim which
>does not appear to be true; i.e., that is dishonesty.

WHAT "unsubstantiated claim" did he make, Lennie?

>You want to misdirect away from my point that ARRL favors "CW"
>more than other modes. You do that misdirection by dishonest
>statements in a tone bordering on character assassination with baseless
>charges.

Youa re the one with misdirections, Lennie. Firthermore, it's several
days now that you have been asked to back up your "ARRL is dishonest" claims.
I want to see evidence.

>>Would you favor supression of publication of information about a mode
>>that's widely used and enjoyed just because you personally dislike the
>>mode?
>
>Irrelevant.

No, it's NOT "irrelevant". YOU have made a career out of Amateur bashing
over the Morse Code test question. You were asked a specific question relative
to that mode. It's NOT "irrelevant".

>Jon, you are simply unable to take negative criticism of your employer.

You are not offering criticism. You are making a citeable allegation
under both California and Connecticut law. you ahve been asked to provide
specific evidence of that allegation.

>Neither am I a member of the ARRL or a licensed radio amateur and
>the attitudes presented in here are not conducive to changing either.

Thank God for that.

As discussed in posts eons ago, the average "percentage" of Amateur Radio licensees participating in this NG is far less than 1% of all licensees.

Your chances of being in a fatal car accident are far greater than your likelyhood of having to break bread with anyone in this NG.

If you were going to get a license, it would have happened. You are "here" only to spite and antagonize.

>I simply answer what I care to answer along with references and
>sources to prove facts.

Then WHERE are the "references and sources" to PROVE your allegations about ARRL dishonesty, Lennie?

>I AM having a problem with yourself and others not accepting
>observations and evaluations, of dismissing them with a royal wave
>of the hand as if they do not exist.

You have not provided anything even remotely tangible. Not ONE case of "John Q Amateur, an officer of the ARRL, was [enter dishonest act] and that is why I consider the ARRL dishonest".

>I can reply to demands for scans
>of proof of something and understand the demanders refusing to
>open them up and viewing them, and of making scurrilous charges
>of their being virus-laden and all other terrible things without
>bothering to test for those.

You mean after the first one you sent was an obscene gesture rahter than the information you promised to provide, Lennie..???

>That's par for this course.

Yes, Lennie, such conduct from you IS par for the course.

>I didn't expect much else. I have other things to
>do than argue against Big Brother's faithful disciples about Beliefs.

I am sure that the effort you expend creating your OWN "belief system" is tiring, Lennie.

I am sure being a pathological liar isn't easy.

2571. Re: Len, Lies and Videotape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:56:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730235610.22144.00000419@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50385

>Subject: Len, Lies and Videotape
>From: Jon Bloom jbloom@arrl.org
>Date: 07/29/02 08:53 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <pan.2002.07.29.12.53.50.740559.12001@arrl.org>

>Sending a copy of your commercial license to Steve and Jim has nothing to
>do with the exchange between you and me. It also has nothing to do with
>your false charges of dishonesty and usurpation against ARRL.

What I got from Lennie, Jon, was a picture of an adult male exposing
himself.

I didn't open any other files from Lennie. if he couldn't do what he
promised on the first go-around, he expended his privilges here.

Not that I expected anything else.

73

steve, K4YZ

2572. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 03:58:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730235853.22144.00000420@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50386

>Subject: Re: 10 meter = CB?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/29/02 18:22 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020729182241.03298.00000009@mb-fg.aol.com>

>I will suggest that the "power wars" began rather earlier...(SNIP)

What has this to do with the Morse Code test issue, Lennie?

Remember, this forum was created solely for the Morse Code test issue, and
any deviation from that is misdirection and obfuscation...or so YOU have said
in past posts.

Change your mind?

Steve

2573. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 04:08:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731000837.22144.00000421@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50387

>Subject: Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/28/02 17:59 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020728175910.14319.00000381@mb-bh.aol.com>
>

>>> What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior toward
>>> other people?
>>
>>Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for their
>>outrageous behavior on RRAP.
>
>Brian, it's just another little nail in the coffin called "double standard."

No, Lennie...it's a nail in the coffin of any credibiltiy that you THINK
you have.

You rail against certain conduct levied agains YOU, yet you turn around
and use the same "tactics" on others who have done no such thing to you.

THERE is your "double standard".

>One cannot say anything bad about the ARRL for any reason
>because they ARE amateur radio. How does everyone know?
>Simple. ARRL says so, therefore it is sacrosanct.

The "topic" was NOT about the ARRL. It's about your insistence over using
belittling terms of address against Jim Miccolis.

Just more Lennisms.

2574. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 04:13:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731001352.22144.00000422@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50388

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/29/02 00:27 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020729002729.02933.00000355@mb-bd.aol.com>
>

>What is pitiable is that the little barking dog opened a new subject
>thread demanding to see a scan of my license. He got a scan at
>300 DPI in private e-mail, says he "deleted it" without viewing it.
>He got another scan at 150 DPI (smaller file by still quite readable)
>and hasn't said a thing about it.

What the "barking little dog" got was a picture of a person exposing
himself.

>He'd rather bark little dog
>obscenities rather than actually get his demands.

He'd like to open mail without seeing a person exposing himself in public.

I guess this was "radio professional" humor. Sorry I didn't get it.

2575. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 04:20:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731002001.22144.00000423@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50389

>Subject: Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF
>From: "Erik" not@this.juncture.net
>Date: 07/30/02 07:03 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <iiscku8supl19g5meh91p0ebgu3rn0athu@4ax.com>
>
>Thanks guys! That helps & confirms what I had hoped. And yes, I will
>double-check with me VE, but I think I'm in good shape.

Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you ever exercise the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your previous Element 1 credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.

73 & good luck!

Steve, K4YZ

2576. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 04:27:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731002724.22144.00000425@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50390

>Subject: Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 07/30/02 21:21 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D4765D2.6040207@adelphia.net>

>Hold on a second! After learning how to find these objects, I can now
>navigate around in the night sky. I can participate as an amateur
>astronomer in the search for asteroids, or add to the data on the
>planets, two fields in which amateurs provide a lot of information. And
>I had fun at the same time.
>

Careful, Mike! This is almost TOO much like "Field Day does nothing to prepare for emergencies"...! Sure is embarrassing when these idiots get their rants ripped apart!

73

Steve, K4YZ

PS...Are you part of SETI?

SR

2577. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 04:30:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731003055.22144.00000426@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50391

>Subject: Re: The ARRL in Bloom
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 07/30/02 22:37 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D474D54.53713B02@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>> >Don't say ridiculous things if you don't want to look ridiculous. Are you
>> >planning to defend your claim that ARRL engages in "subtle manipulation of
>> >minds," or just leave it lying there, looking asinine?
>>
>> I already explained in a post on Bill Sohl's comment.
>>
>> If you want to remain the arrogant patron, you are welcome to all
>> the outrage you can muster. Readers can judge for themselves as
>> to who is "ridiculous" and who isn't. :-)
>
>Okay, Len. I've read the stuff. I've decided for myself that you are
>ridiculous and that Jon isn't.

Ditto. In spades.....

73

Steve, K4YZ

2578. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 04:34:09 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukeq4nmlsocv72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50392

Well, I sent an e-mail to 'em. The reply was that they NEVER refer to 10 or 12 meter radios as CB. Only 11 meter radios are CB. They are 'clean'. Of course, anyone can buy from them and I STILL don't know any amateurs that own a 10 meter only radio (nor a 10/12 meter radio for that matter).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c9ceae5b.0207282237.3421f141@posting.google.com...
> Here's a site called "Bob's CB Radio, 10 meter Radio & Antennas".....
> http://www.bobscb.com/bobs_cb.htm
>
> Here's an editorial I found on a site for truck drivers........
>
> http://www.layover.com/driverscorner/cbshop/0102.html
> The Power Wars Continue
> By Stan Wells
>
> The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
> Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to
> add more channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from
> 23 to 40 channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these
> frequencies from 5 watts to 3.5 watts. Shortly thereafter, the power
> wars began. It was soon discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was
> virtually unregulated. This gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy
> revolution. These radios were easy to convert to 11-meters which is
> the CB band. Additionally, they had 25 watts of power. They eventually
> captured nearly half of the sales in the CB marketplace with the
> addition of many other brand names to the field--some now offering as
> much as 250 watts of power. The current top seller is the General Lee.
> This radio is a copy of the Connex 3300 HP with the dual finals. This
> allows the radio to run both finals at the same time, giving nearly
> double the power output of the single final radio.

2579. 5mhz update from NVIS group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 04:46:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wYJ19.6015$4h2.95168114@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50394

I snatched this off the NVIS group. I wonder if the RSGB findings will
mirror the ARRL findings?

===UK 5MHz Band Starts 01 August 2002===

The RSGB just announced the allocation of 5 channels in the new
60metre amateur band for 4 years of UK amateur radio NVIS experiments.

5260kHz= 1st Working Channel="FA"
5280kHz= 2nd Working Channel="FB"
5290kHz= 3rd Working Channel="FC"
5400kHz= Primary Calling Channel="FE"
5405kHz= Secondary Calling Channel="FM"

A Radiocommunications Agency Notice of Variation (NOV) is required for
UK amateur radio licence holders before operating on these 3kHz-wide
channels. Applications are handled by RSGB. The first NOVs are
expected to be issued on or after 01 AUG 2002. Amateurs may apply for
the NOVs by application forms provided by RSGB (on the website). All
operation is by the use of nets. Channels are +/-1.5kHz from the
listed centre frequencies. Stations will use the word "Foxtrot"
instead of calling CQ, and the first station on a blank channel is
considered "net control", which is passed on to another station after
signing off. More accurate transmitter frequency stability is required
for operation. Communications with military and military cadet
stations is permitted.

Channel Notes:
5260 "FA" [LSB: 5261.5kHz]
Preferred for speech Nets.
0700-1000UTC NVIS Only
Other times: Controlled Nets

5280 "FB" [LSB: 5281.5kHz]
Preferred for CW or Narrowband Data (<650Hz)
24Hours Controlled Nets

5290 "FC" [LSB: 5291.5kHz]
Preferred for Wideband Data (>600Hz)
0100-0259UTC International Tests
0300-0559UTC Data Only
1800-2059UTC Data Only
Other times: Controlled Nets
Times may shift as solar cycle progresses

5400 "FE" [LSB: 5401.5kHz]
Primary Calling Channel
0000-0659UTC Controlled Nets
Other times: Calling Only
Make contact here, then QSY to channels FA,FB,FC

5405 "FM" [LSB: 5406.5kHz]
Secondary Calling Channel
24Hours Calling Only
Make contact here, then QSY to channels FA,FB,FC

Although any mode (except PULSE) may be used if it fits inside the "spot frequency" 3kHz channel bandwidth, the LSB dial frequencies are listed in [brackets] to make it easier for operators to tune in the "Foxtrot" stations. Amateurs traditionally use LSB on frequencies below 9MHz. It is likely that LSB will continue to be the common SSB mode amongst amateurs in the 60 metre band. However, it is possible that communications with the military cadet stations may occur in USB mode.

"NOV" application for UK Full Class A licence holders only:
http://www.rsgb.org/licensing/fivemegs/application5mhz.pdf

This HFpack Bulletin may be forwarded to other amateur radio operators.

For more information about HFpack, The HF Portable Group, please visit the website:
Click here
http://www.hfpack.com

A complete listing of common HF Portable Frequencies (including 60 metres) is available on the HFpack website, or:
http://www.qsl.net/hfpack/freq.html

--
HFpack Bulletin. Date 29 July 2002
HFpack. The HF Portable Group.

--
73---Bonnie KQ6XA
HFpack moderator
--

NOTE: The above preliminary information is derived from published information and other sources, is sent out only as educational information, and is not guaranteed for accuracy or compliance. Please consult your operational authorisation rules and regulations before operating!

2580. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 04:48:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <C_J19.6022$Vw2.96029304@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50395

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020731000837.22144.00000421@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 07/28/02 17:59 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020728175910.14319.00000381@mb-bh.aol.com>
> >
>
> >>> What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior toward
> >>> other people?
> >>
> >>Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for
their
> >>outrageous behavior on RRAP.
> >
> >Brian, it's just another little nail in the coffin called "double
standard."
>
> No, Lennie...it's a nail in the coffin of any credibiltiy that you
THINK
> you have.
>
> You rail against certain conduct levied agains YOU, yet you turn
around
> and use the same "tactics" on others who have done no such thing to you.
>
> THERE is your "double standard".
>
> >One cannot say anything bad about the ARRL for any reason
> >because they ARE amateur radio. How does everyone know?
> >Simple. ARRL says so, therefore it is sacrosanct.
>
> The "topic" was NOT about the ARRL. It's about your insistence over
using
> belittling terms of address against Jim Miccolis.
>
> Just more Lennisms.

Steve, you forgot to address Len by, "Your Putziness." 73, Brian

2581. Re: Unused frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 05:01:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukern3h2duqa9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50393

Well, I am not equating radio spectrum to real property. I have a problem when a local radio station goes off the air for over an hour (or, in the last case, changes format from oldies to far-eastern music) - and I call the station at 2:00 PM local time and get a message that the individual will not be back for a WEEK!!! I've news for you - there may be a 'chief engineer' for about 5 radio stations, but there is NOBODY at the controls! One local television station receives its feed from Syracuse ... as do the other stations that the company owns (in NY and PA). What we have here is a ton of companies not unlike Enron. Who cares? Perhaps no one should care about Bill Clinton's escapades in the Oval Office, or the fact that White Watergate proved nothing since some folks were unable (or afraid) to talk. You know something? We'd be better off with Al Capone, since he only skimmed 15% of the action. You're better off with your bookie than with the lottery. NY state skims about 50% from the lottery!!! Think about it! We have the best government money can buy.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

ps - the more it changes, the more it stays the same.

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020729182240.03298.00000008@mb-fg.aol.com...
> In article <cee19.12598$Kl6.787797@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB"
> <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:
>
> >Jim Hampton, AA2QA, makes the telephone-line/frequency
> >analogy:
> >
> >> ..... You pick up and find me talking with someone else
> >> - on the line YOU are paying for. Hey, buddy, this
> >> telephone wasn't being used! You've gotta share it!
> >> Hey, what is going on here? Now, I contact my other
> >> buddies in the apartment complex. We all stop paying
> >> our bills (why should we take a test or pay for a license?
> >> Ooops, I meant to say "why should we pay for a telephone?).
> >
> >I think this analogy breaks down when one tries to
> >equate the hard work earning a license with the privilege
> >of using a given set of frequencies. The way I read
> >the situation, you have to be rich enough to pay off
> >the government to "rent" you radio bandwidth and then rich
> >enough to hire law-enforcement types to run off any
> >interlopers that show up. If you can put up the money,

> >hard work doesn't matter at all. Conversely, hard work
> >without cash won't get you anything.
> >
> >I learned this lesson when the broadcast industry lobby
> >got the FCC to cancel my hard-earned First 'Phone license.
>
> If there be lessons to be learned, then it should be that
> requirements and regulations must change to meet the
> needs of the present, not the past. There's also a need to
> remember that territorial imperative personal feelings aren't
> programmed into radio regulations. [a toughie for many
> folks] In the 46 years since I got my First Phone and
> entered into commercial-industrial radio there have been
> enormous changes in both the state-of-the-art and in
> regulations. Radio as a communications medium is only
> about 108 years old and now has almost no resemblance
> to what it was at the previous turn of the century.
>
> Regulations on radio are necessary to avoid the spectrum
> disputes, interference, and general quasi-piracy fights over
> frequency territory characterized by the first quarter-
> century of radio use. [while the first US radio regualtory
> agency was created in 1912, it wasn't until after WW1 that
> a semblance to frequency allocations of today were
> made] We should all obey regulations (they are law after
> all) of today, but we should all recognize that today isn't
> always applicable to tomorrow or next year.
>
> When I got my "renewal" of a Commercial Radiotelephone
> license changed from the blue field with a label of "First
> Class" to the pale yellow of a "General" (no class), I
> wasn't happy either. My resentment lasted only a few
> hours. Times had changed. My "hard work in earning
> that license" corresponded to an inense week of cramming
> and memorizing regulations (lacking a Q&A book, I was
> able to borrow the folders for the entire CFR and used
> that...not recommended at all today). Test day required
> a two-hour train ride into Chicago in the morning and the
> same in the afternoon to return with enough time to see
> a movie before returning. Lots of anxiety for one day, yes,
> but not a great deal of "hard work" all in all.
>
> What did I get? A nice looking piece of paper attesting
> that I had met certain government requirements and
> passed a government test. It would enable me to
> lawfully "operate" some radio equipment as codified by
> government regulations in effect on that exam date.
> Did a "First Class" signify expertise? No. At the time
> it signified only that I had passed all the test elements,
> four of them at that time. It was a formality, a glorified

> merit badge, signifying that I could go ahead and do the
> really hard work later and, in that case, earn money
> while doing it. All the "hard work" came later.
>
> The broadcast industry was required to have licensed
> operators back then and so were all sorts of civilian
> radio services. The thing was that all those operators
> really had to learn their particular equipment (not covered
> on the test) in some detail and follow the regulations.
> In actual fact the license wasn't needed at all to actually
> operate the equipment, do maintenance and tests on the
> equipment, or follow regulations. One had to have the
> smarts to learn it and do it satisfactorily to receive the
> pay check (what I call the real test in life). The license
> was just a legal formality that basically only got one an
> interview for a job, nothing more than that. With the
> advancing states of the art in nearly all radio services
> and the attendant increase in reliability of equipment,
> it was beneficial to the industry and government to
> remove the formalities, the redundancies of paperwork.
> All radio services were still required to meet technical
> operating regulations. Frequencies, power output,
> stability, distortion, etc. requirements had to be
> maintained and were not somehow automatically okay
> just because an operator had passed a government
> test years past.
>
> Stations have to meet technical regulations of the
> present and whatever they become in the future. That
> is necessary for mitigation of interference, the primary
> task of radio regulating agencies. If an agency has
> allocated certain frequencies to a particular station (or
> a band of frequencies to a radio service), then that is
> their "claim" to use, not whether or not they use it
> (they must not abuse it as codified in law). There is
> no territorial "claim" to be made by another station or
> another radio service as if that were real property.
> The usefulness of knowledge of use or disuse is only
> good for proposals to change allocations.
>
> Jim Hampton wants to equate radio and the radio
> spectrum and radio allocations to real property and
> carrier services provided by others for a fee. That,
> in my observation-evaluation, fails. The EM spectrum
> isn't real property that can remain static over many
> human lifetimes. Radio has changed considerably in
> its short 108 year existance. Regulations and the
> accompanying allocations have to change with
> changing demands.

2582. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 05:18:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207302118.281a40b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50396

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<Z4E19.5804$W41.90750542@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0207282244.597b2755@posting.google.com...
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:<dB%09.2070
> > > >
> > > > Outstanding. Two individuals, never having achieved any
> communications
> > > > experience as military members, agreeing on plans and policies of the
> US
> > > > military. :-)
> > >
> > > But they sure do sound like highly paid civilian contractors. I'm not
> sure
> > > which one is the highest bidder and which one is the lowest bidder.
> > >
> > >
> > > The village idiot and the village twistoid team up yet one more time
> > > to bring us the RRAP Abbott & Costello show . . .
>
> Brian, I've asked you for the courtesy of being ignored.
>
>
Did I get to you Burkie? Tsk, tsk.
>
>
My good man you know I can't possibly ignore you, you're another duly
licensed ham, I can't ignore fellow hams, that would run counter to
the provisions of the ARRL Code of Ethics . . jeez . . I guess you'll
just have to endure Burkie . . . but if you got yer code speed up
maybe . . .
>
>
>>>>SNIP<<<<
>
> Brian
>
>
Love, Brian. Seeya down the band sweetums. Dit-dit?

2583. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 05:47:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731014724.26383.00000076@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50397

In article <Q6J19.5964$Be2.95018666@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
>news:pan.2002.07.31.02.36.54.470475.24042@arrl.org...
>> On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 18:15:00 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> > In article <pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> > <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>> >
>> >>On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:22:44 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >>
>> > The word "bloom" is much more associated with flowers and plants. ARRL
>> > has been portrayed as the "flower of US ham-dom." :-)
>>
>> Do you think the smiley excuses your ill-mannered behavior?
>>
>> >>What does interesting nonmembers in ARRL have to do with your behavior
>> >>toward Jim? More misdirection!
>> >
>> > I'm not communicating with any "Jim" here.
>>
>> Ah, that's right, you're communicating with "Jimmie Boy" since you are
>> unwilling to treat others with even a modicum of common courtesy.
>
>What affectionate names does Dick use in his communications and why do you
>only comment about what Len says?

This is mere speculation on my part, but maybe Jon is very stressed at work. It's a tough job defending an always-honest, never-wrong organization at $75K+ a year. Then again, he may just have derailed his bike deraileur. :-)

Of course, you have to align it with what Dick likes to say, "Go soak your head!" From the ARRL website picture Jon didn't seem to have much hair to soak. He may be very sensitive on that issue. :-)

2584. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 05:47:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731014727.26383.00000077@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50398

In article <pan.2002.07.31.02.36.54.470475.24042@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 18:15:00 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <pan.2002.07.30.13.15.48.975472.29799@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>>
>>>On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:22:44 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>
>> The word "bloom" is much more associated with flowers and plants. ARRL
>> has been portrayed as the "flower of US ham-dom." :-)
>
>Do you think the smiley excuses your ill-mannered behavior?

...it means I am laughing at your ill-mannered behavior. :-)

>Ah, that's right, you're communicating with "Jimmie Boy" since you are
>unwilling to treat others with even a modicum of common courtesy.

I must be communicating with an Artificial Intelligence. That's what
you said I was doing... :-)

>Belittling people and calling them names is self defense? You should write
>your own dictionary so we can look up the peculiar definitions you use.

Tsk, tsk. That old double-standard again. "They" can do it without
censure. :-)

>Oh? Care to be explicit about what I've "done unto others as they have
>already done unto me?" Or is this yet another wild accusation without
>merit that you're unable to defend?

What have I "done unto you," mighty ham person of ARRL?

>Maybe I just need more help, Len. Could you please enumerate the facts
>that support your charge of dishonesty against the ARRL so I can see them
>more clearly? I can't find a single citation on your part of an ARRL
>statement that is demonstrably a lie. Maybe if you'll just post the
>citations succintly I'll see the facts. (Don't bother reposting your
>opinions; those are perfectly clear to me.)

...in other words, waste a lot of time cutting and pasting the same
stuff I've already written because you won't accept any of it and
will just repeat the same "scurrilous, baseless" charge again? :-)

>You mean, Len that you are trying to supress OPINIONS just because you
>disagree with them? Tsk, tsk. How Big Brotherish!

No, I'm just having a ball pushing your very sensitive buttons,
Jon. You are sore as hell and can't take it anymore. Tsk, tsk.

>You're guilty of making slanderous statements about the ARRL.

Nope. I haven't said a thing. The written word would fall under
the heading of LIBEL. Are you so angry you are hearing voices?

>I think all persons are deserving of civil treatment -- even those who
>disagree with you.

Incomplete sentence. You should have added "...except those who
don't say nice about my employer."

>I'm fine with that. So far the readers have seen you make unfounded
>charges of dishonesty and a self-contradictory list of criticisms of ARRL
>for no apparent reason other than personal pique.

Didn't you forget to add heresy, treason, mopery and dopery?
:-)

>Your non-response, you should say. You only called it a loaded question,
>which is how you characterize any question that attempts to elicit some
>substance for you claims of unethical behavior of the party of others.

? You need an ultra-serious response so that you can "properly"
take it apart and be as arrogantly snide as you want to be? :-)

>You've still failed utterly to show in any manner how ARRL has ever tried
>to suppress any organization. In short, this is yet another charge that
>you made up in its entirety.

"Suppress?" No problem. The faithful following, the True Believers
can handle any "suppress" actions. The al-quaida aren't alone in
such stuff.

>Yes, Amateur Radio is still going strong thanks to ARRL.

Oh? According to Amateur Radio Newsline, the report says the
license numbers for the last two years has "stagnated."

That must be a terrible error on Newsline's part then...ARRL
did not pass on that part? :-)

>Not skepticism, just the cynicism you've been spouting.

I'm just a skeptic. If you want the cynic, go to W0BK. He's the
expert on that. :-)

>The extent to which history published by ARRL is correct is a matter for
>historians to argue. Whether the publication is honest is another matter,
>and in making the charge that it is not you are ethically obligated to
>support the charge with something more than OPINION. Yes, I know I'm
>repeating myself and that you are incapable of or unwilling to behave
>ethically, but, you see, I'm persistent, too.

Persistent? No. You just recycled your previous paragraph.

>Because you seem to think that a history that is not all-inclusive is an
>attempt to exercise mind control. I was wondering if that applies only to
>the ARRL of if you are equally "skeptical" of others. By ducking the
>question, you've answered it.

I'm still wondering why you think the history of Australia has to
be in American history. That's very strange behavior.

Also, trying to argue something out of the American Civil War as
somehow pertaining to amateur radio is a little far-fetched. More
strangeness.

I'm just skeptical about the "honesty" of ARRL in all its claims.

I'm very worried about the mental health of some of its workers!

>Your answer to "what was the point" is, "I made a point"? If you can't
>even figure out what point you were making, how do you expect anyone else
>to do so?

Shucks, big ham radio person, I'm still trying to decipher the
Australia and Civil War questions. I'll probably get to your
"point" somewhere next week after the cryptographic machine
quits. :-)

>> "Bloviations?" :-)

>You can also conclude that when you make serious charges you'll be asked
>to substantiate them and, when you prove unable to do so, will impeach
>your credibility and make yourself look foolish.

Will they take away the key to the washroom, too?!?

Oh, boo hoo.

>>>Yep. You tried to convince the FCC to use the power of the government to
>>>proscribe youth participation in Amateur Radio.
>>
>> Is everyone over 13 an adult? :-)
>
>Did I say "youths of all ages?" No, so you can drop that fruitless attempt
>to distract attention from your efforts.

Okay, I'll then have to agree that the maturity and intellectual
capability of licensed amateurs is equivalent to six-year-olds
for Novice and Technician licenses and Extra classes are
equivalent to nine-year-olds. All of those age groups got
the respective licenses.

I just don't agree with it. You seem to agree with libeling
everyone down to immature ages. That isn't nice at all to
your fellow hams, is it?

>No need for that. That you attempted to constrict Amateur Radio growth is
>pitiful. If you thought you would actually succeeed, it's laughable.

I might have if the president had allowed me to use the 82nd
Airborne Division or some tanks out of Fort Irwin.

Amateur radio growth already seems "constricted" according
to Newsline. They call it "stagnant." I didn't have any part of
it and now you are blaming everything on me. How nasty of you.

>> Announcer: Thank you for listening. This has been another public
>> service program from the one, the only voice of amateur radio, the
>> A-R-R-L, the one true faith for all hams everywhere. We were happy to
>> bring you another reasoned discourse on how we were so wonderful, so
>> honest, so everything good for amateur radio all the time. Goodbye
>> until tomorrow when we will be back with another unbiased professional
>> amateur showing how non-members are such dirty rotten scoundrels.
>
>Same old stentorian tone, same complete lack of meaningful content. And
>still nothing on which to base your charge of dishonesty. At least that's
>ONE thing you're consistent about, Len.

No, Jon. I've shown that your buttons are so sensitive they can
be pushed by anyone. You just can't take the smallest amount
of negative criticism of your employer and that causes you to
lose all sense of humor. Get with the program. :-)

2585. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 06:21:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731022137.02024.00000046@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 50399

In article <d22b54c3.0207302118.281a40b3@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Love, Brian. Seeya down the band sweetums. Dit-dit?

"Love?!?"

"Sweetums?!?"

Does this mean you've sw......no, couldn't be! :-)

2586. Re: Unused frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 06:21:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731022138.02024.00000047@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 50400

In article <ukern3h2duqa9b@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> writes:

>Well, I am not equating radio spectrum to real property. I have a problem
>when a local radio station goes off the air for over an hour (or, in the
>last case, changes format from oldies to far-eastern music) - and I call the
>station at 2:00 PM local time and get a message that the individual will not
>be back for a WEEK!!! I've news for you - there may be a 'chief engineer'
>for about 5 radio stations, but there is NOBODY at the controls!

That's been a part of smaller broadcasting stations since way
back in the prehistory times of 1956. In that year I worked at
WMCW, a 500 W daylight station at 1600 KHz whose chief
engineer was chief engineer for WBEL in Beloit, WI, 5 KW
directional. :-)

The lady who owned WMCW used to turn off the transmitter
at sundown and she had only a restricted 3rd Class commercial
license. She couldn't sell enough air time to keep the station
solvent and probably used it as a business excuse for
taxation. It was all done legally.

Automation in radio broadcasting began some time in the 1970s
in order to maximize profit at the station by reducing the
production staff. The reliability of broadcast equipment was
already high, the stuff worked and worked with little trouble,
so station managers just let some engineering staff go, too.

The need for full-time engineering personnel is rare. If something
catastrophic happened, such as losing half of a push-pull final,
tube changes plus retuning and checking would cause loss of
about 2 hours worth of valuable air time sales. With today's
modern solid-state "hot swappable" transmitters, an equivalent
"repair" can be done in minutes, not hours...and in all
probability, the transmitter could still operate well enough to
eliminate all loss of sold air time.

US broadcasting makes all its money from ad sales ("air time").
Lose air time and lose income. Station managers know that,
have known it for years. It's their decision. Broadcasting
is something everyone has become accustomed to since
before WW2...there's no absolute rule that it must always be
on the air regardless of circumstances, only a bunch of
prudent warnings about it. If station managers gamble a low
staff count versus possibility of losing their station license
and all income, then it's their gamble. Listeners have to take

what they can when they can.

>lottery. NY state skims about 50% from the lottery!!! Think about it! We
>have the best government money can buy.

This going a bit far afield. Signing off on this thread... :-)

2587. I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 07:06:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731030630.03886.00000329@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50501

Received the following "e mail" from His Geezerness this evening. Did not open the attachment as I have told His Putziness that he wasted away any opportunity to demonstrate any civility or responsibility.

Sorry, Lennie...you blew that shot...Wasted it...You offered a copy of your GROL. You sent me an obscene picture. I'm not "chancing it" on another opportunity for you to wallow in.

Subj: Re: I Want To See It, Lennie
Date: 07/31/02 01:47:23 Eastern Daylight Time
From: Len Over 21
To: K4YZ

File: Attach L 150.zip (650233 bytes)
DL Time (26400 bps): < 7 minutes

>PTSIZE=10>>I cannot be responsible for those that cannot handle old
>ZIP-format file
>compression or JPG-format image viewing. Those are in>very common
>use by millions of computer users worldwide.

>It's got nothing to do with the format, Lennie.

I trashed it>without opening it in as much as your other 'response" was not what you>promised .

You lied. (Again...why am I surprised...You promised>one thing and delivered something else instead.)

>For PC users I>would recommend...

I don't care WHAT you recommend, and that>includes your suggestions about Morse Code testing.

>It is>realized that not all who access this newsgroup have PCs or
>computers>with relatively-modern software installations...

It's not about>the computers, Your Geezerness...it's about your lack of integrity. You>promised one thing, delivered another. Switch and bait is illegal in>most places.

>Thank you
>all for your patience in this trying>matter.

Yes, Lennie, your lying and deceit are very, very>trying.

As always.

2588. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 07:34:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731033416.03886.00000330@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50502

>Subject: Re: The ARRL in Bloom
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/31/02 01:47 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020731014727.26383.00000077@mb-bd.aol.com>
>
>In article <pan.2002.07.31.02.36.54.470475.24042@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
><jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>>Do you think the smiley excuses your ill-mannered behavior?
>
>...it means I am laughing at your ill-mannered behavior.

Then you are laughing at yourself. Jon's been very civil and proper. Too bad his opponent isn't.

>>Belittling people and calling them names is self defense? You should write
>>your own dictionary so we can look up the peculiar definitions you use.
>
>Tsk, tsk. That old double-standard again. "They" can do it without
>censure.

Actually, you seem to be the master at it. You love to start this kind of "exchange", then run and hide behind a whole bunch of self-righteousness when it comes back to you.

"Nazi"..."jackboot"..."thug"..."Stevie"...

All from a "professional". Professional "what", we don't know, but I know what you are NOT......

>...in other words, waste a lot of time cutting and pasting the same
>stuff I've already written because you won't accept any of it and
>will just repeat the same "scurrilous, baseless" charge again?

Seems you have no problem trotting out your CV at a moments notice, ergo we must assume that the only things you are willing to repeat are those which are self-serving and support some "point" you wish to "make".

The fact is you have nothing that would stand the scrutiny of inspection as REAL "evidence", and to repeat whatever it was you wrote before won't further your own cause.

>No, I'm just having a ball pushing your very sensitive buttons,
>Jon. You are sore as hell and can't take it anymore.

Jon doesn't tolerate pathological liars anymore than I do. He is, however, far more patient and civil about dealing with them. "Them" being you, of course, Your Untruthfulness.

>>You're guilty of making slanderous statements about the ARRL.
>
>Nope. I haven't said a thing. The written word would fall under
>the heading of LIBEL. Are you so angry you are hearing voices?

Regardless, you have not told the truth, wether by written word or spoken.
A lie.

>I'm just a skeptic. If you want the cynic, go to W0BK. He's the
>expert on that.

Mr. Koehler is not a party to this forum. Of whom did you refer?

(more obvious inattention to detail by an alleged "professional")

>I'm just skeptical about the "honesty" of ARRL in all its claims.

And you've been asked to ante-up to those claims.

Been a week now and still nothing but rhetoric and name calling from The
Pointless One.

>Okay, I'll then have to agree that the maturity and intellectual
>capability of licensed amateurs is equivalent to six-year-olds
>for Novice and Technician licenses and Extra classes are
>equivalent to nine-year-olds. All of those age groups got
>the respective licenses.

So Lennie, the self appointed defender of the subjugated No Code
Technician class license shows his true colors.

Now he HAS managed to insult just about everyone in Amateur Radio, except
for the two faceless entities with callsigns he calls friends.

>>No need for that. That you attempted to constrict Amateur Radio growth is
>>pitiful. If you thought you would actually succeeed, it's laughable.
>
>I might have if the president had allowed me to use the 82nd
>Airborne Division or some tanks out of Fort Irwin.

And although laughable, expsoses his true desire to see Amateur Radio fail.

>Amateur radio growth already seems "constricted" according
>to Newsline. They call it "stagnant." I didn't have any part of
>it and now you are blaming everything on me. How nasty of you.

But...but ...but LENNIE! You yourself have insisted how the No Code
Technician class license was the saviour of Amateur Radio

HOW can it be stagnant...??? YOU said it wouldn't be! Got your "facts"
wrong again?

Gues those early NCT'ers are starting to fall off the 10 year expirations.

>You just can't take the smallest amount
>of negative criticism of your employer and that causes you to
>lose all sense of humor.

Calling someone's employer "dishonest" when no dishonesty is evident is hardly "smallest amount of negative criticism", Your Putziness.

That's about as small as my opinion that your wife is an unemployed prostitute sponging off your retirement?

>Get with the program.

Follow your own advice, Ya Putz.

2589. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 11:23:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731072337.04292.00000067@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50503

In article <1rgfkugiqa4mp11df2lkspa3db1kfdv0bj@4ax.com>, "Erik"
<not@this.juncture.net> writes:

>So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?

Yup. That's a good reason to try for General when you go to the VE session.

73 es GL de Jim, N2EY

2590. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 11:23:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731072337.04292.00000066@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50504

In article <d22b54c3.0207300920.4b42da93@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message >news:<20020730061706.28360.00000084@mb-cs.aol.com>...
>> In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
>> <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.
>>
>> NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!

http://www.ai.mit.edu/people/paulfitz/spanish/script.html

>> Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?

http://bau2.uibk.ac.at/sg/python/Scripts/TheArgumentClinicSketch

>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>> ......mmmmm - Crunchy Frog!.........
>>
>>
http://www.serve.com/bonzai/monty/classics/TheCrunchyFrogSketch

>Ya finally got there Jimbo, you're over the edge. I knew this would happen.
>>
>>
BURMA!!!!

>w3rv
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

2591. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 12:00:45 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.31.12.00.45.130634.18843@arrl.org>
Source record: 50505

On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 01:47:27 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>Oh? Care to be explicit about what I've "done unto others as they have
>>already done unto me?" Or is this yet another wild accusation without
>>merit that you're unable to defend?
>
> What have I "done unto you," mighty ham person of ARRL?

My point exactly. So, once again, your claims stand disproven from your
own mouth, er, keyboard.

>>Maybe I just need more help, Len. Could you please enumerate the facts
>>that support your charge of dishonesty against the ARRL so I can see
>>them more clearly? I can't find a single citation on your part of an
>>ARRL statement that is demonstrably a lie. Maybe if you'll just post the
>>citations succintly I'll see the facts. (Don't bother reposting your
>>opinions; those are perfectly clear to me.)
>
> ...in other words, waste a lot of time cutting and pasting the same
> stuff I've already written because you won't accept any of it and will
> just repeat the same "scurrilous, baseless" charge again? :-)

As expected, there's no "there" there in your postings. Nothing to back up
the ranting.

>>You're guilty of making slanderous statements about the ARRL.
>
> Nope. I haven't said a thing. The written word would fall under the
> heading of LIBEL. Are you so angry you are hearing voices?

I agree, yours were libelous statements; my mistake.

>>I think all persons are deserving of civil treatment -- even those who
>>disagree with you.
>
> Incomplete sentence. You should have added "...except those who don't
> say nice about my employer."

No, Len, you've received entirely civil treatment from me. The problem is
that you can't distinguish between criticizing someone's ideas and actions
and belittling the person. That's why on the one hand you take any
criticism of what you say personally and on the other fill your posts with
name calling and insult.

>>Your non-response, you should say. You only called it a loaded question,
>>which is how you characterize any question that attempts to elicit some
>>substance for you claims of unethical behavior of the party of others.
>

> ? You need an ultra-serious response so that you can "properly" take it
> apart and be as arrogantly snide as you want to be? :-)

It's not for my benefit, Len. You're the one whose reputation suffers when you make unfounded charges. If you want to keep ducking hard questions about your claims, that's okay with me. But I'll continue to ask you to explain them. That's just part of reasoned debate, something that you seem loath to do.

>>You've still failed utterly to show in any manner how ARRL has ever
>>tried to suppress any organization. In short, this is yet another charge
>>that you made up in its entirety.
>
> "Suppress?" No problem. The faithful following, the True Believers can
> handle any "suppress" actions. The al-quaida aren't alone in such
> stuff.

Duck-and-cover...

>>Yes, Amateur Radio is still going strong thanks to ARRL.
>
> Oh? According to Amateur Radio Newsline, the report says the license
> numbers for the last two years has "stagnated."

Yes, and? Not the first time that's happened.

> That must be a terrible error on Newsline's part then...ARRL did not
> pass on that part? :-)

I have no idea what that means, but I'm sure you won't explain it anyway.

> I'm just skeptical about the "honesty" of ARRL in all its claims.

But unable to produce any rationale for that skepticism beyond the fact that 20 years ago ARRL didn't kiss the feet of a radio "professional" and instead sent a form-letter response.

> I'm very worried about the mental health of some of its workers!

Are you calling me insane, Len?

> Okay, I'll then have to agree that the maturity and intellectual
> capability of licensed amateurs is equivalent to six-year-olds for
> Novice and Technician licenses and Extra classes are equivalent to
> nine-year-olds. All of those age groups got the respective licenses.

Not the first time you've insulted all amateurs as a group.

>>No need for that. That you attempted to constrict Amateur Radio growth
>>is pitiful. If you thought you would actually succeeed, it's laughable.
>
> I might have if the president had allowed me to use the 82nd Airborne
> Division or some tanks out of Fort Irwin.
>
> Amateur radio growth already seems "constricted" according to Newsline.

> They call it "stagnant." I didn't have any part of it and now you are
> blaming everything on me. How nasty of you.

No, I'm just blaming you for trying to get the FCC to keep people younger than 14 from participating in Amateur Radio. Fortunately, you failed. Claiming that I'm blaming you for stagnant growth just makes you look even more foolish.

> No, Jon. I've shown that your buttons are so sensitive they can be
> pushed by anyone.

I've noticed that's another tactic you resort to frequently: Claiming when someone challenges your ridiculous statements that they're just being overly sensitive.

> You just can't take the smallest amount of negative criticism of your
> employer and that causes you to lose all sense of humor. Get with the
> program. :-)

Another of your standard, tired techniques is to reply to hard questions with jokes, then claim that those who continue to ask the questions don't have a sense of humor. Really, if you're going to practice misdirection you would be better served to find a less transparent means of doing so. Your repeated use of that and your other transparent rhetorical tricks makes then even less effective than they are inherently. You really ought to work up some new material.

Jon, KE3Z

2592. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 12:02:55 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.31.12.02.54.923679.18843@arrl.org>
Source record: 50506

On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 23:49:04 -0400, Brian P Burke wrote:
> What affectionate names does Dick use in his communications and why do
> you only comment about what Len says?

I've criticized Dick's choice of language before. Why don't you comment
about Len's approach, or is that some double standard kind of thing?

Jon

2593. The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 16:17:24 UTC
From: Bob Haviland <bobh@iag.net>
Message-ID: <o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50507

There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the info transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been destroyed in some disaster.
bob w4mb

2594. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 18:10:33 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h04bn6f.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50508

On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 02:46:52 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>> "Skybird, Skybird, do not answer....."
>
>More like:
>
>"Skyking, Skyking this is Retail, this is Retail--break break--Alfa
>Sierra Papa November. Authentications Tango Yankee. I say again..."
>
>or
>
>"Tong 57, go ahead with your JOPREP JIFFY ATBAR; over"
>
>It's been nearly 32 years but the words won't go away.

For sure. It's 35 years for me.

The "Skybird" message was a No-Zark (All-Stations) on HF to the ground-based facilities, mainly aircraft wing command posts and missile command centers, whereas the "Skyking" was to aircraft.

All I can say is that as a Command Post Test and Evaluation Engineer (one of the few such civilian positions in SAC) I had quite a bit to do with the design and testing of the alerting system when the 465-L SAC Command and Control System was implemented in the mid-60s and no, I could not launch the force by myself because my armspan was not the required 12 feet.,,, <ggg>

I wonder whether any of my stuff still exists in the SAC Museum. I visited the only remaining and preserved Titan III site (Pima Air Museum Annex, Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona) about 10 years ago and there were bits and pieces of my stuff on display.

The things that one never forgets.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2595. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 19:08:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D48359F.2E92A847@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50509

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 02:46:52 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> "Skybird, Skybird, do not answer....."
> > >
> > >More like:
> > >
> > >"Skyking, Skyking this is Retail, this is Retail--break break--Alfa
> > >Sierra Papa November. Authentications Tango Yankee. I say again..."
> > >
> > >or
> > >
> > >"Tong 57, go ahead with your JOPREP JIFFY ATBAR; over"
> > >
> > >It's been nearly 32 years but the words won't go away.
>
> For sure. It's 35 years for me.
>
> The "Skybird" message was a No-Zark (All-Stations) on HF to the
> ground-based facilities, mainly aircraft wing command posts and
> missile command centers, whereas the "Skyking" was to aircraft.
>
> All I can say is that as a Command Post Test and Evaluation Engineer
> (one of the few such civilian positions in SAC) I had quite a bit to
> do with the design and testing of the alerting system when the 465-L
> SAC Command and Control System was implemented in the mid-60s and no,
> I could not launch the force by myself because my armspan was not the
> required 12 feet.,,, <ggg>
>
> I wonder whether any of my stuff still exists in the SAC Museum. I
> visited the only remaining and preserved Titan III site (Pima Air
> Museum Annex, Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona) about 10 years ago and
> there were bits and pieces of my stuff on display.
>
> The things that one never forgets.....

'Twas two years of both Alfa and Bravo net at the 2AF RX site at Barksdale for me under 46th Comm. I found out after arriving in late '68 that my old pal Col. R.O.S. Akre W4ZZZ headed the installation of the stuff at Barksdale in '58. We knew each other after his retirement to Miami.

Ahh, the echoes of Retail, Outweigh, Democrat and Migrate...

Dave K8MN

2596. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 19:40:41 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207311935280.5126-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 50510

On Wed, 31 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
>On 31 Jul 2002 04:20:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>> Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you ever exercise
>>the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your previous Element 1
>>credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.
>
>For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?

True, but that's no excuse not to keep "station records." We don't know what the next change to the licensing system will be....

There were quite a few people who did not keep their "grandfathered" technician licenses and had to write the FCC (or the private lookup service) to get proof because they threw out their old, expired license(s). That's NOT keeping station records. I'm excluding the subset who lost the old license(s) by casualty.

2597. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 21:00:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731170050.26383.00000108@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50512

In article <o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Bob Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> writes:

>There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
>with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
>emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is
>needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched
>to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the info
>transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been destroyed
>in some disaster.
>bob w4mb

This seems more of a popular urban myth than any actual fact.

Is there a baseline for truth in claims by a referencable project
which has done something like this?

The environment stated for this scenario is "...when all else has been destroyed in some disaster." That is quite all-encompassing and would, probably, include all primary electric power that would operate soldering irons, power tools, lights for visibility, test equipment and so forth. "All else destroyed" may include hand tools, gas-fired flame soldering tools, electronic parts needed that aren't in the original radio-to-be-converted, battery-powered V-O-Ms (analog or digital), flashlights, even hyperopic-correction personal eyeglasses (myopic individuals usually see very well close-up).

The myth seems to have originated when the "All American Five" vacuum tube structured AM broadcast band receiver was popular. In that design all interconnections were by wires soldered manually in place, relatively open hardware-wiring areas, relatively common part values and tubes, basic circuit designs being virtual copies of each other. That was over three decades ago. Those were all powered from primary electrical lines which, according to the hypothetical disaster environment, do not state that such power is available. Real disasters don't have specifiable conditions.

Today's common "radios" (referring to the broadcast receiver variety, AM or FM) don't have much similarity behind the front panel (or inside their cases). Parts are tiny, active devices being "house numbers" instead of having standard EIA markings, circuits done with printed circuit boards with only external wires. Circuit design is quite varied and may even be entirely digital in operation. These radios have one saving grace for this hypo-thetical scenario: They are battery-powered, independent of

primary electrical power except for those which charge their internal batteries.

On the presumption that it is truly possible, consider the environment further. In a real disaster, survivors with broadcast-band radios would be trying to listen in on surviving broadcast stations, not "tuning the bands" in a relaxed, non-disaster situation. There is no guarantee that any unfamiliar signal at a non-standard frequency would be heard at all (how do you check the converted transmitter frequency without some kind of test device?). There is no guarantee that survivors would know enough morse code to be able to interpret such signals if they could be heard. There is no guarantee that survivors would include other radio amateurs or any pre-disaster radio specialist with code proficiency.

Real disasters "when all else is destroyed" mean that survivors must cope with very basic survival needs. NOW. Injured need help, food must be secured, shelter must be acquired. They would not have a luxury of sitting down at a convenient workshop bench to play with converting an unfamiliar radio.

The solution is simple. Use AVAILABLE two-way radios that have voice capability. There are plenty of those, perhaps as many as old-style AM broadcast "radios." Those are very easy to use, require no special schooling to operate.

This isn't the pre-WW2 time, isn't a period when the Titanic must be rescued again and again and again in emotional paeans of the past to the magic of morse. This is the new millennium, 108 years after the birth of radio communication as a medium. Those who deal in disasters have the radios for the purpose, are trained to handle emergencies, continue to train, plan, organize, and work with others. It is better to join them than to pipe-dream convenient-condition hypotheses to keep old regulations about a hobby.

2598. Re: Len, Lies and Videotape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 21:00:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731170051.26383.00000110@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50511

In article <20020730235610.22144.00000419@mb-dd.aol.com>, someone
wrote to Jon Bloom:

>>Sending a copy of your commercial license to Steve and Jim has nothing to
>>do with the exchange between you and me. It also has nothing to do with
>>your false charges of dishonesty and usurpation against ARRL.
>
> What I got from Lennie, Jon, was a picture of an adult male exposing
>himself.

The picture was of an airborne converted B-26 medium bomber
used in firefighting operations. Good quality photo, nice lighting,
flying over a forest not on fire. The original camera exposure
seemed correct since the scan did not need any quality
enhancement.

It would appear that most of the aircraft skin is truly exposed
since there is very little painting on it. As such, the aircraft
would appear to be "nude." The aircraft crew is apparently only
two since they are hard to see. The only "frontal nudity" is
that of the aircraft, camera looking at its nose.

> I didn't open any other files from Lennie. if he couldn't do what he
>promised on the first go-around, he expended his privilges here.

I have now sent a total of four scans of my first First Class
Radiotelephone Operator certificate as originally requested in
a public message. The requester refuses to open each one.
Is he afraid? Why did she request it in the first place?

2599. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 21:00:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731170051.26383.00000109@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50513

In article <20020731030630.03886.00000329@mb-cq.aol.com>, some entity writes:

> Received the following "e mail" from His Geezerness this evening. Did
not
>open the attachment as I have told His Putziness that he wasted away any
>opportunity to demonstrate any civility or responsibility.
>
> Sorry, Lennie...you blew that shot...Wasted it...You offered a copy of
>your GROL.

No. I offered a scan of my first First Class Radiotelephone Operator (Commercial) certificate, both front and back sides, back side including signatures of three broadcast station engineers attesting to my service with those stations. That was granted in 1956 and good for 5 years. Renewals were all for 5 year periods until the General Radiotelephone Operator License ("GROL") replaced all three original classes.

>You sent me an obscene picture.

What was sent the first time was a good quality JPG-format photograph of a converted-to-firefighting B-26 aircraft over a forest. I can send that one to anyone who is interested in aircraft-as-obscene-objects. :-)

>I'm not "chancing it" on another opportunity for you to wallow in.

I have sent my file "Attach L 150.ZIP" in private mail again. This will be the third time the same file has been sent in private. It is different from the original "ATTACH L.ZIP" file only in the resolution dropped to 150 DPI from original 300 DPI to reduce file size for easy transfer.

I have complied with the original request more than once. None of my e-mail file transfers contain any known, detectable viruses, are all in common formats.

Scurrilous, baseless charges will be found empty, void, ridiculous upon on any investigation of files or file contents by competent ISP technical representatives.

2600. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 21:00:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731170054.26383.00000111@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50514

In article <pan.2002.07.31.12.00.45.130634.18843@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
<jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>>>You're guilty of making slanderous statements about the ARRL.
>>
>> Nope. I haven't said a thing. The written word would fall under the
>> heading of LIBEL. Are you so angry you are hearing voices?
>
>I agree, yours were libelous statements; my mistake.

I didn't say my written words were libel. You did. Now prove
your charge of libel.

Did you need a Miranda warning?

>No, Len, you've received entirely civil treatment from me.

...as master to serf, headmaster to student, etc., etc., etc. :-)

>The problem is
>that you can't distinguish between criticizing someone's ideas and actions
>and belittling the person.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Looking in the mirror again? :-)

>That's why on the one hand you take any
>criticism of what you say personally and on the other fill your posts with
>name calling and insult.

Heh heh. How do you know my state of mind? Are you telepathic?
[telepathy claims seem to be coincident with proficiency in morse]
:-)

>It's not for my benefit, Len. You're the one whose reputation suffers when
>you make unfounded charges.

"Reputation?!?" In what? :-)

"Unfounded?!?" Gosh, no, big radio person, I found a lot of public
information, posted it and its references. You and some others
seem to deny their existance. That's a convenient ostrich approach.

>If you want to keep ducking hard questions
>about your claims, that's okay with me.

Is duck season open? If it isn't you have to send the Fish and
Game folks after me. :-)

>But I'll continue to ask you to explain them.

No problem, Lone Stranger, but you keep forgetting your own
silver bullets. You haven't yet offered proof that ARRL is
totally honest in all its claims, only that the ARRL claims it is
honest.

>That's just part of reasoned debate, something that you seem
>loath to do.

No, kimosabe, this is an Internet newsgroup, not a formal debate.
You are NOT both moderator and speaker-for-one-side. There is
no moderator here.

>>>You've still failed utterly to show in any manner how ARRL has ever
>>>tried to suppress any organization. In short, this is yet another charge
>>>that you made up in its entirety.
>>
>> "Suppress?" No problem. The faithful following, the True Believers can
>> handle any "suppress" actions. The al-quaida aren't alone in such
>> stuff.
>
>Duck-and-cover...

? Virtually suppress. Note the qualifier.

Suppression by intimidation, suppression by ridicule, suppression
by humiliation are all standard tricks. I said that ARRL members
used such things in here. They did. Google has many archives.
I never said ARRL used them.

>>>Yes, Amateur Radio is still going strong thanks to ARRL.
>>
>> Oh? According to Amateur Radio Newsline, the report says the license
>> numbers for the last two years has "stagnated."
>
>Yes, and? Not the first time that's happened.

State your claims that "amateur radio is still going strong thanks
to ARRL." Why only ARRL? You haven't submitted a single
iota of actual proof other than offer up yet-another CLAIM.

Can you spell J-I-N-G-O-I-S-M ?

>> I'm just skeptical about the "honesty" of ARRL in all its claims.
>
>But unable to produce any rationale for that skepticism beyond the fact
>that 20 years ago ARRL didn't kiss the feet of a radio "professional" and
>instead sent a form-letter response.

:-) ARRL was a minority group trying to lead a majority group
well before that time. ARRL never kissed anyone's feet, did they?

So, ARRL jingoism is to be taken for honest-to-God truth?

Okay. [which god?]

>> I'm very worried about the mental health of some of its workers!
>
>Are you calling me insane, Len?

Tsk, tsk, are you just "some of its workers?" :-)

I never called you anything but "outraged," Jon.

>> Okay, I'll then have to agree that the maturity and intellectual
>> capability of licensed amateurs is equivalent to six-year-olds for
>> Novice and Technician licenses and Extra classes are equivalent to
>> nine-year-olds. All of those age groups got the respective licenses.
>
>Not the first time you've insulted all amateurs as a group.

GOOD cutting and editing! :-)

What I said in its entirety was that (in caps) I DON'T AGREE WITH
YOU. Note that I said (above) "I'll then have to agree..." I didn't say
that I agreed with all of it, did it? Of course not. You cut off enough
to make it look bad towards me. :-)

Good job, Jon. <pat on head>

>No, I'm just blaming you for trying to get the FCC to keep people younger
>than 14 from participating in Amateur Radio. Fortunately, you failed.
>Claiming that I'm blaming you for stagnant growth just makes you look even
>more foolish.

Oh, my. A virtual Crime Against The State! I made a comment to
the FCC 3 1/2 years ago, didn't make it a big thing then, haven't
pressed it since. Suddenly it's become a Crime Against The State!
:-)
I didn't "claim" anything. I made a simple declaration that I was
not responsible for the reported stagnation of amateur radio licensee
totals in two years. I'm not. How do you reach those scurrilous,
baseless ideas? :-)

>I've noticed that's another tactic you resort to frequently: Claiming when
>someone challenges your ridiculous statements that they're just being
>overly sensitive.

Now, now, Jon, calm down. You just claimed all my statements
are "ridiculous." :-)

If they are all "ridiculous" they can't be humorous, can they?

>Another of your standard, tired techniques is to reply to hard questions
>with jokes, then claim that those who continue to ask the questions don't
>have a sense of humor.

Oh, gosh and golly, Jon. You haven't yet shown any positive
proof that ARRL is always honest in its statements. You've
simply stated ARRL *IS* honest, believe it!

I think that's humorous...especially when written so SERIOUSLY.
:-)

>... You really ought to work up some new material.

Why? No pay coming in for this for me. ARRL pays you $75K+
annually, right?

As the old saying goes, "you get what you pay for." So far, Jon,
I haven't seen $75K worth of words from you. Maybe 75 cents.

2601. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 21:47:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iWY19.49$B17.9159891@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50515

"Bob Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> wrote in message
news:o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
> with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
> emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is
> needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched
> to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the
info
> transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
destroyed
> in some disaster.
> bob w4mb

Bob, I'm reminded of a movie where a plance crash lands in the desert. A toy maker is put in charge of making it flyable again, only no one know that he is a toy maker.

2602. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 21:53:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <u%Y19.51$f_6.8953063@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50516

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020731170050.26383.00000108@mb-bd.aol.com...
> In article <o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Bob
> Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> writes:
>
> >There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
> >with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
> >emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc
is
> >needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end
touched
> >to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the
info
> >transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
destroyed
> >in some disaster.
> >bob w4mb
>
> This seems more of a popular urban myth than any actual fact.
>
> Is there a baseline for truth in claims by a referencable project
> which has done something like this?
>
> The environment stated for this scenario is "...when all else has been
> destroyed in some disaster." That is quite all-encompassing and
> would, probably, include all primary electric power that would
> operate soldering irons, power tools, lights for visibility, test
> equipment and so forth. "All else destroyed" may include hand
> tools, gas-fired flame soldering tools, electronic parts needed that
> aren't in the original radio-to-be-converted, battery-powered V-O-Ms
> (analog or digital), flashlights, even hyperopic-correction personal
> eyeglasses (myopic individuals usually see very well close-up).
>
> The myth seems to have originated when the "All American Five"
> vacuum tube structured AM broadcast band receiver was
> popular. In that design all interconnections were by wires
> soldered manually in place, relatively open hardware-wiring areas,
> relatively common part values and tubes, basic circuit designs
> being virtual copies of each other. That was over three decades
> ago. Those were all powered from primary electrical lines which,
> according to the hypothetical disaster environment, do not state
> that such power is available. Real disasters don't have specifiable
> conditions.
>

> Today's common "radios" (referring to the broadcast receiver
> variety, AM or FM) don't have much similarity behind the front
> panel (or inside their cases). Parts are tiny, active devices being
> "house numbers" instead of having standard EIA markings,
> circuits done with printed circuit boards with only external wires.
> Circuit design is quite varied and may even be entirely digital
> in operation. These radios have one saving grace for this hypo-
> thetical scenario: They are battery-powered, independent of
> primary electrical power except for those which charge their
> internal batteries.
>
> On the presumption that it is truly possible, consider the
> environment further. In a real disaster, survivors with
> broadcast-band radios would be trying to listen in on
> surviving broadcast stations, not "tuning the bands" in a
> relaxed, non-disaster situation. There is no guarantee that
> any unfamiliar signal at a non-standard frequency would be
> heard at all (how do you check the converted transmitter
> frequency without some kind of test device?). There is no
> guarantee that survivors would know enough morse code to
> be able to interpret such signals if they could be heard.
> There is no guarantee that survivors would include other
> radio amateurs or any pre-disaster radio specialist with
> code proficiency.
>
> Real disasters "when all else is destroyed" mean that
> survivors must cope with very basic survival needs. NOW.
> Injured need help, food must be secured, shelter must be
> acquired. They would not have a luxury of sitting down at
> a convenient workshop bench to play with converting an
> unfamiliar radio.
>
> The solution is simple. Use AVAILABLE two-way radios
> that have voice capability. There are plenty of those,
> perhaps as many as old-style AM broadcast "radios."
> Those are very easy to use, require no special schooling
> to operate.
>
> This isn't the pre-WW2 time, isn't a period when the Titanic
> must be rescued again and again and again in emotional
> paeans of the past to the magic of morse. This is the new
> millennium, 108 years after the birth of radio communication
> as a medium. Those who deal in disasters have the radios
> for the purpose, are trained to handle emergencies, continue
> to train, plan, organize, and work with others. It is better to
> join them than to pipe-dream convenient-condition
> hypotheses to keep old regulations about a hobby.

I often contemplate TEOTWAWKI and instead of trying to cobble together a
transmitter from a 1958 television set thats been setting at the landfill

and getting rained on since 1971, I cobble together the internet from all the old PC/XT's at the Salvation Army store. Everyone should be made to kep current in DOS 3.21

2603. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 21:57:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ai9mem$98j$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50517

Correct Bob....unfortunatly 'It takes TWO THINGS to communicate, an
OPERATOR at BOTH ENDS'.

There lies the limitation to your arguement..hi.

Dan/W4NTI

"Bob Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> wrote in message
news:o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
> with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
> emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is
> needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched
> to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the
info
> transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
destroyed
> in some disaster.
> bob w4mb
>
>

2604. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 21:58:46 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <0WY19.93800$Og3.23407957@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50519

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ukeq4nmlsocv72@corp.supernews.com...
> Well, I sent an e-mail to 'em. The reply was that they NEVER refer to 10
or
> 12 meter radios as CB. Only 11 meter radios are CB. They are 'clean'.
Of
> course, anyone can buy from them and I STILL don't know any amateurs that
> own a 10 meter only radio (nor a 10/12 meter radio for that matter).
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Jim,

Bob's is NOT clean. They sell those Galaxy 44, 88, and 99 models, for
example,
that are not certified for sale in the US. He also proudly proclaims that
he is a member of the "New England Chamber of Commerce". I don't think the
Chamber would be pleased to know that one of their members deals in illegal
products. I pointed this out to them in my email--he has not replied. So
my two
dimes are at the ready: one for FCC, one to the New England Chamber. I am
deadly serious about this issue, and I mean to do whatever I can to stop
those
clowns from taking the 10 and 12 meter bands over.

73

Jerry

>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0207282237.3421f141@posting.google.com...
> > Here's a site called "Bob's CB Radio, 10 meter Radio & Antennas".....
> > http://www.bobscb.com/bobs_cb.htm
> >
> > Here's an editorial I found on a site for truck drivers........
> >
> > http://www.layover.com/driverscorner/cbshop/0102.html
> > The Power Wars Continue
> > By Stan Wells
> >
> > The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
> > Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to
> > add more channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from

> > 23 to 40 channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these
> > frequencies from 5 watts to 3.5 watts. Shortly thereafter, the power
> > wars began. It was soon discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was
> > virtually unregulated. This gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy
> > revolution. These radios were easy to convert to 11-meters which is
> > the CB band. Additionally, they had 25 watts of power. They eventually
> > captured nearly half of the sales in the CB marketplace with the
> > addition of many other brand names to the field--some now offering as
> > much as 250 watts of power. The current top seller is the General Lee.
> > This radio is a copy of the Connex 3300 HP with the dual finals. This
> > allows the radio to run both finals at the same time, giving nearly
> > double the power output of the single final radio.
>
>

2605. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 21:59:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <r5Z19.56$P77.9515275@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50518

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
P
> > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> > >news:pan.2002.07.28.11.56.42.271772.7555@arrl.org...
> > >> On Sat, 27 Jul 2002 18:29:16 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > >> > Go back a couple years and determine if "K4YZ" is attempting to be
> > >> > "affectionate" with his form of address to me.
> > >>
> > >> What does his behavior toward you have to do with your behavior
toward
> > >> other people?
> > >
> > >Dick and Larry claim that behavior toward them is the very reason for
their
> > >outrageous behavior on RRAP.
> >
> > Brian, it's just another little nail in the coffin called "double
standard."
> >
> > One cannot say anything bad about the ARRL for any reason
> > because they ARE amateur radio. How does everyone know?
> > Simple. ARRL says so, therefore it is sacrosanct. :-)
> >
> > Dick did his military experience going to military school on TV
> > production, then confused teleprinter telegraphy with manual
> > morse telegraphy and said that national police departments
> > had "networks" of [manual] "CW." Before that he claimed
> > ignorance of the 1947 paper by Claude Shannon outlining
> > the relationship of bandwidth-noise-information-rate which has
> > been recognized everywhere else in world communications as
> > observable-useable truth and called "Shannon's Law." Then
> > he belittled everyone who replied to him expressing disbelief
> > at his opinions. Constantly.
> >
> > Larry has extolled his inherent wonderfulness for years, citing
> > himself as a paragon of discipline and motivation and
> > accomplishment. During his after-service schooling he

> > made much mention in here of his deeds and accomplishments
> > as a Human Resources (aka "personnel") student and his
> > job offers just waiting for him after graduation and his assured
> > future. He has also repeated the urban myth of "new technicians
> > not coming up with new state of the art in amateur radio 'as
> > promised by them'" (a double-layered myth actually) and then
> > never showing any evidence of doing such original work despite
> > a long tenure as a licensee. Unlike Dick, Larry does little
> > outright belittlement of others by choosing to elevate his own
> > self-worth outrageously higher than others...all others are
> > belittled de facto by simply existing. Apparently driving a bus
> > after achieving soma cum loud grades does things to a mind.
> >
> > There are others, of course, such as a fairly-well paid employee
> > of an pseudo-theological seminary arguing for the divinity of his
> > order...the holier-than-thou ex-government employee who has
> > clearances and needs to know denied ordinary mortals...the
> > unspecified-job, unspecified-employer, morseodist and champion
> > of the empty-state technology of ancient times who pretends to
> > be an eightysomething guru four decades ahead of himself.
> >
> > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> > Vanity regulations. :-)
>
> Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me Burke.
> I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others is also
> questionable. Double :-)

Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?

Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE" available?

2606. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 22:06:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731180639.27667.00000043@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50520

In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207311935280.5126-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>On Wed, 31 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
>>On 31 Jul 2002 04:20:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>>> Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you ever
>exercise
>>>the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your previous Element
>1
>>>credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.
>>
>>For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
>>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?
>
>True, but that's no excuse not to keep "station records."

But what "station records" should be kept, even though not required by law? And for how long?

>We don't know what
>the next change to the licensing system will be....
>
Agreed!

>There were quite a few people who did not keep their "grandfathered"
>technician licenses and had to write the FCC (or the private lookup service)
to >get proof
>because they threw out their old, expired license(s). That's NOT keeping
>station records.

I understand what you're sayin, but how were they to know that they'd need those old expired licenses years later? As late as 1994, FCC was renewing "old" (code tested) Techs as Techs, not Tech Pluses.

>I'm excluding the subset who lost the old license(s) by
>casualty.

Still puts an unreasonable burden on the licensee, I think. However, it will most probably be a moot point in about a year.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2607. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 22:15:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ai9nhr$2cn$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50521

And what did you learn from all those 275 'chat qso's' ??
Did you find out what rig and/or how much power he/she was running?

Did you learn he/she had a 2 element QUAD, a 3 ele yagi or maybe a dipole?

What about the 'required' signal report? and of course the 'must have' WX
here is report.

Ham radio is diverse enough for everyone. Even you.

Dan/W4NTI

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D46FF77.71DEE92B@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > Well "JJ" it actually is a 'big deal' to get DXCC on all those bands.
Do
> > you have any concept of the time and dedication that took? How much
> > knowledge of radio propagation and skill in operating it took to work
those
> > stations. Not to mention the monumental task of actually getting them
> > confirmed?
> >
> > In otherwords "JJ" it IS A BIG DEAL.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> OK, so you spend countless hours in front of a radio making
> contacts that amount to nothing more than exchanging calls signs,
> signal reports and QSL info to get some certificates to hang on
> the wall you can point to to attest to all the hours you spent in
> front of the radio. Over the years I have worked over 275
> countries, all confirmed, on 15 meter sideband. These were real
> QSOs, not just exchange signal reports and run. What good does a
> contact like that do? Never did apply for any wallpaper. Like I
> say, all that and a few coins will get you a cup of coffee.
> Nothing to brag about.

2608. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 22:20:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50522

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
> P
> > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

> > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > >
> > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me Burke.
> > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others is also
> > questionable. Double :-)
>
> Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?

It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?

> Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE" available?

To what end?

Dave K8MN

2609. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 22:22:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukgoogo5m8fvab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50523

Jerry, I really don't care - so long as they stay out of the amateur bands. If they want to stew in 11 meters, so be it. The real problem is that there IS a market for the radios and no one wants to miss the buck$. They figure that if they don't sell 'em, someone else will. I'd like to see that bill going through congress making the CEO responsible for 'funny accounting' expanded to willful violation of any laws. Unfortunately, once you have a corporation, the folks in charge have entirely too much protection from just about everything. Hmmm ... look at EEI. Sell its' profitable business to a new corporate entity, file bankruptcy, and the FCC and creditors can fight over the bonepile. Meanwhile, back at the ranch, the same CEO with most of the same folks set up shop under a new corporation. Plug that loophole and you may have a shot at fixing the problem.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:0WY19.93800$Og3.23407957@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:ukeq4nmlsocv72@corp.supernews.com...
> > Well, I sent an e-mail to 'em. The reply was that they NEVER refer to
10
> or
> > 12 meter radios as CB. Only 11 meter radios are CB. They are 'clean'.
> Of
> > course, anyone can buy from them and I STILL don't know any amateurs
that
> > own a 10 meter only radio (nor a 10/12 meter radio for that matter).
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
>
> Jim,
>
> Bob's is NOT clean. They sell those Galaxy 44, 88, and 99 models, for
> example,
> that are not certified for sale in the US. He also proudly proclaims that
> he is a member of the "New England Chamber of Commerce". I don't think
the
> Chamber would be pleased to know that one of their members deals in
illegal
> products. I pointed this out to them in my email--he has not replied. So
> my two

> dimes are at the ready: one for FCC, one to the New England Chamber. I am
> deadly serious about this issue, and I mean to do whatever I can to stop
> those
> clowns from taking the 10 and 12 meter bands over.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0207282237.3421f141@posting.google.com...
> > > Here's a site called "Bob's CB Radio, 10 meter Radio & Antennas".....
> > > http://www.bobscb.com/bobs_cb.htm
> > >
> > > Here's an editorial I found on a site for truck drivers........
> > >
> > > http://www.layover.com/driverscorner/cbshop/0102.html
> > > The Power Wars Continue
> > > By Stan Wells
> > >
> > > The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
> > > Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to
> > > add more channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from
> > > 23 to 40 channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these
> > > frequencies from 5 watts to 3.5 watts. Shortly thereafter, the power
> > > wars began. It was soon discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was
> > > virtually unregulated. This gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy
> > > revolution. These radios were easy to convert to 11-meters which is
> > > the CB band. Additionally, they had 25 watts of power. They eventually
> > > captured nearly half of the sales in the CB marketplace with the
> > > addition of many other brand names to the field--some now offering as
> > > much as 250 watts of power. The current top seller is the General Lee.
> > > This radio is a copy of the Connex 3300 HP with the dual finals. This
> > > allows the radio to run both finals at the same time, giving nearly
> > > double the power output of the single final radio.
> >
> >
>
>
>

2610. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 22:24:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D486382.ACAD0C18@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50524

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > Eloped?
> > > > >
> > > > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> > > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?
> >
> With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you were about to
> work were operating within their allocated segments, ragchewing with W4s
> and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much where you go.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> But I want El Supreme DXer to pre-approve it.

Who is "El Supreme DXer". What DXpedition is "pre-approved"?

> Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't counted.

Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that what
you're saying? If that's the case, you can really save some time by not
bothering with those neatly lettered QSL cards. In fact, you can save
much more time by not operating at all.
```

Dave K8MN

2611. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 22:27:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukgp0r6ms8n703@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50525

Brian, was that 'Flight of the Phoenix'? It was a cute movie.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:iWY19.49$B17.9159891@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Bob Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> wrote in message
> news:o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
> > with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
> > emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc
is
> > needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end
touched
> > to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the
> info
> > transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
> destroyed
> > in some disaster.
> > bob w4mb
>
>
> Bob, I'm reminded of a movie where a plance crash lands in the desert. A
> toy maker is put in charge of making it flyable again, only no one know
that
> he is a toy maker.
>
>

2612. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 23:01:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D486C42.5098F903@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50526

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D44C8E4.286E626E@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D445961.BBDEF415@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D4371C8.C54065F3@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D422C31.6250762D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D40E0C8.F26D815C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D3B7D3E.6399C819@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D34E1B2.EDE83357@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
(additional snips performed by me)

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > What is wrong with my experiences as an HL9,
> > > > /KH2,
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > /T5?

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > I get on, I operate, I QSL.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > There you have it.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > How is that wrong?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > How is that a description of DXing?

> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Should I chant or face the East or something?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > If you're the DXer you say you are, you wouldn't have to
> ask
> > > me
> > > > > > > > > > > > questions.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > But I am, and I do. So answer the question.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > If you're a DXer, you don't need to ask.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You need to verify that you know.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I need to verify to you that I know? I'll let my country count
> speak
> > > to
> > > > > > > > > > that in reference to my operation from American soil. As to my
> > > > > > > > > > operations abroad, feel free to check any of the online DX
> newsletters
> > > > > > > > > or back issues of any magazines containing DX news.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Oh, I forgot you were the greatest DXer in the world. Forgive me.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I've never made that claim. That I am a DXer is documented.
> > > > >
> > > > How much of a DXer are you?
> > >
> > I'm much of a DXer.
> >
> Quantify it.

Research it.

> > > Previously you've told me that you just find them by tuning about. Now
> you
> > > are claiming that you've looked them up. My only confusion is what to
> > > believe, what you said then or what you are saying now.
> > >
> > Actually, if you'll read some of the above exchange, YOU asked if you
> > should tune about the band. I wrote "Tuning works". You appear to be
> > arguing with yourself at this point.
> >
> Previous to that.

I didn't write anything about the matter previous to that. You asked if
you were supposed to tune. I responded that tuning works. I did not
state that tuning the band is exclusive of other things. I don't care
if you sit in front of your computer screen and only respond to packet
spots. You wouldn't be alone.

> > > > > Perhaps they have no authorization where you've been trying to find
> > > them.
> > > >
> > > > I've never stated that I didn't look up allocations for countries I've
> > > > needed on 80 or 160m.
> > > >
> > > > > Why are you so confused?
> > > >
> > > > Probably because I've been dealing with your cryptic material.
> > >
> > > Cryptic as in when I look up authorizations its a bad thing indicating
> that
> > > I don't know much about DX, or cryptic as in when you look up
> authorizations
> > > because that's what a smart DXer does?
> >
> > Cryptic as in: you seem to be arguing with items which you posted.

> Now you're not telling the truth. Another gem from your "Good Amateur
> Practice" handbook?

I wrote what I observed. We now have a case of you arguing with you.
It is either that or you aren't expressing yourself as clearly as you
think you are.

> > > > > You must still be confused. You claimed that you would continue to
> work
> > > Frenchmen out of band even after you learned that they were out of band.
> > > >
> > > > I need only concern myself with operating where I AM PERMITTED TO
> > > > OPERATE. I've only made this statement about eight or ten times.
> Maybe
> > > > you'll pick up on it by and by.
> > >
> > > So you WILL work others who are out of band, even when you know they are
> out
> > > of band?
> >
> > Let's try it again. I wrote "I need only concern myself with operating
> > where I AM PERMITTED TO OPERATE." Read what I wrote, not what you think
> > I wrote.
>
> Yes, you keep saying that. Now how do you conduct yourself on the bands?

That IS the way I conduct myself on the bands: I need only concern
myself with operating where I AM PERMITTED TO OPERATE. I am not
responsible for how or where a fellow in another country operates his
station.

> > > > > > > > > > Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > > > > > > > > > until I'm an Extra, does it?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Part 97 only deals with licensing in the U.S. and its

> > > territories
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > possessions. Last time I checked, Somalia wasn't a U.S.
> > > > > possession.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You are correct. Since when was Somalia the only DX
> country?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I could have sworn that it was on the list you trotted out.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Are you saying that Somalia cannot be a DX country because it is
> not
> > > > a
> > > > > > > > > U.S.
> > > > > > > > > > > posession?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You aren't keeping up. I said Somalia isn't regulated under Part
> 97.
> > > > > > > > > How about HL9 operations? Are they governed by Part 97?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Still confused? How does being regulated by the U.S. relate to an
> > > > entity being DX or not being DX?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I certainly am confused on this one, Brian. Your own comments were
> > > > > > > "Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX until I'm an Extra, does
> > > > > > > it?" Part 97 doesn't deal with "being DX" at all unless you happen to
> > > > > > > be in a U.S. territory or possession.
> > > > >
> > > > No wonder you're the greatest DXer in the world.
> > >
> > It's flattering that you think so, Brian but I've already stated that
> > I've never made such a claim.
> >
> You should be nominated for the A-1 Operators award.

Been there. Done that. Have the certificate.

> > > Please tell us what Part 97 has to say about being or working DX.
> > >
> > I didn't initiate the gabble about Part 97 as it relates to being DX.
> >
> You did. You said that Somalia isn't a US posession. The only connection
> is that Somalia doesn't fall under Part 97. What else could it mean?

It may be time to re-read some of your stuff, Brian. You brought up
Part 97. I did not.

> Unless you meant that "General" Aideed doesn't pay US taxes.

Indeed! Aideed? I did? Didn't even know he was a ham.

> > You did. You wrote: "Part 97 doesn't say I cant' be DX or work DX
> > until I'm an Extra, does it?"
>
> Well does it?

Already answered previously.

> I don't believe Part 97 says anything
> > about being DX or working DX. Part 97 certainly doesn't, as I've
> > pointed out several times, have anything to do with amateur radio
> > operations from places which are not part of the United States or its
> > possessions/territories.
>
> So why your question concerning Somalia? Are you saying that I must confine
> my DXing activities to only US posessions?

Already answered previously. Are you reading this stuff from the bottom
up or something?

> > If you are in the United States or its
> > possessions/territories, Part 97 has everything to do with any and all
> > of your amateur radio operation, DXing included.
>
> Yes, I've done that as well. It was a lot of fun.
>
> > > What does
> > > it recommend for me to get my SSB rate up? Does it say I should stay >
> with the foot switch or should I use VOX?
> >
> > You claim that you're a DXer. Don't you know? Want some hints on
> > bringing your 40m rate up?--your CW rate?
>
> I'll pass on the CW, but do tell about 40M SSB. I'm always interested > in learning more
about my favorite hobby.

Sorry. The information you request is available as part of my "CW and
Forty Meters" package. I'd think a sharp student of DXing such as you
would not need additional tutelage anyway.

> > > I think Part 97 doesn't say squat about DX, but you're free to interpret
> it
> > > any way you like.
> >
> > As far as my operations from Guinea-Bissau, Sierra Leone, Botswana,
> > Finland, the Aland Islands, Market Reef or Tanzania, I didn't have to
> > interpret Part 97 at all. I didn't operate under Part 97 or any other
> > FCC regs. I didn't bring Part 97 up. You did.
>
> Dave, you couldn't be more right. Ditto Somalia. So why did you bring it
> up?

Shall I send someone over to tighten those screws?

> > > > > As a matter of fact, I don't think the FCC published a DX list. As
> > > close as
> > > > > the FCC comes is to publish a list of 3rd party countries.
> > > >
> > > > That's right. The FCC doesn't regulate your operation from Somalia or
> > > > tell you that you can't operate from there with or without an Extra
> > > > Class ticket either.
> > >
> > > As a matter of fact, there was no regulatory authority in Somalia when I
> was
> > > there. So what was your point?
> >
> > We haven't gotten to a need for my point. You first need to make YOUR
> > point. I'm still trying to figure out if you are simply trying to be
> > cute or whether you're really thick.
>
> Do try to make your point.

Let's see if we can make that point. Was your operation from Somalia
accepted for DXCC credit?

> > > On a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 being the most brilliant DXer ever,
> where
> > > would you place yourself?
> >
> > You aren't being fair, Brian. I don't need to compare myself with the
> > world's most brilliant DXer. I'm dealing with you. Let's compare your
> > DXing knowledge and abilities with mine. Put me at 100 if you like.
> > Now where do you fit on the 1 to 100 scale?
>
> 15?
>
> Now, on a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 being the world's most brilliant DXer
> and me at 1, where do you place yourself?

I've already said that the comparison should be between me and you. You
can put me at 100 if you like. I'll agree that 15 is about right for
you.

> > > > > > > > > Dave, you don't go by what I've stated here. You go by a
> > > > > pre-conceived
> > > > > > > > idea
> > > > > > > > > > that whatever I do is wrong.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You've certainly made statements about what you thought to be
> > > DXing.
> > > > > > > > > Some of them have even been made in this series of exchanges
> > > between
> > > > > > > us.
> > > > > > > > > Lots of them were just plain wrong. I don't have the opinion
> that
> > > > > > > > everything you do is wrong. I don't even know about

> everything
> > > you
> > > > > do.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So is Somalia now a US Posession?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > That is a response to my statements?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I did operate in Somalia. What about it?
> > > > >
> > > > Your stuff isn't even coherent anymore. Your question about Somalia
> > > > being a U.S. possession was strange. Your added comment is waaaaaay
> out
> > > > there.
> > >
> > It was your comment that was incredibly strange. The US government has
> no
> > regulatory authority in Somalia.
> >
> > I believe I may have pointed that out several times. It's nice to see
> > that you finally caught on.
>
> I have first hand evidence that it is not a US posession. What was your QSO
> rate from Somalia?

I've never operated from Somalia. Did your operation count toward DXCC?

> > > Why did you bring it up inside that
> > > relationship?
> >
> > It was in response to your comment on what Part 97 says about being DX.
> > Tell us how your operation from Somalia related in any way to Part 97.
>
> Wrongo. I brought up Part 97 as in, "Part 97 doesn't apply to Somalia."

Do me the kindness of reading what you've written before adding more
stupid comments.

> Why did you say Somalia is in the list of countries that I've "trotted out"
> and is not a US posession?

Been there. Done that. Got the certificate.

> > > > > > > Most? What of the ones who don't hold your view? Do you make
> fun
> > > of
> > > > > > them?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If they ragchew with G's and consider that to be DXing, I'll be
> happy
> > > to
> > > > > > > poke fun at them. If they believe that the DXCC program is about

> > > > > > working 100 countries and then stopping, then yes, I'll make fun
> of
> > > > > > them. If they, like you, would ask a DX station to confirm that
> he is
> > > > > > operating within his assigned allocation and tacks a cute "QSL?"
> to
> > > the
> > > > > > end of the exchange, then yes, I'd laugh at him.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Wow! Dave laughs at DXCC.
> > > > >
> > > > No, Dave laughs at Brian.
> > > >
> > > > > The greatest DXer of all time laughs at the very
> > > > > award system that makes it all possible.
> > > >
> > > No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls it
> DXing.
> > >
> > And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count? You might
> > want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility is
> slipping.
> >
> I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My credibility is
> > intact but I'm still laughing.
>
> Then what does it count for? C'mon, the worlds greatest DXer otta
> know this one.

He probably does. I think I know it too: It counts for that extremely
rare DX entity, England.

> > > > > The greatest DXer of all time
> > > > > laughs at all of the little people in DX that makes it all possible.
> > > > >
> > > > Who is the greatest DXer of all time? Was it Don Wallace?
> > >
> > David Heil., Tar Paper Shack, WV.
> >
> I've never made such a claim. I am a DXer though so you got part of it
> > right.
>
> You've said right here on RRAP that from downtown Dar Tanzania you were returning to a
tar paper shack in West Virginia.

Where did I preface my statement with "greatest DXer of all time"?

> > > > > Dave, when I look at the DXCC list, I swear G-land is on there. If
> I >
> > > fill it by a 12.73 second QSO vs a 12 minute QSO, what's the >
> difference to

> > > you?
> > > >
> > > > No difference, Brian. Let me know about you 12 minute QSOs with the
> > > > Laccadives, Glorioso, or North Korea. I can hardly wait. It'd be
> even
> > > > better if you manage to disrupt a pileup to make them.
> > >
> > > Why would I do something stupid like that?
> >
> > It fits a pattern.
>
> And your log book indicates this pattern?

No. YOUR logbook certainly must. Additionally, your posts here
regarding DXing fit that pattern.

> > > > Write them in your log? By hand? Dark ages, man!
> > >
> > > But I'm a neat freak. The writing has to be good enough that I can
> confirm
> > > them a year later when the cards start rolling in from the buro.
> >
> > I can see where your neatly penned entries would be faster and cleaner
> > than entering the material into a computer log.
>
> I work with what I've got.

I can see that from your posts.

> > I'm sure that most top
> > DXers also send their requests for needed countires and expect replies
> > via the bureau system. That makes lots of sense too.
>
> Thanks. That's what its there for, I'm told. No?

You go ahead and do what you believe, Brian.

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Expecially the time I entered a JA contest! > I
> did
> > > > > enjoy
> > > > > > > > > > > > ragchewing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > with the WestPac regulars.
> >
> Ragchewing isn't DXing.
> >
> What is it?
> >
> It is ragchewing.
> >
> Tell that to Diego Garcia.
> >
> What's his call?

> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Don't know. Why?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You asked me to tell him something. You can't have meant that
> I
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > > > direct comments to a DX entity. That'd be like talking to a
> door.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Any amateur on Diego Garcia can tell you. You don't need any
> > > specific
> > > > > > > > > amateur on that rock.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Then why'd you ask me to tell something to Diego Garcia? Which
> > > > > > > > > particular amateurs on Diego Garcia would you like for me to
> enlighten
> > > > > > > > with the fact that ragchewing at distance is not DXing?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Any of them. Why?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Well, which ones, Brian? Which would know you and why would those
> > > > > > persons make such an incorrect statement?
> > > > > >
> > > > > They've probably changed out by now. But why wouldn't the new ones
> answer such a simple question?
> > >
> > I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to answer it.
> > I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not confirm your
> > silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.
>
> If it confirms a country, what's the difference?

Let's not get lost. Any of the guys on Diego Garcia supposed to happily
agree with you that ragchewing is DXing. Izzat your story?

Should I cut off my fellow
> countrymen because they've exceeded the 12.3 second El Supremo DXer QSO
> length rule?
>
> > > > > Dave, am I the only amateur that you consider not to be a DXer?
> Please
> > > make a list of all the amateurs who work DX that you consider not to be
> > > DXers.
> > > > >
> > > > You are not alone. There are many amateurs who enjoy ragchewing with
> > > > distant stations but who are not DXers.
> > > >
> > > And their country total is what?
> > >
> > Why not ask them?
>

> Why?

That should be obvious: I'm not privy to their logs.

> If ragchewing at distance is what they do, I'd guess
> > their totals are not high. Maybe, as is your idea, they only work 100
> > countries in order to qualify for their initial DXCC and then stop.
> > Maybe they just work 10m SSB. Maybe they simply spend so much time
> > bitching about their lot in amateur radio that they don't have time to
> > get on the air much.
>
> Or maybe they just look down their nose on everyone else, poopooh others
> accomplishments no matter how small, and act like a general shitbyrd just
> because they've got 300+ confirmed and an A-1 Operators award?

I think you have it wrong again. You feel that way only because someone
hasn't believed you to be a DXer. Did your operation from T5 count
toward DXCC?

Dave K8MN

2613. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 23:19:42 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ukgtblnl7sim14@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50527

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020729205855.15314.00000068@mb-dh.aol.com...
> In article <3D442B3A.F29AA1DD@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> I'd say for attitude alone most of us are far superior hams (and
humans) to
> >> the likes of Larry Roll. I'm pretty sure most people know hypocrisy
when
> >> they see it. Most people can even pick representative forms of
hypocrisy
> >;)
> >>
> >> Kim W5TIT :)
> >
> >Larry's attitude make him inferior to even his despised no-code
> >hams.
> >
>
> My "attitude" is that I won't rubber-stamp the laziness of the no-coder
> whiners who managed to get the licensing requirements of the ARS
> dumbed-down to their level. A level at which, BTW, they are still NOT
> upgrading in droves! Funny how that worked out, isn't it?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Not funny or odd in the least. Does say a lot for your hypothesis though.

Why are people who "take advantage" of the new licensing requirements any
different than you, who admittedly is taking advantage of things in your
life, Larry?

Kim W5TIT

2614. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 23:44:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D48A066.6010906@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50528

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 07/30/02 21:21 Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <3D4765D2.6040207@adelphia.net>
>>
>
>
>>Hold on a second! After learning how to find these objects, I can now
>>navigate around in the night sky. I can participate as an amateur
>>astronomer in the search for asteroids, or add to the data on the
>>planets, two fields in which amateurs provide a lot of information. And
>>I had fun at the same time.
>>
>>
>
> Careful, Mike! This is almost TOO much like "Field Day does nothing to
> prepare for emergencies"...! Sure is embarrassing when these idiots get their
> rants ripped apart!

Ain' that the truth, Steve. There seem to be a number of hams out there
who hate darn near everything about the ARS. Go figure why people would
want to have a hobby they hate 8^)

> Steve, K4YZ
>
> PS...Are you part of SETI?

I was for a while, but I disconnected after they had the repeating packet
incident (for about two weeks I was reprocessing the same batch of data)
Then I just didn't get around to signing back up.

- Mike -

2615. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-07-31 23:55:36 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D485A82.63AC6957@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50529

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Brian, was that 'Flight of the Phoenix'? It was a cute movie.

Jimmy Stewart played the part of the pilot. The engineer came up
with the plans to build an escape plane designed model airplanes.

2616. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 00:02:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D485C12.7BE457DA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50530

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> And what did you learn from all those 275 'chat qso's' ??
> Did you find out what rig and/or how much power he/she was running?
>
> Did you learn he/she had a 2 element QUAD, a 3 ele yagi or maybe a dipole?
>
> What about the 'required' signal report? and of course the 'must have' WX
> here is report.
>
> Ham radio is diverse enough for everyone. Even you.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

All that and more, like occupation, family, hobbies, interpreting facts about where they live, ect., not just wham bam signal report, qsl info and goodby. I never claim that no one should go for a DXCC, just don't claim it is some major feat that somehow makes one head and shoulders above everyone else. Like I say, a DXCC award and a few coins will get you a cup of coffee, nothing more. It isn't some heroic accomplishment.

2617. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 00:06:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D485CF5.1E3BE128@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50531

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> >
> > My "attitude" is that I won't rubber-stamp the laziness of the no-coder
> > whiners who managed to get the licensing requirements of the ARS
> > dumbed-down to their level. A level at which, BTW, they are still NOT
> > upgrading in droves! Funny how that worked out, isn't it?
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>
> Not funny or odd in the least. Does say a lot for your hypothesis though.
>
> Why are people who "take advantage" of the new licensing requirements any
> different than you, who admittedly is taking advantage of things in your
> life, Larry?
>
> Kim W5TIT

Long before Larry's time, hams had to answer essay type questions,
draw schematics and explain how the circuit worked. When Larry
took his exams, it was all multiple guess, there were even books
that gave you the questions and answers for the test, so he is a
dumbed down ham as well.

2618. Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 00:11:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731201140.04292.00000087@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50535

In article <20020724014447.25170.00000191@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>>>
>>>>How do you know? "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range. Do you
>>>>know how to program a PLC?
>>>
>>>If you mean Programmable Logic Controller for "PLC," then no.
>>
>>Well, there you have it.

>>>I'm not
>>>into control of big electrical motors or other industrial process
>machinery.
>>
>>PLCs are a big part of "radio and electronics". Most industrial processes
>use
>>them. Their sophistication has grown from simple relay-logic replacers to
>>distributed control systems. Many use radio and/or fiberoptics for
>>communications.
>
>Aha! Everyone can get the drift of this conversation...:-)

The drift is that you are quite ignorant of large parts of the filed of "radio
and electronics".
>
>You probably work with PLCs and/or process control equipment, right?

You are obsessed with finding out what I do for a living, even though that is
irrelevant to a discussion of amateur radio policy.

>To you they are a BIG THING.

To many people they are "a big thing".

>You want MOTORS in radio? Okay, no problem. Been there, done
>many.

Where do you get that idea? I did not mention motors. PLCs are much more than motor controllers. Someone who knew all about "radio and electronics" would know that.....

>>>I've learned and become accomplished on several programmable
>>>calculators and computers and know enough, but have not actually,
>>>programmed a PLA (Programmable Logic Array...had someone else
>>>do that). Why do you ask (as if readers didn't know...)?
>>
>>The point is that "radio and electronics" is a very wide and diverse field.
>
>Your "point" is simply one-upmanship, Mr. Wizard.

Nope, just pointing out some simple facts. I don;t claim to be an expert at anything.

Judging by your behavior on this newsgroup, it must really bother you that I have some knowledge, skill and experience in the field of "radio and electronics" that you do not have. Poor baby - live with it.

>You want to make a
>Big Thing out of PLCs. Okay, you did. Now what?
>
>Have you ever programmed a Microchip PIC?

Sure.

>I'm just beginning to learn
>now that I have a PC program development program. A case of
>memorizing the Instruction Set...which none of the micro makers have
>ever really standardized. This is for some radio applications, Jimmie, not
>factory process control.

Is it for an amateur radio application?
>
>Have you had any microprocessor or microcontroller programming
>practice? Note: Most PICs are microcontrollers since they have a
>variety of "peripheral" circuits built-in such as D-to-A converters. My
>experience is limited to the 6800 series, the 6500 series, the
>ubiquitous Intel 8051 of a decade ago, the first of the RCA COSMACs,
>and some limited experience in small routines for the Intel '86 line in
>PCs. Oh, and a LOT of FORTRAN. I did do one small Assembler
>routine for the IBM 360 which also worked on the Spectra 70...too
>expensive to play with in 1973 on a time-share system...but it worked.
>At the time (the late 70s and early 80s) it was politically incorrect for
>an engineer to do "hard" (Assembler) programming, about the same
>for typing one's own written drafts (would have put the secretaries
>out of work or something, heh heh heh).

Heck, I was doing that stuff in 1972. FORTRAN, BASIC, punched cards, paper tape.

>Can you do a good flow chart for computer instructions? Those apply
>equally to microcontrollers. Think of it as the "schematic" for a

>program. I'm SURE Cecil can after all those years at Intel in digital
>work (and a few patents).

Been there, done that, freshman year EE stuff.

--

What does any of this have to do with the fact that you cannot program a PLC?

Jim, N2EY

2619. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 00:11:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731201141.04292.00000088@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50532

In article <cYI19.5953$Fd2.94968849@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Yeh, you're right. I recall seeing it at Loring. Where did I get "Bombs on >Target" from?
>
Burpelson Air Force Base?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2620. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 00:11:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731201141.04292.00000089@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50533

In article <iWY19.49$B17.9159891@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>I'm reminded of a movie where a plance crash lands in the desert.

"Flight Of The Pheonix"

>A
>toy maker is put in charge of making it flyable again, only no one know that
>he is a toy maker.

He's not a toy maker. He's an airplane designer. But he designs model airplanes. Big difference between toy airplanes and model airplanes.

The resulting airplane did actually fly. But it crashed and killed famous stunt pilot Paul Mantz, who was at the controls. He had come out of retirement to make the film.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2621. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 00:11:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731201142.04292.00000090@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50534

In article <o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Bob Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> writes:

>There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person >with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an >emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is >needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched >to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the info >transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been destroyed >in some disaster.

Bob,

I'm all for retaining code testing, and I have designed and built much of my ham gear. But that reason won't keep the code requirement. Here's why:

The disaster scenario is possible only if the person has the skills, knowledge and resources to make the conversion. The skills and knowledge required go far beyond that required of the amateur license tests. So even if all hams have to learn the code, there is no requirement or guarantee that they be able to build even a simple transmitter.

Of course many hams could build or convert an emergency transmitter in a disaster scenario - but only because they took it upon themselves to learn things not on the written tests. Apply the same logic to code tests.

73 de Jim, N2EY.

2622. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 01:35:25 UTC
From: herbiebabykv4fz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731213525.20290.00000691@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 50536

```
<< Subject: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!
From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net
Date: Mon, Jul 22, 2002 11:47 PM
Message-id: <I25%8.133094$JU3.60629600@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>

Roper Electronics of Tomah, WI is on the RainReport for selling those junk
so-called "10 Meter" radios! GO Riley!!

Jerry

>>

Yeah Right!!

Herb KV4FZ
```

2623. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 02:07:40 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0208010156131.5487-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 50537

```
On 31 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
>In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207311935280.5126-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>>On Wed, 31 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
>>>On 31 Jul 2002 04:20:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>>>> Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you ever
>>exercise
>>>>the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your previous Element
>>1
>>>>credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.
>>>
>>>For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
>>>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?
>>
>>True, but that's no excuse not to keep "station records."
>
>But what "station records" should be kept, even though not required by law? And
>for how long?

FCC regulations still require that "station records" be kept. Historically,
that would include contact logs and such which are not required today.
However, it would also include a license history (which is the part I refer to)
and correspondence to/from the FCC. Repeater owners would also include their
coordination documents (for those areas which have such a function). Spread
Spectrum experimenters (until recently) would also include information on that
mode. Former RACES affiliate stations would have documentation pertaining to
that. Etc., ....

The general requirement to keep records is for ALL services, not just the
amateur service. There is nothing in the amateur service rules that says that
stations/people licensed in the service need not keep any sort of records.

>>We don't know what
>>the next change to the licensing system will be....
>>
>Agreed!
>
>>There were quite a few people who did not keep their "grandfathered"
>>technician licenses and had to write the FCC (or the private lookup service)
>to >get proof
>>because they threw out their old, expired license(s). That's NOT keeping
>>station records.
>
>I understand what you're sayin, but how were they to know that they'd need
>those old expired licenses years later? As late as 1994, FCC was renewing "old"
```

>(code tested) Techs as Techs, not Tech Pluses.

Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that they are supposed to keep.

>>I'm excluding the subset who lost the old license(s) by
>>casualty.
>
>Still puts an unreasonable burden on the licensee, I think. However, it will
>most probably be a moot point in about a year.

Why? It's just one more piece of paper that gets filed by most and never seen again.

I still have the CSCE from over 10 years ago where I passed the elements that gave me my first license class (I've held licenses of two different amateur classes since). (I have all the others too). Why? To show what I passed and when and to fulfill the requirements of the regulations.

2624. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 02:12:19 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0208010210240.5487-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 50538

On Thu, 1 Aug 2002, Erik wrote:
>Ah, what's one more piece of paper! :-) Can't hurt.

Plus, the only document that currently has a "statute of limitations" for retention in the amateur service is a volunteer examiner's report of expenses which is due in January for the prior calendar year (which is required to be kept only three years).

2625. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 02:15:40 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aia5jp$e3t$2@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50539

"Bob Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> wrote in message
news:o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
> with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
> emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is
> needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched
> to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the
info
> transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
destroyed
> in some disaster.
> bob w4mb

Yawn! Not that old saw again.

A couple of quick reality questions...

What "old radio" would be used? Rube type? SolidState?
What frequency would it transmit on?
Who would be the recipient expected to be "listening"?

Preperation for emergency must be done BEFORE such
things happen...not after.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2626. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 02:40:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gc129.235$vB.14806391@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50540

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D486382.ACAD0C18@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Eloped?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?
> > >
> > With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you were about to
> > work were operating within their allocated segments, ragchewing with
W4s
> > and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much where you go.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> > But I want El Supreme DXer to pre-approve it.
>
> Who is "El Supreme DXer". What DXpedition is "pre-approved"?
>
> > Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't counted.
>
> Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that what
```

> you're saying? If that's the case, you can really save some time by not
> bothering with those neatly lettered QSL cards. In fact, you can save
> much more time by not operating at all.
>
> Dave K8MN

Have it your way, Dave.

Hanging around a Booney Pepper BBQ with KH2D and a cold one sure beats the hell out of a 12.63 second QSO. We might string up his 24 element 6M delta beam on a rope between two palm trees and work the US longpath.

2627. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 02:41:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <od129.236$4C.14844135@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50541

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020731201141.04292.00000088@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <cYI19.5953$Fd2.94968849@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >Yeh, you're right. I recall seeing it at Loring. Where did I get "Bombs
on
> >Target" from?
> >
> Burpelson Air Force Base?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I don't recall that one. Where was it at? What was its ICAO?

2628. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 02:55:33 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Fq129.259$vH.15185130@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50542

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D486C42.5098F903@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls it
> > DXing.
> > > > >
> > > > > And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count? You
might
> > > > > want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility is
> > slipping.
> > > >
> > > I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My credibility
is
> > > intact but I'm still laughing.
> >
> > Then what does it count for? C'mon, the worlds greatest DXer otta
> > know this one.
>
> He probably does. I think I know it too: It counts for that extremely
> rare DX entity, England.

That's one on the road to 330+.

> > > I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to answer
it.
> > > I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not confirm
your
> > > silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.
> >
> > If it confirms a country, what's the difference?
>
> Let's not get lost. Any of the guys on Diego Garcia supposed to happily
> agree with you that ragchewing is DXing. Izzat your story?

So Diego Garcia is #2 on the road to 330+.

Dave, do we really have to go through every country on the list for you to
see that a 12 minute QSO qualifies for DXCC credit just as much as your
blistering and Oh so meaningful 12.63 second QSOs?

Well Dave?

2629. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 02:56:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qr129.260$rB.14802290@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50543

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian
> > P
> > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> > > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> > > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > >
> > > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me Burke.
> > > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> > > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others is
also
> > > questionable. Double :-)
> >
> > Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?
>
> It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?
>
> > Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE"
available?
>
> To what end?
>
> Dave K8MN

You must be the exception to the rule.

2630. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 02:57:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ds129.262$VF.15081684@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50544

That was it! I liked that movie. Brian

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ukgp0r6ms8n703@corp.supernews.com...
> Brian, was that 'Flight of the Phoenix'? It was a cute movie.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:iWY19.49$B17.9159891@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Bob Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> wrote in message
> > news:o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A
person
> > > with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into
an
> > > emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key,
etc
> is
> > > needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end
> touched
> > > to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the
> > info
> > > transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
> > destroyed
> > > in some disaster.
> > > bob w4mb
> >
> >
> > Bob, I'm reminded of a movie where a plance crash lands in the desert.
A
> > toy maker is put in charge of making it flyable again, only no one know
> that
> > he is a toy maker.
> >
> >
>
>

2631. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 03:07:21 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aia8m9$fb5$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50545

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0208010156131.5487-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On 31 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> >In article
<Pine.LNX.4.44.0207311935280.5126-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> >>On Wed, 31 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
> >>>On 31 Jul 2002 04:20:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
> >>>> Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you ever
> >>exercise
> >>>>the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your previous
Element
> >>1
> >>>>credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.
> >>>
> >>>For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
> >>>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?
> >>
> >>True, but that's no excuse not to keep "station records."
> >
> >But what "station records" should be kept, even though not required by
law? And
> >for how long?
>
> FCC regulations still require that "station records" be kept.
Historically,
> that would include contact logs and such which are not required today.
> However, it would also include a license history (which is the part I
refer to)
> and correspondence to/from the FCC. Repeater owners would also include
their
> coordination documents (for those areas which have such a function).
Spread
> Spectrum experimenters (until recently) would also include information on
that
> mode. Former RACES affiliate stations would have documentation pertaining
to
> that. Etc., ...
>
> The general requirement to keep records is for ALL services, not just the
> amateur service. There is nothing in the amateur service rules that says
that
> stations/people licensed in the service need not keep any sort of records.

Even IF such general regulations exist, they clearly are not intended
to apply to amateur stations since there just is no historic record
of any need to do so...and, since even contact logs have been
determined to be not needed by the FCC.

> >>We don't know what
> >>the next change to the licensing system will be....
> >>
> >Agreed!
> >
> >>There were quite a few people who did not keep their "grandfathered"
> >>technician licenses and had to write the FCC (or the private lookup
service)
> >to >get proof
> >>because they threw out their old, expired license(s). That's NOT
keeping
> >>station records.
> >
> >I understand what you're sayin, but how were they to know that they'd
need
> >those old expired licenses years later? As late as 1994, FCC was renewing
"old"
> >(code tested) Techs as Techs, not Tech Pluses.
>
> Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that they
are
> supposed to keep.

I don't buy that at all for amateur stations.
Indeed, the "license" today doesn't
even require the station be "recorded" in
any means as to exact location, equipmewnt, etc.

> >>I'm excluding the subset who lost the old license(s) by
> >>casualty.
> >
> >Still puts an unreasonable burden on the licensee, I think. However, it
will
> >most probably be a moot point in about a year.
>
> Why? It's just one more piece of paper that gets filed by most and never
seen
> again.
>
> I still have the CSCE from over 10 years ago where I passed the elements
that
> gave me my first license class (I've held licenses of two different
amateur
> classes since). (I have all the others too). Why? To show what I passed
and
> when and to fulfill the requirements of the regulations.

No such regulations require you or me to keep any of that.
Retention on your part is simply a matter of personal choice for hams.

Perhaps Phil K will weigh in on this also.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2632. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 03:07:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D488785.B1A8CF06@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50546

Bob Haviland wrote:
>
> There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
> with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
> emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is
> needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched
> to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the info
> transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been destroyed
> in some disaster.
> bob w4mb

Lets see, my house has just been swept away by a flood, all the
power is out, I am hanging on to a tree for dear life, but wait,
there comes floating along an old Silvertone radio. I'm saved, all
I have to do is rewire it and make a transmitter. Now all my cw
training will save the day.
My plane just went down in the swamp, all the electronics were
damaged so no radios work. Now all I have to do is find an old
tube radio and make a transmitter. Now all my cw training will
save the day.
I'm not discounting knowledge of Morse code, but get real, but how
many times have you heard of someone cobbling together a cw
transmitter out of some parts and being saved.

2633. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 03:52:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D48B079.195B3DA6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50547

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D486382.ACAD0C18@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Eloped?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you were about to
> > > > > > work were operating within their allocated segments, ragchewing with
> W4s
> > > > > > and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much where you go.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > But I want El Supreme DXer to pre-approve it.
> > > >
> > > > Who is "El Supreme DXer". What DXpedition is "pre-approved"?
> > > >
> > > > Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't counted.
```

> >
> > Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that what
> > you're saying? If that's the case, you can really save some time by not
> > bothering with those neatly lettered QSL cards. In fact, you can save
> > much more time by not operating at all.

> Have it your way, Dave.
>
> Hanging around a Booney Pepper BBQ with KH2D and a cold one sure beats the
> hell out of a 12.63 second QSO. We might string up his 24 element 6M delta
> beam on a rope between two palm trees and work the US longpath.

I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations not counting
toward DXCC?

Dave K8MN

2634. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 03:58:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D48B1C5.15262921@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50548

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> "Brian
> > > P
> > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> > > > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > > >
> > > > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me Burke.
> > > > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> > > > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others is
> also
> > > > questionable. Double :-)
> > >
> > > Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?
> >
> > It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?
> >
> > > Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE"
> available?
> >
> > To what end?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You must be the exception to the rule.

What rule? Did you have an argument or a point to make regarding my
statement that I do not hold a 1 x 2 callsign under the FCC's vanity
regulations and my doubt that Len's blanket statement held true for a
number of others posting here? Was there another purpose for your post?

Dave K8MN

2635. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 04:03:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D48B306.B41D3DC3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50549

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D486C42.5098F903@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls it
> > > DXing.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count? You
> might
> > > > > > want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility is
> > > slipping.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My credibility
> is
> > > > > intact but I'm still laughing.
> > > >
> > > Then what does it count for? C'mon, the worlds greatest DXer otta
> > > know this one.
> > >
> > He probably does. I think I know it too: It counts for that extremely
> > rare DX entity, England.
>
> That's one on the road to 330+.

No kidding!

> > > > I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to answer
> it.
> > > > > I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not confirm
> your
> > > > > silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.
> > > >
> > > If it confirms a country, what's the difference?
> > >
> > Let's not get lost. Any of the guys on Diego Garcia supposed to happily
> > agree with you that ragchewing is DXing. Izzat your story?
>
> So Diego Garcia is #2 on the road to 330+.

We all know that. But if I contact any guys operating from Diego
Garcia, they'll confirm that ragchewing is DXing? Do I have your
thought pretty well summed up?

> Dave, do we really have to go through every country on the list for you to
> see that a 12 minute QSO qualifies for DXCC credit just as much as your
> blistering and Oh so meaningful 12.63 second QSOs?
>
> Well Dave?

Well what? I've already agreed that the QSO counts for DXCC. This is
just a little path around the issue which you wanted me to see. It's
nice but it doesn't change my mind about you not being a DXer.

Was your operation from Somalia accepted for DXCC credit or were you
simply wasting the time of DXers with windy QSOs that didn't count?

Dave K8MN

2636. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 04:05:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801000528.21330.00000536@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 50550

>Subject: Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF
>From: "Erik" not@this.juncture.net
>Date: 07/31/02 20:02 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <hkugkusju7g356o38sab12ms4284muv2s8@4ax.com>
>
>Ah, what's one more piece of paper! :-) Can't hurt.

Agreed. Except for my license itself, which is framed, all my "station
rcords" are in one one-inch ring binder. No big deal, and everything's right
there.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2637. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 04:24:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801002411.21330.00000544@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 50401

>Subject: Re: The ARRL in Bloom
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/31/02 17:00 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020731170054.26383.00000111@mb-bd.aol.com>

Hmmmm...for several days now, Lennie Anderson, an alleged ex-radio technician, or something like that, has been repeatedly asked to provide the substantiation of his allegations of "dishonesty" on the part of teh American Radio Relay League.

Let's see how the college educated 'professional" has responded today:

>>I agree, yours were libelous statements; my mistake.
>
>I didn't say my written words were libel. You did. Now prove
>your charge of libel.
>
>Did you need a Miranda warning?

No answer there.

>>No, Len, you've received entirely civil treatment from me.
>
>...as master to serf, headmaster to student, etc., etc., etc.

There either.

>>The problem is
>>that you can't distinguish between criticizing someone's ideas and actions
>>and belittling the person.
>
>Tsk, tsk, tsk. Looking in the mirror again?

Nor there.

>>That's why on the one hand you take any
>>criticism of what you say personally and on the other fill your posts with
>>name calling and insult.
>
>Heh heh. How do you know my state of mind? Are you telepathic?
>[telepathy claims seem to be coincident with proficiency in morse]

Not even an artful dodge there. Pretty lame.

>>It's not for my benefit, Len. You're the one whose reputation suffers when
>>you make unfounded charges.
>
>"Reputation?!?" In what?

In what indeed. In THIS forum he is well known for his rants,
antagonistic belittling and his pathological lying.

Not much of a reputation to screw up there, for sure!

>>If you want to keep ducking hard questions
>>about your claims, that's okay with me.
>
>Is duck season open? If it isn't you have to send the Fish and
>Game folks after me.

Why? Is it skunk season? Probably should be with the stinky way you keep
answering bonafide questions with childish tripe.

>>But I'll continue to ask you to explain them.
>
>No problem, Lone Stranger, but you keep forgetting your own
>silver bullets. You haven't yet offered proof that ARRL is
>totally honest in all its claims, only that the ARRL claims it is
>honest.

Proof of ARRL's honesty abounds. You, however, have yet to provide one
valid bit of evidence of any DIShonesty.

Still nothing.

>>That's just part of reasoned debate, something that you seem
>>loath to do.
>
>No, kimosabe, this is an Internet newsgroup, not a formal debate.
>You are NOT both moderator and speaker-for-one-side. There is
>no moderator here.

Then your frequent "reminders" about the "nature" of the NG are just
rhetorical smoke blowing, right?

Your OWN admonsitions to "debate civilly" are for WHAT purpose?

>>Duck-and-cover...
>
>? Virtually suppress. Note the qualifier.

Ahhhh..."qualifiers"...Any excuse to get out of being held to something,
eh Lennie?

>Can you spell J-I-N-G-O-I-S-M ?

Can you? Do you read your own stuff, or do you have a 10 year old ghost
writing for you?

So, another day...another opportunity missed by His Lyingness to set his own
record straight, or to at least impress us with his investigative prowess by
ante'ing up the requested "evidence" of misdeeds or dishonesty by ARRL.

Just more putziness.

Steve

2638. Re: Len, Lies and Videotape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 04:31:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801003144.21330.00000548@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 50402

>Subject: Re: Len, Lies and Videotape
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/31/02 17:00 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020731170051.26383.00000110@mb-bd.aol.com>
>

>The only "frontal nudity" is
>that of the aircraft, camera looking at its nose.

Uh huh...

>The requester refuses to open each one.
>Is he afraid? Why did she request it in the first place?

I requested it because you offered it.

What you sent was NOT your GROL.

The others won't be opened either, including the one you sent today, Your Putziness.

As I said, you blew your one good chance to "do it right". You took advantage of my willingness to trust you. I got bit. That one was my fault.

Won't happen again. Put it on an AOL "Hometown" page if yo want it seen. Otherwise, you may save yourself the effort.

Steve

2639. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 04:38:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801003824.21330.00000553@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 50403

>Subject: Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 07/31/02 17:00 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020731170051.26383.00000109@mb-bd.aol.com>

>> Sorry, Lennie...you blew that shot...Wasted it...You offered a copy of
>>your GROL.
>
>No. I offered a scan of my first First Class Radiotelephone Operator
>(Commercial) certificate...(SNIP)

My bust.

>>You sent me an obscene picture.
>
>What was sent the first time was a good quality JPG-format photograph
>of a converted-to-firefighting B-26 aircraft over a forest.

With one of the crewmen exposing himself. As I said..."cute", but since
it was NOT what you first ofered to send, I have no other reason to trust any
other e mail file you may send.

>I have sent my file "Attach L 150.ZIP" in private mail again. This will be
>the third time the same file has been sent in private.

Make it 33 if you care to. You gave me more than ample reason to not
trust you...again.

>Scurrilous, baseless charges will be found empty, void, ridiculous
>upon on any investigation of files or file contents by competent ISP
>technical representatives.

Too bad AOL doesn't enforce what they preach very well. I am sure a copy
of your post offering your license and then followed by a picture of a B-26
with an "exposed" crewman certainly violates TOS.

But I know you have never let anything like facts or rules bother you.

Just like you don't let lying cause yu to lose much sleep.

2640. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 04:44:15 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aibeqh322pi@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50421

Supposed to be a good group for logical effective debate of policies and issues pertaining to Amateur Radio..... but that's too much to ask for I think. :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> ......mmmmm - Crunchy Frog!.........

2641. Re: 5mhz update from NVIS group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 04:46:41 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D48BD31.7FCE225C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50404

```
>
> 5405 "FM" [LSB: 5406.5kHz]
> Secondary Calling Channel
> 24Hours Calling Only
> Make contact here, then QSY to channels FA,FB,FC
>
> ----
>
> Although any mode (except PULSE) may be used if it fits inside the
> "spot frequency" 3kHz channel bandwidth, the LSB dial frequencies are
> listed in [brackets] to make it easier for operators to tune in the
> "Foxtrot" stations.
```

Would FM be allowed on channel "FM"? :-) Of course not, as
FM would have too much bandwidth to support voice.

2642. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 04:50:58 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aibeqi422pi@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50422

Yes... I have seen that one, but re-useable storage seems more effective to me. My laptop would be able to use those mini CD's but the home pc won't. I have a Zip drive in all of my PC's except the laptop, but that has an external zip.

As far as cable connectivity, I am seriously hating the USB descrimition now.... I mean, if you don't have a USB connection, you are screwed it seems. My laptop is just old enough that it does not have a USB connection.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3D4564B2.62CAD913@psu.edu...
> KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > Still not sold on the idea of digital cams yet.... Mostly because of the
> > media storage issues. If Sony would make that damned Mavica series with
a
> > Iomega Zip disk drive instead of floppies... I would buy one ASAP.
> >
> > Ryan
>
> Yeah, I would like a zip drive too. But did you check out the new
> Mavica that records on mini-CD's? I tried one and it works well.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2643. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:00:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <dg329.383$qP1.19588344@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50405

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D48B079.195B3DA6@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D486382.ACAD0C18@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Eloped?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you were
about to
> > > > > > > > work were operating within their allocated segments, ragchewing
with
> > W4s
> > > > > > > > and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much where you
go.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > >
```

> > > > But I want El Supreme DXer to pre-approve it.
> > >
> > > Who is "El Supreme DXer". What DXpedition is "pre-approved"?
> > >
> > > > Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't counted.
> > >
> > > Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that
what
> > > you're saying? If that's the case, you can really save some time by
not
> > > bothering with those neatly lettered QSL cards. In fact, you can save
> > > much more time by not operating at all.
>
> > Have it your way, Dave.
> >
> > Hanging around a Booney Pepper BBQ with KH2D and a cold one sure beats
the
> > hell out of a 12.63 second QSO. We might string up his 24 element 6M
delta
> > beam on a rope between two palm trees and work the US longpath.
>
> I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations not counting
> toward DXCC?
>
> Dave K8MN

Which ones?

2644. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:03:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8i329.384$SI1.17710256@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50406

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D48B306.B41D3DC3@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D486C42.5098F903@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls
it
> > > > > DXing.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count?
You
> > might
> > > > > > > want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility
is
> > > > > slipping.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My
credibility
> > is
> > > > > > intact but I'm still laughing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Then what does it count for? C'mon, the worlds greatest DXer otta
> > > > > know this one.
> > > >
> > > > He probably does. I think I know it too: It counts for that
extremely
> > > > rare DX entity, England.
> > >
> > > That's one on the road to 330+.
> >
> > No kidding!
> >
> > > > > > I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to
answer
> > it.
> > > > > > I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not confirm
> > your
> > > > > > silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.
> > > > >
> > > > > If it confirms a country, what's the difference?
> > > >
> > > > Let's not get lost. Any of the guys on Diego Garcia supposed to

happily
> > > agree with you that ragchewing is DXing. Izzat your story?
> >
> > So Diego Garcia is #2 on the road to 330+.
>
> We all know that. But if I contact any guys operating from Diego
> Garcia, they'll confirm that ragchewing is DXing? Do I have your
> thought pretty well summed up?
>
> > Dave, do we really have to go through every country on the list for you
to
> > see that a 12 minute QSO qualifies for DXCC credit just as much as your
> > blistering and Oh so meaningful 12.63 second QSOs?
> >
> > Well Dave?
>
> Well what? I've already agreed that the QSO counts for DXCC. This is
> just a little path around the issue which you wanted me to see. It's
> nice but it doesn't change my mind about you not being a DXer.

Nothing can. The cement in your head has set.

> Was your operation from Somalia accepted for DXCC credit or were you
> simply wasting the time of DXers with windy QSOs that didn't count?
>
> Dave K8MN

Don't know.

2645. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:03:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1j329.386$1L1.19334167@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50407

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D48B1C5.15262921@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "Brian
> > > > P
> > > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > > > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> > > > > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me
Burke.
> > > > > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> > > > > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others
is
> > also
> > > > > questionable. Double :-)
> > > >
> > > > Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?
> > >
> > > It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?
> > >
> > > > Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE"
> > available?
> > >
> > > To what end?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > You must be the exception to the rule.
>
> What rule? Did you have an argument or a point to make regarding my
> statement that I do not hold a 1 x 2 callsign under the FCC's vanity
> regulations and my doubt that Len's blanket statement held true for a
```

> number of others posting here? Was there another purpose for your post?
>
> Dave K8MN

Are you or are you not an exception to Len's Rule?

2646. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:08:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D48C256.AB290DDC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50408

>
> > Burpelson Air Force Base?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I don't recall that one. Where was it at? What was its ICAO?

The movie _Dr Strangelove_. I know someone in the air force who used to baby-sit
nuke missiles in Minot ND. He said "Peace is Our Profession, we kill for a hobby. :-)" Minuteman missiles IIRC. He had one of THE keys.....

2647. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:17:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50409

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D48B079.195B3DA6@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D486382.ACAD0C18@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Eloped?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you were
> about to
> > > > > > > > > > work were operating within their allocated segments, ragchewing
> with
> > > > > W4s
> > > > > > > > > > and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much where you
> go.
> > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But I want El Supreme DXer to pre-approve it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Who is "El Supreme DXer". What DXpedition is "pre-approved"?
> > > > >
> > > > > > Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't counted.
> > > > >
> > > > > Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that
> what
> > > > > you're saying? If that's the case, you can really save some time by
> not
> > > > > bothering with those neatly lettered QSL cards. In fact, you can save
> > > > > much more time by not operating at all.
> >
> > > > Have it your way, Dave.
> > >
> > > > Hanging around a Booney Pepper BBQ with KH2D and a cold one sure beats
> the
> > > > hell out of a 12.63 second QSO. We might string up his 24 element 6M
> delta
> > > > beam on a rope between two palm trees and work the US longpath.
> >
> > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations not counting
> > toward DXCC?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Which ones?

Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise it won't
count as my other DX activities haven't counted."

Dave K8MN

2648. Re: Jon Bloom assuming a Torquemada Role

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:18:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D48C4A1.61297185@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50410

Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:

> Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.

I started this thread a week or two ago. And that was a brief mention
of having heard freebanders in the bottom end of 10 meters. Didn't
expect it to mushroom into one of the biggest flame wars in the last
few months in RRAP. Though nothing can touch the "one step closer
to extinction" flamefest.

2649. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:19:33 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D48C4E5.73652470@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50411

N2EY wrote:

> In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
> <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.
>
> NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!
>
> Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?
>

No, this office is for insults, you stupid get! :-)

2650. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:22:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D48C5A2.A2E39058@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50412

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D48B306.B41D3DC3@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D486C42.5098F903@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls
> it
> > > > > DXing.
> > > > > > > > > > And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count?
> You
> > > might
> > > > > > > > > want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility
> is
> > > > > slipping.
> > > > > > > > > I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My
> credibility
> > > is
> > > > > > > > intact but I'm still laughing.
> > > > > > > Then what does it count for? C'mon, the worlds greatest DXer otta
> > > > > know this one.
> > > > > > He probably does. I think I know it too: It counts for that
> extremely
> > > > rare DX entity, England.
> > > >
> > > That's one on the road to 330+.
> >
> > No kidding!
> >
> > > > > > > I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to
> answer
> > > it.
> > > > > > > > I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not confirm
> > > your
> > > > > > > > silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.
> > > > > > > If it confirms a country, what's the difference?
```

> > > >
> > > > Let's not get lost. Any of the guys on Diego Garcia supposed to
> happily
> > > > agree with you that ragchewing is DXing. Izzat your story?
> > >
> > > So Diego Garcia is #2 on the road to 330+.
> >
> > We all know that. But if I contact any guys operating from Diego
> > Garcia, they'll confirm that ragchewing is DXing? Do I have your
> > thought pretty well summed up?
> >
> > > Dave, do we really have to go through every country on the list for you
> to
> > > see that a 12 minute QSO qualifies for DXCC credit just as much as your
> > > blistering and Oh so meaningful 12.63 second QSOs?
> > >
> > > Well Dave?
> >
> > Well what? I've already agreed that the QSO counts for DXCC. This is
> > just a little path around the issue which you wanted me to see. It's
> > nice but it doesn't change my mind about you not being a DXer.
>
> Nothing can. The cement in your head has set.

Nothing has changed my mind since the material you've posted here
indicates you don't know much about DXing.

> > Was your operation from Somalia accepted for DXCC credit or were you
> > simply wasting the time of DXers with windy QSOs that didn't count?

> Don't know.

You don't know? How would a DXer not know? If your /T5 operation
didn't count and you had no permission to operate, why that would
certainly cast doubt on all of the drivel you've posted about your
morals and ethics. Additionally, it would make you a bootlegger.

I've never operated from a foreign country where I had no license to
operate and where I wasn't certain that my operation would be accepted
for DXCC credit.

Dave K8MN

2651. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:32:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D48C7CE.D3D4D5D1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50413

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D48B1C5.15262921@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > "Brian
> > > > > > P
> > > > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> > > > > > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me
> Burke.
> > > > > > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> > > > > > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others
> is
> > > also
> > > > > > questionable. Double :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?
> > > >
> > > > It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?
> > > >
> > > > Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE"
> > > available?
> > > >
> > > To what end?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > You must be the exception to the rule.
> >
> What rule? Did you have an argument or a point to make regarding my
```

> > statement that I do not hold a 1 x 2 callsign under the FCC's vanity
> > regulations and my doubt that Len's blanket statement held true for a
> > number of others posting here? Was there another purpose for your post?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Are you or are you not an exception to Len's Rule?

Len doesn't have a valid rule. K0HB isn't a vanity call. N2EY isn't a vanity call. W3RV isn't a vanity call. There'd appear to be more "exceptions" than validity to Len's "rule".

Did you have an argument with my statement? A disagreement or misunderstanding over what it meant? Maybe you're just playing "Mini Me" to Len's "Doctor Evil"?

Dave K8MN

2652. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 05:36:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207312136.6142a589@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50414

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net>...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian
> P
> > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> > > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> > > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > >
> > > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me Burke.
> > > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> > > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others is also
> > > questionable. Double :-)
> >
> > Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?
>
> It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?
>
> > Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE" available?
>
>
No Burkie, "EE" would be a hideous callsign to take into the pileups.
I have "RV" for the same reasons Dave has for holding "MN". You
wouldn't get it even if we explained it so I'll spare ya the
bandwidth.
>
>
>
> To what end?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>
w3rv

2653. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 12:07:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801080706.03471.00000083@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 50417

In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0208010156131.5487-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>On 31 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
>>In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207311935280.5126-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
>"D.
>>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>>>On Wed, 31 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
>>>>On 31 Jul 2002 04:20:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>>>>> Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you ever
>>>exercise
>>>>>the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your previous
>Element
>>>1
>>>>>credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.
>>>>
>>>>For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
>>>>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?
>>>
>>>True, but that's no excuse not to keep "station records."
>>
>>But what "station records" should be kept, even though not required by law?
>And
>>for how long?
>
>FCC regulations still require that "station records" be kept. Historically,
>that would include contact logs and such which are not required today.

Does that mean I still need to keep all my old logs?

>However, it would also include a license history (which is the part I refer
>to) and correspondence to/from the FCC. Repeater owners would also include
their
>coordination documents (for those areas which have such a function). Spread
>Spectrum experimenters (until recently) would also include information on
>that
>mode. Former RACES affiliate stations would have documentation pertaining to
>that. Etc., ...
>
>The general requirement to keep records is for ALL services, not just the
>amateur service. There is nothing in the amateur service rules that says
>that
>stations/people licensed in the service need not keep any sort of records.

I agree that some records need to be kept - but exactly what needs to be kept,
and for how long? Obviously current stuff needs to be kept, but must I hang on
to things like my 1967 Novice license and logs forever?
>
>>>We don't know what
>>>the next change to the licensing system will be....
>>>
>>Agreed!
>>
>>>There were quite a few people who did not keep their "grandfathered"
>>>technician licenses and had to write the FCC (or the private lookup
>service)
>>to >get proof
>>>because they threw out their old, expired license(s). That's NOT keeping
>>>station records.
>>
>>I understand what you're sayin, but how were they to know that they'd need
>>those old expired licenses years later? As late as 1994, FCC was renewing
>"old"
>>(code tested) Techs as Techs, not Tech Pluses.
>
>Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that they are
>supposed to keep.

How long must they be kept?
>
>>>I'm excluding the subset who lost the old license(s) by
>>>casualty.
>
>I still have the CSCE from over 10 years ago where I passed the elements that
>gave me my first license class (I've held licenses of two different amateur
>classes since). (I have all the others too). Why? To show what I passed
>and when and to fulfill the requirements of the regulations.

Wouldn't the issuance of the license that resulted from those CSCEs prove the
same thing? If you have the license, why keep the CSCEs other than for
sentiment?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2654. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 12:07:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801080707.03471.00000085@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 50416

In article <20020801003824.21330.00000553@mb-cv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>You sent me an obscene picture.
>>
>>What was sent the first time was a good quality JPG-format photograph
>>of a converted-to-firefighting B-26 aircraft over a forest.
>
> With one of the crewmen exposing himself. As I said..."cute", but since
>it was NOT what you first ofered to send, I have no other reason to trust any
>other e mail file you may send.

He sent me the same junk, Steve, even though I did not ask for it. Didn;t even bother to download it.

>
>>I have sent my file "Attach L 150.ZIP" in private mail again. This will be
>>the third time the same file has been sent in private.

Why? It's not what was requested.

>
> Make it 33 if you care to. You gave me more than ample reason to not
>trust you...again.

C'mon, Steve - did you really think Lenover21 would deliver what you requested?

>
>>Scurrilous, baseless charges will be found empty, void, ridiculous
>>upon on any investigation of files or file contents by competent ISP
>>technical representatives.
>
> Too bad AOL doesn't enforce what they preach very well. I am sure a
>copy
>of your post offering your license and then followed by a picture of a B-26
>with an "exposed" crewman certainly violates TOS.

Don't forget the "avian greeting".

73 de Jim, N2EY

2655. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 12:07:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801080707.03471.00000084@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 50418

In article <3D488785.B1A8CF06@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>I'm not discounting knowledge of Morse code, but get real, but how
>many times have you heard of someone cobbling together a cw
>transmitter out of some parts and being saved.
>
It's happened a few times in the distant past. Could happen again, but highly improbable. More likely is the repair of existing-but-damaged radio equipment.

The "big problem" is simply that the person doing the cobbling would have to have the resources, knowledge and skill to do so. In all cases I know of where someone built a transmitter under disaster conditions, that person was skilled in transmitter construction before the disaster occurred. Is such skill and knowledge a license requirement today? Nope.

Go down the disaster scenario path enough, and a soldering test makes a lot of sense.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2656. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 12:07:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801080708.03471.00000086@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 50415

In article <3D48C256.AB290DDC@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> > Burpelson Air Force Base?
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> I don't recall that one. Where was it at? What was its ICAO?
>
>The movie _Dr Strangelove_.

DING! 100% correct.

rest of the title is: "Or How I Learned To Stop Worrying And Love The Bomb".

>I know someone in the air force who used to
>baby-sit
>nuke missiles in Minot ND.

Buck Turgidson?

>He said "Peace is Our Profession, we kill for
>a hobby. :-)" Minuteman missiles IIRC. He had one of THE keys.....
>
Fortunately, he never had to use them.

--

73 de Jim, "You can't fight in here, this is the War Room!" N2EY

2657. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 13:34:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D4938A7.D8D1965D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 50419

Bill Sohl wrote:

> "Bob Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> wrote in message
> news:o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
> > with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
> > emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is
> > needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched
> > to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the
> info
> > transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
> destroyed
> > in some disaster.
> > bob w4mb
>
> Yawn! Not that old saw again.
>
> A couple of quick reality questions...
>
> What "old radio" would be used? Rube type? SolidState?
> What frequency would it transmit on?
> Who would be the recipient expected to be "listening"?
>
> Preperation for emergency must be done BEFORE such
> things happen...not after.

And when things don't go according to plan, just throw up your hands and capitulate. If you really believe that all the planning you can do will take care of you its because you don't choose to understand your own reality. Virtually every HF ham rig made comes ready for use on CW.

The point is simply that when a ham knows how to use CW he has a very flexible communications tool which you code haters will never have available.

Dick

2658. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 13:38:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D4939C1.45C1BED8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 50420

Dave Heil wrote:

> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D486C42.5098F903@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and calls it
> > > > > DXing.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count? You
> > might
> > > > > > > > want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your credibility is
> > > > > slipping.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My credibility
> > is
> > > > > > > intact but I'm still laughing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Then what does it count for? C'mon, the worlds greatest DXer otta
> > > > > know this one.
> > > >
> > > He probably does. I think I know it too: It counts for that extremely
> > > rare DX entity, England.
> >
> That's one on the road to 330+.
>
> No kidding!
>
> > > > > > I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to answer
> > it.
> > > > > > > I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not confirm
> > your
> > > > > > > silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > If it confirms a country, what's the difference?
> > > >
> > > Let's not get lost. Any of the guys on Diego Garcia supposed to happily
> > > agree with you that ragchewing is DXing. Izzat your story?
> >
> So Diego Garcia is #2 on the road to 330+.
>
> We all know that. But if I contact any guys operating from Diego
> Garcia, they'll confirm that ragchewing is DXing? Do I have your

> thought pretty well summed up?
>
> > Dave, do we really have to go through every country on the list for you to
> > see that a 12 minute QSO qualifies for DXCC credit just as much as your
> > blistering and Oh so meaningful 12.63 second QSOs?
> >
> > Well Dave?
>
> Well what? I've already agreed that the QSO counts for DXCC. This is
> just a little path around the issue which you wanted me to see. It's
> nice but it doesn't change my mind about you not being a DXer.
>
> Was your operation from Somalia accepted for DXCC credit or were you
> simply wasting the time of DXers with windy QSOs that didn't count?
>
> Dave K8MN

Give it up, Dave, you're just pounding sand. B.Turke can't understand the
difference between DX and a DXer.

2659. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 14:35:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9Hb29.500$SV6.23238954@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50423

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D48B079.195B3DA6@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D486382.ACAD0C18@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Eloped?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you were
> > about to
> > > > > > > > > > > work were operating within their allocated segments,
ragchewing
> > with
> > > > > W4s
```

> > > > > > > and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much where
you
> > go.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But I want El Supreme DXer to pre-approve it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Who is "El Supreme DXer". What DXpedition is "pre-approved"?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't
counted.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that
> > what
> > > > > > you're saying? If that's the case, you can really save some time
by
> > not
> > > > > > bothering with those neatly lettered QSL cards. In fact, you can
save
> > > > > > much more time by not operating at all.
> > > >
> > > > Have it your way, Dave.
> > > >
> > > > Hanging around a Booney Pepper BBQ with KH2D and a cold one sure
beats
> > the
> > > > hell out of a 12.63 second QSO. We might string up his 24 element
6M
> > delta
> > > > beam on a rope between two palm trees and work the US longpath.
> > >
> > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations not counting
> > > toward DXCC?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Which ones?
>
> Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise it won't
> count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
>
> Dave K8MN

You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?

2660. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 14:38:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <eJb29.502$cW6.23261353@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50424

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020801080708.03471.00000086@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <3D48C256.AB290DDC@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >> > Burpelson Air Force Base?
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> I don't recall that one. Where was it at? What was its ICAO?
> >
> >The movie _Dr Strangelove_.
>
> DING! 100% correct.
>
> rest of the title is: "Or How I Learned To Stop Worrying And Love The
Bomb".
>
> >I know someone in the air force who used to
> >baby-sit
> >nuke missiles in Minot ND.
>
> Buck Turgidson?
>
> >He said "Peace is Our Profession, we kill for
> >a hobby. :-)" Minuteman missiles IIRC. He had one of THE keys.....
> >
> Fortunately, he never had to use them.
>
> --
>
> 73 de Jim, "You can't fight in here, this is the War Room!" N2EY

Burpelson Air Force Base is a make believe place? I probably wouldn't have
seen "Bombs on Target" there.

2661. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 14:40:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jLb29.503$IV6.23228704@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50425

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:aibeqi422pi@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Yes... I have seen that one, but re-useable storage seems more effective
to
> me. My laptop would be able to use those mini CD's but the home pc won't.
> I have a Zip drive in all of my PC's except the laptop, but that has an
> external zip.
>
> As far as cable connectivity, I am seriously hating the USB descrimition
> now.... I mean, if you don't have a USB connection, you are screwed it
> seems. My laptop is just old enough that it does not have a USB
connection.

Ryan, why not add one?

This new e-machine 'puter has a USB on the front for portable devices. Just
like most televisions have a port on the front for videocam hook ups.

2662. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 14:41:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hMb29.504$rX6.23332922@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50426

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D4939C1.45C1BED8@townsqr.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D486C42.5098F903@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > No, I'm laughing at a guy who ragchews with England and
calls it
> > > > > DXing.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And if it checks off the box that says: "G" it doesn't count?
You
> > > might
> > > > > > > > want to call the DXCC desk on that one, Dave. Your
credibility is
> > > > > slipping.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I've not written that your QSO doesn't count, Brian. My
credibility
> > > is
> > > > > > > intact but I'm still laughing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Then what does it count for? C'mon, the worlds greatest DXer otta
> > > > > know this one.
> > > > >
> > > > He probably does. I think I know it too: It counts for that
extremely
> > > > rare DX entity, England.
> > > >
> > > That's one on the road to 330+.
> >
> > No kidding!
> >
> > > > > > > I'm almost certain that I could persuade a number of them to
answer
> > > it.
> > > > > > > I'm almost as certain that the responses I'd get would not
confirm
> > > your
> > > > > > > silly claim that ragchewing is DXing.
> > > > > >

> > > > > If it confirms a country, what's the difference?
> > > >
> > > > Let's not get lost. Any of the guys on Diego Garcia supposed to
happily
> > > > agree with you that ragchewing is DXing. Izzat your story?
> > >
> > > So Diego Garcia is #2 on the road to 330+.
> >
> > We all know that. But if I contact any guys operating from Diego
> > Garcia, they'll confirm that ragchewing is DXing? Do I have your
> > thought pretty well summed up?
> >
> > > Dave, do we really have to go through every country on the list for
you to
> > > see that a 12 minute QSO qualifies for DXCC credit just as much as
your
> > > blistering and Oh so meaningful 12.63 second QSOs?
> > >
> > > Well Dave?
> >
> > Well what? I've already agreed that the QSO counts for DXCC. This is
> > just a little path around the issue which you wanted me to see. It's
> > nice but it doesn't change my mind about you not being a DXer.
> >
> > Was your operation from Somalia accepted for DXCC credit or were you
> > simply wasting the time of DXers with windy QSOs that didn't count?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Give it up, Dave, you're just pounding sand. B.Turke can't understand the
> difference between DX and a DXer.

DX is the stuff that DXers do.

2663. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 14:55:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jZb29.516$bX6.23316703@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50427

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D48C5A2.A2E39058@earthlink.net...

> You don't know? How would a DXer not know? If your /T5 operation
> didn't count and you had no permission to operate, why that would
> certainly cast doubt on all of the drivel you've posted about your
> morals and ethics. Additionally, it would make you a bootlegger.

Dave, I had permission to operate from the only authority that I had to recognize over there. So much for your lame assertions.

> I've never operated from a foreign country where I had no license to
> operate and where I wasn't certain that my operation would be accepted
> for DXCC credit.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you must have missed the news.

I went to Somalia, not as just another State Department paid DXpedition, but as part of an Armed Forces Expedition. We used Forced Entry, not an invitation from the host country to establish diplomatic relations. I was in a little riskier business than you were in. There was no active host Government in Somalia. There was no Telecommunications Authority in Somalia. There was no active American Embassy in Somalia. There was no electricity in Somalia. There was no running water in Somalia. There was no food in Somalia. FWIW, there wasn't much of anything, except misery. Wished you were there.

Brian, N0iMD/T5

2664. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 14:58:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <g0c29.517$HZ6.23451937@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50428

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D48C7CE.D3D4D5D1@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D48B1C5.15262921@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > In article
<dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > > > "Brian
> > > > > > P
> > > > > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through
the
> > > > > > > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me
> > Burke.
> > > > > > > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> > > > > > > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of
others
> > is
> > > > > also
> > > > > > > questionable. Double :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?
> > > > >
> > > > > It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?
> > > > >
> > > > > Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE"
> > > available?
> > > > >
> > > > To what end?
> > > >
```

> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > You must be the exception to the rule.
> > >
> > > What rule? Did you have an argument or a point to make regarding my
> > > statement that I do not hold a 1 x 2 callsign under the FCC's vanity
> > > regulations and my doubt that Len's blanket statement held true for a
> > > number of others posting here? Was there another purpose for your
post?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Are you or are you not an exception to Len's Rule?
>
> Len doesn't have a valid rule. K0HB isn't a vanity call. N2EY isn't a
> vanity call. W3RV isn't a vanity call. There'd appear to be more
> "exceptions" than validity to Len's "rule".
>
> Did you have an argument with my statement? A disagreement or
> misunderstanding over what it meant? Maybe you're just playing "Mini
> Me" to Len's "Doctor Evil"?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, this is a new twist for you; forthcoming with information. You
weren't forthcoming with your A-1 Op bitch, and you haven't been forthcoming
with your DX operating practices. But here you are volunteering all kinds
of information about everyone else. Good work. Glad to see it.

2665. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 15:00:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <u2c29.518$T_6.23515372@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50429

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0207312136.6142a589@posting.google.com...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brian
> > P
> > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > >
> > > > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through the
> > > > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > > >
> > > > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me
Burke.
> > > > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> > > > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of others is
also
> > > > questionable. Double :-)
> > >
> > > Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?
> >
> > It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?
> >
> > > Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE"
available?
> >
> >
> No Burkie, "EE" would be a hideous callsign to take into the pileups.
> I have "RV" for the same reasons Dave has for holding "MN". You
> wouldn't get it even if we explained it so I'll spare ya the
> bandwidth.

Kellie, Oh Please, expend the bandwidth. I'll never rise to your level of
competency if I'm not Elmered by the Greatest DXers of All Time. Pffft.

2666. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 15:02:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <q4c29.519$m%6.23544973@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50430

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D48C4E5.73652470@ix.netcom.com...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
> > <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
> >
> > >Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this
newsgroup.
> >
> > NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!
> >
> > Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?
> >
>
> No, this office is for insults, you stupid get! :-)

Actually, this group is very much like the Inquisition.

2667. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 15:07:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <s8c29.520$407.23591035@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50431

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D4938A7.D8D1965D@townsqr.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Yawn! Not that old saw again.
> >
> > A couple of quick reality questions...
> >
> > What "old radio" would be used? Rube type? SolidState?
> > What frequency would it transmit on?
> > Who would be the recipient expected to be "listening"?
> >
> > Preperation for emergency must be done BEFORE such
> > things happen...not after.
>
> And when things don't go according to plan, just throw up your hands and
> capitulate. If you really believe that all the planning you can do will
take
> care of you its because you don't choose to understand your own reality.
> Virtually every HF ham rig made comes ready for use on CW.
>
> The point is simply that when a ham knows how to use CW he has a very
flexible
> communications tool which you code haters will never have available.
>
> Dick

Dick, every rig I've ever owned also had a microphone. Unless you are
talking about those cult kit radios meant to provide a few moments of fun
while on a camping trip.

More hams know how to talk than how to didah. Why do you continue to swim
upstream?

2668. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 15:11:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Lcc29.521$SX6.23348459@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50432

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020801080707.03471.00000084@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <3D488785.B1A8CF06@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >I'm not discounting knowledge of Morse code, but get real, but how
> >many times have you heard of someone cobbling together a cw
> >transmitter out of some parts and being saved.
> >
> It's happened a few times in the distant past. Could happen again, but
highly
> improbable. More likely is the repair of existing-but-damaged radio
equipment.
>
> The "big problem" is simply that the person doing the cobbling would have
to
> have the resources, knowledge and skill to do so. In all cases I know of
where
> someone built a transmitter under disaster conditions, that person was
skilled
> in transmitter construction before the disaster occurred. Is such skill
and
> knowledge a license requirement today? Nope.
>
> Go down the disaster scenario path enough, and a soldering test makes a
lot of
> sense.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I'll agree with that assessment. There's no reason that soldering an 8-pin microphone connector or a db-9 connector, and a pl-259 shouldn't be part of the exam.

How about using a VOM to measure several different parameters of a circuit?

2669. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 15:31:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Avc29.526$J07.23632133@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50433

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020801080707.03471.00000085@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <20020801003824.21330.00000553@mb-cv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >>>You sent me an obscene picture.
> >>
> >>What was sent the first time was a good quality JPG-format photograph
> >>of a converted-to-firefighting B-26 aircraft over a forest.
> >
> > With one of the crewmen exposing himself. As I said..."cute", but
since
> >it was NOT what you first ofered to send, I have no other reason to trust
any
> >other e mail file you may send.
>
> He sent me the same junk, Steve, even though I did not ask for it. Didn;t
even
> bother to download it.
> >
> >>I have sent my file "Attach L 150.ZIP" in private mail again. This will
be
> >>the third time the same file has been sent in private.
>
> Why? It's not what was requested.
> >
> > Make it 33 if you care to. You gave me more than ample reason to
not
> >trust you...again.
>
> C'mon, Steve - did you really think Lenover21 would deliver what you
requested?
>
> >
> >>Scurrilous, baseless charges will be found empty, void, ridiculous
> >>upon on any investigation of files or file contents by competent ISP
> >>technical representatives.
> >
> > Too bad AOL doesn't enforce what they preach very well. I am sure a
> >copy
> >of your post offering your license and then followed by a picture of a
B-26
> >with an "exposed" crewman certainly violates TOS.

>
> Don't forget the "avian greeting".
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Hmmm. Do you guys actually object to receiving such stuff in your mail box?

2670. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 15:49:46 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <iLc29.76514$ND5.6667266@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50434

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ukgoogo5m8fvab@corp.supernews.com...
> Jerry, I really don't care - so long as they stay out of the amateur
bands.

That's just it. I wouldn't spend much time stewing about it if the truckers
weren't
in the 10 meter band. But they ARE on the Amateur Bands, and I'm not going
to
just sit by wringing my hands and hoping somebody "else" does something
about it. I am just an average ham, but I CAN visit truck stops, CB shops,
listen for
trucks on 28.085, document what I hear, and send the results to the proper
authority. That's exactly what I mean to do.

73

Jerry
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:0WY19.93800$Og3.23407957@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> > news:ukeq4nmlsocv72@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Well, I sent an e-mail to 'em. The reply was that they NEVER refer to
> 10
> > or
> > > 12 meter radios as CB. Only 11 meter radios are CB. They are
'clean'.
> > Of
> > > course, anyone can buy from them and I STILL don't know any amateurs
> that
> > > own a 10 meter only radio (nor a 10/12 meter radio for that matter).
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > >
> >
> > Jim,
> >
> > Bob's is NOT clean. They sell those Galaxy 44, 88, and 99 models, for

> > example,
> > that are not certified for sale in the US. He also proudly proclaims
that
> > he is a member of the "New England Chamber of Commerce". I don't think
> the
> > Chamber would be pleased to know that one of their members deals in
> illegal
> > products. I pointed this out to them in my email--he has not replied.
So
> > my two
> > dimes are at the ready: one for FCC, one to the New England Chamber. I
am
> > deadly serious about this issue, and I mean to do whatever I can to stop
> > those
> > clowns from taking the 10 and 12 meter bands over.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:c9ceae5b.0207282237.3421f141@posting.google.com...
> > > > Here's a site called "Bob's CB Radio, 10 meter Radio &
Antennas".....
> > > > http://www.bobscb.com/bobs_cb.htm
> > > >
> > > > Here's an editorial I found on a site for truck drivers........
> > > >
> > > > http://www.layover.com/driverscorner/cbshop/0102.html
> > > > The Power Wars Continue
> > > > By Stan Wells
> > > >
> > > > The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
> > > > Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to
> > > > add more channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went
from
> > > > 23 to 40 channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these
> > > > frequencies from 5 watts to 3.5 watts. Shortly thereafter, the power
> > > > wars began. It was soon discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio
was
> > > > virtually unregulated. This gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy
> > > > revolution. These radios were easy to convert to 11-meters which is
> > > > the CB band. Additionally, they had 25 watts of power. They
eventually
> > > > captured nearly half of the sales in the CB marketplace with the
> > > > addition of many other brand names to the field--some now offering
as

> > > > much as 250 watts of power. The current top seller is the General
Lee.
> > > > This radio is a copy of the Connex 3300 HP with the dual finals.
This
> > > > allows the radio to run both finals at the same time, giving nearly
> > > > double the power output of the single final radio.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2671. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 15:53:52 UTC
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <zPc29.19$BW3.129246@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 50435

On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 17:00:54 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <pan.2002.07.31.12.00.45.130634.18843@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>>>You're guilty of making slanderous statements about the ARRL.
>>>
>>> Nope. I haven't said a thing. The written word would fall under the
>>> heading of LIBEL. Are you so angry you are hearing voices?
>>
>>I agree, yours were libelous statements; my mistake.
>
> I didn't say my written words were libel. You did. Now prove your
> charge of libel.

You made disparaging statements about ARRL that have been proven false. QED. Of course, you could disprove the charge if you could show that your statements were true. But you can't, because they aren't, as has been shown.

[much silliness from Len snipped]

Fortunately, the more you natter on, the more foolish you make yourself look.

Jon

2672. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 18:28:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50436

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D48B079.195B3DA6@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D486382.ACAD0C18@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Eloped?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you were
> > > about to
> > > > > > > > > work were operating within their allocated segments,
> ragchewing
```

> > > with
> > > > > W4s
> > > > > > > > > and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much where
> you
> > > go.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > But I want El Supreme DXer to pre-approve it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Who is "El Supreme DXer". What DXpedition is "pre-approved"?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't
> counted.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that
> > > what
> > > > > > you're saying? If that's the case, you can really save some time
> by
> > > not
> > > > > > bothering with those neatly lettered QSL cards. In fact, you can
> save
> > > > > > much more time by not operating at all.
> > > >
> > > > Have it your way, Dave.
> > > >
> > > > Hanging around a Booney Pepper BBQ with KH2D and a cold one sure
> beats
> > > the
> > > > > > hell out of a 12.63 second QSO. We might string up his 24 element
> 6M
> > > delta
> > > > > > beam on a rope between two palm trees and work the US longpath.
> > > >
> > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations not counting
> > > > toward DXCC?
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Which ones?
> >
> > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise it won't
> > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?

Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I submitted cards has
nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and QSL'd you would

be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted. You'd surely know one way or the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't, that'd be minus one on the way to 330.

Dave K8MN

2673. Re: DSP vs human decoding of OOK CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 18:42:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D498101.9677BAC8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50437

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D48C5A2.A2E39058@earthlink.net...
>
> > You don't know? How would a DXer not know? If your /T5 operation
> > didn't count and you had no permission to operate, why that would
> > certainly cast doubt on all of the drivel you've posted about your
> > morals and ethics. Additionally, it would make you a bootlegger.
>
> Dave, I had permission to operate from the only authority that I had to
> recognize over there. So much for your lame assertions.

Is it a lame assertion if your operation doesn't count toward DXCC and
the "authority" granting you permission to operate had no right to do
so? Surely you knew that as a self-proclaimed moral and ethical man
that your actions were neither moral nor ethical.

> > I've never operated from a foreign country where I had no license to
> > operate and where I wasn't certain that my operation would be accepted
> > for DXCC credit.

> Dave, you must have missed the news.
>
> I went to Somalia, not as just another State Department paid DXpedition,

I never went on a State Department paid DXpedition. I traveled in my
work. All of my licenses for overseas operation were issued by the host
country. I have passport entry and exit stamps. I held residency
permits and visas for every country to which I was posted. I resided in
each country for two to three years.

> but
> as part of an Armed Forces Expedition. We used Forced Entry, not an
> invitation from the host country to establish diplomatic relations.

No kidding?

> I was in a little riskier business than you were in.

Not necessarily so, Brian.

> There was no
> active host Government in Somalia. There was no Telecommunications
> Authority in Somalia.

So someone who had no authority to do so gave you permission to operate on the ham bands? That'd make you a bootlegger. So you operated despite the fact that you knew your operation would not be credited toward DXCC and you laboriously made out QSL cards which were NG for awards purposes.

> There was no active American Embassy in Somalia.

The American Embassy does not issue amateur radio licenses in any country.

> There was no
> electricity in Somalia. There was no running water in Somalia. There > was no food in Somalia.

Ditto Guinea-Bissau, Sierra Leone and partially true for Tanzania.
Your point?

> FWIW, there wasn't much of anything, except misery.
> Wished you were there.

No thanks. I'm trying to give it up.

Dave K8MN

2674. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 18:46:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4981CC.9061357C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50438

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D48C7CE.D3D4D5D1@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D48B1C5.15262921@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > In article
> <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > > > > "Brian
> > > > > > > > P
> > > > > > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained through
> the
> > > > > > > > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to Mini-Me
> > > Burke.
> > > > > > > > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the "V"anity
> > > > > > > > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of
> others
> > > is
> > > > > > also
> > > > > > > > questionable. Double :-)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make "EE"
> > > > > available?
> > > > > >
```

> > > > > > To what end?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You must be the exception to the rule.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What rule? Did you have an argument or a point to make regarding my
> > > > > > statement that I do not hold a 1 x 2 callsign under the FCC's vanity
> > > > > > regulations and my doubt that Len's blanket statement held true for a
> > > > > > number of others posting here? Was there another purpose for your
> post?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Are you or are you not an exception to Len's Rule?
> > >
> > > Len doesn't have a valid rule. K0HB isn't a vanity call. N2EY isn't a
> > > vanity call. W3RV isn't a vanity call. There'd appear to be more
> > > "exceptions" than validity to Len's "rule".
> > >
> > > Did you have an argument with my statement? A disagreement or
> > > misunderstanding over what it meant? Maybe you're just playing "Mini
> > > Me" to Len's "Doctor Evil"?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, this is a new twist for you; forthcoming with information. You
> > weren't forthcoming with your A-1 Op bitch, and you haven't been forthcoming
> > with your DX operating practices.

That simply isn't true. I did everything but draw you a map on your A-1
op listing (and that was simply an attempt at a "Nyah Nyah" which blew
up in your face). I've been very forthcoming with my DX operating
practices or you'd have known nothing of them at all.

> But here you are volunteering all kinds
> of information about everyone else. Good work. Glad to see it.

Did you have an argument with my statement? A disagreement or were you
just stopping by for coffee?

Dave K8MN

2675. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 19:18:56 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208011118.5a546e79@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50439

Here's another interesting page. ï¿½Pink Lightning Communicationsï¿½ï¿½ï¿½1575 Donna Road, West Palm Beach , Fl 33409ï¿½

http://www.pinklightning.com/

This is a company that sells Big Rig Truck Chrome & Accesories. Some of the accesories they offer drivers are a variety of marine and "10 meter" radios.

Example:

http://www.pinklightning.com/Ranger.htm

"Rangerï¿½ RCI-6900FTBï¿½ $489.99ï¿½ Peaking&Tuning Extra Charge! Buy it Untuned $489.99 Or Get it Peaked&Tuned so it'll Scream! for only $519.99"

When questioned, they reveal that "Peaking & Tuning" means they do the "mod" that enables the rig to transmit from 25-32 mHz.

This site is only a drop in the bucket. The 10 & 12 meter band have turned out to be big business for the trucking industry. Manufacturers like Ranger, Uniden, Galaxy, etc. collaborate by providing clip-n-transmit CB-style radios that cover 10 & 12 meters.

-A

2676. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 19:50:16 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D4990F8.916AE32@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50441

>
>
> For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
> right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?

That's what I did. Except I was an "old tech", i.e., Novice code
and general written. And I took the old tickets to a VE session
to upgrade my license to lock it in. While I was doing that I
took and passed the extra tests and now I'm an "extra lite" :-)

When you get on HF, be mindful that when you hear an SSB
QSO on some frequency, a USA ham may not necessarily
be allowed to use SSB on that freq. As it's in a data/CW
subband for us, but some other countries don't have subband
rules like we have here. So check the freq before talking.

2677. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 20:00:09 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D499348.4CE4DBA1@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50440

Erik wrote:

> Ah, what's one more piece of paper! :-) Can't hurt.

My current ticket is hanging on the wall of the shack in
one of those Kmart document frames. And I kept the
expired tickets behind a piece of blank paper but
in front of the cardboard back in the same frame. Came
in handy when I needed proof of my "old tech" when
I upgraded to extra back in '00.

I still keep logs but that's just so I can see what I've done
in the past. For informal WAS and such. I don't need
wallpaper, I know that I did it and that's enough for me.

2678. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 22:05:06 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0208012151580.6190-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 50442

```
On 1 Aug 2002, N2EY wrote:
>In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0208010156131.5487-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>
>>On 31 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
>>>In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0207311935280.5126-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
>>"D.
>>>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>>>>On Wed, 31 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
>>>>>On 31 Jul 2002 04:20:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>>>>>> Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you ever
>>>>exercise
>>>>>>the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your previous
>>Element
>>>>1
>>>>>>credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.
>>>>>
>>>>>For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
>>>>>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?
>>>>
>>>>True, but that's no excuse not to keep "station records."
>>>
>>>But what "station records" should be kept, even though not required by law?
>>And
>>>for how long?
>>
>>FCC regulations still require that "station records" be kept. Historically,
>>that would include contact logs and such which are not required today.
>
>Does that mean I still need to keep all my old logs?

You need to look up the transitional rule when this was changed to determine
that.

>>However, it would also include a license history (which is the part I refer
>>to) and correspondence to/from the FCC. Repeater owners would also include
>their
>>coordination documents (for those areas which have such a function). Spread
>>Spectrum experimenters (until recently) would also include information on
>>that
>>mode. Former RACES affiliate stations would have documentation pertaining to
>>that. Etc., ....
>>
>>The general requirement to keep records is for ALL services, not just the
>>amateur service. There is nothing in the amateur service rules that says
```

>>that
>>stations/people licensed in the service need not keep any sort of records.
>
>I agree that some records need to be kept - but exactly what needs to be kept,
>and for how long? Obviously current stuff needs to be kept, but must I hang on
>to things like my 1967 Novice license and logs forever?

Unlike other administrative laws (e.g. Internal Revenue Code), the
Communications Act doesn't seem to have a records retention period of
limitations that I am aware of.... If no such section to the Act or its
regulations exists, then you're stuck with it forever (until death).

>>>>We don't know what
>>>>the next change to the licensing system will be....
>>>>
>>>Agreed!
>>>
>>>>There were quite a few people who did not keep their "grandfathered"
>>>>technician licenses and had to write the FCC (or the private lookup
>>service)
>>>to >get proof
>>>>because they threw out their old, expired license(s). That's NOT keeping
>>>>station records.
>>>
>>>I understand what you're sayin, but how were they to know that they'd need
>>>those old expired licenses years later? As late as 1994, FCC was renewing
>>"old"
>>>(code tested) Techs as Techs, not Tech Pluses.
>>
>>Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that they are
>>supposed to keep.
>
>How long must they be kept?
>>
>>>>I'm excluding the subset who lost the old license(s) by
>>>>casualty.
>>
>>I still have the CSCE from over 10 years ago where I passed the elements that
>>gave me my first license class (I've held licenses of two different amateur
>>classes since). (I have all the others too). Why? To show what I passed
>>and when and to fulfill the requirements of the regulations.
>
>Wouldn't the issuance of the license that resulted from those CSCEs prove the
>same thing? If you have the license, why keep the CSCEs other than for
>sentiment?

To indicate which VE group administered the examination (who, where, and when)
and who signed off on it.

Remember that sometimes a VE group gets audited by the FCC (or their VEC) and
that can happen up to 3 years from the respective January 31 where the VE team

turned in their expense report. The ability to audit is also for the conduct of testing, not just the expenses. Obviously, current license turnaround now is about 2 weeks, but even so, suppose the FCC audits a session, decides that testing irregularities happened, and voids (or asks for retests) of all the candidates. You get a letter to that effect, but it's a mistake because you got your recent license/upgrade via a different group. If you tossed your CSCE when the new/revised license came, what's your proof that you didn't use that test session/group that's under investigation? The FCC can make errors too.

Granted, the chances of being involved in an FCC audit are probably 1/10,000th of that of an IRS audit (currently 0.5%), but both do happen.

2679. Mistakes And More Mistakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 22:07:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801180734.27860.00000084@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 50443

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20020724014437.25170.00000189@mb-fr.aol.com>...
> In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >>Ever think that your opinions are old, outdated, and belong to a
> >>minority segment?
> >
> >Nope.
>
> Not unexpected. Along with trying to pose as an eightysomething
> amateur guru you have to expect Alzheimers syndrome thinking that
> nothing new happened in ham radio standards and practices since
> the 1930s.

What ARE you babbling about? My age and experience are well known. QRZ.com has
that info anyway.

Your response sounds like a self-description of Lenover21 ;-)

> >>Does being agreeable with the ARRL
> >>automatically indicate "you know what is best?" :-)
> >
> >Nope. Neither does your knee-jerk rejection of such things make you "right".
> >You don't get praise so you try to damn all those who have differing
> >opinions.
>
> Ah, so now "differing opinions" equate to "knee-jerk rejection?!?"

Not at all. From long observation, it's clear that you reject ideas
from certain sources almost by reflex. You are very, very predictable.
>
> I'm NOT looking for "praise," old-timer...YOU are. You know it.
> Nearly every other reader knows it.

Nonsense. I'm not the one retelling his CV in practically every post -
you are. You want your experience in nonamateur radio to somehow qualify you as
an expert in amateur radio policy. Not gonna happen.

> You get

[expletive for "angry" deleted)

>every time someone doesn't approve of CW or the thousand and one
> jingo phrases of the ARRL in print.
>
HAW, that's almost funny. I'm not the one making fun of people's names, jobs,
hair loss, weight etc. - you are.
>
> >No. AGE. You make a big deal about how old you are vs. how old I am.
>
> I'm not trying to pose like an eightysomething old-timer guru with a 1x2
> "from the old days."

Who is doing that? Not me. My age and experience are well known. FCC
did not give calls like mine to hams until the 1970s.

It's clear that my knowledge, skill and experience really bother you.

> >But none as a radio amateur, making small-scale decisions with limited
> >resources.
>
> Small-scale is as small-scale does.

Is small-scale bad? Sounds like you place no value on it.
>
> Oh, and the HRO catalog prices rather belay the "limited resources,"
> doesn't it?

Not at all. I've never bouht anyhting from HRO. I build my ham radio equipment
myself.

> >So? You provide us with lots of criticism of ham radio, but no positive
> >vision. No new ideas.
>
> I provide you and other pompous types with tons of negative criticsm
> about you and your cronies putting down larger-scale folks. You don't
> like it. Tough. It isn't going to stop now.

I see. Your mission is simply to criticize, be derogatory, and make
snide remarks. So much for your "leadership" or "expertise" - they're
nonexistent.
>
> >>I don't use JUST my age, but decades of observation of INDIVIDUALS
> >>within amateur radio and whether or not they used a mask of altruism
> >>over their personal desires versus those who actually looked towards
> >>the good of the whole.
> >
> >Sounds like you want us to grant you the title of "expert by association".
No
> >deal ;-)
>
> You can't hear very well.

Sure I can. I hear what you are really saying. You want hams to bow
down to your alleged superior knowledge. Not gonna happen. Live with
it.
>
> Look again, you'll find that YOU are the one demanding the "expert" title,
all
> for having small-scale thoughts about other people.

Incorrect. I don't claim to be an expert at anything.
>
> >>I would LOVE to get back to issues and subjects.
> >
> >Then why all the name calling and abuse? Why make fun of your opponents the
> >way you do?
>
[expletive deleted]

>I forgot again...You are god. You can make fun of folks whose scale
> is larger than your own and demand that they worship your small-scaleness.

Poor baby. Can't you take a little tweak now and then? ;-)

Or is it "do as Lenover21 says, not as Lenover21 does"? YES.

> >What does that have to do with YOUR behavior?
>
> My fault, god, mea culpa. I pray small-scale forgiveness for small-scale
> sins against small-scale icons and catechisms.
>
Translation: Lenover21 has one set of rules for his own behavior and
another set for his opponents.

> >Why not? You don't know how to program a PLC - I do. That's part of "radio
> >and electronics. QED.
>
> Quod erat dumthinking, old-timer.

Yes, your dumthinking is quite clear ;-)

> >>>No one is required to be a member of ARRL in order to be a radio amateur.
> >>
> >>True, over three-fourths of all US radio amateurs are NOT members.
> >
> >Over 99% of all US radio amateurs are NOT members of No Code International,
> >either.
> >
> >Which group is more representative US of amateur radio?
>
> Who knows?

Obviously you don't know.

> Less than a quarter of US hams are ARRL members and
> the ARRL didn't get what it wanted in Restructuring, did it?

ARRL got some of what it wanted, but not all. Same is true of NCI.

In typical fashion, you avoided the question. Why? Is the answer too
embarrasing for you to handle?
>
> NCI is solely a political-action membership organization built around one
> goal, the elimination of morse code testing for any amateur radio license.

We all know that. NCI did not get all of what they wanted in the
restructuring, however, even though they did not ask for a total
elimination of code testing right away.
>
> The ARRL stance on morse code testing is NULL, nothing, they don't
> commit one way or the other.

Incorrect. ARRL policy is to neither support nor oppose S25.5. ARRL
policy supports continued code testing in the USA.

> The IARU is on record as eliminating the
> ITU-R recommendation.

So what? The ARRL policy was revised AFTER the restructuring. It could
be revised again. I would not be at all surprised if the policy
changed before or slightly after WRC 2003.
>
> If the ARRL is "the most representative organization" then it isn't
> "representing" anyone but the 170K or so membership, is it?

If NCI is "the most representative organization" then it isn't
"representing" anyone but its 2000 or so membership, is it?

> Even then
> there are ARRL members who side with NCI's aims and goals.

Of course. ARRL does not require allegiance to a set policy on code
testing as a condition of membership. NCI does.

> >My point is simply that since ARRL membership is not a requirement, many
will
> >not join.
>
> Oh, do you now want legislation to FORCE US amateurs to be ARRL members?!?

Where do you get such ridiculous ideas?

> >What is your explanation of "why that majority of radio amateurs are NOT
> >members"?
>
> You can't read well, can you?

Yes, I can. You don't write very clearly, however, and often don;t
answer simple questions.

> I ALREADY wrote why.

It wasn't clear at all.

> The majority can't see
> having to join an old-timer club that doesn't listen to them, agree with
them,
> just lectures to them about the "old days" of "old ways," the "proper way" to
> "have fun" with the old ways like the old-timers did in the old days.

How do you know this is the case for all, or even most, of the US hams
who don't belong to the ARRL? How do you know there aren't other
reasons that are more important?

Your bias, prejudice and bigotry against the ARRL are very clear.
>
> >Why? You don't accept my experiences, opinions, and facts - why should I
> >accept yours?
>
> I don't accept knowledge of process control machinery or PLCs to control
> big electric motors as being anything worthwhile in amateur radio. If you
> think that is in any way a "qualifier" for your "expertise," go grab a P&ID
> and have a ball...somewhere else.

That's not an answer to the question. Typical.

PLCs are used for a lot more than "to control big electric motors". Somebody
who really knew about "radio and electronics" would know that.
>
> I don't accept being lectured about the "importance and necessity" of
> morse code testing in amateur radio when morse code testing isn't a
> mandatory mode of operation or used in most other radio services.

Ah - I understand. You want amateur radio rules to be based solely on
what is done in other radio services, not on what hams do.

Why should anyomne "accept" your endless lectures?

> I don't accept the "importance and necessity" when it can't be proven
> with any validity except that it was once very important.
>
> I don't accept being told that vacuum tube circuitry is the ultimate high
> technology in radio when the solid-state era began four decades ago.

Who says that? Not me.

> I say to those who worship vacuum, go join NASA and be an astronaut
> where the REAL vacuum exists.

Between your ears? ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> I don't accept lectures on the "old ways being important" from
fortysomethings
> trying to sound like pompous eightysomethings. No one should.

Don't like the truth coming out of the mouths of us relative babes, huh? ;-)
>
> I don't accept even more lectures from poor injured prides and egos who
> can't take an opposing opinion. Nobody should. But they wail and whine

> and puff their chests and make billboards of their mighty deeds and
> challenge others to dumb down to their level.

More self-description from Lenover21, the eternal lecturer.
>
> >No, absolutely correct. As much as I disagree with Carl, I do not think he
> >would push for something he knew was not in the best interest of amateur
> >radio.
> >Carl may be mistaken but he's not intentionally trying to damage amateur
> >radio.
> >
> >You, on the other hand, are focused on somthing else...
>
> I am focussed on eliminating the morse code test for an amateur radio
> license. It's not a coincidence that NCI is focussed on the same.

You are focused on a lot more - like age limits for an amateur
license, even though you provide no evidence of problems caused by the
licensing of young people.

You are focused on things that will damage and eventually destroy amateur
radio. That's why all the criticsm and opposition of the ARRL - you know that
without at least one strong national organization "of, by and for the radio
amateur", ham radio will slowly be legislated out of existence. You'd like
that.
>
> You still haven't explained why eliminating the code test is "not in the
> best interest of amateur radio." Explain it.

I've explained it for years now. You don't read very well. Try google.com
>
> Tell us why you wish to exclude so many US citizens from an enjoyable
> hobby.

I don't want to exclude anyone who can meet the requirements. You want
to exclude millions of citizens based on age alone. Who is the
exclusionary and who is for freedom?

> Rationalize why you wish to keep the below-30-MHz spectrum
> an exclusive playground only for those who can test for morse code.

I don't want to do that. I think ALL hams should know Morse code, and pass code
tests to get a ham license. FCC thinks differently. I've expressed my views to
the FCC, and they disagreed without being insulting.

You, however, cannot tolerate a difference of views without being insulting.

> >>What you fail to understand (or don't WANT to understand) is that
> >>many members of NCI are already "vetted" in morsemanship (have
> >>taken tests proving their ability) but are against the code test as a
> >>REQUIREMENT FOR LICENSING.
> >
> >I understand that perfectly well. Those folks are simply mistaken.

>
> Ah, yes, "simply mistaken."

Yep. Just like the FCC was mistaken to put the cb service on 27 MHz
and think that people would follow the rules.

> >>They aren't against USE of
> >>morse in amateur radio activities, just against the code TEST.
> >
> >Can you guarantee that 100% of those against the TEST are not against the
> >USE?
> >I think not.
>
> You are misdirecting again.

No. I'm simply asking a question. Try answering the question that was
asked - if you can.

> >>The PCTAs seem united in their major opinion for keeping the
> >>test and that is all tied up in "they had to do it so everyone else
> >>has to do it too."
> >
> >Nope. Incorrect. Oversimplfication.
>
> With no other valid reasons for retention of the code test, the personal
> desires come to be the baseline.

Your knee-jerk rejection of valid reasons doesn't make them invalid.

--

Give us some valid reasons for your proposed 14 year age requirement. Pictures
of six year old hams who have met the FCC requirements are not "reasons".

I think you can't come up with any valid reasons, nor an example of where the
licensing of young people has caused problems for the ARS.

Jim, N2EY

2680. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 22:11:12 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208011411.3200bccd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50444

I thought this was especially bold:

(http://www.pinklightning.com/Magnum.htm)

"New for Summer 2002 BigRig Series 10 Meter Radios"
"Looking for a RIG that talks BIG? Walks the walk and
talks the talk with this no-nonsense radio. "

Note that the new generation of trucker radios comes equipped to
transmit from 25-32 mHz. Nowhere is there any mention that 10 meters
is a ham band - or that it's not OK to transmit there. In fact,
exactly the opposite impression is given.

-A

2681. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 22:17:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aicc0h$ob7$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50445

No one ever said it was 'some heroic accomplishment'. I sayed it took
skill.

Dan/W4NTI

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D485C12.7BE457DA@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > And what did you learn from all those 275 'chat qso's' ??
> > Did you find out what rig and/or how much power he/she was running?
> >
> > Did you learn he/she had a 2 element QUAD, a 3 ele yagi or maybe a
dipole?
> >
> > What about the 'required' signal report? and of course the 'must have'
WX
> > here is report.
> >
> > Ham radio is diverse enough for everyone. Even you.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> All that and more, like occupation, family, hobbies, interpreting
> facts about where they live, ect., not just wham bam signal
> report, qsl info and goodby. I never claim that no one should go
> for a DXCC, just don't claim it is some major feat that somehow
> makes one head and shoulders above everyone else. Like I say, a
> DXCC award and a few coins will get you a cup of coffee, nothing
> more. It isn't some heroic accomplishment.

2682. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 22:28:27 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801182827.03837.00000613@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50446

Thanks for the link Arron ! Your the Best!

2683. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 22:45:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aicdln$ue5$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50447

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0208012151580.6190-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On 1 Aug 2002, N2EY wrote:
> >In article
<Pine.LNX.4.44.0208010156131.5487-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> >
> >>On 31 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> >>>In article
<Pine.LNX.4.44.0207311935280.5126-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
> >>"D.
> >>>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> >>>>On Wed, 31 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
> >>>>>On 31 Jul 2002 04:20:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
> >>>>>> Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you ever
> >>>>exercise
> >>>>>the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your previous
> >>Element
> >>>>1
> >>>>>credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
> >>>>>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?
> >>>>
> >>>>True, but that's no excuse not to keep "station records."
> >>>
> >>>But what "station records" should be kept, even though not required by
law?
> >>And
> >>>for how long?
> >>
> >>FCC regulations still require that "station records" be kept.
Historically,
> >>that would include contact logs and such which are not required today.
> >
> >Does that mean I still need to keep all my old logs?
>
> You need to look up the transitional rule when this was changed to
determine
> that.
>
> >>However, it would also include a license history (which is the part I
refer
> >>to) and correspondence to/from the FCC. Repeater owners would also

include
> >their
> >>coordination documents (for those areas which have such a function).
Spread
> >>Spectrum experimenters (until recently) would also include information
on
> >>that
> >>mode. Former RACES affiliate stations would have documentation
pertaining to
> >>that. Etc., ...
> >>
> >>The general requirement to keep records is for ALL services, not just
the
> >>amateur service. There is nothing in the amateur service rules that
says
> >>that
> >>stations/people licensed in the service need not keep any sort of
records.
> >
> >I agree that some records need to be kept - but exactly what needs to be
kept,
> >and for how long? Obviously current stuff needs to be kept, but must I
hang on
> >to things like my 1967 Novice license and logs forever?
>
> Unlike other administrative laws (e.g. Internal Revenue Code), the
> Communications Act doesn't seem to have a records retention period of
> limitations that I am aware of.... If no such section to the Act or its
> regulations exists, then you're stuck with it forever (until death).

Which, no matter how anyone slices it, is patently rediculous.
Given the multitude of hams that have been scrutinized by the
FCC over the years, if document retention (other than anything
specific in Part 97) was intended to apply to hams, then the FCC
sure would have applied it to someone by now.

> >>>We don't know what
> >>>the next change to the licensing system will be....
> >>>
> >>>Agreed!
> >>>
> >>>There were quite a few people who did not keep their "grandfathered"
> >>>technician licenses and had to write the FCC (or the private lookup
> >>service)
> >>>to >get proof
> >>>because they threw out their old, expired license(s). That's NOT
keeping
> >>>station records.
> >>>
> >>>I understand what you're sayin, but how were they to know that they'd
need

> >>>those old expired licenses years later? As late as 1994, FCC was
renewing
> >>"old"
> >>>(code tested) Techs as Techs, not Tech Pluses.
> >>
> >>Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that
they are
> >>supposed to keep.
> >
> >How long must they be kept?
> >>
> >>>>I'm excluding the subset who lost the old license(s) by
> >>>>casualty.
> >>
> >>I still have the CSCE from over 10 years ago where I passed the elements
that
> >>gave me my first license class (I've held licenses of two different
amateur
> >>classes since). (I have all the others too). Why? To show what I
passed
> >>and when and to fulfill the requirements of the regulations.
> >
> >Wouldn't the issuance of the license that resulted from those CSCEs prove
the
> >same thing? If you have the license, why keep the CSCEs other than for
> >sentiment?
>
> To indicate which VE group administered the examination (who, where, and
when)
> and who signed off on it.

That's not my responsibility as a ham.

> Remember that sometimes a VE group gets audited by the FCC (or their VEC)
and
> that can happen up to 3 years from the respective January 31 where the VE
team
> turned in their expense report. The ability to audit is also for the
conduct of
> testing, not just the expenses. Obviously, current license turnaround now
is
> about 2 weeks, but even so, suppose the FCC audits a session, decides that
> testing irregularities happened, and voids (or asks for retests) of all
the
> candidates. You get a letter to that effect, but it's a mistake because
you got
> your recent license/upgrade via a different group. If you tossed your
CSCE when
> the new/revised license came, what's your proof that you didn't use that
test
> session/group that's under investigation? The FCC can make errors too.

Sounds like a risk I can live with.

> Granted, the chances of being involved in an FCC audit are probably
1/10,000th
> of that of an IRS audit (currently 0.5%), but both do happen.

But no example of any unique document retention (licences that expired,
CSCEs, station logs, etc.) have been brought forward to show any
retention is needed at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2684. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 22:54:01 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aice5n$478$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50448

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D4938A7.D8D1965D@townsqr.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > "Bob Haviland" <bobh@iag.net> wrote in message
> > news:o4U19.2881$cI.249977@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A
person
> > > with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into
an
> > > emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key,
etc is
> > > needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end
touched
> > > to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the
> > info
> > > transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
> > destroyed
> > > in some disaster.
> > > bob w4mb
> >
> > Yawn! Not that old saw again.
> >
> > A couple of quick reality questions...
> >
> > What "old radio" would be used? Rube type? SolidState?
> > What frequency would it transmit on?
> > Who would be the recipient expected to be "listening"?
> >
> > Preperation for emergency must be done BEFORE such
> > things happen...not after.
>
> And when things don't go according to plan, just throw up your hands and
> capitulate.

Not at all...but I sure wouldn't be trying to cobble together some
type of impromptu CW tranmistter from an old radio laying around.

> If you really believe that all the planning you can do will take
> care of you its because you don't choose to understand your own reality.

No one can prepare for every eventuality...but this morse
myth about building a CW transmitter from an old radio
in the midst of some disastor is truly pathetic.

> Virtually every HF ham rig made comes ready for use on CW.

So, just how does having an HF rig capable of CW play into the original folly espoused above which suggests:
"There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected.
A person with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an emergency transmitter."

> The point is simply that when a ham knows how to use CW he has a very flexible
> communications tool which you code haters will never have available.

Use it all you want...but that is NOT the point made above.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2685. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 22:58:16 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aicedjttf1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50449

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D488785.B1A8CF06@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Bob Haviland wrote:
> >
> > There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
> > with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
> > emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc
is
> > needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end
touched
> > to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the
info
> > transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
destroyed
> > in some disaster.
> > bob w4mb
>
> Lets see, my house has just been swept away by a flood, all the
> power is out, I am hanging on to a tree for dear life, but wait,
> there comes floating along an old Silvertone radio. I'm saved, all
> I have to do is rewire it and make a transmitter. Now all my cw
> training will save the day.
> My plane just went down in the swamp, all the electronics were
> damaged so no radios work. Now all I have to do is find an old
> tube radio and make a transmitter. Now all my cw training will
> save the day.
> I'm not discounting knowledge of Morse code, but get real, but how
> many times have you heard of someone cobbling together a cw
> transmitter out of some parts and being saved.

Exactly!!

I can at least respect the stateent of someone who says they
have a back-up battery powered CW rig set to go just in case...but
this build it under emergency conditions from an old radio keeps
popping up to entertain us periodically.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2686. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 23:01:45 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aicek9$tc4$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50450

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:Lcc29.521$SX6.23348459@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020801080707.03471.00000084@mb-cc.aol.com...
> > In article <3D488785.B1A8CF06@mailcity.net>, JJ
<radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >I'm not discounting knowledge of Morse code, but get real, but how
> > >many times have you heard of someone cobbling together a cw
> > >transmitter out of some parts and being saved.
> > >
> > It's happened a few times in the distant past. Could happen again, but
> highly
> > improbable. More likely is the repair of existing-but-damaged radio
> equipment.
> >
> > The "big problem" is simply that the person doing the cobbling would
have
> to
> > have the resources, knowledge and skill to do so. In all cases I know of
> where
> > someone built a transmitter under disaster conditions, that person was
> skilled
> > in transmitter construction before the disaster occurred. Is such skill
> and
> > knowledge a license requirement today? Nope.
> >
> > Go down the disaster scenario path enough, and a soldering test makes a
> lot of
> > sense.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I'll agree with that assessment. There's no reason that soldering an
8-pin
> microphone connector or a db-9 connector, and a pl-259 shouldn't be part
of
> the exam.
>
> How about using a VOM to measure several different parameters of a
circuit?

Does a parameter measure "paras"? :-) :-)

Sorry, couldn't resist.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2687. Re: WHOOOPIE!!! Riley hit Another Illegal Radio Vendor!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 23:03:42 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <KZi29.103611$Og3.25096923@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50451

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020801182827.03837.00000613@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Thanks for the link Arron ! Your the Best!

I would just bet there's "someone" else that knows about it, too!

J

2688. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-01 23:45:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801194541.03471.00000102@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 50452

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals
on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of July 31, 2002:

Novice - 37,167 (decrease of 12,162)
Tech - 242,877 (increase of 37,483)
Tech Plus - 76,982 (decrease of 51,878)
General - 138,610 (increase of 25,933)
Advanced - 84,916 (decrease of 14,866)
Extra - 102,544 (increase of 23,794)
Total - 683,096 (increase of 8,304)

73 de Jim, N2EY

2689. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:15:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801201532.19202.00000130@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 50454

In article <q4c29.519$m%6.23544973@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:3D48C4E5.73652470@ix.netcom.com...
>> N2EY wrote:
>>
>> > In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
>> > <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
>> >
>> > >Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.
>> >
>> > NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!
>> >
>> > Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?
>>
>> No, this office is for insults, you stupid get! :-)
>
>Actually, this group is very much like the Inquisition.

Especially if one doesn't love the ARRL and wholeheartedly
Believe in their Good Works. :-) :-) :-)

2690. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:15:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801201534.19202.00000131@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 50457

In article <20020731201140.04292.00000087@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20020724014447.25170.00000191@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>>>
>>>>>How do you know? "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range. Do you
>>>>>know how to program a PLC?
>>>>
>>>>If you mean Programmable Logic Controller for "PLC," then no.
>>>
>>>Well, there you have it.
>
>>>>I'm not
>>>>into control of big electrical motors or other industrial process
>>machinery.
>>>
>>>PLCs are a big part of "radio and electronics". Most industrial processes
>>use
>>>them. Their sophistication has grown from simple relay-logic replacers to
>>>distributed control systems. Many use radio and/or fiberoptics for
>>>communications.
>>
>>Aha! Everyone can get the drift of this conversation...:-)
>
>The drift is that you are quite ignorant of large parts of the filed of "radio
>and electronics".
>>
>>You probably work with PLCs and/or process control equipment, right?
>
>You are obsessed with finding out what I do for a living, even though that is
>irrelevant to a discussion of amateur radio policy.

Actually, I don't give a gas-pass in a hurricane about what you do...you are
AFRAID to reveal your employer's name and work assignments because
someone might poke fun at it. :-)

>>To you they are a BIG THING.
>
>To many people they are "a big thing".

Absolutely. However, they don't have much to do with radio or
communications, do they?

>>You want MOTORS in radio? Okay, no problem. Been there, done
>>many.
>
>Where do you get that idea? I did not mention motors. PLCs are much more than
>motor controllers. Someone who knew all about "radio and electronics" would
>know that.....

Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs) are used in industrial
plants, applied as "process control" or control of relatively-high-
power motors, heaters, machinery. There is extremely little
information on their application to radio or to communications.
There may even be none of that.

Show where they are applied to radio or to communications.

>Judging by your behavior on this newsgroup, it must really bother you that I
>have some knowledge, skill and experience in the field of "radio and
>electronics" that you do not have. Poor baby - live with it.

Have you any job references to all that "skill and experience"
to share with the group?

So far you've failed to identify your employer and failed to identify
a general field of work...other than "knowledge and skill" on PLCs.

So far you've failed to identify where PLCs are involved in any
radio or communications application.

>>Have you ever programmed a Microchip PIC?
>
>Sure.

Where, when, and which PIC product or even PIC product
family, and for what application?

>>Have you had any microprocessor or microcontroller programming
>>practice? Note: Most PICs are microcontrollers since they have a
>>variety of "peripheral" circuits built-in such as D-to-A converters. My
>>experience is limited to the 6800 series, the 6500 series, the
>>ubiquitous Intel 8051 of a decade ago, the first of the RCA COSMACs,
>>and some limited experience in small routines for the Intel '86 line in
>>PCs. Oh, and a LOT of FORTRAN. I did do one small Assembler
>>routine for the IBM 360 which also worked on the Spectra 70...too
>>expensive to play with in 1973 on a time-share system...but it worked.

>>At the time (the late 70s and early 80s) it was politically incorrect for
>>an engineer to do "hard" (Assembler) programming, about the same
>>for typing one's own written drafts (would have put the secretaries
>>out of work or something, heh heh heh).
>
>Heck, I was doing that stuff in 1972. FORTRAN, BASIC, punched cards, paper
>tape.

You could not possibly program any 6800 series, 6500 series, 8051 family microprocessors in 1972. You might have been able to obtain early parts of the first RCA COSMAC line but COSMAC full micro-processors would have to wait until 1974. They did not get programmed with FORTRAN or BASIC but rather their own program development softwares which could only run on selected, specified platforms.

Intel had CP/M for their first industry-available microprocessor development suites (too expensive for most amateurs to use in their home workshops) which also included the 8-inch diameter floppy disk (the first of the floppies)...and that happened in the late 1970s, not the early part.

BASIC in 1972 was very new and confined to academia where it was born (at a Canadian university). It was really a modified FORTRAN so as to run as an interpreted (some say "tokenized") form rather than be fully compiled to direct machine instructions. The first versions of BASIC were simple subsets of FORTRAN. Academic versions of FORTRAN were relatively simple, old ones whereas industry was using FORTRAN IV ("four") in 1972.

>>Can you do a good flow chart for computer instructions? Those apply
>>equally to microcontrollers. Think of it as the "schematic" for a
>>program. I'm SURE Cecil can after all those years at Intel in digital
>>work (and a few patents).
>
>Been there, done that, freshman year EE stuff.

No doubt you can do 1972-level programming, a la "freshman year" stuff. This is 30 years later and I doubt you can handle any version of C (now the industry standard high-level language), but you can read old student texts, quote them and astound your friends and neighbors who've never programmed.

Have you ever had any program of any kind in large corporate use? Have you had your flow diagrams published in a national magazine? Have you had any computer programs published in national magazines? Have you ever been a member of The ACM?

>What does any of this have to do with the fact that you cannot program a PLC?

PLCs aren't used in radio or communications. I have no idea why you are so adamant on their application knowledge as necessary for radio or communications. Explain why PLCs are necessary for radio or communications.

Show where HRO or any ham radio store/chain carries PLCs for radio or communications applications. You may include Radio Shack in that store/chain.

Define the basic sections of a typical PLC, explain its application.

Explain how PLCs are more necessary in plant control than any 4 to 20 mA transmitter-receiver loop.

2691. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:15:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801201535.19202.00000132@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 50459

In article <3D4938A7.D8D1965D@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> > transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been
destroyed
>> > in some disaster.
>> > bob w4mb
>>
>> Yawn! Not that old saw again.
>>
>> A couple of quick reality questions...
>>
>> What "old radio" would be used? Rube type? SolidState?
>> What frequency would it transmit on?
>> Who would be the recipient expected to be "listening"?

to answer Bill Sohl's questions -

We could assume two vacuum tube broadcast band receivers
survived the disaster, that 115 VAC power was available, a work
area and hand tools were available, a soldering means was
possible and at least a battery-powered VOM also survived.

For transmit frequency, the common superheterodyne local
oscillator tuning range would be 1005 to 2055 KHz for a 550 to
1600 KHz AM BC receiver having a 455 KHz IF...or 98.7 to
118.7 MHz for an 88 to 108 MHz FM BC receiver. Using the
LO circuit as a driver-VFO for a final made out the audio power
output stage, the LO ranges cover the upper part of the AM BC
band, the upper part of the FM BC band, and a small part of
the civil aviation communications band of 118 to 137 MHz.
Those frequency ranges would be available to other surviving
BC or civil aviation receivers.

As to checking frequency with no test equipment, a second
receiver could act as a wavemeter-like approximate frequency
indicator. The second receiver would be necessary to establish
two-way communications since the first receiver would have
been converted to a transmitter.

The common pentagrid mixer-oscillator of tube type receiver has
very little power output capability of the oscillator. The LO is
coupled through the electron stream and needs very little power.
That could be re-arranged so that the LO was feeding what was
the audio output stage. That stage would have its plate tuned
by the old loopstick or loop and the large tuning capacitor variable

having a series capacitor to move the tuning up to fit the "new"
range of exactly the dial frequency plus the IF. The old audio
output transformer would be disassembled, unwound to get a
thin-wire antenna, too short for AM BC frequency range but long
enough for FM BC range.

This new "PA" stage might have an MF or VHF output anywhere
from 30 mW to maybe 1 Watt depending on the tube type and
ability to achieve an LO to PA input coupling that is near efficient.
[presuming untuned coupling pentagrid to PA input grid with
pentagrid 3rd grid used as on-off keying control] It might not even
run full Class C, more nearly a Class B. Those simple tube
designs had 120 VDC B+ and that is not close to making
efficient amplifiers for more RF output.

So, assuming the conversion went smoothly and rough alignment
of frequencies was possible, a "QRP" rig has been genned up.
It could cover a part of the BC bands which would ensure a higher
probability of listeners/rescuers would be able to hear the
transmitter. The problem now would be in finding someone outside
the disaster area that could decipher morse code sufficiently to
determine the disaster location (assuming it could be identified),
number and condition of survivors and what specialized help would
be needed (medical? first-aid needs? food? shelter?).

>> Preperation for emergency must be done BEFORE such
>> things happen...not after.

Absolutely. That's why there already exist radios which can be
used for emergencies and would, in high probability, survive most
disasters.

> And when things don't go according to plan, just throw up your hands and
>capitulate. If you really believe that all the planning you can do will take
>care of you its because you don't choose to understand your own reality.
>Virtually every HF ham rig made comes ready for use on CW.

There is NO guarantee that any "ham rigs" would survive. There is no
guarantee that ham radio operators knowing morse code would survive.
Carroll does not understand that he cannot specify emergency or
disaster conditions to his desires.

> The point is simply that when a ham knows how to use CW he has a very
>flexible communications tool which you code haters will never have available.

Carroll's point is simply that he cannot abide the thought of a morse code
test ever being dropped by FCC regulations. He cannot argue the subject
point logically, technically, or even emotionally.

2692. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:15:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801201537.19202.00000133@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 50456

In article <20020731201141.04292.00000089@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>In article <iWY19.49$B17.9159891@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" ><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
>>I'm reminded of a movie where a plance crash lands in the desert.
>
>"Flight Of The Pheonix"
>
>>A
>>toy maker is put in charge of making it flyable again, only no one know that
>>he is a toy maker.
>
>He's not a toy maker. He's an airplane designer. But he designs model
>airplanes. Big difference between toy airplanes and model airplanes.
>
>The resulting airplane did actually fly. But it crashed and killed famous stunt
>pilot Paul Mantz, who was at the controls. He had come out of retirement to
>make the film.

Incorrect. Paul Mantz was NOT "in retirement." The "reconstructed aircraft" was NOT designed by any model airplane designer...but rather as a one-of-a-kind experimental aircraft built by Tallmantz Aviation then based at Orange County Airport, CA (now renamed "John Wayne Airport"). Mantz was partner with long-time pilot Frank Tallman in this. Tallmantz Aviation had, may still have a "movie museum of the air" where aircraft used, flown by them and aircraft made by the company and used in motion pictures. As an example, Tallmantz made three replicas of the Ryan monoplane for "The Spirit of St. Louis," one of the flying replicas on display at the museum in '64. That one was a near-perfect replica, marred only by a small whip antenna under the cockpit to fulfill modern aircraft communications regulations at tower airports.

The aircraft used in "Flight of the Phoenix" had a skid under the fuselage, a substitute for full landing gear. Mantz was requested to make a low pass over sand dunes for the cameras. He misjudged his height and the skid slammed into a dune top throwing the aircraft out of control. One movie stuntman was aboard, was thrown clear but managed to survive. The accident investigation concluded it was pilot error.

Tallmantz partner Frank Tallman would perish as the only one aboard a single-engine general aviation aircraft attempting to fly IFR in marginal weather in Southern California about a decade later. The accident

investigation concluded it was pilot error.

2693. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:15:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801201538.19202.00000134@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 50458

In article <20020801080707.03471.00000085@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>>You sent me an obscene picture.
>>>
>>>What was sent the first time was a good quality JPG-format photograph
>>>of a converted-to-firefighting B-26 aircraft over a forest.
>>
>> With one of the crewmen exposing himself. As I said..."cute", but since
>>it was NOT what you first ofered to send, I have no other reason to trust any
>>other e mail file you may send.

What male crew member "exposed" himself and how? There was no frontal
nudity of any male in that photograph.

>He sent me the same junk, Steve, even though I did not ask for it. Didn;t
>even bother to download it.

I most certainly did NOT send the "same" thing. Miccolis was sent a file
which was a 300 DPI scan of both sides of my first First Phone license
certificate. No more, no less.

>>>I have sent my file "Attach L 150.ZIP" in private mail again. This will be
>>>the third time the same file has been sent in private.
>
>Why? It's not what was requested.

"Attach L 150.ZIP" is the file name for the reduced resolution scan of my
first First Phone license certificate, reduced from 300 DPI to 150 DPI.

What was requested by the writer was a scan of my first First Phone
license certificate. I sent that. In fact, I have sent that several times
to the requester.

>> Make it 33 if you care to. You gave me more than ample reason to not
>>trust you...again.
>
>C'mon, Steve - did you really think Lenover21 would deliver what you
>requested?

Do you wish a cut-and-paste of the requesting message in public in here?

How do you know what the requester received?

She did not open the file. She is afraid to open the file.

I have sent the requester a total of four copies of my first First Phone
license certificate.

If she cannot or will not receive what she requested, why does she
continue to complain?

>> Too bad AOL doesn't enforce what they preach very well. I am sure a
>>copy of your post offering your license and then followed by a picture of a
B-26
>>with an "exposed" crewman certainly violates TOS.

The requester is requested to show the received file to any AOL representative
for any purpose. The picture of the airborne converted B-26 was received
through AOL private mail, a forwarded attachment which included many AOL
and non-AOL users.

I will send my scan of my first First Phone license certificate to anyone for
confirmation of its contents. I have no problem with that whatsoever.

If someone is so pussy they don't dare open it, that's their problem.

They can continue to act like old women or mad men who cannot take it,
shouting about "indecencies" all they want but those are still old women
or mad men of big mouths and infinitely-small souls shouting in the wind.

>Don't forget the "avian greeting".

That file is in PowerPoint Presentation format. It cannot be viewed without
a PowerPoint Reader or PowerPoint software. The PowerPoint Reader is
free for download from PowerPoint.

That PPS-format file contains a full-screen image of an emu (an ostrich-
like non-flying bird found inhabiting crossword puzzles). A balloon caption
floats up carrying the greeting text "have a nice day." There is no frontal
or rear nudity of the emu below the neck.

Have a nice day.

2694. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:15:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801201539.19202.00000136@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 50453

In article <eJb29.502$cW6.23261353@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> 73 de Jim, "You can't fight in here, this is the War Room!" N2EY
>
>Burpelson Air Force Base is a make believe place? I probably wouldn't have
>seen "Bombs on Target" there.

Forgive him, Brian. He gets all his "military information" from old movies on AMC and from the pages of QST or Electric Radio...or geriatric survivors of the 1960s "hell, no, we won't go" draft-dodging period.

He can program a PLC so he is a master of radio and communication. No problem.

2695. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:15:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020801201539.19202.00000135@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 50455

In article <zPc29.19$BW3.129246@news.ntplx.net>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
writes:

>On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 17:00:54 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <pan.2002.07.31.12.00.45.130634.18843@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>>
>>>>>You're guilty of making slanderous statements about the ARRL.
>>>>
>>>> Nope. I haven't said a thing. The written word would fall under the
>>>> heading of LIBEL. Are you so angry you are hearing voices?
>>>
>>>I agree, yours were libelous statements; my mistake.
>>
>> I didn't say my written words were libel. You did. Now prove your
>> charge of libel.
>
>You made disparaging statements about ARRL that have been proven false.
>QED.

Where was the "proof?" All I saw were more CLAIMS.

>Of course, you could disprove the charge if you could show that your
>statements were true. But you can't, because they aren't, as has been
>shown.

Where was the "proof" of ARRL's inherent honesty? No one saw a thing.
All that was presented were ARRL claims.

>Fortunately, the more you natter on, the more foolish you make yourself
>look.

The more the repeated character assassinations are made, the more they
look like personal attacks.

If this is an example of "ARRL help" or caring from $75K+ annual salary
ARRL managers, there is no wonder that US amateur radio licensees
who are not ARRL members outnumber members by over 3 to 1. QED.

2696. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:55:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0688ek.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50460

On Thu, 01 Aug 2002 01:08:39 -0400, Robert Casey wrote:

>I know someone in the air force who used to baby-sit nuke missiles in
>Minot ND. He said "Peace is Our Profession, we kill for a hobby. :-)"

"Peace is Our Profession" ... "But War is Our Business".

Every so often some wag eould hang that secons hanner over the gate
at March under the first. The Air Police would leave it up there
until the High Brass was expected to show up for duty. General Olds
enjoyed a good joke now and then but he, too. had limits.

>Minuteman missiles IIRC. He had one of THE keys.....

I should hope so. "Lost my keys on the bus" doesn't hold up.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2697. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:55:09 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h068wgm.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50461

On Thu, 01 Aug 2002 14:38:02 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>Burpelson Air Force Base is a make believe place? I probably wouldn't have
>seen "Bombs on Target" there.

Maybe it was at Slidey Slimy AFB?

For extra credit - what base really had this nickname?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2698. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:55:09 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h068pol.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50462

On 01 Aug 2002 12:07:08 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>>a hobby. :-)" Minuteman missiles IIRC. He had one of THE keys.....
>>
>Fortunately, he never had to use them.

He was 'sposed to use it once a shift for "the exercise" that
"everyone knew" (from the radio call-up) was a "nothing is going to
happen event" but one really never knew if it was "for real" or
not.

And of course for the once a year actual launch at the qualification
faciliy at Vandenberg AFB.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2699. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:55:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h06m4kn.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50463

On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 23:07:21 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>> I still have the CSCE from over 10 years ago where I passed the
>> elements that gave me my first license class (I've held licenses of
>> two different amateur classes since). (I have all the others too).
>> Why? To show what I passed and when and to fulfill the requirements
>> of the regulations.

>No such regulations require you or me to keep any of that.
>Retention on your part is simply a matter of personal choice for hams.
>
>Perhaps Phil K will weigh in on this also.

Contrary to "popular legend" neither "Da Rools" nor the document
itself require that a licensee keep the Amateur Radio License
documrent either on their person, on file somewhere or, as it used
to require, "posted in a prominent place at the operating location".

The document is merely tangible proof that the license exists. If
you don't need to prove that you are licensed, you don't have to
show anyone "no steenken' licenses".

That's it. There's no rule that requires retention of current,
expired or cancelled licenses.

Retentives such as myself do keep them forever, though.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2700. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 00:55:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h06oy3o.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50464

On Thu, 01 Aug 2002 02:07:40 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>The general requirement to keep records is for ALL services, not just
>the amateur service. There is nothing in the amateur service rules
>that says that stations/people licensed in the service need not keep
>any sort of records.

That's trying to prove a negative.

Actually the phrase "station records" appears twice in Part 97 -
in connection with the licensee designating another amateur
operator as the control operator, and again in a requirement to make
the station and the station records avaiialble for inspection. The
only other place that the phrase "station records" appears as
applicable to amateur service licensees is in Part 1 (Rules of
Practice and Procedure) where the process of submitting station logs
and records to the Commission is detailed.

Contrary to other radio services where there are rule definitions
and requirements about the contents of "station records", no such
list of documents/records is specified for the amateur service.

There's a whole bunch of stuff that I would recommend keeping but
none are required by rule.

>Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that
>they are supposed to keep.

Mot so - see above and my prior post on this subject.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2701. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 01:41:15 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D49C48D.B9F83D0F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50466

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> No one ever said it was 'some heroic accomplishment'. I sayed it took
> skill.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Which you must not have if you use "sayed" in place of "said".

2702. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 01:41:25 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h06rxhq.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50465

On Thu, 1 Aug 2002 18:45:32 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>But no example of any unique document retention (licences that expired,
>CSCEs, station logs, etc.) have been brought forward to show any
>retention is needed at all.

Actually, Part 1 as it applies to all licensees specifies
retention of required records and logs for one year beyond the
license period unless specified otherwise in the rule parts
pertaining to specific services.

The only exception is in connection with matters under adjudication.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2703. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 01:52:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukjpdubj1sb88d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50467

Perhaps they need to shrink wrap the radios with a big warning notice that by opening the package they agree to the following (somewhat like Microsoft Winblows does). The notice would be that by opening the package they state they have read the enclosed (outside the wrapper) FCC rules concerning the use of the radio. At this point, they might be a little harder pressed to offer that they "didn't know" and simply purchased the radio from a dealer. Omitting the 'peak and tune', any of the radios could be sold to anyone. The vendor is not responsible. As to the peak and tune, well, that's another story. Another possibility is forcing automatic computerized registry with the FCC for any transmitter. Anyone can buy it (perhaps one is working for an amateur license), but YOUR electronic fingerprints are all over it.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c9ceae5b.0208011118.5a546e79@posting.google.com...
> Here's another interesting page. Pink Lightning Communications 1575
> Donna Road, West Palm Beach , Fl 33409
>
> http://www.pinklightning.com/
>
> This is a company that sells Big Rig Truck Chrome & Accesories. Some
> of the accesories they offer drivers are a variety of marine and "10
> meter" radios.
>
> Example:
>
> http://www.pinklightning.com/Ranger.htm
>
> "Ranger RCI-6900FTB $489.99 Peaking&Tuning Extra Charge! Buy it
> Untuned $489.99 Or Get it Peaked&Tuned so it'll Scream! for only
> $519.99"
>
> When questioned, they reveal that "Peaking & Tuning" means they do the
> "mod" that enables the rig to transmit from 25-32 mHz.
>
> This site is only a drop in the bucket. The 10 & 12 meter band have
> turned out to be big business for the trucking industry. Manufacturers
> like Ranger, Uniden, Galaxy, etc. collaborate by providing
> clip-n-transmit CB-style radios that cover 10 & 12 meters.
>
> -A

2704. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 02:23:56 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0208020221410.6352-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 50469

```
On Thu, 1 Aug 2002, Bill Sohl wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0208012151580.6190-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> On 1 Aug 2002, N2EY wrote:
>> >In article
><Pine.LNX.4.44.0208010156131.5487-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
>> >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>> >
>> >>On 31 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
>> >>>In article
><Pine.LNX.4.44.0207311935280.5126-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
>> >>"D.
>> >>>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>> >>>>On Wed, 31 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
>> >>>>>On 31 Jul 2002 04:20:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>> >>>>>> Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you ever
>> >>>>exercise
>> >>>>>the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your previous
>> >>Element
>> >>>>1
>> >>>>>credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
>> >>>>>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?
>> >>>>
>> >>>>True, but that's no excuse not to keep "station records."
>> >>>
>> >>>But what "station records" should be kept, even though not required by
>law?
>> >>And
>> >>>for how long?
>> >>
>> >>FCC regulations still require that "station records" be kept.
>Historically,
>> >>that would include contact logs and such which are not required today.
>> >
>> >Does that mean I still need to keep all my old logs?
>>
>> You need to look up the transitional rule when this was changed to
>determine
>> that.
>>
>> >>However, it would also include a license history (which is the part I
>refer
```

>> >>to) and correspondence to/from the FCC. Repeater owners would also
>include
>> >their
>> >>coordination documents (for those areas which have such a function).
>Spread
>> >>Spectrum experimenters (until recently) would also include information
>on
>> >>that
>> >>mode. Former RACES affiliate stations would have documentation
>pertaining to
>> >>that. Etc., ...
>> >>
>> >>The general requirement to keep records is for ALL services, not just
>the
>> >>amateur service. There is nothing in the amateur service rules that
>says
>> >>that
>> >>stations/people licensed in the service need not keep any sort of
>records.
>> >
>> >I agree that some records need to be kept - but exactly what needs to be
>kept,
>> >and for how long? Obviously current stuff needs to be kept, but must I
>hang on
>> >to things like my 1967 Novice license and logs forever?
>>
>> Unlike other administrative laws (e.g. Internal Revenue Code), the
>> Communications Act doesn't seem to have a records retention period of
>> limitations that I am aware of.... If no such section to the Act or its
>> regulations exists, then you're stuck with it forever (until death).
>
>Which, no matter how anyone slices it, is patently rediculous.
>Given the multitude of hams that have been scrutinized by the
>FCC over the years, if document retention (other than anything
>specific in Part 97) was intended to apply to hams, then the FCC
>sure would have applied it to someone by now.
>
>> >>>We don't know what
>> >>>the next change to the licensing system will be....
>> >>>>
>> >>>Agreed!
>> >>>
>> >>>>There were quite a few people who did not keep their "grandfathered"
>> >>>>technician licenses and had to write the FCC (or the private lookup
>> >>service)
>> >>>to >get proof
>> >>>>because they threw out their old, expired license(s). That's NOT
>keeping
>> >>>>station records.
>> >>>

>> >>>I understand what you're sayin, but how were they to know that they'd
>need
>> >>>those old expired licenses years later? As late as 1994, FCC was
>renewing
>> >>"old"
>> >>>(code tested) Techs as Techs, not Tech Pluses.
>> >>
>> >>Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that
>they are
>> >>supposed to keep.
>> >
>> >How long must they be kept?
>> >>
>> >>>>I'm excluding the subset who lost the old license(s) by
>> >>>>casualty.
>> >>
>> >>I still have the CSCE from over 10 years ago where I passed the elements
>that
>> >>gave me my first license class (I've held licenses of two different
>amateur
>> >>classes since). (I have all the others too). Why? To show what I
>passed
>> >>and when and to fulfill the requirements of the regulations.
>> >
>> >Wouldn't the issuance of the license that resulted from those CSCEs prove
>the
>> >same thing? If you have the license, why keep the CSCEs other than for
>> >sentiment?
>>
>> To indicate which VE group administered the examination (who, where, and
>when)
>> and who signed off on it.
>
>That's not my responsibility as a ham.
>
>> Remember that sometimes a VE group gets audited by the FCC (or their VEC)
>and
>> that can happen up to 3 years from the respective January 31 where the VE
>team
>> turned in their expense report. The ability to audit is also for the
>conduct of
>> testing, not just the expenses. Obviously, current license turnaround now
>is
>> about 2 weeks, but even so, suppose the FCC audits a session, decides that
>> testing irregularities happened, and voids (or asks for retests) of all
>the
>> candidates. You get a letter to that effect, but it's a mistake because
>you got
>> your recent license/upgrade via a different group. If you tossed your
>CSCE when

>> the new/revised license came, what's your proof that you didn't use that
>test
>> session/group that's under investigation? The FCC can make errors too.
>
>Sounds like a risk I can live with.
>
>> Granted, the chances of being involved in an FCC audit are probably
>1/10,000th
>> of that of an IRS audit (currently 0.5%), but both do happen.
>
>But no example of any unique document retention (licences that expired,
>CSCEs, station logs, etc.) have been brought forward to show any
>retention is needed at all.

The fact that element 1 credit can be granted for even an expired novice license for someone who doesn't have a current license isn't good enough for you?

That is obviously an expectation by the FCC that keeping such records is a requirement even if it lacks the budgetary resources to otherwise enforce it.

2705. Re: 5mhz update from NVIS group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 02:25:43 UTC
From: Daniel Finn <djfinn2@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <KZl29.82230$ND5.6915257@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50468

Looks like you won't be spending a lot of time around 5280!

--
Best Regards,

Dan
"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:wYJ19.6015$4h2.95168114@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> I snatched this off the NVIS group. I wonder if the RSGB findings will
> mirror the ARRL findings?
> --
--
> ---
> ===UK 5MHz Band Starts 01 August 2002===
>
> The RSGB just announced the allocation of 5 channels in the new
> 60metre amateur band for 4 years of UK amateur radio NVIS experiments.
>
> 5260kHz= 1st Working Channel="FA"
> 5280kHz= 2nd Working Channel="FB"
> 5290kHz= 3rd Working Channel="FC"
> 5400kHz= Primary Calling Channel="FE"
> 5405kHz= Secondary Calling Channel="FM"
>
> A Radiocommunications Agency Notice of Variation (NOV) is required for
> UK amateur radio licence holders before operating on these 3kHz-wide
> channels. Applications are handled by RSGB. The first NOVs are
> expected to be issued on or after 01 AUG 2002. Amateurs may apply for
> the NOVs by application forms provided by RSGB (on the website). All
> operation is by the use of nets. Channels are +/-1.5kHz from the
> listed centre frequencies. Stations will use the word "Foxtrot"
> instead of calling CQ, and the first station on a blank channel is
> considered "net control", which is passed on to another station after
> signing off. More accurate transmitter frequency stability is required
> for operation. Communications with military and military cadet
> stations is permitted.
>
> Channel Notes:
> 5260 "FA" [LSB: 5261.5kHz]
> Preferred for speech Nets.
> 0700-1000UTC NVIS Only
> Other times: Controlled Nets
>
> 5280 "FB" [LSB: 5281.5kHz]
> Preferred for CW or Narrowband Data (<650Hz)

> 24Hours Controlled Nets
>
> 5290 "FC" [LSB: 5291.5kHz]
> Preferred for Wideband Data (>600Hz)
> 0100-0259UTC International Tests
> 0300-0559UTC Data Only
> 1800-2059UTC Data Only
> Other times: Controlled Nets
> Times may shift as solar cycle progresses
>
> 5400 "FE" [LSB: 5401.5kHz]
> Primary Calling Channel
> 0000-0659UTC Controlled Nets
> Other times: Calling Only
> Make contact here, then QSY to channels FA,FB,FC
>
> 5405 "FM" [LSB: 5406.5kHz]
> Secondary Calling Channel
> 24Hours Calling Only
> Make contact here, then QSY to channels FA,FB,FC
>
> ----
>
> Although any mode (except PULSE) may be used if it fits inside the
> "spot frequency" 3kHz channel bandwidth, the LSB dial frequencies are
> listed in [brackets] to make it easier for operators to tune in the
> "Foxtrot" stations. Amateurs traditionally use LSB on frequencies
> below 9MHz. It is likely that LSB will continue to be the common SSB
> mode amongst amateurs in the 60 metre band. However, it is possible
> that communications with the military cadet stations may occur in USB
> mode.
>
> "NOV" application for UK Full Class A licence holders only:
> http://www.rsgb.org/licensing/fivemegs/application5mhz.pdf
>
> This HFpack Bulletin may be forwarded to other amateur radio
> operators.
>
> For more information about HFpack, The HF Portable Group, please visit
> the website:
> Click here
> http://www.hfpack.com
>
> A complete listing of common HF Portable Frequencies (including 60
> metres) is available on the HFpack website, or:
> http://www.qsl.net/hfpack/freq.html
>
> --
> HFpack Bulletin. Date 29 July 2002
> HFpack. The HF Portable Group.

>
> --
> 73---Bonnie KQ6XA
> HFpack moderator
> --
>
>
> NOTE: The above preliminary information is derived from published
> information and other sources, is sent out only as educational
> information, and is not guaranteed for accuracy or compliance. Please
> consult your operational authorisation rules and regulations before
> operating!
>
>

2706. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 02:28:27 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0208020226290.6352-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 50470

On Fri, 2 Aug 2002, Phil Kane wrote:
>On Thu, 01 Aug 2002 02:07:40 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>>The general requirement to keep records is for ALL services, not just
>>the amateur service. There is nothing in the amateur service rules
>>that says that stations/people licensed in the service need not keep
>>any sort of records.
>
> That's trying to prove a negative.
>
> Actually the phrase "station records" appears twice in Part 97 -
> in connection with the licensee designating another amateur
> operator as the control operator, and again in a requirement to make
> the station and the station records avaiialble for inspection. The
> only other place that the phrase "station records" appears as
> applicable to amateur service licensees is in Part 1 (Rules of
> Practice and Procedure) where the process of submitting station logs
> and records to the Commission is detailed.
>
> Contrary to other radio services where there are rule definitions
> and requirements about the contents of "station records", no such
> list of documents/records is specified for the amateur service.
>
> There's a whole bunch of stuff that I would recommend keeping but
> none are required by rule.
>
>>Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that
>>they are supposed to keep.
>
> Mot so - see above and my prior post on this subject.

What constitutes "station records" may not be defined by regulation, but as I noted, there is a requirement to keep such undefined records. Why is there no reasonable expectation that such a vague defintion wouldn't include licenses (past and present), even without a statutory definition?

2707. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 02:58:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208011858.50a6624a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50471

> > Go down the disaster scenario path enough, and a soldering test makes a
> lot of
> > sense.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I'll agree with that assessment. There's no reason that soldering an 8-pin
> microphone connector or a db-9 connector, and a pl-259 shouldn't be part of
> the exam.
>
> How about using a VOM to measure several different parameters of a circuit?
>
>
I have my 260 right here Bill, the 260 I got back into operation by
cannibalizing two junk 260s. Yeah, I know how to use it. I'm not an
EE, I'm not an EE tech and I didn't "learn how to do it" by memorizing
ham test question pools.
>
>
Dropping the code tests is OK but we need soldering operations tests.
Gotta love it. When do you suppose the Dumb Down Coalition will
propose test questions on finding the power switch and volume controls
on ham xcvrs?
>
>
w3rv

2708. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 03:26:49 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020801232649.14884.00000351@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50472

In article <20020731170051.26383.00000109@mb-bd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>
>What was sent the first time was a good quality JPG-format photograph
>of a converted-to-firefighting B-26 aircraft over a forest. I can send that
>one to anyone who is interested in aircraft-as-obscene-objects. :-)

WITH someone "mooning" the camera from the cockpit. IOW, Lennie,
an obscene photograph. You're nothing more than a third-rate Internet
pornographer.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2709. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 03:26:49 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020801232649.14884.00000352@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50473

In article <sCH19.5879$VP1.93471922@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> My "attitude" is that I won't rubber-stamp the laziness of the no-coder
>> whiners who managed to get the licensing requirements of the ARS
>> dumbed-down to their level. A level at which, BTW, they are still NOT
>> upgrading in droves! Funny how that worked out, isn't it?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Larry, after witnessing your temper tantrums on the subject, I wonder why
>they are staying away?

Brian:

Well, it's obviously all my fault! I'm single-handedly responsible for the decline of ham radio! How do I know this? You keep telling me, that's why!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2710. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 03:26:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020801232650.14884.00000354@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50476

In article <3D485CF5.1E3BE128@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> Why are people who "take advantage" of the new licensing requirements any
>> different than you, who admittedly is taking advantage of things in your
>> life, Larry?
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>
>Long before Larry's time, hams had to answer essay type questions,
>draw schematics and explain how the circuit worked. When Larry
>took his exams, it was all multiple guess, there were even books
>that gave you the questions and answers for the test, so he is a
>dumbed down ham as well.

JJ:

That's right. Compared to someone who became a ham who had
to answer essay questions and draw diagrams, in addition to taking
a 20 WPM code test for the Amateur Extra, I'm "dumbed-down."
And 100% of the Post No-code Tech/Post-Restructuring hams are
dumbed-down compared to me. The difference between me and the
"dumbed down" hams of today is simple. While I, in my pre-ham
years, made many complaints about the necessity for code testing,
I never accused anyone of "ethnic cleansing," or anything similar to it,
for supporting the concept. When you listen to today's generation
of ham wannabes, the bitter whining about the code is a prevalent,
recurring theme. The simple fact is that if these people expended
as much energy in learning the code as they do in expressing their
distaste for it and for those who have been able to master the code
testing requirements, they would have been Extra-class many years
ago. Now they are permanently consigned to underclass status with
no way of redeeming themselves.

I had no choice when it came to being tested with essay questions
and circuit diagrams. That was before my time, literally. However, I
grew up and became a ham in time to beat the reduced code/VE-testing/
question pool/rote-memorized answer rap. Today's hams are "dumbed
down" to a much greater extent than myself or those who came in during
my time -- and will always bear the opprobrium they deserve because of
their choice to lobby for reduced licensing standards, or merely wait
until they came about.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2711. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 03:26:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020801232650.14884.00000353@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50477

In article <ukgtblnl7sim14@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> >Larry's attitude make him inferior to even his despised no-code
>> >hams.
>> >
>>
>> My "attitude" is that I won't rubber-stamp the laziness of the no-coder
>> whiners who managed to get the licensing requirements of the ARS
>> dumbed-down to their level. A level at which, BTW, they are still NOT
>> upgrading in droves! Funny how that worked out, isn't it?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>Not funny or odd in the least. Does say a lot for your hypothesis though.
>
>Why are people who "take advantage" of the new licensing requirements any
>different than you, who admittedly is taking advantage of things in your
>life, Larry?
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

It's all a matter of what they are doing in ham radio *after* taking advantage
of the existing licensing system. If they are in here whining about my
"attitude," then they are going to get slam-dunked in my inimitable style.
If they are out there working to win the Brendan Trophy, then they're not
going to draw my fire. It's as simple as that.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2712. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 03:26:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020801232651.14884.00000355@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50479

In article <20020731201142.04292.00000090@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>The disaster scenario is possible only if the person has the skills,
>knowledge
>and resources to make the conversion. The skills and knowledge required go
>far
>beyond that required of the amateur license tests. So even if all hams have
>to
>learn the code, there is no requirement or guarantee that they be able to
>build
>even a simple transmitter.
>
>Of course many hams could build or convert an emergency transmitter in a
>disaster scenario - but only because they took it upon themselves to learn
>things not on the written tests. Apply the same logic to code tests.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY.

Jim:

The concept of building a functional transmitter out of a Sony Walkman notwithstanding, it is highly possible, in a disaster scenario, that a ham radio operator could cobble together a functional station, but be faced with severely limited power sources. What is the best way to utilize his available electrical power? Running his ICOM 706 at full power on 75-meter SSB, or running it at 5 W on CW? By doing the latter, I can keep my rig going from my car battery one heck of a lot longer. Even using 25 - 50 watts, I can keep operating a lot longer -- perhaps long enough to actually make contact with someone outside the disaster area. Of course, if knowledge of CW goes by the boards due to the reduction/elimination of code testing, then the best I can do is turn my power up full and scream bloody murder into my mike, and hope for the best.

The question posed by this thread is simple: Is there a Need to know the Morse code? I say yes, absolutely. For without this knowledge, we have no way to exploit one of the most practical, effective, efficient, and universal communications modes available to radio amateurs. This truth will never change.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2713. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 03:26:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020801232652.14884.00000356@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50474

In article <20020731201141.04292.00000089@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>The resulting airplane did actually fly. But it crashed and killed famous
>stunt
>pilot Paul Mantz, who was at the controls. He had come out of retirement to
>make the film.

Jim:

It is my understanding that Mantz was called in only to direct the aerial sequences, but insisted on doing the flying for the aerial sequences of "The Phoenix" as well -- and promptly proceeded to prang the plane. The takeoff sequence shown in the film is actually Mantz's last flight -- the crash was caught on film, but, of course, was edited out of the final film for release. I remember seeing a TV news story about the accident, showing the crash.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2714. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 03:26:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020801232652.14884.00000357@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50475

In article <iWY19.49$B17.9159891@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Bob, I'm reminded of a movie where a plance crash lands in the desert. A
>toy maker is put in charge of making it flyable again, only no one know that
>he is a toy maker.

The Flight of the Phoenix. Jimmy Stewart. Hardy Kruger. Richard Attenborough. Peter Finch. One of my favorite movies. Watch it with a half a cup of warm water and a handful of pressed dates.

BTW he wasn't a toy maker. He was a legitimate German Aeronautical Engineer, working as a designer for a firm that produced model airplane kits.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2715. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 03:26:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020801232653.14884.00000358@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50478

In article <20020731170050.26383.00000108@mb-bd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>On the presumption that it is truly possible, consider the
>environment further. In a real disaster, survivors with
>broadcast-band radios would be trying to listen in on
>surviving broadcast stations, not "tuning the bands" in a
>relaxed, non-disaster situation. There is no guarantee that
>any unfamiliar signal at a non-standard frequency would be
>heard at all (how do you check the converted transmitter
>frequency without some kind of test device?). There is no
>guarantee that survivors would know enough morse code to
>be able to interpret such signals if they could be heard.

Lennie:

Exactly. Now, why would that be, praytell? Could it have something to do with the reduction and eventual elimination of Morse code testing as a licensing requirement?

>There is no guarantee that survivors would include other
>radio amateurs or any pre-disaster radio specialist with
>code proficiency.

Right. Whatever you say, Lennie.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2716. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 04:57:01 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h070lu0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50480

On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 02:28:27 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>What constitutes "station records" may not be defined by regulation,
>but as I noted, there is a requirement to keep such undefined records.
>Why is there no reasonable expectation that such a vague defintion
>wouldn't include licenses (past and present), even without a statutory
>definition?

What part of "there is no rule requirment to keep current, expired
or cancelled licenses in the station records" is not clear to you?

I don't know what Federal agencies you hang around with, but in FCC
practice if one is not required to do something by rule or statute,
one cannot be penalized for not doing it.

Look at various other FCC Rule Parts to see what other service
licensees must keep as "station records". At one time there was a
whole bunch of items required for amateur radio service licensees
as well, including logs for fixed and mobile operation and detailed
engineering studies for repeater and remote base stations.

Be very thankful that the Commish' in a stroke of wisdom abolished
all that before many of the folks here were licensed.

Don't look for complications where it's not necessary.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2717. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 05:09:17 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D4A13FD.107FC290@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50485

>
> Actually the phrase "station records" appears twice in Part 97 -
> in connection with the licensee designating another amateur
> operator as the control operator, and again in a requirement to make
> the station and the station records avaiialble for inspection. The
> only other place that the phrase "station records" appears as
> applicable to amateur service licensees is in Part 1 (Rules of
> Practice and Procedure) where the process of submitting station logs
> and records to the Commission is detailed.
>
> Contrary to other radio services where there are rule definitions
> and requirements about the contents of "station records", no such
> list of documents/records is specified for the amateur service.

If there is no spec on what station records are to contain, then what
records are there that an inspector can expect to inspect? Though
I suppose the inspector is more likely interested in illegal freeband
radios and such. I doubt inspectors go on fishing expeditions much.
There's enough idiots causing trouble to keep them busy.

>
>
> There's a whole bunch of stuff that I would recommend keeping but
> none are required by rule.
>
> >Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that
> >they are supposed to keep.
>
> Mot so - see above and my prior post on this subject.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2718. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 05:12:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Nwo29.953$HY7.42238228@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50481

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020801232649.14884.00000352@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <sCH19.5879$VP1.93471922@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> My "attitude" is that I won't rubber-stamp the laziness of the no-coder
> >> whiners who managed to get the licensing requirements of the ARS
> >> dumbed-down to their level. A level at which, BTW, they are still NOT
> >> upgrading in droves! Funny how that worked out, isn't it?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Larry, after witnessing your temper tantrums on the subject, I wonder why
> >they are staying away?
>
> Brian:
>
> Well, it's obviously all my fault! I'm single-handedly responsible for
> the decline of ham radio! How do I know this? You keep telling me,
> that's why!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

And Ed Hare.

2719. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 05:14:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Lyo29.954$WW7.42134760@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50482

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D48B079.195B3DA6@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D486382.ACAD0C18@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D475065.31A39A56@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D44C964.286EE10A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > news:3D445BAF.E77081EA@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Kim W5TIT :)
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Eloped?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Weren't you supposed to be with them?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Where would you have me run a DXpedition from?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > With all the time you'd spend in ensuring that those you
were
```

> > > > about to
> > > > > > > > > > work were operating within their allocated segments,
> > ragchewing
> > > > with
> > > > > > W4s
> > > > > > > > > > and making out QSL cards; it really doesn't matter much
where
> > you
> > > > go.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > But I want El Supreme DXer to pre-approve it.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Who is "El Supreme DXer". What DXpedition is "pre-approved"?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't
> > counted.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is
that
> > > > what
> > > > > > > you're saying? If that's the case, you can really save some
time
> > by
> > > > not
> > > > > > > bothering with those neatly lettered QSL cards. In fact, you
can
> > save
> > > > > > > much more time by not operating at all.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Have it your way, Dave.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hanging around a Booney Pepper BBQ with KH2D and a cold one sure
> > beats
> > > > the
> > > > > > hell out of a 12.63 second QSO. We might string up his 24
element
> > 6M
> > > > delta
> > > > > > beam on a rope between two palm trees and work the US longpath.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations not
counting
> > > > toward DXCC?
> > > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Which ones?
> >

> > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise it won't
> > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?
>
> Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I submitted cards has
> nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and QSL'd you would
> be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.

How does it "hurt?"

You'd surely know one way or
> the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't, that'd be minus one
> on the way to 330.

There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.

2720. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 05:16:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TAo29.956$zZ7.42295494@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50483

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h068wgm.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Thu, 01 Aug 2002 14:38:02 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >Burpelson Air Force Base is a make believe place? I probably wouldn't
have
> >seen "Bombs on Target" there.
>
> Maybe it was at Slidey Slimy AFB?
>
> For extra credit - what base really had this nickname?

Phil, coulda been Andy AB where the roads are paved with crushed coral?
When they got wet (everyday) they got slick?

2721. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 05:17:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yBo29.959$s_7.42353958@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50484

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h068pol.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 01 Aug 2002 12:07:08 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
> >>a hobby. :-)" Minuteman missiles IIRC. He had one of THE keys.....
> >>
> >Fortunately, he never had to use them.
>
> He was 'sposed to use it once a shift for "the exercise" that
> "everyone knew" (from the radio call-up) was a "nothing is going to
> happen event" but one really never knew if it was "for real" or
> not.
>
> And of course for the once a year actual launch at the qualification
> faciliy at Vandenberg AFB.

Kwaj was the receiving end of that.

2722. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 05:28:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D49F9A8.7C1BFAAC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50486

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> When you listen to today's generation
> of ham wannabes, the bitter whining about the code is a prevalent,
> recurring theme. The simple fact is that if these people expended
> as much energy in learning the code as they do in expressing their
> distaste for it and for those who have been able to master the code
> testing requirements, they would have been Extra-class many years
> ago.

Well, I gotta agree with you there (did I really say that?). I did
not want to learn Morse code but I knew I had to if I wanted the
license. So I did it without complaint and actually had fun doing
it, just never really enjoyed working code on the air so when I
upgraded from Novice to General I put away the key. I really can't
sympathize with those who complain that the code test is too hard,
outdated, or whatever. The fact is, it is required, so if you want
the license, the either do it or find another hobby.

>
> I had no choice when it came to being tested with essay questions
> and circuit diagrams. That was before my time, literally. However, I
> grew up and became a ham in time to beat the reduced code/VE-testing/
> question pool/rote-memorized answer rap.

And today the current prospective ham doesn't have a choice
either, so would you rather they not become hams so we don't have
these "dumbed down" hams on the air? What if the older generation
of hams who took the much harder essay tests said that about us
who came later? If you were deciding today to get a ham license
would you refuse to do so because the tests are much easier now?

2723. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 05:43:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802014335.09248.00000990@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50487

>Subject: Re: The Need for CW
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/01/02 20:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020801201537.19202.00000133@mb-mt.aol.com>

>Incorrect. Paul Mantz was NOT "in retirement." The "reconstructed aircraft"
>was NOT designed by any model airplane designer...but rather as a one-of-a-
>kind experimental aircraft built by Tallmantz Aviation then based at Orange
>County Airport, CA (now renamed "John Wayne Airport").

Do you REALLY enjoy embarrassing yourself in public, Oh Putzy One?

The "reconstructed aircraft" WAS "The Phoenix", Lennie.

MAYBE if you had watched the movie, you'd know what we were talking about.

And IN the movie, one of the characters, a German fellow whose name
escapes me, develops a plan to rebuild part of the crashed twin engine plane
into a single engine plane.

During the course of the story, it's found out that he's not a "real"
aircraft engineer...He designs model aircraft.

Just ONE MORE glaring bit of evidence of what a stupid, demaning and
arrogant PUTZ you reallya re.

Sheeeeeesh.......

2724. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 05:44:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <o%o29.964$Mh.43253882@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50488

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4981CC.9061357C@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D48C7CE.D3D4D5D1@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D48B1C5.15262921@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D486294.1689DF25@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D44BE3B.3736AAD6@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > <dZS09.1375$gU6.55166047@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > > > > > > > "Brian
> > > > > > > > > > P
> > > > > > > > > > Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Curiously, all have the Sign, the 1x2 call obtained
through
> > the
> > > > > > > > > > Vanity regulations. :-)
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Curiously, you must have taught your research style to
Mini-Me
> > > > > Burke.
> > > > > > > > > > I do not have a 1 x 2 callsign obtained through the
"V"anity
> > > > > > > > > > regulations. No doubt your claims regarding the calls of
> > others
> > > > > is
> > > > > > > > > > also
> > > > > > > > > > questionable. Double :-)
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave, isn't your suffix, "MN" short enough?
> > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > It suits me fine. Did you have an argument with my statement?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Do you want to wait around for the actuarial tables to make
"EE"
> > > > > > available?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > To what end?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You must be the exception to the rule.
> > > > > >
> > > > > What rule? Did you have an argument or a point to make regarding
my
> > > > > statement that I do not hold a 1 x 2 callsign under the FCC's
vanity
> > > > > regulations and my doubt that Len's blanket statement held true
for a
> > > > > number of others posting here? Was there another purpose for your
> > post?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > Are you or are you not an exception to Len's Rule?
> > >
> > Len doesn't have a valid rule. K0HB isn't a vanity call. N2EY isn't
a
> > vanity call. W3RV isn't a vanity call. There'd appear to be more
> > "exceptions" than validity to Len's "rule".
> > >
> > Did you have an argument with my statement? A disagreement or
> > misunderstanding over what it meant? Maybe you're just playing "Mini
> > Me" to Len's "Doctor Evil"?
> > >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> Dave, this is a new twist for you; forthcoming with information. You
> > weren't forthcoming with your A-1 Op bitch, and you haven't been
forthcoming
> > with your DX operating practices.
>
> That simply isn't true. I did everything but draw you a map on your A-1
> op listing (and that was simply an attempt at a "Nyah Nyah" which blew
> up in your face).

I don't recall anything blowing up in my face. And since I still have my
vision and all of my fingers, you're little bomb must have been a dud.

I've been very forthcoming with my DX operating
> practices or you'd have known nothing of them at all.

Except that you're in my logs.

> > But here you are volunteering all kinds
> > of information about everyone else. Good work. Glad to see it.
>
> Did you have an argument with my statement? A disagreement or were you
> just stopping by for coffee?

Tea.

2725. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 05:47:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <T1p29.965$Ye.43075748@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50489

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020801201532.19202.00000130@mb-mt.aol.com...
> In article <q4c29.519$m%6.23544973@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >news:3D48C4E5.73652470@ix.netcom.com...
> >> N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> > In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed
Mysteries"
> >> > <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
> >> >
> >> > >Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this
newsgroup.
> >> >
> >> > NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!
> >> >
> >> > Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?
> >>
> >> No, this office is for insults, you stupid get! :-)
> >
> >Actually, this group is very much like the Inquisition.
>
> Especially if one doesn't love the ARRL and wholeheartedly
> Believe in their Good Works. :-) :-) :-)

Len, it gets worse. Just wait till you start talking about DX!

;^)
Brian

2726. Stupid, Lennie...Really, Really STUPID...!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 06:00:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802020012.09248.00000992@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50490

>Subject: Re: The Need for CW
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/01/02 20:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020801201537.19202.00000133@mb-mt.aol.com

>The "reconstructed aircraft"
>was NOT designed by any model airplane designer...but rather as a one-of-a-
>kind experimental aircraft built by Tallmantz Aviation then based at Orange
>County Airport, CA (now renamed "John Wayne Airport").

Lennie, why don't you just keep your mouth shut about stuff you OBVIOUSLY have NO clue about?

The "reconstructed aircraft", Mr Engineer, WAS the featured aircraft in the storyline.

Did you even watch the movie?

One of the passengers, allegedly an "aeronautical engineer", convinces the survivors of an aircraft crash in the Libyan desert to cannibalize the wreckage of the C-119-like cargo plane into a single engine one with which to escape.

During the course of the storyline, it's determined that he (the alleged engineer...much like you) only designs MODEL aircraft.

This post of yours, Lennie, was just one more GLARING example of your overbearing desire to try and show off your "superior intellect"...Another USENET "King Of The Hill" attempt on your part.

It failed...miserably.

Too bad that you FAILED to read AND COMPREHEND the post you cited. If you had, you MIGHT had gotten the gist of what was being said. Instead you jumped in with "finger's-a-blazin" in yet one more "Wait Till I Show Them" effort.

A REAL engineer would have known better.

Guess that says it all...Thanks for the laughs!

PUTZ!

2727. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 06:04:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rhp29.971$Xv.44122225@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50491

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aicedjttf1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...

> Exactly!!
>
> I can at least respect the stateent of someone who says they
> have a back-up battery powered CW rig set to go just in case...but
> this build it under emergency conditions from an old radio keeps
> popping up to entertain us periodically.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Too many Hogan's Heroes reruns with the radio in a teapot.

At least "The Flight of the Phoenix" was entertaining. This is not.

2728. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 06:06:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kjp29.972$Gx.44235816@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50492

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020801232649.14884.00000351@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <20020731170051.26383.00000109@mb-bd.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >
> >What was sent the first time was a good quality JPG-format photograph
> >of a converted-to-firefighting B-26 aircraft over a forest. I can send
that
> >one to anyone who is interested in aircraft-as-obscene-objects. :-)
>
> WITH someone "mooning" the camera from the cockpit. IOW, Lennie,
> an obscene photograph. You're nothing more than a third-rate Internet
> pornographer.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, say it in Spanish and you'll be able to later say it was all just a
joke.

2729. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 06:07:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802020725.09248.00000994@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50493

>Subject: Re: The ARRL in Bloom
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/01/02 20:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020801201539.19202.00000135@mb-mt.aol.com>

>The more the repeated character assassinations are made, the more they
>look like personal attacks.

The only one here trying to assassinate YOUR "character", Lennie, is you.

You are a pathological liar, and it is evident in all that you do.

The evidence of ARRL's honesty is rampant.

What ISN'T is any proof that they are DIShonest, a CLAIM you have made and
have yet to substantiate.

>If this is an example of "ARRL help" or caring from $75K+ annual salary
>ARRL managers, there is no wonder that US amateur radio licensees
>who are not ARRL members outnumber members by over 3 to 1.

And what percentage of practicing engineers are members of the IEEE?

2730. Re: 5mhz update from NVIS group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 06:13:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iqp29.974$1D.44579030@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50494

Daniel, relax. When the FCC authorizes us to use 5mHz, it will be for DOMESTIC use only. We will not be "ditdahing" the Brits on 5280 under any circumstance. I posted the RSGB announcement for informational purposes only, not as a DX spot.

73, Brian

"Daniel Finn" <djfinn2@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:KZl29.82230$ND5.6915257@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Looks like you won't be spending a lot of time around 5280!
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Dan
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:wYJ19.6015$4h2.95168114@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > I snatched this off the NVIS group. I wonder if the RSGB findings will
> > mirror the ARRL findings?
>
> ---
> --
> > ----------
> > ===UK 5MHz Band Starts 01 August 2002===
> >
> > The RSGB just announced the allocation of 5 channels in the new
> > 60metre amateur band for 4 years of UK amateur radio NVIS experiments.
> >
> > 5260kHz= 1st Working Channel="FA"
> > 5280kHz= 2nd Working Channel="FB"
> > 5290kHz= 3rd Working Channel="FC"
> > 5400kHz= Primary Calling Channel="FE"
> > 5405kHz= Secondary Calling Channel="FM"
> >
> > A Radiocommunications Agency Notice of Variation (NOV) is required for
> > UK amateur radio licence holders before operating on these 3kHz-wide
> > channels. Applications are handled by RSGB. The first NOVs are
> > expected to be issued on or after 01 AUG 2002. Amateurs may apply for
> > the NOVs by application forms provided by RSGB (on the website). All
> > operation is by the use of nets. Channels are +/-1.5kHz from the
> > listed centre frequencies. Stations will use the word "Foxtrot"
> > instead of calling CQ, and the first station on a blank channel is
> > considered "net control", which is passed on to another station after
> > signing off. More accurate transmitter frequency stability is required
> > for operation. Communications with military and military cadet

> > stations is permitted.
> >
> > Channel Notes:
> > 5260 "FA" [LSB: 5261.5kHz]
> > Preferred for speech Nets.
> > 0700-1000UTC NVIS Only
> > Other times: Controlled Nets
> >
> > 5280 "FB" [LSB: 5281.5kHz]
> > Preferred for CW or Narrowband Data (<650Hz)
> > 24Hours Controlled Nets
> >
> > 5290 "FC" [LSB: 5291.5kHz]
> > Preferred for Wideband Data (>600Hz)
> > 0100-0259UTC International Tests
> > 0300-0559UTC Data Only
> > 1800-2059UTC Data Only
> > Other times: Controlled Nets
> > Times may shift as solar cycle progresses
> >
> > 5400 "FE" [LSB: 5401.5kHz]
> > Primary Calling Channel
> > 0000-0659UTC Controlled Nets
> > Other times: Calling Only
> > Make contact here, then QSY to channels FA,FB,FC
> >
> > 5405 "FM" [LSB: 5406.5kHz]
> > Secondary Calling Channel
> > 24Hours Calling Only
> > Make contact here, then QSY to channels FA,FB,FC
> >
> > ----
> >
> > Although any mode (except PULSE) may be used if it fits inside the
> > "spot frequency" 3kHz channel bandwidth, the LSB dial frequencies are
> > listed in [brackets] to make it easier for operators to tune in the
> > "Foxtrot" stations. Amateurs traditionally use LSB on frequencies
> > below 9MHz. It is likely that LSB will continue to be the common SSB
> > mode amongst amateurs in the 60 metre band. However, it is possible
> > that communications with the military cadet stations may occur in USB
> > mode.
> >
> > "NOV" application for UK Full Class A licence holders only:
> > http://www.rsgb.org/licensing/fivemegs/application5mhz.pdf
> >
> > This HFpack Bulletin may be forwarded to other amateur radio
> > operators.
> >
> > For more information about HFpack, The HF Portable Group, please visit
> > the website:

> > Click here
> > http://www.hfpack.com
> >
> > A complete listing of common HF Portable Frequencies (including 60
> > metres) is available on the HFpack website, or:
> > http://www.qsl.net/hfpack/freq.html
> >
> > --
> > HFpack Bulletin. Date 29 July 2002
> > HFpack. The HF Portable Group.
> >
> > --
> > 73---Bonnie KQ6XA
> > HFpack moderator
> > --
> >
> >
> > NOTE: The above preliminary information is derived from published
> > information and other sources, is sent out only as educational
> > information, and is not guaranteed for accuracy or compliance. Please
> > consult your operational authorisation rules and regulations before
> > operating!
> >
> >
>
>
>

2731. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 06:17:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jup29.975$MD.44627042@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50495

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020802014335.09248.00000990@mb-mf.aol.com...

> During the course of the story, it's found out that he's not a "real"
> aircraft engineer...He designs model aircraft.

Yeh, toy airplanes.

2732. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 06:20:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802022043.09248.00000999@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50496

>Subject: Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/01/02 20:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020801201538.19202.00000134@mb-mt.aol.com>

>What male crew member "exposed" himself and how? There was no frontal
>nudity of any male in that photograph.

Exposing one's self need not include "frontal" nudity, Your
Putziness....And yet another lie to your growing archive.

>I most certainly did NOT send the "same" thing. Miccolis was sent a file
>which was a 300 DPI scan of both sides of my first First Phone license
>certificate. No more, no less.

For someone who allegedly didn't get it, he certainly knows a lot about it,
Lennie.

>What was requested by the writer was a scan of my first First Phone
>license certificate. I sent that. In fact, I have sent that several times
>to the requester.

You very well MAY have...And I repeat that you have no more trust here,
Lennie.

I took a leap of faith opening the first one. Appears I leaped into a
drained pool.

Won't happen again.

>Do you wish a cut-and-paste of the requesting message in public in here?

Go ahead. I will re-paste your offer to provide your LICENSE to anyone who
asked for it. I will then post, with headers, what I got. You can then try to
spin your way out of THAT one.

>She did not open the file. She is afraid to open the file.

"She" opened the first one. YOU lied about it's content. Again...it
won't happen again. You only proved what I ahve been saying all along.
Thanks.

>I have sent the requester a total of four copies of my first First Phone
>license certificate.

You MAY have sent three. The first file was NOT your license.

>If she cannot or will not receive what she requested, why does she
>continue to complain?

No complaints here, Lennie. Only regrets that I allowed myelf to think
you were trustworty. Shame on me for that one.

>If someone is so pussy they don't dare open it, that's their problem.

Well, I guess that pretty well sums up what Lennie's all about.

>They can continue to act like old women or mad men who cannot take it,
>shouting about "indecencies" all they want but those are still old women
>or mad men of big mouths and infinitely-small souls shouting in the wind.

Spin, Lennie, Spin. You look more and more foolish with every attempt to
cover up your lies and misdeeds. you really do.

>That file is in PowerPoint Presentation format. It cannot be viewed without
>a PowerPoint Reader or PowerPoint software. The PowerPoint Reader is
>free for download from PowerPoint.

Obviously SOMEONE could read it, eh Lennie? How'd he know what it was
without "viewing" it?

>Have a nice day.

I gues when you are as deluded as you are, it's easy to always have a nice
day...One need not be bothered by reality.

Sucks to be you, I guess.

2733. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 06:31:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PGp29.976$9P.45352197@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50497

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020802022043.09248.00000999@mb-mf.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 08/01/02 20:15 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020801201538.19202.00000134@mb-mt.aol.com>
>
> >What male crew member "exposed" himself and how? There was no frontal
> >nudity of any male in that photograph.
>
> Exposing one's self need not include "frontal" nudity, Your
> Putziness....And yet another lie to your growing archive.

I saw it - what a a classic!

Readers Digest would call it, "Humor in Uniform."

Maybe Len's mistake was in not sending it out in large print.

2734. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 08:44:42 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aie5ap4rui@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50720

How the hell would I add one? With a drill? There is currently no USB
ports on this IBM Stinkpad....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> > As far as cable connectivity, I am seriously hating the USB descrimition
> > now.... I mean, if you don't have a USB connection, you are screwed it
> > seems. My laptop is just old enough that it does not have a USB
> connection.
>
> Ryan, why not add one?
>
> This new e-machine 'puter has a USB on the front for portable devices.
Just
> like most televisions have a port on the front for videocam hook ups.
>
>

2735. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 10:28:32 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <k9t29.6246$Kj.80173084@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50498
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You tell`em Andy ..... ;^}} .

Lee .

G6ZSG / M3ZSG .

"Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:3D2C3A9C.1D19E977@uwe.ac.uk...
snip<

> > You're right, 136kHz for M3's now !
> >
> > Limited to say -10dbw of course, so they take No notice of any power
limit,
> > and tell them that BT left an aerial for them to use.
> > i.e. their neighbours phone line ;-)
> >
> > Steve Terry
>
> Sorry to disappoint you Steve but my M3 already covers me for a whole watt
> of ERP on 136 kHz, just like your 'A' licence covers you. Ready made rigs
> or commercial kits seem to be a bit thin on the ground but one of those
> audiophool amplifiers might just work. Hmmmm.......
>
>
> vy 73
> Andy, M3ABC

2736. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 10:36:06 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qgt29.6255$Tp.80318499@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50499
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It`s that Welsh sheep shaggin` stirrer Gareth who calls himself Brain Rarey who causes all the trouble Chris , all the others enjoy good humoured mickey taking , ... stick around , enjoy a laugh !!! 8^}} .

73 . Lee .

M3ZSG / G6ZSG .

"Chris Heaton" <chris.heaton@bigfoot.com> wrote in message news:agn9qb$3bt$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> I take my foundation exam next week. I'm sorry to see that the elitism and
> snobism that I was told existed in the amateur fraternity really does exist.
> I don't think it was the difficulty of the exam that stopped newcommers
> becomeing Radio Amamteurs, it was that no one wanted to associate with such
> stuck up snobs as yourselves. I don't know about boycotting M3's, maybe we
> should boycot all you others!!!!
>
> Seriously, there are a lot of good people on my course who will make good
> amateurs, stop moaning that it's not fair because you had to sit a harder
> exam. Wait to you contact an M3 on the air and then make your decision about
> how good a broadcater they are then. Please put your prejudices away and
> give us a chance!
>
> Regards Chris Heaton.
>
>

2737. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 10:40:59 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%kt29.6259$Gl.80226465@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50500
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What do you know about Eliteism Gareth , your standards are certainly
nothing to crow about - bleat , yes but not crow !!!! ....
Go suck a lump of Anthracite ... 8^o) .

Your favourite CB`er.

M3ZSG.

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d3148ef_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> There's neither snobbism nor elitism, merely a
> wish to maintain standards in what is a technical hobby.
>
> It is not lawful to be a broadcaster in Ham Radio.
>
> However, if your refer to operating, and your perceptions
> are that of operating, then you are a CBer, for that is nothing
> but operating, and does not need examination.
>
> Ham Radio, however, is a technical pursuit for those suitable
> qualified. As I said, there's no elitism here - you yourself are welcome
> and, indeed, invited, to make the grade.
>
> Sorry, but all the M3 exam test is operating, and that's silly. You
wouldn't
> test for the ability to operate a mobile phone, neither are you tested for
> such
> for the CB licence.
>
> Chris Heaton <chris.heaton@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> news:agn9qb$3bt$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> > I take my foundation exam next week. I'm sorry to see that the elitism
and
> > snobism that I was told existed in the amateur fraternity really does
> exist.
> > I don't think it was the difficulty of the exam that stopped newcommers
> > becomeing Radio Amamteurs, it was that no one wanted to associate with
> such
> > stuck up snobs as yourselves. I don't know about boycotting M3's, maybe
we
> > should boycot all you others!!!!
> >
> > Seriously, there are a lot of good people on my course who will make
good

> > amateurs, stop moaning that it's not fair because you had to sit a
harder
> > exam. Wait to you contact an M3 on the air and then make your decision
> about
> > how good a broadcater they are then. Please put your prejudices away and
> > give us a chance!
>
>
>

2738. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 11:07:47 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7Kt29.6272$io.80281709@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50701
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You need to throw him the occasional bone Nick , otherwise his needle gets
stuck in the groove and, with Gareths brain, it could do nasty things if it
gets overloaded with self induced feedback . Hi
But i suppose it would be nice for him to slope off ;^}} .

Lee .

G6ZSG / M3ZSG .

<lucifer@eternal-flames.gov> wrote in message
news:4u7djucdv2vhqk1t3sqrrfcldjr3psac0n@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 18 Jul 2002 02:32:41 +0100, "Steve Terry"
> <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote:
>
> >FM on a AM RX Will appear as a unmodulated carrier if tuned to the centre
> >frequency
> >You won't hear by slope detection, until you slope off frequency
>
> Steve! What are you doing old son? You do realise you're defending
> the indefensible. He's going to leap on what he perceives as your
> gift of a 'get out' clause.
>
> He'll be so delighted, he'll probably agree to have your babies...
> Now there's a frightening thought!
>
> Never mind old son... At least you'll get a free membership in his
> fan club. ;-)
>
> Old Nick - Just telling it as it is.

2739. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 11:09:35 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PLt29.6276$Bt.80438389@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50702
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What`s that mean Gareth ???

Lee. Jolly Roger 2822

M3ZSG .

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d3671f5$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...

>>>>> Non-sequitur, OM.<<<<<<

>
> Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
> news:3D35AF3F.7E6305EC@uwe.ac.uk...
> > Brain Rarey wrote:
> > > No. Operating anything you buy is a trivial matter,
> > > Hoover, GSM phone, screwdriver, CB set (whether Midland
> > > or Yaesu 706).
> > > Rene Altena <pd1ald@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ah3esi$k1f$2@reader11.wxs.nl...
> > > > Operating is also part of the 'technical pursuit' (i.e. studying
> > > > propagation).
> > Why not come to Bristol Poly and try to operate one of our larger
> > computers
>
>

2740. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 11:28:17 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <l1u29.6287$st.80430250@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50703
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...

SNIP <<<

He`s back on the M3`s and 8 year old girls again - stick to your sheep
Gareth they get you in less trouble !!!

> (This will not cause any problem to an M3, because if she
> regards herself as a fully-fledged Ham, then she must so
> regard any other M3. Therefore, by her own argument,
> if she is limited to talking to other M3's for the week, then
> she's still talking to other Hams (But we will know differently!))

JR2822.

M3ZSG / G6ZSG .

2741. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 11:37:42 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aau29.6294$Mz.80570557@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50705
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh ! You mean just like Radio Hams while working a rare D/X station and using 1.5kw ???
On 7mc/s also where they spread across 5/6kcs and tune up over QSO`s - particularly when they know there is a QSO and argue, swear and threaten each other ... sounds as tho` they won`t notice the change then !!!
;^}} .

Lee .

G6ZSG / M3ZSG .

"G4VGO" <rmread@attglobal.net> wrote in message news:3d2c7a40_4@news2.prserv.net...

>
> You will have no problems, they run at least 400 watts and will call you
> until they get a reply just like on the 11m band so you will have no bloody
> choice but to work the idiots!!!!!!!!!
>
>

2742. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 11:50:27 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7mu29.6303$Tx.80529535@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50706
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For once Gareth , i agree with you but you are still a Freudian Borderline case ...

Your favourite CB`er .

Lee .. Jolly Roger 2822.. (SSB Handle) ;^}} .

M3ZSG / G6ZSG .

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message news:3d2d219c$2_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...

> That's because DXing as it has become is a
> CB (Cheque Book) activity. Buy a rig, press
> a button. Say, "Hello, you're 5&9, Goodbye"
> over and over again.
>
> No skill involved (especially when Internet DX
> prompting is used). No technical improvement to
> the station being tested.
>

2743. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 11:53:38 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6pu29.6307$0C.80623711@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50707
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steady Boyo !!!

Lee .

G6ZSG / M3ZSG .

"Steve Terry" <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:agiton$mecg7$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> "Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
> news:3d2c3267_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > To show support for _REAL_ Ham Radio, and to show
> > disapproval for CBers masquerading as Radio Hams.
> > I nominate Sun 21st to Sun 28th July 2002 as International
> > M3 Boycott Week.
> <snip>
> >
> Wouldn't it make more sense to boycott in some way the RA
> who issue these toytown licenses ?
>
> How about the next time the RA plant their stall at a rally,
> we tell them what we think of them.
> Petrol bombing them would be my favourite,
> but I don't particularly like prison food
>
> Steve Terry
>
>

2744. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 11:59:30 UTC
From: Lee <leemoore500@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Cuu29.6311$Mz.80570557@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 50708
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Now see what you`ve done Stewart, he`s reverted to "Turnips" and we thought
he was cured...

Lee .

G6ZSG / M3ZSG .

"Brain Rarey" <@> wrote in message
news:3d2dc861$2_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> Totally irrelevant.
>
> The M3 is for those with the brain of a turnip.
>
> If someone is better qualified than they need be for
> an M3, but elects to downgrade, that is their stupidity,
> and they are classed with the turnips.
>
> Stewart <s_marlow@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:GtbX8.327$PB4.43262@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > Be interesting if you met some of the M3's from round here, I think
they'd
> > take exception to that comment.
> > Most of them were either G8's or G6's who succumbed to the temptation of
> > easy access to HF but as Hams I'd bet they've been licensed as long as
you
> > have (if not longer) and I personally know of two whose knowledge,
> expertise
> > and operating practices are better than many 'real' hams who did their
> > morse.
>
>
>

2745. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 12:28:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208020428.3bdfebbc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50709

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020731201140.04292.00000087@mb-da.aol.com>...
>
>
>
> >To you they are a BIG THING.
>
> To many people they are "a big thing".
>
> >You want MOTORS in radio? Okay, no problem. Been there, done
> >many.
>
>
Bwaaaahaha! There it is, NO clue about anything beyond the typical
bench tech's specific weenie slice of knowledge and experience. From
thirty years ago.
>
>
> Where do you get that idea? I did not mention motors. PLCs are much more than
> motor controllers. Someone who knew all about "radio and electronics" would
> know that.....
>
>
Amen!
>
>
> Judging by your behavior on this newsgroup, it must really bother you that I
> have some knowledge, skill and experience in the field of "radio and
> electronics" that you do not have. Poor baby - live with it.
>
>
The maladroit would go berserk if he knew how you crank yer coin.
Whatta fun job for an EE . . .
>
>
> >memorizing the Instruction Set...which none of the micro makers have
> >ever really standardized. This is for some radio applications, Jimmie, not
> >factory process control.
>
>
Never mind that if there weren't any factory process controls there
wouldn't be any radios. Bottom-feeder's cloistered mindset.
>
>
> Heck, I was doing that stuff in 1972. FORTRAN, BASIC, punched cards, paper

> tape.
>
>
And ladder-logic programming . . . good 'ole A-B . . uggg . .
>
>
All of which hit the bit bucket of electronics history out here in the 2002 economy eons ago. What do you suppose His Putziness would have to say about the stack of new Mitsubishi PLCs I have in a corner of my office which will get programmed with c++ and VB? Guess how far the putz would get with my boss in an interview if he came off with "But I can program a PIC chip . . . !"
>
>
w3rv

2746. Re: Time to Boycott the M3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 12:44:06 UTC
From: Steve <nrep@eltac.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aidqqa$13dqld$1@ID-121518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50704
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lee wrote:

>> Sorry to disappoint you Steve but my M3 already covers me for a whole
>> watt of ERP on 136 kHz, just like your 'A' licence covers you. Ready made
>> rigs or commercial kits seem to be a bit thin on the ground but one of
>> those audiophool amplifiers might just work. Hmmmm.......
It doesn't have to be commercial kit, it just has to conform to EC requirements. As far as I know, there's no harmonised standards for amateur equipment, so I believe it just has to be EMC and, if applicable, low voltage specs.

As I understand it, any item which has no intrinsic functionality does not require EC conformity. An external amplifier module which does nothing in isolation (no exciter, no PSU) has no intrinsic functionality. Build a mW exciter, easy to ensure and check it meets EC regs, then have an external PA with separate PSU and you can argue that you're meeting the licence requirements.

Steve.

2747. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 13:08:35 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208020508.167825fa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50710

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<s8c29.520$407.23591035@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D4938A7.D8D1965D@townsqr.com....>
>
> More hams know how to talk than how to didah.
>
>
Coders can't talk. Right. There's the giant intellect again.
>
>
> Why do you continue to swim
> upstream?
>
>
The answer of course is that since we're all born with mouths we all
know how to talk of course but you see Burkie us coders can talk AND
beep. THAT's the point. Luv that exclusive bandwidth us coders have .
. . no mouths to put up with . .
>
>
w3rv

2748. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 13:29:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802092917.23247.00000903@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 50711

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>>

>> Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't counted.

>Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that what
>you're saying?

I will ask the ARRL DXCC desk why Brian's DXing activies haven't counted.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2749. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 13:32:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802093234.23247.00000907@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 50712

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise it won't
>> count as my other DX activities haven't counted."

>You tell me.

How could he possibly know what you meant if you apparently don't know what you meant?

Can't you just admit that it was a stupid thing to stay instead of dragging this on and on?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2750. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 13:35:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802093515.23247.00000909@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 50713

From: "Bob Haviland" bobh@iag.net

>There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
>with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
>emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is
>needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched
>to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the info
>transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been destroyed
>in some disaster.

If the tornado scatters your shack over a 1/2-mile radius, are you really
telling us that you could cobble together a CW transmitter? Many hams would
have some difficulty doing that on their workbench.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2751. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 13:44:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802094432.23247.00000914@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 50714

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>What affectionate names does Dick use in his communications and why do you
>only comment about what Len says?

Because everyone is out to get you by talking only to Len, Brian. Why else?

Let's hear your theory about why Jon is talking about Len's posts when he is
having an exchange with Len...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2752. Re: International M3 Boycott Week 21st - 28th July 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 13:45:20 UTC
From: Ged <ged61@morpheous.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8Eq8XNCwzoS9Ew6q@morpheous.fsnet.co.uk>
Source record: 50715
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In message <7mu29.6303$Tx.80529535@news-text.cableinet.net>, Lee
<leemoore500@hotmail.com> writes
>For once Gareth , i agree with you but you are still a Freudian Borderline
>case ...
>
>Your favourite CB`er .
>
>Lee .. Jolly Roger 2822.. (SSB Handle) ;^}} .
>
>M3ZSG / G6ZSG .

Far too many CB'ers polluting this NG.
--
Ged

2753. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 14:28:50 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aie4uifqc1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50716

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h06m4kn.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
>
> On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 23:07:21 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >> I still have the CSCE from over 10 years ago where I passed the
> >> elements that gave me my first license class (I've held licenses of
> >> two different amateur classes since). (I have all the others too).
> >> Why? To show what I passed and when and to fulfill the requirements
> >> of the regulations.
>
> >No such regulations require you or me to keep any of that.
> >Retention on your part is simply a matter of personal choice for hams.
> >
> >Perhaps Phil K will weigh in on this also.
>
> Contrary to "popular legend" neither "Da Rools" nor the document
> itself require that a licensee keep the Amateur Radio License
> documrent either on their person, on file somewhere or, as it used
> to require, "posted in a prominent place at the operating location".
>
> The document is merely tangible proof that the license exists. If
> you don't need to prove that you are licensed, you don't have to
> show anyone "no steenken' licenses".
>
> That's it. There's no rule that requires retention of current,
> expired or cancelled licenses.
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Thanks Phil,

Can you address the claim that some have made which
argues that station (operating) logs must also be kept even though Part 97
rules
no longer require logs.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2754. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 14:36:39 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aie5d7lmn1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50717

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0208020221410.6352-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Thu, 1 Aug 2002, Bill Sohl wrote:
> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> >news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0208012151580.6190-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> >> On 1 Aug 2002, N2EY wrote:
> >> >In article
> ><Pine.LNX.4.44.0208010156131.5487-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> >> >Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> >> >
> >> >>On 31 Jul 2002, N2EY wrote:
> >> >>>In article
> ><Pine.LNX.4.44.0207311935280.5126-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
> >> >>"D.
> >> >>>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> >> >>>>On Wed, 31 Jul 2002, Erik wrote:
> >> >>>>>On 31 Jul 2002 04:20:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
wrote:
> >> >>>>>> Hold on to that Novice license document too, Erik. If you
ever
> >> >>>>exercise
> >> >>>>>the "Tech Plus" privileges, you will need it to show your
previous
> >> >>Element
> >> >>>>1
> >> >>>>>credit. It won't reflect on your "Technician" license.
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>For sure. So - when I upgrade to General, that won't be the case,
> >> >>>>>right? In other words, my General ticket will "say it all"?
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>True, but that's no excuse not to keep "station records."
> >> >>>
> >> >>>But what "station records" should be kept, even though not required
by
> >law?
> >> >>And
> >> >>>for how long?
> >> >>
> >> >>FCC regulations still require that "station records" be kept.
> >Historically,
> >> >>that would include contact logs and such which are not required
today.
> >> >
> >> >Does that mean I still need to keep all my old logs?

> >>
> >> You need to look up the transitional rule when this was changed to
> >determine
> >> that.
> >>
> >> >>However, it would also include a license history (which is the part I
> >refer
> >> >>to) and correspondence to/from the FCC. Repeater owners would also
> >include
> >> >their
> >> >>coordination documents (for those areas which have such a function).
> >Spread
> >> >>Spectrum experimenters (until recently) would also include
information
> >on
> >> >>that
> >> >>mode. Former RACES affiliate stations would have documentation
> >pertaining to
> >> >>that. Etc., ...
> >> >>
> >> >>The general requirement to keep records is for ALL services, not just
> >the
> >> >>amateur service. There is nothing in the amateur service rules that
> >says
> >> >>that
> >> >>stations/people licensed in the service need not keep any sort of
> >records.
> >> >
> >> >I agree that some records need to be kept - but exactly what needs to
be
> >kept,
> >> >and for how long? Obviously current stuff needs to be kept, but must I
> >hang on
> >> >to things like my 1967 Novice license and logs forever?
> >>
> >> Unlike other administrative laws (e.g. Internal Revenue Code), the
> >> Communications Act doesn't seem to have a records retention period of
> >> limitations that I am aware of.... If no such section to the Act or
its
> >> regulations exists, then you're stuck with it forever (until death).
> >
> >Which, no matter how anyone slices it, is patently rediculous.
> >Given the multitude of hams that have been scrutinized by the
> >FCC over the years, if document retention (other than anything
> >specific in Part 97) was intended to apply to hams, then the FCC
> >sure would have applied it to someone by now.
> >
> >> >>>>We don't know what
> >> >>>>the next change to the licensing system will be....
> >> >>>>

> >> >>>Agreed!
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>There were quite a few people who did not keep their
"grandfathered"
> >> >>>>technician licenses and had to write the FCC (or the private lookup
> >> >>service)
> >> >>>to >get proof
> >> >>>>because they threw out their old, expired license(s). That's NOT
> >keeping
> >> >>>>station records.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>I understand what you're sayin, but how were they to know that
they'd
> >need
> >> >>>those old expired licenses years later? As late as 1994, FCC was
> >renewing
> >> >>"old"
> >> >>>(code tested) Techs as Techs, not Tech Pluses.
> >> >>
> >> >>Because expired-term licenses are part of the "station records" that
> >they are
> >> >>supposed to keep.
> >> >
> >> >How long must they be kept?
> >> >>
> >> >>>>I'm excluding the subset who lost the old license(s) by
> >> >>>>casualty.
> >> >>
> >> >>I still have the CSCE from over 10 years ago where I passed the
elements
> >that
> >> >>gave me my first license class (I've held licenses of two different
> >amateur
> >> >>classes since). (I have all the others too). Why? To show what I
> >passed
> >> >>and when and to fulfill the requirements of the regulations.
> >> >
> >> >Wouldn't the issuance of the license that resulted from those CSCEs
prove
> >the
> >> >same thing? If you have the license, why keep the CSCEs other than for
> >> >sentiment?
> >> >>
> >> To indicate which VE group administered the examination (who, where,
and
> >when)
> >> and who signed off on it.
> >
> >That's not my responsibility as a ham.
> >

> >> Remember that sometimes a VE group gets audited by the FCC (or their
VEC)
> >and
> >> that can happen up to 3 years from the respective January 31 where the
VE
> >team
> >> turned in their expense report. The ability to audit is also for the
> >conduct of
> >> testing, not just the expenses. Obviously, current license turnaround
now
> >is
> >> about 2 weeks, but even so, suppose the FCC audits a session, decides
that
> >> testing irregularities happened, and voids (or asks for retests) of all
> >the
> >> candidates. You get a letter to that effect, but it's a mistake
because
> >you got
> >> your recent license/upgrade via a different group. If you tossed your
> >CSCE when
> >> the new/revised license came, what's your proof that you didn't use
that
> >test
> >> session/group that's under investigation? The FCC can make errors too.
> >
> >Sounds like a risk I can live with.
> >
> >> Granted, the chances of being involved in an FCC audit are probably
> >1/10,000th
> >> of that of an IRS audit (currently 0.5%), but both do happen.
> >
> >But no example of any unique document retention (licences that expired,
> >CSCEs, station logs, etc.) have been brought forward to show any
> >retention is needed at all.
>
> The fact that element 1 credit can be granted for even an expired novice
license
> for someone who doesn't have a current license isn't good enough for you?

Nope....because it isn't a legal requirement. The decision to retain is
only the result of the individual wanting to hold on for future proof.
Worst case, the person has no proof for Element 1 credit...which is
no legal violation.

> That is obviously an expectation by the FCC that keeping such records is a
> requirement even if it lacks the budgetary resources to otherwise enforce
it.

Yet you can't offer any specifics as to keeping any such
records except where it is driven by personal choice.
Other than the physical installation I maintain NO

record of my home station and/or any mobile or portable operations
I have engaged in. What law(s) am I breaking?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2755. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 14:44:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aie5sd$8k9$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50718

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h070lu0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 02:28:27 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>
> >What constitutes "station records" may not be defined by regulation,
> >but as I noted, there is a requirement to keep such undefined records.
> >Why is there no reasonable expectation that such a vague defintion
> >wouldn't include licenses (past and present), even without a statutory
> >definition?
>
> What part of "there is no rule requirment to keep current, expired
> or cancelled licenses in the station records" is not clear to you?
>
> I don't know what Federal agencies you hang around with, but in FCC
> practice if one is not required to do something by rule or statute,
> one cannot be penalized for not doing it.
>
> Look at various other FCC Rule Parts to see what other service
> licensees must keep as "station records". At one time there was a
> whole bunch of items required for amateur radio service licensees
> as well, including logs for fixed and mobile operation and detailed
> engineering studies for repeater and remote base stations.
>
> Be very thankful that the Commish' in a stroke of wisdom abolished
> all that before many of the folks here were licensed.
>
> Don't look for complications where it's not necessary.
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Exactly!

Bottom line, no station records specified, then none "required"
much less retained.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2756. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 14:48:36 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aie63h$9s4$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50719

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D4A13FD.107FC290@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> > Actually the phrase "station records" appears twice in Part 97 -
> > in connection with the licensee designating another amateur
> > operator as the control operator, and again in a requirement to make
> > the station and the station records avaiialble for inspection. The
> > only other place that the phrase "station records" appears as
> > applicable to amateur service licensees is in Part 1 (Rules of
> > Practice and Procedure) where the process of submitting station logs
> > and records to the Commission is detailed.
> >
> > Contrary to other radio services where there are rule definitions
> > and requirements about the contents of "station records", no such
> > list of documents/records is specified for the amateur service.
>
> If there is no spec on what station records are to contain, then what
> records are there that an inspector can expect to inspect?

Phil answered this in another post. In a nutshell,
there are NO specific records required for amy station
licensed under Part 97.

> I suppose the inspector is more likely interested in illegal freeband
> radios and such. I doubt inspectors go on fishing expeditions much.
> There's enough idiots causing trouble to keep them busy.

Agreed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2757. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 15:51:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4AAA22.D33FFD54@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50721

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...

> > > > > > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations not
> counting
> > > > > > > > toward DXCC?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Which ones?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise it won't
> > > > > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."

> > > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?
> > >
> > Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I submitted cards has
> > nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and QSL'd you would
> > be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.
>
> How does it "hurt?"

Surely you can figure this one out. They've wasted time, effort, QSL
cards, postage and envelopes to obtain a card which is good for nothing.

> > You'd surely know one way or
> > the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't, that'd be minus > > one on the way
to 330.

> There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.

As I've stated, I don't need T5. Many others do. I can see how they'd
have to be patient after working a bootlegger whose QSO's don't count
toward DXCC. So much for your oft-proclaimed ethics and morality. I
think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the term
you keep using?

Dave K8MN

2758. Re: The Church of Hiram, Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 15:56:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4AAB56.29CC40E0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50722

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4981CC.9061357C@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D48C7CE.D3D4D5D1@earthlink.net...

> > > Dave, this is a new twist for you; forthcoming with information. You
> > > weren't forthcoming with your A-1 Op bitch, and you haven't been
> forthcoming
> > > with your DX operating practices.
> > >
> > That simply isn't true. I did everything but draw you a map on your A-1
> > op listing (and that was simply an attempt at a "Nyah Nyah" which blew
> > up in your face).
>
> I don't recall anything blowing up in my face. And since I still have my
> vision and all of my fingers, you're little bomb must have been a dud.

It must be a little hard to see with that egg dripping from your face.
Your "Nyah Nyah" attempt was the dud.

> I've been very forthcoming with my DX operating
> > practices or you'd have known nothing of them at all.
>
> Except that you're in my logs.

...not as F/N0IMD on six meters, you aren't.

Dave K8MN

2759. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 16:10:27 UTC
From: K1zw@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10782-3D4AAEF3-269@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 50723

I think I will still hang on to my wig-wag flags. Ooops thats only good for line of sight.

K1zw
Larry
Fists

2760. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 16:47:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tly29.815$wG5.49567776@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50724

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4AAA22.D33FFD54@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> >
> > > > > > > > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations not
> > counting
> > > > > > > > > > toward DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Which ones?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise it
won't
> > > > > > > > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> >
> > > > > > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?
> > > > >
> > > > > Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I submitted cards
has
> > > > > nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and QSL'd you
would
> > > > > be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.
> > >
> > > How does it "hurt?"
> >
> > Surely you can figure this one out. They've wasted time, effort, QSL
> > cards, postage and envelopes to obtain a card which is good for nothing.

Dave, wake up! I QSL 100%. I was there, I operated, I QSLd. They have
confirmation of the very contact they had with me. No Hoax. Perhaps you
should take your problems up with the DXCC desk.

> > > You'd surely know one way or
> > > the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't, that'd be minus >
> > one on the way to 330.

>
> > There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.
>
> As I've stated, I don't need T5. Many others do. I can see how they'd
> have to be patient after working a bootlegger whose QSO's don't count
> toward DXCC. So much for your oft-proclaimed ethics and morality. I
> think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the term
> you keep using?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, no double standard. Its hard to so something illegal where there are no civil laws. I was there under orders, and I obtained permission from my superiors to operate. Get over it.

2761. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 16:48:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <OJy29.818$4H5.49604725@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50725

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020802092917.23247.00000903@mb-mp.aol.com...
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >Brian P Burke wrote:
> >>
>
> >> Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't counted.
>
> >Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that what
> >you're saying?
>
> I will ask the ARRL DXCC desk why Brian's DXing activies haven't counted.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

Ed, what are you doing in 6 land? Big move or conference?

2762. ARNewsline 1303 - August 2 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 16:48:48 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802124848.15387.00001109@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 50726

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1303 August 2nd, 2002

==========

A NOTE TO THOSE WHO ONLY “READ” AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm)

For those of you who only know of the Amateur Radio Newsline (tm) as a text newsletter we would like to invite you to listen to the audio version. This Amateur Radio Newsline (tm) script is actually written for the “spoken word.” It is recorded each by professional broadcasters and is available for private listening or rebroadcast over your repeater. Two methods of delivery are available: FTP MP3 or dial-in telephone. Please visit our website at http://www.arnewsline.org for a list of telephone numbers (you have to pay your own long distance charges) and links to audio servers providing our voice newscast. Free FTP Downloads are available at our site by clicking on the newscast number at the top of the website front page.

de
The Newsline Production Team

==========

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1303 with a release date of Friday, August 2nd 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

Ham radio may be headed to Mars. That’s right. We said the red planet Mars! Find out how on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1303 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: WE ARE GOING TO MARS

Ham radio could be headed to the Red Planet in a few years. This as the German ham radio space agency announces some ambitious plans for future Amateur Radio operations from outside the Earth’s atmosphere. CQ Magazine Editor Rich Moseson, W2VU has the details:

--

AMSAT-DL the German amateur radio satellite organization has announced plans to build two new amateur spacecraft, including one destined for orbit around Mars! Now, the AMSAT News Service, says that the so-called Phase 5-A satellite could be launched in the 2007 to 2009 timeframe and that it will include subpayloads that would be launched from the main satellite,

either to the planet's surface or into the Martian atmosphere.

These devices would transmit scientific data back to the "mother ship." It would then use a repeater to relay the information back to hams on Earth. AMSAT-DL officials say that the 2000 launch of AMSAT-OSCAR 40 showed that the basic satellite design was capable of a trip to Mars.

The AMSAT-DL board of directors has also given a green light to developing a Phase 3-E earth-orbiting satellite. This would be a more traditional amateur bird, offering two-way communication links on amateur bands between 145 MHz and 10 GHz. In addition, it will serve as a testbed for some of the technology needed for the Mars mission.

AMSAT-DL has been the lead AMSAT group on the past three large amateur satellites placed into orbit. This includes AMSAT-OSCAR-10 which is still operating, AMSAT-OSCAR-13 which is no longer in orbit and AMSAT-OSCAR-40.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Rich Moseson, W2VU.

--

No launch timetable has been announced for Phase 3 E, but it is expected to be several years before the anticipated launch of the mission to Mars.

information about both missions can be found at:
http://www.amsat-dl.org/p3e/towards-p3e.pdf and
http://www.amsat-dl.org/p5a/p5a-to-mars.pdf (ANS, CQ)

**

RESCUE RADIO: JULY 4th WATER RESCUE ACCOMPLISHED THROUGH HAM RADIO

Its ham radio to the rescue on Thursday, July 4th. This following a water accident involving a teenage girl on Utah’s Lake Powell. Roy Neal, K6DUE has the details:

--

STEVE WILLIAMS, K0SRW, REPORTS THAT A TEENAGER . WHO SHALL HERE GO UNNAMED BECAUSE OF HER AGE, WAS RIDING A TUBE BEHIND A RENTED SKI BOAT. THEY WERE GOING FAST UNTIL SHE HIT A WAVE AND SUDDENLY…UNEXPECTEDLY…WENT AIRBORNE. THAT’S USUALLY LOTS OF FUN FOR KIDS BUT THIS TIME THE YOUNG GIRL LANDED THE WRONG WAY. HER NECK HURT. SHE COULD HARDLY MOVE HER NECK AND BACK.

WILLIAMS WANTED TO CALL FOR HELP BUT THE ACCIDENT TOOK PLACE ON LAKE POWELL, WHICH IS A HUGE PLACE…186 MILES LONG WITH ALMOST 2 THOUSAND MILES OF SHORELINE. MAJOR CANYONS, 96 OF THEM, SOME 15 TO 20 MILES LONG, RISE UP BEHIND THE SHORE.

A MARINE RADIO CAME WITH THE HOUSEBOAT THAT WILLIAMS RENTED BUT THEY WERE TOO FAR OUT TO MAKE CONTACT. CELLULAR TELEPHONES ARE ALMOST NON EXISTENT IN THE TERRITORY. SO K0SRW TURNED TO HAM RADIO. WILLIAMS HAD A YAESU 900 AND OUTBACKER ANTENNA ALREADY SET UP. ON 20 METERS, HE HEARD W8VRT, LEN KERSCH, IN ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN, SEVERAL HUNDRED MILES AWAY.

KERSCH WAS TAKING PART IN A NET, ON 14.178 Mhz. WILLIAMS BROKE IN, EXPLAINED THE SITUATION AND GAVE A PHONE NUMBER FOR THE BULLFROG MARINA, AT LAKE POWELL. KERSH CALLED THE MARINA. THEY CONNECTED HIM WITH THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE. ANOTHER WILLIAMS…KARL WILLIAMS, KD5AW, IN OKLAHOMA CITY BROKE IN TO ASSIST BY RELAYING INFORMATION BETWEEN W8VRT AND K0SRW.

ABOUT 25 MINUTES AFTER THE INITIAL CONTACT, A MEDICAL HELICOPTER AND A NATIONAL PARK SERVICE BOAT ARRIVED. THEY DECIDED TO AIRLIFT THE GIRL BY HELICOPTER TO A HOSPITAL AT GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO. STRANGE TO RELATE, THE PILOT ALSO WAS A HAM…TOM CALDWELL, KC7BPK, WAS AT THE CONTROLS.

THERE WAS NO ROOM FOR THE PARENTS IN THE HELICOPTER. THEY TOOK OFF TO TRAVEL SOME 4 HOURS BY BOAT AND CAR. AS THEY DROVE AND GOT BACK INTO CELL PHONE RANGE, GOOD NEWS WAS WAITING FOR THE ANXIOUS PARENTS. X-RAYS SHOWED THEIR DAUGHTER WAS NORMAL…NO PERMANENT INJURIES. THIS HAM RADIO RESCUE STORY HAD A HAPPY ENDING.

FOR THE AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE, ROY NEAL, K6DUE

--

Williams says he is grateful to all of the hams who assisted in the rescue. Because of them an injured youngster quickly received medical aid in a rather remote part of the USA. (K0SRW)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAMS READY FOR WASHINGTON TRAIN DERAILMENT

Ham radio was ready but not really needed at a July 29th train derailment just outside of Washington D-C. An AMTRAK liner was enroute from Chicago to Washington when many of the passenger cars left the track near Kensington, Maryland. About 90 people were taken to area hospitals, three reported in critical condition. One news report said that a ham radio communications team was on its way to supply assistance but with no real communications failure, their services were found to be unnecessary. The news story did not mention anyone by name or call sign. (ARNewsline™ from news reports)

**

RADIO LAW: QCWA FILES IN FAVOR OF NEW HAM BANDS BUT SOME MEMBERS SAY THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN ASKED

The Quarter Century Wireless Association has filed in favor of the ARRL proposal to create new ham radio bands. While most members of the QCWA appear to agree with the FCC filing, there is one group that is taking the Board of Directors to task over how it was done.

Its not because they disagree with the content. Rather, calling themselves the “vocal minority,” these hams are using the QCWA electronic remailer to complain that the board did not send paper or electronic copies of the proposed filing to all members. Also, they say that the board did not individually poll the members for their opinions before writing the QCWA position and sending the paperwork to the FCC.

But outgoing QCWA President Gary Harrison, K0BC, says that the reason it was done this way is simple. It was a matter of time.

In a posting to the QCWA Reflector, Harrison says that there was a limited amount of time to file comments. He also notes that there are only about 300 QCWA members are on the groups electronic mail list. That would mean preparing a paper mailing, sending it out and waiting for members to write back.

Harrison uses QCWA election figures as an example. He says that it takes about two years to complete an election cycle and the organization receives only about 30% return of the ballot. The cost of mailing material out for this election is about $2,000.

What about the organization's magazine called the QCWA Journal? Harrison says that it takes two months to prepare and mail it from the deadline for submitting articles. And be it a paper ballot or an announcement in the Journal, the QCWA would have to allow several months to permit input from the membership. In this case waiting would mean that the QCWA could not file because the commentary cutoff date had passed. (ARNewsline™)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Tri Town Amateur Radio Club repeater, W9VT, serving Calumet City Illinois.

(5 sec pause here)

**

CHANGING OF THE GUARD: STEVE MANSFIELD, N1MZA – S.K.

Some rather sad news to report – especially for us here at Newsline. It comes in word from the American Radio Relay League that its Legislative and Public Affairs Manager Steve Mansfield, N1MZA, has died of complications to brain cancer at age 55.

Steve Mansfield came to ARRL service in 1991 as an expert in the area of Public Relations. It did not take long for his bosses at League headquarters to realize his enormous potential as a people person. As a result, the hallowed halls of Washington D.C. soon became his beat and he spent the next eleven years as the point man between the ARRL and numerous political leaders.

ARRL President Emeritus George Wilson, W4OYI, had the opportunity to work with Mansfield. He tells Amateur Radio Newsline that he recalls one incident just before a major convention out in California. There were a lot of very controversial issues on which the League had taken considerable heat. Wilson gave Mansfield the job of searching out all issues and prepare a short "position paper" on each for W4OYI. The former ARRL president says that Mansfield did such an outstanding job that he had copies sent to all Directors and Vice Directors. The result was what

President Wilson called tons of favorable comments, and a real tribute to the dedication of N1MZA.

Mansfield was also seen at many hamfests and conventions. In most cases speaking at public relations sessions hosted either by the ARRL or by us here at Newsline. And that's really where we got to know him as a friend, a college and as an expert in the topic of relating to other people.

Steve Mansfield was first diagnosed with brain cancer in 2000 and had surgery to remove the malignancy. He was doing well until recently when he suffered a series of setbacks. He passed away on Monday July 29th. He is survived by his wife Ellyn daughters Ramona and Elizabeth and the friends he made in and out of ham radio, worldwide. (ARRL, W4OYI, ARNewsline™)

**

CHANGING OF THE GUARD: FATHER MIKE MULLEN, WB2GQW – S.K.

The Reverend Michael Mullen, WB2GQW, a past winner of the ARRL International Humanitarian Award, became a Silent Key in June. "Father Mike" was a professor and past chairman of the humanities department at St. John's University in New York. He was best known in amateur radio for his involvement with IMRA, the International Mission Radio Association. IMRA maintaines lines of communication using amateur radio for missionaries. It also inter-connects various humanitarian agencies over the air after disasters.

According to the New York Daily News, in addition to his ARRL International Humanitarian Award, Father Mike received the St. John's University Presidential Medal and was listed in the
international edition of "Who's Who in Education." He was 87 at the time
of
his death. (CQ, NY Daily News)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: A NEW BOOK BY N6NHG

Former computer hacker Kevin Mitnick, N6NHG, has turned author. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has the details:

--

Kevin Mitnick, N6NHG, Mitnick is the California ham whose license renewal application has been designated for hearing by the FCC. This, based on his conviction for hacking. And now according to news reports, he has written a soon to be released book on computer hacking and -- more important -- how to prevent being hacked.

According to the reports, Mitnick's book -- The Art of Deception -- is a novel that describes more than a dozen scenarios where hackers dupe computer network administrators into divulging passwords, encryption keys and other security details. Mitnick claims that its all fiction with any personal details having been kept out of the book which reportedly uses

fictitious names of hackers, victims and companies.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

Earlier this year the FCC designated his application to renew his Amateur licenses for a public hearing. The FCC contends that Mitnick may no longer possess the requisite requirements to remain a Commission licensee. (Published reports)

**

CONVENTIONS: HUNTSVILLE HAMFEST

Two conventions on almost opposite sides of the country are taking place the weekend of the 17th and 18th of August. In Huntsville Alabama, the Huntsville Hamfest takes place at the Von Braun Center's South Hall right across the street from the Huntsville Hilton Hotel. Since the first Huntsville Hamfest in 1981, this event has grown to the point where the Amateur Radio manufacturers and dealers consider it to be one of the seven major hamfests in the country, pulling a gate of between 5000 to 6000 every year.

We at Amateur Radio Newsline have presented our own Young Ham of the Year Award at the Huntsville Hamfest since 1993. 2002 is no exception. So please join us at 2:00 P.M. Central time on Saturday August 17th as we, our corporate underwriters Vertex Standard and CQ Magazine along with the people of Huntsville honor 17 year of Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY as the 2002 Amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year. More information about the Huntsville Hamfest is in cyberspace at www.hamfest.org. (Huntsville Hamfest)

**

CONVENTIONS: ARRL SW DIVISION IN SAN DIEGO

And August 16th to the 18th will se the ARRL Southwestern Division show at the California Center of the Arts in Escondido. The scheduled Banquet speaker will be Riley Hollingsworth K4ZDH. Also on hand will be ARRL President Jim Haynie W5JBP, Big Project Coordinator Jerry Hill, along with Division Director Art Goddard W6XD, and Vice Director Tuck Miller NZ6T. More information is on the convention web site at http://sd2002.hamcon.net. (HAM-CON)

**

CONVENTIONS: HUNTINGTON HAMFEST

And a week earlier on August 10th, the Tri State Amateur Radio Association will host the Huntington, West Virginia Hamfest. Its at the Veterans Memorial Field House, 2590 Fifth Avenue in Huntington from 8:30 AM to 2:30 P-M. Talk in on the 146.76 repeater and V-E administered ham radio exams will also be available. For further information contact Phil Beckett by e-mail- to ab8me@hotmail.com (N8TMW)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

HAMFESTS: TRW SWAP MEET FOR SEPTEMBER CANCELED

If you are planning to travel to Los Angeles this September to attend the famed TRW Swap Meet, choose some other month. This is because the giant swap-feast has been canceled because a corporate event will be held at the Space Park Facility on Saturday, September 28th.

Only September is canceled. There will be a TRW Swap Meet the last Saturday of the month in August and in October, November and December.

The TRW Swap Meet is famous and draws attendance from across the nation. For more information visit http://w6trw.com on the world wide web. (Via e-mail from TRW A.R.C.)

**

SCIENCE PAGE: THE MAGNETIC NORTH POLE IS ON THE MOVE

The Magnetic North Pole could soon abandon Canada, migrate north of Alaska and eventually wind up in Russia. So says one scientist. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, reports on the future of the pole.

--

The e-newsletter Science Today tells of Larry Newitt. Newitt is a researcher with the Geological Survey of Canada. And Newitt says that the magnetic pole is on the move.

The researcher says that the pole, which has steadily drifted for decades, has picked up speed in recent years. He says that at its current speed, it could exit Canadian territory as soon as 2004. And, says Newitt, if the pole follows its present course, it will pass north of Alaska and arrive in Siberia in a half century.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, Los Angeles.

--

If you are worried that you may soon have to trade in your old compass for a G-P-S to know where you are, don't. Researcher Newitt cautions that such predictions could be wrong. (Science Today)

**

INTERNATIONAL - BRAZIL: A NEW LF BAND ON ITS WAY

According to Brazilian amateur PY3CRX the Brazilian licensing authority, ANATEL, has agreed that the 135.7 to 137.8kHz band can be allocated to radio amateurs in that nation on a secondary basis. No date was mentioned for the new band's introduction but PY3CRX adds that the band is, or will be, also available in Paraguay and Uruguay as well. (GB2RS)

**

INTERNATIONAL- CANADA: VY2BP NEW RAC AD

Radio Amateurs of Canada reports that Bill Perry, VY2BP, has been appointed as an Assistant Director for the R-A-C Atlantic Region. Perry will complete the unexpired term of Fred Burke, VY2FB, who recently resigned the position because of family commitments. (RAC)

**

INTERNATIONAL EAST TIMOR: NEW CALL SIGN BLOCK ANNOUNCED

The International Telecommunications Union says that the 4WA to 4WZ prefix block will go to the United Nations Territory Administration of East Timor for as long as it exists. United Nations stations within East Timor will be assigned 4U prefixes. The official U N Club station will get 4U1ET. All other amateurs will use the 4W6 prefix. Those residing in East Timor less than one year will sign 4W6 followed by their home call. People staying beyond one year will be assigned a permanent 4W6 call. And yes, CEPT licenses are accepted. (GB2RS, Q-News)

**

DX

In D-X, the UK-lead youngsters' DXpedition to the Maldives should be on the air as 8Q7ZZ until the 11th of August. The expedition is being lead by 18-year old Mark Haynes, M0DXR. Other operators include 15-year old Robert Morgan, M0TTT, as well as young amateurs from Germany and Spain. 8Q7ZZ will be running up to three stations simultaneously, all with beam antennas and up to 400 watts power out. (RSGB)

Meantime, four French operators are in Benin and active as TY7Z, TY9F, TY4DX and TY6FB until the 14th of August. If you make contact with either operation Q-S-L as directed on the air. (QRZ DX)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: A SPECIAL HAM RADIO PRESENTATION

And finally this week:
--
“Good afternoon to everybody here. We have a lot of Amateur Radio operators and we have a lot of guests aboard the Queen Mary. And we are here for a very short ceremony to commemorate the 23rd anniversary of W6RO.”

--

That was Bob Heil, K9EID, on Saturday, July 27th, talking to a crowd assembled in the Wireless Museum next door to the Queen Mary's radio room. A radio room that for the past 23 years has been the home of Amateur Radio Station W6RO. And to commemorate this milestone, Bob's company, Heil Sound, donated a half dozen custom made Classic series microphones adorned with the W6RO call sign to the station. These retro look microphones are very similar to the RCA studio mic's of the 1930's. Famed rock musician Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, had the honor of making the actual presentation:

--

Joe Walsh: "These Classic microphones are presented to the Queen Mary amateur Radio Station, W6RO, celebrating the 23rd year of continuous operation provided by the Associated Radio Amateurs of Long Beach California, present by Bob Heil, K9EID, and Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, on July 27, 2002. And we wish you continued success with the station and here you go."

Nate Brigtman: "Thank you very much."

--

Accepting the mics was Nate Brightman, K6OSC, who was the man who conceived the idea of a ham station on the Queen Mary. He was accompanied b the current president of the club, Ray Rittenhouse, KF6WZN. Rittenhouse has a surprise for K9EID:

--
Ray Rittenhouse: "We appreciate this very much Bob, and from our club to you is a certificate of our appreciation thanking you for those microphones we are now putting in W6RO"
--

Then it was Nate Brightman's turn. He told the crowd a bit about the operation of W-6-R-O, and what those who sit at the transmitters get as a token of appreciation for taking the time to operate:

--
Brightman here: ""Those amateurs who come by to visit W6RO aboard the Queen Mary, should they operate the station, we will mail to them a special certificate designating that they had the honor of operating W6RO aboard the Queen Mary."
--

And when it was all over Bob Heil ended the presentation with a bit of a poem:

--
Heil: Nate. Thanks for having us today. And Ray, we appreciate all of your efforts for the club. And we will be seeing you a little high, a little low. But we'll find you somewhere from W6RO. (Applause)
--

Attending the ceremony, were numerous local ham radio operators from the Los Angeles area. This included Vertex-Standard's Chip Margelli, K7JA and film maker Dave Bell W6AQ. The ARRL was represented by Los Angeles Section Manager Phineas Icenbice, W6BF. You can hear the complete presentation as

this weeks Newsline Extra. You will find it as an MP3 file on our F-T-P page only a click away at www.arnewsline.org. (ARNewsline™)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

**

TERMINAL BREAK

**

RAIN PROMO

**

2763. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 16:49:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CKy29.819$yF5.49504392@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50727

Eww. A thinkpad. Sorry.

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:aie5ap4rui@enews4.newsguy.com...
> How the hell would I add one? With a drill? There is currently no USB
> ports on this IBM Stinkpad....
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
> >
> > > As far as cable connectivity, I am seriously hating the USB
descrimition
> > > now.... I mean, if you don't have a USB connection, you are screwed it
> > > seems. My laptop is just old enough that it does not have a USB
> > connection.
> >
> > Ryan, why not add one?
> >
> > This new e-machine 'puter has a USB on the front for portable devices.
> Just
> > like most televisions have a port on the front for videocam hook ups.
> >
> >
>
>

2764. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 16:54:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8Py29.823$0J5.49709151@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50728

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020802094432.23247.00000914@mb-mp.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >What affectionate names does Dick use in his communications and why do
you
> >only comment about what Len says?
>
> Because everyone is out to get you by talking only to Len, Brian. Why
else?

I see.

> Let's hear your theory about why Jon is talking about Len's posts when he
is
> having an exchange with Len...

Perhaps because he is having an exchange with Len?

But also because Jon ONLY reads posts by Len and no on else?

2765. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 17:30:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aiefi3$a4r$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50729

I'm sorry 'JJ' I was trying to be 'funny'. I obviously mistook you for someone with intelligence.

And now that you have shown your true purpose, I no longer chose to communicate with you.

Have a nice day.

Dan/W4NTI

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3D49C48D.B9F83D0F@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > No one ever said it was 'some heroic accomplishment'. I sayed it took
> > skill.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Which you must not have if you use "sayed" in place of "said".

2766. RE: Quick question...Motorola "Trunk" Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 17:51:14 UTC
From: MTM <res0i8ad@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <mEz29.97$Wx2.4@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50730

Hello.................NG.
Can anyone tell me as little bit about ...
Motorola FMTR 80V (BX) 1D..&.. FMTR 80-12D (DW) 1A.radios...
Apparently use by a Sheriffs dept...
These appear to be Trunk mounted transmitters & recievers...?
Does anyone have a use for these?...Are they legal to use (or sell)..?
Any idea of value....?
Pix available....
Thanks...
Mike
814-450-7979-c
814-868-0866-h

2767. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 18:08:52 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4ACAB4.1E2EAC28@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50731

W1RFI wrote:
> If the tornado scatters your shack over a 1/2-mile radius, are you really
> telling us that you could cobble together a CW transmitter? Many hams would
> have some difficulty doing that on their workbench.

Especially if the workbench is scattered over that same 1/2-mile radius.
I've often wondered - how would one know that his CW transmitter was
working without any other electronics around? Stick one's tongue to the
output? Enjoyed the communion at Tuthill, Ed.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2768. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 18:12:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4AACF1.FD626C91@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50732

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> And now that you have shown your true purpose, I no longer chose to
> communicate with you.
>
> Have a nice day.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

That will make two of us happy.

2769. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 18:22:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4AAF4E.A6BD8CB4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50733

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> So, just how does having an HF rig capable of CW play into the original
> folly espoused above which suggests:
> "There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected.
> A person with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio
> into an emergency transmitter."

I know a lot of hams who are very proficient in cw, but in a
disaster they would be challenged to make a flashlight work, much
less cobble together a transmitter from parts.

2770. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 18:51:47 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4AD4C3.2CCFF3D9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50734

JJ wrote:
> I know a lot of hams who are very proficient in cw, but in a
> disaster they would be challenged to make a flashlight work, much
> less cobble together a transmitter from parts.

I could cobble together a CW transmitter from parts from my junk box
but I wouldn't even attempt to convert my Yacht Boy 400 into a transmitter.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2771. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 19:43:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4AE07B.D21622A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50735

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4AAA22.D33FFD54@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations not
> > > counting
> > > > > > > > > > > toward DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Which ones?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise it
> won't
> > > > > > > > > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> > >
> > > > > > > > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I submitted cards
> has
> > > > > nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and QSL'd you
> would
> > > > > be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.
> > > >
> > > > How does it "hurt?"
> > >
> > > Surely you can figure this one out. They've wasted time, effort, QSL
> > > cards, postage and envelopes to obtain a card which is good for nothing.
>
> Dave, wake up! I QSL 100%. I was there, I operated, I QSLd. They have
> confirmation of the very contact they had with me. No Hoax. Perhaps you
> should take your problems up with the DXCC desk.
```

I acknowledged that folks obtained your worthless card when I stated,
"to obtain a card which is good for nothing". I didn't state that the
contact was a hoax. I believe you were there. I believe you made
contacts on the ham bands. I believe you QSL'd. I....uh...don't
believe your operation was approved for DXCC credit. You claim you
don't know. You, as a self-proclaimed DXer, should certainly take time
to find out.

> > > > You'd surely know one way or
> > > > the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't, that'd be minus >
> > one on the way to 330.
> >
> > > There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.
> >
> > As I've stated, I don't need T5. Many others do. I can see how they'd
> > have to be patient after working a bootlegger whose QSO's don't count
> > toward DXCC. So much for your oft-proclaimed ethics and morality. I
> > think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the term
> > you keep using?

> Dave, no double standard. Its hard to so something illegal where there are
> no civil laws. I was there under orders, and I obtained permission
> from my superiors to operate. Get over it.

Sounds like a familiar excuse. It appears that you obtained permission
from one who had no authority to grant it. You should have asked
earlier, Brian. I'd have been happy to grant you authority to operate
from Somalia. I hereby give you permission to operate from Mount Athos.
Tell 'em I said it was okay.

Dave K8MN

2772. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 19:50:59 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0208021941480.6974-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 50736

On Fri, 2 Aug 2002, Phil Kane wrote:
>On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 02:28:27 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>>What constitutes "station records" may not be defined by regulation,
>>but as I noted, there is a requirement to keep such undefined records.
>>Why is there no reasonable expectation that such a vague defintion
>>wouldn't include licenses (past and present), even without a statutory
>>definition?
>
> What part of "there is no rule requirment to keep current, expired
> or cancelled licenses in the station records" is not clear to you?

So, are you in fact saying that although there is a requirement to keep "station records" but since the term itself is undefined with respect to the amateur service, that it is a complete nullity?

What is wrong with the common sense approach that such a requirement would clearly include a license history and correspondence from the agency?

(Oh, I forgot; You're a lawyer, and common sense and the law never work together!) :-)

> I don't know what Federal agencies you hang around with, but in FCC
> practice if one is not required to do something by rule or statute,
> one cannot be penalized for not doing it.

But the issue is whether or not there is a requirement. One regulation says that there is, but by omission of a subsequent regulation, there is nothing defined for the first regulation to apply to.

> Look at various other FCC Rule Parts to see what other service
> licensees must keep as "station records". At one time there was a
> whole bunch of items required for amateur radio service licensees
> as well, including logs for fixed and mobile operation and detailed
> engineering studies for repeater and remote base stations.
>
> Be very thankful that the Commish' in a stroke of wisdom abolished
> all that before many of the folks here were licensed.
>
> Don't look for complications where it's not necessary.

They might have excluded those specific items, but they did not abolish the general requirement. In the absence of a regulation stating that stations (or licensees) in the amateur service need not maintain ANY "station records," the requirement to maintain "something" remains. Something usually does not mean nothing.

2773. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 19:58:33 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h07prz2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50737

On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 05:16:35 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>> Maybe it was at Slidey Slimy AFB?
>>
>> For extra credit - what base really had this nickname?
>
>Phil, coulda been Andy AB where the roads are paved with crushed coral?
>When they got wet (everyday) they got slick?

Nice try but way off base (to pun a coin).....>

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2774. One for the "Gipper"!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 21:30:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3JC29.110749$Og3.25836631@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50738

<<snicker>>

Pages 1--2 from Microsoft Word - 20272.doc
Federal Communications Commission DA 02- 1834
Before the Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D. C. 20554

In the Matter of) File No.: EB- 01- TP- 399)
Joseph S. McCreary) NAL/ Acct. No. 200232700006)
Pensacola, Florida) FRN 0006- 9319- 84

FORFEITURE ORDER
Adopted: July 29, 2002 Released: August 1, 2002
By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:
1. In this Forfeiture Order (" Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of five thousand dollars ($ 5, 000) to Joseph S. McCreary for willful violation of Section 95. 411 of the
Commission's Rules (" the Rules"). 1 The noted violation involves Mr. McCreary's usage of an external radio frequency power amplifier as part of his Citizens Band Radio station.

2. On May 7, 2002, the District Director of the Commission's Tampa, Florida Field Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (" NAL") 2 in the amount of $5,000 to Mr. McCreary.
Mr. McCreary has not filed a response to the NAL. Based on the information before us, we affirm the forfeiture.

3. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (" the Act"), 3 and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the
Rules, 4 Mr. McCreary IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $5,000 for willfully violating Section 95.411 of the Rules.

2775. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 22:32:23 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aif193hg1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50739

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0208021941480.6974-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Fri, 2 Aug 2002, Phil Kane wrote:
> >On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 02:28:27 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> >>What constitutes "station records" may not be defined by regulation,
> >>but as I noted, there is a requirement to keep such undefined records.
> >>Why is there no reasonable expectation that such a vague defintion
> >>wouldn't include licenses (past and present), even without a statutory
> >>definition?
> >
> > What part of "there is no rule requirment to keep current, expired
> > or cancelled licenses in the station records" is not clear to you?
>
> So, are you in fact saying that although there is a requirement to keep
"station
> records" but since the term itself is undefined with respect to the
amateur
> service, that it is a complete nullity?

By jove, I think he's got it.

> What is wrong with the common sense approach that such a requirement would
> clearly include a license history and correspondence from the agency?

If that works for you, then do it. Others, like myself,
need not worry as no one has ever been prosecuted for
violating "common sense."

> (Oh, I forgot; You're a lawyer, and common sense and the law never work
> together!) :-)

Cute, but the reality is that it takes a defined law in order to
legally require anything.

> > I don't know what Federal agencies you hang around with, but in FCC
> > practice if one is not required to do something by rule or statute,
> > one cannot be penalized for not doing it.
>
> But the issue is whether or not there is a requirement. One regulation
says
> that there is, but by omission of a subsequent regulation, there is
nothing
> defined for the first regulation to apply to.

Yes, the general requirement (not in Part 97) is that records
must be kept...but ihen one must go to individual service rules

(i.e. Part 97, CB, whatever) to see what the specifics are for
that particular service. In the case of hams, any specific records
requirements were dropped by the FCC some years back...and,
therefore, no requirement exists for ham records regardless
of the generic requirements external to Part 97.

> > Look at various other FCC Rule Parts to see what other service
> > licensees must keep as "station records". At one time there was a
> > whole bunch of items required for amateur radio service licensees
> > as well, including logs for fixed and mobile operation and detailed
> > engineering studies for repeater and remote base stations.
> >
> > Be very thankful that the Commish' in a stroke of wisdom abolished
> > all that before many of the folks here were licensed.
> >
> > Don't look for complications where it's not necessary.
>
> They might have excluded those specific items, but they did not abolish
the
> general requirement. In the absence of a regulation stating that stations
(or
> licensees) in the amateur service need not maintain ANY "station records,"
the
> requirement to maintain "something" remains. Something usually does not
mean
> nothing.

Oh fine, we must all maintain certain records, but you can't
tell us what they are.

Sorry, does not compute...and history
seems to strongly indicate the FCC has ZERO interest
in creating any ham station documentation requirements...for reasons
that are certainly obvious given the multitude of stations any
one individual can have at any one time.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2776. Re: Jon thinks ARRL disbelief is all specious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-02 23:02:33 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3D4B0F89.BCB277A1@uci.edu>
Source record: 50740

Len Over 21 wrote:
> On the small subject of the above paragraph: How is a "familiar"
> turn of phrase (some describe it as "diminutive") a "personal attack?"
> That sort of address is very common among families in the midwestern
> USA addressing other family members. Isn't that use more affectionate
> than "attacking?"

If you didn't intend it to be an insult, you wouldn't bother with it.
Or perhaps that's the way you always address your correspondents -
professional or otherwise.

> Okay, so how do you explain all the others who have used the exact
> same form of address. Begin with Jim Kehler long ago. Continue on
> to over two years of such use by an extra who regularly tags his
> messages about me with a Yiddish-ethnic synonym for "dickhead."

If the shoe fits.....and it kinda does. Most people are polite enough
to refrain from putting a name to your sort of behavior. We all
recognize it though.

> Is that somehow "okay" but someone else (conveniently of opposite
> opinion) doing the same thing is now "wrong?" Curious double
> standard there.

Not really. It's totally consistent. You think everyone is a boob, and
everyone thinks you're a boob. It equals out.

2777. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 01:36:44 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <ugG29.112529$Og3.26111705@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50741

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:xwE19.631$3k2.18562176@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:k4319.40381$ND5.4003176@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:niIX8.5316$%P6.278589777@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020711075634.19575.00002941@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >
> > > > >> Once again you -deliberately- have it wrong. The "lazy"
> descriptive
> > is
> > > > > >> reserved for all the Brian Burkes et al who "Just didn't have
time"
> > > >
> > > > >Dick has been corrected time and again, but continues to lie. That
> > would
> > > > > >make him a congenital liar.
> > > >
> > > > He could be confused. Are you assassignating his character?
> > >
> > > Alzheimers?
> >
> > I guess you consider this to be funny. Another group of unfortunate
people
> > to make fun of.
>
> Who is making fun of anyone? Do you see a smiley face?
>
> Your guessing is incorrect. I demand an apology.

You shoot from the hip. You write without thinking about the impact of your
words on others. Now you're making fun of alzheimers patients. Go stick your
bigotted comments where the sun don't shine.

2778. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 01:36:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RsG29.1273$Xn.74688746@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50742

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h07prz2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 05:16:35 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >> Maybe it was at Slidey Slimy AFB?
> >>
> >> For extra credit - what base really had this nickname?
> >
> >Phil, coulda been Andy AB where the roads are paved with crushed coral?
> >When they got wet (everyday) they got slick?
>
> Nice try but way off base (to pun a coin).....>
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

OK, now its time for a WAG. Barksdale?

2779. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 01:44:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PzG29.1274$wp.74782927@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50743

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4AE07B.D21622A@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D4AAA22.D33FFD54@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations
not
> > > > counting
> > > > > > > > > > toward DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Which ones?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise
it
> > won't
> > > > > > > > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I submitted
cards
> > has
> > > > > > > nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and QSL'd you
> > would
> > > > > > > be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > How does it "hurt?"
> > > >
> > > > Surely you can figure this one out. They've wasted time, effort, QSL
> > > > cards, postage and envelopes to obtain a card which is good for
```

nothing.
> >
> > Dave, wake up! I QSL 100%. I was there, I operated, I QSLd. They have
> > confirmation of the very contact they had with me. No Hoax. Perhaps
you
> > should take your problems up with the DXCC desk.
>
>
> I acknowledged that folks obtained your worthless card when I stated,
> "to obtain a card which is good for nothing". I didn't state that the
> contact was a hoax. I believe you were there. I believe you made
> contacts on the ham bands. I believe you QSL'd. I....uh...don't
> believe your operation was approved for DXCC credit. You claim you
> don't know. You, as a self-proclaimed DXer, should certainly take time
> to find out.

My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.

> > > > > You'd surely know one way or
> > > > > the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't, that'd be
minus >
> > > one on the way to 330.
> > >
> > > > There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.
> > >
> > > As I've stated, I don't need T5. Many others do. I can see how
they'd
> > > have to be patient after working a bootlegger whose QSO's don't count
> > > toward DXCC. So much for your oft-proclaimed ethics and morality. I
> > > think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the term
> > > you keep using?
>
> > Dave, no double standard. Its hard to so something illegal where there
are
> > no civil laws. I was there under orders, and I obtained permission
> > from my superiors to operate. Get over it.
>
> Sounds like a familiar excuse. It appears that you obtained permission
> from one who had no authority to grant it. You should have asked
> earlier, Brian. I'd have been happy to grant you authority to operate
> from Somalia. I hereby give you permission to operate from Mount Athos.
> Tell 'em I said it was okay.
>
> Dave K8MN

Uh, Dave, you have no such authority, or do you? By working French Hams out
of band, after having been informed they were out of band, by participation
in the QSO you apparently thought you had the authority to grant them
permission to operate out of band.

And, apparently you've never been to a piece of real estate that has no governing authority. My DX operation from Somalia is unique. That's why a 12.63 second QSO wasn't sufficient. You'll never understand that because you'll never be faced with such a situation.

2780. Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 01:58:30 UTC
From: Dracula <cw@death.com>
Message-ID: <200283-25830-836996@foorum.com>
Source record: 50604

That smells the coffin for CW coders ... hi hi hi

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2781. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 02:06:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h08lbr3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50744

On Fri, 2 Aug 2002 10:48:36 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>> If there is no spec on what station records are to contain, then what
>> records are there that an inspector can expect to inspect?
>
>Phil answered this in another post. In a nutshell,
>there are NO specific records required for amy station
>licensed under Part 97.

Maybe I was misinterpreted. Part 97 does not set forth a list of
items that ALL licensees are required to keep as "station records"
but there are items called out that must be maintained as part of
"station records" only if they apply to specific licensees:

Designation of another licensed operator as a control operator
per 97.103(b).

Measurements and determination of RF Exposure if operating in
excess of the limits specified in 97.13(c)

Special records required by order of the FCC District Director
(formerly called EIC) or equivalent Resident Agent as set forth in
Section 97.103(c) and as delegated in Section 0.314(j) as
necessary to resolve conditions of interference or deficient
technical operation.

Contents of communications transmitted by Spread Spectrum emission
if so required by the District Director or Resident Agent per Section
97.311(c)(3).

Records required to be kept in accordance with the terms of a
Special Temporary Authorization or a Rule Waiver or Grant of
License or similar Order issued to a specific licensee for a
specific reason.

Hope that clears up some of the confusion.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2782. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 02:06:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h08m274.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50745

On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 19:50:59 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>So, are you in fact saying that although there is a requirement to
>keep "station records" but since the term itself is undefined with
>respect to the amateur service, that it is a complete nullity?

Not at all. Please see my earlier post which lists some items
which - IF REQUITED TO BE KEPT - constitute "station records"

It matters not whether they are kept in a file box marked :Station
Records" or in an old Ritz Crackers box.

No licensee is required to have such items UNLESSS it spplies to him
or her.

>What is wrong with the common sense approach that such a requirement
>would clearly include a license history and correspondence from the
>agency?

I advovate retention of such material but the Rules do not REQUIRE
it. There's big difference between "required" and "voluntary" records.

Wanna' change the Rules to make record-keeping more burdensome for
every amateur? Remember Bill Cross' warning.....

>(Oh, I forgot; You're a lawyer, and common sense and the law never work
>together!) :-)

They work much closer than you would imagine.

>> I don't know what Federal agencies you hang around with, but in FCC
>> practice if one is not required to do something by rule or statute,
>> one cannot be penalized for not doing it.
>
>But the issue is whether or not there is a requirement. One regulation says
>that there is, but by omission of a subsequent regulation, there is nothing
>defined for the first regulation to apply to.

Do you remember what happened to the tiger in "Little Black Sambo" ?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2783. RE: Quick question...Motorola "Trunk" Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 02:06:28 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h08nb65.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50746

On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 17:51:14 GMT, MTM wrote:

>Hello.................NG.
>Can anyone tell me as little bit about ...
>Motorola FMTR 80V (BX) 1D..&.. FMTR 80-12D (DW) 1A.radios...

Are there any FMTR 80V s left in existence?

>Apparently use by a Sheriffs dept...
>These appear to be Trunk mounted transmitters & recievers...?

50 year old tube junk, using vibrator power supplies. Takes up half
a trunk, weighs half a ton. and sucks up primary (12V) power like
mad.

>Does anyone have a use for these?...Are they legal to use (or sell)..?

No modern commercial use (doesn't meet current standards - as if anyone
would want to install those boat-anchors) and yes, legal to sell to
amateur radio licensees only if you acquire them legally.

For far less than the price of replacement vibrators (if you can find
them), replacement tubes (if you can find them) and re-crystaling
and retuning for the one or two VHF ham-band channels it would be
limited to, you can buy a fully synthesized 2-m transceiver weighing
a lot less, a lot smaller, wuth loads of features that the old stuff
doesn;t have, and using a lot less primary power.

Use them for land-fill.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2784. Re: One for the "Gipper"!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 02:18:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D4B67A3.9010406@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50747

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> FORFEITURE ORDER
> Adopted: July 29, 2002 Released: August 1, 2002
> By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

<A whole bunch of good news snipped>

Mighty sweet!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2785. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 03:20:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukmivalrngv017@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50748

'Taint my thread, but I have a LOT of feelings about A-B. The stupid things go beserk for almost no reason at all. You'd better not have any ground loops or you're lost. (don't ask how the ground loops got there. I don't need to take any more Rolaids). Ladder logic is easy, but there are better ways of attacking a problem (usually). However, management in my company has determined that A-B is the only way to go (and we can't buy anything else). I wonder who has stock options in A-B? Guess I'll have to fire up the search engines and check out the Mitsubishi machines. BTW, can I get an interview with your boss? I've programmed a heck of a lot of 'was' gates in my time .. 120/208 3 phase to Vcc ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2786. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 03:23:13 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h08rm17.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50749

On Sat, 03 Aug 2002 01:36:49 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>> Nice try but way off base (to pun a coin).....>
>
>OK, now its time for a WAG. Barksdale?

Strike Two.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2787. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 04:34:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4B5CC7.7F390CC2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50750

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4AE07B.D21622A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D4AAA22.D33FFD54@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your operations
> not
> > > > > counting
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > toward DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Which ones?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote "Otherwise
> it
> > > won't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I submitted
> cards
> > > has
> > > > > > > > > > nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and QSL'd you
> > > would
> > > > > > > > > > be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > How does it "hurt?"
> > > > >
```

> > > > Surely you can figure this one out. They've wasted time, effort, QSL
> > > > cards, postage and envelopes to obtain a card which is good for
> nothing.
> > >
> > > Dave, wake up! I QSL 100%. I was there, I operated, I QSLd. They have
> > > confirmation of the very contact they had with me. No Hoax. Perhaps
> you
> > > should take your problems up with the DXCC desk.
> >
> >
> > I acknowledged that folks obtained your worthless card when I stated,
> > "to obtain a card which is good for nothing". I didn't state that the
> > contact was a hoax. I believe you were there. I believe you made
> > contacts on the ham bands. I believe you QSL'd. I....uh...don't
> > believe your operation was approved for DXCC credit. You claim you
> > don't know. You, as a self-proclaimed DXer, should certainly take time
> > to find out.
>
> My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.

...and to that point and that point only do your QSLs do "the same as
any other cards does".

> > > > > > You'd surely know one way or
> > > > > > the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't, that'd be
> minus >
> > > > one on the way to 330.
> > > >
> > > > > There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.
> > > >
> > > > As I've stated, I don't need T5. Many others do. I can see how
> they'd
> > > > have to be patient after working a bootlegger whose QSO's don't count
> > > > toward DXCC. So much for your oft-proclaimed ethics and morality. I
> > > > think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the term
> > > > you keep using?
> >
> > > Dave, no double standard. Its hard to so something illegal where there
> are
> > > no civil laws. I was there under orders, and I obtained permission
> > > from my superiors to operate. Get over it.
> >
> > Sounds like a familiar excuse. It appears that you obtained permission
> > from one who had no authority to grant it. You should have asked
> > earlier, Brian. I'd have been happy to grant you authority to operate
> > from Somalia. I hereby give you permission to operate from Mount Athos.
> > Tell 'em I said it was okay.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Uh, Dave, you have no such authority, or do you?

Precisely my point, Brian. I do not have any more authority to grant
you operating permission from Mount Athos than did the fellow who gave
you permission to operate from T5.

> By working French Hams out
> of band, after having been informed they were out of band, by > participation
> in the QSO you apparently thought you had the authority to grant them
> permission to operate out of band.

I had no authority to grant and I certainly don't license French hams.
As far as I know, only the French government can discipline French radio
amateurs. I operated my station where I was permitted to operate.
Your moral and ethical authority has, by the way, just expired.

> And, apparently you've never been to a piece of real estate that has > no governing
authority.

I've never operated from such a place. Some hams have and their
operations were accepted for DXCC credit. Perhaps you've already read
the history of some of those operations.

> My DX operation from Somalia is unique. That's why a 12.63 second
> QSO wasn't sufficient.

I'd imagine that you had to take a lot more time explaining to each
fellow you worked that the card was not good for DXCC credit.

> You'll never understand that because you'll
> never be faced with such a situation.

It has yet to be determined whether I'll ever be faced with such a
situation or whether I have the ability to understand it if I should
encounter it.

Dave K8MN

2788. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 04:40:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <K8J29.1304$TY.76805329@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50601

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h08rm17.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sat, 03 Aug 2002 01:36:49 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >> Nice try but way off base (to pun a coin).....>
> > >
> > >OK, now its time for a WAG. Barksdale?
> >
> > Strike Two.
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Mildenhall?

2789. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 04:53:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ZkJ29.1306$b41.77254857@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50602

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4B5CC7.7F390CC2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D4AE07B.D21622A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D4AAA22.D33FFD54@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your
operations
> > not
> > > > > > counting
> > > > > > > > > > > toward DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Which ones?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote
"Otherwise
> > it
> > > > won't
> > > > > > > > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I submitted
> > cards
> > > > has
> > > > > > > nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and QSL'd
you

> > > > would
> > > > > > > be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > How does it "hurt?"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Surely you can figure this one out. They've wasted time, effort,
QSL
> > > > > > cards, postage and envelopes to obtain a card which is good for
> > nothing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, wake up! I QSL 100%. I was there, I operated, I QSLd. They
have
> > > > > confirmation of the very contact they had with me. No Hoax.
Perhaps
> > you
> > > > > should take your problems up with the DXCC desk.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I acknowledged that folks obtained your worthless card when I stated,
> > > > "to obtain a card which is good for nothing". I didn't state that the
> > > > contact was a hoax. I believe you were there. I believe you made
> > > > contacts on the ham bands. I believe you QSL'd. I....uh...don't
> > > > believe your operation was approved for DXCC credit. You claim you
> > > > don't know. You, as a self-proclaimed DXer, should certainly take
time
> > > > to find out.
> > >
> > My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.
>
> ...and to that point and that point only do your QSLs do "the same as
> any other cards does".

Its got the American Flag on it, too.

> > > > > > > You'd surely know one way or
> > > > > > > the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't, that'd be
> > minus >
> > > > > > one on the way to 330.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As I've stated, I don't need T5. Many others do. I can see how
> > they'd
> > > > > > have to be patient after working a bootlegger whose QSO's don't
count
> > > > > > toward DXCC. So much for your oft-proclaimed ethics and morality.
I
> > > > > > think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the
term
> > > > > > you keep using?
> > >

> > > > Dave, no double standard. Its hard to so something illegal where
there
> > are
> > > > no civil laws. I was there under orders, and I obtained permission
> > > > from my superiors to operate. Get over it.
> > >
> > > Sounds like a familiar excuse. It appears that you obtained
permission
> > > from one who had no authority to grant it. You should have asked
> > > earlier, Brian. I'd have been happy to grant you authority to operate
> > > from Somalia. I hereby give you permission to operate from Mount
Athos.
> > > Tell 'em I said it was okay.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Uh, Dave, you have no such authority, or do you?
>
> Precisely my point, Brian. I do not have any more authority to grant
> you operating permission from Mount Athos than did the fellow who gave
> you permission to operate from T5.

He was the commander. You were not. The on-scene commander makes the
decisions. You go decide to work more hams out of band.

> > By working French Hams out
> > of band, after having been informed they were out of band, by >
participation
> > in the QSO you apparently thought you had the authority to grant them
> > permission to operate out of band.
>
> I had no authority to grant and I certainly don't license French hams.
> As far as I know, only the French government can discipline French radio
> amateurs. I operated my station where I was permitted to operate.
> Your moral and ethical authority has, by the way, just expired.

Why do you say that?

> > And, apparently you've never been to a piece of real estate that has >
no governing authority.
>
> I've never operated from such a place. Some hams have and their
> operations were accepted for DXCC credit. Perhaps you've already read
> the history of some of those operations.

And?

> > My DX operation from Somalia is unique. That's why a 12.63 second
> > QSO wasn't sufficient.
>
> I'd imagine that you had to take a lot more time explaining to each
> fellow you worked that the card was not good for DXCC credit.

Without having one of my cards, just how do you know its not good for credit?

> > You'll never understand that because you'll
> > never be faced with such a situation.
>
> It has yet to be determined whether I'll ever be faced with such a
> situation or whether I have the ability to understand it if I should
> encounter it.

It has yet to be determined. Yet... you seem to know all about it, Oh Supreme Master of DX.

If there had been a Ministry of Communications, like the places you go to, I would have been more than happy to wipe off my boots at the door, clear my weapon, and go in side to fill out the proper paperwork.

But there was not a Ministry of Communications. And you say the on-scene commander has no authority to authorize what one of his soldiers, sailors, or airmen does?

Thus, no amateur operation from Somalia can be legal?

Dave, your boat just left.

2790. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 05:02:30 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <ohJ29.113492$Og3.26432367@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50603

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:lCE19.637$Xm2.18731206@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bell.net> wrote in message
> news:Lc319.40413$ND5.4007857@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:SqX09.1961$J9.21917894@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3d441342_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> > >
> > > > Oh, yet new hams and longer-licensed hams *aren't* supposed to "take
> > > > advantage" of the new licensing structure. Did I say hypocrisy in
> other
> > > > post? Larry, you're getting less and less lucid and more and more
> > > > transparent.
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > > Kim, in your wildest dreams. I don't wanna see more of Larry than I
> have
> > > to.
> >
> > Another ad hominem attack. Well, this won't violate your TOCs per se but
> it
> > does get close to the edge, as you know. Prodigy does not like
> participation
> > in flame fests when it involves users' names. Did you have a falling out
> > with people who enjoy Morse code? Just asking.
> > 73 de KR4AJ
>
> Speaking of transparent, it would appear that BellSouth finally took some
> action.

Explain-amunde.

2791. Re: Quick question...Motorola "Trunk" Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 11:19:43 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <j%O29.16771$pg2.1344490@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50605

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h08nb65.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 17:51:14 GMT, MTM wrote:
>
> >Hello.................NG.
> >Can anyone tell me as little bit about ...
> >Motorola FMTR 80V (BX) 1D..&.. FMTR 80-12D (DW) 1A.radios...
>
> Are there any FMTR 80V s left in existence?
>
> >Apparently use by a Sheriffs dept...
> >These appear to be Trunk mounted transmitters & recievers...?
>
> 50 year old tube junk, using vibrator power supplies. Takes up half
> a trunk, weighs half a ton. and sucks up primary (12V) power like
> mad.
>
> >Does anyone have a use for these?...Are they legal to use (or sell)..?
>
> No modern commercial use (doesn't meet current standards - as if
anyone
> would want to install those boat-anchors) and yes, legal to sell to
> amateur radio licensees only if you acquire them legally.
>
> For far less than the price of replacement vibrators (if you can find
> them), replacement tubes (if you can find them) and re-crystaling
> and retuning for the one or two VHF ham-band channels it would be
> limited to, you can buy a fully synthesized 2-m transceiver weighing
> a lot less, a lot smaller, wuth loads of features that the old stuff
> doesn;t have, and using a lot less primary power.
>
> Use them for land-fill.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

If there is one in existence it should be preserved to answer the
inevitable 'If they didn't want us to work skip why did they put us on 27
MHz?' question.

Dick NBK

2792. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 12:46:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020803084611.09294.00001133@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50606

>Subject: Re: Age Limits - WHY?
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 08/02/02 23:23 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h08rm17.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>>OK, now its time for a WAG. Barksdale?
>
> Strike Two.

(1) Homestead

(2) Kadena

(3) NAS key West

Just figger I'd get all three of my wrong answers out of the way up front!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2793. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 13:19:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4BD862.793E988D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50607

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4B5CC7.7F390CC2@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D4AE07B.D21622A@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D4AAA22.D33FFD54@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your
> operations
> > > > not
> > > > > > > > > > > > counting
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > toward DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Which ones?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote
> "Otherwise
> > > > it
> > > > > > > > won't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the desk?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I submitted
> > > > cards
> > > > > > > > has
```

> > > > > > > > > nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and QSL'd
> you
> > > > > > would
> > > > > > > > > be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > How does it "hurt?"
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Surely you can figure this one out. They've wasted time, effort,
> QSL
> > > > > > > > cards, postage and envelopes to obtain a card which is good for
> > > > nothing.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave, wake up! I QSL 100%. I was there, I operated, I QSLd. They
> have
> > > > > > confirmation of the very contact they had with me. No Hoax.
> Perhaps
> > > you
> > > > > > should take your problems up with the DXCC desk.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > I acknowledged that folks obtained your worthless card when I stated,
> > > > > "to obtain a card which is good for nothing". I didn't state that the
> > > > > contact was a hoax. I believe you were there. I believe you made
> > > > > contacts on the ham bands. I believe you QSL'd. I....uh...don't
> > > > > believe your operation was approved for DXCC credit. You claim you
> > > > > don't know. You, as a self-proclaimed DXer, should certainly take
> time
> > > > to find out.
> > > >
> > > > My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.
> > >
> > ...and to that point and that point only do your QSLs do "the same as
> > any other cards does".
> >
> Its got the American Flag on it, too.

Oh? Do operations from Somalia now fall under Part 97? ;)

> > > > > > > > You'd surely know one way or
> > > > > > > > > the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't, that'd be
> > > minus >
> > > > > > > > one on the way to 330.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > As I've stated, I don't need T5. Many others do. I can see how
> > > they'd
> > > > > > > have to be patient after working a bootlegger whose QSO's don't
> count
> > > > > > toward DXCC. So much for your oft-proclaimed ethics and morality.
> I

> > > > > > think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the
> term
> > > > > > you keep using?
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, no double standard. Its hard to so something illegal where
> there
> > > are
> > > > > > no civil laws. I was there under orders, and I obtained permission
> > > > > > from my superiors to operate. Get over it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sounds like a familiar excuse. It appears that you obtained
> permission
> > > > from one who had no authority to grant it. You should have asked
> > > > earlier, Brian. I'd have been happy to grant you authority to operate
> > > > from Somalia. I hereby give you permission to operate from Mount
> Athos.
> > > > Tell 'em I said it was okay.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Uh, Dave, you have no such authority, or do you?
> >
> > Precisely my point, Brian. I do not have any more authority to grant
> > you operating permission from Mount Athos than did the fellow who gave
> > you permission to operate from T5.
>
> He was the commander. You were not. The on-scene commander makes the
> decisions. You go decide to work more hams out of band.

Commander of what? Commander of amateur radio operations?

> > > By working French Hams out
> > > of band, after having been informed they were out of band, by >
> participation
> > > in the QSO you apparently thought you had the authority to grant them
> > > permission to operate out of band.
> >
> > I had no authority to grant and I certainly don't license French hams.
> > As far as I know, only the French government can discipline French radio
> > amateurs. I operated my station where I was permitted to operate.
> > Your moral and ethical authority has, by the way, just expired.
>
> Why do you say that?

In the interests of moral and ethical justice.

> > > And, apparently you've never been to a piece of real estate that has >
> no governing authority.
> >
> > I've never operated from such a place. Some hams have and their
> > operations were accepted for DXCC credit. Perhaps you've already read

> > the history of some of those operations.
>
> And?

And you, the would-be DXer might learn something. Then again...

> > > My DX operation from Somalia is unique. That's why a 12.63 second
> > > QSO wasn't sufficient.
> >
> > I'd imagine that you had to take a lot more time explaining to each
> > fellow you worked that the card was not good for DXCC credit.
>
> Without having one of my cards, just how do you know its not good for
> credit?

Have you asked anyone at the DXCC desk? Maybe I'm going on what you've provided: 1) That your operations have not counted 2) That you don't know if your operations counted. Pick a story you like. Maybe I have insider information and am using it to further embarrass and discredit you.

> > > You'll never understand that because you'll
> > > never be faced with such a situation.
> >
> > It has yet to be determined whether I'll ever be faced with such a
> > situation or whether I have the ability to understand it if I should
> > encounter it.
>
> It has yet to be determined. Yet... you seem to know all about it, Oh
> Supreme Master of DX.

What's to know--that there was action by the U.S. military in Somalia?

> If there had been a Ministry of Communications, like the places you
> go to, I would have been more than happy to wipe off my boots at the
> door, clear my weapon, and go in side to fill out the proper > paperwork.

I've been in places where there was plenty of gunfire. I've also been in places where it would have made little difference if you wiped your boots or not. Nobody could have told the difference.

> But there was not a Ministry of Communications.

Was there no Ministry or was it a case of nobody being home?

> And you say the
> on-scene commander has no authority to authorize what one of his
> soldiers, sailors, or airmen does?

No, I say that a commander might grant authorization which is not his to grant. I say that higher-ups might not approve of such authorization. I say that the DXCC desk might not credit such operation. What has the DXCC desk said about your operation from Somalia? Have you found if it counts or not?

> Thus, no amateur operation from Somalia can be legal?

Other operations have counted throughout the years. Did yours? Who granted permission to operate for those accepted operations? Do you think the ops from the accredited operations knew if their operation was accepted for DXCC credit? Do you think they spent a lot of time describing quality of Somalian sand?

> Dave, your boat just left.

Damn! I so enjoy a nice boat ride. Was it one of those downriver trips to Cincinnati with stops at that possible DXCC entity Blennerhasset Island? It's nice that you always take us for a ride Brian.

Dave K8MN

2794. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 15:42:25 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uknvmmfuh931ea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50608

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020801232650.14884.00000353@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <ukgtblnl7sim14@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> >Larry's attitude make him inferior to even his despised no-code
> >> >hams.
> >> >
> >>
> >> My "attitude" is that I won't rubber-stamp the laziness of the no-coder
> >> whiners who managed to get the licensing requirements of the ARS
> >> dumbed-down to their level. A level at which, BTW, they are still NOT
> >> upgrading in droves! Funny how that worked out, isn't it?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >Not funny or odd in the least. Does say a lot for your hypothesis
though.
> >
> >Why are people who "take advantage" of the new licensing requirements any
> >different than you, who admittedly is taking advantage of things in your
> >life, Larry?
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> It's all a matter of what they are doing in ham radio *after* taking
advantage
> of the existing licensing system. If they are in here whining about my
> "attitude," then they are going to get slam-dunked in my inimitable style.
> If they are out there working to win the Brendan Trophy, then they're not
> going to draw my fire. It's as simple as that.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Well, since you're so dismayed by the 2M band, guess you'll have to "draw
fire" against yourself.

The pitiful thing you will never realize, Larry, is that most reasonable
people who read anything you have posted in this newsgroup will realize that
you are pretty much dead-set against being friendly in any way, and the only
thing that motivates you is whatever is "in it" for Larry. It's also very

obvious that you take all your perceived life's failures out on the whole rest of the world and that it's anyone's fault but your own.

So, due to the fact that you are too incoherent to understand that "drawing your fire" is the most miniscule of concern to anyone, you go on about flooding yourself in your own grandeur. You are the only one who believes what you say--everyone else gets a huge laugh out of you...

Kim W5TIT

2795. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 15:49:45 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uko04eeo16pr7f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50609

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020801232649.14884.00000352@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <sCH19.5879$VP1.93471922@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> My "attitude" is that I won't rubber-stamp the laziness of the no-coder
> >> whiners who managed to get the licensing requirements of the ARS
> >> dumbed-down to their level. A level at which, BTW, they are still NOT
> >> upgrading in droves! Funny how that worked out, isn't it?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Larry, after witnessing your temper tantrums on the subject, I wonder why
> >they are staying away?
>
> Brian:
>
> Well, it's obviously all my fault! I'm single-handedly responsible for
> the decline of ham radio! How do I know this? You keep telling me,
> that's why!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Oh, wow. Now I get it. Larry, you think I am supposed to believe that I bring ham radio "one step closer to extinction" because you and/or Riley Hollingsworth may have said it. Guess what? I don't believe it. And, you should put less stock in what other people tell you to believe...ROFLMAO...

Kim W5TIT

2796. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 17:13:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9bU29.208$iU4.10894548@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50610

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020803084611.09294.00001133@mb-mf.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Age Limits - WHY?
> >From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
> >Date: 08/02/02 23:23 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h08rm17.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
>
> >>OK, now its time for a WAG. Barksdale?
> >
> > Strike Two.
>
> (1) Homestead
>
> (2) Kadena
>
> (3) NAS key West
>
> Just figger I'd get all three of my wrong answers out of the way up
front!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

If a jarhead gets this one right... I'll eat my "flight cap."

;^) Brian

2797. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 17:33:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GtU29.217$Dc5.12103877@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50611

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4BD862.793E988D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D4B5CC7.7F390CC2@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D4AE07B.D21622A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D4AAA22.D33FFD54@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your
> > operations
> > > > > > not
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > counting
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > toward DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Which ones?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote
> > "Otherwise
> > > > > > it
> > > > > > > > > > > > won't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the
desk?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > > > Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I
submitted
> > > > cards
> > > > > > has
> > > > > > > > > > nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and
QSL'd
> > you
> > > > > > would
> > > > > > > > > > be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > How does it "hurt?"
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Surely you can figure this one out. They've wasted time,
effort,
> > QSL
> > > > > > > > cards, postage and envelopes to obtain a card which is good
for
> > > > nothing.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave, wake up! I QSL 100%. I was there, I operated, I QSLd.
They
> > have
> > > > > > > confirmation of the very contact they had with me. No Hoax.
> > Perhaps
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > should take your problems up with the DXCC desk.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I acknowledged that folks obtained your worthless card when I
stated,
> > > > > "to obtain a card which is good for nothing". I didn't state that
the
> > > > > contact was a hoax. I believe you were there. I believe you made
> > > > > contacts on the ham bands. I believe you QSL'd. I....uh...don't
> > > > > believe your operation was approved for DXCC credit. You claim
you
> > > > > don't know. You, as a self-proclaimed DXer, should certainly take
> > time
> > > > > to find out.
> > > >
> > > > My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.
> > >
> > ...and to that point and that point only do your QSLs do "the same as
> > > any other cards does".
> >
> > Its got the American Flag on it, too.
>
> Oh? Do operations from Somalia now fall under Part 97? ;)

It fell under Pres George Bush and the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

They called it Operation Restore Hope.

> > > > > > > > > > You'd surely know one way or
> > > > > > > > > > the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't,
that'd be
> > > > minus >
> > > > > > > > one on the way to 330.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > As I've stated, I don't need T5. Many others do. I can see
how
> > > > they'd
> > > > > > > > have to be patient after working a bootlegger whose QSO's
don't
> > count
> > > > > > > toward DXCC. So much for your oft-proclaimed ethics and
morality.
> > I
> > > > > > > think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that
the
> > term
> > > > > > > you keep using?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave, no double standard. Its hard to so something illegal
where
> > there
> > > > > are
> > > > > > > no civil laws. I was there under orders, and I obtained
permission
> > > > > > > from my superiors to operate. Get over it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Sounds like a familiar excuse. It appears that you obtained
> > permission
> > > > > > from one who had no authority to grant it. You should have asked
> > > > > > earlier, Brian. I'd have been happy to grant you authority to
operate
> > > > > > from Somalia. I hereby give you permission to operate from Mount
> > Athos.
> > > > > > Tell 'em I said it was okay.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Uh, Dave, you have no such authority, or do you?
> > > >
> > > > Precisely my point, Brian. I do not have any more authority to grant
> > > > you operating permission from Mount Athos than did the fellow who gave
> > > > you permission to operate from T5.
> > >
> > He was the commander. You were not. The on-scene commander makes the
> > decisions. You go decide to work more hams out of band.

>
> Commander of what? Commander of amateur radio operations?

Commander of me and everything I did.

> > > > By working French Hams out
> > > > of band, after having been informed they were out of band, by >
> > participation
> > > > in the QSO you apparently thought you had the authority to grant
them
> > > > permission to operate out of band.
> > >
> > > I had no authority to grant and I certainly don't license French hams.
> > > As far as I know, only the French government can discipline French
radio
> > > amateurs. I operated my station where I was permitted to operate.
> > > Your moral and ethical authority has, by the way, just expired.
> >
> > Why do you say that?
>
> In the interests of moral and ethical justice.

You're certainly no judge.

> > > > And, apparently you've never been to a piece of real estate that has
>
> > no governing authority.
> > >
> > > I've never operated from such a place. Some hams have and their
> > > operations were accepted for DXCC credit. Perhaps you've already read
> > > the history of some of those operations.
> >
> > And?
>
> And you, the would-be DXer might learn something. Then again...

Perhaps you are planning a trip to Somalia? I understand we (the USA) might
be going in again to fight Al Kaida. Maybe you could ride their coat tails
as some kind of CW order wire hotshot.

> > > > My DX operation from Somalia is unique. That's why a 12.63 second
> > > > QSO wasn't sufficient.
> > >
> > > I'd imagine that you had to take a lot more time explaining to each
> > > fellow you worked that the card was not good for DXCC credit.
> >
> > Without having one of my cards, just how do you know its not good for
> > credit?
>
> Have you asked anyone at the DXCC desk?

No, I never have. I don't know that they are accepted or rejected.

Maybe I'm going on what you've
> provided: 1) That your operations have not counted 2) That you don't
> know if your operations counted. Pick a story you like. Maybe I have
> insider information and am using it to further embarrass and discredit
> you.

Dave, I've no corner on the embarassment market. It's happened plenty of
times in my life. Disappointment, too. But then, there is happiness, pride
of accomplishment, ...

Welcome to the land of the living.

> > > > You'll never understand that because you'll
> > > > never be faced with such a situation.
> > >
> > > It has yet to be determined whether I'll ever be faced with such a
> > > situation or whether I have the ability to understand it if I should
> > > encounter it.
> >
> > It has yet to be determined. Yet... you seem to know all about it, Oh
> > Supreme Master of DX.
>
> What's to know--that there was action by the U.S. military in Somalia?

As if you'd been there.

> > If there had been a Ministry of Communications, like the places you
> > go to, I would have been more than happy to wipe off my boots at the
> > door, clear my weapon, and go in side to fill out the proper >
paperwork.
>
> I've been in places where there was plenty of gunfire.

That's when we execute a NEO and get you guys out.

I've also been
> in places where it would have made little difference if you wiped your
> boots or not. Nobody could have told the difference.

Ha! I believe that!

> > But there was not a Ministry of Communications.
>
> Was there no Ministry or was it a case of nobody being home?

Moslem country. I think all the ministers fled.

> > And you say the
> > on-scene commander has no authority to authorize what one of his
> > soldiers, sailors, or airmen does?
>
> No, I say that a commander might grant authorization which is not his to
> grant. I say that higher-ups might not approve of such authorization.
> I say that the DXCC desk might not credit such operation. What has the
> DXCC desk said about your operation from Somalia? Have you found if it

> counts or not?

Dave, if you say my commander has no authority to grant authorization, what is it to the DXCC desk? How can they grant it? They have no authority over Operation Restore Hope, me, nor Somalia.

I just don't understand.

> > Thus, no amateur operation from Somalia can be legal?
>
> Other operations have counted throughout the years. Did yours?

I don't know, but how could it? No one had the authority to authorize it.

Who
> granted permission to operate for those accepted operations?

My commander.

Do you
> think the ops from the accredited operations knew if their operation was
> accepted for DXCC credit?

I can't speak for them. The other operator, a marine, seemed to have everything figured out. He went to places like that all the time, and had his ham/mars shack palletized, ready for deployment. He ran phone patches on 20M and MARS for his troops.

Do you think they spent a lot of time
> describing quality of Somalian sand?
>
> > Dave, your boat just left.
>
> Damn! I so enjoy a nice boat ride. Was it one of those downriver trips
> to Cincinnati with stops at that possible DXCC entity Blennerhasset
> Island? It's nice that you always take us for a ride Brian.

I hope is was coincident with the IOTA contest.

2798. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 18:26:25 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uko816i0invt55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50612

And, of course, hopefully they will make the written test easier. The way I take it, as long as you can spell your name and can put your address down properly, you get the license. Oh, maybe 50% will be passing (I've seen that proposed). I hope you're up to it.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dracula" <cw@death.com> wrote in message
news:200283-25830-836996@foorum.com...
>
>
> That smells the coffin for CW coders ... hi hi hi
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2799. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 19:51:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aihc7c$3kt$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50613

Why do these 'no coder' types (whatever a no coder is) think that the use of CW will magically go away if/when the ITU decides not to make it a licensing requirement?

You 'no coder' types may need to re-evaluate your position when the 'coder' types just continue to march on not giving two hootes in hell if you like it or not.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uko816i0invt55@corp.supernews.com...
> And, of course, hopefully they will make the written test easier. The way
I
> take it, as long as you can spell your name and can put your address down
> properly, you get the license. Oh, maybe 50% will be passing (I've seen
> that proposed). I hope you're up to it.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Dracula" <cw@death.com> wrote in message
> news:200283-25830-836996@foorum.com...
> >
> >
> > That smells the coffin for CW coders ... hi hi hi
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> > More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/
>
>

2800. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 21:47:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TbY29.72$wx3.3819677@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50614

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uko816i0invt55@corp.supernews.com...
> And, of course, hopefully they will make the written test easier. The way
I
> take it, as long as you can spell your name and can put your address down
> properly, you get the license. Oh, maybe 50% will be passing (I've seen
> that proposed). I hope you're up to it.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

So then why is thae ARRL charging $25 for a study manual with nothing in it?

2801. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 21:49:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wdY29.74$oy3.3874036@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50615

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:aihc7c$3kt$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Why do these 'no coder' types (whatever a no coder is) think that the use
of
> CW will magically go away if/when the ITU decides not to make it a
licensing
> requirement?

No more than SSTV or RTTY will go away.

> You 'no coder' types may need to re-evaluate your position when the
'coder'
> types just continue to march on not giving two hootes in hell if you like
it
> or not.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Can there be only one drummer to march to?

2802. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 21:57:03 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020803175703.07987.00001131@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 50616

The Ham radio awards do not emphacize the skill involved.Ham Radio is now a lame hobby. Thats why I am not a "Ham". I feel that "Joker" has some valid points.

>Because it's a lame award. There is no control of any kind about the power
>used by hams. Most hams use 5 or 10 times the legal power to smash people on
>pile-ups and obtain their DXCC. Nobody cares. Ham-radio is became a war of
>amps.

The award has the wrong emphasis. There are WAS, DXCC, ragchewing, elmer awards. About the only thing that counts now is volume. Quantity over quality. One should not feel proud over that. The awards should be about setup time, amps, weather conditions, propogation conditions. These awards do not even exist.

>The real ham spririt has disapeared, there is no more friendship on the
>overcrowded bands (like the 6m band during an opening) because there are too
>much hams considering ham-radio not as a hobby but like a war "Oh he got a
>3.5 kW amp, I will get a 6 kW soon !".

Perhaps the "real" Ham spirit is surfacing, not dissappearing? I do not care about history. All I know is Ham Radio is lame NOW.

That's the spirit now : to have the
>bigger equipment and to collect awards to put on the walls of his shack and
>have his picture in QST.

Thats the real Ham spirit. QST articles give a false sence of adventure and show how lame Ham Radio really is. Probably very few non Hams actually read QST, so this doesn't really matter. It makes Hams feel good to have this "accomplishment".

>Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood the call
>and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you have missed.

Thanks.

>and when you think that
>EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !

Funny how you should mention this last, since it is so obviously factually incorrect. However, I feel your point is valid.

2803. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 23:54:33 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0208032349400.8040-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 50617

On Sat, 3 Aug 2002, Phil Kane wrote:
>On Fri, 02 Aug 2002 19:50:59 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>>So, are you in fact saying that although there is a requirement to
>>keep "station records" but since the term itself is undefined with
>>respect to the amateur service, that it is a complete nullity?
>
> Not at all. Please see my earlier post which lists some items
> which - IF REQUITED TO BE KEPT - constitute "station records"
>
> It matters not whether they are kept in a file box marked :Station
> Records" or in an old Ritz Crackers box.
>
> No licensee is required to have such items UNLESSS it spplies to him
> or her.
>
>>What is wrong with the common sense approach that such a requirement
>>would clearly include a license history and correspondence from the
>>agency?
>
> I advovate retention of such material but the Rules do not REQUIRE
> it. There's big difference between "required" and "voluntary" records.
>
> Wanna' change the Rules to make record-keeping more burdensome for
> every amateur? Remember Bill Cross' warning.....
>
>>(Oh, I forgot; You're a lawyer, and common sense and the law never work
>>together!) :-)
>
> They work much closer than you would imagine.

Maybe in the Communications Act, but not in the Internal Revenue Code, where many things are defined as exceptions to other things. "Except" seems to be Congress' most frequent word in that Title of the U.S. Code. :-)

Well, you asked, "which agencies I hang around...." In that arena, "adequate records" has been defined by case law history where statute or regulation failed to.

>>> I don't know what Federal agencies you hang around with, but in FCC
>>> practice if one is not required to do something by rule or statute,
>>> one cannot be penalized for not doing it.
>>
>>But the issue is whether or not there is a requirement. One regulation says
>>that there is, but by omission of a subsequent regulation, there is nothing
>>defined for the first regulation to apply to.

>
> Do you remember what happened to the tiger in "Little Black Sambo" ?

I am not familiar with that reference.

2804. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 23:55:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aihqh5bkf1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50618

Just trashed the rest. This says it all right here.

So shut the hell up then. It don't even concern you.

Dan/W4NTI

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020803175703.07987.00001131@mb-mk.aol.com...

I am not a "Ham".

2805. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-03 23:58:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aihqmoqru1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50619

Apparantly so Brian. Well I gotta run. I am working the North American
QSO Party on 40 CW

(Thats coder for screw loose there) Only have about 140 worked so far.

And thats with 100 watts and wires BTW to all those power freaks, whatever
that is.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:wdY29.74$oy3.3874036@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message>

Can there be only one drummer to march to?
>
>

2806. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 02:18:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020803221809.26965.00000376@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 50620

In article <3D49F9A8.7C1BFAAC@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> I had no choice when it came to being tested with essay questions
>> and circuit diagrams. That was before my time, literally. However, I
>> grew up and became a ham in time to beat the reduced code/VE-testing/
>> question pool/rote-memorized answer rap.
>
>And today the current prospective ham doesn't have a choice
>either, so would you rather they not become hams so we don't have
>these "dumbed down" hams on the air?

I've never said that, JJ -- it's just that a lot of people like Brian and Kim
have assumed it. And we all know what happens when we assume, don't
we? What I do in this newsgroup is respond to other newsgroup
participants who make postings criticizing the Morse code or the (soon
to be former) Morse code testing requirements. In my real-world
experience with real-life hams who are real people and not just words
on a computer screen, they generally don't whine and complain about
code testing. Those who do, I deal with in different ways, depending on
whether or not they are willing and/or able to discuss the matter in a
satisfactory manner. My responses have ranged from convincing them
to learn the code to warning them not to let the door hit them in the
ass on their way out (of the ARS). For those who haven't bothered to
make an issue of whining and complaining about something of which
they know absolutely nothing, I have been perfectly willing to accept them
as people who had a right to take advantage of existing licensing
requirements and the privileges they gain therefrom. Along the way,
I've used a lot of wry humor and hyperbole. For those who have not
understood my meaning, on or between the lines, I would suggest some
remedial education in the use of the English language.

>What if the older generation
>of hams who took the much harder essay tests said that about us
>who came later?

That is precisely what a lot of the "older generation" hams have done --
on the internet and elsewhere. To the extent that they have been the
instigators of untoward behavior in the code/no-code debate, I don't
consider them to be any better than the NCTA's who accused me of
"ethnic cleansing" or not being "inclusive."

>If you were deciding today to get a ham license
>would you refuse to do so because the tests are much easier now?

No. Why should I?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2807. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 02:21:02 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aii31s$b6$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50621

Thanks Phil,

I was overly broad in my comment.

So, since none of those items listed apply to me, I have
zilch records to keep.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h08lbr3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 2 Aug 2002 10:48:36 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >> If there is no spec on what station records are to contain, then what
> >> records are there that an inspector can expect to inspect?
> >
> >Phil answered this in another post. In a nutshell,
> >there are NO specific records required for amy station
> >licensed under Part 97.
>
> Maybe I was misinterpreted. Part 97 does not set forth a list of
> items that ALL licensees are required to keep as "station records"
> but there are items called out that must be maintained as part of
> "station records" only if they apply to specific licensees:
>
> Designation of another licensed operator as a control operator
> per 97.103(b).
>
> Measurements and determination of RF Exposure if operating in
> excess of the limits specified in 97.13(c)
>
> Special records required by order of the FCC District Director
> (formerly called EIC) or equivalent Resident Agent as set forth in
> Section 97.103(c) and as delegated in Section 0.314(j) as
> necessary to resolve conditions of interference or deficient
> technical operation.
>
> Contents of communications transmitted by Spread Spectrum emission
> if so required by the District Director or Resident Agent per Section
> 97.311(c)(3).
>
> Records required to be kept in accordance with the terms of a
> Special Temporary Authorization or a Rule Waiver or Grant of
> License or similar Order issued to a specific licensee for a

> specific reason.
>
> Hope that clears up some of the confusion.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2808. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 02:57:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D4CC230.5030005@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50622

Vshah101 wrote:

<All the good stuf kept, the rest snipped>

If you dislike the ARS so much, why do you bother with us?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2809. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 03:18:45 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0ak248.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50623

On Sat, 03 Aug 2002 04:40:14 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>> >OK, now its time for a WAG. Barksdale?
>>
>> Strike Two.

>Mildenhall?

Strike Three - yer' out.

It was Sidi Slimain AFB in North Africa, a B-47 base that we
left in the early 60s when '52s came into service and '47s were
retired.

ROTs remember that base......<ggg>

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2810. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 03:18:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0akqu9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50624

On Sat, 03 Aug 2002 23:54:33 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>> Do you remember what happened to the tiger in "Little Black Sambo" ?
>
>I am not familiar with that reference.

Look it up in the Children's Section of your local library.

It's a child's story from India about 100 years old, very popular
when I was a pre-schooler but probably out of favor for the last 40
years or so because of the incorrect association of "Black Sambo"
as a derogatory term for American Negro / Afro-American which is not
the case at all.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2811. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 03:21:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020803232102.03826.00001189@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50625

>Subject: Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 08/03/02 17:57 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020803175703.07987.00001131@mb-mk.aol.com>

>The Ham radio awards do not emphacize the skill involved.Ham Radio is now a
>lame hobby.

Another PUTZ who has NO VESTED INTEREST in Amateur Radio, no EXPERIENCE in Amateur Radio, and no REASON TO BE HERE excpet to antagonize and harrass. probably another "professional radio engineer" in southern California.

>Thats why I am not a "Ham". I feel that "Joker" has some valid
>points.

Yes, they DO "emphacize" (you need to check your spelling if yo are going to insult with it...) skill. I have DXCC and I can tell you not one single contact was made with more than 100 watts nor an antenna greater than an Inverted Vee or Dipole. It was NOT that easy.

And you're NOT a "Ham" because you can't hack it...nothing more, nothing less.

>The award has the wrong emphasis. There are WAS, DXCC, ragchewing, elmer
>awards.

What in the Blue Blazes are you babbling about? Are you drunk while you spew out this stuff? Do you intentionally write in doublespeak or are you just an idiot? (I lean towards your being an idiot)

>About the only thing that counts now is volume. Quantity over
>quality.

If you're NOT an Amateur and DO NOT PARTICIPATE in these awards, from what INFORMED position do you make such ignorant assertions?

>One should not feel proud over that. The awards should be about setup time,
>amps, weather conditions, propogation conditions. These awards do not even
>exist.

"Set up times"...???

"Amps"...???

"Weather conditions"...???

"Propogation (sic) conditions"...???

WHAT AN IDIOT!

Hey Lennie....YOU GOT COMPANY, PUTZMASTER!

>Perhaps the "real" Ham spirit is surfacing, not dissappearing? I do not care
>about history. All I know is Ham Radio is lame NOW.

From what INFORMED position can you make such assertions? You are unlicensed which means you cannot participate in ANY awards programs save for a couple by CQ magazine which allow "SWL" participation.

Does yor mommie and daddie know you are playing with the computer, "vshah"...???

Anonymous PUTZ!

>Thats the real Ham spirit. QST articles give a false sence of adventure and
>show how lame Ham Radio really is.

For something supposedly offering a "false sence" (check that spelling, dungbreath), there are quite a few folks participating in it, in the US and world wide, not to mention the US Space program which incorporates it into the Shuttle and ISS.

>Probably very few non Hams actually read
>QST, so this doesn't really matter.

Why in the world would "non Hams" read QST unless they have a specific interest in Amateur Radio..??? That would be like an animal hater getting a subscription to "Cat Fancy".

>It makes Hams feel good to have this
>"accomplishment".

As a matter of fact, I DO feel proud to have done it. So what? I did it and YOU (and other unlicensed putzii) have not.

>>Most of the "DX" are done by clusters. No need to have understood the call
>>and locator, just look at the cluster and ask the guy what you have missed.
>
>Thanks.

Your point? That there are always those who have to do things backhanded? There are scumbags like that in EVERY walk of life. Get over it.

>>and when you think that
>>EVERY state in USA is a DXCC entity, it's totally a joke !
>
>Funny how you should mention this last, since it is so obviously factually
>incorrect. However, I feel your point is valid.

How in the world can a point be "valid" when the "facts" are not..???

What a jerk.

2812. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 03:23:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020803232302.26965.00000392@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 50626

In article <200283-25830-836996@foorum.com>, Dracula <cw@death.com> writes:

>That smells the coffin for CW coders ... hi hi hi

Drac:

What if the CW testing requirement *isn't* eliminated at the next WRC?
What if the FCC (or your country's equalivalent, if you're not in the USA)
decides *not* to "sunset" the code testing requirement along with the
ITU RR requirement? I wouldn't be so quick to be smelling coffins if I
were you, Drac -- the stench of rotten flesh may be your own!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2813. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 03:23:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020803232302.26965.00000391@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 50627

In article <uknvmmfuh931ea@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> Kim:
>>
>> It's all a matter of what they are doing in ham radio *after* taking
>advantage
>> of the existing licensing system. If they are in here whining about my
>> "attitude," then they are going to get slam-dunked in my inimitable style.
>> If they are out there working to win the Brendan Trophy, then they're not
>> going to draw my fire. It's as simple as that.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT

>Well, since you're so dismayed by the 2M band, guess you'll have to "draw
>fire" against yourself.
>
>The pitiful thing you will never realize, Larry, is that most reasonable
>people who read anything you have posted in this newsgroup will realize that
>you are pretty much dead-set against being friendly in any way,

Kim:

Unfriendly? Moi? Shirley, you jest! Compared to the NCTA's who
accused me of "ethnic cleansing" and not being "inclusive," I think I'm
a downright friendly sort of guy!

>and the only
>thing that motivates you is whatever is "in it" for Larry.

What is "in it" for me as far as participating in this NG is concerned,
Kim -- other than a raging case of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome?

>It's also very
>obvious that you take all your perceived life's failures out on the whole
>rest of the world and that it's anyone's fault but your own.

Which "failures" would those be, Kim? I've had some problems, but
who hasn't? My life is just fine by me -- but thanks for your concern!

>So, due to the fact that you are too incoherent to understand that "drawing
>your fire" is the most miniscule of concern to anyone,

Kim, don't look now, but I don't axe people if they're concerned about
"drawing my fire." If they say something stupid in this NG, I slam-dunk
'em, quite gratuitously. (That means I didn't bother axing their permission
to do so!)

>you go on about
>flooding yourself in your own grandeur.

Don't look now, Kim, but you're the one who is constantly bragging about being a single-handed Emergency Communications Team in her local area, and went to the trouble to send Riley Hollingsworth an E-mail in which you attempted to get him to agree with you that you had a Constitutional right to select your call sign. He agreed with you, all right --
then slam-dunked you for your poor judgment by making the now immortal observation that you are taking the ARS "…one step closer to extinction." YOU are the one who is seeking glory in ham radio, YOU are the one who is trying to place herself in the role of being a "leader" in ham radio. However, you cannot handle the likes of me in this NG, and can come up with nothing better than pathetic attempts to turn my own words back on me, such as the above, usually punctuated with one of your classic, scathing "ROFLMAO's."

>You are the only one who believes
>what you say--everyone else gets a huge laugh out of you...

So you say, Kim -- only I think you've got it backwards, as usual. If anyone's laughing at me, they're probably holding their noses while trying to endure your pathetically puerile postings.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2814. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 03:53:45 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020803235345.26965.00000393@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 50628

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h0akqu9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>
> Look it up in the Children's Section of your local library.
>
> It's a child's story from India about 100 years old, very popular
> when I was a pre-schooler but probably out of favor for the last 40
> years or so because of the incorrect association of "Black Sambo"
> as a derogatory term for American Negro / Afro-American which is not
> the case at all.

I remember there used to be a restaurant chain called "Sambo's." I
could never figure out how they could get away with a name like that,
considering the knee-jerk reaction most blacks have to it. Sambo's
restaurants have obvioulsy disappeared as a chain, but does anyone
know what happpened to them?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2815. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 03:53:46 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020803235346.26965.00000394@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 50629

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h0ak248.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>
>>> >OK, now its time for a WAG. Barksdale?
>>>
>>> Strike Two.
>
>>Mildenhall?

Ah, Mildenhall! Spend two of the best and worst years of my AF career there! I was the NCOIC of Passenger Service at the MAC Passenger Terminal. I'd arrive to work at 0400 after driving the 3 miles from my house in downtown Mouldyhoule essentially by feel. I'd then walk out on the aircraft parking ramp behind the terminal building, hold out my hand, and instead of touching the face of God, it would disappear in the fog! The World Airways DC-10 Cat-B flight from the states, usually originating from Charleston or St. Louis, would be due in at 0600, and were it not for the fact that MHZ is a CAT III airfield, would never have a chance of arriving on shedule. Of course, sometimes the plane wasn't equipped for CAT III, or the airfield's equipment was down, and we'd end up twiddling our thumbs while waiting for it to get in. Oh well. I'd do anything to be able to re-live those two years -- even put up with my idiot of a boss.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2816. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:06:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D4CD276.5030007@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50630

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Yes, they DO "emphacize" (you need to check your spelling if yo are going
> to insult with it...) skill. I have DXCC and I can tell you not one single
> contact was made with more than 100 watts nor an antenna greater than an
> Inverted Vee or Dipole. It was NOT that easy.
>
> And you're NOT a "Ham" because you can't hack it...nothing more, nothing
> less.

Hey, Steve, let's steal the trolls thread! Your post reminded me of something I noted during field day which may be of interest.

I was running the GOTA station, and one interesting thing I found was that a number of the prospective hams thought it was "too hard" to work, one of the kids referring to it as a "toy station". They liked the other stations that we used, which were running a cool Kilowatt. Pick a frequency, camp out and you be the man! Since this was what they had at the other stations, mine seemed to be too much for them.

Another member became control operator for a while, and worked fo a couple hours with his son. It was a joy to watch as he guided him through the ins and outs of working with lower power, showing him how to operate intelligently and how sometimes you wait a few seconds before transmitting in a pileup. All those little things that make it possible to work stations effectively. And learning a valuable skill.

The adults and kids who thought my station was so difficult to work were only showing their ignorance of the situation. The kilowatt stations working relatively locally skewed their perspective.

I would challenge the trolls to produce a DXCC award in let's say one month? Working with say 100 watts? After all, it is sooooo easy. Whattya say? Heck, I'll give them two months.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2817. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:11:48 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8Q139.17801$pg2.1438263@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50631

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020803235345.26965.00000393@mb-fp.aol.com...
> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h0akqu9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil
Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
> >
> > Look it up in the Children's Section of your local library.
> >
> > It's a child's story from India about 100 years old, very popular
> > when I was a pre-schooler but probably out of favor for the last 40
> > years or so because of the incorrect association of "Black Sambo"
> > as a derogatory term for American Negro / Afro-American which is not
> > the case at all.
>
> I remember there used to be a restaurant chain called "Sambo's." I
> could never figure out how they could get away with a name like that,
> considering the knee-jerk reaction most blacks have to it. Sambo's
> restaurants have obvioulsy disappeared as a chain, but does anyone
> know what happpened to them?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

They bellied up big time. The wife of a friend worked in one; the manager
was called about 8 PM, the place closed and everyone was told to go home,
the door was locked and the bankruptcy receiver wrapped things up in a few
days.

2818. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:15:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804001501.02053.00000156@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 50632

In article <20020801232649.14884.00000351@mb-fc.aol.com>, BurkhaBoy@aol.com (Larrah Victorian) writes:

>>What was sent the first time was a good quality JPG-format photograph
>>of a converted-to-firefighting B-26 aircraft over a forest. I can send that
>>one to anyone who is interested in aircraft-as-obscene-objects. :-)
>
>WITH someone "mooning" the camera from the cockpit. IOW, Lennie,
>an obscene photograph. You're nothing more than a third-rate Internet
>pornographer.

No one was mooning the camera from the cockpit, Larrah.

2819. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:15:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804001502.02053.00000157@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 50633

In article <d22b54c3.0208020428.3bdfebbc@posting.google.com>,
sweetums@vhs.dvd.lp.com writes:

>Guess how far the
>putz would get with my boss in an interview if he came off with "But I
>can program a PIC chip . . . !"

I wonder how far sweetums would get in an interview for a radio
engineering position at Rockwell-Collins, Harris, General Dynamics
Fort Lauderdale, Motorola, Nokia, Yaesu, or Icom with his box of
PLCs?

Sweetums hasn't seen Microchip's product output or monetary
input and couldn't program an interrupt to service an A-to-D
strobe to display a signal strength if it bit him.

No problem. He is loud, proud, unbowed and still thinks WW2
jeeps have 28 V batteries. :-)

2820. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:15:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804001503.02053.00000158@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 50634

In article <20020802014335.09248.00000990@mb-mf.aol.com>, Gargle-Elmo Macaroni (FamousExMilitaryPerson@aol.com) writes:

>>Incorrect. Paul Mantz was NOT "in retirement." The "reconstructed aircraft" >>was NOT designed by any model airplane designer...but rather as a one-of-a->>kind experimental aircraft built by Tallmantz Aviation then based at Orange >>County Airport, CA (now renamed "John Wayne Airport").
>
> Do you REALLY enjoy embarrassing yourself in public, Oh Putzy One?
>
> The "reconstructed aircraft" WAS "The Phoenix", Lennie.

"Reconstructed" only in the sense that a real, flying aircraft was designed to approximate that of the original novelist's idea. There were at least two transport aircraft that resembled the novel's crashed aircraft, but the rebuilt (from crash parts) aircraft never existed before the movie company started.

Novelist and mystery writer Elleston Trevor wrote the novel (published 1964) which was adapted for the motion picture released in 1965. HarperCollins was the publisher and a shorter form was in Reader's Digest Condensed Books.

> MAYBE if you had watched the movie, you'd know what we were talking >about.

I was privileged to watch it first-run, met at least one cast member and several of the location production crew, plus two members of Tallmantz Aviation that built the movie aircraft.

> And IN the movie, one of the characters, a German fellow whose name >escapes me, develops a plan to rebuild part of the crashed twin engine plane >into a single engine plane.

If Gargle-Elmo had seen the movie he would have recognized an excellent German actor, Hardy Kruger, in the role of Heinrich Dorfmann, one of the fictional passengers stranded in the Libyan desert.

> During the course of the story, it's found out that he's not a "real" >aircraft engineer...He designs model aircraft.

Aeronautical physics are scaleable up and down from "real." That's why wind tunnels use scale, miniature aircraft to test aerodynamics before a full-scale aircraft is made. Aerodynamic principles hold for all aircraft, small or large.

The story hinges on the ignorant non-aeronautical readers and audience keying to the depreciating "toy" or "model" aircraft. That is absolutely necessary to introduce conflict between characters in the story, to build suspense. The character of Dorfmann tries to explain that aeronautical principles apply to big aircraft the same as little aircraft...which pilot Frank (James Stewart) doesn't believe at all until the end of the movie (see final scenes of survivors Frank and Heinrich walking together towards the wadi).

The entire novel, movie, and even the mythical bird, the phoenix, are all fiction. The mythical bird didn't exist, there was no parallel of a real crashed aircraft being rebuilt to a flyable version and flown to safety. It is very entertaining fiction, but it is still fiction.

There is a parallel with the fiction of "CW is necessary in a test because a simple radio could be constructed in the event of a disaster." It is myth, fiction, an often-told tale which has no basis in reality. There is no evidence that it has ever been done under such conditions but the myth has an emotional comfort to it, most satisfying to those vehemently arguing in favor of the code test. It is brain candy for the ignorant.

> Just ONE MORE glaring bit of evidence of what a stupid, demaning and
>arrogant PUTZ you reallya re.
>
> Sheeeeeesh.......

Gargle-Elmo is in usual form, unable to recall proper facts on a subject, freely substituting fiction for truth, emotion for logic..

2821. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:24:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4CAC94.5D46D198@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50635

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4BD862.793E988D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D4B5CC7.7F390CC2@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D4AE07B.D21622A@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D4AAA22.D33FFD54@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D497DB1.B8226A00@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:3D48C46E.EF72B6D4@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > I'm not worried about KH2D. What about your
> > > > operations
> > > > > not
> > > > > > > > > counting
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > toward DXCC?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Which ones?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Let's start with the ones you meant when you wrote
> > > "Otherwise
> > > > > it
> > > > > > > won't
> > > > > > > > > > > > count as my other DX activities haven't counted."
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You tell me. Have you submitted my QSL cards to the

> desk?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Didn't need it. Didn't work you. Whether or not I
> submitted
> > > > > cards
> > > > > > > > has
> > > > > > > > > > > nothing to do with it. Those who needed T5, worked and
> QSL'd
> > > you
> > > > > > > would
> > > > > > > > > > > be hurt if your operation wasn't accepted.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > How does it "hurt?"
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Surely you can figure this one out. They've wasted time,
> effort,
> > > QSL
> > > > > > > > > cards, postage and envelopes to obtain a card which is good
> for
> > > > > > nothing.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave, wake up! I QSL 100%. I was there, I operated, I QSLd.
> They
> > > have
> > > > > > > > confirmation of the very contact they had with me. No Hoax.
> > > Perhaps
> > > > > > > you
> > > > > > > > > > should take your problems up with the DXCC desk.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I acknowledged that folks obtained your worthless card when I
> stated,
> > > > > > > > "to obtain a card which is good for nothing". I didn't state that
> the
> > > > > > > > contact was a hoax. I believe you were there. I believe you made
> > > > > > > > contacts on the ham bands. I believe you QSL'd. I....uh...don't
> > > > > > > > believe your operation was approved for DXCC credit. You claim
> you
> > > > > > > > don't know. You, as a self-proclaimed DXer, should certainly take
> > > time
> > > > > > > > to find out.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ...and to that point and that point only do your QSLs do "the same as
> > > > > any other cards does".
> > > > >
> > > > Its got the American Flag on it, too.
> > >
> > Oh? Do operations from Somalia now fall under Part 97? ;)

>
> It fell under Pres George Bush and the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Neither the President nor the Joint Chiefs issue amateur radio licenses.

> They called it Operation Restore Hope.

> > > > > > > > > > You'd surely know one way or
> > > > > > > > > > the other if T5/N0IMD counted or not. If it didn't,
> that'd be
> > > > > > minus >
> > > > > > > > > one on the way to 330.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > There are others. Be patient my World Class DXer.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > As I've stated, I don't need T5. Many others do. I can see
> how
> > > > > > they'd
> > > > > > > > > have to be patient after working a bootlegger whose QSO's
> don't
> > > count
> > > > > > > > toward DXCC. So much for your oft-proclaimed ethics and
> morality.
> > > I
> > > > > > > > think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that
> the
> > > term
> > > > > > > > you keep using?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave, no double standard. Its hard to so something illegal
> where
> > > there
> > > > > > are
> > > > > > > > no civil laws. I was there under orders, and I obtained
> permission
> > > > > > > > from my superiors to operate. Get over it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sounds like a familiar excuse. It appears that you obtained
> > > permission
> > > > > > > > from one who had no authority to grant it. You should have asked
> > > > > > > > earlier, Brian. I'd have been happy to grant you authority to
> operate
> > > > > > > > from Somalia. I hereby give you permission to operate from Mount
> > > Athos.
> > > > > > > > Tell 'em I said it was okay.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Uh, Dave, you have no such authority, or do you?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Precisely my point, Brian. I do not have any more authority to grant

> > > > you operating permission from Mount Athos than did the fellow who gave
> > > > you permission to operate from T5.
> > >
> > > He was the commander. You were not. The on-scene commander makes the
> > > decisions. You go decide to work more hams out of band.
> >
> > Commander of what? Commander of amateur radio operations?
>
> Commander of me and everything I did.

Even during four years of Air Force active duty, I never had a commander
of me and everything I did.

> > > > > By working French Hams out
> > > > > of band, after having been informed they were out of band, by >
> > > participation
> > > > > in the QSO you apparently thought you had the authority to grant
> them
> > > > > permission to operate out of band.
> > > >
> > > > I had no authority to grant and I certainly don't license French hams.
> > > > As far as I know, only the French government can discipline French
> radio
> > > > amateurs. I operated my station where I was permitted to operate.
> > > > Your moral and ethical authority has, by the way, just expired.
> > >
> > > Why do you say that?
> >
> > In the interests of moral and ethical justice.
>
> You're certainly no judge.

Funny, I could swear that you were just making moral and ethical
judgements of my actions a very few days back.

> > > > > And, apparently you've never been to a piece of real estate that has
> >
> > > no governing authority.
> > > >
> > > > I've never operated from such a place. Some hams have and their
> > > > operations were accepted for DXCC credit. Perhaps you've already read
> > > > the history of some of those operations.
> > >
> > > And?
> >
> > And you, the would-be DXer might learn something. Then again...
>
> Perhaps you are planning a trip to Somalia? I understand we (the USA) might
> be going in again to fight Al Kaida. Maybe you could ride their coat tails
> as some kind of CW order wire hotshot.

No, I'm not going to Somalia. You must have misunderstood my
qualifications. I ran communications centers. I don't care if they use
CW, RTTY, satellite terminals, SSB or leased lines.

> > > > > My DX operation from Somalia is unique. That's why a 12.63 second
> > > > > QSO wasn't sufficient.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd imagine that you had to take a lot more time explaining to each
> > > > > fellow you worked that the card was not good for DXCC credit.
> > > >
> > > > Without having one of my cards, just how do you know its not good for
> > > > credit?
> > >
> > > Have you asked anyone at the DXCC desk?
> >
> No, I never have. I don't know that they are accepted or rejected.

A DXer would know if his operations are accepted or rejected. You claim
to be a DXer.

> Maybe I'm going on what you've
> > provided: 1) That your operations have not counted 2) That you don't
> > know if your operations counted. Pick a story you like. Maybe I have
> > insider information and am using it to further embarrass and discredit
> > you.
> >
> Dave, I've no corner on the embarassment market.

C'mon, Brian--Don't sell yourself short.

> It's happened plenty of times in my life. Disappointment, too.

Of these, I have little doubt.

> But then, there is happiness, pride
> of accomplishment, ...
> >
> Welcome to the land of the living.

Been there. Done that. Still wearing the T-shirt.

> > > > > You'll never understand that because you'll
> > > > > never be faced with such a situation.
> > > > >
> > > > > It has yet to be determined whether I'll ever be faced with such a
> > > > > situation or whether I have the ability to understand it if I should
> > > > > encounter it.
> > > >
> > > > It has yet to be determined. Yet... you seem to know all about it, Oh
> > > > Supreme Master of DX.
> > >
> > > What's to know--that there was action by the U.S. military in Somalia?
> >
> As if you'd been there.

No, As if I'd worked in a State Department Comm Center, read the papers
and newsmagazines, listened to radio and watched TV. Was it supposed to
be a secret? I'm aware that you were in Somalia. I asked if your
operation was accepted for DXCC. You say that you, the DXer, don't
know.

> > > If there had been a Ministry of Communications, like the places you
> > > go to, I would have been more than happy to wipe off my boots at the
> > > door, clear my weapon, and go in side to fill out the proper >
> paperwork.
> >
> > I've been in places where there was plenty of gunfire.
>
> That's when we execute a NEO and get you guys out.

I didn't run into you in Vietnam and don't recall seeing you anywhere
else.

> I've also been
> > in places where it would have made little difference if you wiped your
> > boots or not. Nobody could have told the difference.
>
> Ha! I believe that!
>
> > > But there was not a Ministry of Communications.
> >
> > Was there no Ministry or was it a case of nobody being home?
>
> Moslem country. I think all the ministers fled.

Don't the ministers flee in Christian countries?

> > > And you say the
> > > on-scene commander has no authority to authorize what one of his
> > > soldiers, sailors, or airmen does?
> >
> > No, I say that a commander might grant authorization which is not his to
> > grant. I say that higher-ups might not approve of such authorization.
> > I say that the DXCC desk might not credit such operation. What has the
> > DXCC desk said about your operation from Somalia? Have you found if it
> > counts or not?
>
> Dave, if you say my commander has no authority to grant authorization, > what is it to
the DXCC desk?

To the DXCC desk, it counts or doesn't.

> How can they grant it?

They don't grant it. They accept it or reject it.

> They have no authority over
> Operation Restore Hope, me, nor Somalia.

No, they have authority over the DXCC program.

> I just don't understand.

I gather that.

> > > Thus, no amateur operation from Somalia can be legal?
> >
> > Other operations have counted throughout the years. Did yours?
>
> I don't know, but how could it? No one had the authority to authorize it.
>
> Who
> > granted permission to operate for those accepted operations?
>
> My commander.

Your commander? Over all of them through any number of years? How did
he do that?

> > Do you
> > think the ops from the accredited operations knew if their operation was
> > accepted for DXCC credit?
>
> I can't speak for them. The other operator, a marine, seemed to have
> everything figured out. He went to places like that all the time, and had
> his ham/mars shack palletized, ready for deployment. He ran phone patches
> on 20M and MARS for his troops.

MARS QSOs don't count for DXCC credit.

Dave K8MN

2822. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:34:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D4CAE8E.9B6971B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 50636

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >Brian P Burke wrote:
> >>
>
> >> Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't counted.
>
> >Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that what
> >you're saying?
>
> I will ask the ARRL DXCC desk why Brian's DXing activies haven't counted.

No secret there. It's the same reason all his passed tests have done him
little good. He's all mouth and no action.

2823. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:35:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D4CAEEC.2A0D80B8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 50637

W1RFI wrote:

> Can't you just admit that it was a stupid thing to stay instead of dragging
> this on and on?

No, he's just another Len, with a little bit of a license.

2824. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:39:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D4CAFC4.7A313A12@townsqr.com>
Source record: 50638

W1RFI wrote:

> From: "Bob Haviland" bobh@iag.net
>
> >There is a reason for requiring CW which seems to be neglected. A person
> >with just a little training can convert virtually any old radio into an
> >emergency transmitter. No complicated computer, keyboard, bug, key, etc is
> >needed, just two bare wires touched together, or even one bare end touched
> >to the supply (battery, etc). True, the range may not be great and the info
> >transfer speed low, but the method is tere when all else has been destroyed
> >in some disaster.
>
> If the tornado scatters your shack over a 1/2-mile radius, are you really
> telling us that you could cobble together a CW transmitter? Many hams would
> have some difficulty doing that on their workbench.

Why do so many totally miss the point? When a ham knows how to use CW the opportunities to communicate are numerous far beyond those who cannot. Bob merely notes an extreme example. There are many others.

2825. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:42:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804004225.03784.00001008@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50639

>Subject: Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/04/02 00:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020804001501.02053.00000156@mb-fe.aol.com>

>No one was mooning the camera from the cockpit, Larrah.

That they were "mooning" from ANY place in a crewed aircraft was
inappropriate.

And that you sent THAT in place of the documents you promised was
inappropriate, too. Not that such things are relevant to a Big Professonal"
such as yourself.

2826. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 04:50:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804005048.03784.00001010@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50640

>Subject: Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 08/04/02 00:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D4CD276.5030007@adelphia.net>

>I would challenge the trolls to produce a DXCC award in let's say one
>month? Working with say 100 watts? After all, it is sooooo easy. Whattya
>say? Heck, I'll give them two months.

I'm game! How's this...We'll set September first as the start date and
October 31st as the cut-off for a DXCC award, September 1st to September 30th
for a WAS award.

No contest periods allowed? Shall we make it a 10 meter only event to
make it fair for the Tech-plussers?

For the NCT'ers, set a VUCC award level..???

Under 100 watts and a dipole only?

We'll even set an SWL level for Lennie the Licenseless and his Band Of
Reknown?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2827. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 05:00:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804010005.03784.00001014@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50641

>Subject: Re: The Need for CW
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/04/02 00:15 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020804001503.02053.00000158@mb-fe.aol.com>

>"Reconstructed" only in the sense that a real, flying aircraft was
>designed to approximate that of the original novelist's idea.

That's the POINT, Putzhead. We were ONLY discussing the aircraft within
the context of the story...NOT the 'real' aircraft built for the movie.

>I was privileged to watch it first-run, met at least one cast member
>and several of the location production crew, plus two members
>of Tallmantz Aviation that built the movie aircraft.

Which has what to do with your erroneous context?

>> During the course of the story, it's found out that he's not a "real"
>>aircraft engineer...He designs model aircraft.
>
>Aeronautical physics are scaleable up and down from "real." That's
>why wind tunnels use scale, miniature aircraft to test aerodynamics
>before a full-scale aircraft is made. Aerodynamic principles hold for
>all aircraft, small or large.

And you call WHO "extreme literalists", Lennie?

Oh..I FORGOT...That ONLY applies to OTHERS when it suits YOUR
rants.....excuse me.

>The story hinges on the ignorant non-aeronautical readers and
>audience keying to the depreciating "toy" or "model" aircraft.

The story hinges on the audience's willingness to believe that the
characters in the plot could do what the story suggests under those
conditions...nothing more.

>It is very entertaining fiction, but it is still fiction.

As is much of what you 'pubish' here, Lennie.

>There is a parallel with the fiction of "CW is necessary in a
>test because a simple radio could be constructed in the
>event of a disaster."

HERE WE GO! Thanks Onetrack Lennie!

>Gargle-Elmo is in usual form, unable to recall proper facts on a
>subject, freely substituting fiction for truth, emotion for logic..

Pot/kettle/black. Lennie substituting his own misdeeds for others.

Sheeesh...

Putz.

2828. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 05:47:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4CA13B.C2480FD6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50642

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>
> Why do so many totally miss the point? When a ham knows how to use CW the
> opportunities to communicate are numerous far beyond those who cannot.

Excuse me? How does knowing cw increase the opportunities to
communicate in numerous ways far beyond those who do not know cw.
I give them one more way to communicate, that being via Morse
code, what are the numerous other ways?

2829. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 05:50:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4CA1EA.15CE4741@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50643

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I remember there used to be a restaurant chain called "Sambo's." I
> could never figure out how they could get away with a name like that,
> considering the knee-jerk reaction most blacks have to it. Sambo's
> restaurants have obvioulsy disappeared as a chain, but does anyone
> know what happpened to them?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Used to eat there occasionally, good place for breakfast. IIRC it
was sometime in the 70's they had to fold because of the name. Now
if there was a restaurant run by blacks called "Whiteies" do you
think they would make them close it?

2830. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 06:53:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208032253.7106de6c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50644

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<ukmivalrngv017@corp.supernews.com>...
> 'Taint my thread, but I have a LOT of feelings about A-B.
>
>
Welcome to the club!!
>
>
> The stupid things
> go beserk for almost no reason at all. You'd better not have any ground
> loops or you're lost. (don't ask how the ground loops got there. I don't
> need to take any more Rolaids).
>
>
Been there . . first they discovered that there were mysterious
voltages between columns on which the the Hoffman PLC enclosures were
mounted. OK, so they drove rods and grounded the columns . . then
there were voltage differences between ground rods . . rooms fulla
high-power AC variable frequency drives . . nightmares.
>
>
> Ladder logic is easy, but there are better
> ways of attacking a problem (usually). However, management in my company
> has determined that A-B is the only way to go (and we can't buy anything
> else).
>
>
Been there too. I was working for DuPont when the Central Engineering
PLC geniuses ("Section 8") declared it "illegal" for the plants to
specify anybody but A-B for PLCs in new systems. Bloody disaster. The
plants had heaps of GE and TI I/O machinery in place and were expected
to retrain all their shop floor sparkies to handle A-B too. Then came
the cost issues. The flap went all the way to the DuPont BoD. Within
the following three years the BoD declared Central Engineering to be
surplus baggage, dumped thousands of Dupeys on the street and sold the
site to a credit card operation. Last I heard several years ago the
plants were still buying GE and TI.
>
>
> I wonder who has stock options in A-B?
>
>
Mrs. Bradley . . .
>

>
> Guess I'll have to fire up
> the search engines and check out the Mitsubishi machines.
>
>
Nice stuff, PLC-5s-in-a-pocket.
>
>
> BTW, can I get an
> interview with your boss? I've programmed a heck of a lot of 'was' gates in
> my time .. 120/208 3 phase to Vcc ;)
>
>
Trust me, you do NOT wanna work for this guy!
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
w3rv

2831. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 07:15:35 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208032315.6823c1f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50645

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208011118.5a546e79@posting.google.com>...
> Here's another interesting page. ï¿½Pink Lightning Communicationsï¿½ï¿½ï¿½1575
> Donna Road, West Palm Beach , Fl 33409ï¿½
>
> http://www.pinklightning.com/
>
> This is a company that sells Big Rig Truck Chrome & Accesories. Some
> of the accesories they offer drivers are a variety of marine and "10
> meter" radios.
>
> Example:
>
> http://www.pinklightning.com/Ranger.htm
>
> "Rangerï¿½ RCI-6900FTBï¿½ $489.99ï¿½ Peaking&Tuning Extra Charge! Buy it
> Untuned $489.99 Or Get it Peaked&Tuned so it'll Scream! for only
> $519.99"
>
> When questioned, they reveal that "Peaking & Tuning" means they do the
> "mod" that enables the rig to transmit from 25-32 mHz.
>
> This site is only a drop in the bucket. The 10 & 12 meter band have
> turned out to be big business for the trucking industry. Manufacturers
> like Ranger, Uniden, Galaxy, etc. collaborate by providing
> clip-n-transmit CB-style radios that cover 10 & 12 meters.
>
>
The fly in the ointment which will make itself apparent in the next
few years is the current descent of the sunspot counts which will
drive the MUF down below 25 Mhz. There will be several years when all
these goobers with their "high power" ten meter reddios won't be able
to raise anybody beyond the town limits with the things except during
rare band openings. That'll pee on their parade plenty. The coded
Techs who have been enjoying the dxing of late on 10m are about to get
the same "education".
>
>
> -A
>
>
w3rv

2832. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 11:27:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D0F61.BF053B20@townsqr.com>
Source record: 50646

JJ wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> >
> > Why do so many totally miss the point? When a ham knows how to use CW the
> > opportunities to communicate are numerous far beyond those who cannot.
>
> Excuse me? How does knowing cw increase the opportunities to
> communicate in numerous ways far beyond those who do not know cw.
> I give them one more way to communicate, that being via Morse
> code, what are the numerous other ways?

You're excused. You know no more than the rest of NCI.

2833. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:19:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804081907.00629.00000849@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50647

From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net

>And, of course, hopefully they will make the written test easier. The way I
>take it, as long as you can spell your name and can put your address down
>properly, you get the license. Oh, maybe 50% will be passing (I've seen
>that proposed). I hope you're up to it.

Do you believe in what you just said enough to put a lobster dinner on it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2834. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:20:26 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804082026.00629.00000850@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50648

From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@mindspringnospam.com

>Why do these 'no coder' types (whatever a no coder is) think that the use of
>CW will magically go away if/when the ITU decides not to make it a licensing
>requirement?

Because a few of the more vocal pro-code-test folks keep repeating that mantra
everywhere they go.

Some folks on both sides seem to see the world only through a filter of doom
and gloom.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2835. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:24:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804082403.00629.00000851@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50649

From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net

>> And now that you have shown your true purpose, I no longer chose to
>> communicate with you.

>That will make two of us happy.

More than two. Others will miss the amusement.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2836. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:31:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804083109.00629.00000852@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50650

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> Let's hear your theory about why Jon is talking about Len's posts when he
>is
>> having an exchange with Len...

>Perhaps because he is having an exchange with Len?

Very good, Brian.

>But also because Jon ONLY reads posts by Len and no on else?

Does the news archive support this contention, or are you just stating whatever supports your agenda du jour? My recollection is that Jon has responded to a number of posters over years of posting. Is your recollection *really* that Jon only responds to Len?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2837. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:32:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804083228.00629.00000853@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50551

From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com

>Enjoyed the communion at Tuthill, Ed.

As did I, Cecil. Were you harmed by having dinner with all those red badge folks? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2838. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:34:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804083434.00629.00000854@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50552

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>When a ham knows how to use CW the
>opportunities to communicate are numerous far beyond those who cannot. Bob
>merely notes an extreme example. There are many others.

Then why do folks always use the extreme example.

Can you provide any examples that actually happened?

> Why do so many totally miss the point?

Because the examples are so extreme as to be impossible?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2839. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:35:35 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804083535.00629.00000855@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50553

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I give them one more way to communicate, that being via Morse
>> code, what are the numerous other ways?

> You're excused. You know no more than the rest of NCI.

Translation: Dick doesn't have a response.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2840. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:37:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804083704.00629.00000856@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50554

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ed, what are you doing in 6 land? Big move or conference?

Arizona Section convention (where I had a very enjoyable bbq dinner with Cecil), followed by a week's vacation in L.A.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2841. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:39:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804083907.00629.00000857@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50555

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>I
>think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the term
>you keep using?

Only as applied to the actions of other people...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2842. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 12:43:26 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804084326.00629.00000858@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 50556

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Dave, if you say my commander has no authority to grant authorization, what
>is it to the DXCC desk? How can they grant it? They have no authority over
>Operation Restore Hope, me, nor Somalia.
>

They have authority over the DXCC award, Brian. Why would you think anything
else?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2843. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 13:38:45 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D2E65.7BCDB8A4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50557

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >If you were deciding today to get a ham license
> >would you refuse to do so because the tests are much easier now?
>
> No. Why should I?

Because some ham like you would accuse you of being a dumbed-down,
lazy knuckle-dragger?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2844. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 13:41:30 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D2F0A.16E447FF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50558

Phil Kane wrote:
> It's a child's story from India about 100 years old, very popular
> when I was a pre-schooler but probably out of favor for the last 40
> years or so because of the incorrect association of "Black Sambo"
> as a derogatory term for American Negro / Afro-American which is not
> the case at all.

I remember a Sambo's coffee shop chain featuring tiger butter.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2845. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 13:55:59 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D326F.AFABB46F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50559

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
> >Enjoyed the communion at Tuthill, Ed.
>
> As did I, Cecil. Were you harmed by having dinner with all those red badge
> folks? :-)

Someone we know will say that nothing I post on this newsgroup matters
since I was tainted by sitting at the ARRL table and am now incapable
of posting anything except the ARRL agenda.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2846. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 14:49:00 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ukqgun2mqjo22e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50560

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020803232302.26965.00000391@mb-fp.aol.com...
> In article <uknvmmfuh931ea@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> Kim:
> >>
> >> It's all a matter of what they are doing in ham radio *after* taking
> >advantage
> >> of the existing licensing system. If they are in here whining about my
> >> "attitude," then they are going to get slam-dunked in my inimitable
style.
> >> If they are out there working to win the Brendan Trophy, then they're
not
> >> going to draw my fire. It's as simple as that.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> >Well, since you're so dismayed by the 2M band, guess you'll have to "draw
> >fire" against yourself.
> >
> >The pitiful thing you will never realize, Larry, is that most reasonable
> >people who read anything you have posted in this newsgroup will realize
that
> >you are pretty much dead-set against being friendly in any way,
>
> Kim:
>
> Unfriendly? Moi? Shirley, you jest! Compared to the NCTA's who
> accused me of "ethnic cleansing" and not being "inclusive," I think I'm
> a downright friendly sort of guy!
>
> >and the only
> >thing that motivates you is whatever is "in it" for Larry.
>
> What is "in it" for me as far as participating in this NG is concerned,
> Kim -- other than a raging case of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome?
>
> >It's also very
> >obvious that you take all your perceived life's failures out on the whole
> >rest of the world and that it's anyone's fault but your own.
>
> Which "failures" would those be, Kim? I've had some problems, but
> who hasn't? My life is just fine by me -- but thanks for your concern!

>
> >So, due to the fact that you are too incoherent to understand that
"drawing
> >your fire" is the most miniscule of concern to anyone,
>
> Kim, don't look now, but I don't axe people if they're concerned about
> "drawing my fire." If they say something stupid in this NG, I slam-dunk
> 'em, quite gratuitously. (That means I didn't bother axing their
permission
> to do so!)
>
> >you go on about
> >flooding yourself in your own grandeur.
>
> Don't look now, Kim, but you're the one who is constantly bragging about
> being a single-handed Emergency Communications Team in her local
> area, and went to the trouble to send Riley Hollingsworth an E-mail in
> which you attempted to get him to agree with you that you had a
> Constitutional right to select your call sign. He agreed with you, all
right
> --
> then slam-dunked you for your poor judgment by making the now
> immortal observation that you are taking the ARS ".one step closer to
> extinction." YOU are the one who is seeking glory in ham radio, YOU
> are the one who is trying to place herself in the role of being a "leader"
> in ham radio. However, you cannot handle the likes of me in this NG,
> and can come up with nothing better than pathetic attempts to turn my
> own words back on me, such as the above, usually punctuated with
> one of your classic, scathing "ROFLMAO's."
>
> >You are the only one who believes
> >what you say--everyone else gets a huge laugh out of you...
>
> So you say, Kim -- only I think you've got it backwards, as usual.
> If anyone's laughing at me, they're probably holding their noses while
> trying to endure your pathetically puerile postings.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Denial is just the first step, Larry...ta ta

Kim W5TIT

2847. Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:14:09 UTC
From: 20 meter clowns <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com>
Message-ID: <5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I was tooning across 75 meters last night and I could not find the Great
Liberty NitwitNet
anywhere! They seem to have been booted off their original frequency of
3.950 by a fine group
of sourmash swillin good-ole-boys from 4-land.

What gives??

Has w1wcr finally met his match like the
Republicans will be doing in November 2002
and 2004?????

Inquiring minds want to know!!

A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com

BELCCCCCHH - I want my w6nut! WHAAA! WHAAA!

http://www.435online.com/ <--(the mother of all)

http://www.n6tk.com/ <--(VERY funny recordings)

www.farts.com <--(fart noise .wav's galore for replay on 75!)

2848. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:20:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <KCb39.66$Xd7.4152459@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50562

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4CAC94.5D46D198@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ...and to that point and that point only do your QSLs do "the same
as
> > > > > > any other cards does".
> > > > >
> > > > > Its got the American Flag on it, too.
> > > >
> > > > Oh? Do operations from Somalia now fall under Part 97? ;)
> > >
> > It fell under Pres George Bush and the Joint Chiefs of Staff.
> >
> Neither the President nor the Joint Chiefs issue amateur radio licenses.

As the President is the Chief Law Enforcement Officer of the land, he is
also the Chief Issuer of Amateur Radio Lincenses in the land. As the
Commander in Chief of the US Armed Forces, he was my boss in Somalia as
well. He appointed officers to be in charge of me. He delegated much of
his authority to those officers. They made decisions for him in his
absence.

Although he did come to Somalia, he was too busy to deal with my licensing
issue.

> > They called it Operation Restore Hope.

>
> > > > > He was the commander. You were not. The on-scene commander makes
the
> > > > > decisions. You go decide to work more hams out of band.
> > > >
> > > > Commander of what? Commander of amateur radio operations?
> > >
> > Commander of me and everything I did.
> >
> Even during four years of Air Force active duty, I never had a commander
> of me and everything I did.

Then you misunderstood your contract and your Oath. Did you join the AF to
avoid the draft?

> > > Have you asked anyone at the DXCC desk?
> > >

> > No, I never have. I don't know that they are accepted or rejected.
>
> A DXer would know if his operations are accepted or rejected. You claim
> to be a DXer.

A good Airman would know that his choices in life are quite limited in a
forward deployed area.

> > Maybe I'm going on what you've
> > > provided: 1) That your operations have not counted 2) That you don't
> > > know if your operations counted. Pick a story you like. Maybe I have
> > > insider information and am using it to further embarrass and discredit
> > > you.
> > >
> > Dave, I've no corner on the embarassment market.
>
> C'mon, Brian--Don't sell yourself short.
> > > What's to know--that there was action by the U.S. military in Somalia?
> > >
> > As if you'd been there.
>
> No, As if I'd worked in a State Department Comm Center, read the papers
> and newsmagazines, listened to radio and watched TV. Was it supposed to
> be a secret? I'm aware that you were in Somalia. I asked if your
> operation was accepted for DXCC. You say that you, the DXer, don't
> know.

I'm certain of it.

> > > > If there had been a Ministry of Communications, like the places you
> > > > go to, I would have been more than happy to wipe off my boots at the
> > > > door, clear my weapon, and go in side to fill out the proper >
> > paperwork.
> > >
> > > I've been in places where there was plenty of gunfire.
> > >
> > That's when we execute a NEO and get you guys out.
>
> I didn't run into you in Vietnam and don't recall seeing you anywhere
> else.

Were you a combatant in Vietnam or a DXer? Where have you been evacuated
from?

> > I've also been
> > > in places where it would have made little difference if you wiped your
> > > boots or not. Nobody could have told the difference.
> > >
> > Ha! I believe that!
> >
> > > > But there was not a Ministry of Communications.
> > >

> > > Was there no Ministry or was it a case of nobody being home?
> >
> > Moslem country. I think all the ministers fled.
>
> Don't the ministers flee in Christian countries?

Only after a sex scandal.

> > > > And you say the
> > > > on-scene commander has no authority to authorize what one of his
> > > > soldiers, sailors, or airmen does?
> > >
> > > No, I say that a commander might grant authorization which is not his
to
> > > grant. I say that higher-ups might not approve of such authorization.
> > > I say that the DXCC desk might not credit such operation. What has
the
> > > DXCC desk said about your operation from Somalia? Have you found if
it
> > > counts or not?
> >
> > Dave, if you say my commander has no authority to grant authorization, >
what is it to the DXCC desk?
>
> To the DXCC desk, it counts or doesn't.
>
> > How can they grant it?
>
> They don't grant it. They accept it or reject it.

How can they make such a determination if there is no host country to grant
a license?

Oh Omniscient DXer Supreme, how do the others do it?

> > They have no authority over
> > Operation Restore Hope, me, nor Somalia.
>
> No, they have authority over the DXCC program.
>
> > I just don't understand.
>
> I gather that.

More to the point, if my commander cannot grant me permission to do as I
did, who can? And how does the next guy do it? Is the DXCC desk arbitrary?

> > > > Thus, no amateur operation from Somalia can be legal?
> > >
> > > Other operations have counted throughout the years. Did yours?
> >
> > I don't know, but how could it? No one had the authority to authorize

it.
> >
> > Who
> > > granted permission to operate for those accepted operations?
> >
> > My commander.
>
> Your commander? Over all of them through any number of years? How did
> he do that?

In Korea, I applied for a Korean license via the Army MARS site in Yongsan. They had streamlined the application process so I didn't have to take my boots off at the front door of the Ministry of Communications. It might have been some agreement in the SOFA.

I did have to report all communist contacts to the AF Office of Special Investigations even though my license had nothing to do with America or the Air Force.

On Guam, a USA Posession, I merely had to sign Stroke KH2 per FCC regulation. Same procedure for AFOSI.

Somalia was different than either. I didn't have a manual on what to do from a country with no government. So when all else fails, you ask your commander.

> > > Do you
> > > think the ops from the accredited operations knew if their operation
was
> > > accepted for DXCC credit?
> >
> > I can't speak for them. The other operator, a marine, seemed to have
> > everything figured out. He went to places like that all the time, and
had
> > his ham/mars shack palletized, ready for deployment. He ran phone
patches
> > on 20M and MARS for his troops.
>
> MARS QSOs don't count for DXCC credit.

Apparently, neither do my amateur contacts. Feel better?

2849. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:20:52 UTC
From: DBN <dnicholson@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ukqhiv7erprs55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Heard then on 3947.5khz and the QRN was wiping them out..

"20 meter clowns" <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I was tooning across 75 meters last night and I could not find the Great
> Liberty NitwitNet
> anywhere! They seem to have been booted off their original frequency of
> 3.950 by a fine group
> of sourmash swillin good-ole-boys from 4-land.
>
> What gives??
>
> Has w1wcr finally met his match like the
> Republicans will be doing in November 2002
> and 2004?????
>
> Inquiring minds want to know!!
>
> A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com
>
> BELCCCCCHH - I want my w6nut! WHAAA! WHAAA!
>
> http://www.435online.com/ <--(the mother of all)
>
> http://www.n6tk.com/ <--(VERY funny recordings)
>
> www.farts.com <--(fart noise .wav's galore for replay on 75!)
>
>
>
>
>

2850. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:23:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fGb39.67$4b7.4012245@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50564

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020804084326.00629.00000858@mb-fu.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Dave, if you say my commander has no authority to grant authorization,
what
> >is it to the DXCC desk? How can they grant it? They have no authority
over
> >Operation Restore Hope, me, nor Somalia.
> >
>
> They have authority over the DXCC award, Brian.

I'm aware of that.

> Why would you think anything else?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

Think that they have no authority over Operation Restore Hope, or think that
they have authority over the DXCC award?

2851. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:24:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <OGb39.68$hf7.4216997@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50565

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020804083907.00629.00000857@mb-fu.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >I
> >think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the term
> >you keep using?
>
> Only as applied to the actions of other people...
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

Slippery!

2852. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:25:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BHb39.69$Lf7.4247798@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50566

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020804083704.00629.00000856@mb-fu.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Ed, what are you doing in 6 land? Big move or conference?
>
> Arizona Section convention (where I had a very enjoyable bbq dinner with
> Cecil), followed by a week's vacation in L.A.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

OK, I just gotta ask, Is Cecil really a 100' Giant?

2853. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:38:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6Ub39.349$A61.22023287@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50568

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D4CAE8E.9B6971B@townsqr.com...
> W1RFI wrote:
>
> > >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > >Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >> Otherwise it won't count as my other DX activities haven't counted.
> >
> > >Your other DX activities haven't counted for DXCC credit? Is that what
> > >you're saying?
> >
> > I will ask the ARRL DXCC desk why Brian's DXing activies haven't
counted.
>
> No secret there. It's the same reason all his passed tests have done him
> little good. He's all mouth and no action.

Dick, you're welcome to BUTT IN when you have something to say, but try
contributing something worthwhile next time. That is all. As you were.

2854. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:38:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9Ub39.350$A61.22023287@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50567

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020803235345.26965.00000393@mb-fp.aol.com...
> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h0akqu9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
> >
> > Look it up in the Children's Section of your local library.
> >
> > It's a child's story from India about 100 years old, very popular
> > when I was a pre-schooler but probably out of favor for the last 40
> > years or so because of the incorrect association of "Black Sambo"
> > as a derogatory term for American Negro / Afro-American which is not
> > the case at all.
>
> I remember there used to be a restaurant chain called "Sambo's." I
> could never figure out how they could get away with a name like that,
> considering the knee-jerk reaction most blacks have to it. Sambo's
> restaurants have obvioulsy disappeared as a chain, but does anyone
> know what happpened to them?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I wonder if it weren't resurrected as Denny's.

2855. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:39:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804113911.08617.00001276@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 50569

>Subject: Re: The ARRL in Bloom
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 08/04/02 08:31 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020804083109.00629.00000852@mb-fu.aol.com>

>W1RFI/6

I'd be careful about signing that, Ed...next thing you know, Lennie will accuse you of stalking him too! Why else would an "ARRL employee" go to California...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2856. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:44:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wZb39.352$v71.22074427@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50570

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D4D326F.AFABB46F@hotmail.com...
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
> > >Enjoyed the communion at Tuthill, Ed.
> >
> > As did I, Cecil. Were you harmed by having dinner with all those red
badge
> > folks? :-)
>
> Someone we know will say that nothing I post on this newsgroup matters
> since I was tainted by sitting at the ARRL table and am now incapable
> of posting anything except the ARRL agenda.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

How big is a red badge made for a 100' Giant?

2857. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:48:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <21c39.353$N71.22093023@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50571

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D4D0F61.BF053B20@townsqr.com...
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Why do so many totally miss the point? When a ham knows how to use CW
the
> > > opportunities to communicate are numerous far beyond those who cannot.
> >
> > Excuse me? How does knowing cw increase the opportunities to
> > communicate in numerous ways far beyond those who do not know cw.
> > I give them one more way to communicate, that being via Morse
> > code, what are the numerous other ways?
>
> You're excused. You know no more than the rest of NCI.

Dick, I think it was a great question. Morse/CW is only one way. What are
the numerous other ways?

2858. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:50:56 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ukqkipq2t94f26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50575

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:BHb39.69$Lf7.4247798@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020804083704.00629.00000856@mb-fu.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >Ed, what are you doing in 6 land? Big move or conference?
> >
> > Arizona Section convention (where I had a very enjoyable bbq dinner with
> > Cecil), followed by a week's vacation in L.A.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI/6
>
> OK, I just gotta ask, Is Cecil really a 100' Giant?
>
>

Cecil's a tall man, good looking man, and I wouldn't mind a bit havin' a
two-step with him; LOL, if I could dance...

Kim W5TIT

2859. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:51:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_3c39.354$v81.22140015@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50572

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020804004225.03784.00001008@mb-cq.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 08/04/02 00:15 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020804001501.02053.00000156@mb-fe.aol.com>
>
> >No one was mooning the camera from the cockpit, Larrah.
>
> That they were "mooning" from ANY place in a crewed aircraft was
> inappropriate.

Steve, have you never been in the military?

2860. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:54:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <g7c39.355$F81.22144069@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50573

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208032253.7106de6c@posting.google.com...
> >
> >
> Trust me, you do NOT wanna work for this guy!
> >

Kellie, who don't you have problems with?

2861. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 15:55:07 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ukqkql2bs66762@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50576
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:gdc39.356$H91.22187193@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "20 meter clowns" <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com
>
> Further fragmentation of the left. It was inevitable.
>
>

Yeah, er...love the story on Cheney

Kim W5TIT

2862. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 16:01:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <gdc39.356$H91.22187193@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50574
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"20 meter clowns" <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com

Further fragmentation of the left. It was inevitable.

2863. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 16:26:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hBc39.78$fk7.4500600@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50577

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:ukqkipq2t94f26@corp.supernews.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:BHb39.69$Lf7.4247798@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020804083704.00629.00000856@mb-fu.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >Ed, what are you doing in 6 land? Big move or conference?
> > >
> > > Arizona Section convention (where I had a very enjoyable bbq dinner
with
> > > Cecil), followed by a week's vacation in L.A.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI/6
> >
> > OK, I just gotta ask, Is Cecil really a 100' Giant?
> >
> >
>
> Cecil's a tall man, good looking man, and I wouldn't mind a bit havin' a
> two-step with him; LOL, if I could dance...
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

You're gonna make Larry pout.

2864. Re: The ARRL in Bloom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 16:29:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <JDc39.80$Tp7.4765924@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50578

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020804113911.08617.00001276@mb-fx.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: The ARRL in Bloom
> >From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> >Date: 08/04/02 08:31 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020804083109.00629.00000852@mb-fu.aol.com>
>
> >W1RFI/6
>
> I'd be careful about signing that, Ed...next thing you know, Lennie
will
> accuse you of stalking him too! Why else would an "ARRL employee" go to
> California...?!?!

To attend an Arizona ARRL convention followed by a week of vacation in
California?

2865. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 16:34:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_Hc39.81$2s7.4889883@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:ukqkql2bs66762@corp.supernews.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:gdc39.356$H91.22187193@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "20 meter clowns" <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com
> >
> > Further fragmentation of the left. It was inevitable.
> >
> >
>
> Yeah, er...love the story on Cheney
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

The part about Cheney as CEO giving money to Democrats?
```

2866. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 16:46:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukqmiocoucff57@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50580

Of course not, Ed. Just gotta get a couple of jabs in myself ;). The nice thing about amateur radio is that it is NOT just one 'thing' like CW, PSK, RTTY, moonbounce, or just about anything we'd care to mention. The stupid arguing is getting out of hand, so I've gotta add some fuel to the fire, no?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020804081907.00629.00000849@mb-fu.aol.com...
> From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
>
> >And, of course, hopefully they will make the written test easier. The way I
> >take it, as long as you can spell your name and can put your address down
> >properly, you get the license. Oh, maybe 50% will be passing (I've seen
> >that proposed). I hope you're up to it.
>
> Do you believe in what you just said enough to put a lobster dinner on it?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

2867. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 16:52:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you folks.
We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.

Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL' ??
9/11 wake you up, did it?

Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest wierdo's
that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
FIREBREAKS.

Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:ukqkql2bs66762@corp.supernews.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:gdc39.356$H91.22187193@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "20 meter clowns" <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com
> >
> > Further fragmentation of the left. It was inevitable.
> >
> >
>
> Yeah, er...love the story on Cheney
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2868. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 17:08:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aijn26$f2d$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50582

Piece of cake...but I would make it in one weekend not a couple of months.
As long as QSL's are not required. Or maybe get them on EQSL would be
alright.

Which contest?

Dan/W4NTI

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D4CD276.5030007@adelphia.net...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>

> I would challenge the trolls to produce a DXCC award in let's say one
> month? Working with say 100 watts? After all, it is sooooo easy. Whattya
> say? Heck, I'll give them two months.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2869. $$$~R U being EXPLOITED by a fellow ham~$$$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 17:14:32 UTC
From: ke4gdx <ke4gdx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Yhd39.17047$gU1.3923098@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50583

Check out this website to read the how and why:

http://www.stormbringer.tv/~privacynfreedom/

2870. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 17:49:03 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D690F.9FD59022@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50584

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote:
> > OK, I just gotta ask, Is Cecil really a 100' Giant?
>
> Cecil's a tall man, good looking man, and I wouldn't mind a bit havin' a
> two-step with him; LOL, if I could dance...

Thanks Kim, the 100' remark (actually 200,000') comes from a logic
lesson I was giving one time in which I challenged anyone to prove
that I am not a 200,000 ft tall alien. (There's an entirely different
dimension to me that I do not normally reveal in public :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2871. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 18:05:16 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0bqu4c.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50585

On Sun, 04 Aug 2002 15:38:45 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>I wonder if it weren't resurrected as Denny's.

Denny's was around for quite a while before Sambo's closed.

And the latter had much better food than the former has.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2872. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 18:12:44 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aijsifmos0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50588
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dumbass Dan/W4No Trace of Intelligence" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you folks.
> We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.

And why are Republicans so anal retentive? Look at Dumbass Dan, he threatens to slit people's throats over the air and yet only gets e-mail from Riley telling him to stay off of certain frequencies.

> Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL' ??
> 9/11 wake you up, did it?

Hey, Dumbass Dan, what happened to Bush taking out Osama Bin Laden? Hasn't happened and he's trying to backpedal out of his shady accounting practices when he was with daddy's oil company.

> Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest wierdo's

Why is it Republicans are so illiterate?

> that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> FIREBREAKS.

You should consider yourself lucky, you might get the insurance money back from that dump you call home if it burned down.

> Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?

Poor Dumbass Dan, he was too stupid for the Democrats and went to the only parety that would take the crippled gimp, the Republicans.

2873. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 18:26:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4D530B.7B7017D1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50586

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I give them one more way to communicate, that being via Morse
> >> code, what are the numerous other ways?
>
> > You're excused. You know no more than the rest of NCI.
>
> Translation: Dick doesn't have a response.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I am not knocking knowledge of Morse code, I would really hate to
see the requirement go away, but I am amazed at how some seem to
think that knowing the Morse code somehow makes one far superior
to others.

2874. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 18:35:33 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D73F5.4BC84ED7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50587

JJ wrote:
> I am not knocking knowledge of Morse code, I would really hate to
> see the requirement go away, but I am amazed at how some seem to
> think that knowing the Morse code somehow makes one far superior
> to others.

It's pretty clear that is the result of feelings of inferiority -
grasping at straws to feel superior at something/anything. Morse
code skill is simply one more tool in the ham's toolbox.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2875. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 18:42:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukqtarp2e6ejf8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50589

Of course, other things can happen - sporadic e, for instance.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

ps - I see high power cordless phones are being imported and sold - and interfere with aircraft. VHF amps are being sold by sites catering to truckers. The FCC admits that most of the 'business radios' being sold aren't being licensed. Oh, then there is the marine radio question. For some reason, I get the feelings that these idiots would get peeved if someone decided to take over their favorite radio or TV station ... Ah, nothing like tropo.

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208032315.6823c1f9@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208011118.5a546e79@posting.google.com>...
> > Here's another interesting page. Pink Lightning Communications 1575
> > Donna Road, West Palm Beach , Fl 33409
> >
> > http://www.pinklightning.com/
> >
> > This is a company that sells Big Rig Truck Chrome & Accesories. Some
> > of the accesories they offer drivers are a variety of marine and "10
> > meter" radios.
> >
> > Example:
> >
> > http://www.pinklightning.com/Ranger.htm
> >
> > "Ranger RCI-6900FTB $489.99 Peaking&Tuning Extra Charge! Buy it
> > Untuned $489.99 Or Get it Peaked&Tuned so it'll Scream! for only
> > $519.99"
> >
> > When questioned, they reveal that "Peaking & Tuning" means they do the
> > "mod" that enables the rig to transmit from 25-32 mHz.
> >
> > This site is only a drop in the bucket. The 10 & 12 meter band have
> > turned out to be big business for the trucking industry. Manufacturers
> > like Ranger, Uniden, Galaxy, etc. collaborate by providing
> > clip-n-transmit CB-style radios that cover 10 & 12 meters.
> >
> >
> The fly in the ointment which will make itself apparent in the next
> few years is the current descent of the sunspot counts which will

> drive the MUF down below 25 Mhz. There will be several years when all
> these goobers with their "high power" ten meter reddios won't be able
> to raise anybody beyond the town limits with the things except during
> rare band openings. That'll pee on their parade plenty. The coded
> Techs who have been enjoying the dxing of late on 10m are about to get
> the same "education".
> >
> >
> > -A
> >
> >
> w3rv

2876. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 19:15:20 UTC
From: Pope Cheddar I <popec1bmdbmf@aol.com>
Message-ID: <c3f39.13080$nc.996968@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" Vietnam Dat the fighting man <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote
in message news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you folks.
> We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
>
> Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL' ??
> 9/11 wake you up, did it?
>
> Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest
wierdo's
> that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> FIREBREAKS.
>
> Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Some of us may be liberal twits Dan, but we do
know how to enjoy life, eat right, hold
an open mind, accept alternate lifestyle
individuals as equals, and reduce our risk of a
stroke or heart disease worrying and/or being
led about the nose by right-wing yahoos on
shortwave broadcast radio who say there's plans
afoot to put "microchips in our buttocks".

Take it easy. Live life and enjoy it Dan.

Jay, (Gore-Gephardt 2004)

2877. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 19:55:40 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <0Ff39.24416$Kl6.1538064@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50591

>> From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>> Enjoyed the communion at Tuthill, Ed.

> W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote
> As did I, Cecil. Were you harmed by having
> dinner with all those red badge
> folks? :-)

I was advised to undergo an exorcism. ;-)

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2878. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 20:01:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804160140.11395.00000157@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 50593

In article <g7c39.355$F81.22144069@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>news:d22b54c3.0208032253.7106de6c@posting.google.com...
>> >
>> Trust me, you do NOT wanna work for this guy!
>
>Kellie, who don't you have problems with?

Sweetums is a god of radio. He has no problems with other gods, only mere mortals.

I'm still waiting for all those gods of radio to explain how industrial plant control electronics is used in radio or communications.

I'm still waiting for all those gods of radio to explain how knowledge of PLC programming is related to radio or communications.

I'm still waiting for a god of radio to publish a break-through and make a 4 to 20 mA transmitter in a tuna can so they can work enough DX for a DXCC while QRP.

I'm waiting for a QST tutorial in how to read Piping and Instrumentation Diagrams as a necessity for all hams needing more technical knowledge. They could then become Masters of the P&ID.

Maybe QEX will have an automatic antenna tuner article based on some Toshiba PLCs doing all the programmed tuning?

2879. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 20:01:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804160141.11395.00000158@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 50592

In article <3D4CA13B.C2480FD6@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> Why do so many totally miss the point? When a ham knows how to use CW the
>> opportunities to communicate are numerous far beyond those who cannot.
>
>Excuse me? How does knowing cw increase the opportunities to
>communicate in numerous ways far beyond those who do not know cw.
>I give them one more way to communicate, that being via Morse
>code, what are the numerous other ways?

They are able to transcend the ways of ordinary mortals and talk directly
to the gods or with god-like power to "get through when all earthly
means fail." In being god-like, those ways are uncountable.

In other words, they are able to work random noise and report things
that aren't really there...then write down what they heard and post it in
here. No problem. They are gods of radio since they can work CW.

:-)

2880. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 20:14:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4D8B27.B88C439B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50594

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:BHb39.69$Lf7.4247798@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020804083704.00629.00000856@mb-fu.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >Ed, what are you doing in 6 land? Big move or conference?
> > >
> > > Arizona Section convention (where I had a very enjoyable bbq dinner with
> > > Cecil), followed by a week's vacation in L.A.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI/6
> >
> > OK, I just gotta ask, Is Cecil really a 100' Giant?
> >
> >
>
> Cecil's a tall man, good looking man, and I wouldn't mind a bit havin' a
> two-step with him; LOL, if I could dance...
>
> Kim W5TIT

Little by little, step by step she returns. Aren't you and Alec Baldwin
supposed to be gone?

Dave K8MN

2881. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 21:37:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4D7FE0.56DECB8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50595

Brian Kelly wrote:

> The fly in the ointment which will make itself apparent in the next
> few years is the current descent of the sunspot counts which will
> drive the MUF down below 25 Mhz. There will be several years when all
> these goobers with their "high power" ten meter reddios won't be able
> to raise anybody beyond the town limits with the things except during
> rare band openings. That'll pee on their parade plenty. The coded
> Techs who have been enjoying the dxing of late on 10m are about to get
> the same "education".

That won't stop the truckers from using 10 meters, they are not
interested in long distance communications, they are only
interested in communicating with their trucking buddy on the
interstate a few feet ahead.

2882. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 21:42:03 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <aik72h$f26$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50596
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Pope Cheddar I" <popec1bmdbmf@aol.com> wrote in message
news:c3f39.13080$nc.996968@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" Vietnam Dat the fighting man <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
wrote
> in message news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you
folks.
> > We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
> >
> > Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL'
??
> > 9/11 wake you up, did it?
> >
>> Take it easy. Live life and enjoy it Dan.
>
> Jay, (Gore-Gephardt 2004)
>
Yeah, Dan. Take it easy. Just for fun take a look at this Al Gore cartoon
site...
http://www.slick.com/algore/altoons.html

2883. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 21:56:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <rqh39.13400$cl.1018361@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50597

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

> A suggestion, the very last of several. You would have been
> unaware of it except for K0HB's snarly little
> comment three years ago in here.

Here are my "so-called snarly" comments -- in view of your continued
jeremiads on this matter, they bear repeating.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

Leonard H. Anderson (presumed to be r.r.a.p.'s beloved "NoCWTest"), in
rambling comments to the FCC on January 13th, has proposed a new restriction
on entrance to amateur radio. He proposes that license applications from
otherwise qualified persons should not be accepted if the applicant has not
attained their 14th birthday.

This arbitrary restriction, in a service which has historically gained most
new members from youth, seems calculated to choke off new entrants and
ultimately reduce the number of licensees below the 'critical mass' needed
to
justify our frequency allocations. He would deprive young people such as
Scouts and school-based radio clubs of this educational resource, and
accelerate the "technical dumbing down" of America.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2884. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 22:48:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aikauj$9am$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50598

Real hams do it with a CONTINOUS WAVE.

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020804160141.11395.00000158@mb-

They are gods of radio since they can work CW.
>
> :-)

2885. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:05:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Zqi39.323$eW7.14409919@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50599

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4D8B27.B88C439B@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:BHb39.69$Lf7.4247798@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020804083704.00629.00000856@mb-fu.aol.com...
> > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >
> > > > >Ed, what are you doing in 6 land? Big move or conference?
> > > >
> > > > Arizona Section convention (where I had a very enjoyable bbq dinner
with
> > > > Cecil), followed by a week's vacation in L.A.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI/6
> > >
> > > OK, I just gotta ask, Is Cecil really a 100' Giant?
> > >
> >
> > Cecil's a tall man, good looking man, and I wouldn't mind a bit havin' a
> > two-step with him; LOL, if I could dance...
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Little by little, step by step she returns.

It's like a sickness. I stopped once for about 4 months.

I wonder if they have RRAP meetings downtown, after the AA meetinga?

> Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
>
> Dave K8MN

Are they planning a DXpedition?

2886. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:07:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jti39.324$jT7.14252404@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50600

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:aijn26$f2d$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Piece of cake...but I would make it in one weekend not a couple of
months.
> As long as QSL's are not required. Or maybe get them on EQSL would be
> alright.
>
> Which contest?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, why no QSL cards?

How about starting with an inverted WAC. You work from all continents in
one weekend.

2887. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:10:33 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@BARF14313HQnet.org>
Message-ID: <aikc98qhk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50651
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, AB8MQ on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" that included interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Wiseman to review ?97.1 of the Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth invited AB8MQ to contact him to discuss the matter. Last November 9, the FCC sent Wiseman a Warning Notice in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters.

2888. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:12:01 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@BARF14313HQnet.org>
Message-ID: <aikcc0qlh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50652
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested that Roger L. Wiseman, AB8MQ,
retake the General examination elements under the supervision of an ARRL-VEC
volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by September 11,
2002, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing for
re-examination
are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements passed.
The
FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2002, advising that it had been made
aware
of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and another licensee that
included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special
Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two
licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had
sent
Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of
deliberate
and malicious interference on 20 meters.

2889. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:15:14 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208041515.6556253@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50653

JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net wrote
Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >The fly in the ointment which will make itself apparent in
>> the next few years is the current descent of the sunspot
>>counts which will drive the MUF down below 25 Mhz. There
>>will be several years when all these goobers with their
>>"high power" ten meter reddios won't be able
> >to raise anybody beyond the town limits with the
>>things except during
> >rare band openings. That'll pee on their parade
>>plenty. The coded
> >Techs who have been enjoying the dxing of late
>>on 10m are about to get
>> the same "education".
>
>That won't stop the truckers from using 10 meters, they are not
>interested in long distance communications, they are only
>interested in communicating with their trucking buddy on the
>interstate a few feet ahead.

I agree, JJ. The CB market is now saturated with "10 meter radios".
Truckers use them as "private channels", skip or no skip. They will
not go back to using legal freqs, especially when they've shelled out
$200 or more for their super duper "10 meter radio". Of course with
the spots down, hams who are not near an intersate will hear nothing
and think the problem went away, and more of these "full channel"
radios will be sold in the intervening years. The real surprise will
come during the next sunspot cycle, and by then it'll be too late.

-Aaron-

2890. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:18:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9Di39.327$lb.15057032@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50654

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:rqh39.13400$cI.1018361@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
> > A suggestion, the very last of several. You would have been
> > unaware of it except for K0HB's snarly little
> > comment three years ago in here.
>
> Here are my "so-called snarly" comments -- in view of your continued
> jeremiads on this matter, they bear repeating.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
> ---
>
> Leonard H. Anderson (presumed to be r.r.a.p.'s beloved "NoCWTest"), in
> rambling comments to the FCC on January 13th, has proposed a new
restriction
> on entrance to amateur radio. He proposes that license applications from
> otherwise qualified persons should not be accepted if the applicant has
not
> attained their 14th birthday.
>
> This arbitrary restriction, in a service which has historically gained
most
> new members from youth, seems calculated to choke off new entrants and
> ultimately reduce the number of licensees below the 'critical mass' needed
> to
> justify our frequency allocations. He would deprive young people such as
> Scouts and school-based radio clubs of this educational resource, and
> accelerate the "technical dumbing down" of America.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, while I disagree with that arbitrary age restriction, I also disagree
with other arbitrary licenseeing requirements of the FCC.

And I would propose that most of the newcomers come from the ranks of the
retired, including persons with disability retirements.

Hello Dan.

2891. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:23:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PHi39.330$m6.14810249@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50655

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ukqmiocoucff57@corp.supernews.com...
> Of course not, Ed. Just gotta get a couple of jabs in myself ;). The
nice
> thing about amateur radio is that it is NOT just one 'thing' like CW, PSK,
> RTTY, moonbounce, or just about anything we'd care to mention. The stupid
> arguing is getting out of hand, so I've gotta add some fuel to the fire,
no?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Jim, ask Larry, Dick or Moe and they'll say otherwise. Amateur radio IS
just one thing.

2892. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:25:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zJi39.332$pa.15008041@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50656
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The Second Amendment IS Homeland Security.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you folks.
> We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
>
> Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL' ??
> 9/11 wake you up, did it?
>
> Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest
wierdo's
> that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> FIREBREAKS.
>
> Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:ukqkql2bs66762@corp.supernews.com...
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:gdc39.356$H91.22187193@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "20 meter clowns" <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com
> > >
> > > Further fragmentation of the left. It was inevitable.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Yeah, er...love the story on Cheney
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>
>

2893. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:33:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wRi39.335$0d.15144212@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aijsifmos0@pita.alt.net...
> "Dumbass Dan/W4No Trace of Intelligence" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
wrote in
> message news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you
folks.
> > We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
>
> And why are Republicans so anal retentive? Look at Dumbass Dan, he
threatens to
> slit people's throats over the air and yet only gets e-mail from Riley
telling
> him to stay off of certain frequencies.

Maybe it shouldn't matter, but did he threaten Osama? Arafat? Saddam?
Moamar? Ayatollah?

> > Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL'
??
> > 9/11 wake you up, did it?
>
> Hey, Dumbass Dan, what happened to Bush taking out Osama Bin Laden? Hasn't
> happened and he's trying to backpedal out of his shady accounting
practices when
> he was with daddy's oil company.

Osama is under 200,000 tons of rock in a former Afghanistan cave.

> > Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest
wierdo's
>
> Why is it Republicans are so illiterate?
>
Bruce is a Republican?
>
> > that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> > FIREBREAKS.
>
> You should consider yourself lucky, you might get the insurance money back
from
> that dump you call home if it burned down.
>
Not nice. What if someone were injured trying to prevent his domicile from
burning? I wouldn't trade the life on one firefighter for the lives of 100

Green Peacers.
>
> > Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?
>
> Poor Dumbass Dan, he was too stupid for the Democrats and went to the only parety
> that would take the crippled gimp, the Republicans.

Careful. You might get the "other" Dan on here ranting about making fun of people with disabilities.

And besides, compassionate conservatism includes helping people with disabilities help themselves. Nothing wrong with that.

2894. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:35:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6Ti39.336$l%7.14692475@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@BARF14313HQnet.org> wrote in message
news:aikc98qhk0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, AB8MQ
> on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing
> personal dispute" that included interference or jamming on 20 meters.
> FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth
> cautioned Wiseman to review §97.1 of the Amateur Radio rules and "to make
> certain that whatever disputes that may exist are not carried over to
> Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth invited AB8MQ to contact him
> to discuss the matter. Last November 9, the FCC sent Wiseman a Warning
> Notice in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference
> on 20 meters.

You can say that again!

2895. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-04 23:36:01 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BTi39.338$bd.15154482@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And so he does!

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@BARF14313HQnet.org> wrote in message
news:aikcc0qlh0@pita.alt.net...
>
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested that Roger L. Wiseman, AB8MQ,
> retake the General examination elements under the supervision of an
ARRL-VEC
> volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by September
11,
> 2002, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing for
> re-examination
> are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements passed.
> The
> FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2002, advising that it had been made
> aware
> of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and another licensee that
> included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special
> Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two
> licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had
> sent
> Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of
> deliberate
> and malicious interference on 20 meters.
>
>
>
>

2896. CW crashes US dollar and US industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 00:15:00 UTC
From: Chewie <cw@forbid.net>
Message-ID: <200285-1150-372488@foorum.com>
Source record: 50658

In the sixties, USA appeared as a modern country with their space program to conquer the moon. The US dollar was strong and the US economy too.
40 years later, the fact to still glorify CW makes that the world does not trust anymore that USA is a model of progress, but a country of old farts that look forward to the 19th century. So the US dollar falls and the US economy too.
Consequently, about 80% of US hams are CBers and do not even know how to pronounce ENGLISH correctly on the bands. More, they use a "custom" alphabet such as "sugar" (for the "S" letter, which international spell is "SIERRA") that once pronounced is closed to "shogun" (some kind of japanese lord) or even "chougar" that is closed to "OSCAR" in the QSB.
Result : It's impossible to answer to 50% of US hams calling CQ (even they are 59+) because of their bad spelling. That is the consequenses of CW, US hams do not know how to speak (listen to the G guys and you will see the difference).

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2897. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 00:51:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D4DF642.7070505@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50661

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>I would challenge the trolls to produce a DXCC award in let's say one
>>month? Working with say 100 watts? After all, it is sooooo easy. Whattya
>>say? Heck, I'll give them two months.
>>
>
> I'm game! How's this...We'll set September first as the start date and
> October 31st as the cut-off for a DXCC award, September 1st to September 30th
> for a WAS award.
>
> No contest periods allowed? Shall we make it a 10 meter only event to
> make it fair for the Tech-plussers?
>
> For the NCT'ers, set a VUCC award level..???
>
> Under 100 watts and a dipole only?
>
> We'll even set an SWL level for Lennie the Licenseless and his Band Of
> Reknown?

I like it! I like it a lot!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2898. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 00:55:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D4DF726.2080900@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50662

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Piece of cake...but I would make it in one weekend not a couple of months.
> As long as QSL's are not required. Or maybe get them on EQSL would be
> alright.

Hi Dan. One weekend would get my attention! But if you could, it is
because you have honed your skills, no?

You aren't a troll either, are you Dan? ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2899. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 01:01:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D4DF8B5.3000701@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50663

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
> Dan, why no QSL cards?

It would be really hard to collect all the qsl cards in one or two months. I've got cards coming in from last year yet.

It wouldn't be fair. I'd be willing to give the trolls the benefit of the doubt.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2900. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 01:14:25 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208041714.37904224@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50664

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<g7c39.355$F81.22144069@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0208032253.7106de6c@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > >
> Trust me, you do NOT wanna work for this guy!
> > >
>
> Kellie, who don't you have problems with?
>
>
I don't have a problem in the world with the guy, we get along great.
Most folk don't get along with him however. I don't have a problem
with you either, you couldn't be a problem for me if ya tried.
>
>
w3rv

2901. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 01:16:34 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DD1F2.8F2FBFA8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50665

JJ wrote:
> That won't stop the truckers from using 10 meters, they are not
> interested in long distance communications, they are only
> interested in communicating with their trucking buddy on the
> interstate a few feet ahead.

"10-4, Back Door, put the pedal to the metal and let 'er roar."
From a CB song back in the 70's. What was the name of that song?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2902. Re: CW crashes US dollar and US industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 01:24:42 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DD3DA.8504C75A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50667

Chewie wrote:
> More, they use a "custom" alphabet
> such as "sugar" (for the "S" letter, which international spell is "SIERRA")

My 1957 ARRL Handbook says it should be "Susan". My call letter phonetics
at the time were David X-Ray Peter, phonetics that probably sent ham radio
one step closer to extinction.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2903. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 01:27:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208041727.601875d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50666

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:<aijn26$f2d$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Piece of cake...but I would make it in one weekend not a couple of months.
> As long as QSL's are not required. Or maybe get them on EQSL would be
> alright.
>
> Which contest?
>
>
. . single-band entry in the CQ WW, 160m ssb . .
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
W3rv

2904. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 01:54:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aiklru$s05$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

From enemies both domestic and foreign.....I've heard that somewhere.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:zJi39.332$pa.15008041@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> The Second Amendment IS Homeland Security.
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you
folks.
> > We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
> >
> > Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL'
??
> > 9/11 wake you up, did it?
> >
> > Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest
> wierdo's
> > that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> > FIREBREAKS.
> >
> > Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> >
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > news:ukqkql2bs66762@corp.supernews.com...
> > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:gdc39.356$H91.22187193@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "20 meter clowns" <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com
> > > >
> > > > Further fragmentation of the left. It was inevitable.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Yeah, er...love the story on Cheney

> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2905. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 02:05:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aikmgd$t75$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah Brian I have a big mouth. And I probably did threaten a lot of folks.
And since your curious here is the list.

George Ashley W4FQF Alias Polly Parrot

Billy Jack Moore W4LLI Alias Claymore, Gravel 2. and others. Well known
side kick of Parrot. They would sit on top of our group ALL NIGHT jamming
for years.

Most if not all of the idiots from 3898.

Most if not all of the idiots from 3894.5

Dave Castle WA9KJI. The clown of 3950 fame that would drop down un
ininvited to jam.

Plus all others that just made life miserable for all those years.

And if I did make such utterances...I am not one damn bit sorry? Why is
that you ask? Look at the list and ask again.

But a certain brown liquid and I have parted company. So I seriously doubt
I'll be fool enough to give that scum such a good target any longer.

Bet many of them are really pissed that they can't find me. Bet they thing
I'm gone. Boy they should see my log. Thats right...I keep a log. It's
good in a Federal court, you know that.... right?

Well Brian you take care and don't let these little scum sucking turds
bother you.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:wRi39.335$0d.15144212@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:aijsifmos0@pita.alt.net...
> > "Dumbass Dan/W4No Trace of Intelligence" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
> wrote in
> > message news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you
> folks.
> > > We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
> >
> > And why are Republicans so anal retentive? Look at Dumbass Dan, he
> threatens to

> > slit people's throats over the air and yet only gets e-mail from Riley
> telling
> > him to stay off of certain frequencies.
>
> Maybe it shouldn't matter, but did he threaten Osama? Arafat? Saddam?
> Moamar? Ayatollah?
>
> > > Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN
CONTROL'
> ??
> > > 9/11 wake you up, did it?
> >
> > Hey, Dumbass Dan, what happened to Bush taking out Osama Bin Laden?
Hasn't
> > happened and he's trying to backpedal out of his shady accounting
> practices when
> > he was with daddy's oil company.
>
> Osama is under 200,000 tons of rock in a former Afghanistan cave.
>
> > > Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest
> wierdo's
> >
> > Why is it Republicans are so illiterate?
> >
> Bruce is a Republican?
> >
> > > that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> > > FIREBREAKS.
> >
> > You should consider yourself lucky, you might get the insurance money
back
> from
> > that dump you call home if it burned down.
> >
> Not nice. What if someone were injured trying to prevent his domicile
from
> burning? I wouldn't trade the life on one firefighter for the lives of
100
> Green Peacers.
> >
> > > Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?
> >
> > Poor Dumbass Dan, he was too stupid for the Democrats and went to the
only
> parety
> > that would take the crippled gimp, the Republicans.
>
> Careful. You might get the "other" Dan on here ranting about making fun
of

> people with disabilities.
>
> And besides, compassionate conservatism includes helping people with
> disabilities help themselves. Nothing wrong with that.
>
>

2906. Re: CW crashes US dollar and US industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 02:07:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aikmrs$6fb$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50670

This guy makes you wish shooting at Red Coats was legal again....don't it?

Dan/W4NTI

"Chewie" <cw@forbid.net> wrote in message
news:200285-1150-372488@foorum.com...
>
>
> In the sixties, USA appeared as a modern country with their space program
to
> conquer the moon. The US dollar was strong and the US economy too.
> 40 years later, the fact to still glorify CW makes that the world does not
> trust anymore that USA is a model of progress, but a country of old farts
that
> look forward to the 19th century. So the US dollar falls and the US
economy too.
> Consequently, about 80% of US hams are CBers and do not even know how to
> pronounce ENGLISH correctly on the bands. More, they use a "custom"
alphabet
> such as "sugar" (for the "S" letter, which international spell is
"SIERRA")
> that once pronounced is closed to "shogun" (some kind of japanese lord) or
> even "chougar" that is closed to "OSCAR" in the QSB.
> Result : It's impossible to answer to 50% of US hams calling CQ (even they
> are 59+) because of their bad spelling. That is the consequenses of CW, US
hams
> do not know how to speak (listen to the G guys and you will see the
difference).
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2907. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 02:25:05 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DE200.DD4E1B4D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50671

Jim Hampton wrote:

> And, of course, hopefully they will make the written test easier. The way I
> take it, as long as you can spell your name and can put your address down
> properly, you get the license. Oh, maybe 50% will be passing (I've seen
> that proposed). I hope you're up to it.
>

No, you will be able to get your license from a box of Wheaties.
Or Capt. Crunch.

2908. Re: CW crashes US dollar and US industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 02:29:15 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DE2FA.8DEA3E54@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50672

Chewie wrote:

> In the sixties, USA appeared as a modern country with their space program to
> conquer the moon. The US dollar was strong and the US economy too.
> 40 years later, the fact to still glorify CW makes that the world does not
> trust anymore that USA is a model of progress, but a country of old farts that
> look forward to the 19th century. So the US dollar falls and the US economy too.

Come on, make your troll sensible....

>
> Consequently, about 80% of US hams are CBers and do not even know how to
> pronounce ENGLISH correctly on the bands. More, they use a "custom" alphabet
> such as "sugar" (for the "S" letter, which international spell is "SIERRA")
> that once pronounced is closed to "shogun" (some kind of japanese lord) or
> even "chougar" that is closed to "OSCAR" in the QSB.
> Result : It's impossible to answer to 50% of US hams calling CQ (even they
> are 59+) because of their bad spelling. That is the consequenses of CW, US hams
> do not know how to speak (listen to the G guys and you will see the difference).
>

I use the standard phonetics and I get back "India Sierra tango" a lot.

73 de Whiskey Alpha 2 india sierra echo.

2909. Re: CW crashes US dollar and US industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 02:30:15 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DE336.3A341E8B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50673

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> This guy makes you wish shooting at Red Coats was legal again....don't it?
>

They were a bunch of communists, weren't they?.....

2910. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 02:38:23 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DE51E.7D678CA0@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50674
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd wrote:

> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, AB8MQ

I had thought 2x2 callsigns starting with "A" were for extras. Just looked
him
up and he is an extra.

2911. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 03:11:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804231159.19340.00000427@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 50675

In article <aikauj$9am$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:

>Real hams do it with a CONTINOUS WAVE.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020804160141.11395.00000158@mb-
>
> They are gods of radio since they can work CW.
>>
>> :-)

Finally, hams have developed something so new that other
radio services or even the rest of the electronics world
don't have it - the CONTINOUS WAVE! :-)

Oh, boy, I know what big Dann was going after...the old, trite
strawman accusation of "don't you know what CW really
means?" Gosh, yes, big Dann, I know..."CW" has become a
synonym for on-off keyed CONTINUOUS Wave using morse
code.

The PCTA seem to be using CONTENTIOUS WAVE when
they try to salute using their index fingers (can't spell well
enough to use the proper middle finger).

2912. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 03:12:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804231200.19340.00000428@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 50676

In article <rqh39.13400$cI.1018361@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>> A suggestion, the very last of several. You would have been
>> unaware of it except for K0HB's snarly little
>> comment three years ago in here.
>
>Here are my "so-called snarly" comments -- in view of your continued
>jeremiads on this matter, they bear repeating.

You are merely a bear in the MN woods. :-)

>Leonard H. Anderson (presumed to be r.r.a.p.'s beloved "NoCWTest"), in
>rambling comments to the FCC on January 13th, has proposed a new restriction
>on entrance to amateur radio. He proposes that license applications from
>otherwise qualified persons should not be accepted if the applicant has not
>attained their 14th birthday.
>
>This arbitrary restriction, in a service which has historically gained most
>new members from youth, seems calculated to choke off new entrants and
>ultimately reduce the number of licensees below the 'critical mass' needed
>to justify our frequency allocations. He would deprive young people such as
>Scouts and school-based radio clubs of this educational resource, and
>accelerate the "technical dumbing down" of America.

Oh, yes, three and a half years ago I was "depriving young people"
from becoming SIX YEAR OLD HAMS after kindly old VEs had
"passed" them in testing.

You "conveniently" left off the reason I gave to the FCC on WHY
I made that, didn't you? You were so eager to make your attack
that selective editing was your weapon of choice.

Okay, since then, US amateur radio has gotten a NINE YEAR
OLD AMATEUR EXTRA. Aren't you thrilled?

How does it feel to be compared to a NINE YEAR OLD?

After your ranting and shouting in here on that "terrible" suggestion,
I simply dropped the age thing. Everyone was all set to do lynching
under the emotional heat of sub-teeners so I dropped it, but some
latter-day sub-teeners want to make like outraged little league
parents now and then. I'll put you down as one of those little kids.

I'll just read in Newsline that US ham total population has stagnated
for two years...even while this "educational resource" went not far
with NO age limit.

2913. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 03:46:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804234613.26975.00002400@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 50677

>What was the name of that song?
>--

I want to be a "REAL HAM" wriiten by NCI

2914. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 03:48:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804234832.26975.00002402@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 50678

>No, you will be able to get your license from a box of Wheaties.
>Or Capt. Crunch.
>

I thought the Local Truck Stops were giving them away with the any 10 meter rig
that you purchased.

2915. Re: CW crashes US dollar and US industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 04:25:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <47n39.527$HU2.28428551@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50679

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D4DE2FA.8DEA3E54@ix.netcom.com...
> Chewie wrote:
>
> > In the sixties, USA appeared as a modern country with their space
program to
> > conquer the moon. The US dollar was strong and the US economy too.
> > 40 years later, the fact to still glorify CW makes that the world does
not
> > trust anymore that USA is a model of progress, but a country of old
farts that
> > look forward to the 19th century. So the US dollar falls and the US
economy too.
>
> Come on, make your troll sensible....
>
> >
> > Consequently, about 80% of US hams are CBers and do not even know how to
> > pronounce ENGLISH correctly on the bands. More, they use a "custom"
alphabet
> > such as "sugar" (for the "S" letter, which international spell is
"SIERRA")
> > that once pronounced is closed to "shogun" (some kind of japanese lord)
or
> > even "chougar" that is closed to "OSCAR" in the QSB.
> > Result : It's impossible to answer to 50% of US hams calling CQ (even
they
> > are 59+) because of their bad spelling. That is the consequenses of CW,
US hams
> > do not know how to speak (listen to the G guys and you will see the
difference).
> >
>
> I use the standard phonetics and I get back "India Sierra tango" a lot.
>
> 73 de Whiskey Alpha 2 india sierra echo.

I've had to use Isuzu Mitsubishi Daihatsu.

73, Brian/N0iMD

2916. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 06:41:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805024108.20534.00001101@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50680

>Subject: Re: The Need for CW
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/04/02 23:11 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020804231159.19340.00000427@mb-ch.aol.com>

>In article <aikauj$9am$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
><w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:
>
>>Real hams do it with a CONTINOUS WAVE.

>Oh, boy, I know what big Dann was going after...the old, trite
>strawman accusation of "don't you know what CW really
>means?" Gosh, yes, big Dann, I know..."CW" has become a
>synonym for on-off keyed CONTINUOUS Wave using morse
>code.

Once again, The Extreme Literalist, having only half the facts and not having the "inside joke" on the "continuous wave" line, lapses into another anti-Ham rant. he doesn't HAVE this "inside joke" because he's NOT on the inside...He's on the OUTSIDE, nose smushed up against the window pane, watching others lips move but not quite being able to make out everything that's being said.

Sheesh.

What a freakin' PUTZ.

Steve

2917. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 06:49:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805024942.20534.00001106@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50681

>Subject: Re: Radio And Electronics
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/04/02 16:01 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020804160140.11395.00000157@mb-fk.aol.com>

>I'm still waiting for all those gods of radio to explain how
>industrial plant control electronics is used in radio or
>communications.

I'm still waiting for you to tell us all about that FCC-authorized radio service that is for strictly recreational purposes.

>I'm still waiting for all those gods of radio to explain how
>knowledge of PLC programming is related to radio or
>communications.

I'm still waiting for you to pass the "extra lite" you stated you were going to get "out of the box".

>I'm still waiting for a god of radio to publish a break-through
>and make a 4 to 20 mA transmitter in a tuna can so they
>can work enough DX for a DXCC while QRP.

I'm still waiting on your apology for senting smut in e mail when you promised something else.

>I'm waiting for a QST tutorial in how to read Piping and
>Instrumentation Diagrams as a necessity for all hams
>needing more technical knowledge. They could then
>become Masters of the P&ID

I'm still waiting on your tutorial on microwave practices. Afterall, you are the one pontificating on how all those EE's out there will flood Amateur Radio with their devine wisdom and enlightenment.

All of THEM, that is...not you, I see.....

>Maybe QEX will have an automatic antenna tuner article
>based on some Toshiba PLCs doing all the programmed
>tuning?

Maybe you'll be a man some day and live up to your own rhetoric.

But not in THIS lifetime, I am sure.

2918. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 06:56:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805025634.20534.00001107@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50682

>Subject: Re: Age Limits - WHY?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/04/02 23:12 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020804231200.19340.00000428@mb-ch.aol.com>

>Oh, yes, three and a half years ago I was "depriving young people"
>from becoming SIX YEAR OLD HAMS after kindly old VEs had
>"passed" them in testing.

Now Lennie H makes the insinuation that the VE's are violating federal law
by suggesting they just "passed" the applicants, rather than made a bonafide
passing score on the exam.

More accusations without proof.

>You "conveniently" left off the reason I gave to the FCC on WHY
>I made that, didn't you? You were so eager to make your attack
>that selective editing was your weapon of choice.

YOUR weapon of choice is to repeat lie after lie, over and over, in hopes
it will become fact.

Hans' way was more effective. Ethical, too.

>How does it feel to be compared to a NINE YEAR OLD?

Do you mean how does it feel to be compared to a nine year old as opposed
to being compared to YOU...?!?!

Feels GREAT!

>I'll just read in Newsline that US ham total population has stagnated
>for two years...even while this "educational resource" went not far
>with NO age limit.

Then your age limit wasn't necessary, now was it?

2919. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040702.27667.00000201@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50684

In article <3D4D690F.9FD59022@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Thanks Kim, the 100' remark (actually 200,000') comes from a logic
>lesson I was giving one time in which I challenged anyone to prove
>that I am not a 200,000 ft tall alien. (There's an entirely different
>dimension to me that I do not normally reveal in public :-)
>--
You shrank, Cecil. It used to be 300,000 feet. Or was that in a different
dimension?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2920. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040703.27667.00000202@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50759

In article <Zqi39.323$eW7.14409919@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

NIAGARA FALLS!

>> Little by little, step by step she returns.

Slowly I turned - step by step - inch by inch....
>
>It's like a sickness. I stopped once for about 4 months.

Mark Twain worte something like 'quitting smoking is easy - I've done it hundreds of times'
>
>I wonder if they have RRAP meetings downtown, after the AA meetings?

No comment..
>
>> Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?

Alec Baldwin used to be married to a Kim. You don't suppose....?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2921. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040704.27667.00000203@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50693

In article <rqh39.13400$cI.1018361@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>> A suggestion, the very last of several. You would have been
>> unaware of it except for K0HB's snarly little
>> comment three years ago in here.
>
>Here are my "so-called snarly" comments -- in view of your continued
>jeremiads on this matter, they bear repeating.
>
>With all kind wishes,
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>---
>
>Leonard H. Anderson (presumed to be r.r.a.p.'s beloved "NoCWTest"), in
>rambling comments to the FCC on January 13th, has proposed a new restriction
>on entrance to amateur radio. He proposes that license applications from
>otherwise qualified persons should not be accepted if the applicant has not
>attained their 14th birthday.
>
>This arbitrary restriction, in a service which has historically gained most
>new members from youth, seems calculated to choke off new entrants and
>ultimately reduce the number of licensees below the 'critical mass' needed
>to
>justify our frequency allocations. He would deprive young people such as
>Scouts and school-based radio clubs of this educational resource, and
>accelerate the "technical dumbing down" of America.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

Thanks, Hans. Your words did indeed bear repeating. The fact that they were labeled a "snarly little comment" indicates that you are right on target.

Note also that the Mr. Anderson does not give any examples of problems in the amateur radio service caused by the lack of an age requirement. He has refused to answer the question posed by the subject line of this thread.

The USA has never had an age requirement for any class of amateur license. There is no good reason to add one now.

Back in 1948, in the days of 13 wpm for all classes of license, essay and draw-a-diagram exams, a local nine-year-old earned a Class B license. Still an

active ham more than a half-century later.

More recently, (about 1996) an eight-year-old earned the Extra class license.
Good CW op - we had a nice 20 meter CW QSO in 1998.

The above are just two examples - there are many more.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2922. The Logic Behind Name Calling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040705.27667.00000204@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50753

In article <20020726194252.08931.00000502@mb-ck.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <pan.2002.07.26.08.54.01.671688.22096@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
><jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>On Thu, 25 Jul 2002 18:13:41 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>> In article <pan.2002.07.25.12.27.51.951363.7106@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
>>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 24 Jul 2002 23:22:18 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:

>>No, the evidence is legion. You have a rich posting history of trying to
>>change the subject when you're losing the debate.
>
>>Sometimes, like now, you
>>just try to change the subject, but often you diverge from the issue into
>>personal attacks designed to distract your debating opponent from the real
>>issues. (Example: Calling Jim, N2EY, "Jimmie boy.")

There are lots of other examples of Lenover21's name calling.
>
>Now, now, Jon, try to be fair and mature. :-)

Because Lenover21 is neither ;-)

>On the small subject of the above paragraph: How is a "familiar"

>Okay, so how do you explain all the others who have used the exact
>same form of address. Begin with Jim Kehler long ago. Continue on
>to over two years of such use by an extra who regularly tags his
>messages about me with a Yiddish-ethnic synonym for "dickhead."
>
>Is that somehow "okay" but someone else (conveniently of opposite
>opinion) doing the same thing is now "wrong?" Curious double
>standard there.

In other words, because others have called Lenover21 "Lennie" (derived from the
characters 'Lenny and Squiggy' on the TV show "Laverne and Shirley"), he thinks
it gives him the right to call me "Jimmie" and other names.

It's the same 'logic' that's behind prejudice and bigotry.

What Lenover21 tries to do with his name-calling is to provoke angry responses
from those called names. Then he plays innocent, with a lame excuse of "humor"
or that it was 'meant to be friendly'. And thus diverting the discussion that
wasn't going his way.

Try to get an honest answer out of Lenover21 as to why there he thinks there is a need for an age requirement of 14 years for a US ham license. All he has done so far is to refer to the licensing of a few six-year-olds - but no reference to problems this has caused. He BELIEVES that the licensing of young people is a problem, therefore we must all bow to his belief system. As if.

>The problem of the SW BC interference to amateurs in the
>40 meter band has been around since WARC-79 and, so
>far, very little has been done despite all the claims of "the
>ARRL is working in Washington, Geneva, and elsewhere."

It's been around a lot longer than that. It goes back more than 50 years.

>By WRC-03 the 40m problem will have been around for 24
>years with no signs of easing.

More like 60 years.

>The IARU has been more
>aggressive in that matter...see their strong, colorful
>presentation on their own website. What has the ARRL
>done as effectively as the IARU or other amateur
>organizations in the past 23 years? Make excuses?
>Make promises? Make claims?

They have tried to rectify the problem. It's not easy because the other services don't want to move.

What should they have done? The basic problem is that the various services all want spectrum around 7 MHz because of the propagation. SWBC is the predominant user there besides hams, and since many SWBC stations are government-run, getting them to move consists of getting various governments to consider hams' needs as higher than their own. Not an easy selling point, particularly when you consider the relatively small amateur radio population outside Japan and Region 2.

If the SWBC folks say they don't want to move, how can they be forced? What would YOU do?

>There were skeptics who observed the activity of Worldcom
>executives many months ago...and now Worldcom is under
>investigation of many nasty things. All of the lawyers for the
>Worldcom executives say "they are innocent and haven't done
>anything wrong!"

Until proven guilty, we must assume that is the case.
>
>There were skeptics who observed the activity of the Adelphia
>founding family many months ago...and now that family has
>been arrested and Adelphia operations are under investigation.
>The defense lawyers all claim their clients are "innocent!"
>
>Need I mention Enron? Many Enron employees Believed
>in their company sufficiently to invest, thinking everything

>was on the up-and-up there. :-)

And Lenover21 believes that Amazon is a profitable company...

Jim, N2EY

2923. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040706.27667.00000206@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50692

In article <3D4D326F.AFABB46F@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>> >Enjoyed the communion at Tuthill, Ed.
>>
>> As did I, Cecil. Were you harmed by having dinner with all those red badge
>> folks? :-)
>
>Someone we know will say that nothing I post on this newsgroup matters
>since I was tainted by sitting at the ARRL table and am now incapable
>of posting anything except the ARRL agenda.

Same thing's been said about me many times.

Welcome to Xanadu, Cecil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2924. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040706.27667.00000205@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50695

In article <3D4D2F0A.16E447FF@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>> It's a child's story from India about 100 years old, very popular
>> when I was a pre-schooler but probably out of favor for the last 40
>> years or so because of the incorrect association of "Black Sambo"
>> as a derogatory term for American Negro / Afro-American which is not
>> the case at all.
>
>I remember a Sambo's coffee shop chain featuring tiger butter.

I heard a variant of the story under a different name on NPR about a month ago.
It was read by the author of the new version. No mention of the original.

No more Sambo's, but we still have White Castle, Red Roof Inns, and the Yellow
Submarine.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2925. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040707.27667.00000207@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50751

In article <20020803232302.26965.00000392@mb-fp.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <200283-25830-836996@foorum.com>, Dracula <cw@death.com> writes:
>
>>That smells the coffin for CW coders ... hi hi hi
>
>Drac:
>
>What if the CW testing requirement *isn't* eliminated at the next WRC?

Possible, but highly unlikely.

>What if the FCC (or your country's equalivalent, if you're not in the USA)
>decides *not* to "sunset" the code testing requirement along with the
>ITU RR requirement?

Possible in some countries, very unlikely in the USA. FCC's pronouncements on the subject and their acceptance of the minority opinion of comments on 98-143 make it quite clear that the only reason FCC didn't dump all code testing as a part of restructuring was S25.5.

>I wouldn't be so quick to be smelling coffins if I
>were you, Drac -- the stench of rotten flesh may be your own!

Get out the garlic

73 de Jim, N2EY

2926. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040708.27667.00000208@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50754

In article <20020804001503.02053.00000158@mb-fe.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>Aeronautical physics are scaleable up and down from "real." That's
>why wind tunnels use scale, miniature aircraft to test aerodynamics
>before a full-scale aircraft is made. Aerodynamic principles hold for
>all aircraft, small or large.

That's true.
>
>The story hinges on the ignorant non-aeronautical readers and
>audience keying to the depreciating "toy" or "model" aircraft.

Partly true. Would YOU go along on the first flight of an aircraft designed by someone who had only designed model aircraft?

The story also hinges on the fact that the designer did not mention the fact that he designed model aircraft until the project was well under way.

>That is absolutely necessary to introduce conflict between
>characters in the story, to build suspense.

Not really - it just adds to it. Even if Dorfmann were an experienced designer of full-size aircraft, the Phoenix design would be extremely risky.

>The character of
>Dorfmann tries to explain that aeronautical principles apply to
>big aircraft the same as little aircraft...which pilot Frank (James
>Stewart) doesn't believe at all until the end of the movie (see
>final scenes of survivors Frank and Heinrich walking together
>towards the wadi).

Incorrect. Frank Towns knows the THEORY is the same. It's the PRACTICE that he is concerned with.
>
>The entire novel, movie, and even the mythical bird, the
>phoenix, are all fiction. The mythical bird didn't exist, there
>was no parallel of a real crashed aircraft being rebuilt to a
>flyable version and flown to safety. It is very entertaining
>fiction, but it is still fiction.

That's true in a literal sense. There's a lot more to "The Flight Of The Phoenix" than the literal story. Try to look beyond Extreme Literalism, if you can ;-)
>
>There is a parallel with the fiction of "CW is necessary in a
>test because a simple radio could be constructed in the

>event of a disaster." It is myth, fiction, an often-told tale
>which has no basis in reality.

The idea that the TEST is necessary for all hams because that situation might arise is possible, but it strains the imagination because it is so unlikely.

But there have been cases where a simple CW/Morse transmitter was built by a ham under disaster conditions and used for emergency communications, saving lives. These examples are not legends or myths but documented events. Here's a hint: W9MWC

Trouble is, they are documented events of 60-plus years ago.

>There is no evidence that it
>has ever been done under such conditions but the myth has
>an emotional comfort to it, most satisfying to those vehemently
>arguing in favor of the code test.

Except that it's not a myth at all - it has happened that a CW/Morse transmitter constructed under disaster conditions was used for emergency communications that saved lives. A long time ago. But it DID happen.

The key (pun intended) question is this:

Is the probability that Morse skill will be needed in an emergency situation so high that code tests for all hams must be retained for that reason alone?

It's an opinion question, and opinions differ. My opinion is that the probability is so low as to be negligible. But I could be wrong.

>It is brain candy for the ignorant.

Like the ignorant folks who claim that construction of an emergency CW/Morse transmitter has never happened? ;-)

Jim, N2EY

2927. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040709.27667.00000209@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50697

In article <d22b54c3.0208032253.7106de6c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
>news:<ukmivalrngv017@corp.supernews.com>...
>> 'Taint my thread, but I have a LOT of feelings about A-B.

>Welcome to the club!!

A-B makes some nice things. PLCs ain't on that list.

>> The stupid things
>> go beserk for almost no reason at all. You'd better not have any ground
>> loops or you're lost. (don't ask how the ground loops got there. I don't
>> need to take any more Rolaids).

>Been there . . first they discovered that there were mysterious
>voltages between columns on which the the Hoffman PLC enclosures were
>mounted. OK, so they drove rods and grounded the columns . . then
>there were voltage differences between ground rods . . rooms fulla
>high-power AC variable frequency drives . . nightmares.

Theory, meet Practice.

>> Ladder logic is easy, but there are better
>> ways of attacking a problem (usually). However, management in my company
>> has determined that A-B is the only way to go (and we can't buy anything
>> else).
>>
>Been there too. I was working for DuPont when the Central Engineering
>PLC geniuses ("Section 8") declared it "illegal" for the plants to
>specify anybody but A-B for PLCs in new systems. Bloody disaster. The
>plants had heaps of GE and TI I/O machinery in place and were expected
>to retrain all their shop floor sparkies to handle A-B too. Then came
>the cost issues. The flap went all the way to the DuPont BoD. Within
>the following three years the BoD declared Central Engineering to be
>surplus baggage, dumped thousands of Dupeys on the street and sold the
>site to a credit card operation. Last I heard several years ago the
>plants were still buying GE and TI.

You'd think they'd have learned not to be captive to a single supplier, but that sort of nuttiness used to be common.

>> I wonder who has stock options in A-B?

>Mrs. Bradley . . .

>> Guess I'll have to fire up
>> the search engines and check out the Mitsubishi machines.

>Nice stuff, PLC-5s-in-a-pocket.

Many of the simpler PLCs have reached price levels that make them almost disposable items.

>> BTW, can I get an
>> interview with your boss? I've programmed a heck of a lot of 'was' gates
>in
>> my time .. 120/208 3 phase to Vcc ;)
>>
>Trust me, you do NOT wanna work for this guy!

>> 73 from Rochester, NY

Genessee country......What I would do for a cold Cream Ale and a beef on a weck. Or barbecue at the place that used to be the LVRR station (Dinosaur?)

73 de Jim, N2EY

2928. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040710.27667.00000210@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50755

In article <20020801201535.19202.00000132@mb-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>We could assume two vacuum tube broadcast band receivers
>survived the disaster, that 115 VAC power was available, a work
>area and hand tools were available, a soldering means was
>possible and at least a battery-powered VOM also survived.

Why does the VOM have to be battery powered if AC is available?
>
>For transmit frequency, the common superheterodyne local
>oscillator tuning range would be 1005 to 2055 KHz for a 550 to
>1600 KHz AM BC receiver having a 455 KHz IF...or 98.7 to
>118.7 MHz for an 88 to 108 MHz FM BC receiver. Using the
>LO circuit as a driver-VFO for a final made out the audio power
>output stage, the LO ranges cover the upper part of the AM BC
>band, the upper part of the FM BC band, and a small part of
>the civil aviation communications band of 118 to 137 MHz.
>Those frequency ranges would be available to other surviving
>BC or civil aviation receivers.

No imagination. Use the last audio stage as an oscillator tuning the same range
as the receiver.
>
>As to checking frequency with no test equipment, a second
>receiver could act as a wavemeter-like approximate frequency
>indicator. The second receiver would be necessary to establish
>two-way communications since the first receiver would have
>been converted to a transmitter.

Alrighty...
>
>The common pentagrid mixer-oscillator of tube type receiver has
>very little power output capability of the oscillator. The LO is
>coupled through the electron stream and needs very little power.
>That could be re-arranged so that the LO was feeding what was
>the audio output stage. That stage would have its plate tuned
>by the old loopstick or loop and the large tuning capacitor variable
>having a series capacitor to move the tuning up to fit the "new"
>range of exactly the dial frequency plus the IF. The old audio
>output transformer would be disassembled, unwound to get a
>thin-wire antenna, too short for AM BC frequency range but long
>enough for FM BC range.

No imagination. No need for all that.

Use the old audio stage as an oscillator, tuned by the old tuning cap and a new coil made from house wire. Unless you're on a desert island, there's wire around. House wire is good for antennas, too.
>
>This new "PA" stage might have an MF or VHF output anywhere
>from 30 mW to maybe 1 Watt depending on the tube type and
>ability to achieve an LO to PA input coupling that is near efficient.
>[presuming untuned coupling pentagrid to PA input grid with
>pentagrid 3rd grid used as on-off keying control] It might not even
>run full Class C, more nearly a Class B. Those simple tube
>designs had 120 VDC B+ and that is not close to making
>efficient amplifiers for more RF output.

A 50L6GT will do a couple of watts if properly handled. Voltage-double in the power supply if you don't like 120 volts.
>
>So, assuming the conversion went smoothly and rough alignment
>of frequencies was possible, a "QRP" rig has been genned up.
>It could cover a part of the BC bands which would ensure a higher
>probability of listeners/rescuers would be able to hear the
>transmitter.

Don;t forget to make the IF stae in the receiver oscillate for a BFO.

Better yet, remove turns from the coils and move the whole mess up to the 80 meter band.

>The problem now would be in finding someone outside
>the disaster area that could decipher morse code sufficiently to
>determine the disaster location (assuming it could be identified),
>number and condition of survivors and what specialized help would
>be needed (medical? first-aid needs? food? shelter?).

Easy. Just find some hams who know the code.

The lack of imagination on the part of Lenover21 is obvious.

With a little ingenuity, the audio stages of the first receiver can be used as a modulator for the converted second receiver, putting it on AM. The second receiver's dynamic speaker and output transformer can be used as a microphone.

No need for CW/Morse at all, in that case.

During WW2, it was common practice to convert an old BC receiver to WERS 2-1/2 meter transceivers. The audio stages and power supply were left basically intact, while a single tube was used as both a superregenerative detector and modulated oscillator. The BC tuning cap had plates pulled and a new coil made from heavy wire. Most difficult part was the switching. The sets were good for local AM communication up to a few miles with a decent antenna. Better techniques existed, but under wartime rationing many parts were hard to obtain.

Then there were the adventures of KG6GJX, the "Ghost of Guam"

Jim, N2EY

2929. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040711.27667.00000211@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50685

In article <aihc7c$3kt$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:

>Why do these 'no coder' types (whatever a no coder is) think that the use of
>CW will magically go away if/when the ITU decides not to make it a licensing
>requirement?

It's a wish, Dan. Some of them don't just want the test to go away.
>
>You 'no coder' types may need to re-evaluate your position when the 'coder'
>types just continue to march on not giving two hootes in

[expletive deleted]

>if you like it or not.

Well said!

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2930. Still More Mistakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040713.27667.00000214@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50752

In article <20020727014545.05039.00000290@mb-cm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020726220653.07756.00000179@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>In article <9f4bfe89.0207260903.a155d5d@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>>No need for the pejoratives. Perhaps Mr. Anderson is having trouble
>>>>with his computer.
>>>
>>>Quite so, as all readers have discovered in the last week.
>>
>>Even professionals make mistakes, huh? ;-)
>
>Who made mistakes?

You did.

>I didn't write that mail-assist program.

Passing the buck, huh? Funny how you take credit for everything except your
mistakes.

>My only "mistake" was in using it and taking it on faith that
>it worked without problems.

"Trust, but verify".

Several botched messages = several mistakes. Not testing before using = another
mistake.

>>>That should be rectified with file "Attach L.ZIP" which you
>>>received.
>>
>>It's good practice to explain what an attachment is when sending it.
>
>It's good practice to try emulating a civil human sometime,
>instead of passing yourself off as a pedantic father-figure.

You should take your own advice sometime, instead of demonstrating the "do as I
say, not as I do" philosophy, as you do so often here.

It's good practice to explain what an attachment is when sending it. Any civil
human who knows the basics of email etiquette knows that.

>>> The same will be sent to the other two requestors.
>>
>>I did not request any of what you sent.
>
>You got it anyway.

Why?

>Are you going to open it and view it?
>
Nope. Not worth the download time or the hard drive space.

>>>>... An email requesting further
>>>>information has not been answered yet.
>
>That alleged e-mail hasn't been RECEIVED yet. [You will now
>get real busy and write, send one to "prove" you did it...]

Nope.
>
>>>The file should be over 2 MB since it contains only two images,
>>>scans of the front and back of my First Phone first license.
>
>>I did not request it - why send it to me?
>
>Why not?

Shall I send you unsolicited emails with attachments, then?

>Now that you have it, do you want to argue little
>picayune details of it, make Himilayas out of anthills?

No - you're much better at that than I. Like your idea that the licensing of
under-14-year-olds is a problem for the ARS. You haven't cited a single problem
caused by the lack of an age limit. Your motivation is probably something like
'I didn't get a license before age 14, so nobody else should, either'. ;-)
>
>>I don't want it. I'll take your word that you once had an FCC commercial
>>license.
>
>Now you have near-tangible PROOF.

Not at all. Scans and JPGs are easily faked. Of course you'd never do such a
thing - we should all just trust you implicitly. ;-)

Where is the evidence that YOU are 'honest'? ;-)

> If you want to make an
>*ISSUE* out of it, go to the FCC.

Why? they don't care.

>Okay, you got my first Form 758A.

No, I didn't even bother to download it.

>What are you going to do about it?

Nothing - it's irrelevant.

Jim, N2EY

2931. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040714.27667.00000216@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50694

In article <Lcc29.521$SX6.23348459@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020801080707.03471.00000084@mb-cc.aol.com...
>> In article <3D488785.B1A8CF06@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >I'm not discounting knowledge of Morse code, but get real, but how
>> >many times have you heard of someone cobbling together a cw
>> >transmitter out of some parts and being saved.
>> >
>> It's happened a few times in the distant past. Could happen again, but
>>highly
>> improbable. More likely is the repair of existing-but-damaged radio
>>equipment.
>>
>> The "big problem" is simply that the person doing the cobbling would have
>>to
>> have the resources, knowledge and skill to do so. In all cases I know of
>>where
>> someone built a transmitter under disaster conditions, that person was
>>skilled
>> in transmitter construction before the disaster occurred. Is such skill
>>and
>> knowledge a license requirement today? Nope.
>>
>> Go down the disaster scenario path enough, and a soldering test makes a
>>lot of
>> sense.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>I'll agree with that assessment.

Apparently you missed the soldering irony.

>There's no reason that soldering an 8-pin
>microphone connector or a db-9 connector, and a pl-259 shouldn't be part of
>the exam.

It is interesting that all of the examples you give are connector soldering
examples.

Most hams would simply learn what was needed and pass the test.

But then some would complain that since soldering was not absolutely necessary to the operation of an amateur license, it shouldn't be on the test. Demands for medical waivers would appear. Hams who had to pass the connector-soldering tests ('rosinists') would be snotty to those who hadn't, and vice-versa. Some would point out that hand-soldering was almost obsolete, replaced by automated techniques ('wavists') and no-solder connectors ('crimpers'). Eventually a group would arise (NSI) demanding an end to all soldering tests.

>How about using a VOM to measure several different parameters of a circuit?

Digital or analog?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2932. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040714.27667.00000215@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50700

In article <s8c29.520$407.23591035@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Dick, every rig I've ever owned also had a microphone.

That's you.

>Unless you are
>talking about those cult kit radios meant to provide a few moments of fun
>while on a camping trip.

"cult kit radios"? You mean the FT-817? It has a mike. No wait, it's not a kit.

What rigs are you talking about? Be specific.
>
>More hams know how to talk than how to didah.

The problem is listening.

>Why do you continue to swim upstream?

Cause that's where the lady fish are.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2933. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040715.27667.00000217@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50757

In article <20020801232651.14884.00000355@mb-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20020731201142.04292.00000090@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>
>>The disaster scenario is possible only if the person has the skills,
>>knowledge
>>and resources to make the conversion. The skills and knowledge required go
>>far
>>beyond that required of the amateur license tests. So even if all hams have
>>to
>>learn the code, there is no requirement or guarantee that they be able to
>>build
>>even a simple transmitter.
>>
>>Of course many hams could build or convert an emergency transmitter in a
>>disaster scenario - but only because they took it upon themselves to learn
>>things not on the written tests. Apply the same logic to code tests.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY.
>
>Jim:
>
>The concept of building a functional transmitter out of a Sony Walkman
>notwithstanding, it is highly possible, in a disaster scenario, that a ham
>radio operator could cobble together a functional station, but be faced
>with severely limited power sources.

Now that's a much more reasonable scenario.

>What is the best way to utilize
>his available electrical power? Running his ICOM 706 at full power on
>75-meter SSB, or running it at 5 W on CW?

Actually, the best utilization is to use rigs which draw far less power than an
IC-706 (on receive as well as transmit). My Elecraft K2 needs less than 300 mA
at 12 V on receive with all the accessories turned on. A K1 draws as little as
40 mA.

>By doing the latter, I can
>keep my rig going from my car battery one heck of a lot longer.

Think solar, too.

>Even
>using 25 - 50 watts, I can keep operating a lot longer -- perhaps long
>enough to actually make contact with someone outside the disaster
>area. Of course, if knowledge of CW goes by the boards due to the
>reduction/elimination of code testing, then the best I can do is turn
>my power up full and scream bloody murder into my mike, and hope
>for the best.

PSK-31?

>The question posed by this thread is simple: Is there a Need to know
>the Morse code? I say yes, absolutely. For without this knowledge,
>we have no way to exploit one of the most practical, effective, efficient,
>and universal communications modes available to radio amateurs.

I agree! But the FCC doesn't. So we need to fill this need voluntarily.

>This truth will never change.

I don't know the future for sure.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2934. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040716.27667.00000218@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50687

In article <20020802093515.23247.00000909@mb-mp.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>If the tornado scatters your shack over a 1/2-mile radius, are you really
>telling us that you could cobble together a CW transmitter?

Sure - just go to the shack of a ham more than a half-mile away.

> Many hams would
>have some difficulty doing that on their workbench.

Without a tornado.

Actually the tornado scenario isn't a good one, because tornadoes tend to cause extreme but very localized damage.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2935. Re: One for the "Gipper"!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040716.27667.00000219@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50696

In article <3D4B67A3.9010406@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
>
>> FORFEITURE ORDER
>> Adopted: July 29, 2002 Released: August 1, 2002
>> By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:
>
> <A whole bunch of good news snipped>
>
>
>Mighty sweet!
>
Yep.

We need lots more of the same.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2936. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040719.27667.00000220@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50760

In article <20020721225153.06693.00000223@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020719081056.13297.00000035@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>>You gave one of your many lectures on things obvious to everyone.
>>>
>>>Don't be annoyed if you got a failing grade in my "lecture." :-)
>>
>>Only a poor teacher blames the students.
>
>Ah! An inciteful aphorism direct from the Book of Maxim (H.P.
>chapter). :-)

Nope. Just a simple fact.

>>>How many commercial radio operator licenses has
>>
>>(N2EY)
>>
>>>held?
>>
>>One. Second Class Radiotelephone. I let it lapse.
>
>ONLY a SECOND?!? Oh, my, with all your smarts you
>couldn't handle a FIRST?!?!?

Sure I could. I had no need for it - that's why I let it lapse. I used the
Second exactly once, then it sat idle.

>I had no problems getting
>a FIRST...except for a boring 90 mile train ride to Chicago
>and the nearest FCC office. [I kept my shoes on all the
>time and the only "uphill both ways" was the few steps
>at the end of the railway car]

That's nice.
>
>>Yep. As in "require by circumstances". Ask Cecil Webster.
>
>Who?!? :-)

W5DXP
>
>>>Just because

>>>someone doesn't agree with your exhalted opinions, that's not
>>>a reason to throw a hissy-fit and grow snarly...
>>
>>Then why do you get that way so often?
>
>Because I don't...

Yes, you do.

>and I just LOVE to see the reaction of the Extreme Literalists. :-)

All you have to do is look in the mirror for that ;-)
>
>>>>That's a generality. Do you know how much it costs to produce the ARRL
>>>>publications?

>>>Do you?

>>Nope. Nor do I claim to know.
>
>You can find out.

So can you. Go ahead.

>>>Is Amazon still in business? :-)
>>
>>Sure - and losing money. Not making a profit.
>
>Hehehehehehehehh. Six years that I know of Amazon being in
>business and they are still dealing...and expanding.

And losing money. And deep in debt. We're talking 139 million in interest
charges alone last year.
>
>You must have a VERY narrow extremely literal definition of
>"profit." :-)
>
Ask W5DXP. Better yet, try to sell him some Amazon stock, based on the
'profits'.
>
>>No. You're not the boss.
>
>...and YOU insist you are the "boss" in here... :-)

"The Boss" is Bruce Springsteen. I don't claim to be him.

I did grow up two blocks away from Todd Rundgren, though. Never met him.

In fact, my home town produced Mr. Rundgren, Jim Croce, Cheri Oteri and Tina
Fey, to name just a few. Also Barker&Williamson.
>
>>How? Do you not want to be addressed as Lenover21? That's your screenname,
>>and you don't sign your posts. What should people call you?
>

> <insert about 20 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing here>
>
>See the posts by your close, honorable K4YZ. :-)

Ah - so you WANT others to call you the names he calls you? OK, can do...

>>>>>Is Amazon still in business after many years? If so, it must be making
>>>>>a profit. Businesses do not continue in business without profit.

By that definition, Amtrak is making a profit, because it's still in business
after 30 years.

>>>Why are you so angry at Amazon?
>>
>>I'm not angry at them.
>
>You must be to make such an issue over them.

Nope. I'm simply pointing out yet another of your mistakes. Despite your
claims, Amazon is not making a profit. They are deeply in debt and losing money
like mad on an annual basis. Fortunately, their rate of losing money is
decreasing. Hopefully they will become profitable soon - for more than one
quarter, too.

>You have stock in
>them and they aren't paying you dividends? :-)

I don't have any stock in Amazon directly. Do you?

Amazon has not paid a dividend since 1999.
>
>>They are losing money. Perhaps they will become profitable. Amazon is not
>>making a profit now, nor has it for the past 3 years or so. Simple fact.
>
>Yes, well, I'll take it up with Allan Greenspan or maybe Louis
>Rukeyser... :-)

They would agree with me, not you. You're simply mistaken. Do YOU own any
Amazon stock?

>>The majority of those who commented to the FCC on 98-143 not only wanted
>>code
>>testing, they wanted at least two code tests (5 and 12-13 wpm). The MINORITY
>>OPINION won out over the MAJORITY in that case.
>
> <insert about 45 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing here>

You're laughing at the results of an analysis of the comments done by an NCI
person. He did a very thorough job. Do you think he was biased in favor of code
testing? I don't. In fact, I am impressed that NCI folks had the honesty to
report the results here, even though they clearly showed the nocodetest opinion
to be in the minority.
>
>>Do you believe in minority rule? If you agree with the FCC's dropping of the

>>code tests beyond 5 wpm, the answer is YES.
>
>Wow,

(N2EY)

>you're so FIXATED on the "need for code testing"
>and ARRL propaganda brainwashing that you can't see what it's
>all about.

Sure I can. It's all about the FCC not being convinced that code testing serves a regulatory purpose anymore, except for S25.5. I had my say, others had theirs, FCC made their decision. That's what it's all about. I'm not fixated - you are.
>
>The FCC establishes the licensing criteria,

Based on a number of factors. Comments are one factor.

>NOT the licensees who
>have already been licensed
>nor the demands of the ARRL (which
>has formerly dominated lobbying as a MINORITY organization).

Most of those who commented were already-licensed hams. Everyone had the opportunity to comment - no license required.
>
>There was no "official poll" of all the 2600+ documents on public
>view for the 98-143 NPRM. The FCC did list all the names of the
>commenters but there were fewer actual individuals due to so many
>making more than one comment.
>
>Did you read ALL 2600+ DOCUMENTS?!?!?

Many of them were duplicates. NCI did the survey - argue with them ;-)

> If you
>did you would find that the majority of the PCTAs commenting were
>doing so on the basis of each one of them having to go through all
>the steps in upgrading and testing at higher and higher code rates.

Did YOU read ALL 2600+ DOCUMENTS?!?!?

I don't think so.

But an NCI member did, and categorized them. Published his results here. The majority of commenters wanted at least two code test speeds. The FCC did not find the procodetest arguments compelling enough to retain code tests above 5 wpm, so the minority opinion took the day. End of story. FCC is not required to accept the majority opinion, so we got minority rule. Simple fact. Live with it.

>Nearly all of them cited their "tenure" in amateur radio as one of
>the prime basis for "knowing what was best for the future."

How is any of that "wrong"? They have experience, and have formed an opinion based on that experience. Other hams, with similar experience, argued that code testing was no longer necessary.
>
>Somehow, nearly everyone of those long-tenured knowledgeable-at-
>what-is-best-for-the-future-based-on-their-personal-past had failed
>to note the FCC's own statement in the 1990 NPRM establishing
>the no-code-test Technician class.

Nope. They just disagreed with it. Do you think FCC is infallible?
>
>>>No Code International is an international organization that seeks
>>>the elimination of the morse code testing for an amateur radio
>>>license anywhere.
>>
>>They claim to represent all radio amateurs everywhere, then.
>
>No, they represent ALL interested parties in the code test issue.

Nonsense. They do not represent any interested party in the code test issue who is FOR code testing. Just those against.

Ask K2UNK or WK3C if NCI "represent(s) ALL interested parties in the code test issue." I really do think they will say "no" or "no, just those who oppose continued code testing".

>No one need be an amateur licensee.

So? The same is true of commentin to the FCC or joining ARRL.

>>> No Code International does not restrict
>>>membership only to licensed radio amateurs.
>>
>>Neither does ARRL.
>
>VOTING membership. I can get a subscription to QST and have
>all the "voting" of a public library on ham issues...:-)
>
>That is just "membership" in a changed-definition sense.

All it takes to get VOTING membership is a Tech license - attained by six-year-olds. No code test needed for a Tech - been that way over a decade. Get with the program!
>
>>>The ARRL claims to represent "all" of US amateur radio yet its
>>>membership is less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.

Where do they claim to represent ALL? You used quotation marks - where's the original statement you are quoting?

You have claimed of NCI:

"they represent ALL interested parties in the code test issue."

(that's a direct quote - your own words)

It's obviously untrue.
>
>>NCI claims to represent what's best for all amateurs everywhere.
>
>NCI and its membership are only interested in ending the International
>Morse Code testing requirement for any amateur radio license exam.

They claim that's what's best for all amateurs everywhere. Maybe they're right.
I don't think so, and they don;t think I'm right, but you don't see K2UNK and I
calling each other "Billie" and "Jimmie" because of that little disagreement.

>>>The ARRL keeps wanting more money.
>>
>>Costs money to do what they do.
>
> H O W M U C H ?

Lots and lots. Why don't you give them some? I have. That reminds me, I better
send the check for the W1AW endowment fund.
>
>Give the exact dollar amounts, say from the 2001 tax returns.

Why? You can't even understand that Amazon isn't making a profit.
>
>Is the ARRL BoD paid a salary?

Nope.

>Don't they do their thing and
>travel to various meetings (supposedly paying their way, etc.)
>out of the "goodness of their hearts?"

Their expenses are reimbursed, within limits. Their time is not. Paid staffers
like W1RFI and KE3Z do not make policy.

>>NCI membership requires a loyalty oath. ARRL does not.
>
> <insert about 30 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing here>
>
>Of course, each and every 4300+ members of NCI had to be in
>front of a judge, raised their right hands, and swore to defend the
>constitution of NCI or something. The secret is out. That was a
>blood oath under muerta or something. Yes, and we all wear
>black suits with black shades, carry alien firearms, make movies
>under surnames of "Smith" and "Jones." Of course.

You're being Extremely Literal again.

Could I be accepted as an NCI member even though I want code testing to remain?
Nope. But NCI members could join ARRL, whether or not they agree with ARRL
policies.

Jim, N2EY

2937. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040720.27667.00000221@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50699

In article <20020724190722.25170.00000226@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 24 Jul 2002 23:07:22 GMT
>
>In article <20020724084529.24905.00000536@mb-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches
>>>From: Dick Carroll dickc58@att.net
>>>Date: 7/24/02 12:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>>>Message-id: <3C845D5F.D60997D1@att.net>
>>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>> You have never
>>>> held any class of amateur radio license, but you expound on the subject
>at
>>>> great length, and claim you could get one. In fact, you said on January
>19,
>>>> 2000, that you were going for an Extra "right out of the box". But, you
>>>> HAVEN'T done so, have you? :-)
>>>
>>> You know what the problem is, Jim. The poor bustard can't do even 5wpm!!!
>>
>>How do you know that, Dick?
>>
>>For more than 11 years, all US amateur operating privileges above 3o MHz
>have
>>been available without a code test. Yet Lenover21, despite numerous wordy
>>claims of radio experience, has not acquired a license.
>
>I've never needed an amateur license to do PROFESSIONAL radio work.

A COMMERCIAL radio license does not allow the holder to operate an amateur radio station.

>Explain why a valid amateur radio license is "necessary" to post on
>any subject regarding GETTING INTO amateur radio.

It's not necessary at all.

However, it is interesting that someone who claims to be interested in "getting into" amateur radio, and who has posted voluminuously here for years, has not

acquired ANY class of amateur radio license. It's even more interesting that a person who claims to KNOW others' motivations never reveals his own motivations.
>
>Note: This is an UNmoderated newsgroup.
>
Which means you don't tell others what to post, either.

>I have a commercial license in radio operating.

Which does NOT allow you to operate an amateur station.

>If anyone wishes to see
>a copy of it I will go retrieve the first one from my deposit box, scan it,
>and send the image in e-mail. A notarized-that-it-exists copy could be
>made available but that requires monetary compensation for the notary
>fees.

We'll take your word for it. It has no significance in a discussion of amateur radio policy.
>
>>It logically follows that, to him, it's all about HF.
>
>Not to me.

Then why are you obsessed with the code test for an amateur radio license? All amateur privileges above HF are available without a code test.

>Radio can exist between 9 KHz and 300 GHz.

8 kHz isn't radio? ;-)

>I don't
>think that US ham radio is "all about working DX on HF with CW."

Then why are you obsessed with the code test for an amateur radio license? All amateur privileges above HF are available without a code test.
>
>>Or perhaps the written test is too much of a challenge for him.
>
>Yes. Too much of a challenge to dumb myself down to a SIX-YEAR-
>OLD's level.
>
See, Dick, it's not the code at all - it's the written!

Jim, N2EY

2938. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040721.27667.00000222@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50686

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h068pol.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 01 Aug 2002 12:07:08 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>>a hobby. :-)" Minuteman missiles IIRC. He had one of THE keys.....
>>>
>>Fortunately, he never had to use them.
>
> He was 'sposed to use it once a shift for "the exercise" that
> "everyone knew" (from the radio call-up) was a "nothing is going to
> happen event" but one really never knew if it was "for real" or
> not.
>
> And of course for the once a year actual launch at the qualification
> faciliy at Vandenberg AFB.
>
>---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
Sorry for not being clear. What I meant was that the key was never used to
launch missiles with live warheads at an enemy.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2939. Re: Quick question...Motorola "Trunk" Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040722.27667.00000223@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50688

In article <j%O29.16771$pg2.1344490@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> For far less than the price of replacement vibrators (if you can find
>> them),

Tough to find and unreliable when found.

> replacement tubes (if you can find them)

Easy to find, and cheap in the right places.

>>and re-crystaling
>> and retuning for the one or two VHF ham-band channels it would be
>> limited to

A real killer. Xtals that will work are expensive and hard to find unless you
are really, really lucky.

>> you can buy a fully synthesized 2-m transceiver weighing
>> a lot less, a lot smaller, wuth loads of features that the old stuff
>> doesn;t have, and using a lot less primary power.

Yup. Lots of good used 2 m ham gear that will do the job for less than the
price of a full set of xtals.
>>
>> Use them for land-fill.

Better yet, tear them down for the parts and tubes. Some nice connectors and
other goodies are easily salvaged.

Keep the lead (solder) out of the landfills.

The most common ham use of those old sets was for repeaters. Just retune,
recrystal, get a duplexer and build an AC power supply and a control system.

> ---
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>If there is one in existence it should be preserved to answer the
>inevitable 'If they didn't want us to work skip why did they put us on 27
>MHz?' question.

AMEN!

And the question "why didn't Class A cb take off back in the '50s"?

>Dick Nï¿½BK
>

73 de Jim, N2EY

2940. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040723.27667.00000224@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50691

In article <ukmivalrngv017@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> writes:

>'Taint my thread, but I have a LOT of feelings about A-B.

Jump right in, Jim.

>The stupid things
>go beserk for almost no reason at all. You'd better not have any ground
>loops or you're lost. (don't ask how the ground loops got there. I don't
>need to take any more Rolaids).

How do you spell relief?

>Ladder logic is easy, but there are better
>ways of attacking a problem (usually). However, management in my company
>has determined that A-B is the only way to go (and we can't buy anything
>else).

They are prolly overwhelmed by the choices available.

> I wonder who has stock options in A-B? Guess I'll have to fire up
>the search engines and check out the Mitsubishi machines.

Lotta other hoices, too.

>BTW, can I get an
>interview with your boss?

W3RV & I are in Philly area. Check out realtor.com before you decide to move.
Property in Radnor Township goes for a bit more than in Fairport or Palmyra.

>I've programmed a heck of a lot of 'was' gates in
>my time .. 120/208 3 phase to Vcc ;)

HAW!! That's a GOOD one.

"was" gates...gotta remember that one

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2941. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040724.27667.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50690

In article <3D48C4E5.73652470@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <ai5kialsn1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
>> <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >Well, I certainly didn't expect a Spanish Inquisition in this newsgroup.
>>
>> NOBODY EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION!
>>
>> Is this the right newsgroup for an argument?
>>
>
>No, this office is for insults, you stupid get! :-)
>
12A, just down the corridor.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2942. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040724.27667.00000225@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50698

In article <d22b54c3.0208020428.3bdfebbc@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020731201140.04292.00000087@mb-da.aol.com>...

>> >To you they are a BIG THING.
>>
>> To many people they are "a big thing".
>>
>> >You want MOTORS in radio? Okay, no problem. Been there, done
>> >many.
>>
>Bwaaaahaha! There it is, NO clue about anything beyond the typical
>bench tech's specific weenie slice of knowledge and experience. From
>thirty years ago.

'zactly.

>> Where do you get that idea? I did not mention motors. PLCs are much more
>> than
>> motor controllers. Someone who knew all about "radio and electronics" would
>> know that.....
>>
>Amen!

Some of the applications are quite interesting. Many large bakeries use PLCs, for example.

>> Judging by your behavior on this newsgroup, it must really bother you that
>>I have some knowledge, skill and experience in the field of "radio and
>> electronics" that you do not have. Poor baby - live with it.
>>
>The maladroit would go berserk if he knew how you crank yer coin.

He does not have the "need to know".

>Whatta fun job for an EE . . .

I like it. The money is pretty good and it's kinda unique work.

But what I do for a living is not relevant to amateur radio policy. Neither is what Lenover21 did.

>> >memorizing the Instruction Set...which none of the micro makers have
>> >ever really standardized. This is for some radio applications, Jimmie,
>> >not

>> >factory process control.

>Never mind that if there weren't any factory process controls there
>wouldn't be any radios.

Right again. One of the main reasons for the drop in cost of electronics in real $$ is the use of automated manufacturing (meaning "controlled by PLCs").

>Bottom-feeder's cloistered mindset.

Well, there you have it.

>> Heck, I was doing that stuff in 1972. FORTRAN, BASIC, punched cards, paper
>> tape.

>And ladder-logic programming . . . good 'ole A-B . . uggg . .

Just another tool in the toolbox. Like Morse code skill.
>>
>All of which hit the bit bucket of electronics history out here in the
>2002 economy eons ago.

One does what the job requires, with the resources available. One of the most basic rules of engineering.

>What do you suppose His Putziness would have to
>say about the stack of new Mitsubishi PLCs I have in a corner of my
>office which will get programmed with c++ and VB?

Prolly say they don't exist.

>Guess how far the

[expletive deleted]

>would get with my boss in an interview if he came off with "But I
>can program a PIC chip . . . !"

HAW!!!

--

Ham radio uses for a PLC? Here are three:

- automatic control of az-el antenna rotators to track satellites or the moon
- remote control/indication of large antenna farm without extensive control cabling
- control/indication of power distribution and generators on Field Day

73 de Jim, N2EY

2943. Re: 5mhz update from NVIS group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040725.27667.00000228@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50683

In article <iqp29.974$1D.44579030@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>When the FCC authorizes us to use 5mHz, it will be for
>DOMESTIC use only. We will not be "ditdahing" the Brits on 5280 under any
>circumstance.

Are you sure it won't ever be allowed under any circumstance?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2944. Re: I Guess Lennie Didn't Get The Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040725.27667.00000227@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50689

In article <20020801201538.19202.00000134@mb-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>He sent me the same junk, Steve, even though I did not ask for it. Didn;t
>>even bother to download it.
>
>I most certainly did NOT send the "same" thing.

(N2EY)

> was sent a file
>which was a 300 DPI scan of both sides of my first First Phone license
>certificate. No more, no less.

You sent me two different files, unsolicited, with no explanation of what was in them until it was mentioned here. I didn't even bother to download them. Not worth the time.

>>>>I have sent my file "Attach L 150.ZIP" in private mail again. This will
>be
>>>>the third time the same file has been sent in private.
>>
>>Why? It's not what was requested.
>
>"Attach L 150.ZIP" is the file name for the reduced resolution scan of my
>first First Phone license certificate, reduced from 300 DPI to 150 DPI.

Why send it to me? I didn't request it. Your First Phone is not relevant to an amateur radio policy discussion.
>
>What was requested by the writer was a scan of my first First Phone
>license certificate. I sent that. In fact, I have sent that several times
>to the requester.

Who is "the requester"? It wasn't me. Yet you sent me two files. Why? Do you have something to prove?

>>> Make it 33 if you care to. You gave me more than ample reason to not
>>>trust you...again.

>I will send my scan of my first First Phone license certificate to anyone for
>confirmation of its contents. I have no problem with that whatsoever.

I don't want to see it. Not worth the download time. Just more spam to delete.

Besides, scans are easily modified. ;-)

Jim, N2EY

2945. Amateur Experience And Defunct Magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040728.27667.00000229@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50758

In article <20020724014439.25170.00000190@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>Incorrect. I've had other articles published in amateur radio journals -
>QST,
>to be exact.
>>
>>Your only "expertise" is limited to your own claims and a few articles
>>written decades ago for a now-defunct magazine.
>
>Magazines. Plural.

Amateur radio magazines? I think not.

> Microcomputing bit the dust, I think.

Defunct.

>BYTE
>is still in Peterborough and selling ads like there's no tomorrow.

Amateur Radio magazine? I think not.

>I don't know what happened to Call-A.P.P.L.E. other than that
>Washington organization dissolved.

Defunct.

>Well, you can count RCA Corporation as "defunct" I suppose. :-)
>They got sold to General Electric and GE sold out parts of it to
>other companies. There went a bunch of technical reports and six
>programs of mine in the Central Engineering Software Library.

That's nice. What's it got to do with amateur radio or amateur radio policy?

>I doubt you will accept publication in the SAS in-flight magazine
>under a pseudonym.

How do we know it was you if it's under a psuedonym?

> Those are non-radio humor pieces and you
>don't seem to understand much humor in here. :-)

When you actually write something funny, I'll let you know.
>
>>>>So you claim. But you have very little experience in amateur radio. You
>>>>have
>>>>no experience whatsoever as an amateur radio operator.
>
>Yes, Mr. Einstein, no unlicensed-in-amateur-radio person will have
>much experience legally operating a radio in the amateur radio
>service!

Well, there you have it.

Yet you want to lecture all of us on amateur radio policy. How odd.

>I can truthfully say that I have NEVER operated on the amateur radio
>bands without a fully-licensed, vetted, a-number-one, ichi-ban amateur
>radio licensee!

Which means you have almost no experience.

>It should also be pointed out while you are busy pointing fingers that
>EVERYONE before they were licensed in the amateur radio service
>did not have any amateur radio operating experience.

Nope. Only those who lecture endlessly about amateur radio policy with no
experience in the ARS at all.

>The unfortunate (for you) situation is that I HAVE had a fair amount
>of radio experience in operating as well as maintaining, tuning,
>measuring, designing, testing them since 1953.

But not as a radio amateur. Your claimed experience has no relevance to amateur
radio policy.
>
>>Nope. The FCC makes the rules, and to them, "operating an amateur radio
>>station" has a very precise definition. Your activites do not meet that
>>definition. The simple fact is that you have no experience whatsoever as an
>>amateur radio operator.
>
>De jure, not de facto, Sherlock.

It's a simple fact. You keep telling us all about your professional experience,
as if that is somehow applicable to amateur radio policy. It isn't.

> Nearly all of my radio experience has
>been as a PROFESSIONAL.

So?

>I wasn't talking about operating as an amateur, Sherlock.

I was.
>
>I've been trying to talk about GETTING INTO amateur radio via the
>TEST REGULATIONS.

Really? Your posts wander all over the map, and are full of name-calling, long diatribes about your professional and military history, lots of abuse of retired State Department employees and ARRL staff, and general mayhem.

Meanwhile, you have not obtained an amateur radio license of any kind. A person who was really interested would have done so long ago.

When somebody does try to talk to you about the test regs, you bite their head off at the first disagreement. Or you ignore the subject - such as what problems the licensing of young people has allegedly caused in the ARS.

>The morse code test. The TEST.

Have you ever tried to take that test? Ever tried to learn the code?
>
>ITU-R S25.5...eliminate or re-write to delete the international
>recommendation of morse code testing for an AMATEUR radio
>license.

I'm not in charge of that. Neither are you.

You're obviously not interested in getting a ham license at all. You'd have gotten a license and set up a station over a decade ago if you were. Or is it really all about DX on HF to you? Sure seems to be.

>>>occupied the entirety of a small airfield. It took a Battalion to staff
>>>everything. I'd say it was the "big leagues." :-)
>>
>>Of course you would. You did it, therefore it must be Important ;-)
>
>"I" didn't say it was "important," the Chief of Staff of the United States
>Army said the work of the 8235th was important enough to give the
>unit TWO Presidential Unit Citations...for the work we did after the
>formalized truce in Korea in July 1953.

That's nice. Congratulations.
>
>From what experience can YOU judge the US military, Jimmie?

From history. Is it forbidden for me to speak of such things? Is free speech somehow applicable to you but not to me?

>Old 1960s anti-war Vietnam propaganda?

Did you ever go to Vietnam? Ever fight there?

Ever go to the Vietnam Memorial in Washington, DC? Lot of names on that granite.

Many veterans who served in Vietnam think the war was a terrible mistake. Think about what former Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara had to say some time

back about Vietnam. Were they driven to think and speak that way by "anti-war Vietnam propaganda"? I don't think so.

>Why do you want to spit on US military veterans?

I don't spit on anyone. Show us where I've done anything like that. Convince veterans like K4YZ and K8MN that I'm anti-military in any way. I don;t think you can.

Why do you want to spit on US radio amateurs who disagree with you?

>>>I was using "standard phonetics" in 1955...when the US military
>>>converted to the NATO Phonetic Alphabet, which was quickly
>>>adopted by the ICAO the same year. Not a problem. Minor
>>>memorizing and being careful not to use the old set. :-)
>>>
>>>>Are you against amateurs having standards?
>
>I'm against some amateurs spitting on US veterans.

Show us where that is happening - if you can.
>
>>How do you mean? What "standards and practices" of 1932 are you referring
>to?
>
>Of the ENTIRE 1930s.

You weren't even around for the entire 1930s ;-)

> Such as "CW gets through when nothing else will."

Where have you ever seen me write that?

> A phrase fine for the 30s.

There are times when "CW gets through when nothing else available will". Simple fact.

>[expletive deleted] jingoism in this new
>millennium.

Do you have a better idea? Let's see it.

>The NTS is another, like it is a "needed by the nation"
>sort of "emergency communication system?" Pfui.

Do you have a better idea? Let's see it.

NTS is not primarily an emergency network.

>>>>>I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>
>It is time you started understanding.

Then explain, instead of editing out the original phrase. And you are not the boss in here. You don't set the standards of the ARS and you don't give orders.

>You don't boss the US ARS because
>you have 32 yarns as an extra or a swelled head (or whatever is swelled)
>because you want to be a real old one-by-two "expert."

I don't claim to "boss" anything.

You don't boss the ARS around, either. Nor do you have any authority over US radio amateurs. Live with it.

>Get with the program.

What program? All you do in here is tear things down. You don't tell us of a new vision for the future, or new ideas, just endless diatribes about the past.

>It's a new world with new people with new ideas and willing to try
>something new.

Like what? What are YOUR new ideas for the future of the ARS? An age requirement of 14 years for all classes of license is the only one I've seen. It's a really, really terrible idea - unless someone wants to damage the ARS.

S25.5 will very probably disappear at WRC 2003. FCC will very probably dump Element 1 shortly thereafter. There will be some moaning and groaning but the FCC will say, in effect, 'that's that, get on with it'.

What are YOUR new ideas for after that happens? Revoke the licenses of every ham under 14? I think you'd like that.

I recall a regular poster here who used to regularly lecture us with how 'backward' ham radio was technically, and how the code test was holding ham radio back, yada, yada, yada. Focus on the future, etc. Wasn't ever going to change his call, either.

Then Restructuring happened and he went from Tech Plus to Extra. Soon after, he was working DX on HF SSB. Then he traded in his 2x3 call for a 2X1. Nothing wrong with any of it but it sure was a bit surreal. After all the preaching about "new modes and new modulations" and 'technical progress' he's working on DXCC using SSB and a manufactured transceiver. Doing pretty good, too.

>I could care less if you are a fortysomething trying
>vainly to be an eightysomething revered amateur guru.

Yeah, right. Show us where I have "posed" as anything other than what I am.

How does knowledge of amateur radio's past make me 'eightysomething'? Perhaps the fact that I have much, much more amateur radio experience than you causes you to see me as an elder.....;-). The possibility that I may have more knowledge of amateur radio history than you obviously makes you very, very angry. Too bad - grow up and learn to live with it ;-)

You're the one who has changed screen names several times in an apparent attempt to hide your identity. Nocwtest, Lenof21, Lenover21, AveryFine, AveryFineman, and probably some others - all with AOL. Interesting.

Now please explain to us how the licensing of young people has caused problems for the ARS which are so serious that an age requirement of 14 is needed.

Jim, N2EY

2946. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:07:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805040729.27667.00000230@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50756

In article <20020801201534.19202.00000131@mb-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20020731201140.04292.00000087@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <20020724014447.25170.00000191@mb-fr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20020722231402.07768.00000226@mb-mw.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20020721225150.06693.00000222@mb-mm.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <20020719001100.13297.00000027@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>>(N2EY)
>>>writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>>How do you know? "Radio and electronics" covers a very wide range. Do
>you
>>>>>>know how to program a PLC?
>>>>>
>>>>>If you mean Programmable Logic Controller for "PLC," then no.
>>>>
>>>>Well, there you have it.
>>
>>>>>I'm not
>>>>>into control of big electrical motors or other industrial process
>>>machinery.
>>>>
>>>>PLCs are a big part of "radio and electronics". Most industrial processes
>>>use
>>>>them. Their sophistication has grown from simple relay-logic replacers to
>>>>distributed control systems. Many use radio and/or fiberoptics for
>>>>communications.
>>>
>>>Aha! Everyone can get the drift of this conversation...:-)
>>
>>The drift is that you are quite ignorant of large parts of the filed of
>"radio
>>and electronics".

>>>
>>>You probably work with PLCs and/or process control equipment, right?
>>
>>You are obsessed with finding out what I do for a living, even though that
>>is irrelevant to a discussion of amateur radio policy.
>
>Actually, I don't give a gas-pass in a hurricane about what you do..

Yes, you do. You're obsessed by it. You keep demanding to know my employment,
even though it's irrelevant to amateur radio policy.

>you are
>AFRAID to reveal your employer's name and work assignments because
>someone might poke fun at it. :-)

Not afraid at all. I know you will ridicule it, and me. That's what you do.
It's what you've done to several others.

Besides, you have not demonstrated an adequate 'need to know'.
>
>>>To you they are a BIG THING.
>>
>>To many people they are "a big thing".
>
>Absolutely. However, they don't have much to do with radio or
>communications, do they?

They have lots to do with "radio and electronics", which is the subject under
discussion. See the thread title.
>
>>Judging by your behavior on this newsgroup, it must really bother you that I
>>have some knowledge, skill and experience in the field of "radio and
>>electronics" that you do not have. Poor baby - live with it.
>
>Have you any job references to all that "skill and experience"
>to share with the group?

There you go - demanding to know my employment, even though it's not relevant
to amateur radio policy. Yes, I have job references. No, I'm not going to share
them with the group, or you. Live with it.
>
>So far you've failed to identify your employer and failed to identify
>a general field of work...other than "knowledge and skill" on PLCs.

As I have said before, I'm an EE (BSEE and MSEE degrees, from Penn and Drexel,
respectively) working in electrical engineering. That's all you need to know.
Even that is not really relevant to a discussion of amateur radio policy.

>>Been there, done that, freshman year EE stuff.
>
>No doubt you can do 1972-level programming, a la "freshman
>year" stuff.

That and a lot more.

> This is 30 years later and I doubt you can handle
>any version of C (now the industry standard high-level
>language), but you can read old student texts, quote them and
>astound your friends and neighbors who've never programmed.

Nah. That's old stuff.

Of course it's almost funny to read this sort of stuff from somebody who had to expressmail his comments to the FCC instead of filing electronically, because he couldn't figure out the ECFS system. And who just recently unintentionally spammed this NG with posts containing no new content due to software troubles. And who sent a document scan that took up almost 2 meg - zipped!
>
>Have you ever had any program of any kind in large corporate
>use?

Oh yes. ;-) Most of them are in use 24/7.

>>What does any of this have to do with the fact that you cannot program a
>>PLC?

>PLCs aren't used in radio or communications.

The subject is "radio and electronics". PLCs do have radio and communication applications. Use your imagination and figure some out.

Here's a hint: It's often less expensive to use a COTS PLC that's easily programmed and has rugged hardware rather than develop a custom control system around a PIC, PC, or other technology that requires lots of development.

Jim, N2EY

2947. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:21:45 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aimtb741pf7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50951

Yeah, unfortunately in the regards of the lack of USB ports, it blows major chunks. As far as everything else it seems to work just fine. Allows me to do a variety of different things with it in my free time and also when I take it to work every night. That is how I read these newsgroup messages..... just download 'em and read them offline. I am also working on scanning about 7000 pictures here over the summer and have about 3800 done so far, since I started in June. Gotta love the super slow summers at my place of employment.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:CKy29.819$yF5.49504392@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> Eww. A thinkpad. Sorry.

2948. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:44:09 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aimtb851pf7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50798

I guess the solution would be if "coders" hate "no-coders" so bad, then
don't talk to them on the air....

Hmmm.... then all you would have is "coders" communicating with "coders"
only. Only problem with that is that after a certain point, "coders" will
have QSO'ed with all the other "coders" and will have run out of new
contacts. As well as them dropping from the ranks due to old age, heart
attacks etc., those numbers would continue to drop from year to year.

I guess that is what is pissing "coders" more than anything. They want new
QSO's for "the log" and with some of these "no-coders" not automatically
becoming "coders" then they will not have anyone new to QSO with. Maybe
that is what has crawled up their proverbial "butt."

Purely speculation at this point....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:aihc7c$3kt$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Why do these 'no coder' types (whatever a no coder is) think that the use
of
> CW will magically go away if/when the ITU decides not to make it a
licensing
> requirement?
>
> You 'no coder' types may need to re-evaluate your position when the
'coder'
> types just continue to march on not giving two hootes in hell if you like
it
> or not.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2949. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:45:41 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aimtb961pf7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50800

A "no-coder" here that believes that 100w is more than adequate for any mode
or band.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:aihqmoqru1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Apparantly so Brian. Well I gotta run. I am working the North American
> QSO Party on 40 CW
>
> (Thats coder for screw loose there) Only have about 140 worked so far.
>
> And thats with 100 watts and wires BTW to all those power freaks, whatever
> that is.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

2950. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:46:48 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aimtb971pf7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50794

Jeesh..... I got the bonus edition. Mine had printing on all of the pages!
:)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:TbY29.72$wx3.3819677@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:uko816i0invt55@corp.supernews.com...
> > And, of course, hopefully they will make the written test easier. The
way
> I
> > take it, as long as you can spell your name and can put your address
down
> > properly, you get the license. Oh, maybe 50% will be passing (I've seen
> > that proposed). I hope you're up to it.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> So then why is thae ARRL charging $25 for a study manual with nothing in
it?
>
>

2951. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:57:07 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aimtba81pf7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50795

Hmmm... first off, if a tornado, for instance as stated earlier in the thread, hits where I live, I do have feet and can walk anywhere I want in our county or most others that I would be traveling in Michigan and reach a civilized area where there should be others that may be able to assist me within 1-3 hours. If I am in a physical condition that prevents me from do that, then I believe that tending to my injuries would be paramount to "McGuyer'ing" up a transciever (not just a transmitter but a reciever too none-the-less) after the whole residence that I was staying in was possibly destroyed. This is assuming that every possible part necessary to build a functional transciever (cw or not) are still intact after being spread out by a tornado.

What other examples, as you state "There are many others.?"

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> Why do so many totally miss the point? When a ham knows how to use CW the
> opportunities to communicate are numerous far beyond those who cannot. Bob
> merely notes an extreme example. There are many others.
>

2952. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:58:20 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aimtbb91pf7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50796

Smoke signals... but must be a "pro-smoker" to do so.....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> code, what are the numerous other ways?

2953. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 08:59:54 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aimtbc01pf7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50799

It ONLY makes them superior to others in respect to that they have a
knowledge of morse code.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> I am not knocking knowledge of Morse code, I would really hate to
> see the requirement go away, but I am amazed at how some seem to
> think that knowing the Morse code somehow makes one far superior
> to others.

2954. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 09:03:02 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aimtbd11pf7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50797

Yes... and that is probably true, but from the analogy presented earlier,
what are ya gonna do if that junk box is spread out in a fashion typical of
what most tornado's do as well as the rest of your house, as stated earlier?

Just a thought...

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D4AD4C3.2CCFF3D9@hotmail.com...
> JJ wrote:
> > I know a lot of hams who are very proficient in cw, but in a
> > disaster they would be challenged to make a flashlight work, much
> > less cobble together a transmitter from parts.
>
> I could cobble together a CW transmitter from parts from my junk box
> but I wouldn't even attempt to convert my Yacht Boy 400 into a
transmitter.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2955. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 10:23:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805062303.10086.00000209@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 50761

In article <20020804231200.19340.00000428@mb-ch.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <rqh39.13400$cI.1018361@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
><KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
>>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>>
>>> A suggestion, the very last of several. You would have been
>>> unaware of it except for K0HB's snarly little
>>> comment three years ago in here.
>>
>>Here are my "so-called snarly" comments -- in view of your continued
>>jeremiads on this matter, they bear repeating.
>
>You are merely a bear in the MN woods. :-)

??

>>Leonard H. Anderson (presumed to be r.r.a.p.'s beloved "NoCWTest"), in
>>rambling comments to the FCC on January 13th, has proposed a new restriction
>>on entrance to amateur radio. He proposes that license applications from
>>otherwise qualified persons should not be accepted if the applicant has not
>>attained their 14th birthday.
>>
>>This arbitrary restriction, in a service which has historically gained most
>>new members from youth, seems calculated to choke off new entrants and
>>ultimately reduce the number of licensees below the 'critical mass' needed
>>to justify our frequency allocations. He would deprive young people such as
>>Scouts and school-based radio clubs of this educational resource, and
>>accelerate the "technical dumbing down" of America.
>
>Oh, yes, three and a half years ago I was "depriving young people"
>from becoming SIX YEAR OLD HAMS after kindly old VEs had
>"passed" them in testing.

You were, and are, still trying to do that. Your demand to the FCC for an age
limit of 14 would stop more than just a few six year olds from getting
licenses.

Do you have any evidence that the six year olds did not meet all of the FCC
requirements? Remember, comprehension of the material is not required.

>You "conveniently" left off the reason I gave to the FCC on WHY
>I made that, didn't you?

Why don't you refresh our memory? The only "reason" I've ever seen is the simple fact that two six year olds earned licenses. How that equates to a need for an age requirement of 14 has not been explained.

>You were so eager to make your attack
>that selective editing was your weapon of choice.

Wonder where he learned that?

What IS your reason? You've been asked many times, but nothing is forthcoming. Perhaps you are so angry because Hans hit on the real reason: You want to cut off a supply of new hams, so that the number of hams drops and the average age rises.
>
>Okay, since then, US amateur radio has gotten a NINE YEAR
>OLD AMATEUR EXTRA. Aren't you thrilled?

Actually, there was an eight year old Extra, back before restructuring. That meant passing 20 wpm code and 5, count 'em, 5 written exams. I've worked that young ham - on 20 CW. Good operator, interesting conversation.

What's the problem? Are you jealous?
>
>How does it feel to be compared to a NINE YEAR OLD?

Why does it matter? If I run a marathon, and a nine-year-old runs a marathon, my accomplishment is not diminished.

Ah - maybe that's what this is all about. You told us back on January 19, 2000, that you were going for Extra "right out of the box", but it hasn't happened yet.
More than two and a half years ago. But if you actually went and did what you said you were goin to do, anyone could point out "yeah, so what, an 8 year old did it before restructuring".

Why do you hate young people so much?

>After your ranting and shouting in here on that "terrible" suggestion,
>I simply dropped the age thing.

Where did you "drop it"? Did you write the FCC and retract your reply comments? (FCC classified them as reply comments, by the way).

Or did you simply wish them away, because you have no solid reasons to back up your demands?

Do you hereby disavow your earlier demands for an age requirement and admit to being mistaken, in error, incorrect, and just plain wrong about the idea?

> Everyone was all set to do lynching
>under the emotional heat of sub-teeners so I dropped it, but some
>latter-day sub-teeners want to make like outraged little league
>parents now and then.

Poor baby. Can't you take any negative criticism? Must everyone tiptoe around your sensitive feelings, while you savage theirs? Sure seems like it.

A "lynching"? Was there a torchlit mob coming down Lanark Street with a rope?
I don't think so.

>I'll put you down as one of those little kids.
>
Can't you take a little tweak?

>I'll just read in Newsline that US ham total population has stagnated
>for two years...even while this "educational resource" went not far
>with NO age limit.

The US amateur radio population grew about 8000 in the past 2 years. The Newsline figures you read are for all amateur licenses, not just those held by individuals. The number of individuals with US amateur license has grown by at least 8000.

Quite clear what your goals are.

Jim, N2EY

2956. Re: CW crashes US dollar and US industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 10:51:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uksm4fommorhfe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50762

And another CBer trolls for not only a code-free test (they do exist), but a test free license to boot. Hmmm .. unfortunately, if there is a license at all, he'll have to spell his name correctly and likely get his address on the form correctly as well. Not likely.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Chewie" <cw@forbid.net> wrote in message
news:200285-1150-372488@foorum.com...
>
>
> In the sixties, USA appeared as a modern country with their space program
to
> conquer the moon. The US dollar was strong and the US economy too.
> 40 years later, the fact to still glorify CW makes that the world does not
> trust anymore that USA is a model of progress, but a country of old farts
that
> look forward to the 19th century. So the US dollar falls and the US
economy too.
> Consequently, about 80% of US hams are CBers and do not even know how to
> pronounce ENGLISH correctly on the bands. More, they use a "custom"
alphabet
> such as "sugar" (for the "S" letter, which international spell is
"SIERRA")
> that once pronounced is closed to "shogun" (some kind of japanese lord) or
> even "chougar" that is closed to "OSCAR" in the QSB.
> Result : It's impossible to answer to 50% of US hams calling CQ (even they
> are 59+) because of their bad spelling. That is the consequenses of CW, US
hams
> do not know how to speak (listen to the G guys and you will see the
difference).
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

2957. Re: Age Limits - WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 11:54:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4E6763.96661BA9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50763

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <rqh39.13400$cI.1018361@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
> >
> >> A suggestion, the very last of several. You would have been
> >> unaware of it except for K0HB's snarly little
> >> comment three years ago in here.
> >
> >Here are my "so-called snarly" comments -- in view of your continued
> >jeremiads on this matter, they bear repeating.
>
> You are merely a bear in the MN woods. :-)
>
> >Leonard H. Anderson (presumed to be r.r.a.p.'s beloved "NoCWTest"), in
> >rambling comments to the FCC on January 13th, has proposed a new restriction
> >on entrance to amateur radio. He proposes that license applications from
> >otherwise qualified persons should not be accepted if the applicant has not
> >attained their 14th birthday.
> >
> >This arbitrary restriction, in a service which has historically gained most
> >new members from youth, seems calculated to choke off new entrants and
> >ultimately reduce the number of licensees below the 'critical mass' needed
> >to justify our frequency allocations. He would deprive young people such as
> >Scouts and school-based radio clubs of this educational resource, and
> >accelerate the "technical dumbing down" of America.
>
> Oh, yes, three and a half years ago I was "depriving young people"
> from becoming SIX YEAR OLD HAMS after kindly old VEs had
> "passed" them in testing.
>
> You "conveniently" left off the reason I gave to the FCC on WHY
> I made that, didn't you? You were so eager to make your attack
> that selective editing was your weapon of choice.
>
> Okay, since then, US amateur radio has gotten a NINE YEAR
> OLD AMATEUR EXTRA. Aren't you thrilled?
>
> How does it feel to be compared to a NINE YEAR OLD?
>
> After your ranting and shouting in here on that "terrible" suggestion,
> I simply dropped the age thing. Everyone was all set to do lynching

> under the emotional heat of sub-teeners so I dropped it, but some
> latter-day sub-teeners want to make like outraged little league
> parents now and then. I'll put you down as one of those little kids.
>
> I'll just read in Newsline that US ham total population has stagnated
> for two years...even while this "educational resource" went not far
> with NO age limit.

It doesn't upset me in the least that a nine-year-old holds the Amateur Extra. From your comments, it would appear that it bothers you. Do you have yours "out of the box" yet?

Your "I simply dropped the age thing" is amusing. It appeared in your comments. I didn't noticed any amended comments. I don't recall you making any earlier "I simply dropped the age thing" comments here. Oh--you mean you simply dropped it right now?

Dave K8MN

2958. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 11:56:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4E67F9.43B3497D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50764

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020804083907.00629.00000857@mb-fu.aol.com...
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > >I
> > >think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the term
> > >you keep using?
> >
> > Only as applied to the actions of other people...
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI/6
>
> Slippery!

That's why you should be careful when stepping in it.

Dave K8MN

2959. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:23:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4E6E5A.EA462527@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50766

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4CAC94.5D46D198@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ...and to that point and that point only do your QSLs do "the same
> as
> > > > > > > any other cards does".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Its got the American Flag on it, too.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh? Do operations from Somalia now fall under Part 97? ;)
> > > >
> > > > It fell under Pres George Bush and the Joint Chiefs of Staff.
> > >
> > > Neither the President nor the Joint Chiefs issue amateur radio licenses.
> >
> > As the President is the Chief Law Enforcement Officer of the land, he is
> > also the Chief Issuer of Amateur Radio Lincenses in the land. As the
> > Commander in Chief of the US Armed Forces, he was my boss in Somalia as
> > well. He appointed officers to be in charge of me. He delegated much of
> > his authority to those officers. They made decisions for him in his
> > absence.
> >
> > Although he did come to Somalia, he was too busy to deal with my licensing
> > issue.

Please produce an instance where a U.S. President has issued an amateur
radio license. You're really reaching.

> > > They called it Operation Restore Hope.
> >
> > >
> > > > > > > He was the commander. You were not. The on-scene commander makes
> > the
> > > > > > > decisions. You go decide to work more hams out of band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Commander of what? Commander of amateur radio operations?
> > > > >
> > > > > Commander of me and everything I did.
> > > >
> > > > Even during four years of Air Force active duty, I never had a commander
> > > > of me and everything I did.

>
> Then you misunderstood your contract and your Oath.

I don't recall signing a contract and certainly don't recall any portion
of my oath dealing with my off-duty time, amateur radio or DXCC.
Say--what did you sign up for anyway? It sounds an awful lot like a
religeous cult.

> Did you join the AF to
> avoid the draft?

Are you nuts? I served for double the time and still went to Vietnam.
I know draftees who never went to 'nam. What does that have to do with
whether your Somalia QSOs counted for DXCC credit?

> > > > Have you asked anyone at the DXCC desk?
> > >
> > > No, I never have. I don't know that they are accepted or rejected.
> >
> > A DXer would know if his operations are accepted or rejected. You claim
> > to be a DXer.
>
> A good Airman would know that his choices in life are quite limited in > a forward
deployed area.

We weren't discussing airmen. We were discussing whether your
commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses and
whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.

> > > Maybe I'm going on what you've
> > > > provided: 1) That your operations have not counted 2) That you don't
> > > > know if your operations counted. Pick a story you like. Maybe I have
> > > > insider information and am using it to further embarrass and discredit
> > > > you.
> > >
> > > Dave, I've no corner on the embarassment market.
> >
> > C'mon, Brian--Don't sell yourself short.

> > > > What's to know--that there was action by the U.S. military in Somalia?
> > >
> > > As if you'd been there.
> >
> > No, As if I'd worked in a State Department Comm Center, read the papers
> > and newsmagazines, listened to radio and watched TV. Was it supposed to
> > be a secret? I'm aware that you were in Somalia. I asked if your
> > operation was accepted for DXCC. You say that you, the DXer, don't
> > know.
>
> I'm certain of it.

Certain of what--that it was supposed to be a secret or that you
contacts were accepted for DXCC credit? You've been telling us that you

don't know about the latter.

> > > > > If there had been a Ministry of Communications, like the places you
> > > > > go to, I would have been more than happy to wipe off my boots at the
> > > > > door, clear my weapon, and go in side to fill out the proper >
> > > paperwork.
> > > >
> > > > I've been in places where there was plenty of gunfire.
> > >
> > > That's when we execute a NEO and get you guys out.
> >
> > I didn't run into you in Vietnam and don't recall seeing you anywhere
> > else.
>
> Were you a combatant in Vietnam or a DXer?

I don't know of any Air Force AFSC for "DXer".

> Where have you been evacuated from?

I've never been evacuated from anywhere for anything.

> > > > > And you say the
> > > > > on-scene commander has no authority to authorize what one of his
> > > > > soldiers, sailors, or airmen does?
> > > >
> > > > No, I say that a commander might grant authorization which is not his
> to
> > > > grant. I say that higher-ups might not approve of such authorization.
> > > > I say that the DXCC desk might not credit such operation. What has
> the
> > > > DXCC desk said about your operation from Somalia? Have you found if
> it
> > > > counts or not?
> > >
> > > Dave, if you say my commander has no authority to grant authorization, >
> what is it to the DXCC desk?
> >
> > To the DXCC desk, it counts or doesn't.
> >
> > > How can they grant it?
> >
> > They don't grant it. They accept it or reject it.
>
> How can they make such a determination if there is no host country to > grant a license?

Oh, there was a host country. There just wasn't any civil government.
Drop the DXCC desk a note and ask.

> Oh Omniscient DXer Supreme, how do the others do it?

Drop the DXCC desk a note and ask them.

> > > They have no authority over
> > > Operation Restore Hope, me, nor Somalia.
> >
> > No, they have authority over the DXCC program.
> >
> > > I just don't understand.
> >
> > I gather that.
>
> More to the point, if my commander cannot grant me permission to do as > I did, who can?

I dunno, Brian. Why not drop the DXCC desk a note and see what they
consider acceptable?

> And how does the next guy do it? Is the DXCC desk arbitrary?

I think the DXCC program attempts to be as fair and equitable as
possible. Why not drop the desk a note and ask about it?

> > > > > Thus, no amateur operation from Somalia can be legal?
> > > > >
> > > > > Other operations have counted throughout the years. Did yours?
> > > >
> > > > I don't know, but how could it? No one had the authority to authorize
> it.
> > > >
> > > > Who
> > > > > granted permission to operate for those accepted operations?
> > > >
> > > > My commander.
> > >
> > Your commander? Over all of them through any number of years? How did
> > he do that?
>
> In Korea, I applied for a Korean license via the Army MARS site in Yongsan.
> They had streamlined the application process so I didn't have to take my
> boots off at the front door of the Ministry of Communications. It might
> have been some agreement in the SOFA.

...and probably was. Such an agreement is between the two countries for
legitimate amateur radio operation.

> I did have to report all communist contacts to the AF Office of Special
> Investigations even though my license had nothing to do with America or the
> Air Force.

You're kidding! I think you read some additional requirements into the
regs. While state had a "contact reporting" requirement and had a
travel restriction in those days which stated that I could not travel to
"bloc countrries" unless accompanied by another cleared American, the
reporting policy did not apply to amateur radio contacts. I know this
because I queried Diplomatic Security. If it had not been the case, DS
might have had to hire more help just to handle my CQ Worldwide Contest

bloc QSOs. I can see them trying to analyze, "599 16" from a Ukrainian
and my "599 15" reply.

> On Guam, a USA Posession, I merely had to sign Stroke KH2 per FCC
> regulation. Same procedure for AFOSI.
>
> Somalia was different than either. I didn't have a manual on what to do
> from a country with no government. So when all else fails, you ask
> your commander.

...and if he doesn't know anything at all about amateur radio, he might
say, "Well hell yes, Brian--go ahead". Under those circumstances, the
DXCC desk may or may not accept your operation for DXCC credit.

> > > > Do you
> > > > think the ops from the accredited operations knew if their operation
> was
> > > > accepted for DXCC credit?
> > >
> > > I can't speak for them. The other operator, a marine, seemed to have
> > > everything figured out. He went to places like that all the time, and
> had
> > > his ham/mars shack palletized, ready for deployment. He ran phone
> patches
> > > on 20M and MARS for his troops.
> >
> > MARS QSOs don't count for DXCC credit.
>
> Apparently, neither do my amateur contacts. Feel better?

I don't feel better or worse, Brian. All of my operations were accepted
for DXCC credit. Were yours?

Dave K8MN

2960. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:27:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4E6F48.D6975308@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50765

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <Zqi39.323$eW7.14409919@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> NIAGARA FALLS!
>
> >> Little by little, step by step she returns.
>
> Slowly I turned - step by step - inch by inch....
> >
> >It's like a sickness. I stopped once for about 4 months.
>
> Mark Twain worte something like 'quitting smoking is easy - I've done it
> hundreds of times'
> >
> >I wonder if they have RRAP meetings downtown, after the AA meetings?
>
> No comment..

I like the meetings. It's the RRAP music I can't stand.

> >> Aren't you and Alec Baldwin supposed to be gone?
>
> Alec Baldwin used to be married to a Kim. You don't suppose....?

Not in his worst nightmares or in her dreams.

Dave K8MN

2961. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:46:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805084622.22093.00001854@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50767

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>We were discussing whether your
>commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses and
>whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.

What is really a hoot here is that Brian took the "high moral ground" wrt your operating legally in band to work French stations on 6 meters. At the time, we didn't realize that Brian was advocating that you just set up shop in another country without the benefit of any approval from anyone in authority in that country (a polite way of saying "bootlegger") and work all comers on a frequency that you didn't have any right to use.

No wonder he keeps obessing about double standards.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2962. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:47:04 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ailvh1$l3d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50773
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Pope Cheddar I" <popec1bmdbmf@aol.com> wrote in message
news:c3f39.13080$nc.996968@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" Vietnam Dat the fighting man <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote
> in message news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you folks.
> > We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
> >
> > Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL' ??
> > 9/11 wake you up, did it?
> >
> > Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest
> wierdo's
> > that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> > FIREBREAKS.
> >
> > Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Some of us may be liberal twits Dan, but we do
> know how to enjoy life, eat right, hold
> an open mind, accept alternate lifestyle
> individuals as equals, and reduce our risk of a
> stroke or heart disease worrying and/or being
> led about the nose by right-wing yahoos on
> shortwave broadcast radio who say there's plans
> afoot to put "microchips in our buttocks".
>
> Take it easy. Live life and enjoy it Dan.
>
> Jay, (Gore-Gephardt 2004)

Day to day existence taxes the mentally-ill so-called PTSD gimp.

2963. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:47:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805084759.22093.00001855@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50768

From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com

>No, you will be able to get your license from a box of Wheaties.
>Or Capt. Crunch.

Do you believe in that prophesy enough to put a lobster dinner on it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2964. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:48:57 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ailvh2$l3d$1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:6Ti39.336$l%7.14692475@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> You can say that again!

You're not very bright, are you?

2965. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:49:34 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ailvh4$l3d$2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D4DE51E.7D678CA0@ix.netcom.com...
> Lloyd wrote:
>
> > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, AB8MQ
>
> I had thought 2x2 callsigns starting with "A" were for extras. Just looked
> him up and he is an extra.

Llardasssss Lllllloyd fucks up again.

2966. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:50:24 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ailvh5$l3d$3@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50776
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@BARF14313HQnet.org> wrote in message
news:aikcc0qlh0@pita.alt.net...
>
> [post edit]

Poor Llardasssssssss prison bitch.

2967. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:51:05 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ailvh7$l3d$4@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:BTi39.338$bd.15154482@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> And so he does!

Wrong again, dumbass. Are you getting a good blowjob from "Lloyd" for your
ass-slurping?

2968. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:51:14 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805085114.22093.00001858@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50769

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>OK, I just gotta ask, Is Cecil really a 100' Giant?

I didn't measure him.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2969. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:52:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805085253.22093.00001860@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50770

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>Little by little, step by step she returns. Aren't you and Alec Baldwin
>supposed to be gone?

Brian is supposed to be gone, too. I expect he will now have to accuse you of having a double standard for not including him in your every post. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2970. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:55:05 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ailvh8$l3d$5@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50778
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:wRi39.335$0d.15144212@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aijsifmos0@pita.alt.net...
> > "Dumbass Dan/W4No Trace of Intelligence" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
> wrote in
> > message news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you
> folks.
> > > We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
> >
> > And why are Republicans so anal retentive? Look at Dumbass Dan, he
> threatens to
> > slit people's throats over the air and yet only gets e-mail from Riley
> telling
> > him to stay off of certain frequencies.
>
> Maybe it shouldn't matter, but did he threaten Osama? Arafat? Saddam?
> Moamar? Ayatollah?
>
> > > Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL'
> ??
> > > 9/11 wake you up, did it?
> >
> > Hey, Dumbass Dan, what happened to Bush taking out Osama Bin Laden? Hasn't
> > happened and he's trying to backpedal out of his shady accounting
> practices when
> > he was with daddy's oil company.
>
> Osama is under 200,000 tons of rock in a former Afghanistan cave.

Bzzzzt! Wrong again, dumbass. You'd better contact Bush, he needs your psychic
skills..Not.

> > > Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest
> wierdo's
> >
> > Why is it Republicans are so illiterate?
> >
> Bruce is a Republican?

Who the fuck is Bruce? Is he your "special "houseboy?

> >
> > > that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> > > FIREBREAKS.
> >
> > You should consider yourself lucky, you might get the insurance money back
> from
> > that dump you call home if it burned down.
> >
> Not nice. What if someone were injured trying to prevent his domicile from
> burning? I wouldn't trade the life on one firefighter for the lives of 100
> Green Peacers.

I wouldn't trade the lives of 100 "GreenPeacers" for your life, dumbass.

> >
> > > Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?
> >
> > Poor Dumbass Dan, he was too stupid for the Democrats and went to the only
> parety
> > that would take the crippled gimp, the Republicans.
>
> Careful. You might get the "other" Dan on here ranting about making fun of
> people with disabilities.

Don't worry, I'll ridicule you for the both of us.

> And besides, compassionate conservatism includes helping people with
> disabilities help themselves. Nothing wrong with that.

Yeah, some of them like you can even figure out how to post to the internet.

2971. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:57:43 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ailvha$l3d$6@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50779
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:aikmgd$t75$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> Yeah Brian I have a big mouth. And I probably did threaten a lot of folks.
> And since your curious here is the list.
>
> George Ashley W4FQF Alias Polly Parrot
>
> Billy Jack Moore W4LLI Alias Claymore, Gravel 2. and others. Well known
> side kick of Parrot. They would sit on top of our group ALL NIGHT jamming
> for years.
>
> Most if not all of the idiots from 3898.
>
> Most if not all of the idiots from 3894.5
>
> Dave Castle WA9KJI. The clown of 3950 fame that would drop down un
> ininvited to jam.
>
> Plus all others that just made life miserable for all those years.

Plus No Trace of Intelligence has jammed 3.898 and 14.275 himself.

> And if I did make such utterances...I am not one damn bit sorry? Why is
> that you ask? Look at the list and ask again.
>
> But a certain brown liquid and I have parted company. So I seriously doubt
> I'll be fool enough to give that scum such a good target any longer.

Translation: no Trace of Intelligence is a backstabbing liar, and not to be
trusted.

> Bet many of them are really pissed that they can't find me.

14.226.5

> Bet they thing

Bet No Trace of Intelligence is mixing booze with his anti-depressants again.

> I'm gone. Boy they should see my log. Thats right...I keep a log. It's
> good in a Federal court, you know that.... right?
>
> Well Brian you take care and don't let these little scum sucking turds
> bother you.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

>
>
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:wRi39.335$0d.15144212@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:aijsifmos0@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Dumbass Dan/W4No Trace of Intelligence" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
> > wrote in
> > > message news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you
> > folks.
> > > > We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
> > >
> > > And why are Republicans so anal retentive? Look at Dumbass Dan, he
> > threatens to
> > > slit people's throats over the air and yet only gets e-mail from Riley
> > telling
> > > him to stay off of certain frequencies.
> >
> > Maybe it shouldn't matter, but did he threaten Osama? Arafat? Saddam?
> > Moamar? Ayatollah?
> >
> > > > Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN
> CONTROL'
> > ??
> > > > 9/11 wake you up, did it?
> > >
> > > Hey, Dumbass Dan, what happened to Bush taking out Osama Bin Laden?
> Hasn't
> > > happened and he's trying to backpedal out of his shady accounting
> > practices when
> > > he was with daddy's oil company.
> >
> > Osama is under 200,000 tons of rock in a former Afghanistan cave.
> >
> > > > Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest
> > wierdo's
> > >
> > > Why is it Republicans are so illiterate?
> > >
> > Bruce is a Republican?
> > >
> > > > that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> > > > FIREBREAKS.
> > >
> > > You should consider yourself lucky, you might get the insurance money
> back
> > from

> > > that dump you call home if it burned down.
> > >
> > Not nice. What if someone were injured trying to prevent his domicile
> from
> > burning? I wouldn't trade the life on one firefighter for the lives of
> 100
> > Green Peacers.
> > >
> > > > Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?
> > >
> > > Poor Dumbass Dan, he was too stupid for the Democrats and went to the
> only
> > parety
> > > that would take the crippled gimp, the Republicans.
> >
> > Careful. You might get the "other" Dan on here ranting about making fun
> of
> > people with disabilities.
> >
> > And besides, compassionate conservatism includes helping people with
> > disabilities help themselves. Nothing wrong with that.
> >
> >
>
>

2972. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 12:59:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208050459.7f0a2eb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50771

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D4D7FE0.56DECB8@mailcity.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > The fly in the ointment which will make itself apparent in the next
> > few years is the current descent of the sunspot counts which will
> > drive the MUF down below 25 Mhz. There will be several years when all
> > these goobers with their "high power" ten meter reddios won't be able
> > to raise anybody beyond the town limits with the things except during
> > rare band openings. That'll pee on their parade plenty. The coded
> > Techs who have been enjoying the dxing of late on 10m are about to get
> > the same "education".
>
> That won't stop the truckers from using 10 meters, they are not
> interested in long distance communications, they are only
> interested in communicating with their trucking buddy on the
> interstate a few feet ahead.
>
>
Don't ageree, if ya listen you'll find many of 'em "shooting skip"
while underway.
>
>
The other side of the coin is that there are 18 wheeler road warriors
who are licensed hams running in trucker's nets on 20 & 75 ssb and
they're quite active. A buddy of mine is one of 'em, he oscillates
between north Jersey and Jacksonville and runs a 706 into a
screwdriver on HF. He has *eleven* antennas on the truck. At the
bottom of the list of permits & registrations lettered on the side of
the cab (USDOT 345678, etc.) is "FCC N3MEP". Overall they're not quite
right people.
>
>
w3rv

2973. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 13:05:24 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4E7814.2C9537E3@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50772

N2EY wrote:
> You shrank, Cecil. It used to be 300,000 feet. Or was that in a different
> dimension?

The faster I travel in that dimension the more I shrink.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2974. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 13:21:53 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ailvj7$l3d$e@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50780
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris

"Lloyd" pparis7@cox.net wrote in message news:aikc98qhk0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
> GLENDALE, WV: [Pathetic]

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

July 11, 2000

CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-administer any examination element previously administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE's). The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or under the supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE designated by the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

Please be prepared to verify your current address and to present a photo identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your license will be cancelled if you do not appear for re-examination. You will be granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements you pass upon re-examination.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio

Enforcement Bureau

Looks like you can't even cut and paste without fucking it up.

2975. ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 15:41:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <F0x39.14666$cl.1137087@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50781

Below is an excerpt from the minutes of the July BoD meeting. As threatened earlier, and by unanimous vote, the Directors have decided to remove the minutes of the ARRL BoD from QST.

Maybe even more telling, they have quietly removed the words "Official Journal" from the cover of QST. Isn't that interesting?

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

51. On motion of Mr. Johnston, seconded by Mr. Bellows, it was unanimously VOTED that in By-Law 33 the words "publish them in QST" be replaced with "make them available to members." The first two sentences of By-Law 33 now read, "The Secretary shall record the proceedings of all meetings of the Board and of the Executive Committee. He shall promptly furnish copies of the minutes of these meetings to all Officers and members of the Board and make them available to members."

2976. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 16:16:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aim8cjreb1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50782

Dang...you HAD TO pick the band I don't have an antenna on. Hang on I can do a 'Roger Special'. Now where did I put that dental floss?

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:d22b54c3.0208041727.601875d7@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message news:<aijn26$f2d$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > Piece of cake...but I would make it in one weekend not a couple of months.
> > As long as QSL's are not required. Or maybe get them on EQSL would be
> > alright.
> >
> > Which contest?
> >
> >
> . . single-band entry in the CQ WW, 160m ssb . .
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> W3rv

2977. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 16:18:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aim8g2$12m$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50783

That was good Steve.

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020805024108.20534.00001101@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: The Need for CW
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 08/04/02 23:11 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020804231159.19340.00000427@mb-ch.aol.com>
>
> >In article <aikauj$9am$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> ><w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:
> >
> >>Real hams do it with a CONTINOUS WAVE.
>
> >Oh, boy, I know what big Dann was going after...the old, trite
> >strawman accusation of "don't you know what CW really
> >means?" Gosh, yes, big Dann, I know..."CW" has become a
> >synonym for on-off keyed CONTINUOUS Wave using morse
> >code.
>
> Once again, The Extreme Literalist, having only half the facts and
not
> having the "inside joke" on the "continuous wave" line, lapses into
another
> anti-Ham rant. he doesn't HAVE this "inside joke" because he's NOT on the
> inside...He's on the OUTSIDE, nose smushed up against the window pane,
watching
> others lips move but not quite being able to make out everything that's
being
> said.
>
> Sheesh.
>
> What a freakin' PUTZ.
>
> Steve
>
>

2978. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 16:30:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aim958ujf1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50784

I think you are the ONLY person I know of that actually reads those minutes.
As long as they are available, I see no problem.

As to removing the phrase "official journal of the ARRL"....why did they do
that? Is it no longer the 'official journal' or are they finally admiting
that all it really is is a platform for advertisers and some idiotic
articles. Boy the old QST had a bunch of decent articles. Not any more,
and not for a long long time.

Guess the board, in its infinite wisdom, decided to stop the sham.
Especially since they have removed the only 'official' thing in the rag.

Don't get me wrong. I still think the ARRL has a place in ham radio.
Heck...I'm a lifer. But that don't give them the rite to ruin what used to
be a decent magazine.

Perhaps that is why I subscribe to CQ and CQVHF...what you think?

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:F0x39.14666$cI.1137087@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Below is an excerpt from the minutes of the July BoD meeting. As
threatened
> earlier, and by unanimous vote, the Directors have decided to remove the
> minutes of the ARRL BoD from QST.
>
> Maybe even more telling, they have quietly removed the words "Official
> Journal" from the cover of QST. Isn't that interesting?
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>
>
>
> 51. On motion of Mr. Johnston, seconded by Mr. Bellows, it was unanimously
> VOTED that in By-Law 33 the words "publish them in QST" be replaced with
> "make them available to members." The first two sentences of By-Law 33 now
> read, "The Secretary shall record the proceedings of all meetings of the
> Board and of the Executive Committee. He shall promptly furnish copies of
> the minutes of these meetings to all Officers and members of the Board and
> make them available to members."
>
>
>

2979. Re: Amateur Experience And Defunct Magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 17:08:59 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D4EB12C.CFB78A4C@psu.edu>
Source record: 50786

N2EY wrote:

> I recall a regular poster here who used to regularly lecture us with how
> 'backward' ham radio was technically, and how the code test was holding ham
> radio back, yada, yada, yada. Focus on the future, etc. Wasn't ever going to
> change his call, either.
>
> Then Restructuring happened and he went from Tech Plus to Extra. Soon after, he
> was working DX on HF SSB. Then he traded in his 2x3 call for a 2X1. Nothing
> wrong with any of it but it sure was a bit surreal. After all the preaching
> about "new modes and new modulations" and 'technical progress' he's working on
> DXCC using SSB and a manufactured transceiver. Doing pretty good, too.

There is a lesson there, Jim. Just the same as no job is too hard for
the person who does not have to do the work, and people without children
can give much sage advice on raising kids to parents, it is often much
easier to criticize ham radio (or pick the field) from a position of ignorance.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2980. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 17:11:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <gly39.14775$cl.1147922@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50785

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote

>
> Guess the board, in its infinite wisdom, decided to stop the sham.
> Especially since they have removed the only 'official' thing in the rag.
>

Well, it appears I owe the editor/publisher an apology. My June copy did
not say "Official Journal of", but on further examination, those words have
returned on my August copy.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

2981. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 18:02:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4EBDA2.F2B989D3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50787

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >We were discussing whether your
> >commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses and
> >whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.
>
> What is really a hoot here is that Brian took the "high moral ground" wrt your
> operating legally in band to work French stations on 6 meters. At the time, we
> didn't realize that Brian was advocating that you just set up shop in another
> country without the benefit of any approval from anyone in authority in that
> country (a polite way of saying "bootlegger") and work all comers on a
> frequency that you didn't have any right to use.
>
> No wonder he keeps obessing about double standards.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Don't forget his vaunted ethics. He points out that I'm no judge after
he's chastised me for actions on the part of French hams.

It looks like the airmen have come home to roost.

Dave K8MN

2982. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 18:04:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4EBE43.C39E7CB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50788

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >Little by little, step by step she returns. Aren't you and Alec Baldwin
> >supposed to be gone?
>
> Brian is supposed to be gone, too. I expect he will now have to accuse you of
> having a double standard for not including him in your every post. :-)

Surely you remember! Brian is allowed to change his mind within hours of
making a vow.

Dave K8MN

2983. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 18:19:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4EC1A5.94691D29@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50789

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> Below is an excerpt from the minutes of the July BoD meeting. As threatened
> earlier, and by unanimous vote, the Directors have decided to remove the
> minutes of the ARRL BoD from QST.
>
> Maybe even more telling, they have quietly removed the words "Official
> Journal" from the cover of QST. Isn't that interesting?
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>
> 51. On motion of Mr. Johnston, seconded by Mr. Bellows, it was unanimously
> VOTED that in By-Law 33 the words "publish them in QST" be replaced with
> "make them available to members." The first two sentences of By-Law 33 now
> read, "The Secretary shall record the proceedings of all meetings of the
> Board and of the Executive Committee. He shall promptly furnish copies of
> the minutes of these meetings to all Officers and members of the Board and
> make them available to members."

At the risk of cranking up the "California Crank", I've already expressed my displeasure over the removal of "Section News" and the contest line scores from QST to President Haynie, Director Bodson, the Roanoke Division Vice Director with an info copy to WV SM KC8FS. Our SM polled WV amateurs and got an overwhelming response for keeping "Section News" in QST. Even he was taken by surprise by the news of the contest line score removal.

I'd addressed the subject of "Section News" with Director Bodson immediately after it was initially brought up. He told me to relax and that the issue was tabled for further study. According to recent material issued by the League, the six months was to give members "time to adjust" to the change.

Great Lakes Director Geo. Race and at least one SM have already resigned over the issue. As for me, I'm no longer going to participate in any ARRL contest and I will no longer donate any funds to the ARRL. I also plan to either run against Bodson or support a candidate who runs against him in the next Roanoke Division election.

I pointed out to Haynie that the internet can be a two-edged sword and that I hadn't, at that time, brought the issue up here. His reply was condescending and dismissive. At one point, he wrote: "Yes, and I do read some of the post. I think that QRZ has the best forum and I do read it on a daily basis and have even posted there on several occasions.

r.r.a.p. has degenerated to the point that (I went there today) there is nothing useful there that I can see that even has the remotest relation to amateur radio." I can tell that he is a busy man because he added, "Last, it has taken over an hour just to write this, four phone calls two of which were from Washington having to do with amateur radio. So, I need to get back to work.

Removing the board minutes is just another reason to get involved.

Dave K8MN

2984. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 19:51:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aimkv8$4e$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50790

Hmmmmmmm...perhaps an oversite? We will be watching you Newington.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:gly39.14775$cI.1147922@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Guess the board, in its infinite wisdom, decided to stop the sham.
> > Especially since they have removed the only 'official' thing in the rag.
> >
>
> Well, it appears I owe the editor/publisher an apology. My June copy did
> not say "Official Journal of", but on further examination, those words
have
> returned on my August copy.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>
>
>
>

2985. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 19:58:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <6OA39.15194$cl.1169957@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50791

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

> As for me, I'm no longer going to participate in any
> ARRL contest and I will no longer donate any funds to the ARRL.

I'll continue to play in our League radiosport events, and I'll continue to provide financial support.

I will also continue to voice my opinion on issues that concern me. First and foremost, we are a radio club, and the club journal ought to first report on issues related to the club, the activities of it's membership, and the actions of our elected leadership.

> I also plan to either run against Bodson or support a candidate who runs
> against him in the next Roanoke Division election.

Been there, done that, and maybe it's time to do it again.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

2986. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 20:10:09 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D4EDBA2.551605CD@psu.edu>
Source record: 50793

Dave Heil wrote:

> r.r.a.p. has degenerated to the point that (I went there today) there is
> nothing useful there that I can see that even has the remotest relation
> to amateur radio."

I agree with you on a lot of what you wrote.

But I also agree with Mr Haynie on his quote. Like it or not, rrap is becoming irrelevant to ham radio policy (this thread being a notable and lonely exception)

Most of the messages are one person insulting another, even if the message is somewhat relevent. But unfortunately most of the messages are not relevent to the ARS and policy. Just clashing personalities.

But even this thread will likely take the road to perdition after a few more posts.

I would encourage posters here to decide if they want this group to be their little playground where thay can fight with each other and call each other names, or if they want to have the group actually mean something.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2987. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 20:12:39 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D4EDC37.65C70BCB@psu.edu>
Source record: 50792

CAM wrote:

> "10-4, Back Door, put the pedal to the metal and let 'er roar."
> From a CB song back in the 70's. What was the name of that song?

Convoy.

I wonder how many sonegs there has been About CB?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2988. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 22:36:47 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4EFDFF.D757B17C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50952

Dave Heil wrote:
> Surely you remember! Brian is allowed to change his mind within hours of
> making a vow.

So are you, Dave. Ever vowed to extract revenge on someone and felt differently the next morning? Do you carry through on your revenge just because you vowed to do so in a moment of irrational emotional weakness?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2989. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 22:59:08 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D4F033C.3630F997@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50953

KC8PMX wrote:
> Yes... and that is probably true, but from the analogy presented earlier,
> what are ya gonna do if that junk box is spread out in a fashion typical of
> what most tornado's do as well as the rest of your house, as stated earlier?
>
> Just a thought...

Well, someone earlier suggested an automotive battery with a file connected
to the negative terminal and a long-wire antenna connected to the positive
terminal. Raking the end of the antenna wire along the file will allow one
to transmit a Morse code SOS on virtually all frequencies at once. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2990. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:03:41 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208051503.2342e3b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50954
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"20 meter clowns" <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com> wrote in message
news:<5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> I was tooning across 75 meters last night and I could not find the Great
> Liberty NitwitNet
> anywhere! They seem to have been booted off their original frequency of
> 3.950 by a fine group
> of sourmash swillin good-ole-boys from 4-land.
>
> What gives??
>
> Has w1wcr finally met his match like the
> Republicans will be doing in November 2002
> and 2004?????
>
> Inquiring minds want to know!!

In reality, who cares? It's like Hal Turner and "Profit" Stair - who cares?

> A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com
>
> BELCCCCCHH - I want my w6nut! WHAAA! WHAAA!
>
> http://www.435online.com/ <--(the mother of all)
>
> http://www.n6tk.com/ <--(VERY funny recordings)
>
> www.farts.com <--(fart noise .wav's galore for replay on 75!)

2991. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:05:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208051505.39e45879@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50955
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:<aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you folks.
> We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.

They aren't. Look at the government you have in DC now. An airhead and
an old fogey, neither of whom can think for themselves.

> Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL' ??
> 9/11 wake you up, did it?
>
> Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest wierdo's
> that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> FIREBREAKS.
>
> Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?

They aren't. Look in a mirror. TWIT!

2992. Re: The Logic Behind Name Calling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:05:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805190555.12758.00000247@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 50956

In article <20020805040705.27667.00000204@mb-mw.aol.com>, Rocky or Bullwinkle, caught in a manic identity crisis thinking they were Jon Bloom, blurted out:

>There are lots of other examples of Lenover21's name calling.

Of course. Secretly (trying to hide my identity) I got an extra AOL account and posed as an extra radio amateur and called everyone nasty Yiddish-ethnic pejoratives! The secret is out!

>In other words, because others have called Lenover21 "Lennie" (derived from the
>characters 'Lenny and Squiggy' on the TV show "Laverne and Shirley"), he thinks
>it gives him the right to call me "Jimmie" and other names.

Okay, which do you want to be called...Laverne or Shirley?

>Try to get an honest answer out of Lenover21 as to why there he thinks there is
>a need for an age requirement of 14 years for a US ham license. All he has done
>so far is to refer to the licensing of a few six-year-olds - but no reference

That poor horse, beaten again...

I'd like to see the definition of "honest answer." The way poor Judge Jimmy wants to rule the court is that "honesty" is whatever the Judge feels is "honest."

Here come da judge...here come da judge! :-)

2993. Censor Jimmy Lectures yet again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:05:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805190559.12758.00000248@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 50961

In article <20020805040728.27667.00000229@mb-mw.aol.com>, Judge Jimmy,
attempting to hide in justice robes, went on Court TV and performed:

>That's nice. What's it got to do with amateur radio or amateur radio policy?

What did the 1965 motion picture "Flight of the Phoenix" have to
do with radio or communications? You HAD to comment on it
but were incorrect in your statements. You were corrected.

What does PLC programming have to do with radio or
communications (including amateur radio)? Oh, yes,
you claim to do that and you are a licensed radio amateur
so therefore it is "applicable." Of course. :-)

What does the business condition of Amazon.com have
to do with amateur radio or amateur radio policy? You
have dwelled on that extensively.

You have, in the past, made many mentions of an
unverified "MV Oak" sinking on a New Year's Eve of
more years ago and being "rescued via CW."

>How do we know it was you if it's under a psuedonym?

I will scan the honorarium letter and send it e-file. :-)

>When you actually write something funny, I'll let you know.

Here's laughing at you, kid... :-)

>Yet you want to lecture all of us on amateur radio policy. How odd.

Oh? Ah...strong advocacy of no morse code test in US amateur
radio is "lecturing all of you on amateur radio policy!" :-)

Yes...objecting to some opinions of long-time hams exhibiting
Class A personality traits is "lecturing all of you on amateur
radio policy!" :-)

Not odd. So many of you have imagined all of amateur radio
in Your Image therefore any negativism against any one of
you is a "slap at all of amateur radio!" :-)

>But not as a radio amateur. Your claimed experience has no relevance to
>amateur radio policy.

Then the FCC has "no relevance" in SETTING radio regulations
because none of the commissioners or staff have to be licensed
in amateur radio or have experience in it.

You can't have it both ways.

>It's a simple fact. You keep telling us all about your professional
experience,
>as if that is somehow applicable to amateur radio policy. It isn't.

Not under Judge Jimmy, true. :-)

I just mention some of what I've done. Not claims, verifiable
fact. Radio physics are the same in any radio service,
regardless of man-made legislation to make them "different."

You keep telling everyone that PLC programming is essential
radio and electronics knowledge yet you have NOT given any
evidence of bona fide applications which are verifiable by
anyone else.

>>I've been trying to talk about GETTING INTO amateur radio via the
>>TEST REGULATIONS.
>
>Really? Your posts wander all over the map, and are full of name-calling, long
>diatribes about your professional and military history, lots of abuse of
>retired State Department employees and ARRL staff, and general mayhem.

I said I've been TRYING to talk about getting into amateur radio.
Under the obstinacy of the injured-pride long-timers furious at
invaders of their sacred domain, I seem to be spending 95+%
of posting defending all the received name-calling, long diatribes
of glory and honor in hamdom, abuse from a retired State person,
and general mayhem of their origin.

Problem is, all those severely-pride-wounded long-timer hotshots
didn't realize that they aren't bullet-proof. They are all virtual
Burger King addicts wanting things Their way. Not my problem.

>Meanwhile, you have not obtained an amateur radio license of any kind. A
>person who was really interested would have done so long ago.

Oh? :-) It wouldn't have made any difference, would it? :-)

Judge Jimmy just can't accept opposite opinions without
commenting on the person of the commenter. A license for
the purpose of discussing things is really irrelevant...except
for use as a pseudo permit to write.

>When somebody does try to talk to you about the test regs, you bite their head
>off at the first disagreement. Or you ignore the subject - such as what
>problems the licensing of young people has allegedly caused in the ARS.

Now, now, you are resurrecting a dead horse once again,
futily beating it to prove you are "right" all along. You created
several strawmen. I explained my statements on the comment
to the FCC in the statements to the FCC some 3 1/2 years ago.
You did not comment on those then but only chose to bring
them up as a way to win message points to somehow prove

you are "right."

All you do is demonstrate necro-equine flagellation all over again.

>Have you ever tried to take that test? Ever tried to learn the code?

Irrelevant except for you to use answers in a ridiculing manner.

Prove to the FCC that a morse code test is essential to being
licensed as a radio amateur. They grant licenses, not you.

>>ITU-R S25.5...eliminate or re-write to delete the international
>>recommendation of morse code testing for an AMATEUR radio
>>license.
>
>I'm not in charge of that. Neither are you.

Why does someone have to be "in charge?" ANY citizen can
COMMENT on that. The US adminstration has already established
its position (including textual changes) on all matters concerning
the up-coming WRC-03.

Only the US adminstration has any vote on those matters. ARRL
can't vote. N2EY can't vote. The "US administration" to WRC-03
consists of Department of State, NTIA, and FCC.

>You're obviously not interested in getting a ham license at all. You'd have
>gotten a license and set up a station over a decade ago if you were. Or is it
>really all about DX on HF to you? Sure seems to be.

Why is it necessary to "demonstrate motivation?" Why is it
necessary to demonstrate it to you? Who are you?

The FCC doesn't require a "demonstration of motivation." They
only specify the test regulations...which may be changed...and
they are the only ones granting licenses.

Does ARRL grant licenses? Does a Pennsylvania ham club
grant licenses?

>>From what experience can YOU judge the US military, Jimmie?
>
>From history. Is it forbidden for me to speak of such things? Is free speech
>somehow applicable to you but not to me?

Oh, I see. You "know" all about history from historical references
without having first-hand experience...yet you insist on "practical
experience" in amateur radio in order to discuss amateur policy.

Very clear. In other venues it would be called hypocrisy...or, more
familiarly, a "double standard."

>Did you ever go to Vietnam? Ever fight there?

Did you?

My Honorable Discharge was issued April, 1960 after 8 years of
military service.

>Ever go to the Vietnam Memorial in Washington, DC? Lot of names on that
>granite.

Nasty little remark there, non-veteran Jimmy.

I can show you two names of former classmates who died in
Korea...but those are on private headstones.

I can show you markers at the Fort Monmouth entrance road
(Monmouth is near your beloved "boss" home town) of those
signalmen who died in WW2 and Korea.

What is your point here except to arouse some emotional
whatever irrelevant to the subject? You were never in any
military service, never took that oath to defend the Constitution
of the United States as part of that military service.

>Many veterans who served in Vietnam think the war was a terrible mistake.

Well, then, you just go argue Vietnam policy in another policy
newsgroup. This one is about amateur radio policy, isn't it?

>Why do you want to spit on US radio amateurs who disagree with you?

I am not shy about speaking to individuals who claim to be
superior to me. If they also have amateur radio licenses,
that doesn't make them superior.

Superior types do not speak kindly to inferiors. They become
highly disturbed when their balloons of superiority are popped.

No problem to me. Balloon popping is a form of entertainment.
If your TS card needs punching, no problem, I'll punch that.
Been there, done that, have the reversible collar. :-)

>You weren't even around for the entire 1930s ;-)

I was born in 1932.

>Then explain, instead of editing out the original phrase. And you are not the
>boss in here. You don't set the standards of the ARS and you don't give
>orders.

Neither do you, Bruce. :-)

>You don't boss the ARS around, either. Nor do you have any authority over US
>radio amateurs. Live with it.

ARS can't take orders? Not even from the FCC?!? :-)

>Like what? What are YOUR new ideas for the future of the ARS?

...I'll write "my section manager" on that. :-) :-) :-)

>An age
>requirement of 14 years for all classes of license is the only one I've seen.

Get with the program, Bruce. Three and a half years ago there was
more. Why don't you beat that dead horse, too? :-)

>It's a really, really terrible idea - unless someone wants to damage the ARS.

You tellum, Bruce!

>What are YOUR new ideas for after that happens? Revoke the licenses of every
>ham under 14? I think you'd like that.

I think your arm is getting tired beating that very dead horse.

>Yeah, right. Show us where I have "posed" as anything other than what I am.

Heh...an eightysomething old-timer in a fortysomething body... :-)

>How does knowledge of amateur radio's past make me 'eightysomething'?

ATTITUDE. Air of superiority. Actually, you were much worse
on the AOL newsgroup, sounding more like a cross between
ninetysomething and old issues of QST circa 1920. :-)

>Perhaps
>the fact that I have much, much more amateur radio experience than you causes
>you to see me as an elder...

Others see you as an elder in the Church of Hiram. :-)

>You're the one who has changed screen names several times in an apparent
>attempt to hide your identity. Nocwtest, Lenof21, Lenover21, AveryFine,
>AveryFineman, and probably some others - all with AOL. Interesting.

"Apparent attempt to hide identity!"

Good grief, you create the flimsiest of strawmen to attempt an
attack on another!

Four years ago AOL accepted screen names with only 8 characters.
They did not accept duplicates of existing names or even the first
five characters which had a similarity to others already picked.
AOL permitted at least two other screen names (handles) for an
existing account. You were there, should know that...why do you
say it was all an "attempt to hide identity?"

Judge Jimmy hides his AOL bio. For a real name after his AOL
screen name he uses his amateur radio callsign...which is the
same as the screen name. :-)

My identity and mailing address has appeared inside thousands
of magazines for years, the same as what it is now. How is that
AN ATTEMPT TO HIDE IDENTITY?!?

Judge Jimmy is weird, maybe even wired...

2994. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:06:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805190600.12758.00000249@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 50958

In article <20020805040719.27667.00000220@mb-mw.aol.com>, Rocky, the
PLC-augmented morseman stumbled around the ring with his face looking
like an old Philly cheesesteak, tried to raise Adrian but only scribbled:

>>>One. Second Class Radiotelephone. I let it lapse.
>>
>>ONLY a SECOND?!? Oh, my, with all your smarts you
>>couldn't handle a FIRST?!?!?
>
>Sure I could. I had no need for it - that's why I let it lapse. I used the
>Second exactly once, then it sat idle.

Oh my, the great double-degreed Drexel grad couldn't sit around
another half hour to get the whole First? Tsk, tsk.

>"The Boss" is Bruce Springsteen. I don't claim to be him.

The Artists and Repertoiry newsgroup is down the hall, also the
Red Bank and Asbury Park better business bureaus.

I think you are trying to be a sly Stallone type for the old guard
in US hammy radio. :-)

>Nope. I'm simply pointing out yet another of your mistakes. Despite your
>claims, Amazon is not making a profit. They are deeply in debt and losing
>money like mad on an annual basis. Fortunately, their rate of losing money is
>decreasing. Hopefully they will become profitable soon - for more than one
>quarter, too.

Amazon hasn't yet filed for bankruptcy. If they make "no profit" then
they would have failed to pay their debts long ago.

Your critique of things rather outside radio or communications
seems based on incorrect "corrections" of others in areas
where those others know more about the subject than you do.

One example is motion pictures and novels of 35 years ago
where you made several incorrect statements.

Another example is that industrial electricity components are
"common" in radio or communications, yet have failed to
show any specifics of their applications (which no one else
has done).

>Sure I can. It's all about the FCC not being convinced that code testing
serves
>a regulatory purpose anymore, except for S25.5. I had my say, others had
>theirs, FCC made their decision. That's what it's all about. I'm not fixated

You are fixated on being "correct" in here. You reject corrections
of your own mistakes. Your self-pride is at stake here and you
wrongly believe some are setting fire to those stakes. You get
disturbed when others bring marshmallows.

>>Did you read ALL 2600+ DOCUMENTS?!?!?
>
>Many of them were duplicates. NCI did the survey - argue with them ;-)

An INDIVIDUAL did a "survey." It was put into the FCC database
as two comments. Read it for yourself.

>>No one need be an amateur licensee.
>
>So? The same is true of commentin to the FCC or joining ARRL.

ARRL does not accept VOTING membership from unlicensed
(as an amateur) individuals.

>>>>The ARRL claims to represent "all" of US amateur radio yet its
>>>>membership is less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.
>
>Where do they claim to represent ALL? You used quotation marks - where's the
>original statement you are quoting?

>>>NCI membership requires a loyalty oath. ARRL does not.
>>
>> <insert about 30 minutes of "BWAHAHAHA" guffawing here>
>>
>>Of course, each and every 4300+ members of NCI had to be in
>>front of a judge, raised their right hands, and swore to defend the
>>constitution of NCI or something. The secret is out. That was a
>>blood oath under muerta or something. Yes, and we all wear
>>black suits with black shades, carry alien firearms, make movies
>>under surnames of "Smith" and "Jones." Of course.
>
>You're being Extremely Literal again.

Poor baby. Got you upset again? :-)

Hello? I was being SATIRIC. Satire. Foolishness in prose to
show the idiocy of your "NCI takes LOYALTY OATHS" statement.

>Could I be accepted as an NCI member even though I want code testing to
>remain?

You can lie and play the secret agent, wear shades and a black
suit, have your picture taken in front of an FCC entrance.

>Nope. But NCI members could join ARRL, whether or not they agree with ARRL
>policies.

Three out of four US amateur radio licensees have chosen NOT
to join ARRL.

2995. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:06:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805190602.12758.00000250@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 50957

In article <20020805040710.27667.00000210@mb-mw.aol.com>, Rocky, thinking everyone who can't do morse and therefore everyone else is Bullwinkle, chanted the following in falsetto:

>In article <20020801201535.19202.00000132@mb-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>We could assume two vacuum tube broadcast band receivers
>>survived the disaster, that 115 VAC power was available, a work
>>area and hand tools were available, a soldering means was
>>possible and at least a battery-powered VOM also survived.
>
>Why does the VOM have to be battery powered if AC is available?

Why do you ask irrelevant questions about a hypothetical emergency? Almost every VOM has an internal battery for the "O" function from the venerable Simpson 260 to a digital John Fluke model.

>No imagination. Use the last audio stage as an oscillator tuning the same
>range as the receiver.

You do not get to specify who does what under any conditions in a disaster or emergency.

How does removing the audio stage of a receiver permit it to be used as a receiver after the radio is converted to a transmitter? Hint: Headphones are unavailable in this scenario.

How are you able to determine frequency of the transmitter without any external indication? Are you serious in thinking that an old, common BC receiver has an accurately-marked dial?

>Use the old audio stage as an oscillator, tuned by the old tuning cap and a
>new coil made from house wire. Unless you're on a desert island, there's wire
>around. House wire is good for antennas, too.

You do not get to specify disaster or emergency environments.

>A 50L6GT will do a couple of watts if properly handled. Voltage-double in the
>power supply if you don't like 120 volts.

There is no other diode. The 35 Volt filament rectifier common in "All-American Fives" is a single diode. Voltage doubling is not possible with a single diode.

You don't get to specify a tube line-up or even a general type of receiver. This was a hypothetical scenario and, as such, quite

unlikely in this new millennium.

Citing "it's possible" even when never being studied is an
incredulous statement. You are in a disaster situation of
unspecified environment and you want the time and freedom
to "study something" because you heard it was once "possible?"

>Don;t forget to make the IF stae in the receiver oscillate for a BFO.

Why? What possibility in the year 2002 or after remains that
anyone will hear your signal, send back in morse on your
mythical receiver to save your butt? A responding station
(if there was one) would be more likely to transmit back to you
on AM.

If you are such a good morseman, you don't need any BFO.
You should be able to hear the difference in carrier/no-carrier
from changes in receiver noise.

>Better yet, remove turns from the coils and move the whole mess up to the 80
>meter band.

So, in your modified scenario, an inductance testing device
survived through some miracle? In disregarding normal human
survival duties of securing food, water, shelter, you spent
months developing adequate test equipment from first principles?

>Easy. Just find some hams who know the code.

Sorry, all those died off in the disaster. You have to bank on
commercial maritime radio ops who survived on the Great
Lakes...hoping that they will hear you

>The lack of imagination on the part of Lenover21 is obvious.

The obstinacy of N2EY trying to win message points was
amply demonstrated. Zero points.

>With a little ingenuity, the audio stages of the first receiver can be used as
>a modulator for the converted second receiver, putting it on AM. The second
>receiver's dynamic speaker and output transformer can be used as a
>microphone.
>
>No need for CW/Morse at all, in that case.

With no paper or writing instruments, (in addition to no other test
equipment) you can do all that in a few hours or even a few days
in a disaster or emergency environment?

Study this matter and write it up for some radio publication, show
how "experts" can do it in an unspecified-environment emergency
or disaster situation. For a true test, do it solely during Field Day
to demonstrate How It Can Be Done.

2996. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:06:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805190604.12758.00000251@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 50959

In article <20020805040708.27667.00000208@mb-mw.aol.com>, Rocky the
PLC-enhanced squirrel beat a hunk of beef and blathered:

>In article <20020804001503.02053.00000158@mb-fe.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>Aeronautical physics are scaleable up and down from "real." That's
>>why wind tunnels use scale, miniature aircraft to test aerodynamics
>>before a full-scale aircraft is made. Aerodynamic principles hold for
>>all aircraft, small or large.
>
>That's true.
>>
>>The story hinges on the ignorant non-aeronautical readers and
>>audience keying to the depreciating "toy" or "model" aircraft.
>
>Partly true. Would YOU go along on the first flight of an aircraft designed
>by someone who had only designed model aircraft?

Given the only alternate of death from being stranded in a Libyan (or other)
desert, no problem, absolutely yes.

Why is there some question on the basic human need of survival?

>The story also hinges on the fact that the designer did not mention the fact
>that he designed model aircraft until the project was well under way.

That's what the novelist (Elleston Trevor) wrote. It is a work of FICTION.

There are other venues for discussing literary works or motion
pictures.

>>That is absolutely necessary to introduce conflict between
>>characters in the story, to build suspense.
>
>Not really - it just adds to it. Even if Dorfmann were an experienced designer
>of full-size aircraft, the Phoenix design would be extremely risky.

Oh, you are now an aeronautical expert, too?!?

In the fictional setting, the characters have a simple choice: Die or
try to get away by rebuilding the aircraft.

There is no "risk" element in survival. One either survives or one dies.

Tallmantz Aviation designed and built an experimental aircraft that came
close to the word picture of the fictional aircraft in Trevor's novel. It flew
well enough for photographic purposes. The crash was due to PILOT

ERROR.

Pilot Paul Mantz was NOT "retired" at the time. He was a business
partner with fellow movie pilot Frank Tallman at the time and their
museum at (then) Orange County Airport was a going concern,
recently opened.

>>The character of
>>Dorfmann tries to explain that aeronautical principles apply to
>>big aircraft the same as little aircraft...which pilot Frank (James
>>Stewart) doesn't believe at all until the end of the movie (see
>>final scenes of survivors Frank and Heinrich walking together
>>towards the wadi).
>
>Incorrect. Frank Towns knows the THEORY is the same. It's the PRACTICE that
>he is concerned with.

You are welcome to rent or buy the VHS videotape, skip to the end
scenes, and find out my paragraph is correct.

I never met any "Frank Towns" or even author Elleston Trevor, did
not discuss "motivation" or "theory" with either. Did you? :-)

>>There is a parallel with the fiction of "CW is necessary in a
>>test because a simple radio could be constructed in the
>>event of a disaster." It is myth, fiction, an often-told tale
>>which has no basis in reality.
>
>The idea that the TEST is necessary for all hams because that situation might
>arise is possible, but it strains the imagination because it is so unlikely.

The morse code test is a holdover in government regulations, put there
in the beginning of radio regulation because manual telegraphy was the
only practical means of communication in early radio. Government
regulations are not, should not, be based on imaginative pipe-dreams
of rescue by means of morse code.

>But there have been cases where a simple CW/Morse transmitter was built by a
>ham under disaster conditions and used for emergency communications, saving
>lives. These examples are not legends or myths but documented events. Here's
>a hint: W9MWC
>
>Trouble is, they are documented events of 60-plus years ago.

Where is this "documented?"

"60-plus years ago" would make it earlier than 1942. At that time all of
radio was only 46 years old. This is the year 2002 and all of radio is
108 years old. Radio is much changed in technology over six decades.
Myths and legends are seemingly immortal in the imaginations of
those who have to live in a world of myths and legends.

>Except that it's not a myth at all - it has happened that a CW/Morse
>transmitter constructed under disaster conditions was used for emergency
>communications that saved lives. A long time ago. But it DID happen.

If that situation is "documented" in an ARRL publication, are there
sufficient footnotes to ascertain this fact? Or are we all to Believe it
because the ARRL said it did, without question?

>It's an opinion question, and opinions differ. My opinion is that the
>probability is so low as to be negligible. But I could be wrong.

You have opinions on many things, including PLCs as being directly
applicable to radio and communications. Please provide a list of
actual applications of PLCs to radio or communications and their
advantages versus conventional methods.

2997. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:06:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805190605.12758.00000252@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 50960

In article <20020805040729.27667.00000230@mb-mw.aol.com>, Rocky the PLC-enabled

flying morseman sprints up the museum steps and, with a studio orchestra
off-camera,
exhultantly scribbles:

>>>>You probably work with PLCs and/or process control equipment, right?
>>>
>>>You are obsessed with finding out what I do for a living, even though that
>>>is irrelevant to a discussion of amateur radio policy.
>>
>>Actually, I don't give a gas-pass in a hurricane about what you do..
>
>Yes, you do. You're obsessed by it. You keep demanding to know my employment,
>even though it's irrelevant to amateur radio policy.

Anyone who is proud of their job and company will not hesitate to
mention their employer. Since you do not choose to mention it,
I'll have to conclude you are not proud...despite obvious pride in
claims of amateur radio hobby experience.

>Not afraid at all. I know you will ridicule it, and me. That's what you do.
>It's what you've done to several others.

Fear is fear. You do not hesitate to ridicule anyone with opposite
views, yet you fear a Revelation. <shrug>

>Besides, you have not demonstrated an adequate 'need to know'.

Have you demonstrated a need to crow?

[PLCs]

>>Absolutely. However, they don't have much to do with radio or
>>communications, do they?
>
>They have lots to do with "radio and electronics", which is the subject under
>discussion. See the thread title.

Only for electrical systems in industrial installations. You have not
demonstrated any applications of PLCs in radio or electronics.

>There you go - demanding to know my employment, even though it's not relevant
>to amateur radio policy. Yes, I have job references. No, I'm not going to
>share them with the group, or you. Live with it.

Fear is fear. You seem to live with it. Not my problem.

>As I have said before, I'm an EE (BSEE and MSEE degrees, from Penn and Drexel,
>respectively) working in electrical engineering. That's all you need to know.
>Even that is not really relevant to a discussion of amateur radio policy.

Why have you brought up your degrees so much if it was "not
really relevant?"

>That and a lot more.

Non-specific claims only.

>Nah. That's old stuff.

Non-specific claims only.

>Of course it's almost funny to read this sort of stuff from somebody who had
to
>expressmail his comments to the FCC instead of filing electronically, because
>he couldn't figure out the ECFS system. And who just recently unintentionally
>spammed this NG with posts containing no new content due to software troubles.
>And who sent a document scan that took up almost 2 meg - zipped!

That was 3 1/2 years ago and I followed the FCC instructions and options.

Are you now grandiously assuming "authority" on which citizen of the USA
can comment to his/her government and in what format, size, or contents?

You are being as childish in your ego-wounding as a nine-year-old.

>>Have you ever had any program of any kind in large corporate use?
>
>Oh yes. ;-) Most of them are in use 24/7.

Unspecific claims. No language, no application, no nothing.

>The subject is "radio and electronics". PLCs do have radio and communication
>applications. Use your imagination and figure some out.

The subject thread is NOT "applications of PLCs to radio (or communication)."

You've brought the sub-thread into some kind of arena where you want
to damn all those who do not honor and revere you for being a guru on
industrial electric applications.

Now you demand others perform tasks which have no relevance to
anything but your own claims...because your personal pride was felt
injured.

>Here's a hint: It's often less expensive to use a COTS PLC that's easily
>programmed and has rugged hardware rather than develop a custom control
>system around a PIC, PC, or other technology that requires lots of
development.

Please list a few typical prices of "COTS PLCs" which are commercial,
off-the-shelf" and how much programming is required to fit a radio or
communications application.

"COTS" is a military contracting term, applied to components which are commercial, off-the-shelf instead of special versions made to extra government standards or to specialized components developed solely for government use. PLCs are commercial items and may be obtained from distributors who carry industrial electrical system items.

A typical Microchip PIC microcontroller can cost only $5 in single quantities and the development software is free for download. Various application programs can be found on the web, beginning with links at the Microchip site. Such programs applied to amateur radio are available from the ARRL website or from individual radio amateurs who have developed them.

Please list the PLC applications to amateur radio that have been published by any amateur radio oriented publisher or organization.

2998. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:07:24 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208051507.6cf313ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3D4DE51E.7D678CA0@ix.netcom.com>...
> Lloyd wrote:
>
> > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, AB8MQ
>
> I had thought 2x2 callsigns starting with "A" were for extras. Just looked
> him
> up and he is an extra.

Nahhh. 2x2 callsigns are for "advanced" class. Extras get 2x1
callsigns. And apparently anyone can get a "vanity" callsign of any
sort.

2999. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:10:23 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208051510.420fc1c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50963
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<wRi39.335$0d.15144212@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aijsifmos0@pita.alt.net...
> > "Dumbass Dan/W4No Trace of Intelligence" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
> wrote in
> > message news:aijm2p$v8c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Why is it that all twits are Democrats? I mean look at it will you
> folks.
> > > We have Roger, we have this original poster, and in comes W5TWIT.
> >
> > And why are Republicans so anal retentive? Look at Dumbass Dan, he
> threatens to
> > slit people's throats over the air and yet only gets e-mail from Riley
> telling
> > him to stay off of certain frequencies.
>
> Maybe it shouldn't matter, but did he threaten Osama? Arafat? Saddam?
> Moamar? Ayatollah?
>
> > > Hey leftist twits....what happened to your big deal about 'GUN CONTROL'
> ??
> > > 9/11 wake you up, did it?
> >
> > Hey, Dumbass Dan, what happened to Bush taking out Osama Bin Laden? Hasn't
> > happened and he's trying to backpedal out of his shady accounting
> practices when
> > he was with daddy's oil company.
>
> Osama is under 200,000 tons of rock in a former Afghanistan cave.

You wish! The latest "party line" is that he's in Kashmir. Like they
really have a clue anyhow!

> > > Oh and how about all the 'Green Peace' junk? You know the leftest
> wierdo's
> >
> > Why is it Republicans are so illiterate?
> >
> Bruce is a Republican?
> >
> > > that took the feds and the local government to court to STOP MAKING
> > > FIREBREAKS.
> >
> > You should consider yourself lucky, you might get the insurance money back

> from
> > that dump you call home if it burned down.
> >
> Not nice. What if someone were injured trying to prevent his domicile from
> burning? I wouldn't trade the life on one firefighter for the lives of 100
> Green Peacers.
> >
> > > Again I ask....how come TWITS are democrats?
> >
> > Poor Dumbass Dan, he was too stupid for the Democrats and went to the only
> parety
> > that would take the crippled gimp, the Republicans.
>
> Careful. You might get the "other" Dan on here ranting about making fun of
> people with disabilities.
>
> And besides, compassionate conservatism includes helping people with
> disabilities help themselves. Nothing wrong with that.

"Parety"?? Poor dumbass - it's "Party". And compasionate conservatism
lets people with disabilities help themselves without any outside
help.

3000. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:22:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VMD39.31$zy7.7735514@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50964

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4E6E5A.EA462527@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D4CAC94.5D46D198@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > ...and to that point and that point only do your QSLs do "the
same
> > as
> > > > > > > > > > any other cards does".
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Its got the American Flag on it, too.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Oh? Do operations from Somalia now fall under Part 97? ;)
> > > > > >
> > > > > It fell under Pres George Bush and the Joint Chiefs of Staff.
> > >
> > > Neither the President nor the Joint Chiefs issue amateur radio
licenses.
> >
> > As the President is the Chief Law Enforcement Officer of the land, he is
> > also the Chief Issuer of Amateur Radio Lincenses in the land. As the
> > Commander in Chief of the US Armed Forces, he was my boss in Somalia as
> > well. He appointed officers to be in charge of me. He delegated much
of
> > his authority to those officers. They made decisions for him in his
> > absence.
> >
> > Although he did come to Somalia, he was too busy to deal with my
licensing
> > issue.
>
> Please produce an instance where a U.S. President has issued an amateur
> radio license. You're really reaching.

I was being silly. ;^))

> > > > They called it Operation Restore Hope.
> >
> > >
> > > > > > > He was the commander. You were not. The on-scene commander
makes

> > the
> > > > > > decisions. You go decide to work more hams out of band.
> > > > >
> > > > > Commander of what? Commander of amateur radio operations?
> > > >
> > > > Commander of me and everything I did.
> > >
> > > Even during four years of Air Force active duty, I never had a
commander
> > > of me and everything I did.
> >
> > Then you misunderstood your contract and your Oath.
>
> I don't recall signing a contract and certainly don't recall any portion
> of my oath dealing with my off-duty time, amateur radio or DXCC.
> Say--what did you sign up for anyway? It sounds an awful lot like a
> religeous cult.

In a forward deployed area, there is no "off time."

> > Did you join the AF to
> > avoid the draft?
>
> Are you nuts? I served for double the time and still went to Vietnam.
> I know draftees who never went to 'nam. What does that have to do with
> whether your Somalia QSOs counted for DXCC credit?

Nothing.

> > > > > Have you asked anyone at the DXCC desk?
> > > >
> > > > No, I never have. I don't know that they are accepted or rejected.
> > >
> > > A DXer would know if his operations are accepted or rejected. You
claim
> > > to be a DXer.
> >
> > A good Airman would know that his choices in life are quite limited in >
a forward deployed area.
>
> We weren't discussing airmen. We were discussing whether your
> commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses and
> whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.

Dave, give me examples of military menbers in Somalia whose QSO's were
accepted for DXCC credit and we'll go from there.

> > > > Maybe I'm going on what you've
> > > > > provided: 1) That your operations have not counted 2) That you
don't
> > > > > know if your operations counted. Pick a story you like. Maybe I
have

> > > > > insider information and am using it to further embarrass and
discredit
> > > > > you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, I've no corner on the embarassment market.
> > > >
> > > > C'mon, Brian--Don't sell yourself short.
>
> > > > > What's to know--that there was action by the U.S. military in
Somalia?
> > > > >
> > > > > As if you'd been there.
> > > >
> > > No, As if I'd worked in a State Department Comm Center, read the
papers
> > > and newsmagazines, listened to radio and watched TV. Was it supposed
to
> > > be a secret? I'm aware that you were in Somalia. I asked if your
> > > operation was accepted for DXCC. You say that you, the DXer, don't
> > > know.
> >
> > I'm certain of it.
>
> Certain of what--that it was supposed to be a secret or that you
> contacts were accepted for DXCC credit? You've been telling us that you
> don't know about the latter.

I'm absolutely certain that I don't know.

> > > > > > If there had been a Ministry of Communications, like the places
you
> > > > > > go to, I would have been more than happy to wipe off my boots at
the
> > > > > > door, clear my weapon, and go in side to fill out the proper >
> > > > > paperwork.
> > > > >
> > > > > I've been in places where there was plenty of gunfire.
> > > >
> > > That's when we execute a NEO and get you guys out.
> > >
> > > I didn't run into you in Vietnam and don't recall seeing you anywhere
> > > else.
> >
> > Were you a combatant in Vietnam or a DXer?
>
> I don't know of any Air Force AFSC for "DXer".

Apparently the State Department has one.

> > Where have you been evacuated from?
>

> I've never been evacuated from anywhere for anything.

Then it didn't get dicey enough.

> > > > > > And you say the
> > > > > > on-scene commander has no authority to authorize what one of his
> > > > > > soldiers, sailors, or airmen does?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, I say that a commander might grant authorization which is not
his
> > to
> > > > > > grant. I say that higher-ups might not approve of such
authorization.
> > > > > > I say that the DXCC desk might not credit such operation. What
has
> > the
> > > > > > DXCC desk said about your operation from Somalia? Have you found
if
> > it
> > > > > > counts or not?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, if you say my commander has no authority to grant
authorization, >
> > what is it to the DXCC desk?
> > >
> > > To the DXCC desk, it counts or doesn't.
> > >
> > > > How can they grant it?
> > >
> > > They don't grant it. They accept it or reject it.
> >
> > How can they make such a determination if there is no host country to >
grant a license?
>
> Oh, there was a host country. There just wasn't any civil government.
> Drop the DXCC desk a note and ask.

Why? Don't you know the answer?

> > Oh Omniscient DXer Supreme, how do the others do it?
>
> Drop the DXCC desk a note and ask them.

Why? Don't you know the answer?

> > > > They have no authority over
> > > > Operation Restore Hope, me, nor Somalia.
> > >
> > > No, they have authority over the DXCC program.
> > >
> > > > I just don't understand.
> > >

> > > I gather that.
> >
> > More to the point, if my commander cannot grant me permission to do as >
I did, who can?
>
> I dunno, Brian. Why not drop the DXCC desk a note and see what they
> consider acceptable?

How did you do it when you operate from real estate without a host
government?

> > And how does the next guy do it? Is the DXCC desk arbitrary?
>
> I think the DXCC program attempts to be as fair and equitable as
> possible. Why not drop the desk a note and ask about it?

I'm sure they are.

> > > > > > Thus, no amateur operation from Somalia can be legal?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Other operations have counted throughout the years. Did yours?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't know, but how could it? No one had the authority to
authorize
> > it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Who
> > > > > > granted permission to operate for those accepted operations?
> > > > >
> > > > > My commander.
> > > >
> > > > Your commander? Over all of them through any number of years? How
did
> > > he do that?
> > >
> > > In Korea, I applied for a Korean license via the Army MARS site in
Yongsan.
> > They had streamlined the application process so I didn't have to take my
> > boots off at the front door of the Ministry of Communications. It might
> > have been some agreement in the SOFA.
>
> ...and probably was. Such an agreement is between the two countries for
> legitimate amateur radio operation.
>
> > I did have to report all communist contacts to the AF Office of Special
> > Investigations even though my license had nothing to do with America or
the
> > Air Force.
>
> You're kidding! I think you read some additional requirements into the
> regs. While state had a "contact reporting" requirement and had a

> travel restriction in those days which stated that I could not travel to
> "bloc countrries" unless accompanied by another cleared American, the
> reporting policy did not apply to amateur radio contacts. I know this
> because I queried Diplomatic Security. If it had not been the case, DS
> might have had to hire more help just to handle my CQ Worldwide Contest
> bloc QSOs. I can see them trying to analyze, "599 16" from a Ukrainian
> and my "599 15" reply.

Gee Dave, Diplomatic Security has no authority over me. AF OSI did.

Yes, I had a travel restriction also.

> > On Guam, a USA Posession, I merely had to sign Stroke KH2 per FCC
> > regulation. Same procedure for AFOSI.
> >
> > Somalia was different than either. I didn't have a manual on what to do
> > from a country with no government. So when all else fails, you ask
> > your commander.
>
> ...and if he doesn't know anything at all about amateur radio, he might
> say, "Well hell yes, Brian--go ahead". Under those circumstances, the
> DXCC desk may or may not accept your operation for DXCC credit.

Perhaps that Powell kid doesn't know anything about amateur radio either.

> > > > > Do you
> > > > > think the ops from the accredited operations knew if their
operation
> > was
> > > > > accepted for DXCC credit?
> > > > >
> > > > I can't speak for them. The other operator, a marine, seemed to
have
> > > > everything figured out. He went to places like that all the time,
and
> > had
> > > > his ham/mars shack palletized, ready for deployment. He ran phone
> > patches
> > > > on 20M and MARS for his troops.
> > >
> > > MARS QSOs don't count for DXCC credit.
> >
> > Apparently, neither do my amateur contacts. Feel better?
>
> I don't feel better or worse, Brian.

Sure you do, Dave. Doesn't "smug" make you feel better?

All of my operations were accepted
> for DXCC credit. Were yours?
>
> Dave K8MN

Don't know.

3001. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:24:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805192431.09220.00000130@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 50965

In article <3D4EDBA2.551605CD@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

> I would encourage posters here to decide if they want this group to be
>their little playground where thay can fight with each other and call
>each other names, or if they want to have the group actually mean something.

In any objective analysis and on a basis of the past four years of
messaging, this newsgroup should be changed to a moderated
one with strict access requirements.

This would do several things: Remove the cross-postings, of
duplicates from other newsgroups; remove the riff-raff of terrible
unlicensed individuals who have not shown sufficient motivation
to the group; ensure an elite enclave of regulars who all think
pretty much alike.

Works for me...

3002. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:27:29 UTC
From: David Eduardo <radiodavid@usa.net>
Message-ID: <BRD39.72$q51.7804266@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50966
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message >
> "Parety"?? Poor dumbass - it's "Party". And compasionate conservatism
> lets people with disabilities help themselves without any outside
> help.

1. Many usenet users don't speak English as thier first langauge.
2. Many people with disabilities like dyslexia can not always spot that type of mistake.
3. All of us who are not perfect make typos, especially here where it supposedly does not matter.

3003. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:28:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PSD39.32$%A7.7894248@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50967

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020805084622.22093.00001854@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >We were discussing whether your
> >commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses and
> >whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.
>
> What is really a hoot here is that Brian took the "high moral ground" wrt
your
> operating legally in band to work French stations on 6 meters.

I still won't work French Ops 200khz out of band, no matter what unkind
things you say about me.

At the time, we
> didn't realize that Brian was advocating that you just set up shop in
another
> country without the benefit of any approval from anyone in authority in
that
> country (a polite way of saying "bootlegger") and work all comers on a
> frequency that you didn't have any right to use.

Ed, name one other country that you know of without a government!

IF there were anyone from the host country in "authority" then I would have
worked the licensing issue through them. But IF that were the case, we
probably wouldn't have been over there, the American Embassy would have been
in operation, and people probably wouldn't have been starving to death.

You and Bill Clinton obviously didn't understand the situation.

> No wonder he keeps obessing about double standards.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, I don't "obese" about double standards. I merely point them out where
they exist.

3004. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:30:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HUD39.34$Ly7.7747785@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50968

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4EBDA2.F2B989D3@earthlink.net...
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > >We were discussing whether your
> > >commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses and
> > >whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.
> >
> > What is really a hoot here is that Brian took the "high moral ground"
wrt your
> > operating legally in band to work French stations on 6 meters. At the
time, we
> > didn't realize that Brian was advocating that you just set up shop in
another
> > country without the benefit of any approval from anyone in authority in
that
> > country (a polite way of saying "bootlegger") and work all comers on a
> > frequency that you didn't have any right to use.
> >
> > No wonder he keeps obessing about double standards.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Don't forget his vaunted ethics. He points out that I'm no judge after
> he's chastised me for actions on the part of French hams.
>
> It looks like the airmen have come home to roost.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, please perform this simple task: Check with the ARRL about licensing
in Somalia. See what is now available almost a decade after we were there.

3005. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:31:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wVD39.35$jD7.8036407@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50969

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4E67F9.43B3497D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020804083907.00629.00000857@mb-fu.aol.com...
> > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > >
> > > >I
> > > >think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the
term
> > > >you keep using?
> > >
> > > Only as applied to the actions of other people...
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI/6
> >
> > Slippery!
>
> That's why you should be careful when stepping in it.
>
> Dave K8MN

I stepped in Ed?
```

3006. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:33:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TWD39.37$sB7.7923825@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50970

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020805085253.22093.00001860@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >Little by little, step by step she returns. Aren't you and Alec Baldwin
> >supposed to be gone?
>
> Brian is supposed to be gone, too. I expect he will now have to accuse you
of
> having a double standard for not including him in your every post. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

He's wearin the t-shirt.

3007. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:37:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <b%D39.38$yE7.8116457@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50971

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020805040714.27667.00000215@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <s8c29.520$407.23591035@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >Dick, every rig I've ever owned also had a microphone.
>
> That's you.

Yours didn't? How odd?

> >Unless you are
> >talking about those cult kit radios meant to provide a few moments of fun
> >while on a camping trip.
>
> "cult kit radios"? You mean the FT-817? It has a mike. No wait, it's not a
kit.
>
> What rigs are you talking about? Be specific.

HW-7, 8, 9, Oak Hills, Ten-Tec, ...

> >More hams know how to talk than how to didah.
>
> The problem is listening.

You don't have to listen when using CW?

> >Why do you continue to swim upstream?
>
> Cause that's where the lady fish are.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Dick's too old to spawn. I'm suprised the bears haven't made a meal of him
yet.

3008. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:45:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <a6E39.39$0E7.8082587@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50973

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020805040714.27667.00000216@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <Lcc29.521$SX6.23348459@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020801080707.03471.00000084@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >> In article <3D488785.B1A8CF06@mailcity.net>, JJ
<radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >I'm not discounting knowledge of Morse code, but get real, but how
> >> >many times have you heard of someone cobbling together a cw
> >> >transmitter out of some parts and being saved.
> >> >
> >> It's happened a few times in the distant past. Could happen again, but
> >>highly
> >> improbable. More likely is the repair of existing-but-damaged radio
> >>equipment.
> >>
> >> The "big problem" is simply that the person doing the cobbling would
have
> >>to
> >> have the resources, knowledge and skill to do so. In all cases I know
of
> >>where
> >> someone built a transmitter under disaster conditions, that person was
> >>skilled
> >> in transmitter construction before the disaster occurred. Is such
skill
> >>and
> >> knowledge a license requirement today? Nope.
> >>
> >> Go down the disaster scenario path enough, and a soldering test makes a
> >>lot of
> >> sense.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >I'll agree with that assessment.
>
> Apparently you missed the soldering irony.

Apparently. I've got a butane soldering iron, and a cheapie RS 12DC soldering iron. As well as the usual 120V pencils and the big Weller.

> >There's no reason that soldering an 8-pin
> >microphone connector or a db-9 connector, and a pl-259 shouldn't be part of
> >the exam.
>
> It is interesting that all of the examples you give are connector soldering
> examples.

Thats mostly what we do. This microphone to that radio, That tnc to this computer...

And RF connectors.

> Most hams would simply learn what was needed and pass the test.

Even if completely arbitrary and unnecessary.

> But then some would complain that since soldering was not absolutely necessary
> to the operation of an amateur license, it shouldn't be on the test. Demands
> for medical waivers would appear. Hams who had to pass the connector-soldering
> tests ('rosinists') would be snotty to those who hadn't, and vice-versa. Some
> would point out that hand-soldering was almost obsolete, replaced by automated
> techniques ('wavists') and no-solder connectors ('crimpers'). Eventually a
> group would arise (NSI) demanding an end to all soldering tests.

There are those. Reason will prevail.

> >How about using a VOM to measure several different parameters of a circuit?
>
> Digital or analog?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Welp, Chiltons shows how to use an analog meter to read the error codes in the on-board computer.

3009. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:45:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4F0E10.D94DC2B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50972

mike coslo wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > r.r.a.p. has degenerated to the point that (I went there today) there is
> > nothing useful there that I can see that even has the remotest relation
> > to amateur radio."
>
> I agree with you on a lot of what you wrote.
>
> But I also agree with Mr Haynie on his quote. Like it or not, rrap is
> becoming irrelevant to ham radio policy (this thread being a notable and
> lonely exception)
>
> Most of the messages are one person insulting another, even if the
> message is somewhat relevent. But unfortunately most of the messages are
> not relevent to the ARS and policy. Just clashing personalities.
>
> But even this thread will likely take the road to perdition after a few
> more posts.
>
> I would encourage posters here to decide if they want this group to be
> their little playground where thay can fight with each other and call
> each other names, or if they want to have the group actually mean something.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike,

In this particular case and at this particular time, this forum has been
used to address an amateur radio policy matter. I'd hope that League
members would take time to make their views known to their directors and
to the League's president and executive vice-president.

In my case, Director Bodson issued a calming note speaking of the matter
being tabled for further study. If one reads the board minutes, it was
Mr. Bodson who brought the matter up for a vote. He had his mind made
up about the issue(s) and was not forthcoming.

Dave K8MN

3010. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:46:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <v7E39.41$WG7.8261743@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50974

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020805040706.27667.00000206@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <3D4D326F.AFABB46F@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
writes:
>
> >W1RFI wrote:
> >>
> >> From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
> >> >Enjoyed the communion at Tuthill, Ed.
> >>
> >> As did I, Cecil. Were you harmed by having dinner with all those red
badge
> >> folks? :-)
> >
> >Someone we know will say that nothing I post on this newsgroup matters
> >since I was tainted by sitting at the ARRL table and am now incapable
> >of posting anything except the ARRL agenda.
>
> Same thing's been said about me many times.
>
> Welcome to Xanadu, Cecil.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Oh no! Now I've got an Olivia Newtron John song playing in my head.

3011. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:48:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <19E39.42$7G7.8209620@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50975

Steve, that was pretty bad.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020805024108.20534.00001101@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: The Need for CW
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 08/04/02 23:11 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020804231159.19340.00000427@mb-ch.aol.com>
>
> >In article <aikauj$9am$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> ><w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:
> >
> >>Real hams do it with a CONTINOUS WAVE.
>
> >Oh, boy, I know what big Dann was going after...the old, trite
> >strawman accusation of "don't you know what CW really
> >means?" Gosh, yes, big Dann, I know..."CW" has become a
> >synonym for on-off keyed CONTINUOUS Wave using morse
> >code.
>
> Once again, The Extreme Literalist, having only half the facts and
not
> having the "inside joke" on the "continuous wave" line, lapses into
another
> anti-Ham rant. he doesn't HAVE this "inside joke" because he's NOT on the
> inside...He's on the OUTSIDE, nose smushed up against the window pane,
watching
> others lips move but not quite being able to make out everything that's
being
> said.
>
> Sheesh.
>
> What a freakin' PUTZ.
>
> Steve
>
>

3012. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:49:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4F0EE6.973D445F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50976

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Surely you remember! Brian is allowed to change his mind within hours of
> > making a vow.
>
> So are you, Dave. Ever vowed to extract revenge on someone and felt differently
> the next morning? Do you carry through on your revenge just because you vowed
> to do so in a moment of irrational emotional weakness?

Vowing revenge and storming out of a newsgroup in a snit can hardly be
considered comparable. Brian will have to learn to address his
emotional weaknesses.

Dave K8MN

3013. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:53:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <NdE39.15643$cI.1202745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Richard Cranium" wrote

>
> Nahhh. 2x2 callsigns are for "advanced" class. Extras get 2x1
> callsigns.

Hey, Dick Head,

2x2 calls starting with "A" in the lower-48 are reserved for Extra class.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

3014. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-05 23:59:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4F115E.5B4781E3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50978

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4E67F9.43B3497D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020804083907.00629.00000857@mb-fu.aol.com...
> > > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > > >
> > > > >I
> > > > >think I see more than a bit of a double standard. Isn't that the
> term
> > > > >you keep using?
> > > >
> > > > Only as applied to the actions of other people...
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI/6
> > >
> > > Slippery!
> >
> > That's why you should be careful when stepping in it.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I stepped in Ed?

No, you stepped in slippery stuff.

Dave K8MN
```

3015. Re: 5mhz update from NVIS group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:00:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <KkE39.50$7J7.8388830@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50979

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020805040725.27667.00000228@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <iqp29.974$1D.44579030@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >When the FCC authorizes us to use 5mHz, it will be for
> >DOMESTIC use only. We will not be "ditdahing" the Brits on 5280 under
any
> >circumstance.
>
> Are you sure it won't ever be allowed under any circumstance?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Sure, emergencies.

And unless the ARRL folks haven't finished with their "experiment."

Did the FCC just revised their intended use of this band since the UK made
their announcement?

Outsiders want to know.

3016. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:00:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LkE39.51$7J7.8388830@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50980

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:aimtb741pf7@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Yeah, unfortunately in the regards of the lack of USB ports, it blows
major
> chunks. As far as everything else it seems to work just fine. Allows me
to
> do a variety of different things with it in my free time and also when I
> take it to work every night. That is how I read these newsgroup
> messages..... just download 'em and read them offline. I am also working
on
> scanning about 7000 pictures here over the summer and have about 3800 done
> so far, since I started in June. Gotta love the super slow summers at my
> place of employment.

Ryan, I got a stack of them at work for our field people. Personal opinion:
for field use, the connectors for the outboard floppy drive are flimsey and
easily broken and battery life is low. The "eraser" joystick is fast, and
the display is very nice.

3017. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:01:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4F11C1.CEA0FCE6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50981

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4EBDA2.F2B989D3@earthlink.net...
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > >
> > > >We were discussing whether your
> > > >commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses and
> > > >whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.
> > >
> > > What is really a hoot here is that Brian took the "high moral ground"
> wrt your
> > > operating legally in band to work French stations on 6 meters. At the
> time, we
> > > didn't realize that Brian was advocating that you just set up shop in
> another
> > > country without the benefit of any approval from anyone in authority in
> that
> > > country (a polite way of saying "bootlegger") and work all comers on a
> > > frequency that you didn't have any right to use.
> > >
> > > No wonder he keeps obessing about double standards.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> > Don't forget his vaunted ethics. He points out that I'm no judge after
> > he's chastised me for actions on the part of French hams.
> >
> > It looks like the airmen have come home to roost.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, please perform this simple task: Check with the ARRL about licensing
> in Somalia. See what is now available almost a decade after we were there.

If you aren't concerned enough to find whether your operation counts for
DXCC credit, why should I check with the ARRL about licensing in
Somalia? I'm not planning a trip to Somalia. Why? Does the League's
info suggest contacting your former commander?

Dave K8MN

3018. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:03:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CnE39.53$6L7.8504576@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50982

Ergo, Jim is wrong.

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:aimtb971pf7@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Jeesh..... I got the bonus edition. Mine had printing on all of the
pages!
> :)
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:TbY29.72$wx3.3819677@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> > news:uko816i0invt55@corp.supernews.com...
> > > And, of course, hopefully they will make the written test easier. The
> way
> > I
> > > take it, as long as you can spell your name and can put your address
> down
> > > properly, you get the license. Oh, maybe 50% will be passing (I've
seen
> > > that proposed). I hope you're up to it.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> >
> > So then why is thae ARRL charging $25 for a study manual with nothing in
> it?
> >
> >
>
>

3019. Re: The Logic Behind Name Calling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:11:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6vE39.56$sG7.8231144@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50983

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020805040705.27667.00000204@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <20020726194252.08931.00000502@mb-ck.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >In article <pan.2002.07.26.08.54.01.671688.22096@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> ><jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
> >
> >>On Thu, 25 Jul 2002 18:13:41 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>> In article <pan.2002.07.25.12.27.51.951363.7106@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom
> >>> <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
> >>>
> >>>>On Wed, 24 Jul 2002 23:22:18 -0400, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >>No, the evidence is legion. You have a rich posting history of trying to
> >>change the subject when you're losing the debate.
> >
> >>Sometimes, like now, you
> >>just try to change the subject, but often you diverge from the issue
into
> >>personal attacks designed to distract your debating opponent from the
real
> >>issues. (Example: Calling Jim, N2EY, "Jimmie boy.")
>
> There are lots of other examples of Lenover21's name calling.

Have you noticed any unprovoked instances of Brian Kelley calling names?

3020. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:13:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <TwE39.57$GA7.7872705@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50984

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:F0x39.14666$cI.1137087@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Below is an excerpt from the minutes of the July BoD meeting. As
threatened
> earlier, and by unanimous vote, the Directors have decided to remove the
> minutes of the ARRL BoD from QST.
>
> Maybe even more telling, they have quietly removed the words "Official
> Journal" from the cover of QST. Isn't that interesting?
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

What evil lurks...

3021. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:17:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zAE39.59$BP7.8788245@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50985

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4EC1A5.94691D29@earthlink.net...
> "K�HB" wrote:
> >
> > Below is an excerpt from the minutes of the July BoD meeting. As
threatened
> > earlier, and by unanimous vote, the Directors have decided to remove the
> > minutes of the ARRL BoD from QST.
> >
> > Maybe even more telling, they have quietly removed the words "Official
> > Journal" from the cover of QST. Isn't that interesting?
> >
> > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
> >
> > 51. On motion of Mr. Johnston, seconded by Mr. Bellows, it was
unanimously
> > VOTED that in By-Law 33 the words "publish them in QST" be replaced with
> > "make them available to members." The first two sentences of By-Law 33
now
> > read, "The Secretary shall record the proceedings of all meetings of the
> > Board and of the Executive Committee. He shall promptly furnish copies
of
> > the minutes of these meetings to all Officers and members of the Board
and
> > make them available to members."
>
> At the risk of cranking up the "California Crank", I've already
> expressed my displeasure over the removal of "Section News" and the
> contest line scores from QST to President Haynie, Director Bodson, the
> Roanoke Division Vice Director with an info copy to WV SM KC8FS. Our SM
> polled WV amateurs and got an overwhelming response for keeping "Section
> News" in QST. Even he was taken by surprise by the news of the contest
> line score removal.
>
> I'd addressed the subject of "Section News" with Director Bodson
> immediately after it was initially brought up. He told me to relax and
> that the issue was tabled for further study. According to recent
> material issued by the League, the six months was to give members "time
> to adjust" to the change.
>
> Great Lakes Director Geo. Race and at least one SM have already resigned
> over the issue. As for me, I'm no longer going to participate in any

> ARRL contest and I will no longer donate any funds to the ARRL. I also
> plan to either run against Bodson or support a candidate who runs
> against him in the next Roanoke Division election.
>
> I pointed out to Haynie that the internet can be a two-edged sword and
> that I hadn't, at that time, brought the issue up here. His reply was
> condescending and dismissive. At one point, he wrote: "Yes, and I do
> read some of the post. I think that QRZ has the best forum and I do read
> it on a daily basis and have even posted there on several occasions.
> r.r.a.p. has degenerated to the point that (I went there today) there is
> nothing useful there that I can see that even has the remotest relation
> to amateur radio." I can tell that he is a busy man because he added,
> "Last, it has taken over an hour just to write this, four phone calls
> two of which were from Washington having to do with amateur radio. So, I
> need to get back to work.
>
> Removing the board minutes is just another reason to get involved.
>
> Dave K8MN

What was Kim's initial post concerning the newly appointed Haynie?

3022. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:22:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PEE39.61$hS7.8954071@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50986

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D4F11C1.CEA0FCE6@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D4EBDA2.F2B989D3@earthlink.net...
> > > W1RFI wrote:
> > > >
> > > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > > >
> > > > >We were discussing whether your
> > > > >commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses
and
> > > > >whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.
> > > >
> > > > What is really a hoot here is that Brian took the "high moral
ground"
> > wrt your
> > > > operating legally in band to work French stations on 6 meters. At
the
> > time, we
> > > > didn't realize that Brian was advocating that you just set up shop
in
> > another
> > > > country without the benefit of any approval from anyone in authority
in
> > that
> > > > country (a polite way of saying "bootlegger") and work all comers on
a
> > > > frequency that you didn't have any right to use.
> > > >
> > > > No wonder he keeps obessing about double standards.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
> > > Don't forget his vaunted ethics. He points out that I'm no judge
after
> > > he's chastised me for actions on the part of French hams.
> > >
> > > It looks like the airmen have come home to roost.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
```

> > Dave, please perform this simple task: Check with the ARRL about
licensing
> > in Somalia. See what is now available almost a decade after we were
there.
>
> If you aren't concerned enough to find whether your operation counts for
> DXCC credit, why should I check with the ARRL about licensing in
> Somalia? I'm not planning a trip to Somalia. Why? Does the League's
> info suggest contacting your former commander?
>
> Dave K8MN

Somalia is behind me by a decade. But you seem to want information. Go get
it. Satisfy your smugness.

3023. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:25:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IHE39.62$CS7.8975606@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50987

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D4EFDFF.D757B17C@hotmail.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Surely you remember! Brian is allowed to change his mind within hours of
> > making a vow.
>
> So are you, Dave. Ever vowed to extract revenge on someone and felt
differently
> the next morning? Do you carry through on your revenge just because you
vowed
> to do so in a moment of irrational emotional weakness?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Cecil, I wasn't emotional nor irrational when I said I was leaving the
group. But I was irrational when I returned. ;^))

Dave is forever smug.

3024. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:31:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ain5behgq1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Richard,

Especially when they ran out of 2x1's and 1x2's a long while back in some
call areas like 4 land.

Without looking it up....what is a 1x3 W class of license?

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:NdE39.15643$cI.1202745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Richard Cranium" wrote
>
> >
> > Nahhh. 2x2 callsigns are for "advanced" class. Extras get 2x1
> > callsigns.
>
> Hey, Dick Head,
>
> 2x2 calls starting with "A" in the lower-48 are reserved for Extra class.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>
>
>
>

3025. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:34:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ain5gi$m68$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50989

Mike,

You think this forum is bad? Take a look at r.r.a.misc

Dan/W4NTI

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3D4EDBA2.551605CD@psu.edu...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
> > r.r.a.p. has degenerated to the point that (I went there today) there is
> > nothing useful there that I can see that even has the remotest relation
> > to amateur radio."
>
> I agree with you on a lot of what you wrote.
>
> But I also agree with Mr Haynie on his quote. Like it or not, rrap is
> becoming irrelevant to ham radio policy (this thread being a notable and
> lonely exception)
>
> Most of the messages are one person insulting another, even if the
> message is somewhat relevent. But unfortunately most of the messages are
> not relevent to the ARS and policy. Just clashing personalities.
>
> But even this thread will likely take the road to perdition after a few
> more posts.
>
> I would encourage posters here to decide if they want this group to be
> their little playground where thay can fight with each other and call
> each other names, or if they want to have the group actually mean
something.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3026. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:39:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <pVE39.15713$cl.1211727@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50990
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote

>
> Without looking it up....what is a 1x3 W class of license?
>

General/Tech

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

3027. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 00:59:14 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805205914.20545.00001313@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 50991

> I would challenge the trolls to produce a DXCC award in let's say one
>month? Working with say 100 watts? After all, it is sooooo easy. Whattya
>say? Heck, I'll give them two months.

I will concede to not being able to do DXCC. (Try not to celebrate).. I do not have the equipment. Also, my kindred does not like me setting up antennas.

Like anything it involves practice, reading, and/or help from someone who has done it. The attitude of Hams seems to be that you must do it yourself. I have asked and very few have been willing to share their knowledge. I have had a few opportunities to set up antennas for Hams, but not much.

How about giving some examples of the skill involved, obstacles overcome, steps involved, etc.?

I do not enjoy the typical "Ham" type activities. Therefore, I do not consider myself to be a Ham. I observed HF contesting and for me it is boring. Seems to me just tuning the dial, calling CQ, then logging it in. Seems like the end result would be rather limiting. Amateur radio could be so much more.

3028. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213319.11736.00000563@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50996

In article <5xb39.12694$nc.955749@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "20 meter clowns" <iwantmyw6nut@aol.com> writes:

>Has w1wcr finally met his match like the
>Republicans will be doing in November 2002
>and 2004?????
>
>Inquiring minds want to know!!
>
>A VERY funny URL: www.smirkingchimp.com
>
>BELCCCCCHH - I want my w6nut! WHAAA! WHAAA!
>
>http://www.435online.com/ <--(the mother of all)
>
>http://www.n6tk.com/ <--(VERY funny recordings)
>
>www.farts.com <--(fart noise .wav's galore for replay on 75!)

Hmmm -- fart noise .wav's to replay on 75-meters. Precisely the mentality that would vote Democrat!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3029. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:20 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213320.11736.00000565@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50992

In article <3D4D690F.9FD59022@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Thanks Kim, the 100' remark (actually 200,000') comes from a logic
>lesson I was giving one time in which I challenged anyone to prove
>that I am not a 200,000 ft tall alien. (There's an entirely different
>dimension to me that I do not normally reveal in public :-)

Cecil:

Nobody's challenging your assertion that you're a 200,000' tall alien.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3030. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:20 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213320.11736.00000564@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50993

In article <3D4D8B27.B88C439B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> Cecil's a tall man, good looking man, and I wouldn't mind a bit havin' a
>> two-step with him; LOL, if I could dance...
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>Little by little, step by step she returns. Aren't you and Alec Baldwin
>supposed to be gone?
>
>Dave K8MN

Dave:

Kim has no credibility in this NG anyway, why should we have believed
her when she said she was leaving?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3031. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:20 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213320.11736.00000566@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50998

In article <20020804083704.00629.00000856@mb-fu.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>Ed, what are you doing in 6 land? Big move or conference?
>
>Arizona Section convention (where I had a very enjoyable bbq dinner with
>Cecil), followed by a week's vacation in L.A.

They barbecue lobsters out in Arizona?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3032. Re: Need confirmation about upgrading from Novice to Tech + HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213321.11736.00000567@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50997

In article <9Ub39.350$A61.22023287@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> I remember there used to be a restaurant chain called "Sambo's." I
>> could never figure out how they could get away with a name like that,
>> considering the knee-jerk reaction most blacks have to it. Sambo's
>> restaurants have obvioulsy disappeared as a chain, but does anyone
>> know what happpened to them?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>I wonder if it weren't resurrected as Denny's.

Brian:

Apparently not. I did some research on it on the Internet, and discovered that the parent company reduced the individual Sambo's Restaurant manager's participation in the profit-sharing, thus causing an implosion of the whole chain. There seems to be a few Sambo's restaurant's still operating in California, from what I've seen.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3033. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213321.11736.00000568@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50999

In article <3D4D2E65.7BCDB8A4@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> >If you were deciding today to get a ham license
>> >would you refuse to do so because the tests are much easier now?
>>
>> No. Why should I?
>
>Because some ham like you would accuse you of being a dumbed-down,
>lazy knuckle-dragger?

Cecil:

They could accuse until they were blue in the face, but that wouldn't stop me from doing what I wanted to do! I'm not some dumbed-down, whining, snivelling offense-taker -- unlike most NCTA's.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3034. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:22 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213322.11736.00000570@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50994

In article <hBc39.78$fk7.4500600@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> > OK, I just gotta ask, Is Cecil really a 100' Giant?
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Cecil's a tall man, good looking man, and I wouldn't mind a bit havin' a
>> two-step with him; LOL, if I could dance...
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>>
>
>You're gonna make Larry pout.

Brian:

Cecil and Kim can two-step to their heart's content, with my complete
blessing and enthusiastic encouragement.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3035. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:22 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213322.11736.00000569@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50995

In article <ukqgun2mqjo22e@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> So you say, Kim -- only I think you've got it backwards, as usual.
>> If anyone's laughing at me, they're probably holding their noses while
>> trying to endure your pathetically puerile postings.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>Denial is just the first step, Larry...ta ta
>
>Kim W5TIT

And, of course, another lie serves just as well as a reasoned, logical,
and honest defense of yourself, doesn't it, Kim?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3036. Re: CW crashes US dollar and US industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213323.11736.00000571@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50801

In article <200285-1150-372488@foorum.com>, Chewie <cw@forbid.net> writes:

>
>In the sixties, USA appeared as a modern country with their space program to
>conquer the moon. The US dollar was strong and the US economy too.
>40 years later, the fact to still glorify CW makes that the world does not
>trust anymore that USA is a model of progress, but a country of old farts
>that
>look forward to the 19th century. So the US dollar falls and the US economy
>too.
>Consequently, about 80% of US hams are CBers and do not even know how to
>pronounce ENGLISH correctly on the bands. More, they use a "custom" alphabet
>such as "sugar" (for the "S" letter, which international spell is "SIERRA")
>that once pronounced is closed to "shogun" (some kind of japanese lord) or
>even "chougar" that is closed to "OSCAR" in the QSB.
>Result : It's impossible to answer to 50% of US hams calling CQ (even they
>are 59+) because of their bad spelling. That is the consequenses of CW, US
>hams
>do not know how to speak (listen to the G guys and you will see the
>difference).

Hmmm -- so the failure to follow the ICAO standard phonetic alphabet is a fault
which
can be ascribed wholly to US radio amateurs and to our "glorification" of CW.
Just out
of curiosity, "Chewie," how often have you heard US hams (or hams from any
other
country) spelling out letters phonetically? And what is it about CW that would
cause
US hams to incorporate non-standard phonetics into their phone operation?
Please
provide fully documented, authenticated, and readily verifiable proof. If you
cannot,
or choose not to, you're just another anonymous coward and liar, aren't you?
Not to mention the fact that you're full of Sugar Honolulu Istanbul Tangiers,
in addition to being a second-rate troll.

73 de Larry, K3LT
Kilo Three Lima Tango

(BTW, I'm an avid CW user. Notice I didn't say "Kilowatt Three London
Tokyo.")

3037. Re: Only 10 months to wait for the suppress of CW in the ITU-RR !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 01:33:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020805213323.11736.00000572@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 51000

In article <PHi39.330$m6.14810249@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
>news:ukqmiocoucff57@corp.supernews.com...
>> Of course not, Ed. Just gotta get a couple of jabs in myself ;). The
>nice
>> thing about amateur radio is that it is NOT just one 'thing' like CW, PSK,
>> RTTY, moonbounce, or just about anything we'd care to mention. The stupid
>> arguing is getting out of hand, so I've gotta add some fuel to the fire,
>no?
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>
>Jim, ask Larry, Dick or Moe and they'll say otherwise. Amateur radio IS
>just one thing.

Brian:

I can't speak for "Moe," but I'm pretty sure that Dick and myself have not said any such thing. The point of posting such a scurrilous lie totally escapes me, although I'm sure it makes some sort of perverted sense to you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3038. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 02:34:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805223422.03826.00001526@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50802

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>They barbecue lobsters out in Arizona?

Not that I know of. Cecil was quite prepared to take me out for lobster, but, alas, my time was spoken for with various functions each evening. Cecil and I decided that under the circumstances, honor on the lobster-dinner wager would be well satisfied if Cecil bought my ticket for the BBQ and joined me for dinner. We sat across from Tuck Miller, the new SW Division Vice Director. A good time was had by all.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3039. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 02:36:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805223600.03826.00001527@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50803

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I'm not some dumbed-down,
>whining, snivelling offense-taker -- unlike most NCTA's.

You are correct. From what I have seen of your conduct here, you are much
better suited to giving offense than to taking it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3040. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 02:38:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805223807.03826.00001528@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50804

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>Surely you remember! Brian is allowed to change his mind within hours of
>making a vow.

We are all allowed to change our minds as often as we want to.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3041. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 02:41:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805224138.03826.00001529@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50805

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>Vowing revenge and storming out of a newsgroup in a snit can hardly be
>considered comparable.

Exactly correct. Vowing revenge sometimes has some rather serious implications.
Snitting in a newsgroup is trivial, and rather commonplace.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3042. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 02:47:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805224745.03826.00001530@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50806

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>IF there were anyone from the host country in "authority" then I would have
>worked the licensing issue through them.

Did you also do other things that would be against the law in "civilized"
countries while you were there because there was no one "in authority" to stop
you?

You were there under UN authority, were you not? The UN would have had the
authority to issue you the necessary operating permits. Had you secured them,
you would not have been bootlegging.

>and people probably wouldn't have been starving to death.

How many people did your bootlegging prevent from starving to death?

>Ed, I don't "obese" about double standards. I merely point them out where
>they exist.

Okay. Are you saying that working overseas hams on a frequency where one is
authorized to operate is not okay but operating without authority to do so is
okay? Is that the double standard you are pointing out?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3043. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 02:49:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4F392C.8372392C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50807

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020805084622.22093.00001854@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > >We were discussing whether your
> > >commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses and
> > >whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.
> >
> > What is really a hoot here is that Brian took the "high moral ground" wrt
> your
> > operating legally in band to work French stations on 6 meters.
>
> I still won't work French Ops 200khz out of band, no matter what unkind
> things you say about me.

Did you operate without benifit of licensing documents? Did your
operation pass muster at the DXCC desk?

> > At the time, we
> > didn't realize that Brian was advocating that you just set up shop in
> another
> > country without the benefit of any approval from anyone in authority in
> that
> > country (a polite way of saying "bootlegger") and work all comers on a
> > frequency that you didn't have any right to use.
>
> Ed, name one other country that you know of without a government!

I love these quizzes. During the period of a little more than a decade,
we've had quite a number. Bosnia, Kosovo, Sierra Leone, Liberia. If
you're speaking of DXCC entities, the list becomes even greater. Some
not only have no government, they don't have a population.

> IF there were anyone from the host country in "authority" then I would have
> worked the licensing issue through them. But IF that were the case, we
> probably wouldn't have been over there, the American Embassy would have been
> in operation, and people probably wouldn't have been starving to death.

People starve to death all the time in countries WITH a government.
The American embassies in Sierra Leone and Guinea-Bissau are closed
despite the fact that these countries have governments. Our embassy in
Bagdad isn't open. What do embassies and starvation have to do with
obtaining an amateur radio license?

> You and Bill Clinton obviously didn't understand the situation.

Bill isn't President and isn't a ham. If for no other reasons, we can
rejoice in these two.

> > No wonder he keeps obessing about double standards.

> Ed, I don't "obese" about double standards. I merely point them out where
> they exist.

You didn't bother to point out your own.

Dave K8MN

3044. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 02:53:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805225358.03826.00001531@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50808

From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com

>Raking the end of the antenna wire along the file will allow one
>to transmit a Morse code SOS on virtually all frequencies at once. :-)

Legal under the circumstances, but I wonder what the power spectral density
would be?

And one really better limit the current from a well-charged battery or they
will get a pretty fast lesson in arc welding!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3045. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-06 03:01:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D4F3BF5.A87BCA9E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50809

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D4E6E5A.EA462527@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D4CAC94.5D46D198@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > My card confirms the contact - same as any other card does.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > ...and to that point and that point only do your QSLs do "the
> same
> > > > as
> > > > > > > > > > any other cards does".
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Its got the American Flag on it, too.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Oh? Do operations from Somalia now fall under Part 97? ;)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It fell under Pres George Bush and the Joint Chiefs of Staff.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Neither the President nor the Joint Chiefs issue amateur radio
> licenses.
> > > > >
> > > > As the President is the Chief Law Enforcement Officer of the land, he is
> > > > also the Chief Issuer of Amateur Radio Lincenses in the land. As the
> > > > Commander in Chief of the US Armed Forces, he was my boss in Somalia as
> > > > well. He appointed officers to be in charge of me. He delegated much
> of
> > > > his authority to those officers. They made decisions for him in his
> > > > absence.
> > > >
> > > Although he did come to Somalia, he was too busy to deal with my
> licensing
> > > issue.
> > >
> > Please produce an instance where a U.S. President has issued an amateur
> > radio license. You're really reaching.
>
> I was being silly. ;^))

I took that as a given.
```

> > > > > They called it Operation Restore Hope.
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > > > He was the commander. You were not. The on-scene commander
> makes
> > > the
> > > > > > > decisions. You go decide to work more hams out of band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Commander of what? Commander of amateur radio operations?
> > > > >
> > > > > Commander of me and everything I did.
> > > >
> > > > Even during four years of Air Force active duty, I never had a
> commander
> > > > of me and everything I did.
> > >
> > > Then you misunderstood your contract and your Oath.
> >
> > I don't recall signing a contract and certainly don't recall any portion
> > of my oath dealing with my off-duty time, amateur radio or DXCC.
> > Say--what did you sign up for anyway? It sounds an awful lot like a
> > religeous cult.
>
> In a forward deployed area, there is no "off time."

I've been in forward deployed areas. I've had off time. If you had no
off time, how'd you find time to get on the air as a ham?

> > > Did you join the AF to
> > > avoid the draft?
> >
> > Are you nuts? I served for double the time and still went to Vietnam.
> > I know draftees who never went to 'nam. What does that have to do with
> > whether your Somalia QSOs counted for DXCC credit?
>
> Nothing.

Excuse me, I thought perhaps you had a point to make.

> > > > > > Have you asked anyone at the DXCC desk?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, I never have. I don't know that they are accepted or rejected.
> > > > >
> > > > > A DXer would know if his operations are accepted or rejected. You
> claim
> > > > > to be a DXer.
> > >
> > > A good Airman would know that his choices in life are quite limited in >
> a forward deployed area.
> >
> > We weren't discussing airmen. We were discussing whether your
> > commander's authority extended to issuing amateur radio licenses and

> > whether your Somali QSOs were accepted for DXCC credit.
>
> Dave, give me examples of military menbers in Somalia whose QSO's were
> accepted for DXCC credit and we'll go from there.

You have a habit of asking the wrong people. Check with the DXCC desk.
While you're at it, ask 'em about your own operation.

> > > > > > I've been in places where there was plenty of gunfire.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's when we execute a NEO and get you guys out.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I didn't run into you in Vietnam and don't recall seeing you anywhere
> > > > > else.
> > > > >
> > > > Were you a combatant in Vietnam or a DXer?
> > >
> > I don't know of any Air Force AFSC for "DXer".
> >
> Apparently the State Department has one.

I don't know of any State Department career field for "DXer". I was not
employed as a DXer. I had plenty of off time. I had evenings, most
weekends, local holidays, U.S. holidays and annual leave.

> > > Where have you been evacuated from?
> > >
> > I've never been evacuated from anywhere for anything.
> >
> Then it didn't get dicey enough.

...or we were able to handle it without your assistance.

> > > > > > > And you say the
> > > > > > > on-scene commander has no authority to authorize what one of his
> > > > > > > soldiers, sailors, or airmen does?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, I say that a commander might grant authorization which is not
> > his
> > > > to
> > > > > > > grant. I say that higher-ups might not approve of such
> > authorization.
> > > > > > > I say that the DXCC desk might not credit such operation. What
> > has
> > > > the
> > > > > > > DXCC desk said about your operation from Somalia? Have you found
> > if
> > > > it
> > > > > > > counts or not?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave, if you say my commander has no authority to grant
> > authorization, >

> > > what is it to the DXCC desk?
> > > >
> > > > To the DXCC desk, it counts or doesn't.
> > > >
> > > > > How can they grant it?
> > > >
> > > > They don't grant it. They accept it or reject it.
> > >
> > > How can they make such a determination if there is no host country to >
> grant a license?
> >
> > Oh, there was a host country. There just wasn't any civil government.
> > Drop the DXCC desk a note and ask.
>
> Why? Don't you know the answer?

I don't make decisions as to which operations or rejected or accepted
for DXCC. I don't work for the DXCC desk. You keep asking the wrong
folks.

> > > Oh Omniscient DXer Supreme, how do the others do it?
> >
> > Drop the DXCC desk a note and ask them.
>
> Why? Don't you know the answer?

No, I don't know the answer. Don't ask me what's wrong with your car
either.

> > > > > They have no authority over
> > > > > Operation Restore Hope, me, nor Somalia.
> > > >
> > > > No, they have authority over the DXCC program.
> > > >
> > > > > I just don't understand.
> > > >
> > > > I gather that.
> > >
> > > More to the point, if my commander cannot grant me permission to do as >
> I did, who can?
> >
> > I dunno, Brian. Why not drop the DXCC desk a note and see what they
> > consider acceptable?
>
> How did you do it when you operate from real estate without a host
> government?

You aren't paying attention. I've not done that.

> > > I did have to report all communist contacts to the AF Office of Special
> > > Investigations even though my license had nothing to do with America or
> the

> > > Air Force.
> >
> > You're kidding! I think you read some additional requirements into the
> > regs. While state had a "contact reporting" requirement and had a
> > travel restriction in those days which stated that I could not travel to
> > "bloc countrries" unless accompanied by another cleared American, the
> > reporting policy did not apply to amateur radio contacts. I know this
> > because I queried Diplomatic Security. If it had not been the case, DS
> > might have had to hire more help just to handle my CQ Worldwide Contest
> > bloc QSOs. I can see them trying to analyze, "599 16" from a Ukrainian
> > and my "599 15" reply.
>
> Gee Dave, Diplomatic Security has no authority over me. AF OSI did.

Not your commander?

> Yes, I had a travel restriction also.
>
> > > On Guam, a USA Posession, I merely had to sign Stroke KH2 per FCC
> > > regulation. Same procedure for AFOSI.
> > >
> > > Somalia was different than either. I didn't have a manual on what to do
> > > from a country with no government. So when all else fails, you ask
> > > your commander.
> > >
> > ...and if he doesn't know anything at all about amateur radio, he might
> > say, "Well hell yes, Brian--go ahead". Under those circumstances, the
> > DXCC desk may or may not accept your operation for DXCC credit.
>
> Perhaps that Powell kid doesn't know anything about amateur radio either.

I thought you were in the military while in Somalia. You were working
for the FCC?

> > > > > > Do you
> > > > > > think the ops from the accredited operations knew if their
> operation
> > > was
> > > > > > accepted for DXCC credit?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I can't speak for them. The other operator, a marine, seemed to
> have
> > > > > everything figured out. He went to places like that all the time,
> and
> > > had
> > > > > > his ham/mars shack palletized, ready for deployment. He ran phone
> > > patches
> > > > > > on 20M and MARS for his troops.
> > > > >
> > > > MARS QSOs don't count for DXCC credit.
> > >

> > > Apparently, neither do my amateur contacts. Feel better?
> >
> > I don't feel better or worse, Brian.
>
> Sure you do, Dave. Doesn't "smug" make you feel better?
>
> All of my operations were accepted
> > for DXCC credit. Were yours?

> Don't know.

...and apparently you don't care. Sure, you're a DXer.

Dave K8MN

3046. Re: Move over Hal Turner and Profit Stair, Herbie is on the way back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 02:34:31 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <aj1u9401gmq@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I have a pimple on my ass that makes more difference to the world than the whole crowd of loons who post on this NG -- and who populate 14.313 or whatever it is.

--
- - -

Regards,
Joe S.

"Brother Lloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:27e38977.0208091248.732df24b@posting.google.com...
> "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message news:<aj022e05rh@enews3.newsguy.com>...
> > BFD.
> >
> > --
> > - - -
> >
> > Regards,
> > Joe S.
> >
>
> Hey Joe,
>
> Noone cares what you think. You are not a "player" in all of this.
> You can just turn the dial to your boring QSO, ok?
>
> Herb is coming back, wheather you like it or not.....

3047. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 03:02:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020809230215.11736.00000925@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50810

Main Entry: git
Pronunciation: 'git
Function: noun
Etymology: variant of get, term of abuse, from [2]get
Date: 1943
British : a foolish or worthless person

Pronunciation Key

ï¿½ 2001 by Merriam-Webster, Incorporated
Merriam-Webster Privacy Policy

3048. Re: PSK31 : more reliable and less power required than CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 03:02:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020809230215.11736.00000924@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50814

In article <200289-132912-434576@foorum.com>, Power Supply <power@supply.net>
writes:

>It is evident that CW is an obsolet mode for NORMAL hams.

Except, of course, for the fact that hundreds of thousands of "normal"
hams are still using it, with no end in sight!

>Digital modes like
>PSK31 are far better because they are automatic and allow to transmit files
>(i.e binary, pictures, etc ...) that is impossible with CW.

Right. That's why I, as a person who loves CW and uses it regularly,
also uses PSK-31 and other digital modes. That's what makes me a
"normal" ham, as opposed to an NCTA whiner.

BTW -- since when can one send binary files via PSK31? Even if it were
possible, wouldn't 31-baud be kinda slow?

>If you need to
>transmit 2000 names of victims with symptoms, they will be dead before the CW
>transmit was done (after 30 names, the CW old-fart operator is thursty, not
>the computer. Hi hi hi).

Which makes it a shame that most amateur radio emergency operations
are still done on FM and HF/SSB voice modes! Talk about getting
"thursty!" After the first 100 names/symptoms, I'd definitely need a
Rolling Rock to whet my whistle!

>Most CW-coders are gay drunkards and not reliable operators. They are rapidly
>
>out of service with a little headache. Poor old-farts ... order your coffin
>now it's time for you.

Now, THERE'S a convincing argument against code testing if I *ever*
heard one! ROFLMAO!!!!

>Some liers said that PSK31 requires more electrical power than CW, it's
>false. Sun power costs nothing and it's possible to send PSK31 with a small
>computer system without Windows and a Sound-Blaster card.

As opposed to CW, which requires no computer at all! Bzzzzzzt!
You lose, anonymous troll!

>But that kind of
>low-power equipment (less than 15W DC required) is not for lazybones who want
>to operate Winpsk or Digipan on their expensive 2 GHz Pentium with 2 GB
>of RAM running Windows, just to show that they have money. For that equipment

>you had do it yourself. That's ham radio.

Please reference some articles on this non-Windows PSK operating environment. If you're aware of it, and it indeed exists, you should be eager to disseminate information about it.

>You can also continue to use CW, but considering what you got "on the nose"
>on the last 11th september, it's evident that the defense's plans of USA are
>obsolet ...

Now code testing requirements are being blamed for Sept. 11? Well, I guess I knew it was only a matter of time before that happened!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3049. Re: "...Welfare mothers of color..." -- An apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 03:02:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020809230216.11736.00000926@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50812

In a message dated 08/08/2002 11:13:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time, k4yz@aol.com writes:

<< >Moreover, they will not get a way out until
>the welfare mechanisms that support them are torn down, thus
>preventing more of their kind from being created by forcing all
>people to accept individual responsibility for their actions, with no
>state/federal taxpayer funded "social safety net." I hope that we all
>will live to see the day.

I think SOME form of "safety net" is an integral part of American compassion, but as I said above, there should be limits..Strict ones. >>

Steve:

The "Social Safety Net" should exist solely in the form of private charities. If we did not have multiple generations of welfare recipients already populating our inner cities, the few people who genuinely could not take care of themselves through no fault of their own could be easily handled by private charitable organizations. The liberal Democrats back in the '60's saw a way to create their own voting bloc -- and proceeded, with horrific cynicism and callousness, to create a growing dependency class. In order to keep their miserable existence flowing, the mostly-black underclass is forced to vote Democrat -- and the Democrats come off as being "compassionate," "inclusive," and all other touchy-feelies due to the fact that they act as surrogate parents for half our nation's population.

From a standpoint of morality, I think the liberal socialist Democrats have a lot to answer for. They have kept themselves in political power at the expense of an enormous waste of human potential. When those of us who are picking up the tab someday decide that we no longer care to do so, what will happen? There will be a civil war between the producers and the underclass -- a shooting war with millions of dead bodies rotting on city streets. The American Holocaust created by liberal socialist Democrats will exact a huge cost in human life someday -- but, as usual, the Democrats don't see it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3050. Re: "...Welfare mothers of color..." -- An apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 03:02:17 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020809230217.11736.00000927@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50813

In article <SxG49.1003$xf7.99644651@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>
>I'm reminded of the time, years ago, on the Rush Limbo show, where a woman
>called in complaining of Rush saying bad things about Blacks. Rush was
>trying to understand where this was coming from and finally figured it out.
>He replayed what he had said about "people on welfare" but the woman thougth
>she heard "Blacks on Welfare" because she was predisposed to think that only
>Blacks were on welfare. Then Rush pointed out that far more whites are on
>welfare than any other race.

Brian:

Numerically, blacks and whites are about even as far as their representation on the Welfare rolls. However, within their respective ethnic group, black welfare recipients are horrendously over-represented, since they consume over 50% of social welfare but represent approximately 12% of the total US population. That black woman's reaction was merely based on what she already knew about people of her own race.

>I thought that welfare now lasted only two years, so there would be no more
>generations of welfare mothers from cradle to grave?

I thought so as well, but apparently there are plenty of welfare programs like AFDC and Section 8 housing that weren't affected by Clinton's Welfare Reform Act. I'll admit to not being up on the details, so if I'm all wet, caveat emptor.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3051. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 03:02:18 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020809230218.11736.00000929@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50811

In article <3D5350C6.4767628A@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> BTW, the people saying "fer sher" on the repeater are just a bunch
>> of ignorant, chromozomally-challenged, poorly educated, usually
>> intoxicated, chronically overweight, lazy, indifferent, shiftless,
>> indigent, uncouth, cigarette-smoking, beer swilling morons from
>> the South -- or they just choose to sound like one!
>
>Isn't Delaware south of the Mason-Dixon line? Isn't
>all of call zone 3 also south of that line?

All of Delaware is North and East of the Mason-Dixon Line. But don't
tell that to those who live in Sussex county, who fancy themselves as
residing just a few miles south of Biloxi, MS.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3052. Re: "...Welfare mothers of color..." -- An apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 03:02:18 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020809230218.11736.00000928@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50815

In article <3D5332C8.308DECA5@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>
>Reminds me of the time I pulled into the post office in my 10 year
>old Volkswagen to get stamps to mail my bills. Two "women of
>color" pulled up beside me in a brand new luxury car, both
>sporting those hair-do's that run about $75 a pop, and went in to
>buy food stamps.
>

JJ:

Who's to blame? The recipients of the taxpayer's tolerance and
genorosity, who are merely taking advantage of something that is being
handed over to them free of obligation (other than to vote Democrat),
or the taxpayers themselves, who voluntarily support situations such
as you describe? If the Welfare State had never been created, we
would not be providing permanent, cradle-to-grave support for generations
of indigent people (white and black). They simply wouldn't exist. The
first time a 15 year old single mother got an AFDC check, we should
have snatched it back, torn it up, and said, "Sorry, our mistake. Get
the kid's father to marry you and take care of you both."

Wouldn't it be wonderful if we still lived in a world where if an unmarried
young woman got pregnant, she had to leave town and live with her
maiden aunt in Baltimore until she gave the child up for adoption?
Instead of giving her Welfare Checks until the little bastard is old enough
to peddle crack on his own streetcorner?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3053. Re: PSK31 : more reliable and less power required than CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 03:37:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020809233700.04292.00000388@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50817

In article <d22b54c3.0208091559.7141c2cb@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>One more clueless troll drops in, can't spell, has no grip on grammar
>or what CW & PSK31 are all about. The usual . .
>
>Prolly Lenny again.

Lenny who? Lenny Kravitz? :-)

Not me, old man. I know the correct voltage of WW2 military vehicles...and have more patents than you... :-)

Weren't you going to show us all those PLC applications to ham radio or was that another self-styled techno-whizzer in here?

3054. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 03:37:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020809233702.04292.00000389@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50818

In article <20020809210212.00682.00002353@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>To interject a moment, the "picture" in the subject title is rather an open
>> thing in that hundreds have received it over the internet after being
>> passed from one to another.
>
> Completely irrelevant, Your Cowardice...The "picture" was NOT what you
>promised to send. had yous ent the picture as a "fwd" or clearly as a joke,
>then it would have been different.

Now, now, don't get all hissy. I sent the wrong file by mistake and stated that in public in this newsgroup. The correction and apology was made as soon as I saw the much-smaller file size originally sent as an attachment to e-mail.

> The fact is, you lied again. Clearly violated AOL's TOS yourself, but used
>the system to cover yor own misdeeds.

I explained what happened in public. Tsk, tsk, you'd think you were some kind of royalty to hear you talk!

I sent no less than FOUR correct copies as e-mail attachments, each at different times. AOL can see that themselves if they wish to examine for evidence of such transmission. AOL has complete control of their own operations. As just another subscriber I must obey the Terms of Service of my ISP and have consistently done so.

Is the "charge" now becoming one of conspiracy? "Covering up my own misdeeds?" How? I have no control of AOL operations of any kind. How can I "cover up" something that does not exist?

>
>> It is humorous to most normal humans,
>> using elements of incongruity in setting to elicit humor.
>
> Under other circumstances, I would agree.
>
> But then again, you sent it under a veil of misrepresentation.

"Misrepresentation?!?" I originally stated that I would scan my First Class Radiotelephone (commercial) and send the file to anyone requesting it...to prove it did exist. One poster requested that file in public after I volunteered the action in public.

I made a mistake in attaching the proper file to a private e-mail
(actually two of them, one each to different people, both the
same). As soon as I learned of the mistake, I corrected it in
private e-mail then made a public message explaining that a
mistake had been made.

Not only that, I sent no less than three subsequent copies of
the same scan to the original requester. The requester claimed
not opening them and trashing them because I could not be
trusted. That is hypocritical...or oxymoronic. If the requester
knew it was untrustable to begin with, why request it in the
first place? Was that to simply flame another to indulge in some
emotional fantasy? That's a perverse mental state.

>> "Libel is permanent as long as the letter, magazine article,
>> or book in which the words appear continues to exist, and
>> the damages awarded are therefore usually larger. Generally
>> speaking, defamatory statements made over radio and
>> television are now considered libelous rather than slanderous."
>
> Then I guess we have ten years of LIBEL from your numerous accounts to
>show, don't we?

Libel is a serious charge. That has to be proved first. Proof is
not found in ramblings of fantasy from the uninformed or
ignorant or emotionally disturbed.

I have not had Internet access for "ten years." I have been on AOL
for about six years. The Internet was made public-access in 1991.
I have done computer-modem communications from my personal
computer(s) since 1984.

I have only ONE AOL account and have not used another ISP for
over a year. AOL allows up to seven "screen names" ('handles')
for each account. You should know AOL as a subscriber yourself.

>> The AOL user, identified only as "nevertrustlennie," in cooperation
>> with AOL, published an HTML format document which directly and
>> falsely accused another AOL subscriber of falsity, plus many more
>> traits of a generally undesireable nature. In short, that user
>> committed LIBEL deliberately and with the intent to harm another's
>> character and reputation.
>
> No, Lennie..it ACCURATELY described the breach of netiquette that you
>perpetrated by sending the .jpg rather than your "license".

Oh, my, another fantasy. Tsk, tsk. I explained the situation in public
as soon as I learned of the mistake, then sent the correct files in
private e-mail as attachments. In fact, I sent two copies of each
resolution twice, two at 300 dots per inch and two at 150 dots per
inch later. All the files I sent, incorrect or correct were in JPG
format, compressed in PKWare's common ZIP format for minimum
file size.

I am "guilty" only of making a mistake. Is making a mistake in private e-mail now a Capital Offense?!? :-)

> Sorry. The only liar here seems to be you.

Just what are these "lies?" Prove them.

I quoted from a lay person's guide to US law, written/edited by a (then) Associate Dean at Harvard Law School.

I made a mistake, made the mistake public, and sent the correct files (multiple copies, each at successive, separate times).

> And any harm sustained by you has been at your own hand.

How have I been "harmed?" Not I. I did not take on any AOL screen name of "nevertrustlennie" nor did I construct any "Homepage" (AOL term for personal web page) under that user name. I did not learn of this "homepage" until some AOL subscriber with the screen name of "nevertrustlennie" posted a message in this newsgroup.

That this homepage of user name "nevertrustlennie" disappeared from AOL is not, was not under my control.

>You provide the material by which you are routinely made a fool over.

An English teacher would no doubt chuckle at that sentence structure and quote "That is something up with which I will not put!" :-)

Those who overly indulge in fantasies are the fools. The fantasy this time is that "I made up a web page to harm myself," filled with a number of lies and truly great distortions carried over the edge of sanity. Foolish. Ridiculous. Pure fantasy.

The fantasy is also believing that someone can indulge in libel against anyone else yet be immune from castigation over such libelous charges. "Nevertrustlennie" tried that, got dumped. I had no control over that dumping.

The fantasy is also believing that strong personal feelings against any other person are somehow "justification" for committing libel. They are not. US law is quite clear on that, has been for years, is still clear on it.

The fantasy is also that the emotionally disturbed can do anything, say anything, write anything personally attacking another in public and be free from any restrictions on such behavior. That is incorrect in the real world. Restrictions are reality.

Radios and electronics have never worked with fantasy rules.

Get used to reality. It is all around you.

3055. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:02:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810000230.22162.00002765@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50819

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/09/02 23:37 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020809233702.04292.00000389@mb-da.aol.com>

> Now, now, don't get all hissy. I sent the wrong file by mistake and
> stated that in public in this newsgroup. The correction and apology
> was made as soon as I saw the much-smaller file size originally
> sent as an attachment to e-mail.

Uh huh...suuuuuuuuure you did....

"Honest Officer! She TOLD me she was 18 ! ! ! !"

>I explained what happened in public. Tsk, tsk, you'd think you were
> some kind of royalty to hear you talk!

Uh huh...Nice cover. Only YOU believe you, but that's OK.

>Is the "charge" now becoming one of conspiracy? "Covering up
> my own misdeeds?" How? I have no control of AOL operations
> of any kind. How can I "cover up" something that does not
> exist?

The calculated "ooops, wrong file"...

From an alleged PROFESSIONAL in electronics, Lennie?

So we "buy" your excuse..??? What does that say about your competence in
the technologies you claim expertise?

> I made a mistake in attaching the proper file to a private e-mail
> (actually two of them, one each to different people, both the
> same). As soon as I learned of the mistake, I corrected it in
> private e-mail then made a public message explaining that a
> mistake had been made.

Considering the nature of your "relationship" with all concerned, only the
most naive of readers "believe" your "wrong file" story.

YOU offer to send a "specific" file in response to sepcific requests, then
send the "wrong" file...??? Especially one with the connotation of the one you
sent?

As I said before..."Fool me once..."

>That's a perverse mental state.

The perverse mental state was to send offensive photography under the guise of another subject.

>Libel is a serious charge. That has to be proved first. Proof is
> not found in ramblings of fantasy from the uninformed or
> ignorant or emotionally disturbed.

Then we have nothing to fear from anything YOU author, do we?

>> No, Lennie..it ACCURATELY described the breach of netiquette that you
>>perpetrated by sending the .jpg rather than your "license".
>
> Oh, my, another fantasy. Tsk, tsk. I explained the situation in public
> as soon as I learned of the mistake, then sent the correct files in
> private e-mail as attachments.

As the girls in Van Nuys say..."I am soooooo sure"

>> Sorry. The only liar here seems to be you.
>
> Just what are these "lies?" Prove them.

I don't need to. Your own words do it nicely. Shall we start with your allegations of dishonesty of the ARRL?

>I made a mistake, made the mistake public, and sent the correct
> files (multiple copies, each at successive, separate times).

Lennie get's an "A" in calculated cheating.

>An English teacher would no doubt chuckle at that sentence
> structure and quote "That is something up with which I will not
> put!"

Grammar is not the subject. Your lack of credibility is.

>Get used to reality. It is all around you.

Too bad you've not stepped out and sampled a bit yourself, eh, Your Lyingness?

Putz.

3056. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:15:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D54934D.39E3A122@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50820

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D534C97.AA418110@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D51DE7E.E025A961@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D50A9A6.C24F798C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D509A2C.AB094298@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:3D4F392C.8372392C@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:20020805084622.22093.00001854@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

> > > > > > > > > > > from the former embassy in Lebanon. I wasn't aware that we
> > > still
> > > > > > > > had an
> > > > > > > > > embassy in Iraq. You must be referring to the former
> embassy.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No former embassy. The American Embassy in Bagdad. It is
> closed.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Have we ceased diplomatic relations with Iraq?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > That's correct. We did not give away or sell the embassy
> property.
> > > The
> > > > > > > embassy in Guinea-Bissau is closed. We did not give away or sell
> the
> > > > > > property.
> > > > > >
```

> > > > > Are you now in real estate?
> > > >
> > > > Actually, I'm ON real estate. Didn't you have a real rebuttal of the
> > > > information I supplied?
> > >
> > > So the American Embassy in Iraq is closed. Now what?
> >
> > Now all of your questions regarding embassy real estate have been
> > answered.
>
> Not so fast. Have you operated from the closed US embassy in Iraq? Have
> you operated from any closed embassy?

Let's not get too far off the trail, Brian. I'm still interested in
Somalia.
I didn't operate from embassies. I operated from my home.

> Who would authorize you to do so?

See above.

> > > > > I am no longer there. You, the DXpert, should be able to tell me
> who,
> > > > in a
> > > > > country without a government, that I should have requested
> permission
> > > from.
> > > > >
> > > > > Who was it? Who is it now?
> > > > >
> > > > > You must know.
> > > >
> > > > Why do you keep telling me that I "must know" when I've told you that
> I
> > > > don't know?
> > >
> > > You don't know who is, but you know who isn't?
> >
> > I have a much better idea of the numerous entities who did not
> > authorized the operation.
>
> But you don't know who could?

I've advised you of two sources for the information. How about doing
some of your own legwork?

> > > > > > You accepted verbal permission from your commander for amateur
> > > > > > operations? Nothing in writing? Sharp, Brian.
> > > >
> > > > > Now military Dxpert Dave says verbal orders do not carry any weight.
> > > >
> > > > Verbal permission to operate doesn't carry any weight with the DXCC

> > > > desk.
> > > > Take it as gospel.
> > >
> > > So?
> >
> > So if you had no written authorization, your operation plainly will not
> > be accepted for DXCC credit. So you wasted the time, QSL cards and
> > postage of wanted to confirm T5 for DXCC credit.
>
> They didn't have to send me a card. I QSL'd 100% via the Buro. And the
> buro took them.

The bureau takes SWL cards too. They don't count for DXCC either.

> But Ed is now calling me a bootlegger. Because the DXCC desk does not bless
> an operation makes it illegal? You guys remind me of tweedle dee and...

You have some of the words backward. The desk does not bless illegal
operations. I'll be Tweedle Dee. You can be Tweedle Dumber.

> > > You've stated that the DXCC desk has no authority to authorize me to
> operate
> > > from anywhere.
> >
> > That's right.
> >
> > > You've said that my commander has no authority to authorize me to
> operate
> > > from anywhere.
> >
> > I've questioned his authority.
>
> So he might? I thought you were sure.

What does the written document of permission he gave you say?

> > > You've said that others in my situation had been authorized to operate,
> and
> > > in addition, were accepted at the DXCC desk.
> >
> > I've stated that other operations took place from Somalia in roughly the
> > same time frame.
>
> But you weren't there and didn't see a new Minister of Communication getting
> sworn in?

Still being silly? I've already told you that I've not been there and
have no intentions of going.

> But you know that some how, some way other military members were LEGAL and
> were accepted at the DXCC des, but you don't know how or why.

I don't even know if the other ops were military, Brian. Some were
accepted for DXCC credit and some were not. Have you contacted the desk
or any of the ops whose calls I provided yet?

> But you're sure MY operation was illegal.

I've raised questions regarding the legality of your operation.

> But you don't know how or why.

I have a very good idea of why. How does the written document of
permission you were given read?

> And Ed is just chiming in as per usual double standard.

Is he "just" doing that or does he have the same questions regarding
your operation based on the verbal okay of a U.S. military type?

> > > But you don't know who had the authority to authorize them to operate or
> how
> > > it happened.
> >
> > That's right.
>
> So again, exactly what do you know?

That you're no DXer.

> > > You make it sound like you might know something, but in the end, you
> don't
> > > know much at all.
> >
> > At this point, I have more questions than answers.
>
> Don't forget your accusations.

You left out "accurate" before "accusations".

> > > > > Lets face it Dave, you just don't know what you're talking about.
> Quit
> > > > > wasting bandwidth.
> > > >
> > > > All we need face, Brian, is that YOU don't know what I'm talking
> about.
> > >
> > > I know this. You not the DXpert on operating from countries without a
> > > government.
> > >
> > > I have vastly more experience in that arena than you do.
> > >
> > > You simply don't know what you are talking about.
> >
> > You're right. I'm not the expert on operating from countries without
> > governments. I don't know who could have authorized DXCC accepted
> > operations from Somalia. I've never been to or operated from Somalia.

> > You have been there, have operated and you don't know either.
>
> I've told you. My commander authorized it. That made it legal.

Bravo Sierra.

> I'd say
> > that makes you the prize boob.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Perhaps I should change my call to W8MN.

It's taken: Jari Kulki, a Nokia type from Helsinki has it. You could always change your call to T5/N0IMD. I suspect that it would be just as valid from Ohio as from Somalia.

Dave K8MN

3057. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:19:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D549435.DBE147F7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50821

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020809080114.07941.00002683@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >Ed, are you saying that all of the other military personnel over there
> > >bootlegged calls?
> >
> > No, I am not commenting on the other military personnel because I have no
> way
> > of knowing whether they obtained legal permission to operate or not. They
> have
> > not posted on rrap that their sole authority to operate came from their
> C.O.
>
> Ed, be careful here. Who other than one's commander would be over there to
> authorize amateur operations. The country had no government, and we had no
> functioning American Embassy.

No American Embassy anywhere in the world authorizes amateur radio
operations on host country soil.

> So you say that my operation was bootlegging and other military members in
> the same situation were legal?
>
> > How about we have a secret little code between us so you will know whether
> I am
> > discussing other military radio personnel or not. If I say the words
> "other
> > military personnel" only you and I will know I am talking about other
> military
> > personnel. That way, if I say "Brian" you won't ask me if I am saying
> "other
> > military personnel." This will probably make our communication a lot less
> > confusing.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> You called me a bootlegger. Based on what?
>
> FWIW, the dxcc desk gets a copy of this.

NOW you're contacting the DXCC desk? Be sure to ask if your operations counts and query them on the other operations from T5 while you are at it. Aren't you going to threaten me with something too, Brian?

Dave K8MN

3058. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:22:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D5494E5.45B5AE73@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50822

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D534ED1.37EB17E7@earthlink.net...

> > > No Dave, I'm asking you. You're the Expert answer man. You know all
> about
> > > DXing. Who in Somalia, in December 1992 and January 1993 was
> authorizing
> > > military personnel to fire up with stroke T5 calls?
> >
> > I know you are asking me. It shows your inability to read or
> > comprehend. You've been pointed to callsigns of other people who
> > operated from Somalia. You've been pointed to the DXCC desk. You've
> > persisted in asking me despite the fact that I've told you where you
> > could look for answers. It makes you look kind of dense.If we were
> > talking instead of writing, I'd say you were beginning to sound shrill.
> >
> > > Was it really the khat chewing Technical with the AK47 at the K4 traffic
> > > circle?
> >
> > If that's who you asked, I can see why you had difficulty. At least if
> > he gave you written permission you'd be better off than with the verbal
> > okay you got from some military type who told you it would be fine if
> > you got on the air.
> >
> > > Impress us with your vast knowledge of DX!
> >
> > I think I already have. You're too thick to get the message.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Right. I'm thick and your the expert with no answers.

Only partially right. You're thick and I know where the answers can be
obtained. In fact--you're thick because I've provided you the
information many times and you haven't utilized it.

Dave K8MN

3059. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:24:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D54956C.935B70ED@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50823

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020808211513.00635.00002306@mb-df.aol.com...
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > >> I had been authorized. What about that don't you understand?
> > >
> > >...if the guy who told you that you could operate had the legal
> > >authority to do so.
> >
> > He did not, so Brian was simply bootlegging a rare call.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed, I've found this on your employers web site:
> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/io/recip-info_p.html#t5
> ---
> -------------------------
> Somalia (T5)
> At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
> Nations or your military commander.
>
> There is no IARU-member society.
>
> ---
> ------------------------
>
> At least be honorable and apologize.

You're operating from Somalia NOW? How does that written permission you
obtained read again?

Dave K8MN

3060. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:28:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D54966B.FA8EAD89@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50824

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020809081116.07941.00002691@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >> Contact the operators concerned or contact the DXCC desk. They'll be
> > >> happy to tell you what type documentation was presented. They'll even
> > >> tell you if your operation was accepted.
> > >
> > >No Dave, I'm asking you.
> >
> > That is pretty stupid, Brian; he already told you he doesn't know and told
> you
> > where you could go to get an answer.
> >
> > Might you be just trying to goad him now? If you really want an answer, go
> to
> > the source; if you want to goad Dave, continue to ask him the question
> here.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed, Dave has made it clear that he is vastly more knowledgeable about DX
> matters than I am.
>
> Perhaps its not so clear as he cannot answer the simple questions.
>
> Meanwhile, you contribute nothing except the assertation that I was
> bootlegging.
>
> Not good.

Dave has consistently used his vast knowledge to direct you to 1) the folks who can tell you if your operation counts for DXCC since you don't know and 2) the folks who can tell you from whom they obtained permission to operate.

You were apparently unable to come up with this information on your own because you've continued to ask me--even after I told you numerous times that I did not have the information. You've been the most obtuse DX-101 student I've ever encountered.

Dave K8MN

3061. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:37:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aM059.587$5T.87875756@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50825

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D54956C.935B70ED@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020808211513.00635.00002306@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > >
> > > >> I had been authorized. What about that don't you understand?
> > > >
> > > >...if the guy who told you that you could operate had the legal
> > > >authority to do so.
> > >
> > > He did not, so Brian was simply bootlegging a rare call.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> > Ed, I've found this on your employers web site:
> > http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/io/recip-info_p.html#t5
>
> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
--
> > --------------------------
> > Somalia (T5)
> > At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the
United
> > Nations or your military commander.
> >
> > There is no IARU-member society.
> >
>
> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
--
> > ------------------------
> >
> > At least be honorable and apologize.
>
> You're operating from Somalia NOW? How does that written permission you
> obtained read again?
>
> Dave K8MN

I'll have that apology now.
```

3062. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:44:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NS059.590$sR.87769396@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50826

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D54966B.FA8EAD89@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020809081116.07941.00002691@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >> Contact the operators concerned or contact the DXCC desk. They'll
be
> > > >> happy to tell you what type documentation was presented. They'll
even
> > > >> tell you if your operation was accepted.
> > > >
> > > >No Dave, I'm asking you.
> > >
> > > That is pretty stupid, Brian; he already told you he doesn't know and
told
> > you
> > > where you could go to get an answer.
> > >
> > > Might you be just trying to goad him now? If you really want an
answer, go
> > to
> > > the source; if you want to goad Dave, continue to ask him the question
> > here.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> > Ed, Dave has made it clear that he is vastly more knowledgeable about DX
> > matters than I am.
> >
> > Perhaps its not so clear as he cannot answer the simple questions.
> >
> > Meanwhile, you contribute nothing except the assertation that I was
> > bootlegging.
> >
> > Not good.
>
> Dave has consistently used his vast knowledge to direct you to 1) the
> folks who can tell you if your operation counts for DXCC since you don't
> know and 2) the folks who can tell you from whom they obtained
> permission to operate.

And Brian has consistently said that he obtained permission from his commander, which just happens to be consistant with what is found on the ARRL web site.

You said that my commander HAD NO AUTHORITY TO DO SO!

hi hi

> You were apparently unable to come up with this information on your own
> because you've continued to ask me--even after I told you numerous times
> that I did not have the information. You've been the most obtuse DX-101
> student I've ever encountered.

Dave, you know Nothing about operating from a military deployed location!

You've been given just enough rope to hang yourself, but instead, Ed jumps in as your surrogate.

Too bad for the both of you. Take a moment and cut him down.

3063. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:46:18 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D549A9A.D076BFA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50830

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com wrote:
> >Point is that lots of "otherwise alert persons" have impediments
> >to learning Morse code.
>
> A 92 year old woman who can't remember a close relative's name is not
> appropriately alert.

I wasn't talking about her, Steve.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3064. Re: PSK31 : more reliable and less power required than CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:47:21 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ul96lhlravp1d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50828

Larry, now that 9/11 has come up, I'd like to also mention that CW makes washing machine oversuds. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3065. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:47:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D549AD5.2C657F5D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50827

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D54956C.935B70ED@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020808211513.00635.00002306@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > > >
> > > > >> I had been authorized. What about that don't you understand?
> > > > >
> > > > >...if the guy who told you that you could operate had the legal
> > > > >authority to do so.
> > > >
> > > > He did not, so Brian was simply bootlegging a rare call.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
> > > Ed, I've found this on your employers web site:
> > > http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/io/recip-info_p.html#t5
> >
> > ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> --
> > > ---------------------------
> > > Somalia (T5)
> > > At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the
> United
> > > Nations or your military commander.
> > >
> > > There is no IARU-member society.
> > >
> >
> > ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> --
> > > ------------------------
> > >
> > > At least be honorable and apologize.
> >
> > You're operating from Somalia NOW? How does that written permission you
> > obtained read again?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
```

>
> I'll have that apology now.

You must now be answering without bothering to read first.

Dave K8MN

3066. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:48:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <UW059.591$dV.87999555@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50829

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D5494E5.45B5AE73@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D534ED1.37EB17E7@earthlink.net...
>
> > > > No Dave, I'm asking you. You're the Expert answer man. You know
all
> > about
> > > > DXing. Who in Somalia, in December 1992 and January 1993 was
> > authorizing
> > > > military personnel to fire up with stroke T5 calls?
> > >
> > > I know you are asking me. It shows your inability to read or
> > > comprehend. You've been pointed to callsigns of other people who
> > > operated from Somalia. You've been pointed to the DXCC desk. You've
> > > persisted in asking me despite the fact that I've told you where you
> > > could look for answers. It makes you look kind of dense.If we were
> > > talking instead of writing, I'd say you were beginning to sound
shrill.
> > >
> > > > Was it really the khat chewing Technical with the AK47 at the K4
traffic
> > > > circle?
> > >
> > > If that's who you asked, I can see why you had difficulty. At least
if
> > > he gave you written permission you'd be better off than with the
verbal
> > > okay you got from some military type who told you it would be fine if
> > > you got on the air.
> > >
> > > > Impress us with your vast knowledge of DX!
> > >
> > > I think I already have. You're too thick to get the message.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Right. I'm thick and your the expert with no answers.
>
> Only partially right. You're thick and I know where the answers can be
> obtained. In fact--you're thick because I've provided you the
> information many times and you haven't utilized it.

>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you've repeatedly made the assertion that my commander had no authority to bless my DX operations from Somalia.

You're a silly, silly man masquerading as a world class DXer.

3067. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:51:03 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208092051.376d7fec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ï¿½Lou Franklin wrote:
On Fri, 9 Aug 2002 12:25:22 -0400, you wrote:
>>Since when did the CB band get ``expanded" to 28.755MHz??? Your
>>frequency chart is wrong...This is also being forwarded to the FCC.
>>
>>File is attached.
>**************************
>
>Geez, give it a rest! CBs are used ALL OVER the world, and freqs.
>often vary by country. Our chart could apply to MANY other
>situations.

In what country or situation is CB operation permitted above 28.000
mHz?

>If you're so offended why don't you focus on all the U.S. radio
>dealers that are getting RICH giving people exactly what they want?

What is it that people want?

>We don't sell radios here, just INFORMATION and a few parts whose
>function could be interpreted in many different ways.

Wow, that certainly sounds slippery and evasive. I'm sure you didn't
mean to come off that way.

>
>Last time I checked we still had free speech in America. Sorta.
>Aren't your priorities a bit confused?
>
>Have a nice day anyway...
>Lou K6NH

Since when is accuracy an undesirable priority? The CB channel chart
you distributed in a CB newsgroup lists frequencies from 28.000 to
28.755 as "expanded CB channels". If this is a sample of the kind of
"information" your company offers, you are doing your customers a
grave disservice.

-Aaron-

3068. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:56:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D549CE0.F77B6C18@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50832

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D54966B.FA8EAD89@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020809081116.07941.00002691@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > >
> > > > >> Contact the operators concerned or contact the DXCC desk. They'll
> be
> > > > >> happy to tell you what type documentation was presented. They'll
> even
> > > > >> tell you if your operation was accepted.
> > > > >
> > > > >No Dave, I'm asking you.
> > > >
> > > > That is pretty stupid, Brian; he already told you he doesn't know and
> told
> > > you
> > > > where you could go to get an answer.
> > > >
> > > > Might you be just trying to goad him now? If you really want an
> answer, go
> > > to
> > > > the source; if you want to goad Dave, continue to ask him the question
> > > here.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
> > > Ed, Dave has made it clear that he is vastly more knowledgeable about DX
> > > matters than I am.
> > >
> > > Perhaps its not so clear as he cannot answer the simple questions.
> > >
> > > Meanwhile, you contribute nothing except the assertation that I was
> > > bootlegging.
> > >
> > > Not good.
> >
> > Dave has consistently used his vast knowledge to direct you to 1) the
> > folks who can tell you if your operation counts for DXCC since you don't
```

> > know and 2) the folks who can tell you from whom they obtained
> > permission to operate.
>
> And Brian has consistently said that he obtained permission from his
> commander, which just happens to be consistant with what is found on the
> ARRL web site.
>
> You said that my commander HAD NO AUTHORITY TO DO SO!
>
> hi hi

Did I write that? I don't believe so. I questioned the authority of a
military commander to tell you, "Sure, Brian. Go ahead and operate your
amateur radio gear". I still question it. I also asked if your
operation was accepted for DXCC credit. Your reply: "I don't know".
How does your written permission to operate read again?

> > You were apparently unable to come up with this information on your own
> > because you've continued to ask me--even after I told you numerous times
> > that I did not have the information. You've been the most obtuse DX-101
> > student I've ever encountered.
>
> Dave, you know Nothing about operating from a military deployed location!

You don't know if that is the case or not.

> You've been given just enough rope to hang yourself, but instead, Ed jumps
> in as your surrogate.
>
> Too bad for the both of you. Take a moment and cut him down.

You didn't have any rope to spare for a lynching, Brian. You still
haven't found if your operation is accepted and you surely haven't
answered the question about written permission. I'm certainly enjoying
our little game of amateur radio morals and ethics.

Dave K8MN

3069. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 04:57:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <R2159.595$NW.88092809@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50833

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020809202359.10186.00000309@mb-mg.aol.com...
> In article <20020809075000.07941.00002677@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>> One cannot use a paddle and keyer to send PSK-31, Brian.
> >
> >>Ed, go back and check on that.
> >
> >I did, Brian. One cannot use a paddle and keyer to send PSK-31.
>
> Then you didn't check far enough. ARRL has reprinted Martinez'
> PSK-31 coding chart that originally appeared in an RSGB
> publication. PSK-31 keying is binary state, OOK CW keying
> is binary state.
>
> Obviously, sending morse code patterns through PSK-31 via
> a "paddle" or "keyer" would be foolish. Why are you foolish?
>
> >>> And, if the operator is good, he or she can send by touching wires
well
> >>>enough that your code decoder can read it.
> >
> >>What do you use?
> >
> >When I send by touching wires I use wires, Brian.
> >
> >Why would you need to ask?
>
> Why are you continually goading Brian in here?
>
> Please remember that you work for ARRL.

Ed is a foolish man. Ed labels me a bootlegger for operating from Operation
Restore Hope/Somalia with my commanders permission.

Ed's employers says:
Somalia (T5)
At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
Nations or your military commander.

There is no IARU-member society.

3070. Re: "...Welfare mothers of color..." -- An apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 05:00:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <P5159.596$sW.88071443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50834

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020809230217.11736.00000927@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <SxG49.1003$xf7.99644651@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >
> >I'm reminded of the time, years ago, on the Rush Limbo show, where a
woman
> >called in complaining of Rush saying bad things about Blacks. Rush was
> >trying to understand where this was coming from and finally figured it
out.
> >He replayed what he had said about "people on welfare" but the woman
thougth
> >she heard "Blacks on Welfare" because she was predisposed to think that
only
> >Blacks were on welfare. Then Rush pointed out that far more whites are
on
> >welfare than any other race.
>
> Brian:
>
> Numerically, blacks and whites are about even as far as their
representation
> on the Welfare rolls. However, within their respective ethnic group,
black
> welfare recipients are horrendously over-represented, since they consume
> over 50% of social welfare but represent approximately 12% of the total
> US population. That black woman's reaction was merely based on what
> she already knew about people of her own race.

Larry, I didn't say she was black.

> >I thought that welfare now lasted only two years, so there would be no
more
> >generations of welfare mothers from cradle to grave?
>
> I thought so as well, but apparently there are plenty of welfare programs
> like AFDC and Section 8 housing that weren't affected by Clinton's
> Welfare Reform Act. I'll admit to not being up on the details, so if I'm
> all wet, caveat emptor.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, keep driving that bus and I'll keep making maps. Millions on welfare
depend on us.

3071. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 05:02:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <P7159.598$DV.88026293@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50835

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D543BE2.20F9800B@hotmail.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > I'm not sure whether you're a "git" or a "yobo", Cecil.
> > >
> > Cecil is not a yobo. He's too tall.
>
> What? No 300,000 ft tall yobos?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

The ones I've seen are all short and have kimchi breath.

3072. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 05:04:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D549EF4.DDC5EEDE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50836

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D5494E5.45B5AE73@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D534ED1.37EB17E7@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > > > > No Dave, I'm asking you. You're the Expert answer man. You know
> all
> > > about
> > > > > > > DXing. Who in Somalia, in December 1992 and January 1993 was
> > > authorizing
> > > > > > > military personnel to fire up with stroke T5 calls?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I know you are asking me. It shows your inability to read or
> > > > > comprehend. You've been pointed to callsigns of other people who
> > > > > operated from Somalia. You've been pointed to the DXCC desk. You've
> > > > > persisted in asking me despite the fact that I've told you where you
> > > > > could look for answers. It makes you look kind of dense.If we were
> > > > > talking instead of writing, I'd say you were beginning to sound
> shrill.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Was it really the khat chewing Technical with the AK47 at the K4
> traffic
> > > > > > > circle?
> > > > >
> > > > > If that's who you asked, I can see why you had difficulty. At least
> if
> > > > > he gave you written permission you'd be better off than with the
> verbal
> > > > > okay you got from some military type who told you it would be fine if
> > > > > you got on the air.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Impress us with your vast knowledge of DX!
> > > > >
> > > > > I think I already have. You're too thick to get the message.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Right. I'm thick and your the expert with no answers.
> > >
> > > Only partially right. You're thick and I know where the answers can be
```

> > obtained. In fact--you're thick because I've provided you the
> > information many times and you haven't utilized it.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, you've repeatedly made the assertion that my commander had no
> authority to bless my DX operations from Somalia.

Ah Ah, Brian. I've questioned whether your commander had the authority to do so. I've also asked if you obtained "written permission". So far, you've just played dodge ball with the question. I've repeatedly asked if your operation was accepted for DXCC credit.

> You're a silly, silly man masquerading as a world class DXer.

You wouldn't be in a position to know.

All my operations over 15 years were accepted for DXCC credit. I have written permission from host governments for all of them.

I knew that American Embassies don't issue amateur radio licenses.

I provided information on other operations from Somalia.

I suggested that you contact the DXCC desk for info on accepted operations.

Despite being provided the info in the last two items above, you've done ziltch.

Dave K8MN

3073. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 05:07:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D549F9D.6F71669D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50837

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D543BE2.20F9800B@hotmail.com...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > I'm not sure whether you're a "git" or a "yobo", Cecil.
> > > >
> > > Cecil is not a yobo. He's too tall.
> > >
> > What? No 300,000 ft tall yobos?
> > --
> > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> >
> The ones I've seen are all short and have kimchi breath.

You've encountered short Brits with Kimchi breath?

Dave K8MN

3074. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 05:07:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Oc159.599$7_.88289496@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50838

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h0lgq7b.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 09 Aug 2002 21:55:08 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >I don't recall anyone getting busted.
>
> If you read the FCC Daily Digest releases you will find that several
> times a month the FCC Enforcement Bureau issues a NAL to some
> retailer for selling or offereing for sale proscrived equipment and
> every so often there;s a "raid" with inventory being seized.
>
> Just becasue it's not advertised in RRAP or QST doesn't mean that it
> doesn't happen.
>
> And the law says that a violator had to get a warning ("free bite")
> for marketing violations. So in essence the same violator had to be
> caught, warned, and caught again before penalties can be levied.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Retailers sold code waivers?

3075. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 05:09:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <De159.600$OX.88152222@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50839

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D549537.8000905@adelphia.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>
> > Ed, have you're employer ask that question on the web site poll.
>
>
>
> heh, heh, I 'll bet just about everyone answering the poll will say they
> have a computer.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

A few obstinate old cranks will deny it.

3076. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 05:22:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Cq159.601$311.88446092@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50840

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D549CE0.F77B6C18@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D54966B.FA8EAD89@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020809081116.07941.00002691@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > >
> > > > > >> Contact the operators concerned or contact the DXCC desk.
They'll
> > be
> > > > > >> happy to tell you what type documentation was presented.
They'll
> > even
> > > > > >> tell you if your operation was accepted.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >No Dave, I'm asking you.
> > > > >
> > > > > That is pretty stupid, Brian; he already told you he doesn't know
and
> > told
> > > > you
> > > > > where you could go to get an answer.
> > > > >
> > > > > Might you be just trying to goad him now? If you really want an
> > answer, go
> > > > to
> > > > > the source; if you want to goad Dave, continue to ask him the
question
> > > here.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73,
> > > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > > >
> > > > Ed, Dave has made it clear that he is vastly more knowledgeable
about DX
> > > matters than I am.
> > >
> > > Perhaps its not so clear as he cannot answer the simple questions.
> > >

> > > > Meanwhile, you contribute nothing except the assertation that I was
> > > > bootlegging.
> > > >
> > > > Not good.
> > >
> > > Dave has consistently used his vast knowledge to direct you to 1) the
> > > folks who can tell you if your operation counts for DXCC since you
don't
> > > know and 2) the folks who can tell you from whom they obtained
> > > permission to operate.
> >
> > And Brian has consistently said that he obtained permission from his
> > commander, which just happens to be consistant with what is found on the
> > ARRL web site.
> >
> > You said that my commander HAD NO AUTHORITY TO DO SO!
> >
> > hi hi
>
> Did I write that? I don't believe so. I questioned the authority of a
> military commander to tell you, "Sure, Brian. Go ahead and operate your
> amateur radio gear". I still question it.

Why?

I also asked if your
> operation was accepted for DXCC credit. Your reply: "I don't know".

I don't know. Its up to them to accept it or not.

> How does your written permission to operate read again?

How does that taste served up cold?

> > > You were apparently unable to come up with this information on your
own
> > > because you've continued to ask me--even after I told you numerous
times
> > > that I did not have the information. You've been the most obtuse
DX-101
> > > student I've ever encountered.
> >
> > Dave, you know Nothing about operating from a military deployed
location!
>
> You don't know if that is the case or not.

Demonstrate your vast knowledge of operating from military deployed
locations. Say again why military commanders cannot authorize me to operate
from Somalia.

You can start by commenting on the ARRL site that:
Somalia (T5)

At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United Nations or your military commander.

There is no IARU-member society.

> > You've been given just enough rope to hang yourself, but instead, Ed jumps
> > in as your surrogate.
> >
> > Too bad for the both of you. Take a moment and cut him down.
>
> You didn't have any rope to spare for a lynching, Brian. You still
> haven't found if your operation is accepted and you surely haven't
> answered the question about written permission. I'm certainly enjoying
> our little game of amateur radio morals and ethics.

Dave, playing the fool suits you well.

3077. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 05:26:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cu159.602$921.88515676@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50841

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D549EF4.DDC5EEDE@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D5494E5.45B5AE73@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D534ED1.37EB17E7@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > > > No Dave, I'm asking you. You're the Expert answer man. You
know
> > all
> > > > about
> > > > > > DXing. Who in Somalia, in December 1992 and January 1993 was
> > > > authorizing
> > > > > > military personnel to fire up with stroke T5 calls?
> > > > >
> > > > > I know you are asking me. It shows your inability to read or
> > > > > comprehend. You've been pointed to callsigns of other people who
> > > > > operated from Somalia. You've been pointed to the DXCC desk.
You've
> > > > > persisted in asking me despite the fact that I've told you where
you
> > > > > could look for answers. It makes you look kind of dense.If we
were
> > > > > talking instead of writing, I'd say you were beginning to sound
> > shrill.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Was it really the khat chewing Technical with the AK47 at the K4
> > traffic
> > > > > > circle?
> > > > >
> > > > > If that's who you asked, I can see why you had difficulty. At
least
> > if
> > > > > he gave you written permission you'd be better off than with the
> > verbal
> > > > > okay you got from some military type who told you it would be fine
if
> > > > > you got on the air.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Impress us with your vast knowledge of DX!

> > > > >
> > > > > I think I already have. You're too thick to get the message.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Right. I'm thick and your the expert with no answers.
> > >
> > > Only partially right. You're thick and I know where the answers can
be
> > > obtained. In fact--you're thick because I've provided you the
> > > information many times and you haven't utilized it.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, you've repeatedly made the assertion that my commander had no
> > authority to bless my DX operations from Somalia.
>
> Ah Ah, Brian. I've questioned whether your commander had the authority
> to do so.

Do you now "question" it?

> I've also asked if you obtained "written permission". So
> far, you've just played dodge ball with the question. I've repeatedly
> asked if your operation was accepted for DXCC credit.

Somalia (T5)
At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
Nations or your military commander.

There is no IARU-member society.

Dave, do you see "written" in the ARRL information on operating from
Somalia?

> > You're a silly, silly man masquerading as a world class DXer.
>
> You wouldn't be in a position to know.

You've shown it here, Dave.

> All my operations over 15 years were accepted for DXCC credit. I have
> written permission from host governments for all of them.
>
> I knew that American Embassies don't issue amateur radio licenses.
>
> I provided information on other operations from Somalia.
>
> I suggested that you contact the DXCC desk for info on accepted
> operations.
>
> Despite being provided the info in the last two items above, you've done
> ziltch.

>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, get over it.

3078. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 05:50:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D54A9A5.B6210B61@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50842

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D549CE0.F77B6C18@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D54966B.FA8EAD89@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20020809081116.07941.00002691@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >> Contact the operators concerned or contact the DXCC desk.
> They'll
> > > be
> > > > > > >> happy to tell you what type documentation was presented.
> They'll
> > > even
> > > > > > >> tell you if your operation was accepted.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >No Dave, I'm asking you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That is pretty stupid, Brian; he already told you he doesn't know
> and
> > > told
> > > > > you
> > > > > > where you could go to get an answer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Might you be just trying to goad him now? If you really want an
> > > answer, go
> > > > > to
> > > > > > the source; if you want to goad Dave, continue to ask him the
> question
> > > > > here.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73,
> > > > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > > > >
> > > > > Ed, Dave has made it clear that he is vastly more knowledgeable
> about DX
> > > > > matters than I am.
> > > > >
```

> > > > > Perhaps its not so clear as he cannot answer the simple questions.
> > > > >
> > > > > Meanwhile, you contribute nothing except the assertation that I was
> > > > > bootlegging.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not good.
> > > >
> > > > Dave has consistently used his vast knowledge to direct you to 1) the
> > > > folks who can tell you if your operation counts for DXCC since you
> don't
> > > > know and 2) the folks who can tell you from whom they obtained
> > > > permission to operate.
> > >
> > > And Brian has consistently said that he obtained permission from his
> > > commander, which just happens to be consistant with what is found on the
> > > ARRL web site.
> > >
> > > You said that my commander HAD NO AUTHORITY TO DO SO!
> > >
> > > hi hi
> >
> > Did I write that? I don't believe so. I questioned the authority of a
> > military commander to tell you, "Sure, Brian. Go ahead and operate your
> > amateur radio gear". I still question it.
>
> Why?

See the two items concerning the terms "accepted" and "written" below:

> I also asked if your
> > operation was accepted for DXCC credit. Your reply: "I don't know".
>
> I don't know. Its up to them to accept it or not.
>
> > How does your written permission to operate read again?
>
> How does that taste served up cold?

There's the non-answer I expected.

> > > > You were apparently unable to come up with this information on your
> own
> > > > because you've continued to ask me--even after I told you numerous
> times
> > > > that I did not have the information. You've been the most obtuse
> DX-101
> > > > student I've ever encountered.
> > >
> > > Dave, you know Nothing about operating from a military deployed
> location!
> >

> > You don't know if that is the case or not.
>
> Demonstrate your vast knowledge of operating from military deployed
> locations.

No.

> Say again why military commanders cannot authorize me to operate
> from Somalia.

I don't believe I've said that it isn't possible. I questioned the
authority of your "commander" to do so and I've asked about written vs.
verbal permission. You haven't responded.

> You can start by commenting on the ARRL site that:
> Somalia (T5)
> At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
> Nations or your military commander.

"At this time" you aren't in Somalia requesting permission.

> There is no IARU-member society.

No kidding? And if there was a society, it might not even have any
local nationals involved at all.

> > > You've been given just enough rope to hang yourself, but instead, Ed
> jumps
> > > in as your surrogate.
> > >
> > > Too bad for the both of you. Take a moment and cut him down.
> >
> > You didn't have any rope to spare for a lynching, Brian. You still
> > haven't found if your operation is accepted and you surely haven't
> > answered the question about written permission. I'm certainly enjoying
> > our little game of amateur radio morals and ethics.
>
> Dave, playing the fool suits you well.

If that is the case, why do you persist in taking my role as your own?

Dave K8MN

3079. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 06:05:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D54AD18.5D705431@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50843

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D549EF4.DDC5EEDE@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D5494E5.45B5AE73@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D534ED1.37EB17E7@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > No Dave, I'm asking you. You're the Expert answer man. You
> know
> > > all
> > > > > > about
> > > > > > > > DXing. Who in Somalia, in December 1992 and January 1993 was
> > > > > authorizing
> > > > > > > > military personnel to fire up with stroke T5 calls?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I know you are asking me. It shows your inability to read or
> > > > > > comprehend. You've been pointed to callsigns of other people who
> > > > > > operated from Somalia. You've been pointed to the DXCC desk.
> You've
> > > > > persisted in asking me despite the fact that I've told you where
> you
> > > > > could look for answers. It makes you look kind of dense.If we
> were
> > > > > talking instead of writing, I'd say you were beginning to sound
> > > shrill.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Was it really the khat chewing Technical with the AK47 at the K4
> > > traffic
> > > > > > > > circle?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If that's who you asked, I can see why you had difficulty. At
> least
> > > if
> > > > > > he gave you written permission you'd be better off than with the
> > > verbal
> > > > > > okay you got from some military type who told you it would be fine
> if
> > > > > > you got on the air.
```

> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Impress us with your vast knowledge of DX!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I think I already have. You're too thick to get the message.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Right. I'm thick and your the expert with no answers.
> > > > >
> > > > > Only partially right. You're thick and I know where the answers can
> be
> > > > > obtained. In fact--you're thick because I've provided you the
> > > > > information many times and you haven't utilized it.

> > > Dave, you've repeatedly made the assertion that my commander had no
> > > authority to bless my DX operations from Somalia.
> >
> > Ah Ah, Brian. I've questioned whether your commander had the authority
> > to do so.
>
> Do you now "question" it?

Yes. Most certainly. I question it--especially if you don't have
written authorization to back it up.

> > I've also asked if you obtained "written permission". So
> > far, you've just played dodge ball with the question. I've repeatedly
> > asked if your operation was accepted for DXCC credit.
>
> Somalia (T5)
> At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
> Nations or your military commander.
>
> There is no IARU-member society.

There doesn't have to be one. There was none in Guinea-Bissau. What
has that to do with obtaining a legitimate license to operate an amateur
station?

> Dave, do you see "written" in the ARRL information on operating from
> Somalia?

No, I don't see written. I don't see you in Somalia either. Do you
believe that DXCC will accept a statement from you that "my commander
said it was okay" as proof that you had permission to operate from any
place on the planet?

> > > You're a silly, silly man masquerading as a world class DXer.
> >
> > You wouldn't be in a position to know.
>
> You've shown it here, Dave.

I believe I wrote "You wouldn't be in a position to know". Ask yourself if you think you really believe yourself to have demonstrated any solid evidence that you are a DXer. Through this whole lengthy excercise, you've looked like a boob.

> > All my operations over 15 years were accepted for DXCC credit. I have
> > written permission from host governments for all of them.
> >
> > I knew that American Embassies don't issue amateur radio licenses.
> >
> > I provided information on other operations from Somalia.
> >
> > I suggested that you contact the DXCC desk for info on accepted
> > operations.
> >
> > Despite being provided the info in the last two items above, you've done
> > ziltch.

> Dave, get over it.

Over what, Brian? Over the fact that you've been less than forthcoming? Over your ignoring the question about written permission? Over your obtuse behavior in repeatedly asking for information toward which I'd steered you on numerous occasions? You persist in being a square head in a round world.

Dave K8MN

3080. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 06:27:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208092227.14f6778d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50844

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020809210727.00682.00002354@mb-df.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?
> >From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
> >Date: 08/09/02 12:14 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D53EA80.83F015AC@hotmail.com>
>
> >Point is that lots of "otherwise alert persons" have impediments
> >to learning Morse code.
>
> A 92 year old woman who can't remember a close relative's name is not
> appropriately alert.
>
>
In Cecil's case there may be "other explanations" . .
>
>

> But them you knew that........
>
> Steve

w3rv

3081. Re: 5mhz update from NVIS group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 06:37:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208092237.2318b8d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50845

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<eVW49.1247$gj.102085667@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3D5354B7.98EEA7D4@ix.netcom.com...
> > Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > > On 7 Aug 2002 16:37:08 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
> > >
> > > >As far as U.S. hams being allowed to work dx on 5Mhz is concerned look
> > > >at it the way the FCC will look at the matter. They'll ask themselves
> > > >then answer two questions: "Is there a threat to national security if
> > > >hams work dx on 5mhz? No." "Is it against the public interest for hams
> > > >to work dx on 5Mhz? No." No doubt they've already taken the quick look
> > > >at it so yeah, we'll be working dx on 5Mhz, no problem.
> > >
> > > It all depends on whether the gurus at the FCC International Bureau
> > > determine that there will or will not be any harmful interference
> > > to any non-amateur operation on those frequencies offshore, pending
> > > re-allocation of the band to Amateur Service world-wide.
> > >
> >
> > We'd be a secondary basis, and we would have to respect and protect
> > the other users and accept any and all QRM from them without
> > complaint. There's a few ham bands above a gigahertz like that now.
> > Only thing if a ham is running a kilowatt he may trash another user
> > elsewhere and not even know it. Because he can't hear that other
> > user. So maybe a 200 watt power limit may be needed. The Novice
> > subbands have or had a power limit like this before, so there is a
> > precedent.
>
> Forget all about the Novice subbands. Wonder what the power limit is on
> 30M?
>
>
There ya go again. The First Law of Burkism: Foot; mouth; insert.
>
>
Whatta ya mean you "wonder"??! All of hamdom knows it's 200w except YOU.

w3rv

3082. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 07:13:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208092313.60cd493e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50846

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h0lgb6a.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 9 Aug 2002 12:34:23 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >I can't imagine how they could be pinned down unless they used
> >legitimate callsigns. Or via something which is over my head.
>
> Long rage DFing is over yoyr head.
>
>
That's no trick, 'snot very difficult to get over my head.
>
>
> It can certainly pin down to the country where the signal
> originates, and most of the time locate it +/- 50 miles. The US
> FCC does this sort of location for foreign Administrations (and vice
> versa) on a routine basis.
>
> >This is
> >the first I've heard of Foggy Bottom getting into radio pirates,
>
> Actually it's the FCC if the QRM is to an FCC licensee and the State
> Department (yes, they really have an Undersecretary of State for
> Communications) that deals with the foreign Administrations if it
> QRMs a US government station (sometimes for efficiency they will ask
> the FCC to do the detail work). There's a standard procedure used
> world-wide to notify the Administration of the country involved and
> let them handle it. Most foreign countries have a department within
> their "Federales" which loves to go out and "negotiate" <ggg> by one
> means or another..
>
>
Uh-huh. DFing the People's Liberation Army of South Bananaland
propaganda station on 10.000 mHz gotta involve a "deal" a minute.
>
>
>...sometimes the "pirate" is a government-sponsored
> operation (either government) and that's where diplomacy has to come in.
>
> A forner colleague at the FCC transferred to the State Department a
> few years ago and is the one who deals with the Mexican Administration
> on radio problems - including pirates - "south of the border".
>
>

I think I can conclude from this that there's a whole bunch more enforcement going on out there than we hear about here or in QST per another of your posts. And they're both Powells. Fancy that.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

3083. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 07:46:35 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208092346.524fb41@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50847

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message
news:<20020808213407.00635.00002324@mb-df.aol.com>...
> From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>
> > A very large
> >percentage of the pirates are not in the U.S., they're in other
> >countries and do not fall under the jurisdiction of the FCC. There is
> >absolutely nothing we, the FCC or the ARRL can do about them.
>
> And they may not be pirates at all. There is nothing in the regulations in
> most countries that require that the station identify in English or in standard
> phonetics. These could be non-English speaking hams in some cases. In fact,
> some of the cases hams have reported to the FCC have turned out to be just
> that, according to Riley.
>
>
That's no surprise at all based on what I've heard on the air. Most of
it is in Spanish and obviously comes from Central and South America.
We can't use SSB between 28.000 and 28.300 but in many countries phone
is legal all the way down to 28.000. If ya can't speak the language ya
can't make any judgements.
>
>
Like I said, life is bigger than chasing pirates on 10m. I have an
allergic reaction to getting into it anyway because I really spanked
myself the only time I ever played frequency cop. It was a slow nite
so I idly twisted the 75A4 knob up the band above 7.300. There were
bloody huge ssb signals around 7.400. Buncha guys horsing around maybe
2AM, no callsigns, sounded just like 27mHz nonsense except they had
roger beeps. All of 'em were 20-30 over nine. I leaped on the phone
and dialed Laurel and got a radio op. Turned out I'd "snitched" on
some U.S. Navy network.
>
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

w3rv

3084. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 09:53:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810055351.01254.00002634@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 50848

>Subject: Re: 10 meter = CB?
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 08/10/02 03:46 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0208092346.524fb41@posting.google.com>

>Buncha guys horsing around maybe
>2AM, no callsigns, sounded just like 27mHz nonsense except they had
>roger beeps. All of 'em were 20-30 over nine. I leaped on the phone
>and dialed Laurel and got a radio op. Turned out I'd "snitched" on
>some U.S. Navy network.

Navy, huh...?!?!

Figgers.

73

Steve, K4YZ
(ducking from bug tossed by Hans)

3085. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:01:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bo659.628$%v1.90034313@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50849

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D54A9A5.B6210B61@earthlink.net...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D549CE0.F77B6C18@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D54966B.FA8EAD89@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20020809081116.07941.00002691@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > > > > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >> Contact the operators concerned or contact the DXCC desk.
> > They'll
> > > > > be
> > > > > > > >> happy to tell you what type documentation was presented.
> > They'll
> > > > even
> > > > > > > >> tell you if your operation was accepted.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >No Dave, I'm asking you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That is pretty stupid, Brian; he already told you he doesn't
know
> > and
> > > > told
> > > > > > you
> > > > > > > where you could go to get an answer.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Might you be just trying to goad him now? If you really want
an
> > answer, go
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > the source; if you want to goad Dave, continue to ask him the
> > question
> > > > > here.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > 73,
```

> > > > > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ed, Dave has made it clear that he is vastly more knowledgeable
> > about DX
> > > > > > > matters than I am.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Perhaps its not so clear as he cannot answer the simple
questions.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Meanwhile, you contribute nothing except the assertation that I
was
> > > > > > > bootlegging.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not good.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave has consistently used his vast knowledge to direct you to 1)
the
> > > > > > > folks who can tell you if your operation counts for DXCC since you
> > don't
> > > > > > > know and 2) the folks who can tell you from whom they obtained
> > > > > > > permission to operate.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And Brian has consistently said that he obtained permission from his
> > > > > > > commander, which just happens to be consistant with what is found on
the
> > > > > > > ARRL web site.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You said that my commander HAD NO AUTHORITY TO DO SO!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > hi hi
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Did I write that? I don't believe so. I questioned the authority of
a
> > > > > > military commander to tell you, "Sure, Brian. Go ahead and operate
your
> > > > > > amateur radio gear". I still question it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why?
> > >
> > > See the two items concerning the terms "accepted" and "written" below:
> > >
> > > > I also asked if your
> > > > > operation was accepted for DXCC credit. Your reply: "I don't know".
> > > >
> > > > I don't know. Its up to them to accept it or not.
> > > >
> > > > > How does your written permission to operate read again?
> > > >
> > > > How does that taste served up cold?
> > >

> There's the non-answer I expected.
>
> > > > > You were apparently unable to come up with this information on
your
> > own
> > > > > > because you've continued to ask me--even after I told you numerous
> > times
> > > > > > that I did not have the information. You've been the most obtuse
> > DX-101
> > > > > > student I've ever encountered.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, you know Nothing about operating from a military deployed
> > location!
> > > >
> > > > You don't know if that is the case or not.
> > >
> > Demonstrate your vast knowledge of operating from military deployed
> > locations.
>
> No.
>
> > Say again why military commanders cannot authorize me to operate
> > from Somalia.
>
> I don't believe I've said that it isn't possible. I questioned the
> authority of your "commander" to do so and I've asked about written vs.
> verbal permission. You haven't responded.
>
> > You can start by commenting on the ARRL site that:
> > Somalia (T5)
> > At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the
United
> > Nations or your military commander.
>
> "At this time" you aren't in Somalia requesting permission.
>
> > There is no IARU-member society.
>
> No kidding? And if there was a society, it might not even have any
> local nationals involved at all.

Dave, that's the quote from the ARRL web site. Go argue with them.

3086. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:18:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QD659.630$3E1.90441895@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50901

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D54AD18.5D705431@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D549EF4.DDC5EEDE@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D5494E5.45B5AE73@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D534ED1.37EB17E7@earthlink.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No Dave, I'm asking you. You're the Expert answer man. You
> > know
> > > > > all
> > > > > > > about
> > > > > > > > > DXing. Who in Somalia, in December 1992 and January 1993
was
> > > > > authorizing
> > > > > > > > > military personnel to fire up with stroke T5 calls?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I know you are asking me. It shows your inability to read or
> > > > > > > > comprehend. You've been pointed to callsigns of other people
who
> > > > > > > > operated from Somalia. You've been pointed to the DXCC desk.
> > You've
> > > > > > > > persisted in asking me despite the fact that I've told you
where
> > you
> > > > > > > > could look for answers. It makes you look kind of dense.If we
> > were
> > > > > > > > talking instead of writing, I'd say you were beginning to
sound
> > > > > shrill.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Was it really the khat chewing Technical with the AK47 at
the K4
> > > > > traffic
> > > > > > > > > circle?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If that's who you asked, I can see why you had difficulty. At
```

> > least
> > > > if
> > > > > > > > he gave you written permission you'd be better off than with
the
> > > > verbal
> > > > > > > > okay you got from some military type who told you it would be
fine
> > if
> > > > > > > > you got on the air.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Impress us with your vast knowledge of DX!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I think I already have. You're too thick to get the message.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Right. I'm thick and your the expert with no answers.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Only partially right. You're thick and I know where the answers
can
> > be
> > > > > > obtained. In fact--you're thick because I've provided you the
> > > > > information many times and you haven't utilized it.
>
> > > > Dave, you've repeatedly made the assertion that my commander had no
> > > > authority to bless my DX operations from Somalia.
> > >
> > > Ah Ah, Brian. I've questioned whether your commander had the
authority
> > > to do so.
> >
> > Do you now "question" it?
>
> Yes. Most certainly. I question it--especially if you don't have
> written authorization to back it up.

You're amazing. When you were in the service did you demand everything in
writing?

I'll bet Bob Kerry wished he had.

> > > I've also asked if you obtained "written permission". So
> > > far, you've just played dodge ball with the question. I've repeatedly
> > > asked if your operation was accepted for DXCC credit.
> >
> > Somalia (T5)
> > At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the
United
> > Nations or your military commander.
> >
> > There is no IARU-member society.

>
> There doesn't have to be one. There was none in Guinea-Bissau. What
> has that to do with obtaining a legitimate license to operate an amateur
> station?

Its part of the ARRL speil.

> > Dave, do you see "written" in the ARRL information on operating from
> > Somalia?
>
> No, I don't see written.

Neither do I, but you're still hung up on it.

> I don't see you in Somalia either.

Only because you weren't there. Had you been, then Ed could call you a
bootlegger as well.

> Do you believe that DXCC will accept a statement from you that "my
commander
> said it was okay" as proof that you had permission to operate from any
> place on the planet?

Don't know. I haven't given them a deposition.

> > > > You're a silly, silly man masquerading as a world class DXer.
> > >
> > > You wouldn't be in a position to know.
> >
> > You've shown it here, Dave.
>
> I believe I wrote "You wouldn't be in a position to know". Ask yourself
> if you think you really believe yourself to have demonstrated any solid
> evidence that you are a DXer. Through this whole lengthy excercise,
> you've looked like a boob.

I'm reminded of the day that that the web site for the French authorizations
was posted here and it clearly showed that the French hams you communicated
with were almost 200khz out of band. This backed up what the French ham on
RRAP had told you almost two years earlier but chose to ignore.

Now I've posted from the ARRL web site the requirements for US military
personnel to operate amateur radio in Somalia, and you just pick, pick, pick
away at it.

You're in denial. Whatever Master DXer Dave says is gospel. He can never
say he was wrong about anything, even when its served up cold.

> > > All my operations over 15 years were accepted for DXCC credit. I have
> > > written permission from host governments for all of them.

We're not talking about whether YOU had permission. We're talking about MY
authorization to operate radio from Somalia. Again, what is the address of

anyone in the Somali government.

> > > I knew that American Embassies don't issue amateur radio licenses.

Who doesn't know that?

> > > I provided information on other operations from Somalia.

So? I used one of those individuals ham radio to operate with.

> > > I suggested that you contact the DXCC desk for info on accepted
> > > operations.

I'm not worried about it 10 years after the fact.

> > > Despite being provided the info in the last two items above, you've
done
> > > ziltch.

Despite serving up the ARRL information on the two methods of gaining permission to operate from Somalia, you STILL question it.

You're just thick.

3087. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:18:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810071823.22144.00003102@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50902

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>So you say that my operation was bootlegging and other military members in
>the same situation were legal?

Is English your second language? Based on your description of the
circumstances you describe, I believe you bootlegged the call.

I was pretty clear that I don't know whether the other operations were legal.
Which of the words "I, don't or no" do you have trouble understanding? Or are
you deliberately misinterpreting my words to bolster your untenable position?

>FWIW, the dxcc desk gets a copy of this.

Wonderful. I will send copies of your posts to your employer, too -- all of
them.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

3088. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:18:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qE659.631$UD1.90431635@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50850

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D549F9D.6F71669D@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D543BE2.20F9800B@hotmail.com...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > > I'm not sure whether you're a "git" or a "yobo", Cecil.
> > > > >
> > > > Cecil is not a yobo. He's too tall.
> > > >
> > > What? No 300,000 ft tall yobos?
> > > --
> > > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> > >
> > The ones I've seen are all short and have kimchi breath.
> >
> You've encountered short Brits with Kimchi breath?
>
> Dave K8MN

Never.
```

3089. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:19:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810071946.22144.00003103@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50903

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> FWIW, the dxcc desk gets a copy of this.
>
>NOW you're contacting the DXCC desk?

Not to find out if his operation is legal, Dave -- he want to see if he can "win" this one by trying to drag my boss into it. Apparently the social conventions of "netiquette" have absolutely no value to him.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3090. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:22:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810072206.22144.00003104@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50904

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ed, do you have the authority to say that my commander didn't have the
>authority to authorize my operation is Somalia?
>

No, I don't, Brian. It is my personal opinion. I thought you understood that, but I have been surprised often at what you claim to misunderstand to bolster your untentable postions.

Can I infer from this that you will no longer make any statements on this NG that you don't have the authority to make, or is this another "do as Brian says, not as Brian does" sort of things?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3091. Re: 5mhz update from NVIS group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:23:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3J659.632$YD1.90435727@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50905

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208092237.2318b8d4@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<eVW49.1247$gj.102085667@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D5354B7.98EEA7D4@ix.netcom.com...
> > > Phil Kane wrote:
> > >
> > > > On 7 Aug 2002 16:37:08 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >As far as U.S. hams being allowed to work dx on 5Mhz is concerned
look
> > > > >at it the way the FCC will look at the matter. They'll ask
themselves
> > > > >then answer two questions: "Is there a threat to national security
if
> > > > >hams work dx on 5mhz? No." "Is it against the public interest for
hams
> > > > >to work dx on 5Mhz? No." No doubt they've already taken the quick
look
> > > > >at it so yeah, we'll be working dx on 5Mhz, no problem.
> > > >
> > > > It all depends on whether the gurus at the FCC International
Bureau
> > > > determine that there will or will not be any harmful interference
> > > > to any non-amateur operation on those frequencies offshore,
pending
> > > > re-allocation of the band to Amateur Service world-wide.
> > > >
> > >
> > > We'd be a secondary basis, and we would have to respect and protect
> > > the other users and accept any and all QRM from them without
> > > complaint. There's a few ham bands above a gigahertz like that now.
> > > Only thing if a ham is running a kilowatt he may trash another user
> > > elsewhere and not even know it. Because he can't hear that other
> > > user. So maybe a 200 watt power limit may be needed. The Novice
> > > subbands have or had a power limit like this before, so there is a
> > > precedent.
> >
> > Forget all about the Novice subbands. Wonder what the power limit is on
> > 30M?
> >
> >
> There ya go again. The First Law of Burkism: Foot; mouth; insert.

> >
> >
> Whatta ya mean you "wonder"??! All of hamdom knows it's 200w except YOU.
>
> w3rv

Kellie, if we were the primary users of the band then legal limit would probably be OK. But since we're not, the 30M band is closer to the typed of shared authorization we are facing, than are the Novice subbands.

So lets use 30M as the model for the new 60M band, not the Novice subbands. Even if the power limit also happens to be 200w.

I've you weren't so hell bent on saying shitty things to me, you could have seen that. But no...

3092. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:23:43 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810072343.22144.00003105@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50906

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> He knows exactly as much about it as you do, Brian. The difference is that
>he
>> is not using that lack of knowledge as sufficient reason to bootleg a call
>in
>> another nation.

>Ed, be careful of what you're saying. I wouldn't want you to get yourself
>into trouble. Brian

In what way am I going to get myself into trouble, Brian? Are you sure you
don't mean that you are going to get myself into trouble?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3093. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:24:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810072445.22144.00003106@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50907

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Are you saying that others who operated under the same conditions and
>authorizations are not bootleggers, yet I am?

Is English your second language?

>Be careful, Ed.

Are you willing to explain that, or are you content to hide behind a veiled
threat?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3094. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:26:14 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810072614.22144.00003107@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50908

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>> I don't know, Brian. Does my lack of knowledge about the form you needed
>give
>> you authorization? Don'tch' think that the one doing the operating is the
>one
>> who has the responsibility to know, or do you make someone else
>responsible for
>> all of your actions.

>> Am I responsible (in your mind) for any of your other actions?

>Ed, you've gone too far.

So I am responsible for only some of your actions.

Can you explain how my actions cause your actions, or have I "gone too far"
again?

Or do you mean something different than what you say?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3095. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:27:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810072742.22144.00003108@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50909

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>"A good time was had by all" is in every friggin newsletter, every repeater
>net, every club meeting, every every!

I do not hear the phrase every day -- just from time to time.

This isn't going to become another obession, is it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3096. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:29:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810072959.22144.00003109@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50910

From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net

>Ed, I've found this on your employers web site:
>http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/io/recip-info_p.html#t5
>---
>-------------------------
>Somalia (T5)
>At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
>Nations or your military commander.
>
>There is no IARU-member society.
>
>---
>------------------------
>
>At least be honorable and apologize.

If you post a scan of the permission you received, I will apologize sincerely.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3097. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:31:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xQ659.633$jD1.90398908@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50911

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020810072445.22144.00003106@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Are you saying that others who operated under the same conditions and
> >authorizations are not bootleggers, yet I am?
>
> Is English your second language?

Yes.

> >Be careful, Ed.
>
> Are you willing to explain that, or are you content to hide behind a
veiled
> threat?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, you called me a bootlegger.

Your employer's website information agrees with my statements.

Why do you disagree with your employer and call me a bootlegger?

3098. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:33:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ZR659.634$kD1.90399913@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50912

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020810072343.22144.00003105@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> He knows exactly as much about it as you do, Brian. The difference is
that
> >he
> >> is not using that lack of knowledge as sufficient reason to bootleg a
call
> >in
> >> another nation.
>
> >Ed, be careful of what you're saying. I wouldn't want you to get
yourself
> >into trouble. Brian
>
> In what way am I going to get myself into trouble, Brian? Are you sure
you
> don't mean that you are going to get myself into trouble?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

You get yourself into trouble

3099. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:49:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <p5759.635$ix1.90094806@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50913

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020810071823.22144.00003102@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >So you say that my operation was bootlegging and other military members
in
> >the same situation were legal?
>
> Is English your second language?

Is this how you respond to a legitimate question?

Based on your description of the
> circumstances you describe, I believe you bootlegged the call.

Ed, you said, "You took advantage of another country while you were there
and bootlegged a
call."

There was no, "I believe..." or "Its my opinion that..."

You stated as FACT that I am a bootlegger.

> I was pretty clear that I don't know whether the other operations were
legal.
> Which of the words "I, don't or no" do you have trouble understanding? Or
are
> you deliberately misinterpreting my words to bolster your untenable
position?

My position is fine and legal according to your employers web site.

> >FWIW, the dxcc desk gets a copy of this.
>
> Wonderful. I will send copies of your posts to your employer, too -- all
of
> them.

And in there you will find admissions of being wrong, and apologies where
warranted.

> 73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

You know Ed, military members place themselves at risk every day for our
nation's safety and security. For you to state that we, or I, had taken
advantage of a country like Somalia is beyond offensive.

You're just plain wrong.

Don't ever call me a bootlegger again. I would like an apology posted to this newsgroup.

73, Brian

3100. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 11:52:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <38759.636$oH1.90633351@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50914

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020810072206.22144.00003104@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >Ed, do you have the authority to say that my commander didn't have the
> >authority to authorize my operation is Somalia?
> >
>
> No, I don't, Brian. It is my personal opinion. I thought you understood
that,
> but I have been surprised often at what you claim to misunderstand to
bolster
> your untentable postions.
>
> Can I infer from this that you will no longer make any statements on this
NG
> that you don't have the authority to make, or is this another "do as Brian
> says, not as Brian does" sort of things?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

No. No.

Is it now your "opinion" that I took advantage of another country and
bootlegged a call?

3101. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 12:03:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Th759.637$VI1.90705093@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50915

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020810072614.22144.00003107@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
> >> I don't know, Brian. Does my lack of knowledge about the form you
needed
> >give
> >> you authorization? Don'tch' think that the one doing the operating is
the
> >one
> >> who has the responsibility to know, or do you make someone else
> >responsible for
> >> all of your actions.
>
> >> Am I responsible (in your mind) for any of your other actions?
>
> >Ed, you've gone too far.
>
> So I am responsible for only some of your actions.
>
> Can you explain how my actions cause your actions, or have I "gone too
far"
> again?
>
> Or do you mean something different than what you say?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, you alone are responsible for your actions.

I stated that I had asked for permission from my commander to operate while
in Somalia, and permission was granted.

And your statement, "You took advantage of another country while you were
there and bootlegged a
call."

Your employer's web site states, "At this time to operate in Somolia you
would need permission from the United Nations or your military commander."

Is there anything here you want to dispute?

3102. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 12:16:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Mu759.640$WJ1.90770464@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50916

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020810071946.22144.00003103@mb-dd.aol.com...
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> FWIW, the dxcc desk gets a copy of this.
> >
> >NOW you're contacting the DXCC desk?
>
> Not to find out if his operation is legal, Dave -- he want to see if he
can
> "win" this one by trying to drag my boss into it. Apparently the social
> conventions of "netiquette" have absolutely no value to him.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, you went too far. Call me Burkie, Gadfly, or a$$hole, but don't characterize my military service in Somalia as, "You took advantage of another country while you were there and bootlegged a call."

and, "The military has authority only over military communications. They do not have authority over Amateur Radio communications. Brian bootlegged the call."

You couldn't have been more wrong.

Brian/last

3103. Re: Move over Hal Turner and Profit Stair, Herbie is on the way back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 14:30:30 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj3av1$2oc$3@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cheeta's Brother LLLllllardasssssssss Lloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
blathered in message news:27e38977.0208091248.732df24b@posting.google.com...
> "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:<aj022e05rh@enews3.newsguy.com>...
> > BFD.
> >
> > --
> > - - -
> >
> > Regards,
> > Joe S.
> >
>
> Hey Joe,
>
> Noone cares what you think. You are not a "player" in all of this.

Neither are you no-code Technician for 10 years because you're too fucking stupid
to upgrade.

> You can just turn the dial to your boring QSO, ok?

You can go slurp Hal Turner's ass, Lllardasssssssssss.

> Herb is coming back, wheather you like it or not.......

What's "wheather," Llardasssssssssssss? It's "whether," you fucking ill-educated
Xtian.

3104. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 14:37:48 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj3b3v$34n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:EJV49.1206$Fa.101600281@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj0c50$rqi$1@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> backpedaled in message
> > news:0SF49.979$u37.98964623@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:aitp3a$30k$1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:Xxg49.383$LF2.122@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:aiqbqu$cgj$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:aioi03$jmk$1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:c5ecbb69.0208051507.6cf313ed@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:<3D4DE51E.7D678CA0@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > > > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger L.
> > > Wiseman,
> > > > > > > > AB8MQ
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I had thought 2x2 callsigns starting with "A" were for
> extras.
> > > > > > Just
> > > > > > > looked
> > > > > > > > > him
> > > > > > > > > up and he is an extra.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nahhh. 2x2 callsigns are for "advanced" class. Extras get
> 2x1
> > > > > > callsigns. And apparently anyone can get a "vanity" callsign
> of
> > > any
> > > > > > > sort.
```

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Not out of their license class....a General wouldn't be able
> to
> > > get a
> > > > > 2
> > > > > > x
> > > > > > > 2 call.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > OK...so what's your point? You just want to argue? Why not look up
> the
> > > > > > callsign allocations and stop wimpering.
> > > > > > Besides...it is a moot point.
> > > > > > When in doubt use QRZ....
> > > > > > Good Night...
> > > > > >
> > > > > You go use QRZ. The FCC issues 2x2's to Techs and Generals in US
> > > > > posessions. Everybody knows that.
> > > > >
> > > > In other words, you don't know what the hell you are talking about.
> > >
> > > I know what I'm talking about
> >
> > No, you don't. In your original statement you didn't clarify that only 2x2
> are
> > issued to hams in the Regions I listed. You said:
> >
> > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
>
> And I have. Don't read more into my statement than is there. To do so
> would be to assume...

You mean like you assumed that everybody would know you didn't know what the fuck you are talking about and that you really meant to clarify that the Tech/General 2x2's was not in the contiguous United States.

> > Which had nothing to do with the original question about my call AB8MQ.
> And I'm
> > not in one of the US possessions, dumbass, so when I was a
> Technician/General I
> > had a 2 x 3 call.
>
> So?

So your statement that Techs/Generals in the United States could have a 2x2 call is wrong, DUMBASS.

> > >Go look
> >
> > Go fuck yourself. Idiot. What part of "I don't live in Region 12, 13 or
> 14" and
> > wouldn't get a 2x2 as a Tech/General don't you understand?
> > Dumbass.
>
> I'm thinking

We'll see the results in the next millenium.

>a bowl of oat bran in the morning would do wonders for your
> outlook on life.

Really? I supose that's whet helped you extract that gerbil you regularly stick up
there.

3105. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 14:49:47 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj3b41$34n$1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50920
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:IMV49.1207$9b.101632198@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj0c4u$rqi$0@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> backpedaled in message
> > news:_XF49.983$uZ6.98640108@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:aitp3u$30k$d@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke, N0IMD" <bpburke@prodigy.net> blathered in message
> > > > news:Hyg49.384$DF2.190@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:airbpj$m0u$9@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:aioi03$jmk$1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:c5ecbb69.0208051507.6cf313ed@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > > > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:<3D4DE51E.7D678CA0@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > > > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger L.
> > > Wiseman,
> > > > > > > AB8MQ
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I had thought 2x2 callsigns starting with "A" were for
> extras.
> > > > > > Just
> > > > > > > looked
> > > > > > > > > him
> > > > > > > > > up and he is an extra.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nahhh. 2x2 callsigns are for "advanced" class. Extras get
> 2x1
> > > > > > > callsigns. And apparently anyone can get a "vanity" callsign
> of
> > > any
> > > > > > > sort.
> > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > > Not out of their license class....a General wouldn't be able
> to
> > > get a
> > > > > 2 x
> > > > > > > 2 call.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! What a fucking dumbass you
> are,
> > > N0IMD.
> > > > > The FCC
> > > > > > website can explain the sequential licensing scheme to you if you
> can
> > > pull
> > > > > your
> > > > > > head out of your ass long enough to go there and look it up.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're a silly man. The FCC issues 2x2's to Techs and Generals in
> US
> > > posessions. Everybody knows that.
> > > >
> > > > BZZZZT! Nice try at finally getting something right. You didn't
> mention
> > > anything
> > > > about US "possessions" in your original question. Most people only
> think
> > > about the
> > > > contiguous US when discussing callsign issuance.
> > >
> > > But you're not "most people." You're uniquely obnoxious. And if you
> > > assume... don't go blaming me.
> > >
> > > No wonder you're only a Technician Plus.
> > >
> > > Can't you get anything right?
> > >
> > > And 2x2's are only issued to Region 11, Alaska (A STATE, not a
> possession,
> > > DUMBASS),
> > >
> > > There you go assuming again. I only mentioned US Posessions. But at
> least
> > > you're learning something today.
> > >
> > > Region 12, Caribbean Area including Puerto Rico, and Region 14, Hawaii
> > > (ANOTHER STATE!) and the Pacific Area.
> > > I'd suggest you look up some US History and find out that Alaska and
> > > Hawaii were

> > > > admitted to statehood, before you open your slobbering mouth.
> > > >
> > > > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > > >
> > > > No mention is made of US "possesions" and you only found it out after
> looking
> > it
> > > > up in a book. Try again, dumbass.
> > >
> > > I do know plenty of Techs with 2x2 calls.
> >
> > Ssssuuure you do, Burke, suuuuure you do.
> > From what I hear, your body odor keeps away most everybody from getting
> within 10
> > feet of you.
> >
> > > You know what I said.
> >
> > Yeah, and you didn't say anything about US possessions.
> > Did you figure out that Alaska and Hawaii are states, not possessions?
>
> It matters not. My statement was true.

Wrong, you misrepresented the fact that only Techs/Generals in US possessions and two states can have a 2x2 call. That says something about your character right there.

You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
"I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

> Here's another true statement:

You misspelled "dumbassed opinion"

> You're a nut case.

You don't know what words mean, do you?

> > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> >
> > >Next lesson [bullshit]
> >
> > The only lessons you'll be giving is ones that involve swallowing things
> whole.
> > And how not to clarify your argument with vagueness.
> >
> > >School's out
> >
> > Forever?
>

> Til you need some more book lernin'

Looks like dullard Burke didn't get the reference, much like he didn't get that he misrepresented the call sign assignments.

> So how do you and Dave Heil know each other?

Changing the subject from the call sign misrepresentations?:
You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
"I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

Why, are you interested in the cheap cologne wearing, balding fatboy kook-stalker?
He already has one suckboy.

3106. Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 14:59:06 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020810105906.06918.00001864@mb-cm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 50917

Hi gang,

I've just returned from a <desperately needed> two country vacation in Central and South America. Costa Rica is a gorgeous little country with a pleasant and peaceful disposition. Pity we only got a one day look at her. Two weeks in Ecuador made up for that brevity though and was actually insufficient to enjoy all the pleaures that even one of its cities offered...but we did our best. :-)

Back to AR. Upon driving around Guayaquil, one thing was very apparant...this is one radio-active town! I saw tri-banders and mono-banders all over the place. And yes, when we went to Quito...we saw a quad. How appropriate. Every morning, I'd take the kids for a walk. I made sure that when we came back, our route took us by that grand antenna farm I'd spotted earlier...just around the corner from my father-in-law's!

Well, in the weeks of preparation that we'd gone through for this trip, I'd not given any thought to actually operating in HC land until the last minute. ("Next time," I told myself.) I regretted that. So I figured I'd look about picking up a HC call (Along with the Mrs.) We went down to the local radio club, then the ministerio to find out what we needed to do for my HF ticket and perhaps a no-code ticket for my wife. "What no-code ticket seï¿½or? We have only Novato or General" Well, that's Novice or General folks...and both require the same code test with another written (or two?) for the General. I say "two?" because they were nice enough to waive all tests based upon my holding a US Extra ticket. It was explained to me that this has nothing to do with the reciprical agreement, it was just a courtesy extended by someone authorized to "sign off" on the deal. (I was already free to operate as WA2SI/HC♯.) How did my wife do? Well, we're both waiting for HC2 calls now. One General...and one Novato for the XYL who managed to remember enough to send a few characters in CW. Yes, I said SEND...funny how accomodating folks can be if they know that you're legitimately making the effort. It was further explained to me that they can be a bit more accomodating wrt issuing an AR license, but as per ITU/IARU protocol, some form of code test is required for both their "ham" tickets. Oh, so that's what Dick (N0BK) meant when he said "Not according to the ITU." In the words of Arte Johnson..."verrrrrrry interestingk!"

So next time, (Next year or 2004 perhaps.) I'll give you guys a "head-up" and a QSL card from the Galapagos Isles. (HC2xx/8) or "Mitad del Mundo," (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World" or both 0 latitude and longitude. Hope all was well with you folks and it's good to be back. :-)
73 de Bert
WA2SI

3107. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 15:18:02 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj3b4g$34n$8@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.flamenet

"Dumbass no Johnson Paris" <djohnson@nospamrocketmailnewsnozspam.com> wrote in
message news:aj1a7c$41u$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> >
> From what I've seen of Wiseman, he's an embittered 50 y.o.

^^^^^^^^
Wrong again, DUMBASS. Wugene, did Chris Hudson, your Parole Officer get in touch
with you after having a conference with Chief Berry?

> obese

BWHAHAHAHAHA....Just saying something is so doesn't make it so, Wugene.
Besides since you weigh over 300 lbs, you're in no position to judge.

>divorcee,

So are you Wugene......or did you forget about Jonna Terry Paris?

>unemployable and on the public dole.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Says Food Stamp Paris who works part time at the aptly named gym "The Weight Room"
on Tulsa St. in OKC. Wugene is the poster boy for the "before" picture, not the
"after" picture. He empties spit buckets and cleans used jockstraps and the toilet
facilities with his tongue.

>His life centers 24/7 around Usenet.

Says Wugene Paris, who self-admitted he's a prison Bitch and has no life.

Eugene the Prison bitch <pparis7@cox.net> posts his regrets about his life of
crime and how he still has his "special connections" in the joint in
<3AA4095A.2F4B6E76@home.com>:
"It is a very sick world that sends me to prison for a false Id, while degenerates
like yourself roam the fucking streets. Pray to whatever god you believe in that
you do never go to prison. Because I will make sure word gets around about what
you are, Chickenhawk."

Female impersonator and convicted felon Rhesus Butt Fucker pparis7@cox.net
confessed in 3C3E3729.7060408@NOFUCKINGSPAMhome.com
"....life? I don't have a life, horrible or otherwise."
--
Weeno Pussy Paris <pparis7@cox.net> admits to serving time in prison and confesses
his profit
margin while being a prison bitch in
Message-ID: <3A06AFCF.4F7D1989@home.com>:

"My federal convictions were actually ones <bullshit excuses>(not before I did 12 months in federal prison anda 6 month violation of probation <...>"
"I've fought a long hard battle with the feds and state and while I can't say that I've won, I more or less broke even, minus 16 months of my life."

3108. 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 15:43:41 UTC
From: too many radios <radiofrann@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Nwa59.8572$M4.694071@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50922
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Right now the fur is flyin
over the imminent return of
kv4fz between mxl and ura
and barry the berry farmer
on 14313

Ha Ha Ha!
.
All the qrmer's are startin
to come outta the woodwork
again too!!

Looks liek 14313 is springin back to
;ife and will shortly regain it's title
as the "Jerry Springer Show Frequency"
of ham radio - HA!!! HA!!! HA!!!!

3109. ARNewsline 1304 - August 9 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 16:20:40 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810122040.14962.00003463@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50923

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1304 August 9th, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1304 with a release date of Friday, August 9th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a QST. U.K. hams take to 5 MHz in a big experiment. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1304 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

ON THE AIR: BIG UK 5MHZ EXPERIMENT

If you tune around 5 MHz and hear what sounds like ham radio QSO’s, your ears are not playing tricks. A major study of 5 MHz propagation has begun in Great Britain and some hams are already on the air. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, reports from Nottingham in the U.K.:

--

The 5 Mhz experiment has begun. The Radio Society of Great Britain has announced that permission has been granted by the Ministry of Defence and the Radiocommunications Agency for the allocation of five spot frequencies in the range of 5250 to 5450kHz. The frequencies will be made avbailable in the form of a 3 kilohertz bandwidth channel by way of a Notice of Variation to the UK Amateur License. Because it is a controlled experiment applicants are required to report their findings and results to the RSGB.

The purpose of the experiment is to carry out propagation and antenna investigations aimed at improving the understanding of Near Zenithal Radiation or NVIS - Near Vertical-Incidence Skywave - communications via the ionosphere. The frequencies assigned are 5260, 5280, 5290, 5400 and 5405kHz. It’s expected that this experiment will run for about 4 years.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

--

Here in the United States, Charles Harpole, K4VUD, one of the permitted operators of the American WA2XSY 5 MHz-band test stations invites U-K hams to contact him to set up a sked. The 5 point 260 MHz channel would be the best frequency for him. He is available for all times and days until the 20th of August and his e-mail is k4vud@hotmail.com (GB2RS)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FIVE LICENSES CANCELED BY FCC

Five hams who participated in a September 2001 testing session in Yucaipa California and who declined to be retested have lost their ham radio licenses. The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth says that in this case it was the VEC who brought the matter to his agency:

--
Hollingsworth: "Now the W5YI-VEC really did its homework on this one. They picked up some comments and complaints on an Internet News Group about the examination session; did a little homework and further research and found some very good reasons to refer the matter to us."
--

On April 30, the FCC wrote all five requiring them to re-take their Amateur Examinations before June 30th. All five failed to appear. Again the FCC's Riley Hollingsworth:

--
Hollingsworth: "Those licensees were Eddie Bartolome - Extra Class N6YAG of Los Angeles; Camito Lopez KG6GJC a Technician of Los Angeles; Marcial Rivas - General Class KG6HCP of Salem Oregon; Manuel M. Vega, KG6GSP - General class of Anaheim California and Marcelino Llanes, KG6HBR of Oxnard California."
--

Hollingsworth adds that the FCC is continuing its investigation of other examinations given by the Volunteer Examination team involved in the September 1st 2001 session in Yucaipa. Also that his office really appreciates the vigilance of the W5YI-VEC. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC MAY RETURN FORMER KV4FZ TO THE AIRWAVES

An ex-ham from the US Virgin Islands who was forced off the air a year and a half ago by the FCC may soon be back on, courtesy of the FCC. This as the agency indicates that it may act to reinstate the amateur license of Herbert L. Schoenbohm, the former KV4FZ. Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH, has been following this story and has the latest:

--
Herbert L. Schoenbohm's name shows up a lot in FCC records. He's the former ham who used to hold the callsign KV4FZ. That was before he lost his license.

In December, 1992, Schoenbohm was convicted of fraudulently using a counterfeit access device to obtain long distance telephone service. He was sentenced to two months of house arrest, two years probation and fined $5000. That left the FCC feeling that a felony conviction disqualified Schoenbohm from continuing as a Commission Licensee.

Two years later, Schoenbohm filed with the Commission to renew his amateur radio license. The Commission answered -- but not with what he wanted to hear; instead, the FCC designated his application for a hearing. Two encounters took place--one in 1995, the other in '97. At that point, the Commission told Schoenbohm he should no longer be allowed to have an FCC license.

He was allowed to operate until he exhausted all avenues of appeal. That happened in October of 2000. In January, 2001, Schoenbohm ceased on-air operations.

Before leaving the airwaves, 'though, Schoenbohm vowed that he would one day return to the airwaves as a licensed radio amateur. That day may be soon--based on findings presented at an FCC Administrative Law hearing earlier this year.

The hearing was held to determine if Schoenbohm now meets the requirements to once again become a Commission license holder in the Amateur Radio Service. The finding is complex and covers nearly two dozen pages. But it appears that the government may be ready to give Schoenbohm a second chance as a licensed ham.

The Commission says in part there is sufficient evidence in the record to support a finding that Schoenbohm has rehabilitated himself. The Commission notes that -- based on the evidence --it appears unlikely he would engage in any future misconduct. The Commission's Enforcement Bureau Investigations and Hearings Division endorses Schoenbohm's return to the amateur radio airwaves.

Schoenbohm took and passed a new exam in March, 2001. But the Commission again threw in a delay, designating his application for a hearing on the basis of character issues. This is the application that an Administrative Law Judge has been reviewing. Now that the recommendations are in, the Administrative law judge could issue a final opinion and recommendation within the next few months. That means Herbert Schoenbohm could possible be on the air again sometime this year.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m David Black, KB4KCH.
--

In the end, it is going to be up to the Commission to make a final resolution as to whether or not the former KV4FZ returns to ham radio. Nobody can predict what that decision will be. And if he is permitted to return, will it be with his old KV4FZ call sign? (ARNewsline™ from FCC Publiic Files)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Antelope Valley Amateur Radio Club repeater, WB6VVV, serving Lancaster California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY HELPS SAVE KIDNAPPED GIRLS

Two kidnapped California teenagers are alive thanks in part to a newly developed law enforcement communications technology. Mert Garlick, N6AWE, has the details:

--
It happened on Thursday August 1st when two teenage girls from the Quartz Hill

area of Southern California were abducted at gunpoint. Using the newly established AMBER child abduction alert system, the names of the teens and a description of the car they were in was immediately distributed to law enforcement agencies and broadcast outlets statewide. Shortly thereafter the California Transportation Authority was displaying information on it’s 316 electronic signs on freeways.

Sheriff's deputies in Kern County soon received several calls from people who saw the white Ford S-U-V. A sheriff's helicopter crew used the information to direct a ground unit that made the felony arrest stop. When he resisted and brandished a weapon, deputies shot and killed Roy Dean Ratliff and freed the girls.

The rescue took place only six days after Governor Gray Davis directed the installation of the AMBER system. AMBER uses a combination of two-way radio, fax, e-mail and other communications technology to quickly spread word when a child is abducted. It is based on a program developed by Dallas-area broadcasters and named in memory of nine year-old Amber Hagerman who was kidnapped and murdered in 1996.

In this latest case it took less than 4 hours to get the AMBER alert on the two missing girls circulated to the road signs. California’s Governor Davis says that his states AMBER system will now be refined to make it react more swiftly.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Mert Garlick, N6AWE.
--

Today, there are forty-one AMBER Alert programs across the country. The system is credited with safely recovering at least seventeen children since 1997. (Published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: PLEASE FIX THE INTERFERENCE

A pair of utility companies have received letters from the FCC regarding unresolved interference complaints from area amateurs. According to the W5YI Report Lakeland Electric Company in Florida and Commonwealth Edison in Illinois both were sent notes reminding them of their responsibilities to prevent interference to radio stations including radio amateurs. The letters gave the electric companies 90 days to either resolve the problems or contact the FCC with a specific timetable for making the fix. (FCC from W5YI Report)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: NEW DIRECTORS FOR THE NFCC

Turning to the ham radio political arena, word that Ken Chilton, KA1TIH; Alex Harvilchuck, N3NMN and Vincent Bardsley, KB3OM have been elected to the Board of Directors of the National Frequency Coordination Council. They replace outgoing Directors Dick Isely, W9GIG, Owen Wormser, K6LEW, and Dave Baughn, KX4I, who helped form the NFCC at a meeting in St. Charles Missouri back in 1995.

Chilton, Havilchuck and Bardsley also join Nels Harvey, WA9JOB and Clay Freinwald, K7CR as the duly constituted National Frequency Coordinators' Board. This for the twelve month period starting on September 1st. (ARNewsline™)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: NEW SACRAMENTO SM IS OLD SACRAMENTO SM

California’s former Sacramento Valley ARRL Section Manager has become the new Sacramento Valley Section Manager following the resignation of the current Sacramento Valley Section Manager. Confused? Well, here’s what happened.

According to an ARRL Bulletin, Jettie Hill, W6RFF, of Roseville, California, has been appointed to succeed Jerry Boyd, K6BZ, as the Sacramento Valley Section Manager. This is because Boyd is moving out of the section.

Boyd was appointed in 2000 to replace Hill. Hill continued to serve the section as the regions Affiliated Club Coordinator and as an Official Relay Station. W6RFF previously served as Santa Clara Valley Section Communications Manager from 1978 until 1982 and as ARRL Pacific Division Vice Director in 1982 and 1983. The appointment of Hill, who had previously served for more than 10 years as Sacramento Valley S-M became effective on August 1st. Got that? (ARRL)

**

FUTURE TECHNOLOGY: HIGH TECH WORKERS NEEDED

Still in California, word that lack of qualified workers is costing Silicon Valley billions of dollars annually. The management consulting firm A.T. Kearney says that this is threatening the regions position as a global high technology center. The region's shortage of high-tech workers means that one in three jobs requires special recruitment efforts, or goes unfilled, leading to a loss of over $3 billion a year. (ProPeople.com)

**

RADIO REGULATIONS: PERMANENT CELL NUMBERS ON HOLD

Mobile phone users who want to keep their numbers after switching wireless companies will have to wait until late next year for that option. This after the Federal Communications Commission gives cellular phone companies until November of 2003 to offer customers the choice.

The new date, one year later than the previous deadline to implement the service. It is the third extension granted by the FCC. Most wireless companies oppose the idea, arguing it would cost billions of dollars and drain resources needed to improve and lower the cost of wireless services. (FCC)

**

WITH THE HANDI-HAMS: EXTRA CLASS MANUAL IN AUDIO

The Handi-Hams have announced that the latest ARRL Extra Class License Manual is now available to it’s blind members in adapted audio format. At the present time Handi Hams only digital media available but the audio is being

re-mastered onto tape. When the cassette version is available Handi Hams will let us know so that we can let you know. Meantime keep an eye at Book and Tape Catalog area at www.handiham.org for updates. (Handi Hams)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

THE SUPPORT FUND REPORT WITH ANDY JAREMA, N6TCQ

Its time for a report from the Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund. Here's our Administrator -- Andy Jarema, N6TCQ:

--
There are two items to cover this week. I'll start with our poll regarding credit cards. Three weeks ago we asked those interested in supporting Amateur radio Newsline using a credit card to write the single word YES in the subject line of an e-mail and send it to us. We have tallied the results and there were only 17 who said yes. And of the 17, all but one said that only a Pay Pal account was acceptable to them.

Well, statistically speaking, you don't have to know how to do linear regression to know that 17 people out of the 10's of thousands who listen in each week is not a very good response. What it says is that 17 people have Pay Pal access and would use it to help support Amateur Radio Newsline. Even so, we looked into creating a Pay Pal service for those who wanted to use it. Without wasting a lot of time, suffice it to say that the costs involved versus the return would not warrant the expenditure. So at present the credit card issue is dead. For now at least, the Support Fund will remain as it always has. Just the P.O. Box where donations can be sent. Get a pencil and paper ready. I'll give it in a few moments.

The other item I want to touch on briefly is the current state of the checking account. Thanks to your generosity, we made it through June and July. What that means is the bills from May were paid in early June and those from June went out on schedule in July. Now it's early August. The July bills have come due, unfortunately at the same time that our folks are off to Huntsville, Alabama to present the 2002 Young Ham of the Year Award. So that you get a better understanding of the costs involved, I've done a rough breakdown.

First of all, our corporate underwriters Vertex Standard and C-Q Magazine cover almost all the costs of the young person selected for the award. They pay their airfare. They pay their lodging. Vertex-Standard provides an additional gift of Yaesu brand ham radio gear. C-Q treats the winner to a week at Spacecamp. When you add that up, it's probably a gift package with a retail price tag of close to $5000.

Obviously, they do their part and we have to do ours. It costs about $300 a year for a round trip ticket to get one of our people from Los Angeles to Huntsville. Actually, we have our people fly to Birmingham and drive up to Huntsville because this saves quite a bit of money. Yes, it takes them out of their way and adds a few hours of travel time. But the savings in airfare make this added time worthwhile.

The wonderful folks at the Huntsville Hamfest provide us with a free room. We do have to pay our people's expenses like food, hotel, telephone service and a rental car when necessary. We also pay for the plaque presented to the winner and for the mini-banquet we hold for him or her on the Friday night prior to the actual Saturday presentation. The total this year will be somewhere between $1000 to $1100. So we need to raise that in addition to our normal $800 to $1000 it costs monthly to keep the Amateur Radio Newsline newscasts coming your way. And that's where you come in.

Amateur Radio Newsline is a federal 501 (C) (3) not for profit corporation. Donations to it are tax deductible. The address – you've heard it before - is Post Office Box 660937, Arcadia California. Our Zipcode is 91066.

OK. 'Nuf said. But I'll be back next week with the latest thank-you list. For now, from Arcadia, in sunny Southern California's San Gabriel Valley, I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
--

If you missed the address given by Andy it will repeated at the end of this weeks newscast. (Newsline Support Fund)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: KD5MDT REPLACES KC5FVF ON ISS EXPEDITION 6 CREW

Astronaut Don Pettit, KD5MDT, has been named to replace Don Thomas, KC5FVF, as a member of the Expedition 6 International Space Station crew. According to the ARRL Letter, Pettit had been training as backup flight engineer for the increment six team and was ready to step in.

NASA said the reassignment resulted from a medical issue that affects Thomas' long-duration space flight qualifications. According to Astronaut Office Chief Charlie Precourt, KB5YSQ, the demanding nature of long-term space flight requires a conservative approach to crew health issues, especially this early in the program. Pettit will join Expedition 6 Commander Kenneth Bowersox, KD5JBP, and Russian cosmonaut Nikolai Budarin, RV3DB. (ARRL Letter)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: THE METEORS ARE COMING

Weak Signal operators -- listen up. The Perseid meteors are on their way.

Lee Wical, KH6BZF, says over the QCWA remailer that from midnight to dawn, on Monday August 12th and Tuesday August 13th about 60 to 70 meteors per hour will enter Earth's atmosphere. This particular meteor shower is created by the Earth passing through the debris field left from the Swift-Tuttle comet. The grain-like particles enter Earth's atmosphere, burn up and create what most

people call shooting stars.

The Perseid Meteor shower is not expected to be as spectacular as the Leonid Meteor shower that occurs each November. But, if viewing is good, people will not be disappointed. And if the ionized tails are good, ham radio meteor scatter D-Xers and WSJT users will be happy as well. (KH6BZF)

**

INTERNATIONAL - UK: LONG WAVE GOODBYE

As predicted by Media Network, the loss-making sports station TEAMtalk 252, which broadcast to the UK on 252 kHz Long Wave from a transmitter in Ireland, has closed down. This, after less than 6 months on the air. The station ceased broadcasting at 1300 UTC on July 31st. (Media Network)

**

INTERNATIONAL-CANADA: NEW RAC QUEBEC SM

Claude Paquet, VE2OCP has been elected as Radio Amateurs of Canada Section Manager for Quebec. This, for a two year term that will begin on November 1st. Paquet ran unopposed, eliminating the need for a balloted election. He has also agreed to complete the term of Richard Desaulniers, VE2DX who resigned for personal and professional reasons on August 1st. (RAC)

**

DX

In D-X, G0TQJ he has now gone QRT from Afghanistan where he operate slash YA. During his say in Kabul Chris made 1950 QSOs on SSB and another 850 on RTTY. He is getting his QSL cards printed. He has also sent his documentation to the ARRL DXCC desk for approval. (GB2RS)

And the FISTS newsletter reports on the apparent pirating of callsign ZD7CW. The pirate is using CW and not very active. In fact, he was last heard in November of 2001. But the real ZD7CW has been inactive for several years. (Q-News)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: A TRUE CAPTIVE AUDIENCE!

And finally this week the story of an interesting call sign operating from a rather unusual place in Ireland. Here’s Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB;

--
From our files, the story of a captive audience. A permanent amateur Radio Station with the call sign EI0CRM -- you heard right -- C-R-M -- “crim” -- EI0CRM is being set up in of all places the radio museum of the Cork City jail.

Cork City jail opened for business in 1824 and was used as a prison until 1923. When Radio Erin was expanding in the mid 1920’s, it was located in this jail. Its call sign was 6CK.

The broadcasting studio is now fully restored and a fine display of radio artifacts are on permanent display. Have a listen out for EI0CRM
--

Talk about a QSL card that you may want to keep under lock and key. (Q-News)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB, the W5YI Report and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Jim Damron, N8TMW, reporting from Charleston West Virginia. 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

3110. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 17:11:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D554936.DA1842E2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50924

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D549F9D.6F71669D@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D543BE2.20F9800B@hotmail.com...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > > > I'm not sure whether you're a "git" or a "yobo", Cecil.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Cecil is not a yobo. He's too tall.
> > > > >
> > > > What? No 300,000 ft tall yobos?
> > > > --
> > > > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> > >
> > > The ones I've seen are all short and have kimchi breath.
> >
> > You've encountered short Brits with Kimchi breath?

> Never.

Both terms are British slang. "Yobo" is "Oboy" backward.

Dave K8MN
```

3111. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 17:12:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D55498E.EFC7C05C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50925

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> FWIW, the dxcc desk gets a copy of this.
> >
> >NOW you're contacting the DXCC desk?
>
> Not to find out if his operation is legal, Dave -- he want to see if he can
> "win" this one by trying to drag my boss into it. Apparently the social
> conventions of "netiquette" have absolutely no value to him.

Lemme know if those thugs at the DXCC desk try to garnish your check,
Ed.

Dave K8MN

3112. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 17:19:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D554B3D.4447CA2E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50926

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020810071823.22144.00003102@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >So you say that my operation was bootlegging and other military members
> in
> > >the same situation were legal?
> >
> > Is English your second language?
>
> Is this how you respond to a legitimate question?
>
> Based on your description of the
> > circumstances you describe, I believe you bootlegged the call.
>
> Ed, you said, "You took advantage of another country while you were there
> and bootlegged a
> call."
>
> There was no, "I believe..." or "Its my opinion that..."
>
> You stated as FACT that I am a bootlegger.
>
> > I was pretty clear that I don't know whether the other operations were
> legal.
> > Which of the words "I, don't or no" do you have trouble understanding? Or
> are
> > you deliberately misinterpreting my words to bolster your untenable
> position?
>
> My position is fine and legal according to your employers web site.
>
> > >FWIW, the dxcc desk gets a copy of this.
> >
> > Wonderful. I will send copies of your posts to your employer, too -- all
> of
> > them.
>
> And in there you will find admissions of being wrong, and apologies where
> warranted.
>
> > 73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
> You know Ed, military members place themselves at risk every day for our
> nation's safety and security. For you to state that we, or I, had taken
> advantage of a country like Somalia is beyond offensive.

Come off it, Brian. You can't wrap yourself in the flag to get out of a
crack.

> You're just plain wrong.

Just tattle to Ed's "daddy" at ARRL. Are you gonna get me in trouble
too?

> Don't ever call me a bootlegger again. I would like an apology posted to
> this newsgroup.

You still have a copy of the written permission you operated under?

Dave K8MN

3113. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 17:21:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D554BBB.9388FDE2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50927

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020810072614.22144.00003107@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> > >> I don't know, Brian. Does my lack of knowledge about the form you
> needed
> > >give
> > >> you authorization? Don'tch' think that the one doing the operating is
> the
> > >one
> > >> who has the responsibility to know, or do you make someone else
> > >responsible for
> > >> all of your actions.
> >
> > >> Am I responsible (in your mind) for any of your other actions?
> >
> > >Ed, you've gone too far.
> >
> > So I am responsible for only some of your actions.
> >
> > Can you explain how my actions cause your actions, or have I "gone too
> far"
> > again?
> >
> > Or do you mean something different than what you say?
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed, you alone are responsible for your actions.
>
> I stated that I had asked for permission from my commander to operate while
> in Somalia, and permission was granted.
>
> And your statement, "You took advantage of another country while you were
> there and bootlegged a
> call."
>
> Your employer's web site states, "At this time to operate in Somolia you
> would need permission from the United Nations or your military commander."
>
> Is there anything here you want to dispute?

Yeah. Isn't it "Somalia"?

Dave K8MN

3114. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 18:16:44 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208101016.58349d24@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50928

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<De159.600$OX.88152222@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3D549537.8000905@adelphia.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> >
> > > Ed, have you're employer ask that question on the web site poll.
> >
> >
> >
> > heh, heh, I 'll bet just about everyone answering the poll will say they
> > have a computer.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> A few obstinate old cranks will deny it.
>
>
How are they gonna do that if they don't have a computer? DUH!

w3rcv

3115. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 18:36:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810143623.10263.00000347@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50929

In article <3D543BE2.20F9800B@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>> > I'm not sure whether you're a "git" or a "yobo", Cecil.
>> >
>> Cecil is not a yobo. He's too tall.
>
>What? No 300,000 ft tall yobos?

Naw.

I suspect Cecil prefers Major League yabbos.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3116. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 20:06:16 UTC
From: John Kimball <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1hsaluo15ojn0fp6m8br86ipqkieg3gc5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 50930
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 10:49:47 -0400, "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> Blew their wad in Message id: <aj3b41$34n$1@pita.alt.net>:

>You don't know what words mean, do you?

Plagiarist.

--
"Never attened any schools int he West Virginia school system."
Roger Wiseman <kc8jbo@alt.net> Finally comes clean about his lack of education in Message-ID: <a2cpbp$ee3$g@pita.alt.net>

3117. Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 20:11:52 UTC
From: Anonymous <Anonymous@NobodyAnonymousUnknown.com>
Message-ID: <aj3s23$i9l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

Roger,

For months we have read the various sick, obscene, and demented posts which you have written and posted in these NGs. I am particularly addressing the sick and vulgar comments you post about the underage children of amateur radio operators whom you have differences of opinion with. Your descriptions of various sexual acts that you claim these children engage in with adults is sickening, disgusting, and illegal. Yes Roger, such acts, contrary to your belief of free speech, are not and have never been First Amendment protected speech.

My question. You apparently function in society Roger, so I will venture that you know right from wrong. Child pornography is wrong! Dead wrong, and you know it Roger! So why do you post it?

I challenge you to respond to this post, without resorting to profanity and obscene speech. You claim you are an educated man. We would like to see you respond in a rational, mature manner. Your turn.

Anonymous

3118. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 20:37:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810163727.02372.00000350@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 50932

In article <3D4D73F5.4BC84ED7@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Morse code skill is simply one more tool in the ham's toolbox.

Now You're Talking, Cecil!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3119. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 20:37:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810163727.02372.00000349@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 50933

In article <20020808230844.14772.00000940@mb-ba.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <9f4bfe89.0208081102.852ffb1@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>> > That's "you stupid git!" The term "git" is Cockney rhyming-slang
>>> > for "nitwit."
>>
>>No it isn't.
>>>
>>> He could be misspelling in his spare time.
>>
>>Oh, I've had enough of this!
>>
>
>Jim:
>
>Not till you 'splain, Lucy! If "git" isn't CRS for "nitwit," where did it
>originate?

If I'm going to argue with you, I have to take up a contrary position.

http://bau2.uibk.ac.at/sg/python/Scripts/TheArgumentClinicSketch

Read the whole thing, or better yet, get the audio or video version.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3120. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 20:38:30 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D5579C6.468576BD@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50934

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020808211513.00635.00002306@mb-df.aol.com...
> > From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >
> > >> I had been authorized. What about that don't you understand?
> > >
> > >...if the guy who told you that you could operate had the legal
> > >authority to do so.
> >
> > He did not, so Brian was simply bootlegging a rare call.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed, I've found this on your employers web site:
> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/io/recip-info_p.html#t5
> ---
> -------------------------
> Somalia (T5)
> At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
> Nations or your military commander.

So his sergeant said okay. That should work...

3121. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 20:41:18 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D557A6D.2C71860C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50935

Dave Heil wrote:

> Robert Casey wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > I was in Somalia because of the situation: a) no government, and b)
> > > > > > > people
> > > > > > > > > starving to death. The flag raised over the former US embassy
> > > > compound
> > > > > > > came
> > > > > > > > > from the former embassy in Lebanon. I wasn't aware that we still
> > > had an
> > > > > > > > embassy in Iraq. You must be referring to the former embassy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No former embassy. The American Embassy in Bagdad. It is closed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Have we ceased diplomatic relations with Iraq?
> > > > >
> > > > > That's correct. We did not give away or sell the embassy property. The
> > > > > embassy in Guinea-Bissau is closed. We did not give away or sell the
> > > > > property.
> > > >
> > > > Are you now in real estate?
> > >
> > > Actually, I'm ON real estate. Didn't you have a real rebuttal of the
> > > information I supplied?
> >
> > What about our embassy in Iran? I don't think we sold it or anything.
> > Though the Iranians are using it for a school, museum or such now.
>
> That doesn't change the fact that it is ours, Robert. We can be
> patient. We might be able to open our embassy in Bagdad quite soon :)

Yes, it still belongs to us, even if they are squatting on it now. When
time comes to establish relations again we should ask for it back.

3122. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 20:47:48 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D557BF4.3AE6ACFC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 50936
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

too many radios wrote:

> Right now the fur is flyin
> over the imminent return of
> kv4fz between mxl and ura
> and barry the berry farmer
> on 14313
>
> Ha Ha Ha!
> .
> All the qrmer's are startin
> to come outta the woodwork
> again too!!
>
> Looks liek 14313 is springin back to
> ;ife and will shortly regain it's title
> as the "Jerry Springer Show Frequency"
> of ham radio - HA!!! HA!!! HA!!!!

At 20:46 zulu Aug 10th nothing there except a foreign language QSO.

3123. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 21:06:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <aj3v7r$8ao$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50937
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Whatever Herb actually did, or didn't do. And I heard most of it over the years. I just don't think he is STUPID enough to jump back on 313. Perhaps I am wrong.

I am not a 'Herby supporter' whatever that means, nor am I a 'Porkbut' supporter, whatever that means. I just hope that those with the capability of transmitting on 313....don't. Why? Because its idiotic for one and two it is not helping ham radio one bit.

Of course I KNOW some of you must do your thing. Thats fine too.....I just wonder if you ever heard of the phrase...."Its just like shootin fish ina barrel".

You figure it out.

Dan/W4NTI

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3D557BF4.3AE6ACFC@ix.netcom.com...
> too many radios wrote:
>
> > Right now the fur is flyin
> > over the imminent return of
> > kv4fz between mxl and ura
> > and barry the berry farmer
> > on 14313
> >
> > Ha Ha Ha!
> > .
> > All the qrmer's are startin
> > to come outta the woodwork
> > again too!!
> >
> > Looks liek 14313 is springin back to
> > ;ife and will shortly regain it's title
> > as the "Jerry Springer Show Frequency"
> > of ham radio - HA!!! HA!!! HA!!!!
>
> At 20:46 zulu Aug 10th nothing there except a foreign language QSO.
>
>

3124. Re: Radio And Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 21:12:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0208101312.78db0d29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50938

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0208070409.18f99051@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020805040724.27667.00000225@mb-mw.aol.com>...
> >
> > >> Where do you get that idea? I did not mention motors. PLCs are much more
> > >> than
> > >> motor controllers. Someone who knew all about "radio and electronics" would
> > >> know that.....
> > >>
> > >Amen!
> >
> > Some of the applications are quite interesting. Many large bakeries use PLCs,
> > for example.
> >
> >
> "Large bakeries"?

Yup. The point I'm making is simply that PLCs are everywhere, not just
in 'motor control'.

> The biggest job I ever ran was a $22 million unit
> material handling system for the DuPont Cape Fear Dacron mill. $8mm of
> it was for just the controls engineering and prgramming, another $6mm
> was for the A-B and DEC hardware. *Not* including the mainframe
> "stuff" above the shop floor which was not in my project budget. There
> was a "bakery" alrighty, a Dacron bakery . .

HAW!

> > >Whatta fun job for an EE . . .
> >
> > I like it. The money is pretty good and it's kinda unique work.

> You know where my head is, I think I'd enjoy working in the field.
> Actually I have done some bits & pieces for the Wilmington shops but
> that was years ago.

I know the EE end but not the ME end. To each his own.

> > But what I do for a living is not relevant to amateur radio policy. Neither is
> > what Lenover21 did.
> >
> In that sense you're about even-up. Being in the field I'm in my
> technical background isn't even on the screen wrt to being relevant to
> ham radio policy.

The only work experience that is relevant to amateur radio policy is
kind of work K2ASP has done - and does.

> But ham radio is far from being exclusively about
> the technologies involved with the hobby is it?

Of course not! Part of the game is technology, sure. Then there's
public service of many types, the competitive/sporting aspect, the
ragchew aspect, the educational part of things, etcetera, etcetera,
etcetera.

> > >Never mind that if there weren't any factory process controls there
> > >wouldn't be any radios.
> >
> > Right again. One of the main reasons for the drop in cost of electronics in
> > real $$ is the use of automated manufacturing (meaning "controlled by PLCs").

> Electronics production is the least of it. I'm intimately familiar
> with the innards of the GM Boxwood Rd. Saturn ass'y plant, I was there
> when GM spent $350mm on the last reamout about ten years ago.

I have a '94 Saturn SL1. Great car. At 8 years old and 75,000 miles
it's bare;y broken in.

> A very
> large percentage of those monies went into PLCs. They have PLCs
> running the whole operation. "Motor controllers" . . . bwahhaha! They
> directly input the PLCs with every type of industrial sensor you can
> name. Artificial vision systems, laser interferometers, barcode
> scanners, mind boggling. And all of it floats on the inhouse infranet,
> ya can dial into websites which are "hosted" by individual PLCs and
> download all kindsa operational data for analyses. As it happens.

Yup. That's how the Major Leagues do it.

> I watched my brother dial up a welding robot control PLC at a SAAB
> plant in Sweden with his Windoze box and peer at the welding process
> via the robot's vision system. From Delaware.

Ya know what comms makes that possible? Fiber optics. No "RF" involved
- just not enough bandwidth. Ask K2UNK.

> This is real
> engineering. This is why we can afford to own cars. And radios.

'zactly. I'm sure GM could make Saturns as good as mine without PLCs -
but they would cost a heck of a lot more.

> Speaking of Putzboxes . . Didja know that the eforts which led to the
> seminal 1908 patents for RTTY were spearheaded by an M.E.?

Nope. But I am not surprised. I've been inside various Model 19s and
such to know that they are 99% ME work and 1% EE work.

> I can't get real exited about the technologies involved in ham radio,
> I have other technological bags of worms to deal with daily and ham

> radio is just one techo hobby I'm into.

Busman's holiday.

> > >And ladder-logic programming . . . good 'ole A-B . . uggg . .

> > Just another tool in the toolbox. Like Morse code skill.

> BINGO! I'd love to hand Laughable an HF ham xcvr, the antenna and keep
> the mic in my pocket and tell him "OK genius, communicate."

Right. End of story.

> > One does what the job requires, with the resources available. One of the most
> > basic rules of engineering.

> There ya go again . . tsk, tsk . .

what?

> > Ham radio uses for a PLC? Here are three:
> >
> > - automatic control of az-el antenna rotators to track satellites or the moon

> Yupper, it's been done.

Kewl.

> > - remote control/indication of large antenna farm without extensive control
> > cabling

> That could be interesting, particularly for contesters. Dunno if
> you've run into 'em but there are inexpensive cards available which
> plug into PCs and turn a PC into a PLC. Ya can get a decent number of
> I/O points too plus they run under Windoze and can be mutitasked with
> programs like DX4WIN, Writelog and the spots processsors. A real PLC
> wonk could do some slck work in this area.

That's one way to do it, but I'm thinking of a different method.

There are lots of little PLCs out there for very little money. Many
models have communications capability. Imagine a little PLC at the 80
meter 4-square, another at the base of the Big Bertha, another at the
feedpoint of the beverages, etc. All networked to each other and the
shack computer thru a twisted-pair (literally), a fiber optic system,
or maybe a 900 MHz spread-spectrum Part 15 LAN setup. Shack computer
controls and indicates what's going on at each location, including
things like SWR, remotely sensed.

Of course the enterprising amateur could homebrew a control system to
do all that, but Commercial Off The Shelf (COTS) PLCs are becoming so
inexpensive that it's not cost-effective to do it yerself. Plus the
issues of ruggedizing the remote locations for the environment has
already been done by the PLC manufaturer(if they are decent ones).

Think of the possibilities - and ya could prolly pay for much of it
with the savings in coax.

> > - control/indication of power distribution and generators on Field Day

. . . ya took it too far with that one!

Not really. There's a bunch of dead-serious FD groups out there. One
bunch in Nashua, NH (N1FD, I think) runs something like 23A every
year. Separate dedicated rigs on every band/mode they can manage from
160 on up to 432 or even higher. And they DON'T run QRP rigs or simple
dipole/G5RV setups. Plus they use locations with no facilities, so you
can imagine what their power requirements are with lighting, cooking,
networked computer logs, etc..

How to power such a setup? One choice is a big diesel genset that can
power everything - at a price. Another is to run a bunch of smaller
gensets, dividing and shifting the load as required.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3125. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 22:08:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810180835.22115.00002550@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50939

>Subject: Re: 14.313 comes to life
>From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@mindspringnospam.com
>Date: 08/10/02 17:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <aj3v7r$8ao$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>

>I just hope that those with the capability
>of transmitting on 313....don't. Why? Because its idiotic for one and two
>it is not helping ham radio one bit.
>

With the exception of those who originally met on 14.313 (various mobile
and maritime mobile support nets), common sense and maturity have been absent
from the denizens of this frequency.

I sure do hope that if trhe FCC does grant Schoenblom a new license that
he approaches it with new respect. If not, I bet he see's jail time.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3126. Re: Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 22:48:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D55797D.325732BC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 50940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

Anonymous wrote:
>
> Roger,
>
> For months we have read the various sick, obscene, and
> demented posts which you have written and posted in these
> NGs. I am particularly addressing the sick and vulgar
> comments you post about the underage children of amateur
> radio operators whom you have differences of opinion with.
> Your descriptions of various sexual acts that you claim these
> children engage in with adults is sickening, disgusting, and
> illegal. Yes Roger, such acts, contrary to your belief of free
> speech, are not and have never been First Amendment
> protected speech.
>
> My question. You apparently function in society Roger, so I
> will venture that you know right from wrong. Child
> pornography is wrong! Dead wrong, and you know it
> Roger! So why do you post it?
>
> I challenge you to respond to this post, without resorting to
> profanity and obscene speech. You claim you are an
> educated man. We would like to see you respond in a
> rational, mature manner. Your turn.
>
> Anonymous

Asking Roger to respond without using profanity and obscene speech
is like asking Rosemary to have another baby.

3127. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 22:52:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810185255.10263.00000348@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50941

In article <R2159.595$NW.88092809@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Why are you continually goading Brian in here?
>>
>> Please remember that you work for ARRL.
>
>Ed is a foolish man. Ed labels me a bootlegger for operating from Operation
>Restore Hope/Somalia with my commanders permission.

Well, we have to assume that Ed is interpreting the UCAJ (Uniform
Code of Amateur Justice) by virtue of his being an ARRL "officer."

Sort of like AmJAG...and with commercials for ARRL, too.

>Ed's employers says:
>Somalia (T5)
>At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
>Nations or your military commander.
>
>There is no IARU-member society.

Apparently the ARRL "officer" doesn't read the battalion bulletin
board or Daily Directives or Orders of the Day.

There you have it.

3128. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 22:52:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810185256.10263.00000349@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50943

In article <20020809080236.07941.00002684@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>
>>> The person who gave such permission did not have the authority do so. If I gave
>>> you permission to operate on 13.999 MHz, would you do it?
>>
>>Ed, you're being silly.
>
>You are correct. It is silly to operate amateur radio under circumstances for
>which the person giving you "authorization" does not have authority to do so.

It is even sillier when an ARRL "officer" tries to blackball another radio amateur with untrue charges.

It is even sillier when an ARRL "officer" decides he or she can override military authority over military personnel on active duty.

It is much more sillier when an ARRL "officer" disregards the Directives of his own "command."

Are you trying to be a Barracks Lawyer and re-interpreting the Uniform Code of Amateur Justice (UCAJ) here?

3129. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-10 22:52:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810185257.10263.00000350@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50942

In article <3D5579C6.468576BD@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Ed, I've found this on your employers web site:
>> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/io/recip-info_p.html#t5
>>
>----------
>>
>> Somalia (T5)
>> At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
>> Nations or your military commander.
>
>So his sergeant said okay. That should work...

Casey, try understanding who has rank, not in being rank.

Commanding Officers are commissioned officers.

Sergeants are non-commissioned officers.

Brian was a sergeant. His Commanding Officer was a commissioned
officer.

All military personnel are accountable to military orders and directives.
At no time are they accountable to Department of State unless the
military directs them to be. At no time are active duty personnel
accountable to ARRL or ARRL "officers."

3130. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 00:32:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0nbp0j.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50945

On 10 Aug 2002 00:46:35 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:

>That's no surprise at all based on what I've heard on the air. Most of
>it is in Spanish and obviously comes from Central and South America.
>We can't use SSB between 28.000 and 28.300 but in many countries phone
>is legal all the way down to 28.000. If ya can't speak the language ya
>can't make any judgements.

>Like I said, life is bigger than chasing pirates on 10m. I have an
>allergic reaction to getting into it anyway because I really spanked
>myself the only time I ever played frequency cop. It was a slow nite
>so I idly twisted the 75A4 knob up the band above 7.300. There were
>bloody huge ssb signals around 7.400. Buncha guys horsing around maybe
>2AM, no callsigns, sounded just like 27mHz nonsense except they had
>roger beeps. All of 'em were 20-30 over nine. I leaped on the phone
>and dialed Laurel and got a radio op. Turned out I'd "snitched" on
>some U.S. Navy network.

We once had a SHARES exercise (SHARES is the FEMA equivalent of
RACES) on a US Government HF frequency interrupted by what we thought
was a Spanish-speaking pirate net. A quick call alerted the DF net,
and it turned out to be the daily roll call of the Mexican "Federales"
installations in Baja California !!

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3131. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 00:32:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0ncgxk.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50944

On 10 Aug 2002 01:35:42 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>In view of the foregoing I request that the Customs Service respond
>>to the request for ruling and advise the requestor that the "10-meter"
>>radio at issue is not acceptable for importation into the United
>>States. In cases where importation was accomplished even though it
>>was not in accordance with FCC and Customs regulations, it is
>>appropriate that the Customs Service order re-delivery of the
>>unacceptable products.
>
> English: We can't control these devices, ergo it's now another agency's
>problem.

Smuggling has ALWAYS been the Customns Service playground. And
this is smuggling, pure and simple.

I was involved in training the Customs Inspectors and Import
Specialists in what to look for on entry documents to "flag"
shipments for detailed inspection.

Failure to "re-deliver" is grounds for a Customs raid, and believe
me, a raid by Customs Agents makes the US Marshal and FCC operations
look like a church social.....they have direct seizure and arrest
authority, for starters.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3132. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 00:56:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6Di59.1597$1W3.114952435@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50946

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020810185256.10263.00000349@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <20020809080236.07941.00002684@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>> The person who gave such permission did not have the authority do so.
If I
> gave
> >>> you permission to operate on 13.999 MHz, would you do it?
> >>
> >>Ed, you're being silly.
> >
> >You are correct. It is silly to operate amateur radio under circumstances
for
> >which the person giving you "authorization" does not have authority to do
so.
>
> It is even sillier when an ARRL "officer" tries to blackball another
> radio amateur with untrue charges.
>
> It is even sillier when an ARRL "officer" decides he or she can
> override military authority over military personnel on active duty.
>
> It is much more sillier when an ARRL "officer" disregards the
> Directives of his own "command."
>
> Are you trying to be a Barracks Lawyer and re-interpreting the
> Uniform Code of Amateur Justice (UCAJ) here?

Ed has gone over to the dark side.

3133. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 00:58:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <FEi59.1598$KZ3.115163255@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50947

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D554BBB.9388FDE2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020810072614.22144.00003107@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> > >
> > > >> I don't know, Brian. Does my lack of knowledge about the form you
> > needed
> > > >give
> > > >> you authorization? Don'tch' think that the one doing the operating
is
> > the
> > > >one
> > > >> who has the responsibility to know, or do you make someone else
> > > >responsible for
> > > >> all of your actions.
> > >
> > > >> Am I responsible (in your mind) for any of your other actions?
> > >
> > > >Ed, you've gone too far.
> > >
> > > So I am responsible for only some of your actions.
> > >
> > > Can you explain how my actions cause your actions, or have I "gone too
> > far"
> > > again?
> > >
> > > Or do you mean something different than what you say?
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> > Ed, you alone are responsible for your actions.
> >
> > I stated that I had asked for permission from my commander to operate
while
> > in Somalia, and permission was granted.
> >
> > And your statement, "You took advantage of another country while you
were
> > there and bootlegged a
> > call."

> >
> > Your employer's web site states, "At this time to operate in Somolia you
> > would need permission from the United Nations or your military
commander."
> >
> > Is there anything here you want to dispute?
>
> Yeah. Isn't it "Somalia"?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, it is when I spell it. It isn't when I clip it from the ARRL web
site.

3134. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 01:05:41 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <FLi59.1600$e_3.115188870@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj3b3v$34n$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:EJV49.1206$Fa.101600281@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:aj0c50rqi1@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> backpedaled in message
> > > news:0SF49.979$u37.98964623@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:aitp3a$30k$1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:Xxg49.383$LF2.122@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:aiqbqucgj0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:aioi03jmk1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:c5ecbb69.0208051507.6cf313ed@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > > > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:<3D4DE51E.7D678CA0@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > > > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger
L.
> > > > Wiseman,
> > > > > > > AB8MQ
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I had thought 2x2 callsigns starting with "A" were for
> > extras.
> > > > > > Just
> > > > > > > > looked
> > > > > > > > > him
> > > > > > > > up and he is an extra.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nahhh. 2x2 callsigns are for "advanced" class. Extras
get
> > 2x1

> > > > > > > > > > callsigns. And apparently anyone can get a "vanity"
callsign
> > of
> > > > any
> > > > > > > > > > > sort.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Not out of their license class....a General wouldn't be
able
> > to
> > > > get a
> > > > > > > 2
> > > > > > > > x
> > > > > > > > > 2 call.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > OK...so what's your point? You just want to argue? Why not
look up
> > the
> > > > > > > callsign allocations and stop wimpering.
> > > > > > > Besides...it is a moot point.
> > > > > > > When in doubt use QRZ....
> > > > > > > Good Night...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You go use QRZ. The FCC issues 2x2's to Techs and Generals in
US
> > > > > posessions. Everybody knows that.
> > > > >
> > > > > In other words, you don't know what the hell you are talking
about.
> > > >
> > > > I know what I'm talking about
> > >
> > > No, you don't. In your original statement you didn't clarify that only
2x2
> > are
> > > issued to hams in the Regions I listed. You said:
> > >
> > > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> >
> > And I have. Don't read more into my statement than is there. To do so
> > would be to assume...
>
> You mean like you assumed that everybody would know you didn't know what
the fuck
> you are talking about and that you really meant to clarify that the
Tech/General
> 2x2's was not in the contiguous United States.

This isn't 1959 again, is it? Why limit yourself to amateurs in the contiguous 48 states? You just don't make sense to me.

> > > Which had nothing to do with the original question about my call AB8MQ.
> > And I'm
> > > not in one of the US possessions, dumbass, so when I was a
> > Technician/General I
> > > had a 2 x 3 call.
> >
> > So?
>
> So your statement that Techs/Generals in the United States could have a 2x2 call
> is wrong, DUMBASS.

I said that I knew plenty of Techs with 2x2 call signs. You assumed that I said something I didn't.

> > > >Go look
> > >
> > > Go fuck yourself. Idiot. What part of "I don't live in Region 12, 13 or
> > 14" and
> > > wouldn't get a 2x2 as a Tech/General don't you understand?
> > > Dumbass.
> >
> > I'm thinking
>
> We'll see the results in the next millenium.
>
>
> >a bowl of oat bran in the morning would do wonders for your
> > outlook on life.
>
> Really? I supose that's whet helped you extract that gerbil you regularly stick up
> there.

I've never put a gerbil in a bowl of oat bran. That's sick.

3135. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 01:10:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PPi59.1601$UU3.114886696@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj3b41$34n$1@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:IMV49.1207$9b.101632198@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:aj0c4urqi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> backpedaled in message
> > > news:_XF49.983$uZ6.98640108@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:aitp3u$30k$d@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke, N0IMD" <bpburke@prodigy.net> blathered in
message
> > > > > news:Hyg49.384$DF2.190@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:airbpj$m0u$9@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:aioi03jmk1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:c5ecbb69.0208051507.6cf313ed@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > > > > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:<3D4DE51E.7D678CA0@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > > > > > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger
L.
> > > > Wiseman,
> > > > > > AB8MQ
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I had thought 2x2 callsigns starting with "A" were for
> > extras.
> > > > > > Just
> > > > > > > > > > looked
> > > > > > > > > > > > him
> > > > > > > > > > > > up and he is an extra.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Nahhh. 2x2 callsigns are for "advanced" class. Extras
get
> > 2x1

> > > > > > > > > > callsigns. And apparently anyone can get a "vanity"
callsign
> > of
> > > > any
> > > > > > > > > > > sort.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Not out of their license class....a General wouldn't be
able
> > to
> > > > get a
> > > > > > 2 x
> > > > > > > > > 2 call.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! What a fucking dumbass you
> > are,
> > > > N0IMD.
> > > > > > The FCC
> > > > > > > website can explain the sequential licensing scheme to you if
you
> > can
> > > > pull
> > > > > > your
> > > > > > > head out of your ass long enough to go there and look it up.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You're a silly man. The FCC issues 2x2's to Techs and Generals
in
> > US
> > > > > posessions. Everybody knows that.
> > > > >
> > > > > BZZZZT! Nice try at finally getting something right. You didn't
> > mention
> > > > anything
> > > > > about US "possessions" in your original question. Most people only
> > think
> > > > about the
> > > > > contiguous US when discussing callsign issuance.
> > > >
> > > > But you're not "most people." You're uniquely obnoxious. And if
you
> > > assume... don't go blaming me.
> > >
> > > No wonder you're only a Technician Plus.
> > >
> > > Can't you get anything right?
> > >
> > > And 2x2's are only issued to Region 11, Alaska (A STATE, not a
> > possession,

> > > > > DUMBASS),
> > > > >
> > > > There you go assuming again. I only mentioned US Posessions. But
at
> > least
> > > > > you're learning something today.
> > > > >
> > > > Region 12, Caribbean Area including Puerto Rico, and Region 14,
Hawaii
> > > > > (ANOTHER STATE!) and the Pacific Area.
> > > > > I'd suggest you look up some US History and find out that Alaska
and
> > > Hawaii were
> > > > > admitted to statehood, before you open your slobbering mouth.
> > > > >
> > > > > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > > > >
> > > > > No mention is made of US "possesions" and you only found it out
after
> > looking
> > > it
> > > > > up in a book. Try again, dumbass.
> > > > >
> > > > I do know plenty of Techs with 2x2 calls.
> > >
> > > Ssssuuure you do, Burke, suuuuure you do.
> > > From what I hear, your body odor keeps away most everybody from
getting
> > within 10
> > > feet of you.
> > >
> > > > You know what I said.
> > >
> > > Yeah, and you didn't say anything about US possessions.
> > > Did you figure out that Alaska and Hawaii are states, not possessions?
> >
> > It matters not. My statement was true.
>
> Wrong, you misrepresented the fact that only Techs/Generals in US
possessions and
> two states can have a 2x2 call. That says something about your character
right
> there.

I said, "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

I didn't say that they had to be in the contiguous 48 states.

You and Dave have a lot in common. You can't be wrong.

> You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
>
> > Here's another true statement:
>
> You misspelled "dumbassed opinion"

I couldn't have. I didn't write that.

> > You're a nut case.
>
> You don't know what words mean, do you?
>
> > > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > >
> > > >Next lesson [bullshit]
> > >
> > > The only lessons you'll be giving is ones that involve swallowing
things
> > whole.
> > > And how not to clarify your argument with vagueness.
> > >
> > > >School's out
> > >
> > > Forever?
> >
> > Til you need some more book lernin'
>
> Looks like dullard Burke didn't get the reference, much like he didn't get
that he
> misrepresented the call sign assignments.
>
> > So how do you and Dave Heil know each other?
>
> Changing the subject from the call sign misrepresentations?:
> You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
>
> Why, are you interested in the cheap cologne wearing, balding fatboy
kook-stalker?
> He already has one suckboy.

Seems nobody can get along on here.

3136. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 01:12:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <YRi59.1602$M_3.115208299@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50950

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208101016.58349d24@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<De159.600$OX.88152222@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D549537.8000905@adelphia.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > > Ed, have you're employer ask that question on the web site poll.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > heh, heh, I 'll bet just about everyone answering the poll will say
they
> > > have a computer.
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > A few obstinate old cranks will deny it.
> >
> >
> How are they gonna do that if they don't have a computer? DUH!
>
>
> w3rcv

Brian, think about it. Its a web poll. Anyone responding to it has a
computer. Those responding with a negative answer via the web just might be
cranks.

3137. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 01:16:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BVi59.1603$0Z3.115126516@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50851

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D554936.DA1842E2@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D549F9D.6F71669D@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D543BE2.20F9800B@hotmail.com...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > I'm not sure whether you're a "git" or a "yobo", Cecil.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Cecil is not a yobo. He's too tall.
> > > > > >
> > > > > What? No 300,000 ft tall yobos?
> > > > > --
> > > > > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> > > > >
> > > > The ones I've seen are all short and have kimchi breath.
> > > >
> > > You've encountered short Brits with Kimchi breath?
> >
> > Never.
> >
> Both terms are British slang. "Yobo" is "Oboy" backward.
>
> Dave K8MN

Perhaps. I know both terms as Korean. A Yobo is a girlfriend, and kimchi
is a fermented cabbage side dish.

What is kimchi in the UK?

3138. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 01:18:11 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D55BB53.48953D80@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50852

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:
> >Morse code skill is simply one more tool in the ham's toolbox.
>
> Now You're Talking, Cecil!

I've been saying that for years, Jim. CW is my favorite mode.
There are times when CW is the only choice available given
all the boundary conditions. (Not everyone has PACTOR II :-)
In fact, I haven't even taken time to hook up my SCS PCT2e
to my relatively new IC-756pro.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3139. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 02:13:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0nhrvl.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50853

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 01:12:24 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>Brian, think about it. Its a web poll. Anyone responding to it has a
>computer. Those responding with a negative answer via the web just might be
>cranks.

Or responding on a friend's computer. Or one in school, Or one in
the public library. Or one at work. Or....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3140. Re: Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 02:24:58 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <aj4hspjvt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

"Anonymous" <Anonymous@NobodyAnonymousUnknown.com> wrote in message
news:aj3s23$i9l$0@pita.alt.net...
> Roger,
>
> For months we have read the various sick, obscene, and
> demented posts which you have written and posted in these
> NGs. I am particularly addressing the sick and vulgar
> comments you post about the underage children of amateur
> radio operators whom you have differences of opinion with.
> Your descriptions of various sexual acts that you claim these
> children engage in with adults is sickening, disgusting, and
> illegal. Yes Roger, such acts, contrary to your belief of free
> speech, are not and have never been First Amendment
> protected speech.
>
> My question. You apparently function in society Roger, so I
> will venture that you know right from wrong. Child
> pornography is wrong! Dead wrong, and you know it
> Roger! So why do you post it?
>
> I challenge you to respond to this post, without resorting to
> profanity and obscene speech. You claim you are an
> educated man. We would like to see you respond in a
> rational, mature manner. Your turn.
>
> Anonymous

Now you can understand why Roger has spent time in a mental health
institution. And not just once, either.

3141. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 04:42:20 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020811004220.14884.00001052@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50855

In article <BVi59.1603$0Z3.115126516@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Perhaps. I know both terms as Korean. A Yobo is a girlfriend, and kimchi
>is a fermented cabbage side dish.
>
>What is kimchi in the UK?

A fermented cabbage side dish, usually imported from Korea. You can find it on the International Foods aisle at Tesco's.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3142. Re: PSK31 : more reliable and less power required than CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 04:42:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020811004221.14884.00001054@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50856

In article <ul96lhlravp1d2@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> writes:

>Larry, now that 9/11 has come up, I'd like to also mention that CW makes
>washing machine oversuds. ;)

Jim:

My goodness. I've also noticed that after working CW, my souffli¿½ falls
and I get an unusually stubborn bathtub ring!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3143. Re: "...Welfare mothers of color..." -- An apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 04:42:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020811004221.14884.00001053@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50857

In article <P5159.596$sW.88071443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Numerically, blacks and whites are about even as far as their
>representation
>> on the Welfare rolls. However, within their respective ethnic group,
>black
>> welfare recipients are horrendously over-represented, since they consume
>> over 50% of social welfare but represent approximately 12% of the total
>> US population. That black woman's reaction was merely based on what
>> she already knew about people of her own race.
>
>Larry, I didn't say she was black.

Brian:

It really doesn't matter what color she was; the minute she heard the
term "Welfare," she thought about blacks. Why is that? The trailer
parks of 4-land, and even those of my own state of Delaware, are full
of single-mother white-trash Welfare recipients and their fatherless
chillin'. However most people associate being on Welfare with being
black, and vice-versa, due to the fact that blacks are grossly over-
represented. Why? Is it because being black predisposes a person
to be intellectually immature, and economically depressed? If so, how
do you account for the exceptions, like Walter Williams, Economist,
Secretary of State Powell, Supreme Court Justice Thomas, etc? These
are black men who are not only economically successful, but are
also conservative Republicans as well!

>> >I thought that welfare now lasted only two years, so there would be no
>more
>> >generations of welfare mothers from cradle to grave?
>>
>> I thought so as well, but apparently there are plenty of welfare programs
>> like AFDC and Section 8 housing that weren't affected by Clinton's
>> Welfare Reform Act. I'll admit to not being up on the details, so if I'm
>> all wet, caveat emptor.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Larry, keep driving that bus and I'll keep making maps. Millions on welfare
>depend on us.

Groan! Yeah, and they really took a big bite out of me last year! This
year I'm not killing myself with overtime, so the welfare recipients will
have to put up with a few less steaks and cartons of ice cream!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3144. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 04:56:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D56189C.8000802@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50858

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 01:12:24 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>
>>Brian, think about it. Its a web poll. Anyone responding to it has a
>>computer. Those responding with a negative answer via the web just might be
>>cranks.
>>
>
> Or responding on a friend's computer. Or one in school, Or one in
> the public library. Or one at work. Or....

I think the original comment was a joke. A joke, OM! Ya see... anyone who responds to a web poll obviously has access to a computer. Elaborating further, a person who has no access to a computer will not likely hear of the poll. This means that they won't be counted in the poll. This means a poll like this is useless. It's a joke!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3145. MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 05:14:38 UTC
From: Real Ham <nocb@njnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D55F2CE.1D62524F@njnet.com>
Source record: 50859

Q. What do you call a basement full of anti-coders?
A. A whine cellar.

Q. Why did the anti-coder scale the chain-link fence?
A. To see what was on the other side.

Q. What are the worst six years in an anti-coder's life?
A. Third Grade.

Q. Why did the anti-coder smear Preparation H all over his VHF antenna?

A. He said he had to get ready for the skip DX during the hemmorhoid shower.

Q. A one-armed anti-coder is hanging from a cliff with his one arm. How do you make him fall?
A. Wave.

Q. Why did the anti-coder get fired from the M&M factory?
A. He was throwing out all the W's.

Q. What do you call a skeleton of an anti-coder in the closet?
A. Last year's hide and go seek winner.

Q. Why do anti-coders need to work seven days a week?
A. So you don't have to retrain them on Monday.

Q. Why did the anti-coder keep a coat hanger in the back seat?
A. In case he locks the keys in his car.

Q. Why did the anti-coder get so excited after he finished a jigsaw puzzle in only 6 months?
A. Because on the box it said "From 2-4 years."

An anti-coder walks into a radio store and tells the salesman that he would like to buy that new HF rig over in the corner. The salesman says, "Sorry, we don't sell to anti-coders". He leaves, goes home, puts on a brown wig and sunglasses and goes back to the store and tells the salesman he wants to buy that new rig over in the corner. He says, "Sorry, we don't sell to anti-coders." So again, he goes home, puts on a black wig, sunglasses and a hat and tries to buy the rig again. Again the salesman tells him they don't sell to anti-coders. Finally he asks, "How do you know I'm a anti-coder?" and the salesman replies, "Because that's not a rig, it's a microwave oven."

Q. What is it called when a anti-coder blows in another anti-coder's ear?
A. Data transfer.

Q. An anti-coder ordered a pizza and the clerk asked if he should cut it in six or twelve pieces.
A. "Six, please. I could never eat twelve pieces."

Q. What's the difference between an anti-coder and garbage?
A. Garbage gets taken out at least once a week.

Q. How does an anti-coder spell "farm"?
A. E-I-E-I-O

Q. Why don't anti-coders eat pickles?
A. They can't get their head in the jar.

Q. How do you amuse a anti-coder for hours?
A. Write 'Please turn over' on both sides of a piece of paper.

Did you hear about the anti-coders that were found frozen to death in their car at a drive-in movie theater?
They went to see "Closed for the Winter."

Q. Why did the anti-coder stare at the can of frozen orange juice for two hours?
A. Because the can said "concentrate" on it.

Q. What is the anti-coder doing when he holds his hands tightly over him ears?
A. Trying to hold on to a thought.

Q. How does an anti-coder commit suicide?
A. He gathers his welfare checks into a pile and jumps off.

Q. How do you plant dope?
A. Bury an anti-coder.

Q. How do you make a anti-coder's eyes light up?
A. Shine a flashlight in his ear.

Q. Why do anti-coders hate M&Ms?
A. They're too hard to peel.

Q. How do you keep an anti-coder busy?
A. Give him a bag of M&Ms and tell him to alphabetize them.
Q. Why does it work?
A. Because he'll say, "Does 3 come before E or does it go between M and W?"

Q. What job function does an anti-coder have in an M&M factory?
A. Proofreading.

Q. Why can't an anti-coder make KOOL-AID?
A. Because he can't fit 8 cups of water in the little packet.

Q. What do you call 10 anti-coders at the bottom of the pool?
A. Air bubbles.

Q. What does Star Trek's "Bones" McCoy say before he performs brain surgery on an anti-coder?
A. "Space. The final frontier......".

Q. Why did the anti-coder put ice cubes in the freezer?
A. So he could keep the freezer cold.

Q. How many anti-coders does it take to play Hide and Seek?
A. One.

Q. Why couldn't the anti-coder write the number ELEVEN ?
A. He didn't know which ONE came first.

Q. How did the anti-coder burn his nose?
A. Bobbing for french fries.

Q. How can you tell if an anti-coder's been using the computer?
A. There's saliva all over the keyboard.

Q. How can you tell when a FAX had been sent from an anti-coder?
A. There's a postage stamp on it.

Q. Why does an anti-coder only change a baby's diapers every month?
A. Because it says on it , "Good for up to 20 pounds."

Q. Why do anti-coders write "TGIF" on their shoes?
A. Toes go in first.

Q. Why don't anti-coders make good pharmacists?
A. They can't get the bottle into the typewriter.

Q. What is the difference between an anti-coder and "The Titanic"?
A. They know how many men went down on "The Titanic."

Q. What does an anti-coder say when you ask him if his turn signal is on?
A. "It's on. It's off. It's on. It's off. It's on. It's offï¿½."

Q. What do you call 4 anti-coders lying on the ground?
A. An air mattress.

Q. What do you call 10 anti-coders standing ear to ear?
A. A wind tunnel.

Q. What do you call 15 anti-coders in a circle?
A. A dope ring.

Q. What do you call a zit on a anti-coder's ass?
A. A brain tumor.

Q. How many anti-coders does it take to make chocolate-chip cookies?
A. Ten: One to mix the dough and nine to peel the Smarties.

Q. Why did the anti-coder tip-toe past the medicine cabinet?
A. So he wouldn't wake up the sleeping pills.

Q. Why did the anti-coder drive into the ditch?
A. So he could finally turn the blinker off.

Q. Why did the anti-coder try and steal a police car?
A. He saw "911" on the back and thought it was a Porsche.

Q. Did you hear about the anti-coder skydiver?
A. He missed the Earth.

Q. Where do anti-coders go to meet their relatives?
A. Palm Beach.

Q. How many anti-coders does it take to play tag?
A. One.

Q. What happened to the anti-coder tap dancer?
A. He slipped off and fell down the drain.

Q. What did the anti-coder say when he looked into a box of Cheerios?
A. "Oh look! Donut seeds!"

Q. What did the anti-coder name a zebra?
A. "Spot."

Q. Why can't anti-coders change dial lamps?
A. They keep breaking them with the hammers.

Q. What is the definition of "gross stupidity"?
A. 144 anti-coders.

There was an anti-coder driving down the road listening to the radio.
A ham was telling anti-coder joke after anti-coder joke until the
anti-coder
was mad enough that he turned the radio off. A mile down the road, he
saw
another anti-coder out in a corn field in a boat, rowing. The anti-coder
stopped
his car jumped out and yelled, "You dummy, it's anti-coders like you
that
give us all a bad name. If I could swim I'd come out there and give you

what's coming to you!"

Q. What is the difference between a dead anti-coder in the road, and a
dead skunk in the road?
A. There are skid marks in front of the skunk.

Q. What did the anti-coder say about anti-coder jokes?
A. He said they might offend some Puerto Ricans.

Q. Why can't anti-coders make ice cubes?
A. They always forget the recipe.

A ham was telling an anti-coder joke to someone who happened to be an
anti-coder. Halfway through, the anti-coder interrupts him and says,
"Don't you know I'm an anti-coder?"

"Oh, " the ham replied, "do you want me to start over and talk slower?"

3146. Ham radio and FIREFIGHTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 05:14:55 UTC
From: eatc7402 <eatc7402@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <jpm59.71047$sA3.17640@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50860

Does anyone know of a web site, or mailing list, or one the air
net for hams who are ALSO members of, or associated with
fire departments or the fire service. I'd like to communicate
with such a net, or group. Thanks.

Dave Freedman, KØKWK
http://www.qsl.net/k0kwk
and webmaster of The Extra Alarm Association of the Twin Cities at
http://www.visi.com/community/eaatc

3147. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 05:47:32 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208102147.75c88499@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) wrote in message
news:<27e38977.0208091225.2b368fc5@posting.google.com>...
> "moanfaggotsmoan" <iluvblbheiscute@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<eVC49.5256$M4.408404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >> > THIS IS WONDERFULL NEWS BROTHER LLOYD!!
> > >
> > > DO YOU KNOW WHY IT IS WONDERFULL NEWS ?
> > >
> > > BECAUSE EVER SINCE HERBIE-BABY DISSAPEARED
> > > FROM 14.313 HAM RADIO HAS BECOME COMPLETELY
> > > BORING. THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER IN MY
> > > MIND THAT WITHIN 3 HOURS OF KV4FZ APPEARING
> > > ONCE AGAIN ON 14.313, YOU WILL SEE A RESTART
> > > OF THE "10-YEARS BOOER-WARS" ON THE RADIO
> > > THAT HASN'T BEEN SEEN IN MANY MANY YEARS.
> > >
> > > AT THAT MOMENT, HAM RADIO WILL HAVE "IT'S
> > > ANSWER" TO COMEDY CENTRAL CABLE TV NETWORKS
> > > AND IT WILL BE TEN-TIMES BETTER THAN THE FIRST
> > > GO AROUND - MABEY EVEN BETTER THAN THE
> > > INFAMOUS "MIKE AND JUDY SHOW".
> > >
> > > SHORTWAVE LISTENERS REJOICE!!!!!
> > >
> > > HAM RADIO IS ABOUT TO GET MUCH MORE AMUSING
> > > IN THE NEAR FUTURE - GRIN-GRIN-GRIN-GRIN
> > >
> > > WHO NEEDS BROTHER STAIR AND HAL TURNIP WHEN
> > > WE HAVE **LIVE INTERACTIVE** RADIO ENTERTAINMENT
> > > BACK ON THE **MOTHER OF ALL FREQUENCIES**
> > > THE ONE AND ONLY *** 14.313 ***
> > >
> > > WHOOOOOPPPIIEEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Yes, it will be a GREAT DAY! We will have the Roll Calls back too. We can
> have police reports read over the air. We can have all sorts of wild music,
> cat calls and people playing .wav files all day and night!
>
> 3950 will become "The most exciting frequency in Ham Radio" once again too.
> The "Netters" and the "Jammers" will again bring life to boring Saturday
> nights on the radio.
>
> And Mr. Hollingsworth will be powerless to stop it. You can take that to

> the bank!

Gad, sounds like cb radio...And I have to get a license for that???
Geez!!!! But really , isn't it ok for people to let off steam once in
a while instead of being old sticks in the mud? You have to admit. It
is great third rate entertainment, and all you have to do is roll over
and turn it on, and turn it off....Good day.

3148. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 11:36:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811073621.27667.00000414@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50862

In article <aj0nec$o5m$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>At this point, I don't personally know any licensed ham that doesn't
>already have a computer. In fact, most have two or more.

A few years ago I would have argued this point - but not today. Like Bill, I
don't personally know a single local ham who doesn;t at least have some
computer/'Net access.

--

The real problem that I see with not publishing the section news and BoD
minutes is historical. We can look at old QSTs and see what the Board and
sections were doing 10, 20, 50+ years ago. Will the ARRL website still contain
the July 2003 Section News in 2043?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3149. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 11:46:14 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj5j9s$2ql$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Brian Pussy Burke" N0IMD <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in message
news:FLi59.1600$e_3.115188870@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj3b3v$34n$0@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:EJV49.1206$Fa.101600281@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:aj0c50$rqi$1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> backpedaled in message
> > > > news:0SF49.979$u37.98964623@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:aitp3a$30k$1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:Xxg49.383$LF2.122@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:aiqbqu$cgj$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:aioi03$jmk$1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:c5ecbb69.0208051507.6cf313ed@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:<3D4DE51E.7D678CA0@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger
> L.
> > > > > > Wiseman,
> > > > > > > > > > > AB8MQ
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I had thought 2x2 callsigns starting with "A" were for
> > > > extras.
> > > > > > > > > > Just
> > > > > > > > > > > looked
> > > > > > > > > > > > him
> > > > > > > > > > > > up and he is an extra.
> > > > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > > > > > Nahhh. 2x2 callsigns are for "advanced" class. Extras
> get
> > > 2x1
> > > > > > > > > > > callsigns. And apparently anyone can get a "vanity"
> callsign
> > > of
> > > > > any
> > > > > > > > > > > sort.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Not out of their license class....a General wouldn't be
> able
> > > to
> > > > > get a
> > > > > > > > > > 2
> > > > > > > > > > x
> > > > > > > > > > > 2 call.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > OK...so what's your point? You just want to argue? Why not
> look up
> > > the
> > > > > > > > > > > callsign allocations and stop wimpering.
> > > > > > > > > > > Besides...it is a moot point.
> > > > > > > > > > > When in doubt use QRZ....
> > > > > > > > > > > Good Night...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You go use QRZ. The FCC issues 2x2's to Techs and Generals in
> US
> > > > > > > > > > posessions. Everybody knows that.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > In other words, you don't know what the hell you are talking
> about.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I know what I'm talking about
> > > > >
> > > > > No, you don't. In your original statement you didn't clarify that only
> 2x2
> > > are
> > > > > issued to hams in the Regions I listed. You said:
> > > >
> > > > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > >
> > > And I have. Don't read more into my statement than is there. To do so
> > > would be to assume...
> >
> > You mean like you assumed that everybody would know you didn't know what
> the fuck

> > you are talking about and that you really meant to clarify that the
> Tech/General
> > 2x2's was not in the contiguous United States.
>
> This isn't 1959 again, is it? Why limit yourself to amateurs in the
> contiguous 48 states? You just don't make sense to me.
>
> > > > Which had nothing to do with the original question about my call
> AB8MQ.
> > > And I'm
> > > > not in one of the US possessions, dumbass, so when I was a
> > > Technician/General I
> > > > had a 2 x 3 call.
> > >
> > > So?
> >
> > So your statement that Techs/Generals in the United States could have a
> 2x2 call
> > is wrong, DUMBASS.
>
> I said that I knew plenty of Techs with 2x2 call signs. You assumed that I
> said something I didn't.
>
> > > > >Go look
> > > >
> > > > Go fuck yourself. Idiot. What part of "I don't live in Region 12, 13
> or
> > > 14" and
> > > > wouldn't get a 2x2 as a Tech/General don't you understand?
> > > > Dumbass.
> > >
> > > I'm thinking
> >
> > We'll see the results in the next millenium.
> >
> >
> > >a bowl of oat bran in the morning would do wonders for your
> > > outlook on life.
> >
> > Really? I suppose that's what helped you extract that gerbil you regularly
stick up
> > there.
>
> I've never put a gerbil in a bowl of oat bran. That's sick.

Oh, so you've just had one up your rectum, then?
That's even sicker. And you still haven't addressed your screw up about the
callsigns.

3150. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 11:47:24 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj5j9u$2ql$1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50865
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in message
news:PPi59.1601$UU3.114886696@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj3b41$34n$1@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:IMV49.1207$9b.101632198@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:aj0c4u$rqi$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> backpedaled in message
> > > > news:_XF49.983$uZ6.98640108@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:aitp3u$30k$d@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke, N0IMD" <bpburke@prodigy.net> blathered in
> message
> > > > > > news:Hyg49.384$DF2.190@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:airbpj$m0u$9@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:aioi03$jmk$1@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:c5ecbb69.0208051507.6cf313ed@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > > > > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:<3D4DE51E.7D678CA0@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > > > > > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote General licensee Roger
> L.
> > > > > > Wiseman,
> > > > > > > > > > > AB8MQ
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I had thought 2x2 callsigns starting with "A" were for
> > > > extras.
> > > > > > > > > > > Just
> > > > > > > > > > > looked
> > > > > > > > > > > him
> > > > > > > > > > > up and he is an extra.
> > > > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > > > > > Nahhh. 2x2 callsigns are for "advanced" class. Extras
> get
> > > 2x1
> > > > > > > > > > > callsigns. And apparently anyone can get a "vanity"
> callsign
> > > of
> > > > > any
> > > > > > > > > > > sort.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Not out of their license class....a General wouldn't be
> able
> > > to
> > > > > get a
> > > > > > > > > 2 x
> > > > > > > > > > 2 call.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! What a fucking dumbass you
> > > are,
> > > > > > N0IMD.
> > > > > > > > > The FCC
> > > > > > > > > > website can explain the sequential licensing scheme to you if
> you
> > > can
> > > > > > pull
> > > > > > > > > your
> > > > > > > > > > head out of your ass long enough to go there and look it up.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You're a silly man. The FCC issues 2x2's to Techs and Generals
> in
> > > US
> > > > > > posessions. Everybody knows that.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > BZZZZT! Nice try at finally getting something right. You didn't
> > > mention
> > > > > > anything
> > > > > > > > > about US "possessions" in your original question. Most people only
> > > think
> > > > > > about the
> > > > > > > > > contiguous US when discussing callsign issuance.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > But you're not "most people." You're uniquely obnoxious. And if
> you
> > > > > > assume... don't go blaming me.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No wonder you're only a Technician Plus.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Can't you get anything right?

> > > > >
> > > > > > And 2x2's are only issued to Region 11, Alaska (A STATE, not a
> > > possession,
> > > > > > DUMBASS),
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There you go assuming again. I only mentioned US Posessions. But
> at
> > > least
> > > > > > you're learning something today.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Region 12, Caribbean Area including Puerto Rico, and Region 14,
> Hawaii
> > > > > > (ANOTHER STATE!) and the Pacific Area.
> > > > > > I'd suggest you look up some US History and find out that Alaska
> and
> > > > > Hawaii were
> > > > > > admitted to statehood, before you open your slobbering mouth.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > > > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No mention is made of US "possesions" and you only found it out
> after
> > > looking
> > > > > it
> > > > > > up in a book. Try again, dumbass.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I do know plenty of Techs with 2x2 calls.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ssssuuure you do, Burke, suuuuure you do.
> > > > > From what I hear, your body odor keeps away most everybody from
> getting
> > > within 10
> > > > > feet of you.
> > > > >
> > > > > You know what I said.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yeah, and you didn't say anything about US possessions.
> > > > > Did you figure out that Alaska and Hawaii are states, not possessions?
> > > >
> > > It matters not. My statement was true.
> >
> > Wrong, you misrepresented the fact that only Techs/Generals in US
> possessions and
> > two states can have a 2x2 call. That says something about your character
> right
> > there.
>
> I said, "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
>

> I didn't say that they had to be in the contiguous 48 states.
>
> You and Dave have a lot in common. You can't be wrong.
>
>
> > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> >
> > > Here's another true statement:
> >
> > You misspelled "dumbassed opinion"
>
> I couldn't have. I didn't write that.
>
> > > You're a nut case.
> >
> > You don't know what words mean, do you?
> >
> > > > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > > >
> > > > >Next lesson [bullshit]
> > > >
> > > > The only lessons you'll be giving is ones that involve swallowing
> things
> > > whole.
> > > > And how not to clarify your argument with vagueness.
> > > >
> > > > >School's out
> > > >
> > > > Forever?
> > >
> > > Til you need some more book lernin'
> >
> > Looks like dullard Burke didn't get the reference, much like he didn't get
> that he
> > misrepresented the call sign assignments.
> >
> > > So how do you and Dave Heil know each other?
> >
> > Changing the subject from the call sign misrepresentations?:
> > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> >
> > Why, are you interested in the cheap cologne wearing, balding fatboy
> kook-stalker?
> > He already has one suckboy.
>
> Seems nobody can get along on here.

Seems to me that if one isn't attacked, he wouldn't attack back. You convieniently forget that.

3151. Re: Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 11:50:00 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj5j9v$2ql$2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

"Anonymous COWARD" <Anonymous@NobodyAnonymousUnknown.com> wrote in message news:aj3s23$i9l$0@pita.alt.net...
> Roger,

<Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhh!!!!!!!!>

> My question. You apparently function in society Roger, so I
> will venture that you know right from wrong. Child
> pornography is wrong! Dead wrong, and you know it
> Roger! So why do you post it?

Why do you manufacture it with your own kids? Why were you in Yahoo chat groups trying to hawk it?

> I challenge you to respond to this post, without resorting to
> profanity and obscene speech. You claim you are an
> educated man. We would like to see you respond in a
> rational, mature manner. Your turn.

Says a no-credibility idiot who posts without a callsign. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! And what about the other "obscene" posts that are posted on here? You never seem to complain about those, or is it because you authored them, Xtian hypocrite?

3152. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 11:52:33 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj5ja0$2ql$3@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50866
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"too many radios" <radiofrann@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Nwa59.8572$M4.694071@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Right now the fur is flyin
> over the imminent return of
> kv4fz between mxl and ura
> and barry the berry farmer
> on 14313
>
> Ha Ha Ha!
> .
> All the qrmer's are startin
> to come outta the woodwork
> again too!!
>
> Looks liek 14313 is springin back to
> ;ife and will shortly regain it's title
> as the "Jerry Springer Show Frequency"
> of ham radio - HA!!! HA!!! HA!!!!

How stupid.....

3153. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 11:53:29 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj5ja1$2ql$4@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D557BF4.3AE6ACFC@ix.netcom.com...
>> too many radios wrote:

Path:
news.alt.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com!hub1.meganetnews.co
m!nntpserver.com!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.n
et!stamper.news.pas.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
Reply-To: "too many radios" <radiofrann@hotmail.com>
From: "too many radios" <radiofrann@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.shortwave
Subject: 14.313 comes to life
Lines: 18
Organization: noot enud
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4807.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4807.1700
Message-ID: <Nwa59.8572$M4.694071@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 10 Aug 2002 15:43:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.53.147.249
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 1028994221 63.53.147.249 (Sat, 10 Aug
2002 08:43:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Aug 2002 08:43:41 PDT
Xref: news alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:29161 rec.radio.amateur.misc:193079
rec.radio.amateur.policy:212991 rec.radio.shortwave:272364

> > Right now the fur is flyin
> > over the imminent return of
> > kv4fz between mxl and ura
> > and barry the berry farmer
> > on 14313
> >
> > Ha Ha Ha!
> > .
> > All the qrmer's are startin
> > to come outta the woodwork
> > again too!!
> >
> > Looks liek 14313 is springin back to

> > ;ife and will shortly regain it's title
> > as the "Jerry Springer Show Frequency"
> > of ham radio - HA!!! HA!!! HA!!!!
>
> At 20:46 zulu Aug 10th nothing there except a foreign language QSO.

Robert, you just shot down another anonymous coward's lie.......Poooooooor
Llardasssssss Lllllllllloyd.

3154. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 13:17:10 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811091710.15365.00003776@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 50868

EXCELENT and very truthful

3155. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 13:24:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811092432.03837.00002290@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50869

>Subject: Re: 10 meter = CB?
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 08/10/02 20:32 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0nbp0j.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
>

>(SHARES is the FEMA equivalent of RACES)

Where in the world did you pull THAT one out, Phil?

SHARES is a federal joint-agency radio net for the purpose of
intercommunications in the advent of an emergency.

That RACES and SHARES share the word "emergency" in them does NOT mean
they are synonymous or "equivalent" for each other.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3156. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 13:27:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811092732.03837.00002291@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 50870

>Subject: Re: The Need for CW
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 08/10/02 18:52 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020810185255.10263.00000348@mb-fy.aol.com>

>In article <R2159.595$NW.88092809@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>Burke"
><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>>Ed is a foolish man. Ed labels me a bootlegger for operating from Operation
>>Restore Hope/Somalia with my commanders permission.

> Well, we have to assume that Ed is interpreting the UCAJ (Uniform
> Code of Amateur Justice) by virtue of his being an ARRL "officer."

No military officer has the authority to "authorize" Amateur operation
where SOFA agreements between the United States and the host country do not
exist.

Your commander may have "authorized" you to operate, but that was in and of
itself illegal.

Steve

3157. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 13:35:24 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D56681C.7CEE1205@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50871

WA8ULX wrote:
> EXCELENT and very truthful

What kind of person believes that jokes are true?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3158. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 13:43:29 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D566A01.90192BE9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50873

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop wrote:
> >(SHARES is the FEMA equivalent of RACES)
>
> That RACES and SHARES share the word "emergency" in them does NOT mean
> they are synonymous or "equivalent" for each other.

He didn't say RACES and SHARES are equivalent. He said something to the
effect that RACES is to Amateur Radio as SHARES is to FEMA, i.e. each
an emergency arm of the particular organization.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3159. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 13:44:50 UTC
From: yougottabekidding@nothardly.com
Message-ID: <3d566a37.122300779@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 50872
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

This coming from the guy that got told to stay off of 75 meters for
calling Wilkey's Wife a whore.

On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 16:06:46 -0500, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote:

>Whatever Herb actually did, or didn't do. And I heard most of it over the
>years. I just don't think he is STUPID enough to jump back on 313. Perhaps
>I am wrong.
>
>I am not a 'Herby supporter' whatever that means, nor am I a 'Porkbut'
>supporter, whatever that means. I just hope that those with the capability
>of transmitting on 313....don't. Why? Because its idiotic for one and two
>it is not helping ham radio one bit.
>
>Of course I KNOW some of you must do your thing. Thats fine too.....I
>just wonder if you ever heard of the phrase...."Its just like shootin fish
>ina barrel".
>
>You figure it out.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:3D557BF4.3AE6ACFC@ix.netcom.com...
>> too many radios wrote:
>>
>> > Right now the fur is flyin
>> > over the imminent return of
>> > kv4fz between mxl and ura
>> > and barry the berry farmer
>> > on 14313
>> >
>> > Ha Ha Ha!
>> > .
>> > All the qrmer's are startin
>> > to come outta the woodwork
>> > again too!!
>> >
>> > Looks liek 14313 is springin back to
>> > ;ife and will shortly regain it's title
>> > as the "Jerry Springer Show Frequency"
>> > of ham radio - HA!!! HA!!! HA!!!!
>>

>> At 20:46 zulu Aug 10th nothing there except a foreign language QSO.
>>
>>
>
>

3160. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 14:33:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811103328.14794.00003664@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 50874

>What kind of person believes that jokes are true?
>--
>cheers, CAM

Just about everyone, in reality they are not Jokes, they are factual of Most NO-CODERS, or as Most No-Coders like to be called "CBPlussers"

3161. Re: Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 14:53:34 UTC
From: Lloyd <BroLloyd@BARFisBack.org>
Message-ID: <aj5tpcpma0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

Roger,

You are the only one who has posted child pornography
in these NGs, but then you know that already. Google
and Altopia archives will prove it.

Bro. Lloyd

3162. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:01:15 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D567C3B.B37287E1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50877

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >What kind of person believes that jokes are true? cheers, CAM
>
> Just about everyone, in reality they are not Jokes, they are factual of Most
> NO-CODERS, or as Most No-Coders like to be called "CBPlussers"

You mean when someone asks: "What did one wall say to the other wall?"
Walls can really talk?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3163. Re: The Need for CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:01:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <E%u59.863$EH6.103573720@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50876

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811092732.03837.00002291@mb-cq.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: The Need for CW
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 08/10/02 18:52 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020810185255.10263.00000348@mb-fy.aol.com>
>
> >In article <R2159.595$NW.88092809@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> >Burke"
> ><bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >>Ed is a foolish man. Ed labels me a bootlegger for operating from
Operation
> >>Restore Hope/Somalia with my commanders permission.
>
> > Well, we have to assume that Ed is interpreting the UCAJ (Uniform
> > Code of Amateur Justice) by virtue of his being an ARRL "officer."
>
> No military officer has the authority to "authorize" Amateur operation
> where SOFA agreements between the United States and the host country do
not
> exist.
>
> Your commander may have "authorized" you to operate, but that was in
and of
> itself illegal.
>
> Steve

Steve, is the ARRL wrong when they publish the following information? 73,
Brian

Somalia (T5)
At this time to operate in Somolia you would need permission from the United
Nations or your military commander.

There is no IARU-member society.

3164. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:07:12 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <A4v59.865$7R6.104117287@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj5j9s$2ql$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" N0IMD <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in message
> news:FLi59.1600$e_3.115188870@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...

> > > Really? I suppose that's what helped you extract that gerbil you
regularly
> stick up
> > > there.
> >
> > I've never put a gerbil in a bowl of oat bran. That's sick.
>
> Oh, so you've just had one up your rectum, then?
> That's even sicker.

Yes, it is even sicker. Where do you get such sick ideas? Go get help.

> And you still haven't addressed your screw up about the callsigns.

I've made no screw up. You were ignorant of the facts. You assumed. You
were wrong.

3165. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:09:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <R6v59.866$PP6.104046697@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50879
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj5j9u$2ql$1@pita.alt.net...
>
> > Seems nobody can get along on here.
>
> Seems to me that if one isn't attacked, he wouldn't attack back. You
convienientlly
> forget that.

Attack?

3166. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:10:36 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <M7v59.867$gR6.104126706@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50880

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811073621.27667.00000414@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <aj0nec$o5m$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >At this point, I don't personally know any licensed ham that doesn't
> >already have a computer. In fact, most have two or more.
>
> A few years ago I would have argued this point - but not today. Like Bill,
I
> don't personally know a single local ham who doesn;t at least have some
> computer/'Net access.
>
> --
>
> The real problem that I see with not publishing the section news and BoD
> minutes is historical. We can look at old QSTs and see what the Board and
> sections were doing 10, 20, 50+ years ago. Will the ARRL website still
contain
> the July 2003 Section News in 2043?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Not at this rate.

3167. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:11:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <B8v59.868$IR6.104150036@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50881

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h0nhrvl.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 01:12:24 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >Brian, think about it. Its a web poll. Anyone responding to it has a
> >computer. Those responding with a negative answer via the web just might
be
> >cranks.
>
> Or responding on a friend's computer. Or one in school, Or one in
> the public library. Or one at work. Or....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Then they have access to a computer to read the electronic minutes.

3168. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:14:45 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Fbv59.869$jS6.104189178@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50882

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D56189C.8000802@adelphia.net...
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 01:12:24 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >Brian, think about it. Its a web poll. Anyone responding to it has a
> > >computer. Those responding with a negative answer via the web just
might be
> > >cranks.
> >
> > Or responding on a friend's computer. Or one in school, Or one in
> > the public library. Or one at work. Or....
>
> I think the original comment was a joke. A joke, OM! Ya see... anyone who
> responds to a web poll obviously has access to a computer. Elaborating
> further, a person who has no access to a computer will not likely hear
> of the poll. This means that they won't be counted in the poll. This
> means a poll like this is useless. It's a joke!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
Very much so. But humor gets lost on here.

Everyone gets so wrapped around the axle when they see my name at the top
that legitimate discussion is no longer possible.

3169. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:28:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <uov59.875$hQ6.104077549@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50883

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020810105906.06918.00001864@mb-cm.news.cs.com...
> Hi gang,
>
> I've just returned from a <desperately needed> two country vacation in
Central
> and South America. Costa Rica is a gorgeous little country with a pleasant
and
> peaceful disposition. Pity we only got a one day look at her. Two weeks in
> Ecuador made up for that brevity though and was actually insufficient to
enjoy
> all the pleaures that even one of its cities offered...but we did our
best. :-)
>
> Back to AR. Upon driving around Guayaquil, one thing was very
apparant...this
> is one radio-active town! I saw tri-banders and mono-banders all over the
> place. And yes, when we went to Quito...we saw a quad. How appropriate.
Every
> morning, I'd take the kids for a walk. I made sure that when we came back,
our
> route took us by that grand antenna farm I'd spotted earlier...just around
the
> corner from my father-in-law's!
>
> Well, in the weeks of preparation that we'd gone through for this trip,
I'd not
> given any thought to actually operating in HC land until the last minute.
> ("Next time," I told myself.) I regretted that. So I figured I'd look
about
> picking up a HC call (Along with the Mrs.) We went down to the local radio
> club, then the ministerio to find out what we needed to do for my HF
ticket and
> perhaps a no-code ticket for my wife. "What no-code ticket se&#65533;or? We have
only
> Novato or General" Well, that's Novice or General folks...and both require
the
> same code test with another written (or two?) for the General. I say
"two?"
> because they were nice enough to waive all tests based upon my holding a
US
> Extra ticket. It was explained to me that this has nothing to do with the
> reciprical agreement, it was just a courtesy extended by someone

authorized to
> "sign off" on the deal. (I was already free to operate as WA2SI/HC#.) How
did
> my wife do? Well, we're both waiting for HC2 calls now. One General...and
one
> Novato for the XYL who managed to remember enough to send a few characters
in
> CW. Yes, I said SEND...funny how accomodating folks can be if they know
that
> you're legitimately making the effort. It was further explained to me that
they
> can be a bit more accomodating wrt issuing an AR license, but as per
ITU/IARU
> protocol, some form of code test is required for both their "ham" tickets.
Oh,
> so that's what Dick (N0BK) meant when he said "Not according to the ITU."
In
> the words of Arte Johnson..."verrrrrrry interestingk!"
>
> So next time, (Next year or 2004 perhaps.) I'll give you guys a "head-up"
and a
> QSL card from the Galapagos Isles. (HC2xx/8) or "Mitad del Mundo,"
> (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World" or both 0 latitude and
longitude.
> Hope all was well with you folks and it's good to be back. :-)
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Bert, thanks for that perspective on how some others are doing it. Brian

3170. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:30:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ypv59.876$UT6.104282219@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50884

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811103328.14794.00003664@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >What kind of person believes that jokes are true?
> >--
> >cheers, CAM
>
> Just about everyone, in reality they are not Jokes, they are factual of
Most
> NO-CODERS, or as Most No-Coders like to be called "CBPlussers"

3171. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:43:37 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811114337.20531.00003451@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 50885

This has got to be the funniest one, I still laugh ever time I read it.

There was an anti-coder driving down the road listening to the radio.
>A ham was telling anti-coder joke after anti-coder joke until the
>anti-coder
>was mad enough that he turned the radio off. A mile down the road, he
>saw
>another anti-coder out in a corn field in a boat, rowing. The anti-coder
>stopped
>his car jumped out and yelled, "You dummy, it's anti-coders like you
>that
>give us all a bad name. If I could swim I'd come out there and give you

3172. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 15:53:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811115303.20478.00004068@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 50886

This ones good to. Heck there all good and so truthful, And so accurate.

An anti-coder walks into a radio store and tells the salesman that he
>would like to buy that new HF rig over in the corner. The salesman
>says, "Sorry, we don't sell to anti-coders". He leaves, goes home, puts
>on a brown wig and sunglasses and goes back to the store and tells the
>salesman he wants to buy that new rig over in the corner. He says,
>"Sorry, we don't sell to anti-coders." So again, he goes home, puts on
>a black wig, sunglasses and a hat and tries to buy the rig again. Again
>the salesman tells him they don't sell to anti-coders. Finally he asks,
>"How do you know I'm a anti-coder?" and the salesman replies, "Because
>that's not a rig, it's a microwave oven."

3173. Re: Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 16:44:13 UTC
From: "Meat-->Plow" <Meat@hell-flame-wars.orq>
Message-ID: <aj648s$1i8$a@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 16:11:52 -0400, "Anonymous"
<Anonymous@NobodyAnonymousUnknown.com>wrote:

>Roger,
>
>For months we have read the various sick, obscene, and
>demented posts which you have written and posted in these
>NGs. I am particularly addressing the sick and vulgar
>comments you post about the underage children of amateur
>radio operators whom you have differences of opinion with.
>Your descriptions of various sexual acts that you claim these
>children engage in with adults is sickening, disgusting, and
>illegal. Yes Roger, such acts, contrary to your belief of free
>speech, are not and have never been First Amendment
>protected speech.
>
>My question. You apparently function in society Roger, so I
>will venture that you know right from wrong. Child
>pornography is wrong! Dead wrong, and you know it
>Roger! So why do you post it?
>
>I challenge you to respond to this post, without resorting to
>profanity and obscene speech. You claim you are an
>educated man. We would like to see you respond in a
>rational, mature manner. Your turn.

Roger is mentally ill. He's either performed in real life all the
things he posts or he longs to do so. I wouldn't want to be a parent
with children living near Roger after reading his posts.

3174. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 17:08:30 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020811130830.11757.00003769@mb-ma.news.cs.com>
Source record: 50888

Reply below and corrections applied. :-)

>> Hi gang,
>>
>> I've just returned from a <desperately needed> two country vacation in
>Central
>> and South America. Costa Rica is a gorgeous little country with a pleasant
>and
>> peaceful disposition. Pity we only got a one day look at her. Two weeks in
>> Ecuador made up for that brevity though and was actually insufficient to
>enjoy
>> all the pleaures that even one of its cities offered...but we did our
>best. :-)
>>
>> Back to AR. Upon driving around Guayaquil, one thing was very
>apparant...this
>> is one radio-active town! I saw tri-banders and mono-banders all over the
>> place. And yes, when we went to Quito...we saw a quad. How appropriate.
>Every
>> morning, I'd take the kids for a walk. I made sure that when we came back,
>our
>> route took us by that grand antenna farm I'd spotted earlier...just around
>the
>> corner from my father-in-law's!
>>
>> Well, in the weeks of preparation that we'd gone through for this trip,
>I'd not
>> given any thought to actually operating in HC land until the last minute.
>> ("Next time," I told myself.) I regretted that. So I figured I'd look
>about
>> picking up a HC call (Along with the Mrs.) We went down to the local radio
>> club, then the ministerio to find out what we needed to do for my HF
>ticket and
>> perhaps a no-code ticket for my wife. "What no-code ticket, seï¿½or? We have
>only
>> Novato or General." Well, that's Novice or General folks...and both require
>the
>> same code test with another written (or two?) for the General. I say
>"two?"
>> because they were nice enough to waive all tests for me based upon my
holding a
>US
>> Extra ticket. It was explained to me that this has nothing to do with the
>> reciprocal agreement, it was just a courtesy extended by someone

>authorized to
>> "sign off" on the deal. (I was already free to operate as WA2SI/HC#.) How
>did
>> my wife do? Well, we're both waiting for HC2 calls now. One General...and
>one
>> Novato for the XYL who managed to remember enough to send a few characters
>in
>> CW. Yes, I said SEND...funny how accomodating folks can be if they know
>that
>> you're legitimately making the effort. It was further explained to me that
>they
>> can be a bit more accomodating wrt issuing an AR license, but as per
>ITU/IARU
>> protocol, some form of code test is required for both their "ham" tickets.
>Oh,
>> so that's what Dick (N0BK) meant when he said "Not according to the ITU."
>In
>> the words of Arte Johnson..."verrrrrrry interestingk!"
>>
>> So next time, (Next year or 2004 perhaps.) I'll give you guys a "head-up"
>and a
>> QSL card from the Galapagos Isles. (HC2xx/8) or "Mitad del Mundo,"
>> (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World" or both 0 latitude and
>longitude.
>> Hope all was well with you folks and it's good to be back. :-)
>>
>> 73 de Bert
>> WA2SI
>
>Bert, thanks for that perspective on how some others are doing it. Brian

No problem, Brian. I was very pleased to experience ham radio's amicable spirit abroad. I figured that with so many horror stories and angry threads on the newsgroup(s), this'd be a nice topic to share. I found the CW aspect pretty interesting too. I was unaware that the no-code ticket simply doesn't exist for some nations. Next time, I'll definitely bring along a small CW rig (Gotta build it first.) and a portable dipole.
73 de Bert
WA2SI

3175. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 18:16:05 UTC
From: Radiofreenoodles <nood3les@aol.com>
Message-ID: <FRx59.13222$2k.1097032@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50889
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj5ja0$2ql$3@pita.alt.net...
> "too many radios" <radiofrann@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Nwa59.8572$M4.694071@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > All the qrmer's are startin
> > to come outta the woodwork
> > again too!!
> >
> > Looks liek 14313 is springin back to
> > ;ife and will shortly regain it's title
> > as the "Jerry Springer Show Frequency"
> > of ham radio - HA!!! HA!!! HA!!!!
>
>
> How stupid.......

Not as stupid perhaps if 14.313 once again becomes
the natural magnet for every screwball and fruitkake
that we seem to be so blessed with in this hobby called
ham radio. Let 14.313 become the RF toilettick magnet
that it once was so that all the kooks and crazies from all the
other places on the band can gravitate to. This way the rest of
ham radio is clear and all the nuts are in one place where
Hollingsworth can pick em off like fish in a barrel.

3176. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 19:19:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0Ny59.925$lo7.106178619@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50890

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811115303.20478.00004068@mb-cg.aol.com...
> This ones good to. Heck there all good and so truthful, And so accurate.
>
>
> An anti-coder walks into a radio store and tells the salesman that he
> >would like to buy that new HF rig over in the corner. The salesman
> >says, "Sorry, we don't sell to anti-coders". He leaves, goes home, puts
> >on a brown wig and sunglasses and goes back to the store and tells the
> >salesman he wants to buy that new rig over in the corner. He says,
> >"Sorry, we don't sell to anti-coders." So again, he goes home, puts on
> >a black wig, sunglasses and a hat and tries to buy the rig again. Again
> >the salesman tells him they don't sell to anti-coders. Finally he asks,
> >"How do you know I'm a anti-coder?" and the salesman replies, "Because
> >that's not a rig, it's a microwave oven."
>

Well Bruce, at least this one had a punch line. Please go back and fix the
first one so I can enjoy it too.

3177. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 19:36:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811153628.10263.00000406@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50891

In article <Fbv59.869$jS6.104189178@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Everyone gets so wrapped around the axle when they see my name at the top
>that legitimate discussion is no longer possible.

Your behavior here is the reason for that, Brian.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3178. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 19:36:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811153628.10263.00000405@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50892

In article <3D56189C.8000802@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>I think the original comment was a joke. A joke, OM! Ya see... anyone who
>responds to a web poll obviously has access to a computer. Elaborating
>further, a person who has no access to a computer will not likely hear
>of the poll. This means that they won't be counted in the poll. This
>means a poll like this is useless. It's a joke!

A joke which has a very long history, though.

I think it was the 1932 Hoover-vs.-Roosevelt presidential election, although I could be mistaken about that. Polls conducted by the Republicans indicated they would win, and they were confounded when the Democrats took the White House. Turns out their polling method was to call people on the telephone, never considering the fact that (at the time) the telephone-owning population was not an accurate representation of the voting population.

I think the decision to drop section news and BoD minutes from QST result from the same misguided mindset. But it's no joke.

Also consider this:

The ARRL is a national organization, and its focus is mainly on national and international issues. But many hams' focus is more local or regional - just ask Kim or Ryan, KC8PMX. These hams don't see ARRL membership as offering them very much of interest, because they are much more involved with what's going on in their county or state. Section News was one part of QST that would be of interest to those hams - and removing it from QST makes ARRL membership that much less attractive. Websites, emails and separately-mailed SN are not an adequate replacement.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3179. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 19:38:00 UTC
From: Douglas <douglas@20meterstexas.com>
Message-ID: <aj6eenqj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50893
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Certainly most people understand by now that AB8MQ
is "attacked" only in his psychopathic brain.

Douglas

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:R6v59.866$PP6.104046697@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:aj5j9u$2ql$1@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > > Seems nobody can get along on here.
> >
> > Seems to me that if one isn't attacked, he wouldn't attack back. You
> convienciently
> > forget that.
>
> Attack?
>
>

3180. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 19:47:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Xaz59.929$Qt7.106473566@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50894

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811153628.10263.00000406@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <Fbv59.869$jS6.104189178@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >Everyone gets so wrapped around the axle when they see my name at the top
> >that legitimate discussion is no longer possible.
>
> Your behavior here is the reason for that, Brian.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, you appear to have a certain following on here as well where rational, legitimate discussion is not possible.

To what do you attribute that?

And please describe your morse code/cw sending devices?

Do you use bare wires such as the manager at a very prominent radio laboratory in connecticut?

Or do you have a 17-jewel Rolex-like switch?

Do you have any kind of keyer devices?

3181. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 19:48:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811154828.03023.00004639@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 50895

>Well Bruce, at least this one had a punch line. Please go back and fix the
>first one so I can enjoy it too.
>

No need to, Im sure you have already read all of them, and Im sure your a
living example of all of them.

3182. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 20:44:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uldj4cbkioee8f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50896

Thanks for an interesting post, Bert! Nice touch about the sending a little CW being sufficient.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message news:20020810105906.06918.00001864@mb-cm.news.cs.com...
> Hi gang,
>
> I've just returned from a <desperately needed> two country vacation in Central
> and South America. Costa Rica is a gorgeous little country with a pleasant and
> peaceful disposition. Pity we only got a one day look at her. Two weeks in
> Ecuador made up for that brevity though and was actually insufficient to enjoy
> all the pleaures that even one of its cities offered...but we did our best. :-)
>
> Back to AR. Upon driving around Guayaquil, one thing was very apparant...this
> is one radio-active town! I saw tri-banders and mono-banders all over the
> place. And yes, when we went to Quito...we saw a quad. How appropriate. Every
> morning, I'd take the kids for a walk. I made sure that when we came back, our
> route took us by that grand antenna farm I'd spotted earlier...just around the
> corner from my father-in-law's!
>
> Well, in the weeks of preparation that we'd gone through for this trip, I'd not
> given any thought to actually operating in HC land until the last minute.
> ("Next time," I told myself.) I regretted that. So I figured I'd look about
> picking up a HC call (Along with the Mrs.) We went down to the local radio
> club, then the ministerio to find out what we needed to do for my HF ticket and
> perhaps a no-code ticket for my wife. "What no-code ticket se&#65533;or? We have only
> Novato or General" Well, that's Novice or General folks...and both require the
> same code test with another written (or two?) for the General. I say

"two?"
> because they were nice enough to waive all tests based upon my holding a
US
> Extra ticket. It was explained to me that this has nothing to do with the
> reciprical agreement, it was just a courtesy extended by someone
authorized to
> "sign off" on the deal. (I was already free to operate as WA2SI/HC#.) How
did
> my wife do? Well, we're both waiting for HC2 calls now. One General...and
one
> Novato for the XYL who managed to remember enough to send a few characters
in
> CW. Yes, I said SEND...funny how accomodating folks can be if they know
that
> you're legitimately making the effort. It was further explained to me that
they
> can be a bit more accomodating wrt issuing an AR license, but as per
ITU/IARU
> protocol, some form of code test is required for both their "ham" tickets.
Oh,
> so that's what Dick (N0BK) meant when he said "Not according to the ITU."
In
> the words of Arte Johnson..."verrrrrrry interestingk!"
>
> So next time, (Next year or 2004 perhaps.) I'll give you guys a "head-up"
and a
> QSL card from the Galapagos Isles. (HC2xx/8) or "Mitad del Mundo,"
> (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World" or both 0 latitude and
longitude.
> Hope all was well with you folks and it's good to be back. :-)
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

3183. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 20:59:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <KpA59.79881$Z7.8362913@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208102147.75c88499@posting.google.com...
> brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) wrote in message
news:<27e38977.0208091225.2b368fc5@posting.google.com>...
> > "moanfaggotsmoan" <iluvblbheiscute@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<eVC49.5256$M4.408404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > >> > THIS IS WONDERFULL NEWS BROTHER LLOYD!!
> > > >
> > > > DO YOU KNOW WHY IT IS WONDERFULL NEWS ?
> > > >
> > > > BECAUSE EVER SINCE HERBIE-BABY DISSAPEARED
> > > > FROM 14.313 HAM RADIO HAS BECOME COMPLETELY
> > > > BORING. THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER IN MY
> > > > MIND THAT WITHIN 3 HOURS OF KV4FZ APPEARING
> > > > ONCE AGAIN ON 14.313, YOU WILL SEE A RESTART
> > > > OF THE "10-YEARS BOOER-WARS" ON THE RADIO
> > > > THAT HASN'T BEEN SEEN IN MANY MANY YEARS.
> > > >
> > > > AT THAT MOMENT, HAM RADIO WILL HAVE "IT'S
> > > > ANSWER" TO COMEDY CENTRAL CABLE TV NETWORKS
> > > > AND IT WILL BE TEN-TIMES BETTER THAN THE FIRST
> > > > GO AROUND - MABEY EVEN BETTER THAN THE
> > > > INFAMOUS "MIKE AND JUDY SHOW".
> > > >
> > > > SHORTWAVE LISTENERS REJOICE!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > HAM RADIO IS ABOUT TO GET MUCH MORE AMUSING
> > > > IN THE NEAR FUTURE - GRIN-GRIN-GRIN-GRIN
> > > >
> > > > WHO NEEDS BROTHER STAIR AND HAL TURNIP WHEN
> > > > WE HAVE **LIVE INTERACTIVE** RADIO ENTERTAINMENT
> > > > BACK ON THE **MOTHER OF ALL FREQUENCIES**
> > > > THE ONE AND ONLY *** 14.313 ***
> > > >
> > > > WHOOOOOPPPIIEEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Yes, it will be a GREAT DAY! We will have the Roll Calls back too. We
can
> > have police reports read over the air. We can have all sorts of wild
music,
> > cat calls and people playing .wav files all day and night!
> > >
> > > 3950 will become "The most exciting frequency in Ham Radio" once again

too.
> > The "Netters" and the "Jammers" will again bring life to boring
Saturday
> > nights on the radio.
> >
> > And Mr. Hollingsworth will be powerless to stop it. You can take that
to
> > the bank!

Don't count on it. Mr. "Herbie's" return to the air is predicated on his
(as he put it) "learning the
hard way", and that "being off the air was the supreme punishment". When
he gets back on the
air, he'd better behave himself. The Amateur community begged for years for
strict enforcement and the weeding out of those who would make another
lawless "CB" band out of ham radio. Mr
Shoenbaum (sic?) was a a product of the days when FCC didn't really care
about ham and CB.
I would be willing to bet that, if those who were part of that "old" gang
are waiting with bated breath for the "old" days, they are going to be in
for a surprise. Not only Mr. Hollingsworth won't
tolerate such shenanagins again, but the Amateur community as a whole won't
have it either. Things
are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in the coming
months.

J

3184. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 21:36:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811173636.10263.00000407@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50898

In article <Xaz59.929$Qt7.106473566@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Jim, you appear to have a certain following on here as well where rational,
>legitimate discussion is not possible.

What person or persons are you referring to, Brian?
>
>To what do you attribute that?

See above.
>
>And please describe your morse code/cw sending devices?

Let's see....

I have:

- Military surplus J-37 straight key. Had this since 1965 - first piece of 'amateur radio' gear I ever owned. Still use it on SKN and for QRS stuff.

- Vibroplex Original, Standard model (gray painted base). Vintage 1974. Got it brand new at the tail end of 1974.

- Vibroplex Champion, Standard model (gray painted base). Vintage 1965. Got it at a hamfest about 1998.

- Vibroplex Original, Standard model (black painted base). Vintage 1999. Got it via email reflector in 2000.

- Vibroplex Lightning Bug, Standard model (gray painted base). Vintage 1972. Got it via eBay in 2002.

I use all of them at various times, but the 1974 Original is my favorite.

>Do you use bare wires such as the manager at a very prominent radio
>laboratory in connecticut?

Not on a regular basis, but I could do so if necessary.
>
>Or do you have a 17-jewel Rolex-like switch?

No jewel bearings at all. No fancy chrome or other plating.

>Do you have any kind of keyer devices?

No keyboards or keyers, except what's built into the Elecraft K2. Most rigs today have a keyer built-in. Decent paddles are not expensive used. I prefer a bug or straight key, but that's just me. I'm considering getting a set of

paddles and learning to send keyer-style left-handed, for increased operating flexibility.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3185. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 22:04:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <JbB59.1721$vC7.899@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50899

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811154828.03023.00004639@mb-mu.aol.com...
> >Well Bruce, at least this one had a punch line. Please go back and fix
the
> >first one so I can enjoy it too.

>
> No need to, Im sure you have already read all of them,

Then you're surely wrong. Your post of a partial joke is the first in the
thread.

and Im sure your a
> living example of all of them.

I'm sure that you're sure. But that doesn't make it any more wrong.

3186. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 22:52:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0p35nm.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50900

On 11 Aug 2002 13:24:32 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>(SHARES is the FEMA equivalent of RACES)
>
> Where in the world did you pull THAT one out, Phil?

Does several years' experience as an agency-designated SHARES
coordinator/trainer give you a clue?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3187. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-11 23:15:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811191531.07925.00003354@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 51001

>Subject: Re: 10 meter = CB?
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 08/11/02 18:52 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0p35nm.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>Does several years' experience as an agency-designated SHARES
> coordinator/trainer give you a clue?

The purpose of RACES is to provide communcations where communications do
not exist. SHARES is a program to provide interoperabiltiy of services.

I don't seen them being "equivalent". Semantics, perhaps.....

73

Steve, K4YZ

3188. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 00:00:58 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <aj6u1302h1i@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Radiofreenoodles" <nood3les@aol.com> wrote in message
news:FRx59.13222$2k.1097032@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:aj5ja0$2ql$3@pita.alt.net...
> > "too many radios" <radiofrann@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:Nwa59.8572$M4.694071@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > All the qrmer's are startin
> > > to come outta the woodwork
> > > again too!!
> > >
> > > Looks liek 14313 is springin back to
> > > ;ife and will shortly regain it's title
> > > as the "Jerry Springer Show Frequency"
> > > of ham radio - HA!!! HA!!! HA!!!!
> >
> >
> > How stupid.......
>
> Not as stupid perhaps if 14.313 once again becomes
> the natural magnet for every screwball and fruitkake
> that we seem to be so blessed with in this hobby called
> ham radio. Let 14.313 become the RF toilettick magnet
> that it once was so that all the kooks and crazies from all the
> other places on the band can gravitate to. This way the rest of
> ham radio is clear and all the nuts are in one place where
> Hollingsworth can pick em off like fish in a barrel.

Of course, it never occurred to any of the dimbulbs around here that Riley
may be doing just that. What if ol' Riley is giving the license back to
Herb (or whatever his name is) knowing that he will go back to his evil ways
and attract the same old trash. Then, when they are all in a lather on
14.313 or wherever they congregate, Riley can get them all with one grenade.

--

- - - -
Regards,
Joe S.

3189. Re: Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 00:14:37 UTC
From: Zitchey <BARF@StumpjumperHQ38945.net>
Message-ID: <aj6ule$46g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message

> "Anonymous COWARD" <Anonymous <<<<<<plonk>>>>>>

Wiseman never answers the question, as usual, then
Wiseman Cuts & Runs *AGAIN*

3190. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 01:04:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D5733BE.3050802@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51006

N2EY wrote:

> The real problem that I see with not publishing the section news and BoD
> minutes is historical. We can look at old QSTs and see what the Board and
> sections were doing 10, 20, 50+ years ago. Will the ARRL website still contain
> the July 2003 Section News in 2043?

Game, set, and match, Jim!

And I reiterate, I think if we accept the arguments for putting the
section news and BOD minutes on the web, we might as well stop printing
QST altogether and publish it web-only.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3191. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 01:05:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D573407.5080709@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51004

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Then they have access to a computer to read the electronic minutes.

That's really convenient! (not)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3192. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 01:07:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D57347D.6000405@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51005

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message

>>I think the original comment was a joke. A joke, OM! Ya see... anyone who
>>responds to a web poll obviously has access to a computer. Elaborating
>>further, a person who has no access to a computer will not likely hear
>>of the poll. This means that they won't be counted in the poll. This
>>means a poll like this is useless. It's a joke!
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
> Very much so. But humor gets lost on here.
>
> Everyone gets so wrapped around the axle when they see my name at the top
> that legitimate discussion is no longer possible.

Strange, I don't always agree with you, but you are more of a gentleman
than many people.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3193. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 02:09:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <oNE59.1046$Vf.109084803@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51007

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D57347D.6000405@adelphia.net...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>
> >>I think the original comment was a joke. A joke, OM! Ya see... anyone
who
> >>responds to a web poll obviously has access to a computer. Elaborating
> >>further, a person who has no access to a computer will not likely hear
> >>of the poll. This means that they won't be counted in the poll. This
> >>means a poll like this is useless. It's a joke!
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >>
> > Very much so. But humor gets lost on here.
> >
> > Everyone gets so wrapped around the axle when they see my name at the
top
> > that legitimate discussion is no longer possible.
>
>
> Strange, I don't always agree with you, but you are more of a gentleman
> than many people.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, thank you. No problem disagreeing. I get out of hand every once in a
while, however, it's usually followed by an apology.

73, Brian

3194. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 04:33:36 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208112033.4f77c386@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

```
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<KpA59.79881$Z7.8362913@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0208102147.75c88499@posting.google.com...
> > brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) wrote in message
> news:<27e38977.0208091225.2b368fc5@posting.google.com>...
> > > "moanfaggotsmoan" <iluvblbheiscute@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:<eVC49.5256$M4.408404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > >> > THIS IS WONDERFULL NEWS BROTHER LLOYD!!
> > > > >
> > > > > DO YOU KNOW WHY IT IS WONDERFULL NEWS ?
> > > > >
> > > > > BECAUSE EVER SINCE HERBIE-BABY DISSAPEARED
> > > > > FROM 14.313 HAM RADIO HAS BECOME COMPLETELY
> > > > > BORING. THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER IN MY
> > > > > MIND THAT WITHIN 3 HOURS OF KV4FZ APPEARING
> > > > > ONCE AGAIN ON 14.313, YOU WILL SEE A RESTART
> > > > > OF THE "10-YEARS BOOER-WARS" ON THE RADIO
> > > > > THAT HASN'T BEEN SEEN IN MANY MANY YEARS.
> > > > >
> > > > > AT THAT MOMENT, HAM RADIO WILL HAVE "IT'S
> > > > > ANSWER" TO COMEDY CENTRAL CABLE TV NETWORKS
> > > > > AND IT WILL BE TEN-TIMES BETTER THAN THE FIRST
> > > > > GO AROUND - MABEY EVEN BETTER THAN THE
> > > > > INFAMOUS "MIKE AND JUDY SHOW".
> > > > >
> > > > > SHORTWAVE LISTENERS REJOICE!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > HAM RADIO IS ABOUT TO GET MUCH MORE AMUSING
> > > > > IN THE NEAR FUTURE - GRIN-GRIN-GRIN-GRIN
> > > > >
> > > > > WHO NEEDS BROTHER STAIR AND HAL TURNIP WHEN
> > > > > WE HAVE **LIVE INTERACTIVE** RADIO ENTERTAINMENT
> > > > > BACK ON THE **MOTHER OF ALL FREQUENCIES**
> > > > > THE ONE AND ONLY *** 14.313 ***
> > > > >
> > > > > WHOOOOOPPPIIEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > Yes, it will be a GREAT DAY! We will have the Roll Calls back too. We
> can
> > > have police reports read over the air. We can have all sorts of wild
> music,
> > > cat calls and people playing .wav files all day and night!
```

> > >
> > > 3950 will become "The most exciting frequency in Ham Radio" once again
> too.
> > > The "Netters" and the "Jammers" will again bring life to boring
> Saturday
> > > nights on the radio.
> > >
> > > And Mr. Hollingsworth will be powerless to stop it. You can take that
> to
> > > the bank!
>
> Don't count on it. Mr. "Herbie's" return to the air is predicated on his
> (as he put it) "learning the
> hard way", and that "being off the air was the supreme punishment". When
> he gets back on the
> air, he'd better behave himself. The Amateur community begged for years for
> strict enforcement and the weeding out of those who would make another
> lawless "CB" band out of ham radio. Mr
> Shoenbaum (sic?) was a a product of the days when FCC didn't really care
> about ham and CB.
> I would be willing to bet that, if those who were part of that "old" gang
> are waiting with bated breath for the "old" days, they are going to be in
> for a surprise. Not only Mr. Hollingsworth won't
> tolerate such shenanagins again, but the Amateur community as a whole won't
> have it either. Things
> are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in the coming
> months.
>
> J

Jerry. Do you like sex? If you do, I think you need some. Your one
track mind is misguided at best. Are you a member of the citizen's
auxillary police?

3195. Re: MORE FABULOUS pro-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 05:48:19 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D574C22.4402E156@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51009

Equal time requirement......

Q. What do you call a basement full of pro-coders?
A. A whine cellar.

Q. Why did the pro-coder scale the chain-link fence?
A. To see what was on the other side.

Q. What are the worst six years in an pro-coder's life?
A. Third Grade.

Q. Why did the pro-coder smear Preparation H all over his VHF antenna?

A. He said he had to get ready for the skip DX during the hemorrhoid shower.

Q. A one-armed pro-coder is hanging from a cliff with his one arm. How do you make him fall?
A. Wave.

Q. Why did the pro-coder get fired from the M&M factory?
A. He was throwing out all the W's.

Q. What do you call a skeleton of an pro-coder in the closet?
A. Last year's hide and go seek winner.

Q. Why do pro-coders need to work seven days a week?
A. So you don't have to retrain them on Monday.

Q. Why did the pro-coder keep a coat hanger in the back seat?
A. In case he locks the keys in his car.

Q. Why did the pro-coder get so excited after he finished a jigsaw puzzle in only 6 months?
A. Because on the box it said "From 2-4 years."

An pro-coder walks into a radio store and tells the salesman that he would like to buy that new HF rig over in the corner. The salesman says, "Sorry, we don't sell to pro-coders". He leaves, goes home, puts on a brown wig and sunglasses and goes back to the store and tells the salesman he wants to buy that new rig over in the corner. He says, "Sorry, we don't sell to pro-coders." So again, he goes home, puts on a black wig, sunglasses and a hat and tries to buy the rig again. Again the salesman tells him they don't sell to pro-coders. Finally he asks, "How do you know I'm a pro-coder?" and the salesman replies, "Because that's not a rig, it's a microwave oven."

Q. What is it called when a pro-coder blows in another pro-coder's ear?
A. Data transfer.

Q. An pro-coder ordered a pizza and the clerk asked if he should cut it in six or twelve pieces.
A. "Six, please. I could never eat twelve pieces."

Q. What's the difference between an pro-coder and garbage?
A. Garbage gets taken out at least once a week.

Q. How does an pro-coder spell "farm"?
A. E-I-E-I-O

Q. Why don't pro-coders eat pickles?
A. They can't get their head in the jar.

Q. How do you amuse a pro-coder for hours?
A. Write 'Please turn over' on both sides of a piece of paper.

Did you hear about the pro-coders that were found frozen to death in their car at a drive-in movie theater?
They went to see "Closed for the Winter."

Q. Why did the pro-coder stare at the can of frozen orange juice for two hours?
A. Because the can said "concentrate" on it.

Q. What is the pro-coder doing when he holds his hands tightly over him ears?
A. Trying to hold on to a thought.

Q. How does an pro-coder commit suicide?
A. He gathers his welfare checks into a pile and jumps off.

Q. How do you plant dope?
A. Bury an pro-coder.

Q. How do you make a pro-coder's eyes light up?
A. Shine a flashlight in his ear.

Q. Why do pro-coders hate M&Ms?
A. They're too hard to peel.

Q. How do you keep an pro-coder busy?
A. Give him a bag of M&Ms and tell him to alphabetize them.
Q. Why does it work?
A. Because he'll say, "Does 3 come before E or does it go between M and W?"

Q. What job function does an pro-coder have in an M&M factory?
A. Proofreading.

Q. Why can't an pro-coder make KOOL-AID?
A. Because he can't fit 8 cups of water in the little packet.

Q. What do you call 10 pro-coders at the bottom of the pool?
A. Air bubbles.

Q. What does Star Trek's "Bones" McCoy say before he performs brain surgery on an pro-coder?
A. "Space. The final frontier......".

Q. Why did the pro-coder put ice cubes in the freezer?
A. So he could keep the freezer cold.

Q. How many pro-coders does it take to play Hide and Seek?
A. One.

Q. Why couldn't the pro-coder write the number ELEVEN ?
A. He didn't know which ONE came first.

Q. How did the pro-coder burn his nose?
A. Bobbing for french fries.

Q. How can you tell if an pro-coder's been using the computer?
A. There's saliva all over the keyboard.

Q. How can you tell when a FAX had been sent from an pro-coder?
A. There's a postage stamp on it.

Q. Why does an pro-coder only change a baby's diapers every month?
A. Because it says on it , "Good for up to 20 pounds."

Q. Why do pro-coders write "TGIF" on their shoes?
A. Toes go in first.

Q. Why don't pro-coders make good pharmacists?
A. They can't get the bottle into the typewriter.

Q. What is the difference between an pro-coder and "The Titanic"?
A. They know how many men went down on "The Titanic."

Q. What does an pro-coder say when you ask him if his turn signal is on?
A. "It's on. It's off. It's on. It's off. It's on. It's offï¿½."

Q. What do you call 4 pro-coders lying on the ground?
A. An air mattress.

Q. What do you call 10 pro-coders standing ear to ear?
A. A wind tunnel.

Q. What do you call 15 pro-coders in a circle?
A. A dope ring.

Q. What do you call a zit on a pro-coder's ass?
A. A brain tumor.

Q. How many pro-coders does it take to make chocolate-chip cookies?
A. Ten: One to mix the dough and nine to peel the Smarties.

Q. Why did the pro-coder tip-toe past the medicine cabinet?
A. So he wouldn't wake up the sleeping pills.

Q. Why did the pro-coder drive into the ditch?
A. So he could finally turn the blinker off.

Q. Why did the pro-coder try and steal a police car?
A. He saw "911" on the back and thought it was a Porsche.

Q. Did you hear about the pro-coder skydiver?
A. He missed the Earth.

Q. Where do pro-coders go to meet their relatives?
A. Palm Beach.

Q. How many pro-coders does it take to play tag?
A. One.

Q. What happened to the pro-coder tap dancer?
A. He slipped off and fell down the drain.

Q. What did the pro-coder say when he looked into a box of Cheerios?
A. "Oh look! Donut seeds!"

Q. What did the pro-coder name a zebra?
A. "Spot."

Q. Why can't pro-coders change dial lamps?
A. They keep breaking them with the hammers.

Q. What is the definition of "gross stupidity"?
A. 144 pro-coders.

There was an pro-coder driving down the road listening to the radio.
A ham was telling pro-coder joke after pro-coder joke until the
pro-coder
was mad enough that he turned the radio off. A mile down the road, he
saw
another pro-coder out in a corn field in a boat, rowing. The pro-coder
stopped
his car jumped out and yelled, "You dummy, it's pro-coders like you
that
give us all a bad name. If I could swim I'd come out there and give you

what's coming to you!"

Q. What is the difference between a dead pro-coder in the road, and a
dead skunk in the road?
A. There are skid marks in front of the skunk.

Q. What did the pro-coder say about pro-coder jokes?
A. He said they might offend some Puerto Ricans.

Q. Why can't pro-coders make ice cubes?
A. They always forget the recipe.

A ham was telling an pro-coder joke to someone who happened to be an pro-coder. Halfway through, the pro-coder interrupts him and says, "Don't you know I'm an pro-coder?"

"Oh, " the ham replied, "do you want me to start over and talk slower?"

3196. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 05:55:55 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D574DEA.54F4E45@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51010

WA8ULX wrote:

> This has got to be the funniest one, I still laugh ever time I read it.
>
> There was a pro-coder driving down the road listening to the radio.
> >A ham was telling pro-coder joke after pro-coder joke until the
> >pro-coder
> >was mad enough that he turned the radio off. A mile down the road, he
> >saw
> >another pro-coder out in a corn field in a boat, rowing. The pro-coder
> >stopped
> >his car jumped out and yelled, "You dummy, it's pro-coders like you
> >that
> >give us all a bad name. If I could swim I'd come out there and give you

a knuckle sandwich!" But by then the mothership came by and picked him
up. The aliens wanted to learn CW rather than waste time making
crop circles.

Why no crop circles in American farms? 12 gauge shotguns....

3197. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 05:56:59 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D574E2B.95B7D977@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51011

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
> >
> > > No need to, Im sure you have already read all of them,
>
> Then you're surely wrong. Your post of a partial joke is the first in the
> thread.
>
> and Im sure your a
> > > living example of all of them.
>
> I'm sure that you're sure. But that doesn't make it any more wrong.

I finished it for him. Look a few steps up in this thread....

3198. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 06:05:50 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aj8djc2i38@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51028

Ed..... Can't say that about me.... I may not respond as often as other weeks/months, but I still am lurking even if I don't post. No one here has THAT MUCH power over me to motivate me from ever leaving this or other newsgroups. Even with the few "choice" people we get from time to time, overall I get more from some of the better people here.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> Dave, I bet that every regular here has privately vowed to himself or herself
> to be done with rrap and never return. Some of us have broken that vow, or we
> wouldn't be here.
>
> Do vows only count if someone else knows about them?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

3199. Re: "...one step closer to extinction." The lobster connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 06:25:31 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aj8djd3i38@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51029

Wow... thanks for the tips... (cannot normally afford lobster anyways, but have it once in a while) I have boiled in a large pot, crabs etc. using the heat from the grill to get the pot hot enough. MMMMMMM.... lobster, I am drooling again!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> Actually, barbecuing a lobster is a perfectly valid way of preparing that
> crustacean for human enjoyment. First you kill them with a Henckel's
> Vier Sterne cook's knife through the brain, if you so desire. If not, just
> proceed directly to step two, which is to deposit them head first into a
> large pot of boiling, salted water for one minute, until they just turn red.
> Then you extract them, place them on a hot grill, and cover them with
> aluminium foil. Grill for approx. 12 min. for the first pound, and another
> 2-3 minutes for each additional half-pound (each). Grilling time will
> have to be adjusted depending on the type of grill, temperature, etc.
> but you don't want to overcook them, so check for doneness in the
> usual way. I would also separate the claws and grill them alone for
> a shorter time, since they will have a shorter cooking time. Grilling
> allows you to impart additional flavour by adding herbs or mesquite
> to the coals, so there is room to experiment. Grill belly-down for
> first half of the cooking time, then flip them over to finish. Since they
> won't drip out any fat like a piece of meat, there should not be any
> problems with the fire flaring up and incinerating them.
>
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

3200. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 07:13:18 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aj8dkc4i38@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51030

Mason-Dixon or Manson-Nixon....??? :)

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D5350C6.4767628A@ix.netcom.com...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >
> > BTW, the people saying "fer sher" on the repeater are just a bunch
> > of ignorant, chromozomally-challenged, poorly educated, usually
> > intoxicated, chronically overweight, lazy, indifferent, shiftless,
> > indigent, uncouth, cigarette-smoking, beer swilling morons from
> > the South -- or they just choose to sound like one!
>
> Isn't Delaware south of the Mason-Dixon line? Isn't
> all of call zone 3 also south of that line?
>
> :-)
>

3201. Re: "...Welfare mothers of color..." -- An apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 07:27:09 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aj8dkd5i38@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51031

Hmmm.. food stamps??? Michigan has given welfare recipients a debit card
that operates like a credit card. I guess that helps them to gain some
dignity. It is called the Bridge Card (i.e. Mackinaw Bridge)

Christ, I work 60+ hours a week, plus on a part-paid fire department, pursue
classes and training and I still can't afford to eat every day.
Unfortunately I live in a very Republicrat community where they expect you
to pay considerable more for rent etc., and do not pay worth a darn. The
same jobs in most other cities that I have held command somewhere between
8-11 dollars, but I am busting my butt to survive at a little over 6 bucks
per hour before so-called benefits and taxes.

At this point welfare is starting to look better and better, fortunately I
have much more pride than that.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> The other day I passed on the lobster because it was too expensive.
> When I got to the checkout line, the lady in front of me paid for a
> lobster with food stamps. :-(
> --
\

3202. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 07:34:00 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aj8dke6i38@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51023

>
> Looks like a CB.

What exactly defines a CB? Having a microphone?

>
> But the 6 meter rig looks legit.
> http://www.bobscb.com/6meter/rci/5054dx.htm

I have that radio, and it works fine as designed.... a 6 meter all-mode
single band rig.

>
> Are there freebanders getting on 6?

Except for band openings, hardly anyone there.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3203. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 07:39:08 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aj8dkf7i38@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51024

Bert, I have the RCI-5054, which I didn't see on that list, and from all of those people I have chatted with on 6 meters, they all have said I have an excellent signal. Even when someone used the CW portion of the rig and made a contact. Nice rich full sounding signal in all modes. 25w cw/ssb and 10w fm/am is all it does too, which the reports I get are surprising based on those figures.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> Kinda odd, eh Steve? I use one on 10 all the time and don't receive any flak
> when asked what rig I'm using. I actually get pretty good signal and audio
> reports. Like I said, odd.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

3204. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 07:41:44 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aj8dkg8i38@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51027

Then there is a decent chance she may also have a problem with the written
tests also if she was to have problems with the morse portion....

My best wishes to her.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> I'm willing to bet a lobster dinner that my 92 year old aunt cannot learn
> Morse code. She is having a good day if she remembers my name.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3205. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 07:44:15 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aj8dkh9i38@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51025

Hey Cecil.... did ya hear that if you develop Attention Deficit Disorder
while you are younger protects you from getting Alzhiemers (sp.?) ???

Seems that a person cannot forget what they never paid attention to in the
first place! :) :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

Since I retired, I have developed attention
> deficit.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP

3206. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 07:59:29 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aj8dki0i38@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51026

Definitely as that is what I see from a day-to-day prospective. In the past 30 months, we have not had a visit etc. from anyone in the Michigan section or Great Lakes section at our club meetings. (One exception.... someone who did a presentation on something unrelated to the ARRL who happened to be a Section title holder, but did not act or deal with any issues related to that.) Great lakes director Mr. Race has never visited our club within 30 months. (Yes he was invited.)

As far as the ARRL at this point, it is a magazine subscription sold under the ploy of some Mafia like protectionist scheme. Since I must view it this way, any attempts at giving me less as a consumer is cheating me, be it section news or even Minutes etc. At any rate, I am spending more than the subscription rate since I buy it off the newstand.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> The ARRL is a national organization, and its focus is mainly on national
and
> international issues. But many hams' focus is more local or regional -
just ask
> Kim or Ryan, KC8PMX. These hams don't see ARRL membership as offering
them
> very much of interest, because they are much more involved with what's
going on
> in their county or state. Section News was one part of QST that would be
of
> interest to those hams - and removing it from QST makes ARRL membership
that
> much less attractive. Websites, emails and separately-mailed SN are not an
> adequate replacement.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3207. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 10:52:59 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fsM59.1903$g%.629@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51012

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D574E2B.95B7D977@ix.netcom.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > >
> > > No need to, Im sure you have already read all of them,
> >
> > Then you're surely wrong. Your post of a partial joke is the first in
the
> > thread.
> >
> > and Im sure your a
> > > living example of all of them.
> >
> > I'm sure that you're sure. But that doesn't make it any more wrong.
>
> I finished it for him. Look a few steps up in this thread....
>
The part about the crop circles was great!

3208. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 11:05:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RDM59.1905$661.1508@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51013

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811154828.03023.00004639@mb-mu.aol.com...
> >Well Bruce, at least this one had a punch line. Please go back and fix
the
> >first one so I can enjoy it too.
> >
>
> No need to, Im sure you have already read all of them, and Im sure your a
> living example of all of them.

An anti-coder ham was telling Bruce a joke. Halfway through, Bruce
interrupts him and says,
"Don't you know I'm an pro-coder?"

"Oh, " the ham replied, "do you want me to start over and talk slower?"

3209. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 11:14:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51014

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D54AD18.5D705431@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D549EF4.DDC5EEDE@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D5494E5.45B5AE73@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D534ED1.37EB17E7@earthlink.net...

> > > > > Dave, you've repeatedly made the assertion that my commander had no
> > > > > authority to bless my DX operations from Somalia.
> > > > >
> > > > Ah Ah, Brian. I've questioned whether your commander had the
> authority
> > > > to do so.
> > > >
> > > Do you now "question" it?
> > >
> > Yes. Most certainly. I question it--especially if you don't have
> > written authorization to back it up.
>
> You're amazing. When you were in the service did you demand everything in
> writing?

...just the things I considered insane or those which could likely come
back to bite me in the butt.

> I'll bet Bob Kerry wished he had.

Did he operate from Somalia too?

> > Do you believe that DXCC will accept a statement from you that "my
> commander
> > said it was okay" as proof that you had permission to operate from any
> > place on the planet?
>
> Don't know. I haven't given them a deposition.
```

That indicates that you don't care about the status of your operation
for DXCC credit. You told us that you are a DXer. A DXer would not so
ambivalent.

> > I believe I wrote "You wouldn't be in a position to know". Ask yourself
> > if you think you really believe yourself to have demonstrated any solid
> > evidence that you are a DXer. Through this whole lengthy excercise,
> > you've looked like a boob.

> I'm reminded of the day that that the web site for the French authorizations
> was posted here and it clearly showed that the French hams you communicated
> with were almost 200khz out of band. This backed up what the French ham on
> RRAP had told you almost two years earlier but chose to ignore.

I'm reminded that I never operated in band segments not authorized to
me. I don't enforce French amateur radio regulations. I've never
operated in France or in French territories.

> Now I've posted from the ARRL web site the requirements for US military
> personnel to operate amateur radio in Somalia, and you just pick, pick, pick
> away at it.
>
> You're in denial. Whatever Master DXer Dave says is gospel. He can never
> say he was wrong about anything, even when its served up cold.

You haven't served it up cold, hot or stir fried, Brian. What does the
documentation you obtained from your military higher-up say? Let's
see--you were a Tech Plus back in those days weren't you? On what bands
did you operate?

> > > > All my operations over 15 years were accepted for DXCC credit. I have
> > > > written permission from host governments for all of them.
>
> We're not talking about whether YOU had permission. We're talking about MY
> authorization to operate radio from Somalia. Again, what is the address of
> anyone in the Somali government.

You've questioned my DX morality and ethics. I'm comparing mine to
yours. I realize that we're talking about your authorization. How does
it read? As far as the address of anything in Somalia, I have no need
for it. I'm not planning to travel to Somalia.

> > > > I knew that American Embassies don't issue amateur radio licenses.
>
> Who doesn't know that?

You for starters since you brought up the fact that you could visit the
PTT and that the American Embassy was closed. What did the American
Embassy's closure have to do with amateur radio licensing in Somalia?

> > > > I provided information on other operations from Somalia.
>
> So? I used one of those individuals ham radio to operate with.

Great. That should make it even easier for you to contact him to ask
how he did it. Maybe his operation didn't count for DXCC either.

> > > > I suggested that you contact the DXCC desk for info on accepted
> > > > operations.
>
> I'm not worried about it 10 years after the fact.

...a non-DXer's ambivalence.

> > > > Despite being provided the info in the last two items above, you've
> done
> > > > ziltch.
>
> Despite serving up the ARRL information on the two methods of gaining
> permission to operate from Somalia, you STILL question it.
>
> You're just thick.

Do you think the UN would provide written authorization or just
someone's verbal assurance that it is okay to operate on the amateur
bands?

Dave K8MN

3210. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 11:21:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D579A38.4C6DBAB5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51015

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20020809080236.07941.00002684@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
> >
> >>> The person who gave such permission did not have the authority do so. If I
> gave
> >>> you permission to operate on 13.999 MHz, would you do it?
> >>
> >>Ed, you're being silly.
> >
> >You are correct. It is silly to operate amateur radio under circumstances for
> >which the person giving you "authorization" does not have authority to do so.
>
> It is even sillier when an ARRL "officer" tries to blackball another
> radio amateur with untrue charges.
>
> It is even sillier when an ARRL "officer" decides he or she can
> override military authority over military personnel on active duty.
>
> It is much more sillier when an ARRL "officer" disregards the
> Directives of his own "command."
>
> Are you trying to be a Barracks Lawyer and re-interpreting the
> Uniform Code of Amateur Justice (UCAJ) here?

If you'd really like to stick around to see the laughs, Len, it gets
even sillier when a non-radio amateur sticks his nib in. Your knowledge
of amateur licensing in foreign countries and of the DXCC program is
legend.

Dave K8MN

3211. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 11:27:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D579BC4.BC9B3EF4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51016

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D554936.DA1842E2@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D549F9D.6F71669D@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D543BE2.20F9800B@hotmail.com...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > > I'm not sure whether you're a "git" or a "yobo", Cecil.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Cecil is not a yobo. He's too tall.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > What? No 300,000 ft tall yobos?
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> > > > > >
> > > > > The ones I've seen are all short and have kimchi breath.
> > > > >
> > > > You've encountered short Brits with Kimchi breath?
> > >
> > > Never.
> > >
> > Both terms are British slang. "Yobo" is "Oboy" backward.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Perhaps. I know both terms as Korean. A Yobo is a girlfriend, and kimchi
> is a fermented cabbage side dish.
>
> What is kimchi in the UK?

The same as in the United States: Korean sauerkraut with some garlic and
pepper for kick.

Dave K8MN
```

3212. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 11:29:54 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj895i$9qv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Radiofreenoodles" <nood3les@aol.com> wrote in message
news:FRx59.13222$2k.1097032@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj5ja0$2ql$3@pita.alt.net...
> > "too many radios" <radiofrann@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:Nwa59.8572$M4.694071@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > All the qrmer's are startin
> > > to come outta the woodwork
> > > again too!!
> > >
> > > Looks liek 14313 is springin back to
> > > ;ife and will shortly regain it's title
> > > as the "Jerry Springer Show Frequency"
> > > of ham radio - HA!!! HA!!! HA!!!!
> > >
> > >
> > How stupid.......
>
> Not as stupid perhaps if 14.313 once again becomes
> the natural magnet for every screwball and fruitkake
> that we seem to be so blessed with in this hobby called
> ham radio. Let 14.313 become the RF toilettick magnet
> that it once was so that all the kooks and crazies from all the
> other places on the band can gravitate to. This way the rest of
> ham radio is clear and all the nuts are in one place where
> Hollingsworth can pick em off like fish in a barrel.

Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40 meters has its'
spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
```

3213. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 11:48:10 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj895l$9qv$2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gerbil Boy "Brian Pussy Burke" N0IMD <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in message
news:A4v59.865$7R6.104117287@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj5j9s$2ql$0@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" N0IMD <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in message
> > news:FLi59.1600$e_3.115188870@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > > > Really? I suppose that's what helped you extract that gerbil you
> regularly
> > stick up
> > > > there.
> > >
> > > I've never put a gerbil in a bowl of oat bran. That's sick.
> >
> > Oh, so you've just had one up your rectum, then?
> > That's even sicker.
> >
> Yes, it is even sicker.

Then why do ~you~ engage in such practices?

>Where do you get such sick ideas?

From YOU, Gerbil boy.

>Go get help.

Follow your own advice, fuckup.

> > And you still haven't addressed your screw up about the callsigns.
> >
> I've made no screw up.

Wrong again, Gerbil boy. You made statements that distorted the facts or you just
plainly didn't know what the fuck you are talking about.

You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
"I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

You tried to distort the truth and are now lieing about it.

--
Brian Puyssy Burke, N0IMD blathered out of his ass in
<17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
"I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

3214. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 11:49:21 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj895o$9qv$3@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Gerbil Boy "Brian Pussy Burke" N0IMD <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in message
news:R6v59.866$PP6.104046697@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj5j9u$2ql$1@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > > Seems nobody can get along on here.
> >
> > Seems to me that if one isn't attacked, he wouldn't attack back. You
> convienientlly
> > forget that.
>
> Attack?

Another screwup?

Brian Pussy Burke blathered ignorantly in
<17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
"I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

3215. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 11:51:29 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj895p$9qv$4@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dicksucking Douglas" <douglas@20meterstexas.com> wrote in message
news:aj6eenqj0@pita.alt.net...
> Certainly most people understand by now that AB8MQ
> is "attacked" only in his psychopathic brain.

And Dicksucking Douglas is getting a encephalitis attack because of his West Nile Virus. Poor Douggie baby won't be able to cheat other hams at hamfests anymore.

3216. Re: Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 11:58:33 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj895q$9qv$5@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

Lllllardasssssssss"Lloyd" aka Prison BITCH Paris<BroLloyd@BARFisBack.org> wrote
in message news:aj5tpcpma0@pita.alt.net...
> Roger,
>
> You are the only one who has posted child pornography

While Lllllllardasssssssss aka Wugene posed as a 14-year-old-girl in a chat room,
just like one of the ones that have already got busted for child pornography did,
according to Fox News. Don't worry, COWARD, I've let the people doing the arrests
know of a possible accomplice to the pornography rig is you.
Since you fit the M.O. and so does wu-wu-Wugene.

"A few months ago I entered chat as a "Cyberslut", supposedly a 15 year
old girl."
- "Jesus Slut Fucker" trolls for a little teen boy-love
article <3BEE73DA.4050503@home.com>

3217. Re: Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 12:07:09 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj895v$9qv$9@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

"Zitchey" <BARF@StumpjumperHQ38945.net> wrote in message
news:aj6ule$46g$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> AB8MQ@alt.net wrote in message
>
> > "Anonymous COWARD" <Anonymous
><<<<<<plonk>>>>>>
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Chickenshit Zitchey......No wonder you hid under the tables at the Hamfests,
Dumbo-ears.

>
> Wiseman never answers the question, as usual, then
> Wiseman Cuts & Runs *AGAIN*
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Oh, the irony.

[What Zitchey ran, now waddled his fatass away from, restored]

"Anonymous COWARD" <Anonymous@NobodyAnonymousUnknown.com> wrote in message
news:aj3s23$i9l$0@pita.alt.net...
> Roger,

<Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhh!!!!!!!!>

> My question. You apparently function in society Roger, so I
> will venture that you know right from wrong. Child
> pornography is wrong! Dead wrong, and you know it
> Roger! So why do you post it?

Why do you manufacture it with your own kids? Why were you in Yahoo chat groups
trying to hawk it?

> I challenge you to respond to this post, without resorting to
> profanity and obscene speech. You claim you are an
> educated man. We would like to see you respond in a
> rational, mature manner. Your turn.

Says a no-credibility idiot who posts without a callsign.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! And what about the other "obscene"
posts that are posted on here? You never seem to complain about those, or is it
because you authored them, Xtian hypocrite?

[end restore]

Poor Zitchey, his only friends are the mules he sodomizes.
Zitchey, did you put flowers on your dead daughter's grave over the weekend? You caused her to slit her own wrists with your raping of her.

--
Zitchey Pot kettle Blacks, right after snipping and waddling away himself in <aj6ule$46g$0@pita.alt.net>:
"<...> never answers the question, as usual, then <...> Cuts & Runs *AGAIN*"

Grade school dropout George "Polly/Zitchey" Ashley displays his ironic choice of words, even though he doesn't know what ironic means in <45b0a4c9.0207041625.2156c7a4@posting.google.com>:
"...would you like frys with that sir?" flipper, such Morons."

3218. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 14:10:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812101003.03579.00004399@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51032

>Oh, " the ham replied, "do you want me to start over and talk slower?"
>

Bryan cant you guys be a little original?

3219. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 14:13:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812101333.03579.00004403@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51033

> But by then the mothership came by and picked him
>up. The aliens wanted to learn CW rather than waste time making
>crop circles.
>
>Why no crop circles in American farms? 12 gauge shotguns....

Now see Bryan this guy added to the story.

3220. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 15:06:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812110628.08588.00003193@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 51034

>Subject: Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 08/12/02 03:44 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <aj8dkh9i38@enews1.newsguy.com>

>
>Seems that a person cannot forget what they never paid attention to in the
>first place!

Arrrrrrrrrrrgh!

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

3221. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 16:55:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812125515.10263.00000411@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 51035

In article <20020807200736.03590.00002175@mb-cc.aol.com>,
nevertrustlennie@aol.com (NeverTrustLennie) writes:

> Always the White Knight of Newsgroup Etiquette, Lennie demonstrated to may
>of us this past week just how two faced he really is.
>
> He did this by pulling a "bait and switch". He offered a "copy" of his
>commercial license, then instead sent this picture instead. he IS a person
without honor.
>
> The link is an "AOL Hometown" page. If you do not want to click on the
>link, look up this screen name at AOL Hometown's web page and see for
>yourself.
>
>73

Steve,

Why are you surprised and/or dismayed?

Did you REALLY think you would get a scan of what you asked for, and nothing
else? I didn't ask for anything, yet he sent me the same junk. Not even worth
the download time.No big deal, that's what the DELETE function is for.

I don't doubt that certain people here have held various commerical radio
licenses. Do you?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3222. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 17:26:15 UTC
From: "Bro. Lloyd" <BroLloyd@BARF14313HQnet.org>
Message-ID: <aj8r3hfsv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51036
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message
>
> Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40 meters has
its'
> spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
>
>

Wiseman self-admits that he's been jamming unidentified
on 75 & 40 meters. Information forwarded to Riley.
Thanks for confirming what we already knew Curtains Boy!

Bro. Lloyd
Computer Specialist
A+++ C+ Certified
Network Certified

3223. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 17:58:03 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <aj8uqknmg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51038
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Llardasssssssss Lloyd" <BroLloyd@BARF14313HQnet.org> wrote in message
news:aj8r3hfsv0@pita.alt.net...
>
> AB8MQ@alt.net wrote in message
> >
> > Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40 meters has
its'
> > spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
> >
> >
>
> Wiseman self-admits [BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!! Poor N0VFP...
He still can't pass that A+, or the General license....]

Lllardasssssssss Lllllloyd self-outs he's the one who's been jamming HF with his
Hallicrafters.
The enforcement action is already in the works, Llardasssssssss Lllllllllllllloyd.
Looks like you needn't bother even renewing.

3224. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 18:19:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812141930.10263.00000413@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 51037

In article <3D579BC4.BC9B3EF4@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>The same as in the United States: Korean sauerkraut with some garlic and
>pepper for kick.

Great stuff! There used to be a West Philly lunch truck that had it, but that
was years ago (sigh).

73 de Jim, N2EY

3225. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 19:17:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51039

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 08/12/02 12:55 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020812125515.1

>Did you REALLY think you would get a scan of what you asked for, and nothing
>else? I didn't ask for anything, yet he sent me the same junk. Not even worth
>the download time.No big deal, that's what the DELETE function is for.

I did ask, Jim, and I thought for once he was sincere. Of course I thought so a couple months ago when I put out that inquiry about a model aircraft I was looking for....remember? His first answer was very civil and helpful, but then in the very next breath he turned on me.

I read some of Lennie's early stuff from five to six years ago in RRAP and other forums and I see the same pattern. At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia, then he's a pit viper ready to strike.

Too bad...I am sure that at one time he could have made some valuable and insightful contributions to Amateur Radio.

>I don't doubt that certain people here have held various commerical radio
>licenses. Do you?

In his case...?!?! Yes, I would have my doubts. But again, it only mattered to me that Lennie offered to do it, then used the invitation as an opportunity to sent the obscene picture in it's stead with the lame "wrong file" story. I think we can all ((wink, wink, nod nod)) believe his story...Uh huh...suuuuuuuuure we do. I know that had it been ME, and with the "history" between us such that it is, I would have checked and then re-checked to make sure it was the right file.

I guess they didn't teach that to Lennie in his "night school" engineering program.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3226. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 20:43:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <U5V59.2673$gx.151498973@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51040

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> You for starters since you brought up the fact that you could visit the
> PTT and that the American Embassy was closed. What did the American
> Embassy's closure have to do with amateur radio licensing in Somalia?

That there was no one available locally to grease the skids as in all of
your dxpeditions.

3227. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 20:45:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <W7V59.2675$7U1.156564663@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51041

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Despite serving up the ARRL information on the two methods of gaining
> > permission to operate from Somalia, you STILL question it.
> >
> > You're just thick.
>
> Do you think the UN would provide written authorization or just
> someone's verbal assurance that it is okay to operate on the amateur
> bands?

Don't know, don't care. If you're that interested, why don't you ask them.

3228. Re: "...Welfare mothers of color..." -- An apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 20:51:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fdV59.2678$xJ1.155922572@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51042

I especially like it when they try to use the card on the last day of the
month and the USDA hasn't plussed up their account yet. Then there is the
arguing, the paging over the grocery store intercom, the irritation...

So I get to wait an extra long time after already working an extra long day.

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:aj8dkd5i38@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Hmmm.. food stamps??? Michigan has given welfare recipients a debit card
> that operates like a credit card. I guess that helps them to gain some
> dignity. It is called the Bridge Card (i.e. Mackinaw Bridge)
>
> Christ, I work 60+ hours a week, plus on a part-paid fire department,
pursue
> classes and training and I still can't afford to eat every day.
> Unfortunately I live in a very Republicrat community where they expect you
> to pay considerable more for rent etc., and do not pay worth a darn. The
> same jobs in most other cities that I have held command somewhere between
> 8-11 dollars, but I am busting my butt to survive at a little over 6 bucks
> per hour before so-called benefits and taxes.
>
> At this point welfare is starting to look better and better, fortunately I
> have much more pride than that.
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
>
> > The other day I passed on the lobster because it was too expensive.
> > When I got to the checkout line, the lady in front of me paid for a
> > lobster with food stamps. :-(
> > --
> \
>
>

3229. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 20:52:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EeV59.2679$ZV1.156685591@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj895l$9qv$2@pita.alt.net...
> Gerbil Boy "Brian Pussy Burke" N0IMD <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in
message
> news:A4v59.865$7R6.104117287@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:aj5j9s$2ql$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" N0IMD <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in message
> > > news:FLi59.1600$e_3.115188870@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > > > > > Really? I suppose that's what helped you extract that gerbil you
> > regularly
> > > > stick up
> > > > > > there.
> > > > >
> > > > I've never put a gerbil in a bowl of oat bran. That's sick.
> > >
> > > Oh, so you've just had one up your rectum, then?
> > > That's even sicker.
> >
> > Yes, it is even sicker.
>
> Then why do ~you~ engage in such practices?
>
>
> >Where do you get such sick ideas?
>
> From YOU, Gerbil boy.
>
> >Go get help.
>
> Follow your own advice, fuckup.
>
> > > And you still haven't addressed your screw up about the callsigns.
> >
> > I've made no screw up.
>
> Wrong again, Gerbil boy. You made statements that distorted the facts or
you just
> plainly didn't know what the fuck you are talking about.
>
> You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

>
> You tried to distort the truth and are now lieing about it.
>
> --
> Brian Puyssy Burke, N0IMD blathered out of his ass in
> <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

Roger, you're beyond pathetic. Don't tell be you're born again?

3230. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 20:54:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MfV59.2680$TY1.156853363@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51044

Wait till Mr. Finnigan hears this one.

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:aj8dkh9i38@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Hey Cecil.... did ya hear that if you develop Attention Deficit Disorder
> while you are younger protects you from getting Alzhiemers (sp.?) ???
>
> Seems that a person cannot forget what they never paid attention to in the
> first place! :) :)
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> Since I retired, I have developed attention
> > deficit.
> > --
> > cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
>

3231. Re: Cardinal Biggles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 20:57:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HiV59.2682$u_1.156950678@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51045

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020812141930.10263.00000413@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <3D579BC4.BC9B3EF4@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >The same as in the United States: Korean sauerkraut with some garlic and
> >pepper for kick.
>
> Great stuff! There used to be a West Philly lunch truck that had it, but
that
> was years ago (sigh).
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Just don't strike a match around it - POOF!

3232. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 21:00:04 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <olV59.2683$o%1.157010162@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51046

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020812101333.03579.00004403@mb-md.aol.com...
> > But by then the mothership came by and picked him
> >up. The aliens wanted to learn CW rather than waste time making
> >crop circles.
> >
> >Why no crop circles in American farms? 12 gauge shotguns....
>
> Now see Bryan this guy added to the story.

How would I know? You refused to post the original ending?

I like Bob's ending. Did you have one?

3233. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 21:01:17 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xmV59.2684$g%1.157001796@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51047

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020812101003.03579.00004399@mb-md.aol.com...
> >Oh, " the ham replied, "do you want me to start over and talk slower?"
> >
>
> Bryan cant you guys be a little original?

Like: Know Code = this, and No Code = that?

3234. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 22:56:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208121456.3e7e2046@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51048

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020812125515.10263.00000411@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> In article <20020807200736.03590.00002175@mb-cc.aol.com>,
> nevertrustlennie@aol.com (NeverTrustLennie) writes:
>
> > Always the White Knight of Newsgroup Etiquette, Lennie demonstrated to may
> >of us this past week just how two faced he really is.
> >
> > He did this by pulling a "bait and switch". He offered a "copy" of his
> >commercial license, then instead sent this picture instead. he IS a person
> without honor.
> >
> > The link is an "AOL Hometown" page. If you do not want to click on the
> >link, look up this screen name at AOL Hometown's web page and see for
> >yourself.
> >
> >73
>
> Steve,
>
> Why are you surprised and/or dismayed?
>
> Did you REALLY think you would get a scan of what you asked for, and nothing
> else? I didn't ask for anything, yet he sent me the same junk. Not even worth
> the download time.No big deal, that's what the DELETE function is for.
>
> I don't doubt that certain people here have held various commerical radio
> licenses. Do you?
>
>
Second Telegraph here. Beep-beep. 20-22 wpm. Beep-beep. No Model 19
putzbox to decode the stuff allowed, had to have the SKILL. Beep-beep.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3235. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 23:10:01 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dfX59.9747$Ep6.757667@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51049

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020812125515.10263.00000411@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <20020807200736.03590.00002175@mb-cc.aol.com>,
> nevertrustlennie@aol.com (NeverTrustLennie) writes:
>

>
> I don't doubt that certain people here have held various commerical
radio
> licenses. Do you?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

P1-17-15398 here

Dick BK

3236. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-12 23:50:35 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812195035.01319.00003293@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 51050

>Bryan cant you guys be a little original?

Those sound like Blonde jokes, with the word "anti-coder" replacing the word "Blonde".

How about the idea that there was a pro-coder, so obsessed with his code speed that he sacrificed learning other aspects of amateur radio? CWers then to resent the technical skills, rather than aspire to them.
A Ham was so obsessed with CW, he built up his CW skills and compromised his other skills in the process. A CWer, working on code speed that could not fix a simple solder connection so he gave it to his anti-coder buddy to fix. Just an observation.

To non-Ham rf people, CW means an un-modulated carrier (a sine wave at one frequency). To Hams, CW, means "continuous-wave", meaning Morse code, which has an infinite spectrum. I like the Ham definition better. Infinite spectrum = Continuous Wave. Of course other waveforms may also have an infinite spectrum also, such as white noise.

What is a CW filter? Everyone knows English, but not everyone knows code. By transmitting in CW, you act as a CW filter. A CW filter filters out the regular people and lets you find the strange coders.

Contesters that spend too much time at their stations contesting become "fat Hams". Direction finders hone in on a target and become "lean Hams".

3237. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 00:36:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 51051

In article <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 12 Aug 2002 19:17:20 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 08/12/02 12:55 Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020812125515.1
>
>>Did you REALLY think you would get a scan of what you asked for, and nothing
>>else? I didn't ask for anything, yet he sent me the same junk. Not even
>>worth the download time.No big deal, that's what the DELETE function is for.
>
> I did ask, Jim, and I thought for once he was sincere.

Why did you think that he would be 'sincere' after all of the other evidence?

>Of course I thought
>so a couple months ago when I put out that inquiry about a model aircraft I
>was looking for....remember? His first answer was very civil and helpful, but
>then in the very next breath he turned on me.

So you have seen the pattern before.
>
> I read some of Lennie's early stuff from five to six years ago in RRAP
>and other forums and I see the same pattern.

Other forums?

> At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia,
>then he's a pit viper ready to strike.

Only if you dare to disagree, or point out errors in his information or reasoning.
>
> Too bad...I am sure that at one time he could have made some valuable and
>insightful contributions to Amateur Radio.

That would require his wanting the ARS to survive and flourish. I think he wants exactly the opposite to happen.
>
>>I don't doubt that certain people here have held various commerical radio
>>licenses. Do you?
>

> In his case...?!?! Yes, I would have my doubts.

Why? The commercial license exams weren't very hard. I got the Second Class at the age of 18 with no study at all. IIRC, there was an age requirement back then, too.

Compared to freshman year EE school, the commercial licenses were duck soup. I never went for a First Class ('Phone or 'Telegraph) simply because I had no need of them - and the fees were considerable in the early 1970s.

> But again, it only
>mattered to me that Lennie offered to do it, then used the invitation as an
>opportunity to sent the obscene picture in it's stead with the lame "wrong
>file" story.

Of course it wasn't the "wrong file" - he told me, here, what was in the file he sent.

> I think we can all ((wink, wink, nod nod)) believe his
>story...Uh huh...suuuuuuuuure we do.

Can anyone PROVE otherwise? Of course not.

>I know that had it been ME, and with the "history"
>between us such that it is, I would have checked and then re-checked to make
>sure it was the right file.

That's YOU.

The whole point of that game was for him to get you upset and ranting here. Then he can cast himself as the good guy and complain to AOL about you.
>
> I guess they didn't teach that to Lennie in his "night school"
>engineering program.

Naw - it's an old kid's game. Kid #1 provokes Kid #2, then when Kid #2 blows his top, Kid #1 cries and complains to Mom that Kid #2 is being mean.

Think about what his REAL goals and motivations are. Getting rid of the code test is just a smokescreen.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3238. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 01:30:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D58612F.82BE66D7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51052

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > You for starters since you brought up the fact that you could visit the
> > PTT and that the American Embassy was closed. What did the American
> > Embassy's closure have to do with amateur radio licensing in Somalia?
>
> That there was no one available locally to grease the skids as in all of
> your dxpeditions.

Grease the skids as in "I drove to the PTT, filled out an application
and paid the license fee"? You really are beginning to grasp at straws,
Brian. You bring up the fact that the embassy was closed as if it had
some bearing on obtaining an amateur radio license in Somalia. Then you
act as if mentioning the American Embassy was something I did. Now
you've added a sinister comment which is without merit. All of my
operations were accepted for DXCC credit.
I have all of my licenses from various countries. What'd yours from
Somalia say?

Dave K8MN

3239. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 01:32:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D5861C6.1F1E824C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51053

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > > Despite serving up the ARRL information on the two methods of gaining
> > > permission to operate from Somalia, you STILL question it.
> > >
> > > You're just thick.
> >
> > Do you think the UN would provide written authorization or just
> > someone's verbal assurance that it is okay to operate on the amateur
> > bands?
>
> Don't know, don't care. If you're that interested, why don't you ask them.

I already have the answer: The UN provides written authorization. How
did your authorization from the military read again?

Dave K8MN

3240. Re: ARNewsline 1304 - August 9 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 05:47:02 UTC
From: skuld-chan <cherry-chan@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <q3169.114005$uj.140279@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51054

"Radionews" <radionews@aol.com> wrote in message
> ENFORCEMENT: FIVE LICENSES CANCELED BY FCC
>
> Five hams who participated in a September 2001 testing session in Yucaipa
> California and who declined to be retested have lost their ham radio
licenses.
> The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth says that in this case it was the VEC who
> brought the matter to his agency:

I'm curious about this btw - does anyone have any details of what went on
with the license session? They they cheat or something?

Just curious.

sc

3241. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 10:58:42 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CD569.2839$4S5.170673260@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51055

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D58612F.82BE66D7@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > You for starters since you brought up the fact that you could visit
the
> > > PTT and that the American Embassy was closed. What did the American
> > > Embassy's closure have to do with amateur radio licensing in Somalia?
> > >
> > That there was no one available locally to grease the skids as in all of
> > your dxpeditions.
> >
> Grease the skids as in "I drove to the PTT, filled out an application
> and paid the license fee"? You really are beginning to grasp at straws,
> Brian. You bring up the fact that the embassy was closed as if it had
> some bearing on obtaining an amateur radio license in Somalia. Then you
> act as if mentioning the American Embassy was something I did. Now
> you've added a sinister comment which is without merit. All of my
> operations were accepted for DXCC credit.
> I have all of my licenses from various countries. What'd yours from
> Somalia say?
>
> Dave K8MN

I can only wonder how you got licensed in countries that have no native
amateur population.

Must have been your charming personality.

3242. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 11:00:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4F569.2840$ME5.169919500@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51056

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D5861C6.1F1E824C@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Despite serving up the ARRL information on the two methods of
gaining
> > > > permission to operate from Somalia, you STILL question it.
> > > >
> > > > You're just thick.
> > >
> > > Do you think the UN would provide written authorization or just
> > > someone's verbal assurance that it is okay to operate on the amateur
> > > bands?
> > >
> > Don't know, don't care. If you're that interested, why don't you ask
them.
>
> I already have the answer: The UN provides written authorization. How
> did your authorization from the military read again?
>
> Dave K8MN

I didn't ask permission of the UN, I asked permission from my commander and
permission was granted.

That's what the ARRL says is required.

3243. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 11:07:22 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208130307.2b8a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51058

Hi Ryan,

There's always been (and likely will always be) a contingent that will tell you that CBs are nothing more than junk, even the high-end ones. (Purely from an equipment perspective.) The HR-2510, Lincoln, RCI-2950, and I suppose the RCI-5054 have long been considered "glorified" CBs by some and hence, junk. I believe the real anger lies in the fact that many of these rigs are used to "freeband" and there apprears to be some animosity over some folks enjoying psuedo-ham hobby-type comms on HF without "earning" the privilege. How some folks can equate working DX in a 5949 Hz sliver of the HF spectrum (In the case of the "freeband.") or on the legal 40 CB channels to "imitating" amateur radio is beyond me...but that's another story for another time.

The truth is that many of these rigs, along with the higher-end CBs, (The Uniden Grant XL or LT, the older Cobra 148 or 2000GTL, and the newer Galaxy DX 2547 come to mind.) do a fine job on SSB. (I don't use AM.) There was once a time when new Novices were encouraged to convert a higher-end CB for 10-meter use. I personally know a chap that used an older Cobra 148GTL on 10-meters with no problems whatsoever. I personally like the Lincoln, which is an updated version of the HR-2510 and received a nice review in QST some years back. Some would like to blame the radio but the bottom line is this, modified or not...it's the individual's responsibility to use the radio coreectly, not misuse it. Take it easy.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message news:<aj8dkf7i38@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> Bert, I have the RCI-5054, which I didn't see on that list, and from all of
> those people I have chatted with on 6 meters, they all have said I have an
> excellent signal. Even when someone used the CW portion of the rig and made
> a contact. Nice rich full sounding signal in all modes. 25w cw/ssb and 10w
> fm/am is all it does too, which the reports I get are surprising based on
> those figures.
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>

> >
> > Kinda odd, eh Steve? I use one on 10 all the time and don't receive any
> flak
> > when asked what rig I'm using. I actually get pretty good signal and audio
> > reports. Like I said, odd.
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI

3244. USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 11:57:29 UTC
From: Paul <ssb@young.com>
Message-ID: <2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com>
Source record: 51057

Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the pictures.
It's the proof.

--
Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

3245. Re: Great Liberty Net MISSING? pfhblttt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 12:01:38 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajatvv$tp6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

```
Gerbil boy "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined and prevaricated in
message news:EeV59.2679$ZV1.156685591@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:aj895l$9qv$2@pita.alt.net...
> > Gerbil Boy "Brian Pussy Burke" N0IMD <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in
> message
> > news:A4v59.865$7R6.104117287@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:aj5j9s$2ql$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" N0IMD <bpburke@prodigy.net> whined in message
> > > > news:FLi59.1600$e_3.115188870@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > > > Really? I suppose that's what helped you extract that gerbil you
> > > regularly
> > > > > stick up
> > > > > > there.
> > > > >
> > > > > I've never put a gerbil in a bowl of oat bran. That's sick.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, so you've just had one up your rectum, then?
> > > > That's even sicker.
> > >
> > > Yes, it is even sicker.
> >
> > Then why do ~you~ engage in such practices?
> >
> >
> > >Where do you get such sick ideas?
> >
> > From YOU, Gerbil boy.
> >
> > >Go get help.
> >
> > Follow your own advice, fuckup.
> >
> > > > And you still haven't addressed your screw up about the callsigns.
> > >
> > > I've made no screw up.
> >
> > Wrong again, Gerbil boy. You made statements that distorted the facts or
> you just
> > plainly didn't know what the fuck you are talking about.
```

> >
> > You said in <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> >
> > You tried to distort the truth and are now lieing about it.
> >
> > --
> > Brian Puyssy Burke, N0IMD blathered out of his ass in
> > <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
>
> Roger, you're beyond pathetic.

Says the backpedaling liar who made the statement that 2x2's in the mainland U.S.
can have Technician/General tickets....

> Don't tell be you're born again?
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

English isn't your native language, is it? (Well, you are in Illinois..) Or have
you been taking elocution lessons from Tor Johnson?

--
Gerbil Boy Brian P. Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net> gets his pink panties in a twist
and slobbers in <EeV59.2679$ZV1.156685591@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
"Don't tell be you're born again?"

3246. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 12:17:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D58F8DB.F1BD4F88@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51060

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D58612F.82BE66D7@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > You for starters since you brought up the fact that you could visit
> the
> > > > PTT and that the American Embassy was closed. What did the American
> > > > Embassy's closure have to do with amateur radio licensing in Somalia?
> > >
> > > That there was no one available locally to grease the skids as in all of
> > > your dxpeditions.
> >
> > Grease the skids as in "I drove to the PTT, filled out an application
> > and paid the license fee"? You really are beginning to grasp at straws,
> > Brian. You bring up the fact that the embassy was closed as if it had
> > some bearing on obtaining an amateur radio license in Somalia. Then you
> > act as if mentioning the American Embassy was something I did. Now
> > you've added a sinister comment which is without merit. All of my
> > operations were accepted for DXCC credit.
> > I have all of my licenses from various countries. What'd yours from
> > Somalia say?

> I can only wonder how you got licensed in countries that have no native
> amateur population.
>
> Must have been your charming personality.

So your response is suspicion of my trips to a host country PTT to apply
for a license? Lets see: Step One--Fill out application. Step One
A--choose callsign. Step Two--Pay fee for license. Step Three--Wait for
license to arrive.

The only variation on these steps I ever encountered was in Sierra Leone
where there were certainly host country nationals who were hams. There
I had to be interviewed by an eleven person board which included
representatives of the President's office and the Sierra Leonean
military.

Once again, all of my operations have been accepted for DXCC credit. I have original licenses from all spots in my possession. Tell us what your authorization to operate from Somalia says.

Dave K8MN

3247. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 12:22:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D58F9E9.C75D455C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51061

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D5861C6.1F1E824C@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Despite serving up the ARRL information on the two methods of
> gaining
> > > > > permission to operate from Somalia, you STILL question it.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're just thick.
> > > >
> > > > Do you think the UN would provide written authorization or just
> > > > someone's verbal assurance that it is okay to operate on the amateur
> > > > bands?
> > >
> > > Don't know, don't care. If you're that interested, why don't you ask
> them.
> >
> > I already have the answer: The UN provides written authorization. How
> > did your authorization from the military read again?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I didn't ask permission of the UN, I asked permission from my commander and
> permission was granted.
>
> That's what the ARRL says is required.

That's one of two venues which the ARRL website says are possibilities.
So, what does it say on the authorization which you received from your
commander?
Which bands (and segments) and modes were you permitted? What power
level was authorized?

Dave K8MN
```

3248. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 12:32:39 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D58FC67.6739D8AD@hotmail.com>
Source record: 51062

Bert Craig wrote:
> There was once a time when new Novices were encouraged to convert
> a higher-end CB for 10-meter use.

There once was a guy who sold modification instructions for most
any CB radio that could be unlocked.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3249. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 12:38:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020813083837.25234.00000011@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 51063

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 08/12/02 20:36 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>

>Why did you think that he would be 'sincere' after all of the other evidence?

Silly me...I keep hoping agionst hope that he'll snap out of this and be a
nice guy afterall...

>Other forums?

RRAMisc, RRAAntenna, etc

>That would require his wanting the ARS to survive and flourish. I think he
>wants exactly the opposite to happen.

I can't imagine anyone "wanting" Amateur Radio to "fail", but then I guess
there are those who can find a reason to hate childhood innoculations, too.

>Of course it wasn't the "wrong file" - he told me, here, what was in the file
>he sent.

I know, Jim, I know....Lennie seems to think that's he's the only one who
can read his mistakes and "faux pas". Silly him, huh?

>>I know that had it been ME, and with the "history"
>>between us such that it is, I would have checked and then re-checked to make
>>sure it was the right file.
>
>That's YOU.
>
>The whole point of that game was for him to get you upset and ranting here.
>Then he can cast himself as the good guy and complain to AOL about you.

If that's what it takes to make him feel better about himself, then I guess
he has me to thank for it, huh...?!?!?

>Think about what his REAL goals and motivations are. Getting rid of the code
>test is just a smokescreen.

Agreed!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3250. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 12:40:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020813084041.25234.00000012@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 51064

>Subject: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators
>From: Paul ssb@young.com
>Date: 08/13/02 07:57 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com>

>Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the pictures.
>It's the proof.

As opposed to the young, skinny spermslurpers in PopComm's CB columns?

Anonymous PUTZ!

3251. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 13:16:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208130516.33c2ceb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51065

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> In article <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> > At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia,
> >then he's a pit viper ready to strike.
>
>
If he didn't have a web browser and nothing else to do with his life
but surf for quick-study info he wouldn't know squat about ham radio.
That's why he's so torqued about being locked out of the ARRL
members-only site.
>
>
> That would require his wanting the ARS to survive and flourish. I think he
> wants exactly the opposite to happen.
>
>
One would come to that conclusion based on his history in this NG but
in reality I suspect he's not even remotely concerned abt ham radio
one way or another. He's using tactics which he knows will draw
responses and generating responses, any responses, is his whole life
content completely aside.
>
>
> > I guess they didn't teach that to Lennie in his "night school"
> >engineering program.
>
>
He's an engineer like I'm the Pope. Maybe he took a few introductory
level technical courses cafeteria-style at some community college at
best. Then maybe not.
>
>
> Think about what his REAL goals and motivations are. Getting rid of the code
> test is just a smokescreen.
>
>
You're taking him far too seriously Jim, he's just another USENET
dilettante who knows how to stir up controversy for his own
entertainment and for no other reason. There's a jillion Lennys out
there in venues beyond ham radio. Basically he's snookering you and
the others who are serious abt ham radio into wasting your time by
entertaining him, he's punching buttons for jollies and he knows the

buttons. He's using you against yourself. He's a zero, simply ignore
him.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3252. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 15:27:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D594F7D.7050702@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51066

Brian Kelly wrote:

> You're taking him far too seriously Jim, he's just another USENET
> dilettante who knows how to stir up controversy for his own
> entertainment and for no other reason. There's a jillion Lennys out
> there in venues beyond ham radio. Basically he's snookering you and
> the others who are serious abt ham radio into wasting your time by
> entertaining him, he's punching buttons for jollies and he knows the
> buttons. He's using you against yourself. He's a zero, simply ignore
> him.

And yet..... here we are, feeding the troll, very well indeed. Argument is pretty futile in this case, and a well placed filter takes care of that problem. And I can guarantee that if the troll doesn't get fed, the troll goes to more fertile feeding grounds. This group is what we make of it. Do we want to have it sound like a group of foul mouthed buffoons, or foolishly amateur debaters who pounce on misspellings to try to invalidate another's argument, or a place that might be referenced by the people running the ARS show? It's our collective choice.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3253. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 16:05:40 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8pa69.8572$g47.864835@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51068

And what are you....a CHICKEN BANDER who can't LEARN it? Gee, fellas, get over it! It
will go away soon enough. And YOU, too, will become old, fat, and bald! It happens to all of
us.

Jerry
K4KWH
(Advanced) and I'm KEEPIN' it, too!
Paul <ssb@young.com> wrote in message
news:2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com...
>
>
> Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the pictures.
> It's the proof.
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

3254. Re: MORE FABULOUS anti-coder jokes! Moan lids moan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 16:27:17 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <Fra69.9936$Ke2.871995@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51067

Vshah101" wrote:

> To non-Ham rf people, CW means an un-modulated carrier (a sine wave at one
> frequency). To Hams, CW, means "continuous-wave", meaning Morse code, which has
> an infinite spectrum. I like the Ham definition better. Infinite spectrum =
> Continuous Wave. Of course other waveforms may also have an infinite spectrum
> also, such as white noise.

Axially, ya got the time/freq Fourier pairs
bass-ackwards for CW. The "infinite spectrum"
is in the time domain (infinite duration
sinusoid) and the pair of impulse-like
functions are in the frequency domain, the
usual place for a "spectrum".

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

3255. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 16:38:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tCa69.23$p87.1459397@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51069

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D58F8DB.F1BD4F88@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D58612F.82BE66D7@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > You for starters since you brought up the fact that you could
visit
> > the
> > > > > PTT and that the American Embassy was closed. What did the
American
> > > > > Embassy's closure have to do with amateur radio licensing in
Somalia?
> > > > >
> > > > That there was no one available locally to grease the skids as in
all of
> > > > your dxpeditions.
> > > >
> > > Grease the skids as in "I drove to the PTT, filled out an application
> > > and paid the license fee"? You really are beginning to grasp at
straws,
> > > Brian. You bring up the fact that the embassy was closed as if it had
> > > some bearing on obtaining an amateur radio license in Somalia. Then
you
> > > act as if mentioning the American Embassy was something I did. Now
> > > you've added a sinister comment which is without merit. All of my
> > > operations were accepted for DXCC credit.
> > > I have all of my licenses from various countries. What'd yours from
> > > Somalia say?
> >
> > I can only wonder how you got licensed in countries that have no native
> > amateur population.
> >
> > Must have been your charming personality.
>
> So your response is suspicion of my trips to a host country PTT to apply
> for a license? Lets see: Step One--Fill out application. Step One
> A--choose callsign. Step Two--Pay fee for license. Step Three--Wait for
```

> license to arrive.
>
> The only variation on these steps I ever encountered was in Sierra Leone
> where there were certainly host country nationals who were hams. There
> I had to be interviewed by an eleven person board which included
> representatives of the President's office and the Sierra Leonean
> military.

Was this meeting in a government office, a restuarant, or was it at a State Department mixer?

Dave, why would the military have any interest or say in your amateur activities? I understood that up until last Friday, the military has no authority over amateur radio whatsoever. Was your operation in Sierra Leone before or after last Friday?

> Once again, all of my operations have been accepted for DXCC credit. I
> have original licenses from all spots in my possession. Tell us what
> your authorization to operate from Somalia says.
>
> Dave K8MN

I've told you. My commander granted me permission. Just like what the ARRL web site states. But maybe, as you've pointed out, since the ARRL spelled it wrong it might not count.

3256. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 16:59:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3Wa69.24$Qi7.2071701@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51070

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208130516.33c2ceb@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> > In article <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >
> > > At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia,
> > >then he's a pit viper ready to strike.
> >
> If he didn't have a web browser and nothing else to do with his life
> but surf for quick-study info he wouldn't know squat about ham radio.
> That's why he's so torqued about being locked out of the ARRL
> members-only site.

Why would he be torqued?

He's probably logged in under Jim Haynie's ID.

3257. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 17:03:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QZa69.26$o47.1197289@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51071

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020813084041.25234.00000012@mb-cv.aol.com...
> >Subject: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators
> >From: Paul ssb@young.com
> >Date: 08/13/02 07:57 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com>
>
> >Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the
pictures.
> >It's the proof.
>
> As opposed to the young, skinny spermslurpers in PopComm's CB columns?
>
> Anonymous PUTZ!

Steve, I can't believe you actually posted this. Are you taking lessons
from AB8MQ?

3258. Re: a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 17:08:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <E2b69.27$ao7.2391251@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51072

Paul, I hail from central Ohio, and Columbus is one of the fattest cities in America. If being fat made one a good coder, then I'd be one of the best. But, alas, I'm one of the "anti-coders" according to the REAL (tm) Fat and Bald people on RRAP.

Maybe I just haven't lost enough hair yet, but at this rate I might have to comb my mustache back over my forehead.

73, Brian

"Paul" <ssb@young.com> wrote in message news:2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com...
>
>
> Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the pictures.
> It's the proof.
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

3259. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 19:20:38 UTC
From: Jake Caffrey <jc8318@caffrey8318dept.org>
Message-ID: <ajbm5ufte0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51073

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message

> It can certainly pin down to the country where the signal
> originates, and most of the time locate it +/- 50 miles. The US
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

> FCC does this sort of location for foreign Administrations (and vice
> versa) on a routine basis.

That's pure Bullshit! No civilian agency of the U.S. government possesses long-range HFDF equipment which can perform to that degree of accuracy, let alone on a steady basis. Much less perform to that degree of accuracy outside CONUS. Now....the U.S. military ....unfortunately I can't discuss that here. You oughtta rein in your bullshit once in a while Phil. There are those of us out here who happen to have experience far beyond yours, in some matters.

Jake B

3260. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 19:27:42 UTC
From: Lloyd <BroLloyd@BARFHQherbienumberone14313.net>
Message-ID: <ajbmj7gms0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Oh no! You don't suppose AB8MQ acutually gives
lessons do you?

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:QZa69.26$o47.1197289@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020813084041.25234.00000012@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > >Subject: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators
> > >From: Paul ssb@young.com
> > >Date: 08/13/02 07:57 Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com>
> >
> > >Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the
> pictures.
> > >It's the proof.
> >
> > As opposed to the young, skinny spermslurpers in PopComm's CB
columns?
> >
> > Anonymous PUTZ!
>
> Steve, I can't believe you actually posted this. Are you taking lessons
> from AB8MQ?
>
>

3261. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 19:30:03 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208131130.1604b321@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51075

CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<3D58FC67.6739D8AD@hotmail.com>...
> Bert Craig wrote:
> > There was once a time when new Novices were encouraged to convert
> > a higher-end CB for 10-meter use.
>
> There once was a guy who sold modification instructions for most
> any CB radio that could be unlocked.

He still around...with an awful lot of help. "The more things change,
the more they stay the same." Who wrote that?

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3262. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 20:37:51 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D596E1F.2C389010@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51076

Jake Caffrey wrote:

> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>
> > It can certainly pin down to the country where the signal
> > originates, and most of the time locate it +/- 50 miles. The US
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> > FCC does this sort of location for foreign Administrations (and vice
> > versa) on a routine basis.
>
> That's pure Bullshit! No civilian agency of the U.S. government
> possesses long-range HFDF equipment which can perform to that
> degree of accuracy, let alone on a steady basis. Much less perform
> to that degree of accuracy outside CONUS. Now....the U.S. military
> ....unfortunately I can't discuss that here. You oughtta rein in your
> bullshit once in a while Phil. There are those of us out here who
> happen to have experience far beyond yours, in some matters.
>
> Jake B

All you need is a really good antenna system. Several beams connected
together can be configured easily by any antenna expert. All you need
is a nice big antenna farm. You just set it up in the middle of nowhere
where land is cheap and no CC&Rs. You need a few farms scattered
around the country to do DFing. And it could be set up to be controlled
remotely.

3263. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 21:31:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D595BC2.C2046AC9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51077

Jake Caffrey wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>
> > It can certainly pin down to the country where the signal
> > originates, and most of the time locate it +/- 50 miles. The US
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> > FCC does this sort of location for foreign Administrations (and vice
> > versa) on a routine basis.
>
> That's pure Bullshit! No civilian agency of the U.S. government
> possesses long-range HFDF equipment which can perform to that
> degree of accuracy, let alone on a steady basis. Much less perform
> to that degree of accuracy outside CONUS. Now....the U.S. military
> ....unfortunately I can't discuss that here. You oughtta rein in your
> bullshit once in a while Phil. There are those of us out here who
> happen to have experience far beyond yours, in some matters.
>
> Jake B

Guess again, the FCC has it.

3264. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 21:54:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Hef69.10341$Ke2.898843@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51078

"Jake Caffrey" <jc8318@caffrey8318dept.org> wrote in message
news:ajbm5ufte0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>
> > It can certainly pin down to the country where the signal
> > originates, and most of the time locate it +/- 50 miles. The US
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> > FCC does this sort of location for foreign Administrations (and vice
> > versa) on a routine basis.
>
> That's pure Bullshit! No civilian agency of the U.S. government
> possesses long-range HFDF equipment which can perform to that
> degree of accuracy, let alone on a steady basis. Much less perform
> to that degree of accuracy outside CONUS. Now....the U.S. military
> ....unfortunately I can't discuss that here. You oughtta rein in
your
> bullshit once in a while Phil. There are those of us out here who
> happen to have experience far beyond yours, in some matters.
>
> Jake B

Jake I hate to tell you this but about 100 miles west of where I live the FCC did a better job than that, like a square mile on the open ocean, with German subs in 1940. They put the DF on the cable to come out as Royal Navy Bermuda. I guess Grand Island NE was chosen because it is about the same skip haul as Berlin. This is not rocket science.

Dick NBK

3265. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 22:24:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020813182443.14949.00000130@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51079

>Subject: Re: 10 meter = CB?
>From: "Jake Caffrey" jc8318@caffrey8318dept.org
>Date: 08/13/02 15:20 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ajbm5ufte0@pita.alt.net>

>That's pure ********! No civilian agency of the U.S. government
>possesses long-range HFDF equipment which can perform to that
>degree of accuracy, let alone on a steady basis.

Profanity and stupidity mixed with arrogance and ignorance. NOT a good
combination, Jake.

>You oughtta rein in your
>******** once in a while Phil. There are those of us out here who
>happen to have experience far beyond yours, in some matters.

I don't know which "us" you claim to be a part of, Jake, but it's not a
very well informed "us".

Steve, K4YZ

3266. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 22:31:23 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020813183123.23959.00000068@mb-bk.news.cs.com>
Source record: 51080

>He still around...with an awful lot of help. "The more things change,
>the more they stay the same." Who wrote that?

That'd be "he's" still around. EMMMMMMMbarassing! :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3267. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 22:38:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <uTf69.4266$nJ5.1489@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51081

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Hef69.10341$Ke2.898843@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Jake Caffrey" <jc8318@caffrey8318dept.org> wrote in message
> news:ajbm5ufte0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> >
> > > It can certainly pin down to the country where the signal
> > > originates, and most of the time locate it +/- 50 miles. The US
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > > FCC does this sort of location for foreign Administrations (and vice
> > > versa) on a routine basis.
> >
> > That's pure Bullshit! No civilian agency of the U.S. government
> > possesses long-range HFDF equipment which can perform to that
> > degree of accuracy, let alone on a steady basis. Much less perform
> > to that degree of accuracy outside CONUS. Now....the U.S. military
> > ....unfortunately I can't discuss that here. You oughtta rein in
> your
> > bullshit once in a while Phil. There are those of us out here who
> > happen to have experience far beyond yours, in some matters.
> >
> > Jake B
>
> Jake I hate to tell you this but about 100 miles west of where I live the
> FCC did a better job than that, like a square mile on the open ocean, with
> German subs in 1940. They put the DF on the cable to come out as Royal
> Navy Bermuda. I guess Grand Island NE was chosen because it is about the
> same skip haul as Berlin. This is not rocket science.
>
> Dick NBK

Elkhorn?

3268. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 22:39:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EUf69.4268$tE5.4253@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Let's hope not.

"Lloyd" <BroLloyd@BARFHQherbienumberone14313.net> wrote in message
news:ajbmj7gms0@pita.alt.net...
> Oh no! You don't suppose AB8MQ acutually gives
> lessons do you?
>
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:QZa69.26$o47.1197289@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020813084041.25234.00000012@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators
> > > >From: Paul ssb@young.com
> > > >Date: 08/13/02 07:57 Eastern Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com>
> > >
> > > >Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the
> > pictures.
> > > >It's the proof.
> > >
> > > As opposed to the young, skinny spermslurpers in PopComm's CB
> columns?
> > >
> > > Anonymous PUTZ!
> >
> > Steve, I can't believe you actually posted this. Are you taking lessons
> > from AB8MQ?
> >
> >
>
>

3269. Re: a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-13 22:56:02 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulj3ii7kf0seaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51083

I wouldn't be too sure. I've a full head of hair and a high voltage probe
that would put most to shame.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - and the high voltage probe is calibrated and works just fine at any
time, thank you.

"Paul" <ssb@young.com> wrote in message
news:2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com...
>
>
> Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the
pictures.
> It's the proof.
>
>
>
>
> --
> Use our news server 'news.foorum.com' from anywhere.
> More details at: http://nnrpinfo.go.foorum.com/

3270. Re: Some p o r n s for you, this is never spamming! H 0 T !! ------<<<>>>------ CMg7euCOOS 4237342127

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 00:51:37 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tQh69.10533$Ke2.916569@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ali Taysseer Muhammad" <l75iaax@voxvop.com.ar> wrote in message news:68A1EE2B1D2B8FF5D4B7E8@news.arcor-ip.net...

How can it be pornographic when I do not even own a pornograph?

3271. Re: Question for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 02:10:00 UTC
From: Douglas <dcte@dcteorginc7240.net>
Message-ID: <ajce5j$9h9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.flamenet

Notice how Wiseman never answers the questions asked by
the original poster of this thread, a typical Curtains Boy
"Cut & Run." ALSO notice though, Shebelle never denies
he is the one posting child pornography.

Question for Wiseman:
WHY DO YOU POST CHILD PORNOGRAPHY???????????????

Space left here for Wiseman to cut & run a-g-a-i-n !!!!!!

"Anonymous" <Anonymous@NobodyAnonymousUnknown.com> wrote in message
news:aj3s23$i9l$0@pita.alt.net...
> Roger,
>
> For months we have read the various sick, obscene, and
> demented posts which you have written and posted in these
> NGs. I am particularly addressing the sick and vulgar
> comments you post about the underage children of amateur
> radio operators whom you have differences of opinion with.
> Your descriptions of various sexual acts that you claim these
> children engage in with adults is sickening, disgusting, and
> illegal. Yes Roger, such acts, contrary to your belief of free
> speech, are not and have never been First Amendment
> protected speech.
>
> My question. You apparently function in society Roger, so I
> will venture that you know right from wrong. Child
> pornography is wrong! Dead wrong, and you know it
> Roger! So why do you post it?
>
> I challenge you to respond to this post, without resorting to
> profanity and obscene speech. You claim you are an
> educated man. We would like to see you respond in a
> rational, mature manner. Your turn.
>
> Anonymous
>
>
>
>
>
>

3272. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 04:04:17 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D59D6C0.D807199B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51086

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Piece of cake...but I would make it in one weekend not a couple of months.
> As long as QSL's are not required. Or maybe get them on EQSL would be
> alright.

cggvyjgytuvjkbyku

3273. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 04:04:56 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D59D6E8.52335215@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51087

Mike Coslo wrote:
more test not worht

3274. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 04:16:09 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926A2BBA7166elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 51088

stevebg <sbgood@njnet.com> wrote in news:3D268B46.D7F4756A@njnet.com:

> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>
>

One word - troll!

N3KIP

3275. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 04:20:09 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926A3697DC6Delektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 51089

CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in news:3D2A65DC.62C6CE77@hotmail.com:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> In article <3D28927E.83537601@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> >> They may be, if you axe them to QSY down to the CW portion of the
>> >> band, and meet you there for a QSO at a speed =>13 wpm. If they
>> >> are ready, willing, and able to do so, then they're Real Hams. If
>> >> not, they're just a bunch of CB'ers. That's the plain, simple,
>> >> unvarnished TRVTH.
>> >
>> >You mean that any ham who dislikes you personally enough to be of the
>> >opinion that you are not worth talking to is defined to be a CBer?
>>
>> I don't know where you got that from, Cecil.
>
> Just hypothesizing a ham who is indeed capable of 13+wpm but still
> refuses to meet you in the CW portion of the band because he doesn't
> want to QSO with the likes of you. :-)

Or what about one passed 20 wpm but won't meet you at the CW end because
he can't stand CW and doesn't own a key?

3276. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 04:25:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D59DBBA.ED1FBC70@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51090

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D58F8DB.F1BD4F88@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D58612F.82BE66D7@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You for starters since you brought up the fact that you could
> visit
> > > the
> > > > > > PTT and that the American Embassy was closed. What did the
> American
> > > > > > Embassy's closure have to do with amateur radio licensing in
> Somalia?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That there was no one available locally to grease the skids as in
> all of
> > > > > > your dxpeditions.
> > > > >
> > > > > Grease the skids as in "I drove to the PTT, filled out an application
> > > > > and paid the license fee"? You really are beginning to grasp at
> straws,
> > > > > Brian. You bring up the fact that the embassy was closed as if it had
> > > > > some bearing on obtaining an amateur radio license in Somalia. Then
> you
> > > > > act as if mentioning the American Embassy was something I did. Now
> > > > > you've added a sinister comment which is without merit. All of my
> > > > > operations were accepted for DXCC credit.
> > > > > I have all of my licenses from various countries. What'd yours from
> > > > > Somalia say?
> > >
> > > I can only wonder how you got licensed in countries that have no native
> > > amateur population.
> > >
> > > Must have been your charming personality.
> >
> > So your response is suspicion of my trips to a host country PTT to apply
```

> > for a license? Lets see: Step One--Fill out application. Step One
> > A--choose callsign. Step Two--Pay fee for license. Step Three--Wait for
> > license to arrive.
> >
> > The only variation on these steps I ever encountered was in Sierra Leone
> > where there were certainly host country nationals who were hams. There
> > I had to be interviewed by an eleven person board which included
> > representatives of the President's office and the Sierra Leonean
> > military.
>
> Was this meeting in a government office, a restuarant, or was it at a State
> Department mixer?

The board met in the building housing the Sierra Leonean PTT.

> Dave, why would the military have any interest or say in your amateur
> activities? I understood that up until last Friday, the military has no
> authority over amateur radio whatsoever. Was your operation in Sierra Leone
> before or after last Friday?

You know, Brian, I wondered the same thing myself. I also wondered why President Momoh's office sent a delegate. The Sierra Leonean military was not, in any case, issuing verbal okays in a country with no functional government. Their interest in amateur radio permits had to do with permission for a foreign national to operate the amateur bands in their country. My case was not special. All foreign applicants for amateur licenses in Sierra Leone went through the same procedure. I have no idea if Sierra Leonean nationals had to undergo the process. A Norwegian fellow living up country whom I met in the hall before the interview told me that this was his fourth trip.

> > Once again, all of my operations have been accepted for DXCC credit. I
> > have original licenses from all spots in my possession. Tell us what
> > your authorization to operate from Somalia says.

> I've told you. My commander granted me permission. Just like what the ARRL
> web site states. But maybe, as you've pointed out, since the ARRL spelled
> it wrong it might not count.

So it is apparent that you have nothing in writing that says you ever had permission from anyone. I'd guess that wouldn't be acceptable for DXCC purposes.

Dave K8MN

3277. Re: Why I will never ask a DXCC award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 04:44:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D5A0A64.8060706@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51091

Robert Casey wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
> more test not worht

Huh? Sumpin wrong here Robert!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3278. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 05:10:48 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926ABFF9801Felektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 51092

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in
news:aj8dkg8i38@enews1.newsguy.com:

> Then there is a decent chance she may also have a problem with the
> written tests also if she was to have problems with the morse
> portion....
>
> My best wishes to her.
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>>
>> I'm willing to bet a lobster dinner that my 92 year old aunt cannot
>> learn Morse code. She is having a good day if she remembers my name.
>> --
>> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>>
>>
>> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

Most people I think miss the real issue on code testing. It is many times easier to pass a test in a subject that you have a real interest in. Most of those people who say they have a hard time learning code are deeply interested in radio, but have about as much interest in operating CW as they might have in watching paint dry. If CW holds even a very faint shred of interest for you, then you will never understand why this particular form of torture shouldn't be visited on those of us who don't. For the record, I eventually passed even 20 wpm, but have never bought a key, and won't anytime soon. N3KIP

3279. Re: Some p o r n s for you, this is never spamming! H 0 T !! ------<<<>>>------ CMg7euCOOS 4237342127

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 07:00:02 UTC
From: skuld-chan <cherry-chan@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Sdn69.93305$UU1.17385@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 51093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ah touche...

sc

> new site, links to free porns! We is never spam! Check my hetais links!

3280. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 09:13:15 UTC
From: SLee@houston-hunks.com
Message-ID: <beavis.n.butthead.love.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 51094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In real life, AB8MQ undoubtedly eschews gutter talk in favor of more esoteric and learned discourse with his students. In fact, he probably is mentoring some future Hemingways right now, and is teaching them the novelist's special use of language.

For example, when Margaret Mitchell wrote "Gone With the Wind" in 1936, she had Rhett Butler say all of the wrong things to Scarlett, but AB8MQ's students will get it right. In their version, Rhett will say "I don't give a flying fuck what you do, you skanky, smegmalipped, jizzswallowing whore. You can go down on your brother again for all I care."

After that, the AB8MQ Workshop version will become an MTV movie narrated by Beavis and Butthead.

On Tue, 13 Aug 2002 15:27:42 -0400, Lloyd wrote:

> Oh no! You don't suppose AB8MQ acutually gives
> lessons do you?
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:QZa69.26$o47.1197289@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020813084041.25234.00000012@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators
> > > >From: Paul ssb@young.com
> > > >Date: 08/13/02 07:57 Eastern Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com>
> > >
> > > >Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the
> > pictures.
> > > > >It's the proof.
> > >
> > > As opposed to the young, skinny spermslurpers in PopComm's CB
> > > columns?
> > >
> > > Anonymous PUTZ!
> >
> > Steve, I can't believe you actually posted this. Are you taking lessons
> > from AB8MQ?

3281. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 10:29:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <liq69.2650$7Z5.35921046@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51095

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D59DBBA.ED1FBC70@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D58F8DB.F1BD4F88@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D58612F.82BE66D7@earthlink.net...
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You for starters since you brought up the fact that you could
> > visit
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > PTT and that the American Embassy was closed. What did the
> > American
> > > > > > > Embassy's closure have to do with amateur radio licensing in
> > Somalia?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > That there was no one available locally to grease the skids as
in
> > all of
> > > > > > your dxpeditions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Grease the skids as in "I drove to the PTT, filled out an
application
> > > > > and paid the license fee"? You really are beginning to grasp at
> > straws,
> > > > > Brian. You bring up the fact that the embassy was closed as if it
had
> > > > > some bearing on obtaining an amateur radio license in Somalia.
Then
> > you
> > > > > act as if mentioning the American Embassy was something I did.
Now
> > > > > you've added a sinister comment which is without merit. All of my
> > > > > operations were accepted for DXCC credit.
> > > > > I have all of my licenses from various countries. What'd yours
from

> > > > > Somalia say?
> > >
> > > > I can only wonder how you got licensed in countries that have no
native
> > > > amateur population.
> > > >
> > > > Must have been your charming personality.
> > >
> > > So your response is suspicion of my trips to a host country PTT to
apply
> > > for a license? Lets see: Step One--Fill out application. Step One
> > > A--choose callsign. Step Two--Pay fee for license. Step Three--Wait
for
> > > license to arrive.
> > >
> > > The only variation on these steps I ever encountered was in Sierra
Leone
> > > where there were certainly host country nationals who were hams.
There
> > > I had to be interviewed by an eleven person board which included
> > > representatives of the President's office and the Sierra Leonean
> > > military.
> >
> > Was this meeting in a government office, a restuarant, or was it at a
State
> > Department mixer?
>
> The board met in the building housing the Sierra Leonean PTT.
>
> > Dave, why would the military have any interest or say in your amateur
> > activities? I understood that up until last Friday, the military has no
> > authority over amateur radio whatsoever. Was your operation in Sierra
Leone
> > before or after last Friday?
>
> You know, Brian, I wondered the same thing myself. I also wondered why
> President Momoh's office sent a delegate.

Sure, why woulndn't the president come himself?

The Sierra Leonean military
> was not, in any case, issuing verbal okays in a country with no
> functional government. Their interest in amateur radio permits had to do
> with permission for a foreign national to operate the amateur bands in
> their country. My case was not special. All foreign applicants for
> amateur licenses in Sierra Leone went through the same procedure. I
> have no idea if Sierra Leonean nationals had to undergo the process. A
> Norwegian fellow living up country whom I met in the hall before the
> interview told me that this was his fourth trip.

Wow. Four trips to get licensed. Did he get it after all?

> > > Once again, all of my operations have been accepted for DXCC credit.
I
> > > have original licenses from all spots in my possession. Tell us what
> > > your authorization to operate from Somalia says.
>
> > I've told you. My commander granted me permission. Just like what the
ARRL
> > web site states. But maybe, as you've pointed out, since the ARRL
spelled
> > it wrong it might not count.
>
> So it is apparent that you have nothing in writing that says you ever
> had permission from anyone. I'd guess that wouldn't be acceptable for
> DXCC purposes.
>
> Dave K8MN

No, Dave, I have nothing in writing. Is that what is required for the DSCC desk? Does DXCC desk rejection make me a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country's inability to maintain a soverign state?

3282. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 10:46:19 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ajdfrb0f07@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51097

As I agree also in regards to operator using it properly. It is kinda like the gun issue. If it was not for the manufacturer mentioned earlier, I would not even be on six meters. Where are the six meter rigs from Yaesu, Kenwood, and Icom, that are NOT FM only rigs?

It may not be the best choice in the world, but for the time being it is the ONLY choice at this point for me to try to enjoy another ham band.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

Some would
> like to blame the radio but the bottom line is this, modified or
> not...it's the individual's responsibility to use the radio coreectly,
> not misuse it. Take it easy.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>

3283. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 11:07:23 UTC
From: Frankie <Frankie@BARFherbie14313.net>
Message-ID: <ajddl4gll0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:beavis.n.butthead.love.woger@houston-hunks.com...
> In real life, AB8MQ undoubtedly eschews gutter talk in favor of more
> esoteric and learned discourse with his students. In fact, he
> probably is mentoring some future Hemingways right now, and is
> teaching them the novelist's special use of language.
>
> For example, when Margaret Mitchell wrote "Gone With the Wind" in
> 1936, she had Rhett Butler say all of the wrong things to Scarlett,
> but AB8MQ's students will get it right. In their version, Rhett will
> say "I don't give a flying fuck what you do, you skanky, smegmalipped,
> jizzswallowing whore. You can go down on your brother again for all I
> care."
>
> After that, the AB8MQ Workshop version will become an MTV movie
> narrated by Beavis and Butthead.
>
> On Tue, 13 Aug 2002 15:27:42 -0400, Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Oh no! You don't suppose AB8MQ acutually gives
> > lessons do you?
> >
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:QZa69.26$o47.1197289@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020813084041.25234.00000012@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > > > >Subject: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators
> > > > >From: Paul ssb@young.com
> > > > >Date: 08/13/02 07:57 Eastern Daylight Time
> > > > >Message-id: <2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com>
> > > >
> > > > >Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the
> > > pictures.
> > > > >It's the proof.
> > > >
> > > > As opposed to the young, skinny spermslurpers in PopComm's CB
> > > > columns?
> > > >
> > > > Anonymous PUTZ!
> > >
> > > Steve, I can't believe you actually posted this. Are you taking
lessons

> > > from AB8MQ?

LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
ROTFLMAO!!

3284. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 12:50:53 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajdkhj$6b7$3@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Llllardasssssssssssss"Lloyd" <BroLloyd@BARFHQherbienumberone14313.net> wrote in message news:ajbmj7gms0@pita.alt.net...
> Oh no! You don't suppose AB8MQ acutually gives
> lessons do you?

Llardasssssssss Lllllllllllllloyd hasn't passed a 5 wpm code test in a ten year time period. <GUFFAW>

> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:QZa69.26$o47.1197289@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020813084041.25234.00000012@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators
> > > >From: Paul ssb@young.com
> > > >Date: 08/13/02 07:57 Eastern Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <2002813-125729-721807@foorum.com>
> > >
> > > >Look at the QST, you see 98% of old, fat and bald CW coders on the
> > pictures.
> > > >It's the proof.
> > >
> > > As opposed to the young, skinny spermslurpers in PopComm's CB
> columns?
> > >
> > > Anonymous PUTZ!
> >
> > Steve, I can't believe you actually posted this. Are you taking lessons
> > from AB8MQ?

N0IMD is just jealous he had to drop out of high school to go into the family prostitution business.

3285. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 12:53:17 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajdkhn$6b7$4@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.2600

"Fuckhead Lllllllllloyd" <Frankie@BARFherbie14313.net> wrote in message
news:ajddl4gll0@pita.alt.net...
>
> LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> ROTFLMAO!!!

Eating the corn out of Fuckup Lee's shit instead of Hal Turner's Llardasssssssss?

3286. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 12:55:12 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:EUf69.4268$tE5.4253@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> Let's hope not.
>

{Translation: top posting fuckwit Burke's slurping}

Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United States can get 2x2 calls again.

<GUFFAW>

3287. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 13:00:30 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajdkhp$6b7$6@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.2600

"FUCKUP Shemale Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:beavis.n.butthead.love.woger@houston-hunks.com...
> In real life, AB8MQ undoubtedly eschews gutter talk

And in real life, Fuckup Shemale Lee actually belives he's an adult.

Find that Marshall County, WV ARES website yet?

"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to
send email."

Fuckup Lee, unaware of the IRONY of his question, still fails to answer whether
or not he found the Marshall County WV ARES site which he said was
http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/, a Marshall County, AL ARES website
in <3D4708C2.DD7E8AAB@houston-hunks.com>:
"Are you ever going to answer those questions, or are you going to keep
on running?"

Fuckup Shemale Lee <SLee@Houston-hunks.com> admits he listens to racist broadcasts
and watches the Martha Stewart TV show all in one slobber in:
<message.id.masked@houston-hunks.com>:
"And as that criminal Martha Stewart would say, "That is a good thing."

3288. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 17:04:34 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@BARFweLoveHerbie.com>
Message-ID: <aje2it$9uf$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message:

> Lllardasssssssss Lllllllloyd self-outs he's the one who's been jamming HF
with his
> Hallicrafters.
> The enforcement action is already in the works, Llardasssssss
Lllllllllllllloyd.
> Looks like you needn't bother even renewing.
>
>
>
Dance Curtains Boy Dance!

3289. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 21:13:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020814171347.28959.00000003@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 51103

In article <d22b54c3.0208121456.3e7e2046@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 12 Aug 2002 15:56:40 -0700
>
>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020812125515.10263.00000411@mb-fy.aol.com>...
>> In article <20020807200736.03590.00002175@mb-cc.aol.com>,
>> nevertrustlennie@aol.com (NeverTrustLennie) writes:
>>
>> > Always the White Knight of Newsgroup Etiquette, Lennie demonstrated to
>may
>> >of us this past week just how two faced he really is.
>> >
>> > He did this by pulling a "bait and switch". He offered a "copy" of
>his
>> >commercial license, then instead sent this picture instead. he IS a
>person
>> without honor.
>> >
>> > The link is an "AOL Hometown" page. If you do not want to click on
>the
>> >link, look up this screen name at AOL Hometown's web page and see for
>> >yourself.
>> >
>> >73
>>
>> Steve,
>>
>> Why are you surprised and/or dismayed?
>>
>> Did you REALLY think you would get a scan of what you asked for, and
>nothing
>> else? I didn't ask for anything, yet he sent me the same junk. Not even
>worth
>> the download time.No big deal, that's what the DELETE function is for.
>>
>> I don't doubt that certain people here have held various commerical radio
>> licenses. Do you?
>>
>>
>Second Telegraph here.

Kewl!

That means you did everything required for a Second 'Phone and then some, right?

>Beep-beep. 20-22 wpm. Beep-beep.

HAW!

>No Model 19
>putzbox to decode the stuff allowed, had to have the SKILL.

Watta concept.

> Beep-beep.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3290. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-14 23:24:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ajeoqo$et8$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Lloyd I didn't know you had a Hallicrafters transmitter.

Is that the BC-610 (or should I say the HA-1), the cyclone or even the
FPM-300 or maybe even the SR-150?

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@BARFweLoveHerbie.com> wrote in message
news:aje2it$9uf$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message:
>
> > Lllardasssssss Lllllloyd self-outs he's the one who's been jamming HF
> with his
> > Hallicrafters.
> > The enforcement action is already in the works, Llardasssssss
> Lllllllllllllloyd.
> > Looks like you needn't bother even renewing.
> >
> >
> >
> Dance Curtains Boy Dance!
>
>
>
>

3291. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 00:19:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CsC69.2931$j%1.51603519@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51105

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:ajdfrb0f07@enews1.newsguy.com...
> As I agree also in regards to operator using it properly. It is kinda like
> the gun issue. If it was not for the manufacturer mentioned earlier, I
> would not even be on six meters. Where are the six meter rigs from Yaesu,
> Kenwood, and Icom, that are NOT FM only rigs?
>
> It may not be the best choice in the world, but for the time being it is
the
> ONLY choice at this point for me to try to enjoy another ham band.

I agree. If you have any other kind of all-band radio, the Ten-Tec 6M
transverter looks like a ggod way to go.

If you have only 2M FM, it will tranvert to 6M FM, so its still an
inexpensive way to get on.

3292. FS: Belden 9913.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 00:23:04 UTC
From: Nadine Hamon <nhpd@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <fvC69.4397$%R5.1134909@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 51108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I have a lots of cable for sale

Belden 9913
Equivalent to belden 9913
RG8X
All kind of other coax cables and much more....

In Montreal, Canada, can ship anywhere in North America

Email me with any request you have, I have access to anything at a very very
low price

Thanks
Nad

3293. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 00:26:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
>
> Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United States can
> get 2x2 calls again.

If only we had a moderator on this newsgroup who might be able to enlighten Roger.

Quite easily. Like Mad Dog Deignan, they borrow a friend's PO Box in Alaska, Hawaii, or a US posession, then when their new call comes in, they send in a 605 and change their address to where they really live.

3294. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 00:48:12 UTC
From: Zitchey <zitchey@StumpjumpersHerbie14313.com>
Message-ID: <ajeto4$lf0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message
> >
> > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United
> States can
> > get 2x2 calls again.
>
> If only we had a moderator on this newsgroup who might be able to
enlighten
> Roger.
>
> Quite easily. Like Mad Dog Deignan, they borrow a friend's PO Box in
> Alaska, Hawaii, or a US posession, then when their new call comes in, they
> send in a 605 and change their address to where they really live.
>
>
>
Oh please, don't educate Curtains Boy. he is easily confused
over things technical, and we like to allow him to continue making
a fool of himself. You see, 2Test (that's AB8MQ) is still trying
to figure out how to calculate RMS voltage and how to get
balanced feed line to not be a "RF Nighmare" inside his tin hovel.
Shebelle (ab8mq) had to retest twice just to get back up to
general class. Riley make him retest twice, a unique distinction
which belongs to Roger and no one else.

AB8MQ = Milquetoast

3295. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 01:20:47 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208141720.6a5ae47a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51109

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<ajdfrb0f07@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> As I agree also in regards to operator using it properly. It is kinda like
> the gun issue. If it was not for the manufacturer mentioned earlier, I
> would not even be on six meters. Where are the six meter rigs from Yaesu,
> Kenwood, and Icom, that are NOT FM only rigs?
>
>
Check out the Yaesu FT-897 which is about to land on the shelves, does
160 thru 440 *all* modes with decent power output. More specifically
it looks like it will be much better than average HF cw/ssb xcvr than
the usual collection of compact radios like the 706. Ten-tec also has
their 526 6n2 xcvr all-mode xcvr.

w3rv

3296. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 02:13:54 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ulr8jme0prmi39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51277

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:aj8dki0i38@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Definitely as that is what I see from a day-to-day prospective. In the
past
> 30 months, we have not had a visit etc. from anyone in the Michigan
section
> or Great Lakes section at our club meetings. (One exception.... someone
who
> did a presentation on something unrelated to the ARRL who happened to be a
> Section title holder, but did not act or deal with any issues related to
> that.) Great lakes director Mr. Race has never visited our club within 30
> months. (Yes he was invited.)
>
> As far as the ARRL at this point, it is a magazine subscription sold under
> the ploy of some Mafia like protectionist scheme. Since I must view it
this
> way, any attempts at giving me less as a consumer is cheating me, be it
> section news or even Minutes etc. At any rate, I am spending more than
the
> subscription rate since I buy it off the newstand.
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
> > The ARRL is a national organization, and its focus is mainly on national
> and
> > international issues. But many hams' focus is more local or regional -
> just ask
> > Kim or Ryan, KC8PMX. These hams don't see ARRL membership as offering
> them
> > very much of interest, because they are much more involved with what's
> going on
> > in their county or state. Section News was one part of QST that would be
> of
> > interest to those hams - and removing it from QST makes ARRL membership
> that
> > much less attractive. Websites, emails and separately-mailed SN are not
an
> > adequate replacement.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

>
>

I appreciate your attempt to translate my focus in amateur radio, Jim, but quite the contrary. My belief is that the ARRL is of little significance in this area; and I believe it is *BECAUSE* of that, that the ARRL doesn't enjoy the membership that they possibly could--if there was a more focused local effort on ARRL events, activities, etc. Our prior Section Manager, Jim/NZ2T (cannot now remember his call), had weekly Section nets; was incredibly active in the region and didn't sit still for the status quo, as it were.

Remember that ARRL membership is *not* needed or required to become locally active; though the ARRL banner helps with organizations with whom we (the ARRL) have an MOU. Stepping into local action these days, though, wreaks the ire of folks like Larry/K3LT who love to belittle and bemoan what he cannot be a part of--calling people of action names like "wannabes." With folks like Larry around there are few people willing to get in the trenches and put up with it all - merely for a volunteer effort. Even I am sick and tired of being sick and tired of the politics of amateur radio. I've quit the EC position; quit mentoring the ARECC class; quit traffic nets long, long ago; and pretty much have been out of the loop in ham radio for more than a few months now. I'm better off for it.

For those folks who wish to join something that is little more than a try at being something; there is the local (I am speaking of Dallas and Dallas-area stuff around here) organizations. But, if you're in to try and make some changes, try to make something *maybe* better, work hard to try to get something much more than a try going--you'll be shot down by local politics and incestuous little groups of true wannabes.

The ARRL is a grand organization. I think it was probably much grander long ago. We're a different population than we were. What Ryan says is right with regard to the subscription. ARRL membership is desired more for the cute magazine than for anything else. As to Section Notes? Pah! What a joke. The one thing I turn to every issue--other than thumbing through the mag--is the Section Notes. Ya taken a look at the Notes for the North Texas Section the last several issues? Wahoo...what a wealth of info there. It's quite obvious it was last minute and by someone totally disconnected from the Section he is supposed to be serving.

Kim W5TIT

PS--Mafia, Ryan? :) You'll have to enlighten?

3297. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 03:12:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020814231241.06523.00000049@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 51110

In article <Xns926A2BBA7166elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>stevebg <sbgood@njnet.com> wrote in news:3D268B46.D7F4756A@njnet.com:
>
>> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>>
>> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>>
>>
>
>One word - troll!
>
>N3KIP

Alun:

Wrong word. The word you were looking for is -- TRUTH!!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3298. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 03:12:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020814231241.06523.00000048@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 51111

In article <8pa69.8572$g47.864835@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>And what are you....a CHICKEN BANDER who can't LEARN it? Gee, fellas, get
>over it! It
>will go away soon enough. And YOU, too, will become old, fat, and bald! It
>happens to all of
>us.

Jerry:

Uh, don't look now, but it doesn't seem to happen to "all" of us. I'm certainly getting old, but I'm a long way from fat, and I'm not "getting there!" Moreover, my hair is definitely getting gray, but every single one is still present and accounted for!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3299. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 03:12:42 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020814231242.06523.00000050@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 51112

In article <Xns926A3697DC6Delektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>>> >You mean that any ham who dislikes you personally enough to be of the
>>> >opinion that you are not worth talking to is defined to be a CBer?
>>>
>>> I don't know where you got that from, Cecil.
>>
>> Just hypothesizing a ham who is indeed capable of 13+wpm but still
>> refuses to meet you in the CW portion of the band because he doesn't
>> want to QSO with the likes of you. :-)
>
>Or what about one passed 20 wpm but won't meet you at the CW end because
>he can't stand CW and doesn't own a key?

Alun:

Any ham who passed a 20-WPM code test and doesn't like or use CW would
not encounter me on-the-air in any case! Problem solved, since it doesn't
exist in the first place! You see, I haven't used HF phone in almost a
decade, and don't think I'll be doing so any time soon! I have had the
experience of being "told off," at length, and in very specific terms, by a
member of the Ten - Ten organization, for not remembering my 10-10
number during a 10-meter phone QSO! On that very day, I unplugged my
microphone, and subsequently lost track of where it is! My HF operating
has been 100% CW/Digital ever since!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3300. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 03:43:47 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D5B2373.EEE2E29D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 51113

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Moreover, my hair is definitely getting gray, but every single
> one is still present and accounted for!

Larry is so perfect that not even one hair ever falls out.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3301. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 03:44:59 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ajg54t1195j@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51126

My opinion/choice is to learn it to meet the requirements set forth by the F.C.C. and no other reason. I will never use it as an operating mode, but am always willing to comply with whatever rules or "jump thru whatever hoops" to get the priviledges afforded by passing the code requirement.

Possibly there would be two reasons why I HATE code: (1) is that it had beaten me for over 12 years, and (2) the type of people and the methods they employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the alleged benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> Most people I think miss the real issue on code testing. It is many times
> easier to pass a test in a subject that you have a real interest in. Most
> of those people who say they have a hard time learning code are deeply
> interested in radio, but have about as much interest in operating CW as
> they might have in watching paint dry. If CW holds even a very faint shred
> of interest for you, then you will never understand why this particular
> form of torture shouldn't be visited on those of us who don't. For the
> record, I eventually passed even 20 wpm, but have never bought a key, and
> won't anytime soon. N3KIP

3302. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 03:45:24 UTC
From: CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3D5B23D4.21AFB461@hotmail.com>
Source record: 51114

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I have had the
> experience of being "told off," at length, and in very specific terms, by a
> member of the Ten - Ten organization, for not remembering my 10-10
> number during a 10-meter phone QSO! On that very day, I unplugged my
> microphone, and subsequently lost track of where it is! My HF operating
> has been 100% CW/Digital ever since!

You allowed one jerk to control your life?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3303. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 03:48:38 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ajg54u2195j@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51127

The same guy posts the same thing roughly ever 4-6 weeks but does absolutely nothing to POSITIVELY support his statement. I am sure he gets the kicks out of posting that when he does due to the ensuing thread that always occurs after.

Maybe if he intelligently responded further instead of posting and then leaving, the statement may actually have some weight. It equates to the same type of mentality of the typical CB'er, which is kind of ironic based on the second line of his post.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926A2BBA7166elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> stevebg <sbgood@njnet.com> wrote in news:3D268B46.D7F4756A@njnet.com:
>
> > NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
> >
> > CW or CB -- no other choice.
> >
> >
>
> One word - troll!
>
> N3KIP

3304. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 04:23:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020815002310.28609.00000396@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 51115

>Subject: Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators
>From: CAM w5dxp@hotmail.com
>Date: 08/14/02 23:43 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D5B2373.EEE2E29D@hotmail.com>

>Larry is so perfect that not even one hair ever falls out.

At this age, it's not what's falling out that counts, but what's still
standing up!

=)

3305. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 05:09:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5B1896.6832A89C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51116

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I have had the
> experience of being "told off," at length, and in very specific terms, by a
> member of the Ten - Ten organization, for not remembering my 10-10
> number during a 10-meter phone QSO! On that very day, I unplugged my
> microphone, and subsequently lost track of where it is!

Well, it hasn't made me resort to cw but I have had the same
experience on 10, if you don't have one of those stupid numbers a
lot of 10 meter ops just don't want to waste any time talking to
you. It isn't just the 10-10 numbers but they have these silly
numbers for everything they can think up. I have never figured
what is the thrill of collecting a note book full of useless
numbers.

3306. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 09:27:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020815052728.25277.00000332@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 51117

>Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
>Date: 08/15/02 01:09 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D5B1896.6832A89C@mailcity.net>

>Well, it hasn't made me resort to cw but I have had the same
>experience on 10.

Unfortunately, me too.

>I have never figured
>what is the thrill of collecting a note book full of useless
>numbers.

To be fair to award hunters, it's the numbers are not useless...They are the "box tops" you have to submit to get various certificates for different clubs, etc. Not every award hunter is a jerk.

But I will have to state that some of my encounters on 10 meters with TenTen folks was less than entertaining.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3307. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 11:04:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208150304.63027ee4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51118

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020814171347.28959.00000003@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0208121456.3e7e2046@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:

> >> I don't doubt that certain people here have held various commerical radio
> >> licenses. Do you?
> >>
> >>
> >Second Telegraph here.
>
> Kewl!
>
> That means you did everything required for a Second 'Phone and then some,
> right?
>
>
Fairly close but there were significant differences between the
writtens for the second phone & telegraph. Just passing the Phone 2
written and the code test did not get ya a Telegraph 2. I took the
Telegraph 2 code test for my Extra and having passed that I figgered
what the hell, it's free so I took a blind poke at the Telegraph 2
writtens and holycow I passed that too. Two tickets for the cost of a
round trip on the El and the Sharon Hill line and most of a (long) day
at the FCC office.
>
>
> >No Model 19
> >putzbox to decode the stuff allowed, had to have the SKILL.
>
> Watta concept.
>
>
Needs to be explored further . . .
>
>
My Kent KT-1 straight key arrived yesterday. Whatta BEAST! Wait'll you
see this sucker!
>
>

> > Beep-beep.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Beep. w3rv

3308. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 11:17:03 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United
> States can
> > get 2x2 calls again.
>
> If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]

Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your little "Plan" the FCC and
we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.

Indeed, if only you had a brain.

3309. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 11:29:42 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajg3tk$i5j$2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Llllardasssssssssssssss"Lloyd" <Lloydvpf@msn.com> wrote in message
news:aje2it$9uf$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> AB8MQ@alt.net wrote in message:
>
> > Lllardasssssss Lllllloyd self-outs he's the one who's been jamming HF
> with his
> > Hallicrafters.
> > The enforcement action is already in the works, Llardasssssss
> Lllllllllllllloyd.
> > Looks like you needn't bother even renewing.
> >
> >
> >
> Dance

Looks like you're dancing with all your sockpuppets, LARDASSSSSSSSSSSSS.
MHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

3310. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 11:30:33 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajg3tl$i5j$3@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:ajeoqo$et8$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Hey Lloyd I didn't know you had a Hallicrafters transmitter.
>
> Is that the BC-610 (or should I say the HA-1), the cyclone or even the
> FPM-300 or maybe even the SR-150?

Maybe you should cut down on the booze and painkillers, malingerer.

3311. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 11:32:48 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajg3tn$i5j$4@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cocksucker.lloyd-davies

"Zitchey" <zitchey@StumpjumpersHerbie14313.com> wrote in message
news:ajeto4$lf0$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> >
> Oh please, don't educate

Oh, the irony, Polly, the uneducated washing machine repairman...

Polly, you have the distinction of not taking the General Class test, which you couldn't pass anyways because you were grandfathered from a Conditional Class license. It's all in those old callbooks. Polly/Zitchey has the distinction of getting TWO (2) warning letters from Riley Hollingsworth and also he had to inventory ALL his ham radio equipment. Now mule humper and daughter-diddler George Ashley has made himself scarce on the air. I wonder if Riley told him not to get back on the air in an agreement so Polly wouldn't lose his license, as he was about to.

Towit:
February 7, 2001

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. George A. Ashley
1138 Collierville Arlington Road
Collierville, TN 38017

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio Station W4FQF

Dear Mr. Ashley:

Information before the Commission indicates that you have engaged in deliberate interference on the 75 Meter Amateur Band (3.901 MHz) at various times since October 2000. Some of the interference included tape recordings. We note that on January 7, 1999 you were warned about such activities on the 20- Meter Amateur Band.

This constitutes a second warning that any further incidents of such operation will result in enforcement action being taken against you, including license revocation, monetary forfeiture, or both.

Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 18 U.S.C. Section 308(b), gives the Commission the authority to obtain information from licensees about the operation of their station. Pursuant to Section 308(b), you are requested to respond to this letter within 20 days of the above date. You are also requested to furnish the following information.

1. Describe the radio transmitting equipment, including antennas, you have at the 1138 Collierville Arlington Road Address. State the manufacturer, model and serial number of each item. If any items were constructed or modified by you, describe the item in detail.

2. Describe any radio transmitting equipment, including antennas, you have at any other address in Tennessee. State the manufacturer, model and serial number of each item. If any items were constructed or modified by you, describe the item in detail.

Please be advised that Congress has made punishable a willfully false response to a letter of this type. See 18 U.S.C. Section 1001.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel
Enforcement Bureau

3312. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 12:13:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United
> > States can
> > > get 2x2 calls again.
> >
> > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
>
> Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your little "Plan" the
FCC and
> we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
>
> Indeed, if only you had a brain.

Roger, you're years late and dollars short. But what's new?

Indeed, Riley has already caught up with Mad Dog.

3313. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 12:16:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GYM69.3061$Rk4.60289192@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51124

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020814231242.06523.00000050@mb-dh.aol.com...
> In article <Xns926A3697DC6Delektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
> >>> >You mean that any ham who dislikes you personally enough to be of the
> >>> >opinion that you are not worth talking to is defined to be a CBer?
> >>>
> >>> I don't know where you got that from, Cecil.
> >>
> >> Just hypothesizing a ham who is indeed capable of 13+wpm but still
> >> refuses to meet you in the CW portion of the band because he doesn't
> >> want to QSO with the likes of you. :-)
> >
> >Or what about one passed 20 wpm but won't meet you at the CW end because
> >he can't stand CW and doesn't own a key?
>
> Alun:
>
> Any ham who passed a 20-WPM code test and doesn't like or use CW would
> not encounter me on-the-air in any case! Problem solved, since it doesn't
> exist in the first place! You see, I haven't used HF phone in almost a
> decade, and don't think I'll be doing so any time soon! I have had the
> experience of being "told off," at length, and in very specific terms, by
a
> member of the Ten - Ten organization, for not remembering my 10-10
> number during a 10-meter phone QSO! On that very day, I unplugged my
> microphone, and subsequently lost track of where it is! My HF operating
> has been 100% CW/Digital ever since!
>
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

So Larry, if we take your example of unplugging your microphone and giving
up an entire mode because of a Ten-Tenner, what can I do to encourage you to
unplug your computer?

73, Brian

3314. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 12:17:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aZM69.3062$Sk4.60290253@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51125

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D5B23D4.21AFB461@hotmail.com...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I have had the
> > experience of being "told off," at length, and in very specific terms,
by a
> > member of the Ten - Ten organization, for not remembering my 10-10
> > number during a 10-meter phone QSO! On that very day, I unplugged my
> > microphone, and subsequently lost track of where it is! My HF operating
> > has been 100% CW/Digital ever since!
>
> You allowed one jerk to control your life?
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Cecil, he always has. ;^))

3315. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 12:48:11 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208150448.4d18bc18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51128

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0208141720.6a5ae47a@posting.google.com>...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<ajdfrb0f07@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> > As I agree also in regards to operator using it properly. It is kinda like
> > the gun issue. If it was not for the manufacturer mentioned earlier, I
> > would not even be on six meters. Where are the six meter rigs from Yaesu,
> > Kenwood, and Icom, that are NOT FM only rigs?

Funny you should mention that. I'm not big on VHF/UHF, HOWEVER...I
always thought that 2-meter SSB could make a better "Citizen's" band
than 11 currently does. (Just from an "intended purpose" aspect,
please remain calm.) I've used a President Lincoln along with a
Ten-Tec transverter so I could go SSB. My best friend lives in MD and
it would be a breeze to chat with him using, say, a 6 or 8 element
quad...that you could actually hold in one hand and mount on a TV
antenna mast!

> Check out the Yaesu FT-897 which is about to land on the shelves, does
> 160 thru 440 *all* modes with decent power output. More specifically
> it looks like it will be much better than average HF cw/ssb xcvr than
> the usual collection of compact radios like the 706. Ten-tec also has
> their 526 6n2 xcvr all-mode xcvr.
>
>
> w3rv

Sounds interesting. I'd always wondered when a major manufacturer
would come out with an all-mode 2-meter rig. I hear that RF Ltd. has
one in the works. We'll see, I guess.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3316. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 13:04:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uln9l258bh75b2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51129

I'll stick up for Larry on this one. Neither of us is perfect; perfection
is a fault - AND WE DON'T HAVE ANY FAULTS! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"CAM" <w5dxp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D5B2373.EEE2E29D@hotmail.com...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Moreover, my hair is definitely getting gray, but every single
> > one is still present and accounted for!
>
> Larry is so perfect that not even one hair ever falls out.
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3317. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 13:35:37 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208150535.2e37331e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51130

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<8pa69.8572$g47.864835@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> And what are you....a CHICKEN BANDER who can't LEARN it?

Nice...good work, Jerry.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3318. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 13:54:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <ooO69.3546$LO1.311435@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51131

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote

> or "Mitad del Mundo," (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World"
> or both 0 latitude and longitude.

Wow. I didn't realize it was straight south of Greenwich, England! My maps
are all screwed up, because I show it in the west-70's longitude.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

3319. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 14:35:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajge72$b0f$0@208.206.142.76>
Source record: 51132

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote
>
> > or "Mitad del Mundo," (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World"
> > or both 0 latitude and longitude.
>
> Wow. I didn't realize it was straight south of Greenwich, England! My maps
> are all screwed up, because I show it in the west-70's longitude.
>

My globe atlas shows the eastenmost point of South America to be at
about 33 degrees west longitude.

Dick

3320. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 14:35:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajge7c$b3o$0@208.206.142.76>
Source record: 51133

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote
>
> > or "Mitad del Mundo," (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World"
> > or both 0 latitude and longitude.
>
> Wow. I didn't realize it was straight south of Greenwich, England! My maps
> are all screwed up, because I show it in the west-70's longitude.
>

My globe atlas shows the eastenmost point of South America to be at
about 33 degrees west longitude.

Dick

3321. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 14:45:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajgepucau0@208.206.142.76>
Source record: 51134

KC8PMX wrote:
>
> My opinion/choice is to learn it to meet the requirements set forth by the
> F.C.C. and no other reason. I will never use it as an operating mode, but
> am always willing to comply with whatever rules or "jump thru whatever
> hoops" to get the priviledges afforded by passing the code requirement.
>
> Possibly there would be two reasons why I HATE code: (1) is that it had
> beaten me for over 12 years, and (2) the type of people and the methods they
> employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the alleged
> benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.

I dunno, Ryan, when I went through all that the "benefits" were
obvious- I got all amateur privileges for my efforts, and I note that it
came no easier to me than anyone else.

As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the extent
of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do it)
to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less
responsibility for it.

3322. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 14:47:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <T9P69.3595$LO1.318012@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51135

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajge72$b0f$0@208.206.142.76...
>
>
> "K&#65533;HB" wrote:
> >
> > "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote
> >
> > > or "Mitad del Mundo," (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World"
> > > or both 0 latitude and longitude.
> >
> > Wow. I didn't realize it was straight south of Greenwich, England! My
maps
> > are all screwed up, because I show it in the west-70's longitude.
> >
>
> My globe atlas shows the eastenmost point of South America to be at
> about 33 degrees west longitude.
>

You must have one of those older globes made before the reverse continental
drift was discovered a couple years back.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

3323. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 14:49:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6cP69.16143$fc4.234860565@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51136

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:ooO69.3546$LO1.311435@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote
>
> > or "Mitad del Mundo," (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World"
> > or both 0 latitude and longitude.
>
> Wow. I didn't realize it was straight south of Greenwich, England! My
maps
> are all screwed up, because I show it in the west-70's longitude.
>
> &#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

Hans, each nation has the ability to state their prime meridian anywhere they choose. The astronauts, when looking back at the earth have never exclaimed, "Wow, look at those meridian lines." Similarly, the meridians are completely invisible to satellite and aerial photography. It's all arbitrary.

We like to use Greenwich as a standard as we need to do fewer conversion when doing so. Defence mapping gets to convert all the oddball prime meridians to our chosen standard so that we can slam dunk them when the ocassion arises.

3324. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 14:54:46 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WgP69.3095$HJ4.61704356@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51137

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:6cP69.16143$fc4.234860565@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:ooO69.3546$LO1.311435@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote
> >
> > > or "Mitad del Mundo," (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World"
> > > or both 0 latitude and longitude.
> >
> > Wow. I didn't realize it was straight south of Greenwich, England! My
> maps
> > are all screwed up, because I show it in the west-70's longitude.
> >
> > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>
> Hans, each nation has the ability to state their prime meridian anywhere
> they choose. The astronauts, when looking back at the earth have never
> exclaimed, "Wow, look at those meridian lines." Similarly, the meridians
> are completely invisible to satellite and aerial photography. It's all
> arbitrary.
>
> We like to use Greenwich as a standard as we need to do fewer conversion
> when doing so. Defence mapping gets to convert all the oddball prime
> meridians to our chosen standard so that we can slam dunk them when the
> ocassion arises.
>
I meant "defense."

3325. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 18:19:12 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208151019.16f1270d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51138

"K?B" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<ooO69.3546$LO1.311435@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote
>
> > or "Mitad del Mundo," (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World"
> > or both 0 latitude and longitude.
>
> Wow. I didn't realize it was straight south of Greenwich, England! My maps
> are all screwed up, because I show it in the west-70's longitude.
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

Oops, my bad! I meant to say 0 degrees 0' 0" latitude. Sorry for the
brain-fart. I just ordered a Wilderness SST 20-meter QRP CW rig kit.
It'll be fun to build and I don't intend to be caught "flat-footed" on
the next trip. (Mexico next year and back to Ecuador the year after.)
I chose 20-meters as the portable dipole should be more easily
managed. HOWEVER, any suggestions wrt optimal QRP portable CW
operating would be most welcome. I'm using a Koch trainer to work on
improving my code speed. Take care guys. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3326. Re: Interesting Trip (So that's what NO code=NO ham means.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 18:22:44 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208151022.171a26d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51139

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<WgP69.3095$HJ4.61704356@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:6cP69.16143$fc4.234860565@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> > news:ooO69.3546$LO1.311435@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote
> > >
> > > > or "Mitad del Mundo," (HC2xx/4)which is the "Middle of the World"
> > > > or both 0 latitude and longitude.
> > >
> > > Wow. I didn't realize it was straight south of Greenwich, England! My
> maps
> > > are all screwed up, because I show it in the west-70's longitude.
> > >
> > > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
> >
> > Hans, each nation has the ability to state their prime meridian anywhere
> > they choose. The astronauts, when looking back at the earth have never
> > exclaimed, "Wow, look at those meridian lines." Similarly, the meridians
> > are completely invisible to satellite and aerial photography. It's all
> > arbitrary.
> >
> > We like to use Greenwich as a standard as we need to do fewer conversion
> > when doing so. Defence mapping gets to convert all the oddball prime
> > meridians to our chosen standard so that we can slam dunk them when the
> > ocassion arises.
> >
> I meant "defense."

Kno werries, mait. :-)

BTW, here's the spot where I regretted not having a small portable QRP
setup the most.

www.mitaddelmundo.com

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3327. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 19:04:22 UTC
From: Arf! Afr! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <ajgtv8$9op$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51140
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajg3tl$i5j$3@pita.alt.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:ajeoqo$et8$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Hey Lloyd I didn't know you had a Hallicrafters transmitter.
> >
> > Is that the BC-610 (or should I say the HA-1), the cyclone or even the
> > FPM-300 or maybe even the SR-150?
>
> Maybe you should cut down on the booze and painkillers, malingerer.
>
>
Hey, Wiseman. You still watching the Black Entertainment Channel in hopes of
spotting your daddy??

3328. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 20:47:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <brU69.4228$LO1.360466@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51141

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> As opposed to the young, skinny spermslurpers in PopComm's CB columns?
>

Classy, Steve. Really classy.

Hans

3329. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 23:07:02 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

AB8MQ wrote:

>
>
> Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United States can
> get 2x2 calls again.
>

Upgrade to "extra".....

3330. Re: Some p o r n s for you, this is never spamming! H 0 T !! ------<<<>>>------ CMg7euCOOS 4237342127

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-15 23:08:20 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D5C3464.E3E8D68E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard McCollum wrote:

> "Ali Taysseer Muhammad" <l75iaax@voxvop.com.ar> wrote in message
> news:68A1EE2B1D2B8FF5D4B7E8@news.arcor-ip.net...
>
> How can it be pornographic when I do not even own a pornograph?

Would a DVD player with a dirty movie DVD qualify as a
pornograph? :-)

3331. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 00:37:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020815203718.15779.00000030@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 51144

In article <20020813083837.25234.00000011@mb-cv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 13 Aug 2002 12:38:37 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 08/12/02 20:36 Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>
>
>>Why did you think that he would be 'sincere' after all of the other
>evidence?
>
> Silly me...I keep hoping agionst hope that he'll snap out of this and be
>a nice guy afterall...

And I thought I was an optimist....

Consider how many posts he has written here, how many unprovoked insults he has spewed and how many errors and mistakes he has denied. Why would any rational person think he would "snap out of this"?

>
>>Other forums?
>
> RRAMisc, RRAAntenna, etc

Musta been more than 5 years ago. You wanna know about antennas, ask Cecil, he'll give a straight answer.

Some time back N0IMD asked for some antenna info here. He finally got some suggestions and links. Guess who supplied them. Hint: It was one of those terrible Extras with a 1x2 callsign.

>
>>That would require his wanting the ARS to survive and flourish. I think he
>>wants exactly the opposite to happen.
>
>I can't imagine anyone "wanting" Amateur Radio to "fail",

There are a few. Think about the commercial interests that would just love to have access to the amateur bands - and not via Part 15 or Part 95, either. That's just one example.

Consider how many posts he has written here, how many unprovoked insults he has spewed and how many errors and mistakes he has denied. Consider his constant

denial of things like public service contributions by the ARS, technical contributions of hams, and demands for unnecessary things like an age requirement for a ham license.
>
>but then I guess
>there are those who can find a reason to hate childhood innoculations, too.

'zactly. Despite all the evidence showing how much good they do.
>
>>Of course it wasn't the "wrong file" - he told me, here, what was in the
>>file he sent.
>
> I know, Jim, I know....

>seems to think that's he's the only one who
>can read his mistakes and "faux pas". Silly him, huh?

Just another part of the game, so you'll call him a liar.

>>>I know that had it been ME, and with the "history"
>>>between us such that it is, I would have checked and then re-checked to
>>>make sure it was the right file.

>>That's YOU.
>>
>>The whole point of that game was for him to get you upset and ranting here.
>>Then he can cast himself as the good guy and complain to AOL about you.
>
>If that's what it takes to make him feel better about himself, then I
>guess he has me to thank for it, huh...?!?!?

You got it. KB3EIA has the right approach - don't feed the troll.
>
>>Think about what his REAL goals and motivations are. Getting rid of the code
>>test is just a smokescreen.
>
> Agreed!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3332. Free Ham Radio Clasifieds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 01:03:51 UTC
From: kb9k@attbi.com
Message-ID: <9fbecca4.0208151703.25dc5e07@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51145

Hi,

If you want to sell or trade your Ham Radio equipment place a FREE
Clasified on www.RadioAmater.com/kb9k web site.

Thanks
Jovan KB9K

3333. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 01:55:30 UTC
From: "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com>
Message-ID: <uloqcnfnc7nrbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40
> meters has its' spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.

mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?

phil :)

3334. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 09:21:40 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ajl39r1pim@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51151

Is this a 6 meter only rig?
Is this a rig under 300-400 dollar range?

If so, then I am interested, otherwise it is out of the range of the average ham.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> Check out the Yaesu FT-897 which is about to land on the shelves, does
> 160 thru 440 *all* modes with decent power output. More specifically
> it looks like it will be much better than average HF cw/ssb xcvr than
> the usual collection of compact radios like the 706. Ten-tec also has
> their 526 6n2 xcvr all-mode xcvr.
>
>
> w3rv

3335. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 09:35:28 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ajl39s2pim@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51300

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajgepucau0@208.206.142.76...
>
>
> KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > My opinion/choice is to learn it to meet the requirements set forth by
the
> > F.C.C. and no other reason. I will never use it as an operating mode,
but
> > am always willing to comply with whatever rules or "jump thru whatever
> > hoops" to get the priviledges afforded by passing the code requirement.
> >
> > Possibly there would be two reasons why I HATE code: (1) is that it had
> > beaten me for over 12 years, and (2) the type of people and the methods
they
> > employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the
alleged
> > benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> > potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
>
>
> I dunno, Ryan, when I went through all that the "benefits" were
> obvious- I got all amateur privileges for my efforts, and I note that it
> came no easier to me than anyone else.
>

Never said you had it easier.... And my statement in the first paragraph
applies; I am willing to "jump thru the hoops" in any field of endeavor
including amateur radio, to get the priviledges I wish to enjoy. Again, I
do not have to like it though, and through freedom of speech, I am allowed
to voice that aversion.

> As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the extent
> of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
> were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do it)
> to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less
> responsibility for it.

So, a newbie who may be a potential morse code user, who has not made a
decision to be a no-coder by opinion, not by license class should be treated
wrongly by the "old boys network" as opposed to becoming one of them? I am
sure there are many nocode techs who may actually like it if some of the
existing hams marketed their "love" better instead of leaving a bad taste in
their mouth for something they may not have been even aware of. (licensure

changes and related issues.)

Again, there may be some of the tech licensure who have not declared an interested in the N.C.I. mindset. But I am sure after meeting/talking to some hams out there, their minds may have changed because of the attitudes that go with some of the "coders." What's that expression, "You can attract more ******* with honey that feces?" (or something like that)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3336. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 11:39:56 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > >
> > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United
> > > States can
> > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > >
> > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> >
> > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your little "Plan" the FCC
and
> > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> >
> > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
>
> Roger, you're years late and dollars short.

Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
```

3337. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 11:44:01 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> AB8MQ wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United States
can
> > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > >
>
> Upgrade to "extra".....

Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by fraudulent means and
that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC sequentially.

3338. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 11:50:10 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajirjq$h6c$4@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
news:uloqcnfnc7nrbf@corp.supernews.com...
> > Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40
> > meters has its' spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
>
> mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
>
> phil :)

7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.

3339. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 12:36:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020816083645.21128.00000044@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 51150

In article <d22b54c3.0208150304.63027ee4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020814171347.28959.00000003@mb-fy.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0208121456.3e7e2046@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>> >> I don't doubt that certain people here have held various commerical
>radio
>> >> licenses. Do you?

>> >Second Telegraph here.

>> Kewl!

>> That means you did everything required for a Second 'Phone and then some,
>> right?

>Fairly close but there were significant differences between the
>writtens for the second phone & telegraph. Just passing the Phone 2
>written and the code test did not get ya a Telegraph 2.

Right - but was there anything a Second 'Phone could do that was off limits to
a Second Telegraph?

>I took the
>Telegraph 2 code test for my Extra and having passed that I figgered
>what the hell, it's free so I took a blind poke at the Telegraph 2
>writtens and holycow I passed that too.

When was this? There were significant fees for the ham tests in 1968-1970. As I
recall, the fee for the commercial test was even higher in '72. YMMV.

>Two tickets for the cost of a
>round trip on the El and the Sharon Hill line and most of a (long) day
>at the FCC office.

Heck, I walked to 69th street.

>> >No Model 19
>> >putzbox to decode the stuff allowed, had to have the SKILL.

>> Watta concept.

>Needs to be explored further . . .

Research continues.
>>
>My Kent KT-1 straight key arrived yesterday. Whatta BEAST! Wait'll you
>see this sucker!

Neat! Maybe it's time to add to the J-37.

>> > Beep-beep.

>Beep. w3rv

Novelty song from the '50s involving a Nash Rambler and a Cadillac.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3340. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 12:49:14 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajisc3$s66$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51251

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajgepucau0@208.206.142.76...
>
>
> KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > My opinion/choice is to learn it to meet the requirements set forth by
the
> > F.C.C. and no other reason. I will never use it as an operating mode,
but
> > am always willing to comply with whatever rules or "jump thru whatever
> > hoops" to get the priviledges afforded by passing the code requirement.
> >
> > Possibly there would be two reasons why I HATE code: (1) is that it had
> > beaten me for over 12 years, and (2) the type of people and the methods
they
> > employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the
alleged
> > benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> > potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
>
>
> I dunno, Ryan, when I went through all that the "benefits" were
> obvious- I got all amateur privileges for my efforts, and I note that it
> came no easier to me than anyone else.
>
> As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the extent
> of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
> were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do it)
> to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less
> responsibility for it.

So much for any effort to improve marketingof code to newcomers.
Instead you now get the "victim" whine. In the end, the future of code use
in the amateur bands is totally in the hands of those that profess its
merits. Either code enthusiasts will take on the challenge of recruiting
(as is the case for most hobby, and/or entertainment
aspects of life) new code enthusiasts or they won't.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3341. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 12:55:43 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajisob$l8$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51252

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D5B1896.6832A89C@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I have had the
> > experience of being "told off," at length, and in very specific terms,
by a
> > member of the Ten - Ten organization, for not remembering my 10-10
> > number during a 10-meter phone QSO! On that very day, I unplugged my
> > microphone, and subsequently lost track of where it is!
>
> Well, it hasn't made me resort to cw but I have had the same
> experience on 10, if you don't have one of those stupid numbers a
> lot of 10 meter ops just don't want to waste any time talking to
> you. It isn't just the 10-10 numbers but they have these silly
> numbers for everything they can think up. I have never figured
> what is the thrill of collecting a note book full of useless
> numbers.

But in the end, who cares, so what? The bands are free and no
one has a monopoly or can dictate to all. If someone doesn't want to
QSO with me for whatever reason, I just move on to someone else.
Why let anyONE dictate how we individually enjoy or pursue
ham radio. For every jerk on the air there's lot's more fine
folks that just want to enjoy their hobby.

Works for me!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3342. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 12:58:50 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajisu8taa1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51253

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:GYM69.3061$Rk4.60289192@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
...
> > Any ham who passed a 20-WPM code test and doesn't like or use CW would
> > not encounter me on-the-air in any case! Problem solved, since it
doesn't
> > exist in the first place! You see, I haven't used HF phone in almost a
> > decade, and don't think I'll be doing so any time soon! I have had the
> > experience of being "told off," at length, and in very specific terms,
by
> a
> > member of the Ten - Ten organization, for not remembering my 10-10
> > number during a 10-meter phone QSO! On that very day, I unplugged my
> > microphone, and subsequently lost track of where it is! My HF operating
> > has been 100% CW/Digital ever since!
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> So Larry, if we take your example of unplugging your microphone and giving
> up an entire mode because of a Ten-Tenner, what can I do to encourage you
to
> unplug your computer?
>
> 73, Brian

Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
then chew him out for not knowing it :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3343. ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 13:05:46 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51254

I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.

I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.

If anyone else has more info, please post.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3344. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 13:21:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <m%679.6204$I6.508625@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51255

```
If the ALCU or Charlton Heston is in favor, I'm automatically opposed.
```

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/

3345. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 13:34:20 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51256

In article <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio
> yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the
> support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules
> in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.
>
> I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so
> far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio
> which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.
>
> If anyone else has more info, please post.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families, they signed "under duress".

3346. Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 14:25:23 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208160625.360142c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51257

Hi all,

I would like to hear opinions on the best band for QRP CW work. Given that the sunspot cycle in on the downslide, in a few years I'd like to do some portable QRP work abroad. Of course, one must consider the manageability of a portable doublet (Or perhaps a folded dipole?) for the desired band(s) of operation. That's why I ordered a 20-meter Wilderness SST (They don't offer a 15-meter model.) QRP CW rig kit. A half-wave doublet would only be approx. 33 ft. long. The first night I arrived in Quito, we went on the roof of my uncle's apartment building to enjoy a breathtaking view of the city at night...more than enough space for a 33 ft. dipole.

Anyhoo...after building the SST, I'd kinda like to build an Elecraft K1 four band QRP CW rig kit. It has an option for an internal antenna tuner and a noise blanker that I'd also want. It comes with 40, 30, 20, and either 17 or 15-meters as the last band. It appears to be a sweet rig for such a small package, but at $473...it's a tad pricey. That's why I wonder if it's really worth it for just a rig that'll be employed on vacations, long weekends, and perhaps the occasional daytrip? The SST is pretty cost effective for such a small package. I'm wondering if it'll be all I ever really need?

I have all bands available to me at home, but no practical experience with their characteristics...especially at the bottom of a cycle. If 2 watts on 20-meters'll do the job reliably...then great! I can't really say that I'd look forward to hanging a 66 ft. dipole ANYWHERE on vacation, however...? My uncle also owns a farm about three blocks away from the Mitad del Mundo site and they also have a post office right there so you can have your postcards postmarked 'Mitad del Mundo' or "middle of the world." I'd love to send some QSL cards out from there with my HC2 call and a picture of the site. The next trip also includes plans for a visit to the Galapagos Isles., so the order for the custom QSL cards will go in as soon as I know the call.

Sorry, if I rambled on...this is an example of how CW can really work toward a newbie's advantage. Sure, I could go out and buy a FT-817 and try to work voice. But when you're staying in a quiet house/apartment and you don't want to disturb/awaken the entire household, (And that damn dog could hear a mouse pee on cotton!) what better way than to don a set of headphones and break out the paddles? There's also a nice sense of accomplishment with putting something OTA that you've built (Although I acknowledge that these kits aren't exactly "homebrew.")...especially with a nice homebrew aerial. Thanks in advance for any comments.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3347. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 16:21:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0208160821.3f4aceb5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51258

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0208130516.33c2ceb@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> > In article <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >
> > > At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia,
> > >then he's a pit viper ready to strike.
> >
> If he didn't have a web browser and nothing else to do with his life
> but surf for quick-study info he wouldn't know squat about ham radio.

Note that when real. practical ham radio info is requested (like
N0IMD's antenna dilemma) there is deafening silence from certain
parties, and it's left up to the long-time-licensed AMATEURS to help
out....

> That's why he's so torqued about being locked out of the ARRL
> members-only site.

Members aren't "locked out". If the ARRL just gave it all away they'd
be eliminating a major reason to be a member.

Didja know senior citizens get a discount?

> > That would require his wanting the ARS to survive and flourish. I think he
> > wants exactly the opposite to happen.

> One would come to that conclusion based on his history in this NG but
> in reality I suspect he's not even remotely concerned abt ham radio
> one way or another.

Probably more true that even he realizes.

> He's using tactics which he knows will draw
> responses and generating responses, any responses, is his whole life
> content completely aside.

Like the kid who craves attention - any attention - and acts up in
order to get it.
> > > I guess they didn't teach that to Lennie in his "night school"
> > >engineering program.

> He's an engineer like I'm the Pope.

HAW!!!!

> Maybe he took a few introductory
> level technical courses cafeteria-style at some community college at
> best. Then maybe not.

Whatever. Doesn't have much bearing on amateur radio policy, anyway.

> > Think about what his REAL goals and motivations are. Getting rid of the code
> > test is just a smokescreen.

> You're taking him far too seriously Jim, he's just another USENET
> dilettante who knows how to stir up controversy for his own
> entertainment and for no other reason.

Perhaps. Commenting to the FCC is another mater - that ain't USENET.

> There's a jillion Lennys out
> there in venues beyond ham radio.

Walter Mitty lives.

> Basically he's snookering you and
> the others who are serious abt ham radio into wasting your time by
> entertaining him, he's punching buttons for jollies and he knows the
> buttons.

Doesn't take me much time or energy to post here. Good typing
practice.

> He's using you against yourself.

Trying to, anyway.

> He's a zero, simply ignore him.

That's a plan. Now if we could just get K4YZ to see that light.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3348. Re: LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 16:29:17 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@barfhqalabamavirginislands.com>
Message-ID: <ajj98nfsh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajirrahih0@pita.alt.net...
>
> I've got you anonymous cowards really dancing now, you're wondering where
I work
> again.....
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
>
> All I have to do is post once a day, and you still think about me 24/7
> Mwhahahahaha!!!
>
> I own you
>
> MWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
>

Sure Roger, and who kept spewing filth and child pornography
on Usenet on the same day his mother died last mid-April. YOU!
If that's not a wake-up call for you to get help, then nothing is.
Even Dave Heil cautioned you at the March meeting of the Marshall
county ARES about your sociopathic Usenet attacks on his family, or
he would speak to Chief Stenger about you again. I see you
wisely chose to lay off attacking Dave's family! GET HELP
ROGER! You are owned by the very people you claim to own.

Lloyd

3349. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 18:08:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0xy4t8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51260

On Fri, 16 Aug 2002 13:21:22 GMT, KØHB wrote:

>If the ALCU or Charlton Heston is in favor, I'm automatically opposed.

I can agree about Charlton Heston, but in this case the ACLU is on
our side.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3350. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 18:08:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0xy2i7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51261

On Fri, 16 Aug 2002 09:05:46 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio
>yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the
>support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules
>in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.
>
>I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so
>far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio
>which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.
>
>If anyone else has more info, please post.

http://www.nytimes.com/2002/08/13/nyregion/13JERS.html?
ex=1030250449&ei=1&en=a59ada9f0f833531

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3351. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 18:08:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0xydz9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51262

On Fri, 16 Aug 2002 13:34:20 -0000, Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv) wrote:

>3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
>association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
>now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
>thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
>there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
>abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
>they signed "under duress".

There's more to it than that. They are also claiming that the
Association has assumed the role of a local government without the
"checks and balances" which the members would have with respect to
its actions, and that the Association board has violated its own
bylaws by refusing to furnish information on membership and
finances as required.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3352. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 18:14:03 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Lhb79.15323$Ep6.1205688@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51263

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:m%679.6204$I6.508625@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> If the ALCU or Charlton Heston is in favor, I'm automatically opposed.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc/
>

Hans, you haven't made the right lists until you get two virtually identical letters inviting you to support the Constitution by sending money; one from the ACLU (who damn near invented the genre') the other from the NRA.

Makes your day

3353. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 18:14:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajjfemsgi1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51264

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message news:ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
> billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> > I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio
> > yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the
> > support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules
> > in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.
> >
> > I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so
> > far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio
> > which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.
> >
> > If anyone else has more info, please post.
> > Bill K2UNK
>
>
> 3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
> now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
> there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
> abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> they signed "under duress".

Any web site with more details?

I'm really curious as to how the ACLU has structured the "duress" argument.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3354. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 18:40:09 UTC
From: Steve Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ajjguf$2fc$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51265

In article <ajjfemsgi1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
billsohl@mindspring.com says...
>
> "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > In article <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> > > I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio
> > > yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the
> > > support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules
> > > in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.
> > >
> > > I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so
> > > far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio
> > > which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.
> > >
> > > If anyone else has more info, please post.
> > > Bill K2UNK
> >
> >
> > 3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> > association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
> > now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> > thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
> > there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
> > abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> > they signed "under duress".
>
> Any web site with more details?
>
> I'm really curious as to how the ACLU has structured the "duress"
> argument.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Maybe in the ACLU site, but none was mentioned during the interview on
the Gambling Show. As as to duress..according to the lawyer..they had
to sign if they wanted to live in the community and they DID want to
live in that community..so go figure

3355. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 19:31:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland
United
> > > > States can
> > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > >
> > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> > > >
> > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your little "Plan"
the FCC
> and
> > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > >
> > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
> >
> > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
>
> Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?

Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call. I was one of the few who chose to
keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.

You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing against the
door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another sign. It
says, "School for Gifted Students."

3356. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 19:32:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > AB8MQ wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United
States
> can
> > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > >
> >
> > Upgrade to "extra".....
> >
> Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by fraudulent
means and
> that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC sequentially.

Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe you. Brian

3357. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 19:33:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xsc79.1259$Xt.127373418@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51268

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ajisu8taa1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:GYM69.3061$Rk4.60289192@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> ...
> > > Any ham who passed a 20-WPM code test and doesn't like or use CW would
> > > not encounter me on-the-air in any case! Problem solved, since it
> doesn't
> > > exist in the first place! You see, I haven't used HF phone in almost
a
> > > decade, and don't think I'll be doing so any time soon! I have had
the
> > > experience of being "told off," at length, and in very specific terms,
> by
> > a
> > > member of the Ten - Ten organization, for not remembering my 10-10
> > > number during a 10-meter phone QSO! On that very day, I unplugged my
> > > microphone, and subsequently lost track of where it is! My HF
operating
> > > has been 100% CW/Digital ever since!
> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> > So Larry, if we take your example of unplugging your microphone and
giving
> > up an entire mode because of a Ten-Tenner, what can I do to encourage
you
> to
> > unplug your computer?
> >
> > 73, Brian
>
> Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
> then chew him out for not knowing it :-)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
Hey Larry, what's your IP address?

3358. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 19:41:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Bzc79.3$Ou5.2694278@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51269

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message news:ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
> billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> > I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio
> > yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the
> > support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules
> > in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.
> >
> > I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so
> > far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio
> > which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.
> >
> > If anyone else has more info, please post.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
>
> 3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
> now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
> there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
> abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> they signed "under duress".

Buying a new home IS a "duressing" experience.

3359. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 22:19:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulquifgc5espeb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51270

Not unlike a lot of other things. Yes, all the lawns should be no higher
than two inches (I don't want my neighbors' lawns looking like weeds).
Also, no RVs parked in the driveway (put it in your garage). Also no
antennas. But, I want to paint my house differently than yours. Someone
else figures all of the rules are good - except, of course, they should be
able to park their RV in the driveway since they paid for it. Someone else
believes in greenpeace and wants their lawn looking 'natural'. CBers
believe the rules should apply to only licensed individuals. Go figure.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:ajjguf$2fc$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <ajjfemsgi1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> >
> > "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in
message
> > news:ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > In article <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > > billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> > > > I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio
> > > > yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the
> > > > support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules
> > > > in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.
> > > >
> > > > I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so
> > > > far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio
> > > > which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.
> > > >
> > > > If anyone else has more info, please post.
> > > > Bill K2UNK
> > >
> > >
> > > 3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> > > association, which lead to fines by the association. The association
is
> > > now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> > > thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified
that
> > > there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed
papers
> > > abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> > > they signed "under duress".

> >
> > Any web site with more details?
> >
> > I'm really curious as to how the ACLU has structured the "duress"
> > argument.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
> Maybe in the ACLU site, but none was mentioned during the interview on
> the Gambling Show. As as to duress..according to the lawyer..they had
> to sign if they wanted to live in the community and they DID want to
> live in that community..so go figure

3360. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 22:28:40 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <s0f79.35224$SS.1594188@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51271

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0208130307.2b8a1@posting.google.com...
>How some folks can equate working DX in a 5949 Hz sliver of the HF
spectrum (In the
> case of the "freeband.") or on the legal 40 CB channels to "imitating"
> amateur radio is beyond me...but that's another story for another
> time.

Bert, Your fooling yourself if you think the only freebanding that goes on
is above 40 up to 27.999, truckers on 28.085 and freebanding below channel 1
have to be taken into account as well.

Have a Good One

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

3361. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 22:37:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020816183705.28700.00000098@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 51272

In article <b7d1465c.0208160625.360142c8@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>I would like to hear opinions on the best band for QRP CW work.

There is no one best band. The most popular combo is 20/40.

>Given
>that the sunspot cycle in on the downslide, in a few years I'd like to
>do some portable QRP work abroad. Of course, one must consider the
>manageability of a portable doublet (Or perhaps a folded dipole?) for
>the desired band(s) of operation.

Consider the following:

- Plain vanilla dipole
- Trap dipole (40/20 would be only about 50 feet long)
- G5RV (102' long) or half-size G5RV (51 feet long)
- Full wave loop

>That's why I ordered a 20-meter
>Wilderness SST (They don't offer a 15-meter model.) QRP CW rig kit. A
>half-wave doublet would only be approx. 33 ft. long. The first night I
>arrived in Quito, we went on the roof of my uncle's apartment building
>to enjoy a breathtaking view of the city at night...more than enough
>space for a 33 ft. dipole.

That'll work. Only problem with 20 is whether or not it's open when you want to get on the air. As the sunspots decline, 20 will be more and more of a daytime-only band.
>
>Anyhoo...after building the SST, I'd kinda like to build an Elecraft
>K1 four band QRP CW rig kit. It has an option for an internal antenna
>tuner and a noise blanker that I'd also want.

Good rig, and tiny. You might look around for a used 2-band K1 if money is tight.

>It comes with 40, 30,
>20, and either 17 or 15-meters as the last band. It appears to be a
>sweet rig for such a small package, but at $473...it's a tad pricey.

But worth it! You can also add the options later, rather than having to shell out all the money at once.

>That's why I wonder if it's really worth it for just a rig that'll be
>employed on vacations, long weekends, and perhaps the occasional
>daytrip? The SST is pretty cost effective for such a small package.

>I'm wondering if it'll be all I ever really need?

Depends on how much you like CW. You may find you use it more than you thought you would....
>
>I have all bands available to me at home, but no practical experience
>with their characteristics...especially at the bottom of a cycle.

That's a big part of the fun.

>If 2
>watts on 20-meters'll do the job reliably...then great! I can't really
>say that I'd look forward to hanging a 66 ft. dipole ANYWHERE on
>vacation, however...? My uncle also owns a farm about three blocks
>away from the Mitad del Mundo site and they also have a post office
>right there so you can have your postcards postmarked 'Mitad del
>Mundo' or "middle of the world." I'd love to send some QSL cards out
>from there with my HC2 call and a picture of the site. The next trip
>also includes plans for a visit to the Galapagos Isles., so the order
>for the custom QSL cards will go in as soon as I know the call.

Too cool by far, Bert.
>
>Sorry, if I rambled on...this is an example of how CW can really work
>toward a newbie's advantage. Sure, I could go out and buy a FT-817 and
>try to work voice.

And with a rare callsign, you might have a chance.

>But when you're staying in a quiet house/apartment
>and you don't want to disturb/awaken the entire household, (And that
>damn dog could hear a mouse pee on cotton!) what better way than to
>don a set of headphones and break out the paddles?

Yup. Been there, done that. Still an issue in a small house.

>There's also a nice
>sense of accomplishment with putting something OTA that you've built
>(Although I acknowledge that these kits aren't exactly
>"homebrew.")...especially with a nice homebrew aerial.

Just say "kit".

>Thanks in advance for any comments.

Try 20 and see. I went whole hog and built a K2 back in the spring of 2001, and have a blast with it and my homebrew rig.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3362. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 23:27:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020816192742.02258.00000793@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 51273

>Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
>(CC&Rs)
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 08/16/02 14:08 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0xy4t8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>I can agree about Charlton Heston, but in this case the ACLU is on
> our side.

Fair weather friends, Phil...???

Bad idea.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3363. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-16 23:31:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020816193143.02258.00000794@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 51274

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 08/16/02 12:21 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9f4bfe89.0208160821.3f4aceb5@posting.google.com>

>That's a plan. Now if we could just get K4YZ to see that light.

We'll see.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3364. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 00:12:22 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0yg7mb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51275

On 16 Aug 2002 23:27:42 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>I can agree about Charlton Heston, but in this case the ACLU is on
>> our side.
>
> Fair weather friends, Phil...???
>
> Bad idea.

As a lawyer I learned to take "alliances" one case at a time. Your "ally" today may be your "opponent" tomorrow, and vice versa.

There are cases where I agreed with the ACLU's position and others where I didn't. Ditto for private-practice lawyers. Ditto for the FCC.

That's how the game is played.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3365. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 00:19:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ajk4o4$4k4$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51276

At least in the South... I would recommend 30 meters. Very light
population, thus not much QRM. Good propagation most of the time with low
QRN.

20/40 good also. But generally high power on 20 chassing DX and lots of QRN
on 40. Just my opinion.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020816183705.28700.00000098@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <b7d1465c.0208160625.360142c8@posting.google.com>,
wa2si@arrl.net
> (Bert Craig) writes:
>
> >I would like to hear opinions on the best band for QRP CW work.
>
> There is no one best band. The most popular combo is 20/40.
>
> >Given
> >that the sunspot cycle in on the downslide, in a few years I'd like to
> >do some portable QRP work abroad. Of course, one must consider the
> >manageability of a portable doublet (Or perhaps a folded dipole?) for
> >the desired band(s) of operation.
>
> Consider the following:
>
> - Plain vanilla dipole
> - Trap dipole (40/20 would be only about 50 feet long)
> - G5RV (102' long) or half-size G5RV (51 feet long)
> - Full wave loop
>
> >That's why I ordered a 20-meter
> >Wilderness SST (They don't offer a 15-meter model.) QRP CW rig kit. A
> >half-wave doublet would only be approx. 33 ft. long. The first night I
> >arrived in Quito, we went on the roof of my uncle's apartment building
> >to enjoy a breathtaking view of the city at night...more than enough
> >space for a 33 ft. dipole.
>
> That'll work. Only problem with 20 is whether or not it's open when you
want to
> get on the air. As the sunspots decline, 20 will be more and more of a
> daytime-only band.
> >
> >Anyhoo...after building the SST, I'd kinda like to build an Elecraft

> >K1 four band QRP CW rig kit. It has an option for an internal antenna
> >tuner and a noise blanker that I'd also want.
>
> Good rig, and tiny. You might look around for a used 2-band K1 if money is
> tight.
>
> >It comes with 40, 30,
> >20, and either 17 or 15-meters as the last band. It appears to be a
> >sweet rig for such a small package, but at $473...it's a tad pricey.
>
> But worth it! You can also add the options later, rather than having to
shell
> out all the money at once.
>
> >That's why I wonder if it's really worth it for just a rig that'll be
> >employed on vacations, long weekends, and perhaps the occasional
> >daytrip? The SST is pretty cost effective for such a small package.
> >I'm wondering if it'll be all I ever really need?
>
> Depends on how much you like CW. You may find you use it more than you
thought
> you would....
> >
> >I have all bands available to me at home, but no practical experience
> >with their characteristics...especially at the bottom of a cycle.
>
> That's a big part of the fun.
>
> >If 2
> >watts on 20-meters'll do the job reliably...then great! I can't really
> >say that I'd look forward to hanging a 66 ft. dipole ANYWHERE on
> >vacation, however...? My uncle also owns a farm about three blocks
> >away from the Mitad del Mundo site and they also have a post office
> >right there so you can have your postcards postmarked 'Mitad del
> >Mundo' or "middle of the world." I'd love to send some QSL cards out
> >from there with my HC2 call and a picture of the site. The next trip
> >also includes plans for a visit to the Galapagos Isles., so the order
> >for the custom QSL cards will go in as soon as I know the call.
>
> Too cool by far, Bert.
> >
> >Sorry, if I rambled on...this is an example of how CW can really work
> >toward a newbie's advantage. Sure, I could go out and buy a FT-817 and
> >try to work voice.
>
> And with a rare callsign, you might have a chance.
>
> >But when you're staying in a quiet house/apartment
> >and you don't want to disturb/awaken the entire household, (And that
> >damn dog could hear a mouse pee on cotton!) what better way than to

> >don a set of headphones and break out the paddles?
>
> Yup. Been there, done that. Still an issue in a small house.
>
> >There's also a nice
> >sense of accomplishment with putting something OTA that you've built
> >(Although I acknowledge that these kits aren't exactly
> >"homebrew.")...especially with a nice homebrew aerial.
>
> Just say "kit".
>
> >Thanks in advance for any comments.
>
> Try 20 and see. I went whole hog and built a K2 back in the spring of
2001, and
> have a blast with it and my homebrew rig.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

3366. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 01:00:03 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ulr99v5t55tv89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51278

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajgepucau0@208.206.142.76...
>
>
> KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > My opinion/choice is to learn it to meet the requirements set forth by
the
> > F.C.C. and no other reason. I will never use it as an operating mode,
but
> > am always willing to comply with whatever rules or "jump thru whatever
> > hoops" to get the priviledges afforded by passing the code requirement.
> >
> > Possibly there would be two reasons why I HATE code: (1) is that it had
> > beaten me for over 12 years, and (2) the type of people and the methods
they
> > employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the
alleged
> > benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> > potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
>
>
> I dunno, Ryan, when I went through all that the "benefits" were
> obvious- I got all amateur privileges for my efforts, and I note that it
> came no easier to me than anyone else.
>
> As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the extent
> of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
> were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do it)
> to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less
> responsibility for it.

Yeah, Dick only has one paintbrush and the only color he has is white.

Kim W5TIT

3367. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 01:31:42 UTC
From: "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com>
Message-ID: <ulr9rm5qi2mmd2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
> >
> > phil :)
>
> 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.

thank you!

phil :)

3368. Music on 3860 LSB!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 02:08:06 UTC
From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <27e38977.0208161808.785cd349@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The "Zoo of Amatuer Radio" is slowly awakening.....

Right now, at 0206 Z I am hearing some music on 3860LSB. Over the
last few nights, the frequency has been active with the "Tommy Tube"
gang, including the infamous KN4CF, aka "Chicken Feathers"

More as the night develops.....

Lloyd, N0VFP
Listening from Alabama.

3369. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 02:12:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816221252.22428.00000216@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51283

In article <3D5B23D4.21AFB461@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I have had the
>> experience of being "told off," at length, and in very specific terms, by a
>> member of the Ten - Ten organization, for not remembering my 10-10
>> number during a 10-meter phone QSO! On that very day, I unplugged my
>> microphone, and subsequently lost track of where it is! My HF operating
>> has been 100% CW/Digital ever since!
>
>You allowed one jerk to control your life?

No, Cecil, you certainly do NOT control my life -- but thanks for axing!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3370. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 02:12:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816221253.22428.00000217@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51284

In article <ajg54t1195j@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>>
>> Most people I think miss the real issue on code testing. It is many times
>> easier to pass a test in a subject that you have a real interest in. Most
>> of those people who say they have a hard time learning code are deeply
>> interested in radio, but have about as much interest in operating CW as
>> they might have in watching paint dry. If CW holds even a very faint shred
>> of interest for you, then you will never understand why this particular
>> form of torture shouldn't be visited on those of us who don't. For the
>> record, I eventually passed even 20 wpm, but have never bought a key, and
>> won't anytime soon. N3KIP

Believe it or not, even us pro-coders, or, more accurately PCTA's (Pro-Code
Test Agenda) people "get it" when you NCTA's speak of "interest" or other
factors relating to relative ease in learning the code. You see, a lot of us,
myself included, had to overcome this very same lack of "interest" in the
code before we learned it, began using it, and finally realized it's many
benefits and advantages. This is absolutely nothing new or unique.

>My opinion/choice is to learn it to meet the requirements set forth by the
>F.C.C. and no other reason. I will never use it as an operating mode, but
>am always willing to comply with whatever rules or "jump thru whatever
>hoops" to get the priviledges afforded by passing the code requirement.
>
>Possibly there would be two reasons why I HATE code: (1) is that it had
>beaten me for over 12 years,

Fourteen years, in my case, but that was completely *my* fault. You see,
I didn't know anything about the code from my own experience. Worse
than that, I could never conceive of myself ever using it, much less "needing"
it for any practical communications purpose. Much worse, I had "taught"
myself how to send Morse code with a homebrewed code practice
oscillator, and could send 20 WPM but couldn't copy even 2 WPM! My
bad attitude, coupled with learning the code the wrong way, effectively
kept me out of ham radio for the best years of my life. Fortunately, I
overcame my personal objections, got some tapes and started listening
to the W1AW code practice sessions, and eventually got my head wrapped
around the concept of operating CW.

>and (2) the type of people and the methods they
>employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the alleged
>benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
>potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.

I have come to the conclusion that there's no way to "market" the Morse code or CW to the satisfaction of people who are dedicated to their objections to learning it. The excuses are all too easy to make, and are now responsible for the reduction and eventual elimination of the testing requirement -- which will most certainly ensure that there will be a significant reduction on the number of Morse/CW users in the future. Those of us on the PCTA side are always blamed for not "marketing" CW and are constantly told that it is our "responsibility" to do a better job of this "marketing" in the future. However, learning the code and getting first-hand experience in using it is the only thing that can possibly convince one of the benefits and advantages of this mode. It is also a very personal thing -- YOU have to do the work -- YOU have to make the effort -- nobody can do it for you. There really isn't any easy way to learning the code, but it does get easier after you get started and stay with it. Attitude is everything -- and if your attitude toward the code is negative, you will guarantee your own failure. Then, of course, you'll have us PCTA's to blame, as usual. It is always comforting to have a readily-available scapegoat.

If you really want to show us pro-code people something, Ryan, stop your supercilious whining, and get over your "dread" on your own. Start working on learning the code with the mindset that you WILL succeed and that you WANT to become a proficient CW operator. Stop blaming others or levying a requirement of more and better salesmanship upon them. Stop making excuses, and start listening to CW. If you haven't taken the 5 WPM code test, then learn the code at that level, pass the test, and then start using it on-the-air. Forego any further objections, blame, and ill-feelings.

You no longer have a progressive, incentivized licensing structure to motivate you, so YOU must now motivate YOURSELF. You may not realize it now, but you can do it. Nobody had to "sell" me on the code, because I *had* to pass the tests to bet an Extra-class license. Therefore, I do not know how to "sell" the code to you -- and I'm not motivated to try. You see, I have MY Extra class license, and it is one which was attained under a more challenging and comprehensive set of requirements than you will be expected to meet.

If you can learn to relax and enjoy the Morse code, you won't believe how much easier it comes to you! Like I said, *I* know you can do it. Now, go find that out for yourself!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3371. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 02:12:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816221254.22428.00000218@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51282

In article <3D5B2373.EEE2E29D@hotmail.com>, CAM <w5dxp@hotmail.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Moreover, my hair is definitely getting gray, but every single
>> one is still present and accounted for!
>
>Larry is so perfect that not even one hair ever falls out.

Oh, I don't know if I'd go *that* far, Cecil. However, if any of my hairs
do fall out, it's generally a dark brown one. The reason it fell out is
so that the gray one underneath it could emerge!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3372. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 02:13:11 UTC
From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <27e38977.0208161813.e60d711@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:<ajirjq$h6c$4@pita.alt.net>...
> "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
> news:uloqcnfnc7nrbf@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40
> > > meters has its' spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
> >
> > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
> >
> > phil :)
>
> 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.

Thanks for admitting that you have jammed the Liberty Net, Roger. Did
you ever go by the call of "Bathroom Man" back in the mid 90's?
Rumor has that you did.

3373. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 02:28:07 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <ajkcb8$39e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51285
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brother Lloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:27e38977.0208161813.e60d711@posting.google.com...
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:<ajirjq$h6c$4@pita.alt.net>...
> > "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
> > news:uloqcnfnc7nrbf@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40
> > > > meters has its' spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
> > >
> > > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
> > >
> > > phil :)
> >
> > 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.
>
> Thanks for admitting that you have jammed the Liberty Net, Roger. Did
> you ever go by the call of "Bathroom Man" back in the mid 90's?
> Rumor has that you did.

(Psssstttt! Lloyd...he was better known as Back Door Man back then. Still
is.

3374. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:31:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816233150.22428.00000220@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51293

In article <b7d1465c.0208160625.360142c8@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>Hi all,
>
>I would like to hear opinions on the best band for QRP CW work. Given
>that the sunspot cycle in on the downslide, in a few years I'd like to
>do some portable QRP work abroad. Of course, one must consider the
>manageability of a portable doublet (Or perhaps a folded dipole?) for
>the desired band(s) of operation. That's why I ordered a 20-meter
>Wilderness SST (They don't offer a 15-meter model.) QRP CW rig kit. A
>half-wave doublet would only be approx. 33 ft. long. The first night I
>arrived in Quito, we went on the roof of my uncle's apartment building
>to enjoy a breathtaking view of the city at night...more than enough
>space for a 33 ft. dipole.

<<SNIP>>

Bert:

Due to being an apartment dweller and having to settle for indoor (dipole) antennas, I've made a virtual ham career of the 20-meter band for the past nine years. It is a band that keeps on going, and going, and going, like the Energizer bunny, even when other bands seem to be toast! It's probably the least susceptible to atmospheric noise and other negative factors as well. You could have done a lot worse than to choose 20-meters. I'd also include 40-meter capability for the times when you can employ a longer dipole.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3375. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:31:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816233151.22428.00000221@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51287

In article <ajjguf$2fc$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv) <kf2ti@arrl.net> writes:

>Maybe in the ACLU site, but none was mentioned during the interview on
>the Gambling Show. As as to duress..according to the lawyer..they had
>to sign if they wanted to live in the community and they DID want to
>live in that community..so go figure

If that's the case, they choose the wrong argument!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3376. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:31:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816233151.22428.00000222@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51288

In article <Lhb79.15323$Ep6.1205688@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>
>Hans, you haven't made the right lists until you get two virtually
>identical letters inviting you to support the Constitution by sending
>money; one from the ACLU (who damn near invented the genre') the other
>from the NRA.
>
>Makes your day

The ACLU claims to support the Bill of Rights - the first ten amendments
to the U.S. Constitution. Ever wonder why they seemingly choose to
ignore the Second Amendment in their efforts? Or do they only choose
to support and defend those Constitutional rights which are Politically
Correct?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3377. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:31:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816233152.22428.00000223@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51286

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h0xy4t8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>>If the ALCU or Charlton Heston is in favor, I'm automatically opposed.
>
> I can agree about Charlton Heston, but in this case the ACLU is on
> our side.

Phil:

What, perchance, has Charlton Heston done to offend you?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3378. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:31:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816233152.22428.00000224@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51291

In article <ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv) <kf2ti@arrl.net> writes:

>3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
>association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
>now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
>thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
>there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
>abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
>they signed "under duress".

Well, if that's the case, this lawsuit ain't gonna fly. Just think of the precedent that would be set if the ACLU convinces a court that these homeowners signed their closing papers under "duress." It would turn the entire world of contract law upside down!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3379. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:31:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816233153.22428.00000225@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51290

In article <m%679.6204$I6.508625@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>
>If the ALCU or Charlton Heston is in favor, I'm automatically opposed.
>

Hansl:

You're "opposed" to an individual's constitutional right to keep and bear arms? You're "opposed" to the concept of getting some legal relief from restrictive land use covenants which dictate what a person can or cannot do on his/her own property? If you really, truly are opposed to such things, why did you bother serving the best years of your life in the U.S. Navy?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3380. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:31:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816233153.22428.00000226@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51292

In article <ajisob$l8$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>But in the end, who cares, so what? The bands are free and no
>one has a monopoly or can dictate to all. If someone doesn't want to
>QSO with me for whatever reason, I just move on to someone else.
>Why let anyONE dictate how we individually enjoy or pursue
>ham radio.

I'll tell you what, Bill -- just try "moving on" on 10-meter SSB *without*
a 10 - 10 number! Even better (or worse!), try it *with* a 10 - 10 number,
but admit to having forgotten it, and state that you don't really care
about it anyway! I'm reasonably certain the 10-meter SSB'er on the
other end of the QSO will probably care about it! What we have here
is essentially an entire ham radio band being controlled by an
organized gang of number-chasers who have made it perfectly clear
that it's either their way or the highway! Does this sound familiar --
as in, like the very thing that the NCTA has been accusing the PCTA
of for the past dozen years or so?

>For every jerk on the air there's lot's more fine
>folks that just want to enjoy their hobby.

Well, Bill, on ten meters, the "jerks" seem to have a monopoly!
Unfortunately, on 20-meter CW, the FISTS are getting almost as bad!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3381. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:31:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816233154.22428.00000227@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51289

In article <xsc79.1259$Xt.127373418@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
>> then chew him out for not knowing it :-)
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>Hey Larry, what's your IP address?

Brian:

I don't know it, and never did know it, and never cared TO know it! Therefore, knowing my IP address is meaningless knowledge to me as far as using my computer is concerned! The computer will remain plugged in until it is replaced by a new one!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3382. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:31:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020816233155.22428.00000228@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51294

In article <ajisc3$s66$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>> As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the extent
>> of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
>> were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do it)
>> to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less
>> responsibility for it.
>
>So much for any effort to improve marketingof code to newcomers.

Bill:

What makes you think that ham radio newcomers *want* the code
"marketed" to them? If you would take Dick's advice and check out the
archives, you'll find that newcomers seem to come pre-loaded with a
negative opinion of the Morse code/CW mode long before they have
had any personal experience with it. Now -- where do you suppose this
negative opinion came from?

>Instead you now get the "victim" whine. In the end, the future of code use
>in the amateur bands is totally in the hands of those that profess its
>merits.

Why is that, Bill? Why do I, as a person how uses and enjoys CW, do *I*
now have to take responsibility for doing something that was handled
very effectively by a previous licensing requirement? I'll tell you what --
let's make things totally fair here. Let's drop the licensing requirements
for people who drive 18-wheelers, and let just anyone with a regular
driver's license be able to get a job driving one. It will then be up to those
who employ them to ensure that they get the training and experience they
need to ensure that they can operate the rigs safely and efficiently. There
would no longer be a requirement for a driver to hold a Class A CDL before
they got a job. Anyone who *wants* to drive a Kenworth with a 53' trailer
loaded up to 80K lbs. CGVW can do so -- because *that's* being
"inclusive." While we're at it, let's get rid of hunting licenses, board
certification of physicians, the entire FAA, and a lot of other things I can
think of that prevent people from doing what they want to do without being
required to meet qualifying standards.

>Either code enthusiasts will take on the challenge of recruiting
>(as is the case for most hobby, and/or entertainment
>aspects of life) new code enthusiasts or they won't.

Why should I "recruit" anyone to the Morse code, or, for that matter,
ham radio itself, Bill? I don't hold your belief that ham radio is for

everyone. I think it is a very unique, specialized, and fairly obscure hobby/service which should attract and retain very few self-motivated, dedicated individuals whose interest is fired by their own curiosity and desire to explore the world of electronic communication as a licensed radio amateur. Moreover, since information *about* ham radio is more readily available than ever before, those who want to learn about it can easily come to ME -- I'm not going to go out and try to "recruit" anyone!

I've got a better idea -- why don't YOU try to "recruit" ME to learn about something in which you have a personal interest! It will be interesting to see how you go about doing it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3383. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:43:29 UTC
From: Desert Eagle <You.bet@no.way>
Message-ID: <BDj79.57096$ZI2.11539@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 51295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

God, I hate these little internet slam fest, but they seem to be inevitable. A question though, the Conditional Class license was granted after passing the same test as a General Class license, only not as administered at an FCC field office. Why would a Conditional be a bad thing? Or why would it be less than a General in your mind? For that matter the old Technician Class took the same test also, only with the 5 WPM code test, if I remember correctly.

Eagle

"AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajg3tn$i5j$4@pita.alt.net...
> "Zitchey" <zitchey@StumpjumpersHerbie14313.com> wrote in message
> news:ajeto4$lf0$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > >
> > Oh please, don't educate
>
> Oh, the irony, Polly, the uneducated washing machine repairman...
>
> Polly, you have the distinction of not taking the General Class test,
which you
> couldn't pass anyways because you were grandfathered from a Conditional
Class
> license. It's all in those old callbooks. Polly/Zitchey has the
distinction of
> getting TWO (2) warning letters from Riley Hollingsworth and also he had
to
> inventory ALL his ham radio equipment. Now mule humper and
daughter-diddler George
> Ashley has made himself scarce on the air. I wonder if Riley told him not
to get
> back on the air in an agreement so Polly wouldn't lose his license, as he
was
> about to.

3384. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 03:56:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208161956.3d70de74@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51296

Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv) <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message news:<ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> In article <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
> billsohl@mindspring.com says...
>
> 3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
> now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
> there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
> abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> they signed "under duress".
>
>
That's a crock, nobody put a gun to their heads when they decided to buy in that development and sign the deal. Not reading the fine print is usually a legal non-sequiter. But we'll see how it works out, could be interesting.

w3rv

3385. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 04:44:17 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Bwk79.16178$Ep6.1266768@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51297

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020816233151.22428.00000222@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <Lhb79.15323$Ep6.1205688@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >
> > >Hans, you haven't made the right lists until you get two virtually
> > >identical letters inviting you to support the Constitution by sending
> > >money; one from the ACLU (who damn near invented the genre') the other
> > >from the NRA.
> > >
> > >Makes your day
>
> The ACLU claims to support the Bill of Rights - the first ten amendments
> to the U.S. Constitution. Ever wonder why they seemingly choose to
> ignore the Second Amendment in their efforts? Or do they only choose
> to support and defend those Constitutional rights which are Politically
> Correct?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Aw come on Larry, ACLU is self-destructive enough without opening that can of worms.

So far as I know, the 2nd amendment has shown up once, sometime in the '30s, with a decision that reminds one of a graduation speech: what did he say?

Like a lot of other things Biblical and Constitutional, what appears to be pretty straight up in 21st Century English may have easily been something else when written -- one of the minor advantages of writing Bibles in dead languages. It avoids things like Salome wanting the head of J the B 'by and by' as she does in the KJV.

The term 'keep and bear arms' had a meaning a bit different that it means today. If sufficiently motivated I could preach any side of the issue you had in mind but there may not be enough rotted grape juice in existence for some of them.

And, of course, just because the Founding Fathers (proper background music) said it may or may not, depending on one's POV about enfranchising the dead, justify doing anything about it.

Whatever else the Bill of Rights might be, the draftsmanship stunk and some of them, notably the 9th and 10th sort of wave in the breeze. In the

meantime we are stuck with Grand Juries which date from the days when the Assizes rolled into town. While I do recognize that any system has to run on a good theory and a lot of mythology, any system sanctioning a Coroner's Jury is stretching things a bit far.

Sometime for shits and giggles attend the local ACLU meeting and get a flavor of the org. It is a minor miracle that lunch gets ordered; only Trotskyites can fight like that. So ACLU is a pretty ad hoc bunch that gets hoisted on its own petard (to use a bit of Old English) regularly. The most famous one was supporting the American Nazis right to march thru Skokie IL. A lot is a question of getting someone interested. By and large, ACLU presents about as much threat to civilization as the Unitarian Army; it is largely the same people. Garrison Keilor's line about the UU missionaries converting the natives thru intepretive dance could easily be applied to ACLU.

Richard Baldwin, one of the ACLU founders, had a day job in St. Louis at the Social Work school at Washington U. He is also responsible for a lot of juvenile codes and even wrote a few. The concept of most of the juvie codes is essentially that the wise men will pronounce upon the unworthy bodies of juvies 'in their best interests' which while perhaps practical is not generally in keeping with the prevailing mythology. So he lived to see one of his children eat another; he was something of a tragic figure.

One may not always, indeed rarely, agree with ACLUs position on specific issues but there is something to be said for having a group dedicated to following the rules. But for all the heat, there really is not a lot of light on what the rules are or were ever intended to be. But one thing is for sure: do not go the their lunchs hungry.

Dick NBK

3386. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 05:25:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020817012553.22428.00000229@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 51298

In article <Bwk79.16178$Ep6.1266768@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>So far as I know, the 2nd amendment has shown up once, sometime in the
>'30s, with a decision that reminds one of a graduation speech: what did he
>say?
>
>Like a lot of other things Biblical and Constitutional, what appears to be
>pretty straight up in 21st Century English may have easily been something
>else when written -- one of the minor advantages of writing Bibles in dead
>languages. It avoids things like Salome wanting the head of J the B 'by
>and by' as she does in the KJV.

>The term 'keep and bear arms' had a meaning a bit different that it means
>today. If sufficiently motivated I could preach any side of the issue you
>had in mind but there may not be enough rotted grape juice in existence
>for some of them.

Dick:

I see. Tell me, then, what's YOUR interpretation of the Second
Amendment? Do individual citizens have the Right to Keep and Bear
Arms (private possession, largely unfettered by state/federal law),
with the right to readily obtain a license to carry a firearm (concealed
or not) for personal self defense?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3387. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 06:16:56 UTC
From: Paul N3EOP <n3eop@arrl.or.callsign.net>
Message-ID: <Xns926CECD716962n3eophotmailcom@64.164.98.29>
Source record: 51299

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in
news:3D5B1896.6832A89C@mailcity.net:

> I have never figured what is the thrill of collecting a
> note book full of useless numbers.

Or a notebook full of useless letter and number combinations, for that
matter.

3388. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:24:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7oq79.261$w6.45062159@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51152

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:ajl39s2pim@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ajgepucau0@208.206.142.76...
> >
> >
> > KC8PMX wrote:
> > >
> > > My opinion/choice is to learn it to meet the requirements set forth by
> the
> > > F.C.C. and no other reason. I will never use it as an operating mode,
> but
> > > am always willing to comply with whatever rules or "jump thru whatever
> > > hoops" to get the priviledges afforded by passing the code
requirement.
> > >
> > > Possibly there would be two reasons why I HATE code: (1) is that it
had
> > > beaten me for over 12 years, and (2) the type of people and the
methods
> they
> > > employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the
> alleged
> > > benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> > > potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
> >
> >
> > I dunno, Ryan, when I went through all that the "benefits" were
> > obvious- I got all amateur privileges for my efforts, and I note that it
> > came no easier to me than anyone else.
> >
>
> Never said you had it easier.... And my statement in the first paragraph
> applies; I am willing to "jump thru the hoops" in any field of endeavor
> including amateur radio, to get the priviledges I wish to enjoy. Again, I
> do not have to like it though, and through freedom of speech, I am allowed
> to voice that aversion.
>
>
> > As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the extent
> > of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
> > were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do it)
> > to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less

> > responsibility for it.
>
> So, a newbie who may be a potential morse code user, who has not made a
> decision to be a no-coder by opinion, not by license class should be
treated
> wrongly by the "old boys network" as opposed to becoming one of them? I
am
> sure there are many nocode techs who may actually like it if some of the
> existing hams marketed their "love" better instead of leaving a bad taste
in
> their mouth for something they may not have been even aware of.
(licensure
> changes and related issues.)
>
> Again, there may be some of the tech licensure who have not declared an
> interested in the N.C.I. mindset. But I am sure after meeting/talking to
> some hams out there, their minds may have changed because of the attitudes
> that go with some of the "coders." What's that expression, "You can
> attract more ******* with honey that feces?" (or something like that)

Ryan, its called "tough love." If you don't love it, they're here to kick
the crap out of you.

3389. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:27:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <vqq79.264$Xm.46046436@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51153

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020816233155.22428.00000228@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <ajisc3$s66$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >> As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the extent
> >> of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
> >> were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do it)
> >> to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less
> >> responsibility for it.
> >
> >So much for any effort to improve marketingof code to newcomers.
>
> Bill:
>
> What makes you think that ham radio newcomers *want* the code
> "marketed" to them? If you would take Dick's advice and check out the
> archives, you'll find that newcomers seem to come pre-loaded with a
> negative opinion of the Morse code/CW mode long before they have
> had any personal experience with it. Now -- where do you suppose this
> negative opinion came from?

We've had them come on here with great love for the Morse Code they know
nothing about. They say the right things to the coders, and you guys fawn
all over them.

Ask Val how the code is coming along.

3390. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:29:46 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajldvuuqa1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Desert Eagle" <You.bet@no.way> wrote in message
news:BDj79.57096$Zl2.11539@sccrnsc02...
> God, I hate these little internet slam fest, but they seem to be inevitable.

Yet, you partricipate, eh, hypocrite?

> A question though, the Conditional Class license was granted after passing
> the same test as a General Class license, only not as administered at an FCC
> field office. Why would a Conditional be a bad thing? Or why would it be
> less than a General in your mind?

Zitchey/Polly/W4FQF couldn't pass even the Conditional test without bribing.
The old useless fuck couldn't discuss anything technical if his life depended upon
it. Ask him about running legal limit just to talk acrtoss Memphis to his former
friend W4LLI (He dropped him like a hotr potato after Riley made him inventory his
equipment in all his Teneessee residences) on 3.901.

> For that matter the old Technician Class took the same test also, only with the
5
> WPM code test, if I remember correctly.
>
> Eagle

So Polly/Zitchey/W4FQF is no better than a old Technician?
Mwhahahahahaha!

> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajg3tn$i5j$4@pita.alt.net...
> > "Zitchey" <zitchey@StumpjumpersHerbie14313.com> wrote in message
> > news:ajeto4$lf0$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Oh please, don't educate
> >
> > Oh, the irony, Polly, the uneducated washing machine repairman...
> >
> > Polly, you have the distinction of not taking the General Class test,
> which you
> > couldn't pass anyways because you were grandfathered from a Conditional
> Class
> > license. It's all in those old callbooks. Polly/Zitchey has the
> distinction of
> > getting TWO (2) warning letters from Riley Hollingsworth and also he had
> to
> > inventory ALL his ham radio equipment. Now mule humper and
> daughter-diddler George

> > Ashley has made himself scarce on the air. I wonder if Riley told him not
> to get
> > back on the air in an agreement so Polly wouldn't lose his license, as he
> was
> > about to.
>
>
>

3391. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:32:31 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajldvvuqa2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland
> United
> > > > > States can
> > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> > > > >
> > > > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your little "Plan"
> the FCC
> > and
> > > > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
> > > >
> > > > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
> > >
> > Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
>
> Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call.

Sarcasm impairment noted, dumbass.

> I was one of the few who chose to
> keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.

Where you peeled potatoes and cleaned latrines? Bet you had a thing for those
Filipino boys that migrated there.

> You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing against the
> door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another sign. It
> says, "School for Gifted Students."

Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."

3392. Re: LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:41:05 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajle01uqa4@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"LlardasssssssssssssLloyd" <Lloyd@barfhqalabamavirginislands.com> wrote in message news:ajj98nfsh0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajirrahih0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > I've got you anonymous cowards really dancing now, you're wondering where
> I work
> > again.....
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
> >
> > All I have to do is post once a day, and you still think about me 24/7
> > Mwhahahahaha!!!
> >
> > I own you
> >
> > MWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
> >
>
> Sure Roger, [Lllllloyd's I love Child porn admission]

And Lllllloyd likes that child poornography, he just admitted it.

> Even Dave Heil cautioned you at the March meeting of the Marshall
> county ARES about your sociopathic Usenet attacks on his family, or
> he would speak to Chief Stenger about you again.

Whoopee shit. He 's an ASSHOLE. He needs to quit using that cheap cologne to cover up his body odor. It wasn't working.

> I see you wisely chose to lay off attacking Dave's family! GET HELP
> ROGER! You are owned by the very people you claim to own.

Fuck off.
And quit going down on Shelby and Michelle.

3393. Re: Music on 3860 LSB!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:42:47 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajle02uqa5@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cheeta's Brother Llllardasssssssssssss Lloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:27e38977.0208161808.785cd349@posting.google.com...
> The "Zoo of Amatuer Radio" is slowly awakening.....

So's the Zoo that is the Davies Family.

> Right now, at 0206 Z I am hearing some music on 3860LSB. Over the
> last few nights, the frequency has been active with the "Tommy Tube"
> gang, including the infamous KN4CF, aka "Chicken Feathers"
>
> More as the night develops.....
>
> Lloyd, N0VFP
> Listening from Alabama.

Translation: Llardasssss Lllllllloyd is playing music over the HF bands, unidentified.

3394. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:43:21 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajle03uqa6@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51159
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
news:ulr9rm5qi2mmd2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
> > >
> > > phil :)
> >
> > 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.
>
> thank you!
>
> phil :)

No problem, you can hear N0VFP unidentified on them.

3395. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:44:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sGq79.274$gh.45720770@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51154

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020816233154.22428.00000227@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <xsc79.1259$Xt.127373418@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
> >> then chew him out for not knowing it :-)
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> Bill K2UNK
> >>
> >Hey Larry, what's your IP address?
>
> Brian:
>
> I don't know it, and never did know it, and never cared TO know
> it! Therefore, knowing my IP address is meaningless knowledge to
> me as far as using my computer is concerned!

Why not? Don't you have the slightest interest in computing?

I just hate it when one of these knuckle dragging 3-landers with an East coast accent comes on here and doesn't even know the most basic thing about on-line communication. Its like he doesn't even know his own name. Talk about dumbed down and lowered standards and the world going to hell in a hand basket. Shees!

The computer
> will remain plugged in until it is replaced by a new one!

Darn!

3396. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:46:09 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajle04uqa7@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cheeta's Brother Lllardasssssssssssssss Lloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:27e38977.0208161813.e60d711@posting.google.com...
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:<ajirjq$h6c$4@pita.alt.net>...
> > "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
> > news:uloqcnfnc7nrbf@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40
> > > > meters has its' spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
> > >
> > > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
> > >
> > > phil :)
> >
> > 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.
>
> Thanks for admitting that you have jammed the Liberty Net, Roger.

How is posting frequencies admitting jamming, you fucking idiot? Did Mrs. Ray Davies, the town drunk, have any children that lived? Or is the old piece of shit still giveing blowjobs for $2?

> Did you ever go by the call of "Bathroom Man" back in the mid 90's?
> Rumor has that you did.

No, dumbass, how could I transmit with a Kenwood R-5000 receiver, you fucking retard? I know that the guy transmiting as "hillbilly" and "kawasaki" is YOU. They've already DFed you, Lardasssssss.

3397. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:51:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xNq79.277$at.46433518@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51155

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020816233153.22428.00000225@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <m%679.6204$I6.508625@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "K&#65533;HB"
> <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
> >
> >If the ALCU or Charlton Heston is in favor, I'm automatically opposed.
> >
>
> Hansl:
>
> You're "opposed" to an individual's constitutional right to keep and
> bear arms? You're "opposed" to the concept of getting some legal
> relief from restrictive land use covenants which dictate what a person
> can or cannot do on his/her own property? If you really, truly are
> opposed to such things, why did you bother serving the best years
> of your life in the U.S. Navy?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

```
Like the current crop of politicians who take an oath to defend and protect
the Constitution against ALL enemies, foreign AND Domestic, he probably just
paid lip service so he could take the job.
```

3398. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 11:58:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cTq79.16429$Ep6.1295477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51156

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020817012553.22428.00000229@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <Bwk79.16178$Ep6.1266768@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >So far as I know, the 2nd amendment has shown up once, sometime in the
> >'30s, with a decision that reminds one of a graduation speech: what did
he
> >say?
> >
> >Like a lot of other things Biblical and Constitutional, what appears to
be
> >pretty straight up in 21st Century English may have easily been
something
> >else when written -- one of the minor advantages of writing Bibles in
dead
> >languages. It avoids things like Salome wanting the head of J the B
'by
> >and by' as she does in the KJV.
>
> >The term 'keep and bear arms' had a meaning a bit different that it
means
> >today. If sufficiently motivated I could preach any side of the issue
you
> >had in mind but there may not be enough rotted grape juice in existence
> >for some of them.
>
> Dick:
>
> I see. Tell me, then, what's YOUR interpretation of the Second
> Amendment? Do individual citizens have the Right to Keep and Bear
> Arms (private possession, largely unfettered by state/federal law),
> with the right to readily obtain a license to carry a firearm (concealed
> or not) for personal self defense?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

From a purely historical perspective, i.e. trying to make sense of just
what those who were not going to ratify a constitution without their pet
ideas had in mind, I think all it was intended to accomplish was to make
it clear that it was legal and non-treasonous for states to have armies.
But I don't kid myself that my opinion is in any way decisive.

The Bill of Rights was what it took to get the Philadelphia constitution ratified and a lot of things suffered along the way. There were, I believe, several more amendments that did not get ratified. It really does not deserve the deification it has received. Great idea, miserable execution.

At the same time, history does indeed argue for an armed populace.

3399. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 12:00:59 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajle0auqad@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

```
"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United
> States
> > can
> > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > >
> > >
> > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> >
> > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by fraudulent
> means and
> > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC sequentially.
>
> Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe you. Brian

You shouldn't make statements like:

Brian Pussy Burke, N0IMD blathered out of his ass in
<17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
"I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
```

3400. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 12:44:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4zr79.312$Xz.46824688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajldvvuqa2@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
Mainland
> > United
> > > > > > > States can
> > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your little
"Plan"
> > the FCC
> > > > and
> > > > > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
> > >
> > Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call.
>
> Sarcasm impairment noted, dumbass.

Roger, no, really. I have a 1x3 call.

> > I was one of the few who chose to
> > keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.
>
> Where you peeled potatoes and cleaned latrines?

Actually, I was a forecaster at the Joint Typhoon Weather Center. As a senior NCO, no chow hall or latrine duty. But none the less, there is nothing wrong with preparing food or keeping one's bathroom clean. I suppose you don't like potatos or clean bathrooms?

Bet you had a thing for those
> Filipino boys that migrated there.

That must have been a Navy thing. I was in the Air Force.

> > You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing against the
> > door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another sign. It
> > says, "School for Gifted Students."
>
> Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."

OK.

3401. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 12:47:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0Cr79.316$zD.47035621@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajle0auqad@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland
United
> > States
> > > can
> > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> > >
> > > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by
fraudulent
> > means and
> > > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC sequentially.
> >
> > Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe you.
Brian
>
> You shouldn't make statements like:
>
> "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

Its a true statement. Here, allow me to say it again:

I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.

Now play back the part where I said they were in the contiguous 48 states.

3402. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 15:26:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020817112611.05850.00000039@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 51167

In article <20020816193143.02258.00000794@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 16 Aug 2002 23:31:43 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 08/16/02 12:21 Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <9f4bfe89.0208160821.3f4aceb5@posting.google.com>
>
>>That's a plan. Now if we could just get K4YZ to see that light.
>
> We'll see.
>
Try it. W3RV and KB3EIA have the clear picture.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3403. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 16:05:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E5559.66690BC1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51168
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

AB8MQ wrote:
>
> "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
> news:ulr9rm5qi2mmd2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
> > > >
> > > > phil :)
> > >
> > > 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.
> >
> > thank you!
> >
> > phil :)
>
> No problem, you can hear N0VFP unidentified on them.

Will we hear you on these frequencies wogie? No, you're too scared
to operate anymore.

3404. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 16:07:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E55B9.AC84D812@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

AB8MQ wrote:

>
> Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."

You make Forrest Gump look like Enstine.

3405. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 16:27:50 UTC
From: Dianne & Brent <bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B983B985.4CF1%bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51166

On 8/16/02 5:34 AM, in article ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net, "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote:

> In article <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
> billsohl@mindspring.com says...
>> I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio
>> yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the
>> support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules
>> in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.
>>
>> I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so
>> far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio
>> which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.
>>
>> If anyone else has more info, please post.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>
>
> 3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
> now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
> there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
> abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> they signed "under duress".

A couple of technical details such as:
1. Were the homeowner rules forced upon them after moving in?
2. Were the people aware of the rules and had a chance to peruse them before signing papers?

I lived in a neighborhood growing up that has some rules (mostly the 1960's and 1970's "can't put a 10' dish in your front yard, can't put a 10' tall fence that you can't see through in your front yard" kind of thing) - and had anyone seriously challenged them, especially the antenna ones, the covenants would have fallen and the trustees knew it.

3406. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 16:33:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208170833.264f180c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51170

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020816083645.21128.00000044@mb-da.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0208150304.63027ee4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20020814171347.28959.00000003@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> >> In article <d22b54c3.0208121456.3e7e2046@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >> >> I don't doubt that certain people here have held various commerical
> radio
> >> >> licenses. Do you?
>
> >> >Second Telegraph here.
>
> >> Kewl!
>
> >> That means you did everything required for a Second 'Phone and then some,
> >> right?
>
> >Fairly close but there were significant differences between the
> >writtens for the second phone & telegraph. Just passing the Phone 2
> >written and the code test did not get ya a Telegraph 2.
>
> Right - but was there anything a Second 'Phone could do that was off limits to
> a Second Telegraph?
>
>
Don't remember. Maybe babysit broadcast stations but don't mess with
the knobs??
>
>
The schtick with the Telegraph 2 was that it was a mandatory "leaner's
permit". Ya could not go straight to a Telegraph 1. Ya had to serve a
one-year apprenticship under Telegraph 1s either at sea or at a
maritime shore station to qulify as a Telly 1. I don't think there was
a test for the Telly 1, instead you had to be signed off by the Telly
1 ya worked for. Not very different from the way ya became a
journeyman in the industrial skilled trades.
>
>
I had no intention of pursuing a career as a professional beeper but
the FCC did present me with a whizzy piece of wallpaper which looks

just like my original Extra "certificate". Neither were or are
licenses, they're basically FCC "graduation diplomas". Look great
hanging side-by-side on the shack wall. The FCC quit providing the
things decades ago.
>
>

> >I took the
> >Telegraph 2 code test for my Extra and having passed that I figgered
> >what the hell, it's free so I took a blind poke at the Telegraph 2
> >writtens and holycow I passed that too.
>
> When was this? There were significant fees for the ham tests in 1968-1970. As I
> recall, the fee for the commercial test was even higher in '72. YMMV.
>
>
1953 to 1968. Give or take. To the best of my recollection I've never
paid the FCC a dime for anything and forget any VECs. Not for my three
ham trickets, the Telegraph ticket or my 1x2 callsign in 1977+/-. In
each instance the FCC was not in it's erratic on/off fee collection
mode. Pure happenstance.
>
>
>
> >Two tickets for the cost of a
> >round trip on the El and the Sharon Hill line and most of a (long) day
> >at the FCC office.
>
> Heck, I walked to 69th street.
>
>
Fuhgddit, I "rode my thumb" everywhere when I wuz a kid. Aldan to
radio row in 45 minutes was a piece of cake.
>
>

> >> >No Model 19
> >> >putzbox to decode the stuff allowed, had to have the SKILL.
>
> >> Watta concept.
>
> >Needs to be explored further . . .
>
> Research continues.
>
>
There's defintely something to this manual digital on-off keying
concept, seems to provide much better S/N ratios and spectrum
utilization efficiency than any of the motormouth modes . . . maybe we
should publish? Or should we continue the development effort?
>

>
> >>
> >My Kent KT-1 straight key arrived yesterday. Whatta BEAST! Wait'll you
> >see this sucker!
>
> Neat! Maybe it's time to add to the J-37.
>
>
It's the ultimate beeper's boy toy, pure artistry in brass and black.
Perfect match with my Kent paddles. Almost $300 into two beeper's
interface tools. Raw extravagance personified. Love it.
>
>
> >> > Beep-beep.
>
> >Beep. w3rv
>
> Novelty song from the '50s involving a Nash Rambler and a Cadillac.
>
>
Ayup. Definite analogy around here. Some of the "Cadillacs" in this NG
who sneer at us Ramblers need to remember who wound up ahead of who
down the road.
>
>
Response to a question you asked in another post: Yes, I took
advantage of the discount the ARRL offers elders for the first time
this year. I let my membership expire for a few months until my Magic
Birthday rolled around and mailed the dues the next day. As a bonus
for dragging my feet and letting my membership expire the ARRL finally
offered me feebie deals on on a selection of books if I'd "log back
in" with a check. Got me a free copy of Hints 'n Kinks *and* the
discount.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3407. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 16:52:48 UTC
From: John Kimball <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1qvsluoup608rieuedcm3t6u6lo0rabemo@4ax.com>
Source record: 51171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 16:05:32 GMT, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> Blew
their wad in Message id: <3D5E5559.66690BC1@mailcity.net>:

>
>
>AB8MQ wrote:
>>
>> "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
>> news:ulr9rm5qi2mmd2@corp.supernews.com...
>> > > > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
>> > > >
>> > > > phil :)
>> > >
>> > > 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.
>> >
>> > thank you!
>> >
>> > phil :)
>>
>> No problem, you can hear N0VFP unidentified on them.
>
>Will we hear you on these frequencies wogie? No, you're too scared
>to operate anymore.

Not true. I heard he operates under the influence all the time.

--
==========>8==========
From: "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> (Roger Wiseman)

<president-mcnamara@theovaloffice.REMOVEcom> wrote (to Roger Wiseman) in
message ID <a1l33h$eg1$1@paradoxa.ogoense.net>
> [Time to feed the troll.]

"Did that toilet overflow onto the floor of that basement gheto apartment
again?"

Roger Wiseman, Usenet doormat and resident shit-eater, autoflames himself
in message ID: <a1mqqb56a@dosa.alt.net>
==========>8==========

3408. Re: LOL!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 16:54:15 UTC
From: John Kimball <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <usvslu4knhgjb2siomq8bpoisn2phpl9qh@4ax.com>
Source record: 51172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 07:41:05 -0400, "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> Blew their
wad in Message id: <ajle01uqa4@pita.alt.net>:

>He needs to quit using that cheap cologne to cover
>up his body odor. It wasn't working.

Were you standing behind him when you noticed?

--
"Never attened any schools int he West Virginia school system."
Roger Wiseman <kc8jbo@alt.net> Finally comes clean about his lack of
education in Message-ID: <a2cpbp$ee3$g@pita.alt.net>

3409. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 17:39:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020817133958.05850.00000041@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 51173

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals
on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of Auust 15, 2002:

Novice - 37,074 (decrease of 12,255)
Tech - 243,209 (increase of 37,815)
Tech Plus - 76,588 (decrease of 52,272)
General - 138,712 (increase of 26,035)
Advanced - 84,830 (decrease of 14,952)
Extra - 102,608 (increase of 23,858)
Total - 683,021 (increase of 8,229)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3410. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 18:26:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajm4fo$j3d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51174

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020816233155.22428.00000228@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <ajisc3$s66$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >> As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the extent
> >> of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
> >> were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do it)
> >> to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less
> >> responsibility for it.
> >
> >So much for any effort to improve marketingof code to newcomers.
>
> Bill:
>
> What makes you think that ham radio newcomers *want* the code
> "marketed" to them?

Larry,

If YOU want to see code continue as a mode of choice after
the code test no longer is mandatory, then it makes
NO difference what newcomers "want". Your mission,
if you choose to accept it, is to enlist sufficient numbers of
newcomers to the mode...regardless of whatever preconcieved
notions they may already have...THAT is basic marketing 101.

> If you would take Dick's advice and check out the
> archives, you'll find that newcomers seem to come pre-loaded with a
> negative opinion of the Morse code/CW mode long before they have
> had any personal experience with it.

Which makes your marketing job tougher, but doesn't change
what you need to acomplish. The ball will still be in your court.

> Now -- where do you suppose this
> negative opinion came from?

It makes no difference. In the marketplace of ideas and opinions,
you will just have to deal with those that think and preach
other than you do. There are plenty of naysayers out there
that would have me believe that my interest in auto racing is
unhealthy, anti-environmental, or just plain dumb. My responce...
they can shove their opinions. I am an advocate of auto racing
and have no problem countering those that think otherwise.

> >Instead you now get the "victim" whine. In the end, the future of code
use
> >in the amateur bands is totally in the hands of those that profess its
> >merits.
>
> Why is that, Bill? Why do I, as a person how uses and enjoys CW, do *I*
> now have to take responsibility for doing something that was handled
> very effectively by a previous licensing requirement?

Because there is NO need for the licensing requirement to exist
simply to draft new devotees to the CW mode. YOU lost that
argument with the FCC in NPRM 98-143.

> I'll tell you what --
> let's make things totally fair here. Let's drop the licensing
requirements
> for people who drive 18-wheelers, and let just anyone with a regular
> driver's license be able to get a job driving one.

Bzzzt...bad anology. I don't want a "novice" at driving 18 wheelers
on the road. That person can cause major death, injury and destruction
if not capable of handling the 18 wheeler. A ham novice at code, however,
creates NO such danger to himself, or others if that code novice just gets
on
the air and haphazardly struggles along with code at even 1 wpm or,
more likely, never uses code at all.

> It will then be up to those
> who employ them to ensure that they get the training and experience they
> need to ensure that they can operate the rigs safely and efficiently.
There
> would no longer be a requirement for a driver to hold a Class A CDL before
> they got a job. Anyone who *wants* to drive a Kenworth with a 53' trailer
> loaded up to 80K lbs. CGVW can do so -- because *that's* being
> "inclusive."

This is NOT about being inclusive...although I think you'd love
to have everyone believe that to be the rational for ending code
testing. There is ONLY one reason code
testing will be dropped totally and that is because the administrations
of the world, including the FCC, have concluded that code
skill is NOT needed to be a ham with HF privileges anymore.

> While we're at it, let's get rid of hunting licenses, board
> certification of physicians, the entire FAA, and a lot of other things I
can
> think of that prevent people from doing what they want to do without being
> required to meet qualifying standards.

All bad analogies because you have failed to discredit the NEED
for the standards (i.e. the licensing requirements).

> >Either code enthusiasts will take on the challenge of recruiting
> >(as is the case for most hobby, and/or entertainment

> >aspects of life) new code enthusiasts or they won't.
>
> Why should I "recruit" anyone to the Morse code, or, for that matter,
> ham radio itself, Bill?

Makes no difference to me. It is strictlky your choice and the choice
of other code advocates IF you want the mode to continue being used.

> I don't hold your belief that ham radio is for
> everyone.

And neither do I, nor can you find any post of mine that ever
made that assertion.

> I think it is a very unique, specialized, and fairly obscure
> hobby/service which should attract and retain very few self-motivated,
> dedicated individuals whose interest is fired by their own curiosity
> and desire to explore the world of electronic communication as a
> licensed radio amateur.

I don't disagree with that in pricipal.

> Moreover, since information *about* ham
> radio is more readily available than ever before, those who want to
> learn about it can easily come to ME -- I'm not going to go out and try
> to "recruit" anyone!

Fair enough, that's YOUR call, your deision, your choice.

> I've got a better idea -- why don't YOU try to "recruit" ME to learn
> about something in which you have a personal interest! It will be
> interesting to see how you go about doing it!

I recruit newcomers to my other personal interests by example
and by being helpful to just about anyone that opens the door by
asking or otherwise being curious as to one of those interests.
What would you consider....auto racing, antique cars (Triumph
sports cars primarily), model trains (HO gauge), local historical
restoration in the town I live in?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3411. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 18:31:14 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajm4pirsi1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51175

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020816221253.22428.00000217@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <ajg54t1195j@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
> >and (2) the type of people and the methods they
> >employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the alleged
> >benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> >potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
>
> I have come to the conclusion that there's no way to "market" the Morse
> code or CW to the satisfaction of people who are dedicated to their
> objections to learning it.

Probably 100% true. BUT, the universe of new hams that hold
such belief/opinion is NOT 100% and it is that "niche" of new
hams without such adament dislike for code to whom your
primary marketing effort should be.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3412. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 18:37:14 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajm54jasr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51176

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020816233153.22428.00000226@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <ajisob$l8$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >But in the end, who cares, so what? The bands are free and no
> >one has a monopoly or can dictate to all. If someone doesn't want to
> >QSO with me for whatever reason, I just move on to someone else.
> >Why let anyONE dictate how we individually enjoy or pursue
> >ham radio.
>
> I'll tell you what, Bill -- just try "moving on" on 10-meter SSB *without*
> a 10 - 10 number! Even better (or worse!), try it *with* a 10 - 10
number,
> but admit to having forgotten it, and state that you don't really care
> about it anyway! I'm reasonably certain the 10-meter SSB'er on the
> other end of the QSO will probably care about it! What we have here
> is essentially an entire ham radio band being controlled by an
> organized gang of number-chasers who have made it perfectly clear
> that it's either their way or the highway!

If it is really that bad, guess I'd just move to another band entirely.
Options...wow, what a concept!

> Does this sound familiar --
> as in, like the very thing that the NCTA has been accusing the PCTA
> of for the past dozen years or so?

Bzzzt wrongo...NCTA has NOT dictated to PCTA that the code
USE should or must end! Use it all you want. PCTA lost the
requirement argument with the only folks that count, the FCC.

> >For every jerk on the air there's lot's more fine
> >folks that just want to enjoy their hobby.
>
> Well, Bill, on ten meters, the "jerks" seem to have a monopoly!
> Unfortunately, on 20-meter CW, the FISTS are getting almost as bad!

Holy SOS Batman, CW users are getting dictatorial....what'll
happen next. CW knowledge is supposed to be indicative
of all that is good and rightious about hams and ham radio.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3413. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 18:41:29 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajm5jv$v4e$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51177

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h0xy4t8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
On Fri, 16 Aug 2002 13:21:22 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

>If the ALCU or Charlton Heston is in favor, I'm automatically opposed.

I can agree about Charlton Heston, but in this case the ACLU is on
our side.
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

I'd bet in concept, so is Charlton Heston. Now if your an anti-gun
libral, then I understand not likling Charlton Heston, but my recollection
of many past references in this newsgroup would seem to indicate
a fair majority of hams are pro 2nd amendment rights (RTKBA).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3414. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 18:46:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D5EC442.4040509@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51179

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Why not? Don't you have the slightest interest in computing?
>
> I just hate it when one of these knuckle dragging 3-landers with an East
> coast accent comes on here and doesn't even know the most basic thing about
> on-line communication. Its like he doesn't even know his own name. Talk
> about dumbed down and lowered standards and the world going to hell in a
> hand basket. Shees!

Even though I know that your post is facetious, It did get me to thinking about this. Why don't people know more about their computers and their networking? Some of the most basic and important information can be picked up by at least knowing how to find their I.P. address.

I mean, the person who is simply content to hook up the computer and use it, without any idea of what is actually happening, is the computer equivalent of the appliance operator, only using SSB, to ragchew with their buddys.

There is so much more going on, and I would caution everyone that they sorely NEED to know what is going on if they are attached to the web these days. If you don't want to, you become the computer networking equivalent of a no-code tech with no ambition to go further.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3415. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 18:47:01 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajm5munba1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51178

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h0xydz9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 16 Aug 2002 13:34:20 -0000, Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ
FN20qv) wrote:
>
> >3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> >association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
> >now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> >thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
> >there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
> >abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> >they signed "under duress".
>
> There's more to it than that. They are also claiming that the
> Association has assumed the role of a local government without the
> "checks and balances" which the members would have with respect to
> its actions, and that the Association board has violated its own
> bylaws by refusing to furnish information on membership and
> finances as required.

Thanks Phil,

Is there an electronic copy of the ACLU filing anywhere?

Is this being chronicled anywhere on the internet? Any web site(s)?

Is it the NJ ACLU?

Is there a "contact" that can provide info?

Thanks for any answers.

Bill K2UNK

3416. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 18:51:58 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajm609$k1u$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51180

"Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:ajjguf$2fc$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> In article <ajjfemsgi1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> >
> > "Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv)" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in
message
> > news:ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > In article <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > > billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> > > > I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio
> > > > yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the
> > > > support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules
> > > > in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.
> > > >
> > > > I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so
> > > > far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio
> > > > which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.
> > > >
> > > > If anyone else has more info, please post.
> > > > Bill K2UNK
> > >
> > > 3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> > > association, which lead to fines by the association. The association
is
> > > now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> > > thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified
that
> > > there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed
papers
> > > abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> > > they signed "under duress".
> >
> > Any web site with more details?
> > I'm really curious as to how the ACLU has structured the "duress"
> > argument.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
> Maybe in the ACLU site, but none was mentioned during the interview on
> the Gambling Show. As as to duress..according to the lawyer..they had
> to sign if they wanted to live in the community and they DID want to
> live in that community..so go figure.

Legal point/question? If the range of area which includes CC&Rs via homeowners association becomes so large and so pervase, doesn't the "option" to live elsewhere begin to end?

Many areas in California,
Colorado, etc have no new construction which doesn't have the HOA/CCR element.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3417. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 18:56:25 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajm68j$cd5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51181

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020816233152.22428.00000224@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, Steve Adell - KF2TI
> (Landing, NJ FN20qv) <kf2ti@arrl.net> writes:
>
> >3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> >association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
> >now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> >thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
> >there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
> >abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> >they signed "under duress".
>
> Well, if that's the case, this lawsuit ain't gonna fly. Just think of the
> precedent that would be set if the ACLU convinces a court that these
> homeowners signed their closing papers under "duress." It would turn
> the entire world of contract law upside down!

Not at all. The argument, if I understand it, is that the duress
is only being applied to the acceptance of the HOA and CCRs.
The rest of the purchase/sale isn't being challenged (i.e. the
homeowners are not trying to get out of the purchase,
but rather they "only" want the CC&Rs voided. No small undertaking,
but, if they win, it will not undermine the world of contracts law.

3418. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 18:58:46 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajm6d1vlg1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51182

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208161956.3d70de74@posting.google.com...
> Steve Adell - KF2TI (Landing, NJ FN20qv) <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<ajiv0uloq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > In article <ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> >
> > 3 families painted their homes in colors other than approved by the
> > association, which lead to fines by the association. The association is
> > now being sued by the homeowners to have all the association rules
> > thrown out as unconstitutional on the basis they were not notified that
> > there were any rules to begin with, despite the fact they signed papers
> > abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> > they signed "under duress".
> >
> >
> That's a crock, nobody put a gun to their heads when they decided to
> buy in that development and sign the deal. Not reading the fine print
> is usually a legal non-sequiter. But we'll see how it works out, could
> be interesting.

I posted this in another response, but consider this...
Legal point/question? If the range of area which includes CC&Rs via
homeowners association becomes so large and so pervase, doesn't
the "option" to buy and live elsewhere begin to end?

Many areas in California,
Colorado, etc have no new construction which doesn't have
the HOA/CCR element.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3419. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 20:33:16 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D5EB30C.40173A78@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51183

Richard McCollum wrote:

> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020817012553.22428.00000229@mb-ch.aol.com...
> > In article <Bwk79.16178$Ep6.1266768@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> >
> > >So far as I know, the 2nd amendment has shown up once, sometime in the
> > >'30s, with a decision that reminds one of a graduation speech: what did
> he
> > >say?
> > >
> > >Like a lot of other things Biblical and Constitutional, what appears to
> be
> > >pretty straight up in 21st Century English may have easily been
> something
> > >else when written -- one of the minor advantages of writing Bibles in
> dead
> > >languages. It avoids things like Salome wanting the head of J the B
> 'by
> > >and by' as she does in the KJV.
> >
> > >The term 'keep and bear arms' had a meaning a bit different that it
> means
> > >today. If sufficiently motivated I could preach any side of the issue
> you
> > >had in mind but there may not be enough rotted grape juice in existence
> > >for some of them.
> >
> > Dick:
> >
> > I see. Tell me, then, what's YOUR interpretation of the Second
> > Amendment? Do individual citizens have the Right to Keep and Bear
> > Arms (private possession, largely unfettered by state/federal law),
> > with the right to readily obtain a license to carry a firearm (concealed
> > or not) for personal self defense?
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>
> From a purely historical perspective, i.e. trying to make sense of just
> what those who were not going to ratify a constitution without their pet
> ideas had in mind, I think all it was intended to accomplish was to make
> it clear that it was legal and non-treasonous for states to have armies.

> But I don't kid myself that my opinion is in any way decisive.
>
> The Bill of Rights was what it took to get the Philadelphia constitution
> ratified and a lot of things suffered along the way. There were, I
> believe, several more amendments that did not get ratified. It really
> does not deserve the deification it has received. Great idea, miserable
> execution.

Well, it ain't perfect, but still seems better than anything else in the
world.

Gun control is using both hands.....

3420. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 20:36:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020817163648.25779.00000066@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 51184

In article <ajm609$k1u$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>Legal point/question? If the range of area which includes CC&Rs via
>homeowners association becomes so large and so pervase, doesn't
>the "option" to live elsewhere begin to end?
>
>Many areas in California,
>Colorado, etc have no new construction which doesn't have
>the HOA/CCR element.

This may be part of the argument. In some parts of the country you cannot find any houses newer than about 30 years of age that don't have extensive deed restrictions. End result is either a 2 hour commute or CC&Rs.

While some states have disclosure rules, others do not. Could be part of the argument.

Here's another angle: Suppose the house-paint rule specifies a certain list of colors - from 20-30 years ago. Some of the colors are no longer available. Homeowner paints with the closest equivalent available. HOA jumps all over the homeowner AFTER the job is all done, demanding $$ and a complete do-over. The close-by neighbors don't really mind but they don;t want to incur the wrath of the Gladys Kravitz types on the HOA board.

One more possibility: HOA rules might require that rule revisions require the approval of ALL the homeowners in the development. So Homeowner A, who is at the east end of the development, must get approval of Homeowner B, who is on the other side and more than a half-mile away.

Sure, nobody in their right mind should buy in those developments. But in some places there is little choice.

Once upon a time nobody would have bought a house where it was forbidden to have chickens in the back yard - even in the middle of a city. Try to find a house that permits that today.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3421. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 20:42:20 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D5EB52C.559A5C97@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51185

>
> >
> > Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
> > then chew him out for not knowing it :-)
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
> >
> Hey Larry, what's your IP address?

If he's a dial-up connection, the IP address is likely not constant anyway.

3422. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 20:50:25 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208171250.49d76e9c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51186

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020816233150.22428.00000220@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> In article <b7d1465c.0208160625.360142c8@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net
> (Bert Craig) writes:
>
> >Hi all,
> >
> >I would like to hear opinions on the best band for QRP CW work. Given
> >that the sunspot cycle in on the downslide, in a few years I'd like to
> >do some portable QRP work abroad. Of course, one must consider the
> >manageability of a portable doublet (Or perhaps a folded dipole?) for
> >the desired band(s) of operation. That's why I ordered a 20-meter
> >Wilderness SST (They don't offer a 15-meter model.) QRP CW rig kit. A
> >half-wave doublet would only be approx. 33 ft. long. The first night I
> >arrived in Quito, we went on the roof of my uncle's apartment building
> >to enjoy a breathtaking view of the city at night...more than enough
> >space for a 33 ft. dipole.
>
> <<SNIP>>
>
> Bert:
>
> Due to being an apartment dweller and having to settle for indoor
> (dipole) antennas, I've made a virtual ham career of the 20-meter
> band for the past nine years. It is a band that keeps on going, and
> going, and going, like the Energizer bunny, even when other bands
> seem to be toast! It's probably the least susceptible to atmospheric
> noise and other negative factors as well. You could have done a
> lot worse than to choose 20-meters. I'd also include 40-meter
> capability for the times when you can employ a longer dipole.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Thank you all for your advice re. my query. After reading your replies
and doing some research, I have settled on the following gear. I will
build the Wilderness SST mainly because I really do want to put
something OTA that I built (assembled?)...even if it is a "kit." For
all operation abroad, I chose the Patcomm PC-500. Here's the link.

http://www.patcommradio.com/webdoc8.htm

I was sufficiently satisfied that it should be a quality product after
checking out the reviews listed below and calling up Patcomm and
speaking with the owner.

http://www.antennex.com/preview/Folder04/Jul401/patcomm.html

http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/1323

Patcomm is also a local company and I believe in supporting the local infrastructure...if the product quality merits that support. I remember when the PC-16000 first came out. After reading the reviews, I told my XYL that I'd prefer a Yaesu. But this looks like it's a bull's eye. The added SSB capability's cool, although my weapon of choice is shown below.

http://www.mtechnologies.com/palm/

Need I say more?! (Yeah, I got the Code Cube keyer too as a back-up and to use with the SST.)

I took Larry's advice and chose 40 and 20-meters. The SST will be a 30-meter model. (Less congested band.) The PC-500 is compact enough for me to travel with. Oak Hills Reasearch markets a "wall wart" power supply for QRP rigs that delivers 13.7V @ 2.4A...enough for approx. 5 watts output. (But I'm still researching my options re. an adequate power supply.) My aim was a nice portable rig, suitable for travel. The PC-500 just fit the bill...and I got to support a fellow LI ham's business to boot. (I prefer "mom & pop" shops.) The SST should see active duty on local daytrips. (Next scheduled? Atlantic City.) Thanks again and take care.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3423. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 20:52:57 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Jly79.16732$Ke2.1387280@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51187

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D5EB30C.40173A78@ix.netcom.com...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20020817012553.22428.00000229@mb-ch.aol.com...
> > > In article
<Bwk79.16178$Ep6.1266768@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >So far as I know, the 2nd amendment has shown up once, sometime in
the
> > > >'30s, with a decision that reminds one of a graduation speech: what
did
> > he
> > > >say?
> > > >
> > > >Like a lot of other things Biblical and Constitutional, what
appears to
> > be
> > > >pretty straight up in 21st Century English may have easily been
> > something
> > > >else when written -- one of the minor advantages of writing Bibles
in
> > dead
> > > >languages. It avoids things like Salome wanting the head of J the
B
> > 'by
> > > >and by' as she does in the KJV.
> > >
> > > >The term 'keep and bear arms' had a meaning a bit different that it
> > means
> > > >today. If sufficiently motivated I could preach any side of the
issue
> > you
> > > >had in mind but there may not be enough rotted grape juice in
existence
> > > >for some of them.
> > >
> > > Dick:
> > >
> > > I see. Tell me, then, what's YOUR interpretation of the Second
> > > Amendment? Do individual citizens have the Right to Keep and Bear
> > > Arms (private possession, largely unfettered by state/federal law),

> > > with the right to readily obtain a license to carry a firearm
(concealed
> > > or not) for personal self defense?
> > >
> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >
> >
> > From a purely historical perspective, i.e. trying to make sense of
just
> > what those who were not going to ratify a constitution without their
pet
> > ideas had in mind, I think all it was intended to accomplish was to
make
> > it clear that it was legal and non-treasonous for states to have
armies.
> > But I don't kid myself that my opinion is in any way decisive.
> >
> > The Bill of Rights was what it took to get the Philadelphia
constitution
> > ratified and a lot of things suffered along the way. There were, I
> > believe, several more amendments that did not get ratified. It really
> > does not deserve the deification it has received. Great idea,
miserable
> > execution.
>
> Well, it ain't perfect, but still seems better than anything else in the
> world.
>
> Gun control is using both hands.....
>
>

Few things are perfect for more than 92 minutes. The analysis, such as it is, is based upon the rest of the amendments and the English history behind them. It is really a stretch to believe that people worried about quartering soldiers would believe disarmament was even possible let alone something to worry about.

You will note that the Seventh Amendment requires the availability of a jury trial in civil cases over twenty dollars. Considering the US did not mint a dollar until 1803, what did they mean??

3424. Kenwood TS700S manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 21:02:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020817170228.10661.00000743@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 51188

Hi Everyone,

I picked up a pretty ragged TS-700S 2M all-mode rig for a "make-over" project. Does anyone have the service manuals on this rig they'd care to part with?

73

Steve, K4YZ

3425. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 22:06:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208171406.1b8a1aea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51189

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<ajl39r1pim@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> Is this a 6 meter only rig?
> Is this a rig under 300-400 dollar range?
>
> If so, then I am interested, otherwise it is out of the range of the average
> ham.
>
>
Per my post it's a compact "all-bander", 160 thru 440 except 222 all
modes, cw, ssb, FM, AM, digital modes @9600bps, etc. Has fully
controllable agc, an RF gain control and ya can install Collins 300 or
500Hz filters in it which should make it a much better cw xcvr than
any of the underdash mobile xcvrs like the 706, TS-50, etc. Power
output thru 6m is 100w, 50w on 2m and 20w on 440. It's somewhat bigger
than the underdash rigs and has better ergonomics and is much lighter
than the desktop rigs. It's basically a high-power version of the
FT-817 and is designed for portable ops. Emergencies, Field Day,
vacations, home stations in cramped spaces, etc. which is why it
appeals to me.
>
>
Price in Japan is rumored to be 148,000 Yen which I think translates
to around $1,300 here. I have no idea what the average ham can afford
to spend on an xcvr but thousands of over-a-kilobuck xcvrs are being
sold every year.

w3rv

> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> > Check out the Yaesu FT-897 which is about to land on the shelves, does
> > 160 thru 440 *all* modes with decent power output. More specifically
> > it looks like it will be much better than average HF cw/ssb xcvr than
> > the usual collection of compact radios like the 706. Ten-tec also has
> > their 526 6n2 xcvr all-mode xcvr.
> >
> >
> > w3rv

3426. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 22:45:15 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ultlpbhkfaqlba@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51191

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ajm54jasr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020816233153.22428.00000226@mb-ch.aol.com...
> > In article <ajisob$l8$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> > <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> > >
> > >But in the end, who cares, so what? The bands are free and no
> > >one has a monopoly or can dictate to all. If someone doesn't want to
> > >QSO with me for whatever reason, I just move on to someone else.
> > >Why let anyONE dictate how we individually enjoy or pursue
> > >ham radio.
> > >
> > I'll tell you what, Bill -- just try "moving on" on 10-meter SSB
without
> > a 10 - 10 number! Even better (or worse!), try it *with* a 10 - 10
> number,
> > but admit to having forgotten it, and state that you don't really care
> > about it anyway! I'm reasonably certain the 10-meter SSB'er on the
> > other end of the QSO will probably care about it! What we have here
> > is essentially an entire ham radio band being controlled by an
> > organized gang of number-chasers who have made it perfectly clear
> > that it's either their way or the highway!
>
> If it is really that bad, guess I'd just move to another band entirely.
> Options...wow, what a concept!
>
> > Does this sound familiar --
> > as in, like the very thing that the NCTA has been accusing the PCTA
> > of for the past dozen years or so?
>
> Bzzzt wrongo...NCTA has NOT dictated to PCTA that the code
> USE should or must end! Use it all you want. PCTA lost the
> requirement argument with the only folks that count, the FCC.
>
> > >For every jerk on the air there's lot's more fine
> > >folks that just want to enjoy their hobby.
> > >
> > Well, Bill, on ten meters, the "jerks" seem to have a monopoly!
> > Unfortunately, on 20-meter CW, the FISTS are getting almost as bad!
>
> Holy SOS Batman, CW users are getting dictatorial....what'll
> happen next. CW knowledge is supposed to be indicative

> of all that is good and rightious about hams and ham radio.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

Larry prefers to interpret his one-time experiences (such as that described by him about the ONE time someone on 10-meters gave him Hell for not remembering a 10-10 number) as those that are all indicative of what is common. His paintbrush is probably as broad as any narrow-minded person, and he shares Dick's white can of paint.

I've been on 10-meters loads of times, even during 10-10 contests, and had grand times talking to people. Know what happens when I can't remember my 10-10 number? Kind folks come on and have looked it up for me and give it to me over the air.

Larry--maybe if you'd lose a lot of your attitude, you'd start having some positive experiences in your life.

Kim W5TIT

3427. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-17 22:47:45 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajmjqs$m50$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51190

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020817163648.25779.00000066@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <ajm609$k1u$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Legal point/question? If the range of area which includes CC&Rs via
> >homeowners association becomes so large and so pervase, doesn't
> >the "option" to live elsewhere begin to end?
> >
> >Many areas in California,
> >Colorado, etc have no new construction which doesn't have
> >the HOA/CCR element.
>
> This may be part of the argument. In some parts of the country you cannot
find
> any houses newer than about 30 years of age that don't have extensive deed
> restrictions. End result is either a 2 hour commute or CC&Rs.
>
> While some states have disclosure rules, others do not. Could be part of
the
> argument.

The NY Times article (Thanks Phil K for the source) stated
that there are over 200,000 developments with CC&Rs today.

> Here's another angle: Suppose the house-paint rule specifies a certain
list of
> colors - from 20-30 years ago. Some of the colors are no longer available.
> Homeowner paints with the closest equivalent available. HOA jumps all over
the
> homeowner AFTER the job is all done, demanding $$ and a complete do-over.
The
> close-by neighbors don't really mind but they don;t want to incur the
wrath of
> the Gladys Kravitz types on the HOA board.

The fundamental argument appears to be that the HOA is acting
in a quasi governmental manner BUT has none of the checks
and balances associated with government entities.

> One more possibility: HOA rules might require that rule revisions require
the
> approval of ALL the homeowners in the development. So Homeowner A, who is
at
> the east end of the development, must get approval of Homeowner B, who is

on
> the other side and more than a half-mile away.
>
> Sure, nobody in their right mind should buy in those developments. But in
some
> places there is little choice.

Exactly the argument being put forth from what I can tell.

> Once upon a time nobody would have bought a house where it was forbidden
to
> have chickens in the back yard - even in the middle of a city. Try to find
a
> house that permits that today.

Well, even governmental zoning tends to prohibit "farm animals"
in residential zoned areas.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3428. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 00:32:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D5F154B.3040805@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51192

Robert Casey wrote:

>>>Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
>>>then chew him out for not knowing it :-)
>>>
>>>Cheers,
>>>Bill K2UNK
>>>
>>>
>>Hey Larry, what's your IP address?
>>
>
> If he's a dial-up connection, the IP address is likely not constant anyway.

Yup, it changes every so often. But it's good to know how to find it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3429. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 01:35:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020817213504.11404.00000048@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 51193

In article <d22b54c3.0208171406.1b8a1aea@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
>news:<ajl39r1pim@enews4.newsguy.com>...
>> Is this a 6 meter only rig?
>> Is this a rig under 300-400 dollar range?
>>
>> If so, then I am interested, otherwise it is out of the range of the
>average
>> ham.
>>
>>
>Per my post it's a compact "all-bander", 160 thru 440 except 222 all
>modes, cw, ssb, FM, AM, digital modes @9600bps, etc. Has fully
>controllable agc, an RF gain control and ya can install Collins 300 or
>500Hz filters in it which should make it a much better cw xcvr than
>any of the underdash mobile xcvrs like the 706, TS-50, etc. Power
>output thru 6m is 100w, 50w on 2m and 20w on 440. It's somewhat bigger
>than the underdash rigs and has better ergonomics and is much lighter
>than the desktop rigs. It's basically a high-power version of the
>FT-817 and is designed for portable ops. Emergencies, Field Day,
>vacations, home stations in cramped spaces, etc. which is why it
>appeals to me.

What you're really getting is a decent HF rig and a really good all-mode 6/2/440 rig all in one convenient package. Add up the prices of doing all that with separates and the '897 is not very expensive.

Only problem with a does-it-all rig is that if it fails you are off the air, period.

>Price in Japan is rumored to be 148,000 Yen which I think translates
>to around $1,300 here.

I think the JAs actually charge more domestically than export - VAT and all that. I would not be surprised to see the 897 going for just under a kilobuck.

Of course the real trick is to wait a year or two and pick one up used for even less. Check out how much a used FT-817 goes for....

>I have no idea what the average ham can afford
>to spend on an xcvr but thousands of over-a-kilobuck xcvrs are being
>sold every year.

Heck, thousands of 3 kilobuck boxes are being sold. Look at the new Ten Tec Orion - $3300 without the ATU or optional filters. How many FT-1000s of various

flavors have been sold?

Adjusted for inflation, ham gear is really cheap today.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3430. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 03:46:02 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h10jkjh.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51195

On 17 Aug 2002 03:31:52 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>What, perchance, has Charlton Heston done to offend you?

I quit the NRA (I was a competition pistol shooter) when it became
political in nature.

And I disagreed with Charlton Heston kowtowing to Cecil B. DeMille
in portraying the errors embodies in "The Ten Commandments". My
wife and I love to watch the re-runs and call out all the errors.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3431. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 03:46:02 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h10k4ni.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51196

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 14:47:01 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>Is there an electronic copy of the ACLU filing anywhere?
>
>Is this being chronicled anywhere on the internet? Any web site(s)?
>
>Is it the NJ ACLU?
>
>Is there a "contact" that can provide info?

As my critics are prone to say, I don't have a clue.
>
>Thanks for any answers.

Yer welcom.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3432. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 03:46:03 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h10karj.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51194

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 14:56:25 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>Not at all. The argument, if I understand it, is that the duress
>is only being applied to the acceptance of the HOA and CCRs.
>The rest of the purchase/sale isn't being challenged (i.e. the
>homeowners are not trying to get out of the purchase,
>but rather they "only" want the CC&Rs voided. No small undertaking,
>but, if they win, it will not undermine the world of contracts law.
>

California, the home of the CC&R, has specific case law that if the
plaintiff can show that a provision is unreasonable, the court has
the authority to void that particular provision.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3433. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 04:16:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51197

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> Larry prefers to interpret his one-time experiences (such as that described
> by him about the ONE time someone on 10-meters gave him Hell for not
> remembering a 10-10 number) as those that are all indicative of what is
> common. His paintbrush is probably as broad as any narrow-minded person,
> and he shares Dick's white can of paint.
>
> I've been on 10-meters loads of times, even during 10-10 contests, and had
> grand times talking to people. Know what happens when I can't remember my
> 10-10 number? Kind folks come on and have looked it up for me and give it
> to me over the air.

What purpose does a Ten-Ten number serve? I've managed to operate on
ten for decades without obtaining such a number. I don't have a 160-160
number either.
>
> Larry--maybe if you'd lose a lot of your attitude, you'd start having some
> positive experiences in your life.

Yeah, Larry, get a ten-ten number and start having positive experiences.

By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?

Dave K8MN

3434. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 04:24:49 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ajn7ienjq1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51198

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > Larry prefers to interpret his one-time experiences (such as that
described
> > by him about the ONE time someone on 10-meters gave him Hell for not
> > remembering a 10-10 number) as those that are all indicative of what is
> > common. His paintbrush is probably as broad as any narrow-minded
person,
> > and he shares Dick's white can of paint.
> >
> > I've been on 10-meters loads of times, even during 10-10 contests, and
had
> > grand times talking to people. Know what happens when I can't remember
my
> > 10-10 number? Kind folks come on and have looked it up for me and give
it
> > to me over the air.
>
> What purpose does a Ten-Ten number serve?

It is used for certain ontests and certificates.
It is strictly a personal choice to have or not have one.

> I've managed to operate on
> ten for decades without obtaining such a number.

Ditto.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3435. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 05:07:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818010725.19482.00001191@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51199

>Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
>(CC&Rs)
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 08/16/02 20:12 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0yg7mb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

> That's how the game is played.

Maybe if it were less a game...?!?!

Steve

3436. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 06:30:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajneth$45k$0@208.206.142.94>
Source record: 51200

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> I quit the NRA (I was a competition pistol shooter) when it became
> political in nature.
>

Phil I thought you knew that any orginization which disregards politics leaves itself at the mercy of the same. We live in a world where politics reigns supreme.

Dick

3437. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 06:37:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajnfbt$5bp$0@208.206.142.94>
Source record: 51201

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ajgepucau0@208.206.142.76...
> >
> >
> > KC8PMX wrote:
> > >
> > > My opinion/choice is to learn it to meet the requirements set forth by
> the
> > > F.C.C. and no other reason. I will never use it as an operating mode,
> but
> > > am always willing to comply with whatever rules or "jump thru whatever
> > > hoops" to get the priviledges afforded by passing the code requirement.
> > >
> > > Possibly there would be two reasons why I HATE code: (1) is that it had
> > > beaten me for over 12 years, and (2) the type of people and the methods
> they
> > > employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the
> alleged
> > > benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> > > potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
> >
> >
> > I dunno, Ryan, when I went through all that the "benefits" were
> > obvious- I got all amateur privileges for my efforts, and I note that it
> > came no easier to me than anyone else.
> >
> > As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the extent
> > of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
> > were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do it)
> > to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less
> > responsibility for it.
>
> So much for any effort to improve marketingof code to newcomers.
> Instead you now get the "victim" whine.

Get yourself up to date, Bill. The "victim whine" has been howling
through the NG since before I arrived and it continues nonstop. Said
whiners aren't about to give up their only excuse, that is, it's all the
fault of the folks who did learn the code to speed because it was
required for any substantial license, and enroute they discovered that
it is and always was a simple, effective and easily implemented mode,
not that hard to learn and use to effect. Instead the fingers still

point, and I for one find little but disgust for the pointers. It all speaks volumes about their real objectives.

In the end, the future of code use
> in the amateur bands is totally in the hands of those that profess its
> merits.

Either code enthusiasts will take on the challenge of recruiting
> (as is the case for most hobby, and/or entertainment
> aspects of life) new code enthusiasts or they won't.

And as I've long ago concluded, that spells the death knell for radio's most basic and one of it's most effective, and cost effective, modes. It has already changed in that direction significantly among newer users, and the trend can only continue.

You can lead a horse to water, but drinking is his option.

3438. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 06:37:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajnfc0$5bp$1@208.206.142.94>
Source record: 51202

Brian P Burke wrote:
> We've had them come on here with great love for the Morse Code they know
> nothing about. They say the right things to the coders, and you guys fawn
> all over them.
>
> Ask Val how the code is coming along.

You know more about MOrse code than Val??? That's the laugh of the day.

3439. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 10:41:10 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <aRK79.17621$Ke2.1458196@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51203

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajneth$45k$0@208.206.142.94...
>
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > I quit the NRA (I was a competition pistol shooter) when it became
> > political in nature.
> >
>
> Phil I thought you knew that any orginization which disregards politics
> leaves itself at the mercy of the same. We live in a world where
> politics reigns supreme.
>
> Dick

Unfortunately it is an activity at which the NRA is singularly inept beyond an occasional bludgeoning and lots of noise. And it goes back a lot of years.

A number of us deserted the trolley when we, as Governor Evans put it, discovered that deer do not wear bulletproof vests and questioned the defense of teflon bullets. So over the years the NRA membership has become progressively more militant and progressively more stupid.

Not a unique or unknown problem: the parties have far too many people who would rather be ideologically pure than win elections. So we get to enjoy the spectacle of real flakes winning primaries and running like hell for the center to avoid anihilation in the general election. Watching LaPierre shake the money tree is almost as much fun as watching Billy Tauzin do his extortion number.

Dick NBK

3440. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 10:50:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818065040.28714.00000064@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 51204

In article <3D5F154B.3040805@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Robert Casey wrote:
>
>>>>Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
>>>>then chew him out for not knowing it :-)
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>Bill K2UNK
>>>>
>>>>
>>>Hey Larry, what's your IP address?
>>>
>>
>> If he's a dial-up connection, the IP address is likely not constant anyway.
>
>
> Yup, it changes every so often. But it's good to know how to find it.
>
http://www.whatismyip.com/

73 de Jim, N2EY

3441. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 11:50:37 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajo3hs$ns6$3@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:4zr79.312$Xz.46824688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajldvvuqa2@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
> Mainland
> > > United
> > > > > > States can
> > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your little
> "Plan"
> > > the FCC
> > > > > and
> > > > > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
> > >
> > > Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call.
> >
> > Sarcasm impairment noted, dumbass.

>
> Roger, no, really. I have a 1x3 call.
>
> > > I was one of the few who chose to
> > > keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.
> >
> > Where you peeled potatoes and cleaned latrines?
>
> Actually, I was a forecaster at the Joint Typhoon Weather Center. As a
> senior NCO, no chow hall or latrine duty. But none the less, there is
> nothing wrong with preparing food or keeping one's bathroom clean. I
> suppose you don't like potatos or clean bathrooms?
>
> Bet you had a thing for those
> > Filipino boys that migrated there.
>
> That must have been a Navy thing. I was in the Air Force.
>
> > > You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing against
> the
> > > door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another sign. It
> > > says, "School for Gifted Students."
> >
> > Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."
>
> OK.

And yet you complain and you still reply to my posts.....what a fucking retard
you are.

3442. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 11:52:31 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajo3ht$ns6$4@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:0Cr79.316$zD.47035621@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajle0auqad@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland
> United
> > > States
> > > > > can
> > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> > > > >
> > > > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by
> fraudulent
> > > means and
> > > > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC sequentially.
> > >
> > Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe you.
> Brian
> >
> > You shouldn't make statements like:
> >
> > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
>
>
> Its a true statement. Here, allow me to say it again:
>
> I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
>
> Now play back the part where I said they were in the contiguous 48 states.

You didn't retard, but you inserted it into a conversation where the contiguous 48
states were being discussed, bleating your uninformed opinions and are trying to

weasel your way out of it.

3443. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 12:44:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818084445.28959.00000092@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 51207

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h10jkjh.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>And I disagreed with Charlton Heston kowtowing to Cecil B. DeMille
> in portraying the errors embodies in "The Ten Commandments". My
> wife and I love to watch the re-runs and call out all the errors.

Share 'em please, Phil!

73 de Jim, N2EY

So let it be written...so let it be done....

3444. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 12:44:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818084445.28959.00000091@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 51208

In article <ulr8jme0prmi39@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
>news:aj8dki0i38@enews1.newsguy.com...
>> Definitely as that is what I see from a day-to-day prospective. In the
>> past 30 months, we have not had a visit etc. from anyone in the Michigan
>> section or Great Lakes section at our club meetings. (One exception....
>> someone who did a presentation on something unrelated to the ARRL who
>> happened to be a
>> Section title holder, but did not act or deal with any issues related to
>> that.) Great lakes director Mr. Race has never visited our club within 30
>> months. (Yes he was invited.)

>> As far as the ARRL at this point, it is a magazine subscription sold under
>> the ploy of some Mafia like protectionist scheme. Since I must view it
>> this way, any attempts at giving me less as a consumer is cheating me, be it
>> section news or even Minutes etc. At any rate, I am spending more than
>> the subscription rate since I buy it off the newstand.
>> --
>> Ryan, KC8PMX
>> FF/MFR
>> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

Ryan,

I can understand your frustration. 30 months is a LONG time to wait for an
invite to be honored. And it's not like Michigan has a small ham population -
quite the contrary.

Now if the ARRL had more of a local/regional focus (as evidenced by actually
showing up at meetings, talking about what is going on in Michigan section,
etc.)
would you be more inclined to join?

(N2EY wrote).

>> > The ARRL is a national organization, and its focus is mainly on national
>> > and international issues. But many hams' focus is more local or regional -
>> > just ask
>> > Kim or Ryan, KC8PMX. These hams don't see ARRL membership as
>> > offering them
>> > very much of interest, because they are much more involved with what's
>> > going on
>> > in their county or state. Section News was one part of QST that would be
>> > of

>> > interest to those hams - and removing it from QST makes ARRL
>> > membership
>> > that
>> > much less attractive. Websites, emails and separately-mailed SN are not
>> > an
>> > adequate replacement.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>I appreciate your attempt to translate my focus in amateur radio, Jim, but
>quite the contrary.

OK, let's see what your issues are, Kim.

>My belief is that the ARRL is of little significance in
>this area; and I believe it is *BECAUSE* of that, that the ARRL doesn't
>enjoy the membership that they possibly could--if there was a more focused
>local effort on ARRL events, activities, etc.

I think we're trying to say the same things but in different ways.

My impression is that your amateur radio interest is mostly in local/regional aspects of amateur radio, like emergency comms, repeaters, local clubs, hamns you can actually meet in person, etc. Your interest does not lie in working DX, serious contest/award hunting, or chatting with another ham thousands of miles away whom you'll probably never meet and may never even work again.

>Our prior Section Manager,
>Jim/NZ2T (cannot now remember his call), had weekly Section nets; was
>incredibly active in the region and didn't sit still for the status quo, as
>it were.

Key word there is "prior". Why is he gone?

Here in EPA we have daily section nets.

>Remember that ARRL membership is *not* needed or required to become >locally
>active; though the ARRL banner helps with organizations with whom we (the
>ARRL) have an MOU.

'zactly. In your area, membership doesn't make much difference in local/regional level stuff, so why be a member if that's your focus?

>Stepping into local action these days, though, wreaks
>the ire of folks like Larry/K3LT who love to belittle and bemoan what he
>cannot be a part of--calling people of action names like "wannabes." With
>folks like Larry around there are few people willing to get in the trenches
>and put up with it all - merely for a volunteer effort. Even I am sick and
>tired of being sick and tired of the politics of amateur radio. I've quit
>the EC position; quit mentoring the ARECC class; quit traffic nets long,
>long ago; and pretty much have been out of the loop in ham radio for more
>than a few months now. I'm better off for it.

Tell us more about the local politics.
>
>For those folks who wish to join something that is little more than a try at
>being something; there is the local (I am speaking of Dallas and Dallas-area
>stuff around here) organizations. But, if you're in to try and make some
>changes, try to make something *maybe* better, work hard to try to get
>something much more than a try going--you'll be shot down by local politics
>and incestuous little groups of true wannabes.

But what does the ARRL have to do with all that? Is the problem that they do
not step in and clean up some of the mess?
>
>The ARRL is a grand organization. I think it was probably much grander long
>ago. We're a different population than we were. What Ryan says is right
>with regard to the subscription. ARRL membership is desired more for the
>cute magazine than for anything else. As to Section Notes? Pah! What a
>joke. The one thing I turn to every issue--other than thumbing through the
>mag--is the Section Notes. Ya taken a look at the Notes for the North Texas
>Section the last several issues? Wahoo...what a wealth of info there. It's
>quite obvious it was last minute and by someone totally disconnected from
>the Section he is supposed to be serving.

And since your focus is local, it doesn't matter much what the ARRL does in,
say EPA or WWA - you don't live there. Nice to read about, but what does it
have to do with DFW or even NTX?

Am I getting closer to the mark?

>PS--Mafia, Ryan? :) You'll have to enlighten?

Something on the order of 'hey - these are some nice bands ya got here. It'd be
an awful shame if something happened to 'em'.......;-)

--

Here, I'll toss in a beef of my own....

This month, the cover article is about a 10-30 MHz log periodic antenna. Took
up several pages. Really neat - but exactly how many of us will ever be able to
even dream of putting one of those monsters up? Seems to me that Section News
and Board minutes are of greater interest to more of the membership - but those
things are being tossed for space considerations. Huh?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3445. Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 14:21:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818102118.28714.00000067@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 51209

Minute 64 adopted at the most recent
ARRL Board of Directors meeting on July 19-20, 2002:

http://www.arrl.org/announce/board-0207/64

"On motion of Mr. Frenaye, seconded by Mr. Stinson, it was VOTED that
at the next practical opportunity the ARRL shall petition the FCC to revise
Part 97 to regulate subbands by signal bandwidth instead of by mode."

The last time that was tried, (1970s, Docket 20777), the proposed bandwidths
were 350 Hz and 3.5 kHz. Would have killed AM and severely hobbled data modes.
It was only through the strong response of the AM community that the measure
was rejected.

Anybody have more specifics? (If the limits were, say, 1000 Hz and 6 or 8 kHz,
I'd be for it).

Should AM on amateur HF be outlawed? (I say NO WAY!)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3446. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 16:54:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PiQ79.528$o36.200@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51210

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajnfc0$5bp$1@208.206.142.94...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> > We've had them come on here with great love for the Morse Code they know
> > nothing about. They say the right things to the coders, and you guys
fawn
> > all over them.
> >
> > Ask Val how the code is coming along.
>
>
> You know more about MOrse code than Val??? That's the laugh of the day.

Dick, you are too easily amused.

What's to know? Ask Val how the code is coming, or is he waiting for the
next conference to deep six it?.

3447. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 16:57:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zlQ79.529$2Q5.466@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51211

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajnfbt$5bp$0@208.206.142.94...
>
> And as I've long ago concluded, that spells the death knell for radio's
> most basic and one of it's most effective, and cost effective, modes. It
> has already changed in that direction significantly among newer users,
> and the trend can only continue.
>
> You can lead a horse to water, but drinking is his option.

Water must be bad or something.

3448. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:01:09 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ppQ79.532$656.204@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51212

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818084445.28959.00000091@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <ulr8jme0prmi39@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> >news:aj8dki0i38@enews1.newsguy.com...
> >> Definitely as that is what I see from a day-to-day prospective. In the
> >> past 30 months, we have not had a visit etc. from anyone in the
Michigan
> >> section or Great Lakes section at our club meetings. (One
exception....
> >> someone who did a presentation on something unrelated to the ARRL who
> >> happened to be a
> >> Section title holder, but did not act or deal with any issues related
to
> >> that.) Great lakes director Mr. Race has never visited our club within
30
> >> months. (Yes he was invited.)
>
> >> As far as the ARRL at this point, it is a magazine subscription sold
under
> >> the ploy of some Mafia like protectionist scheme. Since I must view it
> >> this way, any attempts at giving me less as a consumer is cheating me,
be it
> >> section news or even Minutes etc. At any rate, I am spending more than
> >> the subscription rate since I buy it off the newstand.
> >> --
> >> Ryan, KC8PMX
> >> FF/MFR
> >> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
> Ryan,
>
> I can understand your frustration. 30 months is a LONG time to wait for an
> invite to be honored. And it's not like Michigan has a small ham
population -
> quite the contrary.
>
> Now if the ARRL had more of a local/regional focus (as evidenced by
actually
> showing up at meetings, talking about what is going on in Michigan
section,
> etc.)

> would you be more inclined to join?
>
> (N2EY wrote).

Jim, if I remember correctly, the Great Lakes SM just stepped down at the last meeting, in part due to the removal of business information from the magazine QST. Additionally, I think a sidenote said that he wore out 3 cars in the service to the ARRL, visiting hamfests. Does any of this ring a bell?

3449. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:04:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xsQ79.533$O36.350@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51213

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0208160821.3f4aceb5@posting.google.com...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0208130516.33c2ceb@posting.google.com>...
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> > > In article <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> > > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> > >
> > > > At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia,
> > > >then he's a pit viper ready to strike.
> > >
> > If he didn't have a web browser and nothing else to do with his life
> > but surf for quick-study info he wouldn't know squat about ham radio.
>
> Note that when real. practical ham radio info is requested (like
> N0IMD's antenna dilemma) there is deafening silence from certain
> parties, and it's left up to the long-time-licensed AMATEURS to help
> out....

Recall the W3RV replies. I hardly considered that help.

You and Ed did come through with proper and useful information, however.
Thank you.

Brian

3450. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:08:54 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GwQ79.538$PU5.425@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajo3hs$ns6$3@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:4zr79.312$Xz.46824688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ajldvvuqa2@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
> > Mainland
> > > > > United
> > > > > > > States can
> > > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your little
> > "Plan"
> > > > the FCC
> > > > > and
> > > > > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
> > > > >
> > > > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
> > > >
> > > Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
> > >
> > Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call.

> > >
> > > Sarcasm impairment noted, dumbass.
> >
> > Roger, no, really. I have a 1x3 call.
> >
> > > > I was one of the few who chose to
> > > > keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.
> > >
> > > Where you peeled potatoes and cleaned latrines?
> >
> > Actually, I was a forecaster at the Joint Typhoon Weather Center. As a
> > senior NCO, no chow hall or latrine duty. But none the less, there is
> > nothing wrong with preparing food or keeping one's bathroom clean. I
> > suppose you don't like potatos or clean bathrooms?
> >
> > Bet you had a thing for those
> > > > Filipino boys that migrated there.
> >
> > That must have been a Navy thing. I was in the Air Force.
> >
> > > > > You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing
against
> > the
> > > > > door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another sign.
It
> > > > > says, "School for Gifted Students."
> > >
> > > Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."
> >
> > OK.
>
> And yet you complain and you still reply to my posts.....what a
fucking retard
> you are.

Roger, I'm just trying to help you work through your current crisis. Once the excitement of using all your swear words on someone new grows stale, you might be able to calm down and look at the situation rationally.

Providing there's a rational bone in your body.

73, Brian

3451. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:10:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <VxQ79.539$666.44@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajo3ht$ns6$4@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:0Cr79.316$zD.47035621@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ajle0auqad@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
Mainland
> > United
> > > > > States
> > > > > can
> > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by
> > fraudulent
> > > > > means and
> > > > > > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC
sequentially.
> > > > >
> > > > > Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe
you.
> > Brian
> > > >
> > > > You shouldn't make statements like:
> > > >
> > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > >
> > > Its a true statement. Here, allow me to say it again:
> > >
> > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.

> >
> > Now play back the part where I said they were in the contiguous 48
states.
>
> You didn't retard, but you inserted it into a conversation where the
contiguous 48
> states were being discussed, bleating your uninformed opinions and are
trying to
> weasel your way out of it.

Really? Play that part back as well. If you can.

3452. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:13:43 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bBQ79.542$x56.4@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51225

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ajm54jasr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...

> Holy SOS Batman, CW users are getting dictatorial....what'll
> happen next. CW knowledge is supposed to be indicative
> of all that is good and rightious about hams and ham radio.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Lemme see. Dick, Larry, Brian (the other one), Curt, XrayJL, Eric, Val,
etc.,...

Dictators? Only if given the opportunity.

73, Brian

3453. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:17:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8FQ79.546$O56.426@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51226

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > Larry prefers to interpret his one-time experiences (such as that
described
> > by him about the ONE time someone on 10-meters gave him Hell for not
> > remembering a 10-10 number) as those that are all indicative of what is
> > common. His paintbrush is probably as broad as any narrow-minded
person,
> > and he shares Dick's white can of paint.
> >
> > I've been on 10-meters loads of times, even during 10-10 contests, and
had
> > grand times talking to people. Know what happens when I can't remember
my
> > 10-10 number? Kind folks come on and have looked it up for me and give
it
> > to me over the air.
>
> What purpose does a Ten-Ten number serve? I've managed to operate on
> ten for decades without obtaining such a number. I don't have a 160-160
> number either.

The 10X number probably serves the same purpose as the SMIRK number or the
SWOT number.

Does FISTS have numbers? Certainly. Do people give out FISTS numbers?

Dunno. If they did would Larry give up CW?

> > Larry--maybe if you'd lose a lot of your attitude, you'd start having
some
> > positive experiences in your life.
>
> Yeah, Larry, get a ten-ten number and start having positive experiences.
>
> By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?

She changed her mind. Just like I did.

3454. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:19:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818131950.13843.00000307@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51220

In article <xNq79.277$at.46433518@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> You're "opposed" to an individual's constitutional right to keep and
>> bear arms? You're "opposed" to the concept of getting some legal
>> relief from restrictive land use covenants which dictate what a person
>> can or cannot do on his/her own property? If you really, truly are
>> opposed to such things, why did you bother serving the best years
>> of your life in the U.S. Navy?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Like the current crop of politicians who take an oath to defend and protect
>the Constitution against ALL enemies, foreign AND Domestic, he probably just
>paid lip service so he could take the job.

Well, we haven't seen Hansl's reply yet, so let's give him the benefit
of the doubt until he does.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3455. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:19:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818131950.13843.00000308@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51224

In article <cTq79.16429$Ep6.1295477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>At the same time, history does indeed argue for an armed populace.

The best reason to have an armed populace is to ensure that there
are more citizens with guns than military personnel with guns. Therefore,
government tyranny can only go just so far.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3456. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:19:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818131951.13843.00000309@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51217

In article <3D5EB30C.40173A78@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> The Bill of Rights was what it took to get the Philadelphia constitution
>> ratified and a lot of things suffered along the way. There were, I
>> believe, several more amendments that did not get ratified. It really
>> does not deserve the deification it has received. Great idea, miserable
>> execution.
>
>Well, it ain't perfect, but still seems better than anything else in the
>world.

We have the worst government in the world, except for all the others!

>Gun control is using both hands.....

...and hitting what you aim at!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3457. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:19:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818131951.13843.00000310@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51223

In article <3D5EC442.4040509@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>
>> Why not? Don't you have the slightest interest in computing?
>>
>> I just hate it when one of these knuckle dragging 3-landers with an East
>> coast accent comes on here and doesn't even know the most basic thing about
>> on-line communication. Its like he doesn't even know his own name. Talk
>> about dumbed down and lowered standards and the world going to hell in a
>> hand basket. Shees!
>
> Even though I know that your post is facetious, It did get me to
thinking
>about this. Why don't people know more about their computers and their
>networking? Some of the most basic and important information can be
>picked up by at least knowing how to find their I.P. address.
>
> I mean, the person who is simply content to hook up the computer and
use
>it, without any idea of what is actually happening, is the computer
>equivalent of the appliance operator, only using SSB, to ragchew with
>their buddys.
>
> There is so much more going on, and I would caution everyone that they
>sorely NEED to know what is going on if they are attached to the web
>these days. If you don't want to, you become the computer networking
>equivalent of a no-code tech with no ambition to go further.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike:

Right. I'm the Iternet equivalent of a no-coder. I couldn't send the letter
"A" in ASCII to save my life! Help me! SELL me on learning how to
find my IP address! Then Elmer me in the procedure! I'm willing to learn --
are you willing to teach me?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3458. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:19:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818131952.13843.00000312@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51216

In article <3D5EB52C.559A5C97@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> > Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
>> > then chew him out for not knowing it :-)
>> >
>> > Cheers,
>> > Bill K2UNK
>> >
>> Hey Larry, what's your IP address?
>
>If he's a dial-up connection, the IP address is likely not constant anyway.

Yup, I'm striclty dial-up. Haven't done the cable modem thang yet, but
I'm thinking about it.

3459. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:19:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818131952.13843.00000311@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51219

In article <3D5EB52C.559A5C97@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> > Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
>> > then chew him out for not knowing it :-)
>> >
>> > Cheers,
>> > Bill K2UNK
>> >
>> Hey Larry, what's your IP address?
>
>If he's a dial-up connection, the IP address is likely not constant anyway.

Yup, I'm strictly dial-up. I haven't done the cable modem thang yet, but
I'm thinking about it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3460. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:19:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818131953.13843.00000313@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51222

In article <ultlpbhkfaqlba@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> Holy SOS Batman, CW users are getting dictatorial....what'll
>> happen next. CW knowledge is supposed to be indicative
>> of all that is good and rightious about hams and ham radio.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>>
>>
>
>Larry prefers to interpret his one-time experiences (such as that described
>by him about the ONE time someone on 10-meters gave him Hell for not
>remembering a 10-10 number) as those that are all indicative of what is
>common.

Kim:

On what do you base the assertion that that was a "one-time experience?"
I had several similar experiences with Ten-Ten people -- it's just that that
particular one was the last straw -- and I'm not the only one who has
had and reported similar experiences with Ten-Ten, in this newsgroup and
elsewhere.

> His paintbrush is probably as broad as any narrow-minded person,
>and he shares Dick's white can of paint.

Well, Kim, if you were my conscience, which you are not, that may have
some bearing on my behavior. However, in reality, it's just more of you're
ignorance showing through.

>I've been on 10-meters loads of times, even during 10-10 contests, and had
>grand times talking to people. Know what happens when I can't remember my
>10-10 number? Kind folks come on and have looked it up for me and give it
>to me over the air.

Fine. I've had the same experience on the YLISSB net. Point?

>Larry--maybe if you'd lose a lot of your attitude, you'd start having some
>positive experiences in your life.

Kim, I have no problems with my "attitude," and I have positive experiences
every day of my life. Why don't YOU put an end to your churlish criticism
of other people and clean up you own act?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3461. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:19:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818131954.13843.00000314@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51221

In article <ajl39s2pim@enews4.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>So, a newbie who may be a potential morse code user, who has not made a
>decision to be a no-coder by opinion, not by license class should be treated
>wrongly by the "old boys network" as opposed to becoming one of them? I am
>sure there are many nocode techs who may actually like it if some of the
>existing hams marketed their "love" better instead of leaving a bad taste in
>their mouth for something they may not have been even aware of. (licensure
>changes and related issues.)

Ryan:

Whenever I meet a new or prospective ham in person, the issue of the changes
to the license structure never come up. I just tell them what the current
requirements are, how to go about getting started at meeting them, and offering
answers to their questions. The objections to the Morse code, and remarks
about same, usually are started by them, not me. The last time, after going
thru
all the requirements, etc. the first question the "newcomer" asked me was,
"When are they going to get RID of the code." I asked why he wanted to
get "RID" of the code, and his reply was, "This is the 21st Century, that's
why!"
Of course, it was the year 2000 at the time, still well within the 20th
Century.
To make a long story short, this guy just had a major Jones going on against
the code, and came up with virtually the entire litany of insults about people
who know, use, and enjoy using CW. At this point, I just wished him luck and
walked away. Now, where do you think he got that attitude? Do you think
he would have been receptive to a "sales pitch" on the code?

>Again, there may be some of the tech licensure who have not declared an
>interested in the N.C.I. mindset. But I am sure after meeting/talking to
>some hams out there, their minds may have changed because of the attitudes
>that go with some of the "coders."

Well, I don't know what you're referring to, but I believe you're conveniently
overlooking the fact that the "no-coders" are almost universally locked and
loaded with an endless string of complaints, objections, and generalized
miserable attitudes toward the code. And they're not "getting it" from
"coders" with "bad attitudes." In the real (non-Usenet) world of ham radio,
Morse code/CW lovers are universally helpful and accommodating to
newcomers who genuinely want to make the effort to learn the code and
start using CW on-the-air. However, when we start a code training class
with 15 people and only three show up for the second session, there's not
much we can do to get them back in. THEY have to have the interest;

THEY have to find the motivation; THEY have to make the effort. Nobody had to "sell" me on CW; I found the motivation because I wanted to be a ham, and the requirement was in place at the time.

All this prevaricating about the "bad attitudes" of the "coders" is doing nothing to help you, and quite frankly, nobody will ever care. The only thing that matters is what YOU do as a ham, including learning the code. Nobody can say any magic words or take any magic steps to give you the interest, motivation, and dedication required to learn the code and become a successful CW user. And griping about some perceived disdain from "coders" will get you absolutely nowhere.

>What's that expression, "You can
>attract more ******* with honey that feces?" (or something like that)

I think the expression you're searching for is, "You can attract more flies with honey than with vinegar." My suggestion: Stop behaving like a fly and start acting like a man, instead. It will do you a lot more good than whining about something which gives you a convenient excuse to fail.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3462. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:19:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818131955.13843.00000315@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51218

In article <vqq79.264$Xm.46046436@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> What makes you think that ham radio newcomers *want* the code
>> "marketed" to them? If you would take Dick's advice and check out the
>> archives, you'll find that newcomers seem to come pre-loaded with a
>> negative opinion of the Morse code/CW mode long before they have
>> had any personal experience with it. Now -- where do you suppose this
>> negative opinion came from?
>
>We've had them come on here with great love for the Morse Code they know
>nothing about. They say the right things to the coders, and you guys fawn
>all over them.

Brian:

In other words, they don't call us insulting names, blame us for global warming and ethnic cleansing, accuse us of being technically inept, etc. like the NCTA's who *started* the code/no-code debate. Yes, indeed -- we PCTA's definitely DO treat people with friendship and respect when we get the same treatment ourselves! Amazing how that works, isn't it?

>Ask Val how the code is coming along.

Hey Val, how's the code coming, old man?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3463. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:20:15 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <jHQ79.548$1Z5.32@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51227

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020818131953.13843.00000313@mb-mu.aol.com...
> In article <ultlpbhkfaqlba@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >I've been on 10-meters loads of times, even during 10-10 contests, and
had
> >grand times talking to people. Know what happens when I can't remember
my
> >10-10 number? Kind folks come on and have looked it up for me and give
it
> >to me over the air.
>
> Fine. I've had the same experience on the YLISSB net. Point?

And we thought you didn't have a 10X number. Or do you have a YL number?

Brian

3464. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:23:11 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3KQ79.552$tE5.147@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51228

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D5EC442.4040509@adelphia.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Why not? Don't you have the slightest interest in computing?
> >
> > I just hate it when one of these knuckle dragging 3-landers with an East
> > coast accent comes on here and doesn't even know the most basic thing
about
> > on-line communication. Its like he doesn't even know his own name.
Talk
> > about dumbed down and lowered standards and the world going to hell in a
> > hand basket. Shees!
>
> Even though I know that your post is facetious, It did get me to thinking
> about this. Why don't people know more about their computers and their
> networking? Some of the most basic and important information can be
> picked up by at least knowing how to find their I.P. address.
>
> I mean, the person who is simply content to hook up the computer and use
> it, without any idea of what is actually happening, is the computer
> equivalent of the appliance operator, only using SSB, to ragchew with
> their buddys.

Mike, similarly, what does mashing an on-off switch in the CW mode have to
do with imparting any knowledge of radio operation or theory?

3465. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:26:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CNQ79.557$j86.276@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51229

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818010725.19482.00001191@mb-mf.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
> >(CC&Rs)
> >From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
> >Date: 08/16/02 20:12 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h0yg7mb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
>
> > That's how the game is played.
>
> Maybe if it were less a game...?!?!
>
> Steve

Its one client and one giant retainer at a time. Makes no difference what
the subject matter is.

3466. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:28:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nPQ79.559$K86.3@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51230

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajneth$45k$0@208.206.142.94...
>
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > I quit the NRA (I was a competition pistol shooter) when it became
> > political in nature.
> >
>
> Phil I thought you knew that any orginization which disregards politics
> leaves itself at the mercy of the same. We live in a world where
> politics reigns supreme.
>
> Dick

Imagine if the people who recognize that the code EXAM serves no useful
purpose did not voice their opinion?

3467. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:30:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%QQ79.560$h66.47@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51231

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:aRK79.17621$Ke2.1458196@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ajneth$45k$0@208.206.142.94...
> >
> >
> > Phil Kane wrote:
> > >
> > > I quit the NRA (I was a competition pistol shooter) when it became
> > > political in nature.
> > >
> >
> > Phil I thought you knew that any orginization which disregards politics
> > leaves itself at the mercy of the same. We live in a world where
> > politics reigns supreme.
> >
> > Dick
>
> Unfortunately it is an activity at which the NRA is singularly inept
> beyond an occasional bludgeoning and lots of noise. And it goes back a
> lot of years.
>
> A number of us deserted the trolley when we, as Governor Evans put it,
> discovered that deer do not wear bulletproof vests and questioned the
> defense of teflon bullets. So over the years the NRA membership has
> become progressively more militant and progressively more stupid.

Then you haven't seen "Gun Owners of America." Makes the NRA look like gun
grabbers.

3468. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:34:00 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cUQ79.562$l96.404@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51232

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ajm5jv$v4e$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.h0xy4t8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 16 Aug 2002 13:21:22 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
> >If the ALCU or Charlton Heston is in favor, I'm automatically opposed.
>
> I can agree about Charlton Heston, but in this case the ACLU is on
> our side.
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> I'd bet in concept, so is Charlton Heston. Now if your an anti-gun
> libral, then I understand not likling Charlton Heston, but my recollection
> of many past references in this newsgroup would seem to indicate
> a fair majority of hams are pro 2nd amendment rights (RTKBA).
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

I heard on NPR today some clips of a new and improved "Black Panthers"
movement stating that a race-war is inevitable and that blacks should arm
themselves. I wonder what they'll spend the reparation money on?

Colt pre-Bans?

3469. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:35:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <AVQ79.565$h66.364@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51233

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:JIy79.16732$Ke2.1387280@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3D5EB30C.40173A78@ix.netcom.com...
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > > news:20020817012553.22428.00000229@mb-ch.aol.com...
> > > > In article
> <Bwk79.16178$Ep6.1266768@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > > > "Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > > >So far as I know, the 2nd amendment has shown up once, sometime in
> the
> > > > >'30s, with a decision that reminds one of a graduation speech: what
> did
> > > he
> > > > >say?
> > > > >
> > > > >Like a lot of other things Biblical and Constitutional, what
> appears to
> > > be
> > > > >pretty straight up in 21st Century English may have easily been
> > > something
> > > > >else when written -- one of the minor advantages of writing Bibles
> in
> > > dead
> > > > >languages. It avoids things like Salome wanting the head of J the
> B
> > > 'by
> > > > >and by' as she does in the KJV.
> > > > >
> > > > >The term 'keep and bear arms' had a meaning a bit different that it
> > > means
> > > > >today. If sufficiently motivated I could preach any side of the
> issue
> > > you
> > > > >had in mind but there may not be enough rotted grape juice in
> existence
> > > > >for some of them.
> > > > >
> > > > Dick:
> > > >

> > > > I see. Tell me, then, what's YOUR interpretation of the Second
> > > > Amendment? Do individual citizens have the Right to Keep and Bear
> > > > Arms (private possession, largely unfettered by state/federal law),
> > > > with the right to readily obtain a license to carry a firearm
> (concealed
> > > > or not) for personal self defense?
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > >
> > >
> > > From a purely historical perspective, i.e. trying to make sense of
> just
> > > what those who were not going to ratify a constitution without their
> pet
> > > ideas had in mind, I think all it was intended to accomplish was to
> make
> > > it clear that it was legal and non-treasonous for states to have
> armies.
> > > But I don't kid myself that my opinion is in any way decisive.
> > >
> > > The Bill of Rights was what it took to get the Philadelphia
> constitution
> > > ratified and a lot of things suffered along the way. There were, I
> > > believe, several more amendments that did not get ratified. It really
> > > does not deserve the deification it has received. Great idea,
> miserable
> > > execution.
> >
> > Well, it ain't perfect, but still seems better than anything else in the
> > world.
> >
> > Gun control is using both hands.....
> >
> >
>
> Few things are perfect for more than 92 minutes. The analysis, such as it
> is, is based upon the rest of the amendments and the English history
> behind them. It is really a stretch to believe that people worried about
> quartering soldiers would believe disarmament was even possible let alone
> something to worry about.
>
> You will note that the Seventh Amendment requires the availability of a
> jury trial in civil cases over twenty dollars. Considering the US did not
> mint a dollar until 1803, what did they mean??

What is a $20 gold piece worth today?

3470. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:36:47 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PWQ79.566$g56.92@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51234

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020818131950.13843.00000307@mb-mu.aol.com...
> In article <xNq79.277$at.46433518@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> You're "opposed" to an individual's constitutional right to keep and
> >> bear arms? You're "opposed" to the concept of getting some legal
> >> relief from restrictive land use covenants which dictate what a person
> >> can or cannot do on his/her own property? If you really, truly are
> >> opposed to such things, why did you bother serving the best years
> >> of your life in the U.S. Navy?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Like the current crop of politicians who take an oath to defend and
protect
> >the Constitution against ALL enemies, foreign AND Domestic, he probably
just
> >paid lip service so he could take the job.
>
> Well, we haven't seen Hansl's reply yet, so let's give him the benefit
> of the doubt until he does.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I can wait. No-sweatty-dah.

3471. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:38:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <AYQ79.570$aS5.159@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51237

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ajm609$k1u$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...

> Legal point/question? If the range of area which includes CC&Rs via
> homeowners association becomes so large and so pervase, doesn't
> the "option" to live elsewhere begin to end?
>
> Many areas in California,
> Colorado, etc have no new construction which doesn't have
> the HOA/CCR element.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Its like MS Windows. Write it once, sell it 10 million times. Same with
the CCR's.

3472. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:45:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818134555.13843.00000317@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51235

In article <3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Yeah, Larry, get a ten-ten number and start having positive experiences.

Dave:

The problem is I already *have* a Ten-Ten number; I just can't remember it,
and can't find it anywhere. I've even E-mailed to the Ten-Ten organization
to see if they could locate it in the archives under my past callsigns, and
they seem to have lost it as well. I wouldn't mind using it on 10-meter CW
or PSK-31.

>By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?

So she said, but you know how much credibility she has!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3473. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:45:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818134555.13843.00000316@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51236

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h10jkjh.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>>What, perchance, has Charlton Heston done to offend you?
>
> I quit the NRA (I was a competition pistol shooter) when it became
> political in nature.

Phil:

What did the NRA becoming "political in nature" have to do with your competition pistol shooting? Were they giving you a good score only if your groups were right of center? Were they denying entry to competitors who were registered Democrats?

The NRA's political action is there to support and defend the right of American Citizens to Keep and Bear Arms. As a competitive shooter, what problem do (did) you have with that concept?

> And I disagreed with Charlton Heston kowtowing to Cecil B. DeMille
> in portraying the errors embodies in "The Ten Commandments". My
> wife and I love to watch the re-runs and call out all the errors.

How about a few examples?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3474. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:50:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <N7R79.584$vb6.261@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51238

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020818131955.13843.00000315@mb-mu.aol.com...
> In article <vqq79.264$Xm.46046436@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> What makes you think that ham radio newcomers *want* the code
> >> "marketed" to them? If you would take Dick's advice and check out the
> >> archives, you'll find that newcomers seem to come pre-loaded with a
> >> negative opinion of the Morse code/CW mode long before they have
> >> had any personal experience with it. Now -- where do you suppose this
> >> negative opinion came from?
> >
> >We've had them come on here with great love for the Morse Code they know
> >nothing about. They say the right things to the coders, and you guys
fawn
> >all over them.
>
> Brian:
>
> In other words, they don't call us insulting names, blame us for global
> warming and ethnic cleansing, accuse us of being technically inept, etc.
> like the NCTA's who *started* the code/no-code debate.

Larry, did the code/no-code debate originate on usenet?

Yes, indeed --
> we PCTA's definitely DO treat people with friendship and respect when
> we get the same treatment ourselves! Amazing how that works,
> isn't it?

I've found noting but abuse with Dick, no matter how kind I am to him. Why
do you think that is?

> >Ask Val how the code is coming along.
>
> Hey Val, how's the code coming, old man?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Outstanding! Don't forget to encourage him.

73, Brian

3475. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 17:58:16 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <YeR79.586$ed6.229@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51239

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020818131954.13843.00000314@mb-mu.aol.com...
> In article <ajl39s2pim@enews4.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >So, a newbie who may be a potential morse code user, who has not made a
> >decision to be a no-coder by opinion, not by license class should be
treated
> >wrongly by the "old boys network" as opposed to becoming one of them? I
am
> >sure there are many nocode techs who may actually like it if some of the
> >existing hams marketed their "love" better instead of leaving a bad taste
in
> >their mouth for something they may not have been even aware of.
(licensure
> >changes and related issues.)
>
> Ryan:
>
> Whenever I meet a new or prospective ham in person, the issue of the
changes
> to the license structure never come up. I just tell them what the current
> requirements are, how to go about getting started at meeting them, and
offering
> answers to their questions.

Larry, given the impartiality that you are currently claiming, it's too bad you don't live down the street from Val. Instead of the fair rules you are currently claiming to live by, Val came on here with pre-conceived ideas gleaned from his anti-NCT neighbor and proceded to troll. Val, an NCT by the way, is going to learn the code.

3476. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 20:21:43 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D6001D7.B33E16BC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

AB8MQ wrote:

> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United
> > States
> > > can
> > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> > >
> > > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by fraudulent
> > means and
> > > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC sequentially.
> >
> > Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe you. Brian
>
> You shouldn't make statements like:
>
> Brian Pussy Burke, N0IMD blathered out of his ass in
> <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

Well yes, but the FCC ran out of the style of 2x2 calls that techs and
generals were to get. Now they get novice 2x3's unless they do the
vanity call thing. IIRC, KV4FZ would be a style of call techs could
have, but not AB8MQ style calls. Those are for extras.

3477. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 20:32:05 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D600445.E71B86E8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51241

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <cTq79.16429$Ep6.1295477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >At the same time, history does indeed argue for an armed populace.
>
> The best reason to have an armed populace is to ensure that there
> are more citizens with guns than military personnel with guns. Therefore,
> government tyranny can only go just so far.

It also gives invaders a disincentive. Harder to occupy us if many citizens are ambushing your troops. Probably not so important today, but back in the old days before long range bombers and nuke bombs and cruise missiles it probably helped some. Heard that the British had big problems with snipers hiding behind trees and such picking off redcoats back in the Revolutionary War. And we'd try for the officers too.

3478. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 20:34:04 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D6004BC.AE0428D8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51242

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
>
> Its like MS Windows. Write it once, sell it 10 million times. Same with
> the CCR's.

If I ever have to sell my mom's house, maybe the fact that there's no
CC&Rs would be a selling point..... And it's a nice town too.

3479. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 21:24:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D603ABC.9020900@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51244

Richard McCollum wrote:

> A number of us deserted the trolley when we, as Governor Evans put it,
> discovered that deer do not wear bulletproof vests and questioned the
> defense of teflon bullets. So over the years the NRA membership has
> become progressively more militant and progressively more stupid.

And don't forget the idiots who stored many guns in a corner of the bedroom, imcluding their children's toy guns, then tried to turn it into a gun control issue when their little boy shot his little sister (with a real gun he couldn't tell from his play one.

They lost, the spent some time in prison. I don't know if they got the boy back or not.

I support the right of all citizens to own firearms. I support the responsibility of same citizens to use them in a very, very responsible manner.

- Mike KB3EIA

3480. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 22:03:24 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <MQU79.18493$Ke2.1520091@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51243

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D600445.E71B86E8@ix.netcom.com...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > In article
<cTq79.16429$Ep6.1295477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> >
> > >At the same time, history does indeed argue for an armed populace.
> >
> > The best reason to have an armed populace is to ensure that there
> > are more citizens with guns than military personnel with guns.
Therefore,
> > government tyranny can only go just so far.
>
> It also gives invaders a disincentive. Harder to occupy us if many
citizens
> are ambushing your troops. Probably not so important today, but back
> in the old days before long range bombers and nuke bombs and cruise
> missiles it probably helped some. Heard that the British had big
problems
> with snipers hiding behind trees and such picking off redcoats back in
the
> Revolutionary War. And we'd try for the officers too.
>

Another minor bit of trivia. Seems the Thirty Years War (1618 in Bohemia to 1648 in the Low Countries) so decimated Northern Europe that warfare became stylized to keep the damage to a ladylike belch. The Brown Bess musket was used by the Royal Army for nearly a century.

So yes, people with hunting rifles hiding behind trees were a bit deadly. It was only with the Garrand that the average American infantryman was better armed than the average American deer hunter. Early in the Nam, a lot of guys sent home for their deer guns.

Part of the stylization was with artillery which was implicitly limited in numbers, size, and portability. Napoleon was an artilleryman and broke all the rules. He spent a few years blowing off everyone's shorts and had it done to him when they got to work in Essen and Newcastle. Military genius not.

But if people really wished to resist an occupying army, they would concentrate on three weapons: 1. A good sniper rifle that gave them a chance to fight another day. Some nice Mausers in the catalogs these

days. 2. A really good concealable pistole to stick in some clown's nose, and 3. A first-rate shotgun guaranteed to take the trim and half the wall when the door went down.

Odds are most Americans would need the shotgun to hit anything. Living along the Oregon Trail, I am always reminded that the biggest cause of death was gunshot wounds; pistol-eating and the effect of the yo-yo behind you having his blunderbuss on full-cock when he hit the bump.

Dick NBK

3481. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 23:13:58 UTC
From: Roll Call Bobby <VeroBeachZero@RollCallBobby.net>
Message-ID: <ajp9nc$m3v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51245
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message

> "Cheeta's Brother Lllardasssssssssssssss Lloyd"
<brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote
> in message news:27e38977.0208161813.e60d711@posting.google.com...
> > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:<ajirjq$h6c$4@pita.alt.net>...
> > > "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
> > > news:uloqcnfnc7nrbf@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40
> > > > > meters has its' spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
> > > >
> > > > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
> > > >
> > > > phil :)
> > >
> > > 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.
> >
> > Thanks for admitting that you have jammed the Liberty Net, Roger.
>
> How is posting frequencies admitting jamming, you fucking idiot? Did Mrs.
Ray
> Davies, the town drunk, have any children that lived? Or is the old piece
of shit
> still giveing blowjobs for $2?
>
>
> > Did you ever go by the call of "Bathroom Man" back in the mid 90's?
> > Rumor has that you did.
>
> No, dumbass, how could I transmit with a Kenwood R-5000 receiver, you
fucking
> retard? I know that the guy transmiting as "hillbilly" and "kawasaki" is
YOU.
> They've already DFed you, Lardasssssss.
>
>
Poor Wogie Curtains Boy, so confused by things technical.

3482. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 23:16:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um0akut4frch4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51246

Jim, I think there should be room for the old modes. Perhaps in some of the wider bands (heck, FM is permitted on 10), but that is for others to argue. Of course, one could play with lower power limits for some of the wide modes, but I'm not sure that would play too well either. One thing for sure, if the various modes were segregated, it might make life easier for all. Of course, during contests, all bets are off ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818102118.28714.00000067@mb-cc.aol.com...
>
> Minute 64 adopted at the most recent
> ARRL Board of Directors meeting on July 19-20, 2002:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/announce/board-0207/64
>
> "On motion of Mr. Frenaye, seconded by Mr. Stinson, it was VOTED that
> at the next practical opportunity the ARRL shall petition the FCC to
revise
> Part 97 to regulate subbands by signal bandwidth instead of by mode."
>
> The last time that was tried, (1970s, Docket 20777), the proposed
bandwidths
> were 350 Hz and 3.5 kHz. Would have killed AM and severely hobbled data
modes.
> It was only through the strong response of the AM community that the
measure
> was rejected.
>
> Anybody have more specifics? (If the limits were, say, 1000 Hz and 6 or 8
kHz,
> I'd be for it).
>
> Should AM on amateur HF be outlawed? (I say NO WAY!)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3483. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 23:31:22 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <e7W79.4074$fA6.156509229@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51247

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:um0akut4frch4d@corp.supernews.com...
> Jim, I think there should be room for the old modes. Perhaps in some of
the
> wider bands (heck, FM is permitted on 10), but that is for others to
argue.

Jim, absolutely don't leave it for others to argue.

As a newcomer to amateur radio, I used to think that the long-timers knew what was best for the amateur service. Now after 15 years, I'm still a newcomer (in relative terms), but I make sure that the FCC hears from ME on any issue that warrants a comment period.

Imagine what would happen if only X (name your favorite rrap crank) commented and the FCC went with that comment.

Brian

3484. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 23:35:21 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818193521.17105.00001665@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51248

>Hi all,
>
>I would like to hear opinions on the best band for QRP CW work. Given
>that the sunspot cycle in on the downslide, in a few years I'd like to
>do some portable QRP work abroad. Of course, one must consider the
>manageability of a portable doublet (Or perhaps a folded dipole?) for
>the desired band(s) of operation. That's why I ordered a 20-meter
>Wilderness SST (They don't offer a 15-meter model.) QRP CW rig kit. A
>half-wave doublet would only be approx. 33 ft. long. The first night I
>arrived in Quito, we went on the roof of my uncle's apartment building
>to enjoy a breathtaking view of the city at night...more than enough
>space for a 33 ft. dipole.
>
>Anyhoo...after building the SST, I'd kinda like to build an Elecraft
>K1 four band QRP CW rig kit. It has an option for an internal antenna
>tuner and a noise blanker that I'd also want. It comes with 40, 30,
>20, and either 17 or 15-meters as the last band. It appears to be a
>sweet rig for such a small package, but at $473...it's a tad pricey.
>That's why I wonder if it's really worth it for just a rig that'll be
>employed on vacations, long weekends, and perhaps the occasional
>daytrip? The SST is pretty cost effective for such a small package.
>I'm wondering if it'll be all I ever really need?
>
>I have all bands available to me at home, but no practical experience
>with their characteristics...especially at the bottom of a cycle. If 2
>watts on 20-meters'll do the job reliably...then great! I can't really
>say that I'd look forward to hanging a 66 ft. dipole ANYWHERE on
>vacation, however...? My uncle also owns a farm about three blocks
>away from the Mitad del Mundo site and they also have a post office
>right there so you can have your postcards postmarked 'Mitad del
>Mundo' or "middle of the world." I'd love to send some QSL cards out
>from there with my HC2 call and a picture of the site. The next trip
>also includes plans for a visit to the Galapagos Isles., so the order
>for the custom QSL cards will go in as soon as I know the call.
>
>Sorry, if I rambled on...this is an example of how CW can really work
>toward a newbie's advantage. Sure, I could go out and buy a FT-817 and
>try to work voice. But when you're staying in a quiet house/apartment
>and you don't want to disturb/awaken the entire household, (And that
>damn dog could hear a mouse pee on cotton!) what better way than to
>don a set of headphones and break out the paddles? There's also a nice
>sense of accomplishment with putting something OTA that you've built
>(Although I acknowledge that these kits aren't exactly
>"homebrew.")...especially with a nice homebrew aerial. Thanks in

>advance for any comments.
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

Ahh! Bitten by the bug, huh? (Pun intended.) Good for you! I'm willing to bet that if you grab a K1 or the OHR 500, you'll find yourself using them allot more than you think. I left out the Wilderness Sierra because I'm not too fond of plug in modules in a single band rig. Good luck Bert. I bet you'll love it. Save a QSL card for me. ;-) Take care and 73.

Mark

3485. Re: Music on 3860 LSB!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 23:37:32 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D602FBC.222A2CFD@citlink.net>
Source record: 51249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Figures...operating a ricebox on LSB.....To many critical adjustments on
a good ol AM rig

Brother Lloyd wrote:

> The "Zoo of Amatuer Radio" is slowly awakening.....
>
> Right now, at 0206 Z I am hearing some music on 3860LSB. Over the
> last few nights, the frequency has been active with the "Tommy Tube"
> gang, including the infamous KN4CF, aka "Chicken Feathers"
>
> More as the night develops.....
>
> Lloyd, N0VFP
> Listening from Alabama.

3486. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 23:46:42 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h123prm.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51250

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 17:26:58 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>
>Its one client and one giant retainer at a time. Makes no difference what
>the subject matter is.

'Cept for us folks who take cases pro-bono because we believe in
the clients' cause.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3487. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 23:51:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qqW79.668$X17.264@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51352

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h123prm.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 17:26:58 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> >Its one client and one giant retainer at a time. Makes no difference
what
> >the subject matter is.
>
> 'Cept for us folks who take cases pro-bono because we believe in
> the clients' cause.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Holy Cow! I think I saw that one. It had Richard Dreyfus playing the
usual...

3488. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 23:54:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h124qco.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51351

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:31:22 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>Imagine what would happen if only X (name your favorite rrap crank)
>commented and the FCC went with that comment.

The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it receives.
Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3489. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-18 23:55:44 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4uW79.670$D37.287@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51353

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h124qco.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:31:22 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >Imagine what would happen if only X (name your favorite rrap crank)
> >commented and the FCC went with that comment.
>
> The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it receives.
> Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Understood. If I say nothing then how could they know my opinion?

3490. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 00:09:28 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818200928.17105.00001676@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51354

>I will
>build the Wilderness SST mainly because I really do want to put
>something OTA that I built (assembled?)...even if it is a "kit."

Good deal. It'll also prep you with a little practice with kit building before tackling the K1.

>For
>all operation abroad, I chose the Patcomm PC-500. Here's the link.
>
>http://www.patcommradio.com/webdoc8.htm

Excellent choice, I completely overlooked Patcomm.

>Patcomm is also a local company and I believe in supporting the local
>infrastructure...if the product quality merits that support.

More people should try this approach. Perhaps they'd realize that the K Marts really aren't such a "bargain" in the long run after all.

>I
>remember when the PC-16000 first came out. After reading the reviews,
>I told my XYL that I'd prefer a Yaesu. But this looks like it's a
>bull's eye.

A little expensive for their intro rig and the PC-9000 also had its problems. They have a winner in the PC-500, as evidenced by their backlog.

>The added SSB capability's cool, although my weapon of
>choice is shown below.
>
>http://www.mtechnologies.com/palm/
>
>Need I say more?! (Yeah, I got the Code Cube keyer too as a back-up
>and to use with the SST.)

"Gotcha!" cries the code goblin. :-)

>I took Larry's advice and chose 40 and 20-meters. The SST will be a
>30-meter model. (Less congested band.) The PC-500 is compact enough
>for me to travel with.

Sorry, I realized that I never even answered your question before. I concur with Larry, 20/40 is a good choice. If you check the Patcomm discussion group on Yahoo, you'll find that they're coming out with dual band modules too. It'll turn your dual bander into a quad bander. I don't generally like modules, but on a dual bander it's ok...not to mention all the extras you get with this rig. The rumored dual modules will be 40/30 and 20/17. I personally think 20/15

would be better. Here's a neat reference for you.

http://www.alltel.net/~johnshan/

http://www.hamuniverse.com/hfbands.html

The first one is affiliated with a NJ QRP group and offers some good tips on operating with just 5 watts. The second should give you a better idea on what to expect from the bands.

>Oak Hills Reasearch markets a "wall wart" power
>supply for QRP rigs that delivers 13.7V @ 2.4A...enough for approx. 5
>watts output. (But I'm still researching my options re. an adequate
>power supply.)

That sounds good. If you're no backpacking, perhaps a small switching power supply will do the trick. Samlex makes a nice 10 or 11 amp power supply that's light as a feather. The plug in "wall wart" along with a pair of Heil headphones/mic combos would take up the least amount of space though...and 5 watts is plenty.

>My aim was a nice portable rig, suitable for travel.
>The PC-500 just fit the bill

Sounds like you found the right choice for what you want, good deal. Call me when you want to start building that K1, Sierra, or OHR 500...it won't be long, you know.

>...and I got to support a fellow LI ham's
>business to boot. (I prefer "mom & pop" shops.)

Nice consideration. Makes the rig feel like even more of a value, huh? Although for $395, it's already a heck of a value. Take care and 73.

Mark

3491. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 00:11:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h125icp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51355

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:55:44 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>
>> The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it receives.
>> Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.

>Understood. If I say nothing then how could they know my opinion?

What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3492. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 00:36:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818203653.14335.00000176@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 51356

In article <um0akut4frch4d@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> writes:

>Jim, I think there should be room for the old modes.

Same here.

>Perhaps in some of the
>wider bands (heck, FM is permitted on 10), but that is for others to argue.

I disagree!

As N0IMD says, if we leave it for others to argue, we may not like what is decided.

Is AM on HF such a problem today that it needs to be restricted? I don't think so.

>Of course, one could play with lower power limits for some of the wide
>modes, but I'm not sure that would play too well either.

That's already been done.

The "old" (pre-1983) power limit was 1 kW input to the plate (or collector, or drain) of the stage(s) driving the antenna. Easy to measure with simple meters, and an incentive to efficiency. For the AM folks, it meant they could run 1 kW input 100% plate-modulated and get maybe 750 watts carrier and 3 kW PEP output (75% efficiency in the Class C final). Various supermodulation schemes could get you even more PEP.

Then the rules changed to "1500 W peak output" - which meant the AM folks could no longer run their kWs legally anymore, and efficiency didn't mean beans. The legal limit today for a plate modulated AM rig with 75% efficiency is 500 W (375 W carrier, 1500 W PEP).

>One thing for
>sure, if the various modes were segregated, it might make life easier for
>all.

The amateur HF AM crowd tends to hang out on a few well-known spot frequencies (3885 is the best known). Makes life simpler for everybody.

> Of course, during contests, all bets are off ;)

Not really. At least during the contests I work, the CW activity clusters at the low end.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3493. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 01:08:38 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <um0iibjjid8fa2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51359

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajneth$45k$0@208.206.142.94...
>
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > I quit the NRA (I was a competition pistol shooter) when it became
> > political in nature.
> >
>
> Phil I thought you knew that any orginization which disregards politics
> leaves itself at the mercy of the same. We live in a world where
> politics reigns supreme.
>
> Dick

Politics may reign, but it is not "supreme." There are those who refuse to
buy in to the game...

Kim W5TIT

3494. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 01:17:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D60714B.5030005@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51357

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Mike:
>
> Right. I'm the Iternet equivalent of a no-coder. I couldn't send the letter
> "A" in ASCII to save my life! Help me! SELL me on learning how to
> find my IP address! Then Elmer me in the procedure! I'm willing to learn --
> are you willing to teach me?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

The IP address is one of the fundamental properties of the internet. it allows you to identify yourself and who you are trying to communicate with. Some computers have static IP addy's, but many now have an address that is given to them when they log onto their ISP. Some are for one session, usual when using dial-up. Others, like myself are using a cable modem, and get an IP when they turn on their modem and computer. With a cable modem, you often leave the computer and modem connected to the web all the time. The ISP then might assign a new IP number every so often. I think my ISP reassigns a number every three hours or so. Sometimes I get little "burps" that I think are related to this.

Looking up your IP number is pretty simple. If you have a static address, you can of course look it up in the control panel. If you are using a temporary address on a LAN, you can run ipconfig program from the run feature on the start menu. If you are using a dialup you can use a service on the web such a Jim noted.

Now, the IP address is certainly not an exciting thing in itself, but it is a fundamental part of the whole process. You could probably get by with never knowing the thing, but when dealing with problems, it can help a lot.

You made note of possibly getting a cable modem. I have to warn you that cable modem land is kind of like the yellow brick road to OZ. It's wonderful, but there are a lot of dangers out there. Protection for the computer is mandatory. If you are interested, I can go over some of the things you will need. On list is fine, a lot of users should know this stuff also.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3495. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 01:18:41 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <um5uf1fmnecn8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51535

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818065040.28714.00000064@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <3D5F154B.3040805@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
> >Robert Casey wrote:
> >
> >>>>Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it,
> >>>>then chew him out for not knowing it :-)
> >>>>
> >>>>Cheers,
> >>>>Bill K2UNK
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>Hey Larry, what's your IP address?
> >>>
> >>
> >> If he's a dial-up connection, the IP address is likely not constant
anyway.
> >
> >
> > Yup, it changes every so often. But it's good to know how to find it.
> >
> http://www.whatismyip.com/
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

from your own computer: Start/Run/winipcfg.exe

3496. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 01:29:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D60742C.2050705@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51358

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message

<some snippage>

>>I mean, the person who is simply content to hook up the computer and use
>>it, without any idea of what is actually happening, is the computer
>>equivalent of the appliance operator, only using SSB, to ragchew with
>>their buddys.
>>
>
> Mike, similarly, what does mashing an on-off switch in the CW mode have to
> do with imparting any knowledge of radio operation or theory?

The Morse Code portion of the ARS does not reflect any technical
knowledge. I have seen a number of people who do very well indeed on the
Morse code test, but not so well on the written test. On the other hand,
I struggled with CW.

Now that being said, I still believe that there is great worth in the
Morse code test. It is a fundamental part of amateur radio IMO.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3497. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 01:39:26 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208181739.7c3daf9c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51360

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<ajm6d1vlg1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...

> > > abiding by the rules of the association. According to the 3 families,
> > > they signed "under duress".
> > >
> > >
> > That's a crock, nobody put a gun to their heads when they decided to
> > buy in that development and sign the deal. Not reading the fine print
> > is usually a legal non-sequiter. But we'll see how it works out, could
> > be interesting.
>
> I posted this in another response, but consider this...
> Legal point/question? If the range of area which includes CC&Rs via
> homeowners association becomes so large and so pervase, doesn't
> the "option" to buy and live elsewhere begin to end?
>
>
I'd call that "creeping privatization of municipal governments" and if
that's the way it shapes in massive volumes there will be legal and
political confrontations if it gets outta hand.
>
>
What we seem to have here is a bunch of hams who knowingly buy into
these Facist cc&r laced developments then bitch and whine about not
being able to hang aerials all over their non-properties. With all due
respect I have a hard time sympatizing with them. They done it to
themselves.
>
>
I've been all over this country and points beyond and I have yet to
pass thru or visit an area where used homes were not available which
are not in these regulatory swamps. The guys doing the bitching had
their options, they did the tradoffs and made their decisions. If they
had to have the "right address" or the XYL bludgeoned 'em into it or
they didn't want to have to deal with the usual collection of "fixups"
which come with "experienced" housing then they consciously let those
priorities come ahead of their being able to put up antennas. Oh WELL.
>
>
There are innumerable exceptions of course. Elders in nursing homes,
youngsters in homes their parents bought, apartment dwellers, etc. but
by and large it's the average ham home owner who knew what the
restrictions are when bought the place who is doing the grousing.

>
>
> Many areas in California,
> Colorado, etc have no new construction which doesn't have
> the HOA/CCR element.
>
>
Phil answered this one. We on the right coast don't have to much worry
abt it do we?
>
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

w3rv

3498. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 03:35:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818233553.00278.00000151@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51361

In article <AVQ79.565$h66.364@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> You will note that the Seventh Amendment requires the availability of a
>> jury trial in civil cases over twenty dollars. Considering the US did not
>> mint a dollar until 1803, what did they mean??
>
>What is a $20 gold piece worth today?

It ranges between $500 and $85,000 USD based on today's CPI.
The large range is due to the wide range in numismatic values of
different gold coins available today.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3499. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 03:35:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818233553.00278.00000150@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51364

In article <3D600445.E71B86E8@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> The best reason to have an armed populace is to ensure that there
>> are more citizens with guns than military personnel with guns. Therefore,
>> government tyranny can only go just so far.
>
>It also gives invaders a disincentive.

I'd say a physical invasion of the homeland is a pretty remote threat,
but you're right -- 100 million-plus armed and highly pissed-off (I hope!)
citizens would be not be a very welcoming thought for a potential
invading army. Even if they nuked the big cities and military installations,
they'd still have to deal with a by then not-so-well-regulated militia of
hungry, sick, economically ruined, and incredibly angry UmurriKans
who are looking to pop some nice ripe Commie Pinko gourds. In fact,
it is the advance guard of the invaders, in the guise of the Liberal Democrats,
who are trying to disarm us so that they won't have that problem in the
future. Think about it.

>Harder to occupy us if many citizens
>are ambushing your troops. Probably not so important today, but back
>in the old days before long range bombers and nuke bombs and cruise
>missiles it probably helped some. Heard that the British had big problems
>with snipers hiding behind trees and such picking off redcoats back in the
>Revolutionary War. And we'd try for the officers too.

Well, if that terrible day ever comes, I'm more than ready to dispatch
the officer of some invading force from behind a sturdy oak tree in
some forest. Or from the window of my bombed-out apartment buiding --
assuming I'm not bombed myself at the moment!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3500. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 03:35:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818233554.00278.00000152@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51363

In article <8FQ79.546$O56.426@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> What purpose does a Ten-Ten number serve? I've managed to operate on
>> ten for decades without obtaining such a number. I don't have a 160-160
>> number either.
>
>The 10X number probably serves the same purpose as the SMIRK number or the
>SWOT number.
>
>Does FISTS have numbers? Certainly. Do people give out FISTS numbers?

Yes, FISTS do have numbers, and yes, we do give them out on the
air. The problem is, FISTS have too steenking many numbers. You have
your basic FISTS number (mine is 2008), your Century Club number (for
working 100 points: Domestic member, 1 pt.; DX member, 2 pts.; Club
station, 3 pts.), and Gold, Diamond, and Platinum numbers for higher
levels of the Century Award. It is when you get beyond the basic
Century Award that it gets boring to have to sit thru all the numbers during
each QSO.

>Dunno. If they did would Larry give up CW?

No, he wouldn't, but he gets tired of the FISTS paper-chasers, that's
for sure!

>> > Larry--maybe if you'd lose a lot of your attitude, you'd start having
>some
>> > positive experiences in your life.
>>
>> Yeah, Larry, get a ten-ten number and start having positive experiences.
>>
>> By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?
>
>She changed her mind. Just like I did.

No, you both said you were going away forever. Now, we want you to
make good on your promises!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3501. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 03:35:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020818233555.00278.00000153@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51362

In article <jHQ79.548$1Z5.32@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Fine. I've had the same experience on the YLISSB net. Point?
>
>And we thought you didn't have a 10X number. Or do you have a YL number?
>
>Brian

I've got a YL number, but I've forgotten it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3502. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 04:19:53 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ajqlhf323dl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51371

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208171406.1b8a1aea@posting.google.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<ajl39r1pim@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> > Is this a 6 meter only rig?
> > Is this a rig under 300-400 dollar range?
> >
> > If so, then I am interested, otherwise it is out of the range of the
average
> > ham.
> >
> >
> Per my post it's a compact "all-bander", 160 thru 440 except 222 all
> modes, cw, ssb, FM, AM, digital modes @9600bps, etc. Has fully
> controllable agc, an RF gain control and ya can install Collins 300 or
> 500Hz filters in it which should make it a much better cw xcvr than
> any of the underdash mobile xcvrs like the 706, TS-50, etc. Power
> output thru 6m is 100w, 50w on 2m and 20w on 440. It's somewhat bigger
> than the underdash rigs and has better ergonomics and is much lighter
> than the desktop rigs. It's basically a high-power version of the
> FT-817 and is designed for portable ops. Emergencies, Field Day,
> vacations, home stations in cramped spaces, etc. which is why it
> appeals to me.

That may be nice, but earlier in the thread or elsewhere here, how many
manufacturers are making a single band rig like this? I don't care for
paying $1000-3000 for just one band.

> >
> >
> Price in Japan is rumored to be 148,000 Yen which I think translates
> to around $1,300 here. I have no idea what the average ham can afford
> to spend on an xcvr but thousands of over-a-kilobuck xcvrs are being
> sold every year.
>
> w3rv
>

Again, this is alot of money to pay for just one band, let alone multiple
bands.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3503. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 04:31:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6074B3.9D192836@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51365

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > > Larry prefers to interpret his one-time experiences (such as that
> described
> > > by him about the ONE time someone on 10-meters gave him Hell for not
> > > remembering a 10-10 number) as those that are all indicative of what is
> > > common. His paintbrush is probably as broad as any narrow-minded
> person,
> > > and he shares Dick's white can of paint.
> > >
> > > I've been on 10-meters loads of times, even during 10-10 contests, and
> had
> > > grand times talking to people. Know what happens when I can't remember
> my
> > > 10-10 number? Kind folks come on and have looked it up for me and give
> it
> > > to me over the air.
> >
> > What purpose does a Ten-Ten number serve? I've managed to operate on
> > ten for decades without obtaining such a number. I don't have a 160-160
> > number either.
>
> The 10X number probably serves the same purpose as the SMIRK number or the
> SWOT number.

Those are examples of other numbers for which there is no need and which
I have gotten along fine without. I've had plenty of positive
experiences without any of the three.

> Does FISTS have numbers? Certainly. Do people give out FISTS numbers?

I believe they do have them and give them out. Yet another example of a
useless number which I've done fine without. I'm still have positive
experiences.

> Dunno. If they did would Larry give up CW?

Ask Larry.

> > > Larry--maybe if you'd lose a lot of your attitude, you'd start having
> some
> > > positive experiences in your life.

> >
> > Yeah, Larry, get a ten-ten number and start having positive experiences.
> >
> > By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?
>
> She changed her mind. Just like I did.

I would have thought the comparison one which you'd prefer not be made.
Alec Baldwin must have changed his too. You're all people of principle.

Dave K8MN

3504. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 04:37:59 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@att.net>
Message-ID: <HC_79.19342$Ep6.1504738@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51366

Hi Brian,
As Phil points out, the collective opinions and comments from the unwashed masses of FCC regulated users does not mean squat. Apparently, only the wishes of the ARRL BoD and the Newington Crowd are important in FCC policy making regarding amateur radio.

The subband regulation by mode goes something like this:

% of given subbband allocated to mode = (mode bandwidth / subband width) x 100

The narrower the bandwidth of the mode- the less % of the subband allocated.

Eventually darwinian forces would eliminate all modes except spread spectrum.

However, along the way, there would be some interesting times, particulary when voice width and wider data modes are dumped on top of voice SSB.

73,
Clay N4AOX

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.h125icp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:55:44 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > >> The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it receives.
> > >> Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.
>
> >Understood. If I say nothing then how could they know my opinion?
>
> What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

3505. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 05:36:44 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926F1065A25E4elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 51367

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in
news:20020818131952.13843.00000311@mb-mu.aol.com:

> In article <3D5EB52C.559A5C97@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>>> > Ask him for his IP address and hope he can't recall it, then chew
>>> > him out for not knowing it :-)
>>> >
>>> > Cheers,
>>> > Bill K2UNK
>>> >
>>> Hey Larry, what's your IP address?
>>
>>If he's a dial-up connection, the IP address is likely not constant
>>anyway.
>
> Yup, I'm strictly dial-up. I haven't done the cable modem thang yet,
> but I'm thinking about it!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

I never said I wouldn't work Larry, but without a key QSYing to CW would
be a bit tricky. My news server tells me my IP number when I log on, then
I forget it five seconds later.
N3KIP

3506. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 05:56:26 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926F13BCB3B02elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 51368

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in
news:ajm4pirsi1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net:

>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020816221253.22428.00000217@mb-ch.aol.com...
>> In article <ajg54t1195j@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
>> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>> >and (2) the type of people and the methods they
>> >employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the
>> >alleged benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
>> >potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
>>
>> I have come to the conclusion that there's no way to "market" the
>> Morse code or CW to the satisfaction of people who are dedicated to
>> their objections to learning it.
>
> Probably 100% true. BUT, the universe of new hams that hold
> such belief/opinion is NOT 100% and it is that "niche" of new
> hams without such adament dislike for code to whom your
> primary marketing effort should be.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>
>

OK, so you maybe you do get it, but you seem to be saying some variation
of two things, either:

1) I did it (CW testing), so everyone should have to; or
2) You have to develop an interest in CW, if you didn't like it before.

I don't think this stands up. On the first point, I did it, and don't
think others should have to. On the second point, lots of people are
interested in radio, but not in CW, and I just don't see why they have to
get interested in CW. Perhaps it's so you can have someone to work? Is
that the real reason?

N3KIP

3507. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 06:14:58 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926F16E032BACelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 51369

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
news:JIy79.16732$Ke2.1387280@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3D5EB30C.40173A78@ix.netcom.com...
>> Richard McCollum wrote:
>>
>> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
>> > news:20020817012553.22428.00000229@mb-ch.aol.com...
>> > > In article
> <Bwk79.16178$Ep6.1266768@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>> > > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>> > >
>> > > >So far as I know, the 2nd amendment has shown up once, sometime
>> > > >in the '30s, with a decision that reminds one of a graduation
>> > > >speech: what did he say?
>> > > >
>> > > >Like a lot of other things Biblical and Constitutional, what
>> > > >appears to be pretty straight up in 21st Century English may have
>> > > >easily been something else when written -- one of the minor
>> > > >advantages of writing Bibles in dead languages. It avoids things
>> > > >like Salome wanting the head of J the B 'by and by' as she does
>> > > >in the KJV.
>> > >
>> > > >The term 'keep and bear arms' had a meaning a bit different that
>> > > >it means today. If sufficiently motivated I could preach any
>> > > >side of the issue you had in mind but there may not be enough
>> > > >rotted grape juice in existence for some of them.
>> > >
>> > > Dick:
>> > >
>> > > I see. Tell me, then, what's YOUR interpretation of the Second
>> > > Amendment? Do individual citizens have the Right to Keep and Bear
>> > > Arms (private possession, largely unfettered by state/federal
>> > > law), with the right to readily obtain a license to carry a
>> > > firearm (concealed or not) for personal self defense?
>> > >
>> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>> > >
>> >
>> > From a purely historical perspective, i.e. trying to make sense of
>> > just what those who were not going to ratify a constitution without
>> > their pet ideas had in mind, I think all it was intended to

>> > accomplish was to make it clear that it was legal and non-treasonous
>> > for states to have armies. But I don't kid myself that my opinion is
>> > in any way decisive.
>> >
>> > The Bill of Rights was what it took to get the Philadelphia
>> > constitution ratified and a lot of things suffered along the way.
>> > There were, I believe, several more amendments that did not get
>> > ratified. It really does not deserve the deification it has
>> > received.
>> > Great idea, miserable execution.
>>
>> Well, it ain't perfect, but still seems better than anything else in
>> the world.
>>
>> Gun control is using both hands.....
>>
>>
>
> Few things are perfect for more than 92 minutes. The analysis, such as
> it is, is based upon the rest of the amendments and the English history
> behind them. It is really a stretch to believe that people worried
> about quartering soldiers would believe disarmament was even possible
> let alone something to worry about.
>
> You will note that the Seventh Amendment requires the availability of a
> jury trial in civil cases over twenty dollars. Considering the US did
> not mint a dollar until 1803, what did they mean??
>
>
>

I always understood that the dollar as a unit of currency came from some Spanish coins that were in circulation at the time, and which were used by some as a sort of alternative currency in the colonies. If that was really written before the US dollar, then it must be the Spanish coins, which I think were the same as 'pieces of eight' that were meant. There again, I have no idea where I read about that!

3508. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 09:21:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208190121.6965e39a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51370

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h125icp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:55:44 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> >> The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it receives.
> >> Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.
>
> >Understood. If I say nothing then how could they know my opinion?
>
> What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?
>
>
Welp, umm, seems to me that Tom Jefferson had some "opinions on
rulemaking" which have kinda stuck . .

> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

3509. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 11:41:24 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajqoih$hs1$6@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51372
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris

"Roll Call Bobby" <VeroBeachZero@RollCallBobby.net> wrote in message
news:ajp9nc$m3v$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message

Now Walter, you know I have your cell phone number now, don't you?

> > "Cheeta's Brother Lllardasssssssssssssss Lloyd"
> <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote
> > in message news:27e38977.0208161813.e60d711@posting.google.com...
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:<ajirjq$h6c$4@pita.alt.net>...
> > > > "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:uloqcnfnc7nrbf@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even 40
> > > > > > meters has its' spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
> > > > >
> > > > > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
> > > > >
> > > > > phil :)
> > > >
> > > 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.
> > >
> > Thanks for admitting that you have jammed the Liberty Net, Roger.
> >
> > How is posting frequencies admitting jamming, you fucking idiot? Did Mrs.
> Ray
> > Davies, the town drunk, have any children that lived? Or is the old piece
> of shit
> > still giveing blowjobs for $2?
> >
> >
> > > Did you ever go by the call of "Bathroom Man" back in the mid 90's?
> > > Rumor has that you did.
> >
> > No, dumbass, how could I transmit with a Kenwood R-5000 receiver, you
> fucking
> > retard? I know that the guy transmiting as "hillbilly" and "kawasaki" is
> YOU.
> > They've already DFed you, Lardasssssss.
> >
> >
> Poor \ /

Poor Coward, so afraid of me he has to hide.

(517) 230-2262

Newpar DBA Airtouch Cellular in Michigan.

3510. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 11:44:35 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajqoij$hs1$7@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:GwQ79.538$PU5.425@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajo3hs$ns6$3@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:4zr79.312$Xz.46824688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajldvv$uqa$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
> > > Mainland
> > > > > > > United
> > > > > > > > > > > States can
> > > > > > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your little
> > > "Plan"
> > > > > > > the FCC
> > > > > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
> > > > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sarcasm impairment noted, dumbass.
> > > >
> > > > Roger, no, really. I have a 1x3 call.
> > > >
> > > > > > I was one of the few who chose to
> > > > > > keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.
> > > > >
> > > > > Where you peeled potatoes and cleaned latrines?
> > > >
> > > > Actually, I was a forecaster at the Joint Typhoon Weather Center. As a
> > > > senior NCO, no chow hall or latrine duty. But none the less, there is
> > > > nothing wrong with preparing food or keeping one's bathroom clean. I
> > > > suppose you don't like potatos or clean bathrooms?
> > > >
> > > > Bet you had a thing for those
> > > > > Filipino boys that migrated there.
> > > >
> > > > That must have been a Navy thing. I was in the Air Force.
> > > >
> > > > > > You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing
> against
> > > the
> > > > > > door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another sign.
> It
> > > > > > says, "School for Gifted Students."
> > > > >
> > > > > Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."
> > > >
> > > > OK.
> > >
> > > And yet you complain and you still reply to my posts.....what a fucking
retard
> > you are.
>
> Roger, [Whiny excuses]

Save your excuses, APOLOGIST. And you proved my point, see, there's other points
than the one atop your malformed skull.

3511. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 11:46:00 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajqoik$hs1$8@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:VxQ79.539$666.44@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajo3ht$ns6$4@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:0Cr79.316$zD.47035621@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajle0auqad@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > > > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
> Mainland
> > > United
> > > > > > States
> > > > > > can
> > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by
> > > fraudulent
> > > > > > means and
> > > > > > > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC
> sequentially.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe
> you.
> > > Brian
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You shouldn't make statements like:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > > >
> > > >

> > > Its a true statement. Here, allow me to say it again:
> > >
> > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > >
> > > Now play back the part where I said they were in the contiguous 48
> states.
> >
> > You didn't retard, but you inserted it into a conversation where the
> contiguous 48
> > states were being discussed, bleating your uninformed opinions and are
> trying to
> > weasel your way out of it.
>
> Really? Play that part back as well. If you can.

Do you like this music or are you tired dancing to it?

--
Brian Pussy Burke, N0IMD blathered out of his ass in
<17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
"I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

3512. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 11:49:06 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajqoil$hs1$9@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D6001D7.B33E16BC@ix.netcom.com...
> AB8MQ wrote:
>
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the Mainland United
> > > States
> > > > > can
> > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> > > > >
> > > > > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by fraudulent
> > > means and
> > > > > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC sequentially.
> > > >
> > > > Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe you. Brian
> > >
> > > You shouldn't make statements like:
> > >
> > > Brian Pussy Burke, N0IMD blathered out of his ass in
> > > <17249.472$r7.43872464@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
> > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> >
> > Well yes,

They issued them to hams in Alaska, Hawaii, and U.S. Possessions. And that little
give a fake address to the FCC scheme Burke talks about hasn't gone unnoticed by
the FCC.

>but the FCC ran out of the style of 2x2 calls that techs and
> generals were to get. Now they get novice 2x3's unless they do the
> vanity call thing. IIRC, KV4FZ would be a style of call techs could
> have, but not AB8MQ style calls. Those are for extras.

That's right. When I first got my license in 1998, I had a 2x3 callsign.
Then I changed it sequentially to a 2x2 after I passed my Extra in 2002.

3513. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 12:38:41 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lF589.19658$Ep6.1536304@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51376

"Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926F16E032BACelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
> news:JIy79.16732$Ke2.1387280@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:
> >
> > You will note that the Seventh Amendment requires the availability of
a
> > jury trial in civil cases over twenty dollars. Considering the US did
> > not mint a dollar until 1803, what did they mean??
> >
> >
> >
>
> I always understood that the dollar as a unit of currency came from some
> Spanish coins that were in circulation at the time, and which were used
by
> some as a sort of alternative currency in the colonies. If that was
really
> written before the US dollar, then it must be the Spanish coins, which I
> think were the same as 'pieces of eight' that were meant. There again, I
> have no idea where I read about that!

Yup, give that man a ceegar. In fact the Spanish Milled Dollar (Thaler)
or 'piece of eight' was so plentiful we really minted our first silver
dollar more for the pride than the necessity. It is also why we have a
quarter-dollar in a decimal currency (which the Treasury spent the 19th
Century trying to stomp out) and call it 'two-bits'. Our monetary history
is fasicinating.

This definition came out of the old Confederation Congress which kind of
got bad-rapped in the history books. They had trouble with small things
but handled the large stuff pretty well. The best example is the
Northwest Territories Act, or why the U.S. west of the Alleghenies is
surveyed in 6x6 mile townships with provision made for public education.
Yes, I know Ohio is 5x5 but the education came late (read never) and they
ran out of fingers. They also got a National Road to French Lick IN, more
or less the current US 50.

3514. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 14:06:10 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208190606.6e82911c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51377

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h125icp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:55:44 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> >> The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it receives.
> >> Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.
>
> >Understood. If I say nothing then how could they know my opinion?
>
> What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Perhaps it should have, at the very least, a partial role in
rulemaking. If I remember correctly, isn't our gov't "for the people,
by the people, and of the people," or something to that effect. I
understand from whom your bread was buttered, Phil...but surely it's
not unreasonable to expect the "will of the people" to have an affect
on rulemaking. Even for such an autonymous branch of the gov't as the
FCC.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3515. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 14:13:20 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208190613.a334258@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51378

radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) wrote in message
news:<20020818193521.17105.00001665@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> Ahh! Bitten by the bug, huh? (Pun intended.) Good for you! I'm willing to bet
> that if you grab a K1 or the OHR 500, you'll find yourself using them allot
> more than you think. I left out the Wilderness Sierra because I'm not too fond
> of plug in modules in a single band rig. Good luck Bert. I bet you'll love it.
> Save a QSL card for me. ;-) Take care and 73.
>
> Mark

I have to admit it. The K1 IS already submitted to my XYL as a
Christmas present request. I do like the Wilderness Sierra and don't
mind changing modules...but the K1 looks so damn good. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3516. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 14:34:56 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208190634.6ebc24c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51379

radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) wrote in message
news:<20020818200928.17105.00001676@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> Good deal. It'll also prep you with a little practice with kit building before
> tackling the K1.

I haven't "stuffed" a PCB since avionics lab in college. I was awfully
proud though when the instructor inspected my board and proclaimed
"Damn fine work, Craig."

> >The added SSB capability's cool, although my weapon of
> >choice is shown below.
> >
> >http://www.mtechnologies.com/palm/
> >
> >Need I say more?! (Yeah, I got the Code Cube keyer too as a back-up
> >and to use with the SST.)
>
> "Gotcha!" cries the code goblin. :-)

Yeah, I just have to apply myself and learn to use a paddle/keyer as
well as kick my code copy into high gear.

> >I took Larry's advice and chose 40 and 20-meters. The SST will be a
> >30-meter model. (Less congested band.) The PC-500 is compact enough
> >for me to travel with.
>
> Sorry, I realized that I never even answered your question before. I concur
> with Larry, 20/40 is a good choice. If you check the Patcomm discussion group
> on Yahoo, you'll find that they're coming out with dual band modules too. It'll
> turn your dual bander into a quad bander. I don't generally like modules, but
> on a dual bander it's ok...not to mention all the extras you get with this rig.
> The rumored dual modules will be 40/30 and 20/17. I personally think 20/15
> would be better.

You'll actually see a post by me asking about them. I think 20/40 will
be sufficient for my needs, but I could definitely live with 40, 30,
20, and 15. ;-)

> Here's a neat reference for you.
>
> http://www.alltel.net/~johnshan/
>
> http://www.hamuniverse.com/hfbands.html
>
> The first one is affiliated with a NJ QRP group and offers some good tips on
> operating with just 5 watts. The second should give you a better idea on what

> to expect from the bands.

Much obliged.

> >Oak Hills Reasearch markets a "wall wart" power
> >supply for QRP rigs that delivers 13.7V @ 2.4A...enough for approx. 5
> >watts output. (But I'm still researching my options re. an adequate
> >power supply.)
>
> That sounds good. If you're no backpacking, perhaps a small switching power
> supply will do the trick. Samlex makes a nice 10 or 11 amp power supply that's
> light as a feather. The plug in "wall wart" along with a pair of Heil
> headphones/mic combos would take up the least amount of space though...and 5
> watts is plenty.

Well, my aim is this...I'm really not interested in QRP, it's just
that QRP gear is the type that's most suited for travel. I have plans
for one small carry-on to carry this rig, a power supply, a LDG QRP
auto-tuner, (The K1 offers an optional internal auto-tuner.) and a
wire antenna. Funny you should mention the Heil headset. I scoped a
few out and cheap they're NOT. I'd love to be able to go portable with
about 14 watts though. The final in this rig is rated at 70 watts so
as to be practically bullet-proof at 15 watts.

> >My aim was a nice portable rig, suitable for travel.
> >The PC-500 just fit the bill
>
> Sounds like you found the right choice for what you want, good deal. Call me
> when you want to start building that K1, Sierra, or OHR 500...it won't be long,
> you know.

Once again, much obliged.

> >...and I got to support a fellow LI ham's
> >business to boot. (I prefer "mom & pop" shops.)
>
> Nice consideration. Makes the rig feel like even more of a value, huh? Although
> for $395, it's already a heck of a value. Take care and 73.

Very true.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3517. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 19:10:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um2giqdiearcdd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51380

I wonder how much the Antique Wireless Association would ask for one of
their 500 watt spark gaps?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> wrote in message
news:HC_79.19342$Ep6.1504738@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Brian,
> As Phil points out, the collective opinions and comments from the
> unwashed masses of FCC regulated users does not mean squat. Apparently,
> only the wishes of the ARRL BoD and the Newington Crowd are important in
FCC
> policy making regarding amateur radio.
>
> The subband regulation by mode goes something like this:
>
> % of given subbband allocated to mode = (mode bandwidth / subband width) x
> 100
>
> The narrower the bandwidth of the mode- the less % of the subband
allocated.
>
> Eventually darwinian forces would eliminate all modes except spread
> spectrum.
>
> However, along the way, there would be some interesting times, particulary
> when voice width and wider data modes are dumped on top of voice SSB.
>
> 73,
> Clay N4AOX
>
>
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.h125icp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:55:44 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >> The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it receives.
> > >> Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.
> >
> > >Understood. If I say nothing then how could they know my opinion?
> >
> > What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?

> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
>
>

3518. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 20:56:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <1Yc89.1980$lr5.230006037@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajqoij$hs1$7@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:GwQ79.538$PU5.425@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ajo3hs$ns6$3@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:4zr79.312$Xz.46824688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:ajldvvuqa2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
> > > > Mainland
> > > > > > United
> > > > > > > > > States can
> > > > > > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your
little
> > > > "Plan"
> > > > > > the FCC
> > > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sarcasm impairment noted, dumbass.
> > > > >
> > > > Roger, no, really. I have a 1x3 call.
> > > >
> > > > > I was one of the few who chose to
> > > > > keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.
> > > > >
> > > > Where you peeled potatoes and cleaned latrines?
> > > >
> > > Actually, I was a forecaster at the Joint Typhoon Weather Center.
As a
> > > senior NCO, no chow hall or latrine duty. But none the less, there
is
> > > nothing wrong with preparing food or keeping one's bathroom clean.
I
> > > suppose you don't like potatos or clean bathrooms?
> > >
> > > Bet you had a thing for those
> > > > Filipino boys that migrated there.
> > >
> > > That must have been a Navy thing. I was in the Air Force.
> > >
> > > > > You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing
> > against
> > > > the
> > > > > door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another
sign.
> > It
> > > > > says, "School for Gifted Students."
> > > > >
> > > > Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."
> > > >
> > > OK.
> > >
> > > And yet you complain and you still reply to my posts.....what a
fucking
> retard
> > > you are.
> >
> > Roger, [Whiny excuses]
>
>
> Save your excuses, APOLOGIST. And you proved my point, see, there's other

points
> than the one atop your malformed skull.
>

Great! We're making progress. A whole new post and not one swear word. Unfortunately, there's no content either. Well, it was worth a try. At least now we know that behind all those dirty words you really don't have anything to say.

Sayonara.

3519. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 20:57:50 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <iZc89.1981$ns5.230050035@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajqoik$hs1$8@pita.alt.net...
> "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:VxQ79.539$666.44@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
news:ajo3ht$ns6$4@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:0Cr79.316$zD.47035621@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:ajle0auqad@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > > > > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
> > Mainland
> > > > > United
> > > > > > States
> > > > > > can
> > > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by
> > > > fraudulent
> > > > > > means and
> > > > > > > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC
> > sequentially.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe
> > you.
> > > > Brian
> > > > >
> > > > > You shouldn't make statements like:
> > > > >
> > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Its a true statement. Here, allow me to say it again:
> > > >
> > > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > > >
> > > > Now play back the part where I said they were in the contiguous 48
> > states.
> > >
> > > You didn't retard, but you inserted it into a conversation where the
> > contiguous 48
> > > states were being discussed, bleating your uninformed opinions and are
> > trying to
> > > weasel your way out of it.
> >
> > Really? Play that part back as well. If you can.
>
> Do you like this music or are you tired dancing to it?

Roger, you had your chance to sat something intelligent, but blew it.

Sayonara.

3520. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 21:04:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <o3d89.1982$Fr5.230002948@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51383

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020818233554.00278.00000152@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article <8FQ79.546$O56.426@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> What purpose does a Ten-Ten number serve? I've managed to operate on
> >> ten for decades without obtaining such a number. I don't have a
160-160
> >> number either.
> >
> >The 10X number probably serves the same purpose as the SMIRK number or
the
> >SWOT number.
> >
> >Does FISTS have numbers? Certainly. Do people give out FISTS numbers?
>
> Yes, FISTS do have numbers, and yes, we do give them out on the
> air. The problem is, FISTS have too steenking many numbers. You have
> your basic FISTS number (mine is 2008), your Century Club number (for
> working 100 points: Domestic member, 1 pt.; DX member, 2 pts.; Club
> station, 3 pts.), and Gold, Diamond, and Platinum numbers for higher
> levels of the Century Award. It is when you get beyond the basic
> Century Award that it gets boring to have to sit thru all the numbers
during
> each QSO.

Larry, had you ever been in the Army, some needle-nosed field grader would
see you copying all those numbers and say something like, "Good training,
Soldier!"

> >Dunno. If they did would Larry give up CW?
>
> No, he wouldn't, but he gets tired of the FISTS paper-chasers, that's
> for sure!

Fer sher. Have you ever run across anyone who forgot their FISTS number?
Or dou you guys tatoo them on your foreheads?

> >> > Larry--maybe if you'd lose a lot of your attitude, you'd start having
> >some
> >> > positive experiences in your life.
> >>
> >> Yeah, Larry, get a ten-ten number and start having positive
experiences.
> >>

> >> By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?
> >
> >She changed her mind. Just like I did.
>
> No, you both said you were going away forever. Now, we want you to
> make good on your promises!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I'm thinking about catching a hop to Raimey and working the CQWW from there.
Is that far enough away?

3521. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 21:05:49 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <N4d89.1983$ei5.229439608@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51384

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D6074B3.9D192836@earthlink.net...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > Larry prefers to interpret his one-time experiences (such as that
> > described
> > > > by him about the ONE time someone on 10-meters gave him Hell for not
> > > > remembering a 10-10 number) as those that are all indicative of what
is
> > > > common. His paintbrush is probably as broad as any narrow-minded
> > person,
> > > > and he shares Dick's white can of paint.
> > > >
> > > > I've been on 10-meters loads of times, even during 10-10 contests,
and
> > had
> > > > grand times talking to people. Know what happens when I can't
remember
> > my
> > > > 10-10 number? Kind folks come on and have looked it up for me and
give
> > it
> > > > to me over the air.
> > >
> > > What purpose does a Ten-Ten number serve? I've managed to operate on
> > > ten for decades without obtaining such a number. I don't have a
160-160
> > > number either.
> >
> > The 10X number probably serves the same purpose as the SMIRK number or
the
> > SWOT number.
>
> Those are examples of other numbers for which there is no need and which
> I have gotten along fine without. I've had plenty of positive
> experiences without any of the three.
>
> > Does FISTS have numbers? Certainly. Do people give out FISTS numbers?
>
```

> I believe they do have them and give them out. Yet another example of a
> useless number which I've done fine without. I'm still have positive
> experiences.
>
> > Dunno. If they did would Larry give up CW?
>
> Ask Larry.
>
> > > > Larry--maybe if you'd lose a lot of your attitude, you'd start
having
> > some
> > > > positive experiences in your life.
> > >
> > > Yeah, Larry, get a ten-ten number and start having positive
experiences.
> > >
> > > By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?
> >
> > She changed her mind. Just like I did.
>
> I would have thought the comparison one which you'd prefer not be made.
> Alec Baldwin must have changed his too. You're all people of principle.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, do you hold a DXCC number?

3522. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 21:06:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <v5d89.1984$Jw5.230308028@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51385

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020818233555.00278.00000153@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article <jHQ79.548$1Z5.32@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Fine. I've had the same experience on the YLISSB net. Point?
> > >
> > >And we thought you didn't have a 10X number. Or do you have a YL number?
> > >
> > >Brian
>
> I've got a YL number, but I've forgotten it!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

If only it were a YL phone number!

;^) Brian

3523. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 21:10:32 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <c9d89.1985$Dy5.230430816@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51386

"Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926F1065A25E4elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...

> I never said I wouldn't work Larry, but without a key QSYing to CW would
> be a bit tricky. My news server tells me my IP number when I log on, then
> I forget it five seconds later.
> N3KIP

Check with N2EY.

He claims to be quite good at scratching two bare wires together instead of using a key. Unless you hacked into your radio to solder the two wires into the keying circuit, your biggest expense would be the phono plug.

3524. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 21:20:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <oid89.1986$VB5.230622329@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51387

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:lF589.19658$Ep6.1536304@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns926F16E032BACelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
> > news:JIy79.16732$Ke2.1387280@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:
> > >
> > > You will note that the Seventh Amendment requires the availability of
> a
> > > jury trial in civil cases over twenty dollars. Considering the US did
> > > not mint a dollar until 1803, what did they mean??
> > >
> >
> > I always understood that the dollar as a unit of currency came from some
> > Spanish coins that were in circulation at the time, and which were used
> by
> > some as a sort of alternative currency in the colonies. If that was
> really
> > written before the US dollar, then it must be the Spanish coins, which I
> > think were the same as 'pieces of eight' that were meant. There again, I
> > have no idea where I read about that!
>
> Yup, give that man a ceegar. In fact the Spanish Milled Dollar (Thaler)
> or 'piece of eight' was so plentiful we really minted our first silver
> dollar more for the pride than the necessity. It is also why we have a
> quarter-dollar in a decimal currency (which the Treasury spent the 19th
> Century trying to stomp out) and call it 'two-bits'. Our monetary history
> is fasicinating.

So what is 20 of these Thalers worth today?

> This definition came out of the old Confederation Congress which kind of
> got bad-rapped in the history books. They had trouble with small things
> but handled the large stuff pretty well. The best example is the
> Northwest Territories Act, or why the U.S. west of the Alleghenies is
> surveyed in 6x6 mile townships with provision made for public education.
> Yes, I know Ohio is 5x5 but the education came late (read never) and they
> ran out of fingers.

There are numerous survey systems at work in Ohio, the earliest is from
Cincinnati East to the Scioto River.

By the time the surveyors left Ohio, they has a system figured out. Of
course, anything East of Ohio is as screwed up as the Scioto/Mad River

valleys in Ohio.

They also got a National Road to French Lick IN, more
> or less the current US 50.

The "National Road" is Highway 40 in Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois, originating in Cumberland, Maryland and abruptly ending at Vandalia, Illinois. The railroads passed it by. That and the telegraph. Are we talking ancient communication modes again?

3525. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 21:29:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ord89.1987$jy5.230411482@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51388

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h125icp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:55:44 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> >> The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it receives.
> >> Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.
>
> >Understood. If I say nothing then how could they know my opinion?
>
> What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

They asked for it. That is the role it plays.

3526. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 21:31:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ssd89.1988$JJ5.231088282@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51389

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0208190606.6e82911c@posting.google.com...
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h125icp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:55:44 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >> The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it receives.
> > > >> Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.
> >
> > > >Understood. If I say nothing then how could they know my opinion?
> >
> > What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
> Perhaps it should have, at the very least, a partial role in
> rulemaking. If I remember correctly, isn't our gov't "for the people,
> by the people, and of the people," or something to that effect. I
> understand from whom your bread was buttered, Phil...but surely it's
> not unreasonable to expect the "will of the people" to have an affect
> on rulemaking. Even for such an autonymous branch of the gov't as the
> FCC.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

How quickly simple public servants become tyrants. Thus the 2nd amendment.

3527. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 21:37:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <syd89.1989$uN5.231334169@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51390

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818203653.14335.00000176@mb-mj.aol.com...
> In article <um0akut4frch4d@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> writes:
>
> >Jim, I think there should be room for the old modes.
>
> Same here.

I've no problem with old modes. Of course, I've never listened with AM switched in on the ham bands. Perhaps I should.

> >Perhaps in some of the
> >wider bands (heck, FM is permitted on 10), but that is for others to argue.
>
> I disagree!
>
> As N0IMD says, if we leave it for others to argue, we may not like what is
> decided.
>
> Is AM on HF such a problem today that it needs to be restricted? I don't think
> so.

But lets look at 10FM. Why is FM OK there but not on other HF bands? Why don't we have a conprehensive review of the band/mode(bw)/power authorizations and bring them into alignment?

> >Of course, one could play with lower power limits for some of the wide
> >modes, but I'm not sure that would play too well either.
>
> That's already been done.
>
> The "old" (pre-1983) power limit was 1 kW input to the plate (or collector, or
> drain) of the stage(s) driving the antenna. Easy to measure with simple meters,
> and an incentive to efficiency. For the AM folks, it meant they could run 1 kW
> input 100% plate-modulated and get maybe 750 watts carrier and 3 kW PEP output
> (75% efficiency in the Class C final). Various supermodulation schemes could
> get you even more PEP.

>
> Then the rules changed to "1500 W peak output" - which meant the AM folks
could
> no longer run their kWs legally anymore, and efficiency didn't mean beans.
The
> legal limit today for a plate modulated AM rig with 75% efficiency is 500
W
> (375 W carrier, 1500 W PEP).
>
> >One thing for
> >sure, if the various modes were segregated, it might make life easier for
> >all.
>
> The amateur HF AM crowd tends to hang out on a few well-known spot
frequencies
> (3885 is the best known). Makes life simpler for everybody.
>
> > Of course, during contests, all bets are off ;)
>
> Not really. At least during the contests I work, the CW activity clusters
at
> the low end.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I wonder where the FCC is going with the 60M authorization?

Brian

3528. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 22:09:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D616C9C.190809B7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51391

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D6074B3.9D192836@earthlink.net...
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> > > > By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?
> > >
> > > She changed her mind. Just like I did.
> >
> > I would have thought the comparison one which you'd prefer not be made.
> > Alec Baldwin must have changed his too. You're all people of principle.

> Dave, do you hold a DXCC number?

I hold more than one. I've never been asked for a DXCC number during a
QSO. I'd have to look at the certificates on the wall to tell you what
the numbers are. Why--do you know of an on-air activity which involves
exchanging DXCC numbers?

Dave K8MN

3529. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 23:09:49 UTC
From: Walter <Walt@chiefofbarfcomms.net>
Message-ID: <ajrtrkvtr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

```
"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:1Yc89.1980$Ir5.230006037@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajqoij$hs1$7@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:GwQ79.538$PU5.425@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajo3hs$ns6$3@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:4zr79.312$Xz.46824688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajldvv$uqa$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in
message
> > > > > > > > > >
news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in
the
> > > > > Mainland
> > > > > > > > > > > United
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > States can
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your
> little
```

> > > > > "Plan"
> > > > > > > > the FCC
> > > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sarcasm impairment noted, dumbass.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Roger, no, really. I have a 1x3 call.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > I was one of the few who chose to
> > > > > > > > keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Where you peeled potatoes and cleaned latrines?
> > > > >
> > > > > > Actually, I was a forecaster at the Joint Typhoon Weather Center.
> As a
> > > > > senior NCO, no chow hall or latrine duty. But none the less,
there
> is
> > > > > nothing wrong with preparing food or keeping one's bathroom clean.
> I
> > > > > suppose you don't like potatos or clean bathrooms?
> > > > >
> > > > > Bet you had a thing for those
> > > > > > Filipino boys that migrated there.
> > > > >
> > > > > That must have been a Navy thing. I was in the Air Force.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing
> > > against
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another
> sign.
> > > It
> > > > > > > says, "School for Gifted Students."
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."
> > > > > >
> > > > > OK.
> > > > >
> > > > And yet you complain and you still reply to my posts.....what a
> fucking

> > retard
> > > > you are.
> > >
> > > Roger, [Whiny excuses]
> >
> >
> > Save your excuses, APOLOGIST. And you proved my point, see, there's
other
> points
> > than the one atop your malformed skull.
> >
>
> Great! We're making progress. A whole new post and not one swear word.
> Unfortunately, there's no content either. Well, it was worth a try. At
> least now we know that behind all those dirty words you really don't have
> anything to say.
>
> Sayonara.
>
>
Oh but doncha know? AB8MQ a.k.a. Curtains Boy, wants us
to believe he holds not one, not two, but THREE college degrees!!!
hahahahahahahahah LOL! One wonders how much filth and
child pornography Roger included in his Master's thesis?

Walt

3530. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-19 23:13:24 UTC
From: Walter <Walt@chiefofbarfcomms.net>
Message-ID: <ajru2a$l1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

```
"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:iZc89.1981$ns5.230050035@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajqoik$hs1$8@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:VxQ79.539$666.44@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajo3ht$ns6$4@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:0Cr79.316$zD.47035621@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajle0a$uqa$d@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > > > > > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
> > > Mainland
> > > > > > > United
> > > > > > > > States
> > > > > > > > can
> > > > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2
by
> > > > > > fraudulent
> > > > > > > > means and
> > > > > > > > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC
> > > sequentially.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll
believe
> > > you.
```

> > > > > Brian
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You shouldn't make statements like:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Its a true statement. Here, allow me to say it again:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now play back the part where I said they were in the contiguous 48
> > > states.
> > > > >
> > > > > You didn't retard, but you inserted it into a conversation where the
> > > contiguous 48
> > > > states were being discussed, bleating your uninformed opinions and
are
> > > trying to
> > > > weasel your way out of it.
> > >
> > > Really? Play that part back as well. If you can.
> >
> > Do you like this music or are you tired dancing to it?
>
> Roger, you had your chance to sat something intelligent, but blew it.
>
> Sayonara.
>
>
Intelligence? Roger? A classic example of an oxymoron.
Or in Roger's case, just a plain vanilla moron.

Walt

3531. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 00:06:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020819200645.11643.00000223@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 51394

In article <syd89.1989$uN5.231334169@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>I've no problem with old modes. Of course, I've never listened with AM
>switched in on the ham bands. Perhaps I should.

The AM crowd ranges from extremely well-behaved to embarrassing. Most are well behaved.

>> >Perhaps in some of the
>> >wider bands (heck, FM is permitted on 10), but that is for others to
>>argue.
>>
>> I disagree!
>>
>> As N0IMD says, if we leave it for others to argue, we may not like what is
>> decided.
>>
>> Is AM on HF such a problem today that it needs to be restricted? I don't
>>think so.
>
>But lets look at 10FM. Why is FM OK there but not on other HF bands?

You are mistaken. Check the regs.

Last time I looked, FM voice was allowed everywhere on the US amateur HF bands that AM and SSB are allowed - IF the deviation is adjusted so that the FM voice signal occupies the same bandwidth as an equivalent AM signal. Hams were using "NBFM" on the HF ham bands more than 50 years ago, and it's still legal.

The FM you hear on the top end of 10 meters is much wider than the equivalent AM signal. It's essentially the same as the FM you hear on VHF/UHF. 10 is so wide that there's room for it. They put the allocation at the top to keep WBFM away from SSB at the low end, and to make the use of converted "low-band" (30-50 MHz) land mobile FM rigs easier.

>Why
>don't we have a conprehensive review of the band/mode(bw)/power
>authorizations and bring them into alignment?

Into alignment with what?

>> >Of course, one could play with lower power limits for some of the wide
>> >modes, but I'm not sure that would play too well either.
>>
>> That's already been done.
>>

>> The "old" (pre-1983) power limit was 1 kW input to the plate (or
>>collector, or
>> drain) of the stage(s) driving the antenna. Easy to measure with simple
>>meters,
>> and an incentive to efficiency. For the AM folks, it meant they could run
>>1 kW
>> input 100% plate-modulated and get maybe 750 watts carrier and 3 kW PEP
>>output
>> (75% efficiency in the Class C final). Various supermodulation schemes
>>could
>> get you even more PEP.
>>
>> Then the rules changed to "1500 W peak output" - which meant the AM folks
>could
>> no longer run their kWs legally anymore, and efficiency didn't mean beans.
>>The
>> legal limit today for a plate modulated AM rig with 75% efficiency is 500
>>W
>> (375 W carrier, 1500 W PEP).
>>
>> >One thing for
>> >sure, if the various modes were segregated, it might make life easier for
>> >all.
>>
>> The amateur HF AM crowd tends to hang out on a few well-known spot
>>frequencies
>> (3885 is the best known). Makes life simpler for everybody.
>>
>> > Of course, during contests, all bets are off ;)
>>
>> Not really. At least during the contests I work, the CW activity clusters
>>at
>> the low end.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>>I wonder where the FCC is going with the 60M authorization?

Those wheels turn slowly. Remember how long it took FCC to decide "three
license classes open to new applicants, one codetest speed"?

Haven't heard a peep from FCC about the 160 m petition, nor the "Novice band
refarming" thing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3532. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 00:50:54 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <ajs3osbrs0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:1Yc89.1980$Ir5.230006037@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajqoij$hs1$7@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:GwQ79.538$PU5.425@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajo3hs$ns6$3@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:4zr79.312$Xz.46824688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajldvvuqa2@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > > > And yet you complain and you still reply to my posts........what a
> fucking
> > > retard
> > > > you are.
> > > >
> > > Roger, [Whiny excuses]
> > >
> > >
> > Save your excuses, APOLOGIST. And you proved my point, see, there's
other
> points
> > than the one atop your malformed skull.
> > >
>
> Great! We're making progress. A whole new post and not one swear word.
> Unfortunately, there's no content either. Well, it was worth a try. At
> least now we know that behind all those dirty words you really don't have
> anything to say.
>
> Sayonara.
>
OOoooooohhhhh! You got burned on this one, Rogie!
Muahhhhahahahahahahahahah!!!!

3533. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 00:51:56 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Mog89.1072$262.110253155@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51396

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D616C9C.190809B7@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D6074B3.9D192836@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net...
> > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > > > > By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?
> > > > >
> > > > She changed her mind. Just like I did.
> > > >
> > > I would have thought the comparison one which you'd prefer not be
made.
> > > Alec Baldwin must have changed his too. You're all people of
principle.
>
> > Dave, do you hold a DXCC number?
>
> I hold more than one. I've never been asked for a DXCC number during a
> QSO. I'd have to look at the certificates on the wall to tell you what
> the numbers are. Why--do you know of an on-air activity which involves
> exchanging DXCC numbers?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, I was just wondering if it were another useless number. You say
you've never used it on the air, but what about off the air? Have you ever
had to give it out for anything at all? a loan application? a room
reservation?

Brian/N0iMD

3534. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 00:57:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ptg89.1074$Q92.110483714@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51397

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020819200645.11643.00000223@mb-mb.aol.com...
> In article <syd89.1989$uN5.231334169@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >I've no problem with old modes. Of course, I've never listened with AM
> >switched in on the ham bands. Perhaps I should.
>
> The AM crowd ranges from extremely well-behaved to embarrassing. Most are
well
> behaved.

That would be true for just about any mode.

> >> >Perhaps in some of the
> >> >wider bands (heck, FM is permitted on 10), but that is for others to
> >>argue.
> >>
> >> I disagree!
> >>
> >> As N0IMD says, if we leave it for others to argue, we may not like what
is
> >> decided.
> >>
> >> Is AM on HF such a problem today that it needs to be restricted? I
don't
> >>think so.
> >
> >But lets look at 10FM. Why is FM OK there but not on other HF bands?
>
> You are mistaken. Check the regs.
>
> Last time I looked, FM voice was allowed everywhere on the US amateur HF
bands
> that AM and SSB are allowed - IF the deviation is adjusted so that the FM
voice
> signal occupies the same bandwidth as an equivalent AM signal. Hams were
using
> "NBFM" on the HF ham bands more than 50 years ago, and it's still legal.
>
> The FM you hear on the top end of 10 meters is much wider than the
equivalent
> AM signal. It's essentially the same as the FM you hear on VHF/UHF. 10 is
so
> wide that there's room for it. They put the allocation at the top to keep

WBFM
> away from SSB at the low end, and to make the use of converted "low-band"
> (30-50 MHz) land mobile FM rigs easier.

Sounds good. Makes sense, too.

> >Why
> >don't we have a conprehensive review of the band/mode(bw)/power
> >authorizations and bring them into alignment?
>
> Into alignment with what?

Such as, is there room for wider modes on 15M, 160M?

> >> >Of course, one could play with lower power limits for some of the wide
> >> >modes, but I'm not sure that would play too well either.
> >>
> >> That's already been done.
> >>
> >> The "old" (pre-1983) power limit was 1 kW input to the plate (or
> >>collector, or
> >> drain) of the stage(s) driving the antenna. Easy to measure with simple
> >>meters,
> >> and an incentive to efficiency. For the AM folks, it meant they could
run
> >>1 kW
> >> input 100% plate-modulated and get maybe 750 watts carrier and 3 kW PEP
> >>output
> >> (75% efficiency in the Class C final). Various supermodulation schemes
> >>could
> >> get you even more PEP.
> >>
> >> Then the rules changed to "1500 W peak output" - which meant the AM
folks
> >could
> >> no longer run their kWs legally anymore, and efficiency didn't mean
beans.
> >>The
> >> legal limit today for a plate modulated AM rig with 75% efficiency is
500
> >>W
> >> (375 W carrier, 1500 W PEP).
> >>
> >> >One thing for
> >> >sure, if the various modes were segregated, it might make life easier
for
> >> >all.
> >>
> >> The amateur HF AM crowd tends to hang out on a few well-known spot
> >>frequencies
> >> (3885 is the best known). Makes life simpler for everybody.

> >>
> >> > Of course, during contests, all bets are off ;)
> >>
> >> Not really. At least during the contests I work, the CW activity
clusters
> >>at
> >> the low end.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >>I wonder where the FCC is going with the 60M authorization?
>
> Those wheels turn slowly. Remember how long it took FCC to decide "three
> license classes open to new applicants, one codetest speed"?
>
> Haven't heard a peep from FCC about the 160 m petition, nor the "Novice
band
> refarming" thing.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Nada!

Brian

3535. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 01:07:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020819210713.11404.00000105@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 51398

In article <HC_79.19342$Ep6.1504738@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> writes:

>Apparently,
>only the wishes of the ARRL BoD and the Newington Crowd are important in FCC
>policy making regarding amateur radio.

Really? Let's take a look at what the ARRL BoD wanted for 98-143 (restructuring) and what the FCC actually did:

BoD: Allow Techs to use Morse on HF without a code test.
FCC: Denied

BoD: Give existing Novices and Tech Pluses instant upgrade to General.
FCC: Denied

BoD: "Refarm" existing Novice/Tech Plus subbands to 'phone.
FCC: Denied

BoD: Better written tests.
FCC: Denied. Number of tests reduced from 5 to 3, total questions reduced from 185 to 120. (more than 1/3)

BoD: Four classes of license, no legacy classes.
FCC: Three classes of license open to all, two classes closed to new licenses, one class that's not a class (Tech with HF)

BoD: Two code test speeds (5 and 12 wpm)
FCC: One code test speed (5 wpm)

Looks like the FCC either denied a BoD idea, or adopted it and went even farther than the BoD requested.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3536. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 02:11:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020819221116.08685.00000415@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51399

In article <v5d89.1984$Jw5.230308028@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> I've got a YL number, but I've forgotten it!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>If only it were a YL phone number!

I never forgot those! BTW, I now know what my IP address is. I found out at http://www.whatismyip.com.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3537. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 02:11:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020819221116.08685.00000414@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51400

In article <3KQ79.552$tE5.147@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> I mean, the person who is simply content to hook up the computer and use
>> it, without any idea of what is actually happening, is the computer
>> equivalent of the appliance operator, only using SSB, to ragchew with
>> their buddys.
>
>Mike, similarly, what does mashing an on-off switch in the CW mode have to
>do with imparting any knowledge of radio operation or theory?

Brian:

Whom ever claimed that operating CW imparts knowledge of radio
operation or theory? Most intelligent, knowledgeable hams understand
that there is a difference between knowledge of operational communicative
skills (such as Morse code) and the technical aspects of radio theory.
Of course, all communicative skills ultimately depend on the technical
knowledge. Us CW operators understand that, and do not consider the
two forms of knowledge to be anything but inseparably related to each
other.

If you don't know the Morse code, you cannot employ one of amateur
radio's most effective communication modes. This alone is reason enough
to require the acquisition of this knowledge.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3538. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 03:02:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D61B146.18A92E78@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51501

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D616C9C.190809B7@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D6074B3.9D192836@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?
> > > > > >
> > > > > She changed her mind. Just like I did.
> > > > >
> > > > I would have thought the comparison one which you'd prefer not be
> made.
> > > > Alec Baldwin must have changed his too. You're all people of
> principle.
> >
> > > Dave, do you hold a DXCC number?
> >
> > I hold more than one. I've never been asked for a DXCC number during a
> > QSO. I'd have to look at the certificates on the wall to tell you what
> > the numbers are. Why--do you know of an on-air activity which involves
> > exchanging DXCC numbers?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, I was just wondering if it were another useless number. You say
> you've never used it on the air, but what about off the air? Have you ever
> had to give it out for anything at all? a loan application? a room
> reservation?

Nope. That's the wonderful thing about it. I don't have to retain it
in memory or use it for anything at all. I don't know of anyone who
"collects" DXCC numbers and I don't believe there are any awards for
amassing a quantity of DXCC numbers. It's the kind of number I can live
with.

You should already know all of this. You're a DXer--right?

Dave K8MN

3539. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 03:41:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D61E4AD.2090305@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51502

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I never forgot those! BTW, I now know what my IP address is. I found out
> at http://www.whatismyip.com.

There ya go. We'll turn you into a web weenie yet! ;^) Though you may never forgive us. Now get Ad-Aware if you don't already have it. It's a freebie that is worth it's weight in gold. I don't know exactly how much a bit weighs...

- Mike KB3EIA -

3540. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 03:53:14 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926FF2FC8F45elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 51503

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in
news:c9d89.1985$Dy5.230430816@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com:

>
> "Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns926F1065A25E4elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
>
>> I never said I wouldn't work Larry, but without a key QSYing to CW
>> would be a bit tricky. My news server tells me my IP number when I log
>> on, then I forget it five seconds later.
>> N3KIP
>
> Check with N2EY.
>
> He claims to be quite good at scratching two bare wires together
> instead of using a key. Unless you hacked into your radio to solder
> the two wires into the keying circuit, your biggest expense would be
> the phono plug.
>
>

I guess my urge to work CW is just not quite strong enough to shell out
for a phono plug!

3541. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 04:03:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <mcj89.14201$LO1.1146060@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51504

```
----- Original Message -----
From: "Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>

>
> I guess my urge to work CW is just not quite strong enough to shell out
> for a phono plug!
>

Hey, I'd be happy to tell all you guys how much fun CW operation is, but
y'all would go there . . . and it would get too crowded . . . and then I'd
regret that I told you . . . so I'm not telling you, even if you pull my
fingernails out with pliers.

Oops . . .
```

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

3542. Forgive them, for they know not what they do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 04:35:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <HGj89.14269$LO1.1151161@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51505

KB4PGC, a Virginia amateur apparently educated far beyond his intelligence level, is proposing (RM-10521) that NON-LICENSED citizens from the UK who are visiting in the US should be allowed use of the 70cm amateur band for personal communications, similar to our FRS service. The primary premise of his proposal is that these foreigners are bringing their "PMR 446" radios to our shores and using them illegally anyhow, so we might as well just make this "UHF Freebanding" legal.

Gimme a break! Horseshoe7 must love this guy.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF propagation. There are several perfectly sound technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

3543. Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 04:42:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <PMj89.14278$LO1.1151299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51506

Following is a verbatim excerpt of an FCC letter, dated August 9, 2002 from the FCC to lawyers representing Reliant Energy, a Texas power utility.

"Your interpretation of Reliant's obligation with respect to the Amateur Radio Service is that Amateur stations are not part of any "service", and that the obligation to avoid interference to a "radiocommunication service" does not include Amateur Radio stations, since individual Amateur Radio stations constitute a "use" but not a "service".

The attempted distinction is baseless. The Amateur Service is "a radiocommunication service for the purpose of self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations carried out by amateurs; that is, duly authorized persons interested in radio technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest." 47 C.F.R. &#65533;97.3(a)(5). Individual licensed stations are each part of this Service."

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF propagation. There are several perfectly sound technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

3544. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 11:04:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Omp89.1216$AB6.127847628@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51507

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D61B146.18A92E78@earthlink.net...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D616C9C.190809B7@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D6074B3.9D192836@earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > She changed her mind. Just like I did.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I would have thought the comparison one which you'd prefer not be
> > made.
> > > > > > Alec Baldwin must have changed his too. You're all people of
> > principle.
> > > >
> > > > Dave, do you hold a DXCC number?
> > > >
> > > I hold more than one. I've never been asked for a DXCC number during
a
> > > QSO. I'd have to look at the certificates on the wall to tell you
what
> > > the numbers are. Why--do you know of an on-air activity which
involves
> > > exchanging DXCC numbers?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, I was just wondering if it were another useless number. You say
> > you've never used it on the air, but what about off the air? Have you
ever
> > had to give it out for anything at all? a loan application? a room
> > reservation?
>
```

> Nope. That's the wonderful thing about it. I don't have to retain it
> in memory or use it for anything at all. I don't know of anyone who
> "collects" DXCC numbers and I don't believe there are any awards for
> amassing a quantity of DXCC numbers. It's the kind of number I can live
> with.

Dave, it sounds like just another useless number to me.

> You should already know all of this. You're a DXer--right?
>
> Dave K8MN

Yes Dave, I know this. That's why you could have just said I was being
silly, and have been right.

3545. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 11:05:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6op89.1217$_B6.127874251@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51508

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3D61E4AD.2090305@adelphia.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>
> > I never forgot those! BTW, I now know what my IP address is. I found
out
> > at http://www.whatismyip.com.
>
> There ya go. We'll turn you into a web weenie yet! ;^) Though you may
> never forgive us. Now get Ad-Aware if you don't already have it. It's a
> freebie that is worth it's weight in gold. I don't know exactly how much
> a bit weighs...
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, a bucket of bits harly weights more than the bucket itself. It all
depends on atmospheric pressure and humidity.

3546. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 11:07:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rpp89.1218$jA6.127777940@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51509

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020819221116.08685.00000414@mb-mq.aol.com...
> In article <3KQ79.552$tE5.147@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> I mean, the person who is simply content to hook up the computer and
use
> >> it, without any idea of what is actually happening, is the computer
> >> equivalent of the appliance operator, only using SSB, to ragchew with
> >> their buddys.
> >
> >Mike, similarly, what does mashing an on-off switch in the CW mode have
to
> >do with imparting any knowledge of radio operation or theory?
>
> Brian:
>
> Whom ever claimed that operating CW imparts knowledge of radio
> operation or theory? Most intelligent, knowledgeable hams understand
> that there is a difference between knowledge of operational communicative
> skills (such as Morse code) and the technical aspects of radio theory.
> Of course, all communicative skills ultimately depend on the technical
> knowledge. Us CW operators understand that, and do not consider the
> two forms of knowledge to be anything but inseparably related to each
> other.
>
> If you don't know the Morse code, you cannot employ one of amateur
> radio's most effective communication modes. This alone is reason enough
> to require the acquisition of this knowledge.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Then you can no longer claim that there is not a meaningful exam for the
amateur radio service.

3547. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 11:08:08 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sqp89.1219$AB6.127847628@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51510

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:mcj89.14201$LO1.1146060@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
>
> >
> > I guess my urge to work CW is just not quite strong enough to shell out
> > for a phono plug!
> >
>
> Hey, I'd be happy to tell all you guys how much fun CW operation is, but
> y'all would go there . . . and it would get too crowded . . . and then I'd
> regret that I told you . . . so I'm not telling you, even if you pull my
> fingernails out with pliers.

Hans, see you there in 2027.

> Oops . . .
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
>
>
>

3548. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 11:50:38 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajtdmk$en1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:1Yc89.1980$Ir5.230006037@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajqoij$hs1$7@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:GwQ79.538$PU5.425@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajo3hs$ns6$3@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:4zr79.312$Xz.46824688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajldvvuqa2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
> > > > > > Mainland
> > > > > > > > United
> > > > > > > > > > > > > States can
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your
> > > > > little
> > > > > > > > "Plan"
> > > > > > > > > > > > > the FCC
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > and

> > > > > > > > > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sarcasm impairment noted, dumbass.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Roger, no, really. I have a 1x3 call.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I was one of the few who chose to
> > > > > > keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Where you peeled potatoes and cleaned latrines?
> > > > >
> > > > Actually, I was a forecaster at the Joint Typhoon Weather Center.
> As a
> > > > senior NCO, no chow hall or latrine duty. But none the less, there
> is
> > > > nothing wrong with preparing food or keeping one's bathroom clean.
> I
> > > > suppose you don't like potatos or clean bathrooms?
> > > >
> > > Bet you had a thing for those
> > > > Filipino boys that migrated there.
> > > >
> > > That must have been a Navy thing. I was in the Air Force.
> > > >
> > > > > > > You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing
> > > against
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another
> sign.
> > > It
> > > > > > says, "School for Gifted Students."
> > > > > >
> > > > > Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."
> > > > >
> > > > OK.
> > > >
> > > And yet you complain and you still reply to my posts.....what a
> fucking
> > retard
> > > > you are.
> > >
> > Roger, [Whiny excuses]

> >
> >
> > Save your excuses, APOLOGIST. And you proved my point, see, there's other
> >points than the one atop your malformed skull.
> >
>
> Great! [More sniveling and whining]

Oh, did your new dildo catalogue finally arrive yesterday?
And your admission you don't know what the fuck you are talking about, and have a pointed head, noted, asshole Burke.

3549. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 11:54:33 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajtdml$en1$1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cocksucker.john-kimball,alt.activism.children

"Walter" <Walt@chiefofbarfcomms.net> wrote in message
news:ajrtrkvtr0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:1Yc89.1980$Ir5.230006037@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajqoij$hs1$7@pita.alt.net...
> > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:GwQ79.538$PU5.425@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:ajo3hs$ns6$3@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:4zr79.312$Xz.46824688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajldvvuqa2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:7qc79.1256$8p.127082774@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ajirjl$h6c$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:jVM69.3060$nk4.60258443@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:ajg3td$i5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in
> message
> > > > > > > > >
> > news:syC69.2934$K12.51753254@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:ajdkho$6b7$5@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in
> the
> > > > > > Mainland
> > > > > > > United
> > > > > > > > > > States can
> > > > > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > If only I had a brain.....[More lies and buillshit]

> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Burke, you are one stupid fuck. And I'll send in your
> > little
> > > > > > "Plan"
> > > > > > > > the FCC
> > > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > we'll see how you can squirm your way out it.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Indeed, if only you had a brain.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Roger, you're years late and dollars short.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Oh, you never did have a brain, 2x2 tech/general boy?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Roger, I have a 1x3 call, not a 2x2 call.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sarcasm impairment noted, dumbass.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Roger, no, really. I have a 1x3 call.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I was one of the few who chose to
> > > > > > > keep his stateside callsign when I was stationed on Guam.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Where you peeled potatoes and cleaned latrines?
> > > > >
> > > > > Actually, I was a forecaster at the Joint Typhoon Weather Center.
> > As a
> > > > > senior NCO, no chow hall or latrine duty. But none the less,
> there
> > is
> > > > > nothing wrong with preparing food or keeping one's bathroom clean.
> > I
> > > > > suppose you don't like potatos or clean bathrooms?
> > > > >
> > > > > Bet you had a thing for those
> > > > > > Filipino boys that migrated there.
> > > > >
> > > > > That must have been a Navy thing. I was in the Air Force.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > You remind me of the Farside cartoon where the boy is pushing
> > > > against
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > > door that has a "Pull" sign on it. Above the door is another
> > sign.
> > > > It
> > > > > > says, "School for Gifted Students."
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Mheh. You remind me of "Forrest Gump."
> > > > >
> > > > > OK.

> > > > >
> > > > > And yet you complain and you still reply to my posts.....what a
> > fucking
> > > retard
> > > > > you are.
> > > > >
> > > > Roger, [Whiny excuses]
> > >
> > >
> > > Save your excuses, APOLOGIST. And you proved my point, see, there's
> other
> > points
> > > than the one atop your malformed skull.
> > >
> >
> > Great! {more sniveling and crying form the PUSSY Tech plus only Burke]
> >
> Oh but doncha know? AB8MQ a.k.a. Curtains Boy, wants us
> to believe he holds not one, not two, but THREE college degrees!!!
> hahahahahahahahah LOL! One wonders how much filth and
> child pornography Roger included in his Master's thesis?

Walt is interested in Child pornography so send him some at:

5430 Carmody Rd
Coloma, MI 49038-9742

3550. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 12:09:43 UTC
From: AB8MQ <ab8mq@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ajtdms$en1$5@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

```
"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:iZc89.1981$ns5.230050035@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message news:ajqoik$hs1$8@pita.alt.net...
> > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:VxQ79.539$666.44@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:ajo3ht$ns6$4@pita.alt.net...
> > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:0Cr79.316$zD.47035621@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ajle0a$uqa$d@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > "Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:frc79.1257$Bs.127290520@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ajirjn$h6c$2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > > > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:3D5C3416.748ED205@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > > > > > > AB8MQ wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Hey Burke, tell us how Technicians and Generals in the
> > > Mainland
> > > > > > > United
> > > > > > > States
> > > > > > > can
> > > > > > > > > get 2x2 calls again.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Upgrade to "extra".....
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Right, but he was saying that Techs/Generals could get 2x2 by
> > > > > > fraudulent
> > > > > > means and
> > > > > > > > that they could get them in the mainland US from the FCC
> > > sequentially.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Roger, is that what I said? Play it back for me so I'll believe
> > > you.
> > > > > > Brian
> > > > > >
```

> > > > > > You shouldn't make statements like:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's."
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Its a true statement. Here, allow me to say it again:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I've known plenty of Tech's and Generals with 2x2's.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Now play back the part where I said they were in the contiguous 48
> > > states.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You didn't retard, but you inserted it into a conversation where the
> > > contiguous 48
> > > > > > states were being discussed, bleating your uninformed opinions and are
> > > trying to
> > > > > > weasel your way out of it.
> > > >
> > > Really? Play that part back as well. If you can.
> >
> > Do you like this music or are you tired dancing to it?
>
> Roger, you had your chance to sat something intelligent, but blew it.
^^

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
The "brain trust" Burke fucks up again.

--
"Brian Pussy Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> had the chance to sat <sic> something
intelligent, but blew it and went to blow his little brother in message
<iZc89.1981$ns5.230050035@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>:
"Roger, you had your chance to sat something intelligent, but blew it."

3551. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 14:31:50 UTC
From: John Kimball <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hlk4mucbnp1v9ls9usuatjgjafhf10nc1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 51514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

On Mon, 19 Aug 2002 19:09:49 -0400, "Walter" <Walt@chiefofbarfcomms.net>
Blew their wad in Message id: <ajrtrkvtr0@pita.alt.net>:

> Oh but doncha know? AB8MQ a.k.a. Curtains Boy, wants us
>to believe he holds not one, not two, but THREE college degrees!!!

I wonder how many matchbook covers he had to fill out?

--
"What's "incase," you uttley ignorant fuckwit?
Don't you mean "in case"? You don't know what words mena, do you?
Or is that beacuse your head is "encased" in cement?"

Uttley <sic> ignorant fuckwit Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@alt.net> wins the
trifecta and doesn't know what words mena <sic> beacuse <sic> his head
is encased in cement in Message-ID: <ajoaos$6le$p@pita.alt.net>

3552. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 14:55:53 UTC
From: kinerm125@cs.com
Message-ID: <3e64731f.0208200655.64f813f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51515

Ryan,

The RCI-5054 is a good deal for an all-mode rig on 6, and as others point out its among the very few choices for a single-bander. At the time I bought my first 6 meter radio the only reasonably priced choice was the Yaesu FT-690, now discontinued. And that rig doesn't have AM. If Ranger had been producing the 5054 four years ago I would have bought it in an instant. It appears RCI is trying to rehabilitate its image somewhat with their new 10/12 meter rigs. They're advertising in QST for those and for the 5054, and I believe I read that the 10/12 rigs are difficult (if not impossible) to modify for 11 meters. Also if I remember correctly the original RCI-2950 has been discontinued.

Matt N3SOZ

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message news:<aj8dkf7i38@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> Bert, I have the RCI-5054, which I didn't see on that list, and from all of
> those people I have chatted with on 6 meters, they all have said I have an
> excellent signal. Even when someone used the CW portion of the rig and made
> a contact. Nice rich full sounding signal in all modes. 25w cw/ssb and 10w
> fm/am is all it does too, which the reports I get are surprising based on
> those figures.
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
> >
> > Kinda odd, eh Steve? I use one on 10 all the time and don't receive any
> flak
> > when asked what rig I'm using. I actually get pretty good signal and audio
> > reports. Like I said, odd.
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI

3553. Re: USA : a country of old-farts CW operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 15:46:43 UTC
From: John Kimball <johnkimb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <k0p4mu44j9eqlc3vvg8najdo934jiirl46@4ax.com>
Source record: 51516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.tv.night-rider

On Tue, 20 Aug 2002 08:09:43 -0400, "AB8MQ" <ab8mq@alt.net> Blew their wad in Message id: <ajtdms$en1$5@pita.alt.net>:

>BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
>The "brain trust" Burke fucks up again.

Explain this, you "uttley" ignorant fuckwit:

--
"What's "incase," you uttley ignorant fuckwit?
Don't you mean "in case"? You don't know what words mena, do you?
Or is that beacuse your head is "encased" in cement?"

Uttley <sic> ignorant fuckwit Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@alt.net> wins the trifecta and doesn't know what words mena <sic> beacuse <sic> his head is encased in cement in Message-ID: <ajoaos$6le$p@pita.alt.net>

3554. Re: Forgive them, for they know not what they do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 16:41:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020820124159.06523.00000343@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 51517

In article <HGj89.14269$LO1.1151161@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

Goat? Naaw. Lamb. Preferably a nice, young, fluffy, tender one, ripped right out of the arms of a 5-year old girl at the local petting zoo. Lash it upside down to the tower, place a bucket under it's head, and slash the jugular quick and deep. As soon as the bleating stops, the deceased should then be honorably butchered, and roasted over a very slow fire and consumed with ample quantities of mint jelly. Correct observance of this ritual will reduce your SWR on all bands.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3555. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 16:42:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020820124200.06523.00000344@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 51518

In article <rpp89.1218$jA6.127777940@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Whom ever claimed that operating CW imparts knowledge of radio
>> operation or theory? Most intelligent, knowledgeable hams understand
>> that there is a difference between knowledge of operational communicative
>> skills (such as Morse code) and the technical aspects of radio theory.
>> Of course, all communicative skills ultimately depend on the technical
>> knowledge. Us CW operators understand that, and do not consider the
>> two forms of knowledge to be anything but inseparably related to each
>> other.
>>
>> If you don't know the Morse code, you cannot employ one of amateur
>> radio's most effective communication modes. This alone is reason enough
>> to require the acquisition of this knowledge.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Then you can no longer claim that there is not a meaningful exam for the
>amateur radio service.

Well, Brian, I don't recall making that claim, either. The present requirements
for code testing have been dumbed-down, but the theory/written portions are /_marginally_/ "meaningful" in your sense of the word. I would also prefer some
kind of "hands on" practical test, such as being shown to a workbench set up at the test site, and be required to assemble a simple circuit such as a one IC transmitter, using a fairly standard schematic and cheap, readily-available components. Then the student could take a table full of typical station components (transceiver, power supply, TNC, PC, antenna tuner, antenna (coax lead in) and ground, and hook the up into an on-the-spot functional station. For extra credit, he/she could plug in a CW key or fire up the computer and make a non-voice QSO right there on the spot.
A voice QSO would count for zero points (just to annoy you!).

73 de Larry, K3LT

3556. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 17:15:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um4u8jrreg2c04@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51519

Not the 2nd amendment, the Golden Rule: Do unto others before they do unto
you!
;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - we've got the best government that money can buy.

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:Ssd89.1988$JJ5.231088282@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:b7d1465c.0208190606.6e82911c@posting.google.com...
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h125icp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > > On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 23:55:44 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >> The FCC is not obligated to go along with any comment it
receives.
> > > >> Rulemaking is not a popularity vote.
> > >
> > > >Understood. If I say nothing then how could they know my opinion?
> > >
> > > What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?
> > >
> > > ---
> > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
> > Perhaps it should have, at the very least, a partial role in
> > rulemaking. If I remember correctly, isn't our gov't "for the people,
> > by the people, and of the people," or something to that effect. I
> > understand from whom your bread was buttered, Phil...but surely it's
> > not unreasonable to expect the "will of the people" to have an affect
> > on rulemaking. Even for such an autonymous branch of the gov't as the
> > FCC.
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
> How quickly simple public servants become tyrants. Thus the 2nd
amendment.
>
>

3557. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 17:59:05 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h15bxv0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51521

On 19 Aug 2002 02:21:17 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:

>> What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?
>>
>>
>Welp, umm, seems to me that Tom Jefferson had some "opinions on
>rulemaking" which have kinda stuck . .

Under what Chairman did Tom Jefferson serve as a Commissioner? Or
do you mean Tom Jefferson the building maintenance man?

You have obviouslt never fully tracked a rulemaking fromn staff
inception through review to finality.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3558. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 17:59:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h15c841.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51520

On Mon, 19 Aug 2002 04:37:59 GMT, Clay N4AOX wrote:

> As Phil points out, the collective opinions and comments from the
>unwashed masses of FCC regulated users does not mean squat. Apparently,
>only the wishes of the ARRL BoD and the Newington Crowd are important in FCC
>policy making regarding amateur radio.

Those of us in ARRL that have anything to do with the FCC in
rulemaking matters fervently wish that the last sentence was true.

My role is to issue the Mega-Band-Aids to Chris Imlay (ARRL General
Counsel) when another one of our Petitions get shot down.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3559. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 17:59:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h15csl2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51522

On 19 Aug 2002 07:06:10 -0700, Bert Craig wrote:

>Perhaps it should have, at the very least, a partial role in
>rulemaking. If I remember correctly, isn't our gov't "for the people,
>by the people, and of the people," or something to that effect. I
>understand from whom your bread was buttered, Phil...but surely it's
>not unreasonable to expect the "will of the people" to have an affect
>on rulemaking. Even for such an autonymous branch of the gov't as the
>FCC.

"The will of the people" and competent technical/legal knowledge
are not necessarily the same.

I'm having a real knock-dowm micturition contest in a broadcast
related newsgroup with a colleague whom I have known for 20+ years
and who is probably the number one broadcast audio equipment design
engineer in the country over whether interference proofing consumer
electronic equipment at the design stage is in the public interest or
not.

Don't get me wrong - there are two Petitions that I have submitted on
behalf of clients in non-ham radio matters that were shot down.
Being known at the FCC does not guarantee results.

The train seems to have a mind of its own......

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3560. VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 21:46:54 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208201346.5831c625@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51523

Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
VOX useful or annoying?

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3561. Re: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 21:55:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <_Uy89.15388$LO1.1257062@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51524

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0208201346.5831c625@posting.google.com...
> Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
> VOX useful or annoying?
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

For casual operating, roundtables, and similar operating I find it very
natural and convenient. About the only time I'd don't use it is during
contests, or when I have company in the shack. I also use full-break-in
("QSK") for most of my Morse operation.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

3562. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 21:56:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020820175659.10661.00001296@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 51525

>Subject: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 08/20/02 00:42 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <PMj89.14278$LO1.1151299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Following is a verbatim excerpt of an FCC letter, dated August 9, 2002 from
>the FCC to lawyers representing Reliant Energy, a Texas power utility.

Nothing like getting it straight from the horse's mouth, eh?

>The attempted distinction is baseless. The Amateur Service
>is "a radiocommunication service for the purpose of self-training,
>intercommunication and technical investigations carried out by
>amateurs; that is, duly authorized persons interested in radio
>technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary
>interest." 47 C.F.R. ï¿½97.3(a)(5). Individual licensed stations
>are each part of this Service."

"He" will spend a lot of time wordsmithing that one.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3563. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 22:04:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020820180441.10661.00001297@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 51526

>Subject: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?
>From: wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
>Date: 08/20/02 17:46 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <b7d1465c.0208201346.5831c625@posting.google.com>
>
>Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
>VOX useful or annoying?

I have had a hard time finding a VOX circuit tat worked the way I think it should (on voice), so I just use PTT.

I usually use full break-in on CW, and it's great in most cases. My Yaesu FT757GX will clip characters, however, when I get over 15 or 20 WPM, so I will kick in delay of a second or so.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3564. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-20 22:07:04 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h15p3la.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51527

On 20 Aug 2002 14:46:54 -0700, Bert Craig wrote:

>Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
>VOX useful or annoying?

On HF, useful. On VHF/UHF, unnecessary, and in some situations
such as a noisy background, counter-productive.

My own two zuzim.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3565. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 00:09:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajulog$895$0@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 51528

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ajneth$45k$0@208.206.142.94...
> > >
> > >
> > > Phil Kane wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I quit the NRA (I was a competition pistol shooter) when it became
> > > > political in nature.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Phil I thought you knew that any orginization which disregards politics
> > > leaves itself at the mercy of the same. We live in a world where
> > > politics reigns supreme.
> > >
> > > Dick
>
> Unfortunately it is an activity at which the NRA is singularly inept
> beyond an occasional bludgeoning and lots of noise. And it goes back a
> lot of years.
>
> A number of us deserted the trolley when we, as Governor Evans put it,
> discovered that deer do not wear bulletproof vests and questioned the
> defense of teflon bullets. So over the years the NRA membership has
> become progressively more militant and progressively more stupid.
>
> Not a unique or unknown problem: the parties have far too many people who
> would rather be ideologically pure than win elections. So we get to enjoy
> the spectacle of real flakes winning primaries and running like hell for
> the center to avoid anihilation in the general election. Watching
> LaPierre shake the money tree is almost as much fun as watching Billy
> Tauzin do his extortion number.
>

Not much there to argue with, tho I would note that sometimes the
crooks do wear bulletproof vests, ala the LA bank banditios some time
back who also had machine guns and had the cops serverely outgunned.
Said cops had to go to local gun stores to grab up weaponry of the same
'caliber' with which to defend against the thugs.

Problem is, NRA is the only game in town. Just abandoning ship is to
toss in the towel to the idiots who believe that no one deserves to
defend himself.

I imagine you are aware that the Supreme Court has long ago adjudicated that the police have no duty to defend individuals from acts of crime. only society in general. History is loaded with victims who pleaded with police to stop their murder from happening who found a deaf ear. No crime, no action. But don't you dare go trying to keep them from you on your own. The police are reactive, not proactive, and that is as it must generally be. Doesn't do much for your personal defense, though. Somewhere in my archives I have the references to support all that but I don't know where they are and I don't intend to hunt for them.

3566. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 00:09:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajulok$895$1@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 51529

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> Politics may reign, but it is not "supreme." There are those who refuse to
> buy in to the game...

Still displaying your vast intellect, I see.

Those who don't "buy in to the game" leave the whole game to those who
do.

3567. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 00:10:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajuloo$895$2@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 51530

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> they'd still have to deal with a by then not-so-well-regulated militia of
> hungry, sick, economically ruined, and incredibly angry UmurriKans

I saw a novelty ballcap the other day that caused a haw-haw. Picture of
a turbaned indivudual sitting on a camel with the crosshairs dead
center, and the caption said "I'd walk 10,000 miles to smoke a camel!"

3568. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 00:10:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajulor$895$3@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 51531

Brian P Burke wrote:>

> How quickly simple public servants become tyrants. Thus the 2nd amendment.

Gee, the genus knows about as much about the history and function of
the FCC as he does about ham radio!

3569. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 01:19:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D6314C1.7000207@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51532

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> "He" will spend a lot of time wordsmithing that one.

Whatever happened to "him"? I had to check my filters to see if I
accidentally turned his on again.

It's almost like when that annoying case of athlete's foot goes away. ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3570. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 01:24:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020820212458.21128.00000174@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 51533

In article <Ptg89.1074$Q92.110483714@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020819200645.11643.00000223@mb-mb.aol.com...
>> In article <syd89.1989$uN5.231334169@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>> Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >I've no problem with old modes. Of course, I've never listened with AM
>> >switched in on the ham bands. Perhaps I should.
>>
>> The AM crowd ranges from extremely well-behaved to embarrassing. Most are
>>well
>> behaved.
>
>That would be true for just about any mode.

Percentages, man, percentages. I hear far more objectionable stuff on AM than on CW.

>> >But lets look at 10FM. Why is FM OK there but not on other HF bands?
>
>> You are mistaken. Check the regs.

>> Last time I looked, FM voice was allowed everywhere on the US amateur HF
>>bands
>> that AM and SSB are allowed - IF the deviation is adjusted so that the FM
>>voice
>> signal occupies the same bandwidth as an equivalent AM signal. Hams were
>>using
>> "NBFM" on the HF ham bands more than 50 years ago, and it's still legal.
>>
>> The FM you hear on the top end of 10 meters is much wider than the
>>equivalent
>> AM signal. It's essentially the same as the FM you hear on VHF/UHF. 10 is
>>so
>> wide that there's room for it. They put the allocation at the top to keep
>>WBFM
>> away from SSB at the low end, and to make the use of converted "low-band"
>> (30-50 MHz) land mobile FM rigs easier.
>
>Sounds good. Makes sense, too.

Well, there you have it.

NBFM voice is great from the standpoint of transmitter simplicity. But its performance compared to an AM rig of the same power is dismal. Conventional AM has about a 6 dB advantage.

>> >Why
>> >don't we have a conprehensive review of the band/mode(bw)/power
>> >authorizations and bring them into alignment?
>>
>> Into alignment with what?

>Such as, is there room for wider modes on 15M, 160M?

Depends on what you mean by "wider". Typical amateur FM voice (not NBFM) is about 15 kHz wide. Or more.

The next couple of years are going to be very interesting. FCC will probably drop Element 1 like a hot potato in less than a year. Will that cause a surge in the number of hams, or the number of hams on HF? Maybe.

Sunspots will decline and the days of easy DX above 10 MHz will be few and far between. hams will probably get 60 meters at some point, taking some of the pressure off 40 and 80 during the sunspot low.

And those are just the high points.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3571. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 01:55:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020820215523.19043.00000469@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 51534

In article <b7d1465c.0208201346.5831c625@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
>VOX useful or annoying?
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

Watusi:

VOX is definitely useful on CW; but it is VERY annoying on phone -- like just about every other aspect of phone operation! The most difficult thing a phone operator has to learn is how to coordinate the action of their mouths with a PTT switch, but unfortunately, a lot of them can't even get THAT right! Often heard on local repeaters are fast-talking idiots that manage to get the last two-and-a-half letters of their call sign out over the repeater. Of course, we also have an Extra who is an SSB contester who does the same thing! One time when I went back to him by saying "1K this is K3LT how are you today?" he actually, quite sheepishly, claimed to still be in "contest mode." Kind of a unusual thing to do when using a 2-meter repeater, isn't it? Of course, he's also a phenominal CW operator, so it just goes to show you that it is PHONE operation that drags the LID out in all of us! Except me. When I (rarely) operate phone, I seem to have no problem managing to key up my transmitter fully before speaking, using standard ICAO phonetics (as opposed to Albert Boston Chicago Denmark Easy Paraguay Sugar London Tokyo Yokahama Zanzibar), and speaking slowly and clearly enough for people to understand what I'm saying on the first transmission. The phone lids, however, go for style over substance, and prefer to put on a display which imitates a TV/Movie cop/fireman type whipping a mic to his mouth, spewing a transmission at top speed, and then turning their face dramatically to the camera! For some of them, VOX may even be an improvement, but since it seems to be technically impossible for anyone to set up a VOX correctly, I don't advise it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3572. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 02:25:21 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <um5vqhgk1d7v58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51536

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020818233553.00278.00000150@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article <3D600445.E71B86E8@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >> The best reason to have an armed populace is to ensure that there
> >> are more citizens with guns than military personnel with guns.
Therefore,
> >> government tyranny can only go just so far.
> >
> >It also gives invaders a disincentive.
>
> I'd say a physical invasion of the homeland is a pretty remote threat,
> but you're right --
>

Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Not to sensationalize the issue, but a
physical invasion of the homeland happened at least on one occasion already:
September 11, 2001.

>
> 100 million-plus armed and highly pissed-off (I hope!)
> citizens would be not be a very welcoming thought for a potential
> invading army.
>

Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Those thoughts and sentiments may be OK
when thinking it's going to take an invading army to rise the action of all
those "armed and highly pissed-off citizens." However, no matter how many
armed citizens there are, it is now a reality that everyone should realize:
a) it doesn't necessarily take an "army" to invade, b) it doesn't take much
more than a strike like one on September 11, 2001 to bring this country to
its knees [oh sure, we can yell all we want that nothing has changed and
we're freer than ever and...blah, blah, blah. But, late at night when it's
just you and your id, we all know it ain't so], and c) most of your
proverbial "armed citizens" are nothing much more than a bunch of wimps who
feel powerful shouting and flailing arms around. The minute it got serious,
I daresay more than half of them would turn tail and run.

>
> Even if they nuked the big cities and military installations,
> they'd still have to deal with a by then not-so-well-regulated militia of
> hungry, sick, economically ruined, and incredibly angry UmurriKans
> who are looking to pop some nice ripe Commie Pinko gourds. In fact,
> it is the advance guard of the invaders, in the guise of the Liberal

Democrats,
> who are trying to disarm us so that they won't have that problem in the
> future. Think about it.
>

ROFLMAO!!!!!!!! Yeah, I'm a thinkin'

> >
> >Harder to occupy us if many citizens
> >are ambushing your troops. Probably not so important today, but back
> >in the old days before long range bombers and nuke bombs and cruise
> >missiles it probably helped some. Heard that the British had big
problems
> >with snipers hiding behind trees and such picking off redcoats back in
the
> >Revolutionary War. And we'd try for the officers too.
>
> Well, if that terrible day ever comes, I'm more than ready to dispatch
> the officer of some invading force from behind a sturdy oak tree in
> some forest. Or from the window of my bombed-out apartment buiding --
> assuming I'm not bombed myself at the moment!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Ya missed it, Larry. Look up "September 11, 2001" on a search engine, you can read all about it. Oh, and you don't have to worry about your sturdy oak tree. You didn't get to hide behind it; you didn't even need it.

Kim W5TIT

3573. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 02:37:02 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <um60gl5h7vn9d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51540

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajulok$895$1@208.206.142.69...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > Politics may reign, but it is not "supreme." There are those who refuse
to
> > buy in to the game...
>
>
> Still displaying your vast intellect, I see.
>
> Those who don't "buy in to the game" leave the whole game to those who
> do.
>
>

And those who don't buy into the game don't care about those who like to play, and sure don't care about the game. It's a much happier life-style. In other words: go impress someone else because ya sure don't impress me.

By the way...see you're still responding directly to my posts. Kick yerself again?

Kim W5TIT

3574. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 02:53:40 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <UgD89.2468$V%1.277147683@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51537

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajulog$895$0@208.206.142.69...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:ajneth$45k$0@208.206.142.94...
> > >
> > >
> > > Phil Kane wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I quit the NRA (I was a competition pistol shooter) when it became
> > > > political in nature.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Phil I thought you knew that any orginization which disregards
politics
> > > leaves itself at the mercy of the same. We live in a world where
> > > politics reigns supreme.
> > >
> > > Dick
> >
> > Unfortunately it is an activity at which the NRA is singularly inept
> > beyond an occasional bludgeoning and lots of noise. And it goes back a
> > lot of years.
> >
> > A number of us deserted the trolley when we, as Governor Evans put it,
> > discovered that deer do not wear bulletproof vests and questioned the
> > defense of teflon bullets. So over the years the NRA membership has
> > become progressively more militant and progressively more stupid.
> >
> > Not a unique or unknown problem: the parties have far too many people
who
> > would rather be ideologically pure than win elections. So we get to
enjoy
> > the spectacle of real flakes winning primaries and running like hell for
> > the center to avoid anihilation in the general election. Watching
> > LaPierre shake the money tree is almost as much fun as watching Billy
> > Tauzin do his extortion number.
> >
>
> Not much there to argue with, tho I would note that sometimes the
> crooks do wear bulletproof vests, ala the LA bank banditios some time
```

> back who also had machine guns and had the cops serverely outgunned.
> Said cops had to go to local gun stores to grab up weaponry of the same
> 'caliber' with which to defend against the thugs.
>
> Problem is, NRA is the only game in town.

Check out GUN OWNERS OF AMERICA

Just abandoning ship is to
> toss in the towel to the idiots who believe that no one deserves to
> defend himself.
>
> I imagine you are aware that the Supreme Court has long ago adjudicated
> that the police have no duty to defend individuals from acts of crime.
> only society in general. History is loaded with victims who pleaded with
> police to stop their murder from happening who found a deaf ear. No
> crime, no action. But don't you dare go trying to keep them from you on
> your own. The police are reactive, not proactive, and that is as it must
> generally be. Doesn't do much for your personal defense, though.
> Somewhere in my archives I have the references to support all that but
> I don't know where they are and I don't intend to hunt for them.
>
>

3575. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 02:56:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D630139.CDA64D56@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51538

```
Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D61B146.18A92E78@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D616C9C.190809B7@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D6074B3.9D192836@earthlink.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:3D5F1FC3.8B4F547@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > By the way, isn't Kim supposed to be gone?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > She changed her mind. Just like I did.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I would have thought the comparison one which you'd prefer not be
> > > made.
> > > > > > Alec Baldwin must have changed his too. You're all people of
> > > principle.
> > > >
> > > > Dave, do you hold a DXCC number?
> > > >
> > > I hold more than one. I've never been asked for a DXCC number during
> a
> > > QSO. I'd have to look at the certificates on the wall to tell you
> what
> > > the numbers are. Why--do you know of an on-air activity which
> involves
> > > exchanging DXCC numbers?
> > >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, I was just wondering if it were another useless number. You say
> > you've never used it on the air, but what about off the air? Have you
> ever
> > had to give it out for anything at all? a loan application? a room
```

> > > reservation?
> >
> > Nope. That's the wonderful thing about it. I don't have to retain it
> > in memory or use it for anything at all. I don't know of anyone who
> > "collects" DXCC numbers and I don't believe there are any awards for
> > amassing a quantity of DXCC numbers. It's the kind of number I can live
> > with.
>
> Dave, it sounds like just another useless number to me.

Not at all. If I need to know what the number is, it is right there for
me to look at. I'm not encouraged or obligated to give it out during
contacts on any band nor does anyone test my patience by asking for my
DXCC number or by telling me their DXCC number.

> > You should already know all of this. You're a DXer--right?

> Yes Dave, I know this. That's why you could have just said I was being
> silly, and have been right.

I guess I'm getting used to your being silly. It's not too late
though. Brian, you're being silly again.

Dave K8MN

3576. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 02:58:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MID89.2469$p92.277737660@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51539

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h15bxv0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 19 Aug 2002 02:21:17 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >> What makes you think that opinion has any role in rulemaking?
> >>
> >>
> >Welp, umm, seems to me that Tom Jefferson had some "opinions on
> >rulemaking" which have kinda stuck . .
>
> Under what Chairman did Tom Jefferson serve as a Commissioner? Or
> do you mean Tom Jefferson the building maintenance man?
>
> You have obviouslt never fully tracked a rulemaking fromn staff
> inception through review to finality.

You mean that fiasco that eventually became the restructuring? I'd say the
FCC has not so motivated nor conscientious public servants.

3577. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 03:07:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NtD89.2472$z52.277493888@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51541

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajulor$895$3@208.206.142.69...
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:>
>
> > How quickly simple public servants become tyrants. Thus the 2nd
amendment.
>
> Gee, the genus knows about as much about the history and function of
> the FCC as he does about ham radio!

Genus? How did "subspecies" get in to this discussion?

Perhaps if you actually said what might be wrong with the statement you
might not sound like the usual crank that we take you for.

3578. Re: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 03:15:06 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <_AD89.2476$Bk2.278418736@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51542

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0208201346.5831c625@posting.google.com...
> Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
> VOX useful or annoying?
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Its no fun when the little ones come busting into the ham shack with
whatever the current squabble happens to be.

3579. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 03:48:56 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D630DA8.85198B0A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51543

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I would also prefer
> some
> kind of "hands on" practical test, such as being shown to a workbench set up
> at the test site, and be required to assemble a simple circuit such as a
> one IC transmitter, using a fairly standard schematic and cheap, readily-
> available components. Then the student could take a table full of typical
> station components (transceiver, power supply, TNC, PC, antenna tuner,
> antenna (coax lead in) and ground, and hook the up into an on-the-spot
> functional station.

Probably not easily done such that every candidate at every VE session
would see the same level of difficulty. One could however show
several diagrams of station setups, all but one incorrect to the degree
that it can't work at all. Say a key connected to a linear and that
connects to a transceiver and then to a power plug to the powerline.
Silly one. Or a key to a transceiver that then feeds a TNC and then
a linear and then to the computer and then the antenna.

3580. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 04:03:45 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D631121.B50D0D3D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51546

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020818233553.00278.00000150@mb-md.aol.com...
> > In article <3D600445.E71B86E8@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> > <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >
> > >> The best reason to have an armed populace is to ensure that there
> > >> are more citizens with guns than military personnel with guns.
> Therefore,
> > >> government tyranny can only go just so far.
> > >
> > >It also gives invaders a disincentive.
> >
> > I'd say a physical invasion of the homeland is a pretty remote threat,
> > but you're right --
> >
>
> Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Not to sensationalize the issue, but a
> physical invasion of the homeland happened at least on one occasion already:
> September 11, 2001.
>
> >
> > 100 million-plus armed and highly pissed-off (I hope!)
> > citizens would be not be a very welcoming thought for a potential
> > invading army.
> >
>
> Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Those thoughts and sentiments may be OK
> when thinking it's going to take an invading army to rise the action of all
> those "armed and highly pissed-off citizens."

Well, it seems that the passengers on that 4th hijacked plane Sept 11th
fought with the terrorists to take the plane back. And apparently fought
with the terrorist pilots as the plane crashed in the middle of nowhere in
PA. I haven't heard any mention of any guns found at the crash site.
Which likely means the terrorists didn't have anything beyond box cutters.
I suspect the next time one of our planes gets hijacked the passengers
will assume it's a sucicide mission and fight the hijackers.

3581. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 04:06:55 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D6311DE.3F72749A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51544

Bert Craig wrote:

> Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
> VOX useful or annoying?
>

Well, the rig I bought used (TS440SAT) came with a mic
(electrovoice 636) without a PPT button, so I had to use
VOX. There's an adjustment to null out the speaker to
reduce false triggering.

3582. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 04:07:54 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D63121A.9F1EED80@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51545

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020818233553.00278.00000150@mb-md.aol.com...
> > In article <3D600445.E71B86E8@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> > <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >
> > >> The best reason to have an armed populace is to ensure that there
> > >> are more citizens with guns than military personnel with guns.
> Therefore,
> > >> government tyranny can only go just so far.
> > >
> > >It also gives invaders a disincentive.
> >
> > I'd say a physical invasion of the homeland is a pretty remote threat,
> > but you're right --
> >
>
> Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Not to sensationalize the issue, but a
> physical invasion of the homeland happened at least on one occasion already:
> September 11, 2001.
>
> >
> > 100 million-plus armed and highly pissed-off (I hope!)
> > citizens would be not be a very welcoming thought for a potential
> > invading army.
> >
>
> Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Those thoughts and sentiments may be OK
> when thinking it's going to take an invading army to rise the action of all
> those "armed and highly pissed-off citizens."

Well, it seems that the passengers on that 4th hijacked plane Sept 11th
fought with the terrorists to take the plane back. And apparently fought
with the terrorist pilots as the plane crashed in the middle of nowhere in
PA. I haven't heard any mention of any guns found at the crash site.
Which likely means the terrorists didn't have anything beyond box cutters.
I suspect the next time one of our planes gets hijacked the passengers
will assume it's a sucicide mission and fight the hijackers.

3583. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 07:21:02 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208202321.7d40452@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51547

wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0208171250.49d76e9c@posting.google.com>...

>
> I took Larry's advice and chose 40 and 20-meters. The SST will be a
> 30-meter model. (Less congested band.) The PC-500 is compact enough
> for me to travel with. Oak Hills Reasearch markets a "wall wart" power
> supply for QRP rigs that delivers 13.7V @ 2.4A...enough for approx. 5
> watts output. (But I'm still researching my options re. an adequate
> power supply.) My aim was a nice portable rig, suitable for travel.
> The PC-500 just fit the bill...and I got to support a fellow LI ham's
> business to boot. (I prefer "mom & pop" shops.) The SST should see
> active duty on local daytrips. (Next scheduled? Atlantic City.)
>
>
Electrical noise oughta be heaps of fun in AC . . .
>
>
>Thanks
> again and take care.
>
I absolutely agree with Larry's 40 & 20m suggestions as gotta-haves.
But for the next few years at least I'd also have 15m available and
I'd pop the additional $35 for the 15m board for the PC-500. Lotta
easy long-throw dx on 15m and those guys are much easier to work on 15
than they are on 20m and forget 40m JAs, etc. with QRP unless ya get
extremely lucky.
>
>
Power supply: http://www.astroncorp.com/
Check out the SS-10. I have two of their bigger units. Can't beat 'em.
Not feathers but tough as nails and 100% quiet. Big shop. $81 at HRO.
>
>
Multiband easy-ship and quick-up self-supporting antenna.

http://www.bright.net/~kanga/kanga/dk9sq.htm

Go to the DK9SQ site for photos of the loop.
One-man shop.
>
>
QRP autotuner: http://www.ldgelectronics.com/z-11.html
Two-man shop. $145 kit.
>

>
None of which have a damned thing to do with "policy". Tsk-tsk.
>
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

w3rv

3584. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 08:24:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208210024.7c929807@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51548

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020820215523.19043.00000469@mb-mv.aol.com>...
> In article <b7d1465c.0208201346.5831c625@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net
> (Bert Craig) writes:
>
> >Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
> >VOX useful or annoying?
> >
> >73 de Bert
> >WA2SI
>
> Watusi:
>
> VOX is definitely useful on CW; but it is VERY annoying on phone --
> like just about every other aspect of phone operation! The most
> difficult thing a phone operator has to learn is how to coordinate the
> action of their mouths with a PTT switch, but unfortunately, a lot of
> them can't even get THAT right! Often heard on local repeaters are
> fast-talking idiots that manage to get the last two-and-a-half letters
> of their call sign out over the repeater. Of course, we also have an
> Extra who is an SSB contester who does the same thing! One time
> when I went back to him by saying "1K this is K3LT how are you
> today?" he actually, quite sheepishly, claimed to still be in "contest
> mode." Kind of a unusual thing to do when using a 2-meter repeater,
> isn't it? Of course, he's also a phenominal CW operator, so it just
> goes to show you that it is PHONE operation that drags the LID
> out in all of us! Except me. When I (rarely) operate phone, I seem
> to have no problem managing to key up my transmitter fully before
> speaking, using standard ICAO phonetics (as opposed to Albert
> Boston Chicago Denmark Easy Paraguay Sugar London Tokyo
> Yokahama Zanzibar), and speaking slowly and clearly enough for
> people to understand what I'm saying on the first transmission. The
> phone lids, however, go for style over substance, and prefer to put
> on a display which imitates a TV/Movie cop/fireman type whipping
> a mic to his mouth, spewing a transmission at top speed, and then
> turning their face dramatically to the camera! For some of them,
> VOX may even be an improvement, but since it seems to be
> technically impossible for anyone to set up a VOX correctly, I don't
> advise it!
>
>
God gave us all right thumbs & forefingers to twitch paddles and left
thumbs to key mics. Southpaws t'other way 'round. And that's that.
>

>
'Cept on 160 ssb all-nighters . . . when cometh the dawn and ya can't
find the button . . .
>
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

w3rv

3585. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 09:53:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajvnue$ut1$0@208.206.142.90>
Source record: 51549

Brian P Burke wrote:

> Perhaps if you actually said what might be wrong with the statement you
> might not sound like the usual crank that we take you for.

It might (but not necessarily in your case) be instructive to actually learn about the genesis of the FCC and it's mandate and functions. Most commissioners take their job seriously, though they rarely are technically well informed and must rely on staff for most of that advice. Not all are Reed Hundts on a singleminded mission.

3586. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 10:10:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ajvovc$499$0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 51550

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > Those who don't "buy in to the game" leave the whole game to those who
> > do.
> >
> >
>
> And those who don't buy into the game don't care about those who like to
> play, and sure don't care about the game.

Hey, young'un, you're in the game if you're still breathing. You don't know it, but lotsa 'someones' out there are making *all* the decisions for you, and if you sit in your corner and pout you don't even know who they are or what they're doing - for you or to you.

> It's a much happier life-style.

Yep. Ignorance IS bliss. And you're the poster girl.

> In other words: go impress someone else because ya sure don't impress me.

Why don't you just go ROF and LY-ample-AO?

> By the way...see you're still responding directly to my posts. Kick yerself
> again?

Occassionally when it REALLY gets slow on rrap I'll look in on what you and Gadfly are still spewing. Since you're not really gone, afterrrrr all.
Still pass ALL of Loose Lennie's, though.

3587. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 11:29:58 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <um6vnogckaif00@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51301

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D631121.B50D0D3D@ix.netcom.com...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20020818233553.00278.00000150@mb-md.aol.com...
> > > In article <3D600445.E71B86E8@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> > > <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> > >
> > > >> The best reason to have an armed populace is to ensure that there
> > > >> are more citizens with guns than military personnel with guns.
> > Therefore,
> > > >> government tyranny can only go just so far.
> > > >
> > > >It also gives invaders a disincentive.
> > >
> > > I'd say a physical invasion of the homeland is a pretty remote threat,
> > > but you're right --
> > >
> >
> > Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Not to sensationalize the issue, but a
> > physical invasion of the homeland happened at least on one occasion
already:
> > September 11, 2001.
> >
> > >
> > > 100 million-plus armed and highly pissed-off (I hope!)
> > > citizens would be not be a very welcoming thought for a potential
> > > invading army.
> > >
> >
> > Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Those thoughts and sentiments may be
OK
> > when thinking it's going to take an invading army to rise the action of
all
> > those "armed and highly pissed-off citizens."
>
> Well, it seems that the passengers on that 4th hijacked plane Sept 11th
> fought with the terrorists to take the plane back. And apparently fought
> with the terrorist pilots as the plane crashed in the middle of nowhere in
> PA. I haven't heard any mention of any guns found at the crash site.
> Which likely means the terrorists didn't have anything beyond box cutters.
> I suspect the next time one of our planes gets hijacked the passengers
> will assume it's a sucicide mission and fight the hijackers.

>

Excellent point, Robert!! Excellent point. The grand scheme that the gun-owners have dreamed up is simply that...a grand scheme. What are guns going to do against chemical warfare, nuclear warfare, mind games, stock market crashes, huge industry crumbles, etc., etc., etc.

As mighty Dick plays his game (reference to another thread/post), the rest of us who have a clue are preparing, ready, and trying to figure out what can *really* be done in the new world. Being prepared to risk one's own personal life--regardless of weapon or hiding capability--is what we all must think about. What *would* you--all by yourself--be willing/capable of doing? Forget the armies--they landed years ago. While the mighty Dicks were playing their game, the armies landed and have entrenched themselves.

Excellent point, Robert.

Kim W5TIT

3588. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 11:32:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <um6vs0d9cknf66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51302

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajvovc$499$0@208.206.142.108...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > > Those who don't "buy in to the game" leave the whole game to those
who
> > > do.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > And those who don't buy into the game don't care about those who like to
> > play, and sure don't care about the game.
>
>
> Hey, young'un, you're in the game if you're still breathing. You don't
> know it, but lotsa 'someones' out there are making *all* the decisions
> for you, and if you sit in your corner and pout you don't even know who
> they are or what they're doing - for you or to you.
>
>
> > It's a much happier life-style.
>
>
> Yep. Ignorance IS bliss. And you're the poster girl.
>
>
> > In other words: go impress someone else because ya sure don't impress
me.
>
>
>
> Why don't you just go ROF and LY-ample-AO?
>
>
>
> > By the way...see you're still responding directly to my posts. Kick
yerself
> > again?
>
>
> Occassionally when it REALLY gets slow on rrap I'll look in on what you
> and Gadfly are still spewing. Since you're not really gone, afterrrrr
```

> all.
> Still pass ALL of Loose Lennie's, though.
>

Dick, you're so full of shit you're boring. Go share your paintbrush with Larry, eh? And your paint. He's running out. And, while you're at it...why don't you go play your "I'm ________" game with someone else?

Oh, and, "look in" huh? You're full of shit there, too, dorkie Dick. You can't help but wait with baited breath for a post from me or whomever your chosen dislike for the day is...

Kim W5TIT

3589. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 12:06:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020821080655.05850.00000068@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 51303

In article <xsQ79.533$O36.350@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Note that when real. practical ham radio info is requested (like
>> N0IMD's antenna dilemma) there is deafening silence from certain
>> parties, and it's left up to the long-time-licensed AMATEURS to help
>> out....
>
>Recall the W3RV replies. I hardly considered that help.

I'm not him.

The point is that no "PROFESSIONAL" who claim to have "been IN radio for 50 years" had any info for you at all. Nada. Zip. Bupkis.

>
>You and Ed did come through with proper and useful information, however.
>Thank you.

You're welcome. But everyhting I posted was found in a few minutes using a search engine.

How's the antenna project coming?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3590. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 13:39:49 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D639825.4123DB88@psu.edu>
Source record: 51304

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

>
> from your own computer: Start/Run/winipcfg.exe

That doesn't work for all computers. On mine it just gives some zeros,
then quits. It depends on what the machine is hooked into.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3591. Re: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 14:47:40 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208210647.4ac92ff5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51305

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<_AD89.2476$Bk2.278418736@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:b7d1465c.0208201346.5831c625@posting.google.com...
> > Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
> > VOX useful or annoying?
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
> Its no fun when the little ones come busting into the ham shack with
> whatever the current squabble happens to be.

I don't plan on using it that much. The QRP rig has it and when I
travel, I plan on using headphones...so I figure I might as well make
it a headset and kill two birds with one stone. That way, I don't have
to lug a mic along if I use a BM-10.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3592. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 15:01:56 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <um7aogmlraeab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51306

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020820215523.19043.00000469@mb-mv.aol.com...

<SNIP>
> Of course, we also have an
> Extra who is an SSB contester who does the same thing! One time
> when I went back to him by saying "1K this is K3LT how are you
> today?" he actually, quite sheepishly, claimed to still be in "contest
> mode."
<SNIP>
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Larry
Your one heck of an operator! You said you went back to him
on a 2 meter repeater? I thought you once said that your radio
didn't have a microphone hooked up to it, because you didn't do
phone at all.

That's quite a trick using CW on a 2 meter repeater. ;^)

--

'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3593. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 15:06:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <F%N89.499$yd1.49633@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51307

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

> It's almost like when that annoying case of athlete's foot goes away. ;^)

Actually I enjoy some of Lennies stuff. In some areas he's moderately
knowledgeable. Unfortunately he has never learned to define the line
between 'colorful curmudgeon' and 'pain in the ass'.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

3594. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 15:53:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020821115302.17958.00001778@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 51308

>Subject: Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 08/21/02 11:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <F%N89.499$yd1.49633@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>> It's almost like when that annoying case of athlete's foot goes away. ;^)
>
>Actually I enjoy some of Lennies stuff. In some areas he's moderately
>knowledgeable. Unfortunately he has never learned to define the line
>between 'colorful curmudgeon' and 'pain in the ass'.

Who cares? Lennie long lost any credibilty or usefulness to Amateur Radio as soon as his "I am against the code test" postings became a forum for "Hail to Me For I Am A PROFESSIONAL" rantings.

His two-faced rants are now legend. His self-promoting rhetoric have forever dulled anyone's willingness to "accept" him, regardless of the technical competence of his material. He will always be "Lennie", the antagonist, and ner'ly "Len", the "engineer". He did it to himself.

Lennie usually takes one of these hiatus when he's been getting his own rhetoric and misdeeds fed back to him. Not even Brian Burke could feed his ego fast enough to pad him against the bruisings he was taking from everyone else. But like I said...he does it to himself. He get's no less than what he deserves.

But don't worry...I am sure he'll be back for more. He'll reinsert himself just when you think it's safe to go back into the water....

73

Steve, K4YZ

3595. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 16:35:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <bjP89.631$yd1.60184@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51309

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Who cares?
>

I do.

Life is just a journey between two eternities, and it's a journey far to
short to carry the hate that you seem to treasure and nurture.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

3596. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 16:58:44 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D63C6C4.FD0C5527@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51310

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
>
> VOX is definitely useful on CW; but it is VERY annoying on phone --

Isn't "VOX" "voice operated xmit"? I think you mean the function that
keeps the receiver switched off the antenna between key downs while
you are pounding out code on the key.

>
> like just about every other aspect of phone operation! The most
> difficult thing a phone operator has to learn is how to coordinate the
> action of their mouths with a PTT switch, but unfortunately, a lot of
> them can't even get THAT right!

I don't think I ever had that problem except for a short learning curve.
Or if I just got done using my VOX operated HF rig and get on the
2m HT I might start talking expecting VOX instead of PTT. But that
is noticed rather quickly and thus easily corrected.

> Often heard on local repeaters are
> fast-talking idiots that manage to get the last two-and-a-half letters
> of their call sign out over the repeater. Of course, we also have an
> Extra who is an SSB contester who does the same thing! One time
> when I went back to him by saying "1K this is K3LT how are you
> today?" he actually, quite sheepishly, claimed to still be in "contest
> mode." Kind of a unusual thing to do when using a 2-meter repeater,
> isn't it? Of course, he's also a phenominal CW operator, so it just
> goes to show you that it is PHONE operation that drags the LID
> out in all of us! Except me. When I (rarely) operate phone, I seem
> to have no problem managing to key up my transmitter fully before
> speaking, using standard ICAO phonetics (as opposed to Albert
> Boston Chicago Denmark Easy Paraguay Sugar London Tokyo
> Yokahama Zanzibar), and speaking slowly and clearly enough for
> people to understand what I'm saying on the first transmission.

Sometimes when on HF SSB on a 100W transceiver working some
DX, I get back "Sierra Tango" for my callsign ending in "Sierra Echo"
I then try "Italy Sugar Europe" which sometimes works. Maybe I have
the speech processor misconfigured.

> The
> phone lids, however, go for style over substance

At least the worst ham LID is still better than the clowns on
CB with their echo boxes and roger beeps. Seems the CBers

are just broadcasting instead of QSOing a 2 way comm.

3597. Re: 14.313 comes to life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 17:13:49 UTC
From: Walt <PoorWittleWoger@GlendaleVillageIdiotx.com>
Message-ID: <ak0ho3$t44$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51311
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message

> "Roll Call Bobby" <VeroBeachZero@RollCallBobby.net> wrote in message
> news:ajp9nc$m3v$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > AB8MQ@OVIS.NET wrote in message
> >
> >
> Now Walter, you know I have your cell phone number now, don't you?
> >
> >
> > > "Cheeta's Brother Lllardasssssssssssssss Lloyd"
> > <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > in message news:27e38977.0208161813.e60d711@posting.google.com...
> > > > "AB8MQ" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> > news:<ajirjq$h6c$4@pita.alt.net>...
> > > > > "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:uloqcnfnc7nrbf@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > Radiofreenoodles, that might work in theory, but 75 and even
40
> > > > > > meters has its' spots that make 14.313 look like a tea party.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > mind giving us the frequencies AB8MQ?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > phil :)
> > > > > >
> > > > > 7.240 and 3.910, 3.947.5 and 3.950 also.
> > > > >
> > > > Thanks for admitting that you have jammed the Liberty Net, Roger.
> > > >
> > > How is posting frequencies admitting jamming, you fucking idiot? Did
Mrs.
> > Ray
> > > Davies, the town drunk, have any children that lived? Or is the old
piece
> > of shit
> > > still giveing blowjobs for $2?
> > >
> > >
> > > > Did you ever go by the call of "Bathroom Man" back in the mid 90's?
> > > > Rumor has that you did.
> > >
> > > No, dumbass, how could I transmit with a Kenwood R-5000 receiver, you

> > fucking
> > > retard? I know that the guy transmiting as "hillbilly" and "kawasaki"
is
> > YOU.
> > > They've already DFed you, Lardasssssss.
> > >
> > >
> > Poor \ /
>
> Poor Coward, so afraid of me he has to hide.
>
> (517) 230-2262
>
> Newpar DBA Airtouch Cellular in Michigan.
>
Roger does not want you to see the true versions of
his FCC spankings.
www.enforcement.actions.ue3113.xyacl.org

GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested that Roger L. Wiseman, AB8MQ,
retake the General examination elements under the supervision of an ARRL-VEC
volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by September 11,
2002, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing for
re-examination
are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements passed.
The
FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2002, advising that it had been made
aware
of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and another licensee that
included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special
Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two
licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had
sent
Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of
deliberate
and malicious interference on 20 meters.

3598. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 17:46:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020821134639.17953.00001815@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 51312

>Subject: Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 08/21/02 12:35 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <bjP89.631$yd1.60184@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>> Who cares?

>I do.

Congratulations, Hans. You are the second person to receive a round of applause for humanitarian kindness today.

>Life is just a journey between two eternities, and it's a journey far to
>short to carry the hate that you seem to treasure and nurture.

I don't "hate" Lennie, Hans. I am sure that under different circumstances that we'd probably even be friends as we share a great may common interests. However I do not tolerate ignorance or antagonism, and he has exhibited both in spades. He further does so while alleging to be "well educated" and a "professional engineer".

He had great potential and has squandered it. I am sure he lives comfortably in his retirement now, but what does he do with it? He spends a great amount of it "here" lecturing about things he has only sideline knowledge about. How sad.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3599. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 20:56:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <m8T89.1050$yd1.89851@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51313

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> However I do not tolerate ignorance or antagonism....

Is this one of those "speck in your neighbors eye, moat in your own eye"
kind of things?

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

3600. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 21:42:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um8280jgiggac4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51314

We should be realistic. I note some radio show is about to be popped the the FCC. Seems they had contests as to who would have sex in the most dangerous places. Hmmm....so three people (one with a cell phone reporting on the activities of the other two) get caught in St. Patrick's Cathedral in New York. From the article, the FCC has been been blitzed by e-mail and telephone from a lot of (justifiably) angry folks in the big apple. Everyone fighting over microwave frequencies. Cell phone towers. My guess is that amateur radio is not near the top of priorities with the FCC. Just a guess, but I suspect they'd like to see us truely self-police and keep a nice, QUIET, low profile. I hope we don't become a pain three feet below the neck to the FCC.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h15c841.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 19 Aug 2002 04:37:59 GMT, Clay N4AOX wrote:
>
> > As Phil points out, the collective opinions and comments from the
> >unwashed masses of FCC regulated users does not mean squat. Apparently,
> >only the wishes of the ARRL BoD and the Newington Crowd are important in
FCC
> >policy making regarding amateur radio.
>
> Those of us in ARRL that have anything to do with the FCC in
> rulemaking matters fervently wish that the last sentence was true.
>
> My role is to issue the Mega-Band-Aids to Chris Imlay (ARRL General
> Counsel) when another one of our Petitions get shot down.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

3601. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 21:48:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um82k624n4de65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51315

Hans, I like that. It makes more sense than most of what I've read in any newsgroup. Thanks!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:bjP89.631$yd1.60184@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Who cares?
> >
>
> I do.
>
> Life is just a journey between two eternities, and it's a journey far to
> short to carry the hate that you seem to treasure and nurture.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
> --
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation. There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
>
>
>
>

3602. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 22:40:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D644117.1040002@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51316

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>It's almost like when that annoying case of athlete's foot goes away. ;^)
>>
>
> Actually I enjoy some of Lennies stuff. In some areas he's moderately
> knowledgeable. Unfortunately he has never learned to define the line
> between 'colorful curmudgeon' and 'pain in the ass'.

True enough. There is no question that the fellow is intelligent and does indeed have some knowledge. But that nasty line seems to be crossed way too often. It's his choice.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3603. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 23:02:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h17m9n0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51317

On Wed, 21 Aug 2002 17:42:08 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:

>From the article, the FCC has been been blitzed by e-mail and
>telephone from a lot of (justifiably) angry folks in the big apple.

Angry or just jealous ??

>My guess
>is that amateur radio is not near the top of priorities with the FCC. Just
>a guess, but I suspect they'd like to see us truely self-police and keep a
>nice, QUIET, low profile.

You guess correctly. What have I been saying about "don't bug the
FCC" ??

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3604. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 23:28:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020821192822.08156.00001854@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 51318

>Subject: Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 08/21/02 16:56 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <m8T89.1050$yd1.89851@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Is this one of those "speck in your neighbors eye, moat in your own eye"
>kind of things?

It woupld appear that you are the one with crystal clarity of vision
today, Hans. You tell me.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3605. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 23:43:35 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ak18j5$h1e$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51319

"Alun Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926F13BCB3B02elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in
> news:ajm4pirsi1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net:
>
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20020816221253.22428.00000217@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >> In article <ajg54t1195j@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> >> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
> >> >and (2) the type of people and the methods they
> >> >employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the
> >> >alleged benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> >> >potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
> >>
> >> I have come to the conclusion that there's no way to "market" the
> >> Morse code or CW to the satisfaction of people who are dedicated to
> >> their objections to learning it.
> >
> > Probably 100% true. BUT, the universe of new hams that hold
> > such belief/opinion is NOT 100% and it is that "niche" of new
> > hams without such adament dislike for code to whom your
> > primary marketing effort should be.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
>
> OK, so you maybe you do get it, but you seem to be saying some variation
> of two things, either:
>
> 1) I did it (CW testing), so everyone should have to;

That's certainly not my position. I am 100% in favor of
ending all code testing.

> 2) You have to develop an interest in CW, if you didn't like it before.

Nope...what I have said is that those people (Larry, et al) who
want to see morse code continue as a practiced mode by hams
will have to "market" the mode to new hams to gain additional
devotees to continue using code. I noted, in response to
Larry's comment, that while some new hams come with a preconcieved
dislike of code, there are others that don't have such a dislike...and
it is those others who CW devotees should target to try and get
some of them to learn and use code.

> I don't think this stands up. On the first point, I did it, and don't
> think others should have to.

I agree completely.

> On the second point, lots of people are
> interested in radio, but not in CW, and I just don't see why they have to
> get interested in CW.

They don't...I have only offered a rational that will
have to be followed by the "PCTA" if they want
code use to continue after code testing ends.

> Perhaps it's so you can have someone to work? Is
> that the real reason?

That's certainly Larry's mindset (IMHO). Since I
rarely operate code, it makes no difference to me.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3606. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 23:48:30 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ak18s9$qu7$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51320

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajnfbt$5bp$0@208.206.142.94...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:ajgepucau0@208.206.142.76...
> > >
> > >
> > > KC8PMX wrote:
> > > >
> > > > My opinion/choice is to learn it to meet the requirements set forth
by
> > the
> > > > F.C.C. and no other reason. I will never use it as an operating
mode,
> > but
> > > > am always willing to comply with whatever rules or "jump thru
whatever
> > > > hoops" to get the priviledges afforded by passing the code
requirement.
> > > >
> > > > Possibly there would be two reasons why I HATE code: (1) is that it
had
> > > > beaten me for over 12 years, and (2) the type of people and the
methods
> > they
> > > > employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the
> > alleged
> > > > benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> > > > potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
> > >
> > >
> > > I dunno, Ryan, when I went through all that the "benefits" were
> > > obvious- I got all amateur privileges for my efforts, and I note that
it
> > > came no easier to me than anyone else.
> > >
> > > As far as the marketing" comment, check the archives to see the
extent
> > > of the trashing we took from no coders- FIRST. It's all our fault they
> > > were to __________ (fill in your own descriptives, or let Larry do
it)

> > > to do what we did. I just don't feel much of your pain, much less
> > > responsibility for it.
> >
> > So much for any effort to improve marketingof code to newcomers.
> > Instead you now get the "victim" whine.
>
>
> Get yourself up to date, Bill. The "victim whine" has been howling
> through the NG since before I arrived and it continues nonstop. Said
> whiners aren't about to give up their only excuse, that is, it's all the
> fault of the folks who did learn the code to speed because it was
> required for any substantial license, and enroute they discovered that
> it is and always was a simple, effective and easily implemented mode,
> not that hard to learn and use to effect. Instead the fingers still
> point, and I for one find little but disgust for the pointers. It all
> speaks volumes about their real objectives.
>
> In the end, the future of code use
> > in the amateur bands is totally in the hands of those that profess its
> > merits.
>
> Either code enthusiasts will take on the challenge of recruiting
> > (as is the case for most hobby, and/or entertainment
> > aspects of life) new code enthusiasts or they won't.
>
>
> And as I've long ago concluded, that spells the death knell for radio's
> most basic and one of it's most effective, and cost effective, modes. It
> has already changed in that direction significantly among newer users,
> and the trend can only continue.
>
> You can lead a horse to water, but drinking is his option.

But there are still plenty of horses that DO drink when lead
to the water.

IF code falls into total disuse, consider yourself part of
the reason why IF you fail to become a proponent of the mode
to newcomers.

Funny...no one I know has been forcefed into doing their
own antique car maintenence, even when they can easily afford
to pay others to do so. Nope, they learned to enjoy it from
others even though there's NO mandatory requirements to
do so.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3607. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-21 23:59:21 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ak19gkdno1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51321

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajulok$895$1@208.206.142.69...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > Politics may reign, but it is not "supreme." There are those who refuse
to
> > buy in to the game...
>
> Still displaying your vast intellect, I see.
>
> Those who don't "buy in to the game" leave the whole game to those who
> do.

Dick,

Those that wish to avoid the political process are the ones
that allow the politicians to reign supreme. I got involved
in local town politics because I was dead set against where
my town government was going on certain issues. That's
the way it works. The alternatives are either dictatorships
or anarchy. Being an informend citizen takes work. Those
that don't do the work will piss and moan about the decisions
their elected officials (the politicians) make.

Cheers,
Been there, done that!

Bill K2UNK

3608. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 01:35:07 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <um8h8g9a7d407c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51322

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3D639825.4123DB88@psu.edu...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> >
> > from your own computer: Start/Run/winipcfg.exe
>
> That doesn't work for all computers. On mine it just gives some zeros,
> then quits. It depends on what the machine is hooked into.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mine's hooked into a dial-up modem ;)

It probably more depends upon the operating system. Here it's a Win98 system. My NT system did not even have that executable but I think that is more a network configuration restraint than a OS. Don't know about the Linux, WinME, etc. The winipcfg.exe probably also won't work through a firewall, guarded network, etc.

Kim W5TIT

3609. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 01:40:02 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <um8hhn3dirj550@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51323

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ak19gkdno1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ajulok$895$1@208.206.142.69...
> >
> >
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > Politics may reign, but it is not "supreme." There are those who
refuse
> to
> > > buy in to the game...
> >
> > Still displaying your vast intellect, I see.
> >
> > Those who don't "buy in to the game" leave the whole game to those who
> > do.
>
> Dick,
>
> Those that wish to avoid the political process are the ones
> that allow the politicians to reign supreme. I got involved
> in local town politics because I was dead set against where
> my town government was going on certain issues. That's
> the way it works. The alternatives are either dictatorships
> or anarchy. Being an informend citizen takes work. Those
> that don't do the work will piss and moan about the decisions
> their elected officials (the politicians) make.
>
> Cheers,
> Been there, done that!
>
> Bill K2UNK
>

Bill: an observation. There are true politcs. Then, there are political games. Political games are not to be played, bought into, used for gain, ignored for gain, etc. True politics, to which you speak above, is not a game.

Kim W5TIT

3610. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 03:32:27 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020821233227.14603.00000575@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 51326

In article <um7aogmlraeab@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
writes:

>
>Larry
>Your one heck of an operator! You said you went back to him
>on a 2 meter repeater? I thought you once said that your radio
>didn't have a microphone hooked up to it, because you didn't do
>phone at all.

Bill:

I was discussing a QSO that took place on a 2-meter repeater, so
yes, I did "go back" to him on a 2-meter repeater! I don't usually
use 40-meter RTTY to hold up my end of a 2-meter FM repeater
QSO!

BTW -- free clue -- it's my HF rig that doesn't have a microphone
attached. I use VHF/UHF FM and SSB voice on a routine basis.

>That's quite a trick using CW on a 2 meter repeater. ;^)

I've been known to do that as well, Bill! Are you going to claim
that using CW on a 2-meter repeater is not technically possible,
legally authorized, or an acceptable amateur operational practice?
If so, please, by all means, be my guest! It will be a most amusing
thread!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3611. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 03:32:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020821233228.14603.00000576@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 51329

In article <3D63C6C4.FD0C5527@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> VOX is definitely useful on CW; but it is VERY annoying on phone --
>
>Isn't "VOX" "voice operated xmit"?

Correct, Bob. It is also used in CW; you switch the VOX on, adjust the
gain and delay, and from then on, whenever you key down the transceiver
goes into transmit mode for the length of the transmission, plus the delay.
However, somehow, I think you already knew that.

>I think you mean the function that
>keeps the receiver switched off the antenna between key downs while
>you are pounding out code on the key.

What you just described is a TX/RX relay, which is controlled by the
VOX circuit when in use.

>> like just about every other aspect of phone operation! The most
>> difficult thing a phone operator has to learn is how to coordinate the
>> action of their mouths with a PTT switch, but unfortunately, a lot of
>> them can't even get THAT right!
>
>I don't think I ever had that problem except for a short learning curve.
>Or if I just got done using my VOX operated HF rig and get on the
>2m HT I might start talking expecting VOX instead of PTT. But that
>is noticed rather quickly and thus easily corrected.

Funny. I can make the adjustment seamlessly, repeatedly, and
with complete accuracy. Am I a better radio operator than you?

>> Often heard on local repeaters are
>> fast-talking idiots that manage to get the last two-and-a-half letters
>> of their call sign out over the repeater. Of course, we also have an
>> Extra who is an SSB contester who does the same thing! One time
>> when I went back to him by saying "1K this is K3LT how are you
>> today?" he actually, quite sheepishly, claimed to still be in "contest
>> mode." Kind of a unusual thing to do when using a 2-meter repeater,
>> isn't it? Of course, he's also a phenominal CW operator, so it just
>> goes to show you that it is PHONE operation that drags the LID
>> out in all of us! Except me. When I (rarely) operate phone, I seem
>> to have no problem managing to key up my transmitter fully before
>> speaking, using standard ICAO phonetics (as opposed to Albert
>> Boston Chicago Denmark Easy Paraguay Sugar London Tokyo
>> Yokahama Zanzibar), and speaking slowly and clearly enough for

>> people to understand what I'm saying on the first transmission.
>
>Sometimes when on HF SSB on a 100W transceiver working some
>DX, I get back "Sierra Tango" for my callsign ending in "Sierra Echo"
>I then try "Italy Sugar Europe" which sometimes works. Maybe I have
>the speech processor misconfigured.

More than likely, most phone operators do!

>> The
>> phone lids, however, go for style over substance
>
>At least the worst ham LID is still better than the clowns on
>CB with their echo boxes and roger beeps.

I could never the ham radio prejudice against "roger beeps." I think
they make perfect sense. Why do you suppose NASA uses them
for 100% of their voice comms?

>Seems the CBers
>are just broadcasting instead of QSOing a 2 way comm.

I would say the same thing about the typical 75-meter "roundtable." Everyone
takes turns broadcasting for up to a half hour at a crack, while everyone
else is going to the toilet, doing their dishes, walking the dog, reloading
their weekly pill containers, etc. etc.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3612. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 03:32:29 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020821233229.14603.00000577@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 51324

In article <um5vqhgk1d7v58@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>
>Ya missed it, Larry. Look up "September 11, 2001" on a search engine, you
>can read all about it.

>Kim W5TIT

Gee, I must have missed that one, Kim -- thanks for the "clue!"

73 de Larry, K3LT

3613. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 03:32:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020821233230.14603.00000578@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 51328

In article <3D631121.B50D0D3D@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Well, it seems that the passengers on that 4th hijacked plane Sept 11th
>fought with the terrorists to take the plane back. And apparently fought
>with the terrorist pilots as the plane crashed in the middle of nowhere in
>PA. I haven't heard any mention of any guns found at the crash site.

More's the pity. If that aircrew, and/or some lawfully armed citizen
passengers *had* been armed at the time, perhaps the hijacking could
have been cancelled before it ever got to the point where the only to
prevent the aircraft from being used as a high-explosive, guided missile
was to deliberately drill it into the ground. As is usually the case when
guns are present and in the hands of law-abiding citizens, many innocent
lives could have been saved.

>Which likely means the terrorists didn't have anything beyond box cutters.

Which armed aircrew or passengers could probably have stopped them
in their tracks without even firing a shot! If you're armed with a boxcutter
and I'm armed with a gun, what are you gonna do?

>I suspect the next time one of our planes gets hijacked the passengers
>will assume it's a sucicide mission and fight the hijackers.

I suspect you're right. Too bad our screwed-up society won't permit
them to be properly armed in order to be able to win that fight and
save innocent lives.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3614. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 03:32:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020821233231.14603.00000579@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 51327

In article <um6vnogckaif00@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> Well, it seems that the passengers on that 4th hijacked plane Sept 11th
>> fought with the terrorists to take the plane back. And apparently fought
>> with the terrorist pilots as the plane crashed in the middle of nowhere in
>> PA. I haven't heard any mention of any guns found at the crash site.
>> Which likely means the terrorists didn't have anything beyond box cutters.
>> I suspect the next time one of our planes gets hijacked the passengers
>> will assume it's a sucicide mission and fight the hijackers.

Soooooooo…this means that it's OK to disarm all of the law-abiding citizens
of the USA? To borrow one of Kim's favorite sayings, ROFLMAO!!!

>Excellent point, Robert!! Excellent point. The grand scheme that the
>gun-owners have dreamed up is simply that...a grand scheme. What are guns
>going to do against chemical warfare, nuclear warfare, mind games, stock
>market crashes, huge industry crumbles, etc., etc., etc.

They are going to keep individual, law-abiding citizens from being victimized
by criminals of any kind, and permit them to continue to go about their normal,
lawful duties, without hindrance, and without fear of attack upon their persons
or property.

>As mighty Dick plays his game (reference to another thread/post), the rest
>of us who have a clue are preparing, ready, and trying to figure out what
>can *really* be done in the new world.

In what way *are* you prepared for this "new world," Kim?

>Being prepared to risk one's own
>personal life--regardless of weapon or hiding capability--is what we all
>must think about. What *would* you--all by yourself--be willing/capable of
>doing? Forget the armies--they landed years ago. While the mighty Dicks
>were playing their game, the armies landed and have entrenched themselves.

Ya know, I think Kim may have accidentally stumbled on something with a
faint ring of veracity. There has been an "invasion" of sorts -- an invasion
of
dangerous thought -- namely, liberal, socialist thought. It has created a
horribly dumbed-down educational system, a burdensome government which
creates and exploits dependant slaves in order to increase it's power and span
of control, and, apparently, it has created Kim.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3615. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 03:32:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020821233232.14603.00000580@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 51325

In article <um6vs0d9cknf66@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>Oh, and, "look in" huh? You're full of shit there, too, dorkie Dick. You
>can't help but wait with baited breath for a post from me or whomever your
>chosen dislike for the day is...
>
>Kim W5TIT

You have "baited breath" Dick? It must work, since it seems to attract
big, fat, ugly fish!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3616. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 03:58:43 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ak2l2p12fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51334

I like the 5054, but am selling it due to the fact that I am never near the damned thing when there is a band opening. So far it works really great for the 40-70 mile local range, but I have not had the timing to be at the radio when propagation conditions occur....

Guess I will have to wait till later in life to really enjoy this hobby...

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Matt" <kinerm125@cs.com> wrote in message
news:3e64731f.0208200655.64f813f@posting.google.com...
> Ryan,
>
> The RCI-5054 is a good deal for an all-mode rig on 6, and as others
> point out its among the very few choices for a single-bander. At the
> time I bought my first 6 meter radio the only reasonably priced choice
> was the Yaesu FT-690, now discontinued. And that rig doesn't have AM.
> If Ranger had been producing the 5054 four years ago I would have
> bought it in an instant. It appears RCI is trying to rehabilitate its
> image somewhat with their new 10/12 meter rigs. They're advertising in
> QST for those and for the 5054, and I believe I read that the 10/12
> rigs are difficult (if not impossible) to modify for 11 meters. Also
> if I remember correctly the original RCI-2950 has been discontinued.
>
> Matt N3SOZ
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<aj8dkf7i38@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> > Bert, I have the RCI-5054, which I didn't see on that list, and from all
of
> > those people I have chatted with on 6 meters, they all have said I have
an
> > excellent signal. Even when someone used the CW portion of the rig and
made
> > a contact. Nice rich full sounding signal in all modes. 25w cw/ssb and
10w
> > fm/am is all it does too, which the reports I get are surprising based
on
> > those figures.
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX

> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> > >
> > > Kinda odd, eh Steve? I use one on 10 all the time and don't receive
any
> > flak
> > > when asked what rig I'm using. I actually get pretty good signal and
audio
> > > reports. Like I said, odd.
> > >
> > > 73 de Bert
> > > WA2SI

3617. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 04:08:34 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ak2l2r22fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51335

> Ryan,
>
> I can understand your frustration. 30 months is a LONG time to wait for an
> invite to be honored. And it's not like Michigan has a small ham
population -
> quite the contrary.
>
> Now if the ARRL had more of a local/regional focus (as evidenced by
actually
> showing up at meetings, talking about what is going on in Michigan
section,
> etc.)
> would you be more inclined to join?
>

If I saw ANY local or regional focus whatsoever I may also consider it. But again, all I see it as is a magazine subscription. (Excellent magazine, even though it is becoming more ads and less articles etc.)

>
> My impression is that your amateur radio interest is mostly in
local/regional
> aspects of amateur radio, like emergency comms, repeaters, local clubs,
hamns
> you can actually meet in person, etc. Your interest does not lie in
working DX,
> serious contest/award hunting, or chatting with another ham thousands of
miles
> away whom you'll probably never meet and may never even work again.
>

That kinda sums it up... I wouldn't mind working some DX from time to time, but for the most part, I would rather chat with people where there may actually be a snowball's chance in hell I might actually ever meet them in life. (Typical ham motto "I have tons of friends worldwide, none in my own backyard...")

On the other hand, if I am interested in taking a trip to a different country, I definitely would be interested in making on-the-air friendships, to eventually meet them when I get to make it there.

>
> >PS--Mafia, Ryan? :) You'll have to enlighten?
>
> Something on the order of 'hey - these are some nice bands ya got here.
It'd be

> an awful shame if something happened to 'em'.......;-)
>

Yeah, that kinda is what it appears to me.....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3618. Re: Are You Your Own Worst Enemy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 04:31:35 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ak2l2t32fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51336

>
> Believe it or not, even us pro-coders, or, more accurately PCTA's
(Pro-Code
> Test Agenda) people "get it" when you NCTA's speak of "interest" or other
> factors relating to relative ease in learning the code. You see, a lot of
us,
> myself included, had to overcome this very same lack of "interest" in the
> code before we learned it, began using it, and finally realized it's many
> benefits and advantages. This is absolutely nothing new or unique.

I understand the specific tendancies the CW operation does allow, but I cannot find any enjoyment in it. But as stated yet again, if the test is a requirement for the priviliges that it affords, I will comply, liking it or not.

>
> Fourteen years, in my case, but that was completely *my* fault. You see,
> I didn't know anything about the code from my own experience. Worse
> than that, I could never conceive of myself ever using it, much less
"needing"
> it for any practical communications purpose. Much worse, I had "taught"
> myself how to send Morse code with a homebrewed code practice
> oscillator, and could send 20 WPM but couldn't copy even 2 WPM! My
> bad attitude, coupled with learning the code the wrong way, effectively
> kept me out of ham radio for the best years of my life. Fortunately, I
> overcame my personal objections, got some tapes and started listening
> to the W1AW code practice sessions, and eventually got my head wrapped
> around the concept of operating CW.
>
> >and (2) the type of people and the methods they
> >employ to get their message across. Maybe if the delivery of the alleged
> >benefits were "marketed" better by the pro-coders, maybe more
> >potential/existing hams would enjoy it instead of dreading it.
>
> I have come to the conclusion that there's no way to "market" the Morse
> code or CW to the satisfaction of people who are dedicated to their
> objections to learning it. The excuses are all too easy to make, and are
> now responsible for the reduction and eventual elimination of the testing
> requirement -- which will most certainly ensure that there will be a
> significant reduction on the number of Morse/CW users in the future.
> Those of us on the PCTA side are always blamed for not "marketing"
> CW and are constantly told that it is our "responsibility" to do a better
job
> of this "marketing" in the future. However, learning the code and getting
> first-hand experience in using it is the only thing that can possibly

> convince one of the benefits and advantages of this mode. It is also
> a very personal thing -- YOU have to do the work -- YOU have to make
> the effort -- nobody can do it for you. There really isn't any easy way
> to learning the code, but it does get easier after you get started and
> stay with it. Attitude is everything -- and if your attitude toward the
code
> is negative, you will guarantee your own failure. Then, of course, you'll
> have us PCTA's to blame, as usual. It is always comforting to have a
> readily-available scapegoat.

Whether or not you do code or not is not as much as the issue, as the shitty attitudes that seem to come with it. You gonna go to a car lot and buy a vehicle if the salesperson treats ya like shit? Basically I guess you could call it "grumpy old man syndrome."

>
> If you really want to show us pro-code people something, Ryan, stop
> your supercilious whining, and get over your "dread" on your own. Start
> working on learning the code with the mindset that you WILL succeed
> and that you WANT to become a proficient CW operator. Stop blaming
> others or levying a requirement of more and better salesmanship upon
> them. Stop making excuses, and start listening to CW. If you haven't
> taken the 5 WPM code test, then learn the code at that level, pass the
test,
> and then start using it on-the-air. Forego any further objections, blame,
> and ill-feelings.
>

I have the CSCE for 5 wpm, and also the general class CSCE, but have not seen any use for it based on my specific conditions at this point. Actually I need to submit those before the end of the month or they expire.

> You no longer have a progressive, incentivized licensing structure to
> motivate you, so YOU must now motivate YOURSELF. You may not
> realize it now, but you can do it. Nobody had to "sell" me on the code,
> because I *had* to pass the tests to bet an Extra-class license.
> Therefore, I do not know how to "sell" the code to you -- and I'm not
> motivated to try. You see, I have MY Extra class license, and it is one
> which was attained under a more challenging and comprehensive set of
> requirements than you will be expected to meet.
>

Again, if *you* (proverbially speaking with the words with * around them) want me to participate in something *you* hold dear, treating *me* like feces is not the way to get me to do something that is considered an elective, not a requirement in life as hobbies are.

> If you can learn to relax and enjoy the Morse code, you won't believe how
> much easier it comes to you! Like I said, *I* know you can do it. Now,
> go find that out for yourself!

I barely squeaked by, and if I had to retest, and probably will, I would have a hell of a time using it again.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3619. orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 05:15:47 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <66ed18db5c8149d86ebc6fc553c46fd0@xganon.com>
Source record: 51330

I keep hearing oriental guys on my freeband calling frequency of 27.555. Both my friend in San ANgelo and myself here in Galveston beam them best to the south west. Anyway I wonder is there any way to call the FCC on them as they are messing up my comms.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3620. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 05:49:36 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D647B70.FA34F66F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51331

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <3D631121.B50D0D3D@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >Well, it seems that the passengers on that 4th hijacked plane Sept 11th
> >fought with the terrorists to take the plane back. And apparently fought
> >with the terrorist pilots as the plane crashed in the middle of nowhere in
> >PA. I haven't heard any mention of any guns found at the crash site.
>
> More's the pity. If that aircrew, and/or some lawfully armed citizen
> passengers *had* been armed at the time, perhaps the hijacking could
> have been cancelled before it ever got to the point where the only to
> prevent the aircraft from being used as a high-explosive, guided missile
> was to deliberately drill it into the ground.

The plane may have been too low before someone who knew something
about flying even a general aviation aircraft could pull it out of a dive.
I've heard that commercial planes could be landed using an autopilot,
but I don't know if that's true or not. Someone on the ground would have to
walk thru the procedure with whoever is at the controls what switches to throw
to enable it.

> >Which likely means the terrorists didn't have anything beyond box cutters.
>
> Which armed aircrew or passengers could probably have stopped them
> in their tracks without even firing a shot! If you're armed with a boxcutter
> and I'm armed with a gun, what are you gonna do?

Kinda like that scene in one of the "Indiana Jones" movies, where a local
is menacing with knives and Indiana whips out a gun and shoots him about
10 meters away. But I'm not sure if firing a gun is such a great idea aboard
a pressurized aircraft as the bullet might puncture the fuselage. But I'd
risk that to avoid a hijacking....

>
>
> >I suspect the next time one of our planes gets hijacked the passengers
> >will assume it's a sucicide mission and fight the hijackers.
>
> I suspect you're right. Too bad our screwed-up society won't permit
> them to be properly armed in order to be able to win that fight and
> save innocent lives.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

3621. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 06:06:31 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D647F66.BA0B00BA@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51332

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <um7aogmlraeab@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> writes:
>
>
> BTW -- free clue -- it's my HF rig that doesn't have a microphone
> attached. I use VHF/UHF FM and SSB voice on a routine basis.
>
> >That's quite a trick using CW on a 2 meter repeater. ;^)

No, he just keys the key so fast it actually creates a digital* voice stream
that
the rig circuits are tricked into converting it to analog voice and
transmits it as FM. :-) *Pulse width modulation....

>
>
> I've been known to do that as well, Bill! Are you going to claim
> that using CW on a 2-meter repeater is not technically possible,
> legally authorized, or an acceptable amateur operational practice?
> If so, please, by all means, be my guest! It will be a most amusing
> thread!
>

There's even a 2-meter subband exclusively for CW. 144.0 to 144.1MHz.
And no-code techs could do code on it, AFAIK. Well, assuming they're
learning some code..... Maybe a local ham club could set up code
practice sessions and lessons using that CW subband and a simplex
FM frequency. Of course after each student's 2-meter rig is configured
to do code. It would same some time and trouble getting everyone
physically together at some borrowed meeting hall. And the students
could practice actual CW QSOs over the radio, thus learning some
operating skills. Though 2-meters is not HF, but still valuable.

3622. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 06:17:02 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D6481DE.4F5F0C17@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51333

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <3D63C6C4.FD0C5527@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >> VOX is definitely useful on CW; but it is VERY annoying on phone --
> > >
> > >Isn't "VOX" "voice operated xmit"?
> >
> > Correct, Bob. It is also used in CW; you switch the VOX on, adjust the
> > gain and delay, and from then on, whenever you key down the transceiver
> > goes into transmit mode for the length of the transmission, plus the delay.
> > However, somehow, I think you already knew that.
> >
> > >I think you mean the function that
> > >keeps the receiver switched off the antenna between key downs while
> > >you are pounding out code on the key.
> >
> > What you just described is a TX/RX relay, which is controlled by the
> > VOX circuit when in use.
> >
> >

Okay. Was actually thinking of the VOX circuit in CW mode and relay when I
said "function". No biggie. We're on the same page now.

>
> >I don't think I ever had that problem except for a short learning curve.
> > >Or if I just got done using my VOX operated HF rig and get on the
> > >2m HT I might start talking expecting VOX instead of PTT. But that
> > >is noticed rather quickly and thus easily corrected.
> >
> > Funny. I can make the adjustment seamlessly, repeatedly, and
> > with complete accuracy. Am I a better radio operator than you?
> >
> >

Maybe.... :-) I think I goofed it maybe once or twice in my ham
career.

>
> >Sometimes when on HF SSB on a 100W transceiver working some
> > >DX, I get back "Sierra Tango" for my callsign ending in "Sierra Echo"
> > >I then try "Italy Sugar Europe" which sometimes works. Maybe I have
> > >the speech processor misconfigured.
> >

> More than likely, most phone operators do!

I'll have to check it with a ham friend who lives about a half mile from my QTH. Using a band with dead propagation at the time we do it. Like 75 during the day, or 10 at night. So we avoid QRMing others we happen to not hear.

I don't think it's grossly wrong, but it might need to be tweaked. Also the mic gain.

3623. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 13:24:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ak2on7$9j9$0@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 51337

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <um6vs0d9cknf66@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >Oh, and, "look in" huh? You're full of shit there, too, dorkie Dick. You
> >can't help but wait with baited breath for a post from me or whomever your
> >chosen dislike for the day is...
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> You have "baited breath" Dick? It must work, since it seems to attract
> big, fat, ugly fish!

Where's the mouthwash? That's one "catch" I sure am gonna toss back!

3624. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 14:41:17 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <um9tto8dkauh60@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51338

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:66ed18db5c8149d86ebc6fc553c46fd0@xganon.com...
> I keep hearing oriental guys on my freeband calling frequency of 27.555.
Both my friend in San ANgelo and myself here in Galveston beam them best to
the south west. Anyway I wonder is there any way to call the FCC on them as
they are messing up my comms.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Yes there is just write to this address

Riley Hollingsworth c/o
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Make sure you tell him your names and
addresses (you and your friend), the
frequency you use, and all the rest of
the story just like you did for us. I will
bet that Riley will be very interested in
your problem.
--

'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3625. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 15:04:36 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <um9v9fru2lgj9c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51339

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020821233227.14603.00000575@mb-ba.aol.com...
> In article <um7aogmlraeab@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Larry
> >Your one heck of an operator! You said you went back to him
> >on a 2 meter repeater? I thought you once said that your radio
> >didn't have a microphone hooked up to it, because you didn't do
> >phone at all.
>
> Bill:
>
> I was discussing a QSO that took place on a 2-meter repeater, so
> yes, I did "go back" to him on a 2-meter repeater! I don't usually
> use 40-meter RTTY to hold up my end of a 2-meter FM repeater
> QSO!
>
> BTW -- free clue -- it's my HF rig that doesn't have a microphone
> attached. I use VHF/UHF FM and SSB voice on a routine basis.

Larry
Don't get all upset, I was just pullin' your leg a little that's all.
I was tempted to add a note at the bottom to say that I was
just funnin' you but I thought "no Larry's an ok guy, he'll see
the smiley face [;^)] and understand".

Forgive me for being wrong about you.

>
> >That's quite a trick using CW on a 2 meter repeater. ;^)
>
> I've been known to do that as well, Bill! Are you going to claim
> that using CW on a 2-meter repeater is not technically possible,
> legally authorized, or an acceptable amateur operational practice?
> If so, please, by all means, be my guest! It will be a most amusing
> thread!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

Using morse code on a repeater is legal as well as all the other things
you mentioned above. I just said that it would be quite a trick to do

it on a repeater.

If your trying to turn a little good natured teasing into a big blown
up fight about the use of CW, you won't get it here from me.
--

'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3626. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 16:37:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0208220837.230ca67e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51340

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020821134639.17953.00001815@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.
> >From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
> >Date: 08/21/02 12:35 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <bjP89.631$yd1.60184@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

> >Life is just a journey between two eternities, and it's a journey far to
> >short to carry the hate that you seem to treasure and nurture.

Yea, verily, Hans.
>
> I don't "hate" Lennie, Hans.

Not worth the energy.

> I am sure that under different circumstances
> that we'd probably even be friends as we share a great may common interests.

Amateur radio isn't one of them.

> However I do not tolerate ignorance or antagonism, and he has exhibited both > in spades.
He further does so while alleging to be "well educated" and a
> "professional engineer".

He thinks that Amazon is a profitable company. Connect the dots,
Steve.

It should be clear by now that his game is to incite others to behave
in ways that are embarrassing to the ARS. Also to incite dissension
and antagonism between hams.

Most of all, time spent arguing with him on Usenet is time not spent
on the air or on other amateur-radio-related activities. It's not even
time spent discussing amateur radio policy issues.
>
> He had great potential and has squandered it.

How do you know? In the years you've been reading his posts, exactly
how much real, usable knowledge and information have you seen him post
here? Was any of it not easily obtained from other sources?

Anybody can play the cut and paste game. W3RV gave an excellent demo
with the TTY stuff.

> I am sure he lives
> comfortably in his retirement now, but what does he do with it? He spends a
> great amount of it "here" lecturing about things he has only sideline

> knowledge about.

That's his choice.

Arguing with him only encourages the behavior. Behaving the way he does only lowers you to his level.

> How sad.

That's NMP - Not My Problem. NYP, either.

--

btw, the statement Hans used to start this thread came from an interesting source.

FCC has gone after an electric utility whose equipment (allegedly a 250 kVA transformer) is causing harmful interference to a ham. The utility has tried all kinds of evasions to get out of spending time and money to fix the problem. One claim was that hams are not entitled to such protection, same as Part 95 folks. Another tactic was to offer to relocate the offending transformer - at the ham's expense!

The FCC, notably Riley Hollingsworth, have not been swayed by these tactics and are forcing the utility to fix their problem at their own expense. FCC's position is essentially that the utility is in a Part 15 situation, and must not cause the harmful interference. It's an enforcement issue to the FCC.

Go Riley!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3627. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 16:46:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0208220846.26e8c209@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51341

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<ajitb5uur1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I have only what I heard on the John Gambling WABC NY AM talk radio
> yesterday. Apparently a group of homeowners has enlisted the
> support of the ACLU in opposing homeowners association rules
> in the Twin Rivers development in East Winsor, New Jersey.
>
> I have nothing else as to detail, but I'm looking on the net...so
> far with no results. I only caught a brief disussion from the radio
> which didn't state exactly what the lawsuit details entailed.
>
> If anyone else has more info, please post.

http://www.ccfj.net/twinrivers.htm

Although the above site doesn't specifically name antennas, it does
show how invasive the HOA rules can be.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3628. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 16:49:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0208220849.1076a440@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51342

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:<3D603ABC.9020900@adelphia.net>...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
>
> > > A number of us deserted the trolley when we, as Governor Evans put it,
> > > discovered that deer do not wear bulletproof vests and questioned the
> > > defense of teflon bullets. So over the years the NRA membership has
> > > become progressively more militant and progressively more stupid.
>
>
> And don't forget the idiots who stored many guns in a corner of the
> bedroom, imcluding their children's toy guns, then tried to turn it into
> a gun control issue when their little boy shot his little sister (with
> a real gun he couldn't tell from his play one.
>
> They lost, the spent some time in prison. I don't know if they got the
> boy back or not.
>
> I support the right of all citizens to own firearms.

Mike,

Even those with violent criminal histories? Or people with severe
mental illnesses who give indications of becoming violent?

> I support the
> responsibility of same citizens to use them in a very, very responsible
> manner.

Ever hear of Sylvia Seegrist?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3629. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 17:09:11 UTC
From: Big Bopper <BigBopper@27910FreebandGroup.net>
Message-ID: <ak35rbcqb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:66ed18db5c8149d86ebc6fc553c46fd0@xganon.com...
> I keep hearing oriental guys on my freeband calling frequency of 27.555.
Both my friend in San ANgelo and myself here in Galveston beam them best to
the south west. Anyway I wonder is there any way to call the FCC on them as
they are messing up my comms.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
Dial "FCC" to report this crime. FCC SWAT teams are on standby
in all major cities, and the perpetrators will be swiftly dealt with. The
crime of operating on 27555 will not be tolerated, and President Bush
has assured the American public that violators will be dealt with harshly!

Big Bopper
27955 Freeband Club
Portland, Oregon

3630. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 22:16:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020822181608.19565.00002010@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51344

>Subject: Re: 10 meter = CB?
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 08/21/02 23:58 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ak2l2p12fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>

>Guess I will have to wait till later in life to really enjoy this hobby...

As long as "later" is only an hour from now, I agree...!!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3631. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 22:28:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020822182818.19565.00002012@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51345

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 08/22/02 00:08 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ak2l2r22fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>

>If I saw ANY local or regional focus whatsoever I may also consider it. But
>again, all I see it as is a magazine subscription. (Excellent magazine,
>even though it is becoming more ads and less articles etc.)

Ryan, just how much of thier time/money/resources should ANY membership organization expend trying to woo you into thier ranks? If they are not doing things the way you think they should, why not run for office yourself and MAKE it happen? Shake things up a bit if you think the party is boring!

>That kinda sums it up... I wouldn't mind working some DX from time to time,
>but for the most part, I would rather chat with people where there may
>actually be a snowball's chance in hell I might actually ever meet them in
>life. (Typical ham motto "I have tons of friends worldwide, none in my own
>backyard...")

Soooo...??? When was the last time YOU took the initiative to head down to the local radio club meeting? Still waiting on that engraved invitation? Sit in on any of the seminars at a hamfest? Have you inquired of any of the local contesters if you could tag along next multi-multi operation? How about Field Day or the ARES activities?

How about putting out a "QST" on the local repeater to get a couple guys or gals together to arrange an ARISS contact at the local middle school?

There are about 3 million ways to get "interactive" with your neigborhood hams, but if you're waiting for the courtesy van to pull up to the front door and escort you to your seat, you may be a bit disappointed.

Don't wait for them. Take the initiaitve.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3632. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 22:31:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <aDd99.24$Bh2.2626819@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51346

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020821080655.05850.00000068@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <xsQ79.533$O36.350@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Note that when real. practical ham radio info is requested (like
> >> N0IMD's antenna dilemma) there is deafening silence from certain
> >> parties, and it's left up to the long-time-licensed AMATEURS to help
> >> out....
> > >
> > >Recall the W3RV replies. I hardly considered that help.
> >
> > I'm not him.

So you don't read anything that isn't addressed directly to you? If so,
then I guess you don't recall.

> The point is that no "PROFESSIONAL" who claim to have "been IN radio for
50
> years" had any info for you at all. Nada. Zip. Bupkis.

At least a 50 year professional knows when to say nothing.

> > >You and Ed did come through with proper and useful information, however.
> > >Thank you.
> >
> > You're welcome. But everyhting I posted was found in a few minutes using a
> > search engine.
> >
> > How's the antenna project coming?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

I've got the wire, 70' of black insulated 14ga house wire, and the have
wound 18ga insulated wire around the outside of a plastic 35mm film
cannister. I don't have the capacitor yet. I'm also waiting for my older
son to get the cast off his arm so he can help me on the roof - about 2 or 3
more weeks.

3633. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 22:35:10 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <yGd99.25$482.2049305@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51347

```
"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:um6vs0d9cknf66@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ajvovc$499$0@208.206.142.108...
> >
> >
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > Those who don't "buy in to the game" leave the whole game to those
> who
> > > > do.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > And those who don't buy into the game don't care about those who like
to
> > > play, and sure don't care about the game.
> >
> >
> > Hey, young'un, you're in the game if you're still breathing. You don't
> > know it, but lotsa 'someones' out there are making *all* the decisions
> > for you, and if you sit in your corner and pout you don't even know who
> > they are or what they're doing - for you or to you.
> >
> >
> > > It's a much happier life-style.
> >
> >
> > Yep. Ignorance IS bliss. And you're the poster girl.
> >
> >
> > > In other words: go impress someone else because ya sure don't impress
> me.
> >
> >
> >
> > Why don't you just go ROF and LY-ample-AO?
> >
> >
> >
> > > By the way...see you're still responding directly to my posts. Kick
> yerself
> > > again?
> >
```

> >
> > Occassionally when it REALLY gets slow on rrap I'll look in on what you
> > and Gadfly are still spewing. Since you're not really gone, afterrrrr
> > all.
> > Still pass ALL of Loose Lennie's, though.
> >
>
> Dick, you're so full of shit you're boring. Go share your paintbrush with
> Larry, eh? And your paint. He's running out. And, while you're at
> it...why don't you go play your "I'm ________" game with someone else?
>
> Oh, and, "look in" huh? You're full of shit there, too, dorkie Dick. You
> can't help but wait with baited breath for a post from me or whomever your
> chosen dislike for the day is...
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim, "dorkie Dick" is kind of catchy. But if I start using it Ed will be all over me and I'll lose my nice guy image.

Have fun and 73, Brian

3634. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 22:45:21 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5Qd99.26$Pi2.2705576@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51348

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:um8280jgiggac4@corp.supernews.com...
> We should be realistic. I note some radio show is about to be popped the
> the FCC. Seems they had contests as to who would have sex in the most
> dangerous places. Hmmm....so three people (one with a cell phone
reporting
> on the activities of the other two) get caught in St. Patrick's Cathedral
in
> New York. From the article, the FCC has been been blitzed by e-mail and
> telephone from a lot of (justifiably) angry folks in the big apple.

Is there a law against having sex in Catholic churches?

At least they were consenting adults.

3635. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 22:46:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3Rd99.27$6h2.2604212@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51349

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ajvnue$ut1$0@208.206.142.90...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > Perhaps if you actually said what might be wrong with the statement you
> > might not sound like the usual crank that we take you for.
>
>
> It might (but not necessarily in your case) be instructive to actually
> learn about the genesis of the FCC and it's mandate and functions. Most
> commissioners take their job seriously, though they rarely are
> technically well informed and must rely on staff for most of that
> advice. Not all are Reed Hundts on a singleminded mission.

So you don't know.

3636. Re: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 22:51:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8Wd99.29$Rl2.2893865@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51350

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0208210647.4ac92ff5@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<_AD89.2476$Bk2.278418736@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > news:b7d1465c.0208201346.5831c625@posting.google.com...
> > > Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
> > > VOX useful or annoying?
> > >
> > > 73 de Bert
> > > WA2SI
> >
> > Its no fun when the little ones come busting into the ham shack with
> > whatever the current squabble happens to be.
>
> I don't plan on using it that much. The QRP rig has it and when I
> travel, I plan on using headphones...so I figure I might as well make
> it a headset and kill two birds with one stone. That way, I don't have
> to lug a mic along if I use a BM-10.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

I like my Heil headset. My wife got it for me from K9EID at his factory in
Marissa Illinois when we lived in Belleville. I used the foot switch, but
found if I mounted to the side of the desk easier to switch with my knee
than my foot. I hated having my foot poised above the footswitch - got
tiring after a while.

VOX would avoid all that but.... I like hard switching.

Have fun.

3637. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-22 23:53:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ak3th9$7sq$0@208.207.71.174>
Source record: 51401

Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:ajvnue$ut1$0@208.206.142.90...
> >
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > > Perhaps if you actually said what might be wrong with the statement you
> > > might not sound like the usual crank that we take you for.
> >
> >
> > It might (but not necessarily in your case) be instructive to actually
> > learn about the genesis of the FCC and it's mandate and functions. Most
> > commissioners take their job seriously, though they rarely are
> > technically well informed and must rely on staff for most of that
> > advice. Not all are Reed Hundts on a singleminded mission.
>
> So you don't know.

No, *YOU* dn't know. And you still don't know that you don't know,
despite being told repeatedly.

3638. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 01:05:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020822210545.04751.00000192@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51402

In article <d22b54c3.0208170833.264f180c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>The schtick with the Telegraph 2 was that it was a mandatory "leaner's
>permit". Ya could not go straight to a Telegraph 1. Ya had to serve a
>one-year apprenticship under Telegraph 1s either at sea or at a
>maritime shore station to qulify as a Telly 1. I don't think there was
>a test for the Telly 1, instead you had to be signed off by the Telly
>1 ya worked for. Not very different from the way ya became a
>journeyman in the industrial skilled trades.

Something like that.

>I had no intention of pursuing a career as a professional beeper but
>the FCC did present me with a whizzy piece of wallpaper which looks
>just like my original Extra "certificate". Neither were or are
>licenses, they're basically FCC "graduation diplomas". Look great
>hanging side-by-side on the shack wall. The FCC quit providing the
>things decades ago.

Yup. I have my old Second 'Phone up on the office wall, next to the diplomas and similar things. I did not get into anything that required a commercial radio license so I just let it lapse.

Sure wish I'd asked ofr one of those fancy Extra certificates back when the FCC gave 'em out.

>> >I took the
>> >Telegraph 2 code test for my Extra and having passed that I figgered
>> >what the hell, it's free so I took a blind poke at the Telegraph 2
>> >writtens and holycow I passed that too.
>>
>> When was this? There were significant fees for the ham tests in 1968-1970.
>>As I
>> recall, the fee for the commercial test was even higher in '72. YMMV.

>1953 to 1968. Give or take.

That explains it - you musta did it when they were free. I managed to hit the tests at their most expensive. Worth the money, though.

>To the best of my recollection I've never
>paid the FCC a dime for anything and forget any VECs. Not for my three
>ham trickets, the Telegraph ticket or my 1x2 callsign in 1977+/-. In
>each instance the FCC was not in it's erratic on/off fee collection
>mode. Pure happenstance.

Right place right time. Back in 1968-70 it was $9 each time you went to the FCC office, pass or fail. Not cheap to a high school kid when minimum wage was around $1. Equates to maybe $40-50 in today's money.

But hey, Tastykakes were three for a quarter once, too.

>> >> >No Model 19
>> >> >putzbox to decode the stuff allowed, had to have the SKILL.
>>
>> >> Watta concept.
>>
>> >Needs to be explored further . . .
>>
>> Research continues.
>>
>There's defintely something to this manual digital on-off keying
>concept, seems to provide much better S/N ratios and spectrum
>utilization efficiency than any of the motormouth modes . . . maybe we
>should publish? Or should we continue the development effort?

I've been spending more time doing that than posting here. Perhaps a worldwide group research effort or two could be arranged this winter?

>> >My Kent KT-1 straight key arrived yesterday. Whatta BEAST! Wait'll you
>> >see this sucker!
>>
>> Neat! Maybe it's time to add to the J-37.

>It's the ultimate beeper's boy toy, pure artistry in brass and black.
>Perfect match with my Kent paddles. Almost $300 into two beeper's
>interface tools. Raw extravagance personified. Love it.

Anything worth doing is worth overdoing.
>>
>> >> > Beep-beep.
>>
>> >Beep. w3rv
>>
>> Novelty song from the '50s involving a Nash Rambler and a Cadillac.

>Ayup. Definite analogy around here.

Says it all.

>Some of the "Cadillacs" in this NG
>who sneer at us Ramblers need to remember who wound up ahead of who
>down the road.

In second gear, too.
>>
>Response to a question you asked in another post: Yes, I took
>advantage of the discount the ARRL offers elders for the first time
>this year. I let my membership expire for a few months until my Magic
>Birthday rolled around and mailed the dues the next day. As a bonus

>for dragging my feet and letting my membership expire the ARRL finally
>offered me feebie deals on on a selection of books if I'd "log back
>in" with a check. Got me a free copy of Hints 'n Kinks *and* the
>discount.
>
The Kelly-barracuda radar is in fine form, I see. You could probably convince them to send you the QST issues you missed, too. And get the Lab boys to wind ya a nice coax-cable balun while they're at it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3639. Re: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 03:18:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D65D3B7.6050207@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51403

Brian P Burke wrote:

> I like my Heil headset. My wife got it for me from K9EID at his factory in
> Marissa Illinois when we lived in Belleville. I used the foot switch, but
> found if I mounted to the side of the desk easier to switch with my knee
> than my foot. I hated having my foot poised above the footswitch - got
> tiring after a while.

Good idea Brian! My ffeet get pretty sor during contesting from all the
old ankle injuries, and I could use the knee trick to good advantage.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3640. Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 04:15:00 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <1b30cc0b705f87bf6324baf5ea027746@xganon.com>
Source record: 51404

I play music on 3950 and 14313 and sinmce im not a ham riley cant touch me. yall have a good day now.

73 de Tim

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3641. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 05:47:02 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ak59sf01i6m@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51410

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020822182818.19565.00002012@mb-mf.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
> >Date: 08/22/02 00:08 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <ak2l2r22fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>
>
> >If I saw ANY local or regional focus whatsoever I may also consider it.
But
> >again, all I see it as is a magazine subscription. (Excellent magazine,
> >even though it is becoming more ads and less articles etc.)
>
> Ryan, just how much of thier time/money/resources should ANY
membership
> organization expend trying to woo you into thier ranks? If they are not
doing
> things the way you think they should, why not run for office yourself and
MAKE
> it happen? Shake things up a bit if you think the party is boring!

Again... if there was any focus, I may consider it. Allegedly the ARRL
praises their "field organization." Where are they? I am a member of 5
different clubs in 3 counties.

>
> >That kinda sums it up... I wouldn't mind working some DX from time to
time,
> >but for the most part, I would rather chat with people where there may
> >actually be a snowball's chance in hell I might actually ever meet them
in
> >life. (Typical ham motto "I have tons of friends worldwide, none in my
own
> >backyard...")
>
> Soooo...??? When was the last time YOU took the initiative to head
down
> to the local radio club meeting? Still waiting on that engraved
invitation? Sit
> in on any of the seminars at a hamfest? Have you inquired of any of the
local
> contesters if you could tag along next multi-multi operation? How about
Field
> Day or the ARES activities?
>

See... there ya go pulling a "Larry" or a "Dick" and prejudging... As stated above I am a member of 5 different clubs. I am a position holder with one in fact. I am also on the committe responsible for the Midland Hamfest which is the third largest hamfest in the state of Michigan, and we are striving to make it the 2nd or 1st largest if we can. I have pursued the concept of getting the club members to participate in some of the many available contests out there but have not had alot of luck there. I participate and am active in my own counties ARES activities and also assist in two other counties. In fact for our own county, I am on the tail end of a project to equip all of the fire stations within my county (15 of them) with a 2 meter set-up to act as a backup network, if our Central Dispatch radio system goes down, which it actually has 2 times this year. I am working on the grant processes to get the whole thing paid for. I also maintain a "email reflector" of sorts for the mid-Michigan area also. The original statement was referring to getting know hams locally and then working outward.

> How about putting out a "QST" on the local repeater to get a couple guys
> or gals together to arrange an ARISS contact at the local middle school?

Again.... there seems to be a lethargy around this area. I have tried to arrrange and most likely am usually the only one getting involved with such projects as getting into schools, malls etc. Still trying to figure out what the hell is gonna raise these people out of the "funk" that seems to be around this area.

>
> There are about 3 million ways to get "interactive" with your neigborhood
> hams, but if you're waiting for the courtesy van to pull up to the front door
> and escort you to your seat, you may be a bit disappointed.
>

Yep... and I am either trying some of those 3 million ways or currently doing them. Never said I was waiting for a courtesy van or some other snotty comment. The whole thing was pointing out other hams I have personally observed. Great... He can talk with hams or tap-tap-tap with other hams around the world, establish friendships etc. but cannot even interact with his/her own local area hams. God forbid they may actually have to break away from hiding behind a microphone or a key.

> Don't wait for them. Take the initiaitve.

Don't prejudge. Get to know, not make assumptions.

Have a great weekend.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3642. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 07:55:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823035540.28619.00001967@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 51405

>Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
>(CC&Rs)
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 08/21/02 23:32 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020821233230.14603.00000578@mb-ba.aol.com>

>>Which likely means the terrorists didn't have anything beyond box cutters.
>
>Which armed aircrew or passengers could probably have stopped them
>in their tracks without even firing a shot!

I can't help but wonder if some of the folks thought about fighting back
but were worried about what thier "legal" consequences might have been had the
circumstances been different?

>>I suspect the next time one of our planes gets hijacked the passengers
>>will assume it's a sucicide mission and fight the hijackers.
>
>I suspect you're right. Too bad our screwed-up society won't permit
>them to be properly armed in order to be able to win that fight and
>save innocent lives.

I remember the case a few years ago where a young man "went beserk" on a
flight and the passengers subdued him. However he died as a result of the
scuffle and it wound up the "topic du jour" on "Montel", "Oprah", etc etc...

I wonder how many people thought about that and decided they would just
wait and see what happened rather than be harrassed by the daytime talk pukes?

Steve, K4YZ

3643. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 08:07:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823040724.28619.00001968@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 51406

>Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
>(CC&Rs)
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 08/22/02 01:49 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D647B70.FA34F66F@ix.netcom.com>

>But I'm not sure if firing a gun is such a great idea aboard
>a pressurized aircraft as the bullet might puncture the fuselage. But I'd
>risk that to avoid a hijacking.

Firing a weapon is one thing. Firing the RIGHT weapon and hitting what you aim at is another.

While I support the "armed citizen" concept, having them armed on a pressurized aircraft is another matter. You'd have no control over WHAT weapons were on board the aircraft nor of the qualifications of the person carrying it. The wrong weapon can be as dangerous to the passengers as no weapon at all.

For those with no CQB (close quarters battle) training, the cabin of an aircraft is NOT the place to be "packing". I dare say that I am a bit more qualified than some others in this forum in such matters, but even I would leave my weapon in checked baggage, even if it were legal for me to carry it aboard. There are alternatives that are as equally lethal for the terrorist and less likely to harm the other passengers if you know how to use them.

(K3LT)>Too bad our screwed-up society won't permit
>> them to be properly armed in order to be able to win that fight and
>> save innocent lives.

What's even more screwy is that one of these days a Sky Marshal is going to successfully cap a hijacker in the act and then some liberal coward will file a lawsuit claiming damages for the emotional trauma of having had to witness the act. Then the NEXT Sky Marshal or proactive citizen will hesitate the next time it happens to THEM.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3644. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 09:15:43 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <c8219f7013cc6d79ad88bb2c36678607@xganon.com>
Source record: 51407

please explain to me what the front lines for recruiting new hams are. i say there is none because recruition is almost zero. if there was one it would only be the 11 meter freeband. most other countries unofficially use it for this anyways. freeband harmful? prove that it harms anything other than a mars cap channel or two that were poorly chosen.
i've been dx'ing since the age of 12 and have seen alot of good foriegn dx'ers end up in alot of trouble. our fcc doesnt even exist when compared to some of the european radio police(thats right they have RADIO POLICE and not the friendly FCC field officers). we are a couple dxcc's away from having 300 activated on the freeband with no sign of stopping yet. take a look at www.alfa-tango.com www.irdx.org and www.dxnews.de or www.cluster.dk and look under some of the past activations. why is it so hard to understand a amp dead keying 50 watts and swinging 600? have you seen how standard radios perform after NPC mods? have you ever used a class c amp as a AM modulator? remember SCAM? have you ever taken a ab biased amp and driven it so low on AM that it dead keys almost nothing (lower than the actual input wattage) but swings near full output peak power? hese are just a couple of the times when its very common for a cb'er to have a low dead key and a high pep output with a amp!
this was very common and done purposely to save the sweep tubes and go easy on the transformer. tune thru the cb band and youll see a lot of AM signals that resemble a look more like a ssb signal would on the s meter. how incorrect or correct it may be i dont care but its far from uncommon or impossible.

Tim

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3645. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 12:09:51 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208230409.53306377@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51408

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0208202321.7d40452@posting.google.com>...
> wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0208171250.49d76e9c@posting.google.com>...
>
> >
> > I took Larry's advice and chose 40 and 20-meters. The SST will be a
> > 30-meter model. (Less congested band.) The PC-500 is compact enough
> > for me to travel with. Oak Hills Reasearch markets a "wall wart" power
> > supply for QRP rigs that delivers 13.7V @ 2.4A...enough for approx. 5
> > watts output. (But I'm still researching my options re. an adequate
> > power supply.) My aim was a nice portable rig, suitable for travel.
> > The PC-500 just fit the bill...and I got to support a fellow LI ham's
> > business to boot. (I prefer "mom & pop" shops.) The SST should see
> > active duty on local daytrips. (Next scheduled? Atlantic City.)
> >
> >
> Electrical noise oughta be heaps of fun in AC . . .

Hmm, hadn't thought about that.

> >Thanks
> > again and take care.
> >
> I absolutely agree with Larry's 40 & 20m suggestions as gotta-haves.
> But for the next few years at least I'd also have 15m available and
> I'd pop the additional $35 for the 15m board for the PC-500. Lotta
> easy long-throw dx on 15m and those guys are much easier to work on 15
> than they are on 20m and forget 40m JAs, etc. with QRP unless ya get
> extremely lucky.

I believe Patcomm is coming out with two dual band boards, a 40/30 and
a 20/17. I think picking these up is a no-brainer, although I do
believe that a 20/15 board would be better.

> Power supply: http://www.astroncorp.com/
> Check out the SS-10. I have two of their bigger units. Can't beat 'em.
> Not feathers but tough as nails and 100% quiet. Big shop. $81 at HRO.

Been looking into that. There's a NIB SS-12 on ebay that I'm trying to
snare for 50 or 60 bucks. If not, the SS-10 is definitely my best bet.

> Multiband easy-ship and quick-up self-supporting antenna.
>
> http://www.bright.net/~kanga/kanga/dk9sq.htm
>

> Go to the DK9SQ site for photos of the loop.
> One-man shop.

I didn't see pics of the loop, just the dipole. I'm sure it's right
under my nose though. I'll check again after this post.

> QRP autotuner: http://www.ldgelectronics.com/z-11.html
> Two-man shop. $145 kit.

Scoped out and targeted for acquisition!

> None of which have a damned thing to do with "policy". Tsk-tsk.

Oh well. Thanks for the advice, Brian. I really appreciate it.

> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
> w3rv

As always, 73. :-)

3646. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 12:45:16 UTC
From: Judah <Judah@rrapSanhedrinCouncil.org>
Message-ID: <ak5aoi$nk2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51409

These type of postings are not allowed, according to rules established
by the r.r.a.p. Sanhedrin.

Thank you,

Judah

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:1b30cc0b705f87bf6324baf5ea027746@xganon.com...
> I play music on 3950 and 14313 and sinmce im not a ham riley cant touch
me. yall have a good day now.
>
> 73 de Tim
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3647. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 12:55:22 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ak5bbrmhb1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51411

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:1b30cc0b705f87bf6324baf5ea027746@xganon.com...
> I play music on 3950 and 14313 and sinmce im not a ham riley cant touch
me. yall have a good day now.
>
> 73 de Tim
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

That's like saying... because I don't have a driver's license the police
can't touch me for speeding or any other driving infraction.

Ignorance is bliss I guess.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3648. xganon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 15:03:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <E8s99.2556$ld4.237074@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51412

```
Don't feed the troll
```

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
SOC # 291 http://www.qsl.net/soc
--
There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

3649. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 15:11:56 UTC
From: kinerm125@cs.com
Message-ID: <3e64731f.0208230711.41fd7e38@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51413

Ryan,

Hang in there! I've been into 6 meters for about 4 years, and for the first year or so I felt the same way. Then I got into the habit of turning the rig on whenever I was in the spare room I use as a "shack", as well as briefly every morning and right after work. Also helps to keep tabs on the DX spots online (dxworld.com is good). Eventually you WILL be there when the band is open. I can almost guarantee that after the first opening you won't want to part with the 5054! 73,

Matt N3SOZ

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message news:<ak2l2p12fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> I like the 5054, but am selling it due to the fact that I am never near the
> damned thing when there is a band opening. So far it works really great for
> the 40-70 mile local range, but I have not had the timing to be at the radio
> when propagation conditions occur....
>
> Guess I will have to wait till later in life to really enjoy this hobby...
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>

3650. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 15:19:23 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208230719.7ee3061d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51414

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<1b30cc0b705f87bf6324baf5ea027746@xganon.com>...
> I play music on 3950 and 14313 and sinmce im not a ham riley cant touch me. yall have a
good day now.
>
> 73 de Tim
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

This is obviously a forged post. HOWEVER, if you feel like Riley can't
touch you for the lack of a ticket, keep playing the music...but check
your mailbox and expect to be "touched."

> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---

Not Tim's style.

3651. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 15:32:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ak5kiv$mb7$0@208.206.142.73>
Source record: 51415

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
> >(CC&Rs)
> >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> >Date: 08/22/02 01:49 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D647B70.FA34F66F@ix.netcom.com>
>
> >But I'm not sure if firing a gun is such a great idea aboard
> >a pressurized aircraft as the bullet might puncture the fuselage. But I'd
> >risk that to avoid a hijacking.
>
> Firing a weapon is one thing. Firing the RIGHT weapon and hitting what you
> aim at is another.

One of my sons spent 6 months, on TDY from his usual Fed job, as a skymarshal following Sept 11. I asked him about shooting inside an aircraft, and he said they wouldn't hesitate if that had been the action of choice at the time. His training indicated that the rounds they use wouldn't easily penetrate the hull but they would attemp to shoot with the length of the cabin, not directly toward an outer wall. And those guys are trained to HIT the target.
I noted that I was glad he wasn't aboard with the Reid character attempted to light off the explosives in his shoe. Reid would've been history shortly.

3652. Anon posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 16:00:52 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <fff6371a3453cf006ba2aadb6c9e1e73@xganon.com>
Source record: 51416

```
NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
-------------------------------------------------------

Any coward can post anonymous trolls.

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
---
```

3653. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 16:23:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823122313.25398.00002779@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 51417

>Subject: Re: 10 meter = CB?
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 8/21/02 11:58 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ak2l2p12fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>
>
>I like the 5054, but am selling it due to the fact that I am never near the
>thing when there is a band opening. So far it works really great for
>the 40-70 mile local range, but I have not had the timing to be at the radio
>when propagation conditions occur....
>
Now there's a subject I rarely see discussed - time of day when various hams can operate, and band choices to get the most results at those times.

Most of my operating occurs in the evening or early morning. Maybe that's why 80 and 40 meters are my favorite bands.

>Guess I will have to wait till later in life to really enjoy this hobby...
>
All depends on what you require to really enjoy it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3654. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 16:29:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823122934.25398.00002783@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 51418

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: "Brian P Burke" bpburke@prodigy.net
>Date: 8/22/02 6:31 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <aDd99.24$Bh2.2626819@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
>
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020821080655.05850.00000068@mb-mr.aol.com...
>> In article <xsQ79.533$O36.350@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
>> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >> Note that when real. practical ham radio info is requested (like
>> >> N0IMD's antenna dilemma) there is deafening silence from certain
>> >> parties, and it's left up to the long-time-licensed AMATEURS to help
>> >> out....
>> >
>> >Recall the W3RV replies. I hardly considered that help.
>>
>> I'm not him.
>
>So you don't read anything that isn't addressed directly to you? If so,
>then I guess you don't recall.

I don't read every word of every post. What did W3RV write that bothers you so
much?

>> The point is that no "PROFESSIONAL" who claim to have "been IN radio for
>50
>> years" had any info for you at all. Nada. Zip. Bupkis.
>
>At least a 50 year professional knows when to say nothing.

HAW! That's a GOOD one!

The only time the 'professional' says nothing in rrap is when there's a request
for useful information.

>> >You and Ed did come through with proper and useful information, however.
>> >Thank you.
>>
>> You're welcome. But everyhting I posted was found in a few minutes using a
>> search engine.
>>
>> How's the antenna project coming?
>>
>I've got the wire, 70' of black insulated 14ga house wire, and the have

>wound 18ga insulated wire around the outside of a plastic 35mm film
>cannister. I don't have the capacitor yet.

How is that supposed to work?

With all due respect, I don't think that end-fed scheme will work too well. I hope I'm mistaken about it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3655. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 16:37:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823123757.25398.00002787@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 51419

>Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 8/20/02 11:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D630DA8.85198B0A@ix.netcom.com>
>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> I would also prefer
>> some
>> kind of "hands on" practical test, such as being shown to a workbench set
>up
>> at the test site, and be required to assemble a simple circuit such as a
>> one IC transmitter, using a fairly standard schematic and cheap, readily-
>> available components. Then the student could take a table full of typical
>> station components (transceiver, power supply, TNC, PC, antenna tuner,
>> antenna (coax lead in) and ground, and hook the up into an on-the-spot
>> functional station.
>
>Probably not easily done such that every candidate at every VE session
>would see the same level of difficulty. One could however show
>several diagrams of station setups, all but one incorrect to the degree
>that it can't work at all. Say a key connected to a linear and that
>connects to a transceiver and then to a power plug to the powerline.
>Silly one. Or a key to a transceiver that then feeds a TNC and then
>a linear and then to the computer and then the antenna.
>
This sort of thing is already in the tests. A block diagram is shown, with one of the blocks unlabeled. Examinee has to decide what device goes in the box (swr meter, tuner, T/R relay, etc.)

Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.

As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one kind or another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along. Besides the complexity, cost and subjectivity of such exams, FCC would also face the problem of 'discrimination' claims. After all, no other FCC license requires demonstrations of, say, soldering skills. Heck, 30 years ago, the test for my old Second Class 'Phone (P2-3-10341) was just a bunch of multiple-choice questions. How do we convince the FCC that the test for a ham license needs to be more involved?

73 de Jim, N2EY.

>

3656. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 16:46:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823124658.25398.00002790@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 51420

>Subject: Re: Signal Bandwidth?
>From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
>Date: 8/21/02 5:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <um8280jgiggac4@corp.supernews.com>
>
>We should be realistic. I note some radio show is about to be popped the
>the FCC.

"Opie and Anthony", heard here in Philly from 3 pm to 4 pm weekdays.

http://www.foundrymusic.com

is one fansite.

> Seems they had contests as to who would have sex in the most
>dangerous places. Hmmm....so three people (one with a cell phone reporting
>on the activities of the other two) get caught in St. Patrick's Cathedral in
>New York. From the article, the FCC has been been blitzed by e-mail and
>telephone from a lot of (justifiably) angry folks in the big apple.

Yup. Lots of folks screaming for WNEW's license to be revoked.

End result: "O&A" are fired. Show is off the air. Parent company Infinity still
has legal headaches to deal with.

>Everyone fighting over microwave frequencies. Cell phone towers. My guess
>is that amateur radio is not near the top of priorities with the FCC. Just
>a guess, but I suspect they'd like to see us truely self-police and keep a
>nice, QUIET, low profile.

Been that way for decades. The only reason we got Riley & Co. was the constant
begging and pleading of the ARRL leadership.

> I hope we don't become a pain three feet below
>the neck to the FCC.

Agreed. And that means we have to think about what will and will not be a pain
to the FCC. Because each such pain will, in the FCC's own words, move amateur
radio "one step closer to extinction".

73 de Jim, N2EY

3657. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 16:59:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823125927.25398.00002794@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 51421

>Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
>(CC&Rs)
>From: "Kim" seventythree@eightyeight.net
>Date: 8/20/02 10:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <um5vqhgk1d7v58@corp.supernews.com>
>
>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
>news:20020818233553.00278.00000150@mb-md.aol.com...
>> In article <3D600445.E71B86E8@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>> >> The best reason to have an armed populace is to ensure that there
>> >> are more citizens with guns than military personnel with guns.
>Therefore,
>> >> government tyranny can only go just so far.
>> >
>> >It also gives invaders a disincentive.
>>
>> I'd say a physical invasion of the homeland is a pretty remote threat,
>> but you're right --
>>
>
>Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Not to sensationalize the issue, but a
>physical invasion of the homeland happened at least on one occasion already:
>September 11, 2001.

Also in 1993, and December 7, 1941, and other times...

>
>> 100 million-plus armed and highly pissed-off (I hope!)
>> citizens would be not be a very welcoming thought for a potential
>> invading army.
>>
>
>Uh, knock-knock, hello in there! Those thoughts and sentiments may be OK
>when thinking it's going to take an invading army to rise the action of all
>those "armed and highly pissed-off citizens." However, no matter how many
>armed citizens there are, it is now a reality that everyone should realize:
>a) it doesn't necessarily take an "army" to invade, b) it doesn't take much
>more than a strike like one on September 11, 2001 to bring this country to
>its knees [oh sure, we can yell all we want that nothing has changed and
>we're freer than ever and...blah, blah, blah.
"brought the country to its knees"? You gotta be kidding, Kim.

> But, late at night when it's
>just you and your id, we all know it ain't so], and c) most of your

>proverbial "armed citizens" are nothing much more than a bunch of wimps who
>feel powerful shouting and flailing arms around. The minute it got serious,
>I daresay more than half of them would turn tail and run.
>
There's no way to know what a person will do until actually confronted by the situation. Note that on the date you cited. Americans did NOT "turn tail and run". Not in NYC, not at the Pentagon, and not on Flight 93.
>>
>> Even if they nuked the big cities and military installations,
>> they'd still have to deal with a by then not-so-well-regulated militia of
>> hungry, sick, economically ruined, and incredibly angry UmurriKans
>> who are looking to pop some nice ripe Commie Pinko gourds.

Except it ain;t the commies who are the problem anymore.

>> In fact,
>> it is the advance guard of the invaders, in the guise of the Liberal
>Democrats,
>> who are trying to disarm us so that they won't have that problem in the
>> future. Think about it.

Nah. There are simply too many Americans who won't stand for personal disarmament on that scale.
>
What we really need is to replace the Second Amendment with verbiage that explicitly states the rights of citizens in the area of weapon ownership.

>ROFLMAO!!!!!!!! Yeah, I'm a thinkin'
>
>> >Harder to occupy us if many citizens
>> >are ambushing your troops. Probably not so important today, but back
>> >in the old days before long range bombers and nuke bombs and cruise
>> >missiles it probably helped some. Heard that the British had big
>problems
>> >with snipers hiding behind trees and such picking off redcoats back in
>the
>> >Revolutionary War. And we'd try for the officers too.

Think of what the Palestinians are doing today. They think they are trying to get "their" country back.

73 de Jim, N2EY....

3658. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 17:03:44 UTC
From: Ted K <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org>
Message-ID: <ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
who give "special" tests.

Ted K

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:9b362532596f19916c3910cb2af86731@xganon.com...
> NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> --
>
> I can't wait for CW to go away!
>
> Know where I can buy a General ticket?
>
> I'll pay extra for an extra!
>

3659. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 17:09:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D664D0E.127D44E2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51423

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> That's like saying... because I don't have a driver's license the police
> can't touch me for speeding or any other driving infraction.
>
> Ignorance is bliss I guess.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

And Timmy ia just about the most blissful guy around.

3660. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 18:37:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zhv99.204$2Q5.18959516@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51424

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ak3th9$7sq$0@208.207.71.174...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:ajvnue$ut1$0@208.206.142.90...
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > > Perhaps if you actually said what might be wrong with the statement
you
> > > > might not sound like the usual crank that we take you for.
> > >
> > >
> > > It might (but not necessarily in your case) be instructive to
actually
> > > learn about the genesis of the FCC and it's mandate and functions.
Most
> > > commissioners take their job seriously, though they rarely are
> > > technically well informed and must rely on staff for most of that
> > > advice. Not all are Reed Hundts on a singleminded mission.
> >
> > So you don't know.
>
>
> No, *YOU* dn't know. And you still don't know that you don't know,
> despite being told repeatedly.

So you don't know.
```

3661. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 18:41:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Wlv99.205$zR5.19049581@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51425

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020823040724.28619.00001968@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
> >(CC&Rs)
> >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> >Date: 08/22/02 01:49 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D647B70.FA34F66F@ix.netcom.com>
>
> >But I'm not sure if firing a gun is such a great idea aboard
> >a pressurized aircraft as the bullet might puncture the fuselage. But
I'd
> >risk that to avoid a hijacking.
>
> Firing a weapon is one thing. Firing the RIGHT weapon and hitting
what you
> aim at is another.
>
> While I support the "armed citizen" concept, having them armed on a
> pressurized aircraft is another matter. You'd have no control over WHAT
> weapons were on board the aircraft nor of the qualifications of the person
> carrying it. The wrong weapon can be as dangerous to the passengers as no
> weapon at all.

The point is that it wouldn't have happened in the first place if the
hijackers thought there would be resistance.

> For those with no CQB (close quarters battle) training, the cabin of
an
> aircraft is NOT the place to be "packing". I dare say that I am a bit
more
> qualified than some others in this forum in such matters, but even I would
> leave my weapon in checked baggage, even if it were legal for me to carry
it
> aboard. There are alternatives that are as equally lethal for the
terrorist
> and less likely to harm the other passengers if you know how to use them.

Box cutters?

> (K3LT)>Too bad our screwed-up society won't permit
> >> them to be properly armed in order to be able to win that fight and
> >> save innocent lives.
>
> What's even more screwy is that one of these days a Sky Marshal is
going to

> successfully cap a hijacker in the act and then some liberal coward will
file a
> lawsuit claiming damages for the emotional trauma of having had to witness
the
> act. Then the NEXT Sky Marshal or proactive citizen will hesitate the
next
> time it happens to THEM.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I heard a bunch of newly hired skymarshals quit because they were made to
dress like skymarshals.

3662. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 18:43:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nnv99.207$2S5.19079290@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51426

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020823035540.28619.00001967@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
> >(CC&Rs)
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >Date: 08/21/02 23:32 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020821233230.14603.00000578@mb-ba.aol.com>
>
> >>Which likely means the terrorists didn't have anything beyond box
cutters.
> >
> >Which armed aircrew or passengers could probably have stopped them
> >in their tracks without even firing a shot!
>
> I can't help but wonder if some of the folks thought about fighting
back
> but were worried about what thier "legal" consequences might have been had
the
> circumstances been different?

> >>I suspect the next time one of our planes gets hijacked the passengers
> >>will assume it's a sucicide mission and fight the hijackers.
> >
> >I suspect you're right. Too bad our screwed-up society won't permit
> >them to be properly armed in order to be able to win that fight and
> >save innocent lives.
>
> I remember the case a few years ago where a young man "went beserk"
on a
> flight and the passengers subdued him. However he died as a result of the
> scuffle and it wound up the "topic du jour" on "Montel", "Oprah", etc
etc...
>
> I wonder how many people thought about that and decided they would
just
> wait and see what happened rather than be harrassed by the daytime talk
pukes?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3663. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 18:48:18 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <58526b11ae452ccff1827b63195cee4e@xganon.com>
Source record: 51427

no its true i hate code because i hate anyone who follows rules. i deal drugs and guns and porno. im not kidding about that either. this is not a joke look at my website.

Tim

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3664. Re: Yes, Lennie it IS a service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 18:51:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <quv99.209$VQ5.19015857@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51428

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020821115302.17958.00001778@mb-fx.aol.com...

> Who cares? Lennie long lost any credibilty or usefulness to Amateur
Radio
> as soon as his "I am against the code test" postings became a forum for
"Hail
> to Me For I Am A PROFESSIONAL" rantings.

Steve, can you name the exact post where you lost your credibility?

> His two-faced rants are now legend. His self-promoting rhetoric have
> forever dulled anyone's willingness to "accept" him, regardless of the
> technical competence of his material. He will always be "Lennie", the
> antagonist, and ner'ly "Len", the "engineer". He did it to himself.

And you did it to yourself.

> Lennie usually takes one of these hiatus when he's been getting his
own
> rhetoric and misdeeds fed back to him. Not even Brian Burke could feed
his ego
> fast enough to pad him against the bruisings he was taking from everyone
else.
> But like I said...he does it to himself. He get's no less than what he
> deserves.

We all do.

> But don't worry...I am sure he'll be back for more. He'll reinsert
> himself just when you think it's safe to go back into the water....
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Natch. And you'll be there chumming.

3665. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 19:16:54 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1b0672.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51429

On 23 Aug 2002 08:07:24 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>What's even more screwy is that one of these days a Sky Marshal is
>going to successfully cap a hijacker in the act and then some liberal
>coward will file a lawsuit claiming damages for the emotional trauma
>of having had to witness the act. Then the NEXT Sky Marshal or
>proactive citizen will hesitate the next time it happens to THEM.

As long as the Sky Marshal is acting according to "standing orders"
and not acting in a negligent manner, both s/he and the agency are
immunme from damages. Anyone can, for any reason, file a claim for
damages and a lawsuit to collect such claims if rejected by the
defendant. Whether it gets thrown out up front is another story.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3666. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 19:16:54 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1b0uw3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51430

On 23 Aug 2002 16:46:58 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>Been that way for decades. The only reason we got Riley & Co. was the
>constant begging and pleading of the ARRL leadership.

The only reason that Riley & Co. got to do what we "old timers"
in the FCC Field Bureau wanted to do all along was that the
reorganization of the Witless Telegraph Bureau under the "what
am I bid for this slice of spectrum" folks passed the WTB's "don't
bother me with enforcement matters" responsibility (but not
personnel except for Riley) into the (used-to-be) Field Operations
Bureau which was being run at the time my Richard "Semper Fi" Lee, an
ex-Marine recruiting sergeant. The time was ripe.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3667. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-23 21:30:08 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D66A960.44DAB42C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51431

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
> I heard a bunch of newly hired skymarshals quit because they were made to
> dress like skymarshals.

I thought that skymarshals were "undercover". That they look like average passengers until a hijacking is attempted.

3668. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 01:40:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823214056.19565.00002134@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51432

>Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
>(CC&Rs)
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 08/23/02 15:16 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1b0672.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>Anyone can, for any reason, file a claim for
> damages and a lawsuit to collect such claims if rejected by the
> defendant. Whether it gets thrown out up front is another story.
>

Therein lies the problems with out tort laws...On the one hand there are rules (ie: rules of engagement, Good Sam laws) that are supposed to protect the person rendering aid or acting within the scope of thier authority...on the other hand, John Q Public can STILL file any/all the lawsuits they want.

It MIGHT get thrown out on the basis of Good Sam or established ROE's, but the courts have a way of interpreting thier own ideas at any given moment.

One friend of mine witnessed a man have a heart attack...Did CPR for 17 minutes until EMS arrived and carried him on to the ER. The victim survived...and showed his gratitude by attempting to sue my friend for the ribs broken during CPR. Unfortunately that's a known hazard of CPR.

The case WAS thrown out, but the pretrial tit-for-tat was emotionally burdensome on my friend. Where's HIS justice?

73

Steve, K4YZ

3669. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 01:59:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823215918.19565.00002137@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51433

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 08/23/02 01:47 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id:

>Have you inquired of any of the
>local
>> contesters if you could tag along next multi-multi operation? How about
>Field
>> Day or the ARES activities?
>>
>
>See... there ya go pulling a "Larry" or a "Dick" and prejudging... As
>stated above I am a member of 5 different clubs.

I am not "prejudging" anything, Ryan...I asked you what have YOU done to change any of that of which you complain.

Geat that you are a member of five clubs...So WHAT are YOU doing to fix that of which you complain?

>I am also on the committe responsible for the Midland
>Hamfest which is the third largest hamfest in the state of Michigan, and we
>are striving to make it the 2nd or 1st largest if we can.

Wwell there ya go, then...

> I have pursued
>the concept of getting the club members to participate in some of the many
>available contests out there but have not had alot of luck there.

Welcome to the "lead a horse to water" factor, Ryan. It's inherent in almost every volunteer organization I have ever belonged to. Amateur Radio is no exception. Each Amateur will do as s/he see's fit based upon thier interests which may or maynot dovetail into what you deem as important.

>Still trying to figure out
>what the hell is gonna raise these people out of the "funk" that seems to be
>around this area.

It's part of the Burger King generation, Ryan...everyone want's it "thier way right away", and they don't want to have to do anything to obtain it.

I am very active in Civil Air Patrol. Have been off and on for 30 years. Lately we have all heard lot's of trumpeting about patrioism, volunterism, and "fighting back" against terroism, and many people have been looking for "something: to do. Unfortunately when presented the program and having had the

obligations of membership explained to them, less than 20% of those who express interest actually do anything about it.

And that's fine with me, acutally. I'd rahter have a handful of dedicated persons willing to do what's necessary, than to have a full roster of folks who are "along for the ride".

>Don't prejudge. Get to know, not make assumptions.

I am not "prejudging". I was responding to what you provided here, Ryan. Now that you have, I can say "You go, Dude!" Great job! Just don't expect a lot of hands wanting to jump in. And don't feel alienated over a lack of other volunteers not jumping in with you...it's not a phenomenon peculiar to Amateur Radio.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3670. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 02:14:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020823221434.08685.00000726@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51434

In article <66ed18db5c8149d86ebc6fc553c46fd0@xganon.com>, xganon
<remailer@xganon.com> writes:

>
>I keep hearing oriental guys on my freeband calling frequency of 27.555. Both
>my friend in San ANgelo and myself here in Galveston beam them best to the
>south west. Anyway I wonder is there any way to call the FCC on them as they
>are messing up my comms.
>
>Tim Nebo
>

Simple, Tim (that has kind of a true ring to it, doesn't it?) -- Just call
the FCC, ask for Riley Hollingsworth, and tell him you're sick and tired
of the QRM from those darned "orientals" while you're freebanding on
27 dot triple-nickle. I'm willing to bet that he'll give the problem his
immediate attention!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3671. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 02:14:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020823221434.08685.00000727@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51436

In article <3D647F66.BA0B00BA@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>
>There's even a 2-meter subband exclusively for CW. 144.0 to 144.1MHz.
>And no-code techs could do code on it, AFAIK. Well, assuming they're
>learning some code..... Maybe a local ham club could set up code
>practice sessions and lessons using that CW subband and a simplex
>FM frequency. Of course after each student's 2-meter rig is configured
>to do code. It would same some time and trouble getting everyone
>physically together at some borrowed meeting hall. And the students
>could practice actual CW QSOs over the radio, thus learning some
>operating skills. Though 2-meters is not HF, but still valuable.

Bob:

In my experience, doing code practice on a FM repeater or simplex
frequency is easier just employing FMCW by either introducing the
audio output of a code practice oscillator into the audio input of the
FM transceiver, or by latching the PTT "on" and simply placing the
mike near the CPO speaker. The resulting FMCW is surprisingly
clear, clean, and "punchy." On a simplex code training net I once
participated in, a very weak station at the limit of the repeater's coverage
area was easily "copyable" on the input frequency while transmiting
FMCW, even when his FM voice signal was an R3 at best.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3672. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 02:14:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020823221435.08685.00000728@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51437

In article <um9v9fru2lgj9c@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
writes:

>> >Larry
>> >Your one heck of an operator! You said you went back to him
>> >on a 2 meter repeater? I thought you once said that your radio
>> >didn't have a microphone hooked up to it, because you didn't do
>> >phone at all.
>>
>> Bill:
>>
>> I was discussing a QSO that took place on a 2-meter repeater, so
>> yes, I did "go back" to him on a 2-meter repeater! I don't usually
>> use 40-meter RTTY to hold up my end of a 2-meter FM repeater
>> QSO!
>>
>> BTW -- free clue -- it's my HF rig that doesn't have a microphone
>> attached. I use VHF/UHF FM and SSB voice on a routine basis.
>
>
>Larry
>Don't get all upset, I was just pullin' your leg a little that's all.
>I was tempted to add a note at the bottom to say that I was
>just funnin' you but I thought "no Larry's an ok guy, he'll see
>the smiley face [;^)] and understand".
>
>Forgive me for being wrong about you.
>
>
>>
>> >That's quite a trick using CW on a 2 meter repeater. ;^)
>>
>> I've been known to do that as well, Bill! Are you going to claim
>> that using CW on a 2-meter repeater is not technically possible,
>> legally authorized, or an acceptable amateur operational practice?
>> If so, please, by all means, be my guest! It will be a most amusing
>> thread!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>>
>
>Using morse code on a repeater is legal as well as all the other things
>you mentioned above. I just said that it would be quite a trick to do

>it on a repeater.
>
>
>If your trying to turn a little good natured teasing into a big blown
>up fight about the use of CW, you won't get it here from me.

Bill:

Well, of course I won't -- particularly since I thoroughly discredited
you in about a minute's worth of keystroking! I know, I know -- everyone
has a bad day sometimes. Sorry about your luck!

BTW -- free clue #2: I don't use or react to "smiley faces." I use words.
I say what I mean, I mean what I say, and I assume that everyone else
is doing likewise.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3673. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 02:14:36 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020823221436.08685.00000729@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51435

In article <9f4bfe89.0208220849.1076a440@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>> I support the
>> responsibility of same citizens to use them in a very, very responsible
>> manner.
>
>Ever hear of Sylvia Seegrist?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Yup. I do at least part of my Christmas shopping at the Springfield Mall every year. Of course, as mass killers using firearms are concerned, Seegrist is sort of a tame example, wouldn't you say? However, she proved beyond any doubt that a .22 rifle can be a lethal weapon!

I remember reading the story about this in the Stars and Stripes while I was doing my first tour in Germany (operating as DA1ZW).

73 de Larry, K3LT

3674. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 02:24:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D67188A.1020808@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51438

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Therein lies the problems with out tort laws...On the one hand there are
> rules (ie: rules of engagement, Good Sam laws) that are supposed to protect the
> person rendering aid or acting within the scope of thier authority...on the
> other hand, John Q Public can STILL file any/all the lawsuits they want.
>
> It MIGHT get thrown out on the basis of Good Sam or established ROE's, but
> the courts have a way of interpreting thier own ideas at any given moment.
>
> One friend of mine witnessed a man have a heart attack...Did CPR for 17
> minutes until EMS arrived and carried him on to the ER. The victim
> survived...and showed his gratitude by attempting to sue my friend for the ribs
> broken during CPR. Unfortunately that's a known hazard of CPR.
>
> The case WAS thrown out, but the pretrial tit-for-tat was emotionally
> burdensome on my friend. Where's HIS justice?

In my CPR class, that issue was brought up, and the instructor noted that anyone who was afraid of being sued should not attempt CPR. My own reaction and statement was that we don't always know who we administer lifesaving to. It may be a wonderful person, it may be a jerk, it may be someone who wants to play the lawsuit lottery.

I also noted that if I see someone who needs help, I would give it. I would give it even if I knew I was going to be sued. I would give it to a person who had already succesfully sued me for the same thing previously.

We cannot help it if we save an asshole, but we can probably sleep better at night. There's the justice Tell your friend he did the right thing. And to expect no gratitude from the wicked.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3675. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 03:08:41 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ZMC99.755$1v6.255@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hello I have something to say about back 40/ 50 years ago you had allot more time on your hands lets face it all you did have is radio and books to keep you busy now look whets going on TV , computers, phones a wide selection of music and hobbies it seems so strange that they want more people to get into ham but they get join the 21st century being a radio teck and with ham radio in the house if you can't pickup a mike and say hello you take it to get it fixed so that you can. I know a lot of people that would make great hams but like me there isn't enough time in the day for that meanwhile ham radio is dyeing , well I have taken enough of your time chow.
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Ted K" <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org> wrote in message news:ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net...
> Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
> Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
> who give "special" tests.
>
> Ted K
>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:9b362532596f19916c3910cb2af86731@xganon.com...
> > NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> > No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> > ----------
> >
> > I can't wait for CW to go away!
> >
> > Know where I can buy a General ticket?
> >
> > I'll pay extra for an extra!
> >
>
>

3676. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 03:57:09 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D670415.574598DB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51440

xganon wrote:

> I keep hearing oriental guys on my freeband calling frequency of 27.555. Both my friend in San ANgelo and myself here in Galveston beam them best to the south west. Anyway I wonder is there any way to call the FCC on them as they are messing up my comms.

Ignoring the posted sign "Please don't feed the trolls". I don't know what 27.555 is allocated to in Asia, but they just might be legal in their home country. But you're definitely not legal here in the USA.

3677. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 05:03:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ume4ts5l0th529@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Don't forget that everyone thinks all hams are old - think for a moment ... all were young at one time ... I got my license in 1962 and was active until 1970. What happens? Families happen. A lot of folks become inactive between their early 20s and perhaps 40 or more. The kids are gone .. one has more time .. and voilla! Back on the air. Yet, once one retires, there is even more time. My gut feeling (personal feeling only) is that this is what makes folks thinks all hams are old. Many are not; however, it is the retirees that have the time to become very RADIO ACTIVE.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message news:ZMC99.755$1v6.255@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Hello I have something to say about back 40/ 50 years ago you had allot more
> time on your hands lets face it all you did have is radio and books to keep
> you busy now look whets going on TV , computers, phones a wide selection of
> music and hobbies it seems so strange that they want more people to get into
> ham but they get join the 21st century being a radio teck and with ham radio
> in the house if you can't pickup a mike and say hello you take it to get it
> fixed so that you can. I know a lot of people that would make great hams but
> like me there isn't enough time in the day for that meanwhile ham radio is
> dyeing , well I have taken enough of your time chow.
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "Ted K" <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org> wrote in message
> news:ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
> > Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
> > who give "special" tests.
> >
> > Ted K
> >
> > "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message

> > news:9b362532596f19916c3910cb2af86731@xganon.com...
> > > NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> > > No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> > > --
> > >
> > > I can't wait for CW to go away!
> > >
> > > Know where I can buy a General ticket?
> > >
> > > I'll pay extra for an extra!
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3678. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 05:41:22 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <7cc534be2215a9f163c2e4d6a4c985e8@xganon.com>
Source record: 51442

Tom Bridge - Doc

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3679. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 08:12:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208240012.1258ee6c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51443

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020822210545.04751.00000192@mb-mw.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0208170833.264f180c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>
>
> Yup. I have my old Second 'Phone up on the office wall, next to the diplomas
> and similar things. I did not get into anything that required a commercial
> radio license so I just let it lapse.
>
>
Back then license terms were only two years, renewing the commercial
ticket involved more paperwork than it was worth to me, maybe I
renewed it twice then let it drop.
>
>
> Sure wish I'd asked ofr one of those fancy Extra certificates back when the FCC
> gave 'em out.
>
>
'Nother weird experience. I passed the Extra and the Telegraph 2 the
same day at the FCC office. When both were over and Joe Welsh told me
I'd passed I headed for the door. Joe hollered "Wait a minnit Kelly"
and he beavered away on some piece of paper. It was my Extra
certificate which he issued on the spot. I had no idea the FCC issued
the things. Didn't do me a bit of good though, I couldn't operate in
the new Extra setasides until the actual license arrived a month or so
later. The "real" Telegraph 2 license also arrived in that timeframe.
Then a month after that the Telly 2 certificate slid through the mail
slot . . ya din try to figger it, ya just went with the flow . .
>
>
>
> Right place right time. Back in 1968-70 it was $9 each time you went to the FCC
> office, pass or fail. Not cheap to a high school kid when minimum wage was
> around $1. Equates to maybe $40-50 in today's money.
>
> But hey, Tastykakes were three for a quarter once, too.
>
>
My Dad bought the three-story $4,500 suburban manse in which I was
raised in 1941 on his $135/month paycheck. Only fifteen years later I
made $135/week as a machinist at Westinghouse. Figger those incomes &
inflation.

>
>
> >utilization efficiency than any of the motormouth modes . . . maybe we
> >should publish? Or should we continue the development effort?
>
> I've been spending more time doing that than posting here.
>
>
GO!
>
>
> Perhaps a worldwide
> group research effort or two could be arranged this winter?
>
>
Yeah, CQ magazine is sponsoring a little "skip-shooting" getogther for
beepers over the weekend of Nov. 24 & 25th. Last year around 10,000
testers showed up. Not bad for a skill-based mode huh? Skill? Oh wash
my mouf . . !
>
>
> >offered me feebie deals on on a selection of books if I'd "log back
> >in" with a check. Got me a free copy of Hints 'n Kinks *and* the
> >discount.
> >
> The Kelly-barracuda radar is in fine form, I see.
>
>
Bite. Snap.
>
>
> You could probably convince
> them to send you the QST issues you missed, too.
>
>
I got away with that twice, I think they've wised up to me.
>
>
> And get the Lab boys to wind
> ya a nice coax-cable balun while they're at it.
>
>
Sterling idea Miccolis! Where the hell is Ed when ya actually NEED him
around here??
>
>
That one turned into a thread and a half huh? I still gotta sort out
the price ya pay in losses with ferrite cores vs. air cores vs.
bandwidth vs. weight & bulk. Not to mention the difference between a
balun as an xfmr and a balun as a choke and how ya measure the choking

effect without a $5,000 HP or GR impedance bridge. In the meanwhile Lew's RG-174 and a 1" series 70 core combination looks attractive for the 20/15m FD dipole.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3680. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 12:06:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824080634.15779.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 51445

In article <d22b54c3.0208240012.1258ee6c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020822210545.04751.00000192@mb-mw.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0208170833.264f180c@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> Yup. I have my old Second 'Phone up on the office wall, next to the
>>diplomas
>> and similar things. I did not get into anything that required a commercial
>> radio license so I just let it lapse.

>Back then license terms were only two years, renewing the commercial
>ticket involved more paperwork than it was worth to me, maybe I
>renewed it twice then let it drop.

Then yours was issued long before mine. Mine was issued July 26, 1972 and was good for five years.

>> Sure wish I'd asked ofr one of those fancy Extra certificates back when the
>FCC
>> gave 'em out.

>'Nother weird experience. I passed the Extra and the Telegraph 2 the
>same day at the FCC office. When both were over and Joe Welsh told me
>I'd passed I headed for the door. Joe hollered "Wait a minnit Kelly"
>and he beavered away on some piece of paper. It was my Extra
>certificate which he issued on the spot. I had no idea the FCC issued
>the things. Didn't do me a bit of good though, I couldn't operate in
>the new Extra setasides until the actual license arrived a month or so
>later.

Yup, no "temporary AE" stuff. In the late '60s they were running a 6-8 week backlog on any mail transaction. Getting a new Novice typically took three months (6-8 week delay between code test and written, 6-8 week delay between written and actual license, total time 12-16 weeks if you didn't fail anything).

>The "real" Telegraph 2 license also arrived in that timeframe.
>Then a month after that the Telly 2 certificate slid through the mail
>slot . . ya din try to figger it, ya just went with the flow . .

HAW

>> Right place right time. Back in 1968-70 it was $9 each time you went to the
>>FCC
>> office, pass or fail. Not cheap to a high school kid when minimum wage was
>> around $1. Equates to maybe $40-50 in today's money.
>>
>> But hey, Tastykakes were three for a quarter once, too.

>My Dad bought the three-story $4,500 suburban manse in which I was
>raised in 1941 on his $135/month paycheck.

$135 before or after taxes?

$135/ month = $1620/ yr
$4500 = 2.777 years salary

>Only fifteen years later I
>made $135/week as a machinist at Westinghouse. Figger those incomes &
>inflation.

WW2, Korea, postwar affluence and inflation.

>> >utilization efficiency than any of the motormouth modes . . . maybe we
>> >should publish? Or should we continue the development effort?
>>
>> I've been spending more time doing that than posting here.

>GO!

>> Perhaps a worldwide
>> group research effort or two could be arranged this winter?

>Yeah, CQ magazine is sponsoring a little "skip-shooting" getogther for
>beepers over the weekend of Nov. 24 & 25th. Last year around 10,000
>testers showed up. Not bad for a skill-based mode huh? Skill? Oh wash
>my mouf . . !

10,000 testers we know of. Many more who didn't bother to report.

>> >offered me feebie deals on on a selection of books if I'd "log back
>> >in" with a check. Got me a free copy of Hints 'n Kinks *and* the
>> >discount.
>> >
>> The Kelly-barracuda radar is in fine form, I see.

>Bite. Snap.

.....ze barracuda makez quick work of ze unzuzpecting feesh an' oez looking for
more.....

>> You could probably convince
>> them to send you the QST issues you missed, too.
>>
>I got away with that twice, I think they've wised up to me.

Worth trying, anyway.

>> And get the Lab boys to wind
>> ya a nice coax-cable balun while they're at it.

>Sterling idea

[N2EY]

>Where is Ed when ya actually NEED him
>around here??

He saw the barracuda coming.

>That one turned into a thread and a half huh? I still gotta sort out
>the price ya pay in losses with ferrite cores vs. air cores vs.
>bandwidth vs. weight & bulk.

Not worth the trouble. Both a decent bead balun and either coiled-coax type have negligible losses. The big questions for you are

1) How much balun effect does it give on the bands of interest? (more ohms = better)
2) How much does it cost in size and weight?

>Not to mention the difference between a
>balun as an xfmr and a balun as a choke and how ya measure the choking
>effect without a $5,000 HP or GR impedance bridge.

There's an article waiting for the person with access. Make up a bunch of optimized designs and publish 'em.

>In the meanwhile
>Lew's RG-174 and a 1" series 70 core combination looks attractive for
>the 20/15m FD dipole.

I never bother with baluns for a dipole.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3681. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 12:06:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824080635.15779.00000327@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 51444

In article <20020823221436.08685.00000729@mb-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>>Ever hear of Sylvia Seegrist?
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Yup. I do at least part of my Christmas shopping at the Springfield
>Mall every year.

Then we've probably passed each other and didn't realize it.

>Of course, as mass killers using firearms are
>concerned, Seegrist is sort of a tame example, wouldn't you say?

Not if she killed or wounded someone you care about.

>However, she proved beyond any doubt that a .22 rifle can be a
>lethal weapon!
>
>I remember reading the story about this in the Stars and Stripes while
>I was doing my first tour in Germany (operating as DA1ZW).

How much detail did the story go into? For example:

- She had a long history of mental problems, and had been committed several times for violent behavior.

- She had tried to buy firearms from a local Kmart but was refused because her behavior was too bizarre.

- The weapon and ammunition she used were obtained illegally. She lied about her mental health history on the paperwork required to buy them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3682. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 12:06:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824080636.15779.00000328@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 51447

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h1b0uw3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 23 Aug 2002 16:46:58 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>Been that way for decades. The only reason we got Riley & Co. was the
>>constant begging and pleading of the ARRL leadership.
>
> The only reason that Riley & Co. got to do what we "old timers"
> in the FCC Field Bureau wanted to do all along was that the
> reorganization of the Witless Telegraph Bureau under the "what
> am I bid for this slice of spectrum" folks passed the WTB's "don't
> bother me with enforcement matters" responsibility (but not
> personnel except for Riley) into the (used-to-be) Field Operations
> Bureau which was being run at the time my Richard "Semper Fi" Lee, an
> ex-Marine recruiting sergeant. The time was ripe.....
>
Thanks for the info, Phil. Well worth repeating.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3683. Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 12:06:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824080637.15779.00000329@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 51446

In article <ume4ts5l0th529@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> writes:

>What happens? Families happen. A lot of folks become inactive
>between their early 20s and perhaps 40 or more.

Nowadays it's more like 20s through 50s. Folks have kids later in life, get
remarried, etc. at a much higher rate than before.

And it's not just families, either. Jobs and other commitments eat up the
available time. Two-career families are the norm today.

>The kids are gone .. one
>has more time .. and voilla! Back on the air. Yet, once one retires, there
>is even more time. My gut feeling (personal feeling only) is that this is
>what makes folks thinks all hams are old. Many are not; however, it is the
>retirees that have the time to become very RADIO ACTIVE.

There's a lot of truth in what you say, Jim. Here are some other factors, all
supporting your theory:

A highly "radio active" ham may be quite invisible to much of the outside
world.
This ham's antennas are not obvious, and the shack is tucked away in a corner
of the basement. This ham operates when time permits, but is rarely seen at the
radio store, hamfests or club meetings because "as time permits" is at odd
hours, and all necessary ham purchases are done by mail or online.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3684. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 12:15:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208240415.1b87adfe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Ted K" <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org> wrote in message
news:<ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net>...
> Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
> Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
> who give "special" tests.
>
> Ted K

That's too much money! For less than $10 you can take the no-code
test. Then you can be just like Aanal Aaron!

3685. Re: New Book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 14:06:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824100638.12729.00000150@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 51449

New address and price for:

"The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy" by N0HFF

In the USA, send $13.23 (payable to FISTS) to:

Supply manager WO8E
44609 Bunker Hill Road
Clinton Twp, MI 48038

73 de Jim, N2EY

In article <3CB1D372.BA695B31@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>From "Worldradio", new products section:
>
>"The Art & Skill of Radio-Telegraphy" by N0HFF. Available from:
>Dave Clarke, VE6LX, 8607-34A Ave, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6K 0B9
>for $14. $4 S&H for US orders. $5.50 S&H for Canadian orders.
>--

3686. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 14:40:50 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <c3b3de3126b4eec72649a89244025699@xganon.com>
Source record: 51450

I'd give Riley head for an extra class ticket.

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3687. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 14:41:48 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D679B23.34753746@icok.net>
Source record: 51451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Tom,
I realize this is more troll than anything, but I'd
like to correct a few misconceptions you seem to have.
Back 40 or 50 years ago, people were as busy then as
they are now. There were different forms of entertainment,
and for the time, they were as well thought of (equaling
thinking with TV is sort of an oxymoron) as the forms
available now. Wait a few years, what's popular now will
be 'old' and quaint like what you think happened 'way back
then'.
The requirement to know Morse code is part of the licensing
process for privileges below 30 Mhz by international treaty.
Until those international treaties are changed, it's gonna
be there. The level of proficiency for the code has been
reduced to 'childs play' now. If you can't learn it in a week
or two, you are just not trying. It does require effort though,
and if your not willing to put out that little amount of effort,
well, you don't really want a license very much, and why should
it be given to you? Try it, you may be very suprized to find
out that it can be a lot of fun. If you have time for CB radio,
then you have time for ham radio.
I wonder where you got the idea that amateur radio is dyeing,
because it certainly isn't. The number of hams has never been
very large and considering that the radio spectrum is a limited
resource (they ain't making no more new frequencies), and that
the bands are crowded as is, who the devil needs a bunch of new
hams, where you gonna put them? As far as joining the 21st
century,
name one mode of communications that hams ~can't~ do if they want
to.
CW, all forms of voice communications, digital, TV, satellite
stuff,
and I don't know how much more, but you name it, they can do it.
So, if you want to find excuses for not getting a license,
that's
fine. If you want to believe amateur radio is dying, if that
makes
you feel better, then that's fine too. I have a suspicion that
it'll
be around a lot longer than you or I will be.
'Doc

3688. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 15:02:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824110253.15060.00002094@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51452

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 08/24/02 08:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020824080634.15779.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>

>Getting a new Novice typically took three
>months (6-8 week delay between code test and written, 6-8 week delay between
>written and actual license, total time 12-16 weeks if you didn't fail
>anything).

Took my Novice third week of September, 72, and was issued WN8OAH on 27
October 72.

First station was a Heathkit HR10B receiver and a 6146B crystal controlled
transmitter on 3720kHz.

Sure miss those fun days!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3689. Re: Signal Bandwidth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 15:04:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824110458.15060.00002095@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51453

>In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h1b0uw3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>....Field Operations
>> Bureau which was being run at the time my Richard "Semper Fi" Lee, an
>> ex-Marine recruiting sergeant.

FORMER Marine. "Ex" Marines are in Arlington, VA!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3690. AO-40 smoke test tonight.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 16:29:02 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <ivO99.4444$ld4.422166@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm going to try and get on AO-40 tonight (8/25/2002 UTC). First time. If anyone here is already on AO-40, try and work me. Thanks!

73 de KB7ADL
DN55, Montana

3691. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 17:01:53 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <5_O99.567$am1.258@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51455

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D66A960.44DAB42C@ix.netcom.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > I heard a bunch of newly hired skymarshals quit because they were made
to
> > dress like skymarshals.
>
> I thought that skymarshals were "undercover". That they look like average
> passengers until a hijacking is attempted.

That's what they thought.

3692. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 17:08:27 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <f4P99.571$xj1.81@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51456

:)

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020823221435.08685.00000728@mb-mq.aol.com...
> In article <um9v9fru2lgj9c@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> writes:
>
> >> >Larry
> >> >Your one heck of an operator! You said you went back to him
> >> >on a 2 meter repeater? I thought you once said that your radio
> >> >didn't have a microphone hooked up to it, because you didn't do
> >> >phone at all.
> >>
> >> Bill:
> >>
> >> I was discussing a QSO that took place on a 2-meter repeater, so
> >> yes, I did "go back" to him on a 2-meter repeater! I don't usually
> >> use 40-meter RTTY to hold up my end of a 2-meter FM repeater
> >> QSO!
> >>
> >> BTW -- free clue -- it's my HF rig that doesn't have a microphone
> >> attached. I use VHF/UHF FM and SSB voice on a routine basis.
> >
> >
> >Larry
> >Don't get all upset, I was just pullin' your leg a little that's all.
> >I was tempted to add a note at the bottom to say that I was
> >just funnin' you but I thought "no Larry's an ok guy, he'll see
> >the smiley face [;^)] and understand".
> >
> >Forgive me for being wrong about you.
> >
> >
> >>
> >> >That's quite a trick using CW on a 2 meter repeater. ;^)
> >>
> >> I've been known to do that as well, Bill! Are you going to claim
> >> that using CW on a 2-meter repeater is not technically possible,
> >> legally authorized, or an acceptable amateur operational practice?
> >> If so, please, by all means, be my guest! It will be a most amusing
> >> thread!
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>

> >>
> >
> >Using morse code on a repeater is legal as well as all the other things
> >you mentioned above. I just said that it would be quite a trick to do
> >it on a repeater.
> >
> >
> >If your trying to turn a little good natured teasing into a big blown
> >up fight about the use of CW, you won't get it here from me.
>
> Bill:
>
> Well, of course I won't -- particularly since I thoroughly discredited
> you in about a minute's worth of keystroking! I know, I know -- everyone
> has a bad day sometimes. Sorry about your luck!
>
> BTW -- free clue #2: I don't use or react to "smiley faces." I use
words.
> I say what I mean, I mean what I say, and I assume that everyone else
> is doing likewise.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

3693. Re: New Book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 17:20:48 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <QfP99.574$%o1.498@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51457

So now the FCC is giving "Art" exams?

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020824100638.12729.00000150@mb-cs.aol.com...
> New address and price for:
>
> "The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy" by N0HFF
>
> In the USA, send $13.23 (payable to FISTS) to:
>
> Supply manager WO8E
> 44609 Bunker Hill Road
> Clinton Twp, MI 48038
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> In article <3CB1D372.BA695B31@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>
> >From "Worldradio", new products section:
> >
> >"The Art & Skill of Radio-Telegraphy" by N0HFF. Available from:
> >Dave Clarke, VE6LX, 8607-34A Ave, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6K 0B9
> >for $14. $4 S&H for US orders. $5.50 S&H for Canadian orders.
> >--
>
>

3694. Re: Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 17:28:14 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <OmP99.575$uo1.533@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51458

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020824080637.15779.00000329@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <ume4ts5l0th529@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> writes:
>
> >What happens? Families happen. A lot of folks become inactive
> >between their early 20s and perhaps 40 or more.
>
> Nowadays it's more like 20s through 50s. Folks have kids later in life,
get
> remarried, etc. at a much higher rate than before.
>
> And it's not just families, either. Jobs and other commitments eat up the
> available time. Two-career families are the norm today.

Jim, so other hams can remarry and have children later in life, dual
careers, etc., but when Brian remarries later in life, has children, and
starts a second career, it's no excuse for not devoting an incredible number
of hours to increasing his code speed for your pleasure?

Brian

3695. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 18:40:31 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ak8jut$1iv$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51459

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020823123757.25398.00002787@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
> >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> >Date: 8/20/02 11:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3D630DA8.85198B0A@ix.netcom.com>
> >
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> >> I would also prefer
> >> some
> >> kind of "hands on" practical test, such as being shown to a workbench
set
> >up
> >> at the test site, and be required to assemble a simple circuit such as
a
> >> one IC transmitter, using a fairly standard schematic and cheap,
readily-
> >> available components. Then the student could take a table full of
typical
> >> station components (transceiver, power supply, TNC, PC, antenna tuner,
> >> antenna (coax lead in) and ground, and hook the up into an on-the-spot
> >> functional station.
> >
> >Probably not easily done such that every candidate at every VE session
> >would see the same level of difficulty. One could however show
> >several diagrams of station setups, all but one incorrect to the degree
> >that it can't work at all. Say a key connected to a linear and that
> >connects to a transceiver and then to a power plug to the powerline.
> >Silly one. Or a key to a transceiver that then feeds a TNC and then
> >a linear and then to the computer and then the antenna.
> >
> This sort of thing is already in the tests. A block diagram is shown, with
one
> of the blocks unlabeled. Examinee has to decide what device goes in the
box
> (swr meter, tuner, T/R relay, etc.)
>
> Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.

A point you (Jim N2EY) and I have agreed on for many moons.

> As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one kind or
> another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along.

I'd go so far to say it is 100% certainty that the FCC
wouldn't go along.

> Besides the
> complexity, cost and subjectivity of such exams, FCC would also face the
> problem of 'discrimination' claims.

The issue goes to the subjective nature of practical tests.
One person's OK solder joint is unsat to someone else.

> After all, no other FCC license requires
> demonstrations of, say, soldering skills. Heck, 30 years ago, the test for
my
> old Second Class 'Phone (P2-3-10341) was just a bunch of multiple-choice
> questions. How do we convince the FCC that the test for a ham license
needs to
> be more involved?

The reality of actual careers is that the practical is usually a
"blue-collar" job while many engineers never need to solder
a connection at all. In the R&D areas I have been a part
of at times, there were technicians that built circuits and
ran the tests which the engineers/developers thought up.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3696. Re: Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 18:54:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824145413.04751.00000252@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51460

In article <OmP99.575$uo1.533@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Jim, so other hams can remarry and have children later in life, dual
>careers, etc., but when Brian remarries later in life, has children, and
>starts a second career, it's no excuse for not devoting an incredible number
>of hours to increasing his code speed for your pleasure?

Who said that? Not me.

How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10 years?

It does seem like Brian has spent an incredible number of hours posting to rrap, though ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3697. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 19:52:33 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <5uR99.172$fx1.131080@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 51461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Tec class license doesn't require a code ticket so what are you too lazy to do the Tec test or just making excuses??? Ham dieing while it is reporting a 1% per year growth in both Canada and the US. In many countries they have had to add more prefixes to accommodate the growth. CB is what is dieing with many countries getting rid of the service all together and selling parts of 11 meters to commercial interests.

"Tom Bridge - Doc" <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message news:ZMC99.755$1v6.255@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Hello I have something to say about back 40/ 50 years ago you had allot more
> time on your hands lets face it all you did have is radio and books to keep
> you busy now look whets going on TV , computers, phones a wide selection of
> music and hobbies it seems so strange that they want more people to get into
> ham but they get join the 21st century being a radio teck and with ham radio
> in the house if you can't pickup a mike and say hello you take it to get it
> fixed so that you can. I know a lot of people that would make great hams but
> like me there isn't enough time in the day for that meanwhile ham radio is
> dyeing , well I have taken enough of your time chow.
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "Ted K" <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org> wrote in message
> news:ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
> > Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
> > who give "special" tests.
> >
> > Ted K
> >
> > "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > news:9b362532596f19916c3910cb2af86731@xganon.com...
> > > NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> > > No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> > > ----------

> > >
> > > I can't wait for CW to go away!
> > >
> > > Know where I can buy a General ticket?
> > >
> > > I'll pay extra for an extra!
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3698. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 20:06:20 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns927485748EE62subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 51462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in news:3D679B23.34753746@icok.net:

> Tom,
> I realize this is more troll than anything, but I'd
> like to correct a few misconceptions you seem to have.
> Back 40 or 50 years ago, people were as busy then as
> they are now. There were different forms of entertainment,
> and for the time, they were as well thought of (equaling
> thinking with TV is sort of an oxymoron) as the forms
> available now. Wait a few years, what's popular now will
> be 'old' and quaint like what you think happened 'way back
> then'.
> The requirement to know Morse code is part of the licensing
> process for privileges below 30 Mhz by international treaty.
> Until those international treaties are changed, it's gonna
> be there. The level of proficiency for the code has been
> reduced to 'childs play' now. If you can't learn it in a week
> or two, you are just not trying. It does require effort though,
> and if your not willing to put out that little amount of effort,
> well, you don't really want a license very much, and why should
> it be given to you? Try it, you may be very suprized to find
> out that it can be a lot of fun. If you have time for CB radio,
> then you have time for ham radio.
> I wonder where you got the idea that amateur radio is dyeing,
> because it certainly isn't. The number of hams has never been
> very large and considering that the radio spectrum is a limited
> resource (they ain't making no more new frequencies), and that
> the bands are crowded as is, who the devil needs a bunch of new
> hams, where you gonna put them? As far as joining the 21st
> century,
> name one mode of communications that hams ~can't~ do if they want
> to.
> CW, all forms of voice communications, digital, TV, satellite
> stuff,
> and I don't know how much more, but you name it, they can do it.
> So, if you want to find excuses for not getting a license,
> that's
> fine. If you want to believe amateur radio is dying, if that
> makes
> you feel better, then that's fine too. I have a suspicion that
> it'll
> be around a lot longer than you or I will be.
> 'Doc

Well said.

Alan S.

3699. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 21:29:45 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <umfujho11qfb55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51463

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020823221435.08685.00000728@mb-mq.aol.com...
> In article <um9v9fru2lgj9c@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> writes:
>
> >> >Larry
> >> >Your one heck of an operator! You said you went back to him
> >> >on a 2 meter repeater? I thought you once said that your radio
> >> >didn't have a microphone hooked up to it, because you didn't do
> >> >phone at all.
> >>
> >> Bill:
> >>
> >> I was discussing a QSO that took place on a 2-meter repeater, so
> >> yes, I did "go back" to him on a 2-meter repeater! I don't usually
> >> use 40-meter RTTY to hold up my end of a 2-meter FM repeater
> >> QSO!
> >>
> >> BTW -- free clue -- it's my HF rig that doesn't have a microphone
> >> attached. I use VHF/UHF FM and SSB voice on a routine basis.
> >>
> >
> >Larry
> >Don't get all upset, I was just pullin' your leg a little that's all.
> >I was tempted to add a note at the bottom to say that I was
> >just funnin' you but I thought "no Larry's an ok guy, he'll see
> >the smiley face [;^)] and understand".
> >
> >Forgive me for being wrong about you.
> >
> >
> >>
> >> >That's quite a trick using CW on a 2 meter repeater. ;^)
> >>
> >> I've been known to do that as well, Bill! Are you going to claim
> >> that using CW on a 2-meter repeater is not technically possible,
> >> legally authorized, or an acceptable amateur operational practice?
> >> If so, please, by all means, be my guest! It will be a most amusing
> >> thread!
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >>
> >

> >Using morse code on a repeater is legal as well as all the other things
> >you mentioned above. I just said that it would be quite a trick to do
> >it on a repeater.
> >
> >
> >If your trying to turn a little good natured teasing into a big blown
> >up fight about the use of CW, you won't get it here from me.
>
> Bill:
>
> Well, of course I won't -- particularly since I thoroughly discredited
> you in about a minute's worth of keystroking! I know, I know -- everyone
> has a bad day sometimes. Sorry about your luck!
>
> BTW -- free clue #2: I don't use or react to "smiley faces." I use
words.
> I say what I mean, I mean what I say, and I assume that everyone else
> is doing likewise.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

OK if you don't react to "smiley faces" """IF""" I ever try to be funny
with something you have said in a post I'll tell you in plain English that
I'm making a joke so you'll know!

The following warning is for the humor impaired people,
you all know who you are.

.= WARNING JOKE APROACHING =.

OTOH not reacting to smiley faces might be a good thing
especially when shopping at Wal-Mart!!

This is the part of the post where you are supposed to
chuckle at the funny statement wrote above.

Man I think I'M the one who needs to get a life here,
instead of posting on dumb NG's!

--
'73' From KB9TMP going QRT
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3700. ARNewsline 1305 - Aug 16 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 21:32:18 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824173218.04941.00003665@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 51464

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1305 August 16th, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1305 with a release date of Friday, August 16th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

Japan says no to putting communications over power lines and the NCVEC says YES to remote testing. These stories are first on amateur Radio Newsline report number 1305 coming your way right now!

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

SPECTRUM NEEDS: NO PLACE FOR PLT IN JAPAN

Proponents of using Power Line Transmission or PLT technology have suffered a major setback. Telecommunications regulators in Japan have said NO to its implementation after tests show that the system could interfere with other spectrum users including ham radio. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, has more:

--

The RSGB has learned from its contacts in Japan who have been working on problems of interference from Powerline Telecommunications Systems that, following extensive trials, the Ministry of Public Management, Home Affairs, Posts and Telecommunications has decided not to permit the roll-out of PLT systems operating in the range 2 to 30MHz in Japan. Japanese studies have shown that emissions from PLT are harmful to HF communications and all requests from PLT manufacturers to operate PLT systems have been refused. The RSGB has been told that the Japanese amateur society, JARL, has been actively working with the government, along with radio astronomers, broadcasters and others, to assess the impact of PLT systems on the radio spectrum. We understand that this decision has been given much publicity in the Japanese national press, which has highlighted concerns about interference to safety-of-life services.

--

What about Power Line Transmission systems being proposed elsewhere in the world? G4NJH says that in Europe, the Radio Society of Great Britain continues to press for tight limits on emissions from all wireline based telecommunications systems. Also that it is working with other High Frequency users to try to ensure that the spectrum remains uncontaminated by wideband noise. (GB2RS)

**

THE VE TESTING SYSTEM: NCVEC SAYS YES TO REMOTE TESTING

The National Conference of Volunteer Examiner Coordinators have endorsed experimental use of videoconferencing technology to conduct Amateur Radio testing in remote areas of Alaska. Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW reports:

--

An ARRL bulletin says that it all happened when the nations VEC's gathered with the FCC to discuss the all volunteer testing system and ways to inprove it. Meeting July 26th in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, the National Conference of Volunteer Examiner Coordinators or NCVEC voted to back a one-year trial run to be conducted by the Anchorage Volunteer Examiner Coordinator in Alaska.

Jim Wiley, KL7CC, of the Anchorage group told his VEC colleagues that it's very expensive to provide Amateur Radio test sessions to the thousands of Alaska residents who live in remote areas. The vote followed discussion on whether having a VE team remotely monitor a test session while an unlicensed individual proctored the exams on site would comply with FCC Part 97 rules.

Section 97.509(c) of these rules says that three VE's must be present and observing the examinees during a test session. But Bill Cross, W3TN, of the FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, pointed out that the VEC and the VE's are responsible for the proper conduct of the exams. He also said that no rule changes appeared necessary because VEC's already have authority to determine the manner in which their VE teams conduct examination sessions. So the test of videoconferencing ham radio tests will go forward.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW.

--

The Anchorage VEC says that it will provide progress reports to the NCVEC on the videoconferencing experiment. (ARRL)

**

ENFORCEMENT: THOSE REPEATER WARS

Repeater wars continue among hams on both the east and west coasts. And the CQ Magazine website says that those involved are dragging the FCC into battles the agency would really rather the ham community settle on its own.

Two examples. In West Virginia, where an uncoordinated repeater was interfering with a coordinated repeater in Virginia, the FCC ordered the owners of the uncoordinated repeater last winter to resolve the problems. However, it appears that interference is continuing and the FCC is again looking to the owners of the West Virginia repeater to investigate and resolve the complaints.

In California, a strange one. Last March the FCC found no enforcement issues involving a repeater in Sonoma County following complaints from another repeater group. Now, users of the Sonoma repeater are complaining of interference from the repeater whose trustee originally complained to the Commission about their repeater. (CQ)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the W9SEH repeater serving Champaign Illinois.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FAX BROADCASTER FINED $5.4 MILLION FOR JUNK FAXES

The Federal Communications Commission issued a record fine of over five million dollars against a company for sending junk faxes to businesses and consumers. Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH, at our South-East Bureau has the details.

--
The August 7th fine against fax.com of Aliso Viejo, California is the largest ever by the commission for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. It is also the first against a company known as a fax broadcaster.

According to an FCC release, fax.com was paid to send unsolicited advertisements and other messages on behalf of more than 100 businesses. And FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy says that despite repeated warnings from the commission and numerous consumer complaints, fax.com appears to have made no effort to mend its ways.

Commissioner Abernathy added that the company appears to have founded its business on the practice of sending unsolicited faxes in flagrant violation of that law. This has resulted in the fax.com being cited for close to 500 violations at about $11,000 per violation. Thats the maximum penalty and it adds up to $5.4 million due to Uncle Sam.

But a lawyer representing fax.com has another opinion. Attorney Mary Ann Wymore says that the company feels the rules on unsolicited advertising are an unconstitutional restriction of her clients freedom of speech. And Wymore indicates that fax.com is going to challenge it in the federal courts.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I',m David Black KB4KCH.

--

fax.com has been given only the customary 30 days to pay the fine or file an appeal. The FCC is also issuing citations to more than 100 businesses that used the services provided by fax.com. (Adapted from FCC release and published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: DONT ADVERTISE BANNED GEAR ON THE WWW

The CQ website reports some unofficial advice from the FCC: If you're going to sell non FCC approved amateur and CB equipment, don't advertise it on the World Wide Web!

Case in point. A company in Wisconsin we have reported on before. Roper Electronics which was asked by the Commission back on June 18th to explain why it was alleged web advertising non-certified CB transceivers and HF linear amplifiers on its website. The company was also ordered to submit a list of all High Frequency transceivers and amplifiers sold within the US or offered for sale during 2002, along with the FCC certification number for each model.

The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth says that his office has received a answer to the inquiry from Randi Owen. Owen is the owner of Roper Electronics. Hollingsworth says that his office and the local FCC field office is reviewing Owen's reply. (CQ)

**

RADIO LAW: DIGITAL TUNERS TO BE REQUIRED FOR ALMOST ALL TV SETS

The FCC has decreed that nearly all television receivers made after July of 2007 must include the ability to receive and display digital transmissions. Digital tuners in some of the larger sets will be required by July 1, 2004. All new sets of 13" and larger must have digital receiving capability by July 1, 2007.

TV set manufacturers are opposed to the new law. They say that it will add at least $250 to the price of every receiver sold. Also, that there is not enough digital programming to watch.

The FCC has another view. It is convinced that forcing the public to buy sets equipped with digital tuners will help speed up the conversion to an all digital t-v broadcast system.

You can read the full details on line at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-225221A1.doc (From FCC release)

**

PRESERVING THE PAST: HAM RADIO COMING TO THE USS HORNET

Tom Hale, KB6SSN, tells the Amateur Radio Newsline that the staff of the USS Hornet Museum in Alameda, California has agreed to a ham radio project. The managers of the Hornet will allow a group of Amateur Radio operators rebuild and restore the original radio systems aboard the ship.

This will be a multi-year project three phase plan that includes getting modern commercial ham gear up and running aboard the Hornet as soon as possible. The planners are looking to contact anyone who served aboard the USS Hornet. If you did or if you know someone who did please have them contact Tom Hale KB6SSN by e-mail to tom@halemail.com. (KB6SSN)

**

WITH THE HANDI HAMS: SUMMER RADIO CAMP IS FULL

The Handi Hams report that their Summer 2002 Minnesota Radio Camp session is full but the group will hold its Winter camp in Malibu, California from March 2 through 9, 2003. Those interested in attending the 2003 outing are requested

to e-mail hamradio@courage.org to request an application. Applications will be mailed out as soon as they are printed and available. (Handi Hams)

**

HAM RADIO IN THE MEDIA: MORE DONATIONS TO “THE HUMAN RACE”

Kenwood Corporation will be the supplier of hand held radios for the t-v show The Human Race. And the shows producer, Bill Desjardins, W1ZY, says that he is happy to have Kenwood on board:

--
W1ZY: “We are certainly thankful to Paul Middleton over at Kenwood for giving the go-ahead on this and we look forward to a close working relationship with Kenwood.”
--

Desjardins tells Amateur Radio Newsline that there was a specific reason that Kenwood was selected.

--
W1ZY: “We are going to go with Kenwood’s in the field. The racers and the production crews will be using D-7’s during the actual race. Now other companies make good radio’s and we looked at all of them. But Kenwood won out because it packs APRS tracking into a really rugged field package.”
--

Desjardins also says that another important item has just materialized. He says that VE7FM has donated a home-brew, handheld, two-band beam to The Human Race for use with the D-7 and VC-H1 recently supplied by Kenwood to track satellites and pursue I-S-S contacts. Desjardins adds that this particular donation brings more good karma to the project. (W1ZY)

More information on the TV show “The Human Race” is on the web at www.humanrace.fr.st.

**

HAM RADIO IN THE MEDIA: FREQUENCY -THE TV SHOW

New Line Cinema is considering spinning off the movie "Frequency" into a TV Series. “Frequency” is the film where the non-ham hero uses his dad’s old ham radio equipment to communicate with his now decease father.

The movie was a great public relations boon for Amateur Radio. If New Line Cinema proceeds, a t-v show could help put the words ‘ham radio’ in the mind of every man, woman and child in the U-S of A. (Via W6AQ from published reports)

**

NEW PRODUCTS: A MANUAL YOU CAN CARRY

Jovan Peric, KB9K, says that he is now producing fully laminated mini manuals for a number of the most popular Icom, Kenwood and Yaesu transceivers. For more

information and photos visit www.radioamater.com/kb9k on the world wide web. You can also write to Peric at 3425 West Ardmore Avenue, Chicago, IL 60659. (KB9K)

**

CONVENTIONS AND HAMFESTS: DUKE CITY HAMFEST

The 2002 Duke City Hamfest will take place Friday, August 23 through Saturday, August 24th at a the University of New Mexico Continuing Education Conference Center in Albuquerque Hew Mexico. Hours are 5:00 p.m. -to 9:00 p.m. on Friday and 7:00 am to 3:00 p.m. on Saturday. More information is in cyberspace at www.qsl.net/dchf (N5ZGT)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

CHANGING OF THE GUARD: ERNIE HUDSON KI8O - S.K.

The ham who headed up the Montgomery Ohio ARES operation has died. Ernest Hudson, KI8O, passed away on August 2nd following a long battle with Cancer.

Hudson was 69 and had served as Emergency Coordinator for Montgomery County for over 20 Years. His organization with over 530 ARES members was one of the best in the nation. Hudson was also on the State of Ohio Council of Amateur Radio for the Montgomery - Greene County Local Emergency Response Council. And in 2001, KI8O was named as recipient of the Dayton Amateur Radio Association’s Distinguished Service Award.

Funeral services for Hudson were held on Tuesday August 6,2002 at the Parkview Church of the Nazarene, in Kettering, Ohio. (W8ILC)

**

RADIO BUSINESS: NEW MILITARY COMM SYSTEM BEING DEVELOPED

The Boeing Co. has been named prime contractor for a new U.S. Army communication system. The Joint Tactical Radio System is expected to be the foundation for all future Department of Defense tactical radios. (Published news report)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: N SYNC WITH THE ISS

Russia's space agency says that it might scrap plans for 'N Sync singer Lance Bass to join an October mission to the International Space Station. This is because the Russian’s say that he had failed to meet a payment deadline.

Bass, who is backed by a consortium of companies rounded up by a Hollywood producer, David Krieff, has signed a preliminary contract for the trip. But Bass, who is already training at Star City Cosmonaut Center near Moscow has yet to secure a final deal guaranteeing a seat aboard the Soyuz craft.

If he does go, don't hold your breath waiting for him to turn up on 2 meter FM. He will be doing a lot of commercial endorsement work. In fact, Bass's space suit will be emblazoned with the names of sponsors. These logos will be seen during the eight TV shows Bass expects to beam down from space. Bass is also expected to record audio tracks for a future 'N SYNC release. (Published news reports)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: THE AMSAT GLOBAL SATELLITE NET

Internet technology is allowing worldwide access to a new AMSAT net for beginning satellite operators. The AMSAT Global Satellite Net was recently started by Neil Hays, W6FOG, and may be accessed on VHF or UHF through repeaters linked via the Internet using the IRLP Internet Radio Linking Project network.

For those without IRLP access, but with HF equipment, the net may also be found on 14.250 MHz on 20 meters, every Wednesday between 0200 and 0330 UTC. I-R-L-P access is via radio only, but activity may be monitored by anyone with a computer, sound card and Internet connection. For more information on I-R-L-P, go to www.irlp net. For details on the AMSAT Global Satellite Net, see www.w6fog.net (W6FOG, AMSAT)

**

RADIO IN SPACE: SATELLITE RADIO VS. WIRELESS

XM Satellite Radio Holdings and Sirius Satellite Radio are reportedly in talks with wireless companies to resolve a dispute over interference with wireless communications services. The two sides have been bickering over the satellite services using equipment to extend their digital radio signals to hard-to-reach areas like metropolitan areas where skyscrapers can block satellite beams. Wireless companies fear that these translators will interfere with some wireless communications services. (Published reports)

**

DX

In DX F6FYD and F2VX are in Baku, Azerbaijan, until the 23rd of August. F6FYD will operate as 4J0FR- while F2VX will use the club call 4K7W. They will be active on all bands CW and SSB. (GB2RS)

And SM0CMH is active on 10 to 80 meters, including the WARC bands, on CW as SV5/SM8C from Kalymnos Island. He will be there until the 30th of August. For both these operations please QSL as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: WATCHING TV OVER THE MOON

And finally this week, here is one of those rare stories where an individual has done something so outrageous as to earn a spot in the record books. It happened down-under as we hear from Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB:

--
Dr. Anthony Mann of the Physics department at the Western Australian University has created a "Receiving system" which allows him to tune in Television signals from the US.

Tony has been a DX, TV enthusiast for decades, using his scientific credentials to stretch the laws of physical limits in order to "See further and further, unaided by satellites or wire".
His latest accomplishment, apparently a first, is to work out the parameters for using the Moon as a satellite reflector for bouncing TV signals from the USA into VK6.

As regards Tony's gear, he uses an Icom R7000 receiver, a Jaycar 91 element UHF antenna fitted with GaAs-Fet 20db masthead amplifier.

So far there's nothing very special about that except that the antenna is mounted on a tripod 1.5 meters above ground with the ability to adjust both azimuth and elevation to track the Moon in his sky.

Fortunately a Moon setting in Oklahoma is well above the horizon in Perth WA.

The signal Tony receives is tiny, very tiny, and not enough to produce a picture he can watch or even sound he can listen to. At this stage, it's not about watching Buggs bunny cartoons, it's all a bit more scientific than that.

Knowing the transmitters frequency to within a few Hertz, yes that's right Hertz, is essential as what Tony is searching for is a "beat note" indication that a carrier signal is present on the Icom receiver.

By using web amateur "Moon bounce" web sites he was able to calculate the Doppler shift for a group of likely TV stations, and then got hold of a precise TV station's transmitting frequency down to the last two Hertz; ie: 501.248XXX, the X's being the Doppler shift migrated.

Results this year have included signals originating in Oklahoma and Indiana.

--

You can read more about Dr. Tony Mann’s television reception experiments at the cyberspace address that you will find in this at www.physics.uwa.edu.au/~agm/eme1.jp

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website

located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

One final note. As this newscast goes to air our staff is in Alabama at the Huntsville Hamfest presenting the 2002 Young Ham of the Year Award to Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY, of Reading Pennsylvania. Josh is receiving the award for his work in promoting Amateur Radio through the Boy Scouts of America. Tune in next week for the sounds of this years presentation.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

3701. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 22:02:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umg0jsr8ql5i23@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51465

Glad to see someone is paying at least a LITTLE attention ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020823101010.093$RQ@newsreader.com...
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote:
> >>I play music on 3950 and 14313 and sinmce im not a ham riley cant touch
> > me. yall have a good day now.
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
> Obvious troll bait,not posted by Tim.
>
> --
> Go#40
> http://www.imnsho.com/p_killfile.htm
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

3702. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 22:03:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umg0mjks7s1v65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51466

Please play 'touch me in the morning' by Dianna Ross. ;p)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:1b30cc0b705f87bf6324baf5ea027746@xganon.com...
> I play music on 3950 and 14313 and sinmce im not a ham riley cant touch
me. yall have a good day now.
>
> 73 de Tim
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3703. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 22:05:48 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umg0qhenvs1nb8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51467

What's the big deal on code? Heck, get your codeless tech. Had a nasty crash involving unix and windows partitions. I hit the 85 repeater to tell a friend - and within 30 seconds, someone broke in with the advice to boot the Linux and remove the partitions that Windows fdisk couldn't. It worked and I'm back up and running. You won't find that kind of help on channel 9. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message news:9b362532596f19916c3910cb2af86731@xganon.com...
> I can't wait for CW to go away!
>
> Know where I can buy a General ticket?
>
> I'll pay extra for an extra!
>

3704. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 22:49:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1d419a.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51469

On 24 Aug 2002 01:40:56 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>The case WAS thrown out, but the pretrial tit-for-tat was emotionally
>burdensome on my friend. Where's HIS justice?

His justice was that he won on summary jydgment. He did collect his
defense costs, right?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3705. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 22:49:01 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1d50mb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51468

On 24 Aug 2002 01:12:05 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:

>Back then license terms were only two years, renewing the commercial
>ticket involved more paperwork than it was worth to me, maybe I
>renewed it twice then let it drop.

Commercial license terms were always 5 years since before I got my
first commercial license in 1952. The only exception was the
Limited Second Telegraph issued as an emergency measure during the
Vietnam War years because of the lack of qualified marine Radio
Officers. To get that, one would have had to have an expired and
unrenewable Second or First Telegraph license, re-take and pass the
code test, and re-take and pass the writen test for Second Telegraph
within one year.

>The "real" Telegraph 2 license also arrived in that timeframe.
>Then a month after that the Telly 2 certificate slid through the mail
>slot . . ya din try to figger it, ya just went with the flow . .

'Splain that in English......what is the difference between the
"real" Telegraph 2 license and the Telly 2 certificate?

You must have gone to the weong office. San Francisco issued
commercial licenses within 2 weeks of passing the exam in those
years.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3706. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 22:56:38 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com>
Source record: 51470

you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more watts than you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you are. stop by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting will get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.

73 and good DX

Tim

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3707. Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 23:07:56 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <fc23cc6042e1bbfe3052f5a092a92551@xganon.com>
Source record: 51471

oh by the way jim hamton is a cool guy in rrcb he tells us stories about how he ran 40 KW on ham bands in the bad old days. that makes us feel good and shows hams are worse than cbers.

73 and good DX

Tim

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3708. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 23:19:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824191917.10650.00002726@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 51472

>Subject: Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules
>(CC&Rs)
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 08/24/02 18:49 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1d419a.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>His justice was that he won on summary jydgment. He did collect his
> defense costs, right?

I assume he did. He didn't have to pay his lawyer, so I am assuming that the plaintiff had to pay it.

It still sucks that a clearly "Good Sam" case even got that far though.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3709. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-24 23:54:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208241554.302718b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51473

> >Date: 08/23/02 01:47 Eastern Daylight Time

>

> And that's fine with me, acutally. I'd rahter have a handful of dedicated
> persons willing to do what's necessary, than to have a full roster of folks who
> are "along for the ride".
>
> >Don't prejudge. Get to know, not make assumptions.
>
> I am not "prejudging". I was responding to what you provided here, Ryan.
> Now that you have, I can say "You go, Dude!" Great job! Just don't expect a
> lot of hands wanting to jump in. And don't feel alienated over a lack of other
> volunteers not jumping in with you...it's not a phenomenon peculiar to Amateur
> Radio.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
Amen right down the line. I don't belong to any neighborhood radio
clubs because I don't have anything in common with 90% of the members.
I'm primarily a cw dxer and dx contester which is a usually real
snoozer in these kinda clubs and I could give a bleep less about their
never-ending repeater politics. I don't waste their time and they
don't waste mine. "My club" is the big regional dx contest club where
I have soulmates by the dozen.
>
>
As far as volunteerisim in ham radio goes I'm simply not into it
except on a one-to-one basis vs. getting into it on a group basis.
Help a newbie, help an old-timer with his antennas, etc. I got tired
of being one of the dozen worker-bees in the local FD operation for a
couple years then putting up with the batallion of non-contributing
rubber-neckers who show up for the food and take a turn at an
operating position for an hour then go home. Screw 'em, henceforth I'm
operating single TX in a daughters back yard.
>
>
I spent enough time in municipal and county-level politics years ago
to get a real dose of doing all the work then getting my butt kicked.

w3rv

3710. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 00:18:07 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3nV99.592$B74.42634451@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51474

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ak8jut$1iv$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020823123757.25398.00002787@mb-fz.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
> > >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> > >Date: 8/20/02 11:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <3D630DA8.85198B0A@ix.netcom.com>
> > Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.
>
> A point you (Jim N2EY) and I have agreed on for many moons.
>
> > As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one kind or
> > another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along.
>
> I'd go so far to say it is 100% certainty that the FCC
> wouldn't go along.

Based on what? The FCC hasn't batted an eye at any changes the QPC or VEC
have made. The most recent being the change in the code exam from 5 WPM
Morse to 13-15 WPM Farnsworth.

3711. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 00:21:13 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ZpV99.593$k74.42617012@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51475

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:umfujho11qfb55@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020823221435.08685.00000728@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > In article <um9v9fru2lgj9c@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP"
<kb9tmp@juno.com>
> > writes:

> > Bill:
> >
> > Well, of course I won't -- particularly since I thoroughly discredited
> > you in about a minute's worth of keystroking! I know, I know --
everyone
> > has a bad day sometimes. Sorry about your luck!
> >
> > BTW -- free clue #2: I don't use or react to "smiley faces." I use
> words.
> > I say what I mean, I mean what I say, and I assume that everyone else
> > is doing likewise.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> OK if you don't react to "smiley faces" """IF""" I ever try to be funny
> with something you have said in a post I'll tell you in plain English that
> I'm making a joke so you'll know!

Kind of like when Rush Limbo says, "blah blah blah, for the people in Rio
Lindo."

3712. Re: Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 00:25:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nuV99.595$I64.42575998@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51476

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020824145413.04751.00000252@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <OmP99.575$uo1.533@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >Jim, so other hams can remarry and have children later in life, dual
> >careers, etc., but when Brian remarries later in life, has children, and
> >starts a second career, it's no excuse for not devoting an incredible
number
> >of hours to increasing his code speed for your pleasure?
>
> Who said that? Not me.
>
> How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10
years?
>
> It does seem like Brian has spent an incredible number of hours posting to
> rrap, though ;-)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

That's exactly what you've always said, Jim. So now you've said it again.

3713. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 00:28:55 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bxV99.597$R64.42585150@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51477

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more watts than
you can

So? I can talk worlwide using fewer watts than you can.

3714. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 00:50:21 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umgaf25h63j921@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51478

Ah, so you're the guy spamming UJs forum. Also, you're not Tim Nebo. As I've explained before, I was not on the transmitter and the transmitter was ordered up the XO. An E-3 does not argue with a full Commander. Period.

Jim

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message news:fc23cc6042e1bbfe3052f5a092a92551@xganon.com...
> oh by the way jim hamton is a cool guy in rrcb he tells us stories about how he ran 40 KW on ham bands in the bad old days. that makes us feel good and shows hams are worse than cbers.
>
> 73 and good DX
>
> Tim
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3715. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 00:55:05 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ak99t6$9im$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51479

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:3nV99.592$B74.42634451@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ak8jut$1iv$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020823123757.25398.00002787@mb-fz.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
> > > >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> > > >Date: 8/20/02 11:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <3D630DA8.85198B0A@ix.netcom.com>
> > > Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.
> >
> > A point you (Jim N2EY) and I have agreed on for many moons.
> >
> > > As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one kind
or
> > > another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along.
> >
> > I'd go so far to say it is 100% certainty that the FCC
> > wouldn't go along.
>
> Based on what? The FCC hasn't batted an eye at any changes the QPC or VEC
> have made. The most recent being the change in the code exam from 5 WPM
> Morse to 13-15 WPM Farnsworth.

Based on the fact that any "practical" testing always has
a subjective aspect to it. It just isn't going to happen,
and the QPC and/or VEC isn't going to ask for testing
changes that tack the process into subjective interpretations.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3716. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 01:44:36 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <umgdhf4a8ss822@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51480

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:umg0mjks7s1v65@corp.supernews.com...
> Please play 'touch me in the morning' by Dianna Ross. ;p)
>

Dedicated to Riley from the FAKE Tim Nebo!

--
'73' From KB9TMP

"there is no rule against being stupid"
Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH

> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:1b30cc0b705f87bf6324baf5ea027746@xganon.com...
> > I play music on 3950 and 14313 and sinmce im not a ham riley cant touch
> me. yall have a good day now.
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
>

3717. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 02:01:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020824220123.13843.00000786@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51481

In article <20020822182818.19565.00002012@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Ryan, just how much of thier time/money/resources should ANY membership
>organization expend trying to woo you into thier ranks? If they are not doing
>things the way you think they should, why not run for office yourself and
>MAKE
>it happen? Shake things up a bit if you think the party is boring!
>
>>That kinda sums it up... I wouldn't mind working some DX from time to time,
>>but for the most part, I would rather chat with people where there may
>>actually be a snowball's chance in hell I might actually ever meet them in
>>life. (Typical ham motto "I have tons of friends worldwide, none in my own
>>backyard...")
>
> Soooo...??? When was the last time YOU took the initiative to head down
>to the local radio club meeting? Still waiting on that engraved invitation?
>Sit
>in on any of the seminars at a hamfest? Have you inquired of any of the
>local
>contesters if you could tag along next multi-multi operation? How about
>Field
>Day or the ARES activities?
>
> How about putting out a "QST" on the local repeater to get a couple guys
>or gals together to arrange an ARISS contact at the local middle school?
>
> There are about 3 million ways to get "interactive" with your
>neigborhood
>hams, but if you're waiting for the courtesy van to pull up to the front door
>and escort you to your seat, you may be a bit disappointed.
>
> Don't wait for them. Take the initiaitve.

Steve:

That advice is spot-on! One of the most familiar whines heard from "newcomers" to ham radio is that they go to a club meeting, sit in the back row sipping a lukewarm cup of stale coffee, and aren't "welcomed" with open arms and gifts of silver and gold. Heaven forbid that they should take some initiative -- like making a nice, hot, fresh pot of coffee, sticking their hand out and introducing themselves, or volunteering to help out with some club function or project even if they don't know the people well or know enough about ham radio to feel "comfortable." We only achieve things when we push our comfort

level. As a result of our increasingly dumbed-down culture, nobody seems to want to do that anymore. They've become used to the touchy-feely "inclusiveness" of the popular culture, where everyone is told that their self-esteem is the most important thing, and working to achieve knowledge and personal success is ignored.

Ryan's pre-occupation with being "wooed" into the ARS is simply a symptom of our sick society. AFAIC, they deserve the stale coffee. And I'll make sure they don't find the nice fresh donughts, either.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3718. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 02:07:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824220702.28700.00000267@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 51482

In article <ak8jut$1iv$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

(N2EY wrote)
>> Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.
>
>A point you (Jim N2EY) and I have agreed on for many moons.

Too bad the FCC didn't take our advice...
>
>> As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one kind or
>> another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along.
>
>I'd go so far to say it is 100% certainty that the FCC
>wouldn't go along.

I'd say 99.9999% sure. About the same likeliness that the FCC will keep Element
1 without S25.5 requiring it.
>
>> Besides the
>> complexity, cost and subjectivity of such exams, FCC would also face the
>> problem of 'discrimination' claims.
>
>The issue goes to the subjective nature of practical tests.
>One person's OK solder joint is unsat to someone else.

I hadn't thought of it quite that way. By 'discrimination', I was referring to
the difficulties some people (vision impaired, various fine-motor disabilities)
would have with a soldering test.
>
I agree 100% that the subjectivity of the grading of a soldering test would be
a real problem. This is the same reason why essay questions would not be
approved by the FCC today.

There's another issue in all this. Requesting stuff that the FCC obviously
won't approve can simply make the requester look clueless. And such requests
may tick off the FCC for wasting their time.

>> After all, no other FCC license requires
>> demonstrations of, say, soldering skills. Heck, 30 years ago, the test for
>>my
>> old Second Class 'Phone (P2-3-10341) was just a bunch of multiple-choice
>> questions. How do we convince the FCC that the test for a ham license
>>needs to
>> be more involved?
>

>The reality of actual careers is that the practical is usually a
>"blue-collar" job while many engineers never need to solder
>a connection at all.

Yep. In some situations engineers are not allowed to solder - takes work away from those who are supposed to do it, by agreement.

And in most cases, no FCC licenses, amateur or commercial, are needed to do any of it. The license is only required to USE the equipment.

>In the R&D areas I have been a part
>of at times, there were technicians that built circuits and
>ran the tests which the engineers/developers thought up.

Same here. And there's a good reason for that - each level checks the other. In anything safety-related, you always want somebody else checking the work.

So the real-world focus is simply this: What can be done to improve the written tests in ways that will be approved by the FCC?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3719. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 02:08:06 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824220806.21783.00003776@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 51483

>I'd give Riley head for an extra class ticket.

It can be had for substantially less.

>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

Yeah, right.

Mark

3720. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 02:11:43 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824221143.21783.00003777@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 51484

>but the K1 looks so damn good. :-)

Yes it sure does. Take care and 73.

Mark

3721. How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 03:01:29 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ak9hal$vu7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51485

In another thread...

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020824220702.28700.00000267@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <ak8jut$1iv$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> (N2EY wrote)
> >> Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.
> > >
> > >A point you (Jim N2EY) and I have agreed on for many moons.
> >
> > Too bad the FCC didn't take our advice...
> > >
> > >> As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one kind
or
> > >> another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along.
> > >
> > >I'd go so far to say it is 100% certainty that the FCC
> > >wouldn't go along.
> >
> > I'd say 99.9999% sure. About the same likeliness that the FCC will keep
Element
> > 1 without S25.5 requiring it.
> > >
> > >> Besides the
> > >> complexity, cost and subjectivity of such exams, FCC would also face
the
> > >> problem of 'discrimination' claims.
> > >
> > >The issue goes to the subjective nature of practical tests.
> > >One person's OK solder joint is unsat to someone else.
> >
> > I hadn't thought of it quite that way. By 'discrimination', I was
referring to
> > the difficulties some people (vision impaired, various fine-motor
disabilities)
> > would have with a soldering test.
> > >
> > I agree 100% that the subjectivity of the grading of a soldering test
would be
> > a real problem. This is the same reason why essay questions would not be
> > approved by the FCC today.
> >

> There's another issue in all this. Requesting stuff that the FCC obviously
> won't approve can simply make the requester look clueless. And such
requests
> may tick off the FCC for wasting their time.
>
> >> After all, no other FCC license requires
> >> demonstrations of, say, soldering skills. Heck, 30 years ago, the test
for
> >>my
> >> old Second Class 'Phone (P2-3-10341) was just a bunch of
multiple-choice
> >> questions. How do we convince the FCC that the test for a ham license
> >>needs to
> >> be more involved?
> >
> >The reality of actual careers is that the practical is usually a
> >"blue-collar" job while many engineers never need to solder
> >a connection at all.
>
> Yep. In some situations engineers are not allowed to solder - takes work
away
> from those who are supposed to do it, by agreement.
>
> And in most cases, no FCC licenses, amateur or commercial, are needed to
do any
> of it. The license is only required to USE the equipment.
>
> >In the R&D areas I have been a part
> >of at times, there were technicians that built circuits and
> >ran the tests which the engineers/developers thought up.
>
> Same here. And there's a good reason for that - each level checks the
other. In
> anything safety-related, you always want somebody else checking the work.
>
> So the real-world focus is simply this: What can be done to improve the
written
> tests in ways that will be approved by the FCC?
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Maybe we can get some better discussion under a different topic heading.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3722. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 03:12:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ak9hufmud1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51486

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020824220123.13843.00000786@mb-mu.aol.com...
> In article <20020822182818.19565.00002012@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Ryan, just how much of thier time/money/resources should ANY membership
> >organization expend trying to woo you into thier ranks? If they are not
doing
> >things the way you think they should, why not run for office yourself and
> >MAKE
> >it happen? Shake things up a bit if you think the party is boring!
> >
> >>That kinda sums it up... I wouldn't mind working some DX from time to
time,
> >>but for the most part, I would rather chat with people where there may
> >>actually be a snowball's chance in hell I might actually ever meet them
in
> >>life. (Typical ham motto "I have tons of friends worldwide, none in my
own
> >>backyard...")
> >
> > Soooo...??? When was the last time YOU took the initiative to head
down
> >to the local radio club meeting? Still waiting on that engraved
invitation?
> >Sit
> >in on any of the seminars at a hamfest? Have you inquired of any of the
> >local
> >contesters if you could tag along next multi-multi operation? How about
> >Field
> >Day or the ARES activities?
> >
> > How about putting out a "QST" on the local repeater to get a couple
guys
> >or gals together to arrange an ARISS contact at the local middle school?
> >
> > There are about 3 million ways to get "interactive" with your
> >neigborhood
> >hams, but if you're waiting for the courtesy van to pull up to the front
door
> >and escort you to your seat, you may be a bit disappointed.
> >
> > Don't wait for them. Take the initiaitve.

>
> Steve:
>
> That advice is spot-on! One of the most familiar whines heard from
> "newcomers" to ham radio is that they go to a club meeting, sit in the
> back row sipping a lukewarm cup of stale coffee, and aren't "welcomed"
> with open arms and gifts of silver and gold. Heaven forbid that they
> should take some initiative -- like making a nice, hot, fresh pot of
> coffee, sticking their hand out and introducing themselves, or
> volunteering to help out with some club function or project even if they
> don't know the people well or know enough about ham radio to feel
> "comfortable."

Reality check here...most people when confronting a new social
situation (and that is exactly what newcomers are doing). Very few
people are naturally that outgoing. Make a fresh pot of coffee? They
probably
don't even know there's coffee there.

> We only achieve things when we push our comfort
> level. As a result of our increasingly dumbed-down culture, nobody
> seems to want to do that anymore. They've become used to the touchy-
> feely "inclusiveness" of the popular culture, where everyone is told that
> their self-esteem is the most important thing, and working to achieve
> knowledge and personal success is ignored.

This isn't about self esteem or touchy feely garbage...it's
about common sense, common courtesy and just being
friendly to newcomers who more than not haven't much
of a clue about how the group functions, who's who, etc.

> Ryan's pre-occupation with being "wooed" into the ARS is simply a
> symptom of our sick society. AFAIC, they deserve the stale coffee.
> And I'll make sure they don't find the nice fresh donughts, either.

Every successful group/club I have belonged to makes a
proactive effort to recruit/woo/enlist newcomers. You
can sit on your laurels and wait for folks to "find" you, but
that's a risk you should acknowledge up front.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3723. Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 03:34:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824233459.18273.00002991@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 51487

I just received an e mail that would appear to be from a third party reading Dick McCollum's address book, announcing his passing.

Does anyone know if this is true, or is it a cruel hoax?

Steve Robeson, LPN, K4YZ

3724. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 04:13:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D683A28.6E5CC7FC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51488

xganon wrote:
>
> oh by the way jim hamton is a cool guy in rrcb he tells us stories about how he ran 40 KW on ham bands in the bad old days. that makes us feel good and shows hams are worse than cbers.

BZZZZZZT!! There is nothing worse in the radio communications
world than a cber.

3725. Re: Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 04:41:56 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <rvngmuohkf2tredg6fqtgb9ojuurmin21q@4ax.com>
Source record: 51489

Yes, it is.

On 25 Aug 2002 03:34:59 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) was
blabbling:

... I just received an e mail that would appear to be from a third
party
...reading Dick McCollum's address book, announcing his passing.
...
... Does anyone know if this is true, or is it a cruel hoax?
...
...Steve Robeson, LPN, K4YZ

3726. Re: New Book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 05:26:56 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208242126.c36900c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51490

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<QfP99.574$%o1.498@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>...
> So now the FCC is giving "Art" exams?
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020824100638.12729.00000150@mb-cs.aol.com...
> > New address and price for:
> >
> > "The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy" by N0HFF
> >
> > In the USA, send $13.23 (payable to FISTS) to:
> >
> > Supply manager WO8E
> > 44609 Bunker Hill Road
> > Clinton Twp, MI 48038
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> > In article <3CB1D372.BA695B31@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
> >
> > >From "Worldradio", new products section:
> > >
> > >"The Art & Skill of Radio-Telegraphy" by N0HFF. Available from:
> > >Dave Clarke, VE6LX, 8607-34A Ave, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6K 0B9
> > >for $14. $4 S&H for US orders. $5.50 S&H for Canadian orders.
> > >--
>
Burkie no matter how hard you try you'll never make it as the biggest
putz in this NG, you just ain't "got it", the best you can hope for is
a distant #2.

w3rv

3727. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 06:10:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020825021010.13843.00000792@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51491

In article <3nV99.592$B74.42634451@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>Based on what? The FCC hasn't batted an eye at any changes the QPC or VEC
>have made. The most recent being the change in the code exam from 5 WPM
>Morse to 13-15 WPM Farnsworth.

Brian:

What's the difference between Morse and Farnsworth? I know Farnsworth as a different method of sending the Morse code, not something altogether different *from* the Morse code, as you imply.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3728. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 06:10:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020825021011.13843.00000793@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51492

In article <20020824080635.15779.00000327@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>>Ever hear of Sylvia Seegrist?
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>Yup. I do at least part of my Christmas shopping at the Springfield
>>Mall every year.
>
>Then we've probably passed each other and didn't realize it.

Jim:

Well, next time I go there I'll wear my "K3LT" hamfest ballcap with
all my FISTS and Field Day pins on it!

>>Of course, as mass killers using firearms are
>>concerned, Seegrist is sort of a tame example, wouldn't you say?
>
>Not if she killed or wounded someone you care about.

Yes, this would tend to make her an important factor in your life.

>>However, she proved beyond any doubt that a .22 rifle can be a
>>lethal weapon!
>>
>>I remember reading the story about this in the Stars and Stripes while
>>I was doing my first tour in Germany (operating as DA1ZW).
>
>How much detail did the story go into? For example:
>
>- She had a long history of mental problems, and had been committed several
>times for violent behavior.
>
>- She had tried to buy firearms from a local Kmart but was refused because
>her
>behavior was too bizarre.
>
>- The weapon and ammunition she used were obtained illegally. She lied about
>her mental health history on the paperwork required to buy them.

I just saw all that on the VPC (Violence Policy Center) web site I found when
I did a search on her name.

I'm pretty familiar with the Springfield Mall, do you know what part of the
mall the shooting took place?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3729. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 06:25:20 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <kL_99.1358$fx1.379646@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 51493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

For once I must agree with Doc and Alan S.. Well said Doc. It is CB that needs to come off of the AM, (Ancient Modulation) and into the 21 century.

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:Xns927485748EE62subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in news:3D679B23.34753746@icok.net:
>
> > Tom,
> > I realize this is more troll than anything, but I'd
> > like to correct a few misconceptions you seem to have.
> > Back 40 or 50 years ago, people were as busy then as
> > they are now. There were different forms of entertainment,
> > and for the time, they were as well thought of (equaling
> > thinking with TV is sort of an oxymoron) as the forms
> > available now. Wait a few years, what's popular now will
> > be 'old' and quaint like what you think happened 'way back
> > then'.
> > The requirement to know Morse code is part of the licensing
> > process for privileges below 30 Mhz by international treaty.
> > Until those international treaties are changed, it's gonna
> > be there. The level of proficiency for the code has been
> > reduced to 'childs play' now. If you can't learn it in a week
> > or two, you are just not trying. It does require effort though,
> > and if your not willing to put out that little amount of effort,
> > well, you don't really want a license very much, and why should
> > it be given to you? Try it, you may be very suprized to find
> > out that it can be a lot of fun. If you have time for CB radio,
> > then you have time for ham radio.
> > I wonder where you got the idea that amateur radio is dyeing,
> > because it certainly isn't. The number of hams has never been
> > very large and considering that the radio spectrum is a limited
> > resource (they ain't making no more new frequencies), and that
> > the bands are crowded as is, who the devil needs a bunch of new
> > hams, where you gonna put them? As far as joining the 21st
> > century,
> > name one mode of communications that hams ~can't~ do if they want
> > to.
> > CW, all forms of voice communications, digital, TV, satellite
> > stuff,
> > and I don't know how much more, but you name it, they can do it.
> > So, if you want to find excuses for not getting a license,
> > that's
> > fine. If you want to believe amateur radio is dying, if that

> > makes
> > you feel better, then that's fine too. I have a suspicion that
> > it'll
> > be around a lot longer than you or I will be.
> > 'Doc
>
> Well said.
>
> Alan S.

3730. Re: Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 08:05:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208250005.13887fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51494

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020824145413.04751.00000252@mb-mw.aol.com>...
> In article <OmP99.575$uo1.533@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >Jim, so other hams can remarry and have children later in life, dual
> >careers, etc., but when Brian remarries later in life, has children, and
> >starts a second career, it's no excuse for not devoting an incredible number
> >of hours to increasing his code speed for your pleasure?
>
> Who said that? Not me.
>
> How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10 years?
>
> It does seem like Brian has spent an incredible number of hours posting to
> rrap, though ;-)
>
>
SPANK! Bwaaahaha!
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3731. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 08:28:02 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208250028.19e0a39@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51495

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1d50mb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 24 Aug 2002 01:12:05 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >Back then license terms were only two years, renewing the commercial
> >ticket involved more paperwork than it was worth to me, maybe I
> >renewed it twice then let it drop.
>
> Commercial license terms were always 5 years since before I got my
> first commercial license in 1952.
>
>
My recollection is that it was two years. I stand corrected. Whatever
the term was I didn't maintain the license
>
>
> The only exception was the
> Limited Second Telegraph issued as an emergency measure during the
> Vietnam War years because of the lack of qualified marine Radio
> Officers. To get that, one would have had to have an expired and
> unrenewable Second or First Telegraph license, re-take and pass the
> code test, and re-take and pass the writen test for Second Telegraph
> within one year.
>
>
I never got near any of it. In that timeframe I was a BuWeps GSer
working on aircraft catapults.
>
>
> >The "real" Telegraph 2 license also arrived in that timeframe.
> >Then a month after that the Telly 2 certificate slid through the mail
> >slot . . ya din try to figger it, ya just went with the flow . .
>
> 'Splain that in English......what is the difference between the
> "real" Telegraph 2 license and the Telly 2 certificate?
>
>
My Telly 2 certificate is not a license any more than my Extra
certificate is a license. There are no expiration dates on either. The
real licenses in both cases were oversize wallet-size pieces of paper.
Which were illegal to photocopy. (??)
>
>
> You must have gone to the weong office. San Francisco issued

> commercial licenses within 2 weeks of passing the exam in those
> years.
>
>
The Philly office never sent me anything directly. All licenses I've
ever received came from some beltway place or Gettysburg going back to
1953-54.
>
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

3732. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 08:34:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208250034.648136fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51496

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020824110253.15060.00002094@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 08/24/02 08:06 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020824080634.15779.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>
>
> >Getting a new Novice typically took three
> >months (6-8 week delay between code test and written, 6-8 week delay between
> >written and actual license, total time 12-16 weeks if you didn't fail
> >anything).
>
> Took my Novice third week of September, 72, and was issued WN8OAH on 27
> October 72.
>
> First station was a Heathkit HR10B receiver and a 6146B crystal controlled
> transmitter on 3720kHz.
>
> Sure miss those fun days!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
Imagine what it was like twenty years earlier when I was WN3YIK. The
"QSK system" was a ceramic knife switch nailed to the desk. Yeah, it
wuz fun.

w3rv

3733. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 10:42:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825064217.25779.00000176@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 51497

In article <ak99t6$9im$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:3nV99.592$B74.42634451@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:ak8jut$1iv$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>> >
>> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20020823123757.25398.00002787@mb-fz.aol.com...
>> > > >Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>> > > >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>> > > >Date: 8/20/02 11:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>> > > >Message-id: <3D630DA8.85198B0A@ix.netcom.com>
>> > > Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.
>> >
>> > A point you (Jim N2EY) and I have agreed on for many moons.
>> >
>> > > As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one kind
>or
>> > > another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along.
>> >
>> > I'd go so far to say it is 100% certainty that the FCC
>> > wouldn't go along.
>>
>> Based on what? The FCC hasn't batted an eye at any changes the QPC or >>
VEC have made.

Because the QPC and VEC have a pretty good idea what the FCC will approve and
disapprove.

>> The most recent being the change in the code exam from 5 WPM
>> Morse to 13-15 WPM Farnsworth.

Incorrect. That method has been in use for years, and it's not the only test
available. All the VEC did was standardize on it.

Anybody who wants "straight" 5 wpm Morse can get it for the asking.
>
>Based on the fact that any "practical" testing always has
>a subjective aspect to it. It just isn't going to happen,
>and the QPC and/or VEC isn't going to ask for testing
>changes that tack the process into subjective interpretations.

'zactly. Practical tests, essay questions, even a Morse sending test require a skilled examiner(s) making subjective judgements. Not on the FCC's agenda. The only way it could come about is to prove to the FCC that the lack of such a test was causing serious problems for the ARS and other radio services.

Next thing you'll want, Brian, is an age requirement.

73 de Jim, N2EY

>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

3734. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 10:42:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825064217.25779.00000177@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 51499

In article <d22b54c3.0208250028.19e0a39@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1d50mb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
>> On 24 Aug 2002 01:12:05 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:
>>
>> >Back then license terms were only two years, renewing the commercial
>> >ticket involved more paperwork than it was worth to me, maybe I
>> >renewed it twice then let it drop.
>>
>> Commercial license terms were always 5 years since before I got my
>> first commercial license in 1952.
>>
>My recollection is that it was two years. I stand corrected. Whatever
>the term was I didn't maintain the license

Back before WW2 ham licenses were good for three years. I didn't know about commercial tickets. Thanks Phil.

>>
>>
>> The only exception was the
>> Limited Second Telegraph issued as an emergency measure during the
>> Vietnam War years because of the lack of qualified marine Radio
>> Officers.

Hold on a sec....

Lack of qualified marine Radio Officers = shortage of skilled CW ops?

>> To get that, one would have had to have an expired and
>> unrenewable Second or First Telegraph license, re-take and pass the
>> code test, and re-take and pass the writen test for Second Telegraph
>> within one year.
>>
Interesting. Never heard of it before. Thanks again, Phil.

>The Philly office never sent me anything directly. All licenses I've
>ever received came from some beltway place or Gettysburg going back to
>1953-54.

Typical time delay for a ham ticket was 6-8 weeks in the late 1960s.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3735. Re: Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 10:42:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825064218.25779.00000178@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 51498

In article <nuV99.595$I64.42575998@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020824145413.04751.00000252@mb-mw.aol.com...
>> In article <OmP99.575$uo1.533@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
>> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >Jim, so other hams can remarry and have children later in life, dual
>> >careers, etc., but when Brian remarries later in life, has children, and
>> >starts a second career, it's no excuse for not devoting an incredible
>number
>> >of hours to increasing his code speed for your pleasure?
>>
>> Who said that? Not me.
>>
>> How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10
>years?
>>
>> It does seem like Brian has spent an incredible number of hours posting to
>> rrap, though ;-)
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>That's exactly what you've always said, Jim.

What is "exactly what I've always said"? All my posts are in google - should be
easy to post a link to what you're referrin to.

It certainly does seem like Brian has spent an incredible number of hours
postin to rrap. That's a true statement - ask Cecil.

> So now you've said it again.

Said what?

How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10 years?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3736. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 12:06:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825080657.15779.00000365@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 51500

In article <ak9hal$vu7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>> So the real-world focus is simply this: What can be done to improve the
>written
>> tests in ways that will be approved by the FCC?
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Maybe we can get some better discussion under a different topic heading.
>

Alrighty - here's a short list:

1) Increase the size of the Q&A pools (already in progress)

2) Increase the number of choices on each question from 4 to 5

3) Change the grading method so that wrong answers decrease the score
(eliminates the gain from pure guessing)

4) Break each Element into subelements (say, Safety, Regs, Theory) or some
such, and require a certain number of right answers in each subelement. (The
way it is now, a new ham could get all the Safety questions wrong and still
pass, all the way to Extra.)

5) Focus more on the basics and less on esoterics (like the "how much time do
you have to notify the FCC about a station in space" questions)

That's just a start.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3737. Re: Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 12:29:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D68CDD1.F708ACA3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51751

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> I just received an e mail that would appear to be from a third party
> reading Dick McCollum's address book, announcing his passing.
>
> Does anyone know if this is true, or is it a cruel hoax?
>
> Steve Robeson, LPN, K4YZ

Sadly, the info seems correct, Steve. I received the message below as
well:

"To All Recipients:

You are receiving this message because your e-mail address appears in
Richard McCollum's address
book My intention in sending this message is to simply get information
to those of you who are
friends, acquaintances, or correspondents of Richard's. If you are
receiving this message in error,
please accept my most humble and sincere apologies. Thank you.

David W. McCollum

MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska
12/04/1943. Passed away
suddenly from a massive
heart attack 08/23/2002.
Survived by son Michael Keith McCollum, Lincoln, Nebraska; parents
Charles and
LaVerne McCollum of Omaha, Nebraska; sister Lea Blake of Omaha,
Nebraska; and brother David
and family of Omaha,
Nebraska.

As per Richard's request, remains were cremated and no services
performed.
Any memorials to the Salvation Army in his name.

I will accept queries for further information, but I respectfully
request that you provide your identity
and relationship to Richard. I reserve the right to reject information
requests to anyone I deem has no
need.

DWM"

The message appears to have come from his brother, David.

Dave K8MN

3738. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 13:20:51 UTC
From: Larry Fine <lf07434wall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3d68d964@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 51752

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020825080657.15779.00000365@mb-fm.aol.com...

> Alrighty - here's a short list:
>
> 1) Increase the size of the Q&A pools (already in progress)
>
> 2) Increase the number of choices on each question from 4 to 5
>
> 3) Change the grading method so that wrong answers decrease the score
> (eliminates the gain from pure guessing)
>
> 4) Break each Element into subelements (say, Safety, Regs, Theory) or some
> such, and require a certain number of right answers in each subelement.
(The
> way it is now, a new ham could get all the Safety questions wrong and
still
> pass, all the way to Extra.)
>
> 5) Focus more on the basics and less on esoterics (like the "how much time
do
> you have to notify the FCC about a station in space" questions)
>
> That's just a start.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Add this one to the list Jim:

6) Revise all questions and do NOT publish the question pool any longer -
period.

The WORST thing that EVER HAPPENED to ham radio was not the relaxation
of CW testing speeds, it was the publishing of the FCC Question Pools.
Before 1982 you had to KNOW your theory and rules. Today it's nothing
more than rote memorization. The result? We now have "certified extra
class" hams who could not even calculate a series-parallel resistor
network, let alone be able to accurately describe VSWR. There was a
time when having an Amateur Radio operators licence meant that you KNEW
electrical and electronics theory. Today we are flooded with appliance
operators who can't even perform the most basic diagnosis of a fault
in their radio gear, let alone be able to design and build a voltage
regulator circuit for the VHF radio in their car. Ham radio has become
"plug and play". Just another glorified CB - as even a casual listen
to 2 Meters will tell you. Darn shame.

3739. Re: Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 14:59:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <8h6a9.6100$ld4.591253@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51753

I believe that it is true.

RIP, OM.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Life is a journey between two eternities, and the trip is far too short to
spend fretting about how fast you can beep.

3740. ARNewsline 1306 - Aug 23 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 16:29:52 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825122952.28122.00003806@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51754

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1306 August 23rd, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1306 with a release date of
Friday, August 23rd 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Europe prepares for another ham in space and a technology called AMBER Alert finds another kidnapped youngster. These stories and more are next on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1306 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**
HAM RADIO IN SPACE: BELGIUM TO LAUNCH HAM ASTRONAUT

Europe is about to launch another ham radio operator into space. He is from Belgium and the QSL cards are already being prepared as we hear from Jeramy Boot, G4NJH:

--
Belgium's second astronaut to go into space is a radio amateur. Frank De Winne, ON1DWN, will join the International Space Station at the end of October this year. The exact timing of the flight is not yet available.

Gaston Bertels, ON4WF, who is Chairman of ARISS-Europe, has organized an amateur radio contact with the Belgian air force Royal Technical School. Bertels says that there may be other opportunities for operators to make contact with the mission as well. A full color QSL will be available. QSL cards and listener reports should be sent either direct or via the bureau to ON7AQ.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

--

More information will be made available as soon as a launch date is set. (GB2RS)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: BASS TO FLY

Another flight being watched is a Russian re-supply mission this October. One that may carry a United States pop music star as the third space tourist to the ISS.

After weeks of squabbling, Russian authorities announced late last week that teen heart-throb Lance Bass of the boy-band 'N Sync will probably travel to the international space station after all. Bass still has not paid any of the

estimated $20 million for his flight but a spokesman for Russia’s RKK Energia has also confirmed that plans for Bass' seat is being held for him and launch preparations are moving forward.

If Bass makes the trip to the International Space Station, he will become the youngest person ever in space. This title has been held since 1961 by former Soviet Union cosmonaut German Titov who was only 25 when he made his first flight. Bass was born in 1979 and is currently 23.

At airtime, Bass’s sponsors have indicated that his every waking moment on orbit will be pre-planned. This could mean no ham radio using the ARISS Amateur Radio station on board the ISS. (ARNewsline™ from published reports)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: UPS NOW NEUTRAL ON RFID TAGS

United Parcel Service now says it's neutral on a SAVI Technology's proposal to permit higher duty cycles than current Part 15 rules permit for Radio Frequency or RF ID tags. These are tags used for tracking shipments and packages, among other applications. The ARRL has said that adopting SAVI's proposals would result in severe and harmful interference to Amateur Radio and has opposed the plan.

And the ARRL Letter now reports that UPS recently clarified its position in an ex parte filing to the FCC. In its statement, the shipper said that it takes no position on the rule changes proposed in the SAVI Petition because they will have virtually no impact on U-P-S's shipping operations. Also, that the requests are inconsistent with efforts to promote international standards for RF ID tags. The change in position is highly significant because United Parcel Service has an equity interest in SAVI through its UPS Strategic Enterprise Fund. (ARRL Letter)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: AMBER ALERT SAVES ANOTHER KIDNAPPED CHILD

Some hams in California are looking at ways to tie their repeaters in after the states new AMBER Alert system has helped to safely locate yet another kidnapped youngster. Nichole Timmons vanished from her bedroom in Riverside California on Monday night August 19th. She was found the following afternoon in rural Nevada based on tips called in by motorists who recognized the description of the girl, her suspected abductor and the truck they were in.

A description was flashed on electronic road signs across several South-Western states as part of a multi-state alert. At about 2:40 p.m. on August 20th, Nevada police spotted the girl's former baby-sitter, Glen Park, and Nichole driving through the Walker River Indian Reservation north of Reno. A tribal police officer stopped the pair and Park was taken into custody. Riverside Police Chief Russ Leach told reporters that the arrest came about because Nevada state police used their AMBER Alert system and received a tip from a passing motorist.

As previously reported, the AMBER Alert system is based on a program developed by Dallas-area broadcasters and named in memory of nine year-old Amber Hagerman who was kidnapped and murdered in 1996. It uses a combination of two-way radio, telephones, fax and data communications to quickly spread word of a child abduction. In theory it could also be interfaced to ham radio repeaters and packet networking systems. More important, with Nichole Timmons return, the AMBER Alert system is now credited with safely recovering at least eighteen children since 1997. (ARNewsline from news reports)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Colorado Repeater Association systems serving Parker Colorado.

(5 sec pause here)

**

EMERGENCY COMMS: REPORT SAYS NYC EMERGENCY RADIO SYSTEM FLAWED ON 911

Poor radio systems with no inter-operability contributed to the casualty count in New York City last September 11th. This as a report on the aftermath of the terrorist attack targets the city’s emergency radio system as not being adequate for the task that day. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, tells us what that report had to say:

--
The report was prepared by high ranking New York City department officials aided by the management consultant McKinsey & Co.. It found that the rescue effort while highly, successful was hurt by a failure of city departments to work together, poor organization and a faulty radio communications system.

The radio system caught a lot of criticism. It was singled out as being the biggest problem after firefighters had entered the twin towers.

The report found that once inside, radio communications problems left many commanders and firefighters unable to communicate with each other. To make matters worse, the fire department's radios were incompatible with the police radio system and vice-versa. In other words, no inter-operability between police and fire and no direct radio communications between the two agencies.

New York City Mayor Michael Bloomberg has assured the city that the fire department's radios work properly in the future. This, regardless of the cost of improving it. Police are also considering opening the department’s citywide system of repeaters to the fire department in order to help solve the communication problem for future joint efforts.

Even with the radio problems an estimated 25,000 people were evacuated from the twin towers that day. Mayor Bloomberg calls it the most successful urban emergency evacuation in modern history.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW.

--

As widely reported, ham radio operators were among the first on the scene in lower Manhattan. They operated using an array of their own equipment and networks providing communications to all who need it. Hams and their gear performed almost flawlessly in the hours, days and weeks following the September 11th terrorist attacks. (ARNewsline™ from published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: TAKE THAT LINK OFF THE AIR

The FCC has ordered a Los Angeles area ham to get coordinated or remove a repeater link from the air. Daryl Duckworth of the agency's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explains the action taken:

--
Duckworth: "We issued a letter to Leobardo Coronado, KC6PXL, of Sun Valley California, ordering that on receipt of this letter to KC6PXL, that an uncoordinated repeater link must be shut down until either coordination is obtained or a detailed plan is submitted that will prevent interference to a coordinated repeater operating on the link frequency."

--

Coronado was warned that his failure to shut down the uncoordinated link will result in enforcement action that could include license revocation and a monetary forfeiture of up to $7,500. (FCC, RAIN)

**

WITH THE FCC: FCC UNIVERSITY" LAUNCHED - CONTINUING LEARNING FOR THE FCC STAFF

The Federal Communications Commission has launched what it calls FCC University. This is a new program to ensure that FCC staff members have the cutting edge knowledge and skills to respond to the challenges of the communications field in the 21st century.

According to the CGC Communicator, FCC employees can choose from a vast array of courses on generally applicable subjects. There are also sections of FCC University that are specifically geared to meet the educational needs of engineers, economists and
lawyers working for the agency. Complete information on FCC University is on the web at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-225430A1.doc (CGC Communicator, FCC)

**

CONVENTIONS & HAMFESTS: BIG BUSINESS IN HUNTSVILLE

In news from the ham radio business world, last weekends Huntsville Hamfest in Alabama may have signaled a turn-around for those who make their living selling ham radio gear. And that's good news for more than just Amateur Radio.
David Black, KB4KCH, reports:

--
While a great deal of the world's Amateur Radio equipment is manufactured in Pacific Rim countries, most of it is sold right here in the United States. The majority of sales are by family-run businesses. And as the U-S economy sagged so did ham gear sales. Slow sales meant the end for some businesses. Others struggled to survive. Now, there appears to be a turnaround with word of a fantastic sales weekend at the Huntsville Hamfest in Alabama. How good was it? Chip Margelli, K7JA sells equipment for Vertex-Standard:

--
Margelli: "Well, it has been a great show this weekend. The crowd was very active, especially Saturday morning and early afternoon. Sunday has been busier than normal and we have had great weather and a good turnout. A lot of boxes have been going out there door and there has been a lot of interest in the new products."
--

Good sales aren't the only encouraging news at Huntsville. Event organizers are thrilled at other figures—the number of people attending. While the exact gate figure was not yet available, Hamfest General Chairman Scotty Neustadter, W4WW says it could be a near record year.

--
Neustadter: "I was a little bit hesitant to think that myself. I try to error on the side of caution. But I was very, very pleased and I am thinking that we are definitely up from last year and the year before. The attendance would be a record for a Huntsville Hamfest with the exception of the year that we were the ARRL National."
--

Neustadter's enthusiasm is echoed by Todd Mowery. He's with ATOC, the company distributing Alinco ham gear. Mowery says Saturday was especially good.

--
Mowery: "Oh, I thought it was a very good weekend. Saturday was very crowded. Very impressive."

"I enjoyed it a lot. The booth was busy. We have quite a few new products over the last year that we put out -- mostly in the HT realm. And they have done really well for us. And the crowd that showed up yesterday enjoyed seeing those new radios."
--

The most succinct evaluation of Huntsville probably comes from Gary Green, N4CJX, of Ten-Tech, who needs few words to describe how he feels about this Hamfest:

--
Green: "We love Huntsville."
--

Green says Ten-Tec will be back next year. Just about everyone else is saying they will, too.

From the Amateur Radio Newsline Southeast Bureau in Birmingam, I’m David Black, KB4KCH.

--

One more word about this years Huntsville Hamfest. It concerns the D-X Banquet. Bill Hall, W4GBF, was one of the banquet planners. He tells Amateur Radio Newsline that for the first time in its history the banquet had more people want to attend than there were seats available. Hall could not talk with us very long. He was out scouting up extra seating. More on Huntsville a bit later in this weeks newscast. (ARNewsline™)

**

CONVENTIONS & HAMFESTS: ARRL IN SOCAL

Successful ham radio conventions are not limited to Alabama. Information out of California indicate that the Southwestern Division Convention was also a success. Reports from San Diego say that there were plenty of vendors doing a brisk business and all of the forums were jammed packed. We spoke with Gordon West who was on the roof doing antenna work. He was one of the presenters at the convention and Gordo says it was two thumbs up show:

--
West: “I’m up on the roof doing ATV antenna work and ATV as well as emergency preparedness was one of the many topics that we talked about at the Southwestern Division Concention. And boy-oh-boy was it busy. The aisles were packed on Saturday morning all of the way through Saturday evening and the outside exhibits of the emergency communications vehicles were well attended”
--

Highlights included the FCC’s Riley Hollingswoth, K4ZDH, hosting a two-hour enforcement session and Bob Heil, K9EID, presenting his famed audio conference. One source tells Amateur Radio Newsline that there were about 1500 people who made the trek to San Diego for this show. (ARNewsline™)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

YOUTH IN HAM RADIO: 2002 YHOTY AWARD PRESENTED TO KB3GWY

Saturday August 17th was a special day for a Reading Pennsylvania teen. That’s when seventeen year old Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY, became the 2002 Young Ham of the Year. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, was at the Huntsville Hamfest where the presentation was made:

--

Abramowicz: “I share the enthusiasm of my colleagues at home when I say that ham radio extends many, many opportunities to the youth of America. There are many young people in our country that take an interest in our great hobby. Unfortunately, there are those who have not heard or seen our hobby and I believe that it is a great thing to spread our hobby as far, as wide and as much as we can.”
--

That’s 17 year old Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY, as he accepted the 2002 Amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year Award. Abramowicz was honored for his work in promoting Amateur Radio in and through the Boy Scouts of America. He says that its important to let other kids know about ham radio.

--
Abramowicz: “The youth of America are interested, but in to many cases they never see any of the action that our hobby provides. Therefore, I think it is our job -- young and old -- to do our best to pass our hobby on to the next generation and this can be done in a lot of ways.”
--

Abramowicz went on to detail how this can happen:

--
Abramowicz: “I've done it through Venture Crews. There are also many other ways. There is youth groups, families promoting Amateur Radio to the youth in your neighborhood. They are the future of Amateur Radio. Much like a lot of our radios and a lot of our equipment, all it needs is one spark.”
--

And after receiving his gifts from Amateur Radio Newsline, Vertex-Standard and CQ Magazine, KB3GWY turned the tables with a special gift of his own:

--
Abramowicz: “I have here a couple of patches from the K2BSA station from the National Jamboree. These are limited edition patches with “Radio Scouting” written on it. There is K2BSA and it has the world symbol for Scouting. First of all I would like to present one to Mr. Bill Pasternak for his kind generosity. I’d like to give one to Chip from Yaesu for his kind generosity also the gentleman from CQ.”
--

Josh then donated his major gift from Vertex Standard -- a Yaesu FT-817 to the Boy Scout Venture Crew he is involved with:

--
Abramowicz: “I just want to say that the equipment will be going to the Venture Crew and the future efforts of our Venture Crew working with youth and getting them on the air. So thank you. (applause)
--

And Amateur Radio thanks Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY, for being another excellent example of ham radio youth at its very best.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA.

--

Want to hear more? Well you can. We have the entire 13 minute ceremony posted as this weeks Newsline Extra. Just go to www.arnewsline.org and click on this weeks newscast number at the top of the main page. (ARNewsline™)

**

INTERNATIONAL-UK: BIG UK 5MHZ EXPERIMENT FOLLOW-UP

The British 5 MHz propagation study using Amateur Radio is picking up speed. This as an increasing number of U-K hams apply for a Notice of Variation or N-O-V to let them take part. Once again, from Nottingham in the U-K here is Jeramy Boot, G4NJH:

--
During the first few days of the 'Fivemegs Experiment' a large number of NoV holders have made contacts with each other across the UK. Radio amateurs in other countries are showing great interest in this experiment, but we must make it absolutely clear that this has been authorized in order that we may carry out propagation investigations, antenna experiments and some emergency radio training within the UK. At the present time a very small number of US radio amateurs are authorized to conduct similar experiments under the group call sign WA2XSY. We know of no other amateur stations outside the UK who have any authority to call in. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

--

More on the British 5 MHz experiment in future Amateur Radio Newsline reports. (GB2RS)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: NEW VE5 SM

From our friends up North comes word that Bruce Donovan, V-E-5-N-D was recently re-elected Radio Amateurs of Canada Section Manager in the Saskatchewan Section. This, for a two-year term that will begin on November 1st. Donovan ran unopposed eliminating the need for a balloted election. (RAC)

**
INTERNATIONAL - JAPAN: HAM-FAIR TOKYO

The 24th and 25th of August, saw one of the world's largest ham radio shows takes place in Japan. It’s the Amateur Radio Festival better known as the Ham Fair and was slated for the giant Tokyo Exhibition Center. Last year Ham Fair attracted over 35,000 visitors. (JARL)

**

DX

In DX, K3TKJ is active on 40 to 6 meters as C6ALW from Andros Island in the Bahamas. He is there until the 18th of September and activity is mainly on SSB, but with some CW on 6 meters. (GB2RS)

HF98JP is a special event call sign activated by SP9HWN through the 31st of August to celebrate the visit of Pope John Paul the Second to Poland. The station is active on 80 to 6 metros CW, SSB, RTTY and PSK31. QSL as directed on the air. (Modern DX)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: LICENSE DRIVE

And finally the story of a license drive. Not a campaign to get people their ham license. Rather the tale of one determined applicant who could not wait. It took place down-under as we hear from Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB:

--

Here's an amazing story of amateur enthusiasm that must be one for the record books. After many years of trying, Roscoe VK3TPJ was overjoyed on receiving news of his pass in CW. After all Roscoe has had a well-equipped HF station for years but hasn't been able to use it without supervision.

So enthusiastic was our Roscoe that waiting for the call sign in the mail was not for him. So he immediately jumped in his Falcon and drove 1000K up to Sydney to visit the ACA in person. He arrived at around 5am and waited a few hours for the office to open.

When it did, he was told he needed a passport photo to stick onto his new certificate. Undaunted, he went downstairs to an instant photo machine at Wynyard Station. Five minutes later he was back, photo in hand. Another five minutes elapsed and Roscoe was VK3KRH - authorized to operate on all amateur bands. The task achieved, he got in his car and drove straight home again - after just four hours in Sydney and a round trip of approximately 2000 kilometers!

--

Congratulations to the new VK3KRH. You can catch up with him on 160 meter AM during the morning hours. (Q-News)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Mert Garlick N6AWE saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

3741. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 17:03:31 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <fb3imucgc56n3r2sie79ggadb5lro26c0u@4ax.com>
Source record: 51755

If you can figure out how to get Congress to repeal the Freedom Of Information Act, you can probably persuade the FCC to go back to hidden questions. Chance: Zero.

OTOH, memorizing the answers to 600 questions DOES give a bit of knowledge to the person, meager though it may be.

Jim

"Larry Fine" <lf07434wall@hotmail.com>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->6) Revise all questions and do NOT publish the question pool any longer -
->period.
->
->The WORST thing that EVER HAPPENED to ham radio was not the relaxation
->of CW testing speeds, it was the publishing of the FCC Question Pools.
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3742. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 17:33:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208250933.395385a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51756

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020824080634.15779.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0208240012.1258ee6c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>

> >Back then license terms were only two years, renewing the commercial
> >ticket involved more paperwork than it was worth to me, maybe I
> >renewed it twice then let it drop.
>
> Then yours was issued long before mine. Mine was issued July 26, 1972 and was
> good for five years.
>
>
Apparently I'm wrong about the two years but I'll swear it was. Maybe
it was two years for ham tickets and five for commercial tickets.
>
>
> Yup, no "temporary AE" stuff. In the late '60s they were running a 6-8 week
> backlog on any mail transaction. Getting a new Novice typically took three
> months (6-8 week delay between code test and written, 6-8 week delay between
> written and actual license, total time 12-16 weeks if you didn't fail
> anything).
>
>
I didn't have to put up with that. I took the whole Novice test at one
sitting and the license took a number of weeks to arrive but it wasn't
more than six weeks.
>
>
Novice kids were just part of the herd on exam days, whatta zoo.
>
>
> >My Dad bought the three-story $4,500 suburban manse in which I was
> >raised in 1941 on his $135/month paycheck.
>
> $135 before or after taxes?
>
>
Beats me, it's a number I heard years afterward from my Mom.
>
>
> $135/ month = $1620/ yr
> $4500 = 2.777 years salary
>

>
Both of 'em worked right thru the Depression so they also tossed a
pile of cash on the table at settlement. Which was just 6 months
before the Nips hit Pearl. Dad's job running the U. of P. med shool
research shops died when the war started because he couldn't get
materials. At the "behest" of the Army he went to work at the Baldwin
Locomotive Works building tooling for tank production, six 12 hour
days per week and it wasn't optional for most of the duration. Between
the OT and inflation the folks had the place paid off by the end of
the war.
>
>
> >Only fifteen years later I
> >made $135/week as a machinist at Westinghouse. Figger those incomes &
> >inflation.
>
> WW2, Korea, postwar affluence and inflation.
>
>
Very different days indeed. Having antaircarft batteries in the
neighborhood was just part of a kid's life, Night gunnery parctice was
a helluva show, searchlights all over, boom-boom-boom . . . ya could
smell gunpowder for hours afterward.
>
>
> >Yeah, CQ magazine is sponsoring a little "skip-shooting" getogther for
> >beepers over the weekend of Nov. 24 & 25th. Last year around 10,000
> >testers showed up. Not bad for a skill-based mode huh? Skill? Oh wash
> >my mouf . . !
>
> 10,000 testers we know of. Many more who didn't bother to report.
>
>
And the number of participants has been steadily climbing for years.
Odd as hell for a "dying mode".
>
>
> >Where is Ed when ya actually NEED him
> >around here??
>
> He saw the barracuda coming.
>
>
He can run but he can't hide.
>
>
> >That one turned into a thread and a half huh? I still gotta sort out
> >the price ya pay in losses with ferrite cores vs. air cores vs.
> >bandwidth vs. weight & bulk.
>

> Not worth the trouble. Both a decent bead balun and either coiled-coax type
> have negligible losses. The big questions for you are
>
> 1) How much balun effect does it give on the bands of interest? (more ohms =
> better)
>
>
Yup, yup.
>
>
> 2) How much does it cost in size and weight?
>
>
Yup. Now what? Heh.
>
>
> >Not to mention the difference between a
> >balun as an xfmr and a balun as a choke and how ya measure the choking
> >effect without a $5,000 HP or GR impedance bridge.
>
> There's an article waiting for the person with access. Make up a bunch of
> optimized designs and publish 'em.
>
>
That's some EEs job, I ain't got the access or the right background.
The best I could do is pull together a collection of empirical test
results. That ain't very rigorous, if it ain't rigorous I don't
publish. Been there, done that, got spanked in a peer review and I
learned the lesson. Does not apply to this NG.
>
>
> I never bother with baluns for a dipole.
>
>
I'm using the dipole example to keep the discussion simple(r). I'm
trying to get enough of a grip on the topic area as I can for
application in more sophisticated antennas like multiband quads. The
DK9SQ loop looks interesting, might do one and try it at the
Royersford site, that one will need a small balun. Good candidate for
the RG-174 & 70 series core trick. That's probably as good as it can
get wrt to size & weight.
>
>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3743. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 18:14:23 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <X79a9.54605$%v4.1603606@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51757

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:1b30cc0b705f87bf6324baf5ea027746@xganon.com...
> I play music on 3950 and 14313 and sinmce im not a ham riley cant touch
me. yall have a good day now.
>
> 73 de Tim
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Even I know this is a troll, I will say that they "touched" Rabbit Ears down
in Florida and he's not
a ham. And if you really believe you can't get popped, you are really
stupid. And that is further
proven by your completely ignorant obsession with watts, and "swang", as if
that is the measure
of a man or a radio operator. Those of such persuasion are completely
without a clue.

Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3744. Anon posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 19:44:35 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <f79dae1677bd73ff4e48f851c2fe1008@xganon.com>
Source record: 51758

```
NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
-------------------------------------------------------

Any coward can post anonymous trolls.
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

And any anonymous coward can answer anonymous trolls.

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
---
```

3745. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 20:25:29 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825162529.28603.00002476@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 51759

JJ radiobuf0 wrote:
>BZZZZZZT!! There is nothing worse in the radio communications
>world than a cber.

Dont assume all non-Ham amatuers are CBers. Some may have been transmitting on the Ham HF band and have not been a Ham. There are some non Ham Amateurs, some of them who have a Ham licence.

3746. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 20:54:59 UTC
From: skuld-chan <cherry-chan@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Duba9.243531$UU1.40384@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 51760

I wonder why people like Tim here use some anonmyzer to relay usenet
messages, but then plainly display their website below.

Simple whois query shows that timnebo.com is registered at,

715 19th St
Galveston, TX 77550
US

You can even call him at his home phone - 409 766 7655

Really all "riley" has to do is drive out there.

sc

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more watts than
you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you are. stop
by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting will
get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
>
> 73 and good DX
>
> Tim
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3747. Re: Anon posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 21:07:54 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <dd06a37273b27fd98e4fdb204e420481@xganon.com>
Source record: 51761
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

An Anonymous Coward I May Be,

But The Shadow I'm Afraid Of Belongs To ME!!!

The Masked Marauder

Any sufficiently advanced technology

is indistinguishable from magic.

-Arthur C. Clark

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3748. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 21:15:11 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <umii49ev6aj975@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51762

"skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Duba9.243531$UU1.40384@sccrnsc03...
> I wonder why people like Tim here use some anonmyzer to relay usenet
> messages, but then plainly display their website below.

Mostly because it's NOT HIM!

>
> Simple whois query shows that timnebo.com is registered at,
>
> 715 19th St
> Galveston, TX 77550
> US
>
> You can even call him at his home phone - 409 766 7655
>
> Really all "riley" has to do is drive out there.
>
> sc
>

Not that the REAL Tim Nebo is any saint either.
I'll bet the real one don't even know what's being
done in his name.

At least my callsign and email are real (I think)!
--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3749. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 21:37:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1er6bc.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51763

On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 09:20:51 -0400, Larry Fine wrote:

>The WORST thing that EVER HAPPENED to ham radio was not the relaxation
>of CW testing speeds, it was the publishing of the FCC Question Pools.

Blame Dick Bash for stealing the questions and answersm using
easily-duped examinees as his mules.

Blame the Washington FCC poo-bahs who refused to prosecute him and
settled for making the questions public.

Blame the potential examinees (including relatives of mine) who
bought and used Bash's books.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3750. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 21:37:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1erhed.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51764

On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 10:03:31 -0700, Jim Weir wrote:

>If you can figure out how to get Congress to repeal the Freedom Of Information
>Act, you can probably persuade the FCC to go back to hidden questions. Chance:
>Zero.

The problem is not the FOIA. The problem is the unwillingness of
the Headquarters Poo-Bahs to apply an existing exemption in the
FOIA to the material and to prosecute those who would make the
questions and answers public.

The easy way out was to publicize the pool and turn the process
over to VEs. It's always easier to use the Tom Sawyer solution
- get someone else to do your job.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3751. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 21:37:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1es36e.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51765

On 25 Aug 2002 01:28:02 -0700, Brian Kelly wrote:

>> You must have gone to the weong office. San Francisco issued
>> commercial licenses within 2 weeks of passing the exam in those
>> years.
>>
>>
>The Philly office never sent me anything directly. All licenses I've
>ever received came from some beltway place or Gettysburg going back to
>1953-54.

My turn to stand corrected. Up to the very end of FCC testing the
Field Offices issued First, Second, and Third Class RADIOTELEPHONE
licenses after exams and for renewals. RADIOTELEGRAPH licenses came
from FCC Washington because of the need to affix and seal the photograph.
Amateur licenses were issued by Washington, and then by Gettysburg
after it was opened in the mid-60s.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3752. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 21:37:26 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1esxqf.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51766

On 25 Aug 2002 10:42:17 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>>> The only exception was the
>>> Limited Second Telegraph issued as an emergency measure during the
>>> Vietnam War years because of the lack of qualified marine Radio
>>> Officers.
>
>Hold on a sec....
>
>Lack of qualified marine Radio Officers = shortage of skilled CW ops?

Shortage of skilled CW ops with shipboard experience.

Remember that in those days the Navy was pulling the Victory Ships
out of Red Lead Row (Maritime Reserve Fleet in Suisun Bay near San
Francisco) and we were doing three and four Ship Safety Inspections
per day in our office alone.

Times have changed. The ships up in Red Lead Row are there to stay.
There are less than 600 ocean-going cargo ships under US registry,
they no longer use CW for any purpose, and the deck officers are
qualified to operate the radiotelephones, RTTY/SITOR teleprinter
systems, radars, and similar electronic goodies without a Radio
Officer on board.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3753. Re: Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 22:59:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825185959.19412.00003104@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51767

Here's the scoop.

I looked Dick's "QRZ" adres up and called "411" and got the number...It was a bit eriee since I got his answering machine and his voice on it.

I left a message introducing myself and explaning why I was calling.

The family did call back and yes, Dick has passed just as it was stated in the e mail. It appears he had an acute and massive MI (myocaridial infarction), and he died at home, alone.

My wife took the message while I was sleeping for my shift tonight, and the family gave an address for condolences. She didn't think to ask for permission to post the address for the newsgroup, but if anyone wants to send me an e mail directly, I will give you the address for the family.

73 es tnx QSO OM.

N0BK de K4YZ 73

3754. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 23:23:13 UTC
From: 27860 Freeband <HotRod27@ChicagoFreebanders27860.net>
Message-ID: <akboslicj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51768

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:X79a9.54605$%v4.1603606@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:1b30cc0b705f87bf6324baf5ea027746@xganon.com...
> > I play music on 3950 and 14313 and sinmce im not a ham riley cant touch
> me. yall have a good day now.
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
> Even I know this is a troll, I will say that they "touched" Rabbit Ears
down
> in Florida and he's not
> a ham. And if you really believe you can't get popped, you are really
> stupid. And that is further
> proven by your completely ignorant obsession with watts, and "swang", as
if
> that is the measure
> of a man or a radio operator. Those of such persuasion are completely
> without a clue.
>
> Jerry
> >

LOL! And you became his little puppet! Instead of ignoring him.
It is SO EASY to stir up the r.r.a.p. Sanhedrin. hahahahahahahahahah

3755. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 23:26:01 UTC
From: 27860 Freeband <HotRod27@ChicagoFreebanders27860.net>
Message-ID: <akbp1s$j11$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51769

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1er6bc.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 09:20:51 -0400, Larry Fine wrote:
>
> >The WORST thing that EVER HAPPENED to ham radio was not the relaxation
> >of CW testing speeds, it was the publishing of the FCC Question Pools.
>
> Blame Dick Bash for stealing the questions and answersm using
> easily-duped examinees as his mules.
>
> Blame the Washington FCC poo-bahs who refused to prosecute him and
> settled for making the questions public.
>
> Blame the potential examinees (including relatives of mine) who
> bought and used Bash's books.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!!!!
cry us a river of tears!

3756. Re: VOX: Useful Or Annoying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-25 23:40:53 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <2jqimu00044rnqie769isorvses5h64vbt@4ax.com>
Source record: 51770

On 20 Aug 2002 14:46:54 -0700, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote:

>Simple question. (...& I'm full of 'em these days.) Do you guys find
>VOX useful or annoying?
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

While participating in the Ohio QSO party this past Saturday, the
radio I was using, an Icom 746, had the VOX enabled, it was a PITA. If
anything I'd have rather had a headset with a foot switch so I could
use my hands to log contacts.

That being said, the fun we had just made me want to upgrade even
more. Well back to code practice.

73 de Keith

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

3757. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 00:17:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umiste350fp823@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51771

And obviously, even with the easy availability of the study materials, many folks can't pass the tests anyways. I've advocated (tongue in cheek) two simple questions:

1) What is your name?
2) What is your address?

Spelling would count - no partial credit.
Passing would be 50%

I still believe some folks would have a problem with that. Would you?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

27860 Freeband <HotRod27@ChicagoFreebanders27860.net> wrote in message news:akbp1s$j11$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1er6bc.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 09:20:51 -0400, Larry Fine wrote:
> >
> > >The WORST thing that EVER HAPPENED to ham radio was not the relaxation
> > >of CW testing speeds, it was the publishing of the FCC Question Pools.
> >
> > Blame Dick Bash for stealing the questions and answersm using
> > easily-duped examinees as his mules.
> >
> > Blame the Washington FCC poo-bahs who refused to prosecute him and
> > settled for making the questions public.
> >
> > Blame the potential examinees (including relatives of mine) who
> > bought and used Bash's books.
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> BWAHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!!!!
> cry us a river of tears!
>
>
>
>

3758. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 00:39:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1f5gnk.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51772

On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 20:17:30 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:

>folks can't pass the tests anyways. I've advocated (tongue in cheek) two
>simple questions:
>
>1) What is your name?
>2) What is your address?
>
>Spelling would count - no partial credit.
>Passing would be 50%
>
>I still believe some folks would have a problem with that. Would you?

Some folks would claim a waiver under privacy concerns.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3759. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 01:08:49 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020825210849.27149.00000784@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 51773

In article <3d68d964@snipnews.snip.net>, "Larry Fine" <lf07434wall@hotmail.com>
writes:

>The WORST thing that EVER HAPPENED to ham radio was not the relaxation
>of CW testing speeds, it was the publishing of the FCC Question Pools.

Wrong. It was both.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3760. Re: Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 01:08:49 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020825210849.27149.00000785@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 51774

In article <20020824233459.18273.00002991@mb-mk.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> I just received an e mail that would appear to be from a third party
>reading Dick McCollum's address book, announcing his passing.
>
> Does anyone know if this is true, or is it a cruel hoax?

This is the second ham radio (acquaintence, in Dick's case) of mine who has passed away suddenly and in an untimely manner from serious heart disease this year. The first was Jim Treadway, KA3IJO, age 50, who left us very suddenly in June, leaving his XYL Sandy, KA3PJP. Jim and Sandy are both blind amateurs and were regular Paratransit customers of mine (Sandy, of course, still is). I hope you all are taking care of your health, and especially watching your cholesterol and triglycerides. I am actively working on both myself, and my numbers are good. Please take care of yourselves.

I will E-mail my condolences to Dick's brother. Dick, go with God. You will be missed.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3761. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 01:31:29 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Rxfa9.52$Mo1.4625463@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51775

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020825064217.25779.00000176@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <ak99t6$9im$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> >news:3nV99.592$B74.42634451@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >> news:ak8jut$1iv$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> >> >
> >> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:20020823123757.25398.00002787@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >> > > >Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
> >> > > >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> >> > > >Date: 8/20/02 11:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >> > > >Message-id: <3D630DA8.85198B0A@ix.netcom.com>
> >> > > Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.
> >> >
> >> > A point you (Jim N2EY) and I have agreed on for many moons.
> >> >
> >> > > As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one
kind
> >or
> >> > > another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along.
> >> >
> >> > I'd go so far to say it is 100% certainty that the FCC
> >> > wouldn't go along.
> >>
> >> Based on what? The FCC hasn't batted an eye at any changes the QPC or
>>
> VEC have made.
>
> Because the QPC and VEC have a pretty good idea what the FCC will approve
and
> disapprove.

I'm not so sure. I think they have far greater latitude than you think.

> >> The most recent being the change in the code exam from 5 WPM
> >> Morse to 13-15 WPM Farnsworth.
>
> Incorrect. That method has been in use for years, and it's not the only
test
> available. All the VEC did was standardize on it.

>
> Anybody who wants "straight" 5 wpm Morse can get it for the asking.

Providing they know to ask for it.

> >Based on the fact that any "practical" testing always has
> >a subjective aspect to it. It just isn't going to happen,
> >and the QPC and/or VEC isn't going to ask for testing
> >changes that tack the process into subjective interpretations.
>
> 'zactly. Practical tests, essay questions, even a Morse sending test
require a
> skilled examiner(s) making subjective judgements. Not on the FCC's agenda.
> The only way it could come about is to prove to the FCC that the lack of
such a
> test was causing serious problems for the ARS and other radio services.

A sending test is still part of the requirement, so we'll not bother with
that scenario.

> Next thing you'll want, Brian, is an age requirement.

How old are you?

3762. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 01:32:39 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Xyfa9.54$5K1.5972147@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51776

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020825021010.13843.00000792@mb-mu.aol.com...
> In article <3nV99.592$B74.42634451@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >Based on what? The FCC hasn't batted an eye at any changes the QPC or
VEC
> >have made. The most recent being the change in the code exam from 5 WPM
> >Morse to 13-15 WPM Farnsworth.
>
> Brian:
>
> What's the difference between Morse and Farnsworth? I know Farnsworth
> as a different method of sending the Morse code, not something altogether
> different *from* the Morse code, as you imply.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Not again!

3763. Re: Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 01:36:52 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <UCfa9.55$2M1.6100107@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51777

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020825064218.25779.00000178@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <nuV99.595$I64.42575998@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020824145413.04751.00000252@mb-mw.aol.com...
> >> In article <OmP99.575$uo1.533@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> >> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >Jim, so other hams can remarry and have children later in life, dual
> >> >careers, etc., but when Brian remarries later in life, has children,
and
> >> >starts a second career, it's no excuse for not devoting an incredible
> >number
> >> >of hours to increasing his code speed for your pleasure?
> >>
> >> Who said that? Not me.
> >>
> >> How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10
> >years?
> >>
> >> It does seem like Brian has spent an incredible number of hours posting
to
> >> rrap, though ;-)
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >That's exactly what you've always said, Jim.
>
> What is "exactly what I've always said"? All my posts are in google -
should be
> easy to post a link to what you're referrin to.
>
> It certainly does seem like Brian has spent an incredible number of hours
> postin to rrap. That's a true statement - ask Cecil.
>
> > So now you've said it again.
>
> Said what?
>
> How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10

years?

Jim, what should I have been doing with those hours, in your opinion? Go ahead and say it. You've said it before.

3764. Re: New Book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 01:38:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xEfa9.56$uM1.6128870@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51778

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208242126.c36900c@posting.google.com...
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<QfP99.574$%o1.498@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>...
> > So now the FCC is giving "Art" exams?
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020824100638.12729.00000150@mb-cs.aol.com...
> > > New address and price for:
> > >
> > > "The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy" by N0HFF
> > >
> > > In the USA, send $13.23 (payable to FISTS) to:
> > >
> > > Supply manager WO8E
> > > 44609 Bunker Hill Road
> > > Clinton Twp, MI 48038
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > >
> > > In article <3CB1D372.BA695B31@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> > writes:
> > >
> > > >From "Worldradio", new products section:
> > > >
> > > >"The Art & Skill of Radio-Telegraphy" by N0HFF. Available from:
> > > >Dave Clarke, VE6LX, 8607-34A Ave, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6K 0B9
> > > >for $14. $4 S&H for US orders. $5.50 S&H for Canadian orders.
> > > >--
> >
> Burkie no matter how hard you try you'll never make it as the biggest
> putz in this NG, you just ain't "got it", the best you can hope for is
> a distant #2.
>
> w3rv

Kellie, you're #1 in my book.

3765. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 01:41:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cHfa9.57$FI1.5888305@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51779

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1erhed.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 10:03:31 -0700, Jim Weir wrote:
>
> >If you can figure out how to get Congress to repeal the Freedom Of
Information
> >Act, you can probably persuade the FCC to go back to hidden questions.
Chance:
> >Zero.
>
> The problem is not the FOIA. The problem is the unwillingness of
> the Headquarters Poo-Bahs to apply an existing exemption in the
> FOIA to the material and to prosecute those who would make the
> questions and answers public.
>
> The easy way out was to publicize the pool and turn the process
> over to VEs. It's always easier to use the Tom Sawyer solution
> - get someone else to do your job.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Phil, that's just what the FCC needs, an enforcement agent outside each test session looking for Bashers quizzing the applicants.

3766. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 02:03:40 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akc29q$t2a$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51780

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208250933.395385a@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020824080634.15779.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0208240012.1258ee6c@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
> >
> > > >Back then license terms were only two years, renewing the commercial
> > > >ticket involved more paperwork than it was worth to me, maybe I
> > > >renewed it twice then let it drop.
> > >
> > > Then yours was issued long before mine. Mine was issued July 26, 1972
and was
> > > good for five years.
> > >
> > Apparently I'm wrong about the two years but I'll swear it was. Maybe
> > it was two years for ham tickets and five for commercial tickets.

Ham tickets were 5 years (except the early novice). My technician class
license was renewed every 5 years from 1959 until about 10 years ago when
the
licenses were all extended to 10 year renewals.

The initial novice was good for one year and non-renewable. I'll
defer to others as to the history of change associated with the
novice.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3767. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 02:18:13 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akc353qst1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51781

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020825080657.15779.00000365@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <ak9hal$vu7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >> So the real-world focus is simply this: What can be done to improve the
> >written
> >> tests in ways that will be approved by the FCC?
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Maybe we can get some better discussion under a different topic heading.
> >
>
> Alrighty - here's a short list:
>
> 1) Increase the size of the Q&A pools (already in progress)

Agree...especially for formula questions. The number of variables
and subsequent correct answers needs to be enlarged.

> 2) Increase the number of choices on each question from 4 to 5

OK by me, again, especially for formula questions.

> 3) Change the grading method so that wrong answers decrease the score
> (eliminates the gain from pure guessing)

Yet few other licensing entities do that. In any case,
I understand your goal/purpose.

> 4) Break each Element into subelements (say, Safety, Regs, Theory) or some
> such, and require a certain number of right answers in each subelement.
(The
> way it is now, a new ham could get all the Safety questions wrong and
still
> pass, all the way to Extra.)

Agree very much so with that.

> 5) Focus more on the basics and less on esoterics (like the "how much time
do
> you have to notify the FCC about a station in space" questions)

Agree also.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3768. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 02:26:57 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akc3lj$6he$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51782

"Larry Fine" <lf07434wall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3d68d964@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020825080657.15779.00000365@mb-fm.aol.com...
>
> > Alrighty - here's a short list:
> >
> > 1) Increase the size of the Q&A pools (already in progress)
> >
> > 2) Increase the number of choices on each question from 4 to 5
> >
> > 3) Change the grading method so that wrong answers decrease the score
> > (eliminates the gain from pure guessing)
> >
> > 4) Break each Element into subelements (say, Safety, Regs, Theory) or
some
> > such, and require a certain number of right answers in each subelement.
> (The
> > way it is now, a new ham could get all the Safety questions wrong and
> still
> > pass, all the way to Extra.)
> >
> > 5) Focus more on the basics and less on esoterics (like the "how much
time
> do
> > you have to notify the FCC about a station in space" questions)
> >
> > That's just a start.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Add this one to the list Jim:
>
> 6) Revise all questions and do NOT publish the question pool any longer -
> period.

That isn't going to happen AND even if it did,
the question pool would become "public" the same way it did when Bash
began publishing the questions by having test candidates send him
qestions they remembered.

> The WORST thing that EVER HAPPENED to ham radio was not the relaxation
> of CW testing speeds, it was the publishing of the FCC Question Pools.
> Before 1982 you had to KNOW your theory and rules.

Nope, the info was all there even in 1957/58 when I took the novice
and then the general. Many questions in study guides (e.g. AMECO,
etc.) turned out to be almost exactly as they were on the test.

> Today it's nothing
> more than rote memorization. The result?

Rote memorization was still the basic learning tool
for much of the info that was on the tests in 1950s
and 60s. Band edges, frequency allocations, FCC rules,
etc.

> We now have "certified extra
> class" hams who could not even calculate a series-parallel resistor
> network, let alone be able to accurately describe VSWR. There was a
> time when having an Amateur Radio operators licence meant that you KNEW
> electrical and electronics theory. Today we are flooded with appliance
> operators who can't even perform the most basic diagnosis of a fault
> in their radio gear, let alone be able to design and build a voltage
> regulator circuit for the VHF radio in their car. Ham radio has become
> "plug and play". Just another glorified CB - as even a casual listen
> to 2 Meters will tell you. Darn shame.

Electronics in general is "plug & play." The entire telecommunications
networks are based on products which use built in diagnostics,
circuit packs which are replaced in the field but are NOT
troubleshoot except on a central depot basis. Computers are pretty
much the same thing...disposable plug-ins.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3769. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 02:34:33 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akc43ujqe1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51783

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1erhed.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 10:03:31 -0700, Jim Weir wrote:
>
> >If you can figure out how to get Congress to repeal the Freedom Of
Information
> >Act, you can probably persuade the FCC to go back to hidden questions.
Chance:
> >Zero.
>
> The problem is not the FOIA. The problem is the unwillingness of
> the Headquarters Poo-Bahs to apply an existing exemption in the
> FOIA to the material and to prosecute those who would make the
> questions and answers public.

Phil,
The ability to post questions (legally or not) on the ineternet is
reason alone that questions would become available. The recording
and movie industry can't stop "bootleg" copies of music and movies,
so what liklihood is the ability to stop an "off-shore" web site that
people send questions to and others download?

> The easy way out was to publicize the pool and turn the process
> over to VEs. It's always easier to use the Tom Sawyer solution
> - get someone else to do your job.

It was a pragmatic decision to be shore, but it ain't gonna
change. Hoping or wishing for a "closed" question pool
is just a waste of time (IMHO).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3770. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 02:45:28 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akc4odvto1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51784

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1er6bc.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 09:20:51 -0400, Larry Fine wrote:
>
> >The WORST thing that EVER HAPPENED to ham radio was not the relaxation
> >of CW testing speeds, it was the publishing of the FCC Question Pools.
>
> Blame Dick Bash for stealing the questions and answersm using
> easily-duped examinees as his mules.

An over-reaching statement at best.

> Blame the Washington FCC poo-bahs who refused to prosecute him and
> settled for making the questions public.

And just why did they not prosecute? The liklihood
of winning in the end was (IMHO) not likly.

> Blame the potential examinees (including relatives of mine) who
> bought and used Bash's books.

Much of the same type of stuff was in AMECO study guides
too. There's no "blame" involved. Where the purchasers
of those books criminals? Did anyone try to tell them
there was anything illegal about the quides? Indeed, there isn't
one credible public bit of information that suggests anything
was illegal.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3771. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 03:04:48 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umj6n6dc301uc7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51785

Well, I would never argue with a lawyer ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1f5gnk.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 20:17:30 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> >folks can't pass the tests anyways. I've advocated (tongue in cheek) two
> >simple questions:
> >
> >1) What is your name?
> >2) What is your address?
> >
> >Spelling would count - no partial credit.
> >Passing would be 50%
> >
> >I still believe some folks would have a problem with that. Would you?
>
> Some folks would claim a waiver under privacy concerns.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

3772. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 04:52:19 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D69B403.81290996@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51786

N2EY wrote:

>
>
> 4) Break each Element into subelements (say, Safety, Regs, Theory) or some
> such, and require a certain number of right answers in each subelement. (The
> way it is now, a new ham could get all the Safety questions wrong and still
> pass, all the way to Extra.)

My father took all the tests to get an advanced in one day down at the FCC (back in 1972). The examiner mentioned that he should review the rules before he gets on the air, as it seems he got most of the regs questions wrong. AFAIK, he never violated any rules when he did get on the air.

3773. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 06:55:21 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <627b5861ec60b4a8a5d86ccb6aba1552@xganon.com>
Source record: 51787

hell when i get on my freeband frequency 27.850 i want to hear english speech like tawn fo der good buddy and not oriental speech. there must be some way the FCC can stop the orientals from transmitting there where there not supposed to be. you hams are so good at protecting frequency why dont you do something for us. maybe then people would respect you and ham radio wont die. and another thing about hams they are too old and too fat and they know ham will eventally die and cb will take over because people dont care about iding every ten minutes and obeying dumb rules. i smoke my bud and wave my glock around and thats being free according to the constituition. freedom, i dont think you guys know what that is you are too choke chained by the FCC. well thats all i gots to say about that.

73 and good DX

Tim

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3774. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 12:15:23 UTC
From: Bob <BobTV@tvrepairandispmailservices.com>
Message-ID: <akd64gfaf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51788

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1er6bc.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 09:20:51 -0400, Larry Fine wrote:
>
> >The WORST thing that EVER HAPPENED to ham radio was not the relaxation
> >of CW testing speeds, it was the publishing of the FCC Question Pools.
>
> Blame Dick Bash for stealing the questions and answersm using
> easily-duped examinees as his mules.
>
> Blame the Washington FCC poo-bahs who refused to prosecute him and
> settled for making the questions public.
>
> Blame the potential examinees (including relatives of mine) who
> bought and used Bash's books.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
Do you EVER do anything anytime, besides whine, cry, and
criticize???? Get A Life!!!!!!

Bob

3775. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 12:26:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020826082644.08145.00002710@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 51789

>Subject: Re: How can the written tests be improved?
>From: "Bill Sohl" billsohl@mindspring.com
>Date: 08/25/02 22:45 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <akc4odvto1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>

>> Blame Dick Bash for stealing the questions and answersm using
>> easily-duped examinees as his mules.
>
>An over-reaching statement at best.

Why, Bill? he paid or otherwise reimbursed people to give him information on tests that were allegedly closed to public disclosure. He (and his appropriately coined "mules") broke the law.

>> Blame the Washington FCC poo-bahs who refused to prosecute him and
>> settled for making the questions public.
>
>And just why did they not prosecute? The liklihood
>of winning in the end was (IMHO) not likly.

Are you so sure, Bill? I think the likelyhood was VERY likely! We ARE talking about the contents of an examination for federal licensure. The "pools" should have never been "opened". Perhaps made subject to peer review by competent organizations, but the verbatim questions should have been (and should be in the future) closed.

>Indeed, there isn't
>one credible public bit of information that suggests anything
>was illegal.

Sure there was. The caveat that used to appear on the cover of the tests that said you may not disclose the contents of the test to any third party! Seemed pretty clear to me!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3776. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 12:37:04 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208260437.387a267b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51790

radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) wrote in message
news:<20020824221143.21783.00003777@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> >but the K1 looks so damn good. :-)
>
> Yes it sure does. Take care and 73.
>
> Mark

You know what also looks damn good? The Ten-Tec Argonaut V. I'm a sucker for analog S/RF meters. I know it sounds silly, but There's something about an analog meter as opposed to the popular digital segmented-bar meters. It's more than just aesthetic, kinda hard to explain. Hope you, and everybody else understand(s)? I also like the fact that you can download new Flash ROM instructions to cover any new band allocations. The Jupiter's nice too, but that's a definite base where the Argonaut V is small and light enough for portable work. I'd chose it over the FT-817 in a heartbeat. I kinda have this new "buy American" attitude now. More so than before.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3777. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 13:08:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208260508.3598f9bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51791

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<akc29q$t2a$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0208250933.395385a@posting.google.com...
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20020824080634.15779.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> > > In article <d22b54c3.0208240012.1258ee6c@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
>
> > Apparently I'm wrong about the two years but I'll swear it was. Maybe
> > it was two years for ham tickets and five for commercial tickets.
>
> Ham tickets were 5 years (except the early novice). My technician class
> license was renewed every 5 years from 1959 until about 10 years ago when
> the
> licenses were all extended to 10 year renewals.
>
>
Looks like my two-year thing is headbone mythology.
>
>
>
> The initial novice was good for one year and non-renewable. I'll
> defer to others as to the history of change associated with the
> novice.
>
I'm clueless on that one. There were a lotta gyrations afterward which
I didn't follow because once I escaped 3700-3750 I never looked back.

>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

3778. twistedhed FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-26 23:47:13 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <121415e2e4562923026d09fb0b003b8b@xganon.com>
Source record: 51792

please go to the twistedhed FAQ at

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/twistworld.jpg

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3779. rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 00:29:08 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <b8a4adacc6e523f98c1fa5009aa1493e@xganon.com>
Source record: 51793

no wonder ham radio is dieing. it is rude hams killing there own hobby. you wonder why CB ers are not interested in ham. it is the rude and holier than thou attitude of hams thats why. they are not willing to freely share there knowege like CB ers do. or they try and act like they are superior to you. try this ask a ham how to get more watts out of an amp or how to trim SWRs to work on freeband they will give you a ration of shit about rules etc. oh some hams are ok but the majority are barney fifes.

73 and good DX

Tim

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3780. Re: Anon Posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 00:53:39 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <1a401efde5a0d9a1acd8d229823679fa@xganon.com>
Source record: 51794
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

An Anonymous Coward I May Be,

But The Shadow I'm Afraid Of Belongs To ME!!!

Heh heh heh
This must BE
Brian P..
i.e.,
N0iM....D!!!!

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3781. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 01:03:33 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1h060p.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51795

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 01:41:28 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>Phil, that's just what the FCC needs, an enforcement agent outside each test
>session looking for Bashers quizzing the applicants.

Brian, it happened at MY office. Whenever I would step out to go
to the men's room or to lunch, there was Dickie Boy pumping the
examinees eight in my face. We had confrontations several times.

Blame the Poo-Bahs. I was greatly in favor of getting the
Commission to at least issue a Cease and Desist Order which
would have dragged the issue before a judge but the legal
powers-that-were in those days were lazy and did not want to
take any steps that involved doing real work. It wasn't an
issue of winning or losing - it was just getting them to do the
**** work.

Am I bitter - you betcha. I never was that type of agent or
lawyer and I didn't respect it in others, especially when it
made my or my people's work meaningless.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3782. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 01:03:33 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1h09jq.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51796

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 08:15:23 -0400, Bob wrote:

> Do you EVER do anything anytime, besides whine, cry, and
>criticize???? Get A Life!!!!!!

As a retiree I have a lifetime license to criticize, especially when
I know where the bones are buried.

Other than that - see ya' on the air......
---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3783. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 01:21:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208261721.6dad582a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51797

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020825021011.13843.00000793@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> In article <20020824080635.15779.00000327@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> I'm pretty familiar with the Springfield Mall, do you know what part of the
> mall the shooting took place?
>
>
Right in the front door to center court as I recall and bang-bang. The
ballsy kid who grabbed the rifle became a State Trooper. The big bitch
on the autorities from her parents wuz "we told you she'd do something
like this!" The DelCo DA explained "But we couldn't do anything about
her until she done it". Wunnerful.

> 73 de Larry, K3LT

w3rv

3784. Why CW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 01:28:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208261728.764b204c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51798

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<ak2l2t32fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>...
>
>
Responding to K3LT . . .
>
>
>
> Again, if *you* (proverbially speaking with the words with * around them)
> want me to participate in something *you* hold dear, treating *me* like
> feces is not the way to get me to do something that is considered an
> elective, not a requirement in life as hobbies are.
>
>
Lemmee dump another PCTA perspective on you: I can hum along at 30 wpm
all nite and have been able to do so for the best part of a half
century. I don't run cw because it's "fun". It's usually not fun, it
can be a pain in the ass quite frankly. In my own case I get my
jollies out of ham radio by chasing dx, particularly on the low HF
bands, that's my fun. There isn't a single even half-serious dxer
anywhere who won't tell you that under the operating conditions
usually found in this game that cw isn't heads and shoulders above ssb
in *performance* no matter how you define "performance". And there are
thousands of us out there who share this non-opinion. Ask the Techs
who run 2m cw EME. It's not an opinion, it's indisputable physics.
>
Being able to take advantage of the performance benifits is only one
rationale for developing skill with cw, there are others. Yeah, I
know, you don't care about dxing. I've heard 'em all, don't waste the
bandwidth.
>
I don't bother with trying to "sell" cw to anybody, I don't get any
"commission" if I "sell" you cw. I have no stake at all in what you do
with ham radio and I could care less if you run cw or not. But take it
from this grumpy old brass pounder if you're not able to run cw YOU
are the loser because YOU are limiting your own horizons as they
relate to being able to take advantage of all ham radio has to offer.
And ya done it to yerself. Go for it, less QRM for me down the bands
if you can't use the stuff. "Take you electives" and hang in there on
the machines and in the Athritis nets on 75m, no skin off my teeth.

w3rv

3785. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 02:00:03 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akemf7$ms4$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51799

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1h060p.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 01:41:28 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >Phil, that's just what the FCC needs, an enforcement agent outside each
test
> >session looking for Bashers quizzing the applicants.
>
> Brian, it happened at MY office. Whenever I would step out to go
> to the men's room or to lunch, there was Dickie Boy pumping the
> examinees eight in my face. We had confrontations several times.
>
> Blame the Poo-Bahs. I was greatly in favor of getting the
> Commission to at least issue a Cease and Desist Order which
> would have dragged the issue before a judge but the legal
> powers-that-were in those days were lazy and did not want to
> take any steps that involved doing real work. It wasn't an
> issue of winning or losing - it was just getting them to do the
> **** work.

The feds couldn't get a conviction against a writer that
published CIA secrets. FCC questions would have even
a slimer chance of being stopped from distribution.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3786. my freeband life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 02:03:36 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <b62da297644bb097acbb27b877961624@xganon.com>
Source record: 51800

i just heard a australian station calling on 27.555 usb with the qrz of

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3787. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 02:07:10 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akemse$3jt$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51601

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020826082644.08145.00002710@mb-df.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: How can the written tests be improved?
> >From: "Bill Sohl" billsohl@mindspring.com
> >Date: 08/25/02 22:45 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <akc4odvto1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
>
> >> Blame Dick Bash for stealing the questions and answersm using
> >> easily-duped examinees as his mules.
> >
> >An over-reaching statement at best.
>
> Why, Bill? he paid or otherwise reimbursed people to give him
information
> on tests that were allegedly closed to public disclosure. He (and his
> appropriately coined "mules") broke the law.

What law was broken. If I ask you to remember a question or two
that is on a test you take, what is illegal about that? You may
disagree with it, but I see it as a basic first amendment issue...if
it had ever gotten to court.

As I note in another post, the feds couldn't convict or stop distributrion
of a book containing a host of CIA secrets.

> >> Blame the Washington FCC poo-bahs who refused to prosecute him and
> >> settled for making the questions public.
> >
> >And just why did they not prosecute? The liklihood
> >of winning in the end was (IMHO) not likly.
>
> Are you so sure, Bill? I think the likelyhood was VERY likely! We
ARE
> talking about the contents of an examination for federal licensure. The
> "pools" should have never been "opened". Perhaps made subject to peer
review
> by competent organizations, but the verbatim questions should have been
(and
> should be in the future) closed.

Wishing it so doesn't make it so. I again note the inability of the feds to
win against publishing of CIA secrets. On par, the FCC is even further
down the list of needs for not allowing the public to discuss or publish
remembered questions.

> >Indeed, there isn't
> >one credible public bit of information that suggests anything
> >was illegal.
>
> Sure there was. The caveat that used to appear on the cover of the
tests
> that said you may not disclose the contents of the test to any third
party!
> Seemed pretty clear to me!

Printing it on a test doesn't make it so. Let me rephrase for
crystal clarity....Indeed, there isn't
one credible public bit of information that suggests anything
was illegal in the eyes of any court case.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3788. NEBO freeband thug life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 02:22:07 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <6a67a6537316a517551d72c7d98978bc@xganon.com>
Source record: 51602

Yo! first personal here is Tim. i just heard a australian station calling on 27.555 usb with the qrz of gravedigger 182-AT-01. I think he is in autralia but i have no way of knowing because everyone on freeband is anonymous. This is not because we are scared but because we choose not to be under the thumb of the corrupt FCC. if you want to get on radio but dont like ham try freeband we are the elite of CB. tawn forty roger and im back quiet qrt and on the side.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3789. Re: Why CW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 04:40:34 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827004034.02258.00003074@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 51603

>Being able to take advantage of the performance benifits is only one
>rationale for developing skill with cw, there are others.

Audio code readers and generators exist. Can one use these devices to obtain the benefits of CW without having to learn CW?

>But take it
>from this grumpy old brass pounder if you're not able to run cw YOU
>are the loser because YOU are limiting your own horizons as they
>relate to being able to take advantage of all ham radio has to offer.

CW takes effort, more for me than the different modes, antennas, radio direction finding, rf circuits, etc. For me attempting to learn CW would displace all these other aspects. This would result in me not being able to take advantage of all Ham Radio has to offer.

> I have no stake at all in what you do
>with ham radio and I could care less if you run cw or not.

Linking the General Class licence to passing a CW test imposes on others the requirement to learn CW if they want to obtain a higher licence.

If you want to advance technically, why impose a seperate, unrelated criteria as a condition to doing so?

There are many that want to learn CW, why impose on the rest that do not want to?

3790. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 05:02:55 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827010255.02258.00003075@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 51604

> it is the rude and holier than thou attitude of hams thats why. they are not
>willing to freely share there knowege

Hams do not tend to be rude. Some "holier than thou" attitude possibly. Part of this is using codewords for ordinary activities. Why say QRP when you can simply say "operating at low power". This tends to confuse people and leave them out of Ham Radio.

>try this ask a ham how to get more watts out of an amp or how to trim SWRs to
>work on freeband they will give you a ration of shit about rules etc.

Hams tend to focus more on rules, politics, Ham traditions, than the technical aspects. They tend to talk about what they did rather than share their knowledge.

>oh some hams are ok but the majority are barney fifes.
Ham Radio is a cult. Sure, one can learn the Qxx codewords, learn about Ham politics, etc. But, these items would not be important to you. You may be able to inderstand it, but not relate to it. Only a "true Ham" would relate to that.

3791. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 05:07:44 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827010744.02258.00003077@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 51605

> it is the rude and holier than thou attitude of hams thats why. they are not
>willing to freely share there knowege

Hams do not tend to be rude. Some "holier than thou" attitude possibly. Part of this is using codewords for ordinary activities. Why say QRP when you can simply say "operating at low power". This tends to confuse people and leave them out of Ham Radio.

>try this ask a ham how to get more watts out of an amp or how to trim SWRs to
>work on freeband they will give you a ration of shit about rules etc.

Hams tend to focus more on rules, politics, Ham traditions, than the technical aspects. They tend to talk about what they did rather than share their knowledge.

>oh some hams are ok but the majority are barney fifes.
Ham Radio is a cult. Sure, one can learn the Qxx codewords, learn about Ham politics, etc. But, these items would not be important to you. You may be able to understand it, but not relate to it. Only a "true Ham" would relate to that.

3792. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 05:09:36 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827010936.02258.00003078@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 51606

> it is the rude and holier than thou attitude of hams thats why. they are not
>willing to freely share there knowege

Hams do not tend to be rude. Some "holier than thou" attitude possibly. Part of this is using codewords for ordinary activities. Why say QRP when you can simply say "operating at low power". This tends to confuse people and leave them out of Ham Radio.

>try this ask a ham how to get more watts out of an amp or how to trim SWRs to
>work on freeband they will give you a ration of shit about rules etc.

Hams tend to focus more on rules, politics, Ham traditions, than the technical aspects. They tend to talk about what they did rather than share their knowledge.

>oh some hams are ok but the majority are barney fifes.
Ham Radio is a cult. Sure, one can learn the Qxx codewords, learn about Ham politics, etc. But, these items would not be important to you. You may be able to understand it, but not relate to it. Only a "true Ham" would relate to that.

3793. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 06:37:04 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <akfpag0jaf@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51608

Thanks for the support... although I am only able to use this thing in a
mobile configuration. Bout the only way I can think of monitoring it while
I am in the house is possibly a baby monitor or something like that....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Matt" <kinerm125@cs.com> wrote in message
news:3e64731f.0208230711.41fd7e38@posting.google.com...
> Ryan,
>
> Hang in there! I've been into 6 meters for about 4 years, and for the
> first year or so I felt the same way. Then I got into the habit of
> turning the rig on whenever I was in the spare room I use as a
> "shack", as well as briefly every morning and right after work. Also
> helps to keep tabs on the DX spots online (dxworld.com is good).
> Eventually you WILL be there when the band is open. I can almost
> guarantee that after the first opening you won't want to part with the
> 5054! 73,
>
> Matt N3SOZ
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<ak2l2p12fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> > I like the 5054, but am selling it due to the fact that I am never near
the
> > damned thing when there is a band opening. So far it works really great
for
> > the 40-70 mile local range, but I have not had the timing to be at the
radio
> > when propagation conditions occur....
> >
> > Guess I will have to wait till later in life to really enjoy this
hobby...
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >

3794. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 06:40:10 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <akfpai1jaf@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51607

Being able to contact others... other than that little guy in the repeater that ID's the repeater and that lady that gives the time. I wonder what she looks like... she sounds sexy!! (just joking)

The times during the week, of operation for me, are between 7-9am, and then 7-10pm. I can operate via HT at work, but am limited to that only between the hours of 11pm-7am.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> >Guess I will have to wait till later in life to really enjoy this hobby...
> >
> All depends on what you require to really enjoy it.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

3795. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 07:03:36 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <akfpaj2jaf@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51609

Never had a pre-occupation with being woo-ed.... I am just saying that if I wanted to attract someone to a hobby that is "hanging in by a thread" I sure as hell as am not going to treat them like crap, blame them for problems I may have with the hobby and the changes that have happened that I may not like, even though they had nothing to do with the problems in the first place. If I wanted to be told I am a bad person from the get-go... I'll become a christian.

Getting back to the original intention of the postings.... the ARRL. Why should I join, other than the magazine subscription, which is getting thinner and thinner, and more ads? (yes, I know they need them to support it, but soon it is going to be all ads...)
Any other "selling point" is moot. The alleged Field Organization is non-existant.... The lobbying part that is supposed to be sooo important cannot even be proven or at least the amount of expenditures claimed necessary. What other points would make me "want to buy their product" meaning the membership?

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> Ryan's pre-occupation with being "wooed" into the ARS is simply a
> symptom of our sick society. AFAIC, they deserve the stale coffee.
> And I'll make sure they don't find the nice fresh donughts, either.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

3796. Re: NEBO freeband thug life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 14:17:26 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <umn2cma8bekt3d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51610

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:6a67a6537316a517551d72c7d98978bc@xganon.com...
> Yo! first personal here is Tim. i just heard a australian station calling
on 27.555 usb with the qrz of gravedigger 182-AT-01. I think he is in
autralia but i have no way of knowing because everyone on freeband is
anonymous. This is not because we are scared but because we choose not to be
under the thumb of the corrupt FCC. if you want to get on radio but dont
like ham try freeband we are the elite of CB. tawn forty roger and im back
quiet qrt and on the side.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Well the email address of remailer@xganon.com just went into MY kill file.

3797. Re: Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 16:10:23 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208270810.3805cc21@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51611

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D68CDD1.F708ACA3@earthlink.net>...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > I just received an e mail that would appear to be from a third party
> > reading Dick McCollum's address book, announcing his passing.
> >
> > Does anyone know if this is true, or is it a cruel hoax?
> >
> > Steve Robeson, LPN, K4YZ
>
>
> Sadly, the info seems correct, Steve. I received the message below as
> well:
>
> "To All Recipients:
>
> You are receiving this message because your e-mail address appears in
> Richard McCollum's address
> book My intention in sending this message is to simply get information
> to those of you who are
> friends, acquaintances, or correspondents of Richard's. If you are
> receiving this message in error,
> please accept my most humble and sincere apologies. Thank you.
>
> David W. McCollum
>
>
> MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska
> 12/04/1943. Passed away
> suddenly from a massive
> heart attack 08/23/2002.
> Survived by son Michael Keith McCollum, Lincoln, Nebraska; parents
> Charles and
> LaVerne McCollum of Omaha, Nebraska; sister Lea Blake of Omaha,
> Nebraska; and brother David
> and family of Omaha,
> Nebraska.
>
> As per Richard's request, remains were cremated and no services
> performed.
> Any memorials to the Salvation Army in his name.
>
>
>

> I will accept queries for further information, but I respectfully
> request that you provide your identity
> and relationship to Richard. I reserve the right to reject information
> requests to anyone I deem has no
> need.
>
> DWM"
>
> The message appears to have come from his brother, David.
>
> Dave K8MN

I'm saddened to hear Dick passed on. He went before his time. One
day I hope to reminisce with him again about Omaha and amateur radio
activities there.

Respectfully,
Brian/N0iMD

3798. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 16:14:46 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208270814.20ca7350@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51612

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0208260508.3598f9bb@posting.google.com>...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<akc29q$t2a$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...

> > The initial novice was good for one year and non-renewable. I'll
> > defer to others as to the history of change associated with the
> > novice.
> >
> I'm clueless on that one. There were a lotta gyrations afterward which
> I didn't follow because once I escaped 3700-3750 I never looked back.

Not only did most amateurs not look back, but they didn't go back and
offer some code practice to the newbies.

Blend the newcomers in with the Generals and be done with it.

Brian

3799. Re: Anon Posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 16:17:35 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208270817.6a2bda77@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51613
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

xganon wrote in message news:<1a401efde5a0d9a1acd8d229823679fa@xganon.com>...
> An Anonymous Coward I May Be,

> But The Shadow I'm Afraid Of Belongs To ME!!!

>
> Heh heh heh
> This must BE
> Brian P..
> i.e.,
> N0iM....D!!!!

Sorry, but I claim every stupid thing I've ever said, and this is not
one of them. Just look at the top or bottom of any of my posts and
you'll see my name. I'm not some little weenie hiding behind ANON.

3800. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 16:20:44 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208270820.4e62c5e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51614

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1h060p.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 01:41:28 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >Phil, that's just what the FCC needs, an enforcement agent outside each test
> >session looking for Bashers quizzing the applicants.
>
> Brian, it happened at MY office. Whenever I would step out to go
> to the men's room or to lunch, there was Dickie Boy pumping the
> examinees eight in my face. We had confrontations several times.

Whatta weasel.

> Blame the Poo-Bahs. I was greatly in favor of getting the
> Commission to at least issue a Cease and Desist Order which
> would have dragged the issue before a judge but the legal
> powers-that-were in those days were lazy and did not want to
> take any steps that involved doing real work. It wasn't an
> issue of winning or losing - it was just getting them to do the
> **** work.

Probably too busy ejecting communists from public servant positions
back in those days.

> Am I bitter - you betcha. I never was that type of agent or
> lawyer and I didn't respect it in others, especially when it
> made my or my people's work meaningless.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Understood. Brian

3801. Re: Why CW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 16:31:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208270831.4273a909@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51615

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20020827004034.02258.00003074@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >Being able to take advantage of the performance benifits is only one
> >rationale for developing skill with cw, there are others.
>
> Audio code readers and generators exist. Can one use these devices to obtain
> the benefits of CW without having to learn CW?
>
> >But take it
> >from this grumpy old brass pounder if you're not able to run cw YOU
> >are the loser because YOU are limiting your own horizons as they
> >relate to being able to take advantage of all ham radio has to offer.
>
> CW takes effort, more for me than the different modes, antennas, radio
> direction finding, rf circuits, etc. For me attempting to learn CW would
> displace all these other aspects. This would result in me not being able to
> take advantage of all Ham Radio has to offer.
>
> > I have no stake at all in what you do
> >with ham radio and I could care less if you run cw or not.
>
> Linking the General Class licence to passing a CW test imposes on others the
> requirement to learn CW if they want to obtain a higher licence.
>
> If you want to advance technically, why impose a seperate, unrelated criteria
> as a condition to doing so?
>
> There are many that want to learn CW, why impose on the rest that do not want
> to?

Bravo! We get an Extra dose of attitude from the magnificant Kellie.

But Brian is not reading the message. Vshah doesn't want his low band CW DX.

Oh well.

3802. Re: Why CW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 16:38:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208270838.200a33db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51616

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20020827004034.02258.00003074@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >Being able to take advantage of the performance benifits is only one
> >rationale for developing skill with cw, there are others.
>
> Audio code readers and generators exist. Can one use these devices to obtain
> the benefits of CW without having to learn CW?
>
>
No, they don't work worth a hoot, they're straight-up ripoffs. There
is only one way to learn cw and that's to copy, copy, copy.
>
>
>
> >But take it
> >from this grumpy old brass pounder if you're not able to run cw YOU
> >are the loser because YOU are limiting your own horizons as they
> >relate to being able to take advantage of all ham radio has to offer.
>
> CW takes effort, more for me than the different modes, antennas, radio
> direction finding, rf circuits, etc. For me attempting to learn CW would
> displace all these other aspects. This would result in me not being able to
> take advantage of all Ham Radio has to offer.
>
>
Non-sequiter. We all have issues beyond learning the code to deal with
and on an ongoing basis from one end of life to the other. The
difference between the time it takes to acquire cw proficiency and the
time it takes to handle all the rest is that once you have the cw
proficiency it's over and done with for life. The total time required
isn't even a blip in the total amount of time the average ham spends
in the hobby.
>
>
> > I have no stake at all in what you do
> >with ham radio and I could care less if you run cw or not.
>
> Linking the General Class licence to passing a CW test imposes on others the
> requirement to learn CW if they want to obtain a higher licence.
>
> If you want to advance technically, why impose a seperate, unrelated criteria
> as a condition to doing so?
>
>

How would upgrading from General to Extra advance you technically??
>
>
> There are many that want to learn CW, why impose on the rest that do not want
> to?
>
Where did you see anything in my post about code tests or license
requirements?

w3rv

3803. Re: Why CW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 16:46:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <akgaat$1c0$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51617

Very well put Brian. And true.

I heard the code readers were selling like hot cakes at Dayton. Wonder why that is.....are the afraid we are saying something then cant 'read' ??

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:d22b54c3.0208261728.764b204c@posting.google.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message news:<ak2l2t32fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> > >
> > >
> > Responding to K3LT . . .
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Again, if *you* (proverbially speaking with the words with * around them)
> > > want me to participate in something *you* hold dear, treating *me* like
> > > feces is not the way to get me to do something that is considered an
> > > elective, not a requirement in life as hobbies are.
> > >
> > >
> > Lemmee dump another PCTA perspective on you: I can hum along at 30 wpm
> > all nite and have been able to do so for the best part of a half
> > century. I don't run cw because it's "fun". It's usually not fun, it
> > can be a pain in the ass quite frankly. In my own case I get my
> > jollies out of ham radio by chasing dx, particularly on the low HF
> > bands, that's my fun. There isn't a single even half-serious dxer
> > anywhere who won't tell you that under the operating conditions
> > usually found in this game that cw isn't heads and shoulders above ssb
> > in *performance* no matter how you define "performance". And there are
> > thousands of us out there who share this non-opinion. Ask the Techs
> > who run 2m cw EME. It's not an opinion, it's indisputable physics.
> > >
> > Being able to take advantage of the performance benifits is only one
> > rationale for developing skill with cw, there are others. Yeah, I
> > know, you don't care about dxing. I've heard 'em all, don't waste the
> > bandwidth.
> > >
> > I don't bother with trying to "sell" cw to anybody, I don't get any
> > "commission" if I "sell" you cw. I have no stake at all in what you do
> > with ham radio and I could care less if you run cw or not. But take it
> > from this grumpy old brass pounder if you're not able to run cw YOU

> are the loser because YOU are limiting your own horizons as they
> relate to being able to take advantage of all ham radio has to offer.
> And ya done it to yerself. Go for it, less QRM for me down the bands
> if you can't use the stuff. "Take you electives" and hang in there on
> the machines and in the Athritis nets on 75m, no skin off my teeth.
>
> w3rv

3804. Re: my freeband life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 16:49:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <akgaom$8oi$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51618

WGAF MORON?

Dan/W4NTI

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:b62da297644bb097acbb27b877961624@xganon.com...
> i just heard a australian station calling on 27.555 usb with the qrz of
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3805. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 18:06:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827140644.15779.00000441@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 51619

In article <f45722ac.0208270814.20ca7350@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>Blend the newcomers in with the Generals and be done with it.

That was the ARRL BoD's idea. FCC said no. Who was lacking in vison on that
one?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3806. Re: NEBO freeband thug life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 19:20:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umnk88jc6kkce4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51620

Oh, I've done that before, but some of the nonsense that goes on in the newsgroups is more entertaining than reruns of the 3 stooges ... so I cleaned out the killfile. Of course, it will get boring again, but WTH ..

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:umn2cma8bekt3d@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:6a67a6537316a517551d72c7d98978bc@xganon.com...
> > Yo! first personal here is Tim. i just heard a australian station
calling
> on 27.555 usb with the qrz of gravedigger 182-AT-01. I think he is in
> autralia but i have no way of knowing because everyone on freeband is
> anonymous. This is not because we are scared but because we choose not to
be
> under the thumb of the corrupt FCC. if you want to get on radio but dont
> like ham try freeband we are the elite of CB. tawn forty roger and im back
> quiet qrt and on the side.
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
> Well the email address of remailer@xganon.com just went into MY kill file.
>
>
>

3807. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 19:46:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208271146.6e1d748c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51621

Ryan, FWIW, I keep 50.525 pluged in my FM monitor which is scanning whenever I'm in the house. If it starts breaking the squelch, then it might be worth turning on the SSB radio and see if anythings popping.

Many years ago, QST featured a big-time 6M enthusiast that used television sets and everything else under the sun just below the 6M band to alert him of a rise in MUF and possible openings.

Brian.

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message news:<akfpag0jaf@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> Thanks for the support... although I am only able to use this thing in a
> mobile configuration. Bout the only way I can think of monitoring it while
> I am in the house is possibly a baby monitor or something like that....
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
> "Matt" <kinerm125@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:3e64731f.0208230711.41fd7e38@posting.google.com...
> > Ryan,
> >
> > Hang in there! I've been into 6 meters for about 4 years, and for the
> > first year or so I felt the same way. Then I got into the habit of
> > turning the rig on whenever I was in the spare room I use as a
> > "shack", as well as briefly every morning and right after work. Also
> > helps to keep tabs on the DX spots online (dxworld.com is good).
> > Eventually you WILL be there when the band is open. I can almost
> > guarantee that after the first opening you won't want to part with the
> > 5054! 73,
> >
> > Matt N3SOZ
> >
> > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:<ak2l2p12fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> > > I like the 5054, but am selling it due to the fact that I am never near
> the
> > > damned thing when there is a band opening. So far it works really great
> for
> > > the 40-70 mile local range, but I have not had the timing to be at the

> radio
> > > when propagation conditions occur....
> > >
> > > Guess I will have to wait till later in life to really enjoy this
> hobby...
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > > FF/MFR
> > > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> > >

3808. freeband is not illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 20:25:36 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <012dd74980fcb5b4cc7185c12e059ece@xganon.com>
Source record: 51622

When you compare it to crimes like murder freeband is not a big deal. If it is not enforced then its not illegal, can't you people get it thru your thick heads? The FCC do not care anymore and those

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3809. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 22:04:57 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D6BF789.9AE75650@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51623

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 01:41:28 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >Phil, that's just what the FCC needs, an enforcement agent outside each test
> >session looking for Bashers quizzing the applicants.
>
> Brian, it happened at MY office. Whenever I would step out to go
> to the men's room or to lunch, there was Dickie Boy pumping the
> examinees eight in my face. We had confrontations several times.
>

Maybe the FCC should have copyrighted the tests. Then sue for
infringement if Dick published them. But as you said, the puh bahs
didn't want to be bothered....

3810. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 22:59:42 UTC
From: glapierre@REMOVEaccesswave.ca
Message-ID: <3d6c03b7.12911621@news>
Source record: 51624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

You must be on drugs or something. You are operating illegally.
CB starts at 26.965 to 27.405, anything out of that is illegal. They
could call the FCC on you and you could go to jail.

Homer

"Big Bopper" <BigBopper@27910FreebandGroup.net> wrote:

>
>"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
>news:66ed18db5c8149d86ebc6fc553c46fd0@xganon.com...
>> I keep hearing oriental guys on my freeband calling frequency of 27.555.
>Both my friend in San ANgelo and myself here in Galveston beam them best to
>the south west. Anyway I wonder is there any way to call the FCC on them as
>they are messing up my comms.
>>
>> Tim Nebo
>>
>> http://www.TimNebo.com
>>
>>
> Dial "FCC" to report this crime. FCC SWAT teams are on standby
>in all major cities, and the perpetrators will be swiftly dealt with. The
>crime of operating on 27555 will not be tolerated, and President Bush
>has assured the American public that violators will be dealt with harshly!
>
>Big Bopper
>27955 Freeband Club
>Portland, Oregon
>
>
>
>

3811. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 23:28:39 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6C0B30.61E64195@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 51625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Homer wrote:
>
> You are operating illegally.
> CB starts at 26.965 to 27.405, anything out of that is illegal. They
> could call the FCC on you and you could go to jail.

WAAAAAAAA!

3812. Re: Why CW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 23:31:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208271531.7df7842a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51626

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:<akgaat$1c0$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Very well put Brian. And true.
>
> I heard the code readers were selling like hot cakes at Dayton. Wonder why
> that is.....are they afraid we are saying something then cant 'read' ??
>
>
Heh. Methinks it's just one more example of the hordes trying to buy
their way past doing some work. The concept works fine when it comes
to power tools vs. hand tools saving work but ya can't buy yer way
past learning.
>
>
Luv these "code readers". A buddy of mine who is an accomplished cw op
bought one just for the hell of it. We messed with it on 40cw on a
busy night. Whatta dismal piece of junk . . couldn't get five slo-code
characters in a row right. The things have been written off a
gazillion times all over the ham literature and Internet posts but the
nitwits keep buying & trying 'em. They just can't buy into the
obvious.
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

3813. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 23:51:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1insvr.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51627

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 22:00:03 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>The feds couldn't get a conviction against a writer that
>published CIA secrets. FCC questions would have even
>a slimer chance of being stopped from distribution.

The more inconsequential the material, the greater the chance of punishment. No kidding - my wife was called for jury duty in a case of a Postal worker accused of mishandling $1.01 "found loose in the mail".

This came up when the New York Times published classified material leaked by Daniel Ellsberg.

Rest assured that if it were Sohl or Kane rather than Ellsberg, we'd still be mopping the floors in K-Block in Marion.

The finest gov'mint that "proteksia" can buy.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3814. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-27 23:51:22 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1ipm0s.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51628

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 22:07:10 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>What law was broken. If I ask you to remember a question or two
>that is on a test you take, what is illegal about that? You may
>disagree with it, but I see it as a basic first amendment issue...if
>it had ever gotten to court.

IIRC there were rules at the time in both Part 13 (Commercial Radio Operator) and Part 97 (Amateur Radio) that proscribed assisting anyone in obtaining the license in a manner frowned upon by the FCC and there were clear markings on the examination material that the questions were not to be revealed to anyone.

The examinees were in violation by disclosing the material, and Bash was in violation as a principal in the offense by inducing the examinees to reveal the material.

Violation of an FCC rule is punishable by monetary forfeiture in an administrative proceeding (Comm Act Sect. 503) or by a fine in a criminal proceeding (Comm Act Sect. 502).

Another procedure is for the Commission to issue a Cease and Desist Order after an evidentiary adminsitrative hearing, violation of which goes into Federal District Court where the only question is whether the violator had an evidentiary hearing in the first place and whether the violator did ignore the order - no "trial de novo".

Of course, the classiest procedure is to apply to the Federal District Court for injunctive relief. The violator gets a trial de novo on the issues but after the injunction is issued and the defendant violates it, the judge can remand him or her to the slammer until s/he complies with the injunction. That's how we had to deal with FM radio "pirates" at the beginning.

This is all moot because with the advent of the Open Qyestion Pools and the kissing-off of the examinations to the private sector ("Less Work for Mother") those rules were deleted, and unfortunately one can't unring the bell.

As to "First Amendment" issues, that's a question of law which can be decided only by judicial review. "Commercial speech" (as differentiated from "political speech") can be made subject to all kinds of restrictions necessary for efficient operation of the government which are effective unless reversed by judicial review. The publishing of the exam questions is a clear example of pure commercial speech in Constitutional terms.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3815. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 00:07:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827200713.03046.00000195@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 51629

In article <Rxfa9.52$Mo1.4625463@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020825064217.25779.00000176@mb-cb.aol.com...
>> In article <ak99t6$9im$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>> >news:3nV99.592$B74.42634451@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
>> >>
>> >> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:ak8jut$1iv$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>> >> >
>> >> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:20020823123757.25398.00002787@mb-fz.aol.com...
>> >> > > >Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
>> >> > > >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>> >> > > >Date: 8/20/02 11:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>> >> > > >Message-id: <3D630DA8.85198B0A@ix.netcom.com>
>> >> > > Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.
>> >> >
>> >> > A point you (Jim N2EY) and I have agreed on for many moons.
>> >> >
>> >> > > As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one
>kind
>> >or
>> >> > > another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along.
>> >> >
>> >> > I'd go so far to say it is 100% certainty that the FCC
>> >> > wouldn't go along.
>> >>
>> >> Based on what? The FCC hasn't batted an eye at any changes the QPC or
>>>
>> VEC have made.
>>
>> Because the QPC and VEC have a pretty good idea what the FCC will approve
>and
>> disapprove.
>
>I'm not so sure. I think they have far greater latitude than you think.

On what do you base that?

>> >> The most recent being the change in the code exam from 5 WPM
>> >> Morse to 13-15 WPM Farnsworth.

>> Incorrect. That method has been in use for years, and it's not the only
>>test
>> available. All the VEC did was standardize on it.
>>
>> Anybody who wants "straight" 5 wpm Morse can get it for the asking.
>
>Providing they know to ask for it.

That's part of the process. The test methods available are no secret.

>> >Based on the fact that any "practical" testing always has
>> >a subjective aspect to it. It just isn't going to happen,
>> >and the QPC and/or VEC isn't going to ask for testing
>> >changes that tack the process into subjective interpretations.
>>
>> 'zactly. Practical tests, essay questions, even a Morse sending test
>require a
>> skilled examiner(s) making subjective judgements. Not on the FCC's agenda.
>> The only way it could come about is to prove to the FCC that the lack of
>such a
>> test was causing serious problems for the ARS and other radio services.
>
>A sending test is still part of the requirement, so we'll not bother with
>that scenario.

FCC 'waived' the sending test years ago, for several reasons. You ever hear of
any oldtimers passing the receiving test but failing the sending test?
>
>> Next thing you'll want, Brian, is an age requirement.
>
>How old are you?

Why does it matter? Do you think age requirements are a good idea? If so, why?

You can look up my age at qrz.com.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3816. Re: Why CW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 00:07:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827200714.03046.00000196@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 51630

In article <20020827004034.02258.00003074@mb-dd.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>>Being able to take advantage of the performance benifits is only one
>>rationale for developing skill with cw, there are others.
>
>Audio code readers and generators exist. Can one use these devices to obtain
>the benefits of CW without having to learn CW?

You can obtain some of the benefits of CW that way, but not all. Most codereaders only work well when they are fed clean, nonfading CW free of interference.

Player pianos have existed for a long time, too. But using a piano roll in a player piano is not the same thing as being able to play the piano. The person who chooses the piano rolls does not get the same benefits as the person who can play the piano.

>>But take it
>>from this grumpy old brass pounder if you're not able to run cw YOU
>>are the loser because YOU are limiting your own horizons as they
>>relate to being able to take advantage of all ham radio has to offer.
>
>CW takes effort, more for me than the different modes, antennas, radio
>direction finding, rf circuits, etc.

It takes effort to learn, or it takes effort to use?

> For me attempting to learn CW would
>displace all these other aspects.

So you don't know CW now, but you know that it would require so much effort to learn that it would prevent you from doin anyhtin else? How is this possible?

>This would result in me not being able to
>take advantage of all Ham Radio has to offer.

Ham Radio is such a wide range of activities that practically no one can take advantage of all it has to offer.

And CW is a big part of ham radio. If someone does not know CW, they are missing a big part of what Ham Radio has to offer. Of course, they may not care about the part they are missing, but they are still missing it.

>> I have no stake at all in what you do
>>with ham radio and I could care less if you run cw or not.
>
>Linking the General Class licence to passing a CW test imposes on others the

>requirement to learn CW if they want to obtain a higher licence.

Linking the General Class and Extra Class licenses to passing more written tests imposes on others the requirement to learn more theory, regulations and other things if they want to obtain a higher class license. Those tests must be passed even if a ham has no interest in much of what is on them.

>If you want to advance technically, why impose a seperate, unrelated criteria
>as a condition to doing so?

For the same reason one must pass additional written tests to advance operationally. For example, a Technician can use 1500 watts of any authorized mode on the 50 MHz band, but in order to use, say, the 20 meter band another written test must be passed. And in order to use all of the 20 meter band, yet anither written test must be passed.

And note this: The FCC has officially said, in effect, that the ony reason they have kept the 5 wpm code test is because an international treaty requires it. That treaty requirement will likely be abolished next year, but until it is abolished the FCC thinks that the code test must stay.

>There are many that want to learn CW, why impose on the rest that do not want
to?

See above, about the treaty.

Why did I have to take all those written tests just to operate CW on 7020?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>

3817. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 00:40:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827204025.07600.00004979@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 51631

>Ryan, FWIW, I keep 50.525 pluged in my FM monitor which is scanning
>whenever I'm in the house.

Boy I bet you hear alot on 50.525

3818. Re: Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 01:53:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C5740.8070000@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51632

Brian wrote:

> I'm saddened to hear Dick passed on. He went before his time. One
> day I hope to reminisce with him again about Omaha and amateur radio
> activities there.

I feel the same. I always enjoyed his posts.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3819. cross-post heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 02:05:00 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <b9df8f1b996f9be587e2f4a9cd0aa545@xganon.com>
Source record: 51633

now that ol' dick-head kicked the bucket, we can cross-post to our hearts content. we can post illegal mods and other fun things.

we knew dickey got in a heart problem when he would get his blood pressure up over all the cross-posts. he would still be alive today, if he would have minded his own business.....

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3820. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 02:11:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827221149.04751.00000328@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51634

In article <d22b54c3.0208260508.3598f9bb@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:<akc29q$t2a$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:d22b54c3.0208250933.395385a@posting.google.com...
>> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>> news:<20020824080634.15779.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>...
>> > > In article <d22b54c3.0208240012.1258ee6c@posting.google.com>,
>> kelly@dvol.com
>> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> > >
>>
>> > Apparently I'm wrong about the two years but I'll swear it was. Maybe
>> > it was two years for ham tickets and five for commercial tickets.
>>
>> Ham tickets were 5 years (except the early novice). My technician class
>> license was renewed every 5 years from 1959 until about 10 years ago when
>> the licenses were all extended to 10 year renewals.

In the years leading up to Pearl Harbor, US ham licenses were good for 3 years. After WW2 the term was increased to 5 years. In 1984 (almost 20 years ago) the term was increased to 10 years, which meant that from 1989 to 1994 no US ham licenses expired at all.

>> The initial novice was good for one year and non-renewable. I'll
>> defer to others as to the history of change associated with the
>> novice.

Not only was the original Novice nonrenewable, it was "nonretakeable" and was not open to anyone who had previously held any class of ham license. You got one shot and then it was upgrade or off the air.

In late 1967 the term of the Novice was made two years. Then in the mid-1970s the Novice license term went through several quick changes in a few years:

First, holders of Novices were allowed to retake the exam and get another Novice after the license expired - but only after a year off-the-air had elapsed. Then the one-year-off-the-air requirement was dropped. Finally the Novice was made 5 year renewable, like all the other licenses.

The reason for all of the changes was the same: Too many Novices were dropping out after the license expired.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3821. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 03:13:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208271913.2af97755@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51635

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20020827010936.02258.00003078@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >oh some hams are ok but the majority are barney fifes.
> Ham Radio is a cult. Sure, one can learn the Qxx codewords, learn about Ham
> politics, etc. But, these items would not be important to you. You may be able
> to understand it, but not relate to it. Only a "true Ham" would relate to >that.
>
>
Those "codewords" were developed by commercial and military
organizations and adopted by ham radio in the first decade of the
1900s. And before that Western Union and the railroads used similar
"codewords" in their landline telegraph circuits going 'way back into
the 1800s. Helluva "cult" huh?

w3rv

3822. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 04:58:44 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C393E.F6372E18@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51636

xganon wrote:
>
> no wonder ham radio is dieing. it is rude hams killing there own hobby. you wonder why CB ers are not interested in ham. it is the rude and holier than thou attitude of hams thats why. they are not willing to freely share there knowege like CB ers do. or they try and act like they are superior to you. try this ask a ham how to get more watts out of an amp or how to trim SWRs to work on freeband they will give you a ration of shit about rules etc. oh some hams are ok but the majority are barney fifes.

It is SWR, not SWRs. SWR is not plural.

3823. Re: freeband is not illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 05:07:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C3B65.A15A278E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51637

xganon wrote:
>
> When you compare it to crimes like murder freeband is not a big deal. If it is not enforced then its not illegal, can't you people get it thru your thick heads? The FCC do not care anymore and those

That should be "the FCC does not care anymore", learn proper
english. No wonder you have to freeband, probably can't pass the
test for an amateur radio operator's license.

3824. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 05:14:18 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <2c489a2c327ac33cf19be1fdab422eb2@xganon.com>
Source record: 51638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

you ass JJ, all anyone has to do is ask Twistedhed. He TALKED to Rily on the phone and the man doesnt care about amps and freebanders but he cant come out and say it because of ARRL presure.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3825. stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 05:56:02 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com>
Source record: 51639

Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed while driving to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways, right? And you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when you speed on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is just fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies are going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they drop the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only frequencies to cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about that one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now you folks are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3826. Re: 6m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 06:00:26 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <akigr831thm@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51649

Thats an idere... Will have to try plugging in a frequency into one of the scanners and hopefully catch some openings before it sells. Might actually keep the damned thing.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0208271146.6e1d748c@posting.google.com...
> Ryan, FWIW, I keep 50.525 pluged in my FM monitor which is scanning
> whenever I'm in the house. If it starts breaking the squelch, then it
> might be worth turning on the SSB radio and see if anythings popping.
>
> Many years ago, QST featured a big-time 6M enthusiast that used
> television sets and everything else under the sun just below the 6M
> band to alert him of a rise in MUF and possible openings.
>
> Brian.
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<akfpag0jaf@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> > Thanks for the support... although I am only able to use this thing in a
> > mobile configuration. Bout the only way I can think of monitoring it
while
> > I am in the house is possibly a baby monitor or something like that....
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> > "Matt" <kinerm125@cs.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e64731f.0208230711.41fd7e38@posting.google.com...
> > > Ryan,
> > >
> > > Hang in there! I've been into 6 meters for about 4 years, and for the
> > > first year or so I felt the same way. Then I got into the habit of
> > > turning the rig on whenever I was in the spare room I use as a
> > > "shack", as well as briefly every morning and right after work. Also
> > > helps to keep tabs on the DX spots online (dxworld.com is good).
> > > Eventually you WILL be there when the band is open. I can almost

> > > guarantee that after the first opening you won't want to part with the
> > > 5054! 73,
> > >
> > > Matt N3SOZ
> > >
> > > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> > news:<ak2l2p12fvc@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> > > > I like the 5054, but am selling it due to the fact that I am never
near
> > the
> > > > damned thing when there is a band opening. So far it works really
great
> > for
> > > > the 40-70 mile local range, but I have not had the timing to be at
the
> > radio
> > > > when propagation conditions occur....
> > > >
> > > > Guess I will have to wait till later in life to really enjoy this
> > hobby...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > > > FF/MFR
> > > > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> > > >

3827. Re: cross-post heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 06:26:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828022642.10507.00003158@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 51640

```
>Subject: cross-post heaven
>From: xganon remailer@xganon.com
>Date: 08/27/02 22:05 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <b9df8f1b996f9be587e2f4a9cd0aa545@xganon.com>

>now that ol' dick-head kicked the bucket,

Were you born a vicious prick, or did that come with years of practice?
```

3828. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 09:56:50 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208280156.25f83dd0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51641

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<s0f79.35224$SS.1594188@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:b7d1465c.0208130307.2b8a1@posting.google.com...
> >How some folks can equate working DX in a 5949 Hz sliver of the HF
> spectrum (In the
> > case of the "freeband.") or on the legal 40 CB channels to "imitating"
> > amateur radio is beyond me...but that's another story for another
> > time.
>
> Bert, Your fooling yourself if you think the only freebanding that goes on
> is above 40 up to 27.999, truckers on 28.085 and freebanding below channel 1
> have to be taken into account as well.
>
> Have a Good One

I consider them to be two entirely different situations. Those who
freeband "above 40 up to 27.999" (BTW, usually no higher than 27.8499
or so.) are, for the most part, hobbyists and usually operate using
SSB. Those intruding on the 10-meter band are usually truckers
operating AM on ch. 19 "two up." BIG difference! Those found below ch.
1 do not come close to the number of folks in that vast 5949 Hz
expanse above ch. 40. I'm not fooling anybody, I still monitor
11-meters and observe objectively. Take it easy.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3829. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 10:04:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6CA051.36DD1FE1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51642

KC8PMX wrote:
>
> Never had a pre-occupation with being woo-ed.... I am just saying that if I
> wanted to attract someone to a hobby that is "hanging in by a thread" I sure
> as hell as am not going to treat them like crap, blame them for problems I
> may have with the hobby and the changes that have happened that I may not
> like, even though they had nothing to do with the problems in the first
> place. If I wanted to be told I am a bad person from the get-go... I'll
> become a christian.
>
> Getting back to the original intention of the postings.... the ARRL. Why
> should I join, other than the magazine subscription, which is getting
> thinner and thinner, and more ads? (yes, I know they need them to support
> it, but soon it is going to be all ads...)
> Any other "selling point" is moot. The alleged Field Organization is
> non-existant.... The lobbying part that is supposed to be sooo important
> cannot even be proven or at least the amount of expenditures claimed
> necessary. What other points would make me "want to buy their product"
> meaning the membership?
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX

Actually, Ryan, a greater number of advertisements results in a thicker magazine, not a thinner one. That's not just true for QST; it is true for almost any magazine.

In the matter of your not believing that lobbying on behalf of amateur radio exists: There are numerous accounts of those lobbying activities. It would be easy to contact an entity which has been lobbied and ask someone if the organization had been lobbied by the ARRL. You could even drive to D.C. and stake out the Washington offices of the League to prove to yourself that they exist.

I went to the West Virginia State ARRL Convention at Jackson's Mill last weekend. There I saw a non-existent Roanoke Division Director, a non-existant Ed Hare, a non-existant DXCC card checker and several non-existant ARES officials. They could all be found near the non-existant ARRL booth and display.

As to why you should join the ARRL, maybe you don't believe in a stronger amateur radio, speaking with one voice. Maybe you don't find the fellowship of other radio amateurs of interest. Maybe you have no need of any of the various membership services. Maybe you have no desire to read QST. I can't answer for you. Maybe you still see

yourself as an amateur radio outsider.

Dave K8MN

3830. Re: cross-post heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 12:19:22 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D6CBFCB.4981C2B9@psu.edu>
Source record: 51644

xganon wrote:
>
> now that ol' dick-head kicked the bucket, we can cross-post to our hearts content. we can post illegal mods and other fun things.
>
> we knew dickey got in a heart problem when he would get his blood pressure up over all the cross-posts. he would still be alive today, if he would have minded his own business.....

You are pathetic.

3831. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 12:20:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208280420.321ada54@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51643

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<b8a4adacc6e523f98c1fa5009aa1493e@xganon.com>...
> no wonder ham radio is dieing. it is rude hams killing there own
hobby. you wonder why CB ers are not interested in ham. it is the rude
and holier than thou attitude of hams thats why. they are not willing
to freely share there knowege like CB ers do. or they try and act like
they are superior to you. try this ask a ham how to get more watts out
of an amp or how to trim SWRs to work on freeband they will give you a
ration of shit about rules etc. oh some hams are ok but the majority
are barney fifes.
>
> 73 and good DX
>
> Tim
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---

TROLL post! Tim does not need to use an anonymizer!

3832. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 12:38:59 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akigib$5e0$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51645

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:akfpaj2jaf@enews4.newsguy.com...
.....
> Getting back to the original intention of the postings...the ARRL. Why
> should I join, other than the magazine subscription, which is getting
> thinner and thinner, and more ads? ....
> Any other "selling point" is moot. The alleged Field Organization
> is non-existant....

Why do you say the "The alleged Field Organization is
non-existant.... "?

Have you had any need for the field organization?
Have you attempted to engage help or assistance from
the field organization and been refused or otherwise
turned away?

If the answer is NO, then what do you expect to see, get
or derive from the field organization that leads you to
state it is non-existant?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
ARRL Local Gov't Liaison

3833. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 12:53:41 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akih4p$q7h$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51646

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1insvr.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 22:00:03 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >The feds couldn't get a conviction against a writer that
> >published CIA secrets. FCC questions would have even
> >a slimer chance of being stopped from distribution.
>
> The more inconsequential the material, the greater the chance of
> punishment. No kidding - my wife was called for jury duty in a case
> of a Postal worker accused of mishandling $1.01 "found loose in the
> mail".

Did it actually go to trial? Did the jury convict? History is
full of such anomalies anyway. It's not a hard rule in any
way, shape or form.

> This came up when the New York Times published classified material
> leaked by Daniel Ellsberg.
>
> Rest assured that if it were Sohl or Kane rather than Ellsberg, we'd
> still be mopping the floors in K-Block in Marion.
>
> The finest gov'mint that "proteksia" can buy.......

But we already know that when it was Mr. Bash, rather
than Mr. Ellsberg, there was no attempt to prosecute. Are you
suggesting Mr. Bash had some national prominence beyond
that of either yourself or me? That is...had it been Sohl or
Kane instead of Bash?

Cheers,

3834. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 12:59:22 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akihfn$i5d$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51647

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D6BF789.9AE75650@ix.netcom.com...
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 01:41:28 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >Phil, that's just what the FCC needs, an enforcement agent outside each
test
> > >session looking for Bashers quizzing the applicants.
> >
> > Brian, it happened at MY office. Whenever I would step out to go
> > to the men's room or to lunch, there was Dickie Boy pumping the
> > examinees eight in my face. We had confrontations several times.
> >
>
> Maybe the FCC should have copyrighted the tests. Then sue for
> infringement if Dick published them. But as you said, the puh bahs
> didn't want to be bothered....

I don't believe the federal government can copyright anything.
Seems that idea has been tossed about in other circles a few
times. Even if it could or did, just look at the wide open
internet distribution of copyrighted material going on today...
publications, music, videos, pictures, etc. The latest effort from
the movie/music industry is trying to get large IP providers (e.g.
AOL, AT&T, etc.) to block specific IP addresses that are not
in the USA. That's like telling the postal service to not allow
you or I to send mail to a specific address in some foriegn country.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3835. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 13:04:41 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akihpaqmq1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51648

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1ipm0s.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 22:07:10 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >What law was broken. If I ask you to remember a question or two
> >that is on a test you take, what is illegal about that? You may
> >disagree with it, but I see it as a basic first amendment issue...if
> >it had ever gotten to court.
>
> IIRC there were rules at the time in both Part 13 (Commercial Radio
> Operator) and Part 97 (Amateur Radio) that proscribed assisting
> anyone in obtaining the license in a manner frowned upon by the
> FCC and there were clear markings on the examination material that
> the questions were not to be revealed to anyone.
>
> The examinees were in violation by disclosing the material, and Bash
> was in violation as a principal in the offense by inducing the
> examinees to reveal the material.
>
> Violation of an FCC rule is punishable by monetary forfeiture in an
> administrative proceeding (Comm Act Sect. 503) or by a fine in a
> criminal proceeding (Comm Act Sect. 502).

Your assumption is that if applied and challenged, the rule
violated would have not been struck down as unconstitutional.
Lot's of rules, ordinances, laws get passed that ultimately are
tossed by the courts.

> Another procedure is for the Commission to issue a Cease and Desist
> Order after an evidentiary adminsitrative hearing, violation of
> which goes into Federal District Court where the only question is
> whether the violator had an evidentiary hearing in the first place
> and whether the violator did ignore the order - no "trial de novo".
>
> Of course, the classiest procedure is to apply to the Federal
> District Court for injunctive relief. The violator gets a trial de
> novo on the issues but after the injunction is issued and the defendant
> violates it, the judge can remand him or her to the slammer until
> s/he complies with the injunction. That's how we had to deal with
> FM radio "pirates" at the beginning.
>
> This is all moot because with the advent of the Open Question Pools
> and the kissing-off of the examinations to the private sector ("Less
> Work for Mother") those rules were deleted, and unfortunately one
> can't unring the bell.

>
> As to "First Amendment" issues, that's a question of law which
> can be decided only by judicial review. "Commercial speech"
> (as differentiated from "political speech") can be made subject
> to all kinds of restrictions necessary for efficient operation
> of the government which are effective unless reversed by judicial
> review. The publishing of the exam questions is a clear example
> of pure commercial speech in Constitutional terms.

Yet, as you state, and too my point...the 1st amendment issue
never was tested because Bash was never prosecuted. Makes
my case as likly as any as I see it...your mileage may vary.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3836. Re: 6m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 14:05:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828100555.15005.00003034@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51650

>Subject: Re: 6m
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 08/28/02 02:00 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <akigr831thm@enews2.newsguy.com>

>Will have to try plugging in a frequency into one of the
>scanners and hopefully catch some openings before it sells.

I first started on 6 meters while living in the Sequatchie Valley, about
25 miles west of Chattanooga. The valley is notable for deep approaches on
either side.

My only equipment was a TenTec transverter (Model 1208...still got it and
it works well) and a rotatable dipole that didn't even clear the roof line, yet
I have worked most of the northeastern US, much of the south and lotsa stuff
out west including Colorado and Mexico.

Most of the stuff I worked I "caught" by leaving the rig set up on 50.125
and just let the rig idle there.

One moment it's quiet and the next moment it's pandemonium!

73 es have fun

Steve, K4YZ

3837. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 14:34:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828103458.28714.00000446@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 51651

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h1esxqf.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 25 Aug 2002 10:42:17 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>>> The only exception was the
>>>> Limited Second Telegraph issued as an emergency measure during the
>>>> Vietnam War years because of the lack of qualified marine Radio
>>>> Officers.
>>
>>Hold on a sec....
>>
>>Lack of qualified marine Radio Officers = shortage of skilled CW ops?
>
> Shortage of skilled CW ops with shipboard experience.

Understood.

Perhaps this shortage had an influence on incentive licensing. The 1963 ARRL IL
proposal did not include additional code testing for full privileges. But the
FCC saw fit to require 20 wpm for full privs and even proposed a new (to hams)
16 wpm test for the equivalent of an Advanced.

Maybe FCC saw ham radio as a source of skilled CW ops for the merchant marine.

> Remember that in those days the Navy was pulling the Victory Ships
> out of Red Lead Row (Maritime Reserve Fleet in Suisun Bay near San
> Francisco) and we were doing three and four Ship Safety Inspections
> per day in our office alone.

Supplying the Vietnam War.
>
> Times have changed. The ships up in Red Lead Row are there to stay.

One wonders what would happen if we needed their shipping capability again.

> There are less than 600 ocean-going cargo ships under US registry,
> they no longer use CW for any purpose, and the deck officers are
> qualified to operate the radiotelephones, RTTY/SITOR teleprinter
> systems, radars, and similar electronic goodies without a Radio
> Officer on board.

Yup. The key point is that it's not just CW which has been abandoned by the
maritime folks. It's the whole idea of a "radio operator" as a specially
skilled crew member. Like the trimmers, greasers and stokers of steamship days.

In fact, many of the HF maritime shore stations are shutting down. Not just their CW operation - their 'phone operations too. Most of the traffic goes by satellite.

There may come a time - soon - when the only true radio operators are hams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3838. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 17:21:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6CE768.30C9369D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

xganon wrote:
>
> you ass JJ, all anyone has to do is ask Twistedhed. He TALKED to Rily on the phone and the man doesnt care about amps and freebanders but he cant come out and say it because of ARRL presure.
>
> Tim Nebo

And Riley said that only about 10% of what timmy posted about his conversation was even true. So stick it agagnon.

3839. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 19:46:38 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <4b1bd45f66feea103238428d3ace7855@xganon.com>
Source record: 51653

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote...
:: TROLL post! Tim does not need to use an anonymizer!

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3840. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 20:35:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D6D33E6.EF182030@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51654

Brian wrote:

> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0208260508.3598f9bb@posting.google.com>...
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<akc29q$t2a$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
> > > The initial novice was good for one year and non-renewable. I'll
> > > defer to others as to the history of change associated with the
> > > novice.
> > >
> > I'm clueless on that one. There were a lotta gyrations afterward which
> > I didn't follow because once I escaped 3700-3750 I never looked back.
>
> Not only did most amateurs not look back, but they didn't go back and
> offer some code practice to the newbies.
>

And poor Brian will never get over it. Instead of getting his code practice where he could find it, like
most did, he is still pouting about the fact it wasn't to be found where he wanted it to be. Still bitching
a couple decades later, and still mad. Poor kid.

>
> Blend the newcomers in with the Generals and be done with it.
>
> Brian

3841. Re: Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 21:58:58 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D6D47A1.625F3C29@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51655

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Here's the scoop.
>
> I looked Dick's "QRZ" address up and called "411" and got the number...It
> was a bit eriee since I got his answering machine and his voice on it.
>

I suppose his address still shows at QRZ.com and at the FCC database.

3842. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 22:23:07 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <f3cb9.251912$m91.10329432@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Really perhaps I can forward the post to him and see what he thinks.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:2c489a2c327ac33cf19be1fdab422eb2@xganon.com...
> you ass JJ, all anyone has to do is ask Twistedhed. He TALKED to Rily on
the phone and the man doesnt care about amps and freebanders but he cant
come out and say it because of ARRL presure.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3843. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-28 22:49:36 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <cb91a19a36cc37d321279fa2e6c89b6c@xganon.com>
Source record: 51657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

your anger is showing george, rily all but gave the green light to freebanders and you cant handle it beacause that would render your crusade meaningless. of course he is going to tell the hammies something diferent thats just politcs. but we know how he really feels.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3844. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 00:17:12 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <cKdb9.6401$On.375872@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

sorry Nebro I am not mad at all in fact it it the best day of my life I just took a shit and named it Tim Nebo.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message news:cb91a19a36cc37d321279fa2e6c89b6c@xganon.com...
> your anger is showing george, rily all but gave the green light to freebanders and you cant handle it beacause that would render your crusade meaningless. of course he is going to tell the hammies something diferent thats just politcs. but we know how he really feels.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3845. Re: Why CW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 01:18:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020828211856.00278.00000838@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51659

In article <20020827004034.02258.00003074@mb-dd.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>
>Audio code readers and generators exist. Can one use these devices to obtain
>the benefits of CW without having to learn CW?

These devices provide only marginal CW copy at best, and then only when fed a perfectly clean, clear, strong CW signal from a station that is sending using a computer or a keyer/paddle combo. Add even a small amount of QSB/QRM/QRN, and all you're getting on the display are E's, I's, and a lot of gibberish being made out of every other letter/number/symbol. I bought an AEA Code Reader years ago, and it never gave me better than about 5% copy on CW -- better on RTTY, but not much. The best working part of the whole unit is the 12v. wall wart.

To get any benefit at all from CW, you must be able to copy "by ear."
End of story.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3846. Re: Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 01:18:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020828211856.00278.00000837@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51660

In article <UCfa9.55$2M1.6100107@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10 >years?
>
>Jim, what should I have been doing with those hours, in your opinion? Go >ahead and say it. You've said it before.

Brian:

Allow me. If you've been spending a good portion of the time you've spent griping about CW in the past 10 years actually learning and using it, you'd have been an Extra for the last 9 years! You could still become a dumbed-down "Nickle Extra" in a few weeks, but we'll wait until 2027, if that's the best you can do! I just hope we're all there to see it happen.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3847. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 01:23:21 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0208281723.3f4770f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51661

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20020827010255.02258.00003075@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> > it is the rude and holier than thou attitude of hams thats why. they are not
> >willing to freely share there knowege
>
> Hams do not tend to be rude. Some "holier than thou" attitude possibly. Part of
> this is using codewords for ordinary activities. Why say QRP when you can
> simply say "operating at low power". This tends to confuse people and leave
> them out of Ham Radio.
>
> >try this ask a ham how to get more watts out of an amp or how to trim SWRs to
> >work on freeband they will give you a ration of shit about rules etc.
>
> Hams tend to focus more on rules, politics, Ham traditions, than the technical
> aspects. They tend to talk about what they did rather than share their
> knowledge.
>
> >oh some hams are ok but the majority are barney fifes.
> Ham Radio is a cult. Sure, one can learn the Qxx codewords, learn about Ham
> politics, etc. But, these items would not be important to you. You may be able
> to inderstand it, but not relate to it. Only a "true Ham" would relate to that.
Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc
and you will see why ham radio is dieing. Listen to 75 meters
at night and you will hear why ham radio is dieing.

3848. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 02:46:56 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51662

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed while driving
to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways, right? And
you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when you speed
on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is just
fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies are
going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they drop
the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only frequencies to
cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about that
one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!! As it is now you folks
are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Try this one on for size, troll!!

August 28, 2002

CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Cheetah Transportation Company
378 Williamson Road
Mooresville, NC 28117-5935

SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)

Dear Sir:

Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of the
above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina,
on June 5, 2002.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a
license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as
amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the individual
to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting
equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth
Special Counsel
Enforcement Bureau

CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3849. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 02:48:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51663

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more watts than
you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you are. stop
by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting will
get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
>
> 73 and good DX
>
> Tim
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!

August 28, 2002

CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Cheetah Transportation Company
378 Williamson Road
Mooresville, NC 28117-5935

SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)

Dear Sir:

Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of the
above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina,
on June 5, 2002.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a
license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as
amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the individual
to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting
equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth
Special Counsel
Enforcement Bureau

CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3850. Re: Dick McCollum, SK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 03:27:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828232725.19465.00003183@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51664

>Subject: Re: Dick McCollum, SK?
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 08/28/02 17:58 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D6D47A1.625F3C29@ix.netcom.com>

>I suppose his address still shows at QRZ.com and at the FCC database.

Of course. Why wouldn't it? He only passed a few days ago, and when I
posted the original item, he'd only been gone about 48 hours or so.

I would think the family had other things on thier minds than mailing his
ticket in for canellation. I doubt it will happen soon.

Steve

3851. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 03:31:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828233113.19465.00003184@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51668

>Subject: Re: rude hams
>From: oris@dirtball.com (Oris)
>Date: 08/28/02 21:23 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <f7a9c6ac.0208281723.3f4770f9@posting.google.com>

>Listen to 75 meters
>at night and you will hear why ham radio is dieing.

Go to the pages of QST and CQ and look at the money the manufacturers are spending on new equipment.

Manufacturers do NOT spend money on R&D for equipment they won't sell.

I know you can't stop being an idiot, so at least try to be a literate idiot.

3852. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 03:31:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1ktupx.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51665

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 10:04:34 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>As to why you should join the ARRL, maybe you don't believe in a
>stronger amateur radio, speaking with one voice. Maybe you don't find
>the fellowship of other radio amateurs of interest. Maybe you have no
>need of any of the various membership services. Maybe you have no
>desire to read QST. I can't answer for you. Maybe you still see
>yourself as an amateur radio outsider.

There are some folks who believe that they'rs entitled to benefit
from the good things which accrue to all amateurs as a result of the
League's efforts without having to be a member.

We call them "free-loaders".

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ARRL Life Member

3853. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 03:31:54 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1kweyy.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51666

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 08:53:41 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>> The more inconsequential the material, the greater the chance of
>> punishment. No kidding - my wife was called for jury duty in a case
>> of a Postal worker accused of mishandling $1.01 "found loose in the
>> mail".
>
>Did it actually go to trial?

Yes - she was a prospective juror (Federal District Court,
Northern District of California, about 10 years ago) and was
excused on voir dire after she was asked whether her husband
being a Federal enforcement agent would affect her ability to
reach a fair and impartial verdict. She replied "no, even
though my husband always says that other agencies get search
warrants and their cases prosecuted with far less hassle than
his agency does". Both the prosecutor and the defense attorney
jumped up exclaiming "excuse this juror" which the magistrate
did. (She didn't care for the Magistrate either.....)

The case, BTW, had all the fingerprints of a Postal Service
frame-up. $1.01 indeed! And what he did was to carry the (planted)
money to his supervisor rather than holding it above his head and
crying out "money found in the mail" as they claimed he was supposed
to.

>Did the jury convict?

I have no idea how the case came out. She had to ho back to
the jury pool.

>> This came up when the New York Times published classified material
>> leaked by Daniel Ellsberg.
>>
>> Rest assured that if it were Sohl or Kane rather than Ellsberg, we'd
>> still be mopping the floors in K-Block in Marion.
>>
>> The finest gov'mint that "proteksia" can buy.......
>
>But we already know that when it was Mr. Bash, rather
>than Mr. Ellsberg, there was no attempt to prosecute. Are you
>suggesting Mr. Bash had some national prominence beyond
>that of either yourself or me? That is...had it been Sohl or
>Kane instead of Bash?

Had it neen Kane, probably would have had bad results "just because".
Sohl, I'm not so sure.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3854. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 03:31:54 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1kwrjz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51667

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 09:04:41 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:

>Yet, as you state, and too my point...the 1st amendment issue
>never was tested because Bash was never prosecuted. Makes
>my case as likly as any as I see it...your mileage may vary.

Rules, ordinances, and statutes are regarded as valid until and
unless voided by judicial review.

The only legal precedent that the Ellsberg case provided was
that if someone with lots of political clout reveals classified
information to someone else with lots of political clout but not
entitled by law thereto, the Supremes have the power to bend
the law at whim, whether the bending is proper or not.

That wasn't the first wrong decision that the SCOTUS made nor
was it the last.

And I say that as a person admitted to practive before that Court.

73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3855. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 03:48:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D7A3E.2146A32B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51669

Oris wrote:
> Listen to 75 meters
> at night and you will hear why ham radio is dieing.

Isn't it amazing how these idiots will pick out a few frequencies out of all the ham bands where there are those that act like cbers and say all of ham radio is like that? When all you have to do is tune any cb channel and all you hear is the same thing only worse, not just one or two channels but any cb channel. So just listen to any cb channel any time and you will hear the sorry state of cb radio.

3856. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 04:42:54 UTC
From: misterrnNOSPAM@mercednet.com
Message-ID: <3d6da5a1.69377339@news.localaccess.com>
Source record: 51670

> Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and you will see why ham radio is dieing. Listen to 75 meters
>at night and you will hear why ham radio is dieing.

A usenet group with a few complete jerks and it reflects all of ham radio? One small portion out of all the frequency available to ham radio and a few trouble makers out of over 600, 000 hams in the U.S. alone, and it reflects all of ham radio? I think you may be drawing conclusions based on very little evidence :) BTW, have you read some of the letters posted in old radio magazines. People have been saying the exact same thing since the 30's

Howard N3TNQ

3857. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 04:54:19 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <88bd397c310290828b5d393e24858afd@xganon.com>
Source record: 51671

i think your a hater JJ, your posts are always anti cb. you are just pissed off that riley said he doesnt care if we freeband. Of course he is not going to say this in public but the fact that twist talked with the man direct on the phone. he doesnt care if we have cb amps or galaxy dx99s ether. riley is a politican if you understood the way politicans are you would know that they say things between the lines and not outright and if you have to know what to listen for. Bottom line ham is dieing and he knows that. Cb will be big business for manufacters once the airwaves become free. bitch and be kilocycle cop all you want and jerry can bust his one truckstop lol but in ten years time i will be all over 10 meters the defacto freeband, as the man said just wait the best is yet to come.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3858. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 06:17:19 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208282217.3da11f1d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51672

```
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208280420.321ada54@posting.google.com>...
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
>
> TROLL post! Tim does not need to use an anonymizer!

You speak for Tim?

-A
```

3859. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 13:43:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
news:akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net...
> Try this on for size.
> The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
>
> Let me spell it out for you.
> Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Louie, King of 27885

Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I got it via
direct email from
him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the ARRL
website shortly.
And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so go talk
about something
you know.

Jerry

>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed while
> driving
> > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways, right?
> And
> > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when you
> speed
> > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is
> just
> > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies
> are
> > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they
drop
> > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
> > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only
frequencies
> to

> > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about
> that
> > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now you
> folks
> > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > >
> > > Tim Nebo
> > >
> > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >
> > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > August 28, 2002
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> >
> > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > 378 Williamson Road
> > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> >
> >
> >
> > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> >
> >
> > Dear Sir:
> >
> > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of
> the
> > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
Carolina,
> > on June 5, 2002.
> >
> > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
without
> a
> > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
> as
> > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the

> individual
> > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> transmitting
> > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> >
> > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
> >
> >
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> >
> > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > Special Counsel
> > Enforcement Bureau
> >
> >
> > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > ---
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3860. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 13:44:56 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
news:akl8nd$ebi$0@pita.alt.net...
> Try this!
>
> The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Louie, King of 27885

When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth and
insert foot!!

LMAO!!

J
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more watts
> than
> > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you are.
> stop
> > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting
will
> > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > >
> > > 73 and good DX
> > >
> > > Tim
> > >
> > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >
> > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
```

> >
> >
> >
> > August 28, 2002
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> >
> > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > 378 Williamson Road
> > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> >
> >
> >
> > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> >
> >
> > Dear Sir:
> >
> > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of
> the
> > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
Carolina,
> > on June 5, 2002.
> >
> > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
without
> a
> > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
> as
> > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> individual
> > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> transmitting
> > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> >
> > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
> >
> >
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> >
> > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > Special Counsel
> > Enforcement Bureau
> >
> >

> > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > ---
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3861. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 13:48:42 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net>
Message-ID: <akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Try this!

The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!

Louie, King of 27885

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more watts
than
> you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you are.
stop
> by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting will
> get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> >
> > 73 and good DX
> >
> > Tim
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
> This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> August 28, 2002
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
> Cheetah Transportation Company
> 378 Williamson Road
> Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
>

>
>
> SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
>
>
> Dear Sir:
>
> Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of
the
> above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina,
> on June 5, 2002.
>
> Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without
a
> license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
as
> amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
individual
> to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
transmitting
> equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
>
> You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
>
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
> Special Counsel
> Enforcement Bureau
>
>
> CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
>
>

3862. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 13:51:03 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net>
Message-ID: <akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Try this on for size.
The FCC says they have no record of this letter.

Let me spell it out for you.
Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!

Louie, King of 27885

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > Just wondering..... How many of you ham cry babies speed while
driving
> to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways, right?
And
> you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when you
speed
> on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is
just
> fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies
are
> going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they drop
> the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
> everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only frequencies
to
> cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about
that
> one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now you
folks
> are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
> Try this one on for size, troll!!
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
> August 28, 2002
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
> Cheetah Transportation Company
> 378 Williamson Road
> Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
>
>
>
> SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
>
>
> Dear Sir:
>
> Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of
the
> above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina,
> on June 5, 2002.
>
> Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without
a
> license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
as
> amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
individual
> to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
transmitting
> equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
>
> You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
>
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
> Special Counsel
> Enforcement Bureau
>
>

> CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
>
>

3863. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 14:07:36 UTC
From: Oscar <LasVegasKid@thehappygamblersanon.com>
Message-ID: <akl9qr$h8v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Case in point. The Shelby NC Hamfest is this weekend. This year,
as every year for the last ten, Shelby is home of the largest boneyard
(used equipment) in the Southeast. What is it full of? 10% old junk
ham gear. 90% CB amplifiers, modified CB radios, illegal imported CB
radios, modified marine & business equipment for truckers, unlicensed
low power FM broadcast transmitters, business band handi-talkies,
modified military equipment, etc. The FCC has never made ONE bust
at Shelby! Not One in ten years! Even a dumb ass can figure out the
FCC is not interested in this market place of illegal equipment.
Get a Life!

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:88bd397c310290828b5d393e24858afd@xganon.com...
> i think your a hater JJ, your posts are always anti cb. you are just
pissed off that riley said he doesnt care if we freeband. Of course he is
not going to say this in public but the fact that twist talked with the man
direct on the phone. he doesnt care if we have cb amps or galaxy dx99s
ether. riley is a politican if you understood the way politicans are you
would know that they say things between the lines and not outright and if
you have to know what to listen for. Bottom line ham is dieing and he knows
that. Cb will be big business for manufacters once the airwaves become free.
bitch and be kilocycle cop all you want and jerry can bust his one truckstop
lol but in ten years time i will be all over 10 meters the defacto freeband,
as the man said just wait the best is yet to come.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3864. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 16:03:36 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <sBrb9.290047$2p2.11754319@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

they won't jerry they will return with another account and another name.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > Try this!
> >
> > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth and
> insert foot!!
>
> LMAO!!
>
> J
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more
watts
> > than
> > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you
are.
> > stop
> > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting
> will
> > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > > >
> > > > 73 and good DX
> > > >
> > > > Tim
> > > >

> > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > >
> > >
> > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > August 28, 2002
> > >
> > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > >
> > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > >
> > >
> > > Dear Sir:
> > >
> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver
of
> > the
> > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> Carolina,
> > > on June 5, 2002.
> > >
> > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> without
> > a
> > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
1934,
> > as
> > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > individual
> > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > transmitting
> > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > >

> > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sincerely,
> > >
> > >
> > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > Special Counsel
> > > Enforcement Bureau
> > >
> > >
> > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > ---
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3865. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 16:37:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_Hsb9.93628$%v4.5143594@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
news:aklkrrbpc0@pita.alt.net...
> It's still a FAKE!
> Now go get some donuts, a greasy hot dog and drip food and
> grease all over your filthy T-shirt while you sit behind your
> ham radio and bitch about CBers allday.
> Get A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Louie, King of 27885

Denial, denial. Tsk,tsk! Like I said, it'll show up on the Rain Report next
period. Besides, Riley
emailed it to me yesterday. Believe what you like. I don't bitch about
CBers; only those who steal
frequencies they have no business using.

J
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > news:akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > Try this on for size.
> > > The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
> > >
> > > Let me spell it out for you.
> > > Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I got it
> via
> > direct email from
> > him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the
ARRL
> > website shortly.
> > And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so go
talk
> > about something
> > you know.
> >
> > Jerry

> >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > > > > Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed while
> > > driving
> > > > > > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways,
> right?
> > > And
> > > > > > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when
you
> > > speed
> > > > > > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that
is
> > > just
> > > > > > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these
> frequencies
> > > are
> > > > > > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> > > > > > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so
they
> > drop
> > > > > > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about
when
> > > > > > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only
> > frequencies
> > > to
> > > > > > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think
about
> > > that
> > > > > > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now
you
> > > folks
> > > > > > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tim Nebo
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > August 28, 2002
> > > >
> > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > >
> > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dear Sir:
> > > >
> > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
driver
> of
> > > the
> > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
radio
> > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > Carolina,
> > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > >
> > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> > without
> > > a
> > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
> 1934,
> > > as
> > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > individual
> > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > transmitting
> > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > >
> > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> matter.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sincerely,
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > Special Counsel
> > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > ---
> > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > ---
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3866. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 16:39:55 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
news:aklknvben0@pita.alt.net...
> I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Louie, King of 27885

Dream on.....

J
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > Try this!
> > >
> > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth
and
> > insert foot!!
> >
> > LMAO!!
> >
> > J
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more
> watts
> > > than
> > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you
> are.

> > > stop
> > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
posting
> > will
> > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tim
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > >
> > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > >
> > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > >
> > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
driver
> of
> > > the
> > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
radio
> > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > Carolina,
> > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > >
> > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment

> > without
> > > a
> > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
> 1934,
> > > as
> > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > individual
> > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > transmitting
> > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > >
> > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> matter.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sincerely,
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > Special Counsel
> > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > ---
> > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > ---
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
> >
>
>

3867. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 17:13:45 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net>
Message-ID: <aklknvben0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Louie, King of 27885

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > Try this!
> >
> > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth and
> insert foot!!
>
> LMAO!!
>
> J
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more
watts
> > than
> > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you
are.
> > stop
> > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting
> will
> > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > > >
> > > > 73 and good DX
> > > >

> > > > Tim
> > > >
> > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > >
> > > >
> > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > August 28, 2002
> > > >
> > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > >
> > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Dear Sir:
> > > >
> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver
of
> > the
> > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> Carolina,
> > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > >
> > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> without
> > a
> > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
1934,
> > as
> > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > individual
> > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > transmitting
> > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your

> > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > >
> > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sincerely,
> > >
> > >
> > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > Special Counsel
> > > Enforcement Bureau
> > >
> > >
> > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > ---
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3868. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 17:15:50 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net>
Message-ID: <aklkrrbpc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

It's still a FAKE!
Now go get some donuts, a greasy hot dog and drip food and
grease all over your filthy T-shirt while you sit behind your
ham radio and bitch about CBers allday.
Get A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Louie, King of 27885

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> news:akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net...
> > Try this on for size.
> > The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
> >
> > Let me spell it out for you.
> > Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I got it
via
> direct email from
> him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the ARRL
> website shortly.
> And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so go talk
> about something
> you know.
>
> Jerry
>
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > > Just wondering..... How many of you ham cry babies speed while
> > driving
> > > > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways,
right?
> > And

> > > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when you
> > speed
> > > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is
> > just
> > > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these
frequencies
> > are
> > > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> > > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they
> drop
> > > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
> > > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only
> frequencies
> > to
> > > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about
> > that
> > > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now you
> > folks
> > > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > > >
> > > > Tim Nebo
> > > >
> > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > >
> > >
> > > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > August 28, 2002
> > >
> > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > >
> > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > >
> > >
> > > Dear Sir:

> > >
> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver
of
> > the
> > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> Carolina,
> > > on June 5, 2002.
> > >
> > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> without
> > a
> > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
1934,
> > as
> > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > individual
> > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > transmitting
> > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > >
> > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sincerely,
> > >
> > >
> > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > Special Counsel
> > > Enforcement Bureau
> > >
> > >
> > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > ---
> > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > ---
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3869. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 17:39:21 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net>
Message-ID: <aklm80$ep1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Great outdoor market! Great place to stock up on modified marine
radios for your 18-wheeler. Also you can buy linear amps at very
reduced prices, both new and used. One guy last year was selling imported
CB radios with all the "extra" channels all the way up to 29 mhz, for only
about $150. See ya there!

Louie, King of 27885, lower side

"Oscar" <LasVegasKid@thehappygamblersanon.com> wrote in message
news:akl9qr$h8v$0@pita.alt.net...
> Case in point. The Shelby NC Hamfest is this weekend. This year,
> as every year for the last ten, Shelby is home of the largest boneyard
> (used equipment) in the Southeast. What is it full of? 10% old junk
> ham gear. 90% CB amplifiers, modified CB radios, illegal imported CB
> radios, modified marine & business equipment for truckers, unlicensed
> low power FM broadcast transmitters, business band handi-talkies,
> modified military equipment, etc. The FCC has never made ONE bust
> at Shelby! Not One in ten years! Even a dumb ass can figure out the
> FCC is not interested in this market place of illegal equipment.
> Get a Life!
>
>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:88bd397c310290828b5d393e24858afd@xganon.com...
> > i think your a hater JJ, your posts are always anti cb. you are just
> pissed off that riley said he doesnt care if we freeband. Of course he is
> not going to say this in public but the fact that twist talked with the
man
> direct on the phone. he doesnt care if we have cb amps or galaxy dx99s
> ether. riley is a politican if you understood the way politicans are you
> would know that they say things between the lines and not outright and if
> you have to know what to listen for. Bottom line ham is dieing and he
knows
> that. Cb will be big business for manufacters once the airwaves become
free.
> bitch and be kilocycle cop all you want and jerry can bust his one
truckstop
> lol but in ten years time i will be all over 10 meters the defacto
freeband,
> as the man said just wait the best is yet to come.
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
>

3870. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 18:05:11 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D6E6257.F851AEB3@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 51684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Oscar wrote:

> Case in point. The Shelby NC Hamfest is this weekend. This year,
> as every year for the last ten, Shelby is home of the largest boneyard
> (used equipment) in the Southeast. What is it full of? 10% old junk
> ham gear. 90% CB amplifiers, modified CB radios, illegal imported CB
> radios, modified marine & business equipment for truckers, unlicensed
> low power FM broadcast transmitters, business band handi-talkies,
> modified military equipment, etc. The FCC has never made ONE bust
> at Shelby! Not One in ten years! Even a dumb ass can figure out the
> FCC is not interested in this market place of illegal equipment.
> Get a Life!

We should make a Q signal for "Get a Life", say "QGL". Anyway, it's perfectly legal for hams to buy used radio equipment and modify it for ham radio use. We did that for years with business and marine radios, surplus military stuff, even CB radios modified for 10 meters. We could even get one of those CB amps and clean it up and tune it up for 10 meters.

3871. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 18:45:03 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208291045.33bb3dd1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51685

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3D6D33E6.EF182030@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0208260508.3598f9bb@posting.google.com>...
> > > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<akc29q$t2a$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
> > > > The initial novice was good for one year and non-renewable. I'll
> > > > defer to others as to the history of change associated with the
> > > > novice.
> > > >
> > > I'm clueless on that one. There were a lotta gyrations afterward which
> > > I didn't follow because once I escaped 3700-3750 I never looked back.
> >
> > Not only did most amateurs not look back, but they didn't go back and
> > offer some code practice to the newbies.
>
> And poor Brian will never get over it. Instead of getting his code practice where he
could find it, like
> most did, he is still pouting about the fact it wasn't to be found where he wanted it to
be. Still bitching
> a couple decades later, and still mad. Poor kid.

Dick, just because you were AWOL on the Novice subbands...

I'm working on Extra now. I can do that without you or the Novice subbands.

Too bad, isn't it?

3872. Re: Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 18:51:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208291051.7ca66909@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51686

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20020828211856.00278.00000837@mb-md.aol.com>...
> In article <UCfa9.55$2M1.6100107@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10
> >years?
> >
> >Jim, what should I have been doing with those hours, in your opinion? Go
> >ahead and say it. You've said it before.
>
> Brian:
>
> Allow me. If you've been spending a good portion of the time you've spent
> griping about CW in the past 10 years actually learning and using it, you'd
> have been an Extra for the last 9 years! You could still become a
> dumbed-down "Nickle Extra" in a few weeks, but we'll wait until 2027, if
> that's the best you can do! I just hope we're all there to see it happen.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, I'm sure there are even more amateurs than Jim and you who know
exactly how I should be spending my time. It's called The Amateur
Gulag Syndrome. Luckily, this is America, and the FCC disagrees with
the importance that you've placed on a single mode.

BTW, I'll try to be an Extra this autumn.

3873. Re: Stuff happens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 18:54:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208291054.71ae2163@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51687

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0208250005.13887fe@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020824145413.04751.00000252@mb-mw.aol.com>...
> > In article <OmP99.575$uo1.533@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> > <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
> >
> > >Jim, so other hams can remarry and have children later in life, dual
> > >careers, etc., but when Brian remarries later in life, has children, and
> > >starts a second career, it's no excuse for not devoting an incredible number
> > >of hours to increasing his code speed for your pleasure?
> >
> > Who said that? Not me.
> >
> > How many hours has Brian spent increasing his code speed in the past 10 years?
> >
> > It does seem like Brian has spent an incredible number of hours posting to
> > rrap, though ;-)
> >
> >
> SPANK! Bwaaahaha!

Kellie, spank? Do you teach Jr High?

3874. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 19:35:03 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829153503.29178.00004278@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 51688

>You know what also looks damn good? The Ten-Tec Argonaut V. I'm a
>sucker for analog S/RF meters. I know it sounds silly, but There's
>something about an analog meter as opposed to the popular digital
>segmented-bar meters. It's more than just aesthetic, kinda hard to
>explain. Hope you, and everybody else understand(s)? I also like the
>fact that you can download new Flash ROM instructions to cover any new
>band allocations. The Jupiter's nice too, but that's a definite base
>where the Argonaut V is small and light enough for portable work. I'd
>chose it over the FT-817 in a heartbeat. I kinda have this new "buy
>American" attitude now. More so than before.

That's good. Ten-Tecs are like Saabs. Either you love them or you hate them. There's not too many people that can "take it or leave it." I don't particularly like the FT-817 either. I'd personally choose the K2 over the FT-817, but Yaesu filled a niche with the increase of the Technician class licensee population. Take care and 73.

Mark

3875. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 20:37:48 UTC
From: Horace T Einsworth <hte@radiow345xFM.org>
Message-ID: <akm0mkafk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Does your rusted out Ford pick-me-up truck have a
sign on it saying "Radio Police"?????? and I'm sure
you have your ham call letters plastered all over it, like some
badge of accomplishment.
hahahahahahahahhahahah You REALLY need to
find a life!!!!!!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> news:aklknvben0@pita.alt.net...
> > I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> > Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> > your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> > Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> Dream on.....
>
> J
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > Try this!
> > > >
> > > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > >
> > > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth
> and
> > > insert foot!!
> > >
> > > LMAO!!
> > >
> > > J
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more
> > watts
> > > > than
> > > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you
> > are.
> > > > stop
> > > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
> posting
> > > will
> > > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tim
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > >
> > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > >
> > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > >

> > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> driver
> > of
> > > > the
> > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> radio
> > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > Carolina,
> > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > >
> > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> > > without
> > > > a
> > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
> > 1934,
> > > > as
> > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > individual
> > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > transmitting
> > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > >
> > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > matter.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > >
> > > > > > ---
> > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > ---
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>

3876. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 20:45:30 UTC
From: Horace T Einsworth <hte@radiow345xFM.org>
Message-ID: <akm151$bh2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I saw you at the last Hamfest I went to. Your belly hung down over
your belt buckle, completely covering it. you hadn't shaved in days.
your glasses were like the bottom of Coke bottles and you stunk from
not having bathed in days. Most prominet though, you had your ham
call letters on your hat, shirt, name tag, six places on your vehicle, and
you were handing out qsl cards even to people who didn't want them.
Then you waddled over to the snack bar for the fifth time in the last
three hours, where you stuffed greasy nachos down your mouth, while
cheese dribbled all over your filthy shirt.
Yup, definitely saw you at that Hamfest, a "typical" attendee at any
hamfest you go to these days!!!!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:_Hsb9.93628$%v4.5143594@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> news:aklkrrbpc0@pita.alt.net...
> > It's still a FAKE!
> > Now go get some donuts, a greasy hot dog and drip food and
> > grease all over your filthy T-shirt while you sit behind your
> > ham radio and bitch about CBers allday.
> > Get A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> Denial, denial. Tsk,tsk! Like I said, it'll show up on the Rain Report
next
> period. Besides, Riley
> emailed it to me yesterday. Believe what you like. I don't bitch about
> CBers; only those who steal
> frequencies they have no business using.
>
> J
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > news:akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > Try this on for size.
> > > > The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
> > > >

> > > > Let me spell it out for you.
> > > > Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > >
> > > Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I got
it
> > via
> > > direct email from
> > > him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the
> ARRL
> > > website shortly.
> > > And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so go
> talk
> > > about something
> > > you know.
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > > > > Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed
while
> > > driving
> > > > > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways,
> > right?
> > > > And
> > > > > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when
> you
> > > > speed
> > > > > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But
that
> is
> > > > just
> > > > > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these
> > frequencies
> > > > are
> > > > > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the
FCC
> > > > > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so
> they
> > > drop
> > > > > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about
> when
> > > > > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only

> > > frequencies
> > > > to
> > > > > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think
> about
> > > > that
> > > > > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now
> you
> > > > folks
> > > > > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tim Nebo
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> driver
> > of
> > > > > the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.

> > > > >
> > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> > > without
> > > > a
> > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
> > 1934,
> > > > as
> > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > individual
> > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > transmitting
> > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > >
> > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > matter.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3877. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 20:46:30 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <GKvb9.165329$Aw4.6960037@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
You really need to get a life to 2 post on the same thread your wearin it
out Louie

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
news:akm0mk$afk$0@pita.alt.net...
> Does your rusted out Ford pick-me-up truck have a
> sign on it saying "Radio Police"?????? and I'm sure
> you have your ham call letters plastered all over it, like some
> badge of accomplishment.
> hahahahahahahahhahahah You REALLY need to
> find a life!!!!!!
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> > news:aklknv$ben$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> > > Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> > > your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> > > Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Dream on.............................
> >
> > J
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:akl8nd$ebi$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > Try this!
> > > > >
> > > > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > > >
```

> > > > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big
mouth
> > and
> > > > insert foot!!
> > > >
> > > > LMAO!!
> > > >
> > > > J
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use
more
> > > watts
> > > > > than
> > > > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like
you
> > > are.
> > > > > stop
> > > > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
> > posting
> > > > will
> > > > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by
us.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tim
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > >

> > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> > driver
> > > of
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> > radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > > Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting
equipment
> > > > without
> > > > > > a
> > > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act
of
> > > 1934,
> > > > > > as
> > > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > > > individual
> > > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > > > transmitting
> > > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for
your
> > > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > > matter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > > >

> > > > > >
> > > > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

3878. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 21:12:03 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <D6wb9.22233$On.1124897@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Louie thought you said you were a ham snapperhead guess thats what you look like. Funny part is what does a typical Cber look like?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message news:akm151$bh2$0@pita.alt.net...
> I saw you at the last Hamfest I went to. Your belly hung down over
> your belt buckle, completely covering it. you hadn't shaved in days.
> your glasses were like the bottom of Coke bottles and you stunk from
> not having bathed in days. Most prominet though, you had your ham
> call letters on your hat, shirt, name tag, six places on your vehicle, and
> you were handing out qsl cards even to people who didn't want them.
> Then you waddled over to the snack bar for the fifth time in the last
> three hours, where you stuffed greasy nachos down your mouth, while
> cheese dribbled all over your filthy shirt.
> Yup, definitely saw you at that Hamfest, a "typical" attendee at any
> hamfest you go to these days!!!!
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:_Hsb9.93628$%v4.5143594@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> > news:aklkrrbpc0@pita.alt.net...
> > > It's still a FAKE!
> > > Now go get some donuts, a greasy hot dog and drip food and
> > > grease all over your filthy T-shirt while you sit behind your
> > > ham radio and bitch about CBers allday.
> > > Get A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Denial, denial. Tsk,tsk! Like I said, it'll show up on the Rain Report
> next
> > period. Besides, Riley
> > emailed it to me yesterday. Believe what you like. I don't bitch about
> > CBers; only those who steal
> > frequencies they have no business using.
> >
> > J
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

> > > news:X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > Try this on for size.
> > > > > The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
> > > > >
> > > > > Let me spell it out for you.
> > > > > Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > > >
> > > > Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I
got
> it
> > > via
> > > > direct email from
> > > > him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the
> > ARRL
> > > > website shortly.
> > > > And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so
go
> > talk
> > > > about something
> > > > you know.
> > > >
> > > > Jerry
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > > > > > Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed
> while
> > > > driving
> > > > > > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways,
> > > right?
> > > > > And
> > > > > > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law
when
> > you
> > > > speed
> > > > > > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But
> that
> > > is
> > > > just
> > > > > > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these

> > > frequencies
> > > > > are
> > > > > > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the
> FCC
> > > > > > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so
> > they
> > > > > drop
> > > > > > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about
> > when
> > > > > > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only
> > > > > frequencies
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think
> > about
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is
now
> > you
> > > > > > folks
> > > > > > > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tim Nebo
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> > driver
> > > of
> > > > > the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> > radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > > Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting
equipment
> > > without
> > > > > a
> > > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act
of
> > 1934,
> > > > > as
> > > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > individual
> > > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > transmitting
> > > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for
your
> > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > > matter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >

3879. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 21:12:37 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <97wb9.292394$2p2.11955416@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020829165030.185$Sc@newsreader.com...
> " WA3MOJ" wrote:
> >>You really need to get a life to 2 post on the same thread your wearin
it
> > out Louie
> >
> Is English your second language,George?

Again what do you care? wanna date me or something?
```

3880. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 21:45:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_6xb9.49817$Hd4.7968575@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Horace T Einsworth <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
news:akm0mk$afk$0@pita.alt.net...
> Does your rusted out Ford pick-me-up truck have a
> sign on it saying "Radio Police"?????? and I'm sure
> you have your ham call letters plastered all over it, like some
> badge of accomplishment.
> hahahahahahahahhahahah You REALLY need to
> find a life!!!!!!

I don't even own a Ford. BWAAAAAAA!

J

>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> > news:aklknv$ben$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> > > Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> > > your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> > > Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Dream on.............................
> >
> > J
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:akl8nd$ebi$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > Try this!
> > > > >
> > > > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > > >
> > > > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big
```

mouth
> > and
> > > > insert foot!!
> > > >
> > > > LMAO!!
> > > >
> > > > J
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use
more
> > > watts
> > > > > than
> > > > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like
you
> > > are.
> > > > > stop
> > > > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
> > posting
> > > > will
> > > > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by
us.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tim
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company

> > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> > driver
> > > of
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> > radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > > Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting
equipment
> > > > without
> > > > > > a
> > > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act
of
> > > 1934,
> > > > > > as
> > > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > > > individual
> > > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > > > transmitting
> > > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for
your
> > > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > > matter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

3881. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 22:11:46 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291411.24b6840d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51694

misterrnNOSPAM@mercednet.com (Howard) wrote in message news:<3d6da5a1.69377339@news.localaccess.com>...
> > Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc
> > and you will see why ham radio is dieing. Listen to 75 meters
> >at night and you will hear why ham radio is dieing.
>
> A usenet group with a few complete jerks and it reflects all of ham
> radio? One small portion out of all the frequency available to ham
> radio and a few trouble makers out of over 600, 000 hams in the U.S.
> alone, and it reflects all of ham radio? I think you may be drawing
> conclusions based on very little evidence :) BTW, have you read
> some of the letters posted in old radio magazines. People have been
> saying the exact same thing since the 30's
>
> Howard N3TNQ

Why not? That's the same logic (if you wanna call it that) Aanal Aaron, Jerry Ox, and Georgie-Girl use to put down CBers. What goes around comes around, you know.

And while you're listening, try 14.313! It's still a circus, but the alleged hams won't admit it.

3882. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 22:13:36 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291413.4e0d5df1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51695

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208282217.3da11f1d@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208280420.321ada54@posting.google.com>...
> > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > ---
> > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > ---
> >
> > TROLL post! Tim does not need to use an anonymizer!
>
> You speak for Tim?
>
> -A

Why not? Georgie-girl speaks for you!

3883. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 22:26:27 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208291426.27c42e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51696

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1ktupx.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 10:04:34 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >As to why you should join the ARRL, maybe you don't believe in a
> >stronger amateur radio, speaking with one voice. Maybe you don't find
> >the fellowship of other radio amateurs of interest. Maybe you have no
> >need of any of the various membership services. Maybe you have no
> >desire to read QST. I can't answer for you. Maybe you still see
> >yourself as an amateur radio outsider.
>
> There are some folks who believe that they'rs entitled to benefit
> from the good things which accrue to all amateurs as a result of the
> League's efforts without having to be a member.
>
> We call them "free-loaders".
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> ARRL Life Member

Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
"free-loader."

3884. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 22:44:46 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020829184446.06046.00000025@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 51698

>I don't even own a Ford.

Hey you two,

And WHAT'S wrong with owning a Ford?! :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3885. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 23:27:54 UTC
From: misterrnNOSPAM@mercednet.com
Message-ID: <3d6eac37.136599139@news.localaccess.com>
Source record: 51699

>
>Why not? That's the same logic (if you wanna call it that) Aanal
>Aaron, Jerry Ox, and Georgie-Girl use to put down CBers. What goes
>around comes around, you know.
>
>And while you're listening, try 14.313! It's still a circus, but the
>alleged hams won't admit it.

My previous point still applies. Simple solution, I stay away from
that frequency when that type of stuff is going on. A few jerks do
not make all operators bad on either side, but a jerk is a jerk,
either ham or CB. Operating illegally is just that. I consider
somebody who operates illegally just because "nobody is enforcing it"
a lowlife. The laws are there to be obeyed or, through the proper
mean, to be changed. When I operate ham radio, I do so according to
regulation. When I operate C.B., I do so according to regulation.
And before you or somebody else asks, I apply that to ALL laws
including traffic laws. When I bring up this argument, people always
ask me about speeders. Someone who intentionally and knowingly
violates speed laws is wrong - period.

Howard
._O_. . Howard
/ \o/_\ . '95 Suzuki Savage
/H\ .
(=O=). No matter what bike you
U. ride, its all the same wind.

3886. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 23:35:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829193514.27725.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 51700

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 08/29/02 18:26 Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0208291426.27c42e4@posting.google.com>

>
>Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
>amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
>"free-loader."

What has the ARRL doen that you consider harmful, Brian? How has anything the ARRL has done in the past or present negatively affected YOUR ability to communicate via Amateur Radio?

K4YZ

3887. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 23:35:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9Myb9.50305$Hd4.8017670@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51703

Bert Craig <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020829184446.06046.00000025@mb-fg.news.cs.com...
> >I don't even own a Ford.
>
> Hey you two,
>
> And WHAT'S wrong with owning a Ford?! :-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Heehee!

Waaaaal, a long time ago, I owned a Ford--a Mustang, to be exact. Biggest
piece of junk ever made. Every time I went anywhere, I was F ound O n R oad
D ead. This sharpened my spelling
ability because when I was Found On Road Dead, I could spell Ford backwards:
Driver Returning On Foot!!!! LMAO!! I became so ANGRY at FoMoCo that I
vowed that I would never have to
F ix O r R epair D aily ever again! And I have been sitting behind a
"Bowtie" ever since 1973. The
Chevrolets didn't leave me stranded, didn't have major repairs, were easier
and cheaper to fix if there was (rare) a problem, and would leave my old
Mustang in the weeds!!! They also didn't make hard-to-cure ignition noise
like the Fords, were assembled better, drove quieter, and are cheaper to
operate. SHHHHHHHHH! Be quiet!!!! Listen! On a quiet night, you can hear
a Ford
RUST!!! LOL!

And here's a little ditty
dedicated to Ford
and authored by l'il ole me.

(sung to the tune of "What do ya get when ya fall in love?")

What do ya get when ya buy a Ford?
Ya get a lot of pain, trouble and sorrow.
What'll you do when it quits tomorrow?
I'll never drive a Ford again!

Don't tell me what it's all about!
I've been there, and I'm glad I'm OUT!
Outta those bills, those bills that bind me.
And that is why you'll never find me!

Driving around in a Ford again,
Cuz those bills, they got too heavy!
That is why I drive a CHEVY!
I'll never drive a Ford again!!

(snicker)

Now, Bert, it's all in fun, so I hope you can laugh, too.

73

Jerry

3888. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-29 23:56:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D6EB49D.B062472D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51701

Brian wrote:

> Dick, just because you were AWOL on the Novice subbands...

Yeh, just like Dave Heil was in violation for working foreign stations who showed up in Dave's LEGAL
allocations. So I'm in violation of {something} because I wasn't sitting waiting in the Novice bands for your
nastiness to show up needing some CW practice. Right. And you're a mental gnat, no, gadfly.

>

>
> I'm working on Extra now.

Working???? That's what you call work? Lots are doing it these days with a "Get your Extra in a weekend" coaching
schools. Memorize it all in one short weekend with time off for beer and skittles. Whatta deal. You sure should
be proud.

3889. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 00:02:52 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akmcob$is7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51702

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1kwrjz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 09:04:41 -0400, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >Yet, as you state, and too my point...the 1st amendment issue
> >never was tested because Bash was never prosecuted. Makes
> >my case as likly as any as I see it...your mileage may vary.
>
> Rules, ordinances, and statutes are regarded as valid until and
> unless voided by judicial review.

Agreed...that's my point, however, the FCC deemed
it approprate to NOT test or otherwise risk
the validity with Mr. Bash (IMHO). We'll never know exactly
why, but in failing to do so, there can be a presumption
that the FCC thought the case would be lost. You are,
of course, free to have other opinions. In the end it
makes no difference since the FCC defaulted on the point
and thus rendered the "law" noot anyway.

> The only legal precedent that the Ellsberg case provided was
> that if someone with lots of political clout reveals classified
> information to someone else with lots of political clout but not
> entitled by law thereto, the Supremes have the power to bend
> the law at whim, whether the bending is proper or not.

The supremes can bend the law simply because they are the
supremes. If the vote is 5-4, the majority rules....regardless
of the way you or I may see the legal logic of the majority
opinion. If we disagree, there is NO appeal beyond the
supremes. So far, it has worked pretty well. I have disagreed
with the outcomes of some cases as I bet you have too...but
in the end, it's the best damn system going (IMHO).

> That wasn't the first wrong decision that the SCOTUS made nor
> was it the last.

As I said, I bet you've disagreed with the supremes on cases.

> And I say that as a person admitted to practive before that Court.

So? Isn't there at least ONE attorney in every case admitted
to practice before that Court
that ends up disagreeing with the outcome? (i.e.
the attorney(s) representing the losing side.)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3890. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 00:36:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D6EE846.2020407@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51704

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Working???? That's what you call work? Lots are doing it these days with a "Get your Extra in a weekend" coaching
> schools. Memorize it all in one short weekend with time off for beer and skittles. Whatta deal. You sure should
> be proud.

Beer and skittles? Yuck!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3891. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 01:15:34 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020829211534.21812.00000045@mb-de.news.cs.com>
Source record: 51705

>> >I don't even own a Ford.
>>
>> Hey you two,
>>
>> And WHAT'S wrong with owning a Ford?! :-)
>>
>> 73 de Bert
>> WA2SI
>
>Heehee!
>
>Waaaaal, a long time ago, I owned a Ford--a Mustang, to be exact. Biggest
>piece of junk ever made. Every time I went anywhere, I was F ound O n R oad
>D ead. This sharpened my spelling
>ability because when I was Found On Road Dead, I could spell Ford backwards:
>Driver Returning On Foot!!!! LMAO!! I became so ANGRY at FoMoCo that I
>vowed that I would never have to
>F ix O r R epair D aily ever again! And I have been sitting behind a
>"Bowtie" ever since 1973. The
>Chevrolets didn't leave me stranded, didn't have major repairs, were easier
>and cheaper to fix if there was (rare) a problem, and would leave my old
>Mustang in the weeds!!! They also didn't make hard-to-cure ignition noise
>like the Fords, were assembled better, drove quieter, and are cheaper to
>operate. SHHHHHHHHH! Be quiet!!!! Listen! On a quiet night, you can hear
>a Ford
>RUST!!! LOL!
>
>And here's a little ditty
>dedicated to Ford
>and authored by l'il ole me.
>
>
>(sung to the tune of "What do ya get when ya fall in love?")
>
>What do ya get when ya buy a Ford?
>Ya get a lot of pain, trouble and sorrow.
>What'll you do when it quits tomorrow?
>I'll never drive a Ford again!
>
>Don't tell me what it's all about!
>I've been there, and I'm glad I'm OUT!
>Outta those bills, those bills that bind me.
>And that is why you'll never find me!
>

>Driving around in a Ford again,
>Cuz those bills, they got too heavy!
>That is why I drive a CHEVY!
>I'll never drive a Ford again!!
>
>(snicker)
>
>Now, Bert, it's all in fun, so I hope you can laugh, too.
>
>
>73
>
>Jerry

Yeah, I got a chuckle. BTW, I drive a mustang. ;-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3892. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 02:08:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6ED3BF.ED1B5AD2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51706

Brian wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1ktupx.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 10:04:34 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > >As to why you should join the ARRL, maybe you don't believe in a
> > >stronger amateur radio, speaking with one voice. Maybe you don't find
> > >the fellowship of other radio amateurs of interest. Maybe you have no
> > >need of any of the various membership services. Maybe you have no
> > >desire to read QST. I can't answer for you. Maybe you still see
> > >yourself as an amateur radio outsider.
> >
> > There are some folks who believe that they'rs entitled to benefit
> > from the good things which accrue to all amateurs as a result of the
> > League's efforts without having to be a member.
> >
> > We call them "free-loaders".
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> > ARRL Life Member
>
> Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
> amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
> "free-loader."

That last clause is a real beauty, Brian. Its meaning is obscured
completely.

I don't consider everything the ARRL does as good for amateur radio
either. I let it be known when I disagree with something the League
undertakes. That said, I believe that overall, ARRL programs and
services work toward the betterment of amateur radio. I see the
League's efforts as positive with few exceptions. Are you a member?

Dave K8MN
ARRL Life Member

3893. randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 03:42:26 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <3940af03454e2adbb3d1ab31d29da8da@xganon.com>
Source record: 51707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: Randy (randy28@swbell.net)
Subject: Separating Vicodin
Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
View this article only
Date: 2001-07-25 16:49:02 PST

How do you go about separating the Tylenol from the hydrocodone in Vicodin?

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3894. Re: randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 04:12:25 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JgCb9.166391$Yd.7346292@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 51708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:3940af03454e2adbb3d1ab31d29da8da@xganon.com...
> From: Randy (randy28@swbell.net)
> Subject: Separating Vicodin
> Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> View this article only
> Date: 2001-07-25 16:49:02 PST
>
> How do you go about separating the Tylenol from the hydrocodone in
Vicodin?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

Now then Georgie-girl, what is your point?

3895. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 04:48:45 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akmtfd$pf4$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 51709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
> Louie thought you said you were a ham snapperhead guess thats what you look
> like. Funny part is what does a typical Cber look like?

They look just like the typical ham.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3896. Re: randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 05:29:39 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <6pDb9.1442$eY2.46183558@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:JgCb9.166391$Yd.7346292@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:3940af03454e2adbb3d1ab31d29da8da@xganon.com...
> > From: Randy (randy28@swbell.net)
> > Subject: Separating Vicodin
> > Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> > View this article only
> > Date: 2001-07-25 16:49:02 PST
> >
> > How do you go about separating the Tylenol from the hydrocodone in
> Vicodin?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
> Now then Georgie-girl, what is your point?
>
>

That busting "Illegal" cb'ers is
more important.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3897. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 05:38:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6EE531.DEA77E26@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51711

xganon wrote:
>
> i think your a hater JJ, your posts are always anti cb. you are just pissed off that riley said he doesnt care if we freeband.

You're really a pain agagon, I don't give a hoot if you freeband (there is no freeband by the way, all those "freeband" frequencies are allocated to other services), but if you want to operate illegally and violate FCC regulations like a criminal, go ahead. My argument is with idiot cbers like you who think it is perfectly OK to operate on the ham bands were the hams have earned the privilege to operate, while the cber has earned nothing. I am definitely anti cb to those people. Also I argue with idiots like you who want to trash ham radio when ham radio is head and shoulders above cb in every respect. The cb band is a total mess, brought on by people like you who have no respect for any rules. The cbers are totally to blame for the sorry state of 11 meters. There are tiny portions of the ham band where certain hams act like cbers, but it is such a small percentage compared to the rest of ham radio it is hardly worth mentioning.

>Of course he is not going to say this in public but the fact that twist >talked with the man direct on the phone. he doesn't care if we have cb >amps or galaxy dx99s ether.

And Riley also said that what twistieboy reported in the news group was only about 10% accurate of what Riley really said.

> Cb will be big business for manufacters once the
> airwaves become free.

In your dreams. If the airways were free, what do you think the radio spectrum would be like? You would not be able to listen to the radio or watch television, because your kind would make the entire spectrum sound just like 11 meters does today. I would really like to hear what you would say if you are someone close to you were involved in an accident but the emergency services could not get to you because of these users of the "free airwaves" where drowning out the communications of the emergency service. How would you feel flying a commercial flight knowing some aaaaauuuuuuddddddiiiiooooo shouting idiot fool with his splatter box was interfering with aircraft communications?
Free airwaves? Your more stupid than I imagined.

3898. Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 06:07:50 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <e1fffbb2ffc26e7cd61274b29ee2fae0@xganon.com>
Source record: 51712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
Subject: Re: To Whom It May Concern;
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-01-09 19:03:37 PST

>Subject: To Whom It May Concern;
>From: exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS)
>Date: 1/9/01 5:22 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20010109182206.13516.00001436@ng-md1.aol.com>
>
(snip)

Hope things get better for you, and I sincerely hope that you get your child the help that she needs.

Randy Chapman

From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
Subject: Re: is small doses of cocaine any good?
Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
Date: 1998/03/24

>I couldn't agree more and remember this is from people who have been there
>
>

Cocaine is nothing but BAD. The people who say they can control IT are lying to themselves, or don't have the money to spend on it. Been there, done that, got the t-shirt and the record to prove it. It started as a small amount, maybe twice a month,circa1985. Then ecalated rapidly to an eighth of an ounce or more a week. I realize this doesn't appear to be alot to people without jobs, but to a person with a 8 to 5, safety sensitive job, it is alot of missed sleep. At first, the sex was great, did it more ways than the law allows. But this rapidly faded into nothing. The major concern just becomes getting more of the shit. When the supply of powder became harder to locate in this area, crack was always available. So, this became the easiest way for me. Did that for about 5 years before being caught in a suviellance camera and getting arrested. Got 4 years defferred ajudication, meaning that if I don't get in trouble for the time on probation, I will have no conviction on my record. Just recently finished that sucessfully. Actually, in Texas, you can get off probation by doing all your community service(120 hours for me), and doing 1/3 of the time, which I did. Cost of my fun, about $7500 for the lawyer and the probation fees.

You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit. But, now I know the white monster is always lurking in the back of my mind, and I cannot surrender to the call.

Incidentally, I did lose one friend I grew up with, to suicide, directly related to cocaine. Also, a co-worker aqquired AIDS through the sharing of needles while injecting the shit. That was in the early days of AIDS, when everyone thought they would never get it. He is DEAD now.

In closing, the only real advice I have for anyone thinking of trying the shit is.....PLEASE DON'T DO IT! DON'T DO IT FOR ME, THOSE THAT LOVE YOU, YOUR WIFE, HUSBAND, GIRLFRIEND OR BOYFRIEND. IN SHORT, DON'T EVER TRY COKE. IT IS NOTHING BUT A FELONIOUS WASTE OF MONEY.

I can't make it any more simple than that.

See Ya, Randy

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3899. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 08:50:45 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <rdcumu075a2qci33ktuq3qa2b8e56ii5bg@4ax.com>
Source record: 51713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 04:48:45 +0000 (UTC), Bill Nelson
<billn@spock.peak.org> was blabbling:

...In rec.radio.cb IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
...> Louie thought you said you were a ham snapperhead guess thats
what you look
...> like. Funny part is what does a typical Cber look like?
...
...They look just like the typical ham.

Wait a minute now, I'll have you know, I'm pretty damn cute, if I do
say so myself. <G>

3900. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 10:47:31 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <akp19u3224l@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51748

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D6CA051.36DD1FE1@earthlink.net...
> KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > Never had a pre-occupation with being woo-ed.... I am just saying that
if I
> > wanted to attract someone to a hobby that is "hanging in by a thread" I
sure
> > as hell as am not going to treat them like crap, blame them for problems
I
> > may have with the hobby and the changes that have happened that I may
not
> > like, even though they had nothing to do with the problems in the first
> > place. If I wanted to be told I am a bad person from the get-go... I'll
> > become a christian.
> >
> > Getting back to the original intention of the postings.... the ARRL.
Why
> > should I join, other than the magazine subscription, which is getting
> > thinner and thinner, and more ads? (yes, I know they need them to
support
> > it, but soon it is going to be all ads...)
> > Any other "selling point" is moot. The alleged Field Organization is
> > non-existant.... The lobbying part that is supposed to be sooo
important
> > cannot even be proven or at least the amount of expenditures claimed
> > necessary. What other points would make me "want to buy their product"
> > meaning the membership?
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
>
> Actually, Ryan, a greater number of advertisements results in a thicker
> magazine, not a thinner one. That's not just true for QST; it is true
> for almost any magazine.

And results still as less in the content level, just more on the
advertisment level. I do not buy a ham magazine for the ads. They are
merely something I have to suffer through to get to the articles I will be
reading. Just as annoying as those incessant subscription cards usually in
the magazines.

>
> In the matter of your not believing that lobbying on behalf of amateur
> radio exists: There are numerous accounts of those lobbying activities.

> It would be easy to contact an entity which has been lobbied and ask
> someone if the organization had been lobbied by the ARRL. You could
> even drive to D.C. and stake out the Washington offices of the League to
> prove to yourself that they exist.

Gee... aside from taking that far of a drive, I have to assume there must be some simpler method of finding the same information. Sure, take my money, and not have to justify where it is spent. Love that concept.

>
> I went to the West Virginia State ARRL Convention at Jackson's Mill last
> weekend. There I saw a non-existent Roanoke Division Director, a
> non-existant Ed Hare, a non-existant DXCC card checker and several
> non-existant ARES officials. They could all be found near the
> non-existant ARRL booth and display.

At least there must be a viable organization in your area at least.

>
> As to why you should join the ARRL, maybe you don't believe in a
> stronger amateur radio, speaking with one voice.

Strictly the voice of the B.O.D.

Maybe you don't find
> the fellowship of other radio amateurs of interest.

Sure I do, I get 99% of it locally and a little also from other sources such as these newsgroups and other avenues.

Maybe you have no
> need of any of the various membership services.

About the only service (actual service) I might be interested in other than the magazine subscription would be the insurance, unless they have so many disclaimers and exclusions like my current insurance seems to have.

Maybe you have no
> desire to read QST.

Actually I really enjoy QST, but year after year the content drops and drops. I know this as a fact, as I have from about the mid 70's till this years QST magazines sitting in storage boxes after I have read them and separated the issues that I needed for future reference.
Thanks to the hams that were going to through these out otherwise, as I rescued them from the dumpsters. (before they made it that far....)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3901. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 10:49:28 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <akp19v4224l@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51749

Okay... heres a challenge. Name these "good things." Be specific and
please do not generalize. Maybe your might be the first person to actually
convince me to join.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> There are some folks who believe that they'rs entitled to benefit
> from the good things which accrue to all amateurs as a result of the
> League's efforts without having to be a member.
>
> We call them "free-loaders".
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> ARRL Life Member
>
>

3902. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 10:57:13 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <akp1a05224l@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51750

>
> Why do you say the "The alleged Field Organization is
> non-existant.... "?

Again, where are they? I have placed myself, by participating in five different clubs, and occaisionally pop in a few others also from time to time, and with the exception of one person (now an ex-field op person) I have not met one representing and acting on the behalf of the ARRL. Mind you, each one of these are a sanctioned ARRL club.

>
> Have you had any need for the field organization?
> Have you attempted to engage help or assistance from
> the field organization and been refused or otherwise
> turned away?

Not yet as I can say. But there is always the possibilty in the future. But this is one of the so-called "selling points" of the the league and why a person should join.

>
> If the answer is NO, then what do you expect to see, get
> or derive from the field organization that leads you to
> state it is non-existant?
>

Participation. How about presentations related to the league. How about (for lack of a better term or phrase) recruitment presentations where they actually can clear up and defend these so-called selling points as to why a person should join the league. These are a few as well as I am sure there are others.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3903. JJ radiobutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 14:18:03 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <57d764dceaca9b262390eb7a436e327c@xganon.com>
Source record: 51714

Why do you have 160 posts in rec.radio.cb since May? Does N8WWM lead you around like a little puppy?

Afterall you say you've never used a CB radio.

Please explain,radiobutt.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3904. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 14:22:55 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208300622.17f4a5a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51715

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<akc3lj$6he$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
-
. . . .

> Nope, the info was all there even in 1957/58 when I took the novice
> and then the general. Many questions in study guides (e.g. AMECO,
> etc.) turned out to be almost exactly as they were on the test.
>
I never used the Bash materials. I did however make heavy use of the
ARRL License Guides for all three of my ham exams 1953 thru 1968 or
so. The similarites between the questions in the exams and the
questions in the License Guides was nothing less than striking,
sometimes dead nuts word for word. This game goes back a LONG time and
the ARRL invented it at least in ham radio.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

w3rv

3905. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 16:34:28 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D6F9E94.DE81CCF4@psu.edu>
Source record: 51716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill Nelson wrote:

> In rec.radio.cb IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
> > Louie thought you said you were a ham snapperhead guess thats what you look
> > like. Funny part is what does a typical Cber look like?
>
> They look just like the typical ham.

And what exactly does a typical ham look like?

- mike KB3EIA -

3906. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 17:19:17 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208300919.20ef60b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51717

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3D6EB49D.B062472D@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick, just because you were AWOL on the Novice subbands...
>
> Yeh, just like Dave Heil was in violation for working foreign stations who showed up in Dave's LEGAL
> allocations.

Dick, why do you keep bringing this up? I'm sure that Dave would like
to see the end of it. Just leave it alone.

> So I'm in violation of {something} because I wasn't sitting waiting in the Novice bands for your
> nastiness to show up needing some CW practice. Right.

Who said that? I only said you were AWOL. Poor little Dick, he
cannot accept criticism. And I showed up on the Novice bands with the
best of intentions, not "nastiness."

> And you're a mental gnat, no, gadfly.

Make up your little mind.

> > I'm working on Extra now.
>
> Working???? That's what you call work?

Yes, Dick, "working." What would you call it?

> Lots are doing it these days with a "Get your Extra in a weekend" coaching
> schools. Memorize it all in one short weekend with time off for beer and skittles. Whatta deal.

How much are you making on that deal?

> You sure should be proud.

Why wouldn't I be? Except that once I achieve Extra, I might be
compared to jerks like you.

3907. Re: JJ radiobutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 17:27:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F8BA4.DC8CBE43@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51718

xganon wrote:
>
> Why do you have 160 posts in rec.radio.cb since May? Does N8WWM lead you around like a little puppy?
>
> Afterall you say you've never used a CB radio.
>
> Please explain,radiobutt.

Why are you so interested in how many posts I have in r.r.cb that
you take the time to look it up? And now you follow me over here
to the amateur group. You have a thing for me? You follow me
around like a little puppy.

Please explain gagnonbutt.

3908. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 17:28:11 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208300928.1e703c51@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51719

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D6ED3BF.ED1B5AD2@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h1ktupx.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > > On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 10:04:34 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > >As to why you should join the ARRL, maybe you don't believe in a
> > > >stronger amateur radio, speaking with one voice. Maybe you don't find
> > > >the fellowship of other radio amateurs of interest. Maybe you have no
> > > >need of any of the various membership services. Maybe you have no
> > > >desire to read QST. I can't answer for you. Maybe you still see
> > > >yourself as an amateur radio outsider.
> > >
> > > There are some folks who believe that they'rs entitled to benefit
> > > from the good things which accrue to all amateurs as a result of the
> > > League's efforts without having to be a member.
> > >
> > > We call them "free-loaders".
> > >
> > > ---
> > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> > > ARRL Life Member
> >
> > Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
> > amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
> > "free-loader."
>
> That last clause is a real beauty, Brian. Its meaning is obscured
> completely.
>
> I don't consider everything the ARRL does as good for amateur radio
> either. I let it be known when I disagree with something the League
> undertakes. That said, I believe that overall, ARRL programs and
> services work toward the betterment of amateur radio. I see the
> League's efforts as positive with few exceptions. Are you a member?
>
> Dave K8MN
> ARRL Life Member

Yes, Dave, I am a member and always have been.

I nearly quit being a member during the pre-restructuring era, as I
felt that I was supporting an organization with an oversized rear-view
mirror that was blocking their windshield. I think that the ARRL does

an overall good job, and is a good watchdog on frequency encroachment.

Brian
ARRL Annual Member (with the option of quitting at any time)

3909. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 17:36:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0208300936.1ea34d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51720

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020829193514.27725.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 08/29/02 18:26 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0208291426.27c42e4@posting.google.com>
>
> >
> >Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
> >amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
> >"free-loader."
>
> What has the ARRL doen that you consider harmful, Brian? How has anything
> the ARRL has done in the past or present negatively affected YOUR ability to
> communicate via Amateur Radio?
>
> K4YZ

Steve, I saw as harmful the ARRL has promoting the Morse/CW exam
barrier decades after any other service has seen fit to dispose of it
for better methods. I saw as harmful the lack of leadership to the
future.

GW and Dick say it is more dangerous to do nothing than to act. I've
seen the ARRL sit on their hands waiting for a "consensus" concerning
the Morse exam issue, forsaking any leadership role, and instead
running polls like Clinton/Gore.

3910. Re: freeband is not illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 19:33:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umvi6ie551br1b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51721

Of course it isn't a big deal. Or is it? Check this out:
http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
Are the rules enforced?
Can you end up with a fine over $20,000.00?
Can you end up with a sentence over 1 year in a federal prison?
When you're done checking it out, please come back and tell us your tale again. I enjoy fiction.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message news:012dd74980fcb5b4cc7185c12e059ece@xganon.com...
> When you compare it to crimes like murder freeband is not a big deal. If it is not enforced then its not illegal, can't you people get it thru your thick heads? The FCC do not care anymore and those
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3911. Re: randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 19:57:48 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <07Qb9.43002$On.2032381@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:JgCb9.166391$Yd.7346292@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:3940af03454e2adbb3d1ab31d29da8da@xganon.com...
> > From: Randy (randy28@swbell.net)
> > Subject: Separating Vicodin
> > Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> > View this article only
> > Date: 2001-07-25 16:49:02 PST
> >
> > How do you go about separating the Tylenol from the hydrocodone in
> Vicodin?

>
> Now then Georgie-girl, what is your point?

Sorry Randy, thats not my handi work but you did ask about this in another post and I guess they took you up on your offer. If I have something to say to you you can rest assured you will know I said it see I always post from comcast.

3912. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:05:58 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akomos$1s$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51723

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0208300622.17f4a5a3@posting.google.com...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<akc3lj$6he$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> -
> . . . .
>
> > Nope, the info was all there even in 1957/58 when I took the novice
> > and then the general. Many questions in study guides (e.g. AMECO,
> > etc.) turned out to be almost exactly as they were on the test.
> >
> I never used the Bash materials. I did however make heavy use of the
> ARRL License Guides for all three of my ham exams 1953 thru 1968 or
> so. The similarites between the questions in the exams and the
> questions in the License Guides was nothing less than striking,
> sometimes dead nuts word for word. This game goes back a LONG time and
> the ARRL invented it at least in ham radio.
> w3rv

Yep! Best example I can remember is that the study guide
had about 14 circuit diagrams (full wave rect., colpitts osc., etc.,)
and each was presented as a question of the type: "Draw a
schematic of _______. " Of those 14 schematics
in the study guide, 5 were EXACTLY as stated on
the test for General I took in 1958... a few years before
Bash's efforts.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3913. Service To The Nation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:12:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830171257.17339.00000035@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51729

In article <PMj89.14278$LO1.1151299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>Following is a verbatim excerpt of an FCC letter, dated August 9, 2002 from
>the FCC to lawyers representing Reliant Energy, a Texas power utility.

A problem there is the use of an excerpt to attempt proving a point.
Here is the entire letter content:

==========

August 9, 2002

Michael C. Massengale, Esquire
Baker Botts, LLP
One Shell Plaza
910 Louisiana
Houston, TX 77002-4995

Re: Reliant Energy, Incorporated; Radio Frequency
Interference to Amateur Radio Station W5GCX

Dear Mr. Massengale:

This is in response to your letter of June 28, 2002, on behalf of Reliant Energy, with respect to radio frequency interference from the operation of the company's electrical service equipment to Amateur Radio operators.

The Enforcement Bureau appreciates your comprehensive response. However, your interpretation of applicable Commission rules with respect to this matter is in error in several material respects. Reliant Energy is obligated to eliminate the interference complained of.

Your letter suggests that the interference experienced by Mr. Edward J. Gerber is due to an otherwise properly operating 250 kVA step-down transformer. Reliant's proposed solution is to relocate the transformer to another area removed from Mr. Gerber's residence, and that Mr. Gerber bear the labor cost of this relocation.

We are willing to accept your client's analysis that the transformer is the cause of the interference problem; but that is most unusual, since an arc in a transformer would typically result in failure of the transformer within a short period of time. A far more typical cause of radio interference from utility lines is in loose or corroded

hardware, tie-wires, or defective insulators. Furthermore, you cite use of infrared cameras to locate hot spots as a means of identifying noise sources. The small arcs which cause RF interference typically do not cause significant heating, and therefore this may not be the most effective means of diagnosing interference problems. Finally, it is unnecessary in most cases to interrupt the power as a means of identifying the source of noise.

Regardless of the source of the interference, it is improper to attempt to pass the cost of the relocation, or other solution, to the licensee that is the victim of the interference. It is exclusively the obligation of the operator of the unlicensed device. Your interpretation of Reliant's obligation with respect to the Amateur Radio Service is that Amateur stations are not part of any service , and that the obligation to avoid interference to a radiocommunication service does not include Amateur Radio stations, since individual Amateur Radio stations constitute a use but not a service.

The attempted distinction is baseless. The Amateur Service is a radiocommunication service for the purpose of self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations carried out by amateurs; that is, duly authorized persons interested in radio technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest. 47 C.F.R. 97.3(a)(5). Individual licensed stations are each part of this Service. The condition of operation of any Part 15 unlicensed device is that it cannot cause harmful interference. 47 C.F.R. 15.5(b). Harmful interference, which is identically defined in both the Commission's rules and the International Radio Regulations, includes any emission, radiation or induction which seriously degrades, obstructs or repeatedly interrupts a radiocommunications service operating in accordance with Title 47 C.F.R. Reliant Energy is not entitled to cause harmful interference to a licensed Amateur Radio station in the operation of its devices.

Furthermore, you claim that, since 47 C.F.R. 15.13 requires that manufacturers employ good engineering practices to minimize risk of harmful interference, that provision does not apply to Reliant Energy. However, 47 C.F.R. 15.15(b) makes it clear that operators of Part 15 devices are required to cease operation should harmful interference occur to authorized users of the radio frequency spectrum, and therefore parties responsible for equipment compliance should reduce unwanted emissions below the maximum levels specified in the regulations.

Therefore, the position of Reliant Energy, that it is
willing to conduct further investigations or remedy the
interference problem only if the licensed radio amateur
pays its expenses, is unacceptable. Reliant must do all
things necessary, and bear any and all necessary costs, to
comply with its obligations as an operator of unlicensed
devices pursuant to the Commission's Part 15 regulations.
You are hereby requested to provide a written report
within 30 days of receipt of this letter as to the steps
that have been taken to eliminate this interference.
Further enforcement action will be withheld pending
receipt of that report. The Commission expects, however,
a complete solution without further delay, and good faith
on the part of your client.

cc: Mr. Edward J. Gerber
Robby Abarca, Esquire
Advisor to Chairman Rebecca Klein
Public Utility Commission of Texas

==========

The Enforcement Division of the FCC thus supported amateur radio,
in particular one radio amateur who was subject to interference.
That should, in my opinion, be the laudible, not some personal
word-vendetta personal-injury-in-print issue of yours long ago.

The word "service" used throughout Title 47 CFR is a regulatory
term denoting a type and kind of radio transmitting activity.

Brakob wishes to enoble his avocation as some kind of implied
"service to the country" or "service to the community" as if it is
a kind of noble deed. Amateur radio remains almost entirely a
a hobby activity, engaged in for personal pleasure. There should
be nothing wrong with that. What is wrong is the attempt to
enoble the hobby beyond reasonable doubt as a justification for
its existance.

If "service" is taken in the sense as a "service to the nation," then
it should also be applied to Citizens Band Radio Service or even
restricted-radiation devices covered under Part 15. It isn't. As
used throughout Title 47 CFR, the word "service" is a regulatory
term denoting a type and kind of radio transmitting activity.

3914. Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:12:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830171258.17339.00000036@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51725

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h1es36e.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>>The Philly office never sent me anything directly. All licenses I've
>>ever received came from some beltway place or Gettysburg going back to
>>1953-54.
>
> My turn to stand corrected. Up to the very end of FCC testing the
> Field Offices issued First, Second, and Third Class RADIOTELEPHONE
> licenses after exams and for renewals. RADIOTELEGRAPH licenses came
> from FCC Washington because of the need to affix and seal the photograph.
> Amateur licenses were issued by Washington, and then by Gettysburg
> after it was opened in the mid-60s.

My first Radiotelephone license was issued from Washington, DC, a
couple weeks after my Chicago FCC field office test in 1956. Renewals
were handled by the Long Beach, CA, office but those might have had
rubber-stamp-signings of Hayes and Mary Jane Morris.

The first CB licenses were issued from DC. As I recall, only the
Restricted Third Class Radiotelephone license was issued at the
FCC field offices (no test required)...such as was required by
student pilots flying out of tower-controlled airfields.

I guess all that license rigamarole is very important so that control
freaks can go bananas about the evil unlicensed-but-legal radio
users. :-)

3915. Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:12:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830171259.17339.00000038@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51724

In article <20020823122934.25398.00002783@mb-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>The only time the 'professional' says nothing in rrap is when there's a >request for useful information.

So...where's all that information on state-of-the-art improvement using PLCs in amateur radio?

By the way, Amazon resells ARRL publications at the same prices charged by the ARRL...but without shipping charges if the order is over $25. ARRL charges shipping no matter what the total cost. Perhaps it's all the fault of "non-profit-making" Jeff Bezos? :-)

Okay, let's see all those PLC innovations in US ham radio.

Beep, beep.

3916. Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:12:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830171259.17339.00000037@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51728

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h1esxqf.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 25 Aug 2002 10:42:17 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>>> The only exception was the
>>>> Limited Second Telegraph issued as an emergency measure during the
>>>> Vietnam War years because of the lack of qualified marine Radio
>>>> Officers.
>>
>>Hold on a sec....
>>
>>Lack of qualified marine Radio Officers = shortage of skilled CW ops?
>
> Shortage of skilled CW ops with shipboard experience.
>
> Remember that in those days the Navy was pulling the Victory Ships
> out of Red Lead Row (Maritime Reserve Fleet in Suisun Bay near San
> Francisco) and we were doing three and four Ship Safety Inspections
> per day in our office alone.
>
> Times have changed. The ships up in Red Lead Row are there to stay.
> There are less than 600 ocean-going cargo ships under US registry,
> they no longer use CW for any purpose, and the deck officers are
> qualified to operate the radiotelephones, RTTY/SITOR teleprinter
> systems, radars, and similar electronic goodies without a Radio
> Officer on board.

Those aren't good words to write in here. This newsgroup isn't
about reality. It's all about a time warp.

N2EY wants everyone to believe that "real" radio operators are of
the 1930s and 1940s state of the art. Never mind that Suisun Bay
is still an impressive sight (or was last year on the way to Antioch),
they are still out of service with a future for the scrap heap...similar
to the old regulations concerning US amateur radio.

US amateur radio expertise is all about CW on HF for DX.

Beep, beep.

3917. Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:13:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830171300.17339.00000039@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51726

In article <3Wa69.24$Qi7.2071701@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>news:d22b54c3.0208130516.33c2ceb@posting.google.com...
>> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>...
>> > In article <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
>> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>> >
>> > > At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia,
>> > >then he's a pit viper ready to strike.
>> >
>> If he didn't have a web browser and nothing else to do with his life
>> but surf for quick-study info he wouldn't know squat about ham radio.
>> That's why he's so torqued about being locked out of the ARRL
>> members-only site.
>
>Why would he be torqued?
>
>He's probably logged in under Jim Haynie's ID.

Hello Brian,

No, I don't "log in under Jim Haynie's ID" but I have exchanged reasonable
e-mail correspondence with him. President Haynie doesn't call anyone
"Putz" or disparage another just because of an opposite opinion. :-)

Traveling is over for a while, now it's time for all the 1x2s to get their
jollies by calling "civilians" names, explain how they are all so
superior to ordinary mortals by long tenure in a hobby radio activity.

It's interesting to see what these morsemensches invent for "replies"
to others. Truly a remarkable talent they exhibit in fabrication! Now if
they had spent more time in advancing the state of the amateur art
US hams wouldn't have to look to Europe or Asia for the new amateur
radio technology. Alas, it is all frozen in the time period when all those
1x2s were first licensed and they keep insisting that all others must
emulate them.

Beep, beep

3918. Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:13:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830171301.17339.00000040@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51727

In article <3D594F7D.7050702@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Brian Kelly wrote:
>
>> You're taking him far too seriously Jim, he's just another USENET
>> dilettante who knows how to stir up controversy for his own
>> entertainment and for no other reason. There's a jillion Lennys out
>> there in venues beyond ham radio. Basically he's snookering you and
>> the others who are serious abt ham radio into wasting your time by
>> entertaining him, he's punching buttons for jollies and he knows the
>> buttons. He's using you against yourself. He's a zero, simply ignore
>> him.
>
> And yet..... here we are, feeding the troll, very well indeed.
>Argument is pretty futile in this case, and a well placed filter takes
>care of that problem. And I can guarantee that if the troll doesn't get
>fed, the troll goes to more fertile feeding grounds. This group is what
>we make of it. Do we want to have it sound like a group of foul mouthed
>buffoons, or foolishly amateur debaters who pounce on misspellings to
>try to invalidate another's argument, or a place that might be
>referenced by the people running the ARS show? It's our collective choice.

If you want an in-bred group of boosters giving each other high-
fives over marvelous, noble accomplishments in a "service," then
by all means close the newsgroup via a moderator. Allow only
those who have group-think mentality and believers in some
faith of myth and legends. That way all those who have a certain
mindset will be happy and undisturbed.

In the meantime, controversial subjects remain controversial and
the regulators listen to all citizens, licensed or not.

Those who cannot stand controversy should not access this very
open newsgroup. It's obvious that some others cannot stand
controversy or opposition to their cherished self-importance.

Beep, beep.

3919. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:17:40 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akonht$9sg$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51730

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0208300936.1ea34d4@posting.google.com...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020829193514.27725.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 08/29/02 18:26 Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0208291426.27c42e4@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
> > >amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
> > >"free-loader."
> >
> > What has the ARRL doen that you consider harmful, Brian? How has
anything
> > the ARRL has done in the past or present negatively affected YOUR
ability to
> > communicate via Amateur Radio?
> >
> > K4YZ
>
> Steve, I saw as harmful the ARRL has promoting the Morse/CW exam
> barrier decades after any other service has seen fit to dispose of it
> for better methods. I saw as harmful the lack of leadership to the
> future.

But the leadership ISin control (i.e. selected) by the membership.
Who's fault is it that few ran for elected positions in the regions
on an anti-code test agenda? The reality of ARRL
membership was that the majority DID favor retaining
code testing...and that's what the ARRL board advanced
until recently.

> GW and Dick say it is more dangerous to do nothing than to act. I've
> seen the ARRL sit on their hands waiting for a "consensus" concerning
> the Morse exam issue, forsaking any leadership role, and instead
> running polls like Clinton/Gore.

There has never been consensus and probably won't
be for years to come. In the end, the FCC appears
to have forced the issue via NPRM98-143. Overall
comments to that NPRM favored the lowering of
code testing. Odds are the percent in favor
of ending all code testing increases daily.

More to the point, the majority opinion doesn't
get their say in the area of license requirements since
the critical decision is based on public need for
any specific license requirement. Clearly the FCC
saw NO public need for any morse testing and stated
it as such in their R&) on 98-143. The only rational
that sustained any code tesing was the treaty requirement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3920. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:24:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <akonoq$3sc$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51731

Let me wade in folks. As a life member of the ARRL I would like to answer the question of what did the ARRL do harmfull that effected me...etc.

In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of many active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.

The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF privledges I had already earned.

And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental thing I have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio. That is the year I resigned in protest.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0208300936.1ea34d4@posting.google.com...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020829193514.27725.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 08/29/02 18:26 Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0208291426.27c42e4@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >
> > >Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
> > >amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
> > >"free-loader."
> >
> > What has the ARRL doen that you consider harmful, Brian? How has
anything
> > the ARRL has done in the past or present negatively affected YOUR
ability to
> > communicate via Amateur Radio?
> >
> > K4YZ
>
> Steve, I saw as harmful the ARRL has promoting the Morse/CW exam
> barrier decades after any other service has seen fit to dispose of it
> for better methods. I saw as harmful the lack of leadership to the
> future.
>
> GW and Dick say it is more dangerous to do nothing than to act. I've
> seen the ARRL sit on their hands waiting for a "consensus" concerning
> the Morse exam issue, forsaking any leadership role, and instead
> running polls like Clinton/Gore.

3921. Re: freeband is not illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 21:25:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <akonqm$ff8$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51732

One thing about in 'Jim Hampton'....ya just cain't fix stupid.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:umvi6ie551br1b@corp.supernews.com...
> Of course it isn't a big deal. Or is it? Check this out:
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
> Are the rules enforced?
> Can you end up with a fine over $20,000.00?
> Can you end up with a sentence over 1 year in a federal prison?
> When you're done checking it out, please come back and tell us your tale
> again. I enjoy fiction.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:012dd74980fcb5b4cc7185c12e059ece@xganon.com...
> > When you compare it to crimes like murder freeband is not a big deal. If
> it is not enforced then its not illegal, can't you people get it thru your
> thick heads? The FCC do not care anymore and those
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
>

3922. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 22:09:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umvr9n1454mn53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51733

I seriously doubt that any of the original 1X2s are still alive. Some obtained those callsigns in the early 70s when the FCC allowed the extra class hams access to 1X2s (I had, for a while, N2JH - but let it expire). The test is so simple that kids under the age of 10 can pass 'em. All you need for that 1X2 now is a couple of buck$, but not much (assuming that one is available; otherwise you have to wait for someone to die or abandon the callsign). Geeze, you keep talking about that state of the art PLC program - I'm gonna have to fire up the A-B slc500 on my bench and see if I can plop a CW receiving/transmitting program into it. Just key a bit (in or out) and do some math and send/receive the results out of the serial port. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

ps - A-B plcs are about the most susceptable devices I've run into as far as noise, yet they apparently have passed CE mark compliance. Go figure.

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020830171300.17339.00000039@mb-bh.aol.com...
> In article <3Wa69.24$Qi7.2071701@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >news:d22b54c3.0208130516.33c2ceb@posting.google.com...
> >> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> >> > In article <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >> >
> >> > > At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia,
> >> > >then he's a pit viper ready to strike.
> >> >
> >> If he didn't have a web browser and nothing else to do with his life
> >> but surf for quick-study info he wouldn't know squat about ham radio.
> >> That's why he's so torqued about being locked out of the ARRL
> >> members-only site.
> >
> >Why would he be torqued?
> >
> >He's probably logged in under Jim Haynie's ID.
>

> Hello Brian,
>
> No, I don't "log in under Jim Haynie's ID" but I have exchanged reasonable
> e-mail correspondence with him. President Haynie doesn't call anyone
> "Putz" or disparage another just because of an opposite opinion. :-)
>
> Traveling is over for a while, now it's time for all the 1x2s to get their
> jollies by calling "civilians" names, explain how they are all so
> superior to ordinary mortals by long tenure in a hobby radio activity.
>
> It's interesting to see what these morsemensches invent for "replies"
> to others. Truly a remarkable talent they exhibit in fabrication! Now if
> they had spent more time in advancing the state of the amateur art
> US hams wouldn't have to look to Europe or Asia for the new amateur
> radio technology. Alas, it is all frozen in the time period when all
those
> 1x2s were first licensed and they keep insisting that all others must
> emulate them.
>
> Beep, beep
>

3923. Re: freeband is not illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 22:14:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umvrk0o3smoo0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51734

Ignorance, yes; stupid, no. Unfortunately, some folks have to learn the
hard way.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:akonqm$ff8$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> One thing about in 'Jim Hampton'....ya just cain't fix stupid.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:umvi6ie551br1b@corp.supernews.com...
> > Of course it isn't a big deal. Or is it? Check this out:
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
> > Are the rules enforced?
> > Can you end up with a fine over $20,000.00?
> > Can you end up with a sentence over 1 year in a federal prison?
> > When you're done checking it out, please come back and tell us your tale
> > again. I enjoy fiction.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >
> > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > news:012dd74980fcb5b4cc7185c12e059ece@xganon.com...
> > > When you compare it to crimes like murder freeband is not a big deal.
If
> > it is not enforced then its not illegal, can't you people get it thru
your
> > thick heads? The FCC do not care anymore and those
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > ---
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3924. Re: Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 22:32:00 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AnSb9.142105$eK6.4286168@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 51735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:e1fffbb2ffc26e7cd61274b29ee2fae0@xganon.com...
> From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> Subject: Re: To Whom It May Concern;
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2001-01-09 19:03:37 PST
>
> >Subject: To Whom It May Concern;
> >From: exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS)
> >Date: 1/9/01 5:22 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20010109182206.13516.00001436@ng-md1.aol.com>
> >
> (snip)
>
> Hope things get better for you, and I sincerely hope that you get your
child
> the help that she needs.
>
> Randy Chapman
>
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> Subject: Re: is small doses of cocaine any good?
> Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> Date: 1998/03/24
>
>
> >I couldn't agree more and remember this is from people who have been
there
> >
> >
>
> Cocaine is nothing but BAD. The people who say they can control IT are
lying to
> themselves, or don't have the money to spend on it. Been there, done that,
got
> the t-shirt and the record to prove it. It started as a small amount,
maybe
> twice a month,circa1985. Then ecalated rapidly to an eighth of an ounce or
more
> a week. I realize this doesn't appear to be alot to people without jobs,
but to
```

> a person with a 8 to 5, safety sensitive job, it is alot of missed sleep.
At
> first, the sex was great, did it more ways than the law allows. But this
> rapidly faded into nothing. The major concern just becomes getting more of
the
> shit. When the supply of powder became harder to locate in this area,
crack was
> always available. So, this became the easiest way for me. Did that for
about 5
> years before being caught in a suviellance camera and getting arrested.
Got 4
> years defferred ajudication, meaning that if I don't get in trouble for
the
> time on probation, I will have no conviction on my record. Just recently
> finished that sucessfully. Actually, in Texas, you can get off probation
by
> doing all your community service(120 hours for me), and doing 1/3 of the
time,
> which I did. Cost of my fun, about $7500 for the lawyer and the probation
fees.
>
>
> You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit. But, now I know the
> white monster is always lurking in the back of my mind, and I cannot
surrender
> to the call.
>
> Incidentally, I did lose one friend I grew up with, to suicide, directly
> related to cocaine. Also, a co-worker aqquired AIDS through the sharing
of
> needles while injecting the shit. That was in the early days of AIDS, when
> everyone thought they would never get it. He is DEAD now.
>
> In closing, the only real advice I have for anyone thinking of trying the
shit
> is.....PLEASE DON'T DO IT! DON'T DO IT FOR ME, THOSE THAT LOVE YOU, YOUR
WIFE,
> HUSBAND, GIRLFRIEND OR BOYFRIEND. IN SHORT, DON'T EVER TRY COKE. IT IS
NOTHING
> BUT A FELONIOUS WASTE OF MONEY.
>
> I can't make it any more simple than that.
>
>
> See Ya, Randy
>

And still, the point?

3925. Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 22:58:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <kMSb9.74$w13.30@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51736

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020827200713.03046.00000195@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <Rxfa9.52$Mo1.4625463@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020825064217.25779.00000176@mb-cb.aol.com...
> >> In article <ak99t6$9im$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> >> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:3nV99.592$B74.42634451@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:ak8jut$1iv$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >> > news:20020823123757.25398.00002787@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >> >> > > >Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
> >> >> > > >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> >> >> > > >Date: 8/20/02 11:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >> >> > > >Message-id: <3D630DA8.85198B0A@ix.netcom.com>
> >> >> > > Of course the questions could be expanded and improved.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > A point you (Jim N2EY) and I have agreed on for many moons.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > As much as we might want various forms of practical tests of one
> >kind
> >> >or
> >> >> > > another, it's extremely unlikely that FCC will ever go along.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I'd go so far to say it is 100% certainty that the FCC
> >> >> > wouldn't go along.
> >> >>
> >> >> Based on what? The FCC hasn't batted an eye at any changes the QPC
or
> >>>
> >> VEC have made.
> >>
> >> Because the QPC and VEC have a pretty good idea what the FCC will
approve
> >and
> >> disapprove.

> >
> >I'm not so sure. I think they have far greater latitude than you think.
>
> On what do you base that?

I would have assumed that substituting a Farnsworth exam for the Part 97 mandated Morse exam would have pushed the boundaries and raised some eyebrows at the FCC. But no. There is far greater latitude that only the QPC and VEC can explore if they choose to do so.

> >> >> The most recent being the change in the code exam from 5 WPM
> >> >> Morse to 13-15 WPM Farnsworth.
>
> >> Incorrect. That method has been in use for years, and it's not the only
> >>test
> >> available. All the VEC did was standardize on it.
> >>
> >> Anybody who wants "straight" 5 wpm Morse can get it for the asking.
> >
> >Providing they know to ask for it.
>
> That's part of the process. The test methods available are no secret.

And the answers to the Extra exam are no secret. So do you assume that all Americans are Extras? OK, that's pretty broad. Lets narrow it down. Do you assume that all prospective amateurs have Extra class knowledge?

Yet it was only last autumn that the ARRL told the world that they had been administering the Morse Exam using Farnsworth at 13-15WPM for the past dozen years.

Yes, yes, its no secret. Hi hi.

> >> >Based on the fact that any "practical" testing always has
> >> >a subjective aspect to it. It just isn't going to happen,
> >> >and the QPC and/or VEC isn't going to ask for testing
> >> >changes that tack the process into subjective interpretations.
> >>
> >> 'zactly. Practical tests, essay questions, even a Morse sending test
> >require a
> >> skilled examiner(s) making subjective judgements. Not on the FCC's
agenda.
> >> The only way it could come about is to prove to the FCC that the lack
of
> >such a
> >> test was causing serious problems for the ARS and other radio services.
> >
> >A sending test is still part of the requirement, so we'll not bother with
> >that scenario.
>
> FCC 'waived' the sending test years ago, for several reasons. You ever
hear of

> any oldtimers passing the receiving test but failing the sending test?

What are the other reasons?

> >> Next thing you'll want, Brian, is an age requirement.
> >
> >How old are you?
>
> Why does it matter? Do you think age requirements are a good idea? If so,
why?

I was just curious if an age requirement might keep you off the air.

> You can look up my age at qrz.com.

I'm not THAT interested.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Brian

3926. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 23:07:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <OUSb9.76$P13.60@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51737

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:akonht$9sg$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0208300936.1ea34d4@posting.google.com...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
> news:<20020829193514.27725.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > >Date: 08/29/02 18:26 Eastern Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0208291426.27c42e4@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > > >Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
> > > >amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
> > > >"free-loader."
> > >
> > > What has the ARRL doen that you consider harmful, Brian? How has
> anything
> > > the ARRL has done in the past or present negatively affected YOUR
> ability to
> > > communicate via Amateur Radio?
> > >
> > > K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, I saw as harmful the ARRL has promoting the Morse/CW exam
> > barrier decades after any other service has seen fit to dispose of it
> > for better methods. I saw as harmful the lack of leadership to the
> > future.
>
> But the leadership ISin control (i.e. selected) by the membership.
> Who's fault is it that few ran for elected positions in the regions
> on an anti-code test agenda? The reality of ARRL
> membership was that the majority DID favor retaining
> code testing...and that's what the ARRL board advanced
> until recently.

I did not, and I was an ARRL member. Must the ARRL react to Polls, or do
they have a leadership role to play?

> > GW and Dick say it is more dangerous to do nothing than to act. I've
> > seen the ARRL sit on their hands waiting for a "consensus" concerning
> > the Morse exam issue, forsaking any leadership role, and instead
> > running polls like Clinton/Gore.
>
> There has never been consensus and probably won't

> be for years to come. In the end, the FCC appears
> to have forced the issue via NPRM98-143.

At least the FCC had vision.

Overall
> comments to that NPRM favored the lowering of
> code testing. Odds are the percent in favor
> of ending all code testing increases daily.

I'm absolutely certain of that.

> More to the point, the majority opinion doesn't
> get their say in the area of license requirements since
> the critical decision is based on public need for
> any specific license requirement. Clearly the FCC
> saw NO public need for any morse testing and stated
> it as such in their R&) on 98-143. The only rational
> that sustained any code tesing was the treaty requirement.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

And the ARRL responded by offering college credit-like courses to make up for the "dumbing-down" of the ARS.

But notice that they haven't offered any Morse/Farnsworth courses.

Why did they defend Morse/Farnsworth testing and were prepared to shove it down our throat - against our will, and now don't even offer a college course on it?

Makes no sense to me.

3927. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 23:09:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <DWSb9.77$Y13.19@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51738

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
news:akonoq$3sc$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Let me wade in folks. As a life member of the ARRL I would like to answer
> the question of what did the ARRL do harmfull that effected me...etc.
>
> In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of many
> active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.
>
> The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF privledges I
> had already earned.
>
> And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental thing I
> have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio. That is the year I resigned
in
> protest.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, that was you and hundreds of thousands of others. The legacy of
inventive licensing has hurt hundreds of thousands since.

3928. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 23:18:26 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <63Tb9.79$F33.54@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51739

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020827140644.15779.00000441@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <f45722ac.0208270814.20ca7350@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >Blend the newcomers in with the Generals and be done with it.
>
> That was the ARRL BoD's idea. FCC said no. Who was lacking in vison on
that
> one?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

In what decade did the ARRL offer that one up? Two decades after it was a
no brainer?

The FCC just didn't want to fool with it twenty years after the fact. It
will just have to wait for S25 to go yogi.

3929. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 23:35:05 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <JiTb9.88$Y53.46@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51740

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020830171300.17339.00000039@mb-bh.aol.com...
> In article <3Wa69.24$Qi7.2071701@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >news:d22b54c3.0208130516.33c2ceb@posting.google.com...
> >> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> >> > In article <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>,
k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
> >> >
> >> > > At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia,
> >> > >then he's a pit viper ready to strike.
> >> >
> >> If he didn't have a web browser and nothing else to do with his life
> >> but surf for quick-study info he wouldn't know squat about ham radio.
> >> That's why he's so torqued about being locked out of the ARRL
> >> members-only site.
> >
> >Why would he be torqued?
> >
> >He's probably logged in under Jim Haynie's ID.
>
> Hello Brian,
>
> No, I don't "log in under Jim Haynie's ID" but I have exchanged reasonable
> e-mail correspondence with him. President Haynie doesn't call anyone
> "Putz" or disparage another just because of an opposite opinion. :-)

And he's and Extra?

> Traveling is over for a while, now it's time for all the 1x2s to get their
> jollies by calling "civilians" names, explain how they are all so
> superior to ordinary mortals by long tenure in a hobby radio activity.
>
> It's interesting to see what these morsemensches invent for "replies"
> to others. Truly a remarkable talent they exhibit in fabrication! Now if
> they had spent more time in advancing the state of the amateur art
> US hams wouldn't have to look to Europe or Asia for the new amateur
> radio technology. Alas, it is all frozen in the time period when all
those

> 1x2s were first licensed and they keep insisting that all others must
> emulate them.

I'll refuse. It's my right.

> Beep, beep

Gonna start calling you, "Road Runner."

3930. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 23:42:03 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <fpTb9.90$i73.26@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51742

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:akomos$1s$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0208300622.17f4a5a3@posting.google.com...
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<akc3lj$6he$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >
> > > Nope, the info was all there even in 1957/58 when I took the novice
> > > and then the general. Many questions in study guides (e.g. AMECO,
> > > etc.) turned out to be almost exactly as they were on the test.
> > >
> > I never used the Bash materials. I did however make heavy use of the
> > ARRL License Guides for all three of my ham exams 1953 thru 1968 or
> > so. The similarites between the questions in the exams and the
> > questions in the License Guides was nothing less than striking,
> > sometimes dead nuts word for word. This game goes back a LONG time and
> > the ARRL invented it at least in ham radio.
> > w3rv
>
> Yep! Best example I can remember is that the study guide
> had about 14 circuit diagrams (full wave rect., colpitts osc., etc.,)
> and each was presented as a question of the type: "Draw a
> schematic of _______. " Of those 14 schematics
> in the study guide, 5 were EXACTLY as stated on
> the test for General I took in 1958... a few years before
> Bash's efforts.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

So it appears that the ARRL was forward thinking... in 1958.

3931. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 23:43:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <sqTb9.91$G03.52@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51743

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020827204025.07600.00004979@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Ryan, FWIW, I keep 50.525 pluged in my FM monitor which is scanning
> >whenever I'm in the house.
>
> Boy I bet you hear alot on 50.525

Oops, thanks Bruce. That should read "50.525."

3932. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-30 23:52:12 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <999cb878bdf28d1c3f74c1bd90f009e9@xganon.com>
Source record: 51741

JJ explain why if the freeband is against the law then why isnt it being used by the so called riteful owners? I have never heard one real station on there. The way i see it if they wanted the band so bad why didnt they defend it? If someone is stupid enough to leave the keys in their car and then not complain when its stolen then they deserve to get it stolen. There is nothing wrong with making use of abandonded frequencies and besides the FCC does not care about freeband its like a parking ticket and no matter how you try to spin it your wrong.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3933. Re: freeband is not illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 00:00:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <akp0to$5om$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51744

No, I meant it just that way. You see if your ignorant then that means
there is a possibility of learning.

This guy is stupid.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:umvrk0o3smoo0d@corp.supernews.com...
> Ignorance, yes; stupid, no. Unfortunately, some folks have to learn the
> hard way.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:akonqm$ff8$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > One thing about in 'Jim Hampton'....ya just cain't fix stupid.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:umvi6ie551br1b@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Of course it isn't a big deal. Or is it? Check this out:
> > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
> > > Are the rules enforced?
> > > Can you end up with a fine over $20,000.00?
> > > Can you end up with a sentence over 1 year in a federal prison?
> > > When you're done checking it out, please come back and tell us your
tale
> > > again. I enjoy fiction.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
> > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > news:012dd74980fcb5b4cc7185c12e059ece@xganon.com...
> > > > When you compare it to crimes like murder freeband is not a big
deal.
> If
> > > it is not enforced then its not illegal, can't you people get it thru
> your
> > > thick heads? The FCC do not care anymore and those

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > ---
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

3934. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 00:07:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D7008A0.5374C98C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51745

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Let me wade in folks. As a life member of the ARRL I would like to answer
> the question of what did the ARRL do harmfull that effected me...etc.
>
> In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of many
> active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.
>
> The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF privledges I
> had already earned.
>
> And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental thing I
> have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio. That is the year I resigned in
> protest.

Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive licensing in 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of same.

Dick

3935. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 00:14:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D700A42.48F8B72A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51746

Jim Hampton wrote:

> I seriously doubt that any of the original 1X2s are still alive

Whoa! I'm still kicking, though not as high as once upon a time. I am the first and only issue of W0EX.
But your point is well taken. 1x2's were not issued for many years beginning sometime in the 20's or early 30's. And the zero district wasn't created until after WW2. I got the call, assigned sequentially by FCC, in the 70's, as you said. It cost me not a dime, nor does it still. NOT a vanity callsign, that is a term which came about as result of vanity license plates for cars. Back then 1x2's were known as "preferred callsigns" since they were shorter.

Dick

3936. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 00:17:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830201755.12980.00000018@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51747

In article <umvr9n1454mn53@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> writes:

>I seriously doubt that any of the original 1X2s are still alive. Some
>obtained those callsigns in the early 70s when the FCC allowed the extra
>class hams access to 1X2s (I had, for a while, N2JH - but let it expire).

I was referring to the 1x2s in here. :-)

>The test is so simple that kids under the age of 10 can pass 'em. All you
>need for that 1X2 now is a couple of buck$, but not much (assuming that one
>is available; otherwise you have to wait for someone to die or abandon the
>callsign).

I am told it is a very complex intellectual task that only a few
select geniuses can pass. :-)

>Geeze, you keep talking about that state of the art PLC
>program - I'm gonna have to fire up the A-B slc500 on my bench and see if I
>can plop a CW receiving/transmitting program into it. Just key a bit (in or
>out) and do some math and send/receive the results out of the serial port.
>;)

I wasn't referring to any "state of the art PLC program."

I was referring to the genius types who claimed that
programmable logic controllers (as used in industrial
process control) are the epitome of programming and
that "all electronics professionals" should "know" all
about them or not be considered "knowledgeable."

Last I looked in an industrial electric equipment catalog
they weren't inexpensive nor small nor something that
every electronics pro should know about. What are the
advantages to using one as compared to a Microchip
PIC?

>ps - A-B plcs are about the most susceptable devices I've run into as far as
>noise, yet they apparently have passed CE mark compliance. Go figure.

I doubt if Allen-Bradley (?) products are a discussion item in
here or whether or not they, or any other PLC, are RF-proof
to work in the presence of an HF transmitter RF field.

I am sure, indeed positive, that some in here will now get busy
and shout down this posting and continue to claim that "all
electronics pros should know all about them." Funny, there
hasn't been a single item about them in RF Design magazine,

nor Microwaves & RF magazine. Those freebie professional
trade publications must be deficient in their knowledge, ey? :-)

3937. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 00:37:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830203750.05970.00000019@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 51551

In article <3D700A42.48F8B72A@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Jim Hampton wrote:
>
>> I seriously doubt that any of the original 1X2s are still alive
>
> Whoa! I'm still kicking, though not as high as once upon a time. I am the
>first and only issue of W0EX.

Marvelous. One of a kind. The mold was broken when you
were "issued." :-)

> But your point is well taken. 1x2's were not issued for many years beginning
>sometime in the 20's or early 30's. And the zero district wasn't created until
>after WW2. I got the call, assigned sequentially by FCC, in the 70's, as you
>said. It cost me not a dime, nor does it still. NOT a vanity callsign, that is
a
>term which came about as result of vanity license plates for cars. Back then
>1x2's were known as "preferred callsigns" since they were shorter.

Okay, everyone will put you into the "no vanity" category. :-)

Beep, beep.

3938. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 00:37:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830203751.05970.00000020@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 51552

In article <JiTb9.88$Y53.46@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020830171300.17339.00000039@mb-bh.aol.com...
>> In article <3Wa69.24$Qi7.2071701@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
>Burke"
>> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:d22b54c3.0208130516.33c2ceb@posting.google.com...
>> >> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >news:<20020812203659.26146.00000413@mb-ct.aol.com>...
>> >> > In article <20020812151720.09242.00003128@mb-mf.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> > Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>> >> >
>> >> > > At first he was Mr. Encyclopedia,
>> >> > >then he's a pit viper ready to strike.
>> >> >
>> >> If he didn't have a web browser and nothing else to do with his life
>> >> but surf for quick-study info he wouldn't know squat about ham radio.
>> >> That's why he's so torqued about being locked out of the ARRL
>> >> members-only site.
>> >
>> >Why would he be torqued?
>> >
>> >He's probably logged in under Jim Haynie's ID.
>>
>> Hello Brian,
>>
>> No, I don't "log in under Jim Haynie's ID" but I have exchanged reasonable
>> e-mail correspondence with him. President Haynie doesn't call anyone
>> "Putz" or disparage another just because of an opposite opinion. :-)
>
>And he's and Extra?

He's a former salesman. :-)

>> Traveling is over for a while, now it's time for all the 1x2s to get their
>> jollies by calling "civilians" names, explain how they are all so
>> superior to ordinary mortals by long tenure in a hobby radio activity.
>>
>> It's interesting to see what these morsemensches invent for "replies"

>> to others. Truly a remarkable talent they exhibit in fabrication! Now if
>> they had spent more time in advancing the state of the amateur art
>> US hams wouldn't have to look to Europe or Asia for the new amateur
>> radio technology. Alas, it is all frozen in the time period when all those
>> 1x2s were first licensed and they keep insisting that all others must
>> emulate them.
>
>I'll refuse. It's my right.

Ah, but you will be called names, be classified as stupid and
ignorant, not worthy of the company of illustrious, noble hams
who never do anything wrong. :-)

It is better to yield, go with the flow, follow the program and not
upset the self-styled leaders of the pack. That way they aren't
upset and they can retain their self-important image of expertise.

>> Beep, beep
>
>Gonna start calling you, "Road Runner."

Neither Wily Coyote nor the self-described piranha types in
here have done any damage yet. 2000 miles of highway
haven't done it, either. :-)

Beep, beep.

3939. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 00:43:43 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830204343.07059.00000438@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 51553

>Oops, thanks Bruce. That should read "50.525."
>

It figures you done the correct Freq either, I bet if he said Channel 19 you would have understood.

3940. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 01:14:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830211408.10667.00000098@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 51554

In article <akp19v4224l@enews1.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>Okay... heres a challenge. Name these "good things." Be specific and
>please do not generalize. Maybe your might be the first person to actually
>convince me to join.

<Phil Kane wrote...>

>> There are some folks who believe that they'rs entitled to benefit
>> from the good things which accrue to all amateurs as a result of the
>> League's efforts without having to be a member.
>>
>> We call them "free-loaders".
>> ---
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>> ARRL Life Member

Phil;

The League has strongly influenced getting into amateur radio in
the USA for years. In so doing they have set the stage (so to
speak) to almost force the retention of the code test.

There are an untold number of interested citizens who might like
to try amateur radio but just cannot see the necessity for that
beloved code test. Some of those are radio professionals with
some experience in radio...other than myself.

ARRL won't allow voting membership to those without a license
so it has been a case of always accepting the entry standards
as established (and maintained by influence). That has resulted
in US amateur radio as being almost the only radio service
requiring morse code skill to pass a test to get into ham radio...
and thus meet voting membership privileges of ARRL.

I'm wondering how in the heck non-voting non-members can
possibly be called "free-loaders?"

ARRL strongly influenced the standards for the ARS on the
basis of the movers and shakers within the League. Until the
advent of the Internet the FCC didn't have much input except
from ARRL. Non-members of ARRL just didn't have much say
in the matter, did they?

Wonderful circular pre-defined "free-loading" there, counselor.

Beep, beep.

3941. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 01:22:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3D704458.1040009@adelphia.net>
Source record: 51555

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3D594F7D.7050702@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>Brian Kelly wrote:
>>
>>
>>>You're taking him far too seriously Jim, he's just another USENET
>>>dilettante who knows how to stir up controversy for his own
>>>entertainment and for no other reason. There's a jillion Lennys out
>>>there in venues beyond ham radio. Basically he's snookering you and
>>>the others who are serious abt ham radio into wasting your time by
>>>entertaining him, he's punching buttons for jollies and he knows the
>>>buttons. He's using you against yourself. He's a zero, simply ignore
>>>him.
>>>
>> And yet..... here we are, feeding the troll, very well indeed.
>>Argument is pretty futile in this case, and a well placed filter takes
>>care of that problem. And I can guarantee that if the troll doesn't get
>>fed, the troll goes to more fertile feeding grounds. This group is what
>>we make of it. Do we want to have it sound like a group of foul mouthed
>>buffoons, or foolishly amateur debaters who pounce on misspellings to
>>try to invalidate another's argument, or a place that might be
>>referenced by the people running the ARS show? It's our collective choice.
>>
>
> If you want an in-bred group of boosters giving each other high-
> fives over marvelous, noble accomplishments in a "service," then
> by all means close the newsgroup via a moderator.

No, I like intelligent discourse. I really enjoy it when a person can
articulate an opposing viewpoint in a manner that is not insulting, and
can state their ideas in a manner that can make a person think.

> Allow only
> those who have group-think mentality and believers in some
> faith of myth and legends. That way all those who have a certain
> mindset will be happy and undisturbed.

That would be pointless in a group devoted to policy.

> In the meantime, controversial subjects remain controversial and
> the regulators listen to all citizens, licensed or not.

Let's have an example:

Brian Burke and I have many differences in opinion. But we can maintain a civil tone regardless. You are an intelligent person. Observe, study, learn.

DO you wish to convince?
DO you wish to play a game of argue with the text on your CRT screen?
D0 you want to just argue?

It is your choice. The evidence that I have seen so far is that you just like to argue, and you like to do it in an insulting manner, which if you really do want to convince people that your position is correct, is quite detrimental to your purpose.

Of course, if you just want to argue and anger people, keep it up, you're doing a great job. Of course, that puts you in the same league as some of the CB'ers in the group who post the nasty notes to each other.

It is your choice, kind sir. If you think I'm wrong, you know how to prove it! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3942. Re: Best CW Band For Portable QRP Work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 01:29:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830212923.03036.00000057@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 51556

In article <b7d1465c.0208260437.387a267b@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>You know what also looks damn good? The Ten-Tec Argonaut V.

If you're on a budget, look for a TT Argosy (5 or 50 watts, switch selectable, compact, 80/40/30/15/10 meters). For a little more money and size, there's the TT Corsair 2.

>I'm a
>sucker for analog S/RF meters.

Uh-oh.

>I know it sounds silly, but There's
>something about an analog meter as opposed to the popular digital
>segmented-bar meters. It's more than just aesthetic, kinda hard to
>explain. Hope you, and everybody else understand(s)?

Start down that road, Bert, and pretty soon you'll be complaining about how the controls and displays on newer rigs are too tiny. Then you'll start preferring black crackle to shiny plastic. Pretty soon you'll want the thing to glow in the dark....;-)

Actually there's an eronomic factor. A real analog meter gives you some idea of an indication even if the needle is bouncing around, or you haven't focused the old Mark 1 eyeballs on it perfectly.

> I also like the
>fact that you can download new Flash ROM instructions to cover any new
>band allocations. The Jupiter's nice too, but that's a definite base
>where the Argonaut V is small and light enough for portable work. I'd
>chose it over the FT-817 in a heartbeat. I kinda have this new "buy
>American" attitude now. More so than before.

In all my years as a ham, (35) I've never owned a ham rig that wasn't Made In The USA.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3943. Re: freeband is not illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 01:47:37 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <86bc219f68b6e70293ea69a20e42ced3@xganon.com>
Source record: 51557

Although one will also be ignorant if one is stupid because, being stupid,
one will never have any possibility of learning and is therefore condemned
to lifelong ignorance. Also, stupidity provides a reasonable excuse for ignornace although
this may not be accepted in a Court of Law. There is a high
probability of many stupid truckdriver freebanders on 11m and 10m of being fined.

Hey NTI, we never hear you on 75 meters anymore. No great loss. Was that the result of
choice, ignornace or stupidity HA HA HA

anonanonanonanon

No, I meant it just that way. You see if your ignorant then that means
there is a possibility of learning.

This guy is stupid.

Dan/W4NTI

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3944. Re: "...one step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 02:04:01 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bell.net>
Message-ID: <mqVb9.110370$%v4.5712864@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51558

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:tCa69.23$p87.1459397@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D58F8DB.F1BD4F88@earthlink.net...
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D58612F.82BE66D7@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3D57988F.B96A099@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You for starters since you brought up the fact that you could
> visit
> > > the
> > > > > > PTT and that the American Embassy was closed. What did the
> American
> > > > > > Embassy's closure have to do with amateur radio licensing in
> Somalia?
> > > > > >
> > > > > That there was no one available locally to grease the skids as in
> all of
> > > > > your dxpeditions.
> > > > >
> > > > Grease the skids as in "I drove to the PTT, filled out an
application
> > > > and paid the license fee"? You really are beginning to grasp at
> straws,
> > > > Brian. You bring up the fact that the embassy was closed as if it
had
> > > > some bearing on obtaining an amateur radio license in Somalia. Then
> you
> > > > act as if mentioning the American Embassy was something I did. Now
> > > > you've added a sinister comment which is without merit. All of my
> > > > operations were accepted for DXCC credit.
> > > > I have all of my licenses from various countries. What'd yours from
> > > > Somalia say?
> >
> > I can only wonder how you got licensed in countries that have no
native
> > amateur population.

> > >
> > > Must have been your charming personality.
> >
> > So your response is suspicion of my trips to a host country PTT to apply
> > for a license? Lets see: Step One--Fill out application. Step One
> > A--choose callsign. Step Two--Pay fee for license. Step Three--Wait for
> > license to arrive.
> >
> > The only variation on these steps I ever encountered was in Sierra Leone
> > where there were certainly host country nationals who were hams. There
> > I had to be interviewed by an eleven person board which included
> > representatives of the President's office and the Sierra Leonean
> > military.
>
> Was this meeting in a government office, a restuarant, or was it at a
State
> Department mixer?
>
> Dave, why would the military have any interest or say in your amateur
> activities? I understood that up until last Friday, the military has no
> authority over amateur radio whatsoever. Was your operation in Sierra
Leone
> before or after last Friday?
>
> > Once again, all of my operations have been accepted for DXCC credit. I
> > have original licenses from all spots in my possession. Tell us what
> > your authorization to operate from Somalia says.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I've told you. My commander granted me permission. Just like what the
ARRL
> web site states. But maybe, as you've pointed out, since the ARRL spelled
> it wrong it might not count.

Hey Brian, I obtained a call sign in the Philippines (KR4AJ/DU7) and I had to fill out forms in quadruplicate and pay a fee. Then I had to interview with a deputy to their chief of communications in a government office. The deputy wore a military-style uniform. There were military guards at the Communications office entrance in Cebu city. I see nothing out of order with what K8MN says. FWW, the Philippines is a scattering of Islands and knowledge of Morse cord is known fairly well amongst the population because they receive maritime studies in their education. They do not waste their knowledge, either. Teenagers use it with their cell phones to send low-cost messages to each other. You would probably hate it there with all that beeping going on in the malls and not understanding what was going on. However, you wouldn't hate it too much because they do not seem to use farnse. Forty meters ham bands seemsto be all CW during the day, beeping away in the Cebuano dialect. I love it. CW heaven! In the USA, we just spend money and expensive rice boxes and linear amps so that our SSB can get

through. Which type of linear do you use, Brian?

73 de KR4AJ

3945. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 02:06:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D700571.678B90BD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51559

xgaganon wrote:
>
> JJ explain why if the freeband is against the law then why isnt it > being used by the so called riteful owners? I have never heard one real > station on there. The way i see it if they wanted the band so bad why > didnt they defend it?

If I have to explain it to you then you really are more stupid
than I imagined. What do you mean "if the freeband is against the
law". It is against the law moron. You do not have a license or
permit to use those frequencies. You cannot transmit on any
frequency you want to just because you don't hear anyone using
those frequencies. The frequencies between channel 40 and 28.0 you
call the freeband are allocated to other services and it matters
not one whit if they are being used are not. You are not
authorized to use those frequencies. How do you know it isn't
being used, just because you don't hear anyone there doesn't mean
it may not be in use. Do you monitor those frequencies 24/7?

> If someone is stupid enough to leave the keys in their car and then not > complain when its stolen then they deserve to get it stolen.

There you go describing yourself. BS. You have never accidentally
left your keys in the car? And if you did and someone stole it you
would be one of the loudest complainers to get the sorry SOB that
would do such a thing. I should be able to leave the keys in my
car anytime and not expect to get it stolen, the problem is there
are too many people like you who would take it just because they
can, so we have to have laws against auto theft and I have to lock
my car as a precaution, all because of idiots who think like you.
Same attitude you have about the frequencies outside of the cb
band. Just because you see something that it may appear someone
else isn't using does not give you the right to take it. You sound
so typical of the illegal cber.

> There is nothing wrong with making use of abandonded frequencies

According to your thinking maybe, but it is still illegal. Illegal
is illegal no matter how you try to rationalize it.

> and besides the FCC does not care about freeband its like a parking > ticket and no matter how you try to spin it your wrong.

How do you know they don't, have you spoken directly with the FCC
and they told you in person they don't care about those
frequencies? If they don't care about it, then why have they
issued NALs against some "freeband" operators?

And no matter how you spin it, it is illegal. Why are you such a chicken chicken bander you have to hide behind an anonymous remailer?

3946. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 02:06:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830220648.04751.00000457@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51561

In article <d22b54c3.0208300622.17f4a5a3@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>I never used the Bash materials.

I've never even seen them.

>I did however make heavy use of the
>ARRL License Guides for all three of my ham exams 1953 thru 1968 or
>so. The similarites between the questions in the exams and the
>questions in the License Guides was nothing less than striking,
>sometimes dead nuts word for word.

There's a good reason for that. See below.

> This game goes back a LONG time and
>the ARRL invented it at least in ham radio.

No, they didn't.

The study guides in the old ARRL License Manuals (at least as far back as 1948) were not written by ARRL folks. They are just reprints of the FCC study guides, and are identified as such in the LMs. The study guides stayed in essay format long after the tests became 100% multiple choice.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3947. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 02:06:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830220649.04751.00000458@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51560

In article <umvr9n1454mn53@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> writes:

>I seriously doubt that any of the original 1X2s are still alive.

I'm the original holder of N2EY. Sequentially issued in 1977, when I moved from Upper Darby PA to Newark, NY. (Wayne County). No fee.

>Some
>obtained those callsigns in the early 70s when the FCC allowed the extra
>class hams access to 1X2s (I had, for a while, N2JH - but let it expire).

That goes back to the mid 1960s.

>The test is so simple that kids under the age of 10 can pass 'em.

The record, so far as I know, is an 8 year old. Third grade. And that was the old pre-restructuring 20 wpm 5 written test Extra.

>All you
>need for that 1X2 now is a couple of buck$, but not much (assuming that one
>is available; otherwise you have to wait for someone to die or abandon the
>callsign).

A couple of bucks and a valid Amateur Extra license.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3948. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 02:11:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D70258E.5C646211@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51562

Brian wrote:

> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020829193514.27725.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 08/29/02 18:26 Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0208291426.27c42e4@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >
> > >Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
> > >amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
> > >"free-loader."
> >
> > What has the ARRL doen that you consider harmful, Brian? How has anything
> > the ARRL has done in the past or present negatively affected YOUR ability to
> > communicate via Amateur Radio?
> >
> > K4YZ
>
> Steve, I saw as harmful the ARRL has promoting the Morse/CW exam
> barrier decades after any other service has seen fit to dispose of it
> for better methods. I saw as harmful the lack of leadership to the
> future.
>

Translated: They didn't agree with my juvenile assessment of the situation.

>
> GW and Dick say it is more dangerous to do nothing than to act.

Got it bassackwards yet again. YOU 're the dude who did nothing. Nothing but complain, that is.

3949. Re: Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 02:27:42 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301827.7652615c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

As I see it, chapwoman, the point is that you are a criminal in the eyes of Texas, and in teh eyes of the federal government as well. Yup, a living and breathing profile of a keyclown right here. A superb example.

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:<AnSb9.142105$eK6.4286168@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:e1fffbb2ffc26e7cd61274b29ee2fae0@xganon.com...
> > From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> > Subject: Re: To Whom It May Concern;
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > Date: 2001-01-09 19:03:37 PST
> >
> > >Subject: To Whom It May Concern;
> > >From: exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS)
> > >Date: 1/9/01 5:22 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20010109182206.13516.00001436@ng-md1.aol.com>
> > >
> > (snip)
> >
> > Hope things get better for you, and I sincerely hope that you get your
> child
> > the help that she needs.
> >
> > Randy Chapman
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> > Subject: Re: is small doses of cocaine any good?
> > Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> > Date: 1998/03/24
> >
> >
> > >I couldn't agree more and remember this is from people who have been
> there
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Cocaine is nothing but BAD. The people who say they can control IT are
> lying to
> > themselves, or don't have the money to spend on it. Been there, done that,

> got
> > the t-shirt and the record to prove it. It started as a small amount,
> maybe
> > twice a month,circa1985. Then ecalated rapidly to an eighth of an ounce or
> more
> > a week. I realize this doesn't appear to be alot to people without jobs,
> but to
> > a person with a 8 to 5, safety sensitive job, it is alot of missed sleep.
> At
> > first, the sex was great, did it more ways than the law allows. But this
> > rapidly faded into nothing. The major concern just becomes getting more of
> the
> > shit. When the supply of powder became harder to locate in this area,
> crack was
> > always available. So, this became the easiest way for me. Did that for
> about 5
> > years before being caught in a suviellance camera and getting arrested.
> Got 4
> > years defferred ajudication, meaning that if I don't get in trouble for
> the
> > time on probation, I will have no conviction on my record. Just recently
> > finished that sucessfully. Actually, in Texas, you can get off probation
> by
> > doing all your community service(120 hours for me), and doing 1/3 of the
> time,
> > which I did. Cost of my fun, about $7500 for the lawyer and the probation
> fees.
> >
> >
> > You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit. But, now I know the
> > white monster is always lurking in the back of my mind, and I cannot
> surrender
> > to the call.
> >
> > Incidentally, I did lose one friend I grew up with, to suicide, directly
> > related to cocaine. Also, a co-worker aqquired AIDS through the sharing
> of
> > needles while injecting the shit. That was in the early days of AIDS, when
> > everyone thought they would never get it. He is DEAD now.
> >
> > In closing, the only real advice I have for anyone thinking of trying the
> shit
> > is.....PLEASE DON'T DO IT! DON'T DO IT FOR ME, THOSE THAT LOVE YOU, YOUR
> WIFE,
> > HUSBAND, GIRLFRIEND OR BOYFRIEND. IN SHORT, DON'T EVER TRY COKE. IT IS
> NOTHING
> > BUT A FELONIOUS WASTE OF MONEY.
> >
> > I can't make it any more simple than that.
> >

> >
> > See Ya, Randy
> >
>
> And still, the point?

3950. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 03:24:01 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <76c1e312f9b8cd6767654a48d445e015@xganon.com>
Source record: 51564

you guys run away when its anything less than 5/9. we freebanders are hammering out to the pacific long into the night while ten meters is silent. dont you think the arrl and the FCC see we are making better use of the bands? most of these dick head hams in here are no codes, you guys would be better off picking a 6 meter freq and hoping for whats left of the cycle to do some magic if you want to work most of these idiots. thats why the FCC not only dont bust freebanders but they look to us as the future of the hobby. look at Rain report they are all hams being popped. Ham is dieing and nocodes like JJ can only sit and bitch like fat old men.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3951. Re: Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 03:28:48 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208301928.20cd50fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<AnSb9.142105$eK6.4286168@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:e1fffbb2ffc26e7cd61274b29ee2fae0@xganon.com...
> > From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> > Subject: Re: To Whom It May Concern;
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > Date: 2001-01-09 19:03:37 PST
> >
> > >Subject: To Whom It May Concern;
> > >From: exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS)
> > >Date: 1/9/01 5:22 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20010109182206.13516.00001436@ng-md1.aol.com>
> > >
> > (snip)
> >
> > Hope things get better for you, and I sincerely hope that you get your
> child
> > the help that she needs.
> >
> > Randy Chapman
> >
> > --
> >
> > From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> > Subject: Re: is small doses of cocaine any good?
> > Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> > Date: 1998/03/24
> >
> >
> > >I couldn't agree more and remember this is from people who have been
> there
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Cocaine is nothing but BAD. The people who say they can control IT are
> lying to
> > themselves, or don't have the money to spend on it. Been there, done that,
> got
> > the t-shirt and the record to prove it. It started as a small amount,
> maybe
> > twice a month,circa1985. Then ecalated rapidly to an eighth of an ounce or
> more

> > a week. I realize this doesn't appear to be alot to people without jobs,
> but to
> > a person with a 8 to 5, safety sensitive job, it is alot of missed sleep.
> At
> > first, the sex was great, did it more ways than the law allows. But this
> > rapidly faded into nothing. The major concern just becomes getting more of
> the
> > shit. When the supply of powder became harder to locate in this area,
> crack was
> > always available. So, this became the easiest way for me. Did that for
> about 5
> > years before being caught in a suviellance camera and getting arrested.
> Got 4
> > years defferred ajudication, meaning that if I don't get in trouble for
> the
> > time on probation, I will have no conviction on my record. Just recently
> > finished that sucessfully. Actually, in Texas, you can get off probation
> by
> > doing all your community service(120 hours for me), and doing 1/3 of the
> time,
> > which I did. Cost of my fun, about $7500 for the lawyer and the probation
> fees.
> >
> >
> > You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit. But, now I know the
> > white monster is always lurking in the back of my mind, and I cannot
> surrender
> > to the call.
> >
> > Incidentally, I did lose one friend I grew up with, to suicide, directly
> > related to cocaine. Also, a co-worker aqquired AIDS through the sharing
> of
> > needles while injecting the shit. That was in the early days of AIDS, when
> > everyone thought they would never get it. He is DEAD now.
> >
> > In closing, the only real advice I have for anyone thinking of trying the
> shit
> > is.....PLEASE DON'T DO IT! DON'T DO IT FOR ME, THOSE THAT LOVE YOU, YOUR
> WIFE,
> > HUSBAND, GIRLFRIEND OR BOYFRIEND. IN SHORT, DON'T EVER TRY COKE. IT IS
> NOTHING
> > BUT A FELONIOUS WASTE OF MONEY.
> >
> > I can't make it any more simple than that.
> >
> >
> > See Ya, Randy
> >
>
> And still, the point?

the point...the point randy is that you make too much sense and you are a ham and you ask questions that the mind numbed robot, aka, comcast creep, doesn't want to be put into the position to answer.....another words his little crusade is full of holes and he knows it.....

3952. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 03:32:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <un0e82bpjnp0c0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51566

No doubt about it, I made a small error on this one. I should have said 1x2 callsigns starting with "W" or "K" - and then excluded some of the later call districts! As far as the 1X2 access, I'm not sure about the date at all. I obtained WA3RJX when I moved to Pennsylvania after my 4 years of duty in the US Navy. When I moved back to New York, the callsigns were available. It was at that point I selected N2JH (which, as I mentioned before, I let expire sometime in the very early 80s). Heck, we should start something. Maybe we could get 1X1 calls (currently reserved for special event stations) available for a more immodest fee (say $1000 for a 10 year term). Myself, I'd like to get the call "W". Just "W". I'll accept donations for this one as I'm sure it will be expensive. Just in case the FCC doesn't accept this idea, I can assure you that any and all donations will be put in the "M for M" fund (money for me).
;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3953. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 03:50:30 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1on6j6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51567

On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 23:43:20 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:

>
>"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020827204025.07600.00004979@mb-ba.aol.com...
>> >Ryan, FWIW, I keep 50.525 pluged in my FM monitor which is scanning
>> >whenever I'm in the house.
>>
>> Boy I bet you hear alot on 50.525
>
>Oops, thanks Bruce. That should read "50.525."

To paraphrade beloved Professor Yang of MIT "This 50.525 is not
same as THAT 50.525. I do that to kon-fuze you...."

, Please 'splain the difference between the several 50.525s in the
above exchange in English, a language that kon-fuzed the dear
professor greatly.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3954. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 03:50:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h1onl37.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51568

On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 16:24:49 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of many
>active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.
>
>The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF privledges I
>had already earned.
>
>And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental thing I
>have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio.

But you agreed to that action in advance when you applied for a
license.

Remember the fine print on the license application just above your
signature that said that you waive any claim to the use of amy
particulat frequemcy or to the ether {sic] in general because of prior
use or otherwise as against the regulatory power of the government?

>That is the year I resigned in protest.

Did that "protest: do you any good?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ARRL Life Member

3955. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 03:57:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D703E9B.BB18AD2E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51569

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3D6EB49D.B062472D@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dick, just because you were AWOL on the Novice subbands...
> >
> > Yeh, just like Dave Heil was in violation for working foreign stations who showed up in
Dave's LEGAL
> > allocations.
>
> Dick, why do you keep bringing this up? I'm sure that Dave would like
> to see the end of it. Just leave it alone.

It doesn't bother Dave in the least. I'm not the one who looks silly
after each exchange with you, Brian. We can even go back to the
discussion on your operation from Somalia if you like.

Dave K8MN

3956. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 04:17:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D70240B.CDBABA59@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51570

cowardly anonymized xgagnon wrote:
>
> you guys run away when its anything less than 5/9. we freebanders are hammering
> out to the pacific long into the night while ten meters is silent. dont you think the arrl and the FCC see we are making better use of the bands? most of these dick head hams in here are no codes, you guys would be better off picking a 6 meter freq and hoping for whats left of the cycle to do some magic if you want to work most of these idiots. thats why the FCC not only dont bust freebanders but they look to us as the future of the hobby. look at Rain report they are all hams being popped. Ham is dieing and nocodes like JJ can only sit and bitch like fat old men.

Sorry to disappoint you again agagnon, anonymized illegal operator coward, but I was licensed long before no-code was ever thought of and sat in front of an FCC examiner to take my test. Probably even before you mama was cleaning out your dirty diapers. At least the no-codes have a license, more than you can accomplish.

3957. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 04:21:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831002138.17318.00000036@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 51571

In article <3D704458.1040009@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <3D594F7D.7050702@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> writes:
>>
>>>Brian Kelly wrote:
>>>
>>>>You're taking him far too seriously Jim, he's just another USENET
>>>>dilettante who knows how to stir up controversy for his own
>>>>entertainment and for no other reason. There's a jillion Lennys out
>>>>there in venues beyond ham radio. Basically he's snookering you and
>>>>the others who are serious abt ham radio into wasting your time by
>>>>entertaining him, he's punching buttons for jollies and he knows the
>>>>buttons. He's using you against yourself. He's a zero, simply ignore
>>>>him.
>>>>
>>> And yet..... here we are, feeding the troll, very well indeed.
>>>Argument is pretty futile in this case, and a well placed filter takes
>>>care of that problem. And I can guarantee that if the troll doesn't get
>>>fed, the troll goes to more fertile feeding grounds. This group is what
>>>we make of it. Do we want to have it sound like a group of foul mouthed
>>>buffoons, or foolishly amateur debaters who pounce on misspellings to
>>>try to invalidate another's argument, or a place that might be
>>>referenced by the people running the ARS show? It's our collective choice.
>>
>> If you want an in-bred group of boosters giving each other high-
>> fives over marvelous, noble accomplishments in a "service," then
>> by all means close the newsgroup via a moderator.
>
> No, I like intelligent discourse. I really enjoy it when a person can
>articulate an opposing viewpoint in a manner that is not insulting, and
>can state their ideas in a manner that can make a person think.

Sorry, but I disagree based on years of seeing the first of the
message exchanges on the ARPANET, then USENET before
the Internet was open to the public.

There is always a group which has preconceived ideas of "right"
and "wrong," mainly that their personal viewpoints are the only
ones "right" and any opposition is "wrong." They tend to come
unglued quickly and resort to the primitive. :-)

In here there is a group of regulars who are devout believers of
ARRL, that the League can do no wrong. That's a preset "think"
attitude since they "think" only in the jingoism of the League's
output. Questioning anything of ARRL output is automatically
a sentence of heaped abuse on anyone daring to say anything
negative against the League. That isn't intellectualism, it's just
cultism and the adoption of amateur radio as some sort of life-
style. That kills "discussion" right there.

ARRL output is automatically a "high-fives" area of self-congratulation
and the believers and cultists should really confine themselves to
ARRL website and editions of QST. Quite often this newsgroup
turns into an "us against them" non-debate with name-calling,
taunting, and outright insults at anyone daring to say the least little
negativism to their sacred viewpoints. This happens in other news-
groups concerning different subject matter, is a part of the newsgroup
environment that will not change.

>> Allow only
>> those who have group-think mentality and believers in some
>> faith of myth and legends. That way all those who have a certain
>> mindset will be happy and undisturbed.
>
> That would be pointless in a group devoted to policy.
>
No, it is a way of preserving peace and tranquility. With everyone
of the same sect/belief/viewpoint, there is little discord and that
only over minor matters.

Any open public-access forum is bound to have discord from time
to time. It's the environment of computer-modem communications
and the time-delayed interfacing of human beings. It's been that
way since ARPANET on through BBSs and BBS nets to USENET.

In a way, it is strong Darwinism in full force. The strong, or rather
those that are acquainted with the environment, will survive. The
weak may adopt bombast and childish activity or just quit access.
Most who can't take the environment will quit.

A moderated newsgroup insures that the "weak" will not survive
long. A closed newsgroup insures a population which all get
along...but that leads quickly to inbreeding of "think" into certain
ways.

> Brian Burke and I have many differences in opinion. But we can maintain
a
>civil tone regardless. You are an intelligent person. Observe, study, learn.

Been there, done that, have recycled lots of T-shirts.

What makes you think your own words are still civil? As you go on
in this message, you are sounding somewhat uncivil.

> DO you wish to convince?

How does one do that among cultists and believers? Not practical.

> DO you wish to play a game of argue with the text on your CRT screen?

Not hardly. I have a better sense of the unseen readers than most of this newsgroup's inhabitants. That comes from a lot of computer-modem communications experience and meeting many of the communicators face-to-face in a social environment for 18 years.

There's an even stronger identification between screen and person when one becomes a sysop on a BBS.

> D0 you want to just argue?

I'm just making statements based on experience. YOU are pressing the argument here. :-)

Many policy issues are controversial. Controversy is not pleasant and can lead to all sorts of nasty things happening.

> It is your choice. The evidence that I have seen so far is that you just
>like to argue, and you like to do it in an insulting manner, which if
>you really do want to convince people that your position is correct, is
>quite detrimental to your purpose.

In other words, you want me to shut up. :-)

If I am "supposed" to endure a series of personal insults and yet be gentlemanly, then you have a strange way of requesting it.

The only result of such acquiesence is usually taken for a weakness, timidity. It doesn't convince the one who is doing the personal insulting. It doesn't stop the insults. Those neo-sociopaths will continue with however they perceive is weak. It lets them take out their daily frustrations on someone unknown to them except for words on a screen.

In a strange way, that may be beneficial to the insulter, directing their anger to some object. It's a way for them to let off steam without physical danger. On the other hand, it can get out of hand as witness one pointless little diatribe done on an AOL homepage. [short-lived, but rather vicious]

> Of course, if you just want to argue and anger people, keep it up, you're
>doing a great job.

Anyone will anger those whose minds are closed to discussion and oppose the closed-mind ones' viewpoints.

What you don't recognize is that there are a great number of readers out there who never post anything in public. They are influenced by different viewpoints and may start to think and take action. That's fine by me regardless of their conclusions.

I wouldn't hope to change minds of those who are cultists,
believers, life-stylers, or just of closed minds.

>Of course, that puts you in the same league as some
>of the CB'ers in the group who post the nasty notes to each other.

I've heard 44 years of the "evils" of CB from code-loving long-
timers who have to have federal licenses for everything. It is
nothing new. Please try something original.

It doesn't "put me" in any sort of "league." That is your own
personal interpretation. Tell us why YOUR interpretation is the
only "correct" one and mine is "wrong." Go for it.

> It is your choice, kind sir. If you think I'm wrong, you know how to
>prove it! ;^)

What "proof" has been presented? These are just objective
statements. Cultists, believers, life-stylers in amateur radio
won't be convinced of anything. ARRL has set their minds
already even though those won't admit to it. They will
immediately launch some diatribe of their own saying that
I am "mistaken," "wrong," or just plain undesireable. :-)

What you seem to object strongly to is anyone who has strong
resolve and fortitude to challenge the status quo and closed
mindsets of the cultists, believers, and life-stylers. It is for just
such persons that I suggested a closed, moderated newsgroup
to preserve the peace and harmony of group-think.

You will never have group-think in a public-access newsgroup.

Think on that, group.

Beep, beep.

3958. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 06:35:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208302235.7d15f8a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51572

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20020830220648.04751.00000457@mb-mw.aol.com>...
>
> The study guides in the old ARRL License Manuals (at least as far back as 1948)
> were not written by ARRL folks. They are just reprints of the FCC study guides,
> and are identified as such in the LMs. The study guides stayed in essay format
> long after the tests became 100% multiple choice.
>
It's been at least 34 years and a helluva lotta water over the dam ago
since I've even seen an LM but as I recall the LMs did have
multiple-guess questions as did the exams. The LM posed the question,
then laid out four (?) possible answers to ponder then told ya which
one was correct. I recall that the wrong answers in the LM also often
showed up in the exams. Or something, ancient trivia at this point.
Whatever the format actually was or who done what the LMs worked quite
well as far as prepping for the exams were concerned which was all
that really mattered. And nobody had to trudge thru anywhere near as
many questions as I understand the current question pools contain.
Novice to Extra all in one skinny ARRL book, worked for everybody.
>
>
Anybody who thinks that any of this Q&A "stuff" is unique to FCC radio
licensing oughta see the detailed Q&As ya can buy to prep for the
state Professional Engineer's exams.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3959. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 08:15:57 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <KK_b9.6066$FJ1.502897@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51573

"Richard Cranium" wrote in part ...

"And while you're listening, try 14.313! It's still a circus, but the alleged hams won't admit it."

Go to 14.058 and tell me what you hear? (if you can) I'm sick of people like you telling me what a Ham should or shouldn't be. Stick to what you know about Amateur Radio -- nothing.

Arnie -
KT4ST

3960. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 08:52:48 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <ih%b9.6887$FJ1.506452@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Horace T Einsworth" wrote ...

"I saw you at the last Hamfest I went to. Your belly hung down over your belt buckle, completely covering it. you hadn't shaved in days. your glasses were like the bottom of Coke bottles and you stunk from not having bathed in days. Most prominet though, you had your ham call letters on your hat, shirt, name tag, six places on your vehicle, and you were handing out qsl cards even to people who didn't want them. Then you waddled over to the snack bar for the fifth time in the last three hours, where you stuffed greasy nachos down your mouth, while cheese dribbled all over your filthy shirt. Yup, definitely saw you at that Hamfest, a "typical" attendee at any hamfest you go to these days!!!!"

I was also at that Hamfest. You might have seen me there. I'm a 45 year old Extra and I don't have a fat belly (as a matter of fact, I have a very flat one) I guess that's because I play tennis and golf (to an 8 handicap). I try to stay away from fast food as much as possible, but I do enjoy the occasional chili-dog or hamburger and fries. I usually where a hat, but it doesn't have my callsign on it , it says Titleist. Clothing?, usually slacks and a nice Greg Norman golf shirt with Bass or Rockports. (which probably cost more than your entire wardrobe) But don't despair, maybe one day, you too can be a typical Ham. You see, good Ham equipment (like a good set of clubs) isn't cheap. (like you) I would say that the average Ham has thousands of dollars worth of equipment in their shack (even if they built most of it) To say nothing of the time and money spent learning their skills. Most of the Hams that I know take great pride in their personal appearance. This includes their home, vehicle, and station. Unfortunately, I can't say the same for most of the CBrs I've seen. (like you) I know, the truth hurts. But in the end, the truth always seems to come out. BTW, didn't I see you behind him in that snack line?

Arnie -
KT4ST

3961. Re: ACLU takes up opposition to homeowner's association rules (CC&Rs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 12:44:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831084433.09330.00000084@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 51575

In article <d22b54c3.0208261721.6dad582a@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
>news:<20020825021011.13843.00000793@mb-mu.aol.com>...
>> In article <20020824080635.15779.00000327@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>> writes:
>>
>> I'm pretty familiar with the Springfield Mall, do you know what part of the
>> mall the shooting took place?
>>
>>
>Right in the front door to center court as I recall and bang-bang. The
>ballsy kid who grabbed the rifle became a State Trooper. The big bitch
>on the autorities from her parents wuz "we told you she'd do something
>like this!" The DelCo DA explained "But we couldn't do anything about
>her until she done it". Wunnerful.
>
All true. It has to do with the way the mental health regulations have changed.

Once upon a time, anyone who was diagnosed with certain mental illnesses (like paranoid schizophrenia) could be institutionalized indefinitely based on threatening/violent behavior. If a family couldn't or wouldn't pay for a private mental hospital, the patient went to the state mental institutions. Byberry, Norristown, Haverford State were the local ones I know of.

Once committed, a patient had to prove to the docs that they were 'cured'. Act up again and it was back to the locked ward.

Then along came new medications like Thorazine which effected dramatic changes in those afflicted. And there also came budget-cutting politicians and advocacy groups. Result was that long-term involuntary commitments disappeared, replaced by short-term commitments and agressive treatment with drugs.

And by the 1970s you had a revolving-door mental health system:

1) Patient does something that gets them committed for 30/90 days (usually involves danger to self or others)
2) Patient gets treatement, including drugs that control the behavior
3) Patient promises to keep taking the medication and keep coming back for appointments with psychiatrists.
4) Court rules patient cannot be held beyond term of initial commitment because he/she no longer poses any danger to self or others.
5) Patient stays OK for a while, but eventually stops taking meds and goin to appointments.

6) Patient's behavior detiriorates until they wind up at 1), above.

Seegrist was a classic case of the person who heard voices and had a distorted reality view that led to violence. Her family tried for years to get her committed but the law was against them - ol' Sylvia would get some treatment and would be deemed "no threat" by the court and released. All in accordance with the new laws.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3962. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 12:44:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831084434.09330.00000085@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 51576

In article <d22b54c3.0208302235.7d15f8a1@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20020830220648.04751.00000457@mb-mw.aol.com>...
>>
>> The study guides in the old ARRL License Manuals (at least as far back as >1948)
>> were not written by ARRL folks. They are just reprints of the FCC study >guides,
>> and are identified as such in the LMs. The study guides stayed in essay >format
>> long after the tests became 100% multiple choice.
>>
>It's been at least 34 years and a helluva lotta water over the dam ago
>since I've even seen an LM but as I recall the LMs did have
>multiple-guess questions as did the exams.

I have copies of the ARRl LMs dated 1948, 1951, 1954, 1962 and 1971. Pretty good coverage of the period from just before the end of the old ABC system, through the 1951 restructuring and the 1953-1968 "Generals get everything" time, into the Incentive Licensing era.

>The LM posed the question,
>then laid out four (?) possible answers to ponder then told ya which
>one was correct. I recall that the wrong answers in the LM also often
>showed up in the exams.

All of the ARRL LMs are in the old small format (6-1/2" by 9-1/2") - and they all have nothing but essay questions. No multiple choice, no wrong answers.

The Ameco guides were multiple choice.

>Or something, ancient trivia at this point.

Just trying to keep things accurate. The ARRL did not have access to anything secret - they just reprinted the FCC study guides, and also a lot of info about the license process, and all of the amateur radio regs of the time. .

You could order the FCC study guides direct from the govt. printing office if you didn't want to give the ARRL fifty cents or so.

>Whatever the format actually was or who done what the LMs worked quite
>well as far as prepping for the exams were concerned which was all
>that really mattered.

Yes and no - depends on what kind of learner a person is. Point of the study guide was to indicate the subject matter in the test.

>And nobody had to trudge thru anywhere near as
>many questions as I understand the current question pools contain.

Yup. But you don;t have to trudge through all of them. Much of the Q&A pool is simple variations on the same problem. They take a basic Ohm's Law problem and vary the numbers a bit, result is 10-20 Q&A that are only diferent in the numerical values.

>Novice to Extra all in one skinny ARRL book, worked for everybody.

Not everybody used those books. And I knwo folks who went back many times to pass the tests - the writtens, not the code. I knew one guy back in the '70s who needed 10 tries to get the Advanced. Sometimes he missed by a single question. He did not use Bash books, either.
>>
>Anybody who thinks that any of this Q&A "stuff" is unique to FCC radio
>licensing oughta see the detailed Q&As ya can buy to prep for the
>state Professional Engineer's exams.

Yup - they are actually made from old exams.

Most important point is that FCC has been doing the public Q&A/VEC/QPC system thing for almost 20 years now (1983) and it sure doesn't look like they see any reason to go back to secret tests.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3963. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 12:52:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831085204.19036.00000330@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 51577

>Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 8/30/02 12:36 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0208300936.1ea34d4@posting.google.com>

>Steve, I saw as harmful the ARRL has promoting the Morse/CW exam
>barrier decades after any other service has seen fit to dispose of it
>for better methods. I saw as harmful the lack of leadership to the
>future.

Go back and read my question, Brian.

I asked you what had the ARRL done to impede YOUR operating of an Amateur Radio station.

>I've
>seen the ARRL sit on their hands waiting for a "consensus" concerning
>the Morse exam issue, forsaking any leadership role, and instead
>running polls like Clinton/Gore.

Hot flash, Hot Rod...ARRL is a membership organization. They are supposed to represent US, not the other way around.

Now, I reiterate my question....WHAT has the ARRL done to impede YOUR ability to operate an Amateur Radio station?

Steve

3964. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 14:35:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D70D3F7.CEE206CD@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51578

Brian Kelly wrote:

> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20020830220648.04751.00000457@mb-mw.aol.com>...
> >
> > The study guides in the old ARRL License Manuals (at least as far back as 1948)
> > were not written by ARRL folks. They are just reprints of the FCC study guides,
> > and are identified as such in the LMs. The study guides stayed in essay format
> > long after the tests became 100% multiple choice.
> >
> It's been at least 34 years and a helluva lotta water over the dam ago
> since I've even seen an LM but as I recall the LMs did have
> multiple-guess questions as did the exams. The LM posed the question,
> then laid out four (?) possible answers to ponder then told ya which
> one was correct. I recall that the wrong answers in the LM also often
> showed up in the exams. Or something, ancient trivia at this point.
> Whatever the format actually was or who done what the LMs worked quite
> well as far as prepping for the exams were concerned which was all
> that really mattered. And nobody had to trudge thru anywhere near as
> many questions as I understand the current question pools contain.
> Novice to Extra all in one skinny ARRL book, worked for everybody.
> >
> >
> Anybody who thinks that any of this Q&A "stuff" is unique to FCC radio
> licensing oughta see the detailed Q&As ya can buy to prep for the
> state Professional Engineer's exams.
> >
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> w3rv

I'm not gonna get into this one again, but I'd wager that none of them has a pool of the exact questions and their exact answers with the key to which offering is the correct one in
every instance, as the amateur radio pool does.
I KNOW from many years of VE activity where many of the examinees were known to me that often
there is licensing without anywhere near sufficient learning. I also know that there has always been licensing without enough learning, and I know that the present system seriously exacerbated that.

Is any of this a problem? FCC evidently doesn't think so. Maybe they also think that licenses
themselves will become superfluous, and allow it to become one DC to daylight CB band.

Dick

3965. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:23:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831122301.11404.00000400@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 51579

In article <akmcob$is7$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

> however, the FCC deemed
>it approprate to NOT test or otherwise risk
>the validity with Mr. Bash (IMHO). We'll never know exactly
>why, but in failing to do so, there can be a presumption
>that the FCC thought the case would be lost. You are,
>of course, free to have other opinions. In the end it
>makes no difference since the FCC defaulted on the point
>and thus rendered the "law" noot anyway.

Perhaps the FCC thought the case would be lost. But it seems to me that a far more reasonable possibility is a combination of several factors:

- FCC top dogs didn't want to bother
- FCC was internally considering something like the VEC system
- Action against Bash would have admitted that his books were accurate copies of the real tests, and shown how few versions of the real tests actually existed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3966. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:23:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831122301.11404.00000399@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 51580

In article <akonoq$3sc$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindspringnospam.com> writes:

>Let me wade in folks. As a life member of the ARRL I would like to answer
>the question of what did the ARRL do harmfull that effected me...etc.
>
>In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of many
>active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.

FCC enacted the changes, not the ARRL. There were various proposals from 1963
onwards. At least 10 of them that were not from ARRL got RM numbers.

Were you a part of that process?

Many active hams were FOR the proposals, by the way. In fact, a very slight
majority of opinion favored it.

>The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF privledges I
>had already earned.

What license did you hold in 1968?

If you held a General or Conditional, you lost about half of the PHONE
priviledges on the 80, 40, 20 and 15 meter bands. 10 meters was unchanged. You
also lost 25 kHz of CW/data on each of those bands. Total of about 100 kHz
CW/data and 325 kHz 'phone HF privs out of a total of 1,450 CW/data and 1,850
kHz 'phone available back then on the US amateur HF bands. Works out to a lot
less than half of your privs.

If you had an Advanced, you lost the same CW/data but much less 'phone.

Sounds to me like you (and many others) assumed that when you got your General
or conditional licenses that you were somehow guaranteed full operatin
privileges by right, forever. FCC disagreed.
>
>And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental thing I
>have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio.

FCC wanted incentive licensing. ARRL actually got them to tone down their 1965
proposal.

>That is the year I resigned in protest.

And by doing so, lost your voice in that organization.

I remember those times. I was a new ham (Novice in 1967, Tech/Advanced in 1968,
Extra in 1970). I had full privs for a few weeks in the fall of '68 and then
lost them Nov.22. Got them back when the two-year wait for Extra was up.

What surprised me most was how hard the exams were reputed to be, while in reality they were just not that tough if you knew a little radio. We had 12-year-old Extras back then, so when I got Advanced at 14 and Extra at 16 it wasn't unusual at all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3967. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:38:31 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <bi6c9.29$kN6.3285062@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51581

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D70D3F7.CEE206CD@townsqr.com...

> I'm not gonna get into this one again, but I'd wager that none of them
has a pool of the
> exact questions and their exact answers with the key to which offering is
the correct one in
> every instance, as the amateur radio pool does.

Of course NOT, Dick. Then you wouldn't be able to be so superior to all the
newcomers.

> I KNOW from many years of VE activity where many of the examinees were
known to me that often
> there is licensing without anywhere near sufficient learning. I also know
that there has
> always been licensing without enough learning, and I know that the present
system seriously
> exacerbated that.

And some of us don't make electronics our career, either.

> Is any of this a problem? FCC evidently doesn't think so. Maybe they also
think that licenses
> themselves will become superfluous, and allow it to become one DC to
daylight CB band.

They could care less if the ARRL substitutes a 13-15 wpm Farnsworth exam for
the Part 97 mandated 5wpm Morse Code exam.

3968. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:39:38 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ej6c9.30$OS6.3620912@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51582

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D703E9B.BB18AD2E@earthlink.net...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3D6EB49D.B062472D@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick, just because you were AWOL on the Novice subbands...
> > >
> > > Yeh, just like Dave Heil was in violation for working foreign
stations who showed up in Dave's LEGAL
> > > allocations.
> >
> > Dick, why do you keep bringing this up? I'm sure that Dave would like
> > to see the end of it. Just leave it alone.
>
> It doesn't bother Dave in the least. I'm not the one who looks silly
> after each exchange with you, Brian. We can even go back to the
> discussion on your operation from Somalia if you like.
>
> Dave K8MN

So was I just a bootlegger taking advantage of some poor country, as you and
Ed suggested?

3969. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:47:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <xq6c9.31$SS6.3624997@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51583

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020830204343.07059.00000438@mb-mv.aol.com...
>
> >Oops, thanks Bruce. That should read "50.525."
> >
>
> It figures you done the correct Freq either, I bet if he said Channel 19
you
> would have understood.

Darn it! My fingers just won't type 5two.525.

3970. Re: 10 meter = CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:48:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Dr6c9.33$OK6.3134559@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51584

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h1on6j6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 23:43:20 GMT, Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> >"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020827204025.07600.00004979@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >> >Ryan, FWIW, I keep 50.525 pluged in my FM monitor which is scanning
> >> >whenever I'm in the house.
> >>
> >> Boy I bet you hear alot on 50.525
> >
> >Oops, thanks Bruce. That should read "50.525."
>
> To paraphrade beloved Professor Yang of MIT "This 50.525 is not
> same as THAT 50.525. I do that to kon-fuze you...."
>
> , Please 'splain the difference between the several 50.525s in the
> above exchange in English, a language that kon-fuzed the dear
> professor greatly.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Sorry. I think you know what I thought I said, but I'm not sure what I said
was what you heard.

3971. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:49:57 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Vs6c9.34$pL6.3174492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51585

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D7008A0.5374C98C@townsqr.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > Let me wade in folks. As a life member of the ARRL I would like to
answer
> > the question of what did the ARRL do harmfull that effected me...etc.
> >
> > In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of many
> > active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.
> >
> > The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF privledges
I
> > had already earned.
> >
> > And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental thing I
> > have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio. That is the year I
resigned in
> > protest.
>
> Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive licensing
in
> 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of same.
>
> Dick

Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history. Why would he need Jim to
play it back for him?

Where were you when you lost your priveleges?

3972. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:52:34 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mv6c9.35$EV6.3793115@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51586

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020831122301.11404.00000399@mb-ct.aol.com...

> Sounds to me like you (and many others) assumed that when you got your
General
> or conditional licenses that you were somehow guaranteed full operatin
> privileges by right, forever. FCC disagreed.

Jim, lots of Extras today make the same assumption. Dick believes he'll
never have to take another exam.

3973. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:54:19 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%w6c9.37$qS6.3597337@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51587

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D70258E.5C646211@townsqr.com...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20020829193514.27725.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > >Date: 08/29/02 18:26 Eastern Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0208291426.27c42e4@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > > >
> > > >Phil, I don't consider everything that the ARRL does is good for the
> > > >amateur radio service, or when having to suffer it is being a
> > > >"free-loader."
> > >
> > > What has the ARRL doen that you consider harmful, Brian? How has
anything
> > > the ARRL has done in the past or present negatively affected YOUR
ability to
> > > communicate via Amateur Radio?
> > >
> > > K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, I saw as harmful the ARRL has promoting the Morse/CW exam
> > barrier decades after any other service has seen fit to dispose of it
> > for better methods. I saw as harmful the lack of leadership to the
> > future.
>
> Translated: They didn't agree with my juvenile assessment of the
situation.

Translation: Dick has no vision of the future.

> > GW and Dick say it is more dangerous to do nothing than to act.
>
> Got it bassackwards yet again. YOU 're the dude who did nothing. Nothing
but complain, that is.

I didn't do nothing, Dick. I fought against ancient ideas, like the ones
you hold on to.

3974. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 16:59:25 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <NB6c9.41$iW6.3827978@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51588

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020831085204.19036.00000330@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 8/30/02 12:36 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0208300936.1ea34d4@posting.google.com>
>
> >Steve, I saw as harmful the ARRL has promoting the Morse/CW exam
> >barrier decades after any other service has seen fit to dispose of it
> >for better methods. I saw as harmful the lack of leadership to the
> >future.
>
> Go back and read my question, Brian.
>
> I asked you what had the ARRL done to impede YOUR operating of an
Amateur
> Radio station.

Steve, I answered your first question, right?

> >I've
> >seen the ARRL sit on their hands waiting for a "consensus" concerning
> >the Morse exam issue, forsaking any leadership role, and instead
> >running polls like Clinton/Gore.
>
> Hot flash, Hot Rod...ARRL is a membership organization. They are
supposed
> to represent US, not the other way around.

Yes, was I not a member?

> Now, I reiterate my question....WHAT has the ARRL done to impede YOUR
> ability to operate an Amateur Radio station?
>
> Steve

Steve, they held on to the past, they supported licensing requirements and
regulations that were and are arbitrary and internally inconsistant.

3975. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 17:05:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <BH6c9.42$pY6.3950818@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51589

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D700A42.48F8B72A@townsqr.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > I seriously doubt that any of the original 1X2s are still alive
>
> Whoa! I'm still kicking, though not as high as once upon a time. I am
the
> first and only issue of W0EX.
> But your point is well taken. 1x2's were not issued for many years
beginning
> sometime in the 20's or early 30's. And the zero district wasn't created
until
> after WW2. I got the call, assigned sequentially by FCC, in the 70's, as
you
> said. It cost me not a dime, nor does it still. NOT a vanity callsign,
that is a
> term which came about as result of vanity license plates for cars. Back
then
> 1x2's were known as "preferred callsigns" since they were shorter.
>
>
> Dick

And?

3976. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 17:07:35 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rJ6c9.44$FY6.3967022@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51590

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020830203750.05970.00000019@mb-fg.aol.com...
> In article <3D700A42.48F8B72A@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
<dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> >> I seriously doubt that any of the original 1X2s are still alive
> >
> > Whoa! I'm still kicking, though not as high as once upon a time. I am
the
> >first and only issue of W0EX.
>
> Marvelous. One of a kind. The mold was broken when you
> were "issued." :-)
>
> > But your point is well taken. 1x2's were not issued for many years
beginning
> >sometime in the 20's or early 30's. And the zero district wasn't created
until
> >after WW2. I got the call, assigned sequentially by FCC, in the 70's, as
you
> >said. It cost me not a dime, nor does it still. NOT a vanity callsign,
that is
> a
> >term which came about as result of vanity license plates for cars. Back
then
> >1x2's were known as "preferred callsigns" since they were shorter.
>
> Okay, everyone will put you into the "no vanity" category. :-)
>
> Beep, beep.

I think I'll try for W0EX when it becomes available again.

3977. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 17:17:28 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <IS6c9.48$aH6.2920598@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51591

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020830203751.05970.00000020@mb-fg.aol.com...
> In article <JiTb9.88$Y53.46@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> It's interesting to see what these morsemensches invent for "replies"
> >> to others. Truly a remarkable talent they exhibit in fabrication! Now
if
> >> they had spent more time in advancing the state of the amateur art
> >> US hams wouldn't have to look to Europe or Asia for the new amateur
> >> radio technology. Alas, it is all frozen in the time period when all
those
> >> 1x2s were first licensed and they keep insisting that all others must
> >> emulate them.
> >
> >I'll refuse. It's my right.
>
> Ah, but you will be called names, be classified as stupid and
> ignorant, not worthy of the company of illustrious, noble hams
> who never do anything wrong. :-)

They just hurt themselves and the rest of amateur radio when they do so.
They'll either figure it out or they won't. Actuarial tables will take care
of it either way.

> It is better to yield, go with the flow, follow the program and not
> upset the self-styled leaders of the pack. That way they aren't
> upset and they can retain their self-important image of expertise.

I'm not the least bit interested in protecting and/or furthering their stale
ideas of pecking orders or worship of single-mode madness.

> >> Beep, beep
> >
> >Gonna start calling you, "Road Runner."
>
> Neither Wily Coyote nor the self-described piranha types in
> here have done any damage yet. 2000 miles of highway
> haven't done it, either. :-)
>
> Beep, beep.

didit.

3978. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 17:20:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D70FAC6.5D86250B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51592

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3D7008A0.5374C98C@townsqr.com...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > > Let me wade in folks. As a life member of the ARRL I would like to
> answer
> > > the question of what did the ARRL do harmfull that effected me...etc.
> > >
> > > In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of many
> > > active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.
> > >
> > > The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF privledges
> I
> > > had already earned.
> > >
> > > And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental thing I
> > > have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio. That is the year I
> resigned in
> > > protest.
> >
> > Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive licensing
> in
> > 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of same.
> >
> > Dick
>
> Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history. Why would he need Jim to
> play it back for him?
>
> Where were you when you lost your priveleges?

Brian, we know where you've been - time to get it out and take a good look around. No, it's way, way past time.
It would appear that anyone who thinks the ARRL was responsible for incentive licensing lived a dream instead of history. If you find that hard to believe, its because thats the way you want it. Read the archives of NPRMs and proposals of that era if you really want to know the history. ARRL's view of the restructuring of the day was very different indeed than what the FCC wanted, and eventually enacted .

3979. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 17:22:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D70FB26.957F8D76@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51593

Brian P Burke wrote:

You're never gonna get it, are you? It's not that anyone is superior, it's that you're so terrible inferior.

3980. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 17:32:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D70FD6D.67294FCD@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51594

Brian P Burke wrote:

>
>
> I think I'll try for W0EX when it becomes available again.

Good luck with the actuarial tables. Meanwhile, be very careful out there in the big bad world. And should you actually make it, more good luck on the application. Family members have priority, you know.

3981. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 17:35:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D70FE48.A425CE9E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51595

Brian P Burke wrote:

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020831122301.11404.00000399@mb-ct.aol.com...
>
> > Sounds to me like you (and many others) assumed that when you got your
> General
> > or conditional licenses that you were somehow guaranteed full operatin
> > privileges by right, forever. FCC disagreed.
>
> Jim, lots of Extras today make the same assumption. Dick believes he'll
> never have to take another exam.

I *KNOW* I'll never have to take another exam - just as I have never yet had to take one. Among the many things you missed was the notation that if there had been a Super Extra class license created, I'd already have that, too.

Sorry about you're decades-long Jihad of selfflaggelation.

3982. Re: How can the written tests be improved?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 18:13:23 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7H7c9.74$6a7.4778104@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51596

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D70FB26.957F8D76@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:

What? What did Brian P. Burke write?

> You're never gonna get it, are you? It's not that anyone is superior,
it's that
> you're so terrible inferior.

Dick, my words must carry heap big medicine for you to cut every last one of
them out.

Better luck on your next try.

3983. Re: freeband is not illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 18:20:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <akr1dv$1vk$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51597

Thats simple...the answer is stupidity. And if I show up again its called ignorance.

Hey 'xganon' you dead yet?

Dan/W4NTI

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message news:86bc219f68b6e70293ea69a20e42ced3@xganon.com...

>
> Hey NTI, we never hear you on 75 meters anymore. No great loss. Was that the result of choice, ignornace or stupidity HA HA HA
>
> anonanonanonanon
>
>
>
>
>
> No, I meant it just that way. You see if your ignorant then that means
> there is a possibility of learning.
>
> This guy is stupid.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3984. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 18:21:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RO7c9.78$FY6.3967022@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51598

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D70FAC6.5D86250B@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D7008A0.5374C98C@townsqr.com...
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > Let me wade in folks. As a life member of the ARRL I would like to
> > answer
> > > > the question of what did the ARRL do harmfull that effected
me...etc.
> > > >
> > > > In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of
many
> > > > active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.
> > > >
> > > > The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF
privledges
> > I
> > > > had already earned.
> > > >
> > > > And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental
thing I
> > > > have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio. That is the year I
> > resigned in
> > > > protest.
> > >
> > > Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive
licensing
> > in
> > > 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of
same.
> > >
> > > Dick
> >
> > Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history. Why would he need Jim
to
> > play it back for him?
> >
> > Where were you when you lost your priveleges?
>
> Brian, we know where you've been - time to get it out and take a good
look

> around. No, it's way, way past time.

Hmmm. 1968? I was 10 years old living at Loring AFB, ME. Why?

> It would appear that anyone who thinks the ARRL was responsible for incentive
> licensing lived a dream instead of history.

So the ARRL didn't support the inception of incentive licensing, ANY incentive licensing, and hasn't supported the practice of incentive licensing for the last 25 years?

If you find that hard to believe,
> its because thats the way you want it. Read the archives of NPRMs and proposals
> of that era if you really want to know the history. ARRL's view of the
> restructuring of the day was very different indeed than what the FCC wanted, and
> eventually enacted .

It would appear to Brian that the ARRL has embraced inventive licensing and has run with the ball for the past 25 years.

3985. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 18:25:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <oS7c9.80$m97.4735012@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51599

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D70FE48.A425CE9E@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020831122301.11404.00000399@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >
> > > Sounds to me like you (and many others) assumed that when you got your
> > General
> > > or conditional licenses that you were somehow guaranteed full operatin
> > > privileges by right, forever. FCC disagreed.
> >
> > Jim, lots of Extras today make the same assumption. Dick believes he'll
> > never have to take another exam.
>
> I *KNOW* I'll never have to take another exam - just as I have never yet
> had to take one.

Yet you have a license, at least that is what you are claiming. And never
had to take an exam?

Must be related to King Hussein.

> Among the many things you missed was the notation that if
> there had been a Super Extra class license created, I'd already have
that,
> too.

How would you get that Super Extra Class license if you've never taken an
exam?

> Sorry about you're decades-long Jihad of selfflaggelation.

Is that anything like self-congratulation?

Dick, you just don't make sense anymore. Not that you ever did.

3986. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 18:29:18 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2W7c9.82$Yc7.4953212@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51600

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3D70FD6D.67294FCD@townsqr.com...
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > I think I'll try for W0EX when it becomes available again.
>
> Good luck with the actuarial tables.

Thank you. But I don't think I'll live up to their expectations, hi!

> Meanwhile, be very careful out there in the big bad world.

Now that's something to think about.

> And should you actually make it, more good luck on the
> application. Family members have priority, you know.

Only if they act on it. Would you consider a Dick memorial club, with me as
trustee?

3987. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 19:21:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831152102.01003.00000093@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51853

In article <IS6c9.48$aH6.2920598@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
<bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020830203751.05970.00000020@mb-fg.aol.com...
>> In article <JiTb9.88$Y53.46@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke"
>> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>>
>> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> It's interesting to see what these morsemensches invent for "replies"
>> >> to others. Truly a remarkable talent they exhibit in fabrication! Now
if
>> >> they had spent more time in advancing the state of the amateur art
>> >> US hams wouldn't have to look to Europe or Asia for the new amateur
>> >> radio technology. Alas, it is all frozen in the time period when all
those
>> >> 1x2s were first licensed and they keep insisting that all others must
>> >> emulate them.
>> >
>> >I'll refuse. It's my right.
>>
>> Ah, but you will be called names, be classified as stupid and
>> ignorant, not worthy of the company of illustrious, noble hams
>> who never do anything wrong. :-)
>
>They just hurt themselves and the rest of amateur radio when they do so.
>They'll either figure it out or they won't. Actuarial tables will take care
>of it either way.

Darwinian Evolution...the hard way. :-)

Some in here are terribly insistent on preservation of the past, almost
to the point of continually living there. The past is a known quantity.
The future is unknown, may appear to be frightful, and should be
avoided lest the inner person is disturbed emotionally. Disturb that
inner person and the outer one rages in protest to new ideas and
concepts.

>> It is better to yield, go with the flow, follow the program and not
>> upset the self-styled leaders of the pack. That way they aren't
>> upset and they can retain their self-important image of expertise.
>
>I'm not the least bit interested in protecting and/or furthering their stale
>ideas of pecking orders or worship of single-mode madness.

Neither am I but some in here insist on pack leadership by strict obediance to their personal desires. Others want peace and quiet that results from a common group think. Either one is a safe haven for those that cannot keep up with a constantly-changing technology environment.

US amateur radio had become an almost Byzantine structure of rank-status-privilege that resulted in three decades of overt class distinction. It was a strange and somewhat unattractive arena for what was essentially a hobby activity supposedly done for personal pleasure. The "pleasure" was modified in three decades to be that of personal superiority over "lesser" licensees...thus losing many that had an interest concentrated on technology.

One of the results of that three decade period was a remarkable lack of innovation by the already long-term US licensees. The Asians and Europeans took over innovation and trying out new methods and modes. US technology experimenters turned away from amateur radio as an arena for innovation and discovery. Meanwhile, the already-established licensees kept promoting the standards and practices of their youth as the standards and practices for all newcomers to emulate. Not a good situation.

By 1998 a sort-of flashpoint was reached and NPRM 98-143 was the catalyst for change. The minority leadership of ARRL could no longer exert near-absolute influence on FCC amateur radio matters. ARRL could not be in near-total control. The amount of rationalization for the old ways was approaching legendary proportions as long-timers vainly tried to hold on to their desires of youth. Rage was upon the royal long-timers and they insisted their hobby activity was an almost noble service to the nation just in its existance. Rank-status-privilege coupled with tenure has that effect. :-)

Once rage is enacted, there is no stopping the enraged. QED from things such as the infamous "No SSB International" web-site satirically decrying NCI. NCI remains, No SSB International is gone as is the short-termed Eric June organization. RIP.

No matter. The regulars in here remain steadfast in defining US amateur radio as "CW on HF for DX" and that is that. :-)
Believers, cultists, and life-stylers live by the sayings filtering down from Newington, the ultimate temple of US hamdom.
They don't want new things for the future, only in the preservation of the past. The past is a known quantity and they are secure in that experience. The future is unknown and this bothers them. They won't look at the future, don't want to see it, but struggle to pay lip-service to it because that is politically correct.

Suggestion of change or even hinting at loss of their beloved rank-status-privileges will enrage the regulars more than ever. Regulars don't want to see that.

Beep, beep.

3988. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 19:21:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831152103.01003.00000094@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51851

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h1onl37.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 16:24:49 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of many
>>active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.
>>
>>The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF privledges I
>>had already earned.
>>
>>And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental thing I
>>have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio.
>
> But you agreed to that action in advance when you applied for a
> license.
>
> Remember the fine print on the license application just above your
> signature that said that you waive any claim to the use of amy
> particulat frequemcy or to the ether {sic] in general because of prior
> use or otherwise as against the regulatory power of the government?
>
>>That is the year I resigned in protest.
>
> Did that "protest: do you any good?

That's the "Marie Antoinette" argument, isn't it? If the peasants
had no bread, she advised them to eat cake.

You know the ultimate resolution to that, don't you?

3989. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 19:21:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831152104.01003.00000095@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51852

In article <3D70FAC6.5D86250B@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Brian P Burke wrote:
>
>> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>> news:3D7008A0.5374C98C@townsqr.com...
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >
>> > > Let me wade in folks. As a life member of the ARRL I would like to
answer
>> > > the question of what did the ARRL do harmfull that effected me...etc.
>> > >
>> > > In 1968 the ARRL in conjunction with the FCC and at the protest of many
>> > > active hams went ahead and initiated 'Incentive Licensing'.
>> > >
>> > > The direct and immediate result was my losing half of the HF privledges
I
>> > > had already earned.
>> > >
>> > > And to this day I feel this move was the single most detremental thing I
>> > > have ever seen the ARRL do to Amateur Radio. That is the year I
resigned in
>> > > protest.
>> >
>> > Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive licensing
in
>> > 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of same.
>> >
>> > Dick
>>
>> Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history. Why would he need Jim to
>> play it back for him?
>>
>> Where were you when you lost your priveleges?
>
> Brian, we know where you've been - time to get it out and take a good look
>around. No, it's way, way past time.
> It would appear that anyone who thinks the ARRL was responsible for
>incentive licensing lived a dream instead of history.

Are you saying ARRL did not lobby the FCC in 1968 nor exert any influence
to install incentive licensing?

If so, a lot of ARRL membership money was for naught in paying billings to a DC communications law firm and lobbying service. If you believe what you wrote, you should demand an accounting from ARRL.

>If you find that hard to believe,
>its because thats the way you want it. Read the archives of NPRMs and
>proposals of that era if you really want to know the history.

Interesting. Carroll has spent time in the FCC Reading Room in DC and studied this matter? Please forward your notes from that study and give everyone the benefit of your research.

>ARRL's view of the restructuring of the day was very different indeed
>than what the FCC wanted, and eventually enacted .

How "different?" Was it called "restructuring" then?

Please elaborate the "differences" for the benefit of all. I have no desire to trek all the way to DC and spend time in the Reading Room on a matter that happened 34 years ago.

What other influential special-interest groups were petitioning for the "incentive plan" back then? As far as I've heard, ARRL was the only one...feel free to correct me with names of such organizations besides ARRL.

By all accounts in amateur periodicals and from many amateurs, the "incentive plan" resulted in a complex rank-status-privilege structure responsible for the overt class distinction situation that plagued US amateur radio up to the year 2000. The R&O known of late 1999 popularly as "restructuring" streamlined the license structure. How did the 2000 restructuring affect your personal operating privileges? Were they reduced?

Dan Finn reports his privileges were lessened. So have many amateurs who were licensed in 1968. Why are you so concerned with "correcting" those who lost privileges?

3990. 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 19:47:10 UTC
From: Corn Dog <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com>
Message-ID: <akr6ff$f4a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!

3991. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 20:06:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831160635.25779.00000349@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 51855

In article <mv6c9.35$EV6.3793115@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020831122301.11404.00000399@mb-ct.aol.com...
>
>> Sounds to me like you (and many others) assumed that when you got your
>General
>> or conditional licenses that you were somehow guaranteed full operatin
>> privileges by right, forever. FCC disagreed.
>
>Jim, lots of Extras today make the same assumption.

Like who?

I've been an Extra for almost 32 years, and I've never made that assumption. The period when Generals got all operating privileges was less than half that time (a little less than 16 years, actually).

>Dick believes he'll
>never have to take another exam.

That's exactly one out of over 100,000 current Extras.

Perhaps it's a reasonable assumption. The Extra license, as we know it, has existed since 1951. The only Extras who have had to take more tests to keep their amateur privs are those who:

1) let their licenses lapse beyond the grace period
2) lost them by violating FCC rules
3) caused the FCC to scrutinize them because of questions about the testing process.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3992. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 20:36:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831163633.04751.00000471@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51856

In article <d22b54c3.0208250933.395385a@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> Yup, no "temporary AE" stuff. In the late '60s they were running a 6-8 week
>> backlog on any mail transaction. Getting a new Novice typically took three
>> months (6-8 week delay between code test and written, 6-8 week delay
>between
>> written and actual license, total time 12-16 weeks if you didn't fail
>> anything).
>>
>>
>I didn't have to put up with that. I took the whole Novice test at one
>sitting and the license took a number of weeks to arrive but it wasn't
>more than six weeks.

From '51 to '54, if you lived within 75 miles of an FCC exam point, you went there for all classes of license test. Result was lots of work for the examiners. In '54 they made Novice and Tech by-mail for everybody, even if you lived in the shadow of the Custom House.

The various mail and processing delays I mentioned reduced the number of tests the FCC had to process. They also acted as an incentive to be really well prepared, because if you flunked the written you wouldn't even know it until weeks later, when the failure letter came from the FCC. Then you'd have to do the whole dern thing again, code and theory. A lot worse than Joe Squelch saying "come back in 30 days, kid".

>Novice kids were just part of the herd on exam days, whatta zoo.

They cleared that out in '54.
>>
>> >My Dad bought the three-story $4,500 suburban manse in which I was
>> >raised in 1941 on his $135/month paycheck.
>>
>> $135 before or after taxes?

>Beats me, it's a number I heard years afterward from my Mom.

I hear ya.

>> $135/ month = $1620/ yr
>> $4500 = 2.777 years salary

>Both of 'em worked right thru the Depression so they also tossed a
>pile of cash on the table at settlement. Which was just 6 months
>before the Nips hit Pearl. Dad's job running the U. of P. med shool
>research shops died when the war started because he couldn't get

>materials.

I know the guy who runs those shops today. Small world.

> At the "behest" of the Army he went to work at the Baldwin
>Locomotive Works building tooling for tank production, six 12 hour
>days per week and it wasn't optional for most of the duration. Between
>the OT and inflation the folks had the place paid off by the end of
>the war.

Understood.

>> >Only fifteen years later I
>> >made $135/week as a machinist at Westinghouse. Figger those incomes &
>> >inflation.
>>
>> WW2, Korea, postwar affluence and inflation.

>Very different days indeed. Having antaircarft batteries in the
>neighborhood was just part of a kid's life, Night gunnery parctice was
>a helluva show, searchlights all over, boom-boom-boom . . . ya could
>smell gunpowder for hours afterward.
>>
Somewhere tucked away I have a map of the Atlantic coast from NNJ to SC that shows where lots of wrecks are. Includes U-boats. Lots of our stuff was sunk in plain sight of coastal towns.

>> >Yeah, CQ magazine is sponsoring a little "skip-shooting" getogther for
>> >beepers over the weekend of Nov. 24 & 25th. Last year around 10,000
>> >testers showed up. Not bad for a skill-based mode huh? Skill? Oh wash
>> >my mouf . . !
>>
>> 10,000 testers we know of. Many more who didn't bother to report.

>And the number of participants has been steadily climbing for years.
>Odd as hell for a "dying mode".

Ayup. You see the part about the shortage of CW operators during Vietnam?

>> >Where is Ed when ya actually NEED him
>> >around here??

>> He saw the barracuda coming.

>He can run but he can't hide.

Bait him with a lobster.

>> >That one turned into a thread and a half huh? I still gotta sort out
>> >the price ya pay in losses with ferrite cores vs. air cores vs.
>> >bandwidth vs. weight & bulk.
>>
>> Not worth the trouble. Both a decent bead balun and either coiled-coax type
>> have negligible losses. The big questions for you are

>>
>> 1) How much balun effect does it give on the bands of interest? (more ohms
>=
>> better)
>>
>Yup, yup.
>>
>> 2) How much does it cost in size and weight?
>>
>Yup. Now what? Heh.

I say a bunch of beads and be done with it. Bit o' shrink tubing to cover 'em.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3993. Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 20:36:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831163634.04751.00000472@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51858

In article <20020824110253.15060.00002094@mb-cq.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 24 Aug 2002 15:02:53 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Here's The Picture Lennie Doesn't Want You to See
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 08/24/02 08:06 Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20020824080634.15779.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>
>
>>Getting a new Novice typically took three
>>months (6-8 week delay between code test and written, 6-8 week delay between
>>written and actual license, total time 12-16 weeks if you didn't fail
>>anything).
>
> Took my Novice third week of September, 72, and was issued WN8OAH on 27
>October 72.

Did you use a volunteer examiner?
>
> First station was a Heathkit HR10B receiver and a 6146B crystal
>controlled
>transmitter on 3720kHz.

You would not believe the rigs I used in those days.....

> Sure miss those fun days!

Most vintage equipment can still be used on the air today. The dream rig of my Novice days was the Heath HW-16.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3994. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 20:36:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831163635.04751.00000473@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 51857

In article <Vs6c9.34$pL6.3174492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:

>> Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive licensing >in
>> 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of same.
>>
>> Dick
>
>Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history.

I "lived the history", too. Doesn't mean Dick, Dan or I are right or wron about the facts.

>Why would he need Jim to play it back for him?

Perhaps he does not have all the relevant facts.
>
>Where were you when you lost your priveleges?

I was a 14 year old newly minted Advanced who had to wait almost two years to even try the Extra.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3995. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 20:53:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D710D86.6099E80@mailcity.net>
Source record: 51859

Arnie Macy wrote:
>
> "Richard Cranium" wrote in part ...
>
> "And while you're listening, try 14.313! It's still a circus, but the
> alleged hams won't admit it."
>
> Go to 14.058 and tell me what you hear? (if you can) I'm sick of people
> like you telling me what a Ham should or shouldn't be. Stick to what you
> know about Amateur Radio -- nothing.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST

Dickieboy can't copy code, heck, he can't even hear what's on 10
meters.

3996. 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 20:58:59 UTC
From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <27e38977.0208311258.2835be97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: "Corn Dog" <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com>
Subject: 28.015 Freeband Net
Date: Saturday, August 31, 2002 1:47 PM

Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!
>>>>>>>>>>

Dont forget those 2 and 440 rigs that can be opened for MURS and FRS too!

LOL!

3997. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 21:42:27 UTC
From: Jerry McIntons <hotham@mcintonsmailsite.net>
Message-ID: <akrd7p$t4s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Brother Lloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:27e38977.0208311258.2835be97@posting.google.com...
> From: "Corn Dog" <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com>
> Subject: 28.015 Freeband Net
> Date: Saturday, August 31, 2002 1:47 PM
>
> Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
> Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
> Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
> Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
> Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!
> >>>>>>>>>>
>
> Dont forget those 2 and 440 rigs that can be opened for MURS and FRS too!
>
> LOL!

Don't laugh!. Many of the commercial dealers had printed info
sheets on how to "open up" commonly sold Kenwood, ICOM,
Yaesu, etc. equipment. Of course, it was not sitting on the table in
the open, but all you had to do was ask. I asked one dealer for
an info sheet, telling him I was buying the ham gear to convert to
business band use. Sure enough, he handed me a bundle of info.
Then, I asked if this was legal, and the guy says oh yeah, the FCC
deregulated everything years ago, you don't even need a license
anymore! And this came from a commercial dealer. Anythng to
make money I guess. Also saw a lot of truck drivers buying
marine radio equipment. Geeeeee, what a radio bazaar, wonder
where all the hams were?

Jerry Mc

3998. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 22:45:24 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8Gbc9.23$PS1.5409007@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51862

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020831152102.01003.00000093@mb-mq.aol.com...

> No matter. The regulars in here remain steadfast in defining
> US amateur radio as "CW on HF for DX" and that is that. :-)

Len, let's modify that a wee little bit.

Its been suggested that simply working or being DX isn't enough. An entry in a log book isn't any good. A card on the wall isn't any good. You've got to get it all blessed by the ARRL's DXCC desk. All DX must be sanctioned through the ARRL's DXCC desk, or it just isn't DX.

So, if I may, "US amateur radio is all about CW on HF for DXCC."

;^)
Brian

3999. Re: Pictures Nobody Wants To See

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 22:46:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3D714728.ED958BEC@townsqr.com>
Source record: 51863

Brian P Burke wrote:

> > Beep, beep.
>
> didit.

Dum-dums. A pair.

4000. ARNewsline 1307 - Aug 30 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 22:51:46 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831185146.05672.00000593@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 51864

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1307 - August 30th, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1307 with a release date of Friday, August 30th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

Ham radio assists at a world development summit in Africa and hams in Michigan aid in the search for two missing girls. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1307 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAM RADIO IN ACTION: SUMMIT IN SOUTH AFRICA

Ham radio has played a vital role in a World Summit for Sustainable Development held in South Africa. It began on Monday August 26th. Just before the opening gavel fell Hans van de Groenendaal, ZS6AKV, filed this report from Johannesburg:

--
"Radio amateurs will be providing communications assistance at three main operational centers. Members of the South African Radio League's emergency communications arm Hamnet will set up facilities alongside the National Defense Force and the South African Police Services. They will be operating at the main convention center, at Campton Park near Johannesburg International Airport and in Pretoria near the Woodcliff airbase.

Radio amateurs will be using the extensive repeater network in the city as well as H.F.. ZS6BUU, the National Director for the South Africa Radio League's Hamnet has more details:

--
ZS6BUU: "There will also be at least two mobile stations fully equipped to be available should the necessity arise. More vehicles can be called upon if needed.

One vehicle will be on stand-by should we need communications from Lancerial Airport. Now, Lancerial is an alternative airport should Woodcliff Airbase become clogged up with aircraft coming in from al over the world.

Hamnet is required to render emergency communications in association with the SANDF -- that's the defense force as well as our local

police. Hamnet regards this as a good exercise to test our preparedness and how we will be able to handle any emergency -- if and when one should come up."
--

It goes without saying that many other radio amateurs will be available should the need arise.

I'm Hans van de Groenendaal, ZS6AKV, reporting from Johannesburg.

--

The 10-day summit is being billed as the largest in U.N. history. It has been nicknamed Rio+10 because it marks the 10th anniversary of the landmark 1992 Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro.

**

RESCUE RADIO: MICHIGAN HAMS HUNT FOR MISSING KIDS

They did not plan to get involved but a group of Michigan hams swung into action after a policeman in the town of Grand Haven knocked on the door of Andrew Young, N8ARY. The officer was going door to door looking for two missing area youngsters. On learning of the situation, Young decided to see what he could do to help:

--
Young: "I called a friend of mine who is also an Amateur Radio person because he had a park across from his house where kids generally play. I asked him to keep an eye open for the two children. A few minutes later he called someone else on the repeater and asked them to do the same thing and a few guys heard it and just kind of assembled as a loose knit net."
--

The person that Young called was Donald Smith, N8HCS, also of Grand Haven. He enlisted Smith to keep his eyes open for the two young girls. N8HCS assisted by David Cross, N3WJF, then called up an informal net that grew in size to about a dozen area hams. Most of the action was in the search:

--
Young: "We sort of just stayed out on the street and interacted with people and reported anything we heard back to the net control."
--

As the informal net grew into a formal operation, John Sundstrom, N8YQD was dispatched to be at the girls parents home. His job was to maintain contact after the net turned into a formal search. Coordination and central dispatch where in the hands of Don Meyer, KB8ODB.

The good news came when the girls were located by the police about two hours after the start of the net. This, thanks to a report from

a neighbor who saw the girls and called in.

Young says that with all of the recent abductions in the news, it is nice to see a community mobilize like this to find the missing children. Even better: In this case the search was a complete success. (ARNewsline™)

**

EMERGENCY COMMS: GRANT AIDED ARRL TRAINING TO BEGIN

Word from the American Radio Relay League, that its Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Training Courses supported by a $181,900 federal Homeland Security grant will begin within a few weeks. As previously reported from an ARRL bulletin the League was among several dozen nonprofit organizations designated to receive some $10.3 million in federal money to boost homeland defense volunteer programs. During its first year, the grant will reimburse the cost of Level I ARRL Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Course training for up to 1700 volunteers. More information is on the web at www.arrl.org. (ARRL)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Amateur Radio Club of El Cajon in El Cajon California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO RULES: VANITY FEE GOES UP SEPTEMBER 9TH

A new and slightly higher fee for vanity call sign applications will go into effect on September 9th. According to the FCC, applications received on or after that date will be subject to a $14.50 fee for a full ten year license term. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC WEIGHS INTO REPEATER FRAY

The FCC is weighing in on an ongoing repeater versus repeater dispute in Arkansas. The Agency's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:

--
Duckworth: "We requested further information from Gerald Hogue, KD5CYA, of Conway Arkansas regarding continuing interference between his repeater and the AC5RU repeater. We had notified both parties some time sago that each was responsible for taking steps to minimize interference, but we are seeing no cooperation on the part of the KD5CYA repeater. Hogue has 20 days to respond."

--

But the problem seems to have gone past the repeater versus repeater stage. Now users are getting involved and the FCC is taking action there as well. Again, the FCC's Daryl Duckworth:

--
Duckworth: "On the same topic, the Enforcement Bureau has warned Ronald Lewis of Morrilton Arkansas, KC5BNN, about interference to users of the AC5RU repeater. I was pointed out Lewis that his license renewal comes up in June 2003 and that this matter must be resolved if he is to receive a routine renewal."
--

In its letter to Lewis the FCC said that it will not tolerate deliberate interference between the two repeaters. Also that it does not care if the interference is from a transmission by one of the repeaters or by a user of one of the repeaters making a broadcast intended to degrade the other repeater. Either way, it must stop. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: MORE TROUBLES FOR FAX.COM

More problems for fax.com – the direct fax advertising company recently hit with a 5 point 4 million dollar fine by the FCC. Now a California businessman says that he wants a lot more from the firm in punitive damages for being spammed.

Steve Kirsch, a Silicon Valley executive is suing fax.com for two-point-two trillion dollars. Yes we said trillion with a capitol T. And Kirsch says that he has filed the litigation because he believes that to be the amount consumers should get if the proper penalty is assessed for each and every junk fax ever sent by fax.com, plus triple damages as permitted under federal law.

Kirsch is founder and chief executive of Propel Software in San Jose. He decided to pursue the class action suit after he got a torrent of unsolicited faxed ads. Kirsch estimates an unwanted fax wastes ten cents for the cost of paper, ink and fax machine wear and tear. (Published news reports)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: BASS WILL FLY IN OCTOBER

According to the latest news reports, Lance Bass of the boy band 'N Sync will fly to the International Space Station in October. Hollywood producer David Krieff, who has arranged financial backing for the performer's space venture, says that Russian space agency executives and attorneys recently flew to Los Angeles and spent eight days hammering out a 400-page flight agreement with Bass' representatives. Krieff said Bass is slated to blast off October

28th from the Baikonur Cosmodrome in Kazakhstan with two cosmonauts on an eight-day Soyuz "taxi" and resupply mission to the space station. Krieff also disputed remarks attributed to a Rosaviakosmos spokesman Sergei Gorbunov that the Russian space agency might scrub Bass from the mission because he had failed to meet a payment deadline.

Bass hopes to follow in the footsteps of the world's first two space tourists -- American businessman Dennis Tito and South African millionaire Mark Shuttleworth. There is still no word as to whether or not Bass might operate the ham station on board the I-S-S, but the entertainer was scheduled to talk with school children from the Johnson Space Center in Houston on August 29th over the Internet. This, using NASA's Distance Learning Outpost and Education Web Portal. (Published news reports, NASA release)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: FORMER HAM TO HOST REVIVAL OF TV GAME SHOW

Donny Osmond, the former KA7EVD, will be helping to bring a legendary television game show back to the small screen. USA Today reports that starting in September, Osmond will be the host of Pyramid, a syndicated revival of the game shows created and hosted by Dick Clark in the 1970s and '80s.

According to Los Angeles ham radio lore, Osmond was reportedly mentored into ham radio in the early 1980's by the late Art Gentry, W6MEP. This, when Osmond and his sister were taping the Donnie and Marie Show at the Golden West Studios in Hollywood. Gentry, who was employed there as a Broadcast Engineer is best known for his development of the FM repeater – a device that changed the way most hams operate on the VHF and UHF Amateur Service bands. He and his wife Millie, K6JJN, were also mentors to many Los Angeles youngsters wanting to enter ham radio. (USA Today, ARNewsline™)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: DARA NAMES SCHOLARSHIP WINNERS

Gary Des Combes, N8EMO Chairman of the Dayton Amateur Radio Association Scholarship Committee has announced the recipients of the clubs 2002 scholarship program. Named to receive financial support for their future education are Thomas Tenaglia, K3TAT; William Bailey, KF4VAU; Victoria Morgan, KF4PNI; Nicholas Bishop, KG6JSA; Jeanne Hansen, KB2RAP; Sara Hanna, KE6MWX; Jeffrey Doub, KC8IOC; Michael J. Haessler, KB9TGF and Amy Morris, KI6F. Scholarship awards run between $1000 and $2000. (DARA)

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: NEW LINK-COMM SOFTWARE ENHANCES REPEATER CONTROLLERS

Attention repeater owners. If your system uses a Link-Comm controller, have we got good news for you.

Steve Srobel of Link Communications has announced that new programming software for the RLC-1 Plus, RLC-2, RLC-3, RLC-4, and RLC-Club is now available. The new software allows you to program messages, timers, autodial numbers, etc. with a point-and-click interface. It also provides a way to manually edit and upload a file of other advanced commands.

The best part is that it can be downloaded for free from www.linkcomm.com/quickstart.html. You can email feedback about the program to mark@l... (Repeater Owners Remailer)

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: COMMEMORATIVE PIN FROM KENWOOD

Kenwood Communications is offering a commemorative "United We Stand" pin with the purchase of any new Kenwood Amateur radio. The offer is good in the United States only and began on August 20th. It runs till further notice. More information as on the web at www.kenwood.net/ama_page.cfm (Kenwood Communications)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

CONVENTIONS: CB'ERS TO MEET DOWN-UNDER

Are you into C-B radio? Do you like to travel? Would you like to go to a week long C-B Break? Well here's a convention for you.

Q-News reports that the Albatros C-B Radio Club will be holding its annual convention the week of September 14th to the 21st. That's right it is a week long C-B show with all the trimmings. New gear. lectures and what have you.

It all takes place in the city of Ballina. That's down-under -- in Australia. (Q-News)

**

HAMFESTS: LIMARC IN NEW YORK SEPTEMBER 15TH

Closer to home, LIMARC, the Long Island Mobile Amateur Radio Club will be holding its fall hamfest on Sunday, September 15th. The venue is Briarcliffe College in Bethpage, New York. Gates open at 6:30 AM for vendors and for the general public at 8:30 AM Eastern time. More information is in cyberspace at www.limarc.org or call

the 24-hour info line at 516-520-9311. (LIMARC)

**

HAMFESTS AND CONVENTIONS: NRC TO WEBCAST

The National Radio Club will hold its annual convention the Saturday and Sunday prior to Labor Day. N-R-C is a group made up of Medium Wave D-X radio listeners with the club founded back in 1933. And in past years the club has set up a carrier current radio station in the hotel where its conventions are held. Normally you cannot hear the broadcast outside the hotel grounds, but this year could be different. This is because the carrier current station will be helped by a broadcast remote pick-up channel that will be used to send the audio across town to a server that will distribute it as a webcast. The frequency to be used belongs to WLIO television in Lima Ohio. Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, explains what will happen.

--
W8HDU: "At the hotel we're going to place a small walkman radio connected
to an old remote pickup unit. The transmitter is on 26.410 in narrowband
FM, and it will relay back to WLIO's studios where the club will have its
server encoding the data stream. The transmitter runs about 40 watts into
a CB type antenna, and it IDs every 10 minutes with the call WPLP549. The
ID won't be heard on the webcast as it's filtered out at the receiver."
--

If you hear this broadcast, you can get a QSL by sending an accurate report to Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, in care of WLIO Television, 1424 Rice Avenue, Lima Ohio 45805. (NRC, W8HDU)

**

INTERNATIONAL-AUSTRALIA: VANDALISM AND BULLETS ON THE AM BAND

On the international scene, the staff of Brisbane Australia commercial AM station 4BH are in shock after what appears to have been a deliberate attack on the radio station's twin broadcasting towers. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has the details:

--
4BH broadcasts on 882 kHz and although now a DMG music station is probably better known from it's "glory days" as the Queensland Key Station of the Macquarie Broadcasting Network.

Police are investigating an incident just before 9 o'clock Monday August 19 which saw both broadcasting towers have their guys cut with

what appears to have been bolt cutters, which sent them crashing to the ground, putting the radio station off air, and coming to rest just meters away from a caravan park.

The broadcast industry in Brisbane rallied around, SWITCH AM a public community access station at Long Pocket on 1053 kHz has gone "off air", donating their transmission facility to the Commercial station. 4BH was back on air just 27 hrs later at just some 800 watts, instead of their 5kW, using a retuned 1053 TX and tower, retuned thanks to Radio TAB engineering staff winding some 11 heavy duty inductors.

This incident is unprecedented and has ramifications for all commercial radio broadcasters and the Commercial Broadcasters Industry Association has said "While it is hoped that this situation will not occur again it may be advisable for stations to review, and where necessary, upgrade security arrangements at their transmission facilities".

This is the same site which saw thieves using bolt cutters gain entry to steal some 2 dozen satellite dishes belonging to Radio TAB early in the year. Allegedly the 4BH studios in suburban Stones Corner were fired upon recently, at least one bullet penetrating an office.

From Q-News Australia, I'm Graham Kemp, VK4BB, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

Investigators have not been disclosed whether the three incidents are related. (Q-News)

**

SPECIAL EVENT: DRAKE ON THE LAKE

Back on this side of the Pacific, Greg Compton, N5ZFW, reports over the Internet that the Cherokee County, Texas Amateur Radio Club will be operating special event station called "Drakes On the Lake." This taking place the weekend on September 14th and Sunday the 15th.

The station, using all vintage R.L. Drake gear will be operated on the shore of Lake Jacksonville, which is near Jacksonville, Texas on 20, 40 and 80 meters. Callsigns to be used are AD5KM or KI5P. QSL with a 9 by 12 SASE to Brad Low, AD5KM, 840 Henderson Street Jacksonville, Texas, 75766. (From Internet posting)

**

DX

In D-X, members of the 8Q7ZZ youngsters' DXpedition to the Maldives have returned home after a highly successful operation. Mark Haynes, M0DXR, reports that the group made over 25,000 QSOs between the 30th

of July and 10th of August, including around 330 on 6 meters. The QSL manager for 8Q7ZZ is Phil Whitchurch, G3SWH. (GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: CELL PHONE RELIABILITY

And finally this week, a graphic example of how the cellular telephone network can hiccup at the most inopportune time. Earlier in this newscast, we talked to Andrew Young, N8ARY, up in Grand Haven Michigan. Andrew was using a cellular phone. One of the topics that he and our Producer Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, were discussing was the reliability of these devices. Guess what happened next:

--
Pasternak: "It seems to me that more and more hams are carrying cellphones than HT's. Have you noticed that also?

Young: "Yes. Myself, I have a cellphone that rarely works when there is a busy time -- like during some sort of event. When a lot of people get in one area mu cellphone almost never works and usually I have t...."

Phone line sound: "click. click."

Pasternak. Hello.... Hello.... I think your cellphone stopped working."
--

Talk about a graphic example happening at the most convenient time. Thats right. The cellular phone system up in Michigan did what so many seem to do. It abruptly went away.

Ham radio is always there when you need it. Another good reason to always carry your H-T when you are out and about. (ARNewsline™)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

No before we go, a special word of congratulations to Amateur Radio Newsline reporter Cathy Anno, KB0FDU. This on the birth of her third child -- a boy named Conner William Anno born on Friday August 23rd. Little Conner weighed in at just over 6 pounds and was 19 1/2 inches long. Cathy describes her new son as being -- and we quote -- "just

perfect." And less we forget, Cathy, her husband Darren and little Conner are all doing just fine.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Norm Seely, KI7UP, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

4001. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 22:53:15 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_Dcc9.14803$FJ1.1145759@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51867

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:76c1e312f9b8cd6767654a48d445e015@xganon.com...
> you guys run away when its anything less than 5/9. we freebanders are
hammering
> out to the pacific long into the night while ten meters is silent. dont
you think the arrl and the FCC see we are making better use of the bands?
most of these dick head hams in here are no codes, you guys would be better
off picking a 6 meter freq and hoping for whats left of the cycle to do some
magic if you want to work most of these idiots. thats why the FCC not only
dont bust freebanders but they look to us as the future of the hobby. look
at Rain report they are all hams being popped. Ham is dieing and nocodes
like JJ can only sit and bitch like fat old men.

..._ ._. ._ ... _ .._ ._ _ . .. _.. _._. _... . ._. .-.-.-

Some of the hams may be no codes. Fellas, don't tell this jerk what the
above phrase says.
Let's see if he has any business making fun of no coders.

Oh, btw, I saw a whole BUNCH of young hams at a hamfest this weekend, some
of whom are
very pretty young girls. Bright-eyed, good-looking, interested in the
hobby, they certainly are not
what you would like for ham radio to be. It is NOT dying (learn to spell,
you "idjit"), and if you think the government is about to "reward" a bunch
of lawless, clueless, knotheaded, and radio-
ignorant clowns that talk like backwoods goons, you are even more stupid
than I thought!

J

>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

4002. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 22:53:37 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <RNbc9.28$Oc1.2697377@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51865

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020831163635.04751.00000473@mb-mw.aol.com...
> In article <Vs6c9.34$pL6.3174492@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >> Dan, if you still think the ARRL was responsible for incentive
licensing
> >in
> >> 1968 you haven't stayed up with history. Nor read N2EY's reciting of
same.
> >>
> >> Dick
> >
> >Dick, it would appear that Dan lived the history.
>
> I "lived the history", too. Doesn't mean Dick, Dan or I are right or wron
about
> the facts.

It means one of you is. You can't all be right or wrong.

> >Why would he need Jim to play it back for him?
>
> Perhaps he does not have all the relevant facts.
>
> >Where were you when you lost your priveleges?
>
> I was a 14 year old newly minted Advanced who had to wait almost two years
to
> even try the Extra.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Was that a good thing?

4003. Re: ARRL Members -- protest this action to your director

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-08-31 22:59:20 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <cTbc9.31$ep2.7627986@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51866

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020831160635.25779.00000349@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <mv6c9.35$EV6.3793115@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian P
Burke"
> <bpburke@prodigy.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020831122301.11404.00000399@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >
> >> Sounds to me like you (and many others) assumed that when you got your
> >General
> >> or conditional licenses that you were somehow guaranteed full operatin
> >> privileges by right, forever. FCC disagreed.
> >
> >Jim, lots of Extras today make the same assumption.
>
> Like who?
>
> I've been an Extra for almost 32 years, and I've never made that
assumption.
> The period when Generals got all operating privileges was less than half
that
> time (a little less than 16 years, actually).

Jim, when do you think you'll need to take more exams?

> >Dick believes he'll
> >never have to take another exam.
>
> That's exactly one out of over 100,000 current Extras.

I wouldn't say that Dick is current.

> Perhaps it's a reasonable assumption. The Extra license, as we know it,
has
> existed since 1951. The only Extras who have had to take more tests to
keep
> their amateur privs are those who:
>
> 1) let their licenses lapse beyond the grace period
> 2) lost them by violating FCC rules
> 3) caused the FCC to scrutinize them because of questions about the
testing
> process.
>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Perhaps in a few years, the American public will rise up and say those damned amateurs have had it too easy, and institute an examination system that rivals that of the professional engineering societies.